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Preamble

Overview Slides
Modernity Simplified
to the level of a 'poor-man'

( i.e., one with limited ability, or time, or even inclination, to carefully read, reflect, and reason about the period one lives in )
What this book is about

This book is about an open secret that the world's leaders, politicians, statesmen, military, businessmen, scholars, intellectuals, newsmedia, all pretend in public is not happening: the drive towards one-world government.

Caption Banality of Evil: Hear no evil, speak no evil, see no evil

The author has pieced together the jigsaw puzzle of how it is being done at the public's expense. A bold plan to create World Order out of manufactured chaos and fabricated "revolutionary times". The brilliance of the plan is how it is being accomplished in baby-steps by legal fiat under the pretext of dealing with crises and catastrophes. You are invited to join the author on his steep ascent to Mt. Fuji from where you can see all things clearly. And you can see all the forces that shape events; not just the things happening near to you.
Zahir Ebrahim, an electrical engineer and computer architect, temporarily gave up his high-tech career in Silicon Valley, California, in the late 1990s to spend time raising his kids. Zahir originally studied EECS at UET (Lahore, Pakistan), MIT, and Stanford University (via SITN). He was an ordinary engineer and worked in several corporations in the San Francisco Bay Area pursuing his own “American Dream” like most ordinary people (see engineering patents at http://tinyurl.com/Zahir-Patents). Zahir switched directions immediately after 9/11 and turned towards justice activism with the same zest with which he had previously endeared himself to his profession. Zahir's 2003 maiden book of protest against the criminal military invasion of Iraq, titled Prisoners of the Cave, was rejected by numerous publishers and can be read on the web at http://PrisonersoftheCave.org. Zahir writes exclusively for Project Humanbeingsfirst.org which he founded as The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons. Zahir may be reached at http://Humanbeingsfirst.org. Bio at http://ZahirEbrahim.org. Full Copyright Notice at http://humanbeingsfirst.org/#Copyright.
What others say

“you are a completely stupid fool,

a disgrace to humanity”

The white man when caught in his lies,

Paul Craig Roberts,
United States Former Assistant Secretary of the Treasury,
anointing Zahir Ebrahim,
Dec 06, 2008.
(See Chapter 53)
To confront

or

be co-opted?
Is it Divine Comedy

or

Just Irony?
The land of the free that nurtured a most pernicious Hectoring Hegemon also nurtured its Antidote!
The Plebeian Antidote to

Hectoring Hegemons
Oligarchic Primacy for
One-World Government

“Some even believe we are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as 'internationalists' and of conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure – one world, if you will. If that's the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it.”

David Rockefeller,
*Memoirs*, 2002, pg. 405
Proud Internationalist, Chairman
The Council on Foreign Relations,
Chase bank
The Council on Foreign Relations (CFR)

“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault. Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed.”

Richard N. Gardner, The Hard Road To World Order, Foreign Affairs, April 1974, pgs. 558-559
The Royal Institute of International Affairs (RIIA)

“We are at present working discreetly with all our might to wrest this mysterious force called sovereignty out of the clutches of the local nation states of the world. All the time we are denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands, because to impugn the sovereignty of the local nation states of the world is still a heresy for which a statesman or publicist can perhaps not quite be burned at the stake but certainly be ostracized or discredited.”

Arnold J. Toynbee, Director, Royal Institute of International Affairs, (Chatham House) London,
The Trend of International Affairs Since the War, International Affairs, November 1931, pg. 809
“I have never believed that there is a secret United Nations plot to take over the US. ... But, for the first time in my life, I think the formation of some sort of world government is plausible. A “world government” would involve much more than co-operation between nations. It would be an entity with state-like characteristics, backed by a body of laws.

The European Union has already set up a continental government for 27 countries, which could be a model. The EU has a supreme court, a currency, thousands of pages of law, a large civil service and the ability to deploy military force. So could the European model go global?”

And now for a world government,
Gideon Rachman, Financial Times,
Dec. 8, 2008
“... the most difficult issues facing national governments are international in nature: there is global warming, a global financial crisis and a “global war on terror”.

... a change in the political atmosphere suggests that “global governance” could come much sooner than that.”

And now for a world government,
Gideon Rachman, Financial Times,
Dec. 8, 2008
The EU Council President

“We are living through exceptionally difficult times. Financial crisis and its dramatic impact on employment and budgets, the climate crisis which threatens our very survival --- a period of anxiety, uncertainty, and lack of confidence.

Yet these problems can be overcome, by a joint effort, in and between our countries. 2009 is also the first year of Global Governance with the establishment of the G-20 in the middle of financial crisis.

The climate conference in Copenhagen is another step towards the Global Management of our Planet. Our mission, our presidency is one of hope, supported by acts, and by deeds.”

Herman Van Rompuy,
EU Council President,
Press conference Nov 19, 2009
Samuel Huntington at Harvard University

“The underlying problem for the West is not Islamic fundamentalism. It is Islam, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the superiority of their culture and are obsessed with the inferiority of their power. The problem for Islam is not the CIA or the US Department of Defense. It is the West, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the universality of their culture and believe that their superior, if declining, power imposes on them the obligation to extend that culture throughout the world. These are the basic ingredient that fuel conflict between Islam and the West.”

“It is difficult to generalize about Islam. To begin with, the word itself is commonly used with two related but distinct meanings, as the equivalents both of Christianity, and Christendom. In the one sense, it denotes a religion, as system of beliefs and worship; in the other, the civilization that grew up and flourished under the aegis of that religion. The word Islam thus denotes more than fourteen centuries of history, a billion and a third people, and a religious and cultural tradition of enormous diversity.”

Bernard Lewis, *Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror*, 2003, pg. 1
Bernard Lewis Tells A Lie

The Holy Qur'an Defines The Word “Islam” To Be A Proper Noun
(unlike Bernard Lewis who craftily redefines it as a Common Noun)

“This day have I perfected your religion for you, completed My favour upon you, and have chosen for you Islam as your religion.”

(Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Maeda 5:3)
“But Islam, like other religions, has also known periods when it inspired in some of its followers a mood of hatred and violence. It is our misfortune that we have to confront part of the Muslim world while it is going through such a period, and when most – though by no means all – of that hatred is directed against us.”

Bernard Lewis, Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror,
2003, pg. 25
Bernard Lewis Lies Again

The Specification of Islam States:

“This is the Scripture whereof there is no doubt, a guidance unto those who ward off (evil).”

“We believe in the Unseen, and establish worship, and spend of that We have bestowed upon them”

Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-Baqara 2:2–2:3
Why does Bernard Lewis
Lie and get away with it?

The Jewish scholar is not alone
Witness the FBI Chutzpah “Milit-
ancy Considerations”
Caption: As reported by Wired.com on September 14, 2011, an FBI training presentation titled “Militancy Considerations” measures the relationship between piety and violence among the texts of the three Abrahamic faiths. As time goes on, the followers of the Torah and the Bible move from “violent” to “non-violent.” Not so for devotees of the Koran, whose “moderating process has not happened.” The line representing violent behavior from devout Muslims flatlines and continues outward, from 610 A.D. to 2010. **In other words, religious Muslims have been and always will be agents of aggression.**
What's Going On Here?

- How can “Islam” inspire “a mood of hatred and violence” among its followers when its own scripture specifies very clearly in its very first verses that it is exclusively a “guidance unto those who ward off (evil)” and spend in charity?

- When Islam defines itself in its own scripture that it is only for those who protect themselves from evil and are generous with others, then on what basis is Jewish propagandist Bernard Lewis, and the Jewish FBI Training Graph, claim it is “Islam” that inspires its adherents to “violence” as “agents of aggression”?

- So if the religion of Islam, as divined in its singular scripture the Holy Qur'an, is not the source of “militant Islam”, does not induce “a mood of hatred and violence”, and does not inspire its adherents to be the “agents of aggression”, then what or who does? How is the religion of Islam Hijacked so easily?

- How is Islam distorted so easily?
Do you see what the cunning mind is doing?

Re-semantifying the word “Islam”!

- Taking a divine world religion named Islam, a proper noun, the name given by the Author of the Holy Qur'an to His Religion, and using it as a common noun.

- The imperial narrative can now get away with equating whatever any Muslim people do, including what the covert agents and assets of empire do, or be blamed for, or take the blame for as patsies, with the cunningly re-semantified redefinition of the word “Islam”!

- This is how the more sophisticated propaganda systems work. Its first victim is language! This re-semantification of words as a tool of perception management, was captured in the fable Nineteen eighty-four by George Orwell with the famous neologism “Newspeak”.

Next, do you see the Hegelian Dialectic?

Problem – Reaction – Solution?

Problem: Global Islamist Terror
Reaction: Global War on Terror
Solution: Police-state World government!

Problem: Global Warming
Reaction: Reduce Growth rate with Carbon Credit
Solution: Population reduction World government!

Problem: Global Financial Collapse
Reaction: More Global Financial Regulations
Solution: Global currency World government!
Political Theory

● The State is Supreme --- Create larger and larger state incrementally by the method of the Hegelian Dialectic, until it de facto culminates in a Single Supra-National State with Global Laws, Global Policies, and Global Administration

● In other words, a One-world Government under control of the ruling oligarchy who divine themselves the privilege to rule the earth

● The Modus Operandi: (1) Fabricate a clash of opposites by fueling both sides of the conflict, or fabricate global crises; (2) Use these global “revolutionary times” to destroy the existing world order, abolish existing laws; (3) Propose new international laws as the global solution to these global crises

● “Create conditions so frightful at home and abroad that the abandonment of personal liberties and national sovereignty will appear as a reasonable price for a return to domestic tranquility and world peace” --- G. Edward Griffin
The Diabolical Method of Revolutionary Times

“What is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times; and if at this time the opportunity is missed and what is possible at such great hours is not carried out – a whole world is lost.”

David Ben-Gurion, Founding Prime Minister of the Jewish State in Palestine
The “Arc of Crisis”

“An arc of crisis stretches along the shores of the Indian Ocean, with fragile social and political structures in a region of vital importance to us threatened with fragmentation. The resulting political chaos could well be filled by elements hostile to our values and sympathetic to our adversaries.”

Zbigniew Brzezinski,
U.S. National Security Advisor to
President Jimmy Carter,
Time magazine, Jan. 15, 1979
Can you add two plus two to make four?

“Freedom is the freedom to say that two plus two make four. If that is granted, all else follows.”

George Orwell,
Nineteen eighty-four
The Predatory Mind

“We are governed, our minds are molded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested, largely by men we have never heard of.”

Edward Bernays, Propaganda,
1928, pg.1
The Predatory Mind contd.

“We are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude!”

Aldous Huxley, 
*The Ultimate Revolution*, 1962, 
UC Berkeley
The Predatory Mind contd.

“In the technetronic society the trend seems to be toward aggregating the individual support of millions of unorganized citizens, who are easily within the reach of magnetic and attractive personalities, and effectively exploiting the latest communication techniques to manipulate emotions and control reason.”

Zbigniew Brzezinski,
Between Two Ages, 1970, pg. 11
The Predatory Mind contd.

“[T]here is already widespread concern about the possibility of biological and chemical tampering with what has until now been considered the immutable essence of man. ... Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, 'I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain.'”

Zbigniew Brzezinski, 
*Between Two Ages*, 1970, pg. 12
The Public Mind

“None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free. The truth has been kept from the depth of their minds by masters who rule them with lies. They feed them on falsehoods till wrong looks like right in their eyes.”

German Philosopher Goethe on the Public Mind
The Public Mind contd.

“What a man believes upon grossly insufficient evidence is an index to his desires – desires of which he himself is often unconscious.

If a man is offered a fact which goes against his instincts, he will scrutinize it closely, and unless the evidence is overwhelming, he will refuse to believe it.

If, on the other hand, he is offered something which affords a reason for acting in accordance with his instincts, he will accept it even on the slenderest evidence.”

A Gestalt Shift in PERSPECTIVE is Required to Understand the Instinct for Primacy

Caption Can the innocent child staring at the fishbowl in absolute wonderment ever imagine what the feline is thinking? What would it take for the child to view the world from the cat's perspective? Gestalt Shift in PERSPECTIVE!
How can the public counter this perspective deficiency?

“Yee shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free”

John 8:32, KJV, Holy Bible
Etched in stone wall in the Main Lobby of the CIA Headquarters in
Langley, Virginia, USA
What is that “truth”?  

“What controls the past controls the future  
Who controls the present controls the past”

George Orwell, Nineteen Eighty-four
What is that “truth” again?

“Deception is a state of mind and the mind of state”

James Jesus Angleton,
Head of CIA Counter Intelligence, 1954-1974
Then how can the public ever know what is true?

“In the age of universal deceit to discover the truth is a revolutionary act”

this scribe

“In the age of universal deceit to tell the truth is a revolutionary act”

George Orwell

“In the age of universal deceit to live the truth is a revolutionary act”

Wisdom of Holy Books
From the Public Mind
to the Revolutionary Mind

“Aspire to be like Mt. Fuji, with such a broad and solid foundation that the strongest earthquake cannot move you, and so tall that the greatest enterprises of common men seem insignificant from your lofty perspective. With your mind as high as Mt. Fuji you can see all things clearly. And you can see all the forces that shape events; not just the things happening near to you.”

Miyamoto Musashi
(Quoted in Political Ponerology, by Andrew M. Lobaczewski)
The journey of a thousand miles begins with a baby-step.
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Dedication

To All Who Care

And, for my children — to lend them courage to reshape tomorrow's world
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Introduction

Dystopia
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The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity

This book which you now hold in your hands, The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity – Oligarchic Primacy for World Government 2015, 9th Edition, carefully and systematically deconstructs the Machiavellian social engineering being employed in the diabolical but open construction of one-world government. Modernity Reader 2015 presents some of the key investigative essays and analytical perspectives developed by the author as part of Project Humanbeingsfirst, principally as a student of truth, and not its master. What that means is that the author does not define or presuppose the truth in any matter. Nor does he accept such presuppositions from others. Instead, he endeavors to seek truth in every matter ab initio, to comprehend it, to unentangle its many threads, and to bring what he has made sense of to the audience for their own adjudication.

Modernity Reader 2015 systematically unveils “truth's protective layers” (American astronaut Neil Armstrong's syntactic sugar for layers of deception which conceal truth) in many different domains to demonstrate that virtually nothing the public mind is led to believe is
wholly true. In fact, what the public believes and responds to is often outright falsehood. The purpose? To fashion a global police-state, a one-world government on earth with its populations reduced to servitude in voluntary servitude. Goethe, the German philosopher, aptly captured the ease of this approach to governance, of getting people to love their servitude in acquiescing to tyranny over solely using the Orwellian bayonet: “None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free.”

Mankind today is perched on the cusp of a global scientific dictatorship; a transformation so profound that it has only become possible in our scientific modernity to practicably achieve the zeitgeist that Plato visualized in The Republic 2500 years ago. Plato gave a thought experiment in his famous Simile of the Cave for full spectrum perception management of the public mind from cradle to grave. And he argued that it would be next to impossible to break through that web of control once it was achieved – for people would simply not believe it if truth was revealed to them. We are nearly there today, for the first time in the history of man on a global planet-wide scale.

Machiavellian social engineering surrounds modernity in an endless sea of half truths, quarter truths, and outright lies such that ferreting out the whole truth about any matter for anyone in the public is a “revolutionary act”. Although, George Orwell is reputed to have stated it differently: “In an age of universal deceit, telling the truth is a revolutionary act.”

I believe my version trumps the prescient novelist's. In order to tell the truth in the age of universal deceit, one still has to ferret it out first. That endeavor, as this book demonstrates, requires the steep ascent to “Mt. Fuji” from whence, “with your mind as high as Mt. Fuji you can see all things clearly. And you can see all the forces that shape events; not just the things happening near to you.” Performing simple arithmetic such as adding two plus two to make four becomes a lot easier when one can see all the forces that shape events. The sociologist-novelist who made that arithmetica famous in his fable
Nineteen eighty-four, also perceptively observed through the pen of his protagonist character, Winston Smith, the far reaching impact of being able to add correctly and to announce the result openly:

“Freedom is the freedom to say that two plus two make four. If that is granted, all else follows.”

An undertaking that is inexplicably missing from the imposing resumes of most learned peoples on planet earth today.

Why is that wherewithal to perform simple addition lacking? “Most people would rather die than think; in fact, they do so”! That dismal fact is the success of modernity: the cultivation of “a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long”. Undoing that pernicious force majeure which principally underwrites the dystopia now in the making, is the raison d'être of this book.

Telling the truth once it has been ferreted out, once one or more of “truth's protective layers” have been painstakingly peeled away, takes only a bare modicum of raw courage. Many people are known to display raw courage today. The show of such raw courage, evidently, is a lot easier than intellectual or moral courage to remove “truth's protective layers” – for the former is usually of immediate existential import to oneself. Even the tiny helpless Palestinian child demonstrates a superfluity of raw courage as his instincts for survival are tickled by the Israeli occupation forces. That raw instinctual courage is amply captured in the following two images. Yet, the matching intellectual and moral courage, the wherewithal to remove all of “truth's protective layers”, to stand up to the occupation of the mind, is evidently as rare as air in freespace.

Ferreting out the truth therefore, in this age of Machiavelli and universal deceit, is the greater, and the first revolutionary act. Telling it of course is the second revolutionary act. The ultimate revolutionary act however trumps both ferreting out the truth and telling the truth. It is to act upon the truth. And Plato's wisdom surely prevails for the public mind! Nevertheless, please read the Legal Disclaimer Notice.
(http://humanbeingsfirst.org/#Legal) before you hasten to do so in the rare instance that you are not a prisoner of the cave. The Notice is hereby incorporated into this book by reference. In précis, you are responsible to verify what is presented here. For all you know, it could be all myth. Your acting upon any information therein, is upon your own cognizance that you think, believe, and act, on your own volition. Follow your own internal “imam” first – your own intellect! It will guide you to truth. As the Biblical saying goes, and which Martin Luther King Jr. underscored for overcoming our “psychological cataracts”:

“In international conflicts the truth is hard to come by, because most nations are deceived about themselves. Rationalizations and the incessant search for scapegoats, are the psychological cataracts that blind us to our sins. But the day has passed for our superficial patriotism. He who lives with untruth lives in spiritual slavery. Freedom is still the bonus we receive for knowing the truth. 'Yee shall know the truth', says Jesus, 'and the truth shall set you free.'”

The main reason truth becomes so hard to come by is due to the cunning intellectual who misleads the public mind in the name of dissent against his nation's war-mongering. This is the manufactured dissent, a new breed of deception with which most readers of this book will be unfamiliar with. Which is why it is the core focus of the presentation and peppers the analysis of all the topics taken up in this book. This diabolical art form is the master social science du jour. Only the most brilliant minds can become prominent leaders in this field, for it is far easier to be the straightforward vulgar propagandist for the state's war-mongering and dystopic agendas which employ the Big lie that is repeated ad nauseam, right out of the recipe cookbook for making the public mind by Adolph Hitler, his “Mein Kampf”. Unfortunately, few among the public, academics and activists alike, have read that book, never mind having any familiarity with Hegel or the Hegelian Dialectic. Without understanding the Hegelian Dialectics of
Deception, my *neologism*, and the concept of manufactured dissent among the rebels to complement the engineered consent among the mainstream, both of which retain all core-axioms and *Big lies* of 'empire' intact, today's modernity and the forces driving it simply cannot be comprehended. The intellectual courage to stand up to this form of gang rape of the mind, *mind-fck* if you will, is rarely seen in the world today. Such a rare mind is quickly marginalized when no longer simply ignorable. The next stop for such a mind may well be the state hospitality center for being mentally ill, suffering from an “oppositional defiant disorder” exhibiting a pattern of “negativistic, defiant, disobedient and hostile behavior toward authority figures”, as per the new DSM guidelines for classifying mental illnesses.

These “authority figures” who control public opinion exist as much in the mainstream manufacturing consent among the majority to “United We Stand” them with empire, as in the dissent-stream engineering their respective flock's mind to ensure that dissent does not stray too far from the permissible limits set by empire, and when it does, that it is quickly controlled and marginalized by the dissent-chiefs who have been setup and even anointed for this purpose. More sophisticated techniques are deployed to confuse the intelligent mind. This includes interjecting “beneficial cognitive diversity” into the discourse, meaning, introducing outlandish and gibberish conspiracy theories by so called “believable experts”, with enough absurdities mixed in with half-truth and three-quarter truths, and having quacks and “enemy combatants” who are already marginalized and dehumanized in the public's eye, utter some real germs of truth, coupled with the establishment itself perform some “limited hangouts”, that it becomes virtually impossible to tell bullshit from the whole fact of the matter. No forensic perspective is ever permitted to be developed in the public mind to be able to parse and unpeel all of “truth's protective layers”.

This “inoculation” of the whole truth with some “vaccinated truth”, which is further wrapped in plausible sounding gibberish and
the most outlandish conspiracy theories, consequently sees the entire discourse-space of challenging the sacred narratives of empire thrown out by the cognitive mind so overwhelmed with distortions that it can no longer tell what is wheat and what is chaff. A few *useful idiots* latch on to the gibberish instead.

In this remarkable modus operandi to engineer and control the public mind between consent and dissent, the difference is that for consent, the empire is projected as good. For dissent, the empire is projected as bad. And if the object of derision is an external “enemy” against which the empire is waging its incessant wars, as in the case of the aftermath of 9/11, then the same external enemy is retained by both sides and only re-incarnated either as “jihadis” and “Militant Islam” (consent), or “revolutionaries” and “blowback” (dissent). Neither manufacturers will ever extend their discourse to self-inflicted covert-ops, to forensic analysis of overarching agendas of “imperial mobilization”, and to “inside job” akin to the Nazi’s “operation canned goods” that launched their “imperial mobilization” into Poland.

Then how can one ever come by truth in international affairs at all, when all scholars, intellectuals, and dissent-chefs lie as the core assets of the Mighty Wurlitzer? Who is with empire, and who isn't, what is right and what isn't, isn't as straightforward anymore. MLK's description quoted above is most benign and limited in its insight and does not even begin to penetrate the layers of deception that is diabolically put on the public mind to “United We Stand” them on all core axioms and sacred narratives of empire. This books takes up the gauntlet from where MLK's simplistic stance against his nation's warmongering left off. MLK neither saw the oligarchy, nor how it harnesses the Western states, especially the American superpower, with crafty legalisms to achieve its globalist agendas stage by stage, one step at a time, ultimately creating “an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece”. This book also picks up the gauntlet from Malcolm X in developing a deeper insight into the mind of the diabolical *intellectual nigger* who has today transplanted the sim-
pleton *house nigger* in his indispensability to the *massa*.
Caption Images of raw physical courage for the instinctual physical survival of self!
The complementary images capturing the raw intellectual cour-
The dignified and manly survival of mankind, the moral gravitas to unravel all of “truth's protective layers” and to bring it before the public: yet to be found!!

The first essay of Modernity Reader 2015: Modernity Simplified, is the Poor-Man's Introduction to the long night of primacy which has descended upon our beleaguered world since 9/11, and which defines our present modernity. The Poor-Man is defined as one with limited ability, or time, or even inclination, to carefully read, reflect, and reason about the period one lives in. The Poor-Man of Modernity, as much the highly educated well to do lot as the wretched of the earth hoi polloi, is the epitome of the Orwellian maxim: Ignorance is Strength! Easy putty in the hands of Machiavellian social engineers. These forces of darkness manage the public mind and maneuver public behavior towards the desired political outcome, with full force of all the techniques of behavior control and psychological warfare operations.

The outcome of this exercise is that living in darkness becomes a force of habit for the Poor-Man of Modernity. More importantly, the darkness is a source of extreme dependency for the Darwinian hunter-gatherers of modernity who, like the nocturnal bats, become so dependent on the long night for their feeding frenzy that far from applauding the endeavor to bring forth the new dawn, both predators and victims resist it! Rejoice in it.

To not resist the dawn of enlightenment, metanoia, transformation, is that Road Not Taken!

On this road not taken of seeking out the reality of what is, as it actually is, there is no applause, least of all from empire and its minions who come in all psychological flavors to cater to all forms of public opinion, engineering consent as well as dissent to control resistance. Indeed, when power and its instruments applaud, award prizes and titles in flattery, it is a sure indication that one is serving its broader interests in some way by way of serving one's own narrower
ones. This should be self-evident. But often isn't in the darkness of the night. It takes warm daylight to perceive this self-evident truth. And therein lies the heart of “banality of evil” from which all else follows.

The Companion Reader: **Hijacking The Holy Qur'an And Its Religion Islam – Muslims and Imperial Mobilization 2015**, 2nd Edition, is focussed on the religion of Islam and its convoluted intersection with political science for empire building. The Islam Reader 2015 analyzes: (1) how the religion of Islam is interpreted by self-interest; (2) how the religion of Islam is harnessed for “imperial mobilization” by empire; (3) how the religion of Islam itself contributes to this abuse by being open-ended, ambiguous, metaphorical or imprecise in certain key verses in the Holy Qur'an which open the door wide open for self-serving socialized interpretations, flourishing misunderstandings, endless sectarianism, and *incestuous self-reinforcement* generation after generation.

The companion Islam Reader 2015, is essential study material for Muslims as well as non-Muslims, and especially for those who have grown up in the West in the post 9/11 era of extreme *Islamophobia*. Worse than *Judeophobia* in yesteryear, it is not only demonizing Muslims and the religion of Islam, but is the principal doctrinal motivation for their ongoing destruction worldwide. The grotesque tendency among *house nigger* Muslims themselves to blindly accept the global narrative that the United States of America was attacked by “bad Muslims”, and that they themselves, as “good Muslims”, must distance themselves from this so called “militant Islam” with a new “moderate Islam”, is so universal that young minds in schools and colleges are being indoctrinated in that mythology just like the Jews still are in their own sacred narrative of the Holocaust™. It is to the point that OBL's mythical “box-cutter knives” invasion of the most armed to the teeth most advanced superpower on earth from the dusty caves of Afghanistan, has been made a sacred “fact” of presupposition, an axiom, for their entire ethos. The systematic deconstruction of this criminal absurdity is immediately taken up in Modernity's opening
chapters. It is continued in depth in the Islam Reader 2015, on how easy it has been to hijack the religion of Islam throughout the past fourteen and a half centuries for empire building.

Together these two unusual 2015 Readers by Project Humanbeingsfirst, trenchantly examine how one-world government empire of the oligarchy is being systematically fashioned from the full spectrum mind-fck of the public, using sophisticated methods of the Hegelian Dialectic, to get people worldwide to accept their own servitude in global police-states. These methods spare neither what's sacred to mankind and its many civilizations, nor the dignity, self-respect, and humanity of any people, all of whom are treated with equal contempt as sheep to be shepherded by wolves and parasites divined to rule mankind as the superman: “some are sheep while others are wolves, we are the wolves”!

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons,

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
California
September 11, 2015

Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2013/02/poor-mans-guide-to-modernity-preface.html
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Foreword

5th Edition of Modernity 2012

Remembering 9/11 in Pakistan on its eleventh anniversary

September 11, 2012
Via Email from Zahir Ebrahim, Islamabad, Pakistan

Dear friends and well-wishers.

As Salaam Alekum. Greetings from Pakistan. On this eleventh anniversary of 9/11, I find myself pensively brooding over my own journey in life since that dastardly day in infamy. With my children now grown up and pursuing their professional lives in the United States, I have moved to Pakistan to once again re-attempt to re-start my life in Islamabad after a hiatus of more than three decades. My adult life mostly being spent in the Boston area studying engineering, and in Silicon Valley, California, building or contributing to America's great military-industrial and academic complex as an engineer, tax-payer, and finally as parent.
From that lofty perch of “Mr. Clean hands”, I imagine it is easy to opine the following commentary as an expat. returning home to pitiful surroundings, and for which one has contributed nothing towards its amelioration. Permit me to give it a try.

Life here in Islamabad is very humorous, to say the least. For, a sense of humor is surely what it takes to survive its daily travails: the daily 6 to 8 hours of load-shedding of electricity during the hot summer which has only now abated somewhat; no water in the taps unless one is willing to pay 1500 rupees (about US $15) for a private water tanker service which appears to be financially benefitting the same governmental agency staff responsible for officially supplying tap water and for which they also bill you quarterly whether or not any water trickles out of the faucet (the scam in fact appears to me to be a thinly veiled way to privatize all water supply by way of extortion and other pretexts of inefficiency, while drinking water has already been effectively privatized, my monthly bill being an additional 2000 rupees on the average in the summer, paid to Nestle); frequent armed police check-points on city streets as if Pakistan has moved to Palestine; long lines of taxis and small cars in front of gas stations which form every Wednesday evening because CNG (Compressed Natural Gas which is now priced almost at parity with petrol) is not available Thursday through Saturday; continuous demoralizing news and commentary floods the 500 news channels which make the plebeian want to long for the Messiah and the Mehdi; just to mention a few items off the top of my head which must induce a great deal of humor in daily existence in order to bear it.

That humor naturally leads one to seeking refuge in religion, and consequently, what passes as spiritualism, meaning, a resignation to fate while waiting for Allah, is rapidly rising to its zenith here. The mosques are full, and there are several belonging to different sects, in almost every street. In my street alone, I have counted four, and another two or three in the next street over. I don't need an alarm clock here because I get five wake-up calls a day in quadraphonic surround
sound.

And Yet, there are also petrol-guzzling Mercedes and BMWs roaming the streets of Islamabad without a care; fancy car dealerships, shops, boutiques, and restaurants which would make the upscale hangout of Santana Row in San Jose California in need of a face-lift; and the elite are living as if they have a special tunnel that daily takes them straight from their posh homes in the outskirts into Tel Aviv's Rothschild Boulevard, by-passing all the misery behind the Iron Wall and totally oblivious of it.

Most of my long-time friends are drawing handsome compensation packages as vaunted academics, or corporate widgets and CEOs selling cell-phones to field niggers or providing software services to the white man, or as poster-child of various governmental bodies suitably anointed with lofty titles. Higher education being the sassiest gravy-train in the civilian sector as it evidently requires the least amount of talent and scruples to really make a killing under the strong leadership of Pakistan's Higher Education Commission. I had previously written about it in the scandalous Plagiarism Case* I had accidentally uncovered. It was evidently as dead on arrival at the supreme court of Pakistan** as it was among the distinguished academics of Pakistan. Diploma mills abound in this country as if trying to compete with America's two thousand colleges and universities in sheer numbers – numbers evidently being the hallmark of both learnedness as well as piety here.

Sheer numbers, whether it be the number of servants employed in a house (often exceeding the number of family occupants in the elite homes of Islamabad by a factor of two or three), or the number of papers published (often exceeding the highest acclaimed Nobel laureate's in the respective field by a factor of at least ten), or the numbers of hajj performed (don't even ask), or the number of gun-totting security guards manning the front gate – all count for status here. Unfortun-ately, I too have one thin scare-crow sitting at my gate – but one is not a number that matters in the race to nowhere here. Some with their
dual citizenship, and the white man's Passport of any color, and others eagerly trying to acquire theirs, a comfortable life-style is the carefree lot of a handful who seem to own most everything here. I am not even speaking of Military Inc., who evidently own most of the wealth and real-estate of Pakistan. I am only speaking of civvies I know of.

I seem to have sadly escaped all the lofty charactership which the Pakistani society evidently cultivates among the genius of our peoples, having contributed directly into the white man's military-industrial complex for the highest level of corruption which begets all others – intellectual corruption. Instead of working for the benefit of my own peoples in a labor of love as only a handful of my friends have endeavored, I have helped – like the millions of other Silicon Valley engineers – build up the white man's ability to destroy us. So I can hardly throw stones at others who are merely trying to do well for themselves – with the only means they know how.

The house niggers over here however, are a different species altogether. They are sadly funny in a way. They have taken over Pakistan across the full spectrum of social intercourse – from the military to the economy to the media to the elected to the bureaucrat to the mullah to the judge to the professor to the so called NGOs largely populated with ex-military and secular humanists with foreign degrees – in all their Hegelian Dialectic variations. I dare to think that the sex prostitutes working the streets and nearly ubiquitous, are probably the most honest and hardworking of all professionals here. I don't know any yet, but I suspect I may rather prefer their company.

The amount of bullshit which passes for great wisdom and profound knowledge over here is simply astounding. Everyone is a saint and a scholar. “Experts” abound. I am frequently informed how honest they each are, “not a haram morsel has been fed to my children” is the common refrain as the pious bow in prayer five times daily in their million dollar homes.

The trend of self-deception arguably tops the United States. The
bullshit there at least stands on the giant foundations of a super-power who needs a compliant public. Here we don't even need foundations to build tall totem poles to get a compliant public. Hey, we are ahead of the US in something!

I feel I am living at the unbirthday party table with the Mad Hatter and Alice. I am sure I'll also get used to it all, eventually, like everyone else here enjoying their chains of servitude with frequent nods to high-minded morality.

At least, Pakistan has not become Syria, and Islamabad, Damascus. Not just yet anyway. Although, it is hard to imagine that our tribal-belt folks being bombed daily in imaginatively labeled operations don't know what it is like to be in Syria these days.

In any case, the unraveling of this new villainous modernity is in my new book, The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity, now in its fifth edition. I hope you will be interested in downloading its PDF by clicking on the link below, and reading and sharing it with your family, friends, colleagues, students, congregation, and readership (if you have a web or newspaper presence). Rather than accept its premise and its analysis, critiquing it with your best effort to cogently refute its thesis might be more beneficial for you as the opening gambit. This book is perhaps the last of my efforts as a writer, as I transition into a new life in this nearly god forsaken country. I think perhaps as a school teacher. It is the right level of abstraction to begin addressing the problem-space that I have grappled with as a small-time activist ever since that wholly manufactured day in infamy whose eleventh anniversary is today, September 11, 2001.

Thank you for your time. While I am not a very religious person, this place makes me want to remember God frequently. No wonder the entire country is waiting for Allah. So, God Bless,

Zahir Ebrahim
5th Edition,
September 11, 2012

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
Islamabad, Pakistan

Footnotes

* http://zahirebrahim.wordpress.com/masterpiece-of-plagiarism-in-pakistan/
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Chapter 1

Introduction

Modernity Simplified

What is all this verbiage by Project Humanbeingsfirst™ really all about? Is there a reductionist and simplified explanation at the level of a 'poor-man'?

Yes. In the simplest terms: 'Might defines right'.

This leads to “Hegemony is as old as mankind”.

That in turn leads to the following predatory psychology for Machiavellianly exercising primacy – some call it empire:

- If we don't have real enemies then we have to create or imagine some, and yell 'we are being attacked' in order to, principally:
  - a) justify one's hegemonic barbarianism upon others; and
  - b) motivate an unwilling plebeian peoples into sacrificing for the conquests of the oligarchic elite.

Euphemistically, today as the winning empire, this is called “imperial mobilization”.
Grotesquely, for previously defeated empires, it is called “quest for Lebensraum”.

That's all there is to it.

All which follows is only in further examination of how that is accomplished under the veneer of “democracy”, because, in the absence of such deception, “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization”. That quoted statement was made by Zbigniew Brzezinski in his 1997 book: The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostategic Imperatives.

This revived “quest for Lebensraum” (German word for seeking more living space as an imperative), ahem, “imperial mobilization” of the ruling oligarchy, the Übermensch (German word for superior, above all others, superman), just happens to be the age-old quest for one-world government in disguise.

It is to be achieved piece-meal, incrementally, one fait accompli at a time, one fabricated crisis at a time, one manufactured war at a time, one real or imagined pestilence at a time, one catastrophe at a time.

The pathocracy of modern Machiavellian statecraft – commandeered entirely by sociopaths who seem to uncannily just rise above the rest of the populations to always gather at the helm of power from generation to generation in the same tribes and families – requires continuous threats, crises, and uncertainty, in order to corral the far more peaceable instincts of the modern public into accepting the primacy agenda of the ruling oligarchy. During these times of crises, chaos, and terror, any kind of abhorrence can be accomplished: “What is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times.”

In this Machiavellian construct based on “revolutionary times”, the solution presented for addressing each uncertainty is the next baby-step towards centralized global control of all humanity in a global empire of the financial oligarchy.
The modus operandi is to deliberately create problems and offer only those solutions which result in bigger government, incrementally leading to one world government. The documentary film maker G. Edward Griffin in his 1970 classic The Capitalist Conspiracy, captured this modus operandi with succinct eloquence:

“Create conditions so frightful at home and abroad that the abandonment of personal liberties and national sovereignty will appear as a reasonable price for a return to domestic tranquility and world peace.”

That world order, the new world order, as we can already perceive even in its initial stages, is governed with moral relativism wherein legal opinions as proclaimed by the United States Supreme Court justice, guide law and law-givers rather than the platitudinous Biblical morality which is left for the public mind to swallow while the state mind, like its military, only follows whatever is expedient or helps achieve its objectives:

“Nothing is more certain in modern society than the principle that there are no absolutes, that a name, a phrases, a standard has meaning only when associated with the considerations which give birth to nomenclature. To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative.”

That verdict from the legal mind of the highest court of the sole superpower is based on the reality of law quoted in The Protocols:

“In the beginnings of the structure of society, they [the beasts of prey who are called men] were subjected to brutal and blind force; after words – to Law, which is the same force, only disguised. I draw the conclusion that by the law of nature right lies in force;”
The term 'oligarchic elite' refers to people who are several notches above – and more hidden from public view in their enormous wealth and their secretive exercise of 'social engineering' – than what is typically understood by the term 'ruling elite', or simply the 'elite'. The latter often refers to the generally affluent 1% of any society, the super-rich, more interested in being rich and running corporations than in 'social engineering' agendas, and many among them can be found in the Forbes' richest people listing. These super-rich, and how they hide their massive wealth, pay little or no income and inheritance tax, while still accumulating and controlling their purses across generations, can be read about in Ferdinand Lundberg's 1968 classic *The Rich and the Super-Rich.*

But one will never see a Rothschild name, or David Rockefeller listed in Forbes annual billionaires list. That's perhaps because they are trillionaires, with all their massive wealth legally hidden behind private tax-exempt foundations, trusts, and in their opaque ownership of private and family-owned banks, which in turn own many a private central bank which issue the currency of developed nations as national debt secured by public taxation! Once they control the money supply of the nation, they effectively control the nation. This ultra-rich oligarchy of the West musters vast sums of private and public monies for social engineering – the study and control of public behavior. They administer untold funds, influence, and policy prescriptions through their tax-exempt foundations, think-tanks, and through their control of supra national organizations, lending agencies, governing bodies, and through the never-closing revolving door between government (regulatory bodies) and military-industrial complex. They make the laws to suit their enterprise, be it for profit, or for primacy. That is not call piracy; it is called empire. This grotesque reality of law and legality based on the fiat of power was ably captured by St. Augustine of Hippo in 4th century AD:

“When the King asked him what he meant by infesting the sea, the pirate defiantly replied: 'the same as
you do when you infest the whole world; but because I do it with a little ship I am called a robber, and because you do it with a great fleet, you are an emperor.”

The financial oligarchy in modernity are the 'king makers' who craft their 'errand boys' to do their private policy bidding behind the facade of elected representatives of the people. The executive, legislative, judiciary, press, and foreign office all implement their base policies in an incestuously self-reinforcing group-think whose championing is rewarded with opportunities to advance, and which never changes despite all the policy squabbles between the Republicans and the Democrats, or the Socialists and the Capitalists, or the Left and the Right, etc. The insignificant differences in the overarching scheme of things are greatly amplified to portray “change” by the corporate newsmedia which also marches to the tunes played by the Mighty Wurlitzer in the same incestuously self-reinforcing group-think. Which is why the core policies and the core narratives in fact never change with the change in governments of any Western nation, but especially the United States of America. The most pertinent example of this is the Global War on Terror, the World War IV that is rapidly changing the face of the entire planet towards global governance. From George Bush the Republican to Barack Obama the Democrat, the election platform sold to the public was indeed of “change”. That base deception upon which a lifetime of warfare has been based, is aided and abetted by other controlled-chaos situations and their supporting narratives, such as global warming blamed on human production, global financial collapse blamed on shortsightedness, global pandemics blamed on nature, and perhaps even global alien invasion in the near future to be blamed on extraterrestrials. All enabling the piece-meal transformation of the planet towards a one-world government. This is empirical reality which is visible to anyone. But few intellectuals in the world wish to see it, speak of it, or like to hear a spade being called a spade:
To unmask them all before fait accompli is Project Humanbeingsfirst's categorical imperative – i.e., before it's all a done deal.

Ex post facto, narrators and historians can rehearse the deceptions and its scholarly disentanglement in the comforts of one-world government. And of course laugh their way to fame and fortune just as today's narrators call it erudite scholarship to openly rehearse the settlement of the Americas and the genocide of millions of its indigenous peoples.

Even the sixth graders in elementary schools today throughout the North American continent learn of the choice between the gat-tling-gun (force) and the small-pox laden bacteriological warfare (treachery) magnanimously offered to the indigenous natives, without batting their own eye-lids or offering a few tears in compensation.

Detachment from history and from previous generations who inflict crimes upon the 'lesser humanity', the untermensch (German word for 'lesser peoples', the unworthy, the disposable, the sub-human), evidently washes away both the evidence and the guilt. But not the bold, sometimes truthful, and often sanitized narratives which become openly public, and their narrators, lauded scholars and touted academ-
ics.

In the transition to the modernity of today, the euphemisms have become considerably refined with the march of Western civilization. It is now the more egalitarian choice between “democracy” (euphemism for force) and “revolution” (euphemism for treachery) under the dialectical “either you are with us, or you are with the terrorists”, that is being magnanimously offered to the indigenous natives along the “arc of crisis” in the “Global Zone of Percolating Violence”. The objectives unfortunately remain the same as in antiquity – by hook or by crook usurping what does not belong to one. The two quoted descriptive phrases are once again Zbigniew Brzezinski's, respectively from a January 15, 1979 Time magazine article titled 'IRAN: The Crescent of Crisis', and from Brzezinski's already mentioned 1997 book.

Thusly, in the case of our own modernity as well, perhaps only our progeny might also bear truthful witness to the crimes and sham of intellectualism of their hypocritical ancestors – scholars, leaders, military-men, holy-men, politicians, teachers, news-bearers, both in the West and the East, on the left and the right – who hath proclaimed to stand for truth, but who only aided and abetted vile hegemonic power with their own convolutions and confabulations. Modernity du jour is entirely unwilling to unravel their confusing and deceptive narratives.

We must wait a 100 years, or perhaps only 20, before anyone who is a somebody will again truthfully proclaim how new 'smallpox laden blankets' were used to fashion one-world government. Before then, it is all to be dismissed as 'conspiracy theory'.

This is why, as had been self-servingly predicted by the Council on Foreign Relations in 1974:

“... it will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.”
The myriad manufactured crises which afflict humanity today – from perpetual 'War on Terror' to perpetual Counter Insurgency to sudden Revolutions to Global Financial Crisis to Global Pandemics to Global Warming, perhaps even Global Alien-UFO Landings/Sightings and/or other Intergalactic Catastrophes – are the successive Hegelian mind-fucks, ahem, the “acts” and “deeds” of making current affairs “look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality.”.

Each new ‘booming, buzzing confusion’, designed to wear-out the sanity of mankind by plunging them repeatedly into a state of panic, war weariness, and disillusionment, generates the new enabling pre-text for creating bigger government with more global laws, thereby inching the world one baby step closer each time towards the Global Governance of the entire planet.

That, all that, in the simplest of terms, is Modernity Simplified to the level of a 'poor-man' (i.e., one with limited ability, or time, or even inclination, to carefully read, reflect, and reason about the period one lives in).

That most straightforward and succinct introduction to reality took less then 2000 words. You certainly won’t get that reading a hundred books on current affairs found prominently displayed in Barnes and Noble (the last remaining brick-and-mortar bookstore chain in the United States). Nor in expensive graduate degree programs in International Relations, which are basically designed to fashion a dedicated cadre of useful idiots in the service of empire.

For perceptive readers who already comprehend the natural instinct for primacy among the predator species, and can easily extend its lessons to the greatest of all predators, man, espousing nihilistic will to power rather than any moral sense, the preceding explanation of behind the scenes oligarchic forces orchestrating current affairs for world primacy while maintaining the illusion of “happenstance” in
making “contemporary history”, is sufficient to turn on their internal light bulbs with which they may easily navigate modernity on their own.

Others, especially those who feel that something does not quite add up in all the absurdities they are being subjected to in the press, in school, in their profession, in the society, in their daily lives, such as, for instance:

(1) being forced to pass through x-ray body scanners at airports for protection from phantasmal terrorists who can successfully hijack four airliners simultaneously in the sky with merely box-cutter knives, or subject themselves to humiliating body-searches by gloved hands if they “opt-out” from accumulating this deadly doze of radiation they are told is necessary for their own protection;

(2) being forced to open up their private lives to government eavesdropping for further protection from these ubiquitous terror plotters who are so powerful that they can strike anywhere at anytime in the most armed to the teeth superpower state which has ever existed in the history of mankind, necessitating Big Brother to watch over the public for public safety;

(3) being forced to pay for the financial collapse by bailing out too-big-to-fail banks with enormous public debt making these institutions and their executives even richer in the national adversity in which everyone else is made to feel the pain;

(4) or wonder what's wrong with the commonsense of the rulers that they are forcing a global police-state upon the public almost identically with the methods depicted in the Orwellian fable 1984;

etceteras, but they belong to the category of The Poor-Man and just
cannot put the complex jigsaw puzzle of modernity together, well, now they know it too. It is not so complex. It just takes a skeptical mind developing keener insights into the modus operandi of exercising primacy with techniques far more sophisticated than used by autocratic kings and absolute rulers of antiquity. Unfortunately, the public mind is often like the child's, unable to fathom the *uber* tom cat's natural instinct for primacy. This is why Machiavellianness, Plausible Deniability, Big Lie, always succeed.

Caption A gestalt shift in perspective is required to understand primacy (Image courtesy of Desiree L. Rover, Aug 2009)

**Swallowing The “Red Pill”**

Since you have read this far, you have evidently decided to take the “Red Pill”. So let's swallow it to dive a bit deeper into the rabbit hole and see how primacy engages with political philosophy without a crisp understanding of which, you can never fully comprehend its apparent madness. It is anything but mad --- unless primacy itself is considered mad. It should not be. It is an instinct for unbridled dominance in the higher order primates and arguably underwrites some evolution
of the same species on the natural time scale termed “survival of the fittest” through “natural selection” – the nineteenth century *cause célèbre* of Darwinianists. But social Darwinianists, the neo Darwinian predators who apply “natural selection” to themselves, the Überr*mensch* exercising their “will to power”, wish to accelerate that natural process unnaturally through their quest for *full spectrum dominance* over all things, all life, all thoughts, and all systems. And that quest for primacy and social engineering poses a real danger to normal peoples and to their civilizations.

That predatory instinct should be treated as *the most* formidable enemy of mankind and its expression a ruthless virus. Unfortunately, the instinct for primacy has instead been made noble, its expression labeled “foreign policy”, its victims “useless eaters”, its pursuit “sagaciousness”, its scholars “intellectuals”, its strategists “think-tanks”, its authors “national security advisors”, its stooges “terrorists”, its justification just one short sentence: “Hegemony is as old as mankind.”, and its ultimate prize: one-world government. Who dare standup to all that “nobility”?

A majority of rational people among the public who are smart enough to recognize this “nobility”, just slink away from confrontation thinking to themselves that that's how all empires work. All empires throughout history have been driven by their so called “divine destiny”; have harbored no concept of morality except for controlling its public; and pursued their own best imperial interests which have only been checked by other empires doing the same. And they have all disappeared on the sands of time. This present empire is going to be no different, even if it flies the indomitable *Stars and Stripes* of *Pax Americana* today. How long will it last? So why bother with who's behind it? Instead, let's just go back to basics of what it means to be human and the purpose of life: to seek the promised Heaven beyond (if religious) and self-actualization (if secular). Either way it is far more productive than standing up to the predators of earth who have always existed, and always shall exist, and also far more rewarding if you go
along with their agenda or don't oppose them. All you have to do is to make sure you aren't among the “useless eater” category and you are all set. Only fools with nothing to lose wage revolutions. And where has that got us? We are caught up in even more global tyranny today. So they reason, rather effectively too.

This is the pragmatic crowd of sophisticated survivors who well-un-derstand primacy of the uber privileged class and wish to live for their own narrower self-interests without too much selling of their soul. They easily rationalize away their hearing no evil, speaking no evil, and seeing no evil. These are not ignorant or lazy peoples, but are just too poor in time and inclination to dig any deeper than just that general homey understanding. More often, the few pragmatists who understand the system are themselves so dependent on it feeding them that they have no choice but to be a part of it and to defend its very existence. So thanks for choosing the “red pill” --- if you don't know what that is, see the Hollywood fable “Matrix” where the character Morpheus offers to take the character Neo down the rabbit hole of reality if he took the “Red Pill”, and to let him stay in his dream world believing whatever he wanted if he took the “Blue Pill”.

As the effect of the “Red Pill” kicks in, which it evidently is since you are still reading this, let's dive straight into the rabbit hole to see how deep it really goes and why escaping from it has become so difficult. However, as the Oracle reminds the character Neo in the aforementioned fable: “you have to make up your own damn mind!”

When the absolute rule of gods on earth was challenged by plebeian norms, whereby individual rights and personal freedoms were equated by the Renaissance philosophers with inalienable rights; whereby the West, only just emerging from its Dark Ages, started to harken back to the democratic ideals developed by the Greeks at the zenith of the Hellenic Civilization of empowering the “demos”; and even young thinkers in the Middle Ages boldly started proposing end to tyranny of the gods on earth (for example, Etienne de La Boétie, in his 1523 The Politics of Obedience: The Discourse of Voluntary Servitude); Ma-
chiavelli was introduced to the Prince to enable exercising the same prerogatives as absolute kings but under public illusions of “freedom”.

Political theories from Plato to Hegel illustrate how the state can easily take over the public mind to govern it with an iron fist with even a measure of their own consent, if the reins of suzerainty are held in the hands of Übermensch. As Goethe, the German philosopher, had trenchantly observed: “none are more helplessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free”. Which is why Plato advocated the “philosopher-king” for governing a republic in the best public interest, with the highest moral standards of truth, reaching closest to divine truth, rather than in narrow self-interest. Nietzsche trumped Plato by killing God and advocating man become his own god with his will to power. Nietzsche's one tiny change to Plato's “philosopher-king” has made all the difference to political theories of primacy. It has lent primacy respectability!

Arguably, Nietzsche is effectively Plato except for that one tiny change to “philosopher-king” rule being closest to divine rule. The superman replacing God now defines “truth” itself, and thus its rule is itself “divine”! Reading Plato with that mental substitution of “philosopher-king” being the superman leads to the empiricism of today. Plato had warned of it in his Simile of the Cave where the controllers outside the cave subjecting the cave dwellers to total perception management are indeed Nietzschean superman. Reading Nietzsche with Plato in the backdrop explains a great deal of modernity. It would not be inaccurate to aver that our dystopic modernity is underwritten by the philosophical product of Plato and Nietzsche merged together. The role of state in The Republic was picked up by Hegel with the tiny modification that the state is not defined to serve the people in their own best interest (the platitudinous by the people, for the people, of the people, sold to gullible public), but the people are obligated to serve the state in its best interest. The state is supreme, over the rights of man, and run by superman. This is termed statism. Its continuous
growth and expansion with the *superman* in the driving seat is only natural, and its culmination is automatically world superstate. But at times: (1) illusions of “demos” self-empowerment have to be maintained (“democracy” is usually a good bet); and (2) conflicts and revolutionary times fashioned and manufactured to destroy existing world order in order to raise a new world order from the ashes left behind in the age-old spirit of raising the Phoenix from its ashes (“Hegelian Dialectic”).

The *superman* often says with his lips exactly opposite to what he does with his hands without any moral compunction. I did not make that up. Here is Arnold J. Toynbee, Director, Royal Institute of International Affairs, (Chatham House) London, in 1931:

> “We are at present working discreetly with all our might to wrest this mysterious force called sovereignty out of the clutches of the local nation states of the world. **All the time we are denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands,** because to impugn the sovereignty of the local nation states of the world is still a heresy for which a statesman or publicist can perhaps not quite be burned at the stake but certainly be ostracized or discredited.” -- Arnold J. Toynbee, The Trend of International Affairs Since the War, International Affairs, Nov. 1931, pg. 809

The alert of mind would immediately ask: (1) what is the Royal Institute of International Affairs, London? And (2) why are they speaking of wrestling away sovereignty in 1931 just as they have dismembered the Ottoman empire into small nation-states after the first World War, and are about to dismember the Indian sub-continent and Palestine in the same way after the next World War?

Well, the RIIA in London is the twin sister of the Council on Foreign Relations in New York, both offspring of the defunct Round Table which played a crucial role in international geopolitics.
ing war and peace, in the early part of the twentieth century as the privately funded oligarchic arm of Britannia, just like the East India Company was before it. The Round Table was replaced by its cross-Atlantic twins after World War I to better coordinate the oligarchy's manipulation of world affairs. And do you know what the Round Table was, if you have even heard of it?

Founded with Cecil Rhodes immense largesse to bring the wayward child across the Atlantic that had broken away so impetuously, the United States of America, back into the fold of the British empire; and to orchestrate world affairs for perpetual rule by the white Anglo-Saxon race with the invisible oligarchy at the top of the rule chain. Once again, the financial oligarchy behind the scenes, the unaccountable _superman_, managing world affairs from behind the shadows of their political front-men who are groomed into positions of legislative power to do their private bidding by enacting public legalisms in their favor. That's what the Rhodes scholarship is all about for instance, to select and groom the worthy craftsmen of empire.

As for why speak of extracting sovereignty from nation-states on the one hand while these are being carved into existence from defeated empires and former slave-colonies of the British empire, one has to get deeply into the philosophy of conflict as a means of transformation, and the break-before-remake cycles to incrementally create the ultimate world order in which all nations have lost their sovereignty! Yes, one-world government, and that statement, as a reminder, is circa 1931, well before World War II, the Cold War labeled World War III, and this lifetime of Global War on Terror today which is labeled World War IV.

That should also answer the next question to pop into the alert mind: who is it that the famous British historian Arnold J. Toynbee is referring to as the director of RIIA? Who do they represent who “are denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands”? The oligarchy that finances the organization through its tax-exempt foundations and private trusts. An alert mind may also wonder how they can lie like
that and openly admit to it so unabashedly in specialized publications like International Affairs (and Foreign Affairs, its New York twin)? Because, these are typically only read by the elites involved in the game of international primacy who are more used to the higher order thinking of the higher order primates than the ordinary common man suffering his morals. But the agenda is not a closely held secret, it's all in the open. Yet the public mind is fed on the fodder of nationalism and patriotism in battle fields across the world while global governance is orchestrated behind the scenes by the oligarchic instruments quite openly.

The rich bibliography on this subject goes back to several hundred years, to the natural philosophers, but I am only aware of the actual evidence of conspiracy being unearthed going back to Adam Weishaupt of Bavaria in 1775, at the very founding epoch of the United States of America, and it shows a remarkable continuity of agenda, motivation, and secret cabals across generations and continents, all sharing in one common goal: global primacy of the **superman**.

The empiricism du jour of the unrelenting drive towards global governance under the pretexts of managing crises and conflicts, speaks factually to that long running sport of the gods:

> “We are living through exceptionally difficult times. Financial crisis and its dramatic impact on employment and budgets, the climate crisis which threatens our very survival --- a period of anxiety, uncertainty, and lack of confidence. Yet these problems can be overcome, by a joint effort, in and between our countries. **2009 is also the first year of Global Governance with the establishment of the G-20 in the middle of financial crisis. The climate conference in Copenhagen is another step towards the Global Management of our Planet.** Our mission, our presidency is one of hope, supported by acts, and by deeds.”
> -- Herman Van Rompuy, EU Council President, press
And why not, as the *superman* argues? As god, the *superman* is at liberty to define the social values, laws, rules, morality, news for others, but not be bound by these himself — for he is no longer beholden to, or bound by, the ordinary moral standards of good and evil. He is beyond all that humdrum normalcy introduced by religions which interferes with evolution to create a higher order being and higher order society based on man's reason. He is above all others who subscribe to any divine prescription since he knows that God is dead. He, as god himself, can define morality for others, termed *Secular Humanism*, but not be bound by it himself as the age-old privilege of gods and supergods. We see that moral relativism in the statement of the United States Supreme Court justice quoted earlier. This is poignantly caricatured in the Greek myths of the pantheon of gods who treated man as sport, to be played with, often for their own rivalries. Doesn't that have an uncanny resemblance to the gods of modernity, secular and religious, on the throne and the pulpit, elected and inherited, who demand obedience from man, create wars, pestilence, pandemics, financial boom and bust cycles, predictable financial collapses, as sport at the expense of the bewildered public who easily comply with their life and labor under illusions which have been carefully fed to them? Instead of rivalry among themselves, the gods today appear to be rather cooperative among each other in playing their game of primacy for the whole earth as the prize.

An episode of this sport of gods was even witnessed on live television in the Untied States in 2008, when the instruments of the oligarchy compelled the superpower Congress to bailout the financial institutions with trillions of dollars in public debt despite wide spread public resistance to giving such subsidy to the financial oligarchy at the public's expense. Few comprehended the game at that time for none of the financial experts and most read financial rags analyzed the real diabolical purpose for which the bailout was given legally by the United States legislature — to create such unpayable national debt, se-
cured of course with public taxation, that the superpower and its public would forever remain in the clutches of oligarchic control, to be played at will. There is a diabolical Talmudic theory of interest on unpayable debt, forbidden in all religions except in predatory theology, that underlies the empirical control over state and political succession seen time and again throughout history until today:

“Give me control of a nation's money supply and I care not who makes it laws.”

The United States Congress and President participated in that sporting subversion of their own nation contrary to public interest – and it would not have mattered who were occupying those positions. Every set has, since the founding of the Federal Reserve System in 1913, and will in the foreseeable future, comply with the will of the oligarchy. That oligarchy today proudly extols the virtues of national debt on the US Treasury website as the price of liberty:

“The United States debt, foreign and domestic, was the price of liberty.”

What can the hens do when all positions to guard the hen house are always held by foxes who legislate for the superman? No one can rise to those positions of political power except wolves and foxes beholden to the superman.

The financial bailout by the venerable American Congress is veritable proof of that empirical statement. Even the blind academic experts should be able to see it. But evidently don't. And for good reason. Here is W. Cleon Skousen, a former FBI agent, commenting on Carroll Quigley's revelations in Tragedy and Hope of the financial oligarchy orchestrating world government, and explaining how so few can so easily purchase the silence and cooperation of so many:

“The real value of Tragedy and Hope … [is the] bold and boastful admission by Dr. Quigley that there actually exists a relatively small but powerful group which has succeeded in acquiring a chokehold on the
affairs of practically the entire human race. **Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantic power unless millions of people in all walks of life were “in on the take” and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes.** As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and women and their institutions on a world-wide basis.” — W. Cleon Skousen, The Naked Capitalist, 1970, pg. 6

Like Plato had argued for his “philosopher-king” being the natural shepherd of the public 2500 years ago because of his virtue of being closer to truth, Nietzsche too argued in the 19th century that this modern *superman* knows best due to his higher intelligence and reliance on reason rather than superstition; except that the *superman* knows best in his own self-interest rather than necessarily public interest now that there is no God and no absolute code of moral conduct. And that is just natural selection at work. The *superman* is more intelligent, more self-empowering, more adept, than ordinary man. Therefore, he is naturally privileged to become the shepherd. Or, as some argue, the wolf, in sheep clothing. It is admitted openly by the wolves themselves: “*some are sheep while others are wolves, we are the wolves*”.

Here is one of the wolves at work constructing our “contemporary history” before our very eyes by putting all the preceding political theory of primacy to good use and expecting only rejoicing by future generations for what is ultimately to be raised from the ashes of “**total war**” – and hopefully you now understand what it is that the wolf claims “**our children will sing great songs about us years from now**”:

“No stages. This is total war. We are fighting a variety of enemies. There are lots of them out there. All
this talk about first we are going to do Afghanistan, then we will do Iraq… this is entirely the wrong way to go about it. If we just let our vision of the world go forth, and we embrace it entirely and we don’t try to piece together clever diplomacy, but just wage a total war… our children will sing great songs about us years from now.” -- Michael Ledeen, speaking at the AEI (American Enterprise Institute), 10/29/2001, via historycommons.org

For the superman, ends justify the means. The calculus of primacy permits no moral considerations to interfere, which are left mainly as a lip-service for those too squeamish or feeble-minded to accept higher order thinking of achieving objectives in the military-style. The ends are therefore beyond the calculus of morality, beyond good and evil, and determined solely by will to power. Therefore, any means can be adopted to reach those objectives – because, by definition, the ends are now “noble” since these are defined as such by the new god, the Übermensch, using his superior intelligence and reason. Lies, deception, deceit, in that path is merely “noble lies”. Any mayhem is “noble mayhem”. The invasion of Iraq was based on such “noble lies”, for instance, and even admitted and dismissed by empire as merely an “oops – intelligence failure”! All of 9/11 narrative and concomitant acts of barbarism by empire is based solely on this “noble” ideology of the superman. It affords those flushed with the hubris of unassailable power the license for primacy as “legitimate” social Darwinianism. As they say, only the king can wear the crown, legally. And the king made that law himself.

Ask yourself: does a shepherd ever worry about slaughtering sheep if he has to supply mutton to his customers or for his own feast? The sheep is just a herd, a resource to be managed, bred, controlled, and harvested. And, for that matter, as the aristocratic British philosopher of the oligarchy, Bertrand Russell stylishly observed of the public mind that is reduced to serfdom: it is as likely to revolt against its
chains as the sheep revolt against the habit of mutton eating!

Indeed, virtually all of modernity is run by supermen who have killed off God and rule for their own primacy objectives that are now global, by employing diabolical recipes laid out by political philosophers dating as far back as Plato, to Machiavelli, Nietzsche, Hegel, Leo Strauss et. al. These techniques span the full gamut of creating opportunities and situations in the form of crises, catastrophes, war; all harbingers of controlled chaos also called “revolutionary times”. Only during these revolutionary times what is inconceivable in normal times is made realizable. The control of the public mind is key to the successful harvesting of these opportunities for major social transformation. If the superman fails to capitalize on these rare moments, a whole world is lost. I did not just make that up. Here is David Ben-Gurion:

“What is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times; and if at this time the opportunity is missed and what is possible at such great hours is not carried out – a whole world is lost.”

There is more empirical reality captured in that short description of political theory of modern primacy than in the venerable platitudes of the Holy Bible and the Holy Quran combined --- that's tabulating the belief system of close to three quarters of the earth's population. For it explains virtually all of modernity which no Heavenly Book can. The divine theological prescriptions of virtue of every religion which seemingly occupy so much of man's time to escape from reality, do not claim to be political treatise on techniques of primacy. But rather, as for instance, the Holy Qur'an claims itself to be moral guidance for the virtuous, the Bible is claimed to be moral guidance for sinners, etc. You cannot really comprehend how the mind of modern infamy works by studying virtue. Those seeking to understand the twisted times they live in by studying holy books and in holy sermons, which evidently are many if full occupancy of mosques, churches and temples of every sort throughout the world in this resurgence of spirituality in the age of nihilism is any indication of how people are us-
ing their free time, may be better off studying political philosophers instead. Beginning with Plato's *Simile of the Cave* in *The Republic*, one would immediately realize that religion in the hand of *superman* is just another tool of primacy. Mosque occupancy since 9/11 for instance has increased many fold --- and what do they rehearse there? The 'good Muslim' vs. 'bad Muslim', 'moderate Islam' vs. 'militant Islam' Hegelian Dialectic (!) without a clue as to how that controlled narrative being broadcast from the pulpit is in fact the imperial narrative manipulating their mind. And consequently, controlling their behavior in getting the Muslim public alongside the world public “United We Stand” with empire's barbarianism.

Obedience is the operative watchword in whatever “ism”, statism, barbarianism, patriotism, nationalism, religionism, secularism, globalism, communism, socialism, and yes, also capitalism which is dominated by global MNC sharks today as the corporate army of Western power bloc much like the East India Company was an instrument of power for Britannia for over 200 years. Some argue that MNCs are indeed the new rulers of the world but they misperceive. The MNCs are only the supra-national instruments alongside the UN, the World Bank, the IMF, the WHO, by which the oligarchy rules not just our national but also our daily lives.

Insights as you have hopefully gained in this short space already, you cannot, do not, and never can, get in any normal academic setting, or from the news, or from the intellectuals of empire unless you are being groomed for the role of primacy, for all live off the largesse of empire manufacturing both consent and dissent to control the public mind. Normal people don't read any of that stuff, let alone understand it, but the *superman* does! Which is why it is hard for the public mind to fathom the mind of *superman*, or comprehend its tortuous scripts of mass behavior control.

A straightforward and rather objective litmus test of the real existence of this ubiquitous control system is readily available to anyone. After all, empiricism is an easily verifiable adjudicator of truth or falsity of
any falsifiable proposition. Try pursuing a free inquiry into the Holocaust™ in any academic, professional, or arts and letters setting in any nation in the West. I believe in Europe and Canada you are still put in jail as of 2014 if you reach an intellectual conclusion other than the one legally sanctioned. In the United States you at least cannot find professional employment afterward if you can even survive the ordeal at the hands of the ADL. All the vaunted freedoms of the West which permit burning the Holy Qur’an, making fun of the Prophets of Islam, including Jesus, suddenly stop at the doorsteps of the Holocaust™ gas chambers. The same sacred cow sanctification process is being applied to 9/11. Apart from what the public is made to believe through ubiquitous narrative control, what they so easily subject themselves to at American airports is open for all to see. The added force of the President of the United States, Barack Obama, issuing a stern warning to skeptics hasn't quite helped that great intellectual and personal freedoms of the West being shoved down every nation's throat:

“I am aware that there is still some who would question, or even justify the offense of 911. But let us be clear. Al Qaeeda killed nearly 3000 people on that day. The victims were innocent men, women, and children from America and many other nations who had done nothing to harm anybody. And yet Al Qaeeda chose to ruthlessly murder these people, claimed credit for the attack, and even now states their determination to kill on a massive scale. They have affiliates in many countries, to try to expand their reach. These are not opinions to be debated. These are facts to be dealt with.” -- President Obama, Cairo Egypt, June 4th 2009

Few comprehend that diabolically scripted play of obedience training for complete conformance to authority in the new world order. In fact, virtually all choose to just accept it as the new fact of life without a second thought --- as expected, that from Act I, if you can get the pub-
lic mind to accept absurdities, you can get it to accept any atrocity, including its own servitude, and those born afterward will know nothing better. It is already well understood by social engineers that none will even have the inclination to put it all together after it has been in play a few years as it would have become force of habit, sort of like Pavlovian training. Taking shoes off at long security check-posts automatically, without being asked, is evidence of the success of this instance of training. So is the number of protests launched with the TSA by the traveling public. The last time I checked the statistics reported on TSA website, which was in 2010 or 2011 I believe, shockingly less than 0.5 percent of the millions of people going through US airport body scanners or enduring the physically intrusive pat-downs and body searches, had filed a complaint.

The easy acceptance of that vile absurdity is an undeniable fact of engineered obedience training, like all the rest of social engineering the world has witnessed since 9/11. And it all began by simply accepting the official narrative of 9/11 of threat from “militant Islam” spun ubiquitously by the Mighty Wurlitzer and its assets. Just like the Holocaust before it, this too has quickly become a presuppositional axiom behind every public thought as well as public policy, both domestic and international, in virtually every nation on earth --- even including Iran and Russia which judiciously refrain from calling the Big Lie for what it is in all their opposition to the hegemony of the United States. I have never understood this --- if they were real antagonists of the superpower, this Big Lie is the singular Achilles’ heel of all liars for any nation to call a spade a spade and initiate its effective take-down.

Its absence worldwide only indicates that all international enmities themselves are fabricated, controlled, synthetic, having freedoms only in saber-rattling and orchestrated warfare following the convoluted political theories of crisis creation which is pivotal in social engineering for seeding transformation. The manufactured crisis is real or remains mythological is immaterial as both require that the public mind
believe it to be real and posing an imminent danger to its well-being. Its success relies on two plus two making five to the public mind. And all efforts are made in that direction. Therefore, two plus two making four is suppressed, just as we see is transpiring in the ubiquitous narrative control which is now global, across civilization and national boundaries. It is the one thing which unites earth minds today: the threat of 'al-Qaeda', once stateless, now rising in the form of 'IS'. Thus creating more opportunities for “total war” for Oceania.

As George Orwell argued through the pen of Winston Smith in his famous fable *Nineteen eighty-four*, conveying through the mind of the beleaguered protagonist an important and timeless axiom:

“Freedom is the freedom to say that two plus two make four. If that is granted, all else follows.”

The essays in this book are but a sensible glimpse into that twisted modernity based on commonsensical observations and assiduous study of current affairs as an unwilling participant being forced to live these times day by day, forced to believe two plus two make five, forced to accept absurdities for social acceptance, rather than as a historian who may perhaps discover more accurate facts and linkages in the future with the advantage of time, ex post facto, after the fact, but who can never comprehend the atmosphere and the life pressures which only participants feel and live.

Thus, it is, in some sense, a forensic compilation of “contemporary history” now in the making; probably a non sequitur for seasoned historians for whom contemporary is not history and history is not contemporary. As Carroll Quigley observed in his Preface to *Tragedy and Hope*, a revealing book from which I quote at length in some of my essays:

“sensible historians usually refrain from writing accounts of very recent events because they realize that the source material for such events, especially the indispensable official documents, are not available and
that, even with the documentation which is available, it is very difficult for anyone to obtain the necessary perspective on events of one's own mature life.”

**Seeing past the hands that record the deeds of “history's actors”**

Unfortunately, the official history inherited by posterity is almost always written by scribes of empire, and for cases of conflict and war, by the victors and their *Mighty Wurlitzer*. This constitutes the principal source material for subsequent generations of historians, academics, intellectuals, and also propagandists, to opportunistically play their own interpretations in self-interest and self-promotion, as well as for the control of the public mind in the guise of scholarship, religionism, nationalism, patriotism, psy.ops, and anything else that makes for an appropriate “doctrinal motivation” for each penmanship. This official narrative of history, while enjoying the advantage of time and official documents, has already sanitized away much of the grotesque reality, the often hidden forces and covert motivations driving events over time and space but cleverly masking the linkages among them from public view, leaving its official narrators to win lofty accolades as their scholarly contribution to human knowledge of what went on in the past. Even if the records were accurate, or a Sherlock Holmes pieced the puzzle together, absolutely nothing can be done to rectify any matter once it is history. This reality of imperial power was most ably captured by the White House senior advisor to president Bush while speaking to the New York Times correspondent Ron Suskind in 2004:

'...“That's not the way the world really works any- more,” he continued. “We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be
left to just study what we do.”...'

We see that two principal invariants afflict history and its recording: (1) it is already fait accompli and recognition of this invariance is crucial in Machiavellian schemes of “imperial mobilization” by “history's actors” as is boldly admitted by the White House senior advisor in the passage quoted above for continually creating “new realities” of the *Global Fourth Reich* on the ground (see the Third Reich's equally honest version a few paragraphs hence); (2) the whole truth of the matter of all the motivational forces and hidden from view sub-events that led to the recorded events of history are lost and replaced with convenient narratives, or, as is sometimes the case, get buried in mounds of competing narratives such that separating chaff from wheat is a gross epistemological problem beholden to biases, partisanship, and subjective interpretations. In other worlds, there is a gross signal to noise ratio problem between what is recorded by historians and what really happened. This is all but a truism which is carefully ignored by history writers. We can see this for the best of historians throughout the ages – they have usually omitted to explain what they have omitted, including the articulation of their presuppositions, their axioms, and their own bent of mind which naturally colors their perception of what they do record.

The late Edward Said at Columbia University gave an excellent illustration of this ingrained perception bias in his seminal study titled: *Orientalism*. While that book details the biases of Western hubris, no dominant civilization readily escapes such incestuously self-reinforcing imperial hubris: the promotion of its values and the belittling of all others. It becomes as naturally ingrained as the love of mom and dad. The bold admission of the reality of this superiority complex is just as cold as the preceding chutzpah of “history's actors”. Here is Thomas L. Friedman writing selective truth in *A Manifesto For a Fast World* in the New York Times of March 28, 1999:

“As I have noted before, globalization and economic integration will act, to some degree, as a restraint on
those states that are plugged into the system and dependent upon the electronic herd. It's true that no two countries that both have a McDonald's have ever fought a war since they each got their McDonald's. (I call this the Golden Arches Theory of Conflict Prevention.) But globalization does not end geopolitics -- the enduring quest for power, the fear of neighbors, the tug of history. What globalization does is simply put a different frame around geopolitics, a frame that raises the costs of war but cannot eliminate it.

That is why sustainable globalization still requires a stable, geopolitical power structure, which simply cannot be maintained without the active involvement of the United States. All the technologies that Silicon Valley is designing to carry digital voices, videos and data around the world, all the trade and financial integration it is promoting through its innovations and all the wealth this is generating, are happening in a world stabilized by a benign superpower, with its capital in Washington, D.C.

The hidden hand of the market will never work without a hidden fist -- McDonald's cannot flourish without McDonnell Douglas, the builder of the F-15. And the hidden fist that keeps the world safe for Silicon Valley's technologies is called the United States Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps. "Good ideas and technologies need a strong power that promotes those ideas by example and protects those ideas by winning on the battlefield," says the foreign policy historian Robert Kagan. "If a lesser power were promoting our ideas and technologies,
they would not have the global currency that they have. And when a strong power, the Soviet Union, promoted its bad ideas, they had a lot of currency for more than half a century."

In his deceptive ode to the superpower and its globalization process being the peace-maker: “What globalization does is simply put a different frame around geopolitics, a frame that raises the costs of war but cannot eliminate it.”, Thomas Freidman of course only admitted half of the whole truth. He did not bother to mention the diabolical drive towards one-world government of the oligarchy by way of that same globalization process which is privatizing the world under the protection of the same “iron fist” (as if it is a closely held secret). Nor did he mention the use of that “iron fist” of the “United States Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps.” for forcing a global transformation towards one-world government by manufacturing global wars and global crises as catalysts for that transformation. How could the Jewish scholar tell the whole truth just yet... he is part of the same shell game to make the public mind that the events of history are mainly by happenstance and not orchestrated by the use of the “iron fist” whose reach is global. The system supports him in his omissions and no one respectable who is published in the New York Times will dare call him on it – as they are all in that con game together.

Which is why narrators abound --- it is pretty safe to be a historian so long as one does not plagiarize too much --- for they don't need to get it objectively right at all unlike other builders of material things. They can say and write pretty much anything which the powers of the day openly favor, value, reward, or are not too averse to being made public, often regurgitating what they read or believe from the official sources anyway, which is itself often a regurgitation of those who went before... in an incestuously self-reinforcing myth-making for their own civilization. Historians and scholars in the service of empire play a key role in social engineering; defining the public ethos and reinforcing the desired belief system for both their own time and for
posterity. So, let's put this to the test of empiricism to adjudicate upon the veracity of all that has been stated.

For instance, history writers across the world today are writing the recent history of how Osama Bin Laden and al-Qaeda took down America's landmark WTC towers in the heart of New York city with mere box cutter knives!

What is the epistemology of that entire absurd narrative which is now being cast into written history that even school children come home rehearsing, and must reproduce in answer to exam questions in order to pass the exams? It has put the religion of Islam and Muslims into a negative spotlight, demonizing and marginalizing a world religion as inspiring evil and evil-doers. Just look at how many scholars, intellectuals, poets, statesmen, newsmen, push that absurd narrative on the public mind! The epistemology of 9/11 is in fact no different than Hitler's narrative in yesteryear; his public lament of the Polish terrorists attacking a German radio station in wanton disregard of international law, known as the Gleiwitz incident, which forced his hand to reluctantly launch the military invasion of Poland to protect Germany from terrorists. Had Germany won World War II, no historian in the world would have acknowledged that it was actually a self-inflicted Operation Canned Goods. But the victors of World War II today openly disclose the German psychological warfare and terrorist operation to fabricate an international pretext to invade Poland. The American war correspondent in Berlin in 1939, William Shirer, on sensing the war averse mood of the German public after its horrifying experience of World War I which they still nursed, and upon which the years of Nazification of Germany was in fact based, noted in his diary on the eve of World War II and published his analysis of the “contemporary history” he had witnessed in his seminal work The Rise and Fall of the Third Reich in 1961:

“He knew the answer well. Had he not the week before on his Bavarian mountaintop promised the generals that he would 'give a propagandist reason for
starting the war' and admonished them not to 'mind whether it was plausible or not'? 'The victor', he had told them, 'will not be asked afterward whether he told the truth or not. In starting and waging a war it is not the right that matters, but victory.'"

That, and precisely that, is the axiomatic but unstated reality of history writing. It is the reality of power to control the narrative through its official documents, and its mouthpieces, in every age, in every era, in every civilization. Only another victor can offset it, and only to the degree that suits its own hegemonic purpose. This sense of pretense at fair-play by victors in their revealing the unspeakable crimes of the vanquished with much fanfare when sitting in judgment over them, is termed victor's justice. Indeed, this hard reality is now almost an invariant of Machiavellian power, of simply omitting to tell the truth in official narratives and official documentation. When historians use this as their source material, garbage-in composed of half-truths, propaganda lies, and mythical narratives written specifically to pass on to posterity as public history, pretty much begets garbage-out. No amount of scientific method applied to the study of history can subsequently extract the whole truth which was not included in the records to begin with, or is made inaccessible under the official state secrets act, or is marginalized when victims of that history put their anguish to pen. That crucial lying by omission leads to a self-selecting crippled epistemology for historians coming later in time. Which is why history can be both bunk, and a forensic crime waiting for Sherlock Holmes. It is rarely the whole truth.

But for “contemporary history” not touched by “sensible historians”, despite its closeness in time to rapidly breaking and often terrifying events of international import, and therefore, by necessity, “any such formulation inescapably contains a germ of falsehood — and hence must be tentative — the attempt represents an advance toward at least a partial understanding” when something can surely be done to interdict its fait accompli. That quote is from Zbigniew Brzezinski's
Between Two Ages, another revealing book which hammers away at modernity arguing its villainy inescapable:

“Human life is reduced to real suffering, to hell, only when two ages, two cultures and religions overlap. . . . There are times when a whole generation is caught in this way between two ages, two modes of life, with the consequence that it loses all power to understand itself and has no standard, no security, no simple acquiescence.”

I obviously don't accept that latter formulation or I wouldn't be laboring as I am. Contrary to Zbigniew Brzezinski's erudite prose which underlies the many compositions of the Mighty Wurlitzer, as the generation caught Between Two Ages on The Grand Chessboard, we, the “untermensch” bearers of “Human life”, have neither lost the power to “understand”, nor lost the power to overturn the coercive “simple acquiescence” to artificially induced transition period of “real suffering”. That understanding, and overturning, is the raison d'être for this book.

This approach to modernity however, to examining it as “contemporary history” so that something can be done before hard facts and new realities are irreversibly established on the ground, is not my unique discovery. It is shameful that for over 200 years, the rebel and the savant alike, have been warning mankind of the curse of world government clandestinely in the making. In our generation we are precariously perched on its outer rim and rapidly closing in. In fact, the figurehead EU Council President, Herman Van Rompuy, on November 19, 2009 openly admitted in his first press conference in Brussels after being appointed president, that finally, 2009 was “the first year of Global Governance”. To me, from my plebeian perch on Mt. Fuji, it looks like a done deal, unless more people of sturdy mental constitution and moral fibre become aware of the villainous machinations behind this modernity and feel less inclined to 'United We Stand' with it. That is the purpose of this book.
A Recommended Reading short-list is at the end for those inclined to seek independent evidence of these machinations behind our modernity. It is all in plain sight! Only its courageous reporters today, as in the past, are calculatedly dismissed as “malcontent”, “conspiracy theorist”, “kook”, “mad”, “anti-Semite”, suffering from “emotional or mental illness”, an “oppositional defiant disorder” exhibiting a pattern of “negativistic, defiant, disobedient and hostile behavior toward authority figures” requiring psychiatric care and confinement in state hospitality center. Failing that, of course a “terrorist”!

Why so much verbiage for unpeeling “truth's protective layers”?

A few thoughts on the extraordinary length of The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity is surely in order. Who has the time to read it all, never mind struggle to understand it perceptively?

While primacy as a predatory concept is itself rather straightforward, the modus operandi to achieve it is not so simple. As the mere glimpse into its machinations afforded here must already betray to the alert of mind, it is most diabolical in its construction. It takes commensurate due diligence and wherewithal for its accurate deconstruction.

Brevity may be the soul of wit, but as Aldous Huxley aptly captured it in his 1958 Foreword to *Brave New World Revisited*,

“[t]he soul of wit may become the very body of untruth. However elegant and memorable, brevity can never, in the nature of things, do justice to all the facts of a complex situation.”

Undue brevity especially cannot do justice to the complex facts and hidden motivations which impel current affairs, political science and history. These come wrapped in layers upon layers of deception by both, the “history's actors” who orchestrate “contemporary history” and protect its underlying reality from public disclosure by every means at their disposal, and the narrow self-interests of the narrators.
and ex post facto historians who make a good living off of repeating what's been carefully left behind for public consumption.

Whereas, excessive brevity requires simplifications, which in turn necessitates omissions. And omissions become the very soul of distortion and half-truths instead of bringing forensic clarity in this sea of Machiavellian lies, half-truths, mythologies, and obfuscation.

To ensure that simplistic and pat formulations of brevity do not mask the ubersophisticated psychological, political, financial, economic, scientific, and military methods with which modernity is being Machiavellianly choreographed to extract freedom from the very consciousness of the guileless herds of humanity, sufficient elaboration is a necessity of the task at hand.

But how much is too much?

Once again, as Aldous Huxley examined the matter:

“But life is short and information endless: nobody has time for everything. In practice we are generally forced to choose between an unduly brief exposition and no exposition at all. Abbreviation is a necessary evil and the abbreviator's business is to make the best of a job which, though intrinsically bad, is still better than nothing. He must learn to simplify, but not to the point of falsification. He must learn to concentrate upon the essentials of a situation, but without ignoring too many of reality's qualifying side issues. In this way he may be able to tell, not indeed the whole truth (for the whole truth about almost any important subject is incompatible with brevity), but considerably more than the dangerous quarter-truths and half-truths which have always been the current coin of thought.”

Consequently, the one accusation which continues to stand against Project Humanbeingsfirst is its unwillingness to err on the side of too much brevity, too much abbreviation, while continuing to bring as co-
gent and as coherent an analysis with full substantiation of evidence in as simple a form as is practical. In striving to tell the whole truth to the best of its author's capacity about every matter it touches, considerably more words than many individuals have time for have been penned in its few short years and are available on its website. For those challenged by time or inclination to imbibe such high potency intellectual vitamins to their fullest absorption, which evidently is upwards of 90% of the public in every nation calculatingly weaned on 15-second attention spans to ensure that they remain engaged between bread and circuses only, a minimalist expansion has been cherry-picked in The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity - Oligarchic Primacy for World Government.

It is hoped that this compendium unraveling the full spectrum mind-fck, ahem, perception management, of the public mind, will tickle the reader's angst sufficiently to want to pursue some due diligence of her own. Empiricism betrays however, that even whole encyclopedic knowledge and exposure to the world does not automatically lead to a change in behavior or mind-set. Only the courage of one's convictions does. You can read books all day long, listen to endless hours of inspiring talks and lectures on the internet with rapt attention, seek wise counsel from your chieftains and pontiffs, travel the world from continent to continent, and it may not change your behavior one bit. However, the tickling of self-preservation fears will almost always instinctually lead to an immediate change in behavior! Unless of course one is too invested in enjoying one's own servitude. Co-option is a pattern of human behavior anytime self-interest is tickled. As is harboring socialized beliefs and values. Tickling public self-interest and seeding perspective distortion by implanting and harvesting false beliefs in society are the first tools of Machiavelli.

As difficult as giving up unwarranted beliefs may be, I hope that the gestalt shift in perspective which The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity will surely induce in the more alert of mind, body and spirit, will help them perceive the world from the predatory eyes of the tom cat.
picted in the book's opening pages. Nothing ever alters the tom cat's primacy instincts just because its prey refuse to recognize it.

The predator is always waiting, watching, scheming, conniving... before pouncing – the inveterate “hectoring hegemon”!

Others have explored this topic of primacy as well. The unusual book (for which its author states that Zbigniew Brzezinski tried to suppress its publication) explores the beguiling behavior of this sociopathic predator of modernity: *Political Ponerology: A Science on The Nature of Evil adjusted for Political Purposes* by Andrew M. Lobaczewski (http://ponerology.com). It predates Martha Stout's study: *The Sociopath Next Door* which also documents the empirical observation that the smartest sociopaths today don't come with horns advertised on their head. They don't all look like Charles Mansion for instance. They appear rather normal, are often even very charming, driven. But they show as much moral compunction in achieving their objectives as the conniving fox, and often tend to rise to lead their domain as its authority figures. We see them proliferate from corporate CEOs to political leadership. They are sort of like the lovely girl next door who is looking for a rich husband and will stop at nothing. The foolish prey however get to see what she is really like only after they become her meal ticket. The perniciousness of these super-intelligent predators who remain beyond good and evil (unless victor's justice catches up to them) is examined in these two studies. What these sociological books do not betray however is the role of the oligarchy behind the scenes in controlling and manipulating these public front-men to serve their own private global interests. This lapse was fortuitously rectified by the front-man for the banking oligarchy himself, Col. Edward Mandell House, in his fictionalization of a political reality in which he actually stage-managed the White House and its occupant, President Woodrow Wilson, titled: *Philip Dru: Administrator, A Story of Tomorrow 1920-1935*. The Public Relations for which was stage-managed by psychologist Edward Bernays, brilliantly leading to awarding their prized patsy president who had so easily: (1) overseen
and signed into creation the financial oligarchy's singular *Trojan Horse* into the United States, its Federal Reserve System; (2) signed the oligarchy's favorite security bond, the levying of income tax upon the American public; (3) and taken the isolationist industrial powerhouse into World War I resulting in the deaths of millions but secured by all that public debt to the bankers; the Nobel Peace prize! Additional material is listed in Recommended Reading.

*The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity* endeavors to seek a coherent whole that is greater than the sum of its parts from what is typically only available to the most diligent researchers in libraries, and only if they can bring to bear the perspective of primacy to their own understanding of the empiricism of modernity. Few are able, or willing, to do that analysis-synthesis for their own comprehension, let alone for the public's education. Indeed, the finest intellectuals appear to be just as beholden to the favors the system bestows upon them for playing ball, whether manufacturing consent or manufacturing dissent (the latter the most diabolical of the lot and therefore given significant space in this book), as the common man is beholden to his mind lock between *bread and circuses*.

In what is continually made to appear to the public mind as the chaotic and revolutionary times of today, the sociopathic predator operates with the most devilishly cunning Hegelian Dialectics to run circles around the prey, alternately shocking and exhausting it into accepting what's planned for it. The wily predator cornering its prey with full spectrum control of the victim's five senses, its appetites, carnal desires, has shrewdly anticipated all the typical behavior patterns displayed by the victims in a large population sample, and is thus easily able to preempt entire societies and civilizations:

“There will be no day of days then when a new world order comes into being. Step by step and here and there it will arrive, and even as it comes into being it will develop fresh perspectives, discover unsuspected problems and go on to new adventures. No man, no group of men, will ever be
singly out as its father or founder. For its maker will be not this man nor that man nor any man but Man, that being who is in some measure in every one of us. World order will be, like science, like most inventions, a social product, an innumerable number of personalities will have lived fine lives, pouring their best into the collective achievement. ... 

Nor does it alter the fact that even when the struggle seems to be drifting definitely towards a world social democracy, there may still be very great delays and disappointments before it becomes an efficient and beneficent world system.

Countless people, from maharajas to millionaires and from pukkha sahibs to pretty ladies, will hate the new world order, be rendered unhappy by the frustration of their passions and ambitions through its advent and will die protesting against it.

When we attempt to estimate its promise we have to bear in mind the distress of a generation or so of malcontents, many of them quite gallant and graceful-looking people.” -- H. G. Wells, New World Order, 1940, Chapter 12

The only escape from its *Orwellian* death-jaws that entice its gullible prey with the *Newspeak* of a “beneficent world system”, is for the victims to collectively, in large numbers, change their own typical behavior pattern from what's already been anticipated – indifference and loving their own servitude as per the *Fable of the Bees* – BEFORE world government is a fait accompli.

Only full spectrum alliances can create the full spectrum deterrence necessary to offset the forces driving for the full spectrum dominance of the planet. Pretty soon there will not be any generation caught between two ages left to form those alliances. All will be born in the new age. None will experience any real discontent if the oligarchy succeeds in getting the obedient and managed one-world it wants.

Today, an effective self-defence can only be launched at national and
international levels, not individual level, with brave nations unwilling to accept the primacy of the internationalist sociopaths riding the superpower du jour, collaboratively breaking through the stifling web of international controls and coordinating their global resistance under uncompromising strong leadership to survive the Grand Chessboard.

I don't see that most obvious self-defense transpiring even in 2015. In fact, I don't even see the once sovereign nations speak of the real enemy besieging their nations with manufactured “revolutionary times”. They uniformly echo the dominant narratives in few variations. This state of affairs can only mean:

(1) national and international level leaders, politicians, scholars and opinion-makers, are already in on the world government game in most nations that are of any strategic or tactical significance, i.e., they are knowingly and wittingly working against their own peoples for the narrow interests of the oligarchy;

(2) patriotic fools and useful idiots who haven't got a clue have been planted to rule the public mind and the absence of any real resistance speaks to the veracity of this conclusion.

If The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity lends a clue to at least those who are well-intentioned but egregiously misled into driving in the wrong lane with blinders on, it would have served some purpose. Its full purpose however would only be served when commensurate acts of courage are undertaken in national and international self-defence to match the crisper and perceptive understanding of the motivations actually driving modernity to dystopia.

Thank you for daring to read further. All that which follows in The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity is just more and more details of every aspect sketched out in the preceding handful of words. If only there existed in my time even a tiny handful of political and intellectual leaders of courage to really take on the first-cause forces driving mod-
ernity to dystopia. Just one would have been a sufficient majority!

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons,

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
California, United States of America
September 11, 2015
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Chapter 2

Introduction

Why Project Humanbeingsfirst

Caption A Gestalt Shift in perspective is required to understand the worldview presented by Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
BETWEEN TWO AGES Is The Only Transformative Process:

“Human life is reduced to real suffering, to hell, only when two ages, two cultures and religions overlap. . . . There are times when a whole generation is caught in this way between two ages, two modes of life, with the consequence that it loses all power to understand itself and has no standard, no security, no simple acquiescence.”


That's what we humankind find ourselves in today, merely caught between two ages – the transition epoch to the new age. It isn't rocket science, only political science. An engineered state-craft perversely seeded in the atheistic “Noble Lies” of the Straussian Übermensch who feel compelled to guide mankind as its self-proclaimed enlightened shepherds. “Hegemony is as old as mankind” is their primacy bible; one-world government, their categorical imperative.

Their calculus of global primacy principally entails Machiavellianly seeding “revolutionary times” all across the “global zone of percolating violence” – the 'war on terror' – while simultaneously precipitating the global financial collapse, global pandemics, and other global catastrophes, positing only global solutions able to solve these global problems to diabolically create:

“an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece”

By their own admission of the inevitable practice of scholarly deceit in statecraft in The Secret Team:

“Certainly, history teaches us that one truth will add to and enhance another; but let us not forget that one lie added to another lie will demolish everything. This
is the important point. Consider the past half century. How many major events -- really major events -- have there been that simply do not ring true? How many times has the entire world been shaken by alarms of major significance, only to find that the events either did not happen at all, or if they did, that they had happened in a manner quite unlike the original story?”

And by their own admission of their divine right to exceptionalism as vouchsafed by Justice Vinson, U.S. Supreme Court, in 1951:

“Nothing is more certain in modern society than the principle that there are no absolutes, that a name, a phrases, a standard has meaning only when associated with the considerations which give birth to nomenclature. To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative.”

And by the repeated admission of their own hubris, as was for instance so boldly proclaimed by Carroll Quigley in Tragedy and Hope, in 1966:

“The destructive impact of Western Civilization upon so many other societies rests on its ability to demoralize their ideological and spiritual culture as much as its ability to destroy them in a material sense with firearms.”

The motivation and purpose of that destruction in today's modernity was most perceptively spelled out by Edwin C. Knuth in The Empire of 'The City', in 1944:

“Geopolitics, the study of the struggle for space and power, forms a well-developed science with an extensive bibliography, which conclusively impeaches
the superficial fabrication, with which the American people in particular have been implanted with consummate cunning, that the great World Wars are caused by brutal attacks upon world law and order, instead of being the fully anticipated consequences of the most diabolical double dealing and planning by the secret 'One World' order of 'The City.' ... 'It is the destiny of the pure Aryan Anglo-Saxon race to dominate the world and kill off or else reduce to a servile status all other inferior races.”

In order to instrument that self-proclaimed divine destiny, the ultimate in the white man's burden shall we say, the devil's apprentices have cleverly perceived of a gullible irrational public mind, so kept preoccupied with 'bread and circuses', that it will accept under times of 'catastrophic terrorism', uncertainty, chaos, crises, world wars, and apocalyptic stress, what no sensible mind would willingly accept under normal times. Its import to making the public mind for unpopular agendas was noted by the Jewish Superman David Ben-Gurion during the Zionist conquest and resettlement of Palestine:

“What is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times; and if at this time the opportunity is missed and what is possible at such great hours is not carried out – a whole world is lost.”

Ergo, the best way to transform a people, a nation, a society, a civilization, or the entire world, is to plague them with a lifetime of manufactured “revolutionary times”. Under the pretext of dealing with it, the transformative processes are unleashed upon the unsuspecting public which systematically enact one fait accompli after another, in baby-steps, to reach the end goal of the irreversible hard reality of legally enacted Global Governance. This herding of mankind is expertly performed through full perception management of the public mind, by the finest Jewish and Anglo-Saxon Superman minds.
Edward Bernays openly bragged of this mind manipulation in his confessional memoir Propaganda, in 1928:

“The conscious and intelligent manipulation of the organized habits and opinions of the masses is an important element in democratic society. Those who manipulate this unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government which is the true ruling power of our country. We are governed, our minds are molded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested, largely by men we have never heard of.”

The visionary essayist Aldous Huxley examined the reach of its diabolical pinnacle while commemorating the 30th anniversary of his famous fable towards which, from the dizzying heights of the tiniest ant hill, that is, rising even slightly above the flatlands, humankind can be seen hurtling today at breakneck speed faster than a breakaway train going downhill:

“If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.”

It is only our transitional generations, caught Between Two Ages, that
are experiencing the manufactured birth-pangs of the New Age of Global Governance. The ultimate in malevolent revolution is today, as should be self-evident, most successful in getting the majority of the world's public to accept these birth-pangs without a murmur of discontent. Indeed, In the New World Order of one-World Government, all the world's civilizations might finally have overcome their respective discontents under the iron clad regimentation of a uniform global police state administered under centralized global authorities by the ruling oligarchy.

As was convincingly argued by Bertrand Russell in Impact of Science on Society, in 1951, and as is also empirically visible today as the actual dystopic direction of global governance, world government can only be kept in being by force (physical force as per George Orwell's fable; mental persuasion, as well as biological and chemical tampering with the human being itself, as per Aldous Huxley's fable):

“There is, it must be confessed, a psychological difficulty about a single world government. The chief source of social cohesion in the past, I repeat, has been war: the passions that inspire feeling of unity are hate and fear. These depend upon the existence of an enemy, actual or potential. It seems to follow that a world government could only be kept in being by force, not by the spontaneous loyalty that now inspires a nation at war.”

The grotesque reality of engineering society was openly admitted by Zbigniew Brzezinski in Between Two Ages, in 1970, obliterating any difference between dystopic fables and reality. As the soon to become National Security Advisor of the United States of America under President Carter, and the first executive director of the Trilateral Commission which was soon to be founded by David Rockefeller, the grandmaster of the grand chessboard wrote for those who bother to read:

“Life seems to lack cohesion as environment rapidly
alters and human beings become increasingly manipulable and malleable. Everything seems more transitory and temporary: external reality more fluid than solid, the human being more synthetic than authentic. Even our senses perceive an entirely novel "reality" — one of our own making but nevertheless, in terms of our sensations, quite "real." More important, there is already widespread concern about the possibility of biological and chemical tampering with what has until now been considered the immutable essence of man. Human conduct, some argue, can be predetermined and subjected to deliberate control. Man is increasingly acquiring the capacity to determine the sex of his children, to affect through drugs the extent of their intelligence, and to modify and control their personalities. Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, 'I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain.'"

The Machiavellian dynamics of latter day social engineering which relies almost exclusively on methods of the Hegelian Dialectic, is such that it naturally leads to fait accompli, after which nothing can be done about the new reality that gets constructed except to study it ex post facto as history. This omnipotent power to engineer reality unfettered is bragged about with open chutzpah. As was brazenly done by the Bush White House aide to the New York Times in 2004, after the civilization of Iraq had been decimated under the false pretense of WMD with American bombs:

'..."That's not the way the world really works anymore," he continued. “We're an empire now, and
when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.”...' (Ron Suskind, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004)

That is precisely how world order is being engineered by history's actors --- one fait accompli at a time. While the desire for full spectrum dominance of the planet isn't all that new – it has been the ultimate political prize for hectoring hegemons from time immemorial – the pace of global governance by behind the scenes secretive cabals who enact public policies favorable to their own private objectives through their control of state actors, has visibly accelerated in our generation. This specific momentum for Global Governance, a marketing euphemism advanced by the Council on Foreign Relations for their oligarchic World Order, has been in continuous mobilization for several generations of history's actors. But it always comes wrapped in layers of deceit and camouflagge where the lips say something entirely different from what the hands actually do. This is openly admitted.

Here is British historian Arnold J. Toynbee, Director, Royal Institute of International Affairs (RIIA) London, in 1931:

“We are at present working discreetly with all our might to wrest this mysterious force called sovereignty out of the clutches of the local nation states of the world. All the time we are denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands, because to impugn the sovereignty of the local nation states of the world is still a heresy for which a statesman or publicist can perhaps not quite be burned at the stake but certainly be ostracized or discredited.”

Here is Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) member in 1974:
“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.”

Here is International banker David Rockefeller in 2002:

“Some even believe we are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as 'internationalists' and of conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure – one world, if you will. If that's the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it.”

Last but not least, here is the European Union president in 2009, re-confirming the use of latter day crises as pretexts to finally begin to realize the fruits of the long years of craftily hoeing the hard road to world order:

“We are living through exceptionally difficult times. Financial crisis and its dramatic impact on employment and budgets, the climate crisis which threatens our very survival --- a period of anxiety, uncertainty, and lack of confidence. Yet these problems can be overcome, by a joint effort, in and between our countries. 2009 is also the first year of Global Governance with the establishment of the G-20 in the middle of financial crisis. The climate conference in Copenhagen is another step towards the Global Management of our Planet. Our mission, our presidency is one of hope, supported by acts, and by deeds.”

Knowing how to do arithmetic is the sine qua non of instilling the de-
sire to break all unseen strings of manipulation. As George Orwell observed in his fable 1984, even in the age of universal deceit and at the sharp point of the bayonet, all will naturally follow so long as man can courageously retain his natural ability to add two plus two correctly. But as Aldous Huxley observed in his own fable Brave New World, and which both Bertrand Russell and Zbigniew Brzezinski underscored as the natural propensity of the modern scientific era when Übermensch rulers behind the scenes have acquired full social control, that natural ability for discontentment can itself be eliminated from the human species. The global societies are scientifically arranged in some kind of caste system in which man happily slaves away for his unseen masters with as much thought to discontentment as the sheep exhibit against the habit of mutton eating.

We increasingly observe this sheepish behavior pattern across the board not just in the United States of America – the one and only truly global superpower in all of recorded history of civilizations whose public is the most dumbed down – but all across the globe. Just witnessing the “United We Stand” with absurd narratives worldwide, or the indignities sheepishly accepted by both the American as well as the world public traveling through US airports, is priceless empirical data for social scientists studying behavior control on a mass scale to gauge the success of their soft persuasion schemes. Hard schemes, biochemical tampering, may well be in field trials if not already in staged covert deployment across the globe. Vaccines as delivery agents of targeted biological warfare on specific populations, have been determined to be a most potent modality for such covert tampering of human beings – and it also leaves no provable causal trail behind with the passage of time. Any adverse visible effects of human tampering, such as induced sterility in high population regions of the Global South, or induced obedience training through “kool-aid” chemicals in the more preferred populations of the Global North, is blamed upon nature, happenstance, and in the worst case, on accident. The Mighty Wurlitzer’s well-oiled machinery ensures just enough desens-
itization to such covert eugenist agenda of World Order through fic-
tionalization in Hollywood movies that even the most grotesque reality loses its shock effect. Any premature exposure of premeditation becomes easy to dismiss as mere conspiracy theory under the well-
worn doctrine of “Plausible Deniability” which officially sanctions pursuing covert agendas without any public repercussions to the political and military henchman who carry it out.

Ex post facto “oops”, mea culpae, and declassified narratives of his-
tory have as much potency in reversing the fait accompli as the ubi-
quitously teaching today to sixth graders in elementary schools of the United States of America, how the indigenous populations of the Americas were diabolically and mercilessly killed off by the superior Anglo-Saxon white man to resettle their lands. Not a single American child is ever known to shed any tears of innocence at the crimes against humanity purveyed by his or her own ancestors. This author has volunteered in public school in America when his own children were attending elementary grades, and he observed that emotional as well as intellectual detachment from history being inculcated with his own eyes. Neither the teachers nor the students are ever in angst for what is already history. The same children grow up as adults to exhibit more of the same. That psychological detachment from crimes past, and the ex post facto irreversibility of hard reality already examined above in the words of the hectoring hegemons themselves, enable awarding imperial prizes and accolades to historians and intellectuals today for their plentiful narratives across the board of what is already fait accompli.

This is how and why the most prominent moral detractor of his own American nation today, Professor Noam Chomsky of MIT, for in-
stance, gets anointed “arguably the most important intellectual alive” by the empire's own mouthpiece, the New York Times. This intellec-
tual who boldly teaches others the responsibilities of intellectual, re-
hearses at great length in his prolific writings past crimes and past lies of the American empire for which nothing much can be done today.
But for matters current affairs not fully driven to their intended fait accompli, such as the imperial mobilization to world government under the catastrophic terror event of September 11, 2001 as pretext, and which can effectively be derailed in a public chain reaction if the most prominent intellectuals of America boldly stood up and called the *Big Lie* for what it is, Dr. Noam Chomsky assiduously totes the establishment's own absurd line on who dunnit. The fine moral intellectual shows no inclination to challenge the imperial core lie whatsoever. Instead, strangely for someone billed as the most important dissenting intellectual, finds specious arguments to support the empire's core lie. His stock following of dissenting rebels, as well as other nations who look up to him for guidance, lap it up convinced that when the most strident detractor of empire also agrees with the empire's blaming of 9/11 exclusively on Islamofascist terrorist, then it must be so.

This highly venerated scholar of America known throughout the world as America's left-liberal conscience, whose many books sell worldwide just with his brand name affixed to them, and even get waived from the high podium of the United Nations by presidential figurine condemning the excesses of American hegemony, also behaved in an analogously unforgivable manner when president John F. Kennedy was assassinated. The brilliant moralist of America, employed for lifetime in America's most prominent high technology educational institution which gets virtually all of its research funding and operating budget from America's vast military-industrial complex as the natural extension of the American national security state, had, at the very inception of his scholarly career, dutifully parroted the establishment's absurd narrative of the “lone gun-man” dunnit.

At that time in the 1960s and 1970s, just as in this generation in the aftermath of 9/11, the American public was in great moral angst to learn who really was responsible for that catastrophic terrorism on their beloved soil. The public behavior was fully controlled by the state's full spectrum control of the narrative then, just as it is today. It was accomplished then, just as it is today, with copious help from the
full gamut of manufactured respectability from left to right. All intellectuals echoed the core narrative of empire then, just as they do today when it is of utmost urgency to immediately analyze, question, and publicly challenge the dubiousness of state narratives before the hidden motivations behind the crime get fully actualized into fait accompli by the public's acceptance of the core narrative.

The public's easy acceptance of any tortuous past without any great pangs of guilt, as well as any abhorrent present without any great murmur of protest, with the Superman intellectuals of empire engineering both consent and dissent to govern the public mind, is the brilliant success of Mephistopheles in mass behavior control. Today, that control is global.

It is not mere sanguinity to assert that globalization's only truly global success is indeed this planetary level rapid deployment of sophisticated technological methods for the elimination of discontents by the new Superman gods of earth.

But I pray that my progeny will always be counted among the discontented, the mal adjusted. Echoing Martin Luther King Jr.'s words of moral courage for breaking all bonds of servitude, both physical and mental, which he uttered at the time of his resistance to his nation's most cruel and inhuman war in Vietnam:

“There are some things in our society and some things in our world, which I am proud to be mal adjusted. And I call upon all men of goodwill to be mal adjusted to these things until the good society is realized.”

In these web pages, you are invited on such a journey of mal adjustment. Become part of this extraordinary voyage – on the path least taken, and it may yet make all the difference.

Welcome, bienvenue, welcome, to the one-world of Hectoring Hegemons! – Please click here to read my welcome letter first.

The five-minute Introduction to The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity
explains it all in a nutshell. Project Humanbeingsfirst's hundreds of analyses of current affairs systematically dissect some of the major behavior control *mind-fcks*, ahem, rape of the public mind, for constructing one-world government. The Barometer of Reality-Check towards the end of the extensive guided tour in *Machiavelli and Modernity* accurately assess where mankind has been coercively brought to today – at the cusp of fait accompli for transforming the world into one-world government.

You may rightly ask that how you can know that what you will get here is not disinformation, misinformation, or sophisticated mind-fck composed of core lies sandwiched between half truths and three quarter truths, delicately wrapped in layers of plausible sounding beliefs thought to be true but not known to be true for certain?

**You Don't!**

I do not claim to bring “All the News that's fit to print” unlike the New York Times. Instead, I claim to bring all that which you cannot read in the NYT because it has been deemed by its owners NOT FIT TO PRINT.

**Make up your own damn mind whether or not you wish to be convinced of it.**

“Agree with me if I seem to you to speak the truth; or, if not, withstand me might and main that I may not deceive you as well as myself in my desire, and like the bee leave my sting in you before I die. And now let us proceed.”*

As Socrates might have presented his case in his own defence at his trial for “corrupting the public mind” with myth busting truth-telling in that Golden Age of Hellenic Civilization.

Zahir Ebrahim
April 2003 to September 2015


Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2014/04/introduction-project-humanbeingsfirst.html
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Chapter 3

Introduction
Why this cause célèbre

Willkommen, Bienvenue, Welcome, to the one-world of Hectoring Hegemons

“There comes a time when silence, is betrayal. ... The hottest places in hell are reserved for those who in a period of moral crisis, maintain their neutrality,”

And spoken, I have – Who will be strong and stand with me?

We often tend to mainly preach to the choir, share controversial ideas with mainly those whom we generally tend to agree with, make alliances with those who are generally sympathetic to our causes, and take the path of least resistance in our quests like how water always flows downstream. But the path of searching for new truths, the path...
of inquiry into what is unfamiliar, the path of change against prevailing wisdom, is not that of a water flow. It is rather fraught with natural resistance, always uphill against the forces of entrenched gravity.

No new idea to the human mind is ever easily acceptable. Anything which is against our presuppositions, preconceptions, ingrained world views, comfort zones, beliefs, even vested interests, is always like a new idea! According to Schopenhauer, all new and uncomfortable ideas, \textit{“truths”}, go through three natural stages:

\begin{quote}
First, it is ridiculed. Second, it is violently opposed. Third, it is accepted as being self-evident.
\end{quote}

In the One-world Government now in the making under the manufactured pretexts of catastrophic terrorism and other fabricated global threats – mainly to the life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness of the Übermenschen – ex post facto, what I have researched and penned in Project Humanbeingsfirst will be accepted as being self-evident.

Today, when such awareness among the world's public can mean the derailing of the global police-superstate before its twisted fait accompli, some very learned and intelligent looking peoples ridicule it; while other gallant and pious looking peoples variously blame man's afflictions that are diabolically inching the world towards Global Governance, on \textit{Islamic fascism}, on \textit{ET's malevolence}, on \textit{Allah's wrath}, or patiently await their \textit{Messiah} happy-happy in hope and voluntary servitude.

Soon, the harbingers of the world superstate, \textit{the brotherhood of death}, will violently oppose those who oppose its inhumanity.

In a few short years, its official chroniclers, \textit{the sages}, will deem world government under the control of the enlightened few as having been inevitable. The direct consequence of man's foibles and overpopulation. And \textit{the only rational way to govern mothership earth as a planetary scale natural wildlife preserve with selective breeding and population control of its most virulent species.}
Under this ongoing construction of tortuous reality, one that is an entirely empirical orchestration of future history, to remain a silent bystander; to join forces with the Übermensch and manufacture consent for their self-ascribed primacy imperatives; or to manufacture dissent as red herrings by pretending to oppose the barbarianism of hegemony while still echoing its core axioms; is to be an ACCOMPLICE in cold-blooded murder.

Yes, even though “all murderers are punished unless they kill in large numbers and to the sound of trumpets”, it is still being accomplice to monumental crimes against humanity howsoever it is synthetically sugared.

I endeavor my very best to avoid being an accomplice. I also endeavor in my ordinary plebeian's state to not succumb to the many enticements and coercion of might's aphorisms du jour: “deception is a state of mind and the mind of the state”, and “some are sheep while others are wolves, we are the wolves”.

As Martin Luther King Jr. had so soulfully iterated four decades earlier during the height of the Vietnam War:

“In international conflicts the truth is hard to come by, because most nations are deceived about themselves. Rationalizations and the incessant search for scapegoats, are the psychological cataracts that blind us to our sins. But the day has passed for our superficial patriotism. He who lives with untruth lives in spiritual slavery. Freedom is still the bonus we receive for knowing the truth. 'Yee shall know the truth', says Jesus, 'and the truth shall set you free.'”

For, surely, none are more hopelessly enslaved in perpetual war than those who are falsely led to believe they do so for peace!

To silently spectate a boot stamped on the human face in perpetuity, while being taught to love it in voluntary servitude, is outright criminal in this day and age.
Indeed, in MLK's echo of Dante:

“the hottest places in hell are reserved for those who
in a period of moral crisis, maintain their neutrality.”

But more existentially, today's silent spectators will be tomorrow's
untermensch.

It is an indescribable travesty of modernity that the words of Martin
Luther King Jr. ring in as much pertinence today as when he sermon-
ized them in 1967 at the Ebenezer Baptist Church. Despite our high-
tech modernity of the technetronic era, we stand exactly at the same
spot:

Caption Martin Luther King Jr.'s speech at the Ebenezer
Baptist Church in 1967: *Why I Am Opposed to the War in
Vietnam* (transcript, photographer unknown)

“There comes a time when silence, is betrayal. The
truth of these words is beyond doubt. But the mission
to which they call us is a most difficult one. Even
when pressed by the demands of inner truth, men do
not easily assume the task of opposing their government's policy, especially in time of war. Nor does the human spirit move without great difficulty, against all the apathy of conformist thought within one's own bosom, and then the surrounding world. ... Some of us who have already begun to break the silence of the night, have found that the calling to speak, is often a vocation of agony. **But we must speak. We must speak with all the humility that is appropriate to our limited vision, but we must speak.**”

And spoken, I have.

**Veritably, the price of silence is to be a willing accomplice!**

But the price of inefficacy in mindless public protestations and shouting matches that are no more than a “focus group”, and pretenses at seeking justice while leaving the hidden-in-plainsight prime-movers untouched and unmentioned – free to enact more of the same vile repeatedly with newer generations of errand boys and girls – is to be directly complicit in extending the suffering of the 'untermenschen'!

Modernity is epitomized by multiple simultaneous Hegelian Dialectic, and the bold fabrication of consent and dissent that supports it. The best way to lead the masses is to restrict the range of opinions available to them. The best way to control the opposition is to become its prized and visible leaders, with suitably awarded titles and awards (or demonizations) to lend credibility. Thus is born the Master Social Science. It manufactures and choreographs the dialectics of deception as in any WWF wrestling: manufactured dissent among the rebels to complement the manufactured consent among the masses. Both retain all core-axioms of 'empire' intact!

The difference between their modus operandi is often that for consent, the empire is projected as good. For dissent, the empire is projected as
bad. But the same external enemy is retained, and variously re-incarnated either as “jihadis” and “Militant Islam” (consent), or “revolutionaries” and “blowback” (dissent). Neither manufacturers will noticeably extend their brilliance, or their pursuits of scholarly justice, to unraveling covert-ops and 'inside job' while its impact is still on-going. Nor will they ever apply their touted acumen and lofty credentials to the forensic analysis of overarching agendas; never mind indicting the hierarchy of real prime-movers behind those agendas!

To both manufacturers of “truths”, there is never any overarching conspiracy, never any orchestration of history, never any behind the scenes conspirators.

This moral perversion and intellectual bankruptcy of empire's minions would be of no concern of international law, and moral law, except that it is a combined exercise in doctrinal warfare to implant the “high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” in the perpetual service of “imperial mobilization”.

All these acts of social engineering, both of omission and commission, directly extend the oppression of the primates upon the 'untermensch'.

But, as Socrates might have put it (in Edith Hamilton's rendering):

'Agnée with me if I seem to you to speak the truth; or, if not, withstand me might and main that I may not deceive you as well as myself in my desire, and like the bee leave my sting in you before I die. And now let us proceed.'

If you join me in echoing the one and only real obvious truth of the matter peeled off its 1000 surrounding lies, we can at least die, hopefully only our natural death without special rendition, fighting as human beings first, rather than live in infamy as worse than animals – eating, and shitting, sleeping, and dreaming, and then repeat.
Has that existential-state changed a whole lot for very many? First it was the pursuit of the 'American Dream'; now it is the pursuit of how to end the 'American Nightmare'. But for what purpose? Apparently, so most can get back to their 'American Dream'!

Verily, six feet under, the maggots can't tell the bloody difference among any of us: the victims, the silent spectators, the conniving fools, the gullible patsies, and the murderous hectoring hegemons choreographing its Primacy Imperatives for a One-world government. But, if, there is more to us than simply being an advanced amoeba subject to Social Darwinianism of the atheistic few, perhaps the soul extractor can!

While that is never sufficient to deter the Übermensch of any jungle, it is sufficient motivation for their victims to rise up and fightback. Breaking the silence is only its first step!

Not only does existential imperative rationally demands it, but spiritual destiny requires it of any 'wretched of the earth'!

Caption Youtube Battle at Kruger: How even the lowly in the animal kingdom standup to the hectoring hegemons of their jungle
Caption Youtube song from Les Misérables: How the lowly everywhere, the wretched of the earth, man, must standup to the hectoring hegemons of his jungle

“Will you join in our 'crusade'?  
Who will be strong and stand with me?  
Somewhere beyond the barricade, is there a world you long to see?”

If you share that empathy, and the quest for unraveling all of “truth's protective layers”, then, welcome, to a life of maladjustment in the world of hectoring hegemons. As Martin Luther King Jr. had once again aptly captured the honesty of purpose of moral human beings:

“There are some things in our society and some things in our world, which I am proud to be maladjusted. And I call upon all men of goodwill to be maladjusted to these things until the good society is realized.”

Thank you.

Respectfully,
Footnote  The chapter title is inspired by the song “Willkommen” from the famous 1966 Broadway musical Cabaret. Set in the seedy nightclub called the Kit Kat Club in the high-minded, *uber avant-garde*, liberal Berlin during the rise to power of the *Nazi Third Reich*, and sang by the Master of Ceremonies, it is an apt description of the rise to power of the *Oligarchic Fourth Reich* in our own time and the *laissez-faire* attitude of the cavalier *Berliners* worldwide rejoicing in their narrow self-obsessions and love affairs in the *Berlins* du jour. The chapter title is pronounced to the same rhythm and tune as the verse in the Cabaret song.

Source URL:  http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/p/welcome-letter.html
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Chapter 4

Introduction
Why I stood up to police-state
USA

They dared to knock on my door

Abstract

This essay describes my two encounters with the United States of America's Security Agencies as they came knocking on my door in March and April 2003 in the supposed hot pursuit of the boogie man du jour. The essay describes what transpired, how I felt, and my extemporaneous lecture to them on how dare they come visit me without any justification other than ethnic and religious profiling. Why should they want to interrogate me just because I am a Muslim from a foreign country? Is that now a crime in America?

I was startled by that dreaded knock on the front door, and not a polite
one at that, nor even ringing of the door bell, but what sounded like urgent pounding. Two men flashed their badges in my face for a few seconds when I rushed to the door, stated that they were from the FBI, and asked me if I was Mr. so and so. The badges disappeared just as quickly as they had appeared in the best rendering of life imitating art I had ever seen. When I replied in the affirmative, they asked to see my ID to verify it. After I had shown them my driver's license and they seemed satisfied that I was indeed the person they had asked for, they started asking me for my SSN (Social Security Number), my telephone number, whether I was citizen or permanent resident, and if the latter to show them the Green card, how long I had been in the country, when did I become permanent resident, when did I travel last?

Now being a reasonably intelligent person under normal conditions, it suddenly occurred to me to ask them why they were talking to me in the first place, and how my name was flagged to them. They indicated that my name had loosely matched a terrorist they were looking for and it was off by only a couple of letters in the name, but that fortunately for me the guy they were seeking was thin and short, while I am tall and big, so I should relax and simply answer their questions. I questioned them that if they were already convinced I wasn't the guy they wanted, why were they still here, and why I should answer any of their questions beyond having showed them my ID to prove my identity? And in my heart I was thanking God for the first time about my overweight, for I could have been in the docks based solely on my size according to them. They mumbled something about this "terrorism thing around us" being the reason they wanted to talk to me now that they were here anyway.

My head still in a daze but starting to function again slowly, I suggested to them that the number of possible names they would be searching based on their "partial string matching" criteria could potentially be in the thousands, and were they planning to visit them all at their homes? They said no. So now it seemed that they had picked me specifically. And if they could find out where I lived, they could just as
easily find out answers to the questions they were asking me by simply looking them up on their super computers. So why this visit to my home in the first place? What did they really want to talk to me about? And what was the real criteria they used to finger me? And what was in that folder they were carrying in their hand that they kept referring to? So I asked them whether I could see what information they had on me in that folder, and I stretched my hand out to receive it from them: “Well, the FBI is not in the business of disclosing its information to anyone” was the curt reply as they moved the folder closer to their chest.

I was getting more and more perturbed that perhaps they had come to me because I was a certain nationality and a brown skinned Muslim at that, and all of the preceding dialog could just be a pretext. To confirm this further, I asked them if they were also planning to interview any of the other folks in the neighborhood, and they said no, it was just me they wanted to talk to. Why me? The fact that their story line would have been so obviously unconvincing to anyone except the most naive, also disturbed me very much. Did they think I was stupid? Or perhaps they wanted me to feel that indeed their pretext was phony so that I might get afraid? Why didn't they just leave when they indicated they were satisfied I was not the person they were chasing. And if that was indeed only a pretext, why didn't they simply come right out and tell me honestly why they had come to my house? I might have been more agreeable had they demonstrated some legitimate cause. All of these things started to whirl in my fertile imagination and I began to see the Japanese internment camps of World War II looming at the horizon. My heart was beating rather quickly, but I mustered some presence of mind and decided not to submit to any of their questioning without a lawyer present, and I told them so.

I was quite relieved when they very politely agreed, even apologized several times for having disturbed and distressed me so much, reassured me that they had not come to arrest me nor was I a suspect in any way and they were simply chasing down terrorists, and left me...
with their calling card and the scary recollections from Elie Wiesel's book "Night" about how the Jewish community was gradually intimidated from similar knocks on the door into a full blown Holocaust. "They never demanded the impossible, made no unpleasant comments, and even smiled occasionally at the mistress of the house ... even brought a box of chocolates. The optimists rejoiced ... 'what did we tell you. You wouldn't believe us. They are your Germans ... where is their famous cruelty.' The Germans were already in the town, the Fascists were already in power, the verdict had already been pronounced, yet the Jews of Sighet continued to smile."

I have no reason to smile. One of the disturbing consequences of being a thinking and educated person who is not ignorant of the lessons of history, and who is not unwary of the propaganda in the mainstream American media that dutifully toes the government's line, as if they were a state sponsored news agency of the Soviet Union of the yore, is the awesome realization that I am living in a nation that is fast declining into the worst form of Fascism ever to exist. For like the people in Plato's mythical cave, where all they can see and experience is the reality synthesized for them by the spinning controllers outside the cave, the majority of the kind people in this great nation are becoming enslaved and don't even realize it. It is worse than a gilded cage, because there is no need for a cage for the "Prisoners of the Cave" (see Part 2 of this book). Is it any coincidence that the United States is the only country in the world in which while there have been record antiwar protests in many of its cities, the vast majority of its mainstream public overwhelmingly supports the "war on terrorism" as evidenced by opinion polls and the rising popularity of its President? Does this perhaps have anything to do with the fact that the mainstream public is also incessantly being exposed to the government leaders arguing the justifications for this "fictitious war" with "fictitious facts", necessitating all this curbing of civil liberties to hunt down the "fictitious fifth columnist" - and not to the opposing voices and reasoned critics who possess the tools and knowledge to dis-
mantle and deconstruct this "fiction" that is perpetuated through mindless repetitions of "officials say" in the well oiled media machinery? The unnerving similarities between the rise of Fascism in Germany - the Third Reich, and what is happening now in this once great and hospitable nation for all immigrants - the making of a Fourth Reich, is making me reconsider whether I should pack my bags and catch that last boat out of this place before this impending "Red Yellow and Green" travel ticket labeling technology of the Total Information Awareness (TIA) system inadvertently closes even the doors of exit for its victims.

Then the door bell rang again three weeks later. After the FBI's first visit, I had immediately called the National Lawyers Guild for advice. Thanks to the fliers they had distributed everywhere, we had put up their phone number up on the wall and didn't have to hunt for it. They immediately gave me the name and contact for a wonderful attorney, who in turn met with me to explain to me what was really going on in the immigrant community with respect to this "war on terrorism". "What they were really after was compiling an extensive database of every detail of your life", my lawyer explained. I had been under the impression that perhaps the FBI had mistakenly visited me, and I should try to clear up the matter with them so that they wouldn't hassle me at airports when we traveled during the summer vacation with the kids.

My very distinguished and kindly attorney must have surely marveled at my naiveté when he suggested that the FBI is not in the business of clearing people, there was no such thing. All they are doing is compiling a database right now, and who knows how it could be used in the future, perhaps it would be one of the feeders into the TIA system. So why were they asking me all these questions whose answers they should already have in their own computers? Because that was just a pretext to get you to talk about your brother or sister or friend or people at the mosque or to peek at your passport to see where you have been or the content of the discussion you were seen to be having.
around the cooler in your office or with your neighbor or colleague from 10 years ago, etc. etc. explained my lawyer.

Oh boy! I wasn't keen on participating in this Gestapo interrogation, sugar coated though it might have been, but I was still anxious to know what had really triggered the FBI to come to my house like that. Being a law abiding and tax paying engineer by profession, with more than quarter century patents, having contributed to the computer industry that fueled the silicon valley technological revolution even if only as a cog in the capitalist machinery, and having lived more than half my life in this country pursuing the proverbial American dream, of making an honest living and raising a family in the pursuit of life liberty and happiness for all, it was quite distressing to me that I should be visited by the FBI at my home. They had caught me in my pajamas enjoying a sunny afternoon, without any intimation of their visit. Not the best way to seek cooperation - only foster intimidation. So my lawyer decided to write them a letter seeking further clarification on the real reasons for their visit to my house, whether I was some sort of suspect or not, and declining to being interviewed by them or anyone else until we got some clarification.

Furthermore, the two men who had identified themselves as FBI agents left me their business card that stated they were from the local Sheriff's office, "Special Operations Division - Criminal Intelligence/Vice Unit", and not the FBI. After they had left, while waiting to get in touch with my assigned attorney, I had called the number of the Sheriff's office by first getting it from 411 (information) just to verify that it wasn't some kind of con game for getting me to divulge my social security number, and I was told that the officer was indeed working there but was on special deputation, and they could not say any more. When I told my attorney about my sleuthing, he seemed quite surprised that the local county Sheriff's office was cooperating in conducting intrusive interviews of the immigrant community when several local cities had passed resolutions not to cooperate with the FBI in their enactment of the draconian Patriot Act.
So now three weeks later when I greeted two new strangers at the door flashing their Homeland Security badges at me, I was initially stunned. They again asked for me by my name, and questioned me whether I was a citizen or permanent resident, and wanted to see my green card when I answered them. But this time, for some reason, I felt myself getting mad. I asked them what kind of information system they had that they know my name and address but cannot look up my immigration status on their computers, that they physically had to drive up here to question me when they could have simply sent me a letter requesting my presence at their immigration office to verify my status. They muttered something about their databases or computers from the 70s and 80s not working properly with modern systems, I did not quite understand their explanation. And my mind was doing a logical analysis of why couldn't they have simply written to me if that was indeed the case, to come down to their office with my green card? And if I was a flight risk or something, then why wait 3 weeks after the first FBI visit. And especially after I had specifically indicated through my lawyer to the FBI that I did not want to be disturbed like this? Or was this a brand new visit, unrelated to the previous one? And then I also got a little afraid, because two plus two was adding up to five.

So I asked them to wait until I contacted my lawyer to see what my options were, that I was only going to cooperate with them to the extent that they were lawfully entitled to, and that I was going to assert every single right that this country's Constitution afforded me. And I let them know in no uncertain terms that I was upset at their visit to my home. They warned me that unless I produced my green card right there and then, they will arrest me. I responded that I wanted to verify whether they indeed had this right to ask for my green card by making this cold call visit to my home, when they were not making similar visits to any of my neighbors nor seeking this information from anyone else other than brown skinned people. After a bit of loud argument in which they wanted to know whether I was calling them racist,
and that they themselves were of Hispanic origin and were not racist, I said no I was not calling them racist and would they kindly allow me to make this phone call to my lawyer, they graciously agreed. But they also requested that I return them the courtesy by leaving the front door open, to which I agreed by saying that normally we are very hospitable people and under any other circumstance I would have invited them in and offered them tea, but that in this case they were kindly not to come inside my house without a warrant. Now that I look back on that moment, I am very surprised that I had the chutzpah to stand up to the Homeland Security people like that, and also quite relieved that they turned out to be tolerant enough to not arrest me for it. I must admit that it also gives me hope that all is not lost yet, that old laws are still being upheld and the basic decencies of their executors still does shine through their facades.

So I called my lawyer while the G men waited at the open door. The poor attorney who despite being quite sick very kindly answered his cell phone, and confirmed to me that the immigration and homeland security folks could ask me for my immigration status by making a cold call visit to my home. It appeared that the FBI had sent in bigger guns. So I dug out my green card from the secure passport container that I keep it in for safe keeping along with rest of our travel documents and showed it to them. Now a new drama ensued. First one of the officers examined it carefully and said something that sounded like "this is not valid". And I freaked out. What do you mean it's not valid? Me, the meticulous person all of my life in every such detail, how can my green card not be valid? The officer said I should get a new one, that it was a lot nicer. And now I am even more confused. What has nice got anything to do with it?

I asked him to explicitly state to me in clear language what was wrong with my green card which I had carried with me faithfully for almost 19 years now. No one at the port of entries during our summer travels previously had ever said a word about it not being valid. In fact, they would simply scan it and presumably all my history would show up on
their computers and they would happily waive me on, never even asking me any of the questions they usually asked other brown people with green cards. So what was up here?

The officer called someone on his cell phone, read out my green card number, got some kind of confirmation, and made me feel quite relieved when he stated to me that there was nothing wrong with the green card and that it was still very valid, but that I should get the new one because it was a lot nicer! Now, my green card is the permanent type that does not need to be renewed during one's lifetime, whereas the new ones, I understand, need renewal every so many years. I was not about to trade in my precious permanent credential for something that might look a lot nicer. Who cares how it looks? And besides, who knows, perhaps it has a microchip embedded in it that tracks all your movements, as part of the TIA. In any case, after the Homeland security officer had cleared my green card and advised me to always carry it on my person instead of keeping it in a safety box, and panic had subsided, a strange thing occurred.

By this time it must have been at least 20 or more minutes and we seemed to have developed a rapport of some strange Orwellian sort through it all. The weeks and months of unvoiced frustration that had been building up inside me about the harassment and intimidation that the Muslim community was suffering unjustly, all due to the adventurism of the few in the White House, suddenly came to the surface. Strangely, now I became their interlocutor. I called them back as they started to leave, and started lecturing them on how unhappy I was about this whole thing, how civil liberties were being eroded in the name of security. They remarked that they were only following an order from up above and did not know why they were sent to my house, to which I reminded them of what Eichmann said in his Jerusalem trial, that he was just following orders. Then they argued that they were just doing this to keep their streets secure, and I reminded them of what their own founding father the great Benjamin Franklin had said about the preciousness of freedom, that those who trade their essential
liberty to obtain a little temporary safety, deserved neither.

Then my wife showed up from work, and being even more outspoken than me, and being a US citizen, she got very upset at their visiting our home like this, attempting to terrorize and intimidate us with their Gestapo tactics and she said so. And then she said, "perhaps when I return home one day I will not find my husband because he would have been hauled off to Guantanamo Bay". So what does one of the officer respond with? Instead of reassuring us that something like that wouldn't happen, that we weren't suspects or anything, like the FBI agents had done previously, he goes: "Well I have been there, it's not such a bad place". And I remarked "were you on the inside or the outside?", quite incredulous at this insane justification for hauling someone innocent off to the prison camp in Guantanamo Bay where according to all reports, the conditions of the interred even in the most optimistic manner can be best described as inhuman. And he responded by saying something even more bizarre "well aren't we are, all inside of something!" I could feel a Kafkaesque moment coming on. Were they playing with me or was it just that they couldn't think fast enough at their own interlocution at our hands?

I felt that just the fact that they had knocked on my door and no one else's in the neighborhood, was racial profiling and a presumption of guilt on their part, and a very intimidating moment for me because now I was going to have psychological problems about door knocks and door bells. And I also worried that my questioning them about their right to do so might lead them to think that I was trying to hide something. So I explained out loud to them that while I had nothing to hide, there was no reason for them to be suspicious at my wanting to assert my rights. I was living in a country which did accord me such rights, which is why I was living here and not elsewhere in the first place. I lectured them that it was unfair that they had their periscopes drawn on the Muslim community, that despite this "war on terrorism", innocent until proven guilty was a fundamental edict of this nation and cannot be wiped away by the Patriot Act 1, intrusive and obnox-
ious though it may be. And I even cautioned them, just in case they were not aware - few people are, of the looming Patriot Act 2 which is far more insidious and dangerous proposal for all US citizens and would impact them and their children and grandchildren far more than it would impact me, since I am not a citizen. I told them the story of the proverbial frog which can easily jump out of instant hot water and escape, but not from the reassuring warmth of gradually heated lukewarm water whose temperature is raised slowly to a boiling point, one civil liberty at a time, until there is no escape, only Fascism. They just stared at me, perhaps confounded by my spontaneous burst of outspokenness. Perhaps they had not expected such a reception, perhaps they were usually accustomed to the acquiescence of people they had been visiting up to that time, and had not thought about any of these issues as they carried out their normal course of duties. Like it used to be in Germany!

Why was I so garrulously lecturing the two officers from Homeland Security on the erosion of civil rights? As I am sure they must have wondered while they seemed to have all the time in the world listening to my rant. I don't know whether they were recording my speech surreptitiously or were simply trying to placate me, perhaps they felt badly, I don't know. But I answered their unstated question quite clearly that I wanted them to go home and reflect on these persecutory orders that they were following blindly. I told them quite frankly, that well intentioned though they might be in diligently trying to do their jobs to earn a living, the unintended consequences of their actions was having a drastic effect. It was not only creating fear and terror in the immigrant community and causing discussions in families about whether it was indeed time to leave this country - their home for the past so many years, but also providing legitimacy to these draconian measures by the government. In time, it will come to bite their own families - weren't they of Hispanic origin? At some point during my tirade, they wondered why I had not become a citizen all this time, I had sure been here long enough - as if one's native nationality is of no
value. I felt a bit offended at that question and blurted out that in this unfortunate climate of oppression with G men knocking at my door, I saw no compelling reason to become a citizen of this United States.

I was making these comments to them perhaps at the risk of being labeled "uncooperative" and "non-submissive", and what if that is fed into the TIA system which in turn may deny me boarding my flight when I want to visit my mother in an emergency? Being a computer science person myself, I well understand the pitfalls of false positives of systems like the TIA, where an elephant can easily squeak by but a mouse is netted. And what of my transcribing these events and going public with it? Having been a private person all my life, not much caring for limelight or publicity, as my wife and I endeavor to raise our family with the freedom and opportunity that this country was providing us, will I now pay for exercising my free speech rights, the most prized edict of this nation? But is anyone listening? Free speech only means something when there is someone listening. I can have all the free speech in vacuum and still die from asphyxiation.

Thus ended my saga with the two G men from Homeland Security at my door. I doubt if I made much of an impression on them besides that of a very agitated person. But I do hope I was articulate enough to make them at least think twice before they go knocking on other immigrants home like this, when they can just as easily send them a courteous letter requesting them to bring their paper work to the immigration office for verification. Similarly, there is no need for the FBI to come knocking with such urgency that they even don't see the door bell, they can do likewise and request an audience at a police station along with an attorney. There is absolutely no justification for causing intimidation and fear by knocks on the door at homes in front of family members and children. Unless of course there is a more insidious purpose behind it, that the intent is indeed to intimidate the Muslim and immigrant community and create fear on purpose, so that many of them would simply pack up and leave. This rings too familiar with what transpired with the Jews in xenophobic Nazi Germany, and
I sure hope that this is not true in this country. Thus to demonstrate it is not true, why don't they just stop the harassment with the door knocks? I would have been quite willing to comply with a written request to show up at an immigration office with my green card, albeit I have grave concerns answering unjustified private questions that are just feeders into a database for the TIA. I would probably not answer them. Or would I? Two plus two can ultimately still make five!

Before they departed, the two officers did advise me that if we did not like the new laws being framed in this country, my wife being a US citizen, should write to our congress person and be an active participant in the democratic process. The conversation with them overall was quite cordial, albeit their responses at times didn't always make much sense to me - perhaps they were an invitation to keep talking, and there was indeed an Orwellian touch to the whole thing. I did not feel threatened by them except at the beginning when they wanted to see my Green card or else, and under any other 'normal' circumstance, these guys could very well have been my respected colleagues at work. And that is indeed one of my biggest fears, that ordinary well intentioned people, may end up becoming complicit in perpetuating the most heinous crimes of the future through their silence or unquestioning inadvertence of their jobs - yet again.

My attorney later told me that the first FBI agent called him up in response to his letter, and much after this second visit by Homeland security, identified himself as an FBI agent, and told him that it was a purely "voluntary" questioning they wanted to do of me. When my attorney informed him that I was quite keen on clearing up any misunderstandings they might have but that I would like my attorney to be present, the FBI agent said he did not see any point in interviewing me if an attorney was to be present, and just hung up!

In other words, if I have legal representation, they leave me alone! They only want to interrogate me if I don't have legal representation! And they don't even inform me that it is voluntary when they first come to visit and instead tell me that my name had loosely matched
some terrorist they were hunting down! So much for the State's Security apparatus upholding the public's civil rights in good faith! My knowing and insisting on my rights because of the brochure that NLG had handed out was instrumental in defeating their design of interrogating me without legal representation - or so it appears for the moment. Only for the moment however, as they can always interrogate one at airports, especially on arrival from overseas. In the guise of making immigration checks, they are regularly putting Muslims through a barrage of unjustified questioning and there are no lawyers present then.

It is also somewhat confusing what precisely are their rights under the new Patriot Act 1. Contradicting my attorney's advice to show them my credentials, several other civil rights lawyers including from NLG subsequently told me that even Homeland Security does not have the right and could not have legally arrested me for not showing them my green card on demand inside my own home. This is why I had taken the stand in the first place. But those federal agents just wouldn't back off necessitating that call to my attorney. And while my attorney saved me from further unnecessary aggravation for he quickly realized that I should not become the test case for this, I am somewhat confused about where the new boundaries are between Rights of the people vs. Rights of the new laws, which are themselves unconstitutional. This has become so bizarre that we are debating the legality of unconstitutional laws, but not with respect to the Constitution as it should be, but with respect to what extent they are applicable! I thank my attorney for thinking of my personal well being over and above those of the civil rights cause for which he has devoted his entire life (he even refused to take monetary compensation from me despite my telling him that I could afford to pay him and he instead directed me to donate it when I insisted that he accept payment for representing me). For had he said no instead of yes, I was fully determined to not show them my green card and who knows what might have happened. I was not living in America to experience their State Fascism. I could
certainly experience plenty of it elsewhere in much of the developing world replete with American client-states.

I do not know when there will be another knock on my door. But I dread it very much. Not because I have something to be guilty for, but because it is indeed not a question of innocence or guilt. I am no more guilty than the poor Jew was in the 1930s as he was marched to unspeakable horrors, and it had also started for him with an innocuous knock on the door, only to be apologized for later by the rest of the world vowing never to forget. Or the poor Japanese was in the 1940s as he was unceremoniously scooped into the internment camps at the orders of a US President, again beginning with a knock on the door, only to be apologized for decades later by another US President. Or the alleged communist sympathizer was in the 1950s, when a US Senator used the FBI to run a campaign of fear and terror in the pursuit of a fictitious enemy, culminating in the FBI abusing their powers of surveillance on the respected civil rights leaders in the 1960s, leading to the eventual recognition that security agencies cannot be trusted to uphold the rights of ordinary citizens and a curtailment of their powers in the 1970s, which have now been re-unleashed in the 2000s on the Muslims by yet another overzealous US President.

Is this nation so "memory challenged" that it needs refreshing every decade or so at the expense of witch hunting another minority community? The only thing Muslims are guilty of, is perhaps the hijab they wear, the mosque they visit, the country they hail from, or their skin tone. Why should that elicit a visit from the FBI, when blond haired, church going, white Christian folks are exempt from such visits? Weren't Timothy McVeigh and Terry McNichols Christian terrorists who blew up the Oklahoma state building? While it has not been proven that 9-11 was the work of Muslims, only unsubstantiated blanket assertions made by the American government that it indeed was the handiwork of a handful of Arab "Muslim terrorists" (their bodies were never found, 5 of the 19 names attributed to the dead hijackers were later discovered to belong to innocent people quite alive
and healthy), why is it suddenly okay to hold culpable an entire people because of the sins of individual criminals, even if they were “Muslims”? Such standards if applied to the Jews would lead to an uproar in the American society with charges of Anti-Semitism. And of course never applied to the Christians themselves. Double standards can ultimately, never win - but a price has to be paid for it - again and again.

**Wake up sleeping and complacent America!**

It may have started with the Muslims, but it will not end there. You might be next. Fascism usually starts against a minority group, because it is easier to tolerate since it is only happening to those "damn arabs", not to us - how did our oil get under their sand anyway? But the laws that are getting on the books in the persecution of the minority, tend to stay there for a long time. If not you, then your children will surely pay the price. Are the Bill of Rights so trivial that you can stay silent? If not for reasons of compassion for another, then at least for the logic of self preservation, do not let this injustice prevail.

It will be of no compensation when several Ph.D. theses will be written by the succeeding generations about why and how their parents' generation could remain so silent, as a once democratic country with such lofty ideals, slowly descended into a Fascist state within, and the world's most barbaric imperial power outside. All the warning signs are plain to see, their designs even documented by the imperial perpetuators themselves, if only one can read. The images of reality pleadingly tell the truth - if only one is allowed to see them.

If we, all of us, do not arm ourselves with knowledge and critical thinking now, and don't exercise our Constitutional rights in publicly challenging the injustices done in our name, it might indeed be too late by the time we do finally wake up, when they would dare knock on anyone's front door, not just the Muslims. And no rights left to legally protest! Countless would have paid the price for our privilege of writing remorseful theses, soul searching books, sorrowful poetry, and
sheepish apologies, in the future - yet again.

**Epilogue**

Taking a leaf out of my own book, I gave my first interview to the American news media in a joint press conference with the ADC (American Arab Anti-Discrimination Committee), the ACLU (American Civil Liberties Union) and the NLG (National Lawyers Guild) on May 28, 2003, attempting to tell the whole world what they were trying to do to the Muslims. I called up all the organizations I could think off, from Washington DC to San Francisco, including CAIR (Council on American Islamic Relations), and told them my story if they had 5 minutes to spare. I was quite certain that my phone was tapped, and I made it a point to speak very openly about my views in the hope that any eavesdroppers might actually learn something from me that would make them ponder. The authorities have mercifully left me alone since their last visit. I traveled overseas during the summer 2003 despite apprehensions of getting a grilling at the airports, and was pleasantly surprised to face no questioning of any sort, either upon departure, or upon re-entering the United States several months later, using the same Green Card that I have had for 20 years now. Perhaps some guardian angel has been watching (over) me.

**Endnote**

The two visits by the FBI and Homeland Security occurred in mid March and early April 2003, respectively. For days after the second visit by the Federal agents, I was very stressed out. I just could not figure out what they wanted from me, and why they had chosen me. I kept bugging my attorney with questions about how they could get hold of my name, until he suggested I take a look at the local newspaper of that day in which there was an article about the success of the FBI interviews with the local immigrant Iraqi community just as the bombing of Iraq was underway. He suggested that if their visits were
bothering me so much that I couldn't sleep, that perhaps I should consider talking to the reporter who had written that story and enlighten them on the tactics the FBI was using that was being called “success”, and that perhaps this would bring further attention to the Patriot Acts and how they were being used intrusively against innocent people. The idea of going public with my story took instant root, but instead of talking to the media as I was apprehensive that they will not tell the entire story or butcher it up as they normally tend to do, I sat down the same day, on Tuesday April 6, 2003, and wrote the bulk of this essay. The next day I surprised my attorney with a copy as a record of these events for his file. I also gave a copy to the NLG. They showed it to a TV documentary crew from Germany, who in turn called me up and asked to make a documentary on my family as part of their coverage of the emerging police state atmosphere in America showing how the Patriot Act had affected the lives of ordinary people in America, to be aired on public TV in France and Germany. I offered them an interview in my lawyers office, but they didn't think that would make good television viewing, and instead wished to film my family in our daily routine and open up the privacy of our home to the world. I wasn't ready for that yet, and declined.
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Chapter 5

Most Frequently Asked Question

Prove to me that the 9/11 Narrative is a Big Lie

Abstract

There are mainly two global schools of thought today on what transpired on September 11, 2001. The first says evil Muslims under the leadership of Osama Bin Laden and an organization called Al-Qaeda, principally carried out that catastrophic terror act on American soil. Minor variations salt that narrative spanning the gamut of plausible sounding arguments from anticipated blowback of iniquitous global policies of the superpower to conspiratorial covert blessings and/or active collusion of the Western intelligence apparatuses, including that of the United States, Israel, Pakistan and others. The majority of the world's offi-
cialdoms, and the public mind, have accepted the un-
salted version. Today that narrative advanced by the
superpower and its surrogates underwrites history
books, law books, policy prescriptions, fine literature,
and even melodrama as an accepted historical fact.
The salted variations mainly sell books. The second
school of thought says that the entire first narrative
can be nothing but a Big Lie and all its salted versions
deliberate red herrings which principally serve to
sanctify the Big Lie. This article underwrites the latter
argument by advancing the logic method known as re-
ductio ad absurdum on empirical evidence. It is a ra-
tional method used in court systems worldwide which
any ordinary man and woman of sound mind capable
of serving for jury duty can employ on their own to
separate fact from fiction. No judge dare reject this
forensic technique unless he is running a kangaroo
court --- which is perhaps why no legal mind has to
date dared to bring this most straightforward argu-
ment before the International Criminal Court System
in the Hague despite all their vocal dissent as the bril-
liant consciences of the world. It is the one sure-fire
proof of the Big Lie that can immediately lead to
sleeping with the fishes for anyone presenting it with
any effective measure of legal visibility. That crucial
omission is the veritable indicator of the self-serving
moral corruption of the finest intellectual minds
today, or when innocent of that charge, perhaps their
complete indoctrination. The global impact of this co-
option is that the Big Lie of the twenty-first century is
rapidly getting sanctified as “whole truth” with no
moral giants (or even midgets) effectively standing in
its way.
The proof is quite elementary; requires at best high-school level reasoning skills to analyze on the anvil of logic and empiricism alone; no faith is required. And no Ph.D. is required either. What follows is the most fundamental argument. It is both necessary and sufficient, meaning complete, to demonstrate the assertion of the Big Lie of 9/11.

Let's start with the dictionary definition:

**Big Lie**

*noun*

a false statement of outrageous magnitude employed as a propaganda measure in the belief that a lesser falsehood would not be credible.

Matching Quote

“... All this was inspired by the principle--which is quite true in itself--that in the big lie there is always a certain force of credibility; because the broad masses of a nation are always more easily corrupted in the deeper strata of their emotional nature than consciously or voluntarily; *and thus in the primitive simplicity of their minds they more readily fall victims to the big lie than the small lie*, since they themselves often tell small lies in little matters but would be ashamed to resort to large-scale falsehoods. It would never come into their heads to fabricate colossal untruths, and they would not believe that others could have the impudence to distort the truth so famously. Even though the facts which prove this to be so may be brought clearly to their minds, they will still doubt and waver and will continue to think that there may be some other explanation. For the grossly impudent lie always leaves traces behind it, even after it has been nailed down, a fact which is known to all expert liars in this world and to all who conspire together in the art of lying. These people know only too

So let's unpack the Big Lie of 9/11 from first principles: empirical observation on available data.

But first, further elaboration of the Big Lie is in order for its accurate contextualization to the present. See the shaded region on Hitler's attribution of the Big Lie to the Jewish tribe in Mein Kampf. *Exhibit-A* lists the names of some of the prominent front members of this tribe in positions of ruling power who are at the forefront of the Big Lie today. The incomplete compendium of dissent-chiefs, *Songbird or Superman*, analyzes the writings of some of those distinguished members of this tribe who prominently play “antagonist” of ruling power while continuing to echo the same Big Lie in the presuppositions to their energetic arguments. It is the only acceptable form of dissent against Western state terrorism which is not marginalized by the ruling systems of power. If anything, this “acceptable” dissent is glorifyingly anointed and presented to the world as the shining example of democracy in action which famously tolerates dissent. All other resistance to power, all dissent that strikes at the real godhead of power and exposes its core lies and agendas, including this analysis which boldly takes on the Big Lie itself, is marginalized by both sides of this Übermensch tribe: those manufacturing consent in the mainstream and those playing “acceptable” dissent in dissent-stream, as expected.
Hitler's attribution of the Big Lie to the Jews

We are going to morally ignore the fact that the very next passage in Mein Kampf following the quoted sentences above makes the following blanket observations typical of Hitler's fascination with, and contempt for, the Jewish race:

“From time immemorial however, the Jews have known better than any others how falsehood and calumny can be exploited. Is not their very existence founded on one great lie, namely, that they are a religious community, whereas in reality they are a race? And what a race! One of the greatest thinkers that mankind has produced has branded the Jews for all time with a statement which is profoundly and exactly true. He (Schopenhauer) called the Jew 'The Great Master of Lies'. Those who do not realize the truth of that statement, or do not wish to believe it, will never be able to lend a hand in helping Truth to prevail.” --- Adolf Hitler, Ibid.

We do so despite the actual fact of the matter that it is principally the Jews today, spanning the gamut of opinion makers from manufacturing consent to manufacturing dissent, and from left to right, who are leading the sanctification of the Big Lie of 9/11: that it is Muslims and radical Islam that attacked the United States of America, as already examined in Sanctification of the 9/11 Narrative. In our challenge to liars and mass murderers, their aiders and abettors, we do not condemn an entire people from among whom these Superman, sociopaths, and mercenary henchmen of today spring from.
We seek to unmask all liars of every denomination, race, caste, creed, and heritage, irrespective of their self-identification. For, in the construction of the Big Lie of 9/11, all liars of all faiths and persuasions, including Muslim leaders and scholars, Christian leaders and scholars, Hindu leaders and scholars, Communist leaders and scholars, are equally complicit and culpable, each according to their own measure for all the evil which has followed from their echoing the Big Lie.

The White Man's burden today is quite uniformly distributed among Jews, Christians, atheists, and even Muslim *house niggers*, and all bear a fair measure of culpability but not through guilt by association with common heritage, race, religion or tribe.

That Big Lie of 9/11 however, is principally manufactured by the Jewish masterminds as already analyzed in the reports: Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation and FBI Muslims and Militancy Considerations. It is an acknowledged public fact that virtually all prominent neoconservatives beating the primacy war drums of naked aggression, military invasions, instituting sanctions upon Muslim Nations starting from the strangulating sanctions on Iraq after the end of the Cold War until the sanctions on Iran today, and most ferociously leading the vile pack of hectoring hegemons pushing the Big Lie of 9/11, the primary drum beaters of Militant Islam and the War On Terror, are American Jews.

The same American Jews also drive America's unfettered support for Israeli Zionism and its state sponsored terrorism in Palestine. These *ubermensch* Jews have as much love lost for the Muslim goy as their fellow tribesmen in Israel demonstrate for the Christian goy.
Indeed, virtually all significant prime-movers who have launched the imperial mobilization of the sole superpower using the Big Lie of 9/11 as the enabling pretext, fronted by their largely Christian political henchman from the White House to Ten Downing Street to other Western state podiums all across Europe, are Jews. It is also intellectual Jews like Bernard Lewis of Princeton University who have posited the doctrinal motivation of clash of civilizations which in turn seeded the Jewish Scholar at Harvard, Samuel Huntington's famous book by that title, both pitting the Judeo-Christian Westerndom against the religion of Islam and Muslims with their vile demagoguery. And it is again intellectual Jews like Noam Chomsky of MIT who have upheld the Big Lie of 9/11 while pretending to dissent with imperial mobilization. See Exhibit-A and Songbird or Superman – You Decide! respectively, for the partial list of Jewish names advocating Machiavellian aggression, and those who pretend to dissent with them while willfully echoing their Big Lie.

It is a great WWF wrestling game between manufacturers of consent and manufacturers of dissent, both of whom retain the same core axioms as inviolable truths upon which they each engineer public opinion for their respective flock. All led by Jews, in plainsight.
Incestuous self-reinforcement has been taken to dizzying new heights to foster the Orwellian paradigm of full spectrum thought control of the public mind. Their impact in collectively echoing the Big Lie of 9/11 is already monumental. For, upon its criminal foundations, not only imperial mobilization for constructing a new World Order on the planet that has already seen the immediate construction of police-state worldwide (most shockingly in the leading western nations like the United States and the United Kingdom), but also the long term sanctification of a new global theology to be passed on from generation to generation diabolically maligning Islam as the inevitable road to 9/11 against which the freedom-loving West only waged its “just war” in reaction, as the official history books are already recording all across the world, have been most cunningly built.

Generations across the world are going to grow up believing this Big Lie of 9/11. Students today, from elementary schools to universities, are already being taught that *Ali Baba* fable as fact. Ominous warning is issued to the public through the moving lips of the president of the United States to not challenge or even debate that official “fact”.

As we dare to speak the unpopular truth, that the ruling Jews today are spearheading the imperial drive to legitimize the Global War on Terror on Muslim nations using all the political and psychological tools of persuasion at their disposal, the full paragraph from Mein Kampf only quoted abridged in the *Matching Quote* in the definition of the Big Lie above, has to now be reproduced.

For, the full passage brings to bear a historical pattern, of cunningly legitimizing the waging of wars, above its propaganda noise:
“But it remained for the Jews, with their unqualified capacity for falsehood, and their fighting comrades, the Marxists, to impute responsibility for the downfall precisely to the man who alone had shown a superhuman will and energy in his effort to prevent the catastrophe which he had foreseen and to save the nation from that hour of complete overthrow and shame. By placing responsibility for the loss of the world war on the shoulders of Ludendorff they took away the weapon of moral right from the only adversary dangerous enough to be likely to succeed in bringing the betrayers of the Fatherland to Justice.

All this was inspired by the principle--which is quite true in itself--that in the big lie there is always a certain force of credibility; ...” --- Adolf Hitler, Ibid.

Adolf Hitler, in contradiction to popular belief among Western academics, journalists, and statesmen alike, was not the inventor of the construct of the Big Lie. The head of the German Christian master race attributed the Big Lie to the Jews and not to his own genius for propaganda. Hitler berated this group of liars for having crafted the myths to confer legitimacy to the merciless strangulation and destruction of Germany by the Allies of World War I with the Big Lie. As quoted in the report on the Mighty Wurlitzer, Hitler also admitted how he mastered the craft of his enemies:
“I learned something that was important at that time, namely, to snatch from the hands of the enemy the weapons which he was using in his reply. I soon noticed that our adversaries, especially in the persons of those who led the discussion against us, were furnished with a definite repertoire of arguments out of which they took points against our claims which were being constantly repeated. The uniform character of this mode of procedure pointed to a systematic and unified training. And so we were able to recognize the incredible way in which the enemy's propagandists had been disciplined, and I am proud to-day that I discovered a means not only of making this propaganda ineffective but of beating the artificers of it at their own work. Two years later I was master of that art.” --- Adolph Hitler, Mein Kampf, Vol. 2, Chapter VI, Ibid.

Well, it is an art and craft brazenly put in action replay today by the ruling Jews who are once again spearheading the manufacturing of myths to confer legitimacy to the merciless strangulation and destruction of not just Muslim nations in this perpetual Global War On Terror (labeled World War IV by a former director of the CIA), but also spearheading the construction of police state USA.

All across the world, their surrogate and infantile political leaderships are rushing to implement America's iron-fisted global policies for inflicting police state on their own nations in the name of fighting insurgents and domestic terrorists.
Dismantle that one Big Lie and it all comes crashing down. Which is why the American president asserts don't challenge it! And Americans, scholars and laity alike, comply.

Do these handful of *ubermensch* liars trying to orchestrate global governance with global war-mongering, full spectrum propaganda systems, and in full control of key levers of power of Western states which puts Western militaries and Western budgets at their free disposal, think that Muslims have none among them today who can stand up to their Big Lie?

Would they rather that we all lie down and submit quietly like the self-serving *house niggers* they are used to from the era of the East India Company?

The world has been reduced to a fully *controlled chaos* solely based on that one principal axiomatic Big Lie of 9/11 – that Muslim terrorists wielding *Militant Islam* attacked America.

The craft of propaganda based on the Big Lie perfected by Adolph Hitler, but not invented by him, has the public mind today accepting absurdities upon absurdities, and consequently easily acquiescing to atrocities inflicted upon others as well as upon themselves by the global superstate in the making, in the name of protecting the world's public from terrorists.

Just witnessing what is transpiring at American Airports must make the nation's founders weep profusely in their grave.
Caption: Flying with indignity in America – Do the nation's founders weep profusely in their grave? (image via Susie Castillo's website)
Watch this demolition of WTC-7 at approx. 5:20 pm on September 11, 2001 – the third WTC tower to experience catastrophic destruction on that day in infamy; but it is not claimed by officialdom that it was hit by any projectile or flying airliners. Then how did it collapse like this:

**WTC-7 demolition live footage clip from CBS**

https://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/wtc_7_cbs.mpg

Dan Rather of CBS is heard exclaiming in the background after witnessing the symmetrical destruction of WTC-7 into its own footprint in a straight line at approx. 5:20 pm on September 11, 2001: “... amazing, incredible, pick your word. For the third time today, it's reminiscent of those pictures we have all seen too much on television before when a building was deliberately destroyed by well-placed dynamite to knock it down,”

If you look at this video footage a few times, you can make several empirical observations for which your eighth grade middle school science teacher would simply nod and move on --- these observations are that elementary and anyone among the public is capable of making them:

1. the 47 story WTC-7 building collapses in a straight line, look at the edge of the building;

2. the building does not tilt sideways, nor any of the floors pause even momentarily, but collapses continuously into its own footprint in a straight line;

3. with a stop watch in hand the top most story of the 47 story building is measured to reach the ground in approximately ten seconds;

4. the upper floors appear to fall at almost free fall speed, experi-
encing no resistance from the floors below them, as if the floors below are not there and falling at the same free fall speed, all floors beginning to move simultaneously so that the floor above cannot catchup to the floor below, and this pattern is observed for all the visible floors as they each descend in perfect sync with the one below, until they all finally collapse on top of each other after reaching the growing pile of debris on the ground;

5. the structure on the very top of the building in its center begins to collapse a split second before the top most floor begins its free fall descent; here is a snapshot from another angle where this commencement of collapse is more clearly visible:

6. a little thought on what is observed in items 1-5 suggests that to remove any resistance from the floor below for any floor above requires cutting off that floor's supporting beams and columns from the superstructure of the building in an exact
timing order which mere chaos or random conditions cannot
cause.

This is the empirical pattern of expert controlled demolition. It is
NOT of random structural collapse of a tall building due to multiple,
simultaneous, structural failures hypothesized by some narratives
couched in scientific sounding presuppositions. An example of this
pseudo science is the NIST report explaining WTC-7 collapse through
simulation studies which conveniently ignored both accurate structur-
al design of WTC-7 in their simulation model, and made assumptions
and presuppositions as if trying their imaginative best to come up with
a simulation model that would in fact show the collapse due to the
fire! Empiricism prevails over abstract model simulation in real sci-
ence.

No real 47 story building has actually ever collapsed this way due to
fire damage as proclaimed by NIST simulation. It is neither repro-
clicable nor falsifiable as a proposition and axiom. Whereas, the empiri-
cism of WTC-7 demolition is reproducible in successful controlled
demolitions of tall buildings. This has been witnessed numerous
times.

The first explanation of controlled demolition is not just obvious by
commonsense observation and reproducible for tall buildings, but by
the scientific method known as Occam's razor principle, is the first
scientifically tenable predicate. This concept harbors the key distinc-
tion between axioms of faith and axioms of science. Perhaps the least
understood of all scientific jargons, and by stellar scientists them-
selves who tend to axiomatically presuppose faith in those who fund
and feed them, often in the name of national security and expediency,
than in the rational predicates which in fact enable the methods of sci-
ence to forensically discover natural truths, it is explained in: Disam-
See sidebar.

The rest is political science of imperial mobilization. That is ex-
plained by Zbigniew Brzezinski in his 1997 book: *The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy And Its Geostrategic Imperatives*. The overarching agenda disguised as the exercise of American Primacy and incurring the hatred of the world where today Americans traveling overseas find it more prudent to pretend to be Canadians, is nothing short of creating a World Government. That is explained by Carroll Quigley in his 1966 ode to the financial oligarchy: *Tragedy & Hope: A History of the World in Our Time*.

The foisting of plausible sounding unfalsifiable academic theories upon the public mind to support the a priori conclusion of random collapse due to multiple structural failures, all in the name of science and engineering simulation by respected scientific bodies, is part and parcel of the same Big Lie. Which is why hastily removing the actual evidence from the crime scene before forensic investigators could examine the debris, was so necessary. It made it easier to fashion pseudo scientific theories to surround the detractors of the Big Lie with an abundance of “beneficial cognitive diversity”. The zombie American public with their superfluous faith in their government wouldn't know the difference between science and pseudo science. Their immediate compliance with the official narrative and the national authority figures of science and technology instead of with their own common sense, would achieve the intended *fait accompli*. That evidently is also a most alien political science concept for America's vast *scientific literates corps*, let alone for its gullible public graduating from its vast public school systems at the rate of one million a year. Fortunately, this concept was elegantly explained to the New York Times by the White House senior advisor to president George W. Bush:

'...“That's not the way the world really works anymore,” he continued. “We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out.
We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.”...’ (Ron Suskind, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004)

Well, diligently studying what the “history's actors” actually do with forces and motivations both hidden and unhidden, right alongside the preceding most elementary reasoning on the observations of the naked eye which overwhelmingly indicates controlled demolition as the most straightforward scientific explanation, leads to the realization that WTC-7 must have been secretly pre-wired for controlled demolition well before its actual demolition moment at approx. 5:20 pm on September 11, 2001.

This means WTC-7's controlled demolition was by pre-mediated intent and not just by the happenstance of 9/11. Or, as the officialdom likes to narrate unofficially, due to the explosive and cataclysmic destruction of WTC-1 and WTC-2 towers which caused incidental damages to the surrounding buildings, including to WTC-7 creating fires on some of its floors thus requiring to “pull-it” down in that fashion in order to not cause further loss of life. Its advanced pre-wiring for demolition however, is sufficient indication that WTC-7 had long been secretly planned to be “pulled” down. That alone is sufficient to discredit Ali Baba of having masterminded 9/11, and I could just stop here. But let's just soldier on explicitly to see how two plus two is added to equal four and not five. This is surely the most frequently asked question on the planet after all.

So when could WTC-7 have been pre-wired for demolition? Certainly not on the same day between 8:50 am onwards in the aftermath of WTC-1 and WTC-2 catastrophic destruction and 5:20 pm. The time and tasks necessary for controlled demolition is explained below.

- Who had the means, motive, and opportunity to pre-wire WTC-7 for demolition before that time in complete secrecy?
- Who had the means, motive and opportunity to issue the command to pre-wire WTC-7 for demolition, to finance it, and all
the while carefully protect the demolition prep work of the 47 story occupied building located in the heart of New York City in its most famous public landmark, the World Trade Center, from any public disclosure?

- Who can command such unfettered and unchallenged access to WTC-7, bring to bear such skilled military-grade demolition expertise, and command full secrecy during the prep work and afterwards?

First let's see what it would take to pre-wire a building for perfect demolition into its own footprint with gravity collapse at free fall speed as witnessed in the WTC-7 demolition footage.

As elementary logic would substantiate, it takes several weeks, if not months, of preparation for a controlled demolition such as this: to go floor by floor with the building structural plans in hand; to wire each of those steel-concrete beams and columns with timed demolition charges as is seen in the case for condemned buildings. These buildings are always vacant and the faux ceilings and walls are torn down first in order access the steel substructure underneath that supports the building. Even a slight mistake in timing of individual explosive charges, the demolition sequence experiencing any unexpected latency in receiving the firing command at some explosive charges in relation to other explosive charges, or getting out of sequence in the pre-determined exact firing order, or misfiring, or not firing at all, and the building risks not collapsing perfectly into its own footprint as opposed to what is witnessed in the perfect WTC-7 demolition footage. The building may tilt on one side or another, or the floors below may not collapse completely in the correct time order to enable the free-fall effect as witnessed in the WTC-7 demolition footage to the floors above, and instead create physical resistance which prop up the floors above and retard their collapse, or exhibit some other asymmetry due to imperfections in the demolition strategy, design, or its execution.

To be fully symmetric and perfectly controlled gravity collapse into
its own footprint as witnessed in the WTC-7 demolition footage, none of the aforementioned errors and mistakes can transpire. The explosive cutter charges that cut the steel beams and columns from the building structure itself, and from each other, have to be correctly and expertly inserted floor by floor, beam by beam and column by column on every floor, correctly and expertly timed in the design of its firing sequence with military grade precision, and correctly and expertly set-off with some kind of remote control demolition control system housed outside of the target building. This too must perform flawlessly with military grade precision once the button is pressed to initiate the demolition, regardless of the harshness and chaos of the operating environment such as was present throughout that day on September 11, 2001.

While all this demolition prep work is going on in the building, no civilians can be roaming about the building or sitting in the offices – the legal consequences of being injured alone ensure vacant buildings being an integral part of best practice of controlled demolition prep work. This is why any condemned building to be torn down with controlled demolition is first vacated of all its occupants, their belongings removed, and the site secured to not pose any danger from the dust and flying debris of tearing down the facades during the prep work. If the building has asbestos insulation, the demolition teams must also protect themselves with mask and breathing equipment. All WTC buildings, including WTC-7, are known to have had asbestos insulation.

Such dangerous demolition prep work in an occupied building can only be performed in full secrecy in the guise of building maintenance and renovation, floor by floor, where the entire floor is evacuated, or portions compartmentalized and isolated for the prep work. The demolition prep work simply cannot be performed in the presence of occupants, even if their physical safety is of no particular consideration during the actual demolition such as in a covert-ops inflicted upon an enemy.
As even an iota of rational thinking betrays to any sensible mind, getting hold of the building structural plans, planning the demolition strategy, doing the actual detailed design of bringing the building down symmetrically in a controlled manner with precise timing controls, performing the actual prepping of the building for demolition according to the design, is therefore a many-man, many-month, very specialized and very skilled professionals demolition project!

These professionals mainly only exist in the military, and even the civilian firms involved in structural demolition invariably employ only professionals with such skilled training for these very specialized tasks.

It is not an exercise that can be performed by a rag-tag band of religious Koran-totting jihadists living in the Hindu Kush mountains of Afghanistan who we are told learnt to fly on flight simulators only, without the desire to learn how to land, successfully hijacked four airliners simultaneously with just box-cutter knives in the most armed to the teeth sole superpower on earth, experienced no interdiction by NORAD that is 24x7 on guard to protect the skies of the American nation from sea to shining sea, and rammed two of these airliners at 500 mph into WTC-1 and WTC-2 towers causing them to catastrophically experience full structural collapse at near free fall speed in a mushrooming cloud of dust and smoke, the spectacle of disintegration of millions of tons of steel-concrete structure into instant dust never before witnessed in the history of recorded time, while WTC-7 was brought down by the jihadists by prayers to Allah alone, also in nearly free fall gravity collapse that appears identical to many successful controlled demolition of tall structures seen in Las Vegas and elsewhere around the world. But it is of course the venerable exercise in fable creation which remains unsurpassed in the annals of adventures of the Greek Hercules and the Mesopotamian Ali Baba added together!

Refer back to the dictionary definition of the Big Lie: a false statement of outrageous magnitude employed as a propaganda measure in
the belief that a lesser falsehood would not be credible.

An absurdity so monstrous that it is inevitably believed; with copious help from experts, liars, and the many disciples of Machiavelli and Mephistopheles, all playing the tunes of the Mighty Wurlitzer in synchronicity as is witnessed for the Big Lie of 9/11.

Returning to the first basic question that immediately springs to the mind of an honest man and honest woman: When was such prep work done by demolition experts for WTC-7? Specifically, as the force of logic dictates for an occupied building, under the subterfuge of building maintenance? Once again:

- Who had the means, motive, and opportunity to prep WTC-7 well before 9/11?
- Who had unfettered access to the building months and years prior to 9/11?
- Who had been authorized, and by whom, to perform building maintenance and renovation in the years and months prior to 9/11 on WTC-7?

Not this Herculean Ali Baba named Osama Bin Laden, and not with his band of merry men labeled Al Qaeda. The fabled warriors of Militant Islam did not have access to WTC-7, with the building fully occupied, and by intelligence agencies no less on some of its floors.

This basic fact-check of Ali Baba neither having the means, nor the opportunity, to access WTC-7 for wiring it secretly for expert flawless demolition as witnessed, even if Ali Baba had dreamed of its destruction since his childhood, eliminates him altogether! It also eliminates him as merely a crank seeking publicity if he even dared to accept responsibility for it.

This is the intellectual value of this rejection criterion: means, motive, and opportunity. All three have to be valid and conclusive in order to even deem anyone a real suspect. If any of these factors is not possible or improbable, the suspect is eliminated or his suspectness commen-
surately downgraded. If all these factors have credible preponderance, then more evidence is necessary to convict as just having the means, motive, and opportunity, while necessary, is not sufficient evidence in itself of having committed the crime.

Is it a fact that OBL invaded the United States with box-cutter knives, demolished its landmark structures, and created police-state USA as his final revenge on America?

What is the epistemology of that official “fact”, except for the fiat of power to control the narrative?

How can one apply the “scientific method” to this inquiry to uncover the truth of the matter? Especially when this dogma has so rapidly been sanctified into state religion of the United States of America in much the same vein as the Holocaust narrative has been sanctioned as the state religion of Israel and today dominates the ethos of the Jews?

So let's examine some absurdities that occur if it is still asserted by Machiavelli that OBL did it. Our Übermensch is now standing on very thin ice --- about to sink.

If it is asserted that OBL operatives secretly wired the building over months, then no one saw them bring in the explosives, no one saw them run around floor by floor tearing the walls and ceilings apart to plant charges raising dust and hell in the process? If it is asserted that the OBL operatives were officially hired to do the building maintenance work then why were they knowingly hired? And it it is asserted that the OBL operatives fooled everyone as they secretly planted the cutter charges as part of the building maintenance crew, and they smuggled the considerable amount of explosives in their underwear, well, we already have the underwear bomber you know, ....

These baseless and futile assertions if insisted upon by sophists and the weavers of the Big Lie, lead to manifest absurdities piling up one
on top of the other by the sheer force of logic and credulity. And therefore, all these hypothetical assertions of OBL secretly accessing WTC-7 with his demolition teams stand rejected by the logic of the argument known as *Reductio ad absurdum*.

First its dictionary meaning:

**reductio ad absurdum**

**noun Logic**

1. a method of disproving a proposition by showing that its inevitable consequences would be absurd

2. a method of indirectly proving a proposition by assuming its negation to be true and showing that this leads to an absurdity

3. application of a principle or proposed principle to an instance in which it is absurd

[Latin, literally: reduction to the absurd]

This method of reasoning is used in courts, just as in philosophy, to see through liars and false witnesses, false arguments and sophistry; a rational contribution of the Hellenic Civilization which the West's Renaissance philosophers employed for forcibly extricating Western-dom out of the Dark Ages of Christianity, and which today the West prides itself on from academe to academe and court room to court room.

Applying reductio ad absurdum to our fable to separate fact from fiction indicates that whosoever wired WTC-7 is not whom the 9/11 official narrative apportions the blame for 9/11. In fact, the officials do not even try to explain WTC-7. The official 9/11 inquiry report remained silent on it. And it is shocking to learn that a great many people in the United States are not even aware of WTC-7 demolition.
The Occam's razor principle in science, the gift of the Renaissance Period in the Middle Ages to modern civilization, is the foundation of what is called the “scientific method”. That method entails (1) gathering empirical evidence, (2) making a hypothesis, (3) testing the hypothesis. The Occam's razor principle is to formulate the bare minimum and simplest possible axioms, or presuppositions if you will, necessary for theorizing empiricism; assumptions and presuppositions upon which a hypothesis and its theorizing are based but which cannot be proved to be true, and are therefore assumed to be true with the possibility of falsification.

Falsification means that the axiom or presupposition can be shown to be false by experimentation, observation, or logic. The burden of falsification is upon those who do not accept the truth of the presupposition. The axioms in the “scientific method” are held to be true until shown to be false by someone. Precisely because proving the “truth” on fundamental fronts is always harder and often borders on beliefs. Since it is also true that a general negative cannot be demonstrated, as Carroll Quigley pointed out in his exposition on applying the Occam's razor principle to social sciences in his epistemological book: *The Evolution of Civilizations – An introduction to Historical Analysis*, “we are entitled to make that general negative assumption under the rule of the simplicity of scientific hypothesis, and to demand refutation of such an assumption by specific positive proof.”
The difference between axiomatic presumption of truth in the “scientific method” and in religion, while common to both, is singular. Religion permits no falsification of its axioms. Whereas scientific axioms are in fact contingent on their being falsifiable, which is how man's understanding of the natural and physical world, and all things amenable to the “scientific method” of observation and data collection, theorizing and testing, is advanced. And once deemed false, these falsified axioms are abandoned, or circumscribed to their applicable limits from their generalization as warranted. Whereas, the axioms of religion remain beyond reproach. A religion dies if its axioms are falsified, or even falsifiable. Which is why religion stays frozen in time. Science dies without having falsifiable axioms and would transform into religion. The march of science requires incremental refinement of axioms, or their complete abandonment. And therein the real difficulty commences:

What is empirical data on which we make scientific observations and theorizing – how do we define data? (1) Is it data that is born from official narratives? (2) Is it data that is recovered from declassified documents? (3) Is it the data that is easily accessible to scientists so that the “scientific method” can be applied to it? At some point in that process of defining what is data, and specifically, when data is not directly generated by the observing scientist, nor directly accessible to him, nor directly reproducible by him, axioms must come into play. Axioms that are presumptive, simplest, and falsifiable, by definition of Occam's razor.
When this domain impinges on social engineering and social sciences however, a fuzzy “trust” factor gets coupled to the construction of the axiom, such as trust in government, trust in its institutions, trust in its authority figures that they don't lie, trust that all data is as it appears, that there are no such constructs as the Machiavelli, the Hegelian Dialectic, and diabolical perception management, all of which have the tendency to induce group-think which is more akin to religious faith than to falsifiable scientific axioms.

Those scientists and scholars who remain oblivious to this reality end up upholding unverifiable beliefs in the name of science and scholarship. That is called pseudo science when scientists pursue it, and religion when the clergy pursue it. All the “how” narratives of officialdom on WTC destruction is precisely pseudo science. Principally because all its axioms have become religion, beyond the pale of scientific falsification.

With no WTC debris data available to scientists to analyze, theorize, and test, specifically, test the axioms of state of how it was done, and the president of the United States even warning the world not to question the axioms of state, science of 9/11 has been transformed into state religion. Its scientific upholders, the high priests of this new religion. And like all imperial religions, this one too infected its followers with the drive for imperial mobilization in the name of self-defence; a new la mission civilisatrice launched against the barbarians du jour — all long-learned lessons of history applied to social engineering and empire building.
The wide ranging impact of this new sacred cow state religion of 9/11 far transcends its immediate utility in enabling imperial mobilization and construction of global police state. It is intended to create endless generations worldwide who will grow up believing this Big Lie. And because of it, falsely condemn both Islam and Muslims. It is already fabricating a new “epistemology” for future generation of social scientists, scholars, and clergy, who will write their erudite histories based on these official documents.

To stay silent before this intellectual and spiritual onslaught upon a billion plus peoples who today are spread across all nations and civilizations on earth, is no less a war crime than to commit, condone, or stay silent, on war crimes in the killing fields. As boldly admitted by Professor Carroll Quigley in another one of his impossibly subtitled magnum opus, *A History of the World in Our Time*:

“The destructive impact of Western Civilization upon so many other societies rests on its ability to demoralize their ideological and spiritual culture as much as its ability to destroy them in a material sense with firearms.” --- Carroll Quigley, Tragedy and Hope
As we have now understood, the infamous *Ali Baba* and his *jihadi band* of Al-Qaeda neither had the means nor the opportunity to prep WTC-7 for such expert controlled demolition. Insisting on that proposition by those *innocent of knowledge* leads to absurd consequences.

This controlled demolition as one can easily observe in the video footage is not mere planting of an uncontrolled bomb in a parked truck as we are told was done once before in the attack on the WTC complex in the 1993. It is far more sophisticated and complex requiring commensurate means and opportunity which rules out OBL.

Advancing the logical observations further, because the multiple demolition events are synchronized in the same one day of catastrophic terror, the *New Pearl Harbor*, they are not random events with WTC-7 demolition coming within hours of WTC-1 and WTC-2 through the thick of dust clouds and complete chaos. Just the fact of that synchronization logically indicates to put the blame on anyone else for the first two demolitions is equally an absurdity. WTC-7 had been pre-wired for demolition and its button pushed during the ensuing chaos of WTC-1 and WTC-2 demolition as part of the *New Pearl Harbor*. It is logical to presume for the master crime of the twenty-first century that all its sub events are related to the same prime-movers and part of the same complex jigsaw puzzle to fashion the *New Pearl Harbor*. To gratuitously presume that WTC-7 advanced pre-wiring for demolition is independent of WTC-1 and WTC-2 is absurd. We can only learn more once it is identified who pre-wired WTC-7.

The rejection criterion however has already given us sufficient proof. It has ruled out OBL for pre-wiring WTC-7. The rejection criterion has also asserted that there is another culprit who had pre-wired WTC-7 for demolition. To then insist that *Ali Baba* only demolished WTC-1 and WTC-2 by the conglomeration of Big Lies which have gone into creating the one Big Lie of 9/11, again leads to absurd consequences and is a deliberate attempt to protect that criminal who pre-
wired WTC-7. Furthermore, now convinced by the logical fact of the matter that there is indeed another hidden culprit (or culprits) who has cleverly camouflaged his trail with an endless trail of red herrings planted to deceive the public, the need to similarly examine the equally bizarre and sophisticated demolition of WTC-1 and WTC-2 which is claimed to have been catastrophically destroyed due to the two airliners plunging into them starting a runaway chain reaction, opens up a whole new world of criminal inquiry leading to similar fundamental questions as examined for WTC-7. When we further delve into the devious money trail, the WTC insurance payback trail, the ownership of WTC transfer trail, the WTC tenant occupancy trail, etc., none of which has any pertinence to al-Qaeda's militant Islam, considerable spotlight is shed on the secondary and tertiary financial motives behind WTC demolitions. All told, it brings forth more evidence of hierarchical convolutions in the criminal conspiracy which is directly connected to whoever pre-wired WTC-7. Therefore, any real criminal inquiry into 9/11 can only be suppressed. And at best, yet another “Warren Commission” report issued to sanctify the official narrative, as it was with the 9/11 Commission inquiry report. It is an absurdity to ask for new inquiry from officialdom, both domestic and supranational.

Which is precisely the intent of such pursuits: to introduce delaying tactics with red herrings, knowing fully well that this exercise cannot bear any fruit other than that set in precedence by the Warren Commission to investigate the cold-blooded assassination of JFK. That fatal warning shot to the rebellious American president's head, has been heard loud and clear across time and space to chill all significant dissent by anyone in power with the priorities of the fuzzy national security state which is itself beholden to the oligarchic drive to global government. The extensive bibliography on this subject is so significant, and so plentiful, that those who proclaim ignorance of it in their imposing oratory become part of the many Big Lies and Hegelian Dialectics manufactured to achieve it.
Nevertheless, we in the public can see that once the Big Lie is dismanted for WTC-7, then that automatically leads the mind that is able to reason – which is the minimal requirement for jury duty in the United States and upon which its entire edifice of public trial by the jury of peers is constitutionally based – to also take a more scrutinizing look at the narrative surrounding WTC-1 and WTC-2, and the entire 9/11 story as well, from plane hijackings to Pentagon's sacred armor piercing to the layers of camouflage most cunningly put on the entire project to its financial beneficiaries.

We observe that just this one small examination of who had the means, motive, and opportunity to pre-wire WTC-7 for controlled demolition, is like a child tugging on the loose thread of a wool sweater: it unravels the entire Big Lie of 9/11.

Just as we observed with a layman's scrutinizing eye the news footage video clip of WTC-7 demolition and made some basic empirical observations which anyone among the jury of peers sitting in on judgment over a crime is entitled and able to make without requiring a doctorate in nuclear physics, performing the same experiment with the news footage clips of WTC-1 and WTC-2 is similarly revealing and is left as homework exercise for the reader.

As for the question of what's the overarching motive when OBL's Islamofascism as the motive is ruled out for WTC-7's pre-wiring, it has already been explained, over and over again, how this Big Lie of 9/11 has been used for imperial mobilization as “operation canned goods”. The latter covert operation was devised by Adolf Hitler as his Big Lie in order to launch the Third Reich's imperial mobilization for its German Lebensraum. The motive today is already crystal clear and amply documented in before the fact books and think-tank reports, such as Zbigniew Brzezinski's Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostategic Imperatives, and PNAC's report on Rebuilding America's Defenses which was signed by America's political Who's Who, both of which argued years before 9/11 of the necessity of a New Pearl Harbor without which imperial mobilization would remain still-
Since imperial mobilization is precisely what the sole superpower did post 9/11, it acted on its own pre-advertised primacy imperatives, it is straightforward to assert as veritable statement of fact that the primary motive behind 9/11 being the manufacture of *New Pearl Harbor*. And the masterful public disguise around the Big Lie of a threat to the public sense of well-being from *Ali Baba* being an essential necessity for launching and sustaining that process of imperial mobilization because “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization”. This is all spelled out chapter and verse in Brzezinski's aforementioned book. Robert H. Jackson, the chief prosecuting counsel for the United States, had asserted no less at the Military War Crimes Tribunal at Nuremberg before condemning the Nazi leadership to death, by showing them their own a priori plans for aggression:

“The plans of Adolf Hitler for aggression were just as secret as Mein Kampf, of which over six million copies were published in Germany.” --- Robert H. Jackson, Nuremberg

What remains to learn is Who had the means and the opportunity to carry out the WTC demolitions. Who does the finger point to? What evidence can be brought to bear upon it?

For those unable to read and analyze political science and history, just that one WTC-7 examination opens up the Pandora's box of inquiry into means and opportunity which the Big Liars of 9/11 simply cannot close regardless of what new lies and sophistry they spin or what assault on the human senses they inflict. It is the peerless sword of the intellect that all human beings posses which demolishes them trivially in their Big Lie. But it is only the courage of one's convictions which they mostly remain afraid of. The public knowing without acting on that knowledge is evidently okay for status quo since the evergreen trap of *bread and circuses* is sufficient to neutralize any knowing.

A most preeminent moral scholar of the United States of America
wrote to me the following statement in April 2008 in an email conversation. Since he had written me a private letter and stated that it was for my own benefit (lest I be led astray), because I had written to him earlier to reexamine the demolition of WTC-1, WTC-2, and WTC-7, my interlocutor's identity is not revealed. The passage below captures what is meant by sophistry in support of a priori presupposition:

“Suppose it turns out that there was a controlled demolition. Then the interesting question is: who does the finger point to? The answer is obvious: Osama bin Laden. Al-Qaeda had the capacity: they came very close to blowing up the WTC in 1993. Unlike the Bush administration, they have little to lose if exposed (for the Bush-ites it would be a catastrophe) and a great deal to gain by implicating Saudis, their main enemy (again, for the Bush-ites that's a disaster: it undermined their alleged goal of laying the basis for attacking Iraq -- to do that they'd obviously have implicated Iraqis; it seriously harmed relations with a highly valued ally; and it caused them the extreme embarrassment of having to fly Saudi businessmen out of the country in violation of their closure of airspace -- which appears to indicate that they couldn't have known about it). But I have no particular interest in evidence that al-Qaeda was responsible for blowing up the WTC, and do not understand why the TM is so fixated on trying to establish the obvious conclusion from the thesis that the WTC was destroyed by a controlled demolition.” --- Email letter to Zahir Ebrahim from a preeminent scholar of the United States of America, Mon, Apr 21, 2008 at 8:18 PM

The first statement: “Suppose it turns out that there was a controlled demolition. Then the interesting question is: who does the finger point to? The answer is obvious: Osama bin Laden.”, coming from the
finest analytical mind of America has already been refuted above with **reductio ad absurdum**. The only entity that the finger cannot point to is in fact Osama bin Laden. The means and opportunity yardstick of credibility and culpability already examined in reference to WTC-7 above absolves *Mr. Ali Baba* of having anything to do with its destruction. The rest of the sentences in that passage are making fallacious arguments with extraneous data and disingenuous observations to support an a priori conclusion which is sanctified to remain beyond question. Just as the president of the United States asserts it must remain so. This highly anointed scholar of America goes along with the president of the United States. He further pretends to have never heard of false flag covert ops under the cover of “plausible deniability”. He pretends not to be able to distinguish between manufactured fact for propaganda fodder vs. the reality of the situation despite being an expert on the matter. And he pretends not to be able distinguish between the means and opportunity needed for the ostensibly botched amateurish **uncontrolled demolition** attempt of 1993 with a supposed truck bomb which conveniently opened the opportunity for reconstruction and renovation vs. the means and opportunity required for the flawless expert controlled demolition of 2001.

It would be forgivable if an ordinary street fellow offered such an analysis.

Here is another preeminent scholar of America commenting on the 9/11 fable in his public talk. It is the world renowned dissenting professor of history and author of the best selling work of American history: People's History of the United States, the late Howard Zinn. This Jewish scholar of American history is dear to me because in 2003 when no one would review my maiden manuscript, Prof. Howard Zinn read it twice (!) on a cold call from me to his Boston home, and even wrote me a commendation letter to give to publishers when I asked him for one several months later after being repeatedly turned down by American publishers. This is what Prof. Zinn stated publicly in November 2008:
“Of course as I told you, I never believe the government, or rarely believe the government. Do I believe the government version of what happened? Well, I am skeptical. Do I believe that the government was in the conspiracy to do this? I don't know. I don't know enough about the situation, and the truth is, I don't care that much. That's past. ... the whole argument that the people are engaged in, about, was the government behind a conspiracy to blow up the two towers, to me that's a diversion from what we really have to do, deal with the fact that whoever was behind 9/11, the government took advantage of that, to take us to war, and to put us on a disastrous course, and it's that war, those wars, that disastrous course we have to deal with. I don't want to go back to the controversy that I think is endless controversy, and just gets in the way of dealing with the immediate situation.” --- Howard Zinn, November 2008, Howard Zinn: “I Don’t Care” If 9/11 Was An Inside Job, watch video.

Note that Prof. Howard Zinn, like all the other preeminent dissent chiefs of the United States, also does not care to deal with the prime-mover first cause, the 9/11 Big Lie. He instead prefers just to focus on its immediate after effect: the imperial mobilization. This anomaly is seen repeatedly in preeminent dissent scholarship today which misleads and misguides the public into believing the Big Lie as the key presupposition upon which the rest of the vigorous debate of democracy is constructed. After all, their favorite scholars, actors, leaders, clergy, including even the dissent-chiefs are all saying the same thing. How can they all be wrong? Truth in a democracy is democratic, right?

Well, now the reader can see for himself that all of them are not just wrong, but deliberately part of the Big Lie no differently than in the
Third Reich under Adolf Hitler where that world too sang the same chorus in one voice under the unassailable hubris of victory. As recorded by William Shirer, an American war correspondent stationed in Berlin on the eve of World War II, in his diaries and reproduced in his monumental work published in 1960, The Rise and Fall of the Third Reich:

“Hitler knew the answer well. Had he not the week before on his Bavarian mountaintop promised the generals that he would 'give a propagandist reason for starting the war' and admonished them not to 'mind whether it was plausible or not'? 'The victor', he had told them, 'will not be asked afterward whether he told the truth or not. In starting and waging a war it is not the right that matters, but victory.'” --- William Shirer quoting Adolf Hitler, The Rise and Fall of the Third Reich

This singing pattern of the same Big Lie, willfully echoed throughout the world in multiple voices in our pretenses of democracy which laudingly permits dissent instead of the single voice in the Third Reich's iron-fisted dictatorship which did not, but under similar unassailable hubris of no fear of accountability, no fear of military war crimes tribunals, and no fear of death sentences accruing to vulgar propagandists, has been explained in nauseating detail in the following missives:

[1] Sanctification of the 9/11 Narrative


[3] Response to Chris Hedges' amalgam of half-truths 'A Decade After 9/11: We Are What We Loathe'


[5] Songbird or Superman – You Decide!


**Postscript**

Submitted to *MIT Technology Review and MIT Spectrum magazines* as an alum submission, of how scientists and scholars today subvert both science and religion in the service of empire no differently than they did in the Third Reich. Perhaps that does not happen at MIT? Then surely *MIT Technology Review* would print this article to demonstrate how we live in a free society where this does not and cannot happen among MIT scientists and professors of engineering training its next generation of scientists and engineers. Also submitted to the *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, IEEE magazine, The Chronicle of Higher Education*. I further intend to send this in personal email to all living Nobel Laureates. You are invited to send this to your alma mater newspaper, to discuss it in your classroom, and to counter it with your most scholarly aptitude. Give it your best shot! Unless this analysis is brought into the corridors of mainstream science and social science, it will remain stillborn, preaching mainly to the choir. Please lend a hand. Send it to your local newspapers. Let the scholars of empire in every nation refute it. That will only happen when it is published in mainstream.

**Footnotes**

[a] WTC-7 CBS News Footage Video Clip URL: https://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/wtc_7_cbs.mpg

[b] WTC-1 Demolition News Footage Video Clip URL: https://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/north_towerCollapse.mpeg

[c] WTC-2 Demolition News Footage Video Clip URL:
https://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/south_towerCollapse.mpeg

[d] President Obama News Footage Video Clip URL: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kvg65o7z028


[f] List of Jews and other neoconservatives including Christians, and at least one Muslim carrying water for the *white man's burden*, who have spearheaded crimes of aggression against Muslim nations disguised in the prerogative of hubris which had also infected Adolph Hitler in his invasion of Poland: self-defence against terrorists who attacked the Fatherland, also known as the self-inflicted *Operation Canned Goods*: http://prisonersofthecave.blogspot.com/2007/04/exhibita.html


[i] Jews demonizing Christianity – not in the distant antiquity but today while the goy cheers them on against Islam and Muslims by burning copies of the Holy Qur'an: http://pressreleases-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/05/pr-bible-burning-inzionistan-may252008.html


In his academic study of applying the pristine methods of physical science to historiography and social science, Quigley unfortunately
omitted all considerations actually witnessed when human beings become engaged in any pursuit, including science with its singular underwriting in mega funding. This includes fostering agendas in the guise of pursuing science and scholarship, using methods borrowed from Machiavelli such as deception, and the military such as psychological warfare operation, all of which tune available data, and even fabricate data, to foster a synthetic worldview in the outcome for herding the public mind as presciently demonstrated by Plato in his seminal allegory *The Myth of the Cave*.

Carroll Quigley neglected to articulate the most important consideration for the objective pursuit of any discovery, of reality, of nature, physical, social, political, and history: that a scientist under pertinent circumstances must treat all data as potentially suspect, just as Sherlock Holmes would do, replete with false clues, red herrings, impostors, perception management, all of which contrive to mask not only motivation and causality, but also distort and fabricate what de facto comes to be known as “fact”. Is it a fact that OBL invaded the United States with box-cutter knives, demolished its landmark structures, and created police-state USA as his final revenge on America?

What is the epistemology of that officially documented “fact”, except for the fiat of power to control the narrative? This narrative is what the history books are penning today in its salted variations --- how would Dr. Carroll Quigley, president William Jefferson Clinton's professor at Georgetown University's famous School of Foreign Service, mentor to generations of United States State Department Corps Diplomatique, uncover the truth of that matter without forensic examination of the subject? And how might he even do so with the advantage of distance of time which historians seek, but when there is no actual evidence remaining except competing imperial narratives all echoing the same Big Lie?

Which is why, more often than not, the truth of Henry Ford's poignant wit: “History is more or less bunk. It's tradition.”, betrays a far more
intractable epistemological problem than is acknowledged by professional historians who make a living parsing official narratives. Even though, a passing nod to the recognition of some aspects of the epistemological problem is made by Carroll Quigley in his opus magnum titled *Tragedy and Hope*: “sensible historians usually refrain from writing accounts of very recent events because they realize that the source material for such events, especially the indispensable official documents, are not available and that, even with the documentation which is available, it is very difficult for anyone to obtain the necessary perspective on events of one's own mature life.” Indeed, what also precludes the necessary perspective is to not be cognizant that no intellectual pursuit which is funded from political considerations, from writing histories from official documents to pursuing science and social science, whether funded from public monies or private, whether pursued by governmental bodies or private institutions, can claim exemption from this hard epistemology.

Those arguing otherwise, especially with self-righteous claims of scientific objectivity and moral clarity without recognizing these intractable difficulties, mainly end up with a crippled epistemology which only helps serve political agendas rather than advance the state of understanding of the subject matter. We have seen this repeat time and again just in the last hundred years --- from the political drive to institute the agenda of eugenics based on pseudo science at the turn of the last century, to the political drive to get global warming accepted based on pseudo science to push the agenda of population reduction and carbon credit at the turn of this century. What science is funded, and what isn't, is based on political and narrow self-interests of its funders, an observation which is beyond doubt, it is self-evident. A most brazen and contemporary example, no not from the Dark Ages of Christianity but from the modern Western civilization of today, is the breakthrough research in Cold Fusion by Pons and Fleischmann (then one of the world's leading electrochemists) which was hurriedly squelched by denigrating it as *Junk Science* at the end of the last
century by governmental laboratories led by the US Department of Energy and the White House.

The incredible haste with which the United States government during George H. W. Bush's tenure as its commander in chief, got involved in a purely scientific matter is telling in itself. Instead of letting the "scientific method" take its due course to accept or reject the discoveries of Cold Fusion, the following photograph shows the establishment scientists commissioned by George H. W. Bush Sr. to attack Cold Fusion. This is Martin Fleischmann's reflections a decade later in the year 2000, on how a potentially paradigm altering science discovery which went against the grain of the establishment's priorities was treated by other scientists: Reflections on the Sociology of Science and Social Responsibility in Science, in Relationship to Cold Fusion.

Fleischmann concluded with a forensic question which he left to the readers to answer for themselves:

“Nevertheless, one must ask oneself the question: suppose that one would wish to frustrate research within a given field of research, without wishing to admit that this is ones intent. Then would one not take the steps which have been illustrated by the example of "Cold Fusion"?”

Irrespective of the merit of “Cold Fusion”, the processes adopted to scuttle it is hardly the scientific process! Instead, it clearly spells mercenary scientists using pseudo science in the service of agendas and special interests. All the while wearing the masque of science, and the idiotic mass mind willingly “United We Stand” with that absurdity behind its saints and scholars. That's how they sell soap and elections and democracy – called making the public mind. See Edward Bernays' field manual titled: Propaganda, 1928, in The Report on Mighty Wurlitzer, http://tinyurl.com/MightyWurlitzer.

And we see the same conformity of thought to “United We Stand” with the establishment in its drive to push the absurd official narrative
that is underwriting the political Global War on Terror today proclaimed as World War IV, which, it is similarly argued by imposing science credentials once again, including by tacit acceptance or silence of Nobel laureates in the sciences, the arts, and humanities alike, is based on the establishment's “science of 9/11”. A similar question as asked by Martin Fleischmann may be asked here --- and for which the establishmentarian social scientist par excellence, Dr. Carroll Quigley, left the world of scholarship no epistemological guidance. That most cunningly omitted forensic guidance to give the state a blank check and to foster “religious” faith in its “official documents”, is provided in this tiny footnote! It is left to the reader to decide.

Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2014/04/faq-prove-that-911-narrative-is-big-lie.html

First Published Thursday, April 17, 2014
Chapter 6

Sanctification of the 9/11 Narrative

Long Term Impact of Sanctification of the 9/11 Big Lie

“Holocaust denial is illegal in only 17 countries, most of which are in Europe ... So though the actual number of countries that have criminalized revisionist history isn’t overwhelming by any means, the importance of the outlawing is. Because of it, history is still held hostage to power.” --- Lila Rajiva*

While the last sentence in the statement above by American rebel extraordinaire of Indian origin, Lila Rajiva, is a truism; and the first sentence captures a monumental crime against the intellect which even surpasses the supreme crime of the Hellenic Civilization, the hemlock administered to Socrates; and while a fait accompli cannot (practically) be reversed once generations grow up in any sanctified narrative, the more pertinent issue for any generation is the sanctification of a
narrative in the present tense.

That narrative of the present tense one can always do something about before it gets fully sanctified as public religion and new generations grow up believing and naturally resisting any tampering with their core beliefs. More psychologically potent the belief, and more its political utility, more the indoctrination systems get established in society to inculcate and promulgate those beliefs, and more the resistance of hoi polloi mind to altering it with fact-check, analysis, empiricism, and all left-half brain oriented logical synthesis and deconstruction – because, the right-half brain dominates when faith, religion, dogma, and beliefs are brought into question. That has been recognized not just by the clergy from time immemorial, but by both Machiavelli and Superman.

In our generation, it is the pious sanctification of the 9/11 who dunnit narrative now in brazen progress.

This is how the incumbent president of the United States of America, the unchallenged and preeminent armed to the teeth sole superpower on earth, takes over the continued construction of the Big Lie from where his predecessor first mobilized it into a full blown World War IV.

President Obama warns not to challenge the official narrative of 9/11

[ http://youtube.com/watch?v=kvg65o7z028 ]
Caption Watch President Obama making the Big Lie sacrosanct:

“I am aware that there is still some who would question, or even justify the offense of 911.

But let us be clear. Al Qaeda killed nearly 3000 people on that day.

The victims were innocent men, women, and children from America and many other nations who had done nothing to harm anybody.

And yet Al Qaeda chose to ruthlessly murder these people, claimed credit for the attack, and even now states their determination to kill on a massive scale. They have affiliates in many countries, to try to expand their reach.

These are not opinions to be debated. These are facts to be dealt with.” --- President Obama, Cairo Egypt, June 4th 2009.
See full analysis in the Report on Mighty Wurlitzer:
http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/05/note-on-mighty-wurlitzer.html#Wikileaks-OBL

That is the new core narrative, the imperially asserted by fiat “facts to be dealt with”, the sacrosanct Big Lie of empire which has singularly enabled its own far greater “imperial mobilization” to a far greater Lebensraum, the whole of earth for one-world government.

And the most revealing thing is to perceptively note who else is participating in the Big Lie in making it sacrosanct right alongside the vulgar propagandists of empire all of whom, by the standards already established by the Allies joint Military Tribunal at Nuremberg for hanging the Nazi propagandists and war-mongers, would also hang without folly. It is a new breed of philosophers not known to exist in Nazi Germany, and carefully manufactured since then.

It is the breed of propagandists who appear to be preeminent dissenters in the advanced democracies of the West, but who echo the same core narrative of the Big Lie as the vulgar propagandists of empire.

Virtually all such chiefs, I would argue before any War Crimes Tribunal that would be bold enough to try the first group, are no different. They are merely two sides of the same imperial coin – to diabolically engineer the public mind in the service of the exercise of imperial power which would otherwise not be possible among a people not popularly imbued with the spirit of la mission civilisatrice.

The dissent-chiefs equally serve to deceive their own constituency - the rare public mind among hoi polloi that exhibits an ounce of moral gravitas and refuses to accept the mainstream propaganda fare easily accepted by the rest of hoi polloi.

Such minds were easily annihilated or silenced in the Third Reich where there were no pretenses of advanced democracy to be upheld. Nazi Socialism had decreed a divine master plan for the fatherland. Whereas the dissent rebels are permitted to exist in its replacement
that must maintain the illusion of freedom of speech, of dissent, and of advanced democracy in action which permits vigorous debate as the beacon of Western civilization to the rest of the world.

In Dante's conception of his Inferno, I would argue that they deserve to be in the lowest and innermost circle of hell - because, they are the most villainous in their deception and deceit. They contribute to the successful sanctification of the Big Lie while pretending to be great moralists among the very audience who have the willingness and moral gravitas to endeavor in abolishing the deception and look up to these Superman for moral guidance.

This is a most distinguished group of sanctifiers indeed. Today, all of them echo in exact synchronicity, the who dunnit sacrosanct axiom of 9/11, while they boldly dissent with the exercise of hegemony that stems directly from upholding that sacred axiom.

**Because of them, this new sacred narrative of 9/11 now under sanctification during our own lifetime, will create endless generations believing the Big Lie. And because of it, condemning Islam and Muslims.**

The following graph is intended to set the stage for it, which is why it was made available so diligently to the public:
Caption An FBI presentation titled “Militancy Considerations” measures the relationship between piety and violence among the texts of the three Abrahamic faiths.

I will take the bet that within a few years, this Jews led dominant narrative of 9/11 which has seeded World War IV, like the Holocaust™ seeded in World War II, will become 9/11™.

Not just 17 nations, but all nation-flags of the world in world government, will make it illegal to question that enabling Big Lie that brought global governance and global police-state to the new global Lebensraum.

When a narrative is sanctified, not just culturally, but also legally with specific entitlements to the state penitentiary or to St. Elizabeth for blasphemy against it, then, irrespective of what that narrative is, an iron curtain outlasting generations is diabolically lowered around the
public mind from which a civilization or society simply cannot escape. What can be a greater crime against humanity than that -- enslaving for eons, generations in false beliefs, which in turn inform and govern their public and private behavior.

As already stated, while for the previous sanctifications of history nothing substantial or pragmatic can be done today as the powers that benefit from its sanctification are all ubiquitous, omnipotent, and omniscient, always proclaiming that “absence of evidence is not evidence of absence” with a straight face, and that perhaps is the only arguable justification brought to bear on the sacrosanctness of Holocaust™ (as the extensive public evidence compiled at http://ihr.org overwhelmingly substantiates), for the present day 9/11 narrative that has still not quite become TM, its fait accompli can still be interdicted.

Unfortunately, those able to do so with the most potency and practicalness, are already in the class to be held in the court abbey in iron chains if an absolute standard of moral justice ever prevails. No such standard is visible in political reality although always in lip-service as needed. While the surviving Nazi leadership was condemned to hang by Robert H. Jackson, the prominent chief prosecuting counsel for the United States and justice of US Supreme court, brilliantly declaring to them and to the war-weary world watching in 1946 the absolute standard of justice upon which they were being sentenced to death and not in victor's justice:

“If certain acts of violation of treaties are crimes, they are crimes whether the United States does them or whether Germany does them, and we are not prepared to lay down a rule of criminal conduct against others which we would not be willing to have invoked against us.” ;

immediately thereafter, in 1951, Justice Vinson of the US Supreme Court, declared the following:

“Nothing is more certain in modern society than the
principle that there are no absolutes, that a name, a phrases, a standard has meaning only when associated with the considerations which give birth to nomenclature. To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative.”

Flushed with unassailable hubris on being on the side of empire in its core Big Lies, the murderers today, their aiders and abettors, assistant stooges and dupes, whether engineering consent or dissent, all well understand that: “All murderers are punished unless they kill in large numbers and to the sound of trumpets.”

Since all ideas are relative as already boldly proclaimed by the highest court in the reigning sole superpower, but which is of course also always true in practice (with or without declaration) for every king in every kingdom, they each understand that no one can even plausibly hang them for their measure of participating in propaganda and war crimes by echoing the Big Lie alongside the emperor. They know that today, there is no power greater than the emperor's to pursue them even in some wishful victor's justice. Secure in that knowledge, it is safe to be a propagandist of any flavor on any side of the coin – take whichever position you like based on your psychological disposition, natural talent, skill, and egotistical gratification.

See this open letter to their most prominent and respected leader - Goebbells' direct counterpart Machiavellianly manipulating the dissenting minority of hoi polloi by echoing the Big Lie underneath all the protestations of the already visible barbarianism of hegemony:


There is more here - the group of brilliant dissent-chiefs who echo and sanctify the core Big Lie:

http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2013/09/songbird-or-su-
There are many more to expose. You know who you are --- and so do hoi polloi reading this.

There really does not seem to exist anyone today who is also a somebody, who would boldly pick up the real gauntlet of moral integrity as the true moral agent in the public's service --- all only pay lip service to morality, to the responsibility of the writer as a moral agent, with a herd of hoi polloi following each one.

For every one whom they deceived, there is an innermost sanctum reserved in Dante's Inferno.

Not much good such immanent feelings of justice do today as new narratives are sanctified for future history and future revisionists, right before our very eyes --- and as unknowns, nobodies, without institutional level resources and organizational backing, there is nothing the rag-tag band of foolish rebels can do except to pathetically continue to shout in futility from their respective Speakers Corner in the new Hyde Park, the internet.

The Superman and hoi polloi both perceptively understand that reality of the full futility of challenging the narrative from the Speaker's Corner. Which is why, the pragmatic maxim: if you can't beat them, join 'em, guides most anyone who has even an ounce of pragmaticism left in them. Let God take care of the rest.

I don't know why that maxim continues to fail on the handful of rebels, the mal contents however. The last of the breed of songbirds on earth whom legal entitlements alone, if not the continued impracticability of their endeavor, will eventually finish them off as some appendage of antiquity:

http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/p/dying-songbird.html

For some however, that is living a good life.

Live a good life, Lila Rajiva sensibly quotes from Marcus Aurelius:
“Live a good life. If there are gods and they are just, then they will not care how devout you have been, but will welcome you based on the virtues you have lived by. If there are gods, but unjust, then you should not want to worship them. If there are no gods, then you will be gone, but will have lived a noble life that will live on in the memories of your loved ones.” --- http://mindbodypolitic.com/2014/04/07/marcus-aurelius-live-a-good-life/

And I pray they do continue to exist against all odds, and continue to do so, inspired by their own natural inner moral compass woefully denied the great Superman moral agents of empire.

Footnote *

Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2014/04/sanctification-of-911-narrative-zahir.html

First Published Friday, April 11, 2014
Chapter 7

9/11 Full Spectrum Narrative Control: Gatekeepers from Left to Right

Response to Chris Hedges' amalgam of half-truths 'A Decade After 9/11: We Are What We Loathe'

Former New York Times Award Winning Journalist Chris Hedges Avers:

“Our brutality and triumphalism, the byproducts of nationalism and our infantile pride, revived the jihadi movement. We became the radical Islamist movement’s most effective recruiting tool. We descended to its barbarity. We became terrorists too. The
sad legacy of 9/11 is that the assholes, on each side, won.” --- Chris Hedges, Truthdig.com, September 11, 2011, A Decade After 9/11: We Are What We Loathe

What rubbish. I have been convinced for a long time that prominent dissent-artists like Chris Hedges are part of the problem. Why? Because people like him continually lend credence to something called “Islamist movement” and its “most effective recruiting tool.”

With Chris Hedges award-winning brand-name, all websites publish him, including Truthdig.com which incidentally has never published any submission of my original writing that I have ever submitted to them. With his brand-name, Chris Hedges has continually manufactured dissent (http://tinyurl.com/manufacturing-dissent) since 9/11 while retaining the core-lies and core-axioms of empire.

Thus, while decrying “Our brutality and triumphalism”, he manages to lend credence to its counter-insurgency operations (http://tinyurl.com/manufacturing-insurgency) against “the jihadist movement” as something existential rather than diabolically manufactured, lamenting: “The sad legacy of 9/11 is that the assholes, on each side, won.”

One side Chris Hedges discloses as: “Our brutality and triumphalism”. That is the truth. Which is the other side? According to Chris Hedges, it is “the radical Islamist movement”! That is a full lie. Together it constitutes a half-truth for perception management. As per a Jewish proverb, a half-truth is a full lie!

The journalist par excellence, Mr. Chris Hedges, in his full lie, did not state the empirical fact that the Western oligarchy is using “Our brutality and triumphalism” to usher in one-world Government by inventing both sides of the Hegelian Dialectic. This fabrication is subsequently openly used to justify global governance – even the Financial Times (http://tinyurl.com/And-now-for-a-world-government) is calling for it using the Hegelian Dialectic as the most natural justification, and both empiricism and the EU president coldly confirming it.
Perhaps Chris Hedges is only blind? After all, only morons, the deaf, the dumb, and the mute win prizes and accolades from empire... right? Nyet!

Empire also fabricates dissent-chiefs to lead the dissentstream just as much as they fabricate pontiffs to lead psyops dissemination to the mainstream. The are both merely the contrasting tunes of the Mighty Wurlitzer (http://tinyurl.com/mightywurlitzer). It is the Mighty Wurlitzer that spins the yarn that 9/11 was an invasion from abroad reinforcing the core-axioms of the Pentagon, the White House, and the Western State Allies in the 'War on Terror', that there is some natural reality to “militant Islam” which attacked America. While echoing that core-lie, the dissent is the blowback mantra, and the bold admission of reactionary excesses that because of “Our brutality and triumphalism, the byproducts of nationalism and our infantile pride”, “We [have] became terrorists too”.

That show of conscience collects many conscionable people in the society around them who also object to “Our brutality and triumphalism”. It is empire which lends these collection-agents respectability and credibility.

Indeed, the reactionary excesses of the sole superpower leading to domestic police-state and international barbarism, is the foundational mantra of virtually all respectable Western dissent. I.e., dissent which is officially anointed and not dismissed outright as 'conspiracy theory' (http://tinyurl.com/anatomy-of-conspiracy-theory). In the tightly controlled Left–Right discourse space, it is deemed high-minded scholarship to challenge these reactionary excesses of the sole superpower and to lay them at the doorstep of short-sighted escalation of chauvinistic foreign policies fueled by the war-profiteering motives of its military-industrial complex.

Virtually one hundred percent of what is deemed respectable Western dissent espouses this foundational axiom. It works well because it draws upon selective empiricism couched in omissions to demonstrate
its veracity. But a half-truth is still only a full lie. That full lie works like this:

‘The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals. But silence is not enough. If persecution, liquidation and the other symptoms of social friction are to be avoided, the positive sides of propaganda must be made as effective as the negative.’ — Aldous Huxley, Preface (circa 1946) to Brave New World, 1931, Harper, pg. 11

Both sides of propaganda are thus put into effect. The mainstream chiefs enact the big lie and repeat it endlessly for the positive side of propaganda. The dissent-chief enact the negative side of propaganda by calculated omission of certain subjects, and by omitting to draw logical conclusions from them because they no longer have to --- the facts have been omitted from the “respectable” discourse space altogether. It is wonderful how this is used to provide the illusion of the free press and free society by both the mainstream press and the so called alternate press:

“The smart way to keep people passive and obedient is to strictly limit the spectrum of acceptable opinion, but allow very lively debate within that spectrum – even encourage the more critical and dissident views. That gives people the sense that there’s free thinking
going on, while all the time the presuppositions of the system are being reinforced by the limits put on the range of the debate.” — Noam Chomsky

This is exactly the same controlled-dissent genre of lauded pontiffs of dissentstream spanning the gamut from Messrs. Ron Paul (http://tinyurl.com/RonPaul-NWO-Asset) to Noam Chomsky himself (http://tinyurl.com/Hegelian-Dialectic-Dissent) et. al. on the Left-Liberal-Libertarian nexus, to Foxnews-Right-wing-Religion-Intelligence-State-worship-Patriotism nexus. I am not sure which compartment Francis Boyle (http://tinyurl.com/francisboyle-2011-humanity) falls into but it is just as systemic there as elsewhere.

I invite Truthdig to publish the examination referenced below written by a Muslim, yours truly, belonging to the 'untermensch' civilization bearing the full brunt of Chris Hedges' admission that “We became terrorists too,” and “We Are What We Loathe”.

Such banal statements can perhaps win Mr. Hedges multiple Pulitzer prizes for their profundity --- precisely because these neither inform nor educate to the degree necessary for unraveling the entire Hegelian Dialectic, lest it spawn a real resistance movement with teeth singularly focussed on the puppetmasters orchestrating the “clash of civilizations”. This style of dissent-lite only enables introducing and sustaining beneficial cognitive diversity for the purpose of defocussing the energies of conscionable peoples – its primary objective – until fait accompli.

This same learned journalist, and his other confreres in the news media, academe and think-tanks, will be writing all about it in the one-world government and laughing their way to more accolades for their ex post facto brilliance. This is the quid pro quo offered by history's actors to the scribes for playing along with platitudes and inconsequential punditry:

“We’re an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you’re studying that real-
ity — judiciously, as you will — we’ll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that’s how things will sort out. We’re history’s actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.” — Senior Bush Advisor, The New York Times, October 17, 2004

The real sad legacy of 9/11 is the matrix which intelligent people like Chris Hedges et. al., have woven to keep the American public perpetual prisoners of the cave.

While it is true that the martial military-industrial culture created in the United States can superficially be characterized by “War Is a Force that Gives Us Meaning”, that meaning is neither accorded by its peoples, nor by the unnatural puppetshows they are forced to endure from body-bags to bankruptcies, but enforced upon America by its ruling oligarchy which profits from the mayhem enroute to accomplishing their global governance. The dissent which echoes the axioms of empire is working for the same interests.

Here is a link to my article which takes a forensic look into the Dynamics of Mantra Creation: Islamofascism, to demonstrate the villainous half-truth and outright deception embedded in Chris Hedges' manipulative narrative:

**Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation**

Or more in-depth deconstruction:

**Anatomy and Architecture of Modern Propaganda Techniques for Psychological Warfare**

( http://tinyurl.com/mightywurlitzer ).

I hope that minimally at least, the same websites will publish my forensic counterpoint analysis in response to this emotional fluff piece they have put up on the tenth anniversary of 9/11 so that their vaunted pontiffs like Chris Hedges, if genuinely misled themselves, will become more informed and stop unwittingly mis-informing other
people. That's a stretch of course --- for how can an award winning NYT reporter be misled on any matter? Surely the awards aren't for “lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable”? And the world wonders why Americans are the most ignorant peoples on earth! These prisoners of the cave can perhaps do with a little bit less protection by the guardian angels of high-morality who decide for them what's fit to print and what isn't. Only the New York Times admits to it openly --- all else are damn liars and hypocrites who do the same under the pretense of freedom of the press. I am sure they also sleep holily in bed. (Reference to Macbeth 5:1:47-49: 'Yet I have known those which have walked in their sleep who have died holily in their beds.‘)

Finally, I hope Chris Hedges will offer a riposte other than his characteristic silence to my analysis if I am mistaken. He had previously replied with the same eloquent exuberance for this challenge: Letter to Editor: Chris Hedges omits key truths in 'It’s Not Going to Be OK' February 04, 2009.

Thank you.


First Published September 13, 2011
Chapter 8

The King of Dissent and 9/11
Narrative Control

Open Letter To Noam Chomsky

To: Chomsky@mit.edu, MIT Institute Professor & Professor of Linguistics (Emeritus)

Date: Wednesday April 09, 2014

Dear Professor Noam Chomsky,

Hello. I am writing this open letter to you both as your former student at MIT who got at least some of his inspiration to stand up to the narratives of power from your considerable teachings, and on account of your being a public intellectual influencing public opinion worldwide and therefore also accountable to the public for your opinions.

I have made the following unpleasant observations in my article* and which I continue in this letter. I would like to ask for your response. Unless you claim intellectual unaccountability and no personal re-
sponsibility for influencing public opinion, and such a gross charge surely will never be justly laid upon you as a responsible teacher and moral guide of the American peoples, I would like to request a public rebuttal.

**Begin Quote**

'... This is how and why the most prominent moral detractor of his own American nation today, Professor Noam Chomsky of MIT, for instance, gets anointed “arguably the most important intellectual alive” by the empire's own mouthpiece, the New York Times. This intellectual who boldly teaches others the responsibilities of intellectual, rehearses at great length in his prolific writings past crimes and past lies of the American empire for which nothing much can be done today. But for matters current affairs not fully driven to their intended fait accompli, such as the imperial mobilization to world government under the catastrophic terror event of September 11, 2001 as pretext, and which can effectively be derailed in a public chain reaction if the most prominent intellectuals of America boldly stood up and called the Big Lie for what it is, Dr. Noam Chomsky assiduously totes the establishment's own absurd line on who dunnit. The fine moral intellectual shows no inclination to challenge the imperial core lie whatsoever. Instead, strangely for someone billed as the most important dissenting intellectual, finds specious arguments to support the empire's core lie. His stock following of dissenting rebels, as well as other nations who look up to him for guidance, lap it up convinced that when the most strident detractor of empire also agrees with the empire's blaming of 9/11 exclusively on Islamo-
fascist terrorist, then it must be so.

This highly venerated scholar of America known throughout the world as America's left-liberal conscience, whose many books sell worldwide just with his brand name affixed to them, and even get waived from the high podium of the United Nations by presidential figurine condemning the excesses of American hegemony, also behaved in an analogously unforgivable manner when president John F. Kennedy was assassinated. The brilliant moralist of America, employed for lifetime in America's most prominent high technology educational institution which gets virtually all of its research funding and operating budget from America's vast military-industrial complex as the natural extension of the American national security state, had, at the very inception of his scholarly career, dutifully parroted the establishment's absurd narrative of the “lone gun-man” dunnit.

At that time in the 1960s and 1970s, just as in this generation in the aftermath of 9/11, the American public was in great moral angst to learn who really was responsible for that catastrophic terrorism on their beloved soil. The public behavior was fully controlled by the state's full spectrum control of the narrative then, just as it is today. It was accomplished then, just as it is today, with copious help from the full gamut of manufactured respectability from left to right. All intellectuals echoed the core narrative of empire then, just as they do today when it is of utmost urgency to immediately analyze, question, and publicly challenge the dubiousness of state narratives before the hidden motivations behind the crime get fully actualized into fait accompli by the public's accept-
The public's easy acceptance of any tortuous past without any great pangs of guilt, as well as any abhorrent present without any great murmur of protest, with the Superman intellectuals of empire engineering both consent and dissent to govern the public mind, is the brilliant success of Mephistopheles in mass behavior control.'

( Introduction to Project Humanbeingsfirst.org )

End Quote

Professor Chomsky, as a teacher of US foreign policy and expert in political theories behind statecraft, you cannot be unaware that echoing of the core lies of the state while critiquing its effects, is explained by political science most perceptively. The endeavor is highly utilitarian, as you can see, since it remains ineffective in derailing imperial mobilization when the core pretext and core lies are kept intact. That kind of dissent principally serves the continual enabling of imperial mobilization very well while retaining the necessary illusions of free speech and advanced democracy which constitutionally tolerates dissent.

This worked in extending the Vietnam War then, when the focus was cunningly put off by the incoming administration from the national security state being the first likely criminal to have so successfully subverted the presidential security detail which enabled president Kennedy's assassination – an impossibility for America under the Cold War calculus of intense security without the requisite means, motive, and opportunity which only the national security state can bring to bear. And it worked today when the focus is once again most cunningly put off from the national security state having the means, motive, and opportunity to covertly execute “operation canned goods” on September 11, 2001 and believably blame the catastrophic terror-
ism on controlled patsies suitably duped for that purpose.

No one who has studied the history of the Third Reich, and the detailed narratives of the Nuremberg Military Tribunals as well as you have Professor Chomsky, and I know this first hand because we have in the past communicated on the topic of victor's justice, can remain so naïvely uninformed of the political utility of self-inflicted terror. Especially when it openly and most visibly launches the most barbaric imperial mobilization using it as the pretext, and against all intellectual and rational sense of international as well as criminal law.

What is the explanation? The only reasonable one that any prosecuting attorney would put forth is that the moral agent is a covert asset of empire. I find this conclusion most distasteful. I hope that is not the case.

Irrespective of that determination, because of your public stance, you are partly responsible for all the evil which follows in the imperial mobilization from that retention of core lies, as your own humble contribution to the making of the public mind. Of course, only according to measure. That measure to which you are accountable, and no more than that, is what I ask you to account for publicly in the public interest. A Robert H. Jackson today, right alongside imperial scholars manufacturing consent as the propaganda philosophers of the new Reich, would surely also be prosecuting imperial scholars manufacturing dissent as its covert agents. The precise observations he might make is what I have made. Which any sensible person ought to make.

For writing this bold and unusual open letter and requesting a public response, I offer no apologies. You misguided dissent by your egregious omission to standup to the Big Lie as per your own self-proclaimed responsibility of intellectuals because of which people looked up to you. You thus directly prolonged the Big Lie which also accrues more evil to your own account! My peoples, Muslims, have been mercilessly killed worldwide in the multiplicative effects of imperial mobilization by your willfully continuing to retain the core lie that it was
“militant Islam” and “OBL” that successfully invaded the armed to the teeth sole superpower on 9/11 instead of the national security state with collusion of its surrogates. Thus you express no real dissent with the core axioms of imperial power while speciously pretending to do so by protesting its wars. Exactly similar to your previous retention of the state's core fable in the Kennedy assassination while protesting the Vietnam War with considerable vigor. In both cases, if I recall correctly, you hath even proclaimed that what does it matter who dunnit, to minimize any real questioning of the official narratives and to keep focus on protesting their effects instead, the wars themselves.

The common behavior patterns only spell calculated behavior in the eyes of a good public prosecutor, and not one of mere happenstance, especially for a Superman who proclaims:

“It is the responsibility of intellectuals to speak the truth and to expose lies” (Responsibility of Intellectuals). And: “the responsibility of a writer as a moral agent is to try to bring the truth about matters of human significance to an audience that can do something about them.” (Power and Prospects).

Perhaps you were thinking of some other audience and not your own American public enjoying the constitutional liberties which permitted them dissent and free speech? If so, please state so that this is why, instead of bringing the American peoples the truth and exposing lies, you brought them the core narratives of power commencing from the immediate aftermath of 9/11 no differently than that “vulgar propagandist” whom you so anointed (in an interview to Evan Solomon, CBC, December 9, 2003), Professor Bernard Lewis of Princeton University. As a result of this incestuously self-reinforcing “truth-telling” in which both the empire's officialdom and its finest detractors echo each other, you can explain to the American people that now even if they wanted to, they could no longer make a difference because the United States today is a legally sanctioned police-state. You can continue to critique that effect too, the police-state, just as you do Amer-
ica's hegemony, but by not question[ing the Big Lie when it was timely to do so, you also played your role in enabling police-state USA.

Indeed, not just arguably, for there is no need for that caveat, you are the most important intellectual alive for power that runs America.

Awaiting your forceful reply, the strongest of rebuttals, explaining once again to the public mind the responsibility of intellectuals as moral agents and under what conditions should they echo the core narratives of empire. It is a lesson you omitted to teach at MIT when I had the pleasure of being a mostly silent student in your off-beat foreign policy classes. Then, the brave Americans talked a lot, and I mostly listened, shyly, as a foreign student coming from Gen. Zia ul Haq's police-state that had just hanged its elected civilian prime-minister with America's blessings; arguably quite dazzled by all this intellectualism of boldly confronting the lies and villainy of power being so openly taught in America as the beacon of advanced democracy to the rest of the world. I had to subsequently learn of Machiavelli and the Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent as the two most essential tunes of the Mighty Wurlitzer for an advanced democracy to function, on my own.

Sincerely,

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

California, United States of America

Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2014/04/open-letter-noam-chomsky-by-zahirebrahim.html

First Published April 09, 2014
Chapter 9

Breaking The Narrative Barrier

The Dying Songbird

The whole aim of practical politics of dissent by genuine gadflies to power is to prevent the future fait accompli that is being engineered by 'history's actors' in the present. This is why genuine dissent, that with real teeth and non-zero efficacy, cannot be permitted to exist and flourish. It is instead replaced with manufactured dissent as an essential part of statecraft itself when the illusion of dissent and public opinion is to be maintained in a 'free' democratic society.

Rehearsing crimes of power after these crimes are fait accompli; after all the barbers in town already know it; after their disclosure as the narrative of official history through FOIA declassification, whistle-blowing and deep-throat leaks, and in posthumous confessional diaries of monumental war criminals, none of which really reveal any real secrets, or only do so ex post facto when it is already a fait accompli; is either the job of the professional historian who relies on official-dom to write the official history pre-sanctioned by power by what it chooses to document and what it chooses to make-believe to posterity, or of manufactured dissent!
Let the twain: a genuine intellectual gadfly vs. fabricated dissent and its useful idiots, not be confused with each other!

The latter is the Superman who echoes the axioms of power underneath his supercilious dissent with it, or tells the obvious truth ex post facto, both to the applause of the instruments of power itself, while collecting all the well-intentioned activists and consciences around him. He is the collection-agent of power. His con-job is to ensure that dissent does not stray too far from home. The world is full of the latter, a modern necessity to complement the manufacturing of consent; to cunningly constrain dissent within acceptable limits when dissent is permitted in a 'free' society.

This brilliant Superman herdsman often comes anointed with super advanced degrees, titles, accolades, is well-published, most cited, and speaks with an MIT, Harvard or Oxford accent. He is as powerful in his dissenting “United We Stand” message to his tiny herd as the Superman orator is in his “United We Stand” message to the mainstream herd. The Superman herdsman of dissent is the more brilliant twin in the Hegelian Dialectic of manufactured consent vs. manufactured dissent.

Manufactured dissent is also easy to spot. It almost always states the obvious – rather than the un-obvious. It cleverly keeps the real secrets secret or obfuscated by not going there. It focusses on the effects and stays silent on the cause. It usually also runs with the foxes while hunting with the hounds. It happily eats from the same plate that it purports to spit into. And the most avant-garde of the lot even run with infantile absurdities to make all dissent appear infantile and absurd in the eyes of the mainstream public, lest the latter inadvertently stray from their own home pastures. And since Adolph Hitler had empirically demonstrated the truth of his statement: “The great masses of people will more easily fall victims to a big lie than to a small one. Especially if it is repeated over and over.”, the Superman of dissent too repeats the same big lie of the establishment upon which consent is being engineered among the masses!
For instance, just look for all those who share the common establishment “truth”: OBL and Al Qaeda successfully invaded the most armed to the teeth superpower in the world on 9/11, magically hijacked four airliners in the air with box-cutter knives within a matter of an hour, rammed them into two tall buildings and magically demolished three in a feat of demolition which before that day had never been carried out in the entire written history of mankind. All this was planned and orchestrated by an Islamofascist Ali Baba from the Hindu Kush mountains armed to the teeth with cellphones and AK-47s, in collaboration with an illusive database named “Al Qaeda”. The names of the believers of this fantastic fable in the who's who of dissent is surprising. These include some of the biggest and most celebrated names in dissent to keep company with the Neo-cons, the Pentagon, the State Department, the World Bank, the IMF, the Council on Foreign Relations in New York, the Royal Institute of International Affairs in London, the United Nations and all its member countries, and the Bush-Obama Administrations: Noam Chomsky, Francis Boyle, the late Howard Zinn, former Congressman Ron Paul, Congressman Dennis Kucinich, Scott Ritter, Dennis Halliday, Michael Moore, Helen Caldicott, Robert Fisk, John Pilger, Greg Palast, Amy Goodman, Daniel Ellsberg, Nelson Mandela, Arundhati Roy of India, Tariq Ali and Pervez Hoodbhoy of Pakistan, etc.

Once the big lie is cleverly conceded to officialdom without question, all dissent with empire's barbaric acts against its proclaimed enemies is effectively made futile: “either you are with us or with the terrorists” (George W. Bush). Because, as the empire is now given the license to arguably claim, it is only protecting itself from the diabolically brilliant and most superior foe that is even able to penetrate the strongest superpower on earth's super militarized defenses on its own native soil! Then dissent all you want – so long as you keep that core lie intact in all your adumbration: “’No thank you.' We can let him know that the people of the world do not need to choose between a Malevolent Mickey Mouse and the Mad Mullahs.” (Arundhati Roy).
The argument is cleverly moved away from forensically examining the crime as Sherlock Holmes might do, to the best way to deal with the criminals by presupposing who the criminals are: 'they attack us because we have been over there ... I am suggesting that we listen to the people who attacked us ... ' (former congressman Ron Paul). Thus both, the establishment chiefs manufacturing consent, and the dissent chiefs manufacturing dissent, end up continually reinforcing the same presuppositions of the system, the same big lie; the former by openly advocating the big lie, the latter by openly refraining from challenging the big lie. Both are propagandists; the former by commission, the latter by omission. British essayist Aldous Huxley captured the implication of silence and the crime of omission most elegantly in his Preface to Brave New World:

‘The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals.’ — Aldous Huxley, Preface (circa 1946) to Brave New World, 1931, Harper, pg. 11

That is how the Superman herdsman leads the pack of useful idiots in manufactured dissent. He craftily lowers the “iron curtain” of ignorance between the masses and such facts or arguments as the system regards as undesirable or necessary to enforce. And he cleverly echoes the core lies of empire in toto, or presupposes them in his argumentative and tedious dissent. The focus is most craftily shifted from the crime to ex post facto anti-war critique of empire's “imperial mobiliz-
“Of course as I told you, I never believe the government, or rarely believe the government. Do I believe the government version of what happened? Well, I am skeptical. Do I believe that the government was in the conspiracy to do this? I don't know. I don't know enough about the situation, and the truth is, I don't care that much. That's past. ... the whole argument that the people are engaged in, about, was the government behind a conspiracy to blow up the two towers, to me that's a diversion from what we really have to do, deal with the fact that whatever, whoever was behind 9/11, the government took advantage of that, to take us to war, and to put us on a disastrous course, and it's that war, those wars, that disastrous course we have to deal with. I don't want to go back to the controversy that I think is endless controversy, and just gets in the way of dealing with the immediate situation.” (Howard Zinn, November 18, 2008)

It is not merely a lucky coincidence for empire that the most prominent leaders of dissent all inevitably retain the big lie of empire intact, each according to their own genius mind. From the tag team of Noam Chomsky and the late Howard Zinn on the left, to the tag team of former US congressman Ron Paul and Fox News anchor Glenn Beck on the right – and a hundred and ten lauded names in between – the empire has the full gamut of respectable dissent field covered. There is something for every malcontent in the 31 flavors of dissent.

When brilliant antipodes, like the “vulgar propagandist” Prof. Bernard Lewis, and his nemesis, “arguably the most important intellectual alive”, Prof. Noam Chomsky, agree on a sacred “truth” of empire, then those with an iota of neurons still firing on all cylinders are provided the opportunity to ponder the non sequitur. A WWF wrestling game being broadcast on all channels: in the lower right hand
corner is empire's greatest scholar from empire's greatest university, Princeton, and in the upper left hand corner is empire's greatest detractor from empire's greatest technion, MIT.... ; hmmm...., sounds like they both work for the same bosses and consent is being engineered with “Operation Canned Goods” copycat of the Third Reich. It too had given Mein Führer the propaganda pretext to “goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers.” (Robert H. Jackson at Nuremberg) But then: “How fortunate for governments that the people they administer don't think.” (Adolph Hitler)

The Third Reich had in fact mobilized its entire Reichsdom on precisely this acutely pathological observation of people; itself fabricating the “conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being” (Zbigniew Brzezinski, *The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and its Geostrategic Imperatives*, 1996) with its “Operation Canned Goods” that gave the German public their own “pearl harbor”; and Adolph Hitler: “a propagandist reason for starting the war”. Mein Führer, by his own admission, well understood the victor's primacy imperative that can never imagine defeat at the peak of its own hubris: “The victor will not be asked afterward whether he told the truth or not. In starting and waging a war it is not the right that matters, but victory.” (Adolph Hitler, quoted by William Shirer)

That Machiavellian modus operandi for engineering the public mind borrowed from the Third Reich is unfortunately not the end of it. Some convolutions are added to the establishment's “truths” to make discovery a tad more confusing than the aforementioned deconstruction recipe of shrewdly examining who else is echoing the big lie in conjunction with the establishment functionaries. To Machiavellianly preempt the eternal skeptics of establishmentarian “truth” irrespective of who brings it to them; to cleverly defocus their expected intransigent resistance to “imperial mobilization” by making them run on treadmills as otherwise “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization” (Zbigniew Brzezinski, op. cit.); a flavor of manufactured dis-
sent also dissents with the establishment's own “truths”.

This equally diabolical breed of Superman gadfly deliberately introduces plausible sounding false “conspiracy theories” among the skeptics and the diehard recalcitrants. It is even pedantically referred to as inducing “beneficial cognitive diversity” in favor of the establishment. To see through their snake-oil takes a bit more sophistication and a mind attuned to the vagaries of power and its many incantations.

Which is why the majority of well-intentioned activists who had previously escaped from the underground dungeons of the manufacturing consent factory are routinely trapped by this new elitist collection agency! It is elitist because it is often composed of the intellectual elite and the self-proclaimed avant-garde in intellectual thought who feel they are ahead of the herd if they don't buy the establishment's lies. Adolph Hitler perceptively understood this skeptical public mind and typecast it as the second majority group in a nation: “Second, those who no longer believe anything;”. The first and largest majority group he had observed are: “First, those who believe everything they read;”. Hitler wrote in Mein Kampf about the second group:

“The second group is numerically smaller, being partly composed of those who were formerly in the first group and after a series of bitter disappointments are now prepared to believe nothing of what they see in print. They hate all newspapers. Either they do not read them at all or they become exceptionally annoyed at their contents, which they hold to be nothing but a congeries of lies and misstatements. These people are difficult to handle; for they will always be sceptical of the truth. Consequently, they are useless for any form of positive work.” (Adolph Hitler, Mein Kampf, Vol. 1, Chapter X)

Hitler's phrase, “useless for any form of positive work”, was most perceptive – for these are the easily swayed audience by the baseless
“conspiracy theories” invented by the agents and assets of the establishment. This audience, dominated almost entirely by the same most vocal peace activists and rabble rousers who march emotionally in anti-war protests on weekends and holidays, achieves precisely the intended purpose of the establishment: they foolishly defocus the energies of dissent from homing in onto the first cause of war-faring dystopia and the real criminals who pull the strings for its fabrication from behind the facade of elected governments.

It is neither the acme of excellence to predict thunder after witnessing lightening, nor to herald the arrival of winter after seeing the falling autumn leaves. Nor is it the acme of excellence to 'see victory only when it is within the ken of the common herd.' Neither is it the acme of excellence 'if you fight and conquer and the whole Empire says, “Well done!”' And Sun Tzu goes on in the Art of War:

“To lift an autumn hair is no sign of great strength; to see the sun and moon is no sign of sharp sight; to hear the noise of thunder is no sign of a quick ear.” --- Sun Tzu, Art of War

The acme of excellence for the genuine intellectual gadfly is to point the path to the un-obvious before anyone else can see it. To be the 'chief doubter of systems, of power and its incantations', to be a 'witness to their mendacity', to not fit 'into any role that might be assigned to him', nor fit into 'any of the histories written by the victors'.

In the age of universal deceit it is rare to find such an un co-opted mind that is also free from the cobwebs of conformist thought. It is even rarer to find anyone among the public who would believe him in his own time when something can be done to interdict the non-kosher plans of the 'history's actors'. The genuine gadfly to power is almost always either ignored, marginalized, or administered the hemlock which he drinks with great relish.

By himself, the genuine gadfly is the lonely songbird with a droplet of water in its beak rushing to douse the great fire lighted by Nimrod. By
keeping it isolated and lonely, by preventing the drop from becoming a deluge, the songbird is shrewdly protected from realizing its aim. All the freedom of speech in vacuum and one still dies of asphyxiation! It is not the freedom to speak, but the freedom to be heard that is denied to the songbird. The journey of mankind from tyranny to tyranny is paved on the songbird's unheard songs. An empirical truism that is reflected in both: the fate of prophets of antiquity who were the 'chief doubter of systems, of power and its incantations' and 'witness to their mendacity', easily abandoned by their own peoples when not killed or exiled by the rulers; and the fate of prophets of modernity for whom more creativity has been brought to bear in keeping with the more sophisticated times.

New mental illnesses have been coined in the DSM handbook of psychiatry to consign the latter day gadfly to state hospitality, defined as suffering from 'oppositional defiant disorder' exhibiting a pattern of 'negativistic, defiant, disobedient and hostile behavior toward authority figures'. New legal entitlements have been framed to label anyone who challenges power, as the 'terrorist', for what else but to share in that same fate to the great applause and patriotic gratification of the common herd.

In effect, we are back to the early crossroads of the Roman Era in our twenty-first century, and the world turned into a giant coliseum of entertainment for the masses. All roads today lead to one-world government – the empire of the oligarchy.

In the meantime, the dying songbird sings on unheard – while manufactured dissent lives on under establishment cover cornering both the dissent publishing market and the scholarly citation market with lame rehearsals of the obvious and the absurd; and history's actors continue to engineer future history unhindered. Ex post facto, the onlookers will become the new standard patriots just as Mark Twain captured it: “In the beginning of a change, the patriot is a scarce man, brave, hated and scorned. When his cause succeeds, however the timid join him, for then it costs nothing to be a patriot”. Costs nothing to be a patriot:
the hallmark of manufactured dissent! It even makes a pretty good living under establishment cover peddling the study of what the history's actors leave behind.

George W. Bush's White House senior advisor had captured this grotesque reality most unabashedly for the New York Times correspondent in 2004:

'...“That's not the way the world really works anymore,” he continued. “We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.”...' (Ron Suskind, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004)

The future generation's manufactured dissent will use today's songbirds' songs as gospel truth written in god's own hand writing. It will rehearse these songs of truth ad nauseam to lead its own choir anew in immense sense of patriotic gratification just as it does today, cunningly ignoring the songbirds of its own time. Rehearsing history while echoing the core big lies and axioms of powers du jour will remain its claim to profession as well as fame just as it is today. That is if dissent is still permitted in the George Orwell's world under construction. The trend however appears to be more inclined in the long term towards the world prognosticated by Aldous Huxley where dissent is outright redacted from the very DNA of the standardized humanity. People made to actually enjoy their own servitude. The German philosopher Goethe had aptly summed it:

“None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free. The truth has been kept from the depth of their minds by masters who rule them with lies. They feed them on falsehoods till
wrong looks like right in their eyes.”

Even the common herd can feel the penetrating signs of it approaching faster than the hijacked airliners that ram into tall buildings without interdiction in the most armed to the teeth superpower in history – at the American airports for instance where very intelligent peoples in the most industrious and creative nation on earth continue to quietly subject themselves to indignities in the name of freedom which no one in their self-respecting mind ought to really subject themselves to. Pretty soon, most will even be happy doing it – if many aren't already!

A world without the songbird approaches even faster.

Epilogue

Case Studies of Top Ten Gatekeepers of Dissent from Left to Right among both the Western Massa class and its carefully cultivated useful idiot Eastern House Nigger class are in the sequel: Songbird or Superman – You Decide!

If you would like to challenge a fact or analysis or offer a correction with something more than just an opinion, or if you learnt something new here, please consider leaving a public comment. Or write to: humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com.

Endnotes

[1] Superman refers to Nietzsche's superman; see Thus Spake Zarathustra - A BOOK FOR ALL AND NONE by Friedrich Nietzsche (download from http://www.gutenberg.org/1/9/9/1998/)


[7] For some arguably rich examples of respectable manufactured
dissent from left to right who live and thrive under establishment cover, see *Songbird or Superman – You Decide!* by Zahir Ebrahim, http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2013/09/songbird-or-superman.html.

More contemporary examples of both respectable and outlandish manufactured dissent can be found in: *Manufacturing Dissent: Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science* by Zahir Ebrahim, http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/p/manufacturing-dissent.html; its Preamble section excerpts at length from *Mein Kampf* to examine Adolph Hitler's insightful characterization of the three types of public mind that is brilliantly harnessed by Western statecraft today for engineering consent.

The case of Paul Craig Roberts, the former Assistant Secretary of the Treasury in the Reagan Administration, an economist and self-proclaimed “Father of Reaganomics”, a former editor and columnist for the Wall Street Journal, Business Week, and Scripps Howard News Service, and the darling of the Christian white man's dissent with the establishment now that Jews have replaced their former tormentors in running the world show, is particularly illustrative of both manufactured dissent as well as dissent for narrow vested interests; see: *Rebuttal to Paul Craig Roberts*: 'Washington Arrogance has Fomented a Muslim Revolution' by Zahir Ebrahim, http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/12/letter-paul-craig-roberts-rebuttal.html.

The case of the distinguished former New York Times journalist Chris Hedges is similarly telling, as yet another former highly prized establishmentarian gratuitously echoing the axioms of empire in his new role as the dissenting conscience of America. What Chris Hedges cleverly omits and what he posits in his desire to soothe his conscience is deconstructed in: *Response to Chris Hedges' amalgam of half-truths 'A Decade After 9/11: We Are What We Loathe'* by Zahir Ebrahim, http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/09/response-chris-hedges-

The case of the distinguished Dr. Francis Boyle, the Hans Morgenthau student, lawyer and political scientist extraordinaire, groomed at Harvard and University of Chicago, serving as the axial pivot of egregious dissent against the villainy of imperial powers at the International Criminal Court of Justice and the World Court in the Hague, is even more illustrative. Like his Jewish confrere Prof. Noam Chomsky, the good Samaritan Christian too retains the core lies of empire even while bringing criminal charges for torture against its visible helmsman at the Hague. A mental midget or a brilliant Superman? It is always instructive to adjudicate for oneself. See Zahir Ebrahim's Response to Francis Boyle's '2011: Prospects for Humanity?' – Unlimited Imperialism and Nation-States but no Secret Rule by Oligarchy for World Government!, http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/01/resp-francisboyle-2011-prospects-for.html.

Some illustrative examples of manufactured dissent that is really only an articulation of the white man's burden having been taken over by another more “superior race”, and the grapes are now sour for the former “superior race”, see: The White Man's Burden appears Uniformly Distributed among Jews Christians and Atheists – how can one tell the difference? by Zahir Ebrahim, http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/02/white-mans-burden-uniformly-distributed.html.


[8] For Howard Zinn's demagoguery, diverting attention from the

[9] The epithet “vulgar propagandist” is dissent Superman Noam Chomsky anointing establishment's Superman Bernard Lewis in the following interview: '... now, until Bernard Lewis tells us that, and that's only one piece of a long story, we know that he is just a vulgar propagandist and not a scholar.' --- Interview to Evan Solomon, CBC, part-2, minute 5:50, December 9, 2003, http://youtube.com/watch?v=bieFwutoqvA.

The epithet “arguably the most important intellectual alive” is establishment's mouthpiece the New York Times anointing Noam Chomsky. The incestuous self-reinforcement of imperial “truths” among these Superman and the instruments of the establishment who also principally share the same racial and tribal heritage, each playing their own assigned role in the Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent, should no longer be surprising. See Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent, op cit. endnote [2].

[10] For an example of dissent cornering the citation market, see: Chomsky Is Citation Champ, MIT news bulletin, April 15, 1992, http://web.mit.edu/newsoffice/1992/citation-0415.html


[12] For empirical evidence of the facade of elected governments and why the macro policy calculus of hegemony of the superpower does not change despite changing the front faces in the White House every four years, and the most lauded dissent's calculated inability to focus on the first-cause of that most visible dysfunction, see Response to


listen to sociologist and essayist Aldous Huxley explain the elements of the “Ultimate Revolution” at the University of California, Berkeley, in 1962: 'we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me, the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.‘, http://archive.org/download/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution_64kb.m3u
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Chapter 10

9/11: The Evidence Once Again

Experts Speak Out (yawn, sorry!)

“That's the great brilliance of the ever sleeping American mind chasing its American Dream – never the ability to preempt abhorrence by learning from history; only the belated “wakeup” to continue talking about history, ex post facto, for years and years to come. It is an entire industry. ... Focus on the political science – not the technical science! The political science is ancient, the technical science is rapidly evolving. It will continually create new technological demonstrations and most imaginative catastrophes to shock and awe the public mind, to repeatedly herd its instinct for self-preservation into the same 'United We Stand' state as was done on September 11, 2001.” --- Zahir Ebrahim
Experts Speak Out: A Self-Study Guide

( Project Humanbeingsfirst neither personally knows, nor endorses any of these “experts” --- its views are clearly stated in its own writings listed underneath each expert's voice )

9/11 Conspiracy (Undeniable Old Evidence)
(Part One of Two)

[youtube=http://youtube.com/watch?v=QGx7aifCZxA]

9/11 Conspiracy (Undeniable Old Evidence)
(Part Two of Two)

[youtube=http://youtube.com/watch?v=ZtKqhsN4nFo]

Zahir's Take

Wonderful stuff! The technical analysis will surely continue to be rehashed for another two hundred years. However, the political analysis trumps it – just like Machiavelli trumps a thousand brilliant scientists and engineers in every era – a prima facie “Operation Canned Goods” to launch “imperial mobilization”.

That half sentence worth of political analysis was done within the first day by this scribe, and confirmed to his own conviction by re-reading The Rise and Fall of the Third Reich and Mein Kampf, followed by The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy And Its Geostrategic Imperatives and The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order, starting the very first week of 911.
As he wrote in the Foreword of *Prisoners of the Cave*:

**Begin Quote**

“How did I learn about these plans? I actually only uncovered PNAC, JV2020, and the Wolfowitz’s chauvinist doctrines of preemption that he had supposedly been pushing since 1990, after 911, when I started scratching my head at the inexplicability of it all the moment some 19 Muslim hijackers’ names were announced, and the public was informed that they had learnt flying on flight simulators and had told their instructors that they weren’t interested in learning how to land! If Bin Laden was so smart at having planned such an outrageous attack and counted on such brilliant executioners who did it so flawlessly after only learning to fly on simulators, he was pretty stupid at having enlisted idiots who would deliberately leave such a trail of evidence behind, including statements that they weren’t interested in landing – so that either they would risk being uncovered before the attack, or their attack foiled while in progress, or after a successful attack, America would know exactly whom to go bomb in retaliation!

Only one of these aspects could be true, either they were brilliant military tacticians and strategists, or nincompoops from a three stooges movie who succeeded despite themselves, but the incongruence could not exist simultaneously on this large scale military style invasion project, except in a Hollywood spoof.

Having already read Brzezinski’s Grand Chessboard and Huntington’s Clash of Civilizations several years
earlier, I immediately grasped the new pearl harbor concept the moment America deployed to bomb Afghanistan without adequately explaining or investigating any of the events of 911. ...

I started to reread Brzezinski and Huntington very carefully once again, then reread the entire voluminous Rise and Fall of the Third Reich, and the Mein Kampf of Hitler. The similarities between the rising crescendo of WMDs and the propaganda that William Shirer had recorded as having transpired in the Third Reich, and the similarities between ZB’s and Hitler’s descriptions of their respective imperatives and how to get them, were ominous, except that ZB’s were more polished and more sophisticatedly put. I got really paranoid as many more light bulbs went on in my head which had not gone on when I had originally read them. I had just taken Brzezinski’s book as theoretical, as being from the pen of a Cold War warrior now retired and indulging in some arm chair warrior fantasies. I didn’t understand that hectoring hegemons never retire until they are six feet under. I had also dismissed Huntington’s book as an ignoramus's work not to be taken seriously, as it was replete with obvious disinformation and tortuous conclusions that were easy to spot by anyone who knew anything about the subject. Now both were being egregiously put into practice, and the latter’s book did not appear so silly anymore, but rather shrewd and calculated.

The first time I had read Huntington with the lens of ‘here is an interestingly titled book from a prominent Harvard professor, let me see what he has to say’; the second time I read it with the lens ‘let me understand how deception is created and its seeds planted in a
free society that is not too knowledgeable about the rest of the world’. The second reading showed that the obviousness of his distortions, coming from a top branded American University like Harvard, had some deeper strategic thinking behind it. Huntington is also involved in national security and other strategic studies as a prominent professor and intellectual at Harvard, and couldn’t be just a simple moron like Harvard’s President, Lawrence Summers, who recently claimed women were inherently not as smart as men. I was wondering how people like that become president at prestigious American universities, until once again I uncovered during my research that the same Harvard President had also written how the industrialized nations should dump their waste in developing nations while he was at the World Bank in the 1990s. With Wolfowitz now as the head of the World Bank, it is only shortsightedness to underestimate the power of the dark side, or the people who wield it. Huntington’s theme from portions of his book relevant to the topic at hand is systematically dismantled in Chapter 9. Based on this new found respect of the doctrinal scholars for their craft, and realizing that we were entering a phase with the hastily approved Patriot Act I that could only lead to the Fourth Reich in America, I started attending antiwar teachins and protest marches with my family, and began talking to prominent Vietnam war dissenters about governmental lies.

And that is when I first heard about the PNAC – from antiwar teachins. Ordinary people like me, engagingly concerned about what was happening, had uncovered more material from public sources and the analysis of history, than the entire mainstream scholarship and
media apparatus in the United States of America.” ---

End Quote

At least now, 12 years later, get your collective heads out of your brilliant technical analyses! Develop some political wherewithal of hegemonic statecraft: could any nation, let alone ordinary people, during the Third Reich, do anything about its Operation Canned Goods to launch its quest for their Lebensraum, except to militarily confront the Third Reich?

Why do you think it is different for confronting the Fourth Reich; for their new “Lebensraum” of world government?

Oh, but the same guy who explains the legal definition of “conspiracy” in the documentary above will rush to call that quest for world government a “conspiracy theory” – but hopefully not ( see Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory

Here is a funny fact: The same fellow who started the World Trade Center project is also the exponent of world government. He is also the former head of the Council on Foreign Relations which is driving the agenda of Global Governance by open declaration of its modus operandi: “an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece”.

And here is another funny fact: No military interdiction to counter that revived quest for a global “Lebensraum” is possible today. World Government is a macro fait accompli.

A few more “Operation Canned Goods” will surely be required to incrementally induce micro fait accompli in baby steps, to piece meal cement the journey in its each successive stage to make turning back impossible or impractical from that stage, which brilliant people will
also continue to talk about for many more decades to come.

That's the great brilliance of the ever sleeping American mind chasing its American Dream – never the ability to preempt abhorrence by learning from history; only the belated “wakeup” to continue talking about history, ex post facto, for years and years to come. It is an entire industry (see 9/11 Toronto Hearings: A strange cast of characters http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/07/toronto-hearings-strange-cast-of.html).

Well, guess what? Machiavelli knows that too: Convince People of Absurdities and get them Acquiescing to Atrocities – the first rule of hegemony when “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization”.

Afterwards, if the public ever wakes up (when their own burden becomes too high), its enlightened scholars, scientists and historians, and the odd ball rebel of conscience can study the fait accompli all they want:

'...“That's not the way the world really works anymore,” he continued. “We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.”...' (Ron Suskind, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004)

Focus on the political science – not the technical science (see Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/12/of-ostriches-and-rebels-zahirbrahim.html!)

The political science is ancient, the technical science is rapidly evolving. It will continually create new technological demonstrations and most imaginative catastrophes to shock and awe the public mind, to repeatedly herd its instinct for self-preservation into the same
'United We Stand' state as was done on September 11, 2001. And you, all of you, will be left to just study what they do.

Sun Tzu captured the obviousness of it with remarkable eloquence when defining the characteristics of a true warrior in the *Art of War* 2500 years ago:

“To lift an autumn hair is no sign of great strength; to see the sun and moon is no sign of sharp sight; to hear the noise of thunder is no sign of a quick ear.” --- Sun Tzu, *Art of War*

The shrewd public warrior now finally awake from the American Dream and into the American Nightmare, anticipates, prepares, calculates, and preempts, rather than narrate after the fact sheepishly clamoring for “New Investigation” from the same wolves who slaughtered the lamb in the first place! He astutely comes to understand the “forces that drive them” and formulates strategies to counter that, instead of chase their myriad effects. As Bernard Lewis of Princeton University had explained in his propaganda manual *The Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror* to reinvigorate the “doctrinal motivation” for America's war on terror:

“Terrorism requires only a few. Obviously the West must defend itself by whatever means will be effective. But in devising means to fight the terrorist, it would surely be useful to understand the forces that drive them.”

**So what's the next catastrophic terror event that “will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison” (Bush, Feb. 13, 2008)**
Earth must prepare for close encounter with aliens, says scientist. UN should co-ordinate plans for dealing with extraterrestrials – and we can't guarantee that aliens will be friendly. Evolution on alien worlds is likely to be Darwinian, which may mean extraterrestrials share our tendencies for violence and exploitation. (Image via UK Guardian Photograph: Rex)

The Next Global Threat? A Super Ali Baba Plus Plus to Terrify Mankind With? (Click image to see what's that all about: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2013/01/what-can-make-sept-11-pale-by-comparison.html)

But Our brilliant 9/11 Experts will continue to labor on what is already a fait accompli

More Experts Speak Out

9/11 Firefighters Reveal Bombs Destroyed WTC lobby
[youtube=http://youtube.com/watch?v=G1zED8dy63w]

9/11: Explosive Evidence - Experts Speak Out

[youtube=http://youtube.com/watch?v=Ddz2mw2vaEg]

Kevin Ryan's Research on Who had Demolition Access to the WTC Towers 2009-2010


Zahir Ebrahim's 2011 Comment on 9/11 Truth's 'New Investigation' demand


Zahir Ebrahim's 2008 Letter to Editor – Journal of 911 Studies
On how does their focus on 911 deter a nuclear attack on Iran?

http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/04/letter-911studies-nuking-iran.html

Dr Judy Wood at New Horizons
Where Did The Towers Go
24 Oct 2011
Where Did the Towers Go – One Step Beyond 25 Oct 2011

[Zahir Ebrahim's 2011 Comment on Judy Wood's 'The New Hiroshima']

Conspiracy Theory with Jesse Ventura - Season 3, Episode 2 -- Death Ray
Jesse interviews Dr. Judy Wood & John Hutchison

[Zahir Ebrahim's Why is Judy Wood poisoning her own well? Examining Judy Wood's appeal to a psy-ops victim and his dubious science to explain her own 9-11 theories of Directed Energy Weapons - 'The Hutchison Effect']
http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/05/why-is-judy-wood-poisoning-her-well.html

Dr. Judy Wood's book: Where Did the Towers Go?
Evidence of Directed Free-energy Technology on 9/11


Susan Lindauer, former CIA Insider Tells 9/11 truth. Time to re-examine your World-view, America!

[youtube=http://youtube.com/watch?v=rmbMjAN7Bws]

[youtube=http://youtube.com/watch?v=68LUHa_-OlA]

Zahir Ebrahim's Dismantling the Fiction of ‘Former’ and ‘Ex’ Intelligence: ‘What a Limited Hangout’


7/7 Terror on the Tube Revisited: Al Qaeda Recital in London Bombings

[youtube=http://youtube.com/watch?v=t8NZhl-ogoY]

Zahir Ebrahim's straightforward take on the 'Why': The Hard Road to World Order – Motivations that are egregiously not explored in the otherwise stellar documentary on 7/7

**Dr. Nicholas Kollerstrom's book on 7/7: Terror on the Tube**


**Source URL:** http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2013/01/911-revisited-2013-evidence-once-again.html
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Preparing For The Next 9/11
Don't be fooled again by Super Ali Baba Plus Plus

What can make 'Sept. 11 pale by comparison'?

“We are made wise not by the recollections of our past, but by the responsibility for our future.”

George Bernard Shaw

What if the next big 9/11 is Aliens/UFOs landing? If that were to indeed transpire, it is my bet that Muslims worldwide will be the first to accept it --- they will race to join the imperial narrative of the new intergalactic catastrophe in exactly the same manner as Pakistan did for the first catastrophic terrorism imperial narrative of 9/11 'war on terror'. This is what I wrote in 2008:
'The abominable shared fates that unite Iran (“Bush and Iran, again”, WSJ April 15, 2008), and Pakistan, from President Bush calling Pakistan “Terror Central” in 2007, to this week, April 13, 2008, 'clairvoyantly' asserting that “If another September 11 style attack is being planned, it probably is being plotted in Pakistan, and not Afghanistan”. And as already noted in its March 29, 2008 heads-up warning to America by Project Humanbeingsfirst (“Nuclear attack on Iran appears imminent!”), when such a “planned” attack transpires, it “will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison” (Bush, Feb. 13, 2008).'

Caption NASA's Experimental Flying Craft and The Agenda Behind Aliens and UFOs - A Hegelian Mind-Fk Part-II By Zahir Ebrahim. Deception is a state of mind and the mind of the State - admit the spooks themselves in their covert-operations doctrine of 'plausible deniability'. Therefore, leaking and whistleblowing 'state-secrets' is only a tune played by the Mighty Wurlitzer (Image via TFC iamthewitness.com)
What can make “Sept. 11 pale by comparison” according to the former President of the United States?

Well, I don't rightly know, but according to the likely candidates usually prognosticated by the super visionaries on both the Left and the Right, these range from false-flag nuclear terror attack on American or its Allies' soil blamed on any of the “Terror Central” in the world, including Pakistan and Iran; to new plagues and viruses requiring Def-con-2 Alert and forced vaccinations; to some even suggest planets colliding with each other – well of course, but of course, as James Bond would repartee to M were he invited to plan it all out in the next sequel on how to create world government for the City of London.

None of these scenarios still create the kind of credible threat that can unite mankind permanently into a one-world government. Surely the CFR and the Rockefeller Foundation that excel in scenario analysis (http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2013/02/cacheof-scenarios-rockefeller-foundation-2010.pdf ) must be agonizing over it. As Ronald Reagan read off from his script at the UN podium barely hinting at the thought processes going on behind the scenes in the Iron Mountain underground vaults*:

“If suddenly there was a threat to this world from some other species from another planet,” President Ronald Reagan had read out loud from his script at the United Nations General Assembly podium in 1987, “in our obsession with antagonisms of the moment, we often forget how much unites all the members of humanity. Perhaps we need some outside universal threat to make us recognize this common bond. I occasionally think how quickly our differences worldwide would vanish, if we were facing an alien threat from outside this world!” --- President Ronald Reagan, Speaking at the UN General Assembly, September 21, 1987
Caption Ali Baba invading America on Sept. 11, 2001 in the unimaginable act of 'catastrophic terrorism' which split time and space into 'before 9/11' and after 9/11'
Caption Ali Baba plus plus invading planet earth would surely make 'Sept. 11 pale by comparison' in what it will accomplish.

I fear that the Muslim public mind, led by its Mullahs, just as they did last time led by the General, will announce their 'United We Stand' against this new global intergalactic catastrophic threat of terrorism by aliens before anyone even comes asking them to join the coalition.
of the willing. Last time, or so the narratives say, it only took a phone call. I don't really subscribe to that public relations line because the General was implanted into the matrix long before, to de-nuke Pakistan before the launch pad to the war in Afghanistan could pragmatically be mobilized. I have no proof of this but immanent game-theory scenario analysis indicates to me that Pakistan does not have any Nuclear weapons, at least not as a viable option at least before September 11, 2001.

Anyway, not to digress, this time around, Pakistani Mullahs like Tahir-ul-Qadri (among the Sunnis pushing “moderate Islam”), and perhaps Jawad Naqvi (among the shias pushing “revolutionary Islam”), and perhaps al Qaeda (among the fanatics pushing “militant Islam”), and I am certain every country will have their own such mullahs and their own such group mobilizations, including Iraq-Iran's Ayatollahs in residence and Egypt-Saudi Muftis on the pulpits, all pulling together behind the same hectoring hegemons against the new global threat.

Those lagging behind will be the religious Christians, as theologically, they are the center of creation, Jesus died for their sins, so how can aliens even exist?

Muslims will take the lead because theologically, God even proclaims in the Holy Qur'an that He is “Lord of the Worlds” (note the plural, Surah Al-Fatiha 1:2, Surah Al-Waqia 56:80) and has sent His Criterion as “an admonition to all creatures” (note the plural, Surah al-Furqaan 25:1). Both those simple and elegant verses, already memorized by 99 percent of the Muslims, will be used to prove that alien landing is a *divine miracle*. The Muslims will bow in *sajda* all day long---it will be sight to behold with some raconteur at the Rand Corporation actually peeing in his pant from unstoppable laughter. The moment the aliens draw first blood however, the Muslim Ayatollah and Mufti will join forces to lead the battle against the evil-doers, eagerly uniting behind the hectoring hegemons all of 2 billion Muslims worldwide in much the same way as the General previously
coerced merely the 200 million in Pakistan behind them.

All war-menacing stances and posturing the West has today against “Terror Central” Iran, unless Iran has already been wiped out or used up its utility as a threat, will themselves be washed away without batting an eye --- fabricated as this enemy is to begin with. It is a sad loss of objectivity for the shia Muslim mind of Pakistan, just like any sad loss of objectivity for any group-think, that it accepts the propaganda line of Iran being their savior, while the rest of the sunni Muslim mind worldwide accepts the propaganda line of the West that Iran is the devil incarnate. The truth is elsewhere. But not to digress once again.

Why do I believe this new 9/11 could very well be aliens landing on earth? It could of course always be preceded by the 'mahdi' and the 'messiah' together arriving as well, perhaps on NASA's Universal Holographic Satellite Projection System beamed worldwide simultaneously (I made that up) or in actual UFO looking flying craft (better than those shown below), but I needlessly digress again. But were that to happen as well, it will be to play the Armageddon card instead of the alien card. This is what I wrote in 2009 on that front:

'Whereas, the poor monetary reformer fighting the fabricated financial crisis, already the underdog and resourceless in going against an entrenched financial oligarchy with infinitely deep pockets, is also outwitted by the sheer magnitude of the Hegelian design for Global Governance. The momentum created by the disparate crises spanning the gamut of global financial meltdown, global warming, global pandemic, global war on terror, is insurmountable enough. Imagine if the next global crisis after global food panic and global crop failure, is alien sightings and landings!

It will be the coup de grâce for bringing the fractious humanity finally together in one-world government
“if suddenly there was a threat to this world from some other species from another planet.” As President Ronald Reagan had read out loud from his script at the United Nations podium in 1987: “we often forget how much unites all the members of humanity. Perhaps we need some outside universal threat to make us recognize this common bond. I occasionally think how quickly our differences worldwide would vanish if we were facing an alien threat from outside this world”.

Coming soon to your local friendly skies, complete with the 'messiah' and the 'mahdi' descending from the heavens on the wings of dove, or the chariots of fire, in their final return to save the now united mankind against the common threats. Maybe there is something to this 'dajjal' story, the 'false messiah' lore after all! Brought to you courtesy of NASA's Universal Holographic Satellite Projection System.'

But I dare to think that the alien card by itself appears more likely --- as the mahdi-messiah compounding could induce “uncontrolled predictability” into the already stochastic equations of game theory. “Controlled chaos” is what is needed for cementing world government. But if part of the scenario is to get Muslims and Israel to wage the epic battle of population reduction, that Armageddon can surely reduce the earth's population by half in no time.

Well, while I do not have a crystal ball any more clairvoyant than anyone else (except that hectoring hegemons like Zbigniew Brzezinski, wit: “arc of crisis”, “global zone of percolating violence”; the CFR, wit: “end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece”, “global governance monitor”; and the hundred foundations, wit: “pandemic” in Lock Step scenario analysis by the Rockefeller Foundation; surpass all others in uncannily presaging the vilest form of dystopic crisis with an accuracy that puts Nostradamus to shame), some real-
time data-mining on the crescendo of propaganda on Aliens and UFOs is the hint for the new galactic catastrophe being staged to surpass 9/11. Aliens is far more controllable as a source of global crisis than the arrival of the mahdi and the messiah which will unleash pure uncontrolled fanaticism worldwide. Not sure how anyone could ever control that chaos even with the stochastic finesse of game theory and infinite supercomputers housed near electric power plants on flowing rivers.

Here is two counterfeit pennies worth of game-theory scenario analysis for alien landing extracted from the real everyday tunes and harmonics of the Mighty Wurlitzer.

Footnote: Note the lowercase use of the terms mahdi and messiah to indicate con artists or useful idiots playing the role.

**Mining Propaganda to Uncover Agenda BEFORE it is a Fait Accompli**

Returning back to the “vulgar propagandist” (I keep that epithet in quotes deliberately to emphasize the fact that apart from its veracity, it’s also the product of *WWF wrestling*), we already see the empirical results of the uncannily predictive clairvoyance of America's and Israel's greatest establishmentarians concerning 'Islamic Terror' which goes by many names including “blowback”.

The fate of Afghanistan, Iraq, Pakistan, the entire Middle East, the “arc of crisis” and the “global zone of percolating violence”, all have something real in common today because of such amazing fortune telling by the masters of discourse years in advance: “the peoples of Islam will be the first and greatest victims.” (see Bernard Lewis quoted above) Please refer back to the already mentioned report: Instrumenting Kosovo in the 'arc of crisis' and the 'global zone of percolating violence'.

So, ought the public to take the superlative masters of discourse and
the assorted “vulgar propagandist” a tad more seriously when they ini-
tially spew new absurdities en route to successful mantra creation years in advance? And, before its eventual harvesting under the cata-
clysmic shock-effects of the “new pearl harbor”, makes their predict-
ive boast: “They will not be alone, and many others will suffer with
them”, an unalterable grotesque reality du jour?

One of course already observes some of that Bernard Lewis'
sponsored clairvoyant suffering of the innocent in America itself. While its own body-count is minuscule in comparison to Iraq, Afgh-
anistan, Pakistan, others experiencing 'revolutions' and 'democracy' in the Middle East, not to mention PTSD suffered by its veterans which too pales in comparison to what the valiant have wrecked upon the 'untermenschen' (see Letter to Editor: PTSD and its Cure), one with eyes wide open substantially notes that:

- the American national debt is soaring because of its perpetual 'War on Terror' and the financial malfeasance of its financial elite;
- its bankruptcies and joblessness have shattered the 'American Dream' of its public;
- its de-industrialization by having off-shored all its manufactur-
ing and production capacity is at an all time peak;
- the mighty superpower is now a police-state the likes of which was hitherto only presaged in fictional narratives like George Orwell's 1984;
- and the once mighty industrial nation may be merged into a larger supra-national regional entity similar to the EU as a con-
sequence of all these crises conditions.

Can one therefore, perceptively not surmise that the deceased Ali Baba's replacement nemesis will be a Super Ali Baba Plus Plus to complete the job started by Zbigniew Brzezinski and Israeli Intelligence agents' clairvoyance? What can this new threat possibly be that
it will even eclipse Osama Bin Laden in his magical prowess?

Can the public shrewdly anticipate and prepare for the next boogeyman based on the tunes now being played by the Mighty Wurlitzer, rather than be shell-shocked into acquiescence by its phantasmic unveiling? Just as the world was, and still is, shell-shocked into acquiescence due to the Catastrophic Terrorism of 9/11 which, like the Pearl Harbor, inevitably divided our past and our future into ‘before’ and ‘after’.

One often hears it stated in the news and in the Western governments' increasingly draconian regulations to keep their public safe from terrorists, that 9/11 changed everything. Well, the super 9/11 of the Super Ali Baba Plus Plus so clairvoyantly predicted by George W. Bush, “will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison” (Bush White House, Feb. 13, 2008)!

Can one intelligently not data-mine propaganda itself, in the backdrop of the Mighty Wurlitzer's unhidden motivations and agendas, to accurately perceive and preempt what's up next?

The following passage from the 2500 years old Art of War is pertinent backdrop to the aforementioned chutzpah of empire – a zeitgeist in which the scholars of empire announce their intentions brazenly years in advance, while the detractors of empire excel in the ex post facto narrations of what is already a fait accompli after the “history's actors” have acted and created “new realities”. The instruments of empire award their own antagonists high honors and great accolades for their bold rehearsal and dissection of histories amidst the fawning adulation of all their followers having their new 'ah hah' and 'never again' moments for the first time in their life. And the cycle repeats again and again for each new act of the “history's actors”:

'8. To see victory only when it is within the ken of the common herd is not the acme of excellence.

9. Neither is it the acme of excellence if you fight and conquer and the whole Empire says, "Well done!"
10. To lift an autumn hair is no sign of great strength; to see the sun and moon is no sign of sharp sight; to hear the noise of thunder is no sign of a quick ear.

Paying particular attention to item 10., one may conclude that to perceive and anticipate in a timely manner that which is not obvious to others leads to many tactical as well as strategic advantages, both in the battle of hegemony and secrecy, and, in the battle against tyranny. Which is why the public and their lauded dissent chiefs are always, but always, kept busy in idiotic puppetshows by the Machiavelli when it is most essential that they be shrewdly sighted.

Public preemption can be effective in derailing imperial mobilization only BEFORE it becomes a fait accompli. Ex post facto, when the public eventually wakes up to ascertain that it was indeed all a puppetshow, it is inevitably too late to do anything about the matter except to “study” what the “history's actors” have left behind! Obsessing with the previous fait accompli when dissent chiefs lead the effort, evidently, is also a calculated part of Machiavelli. The principle of temporal urgency in maintaining utmost deception (and secrecy) while “new realities” are being planned, orchestrated, and harvested, was articulated by Niccolò Machiavelli in The Prince. The modern day version of this predatory statecraft is the National Security Council Directive NSC 10/2 for creating cover stories and red herrings alongside covert operations. See Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory (http://tinyurl.com/cognitive-diversity).

As part of that plan to deflect public attention, those attempting to see through its fog of deception when a fait accompli can still be averted – before missiles have left their silos, before pen has been put to tortuous legalisms to sanction tyranny – are variously labeled as 'kooks', 'conspiracy theorists', 'delusional', 'denier', etc., their efforts infiltrated and subverted (as in cointelpro), and their energies defocussed by introducing what's cynically called “beneficial cognitive diversity” (see Cass Sunstein, and this counterpoint to dissent-chief David Ray Griffin's “eureka” moment on Cass Sunstein's “Conspiracy
So What's Next according to Project Humanbeingsfirst?

The Alien-UFO Agenda is one such future fait accompli in the works which can still be averted by the public becoming rationally informed about the demonic art of the Mighty Wurlitzer. The fact that:

- references to UFOs even made it into one of Wikileaks whistleblowing disclosures;
- the fact that the FBI recently made available a 1950 Roswell UFO memo lending “UFOs” a legitimacy in the gullible mind by way of it being held as a supposed “state-secret” for these past sixty years;
- the fact that US military is even playing war-games to interdict UFOs (USAF couldn't interdict 9/11 airplanes and are therefore determined, one surmises, to not fail against an alien UFO technology that is advanced enough to visit earth from another galaxy);
- the fact that there is a pertinent office at the United Nations, UNOOSA, with “the plan to make Unoosa the co-ordinating body for dealing with alien encounters [which] will be debated by UN scientific advisory committees and should eventually reach the body’s general assembly”, and a special UN Ambassador has been “tasked with co-ordinating humanity’s response if and when extraterrestrials make contact”;
- and the uptick in other bogus and absurd conversations on Aliens and UFO even appearing in the mainstream media, not to mention its unrelenting repetition in the so called “alternate media” and on the internet;

all indicate that its unveiling time is likely approaching near.

The reaction to this super nemesis too will surely also be launched
with “either you are with us, or with the aliens” false dialectics!

If the public can preempt that propaganda by focussing on unraveling the many facets and scenarios on what they Machiavellianly plan to do BEFORE they enact them, the vile psy-ops can surely be defeated BEFORE it becomes the new established “facts” on the ground for the globalists' coup de grâce: the final restructuring of the planet into world government (http://tinyurl.com/ftworldgov).

Caption The Next Global Threat? A Super Ali Baba Plus Plus arriving in UFOs to terrify all mankind into uniting under the rule of one-world government? (The U.S. Air Force first began experimenting with flying saucers in the 1950s.)
Why - to deceive the former USSR, or, to induce global mass panic? See Hadley Cantril http://humanbeingsfirst.-
files.wordpress.com/2011/01/cacheof-summary-pa-
per-the-invasion-from-mars-readings-in-social-psychology-
1947-hadley-cantril.pdf )

In order to perceptively engage the sophisticated finesse behind all this psychological mind-fck before it becomes fait accompli, please see: Letter to Kerry Cassidy on the Alien-UFO Agenda and The Agenda Behind Aliens and UFOs - A Hegelian Mind-Fck Part-II ( http://tinyurl.com/Aliens-UFOs ).

Adapted from the Report on The Mighty Wurlitzer

Footnote * Reference to Report from Iron Mountain,
http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2010/09/cacheof-
report_from_iron_mountain-via-kerry-cassidy-project-camelot.pdf
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World Order is World Government

Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order

Abstract

The myriad manufactured crises which afflict humanity today, from the riveting Wikileaks intrigues to the perpetual 'War on Terror', from the Financial Crisis and Pandemics to Global Warming and the Carbon Credit scams, and perhaps even Alien landings-sightings and other intergalactic catastrophes soon if Project Camelot has been accurately primed, are merely the successive Hegelian mind-fcks, ahem the “acts” and “deeds”, of making current affairs “look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality,”. Each new ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ provides the new en-
abling pretext for inching the world one baby-step closer towards the Global Governance of the Planet. This is the only non fiction of modernity --- the rest is all myth and perception management.

Gideon Rachman of the Financial Times wrote in his oped almost two years ago:

'I have never believed that there is a secret United Nations plot to take over the US. I have never seen black helicopters hovering in the sky above Montana. But, for the first time in my life, I think the formation of some sort of world government is plausible. A “world government” would involve much more than co-operation between nations. It would be an entity with state-like characteristics, backed by a body of laws. The European Union has already set up a continental government for 27 countries, which could be a model. The EU has a supreme court, a currency, thousands of pages of law, a large civil service and the ability to deploy military force. So could the European model go global? There are three reasons for thinking that it might. First, it is increasingly clear that the most difficult issues facing national governments are international in nature: there is global warming, a global financial crisis and a “global war on terror”. ... But – the third point – a change in the political atmosphere suggests that “global governance” could come much sooner than that. The financial crisis and climate change are pushing national governments towards global solutions, even in countries such as China and the US that are traditionally fierce guardians of national sovereignty.'

(Gideon Rachman, And now for a world government,
Financial Times, December 8 2008 ; Also see Zahir Ebrahim's Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government', December 11, 2008)

Mr. Rachman accurately reflected the immense momentum today towards world government which many a globalist had been working towards across generations rather openly, often boldly proclaiming that:

'We shall have World Government, whether or not we like it. The only question is whether World Government will be achieved by conquest or consent.' (James Warburg in 1950 to the US Senate, cited in Project Humanbeingsfirst's Monetary Reform Bibliography)

The EU Council President, Herman Van Rompuy, only 59 years later on November 19, 2009, openly admitted in his first press conference in Brussels after being appointed president, that finally, “2009 is also the first year of Global Governance”:

'We are living through exceptionally difficult times. Financial crisis and its dramatic impact on employment and budgets, the climate crisis which threatens our very survival --- a period of anxiety, uncertainty, and lack of confidence. Yet these problems can be overcome, by a joint effort, in and between our countries. 2009 is also the first year of Global Governance with the establishment of the G-20 in the middle of financial crisis. The climate conference in Copenhagen is another step towards the Global Management of our Planet. Our mission, our presidency is one of hope, supported by acts, and by deeds.' (press conference November 19, 2009 http://youtube.com/watch?v=QEqFtVrAgSo )

Mr. Van Rompuy too was accurate in his message of hope that Global
Governance is “supported by acts and by deeds”.

But just what might these be?

A Council on Foreign Relations author had rather holistically outlined the underlying character of these supporting “acts” and “deeds” way back in the middle-stages of their planning-execution cycle in April 1974 as follows:

'In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.

Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed.

The question is whether this more modest approach can do the job. Can it really bring mankind into the twenty-first century with reasonable prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity? The argument thus far suggests it better had, for there seems to be no alternative. But the evidence also suggests some grounds for cautious optimism.' (Richard N. Gardner, The Hard Road To World Order, Foreign Affairs April 1974 issue, pages 558-559)

Herman Van Rompuy's message of hope at the completion stages decades later was merely the cross-generational echo of Richard N. Gardner's “prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity” that had been long sewn “bottom up, rather than from the top down” such that to the uninformed public, it would always “look like a great ‘booming,
buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.”

The blood-drenched transformation stage that we find ourselves in today – the wreckage of civilizations – is truly “Between Two Ages”. That brilliant description is not mine, but the title of Zbigniew Brzezinski's seminally self-serving 1970 book which [presumably] got him appointed as the Executive Director of David Rockefeller's Trilateral Commission. There are more than a dozen Trilateralists and CFRs in President Obama's Administration too, pushing the banksters' globalist agendas finally to fruition across multiple fronts simultaneously. The money behind them, at least in the United States, is primarily the Rockefellers' who own the majority stake in the New York Fed, which in turn largely controls the Federal Reserve System. In Europe, the money is primarily the Rothschilds' who control all the world's private central banks (including America's Federal Reserve and international lending-policing agencies such as the World Bank IMF tag-team and the WTO) with complex interlocking relationships among a closed-knit tiny fraternity who exercise their will upon international banking and global finance and thus upon all nations of the world, through their largely unknown Bank for International Settlements (http://BIS.org) located in Basle, Switzerland.

Entirely coincidentally of course, BIS is located in the same secretive banking capital where Theodor Herzl had earlier made his notorious Jewish manifesto, Der Judenstaat public in the First World Zionist Congress in 1897 to set the public stage for the creation of the exclusively Jewish state of Israel in 1948. Also entirely coincidentally, the British Empire had gratuitously issued its famous 1917 Balfour Declaration in the name of Lord Rothschild, the principal owner and founder of the international financial system who had controlled the Bank of England since Waterloo. And again entirely coincidentally, America's entry into World War I was facilitated after the founding of
its own 'Bank of England', i.e., the Federal Reserve System principally by Paul Warburg, the banking fraternal twin of Lord Rothschild in whose palace the Treaty of Versailles was signed after World War I to enable the British Mandate over the lands of historic Palestine.

These remarkable coincidences have today made the Rothschilds the most revered family name in Israel. Some call them the King of the Jews – and to live up to that Solomon-ly title, the Rothschilds have architected, financed and built the Jewish state's principal hall of Justice, the Israeli Supreme Court in Jerusalem. The Jewish State today enjoys the unparalleled privilege of an “Iron Wall” that none can breach. The Rothschild's frankenstein can with brazen impunity exterminate, assassinate, and bomb, to the applause of the world leaders (see 'Pamphlet: How to Return to Palestine'). And yet, strangely, the Rothschild's role in seeding and orchestrating the affairs of the modern world is consistently downplayed almost universally. No media, no academic, no scholar, no historian, no dissent-chief, no corporate executive, no billionaire on Forbes list, the Forbes list itself, and of course no politician and world statesman, dare utter that name publicly – and so long as they don't, they can say anything else they want. Elusive power such as this is not a figment of someone's imagination (see 'Pamphlet: The Invisible House of Rothschild').

Prof. Carroll Quigley was permitted to openly state the following in his 1966 book: “Tragedy and Hope - A History of the World in Our Time”, and his controlled revelations which continued that tradition of downplaying the name of the Rothschilds, only came on the heels of the free-wheeling Eustace Mullins' well-documented exposé of how the Federal Reserve System in the United States was conspiringly created by forces representing the same globalist banking elite, and he had not spared the Rothschild name; this was followed by a series of books and documentary films in the 1970s by many others including Gary Allen, W. Cleon Skousen, G. Edward Griffin, Antony Sutton et. al. These passages from Quigley's 1200 page ode to the International bankers underscores the base axiomatic reality upon which the entire
'The powers of financial capitalism had (a) far-reaching aim, nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent meetings and conferences. The apex of the systems was to be the Bank for International Settlements in Basel, Switzerland; a private bank owned and controlled by the world's central banks which were themselves private corporations. Each central bank, in the hands of men like Montagu Norman of the Bank of England, Benjamin Strong of the New York Federal Reserve Bank, Charles Rist of the Bank of France, and Hjalmar Schacht of the Reichsbank, sought to dominate its government by its ability to control Treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards in the business world.' (Carroll Quigley, Tragedy and Hope, 1966, Chapter 20, page 324)

'It must not be felt that these heads of the world's chief central banks were themselves substantive powers in world finance. They were not. Rather, they were the technicians and agents of the dominant investment bankers of their own countries, who had raised them up and were perfectly capable of throwing them down. The substantive financial powers of the world were in the hands of these investment bankers (also called “international” or “merchant”
bankers) who remained largely behind the scenes in their own unincorporated private banks. These formed a system of international cooperation and national dominance which was more private, more powerful, and more secret than that of their agents in the central banks. This dominance of investment bankers was based on their control over the flows of credit and investment funds in their own countries and throughout the world.' (Carroll Quigley, Tragedy and Hope, 1966, Chapter 20, page 326)

The following observations made in 1970 by W. Cleon Skousen in his extensive commentary on Tragedy and Hope are entirely empirical today:

'The real value of Tragedy and Hope ... [is the] bold and boastful admission by Dr. Quigley that there actually exists a relatively small but powerful group which has succeeded in acquiring a choke-hold on the affairs of practically the entire human race. Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantic power unless millions of people in all walks of life were “in on the take” and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes. As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and women and their institutions on a world-wide basis.' (W. Cleon Skousen, The Naked Capitalist, pg. 6)

Gary Allen argued matters in such an elegant style in 1971 in his short book None Dare Call It Conspiracy, that the logic of the highlighted sentences in the passages quoted below even elicited a long chuckle.
from a most cynical MIT trained Silicon Valley engineer friend of mine who has designed dozens of microchips and is not easily given to levity on current affairs:

'Most of us have had the experience, either as parents or youngsters, of trying to discover the "hidden picture" within another picture in a children's magazine. Usually you are shown a landscape with trees, bushes, flowers and other bits of nature. The caption reads something like this: "Concealed somewhere in this picture is a donkey pulling a cart with a boy in it. Can you find them?" Try as you might, usually you could not find the hidden picture until you turned to a page farther back in the magazine which would reveal how cleverly the artist had hidden it from us. If we study the landscape we realize that the whole picture was painted in such a way as to conceal the real picture within, and once we see the "real picture," it stands out like the proverbial painful digit.

We believe the picture painters of the mass media are artfully creating landscapes for us which deliberately hide the real picture. In this book we will show you how to discover the "hidden picture" in the landscapes presented to us daily through newspapers, radio and television. Once you can see through the camouflage, you will see the donkey, the cart and the boy who have been there all along. Millions of Americans are concerned and frustrated over mishappenings in our nation. They feel that something is wrong, drastically wrong, but because of the picture painters they can't quite put their fingers on it.

Maybe you are one of those persons. Something is bugging you, but you aren't sure what. We keep electing new Presidents who seemingly promise faithfully
to halt the world-wide Communist advance, put the blocks to extravagant government spending, douse the fires of inflation, put the economy on an even keel, re-verse the trend which is turning the country into a moral sewer, and toss the criminals into the hoosegow where they belong. Yet, despite high hopes and glittering campaign promises, these problems continue to worsen no matter who is in office. Each new adminis-
tration, whether it be Republican or Democrat, continues the same basic policies of the previous adminis-
tration which it had so thoroughly denounced during the election campaign. It is considered poor form to mention this, but it is true nonetheless. Is there a plausible reason to explain why this happens? We are not supposed to think so. We are supposed to think it is all accidental and coincidental and that therefore there is nothing we can do about it.

FDR once said "In politics, nothing happens by acci-
dent. If it happens, you can bet it was planned that way." He was in a good position to know. We believe that many of the major world events that are shaping our destinies occur because somebody or somebodies have planned them that way. **If we were merely dealing with the law of averages, half of the events affecting our nation's well-being should be good for America. If we were dealing with mere incompetence, our leaders should occasionally make a mistake in our favor.**

We shall attempt to prove that we are not really dealing with coincidence or stupidity, but with planning and brilliance. This small book deals with that plan-
ing and brilliance and how it has shaped the foreign and domestic policies of the last six administrations.
We hope it will explain matters which have up to now seemed inexplicable; that it will bring into sharp focus images which have been obscured by the landscape painters of the mass media.

**Those who believe that major world events result from planning are laughed at for believing in the "conspiracy theory of history."** Of course, no one in this modern day and age really believes in the conspiracy theory of history -except those who have taken the time to study the subject.

When you think about it, there are really only two theories of history. Either things happen by accident neither planned nor caused by anybody, or they happen because they are planned and somebody causes them to happen. In reality, it is the "accidental theory of history" preached in the unhallowed Halls of Ivy which should be ridiculed. Otherwise, why does every recent administration make the same mistakes as the previous ones? Why do they repeat the errors of the past which produce inflation, depressions and war? Why does our State Department "stumble" from one Communist-aiding "blunder" to another? If you believe it is all an accident or the result of mysterious and unexplainable tides of history, you will be regarded as an "intellectual" who understands that we live in a complex world.

**If you believe that something like 32,496 consecutive coincidences over the past forty years stretches the law of averages a bit, you are a kook!'** (Gary Allen, None Dare Call it Conspiracy, 1971, Chapter 1; Also see 'Some Dare Call it Conspiracy! Are you among them?')
Can today's handful of rabble-rousing moral activists with their chest-thumping internet-jihad and the occasional street-dance protesting with loud drum-beating, fight such a nemesis that is not only legally endowed with an infinite supply of money conjured out of thin-air, but whose controlling power pervades all public and private institutions from universities to businesses to governments to non-profit supra-organizations like the United Nations and none dare talk about it without being called a 'kook'? To genuinely reverse this unstoppable impetus towards global management surely requires an order of magnitude different strategies and tactics other than blaring into bullhorns and publishing books and eloquent websites don't you think?

To an engineer's eye attuned to building real systems rather than merely talking about their future possibility in glossy brochures, it requires mass mobilizations and the common man's commandeering of structures of power worldwide to shut down the world. No food on the store shelves, no garbage picked up, no containers unloaded, all civic services stopped, etc. A global strike that demands the juridical hanging of the oligarchy, the nationalization of their amassed wealth, and the un-privatization of usurped public commons worldwide.

Apart from the fact that all such effective mobilization requires money, global organizations, time to build them up, labor unions and political institutions which can mobilize the rank and file for common cause, unfettered access to media to carry the message, and intellectual strategies and tactics which can launch a thousand cuts of no less overwhelming convolution than what the oligarchy conjures up to overwhelm the public senses, there are also no masses to mobilize. More importantly, there are no un-compromising leaders to lead them.

With no resources outside of the institutional parameters of the status quo for any emerging leadership to be effective in rebelling against those very institutions, and all legalisms and security apparatuses calculatingly stacked in favor of the establishment's own ruling paradigms – the unfettered promulgation of hegemony of the oligarchy fronted by the 'national security state' with its colossus military-
industrial-academeme-media-congressional-juridical-executive complex – what can even courageous leaders do when even the brains of the President of United States, the mightiest superpower on earth, is not safe from being blown to smithereens when it becomes a threat to the status quo? (See 'The Eight Bay of Pigs of JFK' in Jim Douglass' November 2009 talk “JFK and the Unspeakable” at COPA, Dallas, based on his book)

Random public riots in the streets out of individual desperation does not, and will not, cut it. Ineffectual rowdyism is in fact, the calculated tactical plan of the globalists themselves. Because, destructive riots enable them to play their final fait accompli inducing trump card – martial law! And the FEMA detention camps on military sites have already been made ready to welcome many an unwise malcontent! (See 'Why bluff martial law')

Those attempting to uncontrollably rile up the public anger with bullhorns in the style of Television Network's Mad Prophet of the Airwaves (Network, 1976 Hollywood movie):

'Well, I am not gonna leave you alone. I want you to get mad. I don't want you to protest, I don't want you to write to your congressman because I wouldn't know what to tell you to write. I don't know what to do about the depression and the inflation and the Islamofascists and the crime in the street. All I know is that first, you've got to get mad. You've got to say “I am a human being god dammit, my life has value”. So, I want you to get up now, and go to the window, open it, and stick your head out and yell: “I am mad as hell and I am not gonna take this anymore”, cannot not know this.

While it has today become next to impossible to tell fabricated dissent from manufactured consent, and with reformed cats piously trying to represent the silly mice, the underlying political science basis of the
ubiquitous social engineering which employs this “cognitive infiltration” is documented in the two reports 'Manufacturing Dissent: The Master Social Science', and 'Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory'. Also see: 'Did David Ray Griffin and Steve Lendman miss the real purpose of Cass Sunstein's “Conspiracy Theories”?'

As one can glean in these aforementioned analyses, there is effectively no dissent today that can impact the status quo. And establishment's systems are already in place to ensure that it does not happen either. Only narratives are permitted to exist. And the narrators are often generously rewarded too, with many even openly seeking and accepting their harvest of peace prizes and other glamorous accolades, lucrative appointments and tenures, from the same empire which they ostensibly oppose in their prominent dissent! I am told that there is a very generous single Biblical word for them: hypocrite. Not being divinely inspired, mine are of course considerably less generous.

Never mind waking up the sheeple. The genuine “ostrich” activists, the “quite gallant and graceful-looking people” as H. G. Wells described the lot (see quote below), themselves need to wake up to the grotesque reality first, and take accurate cognizance of the battlefield the way it really is.

The way things stand today – see the reality-check in 'Why Not Be An Ostrich?' – without birth-panging radical transformations to dissent-space and the concomitant emergence of a focussed global resistance, Global Governance of the oligarchy is fait accompli. That is simply a factual statement with no emotional syntactic sugaring applied.

The myriad manufactured crises which afflict humanity today, from the riveting Wikileaks intrigues to the perpetual 'War on Terror', from
the Financial Crisis to Global Warming and the Carbon Credit scams, and perhaps even Alien landings/sightings and/or intergalactic catastrophes soon if Project Camelot has been accurately primed, are merely the successive Hegelian mind-fcks, ahem the “acts” and “deeds”, of making current affairs “look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality,”.

Each new ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ provides the new enabling pretext for inching the world one baby-step closer towards the Global Governance of the Planet. The goals and mechanisms to put in place have all been officialized under the United Nations umbrella. That project is called United Nations Agenda 21 (http://tinyurl.com/Agenda-21-for-Dummies-UN).

See Project Humanbeingsfirst Publications Index for reports on each one of these “acts” and “deeds” to verify for yourself how every global crisis, always fabricated and calculatingly exacerbated, has been harvested to legislate new global laws in the name of fighting these crises, effectively causing the pre-stated Machiavellian “end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece”.

Today, many an officialdom will openly admit that national sovereignty has already become a myth. But they will also only attribute this as a reaction to the crises – the war on terror, the financial crisis, the global warming, the global pandemics, etceteras, just as the EU president proclaimed in his first press conference in 2009! What will be tomorrow's catastrophic crisis that “will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison”, as was self-servingly presaged by former US president George W. Bush on Feb. 13, 2008 warning the world of impending super-catastrophic terrorism, that will be hailed as the final inevitable reason for cementing world government? (See What can make 'Sept. 11 pale by comparison')

And, pathetically, all that the “malcontent” and “graceful-looking people” can do, just like the “history's actors” accurately predicted that we shall do, is study it:
"...‘That's not the way the world really works anymore,' he continued. ‘We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.’...’ (Ron Suskind, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004)

More tragically, our tortuous zeitgeist was also accurately presaged even before most of us were born:

'When the struggle seems to be drifting defiantly towards a world social democracy there may still be very great delays and disappointments before it becomes an efficient and beneficent world system. Countless people -- will hate the New World Order -- and will die protesting against it. When we attempt to evaluate its promise, we have to bear in mind the distress of a generation or so of malcontents, many of them quite gallant and graceful-looking people.' (H. G. Wells, The New World Order, page 127)

Isn't that where all the world's rebels precisely stand today, blindly and ineffectively resisting a fait accompli in false hopes? With most of the world's 'untermenschen' happy-happy in hope and voluntary servitude waiting for a savior? (See 'Happy-Happy in Hope and Voluntary Servitude')

But perhaps it is not because of false hopes. That is only for ostriches.

Perhaps it is really that elusive spirit of the swashbuckling rebel, Captain Rhett Butler of Gone with the Wind which inspires this lot. At least in so far as his penchant for supporting lost causes after they were truly lost was concerned. "Why?", retorted Captain Butler to
Mrs. Hamilton as he gallantly abandoned his unrequited love in the middle of the road to go join the Confederate Army after Atlanta had been completely burned to the ground by Sherman and his northern soldiers: “maybe it's because I have always had a weakness for lost causes once they are really lost.”

Admirable, perhaps even heroic by grandmotherly standards. But hardly any cause for indigestion for the henchmen at the CFR and the EU Council who, under the “iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes”, are striving to bring us “reasonable prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity” in the twenty-first century.

Nevertheless, rebels don't necessarily always measure their categoric-al imperatives in the same way. For many among the 'untermenschen', to simply exist is to resist. And obviously no self-delusion is involved there. For others, to merely survive the daily oppression and daily burials of their loved ones with their dignity and mental faculties intact, is unsurpassed heroism. Such earthly struggles when wholly circumscribed by moral dimensions, even when motivated by narrow existential self-interests such as the self-defense of one's own loved ones, or the safeguarding of one's own sanity, is perhaps best captured by the pithy wisdom from the Islamic tradition narrated in my 'Muslim's Voice: Why we endeavor even when it appears futile!':

'When the Prophet Abraham (in the Orientalist's spelling) was being thrown in the fire by the tyrannical ruler Nimrod, all creation was in tremendous angst.

Even the stones spoke out against the tyrant.

Every moral creature endeavored to the rescue of Prophet Ibraheem (AS) to put out the fire.

To the extent that a tiny bird picked a droplet of water in its minuscule beak and started to fly over the fire.

An Angel of God asked the little bird:
“Surely you are not going to put out the fire with that droplet(!), and surely the high flames will consume you! – what do you think you are doing?”

**The tiny bird replied:**

“yes, you are right, and I know that my tiny droplet will not save the Ulul-Azam (Great Prophet) of God.

But I bring to the endeavor of standing up to this evil tyrant whatever I am capable of, and this tiny droplet is all I am capable of.”

The rebel is not an ostrich. He and she is that tiny bird with the tiny droplet in its beak.

**Related Reading**


[3] http://youtube.com/watch?v=QEgFtVrAgSo


**Short URL:** http://tinyurl.com/Hard-Road-to-World-Order
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Chapter 12 Part-2

World Order is World Government

Why Not Be An Ostrich?

In his interview with Alex Jones on February 09, 2009, [1] Dr. Jerome Corsi accurately described the grotesque and rapidly unfolding reality with respect to the pending North American Union.

Jerome Corsi on Alex Jones (2-9-09) 1/3
Not much needs to be added to Dr. Jerome Corsi's statements beyond the following critique, and a reference to Project Humanbeingsfirst's exposition on 'carbon-credit' (see Letter to Editor: Understanding the Political Science behind Global Warming, February 07, 2009 ) to explain its significance in the greater scheme of things. Dr. Corsi suggests in the above interview that his making the “secrets” about the North American Union public has delayed the inevitable, and Alex Jones agrees with that congratulatory self-pat on the back. **I can't stand these vacuous self-adulations.** We have a very famous couplet in the Urdu language, loosely translated it means: “to console the [delusional] heart, this thought is very nice”.

We, the handful of conscionable activists who genuinely give a damn enough to put our personal stake in the ground with our name and our public activism, we who risk telling the unvarnished truth on a matter of principle, and who aren't dialectically participant in the Machiavellian orchestration of manufacturing dissent, haven't accomplished a darn thing nor averted a farthing's worth of fait accompli. The conspiracy for one-world government is itself becoming public because it is time for it to “break-surface”, to emerge from the shadows as astutely predicted by David Icke ten years ago that it would when the globalists were good and ready for it. The moon is deliberately playing hide-and-seek in the clouds as the Sun orchestrates its visibility by modulating its own furnace, and the great Shaman in the Andes thinks it is managing the moon's shadow-play in its own battle against the rapacious predator!

In 1966, Professor Carroll Quigley in his book “Tragedy and Hope” had already observed that the globalists were almost ready and their stranglehold on world's affairs was almost complete. So today, their pronouncements are brazenly appearing in all sorts of mainstream newsmedia, and the Financial Times Oped of December 08, 2008 was only among the first. And before that, it wasn't particularly a state-secret either. Please see “The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy For World Government”. The CFR document by Robert A. Pastor “Build-
ing a North American Community” has been publicly available since May of 2005 from the Council on Foreign Relations Press, 175 pages, ISBN 0876093489, $15.00, Task Force Report No. 53. And even that wasn’t news, because anyone following the Trilateralists’ agenda and having read and analyzed the import of the many words of David Rockefeller, knew it. Please refer to the Monetary Reform Bibliography.

And were the globalists not so confident of the inevitable now being unstoppable, and all the eventualities and contingency planning now legally already in place for any minor ineffectual disturbances here and there, they wouldn’t now be so brazenly proclaiming in the mainstream media their long, and hitherto only mildly-undertoned, subversive agenda for one-world government! The agenda which has so surreptitiously been orchestrated in the backdrop of their own manufactured crises. Even its core-principle of operation was accurately explained by G. Edward Griffin in his 1970 documentary film after he had studied W. Cleon Skousen’s commentary on Carroll Quigley’s book aptly titled “The Naked Capitalist”. [2]

**The Capitalist Conspiracy: An Inside View of International Banking by G. Edward Griffin**

“Create conditions so frightful at home and abroad, that the abandonment of personal liberties and nation-
al sovereignty, will appear as a reasonable price for a return to domestic tranquility and world peace.... If those who seek world dominion can raise the spectre of an enemy, armed to the teeth with superior atomic weapons on the verge of launching a nuclear holocaust, and also offer world-government as the prevention, then millions of Americans can be programmed to accept the loss of national sovereignty, as our last best hope for peace.”

And the fact that Jerome Corsi published a book on the North American Union in 2007 and Alex Jones suggests that they (superficially) denied its thesis in the mainstream news at the time while the New York Times simultaneously listed it on its Best Seller list, only makes it the moon's shadow play of mocking the 'dumb and dumber'. A simple visit to cfr.org in the past few years would have made any mainstream denial of North American Union straightforwardly manifest to anyone from among the public inclined to do a bit of reading. In fact, Richard N. Gardner had outlined the subversion for ending national sovereignty in CFR's Foreign Affairs way back in April 1974 in his article “The Hard Road To World Order” thusly:

“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.”

The public relations game in the mainstream media played out for the benefit of the 'dumb goy' has nothing to do with protecting state secrets. Had it really mattered to the 'ubermenschen' that this matter be kept secret – never mind that CFR would no more have published it than PNAC would have published “Rebuilding America's Defenses” in 2000 brazenly letting on about their calculated need for a mobiliz-
ing pretext such as a “New Pearl Harbor” a full year before it transpired – both Mr. Jerome Corsi and Mr. Alex Jones would have met the fate of JFK, MLK, RFK. Or minimally, at least that of iconoclast Eustace Mullins.

The latter, a truly revolutionary Socratic detective – and to my mind, the one genuinely deserving of the accolade “most important intellectual alive” without any need to prepend “arguably” to it – the only living protégé of American poet-philosopher Ezra Pound (whose other three protégés each won a Nobel Prize in Literature), can't even get any of his self-published out of print books re-published because of lack of resources. And here Dr. Jerome Corsi has the grand daddy of all mainstream publishers – Simon and Schuster – excitedly reprint a new edition of his “The Late Great USA: NAFTA, the North American Union, and the Threat of a Coming Merger with Mexico and Canada (Paperback - Feb. 17, 2009)”.

Eustace Mullins was the first one to reveal the Secrets of the Federal Reserve post World War II, and has been hounded by the Federal government in ways that has been denied many a worthy dissenter. But even so, the octogenarian is also still alive. If any of them were such a real threat, they'd surely “sleeps with the fishes” by now or at least had a sensational “Washington suicide”! To really understand why it is not a major concern for the oligarchs of any public revelation of their open-secrets which are only hidden in plainsight anyway, please see the Foreword 2005 of Prisoners of the Cave! Anyone with an iota of interest, and not entirely consumed by the voluntary pursuits of his or her 'American Dream', can uncover any of this information.

And the reason the globalists feel so confident in now loudly proclaiming their proposed solutions of global structures for political and monetary governance, and yes within their own contorted shadow-play of sometimes brazenly mocking, and sometimes testing the water for public readiness, is because the only force that could have possibly caused any consternation to them – the world's peoples collectively caring for what's happening to them – have very effectively
been neutralized. Their secret sauce to the recipe, so to speak, lies in such revelations not having any (timely) mass mobilizing impact, and they have already taken astute and systematic care of that in the preceding decades. The first key subversion was to get rid of labor unions – and they got rid of the nation's production base which helped cement those matters. Now it is all off shored to labor slums of economic conscription in dictatorships which rule with an iron fist – no worries about any labor problems. The other core subversion was to transform the two political parties into lobby-group heavy elitist plutocracies representing the same oligarchic interests, whereby, the voting masses are now reduced to picking from a carefully culled sampling of inepts, crooks, and other motley fools who can easily be led by their ignoramus or elongated nose for they at least know which side their bread is buttered. The rare sprinkling of an honest politician being the exception rather than the norm.

And if you think I am making all this up, just witness this candid admission by Congressman Paul KanJorski, D-Penn 11th District, Chair of Capital Markets Subcommittee, of his incompetence and inexpertise in economics and monetary matters while responding to a distraught caller on Washington Journal about the House Economic Stimulus Proposal: “We are not any geniuses in economics or finance on the Hill. We are Representatives of the people.” [3]

Rep Paul KanJorski, D-Penn, 11th District, Capital Markets Subcommittee Chair, responding to a caller on Washington Journal broadcast on CSPAN
“We are not any geniuses in economics or finance on the Hill. We are Representatives of the people.”

And where were the organized mass demonstrations and shut-down strikes in either October 2008, or now in February 2009? Precisely!

Still not convinced that it is okay today to let the mainstream public know what is already not such a big-secret because in reality, the public is entirely neutralized, and at best, they will only riot out of desperation when the food runs out and that works well to serve the oligarchs' own purposes of more pretexts of a police-state to keep the law and order? Take a look at the official US debt by decade between 1900 and 2006 in the 2006 book by Van K. Tharp (Pg. 158, Figure 6.1) which is today taking America for a ride on the horns of economics gibberish. Now watch this engaging video clip of Glenn Beck at Fox News showing the same data updated to 2009, which is directly indicative of the premeditated hyperinflation direction of the fiat dollar. [4]

Glenn Beck presented the above analysis just recently on mainstream right-wing television station that was as gung-ho on the Iraq War, and as supportive of Israeli genocide of the Palestinians, as any mainstream news television can be of its oligarchic ruling-elite. And yet, Mr. Beck is today getting away with loudly critiquing the government's policy. Notice however, how he gallantly hesitates to label it the palpable criminal conspiracy of the bankers to deliberately crash the dollar – because that assertion, of what is outright obvious, on mainstream news, would only see him hanging at the end of a rope! Also see the analysis of Glenn Beck's conversations with Ron Paul January 21, 2009 in which both cleverly tango around the core-lies to sustain the mythology that it is all due to unfortunate shortsightedness, or see the unraveling of Ron Paul's errors of calculated omissions on CNBC, January 27, 2009. And those aren't this revolutionary rebel's only omissions either. See this Open Letter to Ron Paul Supporters for some very fine political craftsmanship of Ron Paul, and its unraveling.

Never mind the public outrage, never mind the complicit ruling-elite and their tortuous instruments of psyops upon the gullible public – where is the commonsense of the middle-tier educated elite class and of the 'haves'? Are they as gullible as the masses to not see that the criminal conspiracy for world government is actually unfolding before their very eyes, and that none of this is due to happenstance or due to
the incontrovertible laws of economics? That it is all deliberately manufactured on the horns of economics gibberish?

Only anecdotally speaking of course, not one person I personally know, either in the West or the East, gives a damn for anything other than their own tiny microcosm. Not one educated ignoramus with Ph.D. or MBA I know has any clue about the Federal Reserve System, nor cares enough to show outrage in the streets when they do know. And nor the many filthy-rich CEOs of corporations and business friends I run into here and there – in America and in Pakistan – give a bloody hoot! For many, “god is running the world”. And they look at me strangely when I suggest that perhaps it's the devil who is. The last executive acquaintance I ran into was just this past weekend at a restaurant – a serial entrepreneur who knew all about financing companies and “leverage” – and he had his eyes glaze over when I started to explain the agenda behind the financial crisis after he had first explained to me, in technical jargon, how loans might be instantly called in by the banks as soon as the businesses re-calibrated their real worth today which is why they were avoiding it. I think he told me at least twice to eat my food instead. The 'haves' simply have too much at stake in the system to buck it – denial is the safest, for this way, they still remain hopeful of the crumbs that will surely fall in their lap if they keep up their industriousness of pursuing their 'American Dream' with blinkers on. This co-opted technocrats force – for that's really the only honest word left to describe them once all their hypocrisy and their self-justification for their ignorance are peeled away – makes for a perfect middle-management system in the new world order. They espouse the selective conscience, the selective expertise in their profession, the self-delusion of being rather virtuous and with clean hands, and show the eager willingness to remain silent participants in the core-lies of the system, all of which are necessary mercenary traits to perpetuate the world order and be amply rewarded for it. Thus, the sale of Mega yachts has shot through the roof, according to the Miami Herald's David Gelles.
I am really not making this up as I go along. Mr. Gelles reported in the Sunday Edition of October 26, 2008 – right after the bailout bill had passed – the yacht industry complaint that “megaships continue to be built faster than they can be staffed, ... There are too many large vessels being built right now, and we already have too many boats out there,” Mr. Gelles further informed the beleaguered rank and file of Sunny Miami: “This year, 1,000 motor yachts longer than 80 feet are under construction, a steep jump from the 87 such ships being built 10 years ago, according to the report.” While most of America is having trouble paying their bills for food, a Venture Capitalist acquaintance just purchased a multi-million dollar home in the mountains. And his previous home was already palatial to start with. Why would he give a damn and risk losing his fortune to an IRS audit, or donate a 100 million dollars to take on the Rockefeller Foundation as a public service? I did sort of pitch the idea of backing the monetary reform agenda to him, never heard back of course, nor invited again to visit. In fact, at this VC's party, I had met the most educated ignoramii pretentious millionaires of America who seemed to know far less about the nature of money that was in their pockets than one might have expected. None willing to risk losing it, they each spoke as if they understood it all, each had their favorite horse between Obama and McCain, and perhaps thought I was a raving lunatic when I explained that both had a Brzezinski behind them, and that money was made out of thin air. They'd in fact be silly to wanna risk their good life for some abstract principle of truth and justice. Narratives is all they understand, and indeed tell to each other in their brief respites from making more money.

Okay you say, that's the filthy rich of the world. They are all alike everywhere. Surely the 'have-nots' in the rank and file give a damn?

Not the immigrant cabbie I met recently! Complaining of too few customers in these rough times to make ends meet, when I tried to tell him about the world according to the lonely Socrates and why he had so few customers, he just shrugged and moved on to worry about his
next meal. And nor does the working class engineer friend of mine who lives comfortably in a posh locality and who simply replied: “I'll take care of my camels, god will take care of Its own property”. He was referring to some largely mythical event in a 1500 year old history that he swears by as his moral guide and passport to heaven. When I persisted in explaining the devilish global agenda to him and that there was only hell at its end for the majority of us, he ended it by calmly asserting “I don't have the time to study any of this”, and “god is running the world”.

So okay, maybe I just don't know that many people in the world. Perhaps the goodly conscionable people are all out there somewhere, in the millions, among the 6.5 billion. And it is in their consideration, in their fear of retaliation, that Jerome Corsi’s book, as he maintains, caused the ruling-elite to delay their evil agenda. In the interview to Alex Jones, Corsi stated that he has even made that premise the thesis of the epilogue in the new edition of his book.

The empirical evidence of such principled peoples existing on planet earth who would scare the pants off the hectoring hegemons is scant however. The Gaza protest against the Israeli shoah of the Palestinians which I attended in San Francisco on January 10, 2009, was minuscule compared to the one in February 2003 on the eve of the criminal invasion of Iraq. Even fewer people give a damn today despite all the horrible exposures by the globalists' own mouth piece, the New York Times, in the last 5 years, than they did back then. And conditions in the United States are at least an order of magnitude worse than they were 5 years ago! The fact that civilians and babies were being butchered didn't seem to phase out too many Americans. For that matter, dead children shot in the head at close range, and burning bodies from phosphorous bombs, didn't seem to have phased too many other nations either beyond the usual lip-service. No one declared war on the pariah state, no one sent in their armies to protect the civilians. Only one lonely courageous “Dignity” sailed to their symbolic rescue, instead of one million for some real efficacy! Only one beleaguered
nation that is itself under the gun, dared to send in some token supplies on a boat which was trivially held up by conspiring mercenaries en route. See “Happy-Happy in Hope and Voluntary Servitude” for more empiricism for the worldwide neutralization of public response.

Therefore, claiming that publishing a book of eloquent prose on matters already apparent has averted or delayed some grotesque fate that is awaiting all of us, is the height of narcissistic self-delusion. Humility of course was hardly ever a hallmark of Western intellectualism in the first place. Our Zeitgeist has even demonstrated its profound infertility between the 'Manufacturing Consent and Manufacturing Dissent' of the Rhett Butler mercenaries too cowardly to admit it, and the dreamstate of the handful of genuine Socrates riding hard on a treadmill of slogans amidst great incestuous applause.

Facing up to the tortuous reality the way it actually is, and not imposing one's own imaginative narratives upon it like the globalists do to hypothesize a different world, can be a bit more useful in meeting the real challenges. If only they'd stop patting themselves on the back for their noisy run on the treadmill, and instead, donate all the proceeds of their bestseller books and documentaries rehearsing the crimes of empire and the suffering of its victims, to create a Foundation for Justice, to fund a JPAC (Justice Political Action Committee), etc. Without effort towards such mass mobilizing, institution building, and negotiating power wielding infrastructures, and without sinking massive funds into it like the oligarchs do, the mantra of dissent has become big business in the West. Like everything else here, truth too has a price.

I would like to know how many dissent-space acclaimed authors and confession artists whose books line the shelves of major bookstores chains and grace the New York Times bestseller list, who command high speaking fees on the lecture circuit and make a good living peddling their narration of crimes of empire, gave away their harvest to the Iraqi and Afghani families? There are at least a hundred if not a thousand books on 911. How many donated the proceeds to the victims of 911, both in the United States, and in the rest of the world? At
least, Captain Rhett Butler, even as a mercenary was still intellectually honest enough to un-hypocritically admit his capitalist instincts and the reality of defeat of the side he was helping in Gone with the Wind:

'And have you so much money, Captain Butler?

What an ill-bred question, Mrs. Hamilton. I'm surprised. But yes. For a young man cut off without a shilling in early youth, I've done very well. And I'm sure I'll clean up a million on the blockade.

Oh, no!

Oh, yes! What most people don't seem to realize is that there is just as much money to be made out of the wreckage of a civilization as from the upbuilding of one.

And what does all that mean?

Your family and my family and everyone here tonight made their money out of changing a wilderness into a civilization. That's empire building. There's good money in empire building. But, there's more in empire wrecking.

What empire wrecking are you talking about?

This empire we're living in – the South – the Confederacy – the Cotton Kingdom – it's breaking up right under our feet. Only most fools won't see it and take advantage of the situation created by the collapse. I'm making my fortune out of the wreckage.

Then you really think we're going to get licked?

Yes. Why be an ostrich?'

The blood-drenched transformation stage that we find ourselves in today – the wreckage of civilizations – is truly “Between Two
Ages”. That brilliant description is not mine, but the title of Zbigniew Brzezinski's seminal book which [presumably] got him discovered by David Rockefeller who appointed Brzezinski as the Executive Director of Rockefeller's Trilateral Commission. There are more than a dozen Trilateralists and CFRs in Obama's Administration pushing the banksters' agendas across different fronts. The money behind them, at least in the United States, is primarily the Rockefellers' who own the majority stake in the New York Fed, which in turn largely controls the Federal Reserve System. In Europe, the money is primarily the Rothschilds' who control all the world's private central banks with complex interlocking relationships. One cannot fight an infinite supply of money that is conjured out of nothing and which pervades all public and private institutions from universities to businesses to governments, with mere talk and chest thumping.

To genuinely reverse it, requires an order of magnitude different strategies and tactics than blaring into a bull-horn and publishing books! It requires mass mobilizations and the common man's commandeering of structures of power worldwide to shut down the world. No food on the store shelves, no garbage picked up, no containers unloaded, all civic services stopped, etc. Apart from the fact that all this requires money, organizations, time to build them up, labor unions, political institutions which can mobilize the rank and file, and strategies and tactics of no less convolution than what CFR conjures up, there are also no masses to mobilize, and more importantly, no uncompromising leaders to lead them. Random destructive riots in the streets out of individual desperation doesn't, and will not, cut it. Inefffectual riots, in fact, are the calculated tactical plan of the globalists themselves, because that enables them to play their fait accompli inducing trump card – martial law! And the FEMA detention camps on military sites have already been made ready to welcome many a malcontent! To understand this matter forensically, see “Why bluff martial law”.

Never mind waking up the sheeples. The genuine “ostrich” activists,
the “quite gallant and graceful-looking people”, themselves need to wake up to the grotesque reality first, without any syntactic sugaring sweetening their early morning coffee.

Please read the rest of this news analysis very dispassionately, with an analytical eye, to comprehend that there is zero efficacy to all these current efforts to halt the enormous momentum towards one-world government that has been a very long time in the making. And the criminals are very very clever, almost devilish, and a good people enjoying their truth-telling in their Hyde-corner simply cannot match them in tortuous planning, funding, institutionalizing of their corruption, and construction of complex lies within lies and disseminating them through billion dollar media outlays and convoluted psyops. Between Huxleyan and Orwellian controls of the masses in the West, and between struggle for daily bread and barely surviving in the East, matters have now progressed so far forward that only adverse chemotherapy, which can potentially kill the patient, can possibly also save the patient.

I must confess I am totally impressed with the accurate sociological insight of H. G. Wells one hundred years ago when he stated in his book “The New World Order” (which apparently few people have actually bothered to read as they often quote the following passage from it quite out of context – see here):

“When the struggle seems to be drifting defiantly towards a world social democracy there may still be very great delays and disappointments before it becomes an efficient and beneficent world system. Countless people – will hate the New World Order – and will die protesting against it. When we attempt to evaluate its promise, we have to bear in mind the distress of a generation or so of malcontents, many of them quite gallant and graceful-looking people.”

Please sit back and watch how the real patriots do it to the predators
of their jungle. [5]

**Battle at Kruger**

Caption Youtube *Battle at Kruger*: How even the lowly in the animal kingdom standup to the hectoring hegemons of their jungle

**Footnotes**


Also http://youtube.com/watch?v=3DPfKxOQGHU  
Related: Response to 'Why I'm leaving Harvard' By Zahir Ebrahim November 19, 2010 – Why two Harvard professors are absurdly arguing which side of the fence is greener in the Technetronic prison-state they are both helping to construct, lending new meaning to why not be an ostrich: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/11/response-to-why-im-leaving-harvard.html
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Chapter 13

World Order: Some Dare Call it Conspiracy!

Are You Among Them? Holding a mirror to the blind

Legal Definition of Conspiracy

Conspiracy: “in law, agreement of two or more persons to commit a criminal or otherwise unlawful act. At common law, the crime of conspiracy was committed with the making of the agreement, but present-day statutes require an overt step by a conspirator to further the conspiracy. Other controversial aspects of conspiracy laws include the modification of the rules of evidence and the potential for a dragnet. A statement of a conspirator in furtherance of the conspiracy is admissible against all conspirators, even if the statement includes damaging references to another conspirator, and often even if it violates the rules
against hearsay evidence. The conspiracy can be proved by circumstantial evidence. Any conspirator is guilty of any substantive crime committed by any other conspirator in furtherance of the enterprise. It is a federal crime to conspire to commit any activity prohibited by federal statute, whether or not Congress imposed criminal sanctions on the activity itself.” -- Columbia Encyclopedia [1]

Ah – but what if the “criminals” were to write the laws and the statutes themselves? Then, the conniving and conspiring isn't legally defined as a crime, nor the “criminals” called criminals. In fact, most are called bankers (emperors previously), and their instruments today, foundations (fleets previously)! Isn't that just peachy?

If only Al Capone, “an Italian-American gangster who led a crime syndicate dedicated to smuggling and bootlegging of liquor and other illegal activities during the Prohibition Era of the 1920s and 1930s” (Wikipedia), had learnt that sooner.

A very learned man in the far simpler times of antiquity, around 410 A.D., captured this state of affairs of the imperatives of power most succinctly as follows:

“When the King asked him what he meant by infesting the sea, the pirate defiantly replied: 'the same as you do when you infest the whole world; but because I do it with a little ship I am called a robber, and because you do it with a great fleet, you are an emperor.”” -- Augustine of Hippo, in The City of God against the Pagans, page 148

And a much simpler man in far more convoluted times of modernity also rather straightforwardly expounded upon the same matters because the plebeians du jour weren’t quite willing to accept any oligarchic emperorship directly, legal or not. Divine sanction for rulers
had been eliminated in the West since the Renaissance, and new emperors had to play along with plebeian norms because “Nowadays when the voting papers of the masses are the deciding factor; the decision lies in the hands of the numerically strongest group; that is to say the first group, the crowd of simpletons and the credulous.” (Mein Kampf). Thus, more complex scheming by the wolves seeking world domination had to be orchestrated upon the sheepish “crowd of simpletons and the credulous.”

And so, in 1971 AD, he observed:

“Most of us have had the experience, either as parents or youngsters, of trying to discover the "hidden picture" within another picture in a children's magazine. Usually you are shown a landscape with trees, bushes, flowers and other bits of nature. The caption reads something like this: "Concealed somewhere in this picture is a donkey pulling a cart with a boy in it. Can you find them?" Try as you might, usually you could not find the hidden picture until you turned to a page farther back in the magazine which would reveal how cleverly the artist had hidden it from us. If we study the landscape we realize that the whole picture was painted in such a way as to conceal the real picture within, and once we see the "real picture," it stands out like the proverbial painful digit.

We believe the picture painters of the mass media are artfully creating landscapes for us which deliberately hide the real picture. In this book we will show you how to discover the "hidden picture" in the landscapes presented to us daily through newspapers, radio and television. Once you can see through the camouflage, you will see the donkey, the cart and the boy who have been there all along. Millions of Americans are concerned and frustrated over mishappenings in
our nation. They feel that something is wrong, drastically wrong, but because of the picture painters they can't quite put their fingers on it.

Maybe you are one of those persons. Something is bugging you, but you aren't sure what. We keep electing new Presidents who seemingly promise faithfully to halt the world-wide Communist advance, put the blocks to extravagant government spending, douse the fires of inflation, put the economy on an even keel, reverse the trend which is turning the country into a moral sewer, and toss the criminals into the hoosegow where they belong. Yet, despite high hopes and glittering campaign promises, these problems continue to worsen no matter who is in office. Each new administration, whether it be Republican or Democrat, continues the same basic policies of the previous administration which it had so thoroughly denounced during the election campaign. It is considered poor form to mention this, but it is true nonetheless. Is there a plausible reason to explain why this happens? We are not supposed to think so. We are supposed to think it is all accidental and coincidental and that therefore there is nothing we can do about it.

FDR once said "In politics, nothing happens by accident. If it happens, you can bet it was planned that way." He was in a good position to know. We believe that many of the major world events that are shaping our destinies occur because somebody or somebodies have planned them that way. If we were merely dealing with the law of averages, half of the events affecting our nation's well-being should be good for America. If we were dealing with mere incompetence, our leaders should occasionally make a mistake in our fa-
vor. We shall attempt to prove that we are not really dealing with coincidence or stupidity, but with planning and brilliance. This small book deals with that planning and brilliance and how it has shaped the foreign and domestic policies of the last six administrations. We hope it will explain matters which have up to now seemed inexplicable; that it will bring into sharp focus images which have been obscured by the landscape painters of the mass media.

Those who believe that major world events result from planning are laughed at for believing in the "conspiracy theory of history." Of course, no one in this modern day and age really believes in the conspiracy theory of history - except those who have taken the time to study the subject. When you think about it, there are really only two theories of history. Either things happen by accident neither planned nor caused by anybody, or they happen because they are planned and somebody causes them to happen. In reality, it is the "accidental theory of history" preached in the unhallowed Halls of Ivy which should be ridiculed. Otherwise, why does every recent administration make the same mistakes as the previous ones? Why do they repeat the errors of the past which produce inflation, depressions and war? Why does our State Department "stumble" from one Communist-aiding "blunder" to another? If you believe it is all an accident or the result of mysterious and unexplainable tides of history, you will be regarded as an "intellectual" who understands that we live in a complex world. If you believe that something like 32,496 consecutive coincidences over the past forty years stretches the law of averages a bit, you are a kook!
Why is it that virtually all "reputable" scholars and mass media columnists and commentators reject the cause and effect or conspiratorial theory of history? Primarily, most scholars follow the crowd in the academic world just as most women follow fashions. To buck the tide means social and professional ostracism. The same is true of the mass media. While professors and pontificators profess to be tolerant and broad-minded, in practice it's strictly a one way street-with all traffic flowing left. A Maoist can be tolerated by Liberals of Ivory Towerland or by the Establishment's media pundits, but to be a conservative, and a conservative who propounds a conspiratorial view, is absolutely verboten. Better you should be a drunk at a national WCTU convention!

Secondly, these people have over the years acquired a strong vested emotional interest in their own errors. Their intellects and egos are totally committed to the accidental theory. Most people are highly reluctant to admit that they have been conned or have shown poor judgment. To inspect the evidence of the existence of a conspiracy guiding our political destiny from behind the scenes would force many of these people to repudiate a lifetime of accumulated opinions. It takes a person with strong character indeed to face the facts and admit he has been wrong even if it was because he was uninformed.

Such was the case with the author of this book. It was only because he set out to prove the conservative anti-Communists wrong that he happened to end up writing this book. His initial reaction to the conservative point of view was one of suspicion and hostility; and it was only after many months of intensive research
that he had to admit that he had been "conned."

Politicians and "intellectuals" are attracted to the concept that events are propelled by some mysterious tide of history or happen by accident. By this reasoning they hope to escape the blame when things go wrong.

Most intellectuals, pseudo and otherwise, deal with the conspiratorial theory of history simply by ignoring it. They never attempt to refute the evidence. It can't be refuted. If and when the silent treatment doesn't work, these "objective" scholars and mass media opinion molders resort to personal attacks, ridicule and satire. The personal attacks tend to divert attention from the facts which an author or speaker is trying to expose. The idea is to force the person exposing the conspiracy to stop the exposure and spend his time and effort defending himself.

However, the most effective weapons used against the conspiratorial theory of history are ridicule and satire. These extremely potent weapons can be cleverly used to avoid any honest attempt at refuting the facts. After all, nobody likes to be made fun of. Rather than be ridiculed most people will keep quiet; and, this subject certainly does lend itself to ridicule and satire. One technique which can be used is to expand the conspiracy to the extent it becomes absurd. For instance, our man from the Halls of Poison Ivy might say in a scoffingly arrogant tone, "I suppose you believe every liberal professor gets a telegram each morning from conspiracy headquarters containing his orders for the day's brainwashing of his students?"

Some conspiratorialists do indeed overdraw the pic-
ture by expanding the conspiracy (from the small clique which it is) to include every local knee-jerk liberal activist and government bureaucrat. Or, because of racial or religious bigotry, they will take small fragments of legitimate evidence and expand them into a conclusion that will support their particular prejudice, i.e., the conspiracy is totally "Jewish," "Catholic," or "Masonic." These people do not help to expose the conspiracy, but, sadly play into the hands of those who want the public to believe that all conspiratorialists are screwballs.

"Intellectuals" are fond of mouthing clichés like "The conspiracy theory is often tempting. However, it is overly simplistic." To ascribe absolutely everything that happens to the machinations of a small group of power hungry conspirators is overly simplistic. But, in our opinion nothing is more simplistic than doggedly holding onto the accidental view of major world events.

In most cases Liberals simply accuse all those who discuss the conspiracy of being paranoid. "Ah, you right wingers," they say, "rustling every bush, kicking over every rock, looking for imaginary boogeymen." Then comes the coup de grace-labeling the conspiratorial theory as the "devil theory of history." The Liberals love that one. Even though it is an empty phrase, it sounds so sophisticated!

With the leaders of the academic and communications world assuming this sneering attitude towards the conspiratorial (or cause and effect) theory of history, it is not surprising that millions of innocent and well-meaning people, in a natural desire not to appear naive, assume the attitudes and repeat the clichés of the
opinion makers.

These persons, in their attempt to appear sophisticated, assume their mentors' air of smug superiority even though they themselves have not spent five minutes in study on the subject of international conspiracy.” -- Gary Allen, None Dare Call it Conspiracy, Chapter 1

And are you among them? Are you like those who say: “Don't confuse us with facts; our minds are made up,”? If so, Gary Allen had you in mind when he wrote the preceding brilliant passages in None Dare Call it Conspiracy. [2]

The poor fellow had searched in vain then, in 1971, “scouring the length and breadth of America in search of hundreds of thousands of intellectually honest men and women who are willing to investigate facts and come to logical conclusions-no matter how unpleasant those conclusions may be”, just like the “philosopher Diogenes scoured the length and breadth of ancient Greece searching for an honest man”. (Ibid.)

I too seek, but surely not in vain, many a million honest plebeians worldwide who would overturn this fait accompli, by no longer claiming as their opiac excuses, “hope”, “god is running the world - so how can I challenge its mighty plan”, etceteras. The faces of the same earthly devils first uncovered after World War II by Eustace Mullins who had dared to reveal the existence of the omnipotent financial oligarchy in The Secrets of the Federal Reserve under the tutelage of Ezra Pound (1952) [3a] ; subsequently formally revealed by professor Carroll Quigley in Tragedy and Hope (1966), who also worked assiduously to downplay the two centuries long role of the House of Rothschild as damage control on Mullins' unvarnished exposé ; Quigley's seminal work nonetheless, from an establishmentarian historian and professor of generations of United States state department foreign service diplomats no less, was subsequently commented upon
by Gary Allen in *None Dare Call it Conspiracy* (1971) ; who in turn echoed W. Cleon Skousen's concerns in *The Naked Capitalist* (1970) and presaged Eustace Mullins' follow-on book that further exposed the role of the House of Rothschild in orchestrating *World Order* (1985) ; followed by multiple trilogy of most remarkable books by professor Antony C. Sutton of the Hoover Institution at Stanford University, investigating the connections between *America's Secret Establishment* [3b] financing Wall Street and World Wars (1983) ; and also the inexplicable funding and building of the communist war machine by super capitalist corporations in the United States under the watchful eye of their government while their nation was sacrificing its own young men in Korea and Vietnam to fight communism, aptly titled *National Suicide: Military Aid to the Soviet Union* (1973) ; subsequently summarized in *The Best Enemy Money Can Buy* (1986) ; all laughing their way to their private banks fashioning World Order with successive Hegelian mind-fcks inflicted upon the world as you, most gullibly, and altogether ignorantly, are willingly made to pay for their self-ascribed primacy imperatives in your own blood, sweat and tears.

The diligent study of the few references cited in just that one preceding passage trumps a combined Ph.D. in political science and modern history from the top universities in the United States --- where one encounters almost none of it under a Kafkaesque conspiracy of silence in the name of “freedom of the academe”; the freedom to remain silent on some of the most inconvenient conspiratorial facts of modern history.
Caption Hegelian mind-fck - Professor Antony Sutton of the Hoover Institution at Stanford University explains Hegelian mind-fck, ahem, the Hegelian Dialectic of the conspiracy (cause and effect relationship) of history: “How can there exist a common objective when members are apparently acting in opposition to one another? Probably the most difficult task in this work will be to get across to the reader what is really an elementary observation: that the objective of The Order is neither "left" nor "right." "Left" and "right" are artificial devices to bring about change, and the extremes of political left and political right are vital elements in a process of controlled change. The answer to this seeming political puzzle lies in Hegelian logic. Remember that both Marx and Hitler, the extremes of "left" and "right" presented as textbook enemies, evolved out of the same philosophical system: Hegelianism. That brings screams of intellectual anguish from Marxists and Nazis, but is well known to any student of political systems. The dialectical process did not
originate with Marx as Marxists claim, but with Fichte and Hegel in late 18th and early 19th century Germany. In the dialectical process a clash of opposites brings about a synthesis. For example, a clash of political left and political right brings about another political system, a synthesis of the two, neither left nor right. This conflict of opposites is essential to bring about change. Today this process can be identified in the literature of the Trilateral Commission where "change" is promoted and "conflict management" is termed the means to bring about this change. In the Hegelian system conflict is essential. Furthermore, for Hegel and systems based on Hegel, the State is absolute. The State requires complete obedience from the individual citizen. An individual does not exist for himself in these so-called organic systems but only to perform a role in the operation of the State. He finds freedom only in obedience to the State. There was no freedom in Hitler's Germany, there is no freedom for the individual under Marxism, neither will there be in the New World Order. And if it sounds like George Orwell's 1984 - it is. **In brief, the State is supreme and conflict is used to bring about the ideal society. Individuals find freedom in obedience to the rulers.** --- Antony C. Sutton, AMERICA’S SECRET ESTABLISHMENT, 2002, pgs. 37-38.

Some of these sociopathic front faces have become plainly manifest to all and sundry in the 2008-2009 banksters' bailout by the US government, and other EU governments, to extortionarily increase their respective national debt – or should have been.

However, the tortuous reality of the West's most vaunted “freedom of speech” gift to civilizations throughout the world is that most Western academicians, news media, politicians, technicians and scholars of empire pretend to hear no evil, see no evil, and speak no evil when it comes to publicly mentioning the easily identifiable names of the su-
perrich financial oligarchy who Machiavellianly orchestrates World Order through world wars and global crises.

To the vast majority of these super learned scholars, academicians, and the who's who in America of course, the oligarchy (when its existence is not outright denied that is), the state, the White House, the Pentagon, the revolving door between the military-industrial complex and the state, the United Nations and its subsidiary global organizations such as WHO, WTO, et. al., – all “history's actors” by their own admission [4] – at worst merely harness all these natural crises of greed, capitalism, and blowback of the unbridled exercise of hegemony, as godsend. But surely, they do not conspire, precipitate, orchestrate, game-theorize, plan, steer, or aid and abet them. These are the persistent advocates of “surprise” of this and that crisis which tends to beset humanity with a frequency which, as Gary Allen too rationally observed in None Dare Call it Conspiracy, defies the statistical odds of purely random event due to either happenstance or uncoordinated acts by history's actors.

But Some Dare Call it Conspiracy. A criminal conspiracy to take over the world by making “an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece”! [5]

And Some Dare Call it Conspiracy. A conspiracy more preponderant as a prime-mover force for all crimes against humanity in the past 250 years than the forces wielded by Alexander the Great to Hitler combined.

Some Dare Call it Conspiracy. A conspiracy in which the conspirators “have had 250 years or so of family involvement in the finance business, ... provide advice on both sides of the balance sheet, and ... do it globally.” [6]

Some Dare Call it Conspiracy. The following short passages from Carroll Quigley's 1200 page ode to the International bankers underscore the base axiomatic reality of the conspiratorial web of control of the oligarchy:
“The powers of financial capitalism had (a) far-reaching aim, nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent meetings and conferences. The apex of the systems was to be the Bank for International Settlements in Basel, Switzerland; a private bank owned and controlled by the world's central banks which were themselves private corporations. Each central bank, in the hands of men like Montagu Norman of the Bank of England, Benjamin Strong of the New York Federal Reserve Bank, Charles Rist of the Bank of France, and Hjalmar Schacht of the Reichsbank, sought to dominate its government by its ability to control Treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards in the business world.” -- Carroll Quigley, Tragedy and Hope, 1966, Chapter 20, pg. 324

“It must not be felt that these heads of the world's chief central banks were themselves substantive powers in world finance. They were not. Rather, they were the technicians and agents of the dominant investment bankers of their own countries, who had raised them up and were perfectly capable of throwing them down. The substantive financial powers of the world were in the hands of these investment bankers (also called “international” or “merchant” bankers) who remained largely behind the scenes in
their own unincorporated private banks. These formed a system of international cooperation and national dominance which was more private, more powerful, and more secret than that of their agents in the central banks. This dominance of investment bankers was based on their control over the flows of credit and investment funds in their own countries and throughout the world.” Ibid. pg. 326 [7]

But how can a long running global conspiracy to fabricate such World Order stay under public wraps this long, and how can a handful of oligarchs orchestrate and control world events from generation to generation – even if one finally admits to the oligarchy's existence since they openly proclaim it themselves? Apart from the obvious conspiracy of silence by notable opinion-makers and fashioners of the public mind, the perceptive observations made by W. Cleon Skousen in his commentary on Carroll Quigley's *Tragedy and Hope* lends some insight into that question:

'"The real value of Tragedy and Hope ... [is the] bold and boastful admission by Dr. Quigley that there actually exists a relatively small but powerful group which has succeeded in acquiring a choke-hold on the affairs of practically the entire human race. Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantic power unless millions of people in all walks of life were “in on the take” and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes. As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and women and their institutions on a world-wide basis.' -- W. Cleon Skousen, *The Naked Capitalist*, pg. 6 [8]
The efficacy of the conspiracy of silence, *by simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals*, as observed by essayist Aldous Huxley, is formidable not only in the art of propaganda warfare, but also for hiding unpleasant facts in plain-sight. [9] Lying by omission is ubiquitously practiced today not just in establishmentarian scholarship, but also by the popular “moral agent” intellectuals cultivated by the establishment for the benefit of shepherding the handful in society who tend to dissent with the establishment's view of its primacy imperatives, and who are themselves protected for their “vigorouss” dissent, in so far as it is made to appear to the plebes that they live in “free democratic societies”, by the so called “freedom of speech” and “freedom of academe”. [10]

Some Dare Call it Conspiracy. A conspiracy which could (perhaps) yet be busted in a fair court of law because some laws and statutes against “criminal syndicalism” still remain on the dusty old Constitutional and Criminal Law books which have escaped co-option. Eu-stace Mullins argued in *World Order* (1985) that the following legalism could be used to hamper and decommission the prime-instruments of the conspirators in the United States and throughout the world:

- “Despite its present hegemony, the World Order of parasitism realizes that it is always subject to being dislodged, which, in effect, would mean its destruction. Therefore, it is necessary to control not only the channels of communication of the host, but his very thought processes as well; to maintain constant vigilance that the host does not develop any concept of the danger of his situation, or any power to throw off the parasite. Therefore, the parasite carefully instructs the
host that he exists only because of the “benign” presence of the parasite – that he owes everything to the presence of the parasite, his religion, his social order, his monetary system, and his educational system. The parasite deliberately inculcates in the host the fear that if the parasite happens to be dislodged, the host will lose all these things, and be left with nothing.

Although the World Order has control of the legal system and the courts, it remains vulnerable to any enforcement of the pre-existing body of law which the host had formulated to protect his society. This body of law forbids everything that the parasite is doing, and forces the parasite to maintain a precarious existence outside of the law. If the law were to be enforced at any time, the parasite would be dislodged. The existing body of law clearly forbids the operation of criminal syndicates, which is precisely what the hegemony of parasitism and its World Order is. Criminal syndicalism denies the equal protection of the law to citizens. Only by acting against criminal syndicalism can the state protect its citizens.

Corpus Juris Secundum 16: Constitutional Law 213 (10) states: “The Constitutional guaranty of freedom of speech does not include the right to advocate, or conspire to effect, the violent destruction or overthrow of the government or the criminal destruction of property. 214: The Constitutional guaranty of the right of assembly was never intended as a license for illegality or invitation for fraud – the right of freedom of assembly may be abused by using assembly to incite violence and crime, and the people through their legislatures may protect themselves against the abuse.”
The assembly of any World Order organization, such as the Council on Foreign Relations or any foundation, is subject to the laws against fraud (their charters claim they are engaged in philanthropy), and enforcement of the laws against criminal syndicalism would end the institutions through which the World Order illegally rules the people of the United States, the illegal conspiracies and the introduction of alien laws into our system by the foundations instructions to Congress.

We have already shown that the Rockefeller Foundation and other key organizations of the World Order are “Syndicates”, which are engaged in the practice of criminal syndicalism. But what is a “syndicate”? The Oxford English Dictionary notes that the word stems from “syndic”. A syndic is defined as “an officer of government, a chief magistrate, a deputy”. In 1601 R. Johnson wrote in Kingd and commonw “especiall men, called Syndiques, who have the managing of the whole commonwealth.” Thus the Rockefeller Foundation and its associated groups are carrying out their delegated function of managing the entire commonwealth, but not for the benefit of the people, or of any government except the secret super-government, the World Order, which they serve. The OED further defines a syndic as “a censor of the actions of another. To accuse.” Here too, the syndicate functions according to its definition – the syndicate censors all thought and media, primarily to protect its own power. It also brings accusations – as many American citizens have found to their sorrow. Not even Sir Walter Raleigh was immune. When he interfered with the international money trade, he was accused of “treas-
on” and beheaded.

The OED defines a “syndicate” as follows: “3. A combination of capitalists and financiers entered into for the purpose of prosecuting a scheme requiring large sources of capital, especially one having the object of obtaining control of the market in a particular commodity. To control, manage or effect by a syndicate.” Note the key words in this definition – a combination – prosecuting – obtaining control. The scheme does not require “large capital” – it requires “large sources of capital”, the bank of England or the Federal Reserve System.

Corpus Juris Secundum 22A says of Criminal Syndicalism, “In a prosecution for being a member of an organization which teaches and abets criminal syndicalism, evidences of crimes committed by past or present members of the organization in their capacity as members is admissible to show its character.” People v. LaRue 216 P 627 C.A. 276. Thus testimony about John Foster Dulles financing the Nazi Government of Germany, his telegram starting the Korean War, and other evidence can be used to indict any member of the Rockefeller Foundation in any state or locality in which the Rockefeller Foundation has ever been active in any way. Since these organizations are all closely interlocked, and there is so much available evidence of their illegal operations, it will be relatively simple to obtain criminal convictions against them for their criminal syndicalist operations.

Corpus Juris Secundum 22, Criminal Law 185 (10); Conspiracy and Monopolies: “Where the statute makes mere membership in an organization formed to promote syndicalism a crime, without an
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overt act, this offense is indictable in any county into which a member may go during the continuance of his membership, and this is true although such member comes into a county involuntarily. People v. Johansen, 226 P 634, 66 C.A. 343.”

Corpus Juris Secundum 22, Criminal Law sec. 182 (3) states, “A prosecution for conspiracy to commit an offense against the U.S, may also be tried in any district wherein any overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy is performed. U.S. v. Cohen C.A.N.J. 197 F 2d 26.” Thus a publication by the Council on Foreign Relations promoting the stripping of sovereignty of the United States of America, mailed into any county of the U.S.; the county authorities can bring the Council on Foreign Relations, or any member therein, to trial in that county, and any action by any member of the Council on Foreign Relations in the past is admissible as evidence, such as starting World War II, subsidizing the Nazi Government, or subsidizing the USSR.

Criminal syndicalism can also be prosecuted according to Corpus Juris Secundum 46, Insurrection and Sedition : sec. 461 c. “Sabotage and syndicalism aiming to abolish the present political and social system, including direct action or sabotage.” Thus any program of a foundation which seeks to abolish the present political or social system of the United States can be prosecuted. Of course every foundation program seeks to accomplish just that, and is indictable.

Not only individuals, but any corporation supporting criminal syndicalism can be prosecuted, according to Corpus Juris Secundum 46 462b. Crim-
inal Syndicalism. “Statutes against criminal syndicalism apply to corporations as well as to individuals organizing or belonging to criminal syndicalist society; evidence of the character and activities of other organizations with which the organization in which the accused is a member is affiliated is admissible.”

Not only can the members of the World Order be arrested and tried anywhere, since they function worldwide in their conspiratorial activities to undermine and overthrow all governments and nations, but because their organizations are so tightly interlocked, any evidence about any one of them can be introduced in prosecuting any member of other organizations in any part of the U.S. or the world. Their attempts to undermine the political and social orders of all peoples make them subject to legal retribution. The People of the U.S. must begin at once to enforce the statutes outlawing criminal syndicalist activities, and bring the criminals to justice.

Being well aware of their danger, the World Order is working frantically to achieve even greater dictatorial powers over the nations of the world. They constantly intensify all problems through the foundations, so that political and economic crises prevent the peoples of the world from organizing against them. The World Order must paralyze its opponents. They terrorize the world with propaganda about approaching international nuclear war, although atomic bombs have been used only once, in 1945, when the Rockefeller Foundation director Karl T. Compton ordered Truman to drop the atomic bomb on Japan.” -- Eustace Mullins, *World Order*, pages 276-280 [11]

Yes. Some Dare Call it Conspiracy. A conspiracy that orchestrated
Operations Canned Goods Redux on September 11, 2001, to create the pretext to “goose-step the herrenvolk across international frontiers” (Robert Jackson at Nuremberg when hanging the Nazis for the same crime, 1946) disguised as global ‘war on terror’ against a perpetual enemy that remains as illusive and un-quantifiable as the indomitable Irish gnomes. A conspiracy which can as surely be traced back to the prime-movers by following the trail of money, as following the trail of how could WTC-7 catastrophically collapse in a near gravity free-fall into its own footprint and to which no projectile was shown, or claimed, to have hit as the probable cause, unravels the entire 9/11 terrorist event as nothing but a more refined version of Operation Canned Goods. That self-inflicted covert-ops was originally executed by Adolf Hitler on the eve of World War II to create a hard propagandistic pretext to invade Poland, boldly proclaiming to his generals in the mountains of Bavaria to not worry whether or not it was deemed plausible internationally: “The victor will not be asked afterward whether he told the truth or not. In starting and waging a war it is not the right that matters, but victory.” That was the Third Reich's unassailable hubris which launched its first baby-step towards the German Lebensraum. Its successor's Lebensraum today, infected by even greater hubris as the conspirators giddily ride upon the super militarized back of the sole unchallenged global superpower, is World Government.

But obviously, None Dare Call it Conspiracy among the conspirators themselves! We can observe how their assets and agents across the board engineer public opinion by continually echoing (manufacturing consent), or broadly retaining (manufacturing dissent), all the presuppositions spinned by the Mighty Wurlitzer [12] whether respectively playing protagonist or antagonist of the establishment. [13] Their mouth-pieces now openly advance arguments for world government as the Financial Times did in its op-ed: “And now for a world government”, [14] as the only realistic solution to manage all the global crises from the global 'war on terror' to the global financial collapse,
to global warming! The CFR (Council on Foreign Relations) has a Global Governance monitor in place to track how far global governance has penetrated its legalized tentacles in the guise of fighting global crises into the once sovereign nations of the world.

At the end of it all, it will appear just like H. G. Wells, the early twentieth century British novelist and Fabian scholar of the Anglo-Saxon oligarchy, self-servingly presaged it. In his 1940 book New World Order, he described the “happenstance”:

“There will be no day of days then when a new world order comes into being. Step by step and here and there it will arrive, ... No man, no group of men, will ever be singled out as its father or founder. For its maker will be not this man nor that man nor any man but Man, that being who is in some measure in every one of us. World order will be, like science, like most inventions, a social product, an innumerable number of personalities will have lived fine lives, pouring their best into the collective achievement.”! [15]

The global police state – “to get people actually to love their servitude” [16] – presently under construction, upon its completion would appear to have been the most natural and unavoidable outcome of the scientific modernity. It would arguably be justified by the new scholars of the new Reich as a necessary evil in maintaining World Government, just as Fabian philosopher Bertrand Russell did in the mid twentieth century. In his 1952 book, Impact of Science on Society, Russell speciously argued its inevitability just like his predecessor H. G. Wells had done, but with more philosophical panache and sophistry:

“There is, it must be confessed, a psychological difficulty about a single world government. The chief source of social cohesion in the past, I repeat, has been war: the passions that inspire a feeling of unity
are hate and fear. These depend upon the existence of an enemy, actual or potential. It seems to follow that a world government could only be kept in being by force, not by the spontaneous loyalty that now inspires a nation at war.” [17]

Without disclosing his own connections to the controlling oligarchy behind the scenes, Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, the first executive director of the Trilateral Commission founded by New York banker David Rockefeller in 1973, [18] and former President Jimmy Carter's National Security Advisor (1976-1980), came closest to bluntly admitting the drive for the standardization of human beings under an inescapable fabric of perverse social control being a manufactured product of the oligarchy. In his seminal 1970 book *Between Two Ages – The Role of America in the Technetronic Era*, Zbigniew Brzezinski openly confessed to the mal existence, in the rapidly expanding Technetronic Era, of behind the scenes “temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people”. That, in fact, “Human conduct, some argue, can be predetermined and subjected to deliberate control.” [19]

Dr. Brzezinski went on to self-servingly admit in that most revealing book which few learned opinion-makers and scholars in the world, never mind in the fiction reading capital of the world, the United States of America, have evidently bothered to read:

“Another threat, less overt but no less basic, confronts liberal democracy. More directly linked to the impact of technology, it involves the gradual appearance of a more controlled and directed society. Such a society would be dominated by an elite whose claim to political power would rest on allegedly superior scientific know-how. Unhindered by the restraints of traditional liberal values, this elite would not hesitate to achieve its political ends by using the latest modern techniques for influencing public behavior and keeping
society under close surveillance and control.” [20]

Antony Sutton concluded the holistic real intent of all the preceding quoted verbiage thusly in his equally most unread revelatory book *Trilaterals Over America*:

“Whatever the Trilateral Commission members may claim, our finding is that the objective is a New World Order with Trilateralist in control. This would be a planned New World Order with no individual freedom and no constitutional protections. These so called “wars” on problems are designed to mold the outcome of the problem towards New World Order objectives, not to solve the problems.” Antony C. Sutton, *Trilaterals Over America*, pg. 129 [21]

This wholly open conspiracy for World Order of the oligarchy that is a priori designed to look like “happenstance”, is intended to not just singularly culminate in a one-world global police-state, it is also intended to culminate in the *Zion that will light up all the world!* [22]

Verily, Some Dare Call it Conspiracy based on all this self-evident empiricism! Are you among them, NOT EVEN TODAY?

**Epilogue**

Evidently, the most useless act in all creation may be to hold a mirror to the blind!

That unfortunate truism heralds the dawn of the New Age of Ignorance, the age of *Jahiliya*, in which university education, advanced academic degrees, freedom of speech and freedom of the press, etc. all flourish, but where *None Dare Call It Conspiracy.*

**Footnotes**


https://archive.org/download/NoneDareCallItConspiracy/NDCC.pdf


[4] “We’re an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you’re studying that reality — judiciously, as you will — we’ll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that’s how things will sort out. We’re history’s actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.” Ron Suskind, quoting an unnamed senior White House advisor (in all likelihood Karl Rove) for president George W. Bush Jr., The New York Times, October 17, 2004, http://www.nytimes.com/2004/10/17/magazine/17BUSH.html

[5] “In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the
bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault. Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed.” Richard N. Gardner, The Hard Road To World Order, The Council on Foreign Relations, Foreign Affairs April 1974 issue, pgs. 558-559, http://thepowerhour.com/articles/HardRoadtoWorldOrder.pdf

[6] “We provide advice on both sides of the balance sheet, and we do it globally. ... We have had 250 years or so of family involvement in the finance business, ... There is no debate that Rothschild is a Jewish family, ... For a family business to survive, every generation needs a leader, ... Then somebody has to keep the peace. Building a global firm before globalisation meant a mindset of sharing risk and responsibility. If you look at the DNA of our family, that is perhaps an element that runs through our history.” Baron David de Rothschild, quoted in The first barons of banking by Rupert Wright, UAE thenational.ae, November 6, 2008, http://www.thenational.ae/article/20081106/BUSINESS/167536298/1005


[9] Aldous Huxley, Preface (circa 1946) to Brave New World, 1931,
Harper, pg. 11.


https://archive.org/download/H.g.Wells-TheNewWorldOrder1940AndTheOpenConspiracy/HgWells-TheNewWorldOrder1940.pdf

[16] “You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must
have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.” Aldous Huxley, 1962 speech at UC Berkeley, minute 04:06, http://archive.org/download/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution_64kb.m3u


“Life seems to lack cohesion as environment rapidly alters and human beings become increasingly manipulable and malleable. Everything seems more transitory and temporary: external reality more fluid than solid, the human being more synthetic than authentic. Even our senses perceive an entirely novel "reality"—one of our own making but nevertheless, in terms of our sensations, quite "real." More important, there is already widespread concern about the possibility of biological and chemical tampering with what has until now been considered the immutable essence of man. Human conduct, some argue, can be predetermined and subjected to deliberate control. Man is increasingly acquiring the capacity to determine the sex of his children, to affect through drugs the extent of their intelligence, and to modify and control their personalities. Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, 'I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain.'"}, Zbigniew Brzezinski, Between Two Ages – The Role of America in the Technetronic Era, 1970, pg. 12, http://www.takeoverworld.info/Zbigniew_Brzezinski__Between_Two _Ages.pdf

[20] Ibid. pg. 97.


[22] Shadia Drury, quoting Harry Jaffa: 'The same sentiment was echoed by the doyen of contemporary Straussianism, Harry Jaffa, when he said that America is the “Zion that will light up all the world.”', noted in the interview titled: Noble lies and perpetual war: Leo Strauss, the neo-cons, and Iraq, http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article5010.htm

Witness the singularity of the un-secret conspiracy between the drive towards World Government and the drive towards Eretz Yisrael in

**Source URL:** http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2013/10/some-dare-call-it-conspiracy.html

First Published April 19, 2009
this page intentionally blank
Chapter 14

Conspiracy Theory and World Government

Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory

Some may rationally ponder that how is it, that such a long running global conspiracy for world government as outlined in Project Humanbeingsfirst's report “The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy for World Government”, can be kept alive across centuries and across geographies. This brief paper examines that question.

Noam Chomsky had once observed an insightful nature of such “conspiracies”, as the open shared natural goals stemming from the very nature of its definition, which could therefore, no more be termed a conspiracy than both GM and Ford endeavoring to maximize their profits at all cost be termed a 'global corporate conspiracy'.

I have always added to that, the equally un-remarkable observation that a hungry lion anywhere in the world pouncing upon a lamb is similarly no global conspiracy by the world's lions to eat up all the lambs on the planet. That is just the nature of the bestial predators when its “might defines right”. The higher cerebral concepts of
“right”, “wrong”, “moral”, immoral”, etc., do not even exist among any primal predators, for these only behave according to their nature. Pious platitudes, if they could be argued by the lion or the snake for instance, would in fact only be disseminated to the lambs and the mice to make them an even easier morsel to acquire!

Caption American Government Response to Conspiracy Theories: “Conspiracy theories exist in the realm of myth, where imaginations run wild, fears trump facts, and evidence is ignored. As a superpower, the United States is often cast as a villain in these dramas.”
Caption Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory. NSC 10/2 directed CIA to conduct “covert” rather than merely “psychological” operations, defining them as all activities “which are conducted or sponsored by this Government against hostile foreign states or groups or in support of friendly foreign states or groups but which are so planned and executed that any US Government responsibility for them is not evident to unauthorized persons and that if uncovered the US Government can plausibly disclaim any responsibility for them.” – Furthermore, in order to preempt such uncovering, to continually seed red herrings and false theories; to delegitimize their unraveling by labeling them as kookish “conspiracy theories”; to defocus public energies by introducing “beneficial cognitive diversity”, fabricated leaks of half-truths which retain
core-lies, and “Limited Hangout” mea culpae, all fed through the “Mighty Wurlitzer” messaging machinery; to brand the few daring and persistent unravellers of “truth's protective layers” as deniers of reality suffering from “emotional or mental illness”, an “oppositional defiant disorder” exhibiting a pattern of “negativistic, defiant, disobedient and hostile behavior toward authority figures” and therefore a threat to themselves and to society; and when all else fails, to eliminate these 'malcontents' who refuse to tow the official line by branding them “domestic terrorists”.

The only thing that occasionally deters such exercise of primacy is a collective natural response like the one observed in the “Battle at Kruger” park. Indeed, the quest for the holy grail of extracting voluntary servitude from the masses of mankind is the key idea of cultivating a willingly compliant public in order for the illuminated ones becoming their stewards for life. In Bertrand Russell's' timeless characterization, to extract voluntary servitude such that: “a revolt of the plebs will become as unthinkable as an organised insurrection of sheep against the practice of eating mutton.”

Thus we observe that from Plato to Nietzsche, from the philosopher-king to the 'ubermensch', all have argued the necessity of ruling upon the sheepish masses as the 'divine' imperative of the “enlightened ones”. Indeed, Zbigniew Brzezinski even sub-titled his seminal book “The Grand Chessboard” with its egotistical subtitle “American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives”, merely extending that idea of 'ubermensch' rule from the most “enlightened ones”, to the most powerful sole-superpower!

The same theme exists among the “Chosen Peoples”, to deem their primacy upon the goyem their inherent nature, their divine destiny. The Übermensch are suckled these lessons in their mothers' milk to acquire those imperatives across generations in perhaps the same way
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as the generations of corporate executives and CEOs who inherently know that they need to continually enhance the valuation of their company's stock performance in a capitalist system.

So, when these 'divine' Übermensch creatures who are beyond good and evil, self-servingly behave in their primal predatory natural manner across time and space, across evolution or creation, are they being “conspiratorial”?

In the Chomsky-Ebrahim nomenclature, perhaps not.

In the Ron Paul nomenclature, it is merely a shared “Conspiracy of Ideas” in which “CFR exists, the Trilateral Commission exists”, and that, it is only “an ideological battle” wherein:

“some people believe in Globalism, and others of us believe in national sovereignty; and there is a move on toward a North American Union just like early on there was a move on for a European Union and it eventually ended up. ... These are real things, it's not somebody made these up, it's not a conspiracy, they don't talk about it, and they might not admit about it, but there has been money spent on it ...

So it's not so much a secretive conspiracy, it's a contest between ideologies; whether we believe in our institutions here, our national sovereignty, our Constitution, or are we going to further move in the direction of international government, more UN. You know, this country goes to war under UN Resolutions. I don't like big government in Washington. So I don't like this trend towards international government ...

But it's not so much it's a sinister conspiracy, it's just knowledge is out there, if we look for it, you'll realize our national sovereignty is under threat!”
In the United States' legalese nomenclature, breaking of a “federal statute” by at least two or more persons working in collusion (and when caught), is defined as “criminal conspiracy” and “federal crime”. According to the Columbia Encyclopedia, it is criminal whether or not Congress imposed criminal sanctions on the activity itself. A conspiracy need only be proved by “circumstantial evidence” even “if it violates the rules against hearsay evidence”:

Conspiracy: “in law, agreement of two or more persons to commit a criminal or otherwise unlawful act. At common law, the crime of conspiracy was committed with the making of the agreement, but present-day statutes require an overt step by a conspirator to further the conspiracy. Other controversial aspects of conspiracy laws include the modification of the rules of evidence and the potential for a dragnet. A statement of a conspirator in furtherance of the conspiracy is admissible against all conspirators, even if the statement includes damaging references to another conspirator, and often even if it violates the rules against hearsay evidence. The conspiracy can be proved by circumstantial evidence. Any conspirator is guilty of any substantive crime committed by any other conspirator in furtherance of the enterprise. It is a federal crime to conspire to commit any activity prohibited by federal statute, whether or not Congress imposed criminal sanctions on the activity itself.”

According to such legalism, smart conspirators, if powerful enough, could affect the enactment of conducive federal statutes, or prevent the enactment of adverse ones, that would enable them to get away with many morally reprehensible exploitive systems, laws and acts. The Federal Reserve System for instance, falls into this category. A legalized extortion racket to enslave the public in perpetual debt for the issue and supply of their own national currency. Similarly, bootlegging
is a federal crime one decade, a respectable business the next! And internationally, it is the enactment of laws under WTO which defines what is criminal and what isn't – not the raping and harvesting of developing nations that goes on under its conspiratorial rubric!

Thus suffice it to say, the word “conspiracy” even has legal semantics, albeit rather limited. It is limited because it is easy to circumvent an abhorrence and call it legal when the king makes the laws.

But the multitudinous connotations of this word do not stop there. It also has a 'tin-hatted' or 'kookish' implication in furtherance of the devilish art of political science based state-craft. This was indeed implied by Congressman Ron Paul in his afore-quoted speech when he stated regarding the North American Union: “These are real things, it's not somebody made these up, it's not a conspiracy, ... So it's not so much a secretive conspiracy, ...”.

In fact, some of the best cloaking devices for clandestine covert-operations and hidden agendas have been invented by the most brilliant minds – here is one exposition for instance from Ezra Pound: “invent two lies and have the public keep arguing which one of them might be true”. Another is by Leo Strauss – the erudite teacher of the majority of the neo-cons – called “Noble Lies”. It is derived from the political philosophy of both Plato and Nietzsche, and re-dignifies the “end justify the means” paradigm of ancient statecraft of kings and supermen by renaming deception as “noble lies”. A readable non-philosophical tutorial to understand how this ancient idea was used to wage war upon Iraq with the fiction of WMD is by Professor Shadia Drury, titled 'Noble lies and perpetual war: Leo Strauss, the neo-cons, and Iraq'. A third by the White House, often referred to as “plausible deniability”, okay may be it was invented by the DIA, the grand-daddy of all intelligence agencies. This thinly-veiled euphemism for deception to protect the leadership if things go badly in covert-operations became public knowledge during the Iran-Contra scandal, the televised coverage of which had gripped the American nation for months, including myself. And this wasn't just a rogue operation with
ad hoc deniability cover by patriotic agents as most in the public are led to believe. Deniability is official government policy vis a vis any covert operation dating back to President Truman's signing of NSC 10/2. That directive made the introduction of “plausible deniability” a requirement for CIA’s clandestine operations in case they were ever blown while still active.

Below is an excerpt from “Note on U.S. Covert Action Programs”:

'Management of Covert Actions in the Truman Presidency

The Truman administration’s concern over Soviet “psychological warfare” prompted the new National Security Council to authorize, in NSC 4-A of December 1947, the launching of peacetime covert action operations. NSC 4-A made the Director of Central Intelligence responsible for psychological warfare, establishing at the same time the principle that covert action was an exclusively Executive Branch function. The Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) certainly was a natural choice but it was assigned this function at least in part because the Agency controlled unvouchered funds, by which operations could be funded with minimal risk of exposure in Washington.1

CIA’s early use of its new covert action mandate dissatisfied officials at the Departments of State and Defense. The Department of State, believing this role too important to be left to the CIA alone and concerned that the military might create a new rival covert action office in the Pentagon, pressed to reopen the issue of where responsibility for covert action activities should reside. Consequently, on June 18, 1948, a new NSC directive, NSC 10/2, superseded NSC 4-A.

NSC 10/2 directed CIA to conduct “covert” rather
than merely “psychological” operations, defining them as all activities “which are conducted or sponsored by this Government against hostile foreign states or groups or in support of friendly foreign states or groups but which are so planned and executed that any US Government responsibility for them is not evident to unauthorized persons and that if uncovered the US Government can plausibly disclaim any responsibility for them.”

... The Special Group and the 303 Committee approved 163 covert actions during the Kennedy administration and 142 during the Johnson administration through February 1967. The 1976 Final Report of the Church Committee, however, estimated that of the several thousand projects undertaken by the CIA since 1961, only 14 percent were considered on a case-by-case basis by the 303 Committee and its predecessors (and successors). Those not reviewed by the 303 Committee were low-risk and low-cost operations. The Final Report also cited a February 1967 CIA memorandum that included a description of the mode of policy arbitration of decisions on covert actions within the 303 Committee system. CIA presentations were questioned, amended, and even on occasion denied, despite protests from the DCI. Department of State objections modified or nullified proposed operations, and the 303 Committee sometimes decided that some agency other than CIA should undertake an operation or that CIA actions requested by Ambassadors on the scene should be rejected.'

Lastly, among the already well-known tools of Management of Covert Actions for statecraft, we also have the “limited hangout” and “modified limited hangout” conspiracies to mislead the public in case
“plausible deniability” for governmental wrong-doing doesn't work. This modus operandi of accepting partial mea culpa for something less consequential in order to mask the more egregious crimes was amply demonstrated by Richard Nixon during the waning years of his presidency. A good description of it with excerpts from the Nixon tapes planning the red herrings is on Wikipedia.

Calculated omission is perhaps the most crafty tool of public persuasion in the general statecraft of deception as it naturally relies on ignorance – for who can have complete knowledge of every subject? Aldous Huxley aptly recalled the Churchillian term for creating such a chasm between facts and fiction as lowering an "iron curtain" around the public's sense of perception:

'The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals.' (Aldous Huxley, 1946 Preface to Brave New World, 1931)

Keeping thinking peoples plausibly occupied lest they discover the real levers of power is much more complicated than mere manufacturing consent among the masses. The latter are generally quite amenable to simple propaganda, to the big lie, and appeal to the irrational mind and subconscious fears (or needs), with repetitious reinforcement. That diabolical science of mass persuasion is already well understood, thanks to the pioneering work of Edward Bernays and the Mighty Wurlitzer, not to overlook Goebbels and Hitler, as the engineering of
consent among the majority. Hitler categorized them into type-1:

“those who believe everything they read; ... when the voting papers of the masses are the deciding factor; the decision lies in the hands of the numerically strongest group; that is to say the first group, the crowd of simpletons and the credulous.”

The minority of thoughtful peoples however, also often the people of conscience, pose a different problem. According to Hitler, the type-3:

“those who critically examine what they read and form their judgments accordingly. ... Hence the trash that newspapers are capable of serving up is of little danger--much less of importance--to the members of the third group of readers. In the majority of cases these readers have learnt to regard every journalist as fundamentally a rogue who sometimes speaks the truth. Most unfortunately, the value of these readers lies in their intelligence and not in their numerical strength, an unhappy state of affairs in a period where wisdom counts for nothing and majorities for everything.”

If not craftily waylaid, this third group of people stand to acquire some real comprehension of the otherwise carefully hidden from the masses in plainsight, conspiratorial forces which actually shape their world.

These rebels – referred to as the 'malcontents' by H. G. Wells in his famous book “New World Order” – can also figure out that the visible rulers whom the public elects with such gravitas every so often to run their country on their behalf in a momentous celebration of 'democracy' which in reality only affords the demos the choice between twiddledee and twiddledum in a carefully choreographed Hegelian Dialectic, are actually not their public servants. To hide the fact that these elected psychopaths are really still only the errand boys and
girls of an invisible ruling-oligarchy, manufacturing dissent to mislead the energies of the handful of thinking and conscienceable peoples has become a necessary instrument of statecraft.

This deception typically entails manufacturing dissent chiefs who can craftily take their respective flock, by a circuitous route, to the same pastures as the mainstream public. I.e., the net impact of their celebrated dissent in derailing the manufactured consent among the masses being exactly zero. These crafty dissent-chiefs, fortunately enough, are also rather trivial to identify. Their main modus operandi appears to be to oppose power while still echoing its core message!

These traffickers in 'truth' – i.e., absurdities – will often truthfully describe the visible puppetshows and bravely challenge empire on what is already known and overt, such as its criminal bombings and maiming of other nations. But they will also, for instance as in this war on terror, also echo one or more of the primemover axioms of empire which ab initio enables empire's "imperial mobilization"! Namely, that some 'Ali Baba' magically pulled off 911 from his almighty perch in the Hindu Kush, that Islamofascism is a genuine threat to mankind, that Al Qaeda is out to impose its will on the West and is being aided and abetted from Pakistan-Iran nexus, etc. Because these dissent-artists hector empire in its killing of innocent civilians, they often attract big audiences among the type-2 category people identified by Hitler, "those who no longer believe anything;". According to Hitler, these were formerly type-1 who changed their allegiances from unquestioningly believing establishment's dogmas, to blindly opposing them. They now unquestioningly follow their new leaders, the artfully fabricated dissent-chiefs.

But they also attract some type-3, fortunately only temporarily, since fabricated dissent is trivially exposed to those unencumbered by blind-faith in power and its many incantations. See Weapons of Mass Deception for more in depth deconstruction of the diabolical Manufacturing of Dissent.
And for the most recalcitrant among the 'malcontent' unwilling to subjugate their own common and political sense to the devil's science, exclusively the type-3 of Hitler's classification whose value “lies in their intelligence and not in their numerical strength”, new modalities to discredit them are are continually constructed. The latest being mental illness.

As reported in the Washington Post, if “there might be a legal entitlement to be a jerk”, most assuredly there will be legal entitlement to be a non-conformist, i.e., an independent thinker:

'Today's DSM defines “oppositional defiant disorder” as a pattern of “negativistic, defiant, disobedient and hostile behavior toward authority figures.” Symptoms include “often loses temper,” “often deliberately annoys people” or “is often touchy.” DSM omits this symptom: “is a teenager.”

This DSM defines as “personality disorders” attributes that once were considered character flaws. “Antisocial personality disorder” is “a pervasive pattern of disregard for . . . the rights of others . . . callous, cynical . . . an inflated and arrogant self-appraisal.” “Histrionic personality disorder” is “excessive emotionality and attention-seeking.” “Narcissistic personality disorder” involves “grandiosity, need for admiration . . . boastful and pretentious.” And so on.

If every character blemish or emotional turbulence is a “disorder” akin to a physical disability, legal accommodations are mandatory. Under federal law, “disabilities” include any “mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities”; “mental impairments” include “emotional or mental illness.” So there might be a legal entitlement to be a jerk. (See above, “antisocial personality disorder.”)'}

It should now be self-evident that while a conspiracy is still active, or while mileage is being extracted from a clandestine operation that is on-going or an agenda that is being secretly pursued, or to hide or spin some other egregious wrong-doing, all analytical and deconstructive references to its unraveling must be squashed or dismissed. Inter alia, by defocussing attention from them, by introducing clever red herrings and side shows, and by labeling such detective work by the public as kookish “conspiracy theories”.

Its advocates, the few daring and persistent unravellers of “truth's protective layers”, to be marginalized by labeling them as fools, idiots, deniers of reality suffering from “emotional or mental illness”, an “oppositional defiant disorder” exhibiting a pattern of “negativistic, defiant, disobedient and hostile behavior toward authority figures” and therefore a threat to themselves and to society! Those resorting to any active opposition against the government's lies and deceit, such as through civil disobedience, to be designated as “domestic terrorists”.

And when the conspiracies are prematurely blown despite all the Machiavellian preemption efforts at masking them, to have the multiple cover stories ready for “plausible deniability”, including some version of “limited hangout”, using the ubiquitous Mighty Wurlitzer's message machine.

Is all this a fairy tale? The rabbit hole runs deep and permeates not just the news media and the privately funded think-tanks, but also the academe which is routinely tapped for statecraft.

Cass R. Sunstein of Harvard Law School, the man who is today President Obama's Information Czar in the White House, in his 2008 paper titled “Conspiracy Theories”, called this process of the creation of diabolical red herrings, introducing “beneficial cognitive diversity” through “cognitive infiltration”. The paper has to be read in its en-
tirety in order to be appreciated for its brazen and open appeal to Ma-chiavelli for conducting statecraft when thinking people remain unen-cumbered by its mere propaganda spin. (The main theme and core purpose of Cass Sunstein's rehash of ancient craft as “new theory” of governance is summed up in this scribe's response paper A License to Kill: Did David Ray Griffin and Steve Lendman miss the real purpose of Cass Sunstein's “Conspiracy Theories”? in these words: The Sun-stein project is evidently part of a much bigger agenda of the United States Government which spans the gamut of social engineering. Of which, not only political theory and psychiatry are very visible con-structs, but also the newly prepared concentration camps.)

What are these deceptions of statecraft if not real conspiracies by the establishment to mask their real clandestine covert-operations, unten-able agendas, and wrong-doings?

Thus, if it is axiomatically asserted that there is no such thing as a real conspiracy, then that really works wonderfully in the interest of the cloak-makers because it makes one forget the perspectives of history.

And this complex Machiavellian deception game behind alleging 'kookishness' bears exposing fully: invent two or more lies, not just one, and keep the good hearted well meaning peoples in the “populist democracy” occupied debating which one of them might be true, for it would hardly matter what conclusions they reached. And wherever they ended up, to perhaps yank one of the lies from underneath them by conclusively showing it to be false thus conveniently demonstrat-ing a baseless “conspiracy theory” in order to keep that notion alive in the public imagination. This consequently delegitimizes in the public mind serious researchers' efforts in uncovering any covert-operation while its secrecy is of paramount necessity. Afterwards, after faits ac-complis, after statute of limitations expiring, it makes little difference if historians and con-fession artists make a pecuniary gain peddling what is inconsequential history to the newer evolving realpolitik du jour. The recognition of this self-evident truth of the matter and its utility to Machiavellian statecraft was boldly narrated even in the New
York Times, quoting a senior advisor to the president of the United States at the time, George W. Bush:

'...“That's not the way the world really works anymore,” he continued. “We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.”...' (Ron Suskind, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004)

So many complex semantics for the simple term “conspiracy theory” – it's not just mere nomenclature – that this overview of its usefulness to statecraft was necessary in order to situate anything with such a bombastic title as “The Capitalist Conspiracy”, in its proper social-political-legal-conspiratorial context.

And an equally insightful and rational response to this question of long enduring conspiracy for world domination, is added to the motivational mix by G. Edward Griffin in the video below:

“After a man has far more money than he possibly can spend for pleasures, what is left to excite him? For those with the ruling class mentality, the answer is power – raw power over other human beings. Money can buy such power only to a point, beyond that, politics is the sport, and world politics is the ultimate game.”

Thus, Griffin aptly noted: “The New World Order Is Not New”, but a common objective borne of natural inclination to primacy which apparently transcends time, space, geography and race. It naturally increases in its scope in proportion to the vistas of power it acquires. And it automatically attracts to its cause the coterie of sycophants and useful idiots essential in realizing its overarching agendas. It is helped
along, as W. Cleon Skousen uncannily observed in his commentary in "The Naked Capitalist":

'The real value of Tragedy and Hope ... [is the] bold and boastful admission by Dr. Quigley that there actually exists a relatively small but powerful group which has succeeded in acquiring a choke-hold on the affairs of practically the entire human race. Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantic power unless millions of people in all walks of life were “in on the take” and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes. As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and women and their institutions on a world-wide basis.'

(Original text page 6)

Subsequent manipulation of global events through statecraft machinations become trivial when one has already taken over the state's machinery through its many essential instruments of policy-making. The same instruments today are behind the formulation of coercive policies for ostensibly addressing the myriad global crises plaguing mankind today – from Global War on Terror to Global Financial Collapse to Global Epidemics to Global Warming to Global Food Shortage to Global Water Shortage etceteras – and for which, the solution posited, is of course Global Governance:

'I have never believed that there is a secret United Nations plot to take over the US. I have never seen black helicopters hovering in the sky above Montana. But, for the first time in my life, I think the formation of some sort of world government is plausible. A “world government” would involve much more than co-oper-
ation between nations. It would be an entity with state-like characteristics, backed by a body of laws. The European Union has already set up a continental government for 27 countries, which could be a model. The EU has a supreme court, a currency, thousands of pages of law, a large civil service and the ability to deploy military force. So could the European model go global? There are three reasons for thinking that it might. First, it is increasingly clear that the most difficult issues facing national governments are international in nature: there is global warming, a global financial crisis and a “global war on terror”. (Gideon Rachman, And now for a world government, Financial Times, December 8, 2008)

Right out of the box of user manuals for the “end run around national sovereignty” made available to the world through the benevolence of the Council on Foreign Relations:

“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.

Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed.

The question is whether this more modest approach can do the job. Can it really bring mankind into the twenty-first century with reasonable prospects for
peace, welfare and human dignity? The argument thus far suggests it better had, for there seems to be no alternative. But the evidence also suggests some grounds for cautious optimism.” (Richard N. Gardner, The Hard Road To World Order, Foreign Affairs, April 1974, 558-559)

In summary, the upshot of it all is that it becomes a moot point what label one might give to this empirical predatory behavior wrapped in deception. Zbigniew Brzezinski even openly proclaimed its pertinence to statecraft in the very first sentence of his book mentioned earlier: “Hegemony is as old as mankind”. And Nicolò Machiavelli very perceptively outlined its recipe in The Prince whose modern reincarnation in murderous play on the Grand Chessboard has been to convince people of absurdities to get them to acquiesce to the atrocities and spendings of hegemony.

The undeniable fact remains that world-government has been a long historical passion of oligarchs! The quest for the hegemony of the entire world has been their natural enduring conspiracy for world government. Its new religion is secular humanism. Its new imperative is population reduction. Its primal modus operandi is deception, which, in its harbingers' own words, is to create “an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece [by making it] look like a great 'booming, buzzing confusion'”.

And it is finally coming to its grand fruition in our own time with continuous newer myths and crises creation which span the gamut from 'Global Warming' to 'Global War on Terror', and more recently from 'Global Swine Flu Pandemic' to 'Global environmental disaster due to oil spill', each new crisis and myth leading to enacting new legalisms for incrementally eroding more national sovereignty while ushering in more police-state. In keeping with the “conspiracy theory” paradigm of statecraft, most useful idiots are kept busy mindlessly chattering on about “conspiracy theories” and “Islamofascism” in perfect echo with the Machiavellis. Enjoy Ed Griffin's narration in The Capitalist Con-
*spiration* video, made in 1972!

**The Capitalist Conspiracy**

[youtube=http://youtube.com/watch?v=udWXFC2sWU8]
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Chapter 15

Financial Times calls for World Government but that's not a Conspiracy Theory any longer

Response to Financial Times 'And now for a world government'

Financial Times chief foreign affairs columnist, Gideon Rachman, Says:

'I have never believed that there is a secret United Nations plot to take over the US. I have never seen black helicopters hovering in the sky above Montana. But, for the first time in my life, I think the formation of some sort of world government is plausible. A “world government” would involve much more than co-operation between nations. It would be an entity with state-like characteristics, backed by a body of laws. The European Union has already set up a continental
government for 27 countries, which could be a model. The EU has a supreme court, a currency, thousands of pages of law, a large civil service and the ability to deploy military force. So could the European model go global? There are three reasons for thinking that it might. First, it is increasingly clear that the most difficult issues facing national governments are international in nature: there is global warming, a global financial crisis and a “global war on terror”. ... But – the third point – a change in the political atmosphere suggests that “global governance” could come much sooner than that. The financial crisis and climate change are pushing national governments towards global solutions, even in countries such as China and the US that are traditionally fierce guardians of national sovereignty. --- And now for a world government, Financial Times, December 8 2008

And there you have it, right from the mouthpiece of high finance, the shill for the New World Order, the media asset of the intelligence apparatus, testing the water temperature.

This time, the FT’s chief foreign affairs columnist, Gideon Rachman, lets the full caboodle out of the bag, saying exactly what Project Humanbeingsfirst has been warning about: that the most natural solution to global fictions and global manufactured crises will be presented as “world government”. As David Icke had pointed out over ten years ago, there has to come a point at which the devilish conspiracy for world government will need to break surface. But before that time, all references to it must be discredited as 'tin-hatted' conspiracy theories. That breaking of surface has been happening gradually in disjoint bits and fragments for the past few years. Even Congressman Ron Paul blatantly talked about it during the 2008 Republican Debates carried on CNN – something that would have been unheard of in mainstream
coverage in the past. But this instance in the Financial Times editorial is the most egregious testing of the waters because it brings all the manufactured global boogiemen together, and exactly posits their solution-space as “world government”. It brings to full circle implementation these ominous words of G. Edward Griffin from 'The Capitalist Conspiracy':

“Create conditions so frightful at home and abroad, that the abandonment of personal liberties and national sovereignty, will appear as a reasonable price for a return to domestic tranquility and world peace.”

A bit of high-school level few studious nights homework would reveal that all three items on Mr. Gideon Rachman's list are elaborately manufactured fictions.

To know that a) global warming, is a politically motivated global fiction, or at best, of a highly contentious nature among scientists themselves and therefore, hardly a scientific fact upon which such a monumental global policy as world-government can be advocated, begin at Steve Watson's short news story 'Over 650 Scientists Challenge Global Warming “Consensus”', and download PDF of the full 231 page report released December 11, 2008, titled: 'U. S. Senate Minority Report: More Than 650 International Scientists Dissent Over Man-Made Global Warming Claims - Scientists Continue to Debunk “Consensus” in 2008'. Or read its Introduction Chapter here.

To learn that b) global financial crisis, is deliberately manufactured, the Monetary Reform Bibliography contains sufficient references and perspective which ties it all together.

To understand that c) global war on terror, is synthetic and fabricated, read the minuscule compilation of Project Humanbeingsfirst's reports which succinctly unravels it all: The WAR on TERROR 2008 Omnibus Collection (PDF).

After doing one's due diligence and all that homework, where does that leave a bewildered but commonsensical person? It at least leaves
one to ponder that such deep intelligence propaganda programs spinning manufactured death in a perpetual war that is intended to last for lifetime, spinning manufactured global financial collapse as happenstance of overspending due to Wall Street shortsightedness, and spinning natural climate changes as manmade – all to create global governance structures piece-meal and through faits accomplis – are being relentlessly seeded into peoples' consciousness, and not one in the worldwide mainstream news media is able to call on it?

Are all of them morons? Or are they all sell-outs? How can that be? How does the “Mighty Wurlitzer” accomplish this?

The answer to that can also be easily understood – for we know far too much from recent history, if only one is reminded of it. Notice how Rachman begins his editorial “I have never believed that there is a secret United Nations plot to take over the US”, such that in a single opening sentence, he puts to rest why he might now be saying the same thing that the so called conspiracy-theorists have been asserting for many years. He presents his version as a new emerging necessity to the global problems. What is this – other than a very sophisticated intelligence psy-op to now make it acceptable to take the conspiracy out of the previously discredited realm of 'tin-hatters' and start discussing it as the preferred solution-space? But coming from a respectable news media like the Financial Times(?), they are hardly a tabloid newspaper, one might ask. Such psyops and disinformation is the norm rather than the exception, as the following two articles disclose: Carl Bernstein's 'THE CIA AND THE MEDIA', and Richard Keeble's 'Hacks And Spooks'.

In addition, the following passage from the court testimony of expert witness Mr. William Schaap on psyops and disinformation, dated November 30, 1999, is very useful in acquiring a perspective that is denied to most Western peoples. Watch his hour long video testimony or read its transcript. This is what he says on 'conspiracy':

“The average American would hear something from
the government or hear the news on television and assumes that what they're hearing is the truth unless they're shown otherwise. They assume that almost nothing is ever a conspiracy.

In Europe it's very much the opposite. Anything happens. They tend to think it's a conspiracy unless you show them that it wasn't a conspiracy. I mean, after all, "conspiracy" just means, you know, more than one person being involved in something. And if you stop and think about it, almost everything significant that happens anywhere involves more than one person.

Yet here there is a -- not a myth really, but there's just an underlying assumption that most things are not conspiracies. And when you have that, it enables a government which has a propaganda program, has a disinformation program, to be relatively successful in -- in having its disinformation accepted. ... But another reason it works is that disinformation is very, very effective over time. The longer that you, whoever you are, can control the spin on a story, the more that spin becomes accepted as the absolute truth. And in this country the government has a great deal of power and influence over that spin.”

The conclusion of this response [to Gideon Rachman's propagandistic pitch for world government as a panacea for all that ails mankind] therefore, is best expressed in the 1974 prescriptive words of the CFR author Richard N. Gardner, from his article in Foreign Affairs titled: 'The Hard Road To World Order'.

The former Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for International Organizations under Kennedy and Johnson, and a member of the Trilateral Commission, had accurately captured the Zeitgeist which was to
exist in the near future – and that future is here today – in which, the import of Mr. Gideon Rachman's editorial becomes clear:

“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.”

(If the above link for the Financial Times news story doesn't work, access it through Mr. Rachman's Financial Times blog. Also see his two followups after being bombarded by adverse comments here and here.)

Please send your letter to editor to Project Humanbeingsfirst, and to the Financial Times, airing your opinion whether you agree, or acquiesce, to losing the independence of your nation-state to solve the problems outlined by the Financial Times and the global ruling elite!

Thank you.

Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/12/responseto-ft-gideon-rachman-worldgov.html

First Published December 11, 2008
FOUR

Architecture of

Imperial

Mobilization
this page intentionally blank
Edward Bernays, the nephew of Sigmund Freud, began his seminal 1928 book simply titled *Propaganda*, with these ominous words:

'The conscious and intelligent manipulation of the organized habits and opinions of the masses is an important element in democratic society. Those who manipulate this unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government which is the true ruling power of our country. We are governed, our minds are molded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested, largely by men we have never heard of.' --- Edward
Aldous Huxley, on the 30th anniversary of his own seminal 1931 allegorical novel *Brave New World*, made the following dreadful observations in the very opening segment of his talk on the Ultimate Revolution upon which mankind and modernity are perilously perched:

'You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is pre-
cisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.' --- Aldous Huxley, 1962 speech at UC Berkeley, minute 04:06

In order to understand how the comprehension of Edward Bernays and Aldous Huxley, though both long dead, still manifests itself in these times, we must begin with the Mighty Wurlitzer.

However, first, a gestalt shift in perspective is necessary. Please stare at the image below for a few moments of reflection before proceeding.

Caption Perspective: Oh what a difference even a slight shift can make! (Image source)
What is the 'Mighty Wurlitzer'?

It used to be the honorific of Frank Wisner, the first chief of political warfare for the Central Intelligence Agency, used to describe the C.I.A.’s plethora of front organizations and newsmedia stooges that he was capable of playing (like a great organ with many keyboards) for synthesizing any propaganda tune that was needed for the day. See Operation Mockingbird

( http://www.spartacus.schoolnet.co.uk/JFKmockingbird.htm ) (PDF).

The fact that such an omnipresent Message-Machine is not ancient history but very much current affairs, is underscored by this NYT headline “Behind TV Analysts, Pentagon’s Hidden Hand”, Sunday, April 20, 2008 ( http://tinyurl.com/6qhgfg ). Also see Jessica Lynch Media Myth-Making in Iraq War during Operation “Iraqi Freedom” in Further Study.

Therefore, today, I use the term 'Mighty Wurlitzer' as a metaphor to pluralistically refer to the same message-machine, i.e., the intelligence apparatus for manufacturing consent and controlling dissent, and its concomitant conscious manipulation of peoples' thoughts, feelings, actions and in-actions, in order to serve the primacy interests of the behind the scene governing oligarchy. The latter are, invariably, also the de facto owners of the complete messaging-system now even more globally ubi-
quitous than when Frank Wisner played the world for a fool.

This 'grand organ' is now able to even more effectively synthesize, implant, and reinforce, all the right set of beliefs (myths) among the entire world's public – by suitably combining 'events' with imaginative 'expos' writing – which appropriately primes the world populations to acquiesce to the oligarchic agendas. While playing this orchestra is now an integral part of all state-craft, its major musical themes are entirely determined by the behind the scenes owners of the system. While some might refer to the underlying techniques as propaganda and psy-ops, 'Mighty Wurlitzer' singularly captures the messaging-system controlled under a unified purpose of command which is both highly compartmentalized and cellularized. Only the Mighty Wurlitzer knows the entire tune.

What this means is that not all who willingly cooperate with the Mighty Wurlitzer in synchronistically humming its themes are knowingly being purveyors of its myths and deception. Many of its most shrill echoers are often well intentioned functionaries who are fed different motivating myths at different levels in the hierarchy – sometimes the lie is different at every level – such that it suitably motivates each according to their own predilection, professional station, and mission statement.

The Mighty Wurlitzer operates on the core premise which has been empirically shown to psychologically motivate most human action. That premise was elegantly captured in the following insightful observation made by the so called “Terrorism Study Group”, that

“Public Assumptions' Shape Views of History: Such presumptions are beliefs (1) thought to be true (although not necessarily known to be true with certainty), and (2) shared in common within the relevant political community. The sources for such presumptions are both personal (from direct experience) and vicarious (from books, movies, and myths).”
Successfully implanting such presumptions and pre-suppositions among any group is to motivate its overall actions in accordance with those implanted beliefs. Thus, many intelligent peoples for whom it is otherwise inexplicable to understand why they persist in 'United We Stand' with absurdities, are motivated to react sympathetically to those absurdities.

**The Secret Team**

To barely catch a glimpse of how it's partially done, the following description by Col. Fletcher Prouty from the Preface to the first edition of his 1973 book “The Secret Team” is instructive (PDF book):

'There is another category of writer and self-proclaimed authority on the subjects of secrecy, intelligence, and containment. This man is the suave, professional parasite who gains a reputation as a real reporter by disseminating the scraps and "Golden Apples" thrown to him by the great men who use him. This writer seldom knows and rarely cares that many of the scraps from which he draws his material have been planted, that they are controlled leaks, and that he is being used, and glorified as he is being used, by the inside secret intelligence community.

Allen Dulles had a penchant for cultivating a number of such writers with big names and inviting them to his table for a medieval style luncheon in that great room across the hall from his own offices in the old CIA headquarters on the hill overlooking Foggy Bottom. Here, he would discuss openly and all too freely the same subjects that only hours before had been carefully discussed in the secret inner chambers of the operational side of that quiet Agency. In the hands of Allen Dulles, "secrecy" was simply a chameleon...
device to be used as he saw fit and to be applied to lesser men according to his schemes. It is quite fantastic to find people like Daniel Ellsberg being charged with leaking official secrets simply because the label on the piece of paper said "top secret," when the substance of many of the words written on those same papers was patently untrue and no more than a cover story. Except for the fact that they were official lies, these papers had no basis in fact, and therefore no basis to be graded top secret or any other degree of classification. Allen Dulles would tell similar cover stories to his coterie of writers, and not long thereafter they would appear in print in some of the most prestigious papers and magazines in the country, totally unclassified, and of course, cleverly untrue.

In every case, the chance for complete information is very small, and the hope that in time researchers, students, and historians will be able to ferret out truth from untruth, real from unreal, and story from cover story is at best a very slim one. Certainly, history teaches us that one truth will add to and enhance another; but let us not forget that one lie added to another lie will demolish everything. This is the important point. Consider the past half century. How many major events -- really major events -- have there been that simply do not ring true? How many times has the entire world been shaken by alarms of major significance, only to find that the events either did not happen at all, or if they did, that they had happened in a manner quite unlike the original story?"

Coldly implicit in Col. Prouty's afore-quoted empirical statement: “and the hope that in time researchers, students, and historians will be able to ferret out truth from untruth, real from unreal,
and story from cover story is at best a very slim one”, is the underlying Machiavellian modus operandi of buying time for sewing faits accomplis (new unalterable realities on the ground). By straight-jacketing all public discourse in deception when its timely revelation and unraveling can in fact derail the exercise of hegemony, new realities are constructed in the guise of responding to catastrophic events while the shell-shocked people remain dazed, confused, and frightened. They accept any solution offered by the authority figures as Americans did for instance in the aftermath of 9/11 when the catastrophic act of terrorism tore their world asunder. Ex post facto, and years down the road, separating myths and falsehoods from the calculus of hegemony will still remain only an academic exercise entirely irrelevant to reversing the faits accomplis already sewn! For Col. Prouty to not recognize this rather straightforward fact of the matter, the key modus operandi of Machiavelli for constructing new unalterable reality on the ground, as he nonchalantly observed the above quoted statement says something about the spymaster himself. See Convince People of Absurdities and get them Acquiescing to Atrocities: The Enduring Power of Machiavellian Political Science (http://tinyurl.com/historys-actors-fait-accompli). Also see Unlayering the Middle East War Agenda: Making Sense of Absurdities (http://tinyurl.com/unpeeling-lies).

**Wikileaks and the Mighty Wurlitzer driving Imperial Mobilization**

A pertinent example of Col. Fletcher Prouty's fabricated leaks noted above, is the Wikileaks' July 2010 disclosures of 'The Afghanistan Papers' which revealed nothing new.

Wikileaks has always been a rather transparent Mighty Wurlitzer ops. It is trivial to see through the absurdity of its protected existence despite it promoting itself as being a sort of dissenting watchdog upon empire. And therefore, ostensibly, being inimical to its unbridled
Wikileaks Announces Osama bin Laden is alive and playing a key role in directing the war in Afghanistan, leaked US military files suggest

'Multiple intelligence reports on the whereabouts of the al-Qaeda leader are contained among the documents. They disclose publicly for the first time that bin Laden is thought to be personally overseeing the work of suicide bombers and the makers of Taliban roadside bombs which have had a devastating effect on British and US troops. A secret “threat report” drafted by the Nato-led International Security Assistance Force (Isaf) in 2006 locates bin Laden as well as the Taliban leader Mullah Omar to the Pakistani city of Quetta as well as several villages on the Afghan border'. --- UK Telegraph 27 July 2010.

quest for “full spectrum dominance”. Just like Al Jazeera television based in Qatar, which too, absurdly enough, is permitted to function unhindered in the same nation as America's CENTCOM headquarters.
Would it not be trivial for an armed to the teeth National Security State waging perpetual wars on civilians from Afghanistan to Iraq to Pakistan to Palestine to take-out either apparatus rather trivially if they were troublesome to its primacy and geostrategic imperatives? And that may happen once the useful idiots have outlived their utility, for he who sups with the devil must have a long spoon!

The reason each is allowed to function is of course social engineering, the sine qua non for waging modern warfare upon civilian populations by way of deception. It spans the entire gamut of engineering consent. From mantra creation in the mainstream, and diabolically controlling dissent in order to control all opposition in the dissentstream, to actually fabricating the plainly visible pretexts (such as acts of terror) which can naturally ripen the conditions for the mantra of “clash of civilizations” to be called real in order to sustain the otherwise untenable “imperial mobilization”. 

Backed by the Mighty Wurlitzer's compositions, inflicting state terror upon civilian populations as counter-insurgency, and military invasions of defenseless third-world nations for imagined or contrived threats in “preemptive self-defense”, automatically create and promote natural resistance among the victims thus breeding a self-fulfilling prophecy. 

The director of the CIA, Michael Hayden, called this modus operandi of self-fulfilling prophecy, “tickling” the enemy: “We use military operations to excite the enemy, prompting him to respond. In that response we learn so much”.

Zbigniew Brzezinski most succinctly summed up the core political motivation for resorting to such Machiavellianess in his 1996 book The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives: “Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization”. (see Brzezinski's full quote below)
President Obama warns not to challenge the official narrative of 9/11

'I am aware that there is still some who would question, or even justify the offense of 911. But let us be clear. Al Qaeda killed nearly 3000 people on that day. The victims were innocent men, women, and children from America and many other nations who had done nothing to harm anybody. And yet Al Qaeda chose to ruthlessly murder these people, claimed credit for the attack, and even now states their determination to kill on a massive scale. They have affiliates in many countries, to try to expand their reach. These are not opinions to be debated. These are facts to be dealt with.' --- http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cuASoVK8f9c

President Obama, Cairo Egypt, June 4th 2009, BBC, See 911 and Imperial Mobilization Redux By Zahir Ebrahim

This, all this, is the real fact of the matter that makes the Mighty Wurlitzer so indispensable in military strategy. This is once again underscored by the April 20, 2008 NYT article mentioned at the very be-
ginning, “Behind TV Analysts, Pentagon’s Hidden Hand”.

How can one tell manufactured reportage and fabricated leaks that are ab initio designed “to promote a self-fulfilling prophecy” from the real facts of the matter when it is most pertinent to averting its fait accompli?

**How can one see through the psyops of the Mighty Wurlitzer?**

As daunting as it might appear to the mainstream television watcher, it is in fact rather straightforward for those unencumbered by blind faith in governments and its statecraft.

Just look for the core-lies and unquestioned axioms of empire that are typically retained in the “leaks” and reportage which, in order to sound credible, often openly expose what is mostly already known anyway or judiciously employ some variation of “Limited Hangout” wrapped in a veneer of dissent, ‘freedom of the press’, and often accompanied by the facade of angst and opposition from the state.

Furthermore, look for some of the lauded dissent names rushing to support the Limited Hangout – just as it was with Daniel Ellsberg for his infamous Pentagon Papers – to afford a veneer of legitimacy to the whistleblowing revelations of supposed state-secrets having caused some great harm to the state. The extravagance enacted in the mainstream media, alternately making heroes of the whistleblowers and demonizing them, is a giveaway to the circus show being enacted for plebeian consumption.
'In the summer of 2005, the commission of the European parliament for security and defense, of which I am a member, was invited to a special screening created by the Washington Center for Strategic Studies. We were asked to watch a film which depicted what would happen in Europe, if Brussels was hit by a nuclear bomb. Fifty thousand deaths, hundred thousand injured. The reactions of various European governments. Suddenly, footage of Osama Bin Laden claiming responsibility for a nuclear attack on NATO headquarters comes on screen. All members of the parliament, myself included, were rendered speechless.

Then a parliamentarian finally said:

“Today we were shown a convincing demonstration of how Osama Bin Laden's image can be completely manipulated. All the Osama's we have seen over the years, may never have existed. Just as a nuclear attack on NATO Headquarters in Brussels has never taken place.”' --- ZERO
For, it matters not which side one takes, as both sides are patently false, crafted of calculated omissions and half-truths that retain core- lies, right out of the text book of the Technique of Infamy: **invent two lies and keep the public busy debating which of them is true!**

The role of crafty omissions in fabricating propaganda was best captured by Aldous Huxley in his Preface to *Brave New World* thusly:

> ‘The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. **By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals. But silence is not enough. If persecution, liquidation and the other symptoms of social friction are to be avoided, the positive sides of propaganda must be made as effective as the negative.’ — Aldous Huxley, Preface (circa 1946) to *Brave New World*, 1931, Harper, pg. 11

To uncover omissions in a discourse is very difficult for the public who do not often have command over the domain in which the falsehoods are being perpetuated. As the psychological insight already quoted above from the Terrorism Study Group betrays, *“Public Assumptions’ Shape Views of History. Such presumptions are beliefs (1) thought to be true (although not necessarily known to be true with certainty), and (2) shared in common within the relevant political community.”*

Which is why inculcating ignorance, especially political-historical ig-
norance pertaining to international relations, and being made trusting of authority figures and the state, are the pre-requisites for any vile propaganda to succeed! A well bred lack of skepticism to authority figures, to experts in scientific disciplines, and to dissenting chiefs playing controlled opposition, thus becomes the heart of social engineering for 'United We Stand'.

This surfeit of blind trust in authority is what is ultimately harvested by the Mighty Wurlitzer. For a skeptical public, the tunes of the Mighty Wurlitzer would fall on very deaf ears and public governance for private agendas would be well-nigh impossible in democratic nations. This is qualitatively no different than the power exercised by the religious clergy upon their faithful flock in any religion. Except that modernity has perniciously replaced them with multi-faceted secular clergies, the “experts”, each demanding obedience from its own 'United We Stand' trusting flock in all aspects of modern life.

This is also why “leaking” information from “experts” and “insiders” commands such a premium in Machiavellian democratic statecraft. When used judiciously so as not to dilute its impact, it can herd the flock in pretty much any direction that is desired.

As further empirically evidenced in the forensic analysis presented here, these so called whistleblowing of leaky buckets also succeed in accomplishing two important elements of statecraft:

- vicariously reinvigorate in the short-term public memory, the already established-by-fiat facts and core-axioms of empire;
- establish new convenient facts on the ground which are subsequently accepted as revealed gospel truths because of the already established thought-stream by the scholars of empire that when something is held in secret or is classified and subsequently declassified, or is prematurely leaked to the public, that it must contain some genuine “state secrets”, and never red herrings. Such thought-streams enable the directives of NSC 10/2 for plausible deniability (and those like it which we
do not know about) to be trivially impressed upon the public mind (see Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory). These revelations of presumed “state-secrets” subsequently become the new unquestioned backdrops for both state policies and public discourses – the new “doctrinal motivations” – with copious help from the Mighty Wurlitzer's refined machinery.

This enables the successful deployment of already pre-planned policy prescriptions which craftily impel the various incantations of hegemony forward in baby-steps. Both, domestically by incrementally clamping down hard on rising discontent in the name of “national security”, and internationally by continuing to wage unpopular wars of preemption upon the 'untermenschen'. The infernal enemy has now been (re)confirmed to exist (despite popular skepticism) since even empire's own henchmen in their secret documents also affirm that belief (sic!). Speak of self-servingly suffering from a incestuously self-reinforced “crippled epistemology”!

The grandmaster of The Grand Chessboard himself, in his volt face half-truth laced testimony before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee in 2007, strangely confirmed the deconstruction of the sole superpower's Machiavellian statecraft being done in this report: “To argue that America is already at war in the region with a wider Islamic threat, of which Iran is the epicenter, is to promote a self-fulfilling prophecy.” (see Brzezinski's full SFRC quote below)

But earlier, the same Polish-American Catholic (see Zbigniew Brzezinski footnote) architect of inflicting America's hegemony upon the world, Zbigniew Brzezinski, in his 1996 book The Grand Chessboard, had unabashedly examined the need for such invigorations of the public mind, and the very promotion of self-fulfilling prophecies as a basic primacy tactic in order to assert American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives. As the former National Security Advisor under President Carter, and think-tank advisor to all subsequent occupants of the White House without prejudice, a diabolical strategist for the one-world oligarchic agenda in cahoots with the international
banker David Rockefeller who appointed him the first executive director of the Trilateral Commission, Brzezinski with his imposing resume (see Zbigniew Brzezinski) betrays a shrewd comprehension of Machiavellian statecraft's reliance on engineering consent. Here is a snippet for the absolute necessity of controlling the public mind for “imperial mobilization”:

“It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.” (pgs. 35-36) ;

“Public opinion polls suggest that only a small minority (13 percent) of Americans favor the proposition that 'as the sole remaining superpower, the US should continue to be the preeminent world leader in solving international problems'. ... Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. .... More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a
A high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.” (page 211 and onwards, PDF book)

The diabolical utility of planting of “Public Assumptions' [that] Shape Views of History” and therefore of current affairs, as the “doctrinal motivation” which can create “intellectual commitment”, and is rewarded by “patriotic gratification”, in this 'War on Terror' against the vile Militant Islam's torch bearers, the Islamofascists, cannot escape the careful reader's attention. It has wonderfully enabled “America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation.”

Catastrophic Terrorism

The Terrorism Study Group in fact took up the future foretelling in 1997-1998 where Brzezinski's self-serving clairvoyance had left off in 1996 with his pithy diabolical wisdom in The Grand Chessboard: “Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.” Phil Zelikow, the future 9/11 Commission Executive Director, led the so called study on Catastrophic Terrorism. It presaged, on October 15, 1998, a full three years before 9/11, how that instinctual aversion of America's democratic public to “imperial mobilization” would be overcome by the United States striking out in response to catastrophic terrorism on its soil:

“An act of catastrophic terrorism that killed thousands or tens of thousands of people and/or disrupted the necessities of life for hundreds of thousands, or even millions, would be a watershed event in America’s history. It could involve loss of life and property unprecedented for peacetime and undermine Americans’ fundamental sense of security within their own borders in a manner akin to the 1949 Soviet atomic bomb test, or perhaps even worse. Constitutional liberties would be challenged as the
United States sought to protect itself from further attacks by pressing against allowable limits in surveillance of citizens, detention of suspects, and the use of deadly force. More violence would follow, either as other terrorists seek to imitate this great ‘success’ or as the United States strikes out at those considered responsible. **Like Pearl Harbor, such an event would divide our past and future into a ‘before’ and ‘after.’**” — History Commons (http://tinyurl.com/mlzfns)

The reality du jour exactly matches the doctrinal presaging done years in advance. America today is a police-state continually “pressing against allowable limits in surveillance of citizens, detention of suspects”, “the use of deadly force” is ubiquitous, and is fully engaged in a perpetual war of “imperial mobilization”, ahem, 'war on terror' against some Ali Baba, which its own former director of the CIA calls “World War IV” (see CNN report Thursday, April 3, 2003: Ex-CIA director: U.S. faces 'World War IV'). Its next target: Iran.

Predictably, with rising skepticism among the public on the utility of pursuing endless wars against illusive enemies that is making their own nation go bankrupt, more “harmful leaks” from assets like Wikileaks will occur, but understandably none which are actually substantial. Like, blowing the lid on *9/11 as an inside job*, directly naming the top beneficiaries who shorted the Airline stocks raking in billions, or revealing how BBC came to report the demolition of WTC-7 a full 20 minutes before it actually transpired, never mind lending confirmation to any of the forensic detective work by independent researchers from the debris of 9/11, etceteras. And the main leaker du jour, Mr. patsy Julian Assange, like Mr. patsy Lee Harvey Oswald before him, will be sacrificed, perhaps with a new 'lone gunmen' enactment, or perhaps juridically, to lend the hoopla even more public respectability.

Mr. Edward Snowden's NSA whistleblowing story is qualitatively the
same and has the same underlying template of being the Mighty Wurlitzer's asset. Just because something is stamped “secret” does not make it so. The fact that NSA is doing full spectrum surveillance of the world, never mind of the American public, since the invention of satellite communication, of which smart phones are now the ubiquitously deployed Trojan horse of data gathering and data mining, is not really a deep or closely held secret. It has been public knowledge throughout the world. It is even popularized by Hollywood movies for decades. Perhaps it is only news for the American public, I don't know. It is quite a dog and pony show “miracle” how this young man has “managed” to elude the entire intelligence apparatus, including the NSA, the CIA, the DIA, and the drones, of the sole superpower on earth which spends upwards of a trillion dollars on its defence budget annually, but cannot capture one “rogue” who outwitted that entire spy apparatus in “leaking” their most cherished “secrets”. Thus they must now spend more money and resources one imagines. Almost parallels with how the same apparatuses could not interdict Ali Baba wielding box cutter knives on 9/11, and therefore the state not only had to clamp down harder on its national security with the Patriot Acts, but also increase its defence spendings. A Manchurian Candidate or a useful idiot is irrelevant. To his own mind this new addition to the whistleblower clique may well be taking a courageous stand to defend his nation against enemies, both foreign and domestic, like his predecessor Sibel Edmonds. But he may also meet the patsy's inevitable fate someday after his usefulness has expired.

As for Ms. Sibel Edmonds, the dissent-darling of America who collects a large body of its brilliant consciences around her for her FBI whistleblowing, see The Sibel Edmonds Story Revisited - How Manufactured Dissent contributes to War Crimes. There are more whistleblowers from other Western intelligence agencies as well, none of them having gained such outlandish celebrity status or notoriety. It is redundant to dissect them all since they all are, more or less, automatically unmasked by the Mighty Wurlitzer's template demonstrated
here. They all, without exception, lie by omission, tell half truth, three quarter truth, and Limited Hangout variants, to implant or reinvigorate public beliefs without revealing anything substantial that can lead to overthrowing the villainy they endeavor to speak out against. It makes for manufacturing great dissentchiefs. As the final example, see the “former” CIA’s own, Philip Giraldi, now leading the so called Council for the National Interest that routinely speaks out against Israel's influence in Washington, without ever mentioning who owns the Jewish state, Dismantling the Fiction of 'Former' and 'Ex' Intelligence – Zahir Ebrahim's Response to Philip Giraldi.

It’s the exact same recipe as is used by all the other fabricated and controlled dissent assets of empire when they are not outright spinning patent lies, for spinning half-truths requires far more brilliance. One can already see the main dissent-chiefs of the West, like the venerable professor Noam Chomsky, anointed by the New York Times as “arguably the most important intellectual alive”, and the distinguished Daniel Ellsberg, excitedly supporting these Wikileaks expositions as if something ethereal was “revealed in the Sinai” (borrowing that diction from Elie Wiesel). Snowden and Edmonds too find great support among dissentchiefs. What remarkable narrative control through repeated incestuous self-reinforcement --- keeping all the core axioms and presuppositions of empire intact!

There is no detectable difference among Assange, Snowden, Edmonds, and Ellsberg on the one hand as whistleblowers of “state secrets”, and Chomsky, Hedges, Brzezinski, Bernard Lewis, Ron Paul, the Left, the Right, Republicans, Democrats, Libertarians, Catholics, Protestants, Baptists, Jews, Zionists, neocons --- on the core lie of empire which has principally enabled all the rest of the evil that has followed from that catastrophic day of Operation Canned Goods Redux. They publicly claim, or believe, in grand unison that America was attacked on 9/11 by “militant Islam”! The Muslim house niggers equally rise to applaud that absurd narrative of the massa. Speak of “crippled epistemology”! The raison d'etre of the Mighty Wurlitzer
It is not for nothing that James Jesus Angleton, Head of CIA Counter Intelligence 1954-1974, is quoted in the 1992 BBC-2 Documentary on Operation Gladio: “Deception is a state of mind and the mind of the State”. See: Angleton (1917 - 1987). Manufacturing Dissent with controlled opposition is an indispensable core construct of that very statecraft of deception. See: 'Manufacturing Dissent: Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science'.

With the preceding backdrop for overarching context, wherein we straightforwardly witness that empire's own strategists and scribes reveal years in advance with considerable chutzpah, American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives, and under what dystopian sociological conditions it could be mobilized with the democratic public being none the wiser, let's examine what I believe has been accomplished by Wikileaks in its service to empire's “War on Terrorism”. Please see “What is War on Terror?” (http://tinyurl.com/what-is-war-on-terror and ) and Postscript ‘War on Terror’ is not about ‘Islamofascism’ – Please get with the real agenda you people! (http://tinyurl.-com/what-is-war-on-terror-NOT ) before proceeding further if you are only familiar with its insanity in empire's manufactured dissenting Newspeak. Meaning, the 'War on Terror' is neither irrational nor insane. It is firmly rooted in Machiavelli, the rational political science of “imperial mobilization”.

The core-lies retained in the Wikileaks' July 2010 disclosures – which I call 'the Afghanistan Papers' – is to once again reaffirm that there is a real nemesis called “Osama Bin Laden”, that the “war on terror” is real, that it is being inflicted upon the West from Pakistan-Iran nexus, and to re-substantiate the handoff of former President George W. Bush's clairvoyance to the Obama Administration that “If another September 11 style attack is being planned, it probably is being plotted in Pakistan, and not Afghanistan”! That, when such a “planned” attack transpires, it “will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison”. See: ‘Bin Laden’: Key enabler of “imperial mobilization” and nuclear attack on Iran-Pakistan
The successful handoff of “imperial mobilization” to Pakistan and Iran, now further sprightly underscored by Wikileaks' documents, is once again demonstrated by President Obama's Secretary of State Hillary Clinton's July 2010 remark to the BBC as quoted by Reuters: “There are still additional steps that we are asking and expecting the Pakistanis to take. But there is no doubt in anyone's mind that should an attack against the United States be traced to be Pakistani, it would (have) a very devastating impact on our relationship.” And that is merely just another echo from the Obama Administration of what the Pakistanis themselves have been made to parrot the past 9 years, as demonstrated by its own Ambassador's remark in 2008:

'On] Wednesday, a media report quoted Pakistan’s envoy to Washington as saying that US leaders had warned Islamabad that if the United States suffered an attack that was traced back to Pakistan Washington would retaliate. “Those (statements) have been made,” Ambassador Hussain Haqqani told editors and reporters at The Washington Post. “We want to make sure that it doesn’t come to that.”’ – DAWN, June 12, 2008

To show Pakistan's unflinching willingness to do as much more as was asked, the Ambassador of Pakistan had further stated in an interview to Reuters in 2008:

'Pakistan would attack Osama bin Laden the moment it had reliable intelligence on the Al Qaeda leader's whereabouts, Ambassador Husain Haqqani said on Wednesday. Haqqani also said he was confident Pakistan could help foil any Al Qaeda plans to attack the United States, although he did not know of any right now. “A cooperative effort between all the al-
lies, and that includes Afghanistan, Pakistan and the United States and NATO – I think we can thwart any potential plans for an attack,” Haqqani said in an interview with Reuters.

He said Pakistani intelligence had helped defeat many of the “several dozen” Al Qaeda plots detected worldwide since the September 11, 2001, attacks, but government officials knew of no immediate threats to the United States. Haqqani said Pakistan would act on its own against Al Qaeda if necessary. “If Pakistan, Afghanistan or the United States had specific intelligence on the location of Osama bin Laden, they would have acted on it. No reservations would have come in the way of action on that, and none will even in the future,” he said. “If any of us had that actionable intelligence we would all act. We would act separately, we would act in tandem, we would act cooperatively – we would act.” -- DAWN, June 12, 2008

So, could these self-serving 'Afghanistan Papers' have been any more convenient as a casus belli, carrying forth the same core-lies now entering its tenth year? If Wikileaks' dramatization grabbing all the world's headlines isn't an officially sponsored “modified limited hangout” for exactly that purpose of reinforcing the core-lies, then the White House not even bothering to stop the New York Times – whose own motto is 'All the news that's fit to print' – from publishing it, even giving it “all got gold stars” as the Salon put it on July 26, 2010, is downright inexplicable:

“So, uh ... why was all of this information classified and top secret? If it's old news, and it just confirms what "everyone" already knows, what was the rationale for keeping it classified and calling WikiLeaks all sorts of mean names for publishing it?”
What would it matter afterwards, after Iran and Pakistan have been bombed, what were lies and what was truth? Did the bogus mea culpa by the 2005 Presidential Commission on intelligence failure, the Iraq Study Group's disingenuous conclusion: “We conclude that the intelligence community was dead wrong in almost all of its prewar judgments about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. This was a major intelligence failure,” reverse the decimation of Iraq? Did the New York Times 2008 revelation of Pentagon's Message Machine after 'all the barbers in town already knew it', return back to its silos each and every cruise missile that was dropped upon the innocent civilians of Iraq? Did Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski's bizarre testimony of February 1, 2007 before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee undo the grotesque and criminal reality of “imperial mobilization” to which he now openly admitted? Even his bold public admission concerning the plausible false pretexts which could be found by the United States to attack Iran never made it past CSPAN, and in fact disappeared into the vast void of the Mighty Wurlitzer. What was Brzezinski's motivation for ratting on his own henchmen is anyone's guess. As a grandmaster strategist of The Grand Chessboard, he publicly issued a dare to the hawks in the Bush Administration to subvert or delay an imminent attack on Iran at this time.

Here is what Brzezinski publicly admitted in his SFRC testimony on February 1, 2007:

'If the United States continues to be bogged down in a protracted bloody involvement in Iraq, the final destination on this downhill track is likely to be a head-on conflict with Iran and with much of the world of Islam at large. A plausible scenario for a military collision with Iran involves Iraqi failure to meet the benchmarks; followed by accusations of Iranian responsibility for the failure; then by some provocation in Iraq or a terrorist act in the U.S. blamed on Iran; culminating in a “defensive” U.S. military action
against Iran that plunges a lonely America into a spreading and deepening quagmire eventually ranging across Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan, and Pakistan.

A mythical historical narrative to justify the case for such a protracted and potentially expanding war is already being articulated. Initially justified by false claims about WMD’s in Iraq, the war is now being redefined as the “decisive ideological struggle” of our time, reminiscent of the earlier collisions with Nazism and Stalinism. In that context, Islamist extremism and al Qaeda are presented as the equivalents of the threat posed by Nazi Germany and then Soviet Russia, and 9/11 as the equivalent of the Pearl Harbor attack which precipitated America’s involvement in World War II.

This simplistic and demagogic narrative overlooks the fact that Nazism was based on the military power of the industrially most advanced European state; and that Stalinism was able to mobilize not only the resources of the victorious and militarily powerful Soviet Union but also had worldwide appeal through its Marxist doctrine. In contrast, most Muslims are not embracing Islamic fundamentalism; al Qaeda is an isolated fundamentalist Islamist aberration; most Iraqis are engaged in strife because the American occupation of Iraq destroyed the Iraqi state; while Iran—though gaining in regional influence—is itself politically divided, economically and militarily weak. To argue that America is already at war in the region with a wider Islamic threat, of which Iran is the epicenter, is to promote a self-fulfilling prophecy.

That admission requires no further elaboration from this scribe except to point out the smug hubris, that none among the senate committee
members will rise to challenge his own role in that “self-fulfilling prophecy”, and none among the public's watchdogs of democracy will deconstruct it in the newsmedia, and in the academia, despite it being broadcast live on CSPAN. And they didn't!

Brzezinski's bold chutzpah of blaming the Bush Administration for their self-serving myth-making demagogic narratives to enable wars of aggression as “self-fulfilling prophecy”, when the sole superpower in every government is only following his own recipe to fabricate “doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” in order to pursue his previously outlined “imperial mobilization” agendas for “American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives” on The Grand Chessboard, and the “self-fulfilling prophecy” only overcomes his own principal lament “that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad” which “limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation”, is outright disingenuous. It's like Hitler blaming his generals for following Mein Kampf and conquering Europe, and Goebbels for being the Reichminister for propaganda!

Such ex post facto disingenuousness is evidently part and parcel of full spectrum “imperial mobilization”. Without all techniques of deception underlying modern statecraft, which is itself never homogeneous and is plagued by competing narrow political self-interests no differently than Mafioso families in bloody turf competition while also agreeing to rob and plunder the public at will, just as we see in Brzezinski's SFRC testimony against his own lieutenants, nothing unpopular can be mobilized in a “democracy”.

The common man today is as averse to projects of imperial mobilizations of the elite as in the yestercentury. He must be deceived into paying for these projects, both with his toil, and with his life. Machiavelli's thin book, The Prince, which is read by most high-schoolers in Westerdom, is surely the most misread book of all times. It is evidently read as a fairytale rather than as a most pertinent political science key to the cryptogram of current affairs --- for, the vast major-
ity of these learned masses many of whom eventually graduate from Western universities with high-falutin credentials, demonstrate at best only a passing acquaintance with that strangely popular sixteenth century Italian name. The handful who may comprehend it, mostly go to work for statecraft and thinktanks. The remaining do nothing with any of its insights into how the elite must rule by way of deception and secrecy when they do not have autocratic and dictatorial open authority over the masses like that of kings and feudal lords in centuries past. In the modern fiction of “democracy”, the elite can only govern by way of engineering the public's consent, by keeping secrets, and by adopting covert means, especially for carrying out long-term unpopular agendas. The common man's attention span is just too short to think about these agendas, and to sensibly relate them to current affairs on a canvas any larger than his own immediate time and space which is almost always bounded by his very narrow immediate self-interests.

There is, however, a very tiny minority of intelligent ones among the public not so easily fooled. Some do learn from the lessons of history and are able to relate it to the present. There is surely something to be gained by examining what transpired during the recently defunct Cold War in its “demand creation” techniques (in marketing terms), and its four decades long continuance via global fear mongering, and relating that to the present. Otherwise, what's the grand purpose of studying history, especially forensically studying it by shrewdly treating it as the narrative of a crime scene written by the Mafioso families' own scribes. The modern history scribes, often paid for by the establishment, rehearse facts and figures ex post facto from official documents without addressing the secret, and the not so secret, motivations and behind the scenes forces that give birth to these facts and realities constructed by the “history's actors” (see history's actors quote below). It's almost like the sly French police Captain Louis Renault in the film Casablanca, saying to the gendarmes: “round up the usual suspects” to cleverly deflect attention from the protagonist who has just shot
and killed the Gestapo chief – because, it is not in anyone's interest to spotlight the real behind the scenes forces. Especially when they or their legatees are still in control. And also because it is not judged to be “sound academics”. The fear of being seen as “conspiratorial” encourages intellectual self-policing long before the establishment's academe and media policing can kick-in and impact well-paying careers and fame. The only useful purpose of studying history accurately, without self-deception, without contrivance, and without apologetics, was most elegantly captured by the wise playwright of the early twentieth century, George Bernard Shaw:

“We are made wise not by the recollections of our past, but by the responsibility for our future.”

**Gladio Surrogate Terror**

Witness the following from the period of the Cold War, where synthetic terror was used in Western Europe in order to convince the increasingly skeptical public that the Communist threat was real requiring the continuous heightened state of alert and rising military expenditures at the expense of domestic spending – all revealed ex post facto by the BBC documentary in 1992 on NATO's Operation Gladio. Part-3 of the Gladio documentary has the following lovely statement quoted from the US Army's Top Secret Field Manual:

“Top Secret: There may be times when host country governments show passivity or indecision in the face of Communist subversion ... **US Army Intelligence must have the means of launching special operations which will convince host country governments and public opinion of the reality of the insurgent danger** ... US Army Intelligence should seek to penetrate the insurgency by means of agents of special assignments, with the task of forming special action groups among the most radical elements of the
insurgency.”

Replacing “Communist subversion” in the text above with “Islamofascist terror” makes what is being stated in this report obvious. See Insurgency vs. Counter-Insurgency (http://tinyurl.com/what-is-insurgency) in order to relate that US Army Field Manual recipe of yesteryear with the present. In the year 2042, or even as early as 2032, surely by 2052, an updated BBC documentary will confirm it all, with at best, a mere tsk, tsk, and the all knowing characteristic nod at the imperial craftsmanship of empire. A new generation of Noam Chomsky legatees will emerge with new best-selling books waiving their sublime morality at empire (see Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent below) and will get to occupy prestigious chairs in the academe as the new conscience of the world in one-world government.

What appears to be out of control Terrorism worldwide in 2014, and which is continuing to extract its pound of flesh from the sovereignty of nation-states faster than any other global crisis to date, can easily be comprehended when NATO’s Operation Gladio of yesteryear is employed as the political science template. The motivations and the forces that drive global terrorism is writ large in that most empirical exposure of state sponsored terror disguised as “insurgency”. To make it believable and plausible, real insurgents are created, and existing insurgent groups, especially those with existential discontents, are infiltrated, and their destructive energies channeled in service of larger political agendas of which often the patsies themselves remain unaware of. The evidence from CIA’s MK ULTRA program of yesteryear, and the empirical suicide bombings in the terrorism acts today, indicate to those who can observe rationally with even an iota of brain functioning, that the art of manufacturing the perfect Manchurian Candidate has been perfected. And so has its deployment on demand as in Operation Gladio.

No intelligence apparatus in any country, no news media, no establishmentarian politician to scholar to military man, nor any of the touted intellectuals playing dissent with the establishment's narratives,
go there. Why not? Because there are always substantial tangible and intangible, as well as existential rewards to be gained by silence. It is always beneficial to continue to play the fool, the patsy, and the willing mercenary. See: Operation Gladio Yesterday and Worldwide Terrorism Today – Identifying the Enemy, and Imperial Surrogates and 'Terror Central' in Operation Gladio Redux. See The Dying Songbird to comprehend the co-option of the intellectuals and how they diabolically mislead and misdirect the public conscience from Left to Right. They shall all be ceremoniously anointed “arguably the most important truth-tellers and intellectuals” tomorrow for their brilliant ex post facto exposés of the state deceptions of today, just as they are heralded today for their dry study of yestercentury and its war crimes.

That is the real import of the craftsmanship of the Mighty Wurlitzer! To engineer a fait accompli by manufacturing consent among the gullible masses and controlling dissent among the rabble rousers when “imperial mobilization” is still on-going, leaving future scholars, historians, and the odd malcontent to laudingly study the ashes, mea culpae, confessions, documentaries, de-classified documents, and strategic rattings left behind by “history's actors”. A diabolical modus operandi of democratic statecraft which the Mighty Wurlitzer's operators even brazenly gloat about:

'...That's not the way the world really works anymore,” he continued. “We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors... and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.”...' (Ron Suskind, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004)

It is now patently obvious with the Obama Administration officially declaring Osama Bin Laden killed in an American raid on May 1, 2011, why Wikileaks had to “leak” the officialdom's belief that he was
still alive in July 2010! It is all too evident that some mileage is being derived by officially burying that nemesis at sea, a thousand miles from where they proclaim they killed him in an ambush in Abbotabad, Pakistan. Conveniently, it was in Pakistan and not Afghanistan that mankind's toughest and most resourceful nemesis was found and killed. The color coded threat alerts instantly went up worldwide. Pakistan Navy presumably already suffered a bizarre revenge attack on its naval base in Karachi from Ali Baba's elusive organization still intact, and now even more formidable than ever before. And its base of operation? Of course Pakistan!

Just as George W. Bush Jr., had intimated was the new Terror Central:

“Good evening. Tonight, I can report to the American people, and to the world. The United States has conducted an operation that has killed Osama Bin Laden, the leader of Al Qaeda.” --- President Obama, May 1, 2011
“If another September 11 style attack is being planned, it probably is being plotted in Pakistan, and not Afghanistan”!

Brzezinski's unraveling of that *Bushism* in his SFRC testimony quoted above notwithstanding, was the 43rd President of the United States, George W. Bush Jr., just inordinately insightful to predict such matters as he was preparing to hand the presidential charge to his successor on the “change” platform? Carefully dissecting the nature of such self-serving propagandistic clairvoyance can perhaps also help the public to become shrewdly clairvoyant in their own self-defense in these often confusing matters on international relations. Especially on what's likely to come as the next global mythical terror threat in the aftermath of Osama Bin Laden. Let's briefly review how the terrorism of 9/11 was continually foretold by the masters of discourse themselves – for that will surely show the public how to treat their next bit of self-serving fortune telling.
Taking a Deeper Look into the Dynamics of Mantra Creation: Islamofascism

Let's begin at the very inception of the 'arc of crisis' which Zbigniew Brzezinski laid the groundwork for during his reign of terror upon the USSR as the National Security Advisor to the 38th President of the United States, Jimmy Carter. See Instrumenting Kosovo in the 'arc of crisis' and the 'global zone of percolating violence' (http://tinyurl.com/arc-of-crisis) for other details of the epoch and its connections to the present 'War on Terror'. It suffices to quote here the following brilliantly clairvoyant statement attributed to Israeli Intelligence founder from the same epoch in 1979, a full two decades prior to 9/11:

'On Sept. 23, 1979, the founder of Israeli intelligence over dinner told me that America was developing a tolerance for terror. The gentleman's name was Isser Harel, the founder of Mossad Israeli intelligence—he ran it from 1947 to 1963. He told me that America had developed an alliance between two countries, Israel and Saudi Arabia, and that the alliance with Saudi Arabia was dangerous and would develop a tolerance for terror among Americans. He said if the tolerance continued that Islamic fundamentalists would ultimately strike America. I said “Where?” He said, “In Islamic theology, the phallic symbol is very important. Your biggest phallic symbol is New York City and your tallest building will be the phallic symbol they will hit.” Isser Harel prophesied that the tallest building in New York would be the first building hit by Islamic fundamentalists 21 years ago.' (Source)

And Mossad again betrayed its brilliant clairvoyance 20 years later:
'The attacks on the World Trade Centre's twin towers and the Pentagon were humiliating blows to the intelligence services, which failed to foresee them, and to the defence forces of the most powerful nation in the world, which failed to deflect them. The Telegraph has learnt that two senior experts with Mossad, the Israeli military intelligence service, were sent to Washington in August to alert the CIA and FBI to the existence of a cell of as many of 200 terrorists said to be preparing a big operation. “They had no specific information about what was being planned but linked the plot to Osama bin Laden and told the Americans that there were strong grounds for suspecting Iraqi involvement,” said a senior Israeli security official.'

--- UK Telegraph, 16 Sep 2001

Seeded by that “prophesy” from the stellar Israeli intelligence mind, British Zionist Svengali at Princeton University, Professor Bernard Lewis planted the 'The Roots of Muslim Rage' in 1990 in the Council on Foreign Relations' prestigious magazine *Foreign Affairs*. An influential establishmentarian mouthpiece which is read around the world by those who believe that if you want to know what will happen ten years from now in any remote corner of the world, read *Foreign Affairs* of ten years ago:

“In 1990 Bernard Lewis, a leading Western scholar of Islam, analyzed 'The Roots of Muslim Rage,' and concluded: 'It should now be clear that we are facing a mood and a movement far transcending the level of issues and policies and the governments that pursue them. This is no less than a clash of civilizations – that perhaps irrational but surely historic reaction of an ancient rival against our Judeo-Christian heritage, our secular present, and the worldwide expansion of both. It is crucially important that we on our side
should not be provoked into an equally historic but also equally irrational reaction against our rival.’’ --- Samuel Huntington, The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order, 1996, pg. 213

That 'Muslim Rage' was subsequently transformed in 1996 into a full blown political ideology for governing International Relations of the sole superpower as the infamous 'Clash of Civilizations', by Bernard Lewis' confrere and fellow Zionist at Harvard University, Professor Samuel Huntington:

'The underlying problem for the West is not Islamic fundamentalism. It is Islam, a different civilization whose people are convince of the superiority of their culture and are obsessed with the inferiority of their power. The problem for Islam is not the CIA or the US Department of Defense. It is the West, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the universality of their culture and believe that their superior, if declining, power imposes on them the obligation to extend that culture throughout the world. These are the basic ingredient that fuel conflict between Islam and the West.’’ --- Ibid. pg. 217

'Some Westerners, including [ex] President Bill Clinton, have argued that the West does not have problems with Islam but only with violent Islamist extremists. Fourteen hundred years of history demonstrate otherwise.... Islam is the only civilization which has put the survival of the West in doubt, and it has done that at least twice... The parallel concepts of 'jihad' and 'crusade' not only resemble each other...' --- Ibid. pg. 209

This systematic myth construction of 'Islamic Terror' was prime for harvesting as the global 'War on Terrorism' on September 11, 2001 by
George W. Bush with the dialectical ultimatum to the world: “either you are with us, or with the terrorists”!

Within 15 minutes of the super terrorism of that day in infamy, the newsmedia had been awash in naming the first terrorist: Osama Bin Laden! The scripted discourse is of course repeated ad nauseam to this very day, the last time by President Obama himself while announcing the boogeyman's demise on May 1, 2011: “Good evening. Tonight, I can report to the American people, and to the world. The United States has conducted an operation that has killed Osama Bin Laden, the leader of Al Qaeda.”

That's of course, after already having reiterated on the heals of his predecessor, on June 4th 2009, who was responsible for 9/11: “But let us be clear. Al Qaeda killed nearly 3000 people on that day. The victims were innocent men, women, and children from America and many other nations who had done nothing to harm anybody.”

And all foretold by the clairvoyance of the Zionist Israeli Mossad founder, and reinforced by other Israeli Military Intelligence Mossad agents in the days just preceding 9/11, of the brilliant Islamic fundamentalists' successful attack on the West's most prominent “phallic symbol”.

Bernard Lewis subsequently justified George W. Bush's launching of the global 'War on Terrorism' in his phantasmic 2003 book Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror. First by reinforcing his earlier seeding of the mantra of 'the roots of the irrational Muslim rage', and extending those roots to Islam itself:

'But Islam, like other religions, has also known periods when it inspired in some of its followers a mood of hatred and violence. It is our misfortune that we have to confront part of the Muslim world while it is going through such a period, and when most – though by no means all – of that hatred is directed against us.'

--- Bernard Lewis, Crisis of Islam – Holy War and
And then clairvoyantly predicting the following self-serving conclusions as his last word:

'If the fundamentalists are correct in their calculations and succeed in their war, then a dark future awaits the world, especially that part of it that embraces Islam.'
--- Ibid. Chapter IX: The Rise of Terrorism, pg. 164

'If freedom fails and terror triumphs, the peoples of Islam will be the first and greatest victims. They will not be alone, and many others will suffer with them.'
--- Ibid. Afterword, December 1, 2003, pg. 169

The Collateral Damage to Language for Synthesizing the Doctrinal Motivation of Islamofascism

Before we continue further, it is necessary to deconstruct the crafty use of language for synthesizing the aforementioned propaganda to fuel the “War on Terror”. The following is extracted from Project Humanbeingsfirst's very critical response to the CAIR (Council on American Islamic Relations) Report titled Calling CAIR to Account for its Omissions, for their egregiously omitting the most crucial fact of the matter in their otherwise stellar documentation of the rise of Islamophobia in America. The CAIR report (which incidentally underscores the observation that the name Council on American Islamic Relations sounds awfully similar to the Council on Public Relations founded by Edward Bernays to recast systems of propaganda into a new respectable light as “public relations” after World War II, the pathetic report is evidently serving the same function) was issued in collaboration with the Center for Race & Gender at the University of California, Berkeley. The significance of the following dismantling from first principles, beginning with the very use of language and the re-semantification of words to construct the propaganda system of Islamofas-
cism, will not be lost to the builders of tall totem poles who worry about having plausibly sound doctrinal foundations in order to have propaganda stand at all.

**Let's examine the usage of the word “Islam” by Bernard Lewis**

Unlike Christians and Christianity, Muslims have two completely separate words to designate the people who proclaim to follow the religion or are born into that culture (Muslims) vs. the divine religion (Islam). Any time you see one terminology aliasing for another, you might do well to remember that there is some axe to grind somewhere. Bernard Lewis is the venerable master of this obfuscation being amiably carried by CAIR without reservation. Bernard Lewis began his treatise “Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror” with the following gem:

“It is difficult to generalize about Islam. To begin with, the word itself is commonly used with two related but distinct meanings, as the equivalents both of Christianity, and Christendom. In the one sense, it denotes a religion, as system of beliefs and worship; in the other, the civilization that grew up and flourished under the aegis of that religion. **The word Islam thus denotes more than fourteen centuries of history, a billion and a third people, and a religious and cultural tradition of enormous diversity.**” --- Bernard Lewis, Crisis of Islam, pg. 1

That last sentence is the diabolical deception with which imperial craftsmanship subverts our religion: **“The word Islam thus denotes more than fourteen centuries of history, a billion and a third people, and a religious and cultural tradition of enormous diversity.”**

According to the Author of the Holy Qur'an upon which the religion
of Islam is based, the word “Islam” denotes only, and only, the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>This day have I perfected for you your religion and completed My favor on you and chosen for you Islam as a religion; Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Maeda verse fragment 5:3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Indeed. The word “Islam”, defined by the Holy Qur'an itself, and not by the Arabic language dictionary or the popular vernacular, is a proper noun, the name of a religion, “deen” (الإِسْلاَمُ دِينًا), “a guidance unto those who ward off (evil).” (Surah Al-Baqara 2:2)

That is the only, repeat only, context in which the word “Islam” can be legitimately used. It is the only context in which Qur'an has used it, indicating a divine religion to which the Author of the Qur'an itself gave the name “Islam”. The people didn't chose that name. Whether or not someone believes in Qur'an's “divinity” is irrelevant to us here; that is what the Book and the Religion upon which Bernard Lewis is proffering his imperial scholarship, itself proclaims.

**This is very significant.** The word “Islam” is quite distinct from the word used to designate Islam's followers and the affairs of its followers. That separation of terminology is itself espoused in the Holy Qur'an by virtue of having a separate terminology to refer to the followers. Once again, while this may sound repetitious, but to the Western mind wholly attuned to referring to Christians and Christianity with the same root word devolving from their God named “Christ”, no amount of repetition can ever be sufficient to drive the point home. The Qur'an itself defined a different nomenclature to name its followers; the followers didn't:
This separation of terminology between the name of the religion and the name of its followers is in fact a singular distinction of Islam in comparison to all the other Abrahamic religions. Indeed, in comparison to all major religions of the world including Hinduism, Buddhism, Confucianism, and Zoroastrianism, none of which feature such a clear linguistic separation of nouns in their own respective scriptures. For instance, while the followers of (prophet?) Zoroastra are called Parsis, that word came about culturally rather than scripturally by way of the geographic region, Persia, where his followers originated and flourished.

This is why followers of Prophet Muhammad for instance, are not called “Mohammedans”, nor believers of Islam “Islamic”, “Islamist”, Arabist, etc. except by the prejudicial orientalists.

The word designated in the Holy Qur'an for human beings who are Muslims, regardless of good or bad people, pious or murderers, sinners or saints, is “Muslims”, or to be exact in the transliteration of verse 2:128 quoted above, “Muslimeen” (مُسْلِمِينَ).

The Muslims throughout the world, practicing and non practicing, by mere self-identification alone, without requiring any certification of faith from a pontiff, are referred in the Holy Qur'an as “Muslim Umma”, or to be exact in the transliteration of verse 2:128 quoted above, “Ummat-e-Muslima” (أُمَّةٌ مُسْلِمَةٍ); a single Muslim nation bound solely by an ideology named “Islam”, rather than by geo-

| Our Lord! make of us Muslims, bowing to Thy (Will), and of our progeny a Muslim nation, bowing to Thy (will); and show us our place for the celebration of (due) rites; and turn unto us (in Mercy); for Thou art the Oft-Returning, Most Merciful. Holy Qur'an Surah Al-Baqara 2:128 | زَيَّنِي وَأَجْعَلْنَا مُسْلِمِينَ لَكَ وَمِنْ ذَرِّيَّتِنَا أُمَّةً مُسْلِمَةٍ لَكَ وَأَرْنَا من أَنْصَارَنَا وَتَنَّبَّ عَلَيْنَا إِذْ أَنتَ الْرَّحِيمُ |
graphy, race, ancestry, ethnicity, socio-economic class, profession, or gender.

All who misuse the Qur'anic terminology, Muslims and non-Muslims alike, are either ignorant peoples – and there are always plenty of “learned morons” and parrots in every epoch who are deftly planted on the pulpit – or, the respected apprentices of Machiavelli. In the latter case, they deliberately try to subvert the religion of Islam by associating it with the inglorious non Islamic deeds in the rich imperial history of Muslims. Associating empire, imperial history, culture, civilization, etc., with the religion of Islam as defined in its singular scripture the Holy Qur'an, is a bold non sequitur. See the multi-part case study “Islam: Why is the Holy Qur'an so easy to hijack?” where this Bernard Lewis fabrication: “To begin with, the word itself is commonly used with two related but distinct meanings, as the equivalents both of Christianity, and Christendom. ... The word Islam thus denotes more than fourteen centuries of history, a billion and a third people, and a religious and cultural tradition of enormous diversity.” is shown to be a clever big lie of a sophisticated, but ultimately still only a “vulgar propagandist”, in much greater depth even though this brief deconstruction already suffices. (See The Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent below for the source of this accurate epithet.)

One can immediately see the result of such gratuitous binding. It enables drawing false and specious associations by overloading the semantics in an already well-defined nomenclature.

That is the principal basis for subliminally, as well as cognitively, binding something virtuous (the religion) with something abhorrent (the vile deeds of the peoples, their kings, their cultures, their civilization). Thus, when the word Islam is mentioned, the abhorrent, or whatever is deemed abhorrent by Oriental scholarship, naturally springs to the mind of the seduced.

Based solely on that premeditated collateral damage to language that Samuel Huntington, the late circus clown of empire at Harvard,
diabolically made the already quoted statement on “Islam” in his treatise “The Clash of Civilizations”. It is reproduced again because now we dissect it from the language point of view:

“The underlying problem for the West is not Islamic fundamentalism. It is Islam, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the superiority of their culture and are obsessed with the inferiority of their power. The problem for Islam is not the CIA or the US Department of Defense. It is the West, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the universality of their culture and believe that their superior, if declining, power imposes on them the obligation to extend that culture throughout the world. These are the basic ingredient that fuel conflict between Islam and the West.” (Samuel Huntington, The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order, 1996, pg. 217)

Since when did the word “Islam” denote civilization? It is certainly not used in that context in its own singular scripture. A civilization is an aggregate of peoples, harboring one or more cultures, one or more languages, one or more customs, one or more religions. Like the Western civilization which has the nations of German, French, English, American, Russian, etceteras, that many languages, and many religions are practiced in these nations, including atheism, Christianity, and Islam. Whereas Islam is a religion, the word itself is a proper noun to denote a divine “deen” (الإسلام دينًا), “a guidance unto those who ward off (evil).” (Surah Al-Baqara 2:2) A religion can be practiced in any civilization, by any peoples, including right here in the USA.

Samuel Huntington's teacher was evidently Bernard Lewis, as evidenced from their common re-semantification of the word “Islam”. This is how Huntington was able to demonize Islam: “The underlying problem for the West is not Islamic fundamentalism. It is
Islam,” and “These are the basic ingredient that fuel conflict between Islam and the West.” We have already witnessed the passage above in which Samuel Huntington cited his Princeton University confrere Bernard Lewis as the author of *The Roots of Muslim Rage* and the first authority on the “Clash of Civilizations”. They incestuously reinforce each other rather well, don't they? Cass Sunstein, the other propagandist Harvard Law professor and President Obama's information tzar, referred to such incestuous self-reinforcements in his erudite paper on “Conspiracy Theories” in the more refined academic jargon, as “crippled epistemology”. I just call it for what it is: social engineering by the apprentices of Machiavelli to make the public mind.

As we perceptively observe, it is the diabolical misuse of language which first and foremost enables drafting a thesis like “Clash of Civilizations”. (See Prisoners of the Cave Chapter 9 which deconstructs Huntington's craftsmanship in more depth.) Such theses, made erudite and plausible sounding with the IVY League stamp, are thence crafted into simple propaganda to seed the Mighty Wurlitzer's many compositions. It is repeated ad nauseam thereafter.

Since Western people's point of reference is mainly Christianity where the common root word denotes everything, the people “Christians”, the religion “Christianity”, the civilization “Christendom”, even the God “Christ” – in fact everything that Bernard Lewis falsely and maliciously imputed to Islam on page 1 of his propaganda manual “Crisis of Islam” – the same kitchen sink linguistics devilishly attributed to Islam, repeatedly, makes it believable for the un-informed Western public.

Thus, maligning Islam before the un-informed masses becomes a child's play for the Mighty Wurlitzer. Effective propaganda is always targeted only at the ordinary un-informed peoples, “the crowd of simpletons and the credulous”, as examined in the report Manufacturing Dissent. Its core purpose is to control public behavior by instilling false beliefs.
And we can see its rich harvest not in just the 'United We Stand' against “militant Islam” and the unfettered “imperial mobilization” and “shock and “awe”, but in the Qur'an burning, Islam bashing, and other Islamophobic festivities of the ignorant people against Muslims. It is surely not a surprise then, that Islamophobia should have increased steadily in the United States and the West since 9/11. Islamophobia is only the desired and natural effect of the pro-paganda system of the Mighty Wurlitzer. Like the festering boil on the protesting bride's lip, it is only symptomatic of the real syphilis beneath the virtuous wedding gown.

This crucial analysis unarguably illustrates how imperial scholars incestuously reinforce each other in implanting the “doctrinal motivation” mentioned by Zbigniew Brzezinski as being necessary for “imperial mobilization”. It was pretty much the same protocol in the quest for Lebensraum of the Third Reich in yesteryear. At Nuremberg, the Nazi Party's chief philosopher, Alfred Rosenberg, was hanged for his mumbo jumbo. The third Reich's chief of propaganda, Reichminister of Propaganda and National Enlightenment, Dr. Joseph Goebbels, committed suicide after administering cyanide to his wife and six young children before the long arm of justice could wring his neck. Just thought I'd mention that in passing.

Such premeditated collateral damage to language, with the concomitant priming of doctrinal fuel for the long gestating mantras of “The Roots of Muslim Rage” years in advance of its catastrophic unveiling,
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is what so trivially enabled forging a bipartisan political consensus on the US foreign policy of aggression and invasion in the immediate aftermath of the shock effects of 9/11. The Patriot Act I was passed quickly without reading, and the entire United States Congress, save one member, gave its green light to invade Afghanistan. The mightiest and richest nation on earth patriotically savaged the poorest and weakest nation on earth in a broad political consensus. The American peoples 'United We Stand' saluting the flag, and motor car bumper stickers proudly proclaimed “We Support Our Troops”.

Please refer back to Zbigniew Brzezinski's quoted passages above to refresh your memory that he had shrewdly stated in 1996: “Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat.” The Grand Chessboard effectively blueprints the entire chain of causal linkages which have empirically transpired since 9/11, exactly as it was for Hitler's Mein Kampf.

Furthermore, also recall the previously quoted clairvoyant statements made by the so called Terrorism Study Group. These too lend prima facie evidence for how the Mighty Wurlitzer premeditatedly harnessed the 'searing' or 'molding' event of 9/11, the “new Pearl Harbor”, to successfully capitalize on the pre-implanted public myths of Islamofascism to launch the perpetual “War on Terror”. For, in all that confusion surrounding the event of Catastrophic Terrorism, fait accompli of the despotic response by the sole superpower was automatically seeded because “Like Pearl Harbor, such an event [divides] our past and future into a ‘before’ and ‘after.’” Now anything goes because “what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times”, including launching aggressive wars against innocent nations, and turning one's own nation into a police-state. Ex post facto, print all about it in the New York Times!

Thus, also recall the previously mentioned chutzpah of their mea culpae, ex post facto, led by the Iraq Study Group in 2005 blaming
“intelligence failure” for the missing WMDs in Iraq, and the New York Times in 2008 blaming the Pentagon, see Pentagon's Message Machine Behind TV Analysts, Pentagon’s Hidden Hand, April 20, 2008. More such revelations will continue to occur as world government is incrementally cemented. Someday, even sixth graders will learn about it with a tad more honesty than the public is permitted to know today, just as school children candidly learn today about the genocide of the native American Indians on their own land.

What had appeared to casual observers who had been interested enough to read this stuff before the events of 9/11, to be only academic psychoanalyses of the American public, became the actual reality of “imperial mobilization” exactly as was so boldly foretold in these public writings.

It is also useful to recall at this point that the US Chief prosecuting counsel at Nuremberg, Robert H. Jackson, had declared on hearing the feigned protestations from the Nazi leadership on trial that they didn't know anything about Hitler's plans for Lebensraum:

“The plans of Adolf Hitler for aggression were just as secret as Mein Kampf, of which over six million copies were published in Germany” --- Justice Robert H. Jackson in his closing speech at Nuremberg, on Friday, 7/26/1946, Morning Session, Part 3, Trial of the Major War Criminals before the International Military Tribunal

Thus, Islamophobia steadily rising even in the tenth year of the catastrophic terrorism of 9/11 as documented by CAIR and the University of California, Berkeley, is a direct descendent of the Dynamics of Mantra Creation for “Islamofascism”. One can no more describe the effects of Islamophobia without also describing its first cause, the American Mein Kampfs written by Jewish hands in Muslim blood to launch “imperial mobilization”, than one can describe the color of a tree without describing its first cause, the DNA of the tree.
Don't these scholars know their own literature? Can't they judge motivation? Can they not add two plus two to equal four? Will they also brazenly feign on their own day of reckoning that they were just highly paid ignorant morons unaware of the new *Mein Kampf* rather than the learned scholars they are now presented to be?

Only vulgar propagandists and traffickers in truth will hide the causal linkages between pre-planned doctrines and the unfolding reality. That is a crime against the people! And only fools and useful idiots among them will pretend to not understand that crime. And that is the overarching success of the Mighty Wurlitzer. The myth of militant Islam has been successfully cast into perceived reality for the public.

**The Hegelian Dialectic Militant Islam vs. Moderate Islam**

The preceding success of the Mighty Wurlitzer effectively enables introducing the Hegelian Dialectic of “moderate Islam”.

Once demonized sufficiently with “militant Islam” and “islamofascism”, with “Islamophobia” sufficiently priming the public, the new propaganda slogan automatically becomes: **we want to “reform Islam” for a more “moderate Islam”!**

To mobilize this new devil like the previous one for “militant Islam” also requires the same **“high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.”** as perceptively observed by Zbigniew Brzezinski in The Grand Chessboard. Please refer back to the full quoted excerpt above to remind yourself of this fact.

Thus new comparable works of “doctrinal motivation” become available preaching “moderate Islam”. These works and writings started appearing immediately in the aftermath of 9/11 with learned Muslim clerics making loud proclamations against “militant Islam” and speaking of “good Muslims” vs. “bad Muslims” (see interview Shaykh Hamza Yusuf Hanson, San Jose Mercury News, Sunday Edition, September 16, 2001, cached). Clerics most faithfully echoing the core
message of empire are immediately invited to the White House and to the Presidential Address in Congress by President George W. Bush Jr. and seated with Laura Bush and Tony Blair for dutifully speaking out against “Militant Islam” (watch CSPAN Presidential Address, September 20, 2001, see video image of Shaykh Hamza Yusuf with Laura Bush, Tony Blair, Donald Rumsfeld offering standing ovation to George W. Bush's pending invasions of Muslim nations along with the rest of United States Congress). Religious fatwas are issued against “militant Islam” and terrorism by “moderate” clerics in favor of “moderate Islam” (see Response to the Fatwa on Terrorism in the Service of Empire, this photograph reveals the fatwa granting cleric Tahir ul Qadri prominently seated and speaking at the World Economic Forum).
Caption Shaykh Hamza Yusuf Hanson, a fiery Muslim cleric from San Jose, California, convert from Christianity, founder of Zaytuna College in Berkeley to teach “moderate Islam” to American Muslims, attending George W. Bush’s presidential address to US Congress on September 20, 2001, seated immediately behind British Prime Minister Tony Blair, American First Lady Laura Bush, and American Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, giving standing ovation to the American president’s announcement of perpetual war on “militant Islam” (Photograph source CSPAN). How does a convert Muslim cleric get such rapid security clearance that within just 9 days of the most catastrophic terrorism on America’s soil, he is seated with the most powerful rulers of the world – and applauding their waging of barbaric wars upon Muslim nations? Only a long cultivated intelligence asset of the Mighty Wurlitzer for cognitive infiltration of the American and Western Muslim Mind! That manufactured product, in 2012 was graciously anointed 42nd among “The World's 500 Most Influential Muslims”, two places ahead of even Seyyed Hossein Nasr, the prolific Muslim scholar at George Town University, by some idiotic think-tank setup among Muslims as their House Nigger drum-beater for the Mighty Wurlitzer.
Caption Pakistani *house niggers* Imran Khan and Tahir ul Qadri seated at the *massa's* table at the Western financial super elite's World Economic Forum annual meeting in Davos, Switzerland, January 27, 2011. (Photograph source: a reader submission) *How did these two political “no-ops” of least significance get invited to world economic forum for the white man's recognition? They are neither financiers, nor industrialists, and nor do they hold any economic or financial ministerial position within the government of Pakistan. Yes, as Western intelligence assets managed by their local counterpart, both are being rewarded for selling the massa's pitch on “moderate Islam” (even in their occasional controlled dissent with the Pakistani establishment which is most dutifully towing the massa's full line on “militant Islam”). And Tahir ul Qadri specifically for his “600 page Fatwa on Terrorism”. Both house niggers artfully retain the core axioms of massa on “militant Islam” to continually push the envelope of the Hegelian Dialectic forward as a self-fulfilling prophecy!*

And the same three ring circus is masterfully conducted by the Mighty Wurlitzer with the “moderate Islam” show added to play concurrently in the same broad arena with many other side shows (switching metaphor for appropriateness). The crucial difference in this instance however is that it is seemingly staged by “reform minded”, progressive, as well as conservative Muslims themselves. Sophisticated and scholarly looking Muslim intellectuals are recruited for this purpose from across the intellectual spectrum (see FAQ What is an Intellectual Negro?).

Muslim bookstores prominently feature the “reform Islam” authors' works with glowing tributes: “This is the first edition of the Quran translated by an American woman. This modern, inclusive translation
refutes past translations that have been used to justify violence against women.” (see Kazi Publications, frontpage cached). Please refer to Critique: Laleh Bakhtiar and The Sublime Quran where the following commonsense is noted with respect to the preceding statement:

'It is your grave misconception that Muslims beat their wives because the Holy Qur'an gives them permission to beat their wives. Muslims also kill their wives, do honor killings of their children and family members, and a thousand other grotesque and equally criminal things in Muslim societies – and the Holy Qur'an strictly forbids it all.

And Muslims do no more horrendous acts than the pious Western Christians and holy Western Jews who commit the most heinous crimes, and monumental crimes against humanity which are on-going even as I write this. The white man today is calculatingly killing and raping far more Muslim women on a daily basis with “shock and awe”, drone attacks, military occupation, to the thunderous silence of Western champions of human rights than any Muslims assaulting their wives in domestic quarrels because of 4:34. But of course it is Islam which needs to be reformed first with a new translation of the Holy Qur'an. Daniel Pipes must be feeling rather pleased with himself for this fortuitous gift.' --- Zahir Ebrahim in his letter of critique to Laleh Bakhtiar

It is evidently more effective if respectable looking mainstream Muslims themselves appear to drive the demand to “bring reform to Islam” for “moderate Islam” rather than Jews like Daniel Pipes, David Horowitz, Bernard Lewis, the late Samuel Huntington, the neo-cons at AIPAC, JINSA. AEI; Christians like the 700 Club, Quran burning pastor of the Church in Florida whose book on Islam is pictured
above; the White House, the Pentagon; the think-tanks; the Western courts, et. al., appear to be driving it. The synergistic WWF wrestling matches however always only collect windfall profits for the same root promoter.

The revealing thing to observe here is the intriguing background of some of the most prominent among these “moderate Islam” shrill voices in America. They are often converts to Islam from Christian heritage and have become self-taught scholars of Islam in America with imposing command of Arabic. The loud mouth striving to “bring reform to Islam” by writing an entirely new English translation of the Holy Qur'an no less, titled The Sublime Quran (see image above), grew up as a Catholic of mixed Iranian-American parentage. She is Laleh Bakhtiar, Ph.D. in Education Psychology. As a linguist in Arabic and English, she employs the same re-semantification of the word “Islam” as Bernard Lewis and Samuel Huntington when she pitches “bring reform to Islam”! In the Critique: Laleh Bakhtiar and The Sublime Quran:

'You surely could not have meant 'reform the religion of Islam' for which the Holy Qur'an stated:

| This day have I perfected for you your religion and completed My favor on you and chosen for you Islam as a religion; Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Maeda verse fragment 5:3 |
| أَنْتُمْ أَكْلَمْتُ لَكُمْ دِينَكُمْ وَأَكْمَلْتُ عَلَيْكُمْ نَعْمَتَيْنِ وَرَضِيتُ لَكُمْ الإِسْلَامَ دِينًا |

You are going to reform what Allah [perfected]?

You surely must have meant to say 'reform the misunderstandings among the Muslims regarding Islam.'

Then why not just say exactly what you mean?

Does the statement “bring reform to Islam” mean the same thing as 'bring reform to Muslims' to a gram-
Zahir Ebrahim

And the loudest mouth decrying “militant Islam” from the first day of 9/11 is of course Hamza Yusuf, convert to Islam from Orthodox Christianity. He was studying to be a male nurse in Santa Clara California where I knew him in the 1980s giving fiery Friday sermons to the delight of the pious Muslim worshipers, before he conferred upon himself the lofty honorific of “Shaykh” in the 1990s and started his own institute to teach “moderate Islam” to Americans. Called the Zaytuna Institute, now Zaytuna College in Berkeley. He is well respected among many American Muslims who swear by his scholarship with an almost cult like faith – the “moderate Islam”. He has acquired international fame for his oratory and his command of the arcane in the Muslim writings of antiquity so revered by the majority of Muslims. He told the UK Guardian's Jack O'Sullivan in an article titled: 'If you hate the west, emigrate to a Muslim country', October 08, 2001:

"Many Muslims seem to be in deep denial about what has happened," he says. "They are coming up with different conspiracy theories and don't entertain the real possibility that it was indeed Muslims who did this. Yet we do have people within our ranks who have reached that level of hatred and misguidance."

Jack O'Sullivan introduced Hamza Yusuf in the lede to his aforementioned article with this description:

'Hamza Yusuf is arguably the west's most influential Islamic scholar. Many Muslims find his views hard to stomach, but he is advising the White House on the current crisis, and today he will be talking to religious leaders in the UK'.

As respected Muslim opinion makers bearing exactly the right credentials to appeal to their respective Muslim constituencies, they make
great useful idiots and/or assets for this Hegelian Dialectic just like their “militant Islam” counterparts, whether or not they are themselves aware of it. It is no different than the suicide bombers recruited for “militant Islam” and being handled by local intelligence handlers who themselves deeply believe in their divine mission quite oblivious to the reality that they are dancing to the Mighty Wurlitzer's tune. Unless of course, also like many of their counterparts in the theater of “militant Islam”, they too were psychologically profiled and directly recruited as controlled sleeper assets of the Mighty Wurlitzer a long time ago for later harvesting.

Empiricism has the bad habit of revealing the obvious. It is especially pertinent to observe how this Hamza Yusuf character immediately sprung into prominent action as if on cue in the immediate aftermath of 9/11. When the rest of American news media was blaming “militant Islam” within 15 minutes of 9/11, Hamza Yusuf managed to get his interview published in the San Jose Mercury News in the very first Sunday's edition after 9/11, September 16, 2001, condemning “militant Islam” with pious indignation. And on September 20, 2001 was in the White House, and seated next to Laura Bush in Congress. And thereafter meeting British leaders selling the empire's story to Muslims in Britain.

No Trojan Horse agent of the Mighty Wurlitzer could have done more than Hamza Yusuf did – contribute directly to build consensus for invading Afghanistan and the 'War on Terror' by driving it from the angle of “moderate Islam”.

It is no accident that each and every prominent proponent of “moderate Islam” and “reform Islam” also promulgates that 9/11 was done by “militant Islam” echoing the core-axiom of empire!

And this is precisely what betrays them, the fact that they are running with the foxes while hunting with the hounds. Otherwise the Hegelian Dialectic would not work!

The message to their own flock is simple but effective, drawn right
from Edward Bernays text book on Propaganda quoted at the very beginning of this report, and Hitler's Mein Kampf. Just as Dr. Joseph Goebbels had a very simple message for coralling the Germans, these Muslim leaders have an equally simple message for their flock adapted from empire's singular core-axiom. First, in order to refresh one's memory, this is what is reported in Mein Kampf:

'The success of any advertisement, whether of a business or political nature, depends on the consistency and perseverance with which it is employed.

In this respect also the propaganda organized by our enemies set us an excellent example.

It confined itself to a few themes, which were meant exclusively for mass consumption, and it repeated these themes with untiring perseverance.

Once these fundamental themes and the manner of placing them before the world were recognized as effective, they adhered to them without the slightest alteration for the whole duration of the War.

At first all of it appeared to be idiotic in its impudent assertiveness. Later on it was looked upon as disturbing, but finally it was believed.

But in England they came to understand something further: namely, that the possibility of success in the use of this spiritual weapon consists in the mass employment of it, and that when employed in this way it brings full returns for the large expenses incurred.

In England propaganda was regarded as a weapon of the first order, whereas with us it represented the last hope of a livelihood for our unemployed politicians and a snug job for shirkers of the modest hero type. ...

I learned something that was important at that time,
namely, to snatch from the hands of the enemy the weapons which he was using in his reply. I soon noticed that our adversaries, especially in the persons of those who led the discussion against us, were furnished with a definite repertoire of arguments out of which they took points against our claims which were being constantly repeated.

The uniform character of this mode of procedure pointed to a systematic and unified training.

And so we were able to recognize the incredible way in which the enemy's propagandists had been disciplined, and I am proud to-day that I discovered a means not only of making this propaganda ineffective but of beating the artificers of it at their own work. Two years later I was master of that art.' [Mein Kampf, Adolph Hitler, Vol. 2, Chapter VI]

Now compare to what is repeated ad nauseam and with great consistency from virtually every “good” Muslim mosque pulpit and from every “good” Muslim institutional soapbox including the most prominent American Muslim civil rights organization CAIR noted earlier, each using their own diction of course to inflict precisely the following Propaganda for “moderate Islam”:

- it was “militant Islam” which is responsible for 9/11 attacks,
- these are the “bad” Muslims, we are the “good” Muslims, we don't do terrorism,
- we must fight terrorism,
- we must support our government to fight the militants,
- and we must practice “moderate Islam” which is the true Islam,
- our blessed Prophet was a “moderate”,
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• he did not kill innocent peoples,
• the Qur'an forbids killing innocent people. --- Propaganda message of “moderate Islam”

Consequently, religion-based as well as secular-based voices of “moderate Islam”, the lofty bearers of this propaganda feast for the “the crowd of simpletons and the credulous”, are immediately effective in corralling the majority of “good” Muslims. They span the full gamut of persuasions from conservatives (Hamza Yusuf et. al.) to reform oriented progressives and seculars (CAIR et. al., Laleh Bakhtiar et. al.). All “good” Muslims end up “United We Stand” with the empire in its perpetual war against “militant Islam” following their respective pied pipers. This propaganda transcends the sectarian divide among the “good” Muslims in the West. This is the dominant characteristic of the vast majority of the 'United We Stand' mainstream Muslims.

To draw upon empiricism to validate, observe the “good Muslims” inextricably caught in this Hegelian Dialectic in Muslims against Terrorism (frontpage cached), and watch the rich and famous make Proud to be American Muslims videos to distance themselves from “militant Islam”. Joseph Goebbels would be immensely proud of his legatees. At the peak of hubris, Sieg Heil is the only reality!

The few angry Muslims escaping Sieg Heil like the rest of the few angry citizens, but still caught in the Hegelian Dialectic are corralled by the controlled dissent-space anxiously waiting to welcome them. See Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent below.

The controlled dissent is run very efficiently on a treadmill permitting the angry Muslims along with the rest of the Western public to vent their lungs out shouting in the streets, and their fingers out typing on the internet, before they return back to their jobs Monday morning feeling fresh from the weekend catharsis. The too angry among them who are not so easily placated by “weekend jihad” soon acquire the label “bad” or “terrorist”. There is no escape for them so long as they remain caught in the Hegelian Dialectic.
Please go back a little to the Guardian interview with Hamza Yusuf quoted above and observe the uncanny exactness in the wording which almost mirrors the New York Times' anointing Noam Chomsky. Between “[Noam Chomsky is] arguably the most important intellectual alive” (New York Times) driving the Left, and “Hamza Yusuf is arguably the west's most influential Islamic scholar” (Guardian) driving the Muslim Right, both proclaiming “militant Islam” attacked America on 9/11 in great synergy with the White House and the Pentagon, the field is covered.

One heads the manufacturing dissent factory catching those who escape the Hegelian Dialectic of “militant Islam” vs. “moderate Islam”, the other heads the manufacturing consent factory for “moderate Islam” against “militant Islam” beating the imperial drums.

Where you gonna go?

Those few who eventually wizen up to it all and fearlessly exit that Hegelian Dialectic altogether are now attempted to be corralled in warmly welcoming “conspiracy” groups strategically cultivated for exactly this purpose as part of “imperial mobilization” planning. As Cass Sunstein put it in “Conspiracy Theories”, these groups lend “beneficial cognitive diversity” to aid statecraft defocus all the angry energies.

If the Hegelian Dialectic didn't get all the morally angry people as it did the vast majority of the public diabolically trapped between the false paradigm of “militant Islam” vs. “moderate Islam” and controlled dissent all sharing the empire's core-axioms, this trap catches the remaining majority. Watch how the most intelligent among this lot soon find themselves in the 9/11 Truth Movement. See Toronto Hearings: A strange cast of characters among 9/11 Truth Leadership.

That treadmill is strategically designed to occupy the remaining morally angry people studying 9/11 mysteries and how the WTC towers came down repeatedly calling for “new investigations”. The “history's actors” of course, unbeknownst to these bright lads, have already an-
nounced that this is precisely what they shall all be kept busy with: “We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.” In the meantime, the “history's actors” have acted again and created “new realities”.

There is no exit from that trap either so long as one is kept occupied with the previous fait accompli leaving the “history's actors” free to enact new ones!

The aforementioned set of comprehensive fly traps pretty much ensnare what appears to this scribe to be close to ninety nine percent of the nation's citizenry. About the remaining odd percent (or two), Adolph Hitler had observed in his Mein Kampf: “the value of these [skeptics] lies in their intelligence and not in their numerical strength.”! No one pays any attention to them whatsoever. If they speak, they are first ignored, then reviled, and then made an offer they can't refuse. As part of “imperial mobilization” planning, statecraft ensured via the Patriot Acts, police state laws, “no fly lists”, etc., that there remained no effective means for ordinary citizens to ever effectively mobilize themselves together on a single focussed goal of derailing “imperial mobilization” and therefore pose any threat whatsoever to their plans.

From the propaganda of Islamofascism to domestic police state was one short jump in this slick game of “imperial mobilization”.

The exercise of primacy always is. And the role of the Mighty Wurlitzer, as we can now appreciate, is indispensable across the entire spectrum of social engineering to get people to consent to what is happening to them! Please refer back to the statements made by Aldous Huxley in his talk in 1961 quoted above: 'Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude!'
The perpetual ‘war on terror’ is not mere happenstance and over-reaction to catastrophic terrorism as some of empire's leading detractors too *innocent of knowledge* gullibly argue. The evidence presented here demonstrates it to be diabolically premeditated in no less a measure than the Third Reich's march to *Lebensraum* after the full disclosure of their intent in Mein Kampf. In both cases, the public had to be mobilized since “*Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.*” In our case, *Lebensraum* is world government, and as reasoned by Bertrand Russell, “*World government could only be kept in being by force.*” (Bertrand Russell, Impact of Science on Society Ch. 2, pg. 37)

The Hegelian Dialectic Militant Islam vs. Moderate Islam will surely go down in history as among the greatest enablers of war, rivaling and perhaps surpassing both Communism vs. Fascism and Communism vs. Capitalism of the twentieth century. It is their legatee for the twenty-first century. As previously noted, it is already called “World War IV”. The blood stains accumulated on all the saintly hands enabling it, as of those prosecuting it, won't be cleansed by all the perfumes of Arabia while they sleep holily in bed! (Shakespeare MacBeth) Fortunate are those who at least experience PTSD and can't sleep holily in bed (see Zahir Ebrahim, Letter: A Cure for America’s War Veterans who have fertilized the 'arc of crisis' in Muslim blood).

The Hegelian Dialectic Militant Islam vs. Moderate Islam however is still designed to play a multifaceted role beyond the prima facie one of each of its individual components.

The mantra of “reform Islam” is the more pernicious of the two. While “militant Islam” has seditiously enabled police states in the West which all can experience themselves without having to read about it, “moderate Islam” is intended to enable the new world religion for these police states which few among the public are able to apprehend just yet.

Many useful idiots who play their role like actors on stage, some believing in the promise of “moderate Islam”, have little understanding
of the entire show, their script only being for Act II. Act I was obviously “militant Islam” in this Hegelian Dialectic.

Acts III and IV which are coming up next after the intermission for which the stage is now being set, is to harvest the calculated subversion of all established religions, specifically the religion of Islam, to pave the way for the introduction of Secular Humanism – the new religion of world Government (see Zahir Ebrahim, Islam vs. Secular Humanism and World Government).

**Full Spectrum Primacy** is the underpinning of all power calculus. Be it of the State, just the full title of Zbigniew Brzezinski's aforementioned book betrays what's already obvious: “The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives”. Or be it of the controlling oligarchy, which is also already obvious, and for which books upon books of respected establishmentarian scholars like Professor Carroll Quigley's “Tragedy and Hope”, openly disclose their overarching agenda being world government (see Zahir Ebrahim, Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order).

Empiricism confirms these facts.

**The Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent**

Having now perceptively understood the subtle, almost undetectably precise imperial craftsmanship of Bernard Lewis et. al., which forms the crucial seed for implanting the “doctrinal motivation and intellectual commitment” necessary for sustaining “imperial mobilization” via the Hegelian Dialectic “militant Islam” vs. “moderate Islam”, it should not be surprising to discover that even the steward of public conscience for the West, Noam Chomsky, judged Bernard Lewis to be “just a vulgar propagandist”!

In a revealing interview on CBC, at just about that time:

'... now, until Bernard Lewis tells us that, and that's only one piece of a long story, we know that
he is just a vulgar propagandist and not a scholar. So yes, as long as we are supporting harsh brutal governments, blocking democracy and development, because of our interest in controlling the oil resources in the region, there will be a campaign of hatred against us!'' --- Interview to Evan Solomon, CBC, part-2, minute 5:50, December 9, 2003,

But in furthering our forensic and critical study of the Dynamics of Mantra Creation solely on the anvil of empirical political science, it is even more instructional to observe the omissions and commissions in Noam Chomsky's own vaunted dissent as “arguably the most important intellectual alive” (NYT). The disease of deception is evidently infectious among that clan.

Noam Chomsky himself continued to echo from the very day of September 11, 2001, and still maintains so in this tenth year of 9/11, that Osama Bin Laden and Al Qaeda carried out that day of infamy upon which all matters 'War on Terror' hinge!

Thus, strangely enough, despite all his famous dissent, Noam Chomsky has exactly managed to echo Bernard Lewis', Samuel Huntington's, the Pentagon's, the White House's, the incumbent as well as all living former presidents of the sole superpower, the Israelis', and the world Zionists' collective mantra of “Islamofascists” being the perpetrators of 9/11.

Noam Chomsky is of course, also the most outspoken champion of Wikileaks in his otherwise erudite disagreements with his opposite numbers in the establishment.

All this public fracas of dissent against the establishment is somewhat akin to the American and Russian spies strategically collaborating with each other despite their often antagonist tactical missions, for the greater common good of the military-industrial complexes of both nations during the Cold War. When we perceptively read the works of Anthony Sutton, Carroll Quigley, and W. Cleon Skousen, it becomes
obvious that the uber-capitalists and the uber-communists where in fact covertly collaborating at crucial core nexuses despite all their overt *WWF wrestling* style public antagonisms. Both serving the interests of the same financiers. In other words, at the highest levels of social control, there is evidently no difference of overarching agendas among its seemingly antagonistic players, each one of them merely playing a theatrical public role. Shakespeare aptly dramatized it in *As you like it*:

>'All the world's a stage,
And all the men and women merely players:
They have their exits and their entrances;
And one man in his time plays many parts,
His acts being seven ages.'

It should now be self-evident that Bernard Lewis and Noam Chomsky together, while seemingly cogent opposites, in fact represent the class of counterpoint tunes of the Mighty Wurlitzer which nicely bookend all public discourse between the artificial bifurcation of Right and Left, Conservative and Liberal, Establishmentarian and Rebel, Totalitarian and Anarchist, Consent and Dissent. It is the two antipodes of a fabricated Hegelian Dialectic to respectively engineer both consent and dissent in order to sustain “imperial mobilization”.

Noam Chomsky himself argues the veracity of this observation in his own erudite manner:

>'The smart way to keep people passive and obedient is to strictly limit the spectrum of acceptable opinion, but allow very lively debate within that spectrum - even encourage the more critical and dissident views. That gives people the sense that there's free thinking going on, while all the time the presuppositions of the system are being reinforced by the limits put on the range of the debate.'
and yet, he just as willingly participates in it.

Thus, observe that Chomsky too echoes that there is a 'Muslim Rage', but instead of it being deemed “irrational” like Bernard Lewis posits in his “vulgar propagandist” scholarship, Noam Chomsky calls it a rational rage, a “blowback” to American foreign policy and the history of American political aggression! See Chomsky's money minting booklet “911” by Seven Stories Press; and how it was cobbled together in “The Closet Capitalist”, where the Hoover Institution critic observed: “Chomsky’s marketing efforts shortly after September 11 give new meaning to the term war profiteer. In the days after the tragedy, he raised his speaking fee from $9,000 to $12,000 because he was suddenly in greater demand.”

While dissent which retains the core-lies of empire when vehemently critiquing its effects is typical of all prominent controlled assets, in this instance of “arguably the most important intellectual alive”, it would perhaps be more apropos to give it the same epithet that Noam Chomsky anointed Bernard Lewis with. Just to call a spade a spade – and no more.

Who else echoes that same “vulgar propagandist's” core-lie of empire, of 9/11 being invasion from abroad and the work of “militant Islam”, in deep consonance with Bernard Lewis, the Pentagon, the White House, and the neo-con think-tankers? Surprise, surprise, it is the other patron saint of latter day dissent, Congressman Ron Paul, echoing exactly Noam Chomsky's theme of 9/11 being a “blowback” by mal-content Muslims. Ron Paul's absurdities are dismantled in My beef with the stellar congressman Hon. Ron Paul.

As a Muslim, I hope I might be forgiven if I observe some ground floor reality check to put all this specious “blowback” in hegemonic context. I don't see any such naturally percolating “blowback” rage in any significant tenor in any Muslim country despite what the white man's burden has done to us worldwide, except perhaps in the three nations militarily occupied by Israel and the United States today,
Palestine, Iraq, and Afghanistan. Even there, all I see are some manufactured “insurgents” being “tickled” into expressing a manufactured rage on demand. This is deconstructed in great detail in the two comprehensive reports Manufacturing Dissent and Insurgency vs. Counter-Insurgency (http://tinyurl.com/what-is-insurgency).

Suffice it to expose here this sham of “blowback” very briefly. The Director of the CIA, Michael Hayden, openly expressed the empire's modus operandi of “tickling” terrorists into existence thusly: “We use military operations to excite the enemy, prompting him to respond. In that response we learn so much”. When the poor victims and their unfortunate survivors are thus sufficiently “tickled” with the inconsolable loss of their loved ones under the world's mightiest superpower's barbaric “shock and awe”, they become prime harvest for empire's other long running mantra, “God is on your side” (where God changes sides at will as expedient – see Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization for details).

That harvest of malcontents is managed by local intelligence handlers and the Pentagon's Black-ops, to steer the “tickled” patsies natural lust for justified revenge on the aggressors onto pre-selected local targets. The US Army field manual cited above is apropos to quote once again as a reminder that this is indeed how the world of hegemony actually works and this report on the Mighty Wurlitzer isn't a James Bamford novel: “US Army Intelligence must have the means of launching special operations which will convince host country governments and public opinion of the reality of the insurgent danger ... US Army Intelligence should seek to penetrate the insurgency by means of agents of special assignments, with the task of forming special action groups among the most radical elements of the insurgency.”

Running false-flag operations in this way by setting up diversionary suicidal patsies harvested from “among the most radical elements of the insurgency”, while the more precision oriented lethal hit is handled covertly by the skilled Black-Ops, becomes a breeze. This is of course the empiricism of all major assassinations of political lead-
ers worldwide – compartmentalized disposable patsies independently working on narrow tasks for a common boss, often unbeknownst to each other. That is the prime modus operandi to fabricate the terrorist acts – called “insurgency”. That's the sum total of the “blowback” of vaunted dissent narrators like Ron Paul and Noam Chomsky, and the new dissent chiefs like Paul Craig Roberts who, after having his fill of 900 mice, now wants to lead the mice against the primacy of the feline predators (see Zahir Ebrahim, Rebuttal to Paul Craig Roberts': 'Washington Arrogance has Fomented a Muslim Revolution').

Once the “insurgency” is crafted, the organs of state, the military, the police, the intelligentsia, the media, the pundits, all across the world all naturally focus on the visible terrorist act of these patsies and their poor victims! Since that is all that the public is permitted to see by the Mighty Wurlitzer, the empire's next move is naturally sanctioned. That is called “counter-insurgency”. Some call it invasion and occupation. That is also the mechanism of the super-terrorism of 9/11 in a nutshell – diversionary tactics employed as shadow play which was propagandized by the Mighty Wurlitzer worldwide, while the WTC towers were expertly and with military precision brought down with controlled demolition of some kind. The intimate dynamics of mid-wifery between insurgency and counter-insurgency can sustain “imperial mobilization” indefinitely!

See Zahir Ebrahim: Between Imperial Mobilization and Islamofascism and FAQ: What is an Intellectual Negro for the role played by the villainous Muslim House Negroes in promoting the hectoring hegemons' own propaganda, vs. the pathetic ground realities of the Muslim masses, the so called “revolutionaries”, in the Preface to Prisoners of the Cave, all very patiently waiting for Allah for deliverance!
Mining Propaganda to Uncover Agenda BEFORE it is a Fait Accompli

Returning back to the “vulgar propagandist” (I keep that epithet in quotes deliberately to emphasize the fact that apart from its veracity, it’s also the product of WWF wrestling), we already see the empirical results of the uncannily predictive clairvoyance of America's and Israel's greatest establishmentarians concerning 'Islamic Terror' which goes by many names including “blowback”.

The fate of Afghanistan, Iraq, Pakistan, the entire Middle East, the “arc of crisis” and the “global zone of percolating violence”, all have something real in common today because of such amazing fortune telling by the masters of discourse years in advance: “the peoples of Islam will be the first and greatest victims.” (see Bernard Lewis quoted above) Please refer back to the already mentioned report: Instrumenting Kosovo in the 'arc of crisis' and the 'global zone of percolating violence'.

So, ought the public to take the superlative masters of discourse and the assorted “vulgar propagandist” a tad more seriously when they initially spew new absurdities en route to successful mantra creation years in advance? And, before its eventual harvesting under the cataclysmic shock-effects of the “new pearl harbor”, makes their predictive boast: “They will not be alone, and many others will suffer with them”, an unalterable grotesque reality du jour?

One of course already observes some of that Bernard Lewis' sponsored clairvoyant suffering of the innocent in America itself. While its own body-count is minuscule in comparison to Iraq, Afghanistan, Pakistan, others experiencing 'revolutions' and 'democracy' in the Middle East, not to mention PTSD suffered by its veterans which too pales in comparison to what the valiant have wrecked upon the 'untermenschen' (see Letter to Editor: PTSD and its Cure), one with eyes wide open substantially notes that:
the American national debt is soaring because of its perpetual 'War on Terror' and the financial malfeasance of its financial elite;

its bankruptcies and joblessness have shattered the 'American Dream' of its public;

its de-industrialization by having off-shored all its manufacturing and production capacity is at an all time peak;

the mighty superpower is now a police-state the likes of which was hitherto only presaged in fictional narratives like George Orwell's 1984;

and the once mighty industrial nation may be merged into a larger supra-national regional entity similar to the EU as a consequence of all these crises conditions.

Can one therefore, perceptively not surmise that the deceased Ali Baba's replacement nemesis will be a *Super Ali Baba Plus Plus* to complete the job started by Zbigniew Brzezinski and Israeli Intelligence agents' clairvoyance? What can this new threat possibly be that it will even eclipse Osama Bin Laden in his magical prowess?

Can the public shrewdly anticipate and prepare for the next boogeyman based on the tunes now being played by the Mighty Wurlitzer, rather than be shell-shocked into acquiescence by its phantasmic unveiling? Just as the world was, and still is, shell-shocked into acquiescence due to the Catastrophic Terrorism of 9/11 which, like the Pearl Harbor, inevitably divided our past and our future into ‘before’ and ‘after’.

One often hears it stated in the news and in the Western governments' increasingly draconian regulations to keep their public safe from terrorists, that 9/11 changed everything. Well, the super 9/11 of the Super Ali Baba Plus Plus so clairvoyantly predicted by George W. Bush, “*will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison*” (Bush White House, Feb. 13, 2008)! 
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Can one intelligently not data-mine propaganda itself, in the backdrop of the Mighty Wurlitzer's unhidden motivations and agendas, to accurately perceive and preempt what's up next?

The following passage from the 2500 years old Art of War is pertinent backdrop to the aforementioned chutzpah of empire – a zeitgeist in which the scholars of empire announce their intentions brazenly years in advance, while the detractors of empire excel in the ex post facto narrations of what is already a fait accompli after the “history's actors” have acted and created “new realities”. The instruments of empire award their own antagonists high honors and great accolades for their bold rehearsal and dissection of histories amidst the fawning adulation of all their followers having their new 'ah hah' and 'never again' moments for the first time in their life. And the cycle repeats again and again for each new act of the “history's actors”:

'8. To see victory only when it is within the ken of the common herd is not the acme of excellence.

9. Neither is it the acme of excellence if you fight and conquer and the whole Empire says, "Well done!"

10. To lift an autumn hair is no sign of great strength; to see the sun and moon is no sign of sharp sight; to hear the noise of thunder is no sign of a quick ear.'

Paying particular attention to item 10., one may conclude that to perceive and anticipate in a timely manner that which is not obvious to others leads to many tactical as well as strategic advantages, both in the battle of hegemony and secrecy, and, in the battle against tyranny. Which is why the public and their lauded dissent chiefs are always, but always, kept busy in idiotic puppetshows by the Machiavelli when it is most essential that they be shrewdly sighted.

Public preemption can be effective in derailing imperial mobilization only BEFORE it becomes a fait accompli. Ex post facto, when the public eventually wakes up to ascertain that it was indeed all a puppetshow, it is inevitably too late to do anything about the matter ex-
cept to “study” what the “history's actors” have left behind! Obsessing with the previous fait accompli when dissent chiefs lead the effort, evidently, is also a calculated part of Machiavelli. The principle of temporal urgency in maintaining utmost deception (and secrecy) while “new realities” are being planned, orchestrated, and harvested, was articulated by Niccolò Machiavelli in The Prince. The modern day version of this predatory statecraft is the National Security Council Directive NSC 10/2 for creating cover stories and red herrings alongside covert operations. See Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory (http://tinyurl.com/cognitive-diversity).

As part of that plan to deflect public attention, those attempting to see through its fog of deception when a fait accompli can still be averted – before missiles have left their silos, before pen has been put to tortuous legalisms to sanction tyranny – are variously labeled as 'kooks', 'conspiracy theorists', 'delusional', 'denier', etc., their efforts infiltrated and subverted (as in cointelpro), and their energies defocussed by introducing what's cynically called “beneficial cognitive diversity” (see Cass Sunstein, and this counterpoint to dissent-chief David Ray Griffin's “eureka” moment on Cass Sunstein's “Conspiracy Theories”).
So What's Next according to Project Humanbeingsfirst?

Caption The Next Global Threat? A Super Ali Baba Plus Plus arriving in UFOs to terrify all mankind into uniting under the rule of one-world government? (The U.S. Air Force first began experimenting with flying saucers in the 1950s. Why - to deceive the former USSR, or, to induce global mass panic? See Hadley Cantril)

The Alien-UFO Agenda is one such future fait accompli in the works which can still be averted by the public becoming rationally informed about the demonic art of the Mighty Wurlitzer. The fact that:
references to UFOs even made it into one of Wikileaks whistleblowing disclosures;

- the fact that the FBI recently made available a 1950 Roswell UFO memo lending “UFOs” a legitimacy in the gullible mind by way of it being held as a supposed “state-secret” for these past sixty years;

- the fact that US military is even playing war-games to interdict UFOs (USAF couldn't interdict 9/11 airplanes and are there-
fore determined, one surmises, to not fail against an alien UFO technology that is advanced enough to visit earth from another galaxy);

- the fact that there is a pertinent office at the United Nations, UNOOSA, with “the plan to make Unoosa the co-ordinating body for dealing with alien encounters [which] will be debated by UN scientific advisory committees and should eventually reach the body’s general assembly”, and a special UN Ambassador has been “tasked with co-ordinating humanity’s response if and when extraterrestrials make contact”;

- and the uptick in other bogus and absurd conversations on Aliens and UFO even appearing in the mainstream media, not to mention its unrelenting repetition in the so called “alternate media” and on the internet;

all indicate that its unveiling time is likely approaching near.

The reaction to this super nemesis too will surely also be launched with “either you are with us, or with the aliens” false dialectics!

If the public can preempt that propaganda by focussing on unraveling the many facets and scenarios on what they Machiavellianly plan to do BEFORE they enact them, the vile psy-ops can surely be defeated BEFORE it becomes the new established “facts” on the ground for the globalists' coup de grâce: the final restructuring of the planet into world government (http://tinyurl.com/ftworldgov).

In order to perceptively engage the sophisticated finesse behind all this psychological mind-fck before it becomes fait accompli, please see: Letter to Kerry Cassidy on the Alien-UFO Agenda and The Agenda Behind Aliens and UFOs - A Hegelian Mind-Fck Part-II (http://tinyurl.com/Aliens-UFOs).

**The Psychology of Fear**
In order to perceptively comprehend the psychological basis of such absurd and fabricated threats which instill existential fears:

- whose import to enabling “imperial mobilization” was clearly envisaged by Zbigniew Brzezinski in 1996 in *The Grand Chessboard*:

  “It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. **But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being.** The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. **Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.**” (pgs. 35-36);

- whose raison d'être as the primary method for advancing “*the "national interest" by means of organized violence*”, was taken as axiomatic in the 1963-64 secret study reported in the 1967 book *The Report From Iron Mountain*:

  “It must be emphasized that the precedence of a society's war-making potential over its other characteristics is not the result of the "threat" presumed to exist at any one time from other societies. This is the reverse of the basic situation; "threats" **against the "national interest" are usually created or accelerated to meet the changing needs of the war system.** ... The military, or ostensible function of the war system requires no elaboration; it serves simply
to defend or advance the "national interest" by means of organized violence. **It is often necessary for a national military establishment to create a need for its unique powers—to maintain the franchise, so to speak.** And a healthy military apparatus requires "exercise," by whatever rationale seems expedient, to prevent its atrophy.” (pgs. 31,33);

- whose utility for effectively embarking on the “military transformation” required to achieve “full spectrum dominance” that wasn't “stillborn”, was openly declared in the Project for the New American Century (PNAC) report titled *Rebuilding America's Defenses:*

  “Further, the process of transformation, even if it brings revolutionary change, is likely to be a long one, **absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event** – like a new Pearl Harbor. ... **Until the process of transformation is treated as an enduring military mission – worthy of constant allocation of dollars and forces – it will remain stillborn**” (pgs. 51,58);

- and whose necessity for rapidly transforming an entire society, nation, or the whole world, in the direction desired by the controlling oligarchy, was even discovered in the 1908 minute books of the Carnegie Endowment for Peace by the Congressional Reece Committee investigator Norman Dodd in 1954, and related by him in an interview before his death in 1982, *The Hidden Agenda of Tax Exempt Foundations for World Government:*

  (http://youtube.com/watch?v=16_4Sgluk4Q)

  “We are now at the year 1908, which was the year that the Carnegie Foundation began operations. In
that year, the trustees, meeting for the first time, raised a specific question, which they discussed throughout the balance of the year in a very learned fashion. The question is: “Is there any means known more effective than war, assuming you wish to alter the life of an entire people?” And they conclude that no more effective means than war to that end is known to humanity. So then, in 1909, they raised the second question and discussed it, namely: 'How do we involve the United States in a war?'

please refer to social engineering principles in Further Study for Operation Gladio, Edward Bernays, Human Resources, and The Prisoner television series fable of the 1960s on mind control. That topic was at the time clandestinely being experimented upon in secret military laboratories as in what was later revealed of the American version of it in CIA's MK ULTRA. The Prisoner fable uncannily captured the entire essence of behavior modification, from hard techniques of brain chemistry manipulation to soft techniques of Machiavelli and perception management. Especially watch episode “It's your funeral”. Also see Myth of the Cave in Plato's 2500 years old classic The Republic, Book VII, page 300 (book PDF).
Caption 'Proof of (alien) life? A copy of the 1950 Hottel memo that recounts the discovery of flying saucers and aliens in New Mexico. The memo has been published on the FBI website' --- UK Daily Mail 9th April 2011.

A more perceptive caption however would read: 'The Art of the Mighty Wurlitzer: How to fabricate Aliens and UFOs Myths using the ploy of leaking State-Secrets'
Caption CIA Document on using Flying Saucers phenomena for psychological operations (via hourofthetime.com). Full Text:

Memorandum To: Director, Psychological Strategy Board

Subject: Flying Saucers

1. I am today transmitting to the National Security Council a proposal (TAB A) in which it is concluded that the problems connected with unidentified flying objects appear to have implications for psychological warfare as well as for intelligence and operations.

2. The background for this view is presented in some detail in TAB B.

3. I suggest that we discuss at an early board meeting the possible offensive or defensive utilization of these phenomena for psychological warfare purposes.

Walter B. Smith
Director
“If suddenly there was a threat to this world from some other species from another planet,” President Ronald Reagan had read out loud from his script at the United Nations General Assembly podium in 1987, “in our obsession with antagonisms of the moment, we often forget how much unites all the members of humanity. Perhaps we need some outside universal threat to make us recognize this common bond. I occasionally think how quickly our differences worldwide would vanish, if we were facing an alien threat from outside this world!” --- President Ronald Reagan, Speaking at the UN General Assembly, September 21, 1987
“It should be as statistical as human nature, for example, that there's going to be good guys and bad guys,” says Dr. Travis Taylor, who's with the U.S. Space and Missile Command Department and has worked with the Department of Defense and NASA for 20 years. “What we would hope is that the good guys show up first, and that would be really nice. But the point of this wasn't to debate whether they are or they aren't, it's what happens if they did. Do we have a plan? What type of plan should we put together, and how would we defend the planet?” Taylor has also written the handbook for harrying aliens, An Introduction to Planetary Defense.

Lt. Col. Brian De Toy, director of defense and strategic studies program at West Point, doesn't buy the premise. “I am a skeptical believer in miracles. So a year ago right now I was in Iraq, and I'm more worried about Iraq and Afghanistan right now and the aliens that I'm dealing with there. And so right now, I'm pretty skeptical about the others.” --- National Geographic, Reported by Boston Herald May 17, 2011
Using absurdities For Propaganda Fabrication: Pope's astronomer says he would baptise an alien if it asked him

'Aliens might have souls and could choose to be baptised if humans ever met them, a Vatican scientist said today. The official also dismissed intelligent design as “bad theology” that had been “hijacked” by American creationist fundamentalists.

Guy Consolmagno, who is one of the pope's astronomers, said he would be “delighted” if intelligent life was found among the stars. “But the odds of us finding it, of it being intelligent and us being able to communicate with it – when you add them up it's probably not a practical question.”

Speaking ahead of a talk at the British Science Festival in Birmingham tomorrow, he said that the traditional definition of a soul was to have intelligence, free will, freedom to love and freedom to make decisions. “Any entity – no matter how many tentacles it has – has a soul.” Would he baptise an alien? “Only if they asked.” --- UK Guardian 17 Sep 2010

UN 'to appoint space ambassador to greet alien visitors'

'Mazlan Othman, a Malaysian astrophysicist, is set to be tasked with co-ordinating humanity’s response if and when extraterrestrials make contact. Aliens who landed on earth and asked: “Take me to your leader” would be directed to Mrs Othman. ... But Professor Stephen Hawking has warned that alien interlopers should be treated with caution. He said: “I imagine they might exist in massive ships, having used up all the resources from their home planet. The outcome for us would be much as when Christopher Columbus first landed in America, which didn’t turn out very well for the Native Americans.” --- UK Telegraph 26 Sep 2010
PROPAGANDA REDUX

ASK YOURSELF TO TEST YOUR UNDERSTANDING

for the absurd possibility of an Alien Attack within just 25 years of President Ronald Reagan expressing the *Beneficial Consequences of a Hypothetical Alien Threat From Outside This World* speaking at the UN General Assembly, Sept. 21, 1987? Is it not absurd that they even appoint a UN Ambassador to greet the aliens with “souls” *seeking to be baptised* when they ask earthlings in English “Take me to your leader” (sic!)? What motivation drives the Hard Road to World Order which continually requires crafting new fabled threats, new absurdities, new wars, new crises? Fabricating a public discourse on an absurd fantasy as if it's something real by couching it in the veneer of science (or declassified State-Secrets/whistleblowing) and then reacting to that invention at supra-national levels from the United Nations to the Catholic Church, only legitimizes such discourse thus setting the stage for the later creation of a new insurmountable global threat, the Clash of Planets. If political scientists are to be believed on the utility of diabolical protocols for “*imperial mobilization*”, then, “*that exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.*” Its doctrinal seeds must be planted years in advance. This too will surely also be launched with “*either you are with us, or with the aliens*”! --- The Agenda Behind Aliens and UFOs. With Osama bin Laden officially retired as Enemy No 1 on May 1, 2011, does it require a Cassandra to foretell What's Next? Please see What's next after Osama Bin Laden? What can make 'Sept. 11 pale by comparison'? Can we arm ourselves with perceptive wisdom such that we aren't fooled into 'United We Stand' with absurdities again and again? Please see Letter to Kerry Cassidy on the Alien-UFO Agenda. If still unconvinced about the overarching motivation for all this Hegelian mind-fck – WHY do they try so damn hard to convince you of absurdities – Please read Zahir Ebrahim: Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order.
Closing Arguments

There is a lot to learn here on deliberate Machiavellian social engineering that is not taught even in the best universities in America (and the West), nor ever makes an appearance on CNN Headline News (and Time or Newsweek)! What we are speaking of here, of engineering the consent of the masses to get them to love their own servitude, far transcends the corporate advertising and marketing techniques taught in MBA programs which were principally pioneered by Edward Bernays. These techniques of course also attempt to bypass the cognitive mind and target manipulating the subconscious mind directly to sell a product, a lifestyle, or an idea.

However, while most recognize that advertising is a multi-billion dollar business and accept it as a matter of for-profit corporate modernity, few are aware of an order of magnitude more resources being perversely spent by tax-exempt foundations, and the tax-payers (quite unbeknownst to themselves of course), on far more diabolical aspects of social engineering for “getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude!” (please once again refer to Aldous Huxley quoted at the very beginning to refresh your memory that I haven't made all this up)

Advertising Age’s 2008 Marketer of the Year award to President Obama for his election campaign of the “Change” mantra, and the Nobel committee's awarding him the 2009 Nobel Peace Prize testify to the empiricism of this observation. The American nation easily bought that fiction of “Change”, which was once again underscored by President Obama bombing Libya on the same day in 2011 that his predecessor bombed Iraq in 2003!
How did the American public buy that fiction? Once again, please refer back to the very beginning of this report and to Edward Bernays: “We are governed, our minds are molded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested, largely by men we have never heard of.”

Only a tiny handful in America did not buy that fiction. Among those handful who defied the wisdom of the entire American nation and their controlled dissent chiefs, see: Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy! And Mr. Obama – The Post Modern Coup.

This ignorance and gullibility among the college-educated modern public is despite the fact that Western academe is at the forefront of the vast majority of behavior control and social engineering research studies, often funded by, or in collaboration with, Western intelligence, military, and private tax-exempt foundations such as the Rockefeller Foundation, Carnegie Endowment, and Ford Foundation. The highly compartmentalized nature of such research ensures that few students and professors in on the ground breaking studies into human behavior can ever glean the bigger picture towards which they work so diligently to respectively earn their Ph.D. and research funding! Those few who do comprehend are invariably engaged with empire in the largely unhidden orchestration of social engineering. Or, are quickly recruited as agents and assets of the Mighty Wurlitzer.

Mighty Wurlitzer has no limit for its territory. The newsmedia, entertainment, academe, political parties, religious institutions, think-tanks, private foundations, government-funded organizations, and non-gov-
ernational organization (NGOs) alike, all house its agents and assets. And they each play their own tunes on their own specialized instruments under the supreme conduction of the Mighty Wurlitzer. The most notable among these is religion. Although the layman does not normally associate the pastor, rabbi, alim, mullah, swami, etc., with propaganda organs of state, but religion is in fact the most affected by the Mighty Wurlitzer — all throughout history. If we just reflect on the fact that more than three quarters of the world's people espouse some faith, the easiest cognitive infiltration and behavior control is directly through faith. The report: Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization (http://tinyurl.com/Islam-Socialization), and the following challenge to a pious Muslim cleric who issued a 600-page Fatwa on Terrorism in the Service of Empire (http://tinyurl.com/Fatwa-Terrorism-Qadri), indubitably illustrate this universal fact.

Today, fact and fiction have been merged to such an alarming degree with adept perception control that to be able to rationally separate them, to tell what is mere imagery of the Mighty Wurlitzer vs. the actual interconnected causal reality (cause and effect) of statecraft, can be as uncongenial to the cognitive mind as depicted by Plato for the prisoners bred in the underground cave since birth. The fact that the most brilliant minds remain unable to tell that 9/11 was an 'Operation Canned Goods' even ten years into its vile enactment while America descends into a police-state in presumed reaction to it, demonstrates that it is not the brain hardware which is malfunctioning, but the brain software!

The inculcation of belief in authority figures and “experts” has reached pandemic proportions in the West. Indoctrination is today perhaps the greatest public plague afflicting the mightiest superpower on earth, a direct consequence of “dumbing down” the public in the name of higher education to craft self-obsessed economic widgets, narrow specialists, and superficial generalists for the Technetronic Era. The continued success of the Mighty Wurlitzer in “persuading” the public to accept absurdities to get them 'United We Stand' singu-
larly relies on, and feeds off, this aspect of modernity. See The Ivy League Morons Syndrome. Also see the deconstruction of Bernard de Mandeville's "The Fable of the Bees" in The Seduction of Science and Technology.

There is an undeniable categorical imperative before the Western peoples today. With the escalating belligerence towards Iran and the strategic dismantling of Pakistan palpably on the visible horizon, will the profoundly *innocent of knowledge* in the West allow themselves to be fooled once again into more criminal aggression upon civilian populations in the name of 'war on terrorism'. Or, will the people choose to not be (willingly) deceived by the Mighty Wurlitzer's many ruses at every level which continually justify the core axioms of "imperial mobilization" by way of deception? See *Peoples' Guilt and America's Profound Shame*


It is precisely to invoke that *acquiescence* to premeditated fait accompli that Zbigniew Brzezinski self-servingly quoted in the opening pages of his seminal 1970 book *Between Two Ages – America's Role in the Technetronic Era*, the following specious rationalization for the turmoil to be purposely inflicted upon the 'lesser' humanity. The diabolically fabricated Hegelian Dialectic as the means to usher in *one-world government*, and attributing that manufactured zeitgeist to just the nature of transformation between two ages (for which nothing could be done about since the human misery which it entailed was natural and inevitable):

"Human life is reduced to real suffering, to hell, only when two ages, two cultures and religions overlap. . . . There are times when a whole generation is caught in this way between two ages, two modes of life, with the consequence that it loses all power to understand itself and has no standard, no security, no simple acquiescence.” --- HERMANN HESSE, Step-
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penwolf (lede quote by Zbigniew Brzezinski in Between Two Ages, pg. 7, book PDF).

As an establishmentarian hectoring hegemon, Brzezinski again invoked the same sort of self-serving rationalization to perpetuate American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives. He began his subsequent 1996 book The Grand Chessboard with the chauvinist sentence: “Hegemony is as old as mankind.” Thus, by the logic of it, making the rest of the book a recipe for the exercise of America's uniquely unchallenged global power, and “especially its capacity for military intimidation”, as the most natural human legacy for any supremaclist nation to pursue. Nothing could, or ought, to be done about that predatory instinct for organized violence since “Hegemony is as old as mankind.” And therefore, Brzezinski naturally proffered in his chauvinist conclusion, “In brief, the U.S. Policy goals must be unapologetically twofold: to perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer,...” (Ibid., pg. 215; see Prisoners of the Cave, Chapter 1).

The report Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order, examines how that specious recipe book for America's predatory primacy, as syntactically sugared war-mongering as it is, is itself only half the truth. As the Jewish proverb suggests, 'a half truth is a full lie'. And as the Mighty Wurlitzer knows, in order to be effective, the lie is different at every level.

Contrary to Zbigniew Brzezinski’s erudite prose which underlies the many compositions of the Mighty Wurlitzer, as the generation caught between two ages on the Grand Chessboard, we, the bearers of “Human life”, have neither lost the power to “understand”, nor lost the power to overturn the coercive “simple acquiescence” to artificially induced transition period of “real suffering”. That understanding, and overturning, is the raison d’être for this document.

When Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives to orchestrate a one-world government is the ingrained doctrine among the Übermensch
and their instruments, it is trivial to come up with any logic, any rationalism for even the most atrocious barbarism inflicted upon the 'untermenschen'. Convince the public of absurdities and one can get them to acquiesce to any atrocity – from outright murderous invasion of other nations to torturing their civilians in the most horrendous conditions, to getting their own citizens to accept giving up their civil liberties without a sigh. Nothing new here – 'standard 007 issue' of the hectoring hegemons! That same Mighty Wurlitzer and its paymasters however would be chewing on cyanide capsules in another situation. It is best not to forget that. Baboons wear no clothes – even when they steal the sunglasses.

Further Study

As this is mainly a self-study note – because satisfying one's curiosity and moral imperatives by performing a modicum of due diligence is the order of business rather than merely reading digested excerpts or believing 'experts' – the following suggested minimal self-study will be useful in comprehending the pernicious role of intelligence assets which perpetually infiltrate the media and the academe. You will learn how society is manipulated in the direction of its ruling-elite's agendas, how ordinary peoples serving in positions of influence in the institutions of the ruling-elite involuntarily succumb to freely promulgating the oligarchs' agendas while remaining unaware of it, and most importantly, why it is almost impossible for ordinary decent peoples too busy pursuing their 'American Dreams', to perceive this sophisticated manipulation which goes on 24x7.


1A) Frank Wisner's Operation Mockingbird (PDF cached here)

2A) CIA on Campus – compilation of incredible articles and
declassified information on CIA's infiltration of America's Top Ivy
Leagues and other leading universities. (PDF cached here)

3) Richard Keeble, Hacks And Spooks, March 3, 2006
( http://tinyurl.com/mz7z6d ).


4) William Schaap, attorney, expert witness on media disinformation,
sworn court testimony, Volume IX, November 30, 1999. Video ( http://tinyurl.com/ng8w9s ), Transcript at King Center
( also available here ).

5) Zahir Ebrahim, Prisoners of the Cave, 2003, Chapter 6: How does
the US News Media end up towing the line? ( http://tinyurl.com/nnk26a ). The Military-Industrial-Media
corporate complex with interlocked board memberships, and
opaque stock ownership by institutional investors the largest of
which is the U.S. Government (see Comprehensive Annual
Financial Reports at http://CAFR1.com ), represent the same
vested interests which control the Policy Planning of the CIA and
the Pentagon as the Primacy Imperatives of the sole superpower,
the United States of America. These interlocking for-profit
corporations and their majority stock-holders who profit from war,
primacy, and hegemony, also own and control the news media,
news distribution, the entertainment industry, and essentially all
outlets of exercising sophisticated Hegelian Dialectics of Mass
Deception upon a not too knowledgeable population. With
increasing consolidation of information synthesis, packaging, and
delivery into fewer and fewer corporate hands owned and
controlled by a handful of people, the ideological alignment of
their interests makes a sham of the much touted 'fourth pillar of
democracy'. Therefore, operationally speaking, the government is
almost trivially able to influence news to manufacture consent for
its primacy imperatives in the same way that the oligarchs are able
to select the government to implement their agendas in an entirely bipartisan way. Rather than the White House issue daily directives to the editorial staff of major news organizations as in any vanilla dictatorship, the editorial staff and the corporate owners a priori know “All the news that's fit to print.” With the right placement of assets and stooges in the news organizations, the tactical synchronization of worldwide propaganda is made superlatively effective – as the 'War on Terror' against 'Militant Islam'. Also see Chapter 4: The Role of Mass Media in Empire Building (http://tinyurl.com/m5mbrl).

6) Additionally, see the watered down 'non-conspiratorial' model of self-censorship to stay gainfully employed, and the self-selection of like-minded blind-sighted journalist-editorial-staff in any news organization as per the often unstated overarching imperatives of the news organization in which one automatically knows what flourishes and what doesn't, in Noam Chomsky's Manufacturing Consent, 1986. Chomsky's treatise is applicable more to the incestuous self-censorship of shallow but well-intentioned mental-midgets – the bulwark of the newsmedia – rather than the calculating mercenarial agendists and vulgar propagandists selectively planted in key positions for psy-ops by the 'Mighty Wurlitzer'. More insidious than “manufacturing consent” however, is “manufacturing dissent”, something which Noam Chomsky has unfortunately written little about, leaving it to his nondescript student to unravel in “Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science” (http://tinyurl.com/la5bv6). Witness the manufactured dissent in real action wherein it retains the same core-axioms as manufactured consent, in “My beef with the stellar congressman Hon. Ron Paul” September 15, 2009 (http://tinyurl.com/nn6dth). Also see “Open Letter to Hon. Ron Paul Supporters” October 29, 2008 (http://tinyurl.com/lawra8).

7) What permits all this manipulation and deception to occur? It is the deliberate gaping-hole left in the 'fourth pillar of democracy' by
the founders of the world's greatest modern Republic on paper – a colossus in practice which has today taken over the world with its systems, values, and McDonalds backed by McDonnell Douglas (http://tinyurl.com/mcwwuv) whereby, the once 'hidden hand' of the market which went so hand-in-hand with its 'hidden fist' is now nakedly un-gloved – as explained in Prisoners of the Cave, Chapter 7: Resistance to Empire – New Directions (http://tinyurl.com/m22r3s).


9) See the spin on Hugh Wilford's book the Mighty Wurlitzer by Michael Warner in Intelligence in Recent Public Literature, as if willful public deception for a 'greater cause' was only the moral and categorical imperative of the past very judiciously engaged in by superlative 'presidents and key Congressmen [who] held “a fairly sophisticated point of view” that understood that “the public exhibition of unorthodox views was a potent weapon against monolithic communist uniformity of action.” The CIA subsidized freedom in order to expose the lies of tyrants—and then winced silently when that freedom led to an occasional bite on America’s hand.' The full URL is revealing: https://www.cia.gov/library-center-for-the-study-of-intelligence/csi-publications/csi-studies/studies/vol52no2/pdf/U-%20Studies%2052-2%20-Jun08-MightyWurlitzer-Web.pdf (http://tinyurl.com/nrn8yq)

The ex post facto deconstruction of Jessica Lynch Media Myth-Making during the Iraq War, in the aftermath of the fait accompli of Iraq invasion by the UK Guardian and Journalism.org, tell a different tale from Michael Warner's, one right out of Zbigniew Brzezinski's cookbook for “imperial mobilization” which “requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual
commitment, and patriotic gratification.” As pointed out by the UK Guardian, “Jessica Lynch became an icon of the war. An all-American heroine, the story of her capture by the Iraqis and her rescue by US special forces became one of the great patriotic moments of the conflict. It couldn't have happened at a more crucial moment, when the talk was of coalition forces bogged down, of a victory too slow in coming. **Her rescue will go down as one of the most stunning pieces of news management yet conceived.** It provides a remarkable insight into the real influence of Hollywood producers on the Pentagon's media managers, and has produced a template from which America hopes to present its future wars.”

10) This self-study would be incomplete without acquiring some familiarity with the name Edward Bernays. See his 1928 seminal classic on the art of behavior manipulation and involuntary persuasion titled 'Propaganda' (http://tinyurl.com/ls7fcs). Read it online here. Watch Edward Bernays, the Father of Public Relations upon whose work not only is modern advertising industry, but also modern propaganda warfare programs of advanced militarized nations, clandestine mind control programs like CIA's MK ULTRA, and overall perception management of the masses to keep them continually locked-up in Plato's Underground Cave, are principally based, lucidly describe his mind-craft in less than 30 seconds on David Letterman. With more time on one's hands, watch this four hours long, four-part, BBC4 documentary titled “The Century of Self” (http://tinyurl.com/Century-of-Self-BBC) featuring the far reaching work of Edward Bernays, the Freud family, and what are called the *political psychoanalysts*: part-1, part-2, part-3, part4. The full documentary is archived at: http://archive.org/details/CenturyOfTheSelf1-4. These mass manipulation techniques for both “engineering of consent” and its dialectical “manufacturing of dissent” are part and parcel of the Mighty Wurlitzer's ordinary persuasion toolkit. The 2008 election
of President Barack Obama and awarding him the Nobel Peace Prize is an empirical example of putting Edward Bernays' profound concepts on Public Relations into contemporary practice. Read it in the article “The Answer to the Burning Question du jour: Why was President Obama Gifted the Nobel Peace Prize?” and the Ebook “Obama: Manufacturing A Savior—A Case Study In Social Engineering”.

Edward Bernays on Letterman: “people will believe me more if you call me doctor”

[youtube=http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i6hH3roMe4w]

Episode One: Happiness Machines

Episode Two: The Engineering of Consent

Episode Three: There is a Policeman Inside All Our Heads: He Must Be Destroyed

Episode Four: Eight People Sipping Wine in Kettering


10A) More specialized psychological tools and advanced manipulation techniques based on Edward Bernays' discovery that the irrational human mind can easily be mobilized by propaganda for both profit as well as nationalism, are continually explored and
refined in many think-tank places in the West under the sanitized vernacular of “Human Relations” and “Public Relations”, including presumably at the Tavistock Institute in London. Listen to Aldous Huxley’s take on where mind-behavior manipulation techniques are rapidly headed, wherein, with sufficient social engineering control, people will simply end up loving their own servitude, titled “The Ultimate Revolution” (http://tinyurl.com/ahuxley), March 20, 1962, at UC Berkeley.

10B) An ominously prescient depiction of the more advanced state of behavior control and social engineering is in the allegorical British television series of 1967 titled 'The Prisoner'. Watch online here. Its seventeen episodes masterfully enacted many of the psychological techniques of social engineering, behavior manipulation at both conscious and subliminal levels, voluntary and involuntary mass persuasion, and psychotropically induced mind control as in CIA's MK ULTRA, spanning the gamut in theories drawn from Aldous Huxley's 'Brave New World' to George Orwell's '1984', and from Plato's 'The Republic' to Machiavelli's 'The Prince'. Many of these “soft” (altering the sense of perception) as well as “hard” (altering the brain chemistry) mind/behavior control techniques at macro social levels appear to be in actual play in the West today. See for instance: 'The Sovereign Man is the Real Prisoner', which quotes from the FBI’s Counterterrorism Analysis report titled 'Sovereign Citizens - A Growing Domestic Threat to Law Enforcement', the tortuous statement: “The FBI considers sovereign-citizen extremists as comprising a domestic terrorist movement,”. Anyone – who is not entirely stripped of commonsense and their powers of observation that is – can easily witness that to think independently from the herd, to resist manipulation, to challenge official narratives, is almost getting to be typecast as being an “unmutual” committing “thought crimes”, a “conspiracy theorist” exhibiting signs of “mental illness”, a “terrorist” working against the “greater
“greater common good”, etc. See Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory for how it has been orchestrated in plainsight under various guises from national security imperatives to academic eruditeness. Draconian behavior conformance of the individual for the “greater common good” is now directly visible even to the most blind at the US-UK Airports where the majority of travelers, more than 99 percent, willingly subject themselves to the false choice between X-ray radiation or pat-down molestation without a murmur of protest. See Body-scan Alert - Not Suffering Indignities at Airports ( http://tinyurl.com/airportbodyscan ). Watch it here. Today, fact and fiction have been merged to such an alarming degree with adept perception control that to be able to rationally separate them, to tell what is mere imagery of the Mighty Wurlitzer vs. the actual interconnected causal reality of statecraft, can be as uncongenial to the cognitive mind as depicted by Plato for the prisoners bred in the underground cave since birth (see Book VII, The Republic, page 300 book PDF).

[youtube=http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=upToH3DSM9E]
[youtube=http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=duAxAcI-N50]

11) Today, the Edward Bernays led comprehension of mass psychology, and its sophisticated manipulation as an essential instrument of state-craft ( http://tinyurl.com/endless-mindfck ) –
the social “engineering of consent” – is empirical, and quite diabolical. See the FAQ: What is 'Hegelian Mind Fck' and the excellent tutorial on Hegelian Dialectic (PDF) which is driving social engineering across the full spectrum of human conditions du jour.

11A) The capitalization upon the Psychology of Fear to implement the 'War on Terrorism' du jour was best demonstrated in Operation Gladio of yesteryear when self-inflicted terror by NATO's Stay Behind Armies was used to keep the fear of Communism alive among the skeptical public in Western Europe. Please watch the BBC Ch 02 Time Watch 3-part series on Operation Gladio: part-1, part-2, part-3. Despite being a much sanitized version, the BBC documentary is still very revealing. As is already examined in “What is War on Terror?” (http://tinyurl.com/what-is-war-on-terror), Part-3 of the Gladio documentary has the following statement quoted from the US Army's Top Secret Field Manual:

“Top Secret: There may be times when host country governments show passivity or indecision in the face of Communist subversion ... US Army Intelligence must have the means of launching special operations which will convince host country governments and public opinion of the reality of the insurgent danger ... US Army Intelligence should seek to penetrate the insurgency by means of agents of special assignments, with the task of forming special action groups among the most radical elements of the insurgency.”

As we have now already learned, because fear is such a potent motivator for the masses, its fabrication over the civilian populations is, and always has been, any military's unhidden-secret war-making advantage. Under the military's purview which often transcends mere propaganda and psy-ops, fear-making instruments and orchestrations spanning the gamut from “shock and awe” to
false-flag terrorism, serve myriad functions including that of manufacturing the boogeymen who must implement the “doctrinal motivation” whose intellectual seeds were laid years in advance. I.e., the synthetic Ali Baba is fabricated and/or “tickled into existence” to kill innocent civilians with deadly terrorism in order to lend credibility to the mantra of “militant Islam”. A believable pretext is thus created for the state's never ending “counter-insurgency” operations against them in order to protect itself and its peoples, its allies, and its infinite national interests. Beginning with the pretext of fighting the “New Pearl Harbor” terrorism of 9/11 which led the United States into Afghanistan, Iraq, into the “Global Zone of Percolating Violence” along the 'Arc of Crisis' (http://tinyurl.com/arc-of-crisis), how it is being synthesized to turn Pakistan into the new Terror Central can be straightforwardly gleaned in the report: Insurgency vs. Counter-Insurgency - The Decapitation of Pakistan by its own Military! (http://tinyurl.com/Insurgency-Counterinsurgency)

Gladio, Part 2: The Puppeteers BBC Time Watch 17 June 1992
[youtube=http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yXavNe81XdQ]

13) Metanoia-films.org has a 2-hour video documentary on Social Engineering in the 20th Century, titled 'Human Resources', for those more inclined to watch than read.

Human Resources: Social Engineering in the 20th Century
[http://metanoia-films.org/human-resources/#watch]

The amazing description of the Metanoia-films.org website in its own words entirely captures the essential purpose of Project Humanbeingsfirst as well: 'The Greek term for repentance,
metanoia, denotes a change of mind, a reorientation, a fundamental transformation of outlook, of an individual's vision of the world and of her/himself ... In the words of a second-century text, The Shepherd of Hermas, it implies “great understanding,” discernment. In Carl Jung's psychology, metanoia indicates a spontaneous attempt of the psyche to heal itself of unbearable conflict by melting down and then being reborn in a more adaptive form.' I would add that in Islam, the actual process to acquire that “great understanding”, that “fundamental transformation of outlook”, that “melting down and then being reborn”, is the severe path of *jihad-un-nafs*. To overcome one's ingrained worldviews and indoctrinations is never an easy journey even when one becomes aware of the social and psychological forces which naturally construct Plato's *Prisoners of the Cave*. Most will of course remain unaware of it throughout their life, never mind wage a struggle to overcome it, and will continually be manipulated into 'United We Stand' with ruling agendas – a plight which has dogged mankind since time immemorial. A forensic exploration of breaking out of this prison of the mind is in my trilogy on Israel-Palestine which comprise the Pamphlet: How To Return to Palestine. Also see the deconstruction of “The Fable of the Bees” in *The Seduction of Science and Technology* (http://tinyurl.com/The-Fable-of-the-Bees).

14) Finally, the question of reform continually arises among the antagonists of the Mighty Wurlitzer, the idealists, and the “malcontents”. They would rather pretend that some rational “reform” can do away with the Mighty Wurlitzer altogether. The following response to the question raised by Robert Jensen, Professor of Journalism, “Can journalism schools be relevant in a world on the brink?”, September 15, 2009, injects a doze of reality-check: “Re-titling can perhaps make the problem-space more apparent: Can journalism schools be relevant in a New
World Order of one-world government?” (http://tinyurl.com/kpgkth). Edward Bernays brilliant successes at mass behavior manipulation has conclusively shown that masses are typically not motivated into action, moral or any other, by information alone. Rather, primarily by appeal to their irrational sub-conscious mind, to their fears, to their suppressed desires. And, that they remain quite susceptible to hidden emotional manipulation. Therefore, journalism's utility to statecraft to control the masses cannot be overturned, nor reformed, in any existing structure of governance that relies on war and deception to rule. Journalism today is more a diabolical tool of statecraft than of any benefit to the people. It appears to this cynic that in order to even begin to play its theoretically assigned role in a theoretical constitutional republic, unless the much wonted fourth pillar of democracy – the watchdog upon the corridors of power – adopts similar psychological tactics of the oligarchs to manipulate core human instincts for mobilizing the masses for the “democratic check” that is much theorized in Western democracy, journalism is destined to largely remain a steganographic tool of signaling and communication among the elite themselves! To substantiate this egregious point, please see table 3 titled “APPROXIMATE USE OF MEDIA” in Zbigniew Brzezinski's seminal book “Between Two Ages - America's Role in the Technetronic Era”, page 14 (book PDF). The readership data for the printed press like the NYT, while quantitatively dated, is qualitatively even more attuned to the hypnotic reality of television mass media today whereby, while useful advance information can sometimes be gleaned in the inside pages of the elite's own printed press – provided one has learnt how to parse the elite's language – it is rarely if ever present on mass television.

15) CNN Cold War – Profile of Zbigniew Brzezinski. ‘Born on March 28, 1928, in Warsaw, Poland, the future national security adviser to President Carter and son of a Polish diplomat spent part
of his youth in France and Germany before moving to Canada. He received a B.A. and M.A. in political science from McGill University, in 1949 and 1950 respectively, and in 1953 earned his doctorate in political science from Harvard. He taught at Harvard before moving to Columbia University in 1961 to head the new Institute on Communist Affairs. In 1958 he became a U.S. citizen. During the 1960s Brzezinski acted as an adviser to Kennedy and Johnson administration officials. Generally taking a hard line on policy toward the Soviet Union, he was also an influential force behind the Johnson administration's "bridge-building" ideas regarding Eastern Europe. During the final years of the Johnson administration, he was a foreign policy adviser to Vice President Hubert Humphrey and his presidential campaign.

In 1973, Brzezinski became the first director of the Trilateral Commission, a group of prominent political and business leaders and academics from the United States, Western Europe and Japan. Its purpose was to strengthen relations among the three regions. Future President Carter was a member, and when he declared his candidacy for the White House in 1974, Brzezinski, a critic of the Nixon-Kissinger foreign policy style, became his adviser on foreign affairs. After his victory in 1976, Carter made Brzezinski national security adviser.

Aiming to replace Kissinger's "acrobatics" in foreign policy-making with a foreign policy "architecture," Brzezinski was as eager for power as his rival. However, his task was complicated by his focus on East-West relations, and in a hawkish way -- in an administration where many cared a great deal about North-South relations and human rights. On the whole, Brzezinski was a team player.' --- CNN Cold War - Profile Zbigniew Kazimierz Brzezinski (snapshot from cnn.com on August 25, 2008)

Expert Profile CSIS Counselor and Trustee, Zbigniew Brzezinski. 'Zbigniew Brzezinski is a CSIS counselor and trustee and cochairs the CSIS Advisory Board. He is also the Robert E.
Osgood Professor of American Foreign Policy at the School of Advanced International Studies, Johns Hopkins University, in Washington, D.C. He is cochair of the American Committee for Peace in the Caucasus and is a former chairman of the American-Ukrainian Advisory Committee. He was a member of the Policy Planning Council of the Department of State from 1966 to 1968; chairman of the Humphrey Foreign Policy Task Force in the 1968 presidential campaign; director of the Trilateral Commission from 1973 to 1976; and principal foreign policy adviser to Jimmy Carter in the 1976 presidential campaign.

From 1977 to 1981, Dr. Brzezinski was national security adviser to President Carter. In 1981 he was awarded the Presidential Medal of Freedom for his role in the normalization of U.S.-China relations and for his contributions to the human rights and national security policies of the United States. He was also a member of the President’s Chemical Warfare Commission (1985), the National Security Council–Defense Department Commission on Integrated Long-Term Strategy (1987–1988), and the President’s Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board (1987–1989).

In 1988, he was cochairman of the Bush National Security Advisory Task Force, and in 2004, he was cochairman of a Council on Foreign Relations task force that issued the report Iran: Time for a New Approach. Dr. Brzezinski received a B.A. and M.A. from McGill University (1949, 1950) and Ph.D. from Harvard University (1953). He was a member of the faculties of Columbia University (1960–1989) and Harvard University (1953–1960).

Dr. Brzezinski holds honorary degrees from Georgetown University, Williams College, Fordham University, College of the Holy Cross, Alliance College, the Catholic University of Lublin, Warsaw University, and Vilnius University. He is the recipient of numerous honors and awards. His many books include America and the World: Conversations on the Future of American Foreign
Correction Footnote

Brzezinski is Catholic  The heritage of Zbigniew Brzezinski is *Polish-Catholic* and not *Polish-Jewish* as was stated in a previous version of this report. A very unusual open-secret evidently, not mentioned in the many Brzezinski books and articles I have read, nor on his wikipedia page, nor in his CSIS Expert Profile reproduced above, with the presumption flourishing in the public mind that the Polish Brzezinski is just as Jewish as the Frankish Kissinger, but not necessarily espousing the Zionist theology of the neocons. That correction however, of the hectoring hegemon being Catholic instead of Jewish, while necessary to make for the sake of accuracy, harbors no real material change for the calculus of the grand chessboard from its victims' perspectives. As principally, The White Man's Burden appears Uniformly Distributed among Jews Christians and Atheists – how can one tell the difference? It is just as soaked in the *untermensch*'s blood, and just as laden in the deceptive tunes of the Mighty Wurlitzer, irrespective of which *Superman* hums it. It does not matter to the dead and destroyed
people of Afghanistan that the murderer who boasted “giving to the USSR its Vietnam War” in Muslim blood and on Muslim soil with the synthetic doctrinal motivation of “God is on your side”, is Catholic rather than Jewish. However, that fact does perhaps lend some motivational insight into the infighting among hectoring hegemons witnessed in Bush's White House between Brzezinski and the Jewish neocons in reference to Brzezinski’s SFRC testimony before the US Senate in Feb 2007 quoted above. The primordial allegiances are evidently to different base tribes – and so the godfathers in the different families bicker in public in a good WWF Wrestling game! But there is of course more to it than that. As examined above, Brzezinski, the grand architect of the great game in Central and South Asia, and also plugged into the Vatican power-base, for reasons best understood by the oligarchy that he evidently fronts for, appears to have pragmatically intervened in America's invasion of Iran by that most dramatic poker play in public. At least for the time being. That breathing space afforded to Iran is being squandered by her in the pursuit of false bravado in public relations games instead of developing some realpolitik full spectrum alliances with her neighbors in real self-defence. See Hegemony is as old as mankind!.

Short URL: http://tinyurl.com/mightywurlitzer

Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/05/note-on-mighty-wurlitzer.html

First Published May 31, 2009
Ominous clouds are hovering over the Muslims of the West as they occupy themselves in virtuous mosque-piety behind useful idiots, false leaders and Trojan horses, quite oblivious to the reality around them. The best among the Muslim institutional leadership can only come up with syntactic sugaring – and this ranges from mosque pulpits to institutional reports to academic pundits selling their consciences on the prayer mat and amidst virtuous Hegelian Dialectic speeches on Islamophobia. Our institutional corruption spanning the
gamut from Mosques to NGOs to the Academy is so widespread that it has become almost invisible and incognizant like the air we breathe --- but it surrounds us no less. This corruption isn't like what most Muslims are used to in the East. To understand this intellectual corruption which is laden with Faustian pacts of the soul and cradled in Machiavellian omissions to keep the laity perpetual prisoners of the cave while the prison walls around us continue to close-in, click on the FBI's agent-education image below:

Caption As reported by Wired on September 14, 2011, an FBI training presentation titled “Militancy Considerations” measures the relationship between piety and violence among the texts of the three Abrahamic faiths [the god's chosen people obviously coming out on top!!!] As time goes on, the followers of the Torah and the Bible move from “violent” to “non-violent.” Not so for devotees of the Koran,
whose “moderating process has not happened.” The line representing violent behavior from devout Muslims flatlines and continues outward, from 610 A.D. to 2010. In other words, religious Muslims have been and always will be agents of aggression. Watch the FBI Presentation Video artfully Hijacking Islam. See Islam vs. Secular Humanism and World Government by Zahir Ebrahim for its full implication upon future generations of Muslims in the West. See the two compendiums of social engineering by Zahir Ebrahim, Hijacking the Holy Qur'an and Islam and The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity, for Machiavellian methods of social engineering and perception management applied to the public mind. (Image source wired.com)

The roots of this graph in the FBI presentation are very distinguished and very deep-seated in doctrinal warfare. It is not merely some ad hoc “overreaction” to the “war on terror” against “militant Islam” by an overzealous state security apparatus' training program to keep the Americans safe from terrorists.

For instance, the late Samuel Huntington of Harvard University in his famous ode to reseeding new “doctrinal motivation” for the on-going exercise of Anglo-Saxon hegemony over the entire planet titled “The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order”, stated:

“Some Westerners, including [ex] President Bill Clinton, have argued that the West does not have problems with Islam but only with violent Islamist extremists. Fourteen hundred years of history demonstrate otherwise .... Islam is the only civilization which has put the survival of the West in doubt, and it has done that at least twice.” (pg. 209)

“The underlying problem for the West is not Islamic fundamentalism. It is Islam, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the superiority of their
culture and are obsessed with the inferiority of their power. The problem for Islam is not the CIA or the US Department of Defense. It is the West, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the universality of their culture and believe that their superior, if declining, power imposes on them the obligation to extend that culture throughout the world. These are the basic ingredient that fuel conflict between Islam and the West.” (pg. 217)

“The violent nature of these shifting relationships is reflected in the fact that 50 percent of wars involving pairs of states of different religions between 1820 and 1929 were wars between Muslims and Christians”. (pg. 210)

“In 1990 Bernard Lewis, a leading Western scholar of Islam, analyzed 'The Roots of Muslim Rage,' and concluded: 'It should now be clear that we are facing a mood and a movement far transcending the level of issues and policies and the governments that pursue them. This is no less than a clash of civilizations – that perhaps irrational but surely historic reaction of an ancient rival against our Judeo-Christian heritage, our secular present, and the worldwide expansion of both. It is crucially important that we on our side should not be provoked into an equally historic but also equally irrational reaction against our rival.” (pg. 213)

That “Judeo-Christian heritage” and 'The Roots of Muslim Rage,' is what is captured in the FBI “Militancy Considerations” training graph. Bernard Lewis of Princeton University further reconstituted Huntington's self-serving statistic for the “basic ingredient that fuel conflict between Islam and the West” in his post 9/11 ode to defending the West against “militant Islam” titled “Crisis of Islam – Holy
War and Unholy Terror”:

“... But Islam, like other religions, has also known periods when it inspired in some of its followers a mood of hatred and violence. It is our misfortune that we have to confront part of the Muslim world while it is going through such a period, and when most – though by no means all - of that hatred is directed against us.” (pg. 25)

“Terrorism requires only a few. Obviously the West must defend itself by whatever means will be effective. But in devising means to fight the terrorist, it would surely be useful to understand the forces that drive them.” (pg. xxxii)

That's all that the FBI is doing – implementing Bernard Lewis' prescription. The FBI, like all the rest of the Western states security and war-mongering apparatuses of their vast interlocking military-industrial-academe-media-intelligence complex, are defending the West against the motivations outlined for them by the god's chosen peoples:

“For more than a thousand years, Islam provided the only universally acceptable set of rules and principles for the regulation of public and social life. Even during the period of maximum European influence, in the countries ruled or dominated by European imperial powers as well as in those that remained independent, Islamic political notions and attitudes remained a profound and pervasive influence. In recent years there have been many signs that these notions and attitudes may be returning, albeit in modified form, to their previous dominance.” (pg. 13)

But we already knew all about that imminent threat of the Islamofascists trying to take over the West. Since the day FBI knocked on my
door in 2003 as the bombing of Iraq was underway to protect the Americans from Sadaam Hussein's WMDs, I knew that by first hand experience.

Which begs the question, why make such information public? The intelligence apparatuses will do what they are chartered or ordered to be doing – what purpose does such an outright big lie depicted in the FBI graph serve by making it public? Never mind the Christian Crusades; never mind Christian Zionism and Talmudic Zionism devastating Palestine to Iraq; never mind the World Wars which saw Christians killing Christians, and Christians killing Jews, all financed by the Jewish Wall Street and the Jewish banksters controlling the Federal Reserve Bank of the United States who sat in on the subsequent so called Peace Conferences to harvest the loot of the Balfour Declaration to orchestrate the Jewish State in Palestine in the name of Torah's god: “This country exists as the fulfillment of a promise made by God Himself.” [1] and “It is true God promised it to us. ... Our God is not theirs.” [2]; etceteras, who would buy into such an outright big lie in the West today? The majority of its public who 'United We Stand' with absurdities! This includes all the Muslims who stay silent like their Western counterparts, and labor under the same or different misconceptions.

Those who do not understand the psychological basis of doctrinal warfare, fear-mongering, and uncertainty-creation as essential ingredients of psyops and mass persuasion techniques for creating “revolutionary times” [3] as the most effective means of changing the lifestyle of an entire people, will never comprehend such matters which are driven entirely from the macro-social calculus of ushering in one-world government. Unless one understands all of that, that the Hard Road to World Order is paved in cold blood, one can neither understand this FBI training modality for its agents, nor the obedience training of Americans at airports. See the detailed Mighty Wurlitzer Report to comprehend its primal DNA. And read the two short articles Convince People of Absurdities and get them Acquiescing to Atrocit-
ies: The Enduring Power of Machiavellian Political Science and ‘War on Terror’ is not about ‘Islamofascism’ – Please get with the real agenda you people! to understand how the blossoming tree of “imperial mobilization” is being constructed in baby steps using that very DNA of full spectrum psychological warfare and controlled “revolutionary times”.

Pick up Huntington's *The Clash of Civilizations*, and Bernard Lewis' *Crisis of Islam*, and read them both in the context and references outlined here and the absurd FBI graph will start making sense. Then pick up Zbigniew Brzezinski's *The Grand Chessboard* and the concept of “doctrinal motivation” necessary for “imperial mobilization” will start making sense:

“It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.” (pgs. 35-36)

“Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. .... More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doc-
trinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.” (pgs. 211-212)

Compare all that book knowledge with the empirical reality, and Bertrand Russell's 1952 book The Impact of Science on Society will start appearing more self-servingly prophetic than Nostradamus:

“There is, it must be confessed, a psychological difficulty about a single world government. The chief source of social cohesion in the past, I repeat, has been war; the passions that inspire a feeling of unity are hate and fear. These depend upon the existence of an enemy, actual or potential. It seems to follow that a world government could only be kept in being by force, not by the spontaneous loyalty that now inspires a nation to war.” (Ch. 2, pg. 37)

**Muslims today are victimized twice:**

- First by the massa's “imperial mobilization” by way of deception, by dictatorship infliction, by bombardment and “democracy” and “revolution” infliction to bring freedoms from the same dictatorships, not to mention more neo-liberal debt enslavement for rebuilding what's destroyed while bringing neo-cons freedoms.

- And second, by the subversion of our own Uncle Toms who keep us confused and un-enlightened by their shifting amalgams of myths, half-truths, omissions, mis-diagnosis, and red herrings.

Together they target us by total perception management, and thus control our behavior of full servitude by piece-meal conditioning, eventually leading to Pavlovian compliance to their every stimulus. Their dominant narratives is what informs us, cajoles us, frightens us, and that's how we end up 'United We Stand' with the Massa. If they say there is “Islamic terrorism”, we say there is “Islamic terrorism”. If they say 9/11 was the work of “jihadi Muslims”, if they say there is
“global warming”, “peak oil”, “swine flu”, this and that global disaster, this and that galactic catastrophe, we not only echo the same, but naturally find ourselves inclined to act in accordance to that planted fear. If such mantras come anointed with imposing IVY stamp of approval, the Nobel stamp of approval, lofty academic endorsement, so much more we believe in them, to the point that we even permit the state to molest us to keep us safe! The theories of psychological persuasion techniques in textbooks exactly match the ground realities. Sounds rather prosaic – but empirically true nevertheless.

This ought not to be surprising --- here is Aldous Huxley prognosticating it in 1962 at University of California-Berkeley:

‘You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It’s exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.’ — Aldous Huxley, 1962 speech at UC Berkeley, minute 04:06

Without our own self-study and due diligence, without skepticism to all that is presented to us, as the targets of villainous perception management and behavior control, we will continue to fall victim to the Uncle Toms who control all our institutions on behalf of the massa.
We have to by-pass our holy and pious chieftains and their institutions and become self-reliant both intellectually and physically, that means in thought as well as in lifestyle away from our pontiffs and chieftains, or else we shall continually be sold down the drain in the guise of their being our illumined “benefactors” and “peace-makers”. Holy Qur'an verse 2:11 even bears witness to that timeless empiricism --- but Plato predates it in his prescient similitude *Myth of the Cave* in *The Republic* by one thousand years!

Empowering the individual with deep knowledge in overarching contexts to instill deep system insights into the calculus of hegemony, is the only antidote for this full spectrum assault on the human mind which employs sophisticated psychological persuasion techniques of behavior control from cognitive to subliminal. We cannot lay out the parts like a motor mechanic and examine each one in isolation. We have to look at the entire system and understand its overall behavior as a function of its components and what role each plays in that overall system dynamics.

Such comprehension cannot be had in 15-second attention spans we have been weaned on. And nor by sitting like stupid gullible fools in pious or learned gatherings listening to Trojan Horses and other insipid fools and useful idiots planted among us and in our vaunted institutions – both religious and secular, as well in our academies and our governments. And nor can it be acquired by the feeble minded cowards and Uncle Toms who prefer to die many deaths for the pleasure of earning a good word and a paycheck from the *massa*. There is no external cure for Faustian pacts. The cure for that is solely within.

But for the rest more inclined to use an iota of commonsense and a modicum skepticism than stoned belief in authority to examine any matter, this heads-up contains essential knowledge you will not be given either at UC Berkeley, or Harvard, or Princeton, or by a Woodrow Wilson scholar or a Nobel laureate, or by the mercenaries and stooges heading CAIR or MCA, or ISNA, ICNA, AMA, CIA, ISI, MI6, CNN, ABC, NBC, BBC, NYT, and the myriad other alphabet
soups throughout the world under the iron grip of the Mighty Wurlitzer. Yet this knowledge is entirely public information, only waiting to be read and studied as containing the master blueprints for the global governance system being built openly. Its success lies in obscurity by design – since no one “respectable” goes there. Just look at the two recent reports emanating from CAIR and American Progress in collaboration with University of California-Berkeley, both belaboring the obviousness of Islamophobia like the autumn leaves, but not disclosing to the public what is made deliberately obscure by their criminal conspiracy of omission. None of them relate matters to the Hard Road to World Order... but they will all be eagerly doing so ex post facto, and awarded Pulitzers and other lofty intellectual anointments for their eruditeness!

It is a crafty red herring and calculated subversion for Muslim institutions (led by glorified Uncle Toms and useful idiots) to protest the fabricated symptoms of the disease while ignoring the root cause of the disease: The Diabolical Hijacking of Islam for Imperial Mobilization by god's chosen peoples!

Now we can finally return to the question asked above to lend some forensic insight into the matter: Why did the FBI make its 'Islamology' presentation public which is entirely drawn from the war-mongering Jews Bernard Lewis', Samuel Huntington's, Zbigniew Brzezinski's et. al.'s decades old craftsmanship for a viable “doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” to seed the propagandistic explanation for a “New Pearl Harbor”, and subsequently sustain “imperial mobilization” to its logical conclusion as disclosed above? It further begs the question that for those eloquently condemning it, including Muslim organizations like CAIR, myriad other civil rights and human rights organization including the Senators who Blast FBI Terror-Training ‘Lies’, Senator Joe Lieberman, the bastion of Zionist Jewry in the United States Senate, why is the forensic articulation of what's disclosed here by a mere plebeian so difficult for them to express?
It ain't rocket science – or is it? No, it is only Machiavellian political science!

Think Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent ( http://tinyurl.com/Hegelian-Dialectic-Dissent ) fabricated from bogus lies whose main purpose is to re-justify the core-axioms of empire couched in WWF wrestling, and the fog lifts instantly! One team invents the lies, the other condemns it, and it is orchestrated by the same coterie who come away from it re-emphasizing the core “doctrinal motivation”, the threat of “al-Qaida”, at the expense of their own intelligence apparatus which is only following the directives given to it by the State. This is what the most hawkish king of war-mongering neo-con Zionist Jews in the US Senate averred, playing WWF wrestling with his brethren with a chutzpah which only the god's chosen people who have moved from “violent” to “non-violent” following their Torah can muster:

“‘There is no room in America for the lies, propagated by al-Qaida, that the U.S. is at war with Islam, or the lie propagated by others that all Muslims support terrorism,” Sen. Joe Lieberman, the chairman of the Senate Homeland Security and Government Affairs Committee, told Danger Room.' --- WIRED, September 15, 2011

Examine the political science of it all today and not tomorrow when fait accompli of world government will make it a moot point which boogieman was myth, and which one was factual --- like the disclosure by the New York Times in 2008 of the Pentagon's Message Machine that its retired Generals diabolically led the three ring media circus to enact America's decimation of Iraq under obvious falsehoods. That belated admission after the NYT itself led that three ring circus did not restore to Iraq its shattered tabula rasa with any more chutzpah than the admission by the Iraq Study Group in 2005, mostly populated by the same hectoring hegemons who led the mantra of WMD in the first place including Bernard Lewis, that all the intelligence on Iraq's WMDs were indeed false!
Tomorrow, many of the myths and “doctrinal motivations” taken as gospel truths today will similarly be shown to be the output of the Mighty Wurlitzer's message machine with a simple narrative “oops”! This is already presaged in The Report from Iron Mountain where the motivational source for many fear-mongering modern myths can be found. Don't wait until tomorrow when you hear it from your favorite pontiffs and nod your head in that all-knowing state of servitude that has become the pathetic characteristic of Muslims and all the rest of the 'untermenschen' worldwide. Evaluate the many Hegelian Dialectics today, argue these today, challenge your pontiffs today, disrobe and unmask them today, understand the motivations behind their Message Machine today which comes layered in sophisticated political science as the vile mechanics for a system of global governance that is being deceptively ushered in under different guises of fabricated “revolutionary times”. This system is being wrought by a people all of whom evidently have multiple Ph.Ds. in deception. The culprits are visible to even the most blind in the saintly FBI graph itself.

And ultimately, if you deem this knowledge not worthy as it still only comes from the lowly pen of a most ordinary plebeian, and not from your favorite pontiff, throw it away.

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim

Footnotes

[1] Full quote: “This country exists as the fulfillment of a promise made by God Himself. It would be ridiculous to ask it to account for its legitimacy.” -- Golda Meir, Le Monde, 15 October 1971 (source)

[2] Full quote: “If I were an Arab leader, I would never sign an agreement with Israel. It is normal; we have taken their country. It is
true God promised it to us, but how could that interest them? Our God is not theirs. There has been Anti-Semitism, the Nazis, Hitler, Auschwitz, but was that their fault? They see but one thing: we have come and we have stolen their country. Why would they accept that?"
-- David Ben Gurion – Quoted by Nahum Goldmann in Le Paraddoxe Juif (The Jewish Paradox) (source)

[3] Full quote: “What is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times; and if at this time the opportunity is missed and what is possible at such great hours is not carried out – a whole world is lost” -- David Ben Gurion (source)

Additional References

[1] Zahir Ebrahim's Letter to Editor: FBI’s Islamology September 25, 2011
[2] Zahir Ebrahim's Response to Chris Hedges' amalgam of half-truths 'A Decade After 9/11: We Are What We Loathe' September 13, 2011
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Chapter 18

Propaganda Systems
Catching Newsmedia in its Lies of Omission and Commission

Synthesis and propagation of “doctrinal motivation” for waging “insurgency” inside Iran

Letter to Editor: Iran, the Associated Press, and Covert-War of 'Imperial Mobilization'

April 13, 2008

In the Associated Press wire story of April 12, 2008 (cached) [a] dispatched from Shiraz Iran by AP writer Ali Akbar Dareini, headlined “Bomb Kills 9 at Mosque in Southern Iran” [b] and echoed across the United States from Fredericksburg to Oregon and on all the internet news reflectors from AOL to Yahoo reaching throughout the
globe, and subsequently, within a few hours, on April 13, 2008, [c] re-titled “Iran dismisses sabotage in mosque blast” [d] by another AP writer Nasser Karimi, there is a crucial omission in both that will be entirely lost in the memoryless United States of America unless specifically pointed out.

The first AP report of the bomb explosion, after describing the fast breaking event, editorialized as follows to supposedly give a larger overarching context to the reader:

“Bombings are unusual in Shiraz, a major draw for foreign tourists who come to see the ruins of nearby Persepolis, an ancient Persian kingdom that was a center for ceremonies and worship. No one claimed responsibility for the attack.

Iran has faced several ethnic and religious insurgencies that have been behind rare but deadly attacks in recent years — though none have amounted to a serious threat to the government.

In February 2007, a car loaded with explosives blew up near a bus carrying members of Iran's Revolutionary Guard, killing 11 of them and wounding more than 30 in southeastern Iran. A Sunni militant group that has been blamed for past attacks on Iranian troops claimed responsibility.

Some believe the group, known as Jundallah, is linked to al-Qaida. Jundallah, or God's Brigade, has waged a low-level insurgency in southeastern Iran.

Besides the violence in the southeast, ethnic Arab Sunni militants have been blamed for bombings in the western city of Ahvaz near the border of Iraq — including blasts in 2006 that killed nine people. ...

The fundamentalist Wahabi strain of Islam considers
Shiites heretics and Iran is dominated by Shiite Muslims. Wahabis are suspected of having influence over some militants waging the insurgency in Iraq.”

Please note that it appears quite comprehensive in its gamut – pretty much accounting for everything, from “insurgency” to “fundamentalism” to “terrorism” to “Jundallah” to the Muslim on Muslim violence bred from “radical Islamism” (although that last semantically loaded terminology is itself not employed). One might say it is as comprehensive in its attempt to capture the significant and essential contexts in a quick birds eye view as is possible in the limited word-space of urgent fast-breaking wire news. So what's the crucial omission?

Before analyzing this further, just for completeness, the second AP report of today, which mainly only offered the latest update on the calamity without editorializing any additional contexts, noted the following:

“Iranian officials on Sunday ruled out an attack as the cause of an explosion that killed 11 people inside a mosque in the southern city of Shiraz. ... The police chief of the southern Fars Province, Gen. Ali Moayyedi, said he "rejects" the possibility of an intentional bombing and "any sort of insurgency" in the blast. ... Iranian Foreign Ministry spokesman Mohammad Ali Hosseini said Sunday that no group has claimed responsibility for the explosion.”

Since this only transpired less than 24-hours ago, more is sure to be reported. The subject here is only the editorializing in the first AP report and what's missing in it.

While constructing a comprehensive forensic analysis and rational solution-space for the urgent problem of the apparent full spectrum destabilization of Pakistan at the time of Benazir Bhutto's grotesque assassination that was being blamed upon the ubiquitous 'Al Qaeda', Project Humanbeingsfirst™ had compiled the following short-list of
news reports on what was publicly known at the time about the systematic destabilization of its next-door neighbor, the equally beleaguered Iran.

In the context of the 'trumpeting elephant in the bedroom' omission in the AP news report quoted above, it appears useful to rehearse a few sentences from that short-list here.

**Jundallah and American covert-ops inside Iran, as publicly reported; a snapshot:**


> Gardiner: It’s been given. In fact, we’ve probably been executing military operations inside Iran for at least 18 months. The evidence is overwhelming.

[2] **March 8, 2007**  CIA funds terrorist operations against Iran

> Responsibility for the attack was claimed by Jundallah (Party of God), a Pakistan-based Baluchi separatist group. ...

> The February 26 London Sunday Telegraph reported: “America is secretly funding militant ethnic separatist groups in Iran ... The operations are controversial because they involve dealing with movements that resort to terrorist methods in pursuit of their grievances against the Iranian regime ...

> “Funding for their separatist causes comes directly from the CIA’s classified budget but is now ‘no great secret’, according to one former high-ranking CIA official in Washington who spoke anonymously to the Sunday Tele-
“His claims were backed by Fred Burton, a former US state department counter-terrorism agent, who said: ‘The latest attacks inside Iran fall in line with US efforts to supply and train Iran’s ethnic minorities to destabilise the Iranian regime.’ ...

In an article in the Washington Quarterly magazine’s first issue for 2007, John Bradley, the former managing editor of the Saudi Arabia-based Arab News, wrote that Baluchistan province is “particularly crucial for Iran’s national security as it borders Sunni Pakistan and US-occupied Afghanistan ... In fact, the Sunni Balochi resistance could prove valuable to Western intelligence agencies with an interest in destabilizing the hardline regime in Tehran ...

“The Pentagon”, Bradely wrote, “is especially interested in whether Iran is prone to a violent fragmentation along the same kinds of faultlines that are splitting Iraq and that helped to tear apart the Soviet Union with the collapse of communism.”


Much attention has been given to the Bush Administration’s preparations for possible war against Iran as well as its drive to impose sanctions. Meanwhile, a less noticed policy has been unfolding, one that may in time prove to have grave consequences for the region. There is a covert war underway in Iran, still in its infancy, but with disturbing signs of impending escalation. In the shadowy world of guerrilla operations, the full extent of involvement by the Bush Administration has yet to be revealed, but enough is known to paint a disturbing picture.

A Pakistani tribal militant group responsible for a series of deadly guerrilla raids inside Iran has been secretly encouraged and advised by American officials since 2005, U.S. and Pakistani intelligence sources tell ABC News.

The group, called Jundullah, is made up of members of the Baluchi tribe and operates out of the Baluchistan province in Pakistan, just across the border from Iran.

It has taken responsibility for the deaths and kidnappings of more than a dozen Iranian soldiers and officials.

[5] April 04, 2007  CIA hires terrorist group to operate inside Iran

New York, April 4, IRNA - Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) has hired a Pakistani terrorist group that has carried out a series of deadly terrorist attacks inside Iran, ABC News has reported on Wednesday.

The group, members of the Baluchi tribe, operates from Pakistan's province of Baluchestan, just across the border from Iran.

ABC cited US government sources it did not identify as saying the US has maintained close ties to its leader, Abdel-Malik Regi, since 2005.

The group, called Jundullah, has carried out raids, resulting in the deaths or kidnapping of Iranian ordinary people as well as soldiers and officials.

[6] April 05, 2007  US backing 'secret war' against Iran?

An analysis by Strafor, a global intelligence consulting firm based in Texas, noting that Jundullah has stepped up its attacks recently, says that the US could be using Jun-
dullah as a "poking device" against Iran.

U.S. support for Jundallah fits into the larger picture of U.S.-Iranian negotiations over Iraq. Iran has made painfully clear that it has -- and can use -- a variety of militant assets throughout the region to pressure Washington to meet its demands in Iraq. At the same time, the United States has an interest in demonstrating that it has friends among Iran's minority groups to gather intelligence, stir up public unrest and distract the clerical regime from its Iraqi agenda.

[7] April 10, 2007  Active CIA Terrorist Cells operate inside Iran

The past year witnessed a series of attacks targeting ethnic minority border areas of Iran. Relentless violence, including bombing and assassination campaigns against soldiers and government officials, resulted in a chaotic situation in the country that left a negative impact on the image of the current government. Aid to separatists and radical groups comes directly from the CIA's classified budget but is now no great secret.


From widespread mistranslation on the State Department's Persian website to terrorists appearing on Voice of America as "political activists," these flaws are keeping U.S. government broadcasting from effectively reaching the Iranian people.


'A report broadcast on Iranian TV last Sunday said Irani-
an authorities had captured 10 men crossing the border with $500,000 in cash along with “maps of sensitive areas” and “modern spy equipment.” A senior Pakistani official told ABCNews.com the 10 men were members of Jundullah. The leader of the Jundullah group, according to the Pakistani official, has been recruiting and training “hundreds of men” for “unspecified missions” across the border in Iran.

[10] May 24, 2007  More Bad Intelligence on Iran and Iraq

Time magazine: Both cases show how the Administration is still trying to manipulate intelligence to further its strategic goals. ABC says that Deputy National Security Advisor Elliot Abrams is behind the covert action against Iran,


TEHRAN, June 9: Iran has handed an official protest to the United Nations accusing the United States of supporting a militant group and creating spy networks inside the country, media reported on Saturday. The protest, addressed to UN Secretary General Ban Ki-moon, accuses the United States of supporting Jundallah, an outlawed armed group blamed for deadly attacks in Iran's southeastern Sistan-Baluchestan province.


Some reports indicate that U.S. financial support is in fact aimed at regime change and goes beyond the allocated $75 million. In May, ABC News reported that the CIA had hired Jundallah, a Pakistan-backed Baluchi group, to carry out sabotage operations inside Iran. (Later, ABC reported that President Bush had in fact authorized a covert CIA program against the regime.) ... In addition to public and covert funding of Iranian opposition groups, the
United States also supports individual dissidents through various means.

[13] **July 11, 2007**  Will the real Al Qaeda please step forward?

*ABC News (US)* reports that the Americans claim they are not providing direct funding to Jundallah (although they admit its leadership has met regularly with US officials), but that they find the alliance convenient for various reasons:

A senior U.S. government official said groups such as Jundullah have been helpful in tracking al Qaeda figures and that it was appropriate for the U.S. to deal with such groups in that context. *Some former CIA officers [however] say the arrangement is reminiscent of how the U.S. government used proxy armies, funded by other countries including Saudi Arabia, to destabilize the government of Nicaragua in the 1980s.*


“Eleven members of the Revolutionary Guards have been killed in clashes with drug smugglers in southeast Iran near the border with Pakistan . . . Nine others were wounded. The clashes occurred Thursday in a mountainous area in southeastern Sistan and Baluchestan Province after drug smugglers ambushed a group of Revolutionary Guards . . . The drug smugglers left without casualties, the [Fars News Agency] said.”

As should be rather self-evident from this snapshot that spans almost a year worth of reporting, the reality when 'Alice' is wide awake is quite otherwise from that projected by the Associated Press correspondent from the 'unbirthday party' table. This coverage of the 'Mad Hatter's
rampage is also consistent with the last of the afore-cited items from the New York Times which refined the wonderful tale at the 'unbirthday party' to additionally include “drug smugglers” who have the firepower to overwhelm and kill eleven members of an Armed Forces service without suffering any casualties. How the Fars News Agency knew they were “drug smugglers”, since all “left without casualties”, is of course irrelevant to when 'Alice' is awake.

The obvious journalistic point to make here is that such a profoundly blatant omission of the most significant context, 'the highest order bit' so to speak, of the 'empire' itself secretly cultivating the 'pirates' in order to continually wage a war of aggression upon other nations through various superpower instruments of coercion, is an excellent example of manufacturing consent in the West for its global “War on Terror” against all shades of 'Islamist terrorists' as being real and un-fabricated.

The un-subtle purpose is to continually lend substance, at every opportunity, to the “doctrinal motivation and intellectual commitment” du jour being employed for “imperial mobilization”.

The ubiquitous Associated Press wire-news service which seeds most of the world's news headlines, is dutifully playing its instrumental role in this process of aiding and abetting in perpetuating doctrinal mythologies. With of course, help in no small measure, from the apparent endless supply of 'native informants' on AP's worldwide payrolls.

In the AP report under scrutiny, all immanent possibilities and speculations except of course the grotesquely real one, were surveyed by the knowledgeable AP writer to ostensibly provide a useful overarching context for the hideous bomb explosion that killed 9 innocent civilians and injured over 100 others inside the very sanctity of their own place of worship. How horrible – who could have possibly done it?

Only the 'radical Islamists' [e] of course, fighting among themselves like barbarians! Since it has indeed been positively shocking how “so
many people in the West still don't believe that they are at war [with] .. radical Islam”, as America's favored son Daniel Pipes had lamented, perhaps now the West, and especially the American public, will believe that indeed, it's “not a Clash of Civilizations, It's a Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians”! [f]

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
Email: humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com

Footnotes

Cached:
[b] http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/feedarticle/7457989

Source URL: http://print-
humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/04/ap-covertwar-imperial-
mobilization.html
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Chapter 19

Fabricating Terror Systems
“Arc of Crisis”

Part-I Unlayering the Middle East War Agenda: Making Sense of Absurdities

This is a response to the unforensic focus on the Middle East War Agenda which permeates the alternate media even today, well into the 10th year of the New Pearl Harbor, orchestrated like the Nazi’s Operation Canned Goods to “goosestep the herrenvolk across international frontiers” – first into
Afghanistan, Iraq, gradually inching its way throughout the *arc of crisis*, next target: the Crescent of Crisis, Iran. Time magazine had quoted Zbigniew Brzezinski self-servingly presaging these very events in 1979 at the cusp of the Iranian Revolution:

> “An arc of crisis stretches along the shores of the Indian Ocean, with fragile social and political structures in a region of vital importance to us threatened with fragmentation. The resulting political chaos could well be filled by elements hostile to our values and sympathetic to our adversaries.” (U.S. National Security Advisor to President Jimmy Carter, Zbigniew Brzezinski, Time, Jan. 15, 1979)

It was in the same year that President Jimmy Carter's National Security Advisor, waging a clandestine proxy war upon the USSR, had started giving covert aid to the CIA backed Afghan mercenaries (later renamed “Mujahideens”) to foment sufficient agitation at the flank of the USSR to provoke a Soviet Intervention in Afghanistan to protect their own hegemonic interests:

> “According to the official version of history, CIA aid to the Mujahadeen began during 1980, that is to say, after the Soviet army invaded Afghanistan, 24 Dec 1979. But the reality, secretly guarded until now, is completely otherwise Indeed, it was July 3, 1979 that President Carter signed the first directive for secret aid to the opponents of the pro-Soviet regime in Kabul. And that very day, I wrote a note to the president in which I explained to him that in my opinion this aid was going to induce a Soviet military intervention.”

After the Soviet Union had taken the bait and invaded, blood-thirsty murderer playing chess in other peoples' blood, Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski confessed in 1998 that he again wrote to Jimmy Carter back in
December 1979:

“The day that the Soviets officially crossed the border, I wrote to President Carter. We now have the opportunity of giving to the USSR its Vietnam war. Indeed, for almost 10 years, Moscow had to carry on a war unsupportable by the government, a conflict that brought about the demoralization and finally the breakup of the Soviet empire.” (1998 interview to French magazine Le Nouvel Observateur)

Thus, the *arc of crisis* which Brzezinski had glibly predicted in January 1979, was firmly seeded by January 1980 as the *Carter Doctrine*, otherwise known as “God is on your side”, to set it all ablaze in the coming future. Sufficiently implanted with the necessary explosive mix of religion, “jihad”, hostage crisis, Iranian Revolution, Soviet intervention in Afghanistan, drug trade, and sectarian fanaticism unleashed in Pakistan by the hanging-judge-military dictator General Zia Ul Haq installed there by the Carter White House in support of the CIA cultivating and harvesting “Islamic Mujahideens” to fight the USSR, it didn't take much ignition beyond the priming.

The fire quickly became self-sustaining as new recruits grew up in the lighted cauldron and couldn't easily distinguish their head from their ass – especially with the Pakistan military being in full collusion with the CIA in screwing both Pakistan and Afghanistan society on the Grand Chessboard. Today, these war criminals pat themselves on the back for destroying the USSR with Muslim and Afghani blood costing the complete destruction of what was previously a still stable Afghani and Pakistani society – but they'll all hang someday even if their carcass has to be dragged out from six feet under.

In 1996, Zbigniew Brzezinski renamed the “*arc of crisis*” in his next geostrategy book *The Grand Chessboard*, with an even more imposing panache: “*the global zone of percolating violence*” (pg. 53), illustrating with maps galore the arc of crisis for those still uninitiated
into the vagaries of the exercise of American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Initiatives, of the sole unchallenged superpower.

That foretold future – explicitly and very publicly presaged in 1979 in a popular weekly magazine read by all and sundry not just in the United States, but in the entire world – fully unleashed by the New Pearl Harbor on September 11, 2001, had the former US Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice self-righteously justify the purpose of America's hegemonic cultivation of “the global zone of percolating violence” in 2006 in these sacred words:

“What we’re seeing here, in a sense, is…the birth pangs of a new Middle East and whatever we do, we have to be certain that we’re pushing forward to the new Middle East, not going back to the old one.”

(U.S. Secretary of State to George W. Bush, Condoleezza Rice, July 21, 2006)

All the preceding is what the blood thirsty hectoring hegemons have themselves narrated.

But comparing their narration to empiricism tells a slightly different story.

That War Agenda today to “birth pangs of a new Middle East” is still variously blamed upon:

- the Zionist agenda for Eretz Yisrael,
- and/or upon the sole superpower's Primacy and Its Geostrategic Initiatives to preemptively acquire and extract the natural resources in the Middle East and Central Asia before any other nation or group of nations can rise to challenge its dominance.

The former Zionist agenda is pitched as the ungodly wars waged by the Jewish neoconservatives who dominate Washington, blueprinted in their PNAC and other Wolfowitz drawn strategy documents for Securing the Jews-only Realm.
The latter superpower agenda is pitched as the wars of primacy for America's sole preeminence, blueprinted in Zbigniew Brzezinski's *The Grand Chessboard* and in Pentagon's many *Joint Vision* strategy documents.

Both premise, while appearing sensible in 2003 when I too employed them in my maiden book *Prisoners of the Cave*, in the light of today's empiricism outright lead to absurdities.

America is going bankrupt due to these global wars with rising national debt which now stands in the 13 trillion dollar range as officially reported by the US Treasury, and its budget deficit this year, 2011, is 1.4 trillion dollars. This means America has to borrow, i.e., have its central bank the Federal Reserve print, 40 cents for every dollar it spends, thus also commensurately increasing the national debt and the burden upon the already suffering American tax payer.

What sort of idiotic primacy agenda for *preeminence* is that?

The United States hasn't been able to extract any of the natural resources either from the Middle East and Central Asian soils anymore than she was already extracting previously – as much as it wanted – with its own coddled dictators firmly in power.

Why did she need to wage murderous wars to decimate the region to remove its own cultivated dictators?

It also sure hasn't been good for the Anglo-American oil companies – they can't do business when the region is torn asunder by the cycle of insurgency and counter-insurgency manufactured by the Anglo-American Allies themselves. Same in Afghanistan – no pipelines, only the so called *quagmires*, as even the mainstream news of the United States puts it.

As for Israel, if *Eretz Yisrael* is the principal reason for decimating Iraq with millions of tons of Depleted Uranium munitions, destroying its fertile top soils and bountiful water tables, not to mention the ancient DNA of its inhabitants, and with only immense hatred and ire of
its millions of Arabs now irretrievably implanted to greet the Zionist from Israel if they ever set foot onto its soil for resettlement, then the Jews can't really occupy that land for themselves now can they?

What did the Israelis really gain by brutally removing the servile Iraqi puppet of their own client state, the United States?

Only chaos in the region!

What Zionistan's founding father, David Ben Gurion, would have easily called “revolutionary times”. Well, according to that science of hegemonic conquest, these chaos-like conditions in the Middle East have also only created more fertile grounds to administer further mind-fcks to the natives because “what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times”.

What other benefit? Perhaps some smaller equally compliant but unstable ethnic principalities carved out of the former equally compliant monolithic and stable Iraq, which today lies in ruin.

But wait, NO Eretz Yisrael!

Only enormous hatred for Israel, and America.

What an agenda for preeminence!

Only the keystone cops could have blueprinted it.

Hmmm....

**Reality is almost like an onion.**

Comes in layers.

The outer layer, when peeled, shows the next inner layer.

And so on down to the core.

It is difficult to get to the core of reality, without first unpeeling it, layer by layer.
The empirical fact however is that it is not mentioned in the mainstream, and by many others in dissentstream either, because the conspiracy is to not even acknowledge that the onion exists at all for social engineering of ‘United we Stand’ and ‘introducing beneficial cognitive diversity’ to mislead the public.

But the outer layer of the onion is not the entire onion, however persuasive and inviting it may appear!

These are mere labels, the neocons, neoconservatives, neo-cons, they mean nothing by themselves.

America has been involved in warfare from Wounded Knee to Afghanistan, and before WWII, there were no neo-cons by that name.

So how does one explain America’s vast military-industrial-academe-media complex which is what actually wages the wars? How does one explain America's national security state?

But why do they wage wars?

For Israel?

The focus on Israel, and the Jewish Lobby, in my view, can at times be a gigantic red herring. See: “Pamphlet: How To Return to Palestine”, and “The white man's burden still looks white in color!”. The real focus must remain on the oligarchy which funds all sides of wars, and have been doing so for 250 years, and for what eventual purpose?

The War on Terror, the war on Afghanistan, the war on Iraq, the war on Pakistan, the war on Iran, on whatever mantras and asinine pretexts, by themselves make no sense – as the war of neo-conservatism – and it is easy to pin it upon the most visible harbingers for their most obvious motives! The outer layer of the onion.

And what about the silent economic wars of neo-liberalism – aka free trade?

Thomas Friedman best captured the interplay of these two sides of the
imperial coin – the thunderous wars of *shock and awe*, and the matching silent wars of hunger and deprivations due to the loot and plunder of global natural resources via the stranglehold of contrived third world debt – in his 1999 New York Times column titled *A Manifesto for a Fast World*:

“The hidden hand of the market will never work without a hidden fist -- McDonald's cannot flourish without McDonnell Douglas, the builder of the F-15. And the hidden fist that keeps the world safe for Silicon Valley’s technologies is called the United States Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps.”

But peel down behind both types of wars, behind both philosophies of primacy which are as old as hegemony, as old as mankind, and we get World Government.

Global Governance would not be possible without the transformative abilities of these wars which seed controlled chaos, deprivations, disenfranchisement, and fabricated public appeals to authorities to resolve matters. Which of course they subsequently do, with greater and greater centralization of powers into the private hands of the same old oligarchy who create the very crises for which they ex post facto present their own preferred solutions. See: Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government'.

David Ben Gurion aptly described this Machiavellian modus operandi as *“what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times”*. 

The unlayering is important to get to the heart of the matter, quickly, before it is too late. And one of the reason it is not unlayered in time, is to seed fait accompli. That is the master political science. To understand this political science which is time-critical and which is what enables only narrating these matters ex post facto, see: “Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order”.

That too, by itself, is still not the inner most core of the onion, only
the next layer down, but it already demonstrates that focus on the outer layer of the onion of reality, the visible reality, makes for a great red herring.

No one in Plato’s cave can ever figure out the reality behind the images on the screen, by examining, and in no matter what excruciating a scholarship, the images on the screen before them.

That is why the outer layer of the onion, whether one sees the onion at all or not, is often pointless garbage.

Whenever I cook dinner at home, I always throw away the outer layer of the onion, it tastes terrible :-)

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Comment on January 21, 2011 for:
http://mycatbirdseat.com/2011/01/avi-shlaim-on-the-neoconservative-middle-east-war-agenda/#comment-13395

Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/01/unlayering-middle-east-war-agenda.html

First Published Sunday, January 23, 2011
this page intentionally blank
One can perhaps use the article “Unlayering the Middle East War Agenda: Making Sense of Absurdities” to make some sense of Egypt and Tunisia in some overarching context that is outside of their national boundaries. These countries are, after all, both along the 'arc of crisis', in the 'global zone of percolating violence' (Ibid.) – and it is percolating all right!

While it is too early to call Egypt and Tunisia both, but like the Green Revolution which fizzled in Iran because the Iranians astutely understood that it was a CIA sponsored colored revolution brought on by their own fifth columnists, and acted accordingly, Egypt's and Tunisia's sudden flare-up sounds to me exactly like PNA's revolt against Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto, elected prime minister and dictator-in-chief of Pakistan – and a thorn in the side of the United States
for his independent thinking – paid for by the bucket full of overnight dollars from the PL 480 fund.

“PL-480 funds had also been released by the US. Over-night some JI workers were seen with pockets full of money and spending lavishly ... The US not only aided but also directed most of the PNA activit-ies.”,

wrote Brig. Tirmazi, as the man on the watch for Pakistan's ISI, in his confessional autobiography Profiles of Intelligence (1996, read ex-cerpt).

Those who don't know their history are condemned to fall to the butcher's knife already being sharpened by our own uncle toms, house negroes, and many a fifth columnist savant variously pitching 'secular humanism' vs. Islam through street revolution. These revolutions have no fundamentals to them except mob-power, and therefore, like the French Revolution, are almost always orchestrated with big money and big mobilizations to serve quite a different agenda. That latter re-volution created the tyrannical Napoleon, while destroying all existent aristocracy of France, the bearers of French/Christian culture and tra-ditions for centuries, to be replaced by mobs (some liberation from the aristocracy's tyranny!).

The Iranian revolution, contrary to the Shia partisans' penchant for anything Khomeini, only created a new enemy for America with “Is-lamic” mob power and hostage taking, both of which have defined American-Iranian relations ever since for the public's consumption. Diabolically cultivated in France and protected by NATO intelligence, the late Ayatollah Khomeini was clearly played for a patsy with the Ir-anian public's disenfranchise-ment card – a travesty deliberately car-ried out by the vile King Reza Shah Pahlavi's intelligence apparatus SAVAK, his masters knowing exactly what their implanted stooge, brought to power by their CIA, was brewing by oppressing the com-mon peoples so brutally. While all this was going on in Iran, the fu-
ture leader of the people's rebellion was being carefully protected by the West, in the West, and brought to power the moment their own tyrant was kicked out by street power.

The Grand Ayatollah Khomeini, respected leader of Iranians, and of Shia Muslims around the world, could have been assassinated by Western intelligence at anytime they wanted while he was in exile – but NATO and French intelligence were instead likely guarding him in the chateau in France lest SAVAK did him in! Can anyone make sense of this mind-fck: replacing their own installed tyrant who is empire-friendly, with a street revolution that is empire-inimical? It is like red-teaming blue-teaming an exercise where only the observers know what the real game is, but either team thinks their battle is real.

This theme repeats over and over again with variations. Sometimes, the preferred military dictator brought about with a coup, is replaced by a hand-picked malleable corrupt bastard under the facade of elections, leaving a wake of public discontent in either case, and then musical chairs begin again as the society is led by its nose towards more orchestrated chaos and insecurity.

The same deadly “music” is perhaps being played in Egypt and Tunisia. With “militant” Islam poised to take hold of both nations – as per the natural aspirations of the peoples after decades of oppressive secular rule – it is rather transparent that the ‘arc of crisis’ is being primed for radicalization.

Do we know which Machiavellian text book such convolutions are from? Hegel's *The Phenomenology of Mind* – and I am sure no one in the Western universities and among the paid mercenaries of empire outside of the Rand Corporation has ever even heard of Hegelian Dialectic! Add to that layers of game theory contortions – and a feeble-minded public anywhere becomes mere putty in the hands of hectoring hegemons, ripe for any Hegelian mind-fck!

The purpose of these contortions? Seeding chaos. But why? Well, David Ben Gurion had aptly summed it up, as the Zionists stole
Palestine in broad daylight aided and abetted by the Balfour Declaration and the two empires beholden to them after each of the two senseless World Wars: “what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times”!

So, again, while still too early to call it accurately, look at all this turmoil with the long-lens of the 'arc of crisis' reaching full radicalization as the 'global zone of percolating violence', and one begins to comprehend that the reality may not necessarily be how it is made to appear.

No one in Plato’s cave can ever figure out the reality behind the images on the screen, by examining the images on the screen in front of them.

The Brotherhood in Egypt, and whoever is seeding the street power in Tunisia, have surely long been co-opted by Western intelligence – the Brotherhood is an old organization, and there is not an organization on earth which is not beholden to subversion and infiltration at least at the highest levels. We see that even the Palestinian Authority, the lion of Palestine and its formal signatory power on behalf of the Palestinian peoples, were trivially co-opted to sell out Palestinian interests to Zionistan.

But still, who knows – no one can know everything, except those omnipotent powers who orchestrate world events with game theory and stochastic modeling of outcomes based on multi-dimensional variables. These aren't deterministic outcomes, there are no guarantees, but probabilistic outcomes, with continuous intervention and “tickling” to manage the odds for the preferred outcome to come out in one's favor. It's like playing roulette, and at times Russian roulette, for the sheer thrill of playing it in other people's blood. Once the players have been brought to the table and the stage set, does it matter if the third trigger-pull, or the fourth one, blows the other fellow's brains out? Except for the joy of betting that is? That's how the hectoring hegemons stack the cards in their favor – the public is forced into the
circumstances and the predicted mass behavior does the nation in. If the outcome is to simply create chaos, that leaves a lot of latitude on how individual people might react of their own volition once already brought to the table – but at the end of the day, they are still very dead. The only way to foil this game is to not come to the table at all. Unfortunately, the recognition of this lose-lose proposition often comes too late, after the die is cast, usually ex post facto, sometimes never, especially with fools and mercenaries installed at the helm of national affairs. It's the win-win master political science of “history's actors”.

The stuff we are seeing today globally, seeding chaos by getting people to naturally choose the path of hectoring hegemon's liking, is game theory at its best. See Report from Iron Mountain to understand some “fictional” scenarios which can be created with game theory. Modern computing power and war-gaming techniques make that at least 4-5 orders of magnitude more perverse in being able to orchestrate even more convolutions that sick minds at the Rand Corporation come up with. Therefore, unlayering of reality helps one comprehend the absurdities of these troubling times. Absolutely nothing is as what it appears to be on the surface.

Muslims have to finally wizen up to the Hegelian mind-fcks being prepared for us with the help of our own. The un-answered question remains, how do we reclaim our nations from the jaws of servitude without being setup as patsies in the name of freedom on the Grand Chessboard.

I believe that the very first step is to recognize that the table is being set and not come to it. This means, that by the time one receives the invitation to the table, it is already too late. One has to anticipate the table, before the invitations are sent out, and actively prevent it from being set. This can only be done by a free peoples engaged with state-craft and led by valiant leaders – sort of chicken and egg problem. I hardly think Egypt or Tunisia are in that position. Their public is already at the table. The nations are beggars before the West, and due
to globalization, of both commerce and money, a simple turn of the screw can shut off their foreign aid, instantly transfer any foreign currency reserves, and leave the countries bankrupt. Almost overnight, real food and energy riots can be created on the streets of these nations if the West so desired, to launch another mob “french revolution”. No – I fear, whatever the scope, they can only run to the Western musical chairs being played for them at this time.

To glimpse my take on where it is all headed, please see: “Unlayering the Middle East War Agenda: Making Sense of Absurdities”.

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim


First Published January 30, 2011
Chapter 21

Fabricating Terror Systems
“Arc of Crisis”

Part-III   Letter to Bertrand
Russells Tribunal: Egypt
Revolution, the White Man's
Burden, and Iraq

To: Bertrand Russells Tribunal,
"Hana Al Bayaty, Executive Committee, BRussells Tribunal"
hanaalbayaty@gmail.com, "Dr Ian Douglas, Executive Committee, BRussells Tribunal, coordinator, International Initiative to Prosecute US Genocide in Iraq"
ianandouglas@usgenocide.org, "Dirk Adriaensens, Executive Committee, BRussells Tribunal" dirkadriaensens@gmail.com,
newsletter@brussellstribunal.org

From: Zahir Ebrahim, Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
Date: February 22, 2011 at 12:21 PM

Subject: Zahir Ebrahim's Letter to BRussells Tribunal: Egypt Revolution, the White Man's Burden, and Iraq

Thank you for sending me your newsletter 'support Iraqi protests' carrying excerpts from your press release of February 20, 2011. It is empirical what you say:

“While millions across the world watched live 18 days of dramatic revolution that ousted the US-allied torture-friendly regime of Hosni Mubarak, no one is offered live feed from Iraq of its people’s uprising against an enemy much worse.”

I wonder though, if these breaking “revolution” events in Egypt and elsewhere, can solely be parsed in their local context....? You have yourself also observed in your newsletter:

“And while President Obama and Secretary of State Clinton are being lauded for their supposed support for Egyptian democracy,”.

Does that fact in itself cause you to also wonder why do they support Egyptian “democracy”, while you bemoan them not doing the same for Iraq:

“no one is asking the key question Washington can’t answer: When will members of this US administration and the three previous face trial for crimes against humanity in Iraq?”

And I found your wish, while understandable, very unsettling:

“We are certain the people of Iraq will achieve victory, like their Tunisian and Egyptian brothers and sisters”!
What victory?

I may be wrong of course - only the “illumined” ones claim to be all knowing. And I would like to believe:

- That these “revolutions” aren't actually being run out of the Rand Corporation with many reactionary scenarios and counter-scenarios already preempted with game theory and deep funding...

- That our fifth columnists, *Trojan Horses*, infiltrators posing as friends and revolutionaries but carrying *the white man's burden* just as they have been for the past several hundred years of infiltrations, and other principals directly working for the hectoring hegemons as both stooges and mercenaries, are just idly sitting by frustrated that all their grandiose plans for lighting the *arc of crisis* have been suddenly and unexpectedly destroyed by the street mobs in the very same *global zone of percolating violence*....

- That the hectoring hegemons are actually quite unhappy about these “regime change” mantras of “democracy” driven by the public themselves....

- That “democracy”, mob rule, where, ostensibly, 51% can dictate to the other 49%, that is in the best case when no powers are manipulating them, is something they are reluctant to offer their colonies as they play musical chairs with complaint rulers, while they subvert their own Constitutional Republic into “democracy”....

- That they, the hectoring hegemons, are actually surprised by the breaking events in Egypt, just as they were surprised by the “go Musharaf go” revolutionary movement in Pakistan in 2008 where now the democracy of the godfather reigns supreme, just as they were surprised by the Iranian revolutions.... rather idiotic then, don't you think, for a superpower to spend trillions of dollars in the exercise of hegemony only to be surprised, sur-
prised by Bin Laden, surprised by towers felling so suddenly, surprised by ..... 

I enclose two articles, and links to two more, as points for your consideration. These examine the global context. And I would like to inquire if you might still situate these “revolutions”, and your stated desire for Iraqis to match these revolutions in such a fashion, after reading them.

My wishes and prayers are with the Iraqi peoples, and I have only curses to offer the hectoring hegemons, and their collaborators, who have calculatingly destroyed Iraq in the cycle of planting dictator, and then “regime changing”.

Sincerely,

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Enclosures:


Ian Douglas' Response

From: Ian Douglas <iandouglas@usgenocide.org>
Date: Tue, Feb 22, 2011 at 1:28 PM
To: "Project Humanbeingsfirst.org" <humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com>
Cc: "Hana Al Bayaty, Executive Committee, BRussells Tribunal"
<hanaalbayaty@gmail.com>, "Dirk Adriaensens, Executive Committee, BRussells Tribunal" <dirkadriaensens@gmail.com>, newsletter@brussellstribunal.org

Thanks for your mail.

I will respond inline:

On 22 Feb 2011, at 22:21, Project Humanbeingsfirst.org wrote:

> You have yourself also observed in your newsletter: "And while President Obama and Secretary of State Clinton are being lauded for their supposed support for Egyptian democracy,".

> Does that fact in itself cause you to also wonder why do they support Egyptian "democracy", while you bemoan them not doing the same for Iraq: "no one is asking the key question Washington can’t answer: When will members of this US administration and the three previous face trial for crimes against humanity in Iraq?"

We are not bemoaning that the US does not support democracy in Iraq: we are stating it. The US used "democracy" as part cover to destroy the country comprehensively. We are not waiting for the US to support democracy in Iraq. We want the US out of Iraq, as the first step towards the possibility of democracy in Iraq.
I think you misread the meaning of our sentence.

We also never said that Obama and Clinton support democracy in Iraq. What they say in public has nothing necessarily to do with what they do in reality.

> And I found your wish, while understandable, very unsettling: "We are certain the people of Iraq will achieve victory, like their Tunisian and Egyptian brothers and sisters"!

> What victory?

Overthrowing tyrannical regimes that kill the people and protect the agendas of foreign interests or their own narrow interests is the victory. Are you saying that the ouster of Ben Ali and Mubarak is not a victory, even if fragile?

> I may be wrong of course - only the "illumined" ones claim to be all knowing. And I would like to believe:

> That these "revolutions" aren't actually being run out of the Rand Corporation with many reactionary scenarios and counter-scenarios already preempted with game theory and deep funding...

At least I can say in Egypt it wasn't. We analysed the factors involved in two essays that might be of interest to you:


> That our fifth columnists, Trojan Horses, infiltrators posing as friends and revolutionaries but
carrying the white man's burden just as they have
been for the past several hundred years of
infiltrations, and other principals directly working for
the hectoring hegemons as both stooges and
mercenaries, are just idly sitting by frustrated that all
their grandiose plans for lighting the 'arc of crisis'
have been suddenly and unexpectedly destroyed by
the street mobs in the very same 'global zone of
percolating violence'....

I think it's much more basic, and what happens shows that regardless
of the sophistication of the schemes in Washington, the people of the
Arab world have all the capacity they need to write their own
histories.

> That the hectoring hegemons are actually quite
unhappy about these "regime change" mantras of
"democracy" driven by the public themselves....

Agreed.

> That "democracy", mob rule, where, ostensibly,
51% can dictate to the other 49%, that is in the best
case when no powers are manipulating them, is
something they are reluctant to offer their colonies as
they play musical chairs with complaint rulers, while
they subvert their own Constitutional Republic into
“democracy”....

Whatever games they have been playing, at least in Tunisia and
Egypt, collapsed for the time being. The scores go back to zero.

> That they, the hectoring hegemons, are actually
surprised by the breaking events in Egypt, just as they
were surprised by the "go Musharaf go" revolutionary
movement in Pakistan in 2008 where now the
democracy of the godfather reigns supreme, just as
they were surprised by the Iranian revolutions....
rather idiotic then, don't you think, for a superpower
to spend trillions of dollars in the exercise of
hegemony only to be surprised, surprised by Bin Laden, surprised by towers felling so suddenly,
surprised by ..... 

I don’t think surprised. Anyone watching the fundamentals of the
global economy and demographics as they relate to the Arab world in
the last two to three years might have seen trends emerging that could
lead to the events seen at present. For those who make it their
business to map scenarios of the future, this scenario was surely one
of the top scenarios. Perhaps, on the other hand, the hegemons were
surprised by the spreading fearlessness of Arab populations; that the
old tricks of belligerent client government are not working anymore.

> I enclose two articles, and links to two more, as
points for your consideration. These examine the
global context. And I would like to inquire if you
might still situate these "revolutions", and your stated
desire for Iraqis to match these revolutions in such a
fashion, after reading them.

> My wishes and prayers are with the Iraqi peoples,
and I have only curses to offer the hectoring
hegemons, and their collaborators, who have
calculatingly destroyed Iraq in the cycle of planting
dictator, and then “regime changing”.

> Sincerely,

> Zahir Ebrahim | Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Thanks for the references, and your mail. You are certainly correct
that the hegemonic powers set out with calculation to destroy Iraq.

1000 salam,

Dr Ian Douglas
International Initiative to Prosecute US Genocide in Iraq | www.USgenocide.org

The BRussells Tribunal | www.brusselstribunal.org

The following correction was noted by Dr. Ian Douglas Wed, Feb 23, 2011 at 2:20 PM: I meant to say, and it should read, “We also never said that Obama and Clinton support democracy in Egypt. What they say in public has nothing necessarily to do with what they do in reality.”

---

Ian Douglas' Followup

From: Ian Douglas <iandouglas@usgenocide.org>

Date: Wed, Feb 23, 2011 at 12:35 AM

To: "Project Humanbeingsfirst.org" <humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com>

Cc: "Hana Al Bayaty, Executive Committee, BRussells Tribunal" <hanaalbayaty@gmail.com>, "Dirk Adriaensens, Executive Committee, BRussells Tribunal" <dirkadriaensens@gmail.com>, newsletter@brusselstribunal.org

Please be kind enough to append my comments in reply to your mail on your site, where you posted the letter you sent to us.

1000 salam,

Dr Ian Douglas

---
Zahir Ebrahim's Reply to BRussell Tribunal authors

Wednesday, February 23, 2011

Thank you for your response Ian. And also for the two of your own article references.

I only have one small piece of specific evidence to suggest in counter-point to your group's analysis and optimism: please see evidence of Movement.org's participation in the informal write-up by a Pakistani confrere, Egypt 'Revolution' (http://fkpolitics.wordpress.com/2011/02/12/egypt-revolution-suckers/).

What do you make of it?

I remain skeptical on the whole because of the points already noted in my own two articles which I previously sent you, despite the large crowds in Tahrir Square routinely and calculatingly being featured in the Western newsmedia. I have seen large crowds before, as also the effects of social engineering to serve an agenda other than the prima facie one.

The bottom line of course for many an 'untermensch', is revolution. But not mob-revolutions, even when genuine. Rather, the revolution of the mind which can principally seed throwing the yolk of servitude to Western hegemons, and one's own feudal lords, while simultaneously protecting the new gains. It serves little beneficial purpose to merely replace one form of tyranny with another. And to do so beneficially, as Andrew M. Lobaczewski aptly summed it in his seminal book on the real causes of political dysfunctions in societies: “requires that we properly analyze reality and make correct predictions, i.e. discipline of thought so as to exclude any subconscious data manipulation and any excessive influence from our emotions and preferences.” (pg. 303 Political Ponerology: A science on the nature of evil adjusted for political purposes)
While all 'untermenschen' wish for that breaking of the bondage to servitude at every level in our societies – starting with the two most significant levers of servitude: debt enslavement and the nation playing the role of proxy-service-provider to the West as a consequence, deftly managed by West's own installed petty dictators both in and out of uniform in this postmodern age of neo-colonialism – it cannot come about un-engineered, un-principled, and merely by wishing it because some delectable puppet shows are enacted for us with musical chairs.

Nevertheless, I hope you are correct in this instance.

In which case, any gains made in Egypt and Tunisia will shortly be lost when a wolf in sheep's clothing is “elected” as the new leader of Egyptian and Tunisian “Democracy”, in exactly the same way as President Zardari “democratically” replaced military dictator President Musharraf. You might perhaps take a closer look at Pakistan to appreciate the behind the scene reality unfolding today not just in Egypt, but in the entire 'arc of crisis' in the 'global zone of percolating violence'. Such analysis of reality, the way it actually is, and not the way it is made to appear on television and on the streets, will lead to engineering new political systems with strong dikes to protect the 'revolution' from vile hijackings of narrow interests.

I am always filled with best wishes for all 'untermensch' on earth that we, in our great numbers, can together reclaim our lives and our nations back.

But unfortunately, Pollyanna was just a good movie, not a way to engineer a counter to reality which is already dominated by vile social engineering to serve the behind the scenes global oligarchic masters.

Beneficial changes are wrought not by good wishes and hopes alone, flag waiving and street dancing (in any color, including street burning). Necessary as these ingredients might seem to some, they are not sufficient to overthrow tyranny which comes wrapped in disguises and layered in deception. The evidence for this fact is not just the entire history of mankind itself, but most pertinently, all recent history.
of plebeian revolutions as well.

But the principle of beneficial social engineering is similar to the inimical one. It is only in astute planning and crafting a vision for the future to replace the present tyrannical systems of governance with, that such salvation can ever come about. That does not require large numbers of people dancing in the streets by themselves. But it does require at least a small number of thinkers, and a political power base, both supporting the people's genuine interests.

There is none of that in evidence, in either Egypt, and elsewhere where mass 'revolutions' are the new and sudden rage. If there are, and your BRussells Tribunal is aware of them, I would be most enlightened if you'd bring them to my attention. I would like to know what am I missing.

But surely you will not disagree, that a beneficial revolution must start as the revolution of the mind, and present an alternative system that is both prima facie fair and just to the plebeians it resorts to govern, and has no hidden levers of manipulation and subversive control which operationally culminate only in full spectrum bondage. Meaning, getting theory and practice to closely match is yet another layer of social and political engineering which must be instrumented into the governance system itself.

The American Revolution is a perfect example of the anomalous absence of latter. It was hijacked into a pathologically war-mongering military-industrial complex by Trojan Horses and brought to its knees in less than a hundred years of the enactment of its Federal Reserve Act in 1913 by its own elected and Representative Congress which voluntarily gave the power of coining its national money to a handful of private banksters. The elected leaders themselves enabled their own public's and nation's servitude to the unelected global oligarchy: “give me control of a nation's money supply, and I care not who makes its laws”. America's mass ignorance is also by no accident. It has systematically been engineered to camouflage that subterfuge, that their
“democracy” is a sham, run from behind the scenes by an oligarchy who present to the public wholly manufactured leaders beholden to the oligarchy, for their democratic vote. In fact, ask any American what sort of government they have, and invariably the answer is “democracy”. Whereas that word is foreign to America's constitution which seeded a constitutional republic, and not a democracy! The level of ignorance is so systemic, that even today, a Harvard or Stanford MBA does not know how their money is coined, while united they all stand for the tyranny inflicted by the empire thinking they are only doing so in self-defense against the Ali Baba du jour. Even that fear is engineered to sustain “imperial mobilization”, as is amply documented by Zbigniew Brzezinski himself, and amply deconstructed by yours truly.

This proud American Revolution, ostensibly empowering when read on its glorified piece of parchment, along with most of Western hemisphere, is now headed towards its operational culmination beyond its “1984” like Orwellian police state today, to the “Ultimate Revolution” described by Aldous Huxley in these words:

“Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.”


And a good example of a successful beneficial revolution?

I will leave it to your imagination. It will surely be useful to define
what a beneficial revolution is ab initio, what are its essential characteristics which must be preserved in any system of governance, both locally, and internationally, and how are its leaders chosen to run the affairs of the people – while ensuring that they do not secretly become beholden to puppetmasters. This is a story as old as Plato, as old as power and its vile inflexion, and its comprehensive definition is sine qua non for any peoples to be free to govern their own selves according to their own liking in a process of eternal vigilance that is *tous azimuth*.

Most Sincerely,

and with salaams to all who are purveyors of *haq* to every people,

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

---

**Addendum – Observation: Introducing NATO Peace Keeping Forces**

March 02, 2011, 2:50 PM PST

To: BRussells Tribunal,

Today's news headlines point to one of the obvious covert agendas behind this sudden “revolution” bubble throughout the Middle East: “US warships enter Egypt's Suez Canal” (Press TV, Fox News).

In the absence of any genuine political leadership in our Middle Eastern nations due to decades of oppressive autocratic rules by various praetorian guards from among the Muslims, i.e., House Negroes of empire, the vacuum has to be filled when these are suddenly removed. Apart from new stooges appointed/selected/elected to lead our na-
tions, either as protagonists, or as antagonists (as per the Hegelian Dialectic already explained elsewhere), it is apparent that the introduction of international “peace keeping” forces is part of the agenda.

To fully comprehend this agenda, one has to penetratingly understand what transpired in Kosovo in the 1990s, and what was the end result. The internationalization of the United Nations and NATO “peace keeping” forces in order to maintain peace and stability among a fractious people unable to govern themselves like civilized human beings. Kosovo set the legal, and UN sanctioned precedent for how the World Order of one-world government is to be principally governed. That agenda is not new. It has been amply discussed by others. It is also frequently referenced in the many publicly available strategy documents and books of empire.

That very end result in the case of Middle East, throughout the 'arc of crisis' in the 'global zone of percolating violence', is evidently being sought through these manufactured “revolutions” which only seed chaos, civil war, Muslim on Muslim ethnic/religious/political violence, all leading to the demoralization and disintegration of the cohesive social fabric under the auspices of 4th-generation warfare designed to destroy nations and societies from within. Just as was done to Serbia, and just as has been implemented successfully in Iraq. By taking the public through calculated extremes of stress-relief cycles, by means of war, or civil war, the indigenous masses are systematically primed to eventually accept whatever solution is imposed upon them in the brief relief periods, as their existential necessities of survival. It matters little if such acceptances are contrived through “elected parliaments”, enforced by invasion forces by the fiat of conquest, or by “peace keeping” forces.

The end result is that the people end up losing all their national sovereignty, in anything and everything which is meaningful to being a nation rather than an administrative colony. Our nations have already lost most everything at the altar of the World Bank and the IMF. Our armed forces were already working over time in carrying the white
man's burden. Now, even that veneer is to be stripped for complete capitulation, to the vaunted International Peace Keepers being introduced, ostensibly, to train the rebels! Eventually, only veneers and facades will be left behind, some respectable, and some antagonistic in order to be able to escalate the white man's “threat level” for its own domestic consumption to Defcon 2 as needed. Pakistan is a shining example of continuing exactly the same policies as were in place under the decade long dictatorial reign of General Musharraf – all in support of the “massa”. And today, Pakistan and Iran, the beneficiary of the Iranian mob-revolution, constitute the core pretext for ever going to Defcon 2!

The learned and the savants affiliated with the conquered societies always seem to dutifully rise to the occasion of heralding “change” that is brought to their shores – often by getting the people to ask for “change” themselves.

From America's “change” president, to Pakistan's “change” president, to all the “regime changes” planned in the 'global zone of percolating violence', can anyone identify specific changes which have been beneficial to the independence of those nations? No.

And these same learned and the savants, always also seem to be the ones to justify the solutions brought by the white man when it is most necessary to oppose them. These savants with lofty titles and marketable associations, outright refuse to see the subversive game plan in preemption, when it can be defeated. Then, meaning now when breaking events in the Middle East are overtaking the commonsense of most intelligentsia, when it is utmost essential to be able to distinguish between cause and effects with forensic clarity, when it is urgently necessary to not be carried away by the visible dramas being enacted among the public, and when it is an existential imperative to comprehend the invisible subversive forces at play in order to defeat them, these brilliant minds suddenly become useful idiots of empire echoing its narratives!
Later, ex post facto, once the fait accompli is sewn tight, they will suddenly transform into the *useful rebels* of empire shouting *war crimes tribunals* – and for whom? For the previous crop of errand boys and girls of empire which has absolutely no relevance to the new atrocities being created on the ground by their replacements with the same, and sometimes more, impunity. My website documents my many letters to *useful idiots* and *useful rebels*, and I am quite unable to distinguish among them, whether they are just “controlled dissent” working for empire, or just being plain stupid. It does puzzle me that how is it that stupid people have become leading opinion-makers in almost every nation? Is it that their opinions have some inherent wisdom? Or is it that the empire promotes them as *useful idiots*?

It is my expectation that the BRussells Tribunal seeking fair justice in Iraq, and publicly offering its opinions on these manufactured “revolutions” in the Middle East which is entirely an echo of what is in the Western press or in empire's own dissent press, is not among them. That, you're only gravely mistaken. Just as I may be gravely mistaken. Well, when we know we have made a mistake, we have an opportunity for correction. But we only take such opportunities when we are genuine, and not vile fabricators.

Therefore, please respond urgently to the challenges outlined here with something more than pointing to your previous facile articles published in the same colonized rag which principally only allow opinions that retain the core-axioms of empire. I invite you to offer some new cogent analysis of what is disclosed here as a challenge by this humble plebe, and I will be happy to append it to this document if it has anything new to offer. I will be even happier if it can correct any of my own misperceptions. As I said before, none of us are perfect. And because of our many imperfections, by constructively collaborating, when we are genuine partisans of justice, perhaps the sum can be greater than the parts.

Our peoples of Iraq, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Palestine, Lebanon, Iran, Egypt, Syria, Libya, Bahrain, the entire *arc of crisis* in the ‘global
zone of percolating violence’ need genuine un co-opted revolutionaries who can pose even half a challenge to the intellectual warfare that is the primal cause of our continuous defeats at the hands of hectoring hegemons who only contrive to wage wars by way of deception! While many repeatedly echo that maxim like parrots, few betray the timely recognition of that maxim when it can be most effective in defeating such warfare.

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

**Source URL:** http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/02/letter-brussells-tribunal-zahirebrahim.html
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Part-IV Instrumenting a Kosovo in the “Arc of Crisis” and the “Global Zone of Percolating Violence”

Fool us once shame on you; fool us twice shame on us; fool us repeatedly, curse on us!

The pertinent news headlines in just this first week of March 2011 point to one of the obvious covert agendas behind this sudden “revolution” bubble throughout the Middle East:

- 'US warships enter Egypt's Suez Canal' (Press TV, Fox News and AP) ;
• 'Obama signals willingness to intervene militarily in Libya if crisis worsens' (Washington Post, Daily Mail) ;
• 'Obama administration prepares for possibility of new post-revolt Islamist regimes' (Washington Post), etc.

Caption Libyan rebels repel attacks as refugees flee Ajdabiya Eastern Libya, March 03, 2011 (AP Photo Kevin Frayer, image via sacbee)

In the absence of any genuine political leadership in our Middle Eastern nations due to decades of oppressive autocratic rules by various praetorian guards from among the Muslims, i.e., House Negroes of empire, the vacuum has to be filled when these are suddenly removed.

I had already observed some *rocket science* (sic!) in part-2 in anticipation of the third headline noted above:

'This theme repeats over and over again with variations. Sometimes, the preferred military dictator brought about with a coup, is replaced by a hand-
picked malleable corrupt bastard under the facade of elections, leaving a wake of public discontent in either case, and then musical chairs begin again as the society is led by its nose towards more orchestrated chaos and insecurity. The same deadly “music” is perhaps being played in Egypt and Tunisia. With “militant” Islam poised to take hold of both nations – as per the natural aspirations of the peoples after decades of oppressive secular rule – it is rather transparent that the 'arc of crisis' is being primed for radicalization.'

Therefore, apart from new stooges appointed/selected/elected to lead our nations, either as protagonists, or as antagonists (as per the Hegelian Dialectic already explained elsewhere), from the other headlines in establishment's own newspapers, it should now be apparent to even the most dunce non rocket scientists that the introduction of international “peace keeping” forces is part of the agenda behind creating this sudden vacuum in power in the Middle East. As reported in the first headline noted above by Press TV:

'The US, along with Britain and France, has also sent hundreds of Special Forces to Libya's east. The forces are setting up bases in the cities of Benghazi and Tobruk. The move comes against the backdrop of heated discussions over the possibility of imposing a no-fly zone on Libya, and a NATO-backed military intervention.

The US Senate on Tuesday unanimously passed a resolution urging the world to consider imposing a no-fly zone over Libya and condemning Gaddafi's bloody crackdown on Libyan civilians. Lawmakers approved the measure, which "applauds" demonstrators demanding democratic reforms and "strongly condemns" Gaddafi's response.'
To fully comprehend this agenda, one has to penetratingly understand what transpired in Kosovo in the 1990s, and what was the end result. The internationalization of the United Nations and NATO “peace keeping” forces in order to maintain peace and stability among a fractious people unable to govern themselves like civilized human beings. Kosovo set the legal, and UN sanctioned precedent for how the World Order of one-world government is to be principally governed. That agenda is not new. It has been amply discussed by others. It is also frequently referenced in the many publicly available strategy documents and books of empire.

That very end result in the case of Middle East, throughout the 'arc of crisis' in the 'global zone of percolating violence', is evidently being sought through these manufactured “revolutions” which only seed chaos, civil war, Muslim on Muslim ethnic/religious/political violence, all leading to the demoralization and disintegration of the cohesive social fabric under the auspices of 4th, 5th, 6th, ... generation warfare methods designed to destroy nations and societies from within. Just as was done to Serbia. And just as has been implemented successfully in Iraq. By taking the public through calculated extremes of stress-relief cycles, by means of war, or civil war, the indigenous masses are systematically primed to eventually accept whatever solution is imposed upon them in the brief relief periods, as their existential necessities of survival. It matters little if such acceptances are contrived through “elected parliaments”, enforced by invasion forces by the fiat of conquest, or by “peace keeping” forces.

The end result is that the people end up losing all their national sovereignty, in anything and everything which is meaningful to being a nation rather than an administrative colony. Our nations have already lost most everything at the altar of the World Bank and the IMF. Our armed forces were already working over time in carrying the white man's burden. Now, even that veneer is to be stripped for complete capitulation, to the vaunted International Peace Keepers being introduced, ostensibly, to support/protect (and arm and train) the rebels as
was reported by Fox News and AP in the usual camouflaged language of deception: “And while he [Defense Secretary Robert Gates] did not rule out other options, such as providing air cover for Libyan rebels, he made clear he has little enthusiasm for direct military intervention.” Initially, fomenting an armed civil war will do just fine to soften up the target from within, as the news headlines in the UK Independent screamed on March 07, 2011: 'Obama asks Saudis to airlift weapons into Benghazi - America's secret plan to arm Libya's rebels'. Setting the Muslim patsies up in Libya just like we did for years in Iraq would facilitate further justifiable intervention, announced the UK Telegraph on March 08, 2011: 'David Cameron and President Barack Obama have announced a 'full spectrum' of action on Libya'.

The brilliant 'full spectrum' of action on Libya' is to be drawn directly from the very successful Iraqi template, since the desperate Muslim polity in the Middle East evidently still don't seem to have a damn clue what we are up to:

'A joint British and US statement said a plan for a no-fly zone, as requested by many of the rebels, was among the ideas being discussed. Action would also include surveillance and enforcement of the arms embargo against Libya. ...

The significance of control over Libya’s oil facilities was emphasised when William Hague, the foreign secretary, told MPs he was considering whether to push for international action to take billions of dollars of Libyan oil revenues away from the Gaddafi regime and put them under United Nations control.

The plan, inspired by the oil-for-food system imposed on Saddam Hussein’s Iraq, would require a decision by the United Nations Security Council, which is already under pressure to impose a no-fly zone over
Libya in light of Col Gaddafi’s use of air power to try to crush the rebellion against his 41-year-rule.

When will the Muslim fools learn?

This is the meaning of the prescience of the 'arc of crisis' in the 'global zone of percolating violence'. How else does such remarkable foresight come about, decades before the percolating violence, unless it is orchestrated with long term planning and social engineering? The agenda for world government has been in systematic piece meal enactment for over a hundred years. See: Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order.

In every nation as it exists today, among every peoples, eventually, only veneers and facades will be left behind, some respectable, and some antagonistic in order to be able to escalate the white man's “threat level” for its own domestic consumption to Defcon 2 as needed. Pakistan is a shining example of continuing exactly the same policies as were in place under the decade long dictatorial reign of General Musharraf – all in support of the “massa”. And today, Pakistan and Iran, the beneficiary of the Iranian mob-revolution, constitute the core pretext for ever going to Defcon 2!

The uber learned and other brilliant savants affiliated with the conquered societies always seem to dutifully rise to the occasion of heralding “change” that is brought to their shores – often by getting the people to ask for “change” themselves.

From America's “change” president, to Pakistan's “change” president, to all the “regime changes” planned in the 'global zone of percolating violence', can anyone identify specific changes which have been beneficial to the independence of those nations? No.

And these same savants always also seem to be the ones to justify the solutions brought by the white man when it is most necessary to genuinely and effectively oppose them with efficacy. Carrying the white man's burden is evidently back in full vogue. But to any half astute observer of geopolitics, it would appear to actually never ever have
gone out of fashion since time immemorial, despite Rudyard Kipling's poetic laments in 1899 that the West wasn't carrying its fair share of the white man's burden in the Philippines. These savants with lofty titles and marketable associations, either knowingly as mercenaries and stooges, or unwittingly as useful idiots, outright refuse to preemptively see the subversive game plan of conquest through deception, when it can actually be defeated by understanding the agenda of the enemy. Then, meaning now when breaking events in the Middle East are overtaking the commonsense of most intelligentsia, when it is utmost essential to be able to distinguish between cause and effects with forensic clarity, when it is urgently necessary to not be carried away by the visible dramas being enacted among the public, and when it is an existential imperative to comprehend the invisible subversive forces at play in order to defeat them, these brilliant minds suddenly become parrots of empire echoing its narratives!

Later, ex post facto, once the fait accompli is sewn tight, they will suddenly transform into the useful rebels of empire shouting war crimes tribunals – and for whom? For the previous crop of errand boys and girls of empire which has absolutely no relevance to the new atrocities being created on the ground by their replacements with the same, and sometimes more, impunity. My website documents my many letters to useful idiots and useful rebels, and I am quite unable to distinguish among them, whether they are just “controlled dissent” working for empire, or just being plain stupid. It does puzzle me that how is it that stupid people have become leading opinion-makers in almost every nation? Is it that their opinions have some inherent wisdom? Or is it that the empire promotes them as useful idiots?

Our suffering brethren in Iraq, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Palestine, Lebanon, Iran, Egypt, Syria, Libya, Bahrain, the entire 'arc of crisis' in the 'global zone of percolating violence' need genuine unco-opted revolutionaries – not mob “revolutions” – who can pose even half a challenge to the intellectual warfare that is the primal first cause of our continuous defeats at the hands of hectoring hegemons. Using the
intellect is the first line of defense against those who wage wars by way of deception! While many repeatedly echo that maxim like parrots, few betray the timely recognition of that maxim when it can be most effective in defeating such warfare.

What can be done?

If you know who the enemy is, deeply understand its modalities of conquest, and know yourself, you can take measures to do something about it. That enemy, the first enemy, is within our own nations.

Unless the fifth columnists in every nation and among every people – those who work against their own peoples, both in and out of power, those who set up puppet regimes with military might and then tear it down with manufactured mob power, those who engineer consent among the gullible public and those who play false oppositions to head of genuine rebellion, and those who remain behind the errand boys occupying presidencies who come and go, but they go on forever behind the scenes – all of them, are juridically euthanized first in the greater interest of the huddled masses, the battle against colonization is lost even before it is begun.

As was narrated by Sun Tzu in The Art of War, the oldest and still most effective military treatise in the world which principally underlies all significant political planning in the “temples”, i.e., think-tanks, of modernity:

- All warfare is based on deception;
- Now the general who wins a battle makes many calculations in his temple [before] the battle is fought. The general who loses a battle makes but few calculations beforehand. Thus do many calculations lead to victory, and few calculations to defeat: how much more no calculation at all! It is by attention to this point that I can foresee who is likely to win or lose;
- Thus it is that in war the victorious strategist only seeks battle
after the victory has been won, whereas he who is destined to defeat first fights and afterwards looks for victory.

That is a lesson plan the modern *hectoring hegemons* – those who through many calculations, seed wars, pestilences, calamities, debt slavery, those who instigate nations to fight, those who turn brother against brother by incubating traitors, stooges, fools and useful idiots among them – have deftly followed over the past two centuries. So long as these traitors of humanity exist among us – and I don't see how the Mir Jafar/Mir Sadiq among every people can ever be fully eliminated – the battle will always go to those who remain superior in the *Art of War*.

Plebeians know nothing about the *Art of War* – and so we are easily mobilized into the streets, sometimes for pecuniary gain, sometimes as the method of last resort to vent steam against tyranny. Such social engineering principles are well understood by those who plan these outcomes and harness the predictable mass behavior for their own purposes. Their effective counter can only come by combining shrewd political intellect with un co-opted political power which can defend against these incessant onslaughts upon the powerless and rather predictable masses of human beings.

Neither does such a political intellect, nor such a political power, visibly exist today in the entire 'arc of crisis', the 'global zone of percolating violence', both self-serving characterizations of Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, the diabolical author as well as the covert architect of *The Grand Chessboard*. The reason it has been eviscerated is not merely by happenstance, or because the 'lesser peoples' just love to live under autocratic rule. But by calculated design of the *hectoring hegemons* themselves who have continually brought to power, aided and abetted, in many cases entirely financed, in other cases militarily and politically supported, the many vile dictatorships and superficial egotistical kingdoms (spanning the gamut from benign as in Bahrain to draconian as in Saudi Arabia) in these very nations in which they today raise the banner of “revolution” for “democracy”. Is this such a surprise that
they now fully effectuate this banner? The Project for the New American Century (PNAC) even openly advertised instigating “regime change” being the new foreign policy calculus of the lone superpower for the twenty first century, violently replacing the molding stability of its own previously favored tin-pot dictatorships with the vibrant instability of “revolutionary times” – the singular catalyst of all transformations.

As already quoted above, comprehending Machiavellianly poisoned apples presented to Snow White: 'Lawmakers approved the measure, which "applauds" demonstrators demanding democratic reforms and "strongly condemns" Gaddafi's response.', is really not rocket science – unless one is a complete imbecile, or superlatively naïve. The remaining logical conclusion therefore, when one pretends to not comprehend, is that one is among the fifth columnists!

This immediately helps us identify and “bin” (sort) our first enemies rather straightforwardly into the useful categories of traitors and fools, to separate them from the huddled masses. Hang the traitors and harness the fools to mobilize them productively for our own cause – easier said than done without genuine political power and intellectual prowess to bring it about. This is evidently as true in Brzezinski's 'global zone of percolating violence' as in Brzezinski's 'sole remaining superpower' which is entirely overrun by traitors and fools together screwing their own nation. As is self-evident from my Message to the US Congress in 2008 at the time of their willing passage of the Bankster Bailout Bill, failure to lead and act propitiously, trivially identifies who works for whom. It is not entirely obvious how any self-respecting nation, peoples, and even superpower, can survive either its traitors or its fools. Which is why none do!
What Can Be Done Once We Understand The Real Enemy?

Nothing can be done without getting rid of the fifth columnists first. This should be rather self-evident as it is virtually a truism, but is evidently not! Nations are run on the treadmills of reform, democracy, elections, revolutions, martial law, while the fifth columnists representing their masters agendas rise to every task of subversion with a matching modus operandi that ropes in all the useful idiots and mercenaries necessary for maintaining the servile status quo.

Hence the equally self-evident import of the remarkable truth in the 2500 years old manual for waging both successful wars and successful self-defense:

“If you know the enemy and know yourself, you need not fear the result of a hundred battles. If you know yourself but not the enemy, for every victory gained you will also suffer a defeat. If you know neither the enemy nor yourself, you will succumb in every battle.” --- Sun Tzu, Art of War

Therefore, in conclusion, if a genuine revolutionary acumen which can effectively bring to bear the above nugget of political wisdom from The Art of War is secretly undergoing some zealous birth-pangs just beneath the surface, it is high time these boldly germinated while the soil is still fertile. Just as the hectoring hegemons need “revolutionary times” to seed their own agendas, the same “revolutionary times” really become a double edged sword in the art of war.

Why?

Because it is also only in these “revolutionary times” that the enemy can also be conceivably defeated: “what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times”! As history testifies, it is only in “revolutionary times” that the fifth columnists reveal themselves in order to play their assigned hand.
And it is only in “revolutionary times” that the entire house can be cleansed in a genuine revolution. Since the hectoring hegemons well understand this – empiricism indicates that they are also far better attuned to continually preempting it in ongoing game theory enactment with massive deployment of resources and newer Trojan Horses. To wage an effective war against such nemeses fundamentally require engaging Mens et Manus – both mind and hand together!

Short URL:  http://tinyurl.com/Arc-of-Crisis
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The Decapitation of Pakistan by its own Military as Counter-Insurgency – The Surrogates of Empire

[Dateline Sept. 28 to Nov. 02, 2009, California, United States]

Let me begin this very difficult exposition as a Pakistani citizen (my only citizenship, by choice, despite being a legal permanent resident in the United States for almost three decades) with the question Who really killed Benazir Bhutto? [1] I mean who are the prime-movers?
The trigger-pullers are obviously irrelevant and remain faceless. They will surely never be known.

Well, let's read it in Benazir Bhutto's own lucid words which have now been augmented, almost two years later, with the Pakistan's Army Chief of Staff's belated disclosures of September 21, 2009. [2] Why belated? Well, please see these unpublished letters to many Pakistani newspaper editors on their repeatedly perpetuating the fiction of *Who Killed Benazir Bhutto* in cahoots with the 'hectoring hegemons' and their agents! All traitors and co-opted errand boys. [3]

The American agenda for Pakistan is not a state-secret. Rather, it is only thinly disguised as perpetually fighting the “insurgents” in a lifetime of war, the World War IV. [4] Whereas, in reality, both the “insurgency”, and the “counter-insurgency”, are entirely designed and fabricated in the USA as part of the evolving tactics of Hegelian Dialectics. They are enacted on the ground by various two-bit errand boys and expert trigger pullers. The already well-known existence of black-ops assassination squads in Pakistan/Afghanistan, known to the local peoples for years as the real prime-movers behind the heinous local terrorist acts, belatedly confirmed by NYT, WP, and NYT, in August 2009. [5] See these two December 2008 reports on the Mumbai terrorist Act as reportedly orchestrated by ALI BABA from his perch in the Hindu Kush. [6] The arrival of the black-ops in the region is not recent, albeit the public disclosures might be.

Starting in the immediate aftermath of 911, and perhaps even earlier, Pakistan may well have become the largest deployment region for the CIA in modern times, both covert (unknown to Pakistani government and secretly working to destabilize Pakistan with false-flag operations), and overt (with Pakistani military's aiding and abetting, in full view of the world, ostensibly fighting the “insurgents”, “Bin Laden”, “Al-Qaeda”, but in the process mainly “tickling” its own innocent civilians in many a barbaric way). [7]

And since Jundallah got launched to destabilize Iran from Pakistani
soil (their “insurgencies”), Baluchistan along with the Pak-Afghan regions have been awash with black-ops, and obviously of course, also with officially recognized US soldiers manning American military bases on Pakistani soil. [8] But these soldiers of freedom were rarely spotted in the streets of major cities before. The following video report of September 08, 2009, therefore portends of ominous whirlwinds imminently engulfing Pakistan: [9]

To put this ominous presence of soldiers of freedom and fortune on Pakistani soil in full black-ops context of NATO and the Western Alliance, of diabolically manufacturing and sustaining the very pretexts out of uniform to enable the in-uniform forces to continue on with their perpetual mission of fighting World War IV, it is sufficient to look at what is transpiring in IRAQ for lessons to wisely apply to one's own predicament in order to avoid a far worse one.

Fast forwarding past all the initial pretenses of Sadaam Husain being responsible for 911, his WMDs about to destroy America, the Mission
Accomplished statement of President Bush, and the “oops! intelligence failure” statements by the neo-con's own Iraq Study Group after the raping of Mesopotamia and the DNA of its ancient and proud peoples were fait accompli, etceteras, the biggest reason for not withdrawing the US troops from Iraq is continually stated to be the infernal ongoing **INSURGENCY**. Iran, Shias, Sunnis, and Al-Qaeeda are variously blamed for the main cause of America's continued military occupation of Iraq; new “troop-surges” are periodically announced amidst some dissenting consternation willy-nilly expressed in its news media and by its politicians to let the American public know that it is not an easy choice to be making. The Iranian strawman has already been amply unraveled elsewhere. [10] However, witness the following familiar statement of former President George W. Bush on the legend of the new Ali Baba [11] of Mesopotamia, Abu Musab Al-Zarqawi: [12]

“You know, I hate to predict violence, but I just understand the nature of the killers. This guy, Zarqawi, an al Qaeda associate -- who was in Baghdad, by the way, prior to the removal of Saddam Hussein -- is still at large in Iraq. And as you might remember, part of his operational plan was to sow violence and discord amongst the various groups in Iraq by cold-blooded killing. And we need to help find Zarqawi so that the people of Iraq can have a more bright -- bright future.” -- George W. Bush, Press Conference, 1 June 2004

The indefatigable Michel Chossudovsky, citing Bush's afore-quoted statements wrote: “Zarqawi constitutes Washington's justification for the continued military occupation of Iraq, not to mention the brutal siege of densely populated urban areas directed against 'Al Qaeda in Iraq' which is said to be led by Zarqawi.” [13]

It is now November 02, 2009 – and the United States is still very much in Iraq. Its own economy is in deep recession, and the free-
money printing by the Feds is taking it towards hyperinflation. The world is not only mired in its worst global financial crisis that is seeing the end of Western hegemony in its industrial production capabilities, but is also plagued by a global pandemic, global warming, and an un-ending global war on terror, sinking valuable trillions of dollars which could otherwise have been spent on converting overnight the entire world's six billion population into America's middle class status of the 1960s, while also wiping out much of the developing nations' unbearable debt. That alone would most assuredly have earned the United States the genuine love of the entire world instead of the present shoes thrown at its all powerful president. How remarkably has the world's sole superpower been brought down so pathetically to its knees by the Ali Baba of Iraq that today it cannot even extricate itself from giving a diabolical police-state to its own peoples in the pretext of saving them from the global terrorist! What sort of idiotic “American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives” agenda, and what kind of asinine quest for “Full Spectrum Dominance” is this? [14] Wow Ali Baba, what magical powers you have of not only so successfully entangling the United States in the Iraqi “quagmire” indefinitely, but of being the prime-mover behind the demise of nation-states to usher in the only permissible solution out of these multifaceted global threats – world government! [15]

Focussing on the pertinence at hand however, what does the Ali Baba of Iraq have to do with the following shocking news reports purveyed in titles which are illustrative enough of the key point: “Were British Special Forces Soldiers Planting Bombs in Basra?” September 25, 2005; British “Undercover Soldiers” Caught driving Booby Trapped Car “They refused to say what their mission was.” September 20, 2005. [16]

Michel Chossudovsky, writing the latter article and displaying his unco-opted integrity, asked the 64-million dollar obvious questions – the same modus operandi being replayed in Pakistan with even more drastic effect, as now the black-ops have been hardened in Iraq on
how not to get caught when plenty of patsies can be trivially harvested for the job of “legitimizing Insurgency” [17] – which none appear to be publicly asking in Pakistan:

'The following Reuters report raises some disturbing questions.

Why were undercover British “soldiers” wearing traditional Arab headscarves firing at Iraqi police?

The incident took place just prior to a major religious event in Basra.

The report suggests that the police thought the British soldiers looked “suspicious”. What was the nature of their mission?

Occupation forces are supposed to be collaborating with Iraqi authorities. Why did British Forces have to storm the prison using tanks and armoured vehicles to liberate the British undercover agents?

“British forces used up to 10 tanks” supported by helicopters “to smash through the walls of the jail and free the two British servicemen.”

Was there concern that the British “soldiers” who were being held by the Iraqi National Guard would be obliged to reveal the nature and objective of their undercover mission?

A report of Al Jazeera TV, which preceded the raid on the prison, suggests that the British undercover soldiers were driving a booby trapped car loaded with ammunition. The Al Jazeera report (see below) also suggests that the riots directed against British military presence were motivated because the British undercover soldiers were planning to explode the booby
trapped car in the centre of Basra: ...

See: Who is behind “Al Qaeda in Iraq”? Pentagon acknowledges fabricating a “Zarqawi Legend”, in which the Washington Post of April 10, 2006, in its rare moment of candor – just like the New York Times' ex post facto exposé of April 20, 2008 on the Pentagon using retired Generals as mercenaries for hire to blatantly lie on American television in order to drum public support for the Iraq war [18] – is quoted in full context as follows: [19]

"The Zarqawi campaign is discussed in several of the internal military documents. "Villainize Zarqawi/leverage xenophobia response," one U.S. military briefing from 2004 stated. It listed three methods: "Media operations," "Special Ops (626)" (a reference to Task Force 626, an elite U.S. military unit assigned primarily to hunt in Iraq for senior officials in Hussein's government) and "PSY-OP," the U.S. military term for propaganda work..." (WP. 10 April 2006)

The military's propaganda program, according to the Washington Post, has "largely been aimed at Iraqis, but seems to have spilled over into the U.S. media. One briefing slide about U.S. "strategic communications" in Iraq, prepared for Army Gen. George W. Casey Jr., the top U.S. commander in Iraq, describes the "home audience" as one of six major targets of the American side of the war." (WP, op cit.)

An internal document produced by U.S. military headquarters in Iraq, states that "the Zarqawi PSYOP program is the most successful information campaign to date."

With that precious lesson in “imperial mobilization” through sustained PSYOP and manufactured “insurgency” learnt from our
brethren Muslim nation of Iraq – where, in retrospect, and in moments of reflection through their daily strife and humiliation, its beleaguered people must surely ponder upon what could they have possibly done earlier, before 1990, during the iron-reign of Sadaam Hussein, and throughout those horrible intervening 13 years of Western hegemonic sanctions, to have avoided this new dismal fate altogether – let's return to Pakistan.

The multimodal approaches to destabilizing, and consequently balkanizing Pakistan under the fabled pretext of its own illusive Ali Baba, “Osama Bin Laden”, have been so transparent, that today, finally, many a retired con-fession artist are getting in on the act to claim the flag of patriotism. [20] Going for hajj after having eaten 900 mice is the favorite pastime of Pakistani praetorian guards. I am only waiting for any sitting Pakistani General to rise to that occasion, if it's not already too late! [21] But I am afraid it probably is – see here, here, here, here, and here. [22]

The decapitation of Pakistan by Pakistan's finest, wantonly justifying the inglorious 'white man's burden' – the same old 'la mission civilisatrice' under a new name – upon impoverished indigenous shoulders! [23] Even Martin Luther Kings' penetrating description fails to do justice to the scale of calamity in Pakistan: [24]

“The white establishment is skilled in flattering and cultivating emerging leaders. It presses its own image on them and finally, from imitation of manners, dress, and style of living, a deeper strain of corruption develops. This kind of Negro leader acquires the white man's contempt for the ordinary Negro. He is often more at home with the middle-class white than he is among his own people. His language changes, his location changes, his income changes, and ultimately he changes from the representative of the Negro to the white man into the white man's representative to the Negro.”
The shameful and criminal dislocation of up to 2.4 million civilians in May of this year was rightly described as “an exodus that is beyond biblical”. [25]

Just as from the USSR's point of view in yesteryear, the “insurgency” against them in Afghanistan was foreign inculcated, entirely fabricated in the USA (as we know today but held as a closely guarded secret then), which thus forced the Soviets to apply counter-insurgency measures, and subsequently, an outright invasion of Afghanistan (read Brzezinski's own statements in Saving Pakistan, and watch Brzezinski speak in this video clip devilishly crafting the “insurgency” for the Russians on the Pak-Afghan border); the so called “insurgency” in Pakistan is also calculatingly fabricated, ab initio, in the USA through covert intervention and black-ops. [26] Subsequently, with sufficient “tickling” during the overt counter-insurgency operations, and by astutely harvesting all the cultural cracks and lacunae of the people, the “insurgency” acquires a reactionary life of its own. [27]
That latter stage, as well as on-going black-ops induced wanton acts of terrorism using the pre-“tickled” dupes and patsies as now rapidly transpiring, October 9-12, 2009, NYT, NYT – like the secret and 'officially denied' component of NATO's Operation Gladio in Western Europe of yesteryear which blamed it on the communists to keep an increasingly skeptical public's fear of the then boogieman du jour continually alive – are Machiavellianly projected in the news media, by the native informants, by the fabricated dissent-chiefs, and the Mighty Wurlitzer, as INSURGENCY. [28] And therefore, it is officially argued, an unarguable raison d'etre for continuing the barbaric counter-insurgency to implement the writ of the state. This predictably creates a self-sustaining destabilization as both commonsense and political science 101 would inform even an imbecile. The blood-drenched puppetshow so enacted, inevitably affords a compelling pretext to the puppetmasters to justify their own military intervention to “save” the people who are incapable of doing it themselves.

The innocent Pakistan military, not too well-versed in political science or Hegelian Dialectics based Machiavellian state-craft (I presume), in this prelude to NATO forces marching in, is similarly being compelled to take real counter-insurgency measures like the Soviets. Aided and abetted of course by high ranking traitors from within their own ranks, and by their foreign paymasters' militaries (NATO, Blackwater now renamed Xe, and other un-named foreign divisions operating within Pakistan which I call “Jundallah-plusplus” to distinguish them from “Jundallah” which is apparently targeting Iran from Pakistani soil). The simple fact that Pakistan is supplying all the drinking water (bottled by Nestle), and full logistics channel for war-making supplies to NATO in Afghanistan is telling in and of itself. Pakistan is equally responsible for destroying the Afghan society, the Afghan people, and there is no less spilled-blood of innocent Afghani Muslims upon Pakistan's hands over the past 30 years, than upon the United States'.

Pakistani military helped destroy Afghanistan, and they are now help-
ing to destroy Pakistan. No Pakistani civilian I know, including myself, ever authorized the Pakistani military to destroy Afghanistan, or aid the United States in its own hegemonic plans on the Grand Chessboard. Do you know anyone? So from where did they get their mandate? I would rather have clean drinking water in my tap, damn it! What good are the bloody nukes when they become the *raison d'être* for our very destruction in this manner without ever firing a single missile at the drones that are killing our own peoples?

It gives me no pleasure to repeatedly rehearse this footnote to history. What is not already obvious to the Pakistanis? It must surely still occur to many a reasonable military man serving with genuine zeal and honor in the real pivot of power in Pakistan that the end is drawing near. What are they doing idly watching the battle of their lives from the sidelines — when they are not shooting or displacing their own peoples that is? As quoted from a Dawn newspaper column:

“THIS article poses two questions: on the day after US/Nato forces invade and occupy some of Balochistan and Waziristan, what will we say we should have done, and why aren’t we doing it now? Is this far-fetched? ... One hopes that a small group of patriotic officers in Pakistan are also asking themselves what can be done, and why aren’t we doing it now.”

[29]

Gentlemen may cry, Peace, Peace—but there is no peace. The war is actually begun! The next gale that sweeps from the north will bring to our ears the clash of resounding arms! And that's the tortuous *déjà vu* reality today. [30]

If one is genuinely confused about who is the enemy, whether it's the Taliban, Al-Qaedia, Islamism, Militant Islam, unknown foreign fighters, foreign intelligence agencies, India, Israel, American interests, or the United States' globalist oligarchs hell bent on criminally realizing their one-world government agenda by fabricating and harvesting “*re-
volutionary times” across the planet. [31] please spend some time reviewing the book The Pakistan Decapitation Papers available from Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
[32] Write to me if you are still uncertain about who is behind “tickling” the so called “insurgents” into existence, and why that is necessary in order to fight the lifetime of World War IV with our blood. After all, “God is on your side”!

Addendum

[ Dateline California, October 26, 2010 to July 21, 2011 ]

The BBC News reported on October 06, 2010 that 'Nato contractors 'attacking own vehicles' in Pakistan'. It stated in its carefully worded “limited hangout”:

'Nato supply convoys travelling through Pakistan to Afghanistan have regularly come under attack in the past, but following Pakistan's decision to block their route through the Khyber Pass, they now face an even bigger security threat. Hundreds of tankers and trucks have been left stranded on highways and depots across Pakistan, with little or no security. Taliban militants have regularly been targeting the convoys, even when they are heavily protected. But many believe it is not just the militants who pose a security threat to the convoys. The owners of oil tankers being used to supply fuel to Nato in Afghanistan say some of the attacks on their convoys are suspicious. They say there
is evidence to suggest that bombs have been planted in many of vehicles by the “Nato contractors” – individuals or companies who have been contracted by Nato to supply fuel and goods to forces in Afghanistan.'

With allegation-phrases like “many believe”, “they say”, without lending any forensic or critical factual analysis as to why 'Nato contractors' who work directly for the Pentagon and NATO, might be doing such a thing, the belated disclosure feeds rumors that are already ripe among the 'untermensch' victims that there is something terribly wrong with this 'War on Terror' whose principal victims since its inception continue to be innocent civilians. Why would the occupation forces want to increase the discontent of the already beleaguered people by such rumor-mongering reportage, and by the systematic controlled leaks that we see cropping up now and then which convey, from its own official records, American barbarianism upon Muslim civilians? Or more aptly framed in Michael Hayden's vernacular, what is the purpose of this “tickling” reportage?

Our newsmedia, both mainstream print and television, and almost all of alternate media largely playing controlled dissent worldwide, are unwilling to inform the public of the military significance of “insurgency” and “counter-insurgency”. The simpleton mind of the public, these lords of public relations must feel in sympathy with the Report from Iron Mountain, remains “unexposed to the exigencies of higher political or military responsibility” [a] and cannot therefore appreciate the value of the “considerable political sophistication” [b] that goes into the deep calculus of hegemony as “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.” [c]

Poorly read of history as the commoners of course are, including the most educated ones among them with 'scholar' stamped upon their forehead, and weaned on the immediate gratification of the here and the now by having pursued their 'American Dreams' in deep slumber all of their lives, the plebes obviously naturally fail to recognize the
distemper of hegemony when it is inchoate and kept brewing under covers.

They cannot believe that “Peace and its duration, like war, is determined by natural laws that in their fundamental principles do not vary nor are found wanting”. [d] And when this law of hegemony is steam-rolled into practice in the form of the lifetime of 'War on Terror', the only thing visible to the public is the death and mayhem with the respected narrators keeping score. But not the military precision with which red-teaming/blue-teaming insurgency and counter-insurgency are employed to perpetually engage the world in the controlled chaos of World War IV.

The factual political science reason for engaging in such permanent warfare was serendipitously discovered in the 1908-09 minute books of the Carnegie Endowment for Peace in 1953-54 by the indefatigable Congressional Investigator Norman Dodd, for the Reece Committee investigating the suspected subversion by tax-exempt foundations. Norman Dodd rehearsed from memory the occurrence of the following question from the minute books:

'We are now at the year 1908, which was the year that the Carnegie Foundation began operations. In that year, the trustees, meeting for the first time, raised a specific question, which they discussed throughout the balance of the year in a very learned fashion. The question is: “Is there any means known more effective than war, assuming you wish to alter the life of an entire people?” And they conclude that no more effective means than war to that end is known to humanity. So then, in 1909, they raised the second question and discussed it, namely: “How do we involve the United States in a war?”'

( http://youtube.com/watch?v=16_4Sgluk4Q )

That same principal reason guides the presence of American soldiers
all across the “Global zone of percolating violence”, as Zbigniew Brzezinski characterized these locations in 1996, where we now find Western troops engaging in the 'War on Terror'.

So why should NATO set fire to their own convoys and blame it on the insurgents?

Insurgency vs. Counter-Insurgency was forensically examined in my article last year: “The Decapitation of Pakistan by its own Military!”

But first, the reader is invited to spend some time studying the BBC documentary: Operation Gladio, NATO's terror operation on civilian populations in Western Europe to make the public mind on the threat of Communists and Soviet expansion into Europe. That will set the overarching backdrop for understanding the counter-insurgency operation being carried out in Pakistan by the Pakistani military as surrogates of United States of America to make the public mind on the threat of Islamists taking over the world.

[ youtube=http://youtube.com/watch?v=yXavNe81XdQ ]

VALIDATION UPDATE July 21, 2011: 'US aid may be flowing to Afghan insurgents' [e]

Zahir's note: The United States government is itself admitting that American funds are being funneled to the insurgents, once the disingenuousness of doublespeak statements like these are peeled away. Also see John Perkins on how corruption is manufactured among the beggar nations by the donor agencies, slyly aided and abetted, and encouraged by deliberately turning a blind eye knowing full well where the funds are going. The process of covertly as well as overtly inducing corruption to co-opt, and then claiming there is corruption during mechanical “audits”, is an art well known to those who understand imperial state-
craft and its multifaceted notions of cover stories and “plausible deniability” (see Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory for the presidential directive NSC 10/2 if unfamiliar with the concept of “plausible deniability”).

'... “US agencies have taken steps to strengthen their oversight of US funds, but the United States still has limited visibility over how these funds flow through the Afghan economy, leaving these funds vulnerable to fraud or diversion to insurgents,” said the audit.

The findings come amid growing frustration in Washington over the corruption-plagued Afghan government and steadily declining public support for the nearly 10-year-old war.

The audit reviewed oversight of US aid converted to cash, including electronic payments to contractors, and assessed American efforts to bolster the Kabul government's regulation of commercial banks and informal hawala financial networks.

The report said that given the amount of US cash that flows through the Afghan economy, it is imperative that the US government have robust measures in place to ensure that these funds are not used for fraud or diverted to insurgent networks.

“However, we found that agencies have not instituted sufficient controls over US funds,” it said. ... Since 2002, the United States has spent more than $70 billion on security and development assistance in Afghanistan.' Source Associated Press, July 20, 2011

VALIDATION UPDATE March 25, 2011: '331 US officials may leave Pak under secret deal over Davis' [f]
**Zahir's note:** The government of Pakistan is even admitting that insurgency is fabricated (see Letter: Understanding the 'arc of crisis' with minimal work By Zahir Ebrahim which records my own defeat: “Just don't ask me how to get rid of our fifth columnists — that's where I stand defeated.”).

'Isbabad: A total of 331 US officials in Pakistan, most of them suspected of engaging in espionage under diplomatic cover, have been “identified to leave the country” under a secret deal between the two sides for release of American national Raymond Davis, a media report said on Thursday. ... An official said that most of the suspected US officials were “involved in suspicious activities, including photographing and filming of sensitive installations like airbases (Warsak, near Peshawar and Multan), defence bunkers along the Pakistan-India border near Lahore, recruiting persons supporting their activities and launching local people for suspicious activities by offering lucrative benefits”.' Source indianexpress.com/news
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Chapter 24

Fabricating Terror Systems
Imperial Surrogates and 'Terror Central' in Operation Gladio Redux

Terrorists or Imperial Surrogate Armies Flying False Colors?

Tuesday, February 25, 2014

Preamble

Nations at war in their own backyard and unable to defend themselves because their respective political and military elite are unwilling to identify the real enemy they are fighting at home. That, their respective insurgents are just another military division of principal state actors themselves, the Surrogate Army, fully controlled, directed,
trained, armed, financed, and compartmentalized by state actors, masquerading as non-state actor rebels fighting for their respective disgruntled cause autonomously. This exercise fuels what’s called “fourth-gen warfare” in every nation that is being subjected to it, in a “self-fulfilling prophecy” created by the “justified” counter-insurgency military response in the name of protecting the nation. It creates perpetual war that is made to appear organic – Total War – using their own nation's blood. That is the GREAT PRETENCE of our uncle toms, mercenaries, traitors, and useful idiots that their nations are fighting insurgents, when in reality there are up against the Western military alliance flying false colors and wearing disguised uniforms. Wittingly or unwittingly, these patriots are trapping their own nation in this Western military game-theory imposed perpetual imbroglio from which there can never be any escape so long as the great pretense is continued. So long as the real enemy and its real motivation remains mis-identified, the energies of the nations will remain misdirected until the nations exhaust themselves killing their own people --- an exercise which has already passed the high water mark.

Surrogate Armies of empire deployed from Pakistan to Syria are lighting the “arc of crisis” in the “Global Zone of Percolating Violence” in the name of insurgency, and the educated lot is unable to call it for what it is.

“An arc of crisis stretches along the shores of the Indian Ocean, with fragile social and political structures in a region of vital importance to us threatened with fragmentation. The resulting political chaos could well be filled by elements hostile to our values and sympathetic to our adversaries.” — Zbigniew Brzezinski, IRAN: The Crescent of Crisis - TIME, Jan. 15, 1979

“To argue that America is already at war in the region with a wider Islamic threat, of which Iran is the epicenter, is to promote a self-fulfilling prophecy.” —
Zbigniew Brzezinski, US Senate Foreign Relations committee, February 1, 2007

Why set the “arc of crisis” ablaze?

“It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization. .... More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.” — Zbigniew Brzezinski, The Grand Chessboard, 1996

“I am aware that there is still some who would question, or even justify the offense of 911. But let us be clear. Al Qaeda killed nearly 3000 people on that day. The victims were innocent men, women, and children from America and many other nations who had done nothing to harm anybody. And yet Al Qaeda chose to ruthlessly murder these people, claimed credit for the attack, and even now states their determination to kill on a massive scale. They have affiliates in many countries, to try to expand their reach. These are not opinions to be debated.
These are facts to be dealt with.” -- President Obama, Cairo Egypt, June 4th 2009

“No stages. This is total war. We are fighting a variety of enemies. There are lots of them out there. All this talk about first we are going to do Afghanistan, then we will do Iraq… this is entirely the wrong way to go about it. If we just let our vision of the world go forth, and we embrace it entirely and we don’t try to piece together clever diplomacy, but just wage a total war… our children will sing great songs about us years from now.” — Michael Ledeen, AEI, October 29, 2001 (via historycommons.org)

The Saudi-Salafi-Wahabi-Deobandi and Taliban-Al-Qaeda etc: Terrorists OR Imperial Surrogate Armies in Disguised Uniforms Executing Operation Gladio?

This article continues my previous analysis of Operation Gladio of yesteryear (see Annex Identifying the Enemy) as the template for perceptively understanding worldwide terror today being similarly inflicted from continent to continent by well-trained Western surrogates disguised in Islamofascist uniforms and blamed on Islamofascist paties to similarly keep fueling World War IV. For the perceptive readers, my Report on The Mighty Wurlitzer is sufficient to comprehend both the why and how “militant Islam” has been made the dominant narrative of empire. In this article I focus on the “Terror Central”, Pakistan.
The latest spate of terror attack, this time in my own city Islamabad, on March 03, 2014, which took the life of a young lawyer, Fizza Malik, and ten others, and the responsibility for it claimed by yet another manufactured terror network fancifully labeled Ahrarul Hind, which is stated to have phoned in its message to Pakistan's largest English language news daily, Dawn, cannot be overcome by merely continuing on with the core narratives of empire.

The Pakistani intellectual must rise to challenge, ab initio, the entire concept of terrorism beyond the accepted narratives spun by the Pakistani media and opinion-makers of all stripes. Those who give any damn about this nation at all, even an iota's worth, must carefully examine the behind the scenes motivation which fundamentally drive worldwide terrorism today, of which Pakistan is a crucial link. Those with any power to do so must forcefully wrest control of the narrative from the agents, assets, and sayanim of empire who deny Pakistan's public any conception of these diabolical behind the scene forces of the Hegelian Dialectic that drive global terrorism, before the country can ever be effective in defending itself from its own domestic terrorism. The roots of this terror reach far deeper than the antediluvian “militant Islam” projected as being on the rampage worldwide. It penetrates right into the very heart of empire and enables its “imperial mobilization” worldwide.

The sorry fact that the entire establishment of Pakistan, in toto, is self-servingly pitching that imperial narrative while continuing to permit her sovereign territories to be droned by the sole superpower, with occasional outbursts of bravado by her own military in equally futile military operations which mostly kill and displace her own innocent civilians, which in turn naturally seed from amongst the angry and traumatized survivors a continual supply of new recruits into the same terrorist cesspool of suicide bombers, bespeaks of the validity of the common man's rather empirical opinion that childish fools and brigands and blackguards are ruling Pakistan.
However, I still hope that at least some sensible military leaders of Pakistan, and its other elites with power to affect change and the will to pursue it, are reading this article.
Some of the following passages are excerpted from my 2009 essay: Reflections on Modernity.

My all time favorite physicist of Pakistan, the MIT literate prodigy, Dr. Pervez Hoodbhoy, the scholar who contributed his own punditry to the mantra of Islamism in dialectical penmanship to Daniel Pipes’ in erudite prose like “Between Imperialism and Islamism” and “The Threat From Within”, once wrote me in response to my trying to get him to see that Bin Laden couldn’t have done 911 as WTC collapses looked like controlled demolition and that he, Hoodbhoy, was failing to connect all the dots which clearly lead to puppetmasters, saying something to the effect: ~ “remember how our ancestors connected the dots in the sky and saw all those shapes as their gods...”.

So henceforth, Pervez Hoodbhoy judiciously avoided connecting the dots lest he too be misled into seeing things that aren’t there, while of course finding it infinitely pleasurable to continue echoing the mantras and axioms of empire. Not only MIT trained scientists, but apparently almost all major scientists and scholars of any IVY and other lofty pedigree are pregnant with imperial wisdom in that way.

These brilliant scholars only see puppetshows, and painstakingly describe them, but never go towards uncovering the forces which drive them. Since I have already described their salient characteristics in detail before, let me just reproduce it here as its worthwhile to relate that to the topic at hand:

- None of them betray that they possess long term memories, or any comprehension of even recent history that can be contextualized to the present.

- None of them seem to have heard of ‘covert-ops’, ‘black-ops’, and 'false-flag operations'; none of them have read the shrewd analysis of the imperial thinkers themselves of the necessity of real mobilizing pretexts such as the “New Pearl Harbor” and “clear and present danger” as otherwise “Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization“.
None of them apparently understand that covert-ops while they are operational and active, are meant to be secretive and mendacious, which is why they are called ‘covert’, and that their unraveling necessitates perceptively seeing beyond what’s being deliberately made manifest and what’s being insisted upon as ‘two plus two equals five’ – for hard receipts for them will only be uncovered by historians through the famed declassification process post faits accomplis (i.e., ex post facto). The visible effects of these covert-ops and false-flag operations blamed on patsies is however commented upon with most erudite sophistication.

Thus all of these ‘astute’ thinkers, commentators, and media pundits none too miraculously reach the same minimal and common conclusion space regardless of their own starting thesis, or the circuitous routes taken in their analysis and speculations, that at the bare minimum, the scourge of ‘fundamentalism’ and ‘militant Islam’ needs to be checked with renewed commitment in the global ‘war on terror’, or else no one in the ‘civilized world’ would remain safe from these antiquated Taliban style ‘evil jihadis’ and ‘al qaeda’. That root of terror has now been successfully showcased as residing in Pakistan – the ‘Terror Central’!

The root of terror is further determined to be funded by Saudi Arabia, which is itself visibly seen to be pushing its Salafi-Wahabi-Deobandi brand of antediluvian terror upon its surrounding nations, from Syria to Pakistan, in cahoots with the Taliban and Al-Qaeda; in fact, it is variously argued that Saudi Arabia is largely behind the resurgence of the latter “insurgency” throughout the world.

It is indeed deemed a ‘clash of civilizations’, not of the East and the West titans, but of ‘radical antiquated militant Islam’ and the rest of civilized humanity! That “Today [even] if one could wipe America off the map of the world with a wet cloth,
mullah-led fanaticism will not disappear”, as the distinguished native-informant par excellence, the world class physicist Pervez Hoodbhoy, has conclusively observed in his latest analysis of the matter in “Preventing More Lal Masjids”, and which he had earlier explored in great analytical depth in “The Threat From Within”. And none [too] surprisingly, echoing the same mantra of Pakistan becoming a ‘terrorist sanctuary’ [as] CNN a few days ago [which] aired the documentary by Nick Richardson “Pakistan – The Threat Within”. The unanimity of this conclusion space is scary to say the least – at least for us Pakistanis.

- It would appear that the world’s leading thinkers, journalists, newsmedia, scholars and leaders “united we stand” that Pakistan poses a serious threat to world peace! Not the hectoring hegemons who have cleverly utilized 911 “to goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers” in what only appears to be another ‘operation canned goods’ or the ‘Reichstag fire’, the much coveted ‘New Pearl Harbor’ to achieve the ‘transformation of [its] forces’ to achieve ‘full spectrum dominance’ over the planet and outerspace; but my wretched lands of the ancient Indus valley, and my wretched peoples – we are the world threat! – Saving Pakistan from Synthetic ‘Terror Central’ – Orchestration of ‘Lal Masjid’ – a precursor to ‘shock and awe’? July 13-23, 2007.

In the light of what is transpiring in Pakistan today, it is not at all prescient that Pervez Hoodbhoy should have written the following in his ode to Daniel Pipes: “The Threat From Within”. In response to it, I had been compelled to write to dissent-specialist Hoodbhoy that had there not been an author’s name in that document and someone had asked me to guess who had written it, I would have easily guessed Daniel Pipes. Take a look at the following passage for instance:

‘Is Radical Islam Inevitable?’
With the large and growing popular sentiment against Musharraf and his army, one cannot rule out the possibility that in the years ahead nuclear armed Pakistan may fall under a neo-Taliban style Salafi-Wahabi-Deobandi leadership allied with conservative senior military leaders. If it does, then Pakistan could become the world’s most dangerous state. But, although possible, it is certainly not inevitable – countervailing forces work against this nightmare scenario.’ —— Pervez Hoodbhoy, Pakistan – The Threat From Within, Pakistan Security Research Unit (PSRU), Brief Number 13, 23rd May 2007.

The crafty Machiavellian omissions present in that saintly expression of fear by uber physicist Pervez Hoodbhoy: “in the years ahead nuclear armed Pakistan may fall under a neo-Taliban style Salafi-Wahabi-Deobandi leadership allied with conservative senior military leaders. If it does, then Pakistan could become the world’s most dangerous state”, was once again most recently dismantled in Response to ‘Wahabization- Salafization of Pakistan and Muslim Ummah : Fighting the Terrorists But Supporting Their Ideology. In that article in 2009, I had again explained to the Muslim public mind that:

- The Muslim mind grossly misperceives the difference between gardeners and weeds. Focussing on weeds, no matter how eruditely, is inconsequential if the diabolical gardeners who secretly water it without revealing their role (wit Brzezinski “God is on your side”), and often also manufacture it (wit Zionism, Islamism), are not dealt with first. Their ‘uber-mensch’ mind will always cultivate or synthesize newer varieties as deemed necessary for “imperial mobilization”.

- The first order problem is not the weeds, which is only the effect. The first order problem is their first-cause, the gardeners. These “weeds” do not grow to this level of social and political
penetration all by themselves.

- The weeds are “tickled” into existence, fertilized, nurtured and harvested by the gardeners for crafting a perpetual enemy to fight against, often times as red herrings.

- While the unsuspecting public attention remains focused on the weeds’ attention-grabbing plays in the left-field, the real game of “imperial mobilization” goes on in the right-field.

- The wanton terror of these weeds is at times naturally occurring, by the very fact of their continually fertilized existence, and by the “doctrinal motivation” of “God is on your side” fed them; at other times it is created on demand, as targeted false-flag operations subsequently blamed on the weeds who often die in the process; and always kept in the public mind by the power of myth construction, of an elusive all powerful Ali Baba and his rapidly multiplying surrogates of “militant Islam” who not only threaten all of Westerndom, but the entire civilized world.

- The modern uniforms of this Western manufactured terror base are those of the Salafi-Wahabi-Deobandis-Taliban-Al-Qaeeda et. al. motif. Only the label and color of uniform varies to give the illusion of multiplying weeds.

- These vile surrogates and their sub surrogates work for empire in the many countries of the world no differently than NATO's Stay Behind Armies once did in the many nations of Western Europe; controlled through layers of compartmentalized handlers in cellularized semi-autonomous networks, and operating under the singular overarching directive to keep the threat of Islamofascism alive in the public mind.

- These terror cells may well be at liberty to do random terrorism just like in Operation Gladio of yesteryear; given leeway to settle petty scores among themselves in their competition to
grow and prosper – say on cornering the opium, weapon, theology, or terror markets; even encouraged to serve the local political bosses and domestic intelligence apparatuses for the opportunities to corrupt and co-opt that it presents; while major catastrophic terror acts being centrally planned, coordinated, and rehearsed in compartmentalized teams for years to create the flawless executions seen in the many false-flag operations since 9/11, including 9/11.

- The fact that “Saudi petro dollars” are only in proxy service to their masters’ voice is no state-secret.

Cut off the gardeners' resupply lines to the weeds, and the weeds will not only die their own natural death with no intelligence apparatuses and networks left to feed them, but that fate can then, finally, also be accelerated by some judicious use of “RoundUp”, the weed killer!

The Saudi government itself which is often fingered by the useful idiots as the terrorists' principal godfather, is merely the prostitute of empire that is kept in power by empire as their own front-man controlling Islam's holiest sites. It is in fact empire's own sacred authority over the Muslims. By having the Saudis control Mecca and Medina, and by destroying all of Islam's archaeological vestiges from existence in the name of expansion and development, Saudi Arabia can be made an easy target of anger for Muslim masses whenever empire is ready to eject that surrogate. The public anger can easily be channeled to their expendable dogs when the time comes.

It is my sad and sorry prediction that this artificial country called Saudi Arabia which was diabolically engineered out of the grand chessboard of the twentieth century by the British Empire in collusion with its Anglo-American allies, will similarly be bombed to smithereens like Iraq sometimes in this twenty-first century --- to once again save the Muslims of the world from the Saudi-Salafi-Wahab tyranny. Just as Iraq was bombed to save the Iraqis from Saddam's tyranny! The oil control will simply pass over to new surrogates.
That is the twisted reality of the matter. It is called Hegelian Dialectic. And it relies principally upon the control of the public mind to engineer their consent. That requires full control of the narrative. But of course professors of political science, brilliant physicists, religious pontiffs, distinguished politicians, think-tankers, and other assorted opinion-makers who participate in controlling that narrative as stooges and assets of empire have never heard of it. Who is a witting stooge and who isn't is besides the point — all who participate in echoing the core lies of empire work for it.

Identify the real enemy, and its real agenda, and the beleaguered nations of the world, especially Pakistan, Iran, the Middle Eastern nations along the “arc of crisis” in the “global zone of percolating violence” as drawn by Zbigniew Brzezinski, will have the first real opportunity to wage a genuine war on terror against the principal prime-movers of global terror.

But keep deflecting attention to the surrogates and to their barbaric bulldogs, and the distorted narrative alone will ensure that the disease will continue to be improperly diagnosed.

And hence, the proposed global dystopic treatment, the Global War on Terror with drone attacks, police-states, and military invasions, as the World War IV replacement of the Cold War, will be kept going by public consent for a generation and preferably longer.

Is this rocket science?

Nay, it is only political science! The most difficult science of them all. It is neither taught in schools, nor in universities, and obviously never in the work-force. Its burden is made most onerous for those rare prophets who might dare to teach it to those few who would pay heed. George Bernard Shaw, the most insightful playwright that tiny Anglo-Saxon island of worldwide usurpation has ever produced, perceptively observed of its weight in the Preface of his 1921 book of plays, Back To Methuselah:

“[The] hard fact being that we must not teach political
science or citizenship at school. The schoolmaster who attempted it would soon find himself penniless in the streets without pupils, if not in the dock pleading to a pompously worded indictment for sedition against the exploiters. Our schools teach the morality of feudalism corrupted by commercialism, and hold up the military conqueror, the robber baron, and the profiteer, as models of the illustrious and the successful. In vain do the prophets who see through this imposture preach and teach a better gospel: the individuals whom they convert are doomed to pass away in a few years; and the new generations are dragged back in the schools to the morality of the fifteenth century, and think themselves Liberal when they are defending the ideas of Henry VII, and gentlemanly when they are opposing to them the ideas of Richard III. Thus the educated man is a greater nuisance than the uneducated one: indeed it is the inefficiency and sham of the educational side of our schools (to which, except under compulsion, children would not be sent by their parents at all if they did not act as prisons in which the immature are kept from worrying the mature) that save us from being dashed on the rocks of false doctrine instead of drifting down the midstream of mere ignorance. There is no way out through the schoolmaster.”

In our own 21st century too, as in the century of George Bernard Shaw, our well-intentioned men and women of science, arts and letters, the lauded savants, domain experts and Nobel laureates, all having advanced university degrees with “learned” and “expert” prominently stamped upon their forehead, display barely a nodding acquaintance with the subject of political science; and mostly only with its name. The few who do inevitably go to work for the Superman of em-
pire. Their only god has always been power, and *Mephistopheles*, not truth, not compassion, and not concern for the lesser humanity despite oft rehearsed public relations in “humanist” terms. These are the vulgar propagandists, the pied pipers whom the rest of the super-educated *useful idiots* of modernity, the well-intentioned “likka-parrha jahils”, hold sacred as if it was all revealed in the Sinai. Siding with the tales of the emperor is also always “legal” and mostly safe (so long as the emperor remains in power of course), often bringing with it the unbridled opportunities to profit, open doors, entry visas, social standing, the privilege to flatter one's ego, and the gratification to carry the *white man's burden*. All of which easily blur any remaining distinction between ideological mercenaries, and mere pimps and prostitutes, useful idiots, and *Uncle Toms*. Once the false narratives are uttered, it comes to make not even two straws worth of difference who is a propagandist by malevolent creed, who by opportunism, who by ignorance, and who by psychological dispensation.

All these brilliant savants of modernity, both man and *Superman*, the perennial breed in every society who hold the pens, lead its rocket science, and make its public's mind, have been educated to the point that adding two plus two correctly is their most dreaded *pons asinorum*, taxing both their mind and their consciences so feverishly that it is never to be crossed publicly.

George Bernard Shaw couldn't have spoken a more empirically truer half-sentence in his entire half-century of most perceptive and progressive writings than this one:

> “Thus the educated man is a greater nuisance than the uneducated one: indeed it is the inefficiency and sham of the educational side of our schools ... that save us from being dashed on the rocks of false doctrine instead of drifting down the midstream of mere ignorance.”

The remaining half-sentence this sanguine bedrock of moral sanity
left unstated, perhaps only due to some polite consideration for the British empire then on the wane, and not due to being victim of the schoolmasters he lamented: the description of the empirical *Superman* who already exists. That brilliant Social Darwinian among the Neo-Darwinians, infested with extreme predatory instincts and extreme pathological evil, who replaced God after Nietzsche killed Him in the name of giving birth to the immanent *Superman* of the future! Instead, Shaw, just as immoderately as the Neo-Darwinians, misattributed the mayhem that he was witnessing in the aftermath of World War I: “*At the present moment one half of Europe, having knocked the other half down, is trying to kick it to death, and may succeed: a procedure which is, logically, sound Neo-Darwinism.*” to the rule of the infirm: “*Government and exploitation become synonymous under such circumstances; and the world is finally ruled by the childish, the brigands, and the blackguards.*” (Ibid.) That is perhaps only three-quarters truth, or half-truth, and not the whole truth.

The world was then, as it is today, from behind the scenes of the idiocy of political governments, ruled firmly by the rational and calculated primacy instincts of the most brilliant *Superman* who continually divine wars, and World Wars, now we are up to World War IV, as the means of crisis creation to piece-meal remake World Order in their own image.

In fact, the educated man controlling the narrative as the avant-garde in intellectual thought, not only remains a greater nuisance than the uneducated one, he also becomes the vile propagandist by adopting silence about truth that is to be protected from the masses. The British novelist and essayist Aldous Huxley most insightfully understood this about distortions fashioned by omissions and its practical utility in influencing public behavior. Huxley observed in the Preface of his 1931 book of fable, *Brave New World*, which depicted a eugenist dystopia controlled by *ubermensch* forces from behind the scenes that the rest of society remained unaware of:

"The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been ac-
complished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals.'

In a talk given to the students at the University of California, Berkeley, on the thirtieth anniversary of the publication of the Brave New World, Aldous Huxley observed of the very real and empirical role of these behind the scenes forces depicted in his fable, in channeling the public mind that is already most carefully primed by Shaw's schoolmaster for celebrating ignorance, into complete voluntary surrender to the Superman:

'You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to
me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.'

We see precisely that reality unfurl today. Shaw's educated childish fools impervious to political science, and brigands and blackguards, controlled by Huxley's oligarchic forces from behind the scenes, attempting to persuade the public mind to accept Alice in Wonderland absurdities as fact.

We even observe how willingly the world public traveling through American airports surrender themselves to grotesque indignities in physical searches to keep them safe from Ali Baba. The only truly global superpower in the history of earth's civilizations, which Zbig-niew Brzezinski in his 1996 Mein Kampf, The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives, characterized as: “America is not only the first, as well as the only, truly global super-power, but it is also likely to be the very last.” (pg. 209), has been reduced to a police-state with virtually its own public's consent.

All on the mere fable that Ali Baba wielding some antediluvian and distorted dogmas from the stone-age propagandistically titled “milit-ant Islam”, is a ubiquitous threat to their well-being! Pakistan is daily bombed by drones based on that very same fable. The world is rapidly being reduced to a global police-state based on that same fable.

Who is feeding this antediluvian insurgent army of Pakistan these pu-trid dogmas of “militant Islam” which fatally prescribe “God is on your side” to the insurgents who have been diabolically motivated and skillfully trained to fight for “their cause” with such verve that they can take on the entire state apparatus from Pakistan to Syria redu-cing them to banana republics.

We gain enormous insights by seeing who was behind it in the yes-teryear to create a similar American Surrogate Army, then disguised in the Afghani shalwar-kameez uniform, to initially wage covert warfare upon the then Soviet Union to provoke the Soviet Intervention, and subsequently openly with what was then known as “jihadi Islam”.

544 Oligarchic Primacy for World Government
We are even made wiser if we can learn to relate the past to the present – for covert actually means to keep who's behind it secret while it is ongoing, and to Machiavellianly wrap it in “Plausible Deniability”. That term of deceit, signed into an Executive Order NSC 10/2 which directed the CIA upon its very founding to conduct “covert” rather than merely “psychological” operations, is explained in my essay: Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory, (http://tinyurl.com/Anatomy-Conspiracy-Theory).

Zbigniew Brzezinski explains the Mechanics of Covert Warfare by the United States

Here is how covert warfare empirically works – it relies on deception and covert means. It was admitted to by empire's own grand architect, Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, ex post facto. The following is reproduced from my 2011 essay: Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization.

The Muslim mind, harboring mostly a facile view of its religion all throughout history, and remaining quite ignorant of its interplay with imperial matters in every epoch, was harvested once again in today's epoch for a primacy agenda with nothing but snake oil, the “jihadi Islam”. This time around by Zbigniew Brzezinski for “giving to the USSR its Vietnam War” in Afghanistan 1979-1988 by creating the “Mujahideens”.

It is worth reproducing here Zbigniew Brzezinski's 1998 interview to French magazine Le Nouvel Observateur for his own confessions of the utility of promulgating facile world views to accomplish this:
'Question: The former director of the CIA, Robert Gates, stated in his memoirs [“From the Shadows”], that American intelligence services began to aid the Mujahadeen in Afghanistan 6 months before the Soviet intervention. In this period you were the national security adviser to President Carter. You therefore played a role in this affair. Is that correct?

Brzezinski: Yes. According to the official version of history, CIA aid to the Mujahadeen began during 1980, that is to say, after the Soviet army invaded Afghanistan, 24 Dec 1979. But the reality, secretly guarded until now, is completely otherwise. Indeed, it was July 3, 1979 that President Carter signed the first directive for secret aid to the opponents of the pro-Soviet regime in Kabul. And that very day, I wrote a note to the president in which I explained to him that in my opinion this aid was going to induce a Soviet military intervention.

Question: Despite this risk, you were an advocate of this covert action. But perhaps you yourself desired this Soviet entry into war and looked to provoke it?

Brzezinski: It isn't quite that. We didn't push the Russians to intervene, but we knowingly increased the probability that they would.

Question: When the Soviets justified their intervention by asserting that they intended to fight against a secret involvement of the United States in Afghanistan, people didn't believe them. However, there was a basis of truth. You don't regret anything today?

Brzezinski: Regret what? That secret operation was an excellent idea. It had the effect of drawing the Russians into the Afghan trap and you want me to regret
it? The day that the Soviets officially crossed the border, I wrote to President Carter. We now have the opportunity of giving to the USSR its Vietnam war. Indeed, for almost 10 years, Moscow had to carry on a war unsupported by the government, a conflict that brought about the demoralization and finally the breakup of the Soviet empire.

**Question:** And neither do you regret having supported the Islamic fundamentalism, having given arms and advice to future terrorists?

**Brzezinski:** What is most important to the history of the world? The Taliban or the collapse of the Soviet empire? Some stirred-up Moslems or the liberation of Central Europe and the end of the cold war?

**Question:** Some stirred-up Moslems? But it has been said and repeated Islamic fundamentalism represents a world menace today.

**Brzezinski:** Nonsense! It is said that the West had a global policy in regard to Islam. That is stupid. There isn't a global Islam. Look at Islam in a rational manner and without demagoguery or emotion. It is the leading religion of the world with 1.5 billion followers. But what is there in common among Saudi Arabian fundamentalism, moderate Morocco, Pakistan militarism, Egyptian pro-Western or Central Asian secularism? Nothing more than what unites the Christian countries.' (source Global Research)

It is also worth reproducing here how Brzezinski fashioned these **“Some stirred-up Moslems”:**

**News voice over 1980:** “US National Security Advisor Brzezinski flew to Pakistan to set about rallying resistance. He wanted to arm the Mujahideen without
revealing America's role. On the Afghan border near the Khayber Pass, he urged the Soldiers of God to re-double their efforts”

Brzezinski 1980: “We know of their deep belief in God, and we are confident that their struggle will succeed. That land over there, is yours, you’ll go back to it one day, because your fight will prevail, and you’ll have your homes and your mosques back again; because your cause is right; God is on your side.” [enthusiastic clapping by the future 'Mujahideens']

Brzezinski in the studio speaking to the interviewer: “The purpose of coordinating with the Pakistanis will be to make the Soviets bleed, for as much, as long, as possible.” (transcription is mine from the documentary video clip)

The mass ignorance and the facile world views that lay behind “their deep belief in god” among the Muslims was devilishly harvested with “god is on your side” to leave the Muslim civilization of Afghanistan into dust, and to set the stage for the future disintegration of Pakistan, with nothing but “Some stirred-up Moslems”!

It is the same fundamental lack of wherewithal today among the Muslims which is also enabling the same grandmasters to wage the perpetual 'Global War on Terror' upon the world as the age-old pretext for “imperial mobilization” on The Grand Chessboard. The enemy in yesteryear was crafted as Communism. The enemy today is crafted as Militant Islam. The new Surrogate Army wields “militant Islam” as its “doctrinal motivation” just as the previous Surrogate Army wielded “jihadi Islam” as its “doctrinal motivation” (see Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation).

That method of covert warfare through Surrogate Armies is now re-deployed to light the “arc of crisis” in the “Global Zone of Percolat-
*Violence*” presaged by the diabolical Zbigniew Brzezinski in the map that he drew on page 53 of *The Grand Chessboard*.

To not recognize this method of warfare as such by the victim nations, especially Pakistan whose military intelligence apparatuses played the leading role in providing proxy services to the United States for waging that Surrogate Warfare upon the Soviet Union, can only mean that those in a position to recognize it for what it is, and those with an iota of intelligence to recognize it for what it is, and do not do so, are part and parcel of that Surrogate Army themselves! They are traitors to the nation.

How can one prove to the rest of the naïve public of what I so strenuously labor herein with every ounce of energy and intellect that I can muster, that the 'Global War on Terror' is a fairytale, a fiction, a Goebbellian big lie, and that “Bin Laden and his legions of Al-Qaeda and Taliban” are no more than “Ali Baba and Fourty Thieves”, fables and fodder, for the Imperial Surrogate Armies which march along the “arc of crisis” to empire's Geostrategic Imperatives alone? I already answered that question most straightforwardly back in 2008, when Ali Baba had decided to invade Mumbai and I immediately sensed another false-flag operation was underway just as quickly as I had sensed it for 9/11 while, once again, the rest of the narrators of empire were all singing the well-rehearsed Alice in Wonderland song of “militant Islam”:

'The same way that any sound judge in a court room would – especially one like Robert Jackson at Nuremberg! Short of that – and it was a victor's justice, nothing more – well-intentioned peoples must endeavor to at least learn the truth stripped of its complex psyops, even if they remain afraid of articulating what they learn out loud. At least, they will knowingly not spew garbage, or create disinformation, or cultivate red herrings, as this 'champion of justice and humanity' recently did: “Rebuttal to Paul Craig Roberts”: 'Wash-
ington Arrogance has Fomented a Muslim Revolution”. There are tens of thousands of 'Paul Craig Roberts' in Pakistan, as elsewhere, beginning with Pakistan's own co-opted ruling elite, down to its 'enlightened-moderated' newsmedia, NGOs, academics, industrialists, et. al. Paul Craig Roberts was gentle enough to only bestow upon me the honorific “you are a completely stupid fool, a disgrace to humanity” when I called him on his disinformation. I actually carry that invective with much pride and it is the only honor I have received thus far from the hectoring hegemons, apart from their visit to my home in 2003! Other benedictions may not be so merciful. For the mercenaries among them deliberately subverting our nations in perpetuating this fiction of 'war on terror' – be it America, Pakistan, India, and every other – there is the hangman's noose to look forward to.'

Those unable to call a spade a spade who continue to control the narrative by echoing the empire's core lies, are the first cause of evil from which all the rest of the evils of war naturally follow. These vulgar propagandists are no ordinary evil-doers.

They are supreme evil-doers differing from all other evil-doers in that their core lies contain within itself the accumulated evil of the whole.

Refer to a similar accurate statement made by Robert H. Jackson at Nuremberg for defining supreme international crime:

“... the supreme international crime differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole”.

It is these controllers outside Plato's cave who must be unmasked and neutered first. Without their control of the narrative, the public mind
simply cannot be engineered to agree upon the synthetic reality of “militant Islam”.

Authors, media commentators, politicians and academics spinning reports of terrorism without tracing the source of terror back to the primary forces of imperial mobilization, forces which directly benefit from the existence of these “insurgents” who continually lend more and more shocking pretexts for empire's ongoing “counter-insurgency” operations both directly, and through their state surrogates worldwide, as the global war on terror, are just as guilty of propaganda warfare as Goebbels and his surrogates. Their fate is captured in the following photographs.
The Ultimate Fate of Vulgar Propagandists:

'Don't be Afraid'
The Goebbels family — the fate of vulgar propagandists and those who innocently perish with
them --- evidently, only defeat or victory adjudicates who is a propagandist and who isn't, not evidence. Hitler had asserted at the eve of World War II from his mountain top in Bavaria to his generals that he would 'give a propagandist reason for starting the war' and admonished them not to 'mind whether it was plausible or not'. 'The victor', he had told them, 'will not be asked afterward whether he told the truth or not. In starting and waging a war it is not the right that matters, but victory.' (William Shirer, Rise and Fall of the Third Reich) Photographs Source Der Spiegel

That unexpected “victory” of hubris, unassailable hubris, eventually caught up with the propagandists when Robert H. Jackson made the conclusive case for hanging the Nazi leadership along with the verbiage-only Nazi Scholar, Alfred Rosenberg, as war criminals and for crimes against humanity amidst their most eloquent protestations that they did not know what Hitler had been up to: 'The plans of Adolf Hitler for aggression were just as secret as Mein Kampf, of which over six million copies were published in Germany.' (Nuremberg)

'Don't Be Afraid'

"May 1, 1945, in the evening. The daughters and the son were already in bed, but were not asleep yet. "Don't be afraid," their mother said. "The doctor is going to give you a shot now, one that all children and soldiers are getting." She left the room, and Kunz injected the morphine, "first into the two older girls, then the boy and then the other girls." Each child received a dose of 0.5 cc. It "took eight to 10 minutes."
When the children had fallen asleep, Magda Goebbels went into the room, the cyanide pills in her hand, as Kunz testified. She returned a few seconds later, weeping and distraught. "Doctor, I can't do it, you have to do it," she said. The dentist replied: "I can't do it either." "Then get Dr. Stumpfegger," she said. Ludwig Stumpfegger, who was slightly younger than Kunz, had been one of SS chief Heinrich Himmler's personal doctors.

A week later, Russian coroners performed autopsies on the bodies of the children and concluded that their deaths had "occurred as a result of poisoning with cyanide compounds." The Goebbels themselves had committed suicide outside the bunker, and Stumpfegger died while attempting to break through the Russian lines in Berlin.” — Source Der Spiegel

Thank you.

Short URL: http://tinyurl.com/Gladio-Pakistan

Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2014/02/imperial-surrogates-operation-gladio.html

First published on Tuesday, February 25, 2014
Chapter 24 Annex

Fabricating Terror Systems
Operation Gladio Yesterday and Worldwide Terrorism Today

Identifying the Enemy

The capitalization upon the Psychology of Fear to implement the 'War on Terrorism' du jour was best demonstrated in Operation Gladio of yesteryear when self-inflicted terror by NATO's Stay Behind Armies was used to keep the fear of Communism alive among the skeptical public in Western Europe. Watch the BBC Ch 02 Time Watch 3-part series on Operation Gladio below. Despite being a much sanitized version of manufactured terror to push a global political agenda in Western Europe, namely the fear of communism taking over, the BBC documentary is still very revealing as an ex post facto narrative. There is much that can be learnt from it to comprehend the often confusing current affairs of today before this epoch too passes on into future history as fait accompli. History evidently repeats itself in spades. Part-3 of the Gladio documentary has the following statement quoted from the US Army's Top Secret Field Manual:
“Top Secret: There may be times when host country governments show passivity or indecision in the face of Communist subversion ... US Army Intelligence must have the means of launching special operations which will convince host country governments and public opinion of the reality of the insurgent danger ... US Army Intelligence should seek to penetrate the insurgency by means of agents of special assignments, with the task of forming special action groups among the most radical elements of the insurgency.”

Replacing “Communist subversion” with “Islamofascist terror” can be a revealing exercise. Daniele Ganser's 2005 book: NATO's Secret Armies: Operation GLADIO and Terrorism in Western Europe, further extends that revelation of the diabolical modus operandi of self-inflicted and manufactured terror. A careful study of the Operation Gladio video and Daniele Ganser's book can shed considerable motivational light upon the wanton terrorism madness of today. Especially upon the senseless terrorism spreading in the name of “insurgency” and blamed on the patsies wielding “Islamofascist terror” all along the “arc of crisis” and throughout the “Global zone of percolating violence”. That map of “percolating violence” was most Machiavellianly drawn by Zbigniew Brzezinski in his 1996 book: The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives.

Students and scholars of security and terrorism studies who populate NGOs and thinktanks throughout the world, let alone the journalists and commentators who occupy the public mind, evidently remain unaware of this diabolical modus operandi of self-inflicted terror and its deft perception management by the Mighty Wurlitzer.

The political and intellectual leaders of the victim nations worldwide bearing the full brunt of lethal terrorism, insurgency, and revolutions, evidently also remain clueless.
Without this knowledge and understanding of recent history of “imperial mobilization” and quest for “full spectrum dominance” when it is “uncongenial to democratic instincts” and must be carried out diabolically under the facade of “a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat”, the motivation for the perpetual War Agenda of today simply cannot be unlayered.

And without perceptively comprehending and unlayering that motivation which comes fully wrapped in layers of deception, no effective self-defence against these angels of death can be instituted.

Whether the national leaders, academic scholars, and security analysts et. al., are incompetent and poor students of modern history despite their high-falutin credentials, or are in on the grand design, each according to their narrow station and utility as useful idiot, is for the reader to adjudicate.

[ http://youtube.com/watch?v=yXavNe81XdQ ]

Caption Screen shot from BBC Time Watch 3 Part documentary on Operation Gladio, June 1992:

“Deception is a state of mind and the mind of the State”

James Jesus Angleton
Head of CIA Counter Intelligence 1954-1974

Virtually all larger than life terrorist acts worldwide, from the “terror
central” which is Pakistan to the United States' 9/11, London's 7/7 to India's 26/11, and Afghanistan to the Middle East, the so called asymmetric warfare between non-state actors creating “insurgency” and the state-machinery's heavy-handed response called “counter-insurgency”, both designed to augment the perpetually drummed-up international threat of “rogue-states” destroying the civilized world with their ill-gotten WMDs, are part and parcel of that same calculus of “imperial mobilization”. The Machiavellian import of Zbigniew Brzezinski's statement in The Grand Chessboard: “But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being.”, suddenly takes on real empirical dimensions from mere words in an imperial paperback, and in about the same ominous measure as Adolf Hitler's Mein Kampf blueprinting the machinations to orchestrate and engineer German consent for The Third Reich.

Such modus operandi from the Machiavellian pages of modern statecraft cannot be a surprise to competent observers, intellectuals, bureaucrats, and military policy-planners in these nations.

To combat this diabolical asymmetric warfare, also known as fifth-generation warfare, that is designed to demoralize and debilitate civilian population and their government, their infrastructure, and their institutions from within, requires paying close attention to one's supposed friends. Perhaps even more than to one's enemies. In each of these targeted nations, the foreign funded NGOs to foreign Embassies and private military and civilian contractors, right alongside national intelligence agencies aided and abetted by those in local power, are engaged in orchestrating events and pointing fingers along standard party lines.

Every nation in the “global zone of percolating violence” is under this common siege, each according to the local conditions suitable to her own national genius.

So Syria is being subjected to the so called lethal “rebels” fighting the
military dictatorial government that has been in power for fifty years. These “rebels” are destroying the country from within in the name of “democracy” no differently than as if Syria was being brought “democracy” from the skies as witnessed in Iraq by the military might of United States and its Allies combined.

While Pakistan, particular to its own genius of having a surplus of indoctrinated “jihadis” left over from the Afghan war, along with the infrastructure on the ground in both Afghanistan and Pakistan to continually manufacture and replenish them, is being subjected to the same lethal terrorists but by different names. Apart from seeding destabilization, controlled chaos, and ungovernability, they are principally designed to create worldwide fear of “Islamofascists” trying to take-over the “loose-nukes” of a failed but nuclear armed state.

As is empirically visible to all and sundry, Pakistan and Syria today have been made as ungovernable as Iraq and Afghanistan. The same results have been achieved much more cost-effectively, just like in its counterpart modus operandi, the cause célèbre of Westerndom, the “color revolution”, in selected countries to foment “democracy” according to the recipe of self-liberation crafted by Dr. Gene Sharp. It is succinctly illustrated in his bold recipe book for manufacturing revolutions on demand virtually anywhere, titled: From Dictatorship to Democracy. The methods employed for manufacturing terror and blaming it on patsies, or using patsies directly as in suicide bombings, are not any different than in Operation Gladio.

Where is the hard evidence that insurgency is indeed fabricated ab initio, and can organically propagate itself much like weed in a fertile lawn? The matter is examined in “Insurgency vs. Counter Insurgency”. The recent complaint from the Afghan government, as reported in the Washington Post of January 27, 2014, also underscores this grotesque reality:

“President Hamid Karzai has frequently lashed out at the U.S. military for causing civilian casualties in its
raids. But behind the scenes, he has been building a far broader case against the Americans, suggesting that they may have aided or conducted shadowy insurgent-style attacks to undermine his government, according to senior Afghan officials. Karzai has formalized his suspicions with a list of dozens of attacks that he believes the U.S. government may have been involved in, according to one palace official. The list even includes the recent bomb and gun assault on a Lebanese restaurant in Kabul, one of the bloodiest acts targeting the international community in Afghanistan, the official said. The attack, which left 21 people dead, including three Americans, was almost universally attributed to the Taliban.”

All these nations will remain under siege. And all will eventually be reduced to utter chaos, with break-up of their infrastructures, institutions, and cultures, often followed by looting and theft of national treasures and resources no differently than has been orchestrated in Iraq and Afghanistan – the two Muslim nations which have borne the full brunt of fourth-generation warfare of direct military invasion and are now seething under global governance of supranational dictatorship wearing the guise of “democracy”.

Unless all the targeted nations in the “global zone of percolating violence” get smart and become nationally courageous, a quality which is evidently sorely lacking among their respective peoples as they continue to harp the axioms and mantras handed to them, it is already fait accompli.

Their principal undoing is the tiny minority of uncle toms, house niggers, and mercenaries who have come to occupy not only all positions of political and military power in these countries, but also all positions of perception management. These sell-outs, traitors to their own peoples, often educated in the best Western universities and war colleges, deliberately pretend to not see the trumpeting elephant in the
bridal suite as they continue to churn the cauldron of “militant Islam”, “Taliban”, “Al-Qaeda”, etceteras.

Get rid of the fifth columnists before Ali Baba will ever be effectively terminated. Do this before Ali Baba plusplus takes its place and cements world government! Surely, some courageous peoples with at least two neurons firing in their brain must exist in all these nations. Their cowardly silence is what is directly culpable for their own destruction – for they can be a formidable counter-force to the traitors in their nation.

**Source URL:** http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2014/01/operation-gladio-and-terrorism-today.html
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Chapter 25

Fabricating Terror Systems
Understanding ISIS

Islamic State or yet another Imperial Surrogate?

First, one must comprehend the theory behind fomenting controlled chaos of “revolutionary times” by supporting both sides of the conflict. The concept of Insurgency and counter-insurgency as a war paradigm for sustaining “imperial mobilization” under the cover of controlled chaos is explained in: “Insurgency vs. Counter Insurgency” (http://tinyurl.com/Insurgency-Counterinsurgency). Fomenting and harvesting “revolutionary times” with self-inflicted or manufactured terror is explained in the tutorial “Understanding Self-Inflicted Terror” (http://tinyurl.com/Manufacturing-Terror).

The new militant “Islamic State” with “caliphate” galore is only the manufactured progression of going from empire's own stateless antediluvian actors playing their scripted “Taliban - Al Qaeda terrorist” role as public enemy number one of the United States and the civ-
ilized world, to **stateful antediluvian actors** playing their scripted “ISIS - terrorists' expansionist” role as public enemy number one of the United States and the civilized world. Twenty-first century barbarians being brought to power to foment not just the “*Clash of Civilizations*”, as the erstwhile Jewish political theorist Samuel Huntington at Harvard University, and still ever present “*foremost Western scholar of Islam*”, Jewish prof. Bernard Lewis at Princeton University, had formulated it in the 1990s, but the “*Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians*” as Daniel Pipes, the Jewish Islamophobe drum beater of “radical Islam”, more accurately couched the empirical manifestations of “militant Islam” after 9/11.

The nemesis is similar to the manufacture of Christian NAZI socialism and godless Soviet Communism in the twentieth century. Both similarly characterized upon their fabrication. Both secretly supported and funded by Wall Street to fabricate potent stateful enemies to wage World Wars against in order to force the creation of a new oligarchic world order from the ashes of the old world left behind.

That clash of the opposites is necessary to *raise the phoenix from the ashes*, so to speak --- a greater and greater *combine* which eventually encompasses the entire earth as the natural culmination of an expansionist state.

That clash is political theory based modus operandi most vociferously pursued by the German political philosopher Friedrich Hegel. A one-world global state systematically fashioned out of back to back Hegelian Dialectic seeded deadly conflicts of opposing forces that last multiple generations, but which piece-meal result in the advocacy and adoption of predefined solutions that achieve the predesired outcome of world government.

Hegelian Dialectic has now been empirically shown to be not just a political theory, but the most effective and fastest format for engineering consent for a new world order of world government – the singular dream of all world conquering despots that has hitherto remained un-
fulfilled. The wholly Jewish concoction of “militant Islam” vs “moderate Islam” as a Hegelian Dialectic has previously been examined in the seminal study “Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer - Architecture of Modern Propaganda for Psychological Warfare” (http://tinyurl.com/MightyWurlitzer).

Hegelian Dialectic is explained in “Hegelian Dialectic - What is it?” (http://tinyurl.com/Hegelian-Dialectic-What-is-it).

Our golden scientific era, which Zbigniew Brzezinski called the Technetronic Era, has created the means to rule the whole world as never before, and the ubermensch oligarchic forces that run the sole superpower on earth along with its vassal states, have seized that opportunity for primacy; an outcome that is inevitable when primacy defines imperatives and nations ruled by men who make laws to legalize their primacy. Since the exercise of primacy requires the existence of a persistent and continuous threat to the well-being of the masses, thus the headlines announcing the new phantasmal terror threat to Western civilization: “Islamic State 'beyond anything we've seen'”

'Washington: The Islamic State poses a greater danger than conventional “terrorist group” and is pursuing a vision that could radically alter the face of the Middle East, US Defence Leader said Thursday [August 21, 2014].

The IS jihadists could be contained and eventually defeated by local forces backed by the United States, but the Sunni population in both Syria and Iraq would need to reject the group, Defense Secretary Chuck Hagel and General Martin Dempsey told reporters.

Hagel warned that the Islamic State is better armed, trained and funded than any recent militant threat.

“They marry ideology and a sophistication of strategy and tactical military prowess. They are tremendously well funded. This is beyond anything we've seen,”
Hagel told a news conference.

Dempsey, the chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, said that the group adheres to a fanatical ideology and has “a long term vision” to take over Lebanon, Israel, and Kuwait. If they achieve that vision, it would fundamentally alter the face of the Middle East and create a security environment that would certainly threaten us in many ways,” he said.

... The bombing runs and humanitarian aid to the local population [Zahir's note: the guns and butter again] have stalled the Islamic State's “momentum and enabled Iraqi and Kurdish forces to regain their footing and take the initiative”.

Asked if the US would hit the militants in neighboring Syria, Hagel did not rule out the option but did not indicate strikes there were imminent.’ --- AFP, Friday August 22, 2014, via Dawn.com

Now the “ISIS” will finally begin to make rational sense. It is a wholly manufactured enemy, created for a specific purpose: continued “imperial mobilization” in the pretext of countering this nemesis. “ISIS” is just another armored division of the US-UK-NATO military nexus comprising both useful idiots, and trained mercenaries, both wearing barbarian uniforms and being carefully choreographed by the same high command that sets up military invasions in the sole superpower's collaborative but highly compartmentalized nexus. American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives have been cunningly transformed from the primacy of the national flag to the primacy for world government of the oligarchy riding the national flag.

Listen to the interview with Michel Chossudovsky on Guns and Butter (a radio program of KPFA, 94.1 FM, in the San Francisco Bay Area) by Bonnie Faulkner deconstruct: “ISIS: An Instrument of the Western Military Alliance” (or click here to stream):
“ISIS rampage through northern Iraq a staged event; special forces integrated within the terror brigades; U.S. supporting both sides; transformation of countries into territories: Sunni Caliphate, Independent Kurdistan, Shia Arab Republic; Iran being sucked into conflict; water wars; long-term U.S. geopolitical objectives.” ---
http://archives.kpfa.org/data/20140618-Wed1300.mp3

What Michel Chossudovsky did not address in that interview, is the overarching agenda behind the piece-meal “transformation of countries into territories” out of once sovereign nation-states; states which were themselves carved out of long running empires in the last century. But none as smart as Michel Chossudovsky and Bonnie Faulkner are ever unaware of the hidden motivations which give rise to facts and events that are recorded by historians and rewarded for their labors. Those seeking out the covert motivations and the covert forces that drive these facts and events over time and space and often made to appear disjoint and unconnected, if their discoveries fall on the wrong side of empire's standard model for sanctioned narratives, are of course only the “conspiracy theorists” (sic)! But beleaguered nations seeking self-defence cannot do so effectively using the standard model of the empire. It is like having the fox guard the hen house and daily preaching to the hens how to protect themselves!

Now contrast this forensic dismantling of the diabolical Hegelian Dialectic with the virtuous officialdom's pious version, the standard model for all mainstream narratives: “US Offers Iraq 'Intense And Sustained' Support” (Sky news, June 23, 2014) and “Kerry confronts threat of new war in Iraq” (AP, June 23, 2014).

'BAGHDAD — Confronting the threat of civil war in Iraq, U.S. Secretary of State John Kerry flew to Baghdad on Monday to personally urge the Shiite-led government to give more power to political opponents be-
fore a Sunni insurgency seizes more control across the country and sweeps away hopes for lasting peace.

The meeting scheduled between Kerry and Iraqi Prime Minister Nouri al-Maliki was not expected to be friendly, given that officials in Washington have floated suggestions that the Iraqi premier should resign as a necessary first step toward quelling the vicious uprising. Nor will it likely bring any immediate, tangible results, as al-Maliki has shown no sign of leaving and Iraqi officials have long listened to but ultimately ignored — U.S. advice to avoid appearing controlled by the decade-old specter of an American occupation in Baghdad.

“This is a critical moment where, together, we must urge Iraq's leaders to rise above sectarian motivations and form a government that is united in its determination to meet the needs and speak to the demands of all of their people,” Kerry said a day earlier in Cairo. He was there in part to meet with Egyptian President Abdel-Fattah el-Sissi to and discuss a regional solution to end the bloodshed by the insurgent Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant, or ISIL.

“No country is safe from that kind of spread of terror, and none of us can afford to leave that entity with a safe haven which would become a base for terror against anyone and all, not only in the region but outside of the region as well,” Kerry said in Cairo.' AP, June 23, 2014, http://news.yahoo.com/kerry-confronts-threat-war-iraq-065105238--politics.html

While keeping the Hegelian Dialectic of threat from “militant Islam” wielding insurgents intact, US retired Lieutenant General Michael T. Flynn, former head of the US Defence Intelligence Agency (DIA) and
JSOC, lends some left-handed (diluted) confirmation from the horse's mouth of the arming, and aiding and abetting, of ISIS (ISIL, Daesh). In his interview to Al-Jazeera English (watch here) in Washington D.C. on July 29, 2015, titled: “Is the US to blame for ISIL?”, ret'd. General Michael T. Flynn stated:

**General Flynn:** We are at war with a radical component of Islam. In a way I believe is that Islam is a political ideology based on a religion. [correcting himself at the prompting of the interviewer] Islamism. Islamism is an ideology based on a religion. So when I say I have been at war with Islam, I mean I have sat down with members of the al Qaeda, members of the Taliban, that are my age, very well educated, and I have asked them: WHY, what is it that's going wrong somewhere that we are fighting each other. What is your excuse? And if the excuse is that the West is bad, you know, the Jews of Israel are bad, that's not a good excuse.

**Interviewer:** [prompting the General to differentiate the political group using the religion of Islam as cover from people who believe in the religion]

**General Flynn:** I don't agree, I don't agree, the serious leaders of these groups absolutely believe that their version of Islam is the right version, the correct version.

**Interviewer:** [again prompting the General with more known facts] We are now seeing reports that the top ranks of ISIL are filled with Baathist ex-army officers from Sadaam's regime, they are not all religious fanatics. ... [MI-5 says that:] “far from being religious zealots, a large number of those involved in terrorism do not practice their faith regularly, and could actu-
ally be regarded as religious novices”

**General Flynn:** I don't disagree with that. But I will tell you that there is a sufficient number of leaders in, still in, al Qaeda, and definitely in this group we call ISIL, their religious beliefs are very strong.

**Interviewer:** and therefore it's a religious war in your view?

**General Flynn:** I think that it's a political war. It's a political war. But I think they use the excuses that they have. It's a political ideology based on a religion. We have to come to grips with that.

**Interviewer:** [prompting the General to admit America's own role in the rise of ISIS] In 2012, three years ago, let's just be clear here for the sake of our viewers, in 2012 your agency was saying, quote: [reading from a previously classified August 2012 DIA document made public through FOIA] “the Salafists, the Muslim Brotherhood, and al Qaeda in Iraq, are the major forces driving insurgency in Syria.” In 2012, the US was helping coordinate arms transfers to those same groups. Why did you not stop that? If you are worried about the rise of Islamic extremism? ... The administration turned a blind eye to your analysis?

**General Flynn:** I don't know if they turned a blind eye. I think it was a decision. It was a willful decision.

**Interviewer:** A willful decision to support an insurgency that had Salafists, al Qaeda, ...

**General Flynn:** The decision to do what they are doing.

(http://www.aljazeera.com/programmes/headtohead/2...
As one can see, the confession of the General is not the usual “blowback” or “oops” theory, as both the domestic antagonists of the American administration and the dissent con-artists in the United States and elsewhere have pitched of ISIS. Indeed in much the same manner that they had previously pitched “al Qaeda” and “OBL” attack on the United States on September 11, 2001. The American General, confirming the support and arming of ISIS by the American government, carefully characterized it as deliberate, and not some miscalculated blowback of a policy gone awry: “I think it was a decision. It was a willful decision.”

Observe how the well-rehearsed Al Jazeera interviewer was cleverly used as the foil to lend continuous legitimacy to the official propaganda line of threat from “militant Islam” via narrative control within strict confines of the establishment's party line, and its repetition ad nauseam. Only under the careful aegis of that old core lie, which was first conveniently reestablished very early on in the interview with the help of the willing useful idiot who spoke perfect British English, was this new confession of it being “a willful decision” of the American government, carefully made by the newly retired American General.

This lauded half-truth telling, characterized more by what it did not say than what it said, is along the same template that Zbigniew Brzezinski had previously established in his testimony before the US Senate Foreign Relations Committee on February 01, 2007 (read the fascinating testimony PDF), as the self-serving trend for confirming what many astute “conspiracy theorists” already perceive or easily surmise, those able to call a spade a spade, able to add two plus two correctly in public, of the on-going covert warfare wrapped in “plausible deniability” being waged amidst propaganda cover of some Big Lie. It is at
times confirmed ex post facto in some diluted form that Henry Kissinger and Richard Nixon termed “Limited Hangout” (recorded on the Nixon tapes), or for bragging rights as Zbigniew Brzezinski and Robert Gates revealed a decade after the end of the Cold War (see Imperial Surrogates and 'Terror Central' in Operation Gladio Redux, http://tinyurl.com/Imperial-Surrogates), or directly under the aegis of the Big Lie when it suits the powers that be to introduce new assertions, or confirmation of what's already obvious, into their own party line narratives (through official leaks or belated and diluted admissions) which are to be further spinned for new mileage or damage control while keeping the Big Lie securely intact.

They are the masters of narrative control (see Masters of Dissent, http://tinyurl.com/Dying-Songbird) who have wisely retaken their own leaf back from Adolph Hitler's Mein Kampf on the basic elements of a successful propaganda campaign to corral “the crowd of simpletons and the credulous” (see Preamble of Manufacturing Dissent, http://tinyurl.com/Manufacturing-Dissent-2008).

The signal in Flynn's statements, carefully wrapped in the sea of propaganda noise and half truths, is: “I don't know if they turned a blind eye. I think it was a decision. It was a willful decision.” That the rise of ISIS, ISIL, Daesh, whatever its name, is not short-sighted policy gone awry, but the rise of insurgency is the government policy itself.

So what's up Doc? Why even confirm what is already known? Is it merely the pre-election WWF posturing to speciously show that the Republicans are different from the Democrats, to make the public mind in favor of the new team slated to come into the White House after eight years of the “change” mantra of the incumbent president which saw more and more of the same as the team it had previously replaced (see Mr. Obama – The Post Modern Coup, http://tinyurl.com/Obama-Postmodern-Coup-2008)?

This history of Machiavellianly making the public mind is important for the American voters to comprehend as they get all excited about
electioneering in their famed democracy every four years. This next time in 2016, the American voters shall be re-ushering in the same Republicans who had previously given them the George Bush presidency from which they had voted for escape for the “change” presidency of the Democratic Obama.

The “change” this time will be back to the Republicans. There is really no change in the policy of primacy and its geostrategic imperatives. There is no escape for the American public from American Primacy on the Grand Chessboard because it is not controlled by who they vote into the White House. It is controlled by the hidden from public view National Security State, which in turn is controlled by the iron fist of the hidden from public view ruling oligarchy, the bosses behind the scenes seeking world government. Only the tactics may differ slightly by the visible occupants of the White House, if at all, to keep the lip service to the American constitution and its tradition of democracy going on paper for a while longer. The specious elections also sustain America's pious preaching to the world.

The fact that America is a hard police-state both at home and abroad is not a point of differentiation to exercise between the two dominant parties in any election since 9/11. The mantra that America is at war with “militant Islam” and/or rogue states is a sacred presupposition common among both parties and the establishment. Now the bizarre and most barbaric ISIS/ISIL flying the false flag of Islam has been added to the mix with a hammerhead.

An aware and self-respecting public might instead hold a legal referendum on the corrupted system which merely obliges them to alternately choose between the left and the right smelly sock worn by the oligarchy, by withholding their vote entirely (see Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy!, http://tinyurl.com/Referendum-by-Not-Voting).

The people don't have to do any politicking, take out protest marches,
or risk their livelihood and safety by taking a bold stand in public. All they have to do in America to be effective is to simply not vote! It is their legal right, both to vote when the system is not corrupt, and to not vote when it is corrupted ab initio. A low voter turnout on election day legally strips away the facade of legitimacy from the corrupt system ab initio. A completely co-opted system which is perniciously destroying their nation piece-meal, in every new fabricated crisis and manufactured terror threat, to create a world government of the oligarchy using America's military might as both the hammer and the anvil.

What the half-truth telling brave American General, retired but still bound by all the official state secrets acts for his lifetime, intentionally left out in his “modified limited hangout” candor is how ISIL, ISIS, Daesh, and whatever new name it may be given in the future, is really a well financed covert division of the US military itself, staffed with a variegated coterie of private contractors, mercenaries, useful idiots, and other well trained and behavior controlled dupes and patsies playing the scripted insurgency vs. counter-insurgency con game to keep “revolutionary times” fomented for as long as it is needed to achieve the predefined policy objectives. General Flynn must have been given permission, and well rehearsed, to make even that “limited hangout” admission within the confines of the core lies of imperial mobilization.

Capisce?

Short URL: http://tinyurl.com/Understanding-ISIS
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Chapter 26

Western State Terror Systems

The White Man's Burden

The White Man's Burden appears Uniformly Distributed among Jews, Christians and Atheists – how can one tell the difference?

This is part 7 of my confusion series on trying to think for myself. It is a bloody confusing exercise which I wouldn't wish to inflict even upon my most despicable enemies – they already think plenty for themselves. See earlier episodes here: part 1, part 2, part 3, part 4, part 5, and part 6. [a]

This is the final episode as I have promised myself to no longer be plagued by this curse of independent thought.

The comfort of following the experts, the know-it-all “illumined ones” from the vast spectrum of leaders offered to the plebes, is much more
opiatic, if not completely soothing to the soul. A dead intellect is the best one for the sheep – and of course, the shepherds delight.

I begin by reproducing the famous 1899 poem, *The White man's Burden*, by Rudyard Kipling. Its full title in syncopation with the actual *white man's burden* of the time, actually was: "The White Man's Burden: The United States and The Philippine Islands". And it went like this:

```
Take up the White Man's burden--
Send forth the best ye breed--
Go bind your sons to exile
To serve your captives' need;
To wait in heavy harness,
On fluttered folk and wild--
Your new-caught, sullen peoples,
Half-devil and half-child.

Take up the White Man's burden--
In patience to abide,
To veil the threat of terror
And check the show of pride;
By open speech and simple,
An hundred times made plain
To seek another's profit,
And work another's gain.

Take up the White Man's burden--
The savage wars of peace--
```
Fill full the mouth of Famine
And bid the sickness cease;
And when your goal is nearest
The end for others sought,
Watch sloth and heathen Folly
Bring all your hopes to nought.

Take up the White Man's burden--
No tawdry rule of kings,
But toil of serf and sweeper--
The tale of common things.
The ports ye shall not enter,
The roads ye shall not tread,
Go mark them with your living,
And mark them with your dead.

Take up the White Man's burden--
And reap his old reward:
The blame of those ye better,
The hate of those ye guard--
The cry of hosts ye humour
(Ah, slowly!) toward the light:--
"Why brought he us from bondage,
Our loved Egyptian night?"

Take up the White Man's burden--
Ye dare not stoop to less--
Nor call too loud on Freedom
To cloke your weariness;
By all ye cry or whisper,
By all ye leave or do,
The silent, sullen peoples
Shall weigh your gods and you.
Take up the White Man's burden--
Have done with childish days--
The lightly proferred laurel,
The easy, ungrudged praise.
Comes now, to search your manhood
Through all the thankless years
Cold, edged with dear-bought wisdom,
The judgment of your peers! --- Source [1]

According to History Matters' commentary on the poem:

'In February 1899, British novelist and poet Rudyard Kipling wrote a poem entitled “The White Man’s Burden: The United States and The Philippine Islands.” In this poem, Kipling urged the U.S. to take up the “burden” of empire, as had Britain and other European nations. Published in the February, 1899 issue of McClure’s Magazine, the poem coincided with the beginning of the Philippine-American War and U.S. Senate ratification of the treaty that placed Puerto Rico, Guam, Cuba, and the Philippines under American control. Theodore Roosevelt, soon to become vice-president and then president, copied the poem and sent it to his friend, Senator Henry Cabot Lodge, commenting that it was “rather poor poetry, but good sense from the expansion point of view.” Not everyone was as favorably impressed as Roosevelt. The racialized notion of the “White Man’s burden” became a euphemism for imperialism, and many anti-imperialists couched their opposition in reaction to the phrase.' --- Source [2]

Because history matters so much – both for understanding the present for the thought bearing, and for confounding the present for the uninitiated in order to get them 'United We Stand', not to mention for forging the future, any future, both good and bad – that Zbigniew Brzez-
inski had so astutely observed in *The Grand Chessboard* in 1996:

“The earlier empires were built by aristocratic political elites and were in most cases ruled by essentially authoritarian or absolutist regimes. The bulk of the populations of the imperial states were either politically indifferent, ... or infected by imperialist emotions ...a quest for national glory, 'the white man's burden', 'la mission civilisatrice', not to speak of the opportunities for personal profit – all served to mobilize support for imperial adventures to sustain essentially hierarchical imperial power pyramids. The attitude of American public toward the external projection of American power has been more ambivalent. The public supported America's engagement in WWII largely because of the shock effect of the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor. ... After the Cold War had ended, the emergence of the United States as the single global power did not evoke much public gloating but rather elicited an inclination toward more limited definitions of American responsibilities abroad. Public opinion polls conducted in 1995 – 1996 indicated a general public preference for 'sharing' power with others, rather than for its monopolistic exercise.” (24,25)

“It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the
human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.” (35,36)

“Public opinion polls suggest that only a small minority (13 percent) of Americans favor the proposition that 'as the sole remaining superpower, the US should continue to be the preeminent world leader in solving international problems'. ... Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. .... More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification. ... Mass communications have been playing a particularly important role in that regard, generating a strong revulsion against any selective use of force that entails even low levels of casualties .... In brief, the U.S. Policy goals must be un-apologetically twofold: to perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer,...” (211-215)

So, how to embark on “imperial mobilization” when the American white man (and the Western white man in general) are no longer themselves “infected by imperialist emotions ...a quest for national glory, 'the white man's burden', 'la mission civilisatrice',” kept engaged, and ensnared, as they all are, in chasing their respective 'American Dreams'? Which, for the vast majority of 'white trash' among them, have their dollars, pounds, and euros, sucked out of their pockets even before it can reach their dinner tables and their perpetual debt collect-
ors? The political science principle of achieving “imperial mobilization” under such conditions is to engineer consent – just as one might engineer technology, or engineer the voyage to the moon. It takes a great deal of expertise, and very deep pockets. This social technology is examined in the Preamble of my 2008 report Weapons of Mass Deception, [3] where it is explained how consent, as well as dissent, both must to be manufactured in order to be effective, employing different categories of deceptions tailored to the different audiences and their mental acumen.

This variance of having a differing audience also necessitates making the manufacturing process and its products different at every level. From simple big lies for the vast majority, to complex layered ones which mix verifiable empirical truths, half-truths, and outright lies characterized by clever omissions, layer by layer, so that the remaining few, as well as institutions and organizations with group-think, can also find something believable to suit their own individual propensities. Myths and mantras are cleverly fabricated and presented to each audience according to their own limited world views and selfish priorities which are often shared with their respective political, social, cultural, and religious groups. These engineered myths and mantras always motivate people to act in accordance with those implanted beliefs. The end result being that the history's actors are able to get away Machiavellianly sowing their irreversible faits accomplis amidst all the confusions which follow.

Different and often opposing myths also naturally seed the interesting Technique of Infamy among antagonistic domestic groups, keeping peoples and cabals fully embroiled in vehemently debating which of the many myths is true, who is right, who knows more, who is more intelligent, who is more pious, who is more peaceable, who is the avant-garde in thought and who are the sheep, etc.

Such opposing “truths” deftly implanted among different groups automatically enable setting one group against another. According to the political philosopher G.W.F. Hegel's prescription for creating a new
order from the old, requires raising the Phoenix from the ashes by or-
chestrating a clash among opposites, known as the Hegelian Dialectic.
We see this false Left--Right political paradigm orchestrated along
those very lines in the West, with almost an infinite degree of vari-
ation in between. In the limit, the Hegelian Dialectics enable seeding
Total War among nations – the key Machiavellian modus operandi for
tearing down the old world order to create a new world order. Very
powerful stuff indeed with which old era competing empires are taken
down first, in order to raise the spectre of an absolute statist empire of
the oligarchy, a one-world government. Such confabulations on the
hard road to world order, Hegelian mind-fcks I call them, were ex-
amined in depth in my essay on the Mighty Wurlitzer. [4]

My essay Unlayering the Middle East War Agenda: Making Sense of
Absurdities [5] demonstrated that Brzezinski’s prima facie motivation
for inflicting American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives
upon the world as expressed in The Grand Chessboard, which was
chauvinistically stated as: “to perpetuate America's own dominant po-

tion for at least a generation and preferably longer,” was itself only
a motivating myth. It was necessary for motivating America's vast
military-industrial complex and the Pentagon warriors who all thrive
on even small wars, and therefore would just love the idea of thriving
endlessly in a Total War waged endlessly, the World War IV that will
last “at least a generation and preferably longer,.”

The nihilistic notion of Total War similarly pushed by Brzezinski's
confrere, Michael Ledeen, ostensibly to usher in regime changes
throughout the New Middle East, is similarly absurd when one pauses
to reflect that the old Middle East – ripe with installed dictators and
implanted kingdoms oppressing their own peoples in service to the
sole superpower along artificially contrived borders carved out from
the remnants of the Ottoman empire at the conclusion of World War I
– is entirely the orchestration of the same imperial masters now ad-
vocating the next cycle of regime changes:

“No stages. This is total war. We are fighting a vari-
ety of enemies. There are lots of them out there. All this talk about first we are going to do Afghanistan, then we will do Iraq… this is entirely the wrong way to go about it. If we just let our vision of the world go forth, and we embrace it entirely and we don’t try to piece together clever diplomacy, but just wage a total war… our children will sing great songs about us years from now.” -- Michael Ledeen, speaking at the AEI (American Enterprise Institute), 10/29/2001, source [6]

Why is Ledeen selling *Total War*? For the same covert motivation Zbigniew Brzezinski is selling America's preeminence in *The Grand Chessboard*. Everyone in the military-industrial complex is sold what they want to hear. But the real intent behind the chauvinism, as empiricism testifies, is to bankrupt America, to demoralize its public, while sowing chaos and destruction in the previous world order they had themselves established after the two World Wars. Disguised in polished vernacular and under the farcical pretext of regime changes, is the nihilism to seed chaos and demoralization among the public throughout the world. This is even apparent when one un-layers Michael Ledeen even with an ounce of forensic thinking, especially in the light of empiricism:

“Creative destruction is our middle name, both within our own society and abroad. We tear down the old order every day, from business to science, literature, art, architecture, and cinema to politics and the law. Our enemies have always hated this whirlwind of energy and creativity, which menaces their traditions (whatever they may be) and shames them for their inability to keep pace. Seeing America undo traditional societies, they fear us, for they do not wish to be undone. They cannot feel secure so long as we are there, for our very existence—our existence, not our politics
—threatens their legitimacy. They must attack us in order to survive, just as we must destroy them to advance our historic mission.” -- Michael A. Ledeen, *The War Against The Terror Masters: Why It Happened. Where We Are Now. Wow We'll Win*, 2003, pgs. 212-213

This is textbook Hegel. One can see this nihilistic theme being repeated over and over again, only the latest incarnation being the Egypt Revolution. This was examined in my article: Egypt and Tunisia – The 'arc of crisis' being radicalized!.

The agenda behind constructing all these “revolutionary times” along Zbigniew Brzezinski's “arc of crisis” using Michael Ledeen's “total war” for “creative destruction” wherein, the children of the white man, in Ledeen's own words: “our children will sing great songs about us years from now”, is to make the final push for the globalists' one-world government. The white man has very clearly understood that which most 'untermenschen' have not, in David Ben Gurion's own words: “what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times”!

To launch this renewed white man's burden for world government, entirely through deception, many many ruses, and many many peoples, from mercenaries to patsies, from manufactured ideologues to fabricated stooges, and from all walks of life and profession have been engaged.

Infiltrations are at every level, from physical to cognitive as per the old COINTELPRO style, and even at the level of the sub-conscious mind through both flag-waiving indoctrinations, and propaganda techniques pioneered by Edward Bernays. The latter had been successfully utilized by him to wage psy-op wars upon the peoples of all nations throughout World War I and World War II. Now refined to the level of science, this art of persuasion has been taken to new heights.

So, we have the Zionist Jews murdering the Palestinians to the sound
of their trumpet while urging the superpower to wage endless war upon the world – the so called neo-cons. Then we have the American and its Allied Christian soldiers murdering the Iraqis, Afghanis, and Pakistanis, in large numbers, and again to the sound of even greater trumpets. Today, the blame for this Total War is entirely laid at the doorstep of the Jewish neo-cons who have visibly taken over almost all of the United States Government which is evidently being run from Tel Aviv.

But, is this mission of Total War through Total Deception hell of a lot different from the white man's burden of the not too distant past, when plain folks, quite genuinely “infected by imperialist emotions ...a quest for national glory, 'the white man's burden', 'la mission civilisatrice', not to speak of the opportunities for personal profit – all served to mobilize support for imperial adventures to sustain essentially hierarchical imperial power pyramids.”?

The settlement of the Americas by genociding its native inhabitants, is the best example of genocide of the indigenous peoples as the noble Christian white man's burden. The conquest of the sea trade routes by the East India Company and the physical colonization of the Indian subcontinent, is the best example of colonizing an indigenous peoples into subservient bondage to the noble Christian white man's la mission civilisatrice. The unfortunate native populations of the Americas, Australia, etc., weren't so fortunate, as the noble Bible thumping white man actually coveted their land. In India they only coveted her vast riches – the Jewel in their crown!

Well, that same exercise with only minor syntactic sugaring, is being inflicted by the holy Jews and noble atheistic soldiers of irredentist Zion upon the 'untermenschen' of Palestine today. Is it much different? Not according to the two Christian and Jewish statesmen, respective leaders of their nations. On the festive and felicitous occasion of the 60th anniversary of Israel's existence which was celebrated with much fanfare from Tel Aviv to Washington D.C., President George W. Bush along with his notable wife landed at Tel Aviv's Ben
Gurion airport on May 14, 2008, to the warm greetings of Shimon Perez:

“Welcome to the new Israel: Three thousand years old, and going on sixty”

President Bush had effusively replied:

“Our two nations both faced great challenges when they were founded. And our two nations have both relied on the same principles to help us succeed. We built strong democracies to protect the freedoms given to us by an Almighty God”

And the late Tanya Reinhart, the Leftist Jew and respected dissent chief of many a rebel, made the exact same comparison after she experienced her *metanoia* and tried to explain to the world the indoctrination and false justifications she was infected with while growing up as a Zionist in Israel:

“The state of Israel founded in 1948 following a war which the Israelis call the War of Independence, and the Palestinians call the Nakba – the catastrophe. A haunted, persecuted people sought to find a shelter and a state for itself, and did so at a horrible price to another people. During the war of 1948, more than half of the Palestinian population at the time – 1,380,000 people – were driven off their homeland by the Israeli army. Though Israel officially claimed that a majority of refugees fled and were not expelled, it still refused to allow them to return, as a UN resolution demanded shortly after 1948 war. Thus, the Israeli land was obtained through ethnic cleansing of the indigenous Palestinian inhabitants. This is not a process unfamiliar in history. Israel's actions remain incomparable to the massive ethnic cleansing of Native Americans by the settlers and government of the
United states. Had Israel stopped there, in 1948, I could probably live with it. As an Israeli, I grew up believing that this primal sin our state was founded on may be forgiven one day, because the founder's generation was driven by the faith that this was the only way to save the Jewish people from the danger of another holocaust.” -- Tanya Reinhart, *Israel/Palestine – How to End the War of 1948*, source

An honest comparison which evidently learned rebels like Alan Hart, another favorite rebel of the dissent space, who keep comparing the Zionist enterprise in Palestine to the Nazis, fail to make. I examined this inexplicable failure in response to Alan Hart's characterization of Israel as “The New Nazis”, in No, No - Not the 'New Nazis', January 2009. [7]

**Therefore, concluding the preceding analysis, I have to wonder how comes the brilliant white man is suddenly so naive that he fails to see the parallel white man's burdens in all his holier than thou blanket Jew bashing?**

I hope I may be forgiven today, in 2011, that I am entirely unable to differentiate one white man's burden from another. Can you tell them apart in the images below? Please click on the image and it will take you to a more detailed exposition in case you feel you are able tell the difference:
Hidden No Longer:

Caption Hidden no longer: Genocide in the Americas
by the pious Christians bearing the gift of *the white man's burden* to the native Indian tribes hiddenno-longer.com [8]

Caption Genesis to Genocide in Palestine by the pious Jews bearing the gift of *the white man's burden* to the Arabs and Muslims [9]
Caption Exodus, destruction, death and chaos, inflicted by the pious bearing gifts of Western civilization's new *white man's burden*, the war on terror, to Pakistan with copious help from the Pakistani House Negroes [10]
Caption Exodus, destruction, death and chaos, inflicted by the pious bearing gifts of Western civilization's new *white man's burden*, the war on terror, to Afghanistan [11]
Caption Harvest of America's Operation 'Iraqi Freedom'. Exodus, destruction, death and chaos, inflicted by the pious bearing gifts of Western civilization's new white man's burden, the war on terror, to Iraq [12]
Caption Jesus has come in May to Afghanistan: US troops urged to share faith in Afghanistan - 04 May 09 [13]

Caption US Soldiers in Afghanistan Told to “hunt people for Jesus... so we get them into the kingdom” rebelreports.com [14]

And, I would be sorely remiss in not condemning the brazen and per-
nicious role of *House Negroes* in dutifully carrying *the white man's burden* as their own. Pakistani *negroes and native informants* are famous for their being trivially flattered into the *massa's* lair. Quoting from the former Director of ISI's 1995 memoirs, *Profiles of Intelligence*:

'A lot has been said and written by some of our American friends about the price of a Pakistani. Dr. Andrew V. Corry, US Counsel General at Lahore, once said, "Price of a Pakistani oscillates between a free trip to the US and a bottle of whisky." He may not be too far wrong. We did observe some highly placed Pakistanis selling their conscience, prestige, dignity and self-respect for a small price.' (Brig. Tirmazi, Director of Pakistan's ISI at the time of the judicial homicide of its elected prime minister Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto, *Profiles of Intelligence*, Brig. Syed A. I. Tirmazi, 1995, pg. 45).

Can you easily tell the difference between the burden of the *white man* and his *house niggers*: 

Caption **Shameless Stooges** and *house niggers* at the *massa's* table in payoff for “Bukakke” services rendered. Tahir ul-Qadri and Imran Khan are not simpleton patsies – they know exactly what they are doing by echoing empire's axioms on Terrorism. Just being invited to sit at the *white man's* table and utter gibberish in gratitude is evidently sufficient incentive for Pakistani *brown-sahibs* to commit treason. The Western establishment's cultivated Trojan Horse among Muslims for “cognitive infiltration” and spawning more “beneficial religious diversity” (sic!), namely “*moderate Islam*”, Qadri–Khan make even Mir Jafar/Mir Sadiq duo look virtuous. The final payment awaiting stooges when their services are terminated can be witnessed in the fate of the one far more illustrious, Benazir Bhutto. The ill-fated *daughter of the East* had echoed the same axioms on Terrorism at the CFR in 2007. Evidently, anyone and everyone can be recruited after they have shown their willingness to echo *the white man's burden*. Here is CFR's latest 2011 promotion from among the *native informants*. (Image contributed by a Pakistani *field negro*)

**Job Advertisement:** *Now is your chance to serve the massa – pathetic house negroes are in great demand! And you can have your choice of “Betweens” to choose from as your daily service. Trips to Disneyland, sabbaticals, appointments, and book deals are included in the compensation package based on the level of eruditeness of your bullshit.* [15]
The foolish 'untermenschen' better understand the insidious breadth and depth of the common *la mission civilisatrice* bond among the *white man* (and including their *house niggers* who are often more *white* than the *white man*) when they come, individually, and in groups, wearing different colored labels of Left, Right, Liberal, Conservative, Progressive, Atheist, Christian, Jew, whatever, bearing gifts of pious virtue in various Hegelian Dialects. Zbigniew Brzezinski justified the primacy of the powerful with "*Hegemony is as old as mankind*" in *The Grand Chessboard* only as the latter day secular version of that same *white man's burden*.

When I recently, only this past week in fact, once again challenged the pious *white man's* characteristic narratives in their blanket Jew-bashing screeds in my letter: Zahir Ebrahim's Letter to John Kaminski – Are you Jewish?, [16] and deconstructed the new *white man's burden* of Secular Humanism they now wish to inflict upon humanity in the guise of discrediting all established religions in order to secure their one-world government, I was not surprised by what happened. You can read the response of the fanatic all-knowing Jew-basher, who has extended his unfettered Jew-bashing to both Christian and Muslim bashing: "*Christians and Muslims are trapped in the same delusional mindlock as the Jews,*" reviling without any compunction more than 5 billion peoples on the planet in just one sentence, even calling my religion, Islam, "*fetid and toxic dogma*".

The supposedly rebel website which goes by that very name and presents itself on the side of the 'untermensch' by publishing dissenting articles with empire, gratuitously wrote an amusing editorial response to my letter instead of condemning their own favorite white man whose trite screed they boldly feature on their front page every week as the avantgarde in progressive thought: Rebel News' Letter to Zahir Ebrahim – Are you Mossad?. When I submitted my response to the white man denigrating my religion and 5 billion peoples' on the planet in the name of dissent and requested the website publish my response, the same editor wrote back: "*I won't. Your writings clearly*
don't fit in. Thank you for your time. – Andrew Winkler Rebel Media Group”!

Indeed!

This plebeian's antidote to hectoring hegemons clearly won't fit in where the *white man's burden* is the real agenda – heeheehee!

I have been labeled worse than “Mossad” for calling the bullshit of the *white man*. The 'denier' label from Israel Shamir, the holy Jew miraculously metanoia'd into pious Christian like the historical Saul into Paul, was a tad more cognitive.

But as of this writing, nothing has surpassed what the genuinely Christian rebel leader extraordinaire, Paul Craig Roberts, United States Former Assistant Secretary of the Treasury who sat at the same oligarchic tables before taking on his new role, and who writes for the camouflaged white supremacist website VDARE.com, honored me with in Dec 06, 2008: “you are a completely stupid fool, a disgrace to humanity”. [17] My unpardonable sin? Boldly calling his bullshit of maligning the Muslims as terrorists, while all the rest of fools, mostly the *white man*, applaud him for his gallant dissent!

Dissent with what? Dissent does not automatically imply being on the side of the 'untermenschen'. It is only presumed to be so in the vernacular which has calculatingly been developed in the West as a *neuro linguistic programming* Trojan Horse for the mind, to alias sub-version under association with something familiar. And this works great for pushing covert agendas of the *political elites* in a far more sophisticated format than merely through “controlled dissent”. The latter is principally employed for craftily channeling any public opposition, or the premature discovery of monumental crimes in progress, towards inefficacy, and at best, a *Limited Hangout* sacrificial mea culpa. All this is already examined in Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory. [18]

The endless trail of red herrings established by the social engineering symphonies of the Mighty Wurlitzer, of which “controlled dissent” is
an essential melody, is primarily intended to waste sufficient time while *manufacturing consent* in order to successfully engineer a fait accompli that is subsequently irreversible due to its very nature, even if the truth is *ex post facto* revealed with much fanfare. This is straightforwardly true for a single set of masters pursuing a single set of overarching goals. Empiricism however, undeniably suggests that there are in fact, multiple sets of masters carrying their respective *white man's burden*, and competing amongst themselves for the unfettered rights to primacy.

For these *elites*, the common plebeian masses are merely the *puck* to be pushed around towards their own respective goal posts. In the full engagement of such broad-spectrum mind-fcks, the dissent of the lauded chiefs, and many a public figure, evidently spans the gamut – and perhaps my cynicism may be forgiven as one of only a confused person endeavoring to think for oneself without the benefit of prominent “experts” to guide one – from disagreeing amongst each other while sharing the *white man's burden*, to vilely herding the sheep of dissent to the same pastures as the sheep of mainstream but in erudite round-about ways. Dissent under social engineering burdens, is not always the lofty mantle of Socrates. More frequently, it is the mantle of the controllers outside of *Plato's Cave* pursuing their own narrow primacy interests. See: Responsibility of Intellectuals – Redux. [19]

The pious *white man* is slipping today. Those majoring in Western hypocrisy might wish to work at layering their common *mission civilisateur* with a bit more finesse and diabolicalism than they are doing now. Perhaps as they used to do in the past, when the East India Company created its version of globalism with *free trade* inflicted upon its colonies. And perhaps when small pox laden blankets decimated entire tribes and nations and genocided them off the face of the earth as a peoples. Today, even an ordinary plebeian is trivially able to call attention to their common bond of pious virtues, and trivially unravel their bullshit. Their Hegelian Dialectic is slipping....

Below are some empirical essays which unarguably demonstrate how
the modern white man is still united in the same un-severable common bond, its la mission civilisatrice, even upon each other, irrespective of their separate creeds and occasional bouts of antagonistic power-plays among each other. They only compete amongst themselves, and those outclassed by the better trained or more hungry simply run home to mommy, variously crying foul and grapes are sour!

The losing group of white man in this primacy contest often makes a great show of friendship to the 'untermensch' victims of the winning group of white man, employing the timeserving “enemy of my enemy is my friend” principle to open new backdoors back into the same contest. I suspect that the white man's burden intrinsically makes sharing the prize problematic among the various breed of white man from differing creeds and races for very long, despite their common mission of unfettered primacy whenever they can get away with it.

This common mission statement of the hectorting hegemons used to be mistakenly called the law of the jungle – but it really isn't.

In the jungle, when the primacy hierarchy, all the way to the top, has eaten its fill, each rests until the next mealtime. The strong weed out the weak, yes, but only from wanting to have their daily meals and mark their own territory, while the weakest not being able to do so for one reason or another; never just for the sake of full spectrum control, full spectrum dominance, the urge to enslave others. But for the arbiters of the white man's burden, there can be no point of satiation in their social Darwinianism. Their primacy can only culminate in full spectrum master-slave bondage, couched in modern day Newspeak for camouflage as world government (see Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order [20]). But then, the masters must turn upon each other because there really can be, only one master!

This is the Nihilist Order that is being pushed upon mankind today by the legatees of the same old white man's burden. I really can't tell these different strands of the white man apart – be they holy Zionist Jews in Palestine bringing us Western civilization on that front, or pi-
ous Christians bringing “Jesus” to Afghanistan just as they did to the Americas, and to the Indo subcontinent, or noble leftists and atheists posing as their common peacenik antagonists. They all look the same to me, especially from Mt. Fuji:

“Aspire to be like Mt. Fuji, with such a broad and solid foundation that the strongest earthquake cannot move you, and so tall that the greatest enterprises of common men seem insignificant from your lofty perspective. With your mind as high as Mt. Fuji you can see all things clearly. And you can see all the forces that shape events; not just the things happening near to you.” -- Miyamoto Musashi -- Quoted in Political Ponerology

Here are some of my pertinent writings on the theme of white man's burden, in reverse chronological order:


Judge for yourself – and if you learn to tell the difference, please inform this confused plebeian who is fast reaching the pitiable conclu-
sion that almost all of the dissent in the West, especially that led by
the white man, is bogus! The rare exceptions are not sufficient in in-
fluence to call it effective dissent, and they are generally the unknown
ordinary men and women of conscience who nobody knows, and who
aren't the typical opinion-makers.

What this further underscores is the empirical pragmatism which has
always been true, that the Global East, and the Global South, the low-
est in the pecking order of the 'untermensch', stand pretty much alone
against the incessant, all encompassing onslaught of the hectoring he-
 gemons of the Global North-West. This has been the unvarnished his-
tory of the past several hundred years, and just because there is inter-
net today, and the information age, it is foolish to think that it is all
about to miraculously change! These new age tools have been deftly
adapted for the same agenda which were previously thrashed out on
lonely typewriters.

**Unless the 'untermensch' nations of the East indigenously come to
our own common self-defense against these almost superhuman
global forces arrayed against us, no one else will.**

The only known defense for the weak, is to innately become strong.
No people living on the begging bowl pleading for justice in charity,
have ever got a fair hearing in the imperial courts of any ubermensch,
white, black, brown or yellow, any place on earth.

Becoming strong does not however imply following a new pied piper,
such as falling prey to “revolutions” orchestrated through mob-power,
wherein, the same puppetmasters diabolically harness the public's
genuine disaffections to merely replace one tyrant by another in a
game of hegelian musical chairs. See: Zahir Ebrahim's Letter to BRus-
sells Tribunal: Egypt Revolution, the White Man's Burden, and Iraq,
[21] which penetrates behind the “revolutions” now transpiring
among the 'untermensch' nations in the Middle East in the vaunted
name of “change”.

This is a stark lesson to remember for the American and Western pub-
lic as well. They are continually taught to look towards “leaders” and “experts” to show them the way at the expense of their own common-sense. Kept thoughtlessly occupied chasing the mirage of the 'American Dream', the 'white trash' of the Global North-West shall not escape the butcher's knife either. Their biggest Achilles' heel today is their loss of faith, and their greatest nemeses are those pitching Secular Humanism to them by reviling all divine religions.

And it is done with such finesse – if one were to judge Islam by the ubiquitous song of “Islamofascism” in the Western newsmedia and the Hollywood movies, Christianity by the child molesting priests proudly decked in their starched collars of piety, Judaism by the Judeofascist Talmudic cabal who today principally stand out as the most barbaric of peoples on planet earth, one would become naturally primed for a great new secular substitute for the New Age.

Without faith, without a deep sense of justice and morality, all of which are being systematically stripped from the gullible Americans' national psyche which was already too rich in the fertile soil of hedonism pre-ploughed with the ripe seeds of moral relativism, what is to prevent the American masses from turning into “cannibals”? They have already been sufficiently psychologically conditioned into barbarianism, almost gleefully watching the slaughter of the 'untermenschen' without much anxiety, in just this past decade (see America's Shame [22]). Now they are also being physically conditioned through their own systematic dehumanization at airport security checkpoints where 99% of the travelling public meekly acquiesces to the false choice between accumulating deadly radiation dosage and grotesque molestation to keep them “safe” (see What Went Wrong In The Land of The Free – Standing For Airport Indignities [23]).

And if this science report [24] is to be believed, pharmaco'logically induced moral reconditioning may well be the next mandatory prescription, prescribed in Newspeak of course, like every other Orwellian remedy for pandemics pushed by WHO:
“Racist? Angry? The answer may be in a pill. A pill to enhance moral behaviour; a treatment for racist thoughts; a therapy to increase your empathy for people in other countries – these may sound like the stuff of science fiction but, with medicine moving closer to altering our moral state, society should be preparing for the consequences, according to a book reviewing scientific developments in the field.”

The “ultimate revolution”, as Aldous Huxley had put it almost a half century ago, would be “to get people actually to love their servitude!”

That “ultimate revolution” of full spectrum servitude is being brought to the Western shores in systematic crisis stages. Each crisis stage masking the preceding one, to appear independent and unrelated. The public naturally focuses on the most recent crisis which is tearing their lives apart, and remain unable to distinguish between cause and effects that are cumulatively and systemically disintegrating their free society into a draconian police-state, one crisis stage at a time. This calculated lapse of public understanding works beautifully in the interest of those who are the first-cause of the Social Darwinian disease of primacy, the untouchable oligarchy. These murdersome *Hectoring Hegemons*, the *Brotherhood of Death*, perceptively understand that which the public mind simply cannot comprehend. Namely, that the political and social engineering of how to usher in unpopular transformations, the piecemeal erosion of national sovereignty to create world government, requires the creation of overwhelming “revolutionary times” which can make the public's reality “look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality,”.

Each new ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ provides the new enabling pretext for inching the world one baby-step closer towards the Global Governance of the Planet by the oligarchy. Because they control the global mass media and the Mighty Wurlitzer's infinite capacity to
mold national destinies, they are always successful in getting public perception to focus on whatever they wish to fabricate, instead of the public accurately perceiving its zeitgeist as an interconnected whole (see Some Dare Call it Conspiracy! Are you among them? [25]).

All this mind-f**k primes the desperate public mind to easily accept the equally shocking remedies that are proffered up to alleviate the crisis in the cacophony of experts and useful idiots. Much effort gets expended by the many doctors of society, some mercenaries, some dupes and patsies, and with much fanfare, in chasing the remedies for the most shocking symptom with little impact on the actual disease which remains largely undiagnosed. Even the most brilliant and honest social and political physicians get caught in this mind-f**k. The master sociologist of all times had insightfully observed of this principle of social engineering in his own epiphany to the white man's burden. I hope I may be forgiven if I see the many convoluting parallels at many levels too acute to deny:

“The cure of a sickness can only be achieved if its cause is known, and the same is true of curing political evils. To be sure, the outward form of a sickness, its symptom which strikes the eye, is easier to see and discover than the inner cause. And this is the reason why so many people never go beyond the recognition of external effects and even confuse them with the cause, attempting, indeed, to deny the existence of the latter.” -- Mein Kampf, 1:10, Causes of the Collapse

Indeed, even commonsense suggests that the cure of a sickness can only be achieved if its primary cause is known, and the same is true of curing political evils which create and sustain all others. In my Response to Nihilists [26] and Letter to Muslims, [27] I examined the new color that Western society is being so methodically painted in with the delicate and sophisticated brush strokes of Secular Humanism, as a necessary adjunct to getting them to love their own cannibalistic servitude.
This ain't a matter to be digested within the 15-second attention span that Westerners are taught to parse headline news with. If there is any truth to the sociologists' statistic that less than 2% people actually think, 8% think they think, and 90% would not be caught dead thinking, all plebeians are gonna have to work really hard to comprehend the Hegelian mind-fcks we are being routinely dished to prime us for "voluntarily" accepting the New World Order. As far as the hectoring hegemons are concerned, the North-West's 'white trash' only occupy a different pecking order from the 'untermensch' of the East and the South. The misery at least ends for the dead. The wretched of the earth must continue to live in full service to the masters, wherever they might be, until it is their turn to be served at the dinner table. As meal. And if the unwanted masses can be kept in a happy-happy state [28] while waiting for their turn to be liberated from the bonds of voluntary servitude by worldwide population reduction, so much the better. See What can be done? [29]

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Footnotes

[a] Part-1  My experiments in confusion – Part-1: How many Jews does it take to confuse me? Response to 'How many Rabbis does it take to create a Racist State?'

Part-2  My experiments in confusion - Part-2: The invisible House of Rothschild
http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-confusion-invisible-rothschild-usa.html

Part-3  My experiments in confusion - Part-3: The Omnipotent
Rothschilds

Part-4 How many Gentiles does it take to confuse me? Evidently, also only One! Part-4

Part-5 My experiments in confusion - Part-5: Letter to Prof. Kevin MacDonald

Part-6 The white man's burden still looks white in color - Zahir Ebrahim's Response to John Kaminski's “There are no good Jews”


http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/05/newsflash-terrorism-may2009.html#Jesus-In-Afghanistan-Video


http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2013/10/some-dare-call-it-
conspiracy.html


**Short URL:** http://tinyurl.com/The-White-Mans-Burden

**Source URL:** http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/02/white-mans-burden-uniformly-distributed.html

First Published February 19, 2011
Chapter 26 Part-2

The White Man's Burden Still Looks White – Jew Bashing by holier than thou Christians: Grapes are Sour?

Response to “There are no good Jews”

In response to John Kaminski's article 'The moral treason of David Icke', let me first say that I have never met John Kaminski, but occasionally correspond with him – mostly to do with his opinions on religion – and enjoy the friendly jousting with a free-thinker that I imagine John is.

It is always good to communicate with rational peoples, and with people with differing viewpoints, in order to avoid the White House's incestuously self-reinforcing decision-making process in one's own worldviews.
But John Kaminski likes to talk more than listen, unfortunately. Don't we all?

How many writers actually listen to another? Or try to see a point of view which is inimical to their own worldview?

The following statement of Bertrand Russell, while true for most of us, is most true for writers, especially the free-thinking sort:

“What a man believes upon grossly insufficient evidence is an index to his desires – desires of which he himself is often unconscious. If a man is offered a fact which goes against his instincts [or worldview], he will scrutinize it closely, and unless [and at times even when] the evidence is overwhelming, he will refuse to believe it. If, on the other hand, he is offered something which affords a reason for acting in accordance with his instincts [or worldview], he will accept it even on the slenderest evidence.” --- Bertrand Russell, Proposed Roads to Freedom, 1919, page 147

In his article, I am afraid John Kaminski has not produced any evidence to explain his belief that most Jews actually believe in the abhorrent crap in the Talmud. Just because one is Jewish, it is presumed that they espouse the supremacist crap in their ancient books.

Secondly, even if that was the case, and John hasn't proved that, it is irrelevant.

Why should one not be free to believe any crap one wants?

One man's crap is another man's religion.

So long as that crap doesn't become “la mission civilisatrice” upon others, a domestic policy pivot to enforce unfair laws upon others, and a foreign policy pivot to wage wars of conquest upon others, or shall we call that “imperial mobilization” from Wounded Knee to Afghanistan, I have no problem with it.
Believe any god-damned crap one wants – just don't make me and others feel its pain!

So, the sensible point then is, criminal acts are crimes, not criminal thoughts.

Otherwise the thought-police may have us all locked up!

And this commonsensical principle was also re-established at Nuremberg very forcefully:

“The intellectual bankruptcy and moral perversion of the Nazi regime might have been no concern of international law had it not been utilized to goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers. It is not their thoughts, it is their overt acts which we charge to be crimes.” --- Nuremberg, Closing Speech, Robert H. Jackson, Chief Counsel for the United States, 1946

John Kaminski evidently believes that the Jews are the first-cause source of world's problems because they believe in some abhorrent crap of supremacy, derived from their scriptures concerning the “god's chosen people”, and corrupted by their Rabbis in their various Rabbinical Talmuds to mean: lord over the goy. You want to see perversion of thought? I can show you that perversity right here where it is not just the goy the Talmudic Rabbis are supremo to, but also supremo to god itself. Watch it in Masters of the World:


As discussed elsewhere, how is it that such rabidly perverted Chabad have such stranglehold upon the corridors of political power in the United States and Europe? Witness it visually in the following photographs:

So, for John Kaminski, the world is in a mess primarily because the Jews are inspired by their misanthropic dogma of 'god's chosen people' so appointed to lord upon the goy.

But wait just a second – even so, how are the Jews able to do it?

What gives them that “iron wall” protection which opens for them all the political doors in almost the entire Western world?

I have discussed this elsewhere in the context of Palestine. Here, the only point I wish to make is that these ill-begotten mullahs of zionism are representatives of a power so immense, that the errand boys in the front offices of governments across Europe, the United States, and Great Britain, recognize these perverted shlomos to be the emissaries of that immense power – no differently than the ordinary pale-skinned colonel in knickers could brow-beat an Indian Maharaja into handing over the previous year's harvest simply be demanding it!

Because, the Maharaja knew that the measly chicken-shit colonel who couldn't stand a chance in a boxing match with his Indian servant, represented an un-challengeable imperial power from across the seas! If the Maharaja wasn't nice to empire's errand boys with an open smile and open backside, the imperial power would bring on even greater munificence than simply the demand for the proceeds of last and this year's crop!!

That power, variously represented today by the "hectoring hegemons" rather than the pale-skinned colonel in knickers, and who eagerly front for a similar greater power of the oligarchs who rule from behind the scenes, happen to be self-proclaimed Jews across the hierarchy of power. And just like yesterday, many a white man from Christian lands, still willingly carry his own white Anglo-Saxon's pale-skinned burden of "la mission civilisatrice", upon his own torturous back! As is reported in Edwin C. Knuth's The Empire of 'The City':

“Geopolitics, the study of the struggle for space and power, forms a well-developed science with an ex-
tensive bibliography, which conclusively impeaches the superficial fabrication, with which the American people in particular have been implanted with consummate cunning, that the great World Wars are caused by brutal attacks upon world law and order, instead of being the fully anticipated consequences of the most diabolical double dealing and planning by the secret 'One World' order of 'The City.' ... 'It is the destiny of the pure Aryan Anglo-Saxon race to dominate the world and kill off or else reduce to a servile status all other inferior races.'” (Edwin C. Knuth, *The Empire of 'The City',* 1944 AD)

I have previously responded to John Kaminski in an article sufficiently, which was a response to his own previous article: "Why no Jewish Writer Can Be Believed", to warrant rehashing it again. His present article is really more of the same, just going the next logical mile for John Kaminski to now condemn all Jews, writer or not. I'll just leave a link to my previous response below. I remain entirely unpersuaded by my free-thinker friend John Kaminski, as I do on many of his other points on religion as well. But my new friend and interlocutor is just as entitled to his beliefs as the Talmudic Rabbi. Just don't force its enactment upon others.

Incidentally, I will note as a Muslim, that Muslims have lived with the Jews for centuries and don't share such dismal sentiments of John Kaminski: “There are no good Jews. Except for extraordinarily infrequent exceptions, there ARE no good Jews. They take a vow every year never to tell the truth. They believe other people are animals, and that Jews can steal other people’s property with no penalty (as long as they don’t get caught by a gullible goy lawman).” And: “That’s why Jews make such bad neighbors, because we’re only just animals.”

The reader might take my opinion more than a tad seriously – since Muslims are the people today bearing the full brunt of the largesses of the Jewish Lebensraum in Palestine, and World Government in the
'untermenschen' nations, and if anyone should be upset, it's us. And those largesses are being visited upon us in our cold red blood on the backs of the Christian white man now bringing us 'untermenschen' their Jesus (http://youtube.com/watch?v=hVGmbzDLq5c). The same white man who previously colonized my lands and left me English to write these comments with:

**Begin Excerpt**

[9] What then shall that language be? One-half of the committee maintain that it should be the English. The other half strongly recommend the Arabic and Sanscrit. The whole question seems to me to be--which language is the best worth knowing?

[10] I have no knowledge of either Sanscrit or Arabic. But I have done what I could to form a correct estimate of their value. I have read translations of the most celebrated Arabic and Sanscrit works. I have conversed, both here and at home, with men distinguished by their proficiency in the Eastern tongues. I am quite ready to take the oriental learning at the valuation of the orientalists themselves. I have never found one among them who could deny that a single shelf of a good European library was worth the whole native literature of India and Arabia. The intrinsic superiority of the Western literature is indeed fully admitted by those members of the committee who support the oriental plan of education.

[11] It will hardly be disputed, I suppose, that the department of literature in which the Eastern writers stand highest is poetry. And I certainly never met with any orientalist who ventured to maintain that the Arabic and Sanscrit poetry could be compared to that of the great European nations. But when we pass from works of imagination to works in which facts are recorded and general principles investigated, the superiority of the Europeans becomes absolutely immeasurable. It is, I believe, no exaggeration to say that all the historical information which has been collected from all the books written in the Sanscrit
language is less valuable than what may be found in the most paltry abridgments used at preparatory schools in England. In every branch of physical or moral philosophy, the relative position of the two nations is nearly the same.

[12] How then stands the case? We have to educate a people who cannot at present be educated by means of their mother-tongue. We must teach them some foreign language. The claims of our own language it is hardly necessary to recapitulate. It stands pre-eminent even among the languages of the West. It abounds with works of imagination not inferior to the noblest which Greece has bequeathed to us, --with models of every species of eloquence, --with historical composition, which, considered merely as narratives, have seldom been surpassed, and which, considered as vehicles of ethical and political instruction, have never been equaled-- with just and lively representations of human life and human nature, --with the most profound speculations on metaphysics, morals, government, jurisprudence, trade, --with full and correct information respecting every experimental science which tends to preserve the health, to increase the comfort, or to expand the intellect of man. Whoever knows that language has ready access to all the vast intellectual wealth which all the wisest nations of the earth have created and hoarded in the course of ninety generations. It may safely be said that the literature now extant in that language is of greater value than all the literature which three hundred years ago was extant in all the languages of the world together. Nor is this all. In India, English is the language spoken by the ruling class. It is spoken by the higher class of natives at the seats of Government. It is likely to become the language of commerce throughout the seas of the East. It is the language of two great European communities which are rising, the one in the south of Africa, the other in Australia, --communities which are every year becoming more important and more closely connected with our Indian empire. Whether we look at the intrinsic value of our literature, or at the particular situation of this country, we shall see the strongest reason to think that, of all foreign tongues, the Eng-
lish tongue is that which would be the most useful to our native sub-
jects.

[13] The question now before us is simply whether, when it is in our
power to teach this language, we shall teach languages in which, by
universal confession, there are no books on any subject which deserve
to be compared to our own, whether, when we can teach European
science, we shall teach systems which, by universal confession,
wherever they differ from those of Europe differ for the worse, and
whether, when we can patronize sound philosophy and true history,
we shall countenance, at the public expense, medical doctrines which
would disgrace an English farrier, astronomy which would move
laughter in girls at an English boarding school, history abounding with
kings thirty feet high and reigns thirty thousand years long, and geo-
graphy made of seas of treacle and seas of butter.

[14] We are not without experience to guide us. History furnishes sev-
eral analogous cases, and they all teach the same lesson. There are, in
modern times, to go no further, two memorable instances of a great
impulse given to the mind of a whole society, of prejudices over-
thrown, of knowledge diffused, of taste purified, of arts and sciences
planted in countries which had recently been ignorant and barbarous.

[34] In one point I fully agree with the gentlemen to whose general
views I am opposed. I feel with them that it is impossible for us, with
our limited means, to attempt to educate the body of the people. We
must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters
between us and the millions whom we govern, --a class of persons In-
dian in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals
and in intellect. To that class we may leave it to refine the vernacular
dialects of the country, to enrich those dialects with terms of science
borrowed from the Western nomenclature, and to render them by de-
grees fit vehicles for conveying knowledge to the great mass of the
population.

http://columbia.edu/itc/mealac/pritchett/00generallinks/macaulay/txt_minute_education_1835.html
End Excerpt

The above excerpt is from a speech made by Lord Macaulay, dated the 2nd February 1835, in the British Parliament, and soon afterwards, we had the brown-sahibs cultivated in the Indo sub-continent, who became, just as Macaulay architected it for the British colonies: “a class of persons Indian in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals and in intellect.”

Yes mes amis – la mission civilisatrice – the white man's burden.

I am not clear how many of those were Jews? Can you perhaps inform me?

And how many were Christians?

And how many were the Anglo-Saxons, who, inter alia, killed 10 million of the inhabitants of the native Americas, forcibly settled it with genocide, with General Sherman regretfully expressing to his son before he died:

“the very same army that had recently conquered and occupied the Southern states – led by Generals Grant, Sherman, and Sheridan – mass murdered Indian men, women, and children during the winters, when families would be together, with massive Gatling gun and artillery fire. In a letter to his son a year before he died (1889), Sherman expressed his regret that his armies did not murder every last Indian in North America.” --- Press Release Gaza-Palestine, Fake Wars and Manufactured Enemies: What's it all about? January 21, 2009

And how many were the pale-skinned Anglo-Saxons, who, again inter alia, physically and mentally colonized the 400 million peoples of India?

And pray tell how do I tell them apart – they all look white to me –
from those pilgrims coming to America to kill the 10 million of its inhabitants, to the ones coming to India under the banner of free trade with the East India Company, and through deception and divide et impera, taking over the entire lands for almost 200 years? Just as the new white man, the Ashkenazi, has today taken over Palestine with support from the same Anglo-Saxon Christian civilizations employing the same principles as used to settle the New World. This was even un-abashedly admitted by George W. Bush on the occasion of the 60th Birthday bash in Zionistan which was enthusiastically attended by most Western European Christian heads of state: “Our two nations both faced great challenges when they were founded. And our two nations have both relied on the same principles to help us succeed.”

Perhaps John Kaminski has a litmus test of piety for the white man – beyond his specious and outright facile “jew” vs. “gentile” demagoguery?

Also see my perspective article linked below: The Obsession With Purity Of The White Race For The White Anglo Saxons – What's to Be Proud Of In The Race Construct for Civilization? Its Record Is Only Dismal!

The white man is in no position to spout hypocritical morality, when now faced with an even more diabolical foe – as also directly expressed in my letters to Dr. David Duke and Professor Kevin MacDonald noted at the end. These two gentlemen also rile against the Jews, inter alia, for the multiculturalism and open immigration policies the Jews subversively introduced into the United States, and also into Western European countries, diluting what these Christian white man perceive as their white man's culture. In his extraordinarily perceptive book the Culture of Critique, Kevin MacDonald very eruditely analyzed 'multiculturalism' as the tribal dynamics of survival in a singular majority culture which has, at times, been inimical to the well-being of the minority Jews.
The following is what I wrote Kevin MacDonald for instance, inviting him to interlocution, which was greeted by the usual enthusiastic stone silence. As I noted at the beginning of this article, which erudite pundit with a bullhorn likes to listen to the untermensch perspective? Especially among the self-righteous white man who have performed their hajj after eating 900 mice?

“To: Prof. Kevin MacDonald, Professor of Psychology, CSULB

Date: Friday, December 17, 2010

Subject: Multiculturalism diluting the White Man's Burden?

Hello Prof. Kevin MacDonald,

I wonder if you might comment on my letter to Dr. David Duke, specifically the last main paragraph. You are an outspoken critic of multiculturalism yourself. Several years ago I read your interesting book CoC. I also read some of your essays every now and then. What you share with Dr. David Duke, is in that last passage of my letter to him. Most of the authors who write for Vdare.com (submission noted below) also evidently hold that view. And so did Eustace Mullins, as evidenced in his glorification of Shem in his book The Curse of Canaan, as the only distinguished harbingers of world civilizations. The white man today bemoans his loss of advantage at the altar of multiculturalism, an advantage often gained, which history tells us, at the point of perfidy and sword. From the East India Company bringing the white man's burden to my lands, perfidy, to the noble white man settling the New World by exterminating its indigenous inhabitants, sword. Yet, when I point this inconvenient fact out to the outspoken harbingers of
white man's civilization bemoaning the loss of their primacy advantage to an even greater hegemon, they entirely ignore me thus adding to my confusion-space. I hope you will not do the same.

What I humbly request is that you kindly publish my letter to Dr. David Duke, since evidently neither Vdare is going to do it, nor is David Duke going to respond, and offer your cogent refutation of its pertinent passage if you feel I am indeed confused in thinking that principally, the grapes are sour! Otherwise, I hope you can straightforwardly admit your own narrow self-interests have as much to do with objective scholarship and moral supremacy as the narrow self-interests you critique of your nemesis, the Jews. As an 'untermensch' having long endured the munificent largesses of the white man's burden, I am never confused by hectoring hegemons and their pious doctrines of conquests which often come wrapped in profound scholarship – they live and perish by the same values they espouse. It is only the pious complaints when they lose which confuse me. I quite anticipate the indomitable Zionists to be making similar arguments someday as the pious white gentile is making today.

I look forward to you, as a public scholar at a publicly funded university, to gently remove this fog of confusion which tends to surround me in the West anytime I try to think for myself. No one else is ever willing to address a plebeian's confusions which, I dearly suspect, may be quite endemic among the silent 'untermenschen' comprising the great multicultural milieu in what was once a peaceful mono-cultural land of the native Americans.
My letters to the erudite Professor of psychology, Kevin MacDonald, and to the (presumably) recovering Klu Klux Klanner, Dr. David Duke, both of whom evidently favorite of many a white supremacist now repackaging their age-old white man's burden as merely protecting the white man's culture from cultural contamination, un-mincingly hone in to the heart of the matter. Namely, that Western Christian hegemons who perfected the very modus operandi of divide and rule with perfidy and enslavement of the 'untermenschen', now facing an even greater 'ubermensch' hegemon chosen by none other than god itself, out to conquer even them under the combined weight of their dysfunctional history of living together for 2000 years, are merely crying “foul” because the grapes are sour!!

This is so brazenly transparent that it can only fly unchallenged in the pious West, unequivocally demonstrating to the world that even in their virtuous dissent, the intemperate white man piously riling against other races and other religions using the race and creed constructs, principally remains a hectoring hegemon at heart.

Of course a zebra can't change its stripes anymore than a feline can stop eating mice! Only the foolish mice would believe the cat's feigned protestations. This is the pertinent passage from my letter to David Duke which I invited Kevin MacDonald to refute if I was mistaken about grapes being sour:

“I also live in the United States, I came here to study, got married, had kids, and they are born in the USA. They are as American, while of the Asian stock, as any who came across the Atlantic and settled here by exterminating 10 million of this land's native peoples. To you, I am a vile intrusion into your white America
because of the multi-culturalism perfidy of the Jews which diluted your race-cultural dominance in a land which your own ancestors criminally emptied of its native race-cultural dominance. It is not clear to me on what moral grounds you can complain – the grounds, evidently, of arbitrarily starting the clock on the time axis that is convenient to you. Those are some of the same characteristics in your own nemesis today in their analogous forced re-settlement of another's land. There is a lot more in common between Zionistan and Americanistan than you care to admit. Both the leaders of the two 'tans' admit it openly [however], as evidenced on the 60th birthday bash in Zionistan, deconstructed by yours truly here: Celebrating Israel's 60th Birthday in the 60th year of the Nakba.” --- Excerpt from Zahir Ebrahim's letter to David Duke, December 16, 2010

The la mission civilisatrice now evidently spans the gamut of Kaminski's inimical infighting: “There are no good Jews” to their mutual collaboration: “It is the destiny of the pure Aryan Anglo-Saxon race to dominate the world and kill off or else reduce to a servile status all other inferior races.”

Yes, I am so impressed with this Hegelian mind-fck!

If non-Jewish Western folks riling against the Jews can only recognize that their problem in the so called "Judeo-Christian" civilization with the Jews is exclusively the baggage of their peculiar history that both are forced to share, and that, this baggage of history not only colors both sides' perceptions of each other, but also does not exist in the Middle East, Iran, India, and elsewhere, except for Zionism, which is indeed a project of the Rothschilds (links below), they might see that the grotesque generalizations made by John Kaminski like “There are no good Jews”, are not only patently false, but patently absurd.
If these generalizations were universally perceived to be true, then the Muslim societies where Jews have lived peaceably for centuries after centuries, and thrived at the same time, before the onset of Zionism which has today indoctrinated the world Jewry in no small a measure, would also share in that outlook. But we, the Muslims, generally don't. We are more than 1.25 billion Muslims, and more than 3/5 of all humanity lives outside the West who don't share such 2000 year old burden upon our cultural ethos. Hence, these bizarre generalization are false!

Okay, so if these are generally false, then are they particularly true merely in the West? I'll let the white man sort out their internal squabbles on who might carry the greater burden of la mission civilisatrice!

As for Lord Macaulay, despite his admission “I have no knowledge of either Sanscrit or Arabic.”, he bequeathed us more than just English, he bequeathed us the 'brown sahib', “a class of persons Indian in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals and in intellect.”! The fifth columnist in our developing nations like Pakistan, India, and Bangladesh, our feudal classes, and most of our ruling elites, are largely drawn from this cess-pool of brown-sahibs and Uncle Toms.

And I am supposed to be all riled up against the Jews – who I believe to be as much victims of Zionism as any Islamofascist is of empire's cultivation, “tickling”, and harvesting?

As any “Good American” is today of their own indoctrination?

If one can accept that Christian and secular Americans are indoctrinated from birth into a perverse flag waiving 'united we stand' asininity, what makes one reject that the Jews are also similarly indoctrinated since birth to their own perverse flag waiving for 'zionistan'?

Why the special exemption for the Jews from that acute understanding of social and political science?
Chapter 26 Part-2

Should the Americans be asked to give up Christianity and the phantasmal material in their Bible? They are bombing the world aplenty to surely warrant it! Then why are the Jews being asked to give up what's in their phantasmal books?

As a Muslim, I find much to critique in both faiths' scriptural texts that exist today, just as atheists find the entire lot rubbish, including my faith, Islam, and my holy book, the Qur'an; just like Jews didn't accept the Messiah, the Jews and Christians together didn't accept Prophet Muhammad, and the modern atheist thinks all of it is bunk and he knows best – the illuminated one!

Also see link below for my perspective article, Islamofascism - Zionofascism - Judeofascism - Christofascism - Neofascism etc. An equitable distribution of Collateral Language!

So, since one man's faith is another's cartoon, is John Kaminski out to insult almost 6 billion theistic peoples on the planet?

Because, that is the only logical conclusion to where his riling against the Jews will end!

Or, is it more sensible to judge people solely by their acts, not their beliefs?

The actors today of all the crimes against humanity, have indoctrinated their flocks equally to 'united we stand' them – both in the Unites States and the entire West, as well as among the world's Jewry, into respectively supporting the War on Terror, and Zionistan.

The indoctrinators in both cases, today, I believe, happen to be the same!!

Their plan, for world government, for making a “Zion that will light up all the world”, has common financiers as most already know. But do see the study links below to learn from an 'untermensch' what he has learned perusing the fabulous “intrinsic superiority of the Western literature”!
I would just like to mention in conclusion, without harboring any false sense of modesty, that Lord Macaulay also made a mistake. Alongside the 'brown-sahibs' and assorted 'house negroes' and Uncle Toms, he also spawned English-speaking 'field negroes' who, albeit in a tiny minority, can today go head to head with any white man without adopting the white man's burden! The latter is a humble gift of my faith, and some commonsense. In fact, commonsense alone is sufficient
when the heart is permitted to guide the reasoning of the head:


Thank you for reading – if you got this far!

Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/01/white-mans-burden-still-looks-white.html

First Published Friday, January 14, 2011
Chapter 26 Part-3

The White Man's Burden Still Looks White – Jew Bashing by former Jews and Atheists

Create Anti-Semitism as pretext for Secular Humanism – Previously it was to create the pretext for Jews-only State

Zahir Ebrahim's Letter to John Kaminski – Are you Jewish?

From: Zahir Ebrahim | Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
To: John Kaminski
Subject: Are you Jewish John Kaminski?
Date: February 16, 2011
Cc: John Kaminski's short distribution list

Dear John,

Hello.

I somehow seem to have got on your short distribution list John. And I am borrowing it, as an exception, to reply all, because I believe they might also find this inquiry letter interesting. I hope the recipients don't mind this gratuitous intrusion and there will be no further communication email from me to these folks onCc. This letter is posted on my website as well.

Your take in your interesting article which you emailed me this morning, ‘Just another Hebrew pharaoh - Egypt today exactly mirrors Bible’s phony Exodus story', is evidently similar to this one by your fellow-comrade in common-cause, Christopher Jon Bjerknes:

● http://jewishracism.blogspot.com/2011/02/genesis-1518-where-are-egyptian.html

John, you have previously not replied to my response-article to your vitriolicism against the Jews which I had left as a comment link for your article:


Perhaps you did not see it. For your convenience, my response-article may be read here:


I had previously observed in the conclusion of an even earlier rebuttal article exactly year ago:

“Finally, I do thank you Mr. John Kaminski for your
often provocative and bold viewpoints, whether or not one agrees with them. I think it is courageous and uninhibited people like yourself who continually push on the acceptable envelop of thought and its public expression, and thus widen the discourse space for many more ordinary people like myself to have our tiny voice (for what little that's worth). Minimally, the brownshirt thought-police will chase you before they might chase me (or perhaps they will soon lock up all the non-conformists regardless)! Be that as it may, let's not get carried away as the self-proclaimed avant-garde in provocative thought, into realms of moral absurdity, especially in falling prey to the antagonists own vices: guilt by association, the race factor, arrogance, and ignorance.” --- http://print-humanbeings-first.blogspot.com/2010/02/respto-johnkaminski-jewish-writers.html

And I continue to still believe that your opinions are refreshingly outside the box – especially in an age where sheep roam under the delusion of being their own master on the free range of the internet where opinions count for knowledge, and farce for wisdom. However, its extreme nature in almost all of your articles on Jews, makes me wonder about the primary motivations for your ongoing rabid and rather blanket take on Jewry.

Such extreme vitriolicism appears to be an anomalous characteristic of many former Jews as well. I see this all the time – a metanoia, first seeded by the historical Saul to become Paul, created Pauline Christianity. That Christianity, as you well know, became the bedrock of the Catholic Church when Roman Emperor Constantine munificently adopted it as the religion of empire. Today, the textual sources which Constantine officially Canonized as constituting the official Bible for the Christians, is still the source of all Christian theology in the West, whatever its variant. It is the same Bible which you rant upon – albeit
the Old Testament compilation more than the New, it seems. A very
careful history of the Bible's compilation can be gleaned in the inter-
esting fully footnoted book by a Muslim “Jesus: Prophet of Islam”. It is somewhat of a counterpoint to works of Westerners like: “The
Mythmaker: Paul and the Invention of Christianity”. But of course, Muslims are always biased (sic!) – only the great minds of the West, the Shem, are the torch bearers of enlightened civilization (that's your own inspiring mentor Eustace Mullins speaking in the Curse of Canaan). What would, therefore, a Muslim know of any matter, as is often thought in almost all occidental scholarship. I am sure you are not among them John, but I only mention it here to state a factual mat-
ter up front to preempt such thoughts were they to gratuitously occur in the sub-conscious mind of those reading this.

The canonized Bible of Constantine has become the unquestioned theological basis of all Western Christendom, and is so even today. This is just an unarguable historical fact, not an opinion – and whatever be the original sources which comprise it. Thank you Paul – the noble Jew who hated the gentiles in his earlier incarnation, but mi-
raculously transformed into their lover on the road to Damascus, and subsequently became the Jews' own greatest antagonist. I think Paul might rightly be called by those who like such labels, the first “self-
hating” Jew. His contribution, post-metanoia, transformed the message of a Prophet of God, into one of son of god, with theological con-
volutions of a Holy Trinity to ensure no one could ever escape its blessings. Some interpret that historical stuff in today's post-modern New Age, as the legatees of ancient gods and godheads, in the limit, the narratives of a simple people ruled by the Annunaki describing their gods. This is the latter day atheists' spin on the Bible. People like Zecharia Sitchin lead that exponentiation, and receive much publicity and felicity, from the Western presses. That's it – it's just the modern day atheists' enlightened spin.

But the world is populated by others too you know – held in perpetual check by the 'ubermensch' in every age who oft proclaim they know
better than the 'untermensch' they lord over, the illumined ones out to teach the unwashed masses what they simply cannot know of their own limited mind. I am sorry to suggest that most metanoia, evidently, also tends to breed this Platonic disease of the chosen people, of being privileged somehow, and in this case to know more than everyone else, and therefore, justified in trying to teach others by insulting and denigrating everything that's precious to their beliefs. Perhaps this is called progress... or being progressive. I don't know.

And I wondered John, if you were previously Jewish yourself.

As an aside, I am not sure why Westerners add “ish” to any question on, about, or regarding the Jews. As a Muslim, I would find 'Muslim-ish' or 'Islamish' a tad bizarre, even offensive. But I sense that the Western Jews themselves rather prefer that the goyem refer to them thusly. I was first informed of this “preference” while still a new foreign student in America's prestigious MIT, when I wrote a report on Albert Schweitzer, my long time hero as a teenager, for a psychoanalysis class, and the nice American typist whom I paid to type my lengthy paper (as my typing speed on the old typewriters was dismal and error prone), changed my usage of the term “Jew” to “Jewish”, coldly informing me that in America, we don't use the word “Jew”. That was decades ago. But now, her delicate correction appears even more pertinent. So I use that “ish” only in careful politeness, even if it sounds rather absurd to me to ask someone whether they are “Jewish”, instead of straightforwardly asking whether they are a Jew.

I saw the following comment left for the same article noted above, by ostensibly your fan with the nom de plume Whitewraithe:


where she wrote: “Um, well, John, weren't you formally a Jew yourself? ...”.

Christopher Jon Bjerknes repeated that same sentiment but quoted others: “I note in this context that Smith/Setters told me that John
Kaminski is a Jew” here:


So, I wrote to Christopher Jon Bjerknes, knowing him even less than I know you John, and both only from your respective writings and not personally, asking him, inter alia:  “I would like to know if you are aware, whether John Kaminski has himself ever admitted to it.” That letter may be read here:


And I would be entirely remiss if I did not ask you that question directly myself. I don't know why I hesitated earlier – but since I have asked others, I would like to ask you to clarify yourself what you were before your metanoia, i.e., transformation.

John Kaminski, where you, ever, Jewish? Were your immediate parents, or grandparents, Jewish? What caused your profound “metanoia”?

I am sure metanoia is a good thing – we all want to change for the better – but I am very afraid of it among leaders and opinion-makers. Because, it is also a perfect cognitive infiltration device, used for creating diversions, divisions, fragmentations, false oppositions, inoculations, Limited Hangouts, and in the limit, “beneficial cognitive diversity” (the fancy terminology is courtesy of the erudite Jewish scholar and President Obama's information Tzar, Cass Sunstein). We see what Saul, as Paul, did to the message of Jesus, a Prophet of Islam. We also see this common tendency to denigrate past affiliations in the reborn rebel latter day leader of the gentiles, Israel Shamir, and many former Jews, now Christians, or atheists, who tend to pose as great friends of the Muslims and of all goyem, by overtly expressing their profound hatred of anything and everything Jewish. I suspect that the long-ingrained Jewish ethos of “enemy of my enemy is my friend” might drive this fantasy that others too hold the same time-
serving mercenarial ethos.

You can see Israel Shamir's outing in my article, wherein, as far as I am concerned, he is still very much a Rothschild agent, asset, or say-anim, despite his pretenses:


And with the announcement in Harvard Crimson that “Dershowitz Joins Legal Team for Wikileaks”, there can be no doubt of Israel Shamir's still existent connections to his pre-metanoia life.

We have all sorts of metanoia'd people among Muslims too – on both sides of the fence, and throughout Islamic history. While the terminology might have a Greek/Christian pedigree, its functional semantics appear almost universal. We have more than our fair share of former Muslims who turned atheist and who now bash Islam in due diligence as House Negroes of the white man. And we also have the other extreme, of enlightened Mullahs and pontiffs, some suffering from metanoia, others from uncle tom's disease, and still others outright being implanted Trojan Horses, who diabolically only serve imperial interests. They do this very eruditely too – quoting this and that text, this and that scholar, almost always expert in the Qur'an too, while covertly dishing a hegelian mind-fck to the Muslim public in almost all of our many sects.

They often take a range of diverse positions, and administer what Ezra Pound termed the Technique of Infamy – tell opposing lies to keep people embroiled in vehemently arguing which of them is true. That's how we have so many sects among the Muslims, almost of every flavor you can think of. They serve imperial interests in so many ways that the recorded imperial history of the Muslims itself offers unvarnished testimony of it. If you are not too old to learn something new that lies outside of your presuppositions, please see my essay Between Islam and Secular Humanism in World Government. And here is a link to the most recent instance of cognitive infiltration by Muslim
harlots, whereas, you are, I am sure, already quite familiar with the “rebel” types as they are heard so much in the daily news riling against Islam to sell their reform books on what Daniel Pipes lovingly calls “moderate Islam”:


Your persistent Jew bashing John, while ignoring my detailed counter-responses as a Muslim, makes me think, well, putting words in Hamlet's mouth: “the lady doth protest too much, methinks.”

I find your blanketly misanthropic take on "Jews" both irrational and not supported by the Muslim experiences of millennium in the East, where Jews and Muslims have lived amicably side by side for eons before the onset of Rothschild Zionism, and the Khazarian led agenda for world domination by the international bankster fraternity who are anything but moral Jews. I happen to see their Zionist flock as being no less indoctrinated than the flag waiving Americans who 'United We Stand' with any tyranny so long as their own 'American Dream' remains secure. I have already explored these views on self-serving indoctrination in the Preface to my 2003 book, and in my Pamphlet How to return to Palestine, so won't delve further into this here. My referencing it here is simply to convey the straightforward idea that the 'untermenschen', while being oppressed and killed by the white man, are not fools when it comes to understanding the white man's burden. We may be powerless, but not imbeciles.

Furthermore, as a general comment, when atheists denigrate Jesus, a revered divine figure for Christendom comprising over 3 billion peoples on planet earth, one might remain aware that they are also denigrating a revered Prophet of the Muslims, a people more than 2 billion in number today – not that anyone is particularly concerned with how the Muslims feel, bearing the full brunt as we are, not just of “imperial mobilization”, but also the convoluted “doctrinal motivations” used for seeding, enabling, and breeding it to launch one-world
government at Muslims' and Islam's expense.

But Muslims are completely left out of the white man's almost infinite discourses. The space is almost entirely carved out by all breeds of the white man, former Jews, and current Jews, and our own uncle toms and house negroses for good measure, all of whom rather tell us who our enemies are, and how might we defend ourselves. What's wrong with this picture?

I hope you will understand my concerns, think them important enough, and address the question raised in this letter and in the rebuttal article cited above which is titled: The white man's burden still looks white in color - Zahir Ebrahim's Response to John Kaminski's “There are no good Jews”.

We don't all have to agree on all points of debate obviously – and in fact, if we did, we'd pretty soon be reduced to reading and quoting our own selves (this witticism is G. Edward Griffin's) – but I am very wary of people who claim profound metanoia as their principal reason for their new found moral activism and friendship of the 'untermenschen'. Because, these new activisms often also turn out to be taking the most extreme positions, when not outright administering “hegelian mind-fcks” that is. I hope you can amply perceive the cause for my deep concern for devilishly implanted Trojan Horses through cognitive infiltration, employing hegelian dialectic variants playing both as friend and enemy, in the article noted above. While I do believe that you are an earnest fellow John, your professed extreme hatred for Jews is a red-flag for me.

You will also see similar concern expressed in my letter to Christopher Jon Bjerknes for his own blanket usage of the word “Jews” when he is really referring to the deeds of “hectoring hegemons” many of whom indeed also happen to be from the Ashkenazi Jewish cabal today.

Why do you post-metanoia boys limit the urge to conquer, to plunder, to be uber alles, only to the Jews?
The newly reformed moral white statesmen conveniently seem to forget, in their pursuit of profound nationalistic patriotism, that the United States itself was forged by the colonists, Christian pilgrims, atheists, possibly some of your own distant ancestors escaping persecution in Europe not more than a couple of centuries ago, by diabolically and brutally genociding ten million of its native inhabitants. Were those settlers of the New World primarily Jews too? Some of them may have been, but history testifies that the genociders of native American Indians were mostly pious white Bible thumping Christian folk. Has a lot changed between that *mission civilisatrice*, and “Lord Jesus” returning to Afghanistan today?

- http://youtube.com/watch?v=hVGmbzDLq5c

This pattern continually repeats today – see for instance part4 and part5 of my confusions for its apparent ubiquity among the white man suddenly filled with noble piety, who previously, not too long ago, were just as 'ubermensch' in their own conquests of the 'untermensch', as their new nemesis evidently is in surpassing even them today in their diabolical plans. The *grapes are sour* indeed!

Okay I am a cynic, but a realistic one who has come to finally comprehend the age-old political science of hegemony in just about as small a measure as my professional field of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science where I earned my living. Here is a bio link in case you are wondering what the bullshit detector of an engineer who builds in the real world, looks like. Only my applied field today is different, the skills are qualitatively still the same. And I can earnestly tell you that I am surrounded in bullshit everywhere I turn. I sense you might have the same feeling – which is why we are rebels, the *malcontent*, possibly sharing the same ultimate fate of choosing the hemlock over co-option, and most likely not even being offered the choice. But before then, I have an opinion different from yours. Therefore, I hope you might respond back with some coherence to this Muslim *field negroe's* concerns – being a singular unheard voice challenging the white man's choruses – that is in more than just a stonybrook silence
(which is of course always the penultimate in coherence).

Please note the addition of two names on the Cc, the two authors whom I quote on your being a Jew in this letter: whitewraithe, and bjerknes. I do not know if that's really the latter's current email address, so if someone knows a different one, I would appreciate this letter be forwarded to Christopher Jon Bjerknes on that address as well.

Thank you for your time.

Best wishes,

Zahir Ebrahim | Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

---

**John Kaminski's Reply – White Man's Burden Redux**

**From:** John Kaminski <pseudoskylax@gmail.com>

**To:** "Project Humanbeingsfirst.org" <humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com>

**Subject:** Re: Zahir Ebrahim's Letter to John Kaminski – Are you Jewish?

**Date:** Thu, Feb 17, 2011 at 7:22 AM

Let me put it this way, Zahir. No, no and no. And, the most important point, the only people who ask me this question are secret Jews themselves. You are behaving like the Jew Scott Summers, and the Jew Alex Jones, pressing a point that has already been answered a million times, notwithstanding the proclamations of unstable characters like Daryl Bradford Smith, Eric Hufschmid and yourself, catering to the obsequious whims of Jews by deviant behavior such as this.
You are a subversive traitor to humanity, I think. Do not write me again with your time-wasting gibberish. For the record, and you can quote me: "Christians and Muslims are trapped in the same delusional mindlock as the Jews, worshiping an all powerful tyrant in a never-ending drama the kills people over the quibbles of syntax while ignoring and suppressing the divinity, and connection to the overarching divine source, that animates us all. Of course the Jews know Jesus never existed because they invented him as sort of a permanent punching bag. But Christians and Muslims don't know the foundations of their religions are pathologically bogus, and provably so by multiple academic disciplines. This is the mindlock we are trapped in, but you'll never realize that because you're trapped in your fetid and toxic dogma. Goodbye. Don't write again. You're wasting my time, and the time of everybody else on this list.

Best wishes,

John Kaminski

Zahir Ebrahim's Response to Nihilists February 17, 2011

John Kaminski evidently carries the heavy burden of the same *la mission civilisatrice* upon his bent back as his *uber alles* ancestors, the same superiority complex, but with a nihilistic variant to bring it up to date with modernity. He is a perfect Trojan Horse cheerleader for *Secular Humanism*, the new religion of the globalists in their coveted one-world government:

“But Christians and Muslims don't know the foundations of their religions are pathologically bogus, and
provably so by multiple academic disciplines. This is the mindlock we are trapped in, but you'll never realize that because you're trapped in your fetid and toxic dogma. Goodbye. Don't write again.”

John Kaminski's rabid expression of hatred for the Jews and everything Jewish, which prima facie appeared inexplicably irrational to me coming from an ostensibly thoughtful pen, finally begins to make some rational political science sense as well. I may be wrong here of course, but unpeeling just one layer down, it appears to me that he is playing “noora kushti” – WWF wrestling – on the one hand for “cognitive infiltration” (see Cass Sunstein), while offering the preferred solution of the globalists on the other by denigrating everyone else's religion in the same vein. Clever. See The Hegelian Dialectics of Deception to comprehend the subtext of the agenda of this nihilist character who licenses himself to denigrate anyone and everyone.

Where else, and among which people, is such a trait very visible?

In Zionistan – where spitting on Jesus, and reviling Islam is an everyday occurrence.

How can this ethos be brought to America and to the rest of the West, and ultimately to the world? Which are the two most powerful social and populous forces on the planet which must be destroyed in order to do so? The Christians and the Muslims of course, each fueled by an inexplicable and un-quantifiable ethos which relies on faith in something outside of themselves, in a Creator. The Hindus will surely be the next, as their belief in Karma and reincarnation enables them to withstand immense amount of suffering without becoming nihilists. Therefore, such a peoples, in the limit, always can draw upon their spiritual sustenance to respond to the nihilism being forced upon the world, and outlast it from generation to generation as history is ample evidence. Faith in the divine, in powers outside of one's earthly control, is a powerful antidote to nihilism. The only way to co-opt such a
force, is from within, by eroding away established religions under the knife of *uber* rationalism. I have previously addressed this in my essay: Morality derived from the Intellect leads to Enslavement!.

Witness the ongoing attempts to denigrate Christianity by the very rebel exponents who ostensibly also rile against the Jews as its reformers, in my response to Gilad Atzmon: Response to Gilad Atzmon's 'In Defence Of Larry David': Don't see the courage or the genius in pissing and spitting on others' sacred things, pee and spit on your own!

To get rid of all fundamental forces which are antithesis to the nihilism of the New World Order, all established religions must be undermined and subverted very diabolically. They can't easily come in through the front door for the level of erosion of faith necessary to construct the religion of Secular Humanism, so they have to come in through the backdoor. I have covered this in: Letter to Muslims: Is Islam really the Last Obstruction to World Government and Absolute Scientific Global Dictatorship?

Focussing on what is already overtly hideous in dusty old books which some are goadingly encouraged to follow to create believable pretexts, is just one of these methods of subversion. This can be seen in practice in how “Islamofascism” is constructed – by creating indoctrinated patsies on a national and global scale and manipulating them to perform the desired service with calculated design in which the diabolical lies are different at every level.

So who is using the Jews and irredentist Zionism in this way? Who created Zionism and its vile agenda upon Palestine, as well as the seeds and orchestrations of over two hundred years of machinations and world wars to culminate in one-world government under the nihilists' control? Who protects both with an “iron wall” that none can breach? See part1, part2, and part3 of my confusion series, and this editorial: Is Zionism a sophisticated Hegelian Dialectic?

Most importantly, the success of any scheme of conquest relies on the
empirical observation of many a sociologist that less than 2% people actually think, 8% think they think, and 90% would not be caught dead thinking! These 98% tend to look to others to do their thinking for them.

Therefore, more people will rise to the challenge of thinking for themselves – thinking does not imply becoming nihilists, or to stop being Christians or Muslims or Hindus or Jews or giving up one's civilization and culture, or rabidly turning against it to show post-modern liberal progressiveness, etceteras, but thinking for themselves on how hectoring hegemons from time immemorial have been enslaving human beings into voluntary servitude, only the latest modus operandi being by dishing successive hegelian mind-fcks to the unsuspecting – more difficult it becomes to manipulate and to malevolently control society by the ruling oligarchy.

Today, that oligarchy has become global. Their agenda is to control the entire world in a one-world government. Its initial phases, as argued by Bertrand Russell in his ode to the oligarchy, *Impact of Science on Society*, must be controlled through a draconian police state: “World government could only be kept in being by force.”

And the more Orwellian its character, so much the better. Thus enters the concept of Newspeak in every police state.

However, police state is not something that is indefinitely sustainable, as argued by Aldous Huxley. The human spirit eventually rebels against the point of every bayonet. This was even hinted at by George Orwell in the conclusion of his fable *1984*. It is also entirely empirical from even a cursory study of human history.

In a talk given in 1961 at UC Berkeley on the thirtieth anniversary of his seminal fable *Brave New World*, one learns from Aldous Huxley, straight from the horses mouth, of the pervasive social engineering forces diabolically arrayed to co-opt human beings into actually getting us to love our own servitude as the ultimate in malevolent revolution for adverse social control:
“In the past, we can say that all revolutions have essentially aimed at changing the environment in order to change the individual. I mean there has been the political revolution, the economic revolution, in the time of the Reformation the religious revolution. All these aimed, as I say, not directly at the human being, but at his surroundings, so that by modifying the surroundings you did achieve, at one remove, an effect upon the human being.

Today, we are faced I think, with the approach of what may be called the Ultimate Revolution, the Final Revolution, where man can act directly on the mind-body of his fellows. Well needless to say, some kind of direct action on human mind-bodies has been going on since the beginning of time. But this has generally been of a violent nature. The techniques of terrorism have been known from time immemorial. And people have employed them with more or less ingenuities, sometimes with the utmost crudities, sometimes with a good deal of skill acquired by a process of trial and error, finding out what the best ways of using torture, imprisonment, constraints of various kinds.

But as, I think it was Metenif said many years ago, you can do everything with bayonets except sit on them!

If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them.
Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.” -- Aldous Huxley, 1961 speech at UC Berkeley, minutes 02:23, http://archive.org/download/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution_64kb.m3u

The shortest and fastest path to that ultimate revolution, and to be able to sustain it indefinitely in one-world government, is to get people to join the nihilist social order of Secular Humanism wrapped in Orwellian Newspeak!

A clear horrid taste of what Secular Humanism actually means in Realityspeak, stripped of its polished Newspeak vernacular which comes decorated in platitudes and the United Nations' Human Rights sloganeering, is captured in this candid statement of a United States Supreme Court Justice:

“Nothing is more certain in modern society than the principle that there are no absolutes, that a name, a phrases, a standard has meaning only when associated with the considerations which give birth to nomenclature. To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative.” -- Justice Vinson, U.S. Supreme Court, 1951 AD

And that rebellion against injustice and oppression by fellow man, that rebellion against moral relativism, is the very raison d'être of Is-
Islam! To subvert the genuine teachings of Islam, one has to come through the backdoors of deceit. A detailed exposition on Islam in this context must wait for another day, but the power of this context as the last real deterrence to world government can at least superficially be gleaned in my Letter to Muslims already cited above.

In conclusion:

“trapped in your fetid and toxic dogma. Goodbye. Don't write again.”

Right, Mr. John Kaminski! I have seen far more fetid convolutions at stripping people of their civilization and heritage than yours to get the foolish 'untermenschen' to accept the ubermensch's noble piety – try this from 1835.

The white man's burden may soon become too heavy to carry on the bent backs of even its most ardent exponents, whatever the incarnation, whatever the incantation. Perhaps it already is.... Run!

Run Kaminski Run – perhaps there is still some place to hide under the rock where you crawled out from, before it turns to dust.

Zahir Ebrahim

The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons

Source URL:  http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/02/letter-john-kaminski-are-you-jewish.html
First Published February 16, 2011
Chapter 27

Western State Terror Systems
The Next Big Lie in the Making
The Ultimate Terror System:
Alien-UFO Invasion

The Agenda Behind Aliens and
UFOs - A Hegelian Mind-Fck

The Ultimate Terror System: Seeding the 'Clash of Planets' and the 'War of the Worlds' to cement one-World Government

Continuing from UFO Part-1, January 04, 2011, where, in response to the mainstream news and other reports on Julian Assange/Wikileaks threatening to reveal secret documents pertaining to UFOs: “it is worth noting that in yet-to-be-published parts of the cablegate archive there are indeed references to UFOs”, I had observed:
“If you use political science to understand this absurdity, it does not appear to be an absurdity at all, but a very important unveiling of the new ‘big lie’ that has been a long time in the making, beginning with Orson Wells’ dramatization in New York in 1938 of H. G. Wells ‘War of the Worlds’! That social
engineering project prototype to study mass panic behavior was funded by the Rockefeller Foundation, as it later came out. Read the condensed summary paper by Hadley Cantril (PDF), 1947, to glean some insight into group categories and how people reacted then under the implanted false belief. Would masses react any differently now?”

This topic has intrigued me for many years, not just as entertaining science fiction of which I have been an avid reader since at least 6th grade when I first encountered Arthur C. Clarke in the British Council Library Lahore, Pakistan, but from the perspective of social engineering by ubermensch predators to create a new super Ali Baba++ threat to terrorize mankind to continue on with the next stages of “imperial mobilization”. Especially since the used-up Ali Baba was finally retired on May 1, 2011. (See The Mighty Wurlitzer by Zahir Ebrahim)

Zbigniew Brzezinski had both forthrightly and self-servingly presaged the power of social engineering to manufacture consent among the modern unwashed masses in Western democracies, especially in the sole superpower, for the unpopular narrow agendas of the elites, in his 1997 book The Grand Chessboard:

“The earlier empires were built by aristocratic political elites and were in most cases ruled by essentially authoritarian or absolutist regimes. The bulk of the populations of the imperial states were either politically indifferent, ... or infected by imperialist emotions ...a quest for national glory, 'the white man's burden', 'la mission civilisatrice', not to speak of the opportunities for personal profit - all served to mobilize support for imperial adventures to sustain essentially hierarchical imperial power pyramids. The attitude of American public toward the external projection of American power has been more ambivalent. The public supported America's engagement in WWII
largely because of the shock effect of the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor. .... After the Cold War had ended, the emergence of the United States as the single global power did not evoke much public gloating but rather elicited an inclination toward more limited definitions of American responsibilities abroad. Public opinion polls conducted in 1995 – 1996 indicated a general public preference for 'sharing' power with others, rather than for its monopolistic exercise.” (ibid. pgs. 24,25)

“It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.” (ibid. pgs. 35,36)

“Public opinion polls suggest that only a small minority (13 percent) of Americans favor the proposition that 'as the sole remaining superpower, the US should continue to be the preeminent world leader in solving international problems'. ... Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. .... More generally, cultural change in
America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. **That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.** ... Mass communications have been playing a particularly important role in that regard, generating a strong revulsion against any selective use of force that entails even low levels of casualties .... In brief, the U.S. Policy goals must be un-apologetically two-fold: to perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer,...” (ibid. pgs. 211-215)

Having been a *malcontent* student of many a *hectoring hegemon* long before *the catalyzing event of the New Pearl Harbor* was inflicted upon mankind on September 11, 2001, I have been diligently studying all facets of *engineering consent* especially since. I have also learned how to parse the layered writings of the hectoring hegemons. At one level, Brzezinski wants to “**perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer,**”.

But at another level, empiricism betrays that the blueprint in *the Grand Chessboard* for waging endless wars, is in fact designed to bankrupt America in order to end its national sovereignty (see “A Note on Unlayering the Middle East War Agenda: Making Sense of Absurdities”). Zbigniew Brzezinski is himself the representative of the globalists, being a leading thinker for the Council on Foreign Relations, the Trilateral Commission which he co-founded with David Rockefeller and was its first Executive Director, etceteras. And the globalist stated agenda is just the opposite from what's deceptively narrated in *the Grand Chessboard* – to usher in Global Governance in a World Government where nation states are just the municipalities of local administration, perhaps continuing to fly their own national flags but nothing else is theirs.

All governance laws, economics, military, policing, planning, produc-
tion, harvesting natural resources under their own national soil, and even core social values which have affectionately come to be called “secular humanism”, to be driven from a centralized planning oligarchy, much like what's envisioned in Karl Marx's *The Communist Manifesto!* If one examines the 10 points of his architecture to restructure society, the increasing resemblance to modernity is only co- incidental of course (sic!).

The globalist openly proclaim their own modus operandi, so that we won't have to guess at either their motives, or their methods, and be labeled *conspiracy theorists* – too bad so few people read, even fewer think (*some suggest less than 2% people actually think, 8% think they think, and 90% would not be caught dead thinking*). Here is Richard N. Gardner of the Council on Foreign Relations, helping those who can actually think understand way back in April 1974 in his article “The Hard Road To World Order”, how the globalist plan to subvert nation states with “*an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece [which] will accomplish much more than the old- fashioned frontal assault.*”:

“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.

Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed.

The question is whether this more modest approach can do the job. Can it really bring mankind into the
twenty-first century with reasonable prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity? The argument thus far suggests it better had, for there seems to be no alternative. But the evidence also suggests some grounds for cautious optimism.” (ibid. pgs. 558-559)

It should be self-evident by now that implanting the fear of some new super boogyman to supplant the existing Ali Baba of terrorism, Osama Bin Laden, with Extraterrestrials, UFOs, Aliens, other global and galactic catastrophes, as enabling myths, serves those very globalist interests hand in glove. Who does not understand the import of engineered myths to statecraft? Evidently the vast majority who 'United We Stand' with them. Even though, the hectoring hegemons once again go through the inordinate bother of explaining it themselves to save us the trouble of having to figure it all out by reverse engineering some coherent sense from the unfurling visible reality which otherwise only appears steeped in absurdities. I have already examined the import of their own words in “Wikileaks and Imperial Mobilization” and the following passage captures it sufficiently for our purpose here:

'The Mighty Wurlitzer operates on the core premise which has been empirically shown to psychologically motivate most human action. That premise was elegantly captured in the following insightful observation made at the so called “Terrorism Study Group”, that “Public Assumptions' Shape Views of History: Such presumptions are beliefs (1) thought to be true (although not necessarily known to be true with certainty), and (2) shared in common within the relevant political community. The sources for such presumptions are both personal (from direct experience) and vicarious (from books, movies, and myths).” Successfully implanting such presumptions and pre-suppositions among any group is to motivate
its overall actions in accordance with those implanted beliefs. Thus, many intelligent peoples for whom it is otherwise inexplicable to understand why they persist in 'United We Stand' with absurdities, are motivated to react sympathetically to those absurdities.'

Such intimate comprehension of the susceptibility of the human mind to persuasion and socialization, explored in the Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer in considerable depth, enables waging psychological warfare operations with military-grade precision on civilian populations. It is inflicted not just on the enemy, but also on one's own people to make the public mind in the desired direction.
Using State-Secrets For Myth Fabrication

Caption 'Proof of (alien) life? A copy of the 1950 Hottel memo that recounts the discovery of flying saucers and aliens in New Mexico. The memo has been published on the FBI website' --- UK Daily Mail 9th April 2011.

A more perceptive caption however would read: 'The Art of the Mighty Wurlitzer: How to fabricate Aliens and UFOs Myths using the ploy of leaking State-Secrets'
Memorandum To: Director, Psychological Strategy Board

Subject: Flying Saucers

1. I am today transmitting to the National Security Council a proposal (TAB A) in which it is concluded that the problems connected with unidentified flying objects appear to have implications for psychological warfare as well as for intelligence and operations.

2. The background for this view is presented in some detail in TAB B.

3. I suggest that we discuss at an early board meeting the possible offensive or defensive utilization of these phenomena for psychological warfare purposes.

Walter B. Smith
Director
Using absurdities For Myth Fabrication: Pope's astronomer says he would baptise an alien if it asked him

'Aliens might have souls and could choose to be baptised if humans ever met them, a Vatican scientist said today. The official also dismissed intelligent design as “bad theology” that had been “hijacked” by American creationist fundamentalists.

Guy Consolmagno, who is one of the pope's astronomers, said he would be “delighted” if intelligent life was found among the stars. “But the odds of us finding it, of it being intelligent and us being able to communicate with it – when you add them up it's probably not a practical question.”

Speaking ahead of a talk at the British Science Festival in Birmingham tomorrow, he said that the traditional definition of a soul was to have intelligence, free will, freedom to love and freedom to make decisions. “Any entity – no matter how many tentacles it has – has a soul.” Would he baptise an alien? “Only if they asked.”

---

UK Guardian 17 Sep 2010
Alice in Wonderland: UN 'to appoint space ambassador to greet alien visitors''

'Mazlan Othman, a Malaysian astrophysicist, is set to be tasked with co-ordinating humanity’s response if and when extraterrestrials make contact.

Aliens who landed on earth and asked: “Take me to your leader” would be directed to Mrs Othman.

She will set out the details of her proposed new role at a Royal Society conference in Buckinghamshire next week. The 58-year-old is expected to tell delegates that the proposal has been prompted by the recent discovery of hundreds of planets orbiting other starts, which is thought to make the discovery of extraterrestrial life more probable than ever before. Mrs Othman is currently head of the UN’s little known Office for Outer Space Affairs (Unoosa).

Opinion is divided about how future extraterrestrial visitors should be greeted. Under the Outer Space Treaty on 1967, which Unoosa oversees, UN members agreed to protect Earth against contamination by alien species by “sterilising” them. Mrs Othman is understood to support a more tolerant approach.

But Professor Stephen Hawking has warned that alien interlopers should be treated with caution. He said: “I imagine they might exist in massive ships, having used up all the resources from their home planet. The outcome for us would be much as when Christopher Columbus first landed in America, which didn’t turn out very well for the Native Americans.”' --- UK Telegraph 26 Sep 2010
“If suddenly there was a threat to this world from some other species from another planet,” President Ronald Reagan had read out loud from his script at the United Nations General Assembly podium in 1987, “in our obsession with antagonisms of the moment, we often forget how much unites all the members of humanity. Perhaps we need some outside universal threat to make us recognize this common bond. I occasionally think how quickly our differences worldwide would vanish, if we were facing an alien threat from outside this world!” --- President Ronald Reagan, Speaking at the UN General Assembly, September 21, 1987
“It should be as statistical as human nature, for example, that there's going to be good guys and bad guys,” says Dr. Travis Taylor, who's with the U.S. Space and Missile Command Department and has worked with the Department of Defense and NASA for 20 years.

“What we would hope is that the good guys show up first, and that would be really nice. But the point of this wasn't to debate whether they are or they aren't, it's what happens if they did. Do we have a plan? What type of plan should we put together, and how would we defend the planet?” Taylor has also written the handbook for harrying aliens, An Introduction to Planetary Defense.

Lt. Col. Brian De Toy, director of defense and strategic studies program at West Point, doesn't buy the premise. “I am a skeptical believer in miracles. So a year ago right now I was in Iraq, and I'm more worried about Iraq and Afghanistan right now and the aliens that I'm dealing with there. And so right now, I'm pretty skeptical about the others.” --- National Geographic, Reported by Boston Herald May 17, 2011
I had already stated the implication of all this in my April 2009 newsletter on Financial and State Terrorism, and re-emphasized in my December 2009 tutorial “The Brilliant Construction of World Order – Or a children’s bedtime story”:

'It will be the coup de grâce for bringing the fractious humanity finally together in one-world government “if suddenly there was a threat to this world from some other species from another planet.” As President Ronald Reagan had read out loud from his script at the United Nations podium in 1987: “we often forget how much unites all the members of humanity. Perhaps we need some outside universal threat to make us recognize this common bond. I occasionally think how quickly our differences worldwide would vanish if we were facing an alien threat from outside this world”. Coming soon to your local friendly skies, complete with the 'messiah' and the 'mahdi' descending from the heavens on the wings of dove, or the chariots of fire, in their final return to save the now united mankind against the common threats. Maybe there is something to this 'dajjal' story, the 'false messiah' lore after all! Brought to you courtesy of NASA's Universal Holographic Satellite Projection System.'

With the aforementioned rehash form my previous writings as the essential backdrop, let's turn back to the present. But before I do that, first, just some necessary words on why the rehash. One of the problems I continually face as an activist-writer is that the majority of ordinary peoples I encounter have very short term memories which, when not being outright selective, contain minimal context. This is evidently true even among the uber-learned who make much ado about their brilliant scholarship and great achievements of the 'American Dream' (see: “The Ivy League Morons Syndrome” and “Why Not
Be An Ostrich?

While such collective public amnesia works nicely in favor of those engineering consent to get peoples across all walks of life and economic/social class to 'United We Stand' with the mantras du jour, it works terribly against those who instead dare to stand up to the hectoring hegemons by trying to inform the insolvent public mind of the vile Machiavellianess of statecraft. And therefore, I often have to re-state things to continually recreate the overarching context before I can add the one new item I have uncovered to their already over-taxed consciousness in its full context. Otherwise, I find that most people simply refuse to evaluate only the new discovery in the full context on their own.

I am also constantly asked for proof – and my website has proofs in writings up the wazoo but no, I have to provide it each time afresh because clicking on a link is way too much trouble for many. Oh sure, everyone wants to see copious references all right, nicely formatted, which evidently imparts the warm-fuzzy feeling of imposing “expert” scholarship at work, automatically increasing with the number of footnotes and endnotes. But strangely, I have noticed that most people don't actually bother reading them carefully except to note their presence, never mind analyzing their veracity and reasonableness for themselves by studying the cited material.

Therefore, when I am unlayering and unraveling the most absurd in Realityspeak, as is the case here, I make the effort to carry the context along – because this one essay is all anyone will ever read, if one ever bothers reading a plebeian that is.

**The UFO-Alien Disclosure Project of Steven Greer**

Over the last few days I have been studying this Dr. Steven Greer fellow of the Aliens Disclosure Project, his UFO testimonies, his Free Energy mantras, his perpetual motion machine pitch and other bullshit
to solve all the imaginary and manmade mantras du jour such as global warming (imaginary), global energy/food/water shortage and global poverty (manmade), etc. All mankind's intractable problems finally made soluble thanks to the miraculous but still vilely hidden technologies reverse engineered from aliens' crashed spacecrafts by the secretive black-projects of the national security state! If only they'd reveal it – and hence the raison d'être for Dr. Steven Greer's Disclosure/Orion Projects.

Before a couple of days ago, I had never heard of this brilliant fellow with such a phenomenal access to black-ops engineers who could so trivially reverse engineer an alien's (from another world) machine in the 1950s, and not only figure out how it works, but duplicate it with our existing rather primitive technological base and limited understanding of science (otherwise we'd have been visiting their planet instead of their coming here)! Wow! I must have gone to the wrong school – should have joined Skunkworks!

I must have watched about 7-8 hours of these videos, including the 4 hours of Steven Greer's Disclosure DVD, and the hour long rather mind-blowing interview with this US Air Force techie, William Pawelec:

William Pawelec Interview by Dr. Steven Greer
[youtube=http://youtube.com/watch?v=yytSNQ2ogD4]

That latter interview with William Pawelec is what got me interested in spending the other 6-7 hours studying what else Dr. Greer and company had to say about such matters. In the above interview, Pawelec discloses some fascinating black technology which existed in 1980s, such as a RFID chip with a transponding range of 120 km with just a two inch coiled antenna, and it could be read from satellites in earth orbit! Since I am a EE techie from MIT and thought I understood antenna theory rather well in my heyday, this fascinated me. It simultaneously sounds both gibberish, and also fascinating if true, that they had this kind of remote sensing and RFID tracking technology way
back in 1980 (with some exaggerations attenuated of course)!

The one contradictory aspect to Pawelec's tales which immediately struck me is that if the black-magic science and technology of the super-secretive military-industrial complex have so leap-frogged the public domain science and technologies as Dr. Steven Greer would like us to believe, then, this 1980s RFID implantable microchip for instance, by Pawelec's own admission, was invented by some lowly civilian academic in a non-classified backoffice of a university which Pawelec had to go sell to the CIA and to the black-projects of the super-secretive military-industrial complex. These meetings, according to Pawelec, were attended by super-secret spooks from the highest echelons of spookishness in the country, including the department of Agriculture and the Treasury, inquiring to know what its capabilities were!

How can both be true?

Are the spooks super-ahead in some secret sciences or aren't they? These implantable microchips the size of a grain, as Pawelec described it, were subsequently manufactured in the billions using the

---

**From UFOs to Spy Planes - The Reality Revealed: How Area 51 Hid Secret Craft**

'No word yet on alien starships, but now that many Cold War-era Area 51 documents have been declassified, veterans of the secret U.S. base are revealing some of the clever—and surprisingly low-tech—ways they hid futuristic prototypes from prying eyes.

The CIA created Area 51 in 1955 to test and develop top secret U.S. military projects in the remote Nevada desert. More than 50 years later, the base still doesn't officially exist and appears on no public U.S. government maps.

In the 1950s and '60s, Area 51 was the epicenter of the OXCART project, intended to create the successor for the U-2 spy plane.' --- National Geographic, May 20, 2011
same civilian semiconductor process technology which fab-based companies in Silicon Valley at the time had. I used to work for one of them myself.

So, it is not immediately obvious what super-secret super-advanced know-how the black-projects have had over civilian public science and technologies that they could reverse-engineer, never mind re-construct, an alien spacecraft with all its attendant new technologies, when a lowly civilian academic from the public sector is called upon to teach them – except of course, in an Isaac Asimov sci-fi tale of Gaia's visitation by the godly seed bearers!

The first I ever heard of human-implantable RFID microchips was after Gillette teamed up with MIT to start an RFID project on MIT campus in either 1997 or 1998. Evidently, this fellow David Icke had heard of this even earlier, as evidenced from his own 1996 video *The Turning of the Tide*. Where had David Icke learned it from? Hollywood? Their movies do seem to be rather prescient (sic!) in anticipating vile technologies to run modern day dystopia, don't they? And so too, in successfully implanting enabling myths into public consciousness as already discussed.

In any case, I diligently went through hours of Disclosure Project videos, fascinated with the black-ops technology William Pawelec had talked about, as well as about the sacred structure of institutional secrecy in the military-industrial complex, “*compartmentalization within compartmentalization within compartmentalization,*” and how easy it was to maintain state-secrets for a very very long time if they really wanted to:

“The ability of our government to keep secrets (is actually) has a long history of being very valid. There is a lot of programs that were successfully kept quiet for decades, if not close to half a century. And during the last ten years we have seen a lot of announcements of programs that were kept very secret by our govern-

ment.” (Time 0:40:45),

But nothing technical came up in the rest of the videos, except interesting, and on the surface rather credible sounding testimonies from a 100+ people about UFO sightings (of which only a couple dozen are on the 4 hour DVD video available on youtube). And I asked myself: how difficult is it to get a 100 former military types trained to obey orders, some other civilian ATC persons and pilots, psychologically primed to tell a tale after selecting them through careful profiling for susceptibility/suggestibility, and appropriately dishing them some hegelian mind-fck on the ground and in the air, to eventually sing any tune of the Mighty Wurlitzer? If they can so spectacularly pull off 911 before billions of peoples, this could be a short piece of cake! (See The Mighty Wurlitzer by Zahir Ebrahim)

Simple psychological profiling before selecting appropriate stooges to do the dirty work of empire is an art as old as hegemony, as old as mankind. And I am not even speaking of mind control ala MK ULTRA.
Caption From UFOs to Spy Planes - The Reality Revealed ( Image Source )
Just flying the experimental aircraft from USAF like those whose images are shown, past any airliner cockpit to set the pilots up for UFO testimonies, should do the trick!

And if the pilots have been properly profiled, they would believe the evidence of their own eyes and would make the most sincere patsies passing even the most sophisticated lie detector tests with ease.

For instance, John Perkins disclosed in the opening pages his 2004 book, *Confessions of an Economic Hitman*, how he was psychologically profiled by the NSA in 1965 as he sought draft-deferment, to probe for his natural weaknesses and susceptibilities. Much later on he was recruited in 1971 by Chas. T. Main (MAIN) and successfully groomed by a beautiful woman, “Claudine”, to prepare him for his diabolical role: “*My assignment is to mold you into an economic hit man. No one can know about your involvement – not even your wife. Once you're in, you're in for life.*”

Perkins explained: “Claudine's role is a fascinating example of the manipulation that underlies the business I had entered. Beautiful and intelligent, she was highly effective; she understood my weaknesses and used them to her greatest advantage. Her job and the way she executed it exemplify the subtlety of the people behind this system.”

Perkins further noted that during his recruitment to MAIN: “*When I mentioned that I had been accepted by the NSA before joining the Peace Corps, and that I was considering going back to them, he informed me that he sometimes acted as an NSA liaison; he gave me a look that made me suspect that part of his assignment was to evaluate my capabilities. I now believe that he was updating my profile, and especially sizing up my abilities to survive in environments most North Americans would find hostile.*” (Ch. 1)

Military echelons and mission critical operations like Air Traffic Control, piloting an airliner, etc., absolutely must rely on psychological profiling for recruitment, advancement, special mission assignments,
etc. as their standard HR practices. Even major corporations do psychological profiling. So what about very specialized recruitment for a calculated Hegelian mind-fck then?

In Dr. Steven Greer's May 2001 press conference at the National Press Club, he paraded about a dozen zealots asserting that they will swear their respective UFO mantras before the United States Congress – the same pusillanimous leaders who are behind the eager endorsement of all state mantras du jour from the War on Terror to Global Warming, never mind the trillions in bankster bailouts despite the public's aversion to it – and I am supposed to quiver in my boots singing hallelujah, I see the UFO lights?

I watched that entire dog and pony show, introduced by none other than a Hollywood actor to boot as if also mocking the public's lack of intelligence on purpose, and wondered what inherent value there is in swearing anything before the same almighty United States Congress by persons most likely selected and “groomed” for their respective roles minimally in the same mold as the Economic Hit Man (EHM)?

Watch yourself: The Disclosure Project May 9th 2001 National Press Club Conference

The Disclosure Project May 9th 2001 National Press Club Conference

[http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-1166743665260900218]

Okay, so I am not totally impressed by any of these testimonies of the PHM (Public Hit Man)... even though, some of them, like the following documentary linked to by Dr. Steven Greer from his website, is pretty darn fascinating. I don't really know what to fully make of it just yet. My first standard operating principle for parsing all statecraft is the statement attributed to the Head of CIA Counter Intelligence (1954-1974), James Jesus Angleton: “Deception is a state of mind and the mind of the State”.

The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity 2015 671
Watch yourself: Steven Greer's UFO Disclosure Project and HARD EVIDENCE ... 3 Top UFO Documentaries 31 Dec 2006

Steven Greer's UFO Disclosure Project

[http://disclosureproject.org/videogallery.shtml]

HARD EVIDENCE ... 3 Top UFO Documentaries 31 Dec 2006

[http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=8285709939745631584]

Why can't I see the damn UFOs when I go out at night for a walk? Or during the day hikes? Or when I am flying? I am sure I will see them too in Room 101! (See George Orwell's fable 1984)

The UFO card is as old as the Federal Reserve, and just like they can plan out things 50 years in advance to the founding of Israel on the clock, they sure can plan out any diabolical acts, stochastically, based on game theory scenarios in which there are not deterministic guarantees of outcome, but likely probabilities of outcome, years in advance for sure. Like Brzezinski had noted in his interview in 1998, the United States had increased the odds in its favor that the Soviet Union will intervene in Afghanistan due to the increased aid to the Mujahideens prior to their invasion in December 1979. Increasing the odds to turn them into one's own favor is a game which the hectoring hegemons evidently have much real world experience of, as vouched by Brzezinski, when he subsequently unleashed the “opportunity to give to the USSR its Vietnam War”.

I think this is what's going on here – some very well-thought out, and very well executed, stochastically hedged, psychological mind-f**k with these UFO sightings.

If there are really UFOs, and I am really entirely agnostic on that issue of aliens serendipitously choosing to invade earth during my humble and tiny lifetime in the timescale of the cosmos, then my rational take
on the UFO sightings and alien visitation in our epoch when the impetus towards World Government is already almost a fait accompli, is decided by the response to the following scientific proposition even if an alien landed tomorrow and asked me: “Take me to your leader”:

1) what's the probability that the aliens will just time their arrival to earth in the span of a few million years in just those last 100 years when world government is being constructed piecemeal, and for which, the alien invasion is already deemed to be the final trump card;

2) the further conditional probability given item 1) above, that the damn alien UFOs will choose to boldly unveil themselves to the ordinary peoples the world over, finally visible to all and sundry without exception, solely with the naked eye and caught in 70 mm cinematic capture on the latest high resolution HD camcorders, as opposed to the present-day crappy Osama Bin Laden style grainy flying-saucer youtube renditions made by intelligence ops and visible only to the selected elite peoples;

3) the further conditional probability given 1) and 2) above, that the UFOs will also choose to land on earth in people-visible ways and invade/make-friends with the poor earthlings also precisely at that epoch when all the preparations towards Global Governance are finally completed just awaiting for that last and final global threat to fck mankind into accepting world government?;

4) the final conditional probability given all of the above, that this UFO alien threat is also accurately anticipated by the earthlings, especially a script-reading President of the United States, Ronald Reagan in
1987, when he made the following bizarre statement at the United Nations podium: “we often forget how much unites all the members of humanity. Perhaps we need some outside universal threat to make us recognize this common bond. I occasionally think how quickly our differences worldwide would vanish if we were facing an alien threat from outside this world”. Watch: Zecharia Sitchin - Are We Alone In The Universe? {1992} http://vimeo.com/4871185

If that final number for the cumulative probability of the UFOs and aliens arriving just in time to usher in one-world Government, with the globalists exactly anticipating and waiting for their arrival, is a reasonable number, like something between 0.1 and something less than 1, okay, I'll buy the UFO sightings as possibly real and unrelated.

However, if that probability number is minuscule, which I believe it to be, something of the order of ten to the power minus 6 and smaller, then the probability of actually seeing Aliens majestically alighting from the UFOs, just like in Orson Welles' depiction of H. G. Wells' sci-fi novel War of the Worlds in 1938, might as well be 1 – BECAUSE, it is a stage-managed event all right! It will look like the blue-team/red-team war-exercise scenario with live ammunition – but in full public view this time!

Returning from that digression back to pertinently listing my final study video of Dr. Steven Greer, I watched the following last one hour of interview with Project Camelot. It has now capped my weekend study and I really only learned one thing of significance in all this, and that is to ask that one surprising new principal question which came out of this entire study:

Is Dr. Steven Greer of the Disclosure Project also a Mossad-Intelligence Agent?

Project Camelot interviews Steven Greer
In all of those hours video watching, I noted several errors made by Dr. Steven Greer, which I kept attributing to the medical doctor not being a scientist, and thus just making the blunders of any innocent domain-ignorant chap who is being bamboozled and is reading from a script. On the face of it, Dr. Greer seemed to believe in what he was doing, rather like a passionate jihadi, i.e., patsy or stooge, who believed he would be in heaven shortly, and parroting back on screen what he had learned. Steven Greer evidently didn't (and doesn't still) understand what gibberish he is uttering, as he is fancifully throwing about new jargonized vocabulary to impress his largely scientifically illiterate public audience.

For instance, in the following video on his own website, the Orion Project, whose stated agenda is to harvest the alien technology to solve the world's energy and mankind's many problems, Dr. Steven Greer utters the following rubbish on camera (at minute 3:48): The Orion Project - About the Scientists

```
"Unfortunately, you can't really go to MIT or CAL-TECH and learn these types of sciences. They have just simply not been allowed into the mainstream public domain. But there are people for a hundred years, dating back to Tesla, who have come up with magnetic motors that tap into what's called the Zero Point Field of Energy, or this Electromagnetic Flux Field that becomes self-running and Over-Unity. Meaning they put out more energy than you have to put in to"
```
them. I want to comment here a lot of people will say well that's not possible. Well, it is. A heat pump is around 4 times over unity. **A heat pump that is run in your house, electrical heating-cooling system, is actually about four times more energy that it does in work than you actually have to put in in electricity.** Except it goes in either heating or cooling. And so there are many ways that happens. And people take it for granted because it is so common. What we want to do is take these concepts and turn them into electric generating power plants. So that they begin to replace the conventional fossil fuel plants. Not only for homes and business, but also eventually for industry and automobiles and cars and trucks.” --- Dr. Steven Greer

All I can humbly suggest in response to this gibberish, being only an MIT alum and thus a tad biased I am sure: remember the “flux-capacitor” which enabled time travel from the 1980s Hollywood movie *Back to the Future?* Dr. Steven Greer seems to be reading from perhaps the redacted portions of that very film script which did not make it into the movie! Just like President Ronald Reagan had read off from his own 1980s script at the UN podium.

To even top all that, Dr. Greer says he has three million dollars for inventors and scientists to come around and experiment with magic science which is not taught at MIT and CALTECH!

Which capitalist investor would give Dr. Steven Greer that kind of money for such lunacy – except compartmentalized black-ops with infinitely deep pockets for their own covert agenda?

So, up to this time, I am still thinking that this wonderful humanitarian Dr. Steven Greer, who gave up his supposedly lucrative medical career to pursue these idealistic goals to save humanity from itself, is just a passionate patsy being taken for a ride on the horns of scien-
scientifically sounding gibberish by the intelligence agencies.

The economists do that a lot you know, utter high-falutin gibberish to take even the financial experts for a ride on the horns of economic gibberish – and most of them also have fancy professional degrees and Nobel prizes (see: “The Monetary Conspiracy for World Government”). So why not here? It can happen to the best of us when we are blinded by our passions – we tend to act like lunatics when viewed by others... and Greer's website is full of inspirational quotes illustrating how one man's madness is another's genius, in perhaps just such an anticipation of skepticism...

But I changed my mind in a hurry after watching the Project Camelot interview noted above. I caught Steven Greer in an outright propaganda lie, presenting Iran as a mortal threat to Israel driven by the insane madness of their eschatological tryst with Armageddon (time 0:13:50):

“it's like Ahmadinijad in Iran saying, that it would be okay if we went to nuclear war with Israel, because it would force this 12th imam, which is their return of their Christ, to return, to Iran” --- Dr. Steven Greer

And Project Camelot's Kerry Cassidy is heard in the backdrop saying: “sure”.

The fabrication is no silly inadvertence, no mere error of ignorance of an innocent well-intentioned patsy, but an outright lie from an expert Zionist spokesperson. The lie is seconded by Project Camelot, rather than immediately challenged!

It automatically prompted me to search for Dr. Steven Greer's name with “Jewish” etc. tacked on in Google search, which brought me to two articles about him from 2007, and 2006:

http://educate-yourself.org/lte/stevengreertake627jul07.shtml
http://educate-yourself.org/lte/stevengreerinquiry31dec06.shtml
The reader may note that my deconstruction of Dr. Steven Greer's hegelian mind-game is downright similar to what's in those two articles. Evidently, that author too approached this subject purely from a rational political science and social engineering perspective, and consequently arrived at the same conclusion that this was a major psy-op!

The new aspect discovered here, at least for me, is the aforementioned evidence which lends strong suspicion that Dr. Steven Greer cannot be just an ordinary patsy or a moron merely being used by intelligence, but a Mossad-Intelligence agent knowingly performing his assigned role of priming the public!

What reinforces my suspicion of a strong Mossad-intelligence connection in Project Disclosure is that Steven Greer evidently has the kind of open access to the highest corridors of power, to spooks, and to supposed black technology, which only a well-connected “untouchable” intelligence agent on a mission from high-above can have. But, if Greer's first loyalties were to American intelligence, his gratuitous mantra on Iran would surely not have mirrored the Zionists' demonization of Iran, playing Israel out to be the poor little underdog being threatened by an impoverished, irrational third world country hell bent on wiping it off the map! I imagine, only speculatively of course, that an American intelligence agent would have no obvious motive to gratuitously bring Iran up to make Israel look good. I imagine if he were as patriotic and nationalistic as Dr. Steven Greer presents himself to be, speaking of Congressional oversights and Constitutionalism etceteras, that he'd actually try and make America look good instead of Israel!

A sophisticated man like Dr. Steven Greer, understanding intelligence matters and the hijacking of the Republican state for the benefit the national security state by black-ops and covert power, believing in the far-out notions of UFOs, aliens and alien technologies, the most avantgarde in liberated thought, demonstrates an unusually keen intellect and open mind. But simultaneously, also echoing the absurd mantras of empire and the propagandistic reference to Iran, demonstrates a
perversely indoctrinated one. And that is a non-sequitur. Dr. Steven Greer shows the perversity which is typical of manufactured and controlled products of intelligence agencies and the Mighty Wurlitzer. The same reasoning may apply to Kerry Cassidy and Bill Ryan!

And, if, as I suspect, Dr. Steven Greer is a willing intelligence agent on a mission, then what can be expected of the sister endeavors named Project Camelot and Project Avalon, run ostensibly by two well-intentioned patsies? Are they merely only being fooled into pitching the same mantras as Steven Greer, and so “innocent of knowledge” that they are only naively following in Project Disclosure's own footsteps of interviewing more Mighty Wurlitzer's harmonics to manufacture consent for the real diabolical agenda behind all this, the eventual unveiling of the aliens and UFOs and ushering in the global reign of “secular humanism”? See: “Between Islam and Secular Humanism in World Government”. I had earlier even written a letter of caution to Kerry Cassidy at Project Camelot, copying Bill Ryan of Project Avalon, on her inexplicable enthusiastic endorsement of Wikileaks a few months ago. But now I wonder....

It is foolish to think that lauded pundits and prominent leaders of dissenting causes are always whom they claim to be, as is amply demonstrated once again in my two most recent discoveries before Dr. Steven Greer this past weekend, prominent banking reform advocate Ellen Brown J.D., and prominent former Jewish Zionist now turned Christian pacifist Israel Shamir. Which is why it is always good commonsense to study critically, always keeping in mind the first principle of modern statecraft already noted earlier and restating for emphasis:

“Deception is a state of mind and the mind of the State”

Anyway, who knows precisely what exact contortions, twists and turns all the plots and sub-plots of this UFO-Alien unveiling will en-
tail until it's all actually played out on the world's stage at zero hour. It surely needs both its circus clowns to keep the audience warm until then, and several layers of side-shows planned into existence.

Just looking forensically at 9/11 we already see so many sub-layers to the main *New Pearl Harbor* plot for launching “imperial mobilization”. From simultaneous four airliners hijacking and ramming into tall buildings by pilots who only learned to fly on flight simulators and advertised the fact that they didn't want to learn how to land; to fantastically timed controlled demolition of the same tall buildings collapsing them into their own footprints at almost free-fall speeds; to the inexplicable attack on the Pentagon on the only side which was unoccupied undergoing renovations and creating a hole no bigger than a missile-entry; to raking in billions in airline stocks shorting; to insurance scamming for the demolished WTC properties; and the list goes on!

We can already observe the Hegelian mind-fck of *good alien vs bad alien, will they come or won't they come, let's prepare just in case*, appearing frequently in the mainstream press – keeping the notion of extraterrestrials primed in public consciousness, with no small help from assets like Greer, Cassidy, Ryan et. al. This is quite different from real astronomy programs like SETI – but even real science can quickly be put in the service of empire just as easily as junk science already is!

Fabricating a public discourse on an absurd fantasy as if it's something real by couching it in the veneer of science (or declassified State-Secrets/whistleblowing/leaks), and then self-servingly reacting to that fabrication as a threat to national security at supra-national levels from the United Nations to the Catholic Church to justify and dignify the expenditure chasing the new immanent threat, only legitimizes such discourse among the public as plausible. It fertilizes the stage for the subsequent creation of a new insurmountable global threat, the *Clash of Planets.*
If political scientists are to be believed on the utility of diabolical protocols for “imperial mobilization”, then, “that exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.” Its doctrinal seeds must be planted years in advance. This new shock-therapy threat too will also of course be launched with the dialectical ultimatum: “either you are with us, or with the aliens”!
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The Ultimate Terror System

Seeding the 'Clash of Planets' and the 'War of the Worlds' to cement one-World Government

Caption Aliens can't hear us, says astronomer Fainter broadcasting signals and digital switchover mean Earth will soon be undetectable to extraterrestrials Aliens are less likely to be able to pick up signals from Earth and make contact. (Image via UK Guardian, Photograph: Colin Anderson/Getty)
Caption Earth must prepare for close encounter with aliens, say scientists UN should co-ordinate plans for dealing with extraterrestrials – and we can't guarantee that aliens will be friendly.

Evolution on alien worlds is likely to be Darwinian, which may mean extraterrestrials share our tendencies for violence and exploitation. (Image via UK Guardian Photograph: Rex)

Caption The Good Aliens and The Bad Aliens: 'World governments should prepare a co-ordinated action plan in case Earth is contacted by aliens, according to scientists. They argue that a branch of the UN must be given responsibility for "supra-Earth affairs" and formulate a plan for how to deal with extraterrestrials, should they appear.' --- UK Guardian 10 Jan 2011
A simple word substitution in the caption above, replacing “aliens” with “pandemic”, shows what has already been achieved with that recent manufactured crisis. World governments have already been coerced into ceding their national sovereignty in the name of coordinating global medical emergency response to the World Health Organization, a governing body of the United Nations. See my comprehensive running report “The Swine Flu Chronicles 2009: Why to say ‘No’ to the Swine Flu Vaccine”. I had unraveled that pandemic hoax almost in realtime with considerable due diligence on my part, and with help in no small measure from the courageous community of dedicated activists from around the world. A Council on Foreign Relations participant at the Pandemic Influenza public conference held on October 16, 2009 by the CFR in New York, had adorned the handful of people like myself the label “Crazy people”.

It was only the first of many labels to come, as it was soon followed by 'denier', explicitly attributed to me by manufactured dissent-chief Israel Shamir, on my similar unraveling of Wikileaks. This is what had elicited so much laughter from the CFR folks on October 16, 2009:

“I think we are all aware that the anti-vaccine movement is having a field day on the internet and on media outlets like Fox News and so on, and causing reductions in vaccine uptake. And it appears a pretty unholy alliance of the ultra-right and the ultra-left working together as the sort of Hitler-Stalin pact (laughter), and I am not sure that we are countering these people very well ... and you have to take these people on in a different style than the scientists are used to. ... Crazy people who think the vaccine will kill you! ... How best we can to stop the anti-vaccine movement?”

But less than six months later, on March 12, 2010, DER SPIEGEL staff wrote in “Reconstruction of a Mass Hysteria – The Swine Flu...
Panic of 2009”:

“Swine flu kept the world in suspense for almost a year. A massive vaccination campaign was mounted to put a stop to the anticipated pandemic. But, as it turned out, it was a relatively harmless strain of the flu virus. How, and why, did the world overreact? A reconstruction. By SPIEGEL staff.”

I immediately responded to SPIEGEL's specious “reconstruction” in my succinct deconstruction the very next day, on March 13, 2010, in “The Swine Flu Postscript: 'Reconstruction of a Mass Hysteria – The Swine Flu Panic of 2009’”. Below is the full text of my unraveling of even that limited mea culpa – which was spoken through the mouth of an establishment rag and subsequently echoed throughout the world – because the contextless public has too short term a memory for my meager writings to be effective without my continually reminding them of what has just recently gone by.

**Begin Full Text “The Swine Flu Postscript”**

The perfect example of another 'modified limited Hangout': “*No one at the WHO, RKI or PEI should feel proud of themselves. These organizations have gambled away precious confidence. When the next pandemic arrives, who will believe their assessments?*," DER SPIEGEL staff concluded after their exhaustive study which appeared in their March 12, 2010 issue. *Indeed*, voiced Zahir Ebrahim of Project Humanbeingsfirst.org, the *plebeian antidote to hectoring hegmons*, and he was overhead by the “*fan-ti-vaccine movement*] Crazy people who think the vaccine will kill you” while administering that one word coup de grâce to the Council on Foreign Rela-
tions and its financiers for their incestuous disinfo session on Pandemic Influenza held on October 16, 2009 in New York.

To understand the prescience with which the coup de grâce was so swiftly administered by “Crazy people” in realtime rather than DER SPIEGEL’s belated catch-up analysis of Hysteria vs. Reality in its 'limited hangout' variation, please see: The Swine Flu Chronicles 2009: Why to say ‘No’ to the Swine Flu Vaccine.

To acutely comprehend the vagaries of 'limited hangout' as an essential political science instrument of Machiavellian statecraft when massive covert-ops go awry, please see: Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory.

The United States and the EU Governments, as the front errand boys of the oligarchs, are guilty of a monumental conspiracy against the people of the world.

Twice now their fabricated crises have unarguably come unraveled before the public's eyes – global warming, and global epidemic – each time leaving behind the legacy of hurriedly enacted laws which piece-meal move the world closer to “an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece.”

The Catastrophic Terrorism of 911 which has been perched at the cusp of controlled demolition since D-Day – and the body of draconian laws and military invasions stemming from it which have already seeded the first truly global police state in the history of mankind since the “World government could only be kept in being by force” – is finally also making its way into the Beltway's own MSM.
The next manufactured global crises or Catastrophic Terrorisms to be inflicted upon mankind, after food and water shortages, may well be alien landings, and/or interplanetary collision, in conjunction with the already planned nuclear world war with Iran and Russia in the midst of an orchestrated currency collapse, so as not to lose any of the rapid momentum to the unified one-world order. 2009 was its first year of formal public unveiling, termed Global Governance:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QEqFtVrAgSo

And yet, none still dare call it conspiracy!

END Full Text “The Swine Flu Postscript”

Does one, by now, trivially recognize that CFR modus operandi of creating “an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece”? Simply reflecting on this modus operandi outlined by the Council on Foreign Relations in their 1974 blueprint “The Hard Road To World Order” as already quoted at the beginning of this article, makes what otherwise appears as a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’, entirely transparent. Briefly re-quoting that CFR statement once again in its original context for the strong emphasis that it deserves:

“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.

Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the
central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed.”

So, returning back to the topic at hand. The new fabrication of the *Clash of Planets* with the alien boogeyman this time, is also all too similar to how “Islamic Terrorism” was seeded and continually kept alive in public consciousness ever since the fall of the great Berlin Wall, until its day of harvesting on September 11, 2001. That boogeyman had got kick-started immediately after the calculated demise of the previous boogeyman du jour, the USSR. “Islamic Terrorism” made the sudden appearance in 1990 in the Council on Foreign Relations famous Foreign Affairs magazine, with this precious gem dripping from the aging but not too old to screw, Zionist-imperialist Svengali of Princeton University, Bernard Lewis:

“In 1990 Bernard Lewis, a leading Western scholar of Islam, analyzed 'The Roots of Muslim Rage,' and concluded: 'It should now be clear that we are facing a mood and a movement far transcending the level of issues and policies and the governments that pursue them. This is no less than a clash of civilizations – that perhaps irrational but surely historic reaction of an ancient rival against our Judeo-Christian heritage, our secular present, and the worldwide expansion of both. It is crucially important that we on our side should not be provoked into an equally historic but also equally irrational reaction against our rival.’”

(Samuel Huntington in *The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order*, page 213)

That supposed “Muslim Rage” of 1990 was subsequently turned into the *Clash of Civilizations* by Bernard Lewis' Zionist-imperialist confrere at Harvard University, Samuel Huntington, in 1995:

“The underlying problem for the West is not Islamic fundamentalism. It is Islam, a different civilization
whose people are convinced of the superiority of their culture and are obsessed with the inferiority of their power. The problem for Islam is not the CIA or the US Department of Defense. It is the West, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the universality of their culture and believe that their superior, if declining, power imposes on them the obligation to extend that culture throughout the world. These are the basic ingredient that fuel conflict between Islam and the West.” (Ibid. page 217)

And Samuel Huntington's myth construction of 1995 was turned into the perpetual “War on Terrorism” on September 11, 2001 by the Zionist-imperialists' errand boy, George W. Bush Jr., the President of the United States, with “either you are with us, or with the terrorists”!

Today, we are merely looking at the next brilliant escalating enemy, the aliens, in a new epic 'Clash of Planets'! The ultimate in good vs. evil dialecticism to mobilize both human fear and imagination for finally cementing one-world government in a threateningly apocalyptic War of the Worlds!

This new threat to all the world's civilizations and to life on earth itself, will surely also be launched with “either you are with us, or with the aliens”!

This Alien-UFO business no longer appears so innocent – and merely the pursuit of foolhardy peoples exhibiting an hyperactive imagination in overdrive – when examined from the acumen of Machiavellian social engineering, now does it?

**Conclusion**

As the catastrophic event of 9/11 unarguably testifies, and as both Zbigniew Brzezinski and Adolf Hitler had respectively observed in their *Mein Kampf*, in order for existential mantras to be believed by
the general public requires not only “a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification”, but also real terrorism, real controlled demolition, real loss of life, before the threat can be productively harvested for “imperial mobilization” to “goosestep the Herrenvolk across International frontiers”! This time, to finally cement the long held dream of ruling oligarchies from time immemorial: World Government.

In summation, oh good peoples, prove the darn statistics wrong, that only 2% of any public actually thinks. I dearly suspect that all among human beings have been endowed with at least some brains, some commonsense, some mind that is capable of reflection, despite Bertrand Russell's empirical observation that “Most people would rather die than think; in fact, they do so”. Why does that self-serving bull-shit of the elite have to be true, continually enabling the extraction of voluntary servitude from the public? Make it false! We don't need the Aliens to unite mankind or to solve any of our problems. Only a little bit of commonsense, and the courage to exercise it in taking on the common hectoring enemy of all mankind right here on earth, will certainly do.

Thank you for thinking for yourself.

**Short URL:** http://tinyurl.com/Alien-UFO-Agenda
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Western State Terror Systems: Redemption

America's War Veterans: PTSD and its Cure – Letter to Editor

“all murderers are punished unless they kill in large numbers and to the sound of trumpets”

--- Voltaire

The lucky few are evidently punished by their own troubled psyche: “All the perfumes of Arabia will not sweeten this little hand” --- MacBeth

The two American websites, Veterans Today and Salem News, run by two former US Military veterans, contain some of the most outstanding RealitySpeak writings by both civilians and America's war veterans, presumably, all mostly being eagerly ingested by other American war veterans. In full disclosure, these websites also reprint my two
cents every now and then. The Editors in chief of both websites whom I have never met but communicate with occasionally, very generously invited me to become Staff Writer on their respective panels, and I politely declined in each case. I prefer my lonely voice to stay independent – for it allows me to explore my own confusions as no one else can.

So, exercising that independence of thought, if I may be permitted to ask the next logical question to great penmanship and reportage by recovering war veterans, does such RealitySpeak affect a change in status quo?

Does all this verbiage ameliorate the suffering of the be-medaled American war hero who brought my fellow Muslim men, women, and children of Afghanistan, Iraq, Pakistan, perhaps Iran next, the 'liberation' depicted in the images?

Do the oft maligned liberators of truth from the sharp jaws of deceit, ever impact the inflexion of hegemonic power with mere moral words and intellectual theses which unravel the never ending lies of the hectoring hegemons du jour?

For, if words alone could make appreciable difference to the calculus of hegemony, then, the Ten Commandments would have surely eased mankind's journey over the past hundred years of successive world wars and vile deprecation of humanity. As the noted essayist and novelist Aldous Huxley had once observed when responding to the ques-
tion “What does one do?”:

'Well this is the real problem. Nothing is easier than to formulate high ideals, but few things are more difficult than to discover the means for by those ideals might be implemented, and the categorical imperatives which spring from them can be a pain. This is the real problem. I mean one has to dream, but one has to dream in a pragmatic way to consider how... Merely preaching to people doesn't have much effect, people have been preaching for an awfully long time and we are still pretty much where we were.' (Herman Harvey: Sum and Substance with Aldous Huxley, co-produced with University of S. California, KNXT Public Affairs. http://www.huxley.net/ah/huxley-interview.html)

The undeniable practical fact of the matter remains, that unless moral prescriptions and copious intelligent analyses appearing on these websites get translated into direct activism, into building organizations, into building movements, and into creating a powerful national chorus collectively saying NO to the murderous hectoring hegemons now bringing vile indignities to the American people right here at home, elegant moral words on paper, wonderful prose bringing exposes to the intelligent, is only self-entertaining the armchair internet warriors.

In all honesty, haven't we, the narrators du jour, merely substituted the mind numbing television, America's favorite sedative, with a new type of cognitive programming for a minuscule sub-minority who indulges in study, but which remains as impotent in mobilizing the conscience to act in order to affect change, as the former medium was effective in amusing us to death? The “history's actors” in fact even brazenly noted that this is all we shall be able to do. The New York Times quoted a senior White House Advisor during the Bush Administration while explaining how fait accompli enacted by “history's actors” actually worked for “imperial mobilization”: 
"...That's not the way the world really works any-
more," he continued. "We're an empire now, and
when we act, we create our own reality. And while
you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will
-- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which
you can study too, and that's how things will sort out.
We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be
left to just study what we do."..." (Ron Suskind, New
(Also see Convince People of Absurdities and get
them Acquiescing to Atrocities: The Enduring Power
of Machiavellian Political Science )

I say, bullshit! Let's grasp the bull by the horns and turn the tables on
its head for the criminals who, with cold chutzpah and flushed with
hubris, openly proclaim themselves 'Hectoring Hegemons' working on
ending national-sovereignty through successive hegelian mind-fcks!

But in order to do so, one must first have the courage to spell bullshit
as B.U.L.L.S.H.I.T, without dropping any letters to pass our delicate
sensibilities which can shatter the tabula rasa of civilian populations
20,000 miles from home, but can't handle seeing RealitySpeak in
print. This, in case it isn't already obvious, is merely a metaphor for
first being able to face reality without syntactic sugaring. What fol-
lows is plain RealitySpeak – no bullshit. While you don't have to
agree with anything here, there is no Newspeak in it. For, only in
straight plain talk without bowing to political correctness, is there a
way out of being mere narrators of the murderous shit continually be-
ing left behind by 'history's actors'.

I believe that the brave veterans of America's wars upon the 'unter-
mensch' 20,000 miles away, the principal audience of both these web-
sites I imagine, can actually play a leading role in helping to change
that dismal state of affairs.

HOW?
By rising to protect their own nation's peoples for a change, where, while they were busy bringing 'democracy' and 'liberation' to my 'barbarian' peoples in exotic faraway lands, their own land of the free was being turned into a police-state.

Instead of the increasing number of war veterans being perpetually trapped in PTSD and seeking palliatives to assuage their guilty conscience, 'VA says PTSD claims up 125%' Veterans Today, December 18, 2010, undertaking principled moral acts with discipline to safeguard the decent peoples in their own nation, may prove to be far more therapeutic than the Marijuana being pitched by experts like Dr. Phil Leveque, as in 'You Can't Go Back and You Can't Come Back', Salem News, December 13, 2010.

The 1978 Hollywood movie, The Deer Hunter, graphically brought home to civilians a vicarious touch of what soldiers of patriotism, fortune, and economic conscription, can experience in horrendous modern wars that are principally illegitimate, whose principal victims are civilians, cultures, and civilizations. Those creating the victims often end up with the guilt complex which modern soulless medicine, unable to comprehend the delicate connection between mind-body-spirit and the damage which wars cause to man's essence, calls its symptomatic display PTSD. The psycho-babble of the learned, Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, in the case of wars, is primarily symptomatic of the
injury to the conscience, to the soul, which perpetually remains un-
ammendable to brain surgery, to palliatives, to sedatives, to psycho-there-
apy, and to modern medicine. *The Deer Hunter's* main focus, if the
reader will recall, was primarily to demonstrate the consequences of
the horrendous dysfunctionalism of modern warfare causing malad-
justment back into normalcy for both victims and victimizers, the lat-
ter becoming war's second victims. Therefore, for them to not astutely
comprehend that modern warfare by superpowers moving pawns
around on *the Grand Chessboard* is itself a monumental crime, a rack-
et, and those participating in it as soldiers and technicians under wavin-
g flags and blaring trumpets, themselves commit crimes against hu-
manity, is to miss the point of it all.

Call the suffering of the conscience from that backlash *PTSD* if you
will, but being able to separate cause from effect, symptoms from dis-
ease, doesn't seem to be a forte of Veterans Hospitals. And while that
symptom is captured most precisely, most elegantly, almost poetical-
lly, in the aforementioned article title by Phil Leveque, “You Can't
Go Back and You Can't Come Back”, it does nothing to focus atten-
tion on the primary cause of it: the injury to the 'self', the essence of
man, what, for the lack of a better terminology, we variously refer to
as conscience, soul, superego, all elements which remain elusive to
modern medicine. Ask any AMA approved medical doctor for any al-
ternative to big-Pharma led prescriptions, and they will only give you
the same standard response they have actually been taught to give in
medical school in order to pass their AMA certification – *I don't know
anything about alternatives not recommended by the FDA.*

But just as the Hollywood movie had left its audience hanging, had
offered no real solutions for the returning veterans, nor for preventing
the creation of future maladjusted veterans, neither does Phil Leveque
with his prescriptive conclusion in bold: “**WHY CAN'T THE VA
USE THE BEST DRUG – MARIJUANA?**” When the illness is mis-
diagnosed, the cure can at best only be a placebo.

Please permit me to lend some Eastern Zen here – for, Western ex-
erts have sufficiently ruined this nation, and the world, to warrant any faith in their diagnosis and their prescriptions.

First, let's try to understand how the war veteran got to the stage of PTSD in the first place.

For the reader's orientation, please permit me to coldly state that I am that 'untermensch' upon whose civilizations the proud, the brave, the bold veterans of America and its Allies waged their boundless courage 20,000 miles away from their own home, in whose homes they slaughtered our children calling it collateral damage just as the CIA's hit team started arriving in Afghanistan courageously proclaiming: “We will export death and violence to the four corners of the earth in defense of our great nation.” (Bob Woodward in Bush at War).

You, dear veteran, could have shown a different kind of courage too at that time and avoided the injury to your soul altogether. Instead of signing up to bravely rain Daisy Cutters and cruise missiles upon barefooted children, upon cities, upon civilian infrastructures, upon wedding parties, upon defenseless men, women, and children, and continually be fearing that hypothetical day when a future Nuremberg might administer you the same victor's justice as your nation routinely administers to the vanquished, you could have signed up to say NO to immoral conquests of your ruling elite. You could have signed up to say NO to economic conscription and found other ways to fund your college education, other ways to earn your livelihood. And you could have used the tiny gray matter to see through the facade of false patriotism, of inculcated false beliefs, of false flag operations, of false enemies, of falsely identified culprits, of “imperial mobilization” disguised as “war on terror”.
Just like one among you had done, not too long ago, sparing himself the moral scar tissue you find yourselves saddled with today as its new victims. This is what this courageous fellow had found the nerve to state then:

“Why should they ask me to put on a uniform and go 10,000 miles from home and drop bombs and bullets on Brown people in Vietnam while so-called Negro people in Louisville are treated like dogs and denied simple human rights? No I’m not going 10,000 miles from home to help murder and burn another poor nation simply to continue the domination of white slave masters of the darker people the world over. This is the day when such evils must come to an end. I have been warned that to take such a stand would cost me millions of dollars. But I have said it once and I will say it again. The real enemy of my people is here. I will not disgrace my religion, my people or myself by becoming a tool to enslave those who are fighting for their own justice, freedom and equality. If I thought the war was going to bring freedom and equality to 22 million of my people they wouldn’t have to draft me, I’d join tomorrow. I have nothing to lose by standing up for my beliefs. So I’ll go to jail, so what? We’ve been in jail for 400 years.” (Redemption Song: Muhammad Ali and the Spirit of the Sixties (1999) by Mike Marqusee, quoted from Wikipedia page on Muhammad Ali)

You could have done the same thing, no?

Yet, you didn't.

You took that uncourageous path of accepting to shoot at my 'untermensch' peoples because your leaders ordered you to do so. Your blind deeds borne of “I was just following orders” have made you
your own victims. Don't think that only you know in the privacy of your anguish how horrendous some of these crimes were. The victims know too, both the dead ones for whom the war and misery has ended, and the living for whom it is never ending. You think your suffering from PTSD is painful? Ask those whose tabula rasa you have shattered while “following orders”. And though silently and apathetically spectating, the world spectators aren't blind either. The veterans suffers only in a glass cage that appears opaque to them in the hell of their private shame. But it is only a one-way mirror, entirely transparent from the other side.

Unless you can squarely face up to that grotesque fact without self-delusions and false justifications, that you have monumentally wronged the 'lesser peoples' while slumbering under your patriotic zeal and implanted false beliefs, the redemption will remain illusive. Just like this Winter Soldier squarely faced up to his crimes against humanity without making excuses:

“And I tried hard to be proud of my service but all I could feel was shame. These were peoples, these were human beings. I have since been plagued by guilt. I feel guilt anytime I see a mother with her children. I feel guilt anytime I see a young girl. We are told we are fighting terrorists; the real terrorist was me and the real terrorism is this occupation. Those who send us to war do not have to pull a trigger or land a mortar round. They don't have to fight the war, they merely have to sell the war. They need a public who is willing to send their soldiers in a harms way. They need
soldiers who are willing to kill and be killed without question. They can spend millions on a single bomb, but that bomb only becomes a weapon when the ranks of the military are willing to follow orders to use it. Our enemy is not 5000 miles away, they are right here at home. If we organize and fight with our sisters and brothers, we can stop this war, we can stop this government, and we can create a better world.” (Iraq war veteran Mike Prysner admits his shame and guilt after the fact, and suggests the only remedy possible for preventing future shame – had he only paid attention to what Muhammad Ali had done before the fact, March 15, 2008, http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5jGmMbQPJTU )

But that private suffering, I believe, is also a mercy upon man – for within it also lies the only seed of positive redemption. This aspect is very important to comprehend fully. It is not theological gibberish of religious salvation which I expound here (I leave that to the priests), but empirical psych-physiology of what makes us a human being. In its clarity, lies the practicable solution-space. Both for suffering veterans of today, and for preventing future suffering veterans of tomorrow.

So please permit me to explain at length, for indeed, no leader glibly sending America's kids off to perpetual wars, no MD serving in empire's own imperial institutions under AMA and FDA guidelines to stick to their officially mandated medical protocols or lose the license to practice medicine, and no priest carrying empire's renewed white man's burden to bring us 'untermenschen' their 'Jesus' (watch video http://youtube.com/watch?v=hVGmbzDLq5c ) will explain the following commonsense.

Only if man has a conscience, a soul, that he naturally suffers when he indulges in horrendous crimes, what modern soulless medicine calls PTSD. Just think, if we had no conscience, there would be no mental
anguish, and thus no psychological traumas!

The proof of this straightforward observation is to simply ask the empirical question: do we ever observe the mighty generals and noble presidents, who, under orders from their own task-masters, destroy entire nations and civilizations before being awarded Nobel Peace Prizes for their peace-making, suffering from PTSD? No. They are never known to walk the night lamenting: “All the perfumes of Arabia will not sweeten this little hand”, and die rather “holily in their beds.” (MacBeth). That is because they have no conscience, they have no 'soul'. This pathology, more aptly termed pathocracy, is not just Zen-babble or Shakespearean theater. It is now even clinically coming to the surface. See the book Political Ponerology: A Science on The Nature of Evil adjusted for Political Purposes by Andrew M. Lobaczewski (http://ponerology.com).

While the rest of us may suffer from the “banality of evil” whose only known cure is moral courage and moral redemption, the psychopath is observed to be suffering from some yet to be precisely identified structural abnormality which makes him and her completely impervious to feeling empathy. He and she is evidently either born without a conscience (or, some how had it killed off in unrecoverable ways in rituals and rites peculiar to the elite who send ordinary men and women to the slaughter without batting an eye). This creature appears as normal person to us, lives and moves among us as a normal person, but inevitably always seems to rather uncannily end up in ranking leadership positions where it easily makes immoral decisions for narrow interests without compunction and remorse. He and she experiences no more cognitive dissonance ordering an atomic bomb dropped, than ordering a rendition flight, or sentencing a frail Aafia Siddiqui to 86 years in jail. Whereas, the evil normal people face, both you and I, was aptly captured by Hannah Arendt by that term “Banality of Evil” when trying to comprehend how the Good German was created in Nazi Germany.

Hannah Arendt arrived at the conclusion that it was a combination of
lack of moral courage, easily succumbing to authority figures by one's nature, through sustained indoctrinations to obey authority, and due to the blind allegiance to discharging one's duties without reflecting upon the consequences of those duties. The 'banality', ordinariness of individual evils, added up suddenly becomes extraordinary in its proportion and consequences. This is the exact same description of how the *Good American* has been created today for which, you are now paying the price of PTSD due to all that red blood of my peoples upon your hands.

No? Yes! Read it here to see it from the eyes of one upon whose Muslim civilizations, your patriotic munificence was so courageously unleashed by the cumulative “banality of evil”.

(http://prisonersofthecave.blogspot.com/2007/04/preface.html#Americas-Profound-Shame)

We, the ordinary peoples, who often become cannon fodder for pathocrats, have a conscience, we are not psychopaths. We may however become so if we continue to suffer and continue to inflict suffering upon others to the point that physiological changes in our bodies irreversibly kill off those brain structures where empathy springs from, where conscience resides, where, in Freudian terms, the superego keeps our id and ego in check. But short of becoming a psychopath, there is a moral path of redemption available to all of us which isn't available to the pathocrats who send us to our death smiling. Who never suffer from PTSD.

So, what is the cure for this tortuous scarring of the moral psyche of normal persons which modern medicine calls PTSD? What is the path of redemption? Permit me to share our Eastern wisdom, our ancient medicine, our redemptive therapy, something that adventurers coming to bomb and rob us somehow conveniently fail to carry back with them to their own civilization along with their plunder and their mental scars. The cure is not in physical therapy, it is not in confessionals in priest boxes, and it is not on a psychiatrist's couch, nor in going back into childhood to find and kill-off old demons, real and ima-
gined. The damage caused to the spiritual essence of man for enduring horrendous moral crimes, only the spiritual resurgence can cure. That is what modern medicine cannot give you because there is no profit in it for big-Pharma. Nor is it in the interest of the war-mongers to have their VA hospitals advocate spiritual resurgence – the kind I describe below – for they must have a continuous supply of new recruits of patriotic and economic conscriptions as cannon fodder to fight their perpetual wars.

Moral or spiritual redemption is only possible in undertaking moral acts, not in mere words, but in courageous endeavors which go beyond the normal existence, just as the cataclysms which created PTSD went beyond the normal existence. Acts which border on heroism, and which permit us to rise to our better-selves primarily in the service of those whom we have injured by both commissions, and omissions. Only such redemptive acts can assuage our guilt sufficiently to enable atoning for our earlier moral lapses. In certain societies, perhaps still today, judges would sometimes award the guilty a punishment of such a type, to go humbly serve the family of those whom they have injured, whose bread-winners they killed. When implemented properly, it helped heal both the victim, and most interestingly, also the victimizer. Not too profound when one thinks about it, is it? Even Hollywood learns – as they evidently did in what may have been the topical sequel to *the Deer Hunter*, Tom Cruise's 2003 movie, *The Last Samurai!* The *Metanoia* experienced by the American soldier Nathan Algren, beckons today's PTSD villains. The Greek term for 'after-thought repentance', *metanoia*, denotes a change of mind, a reorientation, an awakening, a fundamental transformation of outlook, a spiritual conversion, walking the path of moral redemption and penitence in consequence.

Those who have undertaken such strivings, ask them their experiences. I imagine that asking Ken O'Keefe for instance, the man who is off in Gaza braving bullets whizzing by his head, bullets which he once himself inflicted upon the 'untermensch' in whose defense he
now braves it with empty hands, might be an interesting exercise for America's veterans.

Not only can the veterans help heal themselves with such courageous efforts undertaken with direct moral acts in their own nation, but they can even help prevent future veterans from coming into existence by leading conscientious objector movements across their nation, teaching and warning the youngsters often enlisting as victims of economic conscription and state propaganda, the very words and analyses available on these stellar websites.

If each suffering veteran of America can prevent just one new future suffering veteran from being created, the healing efficacy of their moral strivings will be nothing short of miraculous! Just imagine when they can prevent ten?

Caption: Who is laughing their way to the bank while the
land of the free is groped, reviled, bankrupted, and turned into a fascist police-state? (Image via sott.net composite from mprophetphoto and davidvincentwolf)

If the suffering veterans of America can forge a movement to loudly say NO to the vile indignities being heaped upon us right here at home in the land of the free, they can make a difference to their own healing.

Here is the most recent grotesque example of what we all face:

Boy Asks TSA: ‘Why Pat Down Mom And Not Me?’

TSA Replies: “You Don’t Have Boobs”.

Saying NO to invasive body scans at airports, and saying NO to humiliating patdowns as well, and drawing media and public attention to those bold NOs can even galvanize the public to do the same, and to join forces with you to reclaim your nation from the rapidly closing jaws of a police-state. If servicemen remain unaware of the real agenda behind these vile physical gropings of America's men, women, and children, as is amply evidenced by the blind compliance of the active duty American soldier going through an American airport in uniform carrying an automatic weapon – a narrative which appeared in Veterans Today and which drove me up the wall that someone can so courageously shoot at barefooted people in Afghanistan, Iraq, Pakistan, but can't say NO to absurdities in his own country – see my article 'Body-scan Alert - Not Suffering Indignities at Airports'. Will that soldier also only acquire his moral sense under PTSD once he is a veteran? Isn't there something grotesquely wrong with that picture?

A single dose of resonating moral NOs across the land of the free will prove to be far more therapeutic to the veterans of America than a 1000 psychedelic joints of Dr. Phil Leveque's prescription which he simultaneously avers: “My subject matter is that whatever the degree of PTSD/TBI the condition is almost permanent.” And thus, by his own admission, asserts all ingestive medicines being ineffective.
Can you, the veterans of America who have finally woken up, kindly give an active think to this prescription? As Ray McGovern lamented in Washington DC at a war veterans' march upon the White House, December 16, 2010, which was only a hundred veteran strong instead of one to two million:

“[quoting Daniel Berrigan] Those who say let us have peace, often tack on: but let us risk nothing, let our lives stand intact, let us know neither prison, not ill repute, nor ridicule from friends, nor disruption of ties. There is no peace, says Berrigan, because the making of peace is just as costly as the making of war. At least as liable to bring disgrace and prison. So, we accept our responsibility here. We are going to do all we can to stop the violence being perpetrated in our name. And so, if the making of peace means prison, that's where you are gonna find us!” (watch http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1WK5--Us7v0 )

And where were all the tens of thousands of war veterans suffering from guilty consciences?

They were, and still are too busy reading websites like this one to bother striving for real redemption – they'd rather just talk about it while collecting their minuscule pecuniary compensation from the Veterans Administration!

You don't need any more knowledge my friends. You don't need any more study. You don't need to read any more websites to learn what has happened to you. All that these words do for you is to turn you into even more armchair wallowers caught between diligent study and PTSD. But no redemption. Knowledge does not lead to acts of redemption. Only metanoia does! And that comes from within – not by reading more websites!

How can you, the equally discarded victims of America's wars of hegemony, elevate your metanoia, your new found awareness of how
you were sent off to fight for the narrow interests of an elite hell-bent on destroying your own nation – all amply demonstrated by the stellar writings and beautiful words appearing on Veterans Today and Salem News for those who really don't already know – into principled coordinated moral acts which can reverse the grotesque police-state transpiring in your own nation right now? And by so doing, help avert a global catastrophe, a planned Armageddon upon the remaining 'untermenschen'! That is an unparalleled self-healing path, unmatched by modern medicine as well as natural sedatives.

If I, a mere civilian mouse, a foreigner in the United States pursuing his 'American Dream' like everyone else, can say NO to state tyranny – read it here – and I scare just as easily as any other mouse, have a family, have aspirations, have passions, have no history of maladjustment except by choice since 911 when I consciously chose to become a *malcontent* (in H. G. Wells' words) and *maladjusted* (in Martin Luther King Jr.'s words) because there was simply no other choice (read it here and here); if this disabled wheelchair bound young man protesting state tyranny in the streets of London can say NO – watch it at http://youtube.com/watch?v=ZL4eL0sLzKU – and he is suffering from cerebral palsy; is there some very good reason why the hurly-burly American veterans of wars' brutalities should continue to comply with tyrannical absurdities which are destroying their own nation-state? I believe this is where the certificate of everlasting virtue from the Veterans Administration comes in handy.

But, if the war veteran, you, boldly rise beyond such certificates, if you rise beyond the mere psychedelic words you read on paper which always look good in print and in speeches, if you instead stand alongside the very 'untermensch' whom you once oppressed, serve the victim families from Afghanistan to Iraq whose bread-winners you once destroyed with a humility you were never acquainted with as a US marine, stand-up for your own nation's peoples suffering under the jackboots of the same tyrants who caused you to suffer PTSD in immoral *imperial mobilizations* deceptively sold to you as preemptive
war on terror in defense of your nation, your yesterday becomes a mere prologue, the rest of your life, finally your own.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Zahir Ebrahim | Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
California, United States

**Short URL:** [http://tinyurl.com/PTSD-Cure](http://tinyurl.com/PTSD-Cure)

**Source URL:** [http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/12/letter-american-war-veteran-ptsd-cure.html](http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/12/letter-american-war-veteran-ptsd-cure.html)
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Science in the Service of Empire

Letter to a co-conspiracy theorist

Reflections on Modernity, Climategate, Pandemic, Peer Review, and Science in the Service of Empire

Dear 'co-conspiracy theorist' M – Hi.
What Dr. Tim Ball stated in his concluding remarks in the following climategate video also captures my sentiments:

“... but you know what, finding out that what I was saying was true there is no pleasure in that whatsoever. No pleasure in 'I told you so' because this is a deeply troubling time not only for climate-science, but Science in general.” -- Minute 9:30, Climategate: Dr. Tim Ball on the hacked CRU emails, November 21, 2009 on corbettreport 
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ydo2Mwnwpac
And as sweeping as that “deeply troubling time” statement is, I would say Dr. Tim Ball still didn't go far enough. He did not unravel the overarching agenda and the galactic extent it permeates its corrupting tentacles as noted in this Letter to Editor: Understanding the Political Science behind Global Warming February 07, 2009, and in this Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government' December 11, 2008.

And neither did Senator Inhofe examine the 'WHY' of “cooking that science” in this exchange on Fox News back in June 2009:

Fox News Anchor: “Does it appear to you that the EPA buried evidence that would have made the President's climate change bill unnecessary”?

Sen. Inhofe: “Oh absolutely Greg. They have been cooking that science since 1998. ...”

Fox News Anchor: “And here is what Alan Carlin said [Author of EPA 98-page study on climate change]: 'My view is...there is not currently any reason to regulate carbon dioxide. Global temperatures are roughly where they were in mid-20th century. They're not going up. If anything, they're going down.' In other words, if there is no endangerment, there is no need for a Bill.”

Sen. Inhofe: “The thing is phony. I feel so good about being redeemed after all these years... all of those scientists that Al Gore had lined up... all of them used to be on his side, they all said wait a minute, this science isn't right, and that's exactly what Alan Carlin said...”

-- Minute 0:30, Sen. Inhofe On Global Warming: 'This Thing Is Phony', Fox News, June 29, 2009 http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Skf8bpI8WSg
Caption Video: Fox News Sen. Inhofe On Global Warming: 'This Thing Is Phony' June 29, 2009

The following is an interesting map of global temperatures – I am not sure of the source or accuracy of the specifics of the data from which it is constructed, but the planetary level temperature cycles are quite empirical:
Whereas, not unlike the many previous diabolical mantras deployed by the ruling establishment as pretexts for different facets of its “imperial mobilization” agenda, the following is the famous “hockey-stick” science graph used for promoting the mantra of Global Warming:
Canadian Professors Ross McKitrick and Christopher Essex deconstructed that hockey-stick science along with their notable non-conformist collaborator and businessman from Toronto, Steve McIntyre, in their 2003 book *Taken by Storm*, long before climategate. But Prof. Ross too, circumspectly, only called it *bad science*, judiciously refraining from calling it *Science in the Service of Empire* which it is:

'Michael Coren: “What's all this about a hockey-stick?”

Ross McKitrick: “Well, the hockey-stick graph. This was back in 2001, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [IPCC], it's a UN body that every five years puts out a big assessment of the science. And they are especially alert to any evidence that
really promotes the Global Warming story and they give it lots of promotion. And in 2001, they latched onto this result that was fairly fresh in the literature, that had to with what's called paleoclimatology. The study of the behavior of the climate from way back before we had thermometers.

Now, for decades the standard view has been that over the past thousand years, there is a Medieval era, which is very warm compared to the present. You know, the Greenland, the Vikings were able to have farms in Greenland. All over the world there is evidence that it was warmer, and basically better for people. And then things got cold for about 500 years, up to the 1800s, and then we were in a warming phase coming out of the little ice-age as it was called.

In the 2001 IPCC report, they changed all that and presented a graph that looks like a hockey stick lying on its side. So the mean state of the climate is almost constant, up until the year 1900, and then suddenly the temperatures started rising rapidly.

And this was very dramatic. It was an extremely effective graphics for getting people worried about global warming.

And it featured prominently in the debates over Kyoto, the government of Canada had it on its website. Actually the government of Canada quoted from it in a pamphlet they sent out to households across the country, and governments around the world did the same thing. Al Gore features it in his movie.” -- Minutes 0:23 to 2:20

Michael Coren: “... Hold on, you are being very generous here. The hockey stick was used time and
time again, and in fact, it became almost iconic within
the Global Warming movement. As you say, movies,
and pamphlets sent out to people across Canada. And
you are not saying to me, it was never genuine,
either because there was weak research, or even
dishonest research, this is kafkaesque.”

Ross McKittrick: “Well, what we found along the way
was there was statistical errors, but one of the big
problems was they'd used a contaminated dataset.
They had about 400 input data series of these temper-
ature proxies, but they way they were analyzing them
was most of the data was thrown out, and there is one
little segment of the dataset that all the results de-
pended on, and they are called bristle cone pine
series. It's a funny looking tree that grows mostly in
Western United States and they grown very old.
Thousand years old.

But, people have long known, and the National
Academy of Science has repeated this warning:
you shouldn't use them for temperature recon-
structions, because they have this hockey-stick
shape that's got nothing to do with temperature.

Well, it turned out that the hockey-stick graph was
formed by taking these bristle cone pines and just put-
ting all the weight on them.

And the original author had redone his analysis
taking this small number of bristle cone pines out,
and the whole shape changes. The graph just loses
its shape, it just becomes sort of noisy and nothing.
So, they knew when they published this study.”

Michael Coren: “They lied!”

Ross McKittrick: “I wouldn't say they lied. I think
what they did was they didn't disclose the fundamental weakness of the original result.”' -- Minute 4:42 to 6:20, conversation with Professor Ross McKitrick, The hockey stick is wrong and result of bad science, on Michael Coren Show http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-1k4mFZr-gE

Caption Video: Michael Coren interviews Ross McKitrick 'The hockey stick is wrong and result of bad science' July 2008

As the above narratives brazenly disclose, one can't expect any effective policing of empire by those fed from the crumbs of empire, never mind unravel the hidden agendas! Just look at even Professor Ross McKitrick's apologetics on behalf of his fellow-scientist whose fraud he himself exposed, as being mere errors of omissions and not outright lying despite the acute probing by the interviewer. That modus operandi of crafty omissions and half-truths, as is examined later in this letter, is a full lie and the vulgar propagandists' key mechanism for manufacturing mantras, dissent, and consent for empire.

In any event, as the political science thesis contained in my aforemen-
tioned Letter to Editor argues, there is indeed a prime reason for “cooking that science” of climate-change. It is, quite un-surprisingly, along the same global axis as the prime reason for “cooking the science” of Swine Flu as already unraveled in The Swine Flu Chronicles 2009: Why to say ‘No’ to the Swine Flu Vaccine. See its Preamble for a succinct examination of the principle modus operandi in the globalists' own handwritings. In this case, it is to fabricate plausible sounding justifications for legally ushering in the architecture of 'carbon credit', regardless of whether there is global warming, global cooling, or no significant temperature change. That is the real heart of the matter and the focus of heated debates for the past ten years being whether or not there is global climate change, as now in the climategate that there isn't, is a gigantic red herring.

The point of focus shouldn't be the unraveling of the deception, but the unraveling of the crucial agendas behind the deception for which mantras are so painstakingly fabricated and consent manufactured.

As both, Zen wisdom and forensic science dictate, these revelations are “like a finger pointing away to the moon – don't concentrate on the finger or you will miss all that heavenly glory”!

That Letter to Editor noted above examined the 'why' question, quoting from an earlier analysis of Global Warming:

'And as is entirely obvious from Mr. Gideon Rachman's article why this is politically motivated, the reasons become clear why this confusion is deliberately being created. If you accept the Capitalist conspiracy for world government, as I have described it, and if you accept the NSSM-200 agenda for population reduction as I have also described it, tying in the hand of Rockefeller to the UN and their agenda for population reduction (citations for these statements are in my various essays), then you must realize why
the ruling elite wants to control 'life activity', and carbon-credit is their architecture of control!

It is somewhat akin to acquiring control of a nation's money supply in the guise of managing the economy better. Few in the public understand why such a control is bad anyway, but those who do try to understand it are thrown layers upon layers of obfuscation. Something similar is happening here. Think of acquiring control of 'carbon-credits' almost equivalent to acquiring control of a nation's money supply! This will control every aspect of sustaining life, just as control of money determines every aspect of sustaining the economy. You name it, between the two of them, it will control it in a world-government. And the first recipient of these controls, the carbon-credit specifically, is the developing world, the Global South, because that is where development must be arrested, and populations thinned out! Just as control of money was first exercised where there was a superfluity of industry and commerce, control of 'carbon-credit' is intended to be exercised where there is a superfluity of populations aspiring to grow their nascent economies!' -- NB: On Global Warming December 12, 2008

And it is instructive to juxtapose all of that perspective with the motivation for population control expressed by David Rockefeller at the UN Ambassadors dinner, as transcribed in Project Humanbeingsfirst's Monetary Reform Bibliography:

“Ironically however, the very innovations that are making possible dramatic improvements in human well-being are also creating new problems which raise the spectre of an alarming and possibly catastrophic disaster to the biosphere we live in. And herein lies the dilemma that we all face. Let me illus-
 Improved public health, has caused the world's infant mortality rate to decline by 60 percent over the last 40 years. In the same period, the world's average life expectancy has increased from 46 years in 1950s to 63 years today. This is a development which as individuals we can only applaud. However the result of these positive measures is that the world population that has risen during the same short period of time geometrically to almost 6 billion people, and can exceed easily 8 billion by the year 2020.

The negative impact of population growth on all of our planetary eco-systems is becoming appallingly evident. The rapid growing exploitation of the world's supply of energy and water is a matter of deep concern. And the toxic by products of widespread industrialization and increased atmospheric pollution to dangerous levels. Unless nations will agree to work together to tackle these cross-border challenges posed by population growth over consumption of resources and environmental degradation, prospects for a decent life on our planet will be threatened. The recent UN meeting in Cairo is appropriately focussed on one of these key issues, population growth.

But the controversies which have erupted at the conference illustrate the problem of coming to grips with issues that are deeply divisive and which have a profound moral dimension. The United Nations can and should play an essential role in helping the world find a satisfactory way of stabilizing the world population and stimulating economic development in a manner that is sensitive to religious and moral considerations.

Economic growth is of course an inevitable corol-
lary of a growing population, and is essential to improved standards of living. But without careful coordination, unrestrained economic growth poses further threats to our environment.

This was a major subject of discussion at the conference in Rio de Janeiro on the environment two years ago. The focus then was on sustainable growth, and global development. It was pointed out at the conference that growth is most efficiently managed by the private sector, but regulation of the process by national governments and international bodies is also needed. And once again, United Nations can certainly be among the catalysts and coordinators of this process.” -- David Rockefeller, United Nations Ambassador's Dinner, hosted by the Business Council for the United Nations, http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ClqUcScwnn8
So many learned people betray shock and surprise by climategate that they betray their own pathetic ignorance of the doctrinal craftsmanship of empire. Yes, and among them are the most brilliant scientists on the planet – a phenomenon I call the “ignorance of the learned”. Some are pleased or have the 'told you so' reaction, and some just pooh-pooh it as insignificant, but few betray any deep forensic comprehension of the full import of the Machiavellian agenda behind the mantra now becoming unraveled. I haven't bothered studying these leaked materials since the confirmation they proclaim is a waste of my time. As the good Dr. Tim Ball candidly stated, it also gives me absolutely no pleasure to receive confirmation that I see the tortuous reality for what it is. I'll examine their details when it becomes pertinent to some analysis I am doing. These climategate leaks contain no profound knowledge which can benefit me – and that's because Hari Seldon's statecraft of 'psychohistory', I mean Machiavellian political science, predicts the hijacking of hard-science as well as social-science accurately. It is manifest across the board.

And Dr. Tim Ball acutely put his finger on the precise modus operandi used in imperial science today as its key loci of control for conferring credibility and respectability to priesthood for inclusion into empire's officially approved churches: the peer-review process. I call it “incestuous science”! The peer-review process cannot approve or adjudicate, by definition, anything outside of the conventional wisdom endorsed by the peers of empire if the science ever goes against the principal interests of empire. So, while it can work well for science which does not challenge empire's interests or entrenched prevailing wisdom, peer-review has undeniably become a bloody scam to promote establishment's own agendas, to issue grants, to authenticate pseudo-scientific plausible-sounding justifications for pre-determined outcomes, and to see who falls in line for further reward and who qualifies for ostracization.

This should be self-evident irrespective of the climategate brouhaha.
Page 101 of John Perkins 2004 book “Confessions of the Economic Hitman” for instance, also reveals an example of the perverse respectability gained from peer-review publishing of entirely bogus mathematical econometric-theory in furtherance of the hegemonic agenda for diabolically acquiring control over developing nations and their natural resources. This is what John Perkins confesses in the opening pages of Chapter 17, titled Panama Canal Negotiations and Graham Greene:

'Bruno came up with an idea for an innovative approach to forecasting: an econometric model based on the writings of a turn-of-the-century Russian mathematician. The model involved assigning subjective probabilities to predictions that certain specific sectors of an economy would grow. It seemed an ideal tool to justify the inflated rates of increase we liked to show in order to obtain large loans, and Bruno asked me to see what I could so with the concept.

... By 1977, I had built a small empire that included a staff of around twenty professionals headquartered in our Boston office, and a stable consultants from MAIN's other departments and offices scattered across the globe. I had become the youngest partner in the firm's hundred-year history. In addition to my title of Chief Economist, I was named manager of Economics and Regional Planning. I was lecturing at Harvard and other venues, and newspapers were soliciting articles from me about current events. I owned a sailing yacht that was docked in Boston Harbor next to the historic battleship Constitution, “Old Ironsides”, renowned for subduing the Barbary pirates not long after the Revolutionary War. I was being paid an excellent salary and I had equity that promised to elevate me to the rarified heights of million-
aire well before I turned forty. True, my marriage had fallen apart, but I was spending time with beautiful and fascinating women in several continents.

... [With that as background] I brought a young MIT mathematician, Dr. Nadipuram Prasad, into my department and gave him a budget. Within six months he developed the Markov method for econometric modeling. Together we hammered out a series of technical papers that presented Markov as a revolutionary method for forecasting the impact of infrastructure investment on economic development.

It was exactly what we wanted: a tool that scientifically “proved” we were doing countries a favor by helping them incur debts they would never be able to pay off. In addition, only a highly skilled econometrician with lots of time and money could possibly comprehend the intricacies of Markov or question its conclusions. The papers were published by several prestigious organizations, and we formally presented them at conferences and universities in a number of countries. The papers – and we – became famous throughout the industry.'

And specifically, returning to climategate, in the case of the first author of climategate sciences in the service of empire, John L. Daly wrote the following of Michael Mann in The `Hockey Stick': A New Low in Climate Science:

'Michael Mann

At the time he published his `Hockey Stick' paper, Michael Mann held an adjunct faculty position at the University of Massachusetts, in the Department of Geosciences. He received his PhD in 1998, and a year later was promoted to Assistant Professor at the Uni-
versity of Virginia, in the Department of Environmental Sciences, at the age of 34.

He is now the Lead Author of the `Observed Climate Variability and Change' chapter of the IPCC Third Assessment Report (TAR-2000), and a contributing author on several other chapters of that report. The Technical Summary of the report, echoing Mann's paper, said: "The 1990s are likely to have been the warmest decade of the millennium, and 1998 is likely to have been the warmest year."

Mann is also now on the editorial board of the `Journal of Climate' and was a guest editor for a special issue of `Climatic Change'. He is also a `referee' for the journals Nature, Science, Climatic Change, Geophysical Research Letters, Journal of Climate, JGR-Oceans, JGR-Atmospheres, Paleo oceanography, Eos, International Journal of Climatology, and NSF, NOAA, and DOE grant programs. (In the `peer review' system of science, the role of anonymous referee confers the power to reject papers that are deemed, in the opinion of the referee, not to meet scientific standards).

He was appointed as a `Scientific Adviser' to the U.S. Government (White House OSTP) on climate change issues.


Mann's career highlights a serious problem with the modern climate sciences, namely the 'star' system where high-profile scientists are promoted swiftly to influential positions in the industry. Such a star system reduces a science to the level of Hollywood.'

Unfortunately, the last passage in the aforementioned complete quote is where John L. Daly too failed to appreciate the import of Science in the Service of Empire, narrowly pinning the problem as only plaguing "modern climate sciences".

It should be evident to all men and women of science that neither Darwin nor Galileo would ever have passed peer-review. The fact that genuine scientists seeking the peer review process don't seem to care about this blatant obviousness which is even rooted in historical precedence, suggests that they wisely choose to remain within the allowable confines of acceptable research, i.e., funded research, even when they have no diabolical or mal intent of their own. The control in science is exercised in a manner not too dissimilar to permitting vigorous and contrarian social debate within an allowable spectrum to give the illusion of free speech and freedom of thought! Those falling outside the allowable limits are of course variously labeled and marginalized.

Thus, while no one may challenge the sacred-cow Holocaust™ narrative in the EU or Canada without going to jail as everyone already knows, debating and developing competing theories on Islamofascism and maligning Islam and its Prophets is greatly encouraged as the zenith of freedom of speech and profound intellectualism. But challenging the very premise of Osama Bin Laden or 'Radical Islam' is frowned upon, and will likely soon be labeled 'terrorism' if it isn't already. Rendition can't be that far behind. Nevertheless, it is still easier to survive being marginalized in the social discourse arena.
But quite impossible to do so in science which has become a big budget operation requiring institutional support and endorsement.

That abuse of science, the “incestuous science”, in the present vaccination drive for the swine flu forms the underpinning of this Note on Vaccination which expresses a unique concern that is still largely outside the many paradigms of concern expressed by many of the nay-sayers in their own formulations of why they are against the swine flu vaccine, or against vaccination in general:

'My immediate concern is the latter which includes an entire gamut of political abuse, from eugenics to GMO foods to epidemics – which harvests justifications and techniques from science and technology – all for population culling and elimination. It's akin to abusing Islam to create the fabled enemy of 'Islamism' for a war-making agenda – whether or not there is some inherent deficiency in the religion is irrelevant and orthogonal to its political abuse for “imperial mobilization”.' -- The Swine Flu Chronicles 2009: Why to say ‘No’ to the Swine Flu Vaccine

The aforementioned concern is even more eloquently voiced by the polymath Spanish Benedictine nun at San Benet of Montserrat's Monastery in Barcelona, Dr. Teresa Forcades, with the peerless credentials: Physician specialist in Internal Medicine, Ph.D. in Public Health, and Degree in Theology from Harvard University.
And that full spectrum abuse of science, the “incestuous science” in the service of empire, is the crucial heart of the matter today.

The fact that we see it occurring repeatedly across the board – from the government sanctioned official but absurd NIST report on how the WTC towers catastrophically collapsed into their own footprints on 911, to the fraudulent climate-change science of Global Warming, to the brazenly criminal medical science of swine flu pandemic promulgated by government sanctioned official bodies like WHO and CDC – minimally shows how science is being perversely used in the service of empire. What a tortuous implementation of Sir Francis Bacon's drive to inter-link the pursuit of the nascently emerging Western science in the 17th century with imperial funding from the superpower
du jour, in order to more effectively deploy the harvest of science in the service of humanity (and of course empire): “human knowledge and human power meet in one”!

It is immensely interesting to also note in passing that Noam Chomsky insisted on 911 science be peer-reviewed before he'd read the papers written by Jones et. al. And when it finally got published by a maverick online journal (I sent a thank you note to them), my dear professor Noam Chomsky – to whom I will forever remain indebted as the teacher who actually taught me to think critically – insisted that he will wait for other credible scientists in that domain to critique it before reading and/or offering his own opinion as he was not a domain expert in how tall buildings collapse, and that letting the domain experts sort it out first in peer-reviewed journals is the acceptable process of science!

What a new born baby octogenarian – or perhaps Noam Chomsky had understood rather well that going against the grain on 911 would be severely career limiting for any technical domain expert, and thus it was, and perhaps still is, safe to argue in this way? A specious red herring? Or merely intellectual convolutions to continue echoing empire's sacred-cow axioms of “imperial mobilization” while appearing to challenge its deadly expression?

Does it take a domain expert, or some ordinary un co-opted common-sense observation to realize that this free-fall symmetrical collapse into its own footprint suspiciously looks like controlled demolition, and that this and this catastrophic instantaneous powdering of tall buildings into fine dust are hardly the gravity collapse of a standing steel structure due to fire; never mind the fact that no response from empire's imposing air defense systems on that ill-fated day when the hijacking drama was unfolding smacks of active collusion at the highest levels of the US military high-command; and therefore, minimally, to pin 911 on Osama Bin Laden based on some newly discovered faith in officialdom after a life of dissent is profoundly anti-intellectual?
All are empire's own multifaceted instruments of public relations, as well as its “approved science”, and its “approved dissent”. The political abuse of science to serve hegemonic agendas is a monumental scam, and Dr. Ball's following terse expression is very perceptive:

“... [in the debate about the hockey-stick] these people are all publishing together, and they all peer-reviewing each other's literature. So there is a classic example of [incestuous self-reinforcement] ... why are they pushing the peer-review issue so big, why are they saying well, you haven't published peer-review ... and now of course we realize is because they have control over their own process. That's clearly exposed in these emails. On a global scale it is frightening.... they control the IPCC. They manipulated that ... The IPCC has become the basis in all governments for the Kyoto Protocol, the Coopenha-gen Accord, and so on!” Minute 1:20, Tim Ball, Op. cit.

Anecdotally, I will recall for you some interesting personal experiences of the abuse of peer review by individuals. In the late 1980s when I worked as a development engineer in a computer company, my new office-mate, a recent Ph.D. from a very reputed top school in the United States and only 25 years of age (he celebrated his 25th birthday after his first day at work), had published almost 20 or so papers on the operating system he had worked on for his Ph.D. thesis. In any case it was a large number of papers, I may be forgetting the precise number. So, one day, having nothing better to do, I read all his published papers that were listed on his imposing resume – there was no web at the time, and only hardcopy of these papers existed which he fortunately had in the office. I also read his Ph.D. thesis. And I was very puzzled. 90% of the content in the refereed and conference papers was identical. To my mind, the differences didn't warrant new papers, only perhaps separate sections, and at most 2 or 3 papers. So I
asked him about it. He candidly told me that this is how the game is played, and that those who didn't play it, paid the price. This scholarship inflation is indeed rewarded with academic respectability, not just in academe, but in corporate research as well. A few years later, another graduate student in computer science developed a fancy piece of software to automatically synthesize an entirely gibberish but plausible sounding paper in context by scanning words and sentences in already published papers, and submitted his genius to one or more peer-reviewed journals.

My god – one of them actually published it. I do not recall the full details now, except that the gallant chap also sent in a note informing them how the paper was created. And as I vaguely remember, there was both amusement, and minor discussion on the poor peer-review process by over-worked professors, but no major scandal. Obviously!

And lastly, a few years ago when I briefly consulted for a big-shot scientist in Pakistan, when he introduced himself to me by saying he had 600 publications to his credit, I recalled for him that possibly the mightiest physicist of the 20th century after Einstein, the Nobel Laureate Richard Feynman of Caltech, had only 37 or so published papers, and that how did he get so many. I can't even remember this inflated egoist's answer today!

All this isn't "incestuous science" per se and is perhaps more akin to tolerable noise in any system. But it does show that the glorified peer review is far from being the pristine scientific process that it is made out to be, and that people will be people, and when quantity of publications is incentivized, they will routinely find clever ways to harness the process for their own narrow interests which may have nothing to do with the science per se in no less measure than the ruling establishment.

The same arguments are easily extended to the examination and granting of patents, fancifully called the intellectual property rights. All of my patent filings for instance were primarily a business decision made by the corporation to create a patent portfolio as a currency of barter.
in patent infringement lawsuits. I doubt very much that the patent examiners anywhere have the wherewithal to know what is prior art and what isn't, as all engineering and technology fields have exponentially grown since the concept of patenting was invented as a business tool to allow entrepreneurs to capitalize on their product inventions for a limited time. That has today transformed into the abhorrent WTO extortion racket to mainly prey upon the developing nations!

Dear M, returning to the Machiavellian political science which lends so much insight into almost everything man endeavors, it is almost as if my favorite science fiction novelist, the galaxologist Isaac Asimov, was vicariously projecting Hari Seldon's psycho-historical calculations for guiding the course of “future-history” of his fictional empire on the vast intergalactic canvas, as a profound clue to mankind to get them to forensically comprehend manufactured reality. Just like Plato had done in his *Myth of the Cave*, 2500 years earlier.

People in the West tend to go all gaga when an obvious conspiracy is finally revealed to be true, as in this case of climategate. But worse, many of them tend to focus on its how-whiz minutiae when the iron is hot instead of doing something useful with it, and then simply move-on deeming the scandal to be a one-of case of some misguided policy gone awry or case of individual corruption. And at best, a nefarious but myopic agenda which is not related to any other agenda of the establishment. This armchair anguish also remained the case with the revealing of the Iran-Contra scandal in the 1980s which momentarily riveted the attention of the American public. No one at the time questioned why was America criminally assisting the two neighborly countries of Iran and Iraq to fight each other to death; only that it did this in some non-kosher way. The exact same thing is once again transpiring in climategate. Few are focussing on connecting the dots towards a bigger picture – deliberately missing the Zen of political science.

This circumscribing of the imagination in the erudite Western intellect is almost as if the Western mind has been calculatingly indoctrinated
into the notion that the pursuit of sciences is a pristine, highly object-
ive endeavor of incredibly moral supermen devoid of any political
agendas in promulgating the objectives of empire that funds it. It is
akin to the Eastern mind long having become attuned to the notion of
predestination because of which it silently continues to suffer its fate
at the hands of its own oligarchy. Each half of the world, apparently,
are wont to sacrifice different half of their brain at the altar of their re-
spective feudal priestdoms.

The military-industrial complex of America for instance is entirely
scientist driven at its technological forefronts. This is plainly visible
and openly conducted, and therefore, not one sane person in the world
would deny that such science and technology pursuits entirely serve
the interests of Western hegemony.

But when caught in a lie for executing far more diabolical objectives
of the hectoring hegemons in circuitous ways – because these may not
be articulated or pursued so openly even when it is not a state-secret
and the information is available to anyone – the Western intellect sud-
denly fails! How could these scientists possibly have been working for
the establishment's own Machiavellian agendas – it must surely be
that they were merely personally corrupted at best, or just did “poor
science” in an incestuous cabal. The “lone-gunman” theory of sci-
encegate!

In making better guns and bigger bombs, bioweapons and economet-
rics, and other assorted technetronic-gadgets for empire that enables
backing its MacDonald franchises with McDonnell Douglas, since all
of it is an open enterprise, no one doubts that scientists and techni-
cians work for empire and are generously rewarded for it. In fact, it is
even bandied about with great eloquence:

“The hidden hand of the market will never work
without a hidden fist -- McDonald's cannot flourish
without McDonnell Douglas, the builder of the F-15.
And the hidden fist that keeps the world safe for Silic-
on Valley's technologies is called the United States Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps.” -- Thomas L. Friedman, A Manifesto for the Fast World, NYT March 28, 1999

But to make the multi-pronged complex architecture of diabolical control of humanity possible, well, that just can't be. That is just conspiracy theory! So let's just narrowly concentrate only on the facts that have been disclosed ... like why the sum of squares goes negative in the source code of climategate!

As is amply evident over the past few weeks since the climategate scandal broke, almost all people of scientific acumen continue to focus on the fascinating mechanics of how Mann et. al., said their “gun” worked, but it actually didn't! So bad-bad-scientists. Let's just clean up the climate sciences of its rotten eggs and move-on. This attitude is clearly visible in almost all the stellar conversations on climategate in cyberspace even among the academics. Especially among the academics! See for instance this open letter by Prof. Judith Curry, and her editorial.

This tunnel vision isn't limited to climategate however, but permeates all imperial mantras the most prominent and most deadly to date of course being the “Global War on Terror”. I look forward to the day when similar email revelations will show how 911 was an inside job and how any challenge to the official narrative was to be suppressed, including in science publications and only the Popular Science version which elaborated on the NIST science was to be promulgated. At that time, all the uber intelligent beings will once again similarly become fixated with the minutiae of the obvious. But yesterday, as today, when boldly asserting so by influential men and women of science could surely have derailed “imperial mobilization” to Afghanistan and Iraq thus preventing all the horrendous crimes against humanity which followed, it remained a conspiracy theory of the lunatic fringes who saw gods in the sky.
My all time favorite physicist of Pakistan, the MIT literate prodigy, Dr. Pervez Hoodbhoy, the scholar who contributed his own punditry to the mantra of Islamism in dialectical penmanship to Daniel Pipes' in erudite prose like “Between Imperialism and Islamism” and “The Threat From Within”, once wrote me in response to my trying to get him to see that Bin Laden couldn't have done 911 as WTC collapses looked like controlled demolition and that he, Hoodbhoy, was failing to connect all the dots which clearly lead to puppetmasters, saying something to the effect: ~ “remember how our ancestors connected the dots in the sky and saw all those shapes as their gods...”.

So henceforth, Pervez Hoodbhoy judiciously avoided connecting the dots lest he too be misled into seeing things that aren't there, while of course finding it infinitely pleasurable to continue echoing the mantras and axioms of empire. Not only MIT trained scientists, but apparently almost all major scientists and scholars of any IVY and other lofty pedigree are pregnant with imperial wisdom in that way.

These brilliant scholars only see puppetshows, and painstakingly describe them, but never go towards uncovering the forces which drive them. Since I have already described their salient characteristics in detail before, let me just reproduce it here as its worthwhile to relate that to the topic at hand:

- None of them betray that they possess long term memories, or any comprehension of even recent history that can be contextualized to the present.

- None of them seem to have heard of 'covert-ops' and 'black-ops'; none of them have read the shrewd analysis of the imperial thinkers themselves of the necessity of real mobilizing pretexts such as the 'New Pearl Harbor' and 'clear and present danger' as otherwise 'Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization'.

- None of them apparently understand that covert-ops while they are operational and active, are meant to be secretive.
and mendacious, which is why they are called 'covert', and that their unraveling necessitates perceptively seeing beyond what's being deliberately made manifest and what's being insisted upon as 'two plus two equals five' - for hard receipts for them will only be uncovered by historians through the famed declassification process post faits accomplis.

- Thus all of these 'astute' thinkers, commentators, and media pundits none too miraculously reach the same minimal and common conclusion space regardless of their own starting thesis or the circuitous routes taken in their analysis and speculations, that at the bare minimum, the scourge of 'fundamentalism' and 'militant Islam' needs to be checked with renewed commitment in the global 'war on terror', or else no one in the 'civilized world' would remain safe from these antiquated Taliban style 'evil jihadis' and 'al qaeeda'. That root of terror has now been successfully showcased as residing in Pakistan – the 'Terror Central'!

- It is indeed deemed a 'clash of civilizations', not of the East and the West titans, but of 'radical antiquated militant Islam' and the rest of civilized humanity! That 'Today [even] if one could wipe America off the map of the world with a wet cloth, mullah-led fanaticism will not disappear', as the distinguished native-informant par excellence, the world class physicist Pervaiz Hoodbhoy, has conclusively observed in his latest analysis of the matter in “Preventing More Lal Masjids”, and which he had earlier explored in great analytical depth in “The Threat From Within”. And none [too] surprisingly, echoing the same mantra of Pakistan becoming a 'terrorist sanctuary' [as] CNN a few days ago [which] aired the documentary by Nick Richardson “Pakistan - The Threat Within”. The
unanimity of this conclusion space is scary to say the least – at least for us Pakistanis.

- It would appear that the world's leading thinkers, journalists, newsmedia, scholars and leaders 'united we stand' that Pakistan poses a serious threat to world peace! Not the hectoring hegemons who have cleverly utilized 911 'to goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers' in what only appears to be another 'operation canned goods' or the 'Reichstag fire' or the much coveted 'New Pearl Harbor' to achieve the 'transformation of [its] forces' to achieve 'full spectrum dominance' over the planet and outerspace, but my wretched lands of the ancient Indus valley, and my wretched peoples – we are the world threat! -- Saving Pakistan from Synthetic 'Terror Central' - Orchestration of 'Lal Masjid' – a precursor to 'shock and awe'? July 13-23, 2007.

In the light of what is transpiring in Pakistan today, it is not at all prescient that Pervez Hoodbhoy should have written the following in his ode to Daniel Pipes: “The Threat From Within”. In response to it, I had been compelled to write to dissent-specialist Hoodbhoy that had there not been an author's name in that document and someone had asked me to guess who had written it, I would have easily guessed Daniel Pipes. Take a look at the following passage for instance:

'Is Radical Islam Inevitable?'

With the large and growing popular sentiment against Musharraf and his army, one cannot rule out the possibility that in the years ahead nuclear armed Pakistan may fall under a neo-Taliban style Salafi-Wahhabi-Deobandi leadership allied with conservative senior military leaders. If it does, then Pakistan could become the world’s most dangerous state. But, although possible, it is certainly not inevitable – coun-
tervailing forces work against this nightmare scen-
ario.' -- Pervez Hoodbhoy, Pakistan – The Threat
From Within, Pakistan Security Research Unit
(PSRU), Brief Number 13, 23rd May 2007.

The crafty Machiavellian omissions present in that saintly expression
of fear by uber physicist Pervez Hoodbhoy: “in the years ahead nuc-
lear armed Pakistan may fall under a neo-Taliban style Salafi-Wa-
habi-Deobandi leadership allied with conservative senior military
leaders. If it does, then Pakistan could become the world’s most
dangerous state”, was once again most recently dismantled in Re-
response to 'Wahabization- Salafization of Pakistan and Muslim
Ummah : Fighting the Terrorists But Supporting Their Ideology'.

It is respected scholars like these – hiding behind academic freedom
of speech and the press – who continually manufacture disinformation
as agents, assets, and sayanim of the Mighty Wurlitzer that has caused
me to waste so much of my precious time penning the million obvious
words on my website to refute their half-truths and Machiavellian
spins, for it takes a sentence to construct a lie, considerably more
space and time to refute it. Noam Chomsky had himself noted this
bit of truism, I am sure realizing its full import for his own writings of
crucial omissions. And who has the time to read the long refutations
even if someone bothers to diligently offer them, even inviting a ri-
poste? Those being refuted simply ignore it. None may withstand the
glare of truth in bright sunlight except those wearing sunglasses!

Omission, the cardinal sin of all totalitarian propagandists when they
do purvey half-truths instead of outright full lies – which, as Gary
Null put it, “there is an old Jewish saying, a half truth is a full lie”
– is even more effective for deception. Aldous Huxley had insight-
fully noted its impact in the (circa 1946) Preface to his 1931 novel
Brave New World:

‘The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been ac-
complished, not by doing something, but by refraining
from doing. **Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth.** By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls and “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals.’ -- Aldous Huxley, pg. 11, Brave New World

And this appears to be how science and scientists are both put to work for echoing the message of empire. Through calculated omissions and retaining the sacred-cow axioms, be it pertaining to hard science, such as in this climatology scam and in the 911 NIST report scam, or related to social science as in the 911 Commission Report scam in order to perpetuate the same political theology of empire while appearing to investigate it.

This modus operandi is what we had been seeing of the Global Warming mantra even before the climategate brouhaha erupted. Awarding of the Nobel Prize to Al Gore only added Public Relations manufacturing to the game. Now, all new born baby pundits may also verifiably glean how the establishment was pulling its invisible strings to fabricate the mantras and the silence about truth. It didn't seem to have worked to the establishment's complete satisfaction this time around – but in how many other cases has it worked, and is still working?

Science is a blatant instrument of empire when it is necessary for it to be so, even when the scientists might proclaim themselves innocent like the new-born baby. Interestingly, or perhaps sadly, many whom I know personally do tend to behave as if they were indeed born yesterday when it comes to comprehending dialectical social engineering! They often proclaim, when their naïveté is challenged, that political science is not their field – as if it requires a Ph.D. to know when is one being taken for a ride on the horns of erudite gibberish!
Are the mighty men and women of science really all that much different from any astute politician? While one may pen much prose to show the reasons for their apparent gullibility, from self-deception to actual collusion, from having accepted or told one lie to the necessity of accepting and/or narrating subsequent lies until the soul is in so deep that it can't extricate itself either mentally or physically, etceteras, the undeniable fact remains that ultimately, both the politician and the scientists are fed from the same coffers and therefore serve the same ruling interests. If they didn't, or if they made waves, they'd be out. Those who ultimately control the purse strings control the research as well as the opinions. The paymasters decide the science that gets funded, and the science which is not pursued. This is most brazenly obvious in the Big-pharma led medical science today that is pushing vaccination, vaccines, and other toxic cocktails to the exclusion of all natural and alternate remedies.

And who doesn't open their mouth wide for the great benefits – both tangibles and intangibles – to be accrued from cooperating with the ruling wisdom, and minimally, for the opportunity to passionately pursue well-funded science in the mainstream and earn all its rewards of respectability and a productive career? Who will jeopardize that?

Only genuine 'conspiracy theorists'!

The entire barrel of apples is rotten to the core! The intellectual corruption of modernity has amazingly seeped into all fabrics of society, almost without exception, from organized religion to organized science and everything in between. And the primemover source of that corruption is namely one today! It is the one with the deepest and most infinitely replenished pockets to spend on all that is vile disguised as philanthropy, the pursuit of science, the humanities, the arts, and for the good of high society. Some of it of course is. But the good is also a veneer to pursue world-domination agendas of those who rule from behind the scenes. A patient but forensic read of Prof. Carroll Quigley's seminal history text of empire, “Tragedy and Hope - A History of the World in Our Time”, makes that abundantly clear. In his
commentary on that text, the following statement of W. Cleon Skousen sheds the most pertinent light on the calculated “ignorance of the learned”:

'The real value of Tragedy and Hope ... [is the] bold and boastful admission by Dr. Quigley that there actually exists a relatively small but powerful group which has succeeded in acquiring a choke-hold on the affairs of practically the entire human race. Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantic power unless millions of people in all walks of life were “in on the take” and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes. As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and women and their institutions on a world-wide basis.' -- W. Cleon Skousen, The Naked Capitalist, pg. 6

Oligarchs have of course always existed, and presumably always will. Aldous Huxley noted in his famous talk in 1962 at Berkeley that the rulers getting the serfs to love their own voluntary servitude would be the “ultimate revolution” in social control. That notion, of puppet-masters seeking ways and means to control the populace, is simply empirical.

Indeed, since time immemorial, the kingmaker has been either a god, or the oligarchs. And some uber skeptics even argue that our entire conception of organized religion is an invention too. I don't quite go that far unless the Anunaki arrive from planet Nibiru in my own lifetime. Then, I might perhaps concede the obviously compelling argument that all controlling dogmas on planet earth were indeed fabricated instruments of social cohesion and control throughout our social
evolution, and which, going forward in our continued evolution according to the prevailing tenets of social Darwinianism, is to be replaced by Adam Weishaupt's Secular Humanism. But I doubt I'll ever stop being a theist even then, for I can't imagine believing that we are merely an extended amoeba without a soul! Those who don't need that 'crutch' are certainly mightier than I. They are welcome to their superman state.

And to take on these 'ubermensch' in every generation, and to keep them in check, is the only way to keep the real primemover of evil also in check. That dialectical Manichean struggle automatically enables, and is otherwise also culpable, for all the good or evil that follows.

That is clearly the responsibility of us all. But only the 'idle conspiracy theorists' seem to recognize it, or want to take it on as a moral imperative.

So, I am writing this letter to you, my dear co-conspiracy theorist M, for pondering the profound question: **how can this general polymath wisdom of Hari Seldon's political-science be shared with others before it becomes mainstream confirmation; before it becomes fait accompli?**

Ex post facto, when it is time for it to become history for public consumption, of course all will see it. Like the old proverb says, something to the effect, they come running with the news after all the barbers in town already know! And they laugh their way to their bank penning their narratives, with lofty prizes and prestigious titles awarded them by the very instruments of empire they appear to hector before their flock.

My teacher Noam Chomsky, is perhaps the most egregious example of this. The New York Times called him [*arguably the most important intellectual alive*](https://www.nytimes.com/1983/08/16/magazine/the-public-interest-123.html). Indeed he is. His imposing books are undeniably the most exhaustive compilation of the crimes of empire. But in critically examining his prolific life of dissent without being snowed in by
his voluminous body of work, at least on two of the gravest moments in any ordinary intellectual's life, never mind the “most important intellectual alive”, when the most urgent need of the hour was to publicly show bold skepticism for the narratives of power, Noam Chomsky persisted in exactly echoing the core sacred-cow axioms of empire. From the officially promulgated lone-gunman theory of JFK assassination, to the officially promulgated 19 hijackers theory of 911, he cleverly echoed the sacred-cow axioms of empire even in his dissent!

While Noam Chomsky has eruditely accused empire of manufacturing consent by deceiving the public, I strongly suspect him of manufacturing dissent to effectively assist the empire at the most crucial times in the same. To me, the New York Times awarding that title to an intellectual like him is akin to awarding the Nobel Peace prize to Jimmy Carter – as both a reward for a job well done for empire, and to help fabricate a dissent-chief for the malcontents resisting empire. The epithet proudly adorns Noam Chomsky's many books and has surely helped him acquire a prestige which even prompted a notable rebel leader like President Chavez of Venezuela, to wave one of his books from the United Nations as the zenith of moral resistance to empire.

So I ask you in conclusion dear M, is there any intellectual discourse at all possible to explain all this to others, and have one see not only its palpable wisdom, but also the categorical imperatives that automatically spring from it, which, if one voluntarily shirks responsibility for, one acquires the blood of an accomplice on one's hands?

Or, would only the Charles Dickens' character, Madame Defarge, with her guillotine basket, provide the right motivation to enable one to call reality the way it is in this age of atheistic relativism when spiritualism is dead even for many a pious savant still on the prayer mat?

Best wishes,

Zahir Ebrahim, Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
Short URL:  http://tinyurl.com/Science-in-Service-of-Empire
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Chapter 30

Scientists in the Service of Empire

The Seduction of Science and Technology and the Fable of the Bees

At least some of us often lament after the fact, like all hypocrite scientists the world over, of our short-sighted pursuits in discovering sciences and inventing technologies which end up having detrimental long term impact on society.

Please watch this one hour talk by Dr. Eric Fossum, Professor of Engineering in Dartmouth's Thayer School, at Yale University:
**Eric Fossum on Societal Concerns of his Invention**

[youtube=http://youtube.com/watch?v=JkBh71zZKrM]

Caption Eric Fossum is the inventor of the active CMOS imaging chip, the "camera-on-a-chip" technology which “is used in nearly all camera phones and webcams, digital-still cameras, high-speed motion capture cameras, automotive cameras, dental x-ray cameras, and swallowable pill cameras”, according to the Yale University blurb for the talk. Dr. Eric Fossum's Bio is revealing of his intense passion for his chosen profession and the applause he has accumulated for his achievements, all of which can be gleaned from his websites http://ericfossum.com/ and http://engineering.dartmouth.edu/people/faculty/eric-fossum/.

I am going to write this great inventor of sliced cake a letter and send him a link to my own high-tech career and why I left it:
While I did not work on this first version of the SPARC chip (joining just as it was in its final finishing stages), I did on subsequent generations of microchips, systems, and operating systems over the next decade (Sun-4 and Sun-5). This is how I too, albeit in a small way, helped usher in George H.W. Bush’s infamous vision of the “New World Order”, for without these microchips, there surely wouldn’t be one! While the monumental crimes against humanity of the two World Wars in the past century were perpetrated without the aid of microchips, the present enslaving of humanity into the surveillance society of ‘one-world government’ is only effectuated with the help of the Technetronic Revolution wrought by these microchips. See Zbigniew Brzezinski’s “Between Two Ages: America’s Role in the Technetronic Era”.

While IEEE Spectrum today celebrates this revolution, noting:

“Their designs proved so cutting-edge, so out of the box, so ahead of their time, that we are left groping for more technology clichés to describe them. Suffice it to say that they gave us the technology that made our brief, otherwise tedious existence in this universe worth living.”,

as a direct participant with two dozen design patents on microchips and systems, I am not so sure. A simpler times now appear more appealing. Not much of a short-break, was this! We are still talking about
NWO. Everything appears interrelated and interlocked. To make a decent moral living, minimally doing no harm, ideally doing good things and leaving a positive legacy rather than a negative one, appears well-nigh impossible. There is just no escape from the New World Order. Arguably, we all contribute to it in some way in the modernity du jour – unless we choose to escape the modern-age and live on pastoral farms and in the mountains.’ --- Zahir Ebrahim's response to IEEE Spectrum’s Special Report: 25 Microchips That Shook the World. May 2009

In addition, the following letter I had previously written a Harvard computer scientist who was excitedly moving to Google Labs thinking he is going to make a big societal difference, may be revealing to the brilliant designers of world's weapon systems and other misanthropic and enslaving technologies who still to this day think they have created sliced cake in a world in which the vast majority are unable to afford dry bread for one square meal a day:


I had concluded that letter to the Harvard computer scientist with the observation:

'the brilliant professors at Harvard and elsewhere equally know which side their bread is buttered in just as much measure, if not more, than any ordinary ‘Good American’ slaving in this system of governance and production whose entire outlook for the past century has been conditioned not by morality (except in Newspeak), but by primacy, hegemony, and by their own admission, by their quest for “full spectrum dominance”. That requires riding the full monte of the ‘Technetronic Era’ of which both of you
computer science professors speciously arguing which side of the fence is greener, are equally a part.

May I dare to hope in conclusion that with your prominent voice and brilliant credentials, that you might perhaps be motivated by this humble letter to explore this topic objectively while you are still at Harvard – as the fiscal burden to be ‘acceptable’ is now presumably less for you – and leave a legacy which to my mind can have far reaching and very existential consequences for all Americans. You will surely not have this opportunity at Google where, by your own admission and statement of blind aspiration: “I get to hack all day.” After you have built your nth system, as one of the generations caught “Between Two Ages”, you may be left wondering why you did it at all when you wake up in a full-blown “1984” one day soon and still have some commonsense left to recognize it as such.

Your children and grandchildren however, will mercifully be spared that recognition as they will habitually count, from the very day of their birth, two plus two equal five. Some Americans, perhaps the majority, are already there today. The simple question I often ask of smart peoples who might dare to escape that fate, and I leave you with that little question, how can one know that one can add correctly?” --- Zahir Ebrahim's letter to Matt Welsh, recently tenured full professor of Computer Science at Harvard University who announced his intent to move to Google Labs for better actualization of his technological passions, November 24, 2010

Please watch the aforementioned one hour technical talk by Dr. Eric Fossum which makes much ado about societal responsibility of great inventors – the first one that I have encountered which is as candid
and honest as it is! What I had hoped to achieve in Matt Welsh and failed, he did not even bother to acknowledge that letter and MIT Technology Review turned it down as a worthy discussion topic to seed at MIT, I already see Dr. Eric Fossum doing. Few men of science, technology, and industry ever grapple with any of these issues or dare to go there when they are in the prime of their careers heartily pursuing it. Usually, a handful only venture there after the fact, ex post facto, after the genie is out of the bottle and cannot be put back in. Like M.I.T.'s own former president Jerome B. Wiesner (1971-1980), who, after presiding over the buildup of the same militarized society, upon retirement from his top academic post in the most militarized country on earth, thought it most conscionable to make the following banal statement of moral clarity:

“This irrational behavior is only possible because we, the citizens of the nation, permit it. It is no longer a question of controlling a military-industrial complex, but rather, of keeping the United States from becoming a totally military culture.” — The United States: A militarized society, Jerome B. Wiesner, president emeritus MIT, Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, Aug 1985, pg. 104

This is the outline of Jerome B. Wiesner's own militarized career according to wikipedia:

“Jerome B. Wiesner (May 30, 1915 – October 21, 1994), was associated with MIT for most of his career, joining the MIT Radiation Laboratory in 1942 and working on radar development. He worked briefly at Los Alamos, returned to become a professor of Electrical Engineering at MIT, and worked at and ultimately became director of the Research Laboratory of Electronics at MIT (RLE). He became Dean of the School of Science in 1964, Provost in 1966, and President from 1971 to 1980. He was also elected a
life member of the MIT Corporation.”

These much noted pangs of belated conscience evidently make zero impact on the dystopian forces which they unleashed in their heyday, or, as one often wonders, upon their own decrypt soul as they “died holily in their beds.” (Macbeth 5:1:47-49 - “Yet I have known those which have walked in their sleep who have died holily in their beds.”)

Perhaps this is why it is respectable to make them upon retirement. Inter alia, it helps maintain the illusion of individual liberties and intellectual freedoms in Western societies and loosely equated with moral gravitas of its most illustrious peoples.

Well, in Eric R. Fossum I have finally discovered one lonely high-tech scientist at Dartmouth College, with the notable pedigree of Yale, Caltech, NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, and Columbia University, grappling with these weighty matters as the key inventor of a technology right at the very peak of his invention which he laments has accelerated the comeuppance of Big Brother like no other single invention in the Post World War II era. That show of moral awareness and greater societal concern is of course both timely and good.

But what does our man of superlative conscience Dr. Eric Fossum do after the great show of moral gravitas and intellectual tour de force?

Does he stop and desist, let alone attempt to rectify the forces he has helped unleash?

No! Of course not.

Dr. Eric Fossum simply dumps the problem onto the dumb onlookers cheering him on, and moves on with inventing/heralding more of the same dystopian world order!

Dr. Eric Fossum is now a technical consultant for Samsung Electronics, and even more diligently pursuing newer more imaginative ways to usher in Big Brother surveillance gadgetry in the serene new academic setting of New Hampshire. He blithely claims “I don't really like this application of my technology. There is nothing I can do
about it, I have now unloaded that on you, so thank you.”

Of course, who does not like the sound of applause, medals clanking, and all the high honors which accompany pious high-mindedness, not to mention induction into the National Inventors Hall of Fame, 2011? That veritable show of societal concern for humanity in his talk may now even beget Dr. Eric Fossum the Nobel Peace Prize – or, at least a nomination. When Global Warming scholarship can win the vice president of the United States, Dr. Al Gore such magnificent accolades, surely Dr. Eric Fossum is far ahead in his moral exercise of the scholarship of conscience he so dignifiedly proffered in his talk on the impact of his real science.

Below are some pertinent fragments captured from Dr. Eric Fossum's superfluity of societal concerns from his aforementioned talk at Yale University, titled *Photons to Bits and Beyond: The Science & Technology of Digital Image Sensors*:

"Societal issues questions baggage I have been carrying around with me for a few years and I am going to unload on you" (time 0:05:38)

"There is another set of issues which is loss of privacy from all this networking. When I first invented this CMOS image sensor technology we got a little bit of publicity and a reporter for the BBC radio came and talked to me, interviewed me, and he said:

'so tell me how you feel about the fact that now Big Brother is gonna be able so spy on us a lot better with all these cameras'.

And I said:

'oh it's not really a problem because there is not enough people to watch all the video screens and all cameras that are out there and we don't have to worry.'
But to my surprise, and chagrin a little bit, you know now computers can analyze images, and computer systems can track you based on facial recognition software from camera to camera to camera, as you might move around in a highly surveilled city for example.

And, so that means that you will be tracked and all your activity completely logged. It's on your permanent record now, whatever you did, or something you really don't want people to know about.

You know, is that a good thing? I don't think so. I feel like it's an invasion of my privacy." (time 0:15:40)

"I don't really like this application of my technology. There is nothing I can do about it, I have now unloaded that on you, so thank you.

We'll get back to the science and technology now, but I hope you also worry about these things and I especially hope you figure out what we are gonna do about this in the future." (time 0:20:30) --- Dr. Eric Fossum, Yale, Oct 13, 2011

Are semi-conscious realizations devoid of deep convictions sufficient? I am in fact unhappily waiting for the time when Eric Fossum after retirement may well make the same statement as was made by M.I.T. president Jerome B. Wiesner ex post facto: “But realization is not enough. It must become informed conviction based on personal study.” (op. cit., pg. 105)

The above lamentable state of moral hypocrisy was aptly captured by a young man reading an initial version of this missive sent to high-tech scholars and scientists:

'Of course I am always reminded of Oppenheimer the day after Trinity http://www.youtube.com/watch?
v=l8w3Y-dskeg by things like this. Now he knew what was to come http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PuX7mx-PIY4 (much better than "I really don't like this application of my technology but there is nothing I can do about it. I have now unloaded it on you so thank you.") Oppenheimer also realized it, and feeling the way he did, never did stop. And Fossum of course continues soldiering ahead despite his "anxiety closet"...a "comforting" construct :)

[These Technetronic Era usherettes] can always take comfort in:

http://www.roadkilltshirts.com/Assets/ProductImages/PS_0887_KILL_PEOPLE_DR.jpg

So let's keep building...

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iX6YvWxtrxw

As my own more limited contribution to this Big Brother menace indicates (see link to my response to IEEE 25 microchips that changed the world noted above), this dystopia of global servitude was already predicted, and indeed eagerly anticipated, inter alia by Zbigniew Brzezinski in 1970 in his “Between Two Ages – America's Role in the Technetronic Era”; by Bertrand Russell in 1951 in his “The Impact of Science on Society”; by H. G. Wells in 1940 in his “The New World Order”; etceteras, going all the way back to the turn of the 20th century, all portending the inevitability of, and the beneficial desirability of, global scientific dictatorship! Bertrand Russell even went out of his way to pseudo-philosophize its desirability, explaining the need for Big Brother which Dr. Eric Fossum now feels so uncomfortable about: “World government could only be kept in being by force.” (op. cit., pg. 37)

Who and what enables this inevitability which has been so eagerly anticipated by the elites who own the vast military-industrial complexes which fund the sciences and technologies, and who wish to enslave
mankind in their own web of controlled hegemony?

These are not science fiction novels and allegorical essays by imaginative behaviorists like Aldous Huxley's 1931 fable: “A Brave New World”, and George Orwell's 1948 fable: “Nineteen eighty-four”, but philosophical works in political science on rationalizing global scientific dictatorship. The most reliable disclosure of the impending world order still remains Georgetown University School of Foreign Services long time professor, Dr. Carroll Quigley's 1966 magnum opus: “Tragedy and Hope”. While even ordinary high-schoolers in the West have enjoyed Huxley and Orwell as great dystopian literature, rare is the physical scientist directly ushering it in who has even heard of these other political science works which rationalize and justify the exercise of global hegemony.


Well, the answer to that question is not so un-obvious to those with even a modicum of commonsense. It is guys like Eric Fossum, and the million engineers and scientists in Silicon Valleys across the world. Everyone of them most passionately laboring in the military-industrial complex of their nation without reflection under various civilizational burdens that span the full gamut of intellectual rigor, from 'la mission civilisatrice' to national defense to passionate self-interests! Just ordinary people who are narrowly so seduced by their own chosen professions and self-interests, never mind the patriotism drilled into them, that the corruption of their own soul which begets the corruption of their intellect, remains invisible to them! Just as it is to Eric Fossum.

Should I excitedly applaud Dr. Eric Fossum for his hypocrisy and his skin deep moral clarity? Or, should I endeavor to unravel it?
How did we get to this stage of modernity that we make such wonderful useful idiots, often self-servingly harboring a moral clarity and cleanliness of sweet smelling conscience which evidently surpasses even Macbeth's proclamation to his guilt-ridden wife to just feign it: “Be innocent of knowledge”? (Macbeth 3:2:45)

But first, indeed I am in fact going to thank Dr. Eric Fossum, for whatever he has stated only helps me make my case even more compellingly. Fossum is not alone in this state of passionate moral decay which I too once occupied. In fact, among all those occupying that state today in Silicon Valley and elsewhere, Dr. Eric Fossum is a bit ahead in the game because he evidently at least recognizes the obvious. He can still pay a tad more effective attention to his own moral gravitas than just high-minded lip service: “I don't really like this application of my technology. There is nothing I can do about it, I have now unloaded that on you, so thank you.” Matters are not yet wholly fait accompli. While our war-mongering modernity still infected by the stone-Age virus of primacy, is premeditatively hurtling headlong into something grotesquely abhorrent which the entire history of mankind has never witnessed before this Technetronic Era – a global scientific dictatorship which has been self-realizingly predicted for close to a century – we aren't there yet! This insane path is not by happenstance, but by engineered design which motivates and incentivizes the worker-bees to make the honey-pot towards that very outcome.

How did we get to this co-opting modernity?

Below is just a cursory roadmap of how we got to this modernity that I have been able to piece together as an amateur historian, amateur social scientist, and former professional technologist who walked away from the same highly applauded passions in the same engineering profession as Eric Fossum, now thanklessly applying the same rational skills in a new passionate hobby. A hobby that is of utmost existential import and of immediate pertinence to mankind's survival as an inde-
pendent, sentient, and thoughtful moral beings, but for which there is no applause. No medals. No prizes. Only hemlock. Influential scholarly scientists like Dr. Eric Fossum can and do make substantial difference to this calculus, in any direction. So why not in the direction their own inner moral voice uncannily whispers to them – rather than continuing in the suicidal direction of their baser instincts, passions and self-interests?

In order to be most succinct in this outline and still make sense to brilliant people unlearned in their own nation's literature of primacy, I must begin by quoting Edward Bernays:

“The conscious and intelligent manipulation of the organized habits and opinions of the masses is an important element in democratic society. Those who manipulate this unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government which is the true ruling power of our country.” --- Edward Bernays, Propaganda, 1928, pg. 1. Cited in Zahir Ebrahim, The Mighty Wurlitzer, http://tinyurl.com/MightyWurlitzer

The necessity of maintaining and manipulating a public's ignorance and perceptions through self-indulgences, through deliberately dumbing them down with *bread and circuses*, though wholly self-evident today, was already well thought out at the very dawn of the industrial age in the early eighteenth century. Bernard de Mandeville in his famous classic *The Fable of the Bees*, observed:

“The economic well-being of the nation depends on the presence of a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long. Because men are naturally lazy they will not work unless forced by necessity to do so.” --- Bernard de Mandeville, The Fable of the Bees, 1705

That philosophy, to create "*a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long ... forced by necessity*” espoused in The
Fable of the Bees, inspired Adam Smith, the author of The Wealth of Nations, to propose the pursuit of selfish industriousness for the overall common good. Of course, common good primarily of the ruling class with trickle-down economics, but that's just buried in the Newspeak definition of common good where the common man labors hard all day long, and the elites enjoy the good. Patterned upon the bees collectively making that marvelous tasting honey for the enjoyment of the bears, each bee myopically and narrowly staying busy in its own specialized micro-task “content to labor hard all day long”, rests the entire edifice of modern civilization.

This philosophy of selfish myopic industriousness for common good has been very sagaciously adapted to the high-tech age of the Technetronic Era. Modernity requires rather high-tech specialized worker-bees, with the commensurate twist of creating educated morons with advanced university degrees who can very patriotically “United We Stand” for the common good while staying productively engaged in narrow specializations in the military-industrial economy! This man-made value system of human beings as economic widgets “content to labor hard all day long”, has today spread like a virus across the full gamut of gainful employment in the globalized corporate world, from blue collar to white collar, from traders to craftsman, from technicians to scientists, from superficial generalists to narrow-gauged specialists.

Kept perpetually too busy to either think independently, morally, holistically, and outside their parameters of narrow-gauge specializations by the sheer demands of having to pay their endless debt-bills in pursuit of their endless “American Dreams”, and conversely, by ensuring that a handful of the more successful and most intellectual ones are so generously rewarded and applauded for their narrow-gauge specializations that they become vested in their own successes continuing, statecraft today relies on inflicting The Fable of the Bees upon man for its own dystopian functioning. It is therefore no surprise that possession of technological information and technical skills to manipulate matter,
has been recast as profound human knowledge, and parrots and fools have been turned into learned savants.

A state of modern affairs which infects modern man quite democratically. We are, despite all the vast data on our fingertips in this Information Age, and despite all the sophistication of modern gadgetry, still living in the age of Jahiliya (ignorance)! This ignorance is by artful design in the industrious West, especially in the sole superpower, United States of America – as already examined by this scribe in his maiden 2003 book *Prisoners of the Cave* which analyzed the condition of mass ignorance among the people of the United States, keyed off from the blueprint for “imperial mobilization” outlined by Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski in his 1996 ode to American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives, *The Grand Chessboard*.

This state of affairs is not just due to the happenstance of knowledge explosion in modernity as Zbigniew Brzezinski would have the gullible thoughtlessly believe. Brzezinski had speciously observed in his earlier 1970 book “the threat of intellectual fragmentation, posed by the gap between the pace in the expansion of knowledge and the rate of its assimilation”, is what causes general myopia in the Technetronic Era. Well, such perception molding is very convenient to promulgate. It justifies, nay dignifies, the lack of awareness among the learned living in industrialized societies under great self-obsessions and unbridled self-indulgences.

That state of being enables keeping the public, even the most educated of the lot, quite ignorant of what really matters to statecraft: the absence of awareness among the worker-bees that they are really toiling for the bears, while they glorifyingly slave in their own narrow-gauge specializations for one motivation or another. Here is Zbigniew Brzezinski's sophistry which attempts to pseudo-philosophize the information explosion conversely impacting individual awareness and intellectual cohesion:

'... it can be argued that in some respects "understand-
The science explosion – the most rapidly expanding aspect of our entire reality, growing more rapidly than population, industry, and cities – intensifies, rather than reduces, these feelings of insecurity. It is simply impossible for the average citizen and even for men of intellect to assimilate and meaningfully organize the flow of knowledge for themselves.

In every scientific field complaints are mounting that the torrential outpouring of published reports, scientific papers, and scholarly articles and the proliferation of professional journals make it impossible for individuals to avoid becoming either narrow gauged specialists or superficial generalists. The sharing of new common perspectives thus becomes more difficult as knowledge expands; in addition, traditional perspectives such as those provided by primitive myths or, more recently, by certain historically conditioned ideologies can no longer be sustained.

The threat of intellectual fragmentation, posed by the gap between the pace in the expansion of knowledge and the rate of its assimilation, raises a perplexing question concerning the prospects for mankind's intellectual unity.' --- Zbigniew Brzezinski, Between Two Ages, 1970, pg. 15

Let me highlight the two key empirical observations from that aforementioned passage: “make it impossible for individuals to avoid becoming either narrow-gauged specialists or superficial generalists. The sharing of new common perspectives thus becomes more difficult as knowledge expands.”. The self-serving cyclic argument of Brzezinski is that firstly, ignorance about knowledge, due to the sheer
explosion in knowledge, is the natural outcome of scientific modernity. Secondly, that people can no longer easily reach a common “understanding” of their common condition. Both those observations are empirically true today.

But one can easily imagine an alternate modernity where that need not be the case despite the abundance of knowledge explosion. It was the corporatization of knowledge in the service of empire in the vast military-industrial-academe complexes of the industrialized world, and its tight coupling to the exercise of hegemony, that has made it so. Science and technology today equate with hegemony. Therefore, since the quest for hegemony is perpetual, its ultimate expression being world government, those pursuing science and technology have to continue passionately slaving in the service of empire as “narrow-gauged specialists” often unaware that their honey-pot is being harvested by the bears calculatingly funding the worker-bees.

Just as Matt Welsh, the Harvard Computer Scientists wanted to do by his own bold admission: “I get to hack all day.” as the principal reason to move to Google Labs.

How are such ardent worker-bees ever to find the pause for reflection on what they are doing while they are busy doing it? The incentives and motivations in this ecosystem naturally enable talented and passionate people like Matt Welsh, Eric Fossum, and the rest of Silicon Valley to create the larger dystopia in baby steps while pursuing their own selfish narrow interests.

It is a self-serving, self-sustaining game of flourishing myopia in this welcoming age of Jahiliya (ignorance) which feeds upon itself in a positive feedback loop. Anyone and everyone who has the natural talent is invited to become a zealous worker-bee with the promise of the honey-pot dangled at the end of the rainbow. The concomitant loss of “understanding” although a natural outcome of such myopic industriousness, isn't just incidental to knowledge explosion as Brzezinski has tried to portray it. It is in fact according to a premeditated plan, deftly
put into motion at the very onset of Western industrialization for the crafting and harvesting of “a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long.”

Such bold perception management via distortions and half-truths, and the “conscious and intelligent manipulation of the organized habits and opinions of the masses” which Edward Bernays empirically demonstrated in his own craftsmanship of Propaganda that is best described today as the many tunes played on *The Mighty Wurlitzer*, is at the very heart of what continually enables and sustains the elite's primacy dealings in “straight power concepts”:

'We have about 50% of the world's wealth, but only 6.3% of its population .... In this situation, we cannot fail to be the object of envy and resentment. Our real task in the coming period is to devise a pattern of relationships which will permit us to maintain this position of disparity without positive detriment to our national security. To do so, we will have to dispense with all sentimentality and day-dreaming, and our attention will have to be concentrated everywhere on our immediate national objectives. We need not deceive ourselves that we can afford today the luxury of altruism and world-benefaction .... We should cease to talk about vague and – for the Far East – unreal objectives such as human rights, the raising of living standards, and democratization. The day is not far off when we are going to have to deal in straight power concepts. The less we are then hampered by idealistic slogans, the better.' --- Top Secret Memo, underpinning of the *Truman Doctrine* for four decades, PPS No. 23, by George Kennan, Head of the US State Department Policy Planning Staff. Written February 28, 1948. Declassified June 17, 1974

The exercise of “straight power concepts” however require more than
just sustained propaganda. It requires social structures which enable the magnificent social engineers to engineer the public's consent for the misanthropic exercise of those very power concepts such that “a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long.”

Here is behaviorist and essayist Aldous Huxley explaining the reality of social engineering towards the “ultimate in malevolent revolution” for those unable to perceive it for themselves, in his talk at the University of California at Berkeley a half-century ago:

'You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.

And this is a problem which has interested me for many years, and about which I wrote thirty years ago a fable, A Brave New World, which is essentially the account of a society making use of all the devices at that time available, and some of the devices which I imagined to be possible, making use of them in order to, first of all, to standardize the population, to iron-out inconvenient human differences, to create so to say mass produced models of human beings arranged
in some kind of a scientific caste system.

And since then I have continued to be extremely interested in this problem. And I have noticed with increasing dismay that a number of the predictions which were purely fantastic when I made them thirty years ago, have come true or seem in process of coming true. That a number of techniques about which I talked seem to be here already. And there seems to be a general movement in the direction of this kind of ultimate revolution. This method of control by which people can be made to enjoy a state of affairs which by any decent standard they ought not to enjoy, the enjoyment of servitude, well, this process as I say has gone on for over the years.' --- Aldous Huxley, 1962 speech at UC Berkeley, minute 04:06

Among those societal structures and methods of control “by which people can be made to enjoy a state of affairs which by any decent standard they ought not to enjoy, the enjoyment of servitude,” is the obvious structure of inducements and rewards to play along. And the converse punishment, the marginalization and ostracization for challenging it. We see these incestuously self-reinforcing methods and structures straightforwardly in the incentivization to simply soldier on in the military-industrial-academe complex regardless of the consequences of one's labors and inventions. From Nobel Prize to induction into Inventors Hall of Fame, academic tenures to celebrity statuses, and the opportunities to “get to hack all day.” to vie for these few honey-pots, are all systems of entrapment and control which assist in that social engineering to militarize, scientifically organize, and standardize free societies for maximizing the exercise of “straight power concepts” both globally and domestically. Its natural culmination is a global scientific dictatorship of those at the top of the pyramid, managing a scientific caste system many elements of which are already visible in the 300 years old control modulus that is con-
tinually crafting “a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long.”

Staying morally and intellectually awake in this misanthropic ecosystem which by definition is threatening to “mankind's intellectual unity” as free human beings, but which can only thrive when its military-industrial complexes deal in “straight power concepts”, and which puts everyone greedily participating in that mal-constructed ecosystem into a zombie-like sleep-state in order to get them to incessantly endeavor as “narrow-gauged specialists” worker-bees enjoying their servitude in a positive feedback loop that only accelerates the same dystopian conditions generation after generation, one has to effectively sever that positive feedback loop.

As most competent engineers and physicists already know, a positive feedback path only culminates in an eventual cataclysmic explosion.

That is the path which puts science and technology in the service of empire – rather than humanity – just like the one Eric Fossum and millions like him have thoughtlessly been on for their own self-gratification.

Planned and engineered into practice on the blueprint of The Fable of the Bees.

What's the way out?

Is it rocket science to figure that out after all the preceding verbiage?

To reverse the march of modernity towards its premeditated global scientific dictatorship, conscious principled refrains and conscious principled deterrent acts, and not just mere nods to high mindedness, are necessary for its own harbingers.

It begins with the individual perceptively taking moral responsibility for his or her own passionate pursuits before sowing a fait accompli, for being holistically cognizant of how they earn their bread and butter before they let the genie out of the bottle, rather than piously mak-
ing a cleansing statement after the fact and moving on to more of the same: "I don’t really like this application of my technology. There is nothing I can do about it, I have now unloaded that on you, so thank you. We'll get back to the science and technology now, but I hope you also worry about these things and I especially hope you figure out what we are gonna do about this in the future.”

That is the path of principled refrain. Every individual is capable of such conscious acts of refrain – provided their moral clarity is not skin deep. Statement like: “But realization is not enough. It must become informed conviction based on personal study.”, that are made in lip-service and hypocrisy ex post facto, are the guiding beacon of moral conduct provided one has the ability to acquire that realization, and the ability to make a living in arenas not in conflict with these realizations. The modern scientific society wholly taken over by the misanthropic military-industrial complex and patterned upon The Fable of the Bees, is designed ab initio to preclude exactly these realizations when the worker-bees are in their most productive years.

The path of principled deterrence requires new negative feedback paths to be instantiated in this dystopian ecosystem – and that can only be effectively seeded by the same technocratic elite, like Dr. Eric Fossum.

Conclusion

The seduction of blind passions, be it between tragic lovers, or between Faust and its endless disciples, only culminates in abhorrence. The seduction of science and technology is even more pernicious because unlike ill-fated lovers instantly smitten on first sight, or Faustian bargains initiated with handshakes, this co-option occurs in small baby steps, one day at a time, one accolade at a time, and before one knows it, one has become an Eric Fossum. Evidently, it can also leave no permanent trace in the conscience which cannot be straightforwardly effaced by the cheapest perfumes of Arabia. The blueprint
patterned upon *The Fable of the Bees* is engineered to ensure that very co-option. To preempt that co-option requires a social awareness and unselfish priority to societal concerns which can usually only emanate among those not directly beholden to an empty stomach. Because the harbingers of this perverse ecosystem also understand the potential threats to their dystopia, they ensure its mitigation through perpetual debt-bills and taxation, fostering lack of awareness, and glamorizing and incentivizing selfishness when the worker-bee is in its most productive period.

**Short URL:**  http://tinyurl.com/Fable-of-the-Bees
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NASA in the Service of Empire

Was America's Moon Landing a Big Lie? How can one forensically investigate that question today?

Disambiguating Religion, Science and Psychological Warfare Operations

May 24, 2014

In an extempore letter to friends, activists, scientists and engineers, I wrote of my consternation:

FYI -

● http://www.hourofthetime.com/majestyt.htm

It is the work of William Cooper, author of that incredible book: Behold_a_Pale_Horse, which I had read a while back, but now, based on all that I have learnt over the years, I might read again. The PDF of
the book can be downloaded from that website as well. Behold a Pale Horse had confirmed to me many things which earlier authors whom I quote from often had written about, including Carroll Quigley, Bill Clinton's professor at Georgetown whom the incoming President after winning the elections in 1992, or was it after winning the Primaries at the Democratic Convention I forget, had credited as having influenced him greatly in his political development, etc. Carroll Quigley, part of the establishment and most respected teacher of generations of American diplomats groomed at Georgetown University's famous School of Foreign Service (http://sfs.georgetown.edu/careers/prominent/), had blown the lid of the drive towards world government in his 1966 twelve hundred page book Tragedy and Hope, which I have read.

The disturbing thing here is that what some of us have discovered on our own based on our own due diligence from source materials, and our own forensic analysis commonsensically putting it all together, is not only substantiated to some extent, but what this article indicates is that we have barely scratched the surface of how deep the rabbit hole goes --- a lot of the stuff in here I have no idea is true or false.

The article covers a very vast canvass. If anything is known to be false in it, please let me know. Note that it is always harder to prove things true, but far easier to falsify. Basic fact-checks can often reveal falsehoods easily, for instance. But truth is often difficult to "prove". This philosophical fact of the matter even forms the basis of the famous Occam's razor principle in science to construct what is called the scientific process. It is used to formulate the bare minimum and simplest possible axioms necessary for theorizing empiricism; assumptions or statements which cannot be proved to be true, but are presumed to be true with the possibility of falsification. The scientific axioms in the scientific process are held to be true until shown to be false. Precisely because proving the “truth” on fundamental fronts is always harder and often borders on beliefs.

The difference between that and religion is singular – religion permits
no falsification of its axioms, but scientific axioms are in fact contingent on their being falsifiable. And once deemed false, the axioms are abandoned, or circumscribed to their applicable limits as warranted. So far, everything I have punched into Google, or wikipedia from this article bears out that very point out --- that the “truth” of the matter cannot easily be proved on the topic at hand: the scientific evidence of America's landing of man on the moon in 1969 has not been preserved for any third party to adjudicate the claims of the United States Government as underwritten by its organization NASA (see below). Therefore, in its absence, the claims can only be falsified, unless that claim borders on religious faith! Is the belief in America's manned mission to moon in Apollo 11 a religion? And conversely, is disbelief in that narrative another religion? For a scientist passionate about his search for truth and inquiry, neither of these can be true.

Thus we, as passionate scientists, both as hard scientists of physical sciences, and as social scientists fully cognizant of all modalities of social engineering, proceed in examining the available empirical evidence with the fewest possible axioms none of which must hinge upon faith and belief in officialdom, or in its detractors' atheism. And therein the real difficulty commences:

- What is empirical data on which we make observations – how do we define data?
- Is it data that is born from official narratives?
- Is it data that is recovered from declassified documents?
- Is it the data that is easily accessible to scientists so that the scientific method can be applied to it?

At some point in that process of defining what is data, and specifically when data is not directly generated by the observing scientist, nor directly accessible to him, nor directly reproducible by him, axioms must come into play. Axioms that are reasonable assumptions and falsifiable. When this data impinges on social engineering however, a fuzzy “trust” factor gets coupled to the construction of the axiom, such as
trust in government, trust in its institutions, trust in its authority figures that they don't lie, all of which have the tendency to induce group-think which is more akin to religious beliefs than to falsifiable scientific axioms.

For instance, on the CIA memo reproduced by Cooper which speaks of using UFO/Aliens mantra for psychological warfare, a topic which I have analyzed and written about and reached exactly the same conclusions as independently reached by the article and confirmed by that CIA memo, I wondered who this Walter B. Smith, Director of CIA was. And O boy, wikipedia has a very intriguing bio such that the memo signed by him is entirely believable. But did General Walter Bedell Smith of the United States Army, as the Director of CIA between 1950 and 1953, indeed write such a memo which bears his name for secret communication or for later public consumption as a limited hangout? If the former, why on earth was it ever declassified and released to the public? This memo directly substantiates, for instance, all that I analyzed and concluded here a few years ago:

Caption CIA Document on using Flying Saucers phenomena for psychological operations (via hourofthetime.com). Full Text:

Memorandum To: Director, Psychological Strategy Board

Subject: Flying Saucers

1. I am today transmitting to the National Security Council a proposal (TAB A) in which it is concluded that the problems connected with unidentified flying objects appear to have implications for psychological warfare as well as for intelligence and operations.

2. The background for this view is presented in some detail in TAB B.

3. I suggest that we discuss at an early board meeting the possible offensive or defensive utilisation of these phenomena for psychological warfare purposes.

Walter B. Smith
Director
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3. I suggest that we discuss at an early board meeting the possible offensive or defensive utilization of these phenomena for psychological warfare purposes.

Walter B. Smith

Director

What the CIA memo's careful wording does not say is admit that the intelligence apparatus is itself constructing the flying saucers phenomena. But we already know even that to be true from the images of experimental flying aircraft built by NASA to look like UFOs and bearing US Air Force insignia from my aforementioned report.

Indeed, the long departed Director of CIA confirmed my analysis by writing this memo and the United States government again confirmed it by releasing it, as does this article by Cooper. But Cooper confirming it is one thing. Why would that intelligence agency want to lend confirmation to the world of what the skeptics have argued time and again that a mind-fck is in progress and also identified exactly its purpose, to cement world government? The fact that they are so full of hubris, that even smart people putting 2+2=4 together cannot really bother their cause, just like Carroll Quigley stated, that nothing can derail the drive to world government because a lot of it is already in place long before it was unveiled, but, even when it can matter, they can easily put anyone to sleep with the fishes --- William Cooper was shot to death by the cops in an "encounter"!

Who is to formally define for a society who is a bigger patriot: those who challenge the abuse of power or those who assist in that abuse? It is as convenient today to dehumanize, marginalize, and idiotisize the inconvenient patriot in order to get rid of him as yesterday. And to ensure that his memory also remains soiled among the masses, as a friend responding to this letter observed: “Interesting to note that the Wikipedia page on Cooper is grossly misleading in that it majors on his alleged belief in UFO's and extra-terrestrials as a reality, with no...
mention of the fact – as evidenced in this article – that he regards the phenomena are major tools in a vast hidden reality perception/mind control program. The page is protected and it is not possible to edit it without obtaining permission from its gatekeepers – why was I not surprised?”

Wikispooks, an alternative to Wikipedia for topics where the latter lends more sympathetic treatment to establishment's narratives and marginalizes the detractors, has a page dedicated to this topic with enough study links to keep insomniacs busy for quite a while (and it has sure taken up many hours of my leisure time on this most patriotic of weekends, the Memorial Day holiday in the United States of America):

- https://wikispooks.com/wiki/Moon_Landings_Hoax

Similarly, the discussion of moon-landing in Cooper's article is interesting in that same context, of principally enabling and legitimizing the story of Aliens can land on earth .... It had not ever occurred to me to think that way that moon landing could be faked, and I used to dismiss all these flat-earth society skeptics of "small step for man, giant leap for mankind” bit as fringe lunatics --- but based on my meagre understanding of physics, which isn't beyond college level taught in electrical engineering at MIT in course 8 and course 6, even if I could remember any of it, I cannot immediately see the flaw in the commonsense presentation by William Cooper of the thermodynamics of the Apollo space suit. Can you? In the sunlight and shadow areas on the moon surface, the temperature differential was confirmed by the NASA environmental systems test engineer for the Apollo mission in the documentary Moon Machines (see Dave McGowan below, cited in part-9): “You can go from +250° F down to -250° F, and it can happen just as you cross the line of a shadow … so you can instantaneously go from one extreme to the other and have like a 500° F change.”

How did NASA ever engineer the space suit thermodynamics to handle that 500° F instant temperature differential on the moon sur-
face with the 1960s technology? This is clearly an easily falsifiable proposition even today.

But what is more disturbing is that such a Big Lie, if it is so, like 9/11, can stand among the world's physicists!

So I am sure this explanation must be wrong --- please show me where it is wrong... my mind stopped practicing physics beyond 9th-10th grade level after 9/11 when "bad Muslims" broke all the laws of physics with their terrorism of taking out the WTC towers.

A well known electrical engineer, Phil Karn, KA9Q, debunker extraordinaire of the skeptics of moon landing, ( see Debunking crackpot science, http://ka9q.net/crackpots/ ) has the following statement on the front-page of his website as the Quote of the Day to express the same suspension of the laws of physics, but in both cases: “Science flies you to the moon. Religion flies you into buildings. --Victor J. Stenger”. But the bearer of this famous call sign KA9Q, for those of us in electrical engineering and not unfamiliar with that name, is hardly a dunce. As he describes himself: “Space telecommunications was one of the things that inspired me to get into ham radio (I was first licensed in 1971), earn two college degrees in electrical engineering (Cornell University in 1978 and Carnegie Mellon University in 1979), and ultimately a rewarding career in the communications and computer field.”

( See http://ka9q.net/papers/mobility.html , and https://www.fas.org/irp/congress/1997_hr/h970320q.htm ).

That brilliant mind of KA9Q, formerly of Bellcore, who subsequently led Qualcomm Inc. in developing the cell phone technologies mankind so loves today, and even advocated for civilian cryptography in a testimony before the US Congress by most eloquently challenging the establishment's drive to squelech it, unfortunately, like most brilliant scholars and scientists of America, also bought into the moon landing narrative. Just as he evidently also bought into the 9/11 narrative (if one judges by his Quote of the Day).
Perhaps KA9Q is still mulling over the latter as he hasn't offered any debunking that I can see of the 9/11 skeptics who equally come in all flavors, noise, red herrings and all, but for the Apollo skeptics however, this talented and passionate engineer was most daring to challenge its most idiotic flat-earth society type useful idiots and the obvious disinfo artists who make unsubstantiated claims of free energy, UFO technology, etc. In legitimately debunking this crowd of conspiracy theorists who are pre-designed to exist in America's free society in order to lend cover to its *Plausible Deniability* (NSC 10/2) Executive Order (and its still classified successors) – and who, as useful idiots, as Machiavellianly advocated by Cass Sunstein, president Obama's information tzar and former academic of Harvard university, in his social sciences paper titled *Conspiracy Theory*, introduce “beneficial cognitive diversity” into the empire's narrative space with meaningless but plausible sounding gibberish to diabolically defocus attention from the handful of real intelligent skeptics who might forensically question and unravel empire's criminal covert-ops and big lies before its shelf-life was over – Mr. KA9Q easily dismissed all skepticism of the topic by arguing his imposing credentials in radio engineering.

Unfortunately, like in the brilliant Nazi Third Reich in yesteryear, the American scientists, engineers, technicians, scholars, artists, poets and playwrights et al. today, right alongside *hoi polloi* who are known to be easily amenable to propaganda, also *United We Stand* with their own empire by the demonstrated absence of any forensic thinking and skepticism to the narratives of their ruling power. This despite making great claims to possessing superlative brains and technical genius. I wonder how KA9Q might explain William Cooper's most basic observations on the astronaut suit and counter my proof, which I am willing to argue in any court of law and before any legal body that will hear it, on 9/11 being a Big Lie (see last link below)? I hope KA9Q will gladly lend his phenomenal brains here because mine is stumped on this moon landing question.

What mistakes is Cooper making in his analysis of why he thinks the
lunar landing was faked? I mean this is totally off scale and I am now sitting here feeling stupid, either because I can't tell what's wrong with his accurate description of heat, vacuum, and heat exchange which should have fried the astronauts in those suits to a temperature higher than shish-kabobs (despite going to MIT), or, that why did my mind not try to observe those same things myself and these had to be pointed out to me by someone like Cooper who isn't even a technician?

I am embarrassed. Because, like everyone else, I had just assumed that I was not watching a movie.... even though, I knew, for instance, that Stanley Kubrick was shooting Arthur C. Clarke's book 2001: A Space Odyssey as a movie at the same time and there have been long running rumors that some of the moon-landing images shown to the world on worldwide television were shot on his film set.... similar rumors were tickled by the James Bond movie Diamonds are Forever. I never pay attention to rumors, but I thought I did pay attention to science.... evidently not! It never really struck me to even imagine that the moon landing could be a Big Lie – until now!

While in search of understanding more of these real questions on the moon landing skepticism posed by rational people rather than dismiss it all (as I had previously done) due to the crackpot flat-earth society and other disinfo con-artist graduates of MK ULTRA style mind control programs pitching variations on the aliens/ufo/free-energy themes to discredit all skeptics by association with even the subject of questioning the official narrative, I came across yet another incredible compilation of commonsensical observations. It is: Wagging the Moondoggie, by Dave McGowan, a multi-part forensic and rational examination of the Apollo programme which is not rooted either in flat-earth society or in alien technology:

- http://davesweb.cnchost.com/Apollo1.html

Like William Cooper, Dave McGowan also has me stumped on some of his most basic observations, while some other stuff he writes is provocatively imaginative and purely speculative. In fact, on a second
careful read of McGowan, there is only excellent analysis and well argued imaginative skepticism, which really ought to have come from the men and women of America's science but instead emanates from the commonsense reasoning skills of an un-indoctrinated ordinary man in search of truth! Can the men and women of science who proclaim the same values address his commonsensical observations which also bother me? And like the Wikispooks page on Moon_Landings_Hoax where both Dave McGowan and KA9Q are cited as counterpoints to each other, Dave enlightens us that all 13000 tapes of the Apollo moon landing mission are missing! There is reportedly no record of the telemetry data, video transmission data, and other technical mission data from mankind's historic, one and only, journey to the moon? See news reports in the Appendix below.

How can one validate the marvelous claims made by NASA and the US government if one cannot examine those raw video footage said to have been beamed from the surface of the moon? In 22-page report titled The Search for the Apollo 11 SSTV Tapes, John M. Sarkissian, Operations Scientist, CSIRO Parkes Observatory, 12 May 2006, states in the Executive Summary:

“For the past several years a group of dedicated former Apollo 11 personnel have been searching for the original magnetic data tapes that contain the high quality Slow-Scan TV of the Apollo 11 EVA.

This report is a detailed justification of their efforts to date. In summary, the key points are:

● In July 1969, three tracking stations received the TV signals of the historic Apollo 11 EVA. They were the DSN 64 metre antenna at Goldstone, California, the MSFN 26 metre antenna at Honey-suckle Creek, Australia, and the 64 metre CSIRO Parkes Radio Telescope in Australia.

● The TV signals transmitted from the Moon were
high quality Slow-Scan TV (SSTV).

- When received on Earth, they were scan-converted to the commercial TV standards before being broadcast to the public at large.

- The scan-converted TV signals, from each of the three stations, were then relayed via landline, microwave relays and geostationary satellite to Houston before being released to the TV networks for general broadcast.

- The signal, as sent from the Moon, was initially degraded by the scan-conversion process, producing lower resolution images and introducing additional signal noise. Also, the transmission of the scan-converted TV to Houston caused additional signal degradation. This lower quality TV is currently all that is available of the Apollo 11 EVA.

- The SSTV was of superior quality to the scan-converted pictures viewed by the world.

- As the raw SSTV signals were received at the three tracking stations, they were recorded onto 1-inch magnetic data tapes. Following the EVA, procedures required that these tapes be shipped to the Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC).

- In 1970, the tapes were placed in the US National Archives in Accession #69A4099. By 1984, all but two of the over 700 boxes of Apollo era magnetic tapes placed in the Accession, were removed and returned to the GSFC for permanent retention. These tapes are now missing.

- These missing data tapes include the raw Apollo 11 SSTV tapes. For the past several years, a search
for these tapes has been undertaken by several former Apollo 11 personnel. To date, no Apollo 11 SSTV tapes have been found.

- When the tapes are found, it is hoped to recover the original, high quality SSTV of the first lunar EVA and to release it to the public for the first time.

- The Data Evaluation Lab (DEL) at the Goddard Space Flight Center is the only known place that has the equipment and expertise to playback the tapes and to recover the data.

- The DEL is slated for closure in October 2006.

- Efforts are underway to assure the future of the DEL (the critical hardware located in the DEL that would be required for tape evaluation and processing is being removed and retained through the efforts of the former Apollo engineers).

- It is vital that the DEL (or some elements of it) remain open and functional, otherwise none of the Apollo data tapes can ever be played back and the historic information recovered.

- This report details the reasons why the search for the tapes was undertaken, how much better the SSTV was to the scan-converted TV and the progress of the search to date.”


How bloody convenient that no one can access this data today!

The world is invited to take it on faith alone that this data ever exis-
And the photographs provided by NASA to foster that belief, as is noted in my second letter in the Appendix below, of these pictures having been taken on the moon surface, have the unmistakable artifacts of studio lighting. From a photographer's eye, which I do have a technical eye for if not a wholly aesthetic one that I admire in the most talented photographers, it is impossible to light some of these scenes without secondary lighting assist, active and passive. So what real evidence is left behind for man's journey to the moon if the photos cannot be authenticated to the level necessary to stand as evidence in a court room? The “moon dust”? And the “moon rocks”? The evidence is surely inconclusive based on just these two artifacts. These could have been salvaged from meteorite showers on earth someplace ---- how can a scientist know for sure, apart from religious faith in empire which hands these out? The scientist who first predicted the presence of moon dust, the late professor Thomas Gold at Cornell university, was evidently given a sample of it for examination. He isn't here with us today for me to go ask him these questions. See letter to Dave McGowan in Appendix.

This missing Apollo mission evidence that now precludes forensic examination of the tallest claim of man to have reached the heavens, which I presume, were it available, could have been authenticated as coming from 234,000 miles away from the moon surface and not synthetically generated from simulation on the earth's surface (as an aside, even while being only an ordinary engineer, I understand this domain of simulation to the level of being a professional for which corporations paid me good monies to ensure that even the most complex chips actually functioned as specified, and I can therefore speak as an expert witness on this subject of the intractability of separating simulated data from empirical data if one wanted to deceive as in a Big Lie), is exactly similar to how all the 9/11 evidence was quickly removed and destroyed, the air traffic controller tapes erased, and the melted steel and other concrete debris from the WTC crime scene immediately carted away to China and other remote places for perman-
ent disposal, which similarly precludes forensic examination of the greatest crime scene in man's recorded history.

With no hard evidence preserved from the WTC crime scene for forensic analysis as is the norm for crime scenes, or preserved from the Apollo mission as would be expected of such a monumental achievement for posterity to examine, to analyze, to learn from, and to marvel at, just like the Apollo mock-ups are preserved in the Smithsonian museum to awe future generations, how can technical investigators and scientists today, and in the future when the American empire no longer wields its fiat of absolute power and hungry historians congregate to either dismantle or eulogize its achievements, adjudicate the claims on either of these two most monumental events in American and world history? That will remain the victor's history once again – a history fashioned in my own lifetime.

I guess the dilemma is best summed up by a slight modification to the Quote of the Day used by KA9Q on his website: “Mind control flies you to the moon, or into buildings, as needed for patriotism. -- Zahir Ebrah". And in its juxtaposition to the original version: “Science flies you to the moon. Religion flies you into buildings. --Victor J. Stenger”

Which one of the two empirically captures the reality at hand in its entirety?

How can it be validated, or disproved, with the consistency of empiricism and logic, and outside the parameters of faith, religion, public relations, and name-calling? There is no hard scientific data left to examine in either case. So the examination must be, both in its big picture as well in its details, entirely forensic, logic driven, and holistic, such that 2+2 equal 4 on all fronts along all axes with the consistency of empirical observations that we each can make today. And for that to happen in any practical way, one needs to understand one's own axioms and presuppositions first. These can often be subconscious. If one starts with faith in empire, then like faith in one's religion that one
grows up in, even the most diligent study will often culminate in re-
newing faith in empire and its holy narratives in the best example of
incestuous self-reinforcement. If one starts as an agnostic however,
and permits one's own discoveries to inform one's judgment, perhaps
that is really the only honest pursuit of truth discovery, forensic ana-
lysis, and objective science. Is that method really practiced however,
or even feasible in order to be successful as a scientist, engineer,
scholar, or any technician of empire who is funded and honored by the
establishment? A pursuit which remains cognizant of, and is not im-
pervious to, the social sciences and human control under which it
functions, of engineering consent, of making the public mind, of what
is funded and what isn't, of what is rewarded and what isn't, of what is
self-policed in expectations of continuing in the profession and what
is silenced by contract, of how Machiavelli and self-interests actually
work together to create both social acceptance and funded projects, is
yet to be seen in modernity.

Recall Plato's Myth of the Cave. It was, after all, the evil scientists
who, under orders from evil controllers outside the cave, were project-
ing the images on the inside walls of the underground cave to manipu-
late and control the public watching the scenes. As Plato argued 2500
years ago, and his argument still stands today, it is studied across the
social science spectrum in as many languages throughout the world,
that no one in the audience can figure out the truth or falsity of what is
projected on the screen to the five senses, without ascending to the
level outside the cave! I suppose a pragmatic approach to this conun-
drum for detectives of integrity and honesty of purpose is to start as an
agnostic. That is necessary, but not sufficient initial condition. A lot
more has to come into play in order to go against the grain --- which is
what must happen when systems of control which fund and seek pre-
determined outcomes are in place, and you as the detective wants to
figure out what that control fabric is. The fabric is usually transparent
for normal pursuits.

But when orchestrating a Big Lie, as we can all immediately grasp
from the CIA memo admitting of covert psychological operations upon the public mind in secrecy, surely only the masterminds can fully understand all of its sub components and the complex inter-relationships among them, making it an uphill battle for the forensic detective.

Under this reality space of the history of the Mighty Wurlitzer playing the world for a fool (see below), the genuine patriot, uninfected by misplaced faith in his government and its perception managers, must now be more like Sherlock Holmes in seeing and quantifying the unseen forces in order to unravel the whole reality of the matter, rather than like the daft Dr. Watson in eloquently expounding merely what is already visible and presupposing it all to be true.

Unfortunately, the challenges besetting today's Sherlock Holmes are a tad more formidable than in the nineteenth century. Apart from official narratives of the crime and the crime scene, there is not much of any actual evidence preserved for forensic examination. Furthermore, to continue with that detective analogy, the police hierarchy, as part of empire's officialdom, are inimical to any investigation which might unmask the true culprits or lead to conclusions identifying any other culprit from the officialdom's version, and run copious interference by laying out false clues, propaganda systems, conspiracy theories, and adverse press marginalizing any skepticism of authority. Even the field of psychiatry is enlisted to define a new mental illness in the latest release of classification of mental illnesses and their treatments called DSM (Diagnostic and Statistics Manual of Mental Disorders): “oppositional defiant disorder” exhibiting a pattern of “negativistic, defiant, disobedient and hostile behavior toward authority figures” and therefore, a threat to themselves and to society, and consequently to be put away as a potential “domestic terrorist”. Under these adverse conditions, today's Sherlock Holmes not only has to make forensic sense of the matter, but in order to do so with any degree of confidence in the scientistic method, must first adjudicate what is real evidence and what are baseless clues and deliberate red herrings.
ring back to 9/11 to illustrate the point, we already know that no debris of 9/11 demolitions is preserved to send for forensic examination to check for “gun powder” residues and other fingerprints which might reveal who might have had access to such “gun powder”. And lend clues to how it was carried out with that “gun powder” thus leading to a narrowing down of the list of suspects who may have had the expertise, the means, and the opportunity, to execute such feats of destructive engineering as was witnessed for WTC-1, WTC-2, and WTC-7 on September 11, 2001.

Evidently, the same travesty magically transpired for the manned mission to the moon --- all real evidence of the transformative feel-good one-upmanship event during the height of America's barbaric Vietnam War, itself is destroyed leaving behind only pious narratives. In a previous analysis of 9/11 evidence by its prominent skeptics offering alternate theories, I had highlighted the intractable epistemological problem of defining what is real evidence and what are false clues, red herrings, and unauthenticated plausible sounding narratives claimed as evidence, when such evidence intersects with the construction of the Big Lie. See excerpt below.

Few hard scientists, to my great chagrin, and to the world's much greater loss, actually understand the real art and science that underwrites the making of the public mind with the Big Lie; the price paid for narrow-gauge over-specialization into niche fields by experts, or fast-talking broad generalization as in the journalism profession with no depth and understanding of any matter. Self-interest and “United We Stand” to authority easily follow in its wake, easily accomplishing Shakespearean self-deceit which evidently succeeds more readily in the reality of imperial funded science, academic and social respectability, lucrative membership in academies, and Nobel prizes, than in the idealistic fable of Lady MacBeth's overly troubled conscience. There is evidently no troubled conscience in any empire. This was demonstrated most persuasively both by Operation Paperclip in 1945 which brought the Nazi scientists over to the new American empire; and by
Hannah Arendt in 1963 in trying to explain the *Banality of Evil* which caused six million Jews to be so easily burned to ashes and grounded into soap ingredient by the most sophisticated civilization of Nazi Germany, the torch bearers then of the Hellenic Civilization, in a Holocaust so unparalleled, that the founding director of the Holocaust remembrance museum in Washington DC likened it to “when something was revealed in the Sinai”; the cataclysmic event now untouchably trademarked by the Jews which is why I have capitalized its spelling as a proper noun.

Well, here (see excerpt below) is the fundamental problem of defining evidence when the evidence no longer exists; it applies as much to the Holocaust, as to 9/11, as to Apollo, as to any monumental and cataclysmic event, past, present, and future, that is axiomatically used to create an “industry” and “religion” around it which is diabolically harvested for mobilizing public opinion for political purposes. All three listed events fall in that category! In fact, the shrewd historians and academics will immediately see, whether or not they will admit it, that many moving historical events have been packaged in precisely that way by the villainy of ruling power that is able to pen and pass on the dominant narratives. The sanctioning of mainstream Christianity by Roman emperor Constantine which still underwrites all available books of the New Testament regardless of denomination and sect; to the sanctioning of mainstream Sunni version of Islam by the Muslim emperors of Abbasside Caliphate; to the sanctioning of Shia version of Islam by the prodigious Shia clergy which tolerates dissent with its axioms even less than the Sunni clergy; to the sanctioning of the Holocaust narrative which even has legal entitlement for dissenting view to state hospitality centers in most of Europe and Canada, and minimally, marginalization and loss of livelihood in the United States; to the latter day narrative of 9/11: all fall on that same distorted contour of social engineering. The impact of such sanctioning of narratives, without exaggeration, remains unparalleled in society in contrast to all other forces of making the public mind. Not only is an indi-
individual's rational and logical skepticism both politically and socially forbidden in the group-think where these narratives wield real social and political power --- it does not look good on one's resume, nor helps in one's career --- but its pernicious impact on fabricating a living reality of false and misconstrued history which irrevocably feeds both the ethos and the scholarship of endless generations to come, is unsurpassed. The truth of this self-evident sociological phenomena is examined in:


I received a few replies in response to the earlier version of this letter that tried to dissuade me from pursuing this investigation further. A fellow Jewish activist compatriot who runs a very popular alternate news website even wrote me: “This crap is planted by the government on the internet to make us all look like idiots.” Unfortunately, I am really like the inquisitive child when asked not to do something, and never having fully grown up to obey authority figures or observe political correctness, I make it a point to do precisely that. The sociologist par excellence, Hannah Arendt, even in her grave, would surely smile at me --- I would save six million Jews from certain annihilation by not being like Adolf Eichmann who famously proclaimed at his trial in Jerusalem in his own defence for his “United We Stand” with the axioms of the Third Reich: “I was only following orders”.

So surely will my cognitive science professor of psychology at MIT, the late Steve Chorover, who introduced me to Hannah Arendt in his class on behavior control in course 9, also be proud of me for endeavoring to overcome my own Banality of Evil by not staying silent in the face of absurdities upon absurdities being foisted on the public mind. Of all the diverse education I received at MIT, I have Steve Chorover to thank the most for my fearless disobedience to gratuitous authority despite the recent modifications to the DSM manual of psychiatry. Professor Chorover was surely not consulted for that update. (http://bcs.mit.edu/people/chorover.html)
But in my continuing education in America, though mostly imperfect, I have also learnt a great deal from the sobriquet of the State of Missouri, the “Show-Me” state. Missouri legend attributes the phrase to Missouri's U.S. Congressman Willard Duncan Vandiver, who served in the United States House of Representatives from 1897 to 1903. While a member of the U.S. House Committee on Naval Affairs, Vandiver attended an 1899 naval banquet in Philadelphia. In a speech there, he declared, "I come from a state that raises corn and cotton and cockleburs and Democrats, and frothy eloquence neither convinces nor satisfies me. I am from Missouri. You have got to show me." -- as noted in the Missouri state's history archives: http://www.sos.mo.gov/archives/history/slogan.asp

Begin Excerpt


[The question of] evidence, and the separation of real evidence from the attendant noise, some of it deliberately fabricated noise as red herrings. This point about evidence and false clues being fabricated and put in place to mislead real investigators requires some elaboration.

There is a fundamental issue here, namely, that of layers of deception to mask both the methods and the culprits of 9/11. Deceptions in who dunnit is already obvious. [4] Deception in the method of executing 9/11 by the perpetrators; deceptively removing the crime scene and destroying all evidence in the name of cleanup before any forensic study could be performed or evidence preserved for later forensic examination; deception in the myriad cover stories to mask how it was done; deception in misleading and/or concocting any and all investigations spanning the gamut from the official 9/11 Commission and the official NIST studies to the so called private investigators from the academe and from among the activists; have all muddied up the waters by each insisting that their evidence-set and their explanations are
the most accurate 'truth'. And what's the best way to obfuscate even honest thinking civilians looking at whatever is available from the photographic evidence and the dust field? Fabricate evidence and leave a whole string of false clues behind.

In this maze of layered deceptions, it is not always obvious what is real evidence, what is cover story, and what is the deception-spin by the Mighty Wurlitzer's agents and assets (see A Note on the Mighty Wurlitzer - Anatomy of Modern Propaganda Techniques [5]). Anyone can write anything. Anyone can publish a book. Anyone can doctor photographs. And anyone can publish a scientific paper on Bentham Open for $800 in the name of “peer review”. I had checked this out myself a while back. Which peer reviewed publication asks for money? Heck, anyone can publish even junk science, from false theories to utter rubbish, in respectable peer reviewed science journals (see Reflections on Science in the Service of Empire [6]). And of course, Galileo was not published in his time – meaning, real truth which goes against the ruling interests is a rare commodity in public discourses. Especially, when it pertains to such a crime as the New Pearl Harbor the unraveling of which goes against the state's agendas. Such truths, for one thing, cannot be easily ferreted out, and for another, cannot be easily vented without systematic demonization, and ultimately, assassination.

Therefore, it is easy to suggest look at evidence. But when the Mighty Wurlitzer and his minions in the academe, media, and in “truth” investigations teams are at work, just to figure out what is evidence and what are false clues can be a formidable challenge for genuine detectives. And when the pursuit is taken over by faux detectives whose only purpose is to mislead real detectives by introducing what Cass Sunstein called “beneficial cognitive diversity”, the problem is compounded. Perhaps even made intractable and unamenable to a solution in a time frame that is meaningful to preventing faits accomplis. 150 years later, just as today even sixth graders learn how the natives were exterminated from the America's with biowarfare and smallpox, our
progeny may also study how 9/11 was executed in their junior-high history books with a clarity that is unavailable to the best detective today.

Therefore, for those attempting to study 9/11, it is primarily a forensic case for a Sherlock Holmes and a Hercule Poirot who can draw on expert opinions as pertinent and set aside other expert opinions as false, rather than some simplistic noble minded (and Nobel minded [2]) scientists and self-ascribed scholars of truth assuming that the only thing false about 9/11 was the false-flag operation of demolishing the towers, but everything else is straightforward including the “evidence”. Nothing is straightforward. A criminal mind that can plan and execute the 9/11 as 'Operation Canned Goods' for creating the pretext for “imperial mobilization” is certainly also diabolically smart enough to realize that it also would require cover stories and the subsequent spins, including leaving a trail of enticing red herrings right at the crime scene. If an overzealous detective picks up one or more of these red herrings as if they are real clues, and creates his erudite analysis on this “evidence”, you know where he ends up – in the woods! No pun intended.

Having accurate evidence to base subsequent rational analysis on, is the sine qua non of getting useful and real scientific results which are un-biased, un-agendist. Therefore, keeping in mind that if one is interested in fabricating conclusions for hidden motivations, always, almost always, faulty evidence has to be employed and passed off as real evidence, followed by faulty logic and specious reasoning to reach the pre-determined conclusion. Therefore, the emphasis on acquiring un-tempered and genuine data followed by correct reasoning process cannot be over emphasized. Those employing the former used to be called “sophists” in ancient Greece, but today, I'll just straightforwardly call them prostituting for empire to cause them maximum offense.

End Excerpt
Anyone with a half-decent criminal mind possessing even an iota of understanding of the forensics of criminal investigations and how not to get caught, would have done precisely what is described above, removed the evidence and replaced it with false clues and copious plausible sounding bullshit narratives. More so if the criminal minds also wielded the benefit of the fiat of absolute power to: decide what is fact and what isn't, controlled all agencies and investigative bodies with access to the crime scene to affect disposal and obliteration of actual evidence, plant new evidence, and orchestrated all government funded scientific bodies such as NIST, news agencies, and supra-national agencies like the UN, to tow the establishment's line on the definition of what is evidence and what is conspiracy theory. The precise modality, of how it actually transpires easily pulling in well-intentioned functionaries of empire right alongside the mercenaries of empire, is detailed in my report on *The Mighty Wurlitzer*:


Similarly, some of the embedded links in Cooper's article lead to even more incredible information, as for instance, on ADL. I had never known that history of the founding of B'nai B'rith, the parent of the Anti Defamation League. And there is a lot more. Today, how can one ever confirm any of those facts which Cooper brings forth, as a forensic detective hired to do so? Who has the time and the patience for due diligence to read all those books which Cooper evidently read? I can personally attest to the time and energy it takes to undertake these studies based on my own effort over the past several years. It is simply enormous.

What disturbs me the most at this moment is that I cannot find any problem with Cooper's deconstruction of the astronaut suit and why what has been shown to the world simply cannot be true. What am I missing here? Why does it appear accurate? Can you please comment on that part as its driving me up the wall! Keep the definition of the Big Lie given by Adolf Hitler in Mein Kampf in mind. It is repro-
duced here:


This letter has been updated from the original version to reflect what I have learnt over the past few weeks of studying what others have written: just more consternation that I cannot sensibly answer the question posed in the title. The many parallels observed with the Big Lie of 9/11 are too uncanny to simply dismiss as “coincidence” for even the best of scientific minds in America's top brand academic institutions and Ivy Leagues who almost always “United We Stand” with their empire in no less, and no different, a measure than the brilliant scientists of the Third Reich, virtually all of whom were brought to America in 1945. As already noted above, Operation Paperclip protected these pious savants from the military war crimes tribunals at Nuremberg under victor's justice. They were offered the opportunity to run America's science and technology in exchange for pardon, and often under new identities.

The brilliant scientists of America today, I am sure, look forward to the same compassion to be shown to them under any future victor's justice, now that the great American precedent has been most persuasively set on the point of the bayonet of its military tribunals for all future modernities to follow.

Perhaps that will be a moot point for the duration of one-world government, an endeavor towards which all Western scientists and engineers, professors and scholars, technicians and politicians, whether knowingly or unknowingly, are working assiduously.

That public knowledge evidently bestows no public or private shame on American academe and its bright technological innovators in its vast military-industrial complex who happily continue to build the Technetronic Era boldly treading in the legacy of Wernher von Braun, the “Father of Nazi Rocket Science”, to become the “Father of American Rocket Science” at NASA, and posthumously to become just
“Father of Rocket Science”: “Once the rockets are up, who cares where they come down?”

What does it matter to these brilliant scientists and engineers if it is a global police state dystopia that they wittingly and unwittingly design and construct, so long as they can passionately pursue their own profession and narrow self-interests?

Their passion for science and the concomitant closing of their eyes, evidently necessary to stay funded and well-respected, surpass MacBeth's Shakespearean counsel to Lady MacBeth: “Be innocent of the knowledge”!

These technicians of empire are each amply rewarded for their passionate pursuit of science in the service of empire, and the heroes among them anointed as the “Father of the Rocket” in their respective domains!

If this cold observation is hard for American scientists and engineers to digest in their self-righteous indignation, witness this honest American professor, Eric Fossum, the “Father of the CMOS Camera”, defying Shakespearean self-deception and knowingly ushering in Big Brother in The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction of Science and Technology:


Enjoy the disturbing/fascinating read of Cooper's work which will likely confirm your own due diligence on at least some topics, but also send you off into the rabbit hole without a paddle for others. Dave McGowan's *Wagging the Moondoggie* deserves a wider read among the science officialdom, especially among the men and women of integrity who are not obviously in on the game. Perhaps they are both wrong --- Show-Me under the Falsifiability of Occam's razor principle of having the simplest axioms that are falsifiable by the scientific method of observation, analysis, and prediction. But wherein the observation and analysis must also include the social science of
engineering consent and making the public mind as is examined in this overly verbose missive.

As Mark Twain famously stated, paraphrasing: I would have written a much shorter letter, but I didn't have the time.
Appendix Missing Apollo Tapes

NASA can't find original moon landing tape

Reuter News Service | August 14, 2006

WASHINGTON -- The U.S. government has misplaced the original recording of the first moon landing, including astronaut Neil Armstrong's famous "one small step for man, one giant leap for mankind," a NASA spokesman said today.

Armstrong's famous space walk, seen by millions of viewers on July 20, 1969, is among transmissions that NASA has failed to turn up in a year of searching, spokesman Grey Hautaloma said.

"We haven't seen them for quite a while. We've been looking for over a year and they haven't turned up," Hautaloma said.

The tapes also contain data about the health of the astronauts and the condition of the spacecraft. In all, some 700 boxes of transmissions from the Apollo lunar missions are missing, he said.

"I wouldn't say we're worried -- we've got all the data. Everything on the tapes we have in one form or another," Hautaloma said.

NASA has retained copies of the television broadcasts and offers several clips on its Web site.

But those images are of lower quality than the originals stored on the missing magnetic tapes.

Because NASA's equipment was not compatible with TV technology of the day, the original transmissions had to be displayed on a monitor and re-shot by a TV camera for broadcast.
Hautaloma said it is possible the tapes will be unplayable even if they are found, because they have degraded significantly over the years -- a problem common to magnetic tape and other types of recordable media.

The material was held by the National Archives but returned to NASA sometime in the late 1970s, he said.

"We're looking for paperwork to see where they last were," he said.

NASA loses Moon landing tapes

Agençe Presse-France 16 August 2006

WASHINGTON, NASA no longer knows the whereabouts of the original tapes of man’s first landing on the Moon nearly 40 years ago, an official of the U.S. space agency said on Tuesday.

“NASA is searching for the original tapes of the Apollo 11 space-walk on July 21, 1969,” said Ed Campion, a spokesman for NASA’s Goddard Space Flight Centre in Greenbelt, Maryland, a Washington suburb.

The tapes record the famous declaration of Apollo astronaut Neil Armstrong, the first man on the Moon, as he set foot on its surface: “That’s one small step for [a] man; one giant leap for mankind.”

The original tapes could be somewhere at the Goddard centre or in the archives network of the American space agency, Campion said.

The search for the tapes began about a year and a half ago when the Goddard Space Flight Centre’s authorities realised they no longer knew where they were after retired employees asked to consult them.

Armstrong, the first human to walk on the Moon, was the commander of the first U.S. lunar mission aboard the Apollo 11 capsule, with astronauts Michael Collins and Buzz Aldrin, the latter of whom is also a member of the COSMOS Editorial Advisory Board.

Armstrong’s landing on the Moon’s surface on 21 July 1969, was watched live by millions of television viewers worldwide.

The original tapes of the Apollo 11 mission were recorded at three tracking stations: Goldstone in California and, in Australia, by Honeysuckle Creek Tracking Station and Parkes Observatory in New
South Wales state.

They were then sent to the Goddard Space Flight Centre, which transferred them to the National Archives in late 1969. Later, NASA asked to recover the tapes and that is where the trace disappeared.

“A search is being planned, aimed at finding what happened to the Goddard-recalled Apollo 11 mission data tapes,” Campion said. The search effort involves sifting through 30-year old records and contacting retired Goddard personnel, he added.

The task is challenging. Richard Nafzger, a Goddard engineer, said there were 2,612 boxes of tapes that NASA believes are related to the space missions, including the Apollo 11 mission. The boxes were returned to the space agency between 1970 and 1975.

With about five tapes in each box, “you are talking 10,000 to 13,000 tapes in the boxes,” Nafzger said in a teleconference. The data tapes included one track for video images and other tracks of information like the astronauts’ heartbeat, voice and biomedical tracking data, he said.

“We are tracking paperwork to see if it’s in a storage facility outside of Goddard, possibly at Goddard,” the engineer said. --- http://cosmosmagazine.com/news/nasa-loses-moon-landing-tapes/
Moon landing tapes got erased, NASA admits

Reuters Thu Jul 16, 2009

WASHINGTON NASA released the first glimpses of a complete digital make-over of the original landing footage that clarifies the blurry and grainy images of Neil Armstrong and Buzz Aldrin walking on the surface of the moon.

The full set of recordings, being cleaned up by Burbank, California-based Lowry Digital, will be released in September. The preview is available at www.nasa.gov.

NASA admitted in 2006 that no one could find the original video recordings of the July 20, 1969, landing.

Since then, Richard Nafzger, an engineer at NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center in Maryland, who oversaw television processing at the ground-tracking sites during the Apollo 11 mission, has been looking for them.

The good news is he found where they went. The bad news is they were part of a batch of 200,000 tapes that were degaussed -- magnetically erased -- and re-used to save money.

"The goal was live TV," Nafzger told a news conference.

"We should have had a historian running around saying 'I don't care if you are ever going to use them -- we are going to keep them'," he said.

They found good copies in the archives of CBS news and some recordings called kinescopes found in film vaults at Johnson Space Center.

Lowry, best known for restoring old Hollywood films, has been di-
gitizing these along with some other bits and pieces to make a new rendering of the original landing.

Nafzger does not worry that using a Hollywood-based company might fuel the fire of conspiracy theorists who believe the entire lunar program that landed people on the moon six times between 1969 and 1972 was staged on a movie set or secret military base.

"This company is restoring historic video. It mattered not to me where the company was from," Nafzger said.

"The conspiracy theorists are going to believe what they are going to believe," added Lowry Digital Chief Operating Officer Mike In-chalik.

And there may be some unofficial copies of the original broadcast out there somewhere that were taken from a NASA video switching center in Sydney, Australia, the space agency said. Nafzger said someone else in Sydney made recordings too.

"These tapes are not in the system," Nafzger said. "We are certainly open to finding them."

Appendix Open Letter

Tue, May 27, 2014 at 10:53 AM

Dear friends, colleagues, activists, teachers, professors, scientists, and news editors,

I am sure I will incur the wrath of many of you when I bring this new find to your attention. As I have unflinchingly demonstrated over the years, I am not afraid of adverse public opinion, nor do I seek anyone's approval, and nor do I have any agenda apart from figuring out the reality I live in which is perception managed to the level of a mind-fuck, meaning, rape of the public mind. I have already previously demonstrated two Big Lies by the United States government for seeding “doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” in order to enable and sustain “imperial mobilization”. These are words of Zbigniew Brzezinski from The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives.

The first determination of the Big Lie being 9/11, the second being the flying saucers phenomena. The former is conclusively underwritten by the logic which falls out from empiricism. The latter is underwritten by declassified documents. Neither of these two deconstructions require a Ph.D. in nuclear physics to parse and dismantle --- for it takes no more brains, commonsense, and absence of presuppositions and blind faith in the narratives of power than is required of any sensible jury to adjudicate on any complex matter in America's finest legal system. The jury is required to hear both sides of the argument. The state side has spoken. The other side has thus far been replete with red herrings and bullshit which has buried the real analysis under gratuitous labels of kookish conspiracy theory.

Given that I have seen two Big Lies in my own lifetime, I am not
shocked entirely to discover that a possible third Big Lie may also exist. But its determination is entirely inconclusive for me. My mind simply cannot get around it, nor my limited skills able to penetrate the thick web of narratives which evidently surround it. I cannot access any original untampered data first hand to analyze and to adjudicate. Photographs can be tampered easily which is why they are not acceptable as evidence in a court room unless accompanied by some proof of authenticity. I can only go by sensible questions that arise from making forensic observations on the prima facie evidence, and the pattern of incongruity that emerges from it is disturbing.

Therefore, I wish to bring this issue to a wider audience who are far more literate, scientific, and analytically skilled than I am. Please take a look at this new possibility of yet another Big Lie hiding in plain sight --- the Apollo moon landing. Idiots and lunatics pushing free energy, aliens, and other imbecile mantras need not apply.

Some of you received an earlier version of this in email letter. I also wrote to the two scholars who are mentioned in the article, and unfortunately I cannot write to the soul of the one not with us today whose eloquent description has me stumped. Was he just a paranoid delusional militia-man as projected by the mainstream news? May be he was, I don't know, I did not know him. But the observations he brought forth must still have a rational answer in order to reject them, or accept them.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

California
Appendix Letter

Wed, May 28, 2014 at 4:05 PM

To: Dave McGowan

Dear Dave, Hello.

I just wanted to write you a personal note to thank you for your analysis in *Wagging the Moondoggie* which is simply mind blowing. You have me stumped by many of your observations. I don't know how to address them. I just wanted to suggest a few observations of my own:

1) The photographs released by NASA and displayed on your website appear to me to be staged in studio lighting conditions just as you point out. I am also an amateur photographer from my college days. But that isn't necessarily a clincher for studio photography on a set vs. onsite lunar photography, because, as one can reasonably argue, they could have had passive reflectors for lighting off of the main lighting source. Funny why no one has made that argument among the debunkers as yet! I can create many of the lighting artifacts you point out from passive reflectors. That argument is not necessarily conclusive that it is studio photography [or that it is not studio photography, in the absence of authentication]. But I have an explanation of the dark skies which lends additional weight that these are shot not on location on the moon surface, but in studio. That has to do with astronomy.

The constellations in the night sky look different from earth, and from every point on earth, than from the moon. Not having photographed the skies over the moon before [specifically from the geo-location of Apollo 11's landing site], if they were to show the night sky from the earth, it would be the proof sufficient that these images were not shot from the moon surface but from earth surface. There is software avail-
able today which you can use to study the constellations and what the skies looked like at any time in the past from any longitude/latitude on earth. The entire [night] sky has been mapped out from earth, including incorporation of precession. This knowledge is not new but ancient, and makes the [night] sky from any location on earth an ABSOLUTE reference point on earth for all time, of where the earth is in its rotation around the sun, and in its precession cycle, and in its wobble cycle of its own axis. Anyway, none of these rocket scientists and social engineers are amateurs, and therefore, if they were making these studio shots to simulate moon surface, for whatever reason, they could not show the night sky from the moon because they don't know what it looks like [Any attempt to show the night sky captured from anywhere on earth as if it was from the moon, I presume, would be the incontrovertible smoking gun that no astronomer could get out of.]

2) One could argue that the photographs were a hedge, and does not necessarily mean that the Apollo mission did not go to the moon. This is where the more technical science part must come in, which is what I am interested in. Specifically, as Cooper has pointed on the thermodynamics of the space suit, and as you have pointed out of the necessity of it being able to cool and heat both, as the astronauts move in and out of sunlight and shadows, for a temperature differential of over 500F, makes me wonder about this myself.

3) So many things you have pointed out along the technical aspects of the journey, from radiation protection to the incredible fact that this feat of journey to the moon with the 1960s technology has not being replicated over the past forty years despite the exponential advances in science and technology, and that NASA itself states it would take three times as long than before to get to the moon, bothers me. I have no satisfactory answers.

4) The link you provided, I think in part-5, to Professor Thomas Gold, the a-biotic oil theory gadfly from Cornell, I traced him down to his obituary: http://www.news.cornell.edu/stories/2004/06/thomas-gold-cornell-
astronomer-and-brilliant-scientific-gadfly-dies-84

And it states the following:

"He was right again in 1955 when, as one of the commanding lunar researchers of the era, he suggested that the moon's surface was covered with a fine rock powder, a view opposed by many of his scientific colleagues. He was not vindicated until the first moon landing in 1969, when the Apollo 11 crew brought the first sample of lunar soil back to Earth. Gold was one of the 110 scientists in the United States and abroad to receive the soil for analysis, and the researchers concluded that the soil on the lunar surface is indeed powdery. Its darkness, they said, is explained by a very thin coating of metal on each individual grain, caused by the penetration of the solar wind. (Gold played an important role in Apollo 11 in another respect: He designed the stereo camera carried on the lunar surface by the astronauts.)"

I cannot easily reconcile a gadfly scientist of that calibre to be faking his analysis of the moon-dust. You stated this of him yourself: http://www.davesweb.cnchost.com/Apollo5.html

"Before bidding adieu, I have one final note to add: a certain Dr. Thomas Gold was an early skeptic of the feasibility of landing on the Moon. He made headlines prior to the alleged flight of Apollo 11 when he predicted that any attempt at a Moon landing would be disastrous. NASA, of course, purportedly proved the good doctor wrong."

Was he [Dr. Thomas Gold] in on the scam too? Why on earth for? He'd be the first one to scientifically see through the deception if the moon-dust, which he is stated to have examined, was from earth! The obvious epistemological problem here is, how would any scientist know that the artifact brought from the moon is actually from the moon, since there is no reference to compare it to. One would have to assume, as a matter of Occam's razor principle of having the fewest axioms which are assumed true unless falsified, that what is being
handed them by the government was indeed from the moon. How could a scientist tell that it not some volcanic ash from some hitherto undisclosed sub-terrain geological find? If one wanted to deceive, then it is easy to do so because of that presupposition!

right?

Dave, thank you again for your rational and logical persistence in seeking out these anomalies.

Best wishes,

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

**Short URL:**  http://tinyurl.com/NASA-Moon-Landing-Big-Lie

**Source URL:**  http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2014/05/was-americas-moon-landing-big-lie.html

First published May 24, 2014
Chapter 31

Technology in the Service of Empire: TSA Obedience Training at American Airports

Body-scan Alert - Not Suffering Indignities at Airports

Dear American & International traveler friends,

Please pay URGENT attention to this:

- Airport screeners get more aggressive with pat-downs; [1]

- TSA agents gone wild: fondling little children, planting cocaine
in passenger bags and more; [2]

- TSA Groping Out Of Control; [3]
- Hands off, buddy – we're Americans; [4]
- Don't Touch My Junk; [5]
- Miss USA Susie Castillo: My TSA Pat Down Experience; [6]
- Documents Reveal TSA Research Proposal To Body-Scan Pedestrians, Train Passengers, Forbes; [8]
- Radiation scientists agree TSA naked body scanners could cause breast cancer and sperm mutations; [9]
- Amy Alkon: “YOU RAPED ME.”; [10]
- Amy Alkon: TSA agent Thedala Magee's demands me to pay her $500K, apologize to her, and take down my blog item about her; [11]
- Elie Mystal: Two Minutes of Terrorist Triumph: Alone With the TSA; [12]

And this:

- Get the facts about Advanced Imaging Technology (http://dontscan.us/scans.html)

Please click on the link above to download the *Know Your Rights at Airports Brochure* from dontscan.us. If you don't like these images, you should be aware that according to the brochure, you have been generously afforded the right to say NO to body-scan with the supposed “I Opt-out” utterance.

Should you sensibly choose to exercise that right, then you have to deal with the possible trauma described in one of the links above which states: 'TSA agents stand accused today of fondling the genitals of women and little children as part of their “enhanced pat-
down” procedures being rolled out at airport security checkpoints.'

The brochure imaged below further carries the following warning:

'Pat downs are no longer the simple search like those in the past. In some instances, as part of an “enhanced patdown,” the TSA screener may use the front of their hands to touch your genitals. Should you feel that you or your child were inappropriately touched, call for a law enforcement officer.

If presented with the option to leave the checkpoint for a private screening, consider politely declining with a “No, thank you,” as you will likely be asked to remove your clothing. Pat downs can be performed in public view.'

Please study this carefully. This is unbelievable!

If you are like me, then my attitude is that before submitting to pat-down and after having uttered the formulaic “I opt-out”, coldly warn that nice-looking TSA agent getting ready to frisk you that he better not touch your and your family members' private parts and if he intends to, that you want to immediately see the manager/supervisor in-charge before subjecting yourself to pat-down physical search.

And, if you are still more like me, you will likely boldly add within earshot of others waiting in line to experience the same traumas, that you will NOT subject yourself to humiliation by either undergoing strip-search which is what the body-scan reduces to, or gross physical pat-down of your anatomy and the violation of your personal rights guaranteed you by the Constitution. And that while you know the TSA is merely doing its job to earn its paycheck just like the 'Good Germans' once did in the Third Reich, you are concerned for your own rights and privacy and you wish to take preventive action now rather than lament later!
I would personally make a calculated, un-emotional, carefully chosen, perhaps pre-rehearsed, loud statement of rights and dignity before I am humiliated, rather than doing it afterwards emotionally, when crying and talking to CAIR/ACLU/clergy and filing meaningless complaints serves little to mitigate the traumas – for indeed, it is only the un-courageous silent acquiescence to tyranny which creates all this erosion of rights and liberties in the first place. I did that once before, entirely un-rehearsed, and this is what I have advised my own family to remember – teaching by example rather than academic punditry – their old man's stance at the front door on those two occasions in April 2003 when the nice boys from the FBI had come calling looking for “terrorists” in our home in California: They dared to Knock on my door!

And I am still here. And so long as I have the choice, I refuse to suffer indignities, either in Pakistan my home country where it is far more common to be feel up at every damn checkpoint and roadblock, or in the United States where I live. When I won't have the choice, I don't know what I will do – perhaps nothing different form the vast 'untermensch' now bearing the brunt of “imperial mobilization” from Pakistan to Palestine. Every day I and my loved ones escape that fate, I offer a prayer of thanks!

If you are really like me, then you too will be boldly civic minded before you lose that choice. You too will daringly protect your own inalienable rights and your own dignity – no one else will do it for you.

Before the day comes when everyone is asked at gun-point every few hundred yards, “your papers, your papers please, show me your papers”, and one's refusal then is rewarded with a bullet lodged into one's brain, it is surely a tad easier to stand-up now with the little show of courage that it takes, before the indignities of these government mandated TSA searches.

An excellent illustration of how to do this with dignity, poise, and resolute firmness is in the experience of a recent traveler in his pre-
Thanksgiving week journey through an American airport. The traveler, Matt Kernan, meticulously reported his encounter in his blog article 'My TSA Encounter' where he narrates:

'I certainly don’t enjoy being treated like a terrorist in my own country, but I’m also not a die-hard constitutional rights advocate. However, for some reason, I was irked. Maybe it was the video of the 3-year old getting molested, maybe it was the sexual assault victim having to cry her way through getting groped, maybe it was the father watching teenage TSA officers joke about his attractive daughter. Whatever it was, this issue didn’t sit right with me. So, since I had nobody waiting for me at home and no connecting flight to catch, I had some free time. I decided to test my rights. After putting all my stuff through the x-ray, I was asked to go through the Backscatter. I politely said that I didn’t want to. The technician quipped to his colleague, “We’ve got an opt-out.” They laughed. He turned back and started to explain. After he finished, I said, “I understand what the pat-down entails, but I wanted to let you know that I do not give you permission to touch my genitals or the surrounding area. If you do, I will consider it assault.” ... I was never touched, I was never “Backscattered,” and I was never metal detected. In the end, it took 2.5 hours, but I proved that it is possible. I’m looking forward to my next flight on Wednesday.' -- 22 Nov 2010

http://noblasters.com/post/1650102322/my-tsa-encounter

Click on the image below to watch video reports of how some other Americans are reacting
Caption What Went Wrong In The Land of The Free – Standing For Airport Indignities: In bra, panties and wheelchair, Tammy Banovac goes through Oklahoma City airport screening on 30 Nov 2010

The AP news report of November 15, 2010, *Scanners and pat-downs upset airline passengers* (cached here), narrated the story of Americans' rising outrage:

'Nearly a week before the Thanksgiving travel crush, federal air security officials were struggling to reassure rising numbers of fliers and airline workers outraged by new anti-terrorism screening procedures they consider invasive and harmful.

Across the country, passengers simmered over being forced to choose scans by full-body image detectors or probing pat-downs. Top federal security officials said Monday that the procedures were safe and necessary sacrifices to ward off terror attacks.
“It's all about security,” Homeland Security Secretary Janet Napolitano said. “It's all about everybody recognizing their role.”

Despite officials' insistence that they had taken care to prepare the American flying public, the flurry of criticism from private citizens to airline pilots' groups suggested that Napolitano and other federal officials had been caught off guard.

At the San Diego airport, a software engineer posted an Internet blog item saying he had been ejected after being threatened with a fine and lawsuit for refusing a groin check after turning down a full-body scan. The passenger, John Tyner, said he told a federal Transportation Security Administration worker, “If you touch my junk, I'm gonna have you arrested.”

Tyner's individual protest quickly became a web sensation, but questions also came from travel business groups, civil liberties activists and pilots, raising concerns both about the procedures themselves and about the possibility of delays caused by passengers reluctant to accept the new procedures.

There are some 300 full-body scanners now operational in 60 U.S. airports. TSA is on track to deploy approximately 500 units by the end of 2010.

The Harvard Crimson reported on December 03, 2010, Harvard Students Sue TSA Over 'Intrusive' Searches:

'Two Harvard Law School students filed a lawsuit against the Transportation Security Administration for its use of “intrusive” full body scanners and pat down procedures late last month.

Jeffrey H. Redfern and Anant N. Pradhan, both
second year Law School students, allege that the scanners—which generate images of travelers’ bodies—and the TSA’s “enhanced pat down” technique which requires “the touching of their genital areas” are a violation of the Fourth Amendment protection against unreasonable search and seizure, according to the complaint filed on Nov. 29 at a U.S. District Court in Boston.

Currently, passengers are permitted to opt out of a full body scan, but upon doing so must submit to an enhanced pat down.

Both Redfern and Anant opted out of the full body scan while traveling on separate occasions and found the pat down “highly intrusive,” according to the complaint.

Remember, TSA is authorized by the Homeland Security, which in turn is authorized by the US Government, to subject its denizens to this – TSA is not doing it on their own. It draws its rights from the Government, which at least in the lofty American Republican theory, draws its rights from the people. It isn't clear to me whether deliberately hiring sexual perverts into TSA and calculatingly seeding the traumas noted in the first link above, is part of the unwritten coercion policy of the Government to get objecting peoples to accept the body-scan! It just seems extraordinary to me that without some tacit support from higher-ups, that anyone in their right mind would do such molestation in such a public place with everyone watching.

**This is a commonsense public service message from Zahir Ebrahim, Project Humanbeingsfirst.org**

Please circulate it, specially to your young family members and womenfolk who travel. It does not matter that they might be travelling in
the company of their adult male family members – perverts and absurdities do not discriminate between sexes and ages, nor does tyranny.

Just imagine the entire body-scan scam failing before it gets off the ground due to every civic-minded law-abiding courageous American declining all indignities in the name of enhanced security – their own, from big brother!

The UK and EU Airports shall not escape such draconian procedures either unless good peoples silently complying with state-tyrannies in Europe also stop doing so in significantly large numbers. This report headlined 'Muslim woman barred from flight after refusing body scan' in the UK Telegraph of March 03, 2010 states: 'A Manchester Airport spokeswoman said: “Two female passengers who were booked to fly out of Terminal 2 refused to be scanned for medical and religious reasons. In accordance with the Government directive on scanners, they were not permitted to fly.”'

Despite the real fear of being labeled as suffering from an “oppositional defiant disorder” as per the newly revised DSM IV psychiatric manual (see Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory), and the concomitant threat of being legally confined to 'state-hospitality' centers for rehabilitation, or worse, being labeled “domestic terrorist” as per big-brother's newly enacted police-state laws to maintain domestic tranquility in the face of rising public discontent, the price to be paid now to challenge the grotesquely Orwellian Western society while it is still in its infancy, is minuscule compared to what our progeny will face! A new generation born into a mature system of big brother in the West won't know the difference – unless their parents' generation takes a cross-Atlantic stand now while the Orwellian World Order is still only being birth-panged into existence under the pretext of fighting the War on Terror: What is War on Terror?.

Please read the CFR's April 1974 disclosure “Hard Road to World Order”, to hear it from the horse's mouth concerning the nature of this
World Order and how it must be deceptively instrumented, piece-meal: “In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.”

Here is a CliffsNotes version which deconstructs that diabolical planning for those weaned on 15-second attention spans and unable to digest too much information in one sitting: Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order by Zahir Ebrahim. And here is a detailed tutorial that demonstrates the hideous existence of long-entrenched establishment forces arrayed against the peoples of the United States of America and which transcend the changing occupants of the White House and the Congress: Tutorial: The Un-Hidden Agenda for World Government by way of 911 and Imperial Mobilization By Zahir Ebrahim.

EPIC, the civil liberties research center in Washington, D.C., has acquired Homeland Security Documents under FOIA, including this 173 page ominous report dated July 12, 2006, which reveal phased proposals to Body-Scan pedestrians, rail passengers, with rapidly emerging Electromagnetic technologies. It should not be surprising if the next thing to be revealed as part and parcel of fighting terrorism will be their deployment at shopping malls, Safeway, Macys, and schools! Meanwhile, the latest in terrorist detection has already been revealed by Der Spiegel, to be those wearing a Casio watch: “US interrogators at Guantanamo Bay identified potential terrorists by the watch they wore on their wrist.” Evidently, “The Watch Points to Mecca”, and is “Used to Detonate Bombs”!

Given such overwhelming agendist forces at play, resisting both the deadly body-scan as well as the vile patdown intrusions at airports, may indeed appear to be an insignificant challenge.
However, the import of this challenge in firing up the imagination of a significant percentage of the public if the draconian and nonsensical security measures are successfully thwarted even at a single airport, can be immense. Recall that the active participants in the American Revolutionary War were only 3% of the colonist population! The Western states being ubiquitously governed by brilliantly psychopathic minds, also realizing that such small defeats can quickly snowball into larger defeats with the possibility of widespread domestic revolts, will surely try to make an example of those resisting the body-scan (see video report by CNN's Meredith Jessup in Postscript below)! In the United States, it is already frowned upon in some places to quote its Constitution chapter and verse even in one's own self-defense (see: Postscript ‘War on Terror’ is not about ‘Islamofascism’ – Please get with the real agenda you people!).

Therefore, also be forewarned that if you sensibly choose to act in your and your progeny's own best interests, it may not be a free ride. You may be denied boarding, or even arrested.

**Summary**

If you have to travel but don't wish to be part of the new nude morality of the United States that is trying hard to keep America safe from the ubiquitous Islamofascist terrorist, you still have some liberating choices in personal freedoms left:

1. **'To opt out for a pat down, say “I opt out.”’**

2. **'Warning: Pat downs are no longer the simple search like those in the past. In some instances, as part of an “enhanced patdown,” the TSA screener may use the front of their hands to touch your genitals. Should you feel that you or your child were inappropriately touched, call for a law enforcement officer. If presented with the option to leave the checkpoint for a private screening, consider politely declin-**
ing with a “No, thank you,” as you will likely be asked to remove your clothing. Pat downs can be performed in public view.

(3) **Warning2:** Should you sensibly choose to exercise that right, then you have to deal with the possible trauma of being groped and molested: "TSA agents stand accused today of fondling the genitals of women and little children as part of their “enhanced pat-down” procedures being rolled out at airport security checkpoints.'

(4) **Warning3:** Should you sensibly choose to quote your inalienable rights guaranteed by the Constitution to protect yourself and your loved ones from these vile indignities, you may have to deal with being labeled a “domestic terrorist”!

(5) Based on this escalation path of making the price of discontent progressively costlier and costlier, the vast majority will simply choose the body-scan. That appears to be the strategic thinking behind these enhanced pat down procedures and the occasional case of vile molestation which will be widely reported!

(6) But if you choose to bravely stand up for yourself by not standing for any of these vile indignities, you may not only get away with having a pleasant journey, you will also be assisting in patriotically extracting your nation from the rapidly closing jaws of the *Brotherhood of Death.*

**Footnotes**


Postscript-1

Jeffrey Goldberg confirms the aforementioned summary, especially item-5, in the Atlantic, October 29, 2010, 'For the First Time, the TSA Meets Resistance'

“I asked him if the new guidelines included a cavity search. "No way. You think Congress would allow that?"

I answered, "If you're a terrorist, you're going to hide your weapons in your anus or your vagina." He blushed when I said "vagina."

"Yes, but starting tomorrow, we're going to start searching your crotchal area" -- this is the word he used, "crotch" -- and you're not going to like it."

"What am I not going to like?" I asked.

"We have to search up your thighs and between your legs until we meet resistance," he explained.
"Resistance?" I asked.

"Your testicles," he explained.

'That's funny," I said, "because 'The Resistance' is the actual name I've given to my testicles."

He answered, "Like 'The Situation,' that guy from 'Jersey Shore'?"

Yes, exactly, I said. (I used to call my testicles "The Insurgency," but those assholes in Iraq ruined the term.)

I pointed out to the security officer that 50 percent of the American population has no balls (90 percent in Washington, D.C., where I live), so what is going to happen when the pat-down officer meets no resistance in the crotchal area of women? "If there's no resistance, then there's nothing there."

"But what about people who hide weapons in their cavities? I asked. I actually said "vagina" again, just to see him blush. "We're just not going there," he reiterated.

I asked him if he was looking forward to conducting the full-on pat-downs. "Nobody's going to do it," he said, "once they find out that we're going to do."

In other words, people, when faced with a choice, will inevitably choose the Dick-Measuring Device over molestation? "That's what we're hoping for. We're trying to get everyone into the machine." He called over a colleague. "Tell him what you call the back-scatter," he said. "The Dick-Measuring Device," I said. "That's the truth," the other officer responded.

The pat-down at BWI was fairly vigorous, by the usu-
al tame standards of the TSA, but it was nothing like the one I received the next day at T.F. Green in Providence. Apparently, I was the very first passenger to ask to opt-out of back-scatter imaging. Several TSA officers heard me choose the pat-down, and they reacted in a way meant to make the ordinary passenger feel very badly about his decision. One officer said to a colleague who was obviously going to be assigned to me, "Get new gloves, man, you're going to need them where you're going."

Jeffrey Goldberg offers the following summation of lessons learned. He is right on the money on the first two. But he misses entirely on his third lesson, demonstrating his deep axiomatic faith in the establishment's core-narratives du jour. See the Conclusion section which provides my own commonsensical summation that these "terrorist plots" are themselves a fabrication.

“I draw three lessons from this week's experience: The pat-down, while more effective than previous pat-downs, will not stop dedicated and clever terrorists from smuggling on board small weapons or explosives. When I served as a military policeman in an Israeli army prison, many of the prisoners "bangled" contraband up their asses. I know this not because I checked, but because eventually they told me this when I asked.

The second lesson is that the effectiveness of pat-downs does not matter very much, because the obvious goal of the TSA is to make the pat-down embarrassing enough for the average passenger that the vast majority of people will choose high-tech humiliation over the low-tech ball check.

The third lesson remains constant: By the time terror-
ist plotters make it to the airport, it is, generally speaking, too late to stop them. Plots must be broken up long before the plotters reach the target. If they are smart enough to make it to the airport without arrest, it is almost axiomatically true that they will be smart enough to figure out a way to bring weapons aboard a plane.” ---


Note: The image above is for illustrative purpose only. It is NOT from an AIT scanner.

Postscript-2

Statement of Enhanced Pat-downs from TSA's own BLOG

'You may have read about TSA implementing enhanced pat downs as part of our layered approach to security. Using the latest intelligence, TSA constantly updates our screening procedures to stay ahead of those who wish to do us harm and keep the skies safe for the flying public. When developing our security procedures, we use input from across the agency, including our Offices of Intelligence, Privacy, and Civil Rights and Liberties.

To add some perspective, TSA has used pat downs since our agency started federalizing checkpoints in 2002. They’re an effective way of helping us keep dangerous items such as weapons or improvised explosive devices off of planes.

So, what might cause you to receive a pat-down? Passengers may receive a pat-down in a number of cir-
cumstances: to resolve an alarm at a walk-through metal detector; if an anomaly is detected during screening with advanced imaging technology; or during random screening. Passengers who opt out of enhanced screening such as advanced imaging technology will receive an equivalent level of screening to include a thorough pat-down. Remember, you can always request to be screened in a private area.

You shouldn’t expect to see the same security procedures at every airport. Our security measures are designed to be unpredictable and are constantly assessed and updated to address evolving threats.' --- http://blog.tsa.gov/2010/08/enhanced-pat-downs.html

Postscript-3

A closer look at an Image from the AIT (Advanced Imaging Technology) Body-scan machine vs. photoshop
Caption The “Dick-Measuring Device”. Portrait of an Artist, Self-Image by John Wild taken with Backscatter X-ray full-body scanner at Manchester Airport UK.
Chapter 31

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

(Image Source)

Caption What can the 'naked' scanner really see? A fabricated image depicting a fabricated threat in this fabricated War on Terror (Image original Source, the image has now been removed)

Click here and here to verify that the image is fabricated; here to understand that terror threats are fabricated; and here to confirm that the War on Terror is also fabricated ab initio.

And you might sensibly well ask WHY?

Why do they need this 'War on Terror', the constant terror alerts, the myriad other global threats and crises – from financial to pandemic to global warming – all of which “look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality,” to
literally bring mankind to its knees in submission?

Well, let's gallantly permit the elites' own mouthpiece, the Financial Times, to inform one in their own eloquent words:

'I have never believed that there is a secret United Nations plot to take over the US. I have never seen black helicopters hovering in the sky above Montana. But, for the first time in my life, I think the formation of some sort of world government is plausible. A “world government” would involve much more than co-operation between nations. It would be an entity with state-like characteristics, backed by a body of laws. The European Union has already set up a continental government for 27 countries, which could be a model. The EU has a supreme court, a currency, thousands of pages of law, a large civil service and the ability to deploy military force. So could the European model go global? There are three reasons for thinking that it might. First, it is increasingly clear that the most difficult issues facing national governments are international in nature: there is global warming, a global financial crisis and a “global war on terror”. ' --- Gideon Rachman, And now for a world government, Financial Times, December 8 2008

Postscript-4

What the officials say about anonymity and storage of body-scan images

The UK Telegraph of March 03, 2010 cited above which reported the two female passengers being barred from flight after refusing body scan on religious and medical grounds, also reported from the
Manchester Airport spokeswoman:

"Body scanning is a big change for customers who are selected under the new rules and we are aware that privacy concerns are on our customers' minds, which is why we have put strict procedures to reassure them that their privacy will be protected."

The UK Telegraph further stated:

'Last month, Transport Secretary Lord Adonis stressed that an interim code of practice on the use of body scanners stipulated that passengers would not be selected "on the basis of personal characteristics". He stressed that images captured by body scanners are immediately deleted after the passenger has gone through and that security staff are appropriately trained and supervised.'

The following video of the trialling of the body-scan machine at Manchester Airport is revealing of the official UK Government position:
The Manchester Airport Spokesperson Sarah Barrett in the above video stated the following:

'Firstly, we have made sure it's safe. So it's been certified by the National Radiological Protection Board. There are part of the Health Protection Agency. And they are the people who certify medical equipment, medical x-rays fit for use. So they have given it their stamp of approval.

We have also made the process completely anonymous. So you will see from the images, you cannot, it is impossible to recognize an individual's face from the
They are not photographic images. They don't show skin color, they are not erotic, they are not pornographic, completely anonymous.

The operator viewing the image sits remotely, in a different part of the airport. They don't sit in the public search area, and the machine in which they are viewing the image doesn't have the capability to store images.

So the images can't be stored, you know recorded, forwarded on to anybody. They are literally on the screen for a few seconds and then they are off.

... We want to give our customers the experience that they want. We know they don't like security at the moment. They don't like the physical pat down. They tolerate it, because they want to be safe. But a lot of them are telling us that they would welcome an alternative. And that's why we are trialling this technology.'


As if the Image from the “Dick Measuring Device” in Postscript-3 above and mainstream news reports aren't prima facie evidence of the disaffirmation of the official narratives of the in-capabilities of the AIT body-scanners, the following US headline 'Feds admit storing checkpoint body scan images' in CNET News of August 4, 2010 is outright evidence of the official deployment of public-deception alongside the body-scanners:

'For the last few years, federal agencies have defended body scanning by insisting that all images will be discarded as soon as they're viewed. The Transporta-
tion Security Administration claimed last summer, for instance, that "scanned images cannot be stored or recorded."

Now it turns out that some police agencies are storing the controversial images after all. The U.S. Marshals Service admitted this week that it had surreptitiously saved tens of thousands of images recorded with a millimeter wave system at the security checkpoint of a single Florida courthouse.' -- http://news.cnet.com/8301-31921_3-20012583-281.html

While EPIC has filed a lawsuit to suspend the deployment of body scanners at US airports, they are up against the empirical state policy to create police-state USA to protect Americans from terrorists, like the UK and the EU. Therefore, all organs of state officially support that policy. Hence, EPIC's principled arguments will most assuredly remain ineffectual in practice:

'On July 2, 2010, EPIC filed a petition for review and motion for an emergency stay, urging the District of Columbia Court of Appeals to suspend the Transportation Security Administration's (TSA) full body scanner program. EPIC said that the program is "unlawful, invasive, and ineffective." EPIC argued that the federal agency has violated the Administrative Procedures Act, the Privacy Act, the Religious Freedom Restoration Act, and the Fourth Amendment. EPIC cited the invasive nature of the devices, the TSA's disregard of public opinion, and the impact on religious freedom.' --- http://epic.org/privacy/body_scanners/epic_v_dhs_suspension_of_body.html
Postscript-5

Miss USA Susie Castillo Sexually Assaulted TSA Style – Dallas Airport April 21, 2011

Update June 15, 2011: Susie Castillo is taking her challenge to the next level: Former Miss USA, Ralph Nader, Privacy Advocates Fight Full Body Airport Scanners and Invasive Pat-Downs, http://www.-democracynow.org/2011/6/13/former_miss_usa_winner_and_ralph

Excerpted From Susie's BLOG:

'My TSA Pat Down Experience

Dear Friends,

To be quite honest, I almost didn't post this video and blog because I kept asking myself, "Am I just being a baby?" I’m also not one to stir up controversy. In fact,
I do my best to live a very positive and healthy life. However, in the situation I’m about to describe, I felt truly violated and believe I should let my voice be heard. Ultimately, I hope others will do the same. Mahatma Gandhi famously said, “Be the change you want to see in the world.” So, that’s what I’m doing by sharing this experience:

On my overnight, 11-hour flight back to Los Angeles last week after hosting the red carpet premiere for “Fast Five” in Rio De Janeiro, I connected in Dallas Fort Worth (DFW) where I had the misfortune of being selected to go through one of those full body scanners that emits radiation. Now, if you don’t fly very often, you might not worry about it. But I’m a frequent flyer and don’t wish to be irradiated more than I already am on flights (we get a pretty large dose of radiation while flying due to our proximity to the sun; the longer your flight, the more your radiation exposure) and in life in general (we’re exposed to radiation all day every day; it’s called “background radiation”). So I will always “opt out” of going through these body scanners, especially since the long-term effects of radiation are quite well documented: it mutates our cells, often in irreversible ways, and causes cancer. I’m all set with that!

Anyway, after “opting out,” I proceeded to follow a very nice older female TSA employee to the “pat down” area. It was an inconvenience, but I thought, “No biggie. I just went through this at LAX for the first time and it wasn’t too bad, so let’s just get it over with.”

Well, this pat down was completely different. It was MUCH MORE invasive than my first one at LAX,
just a week before. To say that I felt invaded is an understatement. What bothered me most was when she ran the back of her hands down my behind, felt around my breasts, and even came in contact with my vagina! Honestly, I was in shock, especially since the woman at LAX never actually touched me there. The TSA employee at DFW touched private area 4 times, going up both legs from behind and from the front, each time touching me there. Was I at my gynecologist’s office? No! This was crazy!

I felt completely helpless and violated during the entire process (in fact, I still do), so I became extremely upset. If I wanted to get back to Los Angeles, I had no choice but to be violated, whether by radiation or a stranger. I just kept thinking, “What have I done to deserve this treatment as an upstanding, law-abiding American citizen?” Am I a threat to US security? I was Miss USA, for Pete’s sake!

... I have never felt more violated in my life than I did that morning at DFW, and I’ve heard of others feeling the same way after these “pat downs.” Just a couple weeks ago, a video of a six-year-old girl being patted down by TSA made headlines. Talk about unjust and unacceptable. So I decided to speak to a TSA supervisor about how I felt, and make a video about what happened to post on my blog.

This “pat down” is a total violation of our rights (we shouldn’t be searched this way without probable cause or a search warrant), therefore I will be filing a complaint via phone, online and also in writing with the TSA to complain each and every time for as long as the TSA is violating my rights. I’m 100% against it, and if you care about your freedom, you should be
too. This is precisely the kind of unjust search and seize our Constitution was created to protect us from.

... Here’s the video I made right after going through the invasive, unjust, unconstitutional and ineffective “pat down.” Honestly, I don’t like that I’m putting myself out there like this, but I wanted you to know what happened, what I was feeling, and that I hope you, too, will speak up if and when this happens to you. We shouldn’t be giving up our liberties as Americans because of our fears. The government can’t keep us safe. No matter how much they promise us they can, it’s a false promise.

Watch Video

And here’s the TSA compliment/complaint card that I filled out and mailed to the DFW TSA. I’ll be filling one out each time I get “patted down” at an airport. Hopefully tears won’t be involved every time:
Like I say in the video, we as individuals have to speak up and protect our liberty. If you feel like your Constitutional rights have been violated by the TSA, please follow my lead and contact your Congressional representatives. Let them know that if they want your vote they must stand up for our rights. ...' --- http://susiecastillo.net/blog/2011/4/25/my- tsa-pat-down-experience.html

Thank you Susie Castillo for speaking out so courageously as a citizen. However, “Let them know that if they want your vote they must stand up for our rights.” - isn't going to work. Please see Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy! and Flashback: From President George W. Bush to President Barrack Obama – More faces
change, more they remain the same!

Postscript-6

CNN Meredith Jessup Report: 'TSA Behavior Indicator: Anyone who Displays arrogance and verbally expresses contempt for the screening process' is a possible terrorist

Watch Video
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jVyidvyjXVc

Postscript-7 May 27, 2011

An Open Letter to State Officials in Response to the DOJ Threat Letter
(“WE TOUCH YOUR PRIVATES OR YOU DON’T FLY Dept. of Justice letter threatens TSA will cancel flights in Texas if agents are not allowed to touch passengers’ private areas” Press Release Office of Texas State Representative David Simpson, May 25, 2011, http://davidsimpson.com/blog/?postid=67)

'An Open Letter to State Officials in Response to the DOJ Threat Letter

Dear Sirs:

Today you received a letter from Mr. John E. Murphy, United States Attorney, Western District of Texas in regards to House Bill 1937 currently up for consideration by the Senate.

In his letter, Mr. Murphy made a veiled threat to the elected officials of Texas that if we move to protect the constitutional rights of our citizens, the TSA could shut down flights to and from Texas airports.

175 years ago in the first battle of the Texas Revolution against Mexico, a small band of Texans stood in defiance at Gonzalez, turning back the attempt to deprive them of their weapon of defense, a single cannon.

Gentlemen, we find ourselves at such a watershed moment today. The federal government is attempting to deprive the citizens of Texas of their constitutional rights under the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution and Article 1, Section 9 of the Texas Constitution. If we do not stand for our citizens in the face of this deprivation of their personal rights and dignity, who will?
Time is critical. If the bill does not pass the Senate tonight it may very well be dead until the next legislative session. Meanwhile, our wives, our children, our mothers and grandmothers, will be rudely violated by federal employees out of control.

My response to Mr. Murphy’s factually inaccurate letter follows. Please give this matter your immediate attention.

For Texas And Liberty!

David Simpson

**John E. Murphy, U.S, Attorney letter states:**

**TSA False Statement:** “As you no doubt are aware, the bill makes it a crime for a federal Transportation Security Official to perform the security screening that he or she is authorized and required by federal law to perform.”

**Truth:** HB 1937 states that a person commits an offense if, while acting under color of the person’s office or employment without probable cause, performs a search for the purpose of granting access to a publicly accessible building or form of transportation and intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly touches the anus, sexual organ, buttocks or breasts of the other person. We know of no federal law that requires this kind of search without probable cause.

**TSA False Statement:** “The proposed legislation would make it unlawful for a federal agent such as a TSO to perform certain specified searches for the purpose of granting access to a publicly accessible building or form of transportation. The provision would
thus criminalize searches that are required under federal regulations in order to ensure the safety of the American public.”

**Truth:** HB 1937 grants a defense to prosecution for an offense that the actor performed pursuant to and consistent with an explicit and applicable grant of federal statutory authority that is consistent with the United States Constitution.

So, if there is actually statutory authority consistent with the Constitution, all an agent must do is bring that statute to the attention of the court. In other words, Texas needs to tell the Department of Justice, “You show me yours (statutory authority) and I’ll show you mine (privates)”

**TSA False Statement:** “The legislation also makes it crime for a public servant, as defined in the bill, to deny or impede another person in the exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege, knowing that the public servant’s conduct is unlawful. As a result, it appears that the intent of the bill is to preclude a TSO from turning away from the secure area of an airport someone who otherwise would have been subjected to a pat down as a condition of entry.”

**Truth:** Actually, it is already current law in Texas that public servants cannot intentionally deny or impede another person in the exercise or enjoyment of any privilege, power, or immunity, knowing the public servant’s conduct is unlawful. TSO would only be precluded from touching specific private areas of an individual without probable cause to believe the person committed an offense. The bill will not prohibit a TSO from using administrative screening methods
with metal detectors, scanners, explosive sniffing
dogs or pat downs that do not include touching the
travelers anus, breasts, sexual organs, or buttocks.

**TSA False Statement:** The effect of this bill, if en-
acted, would be to interfere directly with the Trans-
portation Administration’s (TSA) responsibility for
civil aviation security. 49 U.S. C Section 114 (d); 6
U.S.C. Section 202 (1). Congress has directed the Ad-
ministrator of TSA to take “necessary actions to im-
prove domestic air transportation security,” 49 U.S.C.
Section 44904(e), and directed him to “prescribe reg-
ulations to protect passengers and property on aircraft . . . against an act of criminal violence or aircraft pir-
acy.” Id. Section 44903(b). Congress has directed
TSA to provide for “the screening of all passengers
and property . . . before boarding,” in order to ensure
that no passenger is unlawfully carrying a dangerous
weapon, explosive, or other destructive substance. Id.
Sections 44901(a), 44902(a), 114(e). If the Adminis-
trator determines that “a particular threat cannot be
addressed in a way adequate to ensure. . . the safety of
passengers and crew of a particular flight,” he “shall
cancel the flight or series of flights.” Id Section 44905(b)

**Truth:** Nowhere in the language cited is there stat-
utory authority for a government agent to touch the
breasts, anus, sexual organs, or buttocks of a traveler.
And, no where in the Texas legislation does it prohib-
it that touching if there is probable cause to believe an
offense has been committed. HB 1937 merely works
on the premise that Texans don’t have to forfeit their
dignity to exercise their right of free travel.

**TSA False Statement:** HB 1937 would conflict dir-
ectly with federal law.

**Truth:** It is perplexing that the United States Attorney would make a statement saying one thing while citing examples that do not substantiate the remark. Either he intentionally misrepresented the truth, was unaware of the actual language of HB 1937, or has other statutes to validate his statement. No comment on the first two scenarios, but if the third scenario exists, then the defense to prosecution will apply and Americans will be made aware of the actions of their elected officials.

**TSA False Statement:** The practical import of the bill is that it would threaten criminal prosecution of TSA personnel who carry out the security procedures required under federal statutes and TSA regulations passed to implement those statutes. Those officials cannot be put to the choice of risking criminal prosecution or carrying out their federal duties. Under the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution, Texas has no authority to regulate federal agents and employees in the performance of their federal duties or to pass a statute that conflicts with federal law.

**Truth:** HB 1937 only threatens criminal prosecution if there is inappropriate touching and there is no federal statute consistent with the United States Constitution to do so. As elected officials, Texas legislators have taken an oath to uphold both the U.S. Constitution and the Texas Constitution. In that capacity, they not only have authority to pass a statute that would reign in the daily violation of Fourth Amendment rights, they have a responsibility to do so.

**TSA Threat:** If HB 1937 were enacted, the federal
government would likely seek an emergency stay of the statute. Unless or until such a stay were granted, TSA would likely be required to cancel any flight or series of flights for which it could not ensure the safety of passengers and crew.

**Truth:** The United States Attorney has thrown down the gauntlet. Either Texas backs off and continues to let government employees fondle innocent women, children and men as a condition of travel, or the TSA has the authority to cancel flights or series of flights.

Homeland Security Secretary Janet Napolitano says, “Well, actually, very, very, very few people get a pat-down.”

Atlanta TSA spokesman Jon Allen told us (Hot Air) that during March, 3 percent of air passengers were subject to a pat-down. The TSA collects this data by monitoring “data from select airports throughout the year,” he wrote in an email. That number is “consistent with that of previous time periods.” …

So, 97 percent of people who go through the nation’s airports do not go through these offensive searches. And yet, a United State’s Attorney warns that flights to Texas could be shut down because TSA would not be able to ensure the safety of passengers and crew if agents could not touch the genitals of the other 3 percent.

Someone must make a stand against the atrocities of our government agents. As Reagan said, “*If not us, who? And if not now, when?*”

Source URL Open Letter (http://davidsimpson.com/blog/?postid=70)
I would first like to appreciate the courage of this bold Texas Representative, Mr. David Simpson. Thank you Sir!!

However, had the good Representative of the peoples of Texas read Project Humanbeingsfirst's Body Scan Alert before writing his excellent exposition of dissent, he surely would have outright challenged the entire state of fiction of this “War on Terror”, rather than merely the degree to which it is tolerable to the State of Texas. Indeed, I dare to surmise that were Mr. David Simpson properly informed that it is not just that “Someone must make a stand against the atrocities of our government agents”, but that someone must make a stand against the ruling oligarchy that is ruining the United States of America through their government errand boys for their own private globalist agenda, and that these vile indignities being inflicted upon the public at American and other Western airports are but a mere link in the chain of fear based Pavlovian conditioning of the public, he would surely have challenged the whole body of false axioms upon which the entire geometry of “War on Terror” is fabricated.

As Mr. Simpson truthfully observes on his website: “David Simpson was grateful to be elected State Representative of House District 7 in 2010”, a sentiment which he has already shown to be genuine by boldly standing up for the dignity of his peoples to the degree that he has, I have no doubt that he would be just as fearless in resisting the enactment of this entire Alice in Wonderland fiction to safeguard the larger interests of his own nation.

To humbly assist in that endeavor, this report has been emailed to the specified contact person to forward to the good Representative: Kathi.Seay@house.state.tx.us., Tel: 512-463-0750.

Postscript-7a June 16, 2011

Forbes: Quote of the Day, After Texas State Official 'Left Sore' From a TSA Patdown “You’ve got to have a reason to
Texas Rep. David Simpson, author of an anti-groping bill that would make TSA patdowns a misdemeanor. This was his response after Texas Public Utility Commission chairman Barry Smitherman was “punished” with a TSA patdown that left his private parts “sore,” after opting out of a whole-body-imaging scan in New Orleans. The anti-TSA-groping bill failed to pass in the Texas Senate after the feds threatened to cancel all flights out of Texas, but it may sputter back to life in the state’s current special session.

Source

Watch Video

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AKE98sJpGig
Postscript-8 September 07, 2011

The travails of Amy Alkon at the hands of TSA: My letter to
Amy Alkon

To: Amy Alkon, advicegoddess.com/goddessblog
AdviceAmy@aol.com

From: Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Subject: Re: Don't Give The TSA An Easy Time Of
Violating Your Rights

Date: Wed, Sep 7, 2011 at 4:43 AM
“On March 31st, when I came through the metal detector and realized that everyone in the TSA line to my United flight was getting searched, I got teary. I was teary at the prospect of being touched by a government worker ... I sobbed my guts out. Loudly. Very loudly. The entire time the woman was searching me. Nearing the end of this violation, I sobbed even louder as the woman, FOUR TIMES, stuck the side of her gloved hand INTO my vagina, through my pants. Between my labia. She really got up there. Four times. Back right and left, and front right and left. In my vagina. Between my labia. I was shocked -- utterly unprepared for how she got the side of her hand up there. It was government-sanctioned sexual assault. ... [September 07, 2011] Magee is looking for me to pay her $500K, apologize to her, and take down my blog item about her -- because I had the nerve to exercise my First Amendment rights and complain after she jammed her hand sideways into my vagina four times.” --- Amy Alkon (graphic description of violation cached)

'My client entered Terminal Six at Los Angeles International Airport (LAX) and exercised her right to opt out of the TSA’s body scan. Your client, in apparent retaliation for my client’s refusal to blindly submit to the TSA’s authority, jammed her fingers in between my client’s labia -- not once, not twice, but four times. My client characterized Ms. Magee’s actions as “rape.” As a result, your client seems to believe
that she is entitled to $500,000.' --- Marc Randazza, attorney for Amy Alkon, September 06, 2011

Hello,

I am sorry to hear of your experience.

There is evidently some misconception regarding who is actually doing the 'rape' vs. who is ordering it, and why.

While you were intruded upon by the TSA, they are only 'following orders' no differently than the Third Reich's henchmen followed orders. However, at Nuremberg, the foot-soldiers who did all the killing (and dying) were only starved out in the concentration camps by Eisenhower. There were no legal charges brought against them, even though, they did much of the killing (and dying) in the killing fields of Europe. The victor's justice primarily ensured that those who ordered the "supreme international crime" were hanged, creating an international precedent for victor's justice. Some argue that there is no reason to prepend "victor's" before it. I do it only to imply the impracticality of administering justice unless one is a victor and wields the upper hand, and the hypocrisy of it. Namely, that only the losers are hung by artfully crafting the definition of how crime is defined such that the victors' crimes are not considered crimes, only self-defence and collateral damage of war.

The Airport intrusive patdowns cannot be understood in isolation from the two parallel contexts of "imperial mobilization" and "police state" which
cradle that act.

The pat-downs are merely obedience training for the American public.

Remember, TSA is authorized by the Homeland Security, which in turn is authorized by the US Government, to subject its denizens to this – TSA is not doing it on their own. It isn't clear to me whether deliberately inducing emotional traumas, which to the victims do appear rape-like, among the passengers, especially women, is part of the unwritten coercion policy of the Government to get objecting peoples to accept the body-scan! It just seems extraordinary to me that without tacit support, and directives from higher-ups, that anyone in their right mind would do such molestation in such a public place with everyone watching.

If you wish to understand how the various pieces fit together, you may find my report pertinent:

Body-scan Alert - Not Suffering Indignities at Airports
Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Also posted as comment for Amy's article on September 7, 2011 5:24 AM: http://www.advicegoddess.com/archives/2011/09/07/

References

Amy Alkon's Response article to my comment:
obedience_train.html


My article September 09, 2011: America: TSA vagina probe and you

Elie Mystal's Testical Probe September 09, 2011: Two Minutes of Terrorist Triumph: Alone With the TSA

Postscript-9 September 09, 2011

My article: America: TSA vagina probe and you

Watch Video http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZCy5vEJNJQ
The Airport intrusive patdowns cannot be understood in isolation from the two parallel contexts of “imperial mobilization” and “police state” which cradle that act. The pat-downs are merely obedience training for the American public.

It appears that in this particular instance, there was no choice for the passengers – be exposed to radiation and full nudity via X-ray body-scan, or, feel the pain of sexual groping and molestation. LAX, United Airlines.

The grotesquely absurd demand by the TSA agent seeking $500,000 from Amy Alkon must be resoundingly defeated because I suspect it is not just the pot calling the kettle black (*ulta chore kotwal ko dante*), not just a TSA agent suing Amy for her loud complaining, but the TSA itself is suing Amy, DHS is suing Amy, Police-state is suing Amy, as part of silent acceptance of obedience training of Americans.
I suspect that this is another testing of the waters by the hectoring hegemons, a barometer, a rain gauge, of how successful their obedience training has been thus far --- will the Americans even accept this vile absurdity that after the state shoves its hand inside the American vagina, it prohibits complaining about it and the majority of people accept it?

The intimidation of lawsuit is also intended to be precedent setting to ensure that the majority of the public do not revolt because it will hit them directly in the pocket-book.

Remember, indoctrination and obedience training is primarily for the masses. The public already has accepted all the rest of 'war on terror' absurdities which have been heaped upon them since 9/11:

- **Convince People of Absurdities and get them Acquiescing to Atrocities: The Enduring Power of Machiavellian Political Science**
  

- **‘War on Terror’ is not about ‘Islamofascism’ – Please get with the real agenda you people!**
  

- **10th Anniversary: 9/11 and Imperial Mobilization**
  
  http://humanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/hot/

- **Hijacking Islam for Imperial Mobilization**
  
  http://faith-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/08/hijacking-
Please promulgate this issue widely. Publish it if you have a website. Highlight Amy Alkon's case if you are a writer. Donate funds to her legal defense team if you have the capacity. For all these acts, you will actually be helping protect your own loved ones vaginas and balls. And most importantly, take Amy's own advice for the best way you can support her, by standing up for your own self:

“Thank you so much -truly appreciate that. And my wonderful attorney has the legal defense handled, but what I hope people will do is make a stand for our rights. Many, many thanks.-A”

Postscript-10 September 10, 2011

My response to Elie Mystal's 'Two Minutes of Terrorist Triumph: Alone With the TSA'

From: Project Humanbeingsfirst.org <humanbeings-first@gmail.com>

Date: Sat, Sep 10, 2011 at 12:41 PM

Subject: America: TSA vagina/testicle probe and you

To: tips@abovethelaw.com

In response to the vile molestation suffered by Elie Mystal: Two Minutes of Terrorist Triumph: Alone With the TSA, the following sheds light on the 'system architecture' of which this is merely a tiny component.

As villainous as these molestations are, they can no more be understood in isolation from "imperial mobil-
"Oligarchic Primacy for World Government"

ization" and obedience training to accept police state, for “World government could only be kept in being by force.”, than the function of a wire can be understood in isolation from the rest of the system to which it belongs and without which, the entire system with thousands of wires would still fail.

This is the second time this week that I have to express this sentiment related to TSA excesses and I only wish this was to be the last time: "I am sorry to hear of your experience."

The solution is not to accept such indignities in the first place for lamenting after the fact does little to mitigate the trauma, the anger, and the disgust. The success of this obedience training is precisely measured by the fact that of this [powerless] sentiment expressed by Elie Mystal: 'But I did not appreciate it. I wanted to say, “The next time I use an epic tragedy as a trumped up excuse to molest you, we’ll see how relaxed you are.” Of course, I didn’t say that, but I did flash him my “if you were any other man, I’d kill you where you stand” look..'

For the next time you travel: Body-scan Alert - Not Suffering Indignities at Airports

Zahir Ebrahim,

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Postscript-11 September 10, 2011

My Open Letter via email

From: Project Humanbeingsfirst.org <humanbeings-
Date: Sat, Sep 10, 2011 at 12:52 PM

Subject: Fwd: America: TSA vagina/testicle probe and you

To: worldwide distribution

Can the mosques, churches, synagogues, NGOs, civil rights organizations, professors, lawyers, doctors, scholars, newsmedia, kindly take a preemptive public stand that is not just of meaningless lip-service and unfortunate lament – for, the next time I have to express my regret, it may be for your wife, daughter, child, grandmother…. or you.

The silence is criminal. Adopt the Solon principle and one can change the destiny of a nation, and a people.

Solon, the Athenian law giver, according to Plutarch’s Lives, when asked which city he thought was well-governed, said: “That city where those who have not been injured take up the cause of one who has, and prosecute the case as earnestly as if the wrong had been done to themselves.”

Thank you for your time,

Zahir Ebrahim,

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
Conclusion

As evidenced in the post-scripts above, the vile TSA indignities are being escalated upon the American public with increasing villainy, and with chutzpah to boot. Those sexually assaulted under legal cover can't even complain after the fact of molestation without being threatened with a lawsuit for complaining! Even public officials are not immune from TSA's genital probe – all under the rubric of 'war on terror' in which the state is terrorizing its own denizens into submission by systematic obedience training.

These intrusive measures have little to do with keeping the childishly insecure Americans and the poor orphans of the beleaguered Western Anglo-Saxon nations safe from the Islamofascist terrorists, and more to do with their systematic Pavlovian conditioning in increasingly draconian stages to get them to willingly accept big brother.

One arrives at this logical conclusion based on the self-evident observation that the Anglo-American governments are keen on ushering in big brother under the pretext of fighting terror.

But as Aldous Huxley had observed in 1961 at UC Berkeley in his speech titled The Ultimate Revolution:
“it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude!”

Only with the knowledge of social and political sciences can one even begin to appreciate the social engineering purposes of these otherwise rather senseless and vilely intrusive measures in which all organs of state, at both local and federal levels, in all Western nations, are participant.

Therefore, it follows that, only individual peoples in large numbers, courageous peoples refusing all indignities at Airports, who can practically overturn this measure.

No sensible person espousing traditional modesty would recommend stripping down to a bare poodle like what Tammy Banovac did at Oklahoma City airport, or the bikini girl from Los Angeles Corinne Theile
routinely does, and evidently TSA and Homeland Security have no problem with women baring it all while enjoying their own degradation and making light of it. However, it is essential finding commonsensical ways of demonstrating the immorality, the perversity, the unconstitutionality, and outright absurdity of these vile TSA measures.

Like what the two young Harvard Law school students did. Congressman Ron Paul submitted a Bill in the US Congress which sensibly argues that if it is a crime for a private individual to grope and molest you, it should be a crime for Federal employees to grope and molest you as well!

Nothing can be expected to come out it as the entire Congress is part of the same problem-space and entirely responsible for police-state
USA in the same measure that they are entirely responsible for America's perpetual 'War on Terror' and the passages of its Patriot and other Enabling Acts. Individual Congressman pitching the lofty Jeffersonian notions of Liberty of yesteryear cannot do a thing unless they can get majority vote on their Bill. We all know empirically where the Janus faced Congress' primary allegiances lie.

Just witness this Reuters report of May 26, 2011 with the self-explanatory headline ten years into the fabricated “War on Terror”, Congress votes to renew anti-terrorism steps:

“'Bikini Girl' Corinne Theile has worn her swimsuit on seven flights over the past 12 months
She said she will do so event when she's 80, to avoid TSA body scanners
Corinne believes the X-rays release radiation and are an invasion of privacy
TSA officials said the heightened security measures were introduced in reaction to the ‘underwear bomber’ who smuggled plastic explosives onto a flight leaving Amsterdam’s Schipol Airport en route to the U.S. in 2009.
A TSA spokesperson said at the time that the agency, which works with the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, must balance people’s demands for privacy with the need to protect passengers from terror threats.
While New York Mayor Michael Bloomberg urged travellers to get over their outrage about the body scanners and patdowns saying it is necessary in the ‘dangerous world we live in.’
He said: ‘We have to stop all this shilly-shallying and understand if you want to be safe on air planes we have to make sure that we keep you safe.’
It’s been a year since Californian holidaymaker Corinne Theile first stripped down to her bikini at Los Angeles International Airport in protest of ‘invasive’ security screening.” -- UK DailyMail, 24th November 2011
'WASHINGTON (Reuters) - Congress, racing the clock and rejecting demands for additional safeguards of civil liberties, passed a bill Thursday to renew three expiring provisions of the anti-terrorism Patriot Act. With the provisions set to expire at midnight Thursday (0400 GMT on Friday), the Republican-led House of Representatives approved the measure, 250-153, just hours after it cleared the Democratic-led Senate, 72-23.' (cached here)

Therefore, it is entirely up to individual peoples to take their liberty, their dignity, and their sensibilities, into their own hands.

Unless individual public protest spreads quickly however, unless more and more people increasingly behave like the courageous San Diegan John Tyner, and the measured but persistent traveler Matt Kernan, it will become fait accompli as it gets drawn out. Because, good people will eventually give up after their initial flurry of protesting these physical and emotional assaults on their bodies. The big brother government is counting on exactly that! They have already proclaimed that they don't do policy based on “focus groups”!

If you still remain unconvinced that the terrorist threat is fabricated by the State itself, that your government is run by psychopathic liars who have taken America from lies to lies towards its calculated demise as a sovereign nation-state, that its escalating security mantra followed by its escalating totalitarian measures is entirely for training you to acquiesce to the gradual loss of your liberties in the name of security, then by all means, go through the “Dick Measuring Device”, and bend over for the anal probe to pay homage to the Fourth Reich each time before you travel, while also recalling the pragmatic words of your own founding father: “those who trade their essential liberties for some temporary safety deserve neither!”

Do you not remember that your own government had told you that the terrorists hit America on 9/11 because they hated your freedoms?
Well, the terrorists seemed to have succeeded beyond their own wildest expectations in taking it all away from you so easily!

And as you marvel at the remarkable powers of persuasion of Ali Baba to screw the entire Western world with nothing but some primitive box-cutters, a pair of well-worn tennis shoes, and fancy underwear, do keep looking down the neckline of that buxom 38-D sitting next to you on the plane/train/bus for any signs of restlessness, for she could be carrying some modified IUD implants which the TSA's surface gropes failed to detect. But perhaps you might be more concerned with that suspicion falling upon your own wife and daughter? For, not just at airports, they could be pulled over at the shopping mall next and ordered to bare it all or go through that body-scanner! Watch this video if you don't believe that your lovely six year old child can ever be designated a threat to “national security” and groped up the wazoo in this diabolical calculus of America's obedience training.
TSA, at least for now, has openly admitted to glossing over certain inner sanctums of the public's bodies, perhaps waiting for that convenient “crotch area bomber” or the “breast implant bomber” to trigger willing compliance with that final loss of human dignity. As already reported by CNN in September 2010, 10,000 TSA employees, one sixth of the agency's workforce, get secret clearances, to ensure that very outcome! It will be coming to your Safeway and Macys soon enough.

Once you become a creature of habit in the police-state whereby all big brother absurdities make sense to you, where you routinely count 2 + 2 equal 5, you have arrived in the world of “1984”. Watch the movie to remind yourself what you are automatically accepting for tomorrow when you stand for these vile indignities today. Recall that you began yesterday with stupidly standing in line with your dirty shoes in hand to keep you safe. Now you stupidly open up your private parts for strangers to grope, or image by exposing you to cumulative deadly radiation, to keep you safe! If you don't act today, prison-state in full surveillance society West will become your safest zeitgeist. This is precisely what's been planned long before 9/11, because, as rationalized by the philosopher of one-world government in his 1952 book The Impact of Science on Society, “World government could only be kept in being by force” under the scientific dictatorship of the elite. The global scientific dictatorship in the making however has other existential ramifications besides just the police-state and full surveillance society.

Thank you

Zahir Ebrahim | Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Short URL: http://tinyurl.com/TSA-Obedience-Training
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My letter to Amy Alkon's attorney Marc Randazza

To: Marc Randazza, First Amendment and Civil Rights Law, Randazza Legal Group, randazza.com

From: Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Date: Wed, Sep 7, 2011

Dear Mr. Marc Randazza,

Hello.

I am writing you as a concerned denizen of the United States, and a human being, to first thank you for taking up the legal case of Amy Alkon whom I only heard about last night from an article on Forbes (http://forbes.com/sites/kashmirhill/2011/09/06/female-blogger-threatened-with-a-defamation-suit-for-blogging-about-tsa-rape/).

The second reason for writing you is as a principled activist and researcher, I would like to leave you a link to my report which you may find pertinent: Body-scan Alert - Not Suffering Indignities at Airports http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/11/body-scan-alert-not-suffering-indignity.html

If I possessed the legal wherewithal, I would have filed a denizen's Good Samaritan law suit "suo moto" on multiple fronts myself many years ago directly on the head of the rotting fish. Solon, the Athenian law giver, according to Plutarch’s Lives, when asked which city he thought was well-governed, said:

“That city where those who have not been injured take up the cause of one who has, and prosecute the case as earnestly as if the wrong had been done to themselves.”
That wisdom unfortunately has been given little educational currency in modernity which is being assaulted full spectrum for the purpose of obedience training the masses. The assault is primarily intellectual, and its effects are visible physically, inter alia, the TSA inflicted vulgarities. Other effects are the war on terror, the financial collapse. The interlinked myriad effects have the same first cause.

My redressal pursuit therefore would not primarily be to the rotting tail of the fish which is TSA, but to principally its head from which all the rot follows, and would rope in the entire fish --- which is very large, very compartmentalized, but still inter-connected in one body!

I am given to understand by my study of the Nuremberg transcripts and their contextualized analysis (as the transcripts themselves don't carry the overarching context of victor's justice within which both the Nuremberg and Tokyo Trials were administered, and without which, the facts noted in the transcripts remain poorly understood by those merely reading the transcripts), that the first principles, the prime-movers, the first act of aggression, those who gave the orders, from which "all the evil that follows" has a long-running legal precedent which was forcefully refreshed at Nuremberg. You perhaps understand its legal modalities better, but I can argue it right at the head of the fish.

Some of my writings referenced in that aforementioned report examine the head of the fish and the nature of the rot, the why, the motivation, which automatically fingers the entire hierarchy of the who, of which the machinery of the state is merely the visible henchmen. That exposure might perhaps indicate the level of abstraction at which such cases really ought to be pursued for an efficacious legal case. It is precisely that abstraction, the head of the fish, which thrives on remaining out of the public limelight. Put the spotlight on the cause, not the effects, and you strip it of its power of thriving on anonymity. You also risk an early grave.

I was struck by your comment which was reported by Amy Alkon on
her website: "Some cases are too important to need to get paid for." (http://advicegoddess.com/archives/2011/09/07/obedience_train.html). I wanted to know more about someone who'd make a statement like that in this day and age, what a rare breed, and I came to your website and saw you quoting Thurgood Marshall on the First Amendment. I wonder if [you] are aware of Justice Vinsom's empirical opinion alongside that immanent-speak of Marshall's:

"Nothing is more certain in modern society than the principle that there are no absolutes, that a name, a phrases, a standard has meaning only when associated with the considerations which give birth to nomenclature. To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative." -- Justice Vinson, U.S. Supreme Court, 1951.

While Thurgood Marshall's is inspiring and a lofty goal of the philosopher, Vinsom's is empirical, the way reality actually is in legaldom. A legal system which is always primarily in the service of empire, and not the people. As you can witness, that ode to moral relativism was uttered by Justice Vinsom directly on the heels of Nuremberg where Justice Robert H. Jackson, the United States Chief Prosecuting Counsel, had argued in moral absolutes as the victors are always wont to do when administering justice upon the vanquished:

"If certain acts of violation of treaties are crimes, they are crimes whether the United States does them or whether Germany does them, and we are not prepared to lay down a rule of criminal conduct against others which we would not be willing to have invoked against us." --- Robert H. Jackson, Nuremberg Military Tribunal, 1946

And therefore, I peg your chance of success in this lawsuit, were you
to focus on the fish tail, next to nil (but I am not an expert, just using layman's commonsense). But I do believe, based on empirical data of “imperial mobilization” and the forces driving it, that such effort will merely be an exhausting run on the treadmill for your client, and not precedent setting (for your cause, only for theirs – the victim of obedience training can no longer complain too loudly of the vile methods employed to do so), nor cause any real impact to the TSA policies which is being administered by Homeland Security as part of “war on terror”. At best, the claimant, will drop the lawsuit. At worst, your client will go broke both financially and emotionally, despite your pro bono. That is the obvious first-stage strategy any tyrannical government always counts on against individuals. They exhaust themselves on their own given sufficient time and sufficient embroilment.

Whereas, if you were to address the head of the rotting fish, the tail would automatically be included. By being realistically aware of your opponents' vast arsenal which spans the gamut of Machiavelli to social engineering, and their overarching motivations to use it when the stakes are high, as they are in this momentous epoch in future narratives of history, you can better take on this challenge which you so forthrightly stated transcends mere pecuniary gains.

The stakes are far higher than the first Amendment you cherish and quote. The stakes are police-state and public enslavement of the entire West initially. Unless you can understand the overarching motivation, succinctly summed up by Bertrand Russell in his 1952 book The Impact of Science on Society: “World government could only be kept in being by force.”, your efforts will have marginal efficacy at best.

Even the influential Financial Times has argued that 9/11 finally enabled one-world government:

'for the first time in my life, I think the formation of some sort of world government is plausible. ... it is increasingly clear that the most difficult issues facing national governments are international in nature: there
is global warming, a global financial crisis and a "global war on terror"

And the EU president confirmed the FT editor's self-serving confession, by coldly stating that “2009 is also the first year of Global Governance” (http://youtube.com/watch?v=QEqFtVrAgSo)

These are the forces behind the myriad effects, including TSA absurdities of protecting the traveling public by offering them the false dialectics of death by sustained radiation exposure via naked strip-down (body-scan imaging) vs. suffering humiliation by groping and molestation. Its entire purpose is obedience training of the American public. And it is evidently succeeding. The last time I checked the TSA data a few months ago, they reported that of the millions who silently accept the false choices provided them by the TSA, only a minuscule 0.005% public have resisted (that number is from memory and maybe the decimal is misplaced).

You cannot prevent an apple from falling unless you are aware of the nature of gravity. But if you fully understand the force of gravity, then you don't need to watch an apple fall if you let go of it in positive gravity, to know that it has fallen. That bit of commonsense logic is from Mr. Spock of Star Trek. Because I understand the forces which drive “imperial mobilization”, I don't need to hear the actual outcome of your case, to know what it will be.

Let me know if you think I can be of any assistance after you have read my work, and if you wish to address the head of the fish. I will be happy to lend whatever hand I can, gratis, on the Solon principle while I am still in the United States (as I spend my time between my home in California and my home country Pakistan), and will also bear my own costs. The stakes are so high that the entire house of cards can potentially be brought down by accurately attacking its Achilles'
heel. That requires more than just a legal team. Inter alia, it requires intellectual capital and full spectrum engagement at many fronts including in the media. The latter will automatically happen, I suspect, if you succeed in shining the spotlight on the head of the fish and drive it all the way to the Supreme Court. I can already wager stochastically that the highest court in the land will decline to hear it. But we don't know that for a fact – and in waging a battle, it is not always the strongest who wins. Like Patrick Henry had once observed in his inimitable style:

“Besides, sir, we shall not fight our battles alone. There is a just God who presides over the destinies of nations, and who will raise up friends to fight our battles for us. The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, the active, the brave.” -- Patrick Henry, March 23, 1775

I generally pursue principled moral activism only in full public view. When there are no secrets to keep, there is no fear of their being revealed. That may not always be a good legal, chess, or poker strategy, but it is a great risk mitigation strategy for the public against subversion, entrapment, and cointelpro.

Therefore, any 0.02 cents contribution I can make, if called upon to do so, I will be happy to contribute without the crutch of secrecy and confidentiality with my well-worn pen and my hoarse voice now tiring of repeating the same things over and over again. The power of the public in standing up to tyranny lies only in its open expression of resistance to the absurd and the vile. For instance, if one pre-advertise the fact how one sees the outcome developing, one can (perhaps) preempt that outcome from developing in order for the system to continue to maintain its facades -- which I deem is still necessary for it for a while longer. We are presently caught Between Two Ages, a transition period. This epoch still requires maintaining some facades in the mainstream public's views. That necessity of theirs, is also their Achilles' heel. If you transform this battle into Judo, using your op-
ponents' strengths and weakness against them, then who knows...

Thank you once again, it has been a pleasure hearing of someone who is motivated by something other than the worship of their stomach. Thus this letter.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

bio url: http://zahirebrahim.wordpress.com/bio/

Submitted on the website form on Wednesday, September 7, 2011, 2:28 PM PST: http://www.randazza.com/contact/thankyou.html
Resubmitted with typographical correction (in bold) and minor elaboration (in paranthesis), 10:00 PM.

Chapter 32

Climate Science in the Service of Empire
On Global Warming

Between Global Warming and Global Governance – Concern for Environment is a ‘Hegelian Mind Fck’!

December 12, 2008

Question: 'Do you really believe that mankind doesn't have anything to do with the climate change? I've posted countless articles, videos on this topic. Pollution is NOT good for our planet and/or ourselves. Ice is melting. Droughts are occurring worldwide. There's going to be wars over water in the future. There is a
limited amount of oil and we cannot keep using it as our only fuel source.' --- Question asked in correspondence by an editor surprised by my response to the Gideon Rachman editorial in the Financial Times

**Project Humanbeingsfirst Responds**

Actually, if you look at the coefficients of contributions, things become manifest. Pollution isn't exactly the same thing as global warming, or global cooling, although it certainly impacts them both.

Yes, reducing pollution is very important, so is increasing sustainable living, and respecting the power of the earth to create bounties which make our lives both comfortable and pleasurable. There is a self-sustaining and auto re-generation cycle in the eco-system which can withstand some harvesting, some abuse and some pollution, but crossing the threshold can destroy it, or make the replenishment cycle inordinately long. So we must live far below that threshold of tolerance of the environment. This is but a truism. Only a moron would deny any of it, or work against it. They can be safely ignored, if not outright consigned to the looney bin.

This isn't what is being talked about here however, although, the disinformationists would like one to think so. This is exactly the conclusion you have unfortunately jumped to as well, despite having read so much of my work and knowing that I am really not idiotic, nor unscientific, nor irrational. Of course, if one asked Mr. Paul Craig Roberts who apportioned the following epithet for me “you are a completely stupid fool, a disgrace to humanity”, it might lead to a different conclusion. But assuming one does not share in that invective, why would one automatically jump to the conclusion that when a man of science challenges global warming, they are denying the obviousness inherent in the question that you posed?
I say this not to critique, but just to point out how powerful and successful the disinformation and psyops have been. It is the same Foundations who have seeded the sustainable living mantra as population planning. Care to guess who those might be? It is the same impetus that led to NSSM 200 in 1974 which made population control in poor countries a national security imperative for the United States – the country which excels in harvesting the poor nations of all their natural resources and foisting dictatorships upon them! Care to know who seeded it? I happen to know of the team who got the Nobel Prize on this climate issue last year – they are all imperialists, in on the con-game, just like Hillary Clinton and Al Gore. You can easily find the Pakistani on the team who shared in that Nobel prize amidst much hoopla in Pakistan. Visit his website, and try to determine his axioms in the space of world-government, war on terror, 911. They match the axioms of the state as far as I have been able to tell – and I looked at it last year to check-out what kind of people win a Nobel Prize in climate and environment. When the ruling-elite pushes a mantra, knowing what I know today, my first take begins with searching for their motivation. If one does, what on the surface appears to be a good deed, but with evil intentions and Machiavellian motivations, I have no use for such criminal 'good', and neither should you.

The following is the real fact of the matter. I only illustrate the principle. One can chase it down from then on. In order to keep things straight in the head in the obfuscating space of social sciences laden with deception and political motivations, I tend to rely a lot on thought processes borrowed from computer science and electrical engineering. You may have seen my description of the 'bit' for example. Here is a passage from one of my recent essays on monetary stuff:

'It is also very convenient for the learned to mix up the 'highest order bit' with 'lower order bits' of a complex matter – irrespective of deliberately or inadvertently – for the plebes can hardly tell the difference. And that's just wonderful for creating clever red her-
rings when the latter are emphasized, and the former is ignored! Surely whatever one comes up with is always a solution to something, and that's just as undeniable as any pathetic tautology. But is it a solution to the 'most significant bit'? Has the problem itself been accurately diagnosed, and the systemic multi-lat- eral illness accurately mapped out to its very DNA? Not when the sacred-cow axioms remain untouch- able! And this is indeed how one wins a Nobel Prize and lucrative appointments. [a30] In some cases, even stays alive.

To explain the commonsense concept of 'bit' drawn from electrical engineering, it's like having a “one” in the 7th decimal place, and also in the 2nd decimal place, to create the total amount One million and Ten dollars, $1,000,010, and while auditing the books, focussing on the digit position which identifies the Ten dollars and not the one which identifies the Million! The significance of this is not lost to the banksters!" -- *The Monetary Conspiracy for World Government**

Applying that prioritizing, or weightage if you will, principle to this topic of “Global Warming”, one observes that the coefficient, or the bit position, or weightage occupied by the planetary level changes in the solar system due to sun's activity is actually a higher order bit position, than the contribution to the measurements from human activity.

And as is entirely obvious from Mr. Gideon Rachman's article why this is politically motivated, the reasons become clear why this confusion is deliberately being created. If you accept the Capitalist conspiracy for world government, as I have described it, and if you accept the NSSM-200 agenda for population reduction as I have also described it, tying in the hand of Rockefeller to the UN and their agenda for population reduction (citations for these statements are in my various essays), then you must realize why the ruling elite wants to control
'life activity', and carbon-credit is their architecture of control!

It is somewhat akin to acquiring control of a nation's money supply in the guise of managing the economy better. Few in the public understand why such a control is bad anyway, but those who do try to understand it are thrown layers upon layers of obfuscation. Something similar is happening here. Think of acquiring control of 'carbon-credits' almost equivalent to acquiring control of a nation's money supply! This will control every aspect of sustaining life, just as control of money determines every aspect of sustaining the economy. You name it, between the two of them, it will control it in a world-government. And the first recipient of these controls, the carbon-credit specifically, is the developing world, the Global South, because that is where development must be arrested, and populations thinned out! Just as control of money was first exercised where there was a superfluity of industry and commerce, control of 'carbon-credit' is intended to be exercised where there is a superfluity of populations aspiring to grow their nascent economies!

Now, whether there is planetary-level (solar-system level) global warming, or global cooling, is also an entirely orthogonal issue from human contribution to despoiling its environment. Both the former two factors, if they are dominant, tend to occupy the higher order bit relative to human contribution. With the Ice-age, followed by the Holocene age. No factories and polluting industries were present then. Unless we explode 10 hydrogen bombs in geostrategic locations to usher in a manmade nuclear winter (and I exaggerate, a smaller number will surely do it), the contribution from coal and cow's emissions (the latter, believe it or not, is also apportioned carbon-credit as I have humorously read somewhere) remain in the lower order bits. They are surely non-zero, and if planetary-level climactic changes in the solar system become normal, as they do between their cyclic extremes, then these lower order bits will become the new higher order bits for management. That's just common sense.

So there are two real issues. First is the following scientific measure-
ment – which can be fairly objective – what is the temperature activity in the solar system. For instance, is Mars cooling down or heating up in the past decades. Since there is no known life or industry on Mars, that can readily answer the question quite accurately for earth too. But better and longer running data is available for earth as well, which is why scientists are dissenting as noted in the Senate Minority Report that I have cited in my response to Mr. Gideon Rachman! I do not know of a single lay person who has actually read that report as yet, or its 2007 predecessor report from last year. Most arguments are religiously being fanned out of sheer ignorance, rather than simply asking the quantifiable questions: what is the empirical measurement data (instead of the sociological one)? How was it taken, where was it taken, what time span does it measure, and what is the conclusion?

The second real issue is the sociological one that you have alluded to, such as oil consumption, human activity, etc. Please apply those concerns to the Western world first, and specifically to the Americans, not to the entire world, as the affluent Global North is, and has been, the biggest pig. In the Global South, people can hardly make ends meet, they barely subsist on dollar a day wage. And 2/3rd of all humanity lives there. They are routinely harvested of not only what's under their soil, but also what's above it, trees! Thus notice how Rachman has employed the mantra of Global Warming. Even if one assumes for the sake of making the following point that it is the man-made coefficient which is dominant – Gideon Rachman does not advocate that the Western world create a protocol to reduce their gluttonous consumption, but jumps straight to world government! And as everyone knows, the biggest violators of Kyoto, were indeed the Americans themselves. They refused to ratify it! And that, is indeed the second real issue.

I am a scientist. I look at data and reach conclusions. I further look at data forensically, and even look at forces that remain hidden, as well as those which are apparent. My writings are testimony of that. I have no reason to obfuscate or deny any of these factors. Whereas those
who are pushing them, have a politically motivated agenda, as has already been shown. Just as the scientists at NIST fudged the reports on how the towers fell, and Popular Mechanics dished out disinformation on how it could have happened, it is already in ample evidence that science is permeated with politics, like every other human endeavor! So before looking at the scientists' results and reading their papers, look at their motivation. Whom do they shill for?

I would be happy to address further questions from anyone. This topic does require doing substantial due diligence before forming opinions. Remember that the subject matter is no less laden with deception, than any other topic which relates to world government, from 'war on terror' to 'money as debt' to the Federal Reserve System. You can't simply pick up a text-book (or 10 books) on any of these topics and assume what you are reading is entirely correct, as one normally does at a university in a typical science curriculum. There, the measurement of learning is often how accurately one has understood what the books are teaching, and one gets an 'A' for perfect recollection and/or solving problems based on the axioms in the books which are rarely if ever challenged. The axioms are taken on faith and assumed correct. One takes F=MA for granted.

Here, you have to assume that the text-books/articles/literature/Nobel-Prizes could also be lying, telling half-truths through omissions and distortions, or spinning politically motivated mantras as axioms upon which all further discussions are being based. Just like 911 and the 'war on terror'. That is quite a difference in approach to studying! It requires one being a Sherlock Holmes trying to solve a complex puzzle laden with deliberate red herrings more than being a naïve grad-student!

Hope this fleshes out all the dimensions of the question. For the simple reason that Global Warming mantra is to be Machiavellianly employed to control humanity, and we have even seen a glimpse of that in the Financial Times editorial, I oppose it. If it turns out that the human emissions are the most significant bit, let the affluent nations
bring themselves down to the level of poor nations before demanding from them to do anything. After all, the ruling-elite are pitching that we are one ship of humanity and global control is necessary. Let not the upper-deck live in plunderous wealth while the lower decks are thrown to the sea! That is only fair for something as intimately shared as the environment!

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org


- ### -

**Pertinent Updates and Related References**


**Zahir's Take:** Full text from both these WSJ op-eds reproduced below – signed by 16 prominent scientists in the field, including the well known MIT Professor, Dr. Richard S. Lindzen, see item [15] below. What is missing in the two op-eds by these brilliant scientists despite their most perceptive insight: “One reason to be on guard, as
we explained in our original op-ed, is that motives other than objective science are at work in much of the scientific establishment.”, is any explicit indication of the real motivation behind the fiction of Global Warming apart from “but a good place to start is the old question "cui bono?" Or the modern update, "Follow the money."”. They inexplicably fail to see the elephant in the bedroom, that the exercise of so much state power behind fabrication of this pretext is primarily intended for ushering in the global carbon credit scam as a means of full spectrum control over human life.

Nevertheless, putting the non junk hard science of these dissenting scientists with the political science that drives the hard road to world order, also coherently explains why billionaire Bill Gates, the retired founder of Microsoft, is so altruistically pursuing his global vaccination program for reducing the earth's population in the Third World by drawing upon the fiction of Global Warming and the alarmist mantra of reducing CO2 emissions to save earth. Watch Bill Gates' presentation at TED talk, read these op-eds by the non junk scientists, and the ‘Hegelian Mind Fck’ behind the concern for environment, the concern for over population, and the concern for global health with its concomitant legally enforced vaccination regimens in the Third World nations, all begin to make sense. The entire house of cards of fear-mongering is built on the single fiction of Global Warming. Take away that fiction and what remains? A new fiction will be invented to create a new pretext for the same outcome.

Watch Bill Gates first speak at the Feb 2010 TED Talk: Innovating to Zero. This is what the humanitarian says with the unmatched candor that often accompanies hubris:

“If you gave me only one wish for the next 50 years -- I could pick who's president, I could pick a vaccine, which is
something I love, ...” The video shows Gates' infamous CO2 slide (at time 3:53): “\[CO2 = P \times S \times E \times C\]” and he explains it: “This equation has four factors, a little bit of multiplication: So, you've got a thing on the left, CO2, that you want to get to zero, and that's going to be based on the number of people, the services each person's using on average, the energy on average for each service, and the CO2 being put out per unit of energy. So, let's look at each one of these and see how we can get this down to zero. Probably, one of these numbers is going to have to get pretty near to zero. Now that's back from high school algebra, but let's take a look. First, we've got population. The world today has 6.8 billion people. That's headed up to about nine billion. Now, if we do a really great job on new vaccines, health care, reproductive health services, we could lower that by, perhaps, 10 or 15 percent, but there we see an increase of about 1.3.”

text from transcript, http://www.ted.com/talks/bill_gates ;
video http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JaF-fq2Zn7I

Now the WSJ Opeds have a context that lends a whole new perspective that is greater than the sum of what's stated in each one of these signed letters from prominent climate scientists.

[WSJ op-ed January 27, 2012]

Editor's Note: The following has been signed by the 16 scientists listed at the end of the article:

A candidate for public office in any contemporary democracy may have to consider what, if anything, to do about "global warming." Candidates should understand that the oft-repeated claim that nearly all scientists demand that something dramatic be done to stop global warming is not true. In fact, a
large and growing number of distinguished scientists and engineers do not agree that drastic actions on global warming are needed.

In September, Nobel Prize-winning physicist Ivar Giaever, a supporter of President Obama in the last election, publicly resigned from the American Physical Society (APS) with a letter that begins: "I did not renew [my membership] because I cannot live with the [APS policy] statement: 'The evidence is incontrovertible: Global warming is occurring. If no mitigating actions are taken, significant disruptions in the Earth's physical and ecological systems, social systems, security and human health are likely to occur. We must reduce emissions of greenhouse gases beginning now.' In the APS it is OK to discuss whether the mass of the proton changes over time and how a multi-universe behaves, but the evidence of global warming is incontrovertible?"

In spite of a multidecade international campaign to enforce the message that increasing amounts of the "pollutant" carbon dioxide will destroy civilization, large numbers of scientists, many very prominent, share the opinions of Dr. Giaever. And the number of scientific "heretics" is growing with each passing year. The reason is a collection of stubborn scientific facts.

Perhaps the most inconvenient fact is the lack of global warming for well over 10 years now. This is known to the warming establishment, as one can see from the 2009 "Climategate" email of climate scientist Kevin Trenberth: "The fact is that we can't account for the lack of warming at the moment and it is a travesty that we can't." But the warming is only missing if one believes computer models where so-called feedbacks involving water vapor and clouds greatly amplify the small effect of CO2.
The lack of warming for more than a decade—indeed, the smaller-than-predicted warming over the 22 years since the U.N.'s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) began issuing projections—suggests that computer models have greatly exaggerated how much warming additional CO2 can cause. Faced with this embarrassment, those promoting alarm have shifted their drumbeat from warming to weather extremes, to enable anything unusual that happens in our chaotic climate to be ascribed to CO2.

The fact is that CO2 is not a pollutant. CO2 is a colorless and odorless gas, exhaled at high concentrations by each of us, and a key component of the biosphere's life cycle. Plants do so much better with more CO2 that greenhouse operators often increase the CO2 concentrations by factors of three or four to get better growth. This is no surprise since plants and animals evolved when CO2 concentrations were about 10 times larger than they are today. Better plant varieties, chemical fertilizers and agricultural management contributed to the great increase in agricultural yields of the past century, but part of the increase almost certainly came from additional CO2 in the atmosphere.

Although the number of publicly dissenting scientists is growing, many young scientists furtively say that while they also have serious doubts about the global-warming message, they are afraid to speak up for fear of not being promoted—or worse. They have good reason to worry. In 2003, Dr. Chris de Freitas, the editor of the journal Climate Research, dared to publish a peer-reviewed article with the politically incorrect (but factually correct) conclusion that the recent warming is not unusual in the context of climate changes over the past thousand years. The international warming establishment quickly mounted a determined campaign to have Dr. de Freitas removed from his editorial job and fired from his
university position. Fortunately, Dr. de Freitas was able to
keep his university job.

This is not the way science is supposed to work, but we have
seen it before—for example, in the frightening period when
Trofim Lysenko hijacked biology in the Soviet Union. Soviet
biologists who revealed that they believed in genes, which
Lysenko maintained were a bourgeois fiction, were fired from
their jobs. Many were sent to the gulag and some were
condemned to death.

Why is there so much passion about global warming, and why
has the issue become so vexing that the American Physical
Society, from which Dr. Giaever resigned a few months ago,
refused the seemingly reasonable request by many of its
members to remove the word "incontrovertible" from its
description of a scientific issue? There are several reasons,
but a good place to start is the old question "cui bono?" Or the
modern update, "Follow the money."

Alarmism over climate is of great benefit to many, providing
government funding for academic research and a reason for
government bureaucracies to grow. Alarmism also offers an
excuse for governments to raise taxes, taxpayer-funded
subsidies for businesses that understand how to work the
political system, and a lure for big donations to charitable
foundations promising to save the planet. Lysenko and his
team lived very well, and they fiercely defended their dogma
and the privileges it brought them.

Speaking for many scientists and engineers who have looked
carefully and independently at the science of climate, we have
a message to any candidate for public office: There is no
compelling scientific argument for drastic action to
"decarbonize" the world's economy. Even if one accepts the
inflated climate forecasts of the IPCC, aggressive greenhouse-
gas control policies are not justified economically.

A recent study of a wide variety of policy options by Yale economist William Nordhaus showed that nearly the highest benefit-to-cost ratio is achieved for a policy that allows 50 more years of economic growth unimpeded by greenhouse gas controls. This would be especially beneficial to the less-developed parts of the world that would like to share some of the same advantages of material well-being, health and life expectancy that the fully developed parts of the world enjoy now. Many other policy responses would have a negative return on investment. And it is likely that more CO2 and the modest warming that may come with it will be an overall benefit to the planet.

If elected officials feel compelled to "do something" about climate, we recommend supporting the excellent scientists who are increasing our understanding of climate with well-designed instruments on satellites, in the oceans and on land, and in the analysis of observational data. The better we understand climate, the better we can cope with its ever-changing nature, which has complicated human life throughout history. However, much of the huge private and government investment in climate is badly in need of critical review.

Every candidate should support rational measures to protect and improve our environment, but it makes no sense at all to back expensive programs that divert resources from real needs and are based on alarming but untenable claims of "incontrovertible" evidence.

[Signed by]

Claude Allegre, former director of the Institute for the Study of the Earth, University of Paris; J. Scott Armstrong, cofounder of the Journal of Forecasting and the International
Journal of Forecasting; Jan Breslow, head of the Laboratory of Biochemical Genetics and Metabolism, Rockefeller University; Roger Cohen, fellow, American Physical Society; Edward David, member, National Academy of Engineering and National Academy of Sciences; William Happer, professor of physics, Princeton; Michael Kelly, professor of technology, University of Cambridge, U.K.; William Kininmonth, former head of climate research at the Australian Bureau of Meteorology; Richard Lindzen, professor of atmospheric sciences, MIT; James McGrath, professor of chemistry, Virginia Technical University; Rodney Nichols, former president and CEO of the New York Academy of Sciences; Burt Rutan, aerospace engineer, designer of Voyager and SpaceShipOne; Harrison H. Schmitt, Apollo 17 astronaut and former U.S. senator; Nir Shaviv, professor of astrophysics, Hebrew University, Jerusalem; Henk Tennekes, former director, Royal Dutch Meteorological Service; Antonio Zichichi, president of the World Federation of Scientists, Geneva.

[WSJ op-ed February 21, 2012]

Editor's Note: The authors of the following letter, listed below, are also the signatories of "No Need to Panic About Global Warming," an op-ed that appeared in the Journal on January 27. This letter responds to criticisms of the op-ed made by Kevin Trenberth and 37 others in a letter published Feb. 1, and by Robert Byer of the American Physical Society in a letter published Feb. 6.

The interest generated by our Wall Street Journal op-ed of Jan. 27, "No Need to Panic about Global Warming," is gratifying but so extensive that we will limit our response to the letter to the editor the Journal published on Feb. 1, 2012
by Kevin Trenberth and 37 other signatories, and to the Feb. 6 letter by Robert Byer, President of the American Physical Society. (We, of course, thank the writers of supportive letters.)

We agree with Mr. Trenberth et al. that expertise is important in medical care, as it is in any matter of importance to humans or our environment. Consider then that by eliminating fossil fuels, the recipient of medical care (all of us) is being asked to submit to what amounts to an economic heart transplant. According to most patient bills of rights, the patient has a strong say in the treatment decision. Natural questions from the patient are whether a heart transplant is really needed, and how successful the diagnostic team has been in the past.

In this respect, an important gauge of scientific expertise is the ability to make successful predictions. When predictions fail, we say the theory is "falsified" and we should look for the reasons for the failure. Shown in the nearby graph is the measured annual temperature of the earth since 1989, just before the first report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Also shown are the projections of the likely increase of temperature, as published in the Summaries of each of the four IPCC reports, the first in the year 1990 and the last in the year 2007.

These projections were based on IPCC computer models of how increased atmospheric CO2 should warm the earth. Some of the models predict higher or lower rates of warming, but the projections shown in the graph and their extensions into the distant future are the basis of most studies of environmental effects and mitigation policy options. Year-to-year fluctuations and discrepancies are unimportant; longer-term trends are significant.
From the graph it appears that the projections exaggerate, substantially, the response of the earth's temperature to CO2 which increased by about 11% from 1989 through 2011. Furthermore, when one examines the historical temperature record throughout the 20th century and into the 21st, the data strongly suggest a much lower CO2 effect than almost all models calculate.

The Trenberth letter tells us that "computer models have recently shown that during periods when there is a smaller increase of surface temperatures, warming is occurring elsewhere in the climate system, typically in the deep ocean." The ARGO system of diving buoys is providing increasingly reliable data on the temperature of the upper layers of the ocean, where much of any heat from global warming must reside. But much like the surface temperature shown in the graph, the heat content of the upper layers of the world's oceans is not increasing nearly as fast as IPCC models.

Graph source:
http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052970203646004577213244084429540.html
predict, perhaps not increasing at all. Why should we now believe exaggerating IPCC models that tell us of "missing heat" hiding in the one place where it cannot yet be reliably measured—the deep ocean?

Given this dubious track record of prediction, it is entirely reasonable to ask for a second opinion. We have offered ours. With apologies for any immodesty, we all have enjoyed distinguished careers in climate science or in key science and engineering disciplines (such as physics, aeronautics, geology, biology, forecasting) on which climate science is based.

Trenberth et al. tell us that the managements of major national academies of science have said that "the science is clear, the world is heating up and humans are primarily responsible." Apparently every generation of humanity needs to relearn that Mother Nature tells us what the science is, not authoritarian academy bureaucrats or computer models.

One reason to be on guard, as we explained in our original op-ed, is that motives other than objective science are at work in much of the scientific establishment. All of us are members of major academies and scientific societies, but we urge Journal readers not to depend on pompous academy pronouncements—on what we say—but to follow the motto of the Royal Society of Great Britain, one of the oldest learned societies in the world: nullius in verba—take nobody's word for it. As we said in our op-ed, everyone should look at certain stubborn facts that don't fit the theory espoused in the Trenberth letter, for example—the graph of surface temperature above, and similar data for the temperature of the lower atmosphere and the upper oceans.

What are we to make of the letter's claim: "Climate experts know that the long-term warming trend has not abated in the
past decade. In fact, it was the warmest decade on record." We don't see any warming trend after the year 2000 in the graph. It is true that the years 2000-2010 were perhaps 0.2°C warmer than the preceding 10 years. But the record indicates that long before CO2 concentrations of the atmosphere began to increase, the earth began to warm in fits and starts at the end of the Little Ice Age—hundreds of years ago. This long term-trend is quite likely to produce several warm years in a row. The question is how much of the warming comes from CO2 and how much is due to other, both natural and anthropogenic, factors?

There have been many times in the past when there were warmer decades. It may have been warmer in medieval times, when the Vikings settled Greenland, and when wine was exported from England. Many proxy indicators show that the Medieval Warming was global in extent. And there were even warmer periods a few thousand years ago during the Holocene Climate Optimum. The fact is that there are very powerful influences on the earth's climate that have nothing to do with human-generated CO2. The graph strongly suggests that the IPCC has greatly underestimated the natural sources of warming (and cooling) and has greatly exaggerated the warming from CO2.

The Trenberth letter states: "Research shows that more than 97% of scientists actively publishing in the field agree that climate change is real and human caused." However, the claim of 97% support is deceptive. The surveys contained trivial polling questions that even we would agree with. Thus, these surveys find that large majorities agree that temperatures have increased since 1800 and that human activities have some impact.

But what is being disputed is the size and nature of the human contribution to global warming. To claim, as the Trenberth
letter apparently does, that disputing this constitutes "extreme views that are out of step with nearly every other climate expert" is peculiar indeed.

One might infer from the Trenberth letter that scientific facts are determined by majority vote. Some postmodern philosophers have made such claims. But scientific facts come from observations, experiments and careful analysis, not from the near-unanimous vote of some group of people.

The continued efforts of the climate establishment to eliminate "extreme views" can acquire a seriously threatening nature when efforts are directed at silencing scientific opposition. In our op-ed we mentioned the campaign circa 2003 to have Dr. Chris de Freitas removed not only from his position as editor of the journal Climate Research, but from his university job as well. Much of that campaign is documented in Climategate emails, where one of the signatories of the Trenberth et al. letter writes: "I believe that a boycott against publishing, reviewing for, or even citing articles from Climate Research [then edited by Dr. de Freitas] is certainly warranted, but perhaps the minimum action that should be taken."

Or consider the resignation last year of Wolfgang Wagner, editor-in-chief of the journal Remote Sensing. In a fulsome resignation editorial eerily reminiscent of past recantations by political and religious heretics, Mr. Wagner confessed to his "sin" of publishing a properly peer-reviewed paper by University of Alabama scientists Roy Spencer and William Braswell containing the finding that IPCC models exaggerate the warming caused by increasing CO2.

The Trenberth letter tells us that decarbonization of the world's economy would "drive decades of economic growth." This is not a scientific statement nor is there evidence it is
true. A premature global-scale transition from hydrocarbon fuels would require massive government intervention to support the deployment of more expensive energy technology. If there were economic advantages to investing in technology that depends on taxpayer support, companies like Beacon Power, Evergreen Solar, Solar Millenium, SpectraWatt, Solyndra, Ener1 and the Renewable Energy Development Corporation would be prospering instead of filing for bankruptcy in only the past few months.

The European experience with green technologies has also been discouraging. A study found that every new "green job" in Spain destroyed more than two existing jobs and diverted capital that would have created new jobs elsewhere in the economy. More recently, European governments have been cutting subsidies for expensive CO2-emissionless energy technologies, not what one would expect if such subsidies were stimulating otherwise languid economies. And as we pointed out in our op-ed, it is unlikely that there will be any environmental benefit from the reduced CO2 emissions associated with green technologies, which are based on the demonization of CO2.

Turning to the letter of the president of the American Physical Society (APS), Robert Byer, we read, "The statement [on climate] does not declare, as the signatories of the letter [our op-ed] suggest, that the human contribution to climate change is incontrovertible." This seems to suggest that APS does not in fact consider the science on this key question to be settled. Yet here is the critical paragraph from the statement that caused the resignation of Nobel laureate Ivar Giaever and many other long-time members of the APS: "The evidence is incontrovertible: Global warming is occurring. If no mitigating actions are taken, significant disruptions in the Earth's physical and ecological systems, social systems,
security and human health are likely to occur. We must reduce emissions of greenhouse gases beginning now." No reasonable person can read this and avoid the conclusion that APS is declaring the human impact "incontrovertible." Otherwise there would be no logical link from "global warming" to the shrill call for mitigation.

The APS response to the concerns of its membership was better than that of any other scientific society, but it was not democratic. The management of APS took months to review the statement quoted above, and it eventually declared that not a word needed to be changed, though some 750 words were added to try to explain what the original 157 words really meant. APS members were permitted to send in comments but the comments were never made public.

In spite of the obstinacy of some in APS management, APS members of good will are supporting the establishment of a politics-free, climate physics study group within the Society. If successful, it will facilitate much needed discussion, debate, and independent research in the physics of climate.

In summary, science progresses by testing predictions against real world data obtained from direct observations and rigorous experiments. The stakes in the global-warming debate are much too high to ignore this observational evidence and declare the science settled. Though there are many more scientists who are extremely well qualified and have reached the same conclusions we have, we stress again that science is not a democratic exercise and our conclusions must be based on observational evidence.

The computer-model predictions of alarming global warming have seriously exaggerated the warming by CO2 and have underestimated other causes. Since CO2 is not a pollutant but a substantial benefit to agriculture, and since its warming
potential has been greatly exaggerated, it is time for the world to rethink its frenzied pursuit of decarbonization at any cost.

[Signed by]

Claude Allegre, former director of the Institute for the Study of the Earth, University of Paris; J. Scott Armstrong, cofounder of the Journal of Forecasting and the International Journal of Forecasting; Jan Breslow, head of the Laboratory of Biochemical Genetics and Metabolism, Rockefeller University; Roger Cohen, fellow, American Physical Society; Edward David, member, National Academy of Engineering and National Academy of Sciences; William Happer, professor of physics, Princeton; Michael Kelly, professor of technology, University of Cambridge, U.K.; William Kininmonth, former head of climate research at the Australian Bureau of Meteorology; Richard Lindzen, professor of atmospheric sciences, MIT; James McGrath, professor of chemistry, Virginia Technical University; Rodney Nichols, former president and CEO of the New York Academy of Sciences; Burt Rutan, aerospace engineer, designer of Voyager and SpaceShipOne; Harrison H. Schmitt, Apollo 17 astronaut and former U.S. senator; Nir Shaviv, professor of astrophysics, Hebrew University, Jerusalem; Henk Tennekes, former director, Royal Dutch Meteorological Service; Antonio Zichichi, president of the World Federation of Scientists, Geneva.

'Data for vital 'hockey stick graph' has gone missing

There has been no global warming since 1995

Warming periods have happened before – but NOT due to man-made changes'

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vYj5baVfB0Y


Project Humanbeingsfirst.org Says in its Letter to Editor to both:

January 27th, 2010 at 1:02 pm

Hello.

Don’t be fooled. The agenda for which global warming was constructed has obviously nothing to do with weather, climate, or environment. But with full-spectrum control of human life through the architecture of carbon-credit.

And that agenda can be pushed with many more mantras, including still, climate-change (in any direction).

Try not patting one’s self on the back like the anti-war movement did with the size of turnouts irrespective of whether it actually scuttled war or not. Here, unless and until all the diabolical architectures of global governance, inter alia, carbon credit, are scuttled, “the mad faith that has cost us so many futile billions already” will not only continue to cost several times that, but also cement incremental faits accomplis through various manufactured ‘hegelian mind fcks’ longer matters linger.

See: Between Global Warming and Global Governance – Concern for Environment is a ‘Hegelian Mind Fck’!

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org


[8] The hockey stick is wrong and result of bad science http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-1k4mFZr-gE


[12] Climategate Code Proves Inadequate, bogus data http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v8X2P3072Tg
“As long as a child breathes the poisoned air of nationalism, education in world-mindedness can produce only precarious results. As we have pointed out, it is frequently the family that infects the child with extreme nationalism. The schools therefore use the means described earlier to combat family attitudes that favor jingoism (nationalism)…we shall presently recognize in nationalism the major obstacle to development of world mindedness. We are at the beginning of a long process of breaking down the walls of national sovereignty. UNESCO must be the pioneer.” -- William Benton, Assistant U.S. Secretary of State at UNESCO 1946 (UNESCO is the United Nations Education, Science and Cultural Organization)

“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.
Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed.

The question is whether this more modest approach can do the job. Can it really bring mankind into the twenty-first century with reasonable prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity? The argument thus far suggests it better had, for there seems to be no alternative. But the evidence also suggests some grounds for cautious optimism.” (pages 558-559)

[15] MIT Professor of Meteorology, Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Dr. Richard S. Lindzen, in on the Conspiracy Theory (?) – Conspiracy Theory with Jesse Ventura, S01E03 Global Warming, Minute 50:20, “I personally think that Global Warming will turn out to be a disgrace to the scientific community and the environmental movement. ... You have the environmental movement with this flagship. You have Carbon Trading which is a trillion, multi-trillion dollar business. You have the science funding. By now it's estimated the US alone has spent something on the order of 70 billion dollars on research. The last thing in the world anyone would want to do is solve the problem. Why shake this gravy-train. ... Good or bad, the temperature stopped increasing, so the projections of disaster have basically been folding. The agendas essentially are: raising money, raising taxes, gaining control of peoples' lives, and the fact that the consumer and the taxpayer will not benefit from it. Well, we'll satisfy them by telling them they are saving the world. And that they should get a high from that.” See tepid press coverage of Ventura's Global Warming investigation: http://www.examiner.com/x-13886-Environmental-Policy-

**Short URL:** http://tinyurl.com/Global-Warming-Mind-Fck

**Source URL:** http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/12/nb-on-global-warming.html
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Chapter 33

Medicine in the Service of Empire

What's the truth about modern medicine?

Caption Perspective: Oh what a difference even a
slight shift can make! (Image courtesy of Desiree L.
Rover's Presentation on Vaccinations, August 1,
2009)

This comprehensive report looks forensically at the world of modern medicine from an alternate perspective. And oh what a difference even a slight shift can make!

We already know what the perspective is from the eyes of innocence. It is best captured in the momentous words of international banker and chairman emeritus of Chase Manhattan Bank, David Rockefeller himself: “Improved public health, has caused the world's infant mortality rate to decline by 60 percent over the last 40 years. In the same period, the world's average life expectancy has increased from 46 years in 1950s to 63 years today. This is a development which as individuals we can only applaud.” (see speech below)

To examine the perspective from the eyes of a predator however, perhaps the best place to begin is with my exclamation on learning the following:

“Bed-bugs cause smallpox! I had no idea. In Pakistan, as children, like all of our generation in most developing nations, we were inoculated against smallpox and I remember being taught that it was spread by human contact!” --- Zahir Ebrahim's incredulous response to the sleuthing by Twelfth Bough on their discovering the remarkable research of Dr. Charles A. R. Campbell, M. D. and the following revealing talk by medical research journalist Desiree L.
Rover.


[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fa2TcARACrg]
Désirée Röver II: “Vaccinations - the unknown story” at the Open Mind Conference 2012

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dCDbup1eo8Q]

Now let's watch David Rockefeller, whose grandfathers were the key architects of modern Western medicine, express his grave concerns for overpopulation.

David Rockefeller speaks about population control at the UN Ambassadors' Dinner

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ClqUcScwnn8]

“Ironically however, the very innovations that are making possible dramatic improvements in human well-being are also creating new problems which raise the spectre of an alarming and possibly cata-
strophic disaster to the biosphere we live in. And herein lies the dilemma that we all face. Let me illustrate. Improved public health, has caused the world's infant mortality rate to decline by 60 percent over the last 40 years. In the same period, the world's average life expectancy has increased from 46 years in 1950s to 63 years today. This is a development which as individuals we can only applaud. However the result of these positive measures is that the world population that has risen during the same short period of time geometrically to almost 6 billion people, and can exceed easily 8 billion by the year 2020. The negative impact of population growth on all of our planetary eco-systems is becoming appallingly evident. The rapid growing exploitation of the world's supply of energy and water is a matter of deep concern. And the toxic by products of widespread industrialization and increased atmospheric pollution to dangerous levels. Unless nations will agree to work together to tackle these cross-border challenges posed by population growth over consumption of resources and environmental degradation, prospects for a decent life on our planet will be threatened. The recent UN meeting in Cairo is appropriately focussed on one of these key issues, population growth. But the controversies which have erupted at the conference illustrate the problem of coming to grips with issues that are deeply divisive and which have a profound moral dimension. The United Nations can and should play an essential role in helping the world find a satisfactory way of stabilizing the world population and stimulating economic development in a manner that is sensitive to religious and moral considerations. Eco-
economic growth is of course an inevitable corollary of a growing population, and is essential to improved standards of living. But without careful coordination, unrestrained economic growth poses further threats to our environment. This was a major subject of discussion at the conference in Rio de Janeiro on the environment two years ago. The focus then was on sustainable growth, and global development. [Agenda 21] It was pointed out at the conference that growth is most efficiently managed by the private sector, but regulation of the process by national governments and international bodies is also needed. And once again, United Nations can certainly be among the catalysts and coordinators of this process.” --- David Rockefeller, Annual UN Ambassadors' Dinner Sep 14, 1994

Why is the chairman emeritus of Chase Manhattan Bank, David Rockefeller, a private banker, so concerned about the earth's population? Who elected or appointed him to be so concerned? His enormous wealth? Indeed. And this concern of the private wealthy international bankers was most frankly articulated by Robert Strange McNamara, the former Secretary of Defence under presidents Kennedy and Johnson (1961 to 1968) who presided over the decimation of Vietnam, Laos and Cambodia, in 1970 as the new head of the World Bank upon leaving government service:

“… [Population growth is] the gravest issue that the world faces over the years ahead. … It is not a world that any of us would want to live in. Is such a world inevitable? It is not sure but there are two possible ways by which a world of 10 billion people can be averted. Either the current birth rates must come down more quickly or the current death rates must go up. There is no other way.” --- Robert Mc-
Namara, Speech to International bankers as Head of the World Bank, October 2, 1970, cited in: Population control, US bio-weapons research, McNamara, Rockefeller, and AIDS

Why are these private unelected international bankers and war-mon- gers trying to reduce the world's population? Is there a political theory and social architecture behind all this? Well, if the Georgia Guide- stones are to be believed, the answer is evidently yes. Etched in 18 feet tall granite stone monument in Elbert County, Georgia, USA, are the new Ten Commandments for a new world, written in English, Spanish, Swahili, Hindi, Hebrew, Arabic, Chinese, and Russian:

1. Maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature.
2. Guide reproduction wisely — improving fitness and diversity.
3. Unite humanity with a living new language.
4. Rule passion — faith — tradition — and all things with tempered reason.
5. Protect people and nations with fair laws and just courts.
6. Let all nations rule internally resolving external disputes in a world court.
7. Avoid petty laws and useless officials.
8. Balance personal rights with social duties.
9. Prize truth — beauty — love — seeking harmony with the infinite.
10. Be not a cancer on the earth — Leave room for nature — Leave room for nature.

Let's ask some probing questions here. How is Commandment number 1 on the Georgia Guidestones linked with:

i. the vaccination regimen of modern medicine given that the
same international bankers who architected modern medicine, and who architected the modern private central banks which loan money on interest to Western governments as their national debt, are also the loudest in their expression of concern for overpopulation, for the degradation of the environment, and are the real powers behind the United Nations Agenda 21 which was signed in Rio in 1992 by almost all member states of the United Nations? (see United Nations Agenda 21, condensed version, 10 minutes dummies' version, The Move to Depopulate the Planet By Stephanie R. Pasco, Codex Alimentarius & Nutricide by Dr. Rima Laibow, Agenda 21 for Dummies, http://tinyurl.com/Agenda-21-for-Dummies-UN);

ii. the military grade bioweapons research and development of the most contagious viral pathogens along with their dispersal systems that can wipe out the entire human race? (see 'Anthrax isn't scary at all compared to this': Man-made flu virus with potential to wipe out many millions if it ever escaped is created in research lab, November 28, 2011)

Is the House of Rockefeller paradigmed modern medicine, alongside the obviously deadly biowarfare contagion coming from both the military's and big-pharma's bioweapons research labs, out to implement what Robert Strange McNamara had so monumentally stated, and which only reminds one of Hellenic gods of antiquity playing their mortifying games on earth: “Either the current birth rates must come down more quickly or the current death rates must go up. There is no other way.”?

Between the manmade diseases and its concocted poisonous antidotes, the Commandment number 1 on the Georgia Guidestones could be realized in some sophisticated bioweapon warfare protocol unsuspiciously unleashed on human populations without much compunction if some entities really meant to reduce the world's population to those levels, couldn't it?
Eustace Mullins' 1988 underground classic Murder By Injection spoke to the same conspiracy by the Rockefeller cabal controlled medical profession – to ultimately vaccinate people to death against viruses and to blame it on natural causes; in the interim to vaccinate people into poor-health from the moment of birth and make them dependent on big-pharma's palliative concoctions for the rest of their life, palliatives which treat symptoms and complications from treatments for lifetime. Man made viruses and pandemics can sure come in handy for that purpose of population reduction. The Swine Flu Pandemic of 2009 and the pretext to microchip the population came to underscore the same conclusions. It is not just “vaccination” by itself, which may or may not be effective treatment for specific disease with specific vaccine, and/or have harmful iatrogenicity (and there is surely something awry in this healthcare paradigm when a baby before it is one year old is administered 50 different vaccines and with increasing legal authority worldwide which makes refusing vaccine a “crime”. See for example: Balochistan govt to make refusal against polio vaccine 'a crime', Dawn News, June 13, 2015; Impending Mandatory Vaccinations Will Affect The Health, Jobs of Canadians, preventdisease.com, September 23, 2009; Wake Up, America: Forced vaccinations, quarantine camps, health care interrogations and mandatory "decontaminations", naturalnews.com, August 28, 2009.

The larger and more pressing concern is the calculated and premeditated infection with deadly biowarfare contagion developed in research laboratories and injected with vaccines into human bodies under the deceit of healthcare (often in forced vaccination programs) – biological-bullet vaccine weapons that directly provoke the disease and its epidemic dispersion; vaccines as combination weapons (vaccines that become a weapon when combined in the same person to trigger the immune system but not weapons by themselves) that induce the body's immune system to fight and kill its own healthy cells and tissues – auto-suicide binary vaccine weapons; vaccines which affect only certain types of people with specific DNA or specific biological or im-
munological characteristics for selective genocide in the same or offspring generation – targeted-genocide binary weapons; etceteras. It is in this context that vaccines may come to rival the proverbial Deathstar (from Star Wars, a single weapon of mass destruction at planetary scale). The killing modalities with vaccines are only limited by the mad scientists' collective imagination, and of course by the state of science. A good fictional narrative of the capabilities which are presented as entertaining science fiction today can be seen in the prescient Hollywood fable Bourne series, fourth episode, titled “Bourne Legacy”, and also in the movie “V for Vendetta”. The fact that big-pharma leader Baxter released real live Bird Flu Virus in their flu vaccine is of course only an accident, of life imitating art, as Baxter International claimed (see Bloomberg: Baxter Sent Bird Flu Virus to European Labs by Error, February 24, 2009, and Vaccines as Biological Weapons? Live Avian Flu Virus Placed in Baxter Vaccine Materials Sent to 18 Countries, March 03, 2009). Obvious questions like the following ones were raised even by mainstream narrators looking at the fishbowl with childlike simplicity. LifeGen.de, the German special interest online-magazine which claims to have 90,000 subscribers, in its editorial of February 26, 2009, pondered:

“The oops” plan is one of the continuous marvels of information age where entire nations can be decimated with more Depleted Uranium laced tonnage of munitions than were dropped on Vietnam, their tabula rasa bombed to smithereens under carefully crafted mantras as pretext for imperial mobilization, and only the marvelous “oops” is sufficient for the hectoring hegemons to absolve themselves of the white man’s burden.

"There is no excuse. According to the scientific network PROMED, Baxter International Inc. in Austria unintentionally contaminated samples with the bird flu virus that were used in laboratories in 3 neigh-
bouring countries, raising concern about the potential spread of the deadly disease”. Austria, Germany, Slovenia [Slovenia] and the Czech Republic – these are the countries in which labs were hit with dangerous viruses. **Not by bioterrorist commandos, but by Baxter. In other words: One of the major global pharmaceutical players seems to have lost control over a virus which is considered by many virologists to be one of the components leading some day to a new pandemic. Was it H5N1, or the even more risky H3N2? And what about the BSL3-Standards Baxter is operating when handling the viruses? What happened? And who failed?” -- LifeGen.de, Baxter’s H5N1 as global flu pandemic threat

We see this theme repeating itself by their own admission! Had the “accident” succeeded in wiping out a large population of mankind, at worst, it would have been deemed a tragic “accident”! At best, only a natural pandemic. In either presentation of this public relations, the agenda of population reduction being achieved with no one to blame! The big-pharma has already been given legal immunity against any wrong-doings for their vaccines in the guise of rushing pandemic-fighting solutions rapidly to market. Even this “accident” had no punitive and legal repercussions for Baxter International as such! They are exempt even if their vaccines kill people! It is only an ex post facto “oops”! And that is precisely both the legal and the public relations plan – right before your very eyes!

The “oops” plan is one of the continuous marvels of information age where entire nations can be decimated with more Depleted Uranium laced tonnage of munitions than were dropped on Vietnam, their tabula rasa bombed to smithereens under carefully crafted mantras as pretext for *imperial mobilization*, and only the marvelous “oops” is sufficient for the *hectoring hegemons* to absolve themselves of the *white man's burden*. The Iraq Study Group of 2005 commissioned by
president George W. Bush to “investigate” the “intelligence failure” on Iraq, set an incontrovertible trend to be repeated on all matters of primacy before the criminally idiotic public sufficiently dumbed down to permit the fait accompli in the first place. Afterwards – “oops” works, if even necessary: “We conclude that the intelligence community was dead wrong in almost all of its prewar judgments about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. This was a major intelligence failure.”

Even admitting that it was Machiavellianly orchestrated, ex post facto is acceptable because it cannot undo what's already been wrought (see Pentagon's Message Machine, New York Times, April 20, 2008). A senior White House advisor had shamelessly explained the modern methods of primacy and its predatory imperatives to the New York Times correspondent in 2004, flush with the hubris of being untouchable: "We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do."! (Ron Suskind, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004). The same modus operandi is at work in this yet another create a problem and offer its solution scheming of pandemic-vaccinations.

What this Baxter “accident” fortuitously betrayed to the world was the method's existence to infect the world population with deadly viruses through vaccines! And had it not been serendipitously caught by a diligent practitioner outside Baxter before administering it to humans, leading up to the forced vaccinations of civilian populations worldwide, shows that all the concerns which have been discussed above are not only practicable, but perhaps already in “clinical trials”! The legalized backing from global laws on vaccinations through the WHO is already in place to force public vaccination under the pretext of fighting the pandemic. Even the definition of what is a “pandemic” has extraordinarily been revised to facilitate its easy declaration:
“WHO has revised its definition of pandemic flu in response to current experience with A/H1N1.” reported BMJ in September 2009.

Despite all these disclosures, presenting its baby face of wonderment to the world, the Council on Foreign Relations in New York held a Pandemic Influenza Conference on October 16, 2009, where it complained about the anemic uptake in public vaccinations. One scholar from the audience lamented the public's resistance to being vaccinated against the swine flu during this stage-6 pandemic declaration in these words: “[anti-vaccine movement] Crazy people who think the vaccine will kill you”. The panelist thought up a clever public relations plan to increase the vaccine uptake (only half-jokingly I am sure): “Having said that, does it work to mandate [vaccination]? I think what would work better would be to say that there was a shortage and people tend to buy more of something that's in demand. (Laughter.) We saw that -- there was one season where, really, people lined up all night to get a flu shot.” (see The Swine Flu Chronicles 2009: Why to say ‘No’ to the Swine Flu Vaccine)

Fortuitously for mankind, the 2009 Swine Flu Pandemic “clinical trial” actually was defeated by circumstances which could not be controlled by the vaccination committees peddling the pandemic despite all their advanced preparations. Even the DER SPIEGEL staff could only conclude in their best innocence after their exhaustive study which appeared in their March 12, 2010 issue: “No one at the WHO, RKI or PEI should feel proud of themselves. These organizations have gambled away precious confidence. When the next pandemic arrives, who will believe their assessments?” (see The Swine Flu Postscript: 'Reconstruction of a Mass Hysteria – The Swine Flu Panic of 2009').
Caption Reconstruction of a Mass Hysteria – The Swine Flu Panic of 2009 (image source courtesy of DER SPIEGEL staff)

Is all this alternate perspective just hyper-imagination? On the surface it seems far fetched doesn't it? What monstrous entity would be so heinous as to want to play god, and even not fear not getting away with it? Who has that kind of global power? Again – without a gestalt shift in perspective, the feline's instincts for predation cannot be understood by the baby staring at the beauty of creation!

But what really is the real truth regarding modern medicine?

Is it pro-life (“Primum non nocere”: “First, do no harm”), or is it pro-population reduction?

If it is the latter, then how comes whenever I go to the doctor when I
I usually come back healthy – I should be dead by now if they were really trying to kill me!

But if they are really trying to heal me, and improved public health and safety is really the principal prime directive, then why is the big-pharma business model actually dependent on the public's illness?

Why are drugs, and especially vaccines, rushed to market with poor testing for safety and efficacy?

Why has big-pharma been given immunity from lawsuit for adverse effects of their concoctions? Why are the normal consumer protections removed from big-pharma?

And under this environment of enforced compliance and weak to zero consumer protection, why are mandatory vaccinations instituted with legal force under the threat of denial of privileges and services including imprisonment as punishment for non-compliance?

It is as if being an enslaved populace with no liberty to choose being the best form of healthcare! Sort of like saying that the safest place is a prison! Is that really in the public interest --- to enslave it for its own good?

How much more Orwellian can the Newspeak get before the public finally learns to love its own servitude?

Furthermore, even ignoring the aforesaid dystopic reality of social control, if they are really trying to heal me, and improved public health and safety is really the principal prime directive, then why do they preclude funding the research, development and testing of other non big-pharma healthcare modalities such as alternate medicine and not-for-profit medicine based on what is freely available in nature, just as they do big-pharma medicines and allopathic treatments? Why are physicians and hospitals not trained in these alternate modalities of healthcare as part of the overall public health policy?

Is private big-pharma's monopoly control over the national and international healthcare parameters worldwide, and Rockefeller's restruc-
turing of America's medical schools and teaching hospitals to cater to big-pharma, just good old fashioned monopoly capitalism like the one the private Federal Reserve Bank of the United States enjoys in issuing this nation's money? Both evidently enjoy the same clique of ownership controllers, the Western financial oligarchy rooted in the Rockefeller cabal in the United States and the Rothschild cabal in Europe (see Rockefeller Medicine Men – Medicine and Capitalism in America by E. Richard Brown, 1979, and Secrets of the Federal Reserve – The London Connection, 1952, 1993).

Well, if such private monopoly control is okay for the financial oligarchy controlling a nation's money supply (sic!), why is it not okay for the same oligarchy controlling the nation's health supply? David Rockefeller indeed took great pains in stating his altruistic preference at the UN Ambassador's dinner speech: “It was pointed out at the conference that growth is most efficiently managed by the private sector, but regulation of the process by national governments and international bodies is also needed. And once again, United Nations can certainly be among the catalysts and coordinators of this process.” Well, the oligarchic cabal conveniently controls the most pertinent private sector, big-pharma, and also international bodies like the UN. The land for the present location of the United Nations headquarters in Manhattan was donated by the House of Rockefeller. The original UNO headquarters was proposed to be in the City of San Francisco on the West Coast of United States, but the pivots of power, the Eastern Establishment (see Carroll Quigley's The Anglo-American Establishment, 1981, and Antony Sutton's America's Secret Establishment - An Introduction to the Order of Skull & Bones, 1983), thought it better to move control of international bodies then just being setup under the new Pax Americana, closer to their own base operation, just like their Council on Foreign Relations, New York City.

Is there anything insidiously diabolical or nefarious about privatized and unelected nexus of public policy-making by a handful of elite, rubber stamped by the elected representatives to give it a veneer of le-
gitimacy and to maintain the pretenses of “democracy”, and the policies foisted upon the world's public through the United Nations' supra national bodies such as the WHO which today controls the entire globe's population by way of international treaties and protocols? The answer must be in the affirmative! That indeed a small group of men regardless of how benevolent, deciding the future of mankind is scientific dictatorship in the making. Thus it is imperative to learn whether or not that's indeed the case in reality.

How can one distinguish between modern medicine's apparent faux pas amidst its seemingly well-intentioned attempts to heal in the short term (see *iatrogenic* death rate in *Death By Medicine* and big-pharma's Chemotherapy 'could cause brain damage' in breast cancer patients), vs. well-documented but arguably circumstantial evidence of long term conspiracies to exterminate for eugenics and population reduction by creating wily pretexts through Hegelian Dialectics, acquire full spectrum control of life itself which was once deemed beyond tampering, and make profits for big-pharma which controls all aspects of modern medicine today?

Well, this is how: perspective shift! A *gestalt shift* not based on whimsical mistrust, but on overcoming *confirmation and data availability bias*. That's just science. By not ignoring unpleasant and inconvenient data, by not ignoring unfavorable conclusions, and by acquiring eyes that understand primacy which often does not leave its footprints behind – unless it is the bones stripped of flesh.
Or, is the unspeakable fact of the matter really this: (a) that modern medicine, as part of modern science and technology, is yet another tortuous link in the conspiracy by the oligarchy to scientifically control life itself in the dystopic one world government which has been in open-planning for a very long time; (b) that modern medicine is generally a healing craft in the short-term under the for-profit monopoly control of the financial oligarchy which funds and oversees modern medicine via its ownership of the big-pharma and its various administering, legislating, regulating, licensing, and fund granting organizations like the AMA, NIH, CDC, FDA, NSF, FAO, WHO; and (c) that in the longer term the agenda is clearly to scientifically control human reproduction, world population, and all human life – in a global scientific dictatorship!
Far fetched? No normal person can easily accept (a) or (c) because “the individual is handicapped by coming face to face with a conspiracy so monstrous he cannot believe it exists.” In fact, that perceptive understanding of psychology naturally leads to the construction of the “big lie” for effective propaganda warfare: “All this was inspired by the principle--which is quite true in itself--that in the big lie there is always a certain force of credibility; because the broad masses of a nation are always more easily corrupted in the deeper strata of their emotional nature than consciously or voluntarily; and thus in the primitive simplicity of their minds they more readily fall victims to the big lie than the small lie.” (J. Edgar Hoover and Adolf Hitler in Mein Kampf, respectively)

Can one imagine such a dystopian universal controller in a scientific dictatorship which would want to “standardize the population, to iron-out inconvenient human differences, to create so to say mass produced models of human beings arranged in some kind of a scientific caste system ... to get people actually to love their servitude”?

Here is one depicted in Aldous Huxley's 1931 fable Brave New World. And that preceding quoted description is also Aldous Huxley's own from his 1962 speech at UC Berkeley, titled The Ultimate Revolution. Listen to that 40 minute most revealing speech before going for Brave New World – it is almost as if Huxley is reading off of some blueprint of the future:

**The Ultimate Revolution**

[http://archive.org/download/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution_64k-b.m3u]
Brave New World
Watch movie

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hn5yUgci5Zg]

Listen to Brave New World audio CBS Theater of The Mind
With Aldous Huxley


Well, one of the major themes of the fable Brave New World, the frightening depiction of dehumanization of human beings in a scientific dictatorship, is birth control, separation of sexuality from reproduction, and sexualizing children beginning at a very young age (see Parents upset over crotchless panties sold in kid's store, cached, watch video). Signs are all around us wherever Westerndom's cultural influences pervade, for setting the stage for a new world in which sex plays no part in reproduction but is encouraged for recreation and bodily needs without the traditional bounds of marriage. It is of course the only method by which to achieve the controlled flat birth-rate consistent with Commandment number 1 of the Georgia Guidestones quoted above while permitting unlimited recreational sex.

The psychological (for each individual), and sociological (for the society), conditioning necessary for such asexual reproduction must begin in childhood, just as is depicted in Aldous Huxley's fable. Elements of the fable are now visibly beginning to match with our empirical reality where even pre-adolescent elementary school kids are ex-
posed to it in pop culture – that early introduction being the prime Trojan Horse for infiltrating and subverting conservative mores and customs. Subversion of tradition is necessary before such a dystopia where family bonds are replaced with collectivist functional bonds under control of big brother, can be achieved.

Control of sexuality however is still just one link in the greater interconnected chain which includes research in big-pharma, modern medicine, technology, and all aspects of socialization, for the full spectrum control of mind-body-spirit of human beings in a scientific dictatorship. Yes, even spirit, for which a new religion has been conceived, Secular Humanism! (see Brian Gerrish 'State of the Nation')

All of this has been most effectively enabled by the “Technetronic Era”. That neologism was coined by Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski in his 1970 book *Between Two Ages – America's role in the Technetronic Era*. Brzezinski, like Aldous Huxley before him, coldly observed:

“Life seems to lack cohesion as environment rapidly alters and human beings become increasingly manipulable and malleable. Everything seems more transitory and temporary: external reality more fluid than solid, the human being more synthetic than authentic. Even our senses perceive an entirely novel "reality"—one of our own making but nevertheless, in terms of our sensations, quite "real."

More important, there is already widespread concern about the possibility of biological and chemical tampering with what has until now been considered the immutable essence of man. Human conduct, some argue, can be predetermined and subjected to deliberate control. Man is increasingly acquiring the capacity to determine the sex of his children, to affect through drugs the extent of their intelligence, and to modify and control their personalities. Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, "I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of
While Aldous Huxley may be dismissed by the college educated super-learned skeptic as just an overly imaginative novelist writing fine dystopic literature for study in eighth grade English literature class, the preceding words are those of America's National Security Advisor who, some two and half decades later, also admitted ex post facto that he had set up the trap for Soviet intervention in Afghanistan in 1979, and when they fell into that trap and invaded that Muslim country on 24 Dec 1979: “The day that the Soviets officially crossed the border, I wrote to President Carter. We now have the opportunity of giving to the USSR its Vietnam war.” Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski is also the author of *The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geopolitical Imperatives*, 1996, which is the psychological and political blueprint for how to involve the United States in a lifetime of war: “Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. [Because] the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being.” (pgs. 211, 44)

And aren't we living under that exact same threat template today in a perpetual World War IV? See 'War on Terror' is not about 'Islamofascism' – Please get with the real agenda you people! and “Al Qaeda operatives who look western”.

Only when such an overarching societal control agenda is understood, does the perception management and social engineering politics of two steps forward and one step backwards in such headlines as the following begin to make any sense: Supreme Court Blocks Government Plan To Claim Ownership Of DNA. Why does the government want to own your child's DNA? And they will, eventually, under one pretext or another.
Research in medicine has today inextricably become linked with bioweapons research, genetics, and DNA/cellular level manipulation of life and its food sources, right alongside soft behavior modification techniques of perception management and psychological warfare operations that manipulate the human mind and the intellectual functioning of people by manipulating the environment around them. Brzezinski's statement from 1970: “Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, "I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain."”, is undeniably already empirical in its entirety. The political thinker's prescient words, as the establishment's premiere global strategist and office holder (see Brzezinski's bio), is a bold confirmation of the truth of the overarching disclosure here of the agenda for full spectrum societal control of all aspects of human life. It is not a “conspiracy theory”. It is an actual open conspiracy, in plainsight. And big-pharma medicine is right smack in the middle of it as the unsuspecting delivery vehicle of biochemical manipulation of human functioning.

In combination with big Agri-business and genetically modified pesticide laden food stock being forced upon the world (see Codex Alimentarius and the Monsanto world), and the vaccination regimen also being forced upon the world, induced sterility over a generation or two will see drastic reduction in world population beginning with the third world peoples. There is simply no other reason for Microsoft founder Bill Gates to be so concerned with polio, mosquitos and malaria, throughout Asia and Africa and vaccinating the world's poorest children for free when the indigent peoples don't even have clean drinking water, basic hygiene, or roof over their head, never mind one square meal a day for which Bill Gates does not seem too concerned: “Bill Gates, the Microsoft founder and philanthropist, will donate $10 billion (£6 billion) over the next decade to develop and deliver
new vaccines to children in the developing world in one of the biggest ever single charitable donations. Speaking at the World Economic Forum in Davos, Mr Gates called for a "decade of vaccines" to reduce child mortality dramatically by 2020. By the end of the decade, 90 per cent of children in the world's poorest nations would be immunised against dangerous diseases such as diarrhoea and pneumonia, he said, saving some eight million lives.” (“decade of vaccines”, The Telegraph, 29 Jan 2010)

Here is Mr Gates in his Feb 2010 TED Talk Innovating to Zero: “If you gave me only one wish for the next 50 years -- I could pick who's president, I could pick a vaccine, which is something I love, ...” The video shows Gates' infamous CO2 slide (at time 3:53): “CO2 = P x S x E x C” and he explains it: “This equation has four factors, a little bit of multiplication: So, you've got a thing on the left, CO2, that you want to get to zero, and that's going to be based on the number of people, the services each person's using on average, the energy on average for each service, and the CO2 being put out per unit of energy. So, let's look at each one of these and see how we can get this down to zero. Probably, one of these numbers is going to have to get pretty near to zero. Now that's back from high school algebra, but let's take a look. First, we've got population. The world today has 6.8 billion people. That's headed up to about nine billion. Now, if we do a really great job on new vaccines, health care, reproductive health services, we could lower that by, perhaps, 10 or 15 percent, but there we see an increase of about 1.3.” (source: transcript, ibid.)

Bill Gates: Innovating to Zero

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JaF-fqZn7I]

Why does Bill Gates love vaccines so much? To his credit, the philanthropist in the distinguished lineage of a eugenicist family, has always truthfully answered that question, and he did so once again with a sly smirk on his face in his interview to chief medical correspondent Dr.
Sanjay Gupta MD on CNN. When responding to the interlocutor's question “ten billion dollars over the next ten years to make it the year of the vaccines – what does it mean exactly?”, Bill Gates said: “…the benefits are in terms of reducing sickness, reducing population growth…”. Like International Banker Mr. David Rockefeller, his late confreere US Secretary of Defence Mr. Robert Strange McNamara, and US Secretary of State Dr. Henry Kissinger who developed NSSM-200 for the United States president's signature in 1974 (see below), Bill Gates too remains overly concerned with earth's population growth and evidently feels the same inner compulsion to do something about it for the betterment of humanity (not to forget national security). See Funding the Eugenics Movement.

The weaponization of viruses for human and food supply is the most secretive and most prolific military technology of the West today. As previously stated above, can its covert unleashing in conjunction with big Pharma's vaccines, and modern draconian methods of convincing the public to take them, be part of population engineering? Watch CBS-60-Minutes 1976 Swine Flu Scare and fast forward to 2009 Dr. Teresa Forcades: BELL-TOLLING for Swine Flu (transcript):

1976 CBS 60-Minutes Investigates Swine Flu Scare

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TpLF5UwUDoo]

2009 Dr. Teresa Forcades: BELL-TOLLING for Swine Flu

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=A0JqQyl09zQ]

Well, as already examined in the report Swine Flu Pandemic of 2009, any deadly interactions among these as sophisticated weapons systems which are only lethal when their effects are combined together and designed to selectively cull populations in different modalities – from infertility to sterility in offspring to the body killing itself with the immune system turned on against its own cells to epidemic death – can
always be blamed upon God, nature, or just bad luck and gullibly accepted by the surviving public with proper propaganda support! And therefore, the question has more than mere rhetorical significance.

Let's examine that question of motivation in the words of those mighty gods with omnipotent state powers and the ability to inflict it upon vast populations, and not be content with the mere words etched in stone monuments in state parks or in some rich bankers' fertile imagination merely longing to play god.

The NSSM 200, National Security Study Memorandum 200, of the United States National Security Council already envisioned such draconian measures for population reduction in 1974 in a concluding section titled 'An Alternative View': “Should the U.S. make an all out commitment to major limitation of world population with all the financial and international as well as domestic political costs that would entail. ... Would food be considered an instrument of national power? Will we be forced to make choices as to whom we can reasonably assist, and if so, should population efforts be a criterion for such assistance? Is the U.S. prepared to accept food rationing to help people who can't/won't control their population growth?” See the cited link for in-context deconstruction of that Memorandum written by the then Secretary of State Dr. Henry Kissinger which posited rising third world population a threat to America's National Security! President Gerald Ford subsequently signed-off on NSSM 200 in the White House's National Security Decision Memorandum NSDM 314, agreeing to the population control agenda for world's most populous Least Developed Countries outlined by Henry Kissinger.

What scientific and political modalities were secretly thought through for NSSM 200 and NSDM 314, and in their follow-ons that are still classified such that even their existence is not known, which are in baby-steps and in stages covertly being deployed against the Least Developed Countries unbeknownst to their public? The Monsanto GMO seeds – in conjunction with the WTO treaties which leverage off of the third world debt under the WB-IMF tag team – now being forced
upon the Least Developed Countries either as foreign aid or as acceptance condition for continued foreign aid, and the real danger of these genetically modified seeds yielding catastrophic crop failures resulting in widespread famine which will put these poorest nations at the direct existential mercy of the West, has misanthropic implications already visible even in the declassified NSSM 200. Coupled with biowarfare agents administered by vaccinations and/or other dispersion methods, population reduction modalities and global eugenics are no longer science fiction. It has now become merely policy implementation of who, when and where, not how!

Furthermore, Bertrand Russell's 1952 book: *The Impact of Science on Society*, in Chapter 7 argued the misanthropic rationale for applying draconian methods of population control in the poorest nations of the world for the benefit of the affluent Western nations – if only the world's *hoi polloi* have the opportunity to read what is so eruditely planned in high places for them before it is all a done deal “oops”:

“What is the inevitable result if the increase of population is not checked?" There must be a very general lowering of the standard of life in what are now prosperous countries. With that lowering there must go a great diminution in the demand for industrial products. Detroit will have to give up making private cars, and confine itself to lorries. Such things as books, pianos, watches will become rare luxuries of a few exceptionally powerful men - notably those who control the army and the police. In the end there will be a uniformity of misery, and the Malthusian law will reign unchecked. The world having been technically unified, population will increase when world harvests are good, and diminish by starvation whenever they are bad. Most of the present urban and industrial centres will have become derelict, and their inhabitants, if still alive, will have reverted to the
peasant hardships of their medieval ancestors. The world will have achieved a new stability, but at the cost of everything that gives value to human life.

Are mere numbers so important that, for their sake, we should patiently permit such a state of affairs to come about? Surely not. What, then, can we do? Apart from certain deep-seated prejudices, the answer would be obvious. The nations which are present increase rapidly should be encouraged to adopt the methods by which, in the West, the increase of population has been checked. Educational propaganda, with government help, could achieve this result in a generation. There are, however, two powerful forces opposed to such a policy: one is religion, the other is nationalism. I think it is the duty of all who are capable of facing facts to realize, and to proclaim, that opposition to the spread of birth control, if successful, must inflict upon mankind the most appalling depth of misery and degradation, and that within another fifty years or so.

I do not pretend that birth control is the only way in which population can be kept from increasing. There are others, which, one must suppose, opponents of birth control would prefer. War, as I remarked a moment ago, has hitherto been disappointing in this respect, but perhaps bacteriological war may prove more effective. If a Black Death could be spread throughout the world once in every generation survivors could procreate freely without making the world too full.

There would be nothing in this to offend the consciences of the devout or to restrain the ambitions of nationalists. The state of affairs might be somewhat
unpleasant, but what of that? Really high-minded people are indifferent to happiness, especially other people's. However, I am wandering from the question of stability, to which I must return.

There are three ways of securing a society that shall be stable as regards population. The first is that of birth control, the second that of infanticide or really destructive wars, and the third that of general misery except for a powerful minority. All these methods have been practised: the first for example, by the Australian aborigines; the second by the Aztecs, the Spartans and the rulers of Plato's Republic; the third in the world as some Western internationalists hope to make it and in Soviet Russia. (it is not to be supposed that Indians and Chinese like starving, but they have to endure it because armaments of the West are too strong for them.) Of these three, only birth control avoids extreme cruelty and unhappiness for the majority of human beings. Meanwhile, so long as there is not a single world government there will be competition for power among different nations. And as increase of population brings the threat of famine, national power will become more and more obviously the only way of avoiding starvation. There will there be blocs in which the hungry nations band together against those that are well fed. That is the explanation of the victory of communism in China.

**These considerations prove that a scientific world society cannot be stable unless there is world government.**

It may be said, however, that these are hasty conclusions. All that follows directly from what has been said is that, unless there is world government which
secures universal birth control, there must from time to time be great wars, in which the penalty of defeat is widespread death by starvation. That is exactly the present state of the world, and some may hold that there is no reason why it should not continue for centuries. I do not myself believe that this is possible. The two great wars that we have experienced have lowered the level of civilisation in many parts of the world, and the next is pretty sure to achieve much more in this direction. Unless, at some stage, one power or group of powers emerges victorious and proceeds to establish a single government of the world with a monopoly of armed force, it is clear that the level of civilisation must continually decline until scientific warfare becomes impossible – that is until science is extinct. Reduced once more to blows an arrows, Homo sapiens might breathe again, and climb anew the dreary road to a similar futile culmination.

The need for a world government, if the population problem is to be solved in any humane manner, is completely evident on Darwinian principles.” (Bertrand Russell, The Impact of Science on Society, 1952, Chapter 7, pgs. 115-118)

These self-serving specious justifications of the Western ubermensch openly and prima facie blueprint the national security policy documents like NSSM 200. The philosophical ideas are realized into calculating policies, often instrumented in Hegelian Dialectics, and exercised through the governmental-corporate-academe-NGO nexus of the vast military-industrial complexes of the West in compartmentalized form such that the useful idiots roped in for championing their limited cause without knowing the bigger picture, are often ardent believers in that cause. Presenting them with any contrarian data and analysis is like arguing with self-righteous religious fundos. Which is why they
make great “useful idiots” and are always seen at the forefront of any agenda. Similar policy documents like NSSM 200 must surely exist in the European Union and its affluent member nations as well, and these too outlive their successive political administrations just as they do in the United States of America. The strange continuity of the entire Global North's predatory practices upon the natural resources rich, and yet still debt laden and poverty stricken, Global South, despite the frequent changes in democratically elected governments in the white houses of Western democracies, is inexplicable otherwise.

Furthermore, Baxter's vaccine “accident”, and Monsanto crop failures in previously fertile regions in many parts of the third world, prima facie and incontrovertibly demonstrate the genocidal methods already available today for inducing *humanicide*, the population reduction agenda. While other techniques of inducing long term mortality, via infertility and sterility over a generation or two, are only forensically arguable at this time by witnessing the bizarre mega spendings on children vaccinations in the most populous and least developed countries in Asia and Africa as if the West really cared for their welfare. Voiding the third world debt alone would be sufficient to ameliorate their condition if the affluent International bankers holding that debt-enslavement chain really cared for the 'untermensch' children's sufferings (see John Perkins' A Game as old as Empire, intro-cached).

**The World According to Monsanto**

[http://youtube.com/watch?v=2gxQbd3WRCU]

**The Ultimate Secret Exposed**

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MSpkLk0vYmk]
[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-B9MeO3SRxU]
Returning to our main focus on Western medicine and the role of big-pharma, it is commonsense to observe that if there was no immediate and near term benefit of modern medicine, there'd be no one subscribing to it. That ubiquitous subscription ought not to blind one to its visible eventual direction however. And that's the agenda of modern medicine – to continue to blind one to that long-term agenda by its short term benefits. It is the same modus operandi as the one fueling the “Technetronic Era” for its immediate benefits, but which is also hurling the world into the global surveillance society of big Brother and full scientific dictatorship at breakneck speeds. That same agenda in medicine could not perhaps be achieved without monopoly control of all health care modalities and research, and of course without attracting and luring young scientists to work in life sciences and medicine.

The near term benefits we see today in healthcare, the advanced surgical techniques, the trauma centers and the ER, and what we typically think of as wonders of modern medicine, does span an entire lifespan, or two. It helps millions of people everyday. These benefits to our good-life in the near term are undeniable, as even David Rockefeller articulated at the UN Dinner. Just like the wonders of modern science and technologies also benefit the modern kitchen to modern travel in our “Technetronic Era”. These benefits of a scientific modernity are part and parcel of the same incentivization modalities to get people to tirelessly work on their narrow passionate interests in the short term, often in the name of scientific advancement benefiting humanity, or national security, quite oblivious to their detrimental long-term outcomes. These self-serving motivations and their cumulative impact on society in creating a global scientific dictatorship have already been fleshed out in The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction
of Science and Technology.

But modern medicine does love symptomatic and palliative treatments even when it is not trying to kill us in the short term. There appears to be no research and development monies spent on scientific studies of causation of disease. I cannot recall big-pharma announcing a cure for any major modern disease – can you? – from cancer to heart disease to diabetes to AIDS. There is no big-pharma cocktail of drugs for reversing heart disease for instance. A coronary heart disease patient stays on big-pharma medications often after rush to PCI (Percutaneous Coronary Intervention, physical revascularization of arteries with angioplasty, stents, bypass with open heart surgery). All expensive palliatives that attempt to alleviate the symptoms and do nothing to address the causation of the coronary disease. The PCI is often administered as the first thing to do, and often without providing adequate information of alternatives to the patient (see Michael B. Rothberg, Informed Decision Making for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention for Stable Coronary Disease, JAMA Internal Medicine, May 18, 2015). The followup with cocktails of medications, whether or not PCI is done, pretty much makes a captive audience of the patient for the rest of his natural life with no hope for cure (see Caldwell B. Esselstyn's Book: Prevent and Reverse Heart Disease; Caldwell B. Esselstyn, A way to reverse CAD, The Journal of Family Practice, July 2014; watch TEDx Caldwell Esselstyn on Making Heart Attacks History). The same is true for the diabetes patient. Indeed, the best patients being the ones on illness management drugs for lifetime without any hope for permanent cure for Type 2 Diabetes, is perhaps the epitome of this “respectable” modern quackery (watch TEDx Sarah Hallberg on Reversing Type 2 Diabetes Starts with Ignoring the Guidelines). The traditionally trained medicine men and medicine women reading this will surely be mortified! The lengthening shadow underneath the big-pharma's lamp is as undeniable as the blatant evidence of gross mis-focus and gross mis-training of modern medicine.
Dr. Caldwell Esselstyn: Making heart attacks history

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EqKNfyUPzoU]

Dr. Sarah Hallberg: Reversing Type 2 Diabetes Starts with Ignoring the Guidelines

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=da1vvigy5tQ]

There is perpetual profit in administering a continuous supply of expensive pharmacological cocktails rather than in inducing a holistic cure based on nature's remedies, nutrition that is directly pertinent to the biochemistry of the disease, and commonsense, all freely available to everyone at pennies to the dollar paid into the coffers of big pharma. Big-pharma's medications for symptomatically controlling heart disease for instance easily leads to treatment for kidney and liver diseases as the lifetime of drugs treating heart disease can lead to kidney or liver dysfunction. These new ailments which are a side effect of controlling heart disease now require new drugs. “We make ill because every drug requires more drugs, which require more drugs, and more drugs,” as Dr. Rima Laibow puts it (see below). Thus new diseases are automatically created by the side effects of big-profit interventions, medications, vaccines, and poor lifestyles, diets and foods brought to the table by big Agri-business and the fast food industry. Modern medicine coupled to modern foods and lifestyles has become a factory for manufacturing captive patients on big-pharma's mercy! The vicious cycle feeds upon itself, not inadvertently, nor unwittingly, but deliberately, funded by big-science, big-pharma, big governing bodies and leading medical schools that respectively regulate and train glorified quacks to continually administer more big-pharma palliative interventions in a twenty-first century version of medical quackery. Treating symptoms of disease with great budgets and expenditures without treating the causation of disease!

A tiny shift in perspective is all it takes to shift focus from treating
symptoms to treating causation – provided such a fundamental shift can practicably be forced down the Rockefeller designed paradigm of modern allopathic medicine (see Caldwell B. Esselstyn, Is the Present Therapy for Coronary Artery Disease the Radical Mastectomy of the Twenty-First Century? The Am J Cardiol, 2010; and Caldwell B. Esselstyn, The Nutritional Reversal of Cardiovascular Disease — Fact or Fiction? Three Case Reports, Experimental and Clinical Cardiology, July 2014). Not easy shift to bring about while medicine remains under the control of big-pharma, big-regulators serving big-pharma, and leading medical school curriculums training for big-pharma.

In that world of control, a new disease is also as simple to fabricate as labeling any undesirable human behavior a “disorder”. As reported by George F. Will in his Washington Post column, Handbook suggests that deviations from 'normality' are disorders, February 28, 2010: 'Today's DSM defines “oppositional defiant disorder” as a pattern of “negativistic, defiant, disobedient and hostile behavior toward authority figures.” Symptoms include “often loses temper,” “often deliberately annoys people” or “is often touchy.” DSM omits this symptom: “is a teenager.”

The draconian implication of this new behavioral “disorder” definition in DSM was not lost upon the cynical columnist for Washington Post: “If every character blemish or emotional turbulence is a "disorder" akin to a physical disability, legal accommodations are mandatory. Under federal law, "disabilities" include any "mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities"; "mental impairments" include "emotional or mental illness." So there might be a legal entitlement to be a jerk.”

George Will continued: “The revised DSM reportedly may include "binge eating disorder" and "hypersexual disorder" ("a great deal of time" devoted to "sexual fantasies and urges" and "planning for and engaging in sexual behavior"). Concerning children, there might be "temper dysregulation disorder with dysphoria." This last categoriza-
tion illustrates the serious stakes in the categorization of behaviors. Extremely irritable or aggressive children are frequently diagnosed as bipolar and treated with powerful antipsychotic drugs.”

That natural behavior pattern defined as “disorder” in the medical nomenclature has now become the pious pretext for recruiting new patients for big-pharma in the name of healthcare – the trend to continually move the clientele downwards in age to cover all age groups from cradle to grave as captive customers of big-pharma. Children are now the focussed target of big-pharma, with Attention Deficit Disorder diagnosis rampant, and other pharmacological infestations into the child's body with vaccines occurring from birth as part of modern healthcare. It isn't voluntary either, as exclusion or limitation of rights, privileges, and opportunities, or punishments and deprivation of liberty, are legally attached to not being responsive to the healthcare diktats of modern medicine and its governing bodies. That is what is meant by “legal entitlement”! The state is slowly taking over individual healthcare decisions in the name of public health and public safety.

The following shocking revelation by Dr. Rima Laibow while responding to a question at the Anti-Zensur Konferenz (AZK - Anti-censorship conference) in Germany is notable in this respect: “In the United States there was a law enacted, which was signed into law by the twice unelected president of the United States, George Bush. That law is called the New Freedom Initiative. The New Freedom Initiative signed into law in November 2004, says the following: Says every child in the United States, including unborn children, must be screened for mental illness. [Former executive sales rep for Eli Lilly & Co., Dr. John Rengen Virapen, interjecting: This was a study done by George Bush's Institute for Mental Health in Texas, which was built by Eli Lilly & Co.] But there is more. Step One: screen every child including the unborn by screening their mothers for mental illness. Step Two: treat on a mandatory basis, every child who is found to have, quote, mental illness, which means, every child. And Step Three: screen and treat on a mandatory basis, every single per-
son who has any contact with children because we have to protect the little dears. That means mothers, fathers, shop keepers, school teachers, grandparents, neighbors, everyone. So first we control, first we control, and we make ill because every drug requires more drugs, which require more drugs, and more drugs, and then eventually, we depopulate.” (minute 7:30)

Dr Rima Laibow: Codex Alimentarius – Food Nazification

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-PTEqjAnsV4]

The fact that the above statement “we make ill because every drug requires more drugs, which require more drugs, and more drugs,” is empirically true in many cases not just for drugs but also for therapies such as radiation, can straightforwardly be gleaned in this work in progress iatrogenic survey of Western medicine. And therefore, the first-cause question raised earlier about modern medicine has to be addressed at every stage by the shrewd reader concerned with health matters. For emphasis, it is restated: Is this “malevolence” of modern medicine deliberate by way of some long term eugenics and depopulation planning agenda using biowarfare techniques that are masked as “iatrogenic oops” or blamed on natural causes when caught, or, indeed entirely iatrogenic? That fancy medical terminology means the drug or treatment having inadvertent or unintended side-effects, not deliberately or knowingly induced, and approved for public health based on some opaque statistical cost-benefit calculus of public health policy. Furthermore, if it is indeed solely the latter with no malevolent intent of waging long term biowarfare on civilian populations to create a captive public, then is the high rate of iatrogenicity of modern drugs and treatment protocols mainly due to big-pharma's raison d'être of big monopoly profits, and due to their collusion of greed and intemperance with the FDA to rush drugs and treatments derived from or incubated in the cells of other species, to market which are frequently poorly tested for long term side-effects, and
which are increasingly poorly tested even for immediate efficacy and safety? Or do both these hierarchical and compartmentalized agendas of monopoly medicine just work seamlessly in tandem as scientific dictatorship envisaged in dystopic fables takes root globally? None who are so innocent of knowledge and naively staring at the fishbowl can ever comprehend all the felinic dimensions of primacy without a gestalt shift in perspective.

Evidence based practice of medicine and public health policy demands not just examining the entire spectrum of available evidence, but also looking at the motivations under which such examinations are undertaken by “experts” and the various hidden forces such as confirmation bias and data availability bias (when honest), and willful deception (when dishonest), that come into play due to these beneath the surface motivations. This is just commonsense science in order to reach an objective and sensible assessment in the greater interest of public health. The public being able to shrewdly discern these often hidden motivations when such examinations and conclusions are brought before them to channel their mind in one direction or another, remains the key to sensibly accepting or rejecting these recommendations of experts. Without proper public perspective and awareness, rules and regulations of governing bodies that principally serve the narrow interests of the owners of big-pharma, are connivingly legislated by the representatives of the people and forced upon the public by the fiat of legal authority and its public relations machinery. Mandatory vaccine deployment is being legalized under such fiat of power. The forced vaccination programs from cradle to grave in the name of public health is its first bastard child.

Vaccination has become like a “religion”, as some professionals have concluded under their first order assumption of honest misdirection of useful idiots harnessed to the cause, overlooking any of the long term diabolical dimensions to the agenda for forced vaccination of the behind the scenes powers that be: “People in the pro-vaccine arena, I mean if you work for the health and human services, or the public
health department, or the CDC, you have been so brain-washed and indoctrinated to believe that vaccines are good, they are safe, they are effective, they cause no harm, in fact, if you are not vaccinated, you gonna die. And they believe that in the same way that you believe that Jesus rose from the dead. They believe it from the same intense belief system. Because it's a religion. And this vaccination has become like a religion. And now when you couple that in with the Healthy People 2020 guidelines, and know that this is the Decade of Vaccines, they really believe that every single person on the planet needs to be vaccinated with every single vaccine that they create. Now, to me, that's just blindly following something and ignoring the rest of the science.” See Dr. Sherri J Tenpenny on Vaccine's Safety: A Crime Against Humanity; Dr. Tenpenny on Healthy People 2020: What the CDC documents say about vaccines; and hear Dr. Tenpenny Interview for the preceding quote at time 44:20. Also see: Dr Viera Scheibner's 2012 survey paper asking the question: Polio eradication by vaccination?, where the anti-vaccine advocate concluded from the survey of available scientific data: “The only way to eradicate paralytic poliomyelitis is to stop vaccinating”.

Dr. Tenpenny on Vaccine’s Safety: A Crime Against Humanity

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CAJb01ZiJNk]

Dr. Tenpenny on What CDC documents and science reveal

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M1VwVBmx0Ng]

Dr. Tenpenny Interview (starting at time 12:15)

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C_d68yL6CMl#t=740]

Goodness! Such alternative assessment based on the scientific evid-
ence that is oft ignored, may appear as “conspiratorial” and “radical” to both the typical mainstream consumer and the typical mainstream professional healthcare provider practicing under their AMA license, as when the baby looks at the world from the feline's instincts for primacy and experiences intense cognitive dissonance. The first knee-jerk reaction to genuine cognitive dissonance is denial. The rest of denials are merely mercenaries shilling for their cause. That dismissive reaction, whether genuine or affected, is encouraged and rewarded in the present system. It is even deemed avant-garde in scientific intellectualism to ignore unpleasant data, inconvenient evidence, and dethroning analysis that is against the grain of prevailing wisdom – a science and its practitioners crippled by self-interest, self-deception, confirmation bias, and data availability bias in favor of big-pharma.

Take all evidence into account and not just that which meets with the approval of status quo, authority figures, oaths of allegiance to tribe, nation, religion, doctrine, or paycheck. Especially the latter --- for funding and livelihood have been the greatest co-opter of honest looking men and women from time immemorial (see The Art and Science of Co-option). In fact, after investing a quarter of million dollars and ten years of one's life in big-pharma led medical education and training, paycheck is the single biggest co-opter for siding with the crippled epistemology of ruling medical paradigms. Hallelujah to the likkha-parrha jahils (university educated morons) of modernity (see Reflections on Modernity, Climategate, Pandemic, Peer Review, and Science in the Service of Empire)!

While I have to admit that I have not yet been able to locate and study the original source document for that unlayering of Newspeak by Dr. Rima Laibow behind Executive Order 13217, titled: “New Freedom Initiative and its goal of community integration for people with disabilities”, the business of statecraft couched in neurolinguistic phenomenology to imply one thing for public perception and mean quite another is not unfamiliar to those who study it. The Orwellian
use of the already very familiar words like “Freedom” to mask diabolical intent, as in “Operation Iraqi Freedom”, would only come as a surprise to those most acutely suffering from the dumbed-down disability (DDD). Similarly, the wholesome word “community” and the concept of “community integration”, minus the Newspeak is explained very perceptively by California State employee and activist Rosa Koire in her talk on How your community is implementing AGENDA 21 (minute 8:30).

**Rosa Koire: How your community is implementing AGENDA 21**

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CEHWsdimVO4]

If one has the stamina to sit through Rosa Koire's 90 minute entertaining talk, one will come to understand that the Agenda 21 is all pervasive, multifaceted, and its collectivist prime directive, the depopulation of the planet and its global management through central governance, is being implemented locally in stages! (See Agenda 21 for Dummies for PDF links to official UN documents --- read for yourself how the predator thinks and presents its thinking; in some of these documents it is not even camouflaged in “newspeak” and “doublethink”)

However, by looking at each local baby-step enactment of Agenda 21 individually, it is impossible to see the big picture in much the same way as even painstakingly examining each atom and the electro-chemical sub-structure of the water molecule still makes it impossible to understand why the water naturally only flows downstream on earth. The system properties of interest, and the cause-and-effect patterns of behaviors of interest, especially of black-box systems whose inner-workings can only be inferred by observing the manifest response characteristics, easily get lost by isolated focus on individual components. Often complex systems aren't as straightforward as simply gathering the understanding of each of its components – because many convoluted system properties are represented only in the interaction
among components, and to the whole system's interaction with the environment. If the entire system is not known and a component is thought to be the entire system, the system properties are never understood fully or even correctly identified – and consequently the observed behavior is thought to be random, or happenstance, when it is actually incompetence and incomplete knowledge which leads to that characterization and not the science of it. This is just the basic science of systems, all systems. As true of physics and engineering as of social sciences, which include political science and state-craft, i.e., governance by Machiavelli. And for state-craft of empires, the casual properties of international events, like domestic events, can often only be determined by stepping back from the individual events and looking at the entire picture for patterns of interconnectivity of events which may span both time and space. There is obviously as much caution for false positives and false negatives here as in science --- and that only means social scientists have to be at least as skilled in the craft of deciphering global events as the natural scientists and engineers in deciphering nature and engineering large systems where complex interactions among components can defy understanding by any single individual --- for the level of skills and master of data needed may transcend even what the smartest brain can muster. In the age of narrow-gauge specialists and superficial generalists, one can see how easy it is to miss the forest for the trees.

Consequently, in order to acutely comprehend the larger interconnection between and among parts, one has to not only understand many parts individually, but also the historical trends and system dynamics in the interconnections among those parts – as these are neither static, nor temporal, but evidently bring a long hysteresis effect to their current state and future direction. What was let loose fifty or a hundred years ago often determines the state of the world today. Should be commonsense, but, as is also the case in imperial scholarship penned for mass consumption, is often ignored in the study of current affairs and history by those outside of it.
Nobel laureate physicist Max Planck expressed this holistic fact of system analysis most aptly: "Modern physics has taught us that the nature of any system cannot be discovered by dividing it into its component parts and studying each part by itself, since such a method often implies the loss of important properties of the system. We must keep our attention fixed on the whole and on the interconnection between the parts." (Cited in Critique of Western Philosophy and Social Theory By David Sprintzen, pg. 76)

A former nursing student Jennifer Lake has compiled an interesting medicine Timeline to this effect, spanning the period between 1830 to present, where the long-term trends of modern medicine and the medical establishment's conduct leading us here, is self-evident. (See Jennifer Lake's Blog)

But it is of course next to impossible to understand any of this in the limited time that today's dedicated professionals have available to them after toiling all day long in their 'American Dreams'. Most remain caught between bread and circuses their entire life like the rest of hoi polloi.

Which brings us back to the busy doctors and their knowledge of their own profession, never mind of complicated agendas and trends which both transcend their profession, as well as are part of their profession. The modern doctors don't appear to know too much about the drugs and vaccines they prescribe to their own patients, behaving more and more like technicians and sales-rep for big-pharma. This empirical observation is most unfortunate because many practitioners I am sure, and two of my own children are laboring in that endeavor of the healing sciences, come to this profession with a great deal of idealism. But what happens by the time they have graduated medical school and labored 80-100 hours per week in their residencies at barely livable wage and a quarter of million dollars in debt? They become slaves to the dogmas of big-pharma and the narrow paradigms of healthcare driven by governing and licensing bodies top down.
Indeed, the modern physician, from pediatrician to internal medicine to oncologist to psychiatrist, all appear most beholden to whatever is the prevailing cultural dogma of big-pharma, to the FDA, to the AMA, to the WHO, and to the sales rep of big-pharma from whom they evidently get all their knowledge of the drugs they automatically prescribe like robots based on a symptom diagnostic tables also provided to them by big-pharma. The choice of radiology or surgery for this reason appeals to some because these entail the least interaction with big-pharma. A few who become too disenchanted with the system once their eyes open also just walk away. This is what Jennifer Lake wrote on her blog: “Welcome to my blog. I was a nursing student in 2005-2006 and decided not to enter the field and give people drugs. My school experience opened my eyes to the depth of medical fraud within the military-industrial-medical-complex and the willful cover-up of the real causes of disease.”

Western Medicine, in the name of protecting the patients from quackery, is the most draconianly regulated profession in the world with big Brother management across the board. This big Brother works for big-pharma. And big-pharma controls the medical profession and its professionals. All non big-pharma healing methods and modalities are minimally considered unorthodox when not outright condemned, even when empiricism speaks otherwise, and even when an occasional respectable medical coverage is given to an alternative by the orthodoxy (evidently in lip-service).

A notable example of this “unorthodox” treatment which received considerable medical press, but with otherwise little interest shown by the medical establishment other than as a quirky curiosity, is Norman Cousins. The New England Journal of Medicine published a revealing case study of self-healing by Norman Cousins (Cousins, N. Anatomy of an Illness (as Perceived by the Patient) NEJM 295:1458-1463 December 23, 1976). Cousins expanded that NEJM article into a 1979 book, Anatomy of an Illness (As Perceived by the Patient), detailing his observations of the medical profession and his “unorthodox” ex-
periments in self-healing. He was stricken by a painfully debilitating illness in 1964 upon returning from a trip to the Soviet Union, which was diagnosed as *ankylosing spondylitis*. Eventually, after all the medical remedies in the hospital had proved futile, and as he put it in his book: "**I had a fast-growing conviction that a hospital is no place for a person who is seriously ill.**" (pg. 31), Cousins left the hospital, checked into a hotel, and under his own enlightened doctor's supervision, a Dr. William Hitzig, created for himself a daily schedule of hearty laughter therapy as an exercise in mind-body self-healing.

Norman Cousins' book contains many commonsensical insights into the rational and wholly scientific thought processes behind its author's specific experiments in self-healing carried out under the close supervision of his licensed physician. Such general analytical thought processes which affectively tickle the natural human biochemistry is not known to be FDA approved (sic!). There is no profit in natural or nature-derived remedies for big-pharma which projects anything non-pharmacological as a zealous move towards abandoning the very canons of science. Therefore, modalities afforded freely by nature, by low cost natural remedies, and by natural human biochemistry do not constitute any significant part of the medical school training regimen which is entirely high-margin pharmaceutical driven as the Alpha and Omega of modern medical intervention.

Detractors and public relations experts shilling for the established medical profession make their own “independent” pronouncements in the “public interest” but almost always in favor of their priestdom whenever an “unorthodox” case gains unusual prominence. As this chap from the National Council Against Health Fraud (“NCAHF is a private nonprofit, voluntary health agency that focuses upon health misinformation, fraud, and quackery as public health problems.”) did against the empiricism brought forth by Norman Cousins, and the consequent interest it generated among the public. Since the medical establishment rarely funds any scientific studies into these non big-pharma healing modalities, it is easy to dismiss its effectiveness as
quirky, non-scientific, or faith-based. This self-serving loop is retained in modern medicine by design by big-pharma and its big Brother enforcers.

Here is a former sales executive and Managing Director for Eli Lilly and Company, Sweden AB, Dr. John Rengen Virapen, speaking at the same Anti-Zensur Konferenz in Germany as Dr. Rima Laibow mentioned above, where he explained the big-pharma's system of co-option. In the conference presentation, Virapen admits to bribing the regulatory board in Sweden to approve Prozac! Dr. Virapen also wrote his confessional memoir, *Side Effects: Death - Confessions of a Pharma-Insider*, blowing the whistle on Eli Lilly's and big-pharma's shady practices. In this blogtalkradio 2007 interview, Dr. Virapen explained more details on how he did the bribing for Eli Lilly and Company to get Prozac approved.

**Dr. John Rengen Virapen: Big Pharma Whistleblower Speaks Out at the AZK in Germany**

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Qmi3ihrUHJU]

Dr. Virapen's confessional insights into the overall systems of corruption, including his own personal corruption for the lure of the good life and high society, clearly demonstrates how corrosively big-pharma infects both regulatory bodies via political lobbies and kickbacks, as well as the medical practitioners via their indoctrinating training, that few are able to retain whatever iota of Hippocratic idealism that had brought them to their profession.

Especially, when doctors are employed by HMOs and hospitals which have standardized diagnostic and prescription regimens, it leaves little room for discretion for any talented physicians who might wish to explore refrains or alternatives not sanctioned by the hospital or the insurance companies.

When the FDA wisdom, and the WHO wisdom, both of which repres-
ent the big-pharma interests, is to prescribe flu shots or some vaccination protocol for instance, and not just their paycheck but their ability to practice their profession depends on following those diktats, then which physician has ever done any first hand research on the drug or the vaccination protocol on their own? Which physician has the time, or the inclination to go against the wisdom du jour? During the 2009 Swine Flu pandemic declaration by the WHO to unprecedented Stage-6 for instance, how many in the medical profession administering the swine flu vaccines bothered to study first hand either the pandemic or the vaccination protocol dictated by the authorities? Even the Director-General of WHO was following orders of her superiors who told her exactly what to proclaim next.

I wrote to Margaret Chan, the figurehead boss of WHO, on May 09, 2009: “I write respectfully to express immense concern, and without mincing words. I would like to draw your kind attention to the letter to editor which is reproduced below. It expresses grave reservations that the World Health Organization is acting as a global sales-rep for vaccine manufacturers. I specifically draw your kind attention to the “Note on abetting the Pandemic” which is cited below. It is quite likely that you have become an unwitting pawn in the ruling oligarchs' game of population reduction. If you finally come to realize that [fact] through your [own] due diligence, I urge you to make that information public from your high pulpit. At worst, you will lose your job. But your unconscionable inaction, or mere resignation in silence, will lead to a billion-plus exterminated, with WHO subsequently claiming all the credit for saving the rest. There is likely a Nobel Peace Prize lurking in there somewhere for all this 'saving'. And the cycle will repeat.” See details in my Letter to Dr. Margaret Chan, Director-General, WHO: Global Sales-Rep for big-pharma!.

It is just assumed by the vast majority of medical practitioners that the FDA and the WHO have done their own due diligence, and that they operate in good faith under the same Hippocratic oath. In this per-
spective of the baby staring at the complicated creature in the fish-bowl with wondrous innocence, there is no comprehension of the psychology and prime directive of the tom cat eyeing the same as a tasty morsel. The system ensures that the very existence of the tom cat is denied. But the evidence of its existence is neither hidden, nor classified top secret. It is just inconvenient to see it.

The incursion of big-pharma into medical school training regimens to groom that very faithful and trusting physician is now so notorious that few pay any attention to it. The Western medical profession takes big-pharma's very visible role in their profession as just the “normal” part of modern medicine. It is often rationalized away as “they are spending a billion dollars in research every year”. So this 2009 news story of “Two hundred Harvard Medical School students are confronting the school’s administration, demanding an end to pharmaceutical industry influence in the classroom.” was refreshing indeed.

The New York Times ran the following report on March 2, 2009: “In a first-year pharmacology class at Harvard Medical School, Matt Zerden grew wary as the professor promoted the benefits of cholesterol drugs and seemed to belittle a student who asked about side effects. Mr. Zerden later discovered something by searching online that he began sharing with his classmates. The professor was not only a full-time member of the Harvard Medical faculty, but a paid consultant to 10 drug companies, including five makers of cholesterol treatments. ... The Harvard students have already secured a requirement that all professors and lecturers disclose their industry ties in class — a blanket policy that has been adopted by no other leading medical school. (One Harvard professor’s disclosure in class listed 47 company affiliations.)”

But that overt role of big-pharma and its disclosure of conflict of interest with such fanfare in the New York Times is already layered upon the entire implicit pharmacological dogma set up by the Rockefellers at the turn of the twentieth century as cited in the presentation by Desiree Rover. So what is being protested by the Harvard medical
students is just the tip of the ice-berg. Disclosing this overt conflict of interest by doctors teaching medical students to prescribe drugs which they have financial interest in seeing sold, while I am sure beneficial for some purpose, does little to alter the interlocking and incestuously self-reinforcing medical profession itself which is controlled by big-pharma. If not this drug, then that drug – what's the difference since that's the only permitted choice?

Want to reform that? Instead of the mere disclosure of conflict of interest, make conflict of interest itself illegal across the medical profession – for that would surely be a tad more useful than merely talking about it. Make it illegal for doctors to be a financial part of big-pharma, and make it illegal for big-pharma to offer any inducements of any sort to the medical practitioners which is even broadly speaking conflict of interest.

But such sensible laws can of course never be passed because big-pharma thriving on Wall Street on the very existence and continuous supply of “sick people”, is itself the first prima facie conflict of interest!

And even that pecuniary motivation is itself just the tip of the iceberg. The long-term prime directive of big-pharma, like that of big Agri-business, both crafted by the same financial oligarchy, is control of life itself and not just mere profit. It is an egregious mistake to think that it is all about profit – “money money money” – it is not. Profits are merely the payback to its technicians and administrators who enable implementing the prime directive year after year in baby-steps. I am certain that even the top scientists and executives of big-pharma remain as unaware of the prime directive as the top scientists and executives of the high-tech industry remain oblivious of the presuppositions and axioms under which they are continuously ushering in the Technetronic Era to its natural culmination (see The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction of Science and Technology).

Therefore, the same New York Times article also reporting: “Har-
vard Medical School’s peers received much higher grades, ranging from the A for the University of Pennsylvania, to B’s received by Stanford, Columbia and New York University, to the C for Yale.”, is hardly much of a differentiator for the overall practice of Western medicine. This specious grading system is itself meaningless as it is layered upon the same Rockefeller axioms which run big medicine and train medical professionals year after year in all the Western medical schools under the FDA and AMA mandates authored by big-pharma, which is itself controlled by the financial oligarchy. That unfettered exercise of power to craft and legalize un-challengeable axioms at the very source from which all practice naturally follows, as was stated by the Rothschild scion for the power to coin a nation's money supply: “Give me control of a nation's money supply and I care not who makes its laws”, makes the grading system as useful as the economic policies when controlled by the same monopolistic private cabal. That cabal now presides over the world's destiny, towards the full spectrum global management of the planet and its resources – which includes life!

Their control is being enabled daily in baby-steps. The following was reported in my earlier examination of micro-chipping the population during the swine flu pandemic as the pretext (see Swine Flu: The Ultimate Revolution in the Making). And that malevolent control of human beings was only temporarily thwarted, despite being led by the Council on Foreign Relations, CDC, and WHO, when the so called pandemic turned into vaporware (see The Swine Flu Postscript: 'Reconstruction of a Mass Hysteria – The Swine Flu Panic of 2009').

In his New Technologies and innovations show-and-tell in Toronto in April 2008, Dr. Patrick Redmond described the current state of tracking devices, the RFID chips: “Hitachi a few years ago produced a chip (called the mu chip) that was the size of a pencil point; if you take a pencil and put it on a piece of paper you get a little dot. That’s how small they’re getting. In 2007 Hitachi came out with a chip that was even smaller, they call it RFID powder. They are just
like the talcum powder you would put on a baby. Somark Innovations in Jan 10, 2007 announced an invisible RFID ink. This can be applied to cattle, prime cuts of meat, military personnel and it can be read through hair.”

Dr. Patrick Redmond observed of its deployment trend that: “... by 2011 you should be able to go on Google and find out where someone is at any time from chips on clothing, in cars, cell phones, and inside many people themselves.”

Dr. Patrick Redmond, 31 years with IBM before he retired, further noted the recent staggering growth of the RFID industry: “From 1955 to 2005, cumulative sales of radio tags totaled 2.4 billion; in 2007 alone, 2.24 billion tags were sold worldwide and analysts project that by 2017 cumulative sales will top 1 trillion—generating more than $25 billion in annual revenues for the industry.”

The article-transcript of Dr. Redmond’s talk is most revealing for those unfamiliar with the extent of technological deployment of RFIDs. He not only surveyed how RFIDs are being used today for commodity tracking, but also how these might be used in the near future for psychotropic behavior control with biochemical tampering of the brain (evidently perception management via propaganda warfare ala George Orwell isn't deemed efficient, or sufficient, or practical enough, for sustained mass behavior control an examination of which is in my Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer – Architecture of Modern Propaganda for Psychological Warfare). Dr. Redmond ominously concluded: “If chips can disseminate medicine then they can disseminate other things too; anything put inside a microchip can be activated by a signal. And finally, with this technology, subliminal mind control becomes possible.”

The Technetronic Era has willingly brought the world full circle from Aldous Huxley’s 1931 mood-altering drug “SOMA” in his fable A Brave New World, to his 1962 predictions at his already cited talk at the University of California Berkeley of the advancements in social
engineering through direct behavior control whereby people will actually be made to love their own servitude creating the "ultimate in malevolent revolution", to its real enablement within 50 years, in our own lifetime.

Is this mere happenstance, an inevitable side-effect of technology, or societal control wielded by Machiavelli and worked towards by the many architects of hegemony in every generation? According to Zbigniew Brzezinski, the author of *The Grand Chessboard*, the co-founder of the Trilateral Commission with David Rockefeller and its first executive director, and America's National Security Advisor under president Jimmy Carter (1976-80): "Another threat, less overt but no less basic, confronts liberal democracy. More directly linked to the impact of technology, it involves the gradual appearance of a more controlled and directed society. Such a society would be dominated by an elite whose claim to political power would rest on allegedly superior scientific know-how. Unhindered by the restraints of traditional liberal values, this elite would not hesitate to achieve its political ends by using the latest modern techniques for influencing public behavior and keeping society under close surveillance and control.” (Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, Between Two Ages, 1970, pg. 97)

Any modern day physician anywhere in the world having taken the Hippocratic and AMA sponsored oaths in their own local language will naturally bark reading all this, protesting with utmost sincerity and with much innocence of knowledge I am sure, that these are just the natural errors or happenstances of the system and that there isn't some overarching diabolical agenda for inducing lucrative sicknesses, long term sterility, and population reduction behind it. But, over the course of another hundred years or less, perhaps within our own children's lifetime – these happenstances will see a world government patterned in some combination drawn from all these fables.... Is such a felinic world desirable? Should the common man drawn by bread and circuses stop to worry about his own children's fate? Should the passionate scientists and technicians working on it care a bit more than
the lure of Nobel prizes and induction into National Inventors Hall of Fame?

It is not obvious to me how to practicably interdict this controlled descent into dystopia on any significant scale! That's because its harbingers deem global scientific dictatorship an ascent rather than a descent and are moving ahead with full force. The system is calculatingly made so co-opting and corrosive that apart from inducing apathy in the majority, even when a handful do their due diligence and shift their perspective from that of the innocent trusting baby to that of the predatory feline to understand the primacy instincts of the oligarchy, they have so much invested in their high-tech and medical careers by the time they wake up that it is just easier to succumb to the “banality of evil” and soldier on as before. The best contemporary example is Dr. Eric Fossum, the inventor of the CMOS imaging chip which along with other computing technologies, has ushered in big-Brother in full force. See Dr. Fossum's self-deceit and brazen hypocrisy in this case study: The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction of Science and Technology.

Moralizing Knowledge does not often equate to moral acts. In fact, it rarely does. The oft quoted Biblical wisdom: “And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free” seems to have little empirical relevance in our seducing modernity where the pleasures and healing of the soul have been traded for the pleasures and healing of the body. (See America’s War Veterans: PTSD and its Cure – Letter to Editor)

This modernity, which the Council on Foreign Relations accurately presaged in April 1974 would “look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality”, and it now does, has the full impetus of global governance behind it the extrication from which appears well-nigh impossible! This was well planned and superbly orchestrated, just as the CFR author had prognosticated: “In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look
like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault. Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed.” (Richard N. Gardner, The Hard Road To World Order, April 1974, pgs. 558-559)

Global Governance - EU President Admits One-World Government is Here

[http://youtube.com/watch?v=QEqFtVrAgSo]

“We are living through exceptionally difficult times. Financial crisis and its dramatic impact on employment and budgets, the climate crisis which threatens our very survival --- a period of anxiety, uncertainty,
and lack of confidence. Yet these problems can be overcome, by a joint effort, in and between our countries. 2009 is also the first year of Global Governance with the establishment of the G-20 in the middle of financial crisis. The climate conference in Copenhagen is another step towards the Global Management of our Planet. Our mission, our presidency is one of hope, supported by acts, and by deeds.” — Herman Van Rompuy, EU Council President, press conference November 19, 2009

The news report coming out of Eurozone in August 2011 reconfirmed the EU president's proclamation of inching towards full global governance of the planet by baby-stepping through regional collectives into the greater whole under the pretext of dealing with crises: “The leaders of France and Germany are proposing a collective ‘government’ for the Eurozone led by the EU President. It is the solution being put forward to deal with the EU debt crisis. ... After the talks in Paris Mr. Sarkozy said he and the German Chancellor were also proposing that all 17 Eurozone countries commit to balanced finances and write that goal into their constitutional law by the summer 2012.” (Proposals outlined for collective Eurozone government, Aug 16th, 2011)

III

Conclusion and Remedies

Under the collective Western governments of the Euro-Anglo-American nexus, the Western medical system, the big Pharma, the big Ag-
ri-business, the big biotech business, the big chemical business, the big technology business, and their tightly integrated and inter-dependent research and development in bio-agents and biowarfare, their increasing control and tampering of all living things, have all been made resilient to, and legally protected from, any public outcry or other impediments in their march towards their own compartmentalized prime directive. Each component plays its role in the dystopia under construction, collectively ushering in global scientific dictatorship. What form it will take, whether Orwellian (jackboot stamped on the human face), or Huxleyan (getting people to love their servitude, standardization of human behavior and arrangement in some scientific caste hierarchy), or some other imaginative derivative reminiscent of the feudal aristocracy of the seventeenth and eighteen century France where this time around the unwashed masses don't rebel and are content laboring hard all day long in their respective productivity functions under the remorseless eyes of scientific managers as imagined in Atlas Shrugged, remains to be seen.

What should be self-evident already is that those with specialized knowledge and technical skills, the scientists and engineers and business managers on the build side of civilization, and the artists, poets and scholars on the humanities side of civilization, will all be in great demand just as they are today. Those who contribute to the civilization will do well. The “useless eaters” and those who resist will all be dead. The remaining will be manipulated into being content laboring all day long as the bulwark of the wealth of the new one-world nation. It is already this way even today, except for the unwanted populations and infighting among the feudal primates for territorial hegemony and primacy.

Under this gruesome reality check, one that is neither Pollyanish nor defeatist nor with eyes wide shut, but an accurate measurement of reality and the forces driving it today and yesterday, what can be done for tomorrow?

Well, one can of course just pretend that the dystopic Darwinian
primacy does not exist and continue to hold the perspective of the innocent baby staring at the fishbowl. If however, one has succeeded in shifting one's perspective to that of the tom cat, then in self-preservation as the fish in the fishbowl, what are the options?

First, where we need to be – the destination. When the medical profession is itself complicit in administering inimical medicine to the public, they are part of the petty crime syndicate and merely wearing white coats and gloves instead of guns. And the medical doctors have to come to that realization before anything can be changed! We do need medical professionals today and tomorrow who will challenge and transcend this big-pharma dominated healthcare modalities. We need holistic healthcare for humanity which is both scientific and skilled as the awesome surgeons are today, and also un-inimical to the mind-body-spirit. Developing that wholesome medicine, and the schools to train this new breed of practitioners under an hostile environment being driven towards scientific dictatorship, with new laws enacted almost daily to limit individual freedom of action outside the parameters adjudicated by AMA, NIH, CDC, FDA, NSF, et. al., as “wholesome”, is the daunting challenge. These American bodies have a global reach today through the WHO. The world witnessed this incontrovertible fact yet again during the 2009 Swine Flu Pandemic debacle. All signatories to WHO Conventions are beholden to its diktats by international treaty law.

Next, how to get there – the journey.

Consumers – The Public

Well, don't look to me for heroic solutions. You, as consumers, are equally responsible for what happens to you. The solution is within each one of us – don't look for it coming from some messiah, superhero, or born-again Rockefeller. To even begin to parse reality the way it actually is minimally requires giving up being the innocent
baby staring at the fishbowl. One must instead take on the feline's instincts for primacy in order to understand the traps laid by the predator. A good detective is one who can think like the criminal in order to outsmart him. In today's world, the age of universal deceit, to learn the truth is a revolutionary act! Take a careful read of Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer – Architecture of Modern Propaganda for Psychological Warfare to appreciate how our mind is made without our cognizance. That understanding helps parse the “newspeak” and “double-think” that surrounds us 24x7 a bit more perceptively.

Scientists and medical professionals

Well, do take a careful read of The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction of Science and Technology. Arguably, it is easier to refrain before the fact, rather than after a great deal of life has been invested in the pursuit of the “American Dream”. All one can do ex post facto, after the eyes belatedly open wide, is to lament hypocritically. The Fable of the Bees has some revealing examples of famous ex post facto laments. Here is another case of belated lament, November 29, 2011: Amy Goodman interviews Kamran Loghman, inventor of modern pepper spray and developer of police procedures for its use. Loghman regrets his work today, and says it's "fashionable" to use chemical agents on “people who have an opinion”. The uncanny art imitating life dialogs in the Hollywood Bourne Series fourth episode “Bourne Legacy” between the two protagonists, ably capture the “naïveté” that infects virtually all scientists and technicians of empire when empire puts science in the service of empire (see Reflections on Modernity, Climategate, Pandemic, Peer Review, and Science in the Service of Empire). This trend to willfully “Be innocent of the knowledge”, as Shakespeare put the words in Macbeth's mouth to lady Macbeth in The Tragedy of Macbeth (Act 3, Scene II, Line 45), can only be preemptively avoided by those wishing to avoid it, by having shrewd wherewithal before the fact, of how the world actually works.
Young men and women choosing the medical profession

Before investing heavily in one's medicine career, one will be wise to think very carefully how one might practice the healing arts predicate “First, do no harm”, realizing fully well: 1) how the medical profession actually functions under big-pharma's drugopoly and AMA's monopoly in defining and licensing medical training under its narrow-gauge specialization paradigms that preclude understanding and healing diseases holistically, at the whole body system level, rather than laboring under some idealistic and false delusions of curing cancer; and 2) perceptively seeing the choke-hold on modern medicine by the financial oligarchy hell-bent on controlling life and the life-sciences for a scientific dystopia they have imagined in which a handful of controllers rule the world.

To not be trained by default as glorified sales-rep of big-pharma automatically limits the choice of residency programs after medical school (to surgery or radiology or pathology for instance). It makes getting the residency of choice far more competitive, and sets the bar very high for the medical professional to broaden her expertise. Seeking training in multiple medical disciplines even today requires bucking the trend, as the medical schools and the AMA licensed medical profession by default only produce narrow-gauge overly-specialized doctors who in the vast majority of cases are effectively trained as the sales-reps for big-pharma.

One can imagine that in less than fifty years, hardware and software expert systems will interface directly with patients and will reduce the need for realtime presence of virtually all types of physicians, eventually reducing the need for even hands-on surgeons as remote triaging...
and surgery with robotic-assist from remote locations becomes more and more feasible and goes mainstream in high-technology hospitals worldwide. In less than a hundred years, who knows, fully automatic robotic surgery without human intervention as shown in movies today may become as ubiquitous as full body security scanners once only the staple of science fiction movies, are ubiquitous at American and European airports today.

A patient's first line of interaction will increasingly be technicians and nurses and medical assistants (if at all) rather than super-specialized MDs. Robots will take blood samples and hw-sw expert systems will automatically diagnose diseases and make recommendations for treatment protocols, one hopes of course, under the full oversight of panels of human doctors who will better be able to pool their combined expertise for whole body diagnosis and treatment than is done today. In that world, it is hard to imagine the need for too many physicians and surgeons. Automation and advanced technology can only do to the medical profession what it did to industrial manufacturing. The developing nations' medical story may unravel differently however. These countries also have populations of “useless eaters” who are most “inconveniently” sitting on the world's largest natural resources coveted by the developed Western hegemons. “Hegemony”, after all, as Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski wrote as the opening sentence of his book, The Grand Chessboard, “is as old as mankind.” History is witness to that truism. And hegemony is not just of international politics and world's resources, but of life itself. That is where lowering carbon emissions and carbon credit (see Between Global Warming and Global Governance – Concern for Environment is a ‘Hegelian Mind Fck’!) is to become the primary lever of social control alongside food and healthcare.

The impetus towards one-world government on earth, the natural culmination of hegemony, may normalize and standardize global healthcare as a socialized cost-effective medicine under complete control of big-pharma and big supra-national legal authority such as WHO, oper-
ating under strict scientific advisory from research and regulatory bodies like the CDC and the FDA (whatever their new global names may evolve to be as Anglo-European counterparts are merged into supra national agencies), after the “useless eaters” of Asia and Africa, followed by the white trash of the West, have been mercilessly culled away. This is not hyperbole. The precedent for it was set by the pious settlement of the American continent where the invading white European settlers created for themselves the lofty republican constitution and the glorified Bill of Rights, deemed inalienable and self-evident, and waived before the world ever since as the preeminent model of “democracy” and Western civilization, after ten million native-American Indians had been remorselessly killed off and the survivors quarantined on far away reservations, while the black imported Negro deemed only two-thirds human and mercilessly domesticated in service of the white man. Repeating that successful prescription for world settlement with the same straight face in future history hardly takes much imagination to comprehend in a social Darwinian world driven by the superman's “Will to Power” (see Friedrich Nietzsche's Thus Spake Zarathustra).

In that world of global socialized medicine for the masses, there will be no room for discretion by individual healthcare professionals for using their own judgments in patient care – the universal guidelines and protocols for every modality of illness and well-being to be strictly followed. The doctors today are already trained to operate by the book and in straight-jackets in the HMOs, and under the insurance company guidelines for what is covered and what isn't. I see that trend set by today's HMOs and insurance companies as the successful template of centralized control upon which global healthcare model will evolve. It is the inevitable future of modern medicine under one-world government wherein the medical profession is regulated not just at the service-provider side, but also at the consumer side. Every individual has global identification, is easily located, easily tracked, and easily administered from global databases by expert system protocols ac-
essible anywhere in the world by authority figures chartered to manage world populations. The trend already indicates that global health-care is to be the first of these global management services alongside global financial, economic, and taxation. It is easy to surmise that in a scientifically controlled dystopia worth its salt, reproduction rights, schooling, career selection, professional training, etc., determined on the utilitarian model of secular humanism and based on geographic needs rather than on individual inclination, can't be all that far behind.

What system is there today to interdict that trend of less and less individual control and more and more government control and regulation? See Islam vs. Secular Humanism and World Government. All religions and cultural traditions have been hijacked most effectively to serve empire. In other words, there isn't an alternative system today to interdict the dystopia of the Western oligarchy, the new rulers of the world, except the process itself failing under its own weight the way of the six hundred year reign of the Roman empire, and the seven hundred year unchallenged reign of the successive Muslim empires. Unlike the Roman empire however which arguably crumbled under its own weight, before the British empire finally demolished the last remnant of the Ottoman Muslim empire, the latter had already become scientifically backward and a termite-ridden legacy of several centuries of decay. In the world today under the control and primacy of the sole superpower on earth, the United States of America, herself firmly under the thumbscrews of the global financial oligarchy, there are no visible challengers on the conceivable horizon for this system's take-down. Unless the world government is remarkably still-born, or dies in its infancy, or perishes due to some natural global catastrophe, it would only become moribund due to its own hubris. If one-world government survives its infancy and early growing pains, we are easily looking at a millennium of juvenile rule by the financial oligarchy in a scientific dystopia. The science and technology are available today, as never before, to make that a realistically foreseeable outcome, absent any public resistance.
Somewhere along that dystopic trajectory during one's foreseeable lifetime, and now cured of all altruistic delusions of curing cancer, ask oneself if one really wants to enter the medical profession as a patient care provider or medical scientist? The answer can only be sought by acquiring a shrewd wherewithal of primacy and its global imperatives, and how that exercise extends its tentacles into the medical profession to control life itself. It demands a wherewithal that can navigate that dystopic trajectory in man's best healthcare interests rather than the oligarchy's and the big-pharma's best interests. If this rambling missive tickles that desire for increasing wherewithal and self-understanding of the medical paradigm du jour before stupidly spending a quarter of million dollars in tuition monies to train day and night only to become skilled unquestioning sales-reps of big pharma, it has surely served its purpose. If even one budding medical scientist becomes aware before the fact, of how one's natural aptitude and passion for science (or anything else that is useful for that matter) is diabolically harvested to serve narrow elitist agendas that are almost always thinly disguised in noble causes (such as national security, patriotism, nationalism, curing cancer, etc.), and perceptively avoids the predicament depicted in “The Bourne Legacy” of art imitating life, spending the time writing these commonsense reflections on modern medicine would have been worth it.

In the following conversation snippet between the medical Dr. Scientist escaping from her killers and the rogue agent helping her, the dramatization dialogs wrap the key idea highlighted in bold, of self-serving innocence of scientists blinded by their own narrow passions happily serving villainy in the name of science, and in the name of helping their country. Avoid being deluded by such self-serving innocence into serving narrow agendas of a private cabal before the fact. Ex post facto, if eyes wide open, it is only an empty and hypocritical lament.

(Backdrop: This five minute conversation in the 2012 movie “The Bourne Legacy”, at time 1:10:15 to 1:15:58, transpires when the
Rogue Agent undergoing virology experimentation code-named "Outcome" and desperate for more meds, is helping the virology medical Dr. Scientist escape from the intelligence black-ops killers who have come to assassinate her and make it look like suicide. The cabal within the shadow government of the militarized state has decided to shelve its successful virology experiment being conducted on military black-ops recruits to transform ordinary killers into remorseless super assassins; talented killers coerced into the super-secret military program in the name of national security and saving American lives; the "Outcome" assassin research and training program alters the DNA and biochemistry with gene-modifying vaccines that attempt to transform these already psychologically wrecked military soldiers into remorseless super killers, biochemically enhanced to very high level IQ, very high level physical endurance, very high level pain threshold, very high level obedience compliance, and very low to non-existent level EQ (Emotional Quotient). The research based training program is temporarily being put on the back-burner by killing off all the "program participants" as well as the core team of medical scientists who worked on the research and technology of it, while archiving all their science and data for resurrection after the heat is off. A previous super-assassin (not in this episode), another rogue agent named Jason Bourne, had acquired a disturbing conscience and a higher than anticipated residual EQ in the previous three Hollywood episodes of this fable, and made the top-secret super-assassin research training program that he had undergone public. The shadow government now fears full exposure of all its other programs including "Outcome" and wants to limit its losses. The bosses in the back-office think they have killed off all the “program participants”, and are surprised that the last remaining medical Dr. scientist escaped so easily from the expert killers sent to "suicide" her. The bosses are left wondering who is helping her escape.)
BEGIN Dialog
(“The Bourne Legacy”, time 1:10:15 to 1:15:58, DVD version)

Dr. Scientist:  Is that your name?
Rogue Agent:  James? No. What?
You don't know my name?
Dr. Scientist:  (shakes her head)
Rogue Agent:  What do you call me?
What do you put on my blood work?
Dr. Scientist:  Five.
Rogue Agent:  Five? The number five?
Dr. Scientist:  (nods her head)
Rogue Agent:  Do you know how many times we've met?
Dr. Scientist:  (looks at him wondering)
Rogue Agent:  Thirteen.
Thirteen exams over the last four years.
And that's what I get. A number.
Number Five. Okay.
Five of what, then?
How many are we?
Dr. Scientist:  Program participants?
Rogue Agent:  That's what you call us?
Dr. Scientist:  There were nine. Then six.
Rogue Agent:  Participants! (shaking his head in bewildermment)
Dr. Scientist:  How did you find me?
Rogue Agent:  Well, what do you think?
They are going to kill all of us and then just leave you guys
alone?
You think your colleague just went crazy?
Is that what you think?

Dr. Scientist: I, I, (stammers) didn't think anything.

Rogue Agent: They wound him up and set him loose (to kill the core team of medical scientists).

Dr. Scientist: I really have no idea what is going on.

Rogue Agent: What's going on is they're shutting the whole thing down.
That's what's going on.

Dr. Scientist: Who is "they"?
I don't know, I don't know
Who were the people at the house?

Rogue Agent: No, no, no, no.
You had your turn, okay?
You've been bleeding and scoping and scraping me since the day we met.
It's my turn, now.
I'm asking the questions, you got it?
I need program meds, okay?
I need program meds.
Where do you keep the chems?

Dr. Scientist: I don't have any.
I've already told you.
We don't have them.

Rogue Agent: Bullshit.

Dr. Scientist: No, I really don't...

Rogue Agent: Bullshit.
It's bullshit.

Dr. Scientist: I don't have any. (screams back with tears in
her eyes)

Rogue Agent:  **So, you don't know anything?**
**You're just the help?**
That's why you have the big house and the security **clearance, right?**
That's why they're trying so hard to kill you, because you don't know anything.

Dr. Scientist:  **I know my job, which is science.**
**I don't know what you do when you, when you leave the lab.**
**None of us do!**

Rogue Agent:  For four years!

Dr. Scientist:  Look, I want to get out!
Would you please stop the car? (hysterical)

Rogue Agent:  **You can't be that naive.**

Dr. Scientist:  Would you let me out the car. (screaming)

Rogue Agent:  **There's no way you're that naive!**

(stops the car)

Rogue Agent:  You want out? Get out.
Go on, get out.
You don't have any chems.
You don't know where they are.
You don't know anything.
That's fine. Just get out.
But you got a plan, right?
Yeah, of course you do.
You're a doctor.
You've got this all worked out, don't you?
What are you going to do?
Huh? What are you going to do?
You can't run, not alone.
You don't know how.
You certainly can't hide.
Not from people like this, with the resources they have.
You won't make it to sundown.
So, what does that leave you?
You can go public, sure.
You can go loud.
Call your sister, because that worked out well for you.
Call some ex-roommate.
Call a guy that knows a guy at the Washington Post.
Put it online.
'Cause you know what?
You blow me off, that's the only play you've got.
But you better ask yourself this.
Could you ever say it loud enough or fast enough that they'd be too afraid to finish what they've started?
Now, I've got a plan, and it's just not that complicated.
What I'm going to do is wait for the next person to show up to kill you.
Maybe they can help me.
So, go for it.

(scene switch and back again)

Dr. Scientist: You have to understand.
All the work at Outcome, all those tests,
the burn rates,
dosage panels,
tissue stress.
I mean, that is us tuning chemistry.
(with sparkle in her eyes, continues)
We don't fabricate anything.
That happens downstream.

Rogue Agent: Wait. What's that mean, "downstream"?
Dr. Scientist: It means you need live virus to seed adhesion.
Cultures are highly reactive.
You have to process on-site and we would never do it here.

(Why does Baxter and other big-pharma have highly classified viral research center in other countries with weak laws and disposable populations, one wonders!)

Rogue Agent: Okay. On-site, where? Where?
Dr. Scientist: Where do we hold the virus?
Rogue Agent: Yes!
Dr. Scientist: Well, we can't drive there.
Rogue Agent: Where is it?
Dr. Scientist: Manilla.
The Philippines.
(both get out of the car, rogue agent is flustered)
Dr. Scientist: Where do you stand with your dosage?
Rogue Agent: I have 300 milligrams of blues.
It's not even enough for another day.
I haven't had a full green in 51 hours,
which is strange because I don't feel physically degraded.
But we'll see.
Dr. Scientist: Wait, wait, wait.
Did you just say you're still taking greens?
Rogue Agent: What are you talking about?
Dr. Scientist: You were viraled off physical medication last year.
Rogue Agent: What?
Dr. Scientist: (with a guilty look) Jesus.
They viraled everyone off greens eight months ago.
They infected you with live virus,
which means that, physically, you are stable.
You don't need to take greens.
They locked it in.
Any physical enhancement is now permanent.

Rogue Agent: So, you infected me?
When was this?
What? Is this when I was sick?
The mystery flu?
That was you?

Dr. Scientist: Ah, well, it wasn't me.
I didn't do it.

Rogue Agent: That was you.
That was on purpose, right?
When I almost died.

Dr. Scientist: I'm sorry, but it wasn't me.

Rogue Agent: Why am I still taking green pills, then?

Dr. Scientist: I don't know.
I, I thought you had stopped.

Rogue Agent: Why am I asking you anything?
Or to keep us on a leash, right?
Is that it?
To keep a hold on us?
To keep us dependent?
Who tells you that this is okay?

Dr. Scientist: No one... I do...

Rogue Agent: **Who says it's okay?** (angry)

Dr. Scientist: **I do research.**
**I design, I survey.**
**I don't, I don't administer meds.**
I don't make policy! (shouting back)

Rogue Agent: No, you just load the gun.

Dr. Scientist: Oh, God.

Look, I was there for the science.
We were all there for, for science.
And I know you don't care, but I made a huge sacrifice.
I couldn't publish,
I couldn't conference.
I couldn't tell a single person what it was I did.
But I thought I was, I thought I was helping my country,
and I know that's...

END Dialog

See The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction of Science and Techno-
logy to glean examples of real life scientists lamenting hypocritically
after having done their dastardly deeds, to realize that this Hollywood-
ish dialog is in fact art imitating life, a fable, a tale that expounds an
idea in story form. Like novelists, poets, littératoirs and philosophers,
Hollywood script writers too sometimes depict reality in art form,
when they are not staging psychological warfare disguised in mass en-
tertainment that is, and yet most of us come out of the theatre with as
much reflection as when one graduates from an American Ivy League.
Unless one can comprehend this matter at the very inception of one's
journey into professionaldom, before one is too invested in one's ca-
reer and success, before accolades and applause pile up, one can only
arrive at the same destination by traveling the same paths.

Nations

It is a national challenge for every nation to become independent of
the WHO in its practice of medicine, and to develop its own traditions
and legal bodies in medicine which are not taking their marching or-
ders from the American and the big-pharma controlled global medical establishment. Not an easy thing to accomplish in a world that is already being ruled by fiat, by the draconian imperatives of Global Governance which is gradually eroding away national sovereignty! Only truly independent nations un-beholden to the international bankers and to their instruments of debt-enslavement, can even think of standing up to these global forces which surround all individual matters. This means, as one can perceptively observe, in order to effectively reform Western allopathic medicine, a nation first has to neutralize all the forces and power levers, both overt and hidden, that have enabled its draconian embedding with big-pharma.

**What are the National Challenges?**

Just imagine – what will it take to overturn AMA for instance from private monopoly control beholden to big-pharma and the Rockefeller cabal into public control directly beholden to elected public policy makers and to public demand? An act of United States Congress? What does it take to prevail upon the Congress, and upon the President to not veto its bills? Even the all powerful American public couldn't alter its own ill fated destiny against the grotesque 2008 TARP bailout bill of the bankers which was approved by Congress and signed by the president the same evening! And therein lies the firm connection of all abhorrent constructs in the world today to the most un-natural primordial force before which all earthly powers bow. Who can ever overturn it? Over a century ago, Woodrow Wilson, America's 28th president (1913 – 1921), made the following observation about the nature of this power which was openly witnessed un-varnished, naked and brute, by all and sundry a hundred years later in the passage of that TARP bailout bill of 2008:

“Since I entered politics, I have chiefly had men's views confided to me privately. Some of the biggest men in the United States, in the field of
commerce and manufacture, are afraid of somebody, are afraid of something. They know that there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breath when they speak in condemnation of it.” — The New Freedom, Woodrow Wilson, 1913, Doubleday, Chapter I, pgs. 13-14

“This money trust, or, as it should be more properly called, this credit trust, of which Congress has begun an investigation, is no myth; it is no imaginary thing. It is not an ordinary trust like another. It doesn't do business every day. It does business only when there is occasion to do business. You can sometimes do something large when it isn't watching, but when it is watching, you can't do much. And I have seen men squeezed by it; I have seen men who, as they themselves expressed it, were put "out of business by Wall Street," because Wall Street found them inconvenient and didn't want their competition.

Let me say again that I am not impugning the motives of the men in Wall Street. They may think that that is the best way to create prosperity for the country. When you have got the market in your hand, does honesty oblige you to turn the palm upside down and empty it? If you have got the market in your hand and believe that you understand the interest of the country better than anybody else, is it patriotic to let it go? I can imagine them using this argument to themselves.

The dominating danger in this land is not the existence of great individual combinations,—that is dangerous enough in all conscience,—but the combination of the combinations,—of the railways, the manufacturing
enterprises, the great mining projects, the great enterprises for the development of the natural water-powers of the country, threaded together in the personnel of a series of boards of directors into a "community of interest" more formidable than any conceivable single combination that dare appear in the open.

The organization of business has become more centralized, vastly more centralized, than the political organization of the country itself. Corporations have come to cover greater areas than states; have come to live under a greater variety of laws than the citizen himself, have excelled states in their budgets and loomed bigger than whole commonwealths in their influence over the lives and fortunes of entire communities of men. Centralized business has built up vast structures of organization and equipment which overtop all states and seem to have no match or competitor except the federal government itself.

What we have got to do,—and it is a colossal task not to be undertaken with a light head or without judgment,—what we have got to do is to disentangle this colossal "community of interest." No matter how we may purpose dealing with a single combination in restraint of trade, you will agree with me in this, that no single, avowed, combination is big enough for the United States to be afraid of; but when all the combinations are combined and this final combination is not disclosed by any process of incorporation or law, but is merely an identity of personnel, or of interest, then there is something that even the government of the nation itself might come to fear,—something for the law to pull apart, and gently, but firmly and per-
sistently, dissect.

You know that the chemist distinguishes between a chemical combination and an amalgam. A chemical combination has done something which I cannot scientifically describe, but its molecules have become intimate with one another and have practically united, whereas an amalgam has a mere physical union created by pressure from without. Now, you can destroy that mere physical contact without hurting the individual elements, and this community of interest is an amalgam; you can break it up without hurting any one of the single interests combined. Not that I am particularly delicate of some of the interests combined,—I am not under bonds to be unduly polite to them,—but I am interested in the business of the country, and believe its integrity depends upon this dissection. **I do not believe any one group of men has vision enough or genius enough to determine what the development of opportunity and the accomplishment by achievement shall be in this country.**

The facts of the situation amount to this: that a comparatively small number of men control the raw material of this country; that a comparatively small number of men control the water-powers that can be made useful for the economical production of the energy to drive our machinery; that that same number of men largely control the railroads; that by agreements handed around among themselves they control prices, and that that same group of men control the larger credits of the country.” — ibid. Chapter VIII, pgs. 185-190

My goodness! And Woodrow Wilson had published all that before he was elected president and before he had signed off on the Federal Re-
serve Bank Act of the United States on December 23, 1913 (see Eu-
stace Mullins for the fascinating cloak and dagger shenanigans under
which the third central bank of the United States was finally brought
about after a hiatus of 70 years under the thin cover of legality). And
yet, after becoming president, Woodrow Wilson bowed before the
diktats of that same un-natural primordial force like President George
W. Bush did a century later in 2008, demonstrating the continuity of
the “money trust” as truly “a power somewhere so organized, so
subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive,” so en-
during with the shelf-life of a giant land tortoise and the tentacles of a
giant sea octopus! That exact same power also protects its key con-
struct for controlling human life and all its life-sciences: Western
medicine!

Even a century ago that power was already “interlocked”, as president
Wilson had described it then. If anything, today it has grown into an
octopus encircling and squeezing the entire planet. This predatory sys-
tem combine is now so fully interlinked and interlocked globally, that
separation of what is “amalgam” from what is “chemical combination” in Woodrow Wilson's analogy, is virtually impossible.

And this octopus cannot be dismantled or any of its tentacles cut
in isolation from the primordial force which protects the whole
octopus. An invisible iron wall protects this octopus!

That un-natural primordial force has a core weakness however. And it
has cleverly harnessed its weakness in its own favor. That principal
weakness is the power to legislate, which it owns outright today. In
theory, that power belongs to the people, not to a tiny cabal of super-
men, and it can also be easily taken away, virtually overnight, by
brave nations choosing to throw off their yolk of servitude. Putting
that lofty theory into practice of course has remained a challenge from
time immemorial. A battle that perpetually beckons brave nations
against their Darwinian predators. It is always easier to muster cour-
age to fight the foreign enemy at the gates than the one which resides
within. Cicero, the Roman philosopher and noted orator, had most
eloquently characterized this formidable enemy of the body politic which president Woodrow Wilson evidently had only just enough courage to tepidly call the “money trust” without identifying it further. So full of fear was even the all powerful president of United States that he had refused to call out specific names, let alone charge them for conspiracy from the highest office in the country even as its commander in chief, as if he was totally powerless in power. But these names are no more unknown today than they were a century ago. See Eustace Mullins, Carroll Quigley, Antony Sutton, et al. in Some Dare Call It Conspiracy; Eustace Mullins in Secrets of the Federal Reserve – The London Connection; Eustace Mullins in Murder By Injection; Rockefeller Medicine Men – Medicine and Capitalism in America by E. Richard Brown; and Col. Edward Mandell House who acted as the money trust's frontman handler of Woodrow Wilson for the eight bloody years that this mental midget of a co-opted president occupied the White House as the principal asset of the “money trust”.

Under Edward Mandell House's invisible hand (see House's book in which the role of the invisible hand of the oligarchy controlling the seat of government is justified as a rational principle of enlightened governance by the elite from behind the scenes), president Woodrow Wilson transformed not just the United States of America by obligingly signing the Federal Reserve Bank Act in 1913 during the first year of his first term, but transformed the entire world by taking the United States into World War I in 1917 during the first year of his second term – his ex post facto hypocritical lament: “I am a most unhappy man--unwittingly I have ruined my country”, after leaving the White House notwithstanding. Quoting Cicero on the dangers of the traitor within:

“A nation can survive its fools, and even the ambitious. But it cannot survive treason from within. An enemy at the gates is less formidable, for he is known and carries his banner openly. But the traitor moves amongst those within the gate freely,
his sly whispers rustling through all the alleys, heard in the very halls of government itself. **For the traitor appears not a traitor; he speaks in accents familiar to his victims, and he wears their face and their arguments, he appeals to the baseness that lies deep in the hearts of all men.** He rots the soul of a nation, he works secretly and unknown in the night to undermine the pillars of the city, he infects the body politic so that it can no longer resist. A murderer is less to be feared. **The traitor is the carrier of the plague. You have unbarred the gates of Rome to him.**” (A paraphrase of Cicero from a 1965 essay by Justice Millard Caldwell, via wikipedia)

The grotesque reality is that virtually all nations on earth today are ruled by clones of Woodrow Wilson – when they are not outright fools or mercenaries that is. Either way, all of them are guided, or coerced, controlled one way or another, into enacting laws and policies under the facade of “democracy” that favor the behind the scenes predators. There is evidently a great deal of pernicious power hidden in the pithy saying of Rothschild: “**Give me control of a nation's money supply and I care not who makes its laws**”. That power was exercised through president Woodrow Wilson to create the legal debt extortion racket to bring the world's foremost nation into willing compliance with a mere signature. Today all developed nations as a combine of the oligarchy sing “United We Stand” on all core axioms of primacy, differing with each other in only as much as the WWF wrestlers in opposing corners differ with each other when they get together with the promoters off stage to forge out an evening program. The developing nations on the other hand have been principally brought to their knees with the help of the tag-team super-duo of WB-IMF, both debt instruments of the same “money trust”.

These are the forces that brave nations must understand and overrun in order to pragmatically overturn the present medical paradigm
forced upon them by WHO and AMA led medical science!

While these challenges appear formidable, a smaller bite may just be to introduce nutrition and botany as mandatory subjects in both pre-med and medical school curriculum. No, not as general subjects as they presently are in many developing nations' medical schools, but related specifically to the biochemistry of the specific diseases and anatomical subsystems studied in the course of one's medical training. The challenge here is that the specific science of relating disease to nutrition and natural remedies found in nature has to be funded and developed.

What I have discovered as an engineer is that once a broad set of fundamentals are learnt correctly, when domain wisdom is learnt through commonsense rather than rote learning, and when experiential training is not subject to the self-serving confirmation biases of the system, it makes it easier to adopt to any paradigm shift. This versatility in technical training is elegantly denied to the modern doctors despite all this hoopla of having studied four extra years in undergraduate education before entering medical school. The biggest problem the medical profession has today is their narrow-gauge over specialization that precludes any depth of holistic understanding in what causes diseases and how to reverse them. Adding that new focus on nutrition and botany as necessary adjuncts of causation and reversal of diseases to medical curriculums in individual medical schools does not require treading on any major toes of course. But for it to be effective at the national level does require national level deployment, and that requires cooperation of national bodies that design and administer tests and board exams and regulate medical licensing. Not sure how that cooperation can be enlisted. All of these are private bodies in most nations and remain beholden to big-pharma and the AMA driven allopathic curriculum that entirely precludes all that which is not big-pharma drugs and high-tech surgical interventions from medical respectability!

Ultimately, this modern quackery can only be overcome with medical practitioners themselves realizing it and starting to put commonsense
into their medical practice. In this regard the untiring labors of Caldwell B. Esselstyn for reversing coronary heart disease with plant based diet highlighted in this article is illustrative. Dr. Esselstyn is fortunate that the Cleveland Clinic with which he has been affiliated for most of his carrier, created the Wellness Clinic where the good doctor can counsel his patients in the alternatives. Once again, not sure how one can do that in general as part of an HMO and insurance plans, and under legislative and institutional hospital policy prescriptions that make employment and medical practice contingent on following the AMA paradigm. For instance, not sure which licensed medical doctor has the moral courage to decline administering vaccines when it is the law! And that, as they say, is the bottom line.

The following perceptive passage from Sun Tzu can be the only fitting conclusion at this time (what can I say, never say die until actually dead):

“If you know the enemy and know yourself, you need not fear the result of a hundred battles. If you know yourself but not the enemy, for every victory gained you will also suffer a defeat. If you know neither the enemy nor yourself, you will succumb in every battle.” — Sun Tzu, The Art of War

**Short URL:** http://tinyurl.com/Truth-Modern-Medicine
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Chapter 33 Part-2

Medicine in the Service of Empire

Introduction to Foster Gamble's Documentary THRIVE – What On Earth Will It Take?

For those in medical school studying to be doctors, their parents, and also those who are already in the medical profession, please watch the 15 minute segment of this two hour documentary from time 42:45 to 57:45

- http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lEV5AFFcZ-s#t=2565

What is interesting in this 15 minute must-watch segment is that its distinguished compere, Foster Gamble, a Princeton University graduate and its student body president, direct descendant of one of the founders of Proctor and Gamble, groomed to be a leader in the establishment but choosing to walk away from his inherited power legacy to instead become a responsible activist for humanity, takes on the
real power behind the world today - the banking cartel which owns and/or controls medicine, agriculture, pharma, not to mention central banking and coining national money out of thin air! This is the first time I have seen a notable insider from the elite corporatocracy take on this prime-mover of social and political control in our era. There have been others in the past but I don't immediately recall anyone contemporary. Please watch that short segment which pertains to medicine, food, education and fractional reserve lending --- in that 15 minutes this documentary summarizes what I have researched and restated time and again which no one pays any attention to. Whereas, coming from an insider of real power and pelf, I think this exposé of the banking cartel's role in controlling medicine, food, and big pharma from one among the elite themselves, is more compelling!

However, also note that in earlier segments of the documentary (if you have time to watch the whole thing), Foster Gamble evidently falls into the same trap as I have noted many prominent activists uncannily seem to also fall into --- the UFO rubbish of Steven Greer et. al., and other similar speculations of free energy brought to us by the aliens which I can only call "gibberish". Ignore that crap, or, take the pseudo science gibberish with a pinch of astute political science salt. Bizarrely salted to Hegelian proportions by intelligence ops as I have already deconstructed in the case study of Steven Greer's Disclosure Project, [1] do note that even in these outlandish and speculative segments there are many kernels of hard scientific and political truths wrapped in garbage --- the calculated suppression of science such as Nikola Tesla's work for instance, shrewdly accompanied by UFO exponents. Why rational, well referenced, empirical, verifiable, factual expositions of any of these topics pertaining to the role of omnipotent banking power in orchestrating society and politics, are almost always accompanied by outlandish gibberish and speculations is explainable, at least to my mind.

It appears to be a calculated self-defense mechanism of brilliant people based on the idea of poisoning their own well. Whenever they
speak some verifiable truth, they also seem to utter some unverifiable and outlandish rubbish --- which is so incongruent to their other factual and verifiable words that only the foolish masses would either accept it all or reject it all; the smarter handful among the public would know to discern rationally and to throw away the poisonous shell carefully protecting the fruit within. Because I have seen this pattern repeat time and again, such as David Icke who is also featured later on in the documentary speaking on the banking cartel but who also speaks the "UFO" and "shape-shifting reptile" gibberish elsewhere, [2] that I can only sensibly conclude that it is a self-defense mechanism for some genuine activists to calculatingly appear "nutty" when speaking the whole truth against the most powerful prime-movers on earth! Others may well believe that gibberish, I don't know. There are of course a whole sleuth of Machiavellian techniques of cognitive infiltration available to the intelligence apparatuses and its Mighty Wurlitzer for putting dissent on treadmills which go nowhere. [2a] [2b] The discerning mind must forensically analyze all matters, and all interconnections among them, to synthesize the whole picture which is often larger than the sum of its parts. The Japanese wisdom admonishes: “Aspire to be like Mt. Fuji, with such a broad and solid foundation that the strongest earthquake cannot move you, and so tall that the greatest enterprises of common men seem insignificant from your lofty perspective. With your mind as high as Mt. Fuji you can see all things clearly. And you can see all the forces that shape events; not just the things happening near to you.”

In any case, the segment I draw your attention to is 100% accurate and anyone can verify its factual basis. I have done so time and again and even written about it. The subsequent segments on the control of the world by the banking cartel is also on the mark --- as I have researched and concluded the same. I also know of the deliberate suppression of alternate medicine by associating it with quackery. Not to say that quackery in medicine does not exist, but not all alternate ways of looking at the healing arts and science are quackery! To assert it is
so is clearly the obvious motivation of entrenched power not just protecting its own economic turf, but also its cunningly weaved fabric of human control --- and the fact that an insider is highlighting these matters so boldly is something to pay attention to. I think.

That segment on medicine is also important because foolish doctors today are not only prescribing poisons under AMA licensing, but also cheer leading advocacy of social evils at national levels as PR spokesman of big medicine --- case in point: the recent WHO directive for polio vaccination in Pakistan, Syria, and Cameroon. If I was in any policy making position in Pakistan, my take would be that a) our scientists will research it ourselves, and b) if deemed necessary, our nation will manufacture our own vaccines ab initio so that we know exactly what we are putting in them --- a position Iran has wisely taken!

This is quite a separate question from the efficacy of vaccines in the first place which I am not addressing here. Science reveals to me that vaccines are efficacious for delivering payloads into the biological systems. Whether it is good for the human beings is a separate issue. Not addressed today. Please don't go off wandering into a red herring. The discussion here is of what is --- and that in itself is inimical.

Also pay attention to the quote by Henry Kissinger in 1973 reproduced in that segment at time 46:45 - here is what Kissinger repeats in the style of George Orwell from "1984" ("who controls the past controls the future; who controls the present controls the past"), combining the saying of Rothschild from 1800s ("give me control of a nation's money supply and I care not who makes its laws") with Thomas Malthus from 1798 ("I do not know that any writer has supposed that on this earth man will ultimately be able to live without food. ... Had population and food increased in the same ratio, it is probable that man might never have emerged from the savage state."), to come up with the following brilliant formulation of empirical fact now observable by anyone with even half a brain functioning:
“Who controls the food supply controls the people;
who controls the energy can control whole continents;
who controls the money can control the world.”

Fools die many deaths, the valiant die but once --- a lesson unlearned by the twelve years of obedience training in K-12 that the segment refers to!!!

Judge for yourself how much you obey authorities yourself --- to the point that you take their gospels as religion, especially in medicine where you follow the AMA, WHO, FDA, blindly.... “Primum non nocere”: “First, do no harm" has evidently been given a new Orwellian cover. It is repeated by every physician and surgeon just like "freedom is slavery" is repeated in Orwell; freedom to obey the AMA, the WHO, and Authority edicts without question! That obedience training obviously also includes faithfully echoing who dun 9/11.

This is Foster Gamble's website:
http://www.thrivemovement.com/about_us

The jury is still out on Foster Gamble as far as I am concerned ---- I don't know if he is for real or fake opposition. Nothing Gamble has stated is new. 9/11 would once again be the key litmus test I suppose [3] --- a test that is by now failed by many among the most lauded in preeminent dissent. [4] But at least in that medical segment, and in the Federal Reserve and banking segments that follow, Foster Gamble using words like "tape-worm" and "parasites" to refer to the banking cartel, does sound like Eustace Mullins as in that late scholar's seminal 1984-85 book "The World Order - A study in the Hegemony of Parasitism", and his 1952 classic: "The Secrets of the Federal Reserve". It is strange though that while Foster interviews G. Edward Griffin and features Griffin's book titled "The Creature from Jekyll Island", Gamble inexplicably ignores Eustace Mullins' much earlier work which ostensibly seeded all others including Griffin's narrative. Mullins was the first one after WW-II to dive deep into the shenanigans behind the founding of the Federal Reserve by the money
trust at Jekyll Island, under the guidance of the famous political prisoner Ezra Pound and paid the heaviest price of any living researcher of the subject. None of the later authors who followed Eustace Mullins, and almost all of them greatly benefitted from his original research whether or not they have acknowledged that fact, have paid such a high price. It is also revealing that virtually all of them, including G. Edward Griffin the last time I checked, also fail the 9/11 test just like former long-time congressman Ron Paul who also most carefully likes to challenge the banking cartel but manages to also echo the establishment's narrative of 9/11, just as Noam Chomsky does, all of them calling it “blowback” while retaining the who dunnit narrative of the same establishment they so vigorously claim to dissent with. The documentary features several speakers who have precisely held up that Big Lie over the years since 9/11 (as far as I am aware).

After all their astuteness displayed in the video to standup to the global elite on so many diverse matters covered in the documentary, are they simultaneously so stupid that they cannot add two plus two? In the anemic coverage of 9/11 in the documentary, Foster Gamble only makes a passing reference to “false flag operation” with the statement: “an increasing number of people believe that 9/11 was a false flag operation by the global elite in order to set the stage for taking over middle east oil and dismantling US constitutional protection.” (at time 1:31:00). I would have liked to hear what Foster Gamble himself believed and planted his own stake in the ground for, and not what other “nut-jobs” believed! To the extent that this respected scion of the notable Proctor and Gamble family does not come out as forcefully on 9/11 as he has demonstrated the courage to come out on the rest of the matters in the documentary, I would argue that Mr. Gamble is tugging on the same tenuous safety-line as poisoning his own well by showcasing UFO con-artists who rehearse their specious and unproven free energy mantra before an ignorant and unscientific public. And ultimately, whether wittingly or unwittingly, still contributing to the sanctification of the Big Lie. [5]
Nevertheless, I do applaud Foster Gamble, and his wife Kimberly Gamble co hosting the documentary, for stating many of the verifiable facts as boldly as they have done. Their distinguished Gamble name standing up to the tyranny of the banking cartel I imagine will be far more effective than many others I can think of. Specifically, for the focus of this introduction, none in the medical profession can really afford to ignore that segment on medicine in their own good conscience. The budding doctors in training aspiring to join the world's noblest profession should be made aware that they are committing to a life on the elite's chessboard on which they each shall remain pawn in the hands of the global medical trust, which in turn is controlled by the global money trust. And that money trust is driving the one-world government agenda with its attendant policy prescriptions as is accurately portrayed in the documentary. They may well be administering the shots for population reduction someday, a topic only just touched upon by Foster Gamble in the final segments of the documentary. No one in the medical profession really believes that. Therefore, well done there, Gambles!

If I were to edit this documentary to make a more rational cut, I would be presumptuous enough to cut out all the gibberish in the early section up to the medical segment starting at minute 42:45, and selectively incorporate only those portions from the earlier segments that contain the verifiable facts of the same banking cartel controlling all aspects of the energy sector and thus having little natural incentive to entertain any alternatives that can challenge their monopoly. The editing out of gibberish would of course also undo the deliberate poisoning of the well, now wouldn't it? Well, why the hell not? This stellar documentary needs no crutches --- the topics are well documented in officialdom's own handwritings. I have studied many of these documents myself. There is no reason for the spirit of moral courage demonstrated in this documentary by Foster Gamble and his wife to be contaminated by any frog crapping in the punch bowl. A clean separation of both science and political science which factually explains...
the making of the public mind, from the idle speculation of “toruses” and the energy mantras drawn from ancient and New Age religions, will help convince many rational people of the verifiable facts of the matter who would otherwise not get past the first ten-twenty minutes of its gibberish, if that! Which is why I suggest you start watching from that medical segment onward.

The rest of the segments in the documentary (except the solution space and periodic regression into poisoning the well) are simply excellent, and indeed also mostly verifiable! Every factual topic covered in the documentary I have had the opportunity to study myself and I have also written much about these same topics on my own website. However, the presumption speciously advanced in this documentary is that scarcity of resources is the first cause of problems and abundance of free energy would solve it. I do not believe that the principal problem has anything to do with scarcity of energy or scarcity of natural resources, and the free energy mantra is like the Irish gnome --- never quite sure when it might pop up in your bed.

The principal problem is PRIMACY. Not SCARCITY!

Primacy is an imperative as old as hegemony, as old as mankind. No civilization in the past has escaped its lure. Today, it is directly manifested in the inequitable distribution of whatever resources and energies and wealth the world does possess. The documentary even brings on John Perkins, the infamous Economic Hitman, to confirm how international primacy works in practice. For its policy underpinnings, see for instance the text of George F. Kennan's Policy Planning Study PPS 23 from 1948. That text, we are informed, fashioned what popularly came to be known as the Truman Doctrine. But its precepts, as one can see, have continued as the core policy construct in every US Administration without fail, just as these precepts remained the cornerstone of every empire in every age before the rise of latter day Pax Americana in the mid twentieth century: “We have about 50% of
the world's wealth, but only 6.3% of its population. In this situation, we cannot fail to be the object of envy and resentment. Our real task in the coming period is to devise a pattern of relationships which will permit us to maintain this position of disparity without positive detriment to our national security. To do so, we will have to dispense with all sentimentality and day-dreaming, and our attention will have to be concentrated everywhere on our immediate national objectives. We need not deceive ourselves that we can afford today the luxury of altruism and world-benefaction. We should cease to talk about vague and – for the Far East – unreal objectives such as human rights, the raising of living standards, and democratization. The day is not far off when we are going to have to deal in straight power concepts. The less we are then hampered by idealistic slogans, the better.” [6]

The *ubermensch* philosophy which guides the creed and primacy instincts of the modern parasites is Bernard de Mandeville's, called the “fable of the bees”. The following veritable statement made in 1705 AD by the man who it is claimed inspired Adam Smith's the Wealth of Nations, can always find newer contrivances to enslave mankind just as easily: “The economic well-being of the nation depends on the presence of a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long. Because men are naturally lazy they will not work unless forced by necessity to do so.” Voluntary servitude is part and parcel of the human condition even when they have every material thing. [7]

The solution to freedom from tyranny is not in material things; neither in its abundance, nor in its scarcity. But intellectual and moral freedom lies in spiritual courage. It is the principal foundation of all resistance to falsehoods. Thusly, as the noted German philosopher Goethe had timelessly observed: “None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free”. And Aldous Huxley demonstrated one fast path to the scientific implementation of that enslaving ideology in his seminal fable: Brave New World. Huxley noted on the occasion of the thirtieth anniversary in a talk given at the University of California, Berkeley: “You can do everything with bay-
onets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.” [8]

If there was an abundance of everything as this simplistic documentary postulates, and if we had the voluntary self-sustaining libertarian Austrian systems of Mises as imagined in the final segment, the global elite will find newer ways to induce deprivation to control man.

The instinct for primacy of man upon fellow man is not taken away by its satiation – for the goal is not satiation, but SOCIAL CONTROL.

The Pollyanish advocacy in the documentary of Gandhian non-violence as the primary method of global resistance sounds really great on paper. It can even make a great undergraduate thesis in social sciences I am sure. Revealingly though, and unfortunately not mentioned by Foster Gamble as he rambled on about non-cooperation in the last segment, that wonderful high-minded principle was most brilliantly adduced from logic alone by a 22 year old in 16th century France. His name was Etienne de La Boétie. This young fellow explained non-cooperation most eloquently in 1552 AD in his treatise to end voluntary servitude: “The Politics of Obedience: The Discourse of Voluntary Servitude”. It hasn't happened yet!

If lofty platitudes could modulate greed and lust for power, then the Ten Commandments would surely have created heaven on earth by now --- 3000 years and still waiting. Just witness what's happening in
Palestine with the First Commandment – and its harbingers are the wielders of the same power nexus outlined in the documentary! What has the spectating world been able to do about interdicting that cultural and physical genocide of a living people? A big fat ZERO. The troubling question to me for the concluding segment of this otherwise brilliant documentary is this: Why present such wishful platitudes for the solution space which betray little or no comprehension whatsoever of the diabolical forces of social engineering and human psychology that are at play worldwide? [9]

Getting the public mind to live on false hopes after describing the real problems to them could be construed as a red herring by the skeptic, but I am trying hard not to be one. Rational analysis of a battle to be of any benefit must be rooted in reality and empiricism of the situation, not mysticism, hope, and wishful thinking. As Sun Tzu noted in the Art of War 2500 years ago, self-delusion isn't a very productive winning strategy in any battle, the superfluous references to martial arts and Aikido in the documentary, of using the opponent's own strengths against him, notwithstanding: “If you know the enemy and know yourself, you need not fear the result of a hundred battles. If you know yourself but not the enemy, for every victory gained you will also suffer a defeat. If you know neither the enemy nor yourself, you will succumb in every battle.” Indeed, what specific strengths of the ruling oligarchy to deploy against their primacy in the Aikido match with hoi polloi is not identified in the documentary.

Foster Gamble's platitudinous recipe for how to Thrive has made no immediate impression on me. Any two bit pulpit can sell the same mirage. Deepak Chopra, also featured in the documentary, does it routinely on American public television --- and laughs his way to the bank selling his books. But I am sure it could still be awarded a Ph.D. for New Age thinking in America's Ivy League. These lofty academies of higher learning often do craft the most clever doctrines for social engineering, and for manufacturing the public's consent for untenable agendas. One such doctrine to fabricate “doctrinal motivation” for
launching “imperial mobilization” I have already deconstructed at length under the heading “Taking a Deeper Look into the Dynamics of Mantra Creation: Islamofascism”. [op. cit, 9] That diabolical ingenuity for making the public mind in preparation for the catastrophic terror of 9/11 was most eruditely engineered in the 1990s at both Princeton and Harvard – the two highest ranking Ivy League Universities of the United States. And it was easily swallowed up by the American and Western public under the expert guidance of their learned intellectuals as the principal explanation for 9/11. It cemented the fait accompli of imperial mobilization --- now backtrack and lament all you want, it does not and cannot roll back time. The time lost in running on treadmills living on in feel-good false hopes while bonded in servitude, is also like that. Time deliberately lost chasing dreamy sounding mirages by hoi polloi, while hard new realities are irreversibly fashioned on the ground by history's actors: “We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.” [op. cit, 9]

The hard political fact of the matter is that the common man, perpetually caught between bread and circuses, and perpetually manipulated with behavior control, cannot take the powers away from the oligarchy with platitudes of non-violence and non-cooperation --- and that realization has evidently not sunk in among the well-intentioned, well-groomed, and well-fed activists of the West. Especially if they have attended an Ivy League! While they live on in relative comfort and abundance, they advocate for others to deny cooperation with power? How will hoi polloi even eat then, or make a living in the modern world? The stomach tends to come before high mindedness, not just among the masses but for anyone, including the tallest intellectual who cannot get two square meals a day. And that is never understood by the noble revolutionaries who often themselves emerge from
among the elite, and have never suffered for the want of bread. A French revolution of the hungry only culminates in more tyranny by hoi polloi upon hoi polloi. It was most ably captured in Charles Dickens' A Tale of Two Cities. The rise and fall of Napoleonic history does not contradict that Dicken's fable at all.

What such platitudinous talk of wishful hope and dreamscape thinking does perform in practice is the complementary part of social engineering: it provides the outlet for the pressure cooker to prevent its bursting. But the same letting off of steam can perhaps someday in the future, when man has evolved himself spiritually, command an avalanche of real global resistance. That is the thinking of these brilliant intellectuals. Human action is non-linear after all, or so I am told. The butterfly flapping its wings in India can change the weather in the United States, as some idealists love to advance as the primary evidence for their wishful thinking. It does sound nice, appears empowering, even compelling. Perhaps just that faith alone, of sounding nice, and hopeful, has to be kept alive for the actual reality of the transition to the epoch when rising spiritualism, a quest for self-directed search for answers and to make change happen with considerable personal sacrifice, would start driving global consciousness. Recorded history, including the legacy of the great prophets of mankind who brought great moral spiritualism as the principal antidote for primacy of man against fellow man, does indicate though that only tyranny has thus far successfully countered tyranny, not hoi polloi who have only slaved in servitude to every master. Perhaps we will witness a surprise development at the new Dawn of the Age of Aquarius – but not in my lifetime. We live in an epoch when we can't even affect the most obvious first change that the documentary advocates and which everyone in the world even actually agrees upon: freedom from debt enslavement to the banksters. [10]

Man is today more in chains in the scientific dictatorship of modernity than ever before in the entire history of mankind. He does not even know how to grow a grain of bread anymore --- food suddenly re-
moved from the ubiquitous Safeway supermarket shelves will reduce the American hoi polloi to rubble in less than a week! To cannibalism in less than two. And to complete tear down of its advanced society in less than a month! And that, is the hard reality of the matter at this moment in our existence. To craft a different future takes a lot more --- a transformation which is nothing less than a gestalt shift in the stance against primacy. The fable of the two scorpions held in a perpetual stalemate in a bottle easily comes to mind for surviving *uber-mensch* predators in a jungle of depravity and primacy. [10a] The desire for self-sufficiency at every level, from individuals to nations, is pragmatically impossible today in the more advanced and industrialized nations of the Global North. It is still a practical possibility for the lesser industrialized Global South however, if we can only learn to eject our *house niggers* and *uncle toms* who rule by proxy for the same enslaving forces of colonization today in the name of *neoliberalism* whose ancestors had previously enslaved entire nations in the name of the *white man's burden*. But as the recent event in Pakistan for instance indicates, just the unilateral demand from WHO for three nations to vaccinate for polio, and the Pakistani officialdom immediately declaring that they will prevent anyone leaving her airports without first forcibly vaccinating them, sums up the twisted reality of imposed servitude upon the masses. We even witness this servitude daily at US airports ourselves. I would like to ask Mr. Foster Gamble how many times he has voluntarily denied permission to the TSA to have his and his wife's private parts examined either through X-ray machines or by gloved hands and still traveled to their destination? Perhaps he flies a private airliner from a private airport.

The fundamental first cause of global deprivation and the crises of inequitable distribution of wealth plaguing mankind being Primacy and not Paucity, is so painstakingly obvious that it beggars the imagination how any brilliant mind groomed at Princeton would not recognize it as such. Why has Foster Gamble so transparently misdiagnosed the first cause as scarcity of resources instead of primacy of the
oligarchy? It misdirects attention in solving an entirely different class of problems than the ones which actually need to be solved first! The systemic disease then continues to lurk unattended regardless of how many layers of bandages are put on the patient. This modus operandi of dissent by brilliant savants once again ties in with poisoning the well idea explored at the very beginning of this Introduction.

I challenge the Gambles to stop using that feeble-minded crutch if they are indeed genuine. I would like to assume that they are --- for I see no obvious motivation for anyone so well established in the elite establishment to directly play in what is only bread and butter for COINTELPRO agents: infiltrate by gaining trust which is done by affirming what the groups already believe, then misguide, mislead, make patsies, in order to deflate opposition. In fact, I am excited that finally someone who is indeed a somebody, is challenging the real base of power of Western civilization: its hidden in plainsight oligarchy. Under that presumption, separating personal beliefs and mysticism from what is factually verifiable to create a rational cut of this documentary, is the honest intellectual recipe for gaining traction in the mainstream, in academe, and in effectively countering the making of the public mind by the social engineers. Point out the documented facts, analyze and deconstruct the interconnection of its tentacles so that the public can understand just how deep the rabbit holes go, and leave out what the public should do about it to their own organic self realization. It will come organically once they accurately understand the whole picture of their enslavement and who participates in it. That will immediately bring the entire world together on the common understanding of the common problem domain which equally plagues both the East and the West. Just accomplishing that much in a single lifetime would be a remarkable achievement for any individual --- for the solution space is indeed organic and multi-generational; it cannot be forced with platitudes. It must be left to the peoples' own creative energies and their situations.

In conclusion, and more to the point of this Introduction, if you are
studying to become part of the medical profession, or you are a parent of someone who is, or you are yourself part of that profession, you need to really think about what you will hear in that segment. Then go research it yourself. Do you really want to be part of that banking cartel's control game? How can you avoid it if you join the medical profession? How can you change it? The professional practice of medicine, a matter not to be treated as merely an entertaining philosophical question for gossip in sleep-corners during nap breaks, is explored further in my little study: What's the truth about modern medicine? [11] You are invited to better that study.

Homework: Begin with trying to answer the fundamental question: Who is AMA (The American Medical Association) and why must it control and legislate the practice of medicine of the medical professionals? On what scientific and technical basis, let alone moral basis, can this organization claim to know better than the medical practitioners who have trained for their profession? On what basis whatsoever can this organization dictate to the professional doctors what is and isn't “kosher” medicine? The same question must be extended to WHO (The World Health Organization) – a supra national body that can declare pandemics at will and dictate what sovereign nations can and cannot do in their own countries? [12] Whom does this organization principally work for? [13] Who pays for its “oops” as was witnessed in the Swine Flu debacle of 2009 in which WHO forcibly tried to push its ad hoc global vaccination protocol with the active collaboration of virtually the entire American medical establishment, the CDC, the many tax-exempt foundations and think-tanks led by the CFR, and the establishment's mighty superpower muscle? What if that “oops” is a premeditated genocide of targeted populations, just as the so called “intelligence failure” for the premeditated invasion of Iraq was subsequently dismissed as mere “oops”? As a medical practitioner, how will you make the call on your better judgment: to follow the AMA, CDC, and WHO diktats and mandates, or to use your own counsel under your own professional Hippocratic Oath and refuse that
which does not conform to it?

Addendum

See: *Author John Robbins, Other Progressives Denounce ‘Thrive’,* Santa Cruz local newspaper story by Eric Johnson, April 10, 2012. I am unimpressed. It is not only consistent with my analysis in the text above of Foster Gamble gratuitously *poisoning the well* and deliberately (or foolishly) making it easy to attack and discredit his documentary, but backlash is only to be expected when you take on real power and the public starts paying attention.

See Followup May 19, 2014: The Road to No Where

Footnotes


1.html#George-Kennan-PPS-23-Excerpt
[8] See minute 04:06 http://sunsite.berkeley.edu/VideoTest/hux1.ram
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The Oligarchy –
America to Zion
Chapter 34

The Oligarchy
Hidden in Plain Sight

The Invisible House of Rothschild

My experiments in confusion – Part-2

Continuing from Part-1...

Let me highlight the socio-political conundrum identified in Part-1, quoting myself (since no one else ever quotes a confused person):

“Perhaps I am going about this free-thinking business all wrong? Perhaps there is some happy halfway compromise to fully independent thinking which will also help me gain friends and influence people?”

The following example almost always loses me friends, tempting me
to stop experimenting with independent thought altogether. I believe it is a miracle that I still dabble in it every now and then. I observed the following conundrum in my essay 'Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order':

**Excerpt**

'Herman Van Rompuy's message of hope at the completion stages [of world order] decades later was merely the cross-generational echo of Richard N. Gardner's “prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity” that had been long sewn “bottom up, rather than from the top down” such that to the uninformed public, it would always “look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.”

The blood-drenched transformation stage that we find ourselves in today – the wreckage of civilizations – is truly “Between Two Ages”. That brilliant description is not mine, but the title of Zbigniew Brzezinski's seminally self-serving 1970 book which [presumably] got him appointed as the Executive Director of David Rockefeller's Trilateral Commission. There are more than a dozen Trilateralists and CFRs in President Obama's Administration too, pushing the banksters' globalist agendas finally to fruition across multiple fronts simultaneously. The money behind them, at least in the United States, is primarily the Rockefellers' who own the majority stake in the New York Fed, which in turn largely controls the Federal Reserve System. In Europe, the money is primarily the Rothschilds' who control all the world's private central banks (including America's Federal Reserve and
international lending-policing agencies such as the World Bank IMF tag-team and the WTO) with complex interlocking relationships among a closed-knit tiny fraternity who exercise their will upon international banking and global finance and thus upon all nations of the world, through their largely unknown Bank for International Settlements (http://BIS.org) located in Basle, Switzerland.

Entirely coincidentally of course, BIS is located in the same secretive banking capital where Theodor Herzl had earlier made his notorious Jewish manifesto, Der Judenstaat public in the First World Zionist Congress in 1897 to set the public stage for the creation of the exclusively Jewish state of Israel in 1948. Also entirely coincidentally, the British Empire had gratuitously issued its famous 1917 Balfour Declaration in the name of Lord Rothschild, the principal owner and founder of the international financial system who had controlled the Bank of England since Waterloo. And again entirely coincidentally, America's entry into World War I was facilitated after the founding of its own 'Bank of England', i.e., the Federal Reserve System principally by Paul Warburg, the banking fraternal twin of Lord Rothschild in whose palace the Treaty of Versailles was signed after World War I to enable the British Mandate over the lands of historic Palestine.

These remarkable coincidences have today made the Rothschilds the most revered family name in Israel. Some call them the King of the Jews – and to live up to that Solomon-ly title, the Rothschilds have architected, financed and built the Jewish state's principal hall of Justice, the Israeli Supreme Court in Jerus-
alem. The Jewish State today enjoys the unparalleled privilege of an “Iron Wall” that none can breach. The Rothschild's frankenstein can with brazen impunity exterminate, assassinate, and bomb, to the applause of the world leaders (see 'Pamphlet: How to Return to Palestine'). And yet, strangely, the Rothschild's role in seeding and orchestrating the affairs of the modern world is consistently downplayed almost universally. No media, no academic, no scholar, no historian, no dissent-chief, no corporate executive, no billionaire on Forbes list, the Forbes list itself, and of course no politician and world statesman, dare utter that name publicly – and so long as they don't, they can say anything else they want. Elusive power such as this is not a figment of someone's imagination.

Prof. Carroll Quigley was permitted to openly state the following in his 1966 book *Tragedy and Hope*, and his controlled revelations which continued that tradition of downplaying the name of the Rothschilds, only came on the heels of the free-wheeling Eustace Mullins' well-documented exposé of how the Federal Reserve System in the United States was conspiringly created by forces representing the same globalist banking elite, and he had not spared the Rothschild name; this was followed by a series of books and documentary films in the 1970s by many others including Gary Allen, W. Cleon Skousen, G. Edward Griffin, Antony Sutton et. al.'

**End excerpt**

I dared to think about that palpable omission on my own, inviting both confusion and loss of friends.

**I asked:** why was that most distinguished and singular Jewish family
name, Rothschild, never permitted any significant mention not just in the Western press, but in the worldwide mainstream presses? It surely wasn't only because the AP and other news agencies, newspapers, newsmedia, were interlocking owned/controlled by the uber financiers of the world, the House of Rothschild.

Even the brave president of Iran, Dr Ahmadinijad, and the brave president of Venezuela, Chavez, courageously challenging the status quo of the world anytime they acquire a microphone in their hands have not dared to mention that name. It is evidently still risk free to waive Noam Chomsky's 'Hegemony and Survival' from the UN podium and speak out against the criminal excesses of the sole superpower and its Allies du jour, but not okay to wonder out loud why was the Balfour Declaration issued in the House of Rothschild name?

Hmmm.... What sort of elusive omnipotent power did that magical name command such that it had erected an equally magical “Iron Wall” around Der Judenstaat? An “Iron Wall” which protected the Zionists' grotesque re-settlement and extermination project for Jewish Lebensraum being conducted with brazen impunity, often under thunderous applause of the Western leaders who continually renew their vows to support the expansion of the Jewish State created in the very name of Lord Rothschild, that none living dare mention and investigate that name while they continue to pay lip-service in support of the Palestinian peoples?

The Palestine freedom zealots in the West can boldly investigate and indict the dispensable Israeli leaders who come and go every election, but not its founder who evidently goes on forever?

By the Rothschild's own watered-down admission, they are not a has-been House:

'We provide advice on both sides of the balance sheet, and we do it globally. ... We have had 250 years or so of family involvement in the finance business, ... There is no debate that Rothschild is a Jewish
family, ... For a family business to survive, every generation needs a leader, ... Then somebody has to keep the peace. Building a global firm before globalisation meant a mindset of sharing risk and responsibility. If you look at the DNA of our family, that is perhaps an element that runs through our history.' --- Baron David de Rothschild, The first barons of banking by Rupert Wright, UAE thenational.ae, November 6, 2008

That humble confession by Baron David de Rothschild quoted above exactly fulfills in this generation, the well-known directives issued by their forefather, the founder of the House of Rothschild, Mayer Amschel Bauer. A long staple of Rothschild biographers, the founding directives are even vicariously depicted by Hollywood in the 1934 film which was intended to be an ode to the Rothschild name. Watch this movie: The House of Rothschild. The historical depiction from the mouth of great-grandfather Amschel Rothschild, is empirically being fulfilled today, 250 years later, as glibly confirmed even in that watered-down admission from his own great-grandson, David de Rothschild!

The UAE National newspaper even openly stated its lack of faith in the 'coincidence theory' of history and noted the overarching contemporary significance of the House of Rothschild:

'Among the captains of industry, spin doctors and financial advisers accompanying British prime minister Gordon Brown on his fund-raising visit to the Gulf this week, one name was surprisingly absent. This may have had something to do with the fact that the tour kicked off in Saudi Arabia. But by the time the group reached Qatar, Baron David de Rothschild was there, too, and he was also in Dubai and Abu Dhabi.

Although his office denies that he was part of the offi-
cial party, it is probably no coincidence that he happened to be in the same part of the world at the right time. That is how the Rothschilds have worked for centuries: quietly, without fuss, behind the scenes.' --- Ibid.

In fact, according to the following article in the London Times, the Rothschild's is the one unusual financial House in the world which came out ahead without any legal extortion, ahem, financial bailouts of people's money legally granted by the people's elected Representatives to the robber barons of modernity (watch that bizarre extortion racket for the forced Bailout in the United States in October 2008 here: http://youtube.com/watch?v=sN3a1oTdDwM, and witness my further confused experiments in independent thought in 'Why Bluff Martial Law?):

Excerpt

'Not all investment bankers are having to get by on reduced or no bonuses this year. Rothschild group staff have received record bonuses, it has emerged after the bank reported a 31 per cent improvement in profits before tax to €459 million.

Record results from both its advisory and private banking operations enabled the bank to pay the bonuses to its 2,700 people in June.

Unlike conventional investment banks Rothschild, whose chairman is Davide de Rothschild, has steered clear of proprietary trading, prime broking and other activities that have devastated rivals, although it still wrote off €96 million because of souring loans.

Rothschild’s year-end of March means the bonuses were paid before the most serious setbacks to banks. Most investment banks pay out bonuses between January and May. Goldman Sachs is due to tell its
staff the size of their payouts in the next three weeks.

Alongside its pro-forma group-wide results, Rothschild unveiled a joint venture with Rabobank of the Netherlands, whereby the two sides will pool their staff and clients in the food and agriculture sector.

As part of the deal, Rabobank is buying a 7.5 per cent stake in one of the key holding companies in the Rothschild empire, Rothschild Continuation Holdings, which owns the N M Rothschild business in the UK.

Rabobank becomes the second biggest investor outside the family after the trading group Jardine Matheson, which owns 20 per cent. Rabobank’s vice chairman Sipko Schat joins the Rothschild board.

It is the second joint venture with a Dutch bank. Rothschild teamed up with ABN Amro for 11 years in equity capital markets before dissolving the arrangement when Royal Bank of Scotland took over ABN last year.

Rabobank’s stake was held in treasury by Rothschild after it bought it from the insurer Eagle Star. No price was put on the deal. Jardine paid $185 million for its 20 per cent in 2005.

Rothschild advisory clients include Rio Tinto, which is fighting a hostile bid from BHP, British Energy in its deal with EDF of France and Alliance & Leicester when it was sold to Banco Santander.' --- Rothschild pays out record bonuses to staff by Patrick Hosking, London Times Online, November 19, 2008

End Excerpt

With full spectrum control of the world's private central banks and
BIS firmly in the hands of the House of Rothschild and their closed knit coterie, I of course, in my confused experiment of thinking for myself, chalk that wizard financial success of bailing out from publicly traded banks about to fail in a timely manner, and having only successes for one's own private family bank, up to just another coincidence. I strongly suspect that Gary Allen's confusion on such coincidences far exceeded mine as evidenced from his book *None Dare Call it Conspiracy*:

'Those who believe that major world events result from planning are laughed at for believing in the "conspiracy theory of history." Of course, no one in this modern day and age really believes in the conspiracy theory of history -except those who have taken the time to study the subject. When you think about it, there are really only two theories of history. Either things happen by accident neither planned nor caused by anybody, or they happen because they are planned and somebody causes them to happen. In reality, it is the "accidental theory of history" preached in the unhallowed Halls of Ivy which should be ridiculed. Otherwise, why does every recent administration make the same mistakes as the previous ones? Why do they repeat the errors of the past which produce inflation, depressions and war? Why does our State Department "stumble" from one Communist-aiding "blunder" to another? If you believe it is all an accident or the result of mysterious and unexplainable tides of history, you will be regarded as an "intellectual" who understands that we live in a complex world. **If you believe that something like 32,496 consecutive coincidences over the past forty years stretches the law of averages a bit, you are a kook!' --- Gary Allen, *None Dare Call it Conspiracy*,
1971, Chapter 1

But more pertinent to my confusion for the main point under consideration, namely, the bizarre silence on the Rothschilds when it comes to the topic of the holy lands which they 'own' completely, as even demonstrated to the most ardent zealot fighting the cause of Palestine by the fact of the Balfour Declaration gratuitously awarding Palestine to the entire Jewish peoples being specifically in just that one singular name, doesn't that omission appear frighteningly absurd once again? See for instance: 'Zahir's Response to Francis Boyle's Jewistan – What Elephant?'

Well, to me that silence is just as absurdly confounding as the bizarre merrymaking with the 'Happy Unbirthday' song at the Mad Hatter's Tea Party confounded Alice in Wonderland.

So, while searching for a way out of these absurd confusions rapidly piling up, I found this really bizarre interview asking similar questions for the first time in modern times, about the House of Rothschild, and I transcribed it: 'Rothschild Connection to World Government and Zionism: David Icke – Origins and Symbolism of the EU'.

Please see what you make of such attempts at independent thinking. Also please feel free to advise me how one ought to go about this independent thinking business, the much wonted contribution of Western civilization to modern man, or so they say, without stepping on censor toes – never mind without losing friends. Even this last thought is causing me a great deal of confusion. But first, here is the interview.

**Excerpt**

Transcription of Red Ice Creations' David Icke's video interview by Project Humanbeingsfirst, segment on The Rothschild Connection to World Government and Zionism, Parts 6 & 7 [ Parenthesis: Transcriber's notes ]

[ youtube=http://youtube.com/p/03D36F59DC6F1259 ]
Begin Transcription:

‘They are all connected, and they are connected through the House of Rothschild.

See, if people just took a breadth, and looked at the whole scene, they would ask serious questions:

[ Why is the Balfour Declaration addressed to a Rothschild? ]
Why is Israel's Supreme Court in Jerusalem built by the Rothschilds?

Why does that slither of land, called Israel, and I have driven around it, and you can virtually drive around it in a day, why does it have so much power?

Why is it the biggest by far recipient of American aid when it is one of the richest per capita countries in the world?

Why does it have the biggest F-16 fleet outside America?

How come it can have a very considerable arsenal of nuclear weapons, refuse to sign a Non Proliferation Treaty, and have a breadth taking agreement which has just been confirmed by Barrack Obama in the last few months, that they have a policy in terms of America and other countries in Israel, that they don't ask whether they have got nuclear weapons. And therefore, Israel does not have to say if they have or not. This is an official policy!

Why, when they pepper-bomb the most crowded piece of land in the world, and instigate slaughter on a shocking scale, does the international community, apart from one or two people, say nothing?

[ http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/05/celebrating-israels-60th-birthday.html ]

Simple.
The House of Rothschild controls Israel. It created Israel. And more than that, it created a political philosophy, note a POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY, called ZIONISM.

[ http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/02/letter-to-dalitvoice-which-god.html ]

What they have brilliantly done, though it's breaking down, is they have equated Jewish people as a race with Zionism, which is a political philosophy. And at its core is a secret society, connects into the other secret societies.

And, so if you challenge Zionism, and its horrors, and its impositions, and its hypocrisy, and its slaughter, you are equated with being prejudiced against Jewish people.

What they don't tell you is significant number of Jewish people are actually appalled by Zionism. And actually openly protest against it.


And there is some fantastic young people in Israel that refuse to serve in the military, and end up in jail because of it. And you, know they are incredible people to have that sense of value.

The questions that I have just posed can be answered very easily.


The House of Rothschild control American politics. They control the neo-cons, they control Bush, they control what I call the demo-cons that control Obama.

[ http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/not-voting-is-yes-vote-to-reject-system.html ]

And in the White House as I speak, we have the White House Chief of Staff, Rahm Emanuel, who is the puppeteer, immediate
puppeteer of Obama, [Just like Bush's Brain was Karl Rove] and his father actually served in an Israeli Zionist terror group called Irgun, which, with others, bombed Israel into existence and forced 750,000 to 800,000 Palestinians to leave their homeland after 1948.

The reason, therefore, that Israel is the biggest recipient of American aid and military support, is because this hand [points to right hand] called the House of Rothschild, takes the money from the United States and hands it to this hand [points to left hand] called Israel of the House of Rothschild, and says thank you very much!

The reason that there is no questioning of Israeli nuclear capability, that they get away literally with mass murder, time and time again, is because the House of Rothschild controls the countries of the European Union, and controls the European Union. I mean, Tony Blair is a "yes sir no sir, three bags full sir, how high would you like me to jump sir" front man for the House of Rothschild.


So who do they put in after he left the British Government, as negotiator of peace in Israel - Tony Bloody Blair! 'What should I say Mr. Rothschild, thank you very much, thank you thank you' [mimics Tony Blair]. That's it.

So when you have got the same force controlling all these different agencies, than of course they are gonna be coordinated.

That's the way Israel gets away with what it gets away with.

And if people think its anti-Semitic, well actually anti-Semitic means anti-Arab by the way, then they'll have to take it and shove it somewhere where the sun don't shine 'cause I ain't shutting up about this because it is fundamental to understanding the world, and to understanding the European Union and world events!
The Jewish people, in general, have been mercilessly used by the House of Rothschild, and their front secret society, satanic secret society, called Zionism, as a front which they can hide behind.


So it is House of Rothschild organizations like B'nai Brith, Sons of the Covenant, who created an organization called the Anti-Defamation league, which goes around defaming everyone ironically, who have not just campaigned for hate laws that stop you exposing these people, they have actually written the bloody legislation in America, in North America and Canada.

And so, these hate laws which say you can't say this you can't say that, because that's prejudiced and all that, they are not there to protect gay people - everyone ought to their own I say, I couldn't care less - they are not there to protect Jewish people, or minorities.

They are there, simply, to stop legitimate investigation of the Rothschilds and its network. That's what they are there for.


And, they are in so many ways the Rothschilds. At operational level, the center of the spider's web.

And they need to be exposed.

[ 'Therefore, focussing on Jewish political action groups like AIPAC, ADL, JDL, Chabad Lubavitch Hasidics, et. al., who put Israel first to influence the superpower's policies, or the hundred Jewish-dominated opaquely funded private think-tanks like the AEI, CFR, et. al., who ab initio construct the polices of war and hegemony favoring Israel, without betraying any comprehension of the actual prime-movers behind them, is not only an exercise in futility, but these visible magnets are deliberately there, and ]
manifest themselves with their inexplicable arrogance, precisely in order to draw fire away from the prime-movers!' -- http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/05/palestine-theway-forward.html ]

Because if they get exposed, and they go, when I say go [I mean] they are removed from their positions of power, 'cause to be honest, if they went to jail, for what they have been responsible for, the House of Rothschild, they would have to reincarnate hundreds of times to complete the sentence!

[ 'If fair punishments are ever to be awarded for their crimes against humanity for just the past 100 years in any Just court of law, Adolph Eichmann would have to be retroactively let go by resurrecting his soul from his grave with high honors and awarded multiple peace prizes plus compensation, in order to administer hanging and extraction of restitution as the graduated scale of ultimate punishment for the ultimate prime-movers of all wars and pestilence before which their errand boys' and patsies' crimes against humanity pale in comparison.' -- http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/11/rescuing-thestruggle-for-palestine.html ]

And, we've ignored them. Or we have not ignored them, people have ignored their power for long enough because they have brilliantly hidden it. It needs the light to be shone on it because when they come down, in so many ways, the House of cards comes down!


Thank you very much.'

**End Transcription** by Project Humanbeingsfirst.org]

**End excerpt**
Conclusion

Aaaaaah, confusion, confusion! I could just scream. No wonder why the goyem don't think much, and it is not recommended for us to think by the doctors who conveniently do all the original thought experiments for us to save us the burden. Here is the problem alluded to earlier, stepping on censor toes, and it can only be the inevitable conclusion of our zeitgeist: If one avoids tabooed thoughts for fear of censorship, loss of friends, being indicted and incarcerated under the thought-crimes Bill pending in many a legislature's quarters worldwide, is that still considered 'independent thinking', the gift of Western civilization to mankind? Perhaps it is so under NewSpeak, the newly revised gift of Western civilization to the Dawn of the New Age?

The New Age being hasteningly beckoned by Zbigniew Brzezinski, cited above, wherein, he justified/rationalized humanity's deadly plight in our zeitgeist by quoting Hermann Hesse from Steppenwolf thusly; “Human life is reduced to real suffering, to hell, only when two ages, two cultures and religions overlap... There are times when a whole generation is caught in this way between two ages, two modes of life, with the consequence that it loses all power to understand itself and has no standard, no security, no simple acquiescence.”?

That profound insight, of brilliant hindsight and self-serving foresight, very well could be the elusive key I have been searching for to open the mysterious door past which I can't see.

Further Study

To catch only a fleeting glimpse for yourself of that veil past which evidently no one else is able to see either, and which is seeding so much confusion among those wanting to do their own independent
thinking that unless one opens up the final edition of the Newspeak dictionary described by George Orwell in his seminal work '1984' (watch the movie here), one is destined to stay confused, read the following two books by Eustace Mullins: *The World Order – A Study in the Hegemony of Parasitism*, and *The Curse of Canaan – A Demology of History*. Additionally, *The Thirteenth Tribe* by Arthur Koestler, and *The Empire of “The City” (world superstate) – The Jekyll/Hyde Nature of the British Government* by E. C. Knuth, are both helpful in thinning out the powerful fog of confusion which surrounds the origins and the rise to power of Black Nobility. A careful study of these books (PDF available on the web; search, download and read them before these out-of-print books get banned, or, reading them is made a thought-crime), helps one understand the elusive power which has corrupted and co-opted almost all of dissent in the West today creating the sort of absurdities highlighted in my confusions. The pernicious nature of that indomitable power to mold, influence and corrupt across the board world-wide was captured in the following way by W. Cleon Skousen in his commentary on Carroll Quigley's *Tragedy and Hope*:

'The real value of Tragedy and Hope ... [is the] bold and boastful admission by Dr. Quigley that there actually exists a relatively small but powerful group which has succeeded in acquiring a choke-hold on the affairs of practically the entire human race. Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantic power unless millions of people in all walks of life were “in on the take” and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes. As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and
women and their institutions on a world-wide basis.'
--- W. Cleon Skousen, *The Naked Capitalist*, pg. 6

The publicly available *need to research* (as in *need to know*) private archives of the House of Rothschild is now on the web. Presumably sanitized of any adverse material – since its primary purpose evidently is to glorify the 250 years of exploits of the House of Rothschild – for those inclined to experiment in forensic confusion, it may yet prove to be an invaluable treasure trove of discovery:

http://www.rothschildarchive.org/ta/ . The private Rothschild Archive I imagine is where the official biographers have always received their source material to write their pandering odes to the House of Rothschild. See for instance, *The House of Rothschild*, by Niall Ferguson. Now it's available to you as well!

For those unable to read, but still inclined to experiment in some self-inflicted confusion, Grace Power's Amenstop Production DVD 'Ring of Power' may be a good starting point (watch part-1, part-2, the second part focusses on the House of Rothschild). I rather like Grace Powers' DVD presentation which is based on some of the historical material presented in the above books, because she addressed her video to the lowest level mental acumen in Western society with an easy to follow narrative. I have never met Grace Powers, communicate with her every now and then over email, consider her a seeker of knowledge based on her work, but don't agree with her many esoteric opinions and conclusions which, rather strangely, anyone who speaks of the oligarchy, secret societies, and the Black Nobility, also often seem to hold. I am glad the authors whose books are recommended reading above appeared to be an exception to this bizarre contemporary empiricism. Mullins' *The Curse of Canaan* is an intriguing deconstruction of history which he based on his understanding of the Bible and study of the Talmudic literature. One may draw from Mullins' pointing fingers what one may, perhaps using Bruce Lee's wisdom expressed in his martial arts movie *Enter the Dragon* (watch): "*Don't concentrate on the finger or you will miss all that heavenly glory*".
I too apply such Zen of analysis to my own self-inflicted confusions (when I could just as well bow before 'experts' and save me the bother), and Grace Powers' work is no exception. What that specifically means in this case is not to throw the baby out with the bath water. Leave aside the speculative material for some future time, and focus directly on the rational analysis based on obvious and historical facts. On the whole, Ring of Power's narrative on the House of Rothschild is penetratringly contemporary, as Grace Powers attempts to forensically tie 9/11 and the documented historical quest for World Order of the Black Nobility for the average dumbed-down television watcher of America. If the size of the 'United We Stand' crowd and the size of protests in the immediate aftermath of 9/11 are any indication, the dumbed-down and indoctrinated peoples of America likely constitute well over 90% of its 300 million population!

What brazen absurdity once again. The mightiest superpower on earth in this information age is also home to the most ignorant and mind controlled peoples, including The Master Builders of the Technetronic Era! Who created such pathetic state of affairs in this once unassailable nation and why? There is very little time left for its public to find out. A short 10-minute readable summary is: 'Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order'.

**Short URL:** http://tinyurl.com/Invisible-House-of-Rothschild

**Source URL:** http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-confusion-invisible-rothschild-usa.html
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Chapter 35

The Oligarchy
Where is the Evidence

The Omnipotent Rothschild

My experiments in confusion – Part-3

Continuing from Part-2, where Baron David de Rothschild was quoted proclaiming:

“We provide advice on both sides of the balance sheet, and we do it globally. ... We have had 250 years or so of family involvement in the finance business, ... There is no debate that Rothschild is a Jewish family, ... For a family business to survive, every generation needs a leader, ... Then somebody has to keep the peace. Building a global firm before globalisation meant a mindset of sharing risk and responsibility. If you look at the DNA of our family, that is perhaps an element that runs through our history.” --- Baron David de Rothschild, The first barons
of banking by Rupert Wright, UAE thenational.ae,
November 6, 2008

Caption World Governance By The Rothschilds, 2003 –
GOVERNING BY NETWORKS (Image via bibliotecapleyades.net via bureaudetudes.org, http://tinyurl.com/world-governance-rothschilds)

When, in my state of perpetual confusion whereby my experiments in independent thinking sometimes get out of hand, I have immoderately challenged many a rebel leader on their omitting to mention the Rothschild name in their otherwise erudite critiques of modernity, I have always come up empty handed. This is amply demonstrated in my responses to Salman Abu Sitta, Antoine Raffoul, Ismail Zayid, Khalil Nakhleh, Shadi Nassar, Mustafa Barghouti and Anna Baltzer, Jeff Gates, Jeff Blankfort, et. al. My most recent challenge was yet another unsolicited letter, this time to an old timer Western rebel of the United
I was simply delighted that my new friend Jeff Blankfort had even bothered to write back, as most brilliant chiefs, both Eastern and Western, gallantly rising to defend the Palestinians as their own cause célèbre, simply tend to ignore the meddlesome and the confused who don't buy their craftsmanship. The crazy thing is, that among the Palestinians themselves, many appear to prefer running from Jew to Jew to solve their problems, as was observed by a Palestinian friend of mine out of sheer frustration: “We run from Jew to Jew, they create the problem, and also argue the solution, they control the full spectrum of our discourse as well as our existence.” I promised Jeff: “Thank you mon ami for your reply. I will compose a thoughtful reply later...”.

This Part-3 attempts to respond to Jeff Blankfort's request for evidence for the trumpeting-defecating elephant in the bedroom. As quoted above, Jeff asked for “direct evidence with unimpeachable sources”.

States of America, Mr. Jeffrey Blankfort. He courteously replied:

'I do not mention the Rothschilds because I have yet to see a single shred of evidence that they control the world's money supply, the CFR, or anything else of such substance as to influence the way the world works. As far as I can tell whereas once members of the Rothschild banking family ran the banks of Western Europe, I see no evidence that they do so today. ... Again, if you have any direct evidence with unimpeachable sources that the Rothschilds are running everything or for that matter anything behind the scenes I would appreciate receiving it but lacking that up to now, I never mention their name apart from Walter Rothschild being the recipient of the Balfour Declaration.' --- Jeffrey Blankfort replying to Zahir Ebrahim Nov. 11, 2010 (see full correspondence here)
I will humbly endeavor to provide both – direct, unimpeachable. And before concluding, I will even suggest that the legal standard itself for proving criminal conspiracy is far less than what Mr. Blankfort has generously demanded from me, for the obvious reasons that even half-smart conspirators usually hide behind their errand boys, like the Mafioso, and don't leave their calling cards. More empirically however, unlike the dumb Mafioso who rob, extort, and kill illegally thus enabling the state policing apparatuses to be used to juridically hang them, brilliant conspirators usually enact legalisms and statutes, and directly employ the state's governing apparatus itself to mask and legalize their dastardly plunders, their war-mongerings, their social-engineerings, and their pernicious subversions of the peoples' democratic institutions and constitution. Even the flag-waving ordinary indoctrinated American understood how that craftsmanship worked when he and she witnessed the banksters' bailout extortion racket in October 2008 (see 'Why bluff Martial Law') and their subsequent brazen accounting of how they spent it (watch).

And yet, the law of un-intended consequences, i.e., nature, still has its
ways to un-obscure the golem if one has the eyes and the will to perceive.

Let me first state the criterion for proof as Blankfort did not stipulate any beyond “direct, unimpeachable”. I intend to demonstrate that an omnipotent power exists, that such a power visibly existed not too long ago using unimpeachable sources, and since there is no evidence of such a power suddenly eviscerating, that by the sheer force of logic, it must still exist even if occulted from mainstream Americans today. And I will top that off with the confirmation of its own existence by the omnipotent power itself. I invite the readers to pretend that they are a jury member, and reach their own verdict whether the following can be sufficiently deemed “direct evidence” from “unimpeachable sources” to satisfy the request of Jeffrey Blankfort and all those like him who choose to willfully remain innocent of knowledge of the most glaring, trumpeting, shitting, elephant in the bridal suite.

First, the unimpeachable source: Nuremberg Military Tribunal and its official Record. I don't think there can be anything more unimpeachable a source than that, do you?

Let's first see what transpired at Nuremberg in the score-settling with victor's justice in the aftermath of Word War II with respect to the Nazi banker most instrumental in financing the Nazi war machine, Hjalmar Schacht. While 21 Nazi chiefs were hanged (watch) by Robert H. Jackson, the chief prosecuting counsel for the United States (watch), the banker whom the chief counsel as the official representative of the United States government to the Nuremberg Military Tribunals, most wanted to hang, was set free due to the intervention from the Bank of England governor Sir Montagu Norman!

Say what? Bank of England is so powerful that it prevailed upon their own military Allies at Nuremberg to let go of the principal enemy who financed the destruction of entire Europe and of the British Empire itself – with agreement from all the Allied military high command and their governments (with only Russia dissenting)? No, you did not
read that in history books did you, nor did you hear Noam Chomsky talk about the inconvenient case of Hjalmar Schacht even when he waxes eloquence about victor's justice at Nuremberg by highlighting the case of Admiral Karl Dönitz, and evidently, nor did you hear Mr. Jeffrey Blankfort bring it up in all his dissent-ing critique of Noam Chomsky.

I get really confused when I encounter such blind-sighted omissions regarding the King of the Jews among the moral Jews who become dissent-chiefs for the dumb goy, and book-end their own dissent so wonderfully while still giving the illusion of vigorous debate. Chomsky explains this Machiavellian construction rather elegantly even as he implements it himself with involuntary help from his own antagonist, Jeff Blankfort, and the goyem cheer for their favorite horse – don't matter which horse wins, the real winners are those who benefit from the calculated omissions, the race course owners:

‘This “debate” is a typical illustration of a primary principle of sophisticated propaganda. In crude and brutal societies, the Party Line is publicly proclaimed and must be obeyed — or else. What you actually believe is your own business and of far less concern. In societies where the state has lost the capacity to control by force, the Party Line is simply presupposed; then, vigorous debate is encouraged within the limits imposed by unstated doctrinal orthodoxy. The cruder of the two systems leads, naturally enough, to disbelief; the sophisticated variant gives an impression of openness and freedom, and so far more effectively serves to instill the Party Line. It becomes beyond question, beyond thought itself, like the air we breathe.’

‘Democratic societies use a different method: they don’t articulate the party line. That’s a mistake. What they do is presuppose it, then encourage vigorous de-
bate within the framework of the party line. This serves two purposes. For one thing it gives the impression of a free and open society because, after all, we have lively debate. It also instills a propaganda line that becomes something you presuppose, like the air you breathe.’

‘The smart way to keep people passive and obedient is to strictly limit the spectrum of acceptable opinion, but allow very lively debate within that spectrum – even encourage the more critical and dissident views. That gives people the sense that there’s free thinking going on, while all the time the presuppositions of the system are being reinforced by the limits put on the range of the debate.’ --- Noam Chomsky.

At this point, before I go any further, please permit me to dust out the following observation of novelist Aldous Huxley in the *Brave New World* to illustrate why I consider artful omissions and silence, as counter-intuitive as it might appear to the profoundly innocent of knowledge, to be a most powerful propaganda tool:

‘The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals. But silence is not enough. If persecution, liquidation and the other symptoms of social friction are to be avoided, the positive sides of propaganda must be
made as effective as the negative.’ — Aldous Huxley, Preface (circa 1946) to Brave New World, 1931, Harper, pg. 11

I have to wonder about my sanity sometimes – why don't I get it when brilliant chiefs inexplicably dabble in their own thought control, in their own self-policing?

Why do I persist in experimenting with independent thinking? Just accept the pious statements of the Jewish chiefs that there is not a shred of evidence of the existence of the King of the Jews controlling the state of affairs in the world today, lest I be labeled a 'kook', a 'denier of established truths', and carted away to some re-education camp for my own, as well as other's safety! 'Denier' I have already been anointed by none other than a recovering Jew, a reformed Zionist, Christian friend of mine, Israel Shamir! Yes, I know I have accumulated some lovely friends in my few journeys into the unknown world of independent thinking! I now try my best to stay away from such confusions, and I believe this is one of my last few times as my new year's resolution!

Before we jump too far ahead as I briefly did in the preceding passages to give a taste of the acerbic logic about to develop, let's study this shockingly revealing fact of Hjalmar Schacht which is so uncontrovertibly recorded in the pages of victor's justice at Nuremberg, and the circumstances surrounding this fact. The following is excerpted
from David Irving's *Nuremberg, the Last Battle* (PDF). It appears in my document “Monetary Reform: Who will bell the cat?” as footnote [11] and [13]. It is reproduced below along with the passage being footnoted.

**Begin Quote**

'Yes, confessionals after faits accomplis, is a characteristically “cleansing” Christian tradition. Somehow, it only seems to work for those in absolute power, never for the common man. *“You're right, we did it. We're very sorry. But thanks to you, we won't do it again”* [Ben Bernanke to Milton Friedman] doesn't seem to be part of the ordinary judicial system where the common man is made accountable for stealing bread. But it is part of the Nuremberg Military Tribunals which let Dr. Hjalmar Schacht, the former governor of the Reich Bank [11] – the bankster who orchestrated the financing for Hitler and enabled his war machine with funding from Wall Street [12] and the City of London financiers – go scot-free!

Whence such awesome power to even let a fascist banker who caused the destruction of all of Europe – as per the Nuremberg established principle of *“all the evil which follows”* – become a prominent and influential member of the financial community once again in post-war Germany *“as though there had never been a blemish on his character”*? [13]

**Footnote [11]**

“[Jackson] regarded the former president of the Reichsbank as the most contemptible of all the defendants. He had provided the finance for the spectacular rise and rearmament of Hitler’s Germany. More than any other, this man’s financial genius had paved the way for the violation of the Versailles Treaty.” (page 157)

“Ambitious and arrogant, Schacht [Highest IQ 143, page 292] had walled himself in behind a belief in his own righteousness. He seethed with rage at being imprisoned with Hitler’s henchmen. He admitted to having violated the Versailles Treaty, but countered that since the Allies were in collusion against Germany this was no crime. .. He admitted rebuilding Germany’s run-down economy, but not for the purpose of waging war; Hitler had dismissed him as soon as he balked at the aggressive planning that began.” (page 293)

“Hjalmar Schacht – ‘after Göring the toughest of them.’ He [Jackson] had always regarded Schacht as one of the most despicable defendants. The banker’s arrogant attitude since the trial had begun only vexed him all the more.” (page 327)

“Even more irritating for Jackson was that Schacht was overheard in the cells confidently predicting that he would be acquitted. Irritating rumours circulated that the prosecution of Schacht was not in earnest. Letter-writers taunted Jackson that he would never succeed in convicting a big banker – whether friend or foe, they were the new Untouchables. He soon became aware that the Nazi banker did indeed have friends in the most unlikely places and influence everywhere. One day one of his team, the eminent
New York international lawyer Ralph Albrecht, reported to him that the British assistant prosecutor Colonel Harry J. Phillimore – later a lord justice of appeal in London* – had accosted him in the hall outside the courtroom and urged the Americans to relax their remorseless pressure on the banker. When Albrecht, perplexed, asked ‘Why?’, Phillimore uneasily explained that certain representations had been made by Sir Montagu Norman, governor of the Bank of England from 1920 to 1944. ‘It would be most unfortunate,’ murmured the British colonel, ‘if anything were to happen to Schacht.’ In fact Schacht had been an informer of Sir Montagu, secretly apprising him of the political and financial decisions taken at the highest level in Berlin for sixteen years before the war.” (page 328)

“There is in the records of His Majesty’s treasury in the British archives an illuminating file on the efforts made by Sir Montagu Norman to get Schacht released.” (page 329)

“He [Jackson] regarded the case against the banker as a test of the good faith of the entire prosecution. As he had said in a secret meeting of all the chief prosecutors in April, of which there is a shorthand record in his files, ‘If the court, for instance, holds that we have no case against Schacht, then it seems clear that we can have no case against any industrialist, as the case against him is stronger than the others.’ ... He [Jackson] privately recorded later, ‘I would at least stand out forthrightly in demanding his conviction, convicting him if I could.’ He harried the banker mercilessly in the witness box, addressed him as ‘Schacht,’ tout court, confronting him with the evidence of his parti-
cipation in Hitler’s aggressive planning until eventually the defendant had to admit that he had been untruthful about his dealings with the Führer. Jackson showed the Tribunal newsreel film of Hitler’s triumphant return to Berlin in July 1940 after the defeat of France – long after Schacht would have had them believe he had fallen into disfavour. There was Schacht, in Prince-Albert morning coat and top hat, the only civilian among the generals waiting on the station platform to pump the Führer’s hand – indeed with two hands he caught hold of the Führer’s, stepped out of line, and followed him ‘in almost lickspittle fashion,’ as Jackson remarked later. And this was the Nazi gentleman for whom the British lawyer Phillimore and banker Sir Montagu Norman were interceding. All the more acute was Jackson’s fury when the Tribunal – with only the Russian judge publicly dissenting – acquitted Schacht. Biddle, who read out this part of the judgement, claimed some months later that he had also wanted to convict, but the British had insisted on an acquittal and had left him no choice.” (pages 329-330)

Also see page 392.
http://www.fpp.co.uk/books/Nuremberg/NUREMBERG.pdf

Footnote [12]


Footnote [13]
David Irving, Nuremberg, The Last Battle, 1996, page 402: “As he was released from his [Nuremberg] cell, German police stepped forward and arrested him. A German court sentenced him to eight years’ imprisonment as a major offender under the denazification laws enacted by the Control Council in Berlin. He served two years in solitary confinement, and was eventually released in 1948. The world of banking absorbed him again as though there had never been a blemish on his character.”

End Quote

Let's also recall from my article “Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order” what Professor Carroll Quigley had stated about Montagu Norman, and all the other governors of world's private central banks:

Begin Quote

'The powers of financial capitalism had (a) far-reaching aim, nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent meetings and conferences. The apex of the systems was to be the Bank for International Settlements in Basel, Switzerland; a private bank owned and controlled by the world's central banks which were themselves private corporations. Each central bank, in the hands of men like Montagu Norman of the Bank of England, Benjamin Strong of
the New York Federal Reserve Bank, Charles Rist of the Bank of France, and Hjalmar Schacht of the Reichsbank, sought to dominate its government by its ability to control Treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards in the business world.' (Carroll Quigley, *Tragedy and Hope*, 1966, Chapter 20, page 324)

'It must not be felt that these heads of the world's chief central banks were themselves substantive powers in world finance. They were not. Rather, they were the technicians and agents of the dominant investment bankers of their own countries, who had raised them up and were perfectly capable of throwing them down. The substantive financial powers of the world were in the hands of these investment bankers (also called “international” or “merchant” bankers) who remained largely behind the scenes in their own unincorporated private banks. These formed a system of international cooperation and national dominance which was more private, more powerful, and more secret than that of their agents in the central banks. This dominance of investment bankers was based on their control over the flows of credit and investment funds in their own countries and throughout the world.' (Carroll Quigley, *Tragedy and Hope*, 1966, Chapter 20, page 326)

**End Quote**

Is it too rude to ask – that if Montagu Norman is merely among the “technicians and agents of the dominant investment bankers of their own countries”, then who is the dominant investment banker of
England who has in fact controlled the Bank of England and the City at least since Waterloo?

**Rothschild N. M. and Sons.**

This is what they confirm of themselves today on their own website:

> “Rothschild has been at the centre of the world's financial markets for over 200 years. Today, it provides Investment Banking, Corporate Banking and Private Banking & Trust services to governments, corporations and individuals worldwide.” [http://www.rothschild.com/](http://www.rothschild.com/)

Baron David de Rothschild has already been quoted in the beginning of this article, proclaiming: *'We provide advice on both sides of the balance sheet, and we do it globally. ... We have had 250 years or so of family involvement in the finance business'*

But here we shall just stick with Nuremberg for the moment.

Sir Montagu Norman, at the behest of the owners of the Bank of England, set one of their own criminal banksters free from the clutches of the hangman's noose. Those owners, both commonsense and force of logic suggests, commanded at least that much power which could trivially prevail upon all of the Military Tribunal members, except Russia who voted against it. Americans had lost 300,000 soldiers in that 'just war' against the axis powers, the United Kingdom had lost its empire along with its *jewel in the crown*, and Europe lay decimated, 6 million Jews exterminated – we won't quibble with the holocaust industry here – 20 million Russians butchered, and sum-total of 50 million human beings, mostly Christians, and most of them German civilians under the unspeakable fire-bombings of civilian cities by the Allies, lost their lives in the name of fighting the aggression initiated by the Nazis which was even termed *’... the supreme international crime differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within*
itself the accumulated evil of the whole”.

Just watch the video of the closing speech of Robert Jackson condemning the Nazis (cited earlier). It was a superlative public relations Tribunals, because, it was utmost important for the United States of America, the emerging superpower from the ashes of World War II, to pontificate to the entire world its moral and military supremacy, and condemn the abhorrence of aggression of the Nazis as it was itself entering a new Cold War with the new enemy. Nuremberg was entirely about public relations. And the United States judges at Nuremberg wanted to make an outstanding example of the Nazi war machine and its bankster to demonstrate their own moral high grounds.

Despite all of these empirical motivations, those who controlled the Bank of England, call it Foundation-X for the lack of a better handle to refer to this non-existent power which none can see, could spring one of their own from the sure jaws of death?

This incontrovertible fact and its significance indicates the existence of a power which is superior to the combined power of the victorious allies of World War II.

So, the evidence of Hjalmar Schacht being set-free unequivocally demonstrates at least the existence of an elusive omnipotent power in 1946.

And we already know that this immense power also existed in 1917, when the Balfour Declaration was issued in its name (see Part-2).

Where did that amazing power, which was confirmed to exist in 1917 when it prevailed upon the British empire to grant the Zionists another's land, and again in 1946 when it prevailed upon the British and American empires to grant amnesty to their own arch enemy that had seen tens of millions of Christians dead, so suddenly vanish in the mere 60 years since?

Did the earth swallow it, did the sky absorb it, or was there an earthquake which sunk it?
What happened to it?

In my experiments in confusion, I valiantly searched for such a catastrophic event which could have silently vanquished that Foundation-X which had existed only 60 years earlier.

I am sorry to report here that there is no known documentation existing on planet earth in the annals of public archives which records any such cataclysmic event where that elusive power could have disappeared. If one exists in secret classified archives, like aliens abducting them off the face of the planet, I do not possess such powers to access those classified documents, let alone unlock them of their public relations baggage. We shall just wait for Wikileaks to let us know if UFO-Abduction is indeed that elusive cause of their sudden vanishing from the face of the earth (sic). Julian Assange has already hinted: “it is worth noting that in yet-to-be-published parts of the cablegate archive there are indeed references to UFOs.”

In the meantime, back here on earth outside the Plato's cave, by the sheer force of inevitable logic, I must rationally conclude that such a power, call it Foundation X (or Y), still exists right here on earth.

---

Caption Revisiting the Curse of Canaan: The Balfour Declaration November 2nd 1917 - The first-cause of Palestinian genocide in the Land of Canaan at the hands of 'god's chosen peoples' is in the name of a Rothschild, and yet they don't even know that most unspeakable name!
And, since I have also not found, despite vigorous search in libraries and on the web, any evidence that the *Foundation-X* ownership surreptitiously changed hands except from generation to generation within the same DNA cess-pool, and as admitted by the scions now wielding the baton themselves, then, whomever were the owners of *Foundation-X* in 1946, and in 1917, are still its owners today.

**Casa de Rothschild!**

Let me know if this sufficiently constitutes Jeff Blankfort's requirement for evidence: *“if you have any direct evidence with unimpeachable sources that the Rothschilds are running everything”*

Now let me briefly examine the legal requirement for evidence in the United States. The following definition is excerpted from my Editorial: Some Dare Call it Conspiracy! Are you among them? April 19, 2009:

**Conspiracy:** “in law, agreement of two or more persons to commit a criminal or otherwise unlawful act. At common law, the crime of conspiracy was committed with the making of the agreement, but present-day statutes require an overt step by a conspirator to further the conspiracy. Other controversial aspects of conspiracy laws include the modification of the rules of evidence and the potential for a dragnet. A statement of a conspirator in furtherance of the conspiracy is admissible against all conspirators, even if the statement includes damaging references to another conspirator, and often even if it violates the rules against hearsay evidence. The conspiracy can be proved by circumstantial evidence. Any conspirator is guilty of any substantive crime committed by any other conspirator in furtherance of the enterprise. It is a
federal crime to conspire to commit any activity prohibited by federal statute, whether or not Congress imposed criminal sanctions on the activity itself.” -- Columbia Encyclopedia

Permit me to highlight the core legal standard in that passage (with emphasis):

(1) The conspiracy can be proved by circumstantial evidence.

(2) Any conspirator is guilty of any substantive crime committed by any other conspirator in furtherance of the enterprise.

(3) A statement of a conspirator in furtherance of the conspiracy is admissible against all conspirators, even if the statement includes damaging references to another conspirator, and often even if it violates the rules against hearsay evidence.

My goodness! The entire gang of banksters despite their web of control can be roped in even if one conspirator can be indicted. I have just demonstrated the corrupting power of the bankster fraternity, and shown that the Casa de Rothschild exists today because it existed in 1917 and 1946 by the evidence of Balfour Declaration and Nuremberg Military Tribunals, respectively. This fraternity has such immense powers that it can legally enact Federal Statutes, like the Federal Reserve System of the United States, by having the American Congress enact their preferences into law. When such an extortion happens, the above artfully defined definitions of conspiracy become irrelevant. The law of the sovereign becomes the ultimate arbiter of what is crime and what is virtue, as aptly demonstrated by Saint Augustine of Hippo in the 4th century:

“When the King asked him what he meant by infesting the sea, the pirate defiantly replied: 'the same as you do when you infest the whole world; but because
I do it with a little ship I am called a robber, and be-
cause you do it with a great fleet, you are an emper-
or.” (St. Augustine, The City of God Against The Pa-
gans, pg. 148, Cambridge University Press, U.K.,
1998)

This modus operandi, of theft of public's wealth by legalism enact-
ment by the sovereign, also appears to be right out of the Protocols.
Witness Protocol 1, items 3 through 5 which lend an empirical defini-
tion to the term “legal” when applied to control the masses:

Begin Quote

(3) It must be noted that men with bad instincts are
more in number than the good, and therefore the best
results in governing them are attained by violence and
terrorisation, and not by academic discussions. Every
man aims at power, everyone would like to become a
dictator if only he could, and rare indeed are the men
who would not be willing to sacrifice the welfare of
all for the sake of securing their own welfare.

(4) What has restrained the beasts of prey who are
called men? What has served for their guidance
hitherto?

(5) In the beginnings of the structure of society, they
were subjected to brutal and blind force; after words –
to Law, which is the same force, only disguised. I
draw the conclusion that by the law of nature right
lies in force.

End Quote

Based on insights gleaned from these contortions, especially item 5),
if you can enact Federal Statutes and laws to protect your graft by
wielding the hidden might of your indomitable force, then, there is no “conspiracy” in the legal terms because you did not violate any Federal Statutes!

Isn't that just marvellous?

So, the House of Rothschilds, using their hired front men and political errand boys, backed by their interlocking interests in all the world's central banks, have protected themselves from that definition of Conspiracy by shrewdly employing the uber-Machiavellian Protocols!

But have they protected themselves from RICO?

See my editorial which contains an extended excerpt of laws from the late Eustace Mullins' 1985 book *World Order*, which could have potentially been used in earlier times.

I now believe that the accelerated pace towards world government today, under the complete co-option of all organs of state worldwide, makes the bankster fraternity almost immune by way of any legal recourse in the entire Western Hemisphere. They might occasionally sacrifice a red herring errand boy here and there at the altar of reform to keep the plebeians happy, if it ever came to that! These are the ultimate UNTOUCHABLES! No one can even see them.

I hope that between Part-2 and Part-3 of My Confusion series regarding my goyish attempts at independent thinking, there is sufficient grounds for courageous moral Jews like Mr. Jeffrey Blankfort to finally perceive their own brethren – the King of the Jews – who have bestowed upon Zionistan its creation. Its ethos. Its “iron wall” that none can breach. Their full spectrum interlocking control of the world's private central banks continually enables them to implement their own two centuries old familial boast “give me control of a nation's money supply and I care not who makes its laws” with such brazen impunity that it is almost always accompanied by the thunderous applause of European and American goy statesmen and law makers. The King of the Jews have inflicted upon the entire Jewish peoples a calumny that the Jews shall not be able to outlive even if
they exist for another 3000 years! See: From Genesis to Genocide in Palestine: The Golem Is Not Jewish!. The following sentiment barely captures it:

Begin Quote

'If fair punishments are ever to be awarded for their crimes against humanity for just the past 100 years in any Just court of law, Adolph Eichmann would have to be retroactively let go by resurrecting his soul from his grave with high honors and awarded multiple peace prizes plus compensation, in order to administer hanging and extraction of restitution as the graduated scale of ultimate punishment for the ultimate prime-movers of all wars and pestilence before which their errand boys' and patsies' crimes against humanity pale in comparison.' --- http://print-humanbeings-first.blogspot.com/2009/11/rescuing-thestruggle-for-palestine.html

End Quote

All persons of any faith (or no faith) not entirely consumed by depravity, apathy, Faustian pacts, and if I might be so bold as to emphatically add, pious hypocrisy, should have no qualms calling a spade a spade. What prevents one from doing so, is suggested in my pamphlet: How To Return to Palestine This Day Forward.

What can one do about it today? Practically nothing, as suggested in: “Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order”.

But I pray that I am mistaken, that Machiavellian political science and infinitely deep pockets of the oligarchy exuded through their tax exempt foundations, private central banks, income tax levied upon masses, and national debt levied upon nations – an inflexion of power
which can bring combined superpowers to their knees – can straightforwardly be trumped by copious narratives of dissent chiefs and plebeians' abundant prayers!

**Thank you, ladies and gentlemen of the jury.**

**Short URL:** http://tinyurl.com/Omnipotent-Rothschilds

**Source URL:** http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/01/omnipotent-rothschilds-where-is-proof.html

First Published December 31, 2010
The Invisible Octopus

A reader wrote to Project Humanbeingsfirst.org:

'Dear Zahir,

Regarding Zionism and Israel, although I find it rather pervasive yet it is clear as daylight that they have established a hegemony in the style of the spread of internet, very much enmeshed in the fabric of power. I don't believe this is something that just happens, rather, it seems to me the culmination of decades of organized and conscious effort. It is not natural to see powerful Western nations, in particular US and UK, behave like they are subject to threat and hence need to appease this sinister force even at the expense and betrayal of their own population. Here in Canada, our PM shamelessly supports whatever they do, virtually like a zombie. And it isn't just the Conservatives, Lib-
erals and NDP are in it, too. One of our NDP MPs, Libby Davies, was strongly reprimanded by the late NDP head, Jack Layton, and she had done nothing but express the obvious about Israel. One wonders how we have come to this that a bunch of thugs are can have so much power over our government.

It is so easy to be disillusioned and demoralized when one looks at what is happening in our Muslim nations, though I try to keep faith alive in humanity and decency and justice, reminding myself that for example, the darkest of the night is before dawn. And I also wonder why Russia and China do not provide adequate challenge to these insane financial and belligerent policies that constitute the US/UK agenda. It is humanity that is being hijacked... I may have mentioned it to you before but in Farsi we have a saying, “harcheh b'gandad namakash mizannand; waay beh roozi keh b'gandad namak” which translated says, “whatever is liable to rot is treated with salt, alas the day when salt rots”.

[A Canadian of Iranian descent]

My Response

“One wonders how we have come to this that a bunch of thugs are can have so much power over our government.”

Indeed. This is what the Rothschild scions have been quoted for 250 years:

“Give me control of a nations money supply and I care not who makes its laws”

With that one single primordial control over nations in the form of controlling their central banks, they created what you aptly described:
“they have established a hegemony in the style of the spread of internet, very much enmeshed in the fabric of power”

This is how they prevailed over Great Britain, the mother country of Canada, to issue the Balfour Declaration – one of the most treacherous documents in the history of colonialism. Click on the Balfour Declaration image below to see its careful language deconstruction by yours truly. Notice that the Balfour Declaration is issued to the Rothschild name!

Foreign Office,
November 2nd, 1917.

Dear Lord Rothschild,

I have much pleasure in conveying to you, on behalf of His Majesty’s Government, the following declaration of sympathy with Jewish Zionist aspirations which has been submitted to, and approved by, the Cabinet.

His Majesty’s Government view with favour the establishment in Palestine of a national home for the Jewish people, and will use their best endeavours to facilitate the achievement of this object. It being clearly understood that nothing shall be done which may prejudice the civil and religious rights of existing non-Jewish communities in Palestine, or the rights and political status enjoyed by Jews in any other country.

I should be grateful if you would bring this declaration to the knowledge of the Zionist Federation.

[Signature]

Caption The Balfour Declaration November 2nd 1917

The full examination of the Rothschild power structure is in my Rothschild trilogy – called My Confusion Series Part-1, Part-2, Part-3!
And as you correctly noted of the interlockingness of their power, akin to "the spread of internet", the following explanation was given by W. Cleon Skousen in his book 'The Naked Capitalist' on how it was exercised by a handful of men:

“The real value of Tragedy and Hope … [is the] bold and boastful admission by Dr. Quigley that there actually exists a relatively small but powerful group which has succeeded in acquiring a choke-hold on the affairs of practically the entire human race. Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantic power unless millions of people in all walks of life were “in on the take” and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes. As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and women and their institutions on a world-wide basis.”

(W. Cleon Skousen, The Naked Capitalist, pg. 6)

See “Monetary Reform: Who will bell the cat?” for a fuller examination of why it is next to impossible to overturn this all-pervasive corrupt-power once it has been legally granted to the predators.

It makes no difference, once this predatory power is granted and unleashed upon the public, who sits in the White House or the Congress. And the same is true of Canada, the EU, and the UK. I examined this in 2008 on the eve of US presidential elections and called for the boycott of the elections to give a low voter turnout in order to demonstrate the illegitimacy of democratic institutions once such power has been legally granted to the bankster fraternity. It is in this essay: “Not-Voting is a ‘YES’ vote to Reject a Corrupt System”.

So yes, all democratic systems of governance under their respective
constitutional republic fabrics have been entirely co-opted by such private power. It is that power and its affiliation with Zionism which makes all the western nations beholden to Zionism. If instead of Zionism, the predators had chosen to ally themselves to some new ideology, say “Babism” or “Mayaism” -- just cooking up some terms -- then it would have been those ideologies which would now be holding their Damocles' sword upon the peoples of the earth.

In their present alliance with Zionism, the end goal is World Government by the financial oligarchy. All the global crises we see are merely enabling vehicles for this new system of global management of the planet, which to me appears will be ruled from Tel Aviv and legislated from the New Jerusalem – the claimed hometowns of the Rothschilds. It is obvious that this is already coming true -- for those who rule the White House, the sole unchallenged superpower, also rule the world. Soon, very soon, they will supplant the White House and rule the world directly as the new ruling state without pretensions. Zion will be the new “peace-maker” for the planet in her one-world government!

We can already witness the prelude to that global “peace-making” in Palestine today in “From Genesis to Genocide” and “Holocaust in our Age”. We can also already see the enabling of the new public relations mantra for the god's chosen peoples in the FBI training graph below. Please click on the image to see its deconstruction by yours truly (also see “Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation”):
Caption An FBI presentation titled “Militancy Considerations” measures the relationship between piety and violence among the texts of the three Abrahamic faiths.

The realization of the immenseness of this interlocking power-structure remains invisible in the struggle for Palestine among the Palestinians and their supporters. That is the real tragedy – that mankind has collectively failed to recognize the nature of this all-pervasive power which has besieged the world for over a hundred years by riding on the backs of world wars, empires, and presently the sole superpower.

Who or What can unlock their octopi grip on the affairs of the world when people don’t even want to see the octopus?
Caption Rothschild Governance by Networks:

Watch The house of Rothschild 1934 movie
[youtube=http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MqCTvW5URfY]
Watch video If I were a Rothschild
[youtube=http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rUJcoWT_phQ]

Watch video Who are you?
[youtube=http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qJAbzl5a9H8]

Zahir Ebrahim

Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2012/01/the-invisible-octopus-by-zahir-ebrahim.html

First Published January 30, 2012
Chapter 37

Oligarchic Primacy and Zionism

The Illusion of Power and the Calculus of Palestinian Dispossession

Deconstructing the Balfour Declaration – Response to Alan Hart

First of all, thanks to Alan Hart for his article which I read on Salem-News[1]. It takes a brave man to see, and even braver one to speak. And especially to speak out against the genocidal crimes of a state whose own “mother” called Alan Hart her “good friend”[2]:

Zionism is indeed the Jews’ worst enemy. It tends to make the world's Jewry the natural enemy of the entire world regardless of which country they live in. As was examined in another riposte to Alan Hart,
January 17, 2009 titled “No No – Not the ‘New Nazis’”[3], Jews can become the seditious enemies of their home nations where they were born and whose citizenship they enjoy, when matters come into conflict with supporting their Jewish state in Palestine.

The foundation of this sociopathy was laid very carefully in the precise wording of the Balfour Declaration itself. It had sanctioned the Jewish state in Palestine without jeopardizing the Jews' political rights in their own home countries: “It being clearly understood that nothing shall be done which may prejudice the civil and religious rights of the existing non-Jewish communities in Palestine, or the rights and political status enjoyed by Jews in any other country.”

A picture of Golda Meir – Mother Israel – that she gifted as prime minister, inscribed in her own hand, "To a good friend, Alan Hart".
Dear Lord Rothschild,

I have much pleasure in conveying to you, on behalf of His Majesty's Government, the following declaration of sympathy with Jewish Zionist aspirations which has been submitted to, and approved by, the Cabinet.

His Majesty's Government view with favour the establishment in Palestine of a national home for the Jewish people, and will use their best endeavours to facilitate the achievement of this object, it being clearly understood that nothing shall be done which may prejudice the civil and religious rights of existing non-Jewish communities in Palestine, or the rights and political status enjoyed by Jews in any other country.

I should be grateful if you would bring this declaration to the knowledge of the Zionist Federation.


Caption The Balfour Declaration November 2nd 1917

Dear Lord Rothschild, 'His Majesty's Government view with favour the establishment in Palestine of a national home for the Jewish people, and will use their best endeavor to facilitate the achievement of this object. it being clearly understood that nothing shall be done which may prejudice the civil and religious rights of existing non-Jewish communities in Palestine, or the rights and political status enjoyed by Jews in any other country.'
The Balfour Declaration permitted the Jews, solely by the fiat of imperial power, to live in any country in the world while simultaneously making the Jewish state in Palestine their new home without jeopardizing their “rights and political status” in their home country of birth or citizenship. Notice that the wording of the Balfour Declaration does not even mention the indigenous peoples of Palestine by name, only referring to them as “non-Jewish communities in Palestine”. It further does not mention their general “rights and political status” as a people as it does for the Jews, but only refers to some narrower “civil and religious rights” as “non-Jewish communities”. While on first glance these might appear equivalent to the layman, on careful examination with the eyes of a shrewd lawyer, the local “non-Jewish communities” are not accorded the same general unspecified “rights and political status”, as are the transplanted Jewish people in the land of another granted to the Jews.

While bearing only a few short imperial proclamations, the Balfour Declaration is the most treacherously worded document of its kind in the history of colonization. Observe the magic of its linguistics, wherein, the Palestinian peoples are not referred to as a “people”, but only as “non-Jewish communities”. Whereas, the same document refers to the Jews as “the Jewish people”. The simple, largely agrarian, indigenous Palestinian population, uninformed of the vagaries of deceit and the Machiavellian use of legalisms and linguistics, from day one were deprived of political status by the very wording of the Balfour Declaration.

The British empire making the surprising land grant to the Jews whom they professed no inordinate love for throughout their history, only villainous hatred, suddenly didn't even recognize the indigenous peoples of Palestine continuously living for thousands of years in their own ancestral lands as one people. But the Jews in Diaspora, hailing from almost every country and culture on earth, but primarily the Ashkenazi from Europe, are acknowledged as one, “the Jewish People”. Thus, the Machiavellian logic was to naturally follow, that
how can a non-existent people have the general “rights and political status” which the “Jewish People” enjoy as a people regardless of where they live? That logic, once diabolically seeded into the consciousness of the world Jewry by the fiat of Zionism as their divine right, has been articulated by almost every prime minister and statesman of the Jewish state of Israel ever since. Suffice it to reproduce here as the harvest of this crafty logic, the famous Jewish epitaph for Palestine: land without a people for a people without a land!

Based principally on that self-serving axiom which wiped out the very existence of a people with a single stroke of imperial pen, that Golda Meir, the “Mother Israel” who autographed her photograph to Alan Hart with the inscription: “To my good friend” as reproduced above, was quoted in Le Monde[4] as proclaiming: “This country exists as the fulfillment of a promise made by God Himself. It would be ridiculous to ask it to account for its legitimacy”. Since the Jewish God cannot be unjust even to the goyem (in the Jews proclamations before the goy), so Palestinian peoples must not exist if Israel “exists as the fulfillment of a promise made by God Himself.” (Other intriguing Jewish convolutions on the God logic in which god suddenly died after giving the Palestine land grant to the Jews, is in Leo Strauss, The Early Writings 1921-1932, pg. 202, quoted in my February 08, 2009 letter to editor, Dalit Voice[5]. The 2010 Pamphlet on Palestine cited below exhaustively lists all convolutions on Zionism, unarguably demonstrating their one singular common purpose.)

Books upon books have been meticulously compiled on Zionism dissecting the conquest of Palestine from all directions. The Jews have themselves written major treatise admitting their crime, Israeli historian Benny Morris' Righteous Victims, among them. And the Palestinians have narrated of their dispossession and genocide with an eloquence which remains unsurpassed for any oppressed peoples. Compelled by an inner drive to side with a beleaguered peoples, I have cited dozens of such compelling narratives in my 28 February 2007 article “The endless trail of red herrings”[6].
However, when we solely focus on Zionism, we somehow seem to overlook that it was constructed by someone. Who? What for? Who aids and abets it? Who is its primemover? How has it lasted that long? Which forces drive it so that it has endured for almost 200 years? AIPAC? Chabad? The Knesset? Washington?

Most significantly, who crafted such a diabolical document like the Balfour Declaration? And what power did they exercise over the mighty British empire to prevail with such an unjust demand? What is the nature of that seemingly infinite and inexhaustible power that even today, no statesman of the entire Western Hemisphere can utter an effective word or take an effective action against the Jewish state?

Such magic doesn't just happen without a primemover force – like the force of gravity whose effects all can see but not the force itself. It took several thousand years of observing its effects by all and sundry that someone came up with an accurate explanation for gravity, and with nothing more than just a falling apple. It was right there, apples have fallen from trees since the day Adam and Eve took the proverbial bite from the tree of knowledge, but the mind wasn't ready to see it until Isaac Newton.

II

My critique of Alan Hart, and of most of the conscionable Western witnesses to the genocidal crimes against humanity being purveyed upon an indigenous peoples in Palestine during our own lifetime (not something we just read about in history books and for which we can do nothing about today), is that they only speak of what they see, rather eloquently too, but almost always superficially. Yes we all can see the dead bodies, doesn't take a Nostradamus to perceive what's already before one's eyes. But they don't speak of what they don't see. Like the iceberg, 90% is hidden from sight. And like the iceberg, it is also known to exist, and to lurk just beneath the surface. It is not
secret or esoteric knowledge, nor is it a classified state-secret. And just like it is for the iceberg, most descriptions of it only describe the visible 10% above the surface. I am not sure what profound wisdom is gleaned from reading such narratives. I am sure studying and documenting crimes against humanity is necessary. If eruditely documenting is the main purpose, than I believe people are doing a terrific job of it. Even the senior Bush White House advisor proclaimed this to the New York Times in 2004[7]:

'...“That's not the way the world really works anymore,” he continued. “We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.”...' (Ron Suskind, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004)

But if changing what the “history's actors” are doing before they accomplish all their goals in incremental stages, is the main goal of dissent, then these erudite documentations etc. are as meaningless as boasted above by the history's actors themselves. This is an empirical fact. Not a matter of opinion.

If no thinker and lauded exponent of Palestine will see the 90% which gives the entire foundational substance to the visible 10%, then their articulation of the problem is only as deep (or shallow) as the 10% visible iceberg. It is forever relegated to studying the “new realities” being daily created by the “history's actors”. As they like to put it with considerable chutzpah and hubris, “to just study what we do.”

So, in my moments of stupidity (since no brilliant peoples like all the Nobel peace prize winners and academic professors and scholars ever seem to think of this, I must be the stupid one to do so), I have to wonder that while how easy it is to see the 10% of the visible iceberg mass
for dissent-chiefs, why is it so hard to see the remaining 90% for those who do see the 10%?

Virtually without exception, all famous and lauded narrators of Palestine in the dissent-space keep coming up with faulty frameworks to explain what they do see in Palestine. The rare exception which links it to the larger global agenda in the world, is either immediately marginalized, or ignored. Or, the oddballs inexplicably marginalize themselves in the eyes of rational people who might otherwise pay attention to them, by simultaneously uttering some outlandish gibberish. This blindsight amidst the learned simply drives me up the wall, especially when peoples, far more knowledgeable and experienced than I, indulge in it.

Why do they do that? Are they blind? Or, are they stupid? Or, am I really really brilliant? I would safely strike out the last item self-servingly put there if I were you, because I don't believe it myself. Nothing I have said in the zillion words on my website is rocket science. Then, is there some other reason for these stupidities which ought to remain unspeakable?

For what little my opinion is worth, I have still tried to address this very question in my May 15, 2010 Pamphlet “How to Return to Palestine”[8].

And in my view, I am sorry to say that the heartfelt narratives of Alan Hart, as truly heart warming as they always are, seldom do any analytical justice to unraveling the core issues which pertain to the invisible 90%.

I am really sorry to say this of peoples' heroes. But I have to say it because that's the only way to lick this totem pole of hero worship of dissent-chiefs. To boldly challenge the lauded and brand-name narrators and give them the opportunity to think afresh. None of us are god, prophet, or all knowing and all seeing. And unless we begin to acknowledge that fact, that we aren't, that our understanding of reality must always remain tentative and continually subject to correction,
that we can actually stand to gain new insights into the problem-space only if we stop pretending that we already understand it all, no freaking sunshine is ever gonna enter the dark-matter between our ears.

People throng to Alan Hart, just like to the other dissent-chiefs. He is respected, widely published, and wins many accolades for his narratives (and also the ire of his Zionist antagonists of course).

But is it rocket science that he actually misses by a mile on the hidden but only in plainsight 90% of the iceberg?

If I can see it, why can't Alan Hart? He is, I wholly admit just by looking at his imposing credentials, far more experienced, accomplished, and politically astute, than a lowly plebeian.

Omissions are a serious offence. So serious in fact, that Aldous Huxley stated it thusly:

‘The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals. But silence is not enough. If persecution, liquidation and the other symptoms of social friction are to be avoided, the positive sides of propaganda must be made as effective as the negative.’ — Aldous Huxley, Preface (circa 1946) to Brave New World, 1931, Harper, pg. 11

If curious about how an ordinary plebeian thinks bluntly on the 90% that no Westerner who is famous as an academic, a journalist, or a
scholar, will touch with a ten-foot pole in their erudite scholarship, see the first three parts of My Confusion Series[9]. Part-2 and Part-3 are perhaps directly pertinent as a response to Alan Hart's most significant omission of his narratives.

Unless Alan Hart goes there, my going there is ineffective and wasted effort - because, I don't carry an imposing resume like Alan Hart. No one ever listens to a plebeian. But people pay much attention to someone like Alan Hart. When I sent my maiden 2003 manuscript to 30 publishers via Fedex at considerable cost, only six bothered to say no thanks. Twenty four didn't reply. I don't even know if they ever got to the right person. The publisher who published John Perkins' Confessions of Economic Hitman in 2004, on Perkins' kind referral (since I had requested him to refer me to his publisher after learning that his book too had been turned down dozens of times), talked to me by phone only to let me know that publishing is not about a cause, but about profits. An unknown first of all is hard to publish because he does not have readership. Secondly, as the Berrett-Koehler representative had put it, and this was the most disconcerting to me, one with a Middle Eastern name will be presumed to be biased by the American readers since his own peoples are being killed, hence no one will buy my book - so sorry.

In those days, I still greatly admired Noam Chomsky as he had been one of my most inspiring professors at college. My maiden manuscript sat in Chomsky's in-basket for review for months, as it did on almost all brand-name dissent-chiefs I had ever met in my life (including names like Daniel Ellsberg and Tariq Ali – to both of these prominent chiefs I had hand-delivered my manuscript, in those days I was still a bit green behind the ears), before Chomsky let me know that he will likely never get to it. He said he was too busy and there were just way too many things in his in-basket, which I interpreted as there were too many important peoples ahead of me in his in-basket.

Howard Zinn, what a remarkable teacher that late historian was, on a cold call from me to his home in Boston, immediately agreed to re-
view my manuscript after we chatted a bit about his own million copy bestseller. In fact, Howard Zinn reviewed two drafts for me. And when I was unsuccessful in lining up any prominent publisher, Zinn even wrote me a short but stellar commendation letter to send to publishers. Despite all that, I got zero interest from any mainstream publisher. Seven Stories Press who had published Chomsky's 911 booklet (see Noam Chomsky below) also talked to me, said my book was interesting, but that they liked first-hand research (whatever that meant). In fact the title for my essay “They Dared to Knock on my door” which was the first part of my manuscript[10], if I recall correctly, was suggested by Greg at Seven Stories Press. As I now recall, he had also noted that as an unknown in this crowded field where mainly brand-names are magnets, and others have to have some unique hook, my narrative as a Muslim complaining about Islamophobia in America and America's fictitious war on terror just won't sell. I should look into self-publishing. Sorry.

I am not sure that Alan Hart has had such problems.

All those publisher turndowns back in 2003, at least in my case, were partly symptomatic of what is empirically obvious.

We, as part of our human nature, naturally tend to listen to those in positions of power, those with titles, those with published accolades, those who have met kings and queens, prime ministers and presidents, those who look sharp and talk sharp, and tend to accept from them, on the slenderest evidence, that which already meets with the presuppositions of our own worldview. And we concomitantly reject that which doesn't, despite preponderance of evidence, continually demanding more, more, more. It's as if cognitive dissonance prevents us from giving up our old beliefs, even reaffirming them to make them even more strongly held when shown to be wrong.

If we are in the mainstream, our heroes are the mainstream heroes. We don't hear dissent. And if we are in the dissentstream, our heroes are invariably the dissent-chiefs and we applaud ourselves for our bril-
liance that we are not sheep. But empirically, we are each beholden to
our natural inclinations, to our worldviews howsoever we may have
acquired them, whether by inheritance, or vicariously implanted by
the Mighty Wurlitzer[11].

And each of us have our own heroes and storytellers we generally
gravitate toward. And it is they, our heroes, people whom we respect
and admire, who invariably inform us what is the matter with
whatever they might be interested in. This aspect of our natural
propensity was partly captured by Bertrand Russell thusly:

'What a man believes upon grossly insufficient evid-
ence is an index to his desires – desires of which he
himself is often unconscious. If a man is offered a fact
which goes against his instincts [or worldview], he
will scrutinize it closely, and unless [and at times
even when] the evidence is overwhelming, he will re-
fuse to believe it. If, on the other hand, he is offered
something which affords a reason for acting in ac-
cordance with his instincts [or worldview], he will ac-
cept it even on the slenderest evidence.' — Bertrand
Russell, Proposed Roads to Freedom, 1919, page 147

What Bertrand Russell neglected to mention is that we tend to accept
such narratives on “the slenderest evidence” more easily when it is
brought to us by our respective heroes. But Adolph Hitler didn't. He
not only recognized, but also capitalized on such shrewd understand-
ing of human psychology (see below).

Therefore, having become acutely aware of all this through my own
successive failures to convince anyone of anything (unless I am
preaching to the choir - and what's the great benefit of that), I began
tackling peoples' heroes directly, beginning with my own hero in my
earlier life, Noam Chomsky. In the slenderest hope that if I can get
someone's hero to interlocute with a plebeian, and can miraculously
change his mind, his flock will naturally follow. It hasn't happened
yet, but I keep trying.

To you, the reader, what I say will be dismissed trivially. But have the same thing be stated by the pundit/scholar/chief you admire – well, try your own experiments. I know someone who even did the following experiment: sent one of his rejected articles again, by doing some simple word substitution, mainly replaced his own name and put “Thomas Friedman” and mailed it back. The same article which had been rejected on specious grounds of racism earlier, was accepted with that made up authorship of a Jewish brand-name, and substituting two words identifying one people with another people. I think the reader can well guess what those might have been. Later the person let the publication know that he had only done that experiment to prove to them the natural perception bias, not to mention vile prejudice which had gone into rejecting his first submission.

Therefore, through this response to Alan Hart's article, I once again try to interlocute with a brand-name chief. I invite Alan Hart, the narrator of Palestinian travails, to offer a response to an ordinary plebeian's missive by examining what's argued in Part-2 and Part-3 of my confusion series referenced above. If I am shown the errors in my thinking, I will humbly bow my head in shame and quietly slink away to rethink and relearn from the master.

Obviously, no two people agree on anything. So, the reader might well ask, what's wrong with everyone having their own point of view in dissent? It is, after all, dissent. Dissent means to disagree – don't it? The most powerful description of dissent I ever saw is this one by Vaclav Havel[12]:

'I too think the intellectual should constantly disturb, should bear witness to the misery of the world, should be provocative by being independent, should rebel against all hidden and open pressure and manipulations, should be the chief doubter of systems, of power and its incantations, should be a witness to
their mendacity. For this very reason, an intellectual cannot fit into any role that might be assigned to him, nor can he ever be made to fit into any of the histories written by the victors. An intellectual essentially doesn't belong anywhere; he stands out as an irritant wherever he is; he does not fit into any pigeonhole completely.' — Vaclav Havel, cited in Zahir Ebrahimi, Responsibility of Intellectuals – Redux, March 03, 2007

So, what's wrong with each one of us standing alone, in our own little Hyde Park screaming corner, blaring our own irritants into the ears of power? Okay, some have more prominent perches than others, but that's just capitalism, egalitarianism, meritocratism. What's wrong with that? You are just upset that the NYT does not publish you or pays any attention to your submissions – why don't you go back to engineering where you still have some earned credibility, and evidently were also quite successful? What do you have to show for ten years of activism anyway? By your own admission, you haven't changed a single mind! Such cynicism is what I constantly hear from both my trusted friends, as well as my antagonists (those who were formerly my friends but today I suspect just hate my guts because I refuse to suffer fools any longer).

In fact, I was even informed by one of my very wise colleagues in Pakistan when I had offered him a copy of my unpublished manuscript as keepsake, that I was wasting my time if I expected it to make any difference even if it was published by Simon & Schuster and became a bestseller on the New York Times list. His opinion was that people don't change their mind by reading a book. (At that time I of course didn't want to believe it, but now I am convinced of that fact myself.) My uncle too had reliably informed me that I will be “disappeared” the moment I came into notice of the intelligence apparatus – and since the FBI had already visited me twice, my days were surely numbered. (That I did believe, and still do fear – but seek refuge in
none except in my own faith.) All that was back in 2003 when I first started opening my mouth, and that was after I had already been exercising my legs and my lungs in anti-war protest marches since 2002-2003.

So what difference does dissent make? What has the bold courage of a handful really changed? Whether it be of those much sought after brand-named dissent-chiefs, or of the unknown tens of thousands of other individuals who feel an inner compulsion to not accept villainous matters as they are?

I sincerely believe the following: that those who principally side with truth, those who bear witness, those who seek fair justice for their fellow man, and take personal risks in doing so when they don't need to – when they could just as easily be pursuing their own 'American Dream' like the rest of silent bystanders – are all principally holding the same book (the book of justice, metaphorically speaking).

But we are not all on the same page. Obviously. That is our undoing.

Why are we not all on the same page? And, why do all of us have to be on the same page?

Because, we are often unable to separate the myriad obfuscating issues into their proper causal relationships of cause and effect. The causality is also hierarchical, and often obfuscated by lower order less significant bits masquerading themselves as the higher order bits. We also often seem to confuse dependent variables of a complex system with its independent variables which are often calculatingly masked by the dependent variables for obvious reason.

In other words, the subject of hegemony in modern times is very complex - even though it may be as old as mankind - far more complex than simply the dead bodies strewn from Palestine to Iraq to Afghanistan to Pakistan which all can see.

To separate out the causal relationships which are often highly nuanced, and almost always cloaked in deception, is not easy. It requires
a great deal of commonsense - a commodity which appears to be less common than the name might suggest.

So, here is a test of commonsense for the reader whose moral compulsion drives him or her to no longer remain a silent bystander: all see the power of AIPAC and Chabad, some write major treatise on how they influence the United States to favor Israel. From Paul Findley in 1985 (They Dare to Speak Out) to Mearsheimer and Walt in 2007 (The Israel Lobby and U.S. Foreign Policy), and in the ten thousand articles across the Israel-Palestine landscape, these Zionist lobby groups are indicted for their unelected power-wielding upon the elected representatives of the people. Can you suggest what makes these Zionist Lobby groups so powerful? The brand-named academics and former elected officials who write those narratives haven't. Can you, dear reader? It isn't rocket science.

My own limited thinking on this matter is argued in the cited Pamphlet. I am sure others with more wherewithal can do much better. The problem is – all whom one imagines as having more wherewithal don't want to go there! This unspeakable iron wall no one desires to breach.

When it comes to Zionism and Palestine, a land that was taken over by deception and treachery, and whose narratives are replete with both “beneficial cognitive diversity” (ala Cass Sunstein[13]) and Trojan Horses (ala fifth columnists from among the Palestinians[14] themselves), all effectively lead the struggle away from focussing on what and who are Zionistan's real primemovers.

The struggle for Palestine has been replete with red herrings (a smelly fish that a fugitive drags across the path in order to put the pursuing dogs off the trail). Every single one of these, as far as one can tell, is either been planted by, or aided and abetted by, lauded dissent-chiefs with brand-names. Don't believe it? See it for yourself in the already cited article “The endless trail of red herrings”.

Thus, the direct addressing of the behind the scene powers which can potentially add some efficacy to the otherwise sterile pursuit of justice
in Palestine, is made impossible when no one will go there. Either deliberately as fabricated or controlled dissent, or out of sheer ignorance, or out of self-preservation.

What I fail to grasp is why should the Palestinians in Diaspora refuse to go there? They have everything to gain, and only their good 'American Dreams' to lose? Is that even a fraction of what their brethren brave daily in their existential struggle on ground zero, still refusing to give in despite burying their dead daily, like the un-identified child in the photograph in Alan Hart's article? But we equally see such omissions in the Palestinians' own narratives as well. This is amply demonstrated in my responses to Salman Abu Sitta, Antoine Raffoul, Ismail Zayid, Khalil Nakhleh, Shadi Nassar, Mustafa Barghouti and Anna Baltzer, Jeff Gates, Jeff Blankfort, et. al.

Our collective inability to analyze causality has been deliberately made prodigious. Either through co-option, or through dumbing us down while letting us pretend that we are super-smart! I call it the "IVY League Morons Syndrome" and there is an article with that title on my website. The upshot of it all is that it ultimately succeeds in keeping us from opening the book of justice which we all evidently care about, on its most significant page simultaneously. That, is the real purpose of introducing "beneficial cognitive diversity" through "cognitive infiltration" (sic!).

III

Let's change that calculus of subterfuge and energetic runs on treadmills to soothe the conscience which have been, in any case, cleverly crafted for us by the "history's actors" themselves so that we don't reach anywhere productive.

But firstly, one can't change anything by patting oneself on the back for one's efforts in the pursuit of justice. Which is unfortunately
largely the tenor and character of modern day dissent-chiefs as well as their flock. Not everyone is like that, but many appear to be on ego trips for their own narrow self-interests, their shrill laments on behalf of the poor victims notwithstanding.

Caption A Palestinian child's indomitable courage on Ground Zero in Palestine (Photographer unknown). In order to be effective in dealing with such a multifaceted and unique adversary, a division of labor between those compelled to face the live ammunition and checkpoints on Ground Zero, and those in Diaspora living in the comforts of the West with the luxury of time and liberty to effectively focus on the prime-movers, is the rational demand of the hour. But evidently, none suffering the excruciating weekend pains of Diaspora can muster even the courage of a tiny child of Palestine!

Secondly, the rank and file of dissent, when we are conscionable peoples who do lend our consciences to our moral endeavors as an inner compulsion, primarily do so to soothe our own consciences. This should be self-evident, but isn't. We, generally speaking, haven't the capacity nor the wherewithal to shrewdly employ both mind and hand
to ENGINEER a struggle.

I really don't understand that when we easily see the crimes against humanity are diabolically engineered, that both consent and dissent is Machiavellianly manufactured – the engineering of consent – why we persist in feeling that we can counter villainous tyranny unengineered? That, by just randomly, and largely symbolically, exercising our consciences, our lungs, and our pens, for whatever strikes our immediate fancy, we can make a difference whatsoever? Today that fancy is the BDS and Sailing to Gaza. Yesterday it was the endless weekend-only protest marches of a few hours before people returned to the pursuits of their 'American Dreams' on weekdays.

Not to take anything away from the courageous people who are participating in these exercises – even the optimists will have to admit they are largely symbolic. BDS[26] is deconstructed here.

The day a brave Palestinian narrator in Diaspora is willing to risk an iota of hair on his balding head, or underneath the fashionable headscarf, that will surely be the first day of reclaiming Palestine for their progeny. No dissent-chief, East or West, is going to do it for them. The only way they ever get to become dissent-chiefs in the first place is by not going there.

Every child of Palestinian Diaspora must learn to throw the comparable intellectual stone and be willing to risk being shot dead like the child of Palestine on Ground Zero, if they ever want to reclaim their home. The grandiose prize-winning narratives fired while resting one's mighty pen safely upon the tiny shoulders of the uncompromising child of Palestine - one who is risking it all in throwing a tiny pebble at a Goliath which can annihilate him even before the pebble leaves his tiny palm - is the grotesque caricature of the Palestinian struggle in the relative safety of Diaspora.
And I will openly admit that if I had a tenth of the courage and a hundredth of conscience of these moral activists who are putting their precious lives on the line sailing to GAZA, even if symbolically, for symbols of resistance are equally important to any struggle, I would have joined them. Since I am thus far unwilling to brave Israeli bullets whizzing by my head, I am unwilling to say anything further on the subject except to reiterate that these symbols of resistance are indeed entirely symbolic. Ten million sailing to GAZA from all directions however, would surely alter that calculus. That requires engineering. Hope and wishful thinking don't create engineering, nor do moral compulsions. The actual exercise of engineering does. That requires enormous focus, enormous resources, and more than some iota of brains to orchestrate it.

A prerequisite to engineering an effective struggle for change which doesn't solely run on the treadmill, is to understand the myriad forces which maintain the status quo. Many of these forces are masked and layered in deception. They almost always stay behind the scenes leaving their henchmen to be visible. So we neither know ourselves very well, nor unfortunately our enemy. Sun Tzu's following wisdom on the Art of War makes our shortcomings rather apparent:

'If you know the enemy and know yourself, you need not fear the result of a hundred battles. If you know yourself but not the enemy, for every victory gained you will also suffer a defeat. If you know neither the enemy nor yourself, you will succumb in every battle.'

— Sun Tzu, Art of War

The main harbingers of engineering any change against villainous tyranny, I have lamentably come to realize, are not going to be ordinary peoples like us plebeians. Typically, we are just the crowd who follow our leaders. I am sure you reading this is an exception. But most of dissent falls into this category.

The unfortunate reality is that very few people think independently. I
have found so much truth in the oft quoted statistic by poets and sociologists alike, that "less then 2% people in any population actually think, 8% think they think, and 90% wont be caught dead thinking". Bertrand Russell too made the observation that “Most people would rather die than think; in fact, they do so”. Hitler capitalized on that observation in Mein Kampf and in constructing the Third Reich. The same is being capitalized in constructing the Global Fourth. I have deconstructed the manufacturing of both consent and dissent, an engineered product of latter day hectoring hegemons that is entirely based on that sociological empiricism, in my report "Manufacturing Dissent" available on my website. Here is a short extract from Hitler's Mein Kampf:

**Begin excerpt**

In journalistic circles it is a pleasing custom to speak of the Press as a 'Great Power' within the State. As a matter of fact its importance is immense. One cannot easily overestimate it, for the Press continues the work of education even in adult life. Generally, readers of the Press can be classified into three groups:

**First, those who believe everything they read;**

**Second, those who no longer believe anything;**

**Third, those who critically examine what they read and form their judgments accordingly.**

Numerically, the first group is by far the strongest, being composed of the broad masses of the people. Intellectually, it forms the simplest portion of the nation. It cannot be classified according to occupation but only into grades of intelligence. Under this category come all those who have not been born to think for themselves or who have not learnt to do so and who, partly through incompetence and partly through
ignorance, believe everything that is set before them in print. To these we must add that type of lazy individual who, although capable of thinking for himself out of sheer laziness gratefully absorbs everything that others had thought over, modestly believing this to have been thoroughly done. The influence which the Press has on all these people is therefore enormous; for after all they constitute the broad masses of a nation. But, somehow they are not in a position or are not willing personally to sift what is being served up to them; so that their whole attitude towards daily problems is almost solely the result of extraneous influence. All this can be advantageous where public enlightenment is of a serious and truthful character, but great harm is done when scoundrels and liars take a hand at this work.

The second group is numerically smaller, being partly composed of those who were formerly in the first group and after a series of bitter disappointments are now prepared to believe nothing of what they see in print. They hate all newspapers. Either they do not read them at all or they become exceptionally annoyed at their contents, which they hold to be nothing but a congeries of lies and misstatements. These people are difficult to handle; for they will always be sceptical of the truth. Consequently, they are useless for any form of positive work.

The third group is easily the smallest, being composed of real intellectuals whom natural aptitude and education have taught to think for themselves and who in all things try to form their own judgments, while at the same time carefully sifting what they read. They will not read any newspaper without using
their own intelligence to collaborate with that of the writer and naturally this does not set writers an easy task. Journalists appreciate this type of reader only with a certain amount of reservation.

Hence the trash that newspapers are capable of serving up is of little danger--much less of importance--to the members of the third group of readers. In the majority of cases these readers have learnt to regard every journalist as fundamentally a rogue who sometimes speaks the truth. Most unfortunately, the value of these readers lies in their intelligence and not in their numerical strength, an unhappy state of affairs in a period where wisdom counts for nothing and majorities for everything. Nowadays when the voting papers of the masses are the deciding factor; the decision lies in the hands of the numerically strongest group; that is to say the first group, the crowd of simpletons and the credulous. — Mein Kampf, Adolph Hitler, Vol. 1, Chapter X (page numbers vary by edition and translation)

End excerpt

Empiricism suggests that the main harbingers of change throughout history have always been chiefs, inspiring leaders who head their own flock who invariably follow them blindly. And unless these leaders are patsies standing in for the puppetmasters, one presumes that they are the third category of people who “have learnt to regard every journalist as fundamentally a rogue who sometimes speaks the truth.”

Such people, often the opinion makers for their own flock, by opening the book on the same most significant page simultaneously, and genuinely pursuing the logic which naturally falls out on that page, can surely engineer a focussed struggle for real efficacy rather than as a commodity to soothe one's conscience, or line one's pocketbooks, as it
The unpleasant reality today is that narratives in favor of the 'untermenschen' (German word for 'the lesser peoples'), showing dead children and dead bodies, be they in Palestine, Afghanistan, Iraq, Pakistan, or elsewhere along the 'arc of crisis' in the 'global zone of percolating violence' (both those terms are due to the architect of *The Grand Chessboard*, Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski), have become a commodity. It spans the full gamut of narrow self-interests.

If valid cynicism be allowed to air for a minute (I am sure I will incur the wrath of the pious), gallant people playing dissent with empire are often funded by the instruments of empire itself. Mercenaries and useful idiots alike – imperial military strategy documents refer to the latter as “surrogates”, civilians who act on the military's behalf without realizing it themselves (greed, false patriotism, being a “house nigger”, and being “tickled”, equally make convenient stooges) – willingly go on reconstruction projects from Iraq to Afghanistan in the name of bringing the bombed out peoples a taste of Western “democracy”. Witness where they draw their paychecks from? The very same Military-Industrial-Academe-Media-Nonprofit-UN complex which ab initio creates the furor and “legal legitimacy” for aggressive war under various pretexts, and which directly benefits from bombing the “poor devils” out in the first place! The manifest absurdity of this scam of destroy-build-destroy-build cycle when one is reaping its benefits, becomes rocket science to comprehend even for people with Ph.D.

The rising monetary cost of war (see costofwar.com) is a great method of creating unpayable national debt, no matter who spends the money or how it is spent. *Cui bono* national debt? The relationship between rising national debt, the strangulation of the United States itself as a sovereign nation-state, and the construction of one-world government of the financial oligarchy, has been explored and documented in considerable depth on my website. One can read a succinct summary in Chapter 3 of my 2011 book: “The Poor-man's guide to modernity”[29].
Suffice it to simply state the obvious here that dissent – controlled or self-serving doesn't matter – benefits from the hegelian dialectic of their venture in many tangible as well as intangible ways.

Narratives purporting to favor the 'untermensch' often make their authors quite rich and famous. Even win them prizes for their eloquence, and sometimes accolades from the very instruments of those whom they seemingly oppose in their dissent. The absurdity of this too, of course, is entirely lost on their gullible flock who in point of fact, cheer wildly for their heroes on such occasions and carry them even more proudly upon their shoulders. They fail to take notice of the distemper that their gallant heroes of mankind themselves covet these prizes and honors, and proudly display them on their resumes. But I am sure that these gallant dissent-heroes donate every penny of their windfall proceeds back to the Palestinians, to the very victims whose narratives make them wealthy and famous.

Here is Noam Chomsky, “arguably the most important intellectual alive” as anointed by the New York Times – the accolade proudly adorning many a backcover of his books which of course does not hurt in selling the brand-name of Noam Chomsky to the public – gallantly giving away the proceeds of his books. And here is a Palestinian lawyer in the West Bank doing it for the Orwell prize he gladly accepted for his narrative from the very people who instrumented the cataclysm upon his peoples which he narrated thereof.

It is very straightforward to recognize specious opinion-makers. They generally tend to share the one common trait: they all see the sun after it shines and hear the thunder after it roars. That is, they see the 10% visible iceberg, after the fact, and describe it with great eloquence. Sun Tzu captured the obviousness of it with remarkable eloquence when defining the characteristics of a true warrior in the Art of War 2500 years ago:

'8. To see victory only when it is within the ken of the common herd is not the acme of excellence.
9. Neither is it the acme of excellence if you fight and conquer and the whole Empire says, “Well done!”

10. To lift an autumn hair is no sign of great strength; to see the sun and moon is no sign of sharp sight; to hear the noise of thunder is no sign of a quick ear.

— Sun Tzu, *Art of War*

See compilation: *The Three Political Dialogs*[^32], pg. 7

I hope dissent-chiefs like Mr. Alan Hart, because of their vast celebrity appeal and consequent flock, might be of the third type mentioned by Hitler in Mein Kampf, and not just self-policing, self-serving, controlled dissent. That, they might in fact be more like the skilled warrior described by Sun Tzu. That he, Alan Hart, is able to evaluate what he reads not based on which of his own heroes might have written it, or his own natural proclivity to favor his own a priori worldview as Bertrand Russell effectively described it, but what it's actually saying. If Alan is that man, then by reading part-2 and part-3 of my confusion series mentioned in this article, he will either be persuaded by it and will logically open that mighty book of justice on the right page himself. Or, he will refute it.

Short of any of that transpiring, to just respond to Alan Hart's largely rhetorical question embedded in the title of his article, there is no real Palestinian power – people or otherwise. Disenfranchised masses, *the wretched of the earth*, like any other mob, are only power in the hands of Machiavelli. This is self-evident. It is even a truism. In the case of Palestinians on ground zero, they stand at the threshold of annihilation while those in Diaspora look on. The only recourse for Palestinians today to overturn that dismal existential state of affairs they have been brought to, is to clean their own house first of their *house negroes* and *fifth columnists*[^33].

I could be wrong about everything in this article – judge for yourself. Don't let someone else do the thinking for you. If you, Jew or Gentile, are frustrated by the inefficacy of your labors while "*history's actors*"
continue to create new realities boldly inviting you “to just study what we do” to your good heart's content, look into opening the book on its most significant page.

Thank you for reading the words of a plebeian.

Zahir Ebrahim

**Footnotes**
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For the most recent edition of Modernity Reader and updates, see:

[30] Peter Schweizer, Noam Chomsky, Closet Capitalist, Hoover Institution Stanford University, January 30, 2006,
http://www.hoover.org/publications/hoover-digest/article/6222
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Chapter 38

Oligarchic Primacy and Zionism

How many Righteous Jews does it take to confuse me?

My experiments in confusion – Part-1

The answer: Only one. Let me explain:

'In this particular case, it took 50 of them…' -- How many Rabbis does it take to create a Racist State?

'JERUSALEM (AFP) -- Fifty Israeli rabbis have signed an open letter warning Jews not to rent or sell property to non-Jews, saying those who do should be "ostracized," a copy of the letter showed on Tuesday.' -- December 07, 2010 'Don't rent to non-Jews,' Israeli rabbis warn

'Keep in mind that it is the Israeli himself that is the foreigner…. a fact that is way too often over-
looked.' --- How many Rabbis does it take to create a Racist State? [a]

But not according to the article by Ari Bussel, 'Israelis, Haters of Israel', appearing on December 9, 2010 at the Zionists' Canada Free Press:

'The Muslims have successfully engaged in deceiving the Western World. Deceit is permitted by their religion, even encouraged if it helps them attain their goals (of spreading Islam and reaching global dominance). It seems there was no one who excelled in it better, in recent history, than Arafat himself. He created the notion of a “Palestinian People,” of some “Nationhood” and craving for Jerusalem as its “eternal capital.” In short, he stole the Jewish-Zionist two thousand year history and rewrote it into his own narrative.

So successful was Arafat, that hardly even three decades later, the world itself stands saluting the idea of a Palestinian Statehood (in the boundaries of what was once known as Israel), with a Right of Return of millions of Palestinians that were kept in refugee camps throughout the Arab world, and with Jerusalem as their eternal capital.'

So, it appears that we have Jews piously arguing among themselves who “is the foreigner” and who has more “successfully engaged in deceiving the Western World”.

Just as the Jews have argued pretty much about everything else under the sun from time immemorial.

Today, it spans the gamut from the invention of the Jewish peoples to the invention of the Palestinian peoples, from the King's Torah showing in how many ways the holy Jews can kill the unholy goy with Rabbinical blessings along with the holy Rabbis' latest Fatwa quoted
above, to how many ways to settle the land of Canaan, which, as Shimon Perez put it on the occasion of the 60th Birthday bash\textsuperscript{[1]} when welcoming George W. Bush to Tel Aviv's Ben Gurion Airport: "Welcome to the new Israel: Three thousand years old, and going on sixty", while they all continue to live on occupied lands granted by imperial fiat.

Imperial fiat? Yes. Both, through one of empire's own instrument of the UN to legally sanction the theft of Palestine, ahem, the 1948 birth of the Jewish State after gratuitously Declaring the bold intention to birth-pang that fact into existence several years prior in 1917, and subsequently, through empire's active and tacit support for de-facto colonization since 1967 by sewing incremental fait accompli, i.e., sowing hard realities on the ground which are then argued as "impractical" to reverse.

So, even when I understand imperial fiat as it is pathetically public knowledge, whose claims can I believe? The guy who claims Jewish people are invented, or the guy who claims Palestinian peoples are invented? The guy who claims "Israeli himself that is the foreigner", or the guy who claims the Palestinians "stole the Jewish-Zionist two thousand year history and rewrote it into his own narrative"?

What confusion!

Okay, some might say, I can try to think for myself.

But when I try to do that, I run into conundrums and observe rather bizarre and funny things.

For example, the funny thing that I observe in this instance, is that when the Jews argue so energetically either side of dissent or consent, they also continue to live on the lands of the Palestinian peoples.

They continue to pay their taxes and spend their earnings into the Palestinian people's oppressors' economy.

And they continue to lend full legitimacy to the oppressors of the Palestinian peoples by being part of the very system of oppression,
from economic to academic to military, by their very act of being there and spending their intellectual and physical earnings into that oppressive system, by their very act of carrying the oppressor state's identification papers, passport and travel documents, and by their very act of accepting the Jewish state's racial hospitality on the mere basis of their presumed Jewish bloodline, and most important of all, by their coming to live there eagerly when they were not themselves born there whereas those not of the right bloodline who were in fact born there are not permitted to live nor visit there!

And I find Palestinian peoples eagerly appreciating the support of these pious Jews in trying to liberate the Palestinians so very much!

I don't know about you, but I find that kinda bizarrely funny, at least in a Kafkaesque sort of way.

Here are two examples which illustrate this Kafkaesque humor more concretely than just the general empirical observations above which refer to no one in particular.

This first one is an example drawn from the late prof. Baruch Kimmerling, long time hero of many pious 'Left' Jews who glibly criticize their adopted homeland, the side which forcibly occupied Canaan most recently of course, from my essay: 'The endless trail of red herrings'.[2] This essay was written when prof. Kimmerling was alive, and a draft was emailed to him for his comments which he replied with stony silence.

--- Begin excerpt from 'The endless trail of red herrings'

Uri Avnery's confessional "I am an Israeli patriot," explains this enigma in as much clarity as the following gem from Baruch Kimmerling, another Israeli Patriot who calls Israel his land when he wasn't born there, and identifies himself in the oxymoronic category of "Jew, atheist, and Zionist" where the latter two may be consistent, but how does that pertain to being a Jew?
“As a Jew, an atheist and a Zionist, I have two memorial days in my country, Israel. One for the Holocaust and one for soldiers who fell in wars. I also have one day of celebration, the anniversary of the day Israel declared its statehood. [...] Independence Day is a holiday for me, but also an opportunity for intense self-introspection. A person needs a state and land, and this is my land, my homeland, despite the fact that I was not born here. I am proud of the unprecedented accomplishments of this country, and feel personally responsible for its failures, foolishness, injustice, evil, and its oppression of its citizens and residents (Jewish, Arab, and others) as well as of those who are defined and defined themselves as her enemies. I know that my holiday, a day of joy and pride for me, is a day of mourning and tragedy for some of Israel's citizens and, more so, for members of the Palestinian people everywhere. I know that as long as we, all Jews everywhere, do not acknowledge this, we will not be able to live here in safety, every man and woman under their vine and under their fig tree. Happy holidays, Israel.” (My Holiday, Their Tragedy, 2002.)

Disingenuous self interest once again? Neither calling unequivocally for abolishing the apartheid state (as far as I am aware, and if they have already done so elsewhere, I eat crow with pleasure). And neither extending to the displaced Palestinians the privileges they apportion for themselves in Israel – making it their home when not being born there (although Uri Avnery may well have been I don't know, I have never met him) when they don't accord it to those who indeed were and were kicked out by the very founding of the state which Kimmerling is so proudly calling his independence day. He does indeed magnanimously calls for Jews acknowledging the suffering of
the Palestinians so that he can live in peace in Israel, but not for remedying the injustice in the only just and moral way – but then, being an atheist, whence the source of morality? God is dead, Nietzsche is alive, and so are his mantle-bearing ubermensch! Witness it in his own essay the vacuous words without the concomitant unequivocal call to abolish apartheid and make it one homeland for those forcibly displaced by his independence day:

"The transformation of the Holocaust into a solely Jewish tragedy, as opposed to a universal event, only weakens its significance and its legitimacy, tarnishing us and the memory of the victims. Likewise, its unnecessary overuse by Jews in Israel and the rest of the world, particularly political bodies, has made the Holocaust banal. Above all, a provocative and dangerous approach has bought a place in our hearts: that Jews, as the victims of the Holocaust, are permitted to treat goyim however they want. Forceful and condescending, "anti-gentile-ism" is identical to criminal anti-Semitism. ... What can I do? A person is closer to his own friends, tribe, and people. Along with that, however, I cannot forget or refrain from mourning the victims of this bloody conflict and feel deep empathy with those who have suffered and still suffer as a result of the fatal encounter between Jews and Arabs in this land. I hope that the day will come when we will commemorate together and mourn together, Jews and Arabs alike, for all of the victims of the conflict. Only then will we be able to live together in this place in safety. ... I know that as long as we, all Jews everywhere, do not acknowledge this, we will not be able to live here in safety, every man and woman under their vine and under their fig tree." (My Holiday, Their Tragedy, 2002.)
I am sorry that I am less than impressed, despite the self-flagellation. “What can I do?” Kimmerling asks? Here are three immediate things a conscionable Israeli can do if he is a Moral-Activist (see example here): 1) Start a campaign to demand genuine justice – not mere words of contrition – by requiring the apartheid nature of the state and the "Berlin Wall" to be simultaneously demolished. 2) Stop paying taxes that contributes to the maintenance of the apartheid state. 3) As a conscionable person, leave Israel until such time that others who have more right to be there, on account of having being born there, and were forcibly evicted, are also allowed to return! To me, it appears that without any of the concomitant actions for Moral-Activism, the only reason Kimmerling calls for the recognition of the plight of the Palestinians is so that he and Zionist Jews like him can live in peace.

--- End excerpt from 'The endless trail of red herrings'

The second example is drawn from the recent new hero of many Palestinians, prof. Shlomo Sand, from my essay 'Palestine: The Struggle Forward'.[3]

--- Begin excerpt from 'Palestine: The Struggle Forward'

Recognizing such convolutions for what they are, is such a crucial and contemporary matter that it requires further elaboration. Professor Sholmo Sand is the new rage in the Palestinian town. Who hasn't heard of him or his book: The Invention of the Jewish People. He is a new hero among the Palestinians – well, among some at least, and like Professor Noam Chomsky before him, some excitedly carry him upon their head and shoulders just like they carry Professor Norm Finkelstein and many others. In fact, anyone from among the Jews who will sympathize with them becomes a new showcase for the Palestinians. Anna Baltzer is only the most recent example of that. Her leading performance with Dr. Mustafa Barghouti on American television left
much to be desired. It is deconstructed here. [18] The indiscriminate attachment to Jewish sympathizers of Palestinian plight and permitting them to become the leading spokespersons for the Palestinians has been great for ensuring that the Palestinian narrative before the Western public is also controlled by the Jews – even though they be most earnest in their show of sympathy. The “soft Zionists” on the “left” have largely set the boundaries, or the book-ends, for the discourse on resolving Israel-Palestine in the West. Only a colonized mind accepts the victimizers to be their liberators. This is also a rather murky area and it is not easy to always know where to draw the line. Or whether there should even be a line in an honest common struggle when one sees enormously courageous Jews of conscience laying down their own precious lives on a matter of principle, like those in the ISM bearing witness to crimes against humanity and being shot dead by the Israelis. But let's just stay with the imposing Jewish academic in this article.

Look what Professor Shlomo Sand says in the following interview – and incidentally, after reading this interview, I lost all interest in reading his book which doesn't contain anything new for me anyway beyond what was revealed in The Thirteenth Tribe: Khazar Jews – The revelation of another Jewish hoax, By Arthur Koestler, 1976. It can be read here. [19]

Shlomo Sand's statements in Ha'aretz, 21/03/2008, Shattering a 'national mythology' By Ofri Ilani, can be read here. [20]

Begin Excerpt

“My initial intention was to take certain kinds of modern historiographic materials and examine how they invented the 'figment' of the Jewish people. But when I began to confront the historiographic sources, I suddenly found contradictions. And then that urged me on: I started to work, without knowing where I would end up. I took primary sources and I tried to examine authors' references in the ancient period – what they wrote about conversion.”
“The supreme paradigm of exile was needed in order to construct a long-range memory in which an imagined and exiled nation-race was posited as the direct continuation of 'the people of the Bible' that preceded it,”

“I started looking in research studies about the exile from the land – a constitutive event in Jewish history, almost like the Holocaust. But to my astonishment I discovered that it has no literature. The reason is that no one exiled the people of the country. The Romans did not exile peoples and they could not have done so even if they had wanted to. They did not have trains and trucks to deport entire populations. That kind of logistics did not exist until the 20th century. From this, in effect, the whole book was born: in the realization that Judaic society was not dispersed and was not exiled.”

[Interviewer]: If the people was not exiled, are you saying that in fact the real descendants of the inhabitants of the Kingdom of Judah are the Palestinians?

“No population remains pure over a period of thousands of years. But the chances that the Palestinians are descendants of the ancient Judaic people are much greater than the chances that you or I are its descendants. The first Zionists, up until the Arab Revolt [1936-9], knew that there had been no exiling, and that the Palestinians were descended from the inhabitants of the land. They knew that farmers don't leave until they are expelled. Even Yitzhak Ben-Zvi, the second president of the State of Israel, wrote in 1929 that, 'the vast majority of the peasant farmers do not have their origins in the Arab conquerors, but rather, before then, in the Jewish farmers who were numerous and a majority in the building of the land.'”

[Interviewer] Why do you think the idea of the Khazar origins is so threatening?

“It is clear that the fear is of an undermining of the historic right to the land. The revelation that the Jews are not from Judea would ostensibly knock the legitimacy for our being here out from under us. Since the
beginning of the period of decolonization, settlers have no longer been able to say simply: 'We came, we won and now we are here' the way the Americans, the whites in South Africa and the Australians said. There is a very deep fear that doubt will be cast on our right to exist.”

End Excerpt

If Professor Sand himself argues that there is no such thing as a Jewish people, and the Arab Palestinians are the original inhabitants of Palestine, then on what basis does he say the following:

Begin Excerpt

[Interviewer] Is there no justification for this fear?

“No. I don't think that the historical myth of the exile and the wanderings is the source of the legitimization for me being here, and therefore I don't mind believing that I am Khazar in my origins. I am not afraid of the undermining of our existence, because I think that the character of the State of Israel undermines it in a much more serious way. What would constitute the basis for our existence here is not mythological historical right, but rather would be for us to start to establish an open society here of all Israeli citizens.” (emphasis added)

End Excerpt

It is common among this breed of scholarly Zionists – which is perhaps why they also remain light-years ahead of the Palestinians – to argue among themselves not just whether Palestinians are a people (as both Moshe Katsav, Israel's former President, and Raphael Eitan, former Chief of Staff of the IDF, have variously pondered; it can be read here [21]), but also whether even Jews are a people. It's even reported in the New York Times: Scholars Debate Roots of Yiddish, Migration of Jews, October 29, 1996, which can be read here. [22]

There is nothing new Professor Shlomo Sand has to offer Palestinians in the Zionist's endless cycle of their own myth-constructions and their own myth-destruction, except a new twisted justification for the invaders to continue to occupy Palestine, despite himself arguing that
he does not have any roots there! But wait, he is not packing up to leave as a matter of conscience, as a matter of principle, after learning all that truth about the myths he had been fed. Now, it is the new mantra of “establish an open society here of all Israeli citizens.”!

It's akin to a robber comes into my house, takes over on the pretext of an asinine justification that god gave this land to his ancestors and I am the illegal occupant of his house; me and my children spend all our lives trying to show that world that the robber is not only criminal taking over my house but also an expert liar; then, a few years later, the robbers' children and grandchildren create a different drama, some showcasing books variously showing a) that there is no god and “in the age of atheism, the Jewish people can no longer base its existence on God but only on itself alone, on its labor, on its land, and on its state”, and b) that even there is no Jewish people; but the current crop of legatees still want to stay in my house which he illegally occupied to start with?

Is that absurd? But not in Alice in Wonderland.

--- End excerpt from 'Palestine: The Struggle Forward'

I find these specific cases and those like them both perplexing and funny when I begin to think for myself. I don't rightly know what to make of it.

Perhaps it is easiest to forget such paradoxes, chalk them up to life's minor inconsistencies, and just move on?

It's evidently too costly to think anyway. It can cause one to lose valued friends and allies, comfort zones and treadmills, heroes and hero-worship.

But I would like to ask Jews who evidently think for themselves, what should I make of such funny conundrums? What do you make of them?
And since many respectable Palestinian thinkers demonstrate such sympathy and solidarity with Jewish dissent emanating from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem, perhaps I can ask them too what do they do with such paradoxes. Do they simply ignore them?

Or do they take the easy way out like me and seriously consider stopping the onerous burden of thinking for themselves? The Jews most eloquently think for both sides of the divide anyway, thus certainly sparing the Palestinians any trouble.

I am most confused!

How many Jews does it take to confuse me?

Certainly not 50 pious Rabbis hell bent on exercising their chosen people's imperatives.

That categorical imperative I can quite understand.

It draws upon the ancient custom known as 'might is right'.

All rational and independent thought, all moral sense, all common-sense, must ultimately bow before that categorical imperative by definition.

No, it takes only one moral Jew to confuse me.

One single Jew who lives in Israel, is not born there, and proclaims Palestinian rights!

I would, I believe, be considerably less confused if all the pious Jews not born in Palestine but still living there – just because they are Jews and were given priority to immigrate there on that basis alone over those Palestinians who were born there, whose parents and grandparents were born there and forcibly evicted under state sponsored terrorism, and prevented from returning to their own ancestral continuously inhabited place of birth by the same state sponsored terrorism – were to first vacate their own personal occupation of another's home and rightfully return back to where they came from, BEFORE they started clamoring for Palestinian rights. It might be less confusing, and also
more convincing, to those who dare to think independently.

I hope I may be forgiven this transgression of independent thought – it is surely a minefield and has confused the hell out of me – and I do believe the Rabbis have also forbidden the goy from thinking independently from the Jews precisely for that reason. We tend to get confused easily when we think on our own.

Isn't there also a clause in the King's Torah that any goy found thinking independently from the Jews should be immediately killed as he or she represents a potential threat to the very existence of the Jewish State? I do in fact recall statements from the King's Torah reproduced in several articles by other Jews including Gilad Atzmon's, that any goy who poses any threat to the legitimacy of the Jewish State, or to the Jews, can legally be killed by the Jews, preemptively.

Desire for such preemption, the killing of the goy in cold blood, in self-defense of course, was precisely expressed by Lawrence Kulak, writing for '5 Towns Jewish Times' 5tjt.com, 11/12/2008 (cached here[^4]):

' “Muslims believe in the literal interpretation of the Biblical doctrine of an eye for an eye, and they do not have respect for anything perceived as a lesser standard of justice. They killed our innocents, and unless we kill theirs, they will go on killing ours. The Torah, however, preaches a doctrine which, if implemented by the West, could finally put an end to all Islamic terror: If somebody is coming to kill you, rise up and kill him first.” '

Such humble attempt at independent thinking as demonstrated here, surely poses a threat to the very existence of the Jewish state – for what if hundreds of thousands of Jews of the 4.5 million living on usurped lands, choosing not to be damn hypocrites anymore, suddenly left the Jewish state and renounced their ill-gotten citizenship of the racist oppressive state?
What if that number swelled to a million? Two million? They all, or most of them anyway, retain their original nationalities and passports, and there is no practical difficulties for them returning back once they recognize the very immorality of their being there due to their race alone when those who were born there cannot return!

Lighting such a fire in the mind of moral Jewish men and moral Jewish women of Israel, their Metanoia, surely qualifies as a dire threat to the very existence of the pariah Jewish State?

And thus easily become their target of assassination – who can hide from the almighty Mossad hitman anywhere in the world if Victor Ostrovsky is to be believed?

Could such precariousness of independent thought possibly be the reason why Palestinians often like the Jews to think for them and thus never encounter such funny absurdities in all their struggles from the safety of their forced Diaspora?

Is this also why courageous Palestinian leaders on ground zero who shy not from taking a bullet to their brains from the Israeli soldier who may or may not fire at them for their physical defiance, somehow prefer to not be assassinated for sure by Mossad for demonstrating their intellectual defiance?

For, these brave Palestinians evidently prefer to be accompanied by a beautiful Jewish voice, and principally permit her to plead for the Palestinians, as this chap, Dr. Mustafa Barghouti, did on prime time television. As I said, I get easily confused when trying to think for myself. From coast to coast, and continent to continent, excited Palestinians and their Jewish supporters cheered that finally the Palestinians' plight was heard on mainstream American television from a most beautiful and most eloquent American Jew who had suddenly woken up to the grotesque reality in the Holy lands and therefore had no reason to be biased. In fact, the narrative of the Jewish voice was almost mirrored by the Palestinian leader as well, sharing all the same axioms with precision. I must evidently be a very lonely
fool to have tried this experiment of actually thinking for myself, because I came away rather confused by all this amidst the roaring applause of the supporters. That episode is narrated at length in 'Rescuing a Failed Struggle From Its Narratives'.[5]

I could go on and on about my strange experiments with independent thinking. But I'll just end now. I will sheepishly admit though that I certainly used to enjoy life much better when I permitted others to think for me. My confusions then were much less about absurdities and almost entirely about whom to believe. It didn't matter if they were Jews, or Muslims, Christians, or atheists. Even a monkey was fine provided it came wearing a robe with 'expert' tattooed upon its forehead. I only had to choose from among the many competing experts, often going with the ones who represented my a priori world views the best. I never needed to forge my own thoughts independently.

Now, even a single moral Jew can send me off into deep convulsions of Kafkaesque proportions, as does most every other expert I encounter. Perhaps I am going about this free-thinking business all wrong? Perhaps there is some happy halfway compromise to fully independent thinking which will also help me gain friends and influence people?

So, thanks in advance for any enlightenment, and corrections to my method.

Footnotes
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Chapter 39

Oligarchic Primacy and Zionism

How many Leftist Jews does it take to confuse me? – The Endless trail of red herrings

February 28, 2007

In reference to the interestingly titled and revealing commentary by Israeli peace activist Uri Avnery, “Facing Mecca” published by Media Monitors Network (http://usa.mediamonitors.net/content/view/full/40967) and picked up by several others including The Baltimore Chronicle on February 19, 2007, I wanted to pen my own humble thoughts down to suggest that the trail of red herrings is long, endless, and quite distinguished.

“Impracticality” due to the “existent reality on the ground” is often used as a fait accompli argument for any other resolution to the long festering Israel-Palestine blot on humanity for the suffering that it is needlessly inducing upon the indigenous peoples, except the much ar-
ticulated two state abstract solution as theoretically dictated by the Israeli government and the key power brokers and vested interests allied to it. And even in this constricted solutions space, it is frequently used to nuance what is practicably realizable given the “existent reality on the ground”, and what isn't.

While the world silently spectates the immense suffering that the occupation continues to bring upon an innocent peoples, the Israelis keep seeding the land with new reality on the ground which too then becomes “impractical” to undo and becomes new leveraging points in any subsequent peace talks - take 10 and give back 1 if the Palestinians behave, then repeat! This reality formally got constructed in 1948 and is continually being constructed as we speak, at each turn becoming impractical to undo requiring the victims to continually having to accommodate to the new reality for peace settlement, because true justice is now deemed "impractical".

An interesting argument, this “impracticality”.

Or is it indeed also a deliberate deception and red herring of the kind related by the “Israeli Patriot” in “Facing Mecca”?

'The British call this a "red herring" - a smelly fish that a fugitive drags across the path in order to put the pursuing dogs off the trail.

WHEN I was young, Jewish people in Palestine used to talk about our secret weapon: the Arab refusal. Every time somebody proposed some peace plan, we relied on the Arab side to say "no". True, the Zionist leadership was against any compromise that would have frozen the existing situation and halted the momentum of the Zionist enterprise of expansion and settlement. But the Zionist leaders used to say "yes" and "we extend our hand for peace" - and rely on the Arabs to scuttle the proposal.

That was successful for a hundred years, until Yasser
Arafat changed the rules, recognized Israel and signed the Oslo Accords, which stipulated that the negotiations for the final borders between Israel and Palestine must be concluded not later than 1999. To this very day, those negotiations have not even started. Successive Israeli governments have prevented it because they were not ready under any circumstances to fix final borders. (The 2000 Camp David meeting was not a real negotiation - Ehud Barak convened it without any preparation, dictated his terms to the Palestinians and broke the dialogue off when they were refused.) [...] 

The panic had immediate results: "political circles" in Jerusalem announced that they rejected the Mecca agreement out of hand. Then second thoughts set in. Shimon Peres, long established master of the "yes-but-no" method, convinced Olmert that the brazen "no" must be replaced with a more subtle "no". For this purpose, the red herring was again taken out of the freezer. '

But while Uri Avnery exposes some red herrings very eloquently and quite courageously in this article, he does not explain how the same concept was still at play even at Oslo - an unacceptable proposal in reality that no self-respecting people would have willing accepted - and that despite its unacceptability, Yasser Arafat had indeed accepted it, leading to the detachment of the late Edward Said from it eventually as the realization dawned regarding the true nature of the peace plan and he insisted that no justice could be had in peace talks between unequals (see his own words here, here, here, here).

However, the observation of "yes-but-no" method of the disingenuous Israeli peace making overtures is indeed based on empirical reality. Should I applaud this courageous activist for outright admitting it for the benefit of the American and Western audience? This reality of du-
plicity is quite known to the recipients of its largess, but unfortunately quite unknown to those who innocently ally themselves to the cause of Israel in the West and wonder why the Palestinians are so moronically recalcitrant to all the generous overtures by Israel and don't want peace!

Are the arguments of "impracticality" also similar red herrings that continually defy justice being brought to bear on the issue?

This is the purpose of my essay, to explore "impracticality" to achieving justice and its concomitant harvest of peace, as opposed to the continual mantra of peace with "impracticality" as impediments to reaching fair and just solutions that are as obvious and as ignored by the power brokers and their allied vested interests as a black African elephant in the ivory white bridal suite sitting right in the middle of the newlywed's bed.

Indeed, why not apply "impracticality" to all issues of injustices? It's indeed highly "impractical" to bring about a change in any status quo! That did not stop South Africa to be abolished as an apartheid state, nor did it stop severe punitive sanctions and boycotts and divestments to be imposed on it, with South Africa perennially being highlighted before the world in the press and media and by the outspoken commentators and intellectuals as a pariah state, before the abhorrent apartheid was forced to end there through the courageous struggle of its own indigenous peoples directly supported by the international community (with few exceptions, the most notable being some in the United States - see incumbent US Vice President Dick Cheney's voting record when he was in Congress on the resolution to free Nelson Mandela); and nor did it prevent the tea from being thrown overboard by a handful of patriots who are today venerated as the founders of a superpower nation. All very impractical acts as seen from the comfortable living rooms of the pundits. That is not to say that ending Apartheid has ended poverty in South Africa, or automatically created economic equity. The struggle still continues on, as it even does in the United States of America itself to create a fairer society, as one can
glean from all the movements of the preceding century, Civil Rights, Labor Rights, Women's Rights, etc. But the key enabler is the tumultuous axiomatic construction of the state which must precede any incremental changes in realizing economic and social benefits. Such an axiomatic construction transpired for the United States of America by the writing of its seeding Constitution after the tea was thrown overboard, and for South Africa by outright abolishing apartheid after a long struggle where the calls for its dismantling preceded its abolishment by many decades, and most vociferously by the first Statesman of the New South Africa, Nelson Mandela.

One could argue that while one waits for the justice based "impractical" solution to transpire, should one allow those suffering the injustices of oppression and inhuman subjugation, to continue doing so in the interim, or should one aim for any quick compromised "practical" solution that alleviates their misery? One of the finest red herrings thrown on the “fugitive .. trail” yet! When the question is posited in this way, it wonderfully co-opts the preeminence of morality over "impracticality" in intellectual thought by artificially constructing a false either or choice in the best mold of “either you are with us, or against us”.

In reality, there are two rather straightforward truism responses to this that must coexist concurrently. The first is the moral response of the intellectual that is independent of the efficacy of its realization. This moral response is essential for identifying 'the right thing to do' space for the society as its moral compass.

The second is the “policy” response, so to speak. This is concerned with the efficacy of the measures required to bring injustices to a halt in any practical measure, while being cognizant of the path shown by the moral compass of the nation, and perhaps also being influenced by it rather than by some other distorted compass of the "high priests" of the ruling elite. Bringing "policies" to bear upon the problem space is a political advocacy process, a social activism process, a grass-roots mobilization process, a revolutionary process, and in a democratic
country like the United States of America, it is entirely a lobbying process, a seeding of the "right" thoughts in "Foreign Affairs" process, getting hands and feet and souls dirty process, and even waging an all out war on WMD pretexts to eradicate oppression and injustices of one's own vested interests process!

The twain, "moral compassing" and "policy making", are not mutually exclusive. Indeed, the former must precede the latter in order to create the desired "policy advocacy" in society in the first place that can eventually seed the desired "policy making". Let me just refer to this bit of rational commonsense that derives from a moral sense of justice and fair play, as the principle of Moral-Activism.

And the same persons don't necessarily have to be doing both at the same time, i.e. "moral compassing" and "policy making". For instance, the abolitionists clamored largely theoretically in their intellectual writings and speeches for the abolition of slavery a good thirty years before an advocacy policy got crafted (due to whatever reasons of expediency and political forces), and the latter drew upon the former for the doctrinal motivations to create the momentum that launched the American Civil War against slavery. The example of South Africa cited earlier on the other hand is a more virtuous example of the principle of Moral-Activism. It is one where "moral compassing" and the ground-floor activism and protest manifested in many of the same peoples simultaneously. Among them, Bishop Desmond Tutu, and the incredibly famous and respected world Statesman, Nelson Mandela, who spent 27 years in prison for his unequivocal advocacy on the firm moral principles to end apartheid. During this tenure in the "Gulag", he did not compromise because his people were suffering. Indeed, he was offered many such compromises, and shown many "practical" alternatives for being let out of Jail and for the temporary band aid relief of his peoples if he'd only give up his unequivocal moral call to end apartheid. Had he been co-opted at the time by this red-herring of "practical", and had he not had firm moorings in the moral-compassing of his own conscience that was the impetus be-
hind his Moral-Activism, there'd be no new South Africa today.

Knowing the 'right thing to do space' in order to pursue an advocacy that is principled, even when the struggle may be long and arduous, is a simple straightforward truism that somehow seems to get lost when it comes to Israel-Palestine. I am sorry if the principle of Moral-Activism escapes all the "dissenting priests" in the entire Western Hemisphere. The red herrings they strew about with what's "practical" without any moral foundations - perhaps unwittingly for having followed their own compromised "super dissenting priests" who never laid out the "moral compass" on this issue for their flock due to their own reprehensible self-interests - has been the death of an innocent peoples. Literally speaking. And I am sure they still sleep soundly at night!

So why am I not enthusiastically applauding Uri Avnery, the prominent and respected leader of Gush Shalom, Israel's peace activists, for exposing Israel's hypocrisy before the West? The answer depends on why is a similar argument for abolishing Israel as an apartheid state, as was made for South Africa, conclusively ending its Zionist reign of monumental terror and obscurantism (see here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, and here), and making that country one uniform nation with equal rights for all its inhabitants (and keeping any name, even Israel, or in fairness and acceptance of a genuinely contrite mea culpa, calling it Israel-Palestine or Palestine-Israel, or indeed Palestine), not being brought up by Uri Avnery? Where is the principled Moral-Activism in his advocacy?

The most à propos model for the reconstruction of this anachronistic apartheid-racist Zionist state in the holy lands is indeed South Africa. The incredible parallels have been discussed by many over the years as cited above in the long reading list for those unfamiliar with the subject matter, and need not be rehearsed again. Had these moral calls been vociferously made 50 years ago, 40 years ago, 30 years ago, 20 years ago, 10 years ago, after 911, and had the "dissenting priests"
seeded the moral compass of the peoples by unequivocally demanding divestment, demanding sanctions, and demanding an end to the apartheid and racism ingrained in Zionism and hence in its Zionist state, this moral compassing would have surely seeded an activism that was principled, and we may have already seen the Palestinian tragedy very pragmatically reversed.

Were it not for the vested interests of the high priests and their various incantations that stayed mum, and are still mum on the subject. It is one thing to expect the "high priests" of the ruling elite to take these conscientable moralistic positions and be disappointed. It is quite another to have the "dissenting priests" also lead their flock to the same pastures, albeit through a more curious route! These vested-interests from influence peddlers have to be shoved aside to seed the roots of justice in any system of injustices, as the history of the world informs us to this day!

Here are some additional counter perspectives to the two-state solution from another Israeli Jew (turned Christian), Israel Shamir, who does not buy the "impracticality" red herring, nor Ben Gurion's disingenuous “It is true God promised it to us” nonsense, and argues a moral position unequivocally, at http://www.israelshamir.net/.

I once met Israel Shamir, curious to learn if he was for real or just another red-herring for clever deflection of conscientable peoples' efforts. What little I discovered from his autobiographical and very personal public speech that I attended at a local university a few years ago where he noted “Jews need a homeland [in Palestine] as much as fish need bicycles”, made me realize that not all Israelis are blink sighted - that moral traditions are still alive among them! Just that there are too few of these outspoken precious gems (here is another whose family even gave up their Israeli citizenship by choice as victims of their own conscience when they woke up from their Zionist slumber, once again demonstrating that actions speak louder than laments)! Each of them often tends to acquire the magic instantly affixing label of "self-hating Jew", and their political positions conveni-
ently labeled anti-Semitic. See here and here on how this label is dexterously manufactured and deployed to discredit anyone who disagrees with either the official position of Zionism, or presents other milder variants of it, apportioning for themselves the vehemence of the Zionists in commensurate amounts!

Why does Uri Avnery indeed stop short of suggesting dismantling of the Israeli Zionist Apartheid state and making it one democratic equitable state for all its inhabitants? Indeed, by the admission of Israel's own founding patriots:

“Jewish villages were built in the place of Arab villages. You do not even know the names of these Arab villages, and I do not blame you because geography books no longer exist. Not only do the books not exist, the Arab villages are not there either. Nahal arose in the place of Mahlul; Kibbutz Gvat in the place of Jibta; Kibbutz Sarid in the place of Huneifis; and Kefar Yehushua in the place of Tal al-Shuman. There is not a single place built in this country that did not have a former Arab population.” Moshe Dayan: Haaretz, April 4, 1969. (noted from the web, Dayan was probably quoting Ben Gurion from the 'The Jewish Paradox')

Where are his moral stances? Is he confused about the "right thing to do" as well? Doesn't seem likely, as unlike the American and other European audience, he sees the reality and history on the ground from ground zero itself. Perhaps he may be reminded that if he claims his Jewish religion as a race, he may well be the inheritor of King Solomon the wise! And if he claims it as his faith (and is not an atheist like the majority of the European culturally Jewish immigrant inhabitants of Israel, see confessional writings such as "My Holiday, Their Tragedy"), then he is indeed the inheritor of the moral Ten Commandments of his lofty faith. But if he is only informed by cultural affiliation to the Jewish traditions, he is still a human being first and still
the inheritor of the genuine wisdom of all the sages of the ages! Why this blind-sight, especially being an activist for peace? Is it not also activist for justice? If he can forget about the crimes of his own founding fathers “I am prepared to leave the history, ideology and theology of the matter to the theologians, ideologues and historians.”, and “If somebody is ready to make peace with me, within borders and on conditions agreed upon in negotiations, that is quite enough for me.”, why stop short of full restitution and all live in peace within the same borders within which they all rightfully belong - Jews, Christians, and Muslims?

Indeed, if it were the victims who had made these conciliatory statements, these lofty proclamations would surely have elevated humanity to a new level of compassion and forgiveness in putting the past behind them - a mighty indomitable peoples indeed, as resolute in their suffering, as magnanimous in their victory. These statements coming from the victimizers however, while to many in the West may be commendable, to me, for a conscionable activist of peace whom I also admire for his immense courage to continually speak out against the crimes of his own peoples, are quite indefensible, and downright disingenuous! Perhaps I may have missed something here, but it strikes me as rather odd that the occupier is claiming he is prepared to live amicably with the victims under secure borders. It is almost as if a thief broke into my house, locked me up in the bathroom, then when I made too much racket, he said he was willing to live peacefully in some well defined rooms in the house! I am sorry if no one sees the irony of this!

Uri Avnery's confessional “I am an Israeli patriot,” explains this enigma in as much clarity as the following gem from Baruch Kimmerling, another Israeli Patriot who calls Israel his land when he wasn't born there, and identifies himself in the oxymoronic category of “Jew, atheist, and Zionist” where the latter two may be consistent, but how does that pertain to being a Jew?

“As a Jew, an atheist and a Zionist, I have two me-
morial days in my country, Israel. One for the Holocaust and one for soldiers who fell in wars. I also have one day of celebration, the anniversary of the day Israel declared its statehood. [...] Independence Day is a holiday for me, but also an opportunity for intense self-introspection. A person needs a state and land, and this is my land, my homeland, despite the fact that I was not born here. I am proud of the unprecedented accomplishments of this country, and feel personally responsible for its failures, foolishness, injustice, evil, and its oppression of its citizens and residents (Jewish, Arab, and others) as well as of those who are defined and defined themselves as her enemies. I know that my holiday, a day of joy and pride for me, is a day of mourning and tragedy for some of Israel's citizens and, more so, for members of the Palestinian people everywhere. I know that as long as we, all Jews everywhere, do not acknowledge this, we will not be able to live here in safety, every man and woman under their vine and under their fig tree. Happy holidays, Israel.” (My Holiday, Their Tragedy, 2002.)

Disingenuous self interest once again? Neither calling unequivocally for abolishing the apartheid state (as far as I am aware, and if they have already done so elsewhere, I eat crow with pleasure). And neither extending to the displaced Palestinians the privileges they apportion for themselves in Israel - making it their home when not being born there (although Uri Avnery may well have been I don't know, I have never met him) when they don't accord it to those who indeed were and were kicked out by the very founding of the state which Kimmerling is so proudly calling his independence day. He does indeed magnanimously calls for Jews acknowledging the suffering of the Palestinians so that he can live in peace in Israel, but not for rem-
edying the injustice in the only just and moral way - but then, being an atheist, whence the source of morality? God is dead, Nietzsche is alive, and so are his mantle-bearing ubermensch! Witness it in his own essay the vacuous words without the concomitant unequivocal call to abolish apartheid and make it one homeland for those forcibly displaced by his independence day:

“The transformation of the Holocaust into a solely Jewish tragedy, as opposed to a universal event, only weakens its significance and its legitimacy, tarnishing us and the memory of the victims. Likewise, its unnecessary overuse by Jews in Israel and the rest of the world, particularly political bodies, has made the Holocaust banal. Above all, a provocative and dangerous approach has bought a place in our hearts: that Jews, as the victims of the Holocaust, are permitted to treat goyim however they want. Forceful and condescending, "anti-gentile-ism" is identical to criminal anti-Semitism. ... What can I do? A person is closer to his own friends, tribe, and people. Along with that, however, I cannot forget or refrain from mourning the victims of this bloody conflict and feel deep empathy with those who have suffered and still suffer as a result of the fatal encounter between Jews and Arabs in this land. I hope that the day will come when we will commemorate together and mourn together, Jews and Arabs alike, for all of the victims of the conflict. Only then will we be able to live together in this place in safety. ... I know that as long as we, all Jews everywhere, do not acknowledge this, we will not be able to live here in safety, every man and woman under their vine and under their fig tree.”

I am sorry that I am less than impressed, despite the self-flagellation. “What can I do?” Kimmerling asks? Here are three immediate things
a conscionable Israeli can do if he is a Moral-Activist (see example here): 1) Start a campaign to demand genuine justice - not mere words of contrition - by requiring the apartheid nature of the state and the "Berlin Wall" to be simultaneously demolished. 2) Stop paying taxes that contributes to the maintenance of the apartheid state. 3) As a conscionable person, leave Israel until such time that others who have more right to be there, on account of having being born there, and were forcibly evicted, are also allowed to return! To me, it appears that without any of the concomitant actions for Moral-Activism, the only reason Kimmerling calls for the recognition of the plight of the Palestinians is so that he and Zionist Jews like him can live in peace.

Thus, what might any conscionable self-respecting Palestinian conclude from this? Apart from the cynicism that is now ingrained in the Middle East of this stereotype: they will first plan to kill you with a design most brutal, and then come to your funeral lamenting “We can forgive them for killing our children, we cannot forgive them for making us kill theirs” as was noted by Israeli Prime Minister Golda Meir, in order to win back their rights as human beings first from their monstrous oppressors who only think of themselves first and not of the abject suffering that is being unfolded right down the Jews-only highway from them, and who continue to maintain that “A person is closer to his own friends, tribe, and people.” rather than demonstrate any genuine sympathy towards the sufferings of others at their own hands, they (the Palestinians) have to make the cost of occupation so exorbitant, that the next clarion call from people like Kimmerling would indeed have to be a demand for full restitution of the Palestinians so that he could indeed live in peace!

Also, let's not be fooled either that simply declaring Israel as a non-apartheid state with a change in its laws as well as national flag will solve all the problems for the Palestinians, but it will be an amazing welcoming start from the present day inhuman oppression that the world silently spectates. The economic hegemony of the European transplants into Israel and its high tech economy all in the hands of the
Jews, will likely stay the same - rights do not equate prosperity, but is indeed an axiomatic start. Witness South Africa - its economy and its lands are still largely in the hands of the tiny white minority, and the majority black indigenous population still lives in abject poverty. But one has to begin somewhere - the place to begin is the laws on the books, the constitution, and the philosophy of equal rights for all its citizens regardless of caste, creed, sex, religion, and ethnicity. How can any nation, founded on these lofty principles itself, befriend and support a nation that is its exact opposite? Only politics and self-interests of its ruling elite - as in the case of all cases of injustices in society since the very inception of society!

It is indeed interesting to identify all those "intellectuals", "moralists", "historians", "scholars", and high profile pundits and prolific exponents who argue either "impracticality" or "Palestinian intransigence" or offer vacuous sympathy, to either continue to propose the severely compromised for one side, the two-state theoretical solution along 1967 borders as their gesture of "fairness" and "compassion", or continue to argue for the occupation because of docile unacceptability of occupation to those being occupied.

Identify all of these exponents of Israel, not very hard to do at all in this information age, and examine their own vested interests and/or affiliations because of which they shirk from taking the only genuinely moral and just position of dismantling the apartheid state of Israel into an equal state for all its denizens born there. If they support open immigration based only on the Jewish "race" or "faith" cards, and deny right of return, fair compensation (ask the Holocaust survivors for a quote of what that might be and what Israel extracts each year from Germany), and rehabilitation in their own ancestral lands for the displaced and dispossessed indigenous Palestinians and their children and grandchildren, and present themselves as "objective" erudite observers of the matter, the question must be asked by conscientable peoples on the morality and vested self interests of this doublespeak that seems to be gathering roaring applause in the liberal Left! It con-
tinually escapes everyone's imagination to keep the diabolical game of Zionism in perspective - buy time to seed the land with birth rights, and continual small incremental encroachments, and systematic de-population through intense oppression such that the victims would give up, die away, or become abject slaves!

And similarly identify all those who prominently accept the 1967 border solution - crafted any which horrendous way as inhabitable bantustans forming no semblance of an independent nation-state with all the same rights and privileges as any other independent nation-state, including having a well equipped modern army, navy, air force, marines for self-defense, and own commerce and independent ingress and egress trade and movement points in and out of their nation-state for an independent economy and freedom of travel, just to point out two major gaping holes in all two-state solution proposals that have been put on the table - from the beleaguered side and ask whether they do so because by choice, or because of having had no choice in the matter and only wanting to just get to any peaceable solution, justice or not, so that some beleaguered peoples may live in some kind of semblance of peace as human beings first, and not as trampled sub-species of some "cockroaches" under the watchful gun turrets of Israeli sharp shooters mounted atop the 14-ft high apartheid wall that runs through their bedrooms and backyards! This sub-species classification for the Palestinians was created by the Israelis themselves - shocking? Read for yourselves [1]:

“We declare openly that the Arabs have no right to settle on even one centimeter of Eretz Israel ... Force is all they do or ever will understand. We shall use the ultimate force until the Palestinians come crawling to us on all fours.” and “When we have settled the land, all the Arabs will be able to do about it will be to scurry around like drugged cockroaches in a bottle.”

Raphael Eitan, Chief of Staff of the IDF: “New York Times 14 April 1983”. (noted from the web)
Unless the vested interests are clearly and unmistakably disambiguated, the red herrings will continue to be strewn along all paths - deliberately or unwittingly makes no difference to one on the “fugitive” trail - to constrict the solution space to the exclusive benefit of one party and to the severe handicap of the other, until either Ben Gurion's call is realized: “We must do everything to insure they (the Palestinians) never do return ... The old will die and the young will forget.”, or General Shlomo Lahat's: “We have to kill all the Palestinians unless they are resigned to live here as slaves”. And that is indeed the reality of Israel-Palestine today as it has always been since its bloody and brutal inception 60 years ago, and intensely accelerated after the 1967 military occupation of the West Bank and Gaza strip.

Even the commonsensical proposition of why the Palestinians would ever accept an occupier was echoed by the very founding father of this Nakba for the victims (except at the barrel of a gun continuously held to their lives to slowly wear them down while continually playing the diabolical game of “yes but no” to mitigate international pressures as the systematic task of squeezing the victims goes on in the background seeding new realities daily that perforce must subsequently be articulated as axiomatic “The Palestinians' return could be implemented in ways that minimize, rather than exacerbate, the disruption for Israelis living in the areas.”):

“If I were an Arab leader, I would never sign an agreement with Israel. It is normal; we have taken their country. It is true God promised it to us, but how could that interest them? Our God is not theirs. There has been Anti-Semitism, the Nazis, Hitler, Auschwitz, but was that their fault? They see but one thing: we have come and we have stolen their country. Why would they accept that?” (Ben Gurion in “The Jewish Paradox”)

“Let us not ignore the truth among ourselves ... politically we are the aggressors and they defend them-
selves... The country is theirs, because they inhabit it, whereas we want to come here and settle down, and in their view we want to take away from them their country.” (Ben Gurion, presumably quoted by Noam Chomsky in Fateful Triangle, noted from the web)

From the very conception of founding of Israel by Herzl in 1896 on the banks of the river Rhine “In Basle I founded the Jewish state ... Maybe in five years, certainly in fifty, everyone will realize it.”, to this very day, the battle cry of anti-Semitism has been diabolically harvested (see here), and sometimes even criminally (see here and here), to justify Zionism and its offspring 'Der Judenstaat'. But in the reality of today, the Jewish state is an anachronism of history, a perception that legitimized it in the minds of the followers of this Zionist idea when indeed anti-Semitism was rampant in Christian Europe. Today, never mind European anti-Semitism, there are now laws appearing on the books in Europe that even criminalizes the mere questioning of the history as related by the Zionists to the world's public. Thus, the Zionist Jews are now pretty safe from any further persecution from Christian Europe, and there is little reason to maintain the Zionist character of the state in Palestine when it comes at the expense of intense suffering and injustice to another innocent peoples already living there. It would hardly matter to anyone if 'Der Judenstaat' was moved to Europe somewhere, compensation that it was for the pain and suffering imposed on the innocent Jews by the fanatic Christians of the previous century - unfortunately, the compensation was offered them at another's expense.

But today, it is high time to rectify and redress that blot on humanity by the very European and Western nations who now proclaim themselves as the emblem of civilization and morality and beacon of human progress and learning. Perhaps they can spotlight this beacon onto their own first sins and help redress the calamitous suffering that is transpiring right under their very noses on an entirely innocent peoples as a result of their own creation - both the first innocent vic-
tims, and then as a result of their shoddy compensation for their monumental crimes to those victims, the new innocent victims. Some luminous civilization out to teach the rest of the world how to live in civilized modernity as it continually constructs new victims!

And it is indeed instructional to learn of the sorrows and calamitous suffering from the perspective of the victims themselves, an oft neglected sin in the West which prides itself in its own articulate description of the World's victims and in unfurling the crimes of their own hegemonic emperors by writing prolific books and touting their much wonted freedom of speech - to absolutely zero degree of efficacy except more books sold and more prominence gained - rather than listen to the victims themselves with as much credibility lent to their own suffering voices.

Somehow, the victim screaming in pain is considered biased, but their victimizers' description of their plight is academic honesty and intellectual brilliance! I don't think I really need to hear it from Noam Chomsky to know how Palestinians are suffering, although his conscientious exposure of their plight in the West is certainly very important, and has been so for many years - but his half baked two-state proposals for their solution-space ain't.

When we give higher currency to conscientious dissent makers whose prime cultural affiliations are with the victim makers themselves, over those voices of anguish of the victims and those with cultural and civilizational affiliations to the victims as their extended family, we do both the victims and other well intentioned bystanders longing to figure out how to make peace with justice, a great disservice!

Here is another example of this twisted view of justice even by well intentioned exponents of the Palestinians' rights but civilizationally and culturally allied with the victimizers: "Palestinians Have A Right To Go Home" by the earnest, vocal, and conscientious Phyllis Bennis of the Institute of Policy Studies. After passionately arguing the Right of Return for the Palestinians in the abstract:
“Palestinians today make up one of every four refugees in the world. Their right to return to their homes, despite more than a 52-year delay in realizing that right, is no less compelling than the right to return home of any other refugees from any other war. International law is very clear: It doesn't matter which side wins or which side loses, after a war, refugees have the right to go home. The United Nations passed Resolution 194 (which the U.S. and every other U.N. member state except Israel voted to reaffirm each year from 1949 till 1994) specifically to make sure that those made refugees by the creation of Israel would be protected. And yet Israel specifically rejects that right of return because of concern that allowing the Palestinian refugees to come home would change the demographic balance of the Jewish state.”

But now look at the disingenuousness of the solution space. An absence to any call to eliminate the main reason why the Right of Return is not being implemented by Israel - it's apartheid nature of the Jewish state which has been diabolically constructed on another peoples' land where the indigenous population was predominantly non-Jewish! The "just" solution escapes Phyllis Bennis even when she acknowledges the cause of the problem in this case.

And she also surveys the various implementation attempts by others:

"Is compromise possible? Absolutely. But only if it is based on recognition of the right of return as a real, fundamental right - not if it is based on Israel's superior power. Israel's proposal during the recent Camp David summit for a "humanitarian" family reunification program that would benefit only a few tens of thousands, out of the millions of stateless Palestinians, is one compromise that will surely not work. Another sure-to-fail compromise is the proposal being
quietly bandied about in Washington and a variety of Middle Eastern capitals. This plan envisions a quid pro quo in which Baghdad would resettle many of the Palestinians (with or without their consent) from refugee camps in Lebanon to Kurdish areas of Iraq (from which equally unconsenting Kurds are already being expelled), in exchange for lifting the crippling economic sanctions against Iraq. Publicly denied by the relevant governments, the plan has in fact been discussed with Iraqi officials by the representative of at least one member of the U.S. Congress, and a number of Arab leaders are known to privately support the idea. This is a non-starter too.”

But then makes this statement as her own suggestion:

“Real compromise is possible in determining how, not whether, the right of return will be realized. The Palestinians' return could be implemented in ways that minimize, rather than exacerbate, the disruption for Israelis living in the areas.”

Why this axiomatic preference to minimize “the disruption for Israelis living in the areas” – they are the victimizers to start with, aren't they? [2]

Instead, why does the earnest author not make the only conscionable call of Moral-Activism to abolish the apartheid state as the only just first step in the right direction?

The same is true of Noam Chomsky – while he supported the sanctions on Apartheid South Africa, he is against sanctions for Israel. Why should the vested interests of those civilizationally, culturally, and religio-historically allied with the victimizers, despite being courageously vocal in bringing the plight of the innocent victims to the attention of their own nations, be allowed to dictate, and dominate the articulation of the solution space on behalf of the victims? I am sorry
if no one sees the irony in this!

Indeed, Chomsky has himself informed many victims themselves, as well as the Western audience, of the pragmatic underpinnings of the terror that was ruthlessly employed in creating the Jewish State. In his "Western State Terrorism", in Chapter 2, Chomsky writes:

'In 1943, current Prime Minister Yitzhak Shamir wrote an article entitled “Terror” for the journal of the terrorist organization he headed (Lehi) in which he proposed to “dismiss all the 'phobia' and babble against terror with simple, obvious arguments.” “Neither Jewish morality nor Jewish tradition can be used to disallow terror as a means of war,” he wrote, and “We are very far from any moral hesitations when concerned with the national struggle.” “First and foremost, terror is for us a part of the political war appropriate for the circumstances of today, and its task is a major one: it demonstrates in the clearest language, heard throughout the world, including by our unfortunate brethren outside the gates of this country, our war against the occupier.”'

Where the “occupier” was either the British, or the indigenous Palestinian population, or both, I am not sure. Neither were however spared the wrath of Jewish terror in the creation of the Jewish State, and the Palestinians bearing the biggest brunt of it. So Chomsky is not a stranger to the monumental crimes of Zionist Jews visiting the Nakba upon the innocent local peoples of Palestine, that Kimmerling proudly calls his “independence day”. Neither is Chomsky any stranger to how anti-Semitism was deftly harvested to populate the new Jewish State, with the escaping Jews from Europe being cleverly diverted to the intended Jewish State in Palestine all throughout the 1940s even before the state was founded. As he has himself noted it somewhere in his prolific writings, the affluent ones and the techno-scientists and the Jewish social elite escaping from the Nazis were
allowed onto the shores of the United States, the rest were deliberately diverted to Palestine.

And Chomsky's "pragmatic" response to this genocide and mayhem of the local population during the founding of the Jewish State? *All modern nations are formed on the unfortunate bloodshed of millions, the United States itself was formed on the blood of 10 million natives, and so on. This is all fait accomplis. So we have to move on and live among our internationally recognized secure borders according to international norms.* (Précis of private communication from a while back)

Great. And here is where the red herring begins. Higher the priesthood, more tortuous the red herrings.

Chomsky does not distinguish between a crime that happened in the distant past that we can do little about today in rectification, and one that is occurring concurrently in our present epoch for which we can most assuredly do something in rectification, and for which a just and moral solution does indeed exist. It has not receded into dusty pages of history far enough yet to have become a fait accompli that cannot be practicably undone - such as returning California to Mexico.

Today, Israel is the only nation on earth as far as I know, with no self-recognized borders except the entire 'land of Canaan', and where the writ of this apartheid state is continually extending over amorphous boundaries with new 14 ft walls being continually constructed to create giant prisons to enclose the indigenous population who refuse to "die", and whose "young" refuse to "forget", and who refuse to be "resigned to live here as slaves", and who miraculously escape "We have to kill all the Palestinians" call to ethnically cleanse the beleaguered Palestinians from their own homeland. Is there any other evidence of monumental terrorism even possible in the present epoch? While all eyes have been diverted to the "Islamic terrorists" and the "Bin Ladens" and "Orange alert" and strip search at airports, the big monstrous Jewish elephant in the Zionist state is blithely ignored -
even as I write this today in February 2007 - permitting them the uber-mensch prerogative for Eretz Yisrael, which according to Zionism's overtly stated ideological underpinnings that entirely drives the political aspirations and its execution in the apartheid state, is “from the Nile to the Euphrates”. Or it may be the other way around. It doesn't matter since it's a scalar and an all encompassing open secret that no one wishes to say out loud for some reason in the West, but surely, like Uri Avnery mentions the "Arab refusal" premising all facades of peace talks, and when that failing, the “yes but no” taking over, it is also much openly discussed in the Hebrew society as the premise upon which Israeli policies, its laws, and its visitation of brutal oppression upon the indigenous peoples, are made. But the Western intellectual exercising claims to "dissent chief priesthood" dare not base any advocacy based upon these facts of the oppressive regime. That this irony fails to strike the commonsense of many, is not surprising. For priesthood in any domain, is merely the shepherd tending to his respective sheep.

So why am I not enthusiastically applauding Noam Chomsky for his courageous “dissent”? The answer entirely depends on why is a similar argument for abolishing Israel as an apartheid state, as was made for South Africa, and conclusively ending its Zionist reign of monumental terror and obscurantism in the modernity of the 21st century, not being courageously made by him. Where is the principled Moral-Activism in his advocacy of a negotiated two-state solution? It isn't that the distinguished professor isn't familiar with the diabolical plans of the Zionist state - he is no ordinary intellectual - in the face of Israel's “existent reality” of take 10 give back 1, “yes but no”, and the “Arab refusal” that has been their not so “secret weapon”, nor is he unfamiliar with the Machiavellian motto of the Zionist state “wage war by way of deception” as its guiding principle, and nor is he unaware of the underlying implementation philosophy that has underscored the Zionist state's pragmatism of incremental faits accomplis by initiating new crises starting from its very birth pangs as was
openly admitted by Ben Gurion himself: “what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times”!

What indeed are the underlying reasons for his abstaining from making the moral calls for a unified democratic Israel-Palestine for all the inhabitants of Palestine? What restrains him from articulating an unequivocal principled stance against the very root cause célèbre of apartheid and the ubermensch racism ingrained in Zionism itself that makes Israel such a misconstruction of West's own cherished values of democracy and equal rights for all? Just to refresh one's failing memory, for the 'Democratic' racism see here, the UN Anti-Zionist Resolution 3379 see here, and its timed revocation in 1991 to officially assert 'Zionism is no longer racism' with the emerging new world order see here and here as the “high priests” tell it, and here as the “dissenting priest” tells it, and see here for how 3379 was originally spun by the “highest priest” in the land in the influential Foreign Affairs magazine.

I do not hesitate to ask the following of such a distinguished intellectual, for I gave up following "priests" when I woke up to the presence of unexamined axioms in all "priestdom", and instead decided to think for myself thus absolving all "priests" of being responsible for either saving me from perdition or consigning me to it! But that does not absolve the "priests" of their own greater responsibilities of priesthood towards the rest of their flock who glibly accept anything from "high pulpits". Higher the "pulpit", higher their credibility, and greater the consequent responsibility. Has Noam Chomsky relinquished his claims to moral imperatives and moral high grounds of honest intellectualism that he previously asserted was the responsibility of intellectuals (see here, here, and here):

“It is the responsibility of intellectuals to speak the truth and to expose lies” and “the responsibility of a writer as a moral agent is to try to bring the truth about matters of human significance to an audience that can do something about them.”?
It is inconceivable that Chomsky would not recognize that by not providing this unequivocal moral compassing for his nation in blanket uncompromising terms when it comes to Israel-Palestine, he unwittingly lends his own intellectual support to the hegemonistic aspirations of world's sole superpower nation which he fearlessly and uncompromisingly calls the “rogue state” (see here, here, here, here) every chance he gets. By inexplicably ignoring this “rogue state” conveniently using (and abusing) a minority among the Jews themselves to further its own hegemonistic interest of sustained indomitable preeminence in the affairs of the world (see here) by financially and politically maintaining Israel in its current abominable Zionist construction as its private little Nuclear armed proxy hegemon in the Middle East (see here, here, here, and here), and staying silent about the role that Zionists themselves are currently playing in the construction of his own nation's imperial foreign policies in a tortuous collaboration of self-interests (see here and here and compare authors here) which seems to be visible to all and sundry in the world, except inexplicably to the “arguably the most important intellectual alive”, Chomsky is willingly co-opting himself to the interests of the "ruling elite" that he has spent his entire life sanity-checking. Indeed, Moral-Activism from intellectual supremos, demands uncompromising moral compassing, as he had himself noted during his earlier years of an idealist's dissent:

“Intellectuals are in a position to expose the lies of governments, to analyze actions according to their causes and motives and often hidden intentions. In the Western world, at least, they have the power that comes from political liberty, from access to information and freedom of expression. For a privileged minority, Western democracy provides the leisure, the facilities, and the training to seek the truth lying hidden behind the veil of distortion and misrepresentation, ideology and class interest, through which the events of current history are presented to us....” (Re-
In these “revolutionary times”, I am unfortunately less than impressed by Chomsky's supposed raison d'être of Palestinians suffering under the 'jackboots' of the Israelis being the basis of his "practical" two-states "policy advocacy" and the legitimization of the forced separation of an indigenous peoples from their own lands. The beleaguered Palestinians have already been suffering for more than 40 years under the same 'jackboots' and continually losing their lives and property to diabolically constructed faits accomplis that Chomsky knows all too well about. This rationale of 'any tactic for alleviating the misery of a defenseless peoples' for pushing various and sundry advocacy plans by the well intentioned, in the absence of Moral-Activism that is firmly seeded by a moral compass, ends up being another gigantic stinking red-herring in the long term, bigger and more deflecting, than all the obvious ones pointed out by Uri Avnery.

It is indeed but a truism that in every society there are always only a tiny handful who are the illustrious vanguards of morality and social justice. These handful tend to attract to themselves a majority of the well intentioned and conscionable peoples from the larger society to learn what is the 'right thing to do' space for their activism to redress social and political injustices. They supposedly rip apart the red herrings cleverly disseminated by the "high priests" of the ruling elite, dexterously guiding their flock to see the burdensome truth behind the lies and distortions inherent in incantations of power, and thus apportion for themselves credit for guiding their flock that is commensurate with their ranking in priesthood, as commonsense might dictate. And this credit for Western intellectuals on many issues of contemporary geopolitical concern is surely overwhelmingly positive, which is why the New York Times cited Noam Chomsky as “arguably the most important intellectual alive”. All likely à propos for sanity-checking his own nation's hegemonistic foreign policies, including eloquently highlighting the fait accompli of long past crimes (history) of Jewish terrorism while founding the state of Israel upon the blood of the
Palestinians. Except when it comes to resolving a just solution space (contemporaneously) for his already recognized Zionism's usurpation and coercive resettling of Palestine, then this epithet suddenly and inexplicably fails to deliver, in my humble (mis)perception.

It's almost as if unless the issue is already fait accompli, Chomsky won't touch it when it's so close to his heart. But once fait accompli, many books about it will be written delineating the monstrosity of the crimes and the mendacity of power that enabled the construction of such crimes, attracting a great following and great prestige for speaking up on the crimes of his emperors. If I was an emperor, I wouldn't mind having Chomsky on my tail either because he will only be chasing faits accomplis leaving me free to create new ones! And thus the New York Times epithet fails miserably on the contemporaneousness of this matter, and only on account of Chomsky's uncourageous silence in unequivocally articulating a moral compass on this issue when something can actually be done about it rather than courageously lament in history books after the fact. His undistinguished silence has likely misled, or indeed not been the prime mover of, many movements that might have effectively called for an end to the Israeli racism and apartheid, and thus postponed the harbinger of justice to a suffering peoples. As the reality of faits accomplis on the ground might suggest, justice delayed, is justice denied, thus necessitating increasingly greater and more tumultuous radical transformation in bringing it about. Can the increased bloodshed be laid at the footsteps of the silently spectating world, and in commensurate measure, upon the silence of their ranking priest who claims “the responsibility of a writer as a moral agent is to try to bring the truth about matters of human significance to an audience that can do something about them”?

So we have the "high priests" of officialdom spinning their doctrines in manifest truism to serving the interests of their ruling elite, and we have the "dissenting priests" ostensibly sanity-checking and unraveling their spin. But who sanity checks and unravels the self-interests of the "dissenting priests" and the concomitant red herrings?
Their inexplicable failure in providing a moral compass on this single most momentous issue of our time, only succeeds in carving out the entire solution space on Israel-Palestine in the West, between the "high priests" of the ruling elite and the "dissenting priests" of the conscionable flock, to the rather limited two-state axiomatic paradigm forcing the beleaguered peoples to choose between the reality of a brutal occupation, and the reality of continually shrinking buntustans that has no parallel to statehood anywhere else on Earth today.

So let's tepidly examine Noam Chomsky's own objectivity in the light of his own self proclaimed self-interests that might coherently explain this odd blind-sight in the most profound intellectual in the West. Having openly declared himself a Zionist, and a Zionist youth leader, albeit of the 1940s variety, whatever that might mean, I must ask why the profound intellectual of the dissent space would not conscionably recuse himself from bringing to bear his own Zionist-aspiration driven personal advocacy on the Israel-Palestine solution space due to his obvious conflict of interest, and focused instead, as a conscionable intellectual must, on what the suffering Palestinian victims themselves advocate as their desired solution space? Just as he conscionably brings their miserable plight to the attention of his Western audience by courageously setting aside his personal Jewish affiliations when highlighting the monumental crimes of the cruel Zionists upon the Palestinians, why would he not also conscionably set aside his personal self-interests of his nuanced "Labor-Zionism" aspirations, and bring the Palestinian victims' own solutions - as the victims' natural right to demand their own redressing - to the attention of the same audience?

This is a rather clear and unambiguous litmus test of objectivity for anyone who claims to speaks out on behalf of any suffering peoples. And it also provides a rational mechanism to anyone to enable them to set aside their own self-interests. Just allow the victims to speak for themselves and propagate their own claims before the world! In the pungent stink of the gigantic red herring of what's "practical", as in the "two-state solution", we see the "practical" slowly becoming faits
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accomplis, as the good peoples in the West are continually deflected from demanding the moral compass towards the 'right thing to do' space by their prominent intellectuals co-opted by their own self-interests.

And this red herring of disingenuousness doesn't just end here. There is even a finer shade that must still be unraveled. For an intellectual laying claims to high morality of intellectualism, and oft publicly teaching the Biblical Golden Rule “Do unto others as you have others do unto you”, indeed, even creating logical corollaries to it which go something like this: “if it is good for me to do to you, it should be good for you to do to me, and if it is bad for you to do to me, it should be bad for me to do to you too”, and continually teaching the public how to disambiguate on complex emotional matters that are typically steeped in hypocrisy due to self-interests, by looking at the issues from the point of view of a detached being sitting on Mars looking down upon the earthlings and employing the (Biblical) Golden Rule of Morality, what does it mean to be a Zionist? Chomsky has already recognized that nation states are formed on the bloodshed of the innocent native peoples as the natural consequence of the latter resisting the usurpation and resettling of their land by invaders, which even Ben Gurion recognized, as noted above, as why would the Palestinians ever accept the Zionist invaders peaceably thus necessitating (in Gurion's own words) “We must expel Arabs and take their places” and “We must do everything to insure they (the Palestinians) never do return”!

Thus knowing full well that any Zionist aspiration for a land that is already continuously inhabited by an indigenous population for centuries will most assuredly continually lead to, and has already led to, their displacement and bloodshed, upon what "ubermensch" principle of morality is Chomsky's aspiration of Zionism based?

Is it what Golda Meir uttered:

“This country exists as the fulfillment of a promise
made by God Himself. It would be ridiculous to ask it to account for its legitimacy.” (Golda Meir, Le Monde, 15 October 1971, noted from the web here).

Or is it what Menachem Begin uttered the day after the U.N. vote to Partition Palestine:

“The Partition of Palestine is illegal. It will never be recognized .... Jerusalem was and will for ever be our capital. Eretz Israel will be restored to the people of Israel. All of it. And for Ever.” (Menachem Begin, noted from the web here)

Or is it based on the spirit, which for the n\textsuperscript{th} time was candidly asserted by Yitzhak Shamir in his own straightforward diction, and Ariel Sharon in his characteristic bulldozing speak (and which is un-apologetically repeated ad nauseam by all Israeli statesmen and Zionist protagonists in their own choicest diction with the spectating world pretending to not notice):

“The settlement of the Land of Israel is the essence of Zionism. Without settlement, we will not fulfill Zionism. It's that simple.” (Yitzhak Shamir, Maariv, 02/21/1997, noted from the web here)

“Israel may have the right to put others on trial, but certainly no one has the right to put the Jewish people and the State of Israel on trial.” (Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon, 25 March, 2001 quoted in BBC News Online, noted from the web here)

While one is surely entitled to fantasize whatever one's mind may conjure up, but when it becomes the unstated underpinning of one's advocacy of a solution space that drowns out the echoes and aspirations of the victims themselves, there are a lot of red herrings on the ground. In any case, this is how I (mis)perceive Chomsky's advocacy of the "practical". The best way to demonstrate that these are indeed misperceptions and there are no vested self-interests at play, is to
loudly condemn Zionism in all its abhorrent nuanced shades [3], to unequivocally call for an end to apartheid and "ubermensch" racism in Israel [4] that is entirely seeded from the "ubermensch" racism in Zionism itself, to designate Israel as a rogue state in one's writings and to call for its boycott and for sanctions to be imposed on it, and to actively engage in echoing the victims' own demands for justice and not put forth one's own (tainted) solutions [5]. The little guy on Mars is still awaiting an unequivocal moral compassing from "priestdom" on Israel-Palestine!

Indeed, I would be much more impressed if distinguished and prominent intellectual dissenters and Jewish moralists like Noam Chomsky outright condemned modern Zionism and its racist apartheid structure on the principled position of Moral-Activism, as much as they condemned Nazism and its National Socialist State that was also based on the same Nietzschean "ubermensch" philosophy and which once engulfed the entire world in a world war to eradicate. Perhaps in the present "World War IV" against "Islamic terrorism" - with the amazing new doctrinal name of "Islamofascism" synthesized to seed all the "doctrinal motivations" needed to sustain this new "policy" of "perpetual war" mobilization - he can, faithful to his own intellectual positions taken earlier on the responsibility of intellectuals, himself being one, and not just a mere ordinary one, but "arguably the most important intellectual alive" in the entire Western Hemisphere, advocate its moral extension, or its real moral commencement, against the "Jewish Fundamentalism" and "Jewish Terrorism" and "Zionofascism" of his own peoples in Israel-Palestine whose crimes he has amply documented himself (see here, here, here, and here for a recap of what's already been shown conclusively above).

As a polite courtesy to the prominent intellectuals and peace activists whose positions are illustratively dissected here to demonstrate the endless trail of red herrings inherent in the very premise of any allowable discourse on this subject in the West, even in the so called dissent space, I sent them an earlier version of this article for comment.
Only the earnest scholar Noam Chomsky responded. We went back and forth a few times. I remained unconvinced of his continued tortuous "practicality" arguments and suggested to him that he might voice them publicly in response to my article vastly opening up the discourse space. But he did put me in a temporary quandary by suggesting that I would be doing a grave disservice to the cause of the Palestinian peoples by making my views known in public as it will unwittingly give the Israelis and their Zionist exponents further excuse to increase their oppression as a pretext that 'see - they want to dismantle us'. He also disconcerted me by saying why was I bringing the illustrious name of Edward Said into this (by the fact of having quoted Edward Said). That threw me off balance for several sleepless nights and days delaying the publication of this article in much angst fighting with my own conscience. Until I realized (yet once again) that if I was right there under the 'jackboots' of the butchers, any butchers, in any place, even as a Jew under the Nazis, I would want some conscionable person on the outside to yell out my message loud and clear to the world for me: 'I am a human being under the jackboots of the Nazis - do the right thing for at least my children'. By not honoring that call of anguish of the innocent victims when I perceive the reality of their immeasurable suffering which is a “mystery whose parallel may only be the one of Sinai when something was revealed”, I would not like to become the recipient of their curse:

“and I still curse the killers, their accomplices, the indifferent spectators who knew and kept silent” (Elie Wiesel in All Rivers Run to the Sea)

That clinched it for me. The tyrants will do what the tyrants will do in any case, and as they have been doing for decades. And the people of conscience must do what the people of conscience must do, regardless, to end despots reigns.

Moving right along disambiguating and dismantling the constricted solution space of swiss cheese bantustans being offered the Palestinians as new faits accomplis are carried out right before our eyes as we
stay wrapped up in the Ezra Pound's paradigm of deception with multiple red herrings (invent two lies and have the public energetically embroiled in which one of them might be true), the question arises that why should the dialog, when it comes to the Palestinians, begin with the 1948 construction of Israel through superpower politics? As for instance, in Phyllis Bennis' article where she passionately advocates justice for the refugees, she makes the following statement:

“The United Nations welcomed Israel as its newest member with Resolution 273, passed on May 11, 1949. The membership resolution stated specifically that entry to the world body was based on Israel's statements regarding its ability and willingness to implement the earlier Resolution 194 of December 1948, and the rights it granted to the Palestinians. Those were the right to return home and compensation for their losses during the war.”

Sounds great, except that when it is applied to the more fundamental first cause question of why 'Der Judenstaat' was created in Palestine in the first place on another indigenous peoples' continuously inhabited land, three thousand year old history is drawn upon to show the aspirations of the victimizers and what transpired in Europe through the Holocaust as the final justification for its creation through the victimizers' own official instrument of adjudication. Why should that become so automatically axiomatic in one case, but the history and real lives of the peoples continuously living there before 1948 who are innocently victimized not be equally axiomatic? Does this have anything at all to do with attempting to bring justice in the best way possible to the tragedy unfolding on the ground, or the mere preservation of self-interests by arguing "impracticality"?

All conscionable peoples' voices of protest must be brought to bear on the plight of any innocent victims, for we are indeed one family in humanity, and when we collectively stand up against tyranny, we are at our finest in demonstrating that we have come a long ways from our
humble Neanderthal beginning. However, in principled Moral-Activism, our conscionable voices can never be allowed to drown out the victims' own anguished voices themselves, the victims' own notion of what crimes are being heaped upon them, and the victims' own demands for what is fair and just restitution! Especially since the victims are still contemporaneous, and justice can still be afforded them. The crimes invoked upon them have not become fodder for erudite works of historical research as yet, as some like to pretend. The victims are still howling and writhing in insufferable pain!

The voices of the victims themselves describing their own fate are as potent, and as legitimate, as the Jewish moralist and Holocaust survivor Elie Wiesel's description of what the Jewish victims faced at the hands of another monumentally criminal oppressors. Just as the victims' own description of their Holocaust outweighs any detractors and revisionist historians claims to the contrary - indeed even laws are being constructed in many Western nations to make it illegal to challenge the victims stories and the victims suffering and the victims version of what calamity befell them - so must the systematic genocide and depopulation, terrorizing, and inhuman subjugation of an innocent peoples in their own words must now replace the many Diaries of Anne Frank. The past monumental crime is over but its memory is now being devilishly employed to diabolically mask a new monumental crime in progress by the former victims themselves - see here, here, and here for how that's done, and here, here, and here to catch a glimpse of it in action to quell any criticism of Israel by constantly drawing upon allusions to the Holocaust “a hate-fest against Jews akin to a Hitler rally in Nazi Germany” and “Islamic Mein Kampf” - one might have thought that they may have known better, having suffered themselves and being gods chosen people and all!

Denying any genuine victims' indescribable calamity is monumentally shameful. The clarion call of "never again" however is not reserved to only one class of victims as some have tried to do. And when those who were once victims themselves create new victims of their own,
and in a manner of oppression and deception learnt from their past victimizers, I tend to lose much sympathy for them. It is a factual statement that one can even observe in themselves, and in any court room for similar behavior exhibited by a past victim becoming the victimizer of a new innocent victims. Indeed, in a rational and fair court, they would be imperatively disarmed and locked up - for leaving weapons and power in the hands of the criminally insane would be an even greater monumental crime of any court!

Watching the Zionist operate, any Jewish person of conscience must surely be upset at what "great name" (sic!) some of their brethren have bestowed on the entire peoples of a high and moral tradition by the mere association with the word Jew. But that does not appear to be the case at all with rare exceptions (see here and here for some examples of such rare and genuine human beings who are so offended that they put their own lives on the line but remain largely unknown and unmourned in the victimizers' own civilizations but are idolized and immortalized as heroes by the victims themselves, and here for fair justice). Israel seems to continue to enjoy widespread support from the World Jewry, and most vocally from within the United States of America. Indeed a lot of support for Zionist Israel comes from this superpower nation's ordinary Christian Zionist ideological supporters (see here and here), of which the mighty President of this "Roman Nation" is himself an exponent.

And here comes the fundamental dichotomy in dialogs with the victims. To the victims, the Zionists are monumental barbarians to be seen in the same dock someday as Eichmann in Jerusalem, with the front rows occupied by the new innocent victims who have as much right to succor and restitution as their victimizers were for their own Holocaust! And surely the new victims repeatedly, daily, hourly, every moment of their breadth, invoke the same curse uttered by the former victims “and I still curse the killers, their accomplices, the indifferent spectators who knew and kept silent”. To them too, their plight must surely be an equal “mystery whose parallel may only be
the one of Sinai when something was revealed”. And despite this daily inhuman subjugation, they continue to make every attempt at civilized existence despite burying their children daily, barely escaping from under the roofs of demolished homes and the wrath of D9 bulldozers and F16s, and having to kiss their beloved child with his or her eyes precisely blown out by an Israeli 25 year old sharp shooter as if he was “cockroach picking” and not go insane! In much vain and hollow rings the call of the Jewish moralists themselves:

“Although the Holocaust inflicted horrible injustice upon us, it did not grant us certificate of everlasting righteousness. The murderers where amoral; the victims were not made moral. To be moral you must have ethically. The test of that is daily and constant.”

One can read, hear, and see the Palestinian victims' scream in anguish and call for justice from the bespectating world in their own voices here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, here, ... just as few randomly chosen samples of how the Palestinians themselves view their own calamity and how the victims themselves perceive justice, but for the convenient ear plugs in well intentioned peoples' ears. Compare the victims own call for restitution to this articulation by Israeli Statesman Shimon Peres (the master of the art of “yes but no”) here, and examine the vested interests of all those who echo it in all its nuanced shades!

And one can further watch how these screams are continually dismissed in the West, especially in the United States of America, by well organized shills for the Apartheid State continuing to strew their own B grade quality of red herrings, considerably less abstruse in disguising their obviousness in their on going attempt to continually sew obfuscation any which way possible in order to continue to buy time for 'Der Judenstaat' in seeding new "impracticalities" to justice for their innocent victims. The following is only a random sample. The very first comment for this book on Amazon.com "Refugees in Our Own Land : Chronicles from a Palestinian Refugee Camp in Bethle-
hem” by a commentator whose well known affiliations are noted here, and other generous red herring droppings noted here, says the follow-
ing:

“... Had those things actually been perpetrated by Is-
rael, I would be first in line to condemn them. But even the United Nations has concluded that Israel has not committed genocide, in Jenin, or anywhere else. As for murder, it seems that the only murder is taking place by Palestinians against Israeli civilians, and that whosoever amongst Palestinians has been killed has died either in battle, in the line of fire, or by accident, for which Israel has apologized. When, on the other hand, was the last time a Palestinian leader actually sought an end to suicide bombings, because they are evil, not because they are inexpedient.”

And concludes by saying:

“My biggest problem with this book is that for most of the events that Hamzeh reports, she relies on hearsay. There has been no scientific or objective at-
tempt to verify the information, much less the vera-
city of the sources. Even that might be all right, had the reporter not assumed an hysterical tone. But Hamzeh is so willing to believe everything nasty she hears about Israel or Israelis, or Jews for that matter, that nothing escapes unscathed. I want peace, but books like this one--filled with blame and outright hatred--do nothing to promote it.”

Perhaps this commentator needs to be introduced to the “scientific or objective attempt to verify the information” standards adopted by the incumbent victimizers themselves to bring to the attention of the world what monumental crimes were once heaped upon them, or mand-
datorily be made to read the anguished words of Elie Wiesel in his
own highly acclaimed “hysterical tone” of the calamity that is now a “mystery whose parallel may only be the one of Sinai when something was revealed” for their own innocent victims. A conscionable reader may perhaps inform the commentator, as well as all those allied with her (begin here and here, then progress to here, here, here, here, here) of this fact so that we may all endeavor together - for none of us is perfect and many of us are easily misled, sometimes by blind passion, sometimes by disinformation - to become human beings first!

It may be à propos to bring the late Edward W. Said's own rational words - one who was indeed from among the victims and deeply affiliated with their culture and civilization as both a spokesperson and an anguished exponent of his peoples cause - for summation away from my more emotional ones that synchronizes to the beat of Elie Wiesel perfectly but perhaps not as eloquently or credibly. Excerpted from Edward Said's essay “The Mirage of Peace”, October 16, 1995 in The Nation:

“The deep tragedy of Palestine is that a whole people, their history and aspirations have been under comprehensive assault--not only by Israel (with the United States) but also by the Arab governments and, since Oslo, by Arafat....

I do not pretend to have any quick solutions for the situation now referred to as "the peace process," but I do know that for the vast majority of Palestinian refugees, day laborers, peasants and town and camp dwellers, those who cannot make a quick deal and those whose voices are never heard, for them the process has made matters far worse. Above all, they may have lost hope....

I have been particularly disheartened by the role played in all this by liberal Americans, Jewish and non-Jewish alike. Silence is not a response, and
neither is some fairly tepid endorsement of a Palestinian state, with Israeli settlements and the army more or less still there, still in charge. The peace process must be demystified and spoken about plainly. Palestine/Israel is no ordinary bit of geography; it is more saturated in religious, historical and cultural significance than any place on earth. It is also now the place where two peoples, whether they like it or not, live together tied by history, war, daily contact and suffering. To speak only in geopolitical clichés (as the Clinton Administration does) or to speak about "separating" them (as Rabin does) is to call forth more violence and degradation. These two communities must be seen as equal to each other in rights and expectations; only from such a beginning can justice then proceed.”

And perhaps I may be allowed to offer my own much more modest rational conclusions, as seen from the eyes of an ordinary person, with my own personal biases and self-interests. Not being an intellectual, I am mercifully spared their burden of claims to deep thoughts, and can speak straightforwardly in ordinary human being first sense, the common man's sense, or commonsense. It is but a concatenation of obvious moral truisms for there isn't a whole lot to this summation beyond that.

All of the discussion in this article is the view from the victims, and/or from the civilizations sympathetic to the victims, and/or from the courageous conscionable peoples in all civilizations who are human beings first and can genuinely commiserate with the misery of other suffering human beings without putting their own self-interests above those of imperatives of morality, and what is fair and what is just, as amazingly and quintessentially delineated in the Biblical Golden Rule “do unto others as you have others do unto you”. The victimizers' and their exponents' view obviously is incongruent with this - an-
other wholly truism! But can there be no objectivity? How does a judge ever make a ruling in any case? Is it only with victors' justice? No, not among civilized conscionable peoples, and among rational and moral civilizations. In these times of ease of access to information, amazing search engines and document archives at finger tips, it may indeed be deemed a moral crime, by the victims at the very least, to feign ignorance of the state of the world, or to disingenuously claim a different world view. But then it does require considerable skills to disambiguate the spin doctoring and vested interests that surround the information, especially for well intentioned bespectating peoples removed from the conflicts themselves. How is one to discern fact from fiction? Unless one is the victim of course - then one needs no discernment! The victims know with certainty what crimes are visited upon them and what is their demand for restitution and compensation. Perhaps others might just ask the victims themselves? But that might just be too much commonsense, the good lord of hypocrisy, the ubermensch, forbid!

Do we need to define some standard agreed upon usage of words, i.e. definitions, that are then applied to all sides of the arguments, ab initio, in order to discern them unhypocritically? How important is it to know the “first cause”, and how appropriate is the principle of “all the evil that follow” to apportion the blame for all crimes stemming from the first cause? How far in history may one go? One year? Ten Years? Fifty Years? 100 Years? Three Thousand Years? Ten Thousand Years? To Adam? To Devil? To God? (To Big Bang in case one is atheistic)? What key principle standard was employed at the conclusion of World War II at the Nuremberg and Tokyo Trials to apportion blame for the heinous war crimes committed by both sides of bombing civilian centers and causing the deaths of up to 50 million peoples - irrespective of whatever may have been the weaknesses in the execution of these standards due to self-interests of the victors as some have argued? (And we don't want to use these possible weaknesses in the execution of these standards as arguments to deflect our attention
from the actual moral principles behind them which is the point of discussion - but do watch for it as some will surely try to distract attention from the actual moral principles themselves by bringing up various compromises and poor implementation of moral principles in the past as evidence for not following moral principles or not advocating justice based on moral principles - wonderfully smelly things, these red herrings, for some fishermen I am sure!)

Does the passage of time in the current epoch, as it blends into history, favor the status quo? Are we doomed to remain caught in this plight of the House of Zeus? Or is there a way to discern rationally, logically, fairly, to understand the "right thing to do" space? Once knowing that, it is always "impractical" to bring it about as the odds are always against the underdogs - the victims, and in favor of the top-dogs - a truism. Arguing truisms like the 'impracticality" argument to justify not articulating 'the right thing to do' is called what?

(In case one does not know how to answer this question, one may try any of these for size and see which ones may fit: "hectoring hegemons", "self-interest", "sophistry", "hypocrisy", "double standards", "superpower's uncle tom", "a red herring manufacturing factory that supplies whole sale to the consciousness of their nation using the credibility of the power of their name", "intellectually aiding and abetting in the conspiracy to perpetuate a monumental crime through advocacy speech and actions not rooted in Moral-Activism and thus deliberately enabling the continued perpetuation of the crime and its concomitant new faits accomplis", et. al)

And the most obvious moral truism summation for last - the now visible elephant dancing on the newlywed's bed.

The most commonsensical solution that seems to be continually eluding the luminous West that supports the misconstruction of Israel as an apartheid state with various and sundry Western intellectuals sheepishly apologizing for it by cleverly not talking about it in all their fancy and refined punditry of high morality and responsibilities
of intellectuals, is the one nation state for all its inhabitants. In that
tight geography, two nations just cannot be constructed justly, the one
with the guns will always dictate the terms. And it is truly no ordinary
piece of geography. It is so steeped in the history and intermingling
cultures of all three Abrahamic religions that try as the European
Zionists may, to obliterate the vestiges of the other two, the history
and its affiliations cannot be divorced from that geography. Abolish-
ing apartheid and eliminating the racist Zionist philosophy and repla-
cing it with a civil society and civil laws for all, is the only just solu-
tion. It is also the solution that the Palestinian peoples themselves de-
demand.

One nation of Muslims, Christians, and Jews, or stating it in another
rational order, of Jews, Christians, and Muslims, living amicably to-
gether in the holy lands that all covet, equitably sharing the Land of
Canaan. With the passage of time, in a peace seeded with justice, all
wounds of the victims - the ones throwing the rocks and the stones at
the tanks besieging their homes, and the ones going berserk in blow-
ing themselves up in a last ditched attempt to get back to their tor-
mentors responsible for their insanity and their shattered tabula rasa -
may be healed. The innocent Jewish victims of the Palestinians' struggle to live as free human beings on their own continuously inhab-
ited ancestral lands against their inhuman oppressors, I hope will heal
too - an innocent people traumatized by the first Holocaust, and then
by the struggles against their own criminal oppression by another in-
nocent peoples whom they gratuitously victimized, have a long and
arduous self-healing process in front of them. It's time both sides were
allowed to start the process by vehemently and righteously rejecting
the insanely criminal and largely unexamined axioms, the anachronist-
ic first cause célèbre of their entire modern misery and the root cause
of war mongering and suffering in the entire Middle East, from their
midst. There is no reason, in the modernity of the 21st century, to
have an Apartheid pariah state in our midst that has co-opted the very
definition of justice from the lexicon of Western languages, and con-
tinues to create new innocent victims on a daily basis and has been doing so since its very inception in 1896, when its founder claimed along the banks of the Swiss Rhine: “In Basle I founded the Jewish state ... Maybe in five years, certainly in fifty, everyone will realize it”.

And most assuredly, there is no reason for any people, be they well intentioned, or ideological, who may have supported it in the past, to continue doing so in the present, except with monumentally criminal intent of perpetuating crimes against a beleaguered humanity.

If an EU can transpire after killing each other for centuries and upon the ashes of 50 million dead just in the 20th century, with the determined will and singular focus to do so, a unified Palestine-Israel is a far more natural and historical reconstitution except for the relative newcomer European Zionism parasite that has hijacked the region, and continually prevents and distorts its reseeding with red herrings up the wazoo. It's time to finally endeavor creating the long cherished and elusive dream of a peaceful and fairer future for all of our children by the construction of a non-Apartheid equal and just state for all its inhabitants in Israel-Palestine.

Indeed a true “Zion that will light up all the world”, one that can finally claim to be the genuine moral inheritor of the Ten Commandments, and of the noble Prophet - whom all three faiths in the region honor and respect, sharing in the same Abrahamic moral traditions - who identified his flock as God's chosen peoples!

Thank you
Footnotes

[1] An editor of the website “Dissident Voice” challenged this quote with the following comment: “i submit that you need a first-hand sourcing here; see http://ngo-monitor.org/archives/news/122304-1.htm”. The full quote, that I checked on the microfiche in a local public library, where only the afternoon edition of the New York Times of 14 April 1983 was on the roll of microfiche, is as follows:

'Jerusalem, April 13 - ... There is a widespread conviction among Palestinian Arabs that the Israelis want to make life miserable for them and thereby drive them out of the territories.

This was reinforced by reported remarks Tuesday by the outgoing Chief of Staff of the Israeli Army, Lieut. Gen. Rafael Eytan. Israeli radio, television and newspapers quoted him as telling the Parliament's Foreign Affairs and Defense Committee that for every incident of stone-throwing by Arab youths, 10 settlements should be built. “When we have settled the land,” he was quoted as saying, “all the Arabs will be able to do about it will be to scurry around like drugged roaches in a bottle.”' (Emphasis added. New York Times, late edition, Thursday 14 April 1983, page A3, story by David K. Shipler, titled "Most West Bank Arabs Blaming U.S. for Impasse")

It is possible that the quote I have cited in the main text of the essay from the web, was originally from the morning edition, or was assembled from multiple stories as that edition contained many stories on Israel-Palestine. Also see Wikipedia at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rafael_Eitan, and the image at http://uploaded.fresh.co.il/2004/11/28/27740072.jpg for presumably a citation in original Hebrew. The similarity of wording and sentiments expressed in both, only prove the main theme of this essay - the
endless trail of red herrings.

The "cockroach" peddler met his verminous fate of the Pharaoh as noted at [http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/middle_east/4034765.stm].

The BBC itself reported the quote in question in their own story as follows:

'Mr Eitan was politically right-wing and opposed the handing over of land to Palestinians as part of peace talks.

He often used blunt language. He once said: “When we have settled the land, all the Arabs will be able to do about it will be to scurry around like drugged cockroaches in a bottle.”

Mr Eitan was also criticised by the Kahan Commission, which investigated the massacre of Palestinian refugees by an Israeli-allied Christian militia during Israel's invasion of Lebanon in 1982.

The Commission said he should have anticipated the danger and opposed sending the Christians into the camp.' (Emphasis added. BBC News, Tuesday, 23 November, 2004, 10:07 GMT, "Former Israeli army chief drowns")

It made me intensely depressed to read-back to 24 years ago and to reflect that the goodly American nation has continually permitted a most monumental crime under its own watchful eyes with its full budgetary support, while its supposedly democratic peoples busily pursue their own “American Dreams”. A genocide that can be so easily averted by the world is allowed to continue, it seems, only for the pleasure of future historians and moralists to make a good living peddling history books and pontificating morality. Here is an interesting quote from the same A3 page, just underneath the above article, that shows that the only thing that's changed on the playing field of fait accompli, is more faits accomplis, bigger holes in the
swiss cheese Buntustans, and a generation further besieged, through the direct funding of a great populace democracy:

“Washington, April 13 - A House Foreign Affairs subcommittee has quietly increased the amount of military and economic grants for Israel by $365 million over the amount request by the Reagan Administration for the 1984 fiscal year, committee members said today.

They said the Administration had requested $785 million in economic grants and this was raised by $65 million to $850 million.

The Administration also had requested $1.7 billion in military aid, of which $550 million would be in the form of grants and the rest in loans. The committee, which is headed by Representative Lee H. Hamilton, Democrat of Indiana, decided to allow $850 million to be in the form of grants - an increase of $300 million - leaving just $850 million to be repaid, instead of more than $1.1 billion.” (New York Times, late edition, Thursday 14 April 1983, page A3, story titled “Panel increases Grants for Israel”)

[2] The distinguished Phyllis Bennis is in equally distinguished company here. Let's witness former American President Jimmy Carter selectively exercise his tender conscience with his serendipitous book “Palestine, Peace Not Apartheid”. In his speech at George Washington University, as reported by the Associated Press and carried by Israeli newspaper Haaretz at http://www.haaretz.com/hasen/spages/834962.html, he noted:

'He said he was not accusing Israel of racism nor referring to its treatment of Arabs within the country. “I defined apartheid very carefully as the forced segregation by one people of another on their own
land,” he said. ...

On the West Bank, Carter said, Palestinians were victims of oppression, their homes and land confiscated to make way for subsidized Israeli settlers.

“The life of Palestinians is almost intolerable,” he said. “And even though Israel agreed to give up Gaza and remove Jewish settlers from the territory, there is no freedom for the people of Gaza and no access to the outside world.”

“They have no real freedom of all,” Carter said.

By apartheid, Carter said he meant the forced segregation of one people by another. He said Israel's policies in the territories are contrary to the tenets of the Jewish faith.

“There will be no peace until Israel agrees to withdraw from all occupied Palestinian territory,” he said, while leaving room for some land swaps that would permit Jews to remain on part of the West Bank in exchange for other Israeli-held land to be taken over by Palestinians.

“Withdrawal would dramatically reduce any threat to Israel,” he said.'

The distinguished President Carter noted the definition of “all occupied Palestinian territory” very carefully suggesting that 'he was not accusing Israel of racism nor referring to its treatment of Arabs within the country. “I defined apartheid very carefully as the forced segregation by one people of another on their own land,” he said'.

This might be forgivable oversight of memory or lack of geography knowledge for an ordinary mortal, but for a 39th former president of a superpower nation who is also a Nobel Peace Prize laureate, and who
dares to speak out serendipitously in favor of a beleaguered peoples, but only goes part of the way as if some enormous invisible barrier is blocking him, it is entirely inexplicable.

Perhaps despite being a president who once had all the secrets of the State (and the world) at his finger tips, he hadn't rightly been informed by the '14 members of the Carter Center's advisory board' who resigned to protest his book, or by the 'Jewish groups and some fellow Democrats' from whom he 'drew fire', of the Jews own history of laments of the type disclosed in this essay, including this very poignant one:

“The state of Israel founded in 1948 following a war which the Israelis call the War of Independence, and the Palestinians call the Nakba - the catastrophe. A haunted, persecuted people sought to find a shelter and a state for itself, and did so at a horrible price to another people. During the war of 1948, more than half of the Palestinian population at the time - 1,380,000 people - were driven off their homeland by the Israeli army. Though Israel officially claimed that a majority of refugees fled and were not expelled, it still refused to allow them to return, as a UN resolution demanded shortly after 1948 war. Thus, the Israeli land was obtained through ethnic cleansing of the indigenous Palestinian inhabitants. This is not a process unfamiliar in history. Israel's actions remain incomparable to the massive ethnic cleansing of Native Americans by the settlers and government of the United states. Had Israel stopped there, in 1948, I could probably live with it. As an Israeli, I grew up believing that this primal sin our state was founded on may be forgiven one day, because the founder's generation was driven by the faith that this was the only way to save the Jewish people from the danger
of another holocaust.” (Tanya Reinhart: “Israel/Palestine - How to End the War of 1948”, excerpt from very first page)

There are obviously a minuscule number of “Kibbutz Zionists” living in Israel, perhaps less than 1% as I am advised, who love to live the Kibbutzim life style, toiling and soiling in a cooperative whereby the community helps raise each others' children. A vast majority of them supposedly are irreligious and “Leftist” by inclination, and are also largely portrayed by their exponents as non-violent peaceable peoples who settled in Palestine before 1948 (albeit the ones I know who have lived this life arrived in Galilee much after the construction of the Apartheid state). Noam Chomsky himself once noted on the public airwaves to Amy Goodman on her radio talk show Democracy Now, that he too lived there in the 1950s for a short period, and every time he would look out over the horizon, he would feel immensely saddened that another peoples had been forcibly and inhumanly deprived of their land in order to achieve Zion. He had noted on the airwaves, as I recall, that he couldn't morally take the incongruence of the situation and decided to return back to the United States. To this humble plebeian, it appears that these intellectual idealists, and others like them including those self-proclaimed “dissenters” who continually express deep remorse and anguish at what the Zionist founders perpetuated to create 'Der Judenstaat' in the midst of an already continuously inhabited peoples living there for millennia, must concede, if they indeed do not espouse a Nietzschean morality, that they should be able to live together in equitably sharing the land of Canaan with all its indigenous peoples. Thus the word “Zionism”, without any qualification, predominantly refers to the glaring monstrous elephant dancing on the newlywed's bed of racist murderous Zionism that was unleashed by Theodor Herzl in 1896 when he proclaimed “In Basle I founded the Jewish state ... Maybe in five years, certainly in fifty, everyone will realize it.”, and which was subsequently orchestrated to create an exclusive “Jews-Only” state.

Given the manifest reality of deliberate and endless red herrings on the ground, anyone not coming out loudly against Zionism itself as the world silently spectates its global power-play, and not demanding its immediate and outright dismantling and full restitution to its victims, is complicit in the on going murder and genocide of an innocent peoples, all their self-flagellation and words of remorse not withstanding. Thus see for instance, “The complete text of The Origin of the Palestine-Israel Conflict Published by Jews for Justice in the Middle East” at: http://www.wrmea.com/jews_for_justice/index.html. Also examine the former American President, Jimmy Carter's anemic condemnation of Israel, and his restricting the critique in "Palestine Peace not Apartheid" to the still ill-conceived two-state solution space. A just and more forthright person might have produced a work titled “Palestine, Justice not Apartheid”!

[4] It is rather bizarre that President Carter in the spirited defense of his book against the Zionist exponents of Israel, should so circumspectly state that “He said he was not accusing Israel of racism nor referring to its treatment of Arabs within the country.” Not possessing the distinguished credentials of being a former President of the lone superpower country in the universe, and not having won any Nobel Peace prizes either, I must confess I cannot understand the tepidity or wisdom of President Carter. As a mere plebeian, I must rather straightforwardly ask him and the reader, why? Why is Jimmy Carter not accusing Israel of racism, nor referring to her treatment of Arabs within the country?

What is a courageous former President - guarded 24x7 by the Secret Service, and possessing all that he may ever desire in the world already in the back pockets of his accomplished and full life - so
fearful of, that he should go out of his way to assert his definition of “Apartheid” in the title of his book: “I defined apartheid very carefully as the forced segregation by one people of another on their own land”, and deliberately restrain himself from not seeing the direct and immediate parallels with South Africa? Did he come by this arbitrary definition through whim, fear, or through some “ubermensch” principle of morality?

Please permit this rather plebeian scribe to have the chutzpah to remind a distinguished luminary-scholar-humanitarian-extraordinaire of the modern political world of the words of Haim Cohen, former judge of the Supreme Court of Israel (as noted by Tariq Ali in “To be Intimidated is to be an Accomplice”
http://www.counterpunch.org/ali03042004.html):

‘“The bitter irony of fate decreed that the same biological and racist argument extended by the Nazis, and which inspired the inflammatory laws of Nuremberg, serve as the basis for the official definition of Jewishness in the bosom of the state of Israel” (quoted in Joseph Badi, Fundamental Laws of the State of Israel NY, 1960, P.156)’

And all can easily glean the expansion of this statement by the former judge of the Supreme Court of Israel, in “Zionism as Jewish National Socialism”:  

“According to Halachah, classic Judaism's laws and customs, for example "compassion towards others" extends to Jews only. Murder or manslaughter is judged mildly when the perpetrator is Jewish and the victim a non-Jew. Also according to Halachah, it is accepted for a Jew to kill a non-Jew if he is laying claim to "eternal Jewish land". This is what the settlers' religious organisations are alleging. There is no corresponding law in Israel's judicial system but in
effect it influences the system as punishment of such crimes is very mild. Israel's state terrorism, theft of land and occupation, demolition of houses, the building of the Wall etc including the so called 'extra-judicial killings' (assassinations), are seen by Zionists as legitimate defence of the Nation and therefore fall under international law - which Israel ignores [...] Buber criticised Nazism while commending the Jewish Religion (Hassidism) but keeping quiet about its dehumanising of non-Jews (goyim). These double standards act to increase Israel's chauvinism and hatred of all non-Jews.” (Lasse Wilhelmson “Zionism as Jewish National Socialism” http://www.israelshamir.net/Contributors/wilhelmson.htm)

And we can trivially see empirical evidence of “These double standards act to increase Israel's chauvinism and hatred of all non-Jews” in despicable racist “ubermensch” statements like the following one by Moshe Katsav, former President of Israel, that inexplicably seem to remain incognizant among the powerful and distinguished critics' of Israel-Palestine blot on humanity, including the author of “Palestine, Peace not Apartheid”:

“There is a huge gap between us (Jews) and our enemies not just in ability but in morality, culture, sanctity of life, and conscience. They are our neighbors here, but it seems as if at a distance of a few hundred meters away, they are people who do not belong to our continent, to our world, but actually belong to different galaxy.” (Moshe Katsav, President of Israel, The Jerusalem Post, May 10, 2001)

It is incredible how powerful the lapses of some short term memories can be – perhaps Moshe Katsav has forgotten the Jewish Ghettos from New York to Poland that the Jews inhabited not too long ago
themselves. Furthermore, this was their unfortunate 'state of being' when they were free and no military occupying power was constricting them to death. The beleaguered peoples whom the erstwhile former President of Israel finds so easy to belittle as "not belong to our continent, to our world, but actually belong to different galaxy" on the other hand are living under a brutal Israeli military occupation after they were already once evicted from their own lands when the Zionist state was first constructed in their peaceful midst and forced into the subsequently second whammy of military occupation of even that small parcel of land – generations have been wasted under the murderous occupiers watchful gun turrets. Shame! What has happened to the humanity of these Israelis? Why should the world take any sympathy on these peoples anymore for their holocaust? They are handing the same systematic genocide to another innocent peoples – only spread out across generations and in plain sight of the silently spectating world. Witness the following comments of an American President Harry S. Truman from his Diary July 21, 1947. Every word of it is reflected in the Zionist Jews’ own merciless actions in Palestine since the founding of Israel in 1948:

“The Jews, I find are very, very selfish. They care not how many Estonians, Latvians, Finns, Poles, Yugosloavs or Greeks get murdered or mistreated as D[isplaced] P[ersons] as long as the Jews get special treatment. Yet when they have power, physical, financial or political neither Hitler not Stalin has anything on them for cruelty or mistreatment to the under dog.”

So upon which "ubermensch" principle of morality has the distinguished President Carter come up with his definition of Apartheid? Hasn't he even bothered to read the late Daniel Pearl's wife, Marriane Pearl's touching autobiographical book in memory of her murdered husband "A Mighty Heart", in which on page 15 she writes of the newest and latest DNA technologies being employed in
Israel for the ultimate in racism and Apartheid that even far surpasses South Africa:

“Last October, at a film festival in Montreal, I won an award for a controversial documentary I made for French and German public television about Israel's use of genetic screening. Under Israel's Law of Return, almost any Jew has the right to return to the ancient homeland. But how do you make sure someone is actually Jewish? To determine who qualifies, Israeli authorities have used DNA testing to examine applicants' genetic makeup. My film explored the political and sociological implications of this process, which are confusing and disturbing.”

(Marriane Pearl "A Mighty Heart" page 15)

I am only assuming that the former President Carter does not receive his daily briefings from the White House anymore, and therefore may not have kept up with the latest news in racism of Israel's innate makeup! Can some courageous reader put the afore asked questions before the former American President publicly where he is compelled to respond as the world continually fawns their oohs and aahs at just the thought of a former President of the United States of America even thinking of criticizing Israel?

I am sorry that I am less than impressed, credentials or no credentials. One does not need to be in possession of the title of "President" to see the difference between "good and evil" or to be "beyond" it, or indeed, does one? Seems like all the moralist thinking of people like Hannah Arendth in profound lamentary books such as "Eichmann in Jerusalem - A Report on the Banality of Evil" is mainly confined to the crimes committed against the mighty "ubermensch" themselves! Also see comment (the first one) on Time Magazine's 'The Middle East' blog in response to an amazing article by Phil Zabriskie titled "Reading Between, Over, Around the Lines..." March 8, 2007, at http://time-
'There might well be a fair number of people who think that a state of conflict, marked often by violence and at times death, is the natural state of things here, that endless cycles of mutual antagonism, persecution, and victimization is how it's supposed to be, a kind of prophecy foretold.'

I am not an expert on prophesy, but certainly commonsense suggests that evil flourishes because many good people choose to remain silent, and those who perpetuate it ['state of conflict'] are usually ordinary peoples - as noted by Hannah Arendth in “Eichmann in Jerusalem - A Report on the Banality of Evil”. And when she observed the “ordinariness” of Adolph Eichmann, she was “reprimanded”, putting it charitably. Because we always like to perceive that horrible crimes are only committed by super horrible peoples, and ordinary peoples have no role in being “good Germans”.

I would like to draw your kind attention to “the endless trail of red herrings” on this topic that even conscionable and distinguished writers, in mainstream, as well as dissent-stream, keep perpetuating, unable to see past the mythologies and red herrings with their own good commonsense.

Please see my humble article on http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org with the above title.

I hope you do publish my comment - it is very difficult to have an ordinary person, a plebeian, have
his voice heard - it's always the special interests who get the airwaves/mainstream to themselves. Perhaps Time can be courageous enough to change that - and run my article as their cover story? A plebeian can dream of a time when their own voices can inform the peoples, can't he?

Thank you
Zahir Ebrahim
Founder Project Humanbeingsfirst™
c/o humanbeingsfirst at gmail com

[5] Noam Chomsky had written to me 'Furthermore, you are apparently unaware that I have, since childhood, been a very vocal advocate of a binational state as part of a broader federation. But I stress the word "advocate."' while he continued to justify the two-state solution with "impracticality" and what appeared to me to be specious political expediency arguments. Thus I had informed him that I was going to let him respond publicly, and I eagerly look forward to him cogently explaining his positions "loud and clear" in the light of this essay in which I have, as a non-scholar, rather an ordinary plebeian, challenged his profound wisdom based upon the moral imperatives that I am compelled to humbly spell out in my essay “Responsibility of Intellectuals – Redux”.

I have to admit here of my own close sense of affinity to Noam Chomsky as his lifelong student once upon a time, and as his nondescript student at MIT while studying EECS, where I first learned about the “real” US Foreign Policies. And as one who has benefited from Chomsky's moral teachings and analytical techniques of news deconstruction tremendously, some of the lessons learned I hope are also exhibited in this essay. As I wrote to Chomsky, and which I excerpt below, my humble effort to critically examine his positions in public is as much a matter of my own conscience as that which compels him to stand up to the tyranny of his own nation. I also
have to admit that I remained a covetous reader of Noam Chomsky's books and essays throughout my life, until 2003, when new realizations dawned upon me and I stopped being impressed by other peoples' ideas, including Chomsky, and decided to start thinking for myself ab initio. Some of these realizations are also mentioned in my very detailed essay “Dialog Among Civilizations: Why talks fail? - Part I” in the context of 911, wondering why, the two most notorious gadflies on the planet, Noam Chomsky and Robert Fisk, suddenly found new trust and faith in the Government's version of it. These essays are available at http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org, in a feeble plebeian effort once again to speak out against the new unprovoked impending war of “shock and awe” upon another defenseless nation - “and I still curse the killers, their accomplices, the indifferent spectators who knew [or now know] and kept silent”.

“First let me genuinely once again acknowledge the debt of gratitude that I have for you being my teacher most of my adult life. We have a saying in Urdu, loosely translated, it says - 'the cat is the auntie of the lion'. It means the cat taught everything to the lion, except to climb the tree. Obviously to save its own skin. In our culture, as well as I am sure in other cultures, we often refer to experts and teachers and other specialists who hold things back from their students and under-studies, with similar phrases. Such a phrase, is entirely unjust for you. You have indeed never held anything back as far as teaching your mind to anyone and everyone who has wanted to learn. And for this, I am most grateful. And to some tiny extent, I am applying the skills learnt from you, to attempt to disarm you, and other Zionists like you, intellectually speaking. I am not an intellectual, nor an erudite scholar, but a mere ordinary person who is now a minor social worker [...] and a small time grass-roots
justice activist. To the extent I succeed in checking you, it must surely make you happy that you taught well. To the extent I fail, it is my own shortcomings and a limitation of my own small mind.”
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First Published February 28, 2007
By reframing the struggle for Palestine, from the struggle against the European Jewry's quest for Lebensraum on Arab soil for its Roman Jerusalem, to the struggle against the common enemy, the hectoring hegemon seeking one-world government, the Palestinians can harness the entire world's 'untermenschen' struggles against the primal global enemy of mankind.

I have realized over my many years of interaction with Zionists, that many among them genuinely believe what this anonymous person un-
The nom de plume “Ahmad Yaqeen”, has stated in his comment to Joseph Massad's Al-Ahram Weekly article 'The Language of Zionism' here. [1]

'The Arabs not only rejected partition, but attacked Israel from all sides. On the day that Israel declared its independence, the Arab League Secretary, General Azzam Pasha declared “jihad”, a holy war. He said, “This will be a war of extermination and a monumental massacre which will be spoken of like the Mongolian massacres and the Crusades”. The Mufti of Jerusalem, Haj Amin Al Husseini stated, “I declare a holy war, my Moslem brothers! Murder the Jews! Murder them all!” ... The fact remains that Israel is a nation state that existed 2400 years before Islam where a Nation referred to as Palestine and the Palestinian people never existed. ... When the State of Israel was reborn in 1948 c.e., the “Palestinians” did not exist yet, the Arabs had still not discovered that "ancient" people. They were too busy with the purpose of annihilating the new Sovereign State and did not intend to create any Palestinian entity, but only to distribute the land among the already existing Arab states. They were defeated. They attempted again to destroy Israel in 1967, and were humiliated in only six days, in which they lost the lands that they had usurped in 1948. In those 19 years of Arab occupation of Jerusalem, Judea, Samaria and the Gaza Strip, neither Jordan nor Egypt suggested to create a “Palestinian” state, since the still non-existing Palestinians would have never claimed their alleged right to have their own state... Paradoxically, during the British Mandate, it was not any Arab group but the Jews that were known as “Palestinians”! '
When strident young Jews imbued with the spirit of Zionism make their “Aliyah” to reclaim their lost paradise from those 'untermensch' occupying their Promised Holy Lands, they are not just playing frivolous word games, or indulging in weekend protest marches shouting at the top of their lungs for justice to prevail in the Holy Lands only to go back to their own “bread and circuses” the next day. These young Zionists are actually quite dedicated, willing to sacrifice themselves for the categorical imperative inculcated into them since birth. To their mind, Israel Project is a moral self-defense to simply reclaim what has been theirs for 3000 years – as Shimon Peres remarked on the occasion of the 60th birthday celebration of Israel to George W. Bush: “Welcome to the new Israel: Three thousand years old, and going on sixty”. The underpinnings of the zealotry behind that celebration is examined here. [2]

As seen by these Zionist zealots, mankind throughout the ages had only usurped what was granted to the Jews by their god as a sacred gift – and the modern Zionists are merely reclaiming their own properties from the bad Goy, from the evil Amelekites divinely ordained to perdition at their hands anyway, and none shall stand in the holy way of their jihad. I personally know of no Palestinian in Diaspora who can match that zealotry and commitment to cause of the Zionists. Most Palestinians I know or have met in my life are content with shedding tears in silent remembrance, which of course breaks out in boisterous sloganeering every now and then, but ultimately take their Nakba “whose parallel may only be the one of Sinai when something was revealed to mankind”, as a divine test. “Hasbi-Allahu-wa-nai'mul-wakeel” is a common prayer on many a quivering Muslim lip. But I have seen many Jewish younglings in Zionists garb who have scared me by their Zionist fervor in no less a measure than perhaps any mind-controlled suicide bomber would scare me.

The fact that Zionism also killed off their god after he had made them his 'chosen peoples' and issued them Holy Land grants, is not insigni-
ficant, nor a nonsequitur. It is a real philosophy! It forms the real impetus behind the self-empowerment and self-reliance of the Jews in the precise tradition of Talmudic Judaism. This phenomenon has to be comprehended at many complex levels in order to understand the unusual and unmatched power of Zionistan in the world today. A tiny minority's minority which can ride a sole superpower with just the flick of a wick, as well as all the mighty European powers who just 70 to 100 years ago were purportedly so very antagonistic to them, with such brazen impunity! What's the source of such inexplicable power? Is the Jewish State comparable to South Africa? We only see the effects in common – which leads some to believe that the same sort of tactics as were used to end Apartheid in South Africa can also work on the Jewish State. Most fail to recognize that the Jewish State of modernity is unlike any other. It has the protection of an “Iron Wall” which never mind breach, few can even see. It is a singularity, an inexplicability whose parallel does not exist in the non-mythical annals of history.

Acquiring this comprehension appears to be a limiting challenge for victims of Zionism. This is empirically in evidence even as I write this. For instance, take these conscionable peoples clamoring for BDS against Israel. I am sorry to suggest that they are being taken for the same sort of ride on a treadmill as I was when I answered the moral call of International ANSWER and participated in the protest marches in 2002-2003 hoping to avert the horrendously criminal invasion of Iraq. Since I am also an engineer by training, and performing post-mortem of why things work and don't work is part of my analytical profession which itself relies on the intelligent use of “Mens et Manus” (i.e., mind and hand) to understand real world problems and engineer real world solutions that must work in order to continue collecting a paycheck, I applied that propensity borne of training to understand why the protests had not worked. How could millions of protesting peoples have been so trivially dismissed as a “focus group”? The same way that BDS will be dismissed. It was examined
here. [3]

The postmortems were revealing to me. And that's when I stopped attending protest marches as a means to bringing change, and more as a means to meeting other activists, and perhaps venting my lungs off its burden. Just a little bit of independent thinking, away from the influence peddling of all the lauded dissent chiefs of the West, had showed me what had been staring me in the face all my life and I just hadn't seen it. It had indeed taken a catalyzing event like the “new pearl harbor”, not just for Brzezinski's “imperial mobilization”, but also for me to finally grasp that as a matter of Machiavellian statecraft in free societies, opposition to the exercise of hegemony by its conscionable peoples must be put on treadmills of inefficacy as a matter of governance. And this can only be accomplished by systematically instrumenting false leaders, false scholars, false dissent-chiefs, and glamorizing them enough for their public stances against hegemony, that energetic people of conscience rebelling against the tyranny of status quo will naturally gravitate towards them for moral guidance. When a pied piper leads you, how do you know where he or she is really taking you? How do you know what he really means by the words he uses to inform you? How do you know her motivation? This was explored here by this scribe, and here by Peter Schweizer, research fellow at the Hoover Institution. [4]

To liberate the Jews from the clutches of Zionism will be a major service to the Jews themselves – they can thank us later.

The Language of Zionism described by Joseph Massad, as are my examples drawn from PNAC and Brzezinski – such as “American peace”, “moral clarity”, “benevolent order” – quoted in my earlier comment to Massad's article on the same website, are only the most egregious but rather transparent examples. There are far more sophisticated mechanisms of deception, such as calculated omissions, half-truths, echoing the axioms of empire while appearing to critique its effects, and “neuro-linguistic pro-
gramming”. This latter mechanism relies on subliminal manipulation and is explained here. [5]

Calculated omission is perhaps the most crafty tool of persuasion as it relies on ignorance – for who can have complete knowledge of every subject? Aldous Huxley aptly called it the “iron curtain”:

’The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals.’ (Aldous Huxley, 1946 Preface to Brave New World, 1931)

Keeping thinking peoples plausibly occupied lest they discover the real levers of power is much more complicated than mere manufacturing consent among the masses who are rather easily amenable to simple propaganda. That science of mass persuasion is already well understood, thanks to the pioneering work of Edward Bernays and the Mighty Wurlitzer, not to overlook Goebbels and Hitler, as the engineering of consent from the majority. The minority of thoughtful peoples however, also often the people of conscience, pose a different problem. They can actually think and not easily prone to the mass propaganda. If not craftily waylaid, they stand to acquire some real comprehension of the otherwise carefully hidden from the masses in plain-sight, conspiratorial forces which actually shape their world. The rebels can also potentially figure out that the visible rulers whom they elect with such gravitas every four years, to presumably run their country on their behalf in a celebration of democracy which affords
them the choice of twiddledee and twiddledum in a carefully choreographed Hegelian Dialectic, are actually not their public servants. To hide the fact that these psychopaths – at least on the prima facie evidence of their bizarre penchant for incessant war-mongering upon innocent peoples – whom they elect with such fanfare, are really the errand boys of an invisible oligarchy, manufacturing dissent is a necessary instrument of statecraft. It can be studied here and here. [6]

With that necessary detour to illustrate how the Western peoples are manipulated between manufacturing of consent and manufacturing of dissent – the engineering of public obedience such that it can become difficult for both individual and society to comprehend the choices one is making when one follows pied pipers or gets caught up in trends and popular revolutionary movements – let's return to our main topic of understanding the forces which drive Zionism.

While some think that Zionism is the invention of Theodor Herzl, it isn't. Hardly anyone among the Palestinians I know has ever heard of Rabbi Moses Hess, who was in fact the first modern Zionist. He invented the 'Roman Jerusalem' in 1828 with Rothschild's blessings, some suggest also fundings. The fact that the Balfour Declaration was addressed to a Rothschild elder, is very significant for understanding the uncanny power of Zionism. Read Zionism's own Moses' divine tablet Rome and Jerusalem here. [7]

Both Moses Hess' Zionism, as well as its offspring, the Jewish State, trace its theology of "will to normalize the existence of the Jewish people", as Leo Strauss put it, to the Talmudic Rabbinical Judaism. Israel Shahak examined it in his book: Jewish History, Jewish Religion The Weight of Three Thousand Years. It can be read here. [8]

And here is Leo Strauss explaining a primacy which in reality is more than 2000 years old, rather than having only just invented it himself in the prominent atheism of the twentieth century after god was declared dead by Nietzsche in the previous century:

'Political Zionism has repeatedly characterized itself
as the will to normalize the existence of the Jewish people, to normalize the Jewish people. By this self-definition it has exposed itself to a grave misunderstanding, namely, the misunderstanding that the will to normality was the first word of political Zionism; the most effective criticism of political Zionism rests on this misunderstanding. In truth, the presupposition of the Zionist will to normalization, that is, of the Zionist negation of galut [exile], is the conviction that “the power of religion has been broken”. Because the break with religion has been resolutely effected by many individual Jews, and only because of this reason, it is possible for these individuals to raise the question on behalf of their people, how the people is to live from now on. Not that they prostrate themselves before the idol of normality; on the contrary: they no longer see any reason for the lack of normality. And this is decisive: in the age of atheism, the Jewish people can no longer base its existence on God but only on itself alone, on its labor, on its land, and on its state. ...' (page 202, Leo Strauss, The Early Writings 1921-1932)

Look at that last sentence: “And this is decisive: in the age of atheism, the Jewish people can no longer base its existence on God but only on itself alone, on its labor, on its land, and on its state. ...”

With the negation of god in the above narratives, where did the Jewish people get its land, and its state?

So most thinking people tend to dismiss all this illogic of Zionism as gibberish of some sick minds, as double standards, and as hypocrisy. In my view, it is none of that, unless evil can be defined as “sick”. I don't a priori. A philosophy or an idea is only evil in relation to an absolute standard of good. Otherwise, like Justice Vinson of the U.S. Supreme Court had stated in 1951: “Nothing is more certain in mod-
ern society than the principle that there are no absolutes, that a name, a phrases, a standard has meaning only when associated with the considerations which give birth to nomenclature. To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative.” In other words, Zionism in the modern context is just another relative concept beyond the purview of absolute definitions of good and evil. It is whatever the reigning power wants it to be. If it says it's moral, then it's moral. In fact, it is seeded in respectable philosophy by Western standards. It is the philosophy of Spinoza and Nietzsche in modern times, and of Plato in ancient times. It is the philosophy of the ubermensch who by the very nature of being uber alles, are licensed to define their own standards of morality (and this is how the Straussian's read Plato's virtuous divine philosopher-king: since divine is dead, so philosopher is king, and therefore can create his own definition of virtue – which is effectively what you see Leo Strauss writing above). And this is also precisely how Vladimir Jabotinsky defined the morality of Zionism in his seminal 1923 article The Iron Wall. It can be read here. [9]

“Two brief remarks: In the first place, if anyone objects that this point of view is immoral, I answer: It is not true; either Zionism is moral and just or it is immoral and unjust. But that is a question that we should have settled before we became Zionists. Actually we have settled that question, and in the affirmative. We hold that Zionism is moral and just. And since it is moral and just, justice must be done, no matter whether Joseph or Simon or Ivan or Achmet agree with it or not. There is no other morality.” (Vladimir Jabotinsky, The Iron Wall).

This attitude of defining morality by one's own ubermensch definition is a very profoundly banal philosophy with direct Talmudic roots. It is banal because it's the philosophy of any godfather. It is profound be-
cause it has been turned into a respectable philosophy by great minds. A philosophy which bastardized the Biblical Moses' moral message to the Semitic Jews of Canaan of an absolute moral Covenant between God and its “Chosen Peoples” (perhaps for spreading the divine light among mankind – otherwise why else?), to an ubermensch 'chosen peoples' created to lord upon the “goy”. There is simply no other rational and commonsensical way of semantically capturing the rise and fall of Judaism from divine to uber alles, whether or not one believes in divinity. Judaism is empirical, as is Christianity, and so is Islam, Hinduism, and Bhuddism, the major surviving ancient dogmas and religions of mankind today. As a philosophy, all great religions of mankind have some universalistic spiritual and moral underpinnings. Only the 'ubermensch' Judaism of the three Abrahamic religions acquired this peculiar character of 'uber alles', meaning, above all the others, in its self-defined continuously evolving morality “to normalize the Jewish people”. While Leo Strauss attributes it to the age of atheism, empiricism indicates that this has in fact been the norm of the Rabbis throughout the past 3000 years!

If the existence of Moses isn't merely a mythology as some modern skeptics suggest, and the Jews did indeed receive a sensible moral code from the Prophet like the universalistic Ten Commandments, then, Judaism's corruption to that perversity of the 'ubermensch' was entirely the work of the Talmudic Rabbis. And it was this long historical perversion as the overarching ethos of the Jewish tradition, which enabled casting Zionism as a moral philosophy, a moral imperative of the Jews, and a moral pursuit. Hence anything in opposition to it is by definition immoral. Consequently, it is to be repulsed by any means possible, including 'Noble Lies' (Leo Strauss), and mercilessly killing any goy who might interfere with the existence of the Jewish State, or interfere with its imperatives. This lofty morality of Zionism can be seen in the recent Law Book of Israel, “The King's Torah” (or “The King’s Teaching”) for instance, written by a settler Rabbi occupying the West Bank, Rabbi Yitzhak Shapira: “In any situation in which a
non-Jew’s presence endangers Jewish lives, the non-Jew may be 
killed even if he is a righteous Gentile and not at all guilty for the 
situation that has been created”. Read more about it here or here. [10]

This sort of perverse ethos ingrained among the 'chosen peoples'
against the 'goy' has endured the vicissitudes of time for over two 
thousand of years. That's a lot of historical and cultural baggage in an-
cient to modern books to be carrying upon one's cultural, religious and 
philosophical back. Such entrenched ethos is the primal motivation 
for “Aliyah” which none but the Jews who espouse Zionism can ap-
preciate. One cannot underestimate this motivation. It forms the fun-
damental basis among world's Jewry for supporting the Jewish State 
no matter where they live. It enables recruiting agents, assets and say-
anim from among them as described by Victor Ostrovsky in By Way 
of Deception. It can be read here. [11]

As formidable and incomparable as that ethos is, it is still mere motiv-
ation. Not its enactment, and not its harvesting. Without a harnessing 
force, the motivation remains still-born. The only way Zionism could 
find empirical expression globally was with massive funding, massive 
political power, and massive alignment with ruling imperial powers. 
Where did all that magically come from? If the Western world was so 
anti-Semitic, how did the most hated and reviled people in Europe 
convince their own oppressors? The Zionist narrations tell us of this 
and that magical powers of persuasion of this or that Zionist leader. 
Without a prime-moving force backing them, and it being known that 
they represent that power, such magic is only for bed-time fiction. In 
the two hundred years since Moses Hess, Zionism's global expression 
is entirely manufactured with those three instruments mentioned 
above. Before that, the aspiration for Zion existed mainly in books and 
in prayers. Its ubermensch Talmudic philosophy only found ex-
pression in the Jews' local life among the goyem in various ad hoc 
forms, primarily as the underpinning of a battle of survival of the 
minority among an inimical majority who blamed that minority for 
having killed their lord Jesus. And the Jewish minority under the lead-
ership of their dictatorial Rabbis, holding itself off as superior to all others and refusing integration with the majority. That dynamics was always local until the Zionism of the globalists made it global.


Without the continuity of an immensely powerful financial prime-mover – from which all else follows – motivational Zionism would remain a theoretical idea in dusty old books to primarily torture young orthodox Jewish seminary students and secular atheists in Western universities with. Who'd ever pursue it as a categorical imperative in the enlightened modernity du jour where Jews can hardly draw on any empirical evidence of their oppression to motivate their flock? Before two hundred years ago, most Jews were not the Zionist of today, even though, they did harbor these same ubermensch underpinnings. The translation of a tortuous philosophy from ancient books to existential global enactment is entirely the premeditated act of fabrication. That requires a prime-moving force. It is the willful act of money, and the willful act of conniving power, a power which can systematically mold, manipulate, corrupt, and indoctrinate across generations, across countries, and across the barriers of time and space. This is not an organic natural spread of a plague – for it could not be sustained for 200 years un-abated! It is more akin to the deliberate spread of a biowarfare agent of maximum penetration. The Zionist robot commenter mentioned in the beginning of this article is a tragic victim of this plague.

That is the only reasonable explanation for how Zionism can simultaneously combine so many opposites without their turning on each other – from vehemently orthodox right-wing Zionist settlers bobbing
at the wailing wall praying to their god with guns slung over their shoulders, to the secular atheist left-wing Zionist ideologue fanatics who still believe that being Jew means something divine, uber alles, a race with their own categorical imperatives of primacy.

Whereas antagonists within other religiosities of far less theological dispersion tend to turn their guns upon each other first! Why does that not happen among the Jews? We can have Protestants and Catholics on each others throat, we can have Sunni and Shia on each others throat, but I have never heard of the many different polarities of Zionists in the past 100 years on each others throat. Within just that epoch, we had a 100 million Christians barbarically kill each other, and many million Muslims barbarically kill each other! Not to forget the 6 million Jews of course, mercilessly Holocausted™ by the Christians, but for which the Muslims are being compelled to pay the price by the Jews and the Christians now inexplicably and suddenly teaming up. If we simply examine the recorded facts of who were the major warmongers who created and supported all the wars of the twentieth century – the Century of Wars – and who participated in the peace-conferences after each one and what was systematically achieved, a perspective which can finally begin to see the outlines of the trumpeting but apparently invisible elephant in the bedroom quickly emerges. In every single instance, there is only one common prime-mover without which, these synthetic clashes could not have materialized. The owners of the private central banks. As the pithy saying goes: “give me control of a nation's money supply and I care not who makes its laws”. That's because all else follows by simply controlling the instruments of money. Which is why, such a fundamental power is called the prime-mover. It is examined here and here. [12]

Suffice it to say, the prime-mover force behind Zionism brings a lot more diabolicalness, and a lot more internal cohesion from its apparent random diversity, to the realization of the physical Jewish State in Palestine than meets the superficial eye. And they are even able to legalize it without causing any internecine bloodshed among them-
According to Lasse Wilhelmson, there was a law passed in Israel in the mid 1980s which made it illegal to challenge the character of the state of Israel. The nature of the Jewish State cannot be questioned. It is an axiom of Zionism, as well as an axiom of law by the fiat of legality. There can be no political party with a platform which seeks any transformation to the Jewish character of Israel, taking part in its political process. There can be no transformation by the way the axioms of the Jewish state are constructed – some articulated, such as Jabotinsky's assertion that Zionism is moral, and others not. Therefore, realistically, there can be no transformation so long as the prime-movers behind Israel wield the force of Zionism. The visible Zionists, whether in Israel or in the rest of the world, would be powerless without the prime-mover which unites them. Putting it another way, the many colored Zionist robots are merely the replaceable foot-soldiers fabricated in a 'Sony' factory and enacting the diabolical interests of the prime-movers. Perhaps they too are being made a patsy, as a Hegelian Dialectic, just as they routinely make the goyem a patsy. This was explored here. [13] While many reformed Jews who have weaned themselves away from Zionism will freely describe the real abhorrent character of the Jewish State in great honesty, few will dare to address the real prime-mover force behind Zionism. It is almost like a religion of pretense that such a prime-mover force does not exist. See for instance, Lasse Wilhelmson who does a good job on the former, but is inexplicably silent on the latter, in Zionism – more than traditional colonialism and apartheid here. [14]

The Zionist Jews, among all the other peoples on earth, are the ones being criminally forced to most closely live their own baggage of history by these prime-movers. That is an empirical fact which is often not considered by the victims of Zionism in understanding the uncanny forces which drive their formidable enemy.

To liberate the Jews from the clutches of Zionism will be a major service to the Jews themselves – they can thank us later. The motivations
which drive Zionism have been made ubiquitous in the West and the invisible force enabling that fact cannot be underestimated. Its uncanny power to co-opt primary loyalties of Western statesmen, their institutions, their universities, their global corporations, as well as a large majority of Christian masses, is empirical. But it is easy to confuse cause and effect when protesting that primacy of the “chosen peoples”, especially when the former remains invisible to the public eye while making the effects most egregiously visible!

Therefore, focussing on very visible Jewish political action groups like AIPAC, ADL, JDL, Chabad Lubavitch Hasidics, et. al., who put Israel first to influence the superpower's policies, or the hundred Jewish-dominated opaquely funded private think-tanks like the AEI, CFR, et. al., who ab initio construct the polices of war and hegemony favoring Israel, without betraying any comprehension of the actual prime-movers behind them, is not only an exercise in futility, but these visible magnets are deliberately there, and manifest themselves with their inexplicable arrogance, precisely in order to draw fire away from the invisible prime-movers!

While many betray that they are aware of the motto “wage war by way of deception”, I am sorely disappointed that very few in the West, never mind among the victimized beleaguered Palestinians, actually betray what it means when it comes to understanding front-men and front-organizations representing a powerful oligarchy. Only as the representatives of some mighty force not in the public eye, do the foot-soldiers in the public eye acquire the immense power that we see them wielding. When the White House and the Congress pays obeisance to AIPAC for instance, they are paying homage to the king behind them. If unfamiliar with this state of affairs, see Colonel Edward Mandell House's depiction in Philip Dru: Administrator.

Rather than betray the acuity of having forensically recognized this modern mechanism of statecraft, of wielding power from behind the scenes, recording ex post facto narratives is the zenith of Western scholarship. Not all of it manufactured of course – but much of it suf-
fering from psychological cataracts which enable seeding the faits ac-
complis of these front-men as “history's actors”:

'..."That's not the way the world really works any-
more," he continued. "We're an empire now, and
when we act, we create our own reality. And while
you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will
-- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which
you can study too, and that's how things will sort out.
We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be
left to just study what we do."...' (Ron Suskind, New

This is why neither protest marches, nor BDS, nor tea-parties, nor
sailing to Gaza, nor the ISM's taking bullets to their head in the holy
land of oppression, nor bearing witness, nor attempts at reforms, nor
end the fed campaign, etceteras, can ever work. Because, these ad-
dress the symptoms, the mere incantations and projections of power,
and not the prime-mover forces behind them. To the extent that these
symptomatic motivators are able to rally conscionable well-inten-
tioned thinking peoples behind them, is the extent of the success of
fabricated dissent, of putting people on the treadmills of inefficacy.
As an engineer, a systems architect bringing a systems analysis per-
spective to deconstructing political science and social engineering,
this is my commonsensical, technical, and empirical assessment. One
has to go straight for the jugular of the tiny misanthropic coterie
wielding the immense prime-mover force to be effective in overcom-
ing all the abhorrences being seeded by their numerous psychopathic
errand boys across the planet, including in Zionistan. See for instance,
Who is more guilty of monumental war crimes – the prime-movers or
trigger pullers?, here. [15]

It is important to reemphasize: it is not their thoughts or their motiva-
tions which are a crime. People are free to have any thoughts, and en-
titled to believe any crap they want. It is only their acts, or when their
motivations lead to, or sustain, or otherwise in any way interfere in re-
dressing the crimes perpetuated against an innocent peoples, which are a crime. The Nuremberg Military Tribunals aptly emphasized that core principle before hanging the old Nazis, military men, civilians, propagandists and philosophers alike (while setting free the principal financial architect of the Third Reich, Dr. Hjalmar Schacht, explored here [16]).

“The intellectual bankruptcy and moral perversion of the Nazi regime might have been no concern of international law had it not been utilized to goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers. It is not their thoughts, it is their overt acts which we charge to be crimes.” (Robert H. Jackson, Last Day Closing Speech, Nuremberg).

But as Bernard Lewis also convincingly argues in “Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror”:

“Terrorism requires only a few. Obviously the West must defend itself by whatever means will be effective. But in devising means to fight the terrorist, it would surely be useful to understand the forces that drive them.” (page xxxii)

That's one shrewd empirical wisdom I have never contended with Bernard Lewis on. And I apply it myself to understand the motivations of the superterrorists. And not just Zionists, but all hectoring hegemons. For truly, “in devising means to fight the [super]terrorists, it would surely be useful to understand the forces that drive them.” However, it is not just the examination of motivational forces of history and philosophy, but also the dynamic contemporary prime-mover forces which empirically wield such an immense power that none can interfere with Zionistan in its genocide of the Palestinians, and yet themselves remain practically invisible to the victims.

Exactly like an invisible “Iron Wall”, which the beleaguered victims simply cannot breach! These words of Jabotinsky have far more im-
report than has been accorded them:

“This colonization can, therefore, continue and develop only under the protection of a force independent of the local population – an iron wall which the native population cannot break through.”

What is that “force independent of the local population”?

Hitherto, almost all students of the “Iron Wall” have thought it as the Jewish terror of the awesome Israeli military power, the fourth largest military power on earth according to the British Institute of Strategic Studies after the USA, the Soviet Union and the People’s Republic of China – well ahead of nations like Britain and France. Whereas I perceive that Jabotinsky's “Iron Wall” is referring to something far more fundamental than merely an effect. It can only be referring to an invincible prime-mover. Only that empirically explains the inscrutable and indomitable power of international Zionism which pervades all the Western capitals. This understanding also enables looking for that infinitely resourceful prime-mover and to elevate the battle against Zionism where it can actually have some efficacy. And this has been the power of the prime-mover – it's ability to stay hidden from the public eye and leave the people merely grappling with the effects!

When a people believe something, whatever may be the merits of the belief, and acquire the power to enact that belief, what is the primary enemy to address in order to effectively counter it: 1) the visible expression of that power; 2) the hidden motivations that drive that visible expression of power; 3) the hidden prime-movers who ab initio fabricate and harness that motivation into a political goal and orchestrates it with all their financial and political might through those errand boys we see in the visible expressions of power while they themselves remain hidden from public view?

I leave it for the reader to explore those simple questions for themselves. A reasoned determination of causality, the forensic distinction between cause and effect, and an understanding that people have de-
liberately been led to focus on the effects, then logically ought to define their next pursuits. I wager that following logic and rationalism, as opposed to religion, feel-goods, and other emotionalisms, the reader will come to the same logical conclusions as reached by this scribe. Either kill the golem with a thousand cuts, but that does require administering a thousand cuts and preventing each wound from coagulating, or, directly reach for its heavily protected heart and yank it out. All else is touchy-feely spiritualism. A run on the treadmill of Zion's own construction crafted for the beleaguered people!

Returning to focus on Zionism and the forces which drive it, initially, as a young man tremendously angered by the horrendous Zionist oppression of Palestinians, I didn't comprehend this motivational mindset. And over a period of three decades of earnest interlocution with Zionists of all shades, both friendly, and not so friendly, even including with my own teacher Noam Chomsky, I still haven't figured out how to address such ingrained zealotry borne of systematic indoctrination that commences from the time when they are in their mother's womb, with any measure of efficacy. Wait just a minute you might well ask at this point if you haven't been entirely dozing off, Noam Chomsky indoctrinated? Well, I am just giving him a non-criminal way out for his support of Zionism, because I can't see why would he otherwise, as a left-wing atheist, even be a self-proclaimed Zionist? He is not of Semitic Middle Eastern origin, and like his ancestors, he was not born in Palestine. In most likelihood, he is a Khazar in origin. Why would he even aspire to be an idealist Zionist of the “1940s” variety, even if only seeking its expression in a “binational state”? There is simply no explanation for this irrationality coming from an uber-rational scholar who is even anointed “arguably the most important intellectual alive”.

To make the absurdity of this manifest, it is somewhat like my aspiring to be Semitic like the Arabs when I am from the Indian subcontinent, and arguing that the Arabs should gratuitously live with me in a binational state on their own land! Isn't that absurd? What makes
Chomsky a Zionist aspiring for a binational state for himself in someone else's Semitic homeland? There is simply no rational basis for such an aspiration – except, either being a colonizer, or being indoctrinated since birth, and in either case arguing the legitimacy of power and the reality on the ground, instead of moral right, to back it up. The fact that this criminal absurdity of validating the legitimacy of force to create unjust rights which do not naturally belong to one, is not visible to a scholar like Chomsky, can only be attributed to the psychological cataracts due to indoctrination. I can't really believe that a teacher of morality otherwise, like the Golden Rule, and always demonstrating a repugnance for hypocrites time and again in public talks, can also be a hypocrite colonizer himself. To his credit, he did not live in Israel, and moved back to the United States after being there in the 1950s and recognizing the injustices that had been purveyed upon the indigenous peoples in order to create a homeland for the Jews. But having profoundly recognized that reality, why justify it as an act of “international” agreement among nations endorsed by the United Nations? Why not principally call for Israel's outright dismantling as an Apartheid state, for permitting the Palestinian refugees to return, for paying restitution and compensation in the same measure as the Jews are extracting for Nazi crimes?

See my essay which has already deconstructed the convoluted theologies of the so called “soft Zionists” who ostensibly support the Palestinians for an hypothetical severely emasculated “Palestinian state” carved out of their own vast indigenous homeland gratuitously gifted away to the Jews; who boldly speak-out against the Israeli aggression; who at times even longingly speak of an hypothetical binational state, which some progressive Zionists today also pitch as “onestate” without fully explaining the semantics of what they actually mean by it – and it invariably does not include Palestinian refugees returning home; but all the while making continuous fools of the victims with red herrings a plenty in the best mold of “beneficial cognitive diversity” to buy time until realities on the ground become
impractical to reverse. Then, they glibly claim that the realities on the
ground are impractical to reverse! The analysis can be read here and
here. [17]

Recognizing such convolutions for what they are, is such a crucial and
contemporary matter that it requires further elaboration. Professor
Sholmo Sand is the new rage in the Palestinian town. Who hasn't
heard of him or his book: The Invention of the Jewish People. He is a
new hero among the Palestinians – well, among some at least, and like
Professor Noam Chomsky before him, some excitedly carry him upon
their head and shoulders just like they carry Professor Norm Finkel-
stein and many others. In fact, anyone from among the Jews who will
sympathize with them becomes a new showcase for the Palestinians.
Anna Baltzer is only the most recent example of that. Her leading per-
formance with Dr. Mustafa Barghouti on American television left
much to be desired. It is deconstructed here. [18] The indiscriminate
attachment to Jewish sympathizers of Palestinian plight and permit-
ting them to become the leading spokespersons for the Palestinians
has been great for ensuring that the Palestinian narrative before the
Western public is also controlled by the Jews – even though they be
most earnest in their show of sympathy. The “soft Zionists” on the
“left” have largely set the boundaries, or the book-ends, for the dis-
course on resolving Israel-Palestine in the West. Only a colonized
mind accepts the victimizers to be their liberators. This is also a rather
murky area and it is not easy to always know where to draw the line.
Or whether there should even be a line in an honest common struggle
when one sees enormously courageous Jews of conscience laying
down their own precious lives on a matter of principle, like those in
the ISM bearing witness to crimes against humanity and being shot
dead by the Israelis. But let's just stay with the imposing Jewish aca-
demic in this article.

Look what Professor Shlomo Sand says in the following interview –
and incidentally, after reading this interview, I lost all interest in read-
ing his book which doesn't contain anything new for me anyway bey-
ond what was revealed in The Thirteenth Tribe: Khazar Jews – The revelation of another Jewish hoax, By Arthur Koestler, 1976. It can be read here. [19]

Shlomo Sand's statements in Ha'aretz, 21/03/2008, Shattering a 'national mythology' By Ofri Ilani, can be read here. [20]

Begin Excerpt

“My initial intention was to take certain kinds of modern historiographic materials and examine how they invented the ‘figment' of the Jewish people. But when I began to confront the historiographic sources, I suddenly found contradictions. And then that urged me on: I started to work, without knowing where I would end up. I took primary sources and I tried to examine authors' references in the ancient period – what they wrote about conversion.”

“The supreme paradigm of exile was needed in order to construct a long-range memory in which an imagined and exiled nation-race was posited as the direct continuation of 'the people of the Bible' that preceded it,”

“I started looking in research studies about the exile from the land – a constitutive event in Jewish history, almost like the Holocaust. But to my astonishment I discovered that it has no literature. The reason is that no one exiled the people of the country. The Romans did not exile peoples and they could not have done so even if they had wanted to. They did not have trains and trucks to deport entire populations. That kind of logistics did not exist until the 20th century. From this, in effect, the whole book was born: in the realization that Judaic society was not dispersed and was not exiled.”

[Interviewer]: If the people was not exiled, are you saying that in fact the real descendants of the inhabitants of the Kingdom of Judah are the Palestinians?
“No population remains pure over a period of thousands of years. But the chances that the Palestinians are descendants of the ancient Judaic people are much greater than the chances that you or I are its descendants. The first Zionists, up until the Arab Revolt [1936-9], knew that there had been no exiling, and that the Palestinians were descended from the inhabitants of the land. They knew that farmers don't leave until they are expelled. Even Yitzhak Ben-Zvi, the second president of the State of Israel, wrote in 1929 that, 'the vast majority of the peasant farmers do not have their origins in the Arab conquerors, but rather, before then, in the Jewish farmers who were numerous and a majority in the building of the land.'”

[Interviewer] Why do you think the idea of the Khazar origins is so threatening?

“It is clear that the fear is of an undermining of the historic right to the land. The revelation that the Jews are not from Judea would ostensibly knock the legitimacy for our being here out from under us. Since the beginning of the period of decolonization, settlers have no longer been able to say simply: 'We came, we won and now we are here' the way the Americans, the whites in South Africa and the Australians said. There is a very deep fear that doubt will be cast on our right to exist.”

End Excerpt

If Professor Sand himself argues that there is no such thing as a Jewish people, and the Arab Palestinians are the original inhabitants of Palestine, then on what basis does he say the following:

Begin Excerpt

[Interviewer] Is there no justification for this fear?

“No. I don't think that the historical myth of the exile and the wanderings is the source of the legitimization for me being here, and there-
fore I don't mind believing that I am Khazar in my origins. I am not afraid of the undermining of our existence, because I think that the character of the State of Israel undermines it in a much more serious way. **What would constitute the basis for our existence here is not mythological historical right, but rather would be for us to start to establish an open society here of all Israeli citizens.**” (emphasis added)

**End Excerpt**

It is common among this breed of scholarly Zionists – which is perhaps why they also remain light-years ahead of the Palestinians – to argue among themselves not just whether Palestinians are a people (as both Moshe Katsav, Israel's former President, and Raphael Eitan, former Chief of Staff of the IDF, have variously pondered; it can be read here [21]), but also whether even Jews are a people. It's even reported in the New York Times: Scholars Debate Roots of Yiddish, Migration of Jews, October 29, 1996, which can be read here. [22]

There is nothing new Professor Shlomo Sand has to offer Palestinians in the Zionist's endless cycle of their own myth-constructions and their own myth-destruction, except a new twisted justification for the invaders to continue to occupy Palestine, despite himself arguing that he does not have any roots there! But wait, he is not packing up to leave as a matter of conscience, as a matter of principle, after learning all that truth about the myths he had been fed. Now, it is the new mantra of “establish an open society here of all Israeli citizens.!!

It's akin to a robber comes into my house, takes over on the pretext of an asinine justification that god gave this land to his ancestors and I am the illegal occupant of his house; me and my children spend all our lives trying to show that world that the robber is not only criminal taking over my house but also an expert liar; then, a few years later, the robbers' children and grandchildren create a different drama, some
showcasing books variously showing a) that there is no god and “in the age of atheism, the Jewish people can no longer base its existence on God but only on itself alone, on its labor, on its land, and on its state”, and b) that even there is no Jewish people; but the current crop of legatees still want to stay in my house which he illegally occupied to start with?

Is that absurd? But not in Alice in Wonderland.

Surely the following reaction is not absurd. It is understandable psychologically: Now my beleaguered family members are overjoyed by that statement of the robber, who is thus far forcibly living in my house, that yaaay, we can all finally live together in the house in relief because now we will have the same rights to go to our own bathrooms and roam inside our own entire house without having to first beg permission from the invaders occupying my house!

Yes, I can well imagine my children saying that to me excitedly, but in hushed whispers if I was in that position and Shlomo Sand's proposal was about to become a political reality. Then, the reconciliation would become the new mantra to legitimize the conquest. And I can also well imagine just being grateful for that bit of relief – that, I will now, finally, be able to roam in my own house without checkpoints and a suffocating wall, even if I might be still stuck with the invader and his oppressive alien culture and civilization, his hijacking of my culture, and his decimation of my previous history, culture, civilization, records, libraries, books, artifacts, and most of all, my ancestors and some of my children.

Apart from the fact that this strategy of temporary relief after enormous stress being the obvious Jabotinsky's “Iron Wall” method of getting the victims to acquiesce to their predicament when they have no other choice, and then the “compromise” even comes as a relief to them, the reconciliation will also be only as advantageous for the Palestinians as it has been for the Blacks in South Africa. They can vote and travel anywhere they want, while still living in their slums,
and that's a good enough start – better than staring down the gun-turrets 24x7, not to mention being daily showered in Shoah. And in that reconciliation, there will be, practicably, no Right of Return. Take careful note of it – any compensations will be with only funny-accounting and funny-money. The Palestinians in Diaspora will remain holding the keys to their homes forever, outside Israel in this new Israeli open society. That's what I suspect Shlomo Sands means by his “establish an open society here of all Israeli citizens”. He could also have straightforwardly stated that in his new open Israeli society, all displaced Palestinians would be permitted to return home, and all victims of Zionism would be compensated by the same measure as the Jews have sought from the Nazis! And the Diasporans celebrate his book? Absurd!

I am making only an argument here of sensibly what's morally right and what's morally Just. I am not arguing what a Palestinian ought to settle for to make peace at any price. They will first and foremost, be sold out by their own House Negro leadership, perhaps under the sound of the white man's trumpets and Hallelujah-Arabic songs singing during Nobel Peace Prize ceremonies. In that latter space, of resignation to fate and gratefully receiving whatever charity the oppressor hands them out of the generosity of its cold-blooded calculating heart, the victims are suffering from their own natural victimhood. To understand that side of the picture, of the victims echoing their victimizers' message in long-running traumas of mental colonization, I refer the reader to the writings and speeches of MLK and Malcolm X. It can also be gleaned in the FAQ here. [23] My letter to documentary-maker Wendy Campbell highlights the most recent aspect of it, the case of Dr. Mustafa Barghouti basking in the glory as the 2010 Nobel Peace nominee. This chap shows not an ounce of dignity and self-respect in adopting the language of Zionism and happily receiving the victimizer's applause – and yet, he too is a victimized Palestinian who has bravely suffered the Israeli occupation. Putting such co-opted learned peoples in-charge of the Palestinian leadership is part and par-
The letter can be read here. [24]

This article is not about the cracks and lacunae among the beleaguered victims and their lack of wherewithal in dealing with an infinitely more sophisticated enemy who appears to be light-years ahead of them in Machiavelli, all of which has been addressed elsewhere.

This article is entirely about understanding the forces behind the oppressors, cued off from the comment of a Zionist robot, to find a way forward through the maze of Zionist robots of different types, shapes, and lethality that are sent by the prime-mover forces to implement the colonization process by means so deceptive, that it can only be accurately described in the diction of their own intelligence motto: Waging war by way of Deception!

Conversations with indoctrinated robots of all types, Evangelical Christians, to Zionists, to also Muslims, even atheists, once upon a time as an energetic young student, used to consume enormous amounts of my time. I could never quite comprehend the inability of “others” to see what's right in front of their nose. Until I realized that indoctrination and socialization into a world view is part of the general human condition and plagues people quite democratically. It creates the “psychological cataracts” (borrowing MLK's terminology) which cannot be seen by the afflicted if they think there is no problems with their sight!

Therefore, I no longer indulge in such futility of dialog when it's obvious that the conversation is merely a power-play and not a genuine quest for knowledge or discovering truth. Indoctrination cannot be argued nor debated with. In point of fact, in political Machiavelli, such interlocutions become a clever tactic for keeping the Goy busy in idle pursuits, sort of the “bread and circuses” equivalent for those among the Goyem who like to think. It is used to defocus attention of the genuine truth-seekers; the real moral activists who seek to learn; who discuss not to orchestrate an a priori agenda, but to know for themselves and by knowing, to affect the cause of justice and fairness. And
that's why “cognitive infiltration” is used to distract the real truth-seekers. That's what “beneficial cognitive diversity” is for, and it permeates the Israel-Palestine discourses in the West. The word “beneficial” is in the language of Zionism, like “American Peace”. See for instance, its exposition in President Obama's Information Czar, Harvard's Cass Sunstein's 2008 paper on “Conspiracy Theories”. The official dictionary for the Language of Zionism, titled: Israel Project’s 2009 Global Language Dictionary, is here. [25]

Nowadays when faced with indoctrinated zombies, which is most of the time, I pertinently point to what their own ilk have written in counterpoint, and remain silent. Let all indoctrinated peoples play with each other and with themselves in the cesspool of their own endless academic theses, which in this instance of Palestine, is whether the Palestinian peoples are an invention or not, whether they are even human or not, and whether they are actually from another planet or not.

Palestinians do not have to partake in the immanent orgies of imbeciles being used as foot soldiers and cannon fodder by their elite. Their battle for survival is not with the robotic indoctrinated foot soldiers of Zionism, but with its prime-movers – the common financiers of all hectoring hegemons who are primarily responsible for translating political philosophies from the realm of immanence, tortuous or not, into the realm of empiricism. Those first-cause enablers of translation from theory into practice are thus culpable before any of their indoctrinated foot-soldiers can be held culpable.

Thus while one must understand the motivation which drive these robots of Zionism, to counter them effectively, one must counter their prime-movers! That is the only way. And so long as the prime-movers remain hidden, how can they ever be countered? The role of the House of Rothschild in fabricating Zionism, and also being the prime-mover force behind one-world government, is introduced here, here, and here. [26]
Interestingly, that rule of ascribing culpability is also the message of Islam to create amity among mankind that is despoiled by the corrupt and the war-mongers among us who set the entire society ablaze with their matches and fuel. It is also the basis of an enduring inner-peace for the Palestinians – they will not suffer from the psychological scars past their suffering-generations like the Jews have endured the baggage of 2000-3000 years. Islam is a very spiritually-cleansing force as both a psychology, and a philosophy. And I do believe so is Christianity, minus the mumbo-jumbo of its church's officialdom. And through both of them, the Jews can reclaim their own lofty teachings of the real Prophet Moses – the universal Ten Commandments which is a proper subset of both the teachings of Christianity and the teachings of Islam. As Edward Said had stated in *The Mirage of Peace*:

“Palestine/Israel is no ordinary bit of geography; it is more saturated in religious, historical and cultural significance than any place on earth. It is also now the place where two peoples, whether they like it or not, live together tied by history, war, daily contact and suffering. To speak only in geopolitical clichés (as the Clinton Administration does) or to speak about "separating" them (as Rabin does) is to call forth more violence and degradation. These two communities must be seen as equal to each other in rights and expectations; only from such a beginning can justice then proceed.” (Edward Said, The Nation, October 16, 1995)

Was Edward Said kidding that: “These two communities must be seen as equal to each other in rights and expectations; only from such a beginning can justice then proceed”? Am I kidding when I suggest that all the fundamental seeds for sowing such a fair Justice already exist among the peoples?

Let me just show it from the religion of Islam's teachings to imagine what can transpire in the presently aggrieved Arab-Muslim ethos
within the passage of a single generation or less if the calamity that has befallen us is lifted with actual fairness and equity, and not merely in the Language of Zionism:

“It was We who revealed the Torah (to Moses); therein was guidance and light. By its standard have been judged the Jews, by the Prophet who bowed (as in Islam) to Allah's will, by the Rabbis and the Doctors of Law: for to them was entrusted the protection of Allah's Book, and they were witnesses thereto: therefore fear not men, but fear Me, and sell not My Signs for a miserable price. If any do fail to judge by (the light of) what Allah hath revealed, they are (no better than) Unbelievers. (44) We ordained therein for them: "Life for life, eye for eye, nose for nose, ear for ear, tooth for tooth, and wounds equal for equal." But if anyone remits the retaliation by way of charity, it is an act of atonement for himself. And if any fail to judge by (the light of) what Allah hath revealed, they are (no better than) wrong-doers. (45) And in their footsteps We sent Jesus the son of Mary, confirming the law that had come before him: We sent him the Gospel: therein was guidance and light, and confirmation of the law that had come before him: a guidance and an admonition to those who fear Allah. (46) Let the people of the Gospel Judge by what Allah hath revealed therein. If any do fail to judge by (the light of) what Allah hath revealed, they are (no better than) those who rebel. (47) To thee We sent the Scripture in truth, confirming the scripture that came before it, and guarding it in safety; so judge between them by what Allah hath revealed, and follow not their vain desires, diverging from the Truth that hath come to thee. To each among you have We prescribed a Law and an
Open Way. If Allah had so willed, He would have made you a single people, but (His plan is) to test you in what He hath given you: so strive as in a race in all virtues. The goal of you all is to Allah; it is He that will show you the truth of the matters in which ye dispute. (48)” (Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48)

The above verses of the Holy Qur'an unequivocally prove that: a) there is no “clash of civilizations” in Islam by blanket declaration of Islam's own primal scripture; b) Islam is not Triumphalist even as it is Universalist. This is analyzed here. [27]

Returning back to the hard realities of the present but with an acute eye to the future direction, we do have a rational way forward to defang the snake of Zionism and its prime-mover harbingers. **It is the calculated division of labor. A division which unfortunately has not transpired as yet.**

While it is obviously necessary to withstand the incessant onslaught of the aggressive foot-soldiers of the hectoring hegemons by the straightforward existential demands of daily survival – whether they come wielding their mighty guns and their soulless Drones and F-16s to exterminate us; or they come wielding their favorite everyday signatured torpedo, their Hasbara, i.e., their phenomenal endless argumentative skills of introducing “beneficial cognitive diversity” in endless narratives to exterminate the moral commonsense of the world's public that as much as it grieves the Zionists to inform the spectating world, Palestinians really do deserve to extinguish themselves from the Land of the Jews without the slightest hint of protest as the moral right belong to the Jews – some still have to concentrate on the prime-movers who are behind this robotic machine of the Jewish Lebensraum.

In order to be effective in dealing with such a multifaceted and unique adversary, a division of labor between those compelled to face the live ammunitions and checkpoints on Ground Zero, and those in Diaspora
living in the comforts of the West with the luxury of time and liberty to effectively focus on the prime-movers, is the rational demand of the hour.

Furthermore, by reframing the struggle for Palestine, from the struggle against the European Jewry's quest for Lebensraum on Arab soil for its Roman Jerusalem, to the struggle against the common enemy, the hectoring hegemon seeking one-world government, the Palestinians can harness the entire world's 'untermenschen' struggles against the primal global enemy of mankind.

Unless that reframing is done quickly, beginning in the intellectual space and rapidly moving into the courts and public relations space as a prelude to the political space, the struggle for Palestine will remain boxed within the unbreachable invisible “Iron Wall” until acquisition of the entire Promised Land of Eretz Yisrael depicted in Herzl's plan for the Jewish State is completed. [28] It isn't obvious to me however, that when motivational Zionism required an indomitable prime-mover force to transform it from an idea into empirical Zionism, that without an equivalent prime-mover force on the side of the Palestinians, how can such a reframing practicably ever transpire? Serendipitously though, this very realization that without a backing prime-mover force it is next to impossible to wage an effective global struggle, also reinforces the idea that Zionism too could not have possibly flourished without it, and that the only way to dismantle Zionism is to effectively disable its prime-mover. Zionism would have remained moribund in the immanent spaces of the mind without the owners of central banks driving it!
Caption The promised land, Eretz Yisrael. Map of Herzl's plan for the Jewish State

With all the preceding as the backdrop highlighting the realpolitik
challenges to the way forward, let's return to the robot “Ahmad Yaqeen” so that we can keep it busy playing with itself while thinking peoples can get focussed on figuring out the challenges of elevating the struggle directly up to the prime-movers who created the robots. First, on the issue of indoctrination, that many a Zionist is indeed a robot programmed at birth, by their own admission:

“The state of Israel founded in 1948 following a war which the Israelis call the War of Independence, and the Palestinians call the Nakba – the catastrophe. A haunted, persecuted people sought to find a shelter and a state for itself, and did so at a horrible price to another people. During the war of 1948, more than half of the Palestinian population at the time – 1,380,000 people – were driven off their homeland by the Israeli army. Though Israel officially claimed that a majority of refugees fled and were not expelled, it still refused to allow them to return, as a UN resolution demanded shortly after 1948 war. Thus, the Israeli land was obtained through ethnic cleansing of the indigenous Palestinian inhabitants. This is not a process unfamiliar in history. Israel's actions remain incomparable to the massive ethnic cleansing of Native Americans by the settlers and government of the United states. Had Israel stopped there, in 1948, I could probably live with it. As an Israeli, I grew up believing that this primal sin our state was founded on may be forgiven one day, because the founder's generation was driven by the faith that this was the only way to save the Jewish people from the danger of another holocaust.” (Tanya Reinhart: “Israel/Palestine – How to End the War of 1948”)

As for the robot's other question of Why did Arabs reject the proposed UN GA partition plan which split Palestine into Jewish and Arab
states, here is what Avi Shlaim says in the Prologue of his book *The Iron Wall: Israel and the Arab World*.

**Begin Excerpt**

**THE STRUGGLE FOR STATEHOOD**

The struggle for statehood was accompanied by many disagreements, but these were more about tactics than about the long-term goal. Ben-Gurion's own commitment to statehood did no waver in the face of the Arab opposition or British prevarications. Having taken the initiative in proposing partition in 1937, the British government began to retreat from partition with the approach of World War II. The support of the Arab states and the Muslim world generally was much more crucial for Britain in the conflict with the Axis powers than the support of the Jews. A white paper of 17 May 1939 abruptly reversed British support for Zionism and for a Jewish state. It condemned the Jews to a status of permanent minority in a future independent Palestinian state. So the Zionist movement was driven to develop its own military power, through the paramilitary organization called Haganah (which in Hebrew means defense), in order to combat Arab resistance. Having subscribed to a defensive ethos that had served it so well on the public relations front, it adopted a policy based on force in order to counter the use and the threat of force by its Arab opponents. The offensive ethos that had always been embedded in the defensive ethos had in any case become more prominent following the outbreak of the Arab Revolt.

At the same time that Yishuv mounted its own active resistance to the policy of the white paper that restricted Jewish land purchase and Jewish immigration to Palestine. The outbreak of World War II in September 1939 placed the Yishuv in an acute dilemma: it was behind Britain in the struggle against Nazi Germany but at loggerheads with Britain in the struggle for Palestine. A way out of the dilemma was
found, however, succinctly summed up in Ben-Gurion's slogan: “We will fight with the British against Hitler as if there were no white paper; we will fight the white paper as if there were no war.”

During the war Ben-Gurion became ever more assertive about the Jewish right to political sovereignty, while denying this right to the Arab majority in Palestine. His solution to the Yishuv's demographic problem involved the migration to Palestine of two to three million Jews immediately following the end of the war. The Arab problem, he claimed, paled in significance compared with the Jewish problem because the Arabs had vast spaces outside Palestine, whereas for the Jews, who were being persecuted in Europe, Palestine constituted the only possible haven. He thus came to treat the Arab problem as merely one of status for the Arab minority within a state with a large Jewish majority.

The new concept of a Jewish state over the whole of Palestine found expression in the so-called Biltmore Program. At an extraordinary meeting of the American Zionists, attended by both Weizmann and Ben-Gurion, in the Biltmore Hotel in New York in May 1942, a resolution was adopted urging “that Palestine be constituted as a Jewish Commonwealth integrated in the structure of the new democratic world” after World War II. With this resolution the Zionist movement for the first time openly staked a claim to the whole of mandatory Palestine. The goal of a Jewish Arab agreement was not abandoned, but it was now clearly expected to follow rather than to precede the establishment of a Jewish state or commonwealth.

The Biltmore Program was adopted before the full scale and the horror of the Nazi campaign for the extermination of European Jewry became known. Zionist leaders assumed that at the end of the war there would be millions of Jewish refugees in Europe whose plight would strengthen the case for a large Jewish state in Palestine. None of them foresaw the Holocaust, the most calamitous event in the annals of Jewish history, in which six million Jews would perish. In the end, however, the tragedy of European Jewry became the source of
strength for Zionism. The moral case for a home for the Jewish people in Palestine was widely accepted from the beginning; after the Holocaust it became unassailable. The poet Robert Frost defined a home as the place where, if you have to go there, they have to let you in. Few people disputed the right of the Jew to a home after the trauma to which they had been subjected in Central Europe.

A much tougher kind of Zionism was forged in the course of World War II, and the commitment to Jewish statehood became deeper and more desperate in the shadow of the Holocaust. On the one hand, the Holocaust confirmed the conviction of the Zionists that they had justice on their side in the struggle for Palestine; on the other, it converted international public opinion to the idea of an independent Jewish state.

Ben-Gurion embodied the “fighting Zionism” that rose out of the ashes of World War II, and he wrested the leadership from the hands of Weizmann, who still adhered to “diplomatic Zionism” and to the alliance with Britain. Against Weizmann's advice the Zionist conference of August 1945 decided on a policy of active opposition to British rule, and in October an armed uprising was launched. The Haganah was instructed to cooperate with the dissident groups spawned by the Revisionist movement. The main group was the National Military Organization (the Irgun), which began to direct its operations against the British administration in Palestine after the publication of the white paper in 1939. Later that year, when the Irgun called off its campaign against the British, a split took place. The more militant wing, led by Avraham Stern, seceded from the Irgun to form Lohamei Herut Yisrael (Fighters for the Freedom of Israel), better known as Lehi, after its Hebrew acronym, or the Stern Gang. The Stern Gang was so hostile to the British that it sought to contact with the Axis powers in order to drive the British out of Palestine. Although its members never exceeded three hundred, the Stern Gang was a considerable thorn in the flesh of the British. Between November 1945 and July 1946, the three underground organizations joined arms in what
became known as “the movement of the Hebrew revolt.”

A massive British military crackdown forced the Zionist leaders to call off the Hebrew revolt, and they instead tried to drive a wedge between Britain and the United States on the diplomatic front. Britain sought American support for its plan for self-governing Jewish and Arab cantons, a plan categorically rejected by the Zionists. To get America on their side, members of the Jewish Agency Executive decided in August 1946 to agree to consider the establishment of a Jewish state on an adequate part of Palestine. This decision signified the abandonment of the Biltmore Program and a return to the principle of partition. The decision was viewed not as a concession to the Arabs but as a mean of gaining American support for the idea of a Jewish state. In February 1947 the British government, unable to come up with a solution on which both sides could agree, referred the Palestine problem to the United Nations.

On 29 November 1947 the General Assembly of the United Nations passed its historic Resolution 181 in favor of the partition of Palestine. In a rare instance of agreement during the Cold War, the United States and the Soviet Union voted for the resolution while Britain abstained. The resolution laid down a timetable for the establishment of a Jewish state and an Arab state linked by economic union, and an international regime for Jerusalem. Exceptionally long and winding borders separated the Jewish state from the Arab one, with vulnerable crossing points to link its isolated areas in the eastern Galilee, the coastal plain, and the Negev. The borders of these two oddly shaped states, resembling two fighting serpents, were a strategic nightmare (see map 3). No less anomalous and scarcely more visible was the demographic structure of the proposed Jewish state, consisting as it did of roughly 500,000 Jews and 400,000 Arabs.

Despite all its limitations and anomalies, the UN resolution represented a major triumph for Zionist diplomacy. While failing far short of the full-blown Zionist aspiration for a state comprising the whole of Palestine and Jerusalem, it provided an invaluable charter of interna-
tional legitimacy for the creation of an independent Jewish state. News of the UN vote was greeted by Jews everywhere with jubilation and rejoicing. But the followers of Ze'ev Jabotinsky in the Irgun and the Stern Gang did not join in the general celebrations. A day after the UN vote, Menachem Begin, the commander of Irgun, proclaimed the credo of the underground fighters: “The partition of Palestine is illegal. It will never be recognized. ... Jerusalem was and will for ever be our capital. Eretz Israel will be restored to the people of Israel. All of it. And for ever.”

The Jewish Agency officially accepted the UN partition plan, but most of its leaders did so with a heavy heart. They did not like the idea of an independent Palestinian state, they were disappointed with the exclusion of Jerusalem, and they had grave doubts about the viability of the Jewish state within the UN borders. Nevertheless, the UN resolution represented a tremendous gain of international support for the establishment of a Jewish state – hence their decision to go along with it.
The Palestine Arabs, who unlike the Jews had done very little to prepare themselves for statehood, rejected the UN partition plan out of hand. The Arab Higher Committee, which represented them, denounced the plan as “absurd, impracticable, and unjust.” The Arab states, loosely organized since 1945 in the Arab League, also claimed that the UN plan was illegal and threatened to resist its implementation by force. On 1 December the Arab Higher Committee proclaimed a three-day strike, which was accompanied by violent attacks on the Jewish civilians. The UN vote in favor of partition thus provided not just international legitimacy for creating Jewish and Arab states but,
unintentionally, the signal for a savage for between the two communities in Palestine. (Avi Shlaim The Iron Wall: Israel and the Arab World, pages 22-27)

End Excerpt

Further elaboration upon that exposition of Avi Shlaim, with copious references in the Zionists' own unsurpassed eloquence, is here. [29]

The Preamble which explores the distorted psychology and mental limitations which in fact inhibit the effective struggle forward against the real predators responsible for the theft of Palestine, is here. [30]
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“... people who do not belong to our continent, to our world, but actually belong to different galaxy.” (Moshe Katsav)

“We declare openly that the Arabs have no right to settle on even one centimeter of Eretz Israel ... Force is all they do or ever will understand. We shall use the ultimate force until the Palestinians come crawling to us on all fours.” and “When we have settled the land, all the Arabs will be able to do about it will be to scurry around like drugged cockroaches in a bottle.” (Raphael Eitan)
Superstate) part 2

Also See:

(a) Carroll Quigley, The Anglo-American Establishment, where the author lists (on pgs. 258-259) the names of both the House of Rothschild (Nathan Rothschild, Baron Rothschild) and Arthur James Balfour, as being in the “The Society of the Elect” of the Cecil Rhodes' funded Milner Group, aka The Round Table. PDF: http://archive.org/download/TheAnglo-americanEstablishment/AAE.pdf


(d) Carroll Quigley craftily managing to downplay the name of Rothschild in his follow-on book to The Anglo-American Establishment, despite mentioning the membership of the House of Rothschild in Cecil Rhodes' plan for world domination; titled: Tragedy and Hope – A history of the World in Our Time. In this magnum opus, Dr. Quigley detailed the hidden hand of international bankers as the key prime-mover force for World Government, while carefully suggesting that the House of Rothschild was no longer a significant player in that oligarchy. That quid pro quo which permitted Professor Quigley access to some secret archives of the money trust and permitted him to expose the long running conspiracy from the respectable perch of an establishmentarian historian at Georgetown University's famous School of Foreign Service, is examined in http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/12/of-ostriches-and-rebels-zahirebrahim.html
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Chapter 41

Oligarchic Primacy and Zionism
The Endgame Hegelian Dialectic

Part I

Is Zionism a sophisticated Hegelian Dialectic to fabricate another world war?

September 06, 2009

Just like Brzezinski's "The Grand Chessboard" [1] now appears to be a fantastic red herring to bankrupt the United States and create the right pretexts and ripe conditions to present a NAU to its public as the only panacea enroute to the international banksters' world government, is it possible that Zionism too was/is a phantasmic red herring to set the stage for Albert Pike's World War III in order to drive the fi-
nal nail into the coffin of all nationalism and sovereign nation-states?

The fact that the harbingers of all wars and world government are also the prime supporters of Zionism means little, for just as they were once prime supporters-bankrollers of the USSR and Nazi Socialism before destroying them, the Hegelian Dialectics of conquest require credible enemies, or rather credible opposites to achieve the synthesis of the desired agenda - global communism to be centrally controlled by a hierarchy at whose apex sit the all-seeing eye!

Is it at all possible that the modern Jews themselves were calculatingly setup with the mal construct of Zionism and entirely brainwashed/enticed into nurturing/supporting it with the introduction of Reform Judaism? Empirically, just as the Grand Chessboard initially appeared to be the blueprint for all the wars of conquest since 911 as the Trilateralist Zbigniew Brzezinski deceptively pitched the believable "democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization" mantra and showed how that could be subverted with a "new pearl harbor" in order to perpetuate "American Primacy and Its Geostategic Imperatives", Zionism too appears as the believable blueprint for Eretz Yisrael that Jews must pursue as their 'divine imperative'. But is that the real planned endgame? Is that what Theodor Herzl, a secular atheistic ideologue, really after as he spun his 'Der Judenstadt' for the hidden hand of the international banksters who almost entirely bankrolled Zionism through their many vassals throughout the 19th and 20th century?

Notice that in any critique of Zionism or Israel, [2] the names of International bankers is seldom uttered. And yet, the Balfour Declaration was issued to Lionel Rothschild, and Moses Hess, the reformer of Messianic Judaism who ushered in the notion that Jews don't have to wait for a Messiah in order to return to their Promised Land, was presumably bankrolled by the Rothschilds.

Today, these banksters and their offspring control all the world's private central banks as well as most of the world's debt, and through
the infinite monies they rake in through usury, control all the foundations, think tanks, global governing-lending-financial institutions, including the United States Congress and its military-industrial complex, and through them all the political bases, and through them all the world events including its media coverage. Thus, not only is our condition of voluntary servitude, but also our perception of our own zeitgeist, entirely and completely in these oligarchs' Orwellian hands!

Is Zionism merely a tool in their hands too, just like the venerated Mujahideens once were for taking down the USSR, and the despised 'militant Islam' now is to perpetuate the manufactured 'war on terror'? The real unhidden agenda of free-market capitalism to be so egregiously maligned for its unbridled greed and unbridled conquest, and subsequently, as a solution to that problem, replaced by a centrally managed world communism with these banksters consolidating all the world's assets, properties, land, natural wealth, and legal-political-financial-economic-military power into their own private grubby little hands? And their Hegelian Dialectical brainchild of Zionism merely being essential to fighting another World War that will destroy it as well as all the remaining vestiges of the “old world order”? Is the famous neocon quip “Zion that will light up all the world” the banksters' ephemeral ploy of the Straussian vintage to malign Godly Judaism just as much as they malign the other Godly religions of antiquity?

For Secular Humanism is indeed the modern religion of conquest, and Nietzsche their god. [3] That god killed off absolutes and ushered in relativity of morality whereby ends justify the means. Humanity in this new religion is merely sheep to by guided by the illuminated ones, utilized for their benefit, and when necessary, population controlled as in any natural wildlife preserve. The human rights in this modernity are relative to an agenda, and as Justice Vinson of the U.S. Supreme Court had candidly opined in 1951:

“Nothing is more certain in modern society than the principle that there are no absolutes, that a name, a phrases, a standard has meaning only when associated
with the considerations which give birth to nomenclature. To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative.” [4]

If all this grotesque perversion is indeed true as empiricism suggests it to be, and not merely a tin-hatted conspiracy theory, [5] then, instead of focussing on Zionism which will be destroyed in time by the oligarchs anyway along with most of humanity, [6] is it perhaps high time the spotlights from all directions get focussed on the real roothead of oppression?

The ones who nurture not only this mal construct abhorrence among a beleaguered humanity in Palestine, [7] but are also the prime-movers behind all the abhorrent wars orchestrated by their glorified 'errand boys'? [8]

The ones who use their dialectical instruments for one-world government to piece-meal enslave us all using multifaceted fabricated pre-texts [9] in the best mold of Hegelian Dialectics?

Who can deny with a straight face that their diabolical “end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece [has not already] accomplish[ed] much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault” [10] ever could have!
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Oligarchic Primacy and Zionism

Jewish State – Quid Pro Quo Of World War I

Independent forensic scrutiny of the international shenanigans surrounding World War I based on empirical evidence (as opposed to accepting established narratives written by the fiat of power) reveals the global conflagration to have been an altogether in-house exchange of favors. A quid pro quo among the oligarchic peers of the root secret society who have for a long time wanted to rule the world, as disclosed in Cecil Rhodes' Will. It was principally an exchange of favors which led to the infamous Balfour Declaration being issued by the British aristocracy in the name of the Jewish branch of the Oligarchy, the House of Rothschild, in return for the Jewish branch of the same Oligarchy orchestrating the entry of the United States into World War I on the side of beleaguered England to support the Anglo-Saxon branch of the Oligarchy, when topdog Germany up to that time had all but won the European war, without a single bullet being fired on German soil.
That power to bring America into the predominantly Christian killing Christian world war in Europe, while a Jewish dominated atheists killing Christians was being orchestrated in Russia in the name of the Communist revolution, was craftily pre-invested in the election of Woodrow Wilson who was the puppet president and errand boy for the bankster oligarchy. Wilson not only signed on the dotted line presented to him to create the Federal Reserve System to hock America to the international bankers in perpetuity and to secure that national debt by levying income tax upon the American public, but to also enter America into World War I despite Wilson's 1916 re-election campaign slogan of “he kept us out of the war”. To bring America into the European war to get rid of the then existent empires was a diabolically premeditated design. The lead investigator in 1953-54 for U.S. Congressman B. Carroll Reece's Special Committee on Tax Exempt Foundations (the Reece Committee), Norman Dodd, revealed in a private interview in 1988 the following bizarre dialectic inquiry:

'We are now at the year 1908, which was the year that the Carnegie Foundation began operations. In that year, the trustees, meeting for the first time, raised a specific question, which they discussed throughout the balance of the year in a very learned fashion. The question is: “Is there any means known more effective than war, assuming you wish to alter the life of an entire people?” And they conclude that no more effective means than war to that end is known to humanity. So then, in 1909, they raised the second question and discussed it, namely: “How do we involve the United States in a war?”

Well, I doubt at that time if there was any subject more removed from the thinking of most of the people of this country than its involvement in a war. There were intermittent shows in the Balkans, but I doubt very much if many people even knew where the
Balkans were. Then, finally, they answered that question as follows: “We must control the State Department.” That very naturally raises the question of how do we do that? And they answer it by saying: “We must take over and control the diplomatic machinery of this country.” And, finally, they resolve to aim at that as an objective. (See Norman Dodd, The Monetary Conspiracy for World Government)

Whatever the truth of that motivational construct, the manufacturing and control of Woodrow Wilson's presidency is an empirical and historical fact to ultimately involve America into a world war, but only after the tall industrious nation had been brought to heel to the voice of the oligarchy with the founding of the private Federal Reserve Bank for the third time in its short history. This third time around it has endured for more than a hundred years without any significant challenge. All roads to effective challenge were calculatingly closed off. But before then, in the nineteenth century going back to the very moment of founding of the United States, a great deal of resistance was put in its path by the handful of independent US presidents like Thomas Jefferson and Andrew Jackson. After 1913 however, the resistance of leading politicians and intellectuals was entirely obliterated by acquiring full control of both the domestic narrative, and the domestic and foreign policy apparatus. Today, the raison d'être for America's private central bank run secretly and independently by the same banking oligarchy with a nod to US Treasury, is not even talked about. The Anglo-Saxon Oligarchy on both sides of the Atlantic ocean is driving for home run on the hard road to world order in our very generation.

The control of Woodrow Wilson's presidency and its brazen justification was depicted in a fictionalized format by the actual handler who managed Woodrow Wilson as the front-man for the banking oligarchy, Col. Edward Mandell House, titled “Philip Dru: Administrator, A Story of Tomorrow 1920-1935”. This fictional narrative, like Ma-
chiavelli's The Prince, and the anonymous Protocols of the Elders of Zion, hides some deep political truths as political treatise which have been enacted, and are being enacted, repeatedly on world stage. This is not just empirical history, but also “contemporary history” in the making, none of which is evidently taught in the academe or exposed by professional historians and intellectuals regurgitating controlled narratives and being rewarded for their silence on truth. But it is an empirical history which is also staring any thoughtful analyst in the face daring enough to want to comprehend all the players, their core motivations, and the forces they bring to bear which construct the pretexts and “happenstances” from behind the scenes that move international affairs to war and peace, hegemony and surrender, all under the facade of elected representatives making reactionary decisions to happenstances in the name of national interest and world peace.

Now the secret motivations behind the scenes of the British Empire gratuitously granting the Balfour Declaration, land to the Jews on another's soil, begins to make any sense – the quid pro quo for bringing the United States into World War I. The fact that the Balfour Declaration is directly addressed to Lord Rothschild, is prima facie and open evidence of the hand of Jewry behind the quid pro quo. No official history book of Europe and the United States taught to generations of their most precious students, ever exposes this unhidden reality which is even brazenly open for all to see the moment one steps out of narrative control.
Dear Lord Rothschild,

I have much pleasure in conveying to you, on behalf of His Majesty's Government, the following declaration of sympathy with Jewish Zionist aspirations which has been submitted to, and approved by, the Cabinet.

His Majesty's Government view with favour the establishment in Palestine of a national home for the Jewish people, and will use their best endeavours to facilitate the achievement of this object, it being clearly understood that nothing shall be done which may prejudice the civil and religious rights of existing non-Jewish communities in Palestine, or the rights and political status enjoyed by Jews in any other country.

I should be grateful if you would bring this declaration to the knowledge of the Zionist Federation.

[Signature]

Caption The Balfour Declaration November 2nd 1917

Most “learned” gentry in the world living off of the largesse of empire and toeing the standard narrative, tend to remain unaware of, or pretend to the non-existence of, these very real behind the scenes olig-
archic forces who manipulate national destinies towards international one-World Order. These forces cunningly enact legalisms during their own manufactured “revolutionary times”, which subsequently confer international legitimacy to even the most abhorrent and distorted outcomes in international affairs and on the grand chessboard. Both the World Wars of the twentieth century are empirical evidence of this tortuous fact. It led to the premeditated creation of the Jewish State in Palestine: “In Basle I founded the Jewish state . . . Maybe in five years, certainly in fifty, everyone will realize it.”

Theodor Herzl hath proclaimed so in 1896-97 at the unveiling of his Der Judenstat before the Jewish financial oligarchy in the banking capital of Europe, where they subsequently also headquartered their BIS (Bank for International Settlements, http://bis.org) in the aftermath of World War I. BIS was created for extracting war reparations from Germany back to the Allies while England, who previously had shown no love lost for the rank and file of Jewry, was pledging them land grants in Palestine in gratitude. Which is how England came into the possession of Palestine at the Treaty of Versailles in the first place, as war booty from the dismembered Ottoman empire, to be in the eventual position to graft it to the Jews under the pretext of the next “revolutionary times”. It was already being churned in the cauldron of Europe by the pound of flesh being forcibly extracted from the defeated Germany by the Jews.

Without these “revolutionary times” of the two World Wars piggybacking upon each other, and without their adept harvesting by the Jewish oligarchy and its propaganda machinery churning the Holocaust™ narrative, this abhorrence of the creation of the Jewish state in Palestine would simply not have been possible: “What is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times; and if at this time the opportunity is missed and what is possible at such great hours is not carried out – a whole world is lost.” David Ben-Gurion called that modus operandi for what it is far more honestly than most modern Jewish intellectuals who berate the “excesses” of the Jewish
state in sympathy with the Palestinians.

While all this historical analysis should be self-evident to at least the “learned” in the West, if not to its rank and file, it is unfortunately not. Apart from narrow self-interests including the demands of soaring careers making cowards of even the most valiant who often find it easier to be “innocent of knowledge” when on sacred grounds, it is the great success of perception management that has come to rival the simile of Plato's cave. The Hegelian Dialectic mechanisms employed for the diabolical theft of Palestine to synthesize the Jewish state from the ashes of two World Wars under the charter of international legitimacy, cannot be understood, let alone undone, without first acquiring that forensic perspective of the primacy of secretive cabals who rule by the fiat of absolute power on the backs of elected representatives of powerful nations playing “democracy” and “international relations” game before the public. The politicians, statesmen, and state institutions remain powerless before them when their wills do not coincide, as was demonstrated to the world in the 2008 banksters' bailout bill. Instead of unraveling the source of all that hidden power exercised upon Western democracies against the will of its people, they now even confer advanced academic degrees from prestigious universities in these subjects carefully omitting any reference to oligarchic powers behind the scene orchestrating global events through control of the national security state and its apparatuses, and manufacturing the policies to handle those events in their privately funded think-tanks and foundations in a self-supporting positive feedback loop. The study of international relations today, as in yesteryear, is exclusively relegated to the negotiation of state power projection by the political representatives of the states.

The fact that politicians and statesmen remain the errand boys of the brotherhood of death driving global events for world government behind all these puppet shows of “international relations”, has been carefully omitted from the script. The success of this narrative control can be judged by the bizarre absence of this forensic perspective
among even Palestine's most ardent exponents in the West, both Palestinian and the White man championing their cause. Ask even educated Palestinians in Diaspora today often sporting degrees in political science and history, to whom the Balfour Declaration is addressed, and they give you a blank stare, followed by “it is addressed to someone?”

The omission of this simple fact from public discourse that both Arthur James Balfour and Lord Rothschild were part of the same secret cabal who merely exchanged favors at the expense of the “lesser people's” blood, and the careful occulting of the role of the House of Rothschild and their secretive financial cabal before whose invisible powers all the Western capitals bow, creates a bizarre comedy of errors in which none see the elephant in the bedroom that has created the impenetrable “iron wall” around their monster planted in the Muslim midst in the Middle East. If the criminal tragedy of the theft of Palestine wasn't so filled with the blood and dispossession of its innocent victims who have been taken hostage for generations, it could easily be called the greatest comedy of errors of modern times.

Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2015/09/jewish-state-quid-pro-quo-of-world-war1.html
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Making Barbarians of the Zionist Jewry – In Their Own Words

“Although the Holocaust inflicted horrible injustice upon us, it did not grant us certificate of everlasting righteousness. The murderers were amoral; the victims were not made moral. To be moral you must behave ethically. The test of that is daily and constant.”

Let's truthfully and accurately, calling a spade a spade, distinguish between the Jewish masses and the Jewish oligarchy. The former, like every nation's hoi polloi, have been made victims, patsies, stooges, jihadis, fanatics – both religious and secular, Left to Right, by their own Jewish elite and transformed into monstrous barbarians to serve covert Western interests. Little differently, in fact, from the “militant Islam” jihadis who once served covert Western interests as the “muja-
hadeen” of yesteryear, and are today serving the same covert Western interests as “terrorists” to create perpetual enemies for the West to wage “total war” the hectoring hegemons so desperately need to transform the planet into world government. Zionstan's imported European and American Jewry fall on that template – to be used in the coming times. And the same transposition of labels can happen overnight as well, once they have conveniently served their previous purpose. How easy would it be to corral the world public opinion against the barbaric Zionistan overnight – one wouldn't even have to conjure up any fictional pulp as has been conjured up for “militant Islam”. In their own words – holding a mirror to the blind.

Delusion to Vindictiveness by Gilad Atzmon, October 8, 2009
http://www.gilad.co.uk/writings/from-delusion-to-vindictiveness-by-gilad-atzmon.html

Excerpt

“Zionism was there to bring about a new Jew, a civilised productive human being. It was indeed a very wet and epic dream. As an Israeli youngster I myself succumbed to this dream. I tended to believe that Israel was ‘my’ historic land, I regarded the Biblical protagonists as my direct ancestors. I was sure that, at least in the case of the so called ‘first Israelis’, the ideological transplant operation was a great success. We, the young Israeli natives tended to believe that we were all nothing less than a success story of ‘modified-civilised-humanist-secular-beings’.

Needless to say that the history of Palestine, the Palestinians and the Nakba was totally hidden from us. We didn’t see the Palestinians around us either, we were hardly aware of their suffering not to say their cause. We were in fact totally blind. We tended also to believe that our army was the ‘most humanist army around’. We grew up with the ‘1967 Victori-
ous Diary’, a legendary chunky photo album every Israeli held in a prominent location on his book shelf. There in that glossy propaganda book an Israeli soldier was giving his water to an Egyptian prisoner. We regarded him as a symbol of our people’s endorsement of universal humanism. We were obviously not aware of the horrendous fact that the Sinai Desert was actually a slaughter field for hundreds of Egyptian POWs. Why didn’t we know? This in itself is a very good question. Our fathers who fought in this war must have known something but they kept quiet. Our parents who witnessed the 1948 convoys of Palestinians refugees should have known something about the Nakba but they somehow kept quiet. Interestingly enough, it wasn’t just our parents, we followed the exact same pattern. Once we ourselves matured into IDF soldiers, we did exactly the same, we turned a blind eye (1982 in Lebanon). And this has never changed. The Israeli moral awakening has never happened. By now I allow myself to argue that it won’t happen. The Zionist dream is just too comfortable. After more than one hundred years of moral phantasmic delusion the Israelis are deeply stuck in an ethical coma.”

Israel/Palestine: How to End the War of 1948 by Tanya Reinhart
October 1, 2002

Excerpt

“The state of Israel founded in 1948 following a war which the Israelis call the War of Independence, and the Palestinians call the Nakba - the catastrophe. A haunted, persecuted people sought to find a shelter and a state for itself, and did so at a horrible price to another people. During the war of
1948, more than half of the Palestinian population at the time - 1,380,000 people - were driven off their homeland by the Israeli army. Though Israel officially claimed that a majority of refugees fled and were not expelled, it still refused to allow them to return, as a UN resolution demanded shortly after 1948 war. Thus, the Israeli land was obtained through ethnic cleansing of the indigenous Palestinian inhabitants. This is not a process unfamiliar in history. Israel's actions remain incomparable to the massive ethnic cleansing of Native Americans by the settlers and government of the United states. Had Israel stopped there, in 1948, I could probably live with it. As an Israeli, I grew up believing that this primal sin our state was founded on may be forgiven one day, because the founder's generation was driven by the faith that this was the only way to save the Jewish people from the danger of another holocaust.”

More examples are not hard to find. There is a rich and extensive bibliography of works written by Jews themselves which expose their own misanthropy. Here is just a short sample off the top of my head:

(1) Creating anti-semitism deliberately among the gentiles to justify Jewish oligarchy's drive to create a Zionist homeland in Palestine “Herzl regarded Zionism's triumph as inevitable, not only because life in Europe was ever more untenable for Jews, but also because it was in Europe's interests to rid the Jews and relieved of anti-Semitism: The European political establishment would eventually be persuaded to promote Zionism. Herzl recognized that anti-Semitism would be harnessed to his own Zionist-purposes.” (Benny Morris, Righteous Victims) ;

(2) Bombing Iraq and other Arab countries after the creation of the Jewish State in Palestine so that Jews living there peaceably for centuries among the Arabs would flee to Palestine under pressure, see “The Jews of Iraq”, Naeim Giladi Interview, March 16, 1998,
http://www.bintjbeil.com/E/occupation/ameu_iraqjews.html ;

(3) Forced shipping of able-bodied poor European Jewry to the back-woods of Palestine to resettle the “land without a people for a people without a land” during and after WW2 instead of unloading those fleeing Nazi Germany at the developed ports of the West and New York where their well to do and older distinguished cousins disembarked freely ;

(4) Creating the holocaust industry to extract the pound of flesh from the gentiles while implanting strong doctrinal motivation among the Jews to fight for their Jewish state in case of another holocaust, a mantra which is continually harvested to wage wars of aggression: “The thesis that the danger of genocide was hanging over us in June 1967 and that Israel was fighting for its physical existence is only bluff, which was born and developed after the war.” (Israeli General Matityahu Peled, Ha'aretz, 19 March 1972) ;

(5) The dehumanization of generations of American-European Jews making “Aliyah” into Palestine, by making them kill, kill, kill, in a religion-sanctioned perpetual state of war for the Jewish State. Their Zionist training, evidently, begins before the Jewish child is weaned from the mother's infected Zionist milk. A nation of inhuman barbarians has been manufactured over the past seven decades in the name of Jews and Judaism – called Zionistan. The purpose is all too self-evident, once the layers of deceit and psyops are removed. Their time for global label shift is coming... and all Jews, not just the barbarians of Zionistan, may pay the price in a Christian world as history is evidence.
Turning Jews into the Barbarians of Zion

( See full profile of God's gift to mankind, the 'chosen people', in From Genesis to Genocide in Palestine* )

JEWS: DO YOU KNOW WHO DID THIS TO YOU AND WHY?

Caption: Weaning from Zionist mother's milk to death toys
Caption Quickly graduating to learning how to deliver death messages in elementary school
Caption Rapidly graduating to Brown Shirt extracurricular activity
Caption Reaching full maturity as the “God's chosen people” by bravely terrorizing Palestinian children
Caption Finding manhood in wrestling down Palestinian children
Nazi German then.........................................................And

Zionist Israelis now**
Nazi German then.........................................................And

Zionist Israelis now
Nazi Victims then……………………………………………And

Zionist Victims now
CHECK POINT'S NOT TO ALLOW PEOPLE BASIC FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT
20th Century jews then.............................................. ....21st Century jews now
ARRESTS & HARRASSMENTS
DESTROYING HOMES & LIVELIHOODS
Nazi German then................................. And
Zionist Israelis now
Nazi butchery then..................................................And
Zionist Butchery now
Caption – does it need more words?

Footnotes


** The website carrying these comparison images and their subtitles is now defunct: The Undeniable Nazism and Holocaust in our Age by Dictatorship Watch, Abidullah Jan, January 18, 2009


(cached)
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Fourth Generation Warfare

Feb 17, 2009

Over the years I have read many publicly available Pentagon documents, going all the way back to what were earlier classified and later made available either through FOIA or natural declassification cycle.

Before I make my relevant point – let me cite an example.

When I learnt, from Chomsky initially, and subsequently reading the originals, of George Kennan's doctrine of outspending the Communists and that they would naturally collapse soon enough, that document is the 1948 Policy Planning PPS-23, I was taken aback. That PPS-23 had formed the underpinnings of what later became the Truman Doctrine that had held the world hostage for over 4 decades – at the brink of annihilation! Or so I, and almost everyone on planet earth have been led to believe. So, we, of the proverbial dissent-space, have all dutifully critiqued the “Military-Industrial Complex” of America.
and remained in awe of President Eisenhower's candid labeling of it; the very same President who also dutifully carried on the baton of the Cold War from President Truman, embarking on the largest 'peace-time' military and civil defense spending spree in the United States probably in its entire inglorious history of bloodshed up to that time.

That flaming-torch of the Cold War was continually carried on by every single American President for four decades. When PPS-23 was declassified, like flies drawn to a dead carcass, the many historians and moralists of empire laughed their way to their bank rehearsing it in their narratives. Not one of them, to my knowledge, decided to donate the proceeds of their pedantic works to the poor victims of four decades of proxy-warfare against the Communists, the last one, and still ongoing, being Afghanistan.

How does one cast the aforestated public knowledge from which the 'mainstream' of dissent-space in the West is largely constructed (or orchestrated), into the actual tortuous reality which is not as well known, that Communism (like Nazi Socialism and Zionism) was itself created and abetted by the Anglo-American banksters who also financed both the American and Soviet “Military-Industrial complex” through deficit spending, sticking the compound-interest payments on the borrowings from the future to the American tax-payer?

If this comes as a shocking revelation, see the works of W. Cleon Skousen, Antony Sutton, Eustace Mullins, G. Edward Griffin, Douglas Reed, the all Anglo-American first and second generation, and rather rare, truthful analysts since the World Wars of the twentieth century.

The fact that Zionism too is the brainchild of the same bankster oligarchs is perhaps the most closely guarded truth in plain-sight among the dissent-space. The name Rothschild seldom occurs on their lip, even though, the official seed upon which the state of Israel is founded, the Balfour Declaration, is addressed to that name.

Going from gullibly naïve-green Chomsky student from the late 1970s
where 'empire' was the baddy – which was in itself an eye-opener from the mainstream version among the thoughtful where 'empire' mainly suffered the tyranny of its good intentions, its 'la mission civilisatrice' – to the eye opening independent research into who runs the empire through the Hegelian Dialectics of opposites and fabricated enemies, has been quite a journey of shocking discovery for this scribe. Despite the slight digression, it is important to note as an aside, that once having arrived at that destination, it has become enormously difficult to explain it to those in the mainstream, including this scribe's friends, who haven't even taken the first baby-step to become aware that there is even a reality outside the 'cave' (see the Preface and Introduction of the author's 2003 book 'Prisoners of the Cave'). But let's continue on.

The latter – the role of the secretive oligarchy rooted in the international banksters which far surpasses the egregious impetus for superpower hegemony of the 'errand boys' who periodically replace each other in the White House, is something Noam Chomsky has never written about to my knowledge (and if he has, I'd be happy to eat crow), but so many 'lesser' people have, including yours truly based on information uncovered through independent research – makes George Kennan's entire PPS-23 now look like an elaborate rouge to direct the Pentagon's Generals into buying into the reality of the fabricated enemy. Like Henry Kissinger had once stated, something to the effect:

“Military men are just dumb stupid animals to be used as pawns in foreign policy.”

So back to 2001 – 2009. Is anything much different today? Or does history traverse in cycles when it is being run by an oligarchy with common and shared aspirations?

After all, “Hegemony is as old as mankind.” While Zbigniew Brzezinski tried to divert attention from the oligarchy – himself being an 'errand boy' for it – by exclusively apportioning that common ethos of all chauvinists to the abstraction of “the first, only, and last truly global
“superpower” without actually defining what or who is the America whose “Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives” he putridly outlined, the truism is directly applied to the oligarchy which actually owns and operates that sole superpower and is using it to achieve its own private, un-elected, un-publicly sanctioned, world government agenda.

The unvarnished reality is that the 'War on terror' is another fabrication, 911 was an inside job like operation Canned Goods of 1939, and fighting the Fourth Generation War, World War IV, is the sine qua non for the one-world government agenda of the same oligarchs.

If that indeed be the case, and I not only believe it to be so, but have staked my past 8 years of unrelenting but entirely futile efforts on that predicate, the article “Fourth generation warfare in the most advanced stage”* describes a cleverly disguised truth within a lie (also see 'The Pentagon’s Blueprint' by Steve Perry http://cursor.org/backhome/92901.htm).

We know of lies within lies, but truth within lies has more potential to create believable absurdities. And if you can convince people of absurdities, you can also get them to commit atrocities in the name of “either you are with us or with the terrorists”.

There is today, and has been for at least a hundred plus years, only one primal common global enemy of mankind which manufactures, aids and abets, cultivates and sustains, all the local ones. The Pentagon, the White House, the Congress, all work for it. It created Pakistan through the Round Tables; it sanctioned and funded the Zionist agenda since its very inception and created Reform Judaism to bring the flock to their Promised Land by hook or by crook; it created the Bolshevik Revolution by funding the enemies of the Tzar and looting all of Russia's wealth; it funded Nazi Socialism and then funded its opponents to destroy it; and it created China's Communist Revolution by propping up an unknown Mao Tse Tung. It also moved all of America's production and industrial infrastructure to China and re-
placed that real wealth with its ill fated financial sector and its tortuous instruments of fictitious wealth that has now manufactured the global Financial Crisis. That enemy today, the misanthrope of all humanity, the only one that has profited from all the wars of the twentieth and this century and all the boom and bust economic cycles acquiring the deeds to almost all hard properties on the planet while growing itself into greater and greater combines with each bust, is now ready to bite the hand which has fed it.

Unless that common global enemy and its overarching agenda is kept properly in focus, official reports like the one cited above only create red herrings to distract attention from it.

One thing I have learnt studying the foreign policy of nations for now almost 30 years, is scepticism. Real secrets, real doctrines, and real strategies and tactics, are always kept “Top Secret”. No one in the right mind is going to reveal their secret weapons to the enemy and pending victims. There is always an agenda in all “information leaks” and purported “Pentagon's Joint Vision 2010/2020/2030” documents. The proof of that, that real secrets are always closely guarded to the chest, is that the evidence and circumstances surrounding Abraham Lincoln's assassination 150 years ago – notice we aren't taking JFK, RFK, MLK, or even 911 new pearl harbor – are still classified Top Secret!

So what they let out, in a convoluted way that simple minds like ours un-attuned to the complexities of Machiavelli and the demonic principles of conquest by way of deception can't fathom, is whatever they really want us to believe. In the above report, they really want us to believe that there is a really un-fabricated enemy who attacked the United States on 911, the consequent by-product of which is this new Fourth Generation warfare. Just as in PPS-23, they really wanted us to believe that they are fighting the real enemy, the Soviet Union, which, throughout its existence since the 1917 Bolshevik Revolution, had entirely relied on the American tax-payer to sustain it! Un-freaking unbelievable? Not if one understands that dialectical deception is the
key principle behind it all.
This is far more complex and sophisticated, requiring long term planning, logistics, and execution, than what any two-bit tin-pot dictator in any third world country is capable of.
The “Mighty Wurlitzer” does its thing and us gullibles of the world continually fall for it.
Just look at the description of the Fourth Generation war as quoted in the afore mentioned article:

“The fourth generation battlefield is likely to include the whole of the enemy’s society….a goal of collapsing the enemy internally rather than physically destroying him. Targets will include such things as the population’s support for the war and the enemy’s culture….In broad terms, fourth generation warfare seems likely to be widely dispersed and largely undefined; the distinction between war and peace will be blurred to the vanishing point. It will be nonlinear, possibly to the point of having no definable battlefields or fronts. The distinction between ‘civilian’ and ‘military’ may disappear… Targets may be more in the civilian than the military sector.”

The targeted victim will already be demoralized by simply reading it! That is psy-ops 101. With that as the backdrop, what should one get out of this type of warfare whose signs are empirical in the dead bodies of Pakistanis and Palestinians and Iraqis and Lebanese and from Afghanistan to Yugoslavia and which needs no documentary revelations?

What is new that is not already covered in the 2500 year old “Art of War”?

No – the purpose is to disillusion the victim by its overwhelming 'shock and awe' impact upon all of one's senses.
And therefore, with “Mir Jaffer” and “Mir Sadiq” running all our nations, aided and abetted by an assortment of intellectual niggers and useful idiots, and without whom these demonic strategies for primacy wouldn't have a prayer of succeeding, **the first enemy is the one within who enables this all.**

Let's not lose sight of that enemy. Even in the United States, its own first enemy responsible for its calculated and premeditated downfall is the one within.

This enemy has only one agenda. And that must remain our combined focus. We take out the root, the diseased tree and all its branches will die a natural death! There may be some hysteresis, and we may hasten its extinction somewhat, but only after its roots have been neutralized. And not before.

**Conclusion**

Each society and every nation-state must work on getting rid of its own treacherous Mir Jaffers and Mir Sadiqs. For those living in the West, that simply means getting rid of their Benedict Arnolds and the seduction of its many a treacherous Delilah fashioned upon the Fable of the Bees which create useful idiots **“who are content to labor hard all day long.”** All these silly strategy documents of primacy, and all their games of demonic warfare, will naturally fall on their face.

Thank you

**Footnote**

* The website carrying this referenced article is now defunct, and no cached copy is found:
Source URL: http://print-
humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/10/editorial-enemy-within-4th-
gen-warfare.html
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Oligarchic Primacy and Financial Terrorism

The Monetary Conspiracy for World Government

November 23, 2008

“The United States debt, foreign and domestic, was the price of liberty.” --- Alexander Hamilton, explaining the real underlying principles of “liberty” for the 1776 Revolution, quoted on the front page of US Treasury website

Introduction

Economics and Money aren't supposed to be as abstruse as it is made out to be, and nor does it take a Ph.D. from M.I.T. to realize that one
is being taken for a sodomized ride on the Capricorn of economics gibberish. It is the responsibility of every denizen of the world to understand how humanity is being herded into global debt-enslavement and a centrally managed world-government, baby-step at a time, by manufacturing deliberate crisis and then proposing the next baby-step as its solution or fait accompli. Each baby-step erodes away some aspect of national sovereignty. 911 helped setup the global police state as a proposed solution to 'terrorism' – a manufactured product – to create the sine qua non mechanisms for world-government. “World government could only be kept in being by force”, as Bertrand Russell had put it.

The latest financial crisis is designed to systematically create a central world-banking system, as a proposed solution to 'bad loans' – again a manufactured product – to be managed by a global banking cartel under legal sanction. “Give me control of a nation's money supply, and I care not who makes its laws”, as the Rothschild banking scions boldly narrate in almost every generation. Today, the cumulative world debt is in uncountable trillions, and there is no nation on earth which is not beholden to some banking cartel, be it the WB-IMF tag team of economic mercenaries preying upon the resource-rich nations of Global South (see John Perkins), or the private central banks lending parasites doing the same to their richer brethren in the Global North (see Money as Debt).

On top of them both, sit the same handful of private banking families in their interlocking relationships, protected by their own hand-crafted instruments of commerce, trade-treaties, and their hand-picked political governance which creates for them the legal sanctions necessary for the entire global racket based on unpayable debt to flourish. Once a nation, like a person, can't pay its debts, demand for the proverbial “pound of flesh” is as convincing as making an offer one can't refuse.

In contrast to the Neanderthal gangster Al Capone, or Michael Corleone in the blockbuster movie 'The Godfather', who weren't smart enough to change the laws of the land in favor of their criminal enter-
prises and therefore, the state's policing apparatus could be relied upon to eventually take parasites like them down, these banksters con-
nivingly write the very laws of the land in their favor. They own, or control through proxy, the media, the legislatures, the executives, the think-tanks, the foundations, all levers of power, good and bad loans, and discourse itself, in pretty much all major societies – from G7 to G20 (excepting to some extent BRIC, Venezuela, and Iran) – cleverly hiding their own role behind the scenes in constructing their global fiefdom.

That aspiration was unabashedly and boldly re-stated by bankster James Warburg in 1950 to the US Senate – the son of bankster Paul Warburg who not only founded the Council on Foreign Relations in 1921, but was the key architect of the Federal Reserve System under the clandestine auspices of Senator Nelson Aldrich at Jekyll Island in 1910 – “We shall have World Government, whether or not we like it. The only question is whether World Government will be achieved by conquest or consent.”

And that precise “consent” is being manufactured, as we speak, baby-step at a time! This ain't no 'tin-hatted' conspiracy of the UFOs taking over as the 'body-snatchers', or Orson Welles' famous dramatization on radio of H. G. Wells' novel “The War of the Worlds”. That Halloween eve special in 1938 – as an experiment in mass psychology to observe the response to fear – panicked New York city!

A bona fide long-running elitist conspiracy for world government that is rapidly reaching fruition today within the windows of opportunity created by manufactured crises – “We are on the verge of a global transformation. All we need is the right major crisis and the nations will accept the New World Order”, noted David Rockefeller – is writ large in the ex post facto con-fessions and deeds of its vainglorious key architects themselves. Indeed, witness this eloquent boast from the bankster, in his own 2002 Memoirs:

“Some even believe we are part of a secret cabal
working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as 'internationalists' and of conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure – one world, if you will. If that is the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it.”

(Memoirs, pg. 405)

A penetrating understanding of manufactured crises as Machiavellian harbingers of calculated change, may be gleaned in Isaac Asimov's science fiction classic known as the 'Foundation Trilogy'. It is not accidental that foundations, both in real life, and in art, are instrumental harbingers of imperial change. This truism is attested to by the evidence gathered by Norman Dodd for the Congressional Reece committee in 1953-54, as described below. Wikipedia notes of the art version, that, “According to Asimov, the premise [of Foundation] was based on ideas set forth in Edward Gibbon's History of the Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire,”!

The only protection against these parasites – whom America's courageous President, Andrew Jackson, referred to as “a den of vipers” – is for ordinary peoples first learning what has been kept hidden from them, before it is too late. For indeed, tortuous control systems are being put in place globally at an accelerated pace, and overturning them after fait accompli would require nothing less than a new 'Moses' powerfully proclaiming to the new 'Pharaohs': “let my people go!”

Last time I checked, god of chosen peoples had unmercifully relegated both the old and new prophets to the enactment of miracles only on the silverscreen for the entertainment of the masses, as its ordained New World Order is brought into beguiling existence under the radar screen of most plebes. What now sayist the plebe?

For those who know nothing of these matters, Project Humanbeingsfirst has compiled an 'essentials' self-study bibliography of videos, books, documents, news reports, and its own forensics reports, for both, beginners frantically searching for an understanding of what's
happening to them as they lose their shirts and their skirts to Wall Street, as well as for advanced non-researcher white-collar professionals whose job it is to understand money, but who actually remain no less ignorant than the plebes. All only suffer its want, or enjoy its superfluity, but no one has the time or the inclination to ponder its creation, or which hands control it. Most believe, as did this scribe once, that the government creates and controls money. Please see the self-study bibliographic guide: Monetary Reform Bibliography – A self-study guide for uncovering the agendas behind the economics gibberish. [a1]

The Ignorance of the Learned

It has been rather disturbing for this scribe to continually rediscover that even well educated persons from among the ruling elite themselves, CEOs of corporations with fancy MBA degrees, venture capitalists with CA degrees, economists with Ph.D., and financial geniuses on Wall Street with degrees in mathematics and physics from Caltech and M.I.T. – never mind engineers and scientists perpetually kept too busy to bring their rational forensic acumen to bear upon such mundane existential matters as money, economy, geopolitics and empire – do not fully understand the mechanics of money, nor its direct manufactured relationship with economic booms-and-collapses, war on terror, and the broader calculated agenda for world government. All feel daunted by the economics gibberish which surrounds any discussion of it.

It is also painfully obvious that even the so called “expert” economists, and Nobel Laureates, do not fully grasp all the issues regarding money. Because they still can't manage the economy despite their Nobel Prizes, and keep getting run over by the exact same inability to link together artificial booms and speculations fueled by cheap credit that is created out of thin air as national debt, predictable busts, tightening of credit, loss of confidence, and ultimate windfall for the hand-
ful of wealthy in whose hands all the wreckage of prosperity gets transferred pennies to the dollar, consolidating enormous wealth in fewer and fewer hands at the end of it all. And they still can't call it correctly, as evidenced from the statements of the famous 92 year old economist Anna Schwartz – coauthor of Milton Friedman's classic text on monetary history of the United States – and all the rest of the economists quoted by Lendman. [a2] More examples can be found in “Monetary Reform: Who will bell the cat?”. And as is the case for the common man who least understands any of this, it could be for these learned economists as well, that it's simply because of the overloaded semantics and secrecy which surrounds this most essential and profound human invention since fire.

None among them apparently knows the money mechanics and how it astronomically enriches the private bankers for doing absolutely no production work in society other than ledger-entry, and none has any appreciation for the overarching “forces that drive them”. For surely, as Bernard Lewis had observed in another terrorism context, a study of motivations can lead to a better understanding of why the financial terror crises fueled by newer deadly toys and things – what Warren Buffet called the “Financial Weapons of Mass Destruction” and predictable “time bombs” [a3] – keep getting repeated under the very noses of those chartered to explicitly prevent them, leaving the much lauded economists from prestigious institutions none the wiser. But it is perhaps also more credible to argue, that they – the profoundly learned economists – deliberately promulgate economics gibberish in the service of their 'ubermensch' masters. The empire needs its own knowledge-scions, just as it needs its own media, its own presses, its own propaganda and spin machinery, and its own military-industrial-academe complex. Who sits atop all of this? Who funds all this? Who benefits from all of this? Does the United States – its economy and its peoples in worst shape today in this first decade of the information age than they ever were since the Great Depression years of the industrial age?
So, clearly it wasn't just for the “full spectrum dominance” of the nation-state of the United States, for which the sole superpower was being coerced into exercising its Project for the New American Century under the disguise of 'war on terror'. It was not just for the hegemony of the sole superpower that Zbigniew Brzezinski – an Executive Director of the globalist Trilateral Commission which David Rockefeller founded – had penned 'The Grand Chessboard' and made it available so publicly, like its PNAC twin.

While clearly America bombed Iraq and Afghanistan, and may yet nuclear bomb Iran and Pakistan, not to mention enter into a chicken-style nuclear confrontation with Russia, but what fuels this asininity? Who benefits?

The American peoples as well as their nation-state are certainly the very visible losers in the final analysis – even at the cost of decimated 'lower-civilizations' and their unfortunate children of lesser gods, many more will follow in those footsteps, and only they will see the end of war being waged upon humanity – going bankrupt and trillions of dollars in debt as they are. See the latest national debt figures in “The entrenched notion of Public Debt in America – will take a gestalt shift to overcome!” (see Public Debt).

Who holds this national debt at its very top, and for which, every American tax-payer perpetually pays interest on? Aaron Russo in his documentary “America: from Freedom to Fascism”, makes the astonishing revelation of how private central banking under the Federal Reserve System, and the federal income tax, are joined together at the hip as a congenital birth defect. There is some discussion of the possible illegitimacy of the federal income tax and the IRS in the film, but that's a red herring which distracts from the fact that it could be just as legal as the Federal Reserve System, and still remain a premeditated congenital birth defect devilishly crafted to ensnare the unwary public into paying gratuitous interest on the issuance of their own national currency! [a4]
Something far more insidious has been under construction using just one primal axiom of political science: “**what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times**”, the devilish words of David Ben Gurion who presided over the leadership of the newly acquired land for the Jews at the expense of its indigenous Palestinian inhabitants exactly 60 years ago. The same maxim is being deployed for world conquest at the expense of the rest of its indigenous inhabitants.

At the top of that pyramid, sit the moneychangers of modernity. For money is an even bigger existential necessity today in the producer-consumer global paradigm of high finance than it ever was in the past, even though the imperial coin is as old as mankind!

And yet just the fact that one has to come by the accurate understanding of “Money” only as a forensic detective assembling a jigsaw puzzle, and primarily from empirical analysis of widely disparate data and events, is very revealing of the secretive role of its affluent creators.

The power of money creation in private hands lies at the root of all evil. A forensic recognition of this blatant fact and the concomitant direct full spectrum public assault upon it, will lead to the termination of all the wet dreams for world government by hectoring hegemons of all stripes. It will also lead to the immediate termination of all the manufactured mechanisms employed for achieving it, namely, the fiction of ’war on terror’ and the manufactured economic collapse. Furthermore, a lineup before a court appointed legal firing squad with confiscation of all wealth should create a reasonably effective deterrence example for the future.

So let's no longer be counted among the ignorant, the co-opted, and those who, quite bewilderingly, “**scurry around like drugged cockroaches in a bottle**” [a5] waxing platitudes against an indomitable foe out for their enslavement.

To emphasize the relevance of learning from history to avert a tortu-
ous future, take for example, the remarkable 1982 video interview of Mr. Norman Dodd by G. Edward Griffin, cited in the Project Human-beingsfirst's Monetary Reform Bibliography. It can be watched here.

As a member of the “Morgan Bank” during the Great Depression, and later a chief investigator in 1953-54 for U.S. Congressman B. Carroll Reece's Special Committee on Tax Exempt Foundations (the Reece Committee), Norman Dodd makes some extraordinary revelations on video. He appears to be an unusually credible person, unlike many other plebeian detractors of aggregated wealth – scion of wealth and pamper, educated at Andover and Yale, insider to banking and Wall Street investment – not a 'tin-hatted' conspiracy theorists alluded to in the main discourse (“The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy For World Government”).

After the crash of 1929, Norman Dodd says he had “rendered” a report on the stock market crash to his bank's superiors, and according to the paraphrase of the Morgan bank officials that was rehearsed back to him: “Norm what you're saying is we should return to sound banking ... We will never see sound banking in the United States again.”

Mr. Dodd further reveals that the officials rehearsed “chapter and verse” to explain that point and stated:

“Since the end of world war one we have been responsible for what they call the institutionalizing of conflicting interests, and they are so prevalent inside this country that they can never be resolved.”

With that as the backdrop, this is what Norman Dodd relates of a conversation he had with the President of the tax-exempt Ford Foundation in 1954, as part of his Congressionally mandated investigation of tax-exempt foundations:

“Mr. Dodd, we've asked you to come up here today because we thought that possibly, off the record, you would tell us why the Congress is interested in the
activities of the foundations such as ourselves.” Before I could think of how I would reply to that statement, Mr. Gaither then went on and said: “Mr. Dodd, all of us who have a hand in the making of policies here have had experience operating under directives, the substance of which is that we shall use our grant-making power so to alter life in the United States that it can be comfortably merged with the Soviet Union.”

ED GRIFFIN: Why do the foundations generously support Communist causes in the United States?

NORMAN DODD: Well, because to them, Communism represents a means of developing what we call a monopoly, that is, an organization of, say, a large-scale industry into an administerable unit.

ED GRIFFIN: Do they think that they will be the ones to benefit?

NORMAN DODD: They will be the beneficiaries of it, yes.'

Another ominous thread in the interview is when Dodd reveals of what his lead investigator discovered in the minutes-books of Carnegie Endowment for Peace. According to Norman Dodd, the following was recorded on the old-fashioned dictaphone machine by Katherine Casey as she was browsing the minutes-books in the CEP library:

'We are now at the year 1908, which was the year that the Carnegie Foundation began operations. In that year, the trustees, meeting for the first time, raised a specific question, which they discussed throughout the balance of the year in a very learned fashion. The question is: “Is there any means known more effective than war, assuming you wish to alter the life of
an entire people?” And they conclude that no more effective means than war to that end is known to humanity.

So then, in 1909, they raised the second question and discussed it, namely: “How do we involve the United States in a war?”

Well, I doubt at that time if there was any subject more removed from the thinking of most of the people of this country than its involvement in a war. There were intermittent shows in the Balkans, but I doubt very much if many people even knew where the Balkans were. Then, finally, they answered that question as follows: “We must control the State Department.” That very naturally raises the question of how do we do that? And they answer it by saying: “We must take over and control the diplomatic machinery of this country.” And, finally, they resolve to aim at that as an objective.

Then time passes, and we are eventually in a war, which would be World War I. At that time they record on their minutes a shocking report in which they dispatched to President Wilson a telegram, cautioning him to see that the war does not end too quickly.

Finally, of course, the war is over. At that time their interest shifts over to preventing what they call a reversion of life in the United States to what it was prior to 1914 when World War I broke out. At that point they came to the conclusion that, to prevent a reversion, “we must control education in the United States.” They realize that that's a pretty big task. It is too big for them alone, so they approach the Rockefeller Foundation with the suggestion that that portion
of education which could be considered domestic be handled by the Rockefeller Foundation and that portion which is international should be handled by the Endowment. They then decide that the key to success of these two operations lay in the alteration of the teaching of American history.'
(See Norman Dodd Hidden Agenda)

Mr. Norman Dodd had also revealed another interesting little known fact in a prior year, in his testimony on “Regionalism” in 1978 before a committee created by the Illinois legislature. He disclosed the fact that a brand new constitution for the American continent had already been constructed as a super-state – what today in its baby-step incarnation is called the North American Union – and it has been patiently waiting in the wings, like the Patriot Act, to be sprung at the opportune time just like the oppressive police-state legislation was sprung upon the American public within a few weeks of 911. Witness this exchange: [a6]

'Mr. Dodd: [...] Now, the second experience that I would like to share with you... oh, and incidentally, it is the Ford Foundation's grants which are responsible for the formulation of this idea of regional government, and also the idea that given regional government, we must, in turn, **develop and accept and agree to a totally new Constitution which has already been drawn up**, as was mentioned just a few minutes ago. [previous testimony] [...] Rep. Lucco : Fine. You've answered my question. Now, another thing. You took us back to 1908, and I came on the scene in 1912, about the time of the Balkan Wars, which you alluded to, and World War I. Now, today, and you said that we actually created -- or “they”, whoever “they” are – actually created the
situation of a war. Now that we have the...

Mr. Dodd : Wait, now. You deserve to know who the “they” are.

Rep. Lucco : I was going to ask you that.

Mr. Dodd : The “they” in this instance are the Trustees. . . were the Trustees of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. They were men who were prominent lawyers in New York; men like Nicholas Murray Butler, the head of Columbia University; also, and subsequently, Allen and Foster Dulles, as attorneys -- that caliber of gentlemen.

[CDR Note: “Global Tyranny ...Step by Step”, by William Jasper, quotes Allen W. Dulles from a UN booklet, Headline Series #59 - New York: The Foreign Policy Association, Sept-Oct, 1946- page 46... “There is no indication that American public opinion, for example, would approve the establishment of a super state, or permit American membership in it. In other words, time - a long time - will be needed before world government is politically feasible... This time element might seemingly be shortened so far as American opinion is concerned by an active propaganda campaign in this country...”]

Rep. Lucco : Then I'm trying to collate what you are talking about -- 1912 -- with 1978, the meeting at Camp David, the problems in the Middle East, the Sino-, or Chinese-Russian situation--are they now getting us ready for a third world war?

Mr. Dodd: My answer to that, sir, is that they have set forces in motion, and these forces cannot help but culminate in World War III. I happen to personally believe that it is possible to prevent it from
working out that way, but I'm alone in my beliefs.

Rep. Hudson: Apparently you're not alone, Mr. Dodd. 

[...] 

Rep Hudson: Mr. Dodd, I have one question. You mentioned a proposed new Constitution, or federal charter, for this country, sort of waiting in the wings, you might say.

Mr. Dodd: Yes.

Rep Hudson: Is that the one... I have heard tell of a Tugwell type. Is that the one you refer to?

Mr. Dodd: That's it, sir.

Rep. Hudson: Thank you. All right, well, thank you very much, Mr. Dodd. We are grateful for your being here.'

Now, if Rep. Lucco of the Illinois legislature in 1978 can endeavor to “collate what you are talking about -- 1912 -- with 1978”, surely a sensibly learned person today might try to collate the same to 2008? How might one forensically bring to bear all such historical knowledge, including revelations by Norman Dodd, on the present financial crisis and the role of the Federal Reserve System? How does that relate to the blatantly undisguised drive for world government today? How does that relate to 911? But no! Not the Federal Reserve System Chairman, nor any of the Nobel Prize winning economists waxing more economics gibberish, will go there! [a7]

And forget about the mainstream presses, erudite pundits, and even lauded dissent-space politicians like Ron Paul, [a8] and intellectuals like Noam Chomsky [a9] [a10] and Howard Zinn [a11] – forever only rehearsing the crimes of the “rogue state” and 911 its “blowback” – doing so either. Their laudable emphasis on the facts that are visible like the American F16s and Apache Helicopters bombing civilians, or
the crash of the stock market as a result of casino capitalism, and lamentable silence on the ones which are not readily visible like that which remains shrouded in conspiratorial secrecy for an overarching agenda and must be forensically uncovered from rational thinking and analysis, or official narratives that are required to be kept intact, only ends up circuitously leading their own fawning flock, amidst great applause, to the pastures dutifully bounded by the same fences as the mainstream scholars! [a12] [a13] Their intellectualism, apparently, only extends to the government mandated axioms of “Bin Laden” and “Al-Qaeeeda”, which are most obligingly, implicitly retained by them in their very learned dissenting discourses that valiantly document and courageously standup to the crimes of their own nation. [a14] [a15] And these intellectual are this scribe's own respected teachers! [a16] 

Perhaps all these gadfly historians and men and women of letters who mainly delight in rehashing histories which are already faits accomplis, and in waxing moralizing sermons on being the privileged minority to whom “Western democracy provides the leisure, the facilities, and the training to seek the truth lying hidden behind the veil of distortion and misrepresentation, ... through which the events of current history are presented to us”, [a17] be graciously reminded of George Bernard Shaw's famous maxim “We are made wise not by the recollections of our past, but by the responsibility for our future”.

One wishing to learn more may review the pertinently culled reading list in the Monetary Reform Bibliography, and minimally peruse: “Monetary Reform: Who will bell the cat?”, and the Press Release “This may be a psy-op!”.

As Noam Chomsky once wrote, and quite correctly too, that “it takes a sentence to repeat lies and deceit, while it takes considerably more space to unravel them.” [a18] Elsewhere, he also wisely noted “It's always a good idea to start by asking about the facts. It's whenever you hear anything said very confidently, the first thing that should come to mind is, wait a minute, is that true?” [a19] Fortunately,
many dedicated and quite ordinary peoples have learnt from Noam Chomsky's teachings, and have already done just that. They have diligently asked about the facts for all the official myths which are axiomatically rehearsed "very confidently" from the highest to the lowest pulpits across the land, and some of their truly intellectual works are cited in the Monetary Reform Bibliography.

The reader is also invited to ask the same question, "is that true", of the 'who-dunit' axiom of the first 911 which "very confidently" narrated of an invasion from abroad; of the axiom of 'macro economics inevitability of this financial meltdown' that Warren Buffet termed "an economic Pearl Harbor"; and finally, of the axiom of 'the only solution for avoiding both types of 911s in the future, is world government controlled by the private central banks at the top of the pyramid'. The same pyramid-top which keeps the watchful-eye upon the world from the back of the world's reserve currency, the one dollar bill! It is an important question to ask, who effectively controls this reserve currency? For their identity is the identity of the watchful eye, the real emperors of the world! Upon their feet, lie the seeds of all the crimes against humanity in modern times. And upon whose beck and call, lies the "imperial mobilization" of the sole superpower state to preside over its own calculated demise, to create 'one world'!

Armed with all this analysis from many disparate sources and a rational long-view perspective which connects all the dots – perhaps a weekend's worth of self-study – one can finally judge for oneself what is deliberate disinformation, and what are the indisputable facts of the matter.

Thus, at least, one is now trivially able to judge for oneself, the worth of half-truths based disinformation masterpieces which deceive by omission rather than outright lies, like:

- http://publiceye.org/conspire/flaherty/Federal_Reserve.html

But even more importantly, now one has sufficient knowledge, as well as perspective from many sources, to ask the overarching meta ques-
Why should there be any need for super-abundance of non-information, and at best, disinformation – the profound ignorance of the learned – on such a transparent matter as the Federal Reserve System, and on such a mundane issue like money which everyone in society needs existentially, like air and water, in the first place?

If one ponders upon that question first, either agrees or disagrees with the proposition that this subject is shrouded in secrecy and obfuscation which is what creates mythologies, half-truths, and even outright lies – not to mention the trillion dollar Bailout of Wall Street [a20] that Treasury Secretary Hank Paulson now also recommends for other nations through a coordinated central banks' attack upon all the world's peoples to ensnare them all in further debt – then the rest follows on why detective forensic skills are either needed, or not, to tell the difference between propagandists shilling for their paymasters, 'tin-hatted' conspiracy theorists indulging their imaginations, 'technique of infamy' and manufactured red herrings, and the unarguable real facts of the matter.

Answering this question first, can further enable one to look at solutions-spaces more critically without being fooled. But only after the problem-space, and its awesome power to corrupt and to confuse, has been first well understood. In this regard, humanity owes a great debt of gratitude to Ezra Pound, America's most ignored poet and thinker, for explaining the specialized version of the dialectics of deception – the 'technique of infamy'.

Thus We Fail as a “focus group”!

To begin exploring the solutions-space, the following websites might be useful. Project Humanbeingsfirst does not endorse, or censure, anyone of them, and remains largely agnostic when they make sense – for the real challenge lies elsewhere. A preliminary analysis of two
main proposals, the Gold-Standard (Mises Institute) favored by Rep. Ron Paul, R-Texas, which does not make any sense, and the Greenbacks, (thought to be) favored by Rep. Dennis Kucinich, D-Ohio, which does make sense, can be found in the Monetary Reform Bibliography.

http://mises.org
http://monetary.org/
http://webofdebt.com/
http://monetaryreform.org/
http://themoneymasters.com
http://ratical.org/many_worlds/cc/
http://transaction.net/money/glossary.html

Richard Cook also pontificates yet another solution-space in his just released 2008 book “We Hold These Truths – The Hope of Monetary Reform”. His concept, in its preliminary form, inspired by the work of the late C.H. Douglas of a century ago called Social Credit, was published in 2007 here and here. [a21] The late Dr. Edward Hamlyn at the British Association for Monetary Reform, also left the world his gift of the 2007 edition of “The New Money Text Book” which can be read here. [a22] The American Monetary Institute has their own Monetary Reform Act drafted here. [a23] The 3-1/2 hour video, The Money Masters, in its concluding last 45 minutes, also explores a rational solution-space and makes specific recommendations for national monetary reform. [a24] The video also points to an alternative local solutions-space for individual communities, that of local 'community-currency', as a sustainable money system to fulfill local trading needs debt-free. Some Europeans, apparently, tend to agree with this community-currency prescription. There already are, or will soon be, 65 regional currencies in operation alongside the EU. One of these currencies, made defunct, was based on the ideas of Silvio Gesell in the now almost century old classic, “The Natural Economic Order”: [a25]
'Austria's Tyrolean community of Wörgl launched a scheme based on his theories, in 1932, reputed to have slashed unemployment at the height of the Depression. It was watched by Keynes and Irving Fisher, who saw a fast-depreciating currency as a possible answer to the 1930s “liquidity trap”.

The Wörgl experiment was declared illegal by Austria's central bank when a further 200 other communities launched copycat currencies, threatening the authority of the state. Though article 35 of the Bundesbank's founding law forbids the circulation of “quasi-currencies”, the experiments are being treated as a harmless eccentricity.'

As one can easily glean from this quick survey, there is a surfeit of monetary reform proposals. If only there was some way to bring them all together on one common platform!

Project Humanbeingsfirst encourages the readers to first endeavor to fully comprehend the problem-domain, and what entrenched systems of power inhibit all debt-free solutions from emerging, before jumping into the solutions-domain and spending time on the treadmill of inefficacy – like the antiwar movement. The failure to recognize that power only respects power, otherwise it is merely a “focus group”, [a26] has been the latter's undoing. This certainly does not preclude understanding the technical domain itself, including the local community needs to transact business at the individual and local business level; understanding the national needs to monetize the GDP and transact business at the national institution level, including collect taxes and pay for services; and understanding the global needs, not only for international trade, but also as a stable repository for valuation of public and private assets, both global and local, and as the calibration of a fair standard for measuring wealth in vastly disparate levels of industrial development and/or natural wealth, in rich and poor nations.
Some will surely argue that the latter needs a 'global currency'. If they were to do so, they would fall right into the trap of the banksters. The afore-cited monetary reform bibliography contains a culled selection of recent pertinent news reports which plainly betray the globalist motivation of the International bankster cartel who wish to use the present manufactured financial crisis to lead the world's gullible public to precisely that conclusion-space. To be managed centrally, by a world cartel of International private central banks, which would be the first and last nail in the national-sovereignty of all nations. "Give me control of a nation's money supply, and I care not who makes its laws", was not stated in mere vanity by the Rothschild scions.

Based on the knowledge and forensic touchstones now gained, anyone capable of even a modicum of reflection should trivially be able to dismantle red herring reform proposals made by erudite scholars of empire to cleverly maneuver the world towards the masters' choice. Take for instance, this 1978 masterpiece by James Tobin, "A Proposal for Monetary Reform", which many reform advocates now eagerly promulgate as the "Tobin tax". [a27] It retained the international banksters' profiteering axiom of 'money as debt' quite intact, and like his learned colleagues at the Federal Reserve System routinely do, proposed some other erudite gibberish for how to green the yellowing leaves. Tobin began with the following synopsis of the problem domain in his presidential address at the 1978 conference of the Eastern Economic Association, Washington DC:

"Over the last twenty years economists' prescriptions for reform of the international monetary system have taken various shapes. Their common premise was dissatisfaction with the Bretton Woods regime as it evolved in the 1950s. Robert Triffin awakened the world to the contradictions and instabilities of a system of pegged parities that relied on the debts in reserve currencies, mostly dollars, to meet growing needs for official reserves. Triffin and his followers..."
saw the remedy as the internationalization of reserves and reserve assets; their ultimate solution was a world central bank. Others diagnosed the problem less in terms of liquidity than in the inadequacies of balance of payments adjustment mechanisms in the modern world. The inadequacies were especially evident under the fixed-parity gold-exchange standard when, as in the 1960s, the reserve currency center was structurally in chronic deficit. These analysts sought better and more symmetrical "rules of the game" for adjustments by surplus and deficit countries, usually including more flexibility in the setting of exchange parities, crawling pegs, and the like. Many economists, of whom Milton Friedman was an eloquent and persuasive spokesman, had all along advocated floating exchange rates, determined in private markets without official interventions."

Thus notice that in the above description of the problem domain, not a single mention, by anyone, of money coined by private central banks as a national debt from which they directly profit, like many a blood sucking leach. The conversation begins, very conveniently, posing an entirely different problem, as the key problem for monetary reform! Is this scribe the only one who sees such ab initio obfuscation by the super learned, which, by its very design, cleverly circumscribes the entire discourse space, and hence masks the real problem and its effective solution?

Tobin continues:

“By the early 1970s the third view was the dominant one in the economics profession, though not among central bankers and private financiers. And all of a sudden, thanks to Nixon and Connally, we got our wish. ... Clearly, flexible rates have not been the
panacea which their more extravagant advocates had hoped; international monetary problems have not disappeared from headlines or from the agenda of anxieties of central banks and governments.”

So the “exchange rate regime” wasn't the right problem to have solved for in the first place, as Tobin sheepishly observes from empirical results, for the problems persisted in the headlines then, and obviously still do today. The solution identified was in-efficacious for the disease, because it obviously did not address the root cause of the real disease. And this is essentially what Tobin is confessing to, that it was the wrong medicine for a poorly diagnosed disease:

“I believe that the basic problem today is not the exchange rate regime, whether fixed or floating. Debate on the regime evades and obscures the essential problem.”

Okay, so let's see what is the new “essential problem” which Tobin identifies:

“That is the excessive international—or better, inter-currency-mobility of private financial capital.”

So the fundamental problem for monetary reform is now identified as “currency mobility”: 

“Under either exchange rate regime the currency exchanges transmit disturbances originating in international financial markets. ... Specifically the mobility of financial capital limits viable differences among national interest rates and thus severely restricts the ability of central banks and governments to pursue monetary and fiscal policies appropriate to their internal economies.”

Notice the error of obfuscation, of central banks are lumped with the
government, and treated as benevolent entities operating in the best interest of the peoples just as governments are supposed to. With that as the unquestioned axiom, Tobin makes the accurate observation:

“Likewise speculation on exchange rates, whether its consequences are vast shifts of official assets and debts or large movements of exchange rates themselves, have serious and frequently painful real internal economic consequences. Domestic policies are relatively powerless to escape them or offset them.”

And then comes up with the wonderful solution space for this newly identified problem:

“There are two ways to go. One is toward a common currency, common monetary and fiscal policy, and economic integration. The other is toward greater financial segmentation between nations or currency areas, permitting their central banks and governments greater autonomy in policies tailored to their specific economic institutions and objectives. The first direction, however appealing, is clearly not a viable option in the foreseeable future, i.e., the twentieth century. I therefore regretfully recommend the second, and my proposal is to throw some sand in the wheels of our excessively efficient international money markets.”

And to his great regret, that he can't immediately have world government of the central banksters as his first preferred solution, Tobin throws “some sand in the wheels of our excessively efficient international money markets”! He formulates both the problem, and its solution thusly:

“At present the world enjoys many benefits of the increased worldwide economic integration of the last thirty years. But the integration is partial and unbal-
anced; in particular private financial markets have become internationalized much more rapidly and completely than other economic and political institutions. That is why we are in trouble. So I turn to the second, and second best, way out, forcing some segmentation of inter-currency financial markets.”

Great – and that “forcing some segmentation of inter-currency financial markets” is his famed 'Tobin Tax', which many would-be monetary reformers now carry upon their own proud backs as the greatest invention since sliced bread!

It is not un-interesting to observe that Tobin's language of “economic integration, 'one world' ideal, [of] a common currency, national financial and capital markets, and a single national monetary policy”, almost mirrors that of David Rockefeller.

And surely, to give the ubermensch academic devil its due, this 'Tobin Tax' may well be a solution to some immanent problem conceived by Tobin, but it certainly is not, and never was, the solution to the most significant crisis which plagues all of mankind today – the spectre of debt-slavery to international bankers! The Ignorance of the Learned revisited!

This is, qualitatively, exactly equivalent to the mainstream focussing on the stated legitimacy of the 'war on terror' – for the suicide bombers are indeed a grotesque and observable reality – and axiomatically assuming that those going after them are the good guys doing so in self-defense! The famous dissent-stream only disagreeing to the extent that yes, these 'terrorists' are real, but it's “blowback”, and that we should not be using this for “imperial mobilization”! But neither entertaining the thought that perhaps the threat of suicide bombings is being deliberately manufactured, and in order to make the threats appear credible and non-immanent, the bombings planned into existence! [a28]

After all, who did not see the planes crash into the tall buildings on
television? Thus, keeping the first axiom of 911 – 'Bin Laden's invasion from abroad' – naturally intact, or unexamined, or deflect as "endless controversy, [which] just gets in the way of dealing with the immediate situation", all kinds of artificial discourse space, and its concomitant inefficacious solutions, are opened up for energetic debate in society. Being part of the same world-game, economists too conjure up their pet solutions keeping the sacred-cow axioms unquestioned, and then use the resulting failures and/or expected reactions as rationale for pushing their preferred overarching agenda. Tobin openly regretted in 1978 that the time wasn't ripe for pushing his "one world" agenda all the way home just yet:

"Perhaps it is true that establishing a common currency and a central macro-economic policy will automatically generate the institutions, markets, and mobilities which make the system viable and its regional economic consequences everywhere tolerable. The risk is one that few are prepared to take. Moreover, EEC experience to date suggests that it is very hard to contrive a scenario of gradual evolution towards such a radically different regime, even though it could well be the global optimum."

Time has indeed been made ripe today, another thirty years further into the machinations for world government from when James Tobin wrote that! And that same overarching agenda – which Norman Dodd revealed, which Tobin confirmed, and which even Allen W. Dulles, the founding father of the CIA and its longest running Director, lamented in 1946 would require “time - a long time - will be needed before world government is politically feasible” – is now being pushed with the most forceful vigor by all the banksters and their minions [a29].

How much more confirmation does one need before the pig-headed men and women of substance – the much lauded persons of the arts, sciences, and the letters – will recognize what's staring one blatantly
in the face? It is a calculated conspiracy which sees no price as too burdensome, no war as too onerous, and no extermination as too unsightly, for creating world government!

It is also very convenient for the learned to mix up the 'highest order bit' with 'lower order bits' of a complex matter – irrespective of deliberately or inadvertently – for the plebes can hardly tell the difference. And that's just wonderful for creating clever red herrings when the latter are emphasized, and the former is ignored! Surely whatever one comes up with is always a solution to something, and that's just as undeniable as any pathetic tautology. But is it a solution to the 'most significant bit'? Has the problem itself been accurately diagnosed, and the systemic multi-lateral illness accurately mapped out to its very DNA? Not when the sacred-cow axioms remain untouchable! And this is indeed how one wins a Nobel Prize and lucrative appointments. [a30] In some cases, even stays alive.

To explain the commonsense concept of 'bit' drawn from electrical engineering, it's like having a “one” in the 7th decimal place, and also in the 2nd decimal place, to create the total amount One million and Ten dollars, $1,000,010, and while auditing the books, focusing on the digit position which identifies the Ten dollars and not the one which identifies the Million! The significance of this is not lost to the banksters!

With that detailed analysis as the backdrop to warn the unwary mind of the unlimited methods at the disposal of a highly intellectual ruling elite which predominantly runs its affairs using political science 101, not good intentions 101, it is also important to emphasize that one monetary system does not necessarily fit all challenges, nor meet the needs of all nations.

Some nations are more agrarian, struggling with even the basics of daily existential necessities, and some are already in the post industrialization travails borne of superfluity and rape of poorer nations. Cultural sensitivities and social mores also make one size fit all an ana-
them to those peoples who don't always measure all that they value in dollars and cents!

But one basic principle of money does fit them all, and no nation's public is ever against it:

**Power to coin a nation's money, and to manage its money supply, thus availability of credit to borrowers, must not be put in the for-profit interest-bearing indenting hands of private individuals and their banking institutions regardless of how kindly and benevolent their claimed motives, how great their claimed expertise, or how compelling the expediency.**

And yet, despite such a common principle uniting all the detractors of aggregated wealth and proponents of monetary reform, both their detailed analysis of the problems, as well as their proposed system-solutions, often suffers from their jumbled philosophies which almost act like 'religion'. That's partially because it is indeed 'religion' and passion for justice which drives the detractors, not business motives for personal gain, as it does their antagonists who little care for the purity, or lack thereof, of their fleecing system, and only remain focused on how to keep their befuddled flock in perpetual debt. The bankers therefore, apart from their enormous power and wealth, hold a practical and expedient advantage over the 'malcontents' who are seeped in idealism, and often with empty pockets.

Furthermore, all such proponents of a new monetary system even do not pursue a proper system design discipline. They invariably link their design to an imagined economic system of their preference, and none is able to perceive that one is a mechanism, the other is policy. Many economic policies, even full blown economic systems as diverse as socialism, to real free-market capitalism with winner take all, and every social balance in between, should be efficiently constructible on a properly architected monetary system mechanism which op-
erates in the public interest. To understand the real challenges, please see “Monetary Reform: Who will bell the cat?” and this response to the petition in “Open Letter to G-20”.

Therefore, it is unsurprising that some propose platitudes as solutions, forgetting that the Ten Commandments and the Golden Rule are at least 3000 years old but have made little impact on the real world of avarice and plunder. These include proposals for radical transformations as if revolutions are just around the corner. Some propose solutions which merely favor the private central-bankers themselves, knowingly or unwittingly is immaterial. Many of these are almost always cosmetic band-aids. These also include partial solutions that leave the core problems intact. “Religion” is most apparent in these arguments. An example of this is the gold standard, or the gold-equivalence standard pushed upon the world by the dominant victor of World War II at Bretton Woods. The “religion” in this case is protecting the asset holders against inflation at all cost.

A memorable oration in history on this “religious” discourse already exists. It was made by William Jennings Bryan over a century ago. [a31] The principles still remain the same, even as they were the same under which the English forced the gold standard upon the colonies to bring the prosperous colonies back from coining their own money called 'colonial scrip', into the fold of debt enslavement to the British empire which monopolized the gold. [a32] This speech is worthy of review by any student, and proponent, of a gold backed standard. Project Humanbeingsfirst has taken its first analytic look at the idea of a limited 'precious commodity' backing a national currency, in “Monetary Reform: First Look at the Gold Standard”.

In the ability to tell the nuanced differences therein, among outright BS, partial asininity born of misconceptions, idealism, and usefulness at different application hierarchies, lies the key that can practically and immediately unlock the world from the debt shackles of the perpetual monetary conspiracy for world government. Coming together on one single point of focus, debt-free coining of money by a govern-
ment, and single-mindedly driving that focus to the point of its politi-
cal acceptance – as fait accompli – and leaving the design of the actual
monetary system under that guiding principle to a transparent body
chartered by the government, or Congress, as a public process, is the
only sensible approach. Indeed, the only practical reform approach
that will ever work.

But as those given to even a modicum of realism well understand, rec-
tification of injustices is only possible either with the mighty hand of
the victor's justice, or under the astute gamesmanship of balance of
power. In this case, political power to affect legal solutions at all
levels. Never on its own, regardless of the soundness of the platitudes
or the solutions.

To build such a balance of power today that might be effective, does
not seem to be in the capability arsenal of those proposing monetary
reform solutions. A largely powerless peoples who cannot even fund
one single economics think-tank of national consequence, and one
single financial political action group of influence, never mind mus-
tering the kind of lobbying-power before which powerful Congress
persons and local law-makers, mayors, state governors, attorney gen-
erals, and newspaper editorialists might bow their head.

Realistically, I see no impact by monetary reformers at the national or
international level. For it is but a truism that those who control
purse strings, control nations' destinies – the real golden rule on
earth, as old as mankind! To confiscate their purse-strings – as easy
as a stroke of pen – is a revolutionary act for which there is no “Jesus”
today to cleanse the Congress of the moneychangers. The Wall Street
bailout with the new crown of thorns, and which the US Treasury Sec-
retary Hank Paulson [a33] is now brazenly attempting to extend to
crucify all of mankind upon the new cross of a global monetary sys-
tem, is proof-sufficient.

Finally, not wishing to end on the afore-stated pessimistic note as in
the original version of this report, Project Humanbeingsfirst's position
is the one principally reflected in the political-science notion of countering power with power and not platitudes, and principles of hegemony with principles for liberty, not ego nor preference for a particular “religion”. The first loss of sovereignty of a nation, is the loss of controlling its money. The founding fathers of the United States of America understood that principle just as well as the founders of empire from time immemorial – as evidenced in this excerpt from an oped which appeared in the Times of London: [a34]

“If this mischievous financial policy, which has its origin in North America, shall become endurated down to a fixture, then that Government will furnish its own money without cost. It will pay off debts and be without debt. It will have all the money necessary to carry on its commerce. It will become prosperous without precedent in the history of the world. The brains, and wealth of all countries will go to North America. That country must be destroyed or it will destroy every monarchy on the globe.”

It entirely sums up Project Humanbeingsfirst's antithetical axiom adopted as its rallying cry for abolishing the Federal Reserve System – the power of private central banking – forcefully reclaiming, for all nations and all peoples, what President Lincoln had noted: [a35]

“The Government should create, issue, and circulate all the currency and credit needed to satisfy the spending power of the Government and the buying power of the consumers.”

“The privilege of creating and issuing money is not only the supreme prerogative of Government, but it is the Government's greatest creative opportunity.”

“By the adoption of these principles ... the taxpayers will be saved immense sums of interest. Money will cease to be master and become the servant of human-
ity.” (See Public Debt)

Footnotes

This essay has extensive embedded reference links in the online version of which the more pertinent ones that beg citation or elaboration are noted below.

[a1] Introduction is part of the Monetary Reform Bibliography by Zahir Ebrahim, http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/monetary-reform-bibliography.html


“Derivatives are financial weapons of mass destruction, carrying dangers that, while now latent, are potentially lethal”, and, “We view them as time bombs, both for the parties that deal in them and the economic system”


“When we have settled the land, all the Arabs will be able to do about it will be to scurry around like drugged cockroaches in a bottle.”
http://www.sweetliberty.org/issues/regionalism/dodd.htm


[a10] Noam Chomsky, putting out his pathetic “911” Seven Stories Press booklet to tote his broken horn of “blowback” and state sponsored terrorism immediately after 9/11, retaining all the core-axioms seeded by the Pentagon and the White House, not to mention making a ton of money on it at the expense of devastated civilizations, has left this scribe intellectually standing quite alone to fend for himself, without any priests!

See Noam Chomsky, Closet Capitalist, by Peter Schweizer, Hoover Institution, who quotes Chomsky:
http://www.hoover.org/publications/digest/2912626.html

“If you look at the things I write—articles for Z Magazine, or books for South End Press, or whatever—they are mostly based on talks and meetings and that kind of thing. But I’m kind of a parasite. I mean, I’m living off the activism of others. I’m happy to do it.”

Peter Schweizer further observes: “Chomsky’s marketing
efforts shortly after September 11 give new meaning to the term war profiteer. In the days after the tragedy, he raised his speaking fee from $9,000 to $12,000 because he was suddenly in greater demand. He also cashed in by producing another instant book. Seven Stories Press, a small publisher, pulled together interviews conducted via e-mail that Chomsky gave in the three weeks following the attack on the Twin Towers and rushed the book to press. His controversial views were hot, particularly overseas. By early December 2001, the publisher had sold the foreign rights in 19 different languages. The book made the best-seller list in the United States, Canada, Germany, India, Italy, Japan, and New Zealand. It is safe to assume that he netted hundreds of thousands of dollars from this book alone.”

The following video clip of Noam Chomsky's interview on CBC is also disturbing to observe, in that while he very eruditely questions the overt motivations of the 'rogue state' bombing Afghanistan as outright criminal, he leaves unquestioned, the core-axiom upon which the state sponsored terrorism itself was based – he does not question the government's narrative of 911. Just like once before, for the JFK's assassination, Chomsky did not question that official narrative either. Noam Chomsky still maintained, in his email communication as of 2008 with this scribe, that 'Bin Laden' had done 911, and he scoffs at those who might argue that the only person who couldn't have done the controlled demolition of WTC-7 on which no plane hit, is a yogi sitting on his rump in the Hindu-Kush, armed to the teeth with AK-47s, cell phones, laptops, and prayers! Nevertheless, apparently, it is acceptable to the 'rogue state' that controlled critique be permitted on its “imperialism” upon others in order to channel and manage a controlled dissent on what is already obvious to all and sundry, and to vigorously prevent dissent from being extended to what might really interfere with its agendas and complex magic-shows such as genuinely conscionable thinking peoples correctly adding two plus
two equals four and effectively mobilizing protest in millions based upon it. Protests of a few hundred thousand is merely “focus group”. It will remain so as long as there is an external enemy to continually scare the peoples with. Protest of ten million in major cities however, when the enemy is known to be within, an inside job, becomes “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization”. Chomsky had explained 'Manufacturing Consent'. This scribe has explained 'Manufacturing Dissent' in “Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science”

http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/06/wmd-master-social-science.html

(Part 1 of 2 CBC Interview) http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=10rTPSSmOFw

(Part 2 of 2 CBC Interview) http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bieFwutoqvA


Transcript from video clip http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S-WQ5z53lW8

In response to a question by Buddy Moore, Independent Candidate for US Senate from Colorado, Howard Zinn stated:

“Of course as I told you, I never believe the government, or rarely believe the government. Do I believe the government version of what happened? Well, I am skeptical. Do I believe that the government was in the conspiracy to do this? I don't know. I don't know enough about the situation, and the truth is, I don't care that much. That's past. ... the whole argument that the people are engaged in, about, was the government behind a conspiracy to blow up the two towers, to me that's a diversion from what we really have to do, deal with the fact
that whatever, whoever was behind 9/11, the government took advantage of that, to take us to war, and to put us on a disastrous course, and it's that war, those wars, that disastrous course we have to deal with. I don't want to go back to the controversy that I think is endless controversy, and just gets in the way of dealing with the immediate situation.”

Howard Zinn not dealing with the first-cause enabler of “imperial mobilization” lends automatic endorsement to the government's axiomatic propagandistic lie, that there is an external enemy. And it is precisely that propaganda which keeps the fire of “doctrinal motivation and intellectual commitment” lighted underneath all the wars that Howard Zinn does want to deal with! So even for pragmatically dealing with the “immediate situation”, directly dealing with the first-pretext cause to yank away the very fuel of “doctrinal motivation” might appear to the sound of mind to be the most efficacious and sensible course of action. Therefore, deliberately not dealing with it only lends zero efficacy to all the subsequent dissent because the existence of the enemy remains unchallenged. That's just peachy for the government, isn't it? By its more logical name, such devious support of the ruling elite's mission, might rightly be called 'Manufacturing Dissent'! So much for the intellectuals of the West – where “truth” is a commodity, like everything else.


[a13] Noam Chomsky, in an interview with Barry Pateman at M.I.T., http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nO2e0DrnYg4 makes the following coldly accurate observation on deliberate attitude control being calculatingly practiced upon the public in free-societies by its ruling-elite, but then, quite inexplicably, fails to apply that same observation to his own blind acceptance of government propaganda of 'Bin laden' did 911, just like his friend Howard Zinn (op. cit.), also
forgetting his own decades' old moralizing proclamations on the
responsibility of intellectuals:

“Intellectuals are in a position to expose the lies of
governments, to analyze actions according to their causes and
motives and often hidden intentions.” (Ibid.).

Transcript from video clip, 7:15 to 8:50,

“The people who understand this the best, are those who are
carrying out the control of domination. In the more free
societies like the United States and England, where popular
struggles have won a lot of freedom over the years, and the
state has limited capacity to coerce, there is a very striking,
that it is precisely in those societies, that elite groups, the
business world, and state managers, and so on, recognized
early on, that they are going to have to develop massive
methods of control of attitude and opinion, because you
can't control people by force anymore. And therefore, you
have to modify their consciousness, so that they don't
perceive that they are living under conditions of alienation,
oppression, subordination, and so on. In fact, that's what,
probably a couple of trillion dollars a year are spent on this in
the United States, very self-consciously, I mean from the
framing of television advertisements for two-year olds, to
what you are taught in graduate school economics programs.
It's designed to create a kind of a consciousness of
subordination, and it's also intended, specifically, and pretty
consciously, to suppress normal human emotions.”

For a deconstruction of this Chomsky admission “you have to
modify their consciousness” of the diabolical modus
operandi of persuasion to create both conformity of views
among the sheep in the mainstream as well as inefficacy of
dissent among the conscionable rabble rousers in the dissent-
stream, see Project Humanbeingsfirst report “Weapons of
Mass Deception – The Master Social Science”  
http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/06/wmd-master-social-science.html

http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/04/binladen-keyenabler-nuclearattack.html


[a16] This scribe in his youthful years benefited greatly from the voluminous works, as well as from the courage, of both Noam Chomsky and Howard Zinn. The former taught this scribe a couple of his famous foreign policy classes at M.I.T., and the latter very kindly wrote a recommendation letter for the book “Prisoners of the Cave” to the publishers

This scribe's humble interlocution of Noam Chomsky on matters du jour can be read at:


[a20] Zahir Ebrahim, No Exits on this Super-Highway!
http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/09/no-exits-on-this-super-highway.html


“First of all, you know, size of protest, it's like deciding, well, I'm going to decide policy based upon a focus group.”


[a29] News reports cited in http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/monetary-reform-
bibliography.html#Pertinent-News-Reports


[a33] Project Humanbeingsfirst, Press Release October 09 2008, This may be a psy-op! http://pressreleases-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/pr-resp-wmr-femamartiallaw-oct092008.html


[a35] Abraham Lincoln, Ibid.


**Additional Footnotes**


http://paulgrignon.netfirms.com/MoneyasDebt/

http://paulgrignon.netfirms.com/MoneyasDebt/index2.htm


**Begin Quote**

On the US Treasury department website, there is a service called: http://www.publicdebt.treas.gov/

Its job, as stated,

“You haven't heard of the Bureau of the Public Debt before? We're a small agency within the Department of the Treasury. Our customers are your neighbors, co-workers, and most likely you, too. You're our customer if you've ever bought any type of Treasury security for yourself or, as millions have done in the case of savings bonds, as a gift for someone else.

Our job is to borrow the money needed to operate the federal government and to account for the resulting debt.”

Alexander Hamilton
security for yourself or, as millions have done in the case of savings bonds, as a gift for someone else.

Our job is to borrow the money needed to operate the federal government and to account for the resulting debt. In a nutshell, we borrow by selling Treasury bills, notes, and bonds, as well as U.S. Savings Bonds; we pay interest to investors; and, when the time comes to pay back the loans, we redeem investors' securities. Every time we borrow or pay back money, it affects the outstanding debt of the United States.”

The second paragraph is revealing as it is quintessentially axiomatic:

“Our job is to borrow the money needed to operate the federal government and to account for the resulting debt.”

End Quote

Why does that job even exist? There is a presupposition, an axiom based on the a priori supposition of debt, and which remains unexamined --- which is why that statement is axiomatic. The entrenched forces which make that job axiomatic are neither understood nor its insidious power appreciated by monetary reform advocates who seem to think that these forces will magically just disappear to enable “reform”. Which is why I have come to realize that monetary reform is in fact a social engineering scam designed by the oligarchy to keep activists occupied and chattering away in futility. The knowledge of the subversion itself is harmless since nothing can be done with that knowledge in any practical way. The risk analysis in game theory scenario analysis would indicate that permitting this discourse to exist in rebel hands is in fact useful to empire.

Begin Quote
On the same home page, is also this axiomatic quote from Alexander Hamilton, the father of private central banking in the newly independent former colonies, the Bank of North America, followed by the First Bank of the United States when he was the Secretary of the Treasury:

“The United States debt, foreign and domestic, was the price of liberty.”

So – the coupling between the federal government's Treasury Department, and private central banking, is as old as America. It is irrefutably captured in that quote of Hamilton. Despite the occasional bouts of heroism by presidents like Andrew Jackson: “You are a den of vipers. I intend to rout you out, and by God, I will rout you out.”, and after killing the Second Bank of the United States, “I killed the bank”, for the brief respite of 77 years until 1913, it has become such an axiom that today, generations later, a federal government department is created to monitor the size of that debt.

End Quote

First Published November 23, 2008
Chapter 42 Part-2

Oligarchic Primacy and Financial Terrorism

The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy For World Government

September 25, 2008

“They who control the credit of the nation, direct the policy of governments, and hold in the hollow of their hands, the destiny of the people.” --- Reginald McKenna

Preamble

The 47 minute film titled “The Capitalist Conspiracy: An Inside View of International Banking”, by the author of the famous book on the founding of the Federal Reserve System “Creature from Jekyll Is-
land”, can be watched at the end of this essay. The material that G. Edward Griffin used for his film to identify the private Western banking cartel as the Capitalist Conspirators, is from the book *Tragedy and Hope* written by the Georgetown University Professor of History, and President Bill Clinton's mentor, Dr. Carroll Quigley. The filmmaker also acknowledged W. Cleon Skousen's book *The Naked Capitalist* (excerpts here), as the key source of inspiration for his film.

The transcription of excerpts from the internet version of this film in this essay is provided for those busy peoples of the world who do not have much time to read complex books, or to watch lengthy videos as they pursue their respective “American Dreams”. Excerpt-1 contains the first introductory minute of the video documentary, followed by two 9 and 5 minute pertinent excerpts, respectively. It is hoped that the transcription will entice the reader into watching the full documentary film and enable the connecting of the dots to Current Affairs unfolding before everyone's eyes even as I write this report.

Furthermore, the reader is encouraged to replace the word “Communism” in the quoted excerpts with “Islamofascism” or “Islamism” as a mental experiment, to bring this revealing 1972 film into the modernity of 2008 without changing a single thing else. The Project Humanbeingsfirst's reports: “From Balance of Terror to Unilateral Terror on the Grand Chessboard!” and “Islamofascism - Zionofascism - Judeofascism - Christofascism - Neofascism etc. An equitable distribution of Collateral Language!” fills in the remaining context for the fiction du jour of “War on Terror”! As of this writing, its full brunt is being faced by a beleaguered nuclear-armed Pakistan which is now posited as the world-threat – “the very petri dish of international terrorism” (late Benazir Bhutto, anointing Pakistan while speaking at the CFR on August 15, 2007, before her final return to Pakistan to her ill-fated assassination) – as noted in the Press Release: “WHAT'S TO BE DONE – Massive Bomb Blast in Islamabad Marriott September 20, 2008”.

Excerpt-2 contains the following remarkable narration by G. Edward
Griffin in the '70s which forebodingly foretold the future that is manifestly here today:

“Create conditions so frightful at home and abroad, that the abandonment of personal liberties and national sovereignty, will appear as a reasonable price for a return to domestic tranquility and world peace.

If those who seek world dominion can stimulate leftist mobs into violent confrontation with local law enforcement, and also provide exhaustive news coverage, so that the entire nation can see and tremble, then the peaceful and freedom loving majority can be programmed to accept a vast expansion of government powers, and even a national police force, offered supposedly to end the violence.

If those who seek world dominion can raise the spectre of an enemy, armed to the teeth with superior atomic weapons on the verge of launching a nuclear holocaust, and also offer world-government as the prevention, then millions of Americans can be programmed to accept the loss of national sovereignty, as our last best hope for peace.”

This predicted ominous future is today quite non-conspiratorially visible to all and sundry, as millions of Americans have already been programmed to accept their nation turning into a Police State as spelled out by Project Humanbeingsfirst in its “Response to 'How the GOP Turned the US Into a Hideous Police State'”.

That Capitalist Conspiracy (see Some Dare Call It Conspiracy) (see Norman Dodd Hidden Agenda) (see Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory) of the financial oligarchy leading to “an end to national sovereignty”, was also the likely prime-mover behind their influential mouth-piece, the CFR's Foreign Affairs magazine, April 1974 article “The Hard Road To World Order” by Richard N. Gardner. However, before
proceeding to Gardner's prescription, it is instructive to take a few moments to peruse on one's own, Harper magazine's July 1958 eye-opening introduction to the purpose and composition of CFR – the Council on Foreign Relations located in New York – written by CFR member Joseph Kraft, titled “School for Statesmen”. Only then will the wide-ranging impact on global policy of the modus operandi outlined in 1974 by the former Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for International Organizations under Kennedy and Johnson, and a member of the Trilateral Commission, become coldly apparent:

“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.”

One example of this insidious “eroding it piece by piece” can be seen at play today in the short clip found on youtube of CNN report (4 minutes clip) hosted by journalist Lou Dobbs, in which CFR author Robert Pastor outlined the plans for “Building a North American Community” by 2010 based on a CFR strategy/policy planning document with that name.

Republican Congressman Ron Paul (2 minutes clip) called it the “Conspiracy of Ideas” during a 2008 Republican Presidential Candidates' debate amidst thunderous applause from the audience.

He candidly and boldly observed that the “CFR exists, the Trilateral Commission exists”, and that it is “an ideological battle” in which:

“some people believe in Globalism, and others of us believe in national sovereignty;

and there is a move on toward a North American Union just like early on there was a move on for a European Union and it eventually ended up. ...
These are real things, it's not somebody made these up, it's not a conspiracy, they don't talk about it, and they might not admit about it, but there has been money spent on it ...

So it's not so much a secretive conspiracy, it's a contest between ideologies; whether we believe in our institutions here, our national sovereignty, our Constitution, or are we going to further move in the direction of international government, more UN.

You know, this country goes to war under UN Resolutions.

I don't like big government in Washington.

So I don't like this trend towards international government ...

But it's not so much it's a sinister conspiracy, it's just knowledge is out there, if we look for it, you'll realize our national sovereignty is under threat!"

Another instance of devilishly crafting the “end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece [to] accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault” can be seen in the crafty lender's trap that most developing nations have been snared in by the tag team duo WB–IMF (see Michel Chossudovsky Financial Warfare). The belated exposés of consummate insiders now turned 'priests', like the famous John Perkins, tell the sorry tale of deprivation and in-humanity that is being continually visited upon the vast majority of the poor peoples on planet earth through this deliberate debt-lever which is used to compel once sovereign nations, into accepting the neo-liberal policies crafted by the same financial oligarchic Western ruling elite! The CFR is only its American Arm. The super-NAFTA highway (7 minutes clip) under the Security and Prosperity Partnership (SPP) for the impending North American Union, only its latest victim!
The European arm of this Western axis of evil is buried in other similar institutional forums of the enormously wealthy, such as the annual Bilderbergers meeting, the RIIA British parent-arm of the American CFR, etc. This two-minute video clip showing Vice President Dick Cheney speaking at the CFR, reveals the role of institutional money-bags like David Rockefeller in influencing/manufacturing global policies quite unbeknownst to the affected public.

The long-term solution to preempt such devious modus operandi of “eroding it piece by piece” was farsightedly outlined by Griffin in the '70s – if only anyone was paying attention at the time – and is reproduced in Excerpt-3 below. Its item seven is of monumental interest to concerned citizens as it endeavors to eliminate the largest motivator behind wars, behind globalism, behind internationalism, by attacking their main financial lever which enables it all through the deceptive oligarchic control of their nation's money supply.

How this financial lever is actually exercised to manipulate national destiny from behind the scenes was very colorfully, and based on the grotesque examination of reality, also accurately, depicted in a fictionalized book “Philip Dru: Administrator” by Col. Edward Mandell House. He was the principal advisor to President Woodrow Wilson, and both of them were responsible for enabling the Federal Reserve System racket in 1913 that Griffin exposes.

And the cycle of wars and profit which is largely responsible for creating such massive wealth consolidations in the first place that creates and sustains the financial lever, was even noted by the highly decorated American war hero of WWI, Major General Smedley Darlington Butler, in his famous booklet “War is a Racket”. Gen. Smedley Butler was the patriot who blew the whistle on the attempted 1933 fascist “Plot to seize the White House” by the financial oligarchs (watch the BBC Radio 4 Document, The White House Coup, by Mike Thomson, pt2, pt3). That bizarre attempted fascist takeover of the United States, mirrored Hitler's successful fascist takeover of Germany using the same pretext: threat from “Communism”. And both backed by the

Comparing this historical reality with the fictionalized depiction by Col. House in his book, makes the two remarkably congruent, as if one blueprints the other.

Just as today, Brzezinski's book 'The Grand Chessboard' empirically blueprints the existential necessity of “Islamofascism”, with the new boogieman enabling the "lifetime" of "War on Terror" for "imperial mobilization", the "World War IV". A worthy successor indeed to its predecessor boogieman of “Communism”, which first enabled fascism to take root in Europe leading to WWII. Then after WWII, for the Cold War to hold the world hostage for four decades. The cycle repeats [3] with the predictability of science, political science!

The ab initio enabler, and the eventual beneficiary behind the scenes, in all cases, is only one – the financial cartel which bankrolls all sides, and then takes over the assets of the defeated ones while simultaneously holding the 'victorious' in enormous debt burden incurred in the process – to which the United States government today, for its most recent wars since 911, owes trillions of dollars in principal and interest.

And all that these private financiers do to earn this 'Karoon's wealth', is to legally print money by fiat – out of thin air [4] – under the Federal Reserve System, and then loan it back to the United States government anytime the superpower wants to bring democracy to other nations, bail out collapsing institutions, increase defense spending for military transformation of its forces, or award nation re-building contracts after bringing them democracy!

And we haven't even mentioned the role of the financial-elite in the management of the complex trading instruments of Wall Street and its boom-bust cycles which also mainly end up benefitting only them in multiple ways. One: they acquire real tangible assets of peoples and nations pennies to the dollar during bust in exchange for paper-money
that was printed out of thin air in the first place. Two: they consolidate their hold over smaller entities who can't survive the bust to create greater combines. Three: they get a bailout with tax-payer monies after having made a killing on the same speculative financial instruments which create the next bust in the cycle following an artificially crafted temporary boom! Four: the bailout money is also printed out of thin air by the Federal Reserve System and loaned back to the federal government for bailout use, which subsequently traps the tax-payer into paying off that additional money-issuance debt.

Yet, everyone pretends, including the economists, and especially the economists, that it is the unpredictable market forces of capitalism which create these boom-bust cycles! One can easily understand the co-option in this argument by examining the deliberately induced subprime financial crisis [5] which now entails the very predictable trillion-dollar tax-payer's bailout of the “banksters”. Michael Hudson in “Financial Bailout: America's Own Kleptocracy” attempts to put it in plain words:

“So why has the Treasury found it necessary to enter this picture at all? Why should these gamblers be bailed out, if they had enough to lose without having to become public wards by going on welfare? ... This is the largest and most inequitable transfer of wealth since the land giveaways to the railroad barons during the Civil War era.”

But that bailout is still just the tip of the ice-berg. Columnist Ben Stein finally dares to suggest the anticipatedness of the bailout in his “expert” article on Yahoo finance. Stein first observes the fact that “The amount of subprime that defaulted was at most – after recovery in liquidation – about $250 billion. A huge sum but not enough to torpedo the US economy”. And after briefly explaining Credit Default Swaps – the newest speculative financial instrument responsible for this bust – Stein writes: [6]
“As I said, the profits here can be beyond imagining. (In fact, they can be so large that one might well wonder if the whole subprime fiasco was not set up just to allow speculators to profit wildly on its collapse...)

Indeed! The financiers are laughing their way to their own deep vaults. For they surely find much to rejoice in what must be the new money-lender's adage, **owe the bank a million dollars and can't pay: you are in trouble; owe the bank a billion dollars and can't pay: the bank's in trouble; the bank owes a trillion dollars and can't pay: the nation is in trouble and the bank ends up owning the nation!**

The blatant truism of the latter conquest rests in the fact that the same private banks under the Federal Reserve System will also print the bailout money at the request of the federal government's Treasury Department, loan it back to the federal government with interest, and stick the already overburdened tax-payer with the bill for both the principal and interest for the issuance of money to cover the bust! So the speculative financial instrument not only made its transactors an exponential amount of money on the speculation itself, but simultaneously, further ensnared the nation in the debt-trap!

It isn't for nothing that Griffin has quoted Reginald McKenna as having stated: **“they who control the credit of the nation, direct the policy of governments, and hold in the hollow of their hands, the destiny of the people.”**

What a profoundly mathematical financial lever – only demigods could have thought of it, or their “chosen peoples”. The wiser God of world's religions, including Judaism, Christianity, and Islam, and that of the literary world, William Shakespeare, forbid dealing in any usury based system precisely because of this lender's trap which ultimately demands its **“pound of flesh”**! While usury is ancient, the speculative transaction is purely a modern day devilish invention by the financial geniuses running Wall Street, to more creatively instru-
ment exponentially higher debt, and thus keep the public and governments perpetually ensnared in the usury cycle!

The end goal of the financial oligarchy this time around in the 21st Century? A global fascist world-government in which they own everything of value. But why fascist? And one might well ask what is the modern political science basis for that goal and how is it convincingly linked to the financiers?

Well, taking the second question first, and the first question towards the end of this essay. If one does not wish to recourse to inspiration from Plato or Nietzsche, Griffin points to a more recent linkage in the full video (14:37). Quoting from Dr. Carroll Quigley's book, Griffin identifies John Ruskin as the key thinker at Oxford University in the UK in 1870, whose rehash of the same old 'ubermensch' doctrines of the 'enlightened few' and the 'white man's burden', immensely influenced financial tycoons like Cecil Rhodes who was his student as an undergraduate, and Lord Alfred Milner, who was Rhodes' confidante! Before WWI, Lord Milner set in motion the “Round Tables” which subsequently led to the founding of RIIA Chatham House in the UK, and the CFR in the US. Today, the CFR and its sister forums are the front face of “banksters” like David Rockefeller and the Anglo-American financial establishment!

This international financial cartel, [7] with its apparent unlimited power today to wage wars of its choice, be it economic, military, food, medicine, disease, or revolutionary, for “eroding it piece by piece”, cannot be tamed in the short term by any domestic means in America.

It would appear that the solution-space of international “balance of terror” outlined by Project Humanbeingsfirst in its Press Release “The Only Solution to Avoid Total War May 15, 2008” may be a bit more efficacious in the immediate short term in order to gain valuable time at this late stage of the cancer. If the patient is bleeding to death, there is hardly any time left to cure the systemic disease! The first step must be to stop the unilateral and uninhibited flow, whether of blood, or of
power. Apparently, the post-communist Russia feels the same way, judging by their markedly calculated response to Georgia's premeditated provocation in distinct contrast to USSR's intervention in Afghanistan, as noted in Project Humanbeingsfirst's reports: “Georgia-Russia: It's a Classic Brzezinski Project!” and “Hegemony is as old as mankind!”.

The Enduring Conspiracy for World Government

Some may rationally ponder that how is it, that such a long running global conspiracy can be kept alive across centuries and across geographies.

Noam Chomsky had once observed an insightful nature of such “conspiracies”, as the open shared natural goals stemming from the very nature of its definition, which could therefore, no more be termed a conspiracy than both GM and Ford endeavoring to maximize their profits at all cost be termed a 'global corporate conspiracy'.

I have always added to that, the equally un-remarkable observation that a hungry lion anywhere in the world pouncing upon a lamb is similarly no global conspiracy by the world's lions to eat up all the lambs on the planet. That is just the nature of the bestial predators when its “might defines right”. The only thing that occasionally deters such primacy is a collective natural response like the one observed in the “Battle at Kruger” park. [8]

Indeed, the concepts of “right or wrong”, “moral and immoral”, do not even exist among any primal predators, for one only behaves according to one's nature. Such platitudes, if they could be argued by the lion or the snake for instance, would in fact surely be greatly disseminated to the lambs and the mice to make them an even easier morsel to acquire!

Thus we observe that from Plato to Nietzsche, from the philosopher-king to the 'ubermensch', all have argued the necessity of rul-
ing upon the sheepish masses as the 'divine' imperative of the “en-
lightened ones”. Indeed, Zbigniew Brzezinski even sub-titled his sem-
inal book “The Grand Chessboard” with the egotistical “American
Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives”, merely extending that idea
of 'ubermensch' rule from the most “enlightened ones”, to the most
powerful sole-superpower!

The same theme exists among the “Chosen Peoples”, [9] to deem their
primacy upon the goyem their inherent nature, their divine destiny.
The 'ubermensch' are suckled these lessons in their mothers milk to
acquire those imperatives across generations in perhaps the same way
as the generations of corporate executives and CEOs who inherently
know that they need to continually enhance the valuation of their
company's stock performance in a capitalist system.

So when these 'divine' beings behave in their primal predatory natural
manner across time and space, across evolution or creation, are they
being “conspiratorial”? In the Chomsky-Ebrahim nomenclature, per-
haps not.

In the Ron Paul nomenclature, it is merely a shared “Conspiracy of
Ideas”.

In the United States' legal nomenclature, breaking of a “federal stat-
ute” by at least two or more persons working in collusion (and when
c caught), is defined as “criminal conspiracy” and “federal crime”.
According to the Columbia Encyclopedia, it is criminal whether or not
Congress imposed criminal sanctions on the activity itself. A conspir-
acy need only be proved by “circumstantial evidence” even “if it vi-
olates the rules against hearsay evidence”.

According to such legalism, smart conspirators, if powerful enough,
could affect the enactment of conducive federal statutes, or prevent the
enaction of adverse ones, that would enable them to get away with
many morally reprehensible systems and acts. The Federal Reserve
System for instance, falls into this category. Similarly, bootlegging is
a federal crime one decade, a respectable business the next! And inter-
nationally, it is the enactment of laws under WTO which defines what is criminal and what isn't – not the raping and harvesting of developing nations that goes on under its conspiratorial rubric!

Thus suffice it to say, the word “conspiracy” even has legal semantics, albeit limited (see Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory).

But the multitudinous connotations of this word do not stop there. It also has a 'tin-hatted' or 'kookish' implication in furtherance of the devilish art of political science based state-craft. This was indeed implied by Congressman Ron Paul in his afore-quoted speech when he stated regarding the North American Union: “These are real things, it's not somebody made these up, it's not a conspiracy, ... So it's not so much a secretive conspiracy, ...”. As analyzed in Project Humanbeingsfirst's report “Dialog among Civilizations: Whytalksfail? Part-1”:

“And some of the best cloaking devices have been invented by the most brilliant minds - here is one for instance from Ezra Pound: “invent two lies and have the public keep arguing which one of them might be true”. Another is by Leo Strauss – the erudite teacher of the majority of the neo-cons – called “Noble Lies”. A third by the White House, often referred to as “plausible deniability”, okay may be it was invented by the DIA, the grand-daddy of all intelligence agencies. This thinly veiled euphemism for deception to protect the leadership if things go badly in covert-operations became public knowledge during the Iran-Contra scandal, the televised coverage of which had gripped the American nation for months, including myself. What are these [if not] conspiracies, if not covert-operations?”

Thus if it is axiomatically asserted that there is no such thing as a real conspiracy theory, then that really
works wonderfully in the interest of the cloak-makers because it makes one forget the perspectives of history.

And this complex Machiavellian deception game bears exposing fully: invent two or more lies, not just one, and keep the good hearted well meaning peoples in the "populist democracy" occupied debating which one of them might be true, for it would hardly matter what conclusions they reached. And wherever they ended up, to perhaps yank one of the lies from underneath them by conclusively showing it to be false thus conveniently demonstrating a baseless "conspiracy theory" in order to keep that notion alive in the public imagination. This consequently delegitimizes in the public mind serious researchers' efforts in uncovering any covert-operation while its secrecy is of paramount necessity. Afterwards, after faits accomplis, after Statutes of Limitation expiring, it makes little difference if historians and confession artists make a pecuniary gain peddling what is inconsequential history to the newer evolving realpolitik du jour.

This is what was precisely happening with any serious investigations into how the towers fell on 911. And this is also precisely what my long time friend Harvey had asked me, whether I believed in this and that fantastic [conspiracy] theory as noted above, and [he] had quickly lumped every single 911 investigation with the bizarre, [packaged] all in one convenient easily dismissive 'kookish' category."

So many complex semantics for the term “conspiracy theory” – it's not just mere nomenclature – that this overview of its usefulness to statecraft was necessary in order to situate anything with such a bombastic title as “The Capitalist Conspiracy”, in its proper social-political con-
text.

And an equally insightful and rational response to this question of long enduring conspiracy for world domination, is added to the mix by G. Edward Griffin in Excerpt-2:

“After a man has far more money than he possibly can spend for pleasures, what is left to excite him? For those with the ruling class mentality, the answer is power – raw power over other human beings. Money can buy such power only to a point, beyond that, politics is the sport, and world politics is the ultimate game.”

Thus Griffin had aptly noted: “The New World Order Is Not New”. It becomes a moot point what one might call this predatory behavior. The undeniable fact remains that world-government has been a long historical passion – of both the powerful, as well as the poet-philosophers!

Indeed, it has been a long-held cherished dream of many a thinker and scholar – not just the financial ruling-elite – going back to H.G. Wells' “New World Order” and Bertrand Russell's “Impact of Science on Society” in its more recent incarnations of early to mid 20th century.

And certainly it goes all the way back to at least poet laureate Alfred Lord Tennyson in the mid 19th century, to his 1842 amazingly prescient poem “Locksley Hall”.

This Tennyson poem has presciently, and very eloquently, captured the utopian single “universal law” giving world-government – a single federation of the world at the planetary level – in order to eliminate all deadly wars and squabbles among nation-states and peoples. Many throughout the ages have dismissed such righteous imagination of the poet-philosophers as mere utopian fodder for science fiction and literature. But indeed, it has also fueled the wet-dreams of the money-bags from the emperors of antiquity to the Rockefellers of modernity:
“For I dipt into the future far as human eye could see,
Saw the Vision of the world and the wonders that would be,...
'Till the war-drum throbb'd no longer and the battle flags were furl'd
In the Parliament of man, the Federation of the world.
There the common sense of most shall hold a fretful realm in Awe,
That the kindly earth shall slumber, lapt in universal law.”

Both Lord Tennyson and H. G. Wells, surely only dreamed of a benign utopian planetary federation under a unified world-government – unless I have missed an Orwellian twist to their articulations – like the federation that most of us today in our mid-30s to mid-50s, grew up watching on the fictional 'Star Trek' television series on the scale of vast galaxies!

And in his book “New World Order”, H. G. Wells even outlined a manifesto, the “Declaration of the Rights of Man” – a very sensible, nay, morally very remarkable, 10-point seeding Articles for drafting a planetary level equitable 'social contract' for all the peoples of the planet.

The visionary H. G. Wells honestly and passionately concluded:

“And if we, the virtuous democracies, are not fighting for these common human rights, then what in the name of the nobility and gentry, the Crown and the Established Church, the City, The Times and the Army and Navy Club, are we common British peoples fighting for?”
In Project Humanbeingsfirst's report “Re-Imagining Pakistan's Defenses – Open Letter to a Pakistani General” which imagines a 'New World Order' in Pakistan for “Saving Pakistan from Synthetic 'Terror Central'”, it is indeed the genuine spirit of “fighting for these common human rights” as the ab initio predicate, which H. G. Wells too, surely quite earnestly, must also have based his 10th Article of the “Declaration of the Rights of Man” upon.

Quoted below, the enormously liberating force of Wells' 10th Article, is a far cry from the “Universal Declaration of Human Rights” by the United Nations, as well as similar Declarations in the newer EU Constitutional framework of Human Rights. Wherein, there occurs a statement in each of the latter ones, whose real sense is the exact opposite, stripping away Rights “inherent in mankind” under various 'doctrines of necessity' as deemed expedient by the State. And doing so mainly under the age-old pretext of maintaining 'security'. Compare to H. G. Wells' version:

“No treaty and no law affecting these primary rights shall be binding upon any man or province or administrative division of the community, that has not been made openly, by and with the active or tacit acquiescence of every adult citizen concerned, either given by a direct majority vote of the community affected or through the majority vote of his publicly elected representatives. In matters of collective behaviour it is by the majority decision men must abide. No administration, under a pretext of urgency, convenience or the like, shall be entrusted with powers to create or further define offences or set up by-laws, which will in any way infringe the rights and liberties here asserted. All legislation must be public and definite. No secret treaties shall be binding on individuals, organisations or communities. No orders in council or the like, which extend the application of a law, shall be per-
mitted. There is no source of law but the people, and since life flows on constantly to new citizens, no generation of the people can in whole or in part surrender or delegate the legislative power inherent in mankind.” (New World Order – H. G. Wells' progressive version of this utopia)

It is in the above utopian context that the following frequently cited candid observation of H. G. Wells on the human condition, appearing in the same book – which is almost always taken out of context by those who quote it without actually reading the book – must be interpreted:

“When the struggle seems to be drifting defiantly towards a world social democracy there may still be very great delays and disappointments before it becomes an efficient and beneficent world system. Countless people – will hate the New World Order – and will die protesting against it. When we attempt to evaluate its promise, we have to bear in mind the distress of a generation or so of malcontents, many of them quite gallant and graceful-looking people.” (New World Order – H. G. Wells)

If H. G. Wells was a devious Orwellian character, [10] there really would have been no reason for creating the inordinately common-sensical, rational, fair, and very moral “Declaration of the Rights of Man” which immensely empower breaking all bonds of voluntary servitude! [11]

But regardless, both Tennyson and Wells, and all the benign utopians they might represent throughout the ages who have shared in the lofty aspiration of world-government for all people's betterment rather than just the ruling elite's, might actually be a bit dismayed today at how their dreams of centuries and millennia, for all of mankind united as a single political microcosm equitably existing on planet earth, have
been Orwellianly hijacked.

The mechanisms for world-government which are presently rolling, and which anyone with even half a brain can easily perceive, will forcibly spell the end of the well known concept of independent nation-states through much bloodshed (that both Wells and Tennyson sought to avoid), and outright terminate any Charter of Human Rights that is not Orwellian!

Thus we see that the words like “peace” and “justice” are continually bandied about as justification, but only in their best Orwellian incantation, as in this 1975 statement by Henry Kissinger to the UN General Assembly:

“My country’s history, Mr. President, tells us that it is possible to fashion unity while cherishing diversity, that common action is possible despite the variety of races, interests, and beliefs we see here in this chamber. Progress and peace and justice are attainable. So we say to all peoples and governments: Let us fashion together a new world order.”

Or as in this statement by Jimmy Carter during his 1976 Presidential campaign:

“We must replace balance of power politics with world order politics.”

Later on, as the President of the United States, in a February 14, 1977 speech, Jimmy Carter stated:

“I want to assure you that the relations of the United States with the other countries and peoples of the world will be guided during my own Administration by our desire to shape a world order that is more responsive to human aspirations. The United States will meet its obligation to help create a stable, just, and peaceful world order.”
The empirical evidence of course suggests otherwise. President Carter for instance, didn't shy from “giving to the USSR its Vietnam War” on another people's soil and in another people's blood, even winning for himself, but not for his mastermind strategist who had made it all possible, the glamorously Orwellian, Nobel “Peace” Prize. The full dismantling of the theology behind Carter's statement “We must replace balance of power politics with world order politics” has already been done in Project Humanbeingsfirst's report “From Balance of Terror to Unilateral Terror on the Grand Chessboard!”. Similarly, in October 1975, the same year that Henry Kissinger was making his Orwellian speech on “peace” and “justice” being “attainable” in the “new world order” at the UN, he also glibly endorsed the top-secret National Security Council Strategy Memorandum NSSM 200 that he had himself led the development of in the NSC since 1974. [12] President Gerald Ford subsequently signed-off on White House's Decision Memorandum NSDM 314, agreeing to the population control agenda for world's most populous Least Developed Countries outlined by Kissinger. [13] Though declassified in 1989 by the White House, and officially denied as it still being United States foreign policy, and its text being written in the language of the conspirators which appears harmless to the onlookers, the empirical evidence behind the veneer of benign sounding platitudes like “family planning”, once again, tell a different Malthusian story [14] (also see “black death” in Bertrand Russell's Impact of Science and Society [15]) – that of “Food Control Genocide”! [16] Is the worldwide food shortage and starvation in the Global South today amidst the ostentatious opulence and astronomical profits of global agri-business in the West, manufactured, or merely brilliantly prescient as noted in NSSM 200 “we shall be watching people starve on television.”? The empirical observation of ground realities suggest that population reduction is very much a universal part of the global agenda towards “universal law”, just as Kissinger had so Machiavellianly couched it in NSSM 200: “In these sensitive relationships, however, it is important...
ant in style as well as substance to avoid the appearance of coercion.” Thus it is made to appear as just “happenstance”, rather than criminally deliberate.

For the purpose of highlighting the devilish nature of “avoid the appearance of coercion” to enable the uninitiated in accurately parsing a conspiratorial language which clearly aims at covertly strangulating developing nations while simultaneously making an “end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece [to] accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault”, Kissinger's NSSM 200 the same year that CFR spoke of ending “national sovereignty”, identified some further “moral” considerations for future population reduction strategic planning in a concluding section titled “An Alternative View”:

The above basic strategy assumes that the current forms of assistance programs in both population and economic and social development areas will be able to solve the problem. There is however, another view, which is shared by a growing number of experts. It believes that the outlook is much harsher and far less tractable than commonly perceived. This holds that the severity of the population problem in this century which is already claiming the lives of more than 10 million people yearly, is such as to make likely continued widespread food shortage and other demographic catastrophes, and, in the words of C.P. Snow, we shall be watching people starve on television.

**The conclusion of this view is that mandatory programs may be needed and that we should be considering these possibilities now.**

This school of thought believes the following types of questions need to be addressed:

* Should the U.S. make an all out commitment to ma-
jor limitation of world population with all thefinancial and international as well as domestic political
costs that would entail?

* Should the U.S. set even higher agricultural produc-
tion goals which would enable it to provide additional
major food resources to other countries? Should they
be nationally or internationally controlled?

* On what basis should such food resources then be
provided? Would food be considered an instrument of
national power? Will we be forced to make choices as
to whom we can reasonably assist, and if so, should
population efforts be a criterion for such assistance?

* Is the U.S. prepared to accept food rationing to help
people who can't/won't control their population
growth?

* Should the U.S. seek to change its own food con-
sumption patterns toward more efficient uses of pro-
tein?

* Are mandatory population control measures appro-
priate for the U.S. and/or for others?

* Should the U.S. initiate a major research effort to
address the growing problems of fresh water supply,
ecological damage, and adverse climate?

While definitive answers to those questions are not
possible in this study given its time limitations and its
implications for domestic policy, nevertheless they
are needed if one accepts the drastic and persistent
character of the population growth problem. Should
the choice be made that the recommendations and the
options given below are not adequate to meet this
problem, consideration should be given to a further
study and additional action in this field as outlined above. (NSSM 200)

The present day New World Order of Lord Tennyson's "universal law", is slated to be ruled by the kind of Orwellian 'law givers' that could hardly have been imagined by Lord Tennyson, as the world manifestly descends into tyranny. Stalwartly led today by the new harbingers of the 'utopian' dreams of many in history, "the first, only, and last truly global superpower" initially inflicts these dreams upon her own peoples by ushering in its Police State! And it is also the final existential blueprint for the 'Rights' of all 'lesser' humanity as well!

This misanthropic path to humanity's enslavement is actually prognosticated in the prolific writings and philosophical outlook of the most influential polymath of the 20th century, idolized by many a scholarly leftist peacenik, including my own teacher Noam Chomsky at MIT. A poster of this man used to hang in Chomsky's office. The name of this misanthrope who has somehow succeeded in commanding the reputation as “man of peace” and lover of the disenfranchised through his “pugwash” and other “peace” efforts, is Bertrand Russell.

It is highly instructive to carefully examine Russell's perspectives on the New World Order – in his own words instead of reading snippets of mostly out-of-context quotations on the internet as most are wont to do – from his insightful book “Impact of Science on Society”.

Bertrand Russell very presciently, amorally, disgusting to some, calculatingly to others as per the diktats of rational “humanism” that is devoid of any religious-morality – and with the inevitability of any path taken to its logical conclusion – outlines what is transpiring today. Especially what has transpired since the collapse of the Berlin Wall, and which has rapidly accelerated since that infernal 'day of infamy' – the “new pearl harbor” – can all be straightforwardly understood by reading Russell's book.

This writing of Russell in the mid 20th century England, is enormously in synchrony with that disclosed by G. Edward Griffin in Ex-
excerpt-2, of the infamous founder of the “Order of the Illuminati” in Bavaria in the latter half of the 18th century:

“War has been, throughout history, the chief source of social cohesion; and since science began, it has been the strongest incentive to technical progress. Large groups have a better chance of victory than small ones, and therefore the usual result of war is to make States larger. In any given state of technique there is a limit to size. The Roman Empire was stopped by German forests and African deserts; the British conquests in India were halted by the Himalayas; the Napoleon was defeated by the Russian winter. And before the telegraph large empires tended to break up because they could not be effectively controlled from a center.

Communications have been hitherto the chief factor limiting the size of empires. In antiquity the Persians and the Romans depended upon roads, but since nothing traveled faster than a horse, empires became unmanageable when the distance from the capital to the frontier was very great. This difficulty was diminished by railways and the telegraph, and is on the point of disappearing with the improvement of the long-range bomber. There would now be no technical difficulty about a single world-wide Empire. Since war is likely to become more destructive of human life than it has been in recent centuries, unification under a single government is probably necessary unless we are to acquiesce in either a return to barbarism or the extinction of the human race.

There is, it must be confessed, a psychological difficulty about a single world government. The chief source of social cohesion in the past, I repeat, has been war: the passions that inspire feeling of unity are
hate and fear. These depend upon the existence of an enemy, actual or potential. It seems to follow that a world government could only be kept in being by force, not by the spontaneous loyalty that now inspires a nation at war.” (Impact of Science on Society)

Indeed, as misanthrope Russell succinctly observes, “the passions that inspire feeling of unity are hate and fear. These depend upon the existence of an enemy, actual or potential”, and there “would now be no technical difficulty about a single world-wide Empire.”

And there of course, isn't any technical difficulty today. Especially with the barbaric “imperial mobilization” towards “Full Spectrum Dominance” – outlined in the Pentagon's strategy document Joint Vision 2020 and in PNAC's concept document “Rebuilding America's Defenses” – with a trillion-dollar Congressionally appropriated defense budget every two years, and the inexplicable disappearance of at least $2.4 trillion dollars from the Pentagon books reported just one day before 911. Zbigniew Brzezinski wasn't being original at all in his book the 'Grand Chessboard' when he wrote that in the absence “of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being”, and “except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat”, the American “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization”!

It is highly instructive to scrutinize the rationale in Bertrand Russell's conception of a world-government, for it not only mirrors the unfolding grotesque reality of today, but also appears to constitute its essential philosophical underpinning to give it a veneer of 'scientific and political respectability'. It comes across straightforwardly in Chapter 7 of the afore-cited book, where Russell answers the question that he himself poses: “Can a Scientific Society be Stable?”

In a nutshell, Russell suggests that only with birth-control and strategic population planning (including drastic measures – Ibid. page
116), can mankind survive in a scientific world that is marked with rising disparity among nations, each having their own strengths in good times, and weaknesses in bad ones. And Russell therefore logically concludes that the only viable and equitable solution is the global one, a world-government that can equitably distribute and share resources during troubled times! And he sums it up as;

“These considerations prove that a scientific society cannot be stable unless there is a world government.”

Ask any knowledgeable ruling elite today, and were they to candidly confide in you, this is the scientific reason they will provide for their quest for world-government to mask their lust for conquest! This is also what comes across in Zbigniew Brzezinski's 1970 classic “Between Two Ages: America's Role in the Technetronic Era”! He is backed by David Rockefeller who set up him up as the first Executive Director of the new CFR off-shoot, the Trilateral Commission. It was David Rockefeller who enabled Brzezinski's role as the National Security Advisor to President Jimmy Carter, and in all likelihood, also selected that unknown peanut farmer from among the many members of CFR for that highest office. Rockefeller propelled an intellectual like Brzezinski into great prominence (while himself staying in the background) because the latter was to enable the planning and execution of the elite's rise to world-government finally in this “Technetronic Era”!

Today, some thirty odd years later, Brzezinski openly strategizes for one of the two 2008 American Presidential candidates, and his own son does it for the other. Between the two – “elect” whomsoever you want! They both lead to the Capitalist Conspiracy of world-government!

Finally, Bertrand Russell's most insightful logical observation in the quoted passage above is also the key to understanding what is transpiring since 911 worldwide. The citizens worldwide losing their civil rights with new draconian laws ostensibly enacted to protect them
from the 'terrorists':

“There is, it must be confessed, a psychological difficulty about a single world government ... It seems to follow that a world government could only be kept in being by force.”

Yes indeed, “world government could only be kept in being by force”, especially when it is also not supposed to be benign for the vast majority of 'lesser' humanity. Bertrand Russell's shrewd psychological insights match the descent into Police State of the Western democracies beginning with the UK, EU, US, and soon, NAU.

This is the scholarly marriage of political philosophy and the Capitalist Conspiracy in the best mold of Machiavelli's Prince, each at their very best! Imagining what's in store for the rest of the “subjects” doesn't take much imagination!

And if one is to believe the late great filmmaker Aaron Russo in “America: From Freedom to Fascism” [17], the Rockefellers – a clan representative of the financial and other enormously wealthy elite – have it all worked out to misanthropically herd the sheep of the planet to their destiny!

So is there an enduring Capitalist Conspiracy for world government? You be the judge! [18]

And if your answer is yes, then your next quest must be to find out if you can survive it!

Transcription of Excerpts from The Capitalist Conspiracy: An Inside View of International Banking By G. Edward Griffin

[youtube=http://youtube.com/watch?v=udWXFC2sWU8]
Excerpt 1

'Conspiracy, one of the darkest words in the language of man. Yet there is hardly a single page of history that doesn't partially reveal the deadly eye of conspiracy at work.

It was a conspiracy that directed Brutus against Caesar in the Roman Senate on the Ides of March.

It was a conspiracy that plotted the betrayal of West Point by Benedict Arnold during the American Revolution.

It was a conspiracy that led John Wilkes Booth to the assassination of President Lincoln on Good Friday 1865.

The past record of man is burdened with accounts of assassinations, secret combines, palace plots, and betrayals in war.

The tentative conspiracy has been a dominant force in all history. But in spite of this clear record, an amazing number of people have began to scoff at the possibility of conspiracy at work today. They dismiss such an idea, merely as a “con-
spiratiorial view” of history.

The purpose of this presentation is to show that the conspir-atorial view of history, particularly of recent history is the correct view. ...'

Excerpt 2

'(Slide: The New World Order Is Not New)

The purpose of this presentation is to show that the conspiratorial view of history, particularly of recent history is the correct view. That there is a secret and powerful combine at work today; that it constitutes the unseen government of the United States; and that it properly can be identified, as, The Capitalist Conspiracy!

During the past 200 years, while the peoples of the world, gradually, were winning their political freedom from monarchies, the major banking families of the world, were nullifying the trend toward representative government, by setting up new dynasties of political control. But behind the scenes, in the form of international financial Combines.

These banking dynasties had learned that all governments, whether they be monarchies or democracies, must borrow money in times of emergency. And that by providing such funds from their own private resources, with strings attached of course, gradually, they could bring both kings and democratic leaders, under their control.

Dr. Quigley believes that people should be more familiar with the identities of these clever banking dynasties. They include such names as Barring, Hambros, Lazzard, Erlanger, Warburg, Schroder, Seligenman, The Speyers, Mirabaud, Mallet, Fould, Rothschild, & Morgan.

It should be noted that while the Rothschilds and other Jew-
ish families cooperated together in these ventures, this was by no means a Jewish monopoly as some have alleged. Men of finance of many nationalities and many religious and non-religious backgrounds, collaborated together to create this super-structure of hidden power.

Its essence was not race nor religion nor nationality. It was simply a passion for control over other human beings.

The net effect is to create money out of nothing. Lend it to the government, and then collect interest on it. A rather profitable transaction, to say the least.

For example, in 1694, International Banker, William Patterson, obtained the charter of the Bank of England, and the power over England's money system fell into private hands.

In a boastful mood, Patterson said: “The bank hath benefit of interest of all monies which it creates out of nothing.”

230 years later, Reginald McKenna, British Chancellor of the Exchequer, said: “The banks can, and do, create money; and they who control the credit of the nation, direct the policy of governments, and hold in the hollow of their hands, the destiny of the people.”

In the United States, it was inevitable that the international banking interests, would attempt to establish the same kind of private monopoly over the money system, that they had achieved in England, France, Germany, Italy, and Switzerland.

The same formula would be used: make it look like a government operation, but keep the control in private hands.

John D Rockefeller had purchased the Chase Bank, and his brother William, bought the National City Bank of New York. The Rockefeller Chase Bank was later merged with Warburg's Manhattan Bank, to form the Chase Manhattan,
one of the most powerful financial combines in the world today!

Acting in concert with the Morgan Banking dynasty, they spent untold millions of dollars to promote legislation that would grant to them, a private franchise over this nation's money system.

To sell this scheme to the voters, the monopolists created the propaganda line, that the proposed banking law some how would work against the monopolies. Politicians took up the cry "banking reform", and "down with Wall Street".

And then, to make it look convincing, the financial tycoons publicly pretended to oppose the measure, all the while financing it behind the scenes.

On December 22, 1913, the Federal Reserve Act, finally was passed into law. Something known as the Federal Reserve System came into being, and with it, total control over the nation's money, fell into private hands!

The CFR from behind the scenes has dominated this nation for decades.


They include directors of the Ford Foundation, the Rockefeller Foundation, the Carnegie Endowment Fund.

They include Presidents Hoover, Eisenhower, Johnson and Nixon; Secretaries of States Titinius, Acheson, Dulles, Hurder, and Rusk; a fantastic percentage of the President's Cabinet, Under Secretaries, the Federal Reserve Board, Ambassadors to other countries, Supreme Court Justices, and presidential advisors.
The average American has never heard of the CFR. Yet it is, the unseen Government of the United States.

After a man has far more money than he possibly can spend for pleasures, what is left to excite him? For those with the ruling class mentality, the answer is power – raw power over other human beings. Money can buy such power only to a point, beyond that, politics is the sport, and world politics is the ultimate game.

These men are striving to create a world super-state, with the expectation that from behind the scenes, they will be the ones who will rule. Not free enterprise capitalism, but big government is the conspiracy's life force.

World government is its ultimate goal.

But what is the best way to sell big government, and then world government, to a people like ours, historically devoted to an independent republic of limited powers?

The answer is simple. Deliberately create problems. And then offer only those solutions that result in the expansion of government. Create conditions so frightful at home and abroad, that the abandonment of personal liberties and national sovereignty, will appear as a reasonable price for a return to domestic tranquility and world peace.

If those who seek world dominion can stimulate leftist mobs into violent confrontation with local law enforcement, and also provide exhaustive news coverage, so that the entire nation can see and tremble, then the peaceful and freedom loving majority can be programmed to accept a vast expansion of government powers, and even a national police force, offered supposedly to end the violence.

If those who seek world dominion can raise the spectre of an enemy, armed to the teeth with superior atomic
weapons on the verge of launching a nuclear holocaust, and also offer world-government as the prevention, then millions of Americans can be programmed to accept the loss of national sovereignty, as our last best hope for peace.

This is the meaning of pressure from above and below. To put over police state measures at home, they need chaos, crime, and anarchy in the streets. To sell the idea of world-government, they need the constant threat of nuclear war!

In Bavaria, the year 1786, acting on a tip from an informer, police raided the home of a prominent attorney named von Zwack. They seized documents and letters revealing that he was a high ranking member of an extensive conspiracy called “The Order of The Illuminati”.

Over the centuries, forms of the word “Illuminati”, meaning the enlightened ones, have been used by many secret sects and occult organizations. Most prominent among these, were the Illumbrados of Spain, Guerinets of France, and the Roshaniya of Afghanistan.

But these were concerned primarily with psychological and spiritual objectives, a proclaimed inner wisdom, and mind-mastery of the secrets of the universe.

The conspiracy exposed in Bavaria was of an entirely different order.

The Illuminati was founded on May 1, 1776, by Adam Weishaupt, a Professor at Ingolstadt University. Weishaupt obviously had been a serious student of the occult, for many of its bizarre features and symbols were incorporated into his organization.

The destruction of all religion, replaced by the worship of reason or humanism, and the destruction of all independent
governments, replaced by a new world order, a world government, ruled from behind the scenes by the illuminated ones!

Excerpt 3

'(Slide Conclusion/Summary)

Conspiracy as it operates at the highest level in the United States today, rests upon two solid foundations, and enjoys the protection of shelter. If we could knock out its foundations, it would collapse. And if we could strip away its shelter, it would wither away and die.

The shelter is secrecy. The foundations are big government, and manipulation of money!

No conspiracy can stand the light of exposure. No conspiracy can rule the masses without the tool of an extensive government bureaucracy. And certainly no capitalist conspiracy can long survive without control over the nation's money.

Expose the conspiracy, reduce the size of government, return our money to a standard that cannot be manipulated. This must be our response.

In the limited time available, we have attempted to demonstrate that the conspiratorial view of history, particularly of recent history, is the correct view.

Let us summarize now, seven major conclusions.

One: There is and has been for some time, a conspiracy among some of the richest people in the world. A conspiracy that virtually owns the money systems of the major non-communist nations. This monopoly is protected by the power of the respective governments. And is used to perpetuate the conspiracy's vast wealth, by the creation of
Two: In the United States, this monetary fraud is perpetuated through the Federal Reserve System. Although the Executive Branch theoretically has some control over this system through occasional appointments, in reality, it is the system and those behind it, who control the Executive Branch.

Three: The Capitalist Conspiracy, in this country, surfaces to public view, in the form of the semi-secret, Council on Foreign Relations. Its members exercise their control over the nation, through government, tax-exempt foundations, centers of education, and the mass communications media.

Four: On the surface, the Capitalist Conspiracy appears to oppose Communism. It spends billions of dollars in spectacular military displays of anti-communism all around the world. But never to the extent of seriously harming the enemy, and certainly not to the extent of defeating it. Meanwhile, behind the scenes, the Conspiracy always has nourished and aided Communism both at home, and abroad. It does this not because it is pro-communist, but because it needs the appearance of a formidable foe, and the chaos by-product of a managed conflict, to advance its own goal of totalitarian world-government.

Five: There is much evidence indicating that the capitalist and communist conspiracies both are directed by a single master conspiracy which may have continuity with 'The Order of the Illuminati', which was founded 200 years ago. But this historical question is not nearly as important as the immediate question of what can be done about it today.

Six: As for our response, we must begin to dismantle the conspiracy's machine of big government. ...

Seven: We must reduce the Federal Reserve System to a service function of clearing checks between banks only. Merely
turning the system as it stands over to the Federal govern-
ment, as some have suggested, will not solve the problem.
The same people would control it either way. The root of the
evil is that money is created out of nothing. And the insiders
could do that today just as easily directly through govern-
ment, as they do through the Federal Reserve System. The
ultimate solution is to prevent anyone, in or out of govern-
ment, from manipulating the money supply! ...

Eight: We must expose the conspiracy to public view. If
some how, every American could be made aware of the facts
contained in this presentation, if it were possible to circum-
vent the establishment's channels of mass communication,
and carry this message person to person to our friends and
neighbors and fellow club members, the conspiracy would
collapse like a house of cards.

Yes the hour is late. But it is not too late if we are realistic
in our efforts.

As Americans we can still speak-out without fear of impris-
onment.

We can still join together into patriotic groups to multiply
our effectiveness.

We can still challenge the establishment's candidates.

We still retain that remnant of power to regain control of
own government.

(Slide: The New World Order Is Not New)

(Slide: ACT NOW! Be Part of the Solution)

**End Transcription** (transcribed by Project Humanbeingsfirst.org)
Footnotes

This essay has extensive embedded reference links in the online version of which the more pertinent ones that beg citation or elaboration are noted below.


[8] Battle at Kruger Park, 8 minute video, http://youtube.com/watch?v=LU8DDYz68kM

[9] Zahir Ebrahim, Celebrating Israel's 60th Birthday in the 60th year of the Nakba,
http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/05/celebrating-israel60th-birthday.html

[10] Was H. G. Wells merely a benign utopian visionary, or was he being diabolically Orwellian and employing Newspeak in his book New World Order? How can one rationally understand Wells' 10th Article in his "Universal Declaration of Human Rights" with pertinent empiricism and sensible deconstruction rather than by mere wishful thinking in confirmation bias of one's own beliefs?

Playing the devil's advocate, arguably, H. G. Wells is affording those wonderful Rights only to the survivor races and peoples in world government after the untermensch classes and useless eaters have all been culled from the planet. Consider the precedent that is demonstrably set by the Untied States Constitution which accorded its equally wonderful Bill of Rights to the White man in 1776, but only after exterminating its 10 million native inhabitants, and enslaving the Negro race in physical bondage which took a subsequent explicit Emancipation Proclamation some 80 years later to launch the American Civil War.

The reasons for the latter were in fact quite unrelated to freeing the Negro from slavery on moral grounds as equal human beings. But rather because president Abraham Lincoln accepted the categorical imperative of keeping the Union together for which it was necessary to forcibly invade the separatist Confederacy in the South, with the British and French naval armadas and Europe's financial might eagerly waiting just offshore to exploit the burgeoning cracks between the North and South to split the continental United States as punishment for rejecting its private central bank which was killed off by president Andrew Jackson, captured in his famous quip "I killed the bank"; and for the North "that exercise require[d] a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification" (employing Brzezinski's characterization from The Grand Chessboard for all such diabolical adventures which require engineering the public's consent).
The Emancipation Proclamation provided that lever upon public opinion to motivate the Northerners for a civil war among brothers – an internecine warfare which saw more Americans killed than America’s total casualties in both the subsequent World Wars combined (half a million in comparison to 330,000 – ballpark numbers from memory). It was a heavy price to keep the Union together, but for which freeing the Negro was only the rallying pretext as is even openly admitted by establishment historians. It took another hundred years for the Civil Rights movement of the 1960s to end all segregation and subjugation of Negro in the white American society despite the wonderful words of “self-evident” “unalienable rights” in the preamble to the Constitution: “We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights,”.

The Fabian visionary and establishmentarian scholar can only be perceptively understood in no other backdrop but this empirical one because, H. G. Wells was, after all, intimately plugged into the same Anglo Saxon British aristocracy which during his era, through the Round Table under Alfred Milner, and its offspring the RIIA and the CFR across the Atlantic, was conniving for transformation of the sovereign nation-states (some of which the British empire had carved out from former empires and its own colonies) into one-World Government. Just like it is today, a hundred years later, carefully being coordinated across the Atlantic ocean. And Wells wrote the prescription in the New World Order before Pearl Harbor, before America was maneuvered into entering World War II, for actually rallying the war averse Western public against the Third Reich with a new categorical imperative, like Abraham Lincoln before him, and which Wells' piously painted as: “And if we, the virtuous democracies, are not fighting for these common human rights, then what in the name of the nobility and gentry, the Crown and the Established Church, the City, The Times and the Army and Navy Club, are we common British peoples fighting for?”
Just like president Lincoln made freeing the Negro slave a common *cause célèbre* to mobilize the North for the Civil War, the writers of the eighteenth, nineteenth and twentieth century to sustain their own “la mission civilisatrice”, the white man's burden if you will, also couch their “imperial mobilization” agendas in moral platitudes. The last great ones among them is Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, our contemporary, who came up with the most remarkable short sentences to both dignify and mobilize American Primacy And Its Geostrategic Imperatives in his 1996 book The Grand Chessboard: (1) “Hegemony is as old as mankind.”; (2) “Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.”; (3) “In brief, the U.S. policy goal must be unapologetically twofold: to perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer still; and to create a geopolitical framework that can absorb the inevitable shocks and strains of social-political change while evolving into the geopolitical core of shared responsibility for peaceful global management.” But now we better understand that underneath that facade of American Primacy, the real agenda is Oligarchic Primacy for World Government.

The brilliant piece of writing by H. G. Wells in New World Order epitomizes this genre of scholarship to make the public mind for the narrow self-serving agendas of the *Urbemensch*. It fits right in with Nietzsche's call for the *Urbemensch*, those endowed with superior intellect and understand that god is dead, to exercise his own *will to power* to achieve whatever his reason desires. Except that large masses of sheep stand in its way and like Plato's philosopher-king, it is the *Urbemensch's* divine right to shepherd it using Plato's *Simile of the cave!* Meaning, with the application of extreme Machiavelli. In that endeavor, there is no good and evil, only objectives, and since the objectives have been set by the *Urbemensch*, the new gods, these objectives by definition are noble, and therefore any means may be adopted to achieve them with *military style objectivity* which transcends any moral calculus and its clichés.
To appreciate that this author is not alone in that assessment, see Shadia Drury's analysis of the categorical imperatives of the neocons, taught by their philosopher Leo Strauss, who was himself a Plato scholar, and their “Noble Lies” to dignify the invasion and decimation of Iraq: “Noble lies and perpetual war: Leo Strauss, the neo-cons, and Iraq”. Drury's analysis was reported in this 2005 interview by Danny Postel exploring the natural order of inequality:
http://opendemocracy.net/debates/article-2-95-1542.jsp, or
http://informationclearinghouse.info/article5010.htm

Professor of political theory at the University of Regina in Saskatchewan, Shadia Drury correctly argued that the use of deception and manipulation in current US policy flow directly from the doctrines of the political philosopher Leo Strauss (1899-1973). However, Leo Strauss is just another applied philosopher and not the original political scientist. Very little of what Strauss has written appears to be original thought, but rather is applied thought making use of others. This applied thought is principally Plato merged with Machiavelli, Nietzsche and Hegel, to create the modus operandi for the Ubermensch. That most straightforward template is seen not just in Leo Strauss, but spans the gamut of all nihilists from philosophers to statesmen, academic savants to businessmen, policy-planners to policy-implementers, consciously working to realize the Oligarchic primacy for world government. Shadia Drury states:

“In Plato’s dialogues, everyone assumes that Socrates is Plato’s mouthpiece. But Strauss argues in his book The City and Man (pp. 74-5, 77, 83-4, 97, 100, 111) that Thrasydamus is Plato’s real mouthpiece (on this point, see also M.F. Burnyeat, “Sphinx without a Secret”, New York Review of Books, 30 May 1985 [paid-for only]). So, we must surmise that Strauss shares the insights of the wise Plato (alias Thrasydamus) that justice is merely the interest of the stronger; that those in power make the rules in
their own interests and call it justice.

Leo Strauss repeatedly defends the political realism of Thrasymachus and Machiavelli (see, for example, his Natural Right and History, p. 106). This view of the world is clearly manifest in the foreign policy of the current administration in the United States.

A second fundamental belief of Strauss’s ancients has to do with their insistence on the need for secrecy and the necessity of lies. In his book Persecution and the Art of Writing, Strauss outlines why secrecy is necessary. He argues that the wise must conceal their views for two reasons – to spare the people’s feelings and to protect the elite from possible reprisals.”

Those who demonstrate an intellect to comprehend such theology and subscribe to it willingly are the *Ubermensch*. They tend to all *die holily in bed*. Others participating with them or unwittingly helping them are merely their stooges, dupes, patsies, and assorted mercenaries chasing their own narrow self-interests.

The real calculus of inequality whereby, as witnessed in nature, men are not born equal, nor possess equal talents, nor equal intellect, a self-evident truth which is underscored by the genetic makeup which is extraordinarily unequal among men, plus some are born on the wrong side of history, others on the right side of rail-road tracks, all of which pretty much determine man's entire existence; only by the convention of moral thought that man is accorded some “unalienable rights” of “equality”, it does not exist in nature. So, for those with ruling class mentality and superiority complex, and also those who subscribe to the laws of nature as also governing man and therefore accept social Darwinianism as also a law of nature of inequality, the “equality” mantra can merely be in lip-service to the common man's sensibilities who demonstrates little or no ability to evolve to the higher categorical imperatives of the *superman* and is easily corralled
with glossy verbiage just like the sheep on merely hearing the shepherd blow the sheepdog's whistle. And H. G. Wells is arguably blowing that whistle.

As one can perceptively see from just these few examples, current affairs and lofty verbiage of perception management are interlinked in many unobvious ways all for the purpose of mass behavior control, its end product. It takes not only sophisticated domain expertise in multiple disciplines, but also shrewd perspective on primacy to accurately deconstruct all the hidden motivations and all the forces which drive events from both near and far, so that two plus two can make four across all of them.

It is left to the reader to decide which of the two positions is more perceptively accurate: (1) the attitude of mild skepticism on H. G. Wells taken in the essay giving him the benefit of the doubt for what forms the heart of all the pious platitudes and metaphors, laws and narratives, pitched for both secular humanism and one universal law under one-world government; or (2) the devil's advocate position taken in this footnote.

Words are cheap, especially platitudinous ones. The world has been deceived more by polished words employed in cunning propaganda than any other instrument of coercion. There is simply no question of doubt in that empirical observation. It is self-evident. The theology of New World Order comes wrapped in propaganda in no less a measure than any of the other “isms” that have come to forcibly occupy the modern mind for their own enslavement.

For more convolutions on the theme of sophisticated deception for behavior control using language to seed plausible sounding abstract notions which simply can never be falsified (meaning, proved true or false empirically, albeit logical reasoning methods such as *reductio ad absurdum* can often expose fallacies and self-contradictions leading to their rejection) whereby, the sheep are willingly led to the slaughterhouse by having them acquiesce to their present state of


Bertrand Russell's sarcastic statement on spreading “black death” as a means of birth control if contraception fails, is often taken out of context with its sarcasm removed; it is dissected in: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/07/misquoting-bertrandrussell-blackdeath.html


Aaron Russo, America: From Freedom to Fascism, Documentary 2
hours, http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O6ayb02bwp0


**Source URL:** http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/09/capitalist-conspiracy-world-government.html

First published September 25, 2008
Chapter 43
Oligarchic Primacy and Financial Terrorism
The Extortion Racket

Why Bluff Martial Law in the USA?

October 03, 2008

The bailout Bill passed [a] the House in its second vote, as predicted by Project Humanbeingsfirst [b] that it would, just a few moments ago, 263 votes to 171. President Bush immediately signed it into law on Friday afternoon (October 03, 2008), asserting:

“By coming together on this legislation, we have acted boldly to prevent the crisis on Wall Street from becoming a crisis in communities across our country,”

This came immediately on the heels of the accurately predicted initial defeat of the bailout package by a mere loss of 12 votes (228 to 205).
Project Humanbeingsfirst had observed on September 30, 2008, in its own prediction in *No Exits on this Super-Highway!*, that this was to be rectified shortly, as Wall Street [c] has fully implemented the President's scare-mongering [d] dire warning with real teeth!

CNN Money reported that Rep. Sue Myrick, R-N.C., stated in a statement before the vote:

“'We're on the cusp of a complete catastrophic credit meltdown. There is no liquidity in the market. We are out of time. Either you believe that fact, or you don't. I do.'”

I suppose I do too. This is indeed a genuine crisis. The ordinary American stands to not only lose his shirt and her skirt, but also their comfortable lifestyles, and their meager lifesigns.

But it is also a manufactured crisis. And those pushing this particular bailout solution are the same peoples who deliberately manufactured the crisis. And subsequently, deliberately manipulated and shrunk the money and credit [e] available to the public to substantiate the scare-mongering pressure that was being applied by their “insiders” in Congress.

Thus the same constituencies who had earlier called in to urge a NO vote on the Bill, may very well have called in to urge a YES revised vote. Only data available later will reveal the success of this Edward Bernays style public psyop – whether a majority of Congress persons changed their votes only due to the “insiders” gun to their head, or whether they also had a face-saving rescue from their constituencies getting scared off by Wall Street action. The banks have sufficient liquidity to lend to each other, but not to the Main Street public in the guise of being wary of bad debt, as noted by CNN Money: [f]

“For the past two weeks, lending between banks and between banks and businesses has gotten considerably more expensive. Small businesses are having trouble getting loans. As of midday Friday, one key
measure showed that banks were hoarding cash rather than loaning it. Meanwhile, an indicator showing how willing banks are to lend each other was at an all-time high.”

It wasn't just happenstance, or simply the capitalist greed gone wild, that precipitated this crisis as many rational contrarians have asserted. It was a creed entirely of a different sort. This addendum to the report “No Exits on this Super-Highway!” explains it.

The fear-mongering that many Congressmen in their House Floor-Speeches had alluded to, including the explicit threat of Martial Law in America [g] noted by Representative Brad Sherman, D-CA, [h] and Martial Law already instituted in Congress to squelch debate and due process as alluded to by Representative Michael Burgess, R-Texas, [i] really cemented the signing of this Bill today.

Under these circumstance, Grand Theft America has been initiated. Project Humanbeingsfirst wrote a letter to the United States Congress yesterday, on October 02, 2008, suggesting to open a second more powerful front to disarm them. The letter stated:

'If at least one of you in your House speeches being televised on C-Span, will assert the falsity of the very basis upon which Martial Law in Congress has been enacted, and due to which, you are being compelled to vote and pass this audacious graft upon the nation in the closing hours of this Presidency, you may have a fighting chance to really be fair to your oath of office.

- Assert the plain truth that the “war on terror” is a fiction! That the enemy is fabricated.

- And therefore, the emergency and war-footings basis upon which the Martial Law has been declared in the House, is fictitious.
- And therefore, you, as the Representative of the People, revolt against this fiction being forced upon you as it is preventing you from upholding your own oath of office to protect and serve the nation against all enemies, both foreign, and domestic!

By astutely challenging, as fraudulent and malfeasant, the very first principle upon which the Congress has been continually co-opted by this Administration in its Constitutional due processes and deliberations, you have the fighting chance of preventing a second vote on this banksters' bailout Bill in the House.

If this bill is voted upon now in the House, you can take it to the vaults that the bill will pass!

If that second front had been opened by any Congress persons, it would have been tantamount to slaughtering the most sacred holy cow of America in its own highest temple. With media paying rapt attention, it would have surely postponed the voting.

It is Project Humanbeingsfirst's thesis that the threat to impose Martial Law was merely a bluff, and the uncourageous Congress blinked. It matters not what the tyrants do – for they shall do whatever they will – the good guys are supposed to follow their principles in guiding their own actions regardless, especially when they are even sworn to uphold a national obligation.

Besides, if it was the right time to impose Martial Law in the country, it would have surely been enacted without issuing blackmailing threats. All the preparations for such military policing of America have been in the works for a very long time, with a battle hardened military Brigade even getting ready to patrol the main streets USA starting October 01, 2008, [j] as already reported by the Army Times. The threat of Martial Law [k] has existed since 911 when the US government declared itself on war footings. But it hasn't been declared
yet.

Therefore, the following question logically surfaces. If the eventual goal of the oligarchs is world government (see “The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy For World Government”), and if the baby-step in that direction is to cement the North American Union and the creation of a new currency called Amero, and the way to reach that stage is through manufactured crises which would demand Martial Law as the only bailout solution, why was it not done during this present crisis when Martial Law was only threatened for passing this bailout Bill? Why not simply enact it in America – the 3rd Infantry Division’s 1st Brigade Combat Team is already at hand.

The answer is really simple – one cannot take the cake out of the oven before it is fully baked.

Or, to whip another cliché into frenzy, one cannot expect the soufflé to rise without beating it to death first!

That is the point – the NAU cannot be cemented so long as the United States, as a powerful entity, still retains scope for independence of action, and its peoples, still feeling empowered, and not fully shackled in the state of hopelessness. For no American, no matter how indoctrinated, will willingly agree to give up their beloved America and form a weird union with Mexico and Canada. Good natured and peaceable people in this country really love their nation like no other peoples on earth. For them, both country and nation mean only one thing, America. And they will fight back in normal times. Therefore, their will to fight has to be eliminated first. The independence, of the peoples, and the state, has to be whittled down, baby-steps at a time, towards complete disillusionment. A condition not dissimilar to the nation's state in the aftermath of the 1929 crash. Indeed, on the eve of Roosevelt's inauguration, the banking oligarchs had shut down all the banks in the country to paralyze the new President. Had the New Deal not existed, a fascist state in America – as was transpiring all over Europe – en-route to world government surely might have.
Thus notice, the consolidations that have occurred during the present crisis towards the creation of even greater financial combines than those previously existing, while saddling the nation and its peoples in a new debt-trap that actually has no upper bound. But that is still not sufficient to initiate Martial Law today. The soufflé hasn't risen sufficiently!

The approval of the $700 Billion bailout package is really the first down payment. This bailout Act, the new law of the land, has deliberate wording which is quite interesting when viewed in the light of an open commitment on the part of Congress to keep adding additional monies to the rescue plan. The bailout expense can rise to trillions of dollars – and that would be the time when the cake is fully baked!

Columnist Ben Stein describes the magnitude of the depths one can potentially fall, in his September 22, 2008 article calling it “the pit of loss is bottomless.” And we haven't quite reached that pit yet.

Investigative journalist and author Webster Tarpley, in his long missive on “Main Street Lending Facilities” earlier today, October 03, 2008, called it “a black hole of hundreds of trillions of dollars of poisonous derivatives.”

Tarpley suggests that the total world derivatives are now between:

“$1 QUADRILLION (i.e., one thousand trillion) and $1.5 quadrillion, and Wall Street represents the lion's share of this ... A year ago, JP Morgan Chase alone officially had $93 TRILLION in derivatives of certain types more than six times the total Gross Domestic Product of the United States, and this is a very low-ball estimate indeed.”

I don't know where these numbers are from, apart from his own admitted guesstimates, but they seem oddly consistent with the notion of “bottomless”. The New York Times and Bloomberg News report bailout numbers in the same “bottomless” ballpark.
The Bailout is in Trillions of Dollars


“The Federal Reserve and the Treasury announced $800 billion in new lending programs on Tuesday, sending a message that they would print as much money as needed to revive the nation’s crippled banking system. ... The Treasury secretary, Henry M. Paulson Jr., made it clear that the new lending facility was just a "starting point" and could be expanded to many other kinds of debt, like commercial mortgage-backed securities.” --- pg. A1, Nov 26, 2008 NY edition [n]

Caption “Tracking the Bailout: The government has pledged trillions of dollars to end the financial crisis.” NYT Nov 25, 2008

To appreciate the crafty spin “some investments may prove profitable” – loans $1.7 trillion, Investments $3.0 trillion, Guarantees $3.1 trillion – see essay by George J. W. Goodman for historical context, in order to understand, apart from the astronomical unpayable debt, the hyper-inflationary direction where this solution to the manufactured financial crisis is headed as the premeditated game-plan to crash the dollar enroute to a new currency and a new union, to be presented as the most natural-solution at that time: 'The German Hyperinflation, 1923' [o]
Mark Pittman and Bob Ivry, U.S. Pledges Top $7.7 Trillion to Ease Frozen Credit (Update3), Bloomberg News, November 24, 2008 16:59 EST,

'The U.S. government is prepared to provide more than $7.76 trillion on behalf of American taxpayers after guaranteeing $306 billion of Citigroup Inc. debt yesterday. The pledges, amounting to half the value of everything produced in the nation last year, are intended to rescue the financial system after the credit markets seized up 15 months ago. The unprecedented pledge of funds includes $3.2 trillion already tapped by financial institutions in the biggest response to an economic emergency since the New Deal of the 1930s, according to data compiled by Bloomberg. The commitment dwarfs the plan approved by lawmakers, the Treasury Department’s $700 billion Troubled Asset Relief Program. Federal Reserve lending last week was 1,900 times the weekly average for the three years before the crisis. When Congress approved the TARP on Oct. 3, Fed Chairman Ben S. Bernanke and Treasury Secretary Henry Paulson acknowledged the need for transparency and oversight. Now, as regulators commit far more money while refusing to disclose loan recipients or reveal the collateral they are taking in return, some Congress members are calling for the Fed to be reined in. ... “Whether it’s lending or spending, it’s tax dollars that are going out the window and we end up holding collateral we don’t know anything about,” said Congressman Scott Garrett, a New Jersey Republican who serves on the House Financial Services Committee. “The time has come that we consider what sort of limitations we should be placing on the Fed so that authority returns to elected officials as opposed to appointed ones.” ... The bailout includes a Fed program to buy as much as $2.4 trillion in short-term notes, called commercial paper, ...
Most of the spending programs are run out of the New York Fed, whose president, Timothy Geithner, is said to be President-elect Barack Obama’s choice to be Treasury Secretary. ... The money that’s been pledged is equivalent to $24,000 for every man, woman and child in the country. It’s nine times what the U.S. has spent so far on wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, according to Congressional Budget Office figures. It could pay off more than half the country’s mortgages. ... “Some have asked us to reveal the names of the banks that are borrowing, how much they are borrowing, what collateral they are posting,” Bernanke said Nov. 18 to the House Financial Services Committee. “We think that’s counterproductive.” ---
http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=newsarchive&sid=a5PxZ0NcDI4o

Tarpley goes on to make the qualitative statement based on what had transpired during similar bailout attempts between 1929-1933, before the onset of the New Deal:

“No money that is put into Wall Street [for bailout] will ever pass through it to benefit anyone else. The Wall Street derivatives black hole is so powerful that it could easily eat the whole earth and the entire solar system, and still be just as bankrupt as it was to start with.”

The previous “WMD” mantra was manufactured to decimate the lesser humanity outside the shores of the United States in its external quest for “imperial mobilization”. Yes, they did feel the pain. The other side of the game, the domestic front, also needed a manufactured WMD – and this is what Warren Buffet called it: “Weapons of financial Mass Destruction”.

Yes, Americans shall also feel the pain. Not quite as excruciating,
mercifully, as the Iraqis, the Palestinians, the Lebanese, the Afghanis, or as the Pakistanis are beginning to feel now – all for the pleasure of inducing the “Birth-pangs of a New Middle East”. This pain has meant very little to the “United we stand” clueless up until now. And the key question to ask is, why make these clueless suffer? Didn't they dutifully salute the flag?

And if America is out to dominate the world in its quest for “full spectrum dominance”, why destroy its own infrastructures such that its own civil engineers would give its state of disrepair a D grade? Doesn't Brzezinski's “The Grand Chessboard” explain it all as:

“perpetuat[ing] America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer” such that “no Eurasian challenger emerges, capable of dominating Eurasia and thus also challenging America”?

I suppose serious peoples would also have to read Brzezinski's 1970 classic “Between Two Ages” in order to comprehend the real hidden agenda driving America's “imperial mobilization” which remains unarticulated in 'the Grand Chessboard' and in PNAC's policy advocacy for American militarism. These are not end-goals, these are camouflaged baby-steps.

The real principle is that which led bankster David Rockefeller – the self-avowed globalist whose family funded the founding of the United Nations building in New York, and who supports and finances the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) which is now advocating the North American Union – to create the Trilateral Commission, and install Zbigniew Brzezinski as its first Executive Director, after supposedly reading Brzezinski's book!

The sole superpower's might is being harnessed to usher in the systematic baby-steps to world government by America's blood-sucking hijackers: the financial oligarchs who have ruled America from behind the scenes for the past hundred years. Indeed, some argue that their brethren across the Atlantic rule all the European democracies,
and especially the G-7 nations (Russia mercifully finally escaped from their clutches under Vladimir Putin).

The hand of Rockefeller and other globalist banksters is not only behind the CFR – the private foreign policy planning arm of the United States Government – but also his banks largely dominate the New York Fed. The Federal Reserve System, also simply called the Fed, is the privatized money supply arm of the United States Government, controlled by 12 of the largest private banks in the United States. There is as much federal about the Fed as Fedx.

Thus, note that both United States policy, and United States money, have the same oligarchs controlling them! What a remarkable realization of Rothschild's statement:

“give me control of a nation's money supply, and I care not who makes its laws”

And what an ominously prescient statement of both James Madison and Thomas Jefferson:

“History records that the Money Changers have used every form of abuse, intrigue, deceit and violent means possible to maintain their control over governments by controlling money and its issuance.” (Madison)

“I sincerely believe that banking institutions are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies. Already they have raised up a money aristocracy that has set the government at defiance. The issuing power should be taken from the banks and restored to the people to whom it properly belongs.” (Jefferson)

The creation of the Fed was the most brilliant success of the oligarchs in their hijacking of America. They orchestrated the approval for its creation by Congress in 1913 under dubious circumstances at best. In reality, it was an outright subversion of due process. That one single
Act of Congress in 1913, enabled the subsequent conquest of America by these bankster oligarchs. G. Edward Griffin's book “The Creature from Jekyll Island” details it all most thoroughly. And Professor Carroll Quigley's book “Tragedy and Hope - A History of the World in Our Time”, describes the rest of America's hijacking based on his full access to insider documents. The interested reader is directed to these seminal works for more in depth study. Those un-attuned to scholarly readings may find Paul Grignon's “Money as Debt”, a fast-paced 47-minute animated video tutorial, of invaluable assistance in learning about money and the Fed in less time than watching two episodes of Friends. This remarkable tutorial for all ages, is also available on google but please purchase the dvd to support its incredible creator. And for those still skeptical of CFR's grooming role in America's statesmen who subsequently enact its policies, see the confessional 1958 article in Harper magazine for an introduction to CFR written by one of its own members, titled: “School for Statesmen”.

Suffice it to assert here in conclusion of this summary background on the role of common oligarchic threads behind America's present financial as well as its foreign policy crises which has deliberately orchestrated its spiraling debt, that the behind the scenes control and manipulation of State destinies is not mere theory, philosophy, or false bravados. It is neither mere happenstance, nor vacuous greed for more money, nor blowback. It is the pursuit of the singular common vision already explained in “The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy For World Government”. Even the famous and much revered British historian, Arnold Toynbee, who along with Lord Alfred Milner had founded the “Round Tables” in the early years of the twentieth century which had subsequently led to the founding of the CFR in the United States, and the RIIA in the UK, asserted it. In a speech before the Institute for the Study of International Affairs at Copenhagen, he stated:

“We are at present working discreetly with all our might to wrest this mysterious force called sovereignty out of the clutches of the local nation states of
the world. All the time we are denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands, because to impugn the sovereignty of the local nation states of the world is still a heresy for which a statesman or publicist can perhaps not quite be burned at the stake but certainly be ostracized or discredited.” [Arnold Toynbee, “The Trend of International Affairs Since the War”, International Affairs, November 1931, p. 809.]

And today, his equally earnest legatees influencing both the CFR and the Fed, state the same thing:

“We are on the verge of a global transformation. All we need is the right major crisis and the nations will accept the New World Order.” [David Rockefeller – cited in “Quotation on Terrorism”, page 298]

And this friendly Fed is the same private entity that is now working in cahoots with the U.S. Government's Treasury Department to manage this crisis. Between the two, they orchestrated this bailout by forcibly prevailing upon Congress once again.

Well, one might ask, isn't the Treasury Secretary independent? How can the Fed influence them? Yes, the Treasury Secretary is indeed very powerful, and quite independent. But only in so far as his role within the United State's Government is concerned. The Secretary works for the same oligarchic interests in an incredible revolving door between the finance sector and the U.S. Government. Even a passing glance at Treasury Secretary Henry Paulson's resume, i.e., work history, makes that obvious.

The NAU is inevitable. It is the CFR's orchestration, and the globalist oligarchs wet dreams. The conditions for it are being rapidly created. And this bailout which further puts the United States in a position to default on its currency, to default on its trillions of dollars in debt servicing to other nations, is the set up.

This crisis, a baby-step in that direction, has been deliberately manu-
factured by the Bush Administration in collusion with Wall Street, for precisely the outcome which transpired today.

Here is some evidence for it.

Former NY Governor Eliot Sptizer revealed it in his Washington Post article of February 14, 2008, titled “Predatory Lenders' Partner in Crime - How the Bush Administration Stopped the States From Stepping In to Help Consumers”, and because of which, he was de-throned with a scandal. He had warned of almost 8 months ago:

“When history tells the story of the subprime lending crisis and recounts its devastating effects on the lives of so many innocent homeowners, the Bush administration will not be judged favorably. The tale is still unfolding, but when the dust settles, it will be judged as a willing accomplice to the lenders who went to any lengths in their quest for profits. So willing, in fact, that it used the power of the federal government in an unprecedented assault on state legislatures, as well as on state attorneys general and anyone else on the side of consumers.”

Greg Palast followed up a month later in his article of March 14, 2008, titled “Eliot's Mess The $200 billion bail-out for predator banks and Spitzer charges are intimately linked”, adding an epilogue to Eliot's afore-stated comment. After observing how Spitzer hath fallen in a $4300 a night 'escort' sting operation, Palast prematurely wrote how history had been spared in its judgment of Bush Administration:

“But now, the Administration can rest assured that this love story – of Bush and his bankers - will not be told by history at all – now that the Sheriff of Wall Street has fallen on his own gun.”

Author of Grand Theft America, Steve Lendman, summed it up to this author in an email communication “absolutely this crisis was manu-
factured. Problem is they created a monster that may end up devouring its creator”.

This is where I might mildly disagree with Lendman. The monster is in “fuzzy” chains, and only allowed measured extension on its probabilistic forays. At the right moment, it will be killed off to create the Amero, setting the stage for further financial upheaval in resource rich Asia left holding America's debt in “confederate currency”. This will create conditions quite congenial with just the right sprinkling of militarism and nuclear warfare, for eventually bringing about the third union in the world government agenda. Globalism does not deal in certainties, only in probabilities, loading the dice as needed to favor the outcomes of interest. These are calculated shenanigans. The size of the problem, deliberately a mushroom cloud, like any hydrogen bomb's.

I hope Lendman is wrong. I further hope that the oligarchs have mis-calculated. That humanity is far greater in its resilience to fight back tyranny than what they give us credit for. Even George Orwell could not but help allow a faint smile to appear on the weathered face of the last common man on earth in “1984”. Surely a Patrick Henry is just around the corner!

While unbridled hope is perhaps the wishful thinking that leads to voluntary servitude, critical analysis is not.

All that is analyzed by Project Humanbeingsfirst isn't a seer's peering into the Cassandra's crystal ball, or into the vibrant but immanent spaces of an armchair philosopher's fertile imagination.

It is hard political science writ large in the history's actors own handwritings and empirical deeds, and in the interconnection between disparate past events and the new emerging ones.

And all paths rationally lead to the stated goal of world government. Some might however, still prefer to label the obvious, 'tin-hatted conspiracy theories'.
Project Humanbeingsfirst prefers to call the detective process of uncovering the secrets of “hegemony”, forensic science. And what is uncovered, political science – an art which is “as old as mankind.” It was succinctly described by James Jesus Angleton, the head of CIA Counter Intelligence operations from 1954 to 1974, as: “Deception is the state of mind, and the mind of the State.”

This Machiavellian rendition of political science as applied to statecraft, was so timelessly captured by G. Edward Griffin four decades ago, that it behooves upon an honest analyst to rehearse it repeatedly in order to remind the dumb-ass spectating world unable to recognize it while all of us sink deeper and deeper into the clutches of its enslavement, that, that's just the way unchecked power behaves!

“Create conditions so frightful at home and abroad, that the abandonment of personal liberties and national sovereignty, will appear as a reasonable price for a return to domestic tranquility and world peace.

... If those who seek world dominion can stimulate [terror threats, 911, new 911], and also provide exhaustive news coverage, so that the entire nation can see and tremble, then the peaceful and freedom loving majority can be programmed to accept a vast expansion of government powers, and even a national police force, offered supposedly to end the violence.

... If those who seek world dominion can raise the spectre of an enemy, [or economic condition], armed to the teeth with superior atomic weapons on the verge of launching a nuclear holocaust [radical Islamists], and also offer world-government as the prevention, then millions of Americans can be programmed to accept the loss of national sovereignty, as our last best hope for peace.” (Words of G. Edward Griffin in the 1970s Documentary: The Capitalist Conspiracy)
In conclusion, if FDR's New Deal had worked then, it is not entirely obvious why it should not work again. [1] [2]

It is even less obvious why the courageous members of the United States Congress did not unite along bipartisan lines in the best interest of their beloved nation, to construct a New Deal as their counter group proposal. And instead, willingly chose to show their cowardice before the tyranny of the few. If the tyrants threaten Martial Law – let them follow through, for it would be forcing their hand prematurely towards something they plan on doing anyway. A soufflé before it has risen is worthless!

Thanks for reading. If this essay was useful, please write your Congress person to not fear tyranny – that you are with them. Six feet under, the maggots can't tell the difference anyway!

Footnotes


[f]


[h] Brad Sherman, D-CA One-Minute Floor Speech, right before xx, both noting different versions of Martial Law
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HaG9d_4zij8

[i] Representative Michael Burgess, R-Texas, Floor Speech
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=B0pTizzR7hE

[j]

[k] Martial Law - U.S. Army prepares to invade U.S.
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sN3a1oTdDwM

[m] G. Edward Griffin, 1970, The Capitalist Conspiracy,
http://youtube.com/watch?v=udWXFC2sWU8
Nothing was done to interdict the drive towards world government even after Griffin's public documentary, just as it had been anticipated by Carroll Quigley in 1966 in his book Tragedy and Hope which inspired The Capitalist Conspiracy documentary, that it was all but a fait accompli. See Some Dare Call it Conspiracy, http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2013/10/some-dare-call-it-conspiracy.html


[1] December 04, 2008 After that sentence was written, which was based on the populist understanding of what FDR had supposedly accomplished in the New Deal, through actual research into the facts of the matter however, it has now become blatantly apparent why it would not work again. FDR at the time had capitulated to the banksters – some say he was in fact the banksters own very clever pointman – and changed America's money which was previously redeemable in gold, into fiat paper money, with the passing of The Emergency Banking Act, March 9, 1933. All the gold of the American peoples was confiscated, and in effect, handed over to the Federal Reserve System in lieu of an infinite supply of paper money constructed as a national debt. The principal of this national debt was never intended to be ever paid back – it was setup as an infinite inflationary supply source. However, the interest on it was to accrue exponentially – compound-interest – and this interest was payable by the American peoples, the consumers, the corporations, and all economic activity
in the United States. In addition, making the US dollar world's reserve currency at Bretton-Woods by the victor of World War II, made increasing that principal a global impetus from the entire world's economic activity. Every US Treasury Security held by the oil producing nations courtesy of Henry Kissinger, every dollar held in foreign exchange reserves by the world's nations, indeed every dollar in circulation, contributed to this principal! Every dollar added to the principal that was beyond the growth of the American nation's real GDP – based on real production and not financial wizardry – was inflationary. Thus all economic activity due to debt financing, as opposed to balanced budget financing, was by design, made inflationary.

The word “inflationary” means when a dollar purchases less than it did before, or the same thing costs more than it did before. However it's official measurement also has become a con-game, and therefore, that word here is used to mean the real empirical experience of real wage-earning consumers who are the most susceptible to inflation (and who, incidentally, also pay the bulk of the interest on the national debt through their federal income tax), and not official reportage based on some artificial basket of goods. More the American worker made, more he paid out in taxes and in rising cost of living, so that he and she ended up with less and less in working families with even both parents working! Thus American public took on more and more debt, sometimes to make ends meet, and sometimes to enjoy the good times that were easily handed them on the platter of inextricable debt. And so the monster of debt fed itself. This wasn't just a side-effect as almost all the economists and politicians would have the ill-informed public believe, but by the very design of the monetary system under the Federal Reserve System.

That was quite a brilliant coup d'état under the guise of solving the problem of the Great Depression by the banksters! The same banksters funded the causes, and all participants, of World War II,
just as they precipitated the Great Depression itself. It was a banksters' World War, and a banksters' Great Depression! And a banksters' currency that kept creating more and more debt by design. Their 'small' calculated baby-step to create world government led to more millions dead and disillusioned than all wars of all history added together. Just as it is re-playing out the same game today, but much closer to endgame. Since the year 1933 was only the beginning of the paper money scam – this incarnation of the central bankers' perpetual scam since time immemorial, actually began in 1910 at Jekyll Island, and got cemented with the passage of the Federal Reserve Act on December 23, 1913 – the cumulative national debt then was low, the interest payments were low, and the Frankenstein was just a cute baby boy. Therefore, President Roosevelt could trivially embark on his debt-financed spending spree which became known as the New Deal. See the debt-chart from 1900 to 2006 in the book by Van K Tharp here, and current national debt numbers proudly reported by the U.S. Treasury here. Today, such a spending spree is not possible in the existing monetary system because the Frankenstein of debt has now matured into an ugly monster about to devour its feeders. And yet, such a spending spree is still generously being granted to the banksters to the tune of $7.7 Trillion for bailout, as reported by Bloomberg on November 24, 2008! The New York Times further broke this number down in its report of November 25, 2008 – loans $1.7 trillion, Investments $3.0 trillion, Guarantees $3.1 trillion – noting “they would print as much money as needed to revive the nation’s crippled banking system ... some investments may prove profitable ... The Treasury secretary, Henry M. Paulson Jr., made it clear that the new lending facility was just a "starting point" and could be expanded to many other kinds of debt,”

To appreciate how the sheep are craftily being primed for the slaughter, see George J. W. Goodman's essay 'The German
Hyperinflation, 1923' for historical context. Apart from the astronomical unpayable debt deliberately being run up, the hyper-inflationary direction where the Treasury secretary is steering the nation and the world with this 'solution' to the manufactured financial crisis, is in plain sight. This was a brilliantly orchestrated design, because the cure for this now fully grown grotesque Frankenstein – in order that it not devour its own creators – is being presented as a common global monetary system run by private central banks, owned by the very same banksters! And by making the dollar worthless – when a wheelbarrow full of dollars will barely purchase a loaf of bread – the 'United We Stand' from 'sea to shining sea' patriotic masses will willingly accept a new currency, a new union, a new world order! A crafty and audacious planning of a hundred years expertly being brought to fruition! Please see the Monetary Reform Bibliography, and Monetary Conspiracy for World Government.

The unfortunate populist version of FDR's New Deal is deeply entrenched in American society – like all its other indoctrinations – and it is even more exacerbated by polished disinformation documentaries like “1932” (see LaRouche: A nuanced and expert Disinformationist or merely Uber Alles? ).

And the whole shebang of the Federal Reserve System is very cleverly camouflaged, and confounded, by its learned exponents and detractors alike (see Monetary Reform: Who will bell the cat? and also Chapter 6 of Ron Paul's book: Revolution; see caveat lector on Ron Paul: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/open-letter-to-ronpaul-supporters.html ).

[2] February 05 2009 Gerald Celente of Trends Research Institute similarly explains why any traditional New Deal would also not work again:

“We are going to go into a depression worse than the Great
Depression, and here is why. There is a way to get out of this but not through fiscal or monetary stimulus. If we have an alternative energy break-through, beyond solar ..... that's as big as the discovery of fire or the invention of the wheel then we get out of this economic mess. Minus that, we are going to go into the greatest depression, and here is why:

(1) Back when we had the last depression, most people didn't own homes, they didn't have all this burden that're carrying now, with taxes and everything else, insurance.

(2) Back then, people didn't have home equity loans. Matter of fact, you know we are probably both old enough to know when people had something called second mortgages, they were called losers.

(3) Back then, people didn't have credit cards. They weren't over 14 trillion dollars in debt.

(4) Back then, the government had a trade surplus. We were not 700 billion dollars in the hole. And the people were self-sufficient.

(5) Back then, the government was not spending 11.5 trillion in growing in the hole with a budget deficit.

(6) Back then, we had budget surpluses.

(7) Back then, we weren't costing us over 2 trillion dollars to fight wars.

(8) Back then, we had a manufacturing base, that when the depression did end with the onset of WW II, we were able to manufacture ourselves out of it, and then later on the rest of the world.

(9) Back then, people didn't have 401Ks and IRAs that were their future evaporating right before them.

And the other thing people need to know was during the
Depression, you could do some of these work-project things that they talk about. We didn't have these deficits that we have now. So to keep talking about stimulus packages, to keep talking about work-project packages, where is this money going to come from? And again, as every historian or economist knows, the only thing that got us out of that Depression, was WW II.” (Gerald Celente, Trends Research, November 17, 2008, speaking on Alex Jones Show, http://youtube.com/watch?v=iilxh9v-l_U )

Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/why-bluff-martial-law.html
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Chapter 44

Oligarchic Primacy and Financial Terrorism
The Real Problem

The Monetary Conspiracy for World Government: Who will bell the cat?

October 19, 2008

“Let me end my talk by abusing slightly my status as an official representative of the Federal Reserve. I would like to say to Milton and Anna: Regarding the Great Depression. You're right, we did it. We're very sorry. But thanks to you, we won't do it again.” --- Ben Bernanke, Chairman, Federal Reserve
System, November 8, 2002

This is Project Humanbeingsfirst's response to many people's great ideas on fundamental Monetary Reforms.

The worldwide western capitalist monetary system is apparently a very complex subject, requiring many doctorates in economics to manage. It is so complicated, that it is least understood in the United States despite her thousands of distinguished economists, some even Nobel Laureates, who are now acting even more surprised at the spectre of the global economic and financial collapse haunting mankind.

It is now suggested that Capitalism, free market or not – and its quintessential engine, debt-financing through private banking, monopolistic or not – is even more convoluted than had been imagined. Dr. Ben Bernanke, my co-alumnus from MIT, Ph.D. in Economics, 1979, is busy trying to fix the problem at the Federal Reserve System by staying up late nights, and pulling his remaining hair out with worry.

It must be a real tension job at the FRS. Especially after Dr. Ben Bernanke, having admitted to Milton Friedman's technical analysis of the Great Depression for which Friedman had won the Nobel prize in economics [1]:

“The stock of money, prices and output was decidedly more unstable after the establishment of the Reserve System than before. The most dramatic period of instability in output was, of course, the period between the two wars, which includes the severe [monetary] contractions of 1920-21, 1929-33, and 1937-38. No other 20-year period in American history contains as many as three such severe contractions”

“This evidence persuades me that at least a third of the price rise during and just after World War 1 is attributable to the establishment of the Federal Reserve
System ... and the severity of each of the major contractions - 1920-21, 1929-33, and 1937-38 – is directly attributable to acts of commission and omission by the Reserve authorities…”

“Any system which gives so much power and so much discretion to a few men, [so] that mistakes – excusable or not – can have such far reaching effects, is a bad system. It is a bad system to believers in freedom just because it gives a few men such power without any effective check by the body politic -- this is the key political argument against an independent central bank…”

“To paraphrase Clemenceau money is much too serious a matter to be left to the central bankers.”,

uttered the belated mea culpa, ex post facto, more than half century after the money trust had bought up, pennies to the dollar, the thousands of collapsed businesses and banks: “we won't do it again”. [2] Witness:

“As a personal aside, I note that I first read A Monetary History of the United States (Friedman and Schwartz, 1963) early in my graduate school years at M.I.T. I was hooked, and I have been a student of monetary economics and economic history ever since.

As everyone here knows, in their Monetary History Friedman and Schwartz made the case that the economic collapse of 1929-33 was the product of the nation's monetary mechanism gone wrong. Contradicting the received wisdom at the time that they wrote, which held that money was a passive player in the events of the 1930s, Friedman and Schwartz argued that "the contraction is in fact a tragic testimonial to the importance of monetary forces [p. 300; all page
Let me end my talk by abusing slightly my status as an official representative of the Federal Reserve. I would like to say to Milton and Anna: Regarding the Great Depression. You're right, we did it. We're very sorry. But thanks to you, we won't do it again.”

As nature would have it, where it permits mankind to create complex systems [in service of its primacy instincts], it also simultaneously creates elegantly simple [ones to help protect the weak].

Thus, unremarkably, the solution-space is also almost as straightforward as taking away the monopolistic power to coin money from the private central banksters, and putting it back into the hands of the respective national governments, to be treated as a public trust and fiduciary responsibility of good governance in the best interest of its peoples.

And most significantly, making the process of coining national money, entirely interest free. Making its control, like national defense, a government's mandate, instead of a banker's monopoly.

In the famous words of assassinated American President Abraham Lincoln:

“The privilege of creating and issuing money is not only the supreme prerogative of Government, but it is the Government's greatest creative opportunity.”

And Thomas Jefferson – the founding father of the legacy which Lincoln fought to maintain, and paid for with an assassin's bullet – had expressed the dangers of not doing as plainly as can be in these well-rehearsed words of history:

“If the American people ever allow the banks to control the issuance of their currency, first by inflation, and then by deflation, the banks and corporations that
will grow up around them, will deprive the people of all property, until their children wake up homeless on the continent their fathers occupied.”

In fact, the simple solution-space, like hegemony, “is as old as mankind.” And certainly, at least as old as Julius Caesar and Jesus Christ. It has repeatedly been captured, time and again throughout history, as the perennial battle between the “evil” moneychangers and “good” state sovereigns.

The best straightforward articulation of it, to my mind, which even any common man or woman in mainstreet USA can trivially comprehend, never mind those with fancy doctorates in economics from MIT, is the common sense exposition of both Henry Ford and Thomas Edison – the two giants of western capitalism, and among America’s greatest real wealth creators – almost 90 years ago.

The New York Times reported the following candid observations of Thomas Edison in its special edition of December 6, 1921 [3]:

Excerpt

“Certainly. There is a complete set of misleading slogans kept on hand for just such outbreaks of common sense among the people. The people are so ignorant of what they think are the intricacies of the money system that they are easily impressed by big words. There would be new shrieks of ‘fiat money,’ and 'paper money' and 'green backism,' and all the rest of it – the same old cries with which the people have been shouted down from the beginning.”

“But maybe we have passed beyond the time when the thoughtful 2 per cent – you know, I gather from my questionnaire that only 2 per cent of the people think,” and Mr. Edison smiled broadly.

“Maybe they can't shout down American thinkers any
longer. The only dynamite that works in this country is the dynamite of a sound idea. I think we are getting a sound idea on the money question. The people have an instinct which tells them that something is wrong, and that the wrong somehow centers in money. They have an instinct also, which tells them when a proposal is made in their interests or against them.”

“... Well, [in the old way of doing business, Congress] must authorize an issue of bonds. That is, it must go out to the money brokers and borrow enough of our own national currency to complete great national resources, and we then must pay interest to money brokers for the use of our money.

Old Way Adds to Public Debt

“That is to say, under the old way any time we wish to add to the national wealth we are compelled to add to the national debt.

“Now, that is what Henry Ford wants to prevent. He thinks it is stupid, and so do I, that for the loan of $30,000,000 of their own money the people of the United States should be compelled to pay $66,000,000 – that is what it amounts to, with interest. People who will not turn a shovelful of dirt not contribute a pound of material will collect more money from the United States than will the people who supply the material and do the work. That is the terrible thing about interest. In all our great bond issue the interest is always greater than the principal. All of the great public works cost more than twice the actual cost, on that account. Under the present system of doing business, we simply add 120 to 150 per cent, to the stated cost.
“But here is the point: If our nation can issue a dollar bond, it can issue a dollar bill. The element that makes the bond good makes the bill good, also. The difference between the bond and the bill is that the bond lets the money brokers collect twice the amount of the bond and an additional 20 per cent., whereas the currency pays nobody but those who directly contribute to Muscle Shoals in some useful way.

“It is absurd to say that our country can issue $30,000,000 in bonds and not $30,000,000 in currency. Both are promises to pay; but one promise fattens the usurers, and the other helps the people. If the currency issued by the Government were no good, then the bonds issued would be no good either. It is a terrible situation when the Government, to increase national wealth, must go into debt, and submit to ruinous interest at the hands of men ...”

“Look at it another way. If the Government issues the bonds, the brokers will sell them. The bonds will be negotiable; they will be considered as gilt-edged paper. Why? Because the Government is behind them, but what is behind the Government? The people. Therefore it is the people who constitute the basis of Government credit. Why then cannot the people have the benefit of their own gilt-edged credit by receiving non-interest bearing currency on Muscle Shoals, instead of bankers receiving the benefit of the people's credit in interest-bearing bonds?

“The people must pay any way; why should they be compelled to pay twice, as the bond system compels them to pay? The people of the United States always accept their Government's currency. If the United
States Government will adopt this policy of increasing its national wealth without contributing to the interest collector – for the whole national debt is made up of interest charges – then you will see an era of progress and prosperity in this country such as could never have come otherwise.

End Excerpt

That extended excerpt leaves no room for imagination to run wild trying to figure out what 'first-principle' of finance gone wild has precipitated the global crisis today, as it explains the most complex E-con gibberish in the most straightforward way.

So let me ask the economists: which is it – the straightforward explanation, or the complex calculations that are little more than high falutin gibberish to fatten the banksters gullet?

To be fair, there is indeed some degree of sophistication needed to manage money astutely in an advanced civilization. However, the profound principle of such management, once again, turns out to be as simple can be (especially when the inventor of the light bulb, explains it) [4]:

“There is just one rule for money, and that is, to have enough to carry all the legitimate trade that is waiting to move. Too little or too much are both bad. But enough to move trade, enough to prevent stagnation on the one hand and not enough to permit speculation on the other hand, is the proper ratio.”

The actual implementation of that simple principle, the management of the “proper ratio”, well, what is the fundamental problem that a government can't manage this “proper ratio”, and requires private banksters, like the Federal Reserve System, to do it on its behalf?

The failure of FRS to actually create that equilibrium of “proper ratio” is grotesquely pronounced, and empirical. In the Great Depression,
they caused it by swinging the pendulum one way and severely con-
tracted the money supply after having deliberately expanded it will-
fully to create the speculative boom of the “roaring twenties”. In this
new global collapse that is now reminding the erudite of the “Weimar
Republic”, they swung the pendulum the opposite way and sharply ex-
panded the money supply to enable wild speculation just like they had
done to create the roaring twenties. They created the roaring 2000s,
and burst that bubble quite predictably in 2008. Another mea culpa is
waiting in the wings, after fait accompli.

The only fundamental macro economics Ph.D. thesis anyone need
write in the near future starting their research today, and the only use-
ful Nobel Prize that is even justifiable to award for macro economics
when the world is increasingly divided in wealth disparity the images
of which only bring shame to anyone calling themselves a human be-
ing first, is the exploration and answer to this 'first principle' question
of why a private banking cartel, like the Federal Reserve System,
can do a better job of managing this “proper ratio” than a gov-
ernment?

Since empirical evidence commonsensically always trumps any theor-
etical immanent theories, the proponents of the FRS, including those
burning the midnight oil in its plush offices trying to save the world
instead of going to jail and losing their own shirts and skirts, have a
hard thesis before them. To underscore the gravity of this common-
sense, I draw on poor Albert Einstein, always being called upon to ad-
judicate on matters commonsense. He had insisted, as possibly the
greatest scientific mind of the twentieth century, on the existential
value of empiricism in any theory construction in order to develop ac-
curate understanding of reality [5]:

“Pure logical thinking cannot yield us any knowledge
of the empirical world; all knowledge of reality starts
from experience and ends in it.”

Apart from this only technical question for the possible raison d'être
for a private central bank, the other question of who ought to coin money has already been indisputably settled by the verdict of history. It is now such a blasé question that devoting so much space to such a simple topic is an insult to the intellect. Unfortunately, since such simplicity has grotesquely been occulted by E-con gibberish, trumping all commonsense, one has to endeavor to continue rehearsing it.

We already know, since time immemorial, that permitting the monopolistic coining of money and paying interest on it to private bankers, has no commonsensical, no intellectual, no rational, and no moral grounds whatsoever. Except of course, when one's intent is to actually fill the coffers of the moneychangers. Then indeed, privatizing this most essential public common, the privilege of coining public money and charging the public gratuitous interest, is the most rational, commonsensical, and intellectual approach. For indeed, the power of debt upon a people is an intoxicatingly absolute power. It is the profound understanding of the most reviled of immoral principles upon which it is based, compound interest, that the successive Rothschild family elders, in different generations, have each made the now well known pronouncement to the effect:

“Give me control of a nation's money supply, and I care not who makes its laws”

It is frightening that there appears to be no limit to the intoxicating effects of such power, nor to its concomitant ability to co-opt. Banksters like Sir Josiah Stamp – the Director of the Bank of England and Chairman of this and that – can 'in your face' flaunt it in the following words which are attributed to him, and the glorified E-cons with doctorates and Nobel prizes can simply pretend not to notice the defecating elephant in the bedroom [6]:

“Banking is conceived in iniquity and born in sin. Bankers own the earth. Take it away from them, but leave them the power to create money and control credit, and with the flick of a pen they will create
money to buy it back again. Take this great power away from the bankers and all great fortunes like mine will disappear, and they ought to disappear, for this would be a better and happier world to live in. But if you want continue the slaves of bankers and pay the cost of our own slavery, let them continue to create money and to control credit.”

Or to be more charitable, the level of ignorance among the educated financial technocrats who continue serving against the interests of their own nation is unfathomable, when the well known imperialists themselves vouch for the veracity of these first-principles of domination [7]:

“If this mischievous financial policy, which has its origin in North America, shall become endurated down to a fixture, then that Government will furnish its own money without cost. It will pay off debts and be without debt. It will have all the money necessary to carry on its commerce. It will become prosperous without precedent in the history of the world. The brains, and wealth of all countries will go to North America. That country must be destroyed or it will destroy every monarchy on the globe.”

Why do sophisticated and revered economists like Ben Bernanke, and Paul Krugman, not know this? Why does the MIT department of Economics, course 14, not teach this in its courses, but it has poverty alleviation labs which look at lack of population planning as the biggest source of poverty? How about debt to bankers? Or as EHM John Perkins revealed, faking mathematics to get the developing world to believe that taking mega-loans from the World Bank is the cure to their developmental problems [8]. My first introduction to macro economics at MIT was 14.02 – and unremarkably, I never learnt all that I know today. I am glad I was only “imperfectly educated” then, for it has been easier to throw off my own yoke of ignorance.
Well their ignorance, of these famous economists, and of the pioneering free market Nobel Laureate Milton Friedman who only classified the Federal Reserve System's 1929-1938 debacle as “mistakes – excusable or not”, has been addressed elsewhere. In the addendum to the Project Humanbeingsfirst's report “The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy For World Government” [9], I had made the following straightforward observation:

'Money is an even bigger existential necessity today in the producer-consumer global paradigm than it ever was in the past, even though the imperial coin is as old as mankind!

And yet one has to come by its accurate understanding only as a detective assembling a jigsaw puzzle from empirical analysis. And even then, sometimes, the analysis suffers from one's philosophies which almost act like “religion”.

Thus it is unsurprising that some propose platitudes as solutions, forgetting that the Ten Commandments and the Golden Rule are at least 3000 years old but have made little impact on the real world of avarice and plunder. These include proposals for radical transformations as if revolutions are just around the corner. Some propose solutions which merely favor the private central-bankers themselves, knowingly or unwittingly is immaterial. Many of these are almost always cosmetic band-aids. These also include partial solutions that leave the core problems intact. “Religion” is most apparent in these arguments. An example of this is the gold standard, or the gold-equivalence standard as the now defunct Bretton-Woods has come to be known.

In the ability to tell the nuanced differences therein,
among BS, idealism, and usefulness at different application hierarchies, lies the key that can practicably and immediately unlock the world from the debt shackles of the perpetual capitalist conspiracy for world government.

But as those given to even a modicum of realism well understand, rectification of injustices is only possible either with the mighty hand of the victor's justice, or under the astute gamesmanship of balance of power. In this case, political power to affect legal solutions at all levels. Never on its own, regardless of the soundness of the platitudes or the solutions.

To build such a balance of power today that might be effective, does not seem to be in the capability arsenal of those proposing monetary reform solutions. A largely powerless peoples who cannot even fund one single economics think-tank of national consequence, and one single financial political action group of influence, never mind mustering the kind of lobbying-power before which powerful Congress persons and local law-makers, mayors, state governors, attorney generals, and newspaper editorialists might bow their head.

Realistically, I see no impact by monetary reformers at the national or international level. For it is but a truism that those who control purse strings, control nations' destinies – the real golden rule on earth, as old as mankind!

To confiscate their purse-strings – as easy as a stroke of pen – is a revolutionary act for which there is no “Jesus” today to cleanse the Congress of the moneychangers. The Wall Street bailout with the new
crown of thorns, and which the US Treasury Secretary Hank Paulson is now brazenly attempting to extend to crucify all of mankind upon the new cross of a global monetary system, is proof-sufficient.'

Indeed, commonsensically concluding that report with the blatant recognition that the solution-space is not what is the unknown variable. **But only who, and how are**, given the entrenched and awesome monopoly power of the central banks, all given to them legally by Congress, and signed off by President Woodrow Wilson.

The only thing the poor gullible professor from Princeton, after whose name the foreign policy imperial arm at Princeton University is aptly named – perhaps in gratitude for his maneuvering the United States into the First World War at the urging of his influential advisors like Col. Edward Mandell House who un-apologetically fronted for the banking interests [10], the money trust – could do is lament in the style that has become characteristic of co-opted patsies in power when writing their autobiographies.

Yes, confessionals after faits accomplis, is a characteristically “cleansing” Christian tradition. Somehow, it only seems to work for those in absolute power, never for the common man. “**You're right, we did it. We're very sorry. But thanks to you, we won't do it again**” doesn't seem to be part of the ordinary judicial system where the common man is made accountable for stealing bread. But it is part of the Nuremberg Military Tribunals which let Dr. Hjalmar Schacht, the former governor of the Reich Bank [11] – the bankster who orchestrated the financing for Hitler and enabled his war machine with funding from Wall Street [12] and the City of London financiers – go scot-free!

Whence such awesome power to even let a fascist banker who caused the destruction of all of Europe – as per the Nuremberg established principle of **“all the evil which follows”** – become a prominent and influential member of the financial community once again in post-war
Germany “as though there had never been a blemish on his character”? [13]

Whence such omnipotence that the White House Coup plotted by Wall Street financiers to overthrow President Roosevelt gets trivially buried by the United States Congress after a “911” style hearings in 1934? [14]

Well, the former President of United States, Woodrow Wilson suggested the answer [15]:

**Excerpt**

'Shall we try to get the grip of monopoly away from our lives, or shall we not? Shall we withhold our hand and say monopoly is inevitable, that all that we can do is to regulate it? Shall we say that all that we can do is to put government in competition with monopoly and try its strength against it? Shall we admit that the creature of our own hands is stronger than we are? We have been dreading all along the time when the combined power of high finance would be greater than the power of the government. Have we come to a time when the President of the United States or any man who wishes to be the President must doff his cap in the presence of this high finance, and say, “You are our inevitable master, but we will see how we can make the best of it?”

We are at the parting of the ways. We have, not one
or two or three, but many, established and formidable monopolies in the United States. We have, not one or two, but many, fields of endeavor into which it is difficult, if not impossible, for the independent man to enter. We have restricted credit, we have restricted opportunity, we have controlled development, and we have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated, governments in the civilized world—no longer a government by free opinion, no longer a government by conviction and the vote of the majority, but a government by the opinion and the duress of small groups of dominant men. ...

However it has come about, it is more important still that the control of credit also has become dangerously centralized. It is the mere truth to say that the financial resources of the country are not at the command of those who do not submit to the direction and domination of small groups of capitalists who wish to keep the economic development of the country under their own eye and guidance. The great monopoly in this country is the monopoly of big credits. So long as that exists, our old variety and freedom and individual energy of development are out of the question. A great industrial nation is controlled by its system of credit. Our system of credit is privately concentrated. The growth of the nation, therefore, and all our activities are in the hands of a few men who, even if their action be honest and intended for the public interest, are necessarily concentrated upon the great undertakings in which their own money is involved and who necessarily, by very reason of their own limitations, chill and check and destroy genuine economic free-
dom. This is the greatest question of all, and to this statesmen must address themselves with an earnest determination to serve the long future and the true liberties of men.

This money trust, or, as it should be more properly called, this credit trust, of which Congress has begun an investigation, is no myth; it is no imaginary thing. It is not an ordinary trust like another.'

End Excerpt

“Well this is the real problem. Nothing is easier than to formulate high ideals, but few things are more difficult than to discover the means for by those ideals might be implemented, and the categorical imperatives which spring from them can be a pain. This is the real problem. I mean one has to take that legalized power back! But who and how? That is the question. Not what reforms to make – at least to the first order.

By avoiding this fundamental question of the identity of the money trust and how to de-fang it, or showing their ignorance of this matter in their masterpiece proposals for monetary reform, the worthy monetary reformers betray themselves as either gullible fools and useful idiots, or as outright controlled assets of the same money trust. They only succeed in spewing
red herrings aplenty by introducing “beneficial cognitive diversity” (to borrow that apt Machiavellian phrase of Cass Sunstein) in order to confuse and distract the public's attention from the real heart of the matter!

The following commonsensical elaboration of this blatant point, that reformers are focussing on the wrong problem, was again reiterated by Project Humanbeingsfirst to yet another new proposal for monetary reform, pointing out that to bell the cat is the issue, and not which bell to use. In response to yet another intriguing reform proposal made on the internet by someone named Bart Klein Ikink, I wrote:

' Project Humanbeingsfirst.org said: Who will bell the cat?

Twelve Steps to New Financial Structure - Money of the Natural Economic Order by Bart Klein Ikink

http://www.atlanticfreepress.com/content/view/5435/81/

Let's just concede that yours is a great alternative proposal to the existing system, Proposal A.

I think there are in existence, great proposals at least up to Proposal Z.

Each proponent insists that their proposal is provably better than the existing system in some very erudite way. That's just great. More great ideas to choose from. Let's further assume that yours is the greatest invention. Or that after a major battle in some economists gathering at Harvard, one proposal unanimously comes out on top. Yours. Proposal A is now the best alternative to the existing system, and all economists, experts, and even some key government officials agree. Even perhaps the President of the United States.
I start my humble argument from that point.

Since your wonderful Proposal A relies on platitudes and does not show how, and with what new external or internal powers of enforcement, can the entrenched power of the moneychangers which sustains the existing system, be countered, it will remain a good idea, on paper. Just like the Good Book, and all Good Books.

Meaning, your “hey this is the greatest idea since slice-bread, a natural idea, let’s use it”, is qualitatively no different than “Hey, I am God and I Command you: Thou Shall Not Kill as that is the natural order for mankind so they may live in natural harmony, and furthermore, Thou Shall Not covet their neighbors' cow and wife, Thou Shall Not charge interest, Thou Shall Not screw your fellow man ...” which are even greater ideas with even an absolute [divine authority] backing it, and these are of such commonsensical obviousness that they are understandable by all and sundry without even needing any elaborate exposition (certainly it was all supposedly printed on a single stone tablet taking much less space than your erudite article).

And so what of it? Israel today decimates the Palestinians with impunity and the world watches silently. What happened to the good idea from the good god himself? At the risk of stating the obvious, that is because the existent power-structures in the world support Israel in its conquest of Palestine – and with a devilish cleverness of baby-step incremental fait accompli that is hardly explainable fully even in a long missive like “The Endless Trail of Red Herrings”. Even their own Israeli historians, never mind their
great founding fathers, gloat of, or at least narrate of, their state's and peoples' crimes – can anyone reverse that genocide which goes on even as I write this?

I hope you are able to understand my humble critique – good ideas are aplenty.

It is not meant to discourage or disparage excellent ideas, but only to point out that it is not the lack of solution-spaces that plague us. We have known the solution since Julius Caesar banished the moneychangers and started issuing his own coin. That was even before Christ threw them out of the Temple. If only good ideas could create practicable solutions, then the 3000 years old Ten Commandments and the Golden Rule might have taken care of all matters, and there'd have been heaven on earth. I hope [you] can suggest how might one proceed, given that two past presidents of the United States who tried to go against these power-brokers were immediately assassinated.

Norman Dodd disclosed to G. Edward Griffin in an interview in 1982, the following statement that was made to him by a Morgan bank official in 1929 in the aftermath of the Great Crash and Depression: “Norm what you're saying is we should return to sound banking ... We will never see sound banking in the United States again.”

This interview with the late Norman Dodd can be watched here.

The fact that this secretive power which “will never see sound banking in the United States again” is the same today as when it boasted of its intent to Norman Dodd almost 80 years ago, and is quite alive and even more powerful than it ever before, was just wit-
nessed in the passage of the not-so-secretive trillion dollar bailout Bill by Congress – and its analysis can be read here (http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/09/no-exits-on-this-super-highway.html).

Any great ideas and proposals to fix the monetary system which do not take into account the reality of this entrenched power of the private banksters, and how to effectively counter it, is merely just that, good ideas on paper. Even great for Ph.D. thesis rehash, but of little practical use. And so long as these ideas stay there, on paper, the secretive power brokers, who, right before our very eyes just put the American nation in debt for another trillion dollars, has no qualms with them.

And if you read the following press release, you will note that this new bailout debt is merely a down payment towards putting the entire world in similar straightjacket – Paulson is recommending all governments do similar bailouts with their own central banks.

And you have yourself convincingly demonstrated that the monopoly power to coin money legally, awarded to the private central bank, caused the experiment in Worgl to be abandoned by the Central Bank when it exercised its legal authority to blunt it: “At this point, the central bank panicked, and decided to assert its monopoly rights by banning complimentary currencies. The people unsuccessfully sued the bank, and later lost in the Austrian Supreme Court. It then became a criminal offense to issue 'emergency currency'.”
The same carte blanche monopoly powers were awarded to the private central bank not only in the United States, but every [private] central bank in the world enjoys that legal protection – today! This is not news to anyone surely. These legal entities can choose to enforce that legal right whenever threatened.

And as the following article indicates, there are 65 alternate local/regional currencies in Germany alongside the Euro, and these are only allowed to exist because the European monetary authorities think these fringe elements are not any threat to their main currency Euro:

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/2802861/Germans-get-by-without-the-euro.html

And in the 1996 video, The Money Masters, at around 3:13:00, you can also see another success story of local currency, in Guernsey, a small principality off the coast of France.

And the aforecited video further quotes economist Milton Friedman, in its conclusion after a long and very informative historical analysis, all the good platitudinous stuff, on commonsensically reclaiming back the power awarded to the Federal Reserve System.

But as the video also narrates: these central banks are now deeply entrenched in the world's power structures, with a history of at least 300 years of legal legitimacy and institutionalized experience. These are now global institutions protected by entrenched laws in every nation, protected by paid politicians and media. They are owned by the richest families in the world which control them from their central headquarters – the Bank of International Settlements.
(bis.org) through an opaquely interlocking and complex ownership structure that no one can penetrate through.

So find me a “Jesus” courageous enough to alter that reality, who will cleanse the lawmaking bodies of the world of the presence of the moneychangers’ influence, and bring forth new legislation which will effectively repeal those laws which originally gave power to coin money out of thin air to the moneychangers.

Unless a proposal for monetary reform addresses these issues of the grotesque reality of immeasurably entrenched global power, as an integral part of their implementation architecture, it's like I read on some website once (probably globalsecurity.org): “dreams without funding [power] are hallucinations”

I keep repeating, that there is really no shortage of solutions. Julius Caesar knew it, Jefferson, Lincoln, Jackson, and JFK knew it. You know it. G. Edward Griffin Knows it. Norman Dodd knew it when he made a proposal to the Morgan Bank to return to sound banking practice in the aftermath of the Great Depression of 1930, WebOfDebt knows it, MoneyAs-Debt knows it, MoneyMasters know it, monetary.org and mises.org both know it. Richard Cook seems to know it too. Even Alex Jones knows it. Certainly [Congressmen] Ron Paul and Dennis Kucinich [apparently know it] too.

And I say – very good. Hallelujah.

Now go fund a political action group, an economics think-tank, some newspaper editorialists in the New York Times and the Washington Post, and at least [a
majority of] Congresspersons' election campaigns who would [effectively] vote 'Yes' on the monetary reform Bill, and bring to power an occupant in the White House willing to sign it even at the risk of assassination, with a Vice President and a House Speaker who will not rescind it if the abhorrence comes to pass, if you want to stop hallucinating.

This is how the banksters did it – and all the time their well meaning antagonists, and at least since 1913, have only been spouting platitudes. Nothing new is being said today, that has not been stated tens of times before. And I can now verify this because I have spent considerable time researching this topic. But this is hardly news to anyone who can write such an outstanding proposal as the one in this article.

The game is lost in the current round my friends. And I pray that I am entirely wrong, that indeed, the sheer think-system invented by the “Music Man”, and the platitudes of the prophets of antiquity, do win out in the real world at some point – not today though.

Only access to, and harnessing of, real power, to minimally construct the balance of power, if not overwhelming victor's power, and fighting political power with political power, military power with military power, can alter any existent reality that is counter to the interests of those presently wielding the power. Sorry to be stating a blatant truism to make the obvious point.

Hope this helps clarify matters and helps focus or redirect attention of those with the wherewithal and genuine stamina to endure the course, to how to politically instrument monetary reform. Of course this is
intimately tied to what reforms, because that determines the level of inertia and opposition, but nevertheless, without political power (and access to wealth to get that power), it is meaningless to spend further time in researching what reforms to make.

There are plenty of known solutions, many are even proven solutions which need no further study to pick from as the first pass reform. Fine tuning can occur over time if suitable legislation is drafted. But:

**Who will bell the cat?**

**How will the cat be belled?**

We already have several shiny new and antique bells to choose from. It's pointless crafting any more new bells. That's all your proposal is, a new, or even an old, bell. Get to the next stage please.

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org'

---

**Footnotes**


“[Jackson] regarded the former president of the Reichsbank as
the most contemptible of all the defendants. He had provided the finance for the spectacular rise and rearmament of Hitler’s Germany. More than any other, this man’s financial genius had paved the way for the violation of the Versailles Treaty.”

(page 157)

“Ambitious and arrogant, Schacht [Highest IQ 143, page 292] had walled himself in behind a belief in his own righteousness. He seethed with rage at being imprisoned with Hitler’s henchmen. He admitted to having violated the Versailles Treaty, but countered that since the Allies were in collusion against Germany this was no crime. .. He admitted rebuilding Germany’s run-down economy, but not for the purpose of waging war; Hitler had dismissed him as soon as he balked at the aggressive planning that began.” (page 293)

“Hjalmar Schacht – ‘after Göring the toughest of them.’ He [Jackson] had always regarded Schacht as one of the most despicable defendants. The banker’s arrogant attitude since the trial had begun only vexed him all the more.” (page 327)

“Even more irritating for Jackson was that Schacht was overheard in the cells confidently predicting that he would be acquitted. Irritating rumours circulated that the prosecution of Schacht was not in earnest. Letter-writers taunted Jackson that he would never succeed in convicting a big banker – whether friend or foe, they were the new Untouchables. He soon became aware that the Nazi banker did indeed have friends in the most unlikely places and influence everywhere. One day one of his team, the eminent New York international lawyer Ralph Albrecht, reported to him that the British assistant prosecutor Colonel Harry J. Phillimore – later a lord justice of appeal in London* – had accosted him in the hall outside the courtroom and urged the Americans to relax their remorseless pressure on the banker. When Albrecht, perplexed, asked ‘Why?’, Phillimore uneasily explained that certain
representations had been made by Sir Montagu Norman, governor of the Bank of England from 1920 to 1944. ‘It would be most unfortunate,’ murmured the British colonel, ‘if anything were to happen to Schacht.’ In fact Schacht had been an informer of Sir Montagu, secretly apprising him of the political and financial decisions taken at the highest level in Berlin for sixteen years before the war.” (page 328)

“There is in the records of His Majesty’s treasury in the British archives an illuminating file on the efforts made by Sir Montagu Norman to get Schacht released.” (page 329)

“He [Jackson] regarded the case against the banker as a test of the good faith of the entire prosecution. As he had said in a secret meeting of all the chief prosecutors in April, of which there is a shorthand record in his files, ‘If the court, for instance, holds that we have no case against Schacht, then it seems clear that we can have no case against any industrialist, as the case against him is stronger than the others.’ ... He [Jackson] privately recorded later, ‘I would at least stand out forthrightly in demanding his conviction, convicting him if I could.’ He harried the banker mercilessly in the witness box, addressed him as ‘Schacht,’ tout court, confronting him with the evidence of his participation in Hitler’s aggressive planning until eventually the defendant had to admit that he had been untruthful about his dealings with the Führer. Jackson showed the Tribunal newsreel film of Hitler’s triumphant return to Berlin in July 1940 after the defeat of France – long after Schacht would have had them believe he had fallen into disfavour. There was Schacht, in Prince-Albert morning coat and top hat, the only civilian among the generals waiting on the station platform to pump the Führer’s hand – indeed with two hands he caught hold of the Führer’s, stepped out of line, and followed him ‘in almost lickspittle fashion,’ as Jackson remarked later. And this was the Nazi gentleman
for whom the British lawyer Phillimore and banker Sir Montagu Norman were interceding. **All the more acute was Jackson’s fury when the Tribunal – with only the Russian judge publicly dissenting – acquitted Schacht.** Biddle, who read out this part of the judgement, claimed some months later that he had also wanted to convict, **but the British had insisted on an acquittal and had left him no choice.**” (pages 329-330).

Also see page 392.

http://www.fpp.co.uk/books/Nuremberg/NUREMBERG.pdf

**Zahir's recurring question:** (1) Why had the British insisted on an acquittal of Dr. Hjalmar Schacht? (2) What hidden supra international power had prevailed over the combined governments of Great Britain and the victorious Allies? (3) Unless that supra international power has magically disappeared from the planet in the aftermath of World War II, how will that power today permit any interference to its financial operations whatsoever?


[13] David Irving, Nuremberg, The Last Battle, 1996, page 402: “As he was released from his [Nuremberg] cell, German police stepped forward and arrested him. A German court sentenced him to eight years’ imprisonment as a major offender under the denazification laws enacted by the Control Council in Berlin. He served two years in solitary confinement, and was eventually released in 1948. The world of banking absorbed him again as though there had never been a blemish on his character.”


Emancipation of the Generous Energies of a People, Chapter 8: Monopoly, or Opportunity? Text from Gutenberg edition http://www.gutenberg.org/files/14811/14811-h/14811-h.htm#VIII

Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/monetary-reform-who-will-bell-thecat.html

First Published October 19, 2008
Letter to MIT Professor and former IMF Chief Economist, Simon Johnson

Letter to Establishmentarian Economist of MIT who is presented as an award-winning “monetary reformer”

To: sjohnson@mit.edu
Subject: My two comments on your blog Baseline Scenario
Date: Wed, Mar 17, 2010 at 9:49 AM PST

Dear Professor Simon Johnson,
Hello.

You were introduced to me by a fellow MIT alum (on the cc) with glowing recommendation as someone who is on “our” side. I visited your website Baseline Scenario yesterday, read only the two top articles, and left you a comment for each. I was sorely disappointed for all the omissions and mis-emphasis, which I did take the time to mention:

- http://baselinescenario.com/2010/03/16/a-whiff-of-repo-105/#comment-46860

This letter is just FYI – but I do hope that as an award winning “public intellectual”, you will take the time to read my comments and reply, carefully explaining the omissions. This announcement is most impressive: http://web.mit.edu/newsoffice/2009/johnson-award-1217.html. However, I will share with you a piece of general folk wisdom which often guides me in matters of political science: **when empire's instruments give out awards to dissent chiefs, run like hell.**

With Best wishes

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

---

**Addendum-1 Zahir's Response to 'Enron and Merrill, Greece and Goldman' By Simon Johnson March 16, 2010**
Hello.

“Did big banks break the law during our recent global debt-fuelled boom? The usual answer is: no – they just took advantage of loopholes and captured regulators. The world’s biggest banks are widely supposed to be too sophisticated to be tripped up by the legal system.”

No – incorrect model of graft, but not for the followup question that peaks into the lacunae:

“But is this really true?”

The banksters made laws, repealed laws, made statutes, legally enacted into Federal Acts outright abhorrence, etc.

The biggest theft was the theft of legislature which makes laws, the executive which approves them, and the judiciary which interprets them.

The modus operandi outlined in the above quote, in my view, may be true of Al-Capone’ish graft, but not for imperial graft which is all kept “legal” because the “sovereign” itself makes its own laws.

Here is a short Excerpt from the Introduction to Monetary Reform Bibliography:

**Begin excerpt**

Economics and Money aren’t supposed to be as abstruse as it is made out to be, and nor does it take a Ph.D. from M.I.T. to realize that one is being taken for a sodomized ride on the Capricorn of economics gibberish. It is the responsibility of every denizen of the world to understand how humanity is being herded into global debt-enslavement and a centrally managed world-government, baby-step at a time, by manufacturing deliberate crisis and then proposing the
next baby-step as its solution or fait accompli. Each baby-step erodes away some aspect of national sovereignty. 911 helped setup the global police state as a proposed solution to ‘terrorism’ – a manufactured product – to create the sine qua non mechanisms for world-government. “World government could only be kept in being by force”, as Bertrand Russell had put it.

The latest financial crisis is designed to systematically create a central world-banking system, as a proposed solution to ‘bad loans’ – again a manufactured product – to be managed by a global banking cartel under legal sanction. “Give me control of a nation’s money supply, and I care not who makes its laws”, as the Rothschild banking scions boldly narrate in almost every generation. Today, the cumulative world debt is in uncountable trillions, and there is no nation on earth which is not beholden to some banking cartel, be it the WB-IMF tag team of economic mercenaries preying upon the resource-rich nations of Global South (see John Perkins), or the private central banks lending parasites doing the same to their richer brethren in the Global North (see Money as Debt).

On top of them both, sit the same handful of private banking families in their interlocking relationships, protected by their own hand-crafted instruments of commerce, trade-treaties, and their hand-picked political governance which creates for them the legal sanctions necessary for the entire global racket based on unpayable debt to flourish. Once a nation, like a person, can’t pay its debts, demand for the proverbial “pound of flesh” is as convincing as making an offer one can’t refuse.
In contrast to the Neanderthal gangster Al Capone, or Michael Corelone in the blockbuster movie ‘The Godfather’, who weren’t smart enough to change the laws of the land in favor of their criminal enterprises and therefore, the state’s policing apparatus could be relied upon to eventually take parasites like them down, these banksters connivingly write the very laws of the land in their favor. They own, or control through proxy, the media, the legislatures, the executives, the think-tanks, the foundations, all levers of power, good and bad loans, and discourse itself, in pretty much all major societies – from G7 to G20 (excepting to some extent BRIC, Venezuela, and Iran) – cleverly hiding their own role behind the scenes in constructing their global fiefdom.

That aspiration was unabashedly and boldly re-stated by bankster James Warburg in 1950 to the US Senate – the son of bankster Paul Warburg who not only founded the Council on Foreign Relations in 1921, but was the key architect of the Federal Reserve System under the clandestine auspices of Senator Nelson Aldrich at Jekyll Island in 1910 (see Jekyll Island) – “We shall have World Government, whether or not we like it. The only question is whether World Government will be achieved by conquest or consent.”

End Excerpt

Unless the law which gives all powers to the Federal Reserve System to coin the realm's money by enslaving the nation in perpetual debt, and to regulate the interest rate with which it controls the realm's, and the world’s, economy, to print money at will like monopoly play money, and to regulate its own constituting member banks (like the fox guarding the coups), is forthrightly addressed, no grand graft through boom-bust can either be understood, nor the boom-busts
themselves understood, nor any effective antidote formulated because the systemic disease has been improperly diagnosed.

Oh well. I Thought this is obvious. The rest of the palpable obviousness may further be gleaned here:


and here:


Unless those [issues] are addressed first, discussing Lehman et. al. is focussing on the leaves of a tree while the forest burns in plainsight.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Response submitted to Simon Johnson's The Baseline Scenario Blog on Tuesday March 16, 2010


---

Addendum-2  Zahir's Response to 'A Whiff of Repo 105' guest post by Jennifer S. Taub March 16, 2010

Hello.
I have long been suspicious of brand-name academe and big-name 'dissent'. To me, they often appear to be fabricated or controlled dissent, less interested in uncovering the fundamental truths of the matter which cause events, and more on debating their effects, and often with specious arguments. They often analyze causality quite dubiously.

For instance, witness this statement:

“This practice, enabled by a 2005 legal change, directly destabilized the financial sector and led to the ultimate credit crisis of 2008.”

And what was the repealing of the Glass-Steagall Act?

Can learned financial managers and lauded economists for once try to look beyond the leaves and penetrate through to the core agendas of the forest – of which they are themselves, or have been at a time before, a core part of? There is no way in hell that I, as a plebeian, can know more about this than these experts. And yet – why do I appear to know more? Or am I just foolish enough to not be co-opted?

Why do you think this financial crisis was precipitated? For instance, take a look at this CFR simulation from the year 2000 which anticipated it:

- [http://cfr.org/project/247/project_on_financial_vulnerabilities_and_foreign_policy.html](http://cfr.org/project/247/project_on_financial_vulnerabilities_and_foreign_policy.html)

and I first learnt of it here:


Not being a fan of anyone, least of all Larouche pubs, I deconstructed even that partial attempt at truth-revealing as far back at 2000 - which had put the cart and the horse in the wrong order – here in 2008:


“Similarly, other piece-meal baby-steps are being
achieved through the combination of these psy-ops and manufactured crises – each of which brings the world a step closer to the endgame! Many of these were already simulated 8 years ago, in the year 2000, as disclosed in this report by Richard Freeman, dated July 28, 2000, and titled: Exposed! CFR Bankers Plan for Financial Crash. In that report too, its author has mixed up the cart and the horse. It is strange that this article presents the secretive CFR simulations of the global financial collapse, as if the economic downturn wasn't in fact orchestrated by the banksters for the devious purpose of deliberate crisis creation.”

And here is what co-alum Dr. Ben Bernanke himself admitted in 2002, for the role of the Federal Reserve in causing the First Great Depression:

**Begin Excerpt:**

“As a personal aside, I note that I first read A Monetary History of the United States (Friedman and Schwartz, 1963) early in my graduate school years at M.I.T. I was hooked, and I have been a student of monetary economics and economic history ever since.

As everyone here knows, in their Monetary History Friedman and Schwartz made the case that the economic collapse of 1929-33 was the product of the nation's monetary mechanism gone wrong. Contradicting the received wisdom at the time that they wrote, which held that money was a passive player in the events of the 1930s, Friedman and Schwartz argued that "the contraction is in fact a tragic testimonial to the importance of monetary forces [p. 300; all page references refer to Friedman and Schwartz, 1963]. ... Let me end my talk by abusing slightly my status as...
an official representative of the Federal Reserve. I would like to say to Milton and Anna: Regarding the Great Depression. **You're right, we did it. We're very sorry. But thanks to you, we won't do it again.**”

**End Excerpt**

And yet, in 2009 he publicly said the following to CBS: ( see http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/12/brilliant-world-order-bedtime-story.html#Ben-Bernanke-Printing-Money-CBS-2009-Video )

**Ben Bernanke – Printing Money – 60 Minutes Interview**

[ http://youtube.com/watch?v=odPfHY4ekHA ]

Caption The Chairman Part 1, Ben Bernanke CBS 60 Minutes Interview with correspondent Scott Pelley, segment on Printing Money at 8 minutes

[But,] in the most remarkable display of chutzpah, the Federal Re-
serve under his stewardship has continued on with the exact same policies of squelching credit availability despite the trillions of dollars of public's bailout to the banksters. [All the] while forcing abject austerity upon the poor public using the very same modus operandi of the Federal Reserve that Bernanke had so celebratorilly sought his abject mea culpa for, just 6 years earlier, on economist Milton Friedman's birthday bash while celebrating his Nobel prize winning deconstruction of the first Great Depression. This is what Milton Friedman and Anna Schwartz had concluded in 1963:

**Begin Excerpt**

“The stock of money, prices and output was decidedly more unstable after the establishment of the Reserve System than before. The most dramatic period of instability in output was, of course, the period between the two wars, which includes the severe [monetary] contractions of 1920-21, 1929-33, and 1937-38. No other 20-year period in American history contains as many as three such severe contractions”

“This evidence persuades me that at least a third of the price rise during and just after World War 1 is attributable to the establishment of the Federal Reserve System ... and the severity of each of the major contractions - 1920-21, 1929-33, and 1937-38 – is directly attributable to acts of commission and omission by the Reserve authorities...”

“Any system which gives so much power and so much discretion to a few men, [so] that mistakes – excusable or not – can have such far reaching effects, is a bad system. It is a bad system to believers in freedom just because it gives a few men such power without any effective check by the body politic -- this is the key political argument against an independent
central bank...”

“To paraphrase Clemenceau money is much too serious a matter to be left to the central bankers.”

**End Excerpt**

See here:


The RICO Act is perhaps more pertinent to this orchestrated financial collapse than anything else. Do you financial geniuses take all the world's peoples to be gullible fools? I was recommended this website by an MIT co-alum with these words:

> 'There are several items of interest in this issue. To keep the bandwidth down, I will not ordinarily forward it in the future. I suggest that those interested subscribe (free) via the link at the end. Simon Johnson is a former IMF Chief Economist and currently a Professor at MIT. He is that kind of rare person who is both a banking insider and yet on “our” side.'

I hope that this sentiment will be true. But the two essays I have now read on this website leave me with no comfort that this is indeed a Socrates' corner.

Friends, we of course obviously need sophisticated specialists to inform us mere plebeians – who are perpetually suffering the brunt of the ubermensch's imperative to lead us by the nose to our own voluntary servitude – of the crimes against humanity of perpetual debt enslavement and how it was done to us.

But what I am certain we don't need is more disinformation and “limited hangout” for the same purpose, by variously focussing on the lower-order bits of the matter in the most erudite fashion, and through clever omissions and disingenuousness of emphasis for “cognitive in-
filtration” which ultimately only introduces “beneficial cognitive diversity” – Harvard's Cass Sunstein-ese for crafting red herrings to defocus dissent. I for one have had enough eruditeness – don't care for such learned gibberish.

Where is the straight talk from the “experts”? Or is that a nonsequitur of modernity? Call a spade a spade – it ain't all that complicated – take the red pill, or minimally, stop peddling the blue one before the mostly stoned masses!

But guess what? Just as the Greeks have run out of patience:


the poor Americans too will predictably, and very soon, be marching on that same road of revolt.

And having shrewdly anticipated exactly that, that “world government could only be kept in being by force” – see the full blown treatise in context in Bertrand Russell's *Impact of Science on Society* – the US Military has been ready for street battle in America's main streets since October 1, 2008 through the calculated repeal of the Posse Comitatus Act, and both HR 645 and various provisions of the “Enemy Belligerent” Act of 2010. The famous “Enemy Combatant” PATRIOT ACT was already renewed by the Administration:

- http://thepeoplesvoice.org/TPV3/Voices.php/2010/03/16/hr-645-u-s-preparing-for-civil-unrest

Wait – isn't that all about those infernal “Islamofascists” because of which the poor Americans are losing all their famously inalienable Constitutional Rights?


All part of the great interlinked and interconnected multivariable con-game to usher in CFR's Global Governance – for which the CFR web-
site even has a Global Governance progress monitor – and which was formally unveiled by the EU President as 2009 being the first official year of Global Governance:

**Global Governance – EU President Admits**  
One-World Government is Here

[ http://youtube.com/watch?v=QEqFtVrAgSo ]

“**We are living through exceptionally difficult times. Financial crisis and its dramatic impact on employment and budgets, the climate crisis which threatens our very survival** --- a period of anxiety, uncertainty, and lack of confidence. **Yet these problems can be overcome, by a joint effort, in and between our countries. 2009 is also the first year of Global Governance** with the establishment of the G-20 in the middle of financial crisis. The climate conference in Copenhagen is another step towards the
Global Management of our Planet. Our mission, our presidency is one of hope, supported by acts, and by deeds.” --- Herman Van Rompuy, EU Council President, press conference November 19, 2009

And you are telling us that this financial collapse that is key to that Global Governance by the handful of private banksters' centralizing the entire world's monetary system, economics, and the new central currency being planned after the dollars calculated crash – which will wipe out in a single stroke of magic all of America's debt held in foreign hands while shoving the new currency down the world's throat simultaneously – under their oligarchic umbrella, is due to:

“This practice, enabled by a 2005 legal change, directly destabilized the financial sector and led to the ultimate credit crisis of 2008.”?

And that it's solution lies in:

“Little has been done to address the maturity mismatch associated with the use of short-term (overnight) repo funding by banks to finance longer term assets.”?

Right!

This is of the same [perverse] order as the famous red herring of Tobin Tax analyzed by this scribe in:


If you ask me, a lowly plebeian, I rather agree with maestro Milton Friedman in the core diagnosis of the age-old problem:

“Any system which gives so much power and so much discretion to a few men, [so] that mistakes – excusable or not – can have such far reaching effects, is a bad system. It is a bad system to believers in free-
dom just because it gives a few men such power without any effective check by the body politic -- this is the key political argument against an independent central bank…”.

And it is the primal first-source of “all the evil that follows!”

Lastly, as an epilogue to the above ['rant'], I just saw a brief blurb on Simon Johnson's new book and I hope that it addresses the fundamental problems ab initio, and also outlines the real solutions and names the real culprits who have grafted by way of the mighty sovereign – by enacting the laws which suited them for their purpose! I look forward to reading it.

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Response submitted to Simon Johnson's The Baseline Scenario Blog on Tuesday March 16, 2010
http://baselinescenario.com/2010/03/16/a-whiff-of-repo-105/#comment-46860

Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/03/lett-simonjohnson-mit-imf-zahirebrahim.html
First Published March 17, 2010
Chapter 46

Monetary Reform
Not Fooled Again By Reformers pitching Gold Standard

First Look at Gold Standard

November 13, 2008

“The United States debt, foreign and domestic, was the price of liberty.” --- Alexander Hamilton.
One cannot ignore this reality!

This is Project Humanbeingsfirst's first look at the key issues inherent in the Gold Standard as the backing commodity for national and international currency. It is being advocated by Hon. Congressman Ron Paul of Texas ('The Revolution – A Manifesto', Chapter-6 “Money The Forbidden Issue in American Politics”). He is inspired by the Ludwig von Mises Institute (http://mises.org/) which represents the libertarian political and economic thinking of the Austrian School of
Economics. That in turn, in this scribe's opinion, can be elegantly captured in a nutshell by the British economist E. F. Schumacher's Buddhist paradigm of "Man is small, and, therefore, small is beautiful". Mises Institute primarily features the work of Ludwig von Mises ('Human Action – A Treatise on Economics') where Mises notes: "Economics deals with society's fundamental problems; it concerns everyone and belongs to all. It is the main and proper study of every citizen". Mises Institute also features the work of his student, Murray N. Rothbard ('What Has Government Done to Our Money?'), who notes: "On the free market, everyone earns according to his productive value in satisfying consumer desires. Under statist distribution, everyone earns in proportion to the amount he can plunder from the producers."

Ron Paul is evidently inspired by these 'Small Is Beautiful' decentralization principles of sustainable economics, sustainable government, sustainable development, and the importance and responsibility of man for his own decision-making and welfare, as opposed to centralized institutional planning and impersonal decision-making [1]. Who would not like such 'manly' "Tim the Toolman Taylor" empowerment? As the iconic macho-man Governor of California, Arnold Schwarzenegger, might have said in some movie – only the "girlie man"!

However, there surely must also be, somewhere in its verbiage, an implied balance and harmony in this Buddhist doctrine of governmental non-interventionism. The extreme at either end is of course, only bounded by total anarchy on the one side, and total collectivism on the other, as correctly observed by G. Edward Griffin (http://realityzone.com). Both extremes can have undesirable characteristics. The communist style centralized planning and control is a well known collectivist extreme – and apparently the world today is indeed headed towards that characteristics with a global police state in the offing and a global central banking under construction which will usher in a global currency managed by private International banksters.
Whereas, complete deregulation and privatization (in for-profit hands) of public commons which are held in trust for all peoples, is the other extreme. As is blatantly apparent, the world today is also simultaneously headed in that direction too with neo-liberalism. The twain meet in the corporate boardrooms controlled by the same gluttonous hands on Wall Street whose principal share holders are usually the opaque “institutional investors”. It would perhaps only shock the most naïve to learn that the largest of these “institutional investors” is the sole superpower on earth, the United States of America Corporation, at all levels: local, state, and federal. See the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) that is produced by each of these governmental bodies and public agencies (a public report which the public and its mainstream news media have evidently never heard about, see http://CAFR1.com).

And it is noteworthy for privatization impetus that as a non-predatory socio-economics system design principle, when there is an infinite demand for something, and the very life of every man and every woman may depend on its availability, holding it as a social benefit, in public trust, is the mark of wisdom; whereas privatizing it in unaccountable gluttonous hands a mark of predatory social Darwinianism. All reformers can surely agree that a nation's monetary system falls into this category, of a public commons, to be managed in trust, by their government, for the common good of all its peoples. This is all the more true for a non-predatory international monetary system which ought to sensibly be held in global trust as a global public commons.

That is the one key central platform upon which all monetary reformers are united, and have always remain united throughout history. It forms one continuous resistance against aggregated wealth holders who have hijacked control of any nation's money supply.

This resistance is profoundly historical, and even today, brings to bear its entire weight of all historical arguments made against private monopolistic control of a nation's money – from Julius Caesar to Cicero to Thomas Jefferson to Andrew Jackson to Abraham Lincoln
to William Jennings Bryan. The latter being the last of the great challengers to private wealth holders perpetually seeking to make a precious limited commodity like gold the standard currency, before the devilish orchestration in 1913, of the present day private banking cartel of the Federal Reserve System, did one better. Legally acquired exclusive private monopoly rights over the most common element, 'thin air'! [2]

Therefore, in these times, we resume that same thread of resistance – with a clearer understanding of the devil before us, and with an even greater force of cumulative arguments – right from where William Jennings Bryan left off, continuing with his own precise principled words of 1896: [3]

“We say in our platform that we believe that the right to coin money and issue money is a function of government. We believe it.

We believe it is a part of sovereignty and can no more with safety be delegated to private individuals than can the power to make penal statutes or levy laws for taxation.”

And of course, not neglecting to be inspired by America's famous “I killed the bank” President, Andrew Jackson's own motivating words: [4]

“You are a den of vipers. I intend to rout you out, and by God, I will rout you out.”

The presumably earnest reformers who believe in the Gold Standard, like Congressman Ron Paul and the Mises Institute, just as those who believe in Lincoln's Greenbacks or the Colonial Scrip of the American colonies, like the American Monetary Institute (http://monetary.org), and just as those who believe in other systems, like the 'tally sticks' of the English, or the 'Natural Economic Order' of Silvio Gesell, all equally share in this fantastically rich inheritance of principled resistance against the handful of private aggregated wealth owners squeeze-
ing the public in their beguiling lender's perpetual debt trap.

These reformers evidently only disagree in the pragmatic matter of which alternate monetary system to introduce against their common mortal enemy! And while they disunite amongst themselves as to “who's the fairest”, without a well-funded foundation backing them, an influential think-tank writing their policy papers, an effective political lobby-group pushing it to the Congress, or even a press-corps of editorial writers to their name to generate public opinion, their antagonists delight in this incapability of their rag-tag opposition to form effective coalitions on their own common grounds. The well-intentioned moral activist of modernity surely must intimately understand, beyond mindlessly parroting others, that the banking parasites have, in every epoch, very powerfully, and with much clever propaganda, attempted to gain control of this essential government function that President Lincoln called “the Government's greatest creative opportunity” [5]:

“The Government should create, issue, and circulate all the currency and credit needed to satisfy the spending power of the Government and the buying power of the consumers.

The privilege of creating and issuing money is not only the supreme prerogative of Government, but it is the Government's greatest creative opportunity.

By the adoption of these principles ... the taxpayers will be saved immense sums of interest. Money will cease to be master and become the servant of humanity.”

Therefore, it is all the more perplexing – given such profound intellectualism and advocacy for decentralized self-sufficiency on the one hand in the Austrian School of Economics, and such a rich historical legacy of resistance against private central banks controlling a nation's money supply on the other – why the return to Gold Standard is being
so 'religiously' advocated by Ron Paul and the Mises Institute which only helps fatten the same “moneychangers”!

The Gold Standard is being projected by the reformers as the sine qua non of monetary reform to get the United States, and the world, out of its misery of debt burden and the imminent danger of financial collapse. It is surely well understood by Ron Paul, given that he talks about it a lot, that the collapse would be the pretext to create from its ashes, the pre-planned supra-national state of the North American Union, and a new currency called Amero. He also well understands the agenda for a centralized world government to be controlled by a cartel of private international central banks as the top of the ruling pyramid. Such an agenda is already being pursued at an accelerated pace, and the import of time-criticalness of any effective resistance to avert such an outcome that ends the sovereignty of nation-states is surely not lost on Ron Paul. Therefore, the inability to quickly comprehend the incongruence of this path of the Gold Standard with respect to their own aims seem rather Kafkaesque. Is this really rocket science that an ordinary person cannot think it through? Not according to Ludwig von Mises:

“Economics deals with society's fundamental problems; it concerns everyone and belongs to all. It is the main and proper study of every citizen.”

The Gold Standard advocates seem to think that gold will magically materialize, in great abundance, in the Fort Knox secure vaults of the United States as a public property in trust. While a pocket full of gold and silver coins can be made laudable work-horses for local trade as local currency – with perhaps a defined conversion-rate to national-currency – it does not work as a national currency in modernity. This analysis explains why.

Gold's ability to insure against inflation, as well as against the inflation-tax when it is a fully-backed reserve rather than a fractional reserve, is a cleverly planted red herring. Or so it would appear, given
the impracticalities of creating fairness between the wealthy asset holders who own a large stock of hard assets, and real producers who are often without such hard property assets, and mainly have the sweat of their brow, the creativity of their intellect, or the courage of their arms, as their main production asset and which remains unprotected by such protection mechanisms of the wealthy. The unexamined axiom itself, that guarding against inflation must be the paramount factor to optimize, and all other factors need to be subservient to this one dominant factor in the design of a rational and fair monetary system, needs detailed scrutiny. That axiom is not scrutinized here, but several preliminary arguments can be made to show that the axiom itself may be mis-constructed based on assumptions made, or imperatives defined, by powerful landed wealth owners.

In addition, as in any system design, whatever be its level of abstraction, there are always pragmatic tradeoffs. When one balances the entire system and looks at all the pros and cons of every aspect of any precious limited commodity based standard for a monetary system, the directions in which to make reasonable and rational tradeoffs to construct a well balanced system in the best public interest, with a rational operating envelop that is resilient to economic upheavals, become all the more clear. Only 'religion' may define absolutes without trade-off. Mercifully, heaven did not stipulate a specific monetary system, but only outlined its first-principle: don't transact in interest! A hundred viable systems can be architected with that quality. Jesus banished the “moneychangers” from the Temple in Jerusalem due to their fleecing their flock. He did not stipulate which exclusive money system to use, only what not to do. The needs of the people, and the times, determine what system to use.

And William Jennings Bryan articulated that very need of the majority “man is small, and, therefore, small is beautiful” laboring man – which remains the same today – when he passionately orated at the 1896 Democratic National Convention:

“Having behind us the producing masses of this na-
tion and the world, supported by the commercial interests, the laboring interests and the toilers everywhere, we will answer their demand for a gold standard by saying to them:

You shall not press down upon the brow of labor this crown of thorns, you shall not crucify mankind upon a cross of gold.”

The preliminary analysis presented here is applicable, as first-principles, for any precious limited commodity-backed monetary standard. There is strong motivation brewing among many a 'malcontent' to take the power of coining money 'out of thin air' away from the Federal Reserve System, and to move the United States away from 'money as public debt' Hamiltonian principle: “The United States debt, foreign and domestic, was the price of liberty.” [6] For background reading, please see the bibliographic recommendation at the end, and the afore-cited books.

And it would be entirely appropriate to begin with these most eloquent words, as they precisely capture the raison d'être of Project Humanbeingsfirst's motto: “The Plebeian Antidote To Hectoring Hegemons”:

“I would be presumptuous, indeed, to present myself against the distinguished gentlemen to whom you have listened if this were but a measuring of ability; but this is not a contest among persons. The humblest citizen in all the land when clad in the armor of a righteous cause is stronger than all the whole hosts of error that they can bring. ... I shall object to bringing this question down to a level of persons. The individual is but an atom; he is born, he acts, he dies; but principles are eternal; and this has been a contest of principle.” (Ibid.)

The first-principle concerns with any precious commodity based mon-
etary standard, are three:

1) Gold is precious, therefore, by definition, it is limited; hence again by definition, someone has a monopoly over it. Acquiring it in sufficient quantity ab initio requires a priori assets that must be exchanged that is valuable to those who have this monopoly. For a State, this means hawking one's independence to the precious commodity supplier in perpetuity each time it wishes to expand its money supply beyond the reserves. Unless of course, by fiat, the State is able to confiscate that precious commodity from private ownership in the name of national security or national interest.

Discussion

Who owns or controls the precious commodity, in this case gold mining and gold quantitative reserve, the Gold Standard advocates always remain silent! That's because, inevitably, they are principally only fake opposition to the financial oligarchy's own proposal for Gold Standard. See the early history of the political shenanigans that led to the founding of the Federal Reserve System in the United States in 1913, whereby essentially the same proposal for privately controlling the issuance of the nation's money supply was made by the banking cartel from opposite sides in two formats, Republicans and Democrats. Each vehemently reviled the other's format in the press when they were essentially the same proposal with the same underlying core principles. Now see the World Bank's proposal for the new Gold Standard. Playing the same con-game again – and why change it when WWF wrestling style evidently works so well to make the gullible public mind!

2) Because the commodity is precious and limited – and even if initially acquired by whatever bootstrapping means to launch the standard, including hawking national sovereignty to bankers and institutional investors who already own a lot of gold, or confiscating gold from the public as was done by Roosevelt in 1934 – Gold cannot be
used to arbitrarily expand the money supply which it backs, in order to create extremely large infusions of cash.

Discussion

In post-agrarian and fully industrialized societies – such as the G-7 Western nations – setting up capital intensive mega-industries and mega-factories, and undertaking mega-infrastructure national projects, can take billions of dollars. Even for developing nations where development cost is invariably of the same order of magnitude as the West for similar projects, if a nation does not have the equivalent amount of the precious commodity fully backing its currency, she must either make recourse to fractional-reserve banking away from the full-reserve banking just by the practicalities of the matter, and therefore, incur its concomitant baggage of inflationary-tax once again. Or the poorer nations must put automatic and entirely artificial breaks on their national growth and national wealth creation, or otherwise become borrowers again to the same cartel of banksters! Unless of course one discovers an endless monopolistic benevolent supplier, like god planting it in one's backyard and giving one enough smarts and courage to not lease it out to snake-oil salesmen who will invariably come calling on F-16s spreading the black-ops cheer blithely claiming “Hegemony is as old as mankind”!

Both factors 1) and 2) are a major problem for any nation adopting a limited precious commodity as a standard. But it is an even greater problem if it is forcibly created as an International standard. Then it is especially an unfair standard imposed upon disadvantaged nations who do not have that commodity in abundance, just as it is an unfair advantage for those rich nations who do have an abundance of such commodity, or can acquire it.

Based on those two first-principles, I do not like any “precious commodity” based standard that is by definition, limited.

3) If it is not the limitedness of such a commodity, but its abundance,
and its equitable distribution among all nations can be ensured, then I have no problem whatsoever with such a commodity backed national or International monetary standard.

**Ron Paul's Gold Standard**

Since fairness, and not 'might makes right', is the quintessential first-principle touchstone axiom of any rational analysis, Congressman Ron Paul's Gold penchant suffers from both factors 1) and 2). And it also does not have 3) as a mitigating characteristic.

If a touchstone other than fairness is employed, such as how to fatten the gluttonous appetite of banksters, then surely the Gold Standard is a great plan-B in case the private central banks fiat money monopoly becomes too onerous for the sensibilities of the debt-laden strangu-lated public.

Apart from these three first-principle factors, the many theoretical advantages of a commodity based standard, as noted by Ron Paul, seem plausible. Specifically, a fully backed commodity based standard does not create an inflationary-tax upon the public when money supply is expanded within its fully-backed reserve limit. Beyond its reserve limi-t, fractional reserve mechanics kick-in to artificially inflate the money-supply which inherently creates the inflationary-tax. If one does not exceed the backing limit, money retains its value over long periods of time so long as the backing commodity retains its value. However, this necessitates the commodity be artificially protected from market capitalism, and its price not allowed to be subjected to market forces in order to retain its stability as a reliable and credible backing standard. The irony of protectionism being made the foundation of 'free-market capitalism' is surely lost to its enthusiastic advocates. But that's merely only of theoretical interest to purists, and of no practical significance to reality, since genuine free market capitalism also only exists in text books. No nation can survive its implementa-
tion, least of all, the developing and poor nations rich in natural resources surrounded by global predators forcing 'free-trade' down their throats to create banana republics for careful harvesting.

This price-fixing of gold bullion was the protectionist outcome of the Gold Equivalence Standard which President Roosevelt ushered into law in 1934, and which remained in force until 1971 when President Nixon abolished it. The Gold Equivalence Standard (GES) was not a fully backed standard, but a fractional reserve standard, and when other nations and institutional-agents demanded their gold in exchange for the dollar bill, the United States risked failure to deliver as its gold bullion reserves were not sufficient. It was the same principle as any vanilla run on banks by consumers when the banks' liquidity come into question. The GES was unilaterally abolished by the United States for fear of default when the gold reserves fell dangerously low when I believe France made its demand for gold.

In this GES, gold bullion that comprised it, was priced outside the pale of market forces, at a fixed value of about $35 an ounce. But more disturbingly, all gold from the American public was forcibly confiscated in 1934. The public was given approx. $18 an ounce for their life's savings, with the price being immediately revised upwards to almost twice that as the stable value of the new backing standard. This protectionist value of gold bullion was used in International money-supply transactions of the dollar which was setup as the default reserve currency of the world at Bretton Woods Conference post World War II, based on the currency of the unparalleled economic and military power of its main victor. Whereas the price of actual gold continually fluctuated on the open market across the world. Ownership of gold was also made illegal for American citizens (except for numismatists and jewelers). So large institutional multinational investors and banksters with foreign affiliations could easily purchase the gold bullion from the US Treasury in foreign names, but not United States citizens. All of American public's gold was thus made out as a gratuitous gift to the banksters by the fiat of a simple execut-
ive signature by President Roosevelt. His New Deal ushered in massive deficit spending of paper money. In his three terms in office he outspent all his predecessors combined.

There is no a priori reason to believe that the future of any new proposed Gold Standard to orchestrate another 'New Deal' – as the remedy for the next Great Depression which is already upon the world's doorsteps – does not already have a precedent-setting paved super highway to travel on. The banksters I am sure are massaging both their gullet and their stomach with joyous glee as plan-B is eagerly put before them as the public's own 'desired solution' for the economic and financial crises of the banksters' own crafty manufacturing!

Since Congressman Ron Paul does not address either 1) or 2), nor does he stipulate 3) as a mitigation, that is the Achilles' heel of his as Gold Standard mantra. Mises Institute has the same considerations.

As the banksters already have a monopoly on Gold – or can soon monopolize it because they can create, by fiat, all the cash they need simply out of thin air to purchase what they don't already own – the Honorable Republican Congressman from Texas, in his well meaning enthusiasm, but also his apparent profound inability to clearly think matters through down to their core axioms (see “Open Letter to Hon. Ron Paul Supporters October 29, 2008”), makes a great patsy in their globalist conniving hands as the plan-B enabler already in-place in Congress!

If the above three factors can be satisfactorily addressed, along with practicable implementation modalities which do not impose a draconian burden upon the public as was imposed by President Roosevelt in 1934, then there is nothing inherently wrong with the Gold Standard. Clearly, that is merely a tautological statement since those very innate characteristics of Gold as a limited and precious commodity, and its hoarding in monopolistic hands, is what's wrong with the Gold Standard.

In terms of the 5 initial touchstone questions laid out in "Towards a
Common Standard Benchmark for evaluating all Monetary Reform Proposals” [7], this is how Ron Paul's penchant for the Gold Standard stacks up. It is hoped that the Congressman will respond in order to clarify matters further if they are misperceived or in outright error.

a: Central Banking in private hands charges the public perpetual compound-interest for the public's own money. Nationalizing the money creation function solves this problem of 'money as public debt'.

Ron Paul's Gold Standard proposal, as did President Lincoln’s Greenback fiat money, addresses this, by eliminating all interest on the creation of money.

b: Private Central Bank is a legalized monopoly behavior that permits private banks to collude legally for 'price-fixing' the interest-rate. Thus it helps create the business cycle of expanding and contracting credit by modulating its availability in legal collusion – what I call the 'business rape cycle'. Bankers profit from this by buying up those businesses who can’t cut it, pennies to the dollar during the bust periods which dutifully follow upon the heels of boom financing periods. Nationalizing this function, by having the government manage the availability of credit, what Thomas Edison called “the proper ratio” for money supply – not too much to prevent wild speculation, and not too little to prevent stagnation – goes to a large extent in managing this 'price-fixing' of interest-rate and availability of credit in the greater common good of the public, rather than the banking cartel's now represented by the Federal Reserve System. But government management does not entirely eliminate this aspect – because the private banks can still secretly col-
lude in managing credit availability as they have always done, since they have the same powerful handful of owners at the top!

How does Ron Paul address “the proper ratio” [8] and its implementation for managing the money supply?

c: A Central Bank’s arbitrary money creation by fiat – whether the CB is public or private doesn’t matter, and which is what Greenbacks were – creates an inflationary tax. Nothing new here.

There is no inflationary-tax in a fully backed Gold Standard as proposed by Ron Paul.

This tax will exist however, if the GS mutates into a fractional GES and the money supply exceeds the backing gold reserves. This will happen by default as a matter of practicality, because supply of gold will always be limited in relation to the demand for capital in modern industrialized nations. Therefore, a full proposal needs to be made by the Gold Standard advocates which can be scrutinized in the light of these real-world constraints. Perhaps this is why not a single advocate of the GS fully addresses this specific point. Nor do the address where the Gold will come from to create the International reserves, and items 1) 2) and 3) noted in the analysis of the Gold Standard above. See the next item.

d: In modern industrialized societies where the demand for capit-
al can be enormous, far more than was prevalent in agrarian or early-developing industrialized societies, as Richard Cook, the former U.S. Treasury Department employee and now a monetary reformer, argues, how can this natural inflationary tax be avoided in such a fiat money system? In the time of the Greenbacks during the Civil War, while Lincoln printed around 400 million dollars, or some say 500, that is nothing compared to what is needed today in the capital intensive public and private mega-projects. For instance, simply to set up a class-1 semiconductor fab takes a billion+ dollars.

How does Ron Paul's Gold Standard address this large demand for mega-capital that would not also pose an inflationary tax? Where does Ron Paul propose to get all this gold from to fully back his currency? As he has himself noted, he was the member of the Gold Commission in the 1980s, and he could not even at that time say how much of the American public's gold was left in the secure vaults of Fort Knox – the speculation being that whatever remained after Nixon abandoned the GES in 1971, was subsequently sold off to private institutional investors by the United States Treasury, and only empty vaults today are being guarded in great pretense to hide the legal heist of what most might believe was a national asset. So many questions – and no answers by the Gold advocates. Who designs a system, or advocates it, under such conditions of ambiguous and incomplete comprehension? Perhaps there is indeed a secret plan to restock Fort Knox to the ceiling with lead-gold? If that's the case, do make sure there is a bathtub handy and every
shiny brick first takes a dip in it with someone reliable present to record each result!

e: Banking itself, indeed, all of International banking, is based on fractional reserve lending. This is the real source of any banker’s continuous and perpetual wealth creation, and hence the source of their unmatched and unrivaled power.

Ron Paul's Gold Standard is fully backed at the time of money creation as a national public commons.

However, it is not clear how he addresses fractional lending at the institutional banking level as individual loans and deposits are made by borrowers.

Can Ron Paul show a practicable method, and not merely in platitudes, whereby the private capitalist banks of the world – owned by powerful trillionaires who also own the majority of lawmakers of the world not to mention in the United States Congress as just witnessed by the passage of the trillion dollar banksters bailout bill in October 2008 despite all the public opposition – can be made to agree to have a fractional reserve lending ratio of 1?

The entrenched forces of private banking are pulling in the opposite direction – towards global private central banking. How does Congressman Ron Paul along with his Gold Standard buddies plan to counter that powerful force in any efficacious measure?
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Footnotes


Audio-only of talk after the book's release, November 18, 1994 in Los Angeles CA, 71 minutes: http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-8484911570371055528

Video interview which explains the Fed, 'What is the Federal Reserve System', 42 minutes: http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=6507136891691870450


On the US Treasury department website, there is a service called: http://www.publicdebt.treas.gov/

Its job, as stated,

“You haven't heard of the Bureau of the Public Debt before? We're a small agency within the Department of the Treasury. Our customers are your neighbors, co-workers, and most likely you, too. You're our customer if you've ever bought any type of Treasury security for yourself or, as millions have done in the case of savings bonds, as a gift for someone else.

Our job is to borrow the money needed to operate the federal government and to account for the resulting debt. In a nutshell, we borrow by selling Treasury bills, notes, and bonds, as well as U.S. Savings Bonds; we pay interest to investors; and, when the
time comes to pay back the loans, we redeem investors' securities. Every time we borrow or pay back money, it affects the outstanding debt of the United States.”

The second paragraph is revealing as it is quintessentially axiomatic:

“Our job is to borrow the money needed to operate the federal government and to account for the resulting debt.”

End Quote

Why does that job even exist? There is a presupposition, an axiom based on the a priori supposition of debt, and which remains unexamined --- which is why that statement is axiomatic. The entrenched forces which make that job axiomatic are neither understood nor its insidious power appreciated by monetary reform advocates who seem to think that these forces will magically just disappear to enable “reform”. Which is why I have come to realize that monetary reform is in fact a social engineering scam designed by the oligarchy to keep activists occupied and chattering away in futility. The knowledge of the subversion itself is harmless since nothing can be done with that knowledge in any practical way. The risk analysis in game theory scenario analysis would indicate that permitting this discourse to exist in rebel hands is in fact useful to empire.

Begin Quote

On the same home page, is also this axiomatic quote from Alexander Hamilton, the father of private central banking in the newly independent former colonies, the Bank of North America, followed by the First Bank of the United States when he was the Secretary of the Treasury:

“The United States debt, foreign and domestic, was the price of liberty.”
So – the coupling between the federal government's Treasury Department, and private central banking, is as old as America. It is irrefutably captured in that quote of Hamilton. Despite the occasional bouts of heroism by presidents like Andrew Jackson: “You are a den of vipers. I intend to rout you out, and by God, I will rout you out.”, and after killing the Second Bank of the United States, “I killed the bank”, for the brief respite of 77 years until 1913, it has become such an axiom that today, generations later, a federal government department is created to monitor the size of that debt.

End Quote


[8] For Reference to Thomas Edison's wisdom on monetary system and the “proper ratio” for money supply, see its coverage in the NYT of December 6, 1921, headlined: “FORD SEES WEALTH IN MUSCLE SHOALS” http://query.nytimes.com/gst/abstract.html?res=9C04E0D7103EEE3ABC4E53DFB467838A639EDE

The fundamental question that is always ignored by the great monetary reformers as well as the establishment economists, and which is treated as a sacred cow of Western capitalism by both camps never to even be examined, and by this omission and presupposition for all further discourse one can tell that all of them work for the same masters, is who controls this “proper ratio”?

The private bankster cabal unanswerable to the public who increase and decrease the money supply at will for their own private agendas,
creating the boom-bust cycles which economics majors are taught as the “natural business cycles”, or the government?


Well, the Hamiltonian capitalism of national and international debt to the bankster cabal won out by chicanery and cunning once again in 1913, under the bankser's own stooge president Woodrow Wilson with his signing of the Federal Reserve Act. That chicanery is detailed in Eustace Mullins “Secrets of the Federal Reserve”, and G. Edward Griffin's “The Creature from Jekyll Island”. What does the public say today? Who will bring that discussion out into the public arena for revisitation, remove truth's protective layers by separating out the many obfuscating issues deliberately put on the matter as Edison and Ford diligently unpeeled in their New York Times article, and cause the debate to be voted upon by public adjudication? Not today in the USA! This bankster oligarchy is now moving to control the entire planet's money supply under the rubric of Global Governance. What reform? The biggest red herring second only to the “global war on terror” and all the rest of the hegelian mind-fcks dished out to the world!
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G7 sets sights on “new world economic order”

G7 sets sights on 'new world economic order', Roland Lloyd Parry, Agence France-Presse, Saturday, February 14 2009, 11:36 am ET

'ROME (AFP) – The world's richest nations called Saturday for urgent reform of global finance to save the world from the economic devastation that is dragging more and more countries into recession. Italy's Finance Minister called for a “new world economic order” as he wrapped up the crisis meeting of finance leaders from the Group of Seven leading economies over which he presided here. In a joint declaration, the G7 called for "urgent reforms" of the
international financial system. ... “A new world economic order might seem rhetorical," he told reporters. "But it is a true goal we should be aiming towards... today right here in Rome we've embarked on a very significant journey, both technical and ethical.” ... The global crisis "has highlighted fundamental weaknesses in the international financial system and that urgent reforms are needed," the statement said. US Treasury Secretary Timothy Geithner vowed that his country, the biggest economy in the world and the source of much of the financial drama in recent months, would work with other nations for a consensus on reforms. “We need to begin the process of comprehensive reform of our financial system and the international financial system, so the world never again faces a crisis this severe,” Geithner said after the talks. Dominique Strauss-Kahn, head of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) -- the body coming to the rescue of some crisis-hit countries -- said restructuring banks damaged by the credit crunch was the main problem facing governments. ... The document hailed stimulus actions taken by other countries, singling out China which it also praised for its “continued commitment to move to a more flexible exchange rate.”' [1]

The New World Economic Order as the fundamental building block of a despotic world government is really pretty much a fait accompli. Being an ostrich doesn't really serve any function because arsonists have taken over all the fire brigades in town, and in every town and city. The hyperinflation of the dollar is an irreversible done deal. The following Glenn Beck video [2] from FOX News graphically shows the official United States debt, which is the same as the amount of U.S. currency in existence. See it by each decade from 1900 to 2006
This isn't even the tip of the iceberg however of what has deliberately been orchestrated by the financial houses in New York. The quadrillion+ dollar (1000 trillion dollars) derivatives paper-debt that nobody can exactly quantify nor fully comprehend – a mirage, a contrived and manufactured financial gibberish paper con-game calculatingly instrumented by the very arsonists who are now clamoring to lead the fire-brigade to save the world – is what is being referred to here:

“We need to begin the process of comprehensive reform of our financial system and the international financial system, so the world never again faces a crisis this severe”.

And their solution is:

“A new world economic order”.

Meanwhile, the arsonists are crashing the dollar in the guise of fighting that lighted fire by the various open-ended deficit spending Bills in trillions, as the new mantra of “stimulus”. This includes payout of billions for overpriced bank bailouts – up to 10 and 20 times the asset value – in the name of shoring up the equity side of the equation since, as the financiers argue, nothing may now be done about the astronomical liability side of the equation incurred by the derivatives bubble. How convenient! See The Bank Swindle in Perspective [4] in order to appreciate the complex looting of the public treasury in legal guise, sanctioned by the mighty United States Congress, and then forced across the world as the only solution to the financial crisis. To acutely comprehend why the “stimulus” is just another mantra, a Rand/CFR joint production like the “WMD in Iraq” and “Al Qaeda” mantras, see “Mr. Obama's New Deal”. [5] As Captain Rhett Butler had shrewdly observed in Margaret Mitchell's famous novel:

“Oh, yes! What most people don't seem to realize is that there is just as much money to be made out of the wreckage of a civilization as from the upbuilding of
one.” --- Captain Rhett Butler, Gone With The Wind

Soon the arsonists will go back to Congress and lament:

'oops!, the stimulus didn't work; sorry, the dollar is now worthless, we are in the middle of the worst depression known to mankind, there are riots everywhere, food shortages everywhere, global warming is gonna cause floods, Osama bin Laden has unleashed a bio-terror nuclear attack; so we need to create a new economic union, a new full spectrum mutual defense union, and a new world order Constitution for the American continent to protect our peoples and address the global threats'.

That baby-step solution for the collapsed dollar will be the North American Union under a common central bank. It has been under subversive instrumentation as part of the Trilateralists' agenda for as long as the European Union, and will be just as rapidly deployed with an iron fist despite similar popular public opposition, because, “World government could only be kept in being by force”. Understand Glenn Beck's and Bill O'Reilly's mocking-chutzpah and facile double entendres displayed in the video clip in “Why Not Be An Ostrich”. [6]

And the most fruitful realization of Captain Rhett Butler's swashbuckling truism is yet to come, the profiting “from the upbuilding” of civilization: the new Gold Standard which will be pitched with Congressman Ron Paul's help as the panacea demanded by the peoples. Since all the world's gold bullion supply, and the world's gold mines, are already under monopoly control of the same arsonists, no problem.

In the New Economic World Order, with global central banks – themselves controlled from behind the scenes by the same handful of private family banks owned by the Rothschilds, the Rockefellers – managing the world's merged global monetary system as well as all the world's political governments in a global governance architecture, it won't be a problem returning to the Gold Standard.
All the fine gold will have to be purchased from the same private international banksters in order to back the trillions in new common currency issued for transacting the entire world's commerce. How convenient once again!

The new monetary system will be happily made inflation averse amidst cheers from the foolish goyem of the world. The new gold based standard after all, does have to protect the enormous wealth of the private banksters from inflationary-erosion in a largely serf-world of the New World Order, wherein, the only real asset owners are the banks. The new financial empire also has to be stable enough to last a millennium! Can't have inflation eroding away all its loot as it did moms and pops meager assets and life savings in the twentieth century. Why indeed the Gold Standard will now make perfect sense for the banksters and the handful of real wealth owners of the world, was so passionately explained over a hundred years ago by William Jennings Bryan, [7] that it is best refreshed in the goy's mind directly from the horse's mouth. The contorted dialectical brilliance of the devilish banksters is truly unsurpassed!

When my friends routinely inform me in pious excuse for their own voluntary servitude: “god is running the world”, and I retort back: “seems like it's the devil who is”, they think I am heretical. I say, poor poor god, the most blamed scapegoat ever! And what an amazing coup d'état of the devil: it puts mankind in eternal bondage in every new generation, and calmly cements the voluntary servitude of its hope-dwellers with fantastic divine dogmas of karma, fate, destiny, and prophecy! None rise too frequently to overthrow the tyrants! But sometimes, they do!

The solution to the international banksters 'servicing' their 'dumb goy' is so straightforward even today that if any political leaders really wanted to take genuine salvaging action – if the fire brigades across the world weren't already staffed with arsonists – they could so trivially do it with a mere signature that there will be no further updates to the Monetary Reform Bibliography. [8]
It now appears rather pointless to repeatedly flog a dead horse with each new proclamation from the pulpits and the ditches. Many a 'psychohistorian' has already foreseen the not so un-subtle future and already laid it all out. Instead, perhaps it is time to dust out Henry David Thoreau and nail the only dreaded wrinkle in the final 'Hari Seldon' plan for a millenium of servitude.

Footnotes

[3] Van K. Tharp, 2006, Pg. 158, Figure 6.1 http://books.google.com/books?id=Q6XnsJRb7QWC&pg=PA158&lpg=PA157&ots=T60ZNJrqr
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News Analysis Published in the Monetary Reform Bibliography on Sunday, February 15, 2009 at 02:26 am
Letter to Prominent Monetary Reformers Bill Still, G. Edward Griffin, Ellen Hodgson Brown

Subject: World Bank chief surprises with gold standard idea
Date: Tue, Nov 9, 2010 at 4:54 PM
From: Zahir Ebrahim, Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Dear Bill Still, G. Edward Griffin, Ellen Brown,

You have no doubt heard the news noted in the subject line [1], and it...
was rather disingenuously couched as “surprise”. It wasn't surprise to me as I had already predicted it two years ago. Please see pages 6 and 7 in the updated letter to Bill Still, PDF is attached for your convenience. [2]

One thing that should be obvious to all rational peoples is that the banksters only make proposals and seek solutions which are in their own elite's best interests. Therefore, it follows that these proposals can't be in the interest of the ordinary peoples. Therefore, to support the banksters' proposal is to side with them. Therefore, if the banksters now want the Gold Standard back, it must not be good for the people.

Therefore, this is an opportunity to make deep alliances among the small community of monetary reform exponents who push different solutions. The way to make these alliances is to rather than speak in monologues, as I seem to be doing, and rather than to make videos and write books in the hope that people will automatically agree with one, that we need to construct dialog, first and foremost amongst ourselves.

I am an ordinary unknown person, not an elite, and as an ordinary plebe taking a moral public stand on important issues which concern us all, I feel a need to construct a communication bridge among the experts who seem to be very energetically talking past each other and not listening to the common man – exactly as the elite whom they are out to reform, do!

The Gold Standard is a specious argument. I can convince anyone of it who is honest and not politically inclined. Fiat money is not always bad – depends on who controls its issue and its quantity, just like Bill Still says. I can convince anyone of that too – and with far more logic than either Ben Bernanke or Paul Krugman, fellow co-alums of MIT, can bring to bear. I may not understand what the experts claim they know, but I have discovered that most mainstream experts are full of it. Here is a letter to MIT Professor and former IMF Chief Economist,
Simon Johnson – if you can find a flaw in my argument, I hope you will deconstruct it for me as my teachers:


And yet, I cannot seem to be able to convince any expert in the discontent-space that their own arguments for reform are merely platitudes, as old as hegemony, and as old as mankind. To make their arguments of reform is to merely speak in platitudes. It is the implementation strategies which are lacking in most of the talk by monetary reform experts. The HOW. This is fleshed out in my letter to Bill Still whose PDF is attached.

I am convinced if we can't convince the three distinguished persons that I am humbly writing to, to all come to a common page of overarching understanding of all pertinent issues at a very deep level, deeper than is visible in either Bill's documentary which is entirely platitudinous, and in Ellen Brown's and Griffin's writings which entirely ignore the battle with entrenched power and its vile incantations, that we might as well throw in the towel. The closest I think anyone has come to the HOW is Mr. Griffin. He has made a very insightful observation: if you can't beat city hall, become city hall. That is a very long term multi-generational solution-space and there is no time for it.

The cusp of transformation can cut both ways. Where our antagonists see their opportunities in crises to promote their enslaving solutions, we too have an opportunity to create alliances to think of Archimedes levers to counter the steam engine of full spectrum dominance of humanity that is under construction.

There is a lot in common between all monetary reformers. What is uncommon needs to be coherently, and not emotionally, analyzed and non-superficial alliances constructed. I loved the scene in Bill's documentary where it references Oz and how all the mice got together to carry the lion out. Ellen Brown has a clip in that video explaining it
beautifully, and I argue that let such coming together begin at home before you can dream of going out in larger society of mice!

Thank you in advance for your time. You have all been my teachers and I am grateful for your work. Unless we go the next step, we are playing a stuck needle on a 77.

Best wishes,

Zahir Ebrahim | Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

California

Footnotes

[1] The Reuter's headline of Nov. 08, 2010 reads: World Bank chief surprises with gold standard idea

http://uk.reuters.com/article/idUKTRE6A70D7201011108
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Letter to Bill Still – Director of The Secret of Oz – How?

Subject: Thanks for the excellent documentaries
Date: Tuesday, Oct 5, 2010
From: Zahir Ebrahim, Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Dear Mr. Still,

I am writing this thank you note with a question that I would like to put before you.

But first, please permit me to say that I owe you an immense debt of gratitude for getting me on to the trail of the nature of money. Your
Money Masters documentary was among the many stepping stones for me for comprehending something I never learnt in the macro economics class at MIT (where I majored in EECS), nor from any dissent classes on US foreign policy that I took with Noam Chomsky almost three decades ago, and nor in any of the papers I have read from Ben Bernanke and Paul Krugman, both alums of MIT. I have since invited others to watch your revealing documentary with their own families. And yesterday I came across your new video and watched for about 30 minutes. Haven't got to the interesting part of OZ yet...

My humble question to monetary reform advocates continues to remain about the HOW of the reform, and not the WHY or the WHAT. That question was once again put to the American Monetary Institute's Mr. Zarlenga for his 2010 conference and I quote the relevant passages from it putting the same question to you:

The HOW to pursue reform given the all pervasive oligarchic rule with its unstoppable impetus for world government – a rule which hides behind the mask of elected peoples' representatives who in turn afford it legal cover, permit it to hide its vast wealth in tax-exempt foundations with which it formulates and enacts into law its preferred policies, underwrites social and scientific research in its preferred direction, and molds public opinion with its vast ownership of the news media and the myth-making infotainment industry – is the core unanswered reform challenge for plebeians rising to disaffirm and disarm the oligarchic rule.

Without the pursuit of the HOW in the face of these ground realities, the monetary reform agenda will continue to remain stillborn, a platitudinous run on the treadmill of inefficacy, just as it has been for the past 100 years.

These oligarchs are not about to roll-over and play dead while their power to play god is taken away by their own errand boys in Congress. And there is no “Jesus” today who can cleanse the House of the influence of the Money Changers. The bullet to JFK's head, inter alia
for his Executive Order EO 11110*, sent a message loud and clear.

What activity we see in Congress from the likes of Dr. Ron Paul, [1] I have come to believe is at best a “Limited Hangout”. [2] And by way of analysis, I have independently reached exactly the same conclusion on the Gold Standard/any commodity backed standard as you. The warning in your video is so timely that it inspired me to compose a re-visit to this issue with my prior writings which also features your video:


I hope you can provide some insights into the implementation of good things when the system is entirely run by way of deception on behalf of powers so powerful today that really, even a Jesus may be stumped how to throw them out except through a revolution. We are hurtling down the yellow brick road of perpetual servitude, not accidentally, not by happenstance, but calculated to culminate in Global Governance, [3] at immense speed. This report indicates it might get cemented by 2025:


As an additional realitycheck on the immense forces of the financial oligarchs that must be overturned in order to have any genuine monetary reform, I draw your kind attention to the outstanding exposition by Thomas Edison that was reported in the New York Times in its special edition of December 6, 1921. I am sure you are already familiar with it, but please permit me to quote the headlines and the principal argument which remains unsurpassed in its logic (and which supports your Jeffersonian concept of reform): “FORD SEES WEALTH IN MUSCLE SHOALS; Says Development Will Bring Great Prosperity to That Section of the South. EDISON BACKS HIM UP He Will Urge Congress to Lease It to Ford as the Logical Man to Carry Out Great Project. SUPPORTS CURRENCY PLAN Old Way, He Asserts, Compels Us to Add to the Public Debt to Increase the National
"But here is the point: If our nation can issue a dollar bond, it can issue a dollar bill. The element that makes the bond good makes the bill good, also. The difference between the bond and the bill is that the bond lets the money brokers collect twice the amount of the bond and an additional 20 per cent., whereas the currency pays nobody but those who directly contribute to Muscle Shoals in some useful way. ... It is absurd to say that our country can issue $30,000,000 in bonds and not $30,000,000 in currency. Both are promises to pay; but one promise fattens the usurers, and the other helps the people. If the currency issued by the Government were no good, then the bonds issued would be no good either. It is a terrible situation when the Government, to increase national wealth, must go into debt, and submit to ruinous interest at the hands of men ... Look at it another way. If the Government issues the bonds, the brokers will sell them. The bonds will be negotiable; they will be considered as gilt-edged paper. Why? Because the Government is behind them, but what is behind the Government? The people. Therefore it is the people who constitute the basis of Government credit. Why then cannot the people have the benefit of their own gilt-edged credit by receiving non-interest bearing currency on Muscle Shoals, instead of bankers receiving the benefit of the people's credit in interest-bearing bonds? The people must pay any way; why should they be compelled to pay twice, as the bond system compels them to pay? The people of the United States always
accept their Government's currency. If the United States Government will adopt this policy of increasing its national wealth without contributing to the interest collector – for the whole national debt is made up of interest charges – then you will see an era of progress and prosperity in this country such as could never have come otherwise.' --- NYT, December 6, 1921

And I humbly invite reflection on the practical fact of the matter that: when Thomas Edison with his rational eloquence and global prestige, combined with the industrial muscle and name of Henry Ford – America's two greatest real wealth creators who were also admired by the American public of the time – could not politically out-wit the banker oligarchy in those very early days when the power of the Fed was still in its infancy; when the financial oligarchy's control of the narrative, the media, and the academe had not become as absolute as it is today; when public debate was still so open that even the New York Times would deem it fit to print; etc., whether it is so pragmatic to bank on the good sense and bold courage of the American legislature when we have just seen the entire Representative System of America buckle under the threat of Martial Law as recently as October 2008 [4] despite overwhelming support from the public to reject the banker bailout Bill? [5]

With best regards,

Zahir Ebrahim

Footnotes

* As some researchers suggest. I added this perspective footnote after writing this letter to Bill Still since he noted in one of his correspondence replies that for him it was inaccurate to link JFK's murder to the EO 11110. I told him that to dwell on that specific
narrow point would be a red herring for my question, and that if he disagreed, he should simply ignore that point. I would like to elaborate in this footnote on my take on EO 11110 for the benefit of the reader. G. Edward Griffin too has elsewhere given his opinion on EO 11110 and holds the view that an examination of its wording does not indicate any challenge to the central bank of the United States or to the financial oligarchy. I have examined it.

As with anything else related to JFK's murder, it is hard to prove or disprove any specific reason for his killing due to the “plausible deniability” [6] doctrine of NSC 10/2.

Furthermore, the administrative language of statecraft minimally requires an insider's working knowledge to fully parse what things really mean. Words of statecraft don't necessarily mean what lay persons think they might mean. And, under the cloak of deception, as in Machiavellian statecraft, they even have layered meanings which only the concerned participants accurately comprehend. Sort of like steganography. See for instance excerpts from Col. Fletcher Prouty's Secret Team in the Mighty Wurlitzer [7] for an example of calculated deception. The verbiage of EO 11110 remain incomprehensible to lay persons who do not have the larger context despite the superfluity of their “informed” opinions. Thus I personally remain agnostic on it.

Secondly, Fletcher Prouty showed in The Guns of Dallas [8] that unarguably, as gleaned from all the omissions, stand-down orders must have been forced upon the president's Protection Team. Its similarities to the stand-down of the American military on 9/11 is uncanny. Prouty correctly asked who had that kind of power over the Secret Service to overrule their standard operating practices?

Lastly, James Douglass in COPA 2009 itemized several unforgivable sins against the elite from his book JFK and the Unspeakable, quoting JFK as saying that he might get three “Bay of pigs” strikes before he might be put-down by a coup. Douglass counted many more!

A disobedient American president simply can't survive his own war-
mongering national security state – which is why, the overall system of governance in America always goes along with the oligarchic agendas and the nation goes from wars to wars every decade. The power they wield upon all branches of the Federal, State, and Local governments simply cannot be resisted by its officers today anymore than it could be resisted by President Woodrow Wilson a hundred years ago when he approved the Fed Reserve Act in 1913. It is easier to be co-opted than to resist. It's permitted to write it all in one's memoir ex post facto of course!

To pretend that any new crop of green recruits into Congress will magically turn against the very golem upon whose goodwill they survive in the first place is simply being Pollyanish. And to hypothesize that an uncompromising murderous Savior like Old Hickory Jackson will magically turn up and who, while eliminating all the new 'untermenschen' from this land, will also "kill the bank", well, I come from the land where most everyone is awaiting some savior. But we don't look forward to mass murderers bailing us out! Bill Still's pertinent response to my HOW question is reproduced below.

It is evident that Bill Still has no HOW solution. Which unfortunately also means he has no solution to offer but platitudes.

As for his particular WHAT solution, of the government taking over the issuing of debt-free fiat money like President Lincoln did, I am solution agnostic at this point unless it is severely flawed, and Bill's concept isn't. In fact, theoretically it is very sound. Its weakness is in that it relies on careful and watchful implementation – any great idea can be crippled by poor implementation. On the other hand, the gold standard idea suffers from mainly one core-flaw, and if that is eliminated, it can also be made to work. That flaw is that most of the world's gold bullion, and its gold mines, and its precious and semi-precious minerals, are all owned by the same golem. Those pitching the gold standard must also condition their proposal to the nationalization of private gold bullion reserves and private gold mines.
and putting them in trust under the government which will issue the currency that it will back.

Without such nationalization of the gold reserves, the gold standard is a solution deceptively proposed by the banksters’ own agents, assets, and sayanim. Beware. Finally, without eliminating fractional reserve lending and forcing that ratio to be unity, the problem of inflation has really not been solved.


Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/10/letter-to-billstill-secret-of-oz-how.html

First Published October 5, 2010
Bill Still's pertinent reply, Tue., Oct 5, 2010

“\textit{I have dealt with it. I believe the American voting electorate will fulfill their historic destiny to break the back of this plutocracy by electing representatives who will do the right thing, just as they did in Jackson's era. No where else in history has any nation even come close to an effective opposition. I have offered my own plan -- real legislation. I have offered slightly different plans of others, acknowledging -- unlike others in my field -- that I am not omniscient.}”

Followup Letter to Bill Still on Secret of Oz

\textbf{Subject:} Re: Thanks for the excellent documentaries

\textbf{Cc:} Ellen H Brown, G. Edward Griffin

\textbf{Date:} Sat, November 6, 2010 at 12:08 AM | Re-sent November 09, 2010 with update

\textbf{From:} Zahir Ebrahim, Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Hello Bill,

I finally found the time this evening to finish watching the last hour and half of your excellent documentary. Congratulations for an out-
standing and persuasive narrative. I noticed in the credits that perhaps many members of your family were listed – same last name. Thank you all very much.

For one thing, you have completely addressed and sensibly demolished all the concerns listed by G. Edward Griffin for your Money Masters documentary here (some of these were rather specious IMO to begin with and that had rather surprised me coming from a learned scholar like Mr. Griffin):

- http://www.freedom-force.org/freedomcontent.cfm?
  fuseaction=meetstill&refpage=issues

I am not sure why Messrs. Ron Paul/Griffin/Mises et. al., are hung up on the Austrian economics and Gold standard today (as opposed to it arguably being a solution in the past when not all gold was under the monopoly control of the same cartel and the state could possibly nationalize the gold mines and bullion – not possible today!) when commonsense alone leads one to the conclusion you have reached in both your documentaries.

On top of it, my own independent and impartial research has led me to the same comprehension even though economics and money matters were never my interest before. As I wrote in my Monetary Reform Bibliography Introduction, 'Economics and Money aren't supposed to be as abstruse as it is made out to be, and nor does it take a Ph.D. from M.I.T. to realize that one is being taken for a sodomized ride on the Capricorn of economics gibberish':


The banksters who today own all the gold bullion and the gold mines will once again, through different ruses, try to return to the gold standard. As already analytically predicted in the News Epilogue in the aforementioned Monetary Reform Bibliography on February 15, 2009:
'And the most fruitful realization of Captain Rhett Butler's swashbuckling truism is yet to come, the profiting “from the upbuilding” of civilization: the new Gold Standard which will be pitched with Congressman Ron Paul's help as the panacea demanded by the peoples. Since all the world's gold bullion supply, and the world's gold mines, are already under monopoly control of the same arsonists, no problem.

In the New Economic World Order, with global central banks – themselves controlled from behind the scenes by the same handful of private family banks owned by the Rothschilds, the Rockefellers – managing the world's merged global monetary system as well as all the world's political governments in a global governance architecture, it won't be a problem returning to the Gold Standard. All the fine gold will have to be purchased from the same private international banksters in order to back the trillions in new common currency issued for transacting the entire world's commerce. How convenient once again!

The new monetary system will be happily made inflation averse amidst cheers from the foolish goyem of the world. The new gold based standard after all, does have to protect the enormous wealth of the private banksters from inflationary-erosion in a largely serf-world of the New World Order, wherein, the only real asset owners are the banks. The new financial empire also has to be stable enough to last a millennium! Can't have inflation eroding away all its loot as it did moms and pops meager assets and life savings in the twentieth century. Why indeed the Gold Standard will now make perfect sense for the banksters and the handful of real wealth owners of the world, was so
passionately explained over a hundred years ago by William Jennings Bryan, that it is best refreshed in the goy's mind directly from the horse's mouth. The contorted dialectical brilliance of the devilish banksters is truly unsurpassed!

And right on cue, the banksters' have now begun to do just that. The Reuter's headline of Nov. 08, 2010 reads: World Bank chief surprises with gold standard idea

● http://uk.reuters.com/article/idUKTRE6A70D720101108 (cached PDF)

I did some informal survey of their ownership a while back and found that the Rothschilds control, through interlocking proxy corporate control extending from Barrick to many mining companies, not just most of the gold bullion and gold mines on earth, but also almost all precious metal mines on earth as well! All the world's mines are now privatized under a consolidated loci of control. Principally, you are absolutely correct that there is no reason to have a physical commodity back a national currency. As you rightly quoted Edison: “If our nation can issue a dollar bond, it can issue a dollar bill. The element that makes the bond good makes the bill good, also”. Edison further went on to explain that the real science in controlling inflation was to have just the right amount in circulation, not too little as to choke commerce and cause contraction, and not too much so as to cause inflation. I quote Edison at length from his Muscle Shoals article in the New York Times and I make a similar case as you have made here:


I principally stand behind, as well as in front of, my humble analysis which is entirely objective as I only came to this subject seeking truth and nothing more, and therefore, I fully endorse your documentary without hesitation Bill (albeit I am not sure that I would quite agree
with all points of detail and all interpretation of history but that's besides the main point of your documentary).

Your video is featured on my website as well. I will try to purchase a few copies to distribute to friends and organizations, for my kids' school and also to donate to the local public library, funds permitting. I would very much like to support you in any way I can. Please suggest to me how – for whatever little I can do, I would be happy to do so. You have very astutely, and of course quite correctly, positioned the Monetary reform cause as a Human Rights issue, a Civil Rights issue. And a survival of the species issue if I may be permitted to add to that! I only wish that alliances can be formed with other monetary reformers who push the gold standard and other silly solutions with clearly specious reasoning. I lump Mr. Ron Paul in this category. I am only confused about G. Edward Griffin, for he ought to know better. I invite open interlocution and public debate on this subject – let the public be informed by principal antagonists debating each other rationally, unemotionally, and without disparagement. So please notice the CC.

The last remaining question for me to figure out is still the same one however as I inquired in my first letter to you – the HOW. And that is something I am spending a lot of my time on. The world government impetus is driving all global events, including the banking crises in Iceland which I have followed closely. It was not happenstance as you will surely agree, but a diabolical conspiracy to precisely precipitate the crises for which the solution they would present to solve that crises was already sitting in their top drawers, the proposal to join the EU. That was the main reason why that central bank of Iceland was privatized! It is also the same reason why the global financial crises has been deliberately precipitated – it is not quite as you suggest in your documentary that it is out of control of the bankers themselves. The banksters know exactly what they are doing. But that is irrelevant to this letter.

There is another bankster's ruse in the works which you might of
course be aware of already – nationalizing the Fed. Dr. Ron Paul is big on that, and it has the same catch as the nationalizing of Bank of England. The controlling interests will remain the same – so it will just be a cosmetic cover to throw crumbs at the crowd demanding blood, if it ever came to that! You did not address this dimension as yet another red herring to watch out for in your documentary. Incidentally, Mr. G. Edward Griffin did an outstanding documentary in 1970 which you may have seen, The Capitalist Conspiracy, based on Carroll Quigley's Tragedy and Hope. The recipe Mr. Griffin outlined in that film over 40 years ago is not only self-evident today, but is entirely empirical throughout modern history, and especially since the banking oligarchy started orchestrating global events. If interested, I have dedicated a detailed essay exploring the subject here:


The HOW problem cannot be underestimated Bill. It transcends the solution spaces you (and Ellen Brown, as well as many others) have outlined, from state owned banks, to people's power, to voting the right leaders into office, to federalizing the issue of money, et. al. The banksters are “that” close to completing their control of earth and its peoples; this is also the reason I suspect why they permitted the publication of Tragedy and Hope. I may hazard the guess that it is perhaps also the reason why your revealing documentary even exists today – for they do not care who learns what anymore!! Knowledge does not translate into action or activism. Neither does conscience. I don't know what motivates people in large numbers anymore, albeit I used to think that I did. But empiricism suggests neither of those two aspects are the prime movers. Besides, all people mobilization functions which used to exist in Western society, like America's very powerful labor unions for instance, grass-roots political parties, etc., have all been eliminated. Now people power is at best only mob power and the 3rd Infantry Division’s 1st Brigade Combat Team is already stationed just outside of Mainstreet USA to deal with that
after the calculated repeal of the Posse Comitatus Act:


It is all linked in an interconnected chain and monetary reform, while being the principal lever to break that chain as you rightly suggest, is very closely guarded by the golem to prevent it from happening. That begs the pragmatic question HOW!

My best regards to you, and again thank you for being a terrific public teacher,

Sincerely,

Zahir Ebrahim

Source URL PDF:

First Published November 6, 2010
Chapter 50

Monetary Reform
Begging The Same Question
Again and Again and Again

Refocussing Monetary Reform
Agenda to the HOW

Abstract

The implementation of real Monetary Reform in the presence of the banksters who will protect their turf by hook or by crook, is the real problem, the HOW, not WHAT solution we need, or WHY we need it. Yet no one wants to address that real hard issue, let alone strategically plan a solution given the understanding of who the enemy really is: the money trust. It controls politicians, foundations, think-tanks, universities, presses, big businesses, Wall Street, financial institutions, and supra-national organizations like...
the World Bank, IMF, BIS, and the UN. Under these circumstances, it is permissible to talk all you want about “WHAT” and “WHY”, but not HOW, because everyone already knows in the darkest recesses of their heart and mind that Monetary Reform which goes against the will of the money trust is impossible. So, let's just play pretend and have some vigorous debates within the confines of the WHAT and the WHY to show the world how Western Democracy crafts its policies (sic!). This bullshit stops right here. The fact is that without killing the money trust first, no monetary reform is possible! That is predicated upon national sovereignty be put right back into international affairs --- a lost cause in the face of the insurmountable drive towards world government by supra-national organizations of the oligarchy.

From: Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
To: American Monetary Institute [ http://www.monetary.org/ ]
Date: August 24, 2010
Subject: Refocussing Monetary Reform Agenda from the WHY and the WHAT to the HOW

Dear Sirs and Madams at AMI,

In response to your 6th annual monetary reform advocacy conference 2010 which will once again traverse the familiar grounds of WHY the need for monetary reform, and to replace it with WHAT, FYI, the following refers to the real challenges to such reforms which I hope can be made the focus of your conference instead.
FLASHBACK: The Missing Link of Monetary Reform – How? By Zahir Ebrahim

Such a refocus in your august forum with many more rebellious stellar minds examining the issues will squarely betray the necessity of not pursuing misanthropic “effects” in isolation for reform, of which the present monetary system of legal debt enslavement is one such effect. It will be acutely realized that shrewdly homing into the common primemover cause behind all the misanthropic effects – the real DNA which stays hidden in plainsight leaving its effects the focus of attention by the 'malcontents' – can have more efficacy than idealistically chasing the Holy Grail of The Ten Commandments. Even Moses couldn't change a thing with his lofty moralizing.

The HOW to pursue reform given the all pervasive oligarchic rule with its unstoppable impetus for world government – a rule which hides behind the mask of elected peoples' representatives who in turn afford it legal cover, permit it to hide its vast wealth in tax-exempt foundations with which it formulates and enacts into law its preferred policies, underwrites social and scientific research in its preferred direction, and molds public opinion with its vast ownership of the news media and the myth-making infotainment industry – is the core unanswered reform challenge for plebeians rising to disaffirm and disarm the oligarchic rule.

Without the pursuit of the HOW in the face of these ground realities, the monetary reform agenda will continue to remain stillborn, a platitudinous run on the treadmill of inefficacy, just as it has been for the past 100 years.

Sincerely,

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
FLASHBACK: The Missing Link of Monetary Reform: How?

The Real Challenge: Preamble

Caption Watch The Chairman Part 1, Ben Bernanke CBS 60 Minutes Interview with correspondent Scott Pelley, segment on Printing Money at 8 minutes
CBS 60 Minutes: “Is that tax money that the Fed is spending?”

Ben Bernanke: “It's not tax money. The banks have accounts with the Fed much the same way that you have an account in a commercial bank. So to lend to a bank, we simply use the computer to mark up the size of the account they have with the Fed. So it's much more akin, although not exactly the same, but it's much more akin to printing money then it is to borrowing.”

CBS 60 Minutes: “You've been printing money?”

Ben Bernanke: “Well effectively, and we need to do that because our economy is very weak, and inflation is very low. When the economy begins to recover, that'll be the time we need to unwind those programs, raise interest rates, reduce the money supply, and make sure that we have a recovery that does not involve inflation.”

--- Question to monetary reformers: how many falsehoods are in Ben Bernanke's utterance above?

--- Question to the public: wouldn't it be great if the public too can get to have monopoly money magically appear in our bank accounts every once in a while, like the banks do? What powers of persuasion do you think the Fed wield upon the government that they can make counterfeit money – just printing it whenever they want – and it magically becomes legal tender? But you and I can't print our own money whenever we want without going to jail for 100 years? How did that happen? How are such skilled powers of persuasion of the banksters to be effectively countered?

--- Reality check: Is there even any point to all this talk of monetary reform when absolutely no one among the who's who of reform will speak to the real issues of power that actually prevents all reform?
The monetary reform agenda today is largely an academic conversation piece, to be debated in conferences, on websites, and a source of livelihood for the often indigent authors peddling their favorite solution on paper. Instead of all coming together on one singular platform, of taking the power of coining money away from private banksters gratuitously getting fat on usury, they energetically bicker among themselves on what solution to replace it with!

--- Challenge: Why not come together on what's common ground? And instead of pitching one's own new-and-better “mouse-trap”, create an unbiased monetary commission of monetary reformers and rebellious economists to look into all the proposals on the table, chartering them to come up with a recommendation, or series of recommendations or advocacies if you will, which in turn can be put on the ballot in each state for public adjudication? This is where perhaps all the conferencing, books, websites, speeches, television talk-shows, grassroots activism to educate the public on the monetary commission's recommendations, can be made fertile pursuits rather than the present infertile conversations in conferences. That's how one builds up counter-power in a system where, as Hitler had aptly put it, “when the voting papers of the masses are the deciding factor; the decision lies in the hands of the numerically strongest group”. One has to work the system – unless one is working on overthrowing it! The former sometimes entails withholding the votes entirely to show the system's illegitimacy when both political parties and its electees represent the same corrupt oligarchic ruling interests, and other times it entails gathering the votes to show the same. That requires uniting on a common platform in order to gather enough votes!
Response to American Monetary Institute's 2009 National Conference on Monetary Reform, Chicago, September 24-27, 2009

California, September 14, 2009

My principal problem with modern monetary reformers is that they typically act like prima-donnas telling the ignorant public what is the best solution for them. Each one thinks they have just descended from the mountain with the tablet. Unless the implementation issues wrestled with in “Monetary Reform: Who will bell the cat?” and “The entrenched notion of Public Debt in America – will take a gestalt shift to overcome!” are addressed head-on, just more pipe-dreaming words from the mighty reformers applying the think-system invented by the “Music Man”. The following Huxley statement sums up my consternation with the present crop of monetary reformers completely:

“Well this is the real problem. Nothing is easier than to formulate high ideals, but few things are more difficult than to discover the means for by those ideals might be implemented, and the categorical imperatives which spring from them can be a pain. This is the real problem. I mean one has to dream, but one has to dream in a pragmatic way to consider how... Merely preaching to people doesn't have much effect, people have been preaching for an awefully long time and we are still pretty much where we were.”

The respected Prophet-Reformer of mankind, Moses, too had a great plan for his flock three millennium ago – “thou shall not kill” – but few have found the means to implement the glorious Ten Commandments even millennia later.

Watch below Ron Paul* candidly describe the unmatched legal power of the Federal Reserve and the American Elite way back in 1988
which makes even the mighty United States Congress powerless before them. This was reaffirmed 20 years later in the 2008 Bailout Bill!

Given the tortuous reality of the “Monetary Conspiracy for world Government” rapidly progressing towards fruition, how do the monetary reformers plan to counter it?

Do they think that the banksters and the elite will simply roll over and play dead so that a bunch of conscionable rebels can get their reforms passed in a Legislature and Executive which is entirely controlled by the oligarchs?

As was truthfully observed once before: 'Ah – but what if the “criminals” were to write the laws and the statutes themselves? Then, the conniving and conspiring isn't legally defined as a crime, nor the “criminals” called criminals. In fact, most are called bankers (emperors previously), and their instruments today, foundations (fleets previously)! Isn't that just peachy?'

What is the implementation plan, the How, to counter this entrenched legal power that gives the present monetary system its raison d'être – apart from “faith” and “hope” that is, that a “Jesus” will magically be found to throw the moneychangers out of the temple?

A rather straightforward challenge to monetary reform proponents.
Caption Watch Ron Paul explain The American Power Structure, 1988

[youtube=http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xDZsQQ5wRBQ]

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

More

- *Also see Ron Paul Related:* My beef with the stellar congressman Hon. Ron Paul
- **Monetary Reform Related:** Response to Ellen Brown's 'How to Resolve the Credit Crisis
Monetary Reform Related: Towards a Common Standard Benchmark for evaluating all Monetary Reform Proposals

Monetary Reform Related: Response to 'Sign Petition for a Monetary System That Puts People First - Open Letter to G-20'

Economic Reform Related: Letter to Editor: Response to 'G7 sets sights on new world economic order' February 15, 2009

Journalism Reform Related: A challenge to the aspiring reformers of the Journalism Profession

Monetary Reform Related: US treasury seeks action against Iranian banks, PressTv, October 6, 2009 (Tortuous Reality Check on Monetary Reform is repeatedly thrown in one's face for anyone not entirely lost in the immanent spaces of their ivory tower. The owners of the present monetary system wield a power so gargantuan, that they can strangulate the dissent of entire nations, and those whom they must, as the threat made by the US Treasury Secretary, Timothy Geithner, betrays. What of monetary reform conversations by a handful of overzealous reformers? Only indigenous institutions and nations banding together in Full Spectrum Alliances to reject the present hegemonic monetary system of the oligarchs – that is coercing all nations into the shared predicament of one-world government – and with real teeth to back their common stance in Full Spectrum Deterrence, can there be any power in monetary reform. The first order of business therein must be to get rid of the corrupt indigenous rulers and politicians who eagerly share in these inequities, who suffer from a surfeit of avarice and cowardice, and therefore, have no incentive to affect any reform. In the United States Congress, the present crop of Honorable office bearers could not even muster sufficient chutzpah to defeat the 2008 banksters bailout bill when almost the entire American nation was overwhelmingly
with them!)

- **Monetary Reform Related**: Letter to Ellen Brown on Monetary Reform. October, 16, 2009

- **Monetary Reform Related**: Response To Steve Lendman's Abolish the Fed and Return Money Creation Power to Congress December 06, 2009

- **Monetary Reform Under Global Governance Related**: Tutorial: The Brilliant Construction of World Order – Or a children's bedtime story December 2009

- **Monetary Reform Related**: Letter to MIT Professor and former IMF Chief Economist, Simon Johnson, March 17, 2010

- **Monetary Reform Related**: 'One thing is true – there is no substitute for critical thinking. If that leads to a real “paralysis”, then either the formulation of the problem or the approaches to solving it were faulty, but not the act of thinking. And if critical thinking indicates something is clearly suspicious, of dubious character, a Machiavellian red herring, then, not wishing to run on that treadmill surely cannot be considered “paralysis”! Furthermore, not knowing a solution for how to counter the great evil before us ought not to goad the well-intentioned to mindlessly run fast on treadmills in fear of otherwise “nit-pick[ing] ourselves into paralysis”. The realpolitik solution to “paralysis” is potency that can lead to efficacy, not more placebos whose only efficacy is psychological. I am forwarding this article to some others and may include it in my running compilation of the Missing Link of Monetary Reform (hence I have edited your good name out). **That repository is just that – an unarguable demonstration that realpolitik is missing from the isolated pursuits of 'end the fed', 'break the bank', 'state owned banks', etc., of almost all the well-intentioned**
Monetary Reformers. The recognition of the massive global forces rapidly leading to Global Governance has been masked out by the idealists pushing reforms. That force whose effect is felt all around us but which cannot be seen, like the force of gravitation, prevents escape from Alice in Wonderland – unless “escape velocity” can be instrumented for that endeavor. And that can't be engineered if the force of gravitation remains unknown, never mind quantitatively taken into account (the escape velocity on Jupiter is different than on Earth)! When the foxes are arguing alongside the chickens that the world needs to have better coops to protect the chickens, to not see a problem with that – I only wonder if that might be considered a problem in itself? Seems to me that the foxes are counting on that very psychological cataract! They even call it a “beneficial cognitive diversity” – remember Cass Sunstein, today President Obama's Information Czar in the White House? Response to a correspondent on Breaking up the Big Banks April 23, 2010

 Monetary Reform Related Self Defense: 'It is the (self-defense) responsibility of every denizen of the world to understand how humanity is being herded into global debt-enslavement and a centrally managed world-government, baby-step at a time, by manufacturing deliberate crisis and then proposing the next baby-step as its solution or fait accompli. Each baby-step erodes away some aspect of national sovereignty. 911 helped setup the global police state as a proposed solution to 'terrorism' – a manufactured product – to create the sine qua non mechanisms for world-government. “World government could only be kept in being by force”, as Bertrand Russell had put it. The latest financial crisis is designed to systematically create a central world-banking system, as a proposed solution to 'bad loans' – again a manufactured product – to be managed by a global banking
cartel under legal sanction. “Give me control of a nation's money supply, and I care not who makes its laws”, as the Rothschild banking scions boldly narrate in almost every generation. “We shall have World Government, whether or not we like it. The only question is whether World Government will be achieved by conquest or consent.”' -- Statements of fact in the Monetary Reform Bibliography
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Fabled Dissent
Not Fooled Again By The Masters of Discourse

Responsibility of Intellectuals – Redux

March 03, 2007

What indeed is the responsibility of intellectuals to the people? We already know what the intellectuals, the veritable masters of discourse, have themselves proclaimed it to be – without adding the word "people" to their description. Let's quickly review. My favorite description comes from Vaclav Havel:

“I too think the intellectual should constantly disturb, should bear witness to the misery of the world, should be provocative by being independent, should rebel against all hidden and open pressure and manipulations, should be the chief doubter of systems, of
power and its incantations, should be a witness to their mendacity. For this very reason, an intellectual cannot fit into any role that might be assigned to him, nor can he ever be made to fit into any of the histories written by the victors. An intellectual essentially doesn't belong anywhere; he stands out as an irritant wherever he is; he does not fit into any pigeonhole completely.” (Vaclav Havel: 'Disturbing the Peace', A Conversation with Karel Hvizdala, quoted by Mark Chmiel in 'Elie Wiesel and the politics of Moral Leadership')

In Havel's self-apportioning of responsibility to intellectuals, himself being one, there is no mention of why the intellectual must have such responsibilities. Why does he or she needs to be an 'irritant', why must he or she 'rebel against all hidden and open pressure and manipulation', and be the 'chief doubter of systems, of power and its incantations'? Why may the intellectual not be an exponent of Machiavelli in the service of the powerful, of 'power and its incantations', telling 'Nobel Lies' to serve the ruling interests? After all, those who run 'systems' also need intellectual and doctrinal backbone to carry them out, don't they?

Isn't it but manifest empiricism that since the Renaissance that preceded the industrial revolution, with the waning of kingdoms and aristocracies, feudalism and servitude, and the arrival of plebeian norms and free thinking that were the precursors of modern day 'populist democracy' in the West, new forms of plebeian intellectual regimentation and willing control (despite that being a nonsequitur) were invented in astute political philosophy to serve the interests of the ruling elite? From Machiavelli's *Prince*, through Nietzsche's *uermensch*, to Strauss' “Nobel Lies” of modernity, are of course all intellectualism too, and in the very distinguished service of primacy of the ruling class. So what's wrong with such intellectualism?

Havel provides no keen philosophical insights in his prescription of
'irritant' being the sole role of an intellectual, apart from axiomatic assertion, perhaps borne from some internal (unstated) moral sense. But different people have different internal moral sense, and hence that's not a universal axiomatic description unless it can either be rationally proved, or a recourse to some absolute external source of morality is made, and nor is it a profound basis for moral intellectualism. If it were, this would just be a simple truism, as in any religion borne from unexamined axioms handed by an absolute divinity, and not very intellectually profound as a philosophy, or even an original contribution by Havel. Prophet Moses preceded him by at least three thousand years with the Ten Commandment axioms. No empirical political philosophy has yet been founded upon its teachings in the West since the Renaissance (we'll just forego the periods before that to be nice and focus mainly from the onset of rationalism in the West).

So let's look at someone even more distinguished for guidance who is 'arguably the most important intellectual alive' in the entire Western Hemisphere, who wrote the seminal piece on responsibility of intellectuals in the later half of the 20th century titled "Responsibility of Intellectuals", and other derivative works: [1], [2], [3]

“It is the responsibility of intellectuals to speak the truth and to expose lies” (Responsibility of Intellectuals)

“the responsibility of a writer as a moral agent is to try to bring the truth about matters of human significance to an audience that can do something about them.” (Power and Prospects)

Once again, why must an intellectual 'speak the truth' and 'expose lies'? Why must he or she bring the 'truth about matters of human significance to an audience that can do something about them.'? This isn't just a pedantic question. It is the crux of the matter.

The distinguished Noam Chomsky further notes:

“Intellectuals are in a position to expose the lies of
governments, to analyze actions according to their causes and motives and often hidden intentions. In the Western world, at least, they have the power that comes from political liberty, from access to information and freedom of expression. For a privileged minority, Western democracy provides the leisure, the facilities, and the training to seek the truth lying hidden behind the veil of distortion and misrepresentation, ideology and class interest, through which the events of current history are presented to us....” (Responsibility of Intellectuals)

Sure the Western intellectuals living in free societies 'have the power that comes from political liberty, from access to information and freedom of expression.' So why must they not use it in the service of the ruling elite, and instead 'seek the truth lying hidden behind the veil of distortion and misrepresentation'? How can the plebeian tell the difference what the scholars are doing? It was indeed Plato, wasn't it, who portrayed the rule of the virtuous 'know it all', the 'ubermensch', leading the sheep to their manifest destiny - a virtuosity of supermen, that some like Leo Strauss interpret it, a Nietzschean morality that is beyond good and evil, one that is wholly utilitarian in serving some vested interests.

Once again, no Occam's razor like clarity is provided by Noam Chomsky either. You may review all three references cited above, and will only come away with the unremarkable comprehension that the intellectual field has been carved up between the exponents of the ruling elite, whom I shall dare refer to as the "high priests" openly serving the interests of power, and Havel-Chomsky self-proclaimed responsibility of being 'irritants' to that power, whom I shall dare call the "dissenting priests". It is almost as a kids' game of dividing into two teams to play off against each other, or as in high school forensic tournament of champions having the Affirmative and the Negative, or as in the Parliament having Government benches and its Opposition - both
around 'systems of power and its incantations', one positing it, the other doubting it, with the people left wondrously watching, often quite uncomprehendingly. A cynical view? Please read on.

There is no a priori reason to believe claims to morality by the intellectual, as asserted by Chomsky with the banal phrase 'the responsibility of a writer as a moral agent'. It is not entirely self-evident why such an assertion must be axiomatic. Or indeed how can it be shown to be continually true beyond mere continued axiomatic assertions.

Except of course, if such self-apportioned responsibility by the intellectual is merely a tool to serve an end, and not an end in itself. Just as it is a tool in the hands of the Machiavellian espousing the morality of supermen, if it becomes a tool in the hands of the intellectual espousing the banal morality, one not beyond good and evil, but specifically only intended to serve the plebeian.

The only rational and comprehensible basis for moral responsibility upon an intellectual, is if they wish to serve the interests of the plebeian peoples, as opposed to merely opposing the elite peoples. The two are not synonymous. One may oppose the ruling elite for many reasons, including personal ego, self-interests, personal guilt, as an intellectual contest, as an academic lost in the ivory tower of academe writing histories of past crimes that are now faits accomplis, none of which necessarily have anything to do with serving the contemporary interests of the plebeian except as a side effect. Only when the first-principle is serving the plebeian, and only serving the plebeian over one's own self-interests, then, and only then, does such a moral responsibility delve upon the intellectual, and only if they take it upon themselves, and proclaim to do so. And even when they conscionably take this upon themselves primarily to serve the best interests of the plebeian, there is no assurance that they are telling the truth in order to serve the plebeians' best interests. Indeed, there is no a priori reason to assume one is telling the truth and not merely playing the Ezra Pound described game, the Technique of Infamy, of being part of two or more sophisticated (or simple) lies keeping the plebeians occupied
with which of them might be true.

Thus an intellectual claiming to be a moralist in the interest of serving the plebeian, must always be under scrutiny by the plebeians - no differently than for a politician claiming to do the same - to ensure that the intellectual is indeed serving the plebeians' best interests, and not their own self-serving ones. Just merely self-proclaimed claims to morality, while perhaps sufficient for one's own conscience, is not a sufficient credential in public life for anyone, as per rational common-sense. Why should an intellectual make any more claims to morality, than any other ordinary person in society?

Thus, what then is indeed the public responsibility of the self-proclaimed moral intellectual - making the deliberate distinction between one proclaiming morality exclusively in the service of the peoples, and any other intellectual. By definition, the former is associated with the "dissenting priest" who claims to serve the interests of the people by dissenting with the ruling elite, the latter is the "high priest" who is quite clearly and visibly aligned with the interests of the 'power and its incantations'. And they are indeed "priests" because they each respectively claim axioms of their own.

Only in the public examination of their axioms can they lose claims to priesthood and be stripped naked as either genuine moralists worthy of public following, or Machiavellis deserving of public stoning.

So what indeed are the "dissenting priests" responsibilities towards moral intellectualism? And what are the responsibilities of the plebeian to ensure that the intellectual priests are continually stripped of their unexamined axioms to keep them honest? As one Jewish moralist once put it, albeit in a different context, but I would like to take the liberty of borrowing that very convincing and idiomatic diction here:

“Although the Holocaust inflicted horrible injustice upon us, it did not grant us certificate of everlasting righteousness. The murderers were amoral; the victims were not made moral. To be moral you must be-
have ethically. The test of that is daily and constant.”

The ‘test of that is daily and constant’. Indeed. The test however is only self-administered when one is concerned with one's own conscience. But a scholar's soul is of no concern to the plebeians - how can any outsider ever peer into the blackened abyss of another's soul? The latter may have none! Thus the test is not self-administered when public responsibility is proclaimed by the "dissenting priests", but one that must be 'constant', and 'daily', and administered by the plebeians themselves.

So let's succinctly take the responsibilities of each in turn. This is what a rather pedestrian plebeian, me, demands of the moral intellectuals who appear to be "dissenting priests". If they purport to serve my interests, then they must cater to my expectations of them. There are obviously no plebeian expectations from the "high priests" of the ruling elite, for they make no bones about whose interests they serve. It is indeed the "dissenting priests" who are of most concern to the plebeians, for they may also be the Trojan Horses deliberately cultivated, like the proverbial sleeper agents of intelligence intrigues, to create a more convincing shadow play for the free-willed plebeians who can otherwise become quite dangerous for the interests of any elite in free democratic societies.

**Responsibility of the “dissenting priests” as “moral agents”**

What is my primary expectation from them? To be a moral compass on knotty and vexing issues du jour. I don't expect them to be activists or policy advocates. I expect them to be the moral voice without paying heed to the impracticality or efficacy of bringing about any change or transformation. They need to chart the course for the society in what is indeed the 'right and moral and just thing to do' space. As they endeavor to identify the convolutions on the lies and bear witness
to the mendacity of power of faits accomplis, I need them to prevent new criminal faits accomplis of monumental injustices from occurring, especially when such criminal acts can be contemporaneously co-opted by an informed plebeians taking the right course of action that is seeded by an unequivocal moral compass. If the moralists are themselves co-opted by pragmatism, exigency, expediency, political reality, then how are they any different from politicians? A moral intellectual who is a moral agent purporting to 'bring the truth about matters of human significance to an audience that can do something about them' can only do so first and foremost, as an unequivocal moral compass for his peoples, and only secondarily as the revolutionary.

An intellectual can certainly be an hands-on activist seeded by that axiomatic moral compass, his or her own, to create on the ground advocacy if he or she possesses the physical energies and the charisma. Many plebeians possess physical energies, and many leaders of men possess charisma, but not many possess the mental acumen of the profound intellectual, which is why their seeding a moral compass justly and truthfully is of indescribable primal significance which can eventually lead to Moral-Activism by their plebeian activist followers. Without moral compassing, any flock is quite simply, and almost always, 'lost in the land of Canaan', figuratively speaking. And higher the pulpit, larger the flock, greater the responsibility, and yes, greater the accountability to the plebeians. Conversely, greater the priest leading them, greater the responsibility upon the plebeians themselves to create Moral-Activism that is justly seeded by the moral compass who was faithful to his own responsibility as an honest intellectual of the plebeians.
Responsibility of the Plebeian looking up to the dissenting priests as “moral agents”

The "dissenting priests" aren't really the spokesperson for god who may not be challenged. So what must the plebeians do to keep their priests honest? Examine their axioms! If a "dissenting priest" takes on axioms in contemporary matters of great 'human significance', refuses to examine them unhypocritically in public, refuses to convincingly explain why the same arguments that were applied in the past by him are not being applied in the contemporaneous present to the same qualitative issue of the mendacity of power and its incantations, then there is a gigantic red herring in the works. Application of the Rational Golden rule of morality – also called the Biblical Golden Rule by some – can also often help adjudicate a "dissenting priest's" position on emotional matters where there may be potential self-interests at play. [4]

Examination of unexamined axioms, and judicious use of the Golden Rule in unraveling hidden self-interests, can keep any public person honest, from politician to the self-proclaimed dissenting intellectual moralist, even including the real priests.

In my humble plebeian view, it is only that very accountability to the plebeians, and which must be extracted by the plebeians, that brings an Occam's razor's clarity to the matter and constructs any commonsensical genuine moral Responsibility of Intellectuals. One that is owed exclusively to the plebeians. The rest is merely the intellectual stroking of the mind by the intellectuals, their own and others.

Thank you.
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FAQ: What is an Intellectual Negro?

February 09, 2010

This FAQ started out as a comment made by Project Humanbeings-first at the time of Dr. Aafia Siddiqui's guilty verdict by the New York court, February 9, 2010 at 9:53 am.

Question: What is a White Man?

“White” in White Man is not about skin color or complexion. It is about attitudes towards another. First, permitting Malcolm X to describe it in his own eloquence:
'It was when I first began to perceive that “white man” as commonly used, means complexion only secondarily; primarily it described attitudes and actions. In America, “white man” meant specific attitudes and actions toward the black man, and toward all other non-white men.' -- (Malcolm X, The Autobiography of Malcolm X, 1964, 1999 hardcover edition, Chapter Mecca, page 364)

Project Humanbeingsfirst's usage of the word “white man” denotes an overarching attitude of a superiority complex which is best captured by the union of several nuanced concepts:

- Malcolm X's aforementioned description of attitude rather than skin color;
- the term 'Hectoring Hegemons' – the attitude of physically imposing one's self-perceived superiority complex upon another, to physically invade, conquer and enslave another in the name of god, glory, Lebensraum, or just for the opportunities to profit;
- the term 'la mission civilisatrice' – the attitude which came to be defined by the colonizing European Christians in the preceding centuries, to rob and plunder the natives throughout the world bequeathing them the invaders' “Christian” culture as a gift of “civilization” to the “dogs” and “barbarians” to “save” them from eternal damnation as heathens;
- the term 'Orientalism' – the attitude of prejudice, at times in the sub-text, betrayed in Western scholarship of the Orient, i.e., the East, that Western civilization is inherently superior to all the Eastern civilizations;
- the term 'pious virtue' – the hypocritical attitude which comes about by harboring any of the above in one's psyche while pretending to be fair and sympathetic to the 'lesser people'. It is the unstated common assumption in the backdrop when deal-
ing with the 'lesser people'. It is most easily discernible when rushing to the aid of the victims of the white man's *la mission civilisatrice*, sometimes with all the best intentions, but deeming the native victims inherently less worthy in comparison to when the “white man” is made victim. It is ably captured in Noam Chomsky's “worthy victim” vs. “unworthy victim” nomenclature with all its attendant semantics. Its manifestation is most stark in the differing standards for seeking compensation and punitive damages which are sought on behalf of the victims by those representing the victims, often from the victimizer's own civilization, or suitable lackeys chosen from among the 'lesser peoples' who are put up there as proxies for the “white man” now so magnanimously providing the 'lesser people' with the “white man's” justice. The end result exactly betrays that the “white man's” victims are deemed inherently superior to those from among the “barbarians”. The whole transaction is couched in “pious virtue”.

**Question: What is The White Man's Burden?**

See The White Man's Burden

**Question What is a Negro?**

Let's begin by studying the very basic types of mental servitude. Let's start with Malcolm X's version of the ‘Negro’:
“There was two kind of slaves.

There was the house Negro and the field Negro.

The house Negro, they lived in the house, with massa. They dressed pretty good. They ate good, cause they ate his food, what he left. They lived in the attic or the basement, but still they lived near their master, and they loved their master, more than their master loved himself. They would give their life to save their master's house quicker than their master would.

The house Negro, if the master said 'we got a good house here', the house Negro say 'yeah, we got a good house here'.

Whenever the master would said we, he'd say we. That's how you can tell a house Negro.

If the master's house caught on fire, the house Negro would fight harder to put the blaze out than the master would. If the master got sick, the house Negro would say 'What's the matter, boss, we sick?' We sick!

He identified himself with his master, more than his
master identified with himself.

And if you came to the house Negro and said 'let's run away, let's escape, let's separate', the house Negro would look at you and say 'man, you crazy! What you mean separate? Where is there a better house than this? Where can I wear better clothes than this? Where can I eat better food than this?'

That was that house Negro.

In those days, he was called a house nigger. And that's what we call him today, 'cause we still got some house niggers runnin around here.

This modern house Negro loves his master. He wants to live near him.

He'll pay three times as much as the house is worth just to live near his master, and then brag about 'I'm the only Negro out here. I'm the only one on my job. I'm the only one in this school.' You're nothing but a house Negro!

And if someone come to you right now and say 'let's separate', you say the same thing that the house Negro said on the plantation: 'What you mean separate? From America? This good white man? Where you gonna get a better job than you get here? I mean this is what you say. 'I ain't left nothing in Africa'. That's what you say.

Why, you left your mind in Africa!

On that same plantation, there was the field Negro.

The field Negro, those were the masses. There was always more Negroes in the field than there was Negroes in the house.
The Negro in the field caught hell. He ate leftovers.

In the house they ate high up on the hog. The Negro in the field didn't get nothing but what was left of the insides of the hog.

They call them chetlands nowadays. In those days they called them what they were, guts!

That's what you were, a guteater. And some of you are still guteaters!

The field Negro was beaten, from morning till night.

He lived in a shack, in a hut. He wore cast-off clothes.

He hated his master. I say, he hated his master.

He was intelligent.

That house Negro loved his master. But that field Negro, remember, they were in the majority, and they hated their master.

When the house caught on fire, he didn't try to put it out, that field Negro prayed for a wind. For a breeze!

When the master got sick, the field Negro prayed that he died.

If someone come to the field Negro and said 'let's separate, let's run.' He didn't say 'Where we going?' he said 'Any place is better than here'.

We got field Negroes in America today.

I'm a field Negro.

The masses are the field Negroes.

When they see this man's house on fire, you don't hear these little Negroes talkin bout 'Our Government is in trouble'. They say 'thee Government is in trouble.'
Imagine a Negro, 'our Government'. I even heard one say 'our astronauts.' They won't even let him near the plant, and 'our astronauts'. 'Our Navy'. That's a Negro that's out of his mind.

That's a Negro that's out of his mind!

Just as the slave master in that day, used Tom, the house Negro, to keep the field Negroes in check.

The same 'ol slavemaster today, has Negroes, who are nothing but modern Uncle Toms. 20th century Uncle Toms, to keep you and me in check.

Keep us under control. Keep us passive and peaceful. And nonviolent. That's Tom making you nonviolent.

It's like when you go to the dentist, and the man is going to take your tooth. You're going to fight him, when he start pulling. So they squirt some stuff in your jaw called Novocain, to make you think they are not doing anything to you. So you sit there and because you got all that Novocain in your jaw, you suffer peacefully. Hahahaha.

There's nothing in our Book, the Qur'an, as you call it, Koran, teaches us to suffer peacefully.

Our religion teaches us to be intelligent. Be peaceful. Be courteous. Obey the law. Respect everyone.

But if someone puts his hand on you, send him to the cemetery!

That's a good religion. In fact, that's that old-time religion. That's the one that Ma and Pa used to talk about.

An eye for an eye, and a tooth for a tooth, and a head for a head, and a life for a life.

That's a good religion.
And then anybody, no one resist that kind of religion being taught but a wolf, who intends to make you his meal.

This is the way it is with the white man in America. He's a wolf, and you're sheep.

Anytime a shepherd, a pastor, teach you and me not to run from the white man, and at the same time teach us don't fight the white man, he's a traitor, to you and me.

Don't lay down our life all by itself. No! Preserve your life. It's the best thing you got.

And if you got to give it up, let it be Even Steven.” -- (Malcolm X, House Negro vs. Field Negro Speech Transcription by Project Humanbeingsfirst.org; Also X)

In his autobiography, Malcolm X further fleshed out the modern Negro who thinks like the massa. He is black, brown, red or yellow in skin color, but is pure white in mind color:

“Today's Uncle Tom doesn't wear a handkerchief on his head. This modern, twentieth-century Uncle Thomas now often wears a top hat. He's usually well-dressed and well-educated. He's often the personification of culture and refinement. The twentieth-century Uncle Thomas sometimes speaks with a Yale or Harvard accent. Sometimes he is known as Professor, Doctor, Judge, and Reverend, even Right Reverend Doctor. This twentieth-century Uncle Thomas is a professional Negro ... by that I mean his profession is being a Negro for the white man.” -- (Malcolm X, The Autobiography of Malcolm X, 1964, 1999 hardcover edition, Chapter Black Muslims, page 265)
Well, that description of the colonized mind turns out to be not all that modern, even though it accurately captures the modern Uncle Tom among all peoples. Witness the following statement in his speech before the English Parliament in 1835, by Lord Babington Macaulay who devised the new education policy for the Indian sub-continent – the Jewel in the Crown of the British Empire:

“We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern, -- a class of persons Indian in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals and in intellect.” --(Lord Thomas Babington Macaulay, Minute on Education, 2nd February 1835, page 8)

Martin Luther King Jr. also offered a timeless description for the Negro which today transcends skin color and complexion in its empiricism:

“The white establishment is skilled in flattering and cultivating emerging leaders. It presses its own image on them and finally, from imitation of manners, dress, and style of living, a deeper strain of corruption develops. This kind of Negro leader acquires the white man’s contempt for the ordinary Negro. He is often more at home with the middle-class white than he is among his own people. His language changes, his location changes, his income changes, and ultimately he changes from the representative of the Negro to the white man into the white man’s representative to the Negro. The tragedy is that too often he does not recognize what has happened to him.” -- (Martin Luther King Jr., A Testament of Hope, page 307, read online)
**Question What is “Intellectual Negro”**

Many more complex shades of the ‘Negro’ have been cultivated in modernity than the ones Malcolm X and MLK had been exposed to. One new shade that I have been grappling with for some time is the “Intellectual Negro”. This new shade of the servile Negro which escaped the experiences of the civil and human rights struggles of the American black leaders, has become ubiquitous among Muslims today, especially among Pakistanis, Afghans, and Arabs. Indeed, among all nations along the 'arc of crisis' in the 'global zone of percolating violence'.

This kind of Negro is familiar to us under the nom de guerre 'fabricated dissent', a pernicious variant of 'native informant'.

This Negro, the “Intellectual Negro”, is very sophisticated, and often very intelligent with advanced academic and/or public credentials. This Negro will appear to hector the white man and the white man's establishment, while still managing to echo the white man's core-axioms.

In other words, the intellectual Negro will appear to be an outspoken voice of dissent in favor of the downtrodden and the oppressed, typically from the 'left-liberal' nexus, but will still devilishly manage to echo the massa's core message.

For instance, while vehemently critiquing the empire's war on terror and its devastating impact upon the innocent victims across many civilizations, the intellectual Negro will craftily manage to echo the empire's core message that Al Qaeda is the global terrorist menace which carried out the 9/11 attacks on America. That retention of the core-axiom of empire from which all the evil that followed after 9/11, and which enabled all its subsequent aggressive wars and crimes against humanity that he critiques, reduces the intellectual Negro to an absurdity. But he is treated as the most avantgarde in intellectual thought and praised by both, the hegelian instruments of the white
man instrumenting its dissent-space, as well as the brain-washed field Negroes themselves to whom he laboriously carries the white man's burden displaying much personal anguish.

Thus, the hectoring, i.e., challenging the visible narratives of power, serves the function of appearing to be on the side of the 'field Negro', but in reality he is still a 'house Negro' without speaking in that ‘we’ vernacular noted by Malcolm X.

Here is an example: the first article hectors the white man, the second one echoes its core axioms:


And here is another one which does the same all in one article:


And here is deconstructing another intellectual Negro who ostensibly hectors power while still managing to echo its key message:


Here is the latest variant who shamelessly sides with power spinning absurdities:

While the above illustrative examples are typical of the “Left-liberal” nexus carrying the mantra of Secular Humanism and reviling the “Right-religion” nexus, the following is an illustrative example of the “Right-religion” nexus. After villainously condemning each other during the day in “noora kushti” (Urdu word for a WWF style wrestling match where both combatants entertaining the audience by a show of vile antagonist wrestling, work for the same promoter and drink from the same trough after the match), they both congregate at the same white man's table for supper:

The diabolical omissions and selective story-telling, a crime common to all Negro penologists of Pakistan serving the massa's interests, including its news media, its NGO based glittering literati clamoring Secular Humanism, its virtuous politicians picking whichever side leads the quickest to power and graft, its mercenary military slaughtering its own peoples with American payments, and its religious zealots either burning American flags in protests or echoing the massa's own condemnations, is in my 692-page book “The Pakistan Decapitation Papers” 4th Edition, June 2011. A quick examination of these omissions which never see ink in the erudite penmanship of the intellectual Negro picking the “good” side in the Hegelian Dialectic of “militant Islam” vs. “moderate Islam” is here:
And the following is an example from Afghanistan. An Afghani-born, American-naturalized, Stanford and Columbia university educated professor of education playing loud dissent with empire: “I think it was absolutely wrong for the United States to attack and invade Afghanistan, because Afghanistan as such had nothing to do with 9/11”, still managed to echo the core-axioms of empire that 9/11 was an invasion from abroad and the work of vile terrorist Muslims: “In fact people now think that the Taleban had no idea that Al Qaeda had a plan to attack the United States”. The good Samaritan educator has continued to labor since the invasion of Afghanistan in October 2001, to bring the same sort of Education system of the colonizing white man to Afghanistan as was crafted by Lord Macaulay to cultivate house negroes and Uncle Thomases in the Indian sub-continent:


The aforementioned example is illustrative of the quintessential modern intellectual Negro – highly intelligent, un-afraid to speak up against the massa, yet fully subservient where necessary, laboring with missionary zeal in carrying the white man's burden, its 'la mission civilisatrice', in full sympathy to the victims. The resume of the intellectual Negro is notably representative of the most successful native informants today:

'He was born and schooled in Afghanistan, received a B.A. in sociology from The American University of Beirut, an M.A. in comparative education from Teachers College, Columbia University, and an M.A. in anthropology and a Ph.D. in international development education from Stanford University. Dr. Wahab was the first person in his family’s history to attend the village school, a boarding school in Kabul, and receive scholarships to attend college in Lebanon and
the US. Thus far, Dr. Wahab is the only Afghan with a Ph.D. from Stanford University.'

My aforementioned letter to the Afghani scholar was greeted not with stone silence as I had feared, but with the following short encouraging statement:

"Dear Mr. Ebrahim, Greetings from Portland, and many thanks for your insightful, passionate, informative, thoughtful, and thought-provoking e-mail. I will respond in detail, or call you, as soon as I have some time and peace of mind. Regards, Zw".

I look forward to such exchange.

The good Afghani professor may well be the rare case of genuinely being mistaken in carrying the white man's burden – as inconceivable as that may be to imagine that someone with a masters degree in anthropology and a doctorate in education would be unaware of the modalities of the greatest colonial conquest of all time, that of the Indian sub-continent, partly with the already mentioned Education policy of Lord Macaulay for fabricating “professional Negroes”. In which case, the good professor will cease and desist from carrying water for the white man's “la mission civilisatrice”. When that transpires, this illustrative example will be appropriately amended.

Here is a similar illustrative example of a stellar high-tech professional resume, one which has proven itself time and again to be unarguably that of an intellectual Negro. This one is a most distinguished resume from Pakistan. It was carried by the Middle East Forum, a Zionist neo-con Quarterly, presumably of the Jewish Islamophobe Daniel Pipes. They love to promote any intellectual Negro who will profoundly echo, in any convolution, the white man's burden encapsulated in the doctrinal craftsmanship of Jewish scholars like Bernard Lewis, in books such as: “Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror” and “What Went Wrong? The Clash Between Islam and Modernity in the Middle East”:
'Pervez Amirali Hoodbhoy (b. 1950) is one of South Asia's leading nuclear physicists and perhaps Pakistan's preeminent intellectual. Bearer of a Ph.D. from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, he is chairman of the department of physics at Quaid-e-Azam University in Islamabad where, as a high-energy physicist, he carries out research into quantum field theory and particle phenomenology. He has also been a visiting professor at the University of Maryland, College Park, and was visiting professor at MIT and Stanford. For some time, he has been a frequent contributor to Britain's leading intellectual journal, Prospect. His extracurricular activities include a vocal opposition to the political philosophy of Islamism. He also writes about the self-enforced backwardness of the Muslim world in science, technology, trade, and education. His many articles and television documentaries have made a lasting impact on debate about education, Islam, and secularism in Pakistan. Denis MacEoin interviewed him by e-mail in October 2009.'

Here is that interview with Pervez Hoodbhoy, titled “Islam and Science Have Parted Ways” promoted by MEF. And here is Hoodbhoy's column in the UK Guardian “Islam's arrested development”, echoing the same theme. The deconstruction of the crafty linguistics for the seemingly careless usage of the word “Islam” which enables crafting the public discourse on “Islamism” and its variants such as “militant Islam”, “moderate Islam”, etc., is in my response to the CAIR Report:


The Hijacking of the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation which unites Pakistani Niggers with the Jewish neo-con massas like Bernard Lewis,
Samuel Huntington, Daniel Pipes et. al., is fully fleshed out in:


Once I wrote to Pervez Hoodbhoy suggesting something to the effect that: “if your essays didn't have your name on them, I might have thought the author is Daniel Pipes.” Dr. Pervez Hoodbhoy, my co-alum from MIT, fellow Pakistani, and many years my senior, has not talked to me since then. I continually wonder why:

- Is it because of my possessing the few skills, the commonsensical knowledge, and the foolish boldness to call a spade a spade continually confronting the “preeminent intellectual” rather than being co-opted into silence?

- Or, is it that I am so egregiously mistaken in my conclusions that I am not worth interlocuting with for the lofty “preeminent intellectual” – ubiquitous in the massa's dominion – who only contends with finding major faults with “Islam”, argues willy-nilly with other Uncle Toms of various shades, presents himself prominently in massa's various gatherings ostensibly representing the field Negroes, and just eschews the field Negroes themselves as being just too ignorant to teach?

This is a perennial mystery which repeats itself everyday, as my every unmasking of the intellectual Negro is stoically greeted with the thunderous sounds of silence. Ignoring the field Negro skilled enough to unmask both the massa and their house Negro, has been the most effective way of silencing the field Negro.

For indeed, all the freedom of speech in free space (no air) still naturally leads only to asphyxiation! The massa knows it, and has groomed his house niggers rather well. This leaves the ubiquitous Uncle Toms and his owner free to spin their doctrinal craft unfettered, over all the air which they already own outright.
Thus, while denying the field Negro air to expound, the massa and his “professional Negroes” incestuously reinforce each other unhindered and unchallenged, spanning the full gamut of intellectual and psychological warfare upon civilian populations worldwide.

The “Hegelian Mind Fck” behind such “cognitive infiltration” to:

- manufacture consent and dissent to engineer public opinion;
- spin clever red herrings (a smelly fish that a fugitive drags across the path in order to put the pursuing dogs off the trail) through Machiavellian interjections of fabricated “diversity” and fabricated dissent;
- synthesize controlled clashes of fabricated opposites for raising a new phoenix from its ashes;

is examined in much depth in the following tutorials:


I fear one would be very hard pressed to find an exception to the “intellectual Negro” in Pakistan and the Middle East from among our uber-educated literati, from among our well-funded 'humanist' NGOs, especially the Human Rights Organizations, the Left as well as the Right, and the new strain of the Occidentosis plague we seemed to have picked up: think-tanks staffed with our finest Negroes of all
shades, including brain-washed 'field Negroes' employed as cover, useful idiots, dupes and patsies.

Just as there was the government-private partnership between the British Empire and the East India Company to maintain the empire upon which the sun once never set, the empire du jour too similarly thrives on government-private partnership for its “imperial mobilization” and for the maintenance of its extended empire.

The line between government and private sector is merely one of who writes the final paycheck to the employees, for they both share the same common goals of empire, and work hand in glove. The Jewish grandmaster of The Grand Chessboard, Zbigniew Brzezinski, self-servingly admitted this modus operandi when he wrote in his 1970 book Between Two Ages,

“The trend toward more coordination but less centralization would be in keeping with the American tradition of blurring sharp distinctions between public and private institutions. Institutions such as TVA or the Ford Foundation perform functions difficult for many Europeans to understand, since they are more accustomed either to differentiate sharply between the public sphere and the private (as has been typical of the industrial age) or to subordinate the private to the public (as is favoured by the socialists and some liberals) or to absorb the private by the public (as has been the case in communist states).” (pg. 99)

And the Jewish columnist Thomas Friedman truthfully confirmed what is already obvious, in the New York Times on March 28, 1999,

“The hidden hand of the market will never work without a hidden fist -- McDonald's cannot flourish without McDonnell Douglas, the builder of the F-15. And the hidden fist that keeps the world safe for Silicon Valley's technologies is called the United States
Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps.”

The professional intellectual Negro typically earns his paycheck from the private sector of the Military-Industrial-Academe-Non-profit-Thinktanks-Foundations half of empire while he critiques the public sector half comprising “the United States Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps”, and of course, including the White House which controls that not so “hidden fist”. That separation of employer name on the paycheck stub evidently provides the much needed soothing balm to the modern intellectual Negro's conscience.

It would be a grave mistake to surmise that the House Negro phenomena is only peculiar to the few professional craftsmen of the Mighty Wurlitzer (see link above). Ordinary peoples are just as much participant in it. The following anecdotal case is in fact rather typical of new Uncle Toms in America.

When I was describing to a very dear friend of mine who only recently became a naturalized US citizen, how Malcolm X taught himself in the prison library, how he read constantly to become the unchallengeable orator and spokesperson for his peoples' cause, my friend's immediate interjection was, “see, even their prisons have such great libraries”!

My new Uncle Tom, which I of course immediately addressed my long-time friend as, betrayed empathy with no one else except with prominent house niggers and the massa. Well, at least my friend was honest about his feelings of gratitude for the massa, having observed previously that the white man had given him far more than his own nation. The good fellow, who wears the stamp of remarkable piety on his forehead, and is one of the best in morals and friendship among all the people I know, never stopped to reflect what the white man took from our nations by cultivating fools, useful idiots, stooges, patsies, and mercenaries which he implanted in key positions in our nations to ensure that we stayed rudderless. That fact that our nations became more and more corrupt by villainous means in the post colonial era
which the massa had cleverly instrumented for us, has amply been discussed elsewhere (see John Perkins).

To make centuries long colonized nations blind and steeped in servility by methods of neo-colonization in the ostensibly post-colonial period, and then to complain we are still blind, is the characteristic of the house nigger who blames the field niggers for all of their desperate state.

This self-deluding co-option is not atypical. Apart from the fact that it is the story of mental slavery in every epoch, today it is most visible – to those who wish to see it – in virtually every mosque and “mai-khana” (bar, a figure of speech to indicate secular Muslims) in the West. While the latter caters to the spirit of Secular Humanism of the white man in his ongoing “la mission civilisatrice” upon the world, the former, a place of ritual piety, evidently also only succeeds in fabricating the “Good Muslim” and the “Good American” – sort of counterparts to the “Good Christian” and the “Good German” in the Third Reich – for 'United We Stand' in the Fourth Reich!

The massa has always understood this psychology of servility of the colonized man, and all too well. He has always cultivated and harvested from this colonized crop, the most able bodied, the most talented, and turned them into the most credentialled “professional Negroes” as described by Malcolm X in his Autobiography. Due to its immense pertinence to our times, it begs further emphasis: “This twentieth-century Uncle Thomas is a professional Negro ... by that I mean his profession is being a Negro for the white man.” (pg. 265)

The key psychological processes to construct this servility among most immigrant communities in the massa's world of gainful employment, and other material benefits denied them in their native country, is all of that which also went into making the good house negro short of actual physical slavery. Studying Malcolm X therefore, reveals a great deal about many of us today.

Almost 90 percent, that not being an exaggeration, perhaps even an
underestimate if anything, first and even second generation immi-
grants to America, just like the vast majority of elites in all Muslim and
formerly colonized lands, are infected with this de facto mental colo-

Layered atop this foundational layer of de facto mental coloniza-
tion of the 'Negro' of every flavor, is the layer of fabricated deception pur-
vendors based on shared ideology. And on top of that is another perni-
cious layer based on apparently our natural trait: our meager price
which turns us, not just psychologically, but also physically, into trait-
ors to our own peoples.

These three colonizing mental forces combined in various shades tend
to create many more Negro types. The ‘price’ aspect is particularly
pernicious – this price today is far more insidious than the mere 'lifafa'
(envelope stuffed with bribe money), the bottle of whiskey, or even
the trip to Disneyland of yesteryear as narrated by Brig. Tirmazi in his
1995 memoir “Profiles of Intelligence” Ch 3, page 45. His exact
words:

'A lot has been said and written by some of our Amer-
ican friends about the price of a Pakistani. Dr. An-
drew V. Corry, US Counsel General at Lahore, once
said, “Price of a Pakistani oscillates between a free
trip to the US and a bottle of whisky.”’ He may not
be too far wrong. We did observe some highly placed
Pakistanis selling their conscience, prestige, dignity
and self-respect for a small price.' --- Brig. Tirmazi,
Director ISI, MI, Profiles of Intelligence, 1995, pg. 45

This is why the aforementioned Pakistani intellectual Negro can
blithely claim with a straight face: 'Is the Check in the Mail? The Con-
fessions of a Groveling Pakistani Native Orientalist'! While he also
publicly admits to the intangible benefits in 'An End to Hypocrisy': “I
belong to the fortunate few who can get a visa, ”. The professional in-
tellectual Negro might do well to stare in the mirror while he echoes
the massa's message admitting to its benefits. It would surely assist him in comprehending the full import of that Biblical word which he has evidently mastered so well without understanding its real meaning:

“My green passport requires standing in a separate immigration line once my plane lands at Boston’s Logan Airport. The “special attention” from Homeland Security, although polite, adds an extra two to three hours. I belong to the fortunate few who can get a visa, but I am still annoyed. Having traveled to the United States frequently for 40 years, I now find a country that once warmly welcomed Pakistanis to be quite cold. The reason is clear.

Foreigners carrying strong negative feelings—or perhaps harmful intentions—are unlikely to find enthusiastic hosts. I know that the man who tried to bomb Times Square, Faisal Shahzad, a graduate of the University of Bridgeport, is my compatriot. So is Aafia Siddiqui, our new-found dukhtur-e-millat (daughter of the nation). Another Pakistani, Farooque Ahmed, with a degree from the College of Staten Island, made headline news in November 2010 after his abortive attempt to blow up DC Metro trains.

If such violent individuals were rarities, their nationality would matter little. But their actions receive little or no criticism in a country consumed by bitter anti-Americanism, which now exceeds its anti-Indianism.”

If I might be permitted a bit of narcissism here to draw a valid comparison, I too possess only the “green passport [which] requires standing in a separate immigration line once my plane lands”, despite over three decades of permanent residence in the United States with
the permanent resident card (green card) which my first employer in Silicon Valley got for me. They claimed before the US Department of Labor (or something similar) that they couldn't find any white man in America to replace my engineering skills which they wanted badly at the time. Yet, compare my Realityspeak (my neologism) to the Newspeak (a term from George Orwell's novel 1984) of both the massa and his obliging Niggers! That's because I am a “field Negro” – figuratively speaking – and that's something which I have proudly earned by dint of my own study and observations, not a misery I was born into like Malcolm X and others birthed on the wrong side of the railroad tracks. Any “house nigger” today, irrespective of their skin color and national origin, would do well to study Malcolm X's Autobiography in some depth. The fate of those who follow in that footprint, to genuinely challenge unjust power and its villainous narratives, is surely the early graveyard. It is written in the indelible pages of history. A choice one knowingly makes – because despite the overt choice, there is really no choice:


As for Pervez Hoodbhoy's lofty demonstration of leftwing compassion for “Aafia Siddiqui, our new-found dukhtur-e-millat (daughter of the nation)”, see its deconstruction identifying all the omissions in the professional intellectual Negro's narratives in deep servility to the massa – when he could have been the strongest ally for his victim:


Pervez Hoodbhoy's show of fearless rebellion against the forces of imperialism is of course predicated on his theory of “leftwing politics” which he most articulately expounded in his already mentioned ode to the Hegelian Dialectic: Between Imperialism and Islamism. Like a
learned physicist Hoodbhoy first postulated the problem, thusly:

“Many of us in the left, particularly in Southasia, have chosen to understand the rise of violent Islamic fundamentalism as a response to poverty, unemployment, poor access to justice, lack of educational opportunities, corruption, loss of faith in the political system, or the sufferings of peasants and workers. As partial truths, these are indisputable. Those condemned to living a life with little hope and happiness are indeed vulnerable to calls from religious demagogues who offer a happy hereafter in exchange for unquestioning obedience.

American imperialism is also held responsible. This, too, is a partial truth. Stung by the attacks of 11 September 2001, the United States lashed out against Muslims almost everywhere. America’s neoconservatives thought that cracking the whip would surely bring the world to order. Instead, the opposite happened. Islamists won massively in Iraq after a war waged on fraudulent grounds by a superpower filled with hubris, arrogance and ignorance. ‘Shock and Awe’ is now turning into ‘Cut and Run’. The US is leaving behind a snake pit, from which battle-hardened terrorists are stealthily making their way to countries around the world. Polls show that the US has become one of the most unpopular countries in the world, and that, in many places, George W Bush is more disliked than Osama bin Laden.”

That Pakistani house nigger's problem articulation of course exactly parrots the blowback mantra of the massa in the West. See my response to Chris Hedges where the massa's controlled dissent is carefully dissected and dismantled:
Having firmly played the massa's own Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent, which incidentally is what makes getting visas and sabbaticals a trivial matter for Pakistan's most favored leftwing brown-sahib of the American Embassy in Islamabad: “I belong to the fortunate few who can get a visa,” Hoodbhoy offered his specious solution space of “leftwing politics” --- the key purpose of the Americans for cultivating this house nigger in Pakistan. The main task of “cognitive infiltration” to introduce “beneficial cognitive diversity” (sic!) among Pakistan's Muslim public, right alongside “Moderate Islam” as the Hegelian counterpoints to “Militant Islam”, to orchestrate internal clashes and divisions in the name of being peace-makers (see verse 2:11 of the Holy Qur'an which warns of precisely this age-old villainy: “And when it is said to them, Do not make mischief in the land, they say: We are but peace-makers.”), in Pervez Hoodbhoy's own words:

“The role of the left

Between the xenophobes of the West and the illogical fundamentalism in Muslim societies, the choices keep getting grimmer. A mutually beneficial disentanglement can only be provided by humane, reasoned and principled leftwing politics.

Looking down at planet Earth from above, one would see a bloody battlefield, where imperial might and religious fundamentalism are locked in bitter struggle. Whose victory or defeat should one wish for? There cannot be an unequivocal preference; each dispute must be looked at separately. And the answers seem to lie on the left of the political spectrum, as long as we are able to recognise what the left actually stands for.
The leftwing agenda is a positive one. It rests upon hope for a happier and more humane world that is grounded in reason, education and economic justice. It provides a sound moral compass to a world that is losing direction. One must navigate a course safely away from the xenophobes of the US and Europe – who see Islam as an evil to be suppressed or conquered – and also away from the large number of Muslims across the world who justify acts of terrorism and violence as part of asymmetric warfare.

No ‘higher authority’ defines the leftwing agenda, and no covenant of belief defines a ‘leftist’. There is no card to be carried or oath to be taken. But secularism, universalistic ideas of human rights, and freedom of belief are non-negotiable. Domination by reasons of class, race, national origin, gender or sexual orientation are all equally unacceptable.

In practical terms, this means that the left defends workers from capitalists, peasants from landlords, the colonised from the colonisers, religious minorities from state persecution, the dispossessed from the occupiers, women from male oppression, Muslims from Western Islamophobes, populations of Western countries from terrorists, and so on.”

Pervez Hoodbhoy used that “humane, reasoned and principled leftwing politics” for which: “No ‘higher authority’ defines the leftwing agenda, ... It provides a sound moral compass to a world that is losing direction” to admirably defend a frail and defenseless woman he cynically called “our new-found dukhtur-e-millat” in sympathy with his massa's verdict on her without an iota of “humane, reasoned and principled” examination of the matter. We see that Pervez Hoodbhoy goes right along parroting his massa, he reproduces their facts, their data, their analysis, and their conclusions, in the guise
of being their antagonist – the clever Intellectual Nigger! But not
cleverer than even an ordinary field negro who can administer a single
knock-out punch with one hand tied behind his back. Which is why
the house niggers tend to lurk only in the shadows of the massa, only
dare to engage in WWF style wrestling with their confreres beholden
to the same massa and its many instruments who all know how the
game is played, and not venture out into free space where the field
negroes dwell. As the lovely Pakistani singer Sanam Marvi boldly re-
marked without hesitation on mainstream Pakistan television to the bs
of her interlocuter: “chootia bana rahe ho?” (Indelicate Urdu phras-
eology for “trying to make a fool of me with your fcking bs?”)

Moving right along, it is a shame that few people understand the im-
port of crafty omissions. Which is why I have to continually empha-
size it. While the reader may have seen similar passages in many of my
writings cited here, it is necessary to restate again because the indict-
ment of the Intellectual Negro playing dissent to the massa, is often
for his calculated omissions. The art of voluntary persuasion, “to get
people actually to love their servitude”, is integral to social engineer-
ing of consent. It was most eloquently explained by the famous essay-
ist and novelist, Aldous Huxley on the 30th anniversary of the public-
ation of his allegorical novel Brave New World, at University of Cali-
ifornia, Berkeley. Huxley had very shrewdly observed a half century
ago:

'You can do everything with bayonets except sit on
them! If you are going to control any population for
any length of time you must have some measure of
consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure ter-
rorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a
fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have
to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of
getting people to consent to what is happening to
them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ulti-
mate Revolution with which we are now faced is pre-
cisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.' --- Aldous Huxley, 1962 speech at UC Berkeley, minute 04:06

Therefore, given that engineering consent of the masses is the objective of social engineering, “of getting people to consent to what is happening to them”, Aldous Huxley explained the role of calculated omissions in systems of propaganda which accomplish just that, in his Preface to *Brave New World*:

‘The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals. But silence is not enough. If persecution, liquidation and the other symptoms of social friction are to be avoided, the positive sides of propaganda must be made as effective as the negative.’ — Aldous Huxley, Preface (circa 1946) to *Brave New World*, 1931, Harper, pg. 11

Let's just pause here for a moment to deeply reflect, and to keep reminding oneself afterwards when one encounters any material in the New York Times and CNN, and in the so called alternate media
which has ostensibly risen to challenge mainstream, that they all work for the same bosses echoing the same core lies by way of both omission and commission. That, these propaganda organs in the twenty-first century do both, the crucial omissions (the negative side using silence on key matters), and the facile mantra recitations (the positive side), which Adolph Hitler in *Mein Kampf*, Edward Bernays in *Propaganda*, and Aldous Huxley had written much about in the previous century. See the already cited link for the Mighty Wurlitzer report for a detailed study of how such persuasion actually works in practice.

One other thing to also continually reflect upon – perhaps more so for the professional intellectual Negro enjoying lifetime visa to visit the massa and often finding refuge/tenure/sabbaticals in massa's institutions – is that when finally defeated, Dr. Joseph Goebbels, the Reichminister for Propaganda, only cheated that hangman's noose reflecting: “*For us, everything is lost now and the only way left for us is the one which Hitler chose. I shall follow his example’*. Witness the ultimate fate of all propagandists who try to make "chootias" (fools) of a nation:

"*Don't Be Afraid*

May 1, 1945, in the evening. The daughters and the son were already in bed, but were not asleep yet. "Don't be afraid," their mother said. "The doctor is going to give you a shot now, one that all children and soldiers are getting." She left the room, and Kunz injected the morphine, "first into the two older girls, then the boy and then the other girls." Each child received a dose of 0.5 cc. It "took eight to 10 minutes."

When the children had fallen asleep, Magda Goebbels went into the room, the cyanide pills in her hand, as Kunz testified. She returned a few seconds later, weeping and distraught. "Doctor, I can't do it, you have to do it," she said. The dentist replied: "I can't do
"Then get Dr. Stumpfegger," she said. Ludwig Stumpfegger, who was slightly younger than Kunz, had been one of SS chief Heinrich Himmler's personal doctors.

A week later, Russian coroners performed autopsies on the bodies of the children and concluded that their deaths had "occurred as a result of poisoning with cyanide compounds." The Goebbels themselves had committed suicide outside the bunker, and Stumpfegger died while attempting to break through the Russian lines in Berlin." — Source Der Spiegel
Zahir Ebrahim

Chapter 52

Caption The Goebbels family --- evidently, only defeat or victory adjudicates who is a propagandist and who isn't, not evidence. Hitler had asserted at the eve of World War II from his mountain top in Bavaria to his generals that he would 'give a propagandist reason for starting the war' and admonished them not to 'mind whether it was plausible or not'. 'The victor', he had told them, 'will not be asked afterward whether he told the truth or not. In starting and waging a war it is not the right that matters, but victory.' That unexpected “victory” of hubris eventually caught up with the propagandists. Source of quote is William Shirer's Rise and Fall of the Third Reich
(Photographs source Der Spiegel)

Now that the astute reader perceptively comprehends the key arsenal in the professional intellectual Negro's propaganda system, and why it is often difficult for even the super-learned people with a Ph.D. to detect crafty omissions (unless adjudicated by victor's justice) because
that requires accurate knowledge of many pertinent matters which is made impossible once the “iron curtain” of propaganda has been lowered around them by massa's zealous missionaries, let's move on.

Every mentally colonized people acutely suffering from the plague of occidentosis have their own fair share of this intellectual Negro. This is Iran's:


These Iranian house Niggers of the twenty-first century would do well to carefully comprehend Occidentosis, the plague from the West, that was infecting the Iranian psyche in the previous century which they evidently have also inherited:


And this is the Arab world's – not so much an intellectual Negro, but an outright un-apologetic House Negro – Professor Fouad Ajami of Johns Hopkins. It is fascinating to appreciate it in his own vernacular, in his autobiographical Dream Palace of the Arabs: A Generation's Odyssey:

'Today in Arab World – I left for America a day or two short of my eighteenth birthday, in 1963 – I am a stranger, but no distance could wash me clean of that inheritance.' (page 24)

That bit of statement of fact from his autobiography was of course left un-stated as Professor Fouad Ajami was daily paraded on mainstream television and presented to the American viewers as an objective “expert” on the Middle East. He was always there right alongside all the objective expert Pentagon Generals and various other think-tank pun-
dits during the immense “doctrinal motivation” buildup to Operation Iraqi Freedom in 2002-2003! Here is another gem from his autobiography:

'I knew little of religion. My family were Shia Muslims. ... None of my peers I recall, observed religious ritual or went to the mosque for Friday prayers. We were not a religious breed. Our lodestar was the secular political and cultural world. ... Fate had played with the lives of men and women, and it had dealt the Palestinians what it had. This sensibility could not be mine or my generation's as a whole.' (page 12,13)

It is therefore unsurprising that Professor Fouad Ajami finds much favor with Zionist Islamophobes like Daniel Pipes, and of course with the New York Times. The reason I do not consider Dr. Fouad Ajami an 'intellectual Negro', but rather just an ordinary 'House Negro', is because Ajami is very straightforward. He forthrightly speaks in the vernacular “we”. The poor chap is outright suffering from occidentosis in its most basic form: he is more white than the white man! And to his credit, he is also un-apologetic about it. The white man thus adores native informants like him! And why wouldn't he? The following is an excerpt from an un-published review of Fouad Ajami's autobiographical book, from Chapter 9 of my own 2003 maiden work, Prisoners of the Cave:

'In many places in the Dream Palace, Dr. Fouad Ajami provides insightful commentaries on the state of the Arab world. It can actually be quite an enjoyable book for its wit with some gems of quotations from Arab poets. But it is also an upsetting book as a politically indoctrinating propaganda for the Zionists. It is replete with prejudice and misrepresentation of facts through carefully crafted omissions of history and its selective retelling – especially by someone of
Arab Muslim origin turned Zionist sympathizer. It appears from his book that Fouad Ajami greatly admires the assassinated Israeli Prime Minister Rabin. Laudatorily calls him “a son of Zionist pioneers”, and scorns the intellectuals in the Palestinian Diaspora for their “maximalist” and unrealistic “right of return” position against Israel.

His entire articulation seems to me to be a thinly disguised glorification of Israel, and his opinions mirror those of the Israeli establishment. There is nothing wrong with that per se – one always feels closer to one's own people. But there is something incredibly devious about not openly projecting Fouad Ajami as spokesperson for Israel as he is paraded on mainstream television, but rather always as an expert on the Middle East purveying a neutral and informed perspective on the conflagration there. Whereas on the very rare occasion when the other side is invited, it is made abundantly clear that they speak for the Arab perspective. The subtleties of subliminal manipulation is not lost on any astute observer. But of course, on everyone else in America who are its victim.

Thus, when Fouad Ajami speaks or writes, he dwells on the Palestinian suicide bombers like any Israeli, and omits Israel's incessant killing of innocent Palestinian children, women, and men on a daily basis, the deliberate demolition of their homes with armored bulldozers making large families homeless on their own lands again and again forcing them to life in refugee tents, or any of the facts that have already been exposed earlier in this book. He glowingly talks of Israel's great economy, and conveni-
ently fails to mention the billions of dollars of American tax payer's wealth and the mighty military-industrial complex defense contracts that Israel receives from America annually which makes that economy run in proxy services to the hectoring hegemons.

He berates the Arab dictators and their despotism in those societies, and fails to mention how these same dictators are propped up by active support of the Americans – even as he allows other voices to mildly say these things in his book, he never says them himself. He praises the Arab kings who journey to Israel, and fails to mention the reality of these kings – who put them there in the first place and whose interests they really represent.

His book is replete with sins of omission and misrepresentation in the guise of pseudo psychoanalysis of the Arab psyche, and especially of the Palestinian population in Diaspora. Professor Ajami's dominant appearance in the mainstream news media when no other voices of dissent are allowed to rebut his propaganda, makes him especially powerful in molding public opinion. And he is indeed permitted to hold sway over the minds of the unsuspecting American public mainly because he serves the purpose of empire. Due to this fact, it is imperative and fair to expose his inimical biases against the very people whom he is being called upon to offer his "objective" opinion, so that all may judge for themselves.

So, when Fouad Ajami opines, it must remain clear to the American audience that he does not represent anyone but himself and his Zionist masters. That, his Middle Eastern appearance, accent, and enormous heritage are an inconvenient baggage from which, by
his own admission: “no distance could wash me clean of that inheritance.” That, his political views and purported analyses only project the one-sided perspective of the approximately 4 million Zionist Jewish population of Israel and their few hundred thousand backers and financiers in America diabolically orchestrating their Eretz Yisrael on the backs of the conquered superpower du jour. That, Professor Fouad Ajami does not betray the tortuous realities on the ground for the over 100 million Arab Muslims anymore than his Zionist masters. That, Fouad Ajami is in fact, their House Negro!

So, what’s the antidote for such a pernicious disease which is spreading rapidly among a small population of the 'untermenschen' (German word for 'the lesser people')?

I feel that as in the yesteryear, the solution to overcome these dreaded cancers of de facto mental colonization are also the same: un-colonized, un co-opted, clear-headed, inspiring leadership by the fearless who can both instill some pride among the people, and stand up unfettered to the hectoring hegemons – just as Malcolm X did.

Transformative leadership isn't a parrot. Nor is it a useful idiot. And nor an intellectual nigger! It is an independent thinker and courageous leader appropriate to its own time and space.

Such transformative leadership can only arise from among the ‘field Negroes’ – I don’t see it happening at all from among the ‘house Negroes’. The degree of their co-option is evidently complete! And such emergent leadership, in order to be effective, would be required to immediately and strenuously confront both the ‘house niggers’ and the fifth columnists in a continuous battle with entrenched power, and this is where they are liable to come up very prematurely dead without having made a dent!

Therefore, without an astute political acumen and real power base that
can protect the emerging leadership, the game is over before it is begun. Only power can cut power!

**Source URL:** http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/02/what-is-intellectual-negro.html

FAQ First Published February 09, 2010
Chapter 53

Fabled Dissent
Deconstructing Dissent Part II
Dissecting the Massa’s Dissent

Rebuttal to Paul Craig Roberts':
'Washington Arrogance has Fomented a Muslim Revolution'

Summary

[1] Zahir Ebrahim rebutted in a Letter to Editor on Sat, Dec 6, 2008 at 11:14 AM: 'Do you mind, Mr. Paul Craig Roberts, if you stopped blaming Muslims for all the black-ops' false-flag operations on the planet: “The attack on Mumbai required radicalized Muslims”?'

[2] Paul Craig Roberts replied on Sat, Dec 6, 2008
at 1:39 PM: “Whoever wrote this letter cannot read. I wrote that the Muslims were revolutionaries, not terrorists, that they had been driven to revolution to throw off their Western oppressors.”

[3] Zahir Ebrahim responded on Sat, Dec 6, 2008 at 3:02 PM: 'Merely quoting you Mr. Paul Craig Roberts: “The attack on Mumbai required radicalized Muslims”. Your article is nonsense. It is the work of a disinformationist, if not an outright simpleton. It ignores black-ops, covert-ops, entirely. It ignores the reality-space of fabricating pretexts for creating incremental fait accompli for world-government. It blames the Mumbai terror event as the blowback for America's excesses. The wanton and meaningless terror act was nothing of the kind. There is also no revolution brewing among the Muslims "to throw off their Western oppressors." Far from it. Your essay is merely a devious attempt to resurrect a boogieman that doesn't exist – along the lines of “clash of civilizations”, but replacing Bernard Lewis' "Islamic Triumphantism" with your "radicalized Muslims" due to oppression. It isn't clear why one should rejoice in Muslims being called “revolutionaries” for acts which are entirely terroristic, wanton, take the lives of innocent, and outright criminal. If anything, it is maligning an entire peoples. Still works wonders when the enemy is kept external. And the name of “whoever wrote this letter” is Zahir Ebrahim.'

[4] Paul Craig Roberts replied on Sat, Dec 6, 2008 at 7:32 PM: “you are a completely stupid fool, a disgrace to humanity”
[5] Epilogue The Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent

The following letter to editor was sent to the listed editors on Sat, Dec 6, 2008 at 11:14 AM. Mr. Paul Craig Roberts' responses and my followup rebuttal are appended. A darling of the white man's dissent, many Western websites published PCR's essay; NONE carried my straightforward refutation. The white supremacist Massa carrying the white man's burden, a frequent contributor to Vdare.com, evidently did not like being exposed as the asset of the Mighty Wurlitzer by a mere "field negro"!

[1] Letter to Editor

To: BaltimoreChronicle, Lewrockwell, Antiwar.com, WRH, Infowars & Alex Jones
CC: paulcraigroberts@yahoo.com
Date: December 06, 2008

The only response I can give to this lame article being carried on your stellar website 'Washington Arrogance has Fomented a Muslim Revolution', is the letter appended below. Do you mind, Mr. Paul Craig Roberts, if you stopped blaming Muslims for all the black-ops' false-flag operations on the planet: “The attack on Mumbai required radicalized Muslims”? Thanks!

Zahir Ebrahim
An ordinary Muslim
The Real Terrorists: Letter to Pak Alert Press

December 05, 2008

Thank you for compiling these references in this analysis “THE NAR-IMAN HOUSE STORY”. The following astute observation made in the article still begs the forensic question who and why:

“This terrorist incident will most likely lead to much greater bloodshed unless the true culprits are found and convicted in a court of law. Some analysts say that this event could lead to a new world war.”

Definition Red Herring: 'a smelly fish that a fugitive drags across the path in order to put the pursuing dogs off the trail'

Lest some very good peoples remain on the treadmill of red herrings, Project Humanbeingsfirst issued the following as comment for this excellent website Pak Alert Press. It is reproduced below.

[Zahir's Afterword: Subsequently, I determined Pak Alert Press to itself be an intelligence operation run by either the Pakistan military or the ISI. All nations' intelligence agencies maintain a very strong front on the internet and spend countless millions (or billions in case of the superpower) trafficking in the tunes of the Mighty Wurlitzer.]

The Real Terrorists – by Zahir Ebrahim
The atrocity in Mumbai last week, and the bizarre data that is emerging, will make more sense if one stops viewing this heinous terrorist act from the traditional Indian-Pakistan lens. It is urgent that people in both nations begin to appreciate what's at stake so that each may initiate proper self-defense against accurately identified hidden dangers from the overt 'katputli tamashas'.

The following News Flash is Project Humanbeingsfirst's position on this manufactured terror. To appreciate it, please study the methods of Rand Corporation, CFR, Zbigniew Brzezinski, et. al., and acquire an understanding of the notion of world-government. Political science runs the world, and the children of Machiavelli are deeply rooted in waging war by way of deception – a game of conquest “as old as mankind”. From the East India Company to Free Trade to the War on Terror – all enablers of pretexts for “imperial mobilization” and “full spectrum dominance”. In the West, typically, the smartest students study humanities and social sciences, and the think-tanks as well as the Pentagon are populated with scores of PhDs in political science and history. What do you think they do there? What do you think they do at the Ford and Rockefeller Foundations, and the Carnegie Endowment for Peace? How about at CFR and RIIA? They are united in one common global agenda – world government. And they get there by shrewdly employing the overarching political science concept articulated by David Ben Gurion “what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times”!

Therefore, please don't blame the Hindus or ISI or Jihadis – patsies and mercenaries come in all stripes, ethnic origin, and social class. And the best recruits for patsies are always those who have grievances or are disgruntled. The best recruits for mercenaries are the ruling-elite, politicians, and military men. In both cases they know very little, in fact often zero, and are merely the 'trigger pullers' of average intelligence. Instead, look for those 'ubermensch' who employ them. To do so, one has to understand the agendas, and what scheme of things is really being enacted on the world-stage. That, according to the
forensic reports of Project Humanbeingsfirst (available on its website), points to an entirely different motivation-space and entirely different criminals from the 'katputli tamahas' being enacted for public consumption.

**News Flash:**

Terrorist rampage in Mumbai, India – Watch for “Hindustan Patriot Act” being enabled shortly! The last bastion of democracy, the largest in the world, had to be converted into a police state as “World government could only be kept in being by force”. This isn't an isolated event. The monetary collapse, the Obama government, predictions of first year of horrible terrorist acts worldwide to be blamed on the manufactured 'Militant Islamists' – enablers of endgame. Stop blaming either the Indians, or the Pakistanis. This has been a mil-ops all the way – no different than the Marriott Hotel terrorist act in Islamabad. See [Press Release WHAT'S TO BE DONE – Massive Bomb Blast in Islamabad Marriott September 20, 2008](#). The same applies to Mumbai.

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

[2] Mr. Roberts Replied with Cc to editors: Sat, Dec 6, 2008 at 1:39 PM

Whoever wrote this letter cannot read. I wrote that the Muslims were revolutionaries, not terrorists, that they had been driven to revolution to throw off their Western oppressors.
Zahir Ebrahim responded with Cc to editors: Sat, Dec 6, 2008 at 3:02 PM

Merely quoting you Mr. Paul Craig Roberts: “The attack on Mumbai required radicalized Muslims”.

Your article is nonsense. It is the work of a disinformationist, if not an outright simpleton. It ignores black-ops entirely. It ignores the reality-space of creating pretexts for incremental faits accomplis for world-government. It blames the Mumbai terror event as the blowback for America's excesses. The wanton and meaningless terror act was nothing of the kind. There is also no revolution brewing among the Muslims “to throw off their Western oppressors.” Far from it. Your essay is merely a devious attempt to resurrect a boogieman that doesn't exist – along the lines of “clash of civilizations”, but replacing Bernard Lewis' “Islamic Triumphantism” with your “radicalized Muslims” due to oppression. It isn't clear why one should rejoice in Muslims being called “revolutionaries” for acts which are entirely terrorist, wanton, take the lives of innocent, and outright criminal. If anything, it is maligning an entire peoples. Still works wonders when the enemy is kept external.

And the name of “whoever wrote this letter” is Zahir Ebrahim.

Thank you,

Zahir Ebrahim.

Mr. Roberts replied: Sat, Dec 6, 2008 at 7:32 PM

From: Paul Craig Roberts <paulcraigroberts@yahoo.com>

The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity 2015 1547
you are a completely stupid fool, a disgrace to humanity

[5] Epilogue The Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent

To be sure that such rich munificence being heaped upon the Muslims is not from some ordinary ignorant fellow in the mainstream, Mr. Paul Craig Roberts' distinguished bio which accompanied his article reads as follows:

“Paul Craig Roberts is an economist who served as an Assistant Secretary of the Treasury in the Reagan Administration earning fame as the “Father of Reagonomics”. He is a former editor and columnist for the Wall Street Journal, Business Week, and Scripps Howard News Service. He is the author of Supply-Side Revolution: An Insider's Account of Policy-making in Washington; Alienation and the Soviet Economy: The Collapse of the Socialist Era and Melt-down: Inside the Soviet Economy, and is the co-author with Lawrence M. Stratton of The Tyranny of Good Intentions: How Prosecutors and Law Enforcement Are Trampling the Constitution in the Name of Justice.”

So, Project Humanbeingsfirst will graciously let him have the last
word.
No big deal that a supposed dissent-chief [from among the massa] and a great supporter of justice [for the plebeians] only heaped slander upon the Muslims in the guise of critiquing his own nation: “The attack on Mumbai required radicalized Muslims”. His masters have done much worse – bombed entire civilizations into smithereens. See Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science to understand the Hegelian Dialectics of Deception, and the concept of manufactured dissent among the rebels to complement the manufactured consent among the mainstream, both of which retain all core-axioms of 'empire' intact! The difference is that for consent, the empire is projected as good. For dissent, the empire is projected as bad. But the same external enemy is retained, and only re-incarnated either as “jihadis” and “Militant Islam” (consent), or “revolutionaries” and “blow-back” (dissent). Neither manufacturers will ever extend their discourse to covert-ops, to forensic analysis of overarching agendas, and to “inside job”!

Source URL : http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/12/letter-paul-craig-roberts-rebuttal.html
First Published December 06, 2008
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Fabled Dissent
The Francis Boyle Trilogy

Part-I  Response to Francis Boyle's filing of criminal complaint in ICC against Bush

Open Letter to Francis Boyle, the Moral Law Professor, on the Ignored Iraqi & Afghani Victims of Imperial Mobilization

January 31, 2010

Subject Re:  International Criminal Court Complaint Filed Against Bush, Cheney, Rumsfeld, Tenet, Rice, Gonzales By Prof. Francis A. Boyle
Dear Dr. Francis Boyle:

In reference to your complaint filed with the ICC against the previous errand-boys who occupied the White House, would it be rude to notice that the higher order bits of using 911 to “goosestep the herrenvolk across international frontiers”; the subsequent “shock and awe” visitations upon largely civilian population-centers and civilian infrastructures; the decimation of millions of Iraqis/Afghans; subverting of the United States into a pre-planned police-state; fabricating crises upon crises to propose pre-planned solutions in order to systematically usurp national sovereignty as per the diabolical modus operandi set by the Council on Foreign Relations in their own documents: “In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.” – have all been overlooked to pursue the relatively lower order crimes of “extraordinary rendition” upon a few individuals with the highly dubious statement: “I doubt very seriously that the Accused would have inflicted these criminal practices upon 100 White Judeo-Christian men.”?

We only wish there was one decent 'Christian' man or woman alive in the hallways and beltways of Western academe and among the legal fraternity who might even attempt to capture the angst of the tens of millions of Iraqis/Afghans still barely alive and who still await someone who will file legal charges against the monumental crimes that have been perpetrated upon them and their millions of murdered kin.

We fervently hope that you, Professor Francis Boyle, might be such a person. That you will file pertinent charges in the ICC, for both culpability and restitution, on behalf of the untold millions already dead in Iraq and Afghanistan, many more suffering with their entire national
fabric, ancient heritage, and even their DNA despoiled in a crime so stupendous that “it is a mystery whose parallel may only be the one of Sinai when something was revealed to mankind.”

For surely, it is inconceivable that you make complete obeisance to Western standards of morality by focussing on this lower order crime in the presence of un-addressed higher order monumental crimes – a morality which brazenly asserts from the highest pulpit of law in this land of the free:

“Nothing is more certain in modern society than the principle that there are no absolutes, that a name, a phrases, a standard has meaning only when associated with the considerations which give birth to nomenclature. To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative.” -- Justice Vinson, U.S. Supreme Court, 1951 AD

And as you venture to focus on the higher order real monumental crimes against humanity, we hope you will not remain content by focussing merely on the errand boys. That you will see the connections between the quest for “Global Governance”, fabricated crises from pandemics to global warming, the seeding of “revolutionary times” across the “global zone of percolating violence” to make “imperial mobilization” possible which otherwise is “inconceivable in normal times”, and the “history's actors” who drive war-mongering policies and orchestrate wretched events from their Zion in the Middle East to their Zion in the Western capitals, not the least of which is Washington DC, in the expectation of creating the ultimate “Zion that will light up all the world”.

And that, as you pursue the highest order crimes, you will not shy away from going directly for the jugular of the prime-movers behind the scenes of all the war-mongering 'errand boys' fronting for them in
the Western capitals as the duly elected representatives of a duped Western peoples, including those presently occupying the White House. This was, lamentably enough, already pleaded to no avail in the following editorial when your lonely fraternal brother, Mr. Vincent Bugliosi, courageously asserted that “Murder Trumps Torture”, but inexplicably failed to also simultaneously assert the legal and moral commonsense that prime-movers trump trigger-pullers: Who is more guilty of monumental war crimes - the prime-movers or trigger pullers? April 09, 2009.

Attempted prosecution of state-criminals on 'petty charges' without also prosecuting their criminal aiders and abettors who 'legalize' and propagandistically justify their brazen acts of aggression, and therefore are entirely culpable in toto for “all the evil which follows”; staying silent on punitive as well restitutive compensation for their victims, is a legal sham that is only waiting to be torn down by some courageous people. We hope, Dr. Francis Boyle, that you might be one such precedent setting spark which ignites the moral imagination of many to follow. The world has had enough from the dispensers of victor's justice!

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Addendum February 02, 2010 Emailed to Francis Boyle

In. Ref To: Bush To The Hague! The Hague Acknowledges Francis Boyle On His Filing Against Bush et al For War-Crime: Extraordinary Rendition

Additionally, in principle, Project Humanbeingsfirst.org supports all effective measures which attempt to bring the entire hierarchy of monumental criminals to fair justice. As is often the case with victor's justice however, and with those in the victor civilizations pursuing their notion of justice against the criminals in their own midst, the big picture is being silently swept aside in the “Bush To The Hague!” Campaign. That moral campaign should rightly be “Bush-Obama-Congress-Foundations-Banksters To The Hague” Campaign. And a similarly appropriate one waged in the UK and the EU.

It is not sufficient, in the view of Project Humanbeingsfirst, to pursue lower order crimes and charge the lower-order errand boys for these crimes, while staying eloquently silent on the most significant crimes and neglecting to seek restitution/compensation/punitive-damages for the most significant of its victims! While the old errand boys out of power are being pursued with vigor, a new crop of errand boys are already in-charge committing new monumental crimes without inhibition. What rational commonsense does this make? Are we to presume that morality in modernity is inversely proportional to rationality and commonsense? That millions of 'untermensch' victims are to simply remain nameless and faceless statistics to build careers and glossy prize-winning narratives on? We certainly hope not!

To put efficacy into the matter of stopping crimes against humanity before they transpire rather than lament in futility afterwards with vacuous pledges of 'never again' with Public Relations show trials and new museums, the perpetual prime-movers of wars pushing their insidious multifaceted agendas behind the turbulence of wars have to be neutered. Their enormous power and influence stemming from their
infinite wealth that is legally sanctioned to them by the law makers who represent them in governance, terminated. Where is the precedent for that being set?

It wasn't even set at the Nuremberg Military Tribunals when Dr. Hjalmar Schacht, the former governor of the Reich Bank – the banker who orchestrated the financing for Hitler's war-machine with funding from Wall Street and the City of London financiers – went scot-free! Just that tiny point of incontrovertible fact proves the truth of this open letter and demonstrates the enormous power of the prime-movers which is being swept under the rug by focussing on their errand boys. These errand boys are untouchable so long as their godfathers protect them, except when made dispensable and administered the victor's justice as at the Nuremberg Trials.

We hope this minor oversight will be rectified.

Ref: http://www.afterdowningstreet.org/node/49647

Reply by Francis Boyle

--------- Message From Boyle, Francis ---------

From: FBOYLE@law.illinois.edu
Date: Sun, Jan 31, 2010 at 5:10 PM
Subject: RE: Bush To The Hague! The Hague Acknowledges Francis Boyle On His Filing Against Bush et al For War-Crime: Extraordinary Rendition

To: Project Humanbeingsfirst.org, neimpeach@gmail.com, david@-
No thank you. Fab.

Francis A. Boyle
Law Building
504 E. Pennsylvania Ave.
Champaign, IL 61820 USA
217-333-7954 (voice)
217-244-1478 (fax)
(personal comments only)

- ### -


Source PDF Original Letter emailed to the distinguished jurist and his lackluster response:

First Published January 31, 2010
Part-II  Response to Francis Boyle's '2011: Prospects for Humanity?'

Unlimited Imperialism and Nation-States all day – but no mention of Secret Rule by Oligarchy for World Government

January 07, 2011

After reading Francis A. Boyle's missive with the overarching title “2011: Prospects for Humanity?”, I now perhaps begin to fathom why the Ph.D. professor of international law at the University of Illinois
College of Law, author of two of my favorite books on informed activism from which I have learnt a great deal: “Protesting Power: War, Resistance, and Law” and “Biowarfare and Terrorism”, does not recognize the real prime-movers of hegemony and 'unlimited imperialism'.

Dr. Francis Boyle, student of Hans Morgenthau (source Press TV)

By his own admission that he is a Hans Morgenthau protégé, the fog is gently lifting on why Dr. Boyle always only focuses on the state's actions and crimes, never on those who control the state from behind the scenes with their hired front-men and errand boys serving only their narrow interests in the guise of Elected Representatives of the People.

I never understood this before, and wrote letters upon letters to Dr. Francis Boyle rationally pleading with him to focus on the prime-movers, to obviously no avail. Letters like these:

Well, it is now clear why Francis Boyle never responded to appeals to look behind the puppetshows of statecraft to directly spotlight the puppetmasters.

Hans Morgenthau, his teacher, principally saw nation-states as the key actors of power. The errand boys running the Pentagon and the White House and the Congress were deemed the real players of power projection. They were implicitly defined as the ruling elite in the military-industrial complex. The calculus of power realism therefore, was principally to be understood in the context of nation-states exercising 'unlimited imperialism' by these power-brokers alone, never the financial oligarchy ruling the super-power nation-state, the United States of America, from behind the scene for their own narrow interests which had nothing to do with nation-state's best interests, let alone the nation's people's best interests.

A strategic omission?

Was this error in calibration of foreign policy calculus deliberate?

Well, where did Francis Boyle study? Chicago and Harvard of course. Do they mention the Federal Reserve System over there? Do they mention money as debt, or ever wonder why the hell does a super power have to pay private bankers the interest on the national currency? Do they mention the names of the Rockefeller family donors, unless laudatorily, as when David Rockefeller recently donated $400 million to Harvard? Do they mention the House of Rothschild, heaven forbid, except when ordering their champagne by the cart full? Is there some on-going discussion at the Kennedy school of government, or in the political science departments of Chicago, Harvard, and Princeton, of how tax-exempt foundations hiding the immense wealth of the Black Nobility, whom I call the financial oligarchs, actually fabricate the policies for the elected errand-boys and appointed ministers at the Pentagon and the Treasury to execute? Policies which are designed at
the CFR and the Trilateral Commission, at the AEI and the hundred think-tanks along the Potomac, to incrementally, and diabolically, lead to Global Governance by breaking up the United States and all nation-states by stages: “In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.” (See Hard Road to World Order, Foreign Affairs, 1974)

And this subversive agenda of diabolically eliminating national sovereignty in make-break-remake cyclical stages to piece-meal create the new global empire of the oligarchy on earth wasn't known in the aftermath of World War II? Not in the aftermath of World War I? The super learned Americans hadn't heard of the Round Table and its many children dispersed into tax exempt foundations and supra-national bodies for engineering that very singular outcome in the most diabolical way imaginable?

Oh really!

“We are at present working discreetly with all our might to wrest this mysterious force called sovereignty out of the clutches of the local nation states of the world. All the time we are denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands, because to impugn the sovereignty of the local nation states of the world is still a heresy for which a statesman or publicist can perhaps not quite be burned at the stake but certainly be ostracized or discredited.” --- Arnold J. Toynbee, Director, Royal Institute of International Affairs, (Chatham House) London, The Trend of International Affairs Since the War, International Affairs, November 1931, pg. 809
See my article:


And by Francis Boyle's own revealing disclosure elsewhere of his experiences at America's finest universities, it is all the more evident what imperial institutions pursue and omit to pursue in the service of empire. Why would students who study in these imperial institutions, and the professors who teach there, be any more immune from the core assumptions and axiomatic presuppositions of empire which subsequently become the backdrop for their intellectual output and future careers, than the rest of the well-paid intellectuals, engineers, and scientists who willingly help construct empire in the vast military-industrial-think complex of the West? All share common presuppositions that have been inculcated in them most carefully throughout their educational careers. These are indoctrinated assumption which they almost always leave unexamined as subsequent goods producing members of Western society, and vigorously belittle as “conspiracy theory” when brought to the fore by an odd truth explorer. Here is the latest example from the modern epoch, of a Harvard engineering professor seeking greener pastures at Google corporation, The Master Builders of the Technetronic Era – sharing common axioms of empire everywhere one looks among the high-achieving crowd in the university-corporate-nonprofit nexus of the West, albeit at different levels of abstraction based on each individual's role in the imperial system.

Thus, in the dissent-space located in Hans Morgenthau's axiomatic world of which Francis Boyle is evidently a zealot member by his own admission, when nation-state's international policies go awry, or become criminal, as in America's many senseless wars of imperialism but with little national gain for herself except into the private military-industrial complex coffers due to the expansive military spendings because of it, the elected representatives of the people are the first criminals, alongside the overt bureaucracy, and the rest of the visible offi-
cialdom. Thus, the analyses of just those visible imperial policies gone awry, and the criminal errand boys enacting them, in just that singular context of nation-state's "negotiating" their power-interests on the Grand Chessboard, is deemed sufficient to explain the entire calculus of hegemony in that worldview.

But is that really sufficient? Or, like the iceberg that shows itself, is it merely only the 10% agenda visible above the surface?

All the examples of imperialism cited by Prof. Francis Boyle in his missive, from ancient Alexander-Roman-Muslim empires to America's wars today, exactly reflect that principle of officialdom and visible rulers, kings and monarchs, being the main ruling elite of the empire. The overt rulers of empires in all of history that Francis Boyle refers to, were almost always indeed their main ruling elite. They were the real power-base of society. They were not like the iceberg. Thus the Hans Morgenthau world of dissent could have been applicable to them – if dissent against the villainy of power in the name of freedom of speech was permitted to exist at that time under the absolutist powers of the kings. It wasn't for a good reason.

But is that really the case for the sole-superpower, the United States of America, where "democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization", where "deception is the state of mind and the mind of state", where pretexts for imperial mobilizations are diabolically engineered and shrewdly harnessed, where the freedoms of Orwell, of Machiavelli, of manufactured consent and manufactured dissent, of social engineering to standardize and uniformize society for "United We Stand", are its prized gift to mankind?

Only in absurdities. And only for intellectual savants living in the vast immanent spaces of the academe with no bearing in hard empiricism the honest exposing of which can see them immediately terminated from their over-rated services for which they are paid aplenty as professors spitting in the same plate that feeds them (i.e., critiquing the very empire they draw their lucrative salaries from under the banner
of freedom of speech). Dissent of famous professors on the payroll of empire: can they ever honestly penetrate the semi-transparent veil to expose the real corridors of power, by definition, except in enacting the shadowplay as in Plato's cave?

This is the hard price of freedom of speech – freedom that did not exist under the absolutist rule of kings but is permitted to exist in Western democracies. It is permitted to exist only because the end result is crafted to be the same: to be the controllers outside Plato's cave on essential topics that really matter and the honest and accurate revealing of which would be inimical to the real powers that be.

And can those living in that Plato's underground cave ever figure out the reality of what is being craftily hidden from them by diligently studying the shadowplay being enacted on the screen for their benefit, under the well-kept illusion of freedom and liberty of Western democracy to pursue truth and justice as a constitutionally protected endeavor?

“None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free. The truth has been kept from the depth of their minds by masters who rule them with lies. They feed them on falsehoods till wrong looks like right in their eyes.” --- German Philosopher Goethe

That's what the noble jurist Francis Boyle's life's work has evidently been focussed on – the visible puppet shows enacted on Plato's underground cave and bringing those evil errand boys to justice! Noam Chomsky easily comes to mind as the one who most closely resembles Francis Boyle when I read statements like these in “2011: Prospects for Humanity?”:

“By shamelessly exploiting the terrible tragedy of 11 September 2001, the Bush Jr. administration set forth to steal a hydrocarbon empire from the Muslim states and peoples living in Central Asia and the Persian
Gulf under the bogus pretexts of (1) fighting a war against international terrorism; and/or (2) eliminating weapons of mass destruction; and/or (3) the promotion of democracy; and/or (4) self-styled "humanitarian intervention." Only this time the geopolitical stakes are infinitely greater than they were a century ago: control and domination of two-thirds of the world's hydrocarbon resources and thus the very fundament and energizer of the global economic system – oil and gas.”

But I don't think Noam Chomsky's teacher was Hans Morgenthau. I suspect Noam Chomsky is almost entirely self-taught in his controlled and very measured dissent, especially of 9/11, that it was an invasion from abroad, directly echoing the Pentagon's core-message, with soulful inspiration of dissent drawn from the likes of atheist like Bertrand Russell and the fabians, anarchists, and every brand of rebel except the one who can spell Rothschild, Rockefeller, 'inside job'.

And like the distinguished Noam Chomsky, the rebel leader extraordinaire who is even billed by the empire's own mouthpiece, the New York Times, as “arguably the most important intellectual alive”, the much respected and internationally renowned Dr. Francis Boyle of the controlled dissent space, also pretends by way of careful omissions that such evergreen statements of the Rothschild's: “give me control of a nation's money supply and I care not who makes its laws” is merely folklore. That the tortuous political science underwriting that subversive philosophy of full spectrum control of society from behind the scenes is of no immediate pertinence in comprehending the real calculus of real power-projection of nation-states for the exercise of its 'unlimited imperialism'. Because, as it's now clear, his mentor, Dr. Hans Morgenthau, too acted in precisely that way, sharing in the same pretenses that nation-states are the principal prime-movers in the exercise of hegemony, and teaching his student the same profound sense of justice for its errand boys while carefully guarding the
very existence of the oligarchy and its role in international affairs.

Indeed, un-remarkably, all three lauded intellectual savants, Morgenthau, Chomsky, Boyle, as leaders of Western democratic dissent whom the conscionable intellectuals of the world vie to emulate as the zenith of intellectual integrity, share the same core-axioms regarding power inflexion – all deny, by omission, the hidden power of the oligarchic elite that piggy-backs upon the facade of Representative Government to pursue their own private globalist agenda.

The following paper by Ola Tunundar of Oslo, quotes Hans Morgenthau presenting an ostensibly empirical, but in my view a rather distorted model of the American governance system characterized as such by what it omits more than what it actually states:

“After September 11, the US ‘democratic state’ (characterised by openness, legal procedures and free elections) is forcefully supported by or rather subsumed under a US ‘security state’ (characterised by secrecy and military hierarchy). Much of public life is ‘securitized’ and the president and his close advisers are focused on the War on Terror, not on civilian matters. ‘I am a war president. I make decisions … with war on my mind’, President Bush said. The security aspect of the state is invading the public sphere as if we were entering a creeping state of emergency. ‘Emergency power’ is used to direct the policy of the democratic state. In 1955, Hans Morgenthau wrote about a US ‘dual state’ in a study of US State Department. According to Morgenthau there was both a ‘regular state hierarchy’ that acts according to the rule of law and a more or less hidden ‘security hierarchy’, or what I will call a ‘security state’ (in some countries called ‘deep state’) that acts in parallel to the
former, while it monitors and controls the former. The latter ‘exert an effective veto over the decisions’ of the regular state, to quote Morgenthau. The ‘democratic state’ and the ‘security state’ always ‘march side by side’, and while the ‘democratic state’ offer legitimacy to security politics the ‘security state’ intervenes if necessary by limiting the range of democratic politics.

Others would argue that the activity of the ‘deep state’ or ‘security state’ not just concerns the veto of democratic decisions but also the ‘fine tuning of democracy’, for example by ‘fostering’ the war or the limited war in order to externalize conflicts and provide internal stability. The ‘security state’ is able to calibrate or manipulate the policies of the ‘democratic state’.

The ‘security state’ decides over life and death, it is always present, and it will act in case of ‘emergency’. This apparatus defines when a ‘state of emergency emerge’. This is what Carl Schmitt would call the ‘sovereign’, and by ‘securitizing’ the political life, the democratic state looses its influence.

After September 11, the US administration has securitized what used to be public and tilted the balance in favour of the ‘security state’. To many Europeans, the new US policy is difficult to understand. The Guardian and BBC say that the ‘Big Brother will be watching America’. --- Ola Tunundar, 2004, The Use of Terrorism to Construct World Order

Even in that admission of 'security state', there is no admission of an oligarchy. Is it even implied that it exists, and works in its own private interests, as opposed to the nation's it piggy-backs upon? That entire
construct is visibly absent from Hans Morgenthau's formulation, just as it is absent from his student's formulation, that a ruling oligarchic power works for its own private agenda, openly proclaiming at the Council on Foreign Relations (bears repeating): “In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.”

The apparatus of the militarized 'security state' in Hans Morganthau's world is evidently still only within the construct of the publicly proclaimed well-defined nation-state and its patriotically hoisted flag. Which, in order to effectuate unpopular policies abroad when pretenses of “democracy” have to be upheld – as “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization” – engages in a-moral acts in its own imperial interests by resorting to the non-democratic means afforded by its secretive national 'security state' apparatus. Thus, in Hans Morganthau's world of international affairs, it is still only the nation-state which is the principal actor, whatever its other formulation ('security state'), and not the hidden in plain-sight oligarchy which rules it with an iron fist from behind the scene under the pretense of periodic elections and democracy. Furthermore, Morganthau presumes, like every other patriotic American imbued with the spirit of Americanism, that the construct of the democratic nation-state itself is genuine: “The ‘democratic state’ and the ‘security state’ always ‘march side by side’, and while the ‘democratic state’ offer legitimacy to security politics the ‘security state’ intervenes if necessary by limiting the range of democratic politics.”

Not wanting to write a pedantic Ph.D. dissertation here on what subversive forces principally govern Pax Americana from behind the visible facade of superpower hegemony that is egregiously exercised for all to see from the White House using its much publicized military-industrial complex and its national security state apparatus, if there is...
any doubt that American Democracy, whether as the 'democratic state', or the 'security state', is entirely stage-managed by the errand boys of the oligarchy for their own private agenda, all dutifully enacting the policies handed them by the privately funded, unelected, tax-exempt foundations and think-tanks of the oligarchs, please see my analysis and advocacy written before the 2008 elections which examines this virtuous and pious presumption of the scholars of empire spanning the gamut of Left and Right: Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy!

In these artificially constrained formulations of Hans Morganthau, all these are very nuanced but very significant omissions. Permit me to enumerate their import lest it be lost on the 'likha-parrha jahils' of modernity (uber-educated Ivy League morons):

1) These omissions entirely distort the picture of reality because they fail to identify the real prime-movers who run the superpower under varying abstractions of democracy which the academic pundits, and political scientists, love to write their lofty theses on. Witness Samuel Huntington's *Clash of Civilizations* for instance, which carries the same bogus spirit of America is a 'democratic state'.

2) These omissions mask the real causes of world wars, the real causes of 'clash of civilizations' and other dysfunctions, and the real purpose behind the apparatus of the national 'security state' which can freely employ extra-constitutional means when the 'democratic state' reaches its limits of operation, as they ‘march side by side’.

3) These omissions entirely mask the diabolical baby-steps undertaken by both flavor of 'state' in synchronous lockstep to achieve only a common agenda, the
'democratic state' by signing treaties and enacting laws and statutes, and the 'security state' by creating international pretexts and controlling domestic politics.

And what is that common agenda? Is this such a state-secret that brilliant academic savants need a plebeian to inform them?

Since each of the two state abstractions work for the same oligarchy, their common purpose is primarily the implementation of the oligarchic agenda.

Empiricism confirms that it is for destroying the existing world order in systematic stages through domestic and international crises creation – “what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times” as David Ben Gurion explained the Jewish theft of Palestine and the key Machiavellian modus operandi for all unpopular transformations, re-echoed by PNAC's *Rebuilding America's Defenses* as “the process of transformation, even if it brings revolutionary change, is likely to be a long one, absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor” – in order to seed a new world order exclusively controlled by the bankster oligarchy!

It was the 'democratic state' which enacted in the United States the abhorrent Federal Reserve System in 1913 after the manufactured banking crises of 1900s in the name of banking reform. That gave away the store to the banksters, as per their own admission: “give me control of a nation's money supply and I care not who makes its laws”. It was the 'security state' which carried out the 9/11 false-flag operation upon its own nation, like Hitler's 'operation canned goods', in response to which the 'democratic state' declared war on the world with “either you are with us, or with the terrorists” and enacted police-state laws labeled 'Patriot Acts' to fight terrorism. But the real purpose of such “revolutionary times” is betrayed in Bertrand Russell's *Impact of Science on Society* where, as the preeminent scholar of the oligarchs, he glibly created justifications: “World government
could only be kept in being by force.”

As one can glean from this brief deconstruction, it is only to serve the oligarchic agenda of diabolically seeding World Government, and not the national interest of the nation-state's politics, which drives the 'security state' and the 'democratic state' to 'march side by side'.

Evidently, this lesson has been well un-learned by the student of Hans Morgenthau.

Continuing further with the listing of common grounds among these intellectual savants, all deny, by omission again, the existence of black-ops and false-flag events employed to deceive the peoples of the world in order to mobilize for the agendas of the oligarchic elite which often has nothing to do as the long-term best interest agenda of the superpower nation-state itself. From the off-shoring of jobs to the criminal wars since 9/11, all leading America to enormous debt, moral and physical bankruptcy, laid at the helm of the White House! But the terrorist act of 9/11 itself? Oh, that was the foreign invasion because of which America had to hunker down into Fortress America! And whom is Francis Boyle pursuing for Justice? See his Bush To The Hague campaign for War-Crime: Extraordinary Rendition, noted in my letter. Whom is Chomsky calling criminal? That's right, only the White House and the Pentagon for their imperial war-making upon Afghanistan and Iraq – and making a fair buck peddling the 9/11 fiction of blowback invasion from abroad in the true spirit of enterprising capitalism. See Noam Chomsky, Closet Capitalist by Peter Schweizer at the Hoover Institution.

Finally, all deny, by omission once again, that the superpower merely acts as the vassal of the elite, just like the neo-colonized developing nations run by petty dictators and the facade of electoral democracy, act as the vassals of the superpower! Hans Morgenthau, like George Kennan, did not recognize that the Soviet Union was a fabricated Hegelian Dialectic. See George Kennan's PPS 23 for how he staged the Cold War principles in 1948, which later came to be called the
Truman Doctrine. But when one reads Antony Sutton and W. Cleon Skousen, as many others including Carroll Quigley, one begins to understand the *National Suicide* and Communism-Capitalism nexus being run by the same oligarchy attempting to create World Government along Karl Marx's manifesto! Never learnt these aspects from Chomsky, even though I learnt of George Kennan's PPS 23 from his writings. Half-truth telling in narratives is a characteristic trademark of these scholars. Recalling my favorite sociologist and novelist Aldous Huxley's insights on crafty silence from his *Brave New World*:

‘The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals. But silence is not enough. If persecution, liquidation and the other symptoms of social friction are to be avoided, the positive sides of propaganda must be made as effective as the negative.’ — Aldous Huxley, Preface (circa 1946) to *Brave New World*, 1931, Harper, pg. 11

Similarly, today Chomsky and Boyle not recognizing 9/11 was another staged ‘operation canned goods’ to seed another World War, a lifetime of perpetual war, the *World War IV*, and continually holding that Islamofascism attacked America from outside, but that America, in its unbridled imperialism, “*By shamelessly exploiting the terrible tragedy of 11 September 2001, the Bush Jr. administration set forth to steal a hydrocarbon empire ...*”, make them both out to be cut from
the same imperialist cloth as Morgenthau.

But I could not ever comprehend how some stellar scholars of high moral conscience become controlled dissent spewing red herrings – cleverly cultivated by empire to head-off all efficacy in protests by having them focus on the 'effects' and not the prime-mover 'cause', or, identifying the causes incorrectly or somewhere lower in the hierarchy than the root – despite the fact of the matter that they often appeared to be employed and handsomely paid from the same military-industrial-academe coffers they dared to call criminal, and thus, obviously enjoying the quid pro quo!

Now I finally begin to understand, at least in Dr. Francis Boyle's case, why almost all of this moral jurist's public quests for justice remain so severely emasculated. And why does he persist in fingerling only the visible flag-bearers of the hidden-only-in-plain-sight-oligarchy who can forever comfortably remain behind the scenes as always, secure from his and everyone else's legal and conspiratorial scrutiny.

Finally I seem to have penetrated the dark mystery of why such a distinguished, moral, and fearless professor, Dr. Francis Boyle, can exhibit such severe myopia that he begins to resemble my former professor Noam Chomsky.

The key which has surely unlocked that transparent door past which I could not see earlier: Dr. Francis Boyle had Hans Morgenthau as his main teacher in life:

'So I commenced my formal study of International Relations with the late, great Hans Morgenthau in the first week of January 1970 as a 19 year old college sophomore at the University of Chicago by taking his basic introductory course on that subject. At the time, Morgenthau was leading the academic forces of opposition to the detested Vietnam War, which is precisely why I chose to study with him. During ten years of higher education at the University of Chicago
and Harvard, I refused to study with openly pro-Viet-
nam-War professors as a matter of principle and also
on the quite pragmatic ground that they had nothing
to teach me.

In the summer of 1975, it was Morgenthau who em-
phatically encouraged me to become a professor in-
stead of doing some other promising things with my
life: “If Morgenthau thinks I should become a pro-
fessor, then I will become a professor!” After almost
a decade of working personally with him, Morgenthau
provided me with enough inspiration, guidance, and
knowledge to last now almost half a lifetime.' ---
Francis Boyle in 2011: Prospects for Humanity?

Would it be rude to suggest: Dr. Francis Boyle – get some new
teachers!

Lest this cynical Realityspeak injure priceless sensibilities, appear ar-
rogant, not exude enlightened moderation, nor be deferential enough,
it might help one to remember who is daring to speak up while others
applaud the dissent-chiefs – the 'untermensch' whose devastated na-
tions and peoples are bearing the full brunt of the moral silence on the
first-cause primemovers of all crimes against humanity. Silence on the
prime-movers is not only a betrayal, but makes one complicit in the
continuation of crimes against humanity and the elongation of the suf-
fering of the victims. By focussing on the effect, the errand boys, and
leaving the first-cause, the puppetmasters, entirely occulted from scru-
tiny for whatever reasons of expediency, only enables more war-mon-
gering, and more crimes against humanity to be committed by the new
set of errand boys to come on stage after the current ones have served
their term. This is entirely empirical going from President Bush to
President Obama.

And where has Dr. Francis Boyle laid his justice eggs at the Interna-
tional Criminal Court? Right – chasing the old retired errand boys,
and for what crimes – *Extraordinary Rendition* – never mind indicting the new ones perpetrating new abhorrences as we speak, forget ever mentioning the puppetmasters, and 9/11 as an *inside job* orchestrated to create the right sequence of crises to launch the oligarchs' transformation towards Global Governance. If calling these absurdities of lauded dissent-chiefs which make a mockery of the pursuit of justice, ill-mannered, then, so be it – it is the least a plebe can do as no one who is someone pays any attention to the prime-movers who keep on bleeding the 'untermenschen' to death!

“Protesting Power: War, Resistance, and Law” is surely a categorical imperative of all moral men and women when power is instantiated criminally.

But, solely paying attention to the henchmen and the trigger-pullers while ignoring the prime-movers, is the core unsolved problem. It is what makes protest futile. it is what lends zero efficacy to all moral activism for justice and peace. See “Who is more guilty of monumental war crimes - the prime-movers or trigger pullers?”. This same blindsight is what makes all attempts at meaningful reform destined to fail so long as the prime-movers are left intact to protect their turf. See “Letter to Bill Still – Director of The Secret of Oz – How”.

Now, just imagine, if only for a fleeting moment, moral and upstanding citizens, scholars and jurists, activists and rebels, statesmen and congressmen, seeking justice and reforms to benefit all 'untermenschen' and not just their own particular clique and clan, all focusing solely on the prime-movers for a change, each according to their expertise and capacities! I dare say we might yet have an even battlefield. We might be minnows, but, as nature demonstrates to us humans repeatedly: *sharks need minnows more than minnows need sharks.*

Thank you.

Article Cached (PDF):

N.B.  April 13, 2013

[1] Also see Why does Iran need the help of an American Lawyer to file charges at ICJ?


[2] The following statement of Hans Joachim Morgenthau (February 17, 1904 – July 19, 1980) sums up the RealitySpeak behind his prized protégé Francis A. Boyle's moral activism visible in the above deconstruction: “The statesman must think in terms of the national interest, conceived as power among other powers. The popular mind, unaware of the fine distinctions of the statesman’s thinking, reasons more often than not in the simple moralistic and legalistic terms of absolute good and absolute evil.” (wiki)

It is a tad convenient that *ubermensch* Hans Joachim Morgenthau did not perceive the elephant in the bedroom – the puppetmasters behind the scenes – in his amoral calculus of power, as most of them post World War I and II down to today, in fact ever since the founding of
the Federal Reserve System in 1913, happen to be the Jewish banking power, Morgenthau himself being a Jew. Even a cursory read of Colonel Edward Mandell House's fable “Philip Dru: Administrator; A Story of Tomorrow, 1920-1935” would have revealed to both Morgenthau and his brilliant law protégé from Harvard, that statesmen and politicians in modern America are mere puppets of the financial oligarchy, the so called Money Trust – even if empirical data of hard reality, never mind that establishmentarian academics like Caroll Quigley themselves boldly revealed the behind the scenes power nexus, was lost upon them. Among other matters Morgenthau taught his prized student goy, were the following “Six Principles of Political Realism” (from wikipedia):

1. Political realism believes that politics, like society in general, is governed by objective laws that have their roots in human nature.

2. The main signpost of political realism is the concept of interest defined in terms of power, which infuses rational order into the subject matter of politics, and thus makes the theoretical understanding of politics possible. **Political realism avoids concerns with the motives and ideology of statesmen.** Political realism avoids reinterpreting reality to fit the policy. A good foreign policy minimizes risks and maximizes benefits.

3. Realism recognizes that the determining kind of interest varies depending on the political and cultural context in which foreign policy is made. It does not give "interest defined as power" a meaning that is fixed once and for all.

4. Political realism is aware of the moral significance of political action. It is also aware of the tension between the moral command and the requirements of successful political action. Realism maintains that universal moral principles must be filtered through the concrete circumstances of time and place, because
they cannot be applied to the actions of states in their abstract universal formulation.

5. Political realism refuses to identify the moral aspirations of a particular nation with the moral laws that govern the universe.

6. The political realist maintains the autonomy of the political sphere; he asks "How does this policy affect the power and interests of the nation?" Political realism is based on a pluralistic conception of human nature. The political realist must show where the nation's interests differ from the moralistic and legalistic viewpoints."

Like teacher like student! Dissent emanating from these brilliant American minds remain an integral part of engineering consent --- as this type of dissent in Western society is ab initio designed to be a “collection agency”; to collect the ordinary dissenting popular mind “unaware of the fine distinctions of the statesman’s thinking, reasons more often than not in the simple moralistic and legalistic terms of absolute good and absolute evil.”, around them. It is intended to be ineffective as a measure of democratic public opinion no differently than the palliative which only treats symptoms rather than root cause. To understand why dissent must be manufactured in real-politik based democratic governance for the type-2 crowd who willingly follow any dissenting pied piper with great moral glee even if on the treadmill of ineffectivity, see the extensive case studies by this scribe titled Manufacturing Dissent.
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Part-III  Response to Francis Boyle's Jewistan – What Elephant?

Friday, October 22, 2010

This is a response to Dr. Francis Boyle's missive titled Jewistan: Finally Recognizing Israel as the Jewish State published widely. I am going to home in to the core-point of contention directly, the rest being all very interesting dinner table conversation.

In my view, Jewistan, which I have always referred to as Zionistan, has something which neither the criminal apartheid South Africa nor the genocidal Yugoslavia enjoyed: the protection of an “iron wall”
that none can breach, nor, evidently, even see.

Dr. Boyle's statement “when this Israeli Bantustan for Jews predictably collapses as a State” is a wishful platitude riding high on moral-sense and moral-outrage rather than the calculus of power which creates both the international law, and the realities du jour. In such reality construction, neither moral-sense nor peoples, has ever had significant roles – for, were that not an empirical statement of truth, then The Ten Commandments which have been around for a fairly long time surely would have seen some enactment somewhere on planet earth.

The suzerainty of the masters of the universe upon that tiny slither of land can be hegemonized at the expense of the Palestinians for an indefinite period. Israel's Likudnik Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu is nothing but a foot soldier, an emissary, of those who wield the real power calculus from behind the scenes, and whose own construction is the UN. Ergo, UN 194 is merely the fig leaf it was always intended to be, like the Balfour Declaration's “it being clearly understood that nothing shall be done which may prejudice the civil and religious rights of existing non-Jewish communities in Palestine,” thrown in there for the real purpose which is stated in the fragment that follows: “or the rights and political status enjoyed by Jews in any other country.”
Like the Mosaic Law which is never applied to the gentile: "Thou Shall Not Kill", the Balfour Declaration fig leaf was an un-implementable platitude. Un-implementable because there was never any interest or intention to actually implement it to favor the gentile victims – sold by the masters of the universe for indulging the commoners' moral-sense and to help them nurse their fatal wounds on high-sounding placebos until fait accompli. It was worded to mislead the intended victims from indulging in any acute intelligence and political awareness that might transcend the visible puppetshows enacted for their distraction. Today, ex post facto, can a UN 194 equivalent be implemented for the Native American Indians? The settlement of Jewistan in this context closely resembles the settlement of the United States of America rather than the specious parallels drawn with
Apartheid South Africa (never mind Yugoslavia).

Who are these omniscient masters of the universe then – and how do they acquire their phenomenal access into the highest corridors of imperial powers such that a former empire willingly grants them the 'Balfour Declaration'; they host the peace conference after the blood-soaked World War 1 that dismantled all then existing empires in the world, in which they present that Balfour Declaration as the political instrument for Jewistan's creation; its successor empire, the sole superpower du jour, using its own instrument of the UN subsequently grants them the Jewistan; and this new anomaly among nations can do murder by daylight and plunder by nightfall with such impunity that all the Christian heads of state of the entire Western world come out in support of them in that enterprise?

Watch Rebbe Meachem Schneerson explain from the Likutei Torah who these masters of the world are:

[youtube=http://youtube.com/watch?v=wKY42rMRTiI]
And Nethanyahu seek out his advice.

But how can mental asylum escapees who fanatically hold on to the ancient beliefs in their Rabbinical books of antiquity that “Since G-d and the Jews are one, each Jew becomes a True Being, and is thus able to bring about all of creation”, “that through the Jew, all beings were created, he therefore becomes the master over all of them”, and “thus every single creation owes them recognition for this good”, gain such unfettered access into the earthly corridors of world power as captured in these photographs:


unless they are also the vicegerents, emissaries, and ambassadors of a real global power so immense that it can throw an “iron wall” around the inflection of its muscles through its multifaceted frontmen, minions and craftsmen that none may breach?

Without these Western heads – now bobbing their own head in unison with the masters of the universe – being fully cognizant that these lunatics actually represented that immense power to whom they are themselves beholden to in order to get elected/appointed to the various offices of their nation, and having sipped with the devil to also staying alive, and therefore only doing their real masters' bidding when they treasonously open up their own nation's political, financial, intellectual, and military coffers to them, these mental asylum escapees would not progress an inch past the guardwall without being shot.

The matter is analogous to the power exuded by the East India Company representatives, always finding welcoming access into the courts of the formerly independent but then colonized mini-kingdoms of the Indo-subcontinent in the 17th-18th century with only a tiny contingent at hand. They were the Representative of a far great power from across the seas and if their emissaries were not smilingly given the
due they demanded, the real power behind the East India Company would rumble. That great power from 20000 miles away afforded the East India Company with the protection of an “Iron Wall” which was entirely over-the-head of the natives of the Indo-subcontinent. Consequently, few among the colonized natives could comprehend it, never mind counter it, and in time most even came to see the white man as their own great benefactor of high-civilization and a new great-language.

In order to understand the uncanny power of Jewistan today, and as per my analysis penned elsewhere\[a\], its ability to outlast all its moral critics for an indefinitely long time until another power of greater force comes and dislodges it, one has to look for the real benefactors of Jewistan – from Basel Switzerland to New York to the City of London – who are endeavoring to create world government to be headquartered in a “Zion that will light up all the world”. The Jewistan can be made to last as long as world government. The Way Forward to the Re-Genesis of Palestine takes more than inevitability, or hope, virtue, and pious platitudes. Bad things can be made to last a very long time and there is no empiricism to suggest that they simply disappear by Pollyanish good wishes or by God's will. It takes actual engineering, with mens et manus – mind and hand – to overcome abhorrence.

I am saddened once again that the opinion presented in the article by Francis Boyle sheds no light on the real power calculus behind Jewistan. It is, as if, Dr. Francis Boyle, the leading International law expert, like most of the rest of the world riding high on hope and platitudes, has an aversion to seeing the elephant shitting in the bedroom.

“What elephant?”

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
Footnotes


First Published Friday, October 22, 2010
Open Letter to Hon. Ron Paul Supporters

October 29, 2008

Dear American voting public,

Hi.

Watching the video[1] by IIB[2] (which that website composed as a witty tribute to Hon. Ron Paul), of all the presidential candidates of the GOP arguing their common thesis primarily based upon the sacred-cow axioms of 'islamofascism', 'radical islam', 'we were attacked', etc. leading to the 'war on terror', plus 'war on Iran due to its nuclear weapons' – please notice that Hon. Ron Paul at no point in his excel-
lent rebuttals actually addresses the unexamined sacred-cow axioms themselves, only argues the corollaries and derivatives.

Hon. Ron Paul primarily retains the officialdom mantras of 'bin laden', 'al qaeeda', 'external terrorists', did 911, as in: “they attacked us”!

He therefore, maintains the fiction that there is indeed a real 'militant islam' which is very capable and something to be feared; and they attacked us due to “blowback” of our ill-conceived foreign policies. That they are so powerful that they could override all of America's air defenses with cellphones and laptops from their hideout in the Hindu Kush, and simultaneously control-demolish America's famous tall buildings in a perfectly timed precision destruction collapsing three of them within a span of less than 10 hours into their own footprints at near free-fall speed. His core argument is that misguided America shouldn't be using this “blowback” attack for “imperial mobilization”, but rather, address the powerful 'attackers' concerns of American imperialism inflicted upon them on their own native lands. He spouts 'Constitutionalism', that we should go to Congress for permission first before declaring war. But he never takes on the fiction of manufactured terror, synthetic terror, self-inflicted terror as a 'transformative' event to usher in the long planned world-government, the new world order, even though, he does talk about the New World Order, the North American Union, the neo-con-servatives, loss of national sovereignty, and rightly positions himself as opposing it all. Thus he elicits thunderous applause from the audience!

I don't know about revolution, but it certainly appears to be at least a convolution.

Can Hon Ron Paul reason effectively?

Would he pass an undergrad class in logic argumentation from first-principles?

Yes – provided the first-principles are unquestioned sacred-cow axioms of 911!
This is Hon. Ron Paul's – the 'revolution' – at minute 49:40 in the aforementioned video:

“They attacked us” – 'they attack us because we have been over there'

“Are you suggesting we invited the 911 attack sir?” – 'I am suggesting that we listen to the people who attacked us ...'

So I have a straightforward question: why are you guys supporting Ron Paul? Aren't you the 911 Truthers, mostly?

Can you guys meet with Hon. Ron Paul and ask him why he retains the sacred-cow core axioms of empire just as intact, and just as unexamined, in his “revolution” as those mainstream politicians against whom he debated as the “fresh candidate” in the GOP debate?

Indeed, when Hon. Ron Paul cleverly retains those very sacred-cow axioms as untouchable truths, that “we”, the Americans, indeed have real enemies who attacked us, that these enemies aren't fabricated nor manufactured to calculatingly fight World War IV[3] as wars are known to be great catalysts to transform society rapidly towards the long time in planning real unhidden agendas[4], then, the same unexamined sacred-cow axioms with new synthetic cataclysmic events – okay false-flag events, meltdowns of every sort – will precisely continue the fabricated 'war on terror' which today Ron Paul opposes. What type of cure prescription is that which continues the disease?

Some Revolution!

If you retain axioms, you can always construct new corollaries upon them, and explain them away as new contingencies, new happenstances, new emerging circumstances.

Hon. Ron Paul argues in the video that the Executive must come to Congress? Okay – the Congress just passed, in a truly bipartisan fashion, the terrific House Floor speeches notwithstanding, the trillion dollar banksters' bailout bill, not to mention the 600 billion dollar
2009 Defense appropriations.
And coming to Congress is what the Executive has repeatedly been doing all along since 911, and it is the Congress which keeps on passing all the oppressive legislation and the budget appropriations which enable the 'war on terror' during these past seven years. It is Congress which directly enables maintaining Iraq and Afghanistan as America's testing ground for its newest high-tech weapons of mass destruction, and all sorts of tortuous conquest stratagems invented by the Rand Corporation, the AEI, and the hundred other privately funded foundations and think-tanks along the Hudson and the Potomac! It is also the Congress that has approved the police-state in America.[5]

So if we follow Hon Ron Paul's prescriptions for 'revolution', we end up with a) all the sacred-cow core-axioms of 911 still intact, but now under the new mantra of a 'smaller government', and b) the Executive coming to Congress for approvals for its adventures and weighty-purses, as mandated by the Constitution, and Congress approving all those requests with a great show of debate (or non-debate in which case amidst a great spectacle of House Floor speeches) precisely as it does today!

**What's up with the American peoples?**

And I refer to the supposed thinking ones! Why don't you ask the right questions, never mind the mainstream?

It entirely appears to me that the so called rebels in America are perhaps mostly the Group-two peoples noted by Hitler – simply oppose anything for the sake of opposing without much thinking, and thus favor anyone who opposes. Few are Group-three, those who really think and act accordingly. Almost all are Group-one for sure – **"the crowd of simpletons and the credulous."** See Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science for an explanation of these groups in Hitler's own prophetic words and apply them yourselves to your own state in America. Judge for yourselves whether dissent is being manu-
factured, just like consent has already been manufactured!

Here[7] are some ideas for real weighty issues to bring up to your 'rebel' leaders before you vote for them, be it Democrat write-in candidate such as Dennis Kucinich, Republican write-in candidate such as Ron Paul, or independent write-in candidate such as Ralph Nader.

Ask them why might not they publicly proclaim what was suggested by Project Humanbeingsfirst in its letter to the Hon. US Congress: Message to the United States Congress from Project Humanbeingsfirst: It's now or never!

Thank you,

Respectfully,

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

[Editor's note: The letter has been edited for syntax and clarity for inclusion in this book]

Footnotes

gop-policestate.html


Postscript: December 14, 2008

Please also watch these additional video clips here, here, and those here.[a] Why does the Hon. Ron Paul persistently shy away from calling 911 an 'inside job' at every opportunity he gets? And why does he present the financial meltdown as merely due to shortsighted and foolish overspending (just like Mr. Fred Thompson[b] does), rather than as the long-running Machiavellianly manufactured crisis that it is to schemingly usher in world-government? See Financial Terrorism November–December 2008 Financial News Analysis in Context.[c]

Even when Ron Paul gets thrown an easy-shot at calling it a 'conspiracy' by Glenn Beck,[d] he doesn't hit a home run. Why?

Witness the following interesting exchange with Glenn Beck (beginning at 3:56 minutes):

http://youtube.com/watch?v=sZwPkTmqfpg

Begin Transcription (by Project Humanbeingsfirst)

GB: “I will tell you Congressman, that while I am not a conspiracy theorist by any stretch of the imagination, I will tell you that it almost feels like we are being set up by players that, for instance, the secretary of the treasury right now, he worked at Goldman Sachs, he was a big guy at Goldman Sachs, all of the players, they all know each other, this is the old boy network here, and they cannot be this stupid, to not see what they are doing.”

RP: “I don't have a final answer on that, because I am bewildered by that too. You see them making a lot of money, they love they system, but I think what happens is that they believe they can control it. You know they have this President's working group on fin-
ancial markets, the plunge protection team, and they are always believing they can be bailed out. But eventually the markets are more powerful than all those who do this planning, whether it's central economics planning to banking and monetary affairs, eventually the market rules, and this is what's happening today. The market is shouting that you have overstepped your bounds, and you can't do it any longer. So it will bring us to our knees.”

GB: “Congressman, the Democrats are saying this is Bush's problem, and you know the failed economics, the right is screaming this is Congress's problem, and the Democrats. I think it's both of their problems, but yet it's so much more than that because this is, and this isn't seemingly getting very much attention connecting these two. Our politicians are saying one thing, but the rest of the news on TV is showing this is a global problem. This is happening all over the globe. Is that Congress's fault too, is that the President's fault, did we cause it in the rest of the world, or have the central banks done the same thing to the rest of the world?”

RP: “No it is, the seeds were planted in 1913, they really came to bloom in 1971, it was made worldwide because we have a total fiat currency created by the Bretton Woods breakdown in 71, but the world accepted the dollar as a reserve currency of the world. It literally said you can print your gold as much as you want, so everybody is in bed together. So this idea that if you think the dollar is going down in value that you can protect yourself by going to the euro or the yen, it is not going to work. Only hard assets will protect you because prices are going up. And the world
economy is global. Global economies are good when they are market driven. But when they are driven artificially by central banks colluding, so it's not just our central bank, all the central banks talk to each other, but we in the Congress can't even audit them. We don't even know this. We can find out more information about the CIA, which is rather secretive, than we can about the Federal Reserve. Just like the other day when they came up with these bailouts, you think they even consulted Congress? (No) Spend 85 billion dollars and Congress just doesn't do anything. We are nationalizing these industries, and there doesn't seem to be any that much concern.”

GB: “Well there is here Congressman. ... It seems to me Congressman we are ending up with bigger and even more powerful banks. We are losing everything small, and retaining only thing that is very big global and powerful. How do we ever escape the global clutches of these gigantic financial institutions and the FED, when we are now handing them all of the power?”

RP: “It's going to be very difficult, unless we have a real serious discussion here in Washington where the mistakes were made, and undo those mistakes and devise another system, it's gonna continue that way, and the big guys are going to end up owning everything. You say Oh no it's the Federal Reserve, it's the tax payer, but somebody is involved, somebody is controlling that, somebody is going to be benefitting. You know the CEOs aren't suffering. They are still getting their parachutes, and their millions of dollars. So the big guys are protecting themselves. But eventually, it always comes about. Monetary history shows that this
type of monetary system will not last, and eventually they have to sit down and devise a brand new system. The biggest question is, will it be in a free society or will it be in a totalitarian society. And right now, we are moving rapidly toward more government, and bigger government, and control by big banks and big corporations.”

GB: “It is very frightening. Is this, you know I said at the beginning of the show, I said, about a year ago, one day America you gonna wakeup on a Monday, and by Friday, your country will not be the same. This is not necessarily how I envisioned it because I thought people would wakeup by Friday. Is this that week, Congressman?”

RP: “No, this is the preliminary. There will be worse weeks. Worse weeks to come, because the seeds have been planted. You say what can you do to prevent the recession and the correction? You can't do anything. When you cause the problem of over-investment, mal-investment, excessive-debt, you can't solve the problem unless you do the liquidation, and that's the painful part that nobody wants to accept. And we haven't taken care of that problem. So unless we understand that, and the people understand it, they won't know why we have to go through this problem.”

GB: “Real quickly Congressman, I have got only about ten seconds, yes or no, has any body in Congress come to you that wasn't necessarily on your page, and said, you know what Congressman, don't tell anybody but you're right.”

RP: “More everyday, but not those in the leadership yet.” --- 09/18/2008, Ron Paul on the Glenn Beck
Show, Headline News

End Transcription

To appreciate the real un-hidden agenda which Ron Paul only superficially flirts with and hurriedly withdraws before full-contact engagement as if afraid to consummate to its natural logical conclusion, see Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government'.\[s\] Also see the Monetary Reform Bibliography – A self-study guide for uncovering the agendas behind the economics gibberish.\[f\] We have a saying in my language, translated it goes: *half a doctor – threat to one's life; half a mulla – threat to one's activism (or spiritualism)*. Would you pay a doctor a second visit who will rehearse your symptoms eloquently enough, but will not diagnose your disease beyond happenstances and mistakes as if afraid of being sued by the Board (not by the patient) if he probed deeper into the case of deliberate homicidal poisoning, a criminal conspiracy to do the patient in? Being Americans, probably yes!

Which is why, Hon. Ron Paul, and all others like him in Congress who made such a glamorous show of challenging the bailout – No Exits on this Super-Highway!\[s\] – found no compelling reason to observe the commonsense strategy laid out in Project Humanbeingsfirst's 'now or never'\[h\] letter to them. It wasn't exactly rocket science. They are apparently more afraid of being terminated by the Board, but the electorate will surely re-elect them again and again if they only make all the right symptomatic noises and stay away from substance. To which every one among the plebes continually applauds “wah wah” (i.e., bravo, bravo) and does what's predictably expected of them.

The day Dr. Ron Paul will say “911 was an inside job”, would be the day when it would be too late. Just like Prof. Noam Chomsky surely will too! Both will publish many history books – in about a 100 years – and may providence give them such a long extended life so that they may be witness to these portentous words while they straightfor-
wardly explain how the 'one-world' government was so craftily won. It would be fearlessly narrated as the history of crimes of the new empire – the new rogue superstate – with a new cult following in tow. So ask them today and spare our progeny tomorrow from having to deal with a much more intractable problem.

Footnotes

[a] video Ron Paul: A New Hope http://youtube.com/watch?v=FG2PUZoukfA
video Ron Paul Courageously Speaks the Truth http://youtube.com/watch?v=G7d_e9IrCZ8

[b] video Fred Thompson on the Economy http://youtube.com/watch?v=RKc4XFK0iVY
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Chapter 58

Fabled Dissent
Ron Paul Part-II

My beef with the stellar congressman Hon. Ron Paul

September 15, 2009

Continuing with the perplexing theme left off in my October 2008 Open Letter to Hon. Ron Paul Supporters, incorporated here by reference (as are the relevant portions analyzing Ron Paul speak in the May 2009 DIGEST Financial and State Terrorism), it drives me up the wall no end that Dr. Ron Paul says all the right and most rational things, well almost, and I end up supporting this courageous gentleman, well almost.

And then he dutifully reminds me, as if he really does not care for my support (and that's worth a lot, at least to me if not to anyone else), that he too cleverly retains the primal axioms of empire amidst all the egregious dissent-speak! The same core axioms whose effects he cri-
tiques so passionately, but leaves the first-cause unanalyzed, un-
touched, and largely a parroting of the Pentagon, the White House, 
and the Elite. The same Elite whom Dr. Ron Paul comprehends better 
than most!

What is that you ask? Well please observe this amazingly candid in-
terview with John Stossel of ABC News, at 07:52 minutes:

Caption Video-1 title: John Stossel's 2020 Banned in-
terview with Ron Paul

“John Stossel: Homeland Security, isn't that the role 
for federal government?

Ron Paul: Not really, not the way that's designed. 
That's the biggest bureaucracy of them all. [...] No, 
homeland security, how has it been taken care of for 
all these years? Pretty well. I think it was a failure of 
government on 911, not the fact that we didn't have 
department of homeland security, and we didn't have 
a national ID card, and we didn't have this constant 
surveillance and loss of our privacy,
John Stossel: Failure of government, how?

Ron Paul: We spent 40 billion dollars on intelligence gathering, and didn't prevent it from happening. But the government was in charge of airlines. FAA, they were supposed to inspect the people as they went on, and you weren't supposed to resist any hostages takeovers, and they weren't allowed to have a gun. May be if you and I head the airlines, we might have said hey, you know, we want to pat-check our passengers, may be we should have stronger door on our air, may be we ought to give our pilots a gun. Then it wouldn't have happened. 911 wouldn't have happened.

John Stossel: So the government creates the wrong rules. Too many rules, and the wrong ones?

Ron Paul: That's basically it. Most of the time well intentioned, but good intentions will not solve our problems.”

Dr. Ron Paul retains the sacred-cow axiom that it was an invasion from abroad, and the government inadvertently fell victim to their own good-intentioned but ill-conceived laws, and its poor execution. The inadvertence-incompetence theory of 911.

Is my otherwise inspiring leader super naïve of Machiavellian statecraft after spending decades in Congress, or am I simply super-brilliant to understand the elite's diabolical orchestration of a pretext for “imperial mobilization” far better without ever having set foot into the hallowed halls of governance and legislature? Of all the current-affairs books Ron Paul has paraded from many a podium while lecturing others on their lack of eruditeness, to my knowledge he hasn't yet shown Zbigniew Brzezinski's, nor the PNAC document calling for a “new pearl harbor”, and nor Machiavelli's “the Prince”.

But Dr. Ron Paul does acutely perceive the immense power of the
Elite to make things happen diabolically, as the case of orchestrating the Federal Reserve a hundred years ago. Watch this amazing 1988 interview of Ron Paul in which he betrays his profound understanding of both history, and real state-craft.


Please see the earlier cited documents which are incorporated here by reference to appreciate the depth of Dr. Ron Paul's support of imperial axioms. It is incredibly bizarre that no one seems to notice these palpable anomalies except this scribe.

When it was obvious to Dan Rather of CBS within minutes of the WTC towers collapsing into their own footprints that it looked like controlled demolition, years later, and despite all the scientific-forensic evidence emerging showing explosive particulate in the ground-zero debris, Hon. Ron Paul insists that some super enemy's hijacking of airliners took the United States by surprise despite its 40 billion dollar intelligence budget (not to mention the half a trillion dollar a year defense spending), and that these turbanless antediluvians controlled from a cave in the Hindu Kush by a fanatically militant
yogi are entirely responsible for 911. A surprise invasion from abroad. Al Qaeda. Bin Laden. The Islamic radicals. “Al Qaeda killed nearly 3000 people on that day”. That's what the Pentagon says, that's what the White House says, that's what President George Bush said for 8 years, and that's exactly what President Obama says.

Watch President Obama reiterate who the enemy is, warning not to question the official sacred axiom of 911, cleverly lumping “questioning” with “justifying”.

Caption Video-3 title: Obama Warns not to challenge Official 9/11 Story

“I am aware that there is still some who would question, or even justify the offense of 911.

**But let us be clear. Al Qaeda killed nearly 3000 people on that day.**

The victims were innocent men, women, and children from America and many other nations who had done nothing to harm anybody.
And yet Al Qaeda chose to ruthlessly murder these people, claimed credit for the attack, and even now states their determination to kill on a massive scale. They have affiliates in many countries, to try to expand their reach.

These are not opinions to be debated. These are facts to be dealt with.”

And Hon. Ron Paul obeys. He doesn't question!

Just for reference, a video of initiation of explosive destruction of the towers is here[1] for WTC 1 (North Tower) and here[2] for WTC 2 (South Tower). And here[3] for the sudden free-fall symmetrical collapse of WTC 7 that same day at which Dan Rather of CBS spontaneously exclaimed on air: “... amazing, incredible, pick your word. For the third time today, it's reminiscent of those pictures we have all seen too much on television before when a building was deliberately destroyed by well-placed dynamite to knock it down,” and which the BBC,[4] in surely what must be the most surreal moment in live news reporting history, announced many [twenty-five] minutes before it even happened! And here[5] is a flashback of the smoke-and-mirrors “prior-knowledge” dialectical red herring in greater exposition of the 'technique of infamy'[6]:
“These are not opinions to be debated. These are facts to be dealt with.”!!

Indeed.

The day Dr. Ron Paul will say “911 was an inside job”, a coup d'état from within the intelligence-military apparatus to initiate “imperial mobilization” enroute to one-world government by ultimately collapsing the United States into a NAU – the NAU of course he is an ardent critic of quite independent of the issue of 911 – would be the day when it would be too late!

The responsibility, and thus the accountability, for cementing the fait accompli of 911 which became the prime-mover of all the long-planned transformations – the now visible effects which Ron Paul does talk about – rests entirely on the timely silence of prominent peoples. And that includes veteran statesman, fearless leader of many, Hon. Ron Paul.
They all said all the right things, except the most important one that could have impeded, nay halted, nay outright prevented, “imperial mobilization”.

No one in power is willing to tell the truth which matters, when it matters!

Later, all will write lauded books narrating history that is too late to reverse. Like the common ubiquitous narration, even in junior-high text books, of the smallpox laden blankets handed to native Americans to wipe them out from their own lands. That germ-warfare upon an unsuspecting civilian population is the precedent for the present Swine Flue pandemic[7] – and where is Ron Paul? Where's the brilliant insight of American history to unravel current affairs?

Is this an incredibly sophisticated manufactured dissent,[8] or merely jitters of JFK-syndrome? One's own life is of course always precious to one – others' be damned beyond lip-service!

Regardless of motivation, the ultimate effect of prominent statesmen focussing on the wrong cause is no less sophisticated in diabolically accomplishing[9] the “end run around national sovereignty” amidst the “great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’”, than all the awesome powers of the magical flying carpet attributed by the Mighty Wurlitzer[10] to that perpetually illusive nemesis of mankind, Ali Baba.[11] Both Ali Baba and Dr. Ron Paul, along with the oligarchic elite and their 'errand boys', end up achieving the same aims regardless of their respective divergent utterances.

Are they all on the same WWF wrestling?

Footnotes

Alternate URL: John Stossel Interviews Ron Paul (12.07.07) 2, at 3:52 minutes, http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8jV-BiaEaQU


[1] Video WTC-1 demolition
http://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/north_towerCollapse.mpeg

[2] Video WTC-2 demolition
http://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/south_towerCollapse.mpeg

[3] Video WTC-7 demolition
http://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/wtc_7_cbs.mpg

[4] Video BBC advance-reporting WTC-7 destruction
http://whatreallyhappened.com/WRHARTICLES/bbc_wtc7_videos.html
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Subversion of America
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Deconstructing the reality behind
The Reality of the “Lesser Evil”

November 15, 2012

Begin Quote

Is this child dead enough for you?

This little boy was named Naeemullah. He was in his house -- maybe playing, maybe sleeping, maybe having a meal -- when an American drone missile was fired into the residential area where he lived and blew up the house next door.

As we all know, these drone missiles are, like the president who wields them, super-smart, a triumph of technology and technocratic expertise. We know, for
the president and his aides have repeatedly told us, that these weapons -- launched only after careful consultation of the just-war strictures of St. Augustine and St. Thomas Aquinas -- strike nothing but their intended targets and kill no one but "bad guys." Indeed, the president's top aides have testified under oath that not a single innocent person has been among the thousands of Pakistani civilians -- that is, civilians of a sovereign nation that is not at war with the United States -- who have been killed by the drone missile campaign of the Nobel Peace Prize Laureate.

[ Zahir's Caption: Naeemullah – the 'unworthy victim' ]
... As Wired reports, shrapnel and debris went flying through the walls of Naeemullah's house and ripped through his small body. When the attack was over -- when the buzzing drone sent with Augustinian wisdom by the Peace Laureate was no longer lurking over the village, shadowing the lives of every defenseless inhabitant with the terrorist threat of imminent death, Naeemullah was taken to the hospital in a nearby town.

... Before the election, we heard a lot of talk about this notion of the "lesser evil." From prominent dissidents and opponents of empire like Daniel Ellsberg and Noam Chomsky and Robert Parry to innumerable progressive blogs to personal conversations, one heard this basic argument:

“Yes, the drone wars, the gutting of civil liberties, the White House death squads and all the rest are bad; but Romney would be worse. Therefore, with great reluctance, holding our noses and shaking our heads sadly, we must choose the lesser evil of Obama and vote accordingly.” --- Chris Floyd, Dead Enough: The Reality of the “Lesser Evil” 09 November 2012

End Quote

Thanks to Chris Floyd¹ for remembering this tiny little Pakistani “unworthy victim” named Naeemullah, as Noam Chomsky would characterize this innocent unmourned victim of the good guys, who, predictably as always, is dismissed merely as “collateral damage”, the “lesser evil” in the war against a greater evil.

By Chomsky's definition, the “worthy victim” is always worthy of being mourned, as it is made victim by the bad guys or their allies. The “unworthy victim” is unworthy of being mourned or even worrying
about, as it is made victim by the good guys or their allies.

So the equally innocent child Malala Yousafzai, the “worthy victim”, a victim of the evil-doers, is to be honored and even celebrated, perhaps even anointed as the “peace-maker” and awarded the Nobel Peace prize. It makes the bad guys look really bad and advances the cause of empire's counter-insurgency operations against them.

And because frequently occurring “worthy victims” continually refuel the necessary “doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” to sustain “imperial mobilization” since “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization” as Zbigniew Brzezinski puts it, it is not beyond empire to create the “worthy victims” itself using the bad guys as stooges:

Quote US Army Field Manual

“Top Secret: There may be times when host country governments show passivity or indecision in the face of Communist subversion ... US Army Intelligence must have the means of launching special operations which will convince host country governments and public opinion of the reality of the insurgent danger ... US Army Intelligence should seek to penetrate the insurgency by means of agents of special assignments, with the task of forming special action groups among the most radical elements of the insurgency.” -- Source: see The Mighty Wurlitzer

End Quote

The brutal creation and public-relations harvesting of “worthy victims” enables putting to bed all the “unworthy victims” as merely the “lesser evil” in empire's counter-insurgency operations. This is examined in the report: Insurgency vs. Counter-Insurgency ( tinyurl-
The brilliant nomenclature of “worthy” vs. “unworthy” I hope helps shed some forensic light for the confused as to why empire's favorite Malala Yousafzai even has November 11th, 2012, declared by the UN Special Envoy for Global Education and former British Prime Minister Gordon Brown, as the ‘Malala Day’, while Dr. Aafia Siddiqui (tinyurl.com/Dr-Aafia-Siddiqui) has ignominiously been put in jail for life. Since no one really likes to remember the “unworthy victims”, I have included their images here.

Caption Dr. Aafia Siddiqui – the 'unworthy victim'

My old prof. from MIT has surely contributed a great deal of meaningful vocabulary and penetrating concepts for explaining the Machiavellian statecraft of perception management throughout his extraordinary life of dissent. Including the following:

Quote Noam Chomsky

‘This “debate” is a typical illustration of a primary principle of sophisticated propaganda. In crude and brutal societies, the Party Line is publicly proclaimed and must be obeyed — or else. What you actually believe is your own business and of far less concern. In societies where the state has lost the capacity to control by force, the Party Line is simply presupposed; then, vigorous debate is encouraged within the limits imposed by unstated doctrinal orthodoxy. The cruder
of the two systems leads, naturally enough, to disbelief; the sophisticated variant gives an impression of openness and freedom, and so far more effectively serves to instill the Party Line. It becomes beyond question, beyond thought itself, like the air we breathe.’

and

‘Democratic societies use a different method: they don’t articulate the party line. That’s a mistake. What they do is presuppose it, then encourage vigorous debate within the framework of the party line. This serves two purposes. For one thing it gives the impression of a free and open society because, after all, we have lively debate. It also instills a propaganda line that becomes something you presuppose, like the air you breathe.’

and

‘The smart way to keep people passive and obedient is to strictly limit the spectrum of acceptable opinion, but allow very lively debate within that spectrum – even encourage the more critical and dissident views. That gives people the sense that there’s free thinking going on, while all the time the presuppositions of the system are being reinforced by the limits put on the range of the debate.’

End Quote

It is most essential to understand the unstated backdrop for this “lesser evil” concept emanating from the dissent-chiefs who are evidently employing the same methods of perception management that they have explained the empire employing for “manufacturing consent”.

1620 Oligarchic Primacy for World Government
So, logically speaking, are they manufacturing dissent – or straightforwardly manufacturing consent?

Virtually everyone who critiques empire's burlesque, ahem, its excesses, has almost always made the pre-supposition that its “war on terror” is real because 9/11 was an invasion by terrorists from abroad. “Like the air we breathe”, once that pre-supposition becomes the silent and unnoticed backdrop, the lovely progressives and their dissent-chiefs can easily go about discussing the best way to fight that “war”, and that's where the discourse of “lesser evil” concept cleverly plays in. It only serves to legitimize the “war on terror” axiom which itself remains unchallenged.

Thus one can go freely about critiquing empire's methods of prosecuting that war, and not the axiom upon which it is based. Therefore, automatically, the “war” against the “terrorist” is the natural outcome once that core-axiom remains unchallenged. And we end up with what is the “lesser evil” debate – giving the illusion of “lively debate within that spectrum – even encourage the more critical and dissident views. That gives people the sense that there's free thinking going on, while all the time the presuppositions of the system are being reinforced by the limits put on the range of the debate.”

Noam Chomsky, Daniel Ellsberg, and Progressives et. al., have together echoed the same core-axiom as the Pentagon, the White House, the mainstream media, et. al., that 9/11 was the work of the Muslim terrorist Osama Bin Laden espousing the vile “militant Islam”. Amazing that they each have so much in common with their supposed “antagonists”! I had thought that dissent is supposed to challenge, inter alia, the Machiavellian narratives of the state? I guess it is only some narratives and not others that are to be challenged and dissent against.

I imagine I could easily classify these as “worthy narratives” (truths promulgated by power) and “unworthy narratives” (lies promulgated by power). The former to remain untouched by dissent-chiefs and
those skeptics going after them to be labeled “conspiracy theorist”. The latter to be legitimately critiqued by dissent-chiefs and awarded peace prizes for as belonging to the “voices of conscience” and to “peace makers”. The “conspiracy theorist” label is examined in some depth in the report: Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory (tinyurl.com/anatomy-conspiracy-theory).

It is a perception management game of which virtually all the so called “progressives” in the Western hemisphere, and laudingly led by their vaunted dissent-chiefs whom they often air prominently, are an essential part. It constitutes the Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent. This is also examined in much depth in the report: The Mighty Wurlitzer (tinyurl.com/MightyWurlitzer).

Unless one can understand the various methods of perception management, including manufacturing dissent to capture those moral souls escaping from the manufacturing consent factory, one cannot understand anything of modernity. Including this “lesser evil” mantra. Some of these methods of controlled dissent the Mighty Noam Chomsky has himself brilliantly articulated, as evidenced from his perceptive quotes above. And he is celebrated as “arguably the most important intellectual alive” by the mouthpiece of empire itself, the New York Times.

All this manufactured “celebrity” status has garnered these “moral consciences” of the West a great following of useful idiots – people formerly in the mainstream who got fed-up with the lies of the state and were captured by these “collection agents” lest they become troublesome and effective in their opposition. Hitler characterized this lot rather well in his Mein Kampf as type-2. The report on Manufacturing Dissent (tinyurl.com/Dissent-Factory) examines the import of this exercise of craftily putting dissent on the treadmill running in place to nowhere for sustaining "imperial mobilization" unfettered.

As for Chris Floyd's main observation of the Progressives: “... but Romney would be worse. Therefore, with great reluctance, holding our noses and shaking our heads sadly, we must choose the lesser evil
of Obama and vote accordingly.”, any genuine dissent-chief with even an iota of analytical reasoning skills and the ability to astutely navigate the empire's many rabbit holes would have argued what this scribe suggested in October 2008: “Not-Voting is a ‘YES’ vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy!” ( tinyurl.com/not-voting-to-reject-a-sham )

It would be laughable, were it not actually a sophisticated propaganda engine, that among these so called “Progressives” led by their dissent-chiefs, the same spirit of presupposition of the party line is at play in their virtually every discourse with its concomitant “vigorous debate within the framework of the party line” as ably depicted by their most notable leader in his quoted passages at the top. “It also instills a propaganda line that becomes something you presuppose, like the air you breathe.” That “propaganda line”, that presupposition upon which the entire game of democracy is so vigorously contested and protected, is the myth of elections being anything useful in bringing change. This myth has been so craftily cultivated over the past two generations that none are able to see through the fog of indoctrination that something else entirely, “a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive,” such that people only whisper in hushed voices “when they speak in condemnation of it”, runs the United States with the elected Representatives merely as its front faces.

Which is why core policies of the state do not change by changing the front faces in the White House. Often minor domestic policy changes are put on the table and “then, vigorous debate is encouraged within the limits imposed by unstated doctrinal orthodoxy” just to maintain the facade of democracy and elections being the harbingers of the much needed change.

Advertising Age’s 2008 Marketer of the Year award to President Obama for his election campaign of the “Change” mantra, the Nobel committee’s awarding him the 2009 Nobel Peace Prize, and the New York Times' flashy report after the 2012 elections: “Academic 'Dream
Team' Helped Obama's Effort”, testify to the empiricism of this obser-
vation. The NYT November 12, 2012 disclosure after the fact, as it virtually always is – “All the News That's Fit to Print” that they deem what and when it is fit to print, and almost always ex post facto if they are going to print it at all – is just revealing:

'This election season the Obama campaign won a reputation for drawing on the tools of social science. The book “The Victory Lab,” by Sasha Issenberg, and news reports have portrayed an operation that ran its own experiment and, among other efforts, consulted with the Analyst Institute, a Washington voter re-
search group established in 2007 by union officials and their allies to help Democratic candidates.

Less well known is that the Obama campaign also had a panel of unpaid academic advisers. The group — which calls itself the “consortium of behavioral scient-
ists,” or COBS — provided ideas on how to counter false rumors, like one that President Obama is a Muslim. It suggested how to characterize the Re-
publican opponent, Mitt Romney, in advertisements. It also delivered research-based advice on how to mo-
bilize voters.' --- New York Times, Nov. 12, 2012

The Manufacturing Consent factory in the mainstream glorifies the electioneering candidates with astute perception management. The Manufacturing Dissent factory among the skeptics and the rebels pitches the “lesser evil” mantra to push the same candidate forward. Both factories of perception management of their respective constitu-
encies work towards the same end from opposites sides!

In this game-theory laced entertainment for the masses, even if there is a voter-upset in the election game as a wildcard, the choice presen-
ted to the public is always carefully between twiddledeee and twiddle-
dum. All horses in the race are from the same stable so how much of
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an upset can the race outcome ever be? The game is further kept entertaining with various side shows, intrigues and scandals, like electronic ballot, voter-theft, etceteras. It keeps the people happy that they have a religion, the religion of democracy, watchfully guarded by the liberal-conservative corporate nexus of ABC, NBC, CBS, FOX and CNN on one side, and the Progressives and Pacifica non-corporate conscience of the nation on the other. The masses go for pilgrimage happy-happy every four years to do their religious duty. It keeps the priestly oligarchy class also happy, and perpetually in power.

The empirical fact of the matter is that there is no “lesser evil” as the entire “democratic elections” system is a scam based on primarily choosing between Vanilla and Chocolate, both carefully manufactured at the same confectionary owned by the same oligarchy! “Vanilla or Chocolate is merely the icing on the devil’s cake!” This is examined in some depth in Flashback: From President George W. Bush to President Barrack Obama – More faces change, more they remain the same! ( tinyurl.com/more-faces-change ).

Those who preach the “lesser evil” to push the system's own manufactured candidates forward using their brilliance in specious argumentation rather than expose the outright sham of the so called democracy and its elections, are in fact manufacturing consent for the same oligarchic propaganda line while wearing the moral garb of dissent. I think when Jesus had referred to such peoples as “hypocrites”, he had perhaps missed the concept of “noora kushti” and never witnessed the circus clowns warming up the crowds to keep them interested in empire's games. In other words, Jesus had perhaps never seen a WWF wrestling game, or met the  

ubermensch  who see themselves as being “beyond good and evil”! Which is why all prophets of antiquity only preached within the template of “good and evil”. But both Plato and Friedrich Nietzsche, despite being separated in time by at least two millennia, evidently understood this game far more perceptively than the theistic prophets. This wonderful game of the oligarchy is further deconstructed in: Election 2012 vs. Election 2008: What has
The only sensible thing to do for the public is to challenge the sham ab initio. Something you'd think the dissent-chiefs would take the lead in as the moral compass of humanity. But these compasses today have all been salted. Alas, we are at the day when the salt itself has rusted!

So long as the oligarchy exists and continues to control the purse strings of any nation, elections and democracy will remain their ace in the hole to continue Machiavellianly ruling the public with an iron fist in the name of their new god of modernity, “democracy”, no differently than when the priestly class of antiquity ruled their public in the name of their anointed deities. The difference today is that the public is presented with the illusion of “choice” with sophisticated perception management and behavior control. And these are all the presuppositions of “the Party Line” which constitute the invisible backdrop that remains “beyond question, beyond thought itself, like the air we breathe.”

If you are bothered by the images of “Harmless innocence Melt; Flours of all hue, and without Thorn the Rose” (Milton) mercilessly snuffed out in the bud with empire's bombs and sanctions, drones and checkpoints, from Palestine to Pakistan, and soon coming to the police state near you, that's where you must begin, before it is all a fait accompli.

Catch a man a fish and feed him for a day, teach him how to fish and feed him for life -- or something like that....

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
Footnotes


Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2012/11/the-reality-of-lesser-evil.html

First Published November 15, 2012
Chapter 60

Subversion of America
American Elections 2008

Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to
Reject a Corrupt System which
thrives on the facade of Elections
and Democracy!

October 22, 2008

Abstract

This is Project Humanbeingsfirst's response to many people's idea of “write-in” independent candidates as an alternate means of creating a third choice in these facade of American elections when one is not content choosing from the “lesser of two evils” paradigm.
It is greatly disturbing that some very conscientious peoples of the press are still crying hoarse of “election theft” [a] and “voter fraud” [b] when their entire country has already been stolen. It appears that all within the United States comprise only “the crowd of simpletons and the credulous.” As Hitler had put it in Mein Kampf,

“Nowadays when the voting papers of the masses are the deciding factor; the decision lies in the hands of the numerically strongest group; that is to say the first group, the crowd of simpletons and the credulous.”

The master propagandist [c] who had in fact learnt this art-form from the Americans and the British themselves, had further gone on to explain the positive role of the press in “informing” the public according to the wishes of the real rulers “when the voting papers of the masses are the deciding factor”:

“It is an all-important interest of the State and a national duty to prevent these people from falling into the hands of false, ignorant or even evil-minded teachers. Therefore it is the duty of the State to supervise their education and prevent every form of offence in this respect. Particular attention should be paid to the Press; for its influence on these people is by far the strongest and most penetrating of all; since its effect is not transitory but continual. Its immense significance lies in the uniform and persistent repetition of its teaching. Here, if anywhere, the State should never forget that all means should converge towards the same end. It must not be led astray by the will-o'-the-wisp of so-called ‘freedom of the Press', or be talked into neglecting its duty, and withholding from the nation that which is good and which does good. With ruthless determination the State must keep control of this instrument of popular education and place it at the service of the State and the Nation.”
And we can observe that the systems of governance in the United States have stolen a page from the Third Reich (well one among its many pages), and astutely led its gullible peoples to actually believe, from the day they are born, that the elections are empowering to them. A fuller excerpt with more context for the role of propaganda and indoctrination for manufacturing both consent and dissent when “when the voting papers of the masses are the deciding factor” can be gleaned in Project Humanbeingsfirst's report: “Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science”. It is shocking how much has been learned from the Third Reich. It is, almost as if, the Third Reich was merely an advanced laboratory testbed for the Fourth Reich.

The myth of elections being anything useful, has been so craftily cultivated over the past two generations that none are able to see through the fog of indoctrination that something else entirely, “a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive,” such that people only whisper in hushed voices “when they speak in condemnation of it”, runs the United States with the elected Representatives merely as its front faces.

President Woodrow Wilson, after being naively railroaded into agreeing to support the creation of the Federal Reserve System and because of which he had initially won the backing of the oligarchs as an unknown non-politician professor from Princeton, and after signing off on it as President on Christmas eve despite his better judgment since the bill had not actually been debated at all in Congress – in fact even less discussed than the trillion dollar banksters' bailout bill passed just two weeks ago as most of the people's Representatives had left for their home during that Christmas week in 1913 – was stricken with the usual belated disclosure-disease post fait accompli upon leaving his high office and stated:

“Since I have entered politics, I have chiefly had men's views confided to me privately. Some of the biggest men in the United States, in the field of commerce and manufacture, are afraid of somebody, are
of afraid of something. They know that there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breadth when they speak in condemnation of it.” — The New Freedom, Woodrow Wilson, 1913, Chapter 1, pgs. 17-18

The evidence for this secretive power was once again witnessed when the Congress, despite its popular opposition, was arm twisted into passing the trillion dollar banksters' bailout legislation (including an additional 600 billion dollars in appropriation for the Pentagon which none of the legislators thought fit to mention). Which power “so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive” arm twists them so trivially? Former President Bill Clinton's professor, Carroll Quigley, exposed that power in his 1966 book “Tragedy and Hope - A History of the World in Our Time”:

“The powers of financial capitalism had (a) far-reaching aim, nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent meetings and conferences. The apex of the systems was to be the Bank for International Settlements in Basel, Switzerland; a private bank owned and controlled by the world's central banks which were themselves private corporations. Each central bank... sought to dominate its government by its ability to control Treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards in the business world.” — Carroll Quigley, Tragedy
Why does the press not explain all this to the American peoples? Why are respectable dissenting journalists like Greg Palast, appear no different than any mainstream journalist when it comes to the core axioms that really need exposing? They all dutifully and unquestioningly retain them, and create a facade of providing a choice of sources by debating all the corollaries and other derivatives built upon the doctrine of keeping the axioms intact.

So they will energetically debate whether or not it is better to enforce economic sanctions alone, or combine it with 20 bombing runs of nuclear first-strike, or 20000 of Daisy Cutters, but not what right United States has of doing any of it when she herself possesses more than 20,000 atomic weapons of all nomenclature and is the biggest war monger on the planet. They would never remind the American people as the retired and/or serving Generals are paraded before them [d] on television arguing this and that option, of the 1967 words of Martin Luther King: “my country is the greatest purveyor of violence in the world today”. Watch this principle in operation with astute wordsmithing in the conversation between Henry Kissinger and Charlie Rose on PBS [e]. Of course, in that entire hour long conversation, Charlie Rose did not think of asking Dr. Kissinger whether the American Secretary of State had ever uttered the following famous statement at the secretive Bilderberger meeting, or whether these ominous words were merely fictional:

“Today Americans would be outraged if U.N. troops entered Los Angeles to restore order; tomorrow they will be grateful. This is especially true if they were told there was an outside threat from beyond, whether real or promulgated, that threatened our very existence. It is then that all peoples of the world will plead with world leaders to deliver them from this evil. The one thing every man fears is the unknown. When presented with this scenario, individual rights will be
willingly relinquished for the guarantee of their well
being granted to them by their world government.”

Similarly, the press all pretty unanimously agreed, both the main-
stream, and dissentstream, the “left” and the “right”, that 911 was an
invasion from abroad, fully parroting the White House and the
Pentagon version of events as gospels of truth. They still retain that
very axiom.

Similarly, here too, for these elections, they all tend to pretty much
agree that there is something useful in the way members are elected
into the ivory halls of Congress and the White House, and the meth-
ods by which they govern, and therefore the integrity of that process
needs to be worried about. But they mainly focus on the actual act of
balloting and counting or not-counting of the votes, often rehearsing
the famous line of Joseph Stalin on “who counts the vote”. So that is
great journalism – look, also quoting Stalin!

But do they ever bring to light that the contestants have already been
pre-filtered in secret oligarchic meetings at the Bilderbergers and the
Council on Foreign Relations? Or that those so anointed are sub-
sequently run in the races with one side artificially pitted against the
other, with careful exclusion of any realistic challenge to the 'chosen
ones' from all other quarters through fully financing their campaigns
and giving full media prominence and editorial support (as all the
private presses too are owned by them)?

Obviously that can't come to pass – or they would be out on their
bum! So it goes without saying that the press will never uncover how
these elected officials are subsequently so cleverly kept on their co-
opted short-leash by means “so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so
interlocked, so complete, so pervasive,” just as the world witnessed
during the bailout bill proceedings.

Project Humanbeingsfirst has analyzed that bailout magic in its report:
“Why Bluff Martial Law?” and Press Release “This may be a psy-op!
Response to Wayne Madsen's 'FEMA sources confirm coming martial
law' October 09, 2008”. More about media and indoctrination can be read in my book “Prisoners of the Cave”. There is absolutely no doubt in my mind that the entire system itself has been corrupted and co-opted to its very core. In that entire coverage of the financial crisis, and still on going, I have still not the heard the American newsmedia give sustained prominence to the distinguished name of David Rockefeller, to the Council on Foreign Relations, to private banking, to money as debt which is needlessly paid by the American tax payer to the bankers making them more and more wealthy while simultaneously strangulating the majority of the public in their debt-trap, and to the pernicious planning of these oligarchs to get rid of nation-states altogether in favor of a world government that is run by them. In fact, if any one wanted to, they could simply open up David Rockefeller's Memoirs and read the following out to any audience – be it C-Span with energetic Congress folks making their Floor speeches, or newsmedia trying to figure out what's going on:

“Some even believe we (the Rockefeller family) are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as 'internationalists' and of conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure – one world, if you will. If that's the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it” — David Rockefeller, Memoirs, pg. 405

If there is any mention of any of this at all, like journalists Glenn Beck and Lou Dobbs and Congressman Ron Paul occasionally seem to dabble in wondering out loud about the North American Union or the financial crisis, or it being orchestrated, it remains all isolated and unconnected, without any cohesive analysis and overarching perspective brought to bear by connecting the widely disparate dots and events which President Kennedy had demanded of the press in 1961 (fuller excerpt follows):

“And that is why our press was protected by the First
Amendment. The only business in America, specifically protected by the Constitution. Not primarily to amuse and entertain. Not to emphasize the trivial and the sentimental. Not to simply give the public what it wants. But to inform, to arouse, to reflect, to state our dangers, and our opportunities, to indicate our crises and our choices, to lead, mold, educate, and sometimes even anger public opinion.”

I am still waiting for any of them to even mention David Rockefeller in the context of the import of his monumental words “We are on the verge of a global transformation. All we need is the right major crisis and the nations will accept the New World Order”, and link it to 911, and to the subsequent “imperial mobilization” of Zbigniew Brzezinski as a baby-step to North American Union by first bankrupting America and crashing the dollar while simultaneously using its might for beating the rest of the world into submission as the lone superpower! I am still waiting for them to connect all that to these 1992 words of Strobe Talbot, President Bill Clinton's Deputy Secretary of State “In the next century, nations as we know it will be obsolete; all states will recognize a single, global authority. National sovereignty wasn't such a great idea after all.”

We won't even go into Rockefeller's agenda for population control and his family's significant role in the UN as their private instrument for orchestrating coercive policies which are inflicted upon the third world nations. His minion, or perhaps personal friend, Henry Kissinger constructed NSSM-200 for President Gerald Ford's National Security Council in 1974. See its detailed analysis in Project Humanbeingsfirst's report “The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy For World Government”. See the 2003 6-part description of the Rockefeller systems of governance which America has become, with his counterpart bankster family, the Rothschilds, controlling Europe and the UK, in Will Ban-yan's, “Rockefeller Internationalism”.

Who connects any of this, as not just the American press, but also the
global press (in this age of globalization and international multinational corporations with interlocking ownership and presence on the board of directors of parent companies) mindlessly rehearses “Bin Laden” and “War on Terror”? And now they have one more thing, the global financial collapse, to scare the American people's with, in order to lead them, with incremental baby-steps that are fait accompli once taken, into the desired direction of the North American Union and the Amero!

To borrow a graphic and unflattering description of the bewilderment of the masses, from the late Israeli Defense Minister Raphael Eitan who had famously uttered it to describe the endgame which he thought was the destiny of the valiant Palestinian peoples, the United States peoples too are also being primed to mainly “scurry around like drugged cockroaches in a bottle” in trying to figure out their predicament as they rudely get awakened too late from their “American Dreams”. Like David Icke pointed out in “Turning of the Tide” in 1996, the secret conspiracy for world government has to break surface in order to finally affect it, because, as the philosopher-theologian of world government had correctly observed in his classic book “Impact of Science and Society” in 1950:

“There is, it must be confessed, a psychological difficulty about a single world government. The chief source of social cohesion in the past, I repeat, has been war: the passions that inspire feeling of unity are hate and fear. These depend upon the existence of an enemy, actual or potential. It seems to follow that a world government could only be kept in being by force, not by the spontaneous loyalty that now inspires a nation at war.” — Bertrand Russell, Impact of Science and Society, ch. 2, pg. 37

Does 90 percent of voting public in the United States know anything about this backdrop as they are being repeatedly told elections are being stolen as if they were meaningful to start with? When they are
meaningful and a loose canon ends up on the gun-deck of the White House, or when an earlier anointed patsy refuses to follow abhorrent directives as his eyes open up from the seat of power, he is trivially assassinated.

On April 27, 1961, assassinated American President, John F. Kennedy gave a speech at the Waldorf-Astoria to the American Newspaper Association. Time magazine of May 05, 1961 observed in its article “The Meaning of Freedom”, the following statement of JFK who had expounded upon a commonsensical role for the press in the backdrop of the ideological Cold War challenges facing the world:

“This deadly challenge imposes upon our society two requirements of direct concern to both the press and to the President—two requirements which must be reconciled and fulfilled if we are to meet this national peril.” [f]

Below is my transcription from an excerpt of that amazing JFK speech because it precisely underscores what Hitler had tried to inculcate in the Third Reich and what a supposedly free nation and free press had to avoid in order to not get where we are today. Please be advised that the speech was transcribed from this narration1, and verified against this narration2, as I have been unable to locate the official recording, or its official transcript. I have discovered that many enterprising rebels have extracted out of context segments from this speech, and concocted it into something far more sinister than what JFK was ostensibly referring to. [1]

However, these portentous words of JFK seem to also accurately capture the descent of America into its Fourth Reich state today. It is, as if, JFK might as well have been talking about America of today, 2008. However, back in 1961, he had the Cold War upon his mind.

I use these portentous words of JFK, in the context that he originally conveyed them, to make the nuanced point, that the American peoples have been indoctrinated into believing the facade of elections primar-
ily through the deliberate errors of commission and omission by the press and all its newsmedia outlets in order to deliberately keep up the pretense of democracy (watch these courageous American youngsters of wearechange run around exposing far more than the American press ever did, but lending an ominous import to Raphael Eitan's unflattering description of their efficacy).

The following is what David Rockefeller admitted of the complicit role of the press in his planning and orchestration towards his version of the new world order at a Bilderbergers Meeting in 1991 (why the press never asks him about it and even offer him a chance to deny it should not be surprising):

“We are grateful to the Washington Post, The New York Times, Time Magazine and other great publications, whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost 40 years. It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subjected to the bright lights of publicity during those years. But, the work is now much more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world government. The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national auto-determination practiced in past centuries.”

JFK uttered the following prescient words in 1961 – it is unremarkable how the same truthful and unco-opted words, sometimes, become so enormously clairvoyant that they begin to speak across time and space. This is the ordinary power inherent in any truism. What is actually remarkable however, is the Time magazine's footnote to the opening sentence of JFK: “The very word 'secrecy' is repugnant, in a free and open society.” Time wrote in its coverage:

“*To more than 20 million Americans, the word "secrecy" is not as repugnant as all that. They are the
members of U.S. secret and fraternal societies, which include, besides student fraternities, such respectable organizations as the Masonic orders, the Elks, the Independent Order of Odd Fellows and the Loyal Order of the Moose. Of the U.S.'s 34 Presidents, 13 have been Masons. President Kennedy himself is a member of the Knights of Columbus, the Catholic counterpart of masonry.”

I have deliberately ignored this “secret society” BS line of reasoning in this analysis. The low hanging fruits of empirical reality is sufficiently self-validating as noted in the “The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy For World Government”. Here is JFK singing a timeless tune: [op. cit.]

“The very word 'secrecy' is repugnant, in a free and open society.

And we are as a people, inherently and historically, opposed to secret societies, to secret oaths, and to secret proceedings.

We decided a long ago, that the dangers of excessive and unwarranted concealment, of pertinent facts, far out weigh the dangers which are cited to justify it.

Even today, there is little value in opposing the threat of a closed society, by imitating its arbitrary restrictions.

Even today, there is little value in ensuring the survival of our nation, if our traditions do not survive with it.

And there is very grave danger, that an announced need for increased security, will be seized upon by those anxious to expand its meaning, to the very limits of official censorship and concealment.
That I do not intend to permit, to the extent that it's in my control.

And no official of my administration, whether his rank is high or low, civilian or military, should interpret my words here tonight, as an excuse to censor the news, to stifle dissent, to cover up our mistakes, or to withhold from the press and the public, the facts they deserve to know. [...]

For we are opposed around the world, by a monolithic and ruthless conspiracy, that relies primarily on covet means, for expanding its sphere of influence.


It is a system which has conscripted, vast human and material resources, into the building of a tightly knit, highly efficient machine, that combines military, diplomatic, intelligence, economic, scientific, and political operations.

Its preparations are concealed, not published. Its mistakes are buried, not headlined. Its dissenters are silenced, not praised.

No expenditure is questioned, no rumor is printed, no secret is revealed. [...] 

No President should fear public scrutiny of his program. For, from that scrutiny comes understanding. And from that understanding comes support, or opposition. And both are necessary.

I am not asking your newspapers to support an administration, but I am asking your help in the tremendous
task, of informing and alerting the American people.

For I have complete confidence, [lukewarm clapping] in the response and dedication of our citizens, whenever they are fully informed.

I not only could not stifle controversy among your readers, I welcome it.

This administration intends to be candid about its errors, for as a wise man once said, an error doesn't become a mistake, until you refuse to correct it.

We intend to accept full responsibility for our errors, and we expect you to point them out when we miss them.

Without debate, without criticism, no administration and no country can succeed, and no republic can survive.

That is why the Athenian law maker Solon [2] decried it a crime, for any citizen to shrink from controversy.

And that is why our press was protected by the First Amendment. The only business in America, specifically protected by the Constitution.

Not primarily to amuse and entertain. Not to emphasize the trivial and the sentimental. Not to simply give the public what it wants.

But to inform, to arouse, to reflect, to state our dangers, and our opportunities, to indicate our crises and our choices, to lead, mold, educate, and sometimes even anger public opinion.

This means greater coverage and analysis of international news, for it is no longer far away, and foreign, but close at hand and local.
It means greater attention to improved understanding of the news, as well as improved transmission.

And it means finally, that government at all levels, must meet its obligation, to provide you with the fullest possible information, outside the narrowest limits of national security. [...] 

**And so it is to the printing press, to the recorder of man's deeds, the keeper of his conscience, the courier of his news, that we look for strength and assistance. Confident that with your help, man will be what he was born to be, free and independent.”**

Well, that did not transpire. American public remains the most ignorant on the planet. Even Henry Kissinger disingenuously and openly admitted that failing (or success) on PBS to Charlie Rose as he pitched his WMD mantra to the American peoples in the drumming up of the propaganda warfare, before the actual “shock and awe” warfare was visited upon the poor souls of Iraq. [g]

Now the American public is seeking to vote-in their new crop of leaders – to cement the final touches to the precipitous transitions now on their exponential path of fruition. Therefore, the oligarchs still need the pretense of “election” and “democracy” for a while longer. And they will likely continue to use it in the world government as well in order to defuse any prospect of the majority mainstream actually fighting back with any efficacy.

I predict that new faces will adorn the White House because the police-state is not ready to overtly break surface just yet, and people still need to be given the illusion of choice less they revolt before they have been fully enslaved and microchipped. **Since you people seem fed up with the taste of vanilla for 8 years, we shall now give you chocolate! And wouldn't that be a revolutionary step for America?**

And therein lies the immediate power today for those able to think on
the Grand Chessboard scale and mobilize their troops effectively.

Force the 'ubermensch' hands by creating an extremely low voter-turnout to show the world that the American public, by denying any legitimacy to these elections, finally voted YES to NOT grant its co-opted system of elections and its governance, any further credibility whatsoever.

These elections are the American public's final peaceful chance to make a shocking statement to the world – just as the Congress had its great alignment of stars and blew it two weeks ago. [h]

A 5% voter turnout, as opposed to the 40% that is minimally expected, can potentially, significantly alter the loci of control on the Grand Chessboard by disturbing its causality planning in a very macro way.

The people who started Cleansweep2008.org, based on a son's concern for his country, have the correct idea in principle. That principle being, repeating it once again for emphasis, to create a useful third option that is beyond the pale of the staid “lesser of two evils” paradigm being forced upon the American peoples in this election. The following is Project Humanbeingsfirst's response to:

http://cleansweep2008.org/blog/2008/10/22/clean-sweep-them-all/

October 22, 2008.

Hello.

Is this “I” bit realistic?

If so, any conscionable person who realizes that both the major parties are merely the two-headed deception dialectics of the same philosopher, two sides of the same imperial coin minted in the same factory, would be hard pressed not to pursue it.

Since this is such a commonsensical approach to cleaning the house, why don't people actually pursue
this obvious path? Its history in prior elections would be indicative of the real issues that corrupt the American system behind the scenes.

What are the actual implementation pitfalls? Do those votes count? How is one assured that one's vote to a "write-in Independent" is counted? How is one assured that the new Independent does not make the old "dependent"?

My own limited thinking is along the following lines - that the system itself is corrupting, co-opting, and that despite the good intentions of many who serve within its ambit, it cannot be corrected by the process of elections, by the process of what has become the biggest game show in town, called Congress.

I don't think it is the peoples. I believe it is the system and those who corrupt it. If you only remove those who get corrupted, and keep intact the corrupters and their intricate web of how such corruption is done, it will keep on corrupting.

The first step towards this reform, is to reject the corrupt system itself.

So with that as the backdrop, while your thinking is entirely wholesome that since the "Lesser of two evils" paradigm in life still sticks one with an evil (never mind that in this election which is "lesser" remains rather un-obvious and an arbitrary partisan choice at best), therefore, not choosing any evil at all makes rational sense, my humble thinking is as follows:

Reject them both by withholding one's vote from both sides, by NOT voting in the elections, period, in order to have the lowest turnout in election history. Not
through apathy, but through deliberate calculated political-science based purpose.

That is the way to get the third option pushed into the consciousness of the world - that the American public rejects the entire imperial coin minted in the banksters' mint. Not only do they reject the coin, but the whole concept of banksters running the United States of America as their own backyard and using its military might to usher in their long dreamed of world government.

This world government itself sees the destruction of sovereign nation-states, and that included the United States of America. A North American Union is under construction, like the EU, and both parties are complicit in its planning and execution as the front faces of the oligarchs.

Not-voting is the only Constitutional, legal, and supremely astute political-science based political option left to the peoples of the United States when that vote only selects, regardless of who counts it, which pair of socks the "Rockefellers" will wear the day after the election on their way to business as usual.

Very loudly deny both pair of socks any legitimacy in the world's most audacious democracy that is out to teach the rest of the world how to live.

Please compare these two approaches rationally, and pick the one with most realizable efficacy towards really finding a curing protocol for the systemic disease that your son has been worried about. You have already taken the first courageous step, of rejecting to choose from "lesser of two evils".

Not-voting is a legitimate political act. Voting is a
privilege, not a right, and it is not mandatory, such that if you didn't vote you'd go to jail, or fined, or denied some rights - at least not yet. But that may happen if the system endures under its 'white man's burden' to control the world.

In order to adjudicate on this political-science driven matter by people more believable, perhaps you could enlist Ron Paul and Dennis Kucinich, and other prominent members of Congress whom you think are good peoples, like those who actually spoke out against the trillion dollar bailout bill and made a great show of dissent on C-Span, to discuss this concept of systemic disease in their public appearances by getting them to focus attention on the system itself. Ask them – the point raised here.

I doubt any of them will bite. And that is why the system will linger on towards what now appears to me to be a well orchestrated fait accompli – it has been a long time in the making.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

P.S. For more detailed analysis of some of the statements made above, please see the following:

Footnotes


Download transcript:
http://www.jfklibrary.org/Historical+Resources/Archives/Reference+Desk/Speeches/JFK/003POF03NewspaperPublishers04271961.htm ;

Download official audio:

[2] Solon, one of the seven wise men of Athens, Plutarch's Lives,
http://www.e-classics.com/solon.htm


[e] Charlie Rose on PBS video, http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r_xSeOliz8s

[f] http://www.time.com/time/magazine/article/0,9171,872353,00.html

[g] Henry Kissinger on Charlie Rose, PBS video,
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r_xSeOliz8s

[h] http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/message-to-
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Subversion of America
The Fiction of America's Democracy and Its Dissent

Impeachment alone does not solve the problem!

June 13, 2008

“On June 9, 2008, Representative Dennis Kucinich (D. Ohio) took to the floor of the House of Represent- atives and spent over four hours reading thirty-five Articles of Impeachment against President George W. Bush.” These can be read here: http://pubrecord.org/docs/vega/ku- cinich-bush-articles-of-impeachment.pdf

Let the people not forget, amidst all this hoopla and excitement over
the 35 Articles of Impeachment against George W. Bush, the two-term 43rd President of the mighty superpower United States of America, that:

(1) A new set of monumental criminals are ready and waiting in the wings.

(2) Who will re-instate the devastated tabula rasa of innocent nations? Who will bring sanity back to the lives of the barely living who have seen DU and Daisy Cutters rained down upon their loved ones? Who will pay them fair and just compensation for their cataclysmic loss, pain and suffering?

And those today waiting in the wings have each indicated their desire to nuclear decimate Iran. Pakistan can't be too far behind judging from the continuous mantras of "loose nukes" and "terrorists" emanating at an accelerated pace from its mightiest think-tanks.

(3) Therefore, unless you kill the DNA, this round of 'Roundup' is only going to temporarily do away with this crop of deadly blood-sucking weeds!

(4) And while for the Americans, it has taken some 4000 to 10000 dead, some say considerably more if the paid mercenaries of the private armies are counted, plus the 3000 innocent who died on 911 under the rubble of controlled demolition, the innocent dead in Iraq, Afghanistan, and the poisoning of their habitat and their DNA with DU for all future times, has taken at least three to four orders of magnitude greater toll today, and forever mounting in the future.

As part of atonement that barely even reaches the level of sincerity that a victim can even begin to accept, first in principle, and then in execution, full restitution and compensation for all this pain and suffering must equally be on the table!

Otherwise, perhaps this impeachment exercise may fool the American public about the famed American democracy in action – it fools no one else!
Least of all the victims – from Cambodia to Iraq, and heavens forbid, now Iran and Pakistan. The impeachment of Nixon did as much for Laos and Vietnam, as impeachment of Bush will do for Iraq and Afghanistan.

(5) A bunch of Zionofascist criminals can so easily hijack a super-power nation with a 'legal' coup d'état that isn't even recognized as such – something more than just impeachment is necessary!

The system is not only broken, it was crippled at birth – for it is so easily hijackable – from Wounded Knee to Iran, with new “Pearl Harbors” cleverly used for “imperial mobilization” from the USS Maine to 911 to the new nookular '911' that President Bush keeps warning the Americans and the world about in these ominous words as late as February 13, 2008:

“Good morning [America]. At this moment, somewhere in the world, terrorists are planning new attacks on our country. Their goal is to bring destruction to our shores that will make September the 11th pale by comparison.”

See Project Humanbeingsfirst's Press Releases of May 11, 2008, and June 12, 2008, and its underlying fundamentals in “From Balance of Terror to Unilateral Terror on the Grand Chessboard!”

Unless recognition of all of these afore-stated points is driven home as part of this 'spraying of Roundup' on this crop of monumental criminals, new set of killer-weeds lie just beneath the surface to carry on with the imperative of spreading the imperial virus of “primacy and its geostrategic imperatives”.

It is one continuous predatory imperative, from the Truman Doctrine, to the Carter Doctrine, to the Reagan Doctrine, and now to the Bush Doctrine. What did impeachment of Richard Nixon ever accomplish or deter, never mind restore to America's millions of untold and unaccounted victims in Asia and South America?

Unless the mainstream embedded newsmedia, the embedded think-tankers and policy planners, the embedded oped-writers, the embedded NYT to WP editorial chain, the embedded ABC to FOX news chain, and the 'ubermensch' masterminds from William Kristol to all the PNAC participants to Donald Rumsfeld to Dick Cheney to Zbigniew Brzezinski to Henry Kissinger to Paul Wolfowitz et. al., are equally consigned to Guantanamo Bay, all of their considerable wealth and fortunes and trusts confiscated, and the monumental criminals of humanity among them – as determined in trials similar to the one accorded to Eichmann in Jerusalem by his surviving victims and their traumatized families – are given full 'enemy combatant' protocol,
America's democracy is only the Godfather's democracy!

The sons quietly takes over from the forcibly retired godfather, but with the full team intact, with all the financiers, power-brokers, policy-makers, and puppetmasters still closely holding the strings, the newsmedia still ready to toot their mantras, and all ready to go back into the global business of “full spectrum dominance” to construct the “new world order” of “one world government” after re-constituting the front faces of their family!

With that reluctant re-facing as the last fallback option of the hectoring hegemons, please mark these humble words: before this Administration is ever impeached, the grotesque reality which has been so systematically and painstakingly put in place over the past seven years, will surely see the COG machinery running this country! Before anyone can become a real threat to this status quo, and if the threat cannot be deflected someway, and no sacrificial lamb can be burnt at stake to soothe the anger of the plebeians now seeking blood, the key harbingers of such a threat will be made to “sleep with the fishes”!

All it takes is to make an offer that one cannot refuse – and the matters will end up right back on the treadmill of dog and pony show, much like the Iran-Contra riveting television entertainment that was enjoyed all over America better than any Dan Brown novel ever will be!

Having said all that – which of course ought not come as a surprise to anyone except the most Polyanish – kudos to the immensely courageous David Swanson and the untiring persistent efforts of all those who are still endeavoring to 'spray this crop of weeds'! A very special kudos to the conscientious Congressman from Ohio who is still able to muster the Chutzpah to attempt impeachment – knowing fully well that it will go absolutely nowhere! But at least it gets documented in the official paperwork of the Congress – for whatever that's really worth. A respite, however brief, is arguably still better than none!

And having also said that, the Articles of Impeachment introduced by
the good Congressman from Ohio cannot absolve the United States Congress itself of its own culpability and equal willing participation in the supreme monumental crime of “imperial mobilization”.

One given to reflection and study well understands how the American peoples are continually fooled, indoctrinated, and managed. One need only read the following Project Humanbeingsfirst report “Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science” to get a good understanding of both “Manufacturing Consent” and “Manufacturing Dissent” among the larger plebeian Western society by its ruling elite.

The Congress however, comprises the ruling elite. They are generally the third category of peoples identified by Hitler, as those able to think critically who are purposefully trained in the ways of empire, or co-opted to join and participate in the running of 'empire' with the allure of its riches. The Congress isn't “the crowd of simpletons and the credulous” of the American masses.

Therefore, it is more than slightly disingenuous to lump the fabricated 'misleading' of the Congress with the genuine misleading of the American public. The Congress wasn't misled – unless it comprises only full spectrum C grade morons from Yale, an arguable premise which I refuse to accept – it was, and still is, just as complicit in every single supreme crime that the Congressfolks now solely apportion to their leader.

From the very control of the purse strings that funds the war-mongering, to the passing of oppressive laws and unchallenged acceptance of Executive Orders that now enables the police-state, to the granting of carte-blanche to bomb Afghanistan and Iraq to smithereens – the Congress willingly did it all! If an ordinary plebeian like this scribe can narrate it all in his 2003 book as the DU bombs on Iraq were falling, and can take a bold stand at his own front door and say NO to the State's security apparatus, it takes Congress another 5 years to come to that conclusion, ex post facto – when all the faits accomplis have already been constructed and the “Mission [truly] Accomplished”?
Either this scribe is so ingeniously smart that he knew it all, in which case he and half a million other ordinary peoples in America who said NO to George W. Bush from 2001-2002 onwards until today at considerable risks to themselves, should be the Congress – the new Peoples' Congress. Or the House and Senate are equally complicit in the aiding and abetting of supreme crimes against humanity. They could well have said NO when ordinary peoples in America dared to say NO! Look at this picture of a 10 year old saying NO in February 2003 along with tens of thousands of ordinary Americans!
Caption War on Terror or Imperial Mobilization? Anti-war Protest March in San Francisco, 2003. Where were the famous authors of the Articles of Impeachment when ordinary America protested the barbarian-ism of imperial mobilization in mainstreet USA? (Im-
The Articles of Impeachment that the honorable Congressman from Ohio, Representative Dennis Kucinich, imputes solely to his President, equally apply to impeaching every single Congressman and Congresswomen, except, to this scribe's humble knowledge, Congresswoman Barbara Lee for her uncommonly courageous solo stand on the very first imperial mobilization to Afghanistan immediately after 911 – when she alone stood up to boldly say NO!

That first enabling seed, that green light to bomb Afghanistan and the passing of Patriot Acts without even reading them, enabled it all. And the blame, as per the precedent set at Nuremberg – all culpability for aggression, and “all the evil that follows”, is to the first aggression and to its enablers – rests jointly with the nation of United States of America and its entire ruling elite who together railroaded “the crowd of simpletons and the credulous” of the American masses no differently than Hitler after his “Operation Canned Goods”.

It is further remarkable that none of the Articles of Impeachment introduced by the Honorable Congressman from Ohio even suggest a controlled demolition on 911, or that it could have been an inside job and another 'operation canned goods' as no other rational explanation suffices. The Articles continue to mimic the same premise as untenantably asserted by both the White House and the Pentagon from the very day of 911, that it was an invasion from abroad, rather than perhaps an insidious coup from within.

What kind of clever wool pulling is this Impeachment game which isn't even all that clever? I suppose the audience for it is exclusively the American public!

No offense is intended to the honorable Congressman from Ohio in this scribe 'calling a spade a spade'! Once again, Project Humanbeingsfirst humbly thanks even this brief respite if it can be effectively afforded to the miserable victims, playing whatever games necessary
as permitted in the existing system!

Just don't let it fool anyone that it amounts to anything more than what it really is.

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

An abbreviated version submitted as comment to:
Afterdowningstreet.org on June 12, 2008, 00:59 AM

http://afterdowningstreet.org/bush?page=1#comment-191630

Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/06/impeachment-does-not-solve-problem.html

First Published June 13, 2008
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Subversion of America
Boston Marathon Bombing
Keeping the Fear of “Militant Islam” Alive in America

Counterpoint: Boston Marathon False Flag Operation April 15, 2013

May 11, 2013

There is no implied question mark at the end of the article title. It is not a tentative statement. It is an emphatic statement without equivocation. From the limited data compiled by Project Humanbeingsfirst scouring the public sources, and with no knowledge from any insider source of information – but with at least a modicum of sophisticated understanding of the history of “imperial mobilization”, political sci-
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ence, fear psychology, voluntary servitude, and the engineering of consent to accomplish unpopular agendas when “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization” – it is now apparent beyond the shadow of a doubt to this author that the Boston Marathon criminal event was a staged false flag operation ab initio.

The data indicates that it was a complex operation and not a straightforward terrorist act reminiscent of Operation Gladio in Western Europe during the Cold War.

This compiled data and its attendant analysis is available for the public's and the worldwide law enforcement and juristic personal's inspection in the full 62 page report dated May 11, 2013, Domestic Terror and Police-State (http://tinyurl.com/Boston-Marathon-Fake-Terror). (Download full report PDF with photographic images:

Caption Deputy Administrator of FEMA since October 2009, Richard Serino, while Chief of Department, Boston EMS and Asst. Director, Boston Public Health, architected the disaster preparedness integrated drill plan for Boston and its surrounding region which is documented in his 2008 public report for the City of Boston: Marathons – A Tale of Two Cities and the Running of a Planned Mass Casualty Event (click on image to download cached PDF, source http://tinyurl.com/Boston-Planned-Mass-Casualty)
This article summarizes the key findings and conclusions as of this writing, namely, that:

- a covert planned propaganda psy-ops exercise was camouflaged as disaster preparedness drill with the blessings of the duly elected officials of the City of Boston, the State of Massachusetts, and the Federal Government of the United States of America;

- it was staged by actors hired to simulate injuries under the Homeland Security Exercise and Evaluation Program (HSEEP) on explosion site one, and was initiated with a pyrotechnic explosion in front of 671 Boylston Street, shattering the Lens Crafters' windows onto the outside pavement;

- the covert propaganda exercise went live in a second explosion on event site two at 755 Boylston street, a mere 183 meters and 12 seconds distant from the event site one, causing what appears to be real injuries and damage to the inside the Forum restaurant building as well as to spectators on the outside pavement.

The two events together comprise the diabolical Operation Gladio Boston. These two separate events, treated as a single event by the officialdom and newsmedia, though linked under the architecture of Operation Gladio, are in fact very distinct and separate sub-events:

- The first sub-event, a staged production to simulate a terrorist act with simulated injuries, perhaps orchestrated as a “legal” event – by the enactment of appropriate laws, statutes, and the blessings of elected officials in the name of greater public good to deceive the public for their own protection by enacting a realistic drill with simulated injuries without the public's knowledge and without incurring legal culpability – but never-
theless a camouflaged covert exercise drill. It was intended to be entirely harmless to the actors beyond a pyrotechnic performance worthy of a permanent stage show exhibit at Marine World USA in California. It was designed for propaganda purposes only, to refresh the threat of “domestic terrorism” in the public mind. The Boston EMS, EMT, police, fire and other officialdom's integrated participation at this event was fully in the know, that it was a staged production with only simulated injuries. Only the public did not know.

- The second sub-event, ostensibly a very tiny, but a very real explosion. It reportedly killed 3 civilians and injured a large number of bystanders enjoying the Boston Marathon finish on that Patriots day in America. That casualty number is variously claimed by the press to be somewhere between 100 and 280, with varying levels of lower extremity injuries spanning the gamut of real pain from lacerations to a few amputations. None of these facts have been independently verified by anyone other than being reported by the same officialdom and their partners in this drill, but one may tentatively accept this report of injuries and fatalities at face value as it does not alter the analysis one bit even if these numbers later turn out to untrue or boldly exaggerated. Also to footnote that there cannot have been any fatalities on explosion event site one as it was entirely staged. Any fatalities claimed for event site one can only be fictitious, or occurred on event site two, or elsewhere.

Who actually architected, planned, and carried out this combined Operation Gladio in Boston is unknown at this time. Who carried out the drill component is in plain-sight. It was the integrated execution by the City of Boston as outlined in the public documents listed below – except that it was to execute covertly, and for a political agenda rather than as a training event.

What the overarching motives were are also in plain-sight, as is the most obvious question asked in any criminal situation: *cui bono* – who
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benefits? The *whodunit* question however, meaning, which supra-terrorist intelligence organization, and their collaborating proxy assets in the know, directly planned and executed Operation Gladio Boston of which the disaster drill conducted by the City of Boston was but one small component, will surely never be known. It is indeed quite likely that many among those who participated in the covert drill component thinking they were only doing their patriotic duty, are just as shocked by their covert drill going live on event site two. No *deepthroat* will reveal anything substantive until perhaps the day when the obvious motives behind all these false flag terror events on American soil, are a complete fait accompli. Meaning, irreversible new realities on the ground have been constructed whereby the scholarly, the journalistic, and any whistle-blowing disclosures of what was previously a closely held secret and very effectively “plausibly denied”, can do nothing to alter these new hard realities and the public's notion of justice becomes obsolete or irrelevant.

Just as we now presume to know, ex post facto, at least something about Operation Gladio that was conducted in Western Europe of yesteryear when the USSR no longer exists today. Therefore, what's administering justice to the criminals in that situation mean? It is now not only a moot, but entirely meaningless construct.

This is Machiavelli at its utmost best. This modus operandi is even openly admitted by the White House, and with irresolute chutzpah worthy of its owners, also openly printed by the New York Times whose own motto, “All the news that's fit to print”, makes such bold admission of Machiavellian statecraft public knowledge:

'...“That's not the way the world really works anymore,” he continued. “We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be
left to just study what we do.”...' (Ron Suskind, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004)

The following official public documents created by the Federal government of the United States and the City of Boston, are crucial in understanding the theory behind the first drill event. These are labeled by Exhibit number, as is everything else of evidentiary nature.

- E1 Marathons – A Tale of Two Cities and the Running of a Planned Mass Casualty Event January 16 2008
- E2 Homeland Security Exercise and Evaluation Program HSEEP April 5 2013
- E3 MULTI-YEAR TRAINING AND EXERCISE PLAN – FEMA REGION X FINAL VERSION OCTOBER 1 2009
- E5 FEMA | HSEEP Volume IV government website with documents advertising for paid actors to play simulated injuries and Actor Waiver Form (and a lot more stuff)

The following video depicts how trained amputee actors sporting moulage injuries are used for realistic exercise drills and synthetic training exercises for the American military fighting the terrorists overseas:

- E6: Video titled: Boston Bombing Hoax looks real with Amputee actors help
Caption Amputee actors in role playing in the name of “national security” and for “saving American lives”. Click on video image to watch Americans soldiers in full military gear train for catastrophic injuries in the field in the simulated environment of a realistically staged exercise drill.

The following documentary by BBC Timewatch is crucial in understanding Operation Gladio of yesteryear:

- E7: BBC Documentary Operation Gladio - BBC Time Watch
The following short video news bulletin highlights what was achieved in the immediate aftermath of the Boston Marathon Operation Gladio:

- E8: The Truthseeker: Boston Bombing - What You Aren't Told

The following short commentary by a former session court judge makes the point of synthetic terror to catch the terrorists in an entrapment scam rather obvious when put in context of staging a diabolical Operation Gladio. Using dupes and patsies in FBI entrapment exercises which, when they go live for real enactment of terror rather than for averting the terror which is how these entrapments are legally justified before the American public by the blessings of the juristic fra-
ternity, provide convenient patsies to hang the blame upon:

- E9: FBI Fake Terror Plots History: Judge Napolitano
  
  [youtube=http://youtube.com/watch?v=G21-ZScALiA]

Finally, following is the image broadcast by Fox News on worldwide television of the rescue of one named “Jeff Bauman” by Boston EMT, EMS, and the man in the cowboy hat named Carlos Arredondo. The photographs that captured that absurd scene are shown underneath.

- E10: Open Letter to the Doctors of America on the image of evacuation of a victim on a wheelchair with both his legs blown off by Boston EMT

www.youtube.com/watch?v=MrNMhQ4Rx08
Caption Superman victim identified as Jeff Bauman Jr., holding both his blown-up legs while sitting upright and looking alert, being rescued on wheelchair by a Boston EMT, Boston EMS, and man in cowboy hat identified as superhero Carlos Arredondo, about 6 minutes and 43 seconds after the first explosion in the theatre of the most absurd. See Open Letter to Doctors of America. (Image Fox News footage, April 15, 2013, photographers unknown for other images)

We can see that for event site one, none of the recommendation in E1 were actually followed. The emergency response evacuation was done in the most absurd manner. This implies that all those participating on event site one were in on the drama that these were only simulated injuries and their purpose was photo-ops.

We can also observe from the evidence of photographs of event site two (not included in this article but publicly available, including professional photographer brianjdamico's photostream on Flickr titled “Boston Marathon 2013 Bombing - Full Set of Images” taken at 755 Boylston street), that proper emergency response procedures were followed. Fire trucks and ambulances, the real emergency response, immediately arrived at the scene. The injured were properly handled, correctly immobilized and strapped on gurneys, and transported in
ambulances. The area of event site two also appears to have far fewer people congregating, and professionally managed, in stark contrast to event site one only 183 meters away on the same street, which clearly has the appearance of a mêlée.

Exhibit E6, the video of amputees with simulated moulage injuries training American soldiers for overseas combat operations against the “Islamofascist terrorists”, is most revealing of the dysfunctional psychology behind that holy endeavor.

These American amputees train American soldiers to go kill "terrorists" in Muslim nations, and are even happy doing so in the name of “war on terror”. They feel gratified, as admitted by themselves in the above video, simulating moulage injuries for the sake of saving American lives. It is their thespian profession, their bread and butter, and also their two-bit patriotic flag-waiving contribution to American civilization and patriotism. What does it matter to them where their theatrical production is staged, or which American combat troupe goes through their training facility – the ones serving overseas fighting international terrorists, the likes of Al Qaeda, Osama Bin Laden, Sadaam Hussein, militant Islam, and Pakistani loose nuke's threat to American soil from across the ocean. Or the dedicated domestic EMS teams across American cities fighting the domestic terrorists? The 'War on Terror' after all is everywhere, the terrorism threat real. And now that Osama Bin Laden has mercifully been laid to rest, the threat is surely increasing in its domestic tenor as continually reported in the news media. What if the armed right-winger militias, internet bloggers, skeptics, and constitutionalists alarmed at what's happening to their beloved nation and almost willing to take matters into their own hands, have their rising discontent harvested by Al Qaeda? That is a pretty powerful motivation to join in any staged drill across the United States – and the video is proof that such people exist to fill all the job advertisements by HSEEP and FEMA.

It is more empirical than just speculatively imaginable that amputee actors were engaged for the Boston Marathon to enact the theatre of
the absurd. From that staged act was broadcast the propaganda scene of the legless victim Jeff Bauman Jr. being rescued on wheelchair by Boston EMT and Boston EMS on worldwide television to play on public sympathies worldwide. It is for certain that no real injured victim exhibits such behavior medically as the actor in wheelchair, and no real EMT and EMS rescue operation behaves in that asinine manner unless they have been sold on the project that it is a Planned Mass Casualty Event exercise drill for a good cause, that it is to be a photo-ops, and is not a real event. No EMT and EMS will behave that way in a real emergency for fear of having their professional licenses revoked, and being held criminally negligent in the exercise of their trained, checklisted, and strictly laid out duties on how to handle such emergencies.

Therefore, as the inevitability of sound and rational logical reasoning always condemning the indefensible and the guilty, since this first event was a Planned Mass Casualty drill, the poor Muslim patsies, the Tsarnaev brothers, were also just innocent stooges setup in the usual manner by the FBI (Exhibit E9 Judge Napolitano) to take the fall for a realistic enactment of practicing Martial Law in Boston. The real criminals are at this time most certainly doubling up with laughter at the American people's expense, and at their own brilliance for once again having successfully got away with scapegoating Islam and Muslims.

However, if the officialdom of City of Boston will insist that this first event was real in order to save face in public because the Planned Mass Casualty Event actually went live and there were real casualties by their own admission and statistics, then the images shown on worldwide television of the legless victim in a wheelchair are outright fake.

No trained EMS and EMT will rescue someone whose legs have been blown up in that absurd way as is obvious from how the second sub-event was handled where the injuries were deemed real and it was known by the EMT and EMS to not be an exercise drill. The images
show real fire brigade trucks and ambulances handling the injured in a completely different and commonsensical evacuation protocol than was observed at the first site where the simulation was known to being enacted. Therefore, they would have to accept that the broadcasting of the absurd rescue of Jeff Bauman Jr., on wheelchair on mainstream television news worldwide was faked, if they would insist that the first event itself was not a fake.

That double jeopardy, Mr. and Mrs. Law enforcement and rapidly atrophying legal minds of America, namely,

- either the acceptance that event one was a covert HSEEP Planned Mass Casualty Event instead of the overt exercise drill as per their own planning documents, and the victims were only simulating moulage injuries,

- or the acceptance that the images broadcast on television were faked (as no real EMT and EMS operation is trained to perform rescue in that absurd way, and were they to respond in that fashion they would be immediately held liable for criminal negligence in this most litigative nation where lawyers abound),

is the checkmate!

This checkmate, with expert testimony by medical and EMT practitioners of just describing their own normal procedures from their own textbooks and emergency procedure manuals, can stand in any court of law. It is not obvious for what precise charges however; perhaps minimally to publicly admit the truth as stated above, and as future hazard mitigation of drills inexplicably going live, to legally put a blanket moratorium on all such Planned training exercises by the executive branch of any administration, whether local, state, or federal, in which the public is not a priori informed – the way they were repeatedly informed of the planned Operation Shield 2012 Boston exercise much in advance.

As documented in the public documents above, the hiring of actors
and amputees for disaster preparedness exercise drills is a Homeland Security and FEMA operational fact, not speculation. And so is actual conducting of Mass Casualty Event drills for which these stage-hands are routinely hired and asked to sign non disclosure agreement on national security grounds. These drills are used to rope unemployed people as well as pertinent officialdom into role play as their patriotic duty in the 'war on terror' preparedness; to officially stand down any real emergency services as responsible people think this is only a drill and only those participating in the drill from officialdom are on stage and scripted into the act; and subsequently, these drills are easily turned into a false flag operation either by collusion of all concerned, or solely by a supra covert agency of the national security state conducting a compartmentalized covert-ops terroristic act with “camouflage, patsies taking the blame, and deception” for which the drills provide the most excellent official cover and stand-down of real response.

That happened on the 9/11 catastrophic terrorism event (no NORAD response to the supposed airliner hijackings as the entire air defence system of the United States was standing down thinking it was the pre-planned exercise drills many of which were simultaneously in progress on that date). And we can see this same scenario in action replay in the Boston Marathon refresher small-terrorism event, where no ambulances or fire engines showed up for a long time, and the actors sporting moulage injuries were evacuated in wheelchairs for media photo-ops. The frightening thing to observe here is the level of complacency of the Boston citizens. A medical city, none of its doctors and professors of medicine have risen up to assert their expert testimony that these images of injuries and the emergency response of licensed and trained EMTs appears to be a spoof movie rather than something that they as professors of medicine either teach or practice in their own hospitals.

As we see transpiring in Boston and explained above, this drills method for overlaying Operation Gladio covert-ops also easily co-opts the
officialdom and actors who were only participating in the drills part, into adopting stoned silence given the real destruction, and real fatalities and injuries, for fear of criminal culpability for deceiving the public – not to mention the non disclosures they already signed any violation of which they minimally risk legal charges if not outright assassination by the same national security state apparatus which carried out the Operation Gladio death operation on the unwary public.

This diabolical modus operandi of training drills going live, is one up on the more primitive Operation Gladio in Western Europe. The false flag events there were not necessarily assisted by their officialdom, and was conducted by NATO intelligence principally to convince both the state's elected officialdom and the public electing them, of the fictitious threat of Communist invasion of Western Europe. The difference with the latter day Operation Gladios anywhere in the Western world, including in the United States, is that the national state machinery is already a part of the Hegelian Dialectic of 'War on Terror'. It is mainly their respective public that needs periodic convincing why they should be better off living in a police-state in order to protect them from an equally fictitious threat from domestic terrorists --- a Machiavellian modus operandi for the construction of a global police state piece-meal with the active connivance of officialdom under the cover of “plausible deniability”.

Once again, all matters current already presaged by Bertrand Russell in the Impact of Science on Society in 1951:

“There is, it must be confessed, a psychological difficulty about a single world government. The chief source of social cohesion in the past, I repeat, has been war: the passions that inspire feeling of unity are hate and fear. These depend upon the existence of an enemy, actual or potential. It seems to follow that a world government could only be kept in being by force, not by the spontaneous loyalty that now inspires a nation at war.”
Who those overarching planners are who continue to hijack drills and training exercises to make them go live, is unknown. The masterminds can never really be pinpointed – unless under a victor's justice as witnessed at Nuremberg Military Tribunals where the Nazi's 'Operation Canned Goods' was easily apprehended as the diabolical pretext “to goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers” (Justice Robert H. Jackson), and for which many among the surviving Nazi leadership were hanged. I desist from speculating without hard evidence, except to state the obvious fact of the matter. That, whichever this supranational organization is, and whosoever its real leaders, planners, controllers and field agents are who have repeatedly carried out Operation Gladio on both American and international soil with brazen impunity, can only be a secretive and highly compartmentalized part of officialdom space of the supranational security state that controls not only the sole superpower, but also many Western nations. Without officialdom's active and tacit quid pro quo protection of this supranational security state apparatus within the facade of elected governance, this criminal enterprise running Operation Gladios worldwide cannot ever succeed.

Since the overarching motivation of officialdom – who are already known to merely be the elected front faces for the oligarchy that rules the United States with complete control – is known to be global governance in a global police state, therefore, all methods and modus operandi which take the world there, by hook or by crook, by the straightforward logic of the matter, are also its own.

Credits

This report is indebted to the tireless labors of many an unknown activist worldwide who researched and gathered the raw data from videos and photographs making these invaluable resources available to other researchers for analysis. A special hat tip to Marlena
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Doucette in Boston who also contributed to some of the research and analysis. This report is a testimony to the power of the internet and its ability to facilitate the distributed cooperation across the globe among non potted plant human beings actively sharing in the same common aspiration for truth, justice, and peace.
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Dear Medical Practitioners in the United States of America

What bothers me about America is that of the 20,000 doctors and surgeons in Boston, from Harvard-MIT MD-Phds to the Dana-Farber super-specialists in every healthcare related field esoterica, not one can stand up to call a spade a spade? The physician I spoke to privately on Jeff Bauman's Boston Marathon Bombing injury images broadcast in the newsmedia (see Domestic Terror and Police-State)*, with just one look at the photos told me this cannot possibly be real.
Caption The surreal image of Boston Marathon superman victim identified as Jeff Bauman Jr., holding both his blown-up legs while sitting upright and looking alert, being rescued
on wheelchair by a Boston EMT, Boston EMS, and man in cowboy hat identified as superhero Carlos Arredondo, about 6 minutes and 43 seconds after the first explosion in the theatre of the most absurd. The victim is rushed past the photo-ops corner to a parked ambulance which, instead of rushing to the injured on the open paved road of Boylston street, is patiently waiting for the victim to come to it perched precariously on wheelchair instead of securely immobilized in a gurney, in that cinematic rescue moment broadcast by Fox News worldwide, April 15, 2013.

Yet no medical practitioner, professor of medicine, EMT, EMS, man of science or man of commonsense from Boston to San Francisco has publicly made that call that the exploded legs victim looking alert and not bleeding to death while being strolled on Boylston Street in a wheelchair instead of a gurney is an absurdity?

Is that what you teach your medical students in the United States of America?

What has gone wrong that this nation only produces cowards?

Did Americans learn nothing from the Banality of Evil that created (and destroyed) the Third Reich?

Are you super learned AMA and FDA approved professors of medicine blind? Or merely “United We Stand” super Good Americans?

Disgusted.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

California
Report Short URL: http://tinyurl.com/Boston-Marathon-Fake-Terror

Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2013/04/open-letter-to-doctors-of-america.html
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America Surviving the Grand Chessboard
The Political Strategy

How to derail “imperial mobilization”

April 09, 2008

Abstract

“Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.,” says Zbigniew Brzezinski in his famous bible of American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives, The Grand Chessboard. The United States itself is not intended to survive the Grand Chessboard as it is being ridden like an out of control tiger by the Oligarchy that is engineering its own empire, World Government. The “meat” dangled before the blind tiger's
vast military-industrial-corporate complex is the
greed for “American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Im-
peratives”, a diabolical ruse to drive the thirst for na-
tionalism, glory, revenge, primacy, sense of security,
not to forget profit, and the white man's burden, into
the national psyche. The reality is far different despite
the boastful portrayal of the ruse: “Geostrategic suc-
cess in that cause would represent a fitting legacy of
America's role as the first, only, and last truly global
superpower.” Its victims are to include the people of
the United States as much as the rest of the world. Its
strategic interdiction has two interlinked components:
one within the United States, the domestic compon-
ent; and one in the rest of the world, the international
component. This essay strategically addresses the
first.

It appears that a majority of conscionable peoples opposed to their na-
tion's war mongering for “imperial mobilization” in the guise of fight-
ing the 'war on terrorism', have actually given up on the idea that they
can preemptively prevent wars.

The experience of the dismal failure of anti-war demonstrations since
911, and other ineffectual symbolic protests has taught many of us
once again that this isn't the Vietnam era of the 1960s. The social con-
trol is enormous, the attachments to the pursuit of the elusive 'American
Dream' even stronger, and hence all consuming, and despite tether-
ing at the brink of financial bankruptcy, the nation still persists in par-
ticipating in the 'war on terror', still persists in paying its taxes to fund
the war, and still persists in shedding its own blood. But mainly the
'lesser' blood of economic conscription.

Thus in a sense, with rising disparity in wealth and increasing unem-
ployment in the American nation, there is a concomitant supply of re-
cruits right out of high school from among the lesser privileged class,
who, for the lure of a signup bonus, or the promise of an education and good living, are not shy of shedding other peoples' blood to get 'ahead' in life. It matters little that if they even come back in one piece physically, they are usually shattered mentally – for then, these 'rejects' of economic conscription and battle fatigue are as dispensable as those whom they had earlier made dispensable. A self sustaining system of recruiting soldiers is being constructed domestically within the United States that parallels the self sustaining system of creating the 'terrorists' to fight the perpetual 'war on terror' against, for the entire slated lifetime of “World War IV”. [1]

It is amazing how politically astute President George Bush was when he dismissed the anemic protests throughout the United States of America before the buildup of war on Iraq in February 2003, as simply a “focus group”, stating: [2]

“First of all, you know, size of protest, it's like deciding, well, I'm going to decide policy based upon a focus group. The role of a leader is to decide policy based upon the security -- in this case, the security of the people.”

Most conscionable peoples who abhorred the idea of war mongering, at the time made fun of President George Bush – without appreciating the treadmill that had been constructed for them to keep them inefficaciously occupied while the 'war on terror' made incremental baby-step progress through small faits accomplis of continually creating more “revolutionary times” in the “Global Zone of Percolating Violence” which made, what was otherwise “inconceivable in normal times”, entirely “possible”. It spanned the gamut of peoples willingly giving up their “essential liberties for a bit of temporary safety” from the ubiquitous terrorists hiding under their beds, to acquiescing to invade other unarmed, disarmed, and sitting-duck nations with the barbarian-ism of unparalleled “shock and awe”. With all newsmedia cheer-leading the war effort, most peoples in America were initially not impacted by the wars being fought 20,000 miles away in some exotic
lands of the unknown 'barbarians' who had dared to attack America!

But seven years have now passed, and most Americans are now indeed being impacted even in their daily lives due to the far away battles. With diminishing social spending, as a major chunk of the national budget is going into “imperial mobilization”, which in FY 2009 is slated to be 3.2 trillion dollars, the soaring gas prices that is now past $4.0 a gallon, and the cost of living shooting skywards in sync with rising bankruptcies and housing crises, most peoples have had enough.

And yet, the same handful of conscientious rabble rousers are nowhere near doing anything different from what they were doing 7 years ago. Most are still clamoring for “impeachment”, still clamoring for mindless protests in streets, and still merely paying lip service to the proverbial dissent on the treadmill of inefficacy. Instead of trying to understand the reasons for their inefficacy and failure, instead of conducting post-mortems to understand the nature of the beast that is driving the train of “imperial mobilization”, or indeed, what “imperial mobilization” really means in practice apart from its bombastic sounding import, and instead of studying its methods and tactics, instead of using Jujitsu and Judo on the opponents in astute gamesmanship on the 'Grand Chessboard', the conscionable peoples have continually worked outside the pale of the very institutional frameworks which the 'hectoring hegemons' have figured out how to exploit effectively to seize the many instruments of 'empire' in order to realize their own objectives of “full spectrum dominance”. At best, some sit as spectators in imposing testimonies [3] by various 'hectoring hegemons' before the Congress, holding merely their placards or courageously shouting “Bring them home! Bring them home!” and “promptly [being] escorted out of the committee room and arrested.” How effective!

Vladimir Putin [4] summed up the achievements of the 'hectoring hegemons' so aptly last year that it is worth repeating to the Americans over and over again:
“what is a unipolar world? However one might embellish this term, at the end of the day it refers to one type of situation, namely one centre of authority, one centre of force, one centre of decision-making. It is world in which there is one master, one sovereign. And at the end of the day this is pernicious not only for all those within this system, but also for the sovereign itself because it destroys itself from within. And this certainly has nothing in common with democracy. Because, as you know, democracy is the power of the majority in light of the interests and opinions of the minority.”

And yet the dissent space and its glorified dissenting priests still wander-on aimlessly, or perhaps deliberately, condemned for the entire lifetime of World War IV to remain on the treadmill of inefficacy, lost, so to speak, searching for the 'land of Canaan'.

For the past seven years, since 911, not a single institutional framework construction was pursued by the dissent space. Some of these ideas were outlined in Chapter 7 of this 2003 book Prisoners of the Cave. [5] Not a single post-mortem was conducted to understand why dissent has failed. Yet hundreds, or perhaps thousands of public speeches and gatherings have been enacted, and hundreds of books have been written to make lots of big bucks for a handful of dissenting priests. A majority of these books contain no prescriptions, only rehearsed histories or narratives of various crimes in progress. Yet, not a single institution has been constructed that could become an effective counterpoint to the 'hectoring hegemons', nor any institutional changes vigorously pursued that could efficaciously 'check' the hectoring hegemons' attempts to further their diabolical craftsmanship of “imperial mobilization”.

Only proclamations, platitudes, and bravados is all that is on record from the famed American dissent space and its dissenting priests. As a mere plebeian, I too am equally guilty of failing to stop “imperial mo-
bilization” despite much vigorous 'jihad' on the 'treadmill'. [6] It's high time to end that losing streak on this treadmill of inefficacy that has worked great in favor of the hectoring hegemons don't you think? Indeed, if I was one of them, I'd just love this dissent space! For it simultaneously achieves two purposes: one, it evidently makes little domestic impact on “imperial mobilization”; and two, it gives a convenient illusion to the conscionable peoples who are sure to arise in any community, that they are engaged in serious battle for their 'Civil Rights', for 'World Peace', for 'Justice', for motherhood, and apple pie. Well let's pull the plug from that treadmill shall we?

The impending Nuclear Attack on Iran is looming ahead, as noted in this ominous analysis: Heads-up warning to the American Peoples – Nuclear attack on Iran appears imminent! [7]

And some 'activist' members of the Congress who oppose the war mongering and invading of other nations on false pretexts, have already resigned themselves to the idea that there is nothing they can do about the imminent attack, and instead, have become content in only issuing what they will do afterwards, even gaining some traction among the dissent stream: [8]

“He [John Conyers] is circulating a letter among his colleagues for signatures, a letter addressed to Bush letting him know that an attack on Iran will result in impeachment hearings. LET'S ASK EVERY MEMBER OF CONGRESS TO SIGN ON! I know it seems backwards and we want impeachment before a new war, not after, but this is a way for us to show Conyers the support that will be there any time he moves forward.”

Surely the lives and tabula rasa of 70 million peoples in that densely populated region of humanity – the cradle itself of the Western civilization, and among the oldest on earth – cannot be a foregone conclusion?
Surely this isn't merely a game? A dog thrown on the freeway in Los Angeles gets more sympathy from the entire American nation than the 'lesser' peoples who experienced, are experiencing, and surely will experience – unless the courageous peoples of America and the world put a stop to it – the imperial “algebra of infinite justice”. [9]

There must be a better way than just empty and entirely vacuous threats of what one will do afterwards, ex post facto, such as “impeachment”.

So what, even if this 'magic bullet' was magically unsheathed and lodged into a single instance of monumental criminals after more than 2000 'targets' are obliterated, some with nuclear weapons, in a defenseless nation that possesses no effective deterrence against predatory high-tech nuclear invasion? And these “impeached” criminals will be replaced by another of the same genre of 'hectoring hegemons' soon enough. What one does after the nuclear 'Rubicon' is crossed becomes rather irrelevant to the victims don't you think? Surely it mattered much to the cataclysmic destruction of Iraq and Afghanistan, and the entire “Global Zone of Percolating Violence” from Kosovo to Lebanon, how hard the antiwar protesters yelled in the streets of America? Or that it mattered greatly to the dead Vietnamese, or the forgotten Cambodians, and the even lesser known Laotians, that Nixon left office in disgrace! I can imagine someone suggesting that surely, we ended up saving several millions of the 'lesser' humanity by ending the American killing spree sooner! It would be interesting to see if a restitution court ordered an 'eye for an eye' restitution plus fair compensation for pain and suffering by the standards of the aggressor civilization itself, that whether anyone from the aggressor civilization might still think so!

The entire point must be that the nuclear Rubicon is NEVER ever crossed.

That “imperial mobilization” is itself derailed as the DNA imprint of America's foreign policy abuses upon the 'lesser' humanity. It is per-
haps easy to imagine the gravity of the matter if the roles were reversed, and the Iranians, now the new sole superpower, sought regime change in 'the Great Satan' with preemptive 'Deathstar' like 'Phaser' strikes that had no defense known to man? (oops – I just gave away the secret for the next mantra)

As is expressed in this humble letter by Project Humanbeingsfirst to the Journal of 911 studies [10] courageous scientists still busily engaged in figuring out how the towers fell:

“I hope you would also permit me to share this one final lament. I sometimes sense that even well intentioned peoples in the United States often tend to forget that other's dead and destroyed are not mere statistics to their loved ones. Sitting 20,000 miles away from the murderous war zone, it is easy to become engrossed in solving the riddles of 911, or rehearsing interesting histories in conference halls that are already fait accompli. Can the conscionable peoples in this nation at least make it a point to also sometimes imagine, as a shocking thought experiment, that with every falling American bomb upon a 'lesser' peoples, paid for from their own tax dollars, their mom, dad, sister, brother, kids, wife, and the family dog is killed? What courses of action, and with what urgency, would one take then?”

let's together renew focus on how to efficaciously prevent further “imperial mobilization” under any pretexts. We have already seen that what worked in the 1960s era as a peoples' mass antiwar movement, has absolutely no traction in the modernity du jour. There are no masses to move.

The following seed idea from the heads-up warning cited above is based on one key insight: “Imperial Mobilization” is institutional, and all encompassing. Yes a truism of course. But it leads to the following
corollary: Any real resistance to “imperial mobilization”, in order to be effective, also has to be institutional, and under institutional frameworks.

If astutely and vigilantly pursued – with 'moves' look-ahead, employing both 'pawn' tactics and strategies as in a geopolitical chess game played on the 'Grand Chessboard' against 'ubermensch' Grandmasters – then it can surely create real impediments to new “imperial mobilizations”. And certainly at this stage of the domestic 'game' when the American peoples are already war-weary, deception-weary, and precariously perched at the brink of financial insolvency. In other words, the conditions are ripe in America for the following to become an efficacious measure, if it can be brought to fruition through proper 'political' planning and resource mobilization before the inevitable “oops, too late!”

Solution Strategy 1

“Firstly, anything, including all 'nuclear terrorist acts' on American soil, or anywhere in the world, all shocking revelations by whistleblowers, all leaks in newspapers, and all phantasmal mantras that distract from the afore-stated goal, regardless of how compelling the reasons, must be treated by the unwary and gullible American public and its intellectual dissenting-chiefs, as red herrings, pretexts, and deceptions for premeditated 'imperial mobilization' by their nation's rulers.”

The quoted paragraph above is obviously where the outstanding work already done by many conscionable peoples and forensic detectives over the past several years on analyzing false pretexts for the previous phase of “imperial mobilization” to “birth-pang the new Middle East” based upon the “catalyzing event like a new pearl harbor" of 911 and the various “doctrinal motivation” based upon the fictions of WMDs and 'radical Islamism', can be useful.
However, previous expositions of history is not sufficient, even though perhaps a necessary part of the bigger picture, because, the antagonist is not uni-dimensional – but rather 'uber' sophisticated, and diabolically imaginative.

For instance, see the following letter to Messrs. Matthew Bunn of Harvard [11] on his testimony before the Committee on Homeland Security on Nuclear Terrorism in April 2008, and the following reassessment of the so-called 'shocking' whistleblowing disclosures by the genuinely courageous Ms. Sibel Edmonds [12] that is also occupying the attention-span of the 'dissent space' but the significance of which, in the humble opinion of this scribe, is really that of a political red-herring.

Thus, the new mantras being deftly spun, starting from the 'loose nukes' of Pakistan that will miraculously be hijacked by the 'al-qaeda', to the presciently proclaimed 'The Day After' as prognosticated by President George Bush himself “that will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison”, are the obvious setups to be blamed upon Iran. Zbigniew Brzezinski had already laid out a plausible scenario in February 2007 while testifying before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. See a further outlandishly plausible scenario that can culminate in drawing both Iran and Pakistan into “shock and awe” as the culprit nations, in the following wakeup call to the Pakistani peoples. [13]

There are many analyses available from Project Humanbeingsfirst™ at http://humanbeingsfirst.org that already go into sufficient analytical depth to warrant reproducing any further evidence here that the 'war on terror' is a total fabrication diabolically crafted for “imperial mobilization” to achieve “full spectrum dominance” in the guise of fighting 'synthetic terror' that is easily synthesizeable, especially among the Muslims today by “shock and awing” them, maligning their religion, and ensuring that both sides or all sides are kept armed and financed in the ensuing 'Muslim on Muslim' violence. For that constructs the much needed “revolutionary times” further enabling all the necessary pretexts, mantras, and phobias to keep the American and Western
peoples scared silly that these stick-wielding antediluvians are their biggest nemesis since Hitler. And these same guys in the Hindu Kush mountains will now perpetrate the next new 911.

This new "catalyzing event" will surely be so shocking – for what else can "make Sept. 11 pale by comparison" – that the Congress, which has a history of almost trivially being arm-wrestled by the Executive branch into 'United we Stand' with them, will authorize a nuclear 'retaliatory' attack as "'defensive' US military action".

This is where there is an immediate institutional opportunity for astute intervention by the "populist democracy" which is projected to be "inimical to imperial mobilization" – the hectoring hegemons' worst nightmare:

**Solution Strategy 2**

“Secondly, if there is reason for the United States to nuclear decimate any country or any peoples in purported 'self-defense', the American peoples must demand a ratification of the decision to go to war through a public referendum – let its great "populist democracy" speak directly in the modernity of the 21st century before it is called upon to make its sacrifices, before it is called upon to pay its taxes to fund the war, and before it is called upon to acquire innocent blood on its hands!"

The quoted paragraph above is what Project Humanbeingsfirst humbly proposes become the new focus of all conscionable peoples on how to institutionally derail "imperial mobilization" from within America by its genuine American patriots. Externally, on the 'Grand Chessboard', it will only be derailed in a détente which will only come about when new "full spectrum alliances" are constructed among the Asian nations for the "full spectrum deterrence" from all foreign marauders. An example of that being the SCO (Shanghai Cooperation Organization) overnight turning into a NATO like alliance – the Asian
Treaty Organization – of all the Asian countries. The ruling elite in these nations has so shrewdly been co-opted by the world's sole superpower that even in their own genuine self-defense against foreign invaders, they are unable to unite – when even the lowly buffalos are able to come together to defend their own against any 'hectoring hegemons' of nature. [14]

Thus, staying focussed on the topic at hand, for domestic resistance to imperial barbarianism from within the United States, the idea is that enormous public pressure is immediately put on the Congress to create public hearings to examine the devolution of their powers to declare war, and to seek the pulse of the nation through direct public ratification in a public referendum, before using their own Constitutional powers to declare (or not declare) war. Please do examine this approach.

This is eminently within the existing Constitutional framework and does not require any Constitutional Amendment, as the Congress is merely creating another 'gating-input' to its decision making. Such a law would also mandate, in order to be practicable, creating credible 'systems' under which such public voting under any proposed 'declaration of war' by the Executive Branch under any circumstance can be affected within 24 to 72 hours - or that order of time-frame. It is the same time-frame under which the Congress itself labors, and thus, seeking external ratification will not hamper its own deliberation when done in parallel, but it will eventually be controlled by external public ratification – by a public whom they purportedly represent anyway under the Constitution.

Today, technologically speaking, such rapid public referendum is not only feasible, but quite practical, whereas it wasn't quite the case in the previous decades. Of course, we will not get into how 'such systems' can also be subverted-type red herring conversations here, except to note that by law, these 'systems' can also be mandated to be open-sourced, with 'legal' and public bodies mandated to oversee the systems, the processes, and the deployment and execution.
Furthermore, while the following may be thought by some as excessive polemics, it can be the real impetus for the 'next move' on the domestic 'Grand Chessboard', so to speak, to derail “imperial mobilization”:

**Solution Strategy 3**

“Even better, draw the soldiers, officers and war-technicians from the pool who vote for war! If they can vote yes to invade other nations and wantonly shatter the tabula rasa of a 'lesser humanity', then they must also first be willing to sign up for doing it themselves – instead of having a draft of economic conscription. Every 'yes' voting home in America must have at least one 'patriot' from the immediate family show up on the front-lines without exception – or their 'yes' vote is void!”

The solution-space outlined in the preceding paragraphs is but one institutional approach borne of clear strategic thinking on the hard ground realities of the Grand Chessboard --- as opposed to some theoretical game-theory scenario from a philosopher's mind. There may be other national strategies as well coming from far more brilliant minds once they put it to good use.

But whatever the case, the resistance to “imperial mobilization”, as argued in this proposal, must be elevated to the institutional-level national framework, just as “imperial mobilization” itself has been institutionalized, with narrow self-serving interests of the elite and the rank and file together serving imperial interests by the very nature of the institutionalized military-industrial-academic-nonprofit complex fashioned to create livelihood for the nation. Just as once part of that system one has no choice but to serve in the imperial mobilization of the nation one way or another, the resistance to villainy of power too must be so anchored that it is part of the system. Without strategically and tactically institutionalizing resistance to “imperial mobilization”,
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all resistance to the forces that covertly strategize, game-theorize, engineer, seed, germinate, cultivate and harvest the public unveiling of its manufactured pretexts, and which diabolically lead to “imperial mobilization” by making the public mind to “United We Stand”, will remain only a “focus group”. And therefore, always still-born.

The United States' democratically elected and nationally representative Congress when compelled to setup public hearings on this very subject matter of prevention before the fact, rather than their predetermined and predicted reaction ex post facto in blanket recognition of their own dastardly weakness to be bulldozed by the Executive branch and be co-opted by special-interest lobby groups, can do far more damage to the covert forces of “imperial mobilization” than a thousand hours of great sounding impotent speeches in in-house debates.

Such public hearings can be used to further explore the solution space of how America's fabled Congress in its great constitutional form of democratic government on acid free long lasting paper can actually temper its non-independence from the Executive branch in practice. It lends the opportunity to examine devolving some of its constitutionally framed legal powers to create limited checks and balance upon its own decision making on important matters to prevent co-option, like declaring war and peace, through direct public referendum.

This idea of ratifying important matters through direct public referendum also creates a more participative democracy on line item issues, without requiring any Constitutional changes and amendments (and that is an important consideration for the response times needed here). Additionally, since law making at some point involves the Executive to sign-off, the Congress can examine the devolution of its powers as part of their own deliberation process, thus side-stepping the Executive.

An outsider can only speculate on the modalities of implementation, and peoples more capable must look at the issues involved – but not be deterred by roadblocks that are surely to be thrown one's way by
sophisticated Straussian 'hectoring hegemons' who can construct any 'Noble Lie' and any 'technique of infamy' on the fly. One must be prepared to effectively counter the various and sundry circus clowns and patsies who will incessantly bleat nay-saying on the air.

There may still be time before the cataclysmic nuclear Rubicon is crossed. Although the attack on Iran appears imminent, it is not yet a fait accompli. There is many a slip between the cup and lip – and until that tipping point has rewritten history, the operative principle for the conscionable peoples in the United States of America must be to work on its prevention. Perhaps prominent peoples, prominent scholars and lawyers, and prominent (former) statesmen can be enlisted in this cause with an immediacy and urgency as if the lives of one's own family, and one's own loved pets, depended on it! There are supposedly 46 Congresspersons who are seeking impeachment. Perhaps they can be the beginning.

I long for that day when all nations' foreign policies, trade relations, and all international laws and interfaces are built upon the principle espoused in the Biblical Golden Rule – for what a reign of justice and peace of a thousand years would that be! Truly a "Zion that will light up all the world". Why not?

Footnotes
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Message to the United States Congress from Project Humanbeingsfirst: It's now or never!

October 02, 2008, 8 AM PST

Dear Congressmen and Congresswomen of America,

If you were to ask for my advice on what you might do about this trillion dollar emergency bailout Bill for the bankster oligarchs who are also holding the “insiders” gun to your head to extract their ransom, I
would offer the following opinion.

You have but one tactical choice. Open a second more powerful front to disarm them.

If at least one of you in your House speeches being televised on C-Span, will assert the falsity of the very basis upon which Martial Law in Congress has been enacted, and due to which, you are being compelled to vote and pass this audacious graft upon the nation in the closing hours of this Presidency, you may have a fighting chance to really be fair to your oath of office.

Assert the plain truth that the “war on terror” is a fiction! That the enemy is fabricated.

And therefore, the emergency and war-footings basis upon which the Martial Law has been declared in the House, is fictitious.

And therefore, you, as the Representative of the People, revolt against this fiction being forced upon you as it is preventing you from upholding your own oath of office to protect and serve the nation against all enemies, both foreign, and domestic!

By astutely challenging, as fraudulent and malfeasant, the very first principle upon which the Congress has been continually co-opted by this Administration in its Constitutional due processes and deliberations, you have the fighting chance of preventing a second vote on this banksters' bailout Bill in the House.

If this bill is voted upon now in the House, you can take it to the vaults that the bill will pass! Please see the analysis in “No Exits on this Super-Highway!”*

It is really time for the due diligence that was denied Gen. Smedley Butler.
It is really time to expose how America has been devilishly controlled by the oligarchs from behind the scenes despite their failed fascist coup attempt of 1933.

It is really time to expose which bankster oligarchs' mouth piece is CFR, of which you might well be a member.

It is really time to expose how the fiction of 'war on terror' is part of the very same oligarchs' grand strategies for eroding national sovereignty as prelude to one world government.

And it is really time to finally connect all the disparate pieces and baby-steps, each of which appears unrelated to the other, but together they systematically lead to one world government.

That time, is this very moment, when the banksters are presumably all powerful and masterminding their own bailout, when instead, it could actually be you easily turning the tables upon them simply by exposing the grand lie.

The fact of the matter is that this isn't a bailout for them at all – for they are plenty wealthy already. The bailout is a red herring to cleverly mask something far more pernicious that has been in the works for a very long time.

The financial crisis was engineered under the oligarchs' premeditated plan as a baby-step prelude to abandoning the dollar and cementing the North American Union.

Your real power, the real gun that you temporarily wield, is in exposing their diabolical agenda when the oligarchs are conveniently not only dependent on you for the passage of this Bill, but are also in the limelight of the world. The media is reporting on them, and the American public is finally skeptical. Even ordinary people are finally paying close attention for the fear of losing their shirts for the first time in their life. The stars are all lined up at this moment for you to strike back with some efficacy. You now have a move that can checkmate them.
There is no second chance. The one world government agenda is being enabled at such an accelerated and destructive pace that no baby-step once taken, is reversible.

Use this last opportunity wisely, and you may yet save America, and the world.

**It's now, or never!**

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

**Footnote** * http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/09/no-exits-on-this-super-highway.html
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America Surviving the Grand Chessboard
A Tactical Opportunity Lost

No Exits on this Super-Highway!

September 30, 2008 | Revised October 01, 2008

As the grotesque raping and disillusionment of America by “The Creation of the Second Great Depression” [a] proceeds with an expert chess-player's grace, a bigger scale invasion of Pakistan by the oligarchs running the United States appears imminent in order to save the world from those other 'terrorists'.

And the oligarchs have copious help from insiders.

In the case of the United States, it's her own Congress.

The accurately predicted [b] initial defeat of the bailout package by a mere loss of 12 votes [c] (228 to 205 [d]) is to be rectified shortly, as the Wall Street [e] has fully implemented the President's scare-mon-
gering [f] dire warning with real teeth! The Congress folks will now be getting frantic calls and emails to reverse their previous vote! At least some of the 228 courageous souls had surely only voted NO in fear of a re-election loss due to their electorates voting with their own feet. That is, to the consternation of the oligarchs, the Representatives whom they largely fund and finance to get elected, had dared to listen to their own constituencies for a change on matters of national significance!

That fear has now been astutely mitigated with this calculated assault by Wall Street on the meager savings in 401K and pension plans of whatever remains of middle America! That is surely worth at least 12 new votes in this thin margin of lame-victory. This trap ought to make all of Congress bow their collective heads in abject shame. They have themselves enabled the raping of their own American peoples, with all their enthusiastic trillion-dollar budget approvals and cheerleading for the 'war on terror' upon those 'lesser' peoples far far away!

The oppressive domestic climate of 'United we Stand' and 'no debate' due to the pernicious police-state laws, unquestioned 'orange alerts', and atrocious Executive Orders which they cheered [g] their President for while handing him carte blanche to wage “imperial mobilization”, has now come home to roost. It enabled the enaction of “Martial Law” [h] in Congress under which this bailout Bill, secretly formulated by “insiders” [i] in the inner sanctums of power, was brought to immediate vote without debate. So what's the really new 'news' here?

It's the belated discovery that oligarchs are now pulling the strings from behind the scenes – because this string-pulling by the puppet-masters has finally become so egregiously visible and so audacious, that at least some can safely point to it (without being labeled “tin-hatted conspiracy theorists” [j]).

And the admission of the blatant fact that these oligarchs are such powerful globalists investing through a web of global institutional fronts such that they can trivially subvert any national boundary to
conduct their financial transactions, and easily prevail upon even the mighty sole superpower's Congress to instrument their private bailout after ruining the national economy, remarkably, making it on CNBC as breaking news! [k]

This slothful recognition is unsurprising, since Congress didn't seem to have done its due diligence to the testimony [m] of Maj. General Smedley Butler more than seventy years ago regarding the mighty oligarchs' fascist plot to visibly take over America. Nor has anyone in Congress, apparently, read the highly decorated soldier's famous exposé, “War is a Racket”!

Then again, perhaps they have, and it isn't fashionable to publicly rehearse these facts, or to be specific about the names, as the same oligarchs are behind the very necessary financing of their election campaigns. The contest is between allegiances, between the idealism that shows up in speeches on the House Floor, and pragmatism when it comes to voting. These Faustian pacts is what ails the American Congress – dual allegiances.

Their first “NO” vote followed by this Wall Street ploy, has also afforded these Representatives the opportunity to safely say they are merely following the public's revised wish when they next vote “YES”, and retain the blessing of the oligarchs. Only a handful need change their vote to swing the decision, and many veneers will be enacted as if it was a great compromise! The new talk is some tax-break for the public – but not to the tune of that received by the oligarchs!

The counter to this remarkable Wall Street move today is not possible, because as both Sigmund Freud and his nephew Edward Bernays had proved, the irrational and emotional response of the mind among the public can be trivially made to prevail over their rational self. There appears to be many a proficient successor to Edward Bernays and his 'Council on Public Relations', consulting for the oligarchs today!

The rational thing for Congress to do here would be to allow these banksters to swallow the loss, to allow Wall Street to dip, [n] to put a
moratorium on all ordinary public's default on payments, to instead, award the ordinary American homeowner a bailout, to re-regulate the financial sector and its speculative instruments, to re-think the nation's debt-trap of money creation, and to create laws and federal statues by which plunderers, looters, and robber barons, instead of being lauded, are hung by the scruff of their neck and their wealth confiscated! The stocks will bounce back to their proper logical levels soon enough. But that rational act, of sanity and courage, requires another more primal, and far more truthful, enabling act to precede it.

In the case of Pakistan, it's her own 'Uncle Toms'. That is, all of Pakistan's leaders!

While many in the United States Congress may entertain genuine dual allegiances, Pakistani leaders, politicians, and military Generals, are rumored to have only one. This is amply demonstrated by the straightforward act of their paying homage to their own puppetmasters with an entire entourage of wannabes the moment they come to power. And this was repeated once again last week where they all took their real oath of office before the President of the United States to solemnly prosecute [o] the 'war on terror'.

Are these 'can't get enough at home' [p] paleolith white-skin worshipers [q] merely mental midgets that they refuse to fathom the 'al qaeda' fiction? [r]

Or are they only the fully 'bought and paid for' [s] black-ops assets of the harbingers of world-government? [t]

Is there no un co-opted elite left in all of Pakistan and the world who can publicly assert: war on terror is a fiction? [u]

Just that one simple affirmation by statesmen, congressmen, politicians, and serving military Generals, worldwide, at least in Pakistan, is all that is needed. All else will naturally follow.

Yes – the unholy transgression of uttering just this one blasphemous
pronouncement by those who matter, by those who command constituencies, is the key Revolutionary Act to unlock all others!

Indeed – a bold and repeated utterance of the only fact of the matter that is also copiously written in America's own 'Mein Kampfs', will enable a genuine, lasting, and the least expensive bailout for all Americans!

- Can Congressman Ron Paul lead the way with all his old talk of 'The Revolution'?
- Can Congressman Dennis Kucinich show the way with all his talk of 'Impeachment'?
- Can President Putin come right out and say it in plain English?
- Can President Ahmadinijad utter those un-sanctimonious words in the UN instead of all the in-efficacious ones?
- Can Presidents, former or not, Mandela and Chavez?
- Can Priests, Christians or not, the Pope and the Ayatollah?
- Can Fatima Bhutto, the Daughter of Revolutionaries, put some teeth behind her young grit?
- Can Imran Khan, the Flag-Bearer of 'Insaf', put some plain justice in his fair speak?

**It's truly now or never** – with the American public finally listening and less 'United We Stand' – and before another shocking terrorist act, or catastrophic event, in America or elsewhere, is blamed upon Pakistan, upon someone else's fascism, upon Islamofascism, upon negligence, upon patsies, or just on 'oops'!

What is still practicably possible today, albeit with a bit of effort and a small price, will be well nigh impossible soon.

There will finally be, on planet earth, a real “no exit”! [v]
Footnotes


[c] NYT, September 29, 2008, House Rejects Bailout Package, 228-205; Stocks Plunge


[g] NBC clip interview of Prof Jonathan Turley, Constitutional Law professor, http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Wmc60JmaLbE

[h] Michael Burgess One-Minute Speeches on the House Floor Sept 28, 2008, “I understand we are under Martial Law as declared by the Speaker last night.” http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l7B4laX1E70


[k] Rep Brad Sherman, D-CA House Financial Svcs Cmte Member, on CNBC, http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Hi9vXKWYaZ8


[o] Dawn News, Zardari: “We have to increase the appetite for the acceptance of (the fact) that we are in a state of war,”, http://www.dawn.com/2008/09/25/top2.htm


[q] Clip of Sarah Pallin & Zardari Meeting “I might hug”, http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=orUCfgQsAzQ


Source URL: http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/09/no-exits-on-this-super-highway.html

First Published September 30, 2008 | Revised October 01, 2008
Chapter 66

Global South Surviving the Grand Chessboard
Full Spectrum Alliances for Full Spectrum Deterrence

Hegemony is as old as mankind!

September 05, 2008

Abstract

A Case Study in International Relations from the breaking events on the Russian-Georgian border in August 2008 to highlight the obvious solution space for interdicting the present unilateral terror on the Grand Chessboard – a return to real “balance of terror”!

“Hegemony is as old as mankind.” That pithy statement captures al-
most 100% of mankind's recorded history. It is either a struggle for, or
against, hectoring hegemons – big and small. And as this pathetic his-
tory of mankind demonstrates, hegemony is only broken before its
natural 'time-constant' with active resistance to it; never with platit-
udes or wishful thinking. At its natural 'time-constant' however, hege-
mony simply collapses (or dies its natural death) under its own weight
of successes, expansions, or failure to maintain. This natural death of
the hectoring hegemons and their systems of hegemony need not con-
cern us here as their 'time-constant' today is long enough to destroy all
or most of mankind leaving behind only their scurrying interests, and
those of the cockroaches, to contend with each other.

Thus, the remaining history of mankind only teaches us one insightful
lesson with respect to effective takedown of hegemony – hectoring
hegemons only understand other hectoring hegemons. And, the effect-
ive resistance of fed-up victims with nothing more to lose and un-will-
ing to take it anymore. Since the mass resistance of the peoples has
been very effectively neutralized worldwide in the modern age, we are
only left with hectoring hegemons battling each other. What Albert
Wohlstetter in 1958 so elegantly captured as “Mr. Oppenheimer's
simile of the two scorpions in a bottle” [1] to safeguard the fly
between them via a precarious “balance of terror”. In this simile,
either or both predators may be killed, or either may get the meal, or,
in a most delicate balance, all may survive in peace! That is the theme
of this report.

Until 08-08-08, there had been no apparent counter-hegemons bold
enough, and courageous enough, to urgently standup to the unipolar
superpower apocalyptically exercising its quest for “full spectrum
dominance” of the entire planet and its outer-regions under the Or-
wellian cover of “war on terror”. No nation except the two without
teeth, Iran and Venezuela, even dare to publicly 'call a spade a spade'
and condemn the destruction of Iraq and Afghanistan or the pending
American-Israeli primacy upon Iran. A handful of retired statesmen,
like Mahatir Mohammed of Malaysia, and Nelson Mandella of South
Africa, have occasionally added their anemic voices to this weak rumblings to exactly zero utility. The Georgia-Ossetia conflagration which was deliberately provoked by the hectoring hegemons under their own calculus of hegemony, can potentially change that – and only if the great-game is played with astute vigilance and full spectrum courage. But not with platitudes, moralizing, sermonizing, or wishful thinking, such as:

“For whatever reason, Brzezinski seems to have his own “personal obsession” with Russia. American hypocrisy and double standards will not solve anything. What the “west” and the “world” needs is for America to go home, withdraw its military from around the globe, and try participating in a multilateral world, using international institutions, rather than the “full spectrum dominance” it now uses for its global resource war (oil) now destroying the Middle East.”

(Deconstructing Brzezinski’s Russia [2])

Project Humanbeingsfirst's two-part report of August 2008, titled “Georgia-Russia: It's a Classic Brzezinski Project!” [3] has already identified this conflagration as a Zbigniew Brzezinski imprint. The pithy wisdom which titles this present follow-on report is also the astute observation of this same grandmaster. It is the very first sentence of Zbigniew Brzezinski's 1996 book “The Grand Chessboard” and aptly sums up the raison d'être of its entire content. That raison d'être in turn, is aptly summed up in the book's chauvinistic subtitle: “American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives”.

This essay fleshes out the theme of hegemony and its only practicable take-down by beginning with the question: is this “American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives” a uniquely Brzezinski thing?

It can be rather disconcerting to uncover that Zbigniew Brzezinski's thinking is very closely espoused in his predecessor neoconservative strategist, Albert Wohlstetter's 1958 Rand Report “The Delicate Bal-
ance of Terror”, [4] in which this old-timer, long-dead war-mongering, and evidently mentor to the latter day neo-cons, preached against the complacency of the “Balance of Terror” doctrine of the Truman Administration for containing any thermonuclear war with the USSR. Wohlstetter advocated more along the present day neo-cons' line of calculated “unilateral terror” as rationally, and pragmatically, the only effective mechanism of exercising hegemony. Wohlstetter complained that “balance of terror” in reality was rather a precarious and dynamic balance, but more importantly, unnecessarily limited the imperial “creativity” of the mighty United States into a stalemate. He argued: 'If peace were founded firmly on mutual terror and mutual terror on symmetrical nuclear powers, this would be, as Churchill has said, “a melancholy paradox;”’ nonetheless a most comforting one.’ That “melancholy paradox” was examined in Project Humanbeingsfirst's report of April 26, 2008 of the new world order post 9/11, titled: “From Balance of Terror to Unilateral Terror on the Grand Chessboard!” [5].

Resuming from where the grotesque reality-check of that report had left us, this breaking news of the forced intervention of Russia into Georgia, if played astutely by the Russian president Vladimir Putin, can lead to what the empire actually does not want in these times. That is, a return to “balance of terror”, which is arguably a far more desirable outcome on the Grand Chessboard from the untermenschen's point of view bearing the full brunt of the present day unilateral terror!

Mr. Putin perhaps does have this reality in mind, or at least appears aware of its power of equalization, especially if one carefully parses his measured statements in his interview of August 28, 2008 [6] to CNN where he observed, in response to various pointed questions:

**Begin Excerpt**

**Vladimir Putin:** We have serious reasons to believe that there were U.S. citizens right in the combat zone.
If that is the case, if that is confirmed, it is very bad. It is very dangerous; it is misguided policy.

But, if that is so, these events could also have a U.S. domestic politics dimension.

If my suppositions are confirmed, then there are grounds to suspect that some people in the United States created this conflict deliberately in order to aggravate the situation and create a competitive advantage for one of the candidates for the U.S. presidency. And if that is the case, this is nothing but the use of the called administrative resource in domestic politics, in the worst possible way, one that leads to bloodshed.

[... ] I have said to you that if the presence of U.S. citizens in the zone of hostilities is confirmed, it would mean only one thing: that they could be there only at the direct instruction of their leaders. And if that is so, it means that in the combat zone there are U.S. citizens who are fulfilling their duties there. They can only do that under orders from their superiors, not on their own initiative.

[... ] A little victorious war is needed. And if it doesn't work, then one can lay the blame on us, use us to create an enemy image, and against the backdrop of this kind of jingoism once again rally the country around certain political forces.

I am surprised that you are surprised at what I'm saying. It's as clear as day.

[... ] During my eight years as president, I often heard the same question: What place does Russia reserve for itself in the world; how does it see itself; what is its place? We are a peace-loving state and we want to
cooperate with all of our neighbors and with all of our partners. **But if anyone thinks that they can come and kill us, that our place is at the cemetery, they should think what consequences such a policy will have for them.**

**Matthew Chance:** Let's go back to the assertion that the U.S. provoked the war. Diplomats in the United States accuse Russia of provoking the war by supporting the separatists in Abkhazia and South Ossetia by arming them, by increasing forces in the territories and by recognizing their institutions ... basically giving them the green light to go ahead and operate de facto. Wasn't it Russia that really caused this conflict?

**Vladimir Putin:** I can easily reply to this question. Since the 1990s, as soon as this conflict started, and it started in recent history because of the decision of the Georgian side to deprive Abkhazia and South Ossetia of the rights of autonomy. In 1990 and 1991, the Georgian leadership deprived Abkhazia and South Ossetia of the autonomous rights that they enjoyed as part of the Soviet Union, as part of Soviet Georgia, and as soon as that decision was taken, ethnic strife and armed hostilities began. At that time, Russia signed a number of international agreements, and we complied with all those agreements. We had in the territory of Abkhazia and South Ossetia only those peacekeeping forces that were stipulated in those agreements and never exceeded the quota.

The other side -- I am referring to the Georgian side -- with the support of the United States, violated all the agreements in the most brazen way.

Under the guise of units of the Ministry of the Interi-
or, they secretly moved into the conflict zone their troops, regular army, special units and heavy equipment. In fact, they surrounded Tskhinvali, the capital of South Ossetia, with that heavy equipment and tanks. They surrounded our peacekeepers with tanks and started shooting at them point blank.

It was only after that, after our first casualties and after their number considerably increased, after tens of them had been killed -- I think 15 or 20 peacekeepers were killed, and there was heavy loss of life among the civilian population, with hundreds killed -- it was only after all that President Medvedev decided to introduce a military contingent to save the lives of our peacekeepers and innocent civilians.

What is more, when our troops began moving in the direction of Tskhinvali, they came across a fortified area that had been secretly prepared by the Georgian military. In effect, tanks and heavy artillery had been dug into ground there, and they started shelling our soldiers as they moved.

All of it was done in violation of previous international agreements.

It is of course conceivable that our U.S. partners were unaware of all that, but it's very unlikely.

A totally neutral person, the former Georgian Minister of Foreign Affairs Ms. Zurabishvili, who is I think a French citizen and is now in Paris, has said publicly, and it was broadcast, that there was an enormous number of U.S. advisers and that of course they knew everything.

And if our supposition that there were U.S. citizens in the combat zone is confirmed -- and I repeat, we need
further information from our military -- then these suspicions are quite justified.

Those who pursue such a policy toward Russia, what do they think? Will they like us only when we die?

End Excerpt

That sarcastic concluding remark by Putin sums up the Russian comprehension of the grandmasters riding the sole superpower and arrayed against their giant nation on the Grand Chessboard! Thus Russia's quick actions to recognize the independence of the two appendages of Georgia on August 26, 2008 [7] are predictable micro-moves:

“Bearing in mind the free expression of their will by the Ossetian and Abkhazian peoples, and guided by the UN Charter, the declaration of 1970 on the principles of international law regarding friendly relations between states, the Helsinki Final Act of 1975 and other fundamental international documents I have signed decrees on the recognition of the independence of South Ossetia and Abkhazia by the Russian Federation.” (Russian President Dmitry Medvedev)

If Project Humanbeingsfirst's hopes for ordinary peoples of this planet to survive in peace are not to be dashed, Putin's next macro counter-moves are predicted in: “Georgia-Russia: It's a Classic Brzezinski Project!” [8].

The fact that the Russian leadership intimately comprehends such counter-play on the Grand Chessboard is further betrayed in the Russian President Dmitry Medvedev's August 31, 2008 [9] articulation of the core-principles of Russia's foreign policy going forward: “While implementing the Russian foreign policy, I will be guided with five principles”. The three most revealing among them:
Secondly, concerning the unacceptability of the new world order: “the world must be multi-polar. Single polarity is unacceptable, ... Russia cannot accept a world order, in which any decisions will be made by a sole nation, even such a serious one as the United States. Such a world order will be unstable and fraught with conflicts.”

Fourthly, concerning protecting Russian citizens and Russian business interests being an absolute priority: “no matter where they live ... We will also stand up for the interests of our business community abroad. Everyone must know that an aggression will be deterred”.

Fifthly, concerning Russia's national security interests in the world: “The same as other countries, Russia has areas of privileged interests. These areas house countries, to which we are linked with friendly ties”.

Interestingly, the other two guiding principles (the first and third, quoted below) articulated by the Russian President also seem to be calculatingly constructed, but mainly for the world's public consumption no different than Zbigniew Brzezinski or the Project for the New American Century doing so by throwing in some Orwellian platitudes in their conquest doctrines on the Grand Chessboard. Witness the expected continuity of Orwell across continents, when primacy is the unhidden agenda behind “peace” platitudes, in chronological sequence:

- “... the ultimate objective of American policy should be benign and visionary: to shape a truly cooperative global community.” (Brzezinski, Grand Chessboard, 1997)
“... we need to promote the cause of political and economic freedom abroad;” (PNAC, Statement of Principles, 1998)

“... Keeping the American peace requires the U.S. Military to undertake a broad array of missions today and rise to very different challenges tomorrow, but there can be no retreat from these missions without compromising American leadership and the benevolent order it secures.” (PNAC, Rebuilding America's Defenses, page 76, 2000)

Russia's first foreign policy principle: “First of all, Russia recognizes the supremacy of international legal fundamentals, which define relations between civilized nations” (President Dmitry Medvedev, August 31, 2008)

Russia's third foreign policy principle: “Russia does not want isolation [or confrontation with any country]. We will develop as much as possible friendly relations with Europe, the United States and other countries of the world,” (President Dmitry Medvedev, August 31, 2008)

While the afore-stated latter two Russian aspirations of a resurgent non-ideological Russia may be genuine statement of principles in a perfect-world without any hectoring hegemons, self-interests and survival instincts in the real-world is as much a prime-mover for Russia as the earlier-cited Albert Wohlstetter's and his legatee Zbigniew Brzezinski's primacy proposals for “unilateral terror” are for America!

The first three American aspirations noted above are surely nothing but Orwellian, as empirically evidenced over the past 60 years for an undefeated continually war-mongering America, and as forensically analyzed elsewhere (here [10] and here [11]) and shown to be entirely self-consistent with George Kennan's 1948 Policy Planning paper for the U.S. State Department. America's foreign policy is entirely predatory, and has been so for at least a hundred years following upon the heals of the British Empire (see Rudyard Kipling's 1899 poem The White Man's Burden).
Perhaps it would do well to rehearse that Darwinian theme here, except that now, the insidious object of George Kennan's primacy prescription is the construction of an oligarchic world-government corporate-empire in a devilishly manufactured “unipolar” world in which, while initially, the “United States has overstepped its borders in all spheres -- economic, political and humanitarian and has imposed itself on other states”, eventually, it will come to mean only “one thing: one center of power, one center of force, one center of decision-making, a world of one master, one sovereign”:

“We have about 50% of the world's wealth, but only 6.3% of its population .... In this situation, we cannot fail to be the object of envy and resentment. Our real task in the coming period is to devise a pattern of relationships which will permit us to maintain this position of disparity without positive detriment to our national security. To do so, we will have to dispense with all sentimentality and day-dreaming, and our attention will have to be concentrated everywhere on our immediate national objectives. We need not deceive ourselves that we can afford today the luxury of altruism and world-benefaction .... We should cease to talk about vague and – for the Far East – unreal objectives such as human rights, the raising of living standards, and democratization. The day is not far off when we are going to have to deal in straight power concepts. The less we are then hampered by idealistic slogans, the better.” (George Kennan, Policy Planning Study 23, 1948)

Considering that the eloquent description of “unipolar” world is from Putin's own candid tongue circa February 2007 [12], it is clear that the Russians already well understand George Kennan's imperial prescription quoted above.

The Russians also fully realize that only in a multilateral world is
where the rights and privileges of the broad diversity of the peoples who inhabit this lonely planet, especially the vast majority of its 85% populations who live outside the shores of the Atlantic-powers, can be safeguarded, including their own!

And, not living in some fools utopian paradise that runs on lofty platitudes, they obviously also understand that effective multilateralism in the predatory Darwinian real world is only possible through a carefully constructed “balance of terror” – for after all, “hegemony is as old as mankind”. This unilateralist principle of all predatory empires, whether national, monarchic, or oligarchic, the Russians well understand, is not about to voluntarily change in the next 1000 years!!!

The CSTO [13] countries (Belarus, Armenia, Uzbekistan, Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan) in Russia's backyard united in security guarantees with Russia since 1992, apparently fully comprehend this reality as demonstrated by their measured endorsement [14] of Russia's position on South Ossetia and Abkhazia as independent nations. The Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov further noted on September 04, 2008 [15]:

“[Their] statement support Russia’s actions in the zone of the Georgian-South Ossetian conflict [and] the active role of Russia in contributing to peace and cooperation in the Caucasus and call for ensuring firm security for South Ossetia and Abkhazia on the basis of the UN Charter, the Helsinki Final Act and other fundamental documents of international law.”

At this time however, it is not entirely clear whether the Chinese also fully comprehend the time-criticalness of making hard new alliances and security pacts in Asia in order to safeguard their own national interests. Or, are they merely playing their own measured chess game on a different time-scale. The SCO countries (Russia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan) in China's Asiatic backyard, united in a difficult to comprehend and rather toothless economic alli-
ance with China since 2001 (along with the nondescript Mongolia, Iran, Pakistan, and India superficially participating as observer countries), concluded their annual meeting on August 28, 2008 [16] with a luke-warm response to the Russian move in its joint declaration:

“3. **The member states of the SCO express their deep concern** in connection with the recent tension around the issue of South Ossetia, and call on the relevant parties to resolve existing problems in a peaceful way through dialogue, to make efforts for reconciliation and facilitation of negotiations.

The member states of the SCO welcome the approval on 12 August 2008 in Moscow of the six principles of settling the conflict in South Ossetia, **and support the active role of Russia in promoting peace and cooperation in the region.**”

With merely its expression of “**deep concern**”, and lip-service support of the “**active role of Russia in promoting peace and cooperation in the region**”, China too must surely also realize that the systematic and devilish destabilization of Pakistan, the calculating American military occupation of Afghanistan with repeated forays into Pakistan's picturesque mountainous regions and the concomitant cold-blooded barbarous killing of Pakistani civilians in collusion with Pakistan's own military, are really the un-subtle prelude for the complete encirclement and orchestrated breakup of their own gigantic Chinese land-mass alongside Russia! They also cannot possibly be oblivious to the “carbon-credit” scam being orchestrated largely for their “economic” benefit. Why China and Russia persist in dragging their feet in making full spectrum alliances in Asia remains a major puzzle as already explored in “The Missing Link - Full Spectrum Deterrence”. [17]

Indeed, the remarkable kowtowing to the American definition of “War on Terror” in the same SCO [18] declaration, and not recognizing it as
a crafty fabrication for “imperial mobilization” that it actually is, either betrays a lack of forensic skills and intelligence processing on the part of the Confucius mind, or a surfeit of wait-until-ready strategy that is still willing to operate under the global fiction of fight against terrorism:

“6. The member states of the SCO express satisfaction at the increased interaction in fighting terrorism, separatism and extremism in the framework of the Organisation, and intend to raise cooperation of the member states in the field of ensuring security to a qualitatively new level by using the means of the Regional Antiterrorist Structure of the SCO.

The member states of the SCO reaffirm their commitment to strengthening the central coordinating role of the UN in mounting an international response to the threat of terrorism, to consistent implementation of the UN Global Counterterrorism Strategy, earliest possible approval of the Comprehensive Convention on International Terrorism.

The member states of the SCO are determined to counter the attempts of spreading terrorist ideology, stand ready to interact closely in implementing Resolution 1624 of the UN SC, as well as in promoting dialogue among civilisations and cultures. In this regard it is also essential to rely on the potential of civil society, business circles, mass media and non-governmental organisations.”

This is rather unfortunate for Asia because it means that the SCO is not in urgent sync with the Russian interests, at least publicly speaking, and is officially operating under the dominant paradigms du jour. Indeed, Russia too continues to carry forward the same fiction of “war on terror”!
When will both Russia and China come forward and announce that 9/11 was an inside job and that the “war on terror” is an oligarchic fiction to create revolutionary times across the planet?

The leaders of these two largest land mass of Asia cannot be unaware of the impetus for one-world government in baby-steps.

Their own national survival as sovereign states depends on this fictitious war being terminated and America being forced to retreat from their borders!

Or, America stays put encircling them, and further acquiring military bases in the remaining countries of the world in the on-going pretext of fighting the evil “terrorists” which both Russia and China are helping perpetuate themselves!

These egregious acts of omission and commision therefore can lead to the only inescapable rational conclusion possible. That both the Russian and Chinese political and economic leadership are in on the one-world government agenda. That today, they are as fictitious a combatant of the West as during the Cold War. And they certainly stand to benefit in this first of its kind global hegemony, as that new concoction of world government is the final merging of super-capitalism and super-communism. In this New World Order, the oligarchy own the world, and administer it as they have learnt in their previous experiments in communism and despotism over the past century.

It is today a well known fact that the financiers of both fascism and communism in the twentieth century were the Western capitalists. Some of the tortuous characteristics of the coming world order are already visible to the farsighted, but for those who enjoy good cinema and learn about the world vicariously, may be glimpsed in the two allegorical cinematic depiction based on 1984 by George Orwell and A Brave New World by Aldous Huxley. [19]

It is hard to accurately gauge the Russian and Chinese geostrategic mind in the long term. One might remain cognizant though that while Zbigniew Brzezinski may have written the modern bible on primacy,
The Grand Chessboard, in America, chess was invented in Asia.

For the near term, i.e., within our lifetime, only in the twain secondary Asiatic superpowers immediately uniting in their mutual self-defense against the marauding sole superpower to immediately create a formidable “balance of terror” (both military and economic), can both nations today provide relative security, peace, and a fair treatment for their respective peoples. Only in their concerted strategic full spectrum alliance can they prevent the genocidal slaughter of their populations under the population reduction regime which will surpass what was grotesquely witnessed in the two world wars of the twentieth century.

And, in their attempted full-spectrum self-defense of their own nations, also end up securing the rest of humanity in Asia and Africa for a more robust periphery, guaranteed access to natural resources, and equitable trade! Instead of the fictitious Cold War of the last half of the twentieth century, a real multilateral “balance of terror” can ensure the survival of mankind.

What an insanity – only the diligent and un-ending pursuit of selfishly securing one's own survival against all Darwinian predators through a “balance of terror” encourages the securing of the otherwise dispensable weaklings in one's neighborhood, in a perpetual full-spectrum check on the Grand Chessboard!

What Prophet Moses could not accomplish with the Ten Commandments, equitable co-existence with others, “balance of terror” does! Even the lowly buffalos [20] know it! Surely so must nationalistic Russia and China! And in this clash of the super-titans where “safety will be the sturdy child of terror and survival the twin brother of annihilation” [21], the smaller nations can perhaps harvest some cunning breathing space for themselves in order to exist peacefully in precarious balance without becoming a tasty meal of the hectoring hegemon!

These smaller nations can of course also act as moronic patsies and
remain front-line surrogate battle grounds for the titans! Or, they can conclude rational treaties with other nations which are, first and foremost, in their own public's best interests!

If mere platitudes, or ardent appeals to reason or compassion, could ever bring about fairness in international relations (or in the wielding of hegemonic power through its modern day instruments of “international institutions” like the World Bank, IMF, the United Nations), there should have been heaven on earth for the past 3000 years, at least ever since the advent of the Ten Commandments and the Biblical Golden Rule “*do unto others as you others do unto you*”! And certainly since the founding of the United Nations in mankind's blood after two world wars amidst new platitudes of peace, security, and human rights. The passage from Kennan's PPS 23 quoted earlier lends only partial insight into the reality behind those platitudes. The agenda of these “international institutions” has all along been the gradual usurpation of national sovereignty and vesting all legal authority in these supra-national institutions as the baby-steps towards one-world government.

This being the unvarnished reality-space of international relations behind all the Newspeak and obviously understood by the actual international players (as opposed to by the public for whose benefit their Newspeak exists), what then must have been the primal American hegemons' motivation to engage Russia at this time, even before their oft stated goal of destroying Iran is launched? As observed by this scribe in “Georgia-Russia: It's a Classic Brzezinski Project!”:

“The one forensic explanation which wholly and rationally explains this (mis)adventure by Georgia is that from the Anglo-American perspective, it was a trap set for the Russians to behave exactly as they did. The Georgians and Ossetians are just disposable cannon fodder – patsies like the Afghanis before them.

... Additionally, keeping Russia busy on its flank
while engaging Iran – if indeed Iran is actually to be bombed by Israel and/or the massive US naval armada now besieging her – makes short term military sense.”

Why is “keeping Russia busy on its flank” in order to decimate Iran necessary? The only forensic explanation that continues to make any strategic sense, is that Russia was effectively preventing the American-Israeli attack on Iran. It matters little precisely how, so long as it remains a covert Russian move that the hectoring hegemons have been made well aware of.

One however overtly observes the Russians easily neutralizing Israel's supposed setting up of Georgia as their (Israel's) recon-refueling launch-pad for attacking Iran. Was this neutralizing just a side-effect of the Russian intervention? Is such a launch-pad even essential for attacking Iran? How about Western news headlines [22] like “Russia threatens to supply Iran with top new missile system as 'cold war' escalates”? Or Russian public denials [23] like “No covert Russian arms sales to Iran, other region”?

I think all these are red herrings for public consumption which are at best, mere posturing moves. And at worst, sacrificial pawns at the expense of Georgia-Ossetia and Iran! Apart from the overt Anglo-American policy of Russian-Chinese full encirclement which has no urgent immediacy to warrant precipitating such a deliberate crisis, the key raison d'être for engaging Russia in Georgia in this gratuitous war appears to be Russia's behind-the-scenes effective obstruction in preventing American-Israeli decimation of Iran!

In effect, if one reflects on real deterrence with teeth, it hardly matters where the Russian 'S-300' self-defense missiles are physically located, if Iran and Russia have concluded a covert security agreement! Israel also clearly does not require any intermediate launch-pad capability in Georgia solely to decimate Iran!

Therefore, logically speaking, and without any actual receipts in hand but knowing that “Deception is the state of mind, and the mind of
and therefore, whatever is made manifest by the Mighty Wurlitzer in the newsmedia is but a public-relations shadow-play of the far more grotesque reality of behind the scenes power-play, manufacturing this unnecessary crisis now has one rational purpose. Enabling the long-planned attack on Iran which propaganda warfare alone is evidently not enabling!

And because of Russia's obduracy, her direct engagement has apparently become urgently necessary! No other geostrategic reason, while arguable, can display this timeline of urgency!

Putin's plausible conjecture that it may have been American Presidential election related is arguable because both the presidential candidates serve common masters and have common advisors! There is, after all, even a Brzezinski in each camp! Thus, this un-imaginative Brzezinski project in Georgia is mainly to divert Russia from Iran in a high-stakes game of poker, with a quid pro quo as the immediate anticipated reward. Namely, we'll back off from your backyard if you stop interfering in the attack on Iran! Otherwise, we'll foment more of the same!

Nothing else makes military or strategic sense, despite the fact that there are some analysts who feel that a different behind-the-scenes power faction, the so called “Brzezinski” faction, has already taken over the reins of power in Washington at the expense of the Dick-Cheney centered neo-cons who were largely fixated with the Middle East and oil. These analysts mistakenly conclude that the new mandarins would rather use Iran to fight Russia in a global proxy war and thus destroy them both in a conflagration on a much larger geopolitical canvas.

I don't believe this mild differentiation to be true, or even relevant, because the documented evidence in the architects' own hand-writings suggest that there is little difference in the 'ubermensch' imperatives outlined by George Kennan in 1948, Albert Wohlstetter in 1958, Brzezinski in 1997, PNAC in 1998, all the documents from AEI and
other think-tanks in the interim, the White House's own Nuclear Posture Review in 2002 which simultaneously targeted Russia and China alongside Iran and Syria for preemptive nuclear warfare, and the barbaric hegemonic conquests empirically displayed by the United States since 2001 until today, all of which together are merely pretexts to create the “revolutionary times” needed to cement one-world government. It is apt to remind oneself of the famous protocol statement of David Ben-Gurion: “what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times”. Any hair-splitting in tactics is only that – evolving “revolutionary times” to match the state of the game on the Grand Chessboard without any fundamental difference in overarching objective! And its antidote therefore, also remains the same – full spectrum alliance! Everything else are planted red herrings!

The full-spectrum conquest strategies of the hectoring hegemons are formed and fleshed out at Pentagon surrogates like the private Rand Corporation, and in the privatized covert-ops rooms of tax exempt foundations and non-profit think-tanks led by the private Council on Foreign Relations whose membership comprises the who's who of American military-industrial-financial-media complex. The story of the role of CFR in synthesizing both domestic and international policy which is simply rubber-stamped by Congress and signed by the President can be read in Eustace Mullins, Gary Allen, Douglas Reed, Antony Sutton, Carroll Quigley, etceteras (see Recommended Reading at the end).

These conquest strategies are no longer individual-centric even though they are led by prominent individuals in every generation, but rather, have been made institutional-centric. And quite bipartisan, with common financiers who fund all sides in every generation. Which explains the uncanny longevity of these hegemonic aspirations for world government and persistent similarities in policy articulations across generations of conniving planners and political leaders regardless of which political party they belong to.

Unlike the empires of yore, anyone brilliant and skilled enough is in-
vited (or coerced) to join this new world order enterprise in the lower hierarchies so long as they are agreeable to play by the imperial rules and can help extend 'empire'. Participants are offered rich rewards and glorification. Detractors are easily co-opted into acquiescence. A former FBI agent rightly observed of this state of affairs:

(Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantic power unless millions of people in all walks of life were “in on the take” and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes. As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and women and their institutions on a worldwide basis.’ (W. Cleon Skousen, The Naked Capitalist, pg. 6)

The differing aspects which gain prominence at different times with new front-faces representing them, are but sub-facets of the same overarching strategic goal: world-government! By any other name, empire! Not a nationalistic one, but a global oligarchic one where independent nation-states are made obsolete in preference for geographic administrative domains as in “Mafioso territories”, and all implementing the same “rule of law” handed them by the financing “families”! The modern vernacular “International Relations” is merely the refined Newspeak to bring about this state of affairs.

It matters little which 'ubermensch' tactical facet of conquest is realized in which order, except to the victims – some “feel the pain” of extortion and death sooner than others! Russia must surely recognize this after the 1990s [24] neo-liberal looting of its precious national assets by its magnanimous IMF “friends”. The former chairman of the IMF in Moscow had even sanguinely noted: “It was the price which Russia paid for moving forward”. The second round for further
“moving forward” in the 21st century is just around the corner!

Even if Russia has recognized this blatant reality and is willing to play aggressively in self-defense as demonstrated by their public statements and acts in this crisis, can a geostrategically rich but quite defenseless Iran continue to informally count on Russia in the complex calculus of geostrategic alliances without any overt security and bilateral economic treaties as envisioned by Project Humanbeingsfirst? [25] [26]

If Russia in fact continues to play its own self-defense game astutely and her independent behavior during this crisis intervention in Georgia is not a temporary aberration, then the answer is YES. For Russia has no other rational choice but to unequivocally attempt to secure Asia from the predatory impulses of the Anglo-Saxons and their new European Allies seeking world-government to be run by the global oligarchic elite!

So what can Iran do to help nudge this rational tide urgently in its own favor?

Offer the Persian Gulf, and the Caspian, to the Russians, or to the Chinese – perhaps a hundred year lease of all its riches, patrolling rights, transit rights, and parts of its territories for military bases, to the highest bidder between them! If the Americans and Israelis can be present in Georgia, Poland, and in all the NATO countries by making legal treaties, why can't Russia and China be in NATO like treaty arrangement with Iran, Syria, Lebanon, Africa, and South America? While Pakistan is already lost to the hectoring hegemons, Iran is the next target.

Even grandmaster Zbigniew Brzezinski had outlined a plausible scenario for the United States to launch an attack on Iran in his bizarre testimony before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee on February 1, 2007, for the first time publicly admitting that the United States government can conduct false-flag operations and tell bold myth-making lies to fabricate “doctrinal motivation” in order to pursue his pre-
viously outlined “imperial mobilization” agendas on The Grand Chessboard: [27]

’If the United States continues to be bogged down in a protracted bloody involvement in Iraq, the final destination on this downhill track is likely to be a head-on conflict with Iran and with much of the world of Islam at large. A plausible scenario for a military collision with Iran involves Iraqi failure to meet the benchmarks; followed by accusations of Iranian responsibility for the failure; then by some provocation in Iraq or a terrorist act in the U.S. blamed on Iran; culminating in a “defensive” U.S. military action against Iran that plunges a lonely America into a spreading and deepening quagmire eventually ranging across Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan, and Pakistan.

A mythical historical narrative to justify the case for such a protracted and potentially expanding war is already being articulated. Initially justified by false claims about WMD’s in Iraq, the war is now being re-defined as the “decisive ideological struggle” of our time, reminiscent of the earlier collisions with Nazism and Stalinism. In that context, Islamist extremism and al Qaeda are presented as the equivalents of the threat posed by Nazi Germany and then Soviet Russia, and 9/11 as the equivalent of the Pearl Harbor attack which precipitated America’s involvement in World War II.

This simplistic and demagogic narrative overlooks the fact that Nazism was based on the military power of the industrially most advanced European state; and that Stalinism was able to mobilize not only the resources of the victorious and militarily powerful Soviet Union but also had worldwide appeal through its
Marxist doctrine. In contrast, most Muslims are not embracing Islamic fundamentalism; al Qaeda is an isolated fundamentalist Islamist aberration; most Iraqis are engaged in strife because the American occupation of Iraq destroyed the Iraqi state; while Iran—though gaining in regional influence—is itself politically divided, economically and militarily weak. To argue that America is already at war in the region with a wider Islamic threat, of which Iran is the epicenter, is to promote a self-fulfilling prophecy."

That chutzpatic bold admission carried on CSPAN was watched by this scribe in amazement – blaming the Bush Administration for their self-serving myth-making demagogic narratives to enable wars of aggression as "self-fulfilling prophecy", when the sole superpower in every government is only following his own recipe for "American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives", was outright disingenuous (like Hitler blaming his generals for following Mein Kampf and Goebbels for propaganda). And it never made it to the 7 o clock evening news!

As Congressman Ron Paul had rightly opined about Iran on the House floor in January 2007 [28]:

'The truth is that Iran, like Iraq, is a third-world nation without a significant military. Nothing in history hints that she is likely to invade a neighboring country, let alone America or Israel.'

And realistically, as a rather un-industrialized third-world country on its economic tethers with the only real indigenous expertise being manufacturing of fine carpets, collectible handicrafts, and delicious pistachios – a sweet peoples with a sweet tooth and fine tastes – Iran can ill afford, and is ill capable of building its own effective military deterrence against a first-world nuclear predator in the short or any foreseeable term. Especially with strangulating economic sanctions
limiting its capacity in all aspects of industrial, economic, and high-tech development – despite Iran's long bravados [29] which only seem to rival Sadaam Hussein's in their infantility! Iran can hardly even respond [30] effectively to the systematic destabilization covert-ops upon its vast territories being run out of neighboring Baluchistan, in Pakistan!

Without internationally proclaimed, and legally ratified full spectrum alliances that equitably benefit all parties in the pact – and in which all are stake-holders who equally stand to lose something valuable to them if the treaty is violated – Iran awaits the fate of Iraq, Afghanistan, and Pakistan!

Covert assurances and unilateral secretive guarantees are ephemeral and can vanish in a twinkle on the Grand Chessboard. Just as the famous American Sixth Fleet did in 1971 in the Bay of Bengal when West Pakistan, as member of SEATO/CENTO and under verbal assurances of security guarantees, expectantly awaited American military assistance to counter the Indian intervention in what was then East Pakistan.

Failure to accurately gauge, and astutely play the great game on the 'Grand Chessboard' in one's own self-interest like virtuoso maestros caught between “two scorpions in a bottle”, albeit even as lowly pawns, can trivially lead to pawn-sacrifice. And empiricism betrays that a single scorpion is always worse than two or more competing ones held in perpetual stalemate.

Iran and Russia make natural Asiatic allies with common enemies to get a real Asian Military-Economic Alliance kick-started against the primacy of the financiers from the Global North. What are they waiting for? What is their public waiting for?
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Chapter 67

World Surviving the Grand Chessboard
A Real MAD Balance of Terror

From Balance of Terror to Unilateral Terror on the Grand Chessboard!

April 26, 2008

Douglas J. Feith, Undersecretary of Defense for Policy, in his Hearing before the Senate Armed Services Committee on February 14, 2002 in the aftermath of 911, explaining the rationale for the Department of Defence 2002 Nuclear Posture Review, summarized the overarching reasons for “new thinking” by the United States as the unchallenged sole superpower in the following words:

'A half a century ago, in the midst of the Cold War, Prime Minister Winston Churchill noted in the House
of Commons the “sublime irony” that in the nuclear age, “safety will be the sturdy child of terror and survival the twin brother of annihilation.” The Cold War is long over and new approaches to defense are overdue. As President Bush has stated, “We are no longer divided into armed camps, locked in a careful balance of terror….Our times call for new thinking.”

In essence, and as has been empirically evident over the past seven years, what Douglas Feith was arguing before the United States Congress in the euphemistically disguised verbiage of “new thinking”, was that the “careful balance of terror” must now be replaced by unfettered and uninhibited unilateral terror!

As his intellectual mentor Zbigniew Brzezinski had previously argued during the Clinton era in 1997, the goals for American primacy and its geostrategic imperatives had to be to “perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer” such that “no Eurasian challenger emerges, capable of dominating Eurasia and thus also challenging America”.

For it was indeed the first time in the history of civilizations and its conquistadors that America had become “the first, only, and last truly global superpower”. It was deemed a terrible waste of hegemonic bank balance to not capitalize on it while there was still time to do so, before other nations of the East and Eurasia eventually caught up to America's lead in about a generation and forced another Détente.

The Project for the New American Century (PNAC), that had seeded the majority of Bush Administration officials beginning in 2000 – from Vice President to Secretary of Defence to a majority of Under Secretaries – too had argued in its report on Rebuilding America's Defences that seeking “full spectrum dominance” to maintain “America's preeminence” and “American Peace” in the world by forcing other nations to accept “America's unique role in preserving and extending an international order friendly to our security, our prosper-
ity, and our principles”, was a natural American imperative as per the Brzezinski truism “Hegemony is as old as mankind.”

And if achieving “full spectrum” primacy meant inflicting “shock and awe” of unilateral terror on contrived mobilizing pretexts, so be it – as which nation could now stand in the path of the mighty 'hectoring hegemons'?

But there was indeed an impediment to the realization of this “new thinking”, and to the enormous increase in the defence budget needed to support it, as explained by Zbigniew Brzezinski. It was the darn “populist democracy” of the sole superpower!

Fighting in far-away lands is quite “uncongenial to democratic instincts” noted Brzezinski, as the “economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort” makes “democracy ... inimical to imperial mobilization.”

Therefore, a commensurate lifetime of “War on Terrorism” was architected against a well formulated never-ending enemy – the “islamofascists” – in a Machiavellianly seeded doctrine of the “Clash of Civilizations”. Bernard Lewis had artfully constructed that bit of magic in Foreign Affairs magazine in 1990 as the “irrational ... roots of Muslim rage ... [which] is no less than a clash of civilizations”. In the aftermath of 9/11/2001, it became the self-fulfilling policy of the overtly exuded foreign policy calculus of the United States, and was continually replenished by more priceless doctrinal state-craft from the mighty pen of Bernard Lewis, like 'Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror'! And of course, the doctrinal flames are still fanned periodically by the various circus clowns of empire, like the printing of offensive cartoons by a confre of Daniel Pipes. It egregiously insulted the Prophet of Islam to further stimulate a reaction among the Muslims that could only be classified as “[not even] a Clash of Civilizations [but a] Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians”.
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In order to get the ball rolling ab initio, to both seed the “military transformation” necessary to capitalize on America being “the first, only, and last truly global superpower”, and to embark on the “American peace” mission that called for “new thinking” of forcing other nations to accept “America's unique role”, the “populist democracy” needed a shocker: a “catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor”.

Well, 911 was that “new Pearl Harbor”!

Whether 19 “islamofascist” jihadis on their flying carpets controlled by a mind-melding yoda master sitting on his bare rump armed with laptops and cell phones in a cave in the Hindu-Kush did it, or whether it was an expertly orchestrated, precision planned and executed controlled demolition, is a moot point. For it was an 'operation canned goods' all the way in terms of providing the enabling pretext for “imperial mobilization” on the proverbial screen of Plato's Mythical Cave! Whoever did it was, and still is, a resourceful confriere and great benefactor of the conquistadors!

But that shocking event in itself could have easily been still-born, as it could have just as easily been dealt with as a great crime and not a cause célèbre for massive invasion of other nations. The crime scene could have been secured and a forensic analysis of the evidence performed to understand how did three tall towers miraculously collapse within the span of a few hours in a single day into exactly their own footprints at almost free-fall speed.

Zbigniew Brzezinski had astutely observed of this “populist democracy”, that:

“as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. .... More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained ex-
exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.”

Yes indeed, along with 911, the secret sauce to the recipe, so to speak, was, and still continues to be, “a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification”!

In other words, without fully conditioned 'Prisoners of the Cave', no amount of pyrotechnics would have worked because a free public and its democratic institutions, the law makers in Congress and the influential newsmedia, could have easily demanded a forensic analysis of the crime scene rather than trivially acquiesce to a rush to passing oppressive domestic laws like the Patriot Acts without any discussion and hasty recourse to “Infinite Justice” with even less evidence.

The United States public had to be so dexterously primed with the multi-faceted mantras crafted of the “sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being”, that today, seven years later, its successes can be measured all the way to several Nobel Prizes in Profound Political Machinations (PPM – if such an accolade for hegemonic conquest was to be split off from the Nobel Peace Prize). The latest evidence for such a prize accumulating to the Bush Administration in spades is in the public survey by Gallup Poll released on March 31, 2008, which states: "Iran topped the list, with 25 percent naming it when asked which country is the greatest U.S. enemy,". And most Americans, the vast majority, and certainly most of the 25 percent in the above survey, have likely never met an Iranian person, or anyone from any of the exotic regions from where the ubiquitous boogiemen are being fashioned!

This criminal priming of the “populist democracy” now naturally enables “the first, only, and last truly global superpower” to be fully “autocratic abroad” in its unlimited “capacity for military intimidation”. Note how Brzezinski concludes the primacy aspirations of the truly global superpower:
“Geostrategic success in that cause would represent a fitting legacy of America's role as the first, only, and last truly global superpower.”

And it is precisely in that overarching “Geostrategic ... cause”, that Iran and Pakistan are today imminently staged on the nuclear chopping block to seed the “Geostrategic success”, while some American city is made expendable to create the next “catalyzing event” for continuing “imperial mobilization” that “will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison”.

All conveniently enabled by the continued Orwellian use of the fiction of 'Bin Laden' and its various surrogates including 'Al Qaeda'. If these stick-wielding cave-dwelling antediluvians possess such awesome powers as to bring down the sole superpower and its Western allies to their knees forcing the leader to spend 3.1 trillion dollars next year a majority of it on defense, and the Western peoples to also lose all their democratic freedoms and civil liberties to end up living in police-states, then the 'Bin Laden' mantra is surely more powerful than the USSR! Or at least, it makes a wonderful 'Ali Baba' bedtime story.

So participate in the story telling of 'Ali Baba' all you want dear Pakistanis – because the endgame of Pakistan's ruling elite in their fictional of “war on terror” appears to be the same as the hectoring hegemons! As the latter destroys the United States to craft a borderless New World Order laying many a million victims in its path, the new amorphous empire's gratitude await many a servile clients and native informants for services rendered! Or perhaps the hangman's noose!

Indeed, this “new thinking”, it turns out, isn't terribly new after all. It was discovered, for those still in possession of memory, to already have been defined as the “supreme international crime” at the Nuremberg Military Tribunals by the United States' own Chief Prosecutor, Justice Robert H. Jackson. A crime so stupendous and monumental “differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole”, that the Nazi war machine
was held responsible for “all the evil that follows” in the aftermath of the first primal aggression! The Nazis had invaded Poland on the heels of their own Operation Canned Goods too, in the pretext of 'self-defense' against 'terrorists'! And in handing their leadership death sentences, the American Chief Prosecutor had asserted:

“If certain acts of violation of treaties are crimes, they are crimes whether the United States does them or whether Germany does them, and we are not prepared to lay down a rule of criminal conduct against others which we would not be willing to have invoked against us.”

And that, as they say, is all there is to this “new thinking”. It isn't exactly clear why the Americans and much of the world appear so confused about something so palpably obvious.

Indeed, this unilateral terror ideology of “new thinking” was even aptly summed up by Vladimir Putin, President of Russia, in these laconic but penetrating words in an unusual moment of candor in 2007:

“what is a unipolar world? However one might embellish this term, at the end of the day it refers to one type of situation, namely one centre of authority, one centre of force, one centre of decision-making. It is world in which there is one master, one sovereign. And at the end of the day this is pernicious not only for all those within this system, but also for the sovereign itself because it destroys itself from within.”

Yes sir, give us ordinary peoples – those who are continually made to suffer this “new thinking” – the “sublime irony” of being “locked in a careful balance of terror” any day!

And that, is also the only rational way out from crossing the nuclear Rubicon!

Unless Russia and China get their act together, unless Pakistan wakes
up from its suicidal slumber, and they unite to safeguard the Asian portion of Eurasia in a mutual defense treaty similar to NATO to create a real MAD balance of terror, nuclear holocaust awaits the 'lesser' humanity. Perhaps this is how population reduction is ultimately planned amidst orchestrated food shortages as per some secret clauses that haven't yet been declassified in NSSM 200 and NSDM 314 (or in some other undisclosed National Security Strategy calculus derivatives).

If these resource and population rich Asian nations don't band together now like the EU is banding together into a unified federation of Europe with common laws and common security, and like the North American Union that is clandestinely transpiring under the Security and Prosperity Partnership (SPP), after the nuclear genie is unleashed and the United States is under martial law, the barbarians will be knocking at each of the other doors one after another – for total perpetual war is the blatantly obvious global agenda to “birth-pang” the New World Order out of the ashes of humanity!

**Source URL:** http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/04/balanceof-terror-tounilateral-terror.html
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Pakistan Surviving the Grand Chessboard
Breaking the Narrative Barrier
Calling a spade a spade

Dr. Aafia Siddiqui and Justice in the Service of Empire

The Only Truth About US Justice is that Justice is in the Service of Empire!

Editorial Saturday, February 13, 2010

(Turned down by all newspapers, from the New York Times to Pakistan's Dawn and Daily Times)

Yvonne Ridley's anguished opinion 'Truth about US justice' has appeared worldwide including in the Pakistani press. Ms. Ridley be-
moans the travesty of justice in the US court's pronouncement of its guilty verdict on the frail, tortured daughter of Pakistan, Dr. Aafia Siddiqui.

The veteran journalist is perhaps unaware of the import of the following revealing words of a US Supreme Court justice which were uttered in 1951:

“To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative.”

This lesser known utterance by the highest lawman of the United States came right on the heals of the victorious Allies administering the absolute victor's justice at Nuremberg to the defeated Nazis with these famous words of its chief prosecuting counsel for the United States, Robert H. Jackson:

“... we are not prepared to lay down a rule of criminal conduct against others which we would not be willing to have invoked against us.”

Indeed, if there is one monumental statement made at Nuremberg, it was possibly this:

“... the supreme international crime differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole”.

In other words, Justice Robert H. Jackson averred that all the destruction of civilian cities from Dresden, Hamburg, ... to Tokyo in Allied fire-bombings which deliberately killed millions of innocent civilians, was not culpable crimes against humanity because its sin and criminality was absorbed by the Supreme International Crime of the first aggression!

Culpability for “all the evil that follows” is always solely apportioned by victors to the account of the first aggressor (the one who is de-
Even the aggressor's pretext for its first invasion of Poland as its own preemptive self-defense against terrorism (the Gleiwitz terrorist incident aka Operation Canned Goods), was outright rejected at Nuremberg as merely the self-inflicted inside-job to synthesize a Machiavellian pretext for extending German Lebensraum. As Hitler had put it to his Generals in Bavaria:

“[I will] give a propagandist reason for starting the war [and don't] mind whether it was plausible or not. The victor will not be asked afterward whether he told the truth or not. In starting and waging a war it is not the right that matters, but victory.”

Justice Robert Jackson unequivocally affirmed that the Nazi quest for full spectrum dominance of Europe was illegal by international law, under any pretext:

“The intellectual bankruptcy and moral perversion of the Nazi regime might have been no concern of international law had it not been utilized to goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers. It is not their thoughts, it is their overt acts which we charge to be crimes.”
And in order to ensure that these legal words of immense import were never re-semanticized for “imperial mobilizations” by future 'uber-mensch' Reichs, but rather, that these concepts remained inviolably “encas[ed] in a semantic strait-jacket”, the very definition for the word 'aggressor' was ab initio proposed by Justice Robert Jackson as a state which first initiates:

> “invasion of its armed forces, with or without a declaration of war, of the territory of another State. ... If certain acts of violation of treaties are crimes, they are crimes whether the United States does them or whether Germany does them, and we are not prepared to lay down a rule of criminal conduct against others which we would not be willing to have invoked against us.”

That is quite an objective measure in international law for ascertaining who is the most guilty aggressor party, and who to fry first for crimes against peace, for monumental crimes against humanity.

So, even if Dr. Aafia Siddiqui is actually guilty as charged (for the sake of argument); is indeed the heinous mastermind of Al-Qaeeda (a Hegelian Dialectic which is examined elsewhere); or even if she was merely a dupe recruited by the Talibans/Al-Qaeeda as their waterboy (just as the CIA recruited Muslims from around the world to fight as the lauded Mujahideen against the USSR with proclamations of “god is on your side”); by the same yardstick as was used to hang the Nazis while awarding medals of bravery to the Allies who killed millions of innocent civilians in the defense of Europe against the aggressor, all the evil which has followed from the terrorist acts of an individual in aiding and abetting the militant-response against the invasion forces in Afghanistan is similarly legally subsumed by the monumental acts of state terrorism! The superpower's utilization of the 911 terrorist incident to “goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers” is little different from the Nazis'.
Therefore, in any fair justice system interested in bringing real criminals closer to their day of accounting, before Dr. Aafia can be charged for her criminal conduct of responding to the invading forces in Afghanistan by her frail physical might, the leaders of the 'free world' and their financial supermasters seeking their own “Lebensraum” must be put on trial for their “supreme international crime ... [of] goosestepping the Herrenvolk across international frontiers.”!

To anyone with even half a brain, but one which is not entirely uncongenial to reflection, it must have been rather obvious from day-one that in the light of public revelations of the egregious circumstances of Dr. Aafia's bizarre capture and the subsequent orchestration of her show trial (instead of simply assassinating the accused if she was such a diabolical threat to mankind), any “justice” administered to Dr. Aafia Siddiqui would only be comparable to the proclamation of the Queen of Hearts in Alice in Wonderland: “off with her head”.

It must also have been apparent to those inclined to perusing statecraft rather than watching television or reading newspapers for their knowledge of current affairs, that the show trial of Aafia Siddiqui was de-
signed primarily to serve an agenda of the state. Namely, one of calculatingly exercising the “high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” deemed necessary for a “sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power.” A careful reading of Zbigniew Brzezinski’s The Grand Chessboard makes the political science and the various social engineering mantras behind “imperial mobilization” abundantly clear.

Therefore, at least for these abnormal people who actually try to comprehend the forces which drive terrorism, both the pirate's as well as the emperor's, there is nothing surprising in the guilty verdict, nor in the conduct of the servile Pakistani rulers leading up to the verdict, and nor in the utterances of the US Ambassador to Pakistan, Ann Patterson. To have expected anything else after all the careful preparations that went into enacting this puppetshow, the show trial and its attendant media demonization of Dr. Aafia, only betrays immense naiveté of the inner-workings of empire.

In my view, the prima facie 'Truth about US justice' is that “justice” is in the service of empire, as it always has been! The madam Ambassador of the United States to Pakistan has only executed the core purpose of her diplomatic post rather faithfully in the service of her empire.

Justice in these times, like everything else, including science, politics, history, literature, cinema, news (which is often indistinguishable from cinema), and of course political-science, is continually put in the diabolical service of empire. The only veritable truths are the imperial proclamations of the white man – from who did 911 to Global War on Terror to Global Warming to Global Epidemics to Global Financial Collapse to Global Governance. These history-constructions by incremental faits accomplis are the sine qua non for one-world government and cannot be constrained in any moral or legal “semantic strait-jacket”.

It's not like the beleaguered Pakistanis don't know it – we even have
the East India Company's achievements to guide us – but apparently, we, the 'untermensch', never quite seem to learn its lessons. And that's really the only pernicious secret of the enduring hidden strength of the golem behind all its guns and butter offerings to its victims before slaughtering them. The veritable strength of its 'Samson locks': our own price!

The former Director of the ISI, Brig. Tirmazi, narrated the following about us Pakistanis in his 1996 book *Profiles of Intelligence*:

'... It would be fair to ask what we [the ISI] did to counter the US machinations? Well we did not, and could not do any thing beyond reporting to the highest authority in the country. There are reasons for our inaction:

One, neither the ISI nor the IB is designed or equipped to counter the machinations of a Super Power.

Two, an important factor is our own price. A lot has been said and written by some of our American friends about the price of a Pakistani. Dr. Andrew V. Corry, US Counsel General at Lahore, once said, “Price of a Pakistani oscillates between a free trip to the US and a bottle of whisky.” He may not be too far wrong. We did observe some highly placed Pakistanis selling their conscience, prestige, dignity and self-respect for a small price.’ (page 45, emphasis added)

That evergreen description however has not captured the grotesque reality of the English-enabled 'intellectual Negroses' flourishing in Pakistan today. Their “price” is not measured in such pecuniary terms. Read its full examination here:

Given this tortuous backdrop of modernity, the point of the unsubtle resignation request made by the courageous Ms. Ridley to show some moral backbone among the errand boys and girls of empire, even as it is merely being rhetorical, is entirely meaningless even in its rhetoric for two reasons: 1) it is a moral request in a global governance system which is beyond good and evil, one which brazenly asserts “hegemony is as old as mankind”, and which puts morality itself directly in the service of empire; and 2) given that the highest-order-bit of the systemic disease among the 'untermensch' has apparently already been apportioned as our national destiny!

Crises are defining moments for nations, and for a people. Some rise to it. Others fall before it. Pakistan as a nation has evidently decided the latter course of action – and this is palpably apparent from the statements of Pakistan's own Ambassador to Washington:

' “Foreign relations are not discussed in poetry, ... Saddam Husain’s last speech was also full of poetry but it could not save him or his nation”, and that “relationships between nations are based on ground realities” '.

Read its full deconstruction here:


While it is true that most in Pakistan are very upset by what has befallen Dr. Aafia Siddiqui as yet another victim of “imperial mobilization” – only one among the millions in Iraq, Afghanistan, Palestine, Pakistan's Tribal-Belt, all along the “arc of crisis” in the “global zone of percolating violence”, etc. – the handful who did publicly protest this latest visitation of empire's justice upon a frail tortured woman in a nation of almost 200 million, did so only symbolically. And many an English-enabled 'house Negro' only expressed faith in empire's Justice.

The English language Pakistani press is full of their editorials which
span the gamut of intellectual servitude from heaping scorn on any public expression of empathy with the victim, to outright blaming the victim. And this combined show of moral bravado despite the fact that Dr. Aafia has become the inextricable symbol of the summation of all the abhorrent injustices purveyed upon women in wars – from rape to rape – and no mere words can ever capture her indescribable agony! Yet, most Pakistanis among the 'field Negroes' daring to express a modicum of moral outrage only displayed our fine moral tenor from the comforts of our living room. Just as we did when Iraqi women were being raped, tortured, and disappeared in the service of empire not too long ago. Then we returned back to our daily grind.

Symbols of morality, like talismans, are no match for hard orchestrated events of “imperial mobilization”. And especially when arsonists are running all the fire brigades in a nation where its masses are more closely tied to their daily bread than to matters of state or national survival. The apathetic public well understands that many more arsonists eagerly await in the wings to take the place of their predecessors. The masses are well aware that the Pakistani elite, the ever patriotic praetorian guards, and their coterie of miserable sycophants have already learnt that while one's abject service to empire can sometimes be hazardous to one's existential wellness, it also routinely calls for new faces in many a chief's seat and presents the fabulous opportunity to loot and plunder anew in the name of patriotism.

Therefore, Ms. Yvonne Ridley's impassioned moral hint to the distinguished American Ambassador to Pakistan:

“She should then pick up the phone to the US president and tell him to release Aafia and return Pakistan’s most loved, respected and famous daughter and reunite her with the two children who are still missing. Then she should re-read her letter of August 16, 2008 and write another ... one of resignation.”,

will only deprive madam Ambassador of a well-earned livelihood and
comfortable retirement for no fault of her own. She merely faithfully discharged her service contract to her own empire. And it will do nothing for Pakistan either, for we, as a nation, are serving exactly the same interests. When these aren't even our own!

I humbly recommend instead that madam American Ambassador be the next in line to be awarded the glorious Freedom Medal by the White House. President Obama has already received his Nobel Peace Prize.

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Postscript August 2014

I continue to feel greatly saddened by the imperial fate that has be- fallen Aafia Siddiqui; she could very well have been my friend at MIT had she been there fifteen or twenty years sooner. Foreign students of ten used to hang out together and there were no female students from Pakistan during my years there. But I can quite relate to her youthful exuberance from the description in the press – a typical MIT student, and as the stereotyping in the decades past would suggest, full of idealism and energy, and not too materialistic unlike our neighbors down the street on Mass Ave, nor perhaps as sophisticated in the ways of the world despite the technically brilliant mind. Heck, they used to teach a class at MIT in extracurricular on table manners, and I re-member my parents laughing when they read that in an MIT campus newspaper! We sure as hell were never prepared for Machiavelli, let alone Hegelian Dialectic. The farthest those handful bristling with idealism and sense of fair-play in international relations ever strayed.
from the narratives of empire, is right into the lap of Noam Chomsky. (See *The Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent* in *The Mighty Wurlitzer*, and *Open Letter to Noam Chomsky*)

Absurdity reigning supreme in the justice system of empire puts the Queen in Alice in Wonderland to shame. There are far greater guilty parties sitting pretty in the corridors and beltways of power who deserve to be hung, then shot, and then hung again, repeatedly for a thousand years, and still they will fall far short of atoning for their crimes against humanity if the Biblical sense of justice, “eye for an eye, life for a life”, is to be served.

No human being deserves a fate like Aafia's, except in the justice system which openly follows the scheme of justice advocated by its Supreme Court Justice in shameless hubris: “all concepts are relative.” That relativity is the harbinger of the superman's morality in the new religion of “secular humanism” being thrust upon the world.

The inhuman verdict by the American justice system on Aafia Siddiqui is coldly indicative of what to expect with increasing frequency as our world inches closer and closer to a unipolar one-world order under the thumbscrews of one master, one sovereign, one narrative. In such a world, *house niggers* and *useful idiots* will remain in great demand and eagerly sought from all four corners of the world as administrators, opinion-makers, and controllers on the one hand, and as dupes, patsies, and stooges to plant terror and take the fall on the other. To be part of neither nexus, to serve neither agenda, will become greater and greater challenge for an increasing number of people of conscience. Only a handful however will ever have both the courage and the intellectual wherewithal to oppose this entire system of Hegelian Dialectic. This handful will forever be labeled “terrorist”.

One shall never know which “terrorist” was this young rose, Aafia Siddiqui.
Thanks for getting this far!
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This is a very short list of some of the more unusual books unlikely to be available in any public library or taught in any university, unless these are written by the scholars of empire or serves empire's interest. Some of these are out of print but still available on the internet as of this writing. These books, each in their own narrow ambit, endeavor to explain the underlying principles behind modernity, some truthfully, some by way of deceit and crafty omissions which must be carefully deconstructed in the light of available empiricism and the vantage point of a realist's perspective on primacy. But only from the very top of “Mt. Fuji” does the full import of all the forces that have shaped modern events, and are shaping future events today, come into clear perspective. These books automatically take the interested reader to the most substantial bibliography on this subject spanning hundreds of books, articles and references. The author has been privileged to study a substantial number of these in juxtaposition to analyzing current affairs and the making of contemporary history ab initio, i.e., from first principles, without learned guides, vaunted teachers, and imposing opinion-makers influencing him, to have come by the perspective upon which this book and the body of work done by Project Humanbeingsfirst is based. The fact that this significant and extensive bibliography is ignored by writers of history, current affairs, and academic scholars who teach others only ignorance by their lies of omis-
sion and distortion, is an a priori case for charging them for willful deceit and propaganda warfare. Unfortunately, deceit and misleading the public mind hasn't been declared a crime against humanity in this modernity where deception is now the norm rather than the exception. It is in fact disguised as “freedom of speech”, “freedom of the press”, and “freedom of the academe” to show off the great advancements of Western civilization in human liberation and therefore presented to the world as the rightful leaders of all mankind. At other times, in the same advanced Western civilization of the Global North and not among the so called backward nations of the East and Global South, it is made illegal to speak one's mind. Such as, for example, daring to examine the holy Jews' most sacred cow, the Holocaust™. Then, one hurriedly goes to jail in Europe and Canada, and is quickly marginalized and finds oneself out of a job in the United States. Unless of course, under victor's justice, when all propagandists and misanthropic philosophers of the defeated civilization – heralded as great men of letters, arts, and sciences in their own civilization – are ceremoniously and with great fanfare, hanged. Based on that yardstick alone, you pious bearers of deception reading this book to see how you can save your cunning skin, know what you are --- someday the gallows too will catch up. In the meantime, here is the short reading list for the public to also comprehend the forces manipulating the world in much greater depth than has been possible to assimilate and integrate in this still abbreviated tour de force, The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity, 2015 9th edition.


[3] Secrets of the Federal Reserve By Eustace Mullins, Published in
1952. PDF available on the internet.


http://amazon.com/Naked-Capitalist-Commentary-Carroll-Quigleys/dp/B000KTLWJO

[7] None Dare Call It Conspiracy

[8] The Rockefeller File
By Gary Allen. PDF available on the internet. From the Introduction: “The Rockefeller File is not fiction. It is a compact, powerful and frightening presentation of what may be the most important story of our lifetime, the drive of the Rockefellers and their allies to create a one-world government, combining super-capitalism and Communism under the same tent, all under their control. Do I mean conspiracy? Yes, I do. I am convinced there is such a plot, international in scope, generations old in planning, and incredibly evil in intent.”

[9] Secret Societies And Subversive Movements
By Nesta Webster, published 1924. PDF available on the internet.

[10] World Revolution
By Nesta Webster, available online at http://www.third-millennium-library.com/index.html

By Nesta Webster, published 1919. PDF available on the internet.


[14]  **The Rise of the House of Rothschild 1770-1830** By COUNT EGON CAESAR CORTI Translated from the German by Brian and Beatrix Lunn. Published 1927. PDF available on the internet. The author notes in his Foreword of July 1927: “it relates the story of an unseen but infinitely powerful driving force which permeated the whole of the nineteenth century.”


[21]  **The Grand Chessboard** By Zbigniew Brzezinski, published
1996. PDF available on the internet.
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Afterword

Thanks and Acknowledgment

On The Road Less Traveled

The author would like to gratefully acknowledge the support of his family who have silently, and almost willingly, endured his obsession with, and single-minded pursuit of, journalism (digging for truth) and activism (doing something useful with that truth than merely reporting it to a people who are inclined to do nothing with it) since 9/11. This has, at times, included several long absences away from home for which he is indebted to his wife for having taken up the slack and giving this scribe his opportunity to “sing the song in your heart before you die” – as she likes to put it. They have had to endure all his disquiet songs. With their children now pursuing their professional education and having moved away from home, the author spends more time in Pakistan to continue his pursuits among a people who once again – as in the United States where he is a permanent resident – appear most un-interested in anything he has to offer them.

The Author also wishes to acknowledge an even greater debt. This one to his destiny – fate, karma, naseeb – that it was so apportioned to him! The hand that has moved him to endear himself to this losing
cause célèbre he does not comprehend himself. The swashbuckling rebel, Captain Rhett Butler's statement to Mrs. Hamilton in Gone with the wind is oddly reminiscent of this uncharacteristic labor: “maybe it's because I have always had a weakness for lost causes once they are really lost.”

The author feels grateful that he was not only gifted a glimpse into this wily modernity from Mt. Fuji, and the uncanny drive to even try to comprehend all the forces which are shaping this modernity to create a one-world government of the oligarchy, by the oligarchy, for the oligarchy, in piece-meal increments, one global crisis at a time, one global law at a time, but also gifted the courage of his convictions to want to do something about it. As the cliché goes: “If necessity is the mother of invention, then discontent must surely be the father of progress.”

The first significant discontentment for the author is with the standard established epistemology, the theory of knowledge itself. How do we know what we know? Is something true because some authority figures proclaim it so? Is something true because you believe it to be true? Unlike in physical sciences and physical engineering where the rules of the scientific method both permit and govern some measure of objectivity by the process of falsification of axioms, in social sciences and social engineering, as in religion, one is often made to bow before gods, the instruments of power who wish to convince you of axioms of which you ought not to be convinced in order to get your measure of consent for your own servitude.

That principal first seed of discontentment from which all else naturally follows, led the author to recognize the catastrophic terrorism of 9/11 for what it was on the very day of September 11, 2001, and he has since applied himself assiduously in being a strident malcontent, actively maladjusted to the world around him. The word malcontent is novelist H.G. Wells' label for the handful of people who will ceaselessly resist the New World Order, the title of his 1940 book advertising the benefits and inevitability of world government. The word
maladjusted is the call to rise beyond moral clichés by Martin Luther King Jr., made in his 1967 speech titled: Why I Am Opposed to the War in Vietnam.

The author, living in quiet comfort and anonymity raising his children and watching world events from the safe distance of the sidelines, like the rest of humanity, embarked on his own little journey of malcontentment and maladjustment by first educating his family in 2001 that 9/11 was equivalent to the Third Reich's Operations Canned Goods, self-inflicted to create a pretext for aggression. He moved to public protest in 2002 after seeing the horrific destruction of Afghanistan and the next target being Iraq – both Muslim nations bombed by Christian soldiers and led by Jewish ideologues. And to systematic intellectual public dissent in 2003 with his maiden book Prisoners of the Cave, prompted by the FBI visiting his home under the mandates of police-state USA just as the bombing of Iraq was underway. When the rest of Muslims in America were hiding in their mosque and pretending that they were the “good Muslims” and “United we Stand” with empire to distance themselves from the “bad Muslims” who had been blamed for 9/11, the author had chosen to join the handful of godless American people of conscience and uncanny intelligence in expressing his discontent at both the manufactured narrative, as well as the acts of aggression being undertaken by the superpower using that fabricated narrative as pretext. The author's hour-long bold lecture to the FBI was, well, a rather defining moment for him. He still has no deeper understanding of the force that moved him to take such an extempore stand at his front door. The road not taken by all the other foreign denizens of the United States, and by the American masses and their privileged classes, all of whom immediately succumbed to the empire's narratives and saluted “United We Stand” for bombing Iraq after Afghanistan, has made all the difference to this scribe.

Even today, halfway into the second decade since 9/11, the same public mind despite the empire, full of hubris like all empires feeling as-
sured of victory in their cause, openly admitting in a brazen mea culpa that there were no WMDs in Iraq, that it was “intelligence failure” so to speak (imagine that excuse being used at Nuremberg – it would have surely saved the Nazi leadership from the hangman's noose, right?), have quickly forgotten that first act of aggression from which all the evil has percolated throughout the world. Iraq today lies in ruin, but the world is focussed on “IS”, “Taliban”, “Al-Qaeda”, “militant Islam” vs. “moderate Islam”, and the American government has come out unscathed from all this, even nobler in the public mind as the “savior”. Its president awarded the Nobel peace prize while vigorously pursuing the same war-mongering narratives and the same war-mongering policies despite being elected on the “change” mantra; just like Woodrow Wilson who had been reelected on the election campaign mantra “he kept us out of the war” during his first term, was awarded the Nobel peace prize after immediately taking America to the war in Europe at the start of his second term, and in the process of protecting the “civilized world” from the “barbarian Huns”, dismembering all the empires then existing to firmly implant the seeds of Pax Americana as the twentieth century inheritor of Pax Britannia. Like the incumbent president of the United States, Barack Obama, his distant predecessor too was marketed to the beleaguered world as the “savior” of mankind to deserve that public relations peace prize. In his editorial written the moment the Nobel peace prize of 2009 was announcement in the New York Times, this scribe deconstructed the motivations behind it on Friday October 09, 2009:

President Barack Obama has just been awarded the Nobel Peace Prize. The President is delighted and “Says He’s ‘Surprised’ and ‘Humbled’” according to the New York Times.

When I first penned “How to Win the Nobel Peace Prize” in great anguish in April 2003, in Chapter 2 of *Prisoners of the Cave* as the “shock and awe” of Iraq was under way, I hadn't the full prescience of all the
future players at the time for I grossly omitted the new name. My apologies to the harbingers of 'change'. Their mantra, and the $2 billion spent creating it, has obviously been very effective. After the “peace maker” moniker, anointment as the “Messiah” really can't be that far behind. This Machiavellian fabrication of a 'savior' was already examined in Mr. Obama – The Post Modern Coup in November 2008.

It is astonishing to me how simplistic the most lauded dissent-chiefs and most profound intellectuals are in the West. Even when they critique absurdities and war-mongering as per their good conscience, they tread remarkably gently. Look at historian Howard Zinn's comment in the UK Guardian. He is once again simplistic in his vocal dissent piece – just as he has been all along on 911 – by deliberately not seeing the Orwellian propaganda agenda behind the Peace Prize:

“I was dismayed when I heard Barack Obama was given the Nobel peace prize. A shock, really, to think that a president carrying on two wars would be given a peace prize. Until I recalled that Woodrow Wilson, Theodore Roosevelt, and Henry Kissinger had all received Nobel peace prizes. The Nobel committee is famous for its superficial estimates, won over by rhetoric and by empty gestures, and ignoring blatant violations of world peace.” (emphasis added)

No, No, NO! Never 'superficial estimates' and never 'empty gestures'. Rather, laying the seeds of masterful propaganda towards Orwellian social engineering.

Thus, Professor Zinn's concluding prescription: “The
Nobel peace committee should retire, and turn over its huge funds to some international peace organization which is not awed by stardom and rhetoric, and which has some understanding of history”, which, since he diagnosed the disease incorrectly, is a cure, I am sure, to the problem that he has posited in his own mind, but one that has no forensic bearing to the modernity plaguing mankind. Indeed, this “modernity” is itself “as old as mankind”. So while Howard Zinn does conscientiously lament the bizarre awarding of peace prizes to murderous trigger pullers, he very carefully does not mention the prime-movers whom they work for:

“Oh yes, the committee saw fit to give a peace prize to Henry Kissinger, because he signed the final peace agreement ending the war in Vietnam, of which he had been one of the architects. Kissinger, who obsequiously went along with Nixon's expansion of the war, with the bombing of peasant villages in Vietnam, Laos and Cambodia. Kissinger, who matches the definition of a war criminal very accurately, is given a peace prize!”

Ever since hectoring hegemons have existed, ever since oligarchs have existed wielding power from behind the scenes through their 'errand boys', ever since they discovered social engineering, and especially ever since Edward Bernays discovered and employed Public Relations which coincided approximately with the time that Nobel peace prizes started to be awarded, these accolades from the high and mighty serve the oligarchic agendas as needed.

Since Professor Howard Zinn, as a profound historian
who would like us to learn from history, is berating the Nobel Peace Committee on their lacking “some understanding of history”, watch the BBC documentary Century of Self to observe how Edward Bernays himself fabricated President Woodrow Wilson's aura as the European 'savior' right after the “he kept us out of the war” devil had taken America to World War I at the behest of his handlers Bernard Baruch and Col. Edward Mandell House, both of whom represented the international bankers. House even penned the rationale for having 'errand boys' and controlling them in a fictional narrative based upon his own role during Woodrow Wilson's presidency. Who is channeling President Obama's energies such that despite all his election promises to the contrary, he is very predictably maintaining the same overarching policy axioms as his predecessor from his day one in office?

These prizes are anything but “empty gestures”. It is both a payoff to tickle the ego of the 'errand boy', and a propaganda seed. In the expert hands of the Mighty Wurlitzer, such a gift can convince the masses of the most ridiculous absurdities, like the War on Terror already has. The proof of these statements of fact is both empirical, and historical. Watch Barack Obama crafted into a fine new global 'savior' at the expense of the 'untermenschen'. That's why the United States President, ceremoniously presiding over the most militarized superpower in the world which has just devasted two civilizations to smithereens, was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize while he rapidly accelerates his war prosecution to bring “peace” in a one-world government.” --- Zahir Ebrahim, How to win the Nobel Peace Prize, October 09, 2009
The social engineering for exercising “imperial mobilization” is so sickeningly transparent to this scribe that since the world is co-opted into accepting these Big lies and generations are growing up believing them, he has ever since 9/11 endeared himself to exposing all Big Lies, unraveling the science of behavior control and social engineering which co-opts the world public, and deconstructing the political philosophies which underwrite that global dysfunction.

For the persistent presence of this inexplicable force in his life, which though ebbs and flows like the tide, is always there like the force of gravity, constantly pulling, tugging, never letting him slink away, nor become too disheartened at being unable to make any difference to the dystopia in the making under the umbrellas of universal deceit and universal public apathy, he is grateful to his destiny. He is continually inspired by a wise Chinese proverb one of his children quoted in a graduation speech: *A society where old men plant trees under whose shade they know they will not get to sit, cannot go wrong for long.* It is usually sufficient to help him overcome the sense of fatalism and fait accompli he feels during bouts of frustration with being so very ineffective in the immediate term.

The battle, his moments of optimism as well as his children and friends often remind him, is long term – and as common a man as this scribe is, it is the common man who sheds his sheep's skin that is the biggest threat to the wolves. To get to that stage of not responding instinctively to the call of the shepherd's whistle and the bark of the sheepdog, minimally requires an intellectual prowess that is sufficient to neither be fooled by others nor fool oneself. A pleasing platitude to think about that is unlikely to ever come to pass. Sheep will remain sheep, and wolves will remain wolf. The predator will continually adorn the sheep's clothing to lead them from the front in the perfect trifecta of behavior control from which there can be no escape: of the wolves chasing from behind, the sheepdog herding from the sides, and the wolf in sheep's clothing leading from the front. It is this perceptive understanding of human nature and the *natural law of inequality* that
makes the wolves so damn fearless in exercising their instincts for primacy: “some are sheep while others are wolves, we are the wolves”!

As is customary to state explicitly, the author is solely responsible for this body of work, his humble two-bit attempt at planting trees under whose shade he knows he will not get to sit, but he hopes that his progeny will. He however disclaims credit for its accuracy as it would be like taking credit for adding two plus two to make four. He accepts culpability for what is in error despite his due diligence. A difference of opinion is not defined as an error. Getting facts wrong is. Getting analysis and conclusion wrong also is. The original reporting of facts by officialdom used by the author may themselves be in error. As explained in the Introduction Chapter on Modernity Simplified, this book concerns the making of “contemporary history”. The closeness in time to the deeds of “history's actors” under their cover of “Plausible Deniability”, covert-ops blamed upon patsies, state secrecy laws, police-state enactment, and scribes commissioned to pen narratives spanning the full gamut of Hegelian Dialectic, make access to verification of inconvenient facts, and discovery of actual facts, impossible except for bringing to bear insight, intuition, perspective, and basic arithmetica of two plus two making four. This is as true of modern history as ancient history. The latter is even harder to unpack from its attendant layers of natural myth amplification and truth distortion that get added to narratives throughout the ages like noise to signal.

These insights have led the author to model “contemporary history” of every epoch as a crime scene. And as is true of every crime scene, there is only one underlying truthful reality which is kept immersed in a sea of red herrings by the criminals who want to get away with their crime. The signal to noise ratio of which is continually degraded over time. This invariance is the observable reality of not just current affairs, but of the entire documented history of mankind. Which is why Henry Ford had cynically observed: “History is more or less bunk. It's tradition.”
Afterword

Separating myth from reality should have been the cornerstone of hard epistemology in good scholarship, good journalism, good historiography, and the first port of call of intellectual integrity. But scholarship, like any human endeavor, is usually not divorced from obedience to dominant powers, both consciously and unconsciously, nor free from biases, narrow self-interests, and nor from pressures of earning a livelihood, having a career, being glorified, and dying holily in bed. Fully removing all of “truth's protective layers” therefore, is never a high priority if the underlying truth is inconvenient for any and all those forces of co-options. Even the well-known historiographies, the studies of how to study history, from the famous Ibne-Khaldun of antiquity in his *Muqadma* to the contemporary historian of the modern world, Carroll Quigley, who devoted much space to bringing the scientific method to the study of social sciences and history, have not addressed this problem of corrupted epistemology head on. They in fact pretend that it does not even exist, if one is to judge by their glaring silence on the subject. This road not taken turns out to be the most crucial problem of extracting truth from the jaws of social engineering; the making of any contemporary public's mind by “history's actors” to suit their own agendas which becomes the source material for subsequent generation of scholarship. Falsehoods and myths become truth as they are repeated by many hands over generations. Pretty soon they become part of the cultural backdrop, the ethos of the people, and the axioms of scholarship. Modeling the deeds of “history's actors” as a crime scene is the author's rational approach for getting a practical handle on this most empirical problem of corrupted epistemology.

The author's modus operandi therefore is like Sherlock Holmes', forensically piecing that underlying reality together by carefully separating out real clues from false clues, false evidence, false narratives, and false causality. Everyone, and everything, is suspect in this rational method of examining what is put before the public mind. There are no un-falsifiable axioms, no presuppositions, and no faith in any theology of authority figures such as “government, democracy, empire,
rulers, kings, caliphs, pontiffs, Nobel laureates, scientists, elected representatives, presidents, prime ministers, cannot do this because ...” and fill in your favorite clause. This is being godless (but not necessarily Godless which is atheism). If villainy can dream it, man will do it. Motivations become the key to understanding events, to interpreting what has been recorded as facts. Forces, both covert and overt, that shape events from both near and far, and not just what's conveniently reported by scribes, or happening nearby in time and space, become the primary focus of attention.

This has profound implications for epistemology, for it turns tradition, meaning official history, and narratives of current affairs, on its head. What was previously treated as sacred truth, becomes suspect. What was previously considered fact becomes a possible magician's slight of hand. What was previously considered science becomes potential covert agendas couched in the language of science, or pseudo science. Like in any forensic science, it is an exercise fraught with pitfalls of course. One does not necessarily always get it right completely as removing one layer of obfuscation still leaves other layers beneath it quite intact. The process is like peeling an onion, one layer at a time. If the process succeeds in unpeeling all the layers down to its essential core, it unveils the base reality about a matter which is always singular, just like two plus two make four and not any other quantity. There are no exceptions.

To get at that closely protected truth with unflinching perseverance, where the author has been inspired and guided by others' contributions in un-peeling some of the “truth's protective layers”, these navigating beacons are acknowledged in the respective essays. The analyses of Project Humanbeingsfirst.org, of which this book is a sampling, is built upon the holistic integration of insights gleaned from a great deal of Western political philosophy and advancements in the understanding of psychological forces which make mass behavior control possible. The author isn't the first one to arrive at that understanding and to use it for both deconstructing as well as predicting the direction of
modernity. Both Aldous Huxley and George Orwell had in the twentieth century demonstrated those same approaches in their respective fables as the cornerstone of exercising primacy upon the herds of humanity. Just like Niccolò Machiavelli in *The Prince* in the fifteenth century, Plato in *The Republic* in the fifth century BC, and the most insightful of them all, *The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion*, written by anonymous author(s) to ostensibly malign the Jews (as the Jewish press claims), but containing within its twenty-four most profound Protocols the summation of all subversive insights of recorded history on how a small cabal can takeover the world, destroy its moral social order, to create a new nihilistic global social order, and rule it with an iron-fist with the public initially being none the wiser. Instead, with the public actually helping in creating that autocratic social order by willingly destroying all that is precious to their civilization with their own two hands in the name of “Liberty”, “Equality”, “Fraternity”, “Democracy”, “Revolution”. Before too long, an Orwellian world order beckons --- the wonderful liberal traditions which once inspired mobs unfurling the preceding flags magically replaced by the most conservative monolithic control and theology of subjugation taking over the entire world from which there can be no escape.

That diabolical process of this transformation in the Protocols has well defined stages: (1) get the masses to shout freedom and liberty to enable mob rule and destroy all intellectual and civilization heritage with their zeal for “Equality”; (2) give temporary freedom to the mobs to exercise their libido and their lowest animalistic instincts legally and call that “liberal” civilization ; (3) seed wars pushing that new mantra of “liberal” civilization against all existent moral order in the name of “freedom”; (4) descend the world into chaos using crises and wars after wars in destroy-remake-destroy cycles; (5) marginalize all moral order with the control of the narrative and with manufactured scandals, fabricated virulent strains claiming to represent the moral order, and cast them all as oppressive, non-liberal, and replace with “Secular Humanism” wrapped in Newspeak ; (6) repeat; until the war-
weary beleaguered public seeks refuge in “security” of police-states and the authority of world government which now brings peace – the peace of slaves.

The Protocols, once stripped of their overt “Jewishness”, are seen to unmistakably borrow from a range of political philosophies that span the gamut of perverse thought from Plato (taking over the press to control the narrative ala the Simile of the cave in The Republic) to Talmud (control of people and nations through interest and on unpayable debt) to Machiavelli (governing by way of deception) to Hegel (Hegelian Dialectic as the method of creating greater and greater combines from of the ashes of conflict). It is not unimaginable that George Orwell studied the Protocols as he witnessed the current affairs of the early twentieth-century unfurl before him as if blue-printed in these prescriptions to become inspired to express its end result in his fable Nineteen-eighty-four.

More writers, scholars, and important intellectuals of the world have accepted the Protocols on its face value of Jewish conspiracy (just like the mainstream intellectuals who have rejected it for the same reason as being anti-Semitic and anti-Jewish) than have not. Among the latter few is the famous Russian novelist and dissenter Alexander Solzhenitsyn who won the Nobel prize in literature. According to those who are familiar with his work on the Protocols, presumably in his book Two Hundred Years Living Together, in its original Russian, and which remains deliberately un-translated into English, Solzhenitsyn in his analysis of the Protocols thought to replace every occurrence of “Jew”, “Gentile”, “Goyim”, “conspiracy”, etc., with race neutral and unemotional terms in order to focus attention on what the Protocols were in fact prescribing, stripped off of their racial flavoring which seemed to otherwise greatly distract the respected political scientists of the world who refused to examine it as a political treatise as they would Machiavelli's The Prince. This author's analytical commentary on the Protocols based on his own study where he replaced these racial terms with the behavioral ones: “Ubermensch” and “untermensch”, is in
Henry Ford and The International Jew: The World's Foremost Problem – NOT! Rather than repeat ground tread by those who came before him, this author has added original insight not pursued by anyone else to demonstrate that “Jewishness” is a calculated red herring planted in the Protocols by its authors who have also left a calculating key in the Protocols to deduce that exact conclusion.

Once again, on the road not taken by the majority of Western and Eastern intellectuals, this author has found evidence which is overwhelming that Ubermensch is an attitude, a mindset, and not a tribal, or religious, or racial binding. Public pretenses to cultural or religious or tribal association are for manufacturing consent among the group whose membership and leadership is claimed by the Ubermensch. And members of the so favored cabal willingly oblige --- the whole point of manufacturing consent! But the Oligarchy hesitates not in sacrificing any number of their members, in fact, often in large numbers, to achieve their objective of global rule. Eventually, once full utility has been extracted from those who are today positioned as the 'chosen people', or their helpers of mutual convenience in the shape of Christian Zionists from the Bible Belt of the United States, they will all be ejected from their perches in the New World Order as a new religion becomes universal law, the Oligarch's own, Secular Humanism. Of course, those flushed with hubris today will soon find the shoe on the other foot and will learn the hard way themselves.

Before the Oligarchy's self-ascribed categorical imperative for deciding mankind's future, and the cunning illusions it is to be packaged in, the ordinary man encased in the moral straight-jackets of heritage, culture, civilization, and religious traditions, stands little chance of survival. Caught as he perpetually is between bread and circuses on the one hand, and wrapped in layers upon layers of falsehoods, myths, half-truths, and gratuitous beliefs in some messiah coming to help them eventually to put all matters right, only serve to engineer their consent for whatever is happening to them. This is the actual reality --- whatever academic theory one may seek to explain it. Often times,
one is unable to divorce oneself from long-held cultural prejudices and hastily lumps the cause and effect together. It is not that one cannot find sufficient evidence for any position, such as “Jewish conspiracy” which is prevalent among the malcontents in the West as well as the East, it is the careful separation of causality down to the first-cause which remains the key to understanding the Ubermensch's power which draws upon the cracks and lacunas, and weaknesses as well as strengths, of all peoples to harvest their creative energies for its own cause. Some are setup as the bad guys, others as the chosen peoples, to create the dialectical ultimatum: “either you are with us or with the terrorists”. Every side issues the same ultimatum to enlist its cattle fodder. This dysfunction has a prime-mover and is not organic, nor can it be explained away by local organic struggles for power and hegemony which has existed from time immemorial. These natural brinkmanship are equally harnessed and harvested, but often their seeds are first artificially planted, and continually fertilized, of which both the bloody partitions of the Indian sub-continent and Palestine are veritable examples. The full harvesting season is yet to come. How exactly will it materialize to enact further chaos and genocide, only the Ubermensch would know, but the end result is their one world government with the planet's population reduced significantly from its present numbers. Reproduction will be transformed into a privilege from a right, just like driving is a privilege and not a right, only to be accorded in measure to those deemed “worthy” of holding that license, as captured in its many variations by authors besides Orwell and Huxley. Zbigniew Brzezinski, not a sci-fi author and not a fable writer, but America's foremost National Security Advisor and policy-planner, equally indicates its inevitability in Between Two Ages, 1970:

“Another threat, less overt but no less basic, confronts liberal democracy. More directly linked to the impact of technology, it involves the gradual appearance of a more controlled and directed society. Such a society
would be dominated by an elite whose claim to political power would rest on allegedly superior scientific know-how. **Unhindered by the restraints of traditional liberal values, this elite would not hesitate to achieve its political ends by using the latest modern techniques for influencing public behavior and keeping society under close surveillance and control.**” (pg. 97)

Who are those elite? The scientists? Or those who employ them? Once Henry Ford was in court for a reporter having called him an “uneducated” or “illiterate” or something to that effect and was sued. Henry Ford in his defence stated, in this scribe's dramatization as the court transcript is not at hand: “Ask me any scientific question if you think I am uneducated, or illiterate”. And he was obliged. He pointed to someone in the audience in the court room to rise and answer that question, and it was correctly answered. So Henry Ford said: “I employ hundreds of people of superior intellect and training who can answer any scientific question, or any question, you put before me. If I can answer your question accurately, perceptively, does it matter to you if I look it up in a book, research it myself, or hire the hundreds of engineers and scientists who do it for me?” Henry Ford won that case!

So, who are the “elite” that Brzezinski is referring to? Can they be named?

Here is Woodrow Wilson also speaking of an unspecified power that the biggest men in the United States, in the field of commerce and manufacture, are afraid of:

“Since I have entered politics, I have chiefly had men's views confided to me privately. Some of the biggest men in the United States, in the field of commerce and manufacture, are afraid of somebody, are of afraid of something. **They know that there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so**
watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breadth when they speak in condemnation of it.” — The New Freedom, Woodrow Wilson, 1913, Chapter 1, pgs. 17-18

Needless to state the obvious, this “elite”, this “power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive,” has been kept carefully occulted from the world stage and the glare of publicity. It is cunningly hidden in plainsight by the conspiracy of omission. By not mentioning their name or acknowledging their existence in the popular media, in academia, in popular dissent, they are craftily engineered out of the picture of current affairs which they entirely control. Then anyone who digs up the evidence and puts two plus two together to make four, is trivially dismissed as “conspiracy theorist”. 

This scribe, once again on the road not taken, has persuasively argued, repeatedly, that perceptively identifying the first-cause enemy, understanding its core strengths and one's own core weaknesses, is the first shrewd step in the long arduous journey of commensurately developing one's own strengths and exploiting the enemy's weaknesses for waging an effective self-defence against these superman predators whose natural instincts for primacy defy the commonsense of the Poor Man:

“If you know the enemy and know yourself, you need not fear the result of a hundred battles. If you know yourself but not the enemy, for every victory gained you will also suffer a defeat. If you know neither the enemy nor yourself, you will succumb in every battle.” — Sun Tzu, Art of War

The Poor Man this book has defined as: “one with limited ability, or time, or even inclination, to carefully read, reflect, and reason about the period one lives in”. The Poor Man transcends the socio-economic
divides, racial divides, civilizational divides, academic qualification divides, and professional divides. Hitler characterized him in *Mein Kampf* as follows:

“those who believe everything they read; this group is by far the strongest, being composed of the broad masses of the people. Intellectually, it forms the simplest portion of the nation. It cannot be classified according to occupation but only into grades of intelligence. Under this category come all those who have not been born to think for themselves or who have not learnt to do so and who, partly through incompetence and partly through ignorance, believe everything that is set before them in print. To these we must add that type of lazy individual who, although capable of thinking for himself out of sheer laziness gratefully absorbs everything that others had thought over, modestly believing this to have been thoroughly done. The influence which the Press has on all these people is therefore enormous; for after all they constitute the broad masses of a nation. But, somehow they are not in a position or are not willing personally to sift what is being served up to them; so that their whole attitude towards daily problems is almost solely the result of extraneous influence. All this can be advantageous where public enlightenment is of a serious and truth-ful character, but great harm is done when scoundrels and liars take a hand at this work.”

The Poor-Man who never learnt to think, or to exercise his natural thinking abilities despite his profession and training, is encouraged to mis-identify the enemy. For incorrectly identifying the nemesis and imputing it false strengths which do not originate within it, one can never win any battles – because the real enemy stays occulted from the public eye like the ninety percent iceberg. All its visible signs are
there, but it floats treacherously and as a great mass just beneath the surface. That is both its strength, hiding in plainsight, and also its weakness, that it needs to hide. But no longer. The entire conspiracy for world government is being orchestrated in open view. There is no “illegality” – as the conspirators are shrewd enough to always exercise legalisms in their favor before anything is made public.

This intellectual backdrop points the path to others as well who wish to either confirm (or refute) Project Humanbeingsfirst’s analyses and conclusions across the spectrum of topics it has taken up, or make their own independent study of modernity and the forces which drive it. Without the intellectual backdrop and perceptive comprehension of motivations that drive world events, no one can figure out modernity by just looking at the events themselves. No one! Never mind protect themselves with an effective antidote.

Which is precisely why all of newsmedia, all establishmentarian scholars, and all dissenting con-artist intellectuals controlling the permissible range of opinions to exclude what's not convenient to the ruling powers and their agendas, mainly focus the public's attention at the events themselves wrapped in narratives upon narratives. It's called freedom of speech and democracy, and the public rejoice at the openness of their Western society, while the colonized nations rush to emulate Western standards. Which is how the public mind is made so easily and uniformly across the world.

The drive for the standardization of worldviews and values is no less strident than the drive for the standardization of global laws. Both are necessary predicates for the standardization of human behavior --- from its natural diversity divided into tribes and nations, beliefs and values, all humming and vibrant in their own local cultures like the birds in a thriving forest and therefore difficult to control all at once, to its uniform and streamlined servitude long desired by the oligarchy. The honest to goodness observation made by Aldous Huxley to the students at the University of California, Berkeley, more than half century ago is even more empirical today:
“Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.

And this is a problem which has interested me for many years, and about which I wrote thirty years ago a fable, A Brave New World, which is essentially the account of a society making use of all the devices at that time available, and some of the devices which I imagined to be possible, making use of them in order to, first of all, to standardize the population, to iron-out inconvenient human differences, to create so to say mass produced models of human beings arranged in some kind of a scientific caste system.”

In all this drive for the standardization of human beings to be ruled just as theologically by an all powerful financial oligarchy from the top of the control pyramid as in any predatory religion which puts man in the service of fellow man while paying all the lip-service to high-minded morality, there is no room in established scholarship, politics, press, or religious fervor, for unraveling truth's protective layers. Duh!

Whereas the Sherlock Holmes of the day first look for the motivations behind events, and gauge the forces, both near and far, that drive them. They strive to unravel all of truth's protective layers.

On their profound intellectual courage and strength of character to see through the smoke and mirrors, to boldly proclaim two plus two make four and not five, to take the path not taken, to rise above their own narrow self-interests and to make no personal profit from their labors,
this scribe humbly stands, and for which he is thankful that his own physical, psychological, and spiritual makeup endears him to their lonely path on the road less traveled. When the empire applauds, one is serving the interests of empire. When the choir applauds, one is preaching to it. When the people applaud, one is serving their interests. This is self-evident; a universal moral truth that is beyond doubt. So who applauds when one serves the interest of truth? There is no applause on this road not taken by others. Only the hemlock. The slave of truth always stands alone, lonely, and accepts the hemlock. The master of truth is always surrounded by cheers, accolades, prizes, and dies holily in bed. The author is grateful to his fate, destiny, naseeb, and all that in his life's experiences which has brought him to its crossroads, for that small share of loneliness on the road less traveled which is his cherished prize.

Lastly, the author thanks the reader. He would especially like to thank in anticipation those critical reviewers who might bring forward any contrary evidence in support of their refutation of what might be in error in these pages. The author would be more inclined to pay attention if they cited chapter and verse of what they deem to be in error rather than merely allege “bias” and the like so that the next version of the book can improve upon its deficiency.

This work is certainly not intended to be the last word on the oligarchic primacy for world government and their diabolical modus operandis, but the mere introduction to the subject in completely honest terms to the best of the author's limited abilities given the reign of universal deceit and full spectrum control of the narrative in support of the mantras du jour. No other point of view is permitted to exist outside that narrative space of “respectability”. It is neither published by the “respectable” intelligentsia press nor given a fair hearing in their literary review spaces. The author fully expects his point of view to be met with resentment and denigration in some quarters. But the author believes that any such overt intellectual resentment can only translate to fostering a greater awareness and motivate further discovery of the
topics only barely dealt herein. This would be a good thing. Therefore, what the author fears will happen instead is that the work will be completely ignored rather than intellectually refuted --- for silence on truth is the stronger method of controlling the narrative. Why draw attention to these matters even with their most eloquent denunciation and needlessly open the Pandora's box of public consciousness for the new generation growing up in total darkness of the predators scheming behind the scene? Thus, any overt resentment will likely take the un-intellectual form as it took during the French and Russian revolutions: “Beware of that man for he has written a book!” (heard in the streets of Paris, quoted by Nesta Webster). And “Writers must be proscribed as the most dangerous enemies of the people” (Robespierre, quoted by Nesta Webster).

For what its worth, this humble effort is dedicated to all who care — to lend them courage to reshape tomorrow's world. Or tomorrow's world will be an age of servitude far worse than today.

Zahir Ebrahim
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[48] Ch22, Caption Libyan rebels repel attacks as refugees flee Ajdabiya Eastern Libya, March 03, 2011 (AP Photo Kevin Frayer, image via the Sacramento Bee sacbee)


[50] Ch23, Ch26, Caption 'In Pakistan, an exodus that is beyond biblical', Image of Swat Refugees from the UK Independent news report Sunday, 31 May 2009, 'In Pakistan, an exodus that is beyond biblical' http://independent.co.uk/news/world/asia/in-pakistan-an-exodus-that-is-beyond-biblical-1693513.html
[51] Ch23, Caption “God is on your side”. Image of US National Security Advisor Zbigniew Brzezinski lecturing the Afghan Mujahideen in 1979-1980 “God is on your side”, screenshot from PBS documentary clip http://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/god_is_on_your_side.wmv


[56] Ch26, Image of smallpox marked child victim from http://hiddennolonger.com

[57] Ch26, Image of Palestinian baby fetus murdered by the Israeli soldiers while still in its mothers womb from Sabbah Report 701.jpg http://sabbah.biz

[58] Ch26, Ch28, Image Caption Afghan baby killed by American soldiers in Khost, collateral damage of course! Baby's name unknown - can you put a name to it? Afghanistan, April 9, 2009, infant_killed_by_us_toops_khost_apr9_09.jpg source http://rawa.org


[60] Ch26, Caption Jesus has come in May to Afghanistan: Image of US troops urged to share faith in Afghanistan - 04 May 09 from video http://youtube.com/watch?v=hVGmbzDLq5c

[61] Ch26, Caption US Soldiers in Afghanistan told to “hunt people for Jesus... so we get them into the kingdom” Image from Rebel Reports http://rebelreports.com/post/103330614/us-soldiers-in-afghanistan-told-to-hunt-people-for

[63] Ch28, Image Caption Iraqi children responding to the 'liberation' brought them by the brave Veterans of America under President George W. Bush's “Operation Iraqi Freedom”, source http://angryarab.blogspot.com

[64] Ch28, Image Caption PTSD and American War Heroes - Victims of their own Barbarianism, Image of an American female soldier smilingly holding up her thumb posing next to a tortured dead Iraqi victim, source unknown from the web


[67] Ch29, Image from Video Corbett Report Climategate Dr. Tim Ball on the hacked CRU emails, November 21, 2009 http://youtube.com/watch?v=Ydo2Mwnwpac


[69] Ch29, Cliff Harris' and Randy Mann's Global Temperature Chart 2500 BC to 2040 AD from http://longrangeweather.com

[70] Ch29, The 'Hockey Stick': A New Low in Climate Science by John L. Daly, graph from http://wattsupwiththat.com

[71] Ch29, Image of Ross McKitrick from Michael Coren's interview “The hockey stick is wrong and result of bad science” July 2008 http://youtube.com/watch?v=-1k4mFZr-gE

[72] Ch29, Ch33, Image of David Rockefeller speaking at the UN Ambassador's Dinner on overpopulation from video http://youtube.com/watch?v=C1qUcScwmn8


[74] Ch30, Image from Eric Fossum's video talk on Societal Concerns of his Invention of the active pixel CMOS imaging sensor used in surveillance cameras, Yale University, October 13, 2011 http://youtube.com/watch?v=JkBh71zZKrM

[76] Ch31, Image of Tammy Banovac in bra, panties and wheelchair, Oklahoma City TSA airport screening on November 30, 2010, youtube=http://youtube.com/v/4zFl18ioqYk

[77] Ch31, Fabricated image depicting a fictitious terrorist hiding her gun in her underwear, from bild-de-14457736.jpg Image snapshot from article before it was removed http://bild.de/BILD/news/bild-english/world-news/2009/12/31/pregnancy-body-piercings-genitals/what-can-naked-scanners-really-see.html


[79] Ch31, Image of Miss USA Susie Castillo, Dallas Airport April 21, 2011 from her video narrating her trauma youtube=http://youtube.com/v/mY3Pt0H4-98


[81] Ch31, Image from Video CNN Meredith Jessup Report: 'TSA Behavior Indicator’ youtube=http://youtube.com/v/jVyidvyjXVc


[83] Ch31, Image from Video 'Sexually Assaulted' by TSA Agent – Amy Alkon Speaks Out -- Interview with Alex Jones youtube=http://youtube.com/v/ZCya5vEJNJQ


[86] Ch31, Caption 'Bikini Girl' Corinne Theile has worn her swimsuit on seven flights over the past 12 months. She said she will do so event when she's 80, to avoid TSA body scanners. It’s been a year since Californian holidaymaker Corinne Theile first stripped down to her bikini at Los Angeles

[87] [88] [89] [90] [91] [92] Ch33, Six Slides from Desiree L. Rover's Presentation on Vaccinations, Netherlands, Aug. 01 2009, http://youtube.com/watch?v=P8QKSMK2Ytw


[95] Ch33, Ch45, Image of Herman Van Rompuy announcing “2009 is also the first year of Global Governance” http://youtube.com/watch?v=QEqtFrAgSo

[96] Ch34, The House of Rothschild – Image from Niall Ferguson and Viking

[97] Ch34, Ch35, Ch36, Ch37, Ch41-P2, Ch56, Photo of Balfour Declaration, November 2nd 1917, “Dear Lord Rothschild”, via http://avalon.law.yale.edu/20th_century/balfour.asp

[98] Ch34, Ch35, Photograph of Israeli supreme court overlooking Jerusalem, gift of the Rothschilds, from http://thegoldenreport.com


[104] Ch37, Photograph of Golda Meir, autographed to Alan Hart as her 'good friend' from http://alanhart.net

[105] Ch40, Caption The promised land Eretz Yisrael. Map of Herzl's plan for the Jewish State thepromisedland.gif source unknown from the web

[106] Ch40, Caption Map Iron-wall Avi Shlaim, page-26 UN partition plan

[107] Ch41-P3 Photograph of toddler and machine gun on table, from the web, source unknown

[108] Ch41-P3 Photograph of a poster taken at an anti-war protest,

[109] Ch41-P3 Photograph of Israeli boy kicks palestinian woman, Samar Abdul Shafti, mother of two, 36 years old, as Israeli girl rips off her Islamic headscarf, Hebron, West Bank, Palestine, from news sources on the web

[110] Ch41-P3 Eight remaining images from news sources of Israeli behavior in Palestine, from the web, no source data in the jpg file

[111] Ch41-P3 Comparison images of Israeli behavior with Nazi behavior, from the long defunct website dictatorshipwatch of Abdullah Jan


[115] Ch45, Caption The Chairman Part 1, Ben Bernanke CBS 60 Minutes Interview with correspondent Scott Pelley, http://youtube.com/watch?v=odPfHY4ekHA

[116] Ch50, Caption Watch The Chairman Part 1, Ben Bernanke CBS 60 Minutes Interview with correspondent Scott Pelley, segment on Printing Money at 8 minutes, http://youtube.com/watch?v=odPfHY4ekHA


[119] Ch55, Photograph of Francis Boyle via Press TV from the web, source unknown from the web


[124] Ch59, Ch68, Photograph Caption Harmless innocence Melt, Flours of all hue, and without thorn the rose (Milton, Paradise Lost). The little rose without the thorn of “imperial mobilization”, a young and smiling Dr. Aafia Siddiqui, at her MIT graduation, dr-aafia-at-her-mit-graduation.jpg source unknown from the web


[127] [128] Ch62, Caption Boston Marathon Bombing. Two screenshots of news clip: Amputee Actors Train Soldiers For Combat - Boston Bombing Hoax looks real with Amputee actors help; from video http://youtube.com/watch?v=Rjyt_bEHJnM

[129] [130] Ch62, Ch63, Caption Boston Marathon Bombing. Superman victim identified as Jeff Bauman Jr., holding both his grotesquely blown-up legs without expression of pain while sitting upright, and looking alert, being rescued in a wheelchair (instead of a gurney) on Boylston street by a Boston EMT, Boston EMS, and man in cowboy hat identified as superhero Carlos Arredondo, about 6 minutes and 43 seconds after the explosion in the theatre of the most absurd. Two images, Ch62 screenshot from Fox News footage, April 15, 2013, and Ch63 high res photo of the same scene via cluesforum.info, photographer unknown.

[131] Ch62, Caption Boston Marathon Bombing. Composite of three images of Boston marathon victims (or role-playing actors) via cluesforum.info, original photographers unknown.

[132] Ch68, Image Caption Dr. Aafia Siddiqui in American captivity, Is it merely the “Ugly Side of US Law?” Or “doctrinal motivation” to sustain “imperial mobilization”? From http://youtube.com/watch?v=z9U8u1taoYg

Other Sources

[a] Dedication wording, respectively spun from Caroll Quigley's dedication
in Tragedy and Hope, and Zbigniew Brzezinski’s dedication in The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives. Their books are dedicated to the harbingers of dystopia. This book to those who rise to interdict it.


e] backcover, Phrase “truth's protective layers” and “remove one of truth's protective layers” is from a cryptic remark made by the late American astronaut Neil Armstrong during his speech on the 25th anniversary of the Apollo 11 mission in 1994 at the White House, video from the NBC News Archives http://youtube.com/watch?v=PUx1SURbb3g


[g] Arabic Qur'an recitation by Husary audio courtesy of Verse By Verse Quran, acquired 8/13/2011 from http://versebyversequran.com

this page intentionally blank
The myriad crises which afflict humanity today, from the Global War on Terror to Global Financial Crisis to Global Pandemic, and a few more to come including Global Food Shortage to UFO-Alien Invasion, are only the successive Hegelian mind-fucks, ahem, the “acts” and “deeds” of making current affairs “look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality,”. Each new ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ creates the raison d'être for more global laws which incrementally erode more national sovereignty. The harvesting response to each new crisis Machiavellianly brings the nations of the world one baby-step closer towards the Global Governance of the entire planet. A one-world government if you will.

The devilish modus operandi is to deliberately fabricate global problems, or their illusions, and offer only those predetermined solutions which result in bigger government, incrementally leading to a single one-world global police-state. “Create conditions so frightful at home and abroad that the abandonment of personal liberties and national sovereignty will appear as a reasonable price for a return to domestic tranquility and world peace.”

The oligarchic powers behind the scene harness every fault-line and discord among peoples of every nation, to ensure that the world public can never recognize a common predator, and thus never be able to forge a united front against their common nemesis. What is so remarkable is the absolute ease with which these Übermensch leeches, sucking the life blood of the untermensch mankind, have been able to get away with it since 9/11.

This book which you now hold in your hands, The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity 2015, is intended to be the potent antidote for that ease. The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons! It is equally easy – simply remove “truth's protective layers” and Machiavelli has no place to hide. All else naturally follows.