

# Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order

Zahir Ebrahim | [Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)



**The myriad manufactured crises which afflict humanity today, from the riveting Wikileaks intrigues to the perpetual 'War on Terror', from the Financial Crisis and Pandemics to Global Warming and the Carbon Credit scams, and perhaps even Alien landings-sightings and other intergalactic catastrophes soon if Project**

**Camelot has been accurately primed, are merely the successive Hegelian mind-fcks, ahem the “acts” and “deeds”, of making current affairs “look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality,”. Each new ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ provides the new enabling pretext for inching the world one baby-step closer towards the Global Governance of the Planet. This is the only non fiction of modernity --- the rest is all myth and perception management.**

Gideon Rachman of the Financial Times wrote in his oped almost two years ago:

'I have never believed that there is a secret United Nations plot to take over the US. I have never seen black helicopters hovering in the sky above Montana. **But, for the first time in my life, I think the formation of some sort of world government is plausible.** A “world government” would involve much more than co-operation between nations. It would be an entity with state-like characteristics, backed by a body of laws. The European Union has already set up a continental government for 27 countries, which could be a model. The EU has a supreme court, a currency, thousands of pages of law, a large civil service and the ability to deploy military force. So could the European model go global? There are three reasons for thinking that it might. First, it is increasingly

clear that the most difficult issues facing national governments are international in nature: **there is global warming, a global financial crisis and a “global war on terror”**. ... But – the third point – **a change in the political atmosphere suggests that “global governance” could come much sooner than that**. The financial crisis and climate change are pushing national governments towards global solutions, even in countries such as China and the US that are traditionally fierce guardians of national sovereignty.' (Gideon Rachman, And now for a world government, Financial Times, December 8 2008 ; Also see Zahir Ebrahim's Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government', December 11, 2008)

Mr. Rachman accurately reflected the immense momentum today towards world government which many a globalist had been working toward across generations rather openly, often boldly proclaiming that:

**'We shall have World Government, whether or not we like it.** The only question is whether World Government will be achieved by conquest or consent.' (James Warburg in 1950 to the US Senate, cited in Project Humanbeingsfirst's Monetary Reform Bibliography)

The EU Council President, Herman Van Rompuy, only 59 years later on November 19, 2009, openly admitted in his first press conference in Brussels after being appointed president, that finally, **“2009 is also the first year of Global Governance”**:

'We are living through exceptionally difficult times. Financial crisis and its dramatic impact on employment and budgets, the climate crisis which threatens our very survival --- a period of anxiety, uncertainty, and lack of confidence. Yet these problems can be overcome, by a joint effort, in and between our countries. **2009 is also the first year of Global Governance with the establishment of the G-20 in the middle of financial crisis. The climate conference in Copenhagen is another step towards the Global Management of our Planet.** Our mission, our presidency is one of hope, supported by acts, and by deeds.' (press conference November 19, 2009 <http://youtube.com/watch?v=QEqFtVrAgSo> )

Mr. Van Rompuy too was accurate in his message of hope that Global Governance is *“supported by acts and by deeds”*.

But just what might these be?

A Council on Foreign Relations author had rather holistically outlined the underlying character of these supporting “acts” and “deeds” way back in the middle-stages of their planning-execution cycle in April 1974 as follows:

'In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. **It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.**

Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed.

The question is whether this more modest approach can do the job. Can it really bring mankind into the twenty-first century with reasonable prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity? The argument thus far suggests it better had, for there seems to be no alternative. But the evidence also suggests some grounds for cautious optimism.’ (Richard N. Gardner, *The Hard Road To World Order*, Foreign Affairs April 1974 issue, pages 558-559)

Herman Van Rompuy's message of hope at the completion stages decades later was merely the cross-generational echo of Richard N. Gardner's “*prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity*” that had been long sewn “*bottom up, rather than from the top down*” such that to the uninformed public, it would always “*look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.*”

The blood-drenched transformation stage that we find ourselves in today – the wreckage of civilizations – is truly “*Between Two Ages*”. That brilliant description is not mine, but the title of Zbigniew Brzezinski's seminally self-serving 1970 book which [presumably] got him appointed as the Executive Director of David Rockefeller's Trilateral Commission. There are more than a dozen Trilateralists and CFRs in President Obama's Administration too, pushing the banksters' globalist agendas finally to fruition across multiple fronts simultaneously. The money behind

them, at least in the United States, is primarily the Rockefellers' who own the majority stake in the New York Fed, which in turn largely controls the Federal Reserve System. In Europe, the money is primarily the Rothschilds' who control all the world's private central banks (including America's Federal Reserve and international lending-policing agencies such as the World Bank IMF tag-team and the WTO) with complex interlocking relationships among a closed-knit tiny fraternity who exercise their will upon international banking and global finance and thus upon all nations of the world, through their largely unknown Bank for International Settlements (<http://BIS.org>) located in Basle, Switzerland.

Entirely coincidentally of course, BIS is located in the same secretive banking capital where Theodor Herzl had earlier made his notorious Jewish manifesto, *Der Judenstaat* public in the First World Zionist Congress in 1897 to set the public stage for the creation of the exclusively Jewish state of Israel in 1948. Also entirely coincidentally, the British Empire had gratuitously issued its famous 1917 Balfour Declaration in the name of Lord Rothschild, the principal owner and founder of the international financial system who had controlled the Bank of England since Waterloo. And again entirely coincidentally, America's entry into World War I was facilitated after the founding of its own 'Bank of England', i.e., the Federal Reserve System principally by Paul Warburg, the banking fraternal twin of Lord Rothschild in whose palace the Treaty of Versailles was signed after World War I to enable the British Mandate over the lands of historic Palestine.

These remarkable coincidences have today made the Rothschilds the most revered family name in Israel. Some call them the King of the Jews – and to live up to that Solomon-ly title, the Rothschilds have architected, financed and built the Jewish state's principal hall of Justice, the Israeli Supreme Court in Jerusalem. The Jewish State today enjoys the unparalleled privilege of an "Iron Wall" that none can breach. The Rothschild's frankenstein can with brazen impunity exterminate, assassinate, and bomb, to the applause of the world leaders (see 'Pamphlet: How to Return to Palestine'). And yet, strangely, the Rothschild's role in seeding and orchestrating the affairs of the modern world is consistently downplayed almost universally. No media, no academic, no scholar, no historian, no dissent-chief, no corporate executive, no billionaire on Forbes list, the Forbes list itself, and of course no politician and world statesman, dare utter that name publicly – and so long as they don't, they can say anything else they want. Elusive power such as this is not a figment of someone's imagination.

Prof. Carroll Quigley was permitted to openly state the following in his 1966 book *Tragedy and Hope*, and his controlled revelations which continued that tradition of downplaying the name of

the Rothschilds, only came on the heels of the free-wheeling Eustace Mullins' well-documented exposé of how the Federal Reserve System in the United States was conspiringly created by forces representing the same globalist banking elite, and he had not spared the Rothschild name; this was followed by a series of books and documentary films in the 1970s by many others including Gary Allen, W. Cleon Skousen, G. Edward Griffin, Antony Sutton et. al. These passages from Quigley's 1200 page ode to the International bankers underscores the base axiomatic reality upon which the entire web of control of the globalists is fabricated:

**'The powers of financial capitalism had (a) far-reaching aim, nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole.** This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent meetings and conferences. The apex of the systems was to be the Bank for International Settlements in Basel, Switzerland; a private bank owned and controlled by the world's central banks which were themselves private corporations. Each central bank, in the hands of men like Montagu Norman of the Bank of England, Benjamin Strong of the New York Federal Reserve Bank, Charles Rist of the Bank of France, and Hjalmar Schacht of the Reichsbank, sought to dominate its government by its ability to control Treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards in the business world.'

(Carroll Quigley, Tragedy and Hope, 1966, Chapter 20, page 324)

'It must not be felt that these heads of the world's chief central banks were themselves substantive powers in world finance. They were not. Rather, they were the technicians and agents of the dominant investment bankers of their own countries, who had raised them up and were perfectly capable of throwing them down. The substantive financial powers of the world were in the hands of these investment bankers (also called "international" or "merchant" bankers) who remained largely behind the scenes in their own unincorporated private banks. **These formed a system of international cooperation and national dominance which was more private, more powerful, and more secret than that of their agents in the central banks.** This dominance of investment bankers was based on their control over the flows of credit and investment

funds in their own countries and throughout the world.' (Carroll Quigley, *Tragedy and Hope*, 1966, Chapter 20, page 326)

The following observations made in 1970 by W. Cleon Skousen in his extensive commentary on *Tragedy and Hope* are entirely empirical today:

'The real value of *Tragedy and Hope* ... [is the] bold and boastful admission by Dr. Quigley that there actually exists a relatively small but powerful group which has succeeded in acquiring a choke-hold on the affairs of practically the entire human race. **Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantic power unless millions of people in all walks of life were "in on the take" and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes.** As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and women and their institutions on a world-wide basis.' (W. Cleon Skousen, *The Naked Capitalist*, pg. 6)

Gary Allen argued matters in such an elegant style in 1971 in his short book *None Dare Call It Conspiracy*, that the logic of the highlighted sentences in the passages quoted below even elicited a long chuckle from a most cynical MIT trained Silicon Valley engineer friend of mine who has designed dozens of microchips and is not easily given to levity on current affairs:

'Most of us have had the experience, either as parents or youngsters, of trying to discover the "hidden picture" within another picture in a children's magazine. Usually you are shown a landscape with trees, bushes, flowers and other bits of nature. The caption reads something like this: "Concealed somewhere in this picture is a donkey pulling a cart with a boy in it. Can you find them?" Try as you might, usually you could not find the hidden picture until you turned to a page farther back in the magazine which would reveal how cleverly the artist had hidden it from us. If we study the landscape we realize that the whole picture was painted in such a way as to conceal the real picture within, and once we see the "real picture," it stands out like the proverbial painful digit.

We believe the picture painters of the mass media are artfully creating landscapes for us which deliberately hide the real picture. In this book we will

show you how to discover the "hidden picture" in the landscapes presented to us daily through newspapers, radio and television. Once you can see through the camouflage, you will see the donkey, the cart and the boy who have been there all along. Millions of Americans are concerned and frustrated over mishappenings in our nation. They feel that something is wrong, drastically wrong, but because of the picture painters they can't quite put their fingers on it.

Maybe you are one of those persons. Something is bugging you, but you aren't sure what. We keep electing new Presidents who seemingly promise faithfully to halt the world-wide Communist advance, put the blocks to extravagant government spending, douse the fires of inflation, put the economy on an even keel, reverse the trend which is turning the country into a moral sewer, and toss the criminals into the hoosegow where they belong. Yet, despite high hopes and glittering campaign promises, these problems continue to worsen no matter who is in office. Each new administration, whether it be Republican or Democrat, continues the same basic policies of the previous administration which it had so thoroughly denounced during the election campaign. It is considered poor form to mention this, but it is true nonetheless. Is there a plausible reason to explain why this happens? We are not supposed to think so. We are supposed to think it is all accidental and coincidental and that therefore there is nothing we can do about it.

FDR once said "In politics, nothing happens by accident. If it happens, you can bet it was planned that way." He was in a good position to know. We believe that many of the major world events that are shaping our destinies occur because somebody or somebodies have planned them that way. **If we were merely dealing with the law of averages, half of the events affecting our nation's well-being should be good for America. If we were dealing with mere incompetence, our leaders should occasionally make a mistake in our favor.** We shall attempt to prove that we are not really dealing with coincidence or stupidity, but with planning and brilliance. This small book deals with that planning and brilliance and how it has shaped the foreign and domestic policies of the last six administrations. We hope it will explain matters which have up to now seemed inexplicable; that it will bring into sharp focus images which have been obscured by the landscape painters of the mass media.

Those who believe that major world events result from planning are laughed at for believing in the "conspiracy theory of history." Of course, no one in this modern day and age really believes in the conspiracy theory of history -except those who have taken the time to study the subject. When you think about it, there are really only two theories of history. Either things happen by accident neither planned nor caused by anybody, or they happen because they are planned and somebody causes them to happen. In reality, it is the "accidental theory of history" preached in the unhallowed Halls of Ivy which should be ridiculed. Otherwise, why does every recent administration make the same mistakes as the previous ones? Why do they repeat the errors of the past which produce inflation, depressions and war? Why does our State Department "stumble" from one Communist-aiding "blunder" to another? If you believe it is all an accident or the result of mysterious and unexplainable tides of history, you will be regarded as an "intellectual" who understands that we live in a complex world. **If you believe that something like 32,496 consecutive coincidences over the past forty years stretches the law of averages a bit, you are a kook!** (Gary Allen, None Dare Call it Conspiracy, 1971, Chapter 1)

Can today's handful of rabble-rousing moral activists with their chest-thumping internet-jihad and the occasional street-dance protesting with loud drum-beating, fight such a nemesis that is not only legally endowed with an infinite supply of money conjured out of thin-air, but whose controlling power pervades all public and private institutions from universities to businesses to governments to non-profit supra-organizations like the United Nations and none dare talk about it without being called a 'kook'? To genuinely reverse this unstoppable impetus towards global management surely requires an order of magnitude different strategies and tactics other than blaring into bull-horns and publishing books and eloquent websites don't you think?

To an engineer's eye attuned to building real systems rather than merely talking about their future possibility in glossy brochures, it requires mass mobilizations and the common man's commandeering of structures of power worldwide to shut down the world. No food on the store shelves, no garbage picked up, no containers unloaded, all civic services stopped, etc. A global strike that demands the juridical hanging of the oligarchy, the nationalization of their amassed wealth, and the un-privatization of usurped public commons worldwide.

Apart from the fact that all such effective mobilization requires money, global organizations, time to build them up, labor unions and political institutions which can mobilize the rank and file



for common cause, unfettered access to media to carry the message, and intellectual strategies and tactics which can launch a thousand cuts of no less overwhelming convolution than what the oligarchy conjures up to overwhelm the public senses, there are also no masses to mobilize. More importantly, there are no un-compromising leaders to lead them.

With no resources outside of the institutional parameters of the status quo for any emerging leadership to be effective in rebelling against those very institutions, and all legalisms and security apparatuses calculatingly stacked in favor of the establishment's own ruling paradigms – the unfettered promulgation of hegemony of the oligarchy fronted by the 'national security state' with its colossus military-industrial-academe-media-congressional-juridical-executive complex – what can even courageous leaders do when even the brains of the President of United States, the mightiest superpower on earth, is not safe from being blown to smithereens when it becomes a threat to the status quo? (See 'The Eight Bay of Pigs of JFK' in Jim Douglass' November 2009 talk “*JFK and the Unspeakable*” at COPA, Dallas, based on his book )

Random public riots in the streets out of individual desperation does not, and will not, cut it. Ineffectual rowdyism is in fact, the calculated tactical plan of the globalists themselves. Because, destructive riots enable them to play their final fait accompli inducing trump card – martial law! And the FEMA detention camps on military sites have already been made ready to welcome many an unwise malcontent! (See 'Why bluff martial law')

Those attempting to uncontrollably rile up the public anger with bull-horns in the style of Television Network's *Mad Prophet of the Airwaves* (Network, 1976 Hollywood movie):

'Well, I am not gonna leave you alone. I want you to get mad. I don't want you to protest, I don't want you to write to your congressman because I wouldn't know what to tell you to write. I don't know what to do about the depression and the inflation and the Islamofascists and the crime in the street. All I know is that first, you've got to get mad. You've got to say “I am a human being god dammit, my life has value”. So, I want you to get up now, and go to the window, open it, and stick your head out and yell: **“I am mad as hell and I am not gonna take this anymore”**,

cannot not know this. While it has today become next to impossible to tell fabricated dissent from manufactured consent, and with reformed cats piously trying to represent the silly mice, the underlying political science basis of the ubiquitous social engineering which employs this

*“cognitive infiltration”* is documented in the two reports 'Manufacturing Dissent: The Master Social Science', and 'Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory'. Also see: 'Did David Ray Griffin and Steve Lendman miss the real purpose of Cass Sunstein's “Conspiracy Theories”?’

As one can glean in these aforementioned analyses, there is effectively no dissent today that can impact the status quo. And establishment's systems are already in place to ensure that it does not happen either. Only narratives are permitted to exist. And the narrators are often generously rewarded too, with many even openly seeking and accepting their harvest of peace prizes and other glamorous accolades, lucrative appointments and tenures, from the same empire which they ostensibly oppose in their prominent dissent! I am told that there is a very generous single Biblical word for them: hypocrite. Not being divinely inspired, mine are of course considerably less generous.

Never mind waking up the sheeple. The genuine “ostrich” activists, the *“quite gallant and graceful-looking people”* as H. G. Wells described the lot (see quote below), themselves need to wake up to the grotesque reality first, and take accurate cognizance of the battlefield the way it really is.

The way things stand today – see the reality-check in 'Why Not Be An Ostrich?' – without birth-panging radical transformations to dissent-space and the concomitant emergence of a focussed global resistance, Global Governance of the oligarchy is fait accompli. That is simply a factual statement with no emotional syntactic sugaring applied.

The myriad manufactured crises which afflict humanity today, from the riveting Wikileaks intrigues to the perpetual 'War on Terror', from the Financial Crisis to Global Warming and the Carbon Credit scams, and perhaps even Alien landings/sightings and/or intergalactic catastrophes soon if Project Camelot has been accurately primed, are merely the successive Hegelian mind-fcks, ahem the *“acts”* and *“deeds”*, of making current affairs *“look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality,”*.

Each new *‘booming, buzzing confusion’* provides the new enabling pretext for inching the world one baby-step closer towards the Global Governance of the Planet in what has been officialized under the United Nations umbrella called United Nations Agenda 21 ( <http://tinyurl.com/UN-Agenda-21-ZahirEbrahim> ). See Project Humanbeingsfirst [Publications Index](#) for reports on each one of these *“acts”* and *“deeds”* to verify for yourself how every global crisis, always fabricated and calculatingly exacerbated, has been harvested to legislate new global laws in the name of fighting these crises, effectively causing the pre-stated

Machiavellian “*end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece*”.

Today, many an officialdom will openly admit that national sovereignty has already become a myth. But they will also only attribute this as a reaction to the crises – the war on terror, the financial crisis, the global warming, the global pandemics, etceteras, just as the EU president proclaimed in his first press conference in 2009! What will be tomorrow's catastrophic crisis that “**will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison**”, as was self-servingly presaged by former US president George W. Bush on Feb. 13, 2008 warning the world of impending super-catastrophic terrorism, that will be hailed as the final inevitable reason for cementing world government? (See What can make 'Sept. 11 pale by comparison')

And, pathetically, all that the “*malcontent*” and “*graceful-looking people*” can do, just like the “*history's actors*” accurately predicted that we shall do, is study it:

'We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality — judiciously, as you will — we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. **We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.**' (Ron Suskind, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004)

More tragically, our tortuous zeitgeist was also accurately presaged even before most of us were born:

'When the struggle seems to be drifting defiantly towards a world social democracy there may still be very great delays and disappointments before it becomes an efficient and beneficent world system. **Countless people – will hate the New World Order – and will die protesting against it.** When we attempt to evaluate its promise, **we have to bear in mind the distress of a generation or so of malcontents, many of them quite gallant and graceful-looking people.**' (H. G. Wells, The New World Order, page 127)

Isn't that where all the world's rebels precisely stand today, blindly and ineffectively resisting a fait accompli in false hopes? With most of the world's 'untermenschen' happy-happy in hope and voluntary servitude waiting for a savior? (See 'Happy-Happy in Hope and Voluntary Servitude')

But perhaps it is not because of false hopes. That is only for ostriches.

Perhaps it is really that elusive spirit of the swashbuckling rebel, Captain Rhett Butler of **Gone with the Wind** which inspires this lot. At least in so far as his penchant for supporting lost causes after they were truly lost was concerned. **“Why?”**, retorted Captain Butler to Mrs. Hamilton as he gallantly abandoned his unrequited love in the middle of the road to go join the Confederate Army after Atlanta had been completely burned to the ground by Sherman and his northern soldiers: **“maybe it's because I have always had a weakness for lost causes once they are really lost.”**

Admirable, perhaps even heroic by grandmotherly standards. But hardly any cause for indignation for the henchmen at the CFR and the EU Council who, under the *“iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes”*, are striving to bring us *“reasonable prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity”* in the twenty-first century.

Nevertheless, rebels don't necessarily always measure their categorical imperatives in the same way. For many among the 'untermenschen', to simply exist is to resist. And obviously no self-delusion is involved there. For others, to merely survive the daily oppression and daily burials of their loved ones with their dignity and mental faculties intact, is unsurpassed heroism. Such earthly struggles when wholly circumscribed by moral dimensions, even when motivated by narrow existential self-interests such as the self-defense of one's own loved ones, or the safeguarding of one's own sanity, is perhaps best captured by the pithy wisdom from the Islamic tradition narrated in my 'Muslim's Voice: Why we endeavor even when it appears futile!':

'When the Prophet Abraham (in the Orientalist's spelling) was being thrown in the fire by the tyrannical ruler Nimrod, all creation was in tremendous angst. Even the stones spoke out against the tyrant. Every moral creature endeavored to the rescue of Prophet Ibraheem (AS) to put out the fire. To the extent that a tiny bird picked a droplet of water in its minuscule beak and started to fly over the fire.

An Angel of God asked the little bird:

**“Surely you are not going to put out the fire with that droplet(!), and surely the high flames will consume you! – what do you think you are doing?”**

The tiny bird replied:

***“yes, you are right, and I know that my tiny droplet will not save the Ulul-Azam (Great Prophet) of God. But I bring to the endeavor of standing up to this evil tyrant whatever I am capable of, and this tiny droplet is all I am capable of.”***

The rebel is not an ostrich. He and she is that tiny bird with the tiny droplet in its beak.

**References:** Facts without citation are either too well known or amply cited in the many works of Project Humanbeingsfirst.org; see for instance: 'Some Dare Call it Conspiracy! Are you Among Them?' for links to important references. Use Index or Search-box for reports by this author.

**Short URL:** <http://tinyurl.com/hard-road-to-world-order>

**Source URL:** <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/12/of-ostriches-and-rebels-zahirebrahim.html>

**Homepage URL:** <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2015/08/the-hard-road-to-world-order.html>

**PDF URL:** <https://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/of-ostriches-and-rebels-on-the-hard-road-to-world-order-by-zahir-ebrahim.pdf>

---

Zahir Ebrahim, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary matters, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at UET, MIT, and Stanford, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (<http://tinyurl.com/zahir-patents>), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book of protest, written in the aftermath of 9/11, was rejected by countless publishers and can be read on the web at <http://PrisonersoftheCave.org>. His prolific writings may be read at <http://Humanbeingsfirst.org>. His extended bio at: <http://zahirebrahim.wordpress.com/bio/>

---

First Published December 05, 2010, 8:00 pm 4640 | Last updated 04/07/2013 13:06:48 5094  
(Reference added to UN Agenda 21 documents) | Linkfix Dec 15, 2015