

FAQ: Prove to me that the 9/11 Narrative is a Big Lie

By Zahir Ebrahim | [Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

April 17, 2014 | Last Updated Saturday, January 30, 2016 04:00 PM

Abstract

There are mainly two global schools of thought today on what transpired on September 11, 2001. The first says evil Muslims under the leadership of Osama Bin Laden and an organization called Al-Qaeda, principally carried out that catastrophic terror act on American soil. Minor variations salt that narrative spanning the gamut of plausible sounding arguments from anticipated blowback of iniquitous global policies of the superpower to conspiratorial covert blessings and/or active collusion of the Western intelligence apparatuses, including that of the United States, Israel, Pakistan and others. The majority of the world's officialdoms, and the public mind, have accepted the unsalted version. Today that narrative advanced by the superpower and its surrogates underwrites history books, law books, policy prescriptions, fine literature, and even melodrama as an accepted historical fact. The salted variations mainly sell books. The second school of thought says that the entire first narrative can be nothing but a Big Lie and all its salted versions deliberate red herrings which principally serve to sanctify the Big Lie. This article underwrites the latter argument by advancing the logic method known as reductio ad absurdum on empirical evidence. It is a rational method used in court systems worldwide which any ordinary man and woman of sound mind capable of serving for jury duty can employ on their own to separate fact from fiction. No judge dare reject this forensic technique unless he is running a kangaroo court --- which is perhaps why no legal mind has to date dared to bring this most straightforward argument before the International Criminal Court System in the Hague despite all their vocal dissent as the brilliant consciences of the world. It is the one sure-fire proof of the Big Lie that can immediately lead to sleeping with the fishes for anyone presenting it with any effective measure of legal visibility. That crucial omission is the veritable indicator of the self-serving moral corruption of the finest intellectual minds today, or when innocent of that charge, perhaps their

complete indoctrination. The global impact of this co-option is that the Big Lie of the twenty-first century is rapidly getting sanctified as “whole truth” with no moral giants (or even midgets) effectively standing in its way.

The proof is quite elementary; requires at best high-school level reasoning skills to analyze on the anvil of logic and empiricism alone; no faith is required. And no Ph.D. is required either. What follows is the most fundamental argument. It is both necessary and sufficient, meaning complete, to demonstrate the assertion of the Big Lie of 9/11.

Let's start with the dictionary definition:

Big Lie

noun

a false statement of outrageous magnitude employed as a propaganda measure in the belief that a lesser falsehood would not be credible.

Matching Quote

“... All this was inspired by the principle--which is quite true in itself--that in the big lie there is always a certain force of credibility; because the broad masses of a nation are always more easily corrupted in the deeper strata of their emotional nature than consciously or voluntarily; **and thus in the primitive simplicity of their minds they more readily fall victims to the big lie than the small lie**, since they themselves often tell small lies in little matters but would be ashamed to resort to large-scale falsehoods. It would never come into their heads to fabricate colossal untruths, and they would not believe that others could have the impudence to distort the truth so infamously. Even though the facts which prove this to be so may be brought clearly to their minds, they will still doubt and waver and will continue to think that there may be some other explanation. For the grossly impudent lie always leaves traces behind it, even after it has been nailed down, a fact which is known to all expert liars in this world and to all who conspire together in the art of lying. These people know only too well how to use falsehood for the basest purposes.” --- Adolf Hitler (1889–1945), *Mein Kampf*, Vol. I, Ch. X, *Why The Second Reich Collapsed*, tr. James Murphy, <http://gutenberg.net.au/ebooks02/0200601.txt>

So let's unpack the Big Lie of 9/11 from first principles: empirical observation on available data.

Watch this demolition of WTC-7 at approx. 5:20 pm on September 11, 2001 – the third WTC tower to experience catastrophic destruction on that day in infamy; but it is not claimed by officialdom that it was hit by any projectile or flying airliners. Then how did it collapse like this:

[WTC-7 demolition live footage clip from CBS](#)

Dan Rather of CBS is heard exclaiming in the background after witnessing the symmetrical destruction of WTC-7 into its own footprint in a straight line at approx. 5:20 pm on September 11, 2001: *“... amazing, incredible, pick your word. For the third time today, it's reminiscent of those pictures we have all seen too much on television before when a building was deliberately destroyed by well-placed dynamite to knock it down,”*

If you look at this video footage a few times, you can make several empirical observations for which your eighth grade middle school science teacher would simply nod and move on --- these observations are that elementary and anyone among the public is capable of making them:

1. the 47 story WTC-7 building collapses in a straight line, look at the edge of the building ;
2. the building does not tilt sideways, nor any of the floors pause even momentarily, but collapses continuously into its own footprint in a straight line ;
3. with a stop watch in hand the top most

We are going to morally ignore the fact that the very next passage in Mein Kampf following the quoted sentences above makes the following blanket observations typical of Hitler's fascination with, and contempt for, the Jewish race:

“From time immemorial however, the Jews have known better than any others how falsehood and calumny can be exploited. Is not their very existence founded on one great lie, namely, that they are a religious community, whereas in reality they are a race? And what a race! One of the greatest thinkers that mankind has produced has branded the Jews for all time with a statement which is profoundly and exactly true. He (Schopenhauer) called the Jew "The Great Master of Lies". Those who do not realize the truth of that statement, or do not wish to believe it, will never be able to lend a hand in helping Truth to prevail.” --- Adolf Hitler, Ibid.

We do so despite the actual fact of the matter that it is principally the Jews today, spanning the gamut of opinion makers from manufacturing consent to manufacturing dissent, and from left to right, who are leading the sanctification of the Big Lie of 9/11: that it is Muslims and radical Islam that attacked the United States of America, as already examined in [Sanctification of the 9/11 Narrative](#). In our challenge to liars and mass murderers, their aiders and abettors, we do not condemn an entire people from among whom these Superman, sociopaths, and mercenary henchmen of today spring from.

story of the 47 story building is measured to reach the ground in approximately ten seconds ;

4. the upper floors appear to fall at almost free fall speed, experiencing no resistance from the floors below them, as if the floors below are not there and falling at the same free fall speed, all floors beginning to move simultaneously so that the floor above cannot catchup to the floor below, and this pattern is observed for all the visible floors as they each descend in perfect sync with the one below, until they all finally collapse on top of each other after reaching the growing pile of debris on the ground ;
5. the structure on the very top of the building in its center begins to collapse a split second before the top most floor begins its free fall descent ; here is a snapshot from another angle where this commencement of collapse is more clearly visible:



6. a little thought on what is observed in items 1-5 suggests that to remove any resistance from the floor below for any floor above requires cutting off that floor's supporting beams and columns from the superstructure of the

We seek to unmask all liars of every denomination, race, caste, creed, and heritage, irrespective of their self-identification. For, in the construction of the Big Lie of 9/11, all liars of all faiths and persuasions, including Muslim leaders and scholars, Christian leaders and scholars, Hindu leaders and scholars, Communist leaders and scholars, are equally complicit and culpable, each according to their own measure for all the evil which has followed from their echoing the Big Lie.

The [White Man's burden](#) today is quite uniformly distributed among Jews, Christians, atheists, and even Muslim *house niggers*, and all bear a fair measure of culpability but not through guilt by association with common heritage, race, religion or tribe.

That Big Lie of 9/11 however, is principally manufactured by the Jewish masterminds as already analyzed in the reports: [Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation](#) and [FBI Muslims and Militancy Considerations](#). It is an acknowledged public fact that virtually all prominent neoconservatives beating the primacy war drums of naked aggression, military invasions, instituting sanctions upon Muslim Nations starting from the strangulating sanctions on Iraq after the end of the Cold War until the sanctions on Iran today, and most ferociously leading the vile pack of hectoring hegemony pushing the Big Lie of 9/11, the primary drum beaters of Militant Islam and the War On Terror, are American Jews.

building in an exact timing order which mere chaos or random conditions cannot cause ;

This is the empirical pattern of expert controlled demolition. It is NOT of random structural collapse of a tall building due to multiple, simultaneous, structural failures hypothesized by some narratives couched in scientific sounding presuppositions. An example of this pseudo science is the NIST report explaining WTC-7 collapse through simulation studies which conveniently ignored both accurate structural design of WTC-7 in their simulation model, and made assumptions and presuppositions as if trying their imaginative best to come up with a simulation model that would in fact show the collapse due to the fire! Empiricism prevails over abstract model simulation in real science. No real 47 story building has actually ever collapsed this way due to fire damage as proclaimed by NIST simulation. It is neither reproducible nor falsifiable as a proposition and axiom. Whereas, the empiricism of WTC-7 demolition is reproducible in successful controlled demolitions of tall buildings. This has been witnessed numerous times.

The first explanation of controlled demolition is not just obvious by commonsense observation and reproducible for tall buildings, but by the scientific method known as *Occam's razor principle*, is the first scientifically tenable predicate. This concept harbors the key distinction between axioms of faith and axioms of science. Perhaps the least understood of all scientific jargons, and by stellar scientists themselves who tend to axiomatically presuppose faith in those who fund and feed

The same American Jews also drive America's unfettered support for Israeli Zionism and its state sponsored terrorism in Palestine. These *ubermensch* Jews have as much love lost for the Muslim goy as their fellow tribesmen in Israel demonstrate for the [Christian goy](#).

Indeed, virtually all significant prime-movers who have launched the imperial mobilization of the sole superpower using the Big Lie of 9/11 as the enabling pretext, fronted by their largely Christian political henchman from the White House to Ten Downing Street to other Western state podiums all across Europe, are Jews. It is also intellectual Jews like Bernard Lewis of Princeton University who have posited the doctrinal motivation of clash of civilizations which in turn seeded the Jewish Scholar at Harvard, Samuel Huntington's famous book by that title, both pitting the Judeo-Christian Westernism against the religion of Islam and Muslims with their vile demagoguery. And it is again intellectual Jews like [Noam Chomsky of MIT](#) who have upheld the Big Lie of 9/11 while pretending to dissent with imperial mobilization. See [Exhibit-A](#) and [Songbird or Superman – You Decide!](#) respectively, for the partial list of Jewish names advocating Machiavellian aggression, and those who pretend to dissent with them while willfully echoing their Big Lie.

It is a great WWF wrestling game between manufacturers of consent and manufacturers of dissent, both of whom retain the same core axioms as inviolable truths upon which they each engineer public opinion for their respective flock. All led by Jews, in plainsight.

them, often in the name of national security and expediency, than in the rational predicates which in fact enable the methods of science to forensically discover natural truths, it is explained in: [Disambiguating Religion, Science and Psychological Warfare Operations](#). See sidebar.

The rest is political science of imperial mobilization. That is explained by Zbigniew Brzezinski in his 1997 book: *The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy And Its Geostrategic Imperatives*. The overarching agenda disguised as the exercise of American Primacy and incurring the hatred of the world where today Americans traveling overseas find it more prudent to pretend to be Canadians, is nothing short of creating a World Government. That is explained by Carroll Quigley in his 1966 ode to the financial oligarchy: *Tragedy & Hope: A History of the World in Our Time*.

The foisting of plausible sounding unfalsifiable academic theories upon the public mind to support the a priori conclusion of random collapse due to multiple structural failures, all in the name of science and engineering simulation by respected scientific bodies, is part and parcel of the same Big Lie. Which is why hastily removing the actual evidence from the crime scene before forensic investigators could examine the debris, was so necessary. It made it easier to fashion pseudo scientific theories to surround the detractors of the Big Lie with an abundance of “beneficial cognitive diversity”. The zombie American public with their superfluous faith in their government wouldn't know the difference between science and pseudo science. Their immediate

Incestuous self-reinforcement has been taken to dizzying new heights to foster the Orwellian paradigm of full spectrum thought control of the public mind. Their impact in collectively echoing the Big Lie of 9/11 is already monumental. For, upon its criminal foundations, not only imperial mobilization for constructing a new World Order on the planet that has already seen the immediate construction of police-state worldwide (most shockingly in the leading western nations like the United States and the United Kingdom), but also the long term sanctification of a new global theology to be passed on from generation to generation diabolically maligning Islam as the inevitable road to 9/11 against which the freedom-loving West only waged its “just war” in reaction, as the official history books are already recording all across the world, have been most cunningly built.

Generations across the world are going to grow up believing this Big Lie of 9/11. Students today, from elementary schools to universities, are already being taught that *Ali Baba* fable as fact. Ominous warning is issued to the public through the moving lips of the [president of the United States](#) to not challenge or even debate that official “fact”.

As we dare to speak the unpopular truth, that the ruling Jews today are spearheading the imperial drive to legitimize the Global War on Terror on Muslim nations using all the political and psychological tools of persuasion at their disposal, the full paragraph from Mein Kampf only quoted abridged in the *Matching Quote* in the definition of the Big Lie above, has to now be reproduced.

compliance with the official narrative and the national authority figures of science and technology instead of with their own commonsense, would achieve the intended *fait accompli*. That evidently is also a most alien political science concept for America's vast *scientific literates corps*, let alone for its gullible public graduating from its vast public school systems at the rate of one million a year. Fortunately, this concept was elegantly explained to the New York Times by the White House senior advisor to president George W. Bush:

“We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.” --- Senior Bush Advisor, The New York Times, October 17, 2004

Well, diligently studying what the “history's actors” actually do with forces and motivations both hidden and unhidden, right alongside the preceding most elementary reasoning on the observations of the naked eye which overwhelmingly indicates controlled demolition as the most straightforward scientific explanation, leads to the realization that WTC-7 must have been secretly pre-wired for controlled demolition well before its actual demolition moment at approx. 5:20 pm on September 11, 2001.

This means WTC-7's controlled demolition was by

For, the full passage brings to bear a historical pattern, of cunningly legitimizing the waging of wars, above its propaganda noise:

“But it remained for the Jews, with their unqualified capacity for falsehood, and their fighting comrades, the Marxists, to impute responsibility for the downfall precisely to the man who alone had shown a superhuman will and energy in his effort to prevent the catastrophe which he had foreseen and to save the nation from that hour of complete overthrow and shame. By placing responsibility for the loss of the world war on the shoulders of Ludendorff they took away the weapon of moral right from the only adversary dangerous enough to be likely to succeed in bringing the betrayers of the Fatherland to Justice. **All this was inspired by the principle--which is quite true in itself--that in the big lie there is always a certain force of credibility; ...**” --- Adolf Hitler, Ibid.

Adolf Hitler, in contradiction to popular belief among Western academics, journalists, and statesmen alike, was not the inventor of the construct of the Big Lie. The head of the German Christian *master race* attributed the Big Lie to the Jews and not to his own genius for propaganda. Hitler berated this group of liars for having crafted the myths to confer legitimacy to the merciless strangulation and destruction of Germany by the Allies of World War I with the Big Lie. As quoted in the report on the [Mighty Wurlitzer](#), Hitler also admitted how he mastered the craft of his enemies:

pre-meditated intent and not just by the happenstance of 9/11. Or, as the officialdom likes to narrate unofficially, due to the explosive and cataclysmic destruction of WTC-1 and WTC-2 towers which caused incidental damages to the surrounding buildings, including to WTC-7 creating fires on some of its floors thus requiring to “pull-it” down in that fashion in order to not cause further loss of life. Its advanced pre-wiring for demolition however, is sufficient indication that WTC-7 had long been secretly planned to be “pulled” down. That alone is sufficient to discredit *Ali Baba* of having masterminded 9/11, and I could just stop here. But let's just soldier on explicitly to see how two plus two is added to equal four and not five. This is surely the most frequently asked question on the planet after all.

So when could WTC-7 have been pre-wired for demolition? Certainly not on the same day between 8:50 am onwards in the aftermath of WTC-1 and WTC-2 catastrophic destruction and 5:20 pm. The time and tasks necessary for controlled demolition is explained below.

- Who had the means, motive, and opportunity to pre-wire WTC-7 for demolition before that time in complete secrecy?
- Who had the means, motive and opportunity to issue the command to pre-wire WTC-7 for demolition, to finance it, and all the while carefully protect the demolition prep work of the 47 story occupied building located in the heart of New York City in its most famous public

“I learned something that was important at that time, namely, to snatch from the hands of the enemy the weapons which he was using in his reply. I soon noticed that our adversaries, especially in the persons of those who led the discussion against us, were furnished with a definite repertoire of arguments out of which they took points against our claims which were being constantly repeated. The uniform character of this mode of procedure pointed to a systematic and unified training. And so we were able to recognize the incredible way in which the enemy's propagandists had been disciplined, and I am proud to-day that I discovered a means not only of making this propaganda ineffective but of beating the artificers of it at their own work. Two years later I was master of that art.” --- Adolph Hitler, *Mein Kampf*, Vol. 2, Chapter VI, *Ibid*.

Well, it is an art and craft brazenly put in action replay today by the ruling Jews who are once again spearheading the manufacturing of myths to confer legitimacy to the merciless strangulation and destruction of not just Muslim nations in this perpetual Global War On Terror (labeled World War IV by a former director of the CIA), but also spearheading the construction of police state USA. All across the world, their surrogate and infantile political leaderships are rushing to implement America's iron-fisted global policies for inflicting police state on their own nations in the name of fighting insurgents and domestic terrorists.

landmark, the World Trade Center, from any public disclosure?

- Who can command such unfettered and unchallenged access to WTC-7, bring to bear such skilled military-grade demolition expertise, and command full secrecy during the prep work and afterwards?

First let's see what it would take to pre-wire a building for perfect demolition into its own footprint with gravity collapse at free fall speed as witnessed in the WTC-7 demolition footage.

As elementary logic would substantiate, it takes several weeks, if not months, of preparation for a controlled demolition such as this: to go floor by floor with the building structural plans in hand; to wire each of those steel-concrete beams and columns with timed demolition charges as is seen in the case for condemned buildings. These buildings are always vacant and the faux ceilings and walls are torn down first in order access the steel substructure underneath that supports the building. Even a slight mistake in timing of individual explosive charges, the demolition sequence experiencing any unexpected latency in receiving the firing command at some explosive charges in relation to other explosive charges, or getting out of sequence in the pre-determined exact firing order, or misfiring, or not firing at all, and the building risks not collapsing perfectly into its own footprint as opposed to what is witnessed in the perfect WTC-7 demolition footage.

The building may tilt on one side or another, or the floors below may not collapse completely in

The world has been reduced to a fully *controlled chaos* solely based on that one principal axiomatic Big Lie of 9/11 – that Muslim terrorists wielding *Militant Islam* attacked America.

The craft of propaganda based on the Big Lie perfected by Adolph Hitler, but not invented by him, has the public mind today accepting absurdities upon absurdities, and consequently easily acquiescing to atrocities inflicted upon others as well as upon themselves by the global superstate in the making, in the name of protecting the world's public from terrorists. Just witnessing what is transpiring at [American Airports](#) must make the nation's founders weep profusely in their grave.



the correct time order to enable the free-fall effect as witnessed in the WTC-7 demolition footage to the floors above, and instead create physical resistance which prop up the floors above and retard their collapse, or exhibit some other asymmetry due to imperfections in the demolition strategy, design, or its execution.

To be fully symmetric and perfectly controlled gravity collapse into its own footprint as witnessed in the WTC-7 demolition footage, none of the aforementioned errors and mistakes can transpire. The explosive cutter charges that cut the steel beams and columns from the building structure itself, and from each other, have to be correctly and expertly inserted floor by floor, beam

by beam and column by column on every floor, correctly and expertly timed in the design of its firing sequence with military grade precision, and correctly and expertly set-off with some kind of remote control demolition control system housed outside of the target building. This too must perform flawlessly with military grade precision once the button is pressed to initiate the demolition, regardless of the harshness and chaos of the operating environment such as was present throughout that day on September 11, 2001.

While all this demolition prep work is going on in the building, no civilians can be roaming about the building or sitting in the offices – the legal consequences of being injured alone ensure vacant buildings being an integral part of best practice of controlled demolition prep work. This is why any condemned building to be torn down with controlled demolition is first vacated of all its occupants, their belongings removed, and the site secured to not pose any danger from the dust and flying debris of tearing down the facades during the prep work. If the building has asbestos insulation, the demolition teams must also protect themselves with mask and breathing equipment.

Dismantle that one Big Lie and it all comes crashing down. Which is why the American president asserts don't challenge it! And Americans, scholars and laity alike, comply. Do these handful of *ubermensch* liars trying to orchestrate global governance with global war-mongering, full spectrum propaganda systems, and in full control of key levers of power of Western states which puts Western militaries and Western budgets at their free disposal, think that Muslims have none among them today who can stand up to their Big Lie? Would they rather that we all lie down and submit quietly like the self-serving *house niggers* they are used to from the era of the East India Company?

Is it a fact that OBL invaded the United States with box-cutter knives, demolished its landmark structures, and created police-state USA as his final revenge on America? What is the epistemology of that official “fact”, except for the fiat of power to control the narrative? How can one apply the “scientific method” to this inquiry to uncover the truth of the matter? Especially when this dogma has so rapidly been sanctified into state religion of the United States of America in much the same vein as the Holocaust narrative has been sanctioned as the state religion of Israel and today dominates the ethos of the Jews?

All WTC buildings, including WTC-7, are known to have had asbestos insulation.

Such dangerous demolition prep work in an occupied building can only be performed in full secrecy in the guise of building maintenance and renovation, floor by floor, where the entire floor is evacuated, or portions compartmentalized and isolated for the prep work. The demolition prep work simply cannot be performed in the presence of occupants, even if their physical safety is of no particular consideration during the actual demolition such as in a covert-ops inflicted upon an enemy.

As even an iota of rational thinking betrays to any sensible mind, getting hold of the building structural plans, planning the demolition strategy, doing the actual detailed design of bringing the building down symmetrically in a controlled manner with precise timing controls, performing the actual prepping of the building for demolition according to the design, is therefore a many-man, many-month, very specialized and very skilled professionals demolition project!

These professionals mainly only exist in the military, and even the civilian firms involved in structural demolition invariably employ only professionals with such skilled training for these very specialized tasks.

It is not an exercise that can be performed by a rag-tag band of religious Koran-totting jihadis living in the Hindu Kush mountains of Afghanistan who we are told learnt to fly on flight simulators only, without the desire to learn how to land, successfully hijacked four airliners simultaneously

The Occam's razor principle in science, the gift of the Renaissance Period in the Middle Ages to modern civilization, is the foundation of what is called the "scientific method". That method entails (1) gathering empirical evidence, (2) making a hypothesis, (3) testing the hypothesis. The Occam's razor principle is to formulate the bare minimum and simplest possible axioms, or presuppositions if you will, necessary for theorizing empiricism; assumptions and presuppositions upon which a hypothesis and its theorizing are based but which cannot be proved to be true, and are therefore assumed to be true with the possibility of falsification.

Falsification means that the axiom or presupposition can be shown to be false by experimentation, observation, or logic. The burden of falsification is upon those who do not accept the truth of the presupposition. The axioms in the "scientific method" are held to be true until shown to be false by someone. Precisely because proving the "truth" on fundamental fronts is always harder and often borders on beliefs. Since it is also true that a general negative cannot be demonstrated, as Carroll Quigley pointed out in his exposition on applying the Occam's razor principle to social sciences in his epistemological book: *The Evolution of Civilizations – An introduction to Historical Analysis*, "we are entitled to make that general negative assumption under the rule of the simplicity of scientific hypothesis, and to demand refutation of such an assumption by specific positive proof."

with just box-cutter knives in the most armed to the teeth sole superpower on earth, experienced no interdiction by NORAD that is 24x7 on guard to protect the skies of the American nation from sea to shinning sea, and rammed two of these airliners at 500 mph into WTC-1 and WTC-2 towers causing them to catastrophically experience full structural collapse at near free fall speed in a mushrooming cloud of dust and smoke, the spectacle of disintegration of millions of tons of steel-concrete structure into instant dust never before witnessed in the history of recorded time, while WTC-7 was brought down by the jihadis by *prayers to Allah* alone, also in nearly free fall gravity collapse that appears identical to many successful controlled demolition of tall structures seen in Las Vegas and elsewhere around the world. But it is of course the venerable exercise in fable creation which remains unsurpassed in the annals of adventures of the Greek Hercules and the Mesopotamian Ali Baba added together!

Refer back to the dictionary definition of the Big Lie: a false statement of outrageous magnitude employed as a propaganda measure in the belief that a lesser falsehood would not be credible.

An absurdity so monstrous that it is inevitably believed; with copious help from experts, liars, and the many disciples of Machiavelli and Mephistopheles, all playing the tunes of the [Mighty Wurlitzer](#) in synchronicity as is witnessed for the Big Lie of 9/11.

Returning to the first basic question that immediately springs to the mind of an honest man

The difference between axiomatic presumption of truth in the “scientific method” and in religion, while common to both, is singular. Religion permits no falsification of its axioms. Whereas scientific axioms are in fact contingent on their being falsifiable, which is how man's understanding of the natural and physical world, and all things amenable to the “scientific method” of observation and data collection, theorizing and testing, is advanced. And once deemed false, these falsified axioms are abandoned, or circumscribed to their applicable limits from their generalization as warranted. Whereas, the axioms of religion remain beyond reproach. A religion dies if its axioms are falsified, or even falsifiable. Which is why religion stays frozen in time. Science dies without having falsifiable axioms and would transform into religion. The march of science requires incremental refinement of axioms, or their complete abandonment. And therein the real difficulty commences:

What is empirical data on which we make scientific observations and theorizing – how do we define data? (1) Is it data that is born from official narratives? (2) Is it data that is recovered from declassified documents? (3) Is it the data that is easily accessible to scientists so that the “scientific method” can be applied to it? At some point in that process of defining what is data, and specifically, when data is not directly generated by the observing scientist, nor directly accessible to him, nor directly reproducible by him, axioms must come into play. Axioms that are presumptive, simplest, and falsifiable, by definition of Occam's razor.

and honest woman: When was such prep work done by demolition experts for WTC-7? Specifically, as the force of logic dictates for an occupied building, under the subterfuge of building maintenance? Once again:

- Who had the means, motive, and opportunity to prep WTC-7 well before 9/11?
- Who had unfettered access to the building months and years prior to 9/11?
- Who had been authorized, and by whom, to perform building maintenance and renovation in the years and months prior to 9/11 on WTC-7?

Not this *Herculean Ali Baba* named Osama Bin Laden, and not with his band of merry men labeled Al Qaeda. The fabled warriors of *Militant Islam* did not have access to WTC-7, with the building fully occupied, and by intelligence agencies no less on some of its floors.

This basic fact-check of *Ali Baba* neither having the means, nor the opportunity, to access WTC-7 for wiring it secretly for expert flawless demolition as witnessed, even if *Ali Baba* had dreamed of its destruction since his childhood, eliminates him altogether! It also eliminates him as merely a crank seeking publicity if he even dared to accept responsibility for it.

This is the intellectual value of this rejection criterion: means, motive, and opportunity. All three have to be valid and conclusive in order to even

When this domain impinges on social engineering and social sciences however, a fuzzy “trust” factor gets coupled to the construction of the axiom, such as trust in government, trust in its institutions, trust in its authority figures that they don't lie, trust that all data is as it appears, that there are no such constructs as the Machiavelli, the Hegelian Dialectic, and diabolical perception management, all of which have the tendency to induce group-think which is more akin to religious faith than to falsifiable scientific axioms.

Those scientists and scholars who remain oblivious to this reality end up upholding unverifiable beliefs in the name of science and scholarship. That is called pseudo science when scientists pursue it, and religion when the clergy pursue it. All the “how” narratives of officialdom on WTC destruction is precisely pseudo science. Principally because all its axioms have become religion, beyond the pale of scientific falsification. With no WTC debris data available to scientists to analyze, theorize, and test, specifically, test the axioms of state of how it was done, and the president of the United States even warning the world not to question the axioms of state, science of 9/11 has been transformed into state religion. Its scientific upholders, the high priests of this new religion. And like all imperial religions, this one too infected its followers with the drive for imperial mobilization in the name of self-defence; a new *la mission civilisatrice* launched against the *barbarians du jour* – all long-learned lessons of history applied to social engineering and empire building.

deem anyone a real suspect. If any of these factors is not possible or improbable, the suspect is eliminated or his *suspectness* commensurately downgraded. If all these factors have credible preponderance, then more evidence is necessary to convict as just having the means, motive, and opportunity, while necessary, is not sufficient evidence in itself of having committed the crime.

So let's examine some absurdities that occur if it is still asserted by Machiavelli that OBL did it. Our *ubermensch* is now standing on very thin ice --- about to sink.

If it is asserted that OBL operatives secretly wired the building over months, then no one saw them bring in the explosives, no one saw them run around floor by floor tearing the walls and ceilings apart to plant charges raising dust and hell in the process? If it is asserted that the OBL operatives were officially hired to do the building maintenance work then why were they knowingly hired? And if it is asserted that the OBL operatives fooled everyone as they secretly planted the cutter charges as part of the building maintenance crew, and they smuggled the considerable amount of explosives in their underwear, well, we already have the underwear bomber you know,

These baseless and futile assertions if insisted upon by sophists and the weavers of the Big Lie, lead to manifest absurdities piling up one on top of the other by the sheer force of logic and credulity. And therefore, all these hypothetical assertions of OBL secretly accessing WTC-7 with his demolition teams stand rejected by the logic of the argument known as *Reductio ad absurdum*.

First its dictionary meaning:

reductio ad absurdum

noun *Logic*

The wide ranging impact of this new sacred cow state religion of 9/11 far transcends its immediate utility in enabling imperial mobilization and construction of global police state. It is intended to create endless generations worldwide who will grow up believing this Big Lie. And because of it, falsely condemn both Islam and Muslims. It is already fabricating a new "epistemology" for future generation of social scientists, scholars, and clergy, who will write their erudite histories based on these official documents. To stay silent before this intellectual and spiritual onslaught upon a billion plus peoples who today are spread across all nations and civilizations on earth, is no less a war crime than to commit, condone, or stay silent, on war crimes in the killing fields. As boldly admitted by Professor Carroll Quigley in another one of his imposingly subtitled magnum opus, *A History of the World in Our Time*:

"The destructive impact of Western Civilization upon so many other societies rests on its ability to demoralize their ideological and spiritual culture as much as its ability to destroy them in a material sense with firearms."
--- Carroll Quigley, *Tragedy and Hope*

1. a method of disproving a proposition by showing that its inevitable consequences would be absurd
2. a method of indirectly proving a proposition by assuming its negation to be true and showing that this leads to an absurdity
3. application of a principle or proposed principle to an instance in which it is absurd

[Latin, literally: reduction to the absurd]

This method of reasoning is used in courts, just as in philosophy, to see through liars and false witnesses, false arguments and sophistry; a rational contribution of the Hellenic Civilization which the West's Renaissance philosophers employed for forcibly extricating Westerndom out of the Dark Ages of Christianity, and which today the West prides itself on from academe to academe and court room to court room.

Applying *reductio ad absurdum* to our fable to separate fact from fiction indicates that whosoever wired WTC-7 is not whom the 9/11 official narrative apportions the blame for 9/11. In fact, the officials do not even try to explain WTC-7. The official 9/11 inquiry report remained silent on it. And it is shocking to learn that a great many people in the United States are not even aware of WTC-7 demolition. As we have now understood, the infamous *Ali Baba* and his *jihadi band* of Al-Qaeda neither had the means nor the opportunity to prep WTC-7 for such expert controlled demolition. Insisting on that proposition by those *innocent of knowledge* leads to absurd consequences.

This controlled demolition as one can easily observe in the video footage is not mere planting of an uncontrolled bomb in a parked truck as we are told was done once before in the attack on the WTC complex in the 1993. It is far more sophisticated and complex requiring commensurate means and opportunity which rules out OBL.

Advancing the logical observations further, because the multiple demolition events are synchronized in the same one day of catastrophic terror, the *New Pearl Harbor*, they are not random events with WTC-7 demolition coming within hours of WTC-1 and WTC-2 through the thick of dust clouds and complete chaos. Just the fact of that synchronization logically indicates to put the blame on anyone else for the first two demolitions is equally an absurdity. WTC-7 had been pre-wired for demolition and its button pushed during the ensuing chaos of WTC-1 and WTC-2 demolition as part of the *New Pearl Harbor*. It is logical to presume for the master crime of the twenty-first century that all its sub events are related to the same prime-movers and part of the same complex jigsaw puzzle to fashion the *New Pearl Harbor*. To gratuitously presume that WTC-7 advanced pre-wiring for demolition is independent of WTC-1 and WTC-2 is absurd. We can only learn more once it is identified who pre-

wired WTC-7.

The rejection criterion however has already given us sufficient proof. It has ruled out OBL for pre-wiring WTC-7. The rejection criterion has also asserted that there is another culprit who had pre-wired WTC-7 for demolition. To then insist that *Ali Baba* only demolished WTC-1 and WTC-2 by the conglomeration of Big Lies which have gone into creating the one Big Lie of 9/11, again leads to absurd consequences and is a deliberate attempt to protect that criminal who pre-wired WTC-7. Furthermore, now convinced by the logical fact of the matter that there is indeed another hidden culprit (or culprits) who has cleverly camouflaged his trail with an endless trail of red herrings planted to deceive the public, the need to similarly examine the equally bizarre and sophisticated demolition of WTC-1 and WTC-2 which is claimed to have been catastrophically destroyed due to the two airliners plunging into them starting a runaway chain reaction, opens up a whole new world of criminal inquiry leading to similar fundamental questions as examined for WTC-7. When we further delve into the devious money trail, the WTC insurance payback trail, the ownership of WTC transfer trail, the WTC tenant occupancy trail, etc., none of which has any pertinence to al-Qaeda's *militant Islam*, considerable spotlight is shed on the secondary and tertiary financial motives behind WTC demolitions. All told, it brings forth more evidence of hierarchical convolutions in the criminal conspiracy which is directly connected to whoever pre-wired WTC-7. Therefore, any real criminal inquiry into 9/11 can only be suppressed. And at best, yet another "Warren Commission" report issued to sanctify the official narrative, as it was with the 9/11 Commission inquiry report. It is an absurdity to ask for new inquiry from officialdom, both domestic and supranational.

Which is precisely the intent of such pursuits: to introduce delaying tactics with red herrings, knowing fully well that this exercise cannot bear any fruit other than that set in precedence by the Warren Commission to investigate the cold-blooded assassination of JFK. That fatal warning shot to the rebellious American president's head, has been heard loud and clear across time and space to chill all significant dissent by anyone in power with the priorities of the fuzzy national security state which is itself beholden to the oligarchic drive to global government. The extensive bibliography on this subject is so significant, and so plentiful, that those who proclaim ignorance of it in their imposing oratory become part of the many Big Lies and Hegelian Dialectics manufactured to achieve it.

Nevertheless, we in the public can see that once the Big Lie is dismantled for WTC-7, then that automatically leads the mind that is able to reason – which is the minimal requirement for jury duty in the United States and upon which its entire edifice of public trial by the jury of peers is constitutionally based – to also take a more scrutinizing look at the narrative surrounding WTC-1 and WTC-2, and the entire 9/11 story as well, from plane hijackings to Pentagon's sacred armor piercing to the layers of camouflage most cunningly put on the entire project to its financial beneficiaries.

We observe that just this one small examination of who had the means, motive, and opportunity to pre-wire WTC-7 for controlled demolition, is like a child tugging on the loose thread of a wool sweater: it unravels the entire Big Lie of 9/11.

Just as we observed with a layman's scrutinizing eye the news footage video clip of WTC-7 demolition and made some basic empirical observations which anyone among the jury of peers sitting in on judgment over a crime is entitled and able to make without requiring a doctorate in nuclear physics, performing the same experiment with the news footage clips of [WTC-1](#) and [WTC-2](#) is similarly revealing and is left as homework exercise for the reader.

As for the question of what's the overarching motive when OBL's *Islamofascism* as the motive is ruled out for WTC-7's pre-wiring, it has already been explained, over and over again, how this Big Lie of 9/11 has been used for imperial mobilization as "operation canned goods". The latter covert operation was devised by Adolf Hitler as his Big Lie in order to launch the Third Reich's imperial mobilization for its German *Lebensraum*. The motive today is already crystal clear and amply documented in *before the fact* books and think-tank reports, such as Zbigniew Brzezinski's *Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives*, and PNAC's report on *Rebuilding America's Defenses* which was signed by America's political Who's Who, both of which argued years before 9/11 of the necessity of a *New Pearl Harbor* without which imperial mobilization would remain stillborn.

Since imperial mobilization is precisely what the sole superpower did post 9/11, it acted on its own pre-advertised primacy imperatives, it is straightforward to assert as veritable statement of fact that the primary motive behind 9/11 being the manufacture of *New Pearl Harbor*. And the masterful public disguise around the Big Lie of a threat to the public sense of well-being from *Ali Baba* being an essential necessity for launching and sustaining that process of imperial mobilization because "democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization". This is all spelled out chapter and verse in Brzezinski's aforementioned book. Robert H. Jackson, the chief prosecuting counsel for the United States, had asserted no less at the Military War Crimes Tribunal at Nuremberg before condemning the Nazi leadership to death, by showing them their own a priori plans for aggression:

"The plans of Adolf Hitler for aggression were just as secret as Mein Kampf, of which over six million copies were published in Germany." --- Robert H. Jackson, Nuremberg

What remains to learn is Who had the means and the opportunity to carry out the WTC demolitions. Who does the finger point to? What evidence can be brought to bear upon it?

For those unable to read and analyze political science and history, just that one WTC-7 examination opens up the Pandora's box of inquiry into means and opportunity which the Big Liars of 9/11 simply cannot close regardless of what new lies and sophistry they spin or what assault on the human senses they inflict. It is the peerless sword of the intellect that all human beings possess which demolishes them trivially in their Big Lie. But it is only the courage of one's convictions which they mostly remain afraid of. The public knowing without acting on that knowledge is evidently okay for status quo since the evergreen trap of bread and circuses is sufficient to neutralize any knowing.

A most preeminent moral scholar of the United States of America wrote to me the following statement in April 2008 in an email conversation. Since he had written me a private letter and stated that it was for my own benefit (lest I be led astray), because I had written to him earlier to reexamine the demolition of WTC-1, WTC-2, and WTC-7, my interlocutor's identity is not revealed. The passage below captures what is meant by sophistry in support of a priori presupposition:

“Suppose it turns out that there was a controlled demolition. Then the interesting question is: who does the finger point to? The answer is obvious: Osama bin Laden. Al-Qaeda had the capacity: they came very close to blowing up the WTC in 1993. Unlike the Bush administration, they have little to lose if exposed (for the Bush-ites it would be a catastrophe) and a great deal to gain by implicating Saudis, their main enemy (again, for the Bush-ites that's a disaster: it undermined their alleged goal of laying the basis for attacking Iraq -- to do that they'd obviously have implicated Iraqis; it seriously harmed relations with a highly valued ally; and it caused them the extreme embarrassment of having to fly Saudi businessmen out of the country in violation of their closure of airspace -- which appears to indicate that they couldn't have known about it). But I have no particular interest in evidence that al-Qaeda was responsible for blowing up the WTC, and do not understand why the TM is so fixated on trying to establish the obvious conclusion from the thesis that the WTC was destroyed by a controlled demolition.” --- Email letter to Zahir Ebrahim from a preeminent scholar of the United States of America, Mon, Apr 21, 2008 at 8:18 PM

The first statement: “*Suppose it turns out that there was a controlled demolition. Then the interesting question is: who does the finger point to? The answer is obvious: Osama bin Laden.*”, coming from the finest analytical mind of America has already been refuted above with **reductio ad absurdum**. The only entity that the finger cannot point to is in fact Osama bin Laden. The means and opportunity yardstick of credibility and culpability already examined in reference to WTC-7 above absolves *Mr. Ali Baba* of having anything to do with its destruction. The rest of the sentences in that passage are making fallacious arguments with extraneous data and disingenuous observations to

support an a priori conclusion which is sanctified to remain beyond question. Just as the [president of the United States](#) asserts it must remain so. This highly anointed scholar of America goes along with the president of the United States. He further pretends to have never heard of false flag covert ops under the cover of “plausible deniability”. He pretends not to be able to distinguish between manufactured fact for propaganda fodder vs. the reality of the situation despite being an expert on the matter. And he pretends not to be able distinguish between the means and opportunity needed for the ostensibly botched amateurish **uncontrolled demolition** attempt of 1993 with a supposed truck bomb which conveniently opened the opportunity for reconstruction and renovation vs. the means and opportunity required for the flawless **expert controlled demolition** of 2001.

It would be forgivable if an ordinary street fellow offered such an analysis.

Here is another preeminent scholar of America commenting on the 9/11 fable in his public talk. It is the world renowned dissenting professor of history and author of the best selling work of American history: People's History of the United States, the late Howard Zinn. This Jewish scholar of American history is dear to me because in 2003 when no one would review my maiden manuscript, Prof. Howard Zinn read it twice (!) on a cold call from me to his Boston home, and even wrote me a commendation letter to give to publishers when I asked him for one several months later after being repeatedly turned down by American publishers. This is what Prof. Zinn stated publicly in November 2008:

“Of course as I told you, I never believe the government, or rarely believe the government. Do I believe the government version of what happened? Well, I am skeptical. Do I believe that the government was in the conspiracy to do this? I don't know. I don't know enough about the situation, and the truth is, I don't care that much. That's past. ... the whole argument that the people are engaged in, about, was the government behind a conspiracy to blow up the two towers, to me that's a diversion from what we really have to do, deal with the fact that whatever, whoever was behind 9/11, the government took advantage of that, to take us to war, and to put us on a disastrous course, and it's that war, those wars, that disastrous course we have to deal with. I don't want to go back to the controversy that I think is endless controversy, and just gets in the way of dealing with the immediate situation.” --- Howard Zinn, November 2008, [Howard Zinn: “I Don't Care” If 9/11 Was An Inside Job](#), watch [video](#).

Note that Prof. Howard Zinn, like all the other preeminent dissent chiefs of the United States, also does not care to deal with the prime-mover first cause, the 9/11 Big Lie. He instead prefers just to focus on its immediate after effect: the imperial mobilization. This anomaly is seen repeatedly in

preeminent dissent scholarship today which misleads and misguides the public into believing the Big Lie as the key presupposition upon which the rest of the vigorous debate of democracy is constructed. After all, their favorite scholars, actors, leaders, clergy, including even the dissent-chiefs are all saying the same thing. How can they all be wrong? Truth in a democracy is democratic, right?

Well, now the reader can see for himself that all of them are not just wrong, but deliberately part of the Big Lie no differently than in the Third Reich under Adolf Hitler where that world too sang the same chorus in one voice under the unassailable hubris of victory. As recorded by William Shirer, an American war correspondent stationed in Berlin on the eve of World War II, in his diaries and reproduced in his monumental work published in 1960, *The Rise and Fall of the Third Reich*:

“Hitler knew the answer well. Had he not the week before on his Bavarian mountaintop promised the generals that he would **'give a propagandist reason for starting the war'** and admonished them not to 'mind whether it was plausible or not'? **'The victor'**, he had told them, **'will not be asked afterward whether he told the truth or not. In starting and waging a war it is not the right that matters, but victory.'**” --- William Shirer quoting Adolf Hitler, *The Rise and Fall of the Third Reich*

This singing pattern of the same Big Lie, willfully echoed throughout the world in multiple voices in our pretenses of democracy which laudingly permits dissent instead of the single voice in the Third Reich's iron-fisted dictatorship which did not, but under similar unassailable hubris of no fear of accountability, no fear of military war crimes tribunals, and no fear of death sentences accruing to vulgar propagandists, has been explained in nauseating detail in the following missives:

[1] [Sanctification of the 9/11 Narrative](#)

[2] [Open Letter to Noam Chomsky](#)

[3] [Response to Chris Hedges' amalgam of half-truths 'A Decade After 9/11: We Are What We Loathe'](#)

[4] [The Dying Songbird](#)

[5] [Songbird or Superman – You Decide!](#)

[6] [Detailed Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer May 2009 – March 2014](#)

[7] [Detailed Report on Manufacturing Dissent June 2008 – September 2013](#)

[Submitted to MIT Technology Review and MIT Spectrum magazines](#) as an alum submission, of how scientists and scholars today subvert both science and religion in the service of empire no differently than they did in the Third Reich. Perhaps that does not happen at MIT? Then surely *MIT Technology Review* would print this article to demonstrate how we live in a free society where this does not and cannot happen among MIT scientists and professors of engineering training its next generation of scientists and engineers. Also submitted to the *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, *IEEE magazine*, *The Chronicle of Higher Education*. I further intend to send this in personal email to all living Nobel Laureates. You are invited to send this to your alma mater newspaper, to discuss it in your classroom, and to counter it with your most scholarly aptitude. Give it your best shot! Unless this analysis is brought into the corridors of mainstream science and social science, it will remain stillborn, preaching mainly to the choir. Please lend a hand. Send it to your local newspapers. Let the scholars of empire in every nation refute it. That will only happen when it is published in mainstream.

About The Author

The author, a justice activist, MIT graduate in EECS, formerly a Silicon Valley systems architect (see engineering patents at <http://tinyurl.com/zahir-patents>), founded Project Humanbeingsfirst.org in the aftermath of 9/11 as The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons. He was, mercifully, most imperfectly educated in the United States of America, which might explain how he escaped the fate of “likkha-parrha-jahils” (literate morons) mass produced from its vast manufacturing consent complexes with all his neurons still intact, and still firing on all cylinders. Bio at <http://zahirebrahim.org>. Email: humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com . Verbatim reproduction license at: <http://humanbeingsfirst.org/#Copyright>.

Footnotes

[a] WTC-7 CBS News Footage Video Clip URL:

https://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/wtc_7_cbs.mpg

[b] WTC-1 Demolition News Footage Video Clip URL:

https://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/north_tower_collapse.mpeg

[c] WTC-2 Demolition News Footage Video Clip URL:

https://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/south_tower_collapse.mpeg

[d] President Obama News Footage Video Clip URL: [http://www.youtube.com/watch?](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kvg65o7z028)

[v=kvg65o7z028](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kvg65o7z028)

[e] American Historian and million copy best selling author of *A People's History of the United*

States: 1492 to Present, Howard Zinn, Video Clip: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S-WQ5z53IW8>

[f] List of Jews and other neoconservatives including Christians, and at least one Muslim carrying water for the *white man's burden*, who have spearheaded crimes of aggression against Muslim nations disguised in the prerogative of hubris which had also infected Adolph Hitler in his invasion of Poland: self-defence against terrorists who attacked the Fatherland, also known as the self-inflicted *Operation Canned Goods*: <http://prisonersofthecave.blogspot.com/2007/04/exhibita.html>

[g] Jews demonizing Islam: <http://faith-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/08/hijacking-word-islam-mantra-creation.html>

[h] Jews training the FBI to demonize Islam: <http://faith-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/09/fbi-muslims-and-militancy.html>

[i] Jews demonizing Christianity – not in the distant antiquity but today while the goy cheers them on against Islam and Muslims by burning copies of the Holy Qur'an: <http://pressreleases-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/05/pr-bible-burning-in-zionistan-may252008.html>

[j] For an excellent examination of the Occam's razor principle see exposition by Carroll Quigley in *The Evolution of Civilizations – An introduction to Historical Analysis*: <http://carrollquigley.net> . In his academic study of applying the pristine methods of physical science to historiography and social science, Quigley unfortunately omitted all considerations actually witnessed when human beings become engaged in any pursuit, including science with its singular underwriting in mega funding. This includes fostering agendas in the guise of pursuing science and scholarship, using methods borrowed from Machiavelli such as deception, and the military such as psychological warfare operation, all of which tune available data, and even fabricate data, to foster a synthetic worldview in the outcome for herding the public mind as presciently demonstrated by Plato in his seminal allegory *The Myth of the Cave*. Carroll Quigley neglected to articulate the most important consideration for the objective pursuit of any discovery, of reality, of nature, physical, social, political, and history: that a scientist under pertinent circumstances must treat all data as potentially suspect, just as Sherlock Holmes would do, replete with false clues, red herrings, impostors, perception management, all of

which contrive to mask not only motivation and causality, but also distort and fabricate what de facto comes to be known as “fact”. Is it a fact that OBL invaded the United States with box-cutter knives, demolished its landmark structures, and created police-state USA as his final revenge on America? What is the epistemology of that officially documented “fact”, except for the fiat of power to control the narrative? This narrative is what the history books are penning today in its salted variations --- how would Dr. Carroll Quigley, president William Jefferson Clinton's professor at Georgetown University's famous School of Foreign Service, mentor to generations of United States State Department Corps Diplomatique, uncover the truth of that matter without forensic examination of the subject? And how might he even do so with the advantage of distance of time which historians seek, but when there is no actual evidence remaining except competing imperial narratives all echoing the same Big Lie? Which is why, more often than not, the truth of Henry Ford's poignant wit: “History is more or less bunk. It's tradition.”, betrays a far more intractable epistemological problem than is acknowledged by professional historians who make a living parsing official narratives. Even though, a passing nod to the recognition of some aspects of the epistemological problem is made by Carroll Quigley in his opus magnum titled *Tragedy and Hope*: “sensible historians usually refrain from writing accounts of very recent events because they realize that the source material for such events, especially the indispensable official documents, are not available and that, even with the documentation which is available, it is very difficult for anyone to obtain the necessary perspective on events of one's own mature life.” Indeed, what also precludes the necessary perspective is to not be cognizant that no intellectual pursuit which is funded from political considerations, from writing histories from official documents to pursuing science and social science, whether funded from public monies or private, whether pursued by governmental bodies or private institutions, can claim exemption from this hard epistemology. Those arguing otherwise, especially with self-righteous claims of scientific objectivity and moral clarity without recognizing these intractable difficulties, mainly end up with a crippled epistemology which only helps serve political agendas rather than advance the state of understanding of the subject matter. We have seen this repeat time and again just in the last hundred years --- from the political drive to institute the agenda of eugenics based on pseudo science at the turn of the last century, to the political drive to get global warming accepted based on pseudo science to push the agenda of population reduction and carbon credit at the turn of this century. What science is funded, and what isn't, is based on political and narrow self-interests of its funders, an observation which is beyond doubt, it is self-evident. A most brazen and contemporary example, no not from the Dark Ages of Christianity but from the modern Western civilization of today, is the breakthrough research in Cold Fusion by Pons and Fleischmann (then one of the world's leading electrochemists) which was hurriedly squelched by denigrating it as *Junk Science* at the end of the last century by governmental laboratories led by the US Department of Energy and the White House. The incredible haste with which the United States government during

George H. W. Bush's tenure as its commander in chief, got involved in a purely scientific matter is telling in itself. Instead of letting the “scientific method” take its due course to accept or reject the discoveries of Cold Fusion, the following [photograph](#) shows the establishment scientists commissioned by George H. W. Bush Sr. to attack Cold Fusion. This is Martin Fleischmann's [reflections](#) a decade later in the year 2000, on how a potentially paradigm altering science discovery which went against the grain of the establishment's priorities was treated by other scientists: *Reflections on the Sociology of Science and Social Responsibility in Science, in Relationship to Cold Fusion*. Fleischmann concluded with a forensic question which he left to the readers to answer for themselves: “Nevertheless, one must ask oneself the question: suppose that one would wish to frustrate research within a given field of research, without wishing to admit that this is ones intent. Then would one not take the steps which have been illustrated by the example of "Cold Fusion"?” And we see the same conformity of thought to “United We Stand” with the establishment in its drive to push the absurd official narrative that is underwriting the political Global War on Terror today proclaimed as [World War IV](#), which, it is similarly argued by imposing science credentials once again, including by tacit acceptance or silence of Nobel laureates in the sciences, the arts, and humanities alike, is based on the establishment's “science of 9/11”. A similar question as asked by Martin Fleischmann may be asked here --- and for which the establishmentarian social scientist par excellence, Dr. Carroll Quigley, left the world of scholarship no epistemological guidance. That most cunningly omitted forensic guidance to give the state a blank check and to foster “religious” faith in its “official documents”, is provided in this tiny footnote! It is left to the reader to decide.

[k] For empirical evidence and further analysis of WTC-1 and WTC-2 towers also being controlled demolition by way of the visible modality of their well-timed and expertly synchronized free-fall demolition where millions of tons of concrete-steel structure is seen on mainstream news broadcast video tapes to be inexplicably vaporized into finely powdered dust in mid air before the demolition wave even reaches the ground, see 911 Revisited 2013 - The Evidence Once Again, <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2013/01/911-revisited-2013-evidence-once-again.html>

[m] For an examination of the never before witnessed phenomenon of how or why the millions of tons of steel-concrete structures just instantly vaporized, exploded, top-down into finely powdered dust in mid air, called 'dustification' by Judy Wood, quite unlike the bottom-up controlled demolition of WTC-7 with gravity assist that is also seen typically in all controlled demolition with explosive charges that cut the underlying columns simultaneously so that all floors descend pretty much intact at free-fall speed until they finally hit the ground in a cloud of dust, see Comment on Judy Wood's 'The New Hiroshima', <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/04/comment-on-judywoods-new-hiroshima.html>

[n] The preeminent scholar of United States of America quoted in the text shall remain anonymous because these comments were given to me with the following caveat accompanying them: "Since I see you are writing to many people, let me be clear and explicit that this is for you alone. ... So this is not for distribution. I trust that's agreed." Because of the ubiquity of such public scholars influencing public opinion with exactly the same presuppositions, I feel it necessary to at least expose to the same public these presuppositions which underlie such thought processes without identifying the scholar whose words I have chosen to expose all such mind-sets with. These comments of the preeminent scholar are in fact very much mainstream when anyone among them is forced to gingerly concede that the modality of WTC-7 demolition does appear to be controlled demolition. The only real horror for me still is, even so many years later, who this specific scholar is whose words I have used to expose that entire class of propagandists, ahem, opinion-makers. These opinion-makers are indeed most cunning --- for after all is said and done, they still manage to echo the main presuppositions and axioms of empire, be they on the Left, Right, Conservative, Liberal, atheist, theist, government policy antagonist or protagonist, does not matter. They are all in on the 'conspiracy' to ensure that regardless of what else they may say, write, speak, they shall always hold sacred all the untouchable axioms of empire as long as is deemed necessary or judicious.

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2014/04/faq-prove-that-911-narrative-is-big-lie.html>

Alternate URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2014/06/wtc-7-demolition-and-big-lie-of-911.html>

Homepage URL: <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/p/911-sacred-cow-of-science.html>

PDF URL: <http://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/faq-prove-that-911-narrative-is-big-lie-zahirebrahim.pdf>

First Published Thursday, April 17, 2014 11:00 pm | Last Updated Sunday, June 22, 2014 11122 |
Links fixed, new footnotes added, Saturday, January 30, 2016 11592