

# Morality derived from the Intellect leads to Enslavement!

Zahir Ebrahim | Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

December 09, 2009 | Extended Monday, March 30, 2015



This is Project Humanbeingsfirst's response to the moral reflections of an anonymous ordinary mortal using the nom de plume 'lwtc247' on the web, in "The importance and benefits of self honesty". The writer mused:

'When you stand before God to be judged, do you really think at that time you will be able to enter a debate with God about

your behavior? Playing with or bending some words to cover-up or justify your bad deeds? Perhaps a little "white lie" here and there? Do you really think you can deceive God?' [1]

This problem was solved by Nietzsche a long time ago!

There used to be a prominent T-Shirt worn around campus when I was an undergrad, it said in bold:

**God is Dead -- Nietzsche**

(of course I am not going to provide the punch-line that was printed in very fine letters just underneath that, at least not just yet!)

The German philosopher found God dead for the more keen of intellect among mankind, the superman, *Übermensch*, *über alles*; Plato's philosopher-king no longer bound by God but his own "will to power" to become his own god. [2]

As god, the superman is beyond the confines of good and evil, beyond the calculus of conventional morality, and thus is freed to redefine what the word “morality” means with his own superior intellect for the rest of mankind, the *untermensch*, who have not yet evolved, or refuse to evolve, to that higher state of intelligence that only evolution can bestow. *Hoi polloi* being in the majority, hamper the evolution of man and his societies with their superstitions and must therefore be led and guided by the intellect of the superman. This line of reasoning is the foundation of modern secularism and its new religion, Secular Humanism, the worship of reason instead of some unseen God.

In this missive, I advance the commonsense observation that morality and intellect are two separate things. It is mixing them where people become misled!

Intellect cannot confer upon morality any view other than subjective, and hence relative and arbitrary. The following statement from an 'uber intellect' is a good evidence of this:

“Nothing is more certain in modern society than the principle that there are no absolutes, that a name, a phrases, a standard has meaning only when associated with the considerations which give birth to nomenclature. To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative.” -- Justice Vinson, U.S. Supreme Court, 1951 AD

However, the following algorithm is not just evidence of what I say, but its outright proof. This proof is furnished by the 'uber uber' atheist of the 20th century, i.e., the most fanatical God is Dead exponent, Mr. Bertrand Russell. I can't recall the exact chapter and verse, but it goes something like this.

Bertrand Russell's morality synthesis exclusively from the intellect:

~'Maximize individual happiness (pleasures) while minimizing social conflict (not hitting on another's spouse) to optimize the overall happiness of the people composing the social unit who agree to live by the set of laws which implement this operations-research calculus.' -- Bertrand Russell also noted some caveats for protection of minors and those unable to make choices so that one could not maximize one's pleasures upon them without some institutional safeguards.

Using that highly intellectual morality equation – and I will confess that I have not encountered

a more profound synthesis of morality and law anywhere, and which, on the surface at least, appears rather full of brilliance and minimalism – it would be perfectly acceptable, for instance, to spread Black-death every other generation for population control among other 'untermensch' societies. Or, to create a draconian police-state by re-defining what individual happiness might mean, and conditioning the people to get used to it. As Goethe had observed, **“none are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free”**. In such a society, the people could be kept quite content in their voluntary servitude thus leaving no social conflict whatsoever – and thus culminating in a perfectly stable and rational society.

In this highly intellectual system, also euphemistically called **Secular Humanism**, enslaving the populace by a bunch of wily 'ubermensch' who have craftily chosen not to be constrained in the **“semantic strait-jacket”** alluded to by Judge Vinson quoted above and who accept **“that all concepts are relative”**, that state of affairs would be a perfectly moral outcome. It certainly satisfies Bertrand Russell's intellect-derived morality calculus. And if someone thinks I am making all this up, Bertrand Russell himself concluded in his epiphany to 'uber' intellectual morality, in his 1952 book **“Impact of Science on Society”**, that a Scientific Society, meaning one built on intellect – as obviously imbeciles can't do high-tech science – will automatically culminate in **“World government [which] could only be kept in being by force”**.

Bertrand Russell's superior intellect finds the stability of the global police state desirable as it would also have the other wholesome characteristic that any superior intellect running the world with unlimited force at its disposal would always demand from hoi polloi: absolute obedience! The tools to finally achieve that long held dream to control all human beings on earth by its supermen, only made available in the scientific age.

Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, America's National Security Advisor and the author of the *Carter Doctrine* that gave to the USSR its Vietnam War in Afghanistan in Muslim blood, wrote in his own seminal 1970 book **“Between Two Ages”** of the advent of the scientific society and what that new age portends:

“Life seems to lack cohesion as environment rapidly alters and human beings become increasingly manipulable and malleable. Everything seems more transitory and temporary: external reality more fluid than solid, the human being more synthetic than authentic. Even our senses perceive an entirely novel “reality”—one of our own making but nevertheless, in terms of our sensations, quite “real.” **More important, there is already widespread concern about the**

**possibility of biological and chemical tampering with what has until now been considered the immutable essence of man. Human conduct, some argue, can be predetermined and subjected to deliberate control.** Man is increasingly acquiring the capacity to determine the sex of his children, to affect through drugs the extent of their intelligence, and to modify and control their personalities. Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, **"I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain."** (pg. 12)

Novelist George Orwell depicted that re-semantification of words and language for the full spectrum control of the human mind as "Newspeak" in his famous 1948 dystopian fable "**Nineteen Eighty-four**". Aldous Huxley introduced the "Soma" and being happy in voluntary servitude by the very design of the human beings without the need for overt jackboots on the face of humanity, in his 1931 dystopian fable "A Brave New World". All dystopias fundamentally brought on by the superior intellect of the Übermensch.

Books of atheist philosophers and social scientists aside, we can brazenly observe this exercise of the 'uber' intellect not just in the world government under construction which of course no one believes is happening, but in the Talmud among its own very moral followers which too no one can ever deny unless their lips are moving in chutzpatic confabulations. The Ten Commandments of Moses are intellectually particularized from their universal moral form, by adding an implied "Jew" at the end. Thus, as has been amply exposed by many recovering Jews themselves, **"Thou Shall Not Kill"** is read by many an adherent Talmudic Rabbi as: **"Thou Shall Not Kill [a Jew; killing goy is OK]"**.

And as evidence that this **"hegelian mind fck"** isn't just some historical baggage which happened in the Dark Ages with no bearing to modernity, here is the latest version of the Law Book of Israel: 'The King's Torah!' [3]

For additional examples of this ongoing **"hegelian mind fck"**, please see From Genesis to Genocide in Palestine. [4]

Fundamentally, the questions probed by the anonymous writer lwtc247 have been long solved philosophically, i.e., by using the intellect. Here is a short passage from Leo Strauss which shows just how remarkably easily it has been solved:

'Political Zionism has repeatedly characterized itself as the will to normalize the existence of the Jewish people, to normalize the Jewish people. By this self-definition it has exposed itself to a grave misunderstanding, namely, the misunderstanding that the will to normality was the first word of political Zionism; the most effective criticism of political Zionism rests on this misunderstanding. In truth, the presupposition of the Zionist will to normalization, that is, of the Zionist negation of galut [exile], is the conviction that "the power of religion has been broken". Because the break with religion has been resolutely effected by many individual Jews, and only because of this reason, it is possible for these individuals to raise the question on behalf of their people, how the people is to live from now on. Not that they prostrate themselves before the idol of normality; on the contrary: they no longer see any reason for the lack of normality. And this is decisive: in the age of atheism, the Jewish people can no longer base its existence on God but only on itself alone, on its labor, on its land, and on its state. ...' -- page 202, Leo Strauss, The Early Writings 1921-1932

See its fuller exposition at the link below, but here is the core essence of that morality:

'In simple language which peels off the philosophical-gibberish of **"will to normality"** and such, straightforwardly speaking: god gave the Jews the land grants, anointed them as the 'chosen peoples', and then Nietzsche killed god, and now it's up to the Jewish people who **"can no longer base its existence on God but only on itself alone, on its labor, on its land, and on its state"**, in order to construct their own future **"because the break with religion has been resolutely effected by many individual Jews"** who must now lead their flock!!!' [5]

See how wonderful a solution it is *mes amis*? I hope no one is too sarcasm impaired here.

Not to be outdone by atheists in defining their own super-morality with their uber-intellect, god's chosen theists can even outdo that with learned confabulations – become god themselves:

'... The point is that a Jew has strength, ability and power to create the desire within G-d to accept and become King over the entire creation.

It's understood, that the existence of the entire creation, in truth, is brought about by the Jew's coronation of G-d, and through which He becomes a King

over the entire creation, which ultimately results in the fact that all of creation comes from the Primary being, G-d.

It's obvious that since every Jew, men and even women and children, brings about the existence of the entire creation, they become masters over the world, and thus every single creation owes them recognition for this good.

**Being that through the Jew, all beings were created, he therefore becomes the master over all of them.**

This is especially so in regards to what needs to be accomplished on erev [every?] Rosh Hashana.

Since the judgment of Rosh Hashana is primarily regarding physical matters, as explained in Likutei Torah, therefore the Jew is in complete control, particularly over physical matters.

The physicality of the world itself has to recognize the good that the Jew has accomplished.

Through the Jews they came into being, and their true existence is through their unity with the True Being.

**Since G-d and the Jews are one, each Jew becomes a True Being, and is thus able to bring about all of creation.**

**He therefore has control over all of creation and not only that, but they owe him thanks** and are indeed thankful, for being provided with abundance in physical and especially spiritual matters.' -- Translation of Talmudic reading by a Rabbi, The Coronation of Hashem [6]

Such is the natural culmination of morality when the superior intellect is put in charge of its direction! The sociopaths will always justify the Übermensch. Nietzsche of course called it "will to power" of the superman; the only way for man to evolve into a higher rational being. As we have unfortunately witnessed time and again however, it has become the favorite expression of both social Darwinian philosophers and war-mongers of all stripes who remorselessly employ "end justify the means" paradigm for exercising their primacy upon fellow man. The

primacy entitlement felt to be innate to the “survival of the fittest” philosophy, is cunningly disguised in *Newspeak* which the ill-informed public is unable to parse until it is already fait accompli.

Here is President George W. Bush Jr. employing it in his Speech before a Joint Session of Congress on September 20, 2001. The speech writer used Nietzschean allusions to announce the unfettered rise of the new *superman* mandarins of earth in the aftermath of 9/11. Only those well read of classical literature, mostly the elites themselves, likely understood its implications even before the first bombs were dropped on Afghanistan. One wonders whether even the chief executive mouth-piece of the superpower nation who famously uttered these scripted words in the US Congress like a puppet on a string, and which were duly televised live to the shocked world, fully understood it himself:

“We have seen their kind before. They are the heirs of all the murderous ideologies of the twentieth century. By sacrificing human life to serve their radical visions, **by abandoning every value except the will to power**, they follow in the path of fascism, nazism, and totalitarianism. And they will follow that path all the way to where it ends. In history's unmarked grave of discarded lies. Every nation, in every region, now has a decision to make. **Either you are with us, or you are with the terrorists.**” [7]

We have of course seen the actual results of that pious ultimatum and who abandoned, and continues to abandon, “**every value except the will to power**” by their massive military invasions under false pretenses, DU bombings of civilians in defenseless nations, and police-state at home.

A shortlist of examples of significant *Newspeak* by the superior intellect which has altered our world is given in Footnotes [a] through [h] below. These examples empirically illustrate the vast distance between pious language and the actual reality of their diabolical subversion or their intended meaning by the *superman*. The pious verbiage mainly serve the interest of perception management of *hoi polloi* so that the “history's actors” can carry on accomplishing their Übermensch agendas without interference from the public, often willingly acquiring the public's consent under the right set of “**doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification**” continually fed them by intellectual experts. The Übermensch create their own hard reality as “history's actors” while the rest of the world is caught up in their pious platitudes and propaganda warfare. And, after the inevitable fait accompli, is merely left to study it ex

post facto, when the deeds are already cast in stone:

“We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. **We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.**” [8]

Morality is only *Newspeak* for public consumption to buy time and to induce compliance when the *Übermensch* is the history's actor. The dystopias of the twentieth-century fables have quite escaped from the library into the reality created by these history's actors. *Newspeak* is now so ubiquitous that we are even unconscious of its presence, like the air we breathe, but it cradles our thoughts, feelings, actions as well as inactions. It is the gift to mankind of *will to power*.

It would of course be a travesty of thought to end this missive without giving the punch-line that was printed on the T-Shirt noted above. I wish I had bought one – at the time it was only humorous. It read:

**Nietzsche is Dead -- God!**

I can hear someone laughing...

Because I can actually feel that laugh down my spine without any physical sound waves impinging upon my eardrums from across the ethernet, it shows me that, inter alia: Morality likewise is naturally felt, not naturally thought.

Morality originates from the heart where feelings reside, not the mind – Plato's virtuous philosopher-king notwithstanding. Such abstract intellectualism, including his Shapes, appear to reside in the vast immanent-space of the philosopher's mind alone since they can find no empirical verification in the far more constrained existential reality-space. The only morality that the intellect is empirically shown to beget from time immemorial, is the Nietzschean-Hegelian variety explored above, of **might has rights!** It is also known as the divinely ordained law of the jungle to some. To others, it constitutes the categorical imperatives of primacy for the superior intellect, superior power, superior race, superior civilization, which are always cast as exceptional, beyond good and evil, as *uber alles*, above all others. To still others, it is simply the amoral precision of “**military-style objectivity**” to achieve any agenda, public or covert, national or international. The actual mindset behind “military-style objectivity” in the pursuit of

policy planning or achieving political agendas without being hampered by any conventional moral calculus or preconceived value judgments, is most straightforwardly dignified in the 1967 book "**Report from Iron Mountain**". [9]

It is that Übermensch mindset which came to underwrite the *Truman Doctrine*, the policy of engaging the newly created USSR in a Cold War. The key Policy Planning Study, PPS No. 23, February 28, 1948, Top Secret until Declassified June 17, 1974, written by George F. Kennan as Head of the US State Department Policy Planning Staff, straightforwardly articulated that mindset lest some of its implementers started believing their own propaganda of high-minded "altruism and world-benefaction" devised for engineering the public's consent for the Cold War:

"We have about 50% of the world's wealth, but only 6.3% of its population .... In this situation, we cannot fail to be the object of envy and resentment. **Our real task in the coming period is to devise a pattern of relationships which will permit us to maintain this position of disparity without positive detriment to our national security.** To do so, we will have to dispense with all sentimentality and day-dreaming, and our attention will have to be concentrated everywhere on our immediate national objectives. **We need not deceive ourselves that we can afford today the luxury of altruism and world-benefaction .... We should cease to talk about vague and – for the Far East – unreal objectives such as human rights, the raising of living standards, and democratization.** The day is not far off when we are going to have to deal in straight power concepts. The less we are then hampered by idealistic slogans, the better."

When morality is not based on the subjective intellect which, when left to its own devices, by its very nature, inevitably pursues objectives with the amoral precision of "**military-style objectivity**", but on actual moral standards of which the world's wisdom traditions and holy scriptures have spoken of, we get something entirely different. We get an objective absolute. For instance, let's just take the oldest well-known morality of the Western tradition itself. The Old Testament's Mosaic law. It lays down the first principle of morality called the Golden Rule. It is golden because from it all else follow:

"Do unto others as you have others do unto you"

No superman would like that prescription of morality as the principal basis for devising laws, human rights, foreign policies, and settling disputes among men and nations. For it predicates

absolute fairness, that no one shall take undue advantage of another. The superior intellect of the *Übermensch* simply cannot accept that *hoi polloi* and they are equal. Nature is not a relationship of equals. And man is a product of nature like all of existence. Since man is not seen to have a spiritual essence, and he is deemed to be made only of material substance, therefore the laws of nature equally apply to it as to space-time. Heart-felt and spiritual sentiments are deemed mere superstitions or human weaknesses and better made subservient to the power of reason and the intellect. Ergo, the law of the jungle where only unequals live, is inevitable. The superman spearheading the path to further evolution through social Darwinian primacy, its only rational outcome. What is frightening to realize here is that there can be no other logical outcome when the heart is made subservient to the intellect. Social Darwinianism and Secular Humanism are conjoined twins from birth. They cannot be separated by the same yardstick of reason which gave birth to these constructs. Thus that logical outcome has to be cunningly disguised from its victims. Thus *Newspeak* is invented.

Whereas, interestingly, as in all lovers' happy or tragic tales also since time immemorial, the Heart also is where the Almighty resides! Read both the Qur'an and the Bible and one sees references to the heart as the container for morality, for spiritual eyes, for cleanliness of the heart begetting the cleanliness of the soul, for cognitively incomprehensible admonitions of none shall approach the truth unless they approach it with a cleansed heart, for there being a seal put on the heart of those who are heedless and who are the purveyors of falsehoods, who bring misery upon mankind by their 'uber' clever planning, etceteras. I have yet to recall knowing anyone who fell in love through their mind as opposed to through their heart. Or even recall reading any literature, sacred or divine, and I am an indefatigable reader, that alluded to the mind for matters of love, faith, courage, self-sacrifice, and yes, the notorious jihad – jihad-un-nafs – the primordial inner struggle of the soul to overcome the “**banality of evil**” only upon the conquest of which, the sword of resistance is automagically both found and comes unsheathed! And when I used to read comparative religions, I recall also the case of appeal to the heart being true of Hindu scriptures as well as others.

The twentieth century poet-philosopher of Muslims from the Indian subcontinent, “Sir” Allama Iqbal, [10] surely only endeavored to free man from the shackles of intellectual servitude when he too deemed the heart enslaved by the mind unworthy:

صبح ازل یہ مجھ سے کہا جبرئیل نے

جو عقل کا غلام ہو ، وہ دل نہ کر قبول

'Subh-e-Azal yeh Mujh Se Kaha Jibraeel Ne

Jo Aqal Ka Ghulam Ho Woh Dil Na Ker Qabool'

“Gabriel on the Morning of Creation a piece of useful counsel gave:

Accept not the heart from a beloved whose mind enslaves it”

-- Allama Iqbal, Zarbe-e-Kaleem, [11]

(Sir) Rabindranath Tagore who, unlike his separatist compatriot “Sir” Allama Iqbal, expressing his heart-felt moral outrage at the 1919 Jallianwala Bagh massacre by the British troops returned his own title to the Crown, put the limitations of one sided use of the intellect thusly:

“A mind all logic is like a knife all blade. It makes the hand bleed that uses it.”

In conclusion, “**Cogito Ergo Sum**” might have taken a tiny lesson from Zen were it not so imbued in its own arrogance of the intellect and so blinded by its own brilliance to actually have missed the commonsense. Watch Zen Master Bruce Lee so simply teach it here:



[youtube=http://youtube.com/watch?v=roY9SaqM0mo]


Caption Quote Bruce Lee: “We need emotional content. Don't think, feeeeel; it is like a finger pointing away to the moon. Don't concentrate on the finger or you will miss all that heavenly glory”!

It should now be patently obvious to anyone that an intellect voluntarily serving under the

command of morality can be the only possible solution for equitable and peaceable **“Cogito Ergo Sum”** for all mankind, rather than for the 'uber' few when it's put the other way around.

I will humbly further suggest that the clincher empirical proof that morality and intellect are separate entities, that morality is primarily rooted in feelings rather than in the intellect, is that had ordinary people simply retained even an iota of humanity in them, even a tiny feeling of empathy for the suffering of fellow man, for their own natural tribe of mankind, then, instead of intellectually watching the decimation of their own kith and kin all unfold on television *looking from the side*, [12] at best going *tsk tsk*, and at worst cheering, [13] we would have collectively marched in formation and forcibly neutered all the hectoring hegemons now so boldly munching on their victims no differently than the lowly wildebeest and buffaloes do against the hectoring hegemons of their jungle!

And no scientist in the universe can argue with a straight face that the poor buffaloes who **feel the pain so immensely** for their own humble kith and kin as depicted in the video below, are a very cognitive species – a fact also brazenly recognized by our own hectoring hegemons which is perhaps why they work so assiduously on desensitizing our **feelings** of empathy for our fellowman, including for our own selves, by continually bringing us all the manufactured Hollywood violence and other baser entertainment:



[youtube=<http://youtube.com/watch?v=LU8DDYz68kM>]

Caption Battle at Kruger Park --- taking on the hectoring hegemons of their jungle in defense of their own species, a natural behavior that has evidently been culled from the human species.

## Footnotes

[1] <http://lwtc247.wordpress.com/2009/12/09/the-importance-and-benefits-of-self-honesty/#comment-2172>

[2] See *THUS SPAKE ZARATHUSTRA – A BOOK FOR ALL AND NONE* By Friedrich Nietzsche, <http://www.gutenberg.org/files/1998/1998-h/1998-h.htm>

[3] <http://didiremez.wordpress.com/2009/11/09/settler-rabbi-publishes-the-complete-guide-to-killing-non-jews/> ; <http://www.gilad.co.uk/writings/the-complete-guide-to-killing-non-jews.html>

[4] <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/11/genesis-to-genocide-golem-not-jewish.html>

[5] <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/02/letterto-dalitvoice-which-god.html>

[6] Transcription from a video of Talmudic reading by a Rabbi, The Coronation of Hashem: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/10/on-jews-becoming-masters-ofthe-world.html>

[7] Transcribed from president Bush's televised speech. Full speech transcript: <http://www.npr.org/news/specials/americantransformed/reaction/010920.bushspeech.html>

[8] President Bush's senior White House advisor quoted by Ron Suskind, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004, <http://www.nytimes.com/2004/10/17/magazine/17BUSH.html>

[9] [http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2010/09/cacheof-report\\_from\\_iron\\_mountain-via-kerry-cassidy-project-camelot.pdf](http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2010/09/cacheof-report_from_iron_mountain-via-kerry-cassidy-project-camelot.pdf)

[10] “Sir” Allama Iqbal Introduced the antithesis of the *superman* as the Islamic “marde-momin”, see Sacred Cow: Allama Iqbal - marde-momin or superman?, <http://faith-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2012/12/allama-iqbal-marde-momin-or-superman.html>  
( <http://tinyurl.com/Allama-Iqbal-ubermensch> )

[11] English translation and verse from Kalam-e-iqbal by Rahat Fateh Ali, Sanam Marvi (Virsa heritage revived)- Sultan Tipu ki wasiyat, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TsuXQSJci6o>

[12] John Pilger, 18 January 2007, Looking to the side, from Belsen to Gaza  
<http://johnpilger.com/articles/looking-to-the-side-from-belsen-to-gaza>

[13] Israelis, sipping Pepsi, watch bombardment of Gaza town  
<http://www.mcclatchydc.com/homepage/story/59013.html>

[a] An example of cunning wordsmithing in *superman* scholarship is the Balfour Declaration which gave real political rights to the Jews while giving some abstract civil and religious rights to the Palestinians. The actual result is quite visible today. The underlying legalism which led to it is visible in the deconstruction of its diabolical wordsmithing in: *The Illusion of Power and the Calculus of Palestinian Dispossession*, <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/07/response-to-alan-hart-by-zahir-ebrahim.html>

[b] The clever wordsmithing of the EU Constitution which has cunningly caveated the loftily worded public Rights to limit them in practice by law, or by executive order, under the rubric of national security and expediency, much like the United States Constitution and its Bill of Rights have been trumped by the Patriot Acts for instance, is examined in an analysis that I once found on the web but don't have a citation for it at this time. Virtually every public Right in the EU Constitution has the caveat that it can be "lawfully" restricted! When the king makes the laws, whatever the king decides is the law. The same with the Parliament which often enact and implement laws handed them by forces unseen by the public mind. The National Security State and those controlling it are one such unseen force.

[c] The clever wordsmithing of the American Constitution which has cunningly subverted it in actual practice is examined in *Cracks in the Constitution* by Ferdinand Lundberg,  
<http://amazon.com/Cracks-Constitution-Ferdinand-Lundberg/dp/0818402792>

[d] The reality of "Democracy" as it actually played out while being layered upon that brilliantly worded US Constitution was also briefly analyzed by Carroll Quigley in *THE MYTHOLOGY OF AMERICAN DEMOCRACY*, a presentation to the Industrial College of the Armed Forces on August 17, 1972, <http://www.carrollquigley.net/lectures.htm>

[e] See more reality of "Democracy" in *Unequal Protection: The Rise of Corporate Domination and the Theft of Human Rights* By Thom Hartmann, Rodale Inc., 2002.

[f] See more reality of "Democracy" in *The United States Isn't a Country — It's a Corporation!* By Lisa Guliani, February 2004, at <http://wariscrime.com/new/the-usa-isnt-a-country-its-a->

corporation/ published Jan 15, 2009. Project Humanbeingsfirst's comment for this article extending it with additional material is archived at:

<http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2013/03/cacheof-wariscrime-the-usa-isnt-a-country-its-a-corporation-jan152009.pdf>

[g] Even the United Kingdom is not a country. It is also a Corporation, controlled by another supra-national private Corporation, the real financial capital of the world, the City of London, or just “the City” for short. It is what H. G. Wells was referring to in his rallying call in his 1940 book *New World Order: “And if we, the virtuous democracies, are not fighting for these common human rights, then what in the name of the nobility and gentry, the Crown and the Established Church, the City, The Times and the Army and Navy Club, are we common British peoples fighting for?”*

[h] See the meticulous research unveiled by John Harris of the UK also being a Corporation, in the Lawful Rebellion Conference, January 24, 2009, titled: It's an illusion, <http://bbc5.tv/eyeplayer/articles/john-harris-its-illusion> .

**Short URL:** <http://tinyurl.com/UbermenschMorality>

**Source URL:** <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/12/morality-from-intellect-is-enslavement.html>

**Faith-Politico URL:** <http://faith-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2015/03/morality-from-intellect-is-enslavement.html>

**Source PDF:** <http://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/morality-from-intellect-is-enslavement-zahirebrahim.pdf>

**Original version PDF:** <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2010/10/morality-from-intellect-is-enslavement-dec092009a.pdf>

First Published December 09, 2009 | Updated Friday, October 29, 2010 | Extended Monday, March 30, 2015 for inclusion in the 2015 Second Edition of *Hijacking Holy Qur'an And Islam* 5263