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The Sounds of Silence

In restless dreams I walked alone
Narrow streets of cobblestone
'Neath the halo of a street lamp
I turned my collar to the cold and damp
When my eyes were stabbed by the flash of a neon light
That split the night
And touched the sound of silence
And in the naked light I saw
Ten thousand people maybe more
People talking without speaking
People hearing without listening
People writing songs that voices never share
And no one dare
Disturb the sound of silence
“Fools”, said I, “you do not know
Silence like a cancer grows
Hear my words that I might teach you
Take my arms that I might reach you”
But my words like silent raindrops fell
And echoed in the wells of silence
And the people bowed and prayed
To the neon god they made
And the sign flashed its warning
In the words that it was forming
And the sign said, “The words of the prophets are written on the subway walls
And tenement halls”
And whispered in the Sounds of Silence

(lyrics Sounds of Silence by Simon and Garfunkel, listen)
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Dedication

To Pakistan's New Generations

In memory of all past victims of imperial mobilization, and to prevent new ones

And to my children
Price of a Pakistani

'A lot has been said and written by some of our American friends about the price of a Pakistani. Dr. Andrew V. Corry, US Counsel General at Lahore, once said, “Price of a Pakistani oscillates between a free trip to the US and a bottle of whisky.” He may not be too far wrong. We did observe some highly placed Pakistanis selling their conscience, prestige, dignity and self-respect for a small price.'

--- Profiles of Intelligence, pg. 45
A Silent Prayer

سُورَةَ الفَاتِحَةَ

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

الْحَمْدُ لِلَّهِ رَبِّ الْعَالَمِينَ (١) الرَّحْمَانِ الرَّحِيمِ (٢) مَلِكِ

يَوْمِ الْخَيْرِ (٣) إِيَّاكَ نَعْبُدُ وَإِيَّاكَ نَسْتَعِينُونَ (٤) إِيَّاكَ

الصَّرَّاطِ السَّتِّيقِ (٥) صِرَاطُ الَّذِينَ آتَيْنَاهُمْ عَلَيْهِمْ

(٦) غَيْرِ المُضَضَّوْبِ عَلَيْهِمْ وَلَا الضَّالِّيِّنَّ (٧)
Price of the Pakistani State

“neither the ISI nor the IB is designed or equipped to counter the machinations of a Super Power.”

--- Profiles of Intelligence, pg. 45
Fate

The Moving Finger writes; and having writ,

Moves on: nor all your Piety nor Wit

Shall lure it back to cancel half a Line,

Nor all your Tears wash out a Word of it.

--- Stanza 71 Rubáiyát of Omar Khayyam
translated by Edward Fitzgerald
Destiny

“Allah never changeth the grace نعمة He hath bestowed on any people قوم until they first change that which is in their hearts، بأنفسهم。”

--- Surah Al-Anfal 8:53, The Holy Qur’an, translation by Pickthall
The Fifth Column

“A nation can survive its fools, and even the ambitious. But it cannot survive treason from within. An enemy at the gates is less formidable, for he is known and he carries his banners openly against the city. But the traitor moves among those within the gates freely, his sly whispers rustling through all the alleys, heard in the very halls of government itself. For the traitor appears no traitor; he speaks in the accents familiar to his victims, and he wears their face and their garments, and he appeals to the baseness that lies deep in the hearts of all men. He rots the soul of a nation; he works secretly and unknown in the night to undermine the pillars of a city; he infects the body politic so that it can no longer resist. A murderer is less to be feared. The traitor is the carrier of the plague. You have unbarred the gates of Rome to him.”

--- Cicero’s “speech” to the Roman Senate,
106 BC - 43 BC
Enemy and Yourself

“If you know the enemy and know yourself,
you need not fear the result of a hundred battles.

If you know yourself but not the enemy,
for every victory gained you will also suffer a defeat.

If you know neither the enemy nor yourself,
you will succumb in every battle.”

--- Sun Tzu on The Art of War, 500 BC
Truth and Reality

“And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free.”

--- John 8:32, KJV, The Holy Bible, Etched in a stone wall in the Main Lobby of the CIA Headquarters in Langley, Virginia, USA

“In an age of universal deceit, learning the truth is a revolutionary act.”

“In an age of universal deceit, telling the truth is a revolutionary act.”

“In an age of universal deceit, living the truth is a revolutionary act.”

--- Wisdom du jour, Wisdom of sages, Wisdom in Divine Books
Reality and Denial

“What a man believes upon grossly insufficient evidence is an index to his desires – desires of which he himself is often unconscious. If a man is offered a fact which goes against his instincts, he will scrutinize it closely, and unless the evidence is overwhelming, he will refuse to believe it. If, on the other hand, he is offered something which affords a reason for acting in accordance with his instincts, he will accept it even on the slenderest evidence.”

--- Bertrand Russell, Proposed Roads to Freedom, 1919
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About the Author

He is just an ordinary fellow, a common man. But one who suffers no fools, takes no prisoners, bows before no authority figures as bearers of divine truths, and remains just as unimpressed by the metaphysics of the turban as by the scholarship of the gown. There is not much else to say about him. He was quite imperfectly educated in the elite secular universities of both the United States of America and Pakistan, which might explain how he managed to escape from these factories of jahiliya with his mind still intact and his brain still firing on all cylinders. It is only because of the imperfection of his education, and because of the failure of the system to obedience train him to United We Stand with absurdities, that his deconstruction of modernity is able to capture reality the way it actually is, minus all of truth's protective layers. At least me thinks so. My name is Zahir Ebrahim, and I am the archetype plebeian antidote to hectoring hegemons. My contribution to making America great again can be gleaned at the United States Patent Office (https://tinyurl.com/Zahir-Patents), and from this May 2009 article on IEEE Spectrum's Special Report: 25 Microchips That Shook the World. My contribution to making her almost human can be read at my hobby website archived at: Project Humanbeingsfirst.org.

More about me may be gleaned from the pages of my 2015 magnum opus on current affairs: The Poor Man's Guide To Modernity - Oligarchic Primacy for World Government (https://tinyurl.com/Modernity-Reader-2015); from my 2015 magnum opus on social engineering using religion: Hijacking The Holy Qur'an And Its Religion Islam – Muslims and Imperial Mobilization (https://tinyurl.com/Book-Hijacking-Islam-2ed-2015); and from my 2003 maiden treatise on America's Imperial Mobilization disguised as “War on Terror”, written amidst running tears during the night-time civilian bombings and military invasion of Iraq by the United States: Prisoners of the Cave (https://tinyurl.com/PrisonersoftheCave-PDF). My latest contribution to understanding current affairs is the two volume Pakistan Decapitation Papers 2019 (https://tinyurl.com/PakDecapPapers2019-5ed). My singular perspective on Primacy, cunningly masked by the powers that be as “International Relations”, is examined in my hundreds of reports, essays and letters titled “A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document” indexed in Table of Contents (https://tinyurl.com/print-humanbeingsfirst). That singular perspective is depicted in the image below, of the primacy imperative of the predator vs. the naiveté and
wonderment of innocence. My role in Project Humanbeingsfirst is to first empower myself, and then those *innocent of knowledge*, to effectively contend with the reality of primacy; the law of jungle that prevails among mankind but only thinly disguised. My email for public comment is: humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com. Authenticated contact from institutional domains such as governments and state security apparatuses may be made by writing to zahir@alum.mit.edu. All communication shall be made public. I am available in the role of *amicus curie* as a “common man expert witness” in the International Criminal Court justice system in all the areas that I have written my layman's commonsense analyses. Someday, it is my belief, everyone shall think it obvious. That day would be too late to make even two straws worth of difference.

Getting to know a bit more about me and *my little jihad On The Road Less Traveled* since the defining day of September 11, 2001, that day of infamy in contemporary history called “9/11” which split time itself in “before” and “after” epochs, is at the end of Volume II.
The selection of updated reports, essays and letters: The Pakistan Decapitation Papers 2019, now in its Fifth Edition, is my humble gift to the educated young generations of Pakistan. To her high-school and college students. To her young professionals. To all young men and women still harboring an iota of ideals beyond pecuniary gain, before this crop too is comfortably co-opted into pursuing narrow self-interests with blinders on as the likha-parrha jahils of modernity. Had I but world enough, and time, I would have also addressed this fifth edition of my book to the elites, elders and power-brokers of Pakistan – to The Ringmasters, The Puppeteers, The Foot Soldiers – alas, when the salt itself rots in the salt mine. The adage speak truth to power is meaningless; they already know the truth. Speak truth to the people!

In the updated 2019 5th Edition, I have selected content from Project Humanbeingsfirst from the period 2003 to 2019 that is pertinent to perceptively understanding Pakistan's many existential dilemmas with some ma'arifat, some wherewithal, on the broad canvas of the diabolical drive towards global governance in a new one-world oligarchic order. Specifically: a) how, deliberately and calculatingly, Pakistan has been put into her dysfunctional state through a mountain of lies, induced corruption, installed rulers, contrived debt-enslavement, manufactured revolutionary times, by foreign powers, namely the United States of America and her Proxies (Volume-I); and b) what is the way out, and the pernicious dangers ahead (Volume-II). That perennial way out, regrettably, remains the same for all nations under the siege of Primacy and its Geostrategic Imperatives of the de facto empire du jour, just as it has been under all previous empires. Especially, the last superpower empire, Pax Britannica, against which the over-glorified Pax Americana of today herself won her cherished liberty: by throwing the tea overboard.

That exercise, of struggling for real freedom from the yolk of servitude, takes several character traits whose lack of national abundance is the tragedy of the people of Pakistan. What these are shall become clear as one reads through the essays. Unfortunately, these cannot be presented more concisely for those too impatient and too lazy to spend time studying-reflecting, and who demand two-page summaries of every book. Like the intrepid explorers who comb sandy beaches for treasures instead of in the depths of the oceans, and are easily satiated by the handful of sea shells and abundant stench of rotting fish, the intellectual thirst of the gallant nurtured on two-page summaries, is also easily quenched.

Tragically, these fearless men and women of average intelligence and many respectable
sounding titles, often hiding sociopathic and narcissistic tendencies disguised in pious nobility, are often the ruling class in virtually all intellectually and morally stunted nations, including Pakistan. Indeed, we have been blessed with more than our fair share of such savants ever since our inception. They have brought Pakistan to its position on the Grand Chessboard of 2019. Pakistan didn't get here overnight. But Pakistan can get out of it overnight (well, almost), by resolving to serve as a vassal state, a client state, a rental state, no more!

For the young generations who shall become future masses and future leaders of Pakistan, the study of this humble labor of love that passionately exercises the intellect in this age of 15-second attention spans and universalism of deceit, may make a difference for them.

The selection criterion of essays for this updated 2019 edition, the pièce de résistance of Project Humanbeingsfirst's almost two decades of justice activism since 9/11, is pertinence for the future of the people of Pakistan while keeping an un jaundiced forensic eye on the past, on the vast canvas of the Grand Chessboard of the region and the world.

“We are made wise not by the recollections of our past, but by the responsibility for our future.” --- George Bernard Shaw

Zahir Ebrahim
California,
Arba'een 1441 A.H., October 2019
This Fourth Edition of The Pakistan Decapitation Papers is issued with a companion standalone Ebook The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity [last revision is 2015 9th Edition with Islam essays split into a separate standalone Ebook Hijacking Holy Qur'an And Islam, 2015 2nd Edition]. It is recommended that the companion Ebook be perused simultaneously with The Pakistan Decapitation Papers in order to comprehend the diabolical sub-text behind the systematic decapitation of Pakistan. Chapter 7, Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization, of The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity is perhaps the most pertinent to Pakistanis today. It examines a recipe which can potentially still enable the Pakistani peoples to extricate their ill-begotten nation – now so visibly being destroyed after years of staging by fifth columnists attired in their virginal bride's dress across the board – from the jaws of ignominy.

That same recipe, if forcefully employed globally by the ordinary man and woman on planet earth against their own respective fifth columnists, irrespective of religion, caste, or creed, can in fact set the stage for a new world order that is suited to the pleasurable living of all ordinary human beings, instead of the present one being orchestrated by the elite which is only suited to the pleasurable living of the inhuman oligarchy.

This new Fourth Edition of the Pakistan Decapitation Papers that you now hold in your hands as a printed hardcopy, or, before your eyes as an Ebook, straightforwardly continues in its Volume III from where Volume II had left off. It is so painful for the author to continue to make these commonsensical and blatantly obvious observations on Pakistan and its peoples, that this is the last edition!

In this tenth year of 9/11, Volume III befittingly begins Chapter 1 by revisiting the New Pearl Harbor and the Who Dunnit question. The imperial misidentification of its culprits as the proverbial pirates in order to synthesize the Machiavellian “War on Terror” of the emperor, has been the final harbinger of the decapitation of Pakistan.

However, let the decapitation of Pakistan not all be blamed on the New Pearl Harbor. As this book is evidence, Pakistan was, first and foremost, destroyed by the active connivance of its own military, its own politicians, its own literati, its own press, its own fifth columnists, and by the silent apathy of its 180 million spectating public who are still to become the greatest victims of “imperial mobilization”.

Zahir Ebrahim
That fancy phraseology is Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski's, from his layered 1997 blueprint for what's happening along the “arc of crisis” in the “Global Zone of Percolating Violence” today, titled “The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives”. The preceding two phrases are also Zbigniew Brzezinski's, respectively from a January 15, 1979 Time magazine article titled 'IRAN: The Crescent of Crisis', and from Brzezinski's 1997 attempt at his own Mein Kampf.

This scribe's 2003 unpublished book titled Prisoners of the Cave (available as an Ebook), had already systematically deconstructed Brzezinski's statecraft. And the 2011 Ebook The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity, succinctly updates that analysis to match the empiricism of our times.

None of this is, or has been, rocket science. Nevertheless, to the “likkha-parra jahils” (the super-learned peoples with college degrees) of modernity, in every nation, it evidently is rocket science. There is nothing this scribe can do about such “learned peoples” of any society. Even so, this Volume addresses their “learnedness” once again. These are the very peoples who must experience a metanoia (a change of mind, a rebirth) in order to effectively lead the termination of the abhorrent conditions of our times. The rest of the public by themselves are merely putty in the hands of the demagogues otherwise, oft taken for a ride between bread and circuses on the one hand, and rioting for “revolution” and sloganeering for “democracy” on the other.

Chapter 1 of Volume III therefore, is intended to be a self-study tutorial for the “likkha-parra jahils”, whether of Pakistan, or the Middle East, or the West. The learned reader is encouraged to visit the web links for the many voices cited therein in order to give due diligence to this subject which, from day one, has been wrapped in layers upon layers of deception. Only when the first principles of modern statecraft become apparent to the “likkha-parra jahils”, only when the fundamental light bulb of insight into Machiavelli and the Hegelian Dialectic goes off in one's head, shall the rest ever follow. Before then, one will inevitably remain chained by one's neck as the proverbial prisoner of the cave (Plato) despite all of one's fancy qualifications, titles, degrees, and lauded accomplishments. This is true irrespective of nationality, religion, sex, caste, or creed. And it is as true of Pakistanis and Middle Easterners as Americans and Europeans. All peoples today, despite our modernity and our information age, share a common constant companion – the new age of Jahiliya! In addition to the companion Ebook “A Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity”, this ignoble fact is further demonstrated in Chapters 2, 3, and 4 of Volume III. Chapters 5, 6, and 7 comprise this scribe's latest ripostes with various useful idiots and “likkha-parra jahils” of Pakistan.

Chapter 8 is the anchoring chapter of this short and final Volume of The Pakistan Decapitation Papers. Judge for yourself the sublime character of the ordinary Pakistani housewife turned activist that is narrated therein. Can one imagine just ten million activist home-makers like that in Pakistan, and in every nation on earth, coming out of their kitchens!

Chapter 9 is the Epilogue of Volume III. It is apropos to refer it so, as it once again unequivocally underscores the fact that even when the intention of rebellion might be genuine, even when the thirst for independence from empire's mayhem might set an indigenous military patriot's throat on fire in Pakistan, our modernity is a twisted devil. It comes wrapped in multifaceted Hegelian Dialectic.

Without acutely comprehending this modernity, there simply cannot be any freedom for any nation and any people, no matter how fervently riled up they might get. That riling up is simply harvested on one or the other side of the Hegelian Dialectic.
You are either a “militant” aiding and abetting “insurgency” if you don't like hegemony or have been “tickled” into it, or, are part of empire's Allies douching it with “counter-insurgency” if you don't like the insurgents or just love to play *house negro* to the *white man*.

Once caught in such a Hegelian Dialectic where both sides are orchestrated by the same powers, there is no escape from that *matrix*.

The Epilogue bears testimony to that sad fact. Until that is overturned, nothing else can.

A nation can surely suffer its fools and still survive, but not its house negroes and fifth columnists. Nor the silence of its peoples. No nation and no peoples can survive its traitors, or an apathetic public drawn mainly to bread and circuses. It was the traitors who enabled the East India Company to colonize the indo sub-continent with treachery in the yesteryear. And it is the traitors who are enabling the owners of the former East India Company to colonize the world with treachery into a one-world government.

Therefore, to continue to describe what has been obvious to this scribe from the very day of September 11, 2001, and what was also visible to the few sighted – that 9/11 was an 'Operation Canned Goods' to launch "imperial mobilization" for the global Fourth Reich of the oligarchs – has already been a matter of “I told you so” for these past ten years. That is not just of zero consolation to this author, but is also of immense pain to see one's own nation destroyed by the handful of slaves and house niggers carrying the White Man's Burden for the white man!

In another day and age, all such house niggers and fifth columnists across the nations of the world, including in the United States of America, along with the puppetmasters behind the scenes, would hang from the tallest gallows many times over. That timeless hope, if there can ever be any consolation for what's being inflicted upon the 'lesser humanity', is the last prayer on each and every lip as they struggle for their final breaths at the nightmarish altar of full spectrum tyranny.

Only the dead have seen the end of war. For those still living, the silent spectators, the unfortunate narrators unpeeling truths protective layers, the bullshit purveyors adding more obfuscating layers, and the henchmen niggers, the travails are only just beginning.

Perhaps God too sheds a tear for the living – the dead have already returned to It.

Zahir Ebrahim,
June 20, 2011
Foreword 2010

As bad as things are in Pakistan today, it will get far worse in the West. The Letter to Muslims, Chapter 2 of Vol. II, examines this tortuous aspect of our zeitgeist.

We can still salvage Pakistan for its 200 million peoples despite all that has transpired in this twisted War on Terror; despite all our traitors, mercenaries, useful idiots, native informants, and house negroes who have sold the public short; and despite the state of un-functioning of the nation, without electricity, water, decent livelihood and affordable living for the 98% of the majority, and a lawlessness that would have been beyond imagination in the 1960s and 1970s when I grew up in the carefree idyllic Pakistan.

One cannot look at Pakistan in isolation from the Grand Chessboard. The world conditions are rapidly percolating towards global governance to culminate in world government. Pakistan has thus far been played for a dumb-ass pawn with copious help from Pakistan's military – the only real power-base in Pakistan whose upper echelons since Pakistan's blood-drenched creation have been entirely beholden to its foreign masters.

It is now self-evident that Pakistan's and Israel's creation were crafty ploys by the world superpowers who for the past hundred plus years have only dreamed of global empire and world government. Islamic Pakistan was to be the foil for Zionist Israel – both created in the name of religion. One to be played up to culminate in the “Zion that will light up all the world”, the other to be eventually destroyed after it had been calculatingly harnessed to fertilize and birth-pang terror like a condom deliberately pricked by the illicit concubine.

What happens to used condoms? That's right! And to unwanted babies? Right again.

Given Pakistan's 'used condom' status, I mean en passant status on the Grand Chessboard, what can Pakistanis realistically do about it?

First of all, Pakistanis can do absolutely nothing so long as they are ruled by mercenaries, fools, and uncle toms. Unless that obstacle is removed, there is no sense in day dreaming. I have no idea how to do that – for, even the noble Prophet of Islam had to bow before the tyrannical power of the mercenaries of his time who eventually succeeded in killing his family and hijacking Islam to such a vile degree that today, our mosques are full with apathetic worshippers seeking heaven's glory while God's creation is made to live hell right here on earth.
All I can humbly do, appropriate to my limited vision, experience, and commonsense, is provide the forensic global analysis to explain what the game is on the Grand Chessboard, identify where it's headed, and to coldly opine to my brethren among the remaining 2% population of Pakistan who have turned Pakistan into the 'used condom' of the hectoring hegemons: stop being spineless bastards, *for Heaven has no rage like love to hatred turned; nor Hell a fury like the plebes scorned.*

The time to affect change is now if not already way past. Fait accompli mercilessly awaits right at the edge of the Rubicon after which humpty dumpty cannot be stitched back together again.

Surely, at least some among Pakistan's military is a *MA KA LAL* who must realize this?

Surely, some among Pakistan's literati is a *Field Negro* who can say NO to the ‘massa’?

Surely, some among Pakistan's ruling class suckled on the liberating bosoms of un-servitude?

Illegitimate birth was the fault of our ancestors that, in their unconstrained zeal for a separate nation-state for Muslims which had no parallel in the annals of history, they proved to be no match for the Machiavellian raping-game of *divide et impera* of the *hectoring hegemons*. The colonizers knew how to dangle just the right incentives and ripe-conditions before the colonized natives so that the sheep would themselves demand their own slaughter.

But *illegitimate fcking-around* ever since, in every generation, is entirely our own fault.

We inherited Pakistan – and our rulers have made the world a far worse place for it, including for the peoples of the sub-continent. The largest forced displacement which saw millions dead on either side of partition, followed by the largest forced dismemberment, followed by playing mercenary army for three decades for America's wars destroying neighboring Afghanistan in the process in a cataclysm whose reverberations are being felt in the 'War on Terror' all across the globe today, and now attempting to do the same to neighboring Iran. In the process of all this anal service to empire, converting Pakistan into a *Terror Central* from which it has now become impossible to extricate – the legacy of Pakistan. See my 2007 Open Letter to Pakistani General, Chapter 40 of Vol. I.

I am entitled to make these truthful and empirical observations as painful as they are to hear, because I am still a concerned citizen of Pakistan, the only country whose passport I bear, the only place where my heart longs to be despite having lived in the West for the best part of my life, and while others desert the sinking ship seeking foreign passports wherever they can find them, and willingly play *House Negro* to get visas from the white man, I carry my green one without fear.

I know *I have a rendezvous with death – and I shall not fail that rendezvous*. That pledge compels me to continually break my silence.

To stay deaf, mute and blind, is to be an accomplice.

To be a *house negroe* is to be without dignity.

To be of illegitimate birth is to be a bastard.

To seed illegitimate births is to be a *zani*.

To pursue virtuous piety in apathy to all this is to be complicit in crimes against humanity as its greatest enablers – as amply demonstrated in the Letter to Muslims in Chapter 2 Vol. II. To feel offended by the plebeian language I employ, and not by what the elite have done to Pakistan, is to be a hypocrite. I hope this frank *Realityspeak* is not lost on the profoundly *innocent of knowledge* weaned on *Newspeak* 24 hours a day, just as it is evidently entirely lost on the criminal *uncle tom* rulers of Pakistan.
Pakistan. As serendipity would dictate however, it is a profound irony of fate that the same elite who have been instrumental in writing the *Requiem Mass* for Pakistan, also hold the key to Pakistan's salvation within their grasp – with just a little bit of genuine courage to throw the tea overboard!

**Ultimately, all have a rendezvous with death – and none shall fail that rendezvous!**

Neither shall anyone – the God of the virginal Muslims assures one – cross the *razor's edge* to Heaven's doorway when the virtuous silence of the pious created the hell on earth for everyone.


Zahir Ebrahim
October 31, 2010
October 31, 2009
Who in Pakistan has not heard of the famous fable of King Solomon the wise. When presented with two women feuding over a baby, each claiming to be the real mother, the king drew his mighty sword to cut the baby in half to give to each quarrelsome woman. One of them, the real mother, cried out: “It's hers, it's hers, please give the entire beautiful baby to her, she is the real mother; I don't want half of the dead!”

Alas, on the face of it, not one among the rulers of Pakistan is the real mother of the Pakistani peoples.

Pakistan has been under the hectoring hegemons’ sword the moment the Berlin Wall came down. Almost overnight, with the calculated destruction of the Soviet Union, a new perpetual enemy of mankind was brought to the fore in the continuing game of Hegelian Dialectics to raise a new phoenix of world government from the ashes of the old world order. Various titled as militant Islam, radical Islam, islamofascism, but most often called Islamism to bring it kinship with the earlier enemies of mankind, namely, Fascism, Nazism, and Communism, though not Zionism, Pakistan has been the unrecognized eye of the storm from day one.

The only Muslim country with nuclear weapons, also harboring a ready-made psychological-tribal ethos which makes some of its inhabitants easy recruits for enabling the perpetual World War IV with just the right “tickling”, Pakistan had to be both harvested for fodder, and setup for destabilization and balkanization. The latter not primarily for the purpose which is most apparent, the riches under its soil, but to continue the path of creating World Order.

The previous four decades since the end of World War II until the dismantling of the Soviet Union, saw most of the world held hostage into two partisan camps of two combating nuclear titans. These bastions of civilization and high-morality of course only exchanged blows in proxy warfare upon poorer nations, but primarily used that Cold War as the pretext to run up a phenomenal expense on arms buildup. That was deemed World War III.

The moment that ended, World War IV began right away, albeit it remained poorly recognized as such until 911. The first barbaric invasion of Iraq, followed by the 13 years of strangulation regimes of economic sanctions and silent warfare – which notably elicited the famous affirmation from the US Secretary of State Madeleine Albright to the reporting of deaths of half a million Muslim children for a want of medicines “We think the price is worth it” – set the stage for it.
911 created that shock effect of “New Pearl Harbor” upon the American public to provide the full pretext to the remaining superpower left standing after World War III, to launch its final gambit to entirely overthrow the remaining Old World Order.

Thus, the past one hundred and twenty years have seen four World Wars, or their preparations, and in each war, three unusual things transpired:

1. existing world order and existing empire were partially destroyed, to be replaced by a transient world order that was to be an incremental baby-step towards the ultimate agenda of world government, and repeat ;

2. no territorial expansion occurred for any warring nation ;

3. a private financial oligarchy continually emerged the most victorious, consolidating its gains from each war, and each economic boom-bust cycle, to grow more and more powerful.

All warring nations ran up astronomical debts to these financial barons who armed all sides of the manufactured conflicts, and also controlled the monetary policy and currency-issue in each of those nations. The victors, as well as the vanquished. The war debt was administered by the Bank of International Settlement, or BIS for short. Who owns BIS and what do its owners want with world government? Was it foreseeable that Pakistan would be put on the chopping block of the war planners?

The collection of Project Humanbeingsfirst's letters and essays comprising this book examines the latter question, of the predictability of Pakistan's sorry fate. Had it not been for her own cowardly and treasonous rulers alternately cowering and thumping their chests while killing their own civilians in the most barbaric way imaginable; and for a public watching in apathy while waiting for Allah to come save them, it was a fate that was completely avoidable!

Who gave the mandate to military dictator General Pervez Musharaf to ally Pakistan with the hectoring hegemons in the aftermath of 911?

At Nuremberg, the rulers of Pakistan would all hang for creating and maintaining an alliance with the aggressor who committed not just war crimes, but “the supreme international crime differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole” as was concluded by Justice Robert Jackson, US chief prosecutors counsel at the Nuremberg Military Tribunals. General Pervez Musharaf treated Pakistan as his private inheritance, and the same is being done by his successors, both military and civilian.

It is my hope that in presenting this volume to them, that they might suddenly recall that six feet under, the maggots can't tell the difference. While surely, the soul extractor can!

For gaining a deeper comprehension of the larger context of one-world government in which Pakistan's dismantling is situated, please acquire the “Monetary Reform Bibliography” from Project Humanbeingsfirst's website, or take the online tutorial titled: “The Hegelian Dialectics of Deception Today's Focus: Anatomy of Modern Lies, Senseless Warfare, Merchants of Death”.

These essays and letters are arranged in reverse chronological order (for the lack of a better way to present them). All pertain to Pakistan directly, except three overarching ones which are more general:
1. the letter to the Financial Times of December 11, 2008 (Chapter 46), which is included to demonstrate that when the rest of the documents refer to one-world government, it isn't a conspiracy theory of idle schizoids on some LSD trip;

2. the report of April 26, 2008, From Balance of Terror to Unilateral Terror on the Grand Chessboard (Chapter 28), which is included to succinctly identify the fundamental political science reality behind the “war on terror”; and

3. the editorial of October 19, 2009 (Chapter 3), on the Pentagon's Fourth Generation Warfare which gives a bird's-eye view of the conspiratorial orchestration of modern history as the forensic backdrop for situating the ongoing World War IV and how to end it. It would be preferable to read these general essays in that order before proceeding to the predictable sorrows of Pakistan and the impediments to its obvious remedies.

This Second Ebook Edition of The Pakistan Decapitation Papers collects several additional reports and letters subsequent to the initial first edition, and is suitable for hardcopy pretty-printing.

October 31, 2009
Epilogue 2009

There is many a slip between the cup and lip. Pakistan is still on the map. It is not too late to wage a genuine struggle for independence against the barbarians at the gate who call themselves the hectoring hegemons.

***

There is no longer any room for hope. If we wish to be free—if we mean to preserve inviolate those inestimable privileges for which we have been so long contending—if we mean not basely to abandon the noble struggle in which we have been so long engaged, and which we have pledged ourselves never to abandon until the glorious object of our contest shall be obtained—we must fight!

I repeat it, sir, we must fight! An appeal to arms and to the God of hosts is all that is left us!

They tell us, sir, that we are weak; unable to cope with so formidable an adversary. But when shall we be stronger?

Will it be the next week, or the next year?

Will it be when we are totally disarmed, and when a Xe guard shall be stationed in every house? Shall we gather strength but irresolution and inaction?

Shall we acquire the means of effectual resistance by lying supinely on our backs and hugging the delusive phantom of hope, until our enemies shall have bound us hand and foot?

Sir, we are not weak if we make a proper use of those means which the God of nature hath placed in our power. The millions of people, armed in the holy cause of liberty, and in such a country as that which we possess, are invincible
by any force which our enemy can send against us.

Besides, sir, we shall not fight our battles alone. There is a just God who presides over the destinies of nations, and who will raise up friends to fight our battles for us. The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, the active, the brave. Besides, sir, we have no election. If we were base enough to desire it, it is now too late to retire from the contest.

There is no retreat but in submission and slavery! Our chains are forged! Their clanking may be heard on the plains of Baluchistan to the mountains of the Tribal Belt!

The war is inevitable--and let it come! I repeat it, sir, let it come.

It is in vain, sir, to extenuate the matter. Gentlemen may cry, Peace, Peace--but there is no peace. The war is actually begun! The next gale that sweeps from the north will bring to our ears the clash of resounding arms!

Our brethren are already in the field! Why stand we here idle? What is it that gentlemen wish? What would they have?

Is life so dear, or peace so sweet, as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery? Forbid it, Almighty God! I know not what course others may take; but as for me, give me liberty or give me death!

***

Once upon a time, so entreated a courageous man whom all honor in the United States of America as their founding father!

But when he hath spoketh, he wasn't addressing a nation of traitors, mercenaries, native-informants, 'Negroes', and a confused mass of people. The enemy was clearly identified. All he had to do was to rile them up to stand up for their dignity, and their independence.

Today, the enemy is shrouded in obfuscation for the vast majority of Pakistani people. They don't know the enemy. With each suicide bomb blast they bury their dead anew. Whom should they charge for the crime? Osama Bin Laden?

With each drone strike, they bury their children anew. Whom should they charge for that crime?

Or should they remain in awe of the benevolence of the imperial storm troopers after Iraq and Afghanistan, that they used only precision high-tech strikes to kill far fewer innocent men women and children in Pakistan than they otherwise might have with “shock and awe” if General Collin Powel was still around?

And feel gratified when it's only their own military (or jihadis) doing it to them – for surely, being dispatched to heaven by fellow Muslims is superior in some way than by infidels alone?
What ought the Pakistanis to feel, think, and do?
Or simply do nothing and let god sort it all out with the Hectoring Hegemons?
Who is the real enemy responsible for waging World War IV?
Is it the mosque, the mullah, the military, the militants, the politicians who turn on a dime, Israel, India, ISI, NATO, America, Islam, Judaism, Christianity, or god who has fated this dastardly destiny so that it can nicely bring about its promised messiah and show the good and wronged people how really mighty powerful and merciful it is so that they may all bow even lower in praise showing up their rear end even higher; who?
Whosoever is the enemy, staying silent 'looking from the side' only invokes the curse of all victims throughout posterity:

“I still curse the killers, their accomplices, the indifferent spectators who knew and kept silent, and Creation itself, Creation and those who perverted and distorted it. I feel like screaming, howling like a madman so that that world, the world of the murderers, might know it will never be forgiven.”

I absolve myself, and my progeny from that curse. We have tried our best to be heard.

Zahir Ebrahim
October 31, 2009
Chapter 1

The Search for Historical Truth:
Partition of India and Palestine

The UK Indian Independence Act 1947, 18th July 1947

Abstract

If you ask an Israeli Jew (of any nationality actually) who gave them Palestine to create their state based on religion, the answer ultimately reduces to “God”. If you ask a Pakistani Muslim who gave us Pakistan (I being a Pakistani) to create a state based on religion, the answer ultimately reduces to “God”. Even when each of these two very different peoples might be very secular and have little else in common culturally, they have that sense of divine exceptionalism in common. The blood-partition of Palestine and the Indian sub-continent also have a great deal of other things in common as well. Today Pakistan is perched at the brink of existentialism with “revolutionary times” visiting its shores once again and there is a resurgence to believe that God will come save us as Pakistan was brought about by God. The Israelis routinely experience this
sentiment in their conquest of the Palestinians as well, atheist or not. When the Jewish army had marched into Jerusalem in 1967 to claim it as theirs by divine promise, it was surely only the divine promise fulfilled. What are the actual forces on the ground that do this God's miraculous work on earth? A glimpse is afforded by The UK Indian Independence Act, 1947, 18th July 1947.

Discovering The “Divine Destiny” of Pakistan

This charter, known as the Indian Independence Act, 1947, is a declaration by a King to create Pakistan as a separate independent nation-state by the fiat of royal power:

“An Act to make provision for the setting up in India of two independent Dominions, to substitute other provisions for certain provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935, which supply outside those Dominions, and to provide for other matters consequential on or connected with the setting up of those Dominions. [18th July 1947.]

Be it enacted by the King’s most Excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, in this present Parliament assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows: -

1. As from the fifteenth day of August, nineteen hundred and forty-seven, two independent Dominions shall be set up in India, to be known respectively as India and Pakistan. ...” [15]

It is not a declaration of independence by the indigenous peoples, like the Declaration of American Independence by “We, the People”. There is no “We, the People” in the very foundational Act of the King of England! An imperial decree is what carved Pakistan and India from the Indo-subcontinent, along with their arbitrary and perpetually quarrelsome borders, in the blood of both the indigenous Muslims and the Hindus!

As the subsequent Pakistan's Objectives Resolution of 1949 [1] proclaimed, the creation of Pakistan was by divine sanction (by the divine right of kings it would appear if one reads this Act carefully, rather than by Will of Muslims' Almighty God). Most Pakistanis have never been introduced to this foundational document which carved Pakistan out of India by the royal proclamation of the British Empire. See the analysis of Sir Allama Iqbal's role [2] in serving the British imperial interests for which the poet of the British Empire was awarded knighthood of its Dominions. No knighthoods were awarded for the founding of the United States of America to the signers of its Declaration of Independence. In fact, many of its founders were hounded to death by the British empire. Why was the British Empire so agreeable on dividing the Indo-subcontinent. That land wasn't theirs to divide to begin with! They were the brute-force occupiers for two hundred years as the colonial raping and ravaging empire who ruled by deception, by conniving, by divide and conquer. And they maintained...
their legacy even in their reluctant departure.

The British acted in exactly the same manner in Palestine as well, after acquiring the temporary mandate on the heels of the Balfour Declaration. See the deconstruction of the Balfour Declaration [3] and the Pamphlet: How to Return to Palestine. [4]

Once again, Palestine was not their land to partition, and in a much greater travesty that they gratuitously gave it away to European Jewry. Palestine was a war booty along with the rest of the Middle East for the victors of World War I, and the British were only its temporary custodians without any right to play the divine right of kings. In both cases, what was not theirs to divide, the British Empire wrecked this cataclysm upon the indigenous peoples of these lands.

Clearly, the British empire during their retrenchment on the heels of imperial losses in two world wars, foresaw to safeguard their own economic, military, and security interests, as well as the interests of the international bankers in whose name the Balfour Declaration was issued by the British Empire, in both these partitions. See the uncovering of historical facts which continue to languish in international obscurity [5] and the Pamphlet: The Invisible House of Rothschild. [6]

This international cabal of private financiers, who, as owners of European and Anglo-American private central banks, have controlled the issuance of national currency in nearly all of Westerndom for over a hundred years, created the political instruments of The Round Table, and The United Nations, to orchestrate their global primacy. Their principal legatees today are the private Council on Foreign Relations in New York and The Royal Institute of International Affairs in London, both financed from private tax-exempt foundations controlled by the same cabal. Their main asset, institutionalized intellectual capital, is distributed among a thousand Western think-tanks, universities, and non-governmental organizations which today fashion key global policies for governments to blindly legislate behind their carefully maintained facade of electoral politics. [7]

To think otherwise, that the British as the great benefactors of the conquered peoples, generously gave in to popular sentiments emanating from among the natives that led to both partitions of such monumental cataclysm as to rival the something that was revealed on the Sinai,” is to be most naïve of international power on the grand chessboard. Ordinary public play minimal if any role in international politics except as putty to engineer consent in order to continue the illusion of popular mandate in democratic modernity's conception of state power. [8]

* Phraseology borrowed from Elie Wiesel, “It is a mystery whose parallel may only be the one of Sinai when something was revealed”. Memoirs All Rivers Run to the Sea.
CHAPTER 30.

An Act to make provision for the setting up in India of two independent Dominions, to substitute other provisions for certain provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935, which apply outside those Dominions, and to provide for other matters consequential on or connected with the setting up of those Dominions.

[18th July 1947.]

Be it enacted by the King's most Excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, in this present Parliament assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

1.---(1) As from the fifteenth day of August, nineteen hundred and forty-seven, two independent Dominions shall be set up in India, to be known respectively as India and Pakistan.

(2) The said Dominions are hereafter in this Act referred to as "the new Dominions", and the said fifteenth day of August is hereafter in this Act referred to as "the appointed day".

2.---(1) Subject to the provisions of subsections (3) and (4) of this section, the territories of India shall be the territories under the sovereignty of His Majesty which, immediately before the appointed day, were included in British India except the territories which, under subsection (2) of this section, are to be the territories of Pakistan.

(2) Subject to the provisions of subsections (3) and (4) of this section, the territories of Pakistan shall be--

(a) the territories which, on the appointed day, are included in the Provinces of East Bengal and West Punjab, as constituted under the two following sections;

"A 2"
by the King’s most Excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, in this present Parliament assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows: 1. As from the fifteenth day of August, nineteen hundred and forty-seven, two independent Dominions shall be set up in India, to be known respectively as India and Pakistan.'

Caption The Balfour Declaration November 2nd 1917 – Dear Lord Rothschild, 'His Majesty's Government view with favour the establishment in Palestine of a national home for the Jewish people, and will use their best endeavours to facilitate the achievement of this object, it being clearly understood that nothing shall be done which may prejudice the civil and religious rights of existing non-Jewish communities in Palestine, or the rights and political status enjoyed by Jews in any other country.'

The dividing of India along religion lines was the watershed event of the twentieth century. It lay the international precedent for the subsequent division of Palestine --- for now the racial Jews-only Jewish State could easily claim the Muslim precedent of a “religion only” basis for the separation of a people from their land in the blood-soaked creation of Pakistan that preceded the partition of Palestine.
by exactly 9 months, August 15, 1947 and May 15, 1948. Uncannily the same duration as the gestation period for the human race.

Consent was engineered for this orchestration among the Muslims of India and to this day, Pakistanis, patricians and plebeians alike, continue to fool themselves with a distorted view of how they came into being. It is taboo in Pakistan to question the official version of state-sanctioned history of the creation of Pakistan --- not much different from the taboo among the Jews, and indeed the entire Western world which is even legally enforced in the European Union and Canada, and only punitively by witch-hunting Ashkenazi Jewry in the United States of America. To even academically question the officially sanctioned narrative of the Jewish HolocaustTM employed for harvesting the Jewish state in Palestine, never mind politically questioning it to effectively counter the propaganda warfare inflicted upon the entire world to bring all public into compliance with the Jewish narrative, is to become persona non grata pretty much in every nation on earth that is part of the Western alliance or member of its economic bloc. The Jews themselves aren't allowed to question that narrative without being called “Judenrat” and other derogatory appellations.

The proud Indian public hasn't fared much better either. They continue to extol the virtues of their own heroes as having defeated the British Raj with such great courage and tenacity. It is virtually a taboo in mainstream India to question their own sanctioned narrative of history. The facts on the ground however suggest that the role of the pious intransigence of the Indian nationalists many of whom were jailed by the British for wanting to keep India united, was the necessary Hegelian Dialectic: the demand for United India of the Hindustan to conflict with the demand for a separate homeland for the Muslims. Otherwise the fire could not be lighted without two intransigent opposites coming into mortal conflict with each other, each believing fervently in their mission, and fervently enough to die for.

Perceptive understanding of political theories betray that the intransigence on the nationalist Hindu side was equally necessary, their leadership equally complicit and equally participant, perhaps unwittingly as puppets on a string which is what game-theory sets up all pawns to play, to enable fomenting and fueling the agitation by the Muslims.

The engineered Hindu-Muslim communal riots was in play for over 50 years, and at least from the moment Allama Muhammad Iqbal was given representation of the Muslims in the Round Table, before it was eventually brought to fruition as a convincing demand for separation by the Muslims that the two people could no longer live together. Perhaps it was in play even longer, ever since 1857, when the Hindu-Muslim united rebellion forced the British conquerors to recognize the necessity of driving an irrevocable permanent wedge between the two indigenous peoples to prevent any future united insurrection against the British Raj in the Indo-subcontinent which they had come to claim as “British India”. The persistence of this chauvinism is gleaned even during their retreatment from the clause 2. (1) on page one of the Act of 1947, where the wording refers to the King's dominion being partitioned into two independent dominions thusly. What better method than using religion as the divisive factor to foment a believable reason for partition?

The fact that the empire has long understood at least the Muslim cracks and lacunas, understood the weaknesses as well as the strengths of the ethos of the main people who posed a great impediment to their unfettered expansion from the seventeenth century onwards, with the warrior Ottoman Turks ruling virtually all of the Middle East and North Africa on the one side, and the warrior Mughals,
descendants of the same Mongol race, ruling territories even larger in South Asia on the other, is easily gleaned from the political novel, "Memoirs Of Mr. Hempher, The British Spy To The Middle East". [9] Like Machiavelli's The Prince is a most perceptive treatise on the methods of deception employed by rulers from time immemorial, this is an equally perceptive treatise on how to co-opt the infantile Muslim mind using their religious ethos toward the same purpose. It is cited here to merely give an indication of the vast arsenal of Machiavellian understanding of peoples and cultures available to predators to pick and choose from for the meal du jour being cooked.

This understanding, of how the massa class employs all the cracks and lacunas of a people to control their behavior, is not available to the public. Indeed, those bringing it to them are often burned at stake, or forced to drink the hemlock. But the inconvenient facts, and inconvenient observations linking these inconvenient facts, continue to speak for themselves even if they takedown the sacred cows of the public.

In the twentieth century with global visions of world government already in political play in the Round Table which was also hosting the India partition plans, without the Hindu leadership’s participation at the highest levels, perhaps wittingly, perhaps unwittingly, but nevertheless necessarily, in the Hegelian Dialectic of “United India” vs. “Partitioned India”, no “revolutionary times” could have been engineered in the Indian subcontinent. And as the famous statement by David Ben-Gurion for conquering Palestine goes:

“What is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times; and if at this time the opportunity is missed and what is possible at such great hours is not carried out – a whole world is lost.” — David Ben Gurion

The communal partition of the Indian subcontinent was arguably an absolute necessity for the British imperial interests during their retrenchment back to their tiny island — so that their famous “Jewel in the Crown” is never able to rise to its fullest potential as a truly independent and sovereign nation-state and thus come to play havoc with the oligarchy's long range imperial planning. That en passant abstraction, sovereign nation-state, being only a temporary staging process before the eventual transition to global governance, a one-world government of the Anglo-Saxon race led by its financial elite who controlled the empire. Well before the partition of India in 1947, that diabolical endgame was already being pursued by the Round Table interests openly. How could it have been a secret from either the Muslim or the Hindu leadership all of whom were educated in England and were eating off of the same English tables? It is plainly evidenced by the statement of the famous British historian Arnold Toynbee in 1931:

“We are at present working discreetly with all our might to wrest this mysterious force called sovereignty out of the clutches of the local nation states of the world. All the time we are denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands, because to impugn the sovereignty of the local nation states of the world is still a heresy for which a statesman or publicist can perhaps not quite be burned at the stake but certainly be ostracized or discredited.” — Arnold Toynbee, The Trend of International Affairs Since the War, International Affairs, November 1931, page 809

Why were the British carving India into smaller nation-states while they were simultaneously
conniving to “wrest this mysterious force called sovereignty out of the clutches of the local nation states of the world.”? Is that not an obvious question to ponder for the politically savvy mind possessing even a modicum of intellect that is willing to rise above the sanctioned narrative?

What is even more shocking is that there is no mention of this conflict, or whether any shrewd consideration was even given to it by the prominent leaders on both sides in recognition to their being played, in the vast treasury of documents and speeches left behind on the subject of partition in both India and Pakistan national archives. At least, in the books after books written on the subject that have used these archives, the silence is notable.

Not perceptively understanding the diabolical and sophisticated methods of empire has been the greatest failing of the simplistic-minded spiritually inclined peoples of the subcontinent both pre and post partition, continuing to today. A perceptive understanding of Machiavelli in history helps one perceptively understand Machiavelli in the present. See for instance: Operation Gladio Yesterday and Worldwide Terrorism Today – Identifying the Enemy. [10]

But in colonies and slave nation-states where the tradition of studying social sciences and political theories was never permitted to take root, the mind remains enslaved to the narratives of the massa designed for engineering the public's consent for what's happening to them. The role of house niggers [11] in cementing that engineered consent in massa's dominions is still most poorly understood in both India and Pakistan. These psychological assets of empire who are cunningly brought into national prominence have kept both nations victims of Occidentosis [12] in their national policy prescriptions even today, just as Lord Macaulay [13] had masterfully envisaged in the nineteenth century for its most precious jewel in the crown:

“We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern, --a class of persons Indian in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals and in intellect.”
— Lord Thomas Babington Macaulay, Minute on Education, 2nd February 1835

The legacy continues:

“Today's Uncle Tom doesn't wear a handkerchief on his head. This modern, twentieth-century Uncle Thomas now often wears a top hat. He's usually well-dressed and well-educated. He's often the personification of culture and refinement. The twentieth-century Uncle Thomas sometimes speaks with a Yale or Harvard accent. Sometimes he is known as Professor, Doctor, Judge, and Reverend, even Right Reverend Doctor. This twentieth-century Uncle Thomas is a professional Negro ... by that I mean his profession is being a Negro for the white man.” — Malcolm X, The Autobiography of Malcolm X, 1964, 1999 hardcover edition, Chapter Black Muslims, page 265

“The white establishment is skilled in flattering and cultivating emerging leaders. It presses its own image on them and finally, from imitation of manners, dress, and style of living, a deeper strain of corruption develops. This kind of Negro leader acquires the white man’s contempt for the ordinary Negro.
He is often more at home with the middle-class white than he is among his own people. His language changes, his location changes, his income changes, and ultimately he changes from the representative of the Negro to the white man into the white man’s representative to the Negro. The tragedy is that too often he does not recognize what has happened to him.” — Martin Luther King Jr., A Testament of Hope, page 307

Not in the slave colonies bestowed “freedom” by the King's benevolence, but in the singular colony that forcibly extracted her liberation from the King's tyranny, that such wisdom and perceptive understanding born! Both Malcolm X and Martin Luther King Jr., each assassinated, were made in the US of A, not India, and not Pakistan. Why not? Her peoples have all the riches of the world underneath their soil. They have all the brainy peoples of the world who come to America to create its Technetronic revolution. All that remains is some manly courage to face the facts of history and why it has been so easy to colonize vast nations of earth. Now accelerating towards world government!

If “imperial mobilization” was so simplistic and reductionist as its publicly advertised banner of Divide et Imperia, which even elementary school children in fifth grade history class first learn about in both India and Pakistan, the Indian subcontinent would have long been free of the yolk of colonialism. What most Indians and Pakistani never learn, even when they get a foreign education, is the Hegelian Dialectic. For their higher education is primarily to become part of the Western economic system, to maintain its status quo by being indoctrinated into its virtues, and finding suitable rewards in its whole hearted participation.

This is by design, and not just happenstance. For otherwise, no empire can flourish. Were that not the case, the conquered people would have shrewdly protected themselves from both the blood partition, and the subsequent faux freedom flags hoisted upon their nations by Western trained Hindu and Muslim lawyers on foundations which were entirely foreign to the genius of the indigenous peoples. To this day even the main body of laws in the partitioned states is almost entirely based on the pioneering British Penal Code, written in the nineteenth century for governing the remote and altogether alien colonies of the British empire!

A careful read of this imperial document divining the partition of the Indo-subcontinent leaves no room for speculation that the British engineered the policy of partition independent of the indigenous Muslim public’s demand in accordance with their own imperial calculus. The popular sentiment among the Muslims was an exercise in demand creation using the British empire trained assets. It was a top-down demand sown by the patricians and not an organic demand which was seeded naturally among the plebeian people.

The demand was fertilized with riots and bloodshed to engineer consent for the imperial project. And the King was all too willing to comply with the “demand” presented to him in the name of the Muslims by the British empire's own trained minds. It is perhaps only a remarkable coincidence that it is difficult to identify a single key founder of Pakistan among the Muslim League leadership in the ten-twenty years preceding the creation of Pakistan, who spent any time in a British jail for actively opposing the British empire.

Unlike those Indian nationalist leaders who were principally engaged in the Quit India Movement, and as far as they were concerned, most fearlessly gave up their freedom to the British jailers to get rid of the colonial masters in a united India. It is of course only attributed to the genius of
the Muslim League leadership that they did not forsake their freedom, as the famous American biographer Stanley Wolpert of UCLA asserts in his book: Jinnah of Pakistan (1984). *(This fact is noted from memory as I read this work decades ago.)*

It is also difficult to identity any prominent Muslim separatist leadership who at any time loudly condemned the Jallianwala Bagh massacre (also known as the Amritsar massacre), which took place in the Jallianwala Bagh public garden in the northern Indian city of Amritsar on 13 April 1919, unlike among the Hindu nationalists. Their most famous poet laureate, Rabindranath Tagore, immediately repudiated his knighthood in protest after that episode, while the most famous poet laureate who is called the intellectual father of Pakistan for calling for a separate nation for Muslims, Sir Allama Muhammad Iqbal, evidently did not bat an eyelid, steadfastly holding on to the British knighthood until his death.

These same British trained assets who never actively or publicly opposed any British cruelty wrecked upon the Muslims beginning with the dismemberment of the Ottoman Empire, became the first Government of Pakistan after the partition. It is also just a coincidence of course that from the very inception of the Government of Pakistan on August 15, 1947, the pre-partition feudal power class in the Indian subcontinent which was cultivated and coddled by the British Empire, was retained in Pakistan by these British assets – unlike by the Indian nationalists who came to power in post-partition India! That feudal power class created by the British empire to serve their imperial interests still flourishes in Pakistan. It has continually been augmented by other feudals arising, spanning the gamut from the military praetorian guard class which has come to own most of Pakistan's economy and its real-estate, to the industrialist class many of whom have British titles. This includes Mr. Syed Babar Ali, head of Nestle Corporation Pakistan supplying water to the foreign occupation troops in Afghanistan, who has appointment to the Order of the British Empire, OBE.

Finally, the mind disturbed by reading this Act and the preceding deconstruction of imperial history, might begin to ponder whether these separatist Muslim leaders were mere mercenaries for hire, or Nietzsche's superman exercising “will to power”, or were they merely dupes and stooges, flattered, cajoled, cultivated and knighted by empire to serve its interests. It is now hard to tell which is which, but it also doesn't really matter ex post facto, because their behavior, and the favors that were granted them by empire, is what speaks loudly on their behalf through the events of history once we change the lens focus from narrow to wide, from local to global. The worrisome dilemma for those living in the present, should instead be the uncomfortable recognition that these sacred leaders were used by empire for primacy on the grand chessboard of their time, wittingly or unwittingly, and that indeed little has changed in the modus operandi of primacy on the grand chessboard of our own time.

The UK Indian Independence Act, 1947, Dated 18th July 1947, is the grotesque testimony in plain-sight of the precedent-setting engineered partition of a land, and the precedent-setting engineered forced separation of a people who had hitherto lived together for a thousand years on that land, in the name of religion! Apart from the engineered American “War on Terror” in the name of perpetually fighting “militant Islam” which has now been legislated in nearly all nations of the world as the inevitable harbinger of one-world government, the UK Indian Independence Act, 1947, is the most humiliating example of the devilish hijacking of the religion of Islam willingly bought into by Muslims – a people who have remained malleable putty in the hands of successive empires since the very inception of Islam! Not only has “Islam” become the opiate of the plebeians, but history now bears incontrovertible testimony that the abuse, distortion, and mis-representation of the religion of
Islam before the public mind for self-serving political agendas of the patricians, has been an effective instrument for extracting voluntary servitude from the masses. [14]

All pages from The UK Indian Independence Act, 1947, 18th July 1947, are reproduced at the Project Humanbeingsfirst's Pak-Politico website. [16]

Footnotes

[8] Research, for instance, the openly stated purpose and openly visible institutional power of The Council on Foreign Relations in New York, The Royal Institute of International Affairs in London, both offshoots of the Round Table that was set up by Cecil Rhodes under Alfred Milner. And who funds and controls these privately funded, tax-exempt organizations today, along with the myriad think-tanks and supra-national organizations like the United Nations, World Bank, IMF, WTO; the rules and regulations emanating from these institutions define (and legitimize) the global policies of not just the superpower state and many Western nations, but govern the policies of all nations of the world in a web of control.

The famed electorate, as well as the celebrated elected representatives, play minimal role in policy formulation and global agenda planning, apart from the former lending legitimacy to the notion of “democracy”, and the latter lending their signature to enact the private interests of the ruling oligarchy into the “legalism” of the state under that umbrella of “democratically elected”. Partition of India and Palestine are examples of that fiat of power exercised through the ruling-state by the forces that control it from behind the facade of public will.

That public will, is at times synthetically manufactured, at other times is organically present by the nature of prevailing human conditions, such that the public response is predictable in the aggregate when properly cultivated using game theory. In all cases, the conditions are continually fertilized until ripe for harvesting.

This is why think tanks like the Rand Corporation exist. Set up by the United States government, the
new ruling state after World War II, it is predominantly staffed with mathematicians and other political
and military experts in game theory. They divine war and peace games during all hours of the day and
night, for public behavior manipulation. None of this information is state secret. Only the public
awareness is lacking. And for good reason.

The *bread and circuses* of the Roman empire have also been upgraded for modernity. More one is
invested in one's success, career, business, the “American Dream”, more there is motivation to remain
ignorant of the real forces of social engineering governing one's society lest one is deprived of the
spoils of the good life. See *The Art and Science of Co-option*,
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Chapter 2

Sacred Cow: “Sir” Allama Iqbal - Marde-momin or Superman?

Abstract

This Sacred Cow series examines the impact of the most prominent “sacred cows” among the Muslims who have been instrumental in carving out Muslim people's destiny over the last one hundred years. I begin this series with a name most distinguished, most honored, most loved, most holy, most quoted, and most rehearsed even today, eighty years after his death, “Sir” Dr. Allama Muhammad Iqbal, Pakistan's national poet-laureate and intellectual father. This detached scrutiny separates myths from reality on the anvil of empiricism. The dispassionate study discovers that the celebrated hero of Pakistani Muslims, claimed by virtually all Muslim sects of Pakistan, as well as Ayatollah Khomeini's Revolutionary Iran, as their own scholar-laureate, was an opportunist and hypocrite to the core. “Sir” Dr. Allama Muhammad Iqbal's lofty words selling the liberation of the Muslim mind, did not quite match his own opportunistic services to the Crown, and for which the scholar-laureate of the Indian subcontinent was made the Knight Honorable of the British Empire. This study reveals the depth of depravity of the Nietzschean Superman who soaks nations in blood while dying holily in bed.

This archetype of the diabolical public hero exists in all times and nations. The
point of studying history is to not continually fall victim to the vulgar machinations of history's actors. Typically, only the names and contents change. The template of Machiavellian machinations, cultivation of public heroes, harvest of fifth columnist, manufacture of diabolical Hegelian Dialectic for directing outcomes to predefined directions, and public stupidity to fall for elitist agendas as the proverbial useful idiots, do not change. Who might be such fifth columnists selling their consciences in the garb of high morality in our own time? Unless the Machiavellian craftsmanship of such heroes is deciphered before it divines its fait accompli, history's blood will flow in every modernity.

Divining a Religion-based State in Blood-partition of India

The concept of “momin” in Islam is eloquently captured by the twentieth century poet-philosopher of Muslims from the Indian sub-continent, “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal, also known as Allama Iqbal (1877-1938). His chivalrous depiction of Islam's ideal person, both man and woman, by the chauvinist term “marde-momin” (and “marde-Mussalman”), is based on his abstraction of “khudi”, or the philosophical “self” -- the suppression of what Sigmund Freud had called the “ego” by the elevation of what he had famously introduced as the “superego” -- to become the obedient “slave” of God. In a sentence, it is the submersion of one's own will into God's will.

That is of course also the core Message of Islam, where the word “Islam” itself means “complete submission to the will of Allah”. That in turn means to implement the teachings of the Holy Qur'an in one's life as a constitution to daily live by. Which further entails, inter alia, to live one's life as outlined in Surah Al-Asr such that it is not one of total loss (see http://tinyurl.com/Surah-Asr).

Allama Muhammad Iqbal's theistic exposition of Islam in his philosophy of the suppression of the ego is in contrast to the atheistic nihilistic concept of the Nietztschean “Superman”. Nietzsche's “Superman” is beyond good and evil, the ubermensch born after killing god and becoming god himself with his sheer “will to power”. The impact of Nietzsche's philosophy is examined in Morality derived from the Intellect leads to Enslavement! (see http://tinyurl.com/morality-ubermensch).

The strains of German pedigree in Allama Iqbal's philosophy are unhidden despite his take being wholly theistic. It constitutes a Hegelian Dialectic – Nietzsche expands the intellectual man's ego to become “god”, while Iqbal deflates the ego to become the slave of God. Their clash, as any clash of opposites, is natural and inevitable. It is the clash between the ultimate evil man (the Superman) and the ultimate good man (the marde-momin). Allama Muhammad Iqbal studied in Germany and was clearly affected by many a German philosopher including both Hegel and Nietzsche. The chauvinistic term “mard” in “marde-momin”, its literal meaning being “male”, evidently comes from the play on Nietzsche's “man” in “Superman” (“ubermensch” in German).
Allama Iqbal defined “marde-momin” in his seminal poem titled “Tulu-e-Islam” (.environment of Islam). In English it means “Renaissance of Islam”. The poem is in his compilation Bang-e-Dara, and the verse in which he used that specific word is:

Endeavoring to induce “Renaissance of Islam” among the backward Muslims is surely a commendable cause to spend one's life in. Like the endeavor to “reform Islam” itself, it can also be a fast ticket to name and fame, knighthood and status.

It is pertinent to point out the commonsense observation that when “revolutionaries” and “reformers” are awarded medals, titles, and knighthood by empire, it can only mean that they work for the benefit of empire in some way. The truth of these words is beyond doubt. It is self-evident.

It has always bothered me that “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal accepted the knighthood in 1922 from the King of England, King George V, the head of state of the most plunderous colonizing empire ruling the Indian sub-continent. (According to some other accounts, “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal accepted the knighthood on January 1, 1923.) The brief biographical sketch appearing in Government of Pakistan's official website of Allama Iqbal, Pakistan's national poet-laureate, describes the public relations circumstance for the awarding of Knighthood by the British empire to the brightest scion of its Jewel in the crown which has been parroted by virtually all “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal's hagiographic biographers without reflection:

‘Iqbal was born in Sialkot, in the present-day province of the Punjab in Pakistan, in 1877. He received his early education in that city, where one of his teachers was Mir Hasan, an accomplished scholar who commanded a
knowledge of several Islamic languages. Mir Hasan gave Iqbal a thorough training in the rich Islamic literary tradition. His influence on Iqbal was formative. Many years later (1922), when the English governor of the Punjab proposed to the British Crown that Iqbal be knighted in acknowledgment of his literary accomplishments, Iqbal asked that Mir Hasan also be awarded a title. To the governor’s remark that Mir Hasan had not authored any books, Iqbal responded that he, Iqbal, was the book Mir Hasan had produced. Mir Hasan received the title of *Shams al-'Ulma'* (‘Sun of Scholars’).

... Although his main interests were scholarly, Iqbal was not unconcerned with the political situation of the country and the political fortunes of the Muslim community of India. Already in 1908, while in England, he had been chosen as a member of the executive council of the newly established British branch of the Indian Muslim League. In 1931 and 1932 he represented the Muslims of India in the Round Table Conferences held in England to discuss the issue of the political future of India. And in a 1930 lecture Iqbal suggested the creation of a separate homeland for the Muslims of India. Iqbal died (1938) before the creation of Pakistan (1947), but it was his teaching that “spiritually ... has been the chief force behind the creation of Pakistan.” He is the national poet of Pakistan.’ — [http://allamaiqbal.com/person/perbrief.html](http://allamaiqbal.com/person/perbrief.html)

While the British empire was making “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal the Knight of the British Empire, the poet-philosopher at the time was trying to awaken the Islam in Muslims to help them end their servitude to the very same empire! Was the British empire run by imbeciles?

Being able to discern such matters forensically is what separates hagiography from reality.

What was “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal being knighted for by the king of Britannia? To instrument the destruction of his own British empire? Or, for “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal's brilliant doctrinal craftsmanship as the asset of empire, one who would seed the division of the Indian subcontinent in the already foreseeable post-colonial era?

“Sir” Muhammad Iqbal's two-nation advocacy was instrumental in breaking up the Indian subcontinent. While the poet-philosopher was being anointed “Sir” for ostensibly awakening the Islam in Muslims within India, another Muslim empire, a ruling state no less, the Ottoman empire, was being mercilessly dismembered and secularized by the very same benefactors of “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal.

“Sir” Muhammad Iqbal evidently did not seem too perturbed for that calamity befalling the Muslim Ottoman empire or else he would have surely declined the knighthood awarded him by their enemies. His silence, and his acceptance of knighthood was most convenient for the British empire which had been hell-bent upon tearing that rival Muslim empire asunder for at least two centuries. It had finally succeeded in the backdrop of a fictitiously contrived world war. “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal's non-opposition to the British empire for their grotesque dismembering of a Muslim ruling state speaks volumes. For one thing, it legitimized the butcherous partitioning of Muslim territories by the Western allies when even the foremost scholar of the Muslims preaching Islam's renaissance, graciously accepted the knighthood of the British empire in its immediate aftermath.

The poet-philosopher displayed no parallel angst for Islam and its renaissance among the
Turkish Muslims to prevent that rival ruling state from being so grotesquely dismembered by the British empire. Nor did he visibly oppose the British empire which was forcibly secularizing the new Turkish nation-state away from its three centuries old Islamic roots with its Western sponsored blood-drenched transformation. “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal had accepted his knighthood after witnessing all that mayhem upon the Ottoman Muslims.

But the poet-philosopher displayed much soul for Islam and its renaissance elsewhere in Asia as he strove to break up his own Indian subcontinent which could potentially have become a new ruling state in the post colonial era due to the vastness and integrity of its territories and natural resources. Its violent partition by “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal's benefactors based on his doctrinal craftsmanship, with concomitant animosity instituted among a people who had hitherto lived peaceably together, while leaving them the imperial gift of a perpetual bone of contention in disputed territory to continually refresh that animosity, certainly ensured that the immense subcontinental region would remain beyond its true potential and always susceptible to easy manipulation. Today, these partitioned nations spend a bulk of their GDP and national debt on defence – defence primarily against each other – rather than on uplifting the lot of their common man.

Furthermore, the uncanny resemblance of:

- “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal's unprecedented demand for a separate homeland for the Muslims in the name of religion (an outrageous demand for the separation of a common race of people from their own birthplace not hitherto recorded in history in the thirteen centuries of Islam's existence up to that time),

- the European Zionist Jews' demand for a separate homeland in Palestine (the territories formerly under the newly dismembered Ottoman empire) also in the name of race and religion (again an unprecedented and outrageous demand in the annals of recorded history to be gratuitously awarded land to a people not even born on that land by a third party),

and:

- both demands being so egregiously granted by the receding British empire within less than a year of each other under similar “revolutionary times”,

is more than just a passing coincidence of imperial history.

In both cases, violently partitioning, by imperial fiat, two pieces of geography upon which they were only the colonial occupiers (India) and war-booty custodians (Palestine) respectively, and which weren't theirs to partition in the first place. (See The Search for Historical Truth: Partition of India and Palestine, http://tinyurl.com/India-Palestine-Partition )

Both cases respectively leading to the two largest displacements in modern history of innocent peoples forcibly being separated from their ancestral homes and their land, with bloodshed of the civilians caught in the atrocious imperial partition rivaling that during the preceding two world wars among soldiers and non-combatants.
The common political instruments in both cases, the Round Tables and the United Nations, constructed by the same financial oligarchy in whose principal's name the Balfour Declaration was issued by the British Empire, is also not a mere coincidence. I have forensically examined some of this history in my analysis of the existential dilemma facing the Palestinian peoples, see Pamphlet: How To Return to Palestine (http://tinyurl.com/Palestine-Zahir). The carnal linkages of the same financial oligarchy which bankrolled the theft of Palestine, to the establishment of the Round Tables (where the issue of the partition of India was addressed and concluded) by Cecil Rhodes and Alfred Milner, is well documented. Behind them was the wealth of the same financial oligarchs as the founders of Palestine. And today, the children of the Round Tables fashioning the contemporary Anglo-American policies for ushering in Global Governance, are the Council on Foreign Relations in New York, also called CFR, and the Royal Institute of International Affairs in the UK, also called Chatham House.

For any honest scholar with at least some wherewithal of modern imperial statecraft, and observing all the forces that shape international events from “Mt. Fuji”:

‘Aspire to be like Mt. Fuji, with such a broad and solid foundation that the strongest earthquake cannot move you, and so tall that the greatest enterprises of common men seem insignificant from your lofty perspective. With your mind as high as Mt. Fuji you can see all things clearly. And you can see all the forces that shape events; not just the things happening near to you.’

(Miyamoto Musashi, quoted in Political Ponerology by Andrew M. Lobaczewski),

it is most pertinent to question the unarticulated motivations of this knighted poet-philosopher of Muslims whose inspirational verses are admired and rehearsed much among the literati in many nations even today. One way to ascertain the many strands of invisible forces which drive motivation is to forensically examine their overt acts, both of commission and omission. That's the best one can do as no one can peer into the abyss of another's soul.

If “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal knew so much about “marde-momin” that he was preaching that delectable philosophy to others, why did the Indian Muslim counterpart of Theodor Herzl – the founder of the Jewish State in Palestine – not decline the royal knighthood as a demonstration of his own “marde-momin-ness”? “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal surely could have taught the mentally colonized Indian Muslims, and of course the world's Muslims (except for the Turks) – the raison d'être for his lifetime of versification to help Muslims break their shackles of servitude – a more compelling lesson by way of setting an example himself!

Furthermore, if “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal knew so much about the cognizance of the “self”, why could the virtuous antagonist of Nietzsche not straightforwardly discern that he was himself being used as a stooge by the British empire which was tickling his over inflated ego as their own favorite “hakim-ul-ummat” (“physician of the Muslim umma”) with such knighthood, and was only buying his cooperation for the legitimacy that his acceptance conferred upon their acts on the decapitation of the Ottoman empire and the atrocious granting of the Balfour Declaration to the Jews?

The “hakim-ul-ummat” could have surely taught the Indian Muslims, then being ruled for over 250 years with corrosive mental colonization, what his philosophizing of “khudi” actually meant in practice by immediately declining the knighthood handed him by the very same oppressor of Muslims.
If he had himself conviction of any of it, his brilliant verses like the following one from his compilation Zarb-E-Kaleem, would have been given a practical demonstration for what sharpening the superego on the grindstone of submission to God as the only Benefactor meant, as opposed to sharpening the ego on empire's benefaction:

خودي کا سر نہاں ل الہ ال ا
خودي ہے تیغ، فسال لا ال ا اللہ
Khudi ka sirr-e-nihaaN La ilaha il Allah
khudi hai tegh-e-fasaaN La ilaha il Allah

The secret of the Self is hid, In words “No god but He alone”.

The Self is just a dull-edged sword, “No god but He,” the grinding stone. (Source)

Does “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal not appear to be more and more like Nietzsche's Superman and less and less like his own marde-momin?

The principle definition of Nietzsche's Superman is that one can preach and enact anything upon others and one is not bound to it for one's own self – because, one is above others, an ubermensch, beyond good and evil, the Superman. The definition of marde-momin however entails just the opposite, principally, that one is bound by the same requirements and constraints as one inflicts upon another (which in this case is being a perfectman, a momin, a slave of Allah, and not of empire or fellow man).

To be generous, one could aver that minimally, “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal remains an enigma, using homilies in local vernaculars such as “shadow underneath the bright lamp”, to perhaps apologetically explain away the unpalatable actions of one's hero.

More straightforwardly put however, any preacher's word is only as good as his own character to live up to that preaching. To walk the talk so to speak, if the talk is held with any degree of conviction. That is principally, and unequivocally, demonstrated by the Prophet of Islam who brought the Message of the Author of the Holy Qur'an to mankind. If the Prophet of Islam had accepted a medal or knighthood from any of the ruling kings and empires of his time to whom he sent invitations to accept Islam, or, if his Ahlul-Bayt successors (see http://tinyurl.com/Ahlul-Bayt-In-Quran3), had accepted knighthood from the oppressive Muslim rulers and empires of their own epoch, then their brilliant exponents inducing “Renaissance of Islam” also accepting imperial anointments in their own times would surely be aboveboard; as just walking in the footsteps of one's own heroes and imams.

Knighthood and its title “Sir” is always, but always, only awarded by Britannia to those who serve the British empire's interests in some way. As the factual record stands, “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal undoubtedly served the diabolical interests of the British empire – his poetic exposition on Islam's empowerment of man notwithstanding.

One could argue that Iqbal knowingly used Islam for the British empire's own instrument of divide et impera. Because, as one could arguably reason with certitude, no farsighted sage known for
the immensity of his intellect can ever be so shortsighted.

In Germany having become acquainted with Hegel and the Hegelian Dialectic, and in Britain with the broader agenda of the British empire from Rhodes to the Round Tables, “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal surely understood in the wake of World War I, that colonialism was already on the wane and a new era of nation-states was being ushered in. That the Anglo-American establishment would now be running the interim new world order of the twentieth century with neocolonialism rather than direct colonialism. With that as the percipient backdrop, “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal therefore surely understood that the partition of the Indian subcontinent was advantageous to the Great Game players of the preceding century. That it would surely be more productive to make it appear to be the natural demand of the people of India themselves. The British empire had already observed how the Hindus and Muslims had come together in their previous rebellion of 1857, and had demonstrated an uncanny ability to live together peaceably for centuries. They had to be torn asunder to ensure that such a large land mass and large population center could not rise to become rivals of the Western hegemony which was only changing the stripes on its flag in the twentieth century, not its exercise. (See The Search for Historical Truth: Partition of India and Palestine, http://tinyurl.com/India-Palestine-Partition)

“Sir” Muhammad Iqbal therefore, either opportunistically or ideologically, whereas history written by hagiographic as well as Western scribes makes it out to be due to the “dire” political reality of Muslims in India, took over from where his Cambridge compatriot Choudhary Rahmat Ali, Founder, Pakistan National Movement, had left his 1933 “now or never” template for partitioning India (see http://tinyurl.com/now-or-never-chrahmatali1933). “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal drove his own long-gestating version of the two nation advocacy through the Muslim League leadership, convincing them of its validity by the sheer weight of the imposing name that was proposing it. His own. Any lesser man making the same proposal would have been ignored – just as Choudhary Rahmat Ali, a non-entity, not known for his non-existence poetic brilliance for “Renaissance of Islam”, was sensibly ignored for substantially similar concept.

The empire had cultivated and anointed the right asset for pushing the real coup de grâce to the Indian subcontinent forward in the next baby-step. Fathering the right political demand and a national movement for a “Muslim State”. Just as it was simultaneously transpiring for the long-planned partition of Palestine for the construction of the Jewish State. Only an ignoramus, or the most simpleton, will think that Israel was created for the Jews due to the immediately transpiring “dire” consequences of the Holocaust™ in World War II. No – the partition of India was long-planned. Surely no later than the granting of the Balfour Declaration to the Jews in 1917. Someday, documents yet to be uncovered from the still classified imperial archives will furnish the smoking gun behind the conception of a new pathological puppet Muslim State as the empire was decapitating the all powerful ruling Muslim state. The principle behind the partition plan of the Indian subcontinent had to be to create a pathological condition in the Indian subcontinent which would be hard to overcome. Which is precisely the empirical evidence. (See The Search for Historical Truth: Partition of India and Palestine, http://tinyurl.com/India-Palestine-Partition)

To execute that long term imperial plan, “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal deliberately spun a specious dogma by using Islam as the pretext for the underlying irreconcilable differences between the two peoples, Hindus and Muslims. The temporary political instability, the manufactured “revolutionary times”, was speciously argued to be the unconquerable permanent manifestation of these fundamental differences due to religion. Suddenly, within just a few short years after World War I and the
formation of the Round Tables, two peoples were deemed to no longer be able to co-exist together in changing times after having done so for a thousand years.

“Sir” Muhammad Iqbal doctrinally instrumented a forced separation of a people that remains unprecedented in the entire fourteen and a half century history of the Muslims to this very day. And he did it all in the name of “Renaissance of Islam” – Many literate Muslims to this day love him for it! I am not sure who is the bigger abuser of Islam, the atheist Jewish Superman Zbigniew Brzezinski who confessed to “giving to the USSR its Vietnam war” by goading the Afghan Mujahideens with: “God is on your side” (see http://tinyurl.com/Islam-Socialization), or, the theist Muslim marde-momin “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal who caused the blood-drenched partition of an entire subcontinent using pretty much the same doctrinal goading.

The recurring statement above, manufactured “revolutionary times”, bears closer inspection. That term in quotes comes from David Ben-Gurion, the first prime minister of the other blood-soaked partition legatee of the British empire, who famously expressed its utility most poignantly sometimes in the 1930s for the forced creation of the Jewish State in Palestine by the expulsion of its indigenous population:

‘What is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times; and if at this time the opportunity is missed and what is possible at such great hours is not carried out – a whole world is lost’ — David Ben Gurion (cited in Norman G. Finkelstein, Image and reality of the Israel—Palestine conflict, Verso books, 2003, pg. xii)

The afore-cited author further quotes the Jewish historian Tom Segev to explain the diabolical genius that lay behind the construction of these “revolutionary times”: 'The idea of transfer had accompanied the Zionist movement from its very beginnings, ... “Disappearing” the Arabs lay at the heart of the Zionist Dream, and was also a necessary condition of its existence.' And Finkelstein himself notes with brilliant hindsight: “The key was to get the timing right.”! (Ibid.)

It is impossible that “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal, while being so brilliant on the one hand, remained stoically unaware of the underpinnings of the Zionist movement, and its Nietzschean “will to power” through the creation and harvesting of “revolutionary times”.

The following is what Leo Strauss, “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal's atheist counterpart and also contemporary philosopher of the new Jewish State in the founding, wrote in 1931-32, directly expressing Nietzsche's philosophy of “will to power” of the Superman as the key motivational force behind the demand and orchestration for the Jewish State:

‘Political Zionism has repeatedly characterized itself as the will to normalize the existence of the Jewish people, to normalize the Jewish people. By this self-definition it has exposed itself to a grave misunderstanding, namely, the misunderstanding that the will to normality was the first word of political Zionism; the most effective criticism of political Zionism rests on this misunderstanding. In truth, the presupposition of the Zionist will to normalization, that is, of the Zionist negation of galut [exile], is the conviction that “the power of religion has been broken”. Because the break with religion has been resolutely effected by many individual Jews, and only because of this
reason, it is possible for these individuals to raise the question on behalf of their people, how the people is to live from now on. Not that they prostrate themselves before the idol of normality; on the contrary: they no longer see any reason for the lack of normality. And this is decisive: in the age of atheism, the Jewish people can no longer base its existence on God but only on itself alone, on its labor, on its land, and on its state. .....’ — Leo Strauss, The Early Writings 1921-1932, pg. 202

And we have already witnessed in all the preceding verbiage that for “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal, the philosopher of the new Muslim State in the founding, the key motivational force behind the demand and orchestration for the Muslim State during exactly the same time-period, was the Hegelian converse of Nietzsche's Superman, the marde-momin.

Two opposite types of man, yet harvesting the same modus operandi of “revolutionary times” to realize their respective dream state, one for the Jewish Superman, the other for the Muslim marde-momin.

The near simultaneity of the same methods appearing in both Palestine and the Indian subcontinent for their respective partitioning by the same masters, using the ideologically similar doctrinal justification of burrowing deep into the respective religious ethos to find a rationale for the “Jewish State and the “Muslim State”, employing the same diabolical political science of “revolutionary times” to legitimize the manufactured political demand and its concomitant political movement among its respective masses who fervently begin to believe in their new destiny as the only solution to their respective existential dilemma, and by getting the timing right in both cases, identifies the common lines of forces behind their common thinking pattern. Especially when observing all the forces which shape events, both local and distant, overt and hidden, from the heights of “Mt. Fuji”.

It is further impossible that “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal, while so brilliantly bred in England and Germany in their elite political institutions, a Cambridge man, chosen as member of the executive council of the newly established British branch of the Indian Muslim League, made representative of the Muslims of India in the Round Table Conferences held in England to discuss the issue of the political future of India, was simultaneously also so poorly read of empire's own political doctrines. That he had closed his eyes to what their own institutional elite openly declared as the endgame of their international political ideology for the newly emerging nation-states which they were temporarily thrusting upon all former empires (the British, the Ottoman, the Hapsburg):

‘We are at present working discreetly with all our might to wrest this mysterious force called sovereignty out of the clutches of the local nation states of the world. All the time we are denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands, because to impugn the sovereignty of the local nation states of the world is still a heresy for which a statesman or publicist can perhaps not quite be burned at the stake but certainly be ostracized or discredited.’ — Arnold Toynbee, The Trend of International Affairs Since the War, International Affairs, November 1931, page 809

To proclaim on the one hand the great Allama's brilliant mind, and on the other hand excuse him for his pathetic ignorance of world affairs while he is a most distinguished political player
representing the political future of an entire subcontinent, is a non sequitur. (See The Search for Historical Truth: Partition of India and Palestine, http://tinyurl.com/India-Palestine-Partition)

Such absurdities only occur in Alice in Wonderland, or in the academic mind ensconced in the ivory tower of immanent philosophy. When encountered in real political life, it is almost always indicative of Superman at play weaving images on the screen of Plato's cave for controlling the public mind (see http://tinyurl.com/Plato-Myth-of-the-Cave).

Moving right along.

How were “revolutionary times” manufactured for the Indian subcontinent?

Muslims are so sensitive to their religion that to create riots on demand among Muslim polity is even easier than before. Draw a cartoon, make a movie, and voilà, – there is raw anger pouring into the streets which can be trivially harvested to create “revolutionary times” on demand. “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal's two nation advocacy was similarly harvested by the Muslim League political leaders to bring Muslim public out into the streets demanding a separate nation after their sensitivities were appropriately “tickled”. The emotional Hindu-Muslim riots were instrumental in forcing the public mind for partition. In marketing terms, it is called demand creation. Just as riots can be engineered today by drawing a cartoon or making a film with useful idiots and planted stooges fanning the flame – when the firewood is primed, any match can light the fire – the fertile grounds for “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal's two nation reality was politically engineered on demand by shrewdly preying upon the public mind. Its fulfillment therefore, as the demand of the Muslims themselves, thus became a self-fulfilling prophecy.

Exactly what the British empire wanted all along but pretended that they were merely acquiescing to the Muslim demand for partition. Such a crime unilaterally committed without that pretext of “Muslim demand” in place would otherwise have united the entire subcontinent's public against the British empire. And that “Muslim demand” was given existential currency only by the temporary “revolutionary times” manufactured for that purpose. The same way as in these times when the American public mind was made to “United We Stand” to every abhorrent and evil act of their superpower government by the “catastrophic terrorism” of 9/11 – their “revolutionary times” to make possible what “is inconceivable in normal times.” See Behavior Control by The Mighty Wurlitzer to perceptively fathom how the public mind is made with adept perception management (http://tinyurl.com/MightyWurlitzer).

Now that the engineered fait accompli of partition, and the senseless spilling of each others blood cannot be reversed, the least these offspring nations of the Indian subcontinent can do is to acquire some national “sha-oor” (wisdom, wherewithal) and recognize their common enemy. It is not each other, it is not each others religion, nor each others culture. It is the supra-national state in the making as the one-world government. This new elephant will be ruling them with no less a draconian trunk than in the colonial era, using house niggers, useful idiots, planted stooges, and mercenaries to govern their public mind no less effectively than when the subcontinent was the spectacular Jewel in the crown of the British empire.

The fact that “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal did serve long term British imperial interests, or more aptly put as the Anglo-American interests, is not in question. The truth of these words is once again beyond doubt. The conferring by the King of the British empire, and Iqbal's acceptance, of the royal knighthood alone make it self-evident that “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal was their prized doctrinal scholar
Sacred Cow: “Sir” Allama Iqbal - Marde-momin or Superman?

and he knew it. The affect of Iqbal's two nation dogma which debilitated the entire Indian subcontinent, perpetually enslaving it to the Western powers, loudly bespeaks it. Judging a tree by tasting its fruit – and not by the elegant narrative of the orchard from a poet's fertile imagination – underscores the undeniable truth of the matter.

There will continue to remain a primary question mark on “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal's role and the forces which motivated him. It is not merely an academic question mark today almost a century later, even though what his two nation advocacy instrumented in practice cannot be undone. But it is most pertinent to perceptively examine the Machiavellian matter of “cognitive infiltration” of the public mind by the brilliant mind, the Superman.

Muslims today must better apprehend the dynamics of social engineering which manipulate and almost choreograph the public mind using their respective sensitivities, attachments, and beliefs, which for them is primarily sources from their religion.

Therefore, the question must be perceptively examined as it has direct pertinence to understanding matters in today's scientific modernity which is far more susceptible to social engineering with the ubiquitous reach of the Mighty Wurlitzer, than was ever possible before.

Was “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal only unknowingly the stooge of the British empire, easily cultivated by them due to the size of his own ego, or was he also their Trojan Horse? Was it due to his shortsightedness alone that he effectively handicapped an entire subcontinent from ever becoming a world power by using empire's own strategy of divide et impera, or was it due to his long range thinking on behalf of the British empire whose king had so honored him, that he diabolically employed divide et impera using his poetic skills and expertise of Islam? Does it matter which one – if in either case Muslims fell for it?

The fact that the British ruled their colonies by cultivating both useful idiots and Trojan horses is not in doubt. All the feudal titles and “sirs” bestowed upon the natives of the Indian subcontinent speak to the brilliant governance of over three hundred million peoples by a handful of foreigners sitting ten thousand miles away. They employed the same class of strategic thinking for their withdrawal as they had employed for managing their occupation for over two centuries.

A similarly celebrated “Sir” of the Indian subcontinent of that colonial era is “Sir” Syed Ahmed Khan, the man who helped implement Lord Thomas Babington Macaulay's English-only Indian Education Policy, effectively constituting a “brown sahib” Muslim class and separating them from the languages of their birthplace – and hence their own heritage!

The following speech made by Lord Macaulay in 1835 is in the official records of the British Parliament:

‘What then shall that language be? One-half of the committee maintain that it should be the English. The other half strongly recommend the Arabic and Sanscrit. The whole question seems to me to be-- which language is the best worth knowing?’

‘I have no knowledge of either Sanscrit or Arabic. But I have done what I could to form a correct estimate of their value. I have read translations of the most celebrated Arabic and Sanscrit works. I have conversed, both here and at home,
with men distinguished by their proficiency in the Eastern tongues. I am quite ready to take the oriental learning at the valuation of the orientalists themselves. I have never found one among them who could deny that a single shelf of a good European library was worth the whole native literature of India and Arabia. The intrinsic superiority of the Western literature is indeed fully admitted by those members of the committee who support the oriental plan of education.’

‘We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern, – a class of persons Indian in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals and in intellect.’


Read Lord Thomas Babington Macaulay's speech in its entirety to barely catch a glimpse of the depth and long range strategic thinking of the most diabolical and sophisticated colonizer ever to occupy the Indian subcontinent in recorded history.

The flattersome tickling of their native informant with “Sir” speaks to the brazen cultivation of that house nigger who so successfully led the implementation of Macaulay's education policy among Muslims. (To understand that adjective “house nigger” see FAQ: What is an Intellectual Negro? http://tinyurl.com/House-Nigger ) Its impact is visible to this very day among the post-partitioned nations so violently spawned from that once fabulous Jewel in the Crown by harvesting the untiring labors of another one of their key “Sirs”.

While such a smoking gun as Lord Macaulay's speech is obviously not yet discovered in the archives of the former British empire to identify the real intellectual pedigree of “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal's and his confere Ch. Rahmat Ali's pernicious two nation advocacy (at least I am not aware of it), the evidence of sharing the benefactions of the British empire with all the rest of their useful idiots and mercenaries speaks loudly enough.

And so does the Hegelian Dialectic – create the problem of “brown sahib” in one century, and then offer a solution opposing that tendency in their offspring in the next century – and use both to continue to inflict empire's primacy upon them for centuries.

Just like contemporarily creating the “freedom-fighter” Mujahideen in one decade, harvesting their offspring to create the “Islamofascist” Terrorist in the next decade, and using both to similarly inflict empire's supremacy upon the same foolish Muslim mind which time and again gets taken in by a most cunning foe.

The masterful foe did not disappear with the waning of colonialism – which only morphed into neocolonialism and “democracy”. The white man's burden also did not lessen with it. That burden today is “reform Islam” ( http://tinyurl.com/Reform-Islam ), “moderate Islam”, to counter “militant Islam”, for a similar diabolical purpose. It similarly attempts at discovering, cultivating and harvesting the most respectable looking scholars and intellectuals among Muslims themselves (see http://tinyurl.com/identifying-moderate-muslims ), and minimally purchases their silence with paychecks from its vast military-industrial-academe complex. It is a fortunate gift of providence to the Muslims that their masterful foe has not yet found a talent like “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal to preach
“moderate Islam” to them – for the Allama's lofty teachings on Islam are actually its deadly nemesis. Surely only a talent like the Allama's could even counter it.

Seduction always comes wrapped in attractive garb. Unless it can attract, it is not seducing. Self-evident of course, but something easy to ignore when one is madly in love. It is what's underneath the beautiful gown that must be ferreted out in order to judge, whether or not one is in love, and before one shares the nuptial bed. Afterwards, it is fait accompli and only of interest for keeping historians and narrators in paid jobs. That preemption requires “sha-oor”, wisdom, insight, courage, and a fully functioning brain to engage it. In the guise of developing that very “sha-oor” among the Muslims to end their servitude to empire, the good Allama was awarded the knighthood by empire which had exactly relied on that servitude for over two centuries.

Why?

Because, one may easily surmise that “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal had the stellar intellect to instinctively perceive that the public mind so steeped in mental slavery will never detach itself from its chains. And, just like the Muslims have mindlessly been parroting the verses of the Holy Qur'an for centuries, they will also mindlessly be rehearsing his beautiful verses explaining the Holy Qur'an for many more centuries without any “sha-oor” ever developing between their ears. If the majestic words of the Holy Qur'an could not free Muslims from the yoke of servitude to fellow man and to their own ego, their “nafs”, their petty self-interests, the verses of a mere mortal social scientist, despite his over-inflated ego, certainly weren't gonna better that instruction. But his uplifting poems heralding Islam's renaissance were attractive enough for the Muslim public mind at an emotional level. It enabled crafting an imposing intellectual opinion-maker as the “hakim-ul-ummat” for the time being. And that's all the legitimacy that was required to mobilize the public mind for the imperial agenda at hand to seed its fait accompli. The diabolical strategy was beautifully executed as a text-book Hegelian Dialectic. One for partition imbued with the spirit of Islam (the Muslims represented by the Indian Muslim League), and one dead set against it (the Hindus represented by the Indian Congress), thus creating the necessary “revolutionary times” which the clash of opposites always bring, and from whose ashes was fashioned their new Great Game on the newly emerging Grand Chessboard of the post-colonial era.

This analysis is substantiated by two incontrovertible facts.

1. The facts on the ground since the partition match the preceding discussion. Specifically the fact that none of these three nations have been able to substantially raise the level of their general public's well-being beyond the pre-partition levels. Each nation has only cultivated new ruling classes while simultaneously increasing the share of poverty. With an ignorant and down-trodden majority public, no nation can ever rise. That was the overarching philosophy behind the partition of the subcontinent, and in the rise of the subsequent national leadership in each nation. Each one was encouraged to spend on defence against the other, encouraged into making opposite alliances with reigning superpowers, and encouraged into holding each other in perpetual check like two scorpions in a bottle. This forcing function of “encouragement” once again inspired by ensuring useful idiots, stooges, mercenaries, or at least manipulatable leaders, always stayed at the helm of national affairs, just as it has been so in the United States of America. Any undesirably patriotic leader is simply assassinated as an
example to others to not stray beyond the narrowly permissible guidelines on international affairs, with a bit more leeway to indulge their lusts for leadership and power in domestic affairs. Can the recipients of the partition of the Indian subcontinent deny any of this with a straight face for their respective nations?

2. The fact that it was “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal who introduced the idea of a Muslim State to the political leadership of the Muslim League, including to Mr. Mohammed Ali Jinnah, the founding political leader of the state of Pakistan. It was not a demand which organically emerged from the Indian Muslim peoples of the subcontinent themselves. It had a singular, and knighted, prime-mover.

Historical records show that it was “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal who approached Mr. Jinnah who was initially for a united Indian subcontinent after the withdrawal of the British. It was “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal who convinced (the naïve?) Mr. Jinnah of the rationale for a separate nation state for the Muslims based only, and only, on the immediately unfolding “revolutionary times” on the ground. Absent those opinion-making “revolutionary times”, the most outstanding constitutional mind among the Muslims in the Indo-subcontinent which that century had yet produced, wanted a united India like the leaders of the Indian Congress led by Hindu leaders. Mr. Jinnah was arguably never afforded the political opportunity to develop a constitutional framework for helping found a constitutional republic in a united post-colonial India such that even ninety nine percent of the people could not deprive the remaining one percent of their rights. Let alone the majority Hindu legally deprive the huge Muslim minority their constitutional rights in anyway based on race, religion, or creed. Such was the precedent setting constitutional republic upon which the egalitarian United States of America was once founded, which in turn had employed England's own great charter of liberties for its own white peoples, the Magna Carta. All that political capital of the colonizing white man of how to live together in liberty for themselves, was chucked aside by “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal just when liberty was finally beckoning. And by the political stooges he had somehow managed to enlist to lead the political movement for a Muslim State, all of whom instead patterned their call for liberty on the misanthropic Jewish State to seed permanent discord in the land! (See The Search for Historical Truth: Partition of India and Palestine, http://tinyurl.com/India-Palestine-Partition)

The brilliant white mind yet prevailing upon the colonized one, just as Lord Macaulay had successfully fashioned a century before, clearly shines through. “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal evidently understood both minds well, having risen from one, to become the other. Which is why “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal was the first to politically propose, in 1930, before anyone else in India even imagined it, or wanted it, the conception of a Muslim State to be carved out of the Indian subcontinent. A singular prime-mover, deftly cultivated and anointed by the British empire, and chosen to give political representation to the Muslims on his “Islamic” credentials previously established as the “hakim-ul-ummat”. Can the recipients of the Muslim State deny any of this with a straight face? The facts are reported officially by the Government of Pakistan. Only their fuller context on the grand chessboard is underscored by this analysis done by a
citizen of that same Muslim State (which, the reader might already be aware, is his only citizenship, by choice, despite being a US permanent resident, meaning, bearer of the famous “green card”, for almost three decades).

The public mind must remain ever vigilant for the arising of another such “hakim-ul-ummat” among them. That public mind has already been primed for the “final savior” to engage the Armageddon which their preachers daily frighten them with from high pulpits. And he just might show up adorning the mantle of “moderate Islam”.

I have already unmasked several such wanna-be, including the famous “Ambassador of Peace”, similarly dispatched by the masterful foe to the Muslims, mainly of Pakistan, to now preach “moderate Islam” and “khilafat” --- the same sort that “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal remained silent on while the same imperial masters were dismantling it as the Ottoman empire. Fortunately, this “Ambassador of Peace” isn't quite in the Allama's intellectual class – too transparent ( see http://tinyurl.com/Fatwa-Tahir-ul-Qadri ). And nor is this clever convert to Islam in the United States of America whose dazzling oratory and brilliant command of the Arabic language has acquired him a large following among the educated “moderate Muslims” ( see Hamza Yusuf in The Mighty Wurlitzer http://tinyurl.com/MightyWurlitzer ).

They are almost always imposing experts on Islam, they say and do mostly the right things with much eloquence, also carefully don't say and do all the right things with stone silence, and sometimes purvey half-truths, quarter truths, and outright lies wrapped in the veneer of truth. That veneer is often Islam. Muslims come flocking to them because of it, and because of their endearing power of expression as orator, or littérateur, or both. With their power to mold public opinion, they assist the superpower empire du jour in its aims of primacy and hegemony just as the good “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal, “Sir” Syed Ahmed Khan, and all the rest of the pious “Sirs” assisted the superpower empire of their time.

**Summarizing**

Perceptive scrutiny of evidence and imperial motivations on the grand chessboard of the British empire from the dizzying heights of “Mt. Fuji”, indicates that the simpleton Muslims and Hindus of India, including their British trained political leaders, were as much in control of their ancient land's blood-partition as the people of Palestine! To this day the twin peoples of the Indian subcontinent, especially Pakistanis, are denied this comprehension under the false flag of separatist religionism.

The tragedy is that even their best genius minds peddling academic, legal and political wares refuse to recognize, to this very day, that the biggest fraudsters foisted upon the Indian sub-continent by the sly elites of the British empire, were all their distinguished indigenous knights who, until their very last, remained British assets playing many roles, some as stooges, and others as Nietzschean *ubermensch*.

The Muslim public mind, especially the Pakistani's, admires “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal's beautiful poems dearly, whether or not it comprehends the words, let alone the philosophy. Muslim scholars,
poets, ullemas, and literati who quote him liberally often hold deep convictions that “hakim-ul-ummat”
Allama Iqbal's vast body of words speaks for itself and needs no apologies. Yes, and so do “Sir”
Muhammad Iqbal's vast body of acts, of both commission and omission. More than his lovely poetry
which has had virtually zero impact upon the Muslim public mind, “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal's acts have
left a lasting imprint on the destiny of the Muslim public, and upon the lands they have lived on for
millennia. And perhaps these do need an apology.

Muslims, like every other intelligent people, have an opportunity to learn from scrutinizing
their own past history with a forensic eye, and not a jaundiced one of flag-waving religionism,
nationalism and patriotism. Only by overcoming socialization into the standard narrative, can one
preempt becoming victims of “future history”. That learning however can only happen by exercising
one's own internal imam, one's intellect, and not with hero-worship of Sacred Cows rehearsing their
beautiful gifts of delectable words. They often only lead one to hell on earth while promising the
heaven beyond.

Watch British Empire's own admission on how it carved India

The Day India Burned: Partition, 1947, BBC Special Presentation

[ https://youtube.com/watch?v=zcKS9JPSfCg ]

Partition... 70 years on: A primer - BBC Newsnight

[ https://youtube.com/watch?v=gmaNi_c2Dm8 ]

It is always possible that I have misperceived the great Allama Iqbal's pious motivations. In
which case, the great Allama, while being a brilliant poet-philosopher, was also a shortsighted political
fool. He could neither perceive that the “revolutionary times” were transient and manufactured, nor
foresee that partition would only benefit his imperial masters in their new great game in the post-
colonial era. Take your pick – either a Superman or a useful idiot. “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal's political
profile hardly reads like a naïve simpleton's to even arguably conclude the latter:

“Already in 1908, while in England, he had been chosen as a member of the
executive council of the newly established British branch of the Indian Muslim
League. In 1931 and 1932 he represented the Muslims of India in the Round
Table Conferences held in England to discuss the issue of the political future of
India. And in a 1930 lecture Iqbal suggested the creation of a separate homeland
for the Muslims of India.”

The judgment of this analysis however, does not rest upon Allama Iqbal's internal motivations.
But is based entirely upon his visible acts. Of both omission and commission. It is these acts which
principally lend some insight into his primary motivation and the forces driving it. “Sir” Muhammad
Iqbal consistently behaved exactly as the honorific “Sir” entitled him to behave, as the Knight of the
British empire – he was their Superman!

Only that inner mental attitude explains why “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal felt no compunction about abusing Islam to separate a people who had lived together for a thousand years. A Superman spins morality for others, but feels himself beyond good and evil. Ends justify means including public deception. None of which spring any great fountains of guilt in the ubermensch. Study Nietzsche to perceptively comprehend this evil philosophy and its lasting impact on the superior intellect of Allama Iqbal. Nietzsche himself adapted it from Plato's virtuous philosopher-king, whereby, the superior intellect of the philosopher-king kills God – meaning, becomes atheist. All the rest of Nietzsche naturally followed from just that one tiny but fundamental change to Plato. The superior intellect is transformed from the virtuous philosopher-king of Plato to Nietzsche's Superman.

This new Superman is still licensed, just as he is with Plato, to control and guide other lesser peoples' destinies as their “moral” steward – for he is the most enlightened among them. For Plato, the virtuous philosopher-king is not just entitled to be the public's guide, but is morally required to be the public's guide. The virtuous philosopher-king is closest to Truth, hence to God, and hence better able to govern with moral wisdom those lacking in that merit. Same in Nietzsche's world. The Superman is required to be the public's steward – except that the Superman is also god. The Superman now defines morality for the public, what is good and what is evil, while himself remaining beyond the pale of his own preaching to the lowly, like any god. The Superman now feels intellectually entitled to play with these lesser intelligent people's lives. They are just sheep, dispensable, butcherable. The Superman can get them to dance on his strings whenever he wants, to fight his battles, to carry his burden, to do his dirty work, just like Zeus and Apollo, the mythical gods of Athens in Greek mythology.

While the great Allama Iqbal may have been preaching the virtuous Platonic version of “marde-momin” to the Muslim public mind, he evidently himself believed and acted on the Nietzsche's version of the ubermensch! His hypocritical actions alone speak to the truth of those words.

**Dictionary Definition of hypocritical**

*adjective*

1 : behaving in a way that suggests one has higher standards or more noble beliefs than is the case.

**Dictionary Definition of hypocrite**

*noun*

1 : a person who puts on a false appearance of virtue or religion
2 : a person who acts in contradiction to his or her stated beliefs or feelings

*synonyms* : pretender, dissembler, deceiver, liar, pietist, sanctimonious person, plaster saint;

*informal* : phony, fraud, sham, fake

*usage* : “I've been made to feel inadequate my whole life by someone who turns out to be a total hypocrite”
QED!

The author seeks evidence that would credibly indicate that “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal ever returned the Knighthood. His own statement to this effect would be useful. If such evidence is available, the author will appreciate receiving a reference.

The author seeks evidence from newspaper clippings of any public statement that “Sir” Allama Iqbal emphatically made against the Crown condemning its tyranny, between the years 1906 and 1938, the thirty-two years that he was carefully nurtured by, and faithfully served, the white man's empire. Had he not served the British imperial interests as the compliant representative of the white man to the millions whom they governed, then unlike “Sir” Syed Ahmed Khan and “Sir” Aga Khan III, the other two Indo sub-continent Muslim patron saints of the British empire who were equally awarded knighthood for being empire's compliant house niggers, our marde-momin would not have been given such a privileged rank nor offered the knighthood. This is not mere opinion, but statement of fact. There is no doubt in the truth of these words. It is self-evident. Even more self-evident is the fact that had our marde-momin an ounce of dignity and self-respect after all his lovely versification of Islam as the “deen-e-Shabbiri”, the Islam exemplified by Imam Hussein and his Ahlul Bayt in not bowing before imperialists of their time, he would have disdainfully declined to be honored by the King of England. We have an easy forensic yardstick for identifying both useful idiots and mercenaries even today. Just see who puts “Sir” Allama Iqbal as the marde-momin while brazenly ignoring title “Sir” and all that it implies for a scholar who preached virtuous Islam. Oops, the entire nation of Pakistan!

See the rise of the new religious Right among both Shia and Sunni striving to bring Revolutionary Islam to Pakistan by harvesting popular as well obscure verses in Persian and Urdu of “Sir” Allama Muhammad Iqbal for their cause célèbre, Epilogue: Pakistan on the chopping block in 2018? http://tinyurl.com/Pakistan-on-chopping-block )
Part-II

Was “Sir” Allama Iqbal an Ahmadi?

Was “Sir” Allama Iqbal also an Ahmadi and the follower of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad, the fellow who created a new “peaceful Islam” Movement in India as the so called “promised reformer” after the militant 1857 Indian War of Independence which the British empire termed “mutiny”, had failed? A new document find reveals that to be the case.

This is a most significant question because Mirza Ghulam Ahmad anointed himself God inspired “prophet”, “mujjaddid”, “messiah”, etc., and founded an intellectual Movement of “peace” in the name of Islam which principally did not challenge the British empire's right to rule the Indian sub-continent as the new divinely appointed authority over the Muslims. The hijacking of the verse 4:59 of the Holy Qur'an to “Obey Allah, Obey the Messenger and those vested in authority over you”, made it theologically easy. (See https://tinyurl.com/Qadiani-4-59-Subversion) This indefinite clause has been used for fourteen centuries to legitimize imperial authority over the Muslim mind. Initially it was by the Muslims themselves and the world saw one dynastic Caliphate after another deriving their legitimacy as administerers of God's authority over the Muslim public. Well, by the beginning of the 19th century the burden had passed on to the Anglo-Saxon Christian empire to rule the Muslim mind in the Indian sub-continent. The Ahmadiyyat Movement sought to neutralize Indian Muslim militant and intellectual opposition to the British empire which had surfaced with extreme violence in 1857, uniting Hindus and Sikhs with Muslims in common cause. (See historical backgrounder https://tinyurl.com/Backgrounder-Qadiani)

The new “peaceful Islam” philosophy was marketed under the banner of “reform” not much different in its political dispensation than the “moderate Islam” being marketed by Daniel Pipes and his patsies like Tahir-ul Qadri et. al. worldwide, defining the new “good Muslim” and advocating full cooperation with all of the empire's mandates, narratives, and political directions. The overzealously religious Muslims of the Indo sub-continent needed subduing by all means possible, and theology is usually among the first recourse of any invader from an advanced civilization intellectually sophisticated enough to understand its power to command obedience. The Mongol invaders weren't and therefore eventually came to be absorbed by the relatively richer Indian civilization themselves. The new invaders, the more advanced British empire, understood Machiavelli. And so the Indians got
the flourishing Ahmadiyyat movement which tacitly accepted the white man's burden of the superior civilizing force gradually bequeathing political and educational enlightenment by piece-meal dispensation to the backward Indian natives to slowly bring them up to speed on the self-governance they demanded! In the meantime, the white man continued to plunder the Jewel in the Crown as fair compensation for his selfless la mission civilisatrice!

The fact that the British empire exactly harbored that very primacy complex and cultivated native Uncle Toms to help run the empire's la mission civilisatrice is already proven by Lord Macaulay's speech of 1835 to the British Parliament which had led to crafting of the Indian Education policy for its Jewel in the Crown. The number of Ahmadis and other Muslim minorities anointed into “Sir” by the British Empire, the Uncle Toms trained in London and brought forth into public prominence and into Muslim leadership, all of whom subsequently led, energized, and participated in the manufactured separatist movement to divide the Indian sub-continent in the name of Islam, is itself beyond doubt. It is both factual and self-evident.

The shocking discovery here is that “Sir” Allama Iqbal, the Ahmadi documentation claims, was an Ahmadi!

The “shocking” part, so to speak, is why would a supposed intellectual of the stature of “Sir” Allama Iqbal fall for this “mujjaddid reformer”, and as the document asserts, “in 1897, Sir Muhammad Iqbal took the pledge of Mirza sahib”? It is not like “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal was born into the Ahmadiyya sect and inherited that belief system like many of its notable members who played a direct role in the orchestration of Pakistan, men like “Sir” Zafarulla Khan.

If this report is to be believed, Allama Iqbal intellectually adopted the Ahmadiyya faith by taking the pledge of allegiance directly at the hands of its original founder who called himself the divinely anointed “mujjaddid”!

Why isn't this “fact” more well known in Pakistan where the scholarly study of Iqbal, and all things Iqbal, termed “Iqbaliyat”, is ubiquitous?

Virtually every Muslim group, sect, and fiqh, of Pakistan claims “Sir” Iqbal to be their intellectual based on his lofty poems selling the “marde-momin”. But it was Iqbal who claimed Ahmadiyyat as his intellectual foundation.

Begin Quote

Dr. Iqbal's attachment grew so much that in 1897 he formally took the bai'at at the hand of Hazrat Mirza Ghulam Ahmad. This was confirmed by Maulvi Ghulam Muhiy-ud-Din Qasoori, ex-General Secretary of the Anjuman Himayat-i Islam, Lahore, at the time when the Munir Court of Enquiry was being held in Pakistan in 1953. His statement was reported in a newspaper as follows:

“After five years, in 1897, Sir Muhammad Iqbal took the pledge of Mirza sahib.” (Daily Nawa-i Waqt, Lahore, 15 November 1953.)

End Quote
Sacred Cow: “Sir” Allama Iqbal - Marde-momin or Superman?


The future knighted “Sir” of the British empire, evidently, also harbored scant reservations for writing flattering praise for the oppressive British sovereigns if such gratuitous ass-kissing of the Massa could make him standout as their greatest Uncle Tom from among their worldwide subjects! Please visit these links to read for yourself how the British sovereign were “the protective shadow of God” over their colonial subjects.

Well, sure enough, Iqbal stood out, the British empire noticed him and his conversion to Ahmadiyyat, educated him, groomed him to serve their interests, appointed him to the Round Table, and eventually knighted him! Allama Iqbal's willing acceptance and retention of knighthood betrays his true motivation for the lavish praise that he had heaped upon the King and Queen of the British empire and supported their imperial causes by his acts of both commission and omission. It all makes sense. The acute discernment of facts and all the forces that drive them can lift the fog of confusion, but only for those able to examine the matter with some degree of emotional detachment.

Analyzing Iqbal and Ahmadiyyat in his early years

If Iqbal's Ahmadiyya pledge is indeed a fact and not mere myth as the majority of “feel-good” Muslims who claim him as their marde-momin are wont to assert, often with far less evidence concerning Iqbal's attachment to Ahmadiyya in his early years (as opposed to his last years when he openly came out in condemnation of it as examined below) than is provided by the Ahmadi document in support of their claim, then it is also a comprehensible fact. A brilliant young opportunist at the start of his career openly signaling his willingness to make the Faustian bargain in the service of empire. Whether Iqbal actually believed in this absurdity in the inner most recesses of his heart only he would know for sure, but the Ahmadi document asserts that other pilgrims in the camp believed that he was really a believer in their faith and followed in the footsteps of his family members' disposition towards Ahmadiyyat (see ch2, op. cit.):

Begin Quote:

1. Dr. Iqbal’s father, Shaikh Nur Muhammad, was a great admirer of Hazrat Mirza.

2. Dr. Iqbal’s elder brother, Shaikh Ata Muhammad, had formally joined the Ahmadiyya Movement by taking the bai’at (pledge).

End Quote
However, the prima facie evidence of what followed his pledge of allegiance to Ahmadiyya in 1897 at the ripe young age of twenty, is entirely self-consistent with the observation that Iqbal calculatively did everything he possibly could to attract the attention of the British empire with *ass-kissing* praise of the occupying colonial power that even today sickens one to the stomach (see ch8, ibid.):

**Begin Quote**

Upon the death of Queen Victoria in 1901, Dr. Iqbal penned an epicedium of ten pages, entitled ‘Tears of Blood’, from which we give a few verses below.

The Queen died on the day of Eid-ul-Fitr, and Iqbal wrote:

“Happiness came, but grief came along with it, Yesterday was Eid, but today came muharram [month of the year associated with the deepest mourning for Muslims]

“Easier than the grief and mourning of this day, Would be the coming of the morn of the day of judgment.

“Ah! the Queen of the realm of the heart has passed away, My scarred heart has become a house of mourning.

“O India, thy lover has passed away, She who sighed at thy troubles has passed away.

“O India, the protective shadow of God has been lifted from above you, She who sympathised with your inhabitants has gone.

“Victoria is not dead as her good name remains, this is the life to whomever God gives it.

“May the deceased receive abundant heavenly reward, and may we show goodly patience.”

(Baqiyyat-i Iqbal, poem runs over pages 71–90. Translator’s Note: I have only translated here some of the verses quoted by Hafiz Sher Mohammad in his original Urdu work.)

**End Quote**

The Ahmadi Iqbal succeeded in winning the British empire's trust to the point of being sent not just to England to be groomed in the ways of empire as was deemed necessary by Lord Macaulay in his 1835 statement to the British Parliament for manufacturing British empire's *House Niggers* **who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern. –a class of persons Indian in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals and in intellect**, but also to Germany to get a doctorate in *nihilism*! Let's examine this latter oxymoron a bit more forensically keeping empirical facts before us in order to not stray into baseless speculation.

Arguably it was Iqbal's gratuitous pledge of allegiance to Ahmadiyyat which signaled to the British empire that this brilliant young mind from the unknown backwaters of Sialkot was reliably
willing to be their diabolical asset among the Muslims. The Nietzschean Superman is naturally amoral; beyond all belief systems that ordinary mortals are infused with. *Noble lies and end justifies the means* is the Superman's only “religion”. The demonstration of gratuitous and willing allegiance to a manifest absurdity by a most intelligent mind, as opposed to the dimwitted falling for it, is often a potant signal of a non sequitur. To attempt to seek the psychological explanation of this empiricism in political science, it could only have been a brilliant signal to the ruling British empire that this intelligent lad was prepared to be their *house nigger* and do anything for them! The British empire, as even the present *Pax Americana* empire building NGOs throughout the world, was always on the look-out for super intelligent peoples, alongside the useful idiots, who could be groomed to serve them in their own respective stations. Non sequiturs can brilliantly aid in that identification of the natural Nietzschean Superman candidate for grooming.

This actually explains why Allama Iqbal received such remarkable and early prominence from the British empire, more than anyone else in the colonized Indian sub-continent. The empire perhaps quickly discerned that this most intelligent future Indian asset was by his nature *beyond good and evil* and would do anything for them without necessarily needing to be explicitly told what to do. It is not an insignificant coincidence that Iqbal was the only notable scion of the sub-continent who also received his grooming in Germany, in the atheistic philosophy of Nietzsche and Hegel – as an Indian Muslim! Iqbal was even given a doctoral thesis supervisor who was himself a prominent student of Hegel.

So observe this strange non sequitur: the British empire is grooming a most brilliant Muslim intellectual to get a Ph.D. in *statism* and the *nihilistic order*!

Not unless one intimately learns Hegel and the Hegelian Dialectic that one can appreciate the sophistication of the nihilistic devil underneath the white virginal wedding gown. And Iqbal is formally trained by the British empire in that very diabolical theology of imperial mobilization such that in a fit of public conscience the marde-momin can easily give away the bride's secret to protect his peoples from the syphilis epidemic that always follows in its wake?

Why did the British empire take that risk of training a brilliant Muslim intellectual in this avant-garde nihilistic order whose home base was even in its rival empire?

To this scribe's knowledge, no other stalwart “Sir” from the backwaters of the Indian sub-continent, Hindu or Muslim, groomed in England to serve the British empire, is known to have also received such academic training in Nietzsche and Hegel in Germany. The author would appreciate receiving a citation that might demonstrate otherwise.

Why is Iqbal such an exception? How did the British even know to make that exception and so early on in Iqbal's career?

Indubitably, only if the *Massa* had recognized and were certain that they were grooming a trusted lieutenant, their own Superman!

Undoubtedly Iqbal's bizarre pledge of allegiance to “Hazrat” Mirza Ghulam Ahmad – to so obvious a charlatan who although being a brilliant interlocutor of Christian missionaries from all the historical accounts, is fundamentally little different from the flourishing “sufi pirs” dotting the sub-continental landscape even today who routinely claim communion with God or with the supernatural and sell divine prescriptions, just that in his case God had happened to prescribe peaceful coexistence
of the Indian Muslims with the colonizing British rulers of India – inspired that trust.

How and why did Mirza Ghulam Ahmad of Qadian in India, like Bahaullah of Iran, and Abdul Wahab of Arabia previously, succeed in making his reform Movement so prominent – it is also not an insignificant coincidence that all three Movements shared one strange common denominator in their respective Messianic dispensation, namely, asserting a divine mandate for advocating to their respective Muslim followers to not challenge the colonial authority of the British empire – is beyond the scope of this analysis. It should however also be self-evident to the students of imperial history who benefited in all three cases; cui bono always being a pretty good yardstick to learn which god one shills for.

The fact that the imperial trust in their new Frankenstein, diabolically wrapped in marde-momin's virtuous garb but principally serving empire's own interests as its Superman, is strictly honored, is categorically betrayed by evidence of “Sir” Allama Iqbal's own actions and inactions.

True to his pledge of allegiance to the new messianic figure of India, which in effect was the pledge of allegiance to the British empire, the first significant thing our marde-momin did was to invent the brilliant rationale for the unprecedented separate Muslim nation-state to match what was happening in the other long-planned Rothschild's Round Table project, the unprecedented partition of Palestine under Moses Hess' brilliant invention of Rothschild Zionism to motivate the already well-settled Jews of a culturally developed and sophisticated Europe to migrate to the rural farm lands of Palestine.

Allama Iqbal unveiled his specious rationale for a separate Muslim “nation-state” in synchronicity with the British empire's Balfour Declaration issued to Lord Rothschild gratuitously granting the European Jewry a Jewish “nation-state” of their own in Palestine. The two rationales are shockingly similar, even coming at precisely the same epoch in the aftermath of World War I when vast territories of others were Machiavellianly being carved out in smaller “nation-states”: a) neither minority community can co-exist with the majority; b) both speciously draw on theology from their respective Holy Books to sell the unprecedented political act of a separate homeland to their respective unwitting mass base in the name of their respective religion; and c) both are helped by respective “revolutionary times” on the ground to legitimize their demand in the most diabolical and murderous examples of Demand Creation.

The modern students of advertising and marketing, more than engineers and doctors, would perhaps be better acquainted with that term which today drives a trillion dollar industry. For the psychological sophistication that underlies that concept, see Edward Bernays' 1928 classic book titled: Propaganda, for some real case studies of Demand Creation.

The diabolical Balfour Declaration remained unopposed by “Sir” Allama Iqbal when even non Muslims like Mahatma Gandhi most straightforwardly exposed the speciousness of the Jewish demand! Read below the eloquence of commonsense from the mind of a Hindu non-separatist national leader which the marde-momin Allama Iqbal, the brilliant philosopher separatist leader of Muslims, could never muster! And how could he ever muster any such effrontery as intellectual and moral integrity demanded after his Faustian pledge of allegiance to the British empire as God's protective shadow over India? The marde-momin of the future Pakistan had already prepared the same sort of partition demand on behalf of the Muslims of India! The demand, politically legitimized from mere ink on lifeless paper to a thriving Movement by giving it continued considerations at the Round Table
Conferences, outright neutralized not only the tiny Indian Khilafat Movement which was being a thorn in the British empire's gluteus maximus, but also preemptively neutralized any serious Indian Muslim mass opposition to the Crown's bastardization of the former lands of the Muslim Ottoman empire from arising in India and opening a second front of momentous civil disobedience on that count. The risk mitigation strategy of neutralizing any effective Muslim protest over handing Jerusalem and Palestine to the Jews from making governance impossible in the Indian sub-continent for the British empire is clearly visible here.

The trust (or the calculated bet) of the British empire in “Sir” Allama Iqbal as the faithful Superman of empire had been fully vindicated. The marde-momin of Islam is not known to have uttered any condemnation of the Jewish demand for Jewistan, let alone of the most sophisticated and diabolical Balfour Declaration fraud inflicted upon a naïve agrarian Muslim population of Palestine, in the twenty years that he lived afterwards as the knight of the British Round Table. Nothing like the following straightforward truth escaped the trusted knight's brilliant mind – and for what reason it escaped the great Mahatma's will be taken up in a separate episode of the Sacred Cow series dedicated to Gandhi and his own grooming by the British empire to play in the Hegelian Dialectic:

“But my sympathy does not blind me to the requirements of justice. The cry for the national home for the Jews does not make much appeal to me. The sanction for it is sought in the Bible and the tenacity with which the Jews have hankered after return to Palestine. Why should they not, like other peoples of the earth, make that country their home where they are born and where they earn their livelihood? Palestine belongs to the Arabs in the same sense that England belongs to the English or France to the French. It is wrong and inhuman to impose the Jews on the Arabs. What is going on in Palestine today cannot be justified by any moral code of conduct. The mandates have no sanction but that of the last war. Surely it would be a crime against humanity to reduce the proud Arabs so that Palestine can be restored to the Jews partly or wholly as their national home. The nobler course would be to insist on a just treatment of the Jews wherever they are born and bred. The Jews born in France are French in precisely the same sense that Christians born in France are French. If the Jews have no home but Palestine, will they relish the idea of being forced to leave the other parts of the world in which they are settled? Or do they want a double home where they can remain at will? This cry for the national home affords a colorable justification for the German expulsion of the Jews.” --- Mohandas K. Gandhi, on Jews & Middle-East, November 1938

It is surely not impudence to ask why such egregious silence on the most shocking travesty to be inflicted upon an innocent, mostly Muslim, indigenous peoples of Palestine from one who so profoundly articulated the “marde-momin” when even non Muslims can courageously speak their mind in condemnation of it? The six million Jews of Europe haven't even perished yet in the infamous still to be built gas chambers, but the marde-momin of Islam already appears more concerned for their Jewish welfare than his own Muslim peoples of Palestine – if “Sir” Allama Iqbal's ugly silence is permitted to explain his tacit endorsement of the Jewish demand for Jewistan.

No zealot Muslim scholar of Pakistan proudly quoting Allama Iqbal is ever willing to
straightforwardly face that question of tacit endorsement of oppression by the abject silence which
unequivocally colors the “muffakar-e-Pakistan” as nothing more than the opportunist house nigger of
the British Round Table. But look at the conundrum: How can a Pakistani intellectual even begin to
address that question of their sacred cow, let alone effectively challenge the raison d'être of the Jewish
State in Palestine with a straight face, without himself confronting the very raison d'être of Pakistan in
the Indian sub-continent?

A brilliantly administered preemptive perpetual check by the Round Table progenitors of the
Jewish State upon Muslims in every time and space, thanks to “Sir” Allama Iqbal. In order to confront
Jewistan with any degree of intellectual integrity and honesty, Muslims are forced to confront the
creation of Pakistan. Both nation-states nuclear armed, both dystopic in their birth-pangs and at birth,
and both, even today, a basket case of their respective civilizations to say the least; the two peoples
respectively living “in the native land of the hypocrite” of their own in the name of god!

The fact that even both people are identically indoctrinated in the fairy tale construction and
divine destiny of their respective nation is beautifully betrayed by the following Jewish example. It is
evidently a tad easier for the Muslim mind to look more objectively at the “other”, especially its
enemy, than at its own self, so here is looking at the Jewish state:

“The state of Israel founded in 1948 following a war which the Israelis call the
War of Independence, and the Palestinians call the Nakba – the catastrophe. A
haunted, persecuted people sought to find a shelter and a state for itself, and did
so at a horrible price to another people. During the war of 1948, more than half
of the Palestinian population at the time – 1,380,000 people – were driven off
their homeland by the Israeli army. Though Israel officially claimed that a
majority of refugees fled and were not expelled, it still refused to allow them to
return, as a UN resolution demanded shortly after 1948 war. Thus, the Israeli
land was obtained through ethnic cleansing of the indigenous Palestinian
inhabitants. This is not a process unfamiliar in history. Israel's actions remain
incomparable to the massive ethnic cleansing of Native Americans by the
settlers and government of the United states. Had Israel stopped there, in 1948, I
could probably live with it.

As an Israeli, I grew up believing that this primal
sin our state was founded on may be forgiven one day, because the
founder's generation was driven by the faith that this was the only way to
save the Jewish people from the danger of another holocaust.” -- Tanya
Reinhart, Israel/Palestine – How to End the War of 1948 (emphasis added)

Perhaps the aforementioned candid example from the pen of a remarkable Jewish Israeli truth-
teller, the professor of linguistics, late Tanya Reinhart, dispassionately confronting the Zionist myths,
can prompt the interested Muslim Pakistani reader to also go look at how Pakistani children are, even
today, ubiquitously indoctrinated into the state philosophy from Kindergarten to university, not to
forget in the religious madrassas now dotting virtually every neighborhood of Pakistan. The following
is stated in the section titled National Education Policy 1998-2010, of the 2007 White Paper on
Education Policy prepared by Pakistan's Education Ministry:

“Continuing on the 1979 & 1992 policies, this policy makes several leaps. First, in
21st century it visualizes Pakistan as an ideological state ... the country cannot survive and advance without putting the entire system of education on Islamic foundations....the only justification for our existence is our total commitment to Islam as our sole identity. Second, education is perceived as an instrument to 'build the sound Islamic society'. Third, Islam and Islamic values should not be part of Islamic studies alone but also all other disciplines.” (emphasis added)

That, all that, in this author's view, is the momentous and overarching consequence of what otherwise does appear on the surface to the cynic as a rather gratuitous and bizarre pledge of allegiance, and to the aficionado as nothing more than the insignificant petulance of youthful exuberance, for a most brilliant young mind to accept on the hand of a “sufi pir” on his absurd claim that God spoke to him and told him to not oppose the British empire!

It is likely that the Muslim mind, especially the Pakistani mind, will easily reject these historical linkages without any consideration of their merit. But it is for everyone to also quite democratically observe and ponder upon, that the Ahmadiyya sect's sacred mosque today just happens to be most generously located in Haifa, Israel! The Ahmadiyya community settled early in the Zionist Jewistan in the making, as early as 1928 according to wikipedia. Why such benevolence is shown towards the Ahmadiyya sect by the Zionists, when the Jewish State since its inception is systematically usurping all sacred vestiges of Islam and Muslims from The Holy Land? Interestingly, the Bahais of Iran's temple, the Bahai Gardens, and its “World Headquarters” are also located in Israel. Why such benevolence to fringe Muslim sects who so generously advocated peace with the British empire's mission civilisatrice? The linkage of the Ahmadiyya Movement to the Zionist project is unequivocally manifest. It is also a categorical fact that Allama Iqbal played a most auspicious role for the British empire's divide and conquer strategy on the new evolving grand chessboard full of warring nation-states carved out of former empires: categorical omission on the Jews' side to support their dystopia, and categorical commission on the Muslims' side to father their dystopia.

“Sir” Allama Iqbal being baptized an Ahmadi in an Ahmadi sympathetic home, although a painful issue for many zealot Muslims to reconcile with, is pertinent only in so far as it is a coherent explanation of empirical facts which all can see. None of the facts discussed here are classified as state-secret of any nation. What Iqbal's inner-most religious beliefs may have been or how he may have evolved spiritually, was really his own private affair. People of many religions, as well as no religion, have equally served empire in all times as its useful idiots, mercenaries and Superman! “Sir” Allama Iqbal, the evidence betrays, and as persuasively argued here, was manifestly among the Superman.
Sacred Cow: “Sir” Allama Iqbal - Marde-momin or Superman?

An Analyzing Iqbal and Ahmadiyyat in his last years

A second revealing Ahmadi document lends new insights into “Sir” Allama Iqbal's “fickle mindedness” to easily go with the political flow when it was necessary to do so, titled: Sir Muhammad Iqbal's Statement re The Qadianis, by Maulana Muhammad Ali. It is a rebuttal written by this famous late Ahmadi scholar who is also deemed to be the first Muslim English translator of the Holy Qur'an to offer a fair and well-respected translation to the English speaking world (MMA 1917), to “Sir” Allama Iqbal after the lauded poet-philosopher had evidently turned volt face on his pledge of acceptance of the Ahmadiyyat faith towards the latter part of his life and belatedly condemned the Qadiani Ahmadis “kafir” circa 1935. Why had Iqbal waited so long to issue his condemnation? (source pdf: http://aaiil.org/text/books/mali/sirmuhammadiqbalssstatementsqadianis/sirmuhammadiqbalssstatementsqadianis.pdf)

This document shockingly reveals that “Sir” Allama Iqbal invited “the British Government to interfere in the religious controversy between the Qadianis and the orthodox to help the majority against an insignificant minority,”.

The “shocking” thing here, once again putting the exclamation in double quotes to emphasize it, is that “Sir” Allama Iqbal is seen inviting the British empire's intervention in supposedly a purely religious matter among the Muslim sects. The knight of the British empire is gratuitously calling upon his Christian masters as the colonizing state of India to legally deem the Qadianis, even if deemed a dubious Muslim sect by the pious keepers of the faith, officially “kafir”!

“Sir” Allama Iqbal's own words, written as a Postscript in clarification of his own statements to
which presumably Maulana Muhammad Ali was responding, leave no room for doubt that Iqbal is calling upon the British empire, the “rulers” of India, to declare the Qadianis non-Muslim:

“I understand that this statement has caused some misunderstanding in some quarters. It is thought that I have made a subtle suggestion to the Government to suppress the Qadiani movement by force. Nothing of the kind. I have made it clear that the policy of non-interference in religion is the only policy which can be adopted by the rulers of India. No other policy is possible. I confess, however, that to my mind this policy is harmful to the interests of religious communities; but there is no escape from it and those who suffer will have to safeguard their interests by suitable means. **The best course for the rulers of India is, in my opinion, to declare the Qadianis a separate community. This will be perfectly consistent with the policy of the Qadianis themselves, and the Indian Muslim will tolerate them just as he tolerates other religions.**”

_Qadianis and Orthodox Muslims_, Dr. Muhammad Iqbal, circa 1935
(source: [http://www.koranselskab.dk/profiler/iqbal/qadianis.htm](http://www.koranselskab.dk/profiler/iqbal/qadianis.htm))

Once again, “Sir” Allama Iqbal is a) inviting state sanction on “takfir”; and b) inviting a Christian State's sanction colonially ruling India on a Muslim internal theological matter!

What sort of marde-momin is this?

This document written by the famous and most respected translator of the Holy Qur'an, and “Sir” Allama Iqbal's own words, lend compelling evidence to the idea that the rising takfiri trend in Pakistan today has a most distinguished intellectual pedigree in the Indian sub-continent that goes back at least to the Ahrar of the 1930s! See: [Memo: The 'Ahrar-Ahmadiya controversy' of 1953 and Shia Killings today in 2013](http://www.koranselskab.dk/profiler/iqbal/qadianis.htm). And given that the beleaguered Shia Muslims of Pakistan today rush to proclaim “Sir” Allama Iqbal as their own greatest contributor to mankind in the twentieth century, they may have this same great benefactor to thank for the rabid state-sponsored “takfirism” that has now engulfed Pakistan with the state officially adjudicating in 1974, and subsequently continually “tickling”, the specious doctrinal question who is Muslim and who isn't. That sword is now hanging over the Shia minority of Pakistan themselves. See: [What Role did Shias Play in Condemning Qadianis to Kafirdom in Cahoots with Sunni Scholars in 1974?](http://www.koranselskab.dk/profiler/iqbal/qadianis.htm).

Furthermore, as evidence of the veracity of the first half of the preceding statement, that the Shia pulpit too unabashedly proclaims “Sir” Allama Iqbal as the “alambardar” (flag-bearer) of “deen-e-Shabbiri” (the deen as exemplified by Imam Hussein, the grandson of the Prophet of Islam, at Karbala), the Qom (Iran) trained fiery Shia pontiff of Pakistan, Syed Jawad Naqvi of Lahore, is oft heard declaring this tall knight of the British empire to be the first and foremost intellectual exponent of “valih-e-faqih” (even before the late Ayatollah Khomeini had borrowed Plato's 2500 year old “philosopher-king” and cleverly re-flavored it to give it revelatory underpinnings under the banner of “revolutionary Islam”)! See [The Rise of Revolutionary Islam in Pakistan – A Report on Behavior Control](http://www.koranselskab.dk/profiler/iqbal/qadianis.htm). To this has been added the new cacophony of Sunni Barelvi version of Revolutionary Islam. It also enlists “Sir” Allama Muhammad Iqbal to its cause célèbre, but calls it “deen-e-Muhammad”. Their raison d'être in contrast to the Shia version, is to enforce the Article in Pakistan's Constitution of Ahmadis declared as kafir, enforce Pakistan's Blasphemy laws without show of mercy, and bring the religion of Prophet Muhammad and the Sunni Caliphs back to power. See [Epilogue: Pakistan on the](http://www.koranselskab.dk/profiler/iqbal/qadianis.htm)

To even ask such a loaded religious doctrinal question who is a Muslim and who isn't, never mind to try to answer it, only benefits the cultivation of *divide and conquer*!

The fact as per this document that Allama Iqbal even participated in that Machiavellian question like any ordinary mullah of the day (and of today), something which even puzzled Maulana Mohammad Ali as is visible from his rebuttal, is a most disturbing fact. The brightest Allama of the British empire is a European trained intellectual philosopher in the Age of post enlightenment. He is not only academically intimate with Hegel and Nietzsche, Spinoza and Will Durant, but is also plugged in politically as the member of Round Table representing Muslim political interests. Even a quick peruse of his long essay titled: “The Muslim Attitude towards the Ahmadiyya Movement”, written in response to Pandit Jawahar Lal Nehru inquiring into the Muslim attitudes towards the Ahmadis, trivially shows the reader that “Sir” Allama Iqbal is a sophisticated and intellectually savvy scholar to say the least. Read his essay which is even used today both by the feeble of mind and the shrewd Machiavelli to lend an intellectual veneer to the marginalization of Ahmadis and Qadianis as non Muslims: [http://www.koranselskab.dk/profiler/iqbal/ahmadiyya.htm](http://www.koranselskab.dk/profiler/iqbal/ahmadiyya.htm).

Therefore, once again, is it gross impertinence to ask whether the shining knight of the British empire is so politically naïve as to not realize that the foolish doctrinal question of trying to settle who is a Muslim and who isn't – and especially under a politically charged imperial umbrella that harkens to the partition of the sectarianly divisive Indian sub-continent – only begs open an endless Pandora’s box? That pursuing that question can never achieve anything productive, or in the national interest, except the inevitable political disenfranchisement of the minority public thus targeted, and for whom, it is safe to presume, their practice of religion is often their native belief system of birth for which they will willingly live and die in the extremes like any self-respecting people? Does it take a rocket scientist to know that only “revolutionary times” will be the natural harvest of fueling that volatile inferno? This is entirely self-evident.

We can see the truth of this observation even today. It is now almost trivial to seed, germinate, cultivate and harvest theological differences among Muslims based on that exact same doctrinal question for pushing any political agenda no differently than how the British empire cultivated the Hegelian Dialectic of “peaceful Islam” to encourage the Muslims of the day to refrain from challenging its sovereign authority over them as an integral part of their own religion Islam. Compare with today's Hegelian Dialectic of “moderate Islam” as the new “peaceful Islam” du jour of Tahir-ul Qadri and Daniel Pipes et al. This “peaceful Islam” also refrains from questioning the empire's narratives of the day; narratives that aid and abet its latter day “imperial mobilization” agendas. And juxtapose this “peaceful Islam” against both of its antithesis, “militant Islam” based on Sunni derived orthodoxy, and “revolutionary Islam” based on Shia derived orthodoxy.

All these opposite doctrines, and their exponents, naturally clashing among each other and also with all others on the *grand chessboard* of today, inevitably lead to percolating “revolutionary times”
all along the “arc of crisis” in the “global zone of percolating violence”®. Just as it was self-servingly presaged by Zbigniew Brzezinski, the former National Security Advisor to President Jimmy Carter (1976-1980).

The violence, both externally directed, and internecine, is naturally seeded in a self-fulfilling prophecy because one side terrorizes while the other side defends itself, and the third party sprinkles the “peaceful” reform panacea to the mix to add to the chaos and confusion of the “revolutionary times”. The fact is that they all principally serve the same interests, to lend natural justification for whatever a priori political agenda that needed to be foisted upon the public, to be automatically achieved in the guise of the officialdom pursuing legitimate reactions to these manufactured “revolutionary times”. See Hegelian Dialectic – What is it? if you are unfamiliar with journeying with the uber sophisticated Machiavelli on the road to “imperial mobilization”.

Conclusion

These two document finds are what they are. Please read them carefully in the light of what is examined here, and make up your own damn mind on why and how did “Sir” Allama Iqbal come to adopt Ahmadiyyat and its pragmatic theosophy of not only not opposing the British empire as the rulers of the sub-continent, but working cooperatively with all its imperial agendas.

All notable Ahmadis, without exception, as far as I am aware, pragmatically cooperated with the discourse boundaries and the political directions bequeathed by the British empire to the sub-continent.

The factual record of the actual acts and deeds of our noble Superman, and of the concomitant rewards so reaped from the British empire, from the unknown rags of Sialkot to the coveted knighthood of empire, reflects that very Ahmadiyyat theosophy of pragmaticism despite all his moral sermonizing of the virtuous marde-momin! Oscar Wilde most straightforwardly summarized this state of affairs in The Picture of Dorian Gray: “And what sort of lives do these people, who pose as being moral, lead themselves? My dear fellow, you forget that we are in the native land of the hypocrite.”

The purpose of the rehearsal of this sacred history and its non conformist analysis is not so that the reader may be intellectually entertained so to speak, and shout their applause when they approve or

* Zbigniew Brzezinski, TIME Magazine, Monday, Jan. 15, 1979, IRAN: The Crescent of Crisis, “An arc of crisis stretches along the shores of the Indian Ocean, with fragile social and political structures in a region of vital importance to us threatened with fragmentation. The resulting political chaos could well be filled by elements hostile to our values and sympathetic to our adversaries.” See Unlayering the Middle East War Agenda: Making Sense of Absurdities, https://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/01/unlayering-middle-east-war-agenda.html

# In 1996, Zbigniew Brzezinski renamed the “arc of crisis” in his next geostrategy book The Grand Chessboard, with an even more imposing epithet, “the global zone of percolating violence”, (pg 53) illustrating with maps galore the arc of crisis for those still uninitiated into the vagaries of the exercise of American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Initiatives, the role of the sole superpower.
attempt to denigrate the author when they find the material unpleasant --- as has evidently been the case since the publication of *Sacred Cow: Allama Iqbal - marde-momin or Superman?* in December 2012. But that the reader experiencing cognitive dissonance upon examining their own history from a different angle on the broader canvas of the grand chessboard, may shrewdly come to comprehend the sophisticated methods of the devil and how it seduces the “ikkiya parrha jahils” just as easily as the dimwitted. While it may have become a well worn cliché, it is surely necessary to reiterate it again to remind the reader who is already squirming in discomfort, that the purpose of dispassionately studying the past with some emotional detachment is so that one can effectively prepare to counter the sophisticated devil in one's own times.

The purpose of dispassionately studying the present, in spite of being so close in time to the events one is living through that often the perspectives of even the finest scholars can get naturally distorted due to both emotional attachment and incompleteness of truthful information, is so that one can come to comprehend the past. For history, especially dystopic history, often repeats itself. What might appear as an insoluble puzzle of history can at times easily be unraveled by dispassionately looking at similar events and attitudes of the present. And what might be sowing confusion in the present is easily comprehended by forensically examining the past away from the narratives of power and its officialdom. See the report: [Behavior Control by The Mighty Wurlitzer](https://example.com) to fathom how the public mind is Machiavellianly made in the present by the control of the narrative. It was made in the same way in the past with similar Machiavellian forces in play. George Orwell, among all the perceptive essayist of modernity, summed it the most elegantly: “Those who control the past control the future, those who control the present control the past”!

As the final word, the Ahmadiys today, born and socialized into their core belief system no differently than any other people, including the Shias and the Sunnis in their myriad Muslim sects, cannot be denied their political rights in Pakistan and continued to be marginalized as “non Muslim”. The problem is not that they don't have rights like any other minority in Pakistan --- and if some feel that these minority rights aren't the same or equal to the majority's rights because of which the distinction is made in the first place between majority and minority in the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan which is posited as the Islamic state, then that is a separate question and applies to all minorities without distinction for which the solution is to not have a religion based state. Thus far, the Christians, Hindus, Jews, Parsis, Sikhs, etc. do not have the same problem as Ahmadiys. All minorities in Pakistan freely practice their religion, and their skill-craft, under constitutional protection.

The problem specific to the Ahmadiys is that these poor souls, like any people born into their religion-culture and socialized into its core values from birth, choose to self-identify themselves as “Muslim”. Why? Well, because they think of themselves as Muslim! The rest of the clergy class among the traditional Muslims (both Shia and Sunni) would like to deny them that self-identification and that's the real crux of the matter.

This issue of self-identification opened the Pandora's box which has plagued Muslims of the Indian sub-continent ever since Mirza Ghulam Ahmad claimed to be the successor of the Prophet of Islam, and claimed to have been sent by God as the long awaited “Promised reformer” to the Muslims. The issue would surely have died out for its brazen speciousness, except that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad started acquiring a prominent following, especially among the educated Muslims. They in turn started preaching Ahmadiyyat doctrine to the Muslim masses in the name of Islam, and under the obvious
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benefaction of the British empire whose rule was always helped by any divisiveness among Muslims and the people of sub-continent.

This cognitive infiltration of the religion of Islam that God sent a “reformer” after the Prophet of Islam, created the anticipated backlash among the ullemas of Islam in the sub-continent. They obviously felt their sacred religion being deliberately corrupted in the name of Islam by this pretender to prophesy, like all the other pretenders of antiquity who had arisen after the death of the Prophet of Islam. They had been dealt with severely by the Muslim Caliphs with uncompromising warfare in huge battles, until all the false prophets had been killed and their armies subdued. This topic has always been ripe for getting Muslims to cut each others throats in the name of their own religion. In the hands of modern Machiavelli, and especially under game theory laced behavioral calculations of the Muslim public reaction in the aggregate, it is a sure fire way of lighting internecine fire and chaos conditions that could be made self-sustaining.

That infernal question of who is a Muslim and who isn't in the sectarianly infested Muslim polity remains the devil's gambit to sow discord among a foolish people. We have had fourteen centuries of Islam and that should have, by now, at least civilized the Muslim mind to respond to discourse with discourse, to intelligence with intelligence, and to intellectual warfare with intellectual warfare and intellectual self-defence. But we still tend to respond to discourse that does not please us with the hammer if not the sword!

When a purely theological and academic matter that is best relegated to intellectual discourses in mullah seminaries among the idle caste posing as the self-appointed guardians of faith, is cast in political overtones, then those participating in it can only be the devil's apprentice.

Separating propaganda and dystopic conditions that support rulers to control their dominions, from religious dogma, when the two have deliberately been intertwined first and foremost requires expending matching intellectual energy to confront the villainy, not state sponsored and mob tyranny.

It goes without saying that a state, a religion, and a people must surely always defend themselves by whatever means that will be effective when under attack. But to not understand the Mephistopheles before them is to continually fall into its trap. This applies to both the Muslims of Pakistan who don't want the Ahmadis to call themselves Muslim, and to the Ahmadis of Pakistan who like to call themselves "Muslim". In this question of labeling, someone else entirely is harvesting the theological differences between them for their own vested interests. Duh!

This analysis, accordingly, has separated the propaganda of imperial mobilization from the right to bear any religion or belief. A people are entitled to believe whatever they feel inclined to believe --- the freedom to believe, to think one's own thoughts, without coercion and manipulation, is an inalienable right. Indeed, right to believe is even more fundamental than the freedom to express those beliefs, and for those expressions to be permitted to be heard by others. Merely being free to shout idiotically in a bullhorn in the Speaker's Corner in Hyde Park is poking fun at the very concept of inalienable rights. Abstract inalienable rights are meaningless unless its exercise is fully permitted in letter and spirit. No political right in any fair society and civilization may be circumscribed, or marginalized, by the exercise of one's inalienable rights, except when straight-jacketed in absolute tyranny in a slave-state.

In conclusion, any religion that oppresses man in God's name, sheds the blood of the innocent in the name of achieving some Divine plan, relies upon dysfunction and tyranny to bring to fruition
some Divine plan including Eschatological endgames, is certainly not made by God, but by man! The evidence? Among others, the straightforward verse 5:32 of the Holy Qur'an which not only categorically warns man, but also categorically enjoins him:

“For this reason did We prescribe to the children of Israel that whoever slays a soul, unless it be for manslaughter or for mischief in the land, it is as though he slew all men; and whoever keeps it alive, it is as though he kept alive all men; and certainly Our apostles came to them with clear arguments, but even after that many of them certainly act extravagantly in the land.”

For the Almighty God of the Holy Qur'an to warn mankind to not shed the blood of the innocent in Its own Noble Scripture, to admonish man to not create mischief on earth, to then cause mankind's blood to be shed in order to achieve his own divine plan, is only a god created by the mind of man, or Mephistopheles! Indeed, stupid fools, pious idiots, and Superman mercenaries have always existed, and always shall exist who follow their own whims and conjectures in the name of God, and who are easily harvested by Machiavelli to achieve its own “divine plan”.

As a heads-up for current and future pertinence, for Muslims to acquire preemptive ma'arifat, among the most diabolically Machiavellian of these “divine plans”, and running circles around the faithful for at least fourteen centuries and continuing, is the absurdly “Waiting for Allah” for Eschatological divine intervention. Once again, it is only the fabrication of the Superman gods on earth, as it benefits only them when a theology gets the masses to accept their state of servitude voluntarily, patiently waiting for Allah to liberate them. Such laissez-faire ostrich-theology, like requiring the spread of tyranny to fulfill some divine plan, is antithetical to the categorical Pronouncements in the Holy Qur'an. The Muslim Superman scholars of Islam have always corrupted the religion of Islam far more than any non Muslim enemy of Islam ever can without their help.

Q.E.D.
Chapter 3

A Brave Look Into The Colonized Mind

Let's begin with some definitions from A Case Study in Mental Colonization. [1]

What is a White Man?

“White” in White Man is not about skin color or complexion. It is about attitudes towards another. First, permitting Malcolm X to describe it in his own eloquence:

'It was when I first began to perceive that “white man” as commonly used, means complexion only secondarily; primarily it described attitudes and actions. In America, “white man” meant specific attitudes and actions toward the black man, and toward all other non-white men.' -- (Malcolm X, The Autobiography of Malcolm X, 1964, 1999 hardcover edition, Chapter Mecca, page 364)

Project Humanbeingsfirst's usage of the word “white man” denotes an overarching attitude of a superiority complex which is best captured by the union of several nuanced concepts:
Malcolm X's aforementioned description of attitude rather than skin color;

- the term 'Hectoring Hegemons' – the attitude of physically imposing one's self-perceived superiority complex upon another, to physically invade, conquer and enslave another in the name of god, glory, Lebensraum, or just for the opportunities to profit;

- the term 'la mission civilisatrice' – the attitude which came to be defined by the colonizing European Christians in the preceding centuries, to rob and plunder the natives throughout the world bequeathing them the invaders' “Christian” culture as a gift of “civilization” to the “dogs” and “barbarians” to “save” them from eternal damnation as heathens;

- the term 'Orientalism' – the attitude of prejudice, at times in the sub-text, betrayed in Western scholarship of the Orient, i.e., the East, that Western civilization is inherently superior to all the Eastern civilizations;

- the term 'pious virtue' – the hypocritical attitude which comes about by harboring any of the above in one's psyche while pretending to be fair and sympathetic to the 'lesser people'. It is the unstated common assumption in the backdrop when dealing with the 'lesser people'. It is most easily discernible when rushing to the aid of the victims of the white man's la mission civilisatrice, sometimes with all the best intentions, but deeming the native victims inherently less worthy in comparison to when the “white man” is made victim. It is ably captured in Noam Chomsky's “worthy victim” vs. “unworthy victim” nomenclature with all its attendant semantics. Its manifestation is most stark in the differing standards for seeking compensation and punitive damages which are sought on behalf of the victims by those representing the victims, often from the victimizer's own civilization, or suitable lackeys chosen from among the 'lesser peoples' who are put up there as proxies for the “white man” now so magnanimously providing the 'lesser people' with the “white man's” justice. The end result exactly betrays that the “white man's” victims are deemed inherently superior to those from among the “barbarians”. The whole transaction is couched in “pious virtue”.

What is The White Man's Burden?

In February 1899, British novelist and poet Rudyard Kipling wrote a poem entitled “The White Man’s Burden: The United States and The Philippine Islands.” In this poem, Kipling urged the U.S. to take up the “burden” of empire, as had Britain and...
other European nations. Published in the February, 1899 issue of *McClure's Magazine*, the poem coincided with the beginning of the Philippine-American War and U.S. Senate ratification of the treaty that placed Puerto Rico, Guam, Cuba, and the Philippines under American control. Theodore Roosevelt, soon to become vice-president and then president, copied the poem and sent it to his friend, Senator Henry Cabot Lodge, commenting that it was “rather poor poetry, but good sense from the expansion point of view.” Not everyone was as favorably impressed as Roosevelt. The racialized notion of the “White Man’s burden” became a euphemism for imperialism, and many anti-imperialists couched their opposition in reaction to the phrase. ---

*(historymatters)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Take up the White Man's burden--</th>
<th>Take up the White Man's burden--</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Send forth the best ye breed--</td>
<td>No tawdry rule of kings,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go bind your sons to exile</td>
<td>But toil of serf and sweeper--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To serve your captives' need;</td>
<td>The tale of common things.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To wait in heavy harness,</td>
<td>The ports ye shall not enter,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On fluttered folk and wild--</td>
<td>The roads ye shall not tread,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your new-caught, sullen peoples,</td>
<td>Go mark them with your living,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-devil and half-child.</td>
<td>And mark them with your dead.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Take up the White Man's burden--</th>
<th>Take up the White Man's burden--</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In patience to abide,</td>
<td>And reap his old reward:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To veil the threat of terror</td>
<td>The blame of those ye better,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And check the show of pride;</td>
<td>The hate of those ye guard--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By open speech and simple,</td>
<td>The cry of hosts ye humour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An hundred times made plain</td>
<td>(Ah, slowly!) toward the light:--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To seek another's profit,</td>
<td>“Why brought he us from bondage,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And work another's gain.</td>
<td>Our loved Egyptian night?”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Take up the White Man's burden--</th>
<th>Take up the White Man's burden--</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The savage wars of peace--</td>
<td>Ye dare not stoop to less--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fill full the mouth of Famine</td>
<td>Nor call too loud on Freedom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And bid the sickness cease;</td>
<td>To cloke your weariness;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And when your goal is nearest</td>
<td>By all ye cry or whisper,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The end for others sought,</td>
<td>By all ye leave or do,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watch sloth and heathen Folly</td>
<td>The silent, sullen peoples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bring all your hopes to nought.</td>
<td>Shall weigh your gods and you.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Take up the White Man's burden--</th>
<th>Take up the White Man's burden--</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have done with childish days--</td>
<td>Have done with childish days--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lightly proferred laurel,</td>
<td>The lightly proferred laurel,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The easy, ungrudged praise.</td>
<td>The easy, ungrudged praise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comes now, to search your manhood</td>
<td>Comes now, to search your manhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Through all the thankless years</td>
<td>Through all the thankless years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold, edged with dear-bought wisdom,</td>
<td>Cold, edged with dear-bought wisdom,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| The judgment of your peers!    | The judgment of your peers!    | --- *(source)*

---
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What is a Negro?

Let's begin by studying the very basic types of mental servitude. Let's start with Malcolm X's version of the ‘Negro’:

“There was two kind of slaves.
There was the house Negro and the field Negro.
The house Negro, they lived in the house, with massa. They dressed pretty good. They ate good, cause they ate his food, what he left. They lived in the attic or the basement, but still they lived near their master, and they loved their master, more than their master loved himself. They would give their life to save their master's house quicker than their master would.

The house Negro, if the master said 'we got a good house here', the house Negro say 'yeah, we got a good house here'.
Whenever the master would said we, he'd say we. That's how you can tell a house Negro.
If the master's house caught on fire, the house Negro would fight harder to put the blaze out than the master would. If the master got sick, the house Negro would say 'What's the matter, boss, we sick?' We sick!
He identified himself with his master, more than his master identified with himself.
And if you came to the house Negro and said 'let's run away, let's escape, let's separate', the house Negro would look at you and say 'man, you crazy! What you mean separate? Where is there a better house than this? Where can I wear better clothes than this? Where can I eat better food than this?'
That was that house Negro.
In those days, he was called a house nigger. And that's what we call him today,
'cause we still got some house niggers runnin around here.
This modern house Negro loves his master. He wants to live near him.
He'll pay three times as much as the house is worth just to live near his master,
and then brag about 'I'm the only Negro out here. I'm the only one on my job.
I'm the only one in this school.' You're nothing but a house Negro!
And if someone come to you right now and say 'let's separate', you say the same
thing that the house Negro said on the plantation: 'What you mean separate?
From America? This good white man? Where you gonna get a better job than
you get here? I mean this is what you say. 'I ain't left nothing in Africa'. That's
what you say.
Why, you left your mind in Africa!
On that same plantation, there was the field Negro.
The field Negro, those were the masses. There was always more Negroes in the
field than there was Negroes in the house.
The Negro in the field caught hell. He ate leftovers.
In the house they ate high up on the hog. The Negro in the field didn't get
nothing but what was left of the insides of the hog.
They call them chetlands nowadays. In those days they called them what they
were, guts!
That's what you were, a guteater. And some of you are still guteaters!
The field Negro was beaten, from morning till night.
He lived in a shack, in a hut. He wore cast-off clothes.
He hated his master. I say, he hated his master.
He was intelligent.
That house Negro loved his master. But that field Negro, remember, they were
in the majority, and they hated their master.
When the house caught on fire, he didn't try to put it out, that field Negro
prayed for a wind. For a breeze!
When the master got sick, the field Negro prayed that he died.
If someone come to the field Negro and said 'let's separate, let's run.' He didn't
say 'Where we going?' he said 'Any place is better than here'.
We got field Negroes in America today.
I'm a field Negro.
The masses are the field Negroes.
When they see this man's house on fire, you don't hear these little Negroes
talkin bout 'Our Government is in trouble'. They say 'thee Government is in
trouble.'
Imagine a Negro, 'our Government'. I even heard one say 'our astronauts.' They won't even let him near the plant, and 'our astronauts' 'Our Navy'. That's a Negro that's out of his mind.

That's a Negro that's out of his mind!
Just as the slave master in that day, used Tom, the house Negro, to keep the field Negroes in check.
The same 'ol slavemaster today, has Negroes, who are nothing but modern Uncle Toms. 20th century Uncle Toms, to keep you and me in check.
Keep us under control. Keep us passive and peaceful. And nonviolent. That's Tom making you nonviolent.
It's like when you go to the dentist, and the man is going to take your tooth. You're going to fight him, when he start pulling. So they squirt some stuff in your jaw called Novocain, to make you think they are not doing anything to you. So you sit there and because you got all that Novocain in your jaw, you suffer peacefully. Hahahaha.
There's nothing in our Book, the Qur'an, as you call it, Koran, teaches us to suffer peacefully.
Our religion teaches us to be intelligent. Be peaceful. Be courteous. Obey the law. Respect everyone.
But if someone puts his hand on you, send him to the cemetery!
That's a good religion. In fact, that's that old-time religion. That's the one that Ma and Pa used to talk about.
An eye for an eye, and a tooth for a tooth, and a head for a head, and a life for a life.
That's a good religion.
And then anybody, no one resist that kind of religion being taught but a wolf, who intends to make you his meal.
This is the way it is with the white man in America. He's a wolf, and you're sheep.
Anytime a shepherd, a pastor, teach you and me not to run from the white man, and at the same time teach us don't fight the white man, he's a traitor, to you and me.
Don't lay down our life all by itself. No! Preserve your life. It's the best thing you got.
And if you got to give it up, let it be Even Steven.” -- (Malcolm X, House Negro vs. Field Negro Speech [3] Transcription by Project Humanbeingsfirst.org)

In his autobiography, Malcolm X further fleshed out the modern Negro who thinks like the massa. He is black, brown, red or yellow in skin color, but is pure white in mind color:
'Today's Uncle Tom doesn't wear a handkerchief on his head. This modern, twentieth-century Uncle Thomas now often wears a top hat. He's usually well-dressed and well-educated. He's often the personification of culture and refinement. The twentieth-century Uncle Thomas sometimes speaks with a Yale or Harvard accent. Sometimes he is known as Professor, Doctor, Judge, and Reverend, even Right Reverend Doctor. This twentieth-century Uncle Thomas is a professional Negro ... by that I mean his profession is being a Negro for the white man.' -- (Malcolm X, The Autobiography of Malcolm X, 1964, 1999 hardcover edition, Chapter *Black Muslims*, page 265. See *The Unknown Transformation of Malcolm X* [4])

Well, that description of the colonized mind turns out to be not all that modern, even though it accurately captures the modern Uncle Tom among all peoples. Witness the following statement in his speech before the English Parliament in 1835, by Lord Babington Macaulay who devised the new education policy for the Indian sub-continent – the Jewel in the Crown of the British Empire:

'We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern, --a class of persons Indian in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals and in intellect.' -- (Lord Thomas Babington Macaulay, Minute on Education, 2nd February 1835)

Martin Luther King Jr. also offered a timeless description for the Negro which today transcends
skin color and complexion in its empiricism:

'The white establishment is skilled in flattering and cultivating emerging leaders. It presses its own image on them and finally, from imitation of manners, dress, and style of living, a deeper strain of corruption develops. This kind of Negro leader acquires the white man's contempt for the ordinary Negro. He is often more at home with the middle-class white than he is among his own people. His language changes, his location changes, his income changes, and ultimately he changes from the representative of the Negro to the white man into the white man's representative to the Negro. The tragedy is that too often he does not recognize what has happened to him.' -- (Martin Luther King Jr., A Testament of Hope, page 307)

What is “Intellectual Negro”

Many more complex shades of the ‘Negro’ have been cultivated in modernity than the ones Malcolm X and MLK had been exposed to. One new shade that I have been grappling with for some time is the “Intellectual Negro”.

This new shade of the servile Negro which escaped the experiences of the civil and human rights struggles of the American black leaders, has become ubiquitous among Muslims today, especially among Pakistanis, Afghanis, and Arabs. Indeed, among all nations along the 'arc of crisis' in the 'global zone of percolating violence'.

This kind of Negro is familiar to us under the nom de guerre 'fabricated dissent', a pernicious variant of 'native informant'.

This Negro, the “Intellectual Negro”, is very sophisticated, and often very intelligent with advanced academic and/or public credentials. This Negro will appear to hector (to play the bully) the white man and the white man's establishment, while still managing to echo the white man's core-axioms.

In other words, the intellectual Negro will appear to be an outspoken voice of dissent in favor of the downtrodden and the oppressed, typically from the 'left-liberal' nexus, but will still devilishly manage to echo the massa's core message. The 'right-conservative' nexus that usually align openly with the massa class and its primacy imperatives, also dabble in engineering consent among the minority in their own flock who refuse to tow the massa's line, by playing WWF wrestling with the massa.

These cheer leaders round up their respective flock around the core-axioms and presuppositions of the massa while playing vigorous dissent with the massa. This WWF exercise requires considerably more intellectual prowess and sophistication than typical propaganda with big lies.

For instance, while vehemently critiquing the empire's war on terror and its devastating impact upon the innocent victims across many civilizations, the intellectual Negro will craftily manage to echo the empire's core message that Al Qaeda is the global terrorist menace which carried out the 9/11 attacks on America.
That retention of the core-axiom of empire from which all the evil that followed after 9/11, and which enabled all its subsequent aggressive wars and crimes against humanity that he critiques, reduces the intellectual Negro to an absurdity. But he is treated as the most avant-garde in intellectual thought and praised by both, the hegelian instruments of the white man instrumenting its dissent-space, as well as the brain-washed field Negroes themselves to whom he laboriously carries the white man's burden displaying much personal anguish.

Thus, the facade of hectoring, i.e., challenging the visible narratives of power, serves the function of appearing to be on the side of the 'field Negro', but in reality he is still a 'house Negro' without speaking in that ‘we’ vernacular noted by Malcolm X.

These vulgar Negro types, spanning the full gamut of the colonized mind so ubiquitous among the Western educated likkha-parrha jahils ruling the Muslim mind today as the surrogate of the Mighty Wurlitzer, are employed or co-opted by the massa to cunningly manipulate the perspectives, and consequently the behavior, of the field Negroes. [5]

Several examples of how this cunning is accomplished across Muslim cultures, especially among the Pakistani House Niggers, are described in the FAQ: What is an Intellectual Negro? A Case Study in Mental Colonization. The massa's skilful cunning that the house niggers copy for the want of a few crumbs from the massa's table, is dissected in The dying Songbird. [6]

What fundamentally causes this malfunction of the human psyche such that neither the massa class nor its vile surrogates harbor any moral compunction before the fact, nor any shame or remorse after the fact, as they continue to exercise their unfettered primacy upon the public mind rather openly?

Here is a bold look into the Sociopathic mind and what ought be done to preempt its primacy imperatives before it is fait accompli.

What is the Sociopathic Mind

A few years ago I accidentally stumbled on to the study of modern psychopathy and sociopathy. My first exposure to the latest developments in this field was to a book called Political Ponerology by a polish social scientist, Andrew Lobaczewski. Subsequently, my interest and study in neuroscience also contributed a measure of deeper understanding of the involuntary impact of DNA and neuro-biochemistry on pathological behavior. What had immediately attracted my attention to Lobaczewski's study however, was the bold claim made by its author and the editor of the English version of the book, that Zbigniew Brzezinski, President Jimmy Carter's National Security Advisor (1976-1980, d. 2017), had tried to suppress its publication.

Now, why would the late Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski want to do that --- apart from the fact that he is himself a Polish Catholic immigrant to the United States and has been the key architect of The Grand Chessboard - American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives (which is also the title of a book which he wrote in 1996) since 1972, when he founded the Trilateral Commission with International banker David Rockefeller?
So, like a child who is always curious when someone says “don't”, I read the book. Followed by other works on the subject, such as Martha Stout's *The sociopath next door*.

None of you in Pakistan are likely aware that the recent advances in psycho-sociology in the study of evil, betray that there is an element of it in a significant number of cases which may transcend moral choice.

Hitherto, good and evil have always been viewed from moral and religious perspectives. And it is still true for the vast majority of “normal” people.

But empiricism has also shown that it has never been true for the vilest psychopaths among mankind throughout history who have felt no internal need for the abstraction of good and evil.

Now a new empirical theory is evolving which is lending powerful explanation for these observations which appear to be as old as mankind. From time immemorial, it is a fact that remorseless fiends have risen to become leaders of men. Just like a snake bites, and a lion rules by might is right, which are also not moral choices for the beasts — because to exercise their primacy, for food, for hunger, or for territory, is in the very nature of these creatures.

Psychopathy is now being viewed in new light as a physical/emotional/neurological disorder where the person is physiologically unable to feel empathy, unable to feel remorse. The neurological or cellular material which generates empathy is suspected to be entirely missing in them, or severely atrophied for some reason.

The psychopath is often highly intelligent, very ambitious, very cunningly deceptive. He is able to disguise his primacy instincts with an affable smile and platitudinous nod to morality. As some might cynically observe in Pakistan, he often comes in uniform, with a broad smile, or with *sajda* (piety) stamped upon his forehead. And it is evidently independent of caste, color, ethnicity, creed, sex, sexual orientation, and political affiliation (which changes easily in any case).

These abnormal people don't appear prima facie abnormal. In fact, they tend to gain easy acceptability among their peers, sometimes even well liked, and often have the instincts to rise to the top of their profession by any means necessary. As they say in popular vernacular in Hollywood movies: sleep their way to the top!

But in reality, the sociopath next door adopts any method that will get him or her to the top in whatever he might be interested in. The notion of shame, remorse, hesitation, etc., which normal people feel when transgressing moral limits, or when caught, is alien to their nature in the same way as it is alien to a snake which strikes the unsuspecting victim that happens to come in its path.

No one really expects the snake to feel remorse, or admit guilt, or resign from its hunting ground in a show of moral gravitas that it bit an innocent doe.

Well, it is being discovered that the most audacious psychopaths, often the sociopath next door, are physiologically equally unable to feel remorse.

What is most frightening about this is that the number of such abnormal people who prey upon normal people from their perches is disturbingly higher than previously thought by sociologists.

The number disclosed in the book *Political Ponerology* is 6%.

According to these insane demographics, about six people in a hundred are potentially psychopathic. He or she will prey upon you as nonchalantly as the rest ninety four might step on a
harmless bug while taking a walk in the park. But while you won't go to the bug's funeral or show any hypocrisy in the matter, it's only a bug after all, the psychopath will come to your funeral with a dozen roses and a wet handkerchief.

And these psychopathic people typically also tend to be the ones who invariably rise to all positions of power in society – from corporate to political to religious to yes, education too, all across the power spectrum in modern institutionalized society in every corner of the world.

They tend to congregate together in a fraternal bond and support each other just like a pack of wolves. They wash each other like Peter and Paul. How they actually recognize each other is rather obvious – even brief associations can betray who shows moral compunction and who does not.

Most normal people for whom good and evil are moral choices, even when they might choose evil, feel the guilt-pangs of that choice which manifests in a number of anxiety inducing ways from superficial guilt to stress, to PTSD.

And for all normal people, even if they were somehow habitually desensitized through long years of immersion in the banality of evil, their physiological makeup is not averse to feeling remorse. And once it is brought to their attention and explained how they are complicit in the evil, they at least feel some guilt, some remorse, some anxiety, some shame.

That ability to feel shame, remorse and pangs of conscience is what makes us characteristically human.

I am not really making any of this up as I go along. Just outlining here my understanding of this fascinating subject which uncannily appears to meet the acid test of empiricism. Please refer to the cited books if even your own everyday commonsense observations disagree with any of this.

What is apparent to me, and should be to you as well, is that the rulership of Pakistan, like the rulership of most nations including the United States', are outstanding empirical evidence of this psychopathy lending great substantive import to these new researches into the study of evil. There is neither any moral compunction before the fact, nor any shame or remorse after the fact.

The only sensible way to control this evil is not to talk to it, or try to tickle its conscience – for that would be as effective as trying to talk to a viper to stop “dussing” (Urdu word for a snake striking a prey) or to feel guilt or remorse afterwards.

The only rational and effective approach to deal with psychopaths (those who look the part) and sociopaths (those who don't) is to detect and preempt them before they “duss” and cause their disproportional havoc; to seek legal entitlements under appropriate laws and statutes rather than with platitudes. If some laws are wanting to deal with this abnormal group effectively, than appropriate ones have to be legislated. While the natural predatory instinct of the rest of the normal ninety-four percent population can be modified to live by self-policed ethical standards, principally by nurturing them through education systems and expecting the same at all tiers of social intercourse, the remaining six percent shall always require legal dispensation, both before and after the fact.

This report on Whistleblowing Masterpiece of Plagiarism in Pakistan, underwrites the raison d'être of these differing approaches to be developed simultaneously for both these markedly different types of dysfunctional people to extract Pakistan out of its ethical morass. [7] [7a]

However, what causes their victims, the masses, to accept becoming such easy morsels of these sociopaths? Especially the Muslims who have been amply endowed with Great Divine Guidance to
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overcome all sociopaths among them? What causes their mass dysfunction?

One of the psychological forces that so easily disarms its victims appears to be the commonplace notion of Waiting for Allah. In that dissection of fatalistic eschatology, I take a bold look into the believing mind and how its resignation to fate is cunningly harvested by the Mighty Wurlitzer playing its specious epistemological tune on religion. [8]

The Machiavellian machinery of the Mighty Wurlitzer, which is now global and ubiquitous across all cultures and civilizations controlling virtually every domain of human belief systems, and consequently aggregate human behavior, is systematically deconstructed in a detailed report on psychological warfare operations on civilian populations using all aspects of modern media and official narratives. Pakistanis, while harboring a more healthy skepticism of power than their Western counterparts, must still pay particular attention to this diabolical cunning that is making their mind on virtually every matter pertinent to their lives and times.

In the age of universal deceit, to learn the truth is a revolutionary act – the ultimate jihad. [9]

Footnotes
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Chapter 4

The Art and Science of Co-option: The Sell-out Mind

What Have I Learnt as a Student of Truth?

*Dedicated to my children, and to all young men and women*

Islamabad, Pakistan, August 2014

Abstract

We often complain, of the world, of its unfairness, its injustices, its deprivation, its inequity, and almost always find others to blame, especially the politicians, the superman, the greedy, the conniving, those seeking primacy, and the sociopaths, the hectoring hegemons, the international bankers who fund all sides to make wars and peace, colonialism, PAX Americana, formerly PAX Britannica, and when all fails, we blame fate, karma, and of course God, that He made the Devil. The Social Darwinians among us, the secular humanists, blame
it all on religion, the cause of all of mankind's failings. And the most avant-garde among us, the new age crowd, blame it on aliens, gods who continue to wage epic Hellenic battles at the expense of mankind. The hard empirical reality of course, one which is rarely spoken of, whether out loud or in silent whispers, is that the first failing, the supreme failing from which all else follows, begins at home. It is the one we purchase for ourselves.

Introduction to Co-option

Co-option – Once a former confrere wrote me the rhetorical question of all rhetorical questions: To Confront or be co-opted? This missive on the “banality of evil” explores the subject of co-option at some length by way of documenting, mainly for my progeny, what I have learnt as a student of truth. The essay also examines the fast breaking “Democracy Revolution” in Pakistan in August 2014 to illustrate by way of examples some of the key factors which both underwrite, and are the harvest of, co-option, resulting in the creation of “revolutionary times” in society. The import of “revolutionary times” was magnificently captured by the revolutionary Zionist statesman David Ben-Gurion, the founding prime minister of Israel, who employed it to maximum advantage to found the Jewish State in Palestine:

“What is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times; and if at this time the opportunity is missed and what is possible at such great hours is not carried out – a whole world is lost.”

But first, the definitions.

To be “co-opted” means to accept matters in contradiction to one's moral, ethical, logical, and commonsensical assessment, and rather than confront and take a stand against it, to go along with it in silence in the name of practicality, expediency, even when at times it is against one's own self-interest and one knows it. It is a cognitive decision but many factors, including psychological forces some of which one remains unaware of, and Faustian bargains, enticement, greed, all contribute to it. The extent to which one is co-opted is a complex calculus which sociologists argue is mostly situational dependent. But as I will demonstrate here, is not psychology, selfishness, and wherewithal independent. Which means, it can be overcome at societal levels for a public raised with wherewithal and the same situation can lead to different personal responses of a public mind so groomed. Which is also why it is not permitted to happen by powers that rule societies and control the public's base level of acumen.

An example which illustrates that control perfectly is the advanced scientific society of the United States of America. Its people remain the most ignorant of all Western societies, especially in comparison to their European brethren, principally because the American psyche is groomed from the cradle to live for their “American Dream”. And like the Roman public during the heyday of the Roman empire, the American public too is kept occupied between bread and circuses seven days a week as per the “Fable of the Bees” (see below). Having lived in the United States for the best part of my adult
life, I speak from considerable empirical experience of this society (see the Preface of my 2003 book *Prisoners of the Cave* where this topic is examined in some depth, http://prisonersofthecave.org).

Well, a different psyche can equally be developed. And it largely depends on which forces control and dominate societal values that its public live by. Today, the society is global and the forces that control values have also acquired a global reach in their on-going effort to subvert civilizations and heritages of all nations on earth, to unify, to standardize, and to homogenize the world public – just like the milk industry. It no longer matters which cows and dairy farms produce the milk – out of the milk carton or out of the milk bottle, it all tastes the same. The powers that be would like mankind to also be the same in their base values, in the expression of their base desires, and most importantly, in their base level of obedience to authority. The expression of human behavior to a situation is not independent of these control factors – or else there'd be no need to develop sophisticated psychological persuasion techniques to manipulate human behavior.

These techniques of human behavior modification have even progressed from mere soft perception management, far into the realm of hard biochemical tampering of the brain (see the long declassified top secret mind control program of the CIA known as *MK ULTRA* for behavior modification; and the civilian study conducted by Rick Strassman on volunteers under FDA sanction to explore the impact of DMT, known as the Spirit Molecule, on the human mind; one enslaving, the other liberating, respectively). The direction for more than half a century has been on tampering with essentially what was previously believed to be the immutable essence of man, his being. In fact, at least since the turn of the twentieth century when academic ideas in eugenics entered the realm of underwriting American policy-making, which paved the way for exploring behavior control techniques pretty openly, even inspiring the famous dystopian fable by Aldous Huxley called *Brave New World* in 1931, with the baton subsequently being snatched by Nazi experimentation on the undesirables caught under the jackboots of the Third Reich for accelerating “natural selection” of the *master race*. Today, it is being pursued with even greater vigor in both secret and open settings.

In his 1970 book *Between Two Ages*, Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, America’s former national security advisor (1976-80), quotes an experimenter in intelligence control who asserted:

“I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain.”

There is an extensive bibliography on this subject and unfamiliarity with it is the problem that plagues modern college-educated professionals who wield fancy parchments as career credentials, but betray little or no understanding of the forces they live under. Brzezinski attributed this general phenomenon of mounting ignorance to knowledge explosion which, as he presented it in his book, he argued is inevitable: “In every scientific field complaints are mounting that the torrential outpouring of published reports, scientific papers, and scholarly articles and the proliferation of professional journals make it impossible for individuals to avoid becoming either narrow gauged specialists or superficial generalists.” The lack of understanding of the forces that fundamentally shape human perception, and consequently human behavior, is quite orthogonal to knowledge explosion just as how much ram you have in your computer is orthogonal to understanding ideas in computer science. This flourishing ignorance has a tad more direct causal linkage to milking the “Fable of the Bees” (see below) and engineering consent from the homogenized public mind.
The phrase “banality of evil” I first encountered when I read the famous book by Hannah Arendt as assigned reading in the fall of 1979 as an undergraduate student at MIT. It was my first of several academic classes in psychology on the subject of behavior control, taught by professor Steve Chorover. The good professor is still at MIT. I have a lot to thank him for. That class serendipitously opened a fascinating window for me into the vast realm of psychological studies in which I have persisted informally out of interest throughout my life. In “Eichmann in Jerusalem: A Report on The Banality of Evil”, Hannah Arendt argued in the early 1960s that ordinary people were capable of doing extraordinary evil under the right set of circumstances. That it was not necessarily only the psychopaths and sociopaths who were capable of extraordinary evil. She observed that Adolf Eichmann, accused of killing six million Jews at the time in Holocaust gas chambers, was just an ordinary looking fellow, that he didn't seem to embody evil personified as the Zionist machinery had portrayed him to be. That rather shocking and against the grain observation spawned a series of psychological experiments after Adolf Eichmann's trial in Jerusalem had ended in his hanging, but obviously not in the Jews' angst which has evidently not abated for three thousand years and counting (see Israel Shahak's Jewish History, Jewish Religion – The Weight of Three Thousand Years, Pluto Press, 1994, archive.org).

From the famous Milgram Obedience Experiment at Yale University to the Stanford University's infamous Prison Experiment, all empirically confirmed that Hannah Arendt had got it right to a large measure. The latter experiment led to such shocking behavior among the most ordinary participants at Stanford, drawn principally from its student body as I recall, that the ethics of such experiments was brought into question and further academic experiments of this nature banned, or forever restricted to the classified behavior control laboratories of the Central Intelligence Agency. Stanley Milgram, the author of the first experiment at Yale, concluded the following in 1974:

“The social psychology of this century reveals a major lesson: often it is not so much the kind of person a man is as the kind of situation in which he finds himself that determines how he will act.”

This knowledge of human beings is the core principle upon which the behavior control programs run out of the many intelligence and military apparatuses of Western states that farm and harvest terrorism worldwide, is based. (See my Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer for references and citations, http://tinyurl.com/mightywurlitzer)

Since the time of these experiments, the phrase “banality of evil”, meaning, the ordinariness of evil, and the commonplaces of evil, has entered the academic vernacular. It has been used to refer to macro social evil occurring when ordinary people partake in it through either: (1) co-option, meaning refraining from stopping evil by in-action and apathy, as the German public had done by silently accepting Nazism and all its state-sponsored evil; or (2) positive action, meaning contributing to evil with positive effort under situational circumstances, as Adolf Eichmann as the Nazi chief and office holder in the Third Reich had perpetrated under the now famous excuse that he was coerced by higher authorities: “I was just following orders”.

Of course, in the West, the term “banality of evil” has become relegated to merely studying the past, specifically Nazi Germany, and it is virtually never applied to themselves today as their own co-opted public, and their own criminal establishments, carry out incalculable horrors against civilians and nations in the global war on terror. Nor is it ever applied to the Jewish State forcibly implanted in
Palestine by the British empire through its Balfour Declaration, and its so called “British Mandate”
after World War I, and the subsequent UN decree after World War II, as the “god's chosen people”
carry out the systematic resettlement of Palestine with imported European and American Ashkenazi
Jewry much like the resettlement of the Americas by the European colonists at the expense of the
indigenous populations. Now running into its 67th year, the world spectates silently while its
intellectuals continue to study Nazi Germany!

You will see none of that co-option and “banality of evil” in Project Humanbeingsfirst's work.
The question of co-option and its attendant “banality of evil” is just as much current affairs today as in
yesteryear, making just as much “contemporary history” today for tomorrow's generations as it did in
yesteryear. On the surface, that terminology split into separate words appears to be a non sequitur, for
how can it be both “contemporary” and “history” at the same time? The term “contemporary history”
is a compound word to reflect the notion that one is contemporary with the times which is making
future history (i.e., tomorrow's history), and as such, something can be done to alter that trajectory of
future history because it has not as yet fully come to pass. While we lament yesteryear's crimes against
humanity, we know that nothing can be done about it ex post facto. But we can do a great deal to stop
the crimes against humanity transpiring today and forcibly interdict that vile “contemporary history”
which is now in the making, and which would indeed transpire if we did nothing to alter it.

To be living through any momentous epoch of “contemporary history” can be the most exciting
of times for mankind despite its villainy, for only during such epochs is the opportunity afforded to
man to rise to the best of man to defeat the worst of man. That motivation does not quite exist in
haleyon times. We are strengthened and evolve mainly by passing through these defining, blast-
furnace epochs. And we can either evolve into heartless brutes and zombies in a social Darwinian
world, or into better human beings. This essay delves into the issue of co-option, the in-action, the
silent acquiescence to villainy, the apathy towards tyranny, the looking from the side while the world
goes to the dogs and turns into global police-states making the rich even richer and increasing the
percentage of indigent public worldwide, all in the name of liberty and freedom.

What forces contribute to co-option? Specifically, to the co-option of a typically normal mind?

Characteristics of Co-option

There are primarily four salient characteristics of co-option. What I examine below is what I
have learnt the hard way. I have encountered every one of these different forces at one time or another
and have continually been presented with the choice of to confront or be co-opted. This categorization
is not mere academic theorizing. But it can surely withstand the pedantic rigor of experimental social
psychology. The truth of what follows is beyond doubt. It is self-evident.
(1) **Self-interest purchased with silence**

Many normal people show great empathy for fellow man, are noble, generous, chivalrous, charitable, spend of their earnings on social welfare causes, rush to give aid in disaster situations, and for their own selves want to know the truth and be truthful, all great motherhood and apple pie stuff which show the majority of mankind in its best light. But there is also a darker side to human beings. No I am not referring to those sociopaths and psychopaths who make wars and orchestrate the killing fields and commit other evil. This essay is not about actually committing evil. It is about refraining from stopping it, and how that in-action comes about given that normal human beings display all the lovely positive characteristics captured in the first sentence above.

Under specific circumstances, most human beings, in fact the same ones who display all the lovely set of characteristics that shows mankind off in its best light, tend to lose their quality of humanity, their quality of mercy, and strangely, their loss of dignity and self-respect is heralded as “success” in the public eye under the modern wisdom which relies on expediency and relativity rather than morality and absolutes in defining social and personal values.

A situation that is quite commonplace and anyone can observe it if they have eyes to see, just as I have observed it time and again and now it is the first item on my list to convey in What have I learnt as a student of truth, is that virtually no one gives a farthing's worth of damn for anyone or anything when giving that damn intersects with their stomach, career, winning accolades, opportunities to profit, and to advance in life, business, and profession. People, valiant people, and people of great conscience and moral gravitas, remain beholden to those existential matters to their very end. This leads to an incessant need for rationalizations to mitigate cognitive dissonance, often buried deep in the subconscious, which span the gamut of self-deception, from denial of reality to self-justification to reinterpretation of moral values to legitimize one's own inclinations, life's choices, and above all, one's silence and acquiescence before falsehoods, deception, tyranny. People, irrespective of their brilliance or station in life, will slog away for a lifetime in voluntary servitude when matters pertain to these existential needs.

While for those born on the wrong side of the railroad tracks, the wretched of the earth, just getting three honest meals a day remains an endless struggle even in the twenty-first century, for those born on the right side of the railroad tracks, the lucky of history, there is evidently no limit to fulfilling these needs either. It's a bottomless pit. There is always the next milestone to strive for on the ladder of “success”. Working for tyrants, dictators, kings, feudal lords, corrupt bastards, dystopic systems, evil empire, is all okay so long as one is able to pursue that ladder of “success” honestly and with due diligence. The more outstanding ones take great pride in accepting titles and honors from those who have killed in large numbers under the sound of trumpet. This striving is heralded as being “practical”, “wise”, with “clean hands”. A majority of good people in the world who exhibit those fine moral qualities captured in the lovely set of upstanding human characteristics are in this category. They purchase their slice of the existential pie with their silence, and with hear no evil, speak no evil, see no evil.

Examples of these rationalizations for selfishly pursuing one's own self-interests abound and I will spare the reader my sampling of anecdotal cases to encourage their own hammering out on the anvil of the following famous Chinese depiction of the three wise monkeys, their own examples:
(2) Perspective-pollution

In the limited cases when one finds oneself endeavoring to rise beyond these pecuniary and existential matters of self-interest and wise monkeys, one encounters intellectual warfare which is akin to a gang-rape of the sensible mind. That has been the principal topic of this book, and as the intelligent reader must have come to realize, my version of George Orwell's statement trumps the novelist's easily: “In an age of universal deceit, telling the truth is a revolutionary act.”

In my version, in the age of universal deceit, to ferret out the whole truth about any matter is the bigger revolutionary act. In the course of this revolutionary act is where many a valiant intellectual, scholar, activist, and would be revolutionary, all fall for the want of perspective on primacy.

These ardent harbingers of change, often moved by an inner moral compass to overcome their own “banality of evil” rather than by any desire for pecuniary gain, but too naïve to understand primacy and how it relates to the controlling powers behind the scenes making the public mind, are easily harvested as useful idiots and patsy-fodder by crafty perception managers who capture all these zealots in one trap or another by catering to all possible inclinations of this group (see my Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer).

Overcoming this externally induced perspective deficiency however, while essential, isn't sufficient. For, in the limit, one is plagued by an even more fundamental subversion which ab initio
makes this externally induced perspective deficiency even possible: *incestuous self-reinforcement* through one's own mind. The base elements of this internal subversion which is the first cause of any crippled epistemology, and the *sine qua non* for the harvest of useful idiots, are:

- (1) *socialization bias* (nurture, social programming, learning);
- (2) *perception bias* (nature, hardware, DNA, limits imposed by the five perception senses, natural inclination, propensity, hardwired intellectual capacity to think and reflect, IQ or Intelligence Quotient, hardwired psychological bent of mind, EQ or Emotional Quotient, hardwired spiritual capacity to transcend materialism, SQ or Spiritual Quotient);
- (3) *data availability bias* (what data is used, what books one reads for instance);
- (4) *confirmation bias* (how data is used to preselect a desired outcome, narrowing the scope of data, massaging the data to confirm an a priori conclusion);
- (5) *presuppositional bias* (culturally ingrained presumptions or prejudices or affinities, loves and hates, that transcend the individual and are rooted in the value system of the civilization one grows up in, such as: *Orientalism* – looking down upon the East, *uber alles*, master race, exceptionalism, superiority complex; and its opposites: inferiority complex, *house niggers*, Uncle Toms, *Occidentosis* – East looking to the West or to the *white man* for solutions thinking it superior; *Triumphalism* – aspiring to universalize one's own values and beliefs thinking all others inferior, Capitalism, Communism, Democracy, Christianity, Islam, etc.).

All these factors underwriting *incestuous self-reinforcement* create an inescapable *mind-fck* from which escape to objectivity and impartiality remains elusive for most people. These largely unquantifiable factors contribute to the formulation of one's worldview and instinctualize the subjectivity in perspective that man is irreparably plagued with for his fundamental loves, hates, beliefs, and sense of attachment that may span the gamut from tribal to civilizational. This subjectivity is hard to transcend as it colors the cognitive mind ab initio, subliminally, subconsciously, and overcoming it is akin to performing brain-surgery upon one's own brain. A self-referential problem that requires a great deal of wherewithal to get a handle on, and to attempt to rise to some level of objectivity by creating distance from self. One of the ways to do that, as my former professor at M.I.T., Noam Chomsky, used to say, is to move to Mars and look back to study the Earthlings. Meaning, to try to look at man and his beliefs, including one's own, with some degree of emotional and intellectual detachment as if studying another species.

According to Bertrand Russell:

“What a man believes upon grossly insufficient evidence is an index to his desires – desires of which he himself is often unconscious. If a man is offered a fact which goes against his instincts, he will scrutinize it closely, and unless the
evidence is overwhelming, he will refuse to believe it. If, on the other hand, he is offered something which affords a reason for acting in accordance with his instincts, he will accept it even on the slenderest evidence.”

This ingrained, almost instinctual, proclivity towards socialized perspectives plays hand-in-glove with the gang-rape of the mind by perception managers who exploit that knowledge expertly for mass behavior control.

The new potent warfare on the public mind is psychological warfare, and it relies on distorting the perspective by digging deep into the human psyche to ultimately control the public behavior for the narrow interests of the few. An obvious example of this mind manipulation is the uncanny success of advertising and marketing, a multi-trillion dollar industry worldwide. A more uncomfortable example is the Mighty Wurlitzer making the public mind to “United We Stand” with the objectives of power. Nationalism, patriotism, militarism, religionism, are the more “acceptable” examples of this psychological persuasion to which the benign label of “indoctrination” is often ascribed. Soldiers killing under the sound of trumpet and returned nobly wrapped in the flag is its worst “acceptable” form. The extreme example is the manufacture of suicide bombers, the Manchurian candidates who are made to believe in their terminal mission by their intelligence handlers. Except for the latter which may rely on coercively breaking down the human being completely (physically, psychologically, spiritually) by inducing personality disorders in order to rebuild the soldier as a killing machine devoid of all empathy, and thus without a measure of their own consent, all other forms of behavior control fundamentally rely on co-opting the commonsense, humanity, compassion, and mercy of man by perspective distortion.

Perspective pollution, like an innocent child not being able to comprehend the reality of feline primacy, precludes understanding of reality the way reality actually is. And like the futility of a child being explained by an adult why the cat desires the beautiful but helpless fish, or why a lion tears apart the lovely wooly sheep, educating the un-awakened mind on perspective pollution can be just as futile. See Plato’s parable of Simile of the Cave below. Perspective pollution always favors the superior predator, both in Darwinianism, and in the social Darwinian world order. Its momentous utility for Machiavellianly engineering consent in the aggregate is examined further in Part-II below.
Caption Perspective pollution, like an innocent child not being able to comprehend the reality of feline primacy, precludes understanding of reality the way reality actually is. (Image courtesy of Desiree L. Rover's Presentation on Vaccinations, August 1, 2009)

This is the second characteristic of co-option: Perspective-pollution. The end result is ignorance of truth. This leads to being easily harvested as useful idiot and patsy-fodder.

(3) Self-policing to pass the censors of power

In the even rarer case that an outspoken revolutionary has overcome the perspective-pollution and ferreted out the truth about any matter as objectively as is possible, his and her ability to proclaim it, to bring it to the public's attention, remains a perpetual up hill battle. The limitations are imposed by the systems of power that control the narrative in the societies at large, which today is the global society. These systems of control span the gamut from denying airing to marginalizing to social ostracizing, which, in the limit, extract the ultimate price of making the revolutionary sleep with the fishes or confined to St. Elizabeth hospital (mental institution in Washington DC where American poet Ezra Pound was held as a political prisoner) for life.

Who wants to pay that price for a seemingly impractical cause which also incurs the wrath of power? So, the rationalization often goes: if I can't tell the whole truth, let me just tell half of it, or three quarters of it, or let me take on endeavors in more permissible arenas of human welfare significance but of lesser consequence to empire and thus more acceptable to the pall bearers of truth. Which, apart from its altruistic value, is very convenient as it also does not interfere with self-interest all that much. In fact, often promotes it by appearing “respectable”, “responsible”, “non conspiratorial”, “good moral citizen”. Nominations for Nobel peace prize aren't that far away. The motivations for getting along with the dominant axioms of power naturally lead to becoming adept at lying by omission when necessary, knowingly, wittingly, deliberately, until it becomes second nature, like the air we breathe, with no second thoughts given to it.

A good example of this among prominent persons of considerable wherewithal who can make a significant difference if they tell the whole truth but calculatingly don't, is former president of the United States, Jimmy Carter in his book, Palestine, Peace not Apartheid (see my deconstruction of President Carter's artful omissions, Introducing Palestine, Peace Not Apartheid ). I had written the former president of the United States an Open Letter on March 27, 2007, and had even bothered to fax it to him at his office in Atlanta demanding an explanation (see Open Letter to Former American President Jimmy Carter ).

This is the third characteristic of co-option: Self-policing to pass the censors of power, which quickly mutates into self-policing for maintaining social acceptance, and thus in self-interest. The end result is silence on truth.
(4) Self-policing for the want of efficacy

Those possessing the intellectual wherewithal, the Zen of understanding so to speak, the means to make a difference, and the moral courage to want to do so, who do try to escape all these restrictions and co-options as servants of truth and not its masters, are now faced with the recalcitrant public mind steeped in its own ignorance, superstitions, and deeply seated perspective-pollution such that what Plato taught in his Simile of the Cave comes true, time and again. That Simile is excerpted here from the 2500 years old book, The Republic.

begin excerpt Plato

“I want you to go on to picture the enlightenment or ignorance of our human condition somewhat as follows:

'Imagine an underground chamber like a cave, with a long entrance open to the daylight and as wide as the cave. In this chamber are men who have been prisoners since they were children, their legs and necks being so fastened that they can only look straight ahead of them and cannot turn their heads. Some way off, behind and higher up, a fire is burning, and between the fire and the prisoners and above them runs a road, in front of which a curtain-wall has been built, like the screen at puppet shows between the operators and their audience, above which they show their puppets.'

'I see.'

'Imagine further that there are men carrying all sorts of gear along behind the curtain-wall, projecting above it and including figures of men and animals made of wood and stone and all sorts of other materials, and that some of these men, as you would expect, are talking and some not.'

'An odd picture and an odd sort of prisoner.'

'They are drawn from life', I replied. 'For, tell me, do you think our prisoners could see anything of themselves or their fellows except the shadows thrown by the fire on the wall of the cave opposite them?'

'How could they see anything else if they were prevented from moving their heads all their lives?'

'And would they see anything more of the objects carried along the road?'

'Of course not.'

'Then if they were able to talk to each other, would they not assume that the shadows they saw were the real things?'

'Inevitably.'

'And if the wall of their prison opposite them reflected sound, don't you think that they would suppose, whenever one of the passers-by on the road spoke, that
the voice belonged to the shadow passing before them?"

'They would be bound to think so.'

'And so in every way they would believe that the shadows of the objects we mentioned were the whole truth.'

'Yes inevitably.'

'Then think what would naturally happen to them if they were released from their bonds and cured of their delusions. Suppose one of them were let loose, and suddenly compelled to stand up and turn his head and look and walk towards the fire; all these actions would be painful and he would be too dazzled to see properly the objects of which he used to see the shadows. What do you think he would say if he was told that what he used to see was so much empty nonsense and that he was now nearer reality and seeing more correctly, because he was turned towards objects that were more real, and if on top of that he were compelled to say what each of the passing objects was when it was pointed out to him? Don't you think he would be at a loss, and think that what he used to see was far truer than the objects now being pointed out to him?'

'Yes, far truer.'

'And if he were made to look directly at the light of the fire, it would hurt his eyes and he would turn back and retreat to the things which he could see properly, which he would think really clearer than the things being shown him.'

'Yes.'

'And if,' I went on, 'he were forcibly dragged up the steep and rugged ascent and not let go till he had been dragged out into the sunlight, the process would be a painful one, to which he would much object, and when he emerged into the light his eyes would be so dazzled by the glare of it that he wouldn't be able to see a single one of the things he was now told were real.'

'Certainly not at first,' he agreed.

'Because, of course, he would need to grow accustomed to the light before he could see things in the upper world outside the cave. First he would find it easiest to look at shadows, next at the reflections of men and other objects in water, and later on at the objects themselves. After that he would find it easier to observe the heavenly bodies and the sky itself at night, and to look at the light of the moon and stars rather than at the sun and its light by day.'

'Of course.'

'The thing he would be able to do last would be to look directly at the sun itself, and gaze at it without reflections in water or any other medium, but as it is in itself.'

'That must come last.'

'Later on he would come to the conclusion that it is the sun that produces the changing seasons and years and controls everything in the visible world, and is
in a sense responsible for everything that he and his fellow-prisoners used to see.'

'That is the conclusion which he would obviously reach.'

'And when he thought of his first home and what passed for wisdom there, and of his fellow-prisoners, don't you think he would congratulate himself on his fortune and be sorry for them?'

'Very much so.'

[...]

'Then what do you think would happen,' I asked, 'if he went back to sit in his old seat in the cave? Wouldn't his eyes be blinded by the darkness, because he had come in suddenly out of the sunlight?'

'Certainly.'

'And if he had to discriminate between the shadows, in competition with the other prisoners, while he was still blinded and before his eyes got used to the darkness – a process that would take some time – wouldn't he be likely to make a fool of himself?

And they would say that his visit to upper world had ruined his sight, and that the ascent was not worth even attempting.

**And if anyone tried to release them and lead them up, they would kill him if they could lay hands on him.**

'They certainly would.'

[...]

**end excerpt Plato**

That is the fourth characteristic of co-option: Self-policing for the want of efficacy in a hopeless public cause. The “revolutionary fatigue” syndrome, “why bother” syndrome. The end result is to give up, or greatly water-down the truth to make it more palatable to the indoctrinated public, leaving silence on whole truth once again in charge to make the public mind.

These co-options:

- (1) Self-interest (selfishness, apathy, cowardice, Faustian bargains) ;
- (2) Perspective-pollution (ignorance of truth, distorted beliefs, leading to becoming patsy-fodder) ;
- (3) Self-policing to pass the censors of power (accommodation to power to tell only half-truth, lying by omission) ;
(4) Self-policing for the want of efficacy in telling the whole truth (a public more willing to just kill the messenger) ;

superimposed on the already corrupted or the naïve and unaware mind, I have learnt, are formidable Himalayan mountains in the way of flatlanders learning the whole truth about important matters so that they and their nations can wage an effective battle for their own survival against man's greatest predator, the superman.

This superior predator, the superman, more intelligent and more privileged than others, feels driven to rule others because of his superior social, political, and intellectual cunning; deems himself beyond good and evil; and like Nietzsche's superman, beyond the conventional bounds of morality, beyond the calculus of right and wrong in the name of its higher ideals, whatever the higher causes that may spring from such higher ideals be: increasing profits, raising intelligence, subverting beliefs, harnessing religion, nationalism, patriotism, militarism for world domination, world government and beyond. Its principal morality only one: Will to Power. Its principal calculus only one: Primacy. Its principal modus operandi only one: Deceit.

The mind of ordinary man remains unable to grasp the mind of this super predator whose morality of “will to power” confers upon it the “moral obligation” for engaging in any means necessary for achieving its “noble objectives”. Mass behavior control is only as difficult for the superman as the cat playing with a cornered mouse. Consequently, the superman and his diabolically instrumented systems of social control easily prey upon human weaknesses. These exploitive systems that cunningly lend advantage to the superman, continue to keep mankind in chains of servitude by hook or by crook, by way of deception.

Here is an example from the eighteenth century of how the primacy instinct of the superman works without leaving even a hint of its existence in the common man. According to Bernard de Mandeville's “Fable of the Bees” for generating the wealth of nations:

“The economic well-being of the nation depends on the presence of a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long. Because men are naturally lazy they will not work unless forced by necessity to do so.”

These are no longer the physical chains as in antiquity past to make men work hard all day long. Goethe accurately captured the far more unbreakable chains of slavery:

“None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free. The truth has been kept from the depth of their minds by masters who rule them with lies. They feed them on falsehoods till wrong looks like right in their eyes.”

Now they will happily slave away for their masters in voluntary servitude. Indeed, even come to love their servitude.

I have learnt that the mind control is so pervasive today that even if some intelligent people, say friends, are polite enough to hear the revolutionary out, they cannot relate to what he has to say. The revolutionary often brings a truth so jarring to the public mind that Plato understood its implication in the golden era of the Hellenic civilization that had forced Socrates to drink poison for being a
revolutionary for truth. The handful of open-minds one might reach in the course of one's revolutionary stint before one succumbs to the predictable inevitability, are themselves plagued by the same co-options one overcame to get this far to bring truth to these people in the hope that they might do something with it. The end result is making no measurable impact in one's own lifetime in getting people to understand the truth, never mind to act upon it with moral courage, let alone when the calling is to put self-interest aside for a greater interest, the common cause.

I have learnt that people strive to be moral and upright so long as it does not cost them anything substantial; specifically, so long as it does not cost them the sacrificing of any significant self-interest. Minor sacrifices are palatable so long as it does not make a major dent in the scheme of things they have laid out for themselves. It is much wiser to wear the garb of morality and pretend to be virtuous by obsessing with personal piety, prayers, rituals, liturgies; or, alternately, just focussing on one's profession and career in the name of “practicality”, “self-actualization”, “vision”, disdain for hypocrisy; even focussing on harmless charity and social welfare causes like the cosmopolitan Muslim leader Aga Khan, the Muslim humanitarian Abdul Sattar Edhi of Pakistan, etc., do --- none ever challenge the villainy of power, all are in fact heralded and celebrated by power, are seen to mingle with it and accept awards from it for their self-policed silence, and all echo the exact same narratives, axioms, and presuppositions of power.
Part-II

Examining the Impact of Perspective-pollution

Harvesting Perspective Pollution: Democracy Revolutions and Useful Idiots on the Grand Chessboard

I have observed that in contrast to the small percentage of “haves” and elites who purchase the continuity of their self-interest with a surfeit of silence and rationalization, a great many useful idiots, fatal victims of perspective-pollution, most often from among the “have-nots”, but also students and young idealists of every socio-economic class, also exist who are motivated to the extreme for their great cause and are easily led by the demagogue and the Machiavelli to that very end. We once again brazenly observe this trend today in all the televised “Democracy Revolutions” that the world has witnessed over the past quarter century since the fall of the Berlin Wall, including the one occurring in Pakistan even as I write this, in August 2014. The ugly fact of the matter that these “Democracy Revolutions” are run by Western assets, stooges and mercenaries, following the script, monies, training and logistics all laid out for them by the same predators as part of their guns and butter package for the on-going rape, pillage, and subjugation of nations on the Grand Chessboard, is un apparent to these “revolutionaries”. Only the methods employed by the master chess players vary depending on their whim, fancy, and objectives:

● (1) neoconservatism, meaning, using guns and F16s to “liberate” the oppressed people from hard dictatorships that they had originally foisted upon the people themselves, with the replacement “neoliberal democracy”;

● (2) neoliberalism, the self-help “Democracy Revolutions”, the butter, to save the people from their own installed house niggers or other fabled enemies of the West,
with a new crop of *house niggers* who will continue to write off the sovereignty of the nation and its public commons to privatization and corporate interests, euphemistically termed “globalization”, under carefully cultivated pretenses of bringing “democracy” and efficiency through electoral politics of musical chairs in a never ending game of *mind-f*ck of nations.

The New York Times columnist Thomas L. Friedman highlighted the relationship between these two sides of the imperial gun held to the head of nations, with the cold chutzpah that only Jewish hubris can muster in defense of the obvious truth:

“That is why sustainable globalization still requires a stable, geopolitical power structure, which simply cannot be maintained without the active involvement of the United States. ... The hidden hand of the market will never work without a hidden fist -- McDonald's cannot flourish without McDonnell Douglas, the builder of the F-15. And the hidden fist that keeps the world safe for Silicon Valley's technologies is called the United States Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps.”

The *Confessions of an Economic Hitman*, Mr. John Perkins, a former energy consultant for the World Bank who helped rape developing nations, underscores that bold Jewish veracity of what it means to be an empire.

Both these “regime change” modus operandi for raping nations, often accompanied by the propaganda cover of “humanitarian assistance” to the local population, summed up as *guns and butter*, are the tried and tested techniques that have been witnessed throughout the Middle East and many parts of the world from South America to Eastern Europe to post Soviet Central Asian nations including Russia. The neoliberal looting of Russia in the 1990s after the disintegration of the Soviet Union which led to its “Democracy Revolution”, was most sanguinely justified by the former chairman of the IMF in Moscow: “*It was the price which Russia paid for moving forward*”.

Debt-enslavement, privatization of public commons for a song, plundering the wealth of nations, are evidently the price of “liberty” and “moving forward” with reforms. This is openly proclaimed time and again but evidently to the short attention span public mind, it quickly disappears into the *ether*. Its historical precedent for those who can remember is in fact most impressive. After famously throwing that tea overboard in 1776 to seed its own successful “Democracy Revolution”, the United States too was brought in line with debt-enslavement as sanguinely proclaimed by Alexander Hamilton. The founding father of the United States and her very first Secretary of the Treasury, is proudly quoted on the US Treasury website some two and half centuries later: “*The United States debt, foreign and domestic, was the price of liberty.*”

Recent history can be a great lender of both insight and perspective for parsing current affairs when the receipts of the breaking events of the “contemporary history” in the making are often not in hand. Narrative control and secrecy make it impossible to get at the hard facts and evidence until these are revealed ex post facto when nothing can be done about the matter, which is by then, in any case, fait accompli and long lost to the pages of history. The example of the “Democracy Revolution” in Pakistan in 1977 during the tenure of prime minister Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto (ZAB) in the aftermath of the national elections which the opposition parties on the right claimed was rigged and came out in
massive civil disobedience street protests in a grand alliance that brought the country to a standstill, is illustrative. The following confession by the then Director of Pakistan's ISI in his memoir, should reveal to even the most die hard fanatic revolutionary of today how these “Democracy Revolutions” are covertly planned, setup on the ground, funded, mobilized, and depending on the outcome, opportunistically harvested from behind the scenes for a greater geopolitical agenda. This does not happen overnight but has forces and motivation that transcend the immediate situation:

'The US Plays its Role.

According to some candid intelligence estimates, the US was fully involved in exploiting the situation by guiding and aiding the opposition political parties and ultimately getting him removed and hanged. (page 33)

It is generally believed that the US wanted ZAB to be removed from the political scene of Pakistan mainly on two counts. First, for the nuclear policy that he framed and tried to relentlessly pursue and secondly, for apprehensions that ZAB's was influencing the minds and policies of a number of Islamic and Third World countries. He posed a serious challenge to the US interests in the region. 'He had to be eliminated'.

On August 9, 1976, American Secretary of State, Dr. Henry Kissinger had a talk with ZAB, in Lahore, to dissuade him from acquiring Nuclear Reprocessing Plant from France for which the deal had already been finalized after Pakistan had agreed to all the safeguard requirements laid down by both France and the International Atomic Energy Agency. In their talks, Dr. Kissinger found ZAB inflexible and determined to go ahead with the acquisition of the Reprocessing Plant and make Pakistan a nuclear power. Incensed, he warned ZAB, “We will make a horrible example of you,” adding menacingly, “When the railroad is coming, you get out of the way.” But ZAB stood his ground. The US virtually mowed down ZAB. The political and economic crisis situation that started to develop during 1976-77 was fully exploited by the power broker USA. This is how they did it:-

- The Americans had successfully cultivated a number of well-placed bureaucrats, PPP stalwarts and ministers who wittingly or inadvertently served as the US agents of influence. American diplomats and CIA operators not only got most of the 'inside' information from these 'gentlemen' but also utilized their good offices to 'convey' whatever they wanted to feed or plant.

- During 1976-77 ZAB, probably working on the structured advice of these agents, continued to slip deeper and deeper into the political quagmire. They somehow convinced him that PPP must win and hold two third majority in the elections. When the agitation was moving from bad to worse, some of these men wanted weapons and chalked out plans to defeat MNA movement by force. ZAB also seriously started to consider this option.

- Some officers from USMAAG had also meaningful ingresses in the General Headquarters and not only gathered the thinking in the Services Headquarters
but would also drop a 'suggestion' here and there.
- Some of the US diplomats had established direct contacts with a number of PNA leaders whom they continued to aid, support and give day-to-day line of action.
- As soon as the PNA movement gathered momentum, a large number of foreigners, particularly Americans descended on Pakistan in the garb of freelance journalists, reporters, observers, and photographers to cover the events. These men and women loaded with cameras, tape recorders and money seemed to have done their home-work well and were also being fed locally by invisible sources. They all seemed to know the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of every one who was any one in politics. It would be interesting to note that, (thanks to our days of slavery, we still have not overcome the 'white skin' phobia) most of our politicians were not only always available to these manipulators but would actually feel elated on getting a call from them and would pour out whatever they had in their minds. Every day, we in the ISI received a flood of telegrams that these journalists would send home and it was surprising to know who all they were speaking to and what information and political analysis they received.
- All that was being sent out by these so-called foreign journalists, who were actually CIA operators, was being beamed back on Pakistan as psychological warfare and propaganda aimed at building up a scenario of ZAB's fall.
- A number of diplomats were not only actively involved but also directed the operations against ZAB. Jan M. Gibney, Political Officer, US Consulate General, Lahore, duly assisted by a couple of Pakistanis, was extremely active and would frequently visit a number of politicians. It was Gibney who had telephoned and conveyed to Howard B. Schaffer, Chief of Political Affairs, US Embassy, Islamabad, that notorious sentence, “The party is over. Merchandise has gone.” ZAB had retorted by saying, “Party is not yet over. Elephant has long ears......”
- We had reports from Karachi that exchange rate of US Dollar in the open market had considerably dropped. The market was flooded with US Dollar currency notes and too many people were trying to exchange US Dollars for Pak Rupees. Who unloaded all those US currency notes in the market, is a question not difficult to answer.
- PL-480 funds had also been released by the US. Over-night some JI workers were seen with pockets full of money and spending lavishly. ...

... The US not only aided but also directed most of the PNA activities. On July 4, 1977, PNA negotiators Mufti Mahmood, Nawabzada Nasrullah Khan and Professor Gafoor had agreed on a joint formula with the PPP but suddenly Air Marshal (retired) Asghar Khan, Pir of Pigaro, Begum Nasim Wali Khan, Maulana Noorani and some other of their ilk declared that they did not endorse the agreement reached between the parties. On whose behalf these leaders
sabotaged the reconciliation proceedings, is any body's guess.

Martial Law. On the night of July 4/5, 1977 General Zia-ul-Haq declared Martial Law. ...

The US Issues Black Warrant. When ZAB's trial for his alleged involvement in the murder of Nawab Muhammad Ahmad Khan was being heard in the Supreme Court, Islamabad, ... a telegraphic message from Washington [was received]. When decoded, it contained directions for the local US offices to ensure that ZAB was hanged. It also laid down instructions for arranging retirement and shifting of some of the general officers.’ --- Profiles of Intelligence by Brig. Syed A. I. Tirmazi, 1995, pgs. 33-38

The benefit of having some distance in time of course now also indicates that a military government in Pakistan was simply essential for an even greater geopolitical great game: to “have the opportunity of giving to the USSR its Vietnam war”, which too did not suddenly materialize in the fall of 1979 but had strategic game-theory laced diabolical orchestration behind it. Here is another confession, ex post facto:

“According to the official version of history, CIA aid to the Mujahadeen began during 1980, that is to say, after the Soviet army invaded Afghanistan, 24 Dec 1979. But the reality, secretly guarded until now, is completely otherwise. Indeed, it was July 3, 1979 that President Carter signed the first directive for secret aid to the opponents of the pro-Soviet regime in Kabul. And that very day, I wrote a note to the president in which I explained to him that in my opinion this aid was going to induce a Soviet military intervention.

... Regret what? That secret operation was an excellent idea. It had the effect of drawing the Russians into the Afghan trap and you want me to regret it? The day that the Soviets officially crossed the border, I wrote to President Carter. We now have the opportunity of giving to the USSR its Vietnam war. Indeed, for almost 10 years, Moscow had to carry on a war unsupportable by the government, a conflict that brought about the demoralization and finally the breakup of the Soviet empire.” --- Zbigniew Brzezinski, 1998 interview to French magazine Le Nouvel Observateur

Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto clearly could not have gone along with that American great game plan with global aspiration of his own as the up and coming respected statesman of the Muslim world, and had to be eliminated from the scene altogether with a more compliant, boot-licking, greedy, tin-pot military dictator who could easily be controlled. Zia-ul-Haq at the time was well known in the establishment as the most subservient lackluster general who was promoted to head the Joint Chiefs of Staff (or as the Chief of Army Staff) over other more senior generals by prime minister Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto in the keen expectation that the groveling general will follow orders. Well, he did, but of a different master. “Democracy Revolution” was used as the pretext for that coup d'état. And under the iron-fisted military rule of General Zia-ul-Haq, which he once demonstrated on television by slowly closing his fist, a full blown Islamic militancy operation was structured in Pakistan to recruit and train the “Mujahideen” as cannon fodder for the Afghan war. They were famously greeted as the “moral
equivalent of our founding fathers” by President Ronald Reagan on their celebrated visit to the White
House in 1980s. The plentiful harvest of this fabricated “militant Islam” to last many generations, is
continually being “tickled”, re-seeded, trained, and re-harvested, this time as the “enemy”, to fuel the
Global War on Terror.

The official state receipts for this new “imperial mobilization” aren't in as yet since it is still
very much “contemporary history” in the making. But one can still observe the “coincidence” (sic!) that by another timely “happenstance” (sic!), yet another military general is in power in Pakistan
before the launch of that second “imperial mobilization” into Afghanistan using 9/11 as the pretext.
Once again, the then elected prime minister of Pakistan, Nawaz Sharif, who remarkably is now once
again the ruler of this pawn nation for the third time, and is once again experiencing “Democracy
Revolution” against him, at the start of his second tenure in 1997 aspired to become the “amir-ul-
omomineen” and had promoted General Pervez Musharraf over other more senior generals in the exact
same expectations as Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto, and suffered the exact same loyalty in payback. As the new
iron-fisted ruler of Pakistan, General Pervez Musharraf immediately went on board the 9/11 steam-
train and gave the entire stock-room away to assist in the second “imperial mobilization” of the United
States into Afghanistan, forging a unity of command with the American military command so that
nothing was left to chance. Game theory was displayed at its most effectiveness vis a vis Pakistan in
both imperial mobilizations, by having military dictatorship rule Pakistan at both times to precisely
follow orders of its own masters.

To even think that the Global War on Terror and its underlying pretext of the catastrophic terror
event in New York was not years in the planning is to be pathetically ignorant of the reality of
“imperial mobilization” --- a fate that is willingly suffered not just by the Uncle Toms and house
niggers installed to run surrogate nations, but also by the support systems of domestic power
comprising intellectuals, journalists, news media owners, NGOs, and the local industrial and economic
elite whose bread and butter depends on compliance with the massa. ( See the section “Taking a
Deeper Look into the Dynamics of Mantra Creation: Islamofascism” in my Report on the Mighty
Wurlitzer)

Scrutinizing that first instance of “Democracy Revolution” against ZAB with the un jaundiced
eye of an analyst, one readily observes that it is almost like the layers of an onion. You peel off one
motivation and you see another cleverly hidden underneath it. You unpeel that layer and you see yet
another, and another... What if a noble people with some wherewithal (meaning, not dumbed down
between bread and circuses), and their noble leaders (meaning, not mercenaries and mental midget
Uncle Toms cunningly installed in power with pretenses of democratic elections and arguments of
expediency in military coup d'état), understood the kernel motivation of the great game up front by-
passing all the layers of manufactured pretexts and the concomitant cause-and-effect “happenstance”
cunningly built upon each other, and made wise and moral decisions in the national interest rather than
in their own narrow self-interests? The imperial covert agendas would not succeed, now would they?
These agendas rely on the compliance of surrogate states to pull them off. Precisely to preempt that
hurdle from ever arising despite the presence of house niggers in all positions of power, it is made so
much more difficult to unravel on-going covert operations and their secret motivations while these are
still current affairs. Ex post facto, it is even made known to the world with considerable gloat,
knowing fully well that the dumbed down public along with their co-opted rulers and mental midget
intellectuals placed in all prominent positions will not dare learn from it to preempt the next episode of
“contemporary history”. (See my deconstruction of the doctrine of “Plausible Deniability” as per the US National Security Directive NSC 10/2 permitting the CIA to indulge in hard covert-operations upon the enemy while permitting the political and executive leadership of the United States and its allies with deniability cover, in Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory)

Not to be outdone by the historical tag team combination of neoliberalism-neoconservatism to conquer nations, a new version is being added to the mix of neoconservatism as I write this. The creation of freshly armed terrorist states wielding “militant Islam”, to augment the stateless terrorists wielding “militant Islam” with merely box-cutter knives against whom the perpetual World War IV is now being waged. That is this new “ISIS” phenomenon rapidly being spread in Iraq and Syria as I write this (see Understanding ISIS).

The new militant “Islamic State” with “caliphate” galore is only the manufactured progression of going from empire's own stateless antediluvian actors playing their scripted “terrorist” role, to antediluvian state actors playing their scripted “terrorist expansionist” role. Barbarians being brought to power akin to the manufacture of NAZI socialism and godless Communism, both secretly supported and funded from Wall Street to fabricate potent state enemies to wage world wars against in order to fashion a new world order from the concomitant ashes of the old world left behind. The headlines announcing the new phantasmal terror threat to Western civilization: “Islamic State 'beyond anything we've seen':

'Washington: The Islamic State poses a greater danger than conventional “terrorist group” and is pursuing a vision that could radically alter the face of the Middle East, US Defence Leader said Thursday [August 21, 2014].

The IS jihadists could be contained and eventually defeated by local forces backed by the United States, but the Sunni population in both Syria and Iraq would need to reject the group, Defense Secretary Chuck Hagel and General Martin Dempsey told reporters.

Hagel warned that the Islamic State is better armed, trained and funded than any recent militant threat.

“They marry ideology and a sophistication of strategy and tactical military prowess. They are tremendously well funded. This is beyond anything we've seen,” Hagel told a news conference.

Dempsey, the chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, said that the group adheres to a fanatical ideology and has “a long term vision” to take over Lebanon, Israel, and Kuwait. If they achieve that vision, it would fundamentally alter the face of the Middle East and create a security environment that would certainly threaten us in many ways,” he said.

... The bombing runs and humanitarian aid to the local population [Zahir's note: the guns and butter again] have stalled the Islamic State's “momentum and enabled Iraqi and Kurdish forces to regain their footing and take the initiative”.

Asked if the US would hit the militants in neighboring Syria, Hagel did not rule out the option but did not indicate strikes there were imminent.’ — AFP, Friday August 22, 2014, via Dawn.com
The local public often just join the burgeoning crowds in these revolution-fevers that are brought to their door-steps out of their own frustrations, disenfranchisement, misplaced sense of patriotism, nationalism, liberation, or religionism, not to neglect paid participants on the endless dollar payroll, and those coerced into attending who'd rather just be sitting at home with their family. The real social problems affecting ordinary peoples are all fabricated to start with as part of the Hegelian Dialectic strategy in the way the territory is administered as a surrogate state by rulers, dictators, plunderers, all pathetic house niggers, some wearing the garb of “democracy” and constitutional electoral mandate if that more agreeable format is in vogue when a state has seen some “liberation”. There is, of course, always the “real” “Democracy Revolution” waiting in the wings for even greater “liberation”. The public's natural reaction to their social, political and economic discontents is suitably amplified with specific slogans at the national scale and cunningly fertilized for advancing “revolutionary times” by making it all appear organic. It is not organic. It is synthetic. But its basis is in the hard reality of discontent. Sometimes that discontent is synthetically induced, as was the Hindu-Muslim discontent after the failed rebellion of 1857. After that combined Hindu-Muslim effort to get rid of the British empire, the white man decided to ensure that the two people should forever remain at loggerheads, leading to the blood-drenched partition of the Indian sub-continent when the British finally withdrew 90 years later (see my Sacred Cow series: Allama Iqbal - marde-momin or superman?, which examines the role of the principal local architect of that orchestrated separation in his service to the British Empire).

I have learnt that it is virtually impossible to explain any of this to these overzealous “democracy” and anti-government protesters, their sympathizers, and even to their antagonists, including the “Islam” flag bearers of any flavor driving for caliphates among the Sunni fanatical Muslims and “revolutionary Islam” among the Shia fanatical Muslims, that these “revolutionary times” are synthetic and people are being taken for a ride for quite a different endgame. I have tried it --- no one is even willing to read the script of “How to Start a Revolution”, “From Dictatorship to Democracy”, freely available on the internet, to adjudicate for themselves what kind of script the latest two circus clowns of “Democracy Revolution”, Imran Khan and Tahir ul Qadri, are following in Islamabad.

Both are Uncle Toms of empire not much different from their opponents whom they want to dislodge from power in their version of the “real” “Democracy Revolution”. Both eat at the same globalist's table with the massa, like their opponents. Both echo the same core narratives of empire, like their opponents. And they both make coordinated maximalist political demands in their “Democracy Revolution” which are designed ab initio never to be fulfilled, but to principally disrupt the state's functioning at the expense of increasing public anger at nothing functioning, thus creating a self-fulfilling prophecy with more state repression, more dysfunction, more public anger, the theory being that this will topple the regime – “regime change”.

Behold the two house niggers of Pakistan now championing “revolution” and “change”, seated comfortably at the massa's table at the Western financial super elite's World Economic Forum annual meeting in Davos, Switzerland, January 27, 2011. (For the definition of the term house nigger see my FAQ What is an Intellectual Negro?)
Imran Khan and Tahir ul Qadri seated at the massa's table at the Western financial super elite's World Economic Forum annual meeting in Davos, Switzerland, January 27, 2011. What are they doing there? Would it be rude to suggest that they are each being rewarded for the following facts: (a) selling the “moderate Islam” part of Hegelian Dialectic (both Imran Khan and Tahir ul Qadri); (b) the 600-page Fatwa on Terrorism against “militant Islam” to reinforce the Hegelian Dialectic with some theology backing one side and condemning the other (Tahir ul Qadri); (c) for both parroting the core axioms and narratives of massa on its Global War on Terror; (d) for both demonstrating to the massa that although the massa knows that the “Price of a Pakistani oscillates between a free trip to the US and a bottle of whisky.” (see Profiles of Intelligence by Brig. Syed A. I. Tirmazi, 1995, pg. 45), and that as in yesteryear, even today all mercenaries from Pakistan are as willing and able to play its diabolical games to fck their own peoples, but that these two are the more promising of the current crop as prospective political and religious national leaders of “moderate Islam” in Pakistan with an ideological following of mostly young people and women fed up with both the status quo of corrupt political parties and with “militant Islam”, and thus offering them the gentle mix between slightly secular to “moderate Islam” to ensure that this large demographic group too is also led to the same “United We Stand” pastures by the devils the massa knows and supports, and consequently, that they are also worthy of being noticed and backed as their new horses among the massa's many other horses. The massa evidently agreed, and even rewarded them with participation and global visibility on the massa's table. Imran Khan needed the massa's backing, because without it no one can come to power in their surrogate states, but he already had the national visibility, and
has already been partaking of the crumbs that fall off the British Establishment's table. While Tahir ul Qadri has been more dependent on the massa's full spectrum support for his sudden meteoric rise to national prominence in Pakistan. The two house niggers, as different as they are in their personality and profession, coordinating their scripted “Democracy Revolution” protests in Islamabad is therefore no surprise. (Image source from my response to Dr. Tahir-ul Qadri on his Fatwa in 2010: Response to the Fatwa on Terrorism in the Service of Empire)

Well, one such green colored “Democracy Revolution” was foiled by the Iranian government in 2009 with great foresight and understanding of the devil before them. Other nations have been less successful. Pakistan is the most pathetic --- ruled entirely by house nigger class across the board, both in and out of uniform. The recipe is all laid out in the script known as “FDTD” for disrupting an existing governance system by making it more and more difficult to govern. The pretense for take-down of course is “liberation from tyranny”, with a promise of better “democracy” --- otherwise only fools would fall for it. Now even intelligent people are deceived by the “honey trap” of platitudes for the want of realistic perspective on primacy, for the want of intimacy with the mind of sociopaths who play with nations like pawns on the grand chessboard, and due to immersive permanent bondage to adept global perception managers.

Few who fall for these “revolution” scams in fact ever want to understand that all this is manufactured, synthetic, run by Western assets and stooges. Perspective-pollution is the ultimate patsy-maker creating an abundance of useful idiots out of the handful of “jihadis” and “idealists” willing to put aside self-interest for a greater cause. These patsies are trained into the mechanisms of “Democracy Revolution” and they seed the large flag-waving crowds which are formed in herds by the victims of discontent spanning the gamut from disenfranchisement to poverty to joblessness to lack of security to just wishing change from living under the jackboots of tyranny. A study of such crowds would surely reveal that these are populated more by genuine people with real discontents than by mercenaries, hired hands, and those conscripted to attend, even though there is never any shortages of the latter set. Genuine disaffection is fundamentally necessary for this recipe to be sustained for any length of time and through its trials and tribulations.

Such disaffection is not always the result of any one single corrupt government's limited tenure. It is often an accumulation of the same common state policies of serfdom over many years and many changing uniforms and shalwar kameez on the lucrative throne of a nation like Pakistan. This has made her national cancer systemic. Even so, smart crooks in power, whether military, civilian, autocratic, despotic or tyrannical, should at least be politically astute enough to know whether these “Democracy Revolutions” are foreign funded and orchestrated or not, by being intimately familiar with the script being played out. Evidently, unlike the Iranian governance system manned by learned well-read intellectuals who suffer a great deal of national interest with modesty and honesty of purpose despite nearly four decades of international sanctions and marginalizing, the Pakistani government, like the Pakistani nation, suffering from a severe drought of intellectuals and run by fools and mercenaries many of whom even purchase fake college degrees to become elected, all seeking personal payback with compound interest after purchasing their meal ticket to elected statehood for hundreds of thousands of dollars a piece, appear to be entirely unfamiliar with the script. Well, by the law of nature, those who live by the hand of the devil are forced out by the same hand.
It is, however, not that there is anything more profound in these political recipes for bringing about “revolutions” against tyranny than is contained, for instance, in the nearly 600 years old Discourse on Voluntary Servitude written by the young French idealist and revolutionary, Etienne de La Boétie. This fellow straightforwardly argued the following most obvious moral commonsense for genuine liberation which could still never come to pass on its own despite the vista of six centuries of experimentation to verify its efficacy:

“obviously there is no need of fighting to overcome this single tyrant, for he is automatically defeated if the country refuses consent to its own enslavement. ... [Therefore:] Resolve to serve no more, and you are at once freed. I do not ask that you place hands upon the tyrant to topple him over, but simply that you support him no longer; then you will behold him, like a great Colossus whose pedestal has been pulled away, fall of his own weight and break in pieces.”

There is indeed a very extensive bibliography on non-violence resistance, including yesteryear examples in Nelson Mandela and his resistance to Apartheid South Africa, Mohandas Gandhi and his Satyagraha resistance to support the Quit India movement, etc. The standard academic text for Westerners, especially Americans, is of course the classic by one of their own, Henry David Thoreau's On Civil Disobedience and other related essays.

Books, platitudes, and moral commonsense however don't bring “revolutions” or overthrow tyranny. Otherwise, the world's great religions would have been sufficient to end all tyranny for all times. Today, it is the singular fact that big money, strategies, tactics, logistics, trainers and handlers, media and support systems, are all deployed together on a vast scale to make these “Democracy Revolutions” happen as a means of creating “revolutionary times” for agendas that have little to do with freeing the people from their serfdom. Endless piles of big money and sophisticated logistics planning are deployed by front-men of the financial oligarchy operating through tax-exempt charitable foundations and other non-profit organizations (NGOs) in conjunction with the intelligence apparatuses of Western nations. The bibliography on this subject of covert subversion of nations by the superpower is quite extensive. Even a little study of freely available web resources will quickly take one to names like the financial speculations wizard George Soros and his behind the scenes funding of the multi-colored “Democracy Revolution”; to the CIA manufacturing local agents and assets who are deployed to seed the “Democracy Revolution” from the Left to the Right, or to furnish other “revolutionary times” on demand such as Operation Gladio style terrorism blamed on patsies; etc.

Not to forget to mention that the former head of Pakistan's ISI openly admitted in his memoir that the superpower “master” even installs “CIA agents as presidents, prime ministers, ministers, generals and senior advisors, etc.” (Profiles of Intelligence by Brig. Syed A. I. Tirmazi, 1995, pgs. 20-22). The massa holds its house niggers in such utter contempt that the head of ISI further quoted the chutzpah of the US Counsel General at Lahore:

“Price of a Pakistani oscillates between a free trip to the US and a bottle of whisky.” (Ibid. pg. 45, quoting Dr. Andrew V. Corry, US Counsel General at Lahore).

The Pakistani spook chief went on to confirm the reality of that trite American observation of
its surrogate state:

“He may not be too far wrong. We did observe some highly placed Pakistanis selling their conscience, prestige, dignity and self-respect for a small price.”
(Ibid. pg. 45)

And then, surprisingly for an establishmentarian insider and spook chief of a spy agency that is itself fed by the United States, the most candid of all questions is asked:

“The nation has the right to know and ask the leaders how far has the situation changed and have we developed enough muscles and guts to get rid of the old masters and their agents? That is the question.” (Ibid. pg. 23)

Indeed. It is still the question. Matters have only worsened today. More and more agents, mercenaries, stooges, patsies and dupes have been planted in all significant positions of power and influence across Pakistan for obediently continuing Pakistan's manufactured front-line role as “Terror Central” in the Global War on Terror (see my 2007 report: Saving Pakistan from Synthetic Terror Central).

This reality-check and especially the previously discussed example of Pakistan's first “Democracy Revolution” of 1977 where the religious Right was mobilized with suitcase full of US dollars, should convince even the most obdurate mind by now to be at least a tad more skeptical of these new generation of holier than thou puppetshows of “Democracy Revolution”.

Who is funding Tahir ul Qadri's and Imran Khan's “Democracy Revolution” mania today? Both their crowds appear to be well-trained, dedicated, armed with heavy cranes and air-conditioned shipping containers (a first not just for Pakistan but not seen in any “Democracy Revolution” before), and for all the claims of theirs being two independent protests just coincidently united by happenstance on the same occasion, seem rather well coordinated. As of Saturday, August 30, 2014, intense violence has erupted against the protesters with Islamabad being turned into a war zone by the state security apparatus. The Muslim on Muslim violence that has characterized the Global Zone of Percolating Violence that Brzezinski outlined on page 53 of his 1996 book The Grand Chessboard, the hallmark of fourth generation warfare to demoralize nations from within, has achieved its intent in every nation visited by it, including Pakistan. What a mind-fck that the quest to get rid of the military from governance in 2007 with “go Musharraf go” sloganeering is now transformed into the question on most Pakistani lips: why is the military not intervening? As of Monday, September 1, 2014, the Pakistani newsmedia is awash with revelations made by the elected president of Imran Khan's political party who left the protest site in disagreement, that Imran Khan is following a “script”. Duh! Its complete unraveling someday promises to be the unpeeling of the onion of several layers of covert motivations each one compartmentalized and staged by its own handlers.

Where is all this going? If history is a worthy teacher, clearly to some staging of next pawn moves on the grand chessboard. A Gordian knot has been tied on Pakistan the extrication from which, without a real throwing of tea overboard, is impossible. The carefully cultivated house niggers, agents, assets, and mercenaries in and out of uniform ensure that the primacy interests of the massa will always remain the first priority in Pakistan. Perspective-pollution will continue to enfeeble and co-opt her public. The causal chain of national liberation then is to transcend perspective-pollution first, the
The godhead of all co-option for any brave people willing to rise beyond their own narrow self-interests. All else will naturally follow. But without it, the chances of being harvested as useful idiots and patsy-fodder with the right set of “doctrinal motivation” implanted in every partisan group, is virtually one hundred percent. This modus operandi of making the public mind should be self-evident. Just look at how Tahir ul Qadri provides a different set of “doctrinal motivation” to his flock of revolutionaries in contrast to Imran Khan, but both group of protesters are coordinated towards the same mobilization. The perpetual rich harvest of useful idiots at the hands of demagogues is the principal reason I have devoted so much space here to this matter of Machiavellian social engineering so that the motivated and well-intentioned minority willing to put their good life on the line to bring change in the greater public interest, can also overcome their stupidity first, just like they overcame their apathy.

An insightful and brilliantly oratorical statement attributed to the ancient Roman statesman and orator, student of law and justice, Marcus Tullius Cicero, made several decades before the birth of Christ during the zenith and rapaciousness of Pax Romana on its way to pernicious decay, captures a fundamental truth: “It is not freedom that permits Trojan Horse to be wheeled within the gates.” Cicero went on to plead his case before the Roman Senate in words that appear to be timeless commonsense, but lost to any civilization in decay:

“A nation can survive its fools, and even the ambitious. But it cannot survive treason from within. An enemy at the gates is less formidable, for he is known and he carries his banners openly against the city. But the traitor moves among those within the gates freely, his sly whispers rustling through all the alleys, heard in the very halls of government itself. For the traitor appears no traitor; he speaks in the accents familiar to his victims, and he wears their face and their garments, and he appeals to the baseness that lies deep in the hearts of all men. He rots the soul of a nation; he works secretly and unknown in the night to undermine the pillars of a city; he infects the body politic so that it can no longer resist. A murderer is less to be feared. The traitor is the carrier of the plague. You have unbarred the gates of Rome to him.” --- Cicero’s “speech” to the Roman Senate, appears in Cicero’s Prognosis, 1965, by Millard F. Caldwell, Justice, Supreme Court Florida

I have however observed that fools are indispensable to the fifth columnists of modern times. The traitor in our intensely Machiavellian times cannot generally be very effective in his subversion without the plentiful harvest of useful idiots, stooges, patsies and dupes, all fervently believing in their divine mission while executing the superman’s script, often written several degrees of separation removed from the scene. The traitor answers to alien masters.

These manufactured “Democracy Revolutions” are not the harbinger of any meaningful and substantive changes for the betterment of the people. Indeed, anyone with even a tiny bit of intellectual capacity to reason can easily ascertain from empirical evidence that at best, it merely changes the shape and form, sometimes the uniforms, sometimes the flag.

But nothing fundamental changes, except for the worse.

It is of course all couched in Orwellian Newspeak to convince a gullible public of the absurd, and the reprehensible. But behind the fancy tunes of propaganda:
● their resources are still plundered regardless of who's brought into power under which pretext;

● they are still kept in debt to international lending agencies led by the World Bank which is controlled by private internationalist bankers who seek global governance at the expense of eroding national sovereignty with the diabolical tricks of their trade;

● their economies are still transformed into MNC economies by the WB-IMF debt trap;

● their own once thriving domestic industries are shut down in obedience to IMF restructuring and privatization mandates as temporary relief in the debt-trap, making it prohibitive for local industries to compete and the country and its vast resources are easily bought out for a song;

● their social spendings are continually siphoned off in debt-servicing for loans incurred for frivolous and military pursuits;

● and they remain vassals and surrogates of empire with merely a different set of house niggers in the ceremonial seats, sometimes in uniform, sometimes out of uniform.

These observations are entirely empirical. Anyone can observe this state of affairs as it isn't a classified state-secret. In fact, this is so patently obvious to anyone with an iota of intelligence that for any public to be continually taken for a ride on the “horse of democracy” with election frenzy and revolution mania, its mind must be really really foolish. Or really really controlled. Which one is yours?

I have learnt that this is as much a great game among satiated predators who just play with nations and gullible public to see who can f*ck them more, as it is a strategy of destabilization with “regime changes” to achieve the objectives of fourth generation warfare on the Grand Chessboard. The demoralization of a people is complete even after a few such episodes of guns and butter liberation, imagine endless ones lasting a lifetime, multiple generations, in which kids are born and die young knowing no other existence! The ultimate prize sought: one-world.

What I wonder about though, and it is not something I have figured out yet, is what happens afterwards? It would become awfully boring for the sociopaths and predators once they control every living being and system on earth. What new games would they then have to devise to entertain themselves, to exercise their lust for power --- go back to child-like competing and warring nation-states to restart the great game all over again? Or look for new frontiers in outerspace for exercising primacy as the fledgling United Earth Federation?

And that, all that, dear reader, is the tortuous reality of the matter and the path mankind has been deliberately put on without our consent or knowledge. The sociopaths creating major wars, the social Darwinians deciding who lives and who dies, the controllers creating perspective-pollution, and the narrow self-interests of even the best of people, always win out in the end. To this effect, my greatest of all disappointments is to finally accept the empirical fact that even the most profound world religions teaching lofty moral virtues have failed equally miserably in getting the public mind to transcend self-interest.

This fact is now compounded by the high degree of perspective-pollution across the board
which, integrated over time and space, throughout history and mythology, has come to divide mankind into us vs. them ad infinitum on narrow differentiation more than uniting mankind as human beings first.

It is not merely that people have taken religion as an opiate — the disturbing and readily observable fact is that the public mind everywhere, in every nation on earth, appears to not be capable of anything different from what socialization teaches it, the needs of existentialism compel it, and the stages of co-option entice it. Spiritual gratification when sought is found in prayer. Intellectual thirst when lighted is quenched in career. Ego is satiated in awards and accolades and feel-goods. The super ego lies dead. The age of Solon is long past. That age 3000 years ago when the Athenian lawgiver had decreed good governance: “That city where those who have not been injured take up the cause of one who has, and prosecute the case as earnestly as if the wrong had been done to themselves.” That age was short lived as well and vanished after its lawgiver.

Solon's distant progeny Plato subsequently decreed the “Philosopher-king” abstraction for that reason of good governance of the Republic, of having truthful intelligent thinkers seeking high moral ideals become the leaders of a Republic because deceitful super-intelligent amoral thinkers will only put the public mind in chains. Fools will only run them aground or be shepherded themselves from behind the scenes. With the world all but co-opted by the superman, what then is left behind to interdict the superman's dystopia now in the making?

What I have learnt in my journey as the student of truth does not paint a very flattering picture of man as it exists today, and as it has existed from time immemorial — his inability to break the bonds of servitude. Back then, it was involuntary servitude under the jackboots of kings who ruled in the name of god, often as gods themselves. Today, it is by creating systems of social control that induce voluntary servitude under the pretenses of liberty, fraternity, equality, democracy, elections, getting man to accept his own servitude, and to even love it. Sadly, only the forms and formats of mass behavior control have changed, from physical chains of antiquity to mental chains of modernity, but the objective remains the same — primacy!

There is always a new tomorrow though. A better painting beckons.
In my detailed examination of the “Fable of the Bees” in the essay: Seduction of Science and Technology Corrupting the Intellect and the Soul (http://tinyurl.com/Fable-of-the-Bees), I examined the rather rare disease of morbid infection by cheeky conscience in the brilliant supercat who decided to go for “Hajj” after eating 900 mice. The infection is usually short lived, but in the following case died holily in bed.

Begin Quote

Few men of science, technology, and industry ever grapple with any of these issues or dare to go there when they are in the prime of their careers heartily pursuing it. Usually, a handful only venture there after the fact, ex post facto, after the genie is out of the bottle and cannot be put back in. Like M.I.T.'s own former president Jerome B. Wiesner (1971-1980), who, after presiding over the buildup of the same militarized society, upon retirement from his top academic post in the most militarized country on earth, thought it most conscionable to make the following banal statement of moral clarity:

“This irrational behavior is only possible because we, the citizens of the nation, permit it. It is no longer a question of controlling a military-industrial complex, but rather, of keeping the United States from becoming a totally military culture.” — The United States: A militarized society, Jerome B. Wiesner, president emeritus MIT, Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, Aug. 1985, pg. 104

This is the outline of Jerome B. Wiesner's own militarized career:

“Jerome B. Wiesner (May 30, 1915 – October 21, 1994), was associated with MIT for most of his career, joining the MIT Radiation Laboratory in 1942 and working on radar development. He worked briefly at Los Alamos, returned to become a professor of Electrical Engineering at MIT, and worked at and ultimately became director of the Research Laboratory of Electronics at MIT (RLE). He became Dean of the School of Science in 1964, Provost in 1966, and President from 1971 to 1980. He was also elected a life member of the MIT Corporation.” — wikipedia

These much noted pangs of belated conscience evidently make zero impact on the dystopian forces which they unleashed in their heyday, or, as one often wonders, upon their own decrypt soul as they “died holily in their beds.” (from Macbeth 5:1:47-49 “Yet I have known those which have walked in their sleep who have died holily in their beds.”) Perhaps this is why it is respectable to
make [these lofty moral proclamations only] upon retirement.

**End Quote**

This essay, summarizing what I have learnt of the minefield of co-option in my journey as a student of truth, is humbly dedicated to the young generation, of every era, and to my children. It is my profound hope that instead of merely giving importance to these matters towards the tail end of one's professional journey, like American scientist and M.I.T. president Jerome B. Wiesner, like myself, an M.I.T. hammered engineer who also contributed his own two dry straws worth of *mind and hand* during his “youth” in building the *Technetronic* dystopia now upon the world (see Zahir Ebrahim's response to IEEE Spectrum’s Special Report: 25 Microchips That Shook the World. May 2009, http://tinyurl.com/ieeespectrum-25microchips-2009), or remaining indifferent, they might engage with reality more forthrightly at the launch of theirs. As Winston Smith, working tirelessly at *The Ministry of Truth* in London, quietly wrote in his diary in George Orwell's depiction of today's dystopia in his prescient fable *Nineteen eighty-four*, feeling that he was setting forth an important axiom:

“Freedom is the freedom to say that two plus two make four. If that is granted, all else follows.”

The older generations worldwide have veritably been co-opted to accept the dystopic arithmetic of *two plus make five*, now being taught ubiquitously by today's version of *The Ministry of Truth*. Which is why we live in the absurd dark age of War on Terror, the full blown global terror network of the *Goldstein* of our age in the making. Phantasmally divided today between “al-Qaeda” and “IS”, previously between “al-Qaeda” and “Sadaam's WMD”, covertly armed, aided and abetted as surrogate armies of empire cast in the role of “bad guys” to wage endless wars against, and none harboring lofty moral gravitas in noble *Oceania* fighting for the cause of liberty against the barbarians, is able to call a spade a spade.

The young generations are the only hope that they learn to navigate the corrupting seas of co-option, self-interest, perspective-pollution, with some degree of wherewithal from the very inception of their professional lives. For only then shall they find the courage to do basic arithmetic correctly. All else will follow. Without it, they will remain caught between self-interest and useful idiots in every era, stupidly chasing “hope”, “revolution” and “democracy” as the mirages of “change”. All evil naturally beckons. This is the empirical truth.

Youth need not be wasted on the young.
Chapter 5

Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer: The Public Mind in the Making

Architecture of Modern Propaganda for Psychological Warfare

Introduction to Behavior Control

Edward Bernays, the nephew of Sigmund Freud, began his seminal 1928 book simply titled Propaganda, with these ominous words:

'The conscious and intelligent manipulation of the organized habits and opinions of the masses is an important element in democratic society. Those who manipulate this unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government which is the true ruling power of our country. We are governed, our minds are molded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested, largely by men we have never heard of.' --- Edward Bernays, 1928, pg.1, Propaganda

Aldous Huxley, on the 30th anniversary of his own seminal 1931 allegorical novel Brave New
World, made the following dreadful observations in the very opening segment of his talk on the Ultimate Revolution upon which mankind and modernity are perilously perched:

‘You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, **to get people actually to love their servitude!** This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.' --- Aldous Huxley, 1962 speech at UC Berkeley, minute 04:06

In order to understand how the comprehension of Edward Bernays and Aldous Huxley, though both long dead, still manifests itself in these times, we must begin with the Mighty Wurlitzer.

However, first, a **gestalt shift** in perspective is necessary. Please stare at the image below for a few moments of reflection before proceeding.
What is the 'Mighty Wurlitzer'?

It used to be the honorific of Frank Wisner, the first chief of political warfare for the Central Intelligence Agency, used to describe the C.I.A.'s plethora of front organizations and newsmedia stooges that he was capable of playing (like a great organ with many keyboards) for synthesizing any propaganda tune that was needed for the day. See Operation Mockingbird (PDF).

The fact that such an omnipresent Message-Machine is not ancient history but very much current affairs, is underscored by this NYT headline “Behind TV Analysts, Pentagon’s Hidden Hand”, Sunday, April 20, 2008. Also see Jessica Lynch Media Myth-Making in Iraq War during Operation “Iraqi Freedom” in Further Study (https://tinyurl.com/MightyWurlitzer-FurtherStudy).

Therefore, today, I use the term 'Mighty Wurlitzer' as a metaphor to pluralistically refer to the same message-machine, i.e., the intelligence apparatus for manufacturing consent and controlling dissent, and its concomitant conscious manipulation of peoples' thoughts, feelings, actions and in-actions, in order to serve the primacy interests of the behind the scene governing oligarchy. The latter are, invariably, also the de facto owners of the complete messaging-system now even more globally ubiquitous than when Frank Wisner played the world for a fool.

This 'grand organ' is now able to even more effectively synthesize, implant, and reinforce, all the right set of beliefs (myths) among the entire world's public – by suitably combining 'events' with imaginative 'expos' writing – which appropriately primes the world populations to acquiesce to the oligarchic agendas. While playing this orchestra is now an integral part of all state-craft, its major musical themes are entirely determined by the behind the scenes owners of the system. While some might refer to the underlying techniques as propaganda and psy-ops, 'Mighty Wurlitzer' singularly captures the messaging-system controlled under a unified purpose of command which is both highly compartmentalized and cellularized. Only the Mighty Wurlitzer knows the entire tune.

What this means is that not all who willingly cooperate with the Mighty Wurlitzer in synchronistically humming its themes are knowingly being purveyors of its myths and deception. Many of its most shrill echoers are often well intentioned functionaries who are fed different motivating myths at different levels in the hierarchy – sometimes the lie is different at every level – such that it suitably motivates each according to their own predilection, professional
The Mighty Wurlitzer operates on the core premise which has been empirically shown to psychologically motivate most human action. That premise was elegantly captured in the following insightful observation made by the so called “Terrorism Study Group”, that

" 'Public Assumptions' Shape Views of History: Such presumptions are beliefs (1) thought to be true (although not necessarily known to be true with certainty), and (2) shared in common within the relevant political community. The sources for such presumptions are both personal (from direct experience) and vicarious (from books, movies, and myths).”

Successfully implanting such presumptions and pre-suppositions among any group is to motivate its overall actions in accordance with those implanted beliefs. Thus, many intelligent peoples for whom it is otherwise inexplicable to understand why they persist in 'United We Stand' with absurdities, are motivated to react sympathetically to those absurdities.

The Secret Team

To barely catch a glimpse of how it's partially done, the following description by Col. Fletcher Prouty from the Preface to the first edition of his 1973 book “The Secret Team” is instructive (PDF book):

"There is another category of writer and self-proclaimed authority on the subjects of secrecy, intelligence, and containment. This man is the suave, professional parasite who gains a reputation as a real reporter by disseminating the scraps and “Golden Apples” thrown to him by the great men who use him. This writer seldom knows and rarely cares that many of the scraps from which he draws his material have been planted, that they are controlled leaks, and that he is being used, and glorified as he is being used, by the inside secret intelligence community.

Allen Dulles had a penchant for cultivating a number of such writers with big names and inviting them to his table for a medieval style luncheon in that great room across the hall from his own offices in the old CIA headquarters on the hill overlooking Foggy Bottom. Here, he would discuss openly and all too freely the same subjects that only hours before had been carefully discussed in the secret inner chambers of the operational side of that quiet Agency. In the hands of Allen Dulles, “secrecy” was simply a chameleon device to be used as he saw fit and to be applied to lesser men according to his schemes. It is quite fantastic to find people like Daniel Ellsberg being charged with leaking official secrets simply because the label on the piece of paper said “top secret,” when the substance of many of the words written on those same papers was patently
untrue and no more than a cover story. Except for the fact that they were official lies, these papers had no basis in fact, and therefore no basis to be graded top secret or any other degree of classification. Allen Dulles would tell similar cover stories to his coterie of writers, and not long thereafter they would appear in print in some of the most prestigious papers and magazines in the country, totally unclassified, and of course, cleverly untrue.

In every case, the chance for complete information is very small, and the hope that in time researchers, students, and historians will be able to ferret out truth from untruth, real from unreal, and story from cover story is at best a very slim one. Certainly, history teaches us that one truth will add to and enhance another; but let us not forget that one lie added to another lie will demolish everything. This is the important point. Consider the past half century. How many major events -- really major events -- have there been that simply do not ring true? How many times has the entire world been shaken by alarms of major significance, only to find that the events either did not happen at all, or if they did, that they had happened in a manner quite unlike the original story?

Coldly implicit in Col. Prouty's afore-quoted empirical statement: “and the hope that in time researchers, students, and historians will be able to ferret out truth from untruth, real from unreal, and story from cover story is at best a very slim one”, is the underlying Machiavellian modus operandi of buying time for sewing faits accomplis (new unalterable realities on the ground). By straight-jacketing all public discourse in deception when its timely revelation and unraveling can in fact derail the exercise of hegemony, new realities are constructed in the guise of responding to catastrophic events while the shell-shocked people remain dazed, confused, and frightened. They accept any solution offered by the authority figures as Americans did for instance in the aftermath of 9/11 when the catastrophic act of terrorism tore their world asunder. Ex post facto, and years down the road, separating myths and falsehoods from the calculus of hegemony will still remain only an academic exercise entirely irrelevant to reversing the faits accomplis already sewn!

For Col. Prouty to not recognize this rather straightforward fact of the matter, the key modus operandi of Machiavelli for constructing new unalterable reality on the ground, as he nonchalantly observed the above quoted statement says something about the spymaster himself. See “Convince People of Absurdities and get them Acquiescing to Atrocities: The Enduring Power of Machiavellian Political Science”. Also see “Unlayering the Middle East War Agenda: Making Sense of Absurdities”.

______________________________
Enter Wikileaks

Wikileaks and the Mighty Wurlitzer driving Imperial Mobilization

A pertinent example of Col. Fletcher Prouty's fabricated leaks noted above, is the Wikileaks' July 2010 disclosures of 'The Afghanistan Papers' which revealed nothing new.

Wikileaks has always been a rather transparent Mighty Wurlitzer ops. It is trivial to see through the absurdity of its protected existence despite it promoting itself as being a sort of dissenting watchdog upon empire. And therefore, ostensibly, being inimical to its unbridled quest for *full spectrum dominance*. Just like Al Jazeera television based in Qatar, which too, absurdly enough, is permitted to function unhindered in the same nation as America's CENTCOM headquarters.

Would it not be trivial for an armed to the teeth National Security State waging perpetual wars on civilians from Afghanistan to Iraq to Pakistan to Palestine to take-out either apparatus rather trivially if they were troublesome to its primacy and geostrategic imperatives? And that may happen once the useful idiots have outlived their utility, for *he who sups with the devil must have a long spoon!*

The reason each is allowed to function is of course social engineering, the sine qua non for waging modern warfare upon civilian populations by way of deception. It spans the entire gamut of engineering consent. From mantra creation in the mainstream, and diabolically controlling dissent in order to control all opposition in the dissentstream, to actually fabricating the plainly visible pretexts (such as acts of terror) which can naturally ripen the conditions for the mantra of *clash of civilizations* to be called real in order to sustain the otherwise untenable *imperial mobilization*.

Backed by the Mighty Wurlitzer's compositions, inflicting state terror upon civilian populations...
as counter-insurgency, and military invasions of defenseless third-world nations for imagined or contrived threats in “preemptive self-defense”, automatically create and promote natural resistance among the victims thus breeding a self-fulfilling prophecy.

The director of the CIA, Michael Hayden, called this modus operandi of self-fulfilling prophecy, “tickling” the enemy: “We use military operations to excite the enemy, prompting him to respond. In that response we learn so much”.

Zbigniew Brzezinski most succinctly summed up the core political motivation for resorting to such Machiavellianness in his 1996 book The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives: “Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization”. (see Brzezinski's full quote below)

This, all this, is the real fact of the matter that makes the Mighty Wurlitzer so indispensable in military strategy. This is once again underscored by the April 20, 2008 NYT article mentioned at the very beginning, “Behind TV Analysts, Pentagon’s Hidden Hand”.

How can one tell manufactured reportage and fabricated leaks that are ab initio designed “to promote a self-fulfilling prophecy” from the real facts of the matter when it is most pertinent to averting its fait accompli?

How can one see through the psyops of the Mighty Wurlitzer?

As daunting as it might appear to the mainstream television watcher, it is in fact rather straightforward for those unencumbered by blind faith in governments and its statecraft.

Just look for the core-lies and unquestioned axioms of empire that are typically retained in the “leaks” and reportage which, in order to sound credible, often openly expose what is mostly already known anyway or judiciously employ some variation of “Limited Hangout” wrapped in a veneer of dissent, 'freedom of the press', and often accompanied by the facade of angst and opposition from the state.

Furthermore, look for some of the lauded dissent names rushing to support the Limited Hangout – just as it was with Daniel Ellsberg for his infamous Pentagon Papers – to afford a veneer of legitimacy to the whistleblowing revelations of supposed state-secrets having caused some great harm to the state. The extravagance enacted in the mainstream media, alternately making heroes of the whistleblowers and demonizing them, is a giveaway to the circus show being enacted for plebeian consumption.

For, it matters not which side one takes, as both sides are patently false, crafted of calculated omissions and half-truths that retain core-lies, right out of the text book of the Technique of Infamy: invent two lies and keep the public busy debating which of them is true!

The role of crafty omissions in fabricating propaganda was best captured by Aldous Huxley in his Preface to Brave New World thusly:

‘The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing
something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. **By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain”** between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals. But silence is not enough. If persecution, liquidation and the other symptoms of social friction are to be avoided, the positive sides of propaganda must be made as effective as the negative.' — Aldous Huxley, Preface (circa 1946) to Brave New World, 1931, Harper, pg. 11

To uncover omissions in a discourse is very difficult for the public who do not often have command over the domain in which the falsehoods are being perpetuated. As the psychological insight already quoted above from the Terrorism Study Group betrays, **“Public Assumptions’ Shape Views of History. Such presumptions are beliefs (1) thought to be true (although not necessarily known to be true with certainty), and (2) shared in common within the relevant political community.”**

Which is why inculcating ignorance, especially political-historical ignorance pertaining to international relations, and being made trusting of authority figures and the state, are the pre-requisites for any vile propaganda to succeed! A well bred lack of skepticism to authority figures, to experts in scientific disciplines, and to dissenting chiefs playing controlled opposition, thus becomes the heart of social engineering for 'United We Stand'.

This surfeit of blind trust in authority is what is ultimately harvested by the Mighty Wurlitzer. For a skeptical public, the tunes of the Mighty Wurlitzer would fall on very deaf ears and public governance for private agendas would be well-nigh impossible in democratic nations. This is qualitatively no different than the power exercised by the religious clergy upon their faithful flock in any religion. Except that modernity has perniciously replaced them with multi-faceted secular clergies, the “experts”, each demanding obedience from its own 'United We Stand' trusting flock in all aspects of modern life.

This is also why “leaking” information from “experts” and “insiders” commands such a premium in Machiavellian democratic statecraft. When used judiciously so as not to dilute its impact, it can herd the flock in pretty much any direction that is desired.

As further empirically evidenced in the forensic analysis presented here, these so called whistleblowing of *leaky buckets* also succeed in accomplishing two important elements of statecraft:

- vicariously reinvigorate in the short-term public memory, the already established-by-fiat facts and core-axioms of empire;
- establish new convenient facts on the ground which are subsequently accepted as revealed gospel truths because of the already established thought-stream by the scholars of empire that when something is held in secret or is classified and subsequently declassified, or is prematurely leaked to the public, that it must contain some genuine “state secrets”, and never red herrings. Such thought-streams enable the directives of NSC 10/2 for plausible deniability (and those like it which we do not
know about) to be trivially impressed upon the public mind (see Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory). These revelations of presumed “state-secrets” subsequently become the new unquestioned backdrops for both state policies and public discourses – the new “doctrinal motivations” – with copious help from the Mighty Wurlitzer's refined machinery.

This enables the successful deployment of already pre-planned policy prescriptions which craftily impel the various incantations of hegemony forward in baby-steps. Both, domestically by incrementally clamping down hard on rising discontent in the name of “national security”, and internationally by continuing to wage unpopular wars of preemption upon the 'untermenschen'. The infernal enemy has now been (re)confirmed to exist (despite popular skepticism) since even empire's own henchmen in their secret documents also affirm that belief (sic!). Speak of self-servingly suffering from a incestuously self-reinforced “crippled epistemology”!

The grandmaster of The Grand Chessboard himself, in his volt face half-truth laced testimony before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee in 2007, strangely confirmed the deconstruction of the sole superpower's Machiavellian statecraft being done in this report: “To argue that America is already at war in the region with a wider Islamic threat, of which Iran is the epicenter, is to promote a self-fulfilling prophecy.” (see Brzezinski's full SFRC quote below)

But earlier, the same Polish-American Catholic (see Zbigniew Brzezinski footnote) architect of inflicting America's hegemony upon the world, Zbigniew Brzezinski, in his 1996 book The Grand Chessboard, had unabashedly examined the need for such invigorations of the public mind, and the very promotion of self-fulfilling prophecies as a basic primacy tactic in order to assert American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives. As the former National Security Advisor under President Carter, and think-tank advisor to all subsequent occupants of the White House without prejudice, a diabolical strategist for the one-world oligarchic agenda in cahoots with the international banker David Rockefeller who appointed him the first executive director of the Trilateral Commission, Brzezinski
with his imposing resume (see Zbigniew Brzezinski) betrays a shrewd comprehension of Machiavellian statecraft's reliance on engineering consent. Here is a snippet for the absolute necessity of controlling the public mind for “imperial mobilization”:

“It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.” (pgs. 35-36);

“Public opinion polls suggest that only a small minority (13 percent) of Americans favor the proposition that 'as the sole remaining superpower, the US should continue to be the preeminent world leader in solving international problems'. ... Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. .... More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.” (page 211 and onwards, PDF book)

The diabolical utility of planting of “‘Public Assumptions' [that] Shape Views of History” and therefore of current affairs, as the “doctrinal motivation” which can create “intellectual commitment”, and is rewarded by “patriotic gratification”, in this 'War on Terror' against the vile Militant Islam's torch bearers, the Islamofascists, cannot escape the careful reader's attention. It has wonderfully enabled “America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation.”
Enter False Flag Terrorism as Instrument of Foreign Policy

Catastrophic Terrorism

The Terrorism Study Group in fact took up the future foretelling in 1997-1998 where Brzezinski's self-serving clairvoyance had left off in 1996 with his pithy diabolical wisdom in The Grand Chessboard: “Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.” Phil Zelikow, the future 9/11 Commission Executive Director, led the so called study on Catastrophic Terrorism. It presaged, on October 15, 1998, a full three years before 9/11, how that instinctual aversion of America's democratic public to “imperial mobilization” would be overcome by the United States striking out in response to catastrophic terrorism on its soil:

“An act of catastrophic terrorism that killed thousands or tens of thousands of people and/or disrupted the necessities of life for hundreds of thousands, or even millions, would be a watershed event in America’s history. It could involve loss of life and property unprecedented for peacetime and undermine Americans’ fundamental sense of security within their own borders in a manner akin to the 1949 Soviet atomic bomb test, or perhaps even worse. Constitutional liberties would be challenged as the United States sought to protect itself from further attacks by pressing against allowable limits in surveillance of citizens, detention of suspects, and the use of deadly force. More violence would follow, either as other terrorists seek to imitate this great ‘success’ or as the United States strikes out at those considered responsible. Like Pearl Harbor, such an event would divide our past and future into a ‘before’ and ‘after.’” --- History Commons (http://tinyurl.com/mlzfns)

The reality du jour exactly matches the doctrinal presaging done years in advance. America today is a police-state continually “pressing against allowable limits in surveillance of citizens, detention of suspects”, “the use of deadly force” is ubiquitous, and is fully engaged in a perpetual war of “imperial mobilization”, ahem, 'war on terror' against some Ali Baba, which its own former director of the CIA calls “World War IV” (see CNN report Thursday, April 3, 2003: “Ex-CIA director: U.S. faces ‘World War IV’”). Its next target: Iran.

Predictably, with rising skepticism among the public on the utility of pursuing endless wars against illusive enemies that is making their own nation go bankrupt, more “harmful leaks” from assets like Wikileaks will occur, but understandably none which are actually substantial. Like, blowing the lid on 9/11 as an inside job, directly naming the top beneficiaries who shorted the Airline stocks raking in billions, or revealing how BBC came to report the demolition of WTC-7 a full 20 minutes
before it actually transpired, never mind lending confirmation to any of the forensic detective work by independent researchers from the debris of 9/11, etceteras. And the main leaker du jour, Mr. patsy Julian Assange, like Mr. patsy Lee Harvey Oswald before him, will be sacrificed, perhaps with a new 'lone gunman' enactment, or perhaps juridically, to lend the hoopla even more public respectability.

Mr. Edward Snowden's NSA whistleblowing story is qualitatively the same and has the same underlying template of being the Mighty Wurlitzer's asset. Just because something is stamped “secret” does not make it so.

The fact that NSA is doing full spectrum surveillance of the world, never mind of the American public, since the invention of satellite communication, of which smart phones are now the ubiquitously deployed Trojan horse of data gathering and data mining, is not really a deep or closely held secret. It has been public knowledge throughout the world. It is even popularized by Hollywood movies for decades. Perhaps it is only news for the American public, I don't know. It is quite a dog and pony show “miracle” how this young man has “managed” to elude the entire intelligence apparatus, including the NSA, the CIA, the DIA, and the drones, of the sole superpower on earth which spends upwards of a trillion dollars on its defence budget annually, but cannot capture one “rogue” who outwitted that entire spy apparatus in “leaking” their most cherished “secrets”.

Thus, they must now spend more money and resources one imagines. Almost parallels with how the same apparatuses could not interdict Ali Baba wielding box cutter knives on 9/11, and therefore the state not only had to clamp down harder on its national security with the Patriot Acts, but also increase its defence spendings. A Manchurian Candidate or a useful idiot is irrelevant. To his own mind this new addition to the whistleblower clique may well be taking a courageous stand to defend his nation against enemies, both foreign and domestic, like his predecessor Sibel Edmonds. But he may also meet the patsy's inevitable fate someday after his
usefulness has expired.

As for Ms. Sibel Edmonds, the dissent-darling of America who collects a large body of its brilliant consciences around her for her FBI whistleblowing, see “The Sibel Edmonds Story Revisited - How Manufactured Dissent contributes to War Crimes”.

There are more whistleblowers from other Western intelligence agencies as well, none of them having gained such outlandish celebrity status or notoriety. It is redundant to dissect them all since they all are, more or less, automatically unmasked by the Mighty Wurlitzer's template demonstrated here.

They all, without exception, lie by omission, tell half truth, three quarter truth, and Limited Hangout variants, to implant or reinvigorate public beliefs without revealing anything substantial that can lead to overthrowing the villainy they endeavor to speak out against. It makes for manufacturing great dissentchefs. As the final example, see the “former” CIA's own, Philip Giraldi, now leading the so called Council for the National Interest that routinely speaks out against Israel's influence in Washington, without ever mentioning who owns the Jewish state, “Dismantling the Fiction of 'Former' and 'Ex' Intelligence – Zahir Ebrahim's Response to Philip Giraldi”.

It’s the exact same recipe as is used by all the other fabricated and controlled dissent assets of empire when they are not outright spinning patent lies, for spinning half-truths requires far more brilliance. One can already see the main dissent-chiefs of the West, like the venerable professor Noam Chomsky, anointed by the New York Times as “arguably the most important intellectual alive”, and the distinguished Daniel Ellsberg, excitedly supporting these Wikileaks exposés as if something ethereal was “revealed in the Sinai” (borrowing that diction from Elie Wiesel). Snowden and Edmonds too find great support among dissentchefs. What remarkable narrative control through repeated incestuous self-reinforcement --- keeping all the core axioms and presuppositions of empire intact!

There is no detectable difference among Assange, Snowden, Edmonds, and Ellsberg on the one hand as whistleblowers of “state secrets”, and Chomsky, Hedges, Brzezinski, Bernard Lewis, Ron Paul, the Left, the Right, Republicans, Democrats, Libertarians, Catholics, Protestants, Baptists, Jews, Zionists, neocons --- on the core lie of empire which has principally enabled all the rest of the evil that has followed from that catastrophic day of Operation Canned Goods Redux. They publicly claim, or believe, in grand unison that America was attacked on 9/11 by “militant Islam”! The Muslim house niggers equally rise to applaud that absurd narrative of the massa. Speak of “crippled epistemology”!

The raison d'être of the Mighty Wurlitzer.

It is not for nothing that James Jesus Angleton, Head of CIA Counter Intelligence 1954-1974, is quoted in the 1992 BBC-2 Documentary on Operation Gladio: “Deception is a state of mind and the mind of the State”. See: Angleton (1917 - 1987) (CIA at Yale University: Spooks in Blue by Doug Henwood, 1988). Manufacturing Dissent with controlled opposition is an indispensable core construct of that very statecraft of deception. See: “Manufacturing Dissent: Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science”.

Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer: The Public Mind in the Making

Zahir Ebrahim
With the preceding backdrop for overarching context, wherein, we have straightforwardly
witnessed that empire's own strategists and scribes reveal years in advance, with considerable
chutzpah, American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives, and under what dystopian sociological
conditions this primacy could be mobilized with the democratic public being none the wiser, let's
examine what I believe has been accomplished by Wikileaks in its service to empire's “War on
Terrorism”. Please see “What is War on Terror?” and “Postscript: ‘War on Terror’ is not about
‘Islamofascism’ – Please get with the real agenda you people!” before proceeding further if you are
only familiar with its insanity in empire's dissenting Newspeak. Meaning, the 'War on Terror' is neither
irrational nor insane. It is firmly rooted in Machiavelli, the rational political science of “imperial
mobilization”.

The core-lies retained in the Wikileaks' July 2010 disclosures – which I call 'the Afghanistan
Papers' – is to once again reaffirm that there is a real nemesis called “Osama Bin Laden”, that the “war
on terror” is real, that it is being inflicted upon the West from Pakistan-Iran nexus, and to re-
substantiate the handoff of former President George W. Bush's clairvoyance to the Obama
Administration that “If another September 11 style attack is being planned, it probably is being
plotted in Pakistan, and not Afghanistan”! That, when such a “planned” attack transpires, it “will
make Sept. 11 pale by comparison”. See ‘Bin Laden’: Key enabler of “imperial mobilization”.

The successful handoff of “imperial mobilization” to Pakistan and Iran, now further sprightly
underscored by Wikileaks' documents, is once again demonstrated by President Obama's Secretary of
State Hiliary Clinton's July 2010 remark to the BBC as quoted by Reuters: “There are still additional
steps that we are asking and expecting the Pakistanis to take. But there is no doubt in anyone's mind
that should an attack against the United States be traced to be Pakistani, it would (have) a very
devastating impact on our relationship”. And that is merely just another echo from the Obama
Administration of what the Pakistanis themselves have been made to parrot the past 9 years, as
demonstrated by its own Ambassador's remark in 2008:

'[On] Wednesday, a media report quoted Pakistan’s envoy to Washington as
saying that US leaders had warned Islamabad that if the United States suffered
an attack that was traced back to Pakistan Washington would retaliate. “Those
(statements) have been made,” Ambassador Hussain Haqqani told editors and
reporters at The Washington Post. “We want to make sure that it doesn’t come
to that.”” -- DAWN, June 12, 2008

To show Pakistan's unflinching willingness to do as much more as was asked, the Ambassador
of Pakistan had further stated in an interview to Reuters in 2008:

'Pakistan would attack Osama bin Laden the moment it had reliable intelligence
on the Al Qaeda leader's whereabouts, Ambassador Husain Haqqani said on
Wednesday. Haqqani also said he was confident Pakistan could help foil any Al
Qaeda plans to attack the United States, although he did not know of any right
now. “A cooperative effort between all the allies, and that includes Afghanistan,
Pakistan and the United States and NATO – I think we can thwart any potential
plans for an attack,” Haqqani said in an interview with Reuters.

He said Pakistani intelligence had helped defeat many of the “several dozen” Al
Qaeda plots detected worldwide since the September 11, 2001, attacks, but government officials knew of no immediate threats to the United States.

Haqqani said Pakistan would act on its own against Al Qaeda if necessary. “If Pakistan, Afghanistan or the United States had specific intelligence on the location of Osama bin Laden, they would have acted on it. No reservations would have come in the way of action on that, and none will even in the future,” he said. “If any of us had that actionable intelligence we would all act. We would act separately, we would act in tandem, we would act cooperatively – we would act.” -- DAWN, June 12, 2008

So, could these self-serving 'Afghanistan Papers' have been any more convenient as a casus belli, carrying forth the same core-lies now entering its tenth year? If Wikileaks' dramatization grabbing all the world's headlines isn't an officially sponsored “modified limited hangout” for exactly that purpose of reinforcing the core-lies, then the White House not even bothering to stop the New York Times – whose own motto is 'All the news that's fit to print' – from publishing it, even giving it “all got gold stars” as the Salon put it on July 26, 2010, is downright inexplicable:

'So, uh ... why was all of this information classified and top secret? If it's old news, and it just confirms what “everyone” already knows, what was the rationale for keeping it classified and calling WikiLeaks all sorts of mean names for publishing it?'

What would it matter afterwards, after Iran and Pakistan have been bombed, what were lies and what was truth? Did the bogus mea culpa by the 2005 Presidential Commission on intelligence failure, the Iraq Study Group's disingenuous conclusion: “We conclude that the intelligence community was dead wrong in almost all of its prewar judgments about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. This was a major intelligence failure,” reverse the decimation of Iraq? Did the New York Times 2008 revelation of Pentagon's Message Machine after 'all the barbers in town already knew it', return back to its silos each and every cruise missile that was dropped upon the innocent civilians of Iraq? Did Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski's bizarre testimony of February 1, 2007 before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee undo the grotesque and criminal reality of “imperial mobilization” to which he now openly admitted? Even his bold public admission concerning the plausible false pretexts which could be found by the United States to attack Iran never made it past CSPAN, and in fact disappeared into the vast void of the Mighty Wurlitzer. What was Brzezinski's motivation for ratting on his own henchmen is anyone's guess. As a grandmaster strategist of The Grand Chessboard, he publicly issued a dare to the hawks in the Bush Administration to subvert or delay an imminent attack on Iran at this time.

Here is what Brzezinski publicly admitted in his SFRC testimony on February 1, 2007:

'If the United States continues to be bogged down in a protracted bloody involvement in Iraq, the final destination on this downhill track is likely to be a head-on conflict with Iran and with much of the world of Islam at large. A plausible scenario for a military collision with Iran involves Iraqi failure to meet the benchmarks; followed by accusations of Iranian responsibility for the failure; then by some provocation in Iraq or a terrorist act in the U.S. blamed on
Iran; culminating in a “defensive” U.S. military action against Iran that plunges a lonely America into a spreading and deepening quagmire eventually ranging across Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan, and Pakistan.

A mythical historical narrative to justify the case for such a protracted and potentially expanding war is already being articulated. Initially justified by false claims about WMD’s in Iraq, the war is now being redefined as the “decisive ideological struggle” of our time, reminiscent of the earlier collisions with Nazism and Stalinism. In that context, Islamist extremism and al Qaeda are presented as the equivalents of the threat posed by Nazi Germany and then Soviet Russia, and 9/11 as the equivalent of the Pearl Harbor attack which precipitated America’s involvement in World War II.

This simplistic and demagogic narrative overlooks the fact that Nazism was based on the military power of the industrially most advanced European state; and that Stalinism was able to mobilize not only the resources of the victorious and militarily powerful Soviet Union but also had worldwide appeal through its Marxist doctrine. In contrast, most Muslims are not embracing Islamic fundamentalism; al Qaeda is an isolated fundamentalist Islamist aberration; most Iraqis are engaged in strife because the American occupation of Iraq destroyed the Iraqi state; while Iran—though gaining in regional influence—is itself politically divided, economically and militarily weak. To argue that America is already at war in the region with a wider Islamic threat, of which Iran is the epicenter, is to promote a self-fulfilling prophecy.'

That admission requires no further elaboration from this scribe except to point out the smug hubris, that none among the senate committee members will rise to challenge his own role in that “self-fulfilling prophecy”, and none among the public’s watchdogs of democracy will deconstruct it in the newsmedia, and in the academia, despite it being broadcast live on CSPAN. And they didn't!

Brzezinski's bold chutzpah of blaming the Bush Administration for their self-serving myth-making demagogic narratives to enable wars of aggression as “self-fulfilling prophecy”, when the sole superpower in every government is only following his own recipe to fabricate “doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” in order to pursue his previously outlined “imperial mobilization” agendas for “American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives” on The Grand Chessboard, and the “self-fulfilling prophecy” only overcomes his own principal lament “that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad” which “limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation”, is outright disingenuous. It's like Hitler blaming his generals for following Mein Kampf and conquering Europe, and Goebbels for being the Reichminister for propaganda!

Such ex post facto disingenuousness is evidently part and parcel of full spectrum “imperial mobilization”. Without all techniques of deception underlying modern statecraft, which is itself never homogeneous and is plagued by competing narrow political self-interests no differently than Mafioso families in bloody turf competition while also agreeing to rob and plunder the public at will, just as we see in Brzezinski's SFRC testimony against his own lieutenants, nothing unpopular can be mobilized in
a “democracy”.

The common man today is as averse to projects of imperial mobilizations of the elite as in the yestercentury. He must be deceived into paying for these projects, both with his toil, and with his life. Machiavelli's thin book, *The Prince*, which is read by most high-schoolers in Westerndom, is surely the most misread book of all times. It is evidently read as a fairytale rather than as a most pertinent political science key to the cryptogram of current affairs --- for, the vast majority of these learned masses many of whom eventually graduate from Western universities with high-falutin credentials, demonstrate at best only a passing acquaintance with that strangely popular sixteenth century Italian name.

The handful who may comprehend it, mostly go to work for statecraft and thinktanks. The remaining do nothing with any of its insights into how the elite must rule by way of deception and secrecy when they do not have autocratic and dictatorial open authority over the masses like that of kings and feudal lords in centuries past. In the modern fiction of “democracy”, the elite can only govern by way of engineering the public's consent, by keeping secrets, and by adopting covert means, especially for carrying out long-term unpopular agendas. The common man's attention span is just too short to think about these agendas, and to sensibly relate them to current affairs on a canvas any larger than his own immediate time and space which is almost always bounded by his very narrow immediate self-interests.

There is, however, a very tiny minority of intelligent ones among the public not so easily fooled. Some do learn from the lessons of history and are able to relate it to the present. There is surely something to be gained by examining what transpired during the recently defunct Cold War in its “demand creation” techniques (in marketing terms), and its four decades long continuance via global fear mongering, and relating that to the present. Otherwise, what's the grand purpose of studying history, especially forensically studying it by shrewdly treating it as the narrative of a crime scene written by the Mafioso families' own scribes. The modern history scribes, often paid for by the establishment, rehearse facts and figures ex post facto from official documents without addressing the secret, and the not so secret, motivations and behind the scenes forces that give birth to these facts and realities constructed by the “history's actors” (see *history's actors* quote below).

It's almost like the sly French police Captain Louis Renault in the film *Casablanca*, saying to the gendarmes: “round up the usual suspects” to cleverly deflect attention from the protagonist who has just shot and killed the Gestapo chief – because, it is not in anyone's interest to spotlight the real behind the scenes forces. Especially when they or their legatees are still in control. And also because it is not judged to be “sound academics”. The fear of being seen as “conspiratorial” encourages intellectual self-policing long before the establishment's academe and media policing can kick-in and impact well-paying careers and fame. People just always seem to know which side their bread is buttered.

The only useful purpose of studying history accurately, perceptively, with wherewithal, and without self-deception, without contrivance, without apologetics, was most elegantly captured by the wise playwright of the early twentieth century, George Bernard Shaw:

“We are made wise not by the recollections of our past, but by the responsibility for our future.”

Zahir Ebrahim
It is also only to teach that sense of responsibility for the future that all Holy Books of divine wisdom have dwelt upon apocryphal story telling to advance perennial wisdom principles.

Here we have actual recent history of the Machiavellian deeds of *history's actors* that most of us, and our parents' and grandparents' generations have eye witnessed without often comprehending the patterns of interconnections, which can help us perceptively understand the ubiquitous forces that shape and rule the mind of man so that we are not manipulated by our predators again and again.

---

**Enter Operation Gladio Redux**

**Gladio Surrogate Terror**

Witness the following from the period of the Cold War, where synthetic terror was used in Western Europe in order to convince the increasingly skeptical public that the Communist threat was real requiring the continuous heightened state of alert and rising military expenditures at the expense of domestic spending – all revealed ex post facto by the BBC documentary in 1992 on NATO's *Operation Gladio*. Part-3 of the Gladio documentary has the following lovely statement quoted from the US Army's Top Secret Field Manual:

“Top Secret: There may be times when host country governments show passivity or indecision in the face of Communist subversion ... 

**US Army Intelligence must have the means of launching special operations which will convince host country governments and public opinion of the reality of the insurgent danger ...**

US Army Intelligence should seek to penetrate the insurgency by means of agents of special assignments, with the task of forming special action groups among the most radical elements of the insurgency.”

Replacing “Communist subversion” in the text above with “Islamofascist terror” makes what is being stated in this report obvious. See “Insurgency vs. Counter-Insurgency” in order to relate that US Army Field Manual recipe of yesteryear with the present. In the year 2042, or even as early as 2032,
surely by 2052, an updated BBC documentary will confirm it all, with at best, a mere tsk, tsk, and the all knowing characteristic nod at the imperial craftsmanship of empire. A new generation of Noam Chomsky legatees will emerge with new best-selling books waiving their sublime morality at empire (see Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent below) and will get to occupy prestigious chairs in the academe as the new conscience of the world in one-world government.

What appears to be out of control Terrorism worldwide in 2014, and which is continuing to extract its pound of flesh from the sovereignty of nation-states faster than any other global crisis to date, can easily be comprehended when NATO's Operation Gladio of yesteryear is employed as the political science template. The motivations and the forces that drive global terrorism is writ large in that most empirical exposure of state sponsored terror disguised as “insurgency”. To make it believable and plausible, real insurgents are created, and existing insurgent groups, especially those with existential discontents, are infiltrated, and their destructive energies channeled in service of larger political agendas of which often the patsies themselves remain unaware of. The evidence from CIA's MK ULTRA program of yesteryear, and the empirical suicide bombings in the terrorism acts today, indicate to those who can observe rationally with even an iota of brain functioning, that the art of manufacturing the perfect Manchurian Candidate has been perfected. And so has its deployment on demand as in Operation Gladio.

No intelligence apparatus in any country, no news media, no establishmentarian politician to scholar to military man, nor any of the touted intellectuals playing dissent with the establishment's narratives, go there. Why not? Because there are always substantial tangible and intangible, as well as existential rewards to be gained by silence. It is always beneficial to continue to play the fool, the patsy, and the willing mercenary. See “Operation Gladio Yesterday and Worldwide Terrorism Today – Identifying the Enemy”, and “Imperial Surrogates and 'Terror Central' in Operation Gladio Redux”. See “The Dying Songbird” to comprehend the co-option of the intellectuals and how they diabolically mislead and misdirect the public conscience from Left to Right. They shall all be ceremoniously anointed “arguably the most important truth-tellers and intellectuals” tomorrow for their brilliant ex post facto exposés of the state deceptions of today, just as they are heralded today for their dry study of yestercentury and its war crimes.

That is the real import of the craftsmanship of the Mighty Wurlitzer!

To engineer a fait accompli by manufacturing consent among the gullible masses and controlling dissent among the rabble rousers when “imperial mobilization” is still on-going, leaving future scholars, historians, and the odd malcontent to laudingly study the ashes, mea culpae, confessions, documentaries, de-classified documents, and strategic ratings left behind by “history's actors”. A diabolical modus operandi of democratic statecraft which the Mighty Wurlitzer's operators even brazenly gloat about:

'“We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.”' (Ron Suskind, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004)

It is now patently obvious with the Obama Administration officially declaring Osama Bin
Laden killed in an American raid on May 1, 2011, why Wikileaks had to “leak” the officialdom's belief that he was still alive in July 2010!

It is all too evident that some mileage is being derived by officially burying that nemesis at sea, a thousand miles from where they proclaim they killed him in an ambush in Abbottabad, Pakistan.

Conveniently, it was in Pakistan and not Afghanistan that mankind's toughest and most resourceful nemesis was found and killed. The color coded threat alerts instantly went up worldwide. Pakistan Navy presumably already suffered a bizarre revenge attack on its naval base in Karachi from Ali Baba's elusive organization still intact, and now even more formidable than ever before. And its base of operation? Of course Pakistan!

Just as George W. Bush Jr., had intimated was the new Terror Central:

“If another September 11 style attack is being planned, it probably is being plotted in Pakistan, and not Afghanistan”!

Brzezinski's unraveling of that Bushism in his SFRC testimony quoted above notwithstanding, was the 43rd President of the United States, George W. Bush Jr., just inordinately insightful to predict such matters as he was preparing to hand the presidential charge to his successor on the “change” platform? Carefully dissecting the nature of such self-serving propagandistic clairvoyance can perhaps also help the public to become shrewdly clairvoyant in their own self-defense in these often confusing matters on international relations. Especially on what's likely to come as the next global mythical terror threat in the aftermath of Osama Bin Laden. Let's briefly review how the terrorism of 9/11 was continually foretold by the masters of discourse themselves – for that will surely show the public how to treat their next bit of self-serving fortune telling. 
Enter Islamofascism

Taking a Deeper Look into the Dynamics of Mantra Creation: Islamofascism

Let's begin at the very inception of the 'arc of crisis' which Zbigniew Brzezinski laid the groundwork for during his reign of terror upon the USSR as the National Security Advisor to the 38th President of the United States, Jimmy Carter. See “Instrumenting Kosovo in the 'arc of crisis' and the 'global zone of percolating violence’” for other details of the epoch and its connections to the present 'War on Terror'. It suffices to quote here the following brilliantly clairvoyant statement attributed to Israeli Intelligence founder from the same epoch in 1979, a full two decades prior to 9/11:

'On Sept. 23, 1979, the founder of Israeli intelligence over dinner told me that America was developing a tolerance for terror. The gentleman's name was Isser Harel, the founder of Mossad Israeli intelligence-he ran it from 1947 to 1963. He told me that America had developed an alliance between two countries, Israel and Saudi Arabia, and that the alliance with Saudi Arabia was dangerous and would develop a tolerance for terror among Americans. He said if the tolerance continued that Islamic fundamentalists would ultimately strike America. I said “Where?” He said, “In Islamic theology, the phallic symbol is very important. Your biggest phallic symbol is New York City and your tallest building will be the phallic symbol they will hit.” Isser Harel prophesied that the tallest building in New York would be the first building hit by Islamic fundamentalists 21 years ago.' Source

And Mossad again betrayed its brilliant clairvoyance 20 years later:

'The attacks on the World Trade Centre's twin towers and the Pentagon were humiliating blows to the intelligence services, which failed to foresee them, and to the defence forces of the most powerful nation in the world, which failed to deflect them. The Telegraph has learnt that two senior experts with Mossad, the Israeli military intelligence service, were sent to Washington in August to alert the CIA and FBI to the existence of a cell of as many of 200 terrorists said to be preparing a big operation. “They had no specific information about what was being planned but linked the plot to Osama bin Laden and told the Americans that there were strong grounds for suspecting Iraqi involvement,” said a senior Israeli security official.' --- UK Telegraph, 16 Sep 2001

Seeded by that “prophesy” from the stellar Israeli intelligence mind, British Zionist Svengali at Princeton University, Professor Bernard Lewis planted the 'The Roots of Muslim Rage' in 1990 in
the Council on Foreign Relations' prestigious magazine *Foreign Affairs*. An influential establishmentarian mouthpiece which is read around the world by those who believe that if you want to know what will happen ten years from now in any remote corner of the world, read *Foreign Affairs* of ten years ago:

“In 1990 Bernard Lewis, a leading Western scholar of Islam, analyzed 'The Roots of Muslim Rage,' and concluded: 'It should now be clear that we are facing a mood and a movement far transcending the level of issues and policies and the governments that pursue them. This is no less than a clash of civilizations – that perhaps irrational but surely historic reaction of an ancient rival against our Judeo-Christian heritage, our secular present, and the worldwide expansion of both. It is crucially important that we on our side should not be provoked into an equally historic but also equally irrational reaction against our rival.’” --- Samuel Huntington, The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order, 1996, pg. 213

That 'Muslim Rage' was subsequently transformed in 1996 into a full blown political ideology for governing International Relations of the sole superpower as the infamous 'Clash of Civilizations', by Bernard Lewis' confreere and fellow Zionist at Harvard University, Professor Samuel Huntington:

'The underlying problem for the West is not Islamic fundamentalism. It is Islam, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the superiority of their culture and are obsessed with the inferiority of their power. The problem for Islam is not the CIA or the US Department of Defense. It is the West, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the universality of their culture and believe that their superior, if declining, power imposes on them the obligation to extend that culture throughout the world. These are the basic ingredients that fuel conflict between Islam and the West.’ --- Ibid. pg. 217

'Some Westerners, including [ex] President Bill Clinton, have argued that the West does not have problems with Islam but only with violent Islamist extremists. Fourteen hundred years of history demonstrate otherwise.... Islam is the only civilization which has put the survival of the West in doubt, and it has done that at least twice... The parallel concepts of 'jihad' and 'crusade' not only resemble each other...' --- Ibid. pg. 209

This systematic myth construction of 'Islamic Terror' was prime for harvesting as the global 'War on Terrorism' on September 11, 2001 by George W. Bush with the dialectical ultimatum to the world: “*either you are with us, or with the terrorists*”!

Within 15 minutes of the super terrorism of that day in infamy, the newsmedia had been awash in naming the first terrorist: Osama Bin Laden! The scripted discourse is of course repeated ad nauseam to this very day, the last time by President Obama himself while announcing the boogeyman's demise on May 1, 2011: “*Good evening. Tonight, I can report to the American people, and to the world. The United States has conducted an operation that has killed Osama Bin Laden, the leader of Al Qaeda.*"
That's of course, after already having reiterated on the heals of his predecessor, on June 4th 2009, who was responsible for 9/11: “But let us be clear. Al Qaeda killed nearly 3000 people on that day. The victims were innocent men, women, and children from America and many other nations who had done nothing to harm anybody.”

And all foretold by the clairvoyance of the Zionist Israeli Mossad founder, and reinforced by other Israeli Military Intelligence Mossad agents in the days just preceding 9/11, of the brilliant Islamic fundamentalists' successful attack on the West's most prominent “phallic symbol”.

Bernard Lewis subsequently justified George W. Bush's launching of the global 'War on Terrorism' in his phantasmic 2003 book Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror. First by reinforcing his earlier seeding of the mantra of 'the roots of the irrational Muslim rage', and extending those roots to Islam itself:

'But Islam, like other religions, has also known periods when it inspired in some of its followers a mood of hatred and violence. It is our misfortune that we have to confront part of the Muslim world while it is going through such a period, and when most—though by no means all—of that hatred is directed against us.' --- Bernard Lewis, Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror, pg. 25

And then clairvoyantly predicting the following self-serving conclusions as his last word:

'If the fundamentalists are correct in their calculations and succeed in their war, then a dark future awaits the world, especially that part of it that embraces Islam.' --- Ibid. Chapter IX: The Rise of Terrorism, pg. 164

'If freedom fails and terror triumphs, the peoples of Islam will be the first and greatest victims. They will not be alone, and many others will suffer with them.' --- Ibid. Afterword, December 1, 2003, pg. 169

The Collateral Damage to Language: Synthesizing the Doctrinal Motivation of Islamofascism

Before we continue further, it is necessary to deconstruct the crafty use of language for synthesizing the aforementioned propaganda to fuel the “War on Terror”. The following is extracted from Project Humanbeingsfirst's very critical response to the CAIR (Council on American Islamic Relations) Report titled Calling CAIR to Account for its Omissions, for their egregiously omitting the most crucial fact of the matter in their otherwise stellar documentation of the rise of Islamophobia in America.

The CAIR report (which incidentally underscores the observation that the name Council on American Islamic Relations sounds awfully similar to the Council on Public Relations founded by Edward Bernays to recast systems of propaganda into a new respectable light as “public relations”
after World War II, the pathetic report is evidently serving the same function) was issued in collaboration with the Center for Race & Gender at the University of California, Berkeley. The significance of the following dismantling from first principles, beginning with the very use of language and the re-semantification of words to construct the propaganda system of Islamofascism, will not be lost to the builders of tall totem poles who worry about having plausibly sound doctrinal foundations in order to have propaganda stand at all.

Let's examine the usage of the word “Islam” by Bernard Lewis.

Unlike Christians and Christianity, Muslims have two completely separate words to designate the people who proclaim to follow the religion or are born into that culture (Muslims) vs. the divine religion (Islam). Any time you see one terminology aliasing for another, you might do well to remember that there is some axe to grind somewhere. Bernard Lewis is the venerable master of this obfuscation being amiably carried by CAIR without reservation. Bernard Lewis began his treatise “Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror” with the following gem:

“It is difficult to generalize about Islam. To begin with, the word itself is commonly used with two related but distinct meanings, as the equivalents both of Christianity, and Christendom. In the one sense, it denotes a religion, as system of beliefs and worship; in the other, the civilization that grew up and flourished under the aegis of that religion. The word Islam thus denotes more than fourteen centuries of history, a billion and a third people, and a religious and cultural tradition of enormous diversity.” --- Bernard Lewis, Crisis of Islam, pg. 1

That last sentence is the diabolical deception with which imperial craftsmanship subverts our religion: “The word Islam thus denotes more than fourteen centuries of history, a billion and a third people, and a religious and cultural tradition of enormous diversity.”

According to the Author of the Holy Qur'an upon which the religion of Islam is based, the word “Islam” denotes only, repeat only, the following:

“This day have I perfected for you your religion and completed My favor on you and chosen for you Islam as a religion;” Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Maeda verse fragment 5:3

Indeed. Islam is the name of a religion, “deen” ( الإسلام دينًا).

That is the only, repeat only, context in which the word “Islam” can be legitimately used. It is the only context in which Qur'an has used it, indicating a divine religion to which the Author of the Qur'an itself gave the name “Islam”. The people didn't chose that name. Whether or not someone believes in Qur'an's “divinity” is irrelevant to us here; that is what the Book and the Religion upon which Bernard Lewis is proffering his imperial scholarship, itself proclaims.

This is very significant. The word “Islam” is quite distinct from the word used to designate Islam's followers and the affairs of its followers. That separation of terminology is itself espoused in
the Holy Qur'an by virtue of having a separate terminology to refer to the followers. Once again, while this may sound repetitious, but to the Western mind wholly attuned to referring to Christians and Christianity with the same root word devolving from their God named “Christ”, no amount of repetition can ever be sufficient to drive the point home. The Qur'an itself defined a different nomenclature to name its followers; the followers didn’t:

“Our Lord! make of us Muslims, bowing to Thy (Will), and of our progeny a Muslim nation, bowing to Thy (will); and show us our place for the celebration of (due) rites; and turn unto us (in Mercy); for Thou art the Oft-Returning, Most Merciful.”
Holy Qur'an Surah Al-Baqara 2:128

This separation of terminology between the name of the religion and the name of its followers is in fact a singular distinction of Islam in comparison to all the other Abrahamic religions. Indeed, in comparison to all major religions of the world including Hinduism, Buddhism, Confucianism, and Zoroastrianism, none of which feature such a clear linguistic separation of nouns in their own respective scriptures. For instance, while the followers of (prophet?) Zoroastra are called Parsis, that word came about culturally rather than scripturally by way of the geographic region, Persia, where his followers originated and flourished.

This is why followers of Prophet Muhammad for instance, are not called “Mohammedans”, nor believers of Islam “Islamic”, “Islamist”, Arabist, etc. except by the prejudicial orientalists.

The word designated in the Holy Qur'an for human beings who are Muslims, regardless of good or bad people, pious or murderers, sinners or saints, is “Muslims”, or to be exact in the transliteration of verse 2:128 quoted above, “Muslimeen” (مسلمین). The Muslims throughout the world, practicing and non practicing, by mere self-identification alone, without requiring any certification of faith from a pontiff, are referred in the Holy Qur'an as “Muslim Umma”, or to be exact in the transliteration of verse 2:128 quoted above, “Ummat-e-Muslima” (عمت منسلمہ); a single Muslim nation bound solely by an ideology named “Islam”, rather than by geography, race, ancestry, ethnicity, socio-economic class, profession, or gender.

All who misuse the Qur'anic terminology, Muslims and non-Muslims alike, are either ignorant peoples – and there are always plenty of “learned morons” and parrots in every epoch who are deftly planted on the pulpit – or, the respected apprentices of Machiavelli. In the latter case, they deliberately try to subvert the religion of Islam by associating it with the inglorious non Islamic deeds in the rich imperial history of Muslims. Associating empire, imperial history, culture, civilization, etc., with the religion of Islam as defined in its singular scripture the Holy Qur'an, is a bold non sequitur. See the multi-part case study “Islam: Why is the Holy Qur'an so easy to hijack?” where this Bernard Lewis fabrication: “To begin with, the word itself is commonly used with two related but distinct meanings, as the equivalents both of Christianity, and Christendom. ... The word Islam thus denotes more than fourteen centuries of history, a billion and a third people, and a religious and cultural tradition of enormous diversity.” is shown to be a clever big lie of a sophisticated, but ultimately still only a “vulgar propagandist”, in much greater depth even though this brief deconstruction already suffices.
One can immediately see the result of such gratuitous binding. It enables drawing false and specious associations by overloading the semantics in an already well-defined nomenclature.

That is the principal basis for subliminally, as well as cognitively, binding something virtuous (the religion) with something abhorrent (the vile deeds of the peoples, their kings, their cultures, their civilization). Thus, when the word Islam is mentioned, the abhorrent, or whatever is deemed abhorrent by Oriental scholarship, naturally springs to the mind of the seduced.

Based solely on that premeditated collateral damage to language that Samuel Huntington, the late circus clown of empire at Harvard, diabolically made the already quoted statement on “Islam” in his treatise “The Clash of Civilizations”. It is reproduced again because now we dissect it from the language point of view:

“The underlying problem for the West is not Islamic fundamentalism. It is Islam, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the superiority of their culture and are obsessed with the inferiority of their power. The problem for Islam is not the CIA or the US Department of Defense. It is the West, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the universality of their culture and believe that their superior, if declining, power imposes on them the obligation to extend that culture throughout the world. These are the basic ingredient that fuel conflict between Islam and the West.” (Samuel Huntington, The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order, 1996, pg. 217)

Since when did the word “Islam” indicate civilization? A civilization is an aggregate of peoples, harboring one or more cultures, one or more languages, one or more customs, one or more religions. Like the Western civilization which has the nations of German, French, English, American, Russian, etceteras, that many languages, and many religions are practiced in these nations, including atheism, Christianity, and Islam. Whereas Islam is a religion, a “deen”. A religion can be practiced in any civilization, by any peoples, including right here in the USA.

Samuel Huntington's teacher was evidently Bernard Lewis, as evidenced from their common re-semanticization of the word “Islam”. This is how Huntington was able to demonize Islam: “The underlying problem for the West is not Islamic fundamentalism. It is Islam,” and “These are the basic ingredient that fuel conflict between Islam and the West.” We have already witnessed the passage above in which Samuel Huntington cited his Princeton University confere Bernard Lewis as the author of 'The Roots of Muslim Rage' and the first authority on the “Clash of Civilizations”. They incestuously reinforce each other rather well, don't they? Cass Sunstein, the other propagandist Harvard Law professor and President Obama's information tsar, referred to such incestuous self-reinforcements in his erudite paper on “Conspiracy Theories” in the more refined academic jargon, as “crippled epistemology”.

As we perceptively observe, it is the diabolical misuse of language which first and foremost enables drafting a thesis like “Clash of Civilizations”. (See Prisoners of the Cave Chapter 9 which deconstructs Huntington's craftsmanship in more depth.) Such theses, made erudite and plausible sounding with the IVY League stamp, are thence crafted into simple propaganda to seed the Mighty
Wurlitzer's many compositions. It is repeated ad nauseam thereafter.

Since Western people's point of reference is mainly Christianity where the common root word denotes everything, the people “Christians”, the religion “Christianity”, the civilization “Christendom”, even the God “Christ” – in fact everything that Bernard Lewis falsely and maliciously imputed to Islam on page 1 of his propaganda manual “Crisis of Islam” – the same kitchen sink linguistics devilishly attributed to Islam, repeatedly, makes it believable for the un-informed Western public.

Thus, maligning Islam before the un-informed masses becomes a child's play for the Mighty Wurlitzer. Effective propaganda is always targeted only at the ordinary un-informed peoples, “the crowd of simpletons and the credulous”, as examined in the report Manufacturing Dissent. Its core purpose is to control public behavior by instilling false beliefs.

And we can see its rich harvest not in just the 'United We Stand' against “militant Islam” and the unfettered “imperial mobilization” and “shock and “awe”, but in the Qur'an burning, Islam bashing, and other Islamophobic festivities of the ignorant people against Muslims.

It is surely not a surprise then, that Islamophobia should have increased steadily in the United States and the West since 9/11. Islamophobia is only the desired and natural effect of the propaganda system of the Mighty Wurlitzer. Like the festering boil on the protesting bride's lip, it is only symptomatic of the real syphilis beneath the virtuous wedding gown.

This crucial analysis unarguably illustrates how imperial scholars incestuously reinforce each other in implanting the “doctrinal motivation” mentioned by Zbigniew Brzezinski as being necessary for “imperial mobilization”. It was pretty much the same protocol in the quest for Lebensraum of the Third Reich in yesteryear. At Nuremberg, the Nazi Party's chief philosopher, Alfred Rosenberg, was hanged for his mumbo jumbo. The third Reich's chief of propaganda, Reichminister of Propaganda and National Enlightenment, Dr. Joseph Goebbels, committed suicide after administering cyanide to his wife and six young children before the long arm of justice could wring his neck. Just thought I'd mention that in passing.

Such premeditated collateral damage to language, with the concomitant priming of doctrinal fuel for the long gestating mantras of “The Roots of Muslim Rage” years in advance of its catastrophic unveiling, is what so trivially enabled forging a bipartisan political consensus on the US foreign policy of aggression and invasion in the immediate aftermath of the shock effects of 9/11. The Patriot Act I was passed quickly without reading, and the entire United States Congress, save one member, gave its green light to invade Afghanistan. The mightiest and richest nation on earth patriotically savaged the poorest and weakest nation on earth in a broad political consensus. The American peoples 'United We Stand' saluting the flag, and motor car bumper stickers proudly proclaimed “We Support Our Troops".
Please refer back to Zbigniew Brzezinski's quoted passages above to refresh your memory that he had shrewdly stated in 1996: “Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat.” The Grand Chessboard effectively blueprint the entire chain of causal linkages which have empirically transpired since 9/11, exactly as it was for Hitler's Mein Kampf.

Furthermore, also recall the previously quoted clairvoyant statements made by the so called Terrorism Study Group. These too lend prima facie evidence for how the Mighty Wurlitzer premeditatedly harnessed the 'searing' or 'molding' event of 9/11, the “new Pearl Harbor”, to successfully capitalize on the pre-implanted public myths of Islamofascism to launch the perpetual “War on Terror”. For, in all that confusion surrounding the event of Catastrophic Terrorism, fait accompli of the despotic response by the sole superpower was automatically seeded because “Like Pearl Harbor, such an event [divides] our past and future into a ‘before’ and ‘after.’ ” Now anything goes because “what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times”, including launching aggressive wars against innocent nations, and turning one's own nation into a police-state. Ex post facto, print all about it in the New York Times!

Thus, also recall the previously mentioned chutzpah of their mea culpa, ex post facto, led by the Iraq Study Group in 2005 blaming “intelligence failure” for the missing WMDs in Iraq, and the New York Times in 2008 blaming the Pentagon, see “Pentagon's Message Machine Behind TV Analysts, Pentagon’s Hidden Hand”, April 20, 2008. More such revelations will continue to occur as world government is incrementally cemented. Someday, even sixth graders will learn about it with a tad more honesty than the public is permitted to know today, just as school children candidly learn today about the genocide of the native American Indians on their own land.

What had appeared to casual observers who had been interested enough to read this stuff before the events of 9/11, to be only academic psychoanalyses of the American public, became the actual reality of “imperial mobilization” exactly as was so boldly foretold in these public writings.

It is also useful to recall at this point that the US Chief prosecuting counsel at Nuremberg, Robert H. Jackson, had declared on hearing the feigned protestations from the Nazi leadership on trial that they didn't know anything about Hitler's plans for Lebensraum:

“The plans of Adolf Hitler for aggression were just as secret as Mein Kampf, of which over six million copies were published in Germany” --- Justice Robert H. Jackson in his closing speech at Nuremberg, on Friday, 7/26/1946, Morning Session, Part 3, Trial of the Major War Criminals before the International Military Tribunal

Thus, Islamophobia steadily rising even in the tenth year of the catastrophic terrorism of 9/11 as documented by CAIR and the University of California, Berkeley, is a direct descendent of the Dynamics of Mantra Creation for “Islamofascism”. One can no more describe the effects of Islamophobia without also describing its first cause, the American Mein Kampfs written by Jewish hands in Muslim blood to launch “imperial mobilization”, than one can describe the color of a tree without describing its first cause, the DNA of the tree.

Don't these scholars know their own literature? Can't they judge motivation? Can they not add
two plus two to equal four? Will they also brazenly feign on their own day of reckoning that they were just highly paid ignorant morons unaware of the new Mein Kampf's rather than the learned scholars they are now presented to be?

Only vulgar propagandists and traffickers in truth will hide the causal linkages between pre-planned doctrines and the unfolding reality. That is a crime against the people! And only fools and useful idiots among them will pretend to not understand that crime. And that is the overarching success of the Mighty Wurlitzer. The myth of militant Islam has been successfully cast into perceived reality for the public.

Enter The New Hegelian Dialectic

The Hegelian Dialectic Militant Islam vs. Moderate Islam

The preceding success of the Mighty Wurlitzer effectively enables introducing the Hegelian Dialectic of “moderate Islam”.

Once demonized sufficiently with “militant Islam” and “islamofascism”, with “Islamophobia” sufficiently priming the public, the new propaganda slogan automatically becomes: we want to “reform Islam” for a more “moderate Islam”!

To mobilize this new devil like the previous one for “militant Islam” also requires the same “high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.” as perceptively observed by Zbigniew Brzezinski in The Grand Chessboard. Please refer back to the full quoted excerpt above to remind yourself of this fact.

Thus, new comparable works of “doctrinal motivation” become available preaching “moderate Islam”. These works and writings started appearing immediately in the aftermath of 9/11 with learned Muslim clerics making loud proclamations against “militant Islam” and speaking of “good Muslims” vs. “bad Muslims” (see interview Shaykh Hamza Yusuf Hanson, San Jose Mercury News, Sunday Edition, September 16, 2001, cached).

Clerics most faithfully echoing the core message of empire are immediately invited to the White House and to the Presidential Address in Congress by President George W. Bush Jr. and seated with Laura Bush and Tony Blair for dutifully speaking out against “Militant Islam” (watch CSPAN...
Religious fatwas are issued against “militant Islam” and terrorism by “moderate” clerics in favor of “moderate Islam” (see Response to the Fatwa on Terrorism in the Service of Empire, this photograph reveals the fatwa granting cleric Tahir ul Qadri prominently seated and speaking at the WEF).


And the same three ring circus is masterfully conducted by the Mighty Wurlitzer with the “moderate Islam” show added to play concurrently in the same broad arena with many other side shows (switching metaphor for appropriateness). The crucial difference in this instance however is that it is seemingly staged by “reform minded”, progressive, as well as conservative Muslims themselves. Sophisticated and scholarly looking Muslim intellectuals are recruited for this purpose from across the intellectual spectrum (see “FAQ What is an Intellectual Negro?”).
Caption Shaykh Hamza Yusuf Hanson, a fiery Muslim cleric from San Jose, California, convert from Christianity, founder of Zaytuna College in Berkeley to teach “moderate Islam” to American Muslims, attending George W. Bush's presidential address to US Congress on September 20, 2001, seated immediately behind British Prime Minister Tony Blair, American First Lady Laura Bush, and American Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, giving standing ovation to the American president's announcement of perpetual war on “militant Islam” (Photograph source CSPAN).

*How does a convert Muslim cleric get such rapid security clearance that within just 9 days of the most catastrophic terrorism on America's soil, he is seated with the most powerful rulers of the world – and applauding their waging of barbaric wars upon Muslim nations? Only a long cultivated intelligence asset of the Mighty Wurlitzer for cognitive infiltration of the American and Western Muslim Mind!*  

That manufactured product, in 2012 was graciously anointed 42nd among “The World's 500 Most Influential Muslims”, two places ahead of even Seyyed Hossein Nasr, the prolific Muslim scholar at George Town University, by some idiotic think-tank setup among Muslims as their *House Nigger* drum-beater for the Mighty Wurlitzer.
Caption Pakistani *house niggers*, Imran Khan and Tahir ul Qadri, seated on the * massa's* table at the Western super financial elite's World Economic Forum annual meeting in Davos, Switzerland, January 27, 2011. (Photograph source: a reader submission)

*How did these two political “no-ops” of least significance in 2011, get invited to world economic forum for the white man's recognition? They are neither financiers, nor industrialists, and nor do they hold any economic or financial ministerial position within the government of Pakistan.*

Yes, as Western intelligence assets managed by their local counterpart, both are being rewarded for selling the massa's pitch on “moderate Islam” (even in their occasional controlled dissent with the Pakistani establishment which is most dutifully towing the massa's full line on “militant Islam”).

And Tahir ul Qadri specifically for his “600 page Fatwa on Terrorism”. Both these house niggers *artfully retain the core axioms of massa on “militant Islam”* in their posturing, that it was militant Islam and al-Qaeda who attacked America on 9/11, in order to continually push the envelope of the Hegelian Dialectic forward as a self-fulfilling prophecy!
Muslim bookstores prominently feature the “reform Islam” authors' works with glowing tributes: “This is the first edition of the Quran translated by an American woman. This modern, inclusive translation refutes past translations that have been used to justify violence against women.” (see Kazi Publications, frontpage cached). Please refer to Critique: Laleh Bakhtiar and The Sublime Quran where the following commonsense is noted with respect to the preceding statement:

'It is your grave misconception that Muslims beat their wives because the Holy Qur'an gives them permission to beat their wives. Muslims also kill their wives, do honor killings of their children and family members, and a thousand other grotesque and equally criminal things in Muslim societies – and the Holy Qur'an strictly forbids it all.

And Muslims do no more horrendous acts than the pious Western Christians and holy Western Jews who commit the most heinous crimes, and monumental crimes against humanity which are on-going even as I write this. The white man today is calculatingly killing and raping far more Muslim women on a daily basis with “shock and awe”, drone attacks, military occupation, to the thunderous silence of Western champions of human rights than any Muslims assaulitng their wives in domestic quarrels because of 4:34. But of course it is Islam which needs to be reformed first with a new translation of the Holy Qur'an. Daniel Pipes must be feeling rather pleased with himself for this fortuitous gift.’ --- Zahir Ebrahim in his letter of critique to Laleh Bakhtiar

It is evidently more effective if respectable looking mainstream Muslims themselves appear to drive the demand to “bring reform to Islam” for “moderate Islam” rather than Jews like Daniel Pipes, David Horowitz, Bernard Lewis, the late Samuel Huntington, the neo-cons at AIPAC, JINSA. AEI; Christians like the 700 Club, Quran burning pastor of the Church in Florida whose book on Islam is pictured above; the White House, the Pentagon; the think-tanks; the Western courts, et. al., appear to be driving it. The synergistic WWF wrestling matches however always only collect windfall profits for the same root promoter.

The revealing thing to observe here is the intriguing background of some of the most prominent among these “moderate Islam” shrill voices in America. They are often converts to Islam from Christian heritage and have become self-taught scholars of Islam in America with imposing command of Arabic. The loud mouth striving to “bring reform to Islam” by writing an entirely new English translation of the Holy Qur'an no less, titled The Sublime Quran (see image above), grew up as a Catholic of mixed Iranian-American parentage. She is Laleh Bakhtiar, Ph.D. in Education Psychology. As a linguist in Arabic and English, she employs the same re-semantification of the word “Islam” as Bernard Lewis and Samuel Huntington when she pitches “bring reform to Islam”! In the Critique: Laleh Bakhtiar and The Sublime Quran:

'You surely could not have meant 'reform the religion of Islam' for which the Holy Qur'an stated:
You are going to reform what Allah [perfected]?  

You surely must have meant to say 'reform the misunderstandings among the Muslims regarding Islam.'

Then why not just say exactly what you mean?

Does the statement “bring reform to Islam” mean the same thing as 'bring reform to Muslims' to a grammarian and linguist who has translated the Holy Qur'an from Arabic into English?’ --- Zahir Ebrahim in his letter of critique to Laleh Bakhtiar

And the loudest mouth decrying “militant Islam” from the first day of 9/11 is of course Hamza Yusuf, convert to Islam from Orthodox Christianity. He was studying to be a male nurse in Santa Clara California where I knew him in the 1980s giving fiery Friday sermons to the delight of the pious Muslim worshipers, before he conferred upon himself the lofty honorific of “Shaykh” in the 1990s and started his own institute to teach “moderate Islam” to Americans. Called the Zaytuna Institute, now Zaytuna College in Berkeley. He is well respected among many American Muslims who swear by his scholarship with an almost cult like faith – the “moderate Islam”. He has acquired international fame for his oratory and his command of the arcane in the Muslim writings of antiquity so revered by the majority of Muslims. He told the UK Guardian's Jack O'Sullivan in an article titled: 'If you hate the west, emigrate to a Muslim country', October 08, 2001:

'“Many Muslims seem to be in deep denial about what has happened,” he says.

“They are coming up with different conspiracy theories and don't entertain the real possibility that it was indeed Muslims who did this. Yet we do have people within our ranks who have reached that level of hatred and misguidance.”'

Jack O'Sullivan introduced Hamza Yusuf in the lede to his aforementioned article with this description:

'Hamza Yusuf is arguably the west's most influential Islamic scholar. Many Muslims find his views hard to stomach, but he is advising the White House on the current crisis, and today he will be talking to religious leaders in the UK'.

As respected Muslim opinion makers bearing exactly the right credentials to appeal to their respective Muslim constituencies, they make great useful idiots and/or assets for this Hegelian Dialectic just like their “militant Islam” counterparts, whether or not they are themselves aware of it. It is no different than the suicide bombers recruited for “militant Islam” and being handled by local intelligence handlers who themselves deeply believe in their divine mission quite oblivious to the
reality that they are dancing to the Mighty Wurlitzer's tune. Unless of course, also like many of their counterparts in the theater of “militant Islam”, they too were psychologically profiled and directly recruited as controlled sleeper assets of the Mighty Wurlitzer a long time ago for later harvesting.

Empiricism has the bad habit of revealing the obvious. It is especially pertinent to observe how this Hamza Yusuf character immediately sprung into prominent action as if on cue in the immediate aftermath of 9/11. When the rest of American news media was blaming “militant Islam” within 15 minutes of 9/11, Hamza Yusuf managed to get his interview published in the San Jose Mercury News in the very first Sunday's edition after 9/11, September 16, 2001, condemning “militant Islam” with pious indignation. And on September 20, 2001 was in the White House, and seated next to Laura Bush in Congress. And thereafter meeting British leaders selling the empire's story to Muslims in Britain.

No Trojan Horse agent of the Mighty Wurlitzer could have done more than Hamza Yusuf did – contribute directly to build consensus for invading Afghanistan and the 'War on Terror' by driving it from the angle of “moderate Islam”.

It is no accident that each and every prominent proponent of “moderate Islam” and “reform Islam” also promulgates that 9/11 was done by “militant Islam” echoing the core-axiom of empire!

And this is precisely what betrays them, the fact that they are running with the foxes while hunting with the hounds. Otherwise the Hegelian Dialectic would not work!

The message to their own flock is simple but effective, drawn right from Edward Bernays text book on Propaganda quoted at the very beginning of this report, and Hitler's Mein Kampf. Just as Dr. Joseph Goebbels had a very simple message for corralling the Germans, these Muslim leaders have an equally simple message for their flock adapted from empire's singular core-axiom. First, in order to refresh one's memory, this is what is reported in Mein Kampf:

'The success of any advertisement, whether of a business or political nature, depends on the consistency and perseverance with which it is employed.

In this respect also the propaganda organized by our enemies set us an excellent example.

It confined itself to a few themes, which were meant exclusively for mass consumption, and it repeated these themes with untiring perseverance.

Once these fundamental themes and the manner of placing them before the world were recognized as effective, they adhered to them without the slightest alteration for the whole duration of the War.

At first all of it appeared to be idiotic in its impudent assertiveness. Later on it was looked upon as disturbing, but finally it was believed.

But in England they came to understand something further: namely, that the possibility of success in the use of this spiritual weapon consists in the mass employment of it, and that when employed in this way it brings full returns for the large expenses incurred.

In England propaganda was regarded as a weapon of the first order, whereas
with us it represented the last hope of a livelihood for our unemployed politicians and a snug job for shirkers of the modest hero type. ...

I learned something that was important at that time, namely, to snatch from the hands of the enemy the weapons which he was using in his reply. I soon noticed that our adversaries, especially in the persons of those who led the discussion against us, were furnished with a definite repertoire of arguments out of which they took points against our claims which were being constantly repeated.

The uniform character of this mode of procedure pointed to a systematic and unified training.

And so we were able to recognize the incredible way in which the enemy's propagandists had been disciplined, and I am proud to-day that I discovered a means not only of making this propaganda ineffective but of beating the artificers of it at their own work. Two years later I was master of that art.' [Mein Kampf, Adolph Hitler, Vol. 2, Chapter VI]

Now compare to what is repeated ad nauseam and with great consistency from virtually every “good” Muslim mosque pulpit and from every “good” Muslim institutional soapbox including the most prominent American Muslim civil rights organization CAIR noted earlier, each using their own diction of course to inflict precisely the following Propaganda for “moderate Islam”:

- it was “militant Islam” which is responsible for 9/11 attacks,
- these are the “bad” Muslims, we are the “good” Muslims, we don't do terrorism,
- we must fight terrorism,
- we must support our government to fight the militants,
- and we must practice “moderate Islam” which is the true Islam,
- our blessed Prophet was a “moderate”,
- he did not kill innocent peoples,
- the Qur'an forbids killing innocent people. --- Propaganda message of “moderate Islam”

Consequently, religion-based as well as secular-based voices of “moderate Islam”, the lofty bearers of this propaganda feast for the “the crowd of simpletons and the credulous”, are immediately effective in corolling the majority of “good” Muslims. They span the full gamut of persuasions from conservatives (Hamza Yusuf et. al.) to reform oriented progressives and seculars (CAIR et. al., Laleh Bakhtiar et. al.). All “good” Muslims end up “United We Stand” with the empire in its perpetual war against “militant Islam” following their respective pied pipers. This propaganda transcends the sectarian divide among the “good” Muslims in the West. This is the dominant characteristic of the vast majority of the 'United We Stand' mainstream Muslims.

To draw upon empiricism to validate, observe the “good Muslims” inextricably caught in this
Hegelian Dialectic in Muslims against Terrorism (frontpage cached), and watch the rich and famous make Proud to be American Muslims videos to distance themselves from “militant Islam”. Joseph Goebbels would be immensely proud of his legatees. At the peak of hubris, Sieg Heil is the only reality!

The few angry Muslims escaping Sieg Heil like the rest of the few angry citizens, but still caught in the Hegelian Dialectic are corralled by the controlled dissent-space anxiously waiting to welcome them. See Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent below.

The controlled dissent is run very efficiently on a treadmill permitting the angry Muslims along with the rest of the Western public to vent their lungs out shouting in the streets, and their fingers out typing on the internet, before they return back to their jobs Monday morning feeling fresh from the weekend catharsis. The too angry among them who are not so easily placated by “weekend jihad” soon acquire the label “bad” or “terrorist”. There is no escape for them so long as they remain caught in the Hegelian Dialectic.

Please go back a little to the Guardian interview with Hamza Yusuf quoted above and observe the uncanny exactness in the wording which almost mirrors the New York Times' anointing Noam Chomsky. Between “[Noam Chomsky is] arguably the most important intellectual alive” (New York Times) driving the Left, and “Hamza Yusuf is arguably the west's most influential Islamic scholar” (Guardian) driving the Muslim Right, both proclaiming “militant Islam” attacked America on 9/11 in great synergy with the White House and the Pentagon, the field is covered.

One heads the manufacturing dissent factory catching those who escape the Hegelian Dialectic of “militant Islam” vs. “moderate Islam”, the other heads the manufacturing consent factory for “moderate Islam” against “militant Islam” beating the imperial drums.

Where you gonna go?

Those few who eventually wizen up to it all and fearlessly exit that Hegelian Dialectic altogether are now attempted to be corralled in warmly welcoming “conspiracy” groups strategically cultivated for exactly this purpose as part of “imperial mobilization” planning. As Cass Sunstein put it in “Conspiracy Theories”, these groups lend “beneficial cognitive diversity” to aid statecraft defocus all the angry energies.

If the Hegelian Dialectic didn't get all the morally angry people as it did the vast majority of the public diabolically trapped between the false paradigm of “militant Islam” vs. “moderate Islam” and controlled dissent all sharing the empire's core-axioms, this trap catches the remaining majority. Watch how the most intelligent among this lot soon find themselves in the 9/11 Truth Movement. See Zahir Ebrahim, “Toronto Hearings: A strange cast of characters among 9/11 Truth Leadership”.

That treadmill is strategically designed to occupy the remaining morally angry people studying 9/11 mysteries and how the WTC towers came down repeatedly calling for “new investigations”. The “history's actors” of course, unbeknownst to these bright lads, have already announced that this is precisely what they shall all be kept busy with: “We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.” In the meantime, the “history's actors” have acted again and created “new realities”.

There is no exit from that trap either so long as one is kept occupied with the previous fait accompli leaving the “history's actors” free to enact new ones!
The aforementioned set of comprehensive fly traps pretty much ensnare what appears to this scribe to be close to ninety nine percent of the nation's citizenry. About the remaining odd percent (or two), Adolph Hitler had observed in his Mein Kampf: “the value of these [skeptics] lies in their intelligence and not in their numerical strength.”! No one pays any attention to them whatsoever. If they speak, they are first ignored, then reviled, and then made an offer they can't refuse. As part of “imperial mobilization” planning, statecraft ensured via the Patriot Acts, police state laws, “no fly lists”, etc., that there remained no effective means for ordinary citizens to ever effectively mobilize themselves together on a single focussed goal of derailing “imperial mobilization” and therefore pose any threat whatsoever to their plans.

From the propaganda of Islamofascism to domestic police state was one short jump in this slick game of “imperial mobilization”.

The exercise of primacy always is. And the role of the Mighty Wurlitzer, as we can now appreciate, is indispensable across the entire spectrum of social engineering to get people to consent to what is happening to them! Please refer back to the statements made by Aldous Huxley in his talk in 1961 quoted above: 'Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude!'

The perpetual 'war on terror' is not mere happenstance and over-reaction to catastrophic terrorism as some of empire's leading detractors too innocent of knowledge gullibly argue. The evidence presented here demonstrates it to be diabolically premeditated in no less a measure than the Third Reich's march to Lebensraum after the full disclosure of their intent in Mein Kampf. In both cases, the public had to be mobilized since “Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.” In our case, Lebensraum is world government, and as reasoned by Bertrand Russell, “World government could only be kept in being by force.” (Bertrand Russell, Impact of Science on Society Ch. 2, pg. 37)

The Hegelian Dialectic Militant Islam vs. Moderate Islam will surely go down in history as among the greatest enablers of war, rivaling and perhaps surpassing both Communism vs. Fascism and Communism vs. Capitalism of the twentieth century. It is their legatee for the twenty-first century. As previously noted, it is already called “World War IV”. The blood stains accumulated on all the saintly hands enabling it, as of those prosecuting it, won't be cleansed by all the perfumes of Arabia while they sleep holy in bed! (Shakespeare Macbeth) Fortunate are those who at least experience PTSD and can't sleep holy in bed (see Zahir Ebrahim, “Letter: A Cure for America’s War Veterans who have fertilized the 'arc of crisis' in Muslim blood”).

The Hegelian Dialectic Militant Islam vs. Moderate Islam however is still designed to play a multifaceted role beyond the prima facie one of each of its individual components.

The mantra of “reform Islam” is the more pernicious of the two. While “militant Islam” has seditiously enabled police states in the West which all can experience themselves without having to read about it, “moderate Islam” is intended to enable the new world religion for these police states which few among the public are able to apprehend just yet.

Many useful idiots who play their role like actors on stage, some believing in the promise of “moderate Islam”, have little understanding of the entire show, their script only being for Act II. Act I was obviously “militant Islam” in this Hegelian Dialectic.
Acts III and IV which are coming up next after the intermission for which the stage is now being set, is to harvest the calculated subversion of all established religions, specifically the religion of Islam, to pave the way for the introduction of Secular Humanism – the new religion of world Government (see Zahir Ebrahim, “Islam vs. Secular Humanism and World Government”).

Full Spectrum Primacy is the underpinning of all power calculus. Be it of the State, just the full title of Zbigniew Brzezinski’s aforementioned book betrays what’s already obvious: “The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives”. Or be it of the controlling oligarchy, which is also already obvious, and for which books upon books of respected establishmentarian scholars like Professor Carroll Quigley’s “Tragedy and Hope”, openly disclose their overarching agenda being world government (see Zahir Ebrahim, “Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order”).

Empiricism confirm these facts.

Enter Manufactured Dissent

The Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent

Having now perceptively understood the subtle, almost undetectably precise imperial craftsmanship of Bernard Lewis et. al., which forms the crucial seed for implanting the “doctrinal motivation and intellectual commitment” necessary for sustaining “imperial mobilization” via the Hegelian Dialectic “militant Islam” vs. “moderate Islam”, it should not be surprising to discover that even the steward of public conscience for the West, Noam Chomsky, judged Bernard Lewis to be “just a vulgar propagandist”!

In a revealing interview on CBC, at just about that time:

'... now, until Bernard Lewis tells us that, and that's only one piece of a long story, we know that he is just a vulgar propagandist and not a scholar. So yes, as long as we are supporting harsh brutal governments, blocking democracy and development, because of our interest in controlling the oil resources in the region, there will be a campaign of hatred against us!' --- Interview to Evan Solomon, CBC, part-2, minute 5:50, December 9, 2003,
But in furthering our forensic and critical study of the Dynamics of Mantra Creation solely on the anvil of empirical political science, it is even more instructional to observe the omissions and commissions in Noam Chomsky's own vaunted dissent as “arguably the most important intellectual alive” (NYT). The disease of deception is evidently infectious among that clan.

Noam Chomsky himself continued to echo from the very day of September 11, 2001, and still maintains so in this tenth year of 9/11, that Osama Bin Laden and Al Qaeda carried out that day of infamy upon which all matters 'War on Terror' hinge!

Thus, strangely enough, despite all his famous dissent, Noam Chomsky has exactly managed to echo Bernard Lewis', Samuel Huntington's, the Pentagon's, the White House's, the incumbent as well as all living former presidents of the sole superpower, the Israelis', and the world Zionists' collective mantra of “Islamofascists” being the perpetrators of 9/11.

Noam Chomsky is of course, also the most outspoken champion of Wikileaks in his otherwise erudite disagreements with his opposite numbers in the establishment.

All this public fracas of dissent against the establishment is somewhat akin to the American and Russian spies strategically collaborating with each other despite their often antagonist tactical missions, for the greater common good of the military-industrial complexes of both nations during the Cold War. When we perceptively read the works of Anthony Sutton, Carroll Quigley, and W. Cleon Skousen, it becomes obvious that the uber-capitalists and the uber-communists where in fact covertly collaborating at crucial core nexuses despite all their overt WWF wrestling style public antagonisms. Both serving the interests of the same financiers. In other words, at the highest levels of social control, there is evidently no difference of overarching agendas among its seemingly antagonistic players, each one of them merely playing a theatrical public role. Shakespeare aptly dramatized it in As you like it:

All the world's a stage,
And all the men and women merely players:
They have their exits and their entrances;
And one man in his time plays many parts,
His acts being seven ages.'

It should now be self-evident that Bernard Lewis and Noam Chomsky together, while seemingly cogent opposites, in fact represent the class of counterpoint tunes of the Mighty Wurlitzer which nicely bookend all public discourse between the artificial bifurcation of Right and Left, Conservative and Liberal, Establishmentarian and Rebel, Totalitarian and Anarchist, Consent and Dissent. It is the two antipodes of a fabricated Hegelian Dialectic to respectively engineer both consent and dissent in order to sustain “imperial mobilization”.

Noam Chomsky himself argues the veracity of this observation in his own erudite manner:

'The smart way to keep people passive and obedient is to strictly limit the spectrum of acceptable opinion, but allow very lively debate within that spectrum - even encourage the more critical and dissident views. That gives people the sense that there's free thinking going on, while all the time the presuppositions of the system are being reinforced by the limits put on the range
of the debate.'

And yet, he just as willingly participates in it.

Thus, observe that Chomsky too echoes that there is a 'Muslim Rage', but instead of it being deemed “irrational” like Bernard Lewis posits in his “vulgar propagandist” scholarship, Noam Chomsky calls it a rational rage, a “blowback” to American foreign policy and the history of American political aggression! See Chomsky's money minting booklet “911” by Seven Stories Press; and how it was cobbled together in “The Closet Capitalist”, where the Hoover Institution critic observed: “Chomsky’s marketing efforts shortly after September 11 give new meaning to the term war profiteer. In the days after the tragedy, he raised his speaking fee from $9,000 to $12,000 because he was suddenly in greater demand.” See: “The Cunning Dissent of Noam Chomsky Revisited in 2015 by Zahir Ebrahim”.

While dissent which retains the core-lies of empire when vehemently critiquing its effects is typical of all prominent controlled assets, in this instance of “arguably the most important intellectual alive”, it would perhaps be more apropos to give it the same epithet that Noam Chomsky anointed Bernard Lewis with. Just to call a spade a spade – and no more.

Who else echoes that same “vulgar propagandist's” core-lie of empire, of 9/11 being invasion from abroad and the work of “militant Islam”, in deep consonance with Bernard Lewis, the Pentagon, the White House, and the neo-con think-tankers? Surprise, surprise, it is the other patron saint of latter day dissent, Congressman Ron Paul, echoing exactly Noam Chomsky's theme of 9/11 being a “blowback” by malcontent Muslims. Ron Paul's absurdities are dismantled in “My beef with the stellar congressman Hon. Ron Paul”.

As a Muslim, I hope I might be forgiven if I observe some ground floor reality check to put all this specious “blowback” in hegemonic context. I don't see any such naturally percolating “blowback” rage in any significant tenor in any Muslim country despite what the white man's burden has done to us worldwide, except perhaps in the three nations militarily occupied by Israel and the United States today, Palestine, Iraq, and Afghanistan. Even there, all I see are some manufactured “insurgents” being “tickled” into expressing a manufactured rage on demand. This is deconstructed in great detail in the two comprehensive reports “Manufacturing Dissent” and “Insurgency vs. Counter-Insurgency”.

Suffice it to expose here this sham of “blowback” very briefly. The Director of the CIA, Michael Hayden, openly expressed the empire's modus operandi of “tickling” terrorists into existence thusly: “We use military operations to excite the enemy, prompting him to respond. In that response we learn so much”. When the poor victims and their unfortunate survivors are thus sufficiently “tickled” with the inconsolable loss of their loved ones under the world's mightiest superpower's barbaric “shock and awe”, they become prime harvest for empire's other long running mantra, “God is on your side” (where God changes sides at will as expedient – see “Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization” for details).

That harvest of malcontents is managed by local intelligence handlers and the Pentagon's Black-ops, to steer the “tickled” patsies natural lust for justified revenge on the aggressors onto pre-selected local targets. The US Army field manual cited above is apropos to quote once again as a reminder that this is indeed how the world of hegemony actually works and this report on the Mighty Wurlitzer isn't a James Bamford novel: “US Army Intelligence must have the means of launching special operations
which will convince host country governments and public opinion of the reality of the insurgent danger. US Army Intelligence should seek to penetrate the insurgency by means of agents of special assignments, with the task of forming special action groups among the most radical elements of the insurgency.”

Running false-flag operations in this way by setting up diversionary suicidal patsies harvested from “among the most radical elements of the insurgency”, while the more precision oriented lethal hit is handled covertly by the skilled Black-Ops, becomes a breeze. This is of course the empiricism of all major assassinations of political leaders worldwide – compartmentalized disposable patsies independently working on narrow tasks for a common boss, often unbeknownst to each other. That is the prime modus operandi to fabricate the terrorist acts – called “insurgency”. That's the sum total of the “blowback” of vaunted dissent narrators like Ron Paul and Noam Chomsky, and the new dissent chiefs like Paul Craig Roberts who, after having his fill of 900 mice, now wants to lead the mice against the primacy of the feline predators (see Zahir Ebrahim, “Rebuttal to Paul Craig Roberts: ‘Washington Arrogance has Fomented a Muslim Revolution’”).

Once the “insurgency” is crafted, the organs of state, the military, the police, the intelligentsia, the media, the pundits, all across the world all naturally focus on the visible terrorist act of these patsies and their poor victims! Since that is all that the public is permitted to see by the Mighty Wurlitzer, the empire's next move is naturally sanctioned. That is called “counter-insurgency”. Some call it invasion and occupation. That is also the mechanism of the super-terrorism of 9/11 in a nutshell – diversionary tactics employed as shadow play which was propagandized by the Mighty Wurlitzer worldwide, while the WTC towers were expertly and with military precision brought down with controlled demolition of some kind. The intimate dynamics of mid-wifery between insurgency and counter-insurgency can sustain “imperial mobilization” indefinitely!

See “Between Imperial Mobilization and Islamofascism” and “FAQ: What is an Intellectual Negro”, for the role played by the villainous Muslim House Negroes in promoting the hectoring hegemons' own propaganda, vs. the pathetic ground realities of the Muslim masses, the so called “revolutionaries”, in the Preface of “Prisoners of the Cave”, all very patiently “Waiting for Allah” for deliverance!
Enter Super Ali Baba Plus Plus

Mining Propaganda to Uncover Agenda BEFORE it is a Fait Accompli

Returning back to the “vulgar propagandist” (I keep that epithet in quotes deliberately to emphasize the fact that apart from its veracity, it's also the product of WWF wrestling), we already see the empirical results of the uncannily predictive clairvoyance of America's and Israel's greatest establishmentarians concerning 'Islamic Terror' which goes by many names including “blowback”.

The fate of Afghanistan, Iraq, Pakistan, the entire Middle East, the “arc of crisis” and the “global zone of percolating violence”, all have something real in common today because of such amazing fortune telling by the masters of discourse years in advance: “the peoples of Islam will be the first and greatest victims.” (see Bernard Lewis quoted above) Please refer back to the already mentioned report: Instrumenting Kosovo in the 'arc of crisis' and the 'global zone of percolating violence'.

So, ought the public to take the superlative masters of discourse and the assorted “vulgar propagandist” a tad more seriously when they initially spew new absurdities en route to successful mantra creation years in advance? And, before its eventual harvesting under the cataclysmic shock-effects of the “new pearl harbor”, makes their predictive boast: “They will not be alone, and many others will suffer with them”, an unalterable grotesque reality du jour?

One of course already observes some of that Bernard Lewis’ sponsored clairvoyant suffering of the innocent in America itself. While its own body-count is minuscule in comparison to Iraq, Afghanistan, Pakistan, others experiencing 'revolutions' and 'democracy' in the Middle East, not to mention PTSD suffered by its veterans which too pales in comparison to what the valiant have wrecked upon the 'untermenschen' (see Letter to Editor: PTSD and its Cure), one with eyes wide open substantially notes that:

Using absurdities For Propaganda Fabrication

Alice in Wonderland: UN 'to appoint space ambassador to greet alien visitors'

'Mazlan Othman, a Malaysian astrophysicist, is set to be tasked with co-ordinating humanity’s response if and when extraterrestrials make contact. Aliens who landed on earth and asked: “Take me to your leader” would be directed to Mrs Othman. ... But Professor Stephen Hawking has warned that alien interlopers should be treated with caution. He said: “I imagine they might exist in massive ships, having used up all the resources from their home planet. The outcome for us would be much as when Christopher Columbus first landed in America, which didn’t turn out very well for the Native Americans.”’ --- UK Telegraph 26 Sep 2010
● the American national debt is soaring because of its perpetual 'War on Terror' and the financial malfeasance of its financial elite;
● its bankruptcies and joblessness have shattered the 'American Dream' of its public;
● its de-industrialization by having off-shored all its manufacturing and production capacity is at an all time peak;
● the mighty superpower is now a police-state the likes of which was hitherto only presaged in fictional narratives like George Orwell's 1984;
● and the once mighty industrial nation may be merged into a larger supra-national regional entity similar to the EU as a consequence of all these crises conditions.

Can one therefore, perceptively not surmise that the deceased Ali Baba's replacement nemesis will be a Super Ali Baba Plus Plus to complete the job started by Zbigniew Brzezinski and Israeli Intelligence agents' clairvoyance? What can this new threat possibly be that it will even eclipse Osama Bin Laden in his magical prowess?

Can the public shrewdly anticipate and prepare for the next boogeyman based on the tunes now being played by the Mighty Wurlitzer, rather than be shell-shocked into acquiescence by its phantasmic unveiling? Just as the world was, and still is, shell-shocked into acquiescence due to the Catastrophic Terrorism of 9/11, which, like the Pearl Harbor, inevitably divided our past and our future into ‘before’ and ‘after’.

One often hears it stated in the news and in the Western governments' increasingly draconian regulations to keep their public safe from terrorists, that 9/11 changed everything. Well, the super 9/11 of the Super Ali Baba Plus Plus so clairvoyantly predicted by George W. Bush, “will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison” (Bush White House, Feb. 13, 2008)!

Can one intelligently not data-mine propaganda itself, in the backdrop of the Mighty Wurlitzer's unhidden motivations and agendas, to accurately perceive and preempt what's up next?

The following passage from the 2500 years old Art of War is pertinent backdrop to the aforementioned chutzpah of empire – a zeitgeist in which the scholars of empire announce their intentions brazenly years in advance, while the detractors

Using absurdities For Propaganda Fabrication contd. 2

Alice in Wonderland: Pope's astronomer says he would baptise an alien if it asked him

'Aliens might have souls and could choose to be baptised if humans ever met them, a Vatican scientist said today. The official also dismissed intelligent design as “bad theology” that had been “hijacked” by American creationist fundamentalists.

Guy Consolmagno, who is one of the pope's astronomers, said he would be “delighted” if intelligent life was found among the stars. “But the odds of us finding it, of it being intelligent and us being able to communicate with it – when you add them up it's probably not a practical question.”

Speaking ahead of a talk at the British Science Festival in Birmingham tomorrow, he said that the traditional definition of a soul was to have intelligence, free will, freedom to love and freedom to make decisions. “Any entity – no matter how many tentacles it has – has a soul.” Would he baptise an alien? “Only if they asked.”

--- UK Guardian 17 Sep 2010
of empire excel in the ex post facto narrations of what is already a fait accompli after the “history's actors” have acted and created “new realities”. The instruments of empire award their own antagonists high honors and great accolades for their bold rehearsal and dissection of histories amidst the fawning adulation of all their followers having their new 'ah hah' and 'never again' moments for the first time in their life.

And the cycle repeats again and again for each new act of the “history's actors”:

8. To see victory only when it is within the ken of the common herd is not the acme of excellence.

9. Neither is it the acme of excellence if you fight and conquer and the whole Empire says, “Well done!”

10. To lift an autumn hair is no sign of great strength; to see the sun and moon is no sign of sharp sight; to hear the noise of thunder is no sign of a quick ear.’

Paying particular attention to item 10., one may conclude that to perceive and anticipate in a timely manner that which is not obvious to others leads to many tactical as well as strategic advantages, both in the battle of hegemony and secrecy, and, in the battle against tyranny. Which is why the public and their lauded dissent chiefs are always, but always, kept busy in idiotic puppetshows by the Machiavelli when it is most essential that they be shrewdly sighted.

Public preemption can be effective in derailing imperial mobilization only BEFORE it becomes a fait accompli. Ex post facto, when the public eventually wakes up to ascertain that it was indeed all a puppetshow, it is inevitably too late to do anything about the matter except to “study” what the “history's actors” have left behind! Obsessing with the previous fait accompli when dissent chiefs lead the effort, evidently, is also a calculated part of Machiavelli.

The principle of temporal urgency in maintaining utmost deception (and secrecy) while “new realities” are being planned, orchestrated, and harvested, was articulated by Niccolò Machiavelli in The Prince. The modern day version of this predatory statecraft is the National Security Council

---

“If suddenly there was a threat to this world from some other species from another planet,” President Ronald Reagan had read out loud from his script at the United Nations General Assembly podium in 1987, “in our obsession with antagonisms of the moment, we often forget how much unites all the members of humanity. Perhaps we need some outside universal threat to make us recognize this common bond. I occasionally think how quickly our differences worldwide would vanish, if we were facing an alien threat from outside this world!” --- Ronald Reagan, Speaking at the UN General Assembly, Sept 21, 1987, quoted by Zecharia Sitchin in documentary: Are We Alone In The Universe?
Directive NSC 10/2 for creating cover stories and red herrings alongside covert operations. See Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory.

As part of that plan to deflect public attention, those attempting to see through its fog of deception when a fait accompli can still be averted – before missiles have left their silos, before pen has been put to tortuous legalisms to sanction tyranny – are variously labeled as ‘kooks’, 'conspiracy theorists', 'delusional', 'denier', etc., their efforts infiltrated and subverted (as in cointelpro), and their energies defocussed by introducing what's cynically called “beneficial cognitive diversity” (see Cass Sunstein, and this counterpoint to dissent-chief David Ray Griffin's “eureka” moment on Cass Sunstein's “Conspiracy Theories”).

Seeding 'War of the Worlds' to cement one-World Government

Caption Aliens can't hear us, says astronomer Faintly broadcasting signals and digital switchover mean Earth will soon be undetectable to extraterrestrials. Aliens are less likely to be able to pick up signals from Earth and make contact. (Image via UK Guardian. Photograph: Colin Anderson/Getty)

Caption Earth must prepare for close encounter with aliens, say scientists. UN should co-ordinate plans for dealing with extraterrestrials – and we can't guarantee that aliens will be friendly. Evolution on alien worlds is likely to be Darwinian, which may mean extraterrestrials share our tendencies for violence and exploitation. (Image via UK Guardian Photograph: Rex)

Caption Good alien vs bad alien, will they come or won't they come, let's prepare just in case: 'World governments should prepare a co-ordinated action plan in case Earth is contacted by aliens, according to scientists. They argue that a branch of the UN must be given responsibility for "supra-Earth affairs" and formulate a plan for how to deal with extraterrestrials, should they appear.' --- UK Guardian 10 Jan 2011
What “will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison”?

The Alien-UFO Agenda is one such future fait accompli in the works which can still be averted by the public becoming rationally informed about the demonic art of the Mighty Wurlitzer. The fact that:

- references to UFOs even made it into one of WikiLeaks whistleblowing disclosures;

- the fact that the FBI recently made available a 1950 Roswell UFO memo lending “UFOs” a legitimacy in the gullible mind by way of it being held as a supposed “state-secret” for these past sixty years;

- the fact that CIA also declassified its Flying Saucers memo presenting to use the so-called flying saucers phenomena for psychological warfare, without admitting to the secret role of the United States Air Force in developing and flying special purpose air planes that looked like flying saucers;

- the fact that US military is even playing war-games to interdict UFOs (USAF couldn't interdict 9/11 airplanes and are therefore determined, one surmises, to not fail against an alien UFO technology that is advanced enough to visit earth from another galaxy);

- the fact that there is a pertinent office at the United Nations, UNOOSA, with “the plan to make Unoosa the co-ordinating body for dealing with alien encounters [which] will be debated by UN scientific advisory committees and should eventually reach the body’s general assembly”, and a special UN Ambassador has been “tasked with co-ordinating humanity’s response if and when extraterrestrials make contact”;
and the uptick in other *bogus and absurd conversations* on Aliens and UFO even appearing in the mainstream media, not to mention its unrelenting repetition in the so-called “alternate media” and on the internet; all indicate that its unveiling time is likely approaching near.

It is necessary to recall that the U.S. Air Force first began experimenting with flying saucers in the 1950s in its classified program. Why? Was it to deceive the former USSR which was leading the US in the space race, or, to prepare groundwork for inducing global *mass panic*? See Hadley Cantril: *The Invasion from Mars – A Study in the Psychology of Panic*.

In order to perceptively engage the sophisticated finesse behind all this psychological mind-fck before it becomes fait accompli, please see “Letter to Kerry Cassidy on the Alien-UFO Agenda” and “The Agenda Behind Aliens and UFOs - A Hegelian Mind-Fck Part-II”.

To examine an actual case study of how a real belief system, the spirit of nationalism, was used in psychological warfare to diabolically make the public mind on one of the most significant events in the story of mankind, see “Disambiguating Religion, Science and Psychological Warfare Operations”.
Caption The Next Global Threat: A Super Ali Baba Plus Plus descending from the skies in flying saucers
Using the ploy of “Leaking” or Declassifying State Secrets For Myth Fabrication

Caption Memo, Guy Hottel, the special agent in charge of the Washington field office in 1950. 'Proof of (alien) life? A copy of the 1950 memo that recounts the discovery of flying saucers and aliens in New Mexico. The memo has been published on the FBI website' --- UK Daily Mail 9th April 2011

“An investigation for the Air Force stated that three so-called flying saucers had been recovered in New Mexico. They were described as being circular in shape with raised enterers, approximately 50 feet in diameter. Each was occupied by three bodies of human shape but only 3 feet tall, dressed in metallic cloth of a very fine texture. ...”

Right!
CIA Memo on using Flying Saucers phenomena for psychological operations

Memorandum
To: Director, Psychological Strategy Board
Subject: Flying Saucers

1. I am today transmitting to the National Security Council a proposal (TAB A) in which it is concluded that the problems connected with unidentified flying objects appear to have implications for psychological warfare as well as for intelligence and operations.

2. The background for this view is presented in some detail in TAB B.

3. I suggest that we discuss at an early board meeting the possible offensive or defensive utilization of these phenomena for psychological warfare purposes.

Walter B. Smith
Director

What the CIA memo's careful wording does not say is admit that the intelligence apparatus and the United States Air Force is itself constructing the flying saucers phenomena! See analysis of memo: Disambiguating Religion, Science and Psychological Warfare Operations
The Psychology of Fear

In order to perceptively comprehend the psychological basis of such absurd and fabricated threats which instill existential fears:

- whose import to enabling “imperial mobilization” was clearly envisaged by Zbigniew Brzezinski in 1996 in *The Grand Chessboard*:

  “It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.” (pgs. 35-36);

- whose *raison d'être* as the primary method for advancing “the national interest by means of organized violence”, was taken as axiomatic in the 1963-64 secret study reported in the 1967 book *The Report From Iron Mountain*:

  'It must be emphasized that the precedence of a society's war-making potential over its other characteristics is not the result of the “threat” presumed to exist at any one time from other societies. This is the reverse of the basic situation; “threats” against the “national interest” are usually created or accelerated to meet the changing needs of the war system. ... The military, or ostensible function of the war system requires no elaboration; it serves simply to defend or advance the “national interest” by means of organized violence. It is often necessary for a national military establishment to create a need for its unique powers—to maintain the franchise, so to speak. And a healthy military apparatus requires “exercise,” by whatever rationale seems expedient, to prevent its atrophy.' (pgs. 31,33);

- whose utility for effectively embarking on the “military transformation” required to achieve “full spectrum dominance” that wasn't “stillborn”, was openly declared in the Project for the New American Century (PNAC) report titled *Rebuilding America's Defenses*:

  “Further, the process of transformation, even if it brings revolutionary change, is likely to be a long one, absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor. ... Until the process of transformation is treated as an enduring military mission – worthy
of constant allocation of dollars and forces – it will remain stillborn” (pgs. 51,58);

• and whose necessity for rapidly transforming an entire society, nation, or the whole world, in the direction desired by the controlling oligarchy, was even discovered in the 1908 minute books of the Carnegie Endowment for Peace by the Congressional Reece Committee investigator Norman Dodd in 1954, and related by him in an interview before his death in 1982, The Hidden Agenda of Tax Exempt Foundations for World Government:

“We are now at the year 1908, which was the year that the Carnegie Foundation began operations. In that year, the trustees, meeting for the first time, raised a specific question, which they discussed throughout the balance of the year in a very learned fashion. The question is: “Is there any means known more effective than war, assuming you wish to alter the life of an entire people?” And they conclude that no more effective means than war to that end is known to humanity. So then, in 1909, they raised the second question and discussed it, namely: 'How do we involve the United States in a war?'”

Please refer to social engineering principles in Further Study for Operation Gladio, Edward Bernays, Human Resources, and The Prisoner television fable of the 1960s on mind control.

That topic of active mind-brain-psyche manipulation by different means for achieving behavior control and behavior modification, was at the time clandestinely being experimented upon in secret military laboratories as in what was later revealed of the American version of it in CIA's MK ULTRA. The Prisoner fable uncannily captured the entire essence of psyche manipulation and behavior modification, from hard techniques of brain chemistry manipulation to soft techniques of Machiavelli and perception management. Especially watch episode “It's your funeral”. Also see Myth of the Cave in Plato's 2500 years old classic The Republic, Book VII, page 300 (book PDF).
Full Spectrum Mind-fck 24x7

Closing Arguments

There is a lot to learn here on deliberate Machiavellian social engineering that is not taught even in the best universities in America (and the West), nor ever makes an appearance on CNN Headline News (and Time or Newsweek)! What we are speaking of here, of engineering the consent of the masses to get them to love their own servitude, far transcends the corporate advertising and marketing techniques taught in MBA programs which were principally pioneered by Edward Bernays. These techniques of course also attempt to bypass the cognitive mind and target manipulating the subconscious mind directly to sell a product, a lifestyle, or an idea.

However, while most recognize that advertising is a multi-billion dollar business and accept it as a matter of for-profit corporate modernity, few are aware of an order of magnitude more resources being perversely spent by tax-exempt foundations, and the tax-payers (quite unbeknownst to themselves of course), on far more diabolical aspects of social engineering for “getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude!” (please once again refer to Aldous Huxley quoted at the very beginning to refresh your memory that I haven't made all this up)

Advertising Age’s 2008 Marketer of the Year award to President Obama for his election campaign of the “Change” mantra, and the Nobel committee's awarding him the 2009 Nobel Peace Prize testify to the empiricism of this observation. The American nation easily bought that fiction of “Change”, which was once again underscored by President Obama bombing Libya on the same day in 2011 that his predecessor bombed Iraq in 2003!

How did the American public buy that fiction? Once again, please refer back to the very beginning of this report and to Edward Bernays: “We are governed, our minds are molded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested, largely by men we have never heard of.”

Only a tiny handful in America did not buy that fiction. Among those handful who defied the wisdom of the entire American nation and their controlled dissent chiefs, see “Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy!” and “Mr. Obama – The Post Modern Coup”.

This ignorance and gullibility among the college-educated modern public is despite the fact that Western academia is at the forefront of the vast majority of behavior control and social engineering research studies, often funded by, or in collaboration with, Western intelligence, military, and private
tax-exempt foundations such as the Rockefeller Foundation, Carnegie Endowment, and Ford Foundation. The highly compartmentalized nature of such research ensures that few students and professors in on the ground breaking studies into human behavior can ever glean the bigger picture towards which they work so diligently to respectively earn their Ph.D. and research funding! Those few who do comprehend are invariably engaged with empire in the largely unhidden orchestration of social engineering. Or, are quickly recruited as agents and assets of the Mighty Wurlitzer.

Mighty Wurlitzer has no limit for its territory. The newsmedia, entertainment, academe, political parties, religious institutions, think-tanks, private foundations, government-funded organizations, and non-governmental organization (NGOs) alike, all house its agents and assets. And they each play their own tunes on their own specialized instruments under the supreme conduction of the Mighty Wurlitzer. The most notable among these is religion. Although the layman does not normally associate the pastor, rabbi, alim, mullah, swami, etc., with propaganda organs of state, but religion is in fact the most affected by the Mighty Wurlitzer – all throughout history. If we just reflect on the fact that more than three quarters of the world's people espouse some faith, the easiest cognitive infiltration and behavior control is directly through faith. The report: “Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization”, and the following challenge to a pious Muslim cleric who issued a 600-page Fatwa on Terrorism in the Service of Empire, indubitably illustrate this universal fact.

Today, fact and fiction have been merged to such an alarming degree with adept perception control that to be able to rationally separate them, to tell what is mere imagery of the Mighty Wurlitzer vs. the actual interconnected causal reality (cause and effect) of statecraft, can be as uncongenial to the cognitive mind as depicted by Plato for the prisoners bred in the underground cave since birth. The fact that the most brilliant minds remain unable to tell that 9/11 was an 'Operation Canned Goods' even ten years into its vile enactment while America descends into a police-state in presumed reaction to it, demonstrates that it is not the brain hardware which is malfunctioning, but the brain software!

The inculcation of belief in authority figures and “experts” has reached pandemic proportions in the West. Indoctrination is today perhaps the greatest public plague afflicting the mightiest superpower on earth, a direct consequence of “dumbing down” the public in the name of higher education to craft self-obsessed economic widgets, narrow specialists, and superficial generalists for the Technetronic Era. The continued success of the Mighty Wurlitzer in “persuading” the public to accept absurdities to get them 'United We Stand' singularly relies on, and feeds off, this aspect of modernity. See “The Ivy League Morons Syndrome”. Also see the deconstruction of Bernard de Mandeville's “The Fable of the Bees” in “The Seduction of Science and Technology”.

There is an undeniable categorical imperative before the Western peoples today. With the escalating belligerence towards Iran and the strategic dismantling of Pakistan palpably on the visible horizon, will the profoundly innocent of knowledge in the West allow themselves to be fooled once again into more criminal aggression upon civilian populations in the name of 'war on terrorism'. Or, will the people choose to not be (willingly) deceived by the Mighty Wurlitzer's many ruses at every level which continually justify the core axioms of “imperial mobilization” by way of deception? See Peoples' Guilt in “America’s Profound Shame”.

It is precisely to invoke that acquiescence to premeditated fait accompli that Zbigniew Brzezinski self-servingly quoted in the opening pages of his seminal 1970 book Between Two Ages – America's Role in the Technetronic Era, the following specious rationalization for the turmoil to be
purposely inflicted upon the 'lesser' humanity. The diabolically fabricated Hegelian Dialectic as the means to usher in one-world government, and attributing that manufactured zeitgeist to just the nature of transformation between two ages (for which nothing could be done about since the human misery which it entailed was natural and inevitable):

“Human life is reduced to real suffering, to hell, only when two ages, two cultures and religions overlap. . . . There are times when a whole generation is caught in this way between two ages, two modes of life, with the consequence that it loses all power to understand itself and has no standard, no security, no simple acquiescence.” --- HERMANN HESSE, Steppenwolf (lede quote by Zbigniew Brzezinski in Between Two Ages, pg. 7, book PDF).

As an establishmentarian hectoring hegemon, Brzezinski again invoked the same sort of self-serving rationalization to perpetuate American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives. He began his subsequent 1996 book The Grand Chessboard with the chauvinist sentence: “Hegemony is as old as mankind.” Thus, by the logic of it, making the rest of the book a recipe for the exercise of America's uniquely unchallenged global power, and “especially its capacity for military intimidation”, as the most natural human legacy for any supremacist nation to pursue. Nothing could, or ought, to be done about that predatory instinct for organized violence since “Hegemony is as old as mankind.” And therefore, Brzezinski naturally proffered in his chauvinist conclusion, “In brief, the U.S. Policy goals must be un-apologetically twofold: to perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer....” (Ibid., pg. 215; see Prisoners of the Cave, Chapter 1).

The report Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order, examines how that specious recipe book for America's predatory primacy, as syntactically sugared war-mongering as it is, is itself only half the truth. As the Jewish proverb suggests, 'a half truth is a full lie'. And as the Mighty Wurlitzer knows, in order to be effective, the lie is different at every level.

Contrary to Zbigniew Brzezinski's erudite prose which underlies the many compositions of the Mighty Wurlitzer, as the generation caught between two ages on the Grand Chessboard, we, the bearers of “Human life”, have neither lost the power to “understand”, nor lost the power to overturn the coercive “simple acquiescence” to artificially induced transition period of “real suffering”. That understanding, and overturning, is the raison d'être for this document.

When Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives to orchestrate a one-world government is the ingrained doctrine among the 'ubermensch' and their instruments, it is trivial to come up with any logic, any rationalism for even the most atrocious barbarism inflicted upon the 'untermenschen'. Convince the public of absurdities and one can get them to acquiesce to any atrocity – from outright murderous invasion of other nations to torturing their civilians in the most horrendous conditions, to getting their own citizens to accept giving up their civil liberties without a sigh. Nothing new here – 'standard 007 issue' of the hectoring hegemons! That same Mighty Wurlitzer and its paymasters however would be chewing on cyanide capsules in another situation. It is best not to forget that. Baboons wear no clothes – even when they steal the sunglasses.
Sociopathy of Hegemony is the First-Cause of all Evil

In conclusion, referring back to Zbigniew Brzezinski's ode to hegemony in The Grand Chessboard quoted earlier, the indispensability of the Mighty Wurlitzer's media machinery in circumventing all domestic impediments to the “sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power”, should now be self-evident and conclusive:

“Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. [Because] the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being.” The Grand Chessboard, pgs. 211, 44

Sociopathy of Hegemony is the first-cause of an enslaved public mind. A problem that is as old as hegemony, as old as mankind.

It is the root problem from which all the macro social evils of imperial and feudal societies naturally follow.

The sociopathy of hegemony, by definition, must extract all awareness and consciousness from the public mind to make it sheepish, gullible, malleable, and obedient to authority figures. The facile public mind is thus easily kept occupied in bread and circuses while living under the illusion of exercising personal control in free societies. Making the public mind is naturally the first art of all governance, both good and bad, otherwise no society can function cohesively, but it is necessarily pernicious when the illusion of freedom and free choice is to be maintained.

This mass behavior control technique spans the gamut from caliphate (rule in the name of God) to democracy (rule in the name of the people) --- for, unlike a blunt dictatorship which is often at the point of the bayonet, caliphate to democracy must depend on a measure of consent from the governed. The public must be made willing participants in their own enslavement. This report has conclusively demonstrated how that perversity is accomplished with the help of the Mighty Wurlitzer. What is tragically criminal about our modernity being rapidly driven towards one-world government, is that the entire world's public is being made to love its own servitude under the myriad tunes of the Mighty Wurlitzer.
Obvious Solution Space

Unless this corrupted global governance model is forcibly changed first, the Mighty Wurlitzer shall continue to exist just as it has always existed in every empire from time immemorial, and all Books of Wisdom and moral Platitudes from antiquity to modernity shall remain constricted, impotent! I.e., “mah'joor”, as the prescient warning in the Holy Qur'an avers in Surah Al-Furqa'an 25:30. See the report “Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization”.

Unless the sociopathy of hegemony is forcibly undone first by exposing its pernicious web of control, the public mind shall forever remain chained to its unturning neck in Plato's Cave. See the comprehensive book “The Poor Man's Guide to Modernity” for further unmasking of this web of control.
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Chapter 6

Operation Gladio Watching Over Pakistan

The Precedent of Yesteryears: Operation Gladio in Western Europe

The capitalization upon the Psychology of Fear to implement the 'War on Terrorism' du jour was best demonstrated in Operation Gladio of yesteryear when self-inflicted terror by NATO's Stay Behind Armies was used to keep the fear of Communism alive among the skeptical public in Western Europe. Watch the BBC Ch 02 Time Watch 3-part series on Operation Gladio below. Despite being a much sanitized version of manufactured terror to push a global political agenda in Western Europe, namely the fear of communism taking over, the BBC documentary is still very revealing as an ex post facto narrative. There is much that can be learnt from it to comprehend the often confusing current affairs of today before this epoch too passes on into future history as fait accompli.

History evidently repeats itself in spades. Part-3 of the Gladio documentary has the following statement quoted from the US Army's Top Secret Field Manual:

“Top Secret: There may be times when host country governments show passivity or indecision in the face of Communist subversion ...
US Army Intelligence must have the means of launching special operations which will convince host country governments and public opinion of the reality of the insurgent danger ...

US Army Intelligence should seek to penetrate the insurgency by means of agents of special assignments, with the task of forming special action groups among the most radical elements of the insurgency.”

Replacing “Communist subversion” with “Islamofascist terror” can be a revealing exercise. Daniele Ganser's 2005 book: *NATO's Secret Armies: Operation GLADIO and Terrorism in Western Europe*, further extends that revelation of the diabolical modus operandi of self-inflicted and manufactured terror. A careful study of the Operation Gladio video and Daniele Ganser's book can shed considerable motivational light upon the wanton terrorism madness of today. Especially upon the senseless terrorism spreading in the name of “insurgency” and blamed on the patsies wielding “Islamofascist terror” all along the “arc of crisis” and throughout the “Global zone of percolating violence”. That map of “percolating violence” was most Machiavellianly drawn by Zbigniew Brzezinski in his 1996 book: *The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives*. Students and scholars of security and terrorism studies who populate NGOs and thinktanks throughout the world, let alone the journalists and commentators who occupy the public mind, evidently remain unaware of this diabolical modus operandi of self-inflicted terror and its deft perception management by the Mighty Wurlitzer. The political and intellectual leaders of the victim nations worldwide bearing the full brunt of lethal terrorism, insurgency, and revolutions, evidently also remain clueless.

Without this knowledge and understanding of recent history of “imperial mobilization” and quest for “full spectrum dominance” when it is “uncongenial to democratic instincts” and must be carried out diabolically under the facade of “a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat”, the motivation for the perpetual War Agenda of today simply cannot be unlayered. And without perceptively comprehending and unlayering that motivation which comes fully wrapped in layers of deception, no effective self-defence against these angels of death can be instituted. Whether the national leaders, academic scholars, and security analysts et. al., are incompetent and poor students of modern history despite their high-falutin credentials, or are in on the grand design, each according to their narrow station and utility as useful idiot, is for the reader to adjudicate.
Gladio, Part 1: The Ringmasters BBC Timewatch broadcast on 10 June 1992
Gladio, Part 2: The Puppeteers BBC Timewatch broadcast on 17 June 1992

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yXavNe81XdQ]
Embassies and private military and civilian contractors, right alongside national intelligence agencies aided and abetted by those in local power, are engaged in orchestrating events and pointing fingers along standard party lines.

Every nation in the “global zone of percolating violence” is under this common siege, each according to the local conditions suitable to her own national genius. So Syria is being subjected to the so called lethal “rebels” fighting the military dictatorial government that has been in power for fifty years. These “rebels” are destroying the country from within in the name of “democracy” no differently than as if Syria was being brought “democracy” from the skies as witnessed in Iraq by the military might of United States and its Allies combined. While Pakistan, particular to its own genius of having a surplus of indoctrinated “jihadis” left over from the Afghan war, along with the infrastructure on the ground in both Afghanistan and Pakistan to continually manufacture and replenish them, is being subjected to the same lethal terrorists but by different names. Apart from seeding destabilization, controlled chaos, and ungovernability, they are principally designed to create worldwide fear of “Islamofascists” trying to take-over the “loose-nukes” of a failed but nuclear armed state.

As is empirically visible to all and sundry, Pakistan and Syria today have been made as ungovernable as Iraq and Afghanistan. The same results have been achieved much more cost-effectively, just like in its counterpart modus operandi, the cause célèbrè of Westernism, the “color revolution”, in selected countries to foment “democracy” according to the recipe of the so-called self-liberation crafted by (late) Dr. Gene Sharp. It is succinctly illustrated in his bold recipe book for manufacturing revolutions on demand, virtually anywhere, titled: From Dictatorship to Democracy. The methods employed for manufacturing terror and blaming it on patsies, or using patsies directly as in suicide bombings, are not any different than in Operation Gladio. Only tailor made variations!

Where is the hard evidence that insurgency is indeed fabricated ab initio, and can organically propagate itself much like weed in a fertile lawn? The matter is examined in Insurgency vs. Counter-Insurgency. The recent complaint from the Afghan government, as reported in the Washington Post of January 27, 2014, also underscores this grotesque reality:

“President Hamid Karzai has frequently lashed out at the U.S. military for causing civilian casualties in its raids. But behind the scenes, he has been building a far broader case against the Americans, suggesting that they may have aided or conducted shadowy insurgent-style attacks to undermine his government, according to senior Afghan officials. Karzai has formalized his suspicions with a list of dozens of attacks that he believes the U.S. government may have been involved in, according to one palace official. The list even includes the recent bomb and gun assault on a Lebanese restaurant in Kabul, one of the bloodiest acts targeting the international community in Afghanistan, the official said. The attack, which left 21 people dead, including three Americans, was almost universally attributed to the Taliban.”

All these nations will remain under siege. And all will eventually be reduced to utter chaos, with break-up of their infrastructures, institutions, and cultures, often followed by looting and theft of national treasures and resources no differently than has been orchestrated in Iraq and Afghanistan – the two Muslim nations which have borne the full brunt of fourth-generation warfare of direct military invasion and are now seething under global governance of supranational dictatorship wearing the guise
of “democracy”.

Unless all the targeted nations in the “global zone of percolating violence” get smart and become nationally courageous, a quality which is evidently sorely lacking among their respective peoples as they continue to harp the axioms and mantras handed to them, it is already fait accompli.

Their principal undoing is the tiny minority of uncle toms, house niggers, and mercenaries who have come to occupy not only all positions of political and military power in these countries, but also all positions of perception management.

These sell-outs, traitors to their own peoples, often educated in the best Western universities and war colleges, deliberately pretend to not see the trumpeting elephant in the bridal suite as they continue to churn the cauldron of “militant Islam”, “Taliban”, “Al-Qaeda”, “ISIS”, etceteras.

Get rid of the fifth columnists before Ali Baba can ever be countered. Do this before Ali Baba plusplus takes its place and cements world government!

Surely, some courageous peoples, with at least two neurons correctly firing in their brain, must exist in our nations. Their cowardly silence is what is responsible for their own destruction. Awakened, they can be a formidable counter-force to the traitors within.

**Operation Gladio Reading List**


Philip P. Willan, Puppetmasters: The Political Use of Terrorism in Italy, 2002; Timewatch:
Operation Gladio, BBC Ch 2, 3-part Documentary, June 1992, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vXavNe81XdQ

**Operation Manufactured Revolutions as Self-Liberation Reading List**


**Gene Sharp**, more books on subverting the power of the state (good state or bad state) through non-violent resistance: https://web.archive.org/web/20140117201158/http://genesharpinstitution.com/self-liberation/


Part-II

The Present: Operation Gladio in Pakistan

Preamble

A country at war and unable to defend itself because it is unwilling to identify that insurgents are just another military division of state actors themselves, the Surrogate Army, masquerading as non-state actor rebels autonomously waging fourth-gen warfare in a “self-fulfilling prophecy” for perpetual war --- That is the GREAT PRETENCE of our uncle toms and traitors! Surrogate Armies of empire deployed from Pakistan to Syria are lighting the “arc of crisis” in the “Global Zone of Percolating Violence” in the name of insurgency, and the educated lot is unable to call it for what it is.

“An arc of crisis stretches along the shores of the Indian Ocean, with fragile social and political structures in a region of vital importance to us threatened with fragmentation. The resulting political chaos could well be filled by elements hostile to our values and sympathetic to our adversaries.” — Zbigniew Brzezinski, IRAN: The Crescent of Crisis - TIME, Jan. 15, 1979

“To argue that America is already at war in the region with a wider Islamic threat, of which Iran is the epicenter, is to promote a self-fulfilling prophecy.” — Zbigniew Brzezinski, US Senate Foreign Relations committee, February 1, 2007

Why set the “arc of crisis” ablaze?

“It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist
democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization. .... More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.” — Zbigniew Brzezinski, The Grand Chessboard, 1996

“I am aware that there is still some who would question, or even justify the offense of 911. But let us be clear. Al Qaeda killed nearly 3000 people on that day. The victims were innocent men, women, and children from America and many other nations who had done nothing to harm anybody. And yet Al Qaeda chose to ruthlessly murder these people, claimed credit for the attack, and even now states their determination to kill on a massive scale. They have affiliates in many countries, to try to expand their reach. These are not opinions to be debated. These are facts to be dealt with.” — President Obama, Cairo Egypt, June 4th 2009

“No stages. This is total war. We are fighting a variety of enemies. There are lots of them out there. All this talk about first we are going to do Afghanistan, then we will do Iraq… this is entirely the wrong way to go about it. If we just let our vision of the world go forth, and we embrace it entirely and we don’t try to piece together clever diplomacy, but just wage a total war… our children will sing great songs about us years from now.” — Michael Ledeen, AEI, October 29, 2001 (via historycommons.org)

Imperial Surrogates and 'Terror Central' in Gladio Redux

This article continues my previous analysis of Operation Gladio of yesteryear as the template for perceptively understanding worldwide terror today being similarly inflicted from continent to continent by well-trained Western surrogates disguised in Islamofascist uniforms and blamed on Islamofascist patsies to similarly keep fueling World War IV. For the perceptive readers, my Report on The Mighty Wurlitzer is sufficient to comprehend both the why and how “militant Islam” has been made the dominant narrative of empire. In this article I focus on the “Terror Central”, Pakistan.
The latest spate of terror attack, this time in my own city Islamabad, on March 03, 2014, which took the life of a young lawyer, Fizza Malik, and ten others, and the responsibility for it claimed by yet another manufactured terror network fancifully labeled *Ahrarul Hind*, which is stated to have phoned in its message to Pakistan's largest English language news daily, Dawn, cannot be overcome by merely continuing on with the core narratives of empire. (The same terror template was again reinstantiated in the heart wrenching Easter Sunday bombing on *March 27, 2016*, in the ancient city of Lahore where I grew up and first studied engineering, killing at least 72 people, including women and children, and injuring more than 300 others. The larger than life global terror bombing template remains unchanged, be it in Pakistan where the casualty is often the highest, or in Europe from Paris to Brussels. Just different Gladio actors from some Taliban group to some ISIS group take their respective bows on their assigned stage, or are blamed.)

The Pakistani intellectual must rise to challenge, ab initio, the entire concept of terrorism beyond the accepted narratives spun by the Pakistani media and opinion-makers of all stripes. Those who give any damn about this nation at all, even an iota's worth, must carefully examine the behind the scenes motivation which fundamentally drive worldwide terrorism today, of which Pakistan is a crucial link. Those with any power to do so must forcefully wrest control of the narrative from the agents, assets, and *sayanim* of empire who deny Pakistan's public any conception of these diabolical behind the scene forces of the Hegelian Dialectic that drive global terrorism, before the country can ever be effective in defending itself from its own domestic terrorism. The roots of this terror reach far deeper than the antediluvian “militant Islam” projected as being on the rampage worldwide. It penetrates right into the very heart of empire and enables its “imperial mobilization” worldwide.

The sorry fact that the entire establishment of Pakistan, in toto, is self-servingly pitching that imperial narrative while continuing to permit her sovereign territories to be *droned* by the sole superpower, with occasional outbursts of bravado by her own military in equally futile military operations which mostly kill and displace her own innocent civilians, which in turn naturally seed
from amongst the angry and traumatized survivors a continual supply of new recruits into the same
terrorist cesspool of suicide bombers, bespeaks of the validity of the common man's rather empirical
opinion that childish fools and brigands and blackguards are ruling Pakistan.

However, I still hope that at least some sensible military leaders of Pakistan, and its other elites
with power to affect change and the will to pursue it, are reading this article.

Some of the following passages are excerpted from my 2009 essay: Reflections on Modernity.

My all time favorite physicist of Pakistan, the MIT literate prodigy, Dr. Pervez Hoodbhoy, the
scholar who contributed his own punditry to the mantra of Islamism in dialectical penmanship to
Daniel Pipes’ in erudite prose like “Between Imperialism and Islamism” and “The Threat From
Within”, once wrote me in response to my trying to get him to see that Bin Laden couldn’t have done 911 as WTC collapses looked like controlled demolition and that he, Hoodbhoy, was failing to connect
all the dots which clearly lead to puppetmasters, saying something to the effect: ~ “remember how our
ancestors connected the dots in the sky and saw all those shapes as their gods…”.

So henceforth, Pervez Hoodbhoy judiciously avoided connecting the dots lest he too be misled
into seeing things that aren’t there, while of course finding it infinitely pleasurable to continue echoing
the mantras and axioms of empire. Not only MIT trained scientists, but apparently almost all major
scientists and scholars of any IVY and other lofty pedigree are pregnant with imperial wisdom in that
way.

These brilliant scholars only see puppetshows, and painstakingly describe them, but never go
towards uncovering the forces which drive them. Since I have already described their salient
characteristics in detail before, let me just reproduce it here as its worthwhile to relate that to the topic
at hand:

● None of them betray that they possess long term memories, or any comprehension of
even recent history that can be contextualized to the present.

● None of them seem to have heard of ‘covert-ops’, ‘black-ops’, and ‘false-flag
operations’; none of them have read the shrewd analysis of the imperial thinkers
themselves of the necessity of real mobilizing pretexts such as the “New Pearl Harbor”
and “clear and present danger” as otherwise “Democracy is inimical to imperial
mobilization”.

● None of them apparently understand that covert-ops while they are operational and
active, are meant to be secretive and mendacious, which is why they are called ‘covert’, and that their unraveling necessitates perceptively seeing beyond what’s being
deliberately made manifest and what’s being insisted upon as ‘two plus two equals
five’ – for hard receipts for them will only be uncovered by historians through the
famed declassification process post faits accomplis (i.e., ex post facto). The visible
effects of these covert-ops and false-flag operations blamed on patsies is however
commented upon with most erudite sophistication.

● Thus all of these ‘astute’ thinkers, commentators, and media pundits none too
miraculously reach the same minimal and common conclusion space regardless of their
own starting thesis, or the circuitous routes taken in their analysis and speculations,
that at the bare minimum, the scourge of ‘fundamentalism’ and ‘militant Islam’ needs to be checked with renewed commitment in the global ‘war on terror’, or else no one in the ‘civilized world’ would remain safe from these antiquated Taliban style ‘evil jihadis’ and ‘al qaeeda’. That root of terror has now been successfully showcased as residing in Pakistan – the ‘Terror Central’!

● The root of terror is further determined to be funded by Saudi Arabia, which is itself visibly seen to be pushing its Salafi-Wahabi-Deobandi brand of antediluvian terror upon its surrounding nations, from Syria to Pakistan, in cahoots with the Talibain and Al-Qaeda; in fact, it is variously argued that Saudi Arabia is largely behind the resurgence of the latter “insurgency” throughout the world.

● It is indeed deemed a ‘clash of civilizations’, not of the East and the West titans, but of ‘radical antiquated militant Islam’ and the rest of civilized humanity! That “Today [even] if one could wipe America off the map of the world with a wet cloth, mullah-led fanaticism will not disappear”, as the distinguished native-informant par excellence, the world class physicist Pervez Hoodbhoy, has conclusively observed in his latest analysis of the matter in “Preventing More Lal Masjids”, and which he had earlier explored in great analytical depth in “The Threat From Within”. And none [too] surprisingly, echoing the same mantra of Pakistan becoming a ‘terrorist sanctuary’ [as] CNN a few days ago [which] aired the documentary by Nick Richardson “Pakistan – The Threat Within”. The unanimity of this conclusion space is scary to say the least – at least for us Pakistanis.

● It would appear that the world’s leading thinkers, journalists, newsmedia, scholars and leaders “united we stand” that Pakistan poses a serious threat to world peace! Not the hectoring hegemons who have cleverly utilized 911 “to goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers” in what only appears to be another ‘operation canned goods’ or the ‘Reichstag fire’, the much coveted ‘New Pearl Harbor’ to achieve the ‘transformation of [its] forces’ to achieve ‘full spectrum dominance’ over the planet and outerspace; but my wretched lands of the ancient Indus valley, and my wretched peoples – we are the world threat! – Saving Pakistan from Synthetic ‘Terror Central’ – Orchestration of ‘Lal Masjid’ – a precursor to ‘shock and awe”? July 13-23, 2007.

In the light of what is transpiring in Pakistan today, it is not at all prescient that Pervez Hoodbhoy should have written the following in his ode to Daniel Pipes: “The Threat From Within”. In response to it, I had been compelled to write to dissent-specialist Hoodbhoy that had there not been an author’s name in that document and someone had asked me to guess who had written it, I would have easily guessed Daniel Pipes. Take a look at the following passage for instance:

‘Is Radical Islam Inevitable?’

With the large and growing popular sentiment against Musharraf and his army, one cannot rule out the possibility that in the years ahead nuclear armed Pakistan may fall under a neo-Taliban style Salafi-Wahabi-Deobandi leadership
allied with conservative senior military leaders. If it does, then Pakistan could become the world’s most dangerous state. But, although possible, it is certainly not inevitable – countervailing forces work against this nightmare scenario.’


The crafty Machiavellian omissions present in that saintly expression of fear by uber physicist Pervez Hoodbhoy: “in the years ahead nuclear armed Pakistan may fall under a neo-Taliban style Salafi-Wahabi-Deobandi leadership allied with conservative senior military leaders. If it does, then Pakistan could become the world’s most dangerous state”, was once again most recently dismantled in Response to ‘Wahabization- Salafization of Pakistan and Muslim Ummah : Fighting the Terrorists But Supporting Their Ideology’. In that article in 2009, I had again explained to the Muslim public mind that:

● The Muslim mind grossly misperceives the difference between gardeners and weeds. Focussing on weeds, no matter how eruditely, is inconsequential if the diabolical gardeners who secretly water it without revealing their role (wit Brzezinski “God is on your side”), and often also manufacture it (wit Zionism, Islamism), are not dealt with first. Their ‘ubermensch’ mind will always cultivate or synthesize newer varieties as deemed necessary for “imperial mobilization”.

● The first order problem is not the weeds, which is only the effect. The first order problem is their first-cause, the gardeners. These “weeds” do not grow to this level of social and political penetration all by themselves.

● The weeds are “tickled” into existence, fertilized, nurtured and harvested by the gardeners for crafting a perpetual enemy to fight against, often times as red herrings.

● While the unsuspecting public attention remains focussed on the weeds’ attention-grabbing plays in the left-field, the real game of “imperial mobilization” goes on in the right-field.

● The wanton terror of these weeds is at times naturally occurring, by the very fact of their continually fertilized existence, and by the “doctrinal motivation” of “God is on your side” fed them; at other times it is created on demand, as targeted false-flag operations subsequently blamed on the weeds who often die in the process; and always kept in the public mind by the power of myth construction, of an elusive all powerful Ali Baba and his rapidly multiplying surrogates of “militant Islam” who not only threaten all of Westerndom, but the entire civilized world.

● The modern uniforms of this Western manufactured terror base are those of the Salafi-Wahabi-Deobandis-Taliban-Al-Qaeda et. al. motif. Only the label and color of uniform varies to give the illusion of multiplying weeds.

● These vile surrogates and their sub surrogates work for empire in the many countries of the world no differently than NATO's Stay Behind Armies once did in the many
nations of Western Europe; controlled through layers of compartmentalized handlers in cellularized semi-autonomous networks, and operating under the singular overarching directive to keep the threat of Islamofascism alive in the public mind.

- These terror cells may well be at liberty to do random terrorism just like in Operation Gladio of yesteryear; given leeway to settle petty scores among themselves in their competition to grow and prosper – say on cornering the opium, weapon, theology, or terror markets; even encouraged to serve the local political bosses and domestic intelligence apparatuses for the opportunities to corrupt and co-opt that it presents; while major catastrophic terror acts being centrally planned, coordinated, and rehearsed in compartmentalized teams for years to create the flawless executions seen in the many false-flag operations since 9/11, including 9/11.

- The fact that “Saudi petro dollars” are only in proxy service to their masters’ voice is no state-secret.

Cut off the gardeners' resupply lines to the weeds, and the weeds will not only die their own natural death with no intelligence apparatuses and networks left to feed them, but that fate can then, finally, also be accelerated by some judicious use of “Roundup”, the weed killer!

The Saudi government itself which is often fingered by the useful idiots as the terrorists' principal godfather, is merely the prostitute of empire that is kept in power by empire as their own front-man controlling Islam's holiest sites. It is in fact empire's own sacred authority over the Muslims. By having the Saudis control Mecca and Medina, and by destroying all of Islam's archaeological vestiges from existence in the name of expansion and development, Saudi Arabia can be made an easy target of anger for Muslim masses whenever empire is ready to eject that surrogate. The public anger can easily be channeled to their expendable dogs when the time comes.

It is my sad and sorry prediction that this artificial country called Saudi Arabia which was diabolically engineered out of the grand chessboard of the twentieth century by the British Empire in collusion with its Anglo-American allies, will similarly be bombed to smitherens like Iraq sometimes in this twenty-first century --- to once again save the Muslims of the world from the Saudi-Salafi-Wahab tyranny. Just as Iraq was bombed to save the Iraqis from Saddam's tyranny! The oil control will simply pass over to new surrogates.

That is the twisted reality of the matter. It is called Hegelian Dialectic. And it relies principally upon the control of the public mind to engineer their consent. That requires full control of the narrative. But of course professors of political science, brilliant physicists, religious pontiffs, distinguished politicians, think-tankers, and other assorted opinion-makers who participate in controlling that narrative as stooges and assets of empire have never heard of it. Who is a witting stooge and who isn't is besides the point --- all who participate in echoing the core lies of empire work for it.

Identify the real enemy, and its real agenda, and the beleaguered nations of the world, especially Pakistan, Iran, the Middle Eastern nations along the “arc of crisis” in the “global zone of percolating violence” as drawn by Zbigniew Brzezinski, will have the first real opportunity to wage a genuine war on terror against the principal prime-movers of global terror.
But keep deflecting attention to the surrogates and to their barbaric bulldogs, and the distorted narrative alone will ensure that the disease will continue to be improperly diagnosed.

And hence, the proposed global dystopic treatment, the Global War on Terror with drone attacks, police-states, and military invasions, as the World War IV replacement of the Cold War, will be kept going by public consent for a generation and preferably longer.

Is this rocket science?

Nay, it is only political science! The most difficult science of them all. It is neither taught in schools, nor in universities, and obviously never in the work-force. Its burden is made most onerous for those rare prophets who might dare to teach it to those few who would pay heed. George Bernard Shaw, the most insightful playwright that tiny Anglo-Saxon island of worldwide usurpation has ever produced, perceptively observed of its weight in the Preface of his 1921 book of plays, Back To Methuselah:

“In the hard fact being that we must not teach political science or citizenship at school. The schoolmaster who attempted it would soon find himself penniless in the streets without pupils, if not in the dock pleading to a pompously worded indictment for sedition against the exploiters. Our schools teach the morality of feudalism corrupted by commercialism, and hold up the military conqueror, the robber baron, and the profiteer, as models of the illustrious and the successful. In vain do the prophets who see through this imposture preach and teach a better gospel: the individuals whom they convert are doomed to pass away in a few years; and the new generations are dragged back in the schools to the morality of the fifteenth century, and think themselves Liberal when they are defending the ideas of Henry VII, and gentlemanly when they are opposing to them the ideas of Richard III. Thus the educated man is a greater nuisance than the uneducated one: indeed it is the inefficiency and sham of the educational side of our schools (to which, except under compulsion, children would not be sent by their parents at all if they did not act as prisons in which the immature are kept from worrying the mature) that save us from being dashed on the rocks of false doctrine instead of drifting down the midstream of mere ignorance. There is no way out through the schoolmaster.”

In our own 21st century too, as in the century of George Bernard Shaw, our well-intentioned men and women of science, arts and letters, the lauded savants, domain experts and Nobel laureates, all having advanced university degrees with “learned” and “expert” prominently stamped upon their forehead, display barely a nodding acquaintance with the subject of political science; and mostly only with its name.

The few who do inevitably go to work for the Superman of empire. Their only god has always been power, and Mephistopheles, not truth, not compassion, and not concern for the lesser humanity despite oft rehearsed public relations in “humanist” terms. These are the vulgar propagandists, the pied pipers whom the rest of the super-educated useful idiots of modernity, the well-intentioned “likha-parrha jahils”, hold sacred as if it was all revealed in the Sinai. Siding with the tales of the emperor is also always “legal” and mostly safe (so long as the emperor remains in power of course), often
brining with it the unbridled opportunities to profit, open doors, entry visas, social standing, the privilege to flatter one's ego, and the gratification to carry the white man's burden. All of which easily blur any remaining distinction between ideological mercenaries, and mere pimps and prostitutes, useful idiots, and Uncle Toms.

Once the false narratives are uttered, it comes to make not even two straws worth of difference who is a propagandist by malevolent creed, who by opportunism, who by ignorance, and who by psychological dispensation.

All these brilliant savants of modernity, both man and Superman, the perennial breed in every society who hold the pens, lead its rocket science, and make its public's mind, have been educated to the point that adding two plus two correctly is their most dreaded pons asinorum, taxing both their mind and their consciences so feverishly that it is never to be crossed publicly.

George Bernard Shaw couldn't have spoken a more truer half-sentence in his entire half-century of most perceptive and progressive writings than this one: “Thus the educated man is a greater nuisance than the uneducated one; indeed it is the inefficiency and sham of the educational side of our schools ... that save us from being dashed on the rocks of false doctrine instead of drifting down the midstream of mere ignorance.”

The remaining half-sentence this sanguine bedrock of moral sanity left unstated, perhaps only due to some polite consideration for the British empire then on the wane, and not due to being victim of the schoolmasters he lamented: the description of the empirical Superman who already exists. That brilliant Social Darwinian among the Neo-Darwinians, infested with extreme predatory instincts and extreme pathological evil, who replaced God after Nietzsche killed Him in the name of giving birth to the immanent Superman of the future! Instead, Shaw, just as immoderately as the Neo-Darwinians, misattributed the mayhem that he was witnessing in the aftermath of World War I: “At the present moment one half of Europe, having knocked the other half down, is trying to kick it to death, and may succeed: a procedure which is, logically, sound Neo-Darwinism.” to the rule of the infirm: “Government and exploitation become synonymous under such circumstances; and the world is finally ruled by the childish, the brigands, and the blackguards.” (Ibid.) That is perhaps only three-quarters truth, or half-truth, and not the whole truth.

The world was then, as it is today, from behind the scenes of the idiocy of political governments, ruled firmly by the rational and calculated primacy instincts of the most brilliant Superman who continually divine wars, and World Wars, now we are up to World War IV, as the means of crisis creation to piece-meal remake World Order in their own image.

In fact, the educated man controlling the narrative as the avant-garde in intellectual thought, not only remains a greater nuisance than the uneducated one, he also becomes the vile propagandist by adopting silence about truth that is to be protected from the masses. The British novelist and essayist Aldous Huxley most insightfully understood this about distortions fashioned by omissions and its practical utility in influencing public behavior. Huxley observed in the Preface of his 1931 book of fable, Brave New World, which depicted a eugenist dystopia controlled by ubermensch forces from behind the scenes that the rest of society remained unaware of:

'The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a
practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals.'

In a talk given to the students at the University of California, Berkeley, on the thirtieth anniversary of the publication of the *Brave New World*, Aldous Huxley observed of the very real and empirical role of these behind the scenes forces depicted in his fable, in channeling the public mind that is already most carefully primed by Shaw's schoolmaster for celebrating ignorance, into complete voluntary surrender to the *Superman*:

'You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.'

We see precisely that reality unfurl today. Shaw's educated childish fools impervious to political science, and brigands and blackguards, controlled by Huxley's oligarchic forces from behind the scenes, attempting to persuade the public mind to accept Alice in Wonderland absurdities as fact.

We even observe how willingly the world public traveling through American airports surrender themselves to grotesque indignities in physical searches to keep them safe from Ali Baba. The only truly global superpower in the history of earth's civilizations, which Zbigniew Brzezinski in his 1996 Mein Kampf, *The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives*, characterized as: “America is not only the first, as well as the only, truly global superpower, but it is also likely to be the very last.” (pg. 209), has been reduced to a police-state with virtually its own public's consent.

All on the mere fable that Ali Baba wielding some antediluvian and distorted dogmas from the stone-age propagandistically titled “militant Islam”, is a ubiquitous threat to their well-being! Pakistan is daily bombed by drones based on that very same fable. The world is rapidly being reduced to a global police-state based on that same fable.

Who is feeding this antediluvian insurgent army of Pakistan these putrid dogmas of “militant Islam” which fatally prescribe *“God is on your side”* to the insurgents who have been diabolically motivated and skillfully trained to fight for “their cause” with such verve that they can take on the entire state apparatus from Pakistan to Syria reducing them to banana republics.

We gain enormous insights by seeing who was behind it in the yesteryear to create a similar
American Surrogate Army, then disguised in the Afghani shalwar-kameez uniform, to initially wage covert warfare upon the then Soviet Union to provoke the Soviet Intervention, and subsequently openly with what was then known as “jihadi Islam”.

We are even made wiser if we can learn to relate the past to the present – for covert actually means to keep who's behind it secret while it is ongoing, and to Machiavellianly wrap it in “Plausible Deniability”. That term of deceit, signed into an Executive Order NSC 10/2, which directed the CIA upon its very founding to conduct “covert” rather than merely “psychological” operations, is explained in my essay: Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory.

Here is how covert warfare empirically works – it relies on deception and covert means. It was admitted to by empire's own grand architect, Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, ex post facto. The following is reproduced from my 2011 essay: Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization.

The Muslim mind, harboring mostly a facile view of its religion all throughout history, and remaining quite ignorant of its interplay with imperial matters in every epoch, was harvested once again in today's epoch for a primacy agenda with nothing but snake oil, the “jihadi Islam”. This time around by Zbigniew Brzezinski for “giving to the USSR its Vietnam War” in Afghanistan 1979-1988 by creating the “Mujahideens”. It is worth reproducing here Zbigniew Brzezinski's 1998 interview to French magazine Le Nouvel Observateur for his own confessions of the utility of promulgating facile world views to accomplish this:

'Question: The former director of the CIA, Robert Gates, stated in his memoirs [“From the Shadows”], that American intelligence services began to aid the Mujahadeen in Afghanistan 6 months before the Soviet intervention. In this period you were the national security adviser to President Carter. You therefore played a role in this affair. Is that correct?

Brzezinski: Yes. According to the official version of history, CIA aid to the Mujahadeen began during 1980, that is to say, after the Soviet army invaded Afghanistan, 24 Dec 1979. But the reality, secretly guarded until now, is completely otherwise Indeed, it was July 3, 1979 that President Carter signed the first directive for secret aid to the opponents of the pro-Soviet regime in Kabul. And that very day, I wrote a note to the president in which I explained to him that in my opinion this aid was going to induce a Soviet military intervention.

Question: Despite this risk, you were an advocate of this covert action. But perhaps you yourself desired this Soviet entry into war and looked to provoke it?
**Operation Gladio Watching Over Pakistan**

**Brzezinski:** It isn't quite that. We didn't push the Russians to intervene, but we knowingly increased the probability that they would.

**Question:** When the Soviets justified their intervention by asserting that they intended to fight against a secret involvement of the United States in Afghanistan, people didn't believe them. However, there was a basis of truth. You don't regret anything today?

**Brzezinski:** Regret what? That secret operation was an excellent idea. It had the effect of drawing the Russians into the Afghan trap and you want me to regret it? The day that the Soviets officially crossed the border, I wrote to President Carter. We now have the opportunity of giving to the USSR its Vietnam war. Indeed, for almost 10 years, Moscow had to carry on a war unsupportable by the government, a conflict that brought about the demoralization and finally the breakup of the Soviet empire.

**Question:** And neither do you regret having supported the Islamic fundamentalism, having given arms and advice to future terrorists?

**Brzezinski:** What is most important to the history of the world? The Taliban or the collapse of the Soviet empire? Some stirred-up Moslems or the liberation of Central Europe and the end of the cold war?

**Question:** Some stirred-up Moslems? But it has been said and repeated Islamic fundamentalism represents a world menace today.

**Brzezinski:** Nonsense! It is said that the West had a global policy in regard to Islam. That is stupid. There isn't a global Islam. Look at Islam in a rational manner and without demagoguery or emotion. It is the leading religion of the world with 1.5 billion followers. But what is there in common among Saudi Arabian fundamentalism, moderate Morocco, Pakistan militarism, Egyptian pro-Western or Central Asian secularism? Nothing more than what unites the Christian countries.' (source [Global Research](http://www.globalresearch.ca/))

It is also worth reproducing here how Brzezinski fashioned these “Some stirred-up Moslems”:

**News voice over 1980:** “US National Security Advisor Brzezinski flew to Pakistan to set about rallying resistance. He wanted to arm the Mujahideen without revealing America's role. On the Afghan border near the Khayber Pass, he urged the Soldiers of God to redouble their efforts”

**Brzezinski 1980:** “We know of their deep belief in God, and we are confident that their struggle will succeed. That land over there, is yours, you'll go back to it one day, because your fight will prevail, and you'll have your homes and your mosques back again; because your cause is right; **God is on your side.**”

[enthusiastic clapping by the future 'Mujahideens']
Brzezinski in the studio speaking to the interviewer: “The purpose of coordinating with the Pakistanis will be to make the Soviets bleed, for as much, as long, as possible.” (transcription is mine from the documentary video clip)

The mass ignorance and the facile world views that lay behind “their deep belief in god” among the Muslims was devilishly harvested with “god is on your side” to leave the Muslim civilization of Afghanistan into dust, and to set the stage for the future disintegration of Pakistan, with nothing but “Some stirred-up Moslems”!

It is the same fundamental lack of wherewithal today among the Muslims which is also enabling the same grandmasters to wage the perpetual ‘Global War on Terror’ upon the world as the age-old pretext for “imperial mobilization” on The Grand Chessboard. The enemy in yesteryear was crafted as Communism. The enemy today is crafted as Militant Islam. The new Surrogate Army wields “militant Islam” as its “doctrinal motivation” just as the previous Surrogate Army wielded “jihadi Islam” as its “doctrinal motivation” (see Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation).

That method of covert warfare through Surrogate Armies is now redeployed to light the “arc of crisis” in the “Global Zone of Percolating Violence” presaged by the diabolical Zbigniew Brzezinski in the map that he drew on page 53 of The Grand Chessboard.

To not recognize this method of warfare as such by the victim nations, especially Pakistan whose military intelligence apparatuses played the leading role in providing proxy services to the United States for waging that Surrogate Warfare upon the Soviet Union, can only mean that those in a position to recognize it for what it is, and those with an iota of intelligence to recognize it for what it is, and do not do so, are part and parcel of that Surrogate Army themselves! They are traitors to the nation.

How can one prove to the rest of the naïve public of what I so strenuously labor herein with every ounce of energy and intellect that I can muster, that the ‘Global War on Terror’ is a fairytale, a fiction, a Goebbillian big lie, and that “Bin Laden and his legions of Al-Qaeda and Taliban” are no more than “Ali Baba and Fourty Thieves”, fables and fodder, for the Imperial Surrogate Armies which march along the “arc of crisis” to empire's Geostategic Imperatives alone? I already answered that question most straightforwardly back in 2008, when Ali Baba had decided to invade Mumbai just as quickly as I had sensed it for 9/11 while, once again, the rest of the narrators of empire were all singing the well-rehearsed Alice in Wonderland song of “militant Islam”:

'The same way that any sound judge in a court room would – especially one like Robert Jackson at Nuremberg! Short of that – and it was a victor's justice, nothing more – well-intentioned peoples must endeavor to at least learn the truth stripped of its complex psyops, even if they remain afraid of articulating what they learn out loud. At least, they will knowingly not spew garbage, or create disinformation, or cultivate red herrings, as this 'champion of justice and humanity' recently did: “Rebuttal to Paul Craig Roberts': ‘Washington Arrogance has Fomented a Muslim Revolution’. There are tens of thousands of 'Paul Craig Roberts' in Pakistan, as elsewhere, beginning with Pakistan's own co-opted ruling elite, down to its 'enlightened-moderated' newsmedia, NGOs,
academics, industrialists, et. al. Paul Craig Roberts was gentle enough to only bestow upon me the honorific “you are a completely stupid fool, a disgrace to humanity” when I called him on his disinformation. I actually carry that invective with much pride and it is the only honor I have received thus far from the hectoring hegemons, apart from their visit to my home in 2003! Other benedictions may not be so merciful. For the mercenaries among them deliberately subverting our nations in perpetuating this fiction of 'war on terror' – be it America, Pakistan, India, and every other – there is the hangman's noose to look forward to.'

Those unable to call a spade a spade who continue to control the narrative by echoing the empire's core lies, are the first cause of evil from which all the rest of the evils of war naturally follow.

These vulgar propagandists are no ordinary evil-doers. They are supreme evil-doers differing from all other evil-doers in that their core lies contain within itself the accumulated evil of the whole.

Refer to a similar statement made by Robert Jackson at Nuremberg for defining the supreme international crime. It is these controllers outside Plato's cave who must be unmasked and neutered first. Without their control of the narrative, the public mind simply cannot be engineered to agree upon the synthetic manufactured reality of “militant Islam”.

**Fate of Vulgar Propagandists Who Make “Chootias” of their Nation**

Authors, media commentators, politicians and academics spinning reports of terrorism without tracing the source of terror back to the primary forces of imperial mobilization, forces which directly benefit from the existence of these “insurgents” who continually lend more and more shocking pretexts for empire's ongoing “counter-insurgency” operations both directly, and through their state surrogates worldwide, as the global war on terror, are just as guilty of propaganda warfare as Goebbels and his surrogates. Witness the ultimate fate of all propagandists who try to make “chootias” of a nation:

“Don't Be Afraid’

May 1, 1945, in the evening. The daughters and the son were already in bed, but were not asleep yet. "Don't be afraid," their mother said. "The doctor is going to give you a shot now, one that all children and soldiers are getting." She left the room, and Kunz injected the morphine, "first into the two older girls, then the boy and then the other girls." Each child received a dose of 0.5 cc. It "took eight to 10 minutes."

(contd. after the photographs and caption)
Caption The Goebbels family --- evidently, only defeat or victory adjudicates who is a propagandist and who isn't, not evidence. Hitler had asserted at the eve of World War II from his mountain top in Bavaria to his generals that he would 'give a propagandist reason for starting the war' and admonished them not to 'mind whether it was plausible or not'. 'The victor, he had told them, 'will not be asked afterward whether he told the truth or not. In starting and waging a war it is not the right that matters, but victory.' That unexpected "victory" of hubris eventually caught up with the propagandists. Source of quote is William Shirer's Rise and Fall of the Third Reich. (Caption by Zahir Ebrahim. Nazi propaganda chief Joseph Goebbels, wife Magda Goebbels, and their six children, photographs Source Der Spiegel)

When the children had fallen asleep, Magda Goebbels went into the room, the cyanide pills in her hand, as Kunz testified. She returned a few seconds later, weeping and distraught. "Doctor, I can't do it, you have to do it," she said.

The dentist replied: "I can't do it either." "Then get Dr. Stumpfegger," she said. Ludwig Stumpfegger, who was slightly younger than Kunz, had been one of SS chief Heinrich Himmler's personal doctors.
A week later, Russian coroners performed autopsies on the bodies of the children and concluded that their deaths had "occurred as a result of poisoning with cyanide compounds." The Goebbels themselves had committed suicide outside the bunker, and Stumpfegger died while attempting to break through the Russian lines in Berlin.” — Murder in Hitler's Bunker Who Really Poisoned the Goebbels Children? By Georg Bönisch 10/09/2009 Source Der Spiegel

Thank you.
Part-III

Benazir Bhutto Assassinated in Operation
Gladio Redux

Who Killed Benazir Bhutto? In her own words!

With deepest condolences to the grieving nation

February 04, 2008.

Almost every English daily in Pakistan has carried some coverage of Benazir Bhutto's posthumously published book in the UK. The Daily Times on February 05, 2008, in its editorial, wrote: 'A London newspaper has published excerpts from Ms Benazir Bhutto’s posthumous book “Reconciliation: Islam, Democracy and the West” in which she has revealed that Al Qaeda agents and some people from the Musharraf establishment were involved in the plot to kill her'. In Dawn's column of February 04, 2008, titled “Benazir’s ‘last testament’ gives hint about plot to kill her”, Benazir was also quoted, stating: “When I returned, I did not know whether I would live or die. I knew that the same elements of Pakistani society that had colluded to destroy my father, Zulfikar Ali Bhutto, and end democracy in Pakistan in 1977 were now arrayed against me for the same purpose exactly 30 years later.”

It is instructive to quote Brig. Tirmazi, the Director of ISI at the time of Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto, from his 1995 memoirs 'Profiles of Intelligence', where the erstwhile spymaster asserts the following:
The US Plays its Role.

According to some candid intelligence estimates, the US was fully involved in exploiting the situation by guiding and aiding the opposition political parties and ultimately getting him removed and hanged. (page 33)

It is generally believed that the US wanted ZAB to be removed from the political scene of Pakistan mainly on two counts. First, for the nuclear policy that he framed and tried to relentlessly pursue and secondly, for apprehensions that ZAB's was influencing the minds and policies of a number of Islamic and Third World countries. He posed a serious challenge to the US interests in the region. 'He had to be eliminated'.

On August 9, 1976, American Secretary of State, Dr. Henry Kissinger had a talk with ZAB, in Lahore, to dissuade him from acquiring Nuclear Reprocessing Plant from France for which the deal had already been finalized after Pakistan had agreed to all the safeguard requirements laid down by both France and the International Atomic Energy Agency. In their talks, Dr. Kissinger found ZAB inflexible and determined to go ahead with the acquisition of the Reprocessing Plant and make Pakistan a nuclear power. Incensed, he warned ZAB, "We will make a horrible example of you," adding menacingly, "When the railroad is coming, you get out of the way." But ZAB stood his ground. The US virtually mowed down ZAB. The political and economic crisis situation that started to develop during 1976-77 was fully exploited by the power broker USA. This is how they did it:-

- The Americans had successfully cultivated a number of well-placed bureaucrats, PPP stalwarts and ministers who wittingly or inadvertently served as the US agents of influence. American diplomats and CIA operators not only got most of the 'inside' information from these 'gentlemen' but also utilized their good offices to 'convey' whatever they wanted to feed or plant.

- During 1976-77 ZAB, probably working on the structured advice of these agents, continued to slip deeper and deeper into the political quagmire. They somehow convinced him that PPP must win and hold two third majority in the elections. When the agitation was moving from bad to worse, some of these men wanted weapons and chalked out plans to defeat MNA movement by force. ZAB also seriously started to consider this option.

- Some officers from USMAAG had also meaningful ingresses in the General Headquarters and not only gathered the thinking in the Services Headquarters but would also drop a 'suggestion' here and there.

- Some of the US diplomats had established direct contacts with a number of PNA leaders whom they continued to aid, support and give day-to-day line of action.
- As soon as the PNA movement gathered momentum, a large number of foreigners, particularly Americans descended on Pakistan in the garb of freelance journalists, reporters, observers, and photographers to cover the events. These men and women loaded with cameras, tape recorders and money seemed to have done their home-work well and were also being fed locally by invisible sources. They all seemed to know the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of every one who was any one in politics. It would be interesting to note that, (thanks to our days of slavery, we still have not overcome the 'white skin' phobia) most of our politicians were not only always available to these manipulators but would actually feel elated on getting a call from them and would pour out whatever they had in their minds. Every day, we in the ISI received a flood of telegrams that these journalists would send home and it was surprising to know who all they were speaking to and what information and political analysis they received.

- All that was being sent out by these so-called foreign journalists, who were actually CIA operators, was being beamed back on Pakistan as psychological warfare and propaganda aimed at building up a scenario of ZAB's fall.

- A number of diplomats were not only actively involved but also directed the operations against ZAB. Jan M. Gibney, Political Officer, US Consulate General, Lahore, duly assisted by a couple of Pakistanis, was extremely active and would frequently visit a number of politicians. It was Gibney who had telephoned and conveyed to Howard B. Schaffer, Chief of Political Affairs, US Embassy, Islamabad, that notorious sentence, “The party is over. Merchandise has gone.” ZAB had retorted by saying, “Party is not yet over. Elephant has long ears......”

- We had reports from Karachi that exchange rate of US Dollar in the open market had considerably dropped. The market was flooded with US Dollar currency notes and too many people were trying to exchange US Dollars for Pak Rupees. Who unloaded all those US currency notes in the market, is a question not difficult to answer.

- PL-480 funds had also been released by the US. Over-night some JI workers were seen with pockets full of money and spending lavishly. ...

... The US not only aided but also directed most of the PNA activities. On July 4, 1977, PNA negotiators Mufti Mahmood, Nawabzada Nasrullah Khan and Professor Gafoor had agreed on a joint formula with the PPP but suddenly Air Marshal (retired) Asghar Khan, Pir of Pigaro, Begum Nasim Wali Khan, Maulana Noorani and some other of their ilk declared that they did not endorse the agreement reached between the parties. On whose behalf these leaders sabotaged the reconciliation proceedings, is any body's guess.

Martial Law. On the night of July 4/5, 1977 General Zia-ul-Haq declared Martial Law. ...
The US Issues Black Warrant. When ZAB's trial for his alleged involvement in the murder of Nawab Muhammad Ahmad Khan was being heard in the Supreme Court, Islamabad, ... a telegraphic message from Washington [was received]. When decoded, it contained directions for the local US offices to ensure that ZAB was hanged. It also laid down instructions for arranging retirement and shifting of some of the general officers. (pages 33-38)

End Excerpt

The top spymaster of Pakistan further elaborated on the innate nature of the puppetmasters:

Begin Excerpt

Under the pretext of securing her own strategic interests, the United States of America tries to manipulate and dabble in the affairs of other nations. ...

Essentially, the US policy configurations vis-a-vis Pakistan have been that of a “master” and not of a “friend”. Oscillating between persuasion and coercion, the US tends to employ all available means to keep Pakistan under watch, pressure and diplomatic subjugation. At times, it has used the 'carrot and stick' of aid, and at others, lashed out threats of economic strangulation or declaring Pakistan a terrorist state.

Pakistan's geostrategic position, nuclear capability, agricultural and technological potential, as well as the Islamic ideological base are matters of vital concern to US policy makers. The US will not and cannot allow Pakistan to govern her own affairs. While Pakistan was still in its infancy, the American hawks pounced upon and hijacked our national interests by building up an exaggerated threat of the former Soviet Union's expansionist designs against Pakistan and their so called 'mad' drive to the warm waters. ...

In addition to the administrative, economic and political means, the US has always employed her secret terrorist arm, the CIA, in foreign lands. The CIA is known for adopting various covert and overt means to undermine the integrity of other countries for achieving their own objectives and furthering their 'nefarious' designs. It is equipped with colossal material resources and its men are canny and ruthless in executing their assignments. Their methods in madness include:

a) Espionage and penetration in all areas of interest like defence, foreign affairs, science/technology, developmental programmes, economy, education, and politics.

b) Propaganda, disinformation campaigns, subversion, terrorism, psy warfare, assassinations, blackmail, bribes, coercion and intimidation. ...
j) Install CIA agents as presidents, prime ministers, ministers, generals and senior advisors, etc.” (pages 20 – 22)

End Excerpt

And the most telling observation by Brig Tirmazi – which may perhaps also shed immediate investigative light on who killed Benazir Bhutto until it is eventually rerun as self-evident on the history channels in 20-50 years – is this straightforward question that he had the chutzpah to ask, ex post facto:

Begin Excerpt

... It would be fair to ask what we [the ISI] did to counter the US machinations? Well we did not, and could not do any thing beyond reporting to the highest authority in the country. There are reasons for our inaction:

One, neither the ISI nor the IB is designed or equipped to counter the machinations of a Super Power.

Two, an important factor is our own price. A lot has been said and written by some of our American friends about the price of a Pakistani. Dr. Andrew V. Corry, US Counsel General at Lahore, once said, “Price of a Pakistani oscillates between a free trip to the US and a bottle of whisky.” He may not be too far wrong. We did observe some highly placed Pakistanis selling their conscience, prestige, dignity and self-respect for a small price. (page 45)

End Excerpt

And to carry the chutzpah to its logical conclusion, the former leader of the ISI asks the exact same question – ever so blithely (after having willingly served the old 'masters') – that most plebeians in this 'wretched' nation are still asking twelve years later:

“The nation has the right to know and ask the leaders how far has the situation changed and have we developed enough muscles and guts to get rid of the old masters and their agents? That is the question.” (page 23)

So, the erstwhile daughter of the East, Benazir Bhutto, was indeed quite clairvoyant in asserting that the same killers will be after her – except that she (perhaps deliberately) misidentified the culprits, in both cases – for this spy confessional-memoir was written during her own time as Prime Minister, and could not have escaped her notice or the approval of the upper echelons of ISI and her own government before publication.

And, her un-clever misidentification, not surprisingly, clearly only benefits the real culprits themselves – crafted as she was by the Council on Foreign Relations. Witness this exchange on
August 15, 2007, in New York, where she was introduced by CFR's President, Richard Haass, with a very revealing welcoming statement:

“It is, for me, a personal pleasure to welcome back to the Council on Foreign Relations an old friend of mine and someone who is familiar to many of you in this room and knows well this organization, the former prime minister of Pakistan, Benazir Bhutto.”

It is here that Benazir Bhutto had asserted that she will tow the American line: “I seek to lead a democratic Pakistan which is free from the yoke of military dictatorship and that will cease to be a haven, the very petri dish of international terrorism” – thinking that that's what they wanted to hear. In her eagerness to come to power – or perhaps deliberately setup over the past three years to entice her to return to Pakistan – not realizing that it was to create a 'strategy of tension' in Pakistan as a pawn-move on the 'Grand Chessboard'.

It is unsurprising that the Pakistani newspapers continue to un-forensically repeat the same mantras as did the victim, Benazir Bhutto, and as is publicly the wont among the Pakistani ruling establishment. Perhaps deceit, forgetfulness, and co-optation today are deemed the better part of valor. Unless one has the unflinching courage to practice the late Charles de Gaulle's solution of “tous azimuths” (vigilant in all directions – “360 degrees”), that includes of friends and foes alike, this nation is at the end of its tethers.
Addendum Sept. 21, 2009: Former Chief of Army Staff's belated disclosure – Confirming Operation Gladio Redux

Blackwater involved in Bhutto and Hariri hits: former Pakistani army chief

Tehran Times Political Desk
Monday, September 14, 2009

TEHRAN - Pakistan’s former chief of army staff, General Mirza Aslam Beg (ret.), has said the U.S. private security company Blackwater was directly involved in the assassinations of former Pakistani prime minister Benazir Bhutto and former Lebanese prime minister Rafik Hariri.

Blackwater later changed its name and is now known as Xe.

General Beg recently told the Saudi Arabian daily Al Watan that former Pakistani president Pervez Musharraf had given Blackwater the green light to carry out terrorist operations in the cities of Islamabad, Rawalpindi, Peshawar, and Quetta.

General Beg, who was chief of army staff during Benazir Bhutto’s first administration, said U.S. officials always kept the presence of Blackwater in Pakistan secret because they were afraid of possible attacks on the U.S. Embassy and its consulates in Pakistan.

During an interview with a Pakistani TV network last Sunday, Beg claimed that the United States killed Benazir Bhutto.

Beg stated that the former Pakistani prime minister was killed in an international conspiracy because she had decided to back out of the deal through which she had returned to the country after nine years in exile.

Beg also said he believes that the former director general of Pakistan’s Inter Services Intelligence was not an accomplice in the conspiracy against Benazir Bhutto, although she did not trust him.

The retired Pakistani general also stated that Benazir Bhutto was a sharp politician but was not as prudent as her father.

On September 2, the U.S. ambassador to Islamabad, Anne W. Patterson, intervened with one of the largest newspaper groups in Pakistan, The News International, to force it to block a decade-old weekly column by Dr. Shireen Mazari scheduled for publication on September 3 in which Mazari, the former director of the Islamabad Institute of Strategic Studies, broke the story of Blackwater/Xe’s presence in Pakistan.
The management of The News International dismissed one of the country’s most prominent academics and journalists due to U.S. pressure. She joined the more independent daily The Nation last week as an editor.

On September 9, in her first column in The Nation, Dr. Mazari wrote:

“Now, even if one were to ignore the massive purchases of land by the U.S., the questionable manner in which the expansion of the U.S. Embassy is taking place and the threatening covert activities of the U.S. and its ‘partner in crime’ Blackwater; the unregistered comings and goings of U.S. personnel on chartered flights; we would still find it difficult to see the whole aid disbursement issue as anything other than a sign of U.S. gradual occupation. It is no wonder we have the term Af-Pak: Afghanistan they control through direct occupation loosely premised on a UN resolution; Pakistan they are occupying as a result of willingly ceded sovereignty by the past and present leadership.”

According to Al Watan, Washington even used Blackwater forces to protect its consulate in the city of Peshawar.

In addition, U.S. journalist Seymour Hersh has accused former U.S vice president Dick Cheney of being involved in the Hariri assassination.

He said Cheney was in charge of a secret team that was tasked with assassinating prominent political figures.

After the assassination of Rafik Hariri in 2005, the U.S. and a number of other countries pointed the finger at Syria, although conclusive evidence has never been presented proving Syrian involvement in the murder.

###

Please note the following blatant obviousness from Project Humanbeingsfirst before one is overly impressed by the Pakistani General belatedly breaking his silence only when fait accompli has irreversibly been seeded – and do watch for more 'cats performing hajj after having eaten 900 mice':

2. [The Final Waging Global War By Way of Deception Report May 23 2009](http://projecthumanbeingsfirst.org)
4. [Between Imperial Mobilization and Islamofascism: Pakistani Negroes to the rescue](http://projecthumanbeingsfirst.org)
5. [Prized Negroes of Pakistan](http://projecthumanbeingsfirst.org)
6. [Letter to Editor: Three Points of Agreement with the Distinguished](http://projecthumanbeingsfirst.org)
7. OPEN LETTER TO NAWAZ SHARIF – THE ROAD AHEAD March 17, 2009
8. OPEN LETTER TO AITZAZ AHSAN March 16, 2009
9. Letter to Editor: The Destruction of Pakistan by the CIA March 15, 2009
12. Profound Clairvoyance or Blatant Obviousness?
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Part-IV

Mighty Wurlitzer Spinning Operation

Gladio Fiction: 'Al-Qaeda assassinated Benazir Bhutto'

Perpetuating the fiction of Who Killed Benazir Bhutto

February 09, 2008.

The 'Hectoring Hegemons' insist on bringing to fruition the 'outlandishly plausible' pretext of how America will invade both Iran and Pakistan in 'self-defense'. [1]

In a report in Pakistan's largest English language daily, Dawn, on February 07, 2008, the following precious gem was noted: 'Defence officials told Congress on Wednesday that Al Qaeda is operating from havens in “under-governed regions” of Pakistan, which they said pose direct threats to Europe, the United States and the Pakistan government itself.' [2]

The report presciently continued, almost as if in sympathetic baby-step realization of the outlandish 'self-defense' scenario already laid out in this scribe's wakeup call Wakeup to the grotesque reality of the 'Grand Chessboard': 'Adm. Michael Mullen, chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, predicted in written testimony that the next attack on the United States probably would be launched by terrorists in that region.' [3]

Since the penning of this wakeup call to the Pakistani peoples, Benazir Bhutto was assassinated only a week later to further the strategy of tension' in Pakistan, while simultaneously lending more substance to the boogieman of 'al-qaeeda' which was immediately blamed by the Pakistan Government and its English language press the very next day (Dawn, Daily Times, Najam Sethi, December 29,
The Scotland Yard, which was asked to investigate the assassination, before they had even fully embarked on their forensic journey had already expressed their belief “Scotland Yard believes Al-Qaeda assassinated Benazir Bhutto” (Times Online, January 13, 2008). [5]

And on February 08, 2008, the NY Times reported that the Scotland Yard has conclusively offered the JFK vintage 'lone gunman' theory, pinning the blame on the ubiquitous cavemen of 'al-qaeeda' sitting with their laptops and Klashnikovs in the Hindu Kush monitoring, controlling, and threatening the world's foremost armed to the teeth superpower and its allies. [6]

In support of the Scotland Yard's conclusions, Pakistan immediately arrested “Two very important terrorists” as noted by the Dawn. The Daily Times too echoed: “It is a major breakthrough. These two men were involved in the assassination and they are from a militant group which is relatively new,” the official said. “Their tentacles are from the tribal region and Baitullah Mehsud”.

The United States immediately, and enthusiastically, endorsed the findings: “In terms of the investigation itself by Scotland Yard, we view this as a credible investigation by independent outside experts ... we don’t have any reason why we would question the validity of their assessment”, as reported by the Nation. [8]

The International Herald Tribune however, perhaps more interested in journalism than in supporting “imperial mobilization”, candidly observed: “The [Scotland Yard] findings support the Pakistani government's explanation of Bhutto's assassination in December, an account that had been greeted with disbelief by Bhutto's supporters, other Pakistanis and medical experts.” [9]

The following was added by IHT for additional forensic clarity into the matter (echoing the sentiments of the NY Times): “It is unclear how the Scotland Yard investigators reached such conclusive findings absent autopsy results or other potentially important evidence that was washed away by cleanup crews in the immediate aftermath of the blast.”. [10]

Interestingly, most mainstream English newspapers the world over carried similar observations spanning the gamut of endorsement to mild skepticism. But none that I scanned, ventured as far as to forensically equate the assassination of Benazir Bhutto with 'Synthetic Terror' in order to fabricate pretexts for further “imperial mobilization” on the 'Grand Chessboard'! The grotesquely trumpeting elephant dancing on the newlywed's bed once again famously ignored.

Manufacturing 'consent' is in full swing worldwide, but mainly targeted at the sole superpower's "populist democracy" which is strongly “imimical to imperial mobilization ... except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat ... [and sustained] challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being”, as Zbigniew Brzezinski shrewdly puts it.

So, the ruling elite of the world, once again, appear to be realigned in perpetuating the scare of the imminent destruction of Western civilization at the hands of the 'Islamofascists' – calculatingly constructed by Bernard Lewis in CFR's influential 'Foreign Affairs' in 1990 on the eve of the emergence of the victorious sole superpower on the 'Grand Chessboard', as the “irrational ... roots of Muslim rage ... [which] is no less than a clash of civilizations” – just as it was in 2003 with the mantra of 'WMD's' in the hands of 'rogue actors'. [11]

Between some 'red' and 'orange' alert, Daniel Pipes ratcheted that up to “Not a Clash of
Civilizations, It's a Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians” to make up for the increasing lackadaisical attitude among the skeptical populist democracies as “so many people in the West still don't believe that they are at war [with] .. radical Islam”! [12]

Only this time, the boogieman's nom de guerre is “Baitullah Mehsud”, and it resides in a cave in the Hindu Kush with OBL instead of in a desert palace in Mesopotamia. And it is likely to steal a “loose nuke” or two from Pakistan which the Iranians will dutifully use to destroy the sanity of the West with, leading to their (Iran's) instant decimation in “defensive US military action” and the much longed for 'de-nuking' of Pakistan by NATO and UN forces already staged at its borders.

The next stop, all too obviously, is the increasing crescendo of imminent threats to Western civilization, followed by a real 'terrorist' act as narrated by Zbigniew Brzezinski a year ago before the US. Senate Foreign Relations Committee (February 01, 2007, quoted in the wakeup call), and as ominously prognosticated by Adm. Mullen before the US. Congress a year later (February 06, 2008, noted above). And rather unsurprisingly, the world's foremost investigative bodies will entirely reach the conclusion-space already outlined in the wakeup call no differently from the Scotland Yard today.

A new 'coalition of the willing' – destination Tehran and Islamabad next!

And almost as if on cue to the above prognostications penned on February 09, 2008, Fox News reported on Wednesday, February 13, 2008, in its story headlined “Bush Pushes for House Action on Eavesdropping Rules After Senate Passage” the following statement by President George W. Bush: “terrorists are planning new attacks on our country ... that will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison.” [13]

Yes indeed it will, because this time, the new “new pearl harbor” is expected to be a nuclear terrorist act. One for which, the United States Government has been diligently preparing for with simulated drills and workshops like the “Day After”. [14] These drills can – like all other surprise 9/11 and 7/7 days of infamy that had exactly similar drills which miraculously became live [15] – once again become live 'terrorist' events and lead to massive “imperial mobilization” against all remaining nations in the 'arc of crisis' already identified on the 'Grand Chessboard'.

It ain't rocket science, unless one is shilling for empire. Between 'manufacturing consent' to harness popular public opinion and 'manufacturing dissent' to distract the protests with red herrings, all agencies, global newsmedia, and various and sundry vassals of 'empire' are assiduously crafting the “high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment” necessary for “imperial mobilization” of Western “populist democracies” which are no longer “infected by imperialist emotions ...a quest for national glory, 'the white man's burden', 'la mission civilisatrice', ...”. (Brzezinski)

It is perhaps also why, no English language daily in Pakistan, or the New York Times, has bothered to publish this humble scribe's 'brilliant' forewarnings – it seems that they don't like real forensic clairvoyance, or uncomfortable deconstruction of reality when 'Alice' is wide awake! Only hard ex post facto 'forensic' data, as gleaned by the Scotland Yard, and as endorsed by the official organs and vassals of the sole superpower, before they determine “all the news that's fit to print” to the incessant beat of the Mad Hatter's imperial war song!

What's it gonna take for the Pakistan's ruling establishment, and its press (never mind the world or its press) to wakeup to the grotesque reality of simple arithmetic of '2 + 2 = 4' on the 'Grand Chessboard' and to stop rehearsing the asinine mantra of 'war on terror' against the fabricated 'al
qaedaa’? An ex post facto narrative in ten-twenty years? A multi-million dollar book deal after the nation's 'descent into oblivion' is complete? Or the 'morning after'? Post fait accompli, i.e., ex post facto, of yet another 'new pearl harbor', there is no 'red pill' – only victims! And further exaggerated wet dreams of “full spectrum dominance” of 'baboons' in suits and ties passing off as hominids! [16] 

Stand-up today as the genuine hominid and unmask the impostors, or live in the ruins of humanity tomorrow as pet-zombies of the 'baboons' – for only the early-dead would have mercifully remained human! The world, the majority hominids inhabiting it, and its co-opted rulers in developing nations would do well to heed the clairvoyance of Bernard Lewis in 'Crisis of Islam': “If the fundamentalists are correct in their calculations and succeed in their war, then a dark future awaits the world, especially the part of it that embraces Islam” and take defensive-note of the ominously prescient “Global Zone of Percolating Violence” identified by Zbigniew Brzezinski in the 'Grand Chessboard'.

For, it isn't' only the children of the 'lesser god' in the South who are in danger of losing their tabula rasa, but the privileged Northern civilizations are likely to descend into police-states and martial law with another 911 transpiring in any Western nation. All the laws are already on the books to create 'legal dictatorships' in the West to protect the nice Judeo-Christian peoples from the evil 'Islamofascists'!

What a model of civilizational-progress in the modernity du jour whereby the human species is in a steep transformative 'descent into oblivion' due to a handful of parasites 'who wage wars by way of deception', cleverly making patsies even of their own victims. That is the pathetic tragedy of Benazir Bhutto.

The vast majority, 99.9%, have been silenced into apathetically “looking from the side”, [17] while their respective co-opted local ruling elite control all sources of power to become the major cheerleaders and circus clowns of the 'hectoring hegemons' – uncannily forgetting that six feet under, the hungry maggots can't tell the difference!

Footnotes


[16] Zahir Ebrahim, Prisoners of the Cave, Chapter 1, 2003, 

[17] John Pilger, Looking to the side, from Belsen to Gaza, 18 Jan 2007, 
Part-V

Mighty Wurlitzer Spinning Operation Gladio
Redux Over Iran From Pakistani Soil

The synthesis and propagation of 'doctrinal motivation' for 'war on terror'

Letter to Editor: Iran, the Associated Press, and Covert-War of 'Imperial Mobilization'

April 13, 2008.

In the Associated Press wire story of April 12, 2008 (cached) dispatched from Shiraz Iran by AP writer Ali Akbar Dareini, headlined “Bomb Kills 9 at Mosque in Southern Iran” and echoed across the United States from Fredericksburg to Oregon and on all the internet news reflectors from AOL to Yahoo reaching throughout the globe, and subsequently, within a few hours, on April 13, 2008, re-titled “Iran dismisses sabotage in mosque blast” by another AP writer Nasser Karimi, there is a crucial omission in both that will be entirely lost in the memoryless United States of America unless specifically pointed out.

The first AP report of the bomb explosion, after describing the fast breaking event, editorialized as follows to supposedly give a larger overarching context to the reader:

'Bombings are unusual in Shiraz, a major draw for foreign tourists who come to
see the ruins of nearby Persepolis, an ancient Persian kingdom that was a center for ceremonies and worship. No one claimed responsibility for the attack.

Iran has faced several ethnic and religious insurgencies that have been behind rare but deadly attacks in recent years — though none have amounted to a serious threat to the government.

In February 2007, a car loaded with explosives blew up near a bus carrying members of Iran's Revolutionary Guard, killing 11 of them and wounding more than 30 in southeastern Iran. A Sunni militant group that has been blamed for past attacks on Iranian troops claimed responsibility.

Some believe the group, known as Jundallah, is linked to al-Qaida. Jundallah, or God's Brigade, has waged a low-level insurgency in southeastern Iran.

Besides the violence in the southeast, ethnic Arab Sunni militants have been blamed for bombings in the western city of Ahvaz near the border of Iraq — including blasts in 2006 that killed nine people. ...

The fundamentalist Wahabi strain of Islam considers Shiites heretics and Iran is dominated by Shiite Muslims. Wahabis are suspected of having influence over some militants waging the insurgency in Iraq.'

Please note that it appears quite comprehensive in its gamut – pretty much accounting for everything, from “insurgency” to “fundamentalism” to “terrorism” to “Jundallah” to the Muslim on Muslim violence bred from “radical Islamism” (although that last semantically loaded terminology is itself not employed). One might say it is as comprehensive in its attempt to capture the significant and essential contexts in a quick birds eye view as is possible in the limited word-space of urgent fast-breaking wire news. So what's the crucial omission?

Before analyzing this further, just for completeness, the second AP report of today, which mainly only offered the latest update on the calamity without editorializing any additional contexts, noted the following:

' Iranian officials on Sunday ruled out an attack as the cause of an explosion that killed 11 people inside a mosque in the southern city of Shiraz. ... The police chief of the southern Fars Province, Gen. Ali Moayyedi, said he “rejects” the possibility of an intentional bombing and “any sort of insurgency” in the blast. ... Iranian Foreign Ministry spokesman Mohammad Ali Hosseini said Sunday that no group has claimed responsibility for the explosion.'

Since this only transpired less than 24-hours ago, more is sure to be reported. The subject here is only the editorializing in the first AP report and what's missing in it.

While constructing a comprehensive forensic analysis and rational solution-space for the urgent problem of the apparent full spectrum destabilization of Pakistan at the time of Benazir Bhutto's grotesque assassination that was being blamed upon the ubiquitous 'Al Qaeda', Project Humanbeingsfirst™ had compiled the following short-list of news reports on what was publicly
known at the time about the systematic destabilization of its next-door neighbor, the equally beleaguered Iran.

In the context of the 'trumpeting elephant in the bedroom' omission in the AP news report quoted above, it appears useful to rehearse a few sentences from that short-list here.

**Jundallah and American covert-ops inside Iran, as publicly reported; a snapshot:**


'Gardiner: It’s been given. In fact, we’ve probably been executing military operations inside Iran for at least 18 months. The evidence is overwhelming'

[2] March 8, 2007  *CIA funds terrorist operations against Iran*

'Responsibility for the attack was claimed by Jundallah (Party of God), a Pakistan-based Baluchi separatist group. ... The February 26 London Sunday Telegraph reported: “America is secretly funding militant ethnic separatist groups in Iran … The operations are controversial because they involve dealing with movements that resort to terrorist methods in pursuit of their grievances against the Iranian regime …

“Funding for their separatist causes comes directly from the CIA’s classified budget but is now ‘no great secret’, according to one former high-ranking CIA official in Washington who spoke anonymously to the Sunday Telegraph.

“His claims were backed by Fred Burton, a former US state department counter-terrorism agent, who said: ‘The latest attacks inside Iran fall in line with US efforts to supply and train Iran’s ethnic minorities to destabilise the Iranian regime.’ ...'

In an article in the Washington Quarterly magazine’s first issue for 2007, John Bradley, the former managing editor of the Saudi Arabia-based Arab News, wrote that Baluchistan province is “particularly crucial for Iran’s national security as it borders Sunni Pakistan and US-occupied Afghanistan … In fact, the Sunni Balochi resistance could prove valuable to Western intelligence agencies with an interest in destabilizing the
hardline regime in Tehran …

"The Pentagon”, Bradely wrote, “is especially interested in whether Iran is prone to a violent fragmentation along the same kinds of faultlines that are splitting Iraq and that helped to tear apart the Soviet Union with the collapse of communism.”


'Much attention has been given to the Bush Administration’s preparations for possible war against Iran as well as its drive to impose sanctions. Meanwhile, a less noticed policy has been unfolding, one that may in time prove to have grave consequences for the region. There is a covert war underway in Iran, still in its infancy, but with disturbing signs of impending escalation. In the shadowy world of guerrilla operations, the full extent of involvement by the Bush Administration has yet to be revealed, but enough is known to paint a disturbing picture.'


'A Pakistani tribal militant group responsible for a series of deadly guerrilla raids inside Iran has been secretly encouraged and advised by American officials since 2005, U.S. and Pakistani intelligence sources tell ABC News.

The group, called Jundullah, is made up of members of the Baluchi tribe and operates out of the Baluchistan province in Pakistan, just across the border from Iran.

It has taken responsibility for the deaths and kidnapings of more than a dozen Iranian soldiers and officials.'

[5] April 04. 2007 CIA hires terrorist group to operate inside Iran

'New York, April 4, IRNA - Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) has hired a Pakistani terrorist group that has carried out a series of deadly terrorist attacks inside Iran, ABC News has reported on Wednesday.

The group, members of the Baluchi tribe, operates from Pakistan's province of Baluchestan, just across the border from Iran.

ABC cited US government sources it did not identify as saying the US has maintained close ties to its leader, Abdel-Malik Regi, since 2005.

The group, called Jundullah, has carried out raids, resulting in the deaths or kidnapping of Iranian ordinary people as well as soldiers and officials.'
[6] April 05, 2007 US backing 'secret war' against Iran?

'An analysis by Strafor, a global intelligence consulting firm based in Texas, noting that Jundullah has stepped up its attacks recently, says that the US could be using Jundullah as a “poking device” against Iran.

U.S. support for Jundullah fits into the larger picture of U.S.-Iranian negotiations over Iraq. Iran has made painfully clear that it has -- and can use -- a variety of militant assets throughout the region to pressure Washington to meets its demands in Iraq. At the same time, the United States has an interest in demonstrating that it has friends among Iran's minority groups to gather intelligence, stir up public unrest and distract the clerical regime from its Iraqi agenda.'

[7] April 10, 2007 Active CIA Terrorist Cells operate inside Iran

'The past year witnessed a series of attacks targeting ethnic minority border areas of Iran. Relentless violence, including bombing and assassination campaigns against soldiers and government officials, resulted in a chaotic situation in the country that left a negative impact on the image of the current government. Aid to separatists and radical groups comes directly from the CIA's classified budget but is now no great secret.'


'From widespread mistranslation on the State Department's Persian website to terrorists appearing on Voice of America as “political activists,” these flaws are keeping U.S. government broadcasting from effectively reaching the Iranian people.'


'A report broadcast on Iranian TV last Sunday said Iranian authorities had captured 10 men crossing the border with $500,000 in cash along with “maps of sensitive areas” and “modern spy equipment.” A senior Pakistani official told ABCNews.com the 10 men were members of Jundullah. The leader of the Jundullah group, according to the Pakistani official, has been recruiting and training “hundreds of men” for “unspecified missions” across the border in Iran.'
[10] May 24, 2007 More Bad Intelligence on Iran and Iraq

'Time magazine: Both cases show how the Administration is still trying to manipulate intelligence to further its strategic goals. ABC says that Deputy National Security Advisor Elliot Abrams is behind the covert action against Iran.'


'TEHRAN, June 9: Iran has handed an official protest to the United Nations accusing the United States of supporting a militant group and creating spy networks inside the country, media reported on Saturday. The protest, addressed to UN Secretary General Ban Ki-moon, accuses the United States of supporting Jundallah, an outlawed armed group blamed for deadly attacks in Iran's southeastern Sistan-Baluchestan province.'


'Some reports indicate that U.S. financial support is in fact aimed at regime change and goes beyond the allocated $75 million. In May, ABC News reported that the CIA had hired Jundallah, a Pakistan-backed Baluchi group, to carry out sabotage operations inside Iran. (Later, ABC reported that President Bush had in fact authorized a covert CIA program against the regime.) ... In addition to public and covert funding of Iranian opposition groups, the United States also supports individual dissidents through various means.'


'ABC News (US) reports that the Americans claim they are not providing direct funding to Jundallah (although they admit its leadership has met regularly with US officials), but that they find the alliance convenient for various reasons:

A senior U.S. government official said groups such as Jundullah have been helpful in tracking al Qaeda figures and that it was appropriate for the U.S. to deal with such groups in that context. Some former CIA officers [however] say the arrangement is reminiscent of how the U.S. government used proxy armies, funded by other countries including Saudi Arabia, to destabilize the government of Nicaragua in the 1980s.'
TEHRAN, July 22 [2007] — Eleven members of the Revolutionary Guards have been killed in clashes with drug smugglers in southeast Iran near the border with Pakistan, a state-run news agency reported this weekend. Nine others were wounded. The clashes occurred Thursday in a mountainous area in southeastern Sistan and Baluchestan Province after drug smugglers ambushed a group of Revolutionary Guards, the Fars News Agency reported. The drug smugglers left without casualties, the news agency said. The province has also been a major gateway for drug traffickers from Pakistan and Afghanistan. Iran has dug a trench at the border. But it has said that more than 3,300 security personnel have died since 1979 in the fighting with drug smugglers. The region is also home to a large ethnic group of Baluchis who are Sunni Muslims. A majority of Iranians are Shiites. The Revolutionary Guards have been the target of attacks before. In February, a car loaded with explosives blew up in front of a bus carrying Revolutionary Guards, killing 11 and wounding 34. Iran has linked insecurity in the region to a militant Sunni group known as Jundallah, led by Abdolmalek Rigi. The authorities have said Mr. Rigi has links to Al Qaeda and is a drug trafficker.'

As should be rather self-evident from this snapshot that spans almost a year worth of reporting, the reality when 'Alice' is wide awake is quite otherwise from that projected by the Associated Press correspondent from the 'unbirthday party' table. This coverage of the 'Mad Hatter's' rampage is also consistent with the last of the afore-cited items from the New York Times which refined the wonderful tale at the 'unbirthday party' to additionally include “drug smugglers” who have the fire-power to overwhelm and kill eleven members of an Armed Forces service without suffering any casualties. How the Fars News Agency knew they were "drug smugglers", since all “left without casualties”, is of course irrelevant to when 'Alice' is awake.

The obvious journalistic point to make here is that such a profoundly blatant omission of the most significant context, 'the highest order bit' so to speak, of the 'empire' itself secretly cultivating the 'pirates' in order to continually wage a war of aggression upon other nations through various superpower instruments of coercion, is an excellent example of manufacturing consent in the West for its global “War on Terror” against all shades of 'Islamist terrorists' as being real and un-fabricated.

The un-subtle purpose is to continually lend substance, at every opportunity, to the “doctrinal motivation and intellectual commitment” du jour being employed for “imperial mobilization”.

The ubiquitous Associated Press wire-news service which seeds most of the world's news headlines, is dutifully playing its instrumental role in this process of aiding and abetting in perpetuating doctrinal mythologies. With of course, help in no small measure, from the apparent endless supply of 'native informants' on AP's worldwide payrolls.

In the AP report under scrutiny, all immanent possibilities and speculations except of course the grotesquely real one, were surveyed by the knowledgeable AP writer to ostensibly provide a useful
overarching context for the hideous bomb explosion that killed 9 innocent civilians and injured over 100 others inside the very sanctity of their own place of worship. How horrible – who could have possibly done it?

Only the ‘radical Islamists’ of course, fighting among themselves like barbarians! Since it has indeed been positively shocking how “so many people in the West still don't believe that they are at war [with] .. radical Islam”, as America's favored son Daniel Pipes had lamented, perhaps now the West, and especially the American public, will believe that indeed, it's “not a Clash of Civilizations, It's a Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians”!

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
Operation Gladio Watching Over Pakistan
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Chapter 7

Insurgency vs. Counter-Insurgency

Manufacturing Insurgency

California, October 26, 2010

The BBC News reported on October 06, 2010 that 'Nato contractors 'attacking own vehicles' in Pakistan'. It stated in its carefully worded “limited hangout”:

'Nato supply convoys travelling through Pakistan to Afghanistan have regularly come under attack in the past, but following Pakistan's decision to block their route through the Khyber Pass, they now face an even bigger security threat. Hundreds of tankers and trucks have been left stranded on highways and depots across Pakistan, with little or no security. Taliban militants have regularly been targeting the convoys, even when they are heavily protected. But many believe it is not just the militants who pose a security threat to the convoys. The owners of oil tankers being used to supply fuel to Nato in Afghanistan say some of the attacks on their convoys are suspicious. They say there is evidence to suggest that bombs have been planted in many of vehicles by the “Nato contractors” – individuals or companies who have been contracted by Nato to supply fuel and goods to forces in Afghanistan.'

With allegation-phrases like “many believe”, “they say”, without lending any forensic or
critical factual analysis as to why 'Nato contractors' who work directly for the Pentagon and NATO, might be doing such a thing, the belated disclosure feeds rumors that are already ripe among the 'untermensch' victims that there is something terribly wrong with this 'War on Terror' whose principal victims since its inception continue to be innocent civilians. Why would the occupation forces want to increase the discontent of the already beleaguered people by such rumor-mongering reportage, and by the systematic controlled leaks that we see cropping up now and then which convey, from its own official records, American barbarianism upon Muslim civilians? Or more aptly framed in Michael Hayden's vernacular: “We use military operations to excite the enemy, prompting him to respond. In that response we learn so much”, what is the purpose of this “tickling” reportage?

Our newsmedia, both mainstream print and television, and almost all of alternate media largely playing controlled dissent worldwide, are unwilling to inform the public of the military significance of “insurgency” and “counter-insurgency”. The simpleton mind of the public, these lords of public relations must feel in sympathy with the Report from Iron Mountain, remains “unexposed to the exigencies of higher political or military responsibility” and cannot therefore appreciate the value of the “considerable political sophistication” that goes into the deep calculus of hegemony as “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.”

Poorly read of history as the commoners of course are, including the most educated ones among them with 'scholar' stamped upon their forehead, and weaned on the immediate gratification of the here and the now by having pursued their 'American Dreams' in deep slumber all of their lives, the plebes obviously naturally fail to recognize the distemper of hegemony when it is inchoate and kept brewing under covers.

They cannot believe that “Peace and its duration, like war, is determined by natural laws that in their fundamental principles do not vary nor are found wanting”. And when this law of hegemony is steamrolled into practice in the form of the lifetime of 'War on Terror', the only thing visible to the public is the death and mayhem with the respected narrators keeping score. But not the military precision with which red-teaming/blue-teaming insurgency and counter-insurgency are employed to perpetually engage the world in the controlled chaos of World War IV.

The factual political science reason for engaging in such permanent warfare was serendipitously discovered in the 1908-09 minute books of the Carnegie Endowment for Peace in 1953-54 by the indefatigable Congressional Investigator Norman Dodd, for the Reece Committee investigating the suspected subversion by tax-exempt foundations. Norman Dodd rehearsed from memory the occurrence of the following question from the minute books:

'We are now at the year 1908, which was the year that the Carnegie Foundation began operations. In that year, the trustees, meeting for the first time, raised a specific question, which they discussed throughout the balance of the year in a very learned fashion.

The question is: ‘Is there any means known more effective than war, assuming you wish to alter the life of an entire people?’

And they conclude that no more effective means than war to that end is known to humanity.
So then, in 1909, they raised the second question and discussed it, namely:

“How do we involve the United States in a war?”

That same principal reason guides the presence of American soldiers all across the “Global zone of percolating violence”, as Zbigniew Brzezinski characterized these locations in 1996, where we now find Western troops engaging in the ‘War on Terror’.

So why should NATO set fire to their own convoys and blame it on the insurgents? Insurgency vs. Counter-Insurgency was forensically examined in my article last year “The Decapitation of Pakistan by its own Military!” and is reproduced below in its entirety. The reader is invited to spend some time reviewing Operation Gladio cited therein.
Validation update2 July 21, 2011: 'US aid may be flowing to Afghan insurgents'

Zahir's note: The United States government is itself admitting that American funds are being funneled to the insurgents, once the disingenuousness of doublespeak statements like these are peeled away. Also see John Perkins on how corruption is manufactured among the beggar nations by the donor agencies, slyly aided and abetted, and encouraged by deliberately turning a blind eye knowing full well where the funds are going. The process of covertly as well as overtly inducing corruption to co-opt, and then claiming there is corruption during mechanical “audits”, is an art well known to those who understand imperial statecraft and its multifaceted notions of cover stories and “plausible deniability” (see Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory for the presidential directive NSC 10/2 if unfamiliar with the concept of “plausible deniability”):

'... “US agencies have taken steps to strengthen their oversight of US funds, but the United States still has limited visibility over how these funds flow through the Afghan economy, leaving these funds vulnerable to fraud or diversion to insurgents,” said the audit.

The findings come amid growing frustration in Washington over the corruption-plagued Afghan government and steadily declining public support for the nearly 10-year-old war.

The audit reviewed oversight of US aid converted to cash, including electronic payments to contractors, and assessed American efforts to bolster the Kabul government's regulation of commercial banks and informal hawala financial networks.

The report said that “given the amount of US cash that flows through the Afghan economy, it is imperative that the US government have robust measures in place to ensure that these funds are not used for fraud or diverted to insurgent networks.

“However, we found that agencies have not instituted sufficient controls over US funds,” it said. ... Since 2002, the United States has spent more than $70 billion on security and development assistance in Afghanistan.' --- US aid may be flowing to Afghan insurgents
Validation update1 March 25, 2011: '331 US officials may leave Pak under secret deal over Davis'

Zahir's note: The government of Pakistan is even admitting that insurgency is fabricated (see Letter: Understanding the 'arc of crisis' with minimal work By Zahir Ebrahim which records my own defeat: “Just don't ask me how to get rid of our fifth columnists – that's where I stand defeated.”)

'Islamabad: A total of 331 US officials in Pakistan, most of them suspected of engaging in espionage under diplomatic cover, have been “identified to leave the country” under a secret deal between the two sides for release of American national Raymond Davis, a media report said on Thursday. ...

An official said that most of the suspected US officials were “involved in suspicious activities, including photographing and filming of sensitive installations like airbases (Warsak, near Peshawar and Multan), defence bunkers along the Pakistan-India border near Lahore, recruiting persons supporting their activities and launching local people for suspicious activities by offering lucrative benefits”.' --- 331 US officials may leave Pak under secret deal over Davis
Part-II

The Decapitation of Pakistan
by its own Military!

Sept. 28 to Nov. 02, 2009, California, United States

Abstract

Pakistanis, apparently, simply cannot perceive that “insurgency” is manufactured – judging by the asinine questions they asked the Secretary of State Hillary Clinton during her visit to Pakistan last week. Every one of these questions was simplistic and devoid of any appreciation for the political science behind “imperial mobilization”. Ignoring the mercenaries, traitors, and vulgar propagandists at work in Pakistan, many well-meaning Pakistanis are entirely confused. After reading this report however, the only confusion that shall remain is in the psyche of those who, like Lady Macbeth, pretend to be “innocent of knowledge”.

Let me begin this very difficult exposition as a Pakistani citizen (my only citizenship, by choice, despite being a legal permanent resident in the United States for almost three decades) with the question Who really killed Benazir Bhutto? [1] I mean who are the prime-movers?

The trigger-pullers are obviously irrelevant and remain faceless. They will surely never be known.

Well, let's read it in Benazir Bhutto's own lucid words which have now been augmented, almost two years later, with the Pakistan's Army Chief of Staff's belated disclosures of September 21, 2009. [2] Why belated? Well, please see these unpublished letters to many Pakistani newspaper editors on
their repeatedly perpetuating the fiction of *Who Killed Benazir Bhutto* in cahoots with the ‘hectoring hegemons’ and their agents! All traitors and co-opted errand boys. [3]

The **American agenda for Pakistan** is not a state-secret. Rather, it is only thinly disguised as perpetually fighting the “insurgents” in a lifetime of war, the **World War IV**. [4] Whereas, in reality, both the “insurgency”, and the “counter-insurgency”, are entirely designed and fabricated in the USA as part of the evolving tactics of Hegelian Dialectics. They are enacted on the ground by various two-bit errand boys and expert trigger pullers. The already well-known existence of black-ops assassination squads in Pakistan/Afghanistan, known to the local peoples for years as the real prime-movers behind the heinous local terrorist acts, belatedly confirmed by *NYT*, *WP*, and *NYT*, in August 2009. [5] See these two December 2008 reports on the **Mumbai terrorist Act** as reportedly orchestrated by **Ali Baba** from his perch in the Hindu Kush. [6] The arrival of the black-ops in the region is not recent, albeit the public disclosures might be.

Starting in the immediate aftermath of 9/11, and perhaps even earlier, Pakistan may well have become the largest deployment region for the CIA in modern times, both covert (unknown to Pakistani government and secretly working to destabilize Pakistan with false-flag operations), and overt (with Pakistani military’s aiding and abetting, in full view of the world, ostensibly fighting the “insurgents”, “Bin Laden”, “Al-Qaaeda”, but in the process mainly “tickling” its own innocent civilians in many a barbaric way). [7]

And since **Jundallah got launched to destabilize Iran** from Pakistani soil (their “insurgencies”), Baluchistan along with the Pak-Afghan regions have been awash with black-ops, and obviously of course, also with officially recognized US soldiers manning American military bases on Pakistani soil. [8] But these soldiers of freedom were rarely spotted in the streets of major cities before. The following video report of September 08, 2009, therefore portends of ominous whirlwinds imminently engulfing Pakistan: [9]

To put this ominous presence of soldiers of freedom and fortune on Pakistani soil in full black-ops context of NATO and the Western Alliance, of diabolically manufacturing and sustaining the very pretexts out of uniform to enable the in-uniform forces to continue on with their perpetual mission of fighting World War IV, it is sufficient to look at what is transpiring in IRAQ for lessons to wisely apply to one’s own predicament in order to avoid a far worse one.
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Fast forwarding past all the initial pretenses of Sadaam Husain being responsible for 911, his WMDs about to destroy America, the Mission Accomplished statement of President Bush, and the “oops! intelligence failure” statements by the neo-con's own Iraq Study Group after the raping of Mesopotamia and the DNA of its ancient and proud peoples were fait accompli, etceteras, the biggest reason for not withdrawing the US troops from Iraq is continually stated to be the infernal ongoing INSURGENCY. Iran, Shias, Sunnis, and Al-Qaeeda are variously blamed for the main cause of America's continued military occupation of Iraq; new “troop-surges” are periodically announced amidst some dissenting consternation willy-nilly expressed in its news media and by its politicians to let the American public know that it is not an easy choice to be making. The Iranian strawman has already been amply unraveled elsewhere. [10] However, witness the following familiar statement of former President George W. Bush on the legend of the new Ali Baba [11] of Mesopotamia, Abu Musab Al-Zarqawi: [12]

“You know, I hate to predict violence, but I just understand the nature of the killers. This guy, Zarqawi, an al Qaeda associate -- who was in Baghdad, by the way, prior to the removal of Saddam Hussein -- is still at large in Iraq. And as you might remember, part of his operational plan was to sow violence and discord amongst the various groups in Iraq by cold-blooded killing. And we need to help find Zarqawi so that the people of Iraq can have a more bright -- bright future.” -- George W. Bush, Press Conference, 1 June 2004

The indefatigable Michel Chossudovsky, citing Bush's afore-quoted statements wrote: “Zarqawi constitutes Washington's justification for the continued military occupation of Iraq, not to mention the brutal siege of densely populated urban areas directed against 'Al Qaeda in Iraq' which is said to be led by Zarqawi.” [13]

It is now November 02, 2009 – and the United States is still very much in Iraq. Its own economy is in deep recession, and the free-money printing by the Feds is taking it towards hyperinflation. The world is not only mired in its worst global financial crisis that is seeing the end of Western hegemony in its industrial production capabilities, but is also plagued by a global pandemic, global warming, and an un-ending global war on terror, sinking valuable trillions of dollars which could otherwise have been spent on converting overnight the entire world's six billion population into America's middle class status of the 1960s, while also wiping out much of the developing nations' unbearable debt. That alone would most assuredly have earned the United States the genuine love of the entire world instead of the present shoes thrown at its all powerful president. How remarkably has the world's sole superpower been brought down so pathetically to its knees by the Ali Baba of Iraq that today it cannot even extricate itself from giving a diabolical police-state to its own peoples in the pretext of saving them from the global terrorist! What sort of idiotic “American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives” agenda, and what kind of asinine quest for “Full Spectrum Dominance” is this? [14] Wow Ali Baba, what magical powers you have of not only so successfully entangling the United States in the Iraqi “quagmire” indefinitely, but of being the prime-mover behind the demise of nation-states to usher in the only permissible solution out of these multifaceted global threats -- world government! [15]

Focussing on the pertinence at hand however, what does the Ali Baba of Iraq have to do with the following shocking news reports purveyed in titles which are illustrative enough of the key point:
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“Were British Special Forces Soldiers Planting Bombs in Basra?” September 25, 2005; British “Undercover Soldiers” Caught driving Booby Trapped Car “They refused to say what their mission was.” September 20, 2005. [16]

Michel Chossudovsky, writing the latter article and displaying his un co-opted integrity, asked the 64-million dollar obvious questions – the same modus operandi being replayed in Pakistan with even more drastic effect, as now the black-ops have been hardened in Iraq on how not to get caught when plenty of patsies can be trivially harvested for the job of “legitimizing Insurgency” [17] – which none appear to be publicly asking in Pakistan:

'The following Reuters report raises some disturbing questions.

Why were undercover British “soldiers” wearing traditional Arab headscarves firing at Iraqi police?

The incident took place just prior to a major religious event in Basra.

The report suggests that the police thought the British soldiers looked “suspicious”. What was the nature of their mission?

Occupation forces are supposed to be collaborating with Iraqi authorities. Why did British Forces have to storm the prison using tanks and armoured vehicles to liberate the British undercover agents?

“British forces used up to 10 tanks” supported by helicopters “to smash through the walls of the jail and free the two British servicemen.”

Was there concern that the British “soldiers” who were being held by the Iraqi National Guard would be obliged to reveal the nature and objective of their undercover mission?

A report of Al Jazeera TV, which preceded the raid on the prison, suggests that the British undercover soldiers were driving a booby trapped car loaded with ammunition. The Al Jazeera report (see below) also suggests that the riots directed against British military presence were motivated because the British undercover soldiers were planning to explode the booby trapped car in the centre of Basra: ...'

See: Who is behind “Al Qaeda in Iraq”? Pentagon acknowledges fabricating a “Zarqawi Legend”, in which the Washington Post of April 10, 2006, in its rare moment of candor – just like the New York Times' ex post facto exposé of April 20, 2008 on the Pentagon using retired Generals as mercenaries for hire to blatantly lie on American television in order to drum public support for the Iraq war [18] – is quoted in full context as follows: [19]

"The Zarqawi campaign is discussed in several of the internal military documents. "Villainize Zarqawi/leverage xenophobia response," one U.S. military briefing from 2004 stated. It listed three methods: "Media operations," "Special Ops (626)" (a reference to Task Force 626, an elite U.S. military unit assigned primarily to hunt in Iraq for senior officials in Hussein's
government) and "PSYOP," the U.S. military term for propaganda work..." (WP. 10 April 2006)

The military's propaganda program, according to the Washington Post, has "largely been aimed at Iraqis, but seems to have spilled over into the U.S. media. One briefing slide about U.S. "strategic communications" in Iraq, prepared for Army Gen. George W. Casey Jr., the top U.S. commander in Iraq, describes the "home audience" as one of six major targets of the American side of the war." (WP, op cit.)

An internal document produced by U.S. military headquarters in Iraq, states that "the Zarqawi PSYOP program is the most successful information campaign to date."'

With that precious lesson in “imperial mobilization” through sustained PSYOP and manufactured “insurgency” learnt from our brethren Muslim nation of Iraq – where, in retrospect, and in moments of reflection through their daily strife and humiliation, its beleaguered people must surely ponder upon what could they have possibly done earlier, before 1990, during the iron-reign of Sadaam Hussein, and throughout those horrible intervening 13 years of Western hegemonic sanctions, to have avoided this new dismal fate altogether – let's return to Pakistan.

The multimodal approaches to destabilizing, and consequently balkanizing Pakistan under the fabled pretext of its own illusive Ali Baba, “Osama Bin Laden”, have been so transparent, that today, finally, many a retired con-fession artist are getting in on the act to claim the flag of patriotism. [20] Going for hajj after having eaten 900 mice is the favorite pastime of Pakistani praetorian guards. I am only waiting for any sitting Pakistani General to rise to that occasion, if it's not already too late! [21] But I am afraid it probably is – see here, here, here, here, and here. [22]

The decapitation of Pakistan by Pakistan's finest, wantonly justifying the inglorious 'white man's burden' – the same old 'la mission civilisatrice' under a new name – upon impoverished indigenous shoulders! [23] Even Rev. Martin Luther King Jr.'s penetrating description fails to do justice to the scale of calamity in Pakistan: [24]

“The white establishment is skilled in flattering and cultivating emerging leaders. It presses its own image on them and finally, from imitation of manners, dress, and style of living, a deeper strain of corruption develops. This kind of Negro leader acquires the white man's contempt for the ordinary Negro. He is often more at home with the middle-class white than he is among his own people. His language changes, his location changes, his income changes, and ultimately he changes from the representative of the Negro to the white man into the white man's representative to the Negro.”

The shameful and criminal dislocation of up to 2.4 million civilians in May of this year was rightly described as “an exodus that is beyond biblical”. [25]
Just as from the USSR's point of view in yesteryear, the “insurgency” against them in Afghanistan was foreign inculcated, entirely fabricated in the USA (as we know today but held as a closely guarded secret then), which thus forced the Soviets to apply counter-insurgency measures, and subsequently, an outright invasion of Afghanistan (read Brzezinski's own statements in Saving Pakistan, and watch Brzezinski speak in this video clip devilishly crafting the “insurgency” for the Russians on the Pak-Afghan border); the so called “insurgency” in Pakistan is also calculatingly fabricated, ab initio, in the USA through covert intervention and black-ops. [26] Subsequently, with sufficient “tickling” during the overt counter-insurgency operations, and by astutely harvesting all the cultural cracks and lacunae of the people, the “insurgency” acquires a reactionary life of its own. [27]

That latter stage, as well as on-going black-ops induced wanton acts of terrorism using the pre-“tickled” dupes and patsies as now rapidly transpiring, October 9-12, 2009, NYT, NYT – like the secret and 'officially denied' component of NATO's Operation Gladio in Western Europe of yesteryear which blamed it on the communists to keep an increasingly skeptical public's fear of the then boogieman du jour continually alive – are Machiavellianly projected in the news media, by the native informants, by the fabricated dissent-chiefs, and the Mighty Wurlitzer, as INSURGENCY. [28] And therefore, it is officially argued, an unarguable raison d'être for continuing the barbaric counter-insurgency to implement the writ of the state. This predictably creates a self-sustaining destabilization as both commonsense and political science 101 would inform even an imbecile. The blood-drenched puppetshow so enacted, inevitably affords a compelling pretext to the puppetmasters to justify their own military intervention to “save” the people who are incapable of doing it themselves.
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The innocent Pakistan military, not too well-versed in political science or Hegelian Dialectics based Machiavellian state-craft (I presume), in this prelude to NATO forces marching in, is similarly being compelled to take real counter-insurgency measures like the Soviets. Aided and abetted of course by high ranking traitors from within their own ranks, and by their foreign paymasters' militaries (NATO, Blackwater now renamed Xe, and other un-named foreign divisions operating within Pakistan which I call “Jundallah-plusplus” to distinguish them from “Jundallah” which is apparently targeting Iran from Pakistani soil). The simple fact that Pakistan is supplying all the drinking water (bottled by Nestle), and full logistics channel for war-making supplies to NATO in Afghanistan is telling in and of itself. Pakistan is equally responsible for destroying the Afghan society, the Afghan people, and there is no less spilled-blood of innocent Afghani Muslims upon Pakistan's hands over the past 30 years, than upon the United States'.

Pakistani military helped destroy Afghanistan, and they are now helping to destroy Pakistan. No Pakistani civilian I know, including myself, ever authorized the Pakistani military to destroy Afghanistan, or aid the United States in its own hegemonic plans on the Grand Chessboard. Do you know anyone? So from where did they get their mandate? I would rather have clean drinking water in my tap, damn it! What good are the bloody nukes when they become the raison d'être for our very destruction in this manner without ever firing a single missile at the drones that are killing our own peoples?

It gives me no pleasure to repeatedly rehearse this footnote to history. What is not already obvious to the Pakistanis? It must surely still occur to many a reasonable military man serving with genuine zeal and honor in the real pivot of power in Pakistan that the end is drawing near. What are they doing idly watching the battle of their lives from the sidelines – when they are not shooting or displacing their own peoples that is? As quoted from a Dawn newspaper column:

“THIS article poses two questions: on the day after US/Nato forces invade and occupy some of Balochistan and Waziristan, what will we say we should have done, and why aren’t we doing it now? Is this far-fetched? ... One hopes that a small group of patriotic officers in Pakistan are also asking themselves what can be done, and why aren’t we doing it now.” [29]

Gentlemen may cry, Peace, Peace--but there is no peace. The war is actually begun! The next gale that sweeps from the north will bring to our ears the clash of resounding arms! And that's the tortuous déjà vu reality today. [30]

If one is genuinely confused about who is the enemy, whether it's the Taliban, Al-Qaeeda, Islamism, Militant Islam, unknown foreign fighters, foreign intelligence agencies, India, Israel, American interests, or the United States' globalist oligarchs hell bent on criminally realizing their one-world government agenda by fabricating and harvesting “revolutionary times” across the planet, [31] please spend some time reviewing the latest edition of the book The Pakistan Decapitation Papers available from Project Humanbeingsfirst.org. [32] Write to me if you are still uncertain about who is behind “tickling” the so called “insurgents” into existence, and why that is necessary in order to fight the lifetime of World War IV with our blood. After all, “God is on your side”! [33]
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Caption Watch Zbigniew Brzezinski on the Pak-Afghan Border goad the then Afghan freedom fighters to Islam's Jihad with 'God is on your side'!
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Chapter 8

Understanding ISIS
and the 'Arc of crisis'

Understanding ISIS: Islamic State of Iraq and Syria

August 03, 2015

First, one must comprehend the theory behind fomenting controlled chaos of “revolutionary times” by supporting both sides of the conflict. The concept of Insurgency and counter-insurgency as a war paradigm for sustaining “imperial mobilization” under the cover of controlled chaos is explained in: “Insurgency vs. Counter Insurgency”. Fomenting and harvesting “revolutionary times” with self-inflicted or manufactured terror is explained in the tutorial “Understanding Self-Inflicted Terror” (http://tinyurl.com/Manufacturing-Terror).

The new militant “Islamic State” with “caliphate” galore is only the manufactured progression of going from:

● empire's own stateless antediluvian actors playing their scripted “Taliban / Al Qaeda terrorist” role as public enemy number one of the United States and the civilized world,

● to empire's own stateful antediluvian actors playing their scripted “ISIS / terrorists'
expansionist” role as public enemy number one of the United States and the civilized world.

Twenty-first century barbarians being brought to power to foment not just the “Clash of Civilizations”, as the erstwhile Jewish political theorist Samuel Huntington at Harvard University, and still ever present “foremost Western scholar of Islam”, Jewish prof. Bernard Lewis at Princeton University, had formulated it in the 1990s, but the “Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians” as Daniel Pipes, the Jewish Islamophobe drum beater of “radical Islam”, more accurately couched the empirical manifestations of “militant Islam” after 9/11.

The nemesis is similar to the manufacture of Christian NAZI socialism and godless Soviet Communism in the twentieth century. Both similarly characterized upon their fabrication. Both secretly supported and funded by Wall Street to fabricate potent stateful enemies to wage World Wars against in order to force the creation of a new oligarchic world order from the ashes of the old world left behind.

That clash of the opposites is necessary to raise the phoenix from the ashes, so to speak --- a greater and greater combine which eventually encompasses the entire earth as the natural culmination of an expansionist state.

That clash is political theory based modus operandi most vociferously pursued by the German political philosopher Friedrich Hegel. A one-world global state systematically fashioned out of back to back Hegelian Dialectic seeded deadly conflicts of opposing forces that last multiple generations, but which piece-meal result in the advocacy and adoption of predefined solutions that achieve the predesired outcome of world government.

Hegelian Dialectic has now been empirically shown to be not just a political theory, but the most effective and fastest format for engineering consent for a new world order of world government – the singular dream of all world conquering despots that has hitherto remained unfulfilled. The wholly Jewish concoction of “militant Islam” vs “moderate Islam” as a Hegelian Dialectic has previously been examined in the seminal study “Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer - Architecture of Modern Propaganda for Psychological Warfare”. Hegelian Dialectic is explained in “Hegelian Dialectic - What is it?” (http://tinyurl.com/Hegelian-Dialectic-What-is-it).

Our golden scientific era, which Zbigniew Brzezinski called the Technetronic Era, has created the means to rule the whole world as never before, and the ubermensch oligarchic forces that run the sole superpower on earth along with its vassal states, have seized that opportunity for primacy; an outcome that is inevitable when primacy defines imperatives and nations ruled by men who make laws to legalize their primacy.

Since the exercise of primacy requires the existence of a persistent and continuous threat to the well-being of the masses, thus the headlines announcing the new phantasmal terror threat to Western civilization: “Islamic State 'beyond anything we've seen'”

'Washington: The Islamic State poses a greater danger than conventional “terrorist group” and is pursuing a vision that could radically alter the face of the Middle East, US Defence Leader said Thursday [August 21, 2014].

The IS jihadists could be contained and eventually defeated by local forces backed by the United States, but the Sunni population in both Syria and Iraq
would need to reject the group, Defense Secretary Chuck Hagel and General Martin Dempsey told reporters.

Hagel warned that the Islamic State is better armed, trained and funded than any recent militant threat.

“They marry ideology and a sophistication of strategy and tactical military prowess. They are tremendously well funded. This is beyond anything we've seen,” Hagel told a news conference.

Dempsey, the chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, said that the group adheres to a fanatical ideology and has “a long term vision” to take over Lebanon, Israel, and Kuwait. If they achieve that vision, it would fundamentally alter the face of the Middle East and create a security environment that would certainly threaten us in many ways,” he said.

... The bombing runs and humanitarian aid to the local population [Zahir's note: the guns and butter again] have stalled the Islamic State's “momentum and enabled Iraqi and Kurdish forces to regain their footing and take the initiative”.

Asked if the US would hit the militants in neighboring Syria, Hagel did not rule out the option but did not indicate strikes there were imminent.' --- AFP, Friday August 22, 2014, via Dawn.com

Now the “ISIS” will finally begin to make rational sense. It is a wholly manufactured enemy, created for a specific purpose: continued “imperial mobilization” in the pretext of countering this nemesis. “ISIS” is just another armored division of the US-UK-NATO military nexus comprising both useful idiots, and trained mercenaries, both wearing barbarian uniforms and being carefully choreographed by the same high command that sets up military invasions in the sole superpower's collaborative but highly compartmentalized nexus. American Primacy and its Geostrategic Imperatives have been cunningly transformed from the primacy of the national flag to the primacy for world government of the oligarchy riding the national flag.

This is Michel Chossudovsky on Guns and Butter with Bonnie Faulkner deconstructing: “ISIS: An Instrument of the Western Military Alliance”:

“ISIS rampage through northern Iraq a staged event; special forces integrated within the terror brigades; U.S. supporting both sides; transformation of countries into territories: Sunni Caliphate, Independent Kurdistan, Shia Arab Republic; Iran being sucked into conflict; water wars; long-term U.S. geopolitical objectives.” --- http://archives.kpfa.org/data/20140618-Wed1300.mp3

What Michel Chossudovsky did not address in that interview, is the overarching agenda behind the piece-meal “transformation of countries into territories” out of once sovereign nation-states; states which were themselves carved out of long running empires in the last century. But none as smart as Michel Chossudovsky and Bonnie Faulkner are ever unaware of the hidden motivations which give rise to facts and events that are recorded by historians and rewarded for their labors. Those seeking out the covert motivations and the covert forces that drive these facts and events over time and space and
often made to appear disjoint and unconnected, if their discoveries fall on the wrong side of empire's standard model for sanctioned narratives, are of course only the “conspiracy theorists” (sic)! But beleaguered nations seeking self-defence cannot do so effectively using the standard model of the empire. It is like having the fox guard the hen house and daily preaching to the hens how to protect themselves!

Now contrast this forensic dismantling of the diabolical Hegelian Dialectic with the virtuous officialdom's pious version, the standard model for all mainstream narratives: “US Offers Iraq 'Intense And Sustained' Support” (Sky news, June 23, 2014) and “Kerry confronts threat of new war in Iraq” (AP, June 23, 2014).

'BAGHDAD — Confronting the threat of civil war in Iraq, U.S. Secretary of State John Kerry flew to Baghdad on Monday to personally urge the Shiite-led government to give more power to political opponents before a Sunni insurgency seizes more control across the country and sweeps away hopes for lasting peace.

The meeting scheduled between Kerry and Iraqi Prime Minister Nouri al-Maliki was not expected to be friendly, given that officials in Washington have floated suggestions that the Iraqi premier should resign as a necessary first step toward quelling the vicious uprising. Nor will it likely bring any immediate, tangible results, as al-Maliki has shown no sign of leaving and Iraqi officials have long listened to — but ultimately ignored — U.S. advice to avoid appearing controlled by the decade-old specter of an American occupation in Baghdad.

“This is a critical moment where, together, we must urge Iraq's leaders to rise above sectarian motivations and form a government that is united in its determination to meet the needs and speak to the demands of all of their people,” Kerry said a day earlier in Cairo. He was there in part to meet with Egyptian President Abdel-Fattah el-Sissi to and discuss a regional solution to end the bloodshed by the insurgent Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant, or ISIL.

“No country is safe from that kind of spread of terror, and none of us can afford to leave that entity with a safe haven which would become a base for terror against anyone and all, not only in the region but outside of the region as well,” Kerry said in Cairo.' AP, June 23, 2014,


While keeping the Hegelian Dialectic of threat from “militant Islam” wielding insurgents intact, US retired Lieutenant General Michael T. Flynn, former head of the US Defence Intelligence Agency (DIA) and JSOC, lends some left-handed (diluted) confirmation from the horse's mouth of the arming, and aiding and abetting, of ISIS (ISIL, Daesh). In his interview to Al-Jazeera English (watch here) in Washington D.C. on July 29, 2015, titled: “Is the US to blame for ISIL?”, ret'd. General Michael T. Flynn stated:

General Flynn: We are at war with a radical component of Islam. In a way I
believe is that Islam is a political ideology based on a religion. [correcting himself at the prompting of the interviewer] Islamism. Islamism is an ideology based on a religion. So when I say I have been at war with Islam, I mean I have sat down with members of the al Qaeda, members of the Taliban, that are my age, very well educated, and I have asked them: WHY, what is it that's going wrong somewhere that we are fighting each other. What is your excuse? And if the excuse is that the West is bad, you know, the Jews of Israel are bad, that's not a good excuse.

Interviewer: [prompting the General to differentiate the political group using the religion of Islam as cover from people who believe in the religion]

General Flynn: I don't agree, I don't agree, the serious leaders of these groups absolutely believe that their version of Islam is the right version, the correct version.

Interviewer: [again prompting the General with more known facts] We are now seeing reports that the top ranks of ISIL are filled with Baathist ex-army officers from Sadaam's regime, they are not all religious fanatics. ... [MI-5 says that:] "far from being religious zealots, a large number of those involved in terrorism do not practice their faith regularly, and could actually be regarded as religious novices"

General Flynn: I don't disagree with that. But I will tell you that there is a sufficient number of leaders in, still in, al Qaeda, and definitely in this group we call ISIL, their religious beliefs are very strong.

Interviewer: and therefore it's a religious war in your view?

General Flynn: I think that it's a political war. It's a political war. But I think they use the excuses that they have. It's a political ideology based on a religion. We have to come to grips with that.

Interviewer: [prompting the General to admit America's own role in the rise of ISIS] In 2012, three years ago, let's just be clear here for the sake of our viewers, in 2012 your agency was saying, quote: [reading from a previously classified August 2012 DIA document made public through FOIA] “the Salafists, the Muslim Brotherhood, and al Qaeda in Iraq, are the major forces driving insurgency in Syria." In 2012, the US was helping coordinate arms transfers to those same groups. Why did you not stop that? If you are worried about the rise of Islamic extremism? ... The administration turned a blind eye to your analysis?

General Flynn: I don't know if they turned a blind eye. I think it was a decision. It was a willful decision.

Interviewer: A willful decision to support an insurgency that had Salafists, al Qaeda, ...

General Flynn: The decision to do what they are doing.
As one can see, the confession of the General is not the usual “blowback” or “oops” theory, as both the domestic antagonists of the American administration and the dissent con-artists in the United States and elsewhere have pitched of ISIS. Indeed in much the same manner that they had previously pitched “al Qaeda” and “OBL” attack on the United States on September 11, 2001. The American General, confirming the support and arming of ISIS by the American government, carefully characterized it as deliberate, and not some miscalculated blowback of a policy gone awry: “I think it was a decision. It was a willful decision.”

Observe how the well-rehearsed Al Jazeera interviewer was cleverly used as the foil to lend continuous legitimacy to the official propaganda line of threat from “militant Islam” via narrative control within strict confines of the establishment's party line, and its repetition ad nauseam. Only under the careful aegis of that old core lie, which was first conveniently reestablished very early on in the interview with the help of the willing useful idiot who spoke perfect British English, was this new confession of it being “a willful decision” of the American government, carefully made by the newly retired American General.

This lauded half-truth telling, characterized more by what it did not say than what it said, is along the same template that Zbigniew Brzezinski had previously established in his testimony before the US Senate Foreign Relations Committee on February 01, 2007 (read the fascinating testimony PDF), as the self-serving trend for confirming what many astute “conspiracy theorists” already perceive or easily surmise, those able to call a spade a spade, able to add two plus two correctly in public, of the on-going covert warfare wrapped in “plausible deniability” being waged amidst propaganda cover of some Big Lie. It is at times confirmed ex post facto in some diluted form that Henry Kissinger and Richard Nixon termed “Limited Hangout” (recorded on the Nixon tapes), or for bragging rights as Zbigniew Brzezinski and Robert Gates revealed a decade after the end of the Cold War (see Imperial Surrogates and 'Terror Central' in Operation Gladio Redux), or directly under the aegis of the Big Lie when it suits the powers that be to introduce new assertions, or confirmation of what's already obvious, into their own party line narratives (through official leaks or belated and diluted admissions) which are to be further spun for new mileage or damage control while keeping the Big Lie securely intact. They are the masters of narrative control (see Masters of Dissent, http://tinyurl.com/Dying-Songbird) who have wisely retaken their own leaf back from Adolph Hitler's Mein Kampf on the basic elements of a successful propaganda campaign to corral “the crowd of simpletons and the credulous” (see Manufacturing Dissent, http://tinyurl.com/Manufacturing-Dissent-2008).

The signal in Flynn's statements, carefully wrapped in the sea of propaganda noise and half truths, is: “I don't know if they turned a blind eye. I think it was a decision. It was a willful decision.” That the rise of ISIS, ISIL, Daesh, whatever its name, is not short-sighted policy gone awry, but the rise of insurgency is the government policy itself.
So what's up Doc? Why even confirm what is already known? Is it merely the pre-election WWF posturing to speciously show that the Republicans are different from the Democrats, to *make the public mind* in favor of the new team slated to come into the White House after eight years of the “change” mantra of the incumbent president which saw more and more of the same as the team it had previously replaced (see *Mr. Obama – The Post Modern Coup*)?

This history of Machiavellianly *making the public mind* is important for the American voters to comprehend as they get all excited about electioneering in their famed democracy every four years. This next time in 2016, the American voters shall be re-ushering in the same Republicans who had previously given them the George Bush presidency from which they had voted for escape for the “change” presidency of the Democratic Obama. The “change” this time will be back to the Republicans. There is really no change in the policy of primacy and its geostrategic imperatives. There is no escape for the American public from American Primacy on the Grand Chessboard because it is not controlled by who they vote into the White House. It is controlled by the hidden from public view *National Security State*, which in turn is controlled by the iron fist of the hidden from public view ruling oligarchy, the bosses behind the scenes seeking world government. Only the tactics may differ slightly by the visible occupants of the White House, if at all, to keep the lip service to the American constitution and its tradition of democracy going on paper for a while longer. The specious elections also sustain America's pious preaching to the world.

The fact that America is a hard police-state both at home and abroad is not a point of differentiation to exercise between the two dominant parties in any election since 9/11. The mantra that America is at war with “militant Islam” and/or rogue states is a sacred presupposition common among both parties and the establishment. Now the bizarre and most barbaric ISIS/ISIL flying the false flag of Islam has been added to the mix with a hammerhead. Even the Jewish press displays its usual chutzpah of confirming the obvious. See *The Jerusalem Post, December 7, 2014: New UN report reveals collaboration between Israel and Syrian rebels - The new documents show that Israel has been doing more than simply treating wounded Syrian civilians in hospitals*.

An aware and self-respecting public might instead hold a legal referendum on the corrupted system which merely obliges them to alternately choose between the left and the right *smelly sock* worn by the oligarchy, by withholding their vote entirely. The people don't have to do any politicking, take out protest marches, or risk their livelihood and safety by taking a bold stand in public. All they have to do in America to be effective is to simply not vote! It is their legal right, both to vote when the system is not corrupt, and to not vote when it is corrupted ab initio. A low voter turnout on election day legally strips away the facade of legitimacy from the corrupt system (see *Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy!*, [http://tinyurl.com/Referendum-by-Not-Voting]). A completely co-opted system which is perniciously destroying their nation piece-meal, in every new fabricated crisis and manufactured terror threat, to create a world government of the oligarchy using America's military might as both the hammer and the anvil.

What the half-truth telling brave American General, retired but still bound by all the official state secrets acts for his lifetime, intentionally left out in his “modified limited hangout” candor is how ISIL, ISIS, Daesh, and whatever new name it may be given in the future, is really a well financed covert division of the US military itself, staffed with a variegated coterie of private contractors, mercenaries, useful idiots, and other well trained and behavior controlled dupes and patsies playing the...
scripted insurgency vs. counter-insurgency con game to keep “revolutionary times” fomented for as long as it is needed to achieve the predefined policy objectives. General Flynn must have been given permission, and well rehearsed, to make even that “limited hangout” admission within the confines of the core lies of imperial mobilization.

Capisce?
Understanding the 'arc of crisis' with minimal work

Letter to mankind

Wednesday, March 23, 2011.

Dear friends of Project Humanbeingsfirst

Thought you might be interested in seeing this as well... it is a note to some Muslim friends and colleagues. The US, EU, UK, are unfortunately plagued by the same systemic ailment as all the Muslim nations now experiencing the birth-pangs of 'revolution'. The latest revolutionary headline on Libya reads: Gates hints at open-ended war in Libya Wed Mar 23, 2011. This “open-ended” war is but symptomatic of a systemic and pernicious disease which manifests differently depending upon the geography and politics of the people. One common ailment, many different symptoms. Hence, commonsense suggests, there is only one common cure. Which is why few talk about the systemic disease while all focus on the myriad symptoms – lest the cure be found – they are part of the same disease: THD (Trojan Horse Disease).

And even those who do talk about it, stand defeated at the altar of practicality! There is no field service manual available to the public to effectively counter the fait accompli of Machiavellian political science! Whereas, power is richly endowed with all kinds of vilely sophisticated practical guides to effectively implement its full spectrum control upon any public. Moral platitudes have been no match for Machiavellian political science. Morality has to be engineered just like hegemony is engineered; inculcated, taught, valued, rewarded, and immorality deprecated, punished. Only astute political power has the ability to pragmatically engineer anything, both good and bad; not the public
living on platitudes *between bread and circuses*, and certainly not the glorified narrators of the deeds of *history's actors!*

And therein lies the perpetual defeat of morality when it comes in conflict with hegemony. The public, regardless of the outward form of its government, does not have a “how to” field manual, and the rulers do! *Antiquity and modernity* remain inextricably united in that way. And the rulers intimately comprehend this singular advantage of real power – which is why they hoard it while leaving the public with only illusions of it. This is why President Obama can get away bombing Libya ten years later *with the same impunity* as President Bush got away decimating Afghanistan and Iraq. This is why President Obama could also *continue bombing Pakistan* with a straight face as the *change president* the day he took office from President Bush in the perpetual battle of *insurgency vs. counter-insurgency*. And they all get away with officially committing heinous crimes against humanity wearing the mask of pious morality with the same impunity as Israel gets away bombing the Palestinians in their own homes without any presidential calls for no-fly zone against Israel over Palestine!

The counter to this system of primacy cannot be written into existence any more than it can be wished into existence. It has to be engineered into statecraft no differently than an anti-lock braking system is carefully engineered into an automobile. To merely provide a fake pedal and label it “brake” might work to interdict the human psyche in placebo-effect; it cannot interdict the psyche of hegemony running in top gear towards world government. And that's what the rulers have done – provided fake brake pedals to the public to keep us occupied. How to instrument a real anti-lock brake behind the pedal when they control the automobile industry and all its production houses is a question of practicability – not design. I have many *designs* – just like the sages of antiquity – but no manufacturer to implement them. Quite the contrary, they have fielded a great number of expert salesmen to sell fake brake pedals using their *practical guides*. They ensure that no real brake can ever be practically instrumented in the system. As a hegelian mind-fck, a *celebrated faux design* is made to look like “*a god-damned piece of paper*”; let the public ask for restoring it!
Letter to Muslims

Subject: Understanding the 'arc of crisis' with minimal work

AOA friends,

Attached are PDFs of two short articles which shed some light on this topic of why the ostensibly sudden “revolutionary times” are happening all across the Middle East. I hope you can find the time to read them both.

You can also find them online here:

- Viewpoint: Instrumenting Kosovo in the 'arc of crisis' and the 'global zone of percolating violence'
  [https://tinyurl.com/The-arc-of-crisis ]

- Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order
  [https://tinyurl.com/hard-road-to-world-order ]

These two forensic articles, I believe, succinctly explain it all (from my point of view of course).

To everyone else, these are “revolutions”. To me, these are pretexts for “imperial mobilizations” aided and abetted by Trojan horses and fifth columnists.

A nation may perhaps survive its fools, but not its fifth columnists and Trojan horses. The latter come in every robe and in every flavor, often wearing the mask of high morality. They permeate all our institutions across all walks of life. They are a greater threat to a nation than the vile mafiosos sitting at the thrones whom all can see. They are every nation's first enemy, the enemy within, who contrive to open the gates to the conquistadors outside the door. Without them, no nation and no people can be defeated easily. They are the ones who carry the white man's burden with more gusto and alacrity than the white man himself. This white man's burden is so damn uniformly distributed across all religions, color, castes, creeds, and politicisms, that it is perhaps the only universally egalitarian pursuit which truly does not discriminate.

Read it at the link below if you can find the time – it is part-7, the last and final part of My Confusion Series. It expresses a self-evident truth that only fools will deny – but a nation can still survive its fools; it is not a crime to be a fool, perhaps some are born fools, and some are too lazy to think and become fools; whereas a nation cannot survive its fifth columnists, and that's where we are perched today:

- The White Man's Burden appears Uniformly Distributed among Jews Christians House Niggers and Atheists – how can one tell the difference?
  [https://tinyurl.com/The-White-Mans-Burden ]

Just don't ask me how to get rid of our fifth columnists – that's where I stand defeated. The defeat is not an intellectual defeat, but a pragmatic defeat. It is pragmatic, because, not even great
Prophets of Allah could succeed – and yet they had all the right intellectual platitudes to solve the problem. We have been taught platitudes up the wazoo since birth. No one has taught us political science, the how, to practically implement these platitudes.

The proof of this truism is in the pudding we are being forced to eat today, and have been forced to eat from time immemorial. Perhaps this is why, even Allah only promises bliss and nirvan and heaven in the *Afterlife* – not in this one, which is evidently designed, a priori, to be the hell amidst pious platitudes (sic)!

Regards,

Zahir
Chapter 9

Between Imperial Mobilization and Islamofascism

Bribing the Maggots and the Soul Extractor

Dateline California, April 03, 2009

Islamofascism, militant Islam, radical Islam, suicide bombers, jihadis, et. al., occupy the mind of not just the beleaguered Pakistani suffering its categorical imperatives, but also the Western mind worrying about the end of their modern Western Civilization at the hands of some antediluvian 'Ali Baba' barbarians. [1] In fact, Daniel Pipes had put it thusly: “[It is] Not a Clash of Civilizations, It's a Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians.” [2]

While it is expected that the purveyors of “Imperial Mobilization” [3] will try to sell the burden of their 'la mission civilisatrice' to the barbarians, it is always a bit inexplicable that the barbarians should, in every generation, young and old, man and woman, also sell the same to their own 'sheep to the slaughter' – except of course if one recalls the perceptive wisdom of Dr. Martin Luther King, whence, the colonized Negro trivially “changes from the representative of the Negro to the white man's representative to the Negro.” [4] [36a] Even having understood that tortuous colonizing effect which synthesizes voluntary servitude, I am still filled with consternation when I come across Pakistani Negroes pitching the 'white man's burden'. In an empirical
modernity when even distant reality is visible to all and sundry, the astute observation of MLK is no consolation: “The tragedy is that too often he does not recognize what has happened to him.” [ibid.]

Take for example, the recent article “Suicide attacks – religious flair!” [5] by a relatively unknown Pakistani “freelance journalist” [usually also a cover for intelligence agents, assets and sayanim] which stated: “Radical forces in Pakistan have given a new dimension to suicide attacks in today’s environment by intermixing it with religion and collective tasks. Religious flair concept is borrowed from freedom fighters engaged mostly with US or Israeli security apparatus in Palestine or Iraq”, and went on to analyze the construction of this “new dimension”.

This colonists' conceptualization of manufactured reality, borne entirely of seeding circuses of “doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” [6] for the benefit of its own Western peoples, as well as for blinding the pending meals to their own slaughter under the Hegelian butcher's knife, is endemic throughout the mainstream newsmedia in Pakistan. And of course, it is also systemic everywhere else, wherever the “Mighty Wurlitzer’s” [7] suzerainty reigns supreme [8]. In this essay I take the bull by the horn and cleave it asunder, yet again – for the 'Negro' disease continues to plague the 'untermenschen' and shows no sign of being contained, never mind eradicated.

The cited article is quite illustrative of fabricated narratives which attempt to give reality to the 'Unbirthday party' of tortuous “Islamofascism” while entirely omitting to recognize its deliberately manufactured roots to create the pretexts for fighting the lifetime of “World War IV” [9], and therefore, its omissions – the cardinal sin of the vulgar propagandists – cannot be taken lightly. Inadvertent or calculated is immaterial, because, as was duly noted by one more skilled and insightful on matters existential, its utility in modernity to manufacture consent remains unsurpassed:

“Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects... totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have by the most eloquent denunciations.” (Aldous Huxley)

The grotesque fact of the matter is that the following PBS video clip of Zbigniew Brzezinski from 1980, and the February 18, 1980 Time Magazine's description of what the jihadist American National Security Advisor was doing at the Pak-Afghan border “Selling the Carter Doctrine”, are in action triple-replay today in some Hegelian variations: [9a]
The transcription of that one-minute video clip of not too distant past is revealing of America's covert role in making patsies of the 'untermenschen' without any moral compunction:

**News voice over 1980:** “US National Security Advisor Brzezinski flew to Pakistan to set about rallying resistance. He wanted to arm the Mujahideen without revealing America's role. On the Afghan border near the Khayber Pass, he urged the Soldiers of God to redouble their efforts”

**Brzezinski 1980:** “We know of their deep belief in God, and we are confident that their struggle will succeed. That land over there, is yours, you'll go back to it one day, because your fight will prevail, and you'll have your homes and your mosques back again; because your cause is right; God is on your side.” [enthusiastic clapping by the Mujahideens]

**Brzezinski 1990s in the studio speaking to the PBS interviewer:** “… [garbled] coordinated with the Pakistanis will be to make the Soviets bleed, for as much, as long, as possible.”

Surely, the learned “freelance journalist” does not work for the RAND Corporation as a shill for the New World Order, like all the other two-bit 'native-informants' from Pakistan, to not know of such blood-soaked modus operandi for waging war by way of deception?

Surely, the “freelance journalist” is herself not so co-opted as to not realize that when she imaginatively alludes to the “Indian” hand like the Pakistani newsmedia and the ISI routinely parrot: “Or some external elements, like Indian spy agencies protecting their interest, and utilising local hands for logistics and support in orchestrating suicide attacks in urban parts of the country”, that a diabolical 'Jundallah-plusplus' is operational in Pakistan with full cooperation from Pakistan's own military apparatchiks?
Or is it genuinely way past the wit of all Pakistani columnists, purported scholars, lauded think-
tankers, career foreign service bureaucrats, military intelligence, and indeed the entire highly paid
Pakistani newsmedia, to realize that these manufactured covert-ops are funded by NATO and Pentagon
black-ops dollars, and doing to Pakistan from her own soil with suitcases full of dollars, precisely what
the CIA-ISI tag-team covertly did to the USSR from the same “PAK” soil not too long ago?

Suitcases full of cash and cultivated “well-placed bureaucrats” for orchestrating covert
operations is an old phenomenon in Pakistan as even candidly admitted by a former Director of the ISI
in his 1995 memoirs “Profiles of Intelligence” [10]. As an insider to the intelligence apparatus, he
observed of the history of American Interventionism in Pakistan:

'Essentially, the US policy configurations vis-a-vis Pakistan have been that of a
“master” and not of a “friend”. Oscillating between persuasion and coercion,
the US tends to employ all available means to keep Pakistan under watch,
pressure and diplomatic subjugation. At times, it has used the 'carrot and stick'
of aid, and at others, lashed out threats of economic strangulation or declaring
Pakistan a terrorist state.

Pakistan's geostrategic position, nuclear capability, agricultural and
 technological potential, as well as the Islamic ideological base are matters of
 vital concern to US policy makers. The US will not and cannot allow Pakistan
to govern her own affairs. While Pakistan was still in its infancy, the American
hawks pounced upon and hijacked our national interests by building up an
exaggerated threat of the former Soviet Union's expansionist designs against
Pakistan and their so called 'mad' drive to the warm waters. ...'

Having been trivially made patsies once by selling Pakistan's military the “strategic-depth”
snake-oil enticement, the American oligarchs have invented the new boogieman to make patsies of the
Negroes once again with some new snake-oil of their “benefit” in the New World Order, for Pakistanis
are entirely eager to dance to the tune of the 'white man' regardless of the song. The Director of the ISI
further noted of the covert-ops penetration (in Pakistan) by the CIA:

'The CIA is known for adopting various covert and overt means to undermine
the integrity of other countries for achieving their own objectives and furthering
their 'nefarious' designs. It is equipped with colossal material resources and its
men are canny and ruthless in executing their assignments. Their methods in
madness include:

a) Espionage and penetration in all areas of interest like defence, foreign
affairs, science/technology, developmental programmes, economy,
education, and politics.

b) Propaganda, disinformation campaigns, subversion, terrorism, psy
warfare, assassinations, blackmail, bribes, coercion and intimidation. ...

j) Install CIA agents as presidents, prime ministers, ministers, generals
and senior advisors, etc.' (pages 20 – 22)
Therefore, as existential proof of the State itself deceptively abetting such covert-ops in Pakistan today, is simply examining what the already 'well-outed in the Western mainstream' terrorist outfit named 'Jundallah' is doing to beleaguered Iran, our next door Muslim neighbor, even as we speak, and again from the same “PAK” soil, and yet how it is plainly getting away with it, really beyond the sensible wit of rational man to make logical deductions from?

Are these geopolitically driven orchestration of events, while closely spaced in time for which no hard receipts and confessionals can be found until after fait accompli, but which are altogether quite transparently being orchestrated on the Grand Chessboard, really so hard to fathom? Surely not! Please see for instance “Iran, the Associated Press, and Covert-War of 'Imperial Mobilization'”. [11]

Pakistan today is truly the “petri-dish of international terrorism” as was stated by the late Benazir Bhutto at the Council on Foreign Relations on August 15, 2007 [12] before she ventured to return to Pakistan with their overt blessings. But that unfortunate source of terror is the despicable State itself, and the equally despicable Western apparatus which fully controls and funds it as a subservient client-state. The erstwhile twice-leader of Pakistan and the scion of a worthy-name simply neglected to mention that fact as she graced the 'radical Islamists' – the state's own construction – with that lofty aphorism thinking that's what the rulers of the world wanted to hear. The same blunder is being made by Nawaz Sharif and all the others in Pakistan.

The Pakistani newsmedia therefore, is also following the diktats of “wisdom” du jour. Thus it is unsurprising that the perspective developed in the article by the “freelance journalist” would also characteristically omit any mention of the tortuous set-up for the deliberate orchestration of the balkanization of Pakistan [13] with the devilish connivance of the state's own fifth columnists. The history of clever deployment of “doctrinal motivation” through a high degree of “intellectual commitment” to craftily re-synthesize “God is on your side” for illiterate and aggrieved patsies has been so cleverly omitted, that one might think such Machiavellian concepts don't, and cannot, exist in West's highly ethical modernity (sic!).

While no one is surely perfect and all-knowing, especially when it comes to the complex deception laden, Hegelian dialectics driven “imperial mobilization” agendas for ‘one-world' government [14], perhaps all who wish to pay lip service to concern for humanity and eagerly pick up the mighty pen to defend it against tyranny, might spend some useful time first researching how “imperial mobilization” is actually conducted with false-flag operations seeding the enabling pretexts, lest they inadvertently lead others astray. They might delve into how NATO intelligence conducted 'Operation Gladio' for creating and sustaining the 'Strategy of Tension' in Western Europe in order to keep the fear of the barbaric 'communists taking over' perpetually alive in the mind of the European public. Many a wanton terrorist act (purportedly) by NATO intelligence, were blamed on their own manipulated patsies like the Red Brigade and the boogieman then in vogue, the godless armed to the teeth with nuclear weapons “communists”. [15]

And today, it is the fully god-imbibed, but supposedly even more barbaric armed to the teeth with box-cutters “islamofascists”. The play of Hegelian dialectics is so superbly orchestrated that no conventional mind can reach the zenith of its moral depravity. The seeds of which, interestingly so, were devilishly re-planted by Bernard Lewis in 1990 right after the demise of the communist USSR, when he coined the mantra “Clash of Civilizations” for the same Council on Foreign Relations to which Benazir Bhutto continually paid homage throughout her career.
Bernard Lewis, the “vulgar propagandist” (as knighted by Noam Chomsky in a video interview on CBC, December 9, 2003, see part-2 [16]), seeded this devilish mantra in CFR’s Foreign Affairs in 1990 thusly, in order to re-harvest the previously celebrated Brzezinski appendage now left adrift after the satisfactory conclusion of the ten year Afghan War. The bleeding dissolution of the USSR for which both Brzezinski [17], and the judeofascist American Enterprise Institute from where George W. Bush had “borrowed twenty of its finest minds” [18] to wage the new lifetime of ‘war on terror’, had entirely taken full unshared-credit. [19]

“In 1990 Bernard Lewis, a leading Western scholar of Islam, analyzed 'The Roots of Muslim Rage,' and concluded: 'It should now be clear that we are facing a mood and a movement far transcending the level of issues and policies and the governments that pursue them. This is no less than a clash of civilizations - that perhaps irrational but surely historic reaction of an ancient rival against our Judeo-Christian heritage, our secular present, and the worldwide expansion of both. It is crucially important that we on our side should not be provoked into an equally historic but also equally irrational reaction against our rival.’ ” (Samuel Huntington in Clash of Civilization).

Only eleven years later, that 'Muslim rage' was catastrophically enacted on the international scene – a “catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor” [20] – supposedly by an islamofascist 'Ali Baba' [21] named 'Bin Laden' [22] to re-enable “imperial mobilization”. The distance between seeding mantras and its harvesting does not seem to be a very long epoch in the scientific modernity du jour when only “Prisoners of the Cave” [23] abound in astronomically large numbers.

This isn't rocket science, only political science. A state-craft perversely seeded in the atheistic “Noble Lies” of the Straussian ‘Ubermensch’ [24]. “Hegemony is as old as mankind” [25] is their sole ‘bible’, and “one-world government”, their sole imperative [26]. Their calculus of global primacy principally entails Machiavellianly seeding “revolutionary times” all across the “global zone of percolating violence” [27] – the 'war on terror' [28] – while simultaneously precipitating the global financial collapse to make “an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece”. [29] The devil's apprentices have cleverly perceived of a gullible mankind bred on 'bread and circuses', that, “what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times.” [30]

Any people will accept under times of stress, turmoil, uncertainty, and war, what they wouldn't under peaceful times. The best way to transform a people, a society, or a world, is to plague them with a lifetime of warfare [31].

The incremental fait accompli inducing baby-steps towards full spectrum transformation of the planet into a world government, unfortunately includes the deadly balkanization and de-nuking of Pakistan. It is part of the long-running genesis-to-genocide death-rebirth cycle of repeatedly raising the phoenix from the grotesquely burnt ashes of humanity. Only a catastrophic destruction of the existing order can lead to the ultimate New World Order of the globalists: an infernal global police state. As connivingly argued by Bertrand Russell, “It seems to follow that a world government could only be kept in being by force,” [32]. All the world wars of the twentieth century were incrementally transformative towards this very globalists' agenda, and the World War IV that we are unfortunate to be living through as the generation caught “Between Two Ages” [33], is intended to induce the...
grotesque “birth pangs” for the devil's bastard child.

To ensure that not one iota of the preceding paragraphs was ever treated as mere philosophical gibberish by the super-learned of the planet, an exquisite Western Negro unabashedly summed up her white man's blood-stained agenda on how labor-pains are to be induced across the raped “global zone of percolating violence” in these delectable words:

“What we're seeing here, in a sense, is…the birth pangs of a new Middle East and whatever we do, we have to be certain that we're pushing forward to the new Middle East, not going back to the old one.” (U.S. Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice, July 21, 2006)

With all the empirical evidence of Western barbarianism seen in Afghanistan and Iraq, and the surfeit of doctrinal evidence of the latest 'white man's burden', only a fool, or a treasonous mercenary would deny or ignore the American shenanigans in Pakistan, Iran, and in the “global zone of percolating violence”. As noted elsewhere on Project Humanbeingsfirst's website, while sensibly trying to talk Pakistani military out from perversely contributing to our own treasonous demise by our military bombing our own peoples to smithereens, when I had gratuitously suggested to some newly retired Pakistani Generals that I could trivially construct a suicide bomber to their precise specification, of ht, wt, sex, and other unmentionable body-part specification for less than a certain amount of dollars to make the palpable point of how easy it was to manufacture “jihadis” after you have shattered their tabula rasa and created an ambiance of sustained “jihadist” indoctrination over the past 30 years, I had been stunned when one of them had immediately remarked, something to the effect: “Zahir you are asking too much – I can do it for much less, around 2000 dollars”.

After having patiently listened to me rant non-stop for over three hours on how Machiavellian political theology runs the modern world, the mighty military men (Ret. with full honors I hasten to add) of Pakistan had silently departed, having first agreed with me that the suicide bombings in Pakistan weren't very difficult to fabricate under the present manufactured triumvirate climate of deprivation, indoctrination, and civilian-killings, that these suicide attacks were not a native part of our historical-cultural-sociological-makeup, and that it had suddenly cropped up in Pakistan only in the aftermath of 911. They however left hanging, the plaintiff's obvious begging question, why persist in acts which only create more of that? [33a] [33b]

The real issue is not that the suicide bombings are fabricated, or how they are fabricated and inculcated as an expression of political dissatisfaction (see for instance 'Dying to Win – The Strategic Logic of Suicide Terrorism' by Robert A. Pape, 2005), but WHO is manufacturing this abhorrence at the top of the compartmentalized chain of command! That isn't very hard to answer either. Simply ask cui bono!

For anyone to pretend that the blatant agenda for the balkanization of Pakistan by the United States of America – the self proclaimed hectoring hegemons [34] – is not the crucial prime-mover behind Pakistan's calculated destabilization with these wanton acts of terror that are entirely reminiscent of 'Operation Gladio' even to the extent of rapid blame attribution to the 'communists' du jour, i.e., the 'Islamofascists', [34a] is either foolhardiness, or outright treasonous. While a fool can surely be educated, and being one is hardly a crime, the fair tradition of dealing with traitors in all societies demands that the treasonous be hanged without mercy. The moral lessons of modernity
further stipulate that this occur only after administering the full rites of the 'Enemy Combatant' protocol. Any Pakistani to still be perpetuating America's fabricated mantras as “Our War” after what the world has blatantly witnessed in Iraq, can only be of the latter kind. Indeed, before coming to power, Mr. Zardari had eagerly asserted, echoing his late wife's sentiments, as also Pakistan military's and its politicians' and newsmedia's aspiration:

' The Pakistan People’s Party (PPP), if it comes into power, must persuade the people that the fight against militants is “our war”, not just America’s war '  
(Daily Times, February 17, 2008, 'Taliban fight is our war: Zardari’)

For one given to much rational cynicism, the sole intent of this vulgar propaganda today appears to be for putting Pakistan's military back in the driving seat for the much desired 'unity of command' that was lost when America's favorite posterchild braving the line-of-fire, General PM, fell into disfavor. The terrorist act in Lahore, as was also noted by dictatorshipwatch.com editor [35], suggests precisely that calculated highest order purpose, of manufacturing sympathy for the previously maligned local bad-guys in order for the elected politicians to officially hand control back to the 'unity of command' of the military as the lesser of all evils without any resistance from the public.

And while I too believe that Pakistan's nuclear-armed military today (assuming they haven't already been disarmed) still holds the infernal key to saving Pakistan through rational and independent-minded realpolitik – as noted in this 2007 Open Letter to Pakistani General [36] – that courageous act of putting out the deliberately lighted fire cannot happen while the ‘arsonists are running all the fire brigades in town’!

It must be obvious to anyone that it is rather trivial today to curry favor from the ruling elite – become their shill and bleat the mantra of 'Islamofascism', delve deeply into some arcane aspects of it but keep that very axiom intact. One will surely get noticed eventually, and perhaps acquire a chair at the Hudson, or get employment at the RAND. Minimally, one will get invited to speak at JINSA and Heritage, get published in mainstream news, and variously earn tangible and intangible benefits. And if one would rather play dissent to win over the odd rabble rouser and 'malcontent', composing something like “Between Imperialism and Islamism” (as Pervez Hoodbhoy did in the Himal Southasian, October-November 2007 issue [36a]), will surely lead to invitation at the 911 memorial in New York to speak to 'Muslim-terrorism'.

In either case, whether participating in manufacturing consent among the gullible masses, or dissent among the 'malcontent' [37], so long as one keeps intact the core-axioms of empire [37a] – which today happens to be the narratives of 'Islamofascism' – in these hard times when the military-industrial complex is emerging as the largest and the only superfunded global employer for its war-making global police-state agenda, which of course also includes vulgar propaganda for both Orwellian and Huxleyan imposition of forced and voluntary servitude, it's surely a rational move to join empire. One can, at least, finally earn a decent living when only joblessness and despair surrounds one. After all, one has to feed the family first, before one can fight the good fight.

Perhaps Project Humanbeingsfirst.org and all those upholding the same categorical imperatives, ought to do the same. We are surely wasting our valuable time and our life going against the grain and standing up to the threats of Hemlock – when we can simply become the favored peoples of our rulers! Okay, perhaps the skin-color for some might get in the way. But surely, that can be made up by the
“virtue” of empire also acquiring the remaining un co-opted type-3 people “who critically examine ... and judge accordingly” on their payroll (see Mein Kampf, vol 1, ch 10, pg 240, for Hitler's classification of populations). Imagine all the riches... all of which we shall surely take with us to our grave to bribe the hungry maggots and entice the proverbial Soul Extractor.

**Footnotes**


> “More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.”

[7] WILLIAM SCHAAP, attorney, expert witness on media disinformation under sworn court testimony, full statement:

> “When you read the books about the history of the CIA, one of the heroes was the first man in charge of media operations, a man named Frank Wizner. And they referred to his organization as the Mighty Wurlitzer. And there's this image of this guy sitting at one of those giant organs, you know, with seventeen keyboards and you're playing this -- sort of like The Phantom of the Opera in that scene, and there was the guy running the CIA media operations all around the world. And he really was because every single city of any size on earth, he had some employee who was -- supposedly worked for a newspaper or a magazine or a radio station or a wire service, and they could get stories anywhere.” [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BAKKviWOmSQ](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BAKKviWOmSQ)

Also see Zahir Ebrahim, A Note on the Mighty Wurlitzer, [http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/05/note-on-mighty-wurlitzer.html](http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/05/note-on-mighty-wurlitzer.html)


Richard Keeble, Hacks And Spooks, March 3, 2006,
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'Former CIA Director James Woolsey said Wednesday the United States is engaged in World War IV, and that it could continue for years. In the address to a group of college students, Woolsey described the Cold War as the third world war and said “This fourth world war, I think, will last considerably longer than either World Wars I or II did for us. Hopefully not the full four-plus decades of the Cold War.” He said the new war is actually against three enemies: the religious rulers of Iran, the “fascists” of Iraq and Syria, and Islamic extremists like al Qaeda. Woolsey told the audience of about 300, most of whom are students at the University of California at Los Angeles, that all three enemies have waged war against the United States for several years but the United States has just “finally noticed.” “As we move toward a new Middle East,” Woolsey said, “over the years and, I think, over the decades to come ... we will make a lot of people very nervous.” It will be America's backing of democratic movements throughout the Middle East that will bring about this sense of unease, he said. “Our response should be, 'good!'” Woolsey said.'

[9a] Citation added for completeness, February 10, 2018

Time magazine, Selling the Carter Doctrine, February 18, 1980,
PBS Video clip, Zbigniew Brzezinski at the Pak-Afghan border, 'God is on your side', http://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/god_is_on_your_side.wmv


[12] CFR Transcript, A Conversation With Benazir Bhutto, August 15, 2007, NY. Introduced by CFR President RICHARD N. HAASS as follows:

“It is, for me, a personal pleasure to welcome back to the Council on Foreign Relations an old friend of mine and someone who is familiar to many of you in this room and knows well this organization, the former prime minister of Pakistan, Benazir Bhutto.” The full quote of Benazir Bhutto: “I seek to lead a democratic Pakistan which is free from the yoke of military dictatorship and that will cease to be a haven, the very petri dish of international terrorism.”
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Philip P. Willan, Puppetmasters: The Political Use of Terrorism in Italy, 2002; Timewatch: Operation Gladio, BBC Ch 2, 3-part Documentary, June 1992, available online, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yXavNe81XdQ

[16] Noam Chomsky, Interview with Evan Solomon on CBC, pt1: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=10rTPSSmOFw
pt2: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bieFwutoqyA


[18] George W. Bush, 26 February 2003, speaking to AEI:

“Some of the finest minds of our nation are at work on some of the greatest challenges to our nation. You do such good work that my administration has borrowed twenty such minds. I want to thank them for their service.”


“The 1980s were great years for AEI's ideas but troubled years for the institution itself. President Ronald Reagan appointed several dozen AEI scholars and fellows to his administration and to federal judgeships, where they helped him to achieve monumental improvements in economic, regulatory, and legal policy and to deploy the more assertive foreign policy that dispatched Soviet Communism by decade's end.”
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[20] PNAC Report, Rebuilding America's Defenses, 2000, full context:

“Until the process of transformation is treated as an enduring military mission – worthy of constant allocation of dollars and forces – it will remain stillborn”, page 58 ; “Further, the process of transformation, even if it brings revolutionary change, is likely to be a long one, absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor”, page 51.


[24] Leo Strauss, see Shadia Drury's Interview with Danny Postel, Noble lies and perpetual war: Leo Strauss, the neocons, and Iraq, https://web.archive.org/web/20060721184528/http://www.opendemocracy.net/faith-iraqwarphilosophy/article_1542.jsp ; to appreciate the sublimity of the atheist's genius mind which makes it a Nietzschean judeofascist superman ;
also see Zahir Ebrahim, Letter to Editor: Dalit Voice's 'Which god?' February 08, 2009, http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/02/letterto-dalitvoice-which-god.html


[29] Richard N. Gardner, The Hard Road To World Order, Foreign Affairs, CFR, April 1974, full quote:

“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.”

[30] David Ben Gurion

[31] Norman Dodd, Congressional Investigator, interview disclosure to G. Edward Griffin, The Hidden Agenda of Tax Exempt Foundations for World Government, full statement regarding what was uncovered during the 1953-1954 Congress sanctioned investigation by the Reeece Committee in the 1908 minute books of the Carnegie Endowment for Peace:
'We are now at the year 1908, which was the year that the Carnegie Foundation began operations. In that year, the trustees, meeting for the first time, raised a specific question, which they discussed throughout the balance of the year in a very learned fashion. The question is: “Is there any means known more effective than war, assuming you wish to alter the life of an entire people?” And they conclude that no more effective means than war to that end is known to humanity.'


[33a] Citation added for completeness, February 10, 2018

Time magazine, The CIA's Silent War in Pakistan, Monday, Jun. 01, 2009,


[34] PNAC, author's field in “Rebuilding America's Defences”, https://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/hectoring-hegemons-pdf.jpg

[34a] Citation added for completeness, February 10, 2018


[35] Abid Jan, Lahore Attack: One in the many steps towards making Pakistan another Afghanistan, editorial, March 30, 2009,
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[36a] Citation added for completeness, February 10, 2018


[37a] Citation added for completeness, February 10, 2018
Chapter 10

Between “Worthy Victims”
and “Unworthy Victims”

Response to Chris Floyd's 'Dead Enough: The Reality of the “Lesser Evil”’ – Deconstructing the reality behind The Reality of “Lesser Evil”

November 15, 2012

Begin Excerpt

Is this child dead enough for you?

This little boy was named Naeemullah. He was in his house -- maybe playing, maybe sleeping, maybe having a meal -- when an American drone missile was fired into the residential area where he lived and blew up the house next door.

As we all know, these drone missiles are, like the president who wields them, super-smart, a triumph of technology and technocratic expertise. We know, for the president and his aides have repeatedly told us, that these weapons -- launched only after careful consultation of the just-war
strictrues of St. Augustine and St. Thomas Aquinas -- strike nothing but their intended targets and kill no one but “bad guys.” Indeed, the president's top aides have testified under oath that not a single innocent person has been among the thousands of Pakistani civilians -- that is, civilians of a sovereign nation that is not at war with the United States -- who have been killed by the drone missile campaign of the Nobel Peace Prize Laureate.

... As Wired reports, [0] shrapnel and debris went flying through the walls of Naeemullah's house and ripped through his small body. When the attack was over -- when the buzzing drone sent with Augustinian wisdom by the Peace Laureate was no longer lurking over the village, shadowing the lives of every defenseless inhabitant with the terrorist threat of imminent death, Naeemullah was taken to the hospital in a nearby town.

... Before the election, we heard a lot of talk about this notion of the “lesser evil.” From prominent dissidents and opponents of empire like Daniel Ellsberg and Noam Chomsky and Robert Parry to innumerable progressive blogs to personal conversations, one heard this basic argument:

“Yes, the drone wars, the gutting of civil liberties, the White House death squads and all the rest are bad; but Romney would be worse. Therefore, with great reluctance, holding our noses and shaking our heads sadly, we must choose the lesser evil of Obama and vote accordingly.” --- Chris Floyd, Dead Enough: The Reality of the “Lesser Evil” 09 November 2012

End Excerpt

Thanks to Chris Floyd [1] for remembering this tiny little Pakistani “unworthy victim” named Naeemullah, as Noam Chomsky would characterize this innocent unmourned victim of the good guys, who, predictably as always, is dismissed merely as “collateral damage”, the “lesser evil” in the war against a greater evil.

By Chomsky's definition, the “worthy victim” is always worthy of being mourned, as it is made victim by the bad guys or their allies. The “unworthy victim” is unworthy of being mourned or even worrying about, as it is made victim by the good guys or their allies.

So the equally innocent child Malala Yousafzai, [3] the “worthy victim”, a victim of the evildoers, is to be honored and even celebrated, perhaps even anointed as the “peace-maker” and awarded
the Nobel Peace prize. It makes the bad guys look really bad and advances the cause of empire's counter-insurgency operations against them.

And because frequently occurring “worthy victims” continually refuel the necessary “doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” to sustain “imperial mobilization” since “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization” as Zbigniew Brzezinski puts it, it is not beyond empire to create the “worthy victims” itself using the bad guys as stooges:

**Quote US Army Field Manual**

“Top Secret: There may be times when host country governments show passivity or indecision in the face of Communist subversion ...

US Army Intelligence must have the means of launching special operations which will convince host country governments and public opinion of the reality of the insurgent danger ...

US Army Intelligence should seek to penetrate the insurgency by means of agents of special assignments, with the task of forming special action groups among the most radical elements of the insurgency.” -- Source: see [The Mighty Wurlitzer](http://www.mightywurlitzer.com/)

**End Quote**

The brutal creation and public-relations harvesting of “worthy victims” enables putting to bed all the “unworthy victims” as merely the “lesser evil” in empire's counter-insurgency operations. This is examined in the report: [Insurgency vs. Counter-Insurgency](http://tinyurl.com/Insurgency-Counterinsurgency).

The brilliant nomenclature of “worthy” vs. “unworthy” I hope helps shed some forensic light for the [confused](http://tinyurl.com/Insurgency-Counterinsurgency) as to why empire's favorite Malala Yousafzai even has November 11th, 2012, declared by the UN Special Envoy for Global Education and former British Prime Minister Gordon Brown, as the ‘Malala Day’, while [Dr. Aafia Siddiqui](http://tinyurl.com/Dr-Aafia-Siddiqui) has ignominiously been put in jail for life (86 years to be precise). Since no one really likes to remember the “unworthy victims”, I have included their images here.
My old prof. from MIT has surely contributed a great deal of meaningful vocabulary and penetrating concepts for explaining the Machiavellian statecraft of perception management throughout his extraordinary life of dissent. Including the following:

**Quote Noam Chomsky**

“This “debate” is a typical illustration of a primary principle of sophisticated propaganda. In crude and brutal societies, the Party Line is publicly proclaimed and must be obeyed — or else. What you actually believe is your own business and of far less concern. In societies where the state has lost the capacity to control by force, the Party Line is simply presupposed; then, vigorous debate is encouraged within the limits imposed by unstated doctrinal orthodoxy. The cruder of the two systems leads, naturally enough, to disbelief; the sophisticated variant gives an impression of openness and freedom, and so far more effectively serves to instill the Party Line. It becomes beyond question, beyond
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thought itself, like the air we breathe.’

and

‘Democratic societies use a different method: they don’t articulate the party line. That’s a mistake. What they do is presuppose it, then encourage vigorous debate within the framework of the party line. This serves two purposes. For one thing it gives the impression of a free and open society because, after all, we have lively debate. It also instills a propaganda line that becomes something you presuppose, like the air you breathe.’

and

‘The smart way to keep people passive and obedient is to strictly limit the spectrum of acceptable opinion, but allow very lively debate within that spectrum – even encourage the more critical and dissident views. That gives people the sense that there’s free thinking going on, while all the time the presuppositions of the system are being reinforced by the limits put on the range of the debate.’

End Quote

It is most essential to understand the unstated backdrop for this “lesser evil” concept emanating from the dissent-chiefs who are evidently employing the same methods of perception management that they have explained the empire employing for “manufacturing consent”. So, logically speaking, are they manufacturing dissent – or straightforwardly manufacturing consent?

Virtually everyone who critiques empire's burlesque, ahem, its excesses, has almost always made the pre-supposition that its “war on terror” is real because 9/11 was an invasion by terrorists from abroad. “Like the air we breathe”, once that pre-supposition becomes the silent and unnoticed backdrop, the lovely progressives and their dissent-chiefs can easily go about discussing the best way to fight that “war”, and that's where the discourse of “lesser evil” concept cleverly plays in. It only serves to legitimize the “war on terror” axiom which itself remains unchallenged.

Thus one can go freely about critiquing empire's methods of prosecuting that war, and not the axiom upon which it is based. Therefore, automatically, the “war” against the “terrorist” is the natural outcome once that core-axiom remains unchallenged. And we end up with what is the “lesser evil” debate – giving the illusion of “lively debate within that spectrum – even encourage the more critical and dissident views. That gives people the sense that there’s free thinking going on, while all the time the presuppositions of the system are being reinforced by the limits put on the range of the debate.”

Noam Chomsky, Daniel Ellsberg, and Progressives et. al., have together echoed the same core-axiom as the Pentagon, the White House, the mainstream media, et. al., that 9/11 was the work of the Muslim terrorist Osama Bin Laden espousing the vile “militant Islam”. Amazing that they each have so much in common with their supposed “antagonists”! I had thought that dissent is supposed to challenge, inter alia, the Machiavellian narratives of the state? I guess it is only some narratives and
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not others that are to be challenged and dissented against.

I imagine I could easily classify these as “worthy narratives” (truths promulgated by power) and “unworthy narratives” (lies promulgated by power). The former to remain untouched by dissent-chiefs and those skeptics going after them to be labeled “conspiracy theorist”. The latter to be legitimately critiqued by dissent-chiefs and awarded peace prizes for as belonging to the “voices of conscience” and to “peace makers”. The “conspiracy theorist” label is examined in some depth in the report: Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory ([http://tinyurl.com/anatomy-conspiracy-theory](http://tinyurl.com/anatomy-conspiracy-theory)).

It is a perception management game of which virtually all the so called “progressives” in the Western hemisphere, and laudingly led by their vaunted dissent-chiefs whom they often air prominently, are an essential part. It constitutes the Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent. This is also examined in much depth in the report: The Mighty Wurlitzer ([http://tinyurl.com/MightyWurlitzer](http://tinyurl.com/MightyWurlitzer)).

Unless one can understand the various methods of perception management, including manufacturing dissent to capture those moral souls escaping from the manufacturing consent factory, one cannot understand anything of modernity. Including this “lesser evil” mantra. Some of these methods of controlled dissent the Mighty Noam Chomsky has himself brilliantly articulated, as evidenced from his perceptive quotes above. And he is celebrated as “arguably the most important intellectual alive” by the mouthpiece of empire itself, the New York Times.

All this manufactured “celebrity” status has garnered these “moral consciences” of the West a great following of useful idiots – people formerly in the mainstream who got fed-up with the lies of the state and were captured by these “collection agents” lest they become troublesome and effective in their opposition. Hitler characterized this lot rather well in his Mein Kampf as type-2. The report on Manufacturing Dissent ([http://tinyurl.com/Dissent-Factory](http://tinyurl.com/Dissent-Factory)) examines the import of this exercise of craftily putting dissent on the treadmill running in place to nowhere for sustaining “imperial mobilization” unfettered.

As for Chris Floyd's main observation of the Progressives: “... but Romney would be worse. Therefore, with great reluctance, holding our noses and shaking our heads sadly, we must choose the lesser evil of Obama and vote accordingly.”, any genuine dissent-chief with even an iota of analytical reasoning skills and the ability to astutely navigate the empire's many rabbit holes would have argued what this scribe suggested in October 2008: “Not-Voting is a ‘YES’ vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy!” ([http://tinyurl.com/Not-Voting-is-Yes-Vote-Reject](http://tinyurl.com/Not-Voting-is-Yes-Vote-Reject))

It would be laughable, were it not actually a sophisticated propaganda engine, that among these so called “Progressives” led by their dissent-chiefs, the same spirit of presupposition of the party line is at play in their virtually every discourse with its concomitant “vigorous debate within the framework of the party line” as ably depicted by their most notable leader in his quoted passages at the top. “It also instills a propaganda line that becomes something you presuppose, like the air you breathe.” That “propaganda line”, that presupposition upon which the entire game of democracy is so vigorously contested and protected, is the myth of elections being anything useful in bringing change. This myth has been so craftily cultivated over the past two generations that none are able to see through the fog of indoctrination that something else entirely, “a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive,” such that people only whisper in hushed voices “when they speak in condemnation of it”, runs the United States with the elected Representatives
merely as its front faces. [Ibid.]

Which is why core policies of the state do not change by changing the front faces in the White House. Often minor domestic policy changes are put on the table and “then, vigorous debate is encouraged within the limits imposed by unstated doctrinal orthodoxy” just to maintain the facade of democracy and elections being the harbingers of the much needed change.

Advertising Age’s 2008 Marketer of the Year award to President Obama for his election campaign of the “Change” mantra, the Nobel committee’s awarding him the 2009 Nobel Peace Prize, and the New York Times' flashy report after the 2012 elections: “Academic 'Dream Team' Helped Obama's Effort”, testify to the empiricism of this observation. The NYT November 12, 2012 disclosure after the fact, as it virtually always is – “All the News That's Fit to Print” that they deem what and when it is fit to print, and almost always ex post facto if they are going to print it at all – is just revealing:

'This election season the Obama campaign won a reputation for drawing on the tools of social science. The book “The Victory Lab,” by Sasha Issenberg, and news reports have portrayed an operation that ran its own experiment and, among other efforts, consulted with the Analyst Institute, a Washington voter research group established in 2007 by union officials and their allies to help Democratic candidates.

Less well known is that the Obama campaign also had a panel of unpaid academic advisers. The group — which calls itself the “consortium of behavioral scientists,” or COBS — provided ideas on how to counter false rumors, like one that President Obama is a Muslim. It suggested how to characterize the Republican opponent, Mitt Romney, in advertisements. It also delivered research-based advice on how to mobilize voters.' --- New York Times, Nov. 12, 2012

The Manufacturing Consent factory in the mainstream glorifies the electioneering candidates with astute perception management. The Manufacturing Dissent factory among the skeptics and the rebels pitches the “lesser evil” mantra to push the same candidate forward. Both factories of perception management of their respective constituencies work towards the same end from opposites sides!

In this game-theory laced entertainment for the masses, even if there is a voter-upset in the election game as a wildcard, the choice presented to the public is always carefully between twiddledee and twiddledum. All horses in the race are from the same stable so how much of an upset can the race outcome ever be? The game is further kept entertaining with various side shows, intrigues and scandals, like electronic ballot, voter-theft, etceteras. It keeps the people happy that they have a religion, the religion of democracy, watchfully guarded by the liberal-conservative corporate nexus of ABC, NBC, CBS, FOX and CNN on one side, and the Progressives and Pacifica non-corporate conscience of the nation on the other. The masses go for pilgrimage happy-happy every four years to do their religious duty. It keeps the priestly oligarchy class also happy, and perpetually in power.

The empirical fact of the matter is that there is no “lesser evil” as the entire “democratic elections” system is a scam based on primarily choosing between Vanilla and Chocolate, both
Between “Worthy Victims” and “Unworthy Victims” carefully manufactured at the same confectionary owned by the same oligarchy! “Vanilla or Chocolate is merely the icing on the devil's cake!” This is examined in some depth in Flashback: From President George W. Bush to President Barrack Obama – More faces change, more they remain the same! (http://tinyurl.com/PresidentsChange-Policies-Dont).

Those who preach the “lesser evil” to push the system's own manufactured candidates forward using their brilliance in specious argumentation rather than expose the outright sham of the so called democracy and its elections, are in fact manufacturing consent for the same oligarchic propaganda line while wearing the moral garb of dissent. I think when Jesus had referred to such peoples as “hypocrites”, he had perhaps missed the concept of “noora kushti” and never witnessed the circus clowns warming up the crowds to keep them interested in empire's games. In other words, Jesus had perhaps never seen a WWF wrestling game, or met the *ubermensch* who see themselves as being “beyond good and evil”. But both Plato and Friedrich Nietzsche, despite being separated in time by at least two millennia, evidently understood this game far more perceptively than the theistic prophets. This wonderful game of the oligarchy is further deconstructed in: Election 2012 vs. Election 2008: What has Changed? (http://tinyurl.com/Election2012-Election2008).

The only sensible thing to do for the public is to challenge the sham ab initio. Something you'd think the dissent-chiefs would take the lead in as the moral compass of humanity. But these compasses today have all been salted. Alas, we are at the day when the salt itself has rusted!

So long as the oligarchy exists and continues to control the purse strings of any nation, elections and democracy will remain their ace in the hole to continue Machiavellianly ruling the public with an iron fist in the name of their new god of modernity, “democracy”, no differently than when the priestly class of antiquity ruled their public in the name of their anointed deities. The difference today is that the public is presented with the illusion of “choice” with sophisticated perception management and behavior control. And these are all the presuppositions of “the Party Line” which constitute the invisible backdrop that remains “beyond question, beyond thought itself, like the air we breathe.”

If you are bothered by the images of “Harmless innocence Melt; Flours of all hue, and without Thorn the Rose” (Milton) mercilessly snuffed out in the bud with empire's bombs and sanctions, drones and checkpoints, from Palestine to Pakistan, and soon coming to the police state near you, that's where you must begin, before it is all a fait accompli.

Catch a man a fish and feed him for a day, teach him how to fish and feed him for life -- or something like that....

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

**Footnotes**

Footnote added August 14, 2019 - Malala, the child now grown up into a most despised young woman in Pakistan but favorite of the establishment elite, groomed at Oxford university in the ways of the world and empire, still all that *innocent of knowledge* a decade after the *Faustian bargain* her father surely made with the *shaitan-e-buzurg* on her behalf to propel her onto the world stage?

The same question applies to the other progeny of empire to be inflicted upon the Pakistanis, Bilawal Bhutto-Zardari: still all that *innocent of knowledge* more than a decade after the assassination of his mother and the strange discovery of her “Will” with her maid that propelled the young lad into the national leadership of his mother's political party as co-chairman, alongside his shrewd father of course who also became the President of Pakistan on the basis of that same assassination, and is now in jail on corruption charges waiting to once again go pretty much scot-free?

It is important to ask of each of these creatures of destiny who are sure to become the president or prime minister of Pakistan someday: Which of these two natural human traits they missed out on in the grand scheme of things: 1) sufficient intelligence to understand straightforward matters, or 2) sufficient self-respect to refuse the *Faustian pact* that was made on their behalf? They don't have to be like their parents --- or do they? Creatures of destiny!
Part-II

Pakistan's Most “Unworthy Victim”:
Dr Aafia Siddiqui

Monday, September 30, 2019

The Journey of an “unworthy victim”
Watch the charming and articulate Aafia Siddiqui as a teenager about to enter MIT

[ http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Skmj16h40wE ]

And This

Caption The lovely, articulate, MIT graduate, and the world her oyster!
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To This

[ http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z9U8u1taoYg ]

Caption Even the Heavens must surely weep!
The Ugly Side of U.S. Law or the hubris of Imperial Mobilization awaiting its Just Comeuppance?

Definition

By the American scholar extraordinaire, the keeper of America's conscience, and my teacher at MIT, Professor Noam Chomsky's definition, the “worthy victim” is always worthy of being mourned, celebrated, commemorated, as it is made victim by the bad guys or their allies. The “unworthy victim” is unworthy of being mourned or even worrying about, as it is made victim by the good guys or their allies.
As an example of each, the once innocent child Malala Yousafzai (that she obviously once was, before accepting the Faustian pact as an adult that had been made on her behalf by her parents when she was a child), the “worthy victim”, a victim of the evil-doers, is to be honored and even celebrated, perhaps even anointed as the “peace-maker”, and of course awarded the Nobel Peace prize as a teenager just for being shot at (non fatally) by the bad guys. Celebrating her makes the bad guys look really bad and advances the cause of empire's counter-insurgency operations against them. It also creates a hero from among the Untermenschen who can be thrust back upon them as empire's glorified representative to them. This imperial craft for colonizing the Untermensch was most impressively fashioned by the empire that once ruled the seven seas, and upon which the sun never set, Great Britannia:

'We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern, --a class of persons Indian in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals and in intellect.'
--- (Lord Macaulay, Minute on Indian Education, 2nd February 1835)

Martin Luther King Jr., examined that construction by taking it a step further for the even far more brutish legatee of Great Britannia:

'The white establishment is skilled in flattering and cultivating emerging leaders. It presses its own image on them and finally, from imitation of manners, dress, and style of living, a deeper strain of corruption develops. This kind of Negro leader acquires the white man’s contempt for the ordinary Negro. He is often more at home with the middle-class white than he is among his own people. His language changes, his location changes, his income changes, and ultimately he changes from the representative of the Negro to the white man into the white man’s representative to the Negro. The tragedy is that too often he does not recognize what has happened to him.’ --- (Martin Luther King Jr., A Testament of Hope, page 307)

Malcolm X advanced that understanding of empire's Negroes one step further:

'Today's Uncle Tom doesn't wear a handkerchief on his head. This modern, twentieth-century Uncle Thomas now often wears a top hat. He's usually well-dressed and well-educated. He's often the personification of culture and refinement. The twentieth-century Uncle Thomas sometimes speaks with a Yale or Harvard accent. Sometimes he is known as Professor, Doctor, Judge, and Reverend, even Right Reverend Doctor. This twentieth-century Uncle Thomas is a professional Negro ... by that I mean his profession is being a Negro for the white man.’ --- (Malcolm X, The Autobiography of Malcolm X, 1964, 1999 hardcover edition, Chapter Black Muslims, page 265.)

Malcolm X didn't just stop there. He further distinguished between the *House Nigger* (Uncle Thomas) and the *Field Nigger*:

‘There was two kind of slaves.
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There was the house Negro and the field Negro.
The house Negro, they lived in the house, with massa. They dressed pretty good. They ate good, cause they ate his food, what he left. They lived in the attic or the basement, but still they lived near their master, and they loved their master, more than their master loved himself. They would give their life to save their master's house quicker than their master would.

The house Negro, if the master said 'we got a good house here', the house Negro say 'yeah, we got a good house here'.

Whenever the master would said we, he'd say we. That's how you can tell a house Negro.

If the master's house caught on fire, the house Negro would fight harder to put the blaze out than the master would. If the master got sick, the house Negro would say 'What's the matter, boss, we sick?' 'We sick!' He identified himself with his master, more than his master identified with himself.

And if you came to the house Negro and said 'let's run away, let's escape, let's separate', the house Negro would look at you and say 'man, you crazy! What you mean separate? Where is there a better house than this? Where can I wear better clothes than this? Where can I eat better food than this?'

That was that house Negro.

In those days, he was called a house nigger. And that's what we call him today, 'cause we still got some house niggers runnin around here.

This modern house Negro loves his master. He wants to live near him.

He'll pay three times as much as the house is worth just to live near his master, and then brag about 'I'm the only Negro out here. I'm the only one on my job. I'm the only one in this school.' You're nothing but a house Negro!

And if someone come to you right now and say 'let's separate', you say the same
thing that the house Negro said on the plantation: 'What you mean separate? From America? This good white man? Where you gonna get a better job than you get here? I mean this is what you say. 'I ain't left nothing in Africa'. That's what you say.

Why, you left your mind in Africa!

On that same plantation, there was the field Negro.

The field Negro, those were the masses. There was always more Negroes in the field than there was Negroes in the house.

The Negro in the field caught hell. He ate leftovers. In the house they ate high up on the hog. The Negro in the field didn't get nothing but what was left of the insides of the hog. They call them chetlands nowadays. In those days they called them what they were, guts!

That's what you were, a guteater. And some of you are still guteaters!

The field Negro was beaten, from morning till night. He lived in a shack, in a hut. He wore cast-off clothes. He hated his master. I say, he hated his master.

He was intelligent.

That house Negro loved his master. But that field Negro, remember, they were in the majority, and they hated their master.

When the house caught on fire, he didn't try to put it out, that field Negro prayed for a wind. For a breeze!

When the master got sick, the field Negro prayed that he died. If someone come to the field Negro and said 'let's separate, let's run.' He didn't say 'Where we going?' he said 'Any place is better than here'.

We got field Negroes in America today.

I'm a field Negro.

The masses are the field Negroes.

When they see this man's house on fire, you don't hear these little Negroes talkin bout 'Our Government is in trouble'. They say 'thee Government is in trouble.'

Imagine a Negro, 'our Government'. I even heard one say 'our astronauts.' They won't even let him near the plant, and 'our astronauts'. 'Our Navy'. That's a Negro that's out of his mind.

That's a Negro that's out of his mind!

Just as the slave master in that day, used Tom, the house Negro, to keep the field Negroes in check.
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The same 'ol slavemaster today, has Negroes, who are nothing but modern Uncle Toms. 20th century Uncle Toms, to keep you and me in check.

Keep us under control. Keep us passive and peaceful. And nonviolent. That's Tom making you nonviolent.

It's like when you go to the dentist, and the man is going to take your tooth. You're going to fight him, when he start pulling. So they squirt some stuff in your jaw called Novocain, to make you think they are not doing anything to you. So you sit there and because you got all that Novocain in your jaw, you suffer peacefully. Hahahaha.

There's nothing in our Book, the Qur'an, as you call it, Koran, teaches us to suffer peacefully.

Our religion teaches us to be intelligent. Be peaceful. Be courteous. Obey the law. Respect everyone.

But if someone puts his hand on you, send him to the cemetery!

That's a good religion. In fact, that's that old-time religion. That's the one that Ma and Pa used to talk about.

An eye for an eye, and a tooth for a tooth, and a head for a head, and a life for a life.

That's a good religion.

And then anybody, no one resist that kind of religion being taught but a wolf, who intends to make you his meal.

This is the way it is with the white man in America. He's a wolf, and you're sheep.

Anytime a shepherd, a pastor, teach you and me not to run from the white man, and at the same time teach us don't fight the white man, he's a traitor, to you and me.

Don't lay down our life all by itself. No! Preserve your life. It's the best thing you got.

And if you got to give it up, let it be Even Steven.” -- (Malcolm X, House Negro vs. Field Negro Speech. Transcription by Project Humanbeingsfirst.org)

Pakistan's young Nobel laureate “worthy victim” of course, speaks with an Oxford accent. She lives in the UK under the protection of the massa while she is groomed to play her role as the future prime minister of Pakistan.

Because frequently occurring “worthy victims” continually refuel the necessary “doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” to sustain “imperial mobilization” since “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization” as Zbigniew Brzezinski puts it, it is not beyond empire to manufacture the “worthy victims” itself using the bad guys as stooges. The House Niggers of course shall all pretend that bad field niggers are responsible for the heinous acts and go to war on their own people on behalf of the massa.
Pakistan's exponentially aging “unworthy victim” on the other hand, sold to empire by Pakistan's ruler, Dr. Aafia Siddiqui, under the rubric of empire's laws and manufactured evidence is consigned to 86 years in prison.

That is the straightforward reality of the matter. From Aafia Then, to Aafia Now, is but one insignificant step of imperial mobilization.

More pertinently, it is imperial hubris, awaiting its Just Comeuppance!

Oh Allah SWT, have mercy on this gallant soul... has she not paid the price of her Categorical Imperative sufficiently?

Open Letter to Pakistani Judicial Minds: Reclaiming Dr Aafia Siddiqui

Wednesday, February 20, 2019

Dear Hon Chief Justice of Pakistan and Her Best Judicial Minds:

Pakistan's destiny appears to be to blindly stagger from crisis to crisis. So, arguably, there is never the best moment to do anything but the present. All things must be done in the present when tomorrow shall only be the harbinger of some new existential crisis – which today already presages the looming Muslim on Muslim World War. Therefore, while we are managing our present crises and worrying about our future ones, I wish to draw your attention to finally reclaiming one of Pakistan's own – Dr. Aafia Siddiqui.

The following oped is now almost a decade old. Do something please --- and I outline below how to proceed for those of greater and finer judicial acumen. If Pakistan's best judicial minds, her best soldiers of truth and justice, fear calling a spade a spade to the point of summun, bukmun, umyun, then who, or what, can the ordinary plebeians seeking justice on earth look towards? Death? It, obviously, ends injustices for all --- the kamaal is to have it end for the living, wouldn't you agree?

Dare to tug on the loose yarn of the absurd cloak to unravel the entire garment of global deceit forcibly inflicted upon all mankind today?
FLASHBACK: Remembering Aafia Siddiqui in 2011 – 38 years old, frail victim of Imperial Mobilization

Wednesday, July 20, 2011

“In the End, we will remember not the words of our enemies, but the silence of our friends.” --- Martin Luther King, Jr.

The following letter accompanied this Flashback emailed to many lawyers, professors, jurist doctors, et. al.

Dear friends and teachers, AOA (Assalaam O Alekum - may peace be with you).

A frail woman is teetering at the very edge of existentialism. I write you In great sadness and with much anguish, for “only the dead have seen the end of war” (Plato). Those silent on this case are just as silent on all cases from Palestine to Pakistan, Afghanistan to Iraq. Aafias are the victims of every war. Taking a stance on this one, our contemporary, is taking a stance on every Aafia from time immemorial.

While we can do nothing about the dead (except lament, or, for the professional academic, write books upon books and treatise upon treatise to get tenure as professors and win accolades for one's scholarship), there is something we can do for the living.

Please read the legal concept outlined below in the Editorial. It was set as an international precedent at Nuremberg by the Military Tribunal in which Robert Jackson, the chief prosecuting
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counsel for the United States, argued culpability of all Allied destruction of Europe resulting in the slaughter of millions of innocent civilians, on the doorstep of those from whose first crime of aggression, “all the evil that followed”.

That concept is also a key construct for ascertaining legal culpability and for apportioning criminality in both secular law, as well as moral law, where first cause is held more culpable than its effects. This is almost universal, and a legal truism. Those who claim to be Jurist Doctors, cannot but be aware of it.

See my layman's argument below. If argued in proper legalize by those skilled in that profession, it can surely enable refreshing a legal precedent for contemporary times of the here and the now which is entirely clouded in the villainous calculus of “imperial mobilization” (a term from Zbigniew Brzezinski).

Sincerely,

Zahir Ebrahim,

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Editorial: Dr. Aafia Siddiqui and Justice in the Service of Empire

*The Only Truth About US Justice is that Justice is in the Service of Empire!*

First Article on Thursday, February 11, 2010 | Letter to Editor Friday, February 12, 2010 | Oped Saturday, February 13, 2010 | Last Updated February 26, 2010

*Turned down by all newspapers in the world, from The New York Times to Pakistan's Dawn and Daily Times*

Yvonne Ridley's anguished opinion 'Truth about US justice' appeared in Wednesday's edition of
Pakistan's prominent English Daily, The News, on February 10, 2010, and also appeared worldwide before then.

Ms. Ridley bemoans the travesty of justice in the US court's pronouncement of its guilty verdict on the frail, tortured daughter of Pakistan, Dr. Aafia Siddiqui. The veteran journalist is perhaps unaware of the import of the following revealing words of a US Supreme Court justice which were uttered in 1951:

“To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative.”

This lesser known utterance by the highest lawman of the United States came right on the heels of the victorious Allies administering the absolute victor's justice at Nuremberg to the defeated Nazis with these famous words of its chief prosecuting counsel for the United States, Robert H. Jackson:

“... we are not prepared to lay down a rule of criminal conduct against others which we would not be willing to have invoked against us.”

Indeed, if there is one monumental statement made at Nuremburg, it was possibly this:

“... the supreme international crime differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole”.

In other words, Justice Robert H. Jackson averred that all the destruction of civilian cities from Dresden, Hamburg, ... to Tokyo in Allied fire-bombings which deliberately killed millions of innocent civilians, was not culpable crimes against humanity because its sin and criminality was absorbed by the Supreme International Crime of the first aggression!

Culpability for “all the evil that follows” is always solely apportioned by victors to the account of the first aggressor (the one who is defeated).

Even the aggressor's pretext for its first invasion of Poland as its own preemptive self-defense against terrorism (the Gleiwitz terrorist incident aka Operation Canned Goods), was outright rejected at Nuremberg as merely the self-inflicted inside-job to synthesize a Machiavellian pretext for extending German Lebensraum. As Hitler had put it to his Generals in Bavaria:

“[I will] give a propagandist reason for starting the war [and don’t] mind whether it was plausible or not. The victor will not be asked afterward whether he told the truth or not. In starting and waging a war it is not the right that matters, but victory.”

Justice Robert Jackson unequivocally affirmed that the Nazi quest for full spectrum dominance of Europe was illegal by international law, under any pretext:

“The intellectual bankruptcy and moral perversion of the Nazi regime might have been no concern of international law had it not been utilized to goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers. It is not their thoughts, it is their overt acts which we charge to be crimes.”
And in order to ensure that these legal words of immense import were never re-semanticized for “imperial mobilizations” by future 'ubermensch' Reichs, but rather, that these concepts remained inviolably “encased in a semantic strait-jacket”, the very definition for the word ‘aggressor’ was ab initio proposed by Justice Robert Jackson as a state which first initiates:

“invasion of its armed forces, with or without a declaration of war, of the territory of another State. ... If certain acts of violation of treaties are crimes, they are crimes whether the United States does them or whether Germany does them, and we are not prepared to lay down a rule of criminal conduct against others which we would not be willing to have invoked against us.”

That is quite an objective measure in international law for ascertaining who is the most guilty aggressor party, and who to fry first for crimes against peace, for monumental crimes against humanity.

So, even if Dr. Aafia Siddiqui is actually guilty as charged (for the sake of argument); is indeed the heinous mastermind of Al-Qaeda (a Hegelian Dialectic which is examined elsewhere); or even if she was merely a dupe recruited by the Talibans/Al-Qaeda as their waterboy (just as the CIA recruited Muslims from around the world to fight as the lauded Mujahideen against the USSR with proclamations of “god is on your side”); by the same yardstick as was used to hang the Nazis while awarding medals of bravery to the Allies who killed millions of innocent civilians in the defense of Europe against the aggressor, all the evil which has followed from the terrorist acts of an individual in aiding and abetting the militant-response against the invasion forces in Afghanistan is similarly legally subsumed by the monumental acts of state terrorism! The superpower's utilization of the 911 terrorist incident to “goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers” is little different from the Nazis'.

Therefore, in any fair justice system interested in bringing real criminals closer to their day of accounting, before Dr. Aafia can be charged for her criminal conduct of responding to the invading forces in Afghanistan by her frail physical might, the leaders of the 'free world' and their financial supermasters seeking their own “Lebensraum” must be put on trial for their “supreme international crime ... of goosestepping the Herrenvolk across international frontiers.”!

To anyone with even half a brain, but one which is not entirely uncongenial to reflection, it must have been rather obvious from day-one that in the light of public revelations of the egregious circumstances of Dr. Aafia's bizarre capture and the subsequent orchestration of her show trial (instead of simply assassinating the accused if she was such a diabolical threat to mankind), any “justice” administered to Dr. Aafia Siddiqui would only be comparable to the proclamation of the Queen of Hearts in Alice in Wonderland: “off with her head”.

It must also have been apparent to those inclined to perusing statecraft rather than watching television or reading newspapers for their knowledge of current affairs, that the show trial of Aafia Siddiqui was designed primarily to serve an agenda of the state. Namely, one of calculatingly exercising the “high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” deemed necessary for a “sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power.” A careful reading of Zbigniew Brzezinski's The Grand Chessboard makes the political science and the various mantras behind “imperial mobilization” abundantly clear.
Therefore, at least for these abnormal people who actually try to comprehend the forces which drive terrorism, both the pirate's as well as the emperor's, there is nothing surprising in the guilty verdict, nor in the conduct of the servile Pakistani rulers leading up to the verdict, and nor in the utterances of the US Ambassador to Pakistan, Ann Patterson. To have expected anything else after all the careful preparations that went into enacting this puppetshow, the show trial and its attendant media demonization of Dr. Aafia, only betrays immense naiveté of the inner-workings of empire.

In my view, the prima facie 'Truth about US justice' is that “justice” is in the service of empire, as it always has been! The madam Ambassador of the United States to Pakistan has only executed the core purpose of her diplomatic post rather faithfully in the service of her empire.

Justice in these times, like everything else, including science, politics, history, literature, cinema, news (which is often indistinguishable from cinema), and of course political-science, is continually put in the diabolical service of empire. The only veritable truths are the imperial proclamations of the white man – from who did 911 to Global War on Terror to Global Warming to Global Epidemics to Global Financial Collapse to Global Governance. These history-constructions by incremental faits accomplis are the sine qua non for one-world government and cannot be constrained in any moral or legal “semantic strait-jacket”.

It's not like the beleaguered Pakistanis don't know it – we even have the East India Company's achievements to guide us – but apparently, we, the 'untermensch', never quite seem to learn its lessons. And that's really the only pernicious secret of the enduring hidden strength of the golem behind all its guns and butter offerings to its victims before slaughtering them. The veritable strength of its 'Samson locks': our own price!

The former Director of the ISI, Brig. Tirmazi, narrated the following about us Pakistanis in his 1996 book Profiles of Intelligence:

> '... It would be fair to ask what we [the ISI] did to counter the US machinations? Well we did not, and could not do any thing beyond reporting to the highest authority in the country. There are reasons for our inaction:

One, neither the ISI nor the IB is designed or equipped to counter the machinations of a Super Power.

Two, an important factor is our own price. A lot has been said and written by some of our American friends about the price of a Pakistani. Dr. Andrew V. Corry, US Counsel General at Lahore, once said, “Price of a Pakistani oscillates between a free trip to the US and a bottle of whisky.” He may not be too far wrong. We did observe some highly placed Pakistanis selling their conscience, prestige, dignity and self-respect for a small price.' (page 45, emphasis added)

That evergreen description however has not captured the grotesque reality of the English-enabled intellectual Negroes flourishing in Pakistan today. Their “price” is not measured in such pecuniary terms.

Given this tortuous backdrop of modernity, the point of the unsubtle resignation request made by the courageous Ms. Ridley to show some moral backbone among the errand boys and girls of
empire, even as it is merely being rhetorical, is entirely meaningless even in its rhetoric for two reasons: 1) it is a moral request in a global governance system which is beyond good and evil, one which brazenly asserts “hegemony is as old as mankind”, and which puts morality itself directly in the service of empire; and 2) given that the highest-order-bit of the systemic disease among the 'untermensch' has apparently already been apportioned as our national destiny!

Crises are defining moments for nations, and for a people. Some rise to it. Others fall before it. Pakistan as a nation has evidently decided the latter course of action – and this is palpably apparent from the statements of Pakistan's own Ambassador to Washington:

“Foreign relations are not discussed in poetry, ... Saddam Husain’s last speech was also full of poetry but it could not save him or his nation”, and that 'relationships between nations are based on ground realities'.

Read its full deconstruction in: Bringing back the lost Zen to Pakistan.

While it is true that most in Pakistan are very upset by what has befallen Dr. Aafia Siddiqui as yet another victim of “imperial mobilization” – only one among the millions in Iraq, Afghanistan, Palestine, Pakistan's Tribal-Belt, all along the 'arc of crisis' in the “global zone of percolating violence”, etc. – the handful who did publicly protest this latest visitation of empire's justice upon a frail tortured woman in a nation of almost 200 million, did so only symbolically.

While many an English-enabled 'house Negro' only expressed faith in empire's Justice.

The English language Pakistani press is full of their editorials which span the gamut of intellectual servitude from heaping scorn on any public expression of empathy with the victim, to outright blaming the victim. And this combined show of moral bravado despite the fact that Dr. Aafia has become the inextricable symbol of the summation of all the abhorrent injustices purveyed upon women in wars – from rape to rape – and no mere words can ever capture her indescribable agony!

Yet, most Pakistanis among the 'field Negroes' daring to express a modicum of moral outrage only displayed our fine moral tenor from the comforts of our living room. Just as we did when Iraqi women were being raped, tortured, and disappeared in the service of empire not too long ago. Then we returned back to our daily grind.

Symbols of morality, like talismans, are no match for hard orchestrated events of “imperial mobilization”. And especially when arsonists are running all the fire brigades in a nation where its masses are more closely tied to their daily bread than to matters of state or national survival. The apathetic public well understands that many more arsonists eagerly await in the wings to take the place of their predecessors. The masses are well aware that the Pakistani elite, the ever patriotic praetorian guards, and their coterie of miserable sycophants have already learnt that while one's abject service to empire can sometimes be hazardous to one's existential wellness, it also routinely calls for new faces in many a chief's seat and presents the fabulous opportunity to loot and plunder anew in the name of patriotism.

Therefore, Ms. Yvonne Ridley's impassioned moral hint to the distinguished American Ambassador to Pakistan:

'She should then pick up the phone to the US president and tell him to release Aafia and return Pakistan’s most loved, respected and famous daughter and
unite her with the two children who are still missing. Then she should re-read her letter of August 16, 2008 and write another ... one of resignation.

will only deprive madam Ambassador of a well-earned livelihood and comfortable retirement for no fault of her own. She merely faithfully discharged her service contract to her own empire. And it will do nothing for Pakistan either, for we, as a nation, are serving exactly the same interests. When these aren't even our own!

I humbly recommend instead that madam American Ambassador be the next in line to be awarded the glorious Freedom Medal by the White House. President Obama has already received his Nobel Peace Prize.

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

---

**Postscript: The Curious Case of Dr. Aafia Siddiqui and Imperial Mobilization**

*Justice is a delicacy best served cold, preferably in cold blood, in full service to the Hectoring Hegemons!*

September 26, 2010.

*This Postscript to Project Humanbeingsfirst Editorial of February 13, 2010, was written the day after Dr. Aafia Siddiqui’s sentencing by a US Court to 86 years in prison*

“It is my judgment that Dr Siddiqui is sentenced to a period of ***
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**incarceration of 86 years,”**

--- U.S. District Judge Richard M. Berman

“Nothing is more certain in modern society than the principle that there are no absolutes, that a name, a phrases, a standard has meaning only when associated with the considerations which give birth to nomenclature. To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative.”

--- Justice Vinson, U.S. Supreme Court, 1951 AD

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z9U8u1taoYg](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z9U8u1taoYg)

Caption Harmless innocence Melt, Flours of all hue, and without thorn the rose (Milton, Paradise Lost): Is this merely the Ugly Side of US Law? The matching facade of Pakistan’s outrage over Dr. Aafia Siddiqui's Treatment

First, Alice in Wonderland: Justice is a delicacy best served cold, preferably in cold-blood, in full service to the Hectoring Hegemons – just ask jurist doctor Rafia Zakaria, General Secretary, Board of Directors Amnesty International USA: Zahir Ebrahim Responds to Rafia Zakaria's and Amnesty International's Call for ‘prosecution of Taliban leaders for war crimes’!

You have a right to ask: if the government is so concerned with “Significant Developments in Terror Threats Since 9/11, Officials Say”, then, why must “they persist in fighting it precisely in the same way to create more of it,”?

Because: ‘War on Terror’ is not about ‘Islamofascism’ – Please get with the real agenda you people!

But simple minds will choose to not understand this – that mantras alone can't efficiently enable
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“imperial mobilization”:

- Successful social engineering also requires actual defining acts of terror that can believably foster “conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being”, and cleanly separate time into a “before” and an “after”.

- The New Pearl Harbor of 9/11 was necessary to shatter “the public's sense of domestic well-being.” It immediately accomplished that separation of time into epochs, and instantly cemented the long running mantra of the new boogieman du jour, the “The Roots of Muslim Rage.” Bernard Lewis had planted that seed in the Council on Foreign Relations' Foreign Affairs magazine a full decade before 9/11, self-servingly concluding: “It should now be clear that we are facing a mood and a movement far transcending the level of issues and policies and the governments that pursue them. This is no less than a clash of civilizations.”

- As Hitler too had well understood, mantras must be backed by real acts of fabricated terror in order to realize their full potential as effective PROPAGANDA which can mobilize a nation to do what they would normally not accept to do.

- And it also needs recruits, preferably young angry men and women whose “Muslim Rage” can be harvested, especially for suicide missions.

- The hectoring hegemons need that “empire's justice” which has been juridically administered to the poor Pakistani scapegoat Dr. Aafia Siddiqui, so that it becomes more and more believable to blame future acts of fabricated terror on “radicalized Muslims”, “home grown terrorists”, “Islamofascism” et. al., in order to continue to justify the on-going baby-step construction of domestic police-state.

- The entire world must be eventually governed as a global police-state because: “World government could only be kept in being by force.”

- To anyone with even half a brain, but one which is not entirely uncongenial to reflection, it must have been rather obvious from day-one that in the light of public revelations of the egregious circumstances of Dr. Aafia's bizarre capture and the subsequent orchestration of her show trial, any “justice” administered to Dr. Aafia Siddiqui would only be comparable to the proclamation of the Queen of Hearts in Alice in Wonderland: “off with her head”.

- It must also have been apparent to those inclined to perusing statecraft rather than watching television or reading newspapers for their knowledge of current affairs, that the show trial of Aafia Siddiqui was designed primarily to serve an agenda of the state. Namely, one of calculatingly exercising the “high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” deemed necessary for a “sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power.” A careful reading of Zbigniew Brzezinski's The Grand Chessboard makes the political science and the various mantras behind “imperial mobilization” abundantly clear: The Only Truth.
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About US Justice is that Justice is in the Service of Empire!

“It's all in the wrist”

(scene from James Bond's Octopussy)

Caption “It's all in the wrist”, said Kamal Khan in Roger Moore's 007 Octopussy, as he proceeded to repeatedly put wool over his simpleton victim's eyes with his clever sleight of hand. But James Bond wasn't living in a fool's paradise of “the crowd of the simpletons and the credulous.” He knew smoke and mirrors scam when he saw one. Beating Kamal Khan at this own game with a slyer wit, he blandly observed to the marveling simpleton after pocketing his winnings: “It's not really in the wrist you know!”
Part-III

Muslims Make “Unworthy Victims”

Themselves

Self-appraisal: Muslim-hypocrisy

California, Wednesday, June 5, 2019, Eid ul Fitr, 1440 AH

[1] - As Muslims, we love wearing our religion upon our forehead. We are also perhaps the most ritualistic pious people on earth. Our mosques are full of heaven seekers. But when it comes to implementing the core meaningful constructs of the religion which transcend the rituals of piety, we are the proverbial empty drum – make a lot of noise. We love to carry the banner of 'Islam' in our names, titles, institutions, national constitutions, etc. The non-Muslim not entirely taken in by our show of pious rituals would surely have noticed that more we use the word 'Islamic' in our designations and affiliations, more we appear to please false gods while making all our pretenses to the One True God we proclaim to worship.

[2] - Between being useful idiots and pleasing false gods, the choice is often straightforward for us Muslims. Do both. No outsider can tell the difference anyway. It has many advantages – for when caught, we can proclaim we were fooled, that we didn't know. Works great – on the one hand it serves the interests of the false gods du jour, on the other it protects us from retribution if ever the false gods change and new ones become our masters.

[3] - Unlike Christians and Christianity, we have two completely separate words to designate the people who proclaim to follow the religion or are born into that culture (Muslims) vs. the divine religion (Islam). Any time you see one terminology aliasing for another, you might do well to remember that there is some ax to grind somewhere. Bernard Lewis is the venerable master of this obfuscation now being amiably carried by CAIR, when he began his treatise “Crisis of Islam – Holy
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“It is difficult to generalize about Islam. To begin with, the word itself is commonly used with two related but distinct meanings, as the equivalents both of Christianity, and Christendom. In the one sense, it denotes a religion, as system of beliefs and worship; in the other, the civilization that grew up and flourished under the aegis of that religion. The word Islam thus denotes more than fourteen centuries of history, a billion and a third people, and a religious and cultural tradition of enormous diversity.” (Bernard Lewis, Crisis of Islam, pg. 1).

That last sentence is the diabolical deception with which imperial craftsmanship subverts our religion: “The word Islam thus denotes more than fourteen centuries of history, a billion and a third people, and a religious and cultural tradition of enormous diversity.”

According to the Author of the Holy Qur'an upon which the religion of Islam is based, the word Islam denotes only, and only, the following: “This day have I perfected for you your religion and completed My favor on you and chosen for you Islam as a religion;” (Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Maida 5:3)

That is the only, repeat only, context in which the word “Islam” can be legitimately used. It is the only context in which Qur'an has used it – as indicating a divine religion, quite separate from its followers, and the affairs of its followers. That separation of terminology is itself espoused in the Holy Qur'an by virtue of having a separate terminology. It is in fact a distinction of Islam in comparison to all the other Abrahamic religions which do not feature such a clear separation. This is why followers of Prophet Muhammad for instance are not called Mohammedans, nor believers of Islam Islamic, except by the prejudicial orientalists. The word designated in the Holy Qur'an for them is Muslims.

All who misuse the Qur'anic terminology, Muslims and non-Muslims alike, are either ignorant peoples, or, the respected apprentices of Machiavelli. In the latter case, they deliberately try to subvert the religion of Islam by associating it with the inglorious deeds, and the kingly history of Muslims. One can immediately see the result of such gratuitous binding – read the afore-cited book of Bernard Lewis if one is a naive baby only born yesterday and magically became a scholar overnight.

Based solely on that premeditated collateral damage to language – drawing false associations by overloading semantics in an already well-defined nomenclature, also the principal basis of subliminally as well as cognitively binding something virtuous with something abhorrent such that when the virtuous is mentioned, the abhorrent naturally springs to mind – that Samuel Huntington, the late circus clown of empire at Harvard, diabolically made the following statement in his treatise “The Clash of Civilizations”:

“The underlying problem for the West is not Islamic fundamentalism. It is Islam, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the superiority of their culture and are obsessed with the inferiority of their power. The problem for Islam is not the CIA or the US Department of Defense. It is the West, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the universality of their culture and believe that their superior, if declining, power imposes on them the obligation to extend that culture throughout the world. These are the basic
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ingredient that fuel conflict between Islam and the West.” (Samuel Huntington, The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order, 1996, pg. 217)

Since when did the word 'Islam' indicate civilization? A civilization is an aggregate of peoples. Whereas Islam is a religion. A religion can be practiced in any civilization and by any peoples, including right here in the USA. Samuel Huntington's teacher was evidently Bernard Lewis, and they incestuously fed off each other in seeding Islamophobia in service to their own cause.

Such premeditated collateral damage to language, and fanning the flames of Islamophobia years in advance, enabled forging the US foreign policy in the aftermath of 9/11 against the cleverly devised Hegelian construct of “militant Islam”, to mask what Zbigniew Brzezinski termed “imperial mobilization” in his own treatise, “The Grand Chessboard”, pg. 36.

The roots of Islamophobia are very deep and very distinguished indeed. As noted in the letter to CAIR below, it is the twain of Islamofascism and militant Islam – the pretext for the 'War on Terror'. One cannot be examined in isolation from the other any more than the leaves of a tree can be examined in isolation from its DNA, or cause from its effect. 'Tis rather obvious!

[4] - We Muslims understand this sub-text of the War on Terror – even when we refuse to say it out loud in public. One can hear it in hushed living-room conversations throughout the Muslim world. We might act cowardly in public – but we aren't so stupid when we stare in the mirror.

[5] - While one expects empire and its instruments to indulge in such diabolically specious story-telling, and they do – from academe to politicians to newsmedia – one does not expect the self-proclaimed representatives of its victims to do the same. But this anomalous behavior resoundingly echoes in every instance of Muslims' representation today, from mosques to secular non-profit institutions like CAIR. The one thing which perhaps sheds some penetrating light on this vile zeitgeist is the following insight of Martin Luther King Jr. into a colonized mind:

“The white establishment is skilled in flattering and cultivating emerging leaders. It presses its own image on them and finally, from imitation of manners, dress, and style of living, a deeper strain of corruption develops. This kind of Negro leader acquires the white man’s contempt for the ordinary Negro. He is often more at home with the middle-class white than he is among his own people. His language changes, his location changes, his income changes, and ultimately he changes from the representative of the Negro to the white man into the white man’s representative to the Negro. The tragedy is that too often he does not recognize what has happened to him.”, -- (Martin Luther King Jr., A Testament of Hope, pg. 307)

Two references are useful study in this regard for scholars and laity alike: the FAQ on 'House Negro', and The Autobiography of Malcolm X which timelessly captures the 'nigger' who lives to be 'white'. There is hardly a Muslim who will not understand these matters, our earnestness at playing the fool notwithstanding. We know, deep within our heart, who is carrying the White Man's Burden. The worst 'niggers' among us are our learned academics. They usually find a welcoming home in America's vast academic complex, especially as dissent-chiefs. It must soothe the conscience to be innocent of knowledge of WWF wrestling when one indulges in it. Muslims are the most astute in this regard. We know which side our bread is buttered when we side with the massa, whether as its outspoken
mouthpieces or in silent apathy looking from the side as the New Good Germans, as well as when we play its antagonist to win accolades from the same massa as the high consciences of empire!

---

[6] – Muslims are also very clever at distorting our own deen-ul-haq. For instance, “jihad-un-nafs” is the Qur’anic concept of inner courage and strength which one must acquire in oneself (هجاها لنفسه Holy Qur’an Surah Al-Ankaboot, 29:6) in order to strive for truth and justice in practice, before one will in fact ever be able to practice truth and justice, (وَوَتَواصِّيْا بِالْحَقِّ Holy Qur’an Surah Al-Asr 103:3) both within oneself and in one’s conduct with fellow man. This lofty Islamic concept of “jihad-un-nafs” is often referred out of context by the Uncle Toms, House Niggers, and various apologists for Islam, as simply the “inner struggle” for the control of the “self”. That out-of-context resemantification typically relegates “jihad-un-nafs” to a meaningless spiritual battle of no consequence for alleviating the suffering of fellow man caught in the web of primacy of taghoots.

“Jihad-un-nafs” is among the many hijackings of the religion Islam by its venerable imperial scholars, experts, narrators, ulemas and mullahs who have served empire throughout history unto the present day, and the ignorant peoples of all stripes who bow before these “experts” without using their own commonsense, to turn Islam, the religion of implementing justice for oneself as much as for fellow man, the deen-ul-haq, into merely one of a gibberish religion of rituals, selfish obsession with piety and Afterlife while earth burns in hell all around us.

We now delight in our soulful Arabic recitations in the month of Ramadan to be deemed “uber pious” in our many societies around the globe; societies that are by now so steeped in moral hypocrisy that the living zombie Muslim of any nationality thriving on their American Dream, isn't even aware of that shameless fact as he and she partake of this feel-good self-deception in utmost joy.

Indeed, when confronted, he and she would indignantly and self-righteously justify and dignify the sham of piety by citing this and that in specious argumentation. Our brazen indulgence to false gods permits bankrolling our existence and spans the whole gamut of co-opted behavior; patiently Waiting for Allah for divine deliverance instead. It is our bold expectation that the sajdas stamped on our forehead from excessive bowing in prayers would be of some use to us for purchasing that easy ticket to Heaven.

Hypocrisy has become like the air we breathe, always in the background, and unnoticed, but absolutely essential for survival; in our case, survival in the jungle of hypocrisy.

Silence has become the better part of valor for enabling the pursuit of the American Dream. Obedience to the narratives and axioms of authority figures as the New Good Germans, is and always has been since time immemorial, the recipe for success and advancement, accolades and cheers. The resemantified “jihad-un-nafs” assuages our guilt considerably.

Anyone pointing out these sorry facts in self-critique, and not caring much for such vile hypocrisy and false piety, i.e., piety that fuels selfish obsession with Afterlife while mankind burns in the hell in this life, is considered a heretic at best.

I decided to punt the heaven that is paid for on the prayer mat a long time ago. No thank you to this khanka-e-deen, the deen of all empires and their false gods from time immemorial.

I won't pray, fast, or go for Haj for selfish deliverance. My daily prayer is to strike at the heart of all taghoots, beginning with my own self --- an endeavor in which I persistently fail. My fast is to strengthen my resolve to strike at the heart of all taghoots --- the endeavor in which I once again fail.
My Haj is to bring the world together as humanbeingsfirst ---- another failure of a lifetime. For these failures, I have created, aided and abetted, the hell on earth.

The fundamental purpose of ritual piety, the sur-mashq, is to forge this battleground to help fashion heaven right here on earth by endeavoring to end the hell right here on earth. That failed for me. It is what I created here by commission as well as by omission, is what I should expect in my Afterlife, not petty payment for the sur-mashq that was supposed to get me there. Not a tradesman am I, seeking my commission whether or not the goods promised are delivered.

QED.

In this repeated realization, I finally stopped my ritual fasting in this month of Ramadan towards the very end, breaking it during mid day, as the feeling of hypocrisy was overwhelming. Perhaps, not being hypocrite may be the first rung of finding inner peace and tranquility without self-deception. I yearn for this prayer from the Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Fajr (٨٩:٢٧، ٨٩:٢٨)، which shall never be fulfilled in my case as the categorical imperatives in the religion of Islam that take one to it are too difficult for hypocrites:

“O soul that art at rest! Return to your Lord, well-pleased (with him), well-pleasing (Him)” (89:27, 89:28).

Eid Mubarak.

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org


Fundamental Types of Justice

- **Victor's Justice**

  Resistance to victors, occupiers, oppressors, tyrants, is always a crime regardless.

  - Atrocities victors, occupiers, oppressors, tyrants commit are not crimes;
  
  - atrocities victors and vanquished both commit in common, or when there is stalemate, are glossed over in the name of fairness;

  - atrocities the vanquished commit are crimes.

  - Justice is in hanging the vanquished, occupying their lands, balkanizing their territories, remaking their laws, saddling them with war reparations, creating permanent client-state, stationing victor's troops permanently in the vanquished or occupied territories in the name of peace.

- **Victim's Justice**

  Destroy and burn everything in sight.
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- **Sovereign’s Justice**

  Only the King shall wear the crown.

  - “When the King asked him what he meant by infesting the sea, the pirate defiantly replied: ’the same as you do when you infest the whole world; but because I do it with a little ship I am called a robber, and because you do it with a great fleet, you are an emperor.’” --- The City of God against the Pagans, Page 148.

  - “Every nation, in every region, now has a decision to make. Either you are with us, or you are with the terrorists.” --- George W. Bush Jr., speaking before the United States Congress, 09-21-2001

- **Hinduism’s Justice**

  None on this earth. Fated. Karma. Rebirth into higher form when good, into lower form when bad.

- **Judaism’s Justice**

  Eye for an eye. Vengeance.

  - “And if any mischief follow, then thou shalt give life for life, Eye for eye, tooth for tooth, hand for hand, foot for foot, Burning for burning, wound for wound, stripe for stripe.” --- Exodus 21:23-25 King James Version (KJV)

- **Christianity’s Justice**

  Turn the other cheek. Forgiveness. Repentance.

  - “38 Ye have heard that it hath been said, An eye for an eye, and a tooth for a tooth:

  - 39 But I say unto you, That ye resist not evil: but whosoever shall smite thee on thy right cheek, turn to him the other also.

  - 40 And if any man will sue thee at the law, and take away thy coat, let him have thy cloak also.” --- Matthew 5:38-40 King
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James Version (KJV)

- **Islam's Justice**

Eye for an eye but do not transgress Allah's limits. Forgiveness. Repentance.

Fight only the oppressors, cease if they cease, and when they cease, be forgiving and merciful toward them just as you wish Allah to be Forgiving and Merciful towards you; otherwise kill them if they persist, and continue fighting them to your very end.

- “4:75 And why should ye not fight in the cause of Allah and of those who, being weak, are ill-treated (and oppressed)?- Men, women, and children, whose cry is: "Our Lord! Rescue us from this town, whose people are oppressors; and raise for us from thee one who will protect; and raise for us from thee one who will help!"

- “4:76 Those who believe fight in the cause of Allah, and those who disbelieve fight in the cause of Taghut. So fight against the allies of Satan. Indeed, the plot of Satan has ever been weak.

- “4:77 Hast thou not turned Thy vision to those who were told to hold back their hands (from fight) but establish regular prayers and spend in regular charity? When (at length) the order for fighting was issued to them, behold! a section of them feared men as - or even more than - they should have feared Allah: They said: "Our Lord! Why hast Thou ordered us to fight? Wouldst Thou not Grant us respite to our (natural) term, near (enough)?" Say: "Short is the enjoyment of this world: the Hereafter is the best for those who do right: Never will ye be dealt with unjustly in the very least! --- Holy Qur'an, Surah An Nisa, 4:75-77

- “2:190 Fight in the way of Allah those who fight you but do not transgress. Indeed. Allah does not like transgressors.

- “2:191 And kill them wherever you overtake them and expel them from wherever they have expelled you, and fitnah is worse than killing. And do not fight them at al-Masjid al-Haram until they fight you there. But if they fight you, then kill them. Such is the recompense of the disbelievers. 2:192 And if they cease, then indeed, Allah is Forgiving and Merciful.
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- 2:193 Fight them until there is no [more] fitnah and [until] worship is [acknowledged to be] for Allah. But if they cease, then there is to be no aggression except against the oppressors.

- 2:194 [Fighting in] the sacred month is for [aggression committed in] the sacred month, and for [all] violations is legal retribution. So whoever has assaulted you, then assault him in the same way that he has assaulted you. And fear Allah and know that Allah is with those who fear Him.

- 2:195 And spend in the way of Allah and do not throw [yourselves] with your [own] hands into destruction [by refraining]. And do good; indeed, Allah loves the doers of good.” --- Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Baqarah, 2:190-195

- “5:32 Because of that, We decreed upon the Children of Israel that whoever kills a soul unless for a soul or for corruption [done] in the land - it is as if he had slain mankind entirely. And whoever saves one - it is as if he had saved mankind entirely. And our messengers had certainly come to them with clear proofs. Then indeed many of them, [even] after that, throughout the land, were transgressors.

- 5:33 Indeed, the penalty for those who wage war against Allah and His Messenger and strive upon earth [to cause] corruption is none but that they be killed or crucified or that their hands and feet be cut off from opposite sides or that they be exiled from the land. That is for them a disgrace in this world; and for them in the Hereafter is a great punishment,

- 5:34 Except for those who return [repenting] before you apprehend them. And know that Allah is Forgiving and Merciful.

- 5:38 [As for] the thief, the male and the female, amputate their hands in recompense for what they committed as a deterrent [punishment] from Allah . And Allah is Exalted in Might and Wise.

- 5:39 But whoever repents after his wrongdoing and reforms, indeed, Allah will turn to him in forgiveness. Indeed, Allah is Forgiving and Merciful.” --- Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Ma'idah, 5:32-34. 5:38-39
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- Got Justice on Earth?

But of course!

The divine prescriptions have obviously failed man. Or, perhaps man has failed them.

Below are some futile reflections circa 2003 to 2005 on achieving justice on earth given that taghooti sovereigns have always existed, and always shall exist. One tyrant can only be replaced by another. One sociopath by another.

So long as man's power exists to make war, to transgress another man's limits, injustice will continue to raise its head regardless of what's written in lofty divine books.

The journey of the ascent of man from the tree-top to the ground continues...

Begin Excerpt --- Christmas 2004 Reflections of a Muslim on Western Civilization

When justice comes to pass, as it surely will – how does one administer justice to monumental criminals for their monumental crimes?

What mortal punishment can ever atone for what they have done to the tabula rasa of civilians across the globe? Imprisoning them for 10,000 lifetimes in cages in Guantanamo Bay, continually torturing them stripped naked with dogs biting at their genitals to see who defecates first, tying them up in contorted positions without food and water, or putting them to death 100,000 times repeatedly, is obviously quite impractical if one is to levy on them the Biblical “Thou shalt give life for life, eye for eye, tooth for tooth, hand for hand, foot for foot” justice from their own books.

There is indeed no adequate punishment possible for monumental crimes – the crimes of conquerors. That only leads one to think that such monumental crimes, even the possibility of such monumental crimes occurring, must be prevented at all cost. That the traditional mantra of War Crimes Tribunal is a red herring, perhaps invented by the same monumental criminals for getting off easy if they are defeated or caught, because no earthly punishment can match their crimes.

Indeed, one can see the hypocrisy with which the Tribunals in Nuremberg and Tokyo were conducted. Just the legal definition of a War Crime was set by the victors to reflect all the “bad things they did that we didn't do” and “all the bad things we did are not war crimes for either side”. Thus the Allied carpet bombing of civilian population centers in Dresden and Tokyo, as well as the dropping of two Atomic bombs on the civilian industrial cities of Hiroshima and Nagasaki, were not classified as war crimes, and neither were the city bombings by the Nazi's all over Europe. President Truman obviously was not tried for war crimes for ordering the deaths of millions of Japanese civilians. But many were hung for breaking treaties and invading Eastern Europe, as well as for the Holocaust, all were declared as war crimes – neither of which the Allies themselves had done.

The Geneva Conventions that have since evolved for the “civilized” conduct of war
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and protection of Human Rights, does not explicitly state that dropping a Nuclear bomb is a war crime, possessing a weapon of mass destruction like a Nuclear bomb is a war crime, pursuing or adopting an aggressive policy of preemptive nuclear strikes on non-nuclear nations who cannot protect themselves for want of a similar deterrent, is a war crime. or, that war itself, is a war crime.

Thus while My Lai massacre in Vietnam is classified as a war crime, the sustained million ton bombing for a decade of Vietnam, Laos, and Cambodia with napalm and assorted cocktails of horrible deaths is not called a war crime. It's perpetrators in the name of ideology, American Presidents JFK, LBJ, and Nixon, Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara (LBJ), and Secretary of State Henry Kissinger (Nixon), were never charged with the deaths of dispensable innocent civilians caught in a proxy war between super powers on their soil. What led to Richard Nixon's downfall? Not the million deaths he caused in Vietnam through a deceitful escalation of the war, but that he lied about his role in the bugging of his political opponent's headquarters!

Similarly, the team of President Carter and Zbigniew Brzezinski, Ronald Reagan and George Bush, all scot-free from any stains of war crimes in 1979-1988 in Afghanistan on their fine white civilized garments. Nor on the team of President Clinton and Madeleine Albright for the civilian deaths in Iraq in the 1990s under their dutifully maintained economic sanctions.

Wars fought by the West along ideological lines are not war crimes!

There is indeed no major deterrence for them, as victors in those Tribunals of WW II, for once again bombing densely populated cities in Iraq in this 2000's decade, destroying Faluja to rubble, or dropping a million tons of Depleted Uranium bombs each on both Iraq and Afghanistan that will continue to kill civilians mercilessly for generations long after the actual wars of plunder on the 'Grand Chessboard' are over.

And if eventually caught through sheer bad luck for crimes that were actually classified as war crimes, and subsequently endorsed in the Geneva Conventions and Human Rights Conventions – such as torture - they hope to play the same legal games that Augusto Pinochet and Ariel Sharon have been playing to prevent prosecution, and Henry Kissinger has been playing to not even be charged with any war crime.

Which is why the new Attorney General of the United States, Mr. Alberto Gonzalez has argued in his written memos to the White House that these Geneva Conventions on Human Rights for civilized and humane treatment of prisoners are now antiquated, and the United States should not feel compelled to abide by these treaties as it only gets in the way of fighting the “war on terrorism”.

Thus even if these monumental war criminals are eventually busted, they will at best serve out their remaining few years of life sentences in the relative comfort of “suitable” jails as the worst outcome – not in Guantanamo Bay, not stripped naked, not with rods up their assess, not as enemy combatants against humanity who should be brutally tortured day and night to extract all the secrets from the depths of their bowels, following their own Biblical “eye for an eye” notion of justice – neither to
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exceed nor reduce in punishment.

Indeed, Christians of all stripes will rush to show what it means to bring Jesus to these criminals with “forgive thine enemy” and “turn the other cheek”, but who remain impotent when George Bush brings his own Messianic brand of Christianity to bear: “Whether we bring our enemies to justice or bring justice to our enemies, justice will be done” with million tonnage of bombs, and brutality that knows no bounds.

The present understanding of “war crimes”, and any ex post facto construction of “war crimes Tribunals” is indeed anemic to say the least. It sets no precedence for prosecution of monumental Criminals (with a capital C) from among the victors of WW II. It presents no deterrence against committing crimes against humanity. Just witness Ariel Sharon – although indicted for war crimes against the Palestinian people in Lebanon for the massacres in Sabra and Shatila camps, and the Israeli Knesset itself held him accountable – yet he now roams as the famous “man of peace” and is committing new monumental crimes against humanity on a daily basis in occupied Palestine. His attack on the city of Jenin in 2003 was only mildly rebuked as he continues to boast: “Don't worry about American pressure on Israel. We, the Jewish people, control America, and the Americans know it.”

The “war on terrorism” and all its sponsors in the American Govt. – from the President down to the last advisor in his cabinet – all directly responsible for every single civilian and innocent death – and yet remain undeterred by any fear of accountability as they continue to wantonly wage even more horrible death and military occupation on civilian populations.

The people in the American nation, without fear of accountability or remorse, reelected the same war criminals for a second term who now talk of attacking Iran.

There is so little deterrence indeed, that the Neocons can blithely and publicly disclose their PNAC plans, Brzezinski can blithely accept responsibility for the destruction of Afghanistan as “some agitated Moslems”, and subsequently even print his new imperial quest in the book “The Grand Chessboard”, the White House can publicly disclose on its web site the National Security Council strategy of preemptive nuclear strikes on non-nuclear nations in contradiction to its earlier pledges to protect non-nuclear nations from nuclear strikes by anyone including the United States if they signed the NPT and did not seek this nuclear deterrence of their own!

No fear whatsoever.

Not having succeeded in deterring and preventing any barbaric Criminals from executing their monumental Crimes upon humanity once again, a new model for Monumental War Crimes Tribunals must be developed for the benefit of preventing new victims.

It must pack the power punch necessary for real deterrence of monumental war crimes by the next set of potential imperial conquerors in the future, ensure as fair a sense of restitution and compensation to the victims as humanly possible, and implement enormous national and international level retribution and punitive damages on the
aggressor nation.

It must make the price for war crimes so high indeed, that nations and their peoples will be forced to rein in their own governments or become bankrupt into slavery for generations in posterity in paying compensations and punitive damages!

Entire nations must be held liable and called to account for creating and supporting these war criminals from among them. All those with “United We Stand” and “We support our troops” stickers on their cars are as much war criminals as the leaders they support.

Excuses like:

- 'I did not know',
- 'I was misled for waging a war in self-defense on another's soil because I am stupid and cannot think properly',
- 'I reelected Mein Führer President a second time because god talks to him',

must not absolve the self proclaimed “naive” and “misled” war-mongering people of their own complicity in the monumental crimes, nor from paying compensation and punitive damages to their victims for generations.

They must pay the due ransom in order to reclaim their innocence only generations later. Such ransom, in trillions of dollars and no less (in 1950's dollars when it actually had some value), to be paid for generations by the culprit nations directly to the victims and their successor generations – for at least 200 years or however long it takes for all lingering effects of the injustices to physically, mentally, socially, and psychologically disappear. If we go by the example of the Jews, perhaps add another zero to that number as the former victims still continue to suffer from the 'victim complex' and continue to lash out to create new victims of their own in Palestine and in the world by being the key 'ubermensch' masterminds behind “imperial mobilization” and “all the evil that follows” its barbaric implementation.

The cleanup, reconstruction, and rehabilitation costs must all be borne by the culprit nations – with the restitution taking precedence over any domestic spendings.

**Monumental crimes require monumental punishments. Only then can it deter.**

If one is deemed smart enough to be entrusted a weapon to make war, one must also be deemed smart enough to know when not to do it - when the cost for doing so is made monumental!

Such principles as outlined above compel people to hold their leaders accountable – rather than adopt a laissez faire attitude that it does not concern them, or that they do not have control over their government, or there is no choice, or that they will be reviled and interned if they protest too loudly.

The consequence to not protesting efficaciously and allowing the injustices to prevail
Between “Worthy Victims” and “Unworthy Victims”

in their names now incurs a monumental penalty. Antiwar protests are not a career or a fun outing over the weekend with the family. It is a serious life and death struggle – as much a struggle for the people of the aggressor nation as for the ones at the receiving end of the warfare in remote nations!

People must feel compelled to acquire control over their governments and forever remain vigilant to what their government does in their names, in turn holding politicians, institutions, media, and press accountable for presenting a true and accurate picture of what transpires in the corridors of power. For not doing so also has a price associated with it. Living in a democracy is a privilege, as well as a responsibility. With responsibilities also come accountabilities.

It is the peoples job to ensure by any means necessary, by creating new institutions and new laws if necessary, and by abrogating old laws and old institutions when necessary, that the power entrusted to their government by them is only wielded in what the people deem to be in their nation's best interests, and in conformance with international laws for peaceful and equitable co-existence with other nations on the planet, even when these other nations be composed of weaker 'lower order life forms' or aliens from outer space.

Social and political Darwinism by parasitic leaders must extract a monumental penalty from their entire nation! A responsibility for which now ordinary people are held liable, draining essential wealth from their own social spendings and livelihoods to pay for generations in restitution costs.

Or else all pretenses to civilized existence be universally abandoned in favor of “Darth Vader Darwinism” – remembering that political pecking order continually evolves and is always supplanted by a new barbarian more vicious than the one it replaces.

Thus motivation towards equitable co-existence, of necessity for self-preservation, is a powerful impetus for heralding genuine non-fiction people powered Democracies (with a capital D) throughout the world, by setting the example first in the United States of America itself.

Such is the justice that shall be genuinely wrought by the innocent victims of this war on terrorism for a real non-fiction “Never Again”, for the benefit of all of mankind.

End Excerpt --- Christmas 2004 Reflections of a Muslim on Western Civilization

Begin Excerpt --- 2005 Reflections of a Muslim in America - My Little Jihad

I often wonder if Jesus really has the power to wash away the sins of mass murderers of humanity who commit these monumental crimes in his name or to bring his arrival sooner? Is there some special exclusion clause that makes these monumental criminals exempt from Divine Justice?
Hmmm, perhaps I am in the wrong religion - my God assures us of Divine Justice - take a misstep in this life and you shall pay in the next. Kill one innocent person and it is akin to having killed entire humanity.

Wow, I dare not commit murder or become a suicide bomber out of sheer desperation while I am Muslim, or else I will have eternal damnation to look forward to.

May be I should become an Evangelical Christian first if I ever get mad enough? Or perhaps a Christian Zionist? Or maybe just a Zionist? For then I will be in the company of George Bush and he will surely save me. Doesn't he walk with the lord and communicate with him directly?

Na, such a hypocrite 'religion', whatever the name, and such a hypocrite 'savior', whatever its name, is not for me!

I just as soon take my chances in Islam where at least the God claims He is the "Most Just". For what other philosophy is there that is so firmly rooted in justice? Which other god has the same emphasis on justice? Perhaps the humanist's god? But they have no god, and with them, justice denied in the world, is justice denied period. But in Islam, there is a promise of fair justice that keeps a victim's hope alive. But I generally tend to be too impatient. Justice meted out elsewhere is also justice denied here - even when there is the firm promise of it in the future - I like to see it administered right here and now, for the victims too are right here and now.

But how is it even possible to punish for 100,000 murders? Rigorous imprisonment for 100,000 lifetimes with dogs snapping at the genitals 24x7 in a small hell hole in Guantanamo Bay seems so inadequate, even if taken straight from the Old Testament Commandment of eye for an eye, for we certainly don't want to exceed in the punishment, eye for an eye is just fine. But the problem is that the butchers of a hundred thousand, or a million, with devastation of entire civilizations, and directly responsible for "all the evil that followed" from their first aggression, with Depleted Uranium everywhere that will continue killing for untold more generations, the total crime can be in millions of 'human units'. Never mind the collateral 'environmental units'. Which is why I refer to their crimes as monumental. But the cowardly murderers will be dead in a few years, if not right off the bat the first day they see the dogs sniffing at their crotch as they are tied upside down and their heads dunked in their own urine and a rod up their hidden cavities - all the stuff meted out by the imperial soldiers of fortunes to innocent Muslim civilian prisoners arbitrarily held in prison camps dotting the destroyed landscapes of Iraq and Afghanistan in the most inhuman condition imaginable - eye for an eye, punishment from their own books.

How to administer it? For once administered, only then will it deter future monumental crimes. Otherwise what did the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunals ever deter?

Indeed, what earthly punishment can any genuine war crimes tribunal ever implement on a monumental war criminal to affect justice?

These bastards will croak in a day, they are already in their 60s.
Between “Worthy Victims” and “Unworthy Victims”

How can one subject a monumental war criminal to an explosion of each Daisy Cutter that he authorized dropped, and each missile that he authorized fired, in accordance with the eye for an eye justice?

True eye for an eye justice is infeasible in modern times, isn't it? Which is why shouldn't modernity forcefully deter, and make impossible, monumental crimes before they occur?

Since modernity and its civilized harbingers have continually failed at that endeavor, I guess one would, after all, have to rely on Divine Justice to get real justice now wouldn't one?

I pray every time I remember my God, which these days is not too often any more, to execute His promise of Divine Justice. For it is indeed only such a promise that keeps me a bit sane every time a child falls with a bullet to his head in Palestine and I see another Zionist happy, another wedding party blown to bits and a Neo-con from the Pentagon stating they do not keep track of Iraqi and Afghani civilian casualties. But enough digressing.

End Excerpt --- 2005 Reflections of a Muslim in America - My Little Jihad

Begin Excerpt

Some reflections and musings

In my own mind, I often see myself shouting at the perpetuators of these monumental crimes inflicted upon humanity in the name of “war on terrorism”: 'You are despicable war criminals and I publicly call for your indictments for war crimes against humanity in an open public trial conducted by the victims, as the one held for Eichmann in Jerusalem.' But I cannot imagine what punishment on earth would atone for “Shock and Awe” bombing entire civilizations with depleted uranium bombs, murdering tens of thousands of innocent civilians including defenseless men, women, and children, and depriving many more of their kin, breadwinners, and loved ones. What does 10,000 years of rigorous imprisonment in Guantanamo Bay mean anyway – these guys are already in their 50s, 60s or 70s. Shouldn't punishments match the crimes? Isn't is as unfair to punish a black child who steals chocolates with the 'three-strikes and out for years in jail' law, as it is to ask perpetuators of monumental crimes to simply vacate their posts by the fancy names of “impeachment”? What do you think?

Monumental crimes must deserve monumental punishments.

We know what monumental crimes look like. We have seen them rehearsed not just over the past couple of years, but continually over several millennia of human history. Thus most people, possessing even a modicum of humanity, and an iota of commonsense, must easily recognize monumental crimes. But what is a befitting monumental punishment that can be awarded for these crimes? There can be no
adequate atonement and compensation made once monumental crimes have occurred, try as a nation may for generations. Impeachment and getting the criminals out of office is hardly sufficient (though rather necessary if only to hold up the pretense of an advanced civilizations' justice at work). This begets the axiomatic truth that monumental crimes must be prevented from occurring to start with. One cannot wait until the receipts for the ordering of such crimes are found, as the perpetuators seldom leave one behind, and generally maintain the alibis of “plausible deniability”. By the time people wake up to their deeds, the bomber bays have already dropped their payloads.

To stop these handful of misanthropes who command the vast armies of State to indulge in their various ideological fantasies, before they can commence their monumental offenses against humanity, is a responsibility jointly shared by all human kind. But a responsibility more closely shared by the people whose leaders engage in it, especially when they live in a democracy.

End Excerpt --- April 2003 Reflections of a Muslim in America as America's bombing of Iraq was underway - Prisoners of the Cave, Chapter-1

Indeed.

We may have descended from the tree-top, we have yet to lose our tail."

Only the dead have seen the end of injustice. The living must continue to endure it.

Happy New Year 2019!

* Witticism of (late) Ardeshir Cowesjee, column in Dawn, December 09, 2007
Chapter 11

Ali Baba in Mumbai – Eid 2008 Reflections

The Mumbai Terrorist Act: An International Chorus of Actors! – Ushering in one-world government requires international cooperation among its harbingers

Dateline California, Monday, December 08, 2008, 10:00 am.

It is no longer along the imperialism of the United States of America, Britain or the EU lines. It is no longer about nation-states exercising their hegemonies. It is entirely about constructing a one-world government to be managed by oligarchs at the top of the pyramid. Therefore, there is not much distinction between various intelligence agencies world-wide who have bought into, or have been co-opted into, the overarching agenda of world-government.

Rational analysis based on empirical observations and the careful study of doctrines suggest that black-ops and covert-ops today are entirely along the world-government lines. Its orchestration is by the same power-structures which control the transition from nation-states to world-government incrementally, one fait accompli at a time. If the following is true for mere ordinary co-opted peoples, it is certainly true for the secret operations and those who orchestrate and finance them:

"The real value of Tragedy and Hope ... [is the] bold and boastful admission by Dr. Quigley that there actually exists a relatively small but powerful group
which has succeeded in acquiring a choke-hold on the affairs of practically the entire human race. Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantically power unless millions of people in all walks of life were “in on the take” and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes. As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and women and their institutions on a world-wide basis.’ (W. Cleon Skousen, The Naked Capitalist, pg. 6, Monetary Reform Bibliography)

Therefore, speculating whether is it MI6 or the CIA or Mossad or some other unknown compartmentalized black-ops with a chain of local patsies and mercenaries who did the Mumbai terrorist act, is rather a moot point. The forensic focus really must be kept on the puppetmasters by understanding their overarching motivations and 'cui bono' – who benefits! The top black-ops command which plans and orchestrates such complex operations and employs a compartmentalized chain of patsies and mercenaries to implement them, still only takes marching orders from elsewhere. That 'elsewhere', since it prefers to remain hidden, can only be understood by studying the overarching motivations and global agendas. These are the mastercriminals, often with respectable clean hands! This isn't just in the movies – it is ubiquitous, and a truism. Yet we are continually made to forget it by being drawn into debating the leaves of the tree and not its DNA.

Project Humanbeingsfirst issued the following caution letter earlier, titled 'The Real Terrorists', precisely to warn against falling prey to this sort of red herring of focussing on 'katputli tamashas' – puppetshows – regardless of how deadly (excerpt brlow). Because, even more deadlier ones have been planned to coerce humanity into accepting world-government as the only solution to the manufactured problems.

It is useful to remember – especially during shocking and traumatic terrorist acts when, being so close in time, perspective is often lost to trauma and emotionalism – that the 'dialectics of deception' and the 'technique of infamy' are employed in both manufacturing consent among the mainstream, and manufacturing dissent among the handful of conscionable rebels, in order to usher in any untenable agenda and deflect resistance to it! Many good peoples are getting wrapped up in multiple red herrings because of it.

Dialectics of deception means to create or employ opposites, such as contradictions in the Hegelian Dialectic, but primarily to deceive. The classic example is fascism vs. communism – both crafted on Wall Street! Technique of Infamy means to create not one, but two (or more) lies, and keep the people occupied heatedly debating which one of them might be true! The best example of this is 'war on terror is all about oil' on the one hand (the rebels' red herring), and 'war on terror is against radical Islam' on the other (the mainstream's red herring). Even brilliant scientists like Pakistan's own famous rebel-rouser, Prof. Pervez Hoodbhoy, has fallen prey to it. See his lame "Between Imperialism and Islamism"! Is he a patsy or a mercenary? Or perhaps an 'uber alles'? Project Humanbeingsfirst has spent considerable effort dismantling this political science based imperial discourse-space. See for instance, "Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science".

The clever red herring on Mumbai terrorism, of mainstream's 'radical Islam' now being posited
as the rebels' 'revolution', was also unraveled by Project Humanbeingsfirst in the rebuttal to Mr. Paul Craig Roberts – the former US Assistant Secretary of the Treasury, and now, having eaten his fill of '900 mice and gone for Hajj', a much lauded justice advocate – in response to which he called me, its author, and I quote: “you are a completely stupid fool, a disgrace to humanity”.

It is also important to keep in mind that it is more pragmatic to employ existing beliefs and existing motivations of local peoples, to construct effective patsies in the service of global agendas, rather than to sew new beliefs and create new motivations. Thus the motivations for instance, of Zionism are hidden to no one. Or the local tensions in the Baltics or the Steppes are not unknown to anyone. Similarly, the perpetual conflicts between India and Pakistan are now so deeply ingrained among the two nations' respective institutional ruling-elite, that it is almost trivial to sew discord between them with merely a phone call. These local kernels of reality are often harnessed by a more astute Machiavelli to construct patsies. And sometimes, mercenaries. What do these words mean? A patsy is one who strongly believes in what he/she is doing, but is deftly controlled by his chain of handlers who cleverly exploit these beliefs by cultivating them further. A mercenary is one who sells his/her allegiance to the highest bidder at any moment in time – the Faustian pact makers of all stripes.

So, let's not be counted among either the patsies, or the mercenaries! Six feet under, the maggots can't tell the difference. But that which accounts for the soul – if such a thing exists and we aren't merely a bunch of atoms strung together – supposedly can!

Begin Excerpt (The Real Terrorists)

The atrocity in Mumbai last week, and the bizarre data that is emerging, will make more sense if one stops viewing this heinous terrorist act from the traditional Indian-Pakistan lens. It is urgent that people in both nations begin to appreciate what's at stake so that each may initiate proper self-defense against accurately identified hidden dangers from the overt 'katputli tamashas'.

The following News Flash is Project Humanbeingsfirst's position on this manufactured terror. To appreciate it, please study the methods of Rand Corporation, CFR, Zbigniew Brzezinski, et. al., and acquire an understanding of the notion of world-government. Political science runs the world, and the children of Machiavelli are deeply rooted in waging war by way of deception – a game of conquest “as old as mankind”. From the East India Company to Free Trade to the War on Terror – all enablers of pretexts for “imperial mobilization” and “full spectrum dominance”. In the West, typically, the smartest students study humanities and social sciences, and the think-tanks as well as the Pentagon are populated with scores of PhDs in political science and history. What do you think they do there? What do you think they do at the Ford and Rockefeller Foundations, and the Carnegie Endowment for Peace? How about at CFR and RIIA? They are united in one common global agenda – world government. And they get there by shrewdly employing the overarching political science concept articulated by David Ben Gurion “what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times”!
Therefore, please don't blame the Hindus or ISI or Jihadis – patsies and mercenaries come in all stripes, ethnic origin, and social class. And the best recruits for patsies are always those who have grievances or are disgruntled. The best recruits for mercenaries are the ruling-elite, politicians, and military men. In both cases they know very little, in fact often zero, and are merely the 'trigger pullers' of average intelligence. Instead, look for those 'ubermensch' who employ them. To do so, one has to understand the agendas, and what scheme of things is really being enacted on the world-stage. That, according to the forensic reports of Project Humanbeingsfirst (available on its website), points to an entirely different motivation-space and entirely different criminals from the 'katputli tamashas' being enacted for public consumption.

End Excerpt

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
Part-II

Ali Baba Arrives in Mumbai –
Eid 2008 Reflections

Dateline Eid-ul-Adha, California, Tuesday, December 09, 2008

There is a concerted effort worldwide to once again resurrect the same fabricated 'al qaeda' boogiemen in the aftermath of the Mumbai terrorist act. It is shameful that many an educated Pakistani has also become an unwitting participant in also coloring these mantras, each according to his or her own inclination. I reservedly say 'unwitting' because surely many are well intentioned, and merely misled like their brethren in the West. The mercenaries of course are another matter, for they willingly spread disinformation – through both commission by spinning falsehoods, and omission by refraining to disclose historical facts in full forensic perspective – in the service of their higher masters, up the chain of management. The grotesquely manufactured reality of 'Al-Qaeeda', 'Bin Laden', et. al., was unraveled in the following succinct Project Humanbeingsfirst report dated May 11 2008: The WAR on TERROR 2008 Omnibus Collection (PDF). Its Abstract reads as follows:

'This is a short collection of already published reports and essays of Project Humanbeingsfirst which minimally expands upon the simplification of modernity as expressed on the project's website: What is all this verbiage by Project HumanbeingsfirstTM really all about? Is there a reductionist and simplified explanation in terms of first principles for all this complexity of modernity that gives birth to such urgent matters of human concern in the first place? Yes. In the simplest terms: “Might defines right” leads to “Hegemony is as old as mankind”, which in turn leads to “If we don't have real enemies then we have to create or imagine some and yell 'we are being attacked’” in order to a) justify one's hegemonic barbarianism upon others; and b) motivate an unwilling plebeian peoples into sacrificing for the conquests of
the ruling elite. Euphemistically: “imperial mobilization”. Grotesquely: “quest for Lebensraum”. That's all there is to it. This omnibus, comprising a total of 23304 words, minimalistically elaborates on the above single sentence, in any of its variations, in the context of modernity du jour.'

It is important for all of us, Pakistanis, Indians, the world public – or at least the thinking among us – to begin to look beyond the 'katputli tamashas' being enacted for us. There is far more going on than meets the eye. The motivation for this atrocious puppetshow in Mumbai was elaborated upon yesterday in the essay The Mumbai Terrorist Act: An International Chorus of Actors! Ushering in one-world government requires international cooperation among its harbingers!

The 'maasi museebte' [1] is not the problem for those watching the puppetshow – even if, once in a while, she reaches out from behind the television screen with her new and improved 'jahroo' (broom) and whacks those sitting in the front row! These days, instead of an ordinary tinka (straw) 'jahroo', it just happens to be an explosive 'jahroo', but same idea.

As a matter of scientific inquiry, perhaps one might ponder upon this phenomenon. If people are empirically feeling the effects of the 'maasi's jahroo' on their faces while watching 'Kaliyan', then what might be a rational and scientific explanation?

Please just do this mental exercise, even if only as an interesting thought experiment, and even if you truly feel that 'maasi museebte' is 'god' and can indeed reach out from her barren perch in Afghanistan and bring down a mighty super-power to its knees with merely a cell phone and laptops, never mind a mere smack on the head with a 'jahroo' from hell (or from behind the tv screen). How would you create such an existential experiment? This is how black-ops does it too – with smoke-and-mirrors, and with copious help from patsies and mercenaries.

There is no 'Al qaeeda', no 'Bin Laden' – these are fanciful abstractions constructed for the purpose explained in the above report. As the case in all enduring believable myths, it is much more effective to build them on something which is existent, and not entirely immanent. Thus it is easier for people to accept it, especially for those with short term memories – that's most of us in the West. Thus there was indeed a real person called Bin Laden. Pakistani journalist Hamid Mir even interviewed him, [2] as did the British journalist Robert Fisk.[2b] The mythological boogieman is fashioned upon a real character, a real CIA asset, but it is no different than 'Ali Baba'! Even the FBI does not blame the real one for 911 – see the snapshot of their most-wanted poster of him as of April 22 2008. [3]

But of course, empire makers well understand that the pursuit of “full spectrum dominance” can be “uncongenial to democratic instincts”, as the “economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort” makes “democracy ... inimical to imperial mobilization.” The planners at the RAND Corporation, the AEI, et. al., also keenly perceive that “… as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. .... More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.”

And that is where the boogiemen come in, to manufacture that “circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat.” The more fanciful the better.
What can be more imaginative than an omnipotent, omnipresent, evil 'Ali Baba' who can bring down 4 airliners in the most armed to the teeth sole superpower – in the entire history of mankind one might add – from a desolate perch in the Hindu Kush with only box-cutters, and ram them at 500 mph into tall buildings spectacularly collapsing them into their own foot-prints at almost free-fall speed? Even more miraculously, collapsing one of the tall buildings, WTC-7, entirely magically without any airplane hitting it! But since no one will buy a mind-melding 'yoda' from Star Wars doing this, he is simply aliased to a once upon a time real character who used to be a CIA asset. Everyone had heard of him valiantly serve the imperial interests as their controlled patsy – or more aptly as the “moral equivalent of our founding fathers” – but who was now projected to have turned against his own patron saints due to “Militant Islam” and its doctrine of global “Triumphalism” leading to a “Clash of Civilizations”! Simply embellishing a real person with some 'magic-carpet' powers right out of a children's story book has been far more effective than having to invent a character who had never existed. With the art of disinformation and psyops perfected to the precision of a hard science, and all the world's newsmedia under the control of the “Mighty Wurlitzer”, it has been rather easy!

Similarly, distorting Islam to present it as antediluvian and out to aggressively conquer the world, has been far more effective than inventing a new “doctrinal motivation” from scratch. Especially, since the 'Islamofascists' from the previous harvest were already available, ripe for re-harvesting. Their mentality was so well understood by all the 'Rand Corporations' along the Potomac, that they specifically targeted Afghanistan and Pakistan's border region for this re-harvest, knowing fully well the natives' thousand year tradition of 'Pakhtoonwali' – you kill an Afghan's (Pathan's) family, drop bombs on his wedding gathering, burn and torture his women and children, and you have a thousand recruits ready to take perpetual revenge on the infidels regardless of cost. And what is better than to have their own kind do this to them?

Thus, enter the Pakistan's subservient military, bombing their own civilians in the pristine tribal belt of Pakistan – the home to those who hold their 'Pakhtoonwali' tradition more sacred than any other heritage. These are people unlike any other on the face of the earth. While all have been conquered or colonized by others, only these tribal populations have resisted all occupation since time immemorial, jealously guarding their freedoms and their independence, and also retaining their ancient traditions. A Machiavelli, astutely learned in political science and psyops, and commandeering the world's sole superpower's awesome military might, can use them like a child's putty!

In 2007, while the 'Army Act 2007' was still in effect, I offered two Pakistani military men, recently retired 3-star generals, whom I had occasion to partake a meal with in Islamabad, that I could craft any terrorist to their specification for them for a mere $15000 – a number that I conjured up out of thin air thinking it was suitably low to impress upon them how easy it was to harvest the surviving victims whose parents, wives, daughters, sons, had been bombed to smithereens, who had nothing to look forward to in life except the abyss of hunger, deprivation, and alienation, and especially from among those holding the 'Pakhtoonwali' tradition. I was trying to rationally talk them out of bombing our own peoples in this fictional 'war on terror' by explaining to them how Machiavellian political science works. I had held their attention for over 3-1/2 hours. And I was rather stunned when one of the 3-star generals of Pakistan's Army, and let me hasten to add (Retired), responded to my 'jihadi manufacturing challenge' with “Zahir you are asking too much, I could do it for $2000”!

Indeed! Yet they continue to bomb our own peoples.
They deliberately destroyed the lal-masjid in Islamabad with such barbaric ferociousness directly under the 'shock and awe' orders from the Pentagon, knowing fully well where the destabilization impact of the subsequent retaliations will be felt. It isn't rocket science for anyone, let alone for a professional standing Army's American trained high command! See my gut-wrenching missive that I composed in tears in the summer of 2007 knowing fully well what was going on and what will happen: “Saving Pakistan from Synthetic 'Terror Central'”.

After meeting the Pakistani Generals and realizing that while they were highly educated and immensely experienced in the art of war-making, they understood very little of political science – men of obeying orders that they were as part of their professional training – and I composed the following long open letter to a hypothetical Pakistani General, addressing all of Pakistan's senior military cadre employing this encounter as a literary device: “Re-Imagining Pakistan's Defenses – Open Letter to a Pakistani General”.

But who listens to an ordinary common man's commonsense? In all likelihood, no one even read that letter. And exactly on Eid ul-Adha Day 2007 that same year, I had made the following plea: “Wakeup to the grotesque reality of the 'Grand Chessboard'!” The same plea, a year later, has become even more prescient in the aftermath of the Mumbai Terrorist Act. And as the good President of United States of America has publicly stated:

“Good morning [America]. At this moment, somewhere in the world, terrorists are planning new attacks on our country. Their goal is to bring destruction to our shores that will make September the 11th pale by comparison.” (President of the United States, George W. Bush, Feb. 13, 2008)

Another 9/11 anywhere in the world and the American President, incumbent and newly-elected, will know exactly where to bomb, as Pakistan's Ambassador Hussein Haqqani had re-confirmed:

'[On] Wednesday, a media report quoted Pakistan’s envoy to Washington as saying that US leaders had warned Islamabad that if the United States suffered an attack that was traced back to Pakistan Washington would retaliate. “Those (statements) have been made,” Ambassador Hussain Haqqani told editors and reporters at The Washington Post. “We want to make sure that it doesn’t come to that.” (Dawn, June 12, 2008)

How remarkably easy it has been for most of humanity to be duped into this fabricated 'war on terror' with the help of our own mercenaries in power! Not one of them has the gall to stand up and announce – “war on terror is fiction”! Watch the following 2005 presentation in the documentary ZERO, made by CSIS to the commission of the European parliament for security and defense, as narrated by one of its attendee members, where he makes the following statement of fact:

Begin Transcription (by Project Humanbeingsfirst):

“In the summer of 2005, the commission of the European parliament for security and defense, of which I am a member, was invited to a special screening created by the Washington Center for Strategic [and International] Studies”

“We were asked to watch a film which depicted what would happen in Europe, if Brussels was hit by a nuclear bomb. Fifty thousand deaths, hundred thousand injured. The reactions of various European governments.”

“Suddenly, footage of Osama Bin Laden claiming responsibility for a nuclear attack on NATO headquarters comes on screen.”

“All members of the parliament, myself included, were rendered speechless. American experts from the Center for Strategic Studies, were on hand to explain the action on the screen.”

“Then a parliamentarian finally said:

**Today we were shown a convincing demonstration of how Osama Bin Laden's image can be completely manipulated.**

All the Osama's we have seen over the years, may never have existed.

Just as a nuclear attack on NATO Headquarters in Brussels has never taken place.”

End Transcription. (ZERO: An Investigation Into 9/11)

How can one prove what I write here, that the 'war on terror' is fiction? That “Bin Laden” is “Ali Baba”? And that the Mumbai Terrorist Act was a false-flag operation, just like 911 was?

The same way that any sound judge in a court room would – especially one like Robert Jackson at Nuremberg! Short of that – and it was a victor's justice, nothing more – well-intentioned peoples must endeavor to at least learn the truth stripped of its complex psyops, even if they remain afraid of articulating what they learn out loud. At least, they will knowingly not spew garbage, or create disinformation, or cultivate red herrings, as this 'champion of justice and humanity' recently did: “**Rebuttal to Paul Craig Roberts': 'Washington Arrogance has Fomented a Muslim Revolution'**”.

There are tens of thousands of 'Paul Craig Roberts' in Pakistan, as elsewhere, beginning with Pakistan's own co-opted ruling elite, down to its 'enlightened-moderated' newsmedia, NGOs, academics, industrialists, et. al. Paul Craig Roberts was gentle enough to only bestow upon me the honorific “**you are a completely stupid fool, a disgrace to humanity**” when I called him on his disinformation. I actually carry that invective with much pride and it is the only honor I have received thus far from the hectoring hegemons, apart from their visit to my home in 2003! Other benedictions may not be so merciful. For the mercenaries among them deliberately subverting our nations in perpetuating this fiction of 'war on terror' – be it America, Pakistan, India, and every other – there is the hangman's noose to look forward to.

The way the Rockefellers have it orchestrated however, the one-world government – the raison d'être for all this death and mayhem of humanity – is almost fait accompli! In that new world order, the present would look like the good old days! PCR's honorific, a blessing! I use the word 'almost'
deliberately because I continue to believe that there is many a slip between the cup and lip! If only the plebeians can learn to overcome our voluntary servitude!

It is only befitting to use Elie Wiesel's eloquence to close these despondent Eid reflections, for a cataclysm of no less torment has indeed befallen those who have finally seen the end of war:

“I still curse the killers, their accomplices, the indifferent spectators who knew and kept silent, and Creation itself, Creation and those who perverted and distorted it. I feel like screaming, howling like a madman so that that world, the world of the murderers, might know it will never be forgiven.”

Thank you.
Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Footnotes

[1] 'maasi museebte', as I recall my childhood memories, was a character in the 1970s popular Pakistani television puppetshow 'Kaliyan'. Similar to Sesame Street.


To: hamid.mir@geo.tv

Fri, May 15, 2009 at 12:56 AM

Dear Mr. Hamid Mir,

I watched your performance at the Commonwealth Club of April 1 2009. It is so endearing that I have featured it on my website. (http://humanbeingsfirst.org upper left column)

The following is a brief excerpt from this month's terrorism newsletter. I thought I'd share it with you because that description of the 'Negro' is unfortunately you. I am very sorry. Your persistently clothing the straw-boogieman of “imperial mobilization” – Osama Bin Laden – only shows you to be either a simpleton reporting on the 'katputli tamashas', or a western intelligence asset playing the tune dictated by the Mighty Wurlitzer. You willingly do not wish to see puppetmasters – for nobody can be that stupid.
I am writing you in the hope that I am mistaken on all counts and there is a simpler explanation to all your omissions.

Thank you for your time,

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

[2b] Robert Fisk, who had interviewed Osama Bin Laden a few times in Afghanistan, when he first heard about it [planes crashing into WTC] enroute his flight to NY on 9/11 from Europe, said he felt it must have been Osama, because Osama had once told him ~“Mr. Robert, I will destroy America from this very mountain top that you are sitting on just as we destroyed the Soviet Union.” This is an approximate quote from memory of what I heard Robert Fisk state in a speech that I attended. https://prisonersofthecave.blogspot.com/2007/04/chapter-5.html

Part-III

“Muslim Revolution” in Mumbai?

Rebuttal to Paul Craig Roberts': 'Washington Arrogance has Fomented a Muslim Revolution'

December 06, 2008.

The following letter to editor was sent to the listed editors on Sat, Dec 6, 2008 at 11:14 AM. Mr. Paul Craig Roberts' responses and my followup rebuttals are appended.

Letter to Editor with Cc to Paul Craig Roberts

To: BaltimoreChronicle, Lewrockwell, Antiwar.com, WRH, Infowars & Alex Jones
Cc: paulcraigroberts@yahoo.com
Subject: Rebuttal: Washington Arrogance has Fomented a Muslim Revolution
Date: Sat, Dec 6, 2008 at 11:14 AM

The only response I can give to this lame article being carried on your stellar website 'Washington Arrogance has Fomented a Muslim Revolution', is the letter appended below.

Do you mind, Mr. Paul Craig Roberts, if you stopped blaming Muslims for all the black-ops' false-flag operations on the planet: “The attack on Mumbai required radicalized Muslims”?
Thanks!

Zahir Ebrahim
An ordinary Muslim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

---

**The Real Terrorists: Letter to Pak Alert Press**

December 05, 2008

Thank you for compiling these references in this analysis “THE NARIMAN HOUSE STORY”. The following astute observation made in the article still begs the forensic question who and why:

“This terrorist incident will most likely lead to much greater bloodshed unless the true culprits are found and convicted in a court of law. Some analysts say that this event could lead to a new world war.”

Red Herring: 'a smelly fish that a fugitive drags across the path in order to put the pursuing dogs off the trail'

Lest some very good peoples remain on the treadmill of red herrings, Project Humanbeingsfirst issued the following letter as comment for this excellent website Pak Alert Press. It is reproduced below.

---

**The Real Terrorists – by Zahir Ebrahim**

The atrocity in Mumbai last week, and the bizarre data that is emerging, will make more sense if one stops viewing this heinous terrorist act from the traditional Indian-Pakistan lens. It is urgent that people in both nations begin to appreciate what's at stake so that each may initiate proper self-defense against accurately identified hidden dangers from the overt 'katputli tamashas'.

The following News Flash is Project Humanbeingsfirst's position on this manufactured terror. To appreciate it, please study the methods of Rand Corporation, CFR, Zbigniew Brzezinski, et. al., and acquire an understanding of the notion of world-government. Political science runs the world, and the children of Machiavelli are deeply rooted in waging war by way of deception – a game of

---

conquest “as old as mankind”.

From the East India Company to Free Trade to the War on Terror – all enablers of pretexts for “imperial mobilization” and “full spectrum dominance”. In the West, typically, the smartest students study humanities and social sciences, and the think-tanks as well as the Pentagon are populated with scores of PhDs in political science and history. What do you think they do there? What do you think they do at the Ford and Rockefeller Foundations, and the Carnegie Endowment for Peace? How about at CFR and RIIA? They are united in one common global agenda – world government. And they get there by shrewdly employing the overarching political science concept articulated by David Ben Gurion “*what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times*”!

Therefore, please don't blame the Hindus or ISI or Jihadis – patsies and mercenaries come in all stripes, ethnic origin, and social class. And the best recruits for patsies are always those who have grievances or are disgruntled. The best recruits for mercenaries are the ruling-elite, politicians, and military men. In both cases they know very little, in fact often zero, and are merely the 'trigger pullers' of average intelligence. Instead, look for those 'ubermensch' who employ them. To do so, one has to understand the agendas, and what scheme of things is really being enacted on the world-stage. That, according to the forensic reports of Project Humanbeingsfirst (available on its website), points to an entirely different motivation-space and entirely different criminals from the 'katputli tamashas' being enacted for public consumption.

**News Flash: Terrorist rampage in Mumbai, India**

Terrorist rampage in Mumbai, India – Watch for “Hindustan Patriot Act” being enabled shortly! The last bastion of democracy, the largest in the world, had to be converted into a police state as “World government could only be kept in being by force”. This isn't an isolated event. The monetary collapse, the Obama government, predictions of first year of horrible terrorist acts worldwide to be blamed on the manufactured 'Militant Islamists' – enablers of endgame. Stop blaming either the Indians, or the Pakistanis. This has been a mil-ops all the way – no different than the Marriott Hotel terrorist act in Islamabad. See Press Release WHAT'S TO BE DONE – Massive Bomb Blast in Islamabad Marriott September 20, 2008. The same applies to Mumbai.

Thank you.
Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
Paul Craig Roberts' super arrogant and bizarre reply

Mr. Roberts Replied with Cc to editors: Sat, Dec 6, 2008 at 1:39 PM

From: Paul Craig Roberts
Reply-To: paulcraigroberts@yahoo.com
Subject: Re: Rebuttal: Washington Arrogance has Fomented a Muslim Revolution
To: “Project Humanbeingsfirst.org”
Date: Sat, Dec 6, 2008 at 1:39 PM

Whoever wrote this letter cannot read. I wrote that the Muslims were revolutionaries, not terrorists, that they had been driven to revolution to throw off their Western oppressors.

Zahir Ebrahim's reply to the white man's arrogance

Zahir Ebrahim responded with Cc to editors: Sat, Dec 6, 2008 at 3:02 PM

From: Zahir Ebrahim, Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
To: Paul Craig Roberts
Subject: Re: Rebuttal: Washington Arrogance has Fomented a Muslim Revolution
Date: Sat, Dec 6, 2008 at 3:02 PM

Merely quoting you Mr. Paul Craig Roberts: “The attack on Mumbai required radicalized Muslims”.

Your article is nonsense. It is the work of a disinformationist, if not an outright
simpleton. It ignores black-ops entirely. It ignores the reality-space of creating pretexts for incremental faits accomplis for world-government. It blames the Mumbai terror event as the blowback for America's excesses. The wanton and meaningless terror act was nothing of the kind. There is also no revolution brewing among the Muslims “to throw off their Western oppressors.” Far from it. Your essay is merely a devious attempt to resurrect a boogieman that doesn't exist – along the lines of “clash of civilizations”, but replacing Bernard Lewis' “Islamic Triumphalism” with your “radicalized Muslims” due to oppression. It isn't clear why one should rejoice in Muslims being called “revolutionaries” for acts which are entirely terroristic, wanton, take the lives of innocent, and outright criminal. If anything, it is maligning an entire peoples. Still works wonders when the enemy is kept external.

And the name of “whoever wrote this letter” is Zahir Ebrahim.

Thank you,

Zahir Ebrahim.

Paul Craig Roberts' displayed even more white man's arrogance upon being unmasked as a Disinformationist

Mr. Roberts replied: Sat, Dec 6, 2008 at 7:32 PM

From: Paul Craig Roberts
Reply-To: paulcraigroberts@yahoo.com
Subject: Re: Rebuttal: Washington Arrogance has Fomented a Muslim Revolution
To: “Project Humanbeingsfirst.org”
Date: Sat, Dec 6, 2008 at 7:32 PM

you are a completely stupid fool, a disgrace to humanity
Zahir Ebrahim ponders the white man's gratuitous epithet: “you are a completely stupid fool, a disgrace to humanity”

To be sure that such rich munificence being heaped upon the Muslims is not from some ordinary ignorant fellow in the mainstream, Mr. Paul Craig Roberts' distinguished bio which accompanied his article reads as follows:

'Paul Craig Roberts is an economist who served as an Assistant Secretary of the Treasury in the Reagan Administration earning fame as the “Father of Reaganomics”. He is a former editor and columnist for the Wall Street Journal, Business Week, and Scripps Howard News Service. He is the author of Supply-Side Revolution: An Insider's Account of Policymaking in Washington; Alienation and the Soviet Economy: The Collapse of the Socialist Era and Meltdown: Inside the Soviet Economy, and is the co-author with Lawrence M. Stratton of The Tyranny of Good Intentions: How Prosecutors and Law Enforcement Are Trampling the Constitution in the Name of Justice.'

So, Project Humanbeingsfirst will graciously let him have the last word.

No big deal that a supposed dissent-chief and a great supporter of justice only heaped slander upon the Muslims in the guise of critiquing his own nation: “The attack on Mumbai required radicalized Muslims”. His masters have done much worse – bombed entire civilizations into smitheres. See Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science, to understand the dialectics of deception, and the concept of manufactured dissent among the rebels to complement the manufactured consent among the mainstream, both of which retain all core-axioms of 'empire' intact! The difference is that for consent, the empire is projected as good. For dissent, the empire is projected as bad. But the same external enemy is retained, and only re-incarnated either as “jihadis” and “Militant Islam” (consent), or “revolutionaries” and “blowback” (dissent). Neither manufacturers will ever extend their discourse to covert-ops, to forensic analysis of overarching agendas, and to “inside job”!

Please send your letter to editor to Project Humanbeingsfirst, and to the above noted websites as well as others where his commentary has been published, letting them know your opinion.
Postscript: A decade later in 2019

In retrospect, now more than a decade later, funny how none of the lauded 'dissent' websites of the alternate newsmedia that published Paul Craig Roberts' speculative-disinfo op-ed piece, evidently just because he is a 'white man' and presumably a 'somebody', bothered to publish this humble Muslim's dissection of his imperial bullshit that attributed wanton acts of terrorism to Muslims in the guise of applauding them as “revolutionaries”!

The sum total of the white man's dissent ostensibly in favor of the untermensch is usually motivated by other agendas and self-interests! With even a little bit of scrutiny, it tends to fall apart. And when called on their bullshit, they either ignore you, as most do, or shower invectives upon you as this m-fcking darling of Western dissent did. The template of their controlled and specious dissent is actually pretty straightforward. Virtually all Western dissent repeats this manipulative template with at best mild variations. And they have roped-in the best intellectual minds among house niggers to do the same to help control their own kind.

These bastards are neither friends of any untermensch over there, nor over here, meaning, the white man's burden is no friend of the 'lesser peoples', regardless! They always have an angle as the 'uber alles'.

Dissent among the white man is often just the petty quarrel among thieves when grapes are sour for the losing tribes. See: The Dying Songbird, for that Machiavellian dissent template. See Gatekeepers From Left to Right, for the wholesomeness of deceit in the white man's dissent across the spectrum of their political thought. Lastly, see The Cunning Dissent of Noam Chomsky Revisited in 2015 by Zahir Ebrahim, for an even more incisive example of the depth of the white man's sophisticated cunning that wears the pious gown of high morality.
Part-IV

Ali Baba Arrived in Islamabad before
Mumbai

Press Release WHAT'S TO BE DONE – Massive Bomb Blast in
Islamabad Marriott September 20, 2008

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

September 22, 2008.

The mainstream newsmedia worldwide is awash with the multitude of descriptions, speculations, videos, and eye-witness accounts of the attack in Islamabad on the Marriott Hotel on September 20, 2008. The fires are still smoldering, the destruction of the massive 5-star hotel is complete, and the confirmed loss of life is at least 60, largely among the ordinary lower-staff and chauffeurs who served at the outer perimeter of the heavily guarded hotel, with hundreds more injured. Some have called this shocking atrocity in Islamabad, the “9/11 of Pakistan”, with the same 'jihadi' patsies dutifully lined up by the newsmedia, the pundits, and the governments worldwide, to take the fall!

This press release by Project Humanbeingsfirst is urgently issued with a counter plea to the Pakistani peoples and to the World: For heavens' sake, stop blaming India's RAW, Jihadis' Taliban and Al-Qaeda, etc., as the prime-movers of this new atrocity upon the Pakistani peoples. Misdiagnosing a systemic disease is a sure protocol for never finding its cure!
The destabilization of Pakistan has needed a confluence of patsies and mercenaries. A patsy or dupe is one who strongly believes in what he/she is doing, but is deftly controlled by puppetmasters. A mercenary is one who sells his/her allegiance to the highest bidder at any moment in time. The suicide bombers and cultivated “jihadis” of the likes that Pakistan has never seen in its entire history before, are patsies. The Pakistani ruling-elite are the mercenaries. Between the two, Black-ops and aid dollars have been well spent in Pakistan!

As was noted in Project Humanbeingsfirst's December 2007 “Open letter to a Pakistani General”:

Begin Quote

And this time, in the new imperialists' euphemistically labeled war, the “War on Terror”, we are now killing our own citizenry with our full military might in such an unremarkable and brutish manner that it is only guaranteed to create further ill-will and resentment among the already disgruntled and disenfranchised ordinary peoples. Thus, more resistance, more radicalism, more innocent dead, more fertile ground for cultivating suicide bombers, more complaints of “islamofascism” to scare the Western public with. So that in the end, in the mother of all battles between “good” and “evil” in which “either you are with us or with the terrorists”, there will be a continuous fresh supply of recruits to wage the requisite “endless wars” against.

It is only to prolong the conflagration for a full “lifetime of wars” lasting a “generation” – the “World War IV” – in the profound imperialist hope that, the resulting eventual maelstroms of radicalism seeded in ‘jihad’, will engulf the entire 'arc of crises', enabling its radical retransformation once again to suit the new imperial interests of the new “hectoring hegemons”.

If one wasn't living in Alice's dream world where the most absurd becomes a life–like reality and the 'unbirthday party' a cause célèbre, one would bluntly suggest that this looks very much like a devilishly premeditated synthesis of terror – 'synthetic terror' – in order to create an enemy to fight against “for at least a generation and preferably longer”, because in the absence “of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being”, and “except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat”, the much touted American “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization”!

End Quote

That is all the reality there is to this new spate of terror in my city in Pakistan – a further excuse for more premeditated, pre-planned, devilishly orchestrated American Intervention in Pakistan until they take over the entire Tribal Belt in order to be in closer proximity to China, and break-off Baluchistan as a new independent neo-liberal 'Gulf State'. The dismemberment and de-nuking of Pakistan is the larger agenda; and Mr. Zardari – like his distinguished predecessor – is the new
'decider' of that agenda.

And “tickling” the terrorists into existence, as CIA Director Michael Hayden observed of CIA's modus operandi: “We use military operations to excite the enemy, prompting him to respond. In that response we learn so much,” is the preferred choice for running this false-flag operation on Pakistan. When the victims and their survivors are thus sufficiently mentally “tickled” with the loss of their loved ones under the world's mightiest superpower's barbaric “shock and awe”, and expertly already doctrinally primed with the long-running “god is on your side” jihad to seed the anticipated response, the patsies are cleverly armed and guided through Pentagon's Black-ops local handlers' hierarchy, to their targets. Sometimes, even running complex false-flag operations this way by setting up diversionary visible patsies, while the often precision oriented and far more catastrophic terrorist act is directly and covertly controlled.

This is the well known modus operandi to ab initio create terrorist acts. Once created, the many organs of State, the military, the police, the intelligentsia, the media, the pundits, all naturally focus on the patsies and the victims! Because that is all they see before their un-forensic co-opted eyes.

And the Americans send in their military advisors to take-on the menace of these militants before these “jihadis” can take over Pakistan's nuclear weapons and threaten the entire world! This is how the Americans got into Vietnam too! It begins with pretexts to send in military advisors, with willing complicity of the mercenary rulers already deftly foisted upon the nation for that very purpose – to extend the invitation or cooperation as 'lesser of two evils'! Our picturesque Tribal Belt has already become Vietnam for its victims under this imperial paradigm!

Thus, the 'Al-Qaeeda' abstraction works great, as any shocking terrorist act attributed to them, or 'claimed' by them, enables multiple goals of the hectoring hegemons – from providing a pretext to invade-strangulate other nations, to scaring their own peoples into supporting the slaughter of other 'lesser' humanity, to clamping down on any domestic dissent with Police-State powers such as H.R. 1955, the Patriot Acts, and various Executive Orders.

As was explained in Project Humanbeingsfirst's April 2008 report “The attack of 'Al-Qaeeda' and Pakistani 'loose nukes'”:

Begin Quote

This time around, it's destination Tehran via Islamabad. And quite un-cleverly disguised as “defensive US military action”. But at the 'unbirthday' party, everything absurd becomes reality!

The Pakistani 'loose nukes' hijacked by the cave-dwelling, stick-wielding, suicide-bombing, 'Al-Qaeeda' mantra is among the multiple facile absurdities being deftly primed (and black-ops synthesized) as already outlined in the afore-stated wakeup call. It is one thing for the press and politicians in the pockets of the 'Hectoring Hegemons' (PNAC) to be rehearsing this silly as part of their “intellectual commitment” to seeding “doctrinal motivation” for the 'retaliatory' “patriotic gratification” (Brzezinski). It is quite another for the victims themselves to be bizarrely echoing it. This is yet to be observed in
primal nature: lambs arguing for their own slaughter.

End Quote

Why do our media-pundits/columnists/so-called-scholars, never mind the leaders, refuse to publicly acknowledge these blatant facts is beyond ordinary comprehension, when surely, all of them in the privacy of their own homes, will concur with that diagnosis.

And not all of them are mercenaries or patsies – what co-opts them into this rehearsing of the asinine “unbirthday party” song of ‘war on terror’ that only leads them to RAW, Taliban, Al-Qaesa, “Between Imperialism and Islamism”, and every place else on earth, except to the greatest 'benefactor' of Pakistan since its inception as a 'client-state'?

Why is Pakistan a part of this pernicious absurdity? What's in it for our 200 million peoples who don't even have clean drinking water for heaven's sake?

Would the new President of Pakistan have the courage to renounce his earlier stance now that he is in power – as he had also unabashedly [previously] stated that “there was nothing final in politics and positions could change with the changing situations”:

'The Pakistan People’s Party (PPP), if it comes into power, must persuade the people that the fight against militants is “our war”, not just America’s war’?

The only practicable solution for saving Pakistan begins with *calling a spade a spade*, followed by urgently disengaging from the fiction of “war on terror” and seeking military-economic full-spectrum alliances with SCO, especially IRAN. The fates of the two beleaguered nations are joined at the hip like Siamese twins! The President of both nations are to be coincidentally present in New York at the same time. Yet look for how differently they each will behave!

**DISENGAGE DISENGAGE DISENGAGE, before it is too late!**

Please see the following Project Humanbeingsfirst reports which explain this absurdity of 'Alice in Wonderland' that has been so devilishly crafted to suicide Pakistan, with the voluntary participation of Pakistan's own mercenaries and patsies:

1. Happy-Happy Zardari: A monologue on Hope and Voluntary Servitude
2. Profound Clairvoyance or Blatant Obviousness?
3. 'Bin Laden': Key enabler of “imperial mobilization” ... and attack on Iran–Pakistan
4. Wakeup to the grotesque reality of the 'Grand Chessboard'!
5. Saving Pakistan from Synthetic 'Terror Central'
6. The Re-Gathering Storm
7. Poodle-states are necessary for Imperial Mobilization!
8. Islamofascism - Zionofascism - Judeofascism - Christofascism - Neofascism etc.

And, in order for 'genius' minds un-attuned to fiction to forensically comprehend how this atrocity in Pakistan is being covered in the global news media, including Pakistan's by its own 'native informants', please take a look at how a similar atrocity in Iran was covered earlier this year in April 2008, when a bomb ripped through a mosque in Shiraz:

9. Iran, the Associated Press, and Covert-War of 'Imperial Mobilization'

A humble public service message of legitimate and empowering self-defense, brought to a beleaguered peoples by Project Humanbeingsfirst.org.
Part-V

Great Game India

26/11 India's Inside Job

Response to Great Game India '26/11 – What really happened at Nariman House of Confusion'

Friday, Jan 10, 2014

The website Greatgameindia in its survey article of November 26, 2013 reviewed the news reports published in the mainstream news media pertaining to the 26/11/2008 terrorist event in Mumbai, India, explaining the “method to the madness”. The analysis observed in its concluding passages that:

'Mossad Safe Houses in New Delhi

16 April 2013

All these and more unanswered questions about the Nariman House of Confusion lead to another very crucial question.

Was Nariman House another of Mossad Safe House?

Indian journalists are urged to dig into this story with their very lives, because the life of our nation is at stake.

This country and its youth with extreme talents has to take their future from the
70 plus politicians and ask suitable questions to shape the future of next coming
generations. Since last 12 years the country is on the definite path of downward
slide. Every politician of every shade degrading the youth talent, armed forces
talent, investigative agencies talent, police capabilities are the same one
bringing their second and third generation in to power to increase internecine
fights, raising the dead horse of Hindu Muslim fights even if they are carried by
unscrupulous foreign intelligence agencies which serves only them. They want
to sell weapons arms to both right wing groups, Indian army and Indian police
forces and willing to train both Indian Police and the Right Wing extreme
groups be them Jihadi or Right Wing Hindu groups. Unless young India wakes
up and come forward to take responsibility for their careers, security, secular
administration, balanced development and future of this diverse country we will
slide in to a state from a beacon of civilization in to some thing like balkanized
Afghanistan.

Young India’s role is not only in finding their jobs and careers but in providing
a social safe secular place and sustainable prosperity for their survival beyond
them for the coming generations.

From the concept of Global Family proposed by India Vasudaika Kutumbakam
we are descending in to Global village concept where culture nationality ethos
values hard cultivated over thousands of years are all sacrificed and we will be
reduced to glorified slaves selling our souls for a price, and resources for free
and engage in an end less tyranny and self inflicted social war fare with out own
kith and kin. We already destroyed by first religious division 60 years back and
an endless warfare that followed. For protesting this division the father of
nation was shot dead not by Islamic Jihadists but right wing Hindu Extremists.
How many more mahatmas we want to kill for serving the interests of colonial
masters.

Remember the words of Mahatma Gandhi. Be it Hindu, Muslim, Christian or
Jewish, just because few are creating trouble we cannot loose faith in the human
mind its creativity to continue and endure as humanity is the ocean.

“You Must not loose faith in Humanity. Humanity is an ocean.
If few drops of ocean are dirty, the ocean does not become
dirty”

– Mahatma Gandhi ‘

The factual analysis, inter alia, also spotlighted the bizarre reported fact that the Israeli
government some how managed to prevail upon the Indian government to not perform autopsies on
those found shot dead at Nariman House:

'NO AUTOPSIES ON ISRAELI BODIES ?
From: The India Telegraph

December 2, 2008

“Israel has requested India not to conduct post-mortems on its nationals killed in the Nariman House siege, citing what it said were “privacy and religious reasons”.

“The request for not doing the post-mortem is based on privacy as well as some religious reasons,” an official of the Israeli embassy here said. According to the information with the mission, seven of the nine killed in the attack were Israeli nationals, all of them Jews.”

The analysis on Greatgameinda unfortunately did not attempt to explain why or how the Indian government was so easily prevailed upon to not conduct autopsies on these dead bodies merely on the request of the Israeli government --- despite the Indian security forces reportedly having shot them at Nariman House:

'From The Jerusalem Post

DECEMBER 1, 2008

Haka Head: Indian forces may have killed some hostages:

“The Indian commando raid launched to save the lives of Jewish and Israeli hostages at Mumbai’s Chabad House may have inadvertently ended the lives of one or more of the hostages, the head of a six-man ZAKA team in the terror-stricken Indian city told The Jerusalem Post on Sunday’.

For a most shocking and deadliest terrorist event to transpire on India's soil since its independence, the Indian state appears entirely disinterested in conducting autopsies on these innocent souls in Nariman House that its own security forces have shot dead! Perhaps they were indeed the innocent hostages as the Jerusalem Post claimed. Or perhaps they were the terrorist themselves as this analysis on Greatgameindia shrewdly asks as the unanswered question of India's 9/11.

Regardless, why does Israel so easily prevail upon India in the name of “privacy as well as some religious reasons,” to get these Israeli dead bodies evacuated to Israel without further forensic examination of 26/11?

This uncanny power appears to be eerily similar to how the Israeli government prevailed upon the United States government to have the “dancing Israelis” evacuated to Israel after America's 9/11. And how the national security state of the United States prevailed upon America's own 9/11 Commission to omit any reference to the catastrophic collapse into its footprint of the third tower WTC-7 on that same day in infamy. And how the normal criminal investigative procedures for any crime scene were suspended for the 9/11 terrorism site and the entire crucial evidence of its debris field carted away in absolute haste to China and other remote geographies for immediate permanent disposal.

How can such suspension of well established normal operating procedures of the state itself
take place so universally?

How can such egregious omissions, and the visible “Laissez-faire” attitude in the immediate aftermath, on the otherwise rational questions that might normally be asked in the forensic examination on any other crime scene, be so universally held in abeyance on these most catastrophic terrorism acts that bring the entire state apparatus down to its knees and create police-state instead?

The analysis on Greatgameindia does not address these questions. The analysis however concluded with the prescient warning:

“Unless young India wakes up and come forward to take responsibility for their careers, security, secular administration, balanced development and future of this diverse country we will slide in to a state from a beacon of civilization in to some thing like balkanized Afghanistan.”

Project Humanbeingsfirst responded in its comment on Jan 10, 2014

Indeed. But only as a necessary intermediate stage to tear apart India, as all other nation-states, to enable global governance of the oligarchy in a world government. That is the primary agenda behind it all, at least in my view. And evidently, from your other articles on this website, including on the Rothschilds, and by the very choice of name for your website, you perhaps have the same overarching perspective.

Just FYI, here are references to two articles I had written at the time when the Mumbai terror event was being blamed upon various actors, primarily Islamofascism, militant Islam, even "Muslim Revolution". Your summation article and your quoted statement above, both underscore the truth of the observations made in December 2008.


Just to add some additional thoughts to your summation (in green font), the agenda upon India is no less nefarious, and pernicious, than upon Pakistan. This agenda has to be understood forensically and it cannot necessarily be discovered by examining the effects, the puppet shows, and the images on the screen in Plato’s allegory of the cave.

First, both India and China were economically built up by off-shoring American manufacture and industry in order to weaken the industrial base of the United States, and to destabilize the domestic base of the sole superpower nation-state by making it interdependent upon other nations for domestic sectors in which it was previously both entirely self-sufficient and mostly an exporter.

Second, those nations used for this purpose, primarily as catalyst, are also to be destabilized lest they raise themselves beyond control due to this gratuitous gift, more like a Trojan Horse than a gift.

The Technetronic and Information industry which has fueled India's temporary economic rise on the world scene as an exporter of cheap labor and services, obviously creating in its wake a tiny new millionaire class entirely servile to, and fully invested in, their new riches, and hence also
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invested in the massa's narratives and its self-interests no differently than how Lord Macaulay fashioned the Indian babu ab initio in his Indian education policy ---

“We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern, --a class of persons Indian in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals and in intellect.”,
Lord Thomas Babington Macaulay, Minute on Education, 2nd February 1835

--- has also reduced the Indian national policy-making to the state of near-serfdom to the massa's interests.

These global interests only begin with neo-liberalism and privatization, a necessary step in order to wrest control away from the state. But these will visibly culminate in exactly the same sort of full spectrum domination upon nation-sates as was witnessed during the reign of the East India Company, and then some!! Your articles clearly betray your astute awareness of all this, and I only wish there were more people harboring this awareness.

Most are only floundering in the sea of narratives, deception, and end up being useful idiots for the massa despite their best intentions rather than an effective force against their own destruction.

And as you rightly note, unless this process is interdicted with more than narrow self-interests by the young and brave at heart new generation of Indians, it will reduce India first to some balkanized form, perhaps resembling Afghanistan, but more likely resembling Pakistan and Iraq with manufactured internecine warfare percolated among its multicultural natives, enroute to direct supra-national full spectrum control of all its laws --- that is global governance.

All nation-states of the world are to be “handed” this fate. Just that each nation will perhaps be "taken" there differently based on their natural condition. This objective the rulers of the world openly speak about. It is not particularly a secret, except from those who are un-inclined to read what the massa themselves announce openly in their own documents and instead choose to get their daily dose of “koolaid” from the media [and increasingly, almost exclusively from Facebook and Twitter]:

“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.” --- CFR's Foreign Affairs magazine April 1974 article “The Hard Road To World Order” by Richard N. Gardner

The danger of that “end run around national sovereignty” is now not just pending, but actually staring at us in the face. The Mumbai terrorist act must principally be viewed from that overarching perspective. And the WHY of it cleanly separated from the HOW of it, and from the WHO of it. The latter, WHO of it, has many tiers in its “compartmentalized” and “cellularized” execution hierarchy. To identify the entire chain of command and handlers is not necessary, nor feasible for intelligence covert operations which are designed with precisely such “deniability” by their very design of being compartmentalized where none participating in the project really know the entire score, except at the very top of the Mighty Wurlitzer's machinery. What is necessary however is to get to the root
puppetmasters behind the visible trigger-pullers. For that, the WHY is necessary to comprehend because it clearly identifies them despite their “clean” hands and the layers of deniability pretexts fashioned for them by the degrees of separation. The Mighty Wurlitzer is examined here.

In conclusion, I must say well done Shelley Kasli. Incidentally, I would like to note that I arrived at your website from a link on Lila's website mindbodypolitic.com and spent considerably more time here to my advantage. Your articles, like Lila's, are refreshingly perceptive. Some have brought me up to date on many breaking matters since I don't much follow the news any more. [Added: The world news, to me at least, appears to be all the same, day in and day out; almost all of it enacted by merely new actors using minor variations to a well-worn script, each having their own peculiar exits and entrances that continually dish new Hegelian mind-fcks to the public getting even the most thoughtful people numbed, disillusioned, and ultimately getting the masses to United We Stand to collectively acquiesce to new absurdities --- under all that “madness”, “terrorisms”, “catastrophes”, and “happenstances”, inching the world towards one-world government one day at a time! What's there to read in the news when one knows the entire baseline script? ]

The travesty experienced by the poor Indian Consulate's Dr Devyani Khobragade in NY is atrocious. I hope that you continue on to a Part-V of your series exposing the agendas behind this ugly puppetshow. Clearly there is a lot more to it as both you and Lila are pointing out. The typical behavior of the Indian Uncle Tom prosecutor of New York was analyzed in one of my FAQ's on Pakistani House Niggers. India evidently has more than its fair share of Uncle Thomasses (perhaps only due to its larger size I imagine, and not particularly due to any additional proclivity to brown-nose the white man compared to the Pakistanis). At least, there are some, or perhaps many, Indians of integrity, even in India's officialdom as noted in your article, who were made to “sleep with the fishes”, surely only for their due diligence, otherwise why are they dead like the investigators on JFK assassination?

I imagine that there are also such good people of uncompromising integrity in Pakistan, as among every self-respecting nation. But if they exist in Pakistan, they are presently below the public radar and choose to mostly remain publicly silent. Only useful idiots, and mercenaries, ubiquitously abound no matter where you look in Pakistan. The Pakistani new generation shoulder the same moral and national responsibilities as you have so eloquently articulated for Indians. Indeed, this calling is incumbent upon all self-respecting nations, and I suspect that many are eager to shoulder such responsibilities. Many are searching for ways and means to be effective. That is the crux of the real problem.

How to be effective, to the level that one can actually change the dystopic outcome planned for mankind! I fail there ... (see Realpolitik What's to be done)

Perhaps the astute Indians have some ideas?

Best wishes,

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
California,
Friday, Jan 10, 2014
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Chapter 12

Ali Baba in Pakistan

'Osama Bin Laden': Key enabler of “imperial mobilization” and nuclear attack on Iran-Pakistan

April 17, 2008.

The abominable shared fates that unite Iran (“Bush and Iran, again”, WSJ April 15, 2008), and Pakistan, from President Bush calling Pakistan “Terror Central” in 2007, to this week, April 13, 2008, 'clairvoyantly' asserting that “If another September 11 style attack is being planned, it probably is being plotted in Pakistan, and not Afghanistan”. And, as already noted in its March 29, 2008 heads-up warning to America by Project Humanbeingsfirst™ (“Nuclear attack on Iran appears imminent!”), when such a “planned” attack transpires, it “will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison” (Bush, Feb. 13, 2008).

That heads-up warning also suggested creating a new peoples institutional barrier against the wanton pursuit of “imperial mobilization” that is otherwise almost trivially enabled by any “new Pearl Harbor” (“How to derail ‘imperial mobilization’”). Simultaneously, a rational preemptive self-defense strategy to further deter such Machiavelliany orchestrated “defensive’ US military action” (Brzezinski, Feb. 1, 2007) was also spelled out for the Pakistani ruling elite by Project Humanbeingsfirst in its December 21, 2007 wakeup call (“Wake up to the grotesque reality of the
Neither heads-up warnings are very un-obvious, and both set-ups have been long time in the making, from even before “Inside Iran's Secret War for Iraq”, *Time* August 15, 2005, which followed on the heels of the famous “all options are on the table”, to Bush speech, Jan 10, 2007, to “Iran's secret plan”, *Guardian*, May 22 2007, to Petraeus' finally holding up the 'smoking gun' on April 09, 2008. And yet, remarkably, no visible rational self-defense is transpiring.

With the American President's now exact statements on Pakistan, which seem to be the culmination of systematically built-up statements on both Iran and Pakistan from American military commanders and intelligence chiefs over the past years, it should be obvious to even the biggest dunces in Pakistan that another (nuclear) terrorist event anywhere in the world, will directly be blamed upon Pakistan (and Iran). With NATO and American troops already amassed at its borders in significant numbers to take the plunge into Pakistan en flying-route to Tehran at a moments notice from the White House, there will be absolutely no time after crossing the tipping point in America which “will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison”.

And yet, it is necessary to emphasize again, no effective self-defense by any victim is transpiring, as beleaguered Iran is left standing alone by the world to become the next meal of “primacy” of the 'Hectoring Hegemons'. It is evident that the Pakistani leadership is entirely co-opted into systematically suiciding that nation by its prancing about at the “unbirthday party” with the “Mad Hatter” for the past eight years.

Today it appears entirely natural that the United States should just walk right in to 'save' the Pakistanis from the 'radical islamiists'. This was quite unimaginable just a few years ago, but every grotesque bomb blast, every few dozen peoples killed in it, every act of extreme violence, political violence, demonstrations, and uncertainty created by 'loose nukes' mantras, culminating in the grotesque assassination of Benazir Bhutto, now makes it the most natural next step for the “truly global superpower”. A deliberate orchestration of “revolutionary times” in Pakistan to make “what is inconceivable in normal times possible in revolutionary times”.

'Why' is rather obvious once again.

But for those who do not bother to study the “primacy” craftsmanship of the 'hectoring hegemons', it is about the repartitioning of Pakistan to primarily create an independent and geostrategically significant 'Baluchistan' nation – much like Kosovo's orchestrated independence from Serbia – while finally de-nuking Pakistan! This is only the phase-1 of the repartition plan through violently induced “birth pangs of a new Middle East”, whereby, “whatever we do we have to be certain that we're pushing forward to the new Middle East not going back to the old one.” (Rice, July 21, 2006)

See for instance, the Bernard Lewis Plan for the New Middle East, or the similar Lieutenant-Colonel Ralph Peters' map for the New Middle East, in order to understand what “pushing forward” looks like in the minds of the 'hectoring hegemons'. The key point to note is not the precise new boundary lines, or its merits, but that there should be any new boundary lines forcibly drawn by anyone other than willingly by the peoples who live in these regions!

And today, the superpower is increasingly making higher levels of intrusive demands as a prelude to complete take over, as in Iraq, from allowing its citizen-staff to enter Pakistan at anytime on
merely the American driver's license as identification (dispensing with visa and passport), to the point of now having a military liaison into Pakistan's Nuclear Command Authority from the American Embassy in Islamabad (See “US goes for the jugular in Pakistan”, The Times of India, April 15, 2008).

The invasion of both Iran and Pakistan are to be lock-step, as presciently outlined in the December 21, 2007 Wakeup call to the Pakistani ruling elite, which followed on the heels of an earlier very detailed Open Letter to a Pakistani General by Project Humanbeingsfirst (see “Re-Imagining Pakistan's Defenses”, November 30, 2007).

But nothing!

No newsmedia in Pakistan picked any of these warnings to print, nor did any of the famed columnists who fill the pages of Pakistani newspapers dared to comment on it publicly in their own columns.

The United States public too has been so dexterously primed with the multi-faceted mantras crafted of the “sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being”, that the latest public survey by Gallup Poll released on March 31, 2008, shows “Iran topped the list, with 25 percent naming it when asked which country is the greatest U.S. enemy,”. And this “enemy” is going to spring Martial law in America, sooner rather than later.

Needless to say, none of the American newsmedia, including both NYT and LAT, have bothered to print any of Project Humanbeingsfirst's analyses. It is crucially important to state this now because soon, after the tipping point is crossed, or perhaps after the nuclear Rubicon is crossed, both nations' newsmedia will come screaming “eureka”, ex post facto! Or perhaps it will be the historians!

In order to continually remind the deftly primed American and Western public that all this is indeed pre-mediated Orwellian orchestration of “imperial mobilization” and not just mere happenstance in reaction to chaotically unfolding global events due to GWOT against some super-resourceful 'Islamist pirates' hiding in the Hindu Kush mountains in Pakistan's border regions from whence, the absurdity of the immanent threat to the armed to the teeth superpower is today, April 17, 2008, noted as “Elevated”, it is educational to revisit Zbigniew Brzezinski and thank him for explaining the imperial insights and superpower aspirations so unabashedly in 'the Grand Chessboard'. If only the people in America would read their own 'Mein Kampfs' and try to appreciate the “full spectrum” institutional frameworks of the mighty state under which it is being deployed:

“It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.”

“Public opinion polls suggest that only a small minority (13 percent) of Americans favor the proposition that 'as the sole remaining superpower, the US should continue to be the preeminent world leader in solving international
problems’. ... Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. .... More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification. ... Mass communications have been playing a particularly important role in that regard, generating a strong revulsion against any selective use of force that entails even low levels of casualties.”

And thus all can see just how effectively “Mass communications have been playing a particularly important role in that regard”; and based on the sociological insights into power and the “populist” impediments to its supreme flaunting, just how effectively mass communications have been utilized to co-opt the “democratic instincts” of the American public (see “Iran, the Associated Press, and Covert-War of ‘Imperial Mobilization’”).

And as this LA Times oped “Target: Bin Laden” of April 13, 2008, shows, the mainstream American news organizations continue to provide ample space for perpetuating the mythology of Bin Laden as the quintessential boogieman enabler of “imperial mobilization” – from 911 to WMD, and now to Pakistan and Iran – while the FBI itself does not put that name on its own most wanted list in that connection, noting:

“The reason why 9/11 is not mentioned on Usama Bin Laden’s Most Wanted page is because the FBI has no hard evidence connecting Bin Laden to 9/11. Bin Laden has not been formally charged in connection to 9/11.” (Rex Tomb, Chief of Investigative Publicity for the FBI, speaking to the Muckraker Report on June 5, 2006.)

The courageous Muckraker, as no more than just an ordinary member of the American civil society, then shows the minimum indignation that is expected from any decent conscionable human being irrespective of their indoctrination level and their belief in the “United we Stand” with the State at the ‘unbirthday party’:

“Through the talking heads in the mainstream media, the Bush Administration told the American people that Usama Bin Laden was Public Enemy Number One and responsible for the deaths of nearly 3000 people on September 11, 2001. Yet nearly five years later, the FBI says that it has no hard evidence connecting Bin Laden to 9/11.”

“Remember, on June 5, 2006, FBI spokesman, Chief of Investigative Publicity Rex Tomb said, “The FBI has no hard evidence connecting Usama Bin Laden to 9/11.” This should be headline news worldwide. The challenge to the reader is to find out why it is not. Why has the U.S. media blindly read the government-provided 9/11 scripts, rather than investigate without passion, prejudice, or bias, the events of September 11, 2001? Why has the U.S. media blacklisted any
guest that might speak of a government sponsored 9/11 cover-up, rather than seeking out those people who have something to say about 9/11 that is contrary to the government’s account?"

To add to the narrative, the New York Times, rather surprisingly, ran a front-page exposé on Sunday, April 20, 2008, headlined: “Behind TV Analysts, Pentagon’s Hidden Hand”. It has to be read in full in order to appreciate its import – after the fact of course, the invasion of Iraq now being fait accompli. And that is precisely the “important role” of not just the “mass communications”, but also “the doctrinal motivations, intellectual commitment” in pursuing the mantra of 'Bin Laden' and 'Al Qaeda', for on the heels of a “catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new pearl harbor” (PNAC, page 51), it provides the much needed “patriotic gratification” to enable “imperial mobilization” in a “populist democracy”, as is now evident.

One cannot ignore the fact that the New York Times itself played its own role dutifully at the time pushing the mantra of WMDs in Iraq, which followed upon the heels of the mantra of 'Bin Laden' doing 9/11, and continues to do so even today pushing the new mantra of 'loose nukes' that 'Bin Laden' and 'Al Qaeda' will hijack, and the mantra of 'Iranian WMDs', in order to help synthesize public opinion for the new wars on Iran, Pakistan, and Syria. Ex post facto, even George Bush will write a book detailing his masterful role in constructing the New World Order.

Indeed, Project Humanbeingsfirst™ ‘clairvoyantly’ predicts that the scientific study finally published in a peer-reviewed Civil Engineering online Journal only this past week, on how the three WTC towers (WTC1, WTC2, and WTC7) collapsed so suddenly at almost free fall speed as if it were controlled demolition, titled “Fourteen Points of Agreement with official Government Reports on the World Trade Center Destruction”, ever gains mainstream traction before the tipping point, it will be attempted to be blamed upon 'Bin Laden'.

It will be asserted by newsmedia, by the Pentagon, the White House, and by the scholars and technicians of 'empire', that the only obvious candidate who had the capability to pull off such an outrageous controlled demolition on America, is 'Bin Laden' and 'Al Qaeda'! When that transpires, I hope that these bastions of 'ubermensch' intellect will at least have the sense to get the FBI to first update their most wanted poster of Usama Bin Laden! Its snapshot, taken on April 22, 2008, is archived for the disingenuous “eureka” moment of posterity similar to the one displayed by the afore-stated Sunday NYT, and by the 2005 Iraq Study group, at: https://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/fbi-most-wanted-terrorist-usama-bin-laden-april-22-2008.pdf.

It has today become necessary to state blatant obviousness explicitly:

All those pushing the mantras of the Pentagon and the White House, regardless of its 'well argued' rationales at the time, are active and complicit participants in synthesizing “doctrinal motivations” which span the gamut of manufactured consent, to manufactured dissent.

This criminal priming of the “populist democracy” now naturally enables “the first, only, and last truly global superpower” to be fully “autocratic abroad” in its unlimited “capacity for military intimidation”. Please note how Brzezinski concludes the aspirations of the truly global superpower:

“Geostrategic success in that cause would represent a fitting legacy of America's role as the first, only, and last truly global superpower.”
And it is precisely in that overarching “Geostrategic ... cause”, that Iran and Pakistan are imminently staged on the nuclear chopping block, while some American city is made expendable to create the new “catalyzing event” for “imperial mobilization” that “will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison”.

All conveniently enabled by the continued Orwellian use of the fiction of 'Bin Laden' and its various surrogates including 'Al Qaeeda'.

If these stick-wielding cave-dwelling antediluvians possess such awesome powers as to bring down a superpower to its knees, forcing it to spend 3.1 trillion dollars next year a majority of it on defense, and its peoples to lose all their democratic freedoms and civil liberties, then they are surely more powerful than the USSR! Or at least, a wonderful 'Ali Baba' bedtime story.

I used to invent such tales while putting my kids to bed when they were younger, and in my stories, the 'Ali Baba' was a Herculean Mr. Good-deeds and Mother Teresa rolled into one – flying off to far away places on his magic carpet with his band of 40 (along with his wife and children who were, only co-incidentally, named after my own), and with his awesome powers of Superman, solving all the problems of the world.

But I think the 'empire's' rendition of 'Ali Baba' is more effective for “imperial mobilization”.

It is pointless debating and dissecting the ever changing 'leaves of the tree' in the battlefields of conquests (as in this battlefield analysis 'Iran should be “Set Up for an Attack”') when the matters are plainly manifest in its DNA, and in the illegality, by international law, of “goosestep[ping] the Herrenvolk across international frontiers” (see “The attack of 'Al-Qaeeda' and Pakistani 'loose nukes'” and “Nuremberg: The grotesquely dancing trumpeting elephant in the newlywed's bed!”).

It would do well for the few remaining sane and conscionable peoples in America, its handful of un co-opted media persons, politicians, thinkers, peace activists and others who care about their nation and seek to effectively overturn the hydra of “full spectrum dominance”, to stay focussed on the DNA of the 'tree': the “supreme international crime” that is the alpha and omega of the matter.

It is the prima facie all encompassing harbinger of “all the evil that follows”, as defined at Nuremberg, and so must remain the necessary and sufficient first focus for stopping “imperial mobilization” by unequivocally charging it as:

“the supreme international crime differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole”.

Further analysis of its vagaries in various battlefields of conquest is superfluous when the highest order bit is to stop it before the nuclear Rubicon is crossed. If the conscionable peoples in the world and their national leaderships cannot even unite in the definition of what is crime, and what is the “supreme” crime, and cannot channel their collective energies to a single point of focus on deterring the “supreme international crime”, matters are already fait accompli.
Part-II

The attack of 'Al-Qaeeda' and Pakistani 'loose nukes'

A peek into the creation and execution of mantras as pretexts for War of 'Imperial Mobilization' – and the basis for its legal containment

April 12, 2008.

It is beyond any reasonable comprehension how blatantly obvious the grotesquely unfolding reality in Pakistan is ("Profound Clairvoyance or Blatant Obviousness?") , and yet the Pakistani rulers and the majority of Pakistani ruling elite and its newsmedia still manifestly react as if they are all sitting at the 'unbirthday' tea-party with the 'Mad Hatter' boisterously chanting the imperial 'war on terror' song as they deliberately suicide the nation into oblivion. There isn't much time.

This ominous report “2 die in Pakistan nuclear plant accident” (AP April 08, 2008) will shortly be blamed by the West upon the ubiquitous 'al qaeeda' as having attempted, and perhaps even succeeded in, getting their hands on the Pakistani 'loose nukes'. Unlike the Benazir Bhutto assassination that the Government of Pakistan had immediately blamed upon the 'al qaeeda' ("Who Killed Benazir Bhutto? In her own words!") with copious help from the Pakistani newsmedia ("Letters to Editors"), this time around, both will surely deny it (irrespective of the facts of the matter which will remain top-secret and continually lied about, subjecting the peoples and the environment in its vicinity to possible radioactive harm; even widespread harm).

If (rather when) this diabolical 'Al-Qaeeda' spin ever materializes in the Western press, be
forewarned that the crossing of the nuclear Rubicon which already appears imminent ("Nuclear Attack on Iran appears imminent!") despite what President George Bush might state publicly, is very close at hand!

For, of course, the immense premeditated build-up for invasion and subsequent "defensive' US military action" (Brzezinski), must all be made to look un-premeditated. This will of course get little assistance from the ever fertile Straussian minds of the editorial writers who get no free hand in the American press and the newsmedia (sic!), all of which follow the New York Times construction of "all the news that's fit to print".

And just as abhorrently, this would surely indicate that the new '911', which according to President Bush, "will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison" (NYT Feb. 14, 2008) and that "it would be the work of militants hiding inside Pakistan" (The News April 12, 2008), is also imminent.

But multiple diabolical catalyst provocations such as the loss of the 5th fleet in the Persian Gulf, justifying full spectrum "defensive' US military action against Iran" and Pakistan as forewarned by Project Humanbeingsfirst™ in its wakeup call of December 21, 2007 ("Wakeup to the grotesque reality of the Grand Chessboard") aren't precluded in this calculus of the Fourth Reich for "imperial mobilization".

And unfortunately, today, there is no Justice Robert H. Jackson to re-assert the definition of "aggressor" to the apathetically spectating world:

'An “aggressor,” Jackson proposed to the [Nuremberg] tribunal, is a state that is the first to commit such actions as “invasion of its armed forces, with or without a declaration of war, of the territory of another State. ...

If certain acts of violation of treaties are crimes, they are crimes whether the United States does them or whether Germany does them, and we are not prepared to lay down a rule of criminal conduct against others which we would not be willing to have invoked against us.”'

Indeed, it is further pertinent to refresh one's imperial memory that the United States Chief Prosecutor at Nuremberg Tribunals had gone on to establish that the overt-acts of aggression, and not the tortuous justifications behind these acts, is what hung the Nazis:

“The intellectual bankruptcy and moral perversion of the Nazi regime might have been no concern of international law had it not been utilized to goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers. It is not their thoughts, it is their overt acts which we charge to be crimes.”

And that these overt-acts of aggression, as argued by Justice Jackson, contained within them the accumulation of “all the evil that follows”. That, the first primal aggression was:

“the supreme international crime differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole”

Thusly, all of the murderous turmoil unleashed in Pakistan since the macho 'commando' President General Musharraf committed the client-state to America's “goosestep[ping] the Herrenvolk
across international frontiers”, and the concomitant apportioning of commensurate “supreme” blame for the horrendous toll upon its ‘wretched’ society, as elsewhere, including Afghanistan and Iraq, Lebanon, and soon in Iran, accordingly, accrues to the account of the sole superpower.

It manifestly constructed its own synthetic ‘operation canned goods’ as its “new pearl harbor” for the premeditated “supreme international crime” of “imperial mobilization”.

And it will so construct another more devastating “new pearl harbor” once again as the grotesque re-charging of the worn-out “catalyst” for continuing on with its premeditated nuclear plan to “Birth pang” the “Project for a New Middle East”.

This time around, it's destination Tehran via Islamabad. And quite un-cleverly disguised as “defensive US military action”. But at the 'unbirthday' party, everything absurd becomes reality! The Pakistani 'loose nukes' hijacked by the cave-dwelling, stick-wielding, suicide-bombing, 'Al-Qaeeda' mantra is among the multiple facile absurdities being deftly primed (and black-ops synthesized) as already outlined in the afore-stated wakeup call.

It is one thing for the press and politicians in the pockets of the 'Hectoring Hegemons' (PNAC) to be rehearsing this silly as part of their “intellectual commitment” to seeding “doctrinal motivation” for the retaliatory “patriotic gratification” (Brzezinski). It is quite another for the victims themselves to be bizarrely echoing it. This is yet to be observed in primal nature: lambs arguing for their own slaughter.

Those who naively think that these complicated matters can't be pre-meditated or pre-planned precisely because of the insanity of it, or the incomprehensibility of it in being pulled off in any kind of military precision that's needed, delude themselves for their prized mental acuity, for the hectoring hegemons' is exponentially greater.

This 'ubermensch' breed clearly perceives that “what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times”. It is only in the pain of “Birth-Pangs”, as for any new birth in nature, that the 'New World Order' can be born. The Third Reich wanted it – and failed. The Fourth Reich wants it just as bad, and are indeed playing their game well.

The “Mission Accomplished” sign actually meant what it stated. While most in the mainstream, as well as in the so called 'dissent-stream', derided it in the aftermath of Iraq, little do these gentle-minds perceive the diabolical agenda that was accomplished quite successfully indeed – to set the “Birth-pangs” and labor pains in motion by raping the fertile womb.

That was surely successfully accomplished! One might even wager that it was William Kristol who likely came up with that sign – he seems to be just the type to relish in such devilish play on words (judging from his previous forays into Presidential speech-writing). It can be quite educational to back-read all the Presidential speeches since 2000 and study up on their craftsmen. The Goebbelian craftsmanship creates a Kafkaesque hypnotism over the American masses and its newsmedia.

It is precisely that 'ubermenschen' power that eludes normal peoples who simply can't perceive the depth of evil incarnate which once again besieges mankind. This is why, Leo Strauss, the legatee of the Austrio-Hungarian empire that had seeded Hitler, also only seeded the new 'ubermenschen' into the privileged Nietzschean class of being 'beyond good and evil' after assurance of their higher intelligence and superiority. As per Plato's philosophy of the philosopher-king wisely shepherding the flock, Straussians merely drop the 'wisely' part. They, in effect, replace it with 'predatorily'. Thus the
self-ascribed appellation 'Hectoring Hegemons'.

Such tortuous minds only make tortuous plans that just the sheer boldness of which boggles some moral mind.

It certainly boggles Noam Chomsky's mind – supposedly, the "arguably most important intellectual alive" in all of Western Hemisphere according to the New York Times – that to this day he cannot believe the evidence of his own eyes that the '911' was controlled demolition, and hence by corollary, must have been self-inflicted. He continues to accept the Pentagon's narrative that a guy in the Hindu Kush mountains with cell-phones and box-cutters took down the entire trillion dollar defense system of the world's sole superpower. A fabricated war that is no more abstruse than the Star Wars Hollywood movie episode “Revenge of the Sith”, eludes him as he continually expands on the 'Al qaeda' and 'OBL' merely seeking revenge for all the horrible crimes of the 'empire'. And he does so after writing almost a million words in a zillion books over half a century about the ex post facto secretive crimes of 'empire', the various magical incantations of its power, and the unraveling of its deception games. It was in the greatest honor of my esteemed professor that I wrote “Responsibility of Intellectuals – Redux” on the 40th anniversary last year of the original's seminal publication.

I wonder that if Noam Chomsky had been a non-Jewish German Professor of linguistics living in the Third Reich, would he also have accepted the Reich's explanation of its self-inflicted Operation Canned Goods and the Reichstag fire?

Indeed, if the U.S. Chief Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunals Prosecutor Justice Robert Jackson were alive today, and he hadn't merely been handing out a 'victor's justice' to the despicable harbingers of the Third Reich when he stated the blatant obviousness of their premeditated intent to commit “supreme international crime” of aggression:

“The plans of Adolf Hitler for aggression were just as secret as Mein Kampf, of which over six million copies were published in Germany”,

he would blanketly also re-assert to the equally despicable harbingers of the Fourth Reich the equally blatant obviousness of their premeditated intent to re-commit the same “supreme international crime” of aggression:

*The plans of the aggressor for aggression were just as secret as the 'PNAC' and the 'NPR' on the 'Grand Chessboard' that were freely available for download on the Internet, and in major bookstores.*

The only difference between the two, as far as anyone can un-emotionally ascertain, and which is *amply documented by this scribe*, is that the Nazis didn't get the opportunity to re-write history as the present day 'ubermenschen' are *busily engaged in doing*, with willing connivance of their intellectuals, newspaper editorial writers, various and sundry lower order newsmedia 'circus clowns', even expert *native informants*.

Furthermore, most obviously, this time around, the vast majority of the Jews and the handful of mastermind Judeofascists among them, are the Reich's best friends, aiders, supporters, sympathizers, and even its overarching master strategists, instead of the object of its wrath! The handful of courageous and inspiring exceptions, gadflies, and men and women of uncommon chutzpah, duly noted.
And finally, the Third Reich never enjoyed the “full spectrum dominance” and un-opposed uni-polar supremacy that enables the present Reich to exert its “Primacy and Geostrategic Imperatives” unfettered and unchallenged as “one centre of authority, one centre of force, one centre of decision-making, ... one master, one sovereign.” (Putin)

With this blatant reality in their faces, the Pakistani rulers can no more claim the 'I didn't know' defense, that, 'oops, we were fooled that this fabricated “war on terror” was real, and we only accidentally wrecked havoc upon our nation – we really only had good intentions as we bombed all those whom we thought were nasty “terrorists”', any more than the Nazis could for their crimes upon their own disfavored citizenry.

Eichmann in Jerusalem, in his trial, even lent new meaning to the “I was only following orders” mantra, which subsequently became enshrined worldwide as not an excuse to commit crimes against humanity. Most of the former, as well as the newly elected senior Pakistani government leaders and their respective political party senior leadership, not to forget the uniform, will equally hang someday for all the innocent red blood of their own peoples that they have already shed by supporting the American fiction of ‘war on terror’.

But they can certainly stop now before more blood apportions to their soul!

Surely in the 'land of the pure' – all believe in a 'soul'? Even Hitler did (“Gott Mit Uns”). And President George Bush surely does too – for by his own admission:

“God told me to strike at al-Qaida and I struck them, and then he instructed me to strike at Saddam, which I did, and now I am determined to solve the problem in the Middle East”!

SO WAKE THE HELL UP AND ACT before his god tells him to 'nookular' strike Pakistan and Iran to solve our problems too. His god loves “defensive' US military action”.

And as per the Muslim's God – one may yet have a reprieve of one's soul if one paid 'blood money' and fair compensation for inflicting cataclysmic pain and suffering, to the victims' families. It is most assuredly a win-win situation before the inevitable 'oops, too late!' In fact, not urgently acting in accordance with the grotesque reality that is unfortunately only visible on the 'Grand Chessboard' when 'Alice' is wide awake, is a sure lose-lose proposition.

THE SOLUTION-SPACE:

The only mechanism outside of the United States of America to arrest its “supreme international crime” of “imperial mobilization” in its tracks*, is either: the SCO immediately transforms into a NATO-like 'attack on one, attack on all' military alliance that includes Iran and Pakistan – which is of course not in the control of the Pakistanis – to construct a détente on the 'Grand Chessboard'; or, the Pakistani rulers urgently implement the strategy outlined in the afore-stated wakeup call. The latter is eminently under the control of the Pakistani ruling elite – to take back this 'wretched' nation from the blood-soaked hands of the 'hectoring hegemons'. And to do it with such astute political ascendancy that it inevitably ends up forcing the much needed stalemate on the 'Grand Chessboard'. A task for which Creation itself bestowed the instincts of collective self-defense.

It is the only effective antidote to Zbigniew Brzezinski's 'ubermensch' truism: “Hegemony is as old as mankind.” But the mastermind has certainly also understood that predatory instincts for
“primacy” are far older, and so is its full spectrum deterrence, as once again amply reminded by nature itself in this immensely popular 8-minute internet video “Battle at Kruger”.

Let history be witness, if there is anyone left to write it (accurately), that none of this was unobvious at the time.

* For 'checkmate' by an awakened peoples within the United States, see “How to derail 'imperial mobilization'”
Part-III

Ali Baba and the Pakistani Loose Nukes

Profound Clairvoyance or Blatant Obviousness?

February 19, 2008.

Profound Clairvoyance or Blatant Obviousness?

On February 19, 2008, Pakistan's largest English language daily Dawn and the world press identically reported in a story boldly headlined “Indian official warns over Pakistan nukes”, that:

“The nature of the dangers which nuclear weapons pose has dramatically intensified with the growing risk that such weapons may be acquired by terrorists... The mounting concern over the likelihood that in a situation of chaos, Pakistan’s nuclear assets may fall into the hands of jihadi elements ... underscores how real this danger has become ... India has to be deeply concerned about the danger it faces”.

As usual, neither Pakistan's Foreign office, nor Pakistan's newsmedia appear to be adequately equipped to effectively deal with this propaganda mantra of 'loose nukes'. The rising crescendo of this mantra which will be dutifully harvested to eventually see NATO and UN troops taking over our 'nuke' safety to come 'save' us and the world, was fully dismantled in this rebuttal-report by Project Humanbeingsfirst: Response to Zia Mian's 'How Not to Handle Nuclear Security'.

This report on 'nuclear security' was forwarded to the Foreign Office through a retired military contact person, but that august Office does not seem to have benefited from it. Even almost two
months later, right in the middle of Pakistan's most important election, indeed on election day itself, the matter surfaces again. The prime reason for this was also preemptively deconstructed in this stark warning to the nation by Project Humanbeingsfirst: “Wakeup to the grotesque reality of the 'Grand Chessboard'”.

All this analytical deconstruction of reality is of course under the premise that lonely 'Alice' is wide awake while the mainstream has been complicity sold the 'Mad Hatter's' reality at the 'unbirthday party' enthusiastically singing the 'imperial' war song without noticing its absurdity.

To understand just how absurd, the fact that the trumpeting elephants in the bedroom are also loudly singing the 'imperial song' and yet remain unnoticed – as examined in the following two dismantling-reports of Benazir Bhutto's assassination by Project Humanbeingsfirst: Perpetuating the fiction of Who Killed Benazir Bhutto and Who Killed Benazir Bhutto? In her own words! – with all mainstream news sources choosing to ignore the subject matter entirely, is telling in and of itself of either co-option or complicity.

The perpetuation of this absurdity can further be witnessed in the opinion piece in the same edition of Dawn ('US poll fears') by the Rand Corporation's 'antiterrorism expert', Farhana Ali, that entirely omitted any aspect of this hidden-only-in-plain-sight reality. While voices of 'empire' deftly spinning “imperial mobilization” as its 'war on terror' continually get prominence in the victims' own newspapers and are loudly echoed by its own politicians and ruling elite who now claim to be more 'white' than the 'white man' willingly carrying more of its 'burden', contrarian voices of reasoned-sanity able to penetrate through the mass-deception and 'technique of infamy' are conveniently shut out.

Thus it is not surprising that Zardari itches to join his late iconoclastic wife in cleaning out “the very petri dish of international terrorism”:

'The Pakistan People’s Party (PPP), if it comes into power, must persuade the people that the fight against militants is “our war”, not just America’s war, party Co-chairman Asif Ali Zardari said on Saturday.' (Daily Times, February 17, 2008, 'Taliban fight is our war: Zardari')

Well, the proof of the pudding (prognostications) is in its eating (coming true). The following was 'clairvoyantly' predicted by Project Humanbeingsfirst in its bold wakeup call to the Pakistani nation two months ago. While almost all pundits of Pakistani politics everywhere, including Pakistan's own newsmedia and its intelligentsia, were crying hoarse of 'rigging' at the polls until today – the day after elections – Project Humanbeingsfirst seems to have been the only analytically deconstructing voice to have suggested to the contrary as far back as December 21, 2007 in its wakeup call:

“... This mantra of elections is replete with red herrings craftily synthesized to maintain Pakistan as a servile client-state in order to carry on with the same bold 'imperial designs' on the 'Grand Chessboard'. It is merely the rebottling of the same old wine in a different bottle. It will surely be legitimately conducted, with no apparent riggings, and duly approved by all the impartial international observers to give the artful elections an official international legitimacy.

It is quite immaterial who wins in these elections. The laws and the judiciary of the nation have already been reconstituted under the umbrella of 'emergency' to
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enable the nation to carry on unfettered in its primary objective of fighting the 'War on Terror' as an obedient patsy client-state – and hence to carry on in its own devilishly crafted suicide!"

And we see exactly the same come true today, February 19, 2008. Witness Dawn's reports: US hopes polls lead to 'civilian democracy', and 'Heavyweights knocked out'; The Nation's reports: Pakistani people have spoken- US, and Foreign observers satisfied over free and fair elections; The Daily Times report: Foreign observers satisfied. Hopefully, nothing further that the rational and awakened mind prognosticates, will ever come true.

Thus perhaps it is better to continue wishing upon the well and not examine Kosovo's independence yesterday at the hands of NATO that was immediately accepted, never mind aided and abetted, by the United States and the EU after NATO and UN forces had been invited in on a 'peace mission' with a UN resolution in 1999. There is certainly no reason to fear Baluchistan – sharing similar grievances and step-sisterly treatment – meeting a similar 'independence' along similar pretexts that will initially invite NATO in to come 'save us' from the 'Taliban' and the 'loose nukes' about to fall into their inglorious hands! The next step – repartition, as examined in the wakeup call. At that time, the repartitioning of Pakistan will make as much sense, and will garner as much world sympathy and support, as Serbia's partition today.

This is the great slight-of-hand devilish power of the New World Order – make things so bad for the peoples through state-sponsored terrorism and the 'war on terror' which legitimizes any inhumanity and any level of destruction including nuclear attacks on non-nuclear nations, that the terrorized peoples will rush to the State (or the world's Policeman) seeking mainly only their security, willingly giving up anything and everything in return including their inalienable Rights as Human Beings First! Far fetched? That is how the Americans and their brethren in the EU and the UK have lost their "essential liberties". For the Global South, while the modus operandi remain the same, the baby-steps are mere 'pawn' moves on the 'Grand Chessboard'. Study the geostrategic game of the 'hectoring hegemons' with the imperialist's mind and its doctrines, and the baby-steps become obvious. Keep solely focussing on each baby-step as it unfolds by sheer 'happenstance', and the accurate predictions of the next baby-steps appear clairvoyant!

The newly elected team (and their next legatees) will surely ensure that Pakistan's pathetic 'descent into oblivion' transpires, as by their own admission, they accept that the fabricated 'fight against militants is “our war”, not just America’s war'.

None other than America's favorite son's prophetic words appear to be of most relevance in describing this phenomenon of the 'Negro' that has been transpiring in this nation since its 'wretched' inception:

“The white establishment is skilled in flattering and cultivating emerging leaders. It presses its own image on them and finally, from imitation of manners, dress, and style of living, a deeper strain of corruption develops. This kind of Negro leader acquires the white man's contempt for the ordinary Negro. He is often more at home with the middle-class white than he is among his own people. His language changes, his location changes, his income changes, and ultimately he changes from the representative of the Negro to the white man.
into the white man's representative to the Negro. The tragedy is that too often he does not recognize what has happened to him.” (Martin Luther King Jr.)

The seeds for this 'Negro' phenomenon were deftly cultivated in our fertile obsequious soil by Lord Macaulay when he imaginatively synthesized the 'Indian babu' or 'brown sahib' who would:

“form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern; a class of persons, Indian in blood and colour, but English in taste, in opinions, in morals, and in intellect.”

This phenomenon, of dominant civilizations constructing 'native informants' in order to colonize its victims with their own kind, like “hegemony”, is hardly new however. It is in fact “as old as mankind”.

And its blanket recognition in every generation in every age seems to have become a first necessity of self-defense for its victims – at least among those who still have any dignity and self-respect left. This is all the more essential today in the age of neo-colonialism where the 'baboons' du jour seek “full spectrum dominance” using the best techniques and methods of Machiavelli, game theory, and devilish machinations, including multi-faceted 'technique of infamy' of inventing multiple lies and covert-ops to keep the peoples occupied debating which of them might be true while fait-accompli proceeds in the backdrop of vacuous debates and red herrings. In an age where “Deception is the mind of the State”, effective self-defense by a people can only begin by anticipating and unraveling that deception. That's just how it is!

Addendum February 23, 2008

As if to deliberately lend further substance to the deconstructed reality outlined above, the following two news reports appeared in today's Dawn: “Musharraf has a role in new set-up: US” and “Pressure on Asif, Nawaz to work with president”. The titles are revealing in and of themselves and need no further elaboration per se except to carefully note that it is a public relations campaign to justify to the Pakistani public what is already the foregone, very calculatingly played out machinations to affect the movement of a patsy 'pawn' on the 'Grand Chessboard'. And the following oped in the Nation yesterday (February 22, 2008), “After the election”, is among the first to appear from the editorial writers of a major English language daily in Pakistan that finally seems to have found the courage to start 'clairvoyantly' seeing the structural reality of these
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elections that shouts 'status quo':

“... The President is actually ideally placed for a repetition of 1988, only earlier. He should dissolve the National Assembly as soon as he feels that the electorate is now likely to give him the Parliament he wants, which is one with the power of validating his actions under the PCO and Emergency, and which will let him pick as PM a rank outsider, who will disappear as graciously as Shaukat Aziz. The President has the dissolution power, so he will find enough opportunity. The first reason he will have is that of the War on Terror. Joining the self-serving American and European choruses of “not enough” will be the President of Pakistan, who will use this as a ground of dissolution.”

Thank you Nation for showing some journalistic integrity by way of attempting to see past the manifest 'katputli tamashas' on the 'Grand Chessboard'. When will others follow suit in seeing the elephants collectively trumpeting in the newlywed's bed?

Addendum2 March 29, 2008

More clairvoyance? The following headlines in today's and yesterday's Dawn scream out the grotesque reality on the 'Grand Chessboard' that is to culminate in a premeditated nuclear war on Iran with Pakistan being dragged into it just as compellingly as both Afghanistan and Iraq. The writing's on the wall, with the new incoming administration in Pakistan once again, like the previous administrations over the past 9 years, either willingly as idiotic patsies, or complicitly as sharing in the aims of the 'hectoring hegemons', are diligently working on their portion of the plan to “birth-pang” the entire “Global Zone of Percolating Violence”. In either case, their monumentally criminal deconstruction of Pakistan is proceeding with haste as predicted:

Unless there are any 'ma ka lals' remaining in Pakistan, this 'wretched' country – my only nationality and geophysical identity – in a very short time will descend into a situation of exacerbated Muslim on Muslim violence as is even unfolding once again in Iraq today under orchestration, for which the President of United States expressed his
satisfaction in these words: . Similar words have been written for Pakistan. May the treasonous, wherever they may reside, never find peace; every time they look in the mirror, may they only see images like these happening to their own kin. What else can a powerless plebeian efficaciously do – but to show the hegemons how life might be for them under the Biblical Golden Rule 'do unto others as you have others do unto you'?

---

**Addendum3 September 10, 2008**

Observing the events of the day being rehearsed with all the pomp and majestic show – complete with horse-drawn buggy and kisses on the cheeks and all – before the applauding world with Mr. Zardari taking the highest oath of office in Pakistan, reminded me of the opening wedding scene from Mario Puzo's movie the Godfather, attended by the motley of morality-challenged, all dressed in their finest Sunday outfits. The only thing that appeared to be missing during the festivities in the non-movie version was the camera zooming into private meetings in beautifully shuttered oak and mahogany paneled darkened rooms behind closed doors on how the “territory” was to be divided up, who would get what loot, and who would be assigned to make which offer that couldn't be refused! Even the fictional Michael Coreleone could not go so “legit” as the real life enactment! Once made “legit” and cleansed into a “virgin”, is it slanderous to recall the past? None of the afore-stated staid recollections from newspaper reports even begin to scratch the real surface of the actual experiences of many Pakistanis under Asif Ali Zardari during the two short hereditary reigns of his beloved wife. His popular nom de guerre of “Mr. ten percent” among the general public hardly did him justice. And neither could Al Capone ever be charged for anything other than tax evasion! Even that opportunity no longer exists – so long as the godfather dutifully continues America's “war on terror”!

See: [Happy-Happy Zardari: A monologue on Hope and Voluntary Servitude](http://www.projecthumanbeingsfirst.org).
Chapter 13

Financial and State Terrorism


“What is not already obvious here?” -- A Plebeian

Financial and State Terrorism - War on Pakistan May 2009

– The American War on Pakistan – my home!

'The Day After – American Agenda for Pakistan

Dateline Saturday March 21, 2009, California

This morning I was surprised to find in DAWN (cached), Pakistan's largest English language daily, the following opinion by Mr. Arshad Zaman titled 'Why aren't we acting now?', which began:
“THIS article poses two questions: on the day after US/Nato forces invade and occupy some of Balochistan and Waziristan, what will we say we should have done, and why aren’t we doing it now? Is this far-fetched? ... One hopes that a small group of patriotic officers in Pakistan are also asking themselves what can be done, and why aren’t we doing it now.”

It is high time that DAWN started publishing subject matter that isn't all 'katputli tamasha' (puppetshow) narratives, and on matters which aren't already fait accompli so that something can still be done about them. I had only yesterday emailed some regular DAWN columnist the following note:

Dear [DAWN columnists],

“Take a look at the Dawn of this morning – I captured this snapshot as evidence – not one item here suggests that 'war on terror' is fiction. Not one columnist questions the axioms of empire. Are they all co-opted, mental midgets, or simply savants? How can they be shocked back into reality from their non-stop Mad Tea Party 'United We Stand' unbirthday party celebrations?”

– More than 1.17 million people have fled the fighting in the Swat valley

[AFP], May 17, 2009

'Britain's Sunday Times newspaper reported that the military could move into Pakistan's semi-autonomous tribal areas after the current offensive in the North West Frontier Province (NWFP). “We're going to go into Waziristan, all these regions, with army operations,” the paper quoted Asif Ali Zardari, Pakistan's president, as saying. “Swat is just the start. It's a larger war to fight.”'

– Pakistan urges civilians to flee from Swat, May 17, 2009

‘“I appeal to the people of Mingora and other parts which are under aggression, as soon as they get an opportunity, the curfew is relaxed, they should come out,” Interior Ministry chief Rehman Malik told reporters. “Those leaders, those commanders, who are controlling the Taliban, obviously we're going to hit them. We're not going to spare them,” he said. “You'll hear good news soon.” Malik said more than 1,000 militants had been killed in the offensive, which followed the collapse of a peace deal which Washington had criticised. The army said intense exchanges of fire were taking place on the outskirts of
Mingora. The offensive in the one-time tourist valley, 130 km (80 miles) northwest of Islamabad, has also forced at least 1.17 million people from their homes, the U.N. refugee agency said.

– War Crimes and Tragedy unfolding in Pakistan as the World watches the spectacle, May 09, 2009

'Desperation in Pakistani hospitals, refugee camps, AP, May 09, 2009 3:08 PM -0800 GMT (PST)

MINGORA, Pakistan – Civilians cowered in hospital beds and trapped residents struggled to feed their children Saturday, as Pakistani warplanes pounded a Taliban-held valley in what the prime minister called a “war of the country's survival.”

Warplanes and troops killed dozens of entrenched militants Saturday in the assault on northwestern Swat Valley, the army said.

The offensive has prompted the flight of hundreds of thousands of terrified residents, adding a humanitarian emergency to the nuclear-armed nation's security, economic and political problems. Desperate refugees looted U.N. supplies in one camp, taking blankets and cooking oil.

A suspected U.S. missile strike killed nine people, mostly foreigners, in South Waziristan, another militant stronghold near the Afghan border, Pakistani intelligence officials said. The identities of the victims remained unclear.

Prime Minister Yousuf Raza Gilani directed millions of dollars to help the residents of a region where faith in the government is shaky, saying the army “can only be successful if there is support of the masses.”

Gilani held an emergency Cabinet meeting Saturday. Speaking to reporters afterward, he called the Swat offensive a “war of the country's survival” but said the military could win.

Encouraged by the Washington, Pakistan's leaders launched the full-scale offensive on Thursday to halt the spread of Taliban control in districts within 60 miles (100 kilometers) of the capital, Islamabad.

Pakistan's army is fighting to wrest Swat and neighboring districts from militants who dominate the adjoining tribal belt along the Afghan frontier, where U.S. officials say al-Qaida chief Osama bin Laden is likely holed up.

Witness accounts indicate that scores of civilians have already been killed or injured in the escalating clashes in the Swat, Buner and Lower Dir districts.'
Al Jazeera  English calls  Zalmay Khalilzad a “prominent American Muslim” instead of a Prominent American Neocon – at minute 1:40 (see analysis below):

'The government's hardened stance, and its apparent willingness to tackle them is being commended by one prominent American Muslim [Zalmay Khalilzad]'

Caption Desperation in Pakistani hospitals, refugee camps; Children crowd a car to get free sweets at a refugee camp near Mardan, in northwest Pakistan.
AP, Sat May 9, 2009.

I have simply run out of words – but not curses. The Pakistani military is repeating the same genocidal mayhem as it did in East Pakistan to secede it into Bangladesh. Now it is once again at its best for further balkanizing Pakistan at the behest of its paymasters.

To put matters in urgent overarching context, two crucial points. Firstly: “The army said
intense exchanges of fire were taking place on the outskirts of Mingora.” A rag-tag bunch of bare-foot illiterate Talibans giving the world's fifth largest standing army “intense exchanges of fire” is only as burlesque as this report of July 23, 2007 in the New York Times of “drug smugglers” holding off the fully armed and trained elite Iranian military. Headlined “Drug Smugglers Kill 11 Iranians in Elite Corps”, it stated:

'TEHRAN, July 22 [2007] — Eleven members of the Revolutionary Guards have been killed in clashes with drug smugglers in southeast Iran near the border with Pakistan, a state-run news agency reported this weekend. Nine others were wounded. The clashes occurred Thursday in a mountainous area in southeastern Sistan and Baluchestan Province after drug smugglers ambushed a group of Revolutionary Guards, the Fars News Agency reported. The drug smugglers left without casualties, the news agency said. The province has also been a major gateway for drug traffickers from Pakistan and Afghanistan. Iran has dug a trench at the border. But it has said that more than 3,300 security personnel have died since 1979 in the fighting with drug smugglers. The region is also home to a large ethnic group of Baluchis who are Sunni Muslims. A majority of Iranians are Shiites. The Revolutionary Guards have been the target of attacks before. In February, a car loaded with explosives blew up in front of a bus carrying Revolutionary Guards, killing 11 and wounding 34. Iran has linked insecurity in the region to a militant Sunni group known as Jundallah, led by Abdolmalek Rigi. The authorities have said Mr. Rigi has links to Al Qaeda and is a drug trafficker.'

Note that “The drug smugglers left without casualties,” after inflicting heavy fatalities on Iran's Elite Army. The same way that a rag-tag bunch of illiterate Talibans are now holding off the elite Pakistan Army, and that too after surviving 8 years of intense bombardment by NATO and American forces. A super-human bunch of “drug-smugglers” aren't they, and quite a super-human bunch of antediluvian “Afghanis”! In any other epoch, their nom de guerre surely would have been “Ali Baba”, and their formidable weapons of world-conquest their magical flying carpets. See the detailed deconstruction of this super-human “Ali Baba” smugglers who can hold Iran hostage in “Letter to Editor: Iran, the Associated Press, and Covert-War of 'Imperial Mobilization'”.

In Pakistan's case, the only rational explanation for “Ali Baba's” formidable prowess in the 21st century based on the empiricism of political-science, the historical record of the hectoring hegemons, and the self-evident reality in the right-field while the public is kept distracted in the left-field, is that NATO and American black-ops are fighting the Pakistan Army to depopulate the region in a prelude to de-nuking and re-partitioning Pakistan. Additionally, to continually create the well-known 'Strategy of Tension' in order to keep the fear of the boogieman of 'militant Islam' alive in the Western public's imagination (see BBC-2 Timewatch 1992 documentary on Operation Gladio, pt1, pt2, pt3 to learn how the fear of communism was kept alive in Western Europe).

Pakistan Army is apparently entirely complicit in this criminal agenda of Pakistan's destabilization and de-nuking. They are participating in manufacturing the so called 'militants' ab initio, entirely in cahoots with the Pentagon's black-ops, and then fighting the marvelously fabricated and infinitely re-supplied enemy at the behest of their paymasters. Only a few in the top echelon of
high-command have to be compromised. The military discipline among the sheepish-ranks, typically the canon-fodder, and the unquestioning 'unity of command' among the officers, automatically do the rest. The Western funded machinery of civilian NGOs, the Mighty Wurlitzer's assets in the newsmedia, and the intelligence apparatus further take care of the public discourse to lend 'respectability' to the boogieman of 'militant Islam'.

Otherwise, I find it hard to believe that the world's fifth largest standing military would be so incredibly smart and sophisticated in being able to indigenously build Pakistan's atomic weapons arsenal despite the world's opposition, and yet simultaneously be so stupid, ignorant, and criminal against their own peoples such that they couldn't fathom this entirely self-evident reality on 'the Grand Chessboard'. Treason is writ large all across Pakistan – for without the help of Pakistan's own Negroes and mercenaries, the state could not have been put on the dismantling-block so trivially! See “Open Letter to a Pakistani General”. What is not already obvious here?

This brings to the urgent second point: “The offensive in the one-time tourist valley, 130 km (80 miles) northwest of Islamabad, has also forced at least 1.17 million people from their homes, the U.N. refugee agency said.” The history of how Zionistan was systematically depopulated through Zionist terror of its 700,000 to one million indigenous inhabitants is annually commemorated by the surviving Palestinians in their tormented living memories, between 4-8 million of whom are now living in Diaspora and in refugee camps. That history hasn't yet become ancient history. It was again lamented as the 61st Nakba on May 15, just a few days ago. These Pakistani refugees, I fear, may similarly never be able to return – for a new country is being constructed as we speak. Just like the Biharis were left stranded when Pakistan was first Balkanized for the creation of Bangladesh, we now have another palpable monumental crime against humanity under orchestration during Pakistan's second Balkanization. From Lal-masjid in Islamabad in the summer of 2007 which adorned Pakistan the label of 'Terror Central' by George W. Bush, to this spring of 2009 under Barack Obama, an entirely transparent continuous script that I am now hoarse rehearsing. Who is listening? Who has the power to intervene to stop these Supreme War Crimes against humanity?

The feeble Muslim masses, the prime victims of “imperial mobilization”, of course answer “Allah”. Typically caught between “Allah” and the daily-grind, they will all too eagerly fill the great mosque in great hope, but not the streets to break their chains of voluntary servitude. But that “Allah” in the Muslims' own most cherished Holy Book – which is usually to be found on the topmost shelf in most Muslim homes throughout the world only to be dusted down for annual rehearsing during the Month of Fasting, and at funeral rites and the likes – unequivocally asserts: “Verily never will Allah change the condition of a people until they change it themselves.” (Qur'an 13:11).

The most despicable part in all this however is that the 'educated' Muslim elite are far worse, to the extent of being criminal! They are the “Prized Negroes of Pakistan”. A variant of the 'Negro' has been supplied by every Muslim nation to be sure, but Pakistan's stand head and shoulders above all. This 'enlightened-moderation' generation which General Pervez Musfaraf bequeathed to his beloved Pakistan for which he himself wrote the Requiem Mass, is even more aiding and abetting in this fabricated genocidal 'war on terror'. They deliberately fail to recognize that it is a manufactured war of "imperial mobilization" calculatingly being waged through the deception of the manufactured boogieman of 'Islamism' that variously shows up as 'Al Qaeda', 'Osama Bin Laden', and 'Taliban'. From the Pakistani military killing its own innocent civilians, to the supposedly Muslim-media, Muslim-NGOs, Muslim-civil society, Muslim-analysts, Muslim-begummaats ('high-class' English-
enabled ladies as the ones you see protesting the Taliban in the Al Jazeera video), and all and sundry 'secular humanists' et. al., all echoing the same mantras of 'radical Islamists' and 'war on terror' without understanding who is cultivating the 'weeds'. All ever ready to dance the 'Negro' at the white man's whistle!

Reading the above missive, a young Pakistani columnist replied when I suggested to her to explore that passage in her own witty column in Pakistan's largest English language daily: “Zahir Sahab, Having been a victim of the radical Islamist harassment, I am one of the most vocal opponents of all things that combine religion and politics. I personally find your theory a little thin and as I am not convinced, I most definitely will not discuss it. I am one of those English enabled women who were out on the streets protesting against Talibans and I will continue to do so. Regards.”

I had failed to impress upon my young friend that “[combining] of religion and politics” began at the Rand Corporation, the American Enterprise Institute, and the CFR before she was born. Indeed, it is the infernal crossroads where political-science and god have intersected since time immemorial (see April 2009 Terrorism Report).

Unwilling to look beyond the 'katputli tamasha' (puppetshow-imagery) which Pakistanis experience daily, it has become their tortuous reality – much like the absurd Queen and the Mad Hatter became Alice's reality in her Wonderland. At least, it was only an absurd dream for Alice – she could wakeup from it and go on home to the safety of normal-reality. For the Pakistanis, it has become a blood-drenched nightmare from which there is unfortunately no awakening, no other home to run to. Please see the short dialog in book VII of Plato's Republic, where, like the Pakistanis, for the Prisoners of the Cave 2500 years ago, “the truth [was] literally nothing but the shadows of the images.”

The literati of Pakistani elite not seeing the hand of political Machiavelli who has spun this absurdity on Pakistan for its own imperial mobilization agenda, and who is so devilishly cultivating and harvesting our pathetic cracks and lacunae, is unforgivable. And precisely these educated people, at least some among them well-intentioned idealism-driven patsies with foreign degrees, others outright mercenaries, all too predictably get space in Pakistan's mainstream press and newsmedia whose owners, needless to say, are merely a tune to be played by the 'Mighty Wurlitzer' for manufacturing consent.

The exemplar 'Negro' circus clown of Pakistan contributing to that manufacturing of consent and dissent is Mr. Hamid Mir of Geo TV. The following letter captures this lauded journalist's role in clothing the straw-boogieman of “imperial mobilization”, Osama Bin Laden. Watch his wonderful performance at the Commonwealth Club in San Francisco, April 01, 2009, where “Hamid_Mir_Says_Osama_Bin_Laden_Still_Alive”. He may have actually stolen a march on other Pakistani Negroids for the Nobel Peace Prize:
Now watch the tag-team of incumbent President Zardari (quite emphatically), and the late former Prime Minister Benazir Bhutto, contradict Hamid Mir on Osama Bin Laden:

But now watch Benazir Bhutto quite amicably agree with Hamid Mir – and of course also with her beloved husband who only carries forth his late wife's categorical imperatives to save Pakistan from the very petri dish of international terrorism – on the core sacred-cow axioms of empire. Namely, that Al-Qaëeda is a mortal threat to the United States, and to Pakistan, and that she can save
them both with 'democracy':

“Ladies and gentlemen, I plan to return later this year to Pakistan to lead a democratic movement for the restoration of democracy. I seek to lead a democratic Pakistan which is free from the yoke of military dictatorship and that will cease to be a haven, the very petri dish of international terrorism. A democratic Pakistan that would help stabilize Afghanistan, relieving pressure on NATO troops.” (transcript)

And finally, watch the Italian journalist Giulietto Chiesa narrate of a confidential presentation made by CSIS to the Commission of the European Parliament for Security and Defense in the summer of 2005, wherein the 'superman' Osama Bin Laden claimed the fictional destruction of NATO HQ in Brussels! The video analysis also shows that this remarkable 'Ali Baba' got younger and stronger between 2001 and 2007. An elixir of youth for which his 'official biographer and confidante', Mr. Hamid Mir, would surely have the recipe. And perhaps like Goebbels and Göring, also a cyanide tablet hidden away in some cavity?

’ “In the summer of 2005, the commission of the European parliament for
security and defense, of which I am a member, was invited to a special screening created by the Washington Center for Strategic [and International] Studies”


“We were asked to watch a film which depicted what would happen in Europe, if Brussels was hit by a nuclear bomb.”

“Fifty thousand deaths, hundred thousand injured. The reactions of various European governments.”

“Suddenly, footage of Osama Bin Laden claiming responsibility for a nuclear attack on NATO headquarters comes on screen.”

“All members of the parliament, myself included, were rendered speechless.”

“American experts from the Center for Strategic Studies, were on hand to explain the action on the screen.”

“Then a parliamentarian finally said:

Today we were shown a convincing demonstration of how Osama Bin Laden's image can be completely manipulated.

All the Osama's we have seen over the years, may never have existed.

Just as a nuclear attack on NATO Headquarters in Brussels has never taken place.”' (Transcription from documentary ZERO by Project Humanbeingsfirst.org)

Un-surprisingly, Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, the man behind President Obama, the architect of 'the Grand Chessboard' and the Executive Director of the Trilateral Commission, the one who gave to the “USSR its Vietnam War” by manufacturing Osama Bin Laden, is also the Counselor and Trustee of CSIS ( cached here ).

In their overzealousness to 'save Pakistan' of course, none of our Pakistani Negroes, those mentioned above as well as those in opposition (see Open Letter to Nawaz Sharif and Aitzaz Ahsan) and in the military (see Open Letter to a General), sought to categorically assert that it was the United States that had criminally invaded and decimated Afghanistan and Iraq against all international laws, never mind against all moral laws and civilized decency! None of them had apparently heard of the Nuremberg Military Tribunals either where the United States chief prosecuting counsel had so moralistically lambasted the Nazis:

“If certain acts of violation of treaties are crimes, they are crimes whether the United States does them or whether Germany does them, and we are not prepared to lay down a rule of criminal conduct against others which we would not be willing to have invoked against us. ... The intellectual bankruptcy and moral perversion of the Nazi regime might have been no concern of international law had it not been utilized to goosestep the Herrenvolk across
international frontiers. It is not their thoughts, it is their overt acts which we charge to be crimes, ... the supreme international crime differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole”. (Robert H. Jackson)

Nor did they question their masters what business was it of Pakistan “relieving pressure on NATO troops”, which to begin with acquired no divine right to invade and occupy other countries regardless of their self-proclaimed “primacy and geostrategic imperatives”, never mind commit the heinous and barbaric atrocities upon civilian populations which need no rehearsal here.

All of that is blatantly missing in the eloquent condemnation of terrorism and the glorification of democracy emanating from the poisonous lips of the perfect Negroes of Pakistan – the kiss of death if there ever was one! A more truer statement hasn't been uttered in modernity:

“The white establishment is skilled in flattering and cultivating emerging leaders. It presses its own image on them and finally, from imitation of manners, dress, and style of living, a deeper strain of corruption develops. This kind of Negro leader acquires the white man's contempt for the ordinary Negro. He is often more at home with the middle-class white than he is among his own people. His language changes, his location changes, his income changes, and ultimately he changes from the representative of the Negro to the white man into the white man's representative to the Negro. The tragedy is that too often he does not recognize what has happened to him.” (Martin Luther King Jr.)

What a fruitful realization of Lord Macaulay's colonial vision of manufacturing a Negro ruling-class in the sub-continent who have indeed been completely transformed “from the representative of the Negro to the white man into the white man's representative to the Negro”:

“We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern; a class of persons, Indian in blood and colour, but English in taste, in opinions, in morals, and in intellect.” (Thomas Babington Macaulay)

All of which leads us to the Anatomy of Modern Lies – the Hegelian Dialectics of Deception – the Technique of Infamy being employed by both the masters and their Negro slaves:

**Invent not one, but two (or more) opposing lies, and keep the unsuspecting people busy debating which of them is true.** (Attributed to Ezra Pound)

Keep people's attention distracted on the deadly puppetshows and dazzling imagery in the left-field while the even more monstrous deeds are accomplished in the right-field. All one has to do to catch sight of the real game, is to slightly turn one's head! A blunder which Benazir Bhutto made of not looking 'tous-azimuth' when she was fully capable of such a modest movement (see *The Nation, May 18, 2009*).

A gentle turning of the head like this courageous Young Turk is attempting to do – but inevitably also being held back by the same invisible-power to only acutely naming “the shadows of the images”:
That modest head-turning, 'tous azimuth', is the sole purpose of Project Humanbeingsfirst. The reality of President Obama's real game being played out in the right-field is here. The reality of Pakistan's democracy in the right-field, which Mr. Hamid Mir of Geo TV forgot to narrate in his otherwise exuberant descriptions of "Ali Baba" in the left-field before his spellbound American audience at the Commonwealth Club of California, is here.

Not to be outdone by the secular-humanist Muslim literati and Pakistani Negroes among its ruling-elite, Al Jazeera in the first video above called Dr. Zalmay Khalilzad “one prominent American Muslim” instead of one Prominent American Neocon. The patsy-maker of the Afghani peoples who along with his mentor Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, relished in the opportunity under Presidents Jimmy Carter and Ronald Reagan of “giving to the USSR its Vietnam War” during 1979-1988, and is now giving both Afghanistan and Pakistan a second “Vietnam War” under Presidents George W. Bush and Barack Obama. Exhibit A of my 2003 book “Prisoners of the Cave” documents Dr. Khalilzad's endorsement of all the Neocon's planning to decimate Iraq. Zalmay Khalilzad is a signatory to at least the following documents, which is on public record: PNAC Statement of Principles, June 13, 1997 along with Donald Rumsfeld, Dick Cheney, Paul Wolfowitz et. al.; PNAC Letter to President Clinton on Iraq January 26, 1998; CPSG Letter to President Clinton February 19, 1998; PNAC Letter to Gingrich and Lott on Iraq May 29, 1998.

Where is the rehearsal of all this not-too-distant a memory in that Al Jazeera English report? Is Al Jazeera incompetent? No – anything but incompetent. Rather, it is a very competent component of a vast messaging system.

Like the entire newsmedia in the world, Al Jazeera too, only works for the same 'Mighty Wurlitzer'. While they relish showing all the Muslim dead bodies to the Muslim world to further inflame us, they still maintain the core-narratives of empire. This video is proof-sufficient of Al Jazeera television being yet another instance of the nuanced Hegelian dialectics of deception being expertly played by the 'Mighty Wurlitzer' du jour. See Letter to Dr. Margaret Chan, Director-General, WHO to appreciate the global reach and sophistication of the 'Mighty Wurlitzer', and its meaning.
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– **Chicken Ala Carte – video**

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o1bOteXhwrw](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o1bOteXhwrw)

No words are required.

Since 2001, the present total cost of war to the United States tax payers vs. the cost of war in Oct 2019 ($4,916,127,000,000), rising by the hour by $32.08 million, vs. May 2009 cost of war ($2,243,263,000,000), and 'Jesus' below say it all.

– **Jesus has come in May to Afghanistan – video**

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hVGmbzDLq5e](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hVGmbzDLq5e)
– US Soldiers in Afghanistan Told to “hunt people for Jesus... so we get them into the kingdom”

“Military officials at Bagram are caught on tape urging US soldiers to evangelize in the Muslim country”, writes Jeremy Scahill in his rebelreport. This is after 'Jesus' was already sent to the 'infidels' in Iraq in April 2003 by Franklin Graham, and even earlier with the “Algebra of Infinite
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Justice” to Afghanistan in October 2001. This newly rejuvenated mission of the 'Witness for Jesus' to the Muslims already stretches across the Hindu Kush mountains into Pakistan. Thank you 'Jesus'! The West may cherish this 'saving', but the 'saved' never do: “Shouting ‘Death to America' and 'Death to the Government', thousands of Afghan villagers hurled stones at police yesterday as they vented their fury at American air strikes that local officials claim killed 147 civilians.” 'Jesus' of course further explained the 'mercy mission' of “sustained bombardment in which three villages were pounded to pieces”:

'A claim by American officials, which was repeated by the US Defence Secretary Robert Gates yesterday in Kabul, that the Taliban might have killed people with grenades because they did not pay an opium tax is not supported by any eyewitnesses and is disproved by pictures of deep bomb craters, one of which is filled with water. Mr Gates expressed regret for the incident but did not go so far as to accept blame. The US admits that it did conduct an air strike at the time and place, but it is becoming clear, going by the account of survivors, that the air raid was not a brief attack by several aircraft acting on mistaken intelligence, but a sustained bombardment in which three villages were pounded to pieces.'

– Profiting from 911: A made for television thriller that launched World War IV – video

Who can know precisely how many different interlocking cults are masterminding and implementing this genocidal control of humanity for the full spectrum control of the world. It was far easier when Chengez Kahn was piling up heads. Suffice it to even assert without speculation, all entirely empirically, that 911 was the globalists' own catalyzing new Pearl Harbor for “imperial
mobilization” which itself was only the phase-one of their overarching agenda for 'one-world government'. The corporate profiteering from it is simply the icing on the cake in the best mold of capitalist wisdom offered by the swashbuckling Captain Rhett Butler to the naїve young Mrs. Hamilton in Gone With The Wind: “Oh, yes! What most people don't seem to realize is that there is just as much money to be made out of the wreckage of a civilization as from the upbuilding of one.”

Profiting from 911 is an irrelevant red herring unless it is efficaciously pursued legally, for short-selling with insider-knowledge, as the above documentary narrates, is indeed a federal crime. The sequel to this extensive documentary (Ring of Power) takes a bold and provocative look at the 'Black Nobility' of Europe who comprise the controlling arm of International central banking, and all of whom in turn appear to have interlocking and controlling interests in the 38 corporations which directly benefited from these insider-trading transactions on 911. This illegal insider-trading can potentially, at least in theory, nab all the banksters for conspiracy by progressively roping everyone in, just as tax-evasion nabbed the murderous Chicago gangsters. See “Some Dare Call it Conspiracy! Are you among them?”, and “Splitting the Sky – 9/11: Follow The Money”.

But the crimes committed legally are orders of magnitude more monumentally criminal.

The theft of trillions of dollars from American tax-payers' future generations in bailout largesse to the private global banks – all done legally by the Act of Congress. Just as it was the legal Act of Congress in 1913 that stole America's ability to coin its own money interest-free when the good legislatures gifted the Federal Reserve System to the international banksters. And it was indeed the legal Act of Congress which trumped America's Constitution when it created UNITED STATES OF AMERICA INC. – A LEGAL CORPORATION – in 1871, situated in its own private corporate city-state called WASHINGTON DC. What's a few tens of billions of dollars skimmed off the top through illegal insider trading compared to this naked grand theft of an entire nation and its peoples, legally hawking all their future generations in perpetual debt to private financiers who so Orwellianly boast on the United States Treasury website: “The United States debt, foreign and domestic, was the price of liberty.”

That debt, as of 05/11/2009, is: 11,260,454,652,131.26 – I think some might read that as 11.26 Trillion dollars. That number does not include the 7.7 to 12.8 trillion dollars in bailout-handouts legally gifted to the shysters by the same United States Congress in recent months (see November 2008 Terrorism Report). The gratuitous interest payment on that astronomical cumulative debt, which comes entirely out of the average American wage-earning tax-payer's pockets, goes into the deep bank vaults in the City of London through the magic of modern finance. That free-money continually filing the coffers of the international banksters then equates to political, social, cultural, academe, military, industrial, media, financial and corporate power. The wielders of such immense, almost infinite power then control the front-faces of the political world through its many tax-exempt foundations and policy-drafting institutions like the CFR and the RIIA, and thus control the world. What name to give to such legal graft? St. Augustine of Hippo had the following time-worn description:

“When the King asked him what he meant by infesting the sea, the pirate defiantly replied: 'the same as you do when you infest the whole world; but because I do it with a little ship I am called a robber, and because you do it with a great fleet, you are an emperor.'”
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One can witness the imperial circus-clowns playing the fool as if they really don't know what's up with the Godfathers of the 'legit' crime-syndicate families who, instead of breaking the laws to rob like gangsters, make the laws to their liking to plunder legally as banksters:

The narrator of the 911 documentary Core of Corruption, rather straightforwardly mused why so few people, since time immemorial, have appreciated such grand-larceny on the high-seas that is conducted “to the sound of trumpets”:

'Throughout the Ages, it has always been understood, that those in power, seek more control. Whether it's religious expansion, imperial expansion, colonial expansion, military expansion, it's about taking over land and valuables, declaring control, indoctrinating the people into your system of beliefs. Good men judge the world by the way they live it themselves. Evil men greatly depend on good men to believe this – because they manipulate, take advantage of, oppress, and loot, all the honest men.'
On that scale of imperial-crimes “to the sound of trumpets” today, all pale in comparison to what was done – and is continually being done while the world spectates – to Muslim nations to induce the “birth pangs of a new Middle East”.

A million Iraqi and Afghani mothers are living testimony to that mayhem. It requires no speculations, theories, philosophies, detective mystery hunts, or documentaries. Their blood – and I won't let anyone forget it including those who exclusively pursue “impeachment”, “911”, and “murder of 3000 Americans”, while neglecting to include in their charge sheets the decimation of entire nations in furtherance of the ‘one-world government' agenda of the ruling oligarchs – is directly upon American public's hands. The polity voluntarily waved “United We Stand” to “Shock and Awe”, instead of bringing down their war-mongering government and all its puppetmasters. The democracy who proudly elect their leaders and support their troops while they scoff at the Nazis and the Third Reich on their “Banality of Evil”. All the perfumes of Arabia will not sweeten these blood-soaked hands, even if the newsmedia, narratives, and the politicians will. See America's Shame.

As culpable as the American public's apathy is though, the superlative Pakistani Negroes are now cheerleading the same cataclysmic visitation upon their own innocent Pakistani civilians at the hands of their own military in the name of fighting the same boogieman of 'Islamism' – an unfathomable crime for which, the benign word “Shame” can't even capture that “banality of evil” of having taken on the white man's burden:
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– Credit As A Public Utility: The Solution to the Economic Crisis – Richard C. Cook DVD Just Released

Watch Richard C. Cook's outstanding six-part presentation pt1, pt2, pt3, pt4, pt5, pt6 based on his new book: We Hold These Truths: The Hope of Monetary Reform.

As I have repeatedly pointed out to Richard, as also to Ellen Brown (see below) over the past year, hope is not what's missing, nor are solution-spaces lacking. We have a superfluity of both! It is the “HOW” to achieve any one of these monetary reforms that is genuinely in the interest of the peoples, and not the interest of the powerful usurious banksters merely disguised in the sloganeering of “banking reform”, which is the problem. And this problem is magnified a million fold today given the tortuous reality of the enormous impetus towards 'one-world' government, which to me, already appears to be fait accompli. After watching Richard Cook explain the mechanics of the economic crisis and his preferred solution, please read “Monetary Reform: Who will bell the cat?” for the real intractable problem definition for which Richard hasn't really outlined any solution! The same is true of Ellen Brown's proposal for monetary reform: “Response to Ellen Brown's 'How to Resolve the Credit Crisis' January 13, 2009”.

If platitudes were a sufficient solution, Jesus throwing out the money-changers from the Temple in Jerusalem would have ended all Money Trusts for good, as also the Ten Commandments brought by Prophet Moses have ended all wars for all times since there wouldn't have been any usurious bankers to profit from them!

Zahir Ebrahim
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Power only respects power, not platitudes. So show me that negotiating power first that can hold the jugular of the banksters, and then I will worry about which solution to pick! The wisdom from the Chinese fortune cookies while often making for excellent after-dinner conversation, also seem oddly apropos here, especially this one: “it is a bit too late to start digging a well after one is thirsty!” As was also noted in the Financial Terrorism Report of March 2009:

'It is not for nothing that many a superlative statesman has timelessly opined: the price of liberty is eternal vigilance. If you stay asleep all your life chasing your “American Dream”, you move not a muscle when others are being bombed to smithereens, you care not when others are being bonded through economic conscription, and finally, when your own house is on fire, you suddenly wake up to frantically look for water to douse it while the arsonists have already taken over all the fire brigades in town. Well, you know the efficacy of that. It is called fait accompli.'


Ellen Brown reports in her latest essay on Global Research, that the globalists’ swift move towards issuing global currency under the aegis of their private global central banks is gaining rapid momentum. Echoing Carroll Quigley, she locates the apex of the bankers’ control nexus at BIS – The Bank for International Settlements, http://bis.org. It’s member central banks from different countries coordinated by BIS are listed here: http://www.bis.org/cbanks.htm - count them! BIS describes its purpose as: “The BIS is a forum for discussion, policy analysis and information-sharing among central banks and within the international financial and supervisory community.”

But the real purpose of BIS cannot be understood without comprehending the purpose of private central banking. BIS is merely the instrumentation arm, the mechanics by which governance is exuded. It still only implements the overarching policies which are composed elsewhere, not at BIS, but deployed through BIS as the central “information-sharing among central banks and within the international financial and supervisory community” just like they say on their website. In reality, imagine BIS to be another hierarchy above the Federal Reserve System. Both, like all the other European central banks, in reality, are run by “errand boys” for their masters. As Carroll Quigley had put it in 1966:

'It must not be felt that these heads of the world's chief central banks were themselves substantive powers in world finance. They were not. Rather, they were the technicians and agents of the dominant investment bankers of their own countries, who had raised them up and were perfectly capable of throwing them down. The substantive financial powers of the world were in the hands of these investment bankers (also called “international” or “merchant” bankers) who remained largely behind the scenes in their own unincorporated private
banks. These formed a system of international cooperation and national
dominance which was more private, more powerful, and more secret than that
of their agents in the central banks. This dominance of investment bankers was
based on their control over the flows of credit and investment funds in their
own countries and throughout the world.' -- Carroll Quigley, Tragedy and Hope,
1966, Cultural Diffusion in Western Civilization Chapter 20, page 326

Eustace Mullins expanded upon that description in much more sanguinary terms in 1985:

'“The merchants of death”, as they were popularly known in those days, were
never more than errand boys for their true masters, “the bankers of death”, or,
as they were also known, “the Brotherhood of Death”.' -- Eustace Mullins,
World Order, 1985, Chapter 1: 'The Rothschilds', page 35

To make sure that Carroll Quigley and Eustace Mullins are not dismissed as mere academic or
'tin-hatted' conspiracy nuts, since few people read history or visit the library anymore for any serious
reading as a pastime, it is worthwhile reproducing the following passage from President Woodrow
Wilson's collection of campaign speeches from 1912. Just as he was about to become the President of
the United States, was about to pass the Federal Reserve Act of 1913 by the end of his first year in
office in the name of “banking reform”, and shortly thereafter was to embroil the United States in
World War 1 after the sloganeering “he kept us out of the war” during the re-election campaign of
1916, Wilson's apparent academic idealism inadvertently betrayed the truth in the early days even
though he was being deftly managed by the next tier of “errand boys” of “financial capitalism”,
principally Edward Mandell House and Bernard Baruch:

'Since I have entered politics, I have chiefly had men's views confided to me
privately. Some of the biggest men in the United States, in the field of
commerce and manufacture, are afraid of somebody, are of afraid of something.
They know that there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so
watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not
speak above their breadth when they speak in condemnation of it.' -- The New
Freedom, Woodrow Wilson, 1913, Chapter 1, pages 17-18

Therefore, Professor Carroll Quigley, mentor to President Bill Clinton and teacher to several
generations of U.S. State Department's diplomatic corps, has gained empirical currency for his fleshing
out Woodrow Wilson's skeletal narrative, as in the statements below. Although, Dr. Quigley too
speaks with a forked tongue when he deems it necessary, and his voluminous A History of the World
in Our Time must be parsed forensically rather than gospelly by continually putting his disclosures to
the test of empiricism, as for instance was done by W. Cleon Skousen in his commentary on Tragedy
and Hope.

'The powers of financial capitalism had (a) far-reaching aim, nothing less than
to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate
the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole.
This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of
the world acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent meetings
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and conferences. The apex of the systems was to be the Bank for International Settlements in Basel, Switzerland; a private bank owned and controlled by the world's central banks which were themselves private corporations. Each central bank, in the hands of men like Montagu Norman of the Bank of England, Benjamin Strong of the New York Federal Reserve Bank, Charles Rist of the Bank of France, and Hjalmar Schacht of the Reichsbank, sought to dominate its government by its ability to control Treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards in the business world.’ -- Carroll Quigley, Tragedy and Hope, 1966, Cultural Diffusion in Western Civilization Chapter 20, page 324

Thusly, in order to properly identify the “power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive” which sits atop all these national and global structures of “financial capitalism” – the occulted trillionaire international banksters who are never even listed in the Forbes' 'world's richest' listing year after year, in 2008 for instance, Steve Forbes pitched Warren Buffet, worth $62 billion, as the 'world's richest man’ – one has to first acutely understand usurious private central banking and its enslaving impact today. How does it really control an entire nation (and the world) by merely controlling the issuance of its money and the expansion-contraction of its availability? How does a pompous statement like “Give me control of a nation's money supply, and I care not who makes its laws” really manifest itself in practice? That would lead to the question cui bono, and that would certainly explain why its godheads might prefer to stay out of the newsmedia limelight and who they are. Just looking at their immense wealth would then confirm it – a wealth so enormous that they could easily employ all the Forbes' billionaires to wash their dishes!

All that real knowledge about who runs the world is a tall order not filled by even an expensive IVY League education, never mind the 7 O Clock News and the history channels. You will also not learn of it from the so called dissent-space chiefs like Noam Chomsky and Howard Zinn. That's quite understandable because both consent and dissent are very skillfully manufactured in modernity. Project Humanbeingsfirst has done much due diligence in compiling the Monetary Reform Bibliography as a self-study aid. Even a modicum of effort in pursuing its references would answer the question to the reader's own rational satisfaction who really controls BIS and the many layers of glorified “errand boys” who so eagerly front as the “merchants of death” for the “powers of financial capitalism”. Also see 'The Rothschild Archive': http://rothschildarchive.org, and Project Humanbeingsfirst recent editorial Some Dare Call it Conspiracy! Are you among them? April 19, 2009 for more information.
But first, something more urgent: The Latest in Women's Liberation Movement

Caption Latest in Women's Liberation Movement - Loincloths hot among Japan woman, March 30, 2009

Emancipating enslaved women 'for more freedom of movement' is most urgent!

And now we return to some more banal news from the 'untermenschen' front: The Afghani mom under the blanket

And murdered Afghani babies for whom there are no benefactors, no protectors, only silent apathetic bystanders.
Caption: Killing children of a lesser god by the surrogates of the god's chosen peoples:
infant killed by US troops, Khost, Afghanistan, April 9, 2009. Source RAWA

*End The Fed - but with caution!* Much *GOLD Caution!*
Today, The Obama Deception is all pervasive, and the Obama team has even dropped the 'war on terror' rhetoric – as re-confirmed by the ongoing DRONE ATTACKS in Pakistan and Afghanistan, the Weapons of Choice in Fighting Qaeda to manufacture more “Barbarians at the gate”: 

Caption “Barbarians at the gate”, Dawn, Tuesday, 07 Apr, 2009

“The Day After – American Agenda for Pakistan” is so palpably visible that only fools and shills among the Westerners, and native-informants among the victims, will continue to bleat Alice's 'War On Terror' instead of getting the prime-movers behind the 'merchants of death' and their multitudes of death squads!
The latest 'Pakistani Negro' to echo the 'wmd in Iraq' equivalent mantra of 'existential threat in Pakistan' which poses a 'mortal threat to the world' rehearsed only yesterday, April 23, 2009, by the new 'Queen' of 'Alice in Wonderland', the U.S. Secretary of State, is Dr. Ayesha Siddiqa. Writing in her column “Fighting the hordes” in just this morning's DAWN, Friday, April 24, 2009, the distinguished 'secular humanist' posited the following formulation:

“There are two important questions here: one, are the Taliban a temporary phenomenon and, two, does society have the capacity to fight them off?” And then proceeded to answer them: “Unfortunately, it seems that the Taliban might win due to the combined capacity shortcomings of the security apparatus, the government and society at large. ... We can fight this only if we muster the required will, develop a clear understanding of what lies ahead and then evolve a coherent implementation strategy.”

The darling of the West Dr. Ayesha Siddiqa whose book 'Military Inc.' I have diligently read (twice), pretends there is absolutely no “American Agenda for Pakistan”, never mind that there is even a 'Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives'! So forget about ever exposing the accelerated impetus towards 'one-world government' which devilishly employs the fabricated 'war on terror' against the manufactured 'Islamofascists' as the key mobilizing pretext! The concept of 'manufactured enemies' is apparently alien to an expert with a Ph.D. in War Studies from King’s College, University of London, UK, and who served as the Director of Naval Research heading Pakistan Navy’s research wing (see Dr. Siddiqa's brilliant resume, also cached here)! Thus she joins the other darling of the West, 'secular humanist' Dr. Pervez Hoodbhoy who needs no introduction, in keeping really great company with Dr. Daniel Pipes – all pushing the common sacred-cow axiom of 'Islamism', that, it is “Not a Clash of Civilizations, It's a Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians”! (Also see 'Recruiting Soldiers Against Radical Islam')

I hope I am mistaken about both Dr. Siddiqa and Dr. Hoodbhoy and that they are not merely the dialectical variation of the pathetic propagandist and failed academic Dr. Daniel Pipes, whom, I am sure, they would both happily denounce — and yet, they also retain his core-axiom of 'Islamism' intact. It is interesting to me how they are both welcomed in the West with open arms. Any narrative they pen gets published, almost anywhere. Dr. Pervez Hoodbhoy even has an article in the CFR's Foreign Affairs in December 2004 (also see his “Pakistan – The Threat From Within”, and contrast it to this plebeian's “Saving Pakistan from Synthetic 'Terror Central'”). Dr. Ayesha Siddiqa wrote her book through sponsorship by the Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars and had it unveiled at CFR's sister organization in the UK, the RIIA, also called Chatham House (also see her “Between Military and Militants”). It is not possible that they do not know who and what these foundations so eager to support their work, represent.

I have to observe with great sadness that the prima facie evidence suggests that Dr. Siddiqa and Dr. Hoodbhoy, like all the rest of the two-bit Pakistani academics gracing the distinguished halls of the IVYs to the foundations along the Hudson and the Potomac, shill as much for empire as did Benazir Bhutto. The late Harvard-Oxford orator and two-time(ing) Prime Minister of Pakistan, woefully, in her speech at the CFR in New York on August 15, 2007, had anointed her beloved nation as “the very petri dish of international terrorism.” It truly pains me to suggest this of my two 'colleagues' with whom, delightedly I might add, I share some other points in common. I had supported Dr. Ayesha Siddiqa's book 'Military Inc.' twice!
when her book launching was banned in Pakistan, had spoken to her once when I was in Pakistan, have
given her my feedback on the egregious omissions in her otherwise stellar narrative on 'Milbus'
wherein, she has outright absolved the role of the United States in aiding and abetting that very
'Milbus' ab initio, to fabricate a servile client-state with a 'unity of command' available to them
whenever they desire it for “imperial mobilization” (see Re-Imagining Pakistan's Defenses - Open
Letter to a Pakistani General).

And Pervez Hoodbhoy is almost a friend (we blow hot and cold), and of course are co-alumnus
with the same common mentor (Noam Chomsky), and share the same common critique of Pakistan's
glorified Higher Education Commission and its wasteful spending of billions of borrowed rupees from
the World Bank on absolute garbage (and I speak from first-hand knowledge of having read its six 'PC-
I' while consulting at HEC briefly, see my letter to its chairman, Dr. Atta-ur-Rahman). Dr. Hoodbhoy's
last word to me after my repeated entreaties to him to debate me:

“you and I obviously remain at loggerheads on matters surreal. Nevertheless, I
hope you will lend a hand in getting this printed to foster discussion on matters
existential, of dire and immediate threat to our survival, whether it is the enemy
you see, or the one I charge with monumental crimes against humanity. Do you
wish to appear with me in a television debate on a channel of our choice?
Between us, let's explore the space and rationally and accurately identify the
pertinent and most 'highest order bit' enemy of mankind in order to begin doing
something about it in efficacious self-defense. Thanks. Zahir”,

was:

“Zahir, Thanks for the offer. But life is short, and I am sure you have better
things to do than spend time and energy on futile argumentation. Pervez”!

I truly don't get why these very learned and accomplished scholars should have joined the 'dark
side' against their own peoples, to actually become vulgar propagandists for the same demonic
hectoring hegemons who dared to “goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers”
(Robert Jackson, Nuremberg) leading to millions dead, and more to come! Yes – Hitler too, confident
that he would never be called to account, had boasted in arrogance in Bavaria: “[I will] give a
propagandist reason for starting the war [and don't] mind whether it was plausible or not. The
victor will not be asked afterward whether he told the truth or not. In starting and waging a war
it is not the right that matters, but victory.” (See William Shirer, Rise and Fall of the Third Reich).

I will humbly eat crow by the hat-full if I am mistaken, misled, and myself deceived – for as
Socrates had famously admonished (in Edith Hamilton's rendition):

“Agree with me if I seem to you to speak the truth; or, if not, withstand me
might and main that I may not deceive you as well as myself in my desire, and
like the bee leave my sting in you before I die. And now let us proceed.”

And of course, Socrates was proffered the Hemlock for his sins!

On the other side of the spectrum from the 'secular humanists', we have the many good and
kindly peoples, drowning in a surfeit of faith from ear to ear, simply “waiting for Allah” thinking it is
the “Last Days of Gog and Magog” that no mortals may withstand. For, it is argued before them, an “indestructible power” the almighty creator hath himself calculatingly fashioned to fulfill his own (murderous) prophesies in order to finally rain divine justice upon the Earth – right after he hath rained phosphorous bombs upon children, women, men, the elderly, and destroyed their innocent civilizations at the very hands of his own “indestructible” hectoring hegemons to bring all that planned divine justice to fruition! What an idiotic and cruel god whose imagination only extends from genesis to genocide in order to fashion creation. And while that is merely immanent, far more grotesque is the idiotic imbecilic mass of followers who malign their own Almighty Creator whom they daily aver to believe in, Who repeatedly describes Itself in the very Book Muslims hold most sacred as “the Beneficent, the Merciful”! How can both be true simultaneously – unless it is a Zeus like fickle-minded god who enjoys games of cruel self-indulgence at the expense of his creation? Therefore, the former must stand rejected by the sheer force of argument, unless some people choose to believe in Zeus for their spiritual ascendance!

Can learned people not think with some rational logic, even when they be spiritually inclined (the two are not mutually exclusive despite what the Renaissance philosophers would have one believe to Trojan horse 'secular humanism'), that any earthly devil couldn't wish for a better neutralization of possible impediments from the masses to its own “imperial mobilization” agendas?

Give people their opiate in their right hand, while enslaving them with the left! Give them what they believe is divinely ordained – the majority will remain occupied in their pious and pecuniary pursuits believing it is all the 'will' of their god(s)!

And more apropos to modernity, inculcate a trust in so called “experts” so that one may be convinced to suspend one's own commonsense and rational judgment. Witness the events of 911 where the catastrophic mode of failure of the tall buildings preclude all and sundry from even thinking that it could have been an “inside job”! Why? because the “experts” say 19 turbanless clean-shaved jihadis did it. (See 911 A Fait Accompli – Pay Attention to Political Science! April 13, 2009)

Woe be to them all who manufacture pretexts and justifications to not side with the truth, who lead people astray to make it appear that the helpless screaming victims is god's own work as destined which none may alter, who remain busy in pious interlocution in their mosques, churches, temples while cataclysmic 'Shock and Awe' is continually visited upon innocent civilian populations. There is none on planet earth who can claim they haven't witnessed the preying of the vultures and vampires for which, surely, the Creator too must curse its own creation for their apathy despite plentiful guidance to every peoples! What will thee, Oh people of the cloth, take to thine grave – a barrel full of gold and a ledger full of piety while God's creation was burned and starved at the hands of the hectoring hegemons right before thy twirling rosaries? Many an atheist show far greater moral acumen and disquiet in their actions when they genuinely rush to the aid of suffering humanity – for indeed, a moral compass appears to be built into us human beings, we who can reason, and we who can reflect, all killed by the eschatological gibberish fashioned by priests shilling for the enemies of mankind! While the misanthropes remain busy building corrupting institutions and instruments of co-option to create 'one-world' government, the sheeples are kept busy chasing absurdities.

At the intersection of political science and religion, whereby the latter is used in the most sophisticated and devilish of ways to server the former, such as to both subvert and incapacitate political activism in its most efficacious dimension while simultaneously promulgating “imperial
mobilization” by fashioning the perpetual enemy of “Islamofascism”, or to devilishly fashion 'freedom fighters' with “god is on your side” (see Time Magazine, Selling the Carter Doctrine, Monday, Feb. 18, 1980), or to return the Jews to Zion by killing off god and selling the 'ubermensch' concept of the Jewish peoples themselves being their own Messiah (see Letter to Editor: Dalit Voice's 'Which god?' February 08, 2009), 'religion' is today as much a part of the Machiavellian instrument of hegemony, as it was in antiquity when the rather banal 'divine sanction' was invoked for imperial legitimacy! Muslims today, being among the most intellectually challenged peoples on the face of the earth, are even encouraged to once again dream of 'khilafat', as that strain conveniently adds to the phobia of the “Triumphalism of Islam” (see Bernard Lewis, Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror).

Between the opiate of the ethereal 'Soma' and the fatigue of the treadmill of the 'American Dream', the plebeians are led to the slaughter – all throughout the ages, since time immemorial. Latter day modernity is no different, only more Machiavellian! With a deception so techetronic, and mind manipulation so ubiquitous, that it should not surprise anyone if they see their god's names spelled in the sky and 'Jesus' descend on the 'wings of angels' at the respective GPS coordinates of each peoples' holy predictions! Coming soon to the pious neighborhoods of the (Abrahamic) world. Thousands of visitors have been nightly entertained in Disneyland by holographic image projection's progressive development for at least two decades, and it must surely be ready by now for introducing new convoluted twists to “imperial mobilization”!

And Pakistan is next! A “Kosovo” is being orchestrated in Pakistan and matters have maddeningly been brought right on the verge of fait accompli. All for the meager want of a handful of courageous men and women of national prominence to simply have called 'a spade a spade'! Unlike Palestine, Pakistan was destroyed, first and foremost, by her own treasonous mercenary peoples! The United States to follow suit, for the exact same reason!
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– Interview of Webster Tarpley on Alex Jones March 30, 2009

Quotes from Part 7: “When your country is reduced to a ravaged wreck and derelict floating aimlessly on the ocean of adventures run by bankers, the United States is now a ship of fools run by derivatives bankers, this is what you gonna get.” -- Webster Tarpley

“This whole thing about Military-Industrial Complex – there is none. It's the Wall Street, banking, derivatives, hedge-fund, zombie-bank complex that's running the United States.” -- Webster Tarpley

“The Queen – Obama is practicing the kow-tow. In other words, he is practicing the hands and the head on the floor in front of the Queen. It's a moment of great humiliation for the United States. He is going to be received by Queen Elizabeth. [Alex Jones:] He is being received on April Fools day, tomorrow, then he goes on to Europe for G20, and it says in Telegraph, he is practicing etiquette and gifts and how to bow to her. The first sitting present to go for an audience!” -- Webster Tarpley

The calculated Machiavellian de-industrialization of America, starting with the first Zbigniew Brzezinski Trilateralists' Administration (Jimmy Carter being the front-man), to the present Zbigniew Brzezinski Trilateralists' Administration (Barack Obama being the new 'Messianic' front-man), is one devilish continuum towards the pre-planned “Thermodynamic Collapse” of America into a third
world country where suddenly nothing will work any more! The pernicious treachery was craftily executed in the intervening epoch by all American Presidents, both Republican and Democrats, each of whom dutifully implemented the final-phases of the globalists’ agenda seeded as domestic and foreign policy prescriptions by the CFR and many a bankster-funded think-tank along the Potomac and Hudson. The prescription of respectively off-shoring America's industrial base, and perpetuating “America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer”. Thus together, they have finally succeed in making “an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece [to] accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault”!

And the blood-sucking bankster 'Trilaterals over America' couldn't be happier en-route to their orchestration of one-world government which is now palpably out into the open.

Only the indoctrinated idiots and fools, dupes and patsies, ideological shills and paid mercenaries, will continue to deny that the triumvirate crises of global financial collapse, global war on terror, and global-warming are not the globalist oligarchs' deliberately manufactured terrorism upon the peoples of the world, including the people of the United States! The mercenaries and shills will all accept its reality only after irreversible fait accompli has been seeded and harvested – but not while anything can be done to effectively reverse the course. The first ones to change their positions ex post facto will be the much lauded but manufactured dissent-space chiefs – on both the 'left' and the 'right'.

The population reduction agenda of NSSM-200 looms large as among the first debasing criminal acts to be undertaken in the 'one-world' government for the culling of humanity based on eugenics and 'useless-eaters'. The mantra for it is already in motion. It is the first time in the history of civilization that the knowledge, the tools, the deployment, and the pretexts, have all finally become available in today's scientific modernity to rigorously and very effectively orchestrate population reduction, thus making up for all the lost years of bemoaning its ineffectiveness by David Rockefeller, Prince Philip, Robert McNamara, and Bertrand Russell.

Prince Philip, the Duke of Edinburgh, is especially noteworthy of mention here for his stated passion to be personally involved in the elimination of the species. He is cited as one of the leading figures worldwide who “have taken courageous stands on the population issue and its connection to the environmental problems”, and therefore, his misanthropic zeal ought not be taken lightly: “In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation.”

So, while the gullible fools – degreed, credentialed, certified, and otherwise – merely live on “happy-happy in hope and voluntary servitude” self-obsessed in their own petty lives believing “god is running the world”, or believing that the “Islamofascists are out to get us” and therefore 'United We Stand' against them, or accepting the mantra that “it's the fault of free-market capitalism gone awry” which needs draconian new global governance structures, the omnipotent devil, variously disguised as a peanut farmer, a B-grade actor, a pathetic profligate, a D-grade pilot, a C-grade fool, and an A-grade reincarnated 'Messiah', rapidly orchestrates its black-magic for constructing faits accomplis in deceptively small baby-steps.

Watch the Re-confirmation of ‘Change' Fiction for the present reincarnation of the superdevil in Alex Jones' film “The Obama Deception”.
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– Splitting the Sky Arrested for Attempting a Citizen's Arrest on George W. Bush

In order to comprehend what's going on – please see the book by the legal scholar, Professor Francis Boyle, *Protesting Power: War, Resistance, and Law*, or read it online [here](#). Francis Boyle observes in the very first chapter titled: “The Right to engage in Civil Resistance to Prevent State Crimes”, items 15-16, pages 9-10:

'The judgment of the Nuremberg International Military Tribunal meted out severe punishment in 1946 against individuals who, acting in full compliance of domestic law but in disregard of the limitations of international law, had committed war crimes as defined in its Charter 6 F.R.D 69 (1948). That Charter has been enacted as a law of the United States, 59 Stat. 5144 (1945). See also U.S. War Crimes Act, 18 U.S.C. 2441. By implication, the Nuremberg
judgment privileges all citizens of nations engaged in war crimes to act in a measured but effective way to prevent the continuing commissions of those crimes. The same privilege is recognized by means of Article 38 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, “General Principles of Law Recognized by All Civilized Nations,” which has been adopted as a Treaty by the United States.

In the present day, there has been a breakdown in the Constitutional principle of checks and balances which implements the separation of powers; most notably neither Congress nor courts have been willing to ensure that the Executive Branch act within the law that limits method and means of the threat or use of military force. ... In spite of years in which these Defendants have participated in citizens petitions, letters, referendums, civil cases, requests for criminal prosecution and the recent decisions on these questions with the full participation of the United States before the International Court of Justice, the U.S. Flouts its responsibility to abide by the laws of war, laws to which we are fundamentally bound. Under these circumstances, where redress within traditional channels is refused and ineffective, domestic criminal law coincides with the “Nuremberg privilege” mentioned in the preceding paragraph to afford a justification for seeming violations of criminal laws in an effort to prevent the war crimes outlined above.'

(Added: see Dr. Francis Boyle: Songbird or Superman – You Decide! for caveat lector on the good professor. https://tinyurl.com/Dissent-Superman-Francis-Boyle )

– Splitting the Sky – 9/11: Follow The Money

Life-long activist, Splitting the Sky appeared at St. Ann's Academy, Victoria, BC, on November 29, 2008. In this impassioned and authoritative speech he meticulously follows the bloody
and labyrinthine trail of corruption and money, which leads him to conclude that a cast of top-level financiers and international secret service agencies were behind the events of 9/11.

It is heartening that native American Indian Activist John Boncore, an Iroquois whose “Mohawk name, Dacajeweiah, translated into English means Splitting the Sky”, has quite independently arrived at the same thinking-logic, and the same rational forensic analysis, based on the same observable data-sets and commonsense that is publicly available to anyone, as Project Humanbeingsfirst. Splitting the Sky reported that there are of the order of 150 million web pages doing research on 911 and demanding justice, and that many of them are reaching similar conclusion-space! (Died: March 12, 2013)

This talk is a must-watch, for Splitting the Sky elegantly connects many of the same dots as Project Humanbeingsfirst, but goes deeper into the specific uncovering of the financial players, names their names, identifies their inter-relationships and their corporate linkages with the 38 corporations (SEE: Splitting the Sky’s Put Option Company List.pdf) that profited from the put options on 911 which he also names. He does an awesome job of making the case that financial terrorism and 911 are joined at the hip for the same purposes as already outlined by Project Humanbeingsfirst: the financial oligarchs' orchestration of 'one-world' government. Also see Splitting the Sky’s website and autobiography “From Attica to Gustafsen Lake”.


Link what is happening in the United Kingdom as disclosed by John Harris and others at the Lawful Rebellion Conference, to what is transpiring in the United States.

The United States of America is a Corporation too:
(15) “United States” means—

(A) a Federal corporation;

(B) an agency, department, commission, board, or other entity of the United States; or

(C) an instrumentality of the United States. -- U.S. Code collection Definitions

“The USA Isn’t a Country, It’s a Corporation!” -- The Dot Connector magazine

“Neuro Linguistic Programming is now an epidemic through the newspapers, the media, a very nasty organization called 'Common Purpose', through a lot of other vehicles, courses, and that Neuro Linguistic Programming, reframing of people, is beginning to change how they think, and how they act. So that when you hear a senior police constable saying you can't let officers ride bike because it's dangerous, that police constable believes what he is telling you. So every time your brain starts telling you that's ridiculous, that's crazy, that's madness, I want you to start thinking just may be that person is not normal... Under the surface of this country, there is a very nasty cancer. It's been put in a long time with the corrupt bankers and the politicians, but this cancer is now undermining the way our society functions.” -- Brian Gerrish, State of the Nation. “Lawful Rebellion” Conference, 24th January 2009, United Kingdom.

“There's going to be growing conflict between the classes and if people are unemployed and really hurting, hell, there could be even riots! ... In 1907, when we had a massive banking crisis, when banks were beginning to collapse, there were going to be riots in the streets,” -- Zbigniew Brzezinski, MSNBC's Morning Joe, February 18, 2009
Zbigniew Brzezinski is practicing the subliminal art of Orwellian Neuro Linguistic Programming to in fact get mainstreet USA to riot, in order to usher in the Police State USA! For, the prognostications and conditional-analysis made in “Why Bluff Martial Law?”, are indeed coming closer to being realized.

In that conversation on Morning Joe, it is, as if, Brzezinski had never authored his seminal work “Between Two Ages: America's Role in the Techartronic Era”, was never appointed Executive Director of the Trilateral Commission by David Rockefeller, is not a life long member of the Council on Foreign Relations, and none of which seek to manufacture 'one-world' banksters' government, the satanic journey on “The Hard Road To World Order”, whereby:

“'house of world order' [is to] be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down, [to make it] look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’, [in order to force] an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece [to] accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.”

And, it is also as if, the empire's circus clowns at MSNBC's Morning Joe had never ever heard of any of these matters either!
The fact that United States of America is a for-profit Corporation – a legal fiction orchestrated through judicial fiat – is not only lost to all its ordinary citizens, but also to most of the denizens of the planet. And equally un-remarkably, being a predatory super-corporation in no less measure to being a predatory superpower, the United States government also owns the majority stock in America's publicly traded corporations lending new levels of meaning to Communism. Walter Burien's amazing documentary “CAFR - The Biggest Game in Town”, and his extensive website http://cafr1.com, provide sufficient analytical perspective, as well as a plethora of documentation regarding federal, state, and local governments' Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports issued by those very governmental bodies and their surrogate agencies, to lend enormous conclusive substance to the late Congressional investigator Norman Dodd's disclosures to G. Edward Griffin in the following historic interview (see below).

The one key central point in this astounding CAFR documentary which has shocked me concerning the level of 'fraud by statutory design' built into the United States system, is the following. I do not know if such parallels exist in the other G-7 developed nations, but since the US government is operationally set up as a federal corporation, as are all its various operational subsidiary entities at federal, state, and local levels, and all of which make financial investments and also have expenditure budgets, it commonsensically follows that these governmental corporations also ought to follow the financial accounting principles of regular corporations which are governed by the stringent Securities and Exchange Commission rules and regulations for reporting their financial statements. Whereas, what Walter Burien reveals of the CAFR, this is not the case. What he reveals is not only shocking, but outright institutionalized kleptocracy in plain sight but with a conspiracy of non-disclosure to the public.

And that is the level of intellectual accounting fraud, if exposed to the American public, and if properly understood by them, can actually bring about a second revolution in this country.
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For it was indeed, on financial matters alone, and the concomitant strangulation of the public by
the Crown through the legalized twain oppression of high taxation and interest-bearing currency
leading to public deprivation, which caused 1776 to happen. The same conditions exist in spades today
only awaiting some genuinely patriotic and courageous peoples to step up to the plate and lead the
charge, which if not taken urgently, will only lead to a confirmation of fait accompli which is today
writ large in the absence of any “Patrick Henrys” in the world.

The following is what is narrated in the documentary, and this core point is what you, the
reader, must go validate for your ownself in its full context. Note that the key contribution of Walter
Burien, is the synthesis of that overarching context and situating the CAFR within it. This synthesis is
entirely missing in all discourses in the United States. Why, for instance, did the “arguably most
important intellectual alive” never reveal any of this? Did he not know it? Or because, as an
intellectual whose self-proclaimed job it is to ferret out lies and deceit (see “Responsibility of
Intellectuals” and its “Redux”), if he had, the NYT would most assuredly not have bestowed that
fantastic title upon him, and quite likely, he would be just as beleaguered and hounded today (see
“Noam Chomsky, closet capitalist”) as any of the handful of genuine truth-tellers like Eustace Mullins
who is the oldest living intellectual to first reveal the “Secrets of the Federal Reserve”. Hear Eustace
Mullins in this talk in Canada, and hear Walter Burien in this talk in Arizona, and decide who are the
un-pretentious and genuinely courageous Galillean-intellectuals in the West, and what one might do to
support them and support the mission they selflessly carry on behalf of entire humanity.

The Biggest Game in Town, at 05: 09 minutes:

“I saw that figure, and instantly realized the definition of syndicated organized
crime.

Here we had a represented to the public, of the state of New Jersey was
bringing in $17 Billion, when in reality, they are bringing in close to $86
Billion. They had a $188 Billion in liquid investment funds. [1989 CAFR for
New Jersey]

I also learned the principle of operation that day. Anything that was a cost, and
an expense, traditional service side of the budget report - health and welfare,
human services, motor vehicles - was left in the budgetary basis, and the public
footed 100% of the bill, for a 100% of the services.

Now anything that was a profit center, had the ability of being a profit center,
large investment fund that generated tens and hundreds of millions of dollars,
totally restricted by statute for no tie or inclusion what so ever with the
budgetary basis.

Now this is what I have called the biggest game in town.”

Based on the narrated context, please examine some CAFR reports yourself. A cache may be
found at http://cafr1.com/STATES/

Now compare this overarching US governmental ownership of the globalized economy, with
the bizarre goals disclosed by congressional investigator Norman Dodd. Titled “The Hidden Agenda
of Tax Exempt Foundations for World Government”, it provides a significant missing piece to the
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puzzle of modern history, which was also noted by W. Cleon Skousen in the opening pages of his incisive commentary to the seminal 1966 book *Tragedy and Hope* in these portentous words:

“I think the Communist conspiracy is merely a branch of a much bigger conspiracy!”

The above statement was made to this reviewer several years ago by Dr. Bella Dodd, a former member of the National Committee of the U.S. Communist Party.

Perhaps this is an appropriate introduction to a review of Dr. Carroll Quigley's book, *Tragedy and Hope*.

Dr. Dodd said she first became aware of some mysterious super-leadership right after World War II when the U.S. Communist Party had difficulty getting instructions from Moscow or several vital matters requiring immediate attention. The American Communist hierarchy was told that any time they had an emergency of this kind they should contact any one of three designated persons at Waldorf Towers. Dr. Dodd noted that whenever the Party obtained instructions from any of these three men, Moscow always ratified them.

What puzzled Dr. Dodd was the fact that not one of these three contacts was a Russian. Nor were any of them Communists. In fact, all three were extremely wealthy American capitalists.

Dr. Dodd said, “I would certainly like to find out who is really running things.”

... Why would men in charge of the world's massive financial problems want an exposed Soviet agent such as Harry Dexter White to occupy such a highly important position in the World Bank?

... It was not long after this that the former Chairman of the Federal Reserve Board began advocating economic aid and comfort to Communist China. -- *The Naked Capitalist*, pg. 1-2, 1970.

The 'one-world' government under rapid construction even as we speak, has all the manifest
characteristics of communism of the former Soviet Union and Red China, except, that in this case, all the world's wealth is owned by the international banksters at the top of the hierarchical pyramid, the foundational layer of which is the 'uber' Corporation of the sole superpower United States of America. It isn't co-incidental that the name 'Federal Reserve Board' crops up in W. Cleon Skousen's narrative. For it is indeed the visible part of the same pyramid atop of which, resides the ubiquitous guardian-angel's all-seeing unseen eye. Look at the back of a U.S. dollar bill issued by the Federal Reserve System.

You of course don't believe any of this – for surely, how can such a deep conspiracy remain hidden for so long? Well, first of all, empiricism determines reality, not narratives. For some current empirical data which only makes sense through the clear unvarnished lens of world communism under central planning, management, and full spectrum ownership of the international bankster oligarchs, please listen carefully to the following two audio interviews of economist and historian Michael Hudson, March 04, 2009, and economist Michel Chossudovsky, March 11, 2009, speaking to one of my favorite alternate-media anchors, Bonnie Faulkner on Guns and Butter.

See Financial Terrorism January-February 2009 - Financial News Analysis in Context for a detailed analysis by Project Humanbeingsfirst of matters which appear to be fait accompli, barring some unexpected state-level interventions from nations in Asia. For, the masses in all nations have indeed been dramatically neutralized, leaving only state-powers to act in self-defense (if only the arsonists hadn't also already taken over the fire brigades!). Also see David Icke's perspective in "PLEASE DON'T RIOT ... IT'S JUST WHAT 'THEY' WANT". Russia is craftily being setup for war as the planned agenda of the Obama Administration (see “Mr. Obama – The Post Modern Coup”), and unless the judomaster Mr. Vladimir Putin immediately goes on the counter-offensive as outlined in “Press Release The Only Solution to Avoid Total War May 15, 2008” and “Georgia-Russia: It's a Classic Brzezinski Project!”, the grandmasters and their paymasters have already won.

Please do read “Why Not Be An Ostrich? February 13, 2009” to understand that the agendist-antagonists play chess in other peoples' blood – with many moves lookahead, and many counter-moves, and counter-counter moves, over a long term planning horizon, many decades long, with infinite resources and their own orchestrated legalism at their disposal. The protagonists on the other hand merely react day to day, moment to moment, no look-ahead, no planning, no execution – only tactical reactionary play. With not a penny to their name to effectively bring to bear any efficacy on the matters, they have no ability to mobilize public opinion, their only potent counter to hectoring hegemons. It is not for nothing that many a superlative statesman has timelessly opined: the price of liberty is eternal vigilance. If you stay asleep all your life chasing your “American Dream” (see “Prisoners of the Cave”), you move not a muscle when others are being bombed to smitherens (see “America's Shame!”), you care not when others are being bonded through economic conscription (see “Introducing A Game As Old As Empire”), and finally, when your own house is on fire, you suddenly wake up to frantically look for water to douse it while the arsonists have already taken over all the fire brigades in town. Well, you know the efficacy of that. It is called fait accompli.

For more perspective and prior context, see Financial Terrorism November-December 2008, and the Monetary Reform Bibliography: A self-study guide for uncovering the agendas behind the economics gibberish. Reach your own conclusions – don't take anything you read here at face value. Read this full report here.
Financial and State Terrorism

Financial Terrorism January-February 2009

– G7 sets sights on 'new world economic order', Agence France-Presse, February 14 2009

'Reg (AFP) – The world's richest nations called Saturday for urgent reform of global finance to save the world from the economic devastation that is dragging more and more countries into recession.

Italy's Finance Minister called for a “new world economic order” as he wrapped up the crisis meeting of finance leaders from the Group of Seven leading economies over which he presided here.

In a joint declaration, the G7 called for “urgent reforms” of the international financial system. ... “A new world economic order might seem rhetorical,” he told reporters. “But it is a true goal we should be aiming towards... today right here in Rome we've embarked on a very significant journey, both technical and ethical.”...

The global crisis “has highlighted fundamental weaknesses in the international financial system and that urgent reforms are needed,” the statement said. US Treasury Secretary Timothy Geithner vowed that his country, the biggest economy in the world and the source of much of the financial drama in recent months, would work with other nations for a consensus on reforms.

“We need to begin the process of comprehensive reform of our financial system and the international financial system, so the world never again faces a crisis this severe,” Geithner said after the talks. Dominique Strauss-Kahn, head of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) -- the body coming to the rescue of some crisis-hit countries -- said restructuring banks damaged by the credit crunch was the main problem facing governments. ...

The document hailed stimulus actions taken by other countries, singling out China which it also praised for its “continued commitment to move to a more flexible exchange rate.”

The New World Order is really pretty much a fait accompli. Being an ostrich doesn't really serve any function. The arsonists have taken over all the fire brigades in town, and in every town and city. The hyperinflation of the dollar is an irreversible done deal. The following Glenn Beck video from FOX News graphically shows the official United States debt, which is the same as the amount of U.S. currency in existence. See it by each decade from 1900 to 2006 Van K. Tharp (pg. 158, figure 6.1). This isn't even the tip of the iceberg however of what has deliberately been orchestrated by the
financial houses in New York. The quadrillion+ dollar (1000 trillion dollars) derivatives paper-debt that nobody can exactly quantify nor fully comprehend – a mirage, a contrived and manufactured financial gibberish paper con-game calculatingly instrumented by the very arsonists who are now clamoring to lead the fire-brigade to save the world – is what is being referred to here: “We need to begin the process of comprehensive reform of our financial system and the international financial system, so the world never again faces a crisis this severe”. And their solution is: “A new world economic order”.

Meanwhile, the arsonists are crashing the dollar in the guise of fighting that lighted fire by the various open-ended deficit spending Bills in trillions, as the new mantra of “stimulus”. This includes payout of billions for overpriced bank bailouts – up to 10 and 20 times the asset value – in the name of shoring up the equity side of the equation since, as the financiers argue, nothing may now be done about the astronomical liability side of the equation incurred by the derivatives bubble. How convenient! See The Bank Swindle in Perspective in order to appreciate the complex looting of the public treasury in legal guise, sanctioned by the mighty United States Congress, and then forced across the world as the only solution to the financial crisis. To acutely comprehend why the “stimulus” is just another mantra, a Rand/CFR joint production like the “WMD in Iraq” and “Al Qaeda” mantras, see Mr. Obama's New Deal” and Why won't President Obama's 'Stimulus' work?. As Captain Rhett Butler had shrewdly observed:

“Oh, yes! What most people don't seem to realize is that there is just as much money to be made out of the wreckage of a civilization as from the upbuilding of one.” -- Gone With The Wind

Soon the arsonists will go back to Congress and lament:

'oops!, the stimulus didn't work; sorry, the dollar is now worthless, we are in the middle of the worst depression known to mankind, there are riots everywhere, food shortages everywhere, global warming is gonna cause floods, Osama bin Laden has unleashed a bio-terror nuclear attack; so we need to create a new economic union, a new full spectrum mutual defense union, and a new world order Constitution for the American continent to protect our peoples and address the global threats'.

That baby-step solution for the collapsed dollar will be the North American Union under a common central bank. It has been under subversive instrumentation as part of the Trilateralists' agenda for as long as the European Union, and will be just as rapidly deployed with an iron fist despite similar popular public opposition, because, “World government could only be kept in being by force”. Understand Glenn Beck's and Bill O'Reilly's mocking-chutzpah and double entendres displayed in this video clip in “Why Not Be An Ostrich”.

And the most fruitful realization of Captain Rhett Butler's swashbuckling truism is yet to come, the profiting “from the upbuilding” of civilization: the new Gold Standard which will be pitched with Congressman Ron Paul's help as the panacea demanded by the peoples. Since all the world's gold bullion supply, and the world's gold mines, are already under monopoly control of the same arsonists, no problem.
In the New Economic World Order, with global central banks – themselves controlled from behind the scenes by the same handful of private family banks owned by the Rothschilds, the Rockefellers – managing the world's merged global monetary system as well as all the world's political governments in a global governance architecture, it won't be a problem returning to the Gold Standard. All the fine gold will have to be purchased from the same private international banksters in order to back the trillions in new common currency issued for transacting the entire world's commerce. How convenient once again!

The new monetary system will be happily made inflation averse amidst cheers from the foolish goyem of the world. The new gold based standard after all, does have to protect the enormous wealth of the private banksters from inflationary-erosion in a largely serf-world of the New World Order, wherein, the only real asset owners are the banks. The new financial empire also has to be stable enough to last a millennium! Can't have inflation eroding away all its loot as it did moms and pops meager assets and life savings in the twentieth century. Why indeed the Gold Standard will now make perfect sense for the banksters and the handful of real wealth owners of the world, was so passionately explained over a hundred years ago by William Jennings Bryan, that it is best refreshed in the goy's mind directly from the horse's mouth. The contorted dialectical brilliance of the devilish banksters is truly unsurpassed!

– Interview of Dr. Jerome Corsi with Alex Jones says it all, February 09, 2009

Not much needs to be added to Dr. Jerome Corsi’s statements beyond the following critique, and a reference to Project HumanbeingsFirst's exposition on 'carbon-credit' to explain its significance in the greater scheme of things. Dr. Corsi suggests in the above interview that his making the “secrets” about the North American Union public has delayed the inevitable, and Alex Jones agrees with that congratulatory self-pat on the back. I can't stand these vacuous self-adulations. We have a very
famous couplet in the Urdu language, loosely translated it means: “to console the [delusional] heart, this thought is very nice”.

We, the handful of conscionable activists who genuinely give a damn enough to put our personal stake in the ground with our name and our public activism, we who risk telling the unvarnished truth on a matter of principle, and who aren't dialectically participant in the Machiavellian orchestration of manufacturing dissent, haven't accomplished a darn thing nor averted a farthing's worth of fait accompli. The conspiracy for one-world government is itself becoming public because it is time for it to “break-surface”, to emerge from the shadows as astutely predicted by David Icke ten years ago that it would when the globalists were good and ready for it. The moon is deliberately playing hide-and-seek in the clouds as the Sun orchestrates its visibility by modulating its own furnace, and the great Shaman in the Andes thinks it is managing the moon's shadow-play in its own battle against the rapacious predator!

In 1966, Professor Carroll Quigley in his book “Tragedy and Hope” had already observed that the globalists were almost ready and their stranglehold on world's affairs was almost complete. So today, their pronouncements are brazenly appearing in all sorts of mainstream newsmedia, and the Financial Times Oped of December 08, 2008 was only among the first. And before that, it wasn't particularly a state-secret either. Please see “The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy For World Government”. The CFR document by Robert A. Pastor “Building a North American Community” has been publicly available since May of 2005 from the Council on Foreign Relations Press, 175 pages, ISBN 0876093489, $15.00, Task Force Report No. 53. And even that wasn't news, because anyone following the Trilateralists' agenda and having read and analyzed the import of the many words of David Rockefeller, knew it. Please refer to the Monetary Reform Bibliography.

And were the globalists not so confident of the inevitable now being unstoppable, and all the eventualities and contingency planning now legally already in place for any minor ineffectual disturbances here and there, they wouldn't now be so brazenly proclaiming in the mainstream media their long, and hitherto only mildly-undertoned, subversive agenda for one-world government! The agenda which has so surreptitiously been orchestrated in the backdrop of their own manufactured crises. Even its core-principle of operation was accurately explained by G. Edward Griffin in his 1970 documentary film “The Capitalist Conspiracy”, after he had studied W. Cleon Skousen's commentary on Carroll Quigley's book aptly titled “The Naked Capitalist”:

“Create conditions so frightful at home and abroad, that the abandonment of personal liberties and national sovereignty, will appear as a reasonable price for a return to domestic tranquility and world peace.... If those who seek world dominion can raise the spectre of an enemy, armed to the teeth with superior atomic weapons on the verge of launching a nuclear holocaust, and also offer world-government as the prevention, then millions of Americans can be programmed to accept the loss of national sovereignty, as our last best hope for peace.”

And the fact that Jerome Corsi published a book on the North American Union in 2007 and Alex Jones suggests that they (superficially) denied its thesis in the mainstream news at the time while the New York Times simultaneously listed it on its Best Seller list, only makes it the moon's shadow play of mocking the 'dumb and dumber'. A simple visit to cfr.org in the past few years would have
made any mainstream denial of North American Union straightforwardly manifest to anyone from among the public inclined to do a bit of reading. In fact, Richard N. Gardner had outlined the subversion for ending national sovereignty in CFR's Foreign Affairs way back in April 1974 in his article “The Hard Road To World Order” thusly:

“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.”

The public relations game in the mainstream media played out for the benefit of the 'dumb goy' has nothing to do with protecting state secrets. Had it really mattered to the 'ubermenschen' that this matter be kept secret – never mind that CFR would no more have published it than PNAC would have published “Rebuilding America's Defenses” in 2000 brazenly letting on about their calculated need for a mobilizing pretext such as a “New Pearl Harbor” a full year before it transpired – both Mr. Jerome Corsi and Mr. Alex Jones would have met the fate of JFK, MLK, RFK. Or minimally, at least that of iconoclast Eustace Mullins.

The latter, a truly revolutionary Socratic detective – and to my mind, the one genuinely deserving of the accolade “most important intellectual alive” without any need to append “arguably” to it – the only living protégé of American poet-philosopher Ezra Pound (whose other three protégés each won a Nobel Prize in Literature), can't even get any of his self-published out of print books re-published because of lack of resources. And here Dr. Jerome Corsi has the grand daddy of all mainstream publishers – Simon and Schuster – excitedly reprint a new edition of his “The Late Great USA: NAFTA, the North American Union, and the Threat of a Coming Merger with Mexico and Canada (Paperback - Feb. 17, 2009)".

Eustace Mullins was the first one to reveal the Secrets of the Federal Reserve post World War II, and has been hounded by the Federal government in ways that has been denied many a worthy dissenter. But even so, the octogenarian is also still alive. If any of them were such a real threat, they'd surely “sleeps with the fishes” by now or at least had a sensational “Washington suicide”! To really understand why it is not a major concern for the oligarchs of any public revelation of their open-secrets which are only hidden in plainsight anyway, please see the Foreword 2005 of Prisoners of the Cave! Anyone with an iota of interest, and not entirely consumed by the voluntary pursuits of his or her 'American Dream', can uncover any of this information.

And the reason the globalists feel so confident in now loudly proclaiming their proposed solutions of global structures for political and monetary governance, and yes within their own contorted shadow-play of sometimes brazenly mocking, and sometimes testing the water for public readiness, is because the only force that could have possibly caused any consternation to them – the world's peoples collectively caring for what's happening to them – have very effectively been neutralized.

Their secret sauce to the recipe, so to speak, lies in such revelations not having any (timely) mass mobilizing impact, and they have already taken astute and systematic care of that in the preceding decades.
The first key subversion was to get rid of labor unions – and they got rid of the nation's production base which helped cement those matters. Now it is all off shored to labor slums of economic conscription in dictatorships which rule with an iron fist – no worries about any labor problems.

The other core subversion was to transform the two political parties into lobby-group heavy elitist plutocracies representing the same oligarchic interests, whereby, the voting masses are now reduced to picking from a carefully culled sampling of inepts, crooks, and other motley fools who can easily be led by their ignoramus or elongated nose for they at least know which side their bread is buttered. The rare sprinkling of an honest politician being the exception rather than the norm.

And if you think I am making all this up, just witness this candid admission by Congressman Paul KanJorski, D-Penn 11th District, Chair of Capital Markets Subcommittee, of his incompetence and inexpertise in economics and monetary matters while responding to a distraught caller on Washington Journal about the House Economic Stimulus Proposal:

And where were the organized mass demonstrations and shut-down strikes in either October 2008, or now in February 2009? Precisely!

Still not convinced that it is okay today to let the mainstream public know what is already not such a big-secret because in reality, the public is entirely neutralized, and at best, they will only riot out of desperation when the food runs out and that works well to serve the oligarchs' own purposes of more pretexts of a police-state to keep the law and order? Take a look at the official US debt by decade between 1900 and 2006 in the 2006 book by Van K. Tharp (Pg. 158, Figure 6.1) which is today taking America for a ride on the horns of economics gibberish. Now watch this engaging video clip of Glenn Beck at Fox News showing the same data updated to 2009, which is directly indicative of the premeditated hyperinflation direction of the fiat dollar.
Glenn Beck presented the above analysis just recently on mainstream right-wing television station that was as gung-ho on the Iraq War, and as supportive of Israeli genocide of the Palestinians, as any mainstream news television can be of its oligarchic ruling-elite. And yet, Mr. Beck is today getting away with loudly critiquing the government's policy. Notice however, how he gallantly hesitates to label it the palpable criminal conspiracy of the bankers to deliberately crash the dollar – because that assertion, of what is outright obvious, on mainstream news, would only see him hanging at the end of a rope! Also see the analysis of Glenn Beck's conversations with Ron Paul on January 21, 2009 in which both cleverly tango around the core-lies to sustain the mythology that it is all due to unfortunate shortsightedness, or see the unraveling of Ron Paul's errors of calculated omissions on CNBC, January 27, 2009. And those aren't this revolutionary rebel's only omissions either. See this Open Letter to Ron Paul Supporters for some very fine political craftsmanship of Ron Paul, and its unraveling.

Never mind the public outrage, never mind the complicit ruling-elite and their tortuous instruments of psyops upon the gullible public – where is the commonsense of the middle-tier educated elite class and of the 'haves'? Are they as gullible as the masses to not see that the criminal conspiracy for world government is actually unfolding before their very eyes, and that none of this is due to happenstance or due to the incontrovertible laws of economics? That it is all deliberately manufactured on the horns of economics gibberish?

Only anecdotally speaking of course, not one person I personally know, either in the West or the East, gives a damn for anything other than their own tiny microcosm. Not one educated ignoramus with Ph.D. or MBA I know has any clue about the Federal Reserve System, nor cares enough to show outrage in the streets when they do know. And nor the many filthy-rich CEOs of corporations and business friends I run into here and there – in America and in Pakistan – give a bloody hoot! For many, “god is running the world”. And they look at me strangely when I suggest that perhaps it's the devil who is. The last executive acquaintance I ran into was just this past weekend at a restaurant – a serial entrepreneur who knew all about financing companies and “leverage” – and he had his eyes glaze over when I started to explain the agenda behind the financial crisis after he had first explained to me, in technical jargon, how loans might be instantly called in by the banks as soon as the businesses recalibrated their real worth today which is why they were avoiding it. I think he told me at least twice to
eat my food instead. The 'haves' simply have too much at stake in the system to buck it. Denial is the safest, for this way, they still remain hopeful of the crumbs that will surely fall in their lap if they keep up their industriousness of pursuing their 'American Dream' with blinkers on.

This co-opted technocrats force – for that's really the only honest word left to describe them once all their hypocrisy and their self-justification for their ignorance are peeled away – makes for a perfect middle-management system in the new world order. They espouse the selective conscience, the selective expertise in their profession, the self-delusion of being rather virtuous and with clean hands, and show the eager willingness to remain silent participants in the core-lies of the system, all of which are necessary mercenary traits to perpetuate the world order and be amply rewarded for it. Thus, the sale of Mega yachts has shot through the roof, according to the Miami Herald's David Gelles.

I am really not making this up as I go along. Mr. Gelles reported in the Sunday Edition of [October 26, 2008](https://example.com) – right after the bailout bill had passed – the yacht industry complaint that “megaships continue to be built faster than they can be staffed, ... There are too many large vessels being built right now, and we already have too many boats out there,” Mr. Gelles further informed the beleaguered rank and file of Sunny Miami: “This year, 1,000 motor yachts longer than 80 feet are under construction, a steep jump from the 87 such ships being built 10 years ago, according to the report.”

While most of America is having trouble paying their bills for food, a Venture Capitalist acquaintance just purchased a multi-million dollar home in the mountains. And his previous home was already palatial to start with. Why would he give a damn and risk losing his fortune to an IRS audit, or donate a 100 million dollars to take on the Rockefeller Foundation as a public service? I did sort of pitch the idea of backing the monetary reform agenda to him, never heard back of course, nor invited again to visit. In fact, at this VC's party, I had met the most educated ignoramii pretentious millionaires of America who seemed to know far less about the nature of money that was in their pockets than one might have expected. None willing to risk losing it, they each spoke as if they understood it all, each had their favorite horse between Obama and McCain, and perhaps thought I was a raving lunatic when I explained that both had a Brzezinski behind them, and that money was made out of thin air. They'd in fact be silly to wanna risk their good life for some abstract principle of truth and justice. Narratives is all they understand, and indeed tell to each other in their brief respite from making more money.

Okay you say, that's the filthy rich of the world. They are all alike everywhere. Surely the 'have-nots' in the rank and file give a damn?

Not the immigrant cabbie I met recently! Complaining of too few customers in these rough times to make ends meet, when I tried to tell him about the world according to the lonely Socrates and why he had so few customers, he just shrugged and moved on to worry about his next meal. And nor does the working class engineer friend of mine who lives comfortably in a posh locality and who simply replied: “I'll take care of my camels, god will take care of Its own property”. He was referring to some largely mythical event in a 1500 year old history that he swears by as his moral guide and passport to heaven. When I persisted in explaining the devilish global agenda to him and that there was only hell at its end for the majority of us, he ended it by calmly asserting “I don't have the time to study any of this”, and “god is running the world”.

So okay, maybe I just don't know that many people in the world. Perhaps the goodly conscionable people are all out there somewhere, in the millions, among the 6.5 billion. And it is in
their consideration, in their fear of retaliation, that Jerome Corsi's book, as he maintains, caused the ruling-elite to delay their evil agenda. In the interview to Alex Jones, Corsi stated that he has even made that premise the thesis of the epilogue in the new edition of his book.

The empirical evidence of such principled peoples existing on planet earth who would scare the pants off the hectoring hegemons is scant however. The Gaza protest against the Israeli shoah of the Palestinians which I attended in San Francisco on January 10, 2009, was minuscule compared to the one in February 2003 on the eve of the criminal invasion of Iraq. Even fewer people give a damn today despite all the horrible exposures by the globalists' own mouth piece, the New York Times, in the last 5 years, than they did back then. And conditions in the United States are at least an order of magnitude worse than they were 5 years ago! The fact that civilians and babies were being butchered didn't seem to phase out too many Americans. For that matter, dead children shot in the head at close range, and burning bodies from phosphorous bombs, didn't seem to have phased too many other nations either beyond the usual lip-service. No one declared war on the pariah state, no one sent in their armies to protect the civilians. Only one lone courageous “Dignity” sailed to their symbolic rescue, instead of one million for some real efficacy! Only one beleaguered nation that is itself under the gun, dared to send in some token supplies on a boat which was trivially held up by conspiring mercenaries en route. See “Happy-Happy in Hope and Voluntary Servitude” for more empiricism for the worldwide neutralization of public response.

Therefore, claiming that publishing a book of eloquent prose on matters already apparent has averted or delayed some grotesque fate that is awaiting all of us, is the height of narcissistic self-delusion. Humility of course was hardly ever a hallmark of Western intellectualism in the first place. Our Zeitgeist has even demonstrated its profound infertility between the 'Manufacturing Consent and Manufacturing Dissent' of the Rhett Butler mercenaries too cowardly to admit it, and the dreamstate of the handful of genuine Socrates riding hard on a treadmill of slogans amidst great incestuous applause.

Facing up to the tortuous reality the way it actually is, and not imposing one's own imaginative narratives upon it like the globalists do to hypothesize a different world, can be a bit more useful in meeting the real challenges. If only they'd stop patting themselves on the back for their noisy run on the treadmill, and instead, donate all the proceeds of their bestseller books and documentaries rehearsing the crimes of empire and the suffering of its victims, to create a Foundation for Justice, to fund a JPAC (Justice Political Action Committee), etc. Without effort towards such mass mobilizing, institution building, and negotiating power wielding infrastructures, and without sinking massive funds into it like the oligarchs do, the mantra of dissent has become big business in the West. Like everything else here, truth too has a price.

I would like to know how many dissent-space acclaimed authors and confession artists whose books line the shelves of major bookstores chains and grace the New York Times bestseller list, who command high speaking fees on the lecture circuit and make a good living peddling their narration of crimes of empire, gave away their harvest to the Iraqi and Afghani families? There are at least a hundred if not a thousand books on 911. How many donated the proceeds to the victims of 911, both in the United States, and in the rest of the world? At least, Captain Rhett Butler, even as a mercenary was still intellectually honest enough to un-hypocratically admit his capitalist instincts and the reality of defeat of the side he was helping in 'Gone with the Wind':
“And have you so much money, Captain Butler?

What an ill-bred question, Mrs. Hamilton. I'm surprised. But yes. For a young man cut off without a shilling in early youth, I've done very well. And I'm sure I'll clean up a million on the blockade.

Oh, no!

Oh, yes! What most people don't seem to realize is that there is just as much money to be made out of the wreckage of a civilization as from the upbuilding of one.

And what does all that mean?

Your family and my family and everyone here tonight made their money out of changing a wilderness into a civilization. That's empire building. There's good money in empire building. But, there's more in empire wrecking.

What empire wrecking are you talking about?

This empire we're living in – the South – the Confederacy – the Cotton Kingdom – it's breaking up right under our feet. Only most fools won't see it and take advantage of the situation created by the collapse. I'm making my fortune out of the wreckage.

Then you really think we're going to get licked?

Yes. Why be an ostrich?”

The blood-drenched transformation stage that we find ourselves in today – the wreckage of civilizations – is truly “Between Two Ages”. That brilliant description is not mine, but the title of Zbigniew Brzezinski's seminal book which got him discovered by David Rockefeller, and which got him appointed as the Executive Director of Rockefeller's Trilateral Commission. There are more than a dozen Trilateralists and CFRs in Obama's Administration pushing the banksters' agendas across different fronts. The money behind them, at least in the United States, is primarily the Rockefellers' who own the majority stake in the New York Fed, which in turn largely controls the Federal Reserve System. In Europe, the money is primarily the Rothschilds' who control all the world's private central banks with complex interlocking relationships. One cannot fight an infinite supply of money that is conjured out of nothing and which pervades all public and private institutions from universities to businesses to governments, with mere talk and chest thumping.

To genuinely reverse it, requires an order of magnitude different strategies and tactics than blaring into a bull-horn and publishing books! It requires mass mobilizations and the common man's commandeering of structures of power worldwide to shut down the world. No food on the store shelves, no garbage picked up, no containers unloaded, all civic services stopped, etc. Apart from the fact that all this requires money, organizations, time to build them up, labor unions, political institutions which can mobilize the rank and file, and strategies and tactics of no less convolution than what CFR conjures up, there are also no masses to mobilize, and more importantly, no uncompromising leaders to lead them. Random destructive riots in the streets out of individual desperation doesn't, and will not, cut it. Ineffectual riots, in fact, are the calculated tactical plan of the...
globalists themselves, because that enables them to play their fait accompli inducing trump card – martial law! And the FEMA detention camps on military sites have already been made ready to welcome many a malcontent! To understand this matter forensically, see “Why bluff martial law”.

Never mind waking up the sheeples. The genuine “ostrich” activists, the “quite gallant and graceful-looking people”, themselves need to wake up to the grotesque reality first, without any syntactic sugaring sweetening their early morning coffee.

Please read the rest of this news analysis very dispassionately, with an analytical eye, to comprehend that there is zero efficacy to all these current efforts to halt the enormous momentum towards one-world government that has been a very long time in the making. And the criminals are very very clever, almost devilish, and a good people enjoying their truth-telling in their Hyde-corner simply cannot match them in tortuous planning, funding, institutionalizing of their corruption, and construction of complex lies within lies and disseminating these through billion dollar media outlays and convoluted psyops. Between Huxleyan and Orwellian controls of the masses in the West, and between struggle for daily bread and barely surviving in the East, matters have now progressed so far that only adverse chemotherapy, which can potentially kill the patient, can possibly also save the patient.

I must confess I am totally impressed with the accurate sociological insight of H. G. Wells one hundred years ago when he stated in his book “The New World Order” (which apparently few people have actually bothered to read as they often quote the following passage from it quite out of context – see here):

“When the struggle seems to be drifting defiantly towards a world social democracy there may still be very great delays and disappointments before it becomes an efficient and beneficent world system. Countless people – will hate the New World Order – and will die protesting against it. When we attempt to evaluate its promise, we have to bear in mind the distress of a generation or so of malcontents, many of them quite gallant and graceful-looking people.”

Please sit back and watch how the real patriots do it to the predators of their jungle:

[ http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LU8DDYz68kM ]
As if the 'Obama Kids' Song' wasn't indication enough, Steve Watson at Prisonplanet.com reported on Wednesday, January 28, 2009, the following chilling confirmation of the above analysis. The diabolical cultivation of a 'Messianic' figure under that 'CHANGE' mantra whose obligatory love and allegiance is being seeded into the very consciousness of the people, starting with young school children in public schools: 'School Children Complain Of “Obama Worship” During Lessons'.

And as if that report wasn't confirmation enough, the 'CHANGE' mantra driven new President of the United States is continuing to fix America's future with another trillion dollars bailout bill in the true spirit of 'CHANGE' from his predecessor's policies. As has been explained in “The entrenched notion of Public Debt in America”, the principal of the money in circulation which is constructed as a public debt, is not designed to be ever paid back but to increase infinitely until the currency eventually collapses by becoming so diluted that it becomes worthless. The compound-interest on the rising principal however, is collected annually from the American tax payer in perpetuity. This additional trillion dollars if injected into circulation for the bailout of non-GDP producing toxic-assets, is apparently targeting the crashing of the rapidly diminishing dollar sometimes early during this presidential term. As the American guy and gal lose their shirt and skirt in a double whammy of rising inflation and almost no availability of consumer credit, the analysis made in “Why Bluff Martial Law” will soon be realized.

The triumph of marketing to sell the 'CHANGE' magic to the dumb and dumber of America was even acknowledged by Advertising Age which named Mr. Obama its 2008 “Marketer of the Year” right before the November presidential elections. The manufactured 'messiah' representing the globalist banksters and tutored by their master-agendist Zbigniew Brzezinski for the next crucial phase of the American journey towards world government, beat out his nearest rival for that recognition by 9 percentage points – Apple!

The only thing unclear at this time is how much dumber can the goy get, that the sorcerers are laughing their way to the bank and the gentiles cheer – led in that venture by cleverly manufactured leaders! When, with a single signature, the goy can put the entire demonic cabal and their foundations behind bars or on the gallows and takeover their own currency issue, they continue to permit a bunch of shysters to control their money.

While the Americans may ardently love their moneychangers despite Jesus having thrown them out of the temple, the rest of the world most certainly doesn't! Unless the developing nations of the Global South urgently band together, unilaterally declare all their paper debts null and void, and create
a new non-interest bearing public currency as the basis of their own monetary system and the primary and only instrument of trade among themselves, this very minute, hallelujah is approaching fast!

This rational appeal is to the peoples of the third-world nations specifically, to prevail upon their mercenary leaders by hook or by crook, because the developed nations with their private central banks controlling their respective nation's jugulars, are already done-in!

– Ron Paul, in a fantastic conversation on MSNBC's morning joe, January 27, 2009

Ron Paul is certainly a remarkable Congressman. He is very articulate, and also very intelligent. So he must also be very astute. He has figured out how to suggest many factual things which no one dare speak about, while still keep the fundamental axioms of empire intact and unexamined. Watch him repeat once again, that Bin Laden is making America bankrupt. But 'Bin Laden' is the 'Ali Baba' of empire. Ron Paul dare not articulate that fact.

Watch him repeat once again that it is the recklessness of overspending due to shortsightedness, and uncourageously avoid stating the blatantly obvious that it is a diabolical plan. See the analysis of Ron Paul's January 21, 2009 interview with Glenn Beck, and Henry Kissinger's January 20, 2009 oped below. Also see the analysis of Fred Thompson's December 03, 2008 self-promotion video “Spending yourself to prosperity plan” on the same theme of reckless overspending and convenient omission of diabolical intent to manufacture deliberate crises as pretexts for ushering in the key controls for world government, in the November-December 2008 report on Financial Terrorism.

While all this farcical puppetshow is going on among the talking-heads, co-incident with the new President, Mr. Obama's very first day in the highest office in the land, two watershed moments in American history silently transpired. The United States Supreme Court invalidated the Fourth Amendment (see “Letter to Editor – Confessions of a gullible idiot Tuesday, January 27, 2009”), and
H.R. 645 was introduced in the House (see Paul Watson's Report 'New Legislation Authorizes FEMA Camps In U.S.' on Prisonplanet.com)

**Ron Paul, Interviewed by Glenn Beck on Fox News Channel, January 21, 2009**

In this dynamic and engaging conversation, both Congressman Ron Paul and Fox News anchor Glenn Beck refuse to draw the blatantly obvious conclusions from their own analysis. So, even though they both predict the collapse of the dollar due to its deliberate and systematic dilution, 70% since October 2008, and its eventual replacement with a new global currency and new monetary system which they project to be based on gold, they refuse to see this path as the devilishly premeditated plan for global currency, nor divulge the fact that the bulk of the world's gold supply is now held in the hands of the same International banking cartel and their surrogates like Barrick Gold.

Are Glenn Beck and Ron Paul so intelligence-challenged to not recognize the multivariate criminal sting operations under manufacture that will soon acquire an unbreakable stranglehold upon the entire world? Or are they simply astute enough to understand the permissible envelop of discourse so that crucial omissions are their life-vests as they pseudo-challenge the conventional mainstream wisdom in the lofty guise of dissent?

Why do they project, in effect, that the bankers are morons, the Congress is irresponsible, and that this is all just sheer recklessness which if not checked will crash the dollar? Why don't they instead, blankety and correctly assert that the Congress and the Executive are both beholden to the banksters and in on the scam, and that this path is a criminal premeditation, a monstrous conspiracy on the part of the globalists to create a 'one-world' government? That its sine qua non is the control and consolidation of the world's monetary system into one set of elitist financial hands? That the global hijacking of world's money supply will once again be suitably camouflaged just as the Federal Reserve System was in 1913 – setting it up as a partnership between the private banking cartel and the
government, with the government having only insubstantial and ineffectual powers?

Congressman Ron Paul continually resists the temptation of putting his trigger-finger on these crimes against humanity as an inside job! Watch him do his repeat performance also with respect to 911 and the manufactured 'war on terror', as merely the blowback of a reckless foreign policy rather than an inside job with a fabricated enemy, in this 'Open Letter to Ron Paul’s supporters'. Half-truths, like half-doctors, have rarely if ever accurately diagnosed, let alone cured, any disease except through the sheer 'luck of the Irish'. And the world is running rather short of leprechauns right now. Half-truths can only lead to absurdities, like a yogi sitting cross-legged on his rump in the Hindu Kush and armed to the teeth only with secure cellphones and laptops, bringing down the mightiest sole-superpower in the history of mankind while demolishing its three tall buildings into fine rubble, all from his reclusive hideout! Ron Paul perpetuates this absurdity to the blazing accolades of his fawning followers. And more importantly, to the convenience of maintaining the sacred-cow axioms of the establishment.

– Henry Kissinger, The world must forge a new order or retreat to chaos,
The Independent, January 20, 2009

'As the new US administration prepares to take office amid grave financial and international crises, it may seem counterintuitive to argue that the very unsettled nature of the international system generates a unique opportunity for creative diplomacy. That opportunity involves a seeming contradiction.

On one level, the financial collapse represents a major blow to the standing of the United States. While American political judgments have often proved controversial, the American prescription for a world financial order has generally been unchallenged. Now disillusionment with the United States' management of it is widespread.

At the same time, the magnitude of the debacle makes it impossible for the rest of the world to shelter any longer behind American predominance or American failings. Every country will have to reassess its own contribution to the prevailing crisis.

Each will seek to make itself independent, to the greatest possible degree, of the conditions that produced the collapse; at the same time, each will be obliged to face the reality that its dilemmas can be mastered only by common action.

Even the most affluent countries will confront shrinking resources. Each will have to redefine its national priorities. An international order will emerge if a system of compatible priorities comes into being.
It will fragment disastrously if the various priorities cannot be reconciled. The nadir of the international financial system coincides with simultaneous political crises around the globe.

Never have so many transformations occurred at the same time in so many different parts of the world and been made accessible via instantaneous communication.

The alternative to a new international order is chaos.'

And there you have it once again, within the short span of 6 weeks. Henry Kissinger floats the same trial balloon as Gideon Rachman previously did in 'And now for a world government', Financial Times, December 8 2008. Project Humanbeingsfirst's response to the Gideon Rachman's oped also applies to unraveling Dr. Kissinger's disingenuous "Now disillusionment with the United States' management of it is widespread" and “The alternative to a new international order is chaos.” Especially, when his globalist financial cohorts, and 'full spectrum dominance' Zionist advocates, are the very ones who have calculatingly planted, germinated, nurtured, fully grown, and now ready to harvest, those very seeds of chaos as per CFR's 1974 paradigm argued in 'The Hard Road To World Order':

“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.”

So they diabolically manufacture the cataclysms, and then propose exactly the solutions they prefer that would fulfill their globalist agendas! It once again brings to full circle implementation these ominous words of G. Edward Griffin from 'The Capitalist Conspiracy':

“Create conditions so frightful at home and abroad, that the abandonment of personal liberties and national sovereignty, will appear as a reasonable price for a return to domestic tranquility and world peace.”

What more proof does one need, with tortuous events continually repeating and always serendipitously being conforment to the requirements of their desired solutions that only make them richer, more powerful, and owning greater and greater combines, that there is a diabolically orchestrated conspiracy for one-world government by the financial oligarchs, and that it is now brazenly ‘breaking surface’?

The fact that it is a coterie led by a handful of world's most powerful Jewish families is perhaps insignificant, for as W. Cleon Skousen observed in 1970, they are aided and abetted by several million peoples of all stripes:

'Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantic power unless millions of people in all walks of life were “in on the take” and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the
ruthless bosses behind the scenes. As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and women and their institutions on a world-wide basis.’

Watch for more and more mainstream news reports, books, opeds floating this very idea so diabolically seeded into the mainstream discourse space by the devilish mastermind Dr. Henry Kissinger “The alternative to a new international order is chaos”. He along with his twin, the other diabolical mastermind, Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, are both under the ideological pay-strings of misanthrope David Rockefellers’ various Councils, Commissions, Foundations, and Population Reduction Memorandums. The new occupant of the White House does not come empty handed.

For more analysis and prior context, see Financial Terrorism November–December 2008. Also visit the Monetary Reform Bibliography for detailed references.
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'The report completely debunks the notion that the financial crisis came as a surprise to the City, or indeed the Bank. The Government had been warned explicitly not by some crackpot economist but by its own employees in Threadneedle Street about precisely how the crisis could erupt. Not only this, but the report also revealed that its “war games” plotting out scenarios including a credit crunch revealed that a debt-fuelled crisis could cause a severe UK recession, a 25pc fall in house prices and a wiping out of a third of banks' tier one capital - around £40bn at the time. It is difficult to think how it could have made more noise about the possible risks the debt build-up entailed.'

– Gideon Rachman, And now for a world government, Financial Times, December 8 2008

'I have never believed that there is a secret United Nations plot to take over the US. I have never seen black helicopters hovering in the sky above Montana. But, for the first time in my life, I think the formation of some sort of world government is plausible. A “world government” would involve much more than co-operation between nations. It would be an entity with state-like characteristics, backed by a body of laws. The European Union has already set up a continental government for 27 countries, which could be a model. The EU has a supreme court, a currency, thousands of pages of law, a large civil service and the ability to deploy military force. So could the European model go global? There are three reasons for thinking that it might. First, it is increasingly clear that the most difficult issues facing national governments are international in nature: there is global warming, a global financial crisis and a “global war on terror”.

And there you have it, right from the mouthpiece of high finance, the shill for the New World Order, the media asset of the intelligence apparatus, testing the water temperature. This time, the FT’s chief foreign affairs columnist lets the full caboodle out of the bag, saying exactly what Project Humanbeingsfirst has been warning about: that the most natural solution to global fictions and global manufactured crises will be presented as “world government”. As David Icke had pointed out over ten years ago, there has to come a point at which the devilish conspiracy for world government will need
to break surface. But before that time, all references to it must be discredited as 'tin-hatted' conspiracy theories. That breaking of surface has been happening gradually in disjoint bits and fragments for the past few years. Even Congressman Ron Paul blatantly talked about it during the 2008 Republican Debates carried on CNN – something that would have been unheard of in mainstream coverage in the past. But this instance in the Financial Times editorial is the most egregious testing of the waters because it brings all the manufactured global boogiemen together, and exactly posits their solution-space as “world government”. It brings to full circle implementation these ominous words of G. Edward Griffin from 'The Capitalist Conspiracy':

“Create conditions so frightful at home and abroad, that the abandonment of personal liberties and national sovereignty, will appear as a reasonable price for a return to domestic tranquility and world peace.”

A bit of high-school level few studious nights homework would reveal that all three items on Mr. Gideon Rachman's list are elaborately manufactured fictions.

To know that a) global warming, is a politically motivated global fiction, or at best, of a highly contentious nature among scientists themselves and therefore, hardly a scientific fact upon which such a monumental global policy as world-government can be advocated, begin at Steve Watson's short news story Over 650 Scientists Challenge Global Warming “Consensus”, and download PDF of the full 231 page report released December 11, 2008, titled: 'U. S. Senate Minority Report: More Than 650 International Scientists Dissent Over Man-Made Global Warming Claims - Scientists Continue to Debunk “Consensus” in 2008'. Or read its Introduction Chapter here.

To learn that b) global financial crisis, is deliberately manufactured, the Monetary Reform Bibliography contains sufficient references and perspective which ties it all together.

To understand that c) global war on terror, is synthetic and fabricated, read the minuscule compilation of Project Humanbeingsfirst's reports which succinctly unravels it all: The WAR on TERROR 2008 Omnibus Collection (PDF).

After doing one's due diligence and all that homework, where does that leave a bewildered but commonsensical person?

It at least leaves one to ponder that such deep intelligence propaganda programs spinning manufactured death in a perpetual war that is intended to last for lifetime, spinning manufactured global financial collapse as happenstance of overspending due to Wall Street shortsightedness, and spinning natural climate changes as manmade – all to create global governance structures piece-meal and through faits accomplis – are being relentlessly seeded into peoples' consciousness, and not one in the worldwide mainstream news media is able to call on it?

Are all of them morons?
Or are they all sell-outs?
How can that be?
How does the “Mighty Wurlitzer” accomplish this?

The answer to that can also be easily understood – for we know far too much from recent history, if only one is reminded of it. Notice how Rachman begins his editorial “I have never believed
that there is a secret United Nations plot to take over the US”, such that in a single opening sentence, he puts to rest why he might now be saying the same thing that the so called conspiracy-theorists have been asserting for many years. He presents his version as a new emerging necessity to the global problems. What is this – other than a very sophisticated intelligence psy-op to now make it acceptable to take the conspiracy out of the previously discredited realm of 'tin-hatters' and start discussing it as the preferred solution-space? But coming from a respectable news media like the Financial Times(?) they are hardly a tabloid newspaper, one might ask. Such psyops and disinformation is the norm rather than the exception, as the following two articles disclose: Carl Bernstein's 'THE CIA AND THE MEDIA', and Richard Keeble's 'Hacks And Spooks'.

In addition, the following passage from the court testimony of expert witness Mr. William Schaap on psyops and disinformation, dated November 30, 1999, is very useful in acquiring a perspective that is denied to most Western peoples. Watch his hour long expert video testimony or read its transcript. This is what he says on 'conspiracy':

“The average American would hear something from the government or hear the news on television and assumes that what they're hearing is the truth unless they're shown otherwise.

They assume that almost nothing is ever a conspiracy. In Europe it's very much the opposite. Anything happens. They tend to think it's a conspiracy unless you show them that it wasn't a conspiracy. I mean, after all, 'conspiracy' just means, you know, more than one person being involved in something. And if you stop and think about it, almost everything significant that happens anywhere involves more than one person.

Yet here there is a -- not a myth really, but there's just an underlying assumption that most things are not conspiracies. And when you have that, it enables a government which has a propaganda program, has a disinformation program, to be relatively successful in -- in having its disinformation accepted. ...

But another reason it works is that disinformation is very, very effective over time.

The longer that you, whoever you are, can control the spin on a story, the more that spin becomes accepted as the absolute truth. And in this country the government has a great deal of power and influence over that spin.”

The conclusion here is best expressed in the 1974 prescriptive words of the CFR author Richard N. Gardner, from his article in Foreign Affairs titled: 'The Hard Road To World Order'. The former Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for International Organizations under Kennedy and Johnson, and a member of the Trilateral Commission, had accurately captured the Zeitgeist which was to exist in the near future – and that future is here today – in which, the import of Mr. Gideon Rachman's editorial becomes clear:

“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing
confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.”

(If the above link for the Financial Times news story doesn't work, access it through Mr. Rachman's Financial Times blog. Also see his two followups after being bombarded by adverse comments here and here.)

– Spending yourself to prosperity plan – the miracle of the stimulus package – print all the money you need! By Fred Thompson, December 03, 2008

Observe Mr. Fred Thompson's deafening silence – as he accurately and quite sardonically describes the symptomatic foolishness of this 8 trillion dollar spending spree – on the overarching agenda of why it's being done! He has obviously never heard of a new currency, a new union, a new world order! The clever technique of openly describing what everyone already knows, in the guise of dissent, while staying silent on the real agendas and overarching motivations, is the most common technique of omission for deflecting attention from the DNA of the crimes against humanity in progress.

Fred Thompson makes it all sound like it's a profound lack of commonsense and absurdity reigning supreme among those who understand 'money' better than anyone else on the planet. It isn't. See: The Monetary Conspiracy For World Government.

If only someone would draw his kind attention to Project Humanbeingsfirst, as also the attention of all those intelligent peoples who relish in critiquing their own government while diabolically failing to recognize the underlying Machiavellian principles of conquest. Surely they too
aren't the victim of “We'll know our disinformation program is complete when everything the American public believes is false”?

We aren't only deserving of the honorific gratuitously bestowed upon us by Mr. Paul Craig Roberts, United States Former Assistant Secretary of the Treasury, on Dec 06, 2008: “you are a completely stupid fool, a disgrace to humanity”! We deserve many more accolades from empire's minions as we unravel each one of their deceptions!

We are, after all, the plebeian antidote to hectoring hegemons of all stripes! If you know nothing of these matters, please consult the Monetary Reform Bibliography – A self-study guide for uncovering the agendas behind the economics gibberish and Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science.
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– U.S. Pledges Top $7.7 Trillion to Ease Frozen Credit (Update3).

Bloomberg News, November 24, 2008

'The U.S. government is prepared to provide more than $7.76 trillion on behalf of American taxpayers after guaranteeing $306 billion of Citigroup Inc. debt yesterday. ... The commitment dwarfs the plan approved by lawmakers, the Treasury Department’s $700 billion Troubled Asset Relief Program. ...

“Whether it’s lending or spending, it’s tax dollars that are going out the window and we end up holding collateral we don’t know anything about,” said Congressman Scott Garrett, a New Jersey Republican who serves on the House Financial Services Committee. “The time has come that we consider what sort of limitations we should be placing on the Fed so that authority returns to elected officials as opposed to appointed ones.” ... The bailout includes a Fed program to buy as much as $2.4 trillion in short-term notes, called commercial paper, ... Most of the spending programs are run out of the New York Fed, whose president, Timothy Geithner, is said to be President-elect Barack Obama’s choice to be Treasury Secretary. ... The money that’s been pledged is equivalent to $24,000 for every man, woman and child in the country. It’s nine times what the U.S. has spent so far on wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, according to Congressional Budget Office figures. It could pay off more than half the country’s mortgages. ... “Some have asked us to reveal the names of the banks that are borrowing, how much they are borrowing, what collateral they are posting,” Bernanke said Nov. 18 to the House Financial Services Committee. “We think that’s counterproductive.” '

– U.S. Details $800 Billion Loan Plans, NYT, November 25, 2008

'The Federal Reserve and the Treasury announced $800 billion in new lending programs on Tuesday, sending a message that they would print as much money as needed to revive the nation’s crippled banking system. ...

The Treasury secretary, Henry M. Paulson Jr., made it clear that the new lending facility was just a “starting point” and could be expanded to many other kinds of debt, like commercial mortgage-backed securities.’

To appreciate the crafty spin “some investments may prove profitable” – loans $1.7 trillion, Investments $3.0 trillion, Guarantees $3.1 trillion – see essay by George J. W. Goodman for
historical context, in order to understand, apart from the astronomical unpayable debt, the hyper-inflationary direction where this solution to the manufactured financial crisis is headed as the premeditated game-plan to crash the dollar enroute to a new currency and a new union, to be presented as the most natural-solution at that time: 'The German Hyperinflation, 1923'.

---

**Citigroup says gold could rise above $2,000 next year as world unravels**, 
*Telegraph.co.uk, November 27, 2008*

'Gold is poised for a dramatic surge and could blast through $2,000 an ounce by the end of next year as central banks flood the world's monetary system with liquidity, according to an internal client note from the US bank Citigroup. ...

This gamble was likely to end in one of two extreme ways: with either a resurgence of inflation; or a downward spiral into depression, civil disorder, and possibly wars. Both outcomes will cause a rush for gold. “They are throwing the kitchen sink at this,” said Tom Fitzpatrick, the bank's chief technical strategist.

“The world is not going back to normal after the magnitude of what they have done. When the dust settles this will either work, and the money they have pushed into the system will feed though into an inflation shock.

Or it will not work because too much damage has already been done, and we will see continued financial deterioration, causing further economic deterioration, with the risk of a feedback loop. We don't think this is the more likely outcome, but as each week and month passes, there is a growing danger of vicious circle as confidence erodes,” he said. ...'

To forensically understand why “This gamble” of inflationary debt (see figure pg 158) – naked
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theft – is being gratuitously played against the peoples of the United States, and against the world that holds this debt by carrying the world's reserve currency and US Treasury Certificates, see Press Release This may be a psy-op! This entrenched notion of Public Debt will take a gestalt shift to overcome in a race against time! See Why Bluff Martial Law?.

Also visit the Monetary Reform Bibliography for detailed references.
The Real Terrorists *December 05, 2008*

– Predicting manufactured crises for 2009 in October 2008

Tom Brokow: “Whoever is elected the President of the United States that first day in Oval Office on January 21st 2009 will face this. An American economy that's in near paralytic state at this time. We are at war in two different countries, Afghanistan and Iraq. We have an energy crisis. We have big decisions to make about healthcare, and about global climate change. The President of the United States and the Congress of the United States now have the highest disapproval ratings that we have seen in many years. In all your years in public service, have you ever seen an incoming President face such daunting challenges?” (Tom Brokow to Gen. Colin Powell on Meet the Press, October 18, 2008)

Colin Powell: “No. ...”

Tom Brokow: “Given the state of the American economy, can we continue our military commitments around the world at the level they now exist?”

Colin Powell: “We can. We have the wealth, we have the wherewithal to do that. [coughs] ”

Colin Powell: “The problems will always be there, and there's gonna be a crisis come along on 21st or 22nd of January that we don't even know about right now.”
See Project Humanbeingsfirst's analysis in Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government'? There are dozens of news clips on Youtube from mainstream television news reports where many a notable illuminary predictively echo the same mantras of global crises “testing” the new President in January 2009, regardless of whether they are on the Left or on the Right, Republican or Democrat. To understand how such a consistency of message is being manufactured as a common welcoming mat for inauguration of the new President, see: Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy! For it is well understood that “what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times”!

The Israeli invasion and merciless bombing of Gaza civilians appears to be a part of this premeditated calculus of precipitating crisis upon crisis. In each crisis, fulfilling the local agenda, as in Palestinians Don't Bleed! And cumulatively, leading the world to the global solution-space of world-government under a common oligarchic management, as the only viable governance system to manage an increasingly irrational humanity, its wars, and its deprivation. For indeed, “the answer is simple. Deliberately create problems. And then offer only those solutions that result in the expansion of government.” See The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy For World Government.

Thus note the police-state that is rapidly being constructed in the United States as the proposed domestic solution for the manufactured war on terror, and Martial Law is continually being threatened as the only way to protect the American peoples.

And yet, Martial Law has still not been imposed. Why? This is essential to understand so that one may learn how to protect oneself rather than be perennially lost in various puppetshows enacted by the circus clowns of empire. See Why Bluff Martial Law?.

– Terrorist rampage in GAZA, Palestine 12/29/2008

“I feel like dancing and singing this day” and “I will play music and celebrate
what the Israeli air force is doing”.

Watch for “Palestinian Population Transfer” mantra taking shape shortly, first in the Israeli press, and then in its surrogates worldwide. It is the Chanukah gift from the Torah to mankind by the god's chosen peoples, amidst much rejoicing and merriment among them!

It isn't about Gaza as far as Project Humanbeingsfirst can ascertain, but about deliberately wrecking civilian and children carnage to invite the expected retaliations (and the false-flag murder of “worthy victims” now that believable 'revenge-motive' has been re-established) in order to begin the discourse of all Palestinians pop-transfer anew just as Mr. Obama is being ushered in.

If one agrees with this forensic perception, sharp focussing-light must be brought to bear on that real-agenda of why babies are being put to the slaughter and Israelis rejoice with “I feel like dancing and singing this day” and “I will play music and celebrate what the Israeli air force is doing” before that agenda gets into public relations high gear! Just as the world is merely watching with at best a few anemic vacuous words of condemnation, one or more false-flag carnage of a thousand Israelis dead would present a “mad dog” to the world before which the world will acquiesce to the final solution to the question of Palestine.

Mr. Obama is indeed planning to instrument many a “change” on planet earth during his watch – all with due justifications of course.

See Project Humanbeingsfirst's response in full context: [GAZA: The final pretexts for Palestinian Population Transfer in the making! December 29, 2008](http://www.projecthumanbeingsfirst.org)

**– 'The Appropriate Response To Islamic Terror' by Lawrence Kulak, '5 Towns Jewish Times', 11/12/2008**

“The Torah, however, preaches a doctrine which, if implemented by the West,
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could finally put an end to all Islamic terror: If somebody is coming to kill you, rise up and kill him first.”

Project Humanbeingsfirst responds in its Letter to Editor 5 Towns Jewish Times: Of Emperors and Pirates, nothing more! December 17, 2008:

'The wonderful thing about the Great Holy Book Torah is that all can follow it! It is bizarre that “Muhammad's Sword (Uri Avnery's Column)” had never heard of this before. Thank you for informing us. Please be advised that Project Humanbeingsfirst fully cooperates with all law enforcement and other governmental agencies worldwide in rooting out Terrorism in all its nuanced shades and stripes in order to end its Neanderthal reign of terror upon all who are human beings first. Project Humanbeingsfirst does not distinguish between terrorists clad in turbans and those wearing suits, nor between the predatory rampages of the pirates vs. the emperors, albeit each is apportioned the measure of crime and guilt commensurate to their respective station of power and impact on their victims.'

– Terrorist rampage in Mumbai, India 11/26/08

Watch for “Hindustan Patriot Act” being enabled shortly! The last bastion of democracy, the largest in the world, had to be converted into a police state as “World government could only be kept in being by force”. This isn't an isolated event. The monetary collapse, the Obama government, predictions of first year of horrible terrorist acts worldwide to be blamed on the manufactured 'Militant Islamists' – enablers of endgame. Stop blaming either the Indians, or the Pakistanis. This has been a mil-ops all the way – no different than the Marriott Hotel terrorist act in Islamabad. See Press Release WHAT’S TO BE DONE – Massive Bomb Blast in Islamabad Marriott September 20, 2008. The same applies to Mumbai. See Ali Baba in Mumbai – Eid 2008 Reflections and The Mumbai Terrorist Act: An International Chorus of Actors! December 08, 2008. Observe how this is being cleverly spinned as a “Muslim Revolution” by some 'circus clowns' of empire: Rebuttal to Paul Craig Roberts: 'Washington Arrogance has Fomented a Muslim Revolution' December 06, 2008 who would, apparently, like nothing better than to create further “revolutionary times” between India and Pakistan: Letter to Pak Alert Press December 05, 2008. The zero-sum endgame is only the creation of pretexts to present “world-government” as the only solution to save mankind from itself: Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government'!

To perceptively understand that momentous 'current affairs' are indeed a calculated and orchestrated conspiracy, that 'militant Islam' is among its more egregious enablers, that the 'war on terror' provides the “doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” necessary to fuel the “clash of civilization” as otherwise “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization”, and that these multivariate “revolutionary times” have nothing to do with Islam or Muslims per se, except as a source of convenient canon fodder towards constructing a world-government in a circuitous way because “an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by
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piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault”, see The WAR on TERROR 2008 Omnibus Collection (PDF).

And to comprehend why the pundits across the board – both mainstream and dissentstream – choose to remain silent about these agendas as they continually parrot the ‘war on terror’, Bin Laden, Al Qaeda, 'loose nukes' mantras, or at best, simultaneously critique the empire's barbarism as merely the imperialism of a “rogue state”, read Carroll Quigley's 1966 classic “Tragedy and Hope”. That book is all about a ruling-elite, their long-running global agendas, and their enormous power to co-opt, purchase, intimidate, or coerce all who matter into silence, or into becoming their 'circus clowns'. To understand the sophisticated political science driven dialectics which they subsequently develop to execute on those agendas, see Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science.
Chapter 14

Ali Baba a “Conspiracy Theory”

Bringing back the lost Zen to Pakistan

Don't concentrate on the finger or you will miss all that heavenly glory!

November 12, 2009

I find it sadly amusing that the editor of the Pakistan People's Party sympathizers' website, fancifully titled 'letusbuiltpakistanc', should have reprinted Project Humanbeingsfirst's analysis of what Hamid Mir and Geo TV's gratuitous hectoring of their tiny presence in cyberspace might commonsensically portend for the PPP leadership and President Zardari, under the honorific “Conspiracy Theorists”.

And it is even more sadly amusing to me – if one is permitted to combine these two conflicting emotions simultaneously – that so far, none of its readers has chosen to publicly comment on it.

The 'Brahmin' priest couldn't have put a more effective 'Shudra' label on a lowly plebeian's work. Or perhaps the humble writing simply didn't warrant a reaction, never mind a refutation, lest one became grossly polluted by the untouchable subject. That lack of reaction is perhaps in itself a pointer.
to something else.

And that is, that like the rest of us ordinary 'untermenschen' struggling to make some sense of the grotesque reality around us, perhaps all the many builders of Pakistan too can stand to benefit from a little Zen in their perspectives.

That, six feet under, there is no PPP, no Military, no Mullah, no Secular Humanism, no Islamofascism, no 'left', no 'right', no empire, no hectoring hegemons, no world government, no lucrative paychecks from the local NGOs or accolades at the Western think-tanks, and no ISI assets and sayanim shilling for the handful of high-ranking mercenaries within the Pakistani Military under the Technique of Infamy.

That, maggots can equally not tell the difference who lies, who spins, who murders in how great a numbers under which blaring trumpet and uniform, the best.

And that quintessential Tao of Reflection, is apparently entirely missing from the Pakistani psyche as all the partisans of their respective churches gather around the Unbirthday Party table with the Mad Hatters of this world boisterously singing the Islamofascism song in its many harmonious variations.

It is, almost as if, that sense of Zen has deliberately, or willingly, been co-opted.

Certainly all that American tax-payers' beautifully inflated sweat spent corrupting Pakistan has been well worth it – sure buys a lot of golf courses, Mercedes, and country homes.

The hectoring hegemons' own organs of propaganda blithely proclaim how they are the paymasters of the Pakistani military, possibly the only nuclear-armed military in the world where the obedient 'Negroes' listen with the most humble posture when the whiteman speaks:
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Caption Why do House Niggers feel compelled to carry the white man's burden? (Photo1 source Pentagon. Photo2 source: unknown, Photoshopped (?), accurately depicting the reality of the relationship between the United States of America and the Islamic Republic of Pakistan; General Ashfaq Parvez Kayani, Pakistan's Chief of Army Staff, before his master, General David Petraeus, Commander of United States Central Command)

and create this mayhem in return for the blood-monies deposited in Pakistani peoples' name:
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Caption  The Decapitation of Pakistan by its own Military!  Extensive compilation of pertinent news reports between April 2009 and December 2009 at prisonplanet.com. (Photo1: In Pakistan, an exodus that is beyond biblical, Swat, The UK Independent, May 30, 2009; photo1 source: Rashid Iqbal/EPA, via UK Guardian, May 11, 2009, caption: People flee Pakistan's restive Swat valley after a curfew was temporarily lifted to allow people to leave the intensifying conflict; Photo2: AFP Deadly blast hits Pakistan livestock market, Adezai, Nov 08, 2009, source Aljazeera; Photo3: AFP Pakistan forces to take on Taliban, two suicide bombers hit Peshawar Oct 17, 2009, source Aljazeera)

Can anyone tell the difference between Pakistan Military's barbaric assault on its own 'lesser' people, eagerly fertilizing its own soil with its own kith's blood to connivingly fabricate the patsy suicide bombers, and the American-Israeli slaughter of the 'untermenschen'? Which is worse is unarguable, if it's even possible to distinguish between the Red-Teams and the Blue-Teams:
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Caption Victims - Does it matter to the dead which military murders them under which flag and trumpet? Civilian victims from Iraq (photo1 left) killed by the Christian American military, and Gaza Palestine (photo2 right) killed by the Jewish Zionist military. Pakistani civilian victims of insurgents-terrorists bomb blasts (photo2,3 top), and civilian victims created by Pakistan military's counter-insurgency ops (photo1 top); more civilian casualties of counter-insurgency ops compiled at prisonplanet.com.

The Los Angeles Times on Sunday, November 15, 2009 even attempted to provide some updated official ballpark numbers from Fox News’ previously reported estimates of October 04, 2009 (cached), on what those blood-bribes to Pakistan's military look like. To this scribe's skeptical eye, these were off by at least one or two decimal places as they still did not account for the billions in black-ops budget which is beyond official purview and hence reporting. Nevertheless, in the report headlined: “CIA says it gets its money's worth from Pakistani spy agency”, the 'TASS' disclosed the amounts while spinning the same imperial axiom that it was to fight the 'war on terror':

"The CIA has funneled hundreds of millions of dollars to Pakistan's intelligence service since the Sept. 11 attacks, accounting for as much as one-third of the foreign spy agency's annual budget, current and former U.S. officials say. ... Almost every major terrorist plot this decade has originated in Pakistan's
tribal belt, where ISI informant networks are a primary source of intelligence. ... The CIA payments are a hidden stream in a much broader financial flow; the U.S. has given Pakistan more than $15 billion over the last eight years in military and civilian aid. Congress recently approved an extra $1 billion a year to help Pakistan stabilize its tribal belt at a time when Obama is considering whether to send tens of thousands of additional troops to Afghanistan.'

The stellar 'Negro' newspaper of Pakistan, Daily Times, again parroted that imperial mantra in their own bold headline the next morning without examining where the finger was really pointing towards, deliberately omitting to draw attention to the diabolical glory of “imperial mobilization”: “ISI used CIA money to build new Islamabad headquarters : One-third of CIA budget goes to ISI”. The news reports are cached here and here for forensic scrutiny in future law courts.

Yes indeed, lost is the complex art of adding 2 + 2 to the Pakistani genius. This phenomenon is not only local to the Pakistani soil, but transcends geographical boundaries to whereever the Pakistani genius persists. It spans the gamut from the glorified native informant's erudite prose in CFR's Foreign Affairs magazine, to the right Hon. Ambassador of Pakistan's CFR-CEIP endorsed entire book-length treatise splitting two imperially cultivated peas in a pod. And all the Machiavellian Betweenes. None of course bother to explain “full spectrum dominance”, or how it is orchestrated when “Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization”, never mind attempt to unravel the destruction of the United States itself in the globalists' diabolical pursuit of one-world government by leaching-off and spending-out the unchallenged superpower's largesses into unbridled international terrorism, domestic police-state, and insolvency.

Let us together bring back that basic ability to perform simple arithmetic first, before dreaming of 'Let Us Build Pakistan'. Dupes, patsies, and 'Negroes' don't build nations. They merely help in destroying them by concentrating on the finger presented to them instead of what it's pointing to. The previous East India Company excelled in holding up many a finger and co-opting many a Raja, vazeer, and court-jester. And so does the modern hectoring hegemon. See Modernity Explained. And the 'Negro' Explained.

That self-evident truism applies to all of us who don't wish to be dupes and patsies, who don't wish to be fooled into voluntary servitude, and who don't wish to have a boot stamped on our face in perpetuity while getting us to love it! This is irrespective of where we might live, and what our tribal-cultural-religious affiliations might be. It applies to all of mankind, for all times, for “hegemony is as old as mankind.” But how to avoid being trapped under its jackboot?

I hope you, dear reader, can take the time to watch this short 2-minute clip of Bruce Lee teaching that essential Tao, of “Don't concentrate on the finger or you will miss all that heavenly glory”:
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Let me just add that I think, and I also feel, that anti-conspiracy skeptics are making a good start. Skepticism is indeed the hallmark of the genuine patriot. And I hasten to clarify that I do not mean to sound patronizing when saying that.

I am merely stating the obvious science of social engineering capitalized upon by none other than Hitler himself, and which is today just as ubiquitously being practiced worldwide as in the yesteryear. And that is, to know precisely where to target psyops and propaganda: at “the crowd of simpletons and the credulous ... when the voting papers of the masses are the deciding factor”.

As Hitler further observed the characteristic type most amenable to accepting bullshit as gospel from heaven, and those that aren't:

“First, those who believe everything they read; Second, those who no longer believe anything; Third, those who critically examine what they read and form their judgments accordingly.”

It’s all examined here. And that isn't a conspiracy theory as the reader must surely agree, for the Third Reich was empirically built upon it. Denying that today only means one is wasting one's time speaking to only the indoctrinated idiots and fools.

So, I dare to presume that the reader of this article will accept the wisdom that 'those who critically examine what they read and form their judgments accordingly' are rather difficult to fool, and therefore, can't be classified as either indoctrinated or idiotic.

That furthermore, such people pose the only genuine existential threat to the unjust tyrant and to its thousand errand boys, and therefore, the honest man and honest woman would be proud to be included in this tiny group who genuinely do “wa-twa-so-bil-haq” as Muslims and non-Muslims. I.e., they remain actively engaged with truth no matter where it takes them, even if it goes against their own parents, against prevailing wisdom, or against their ownself. No qualms about that surely, at least to the moralizing theory part of it which is almost universal. The atheists today appear to be its greatest principled exponents judging from who stands up to falsehood more: the man of cloth perennially sitting on the prayer-mat and on the lofty pulpit preaching his 'truth' and bowing in pious prostration before his god, or others in existential protest standing up to theirs and risking their very life.
Therefore, in genuine earnestness, I once again humbly endeavor to explain – and really only
under the presumption that I am indeed addressing the third group of people who do indeed take the
time to critically examine what they read and form their judgments accordingly – why my
deconstruction of what is happening in Pakistan is absolutely not just a 'conspiracy theory', but
disclosing a real monumental conspiracy of the hectoring hegemons against the Pakistani peoples.

That, this criminal conspiracy entails having alternately glorified, then demonized, and then a
change of 'errand boys' as relief, and then repeat, mercenaries at the helm of affairs in Pakistan while
baby-step faits accomplis are diabolically seeded under the continuously fabricated conditions made to
“look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ ”.

The unhidden goal in this round of murderous blood-plays on the Grand Chessboard: The
Balkanization of Pakistan under the pretext of saving it from the Islamofascists!

That's it in a nutshell. How complicated is that for a rational mind to examine? When they don't,
it implies only one thing. Only ONE!

Anyone who contributes to either the mantras of Islamofascism, Taliban, Al-Qaedaa, or the
waging of endless wars against that fabricated enemy instead of the real one, in my view has already
been unequivocally adjudicated upon at Nuremberg.

While the morality of the victor is always relative, as Justice Vinson of the US Supreme Court
observed for his own nation in 1951:

“Nothing is more certain in modern society than the principle that there are no
absolutes, that a name, a phrases, a standard has meaning only when associated
with the considerations which give birth to nomenclature. To those who would
paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a
semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative”,

and always becomes absolute while administering the victor's justice as sermonized by the US Chief
Prosecutor in 1946 at Nuremberg before sending the Nazi leadership to the elaborately prepared
gallows:

“If certain acts of violation of treaties are crimes, they are crimes whether the
United States does them or whether Germany does them, and we are not
prepared to lay down a rule of criminal conduct against others which we would
not be willing to have invoked against us”,

for the vast majority of ordinary people un-attuned to the pragmatic witticism of Pakistan's
distinguished Ambassador to Washington:

' “Foreign relations are not discussed in poetry, ... Saddam Husain’s last speech
was also full of poetry but it could not save him or his nation”? , and that
'relationships between nations are based on ground realities',

it does not change with the prevailing winds.

A courageous people must indeed face up to the “ground realities” just as the Hon.
Ambassador of Pakistan boldly stated to his own audience, but unlike some pusillanimous and
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hectoring cowards, also apply the same standards across the board rather than rush to coddle the greatest criminals exuding the most power as “ground realities”.

The following is an excerpt from last year, September 10, 2008 to be precise, from a letter I wrote my own TAO teacher in Lahore, titled: “Happy-Happy Zardari: A monologue on Hope and Voluntary Servitude”. The fact that it was written more than a year ago, and what it pertinently references is from almost two years ago, is both its vindication and its relevance to still saving the present for a better future.

Excerpt from Happy-Happy Zardari: A monologue on Hope and Voluntary Servitude, 2008

Begin Excerpt


And then, the 'ubermensch' will come on their white horse wielding “shock and awe” to “save” the “the very petri dish of international terrorism” [15] from becoming the new “Terror Central” [16] and threatening the civilized world due to its instability and civil war! That ominous “saving” [17] is already in its advanced setup stages [18] even as I write this. Many front-faces have been lined up who will retain and maintain the core-lie to continually enable the 'ubermensch' quest for “full spectrum dominance” – that of 'war on terror' – and the present incarnation with Mr. Zardari is just another “happy-happy” ever-smiling face! [19] But a more insidious face than the Generals' before, in order to present the more acceptable illusion of freedom and democracy as that is what is being demanded by the constituency to be controlled. Well, let's give it to them under the praetorian tutelage of a re-incarnated seasoned Godfather who can be relied upon to obediently do the master's bidding on account of natural inclination [20] to usurp [21] and plunder [22], with plenty of skeletons in the closet to keep a strong check on the desired strategic direction when necessary! [23]

Observing the events of the day (September 10, 2008) [24] being rehearsed with all the pomp
and majestic show – complete with horse-drawn buggy and kisses on the cheeks and all – before the applauding world with Mr. Zardari taking the highest oath of office in Pakistan, reminded me of the opening wedding scene from Mario Puzo's movie the Godfather, attended by the motley of morality-challenged, all dresssed in their finest Sunday outfits. The only thing that appeared to be missing during the festivities in the non-movie version was the camera zooming into private meetings in beautifully shuttered oak and mahogany paneled darkened rooms behind closed doors on how the “territory” was to be divided up, who would get what loot, and who would be assigned to make which offer that couldn't be refused! Even the fictional Michael Coreleone could not go so “legit” as the real life enactment! Once made “legit” and cleansed into a “virgin”, is it slanderous to recall the past? None of the afore-stated staid recollections from newspaper reports even begin to scratch the real surface of the actual experiences of many Pakistanis under Asif Ali Zardari during the two short hereditary reigns of his beloved wife. His popular nom de guerre of “Mr. ten percent” among the general public hardly did him justice. And neither could Al Capone ever be charged for anything other than tax evasion! Even that opportunity no longer exists – so long as the godfather dutifully continues America's “war on terror”!

The earnest columnist Ardeshir Cowasjee of the Daily Dawn had repeatedly noted in his many weekly columns that the former President, General Musharraf, was the best among the worst of the lot, and while I never agreed with the famous octogenarian of Pakistan on the notion of choosing the lesser of two evils from a carefully constrained artificial choice forcibly inflicted upon the suffering populace, I do agree with that sentiment. But not because of anything Ardeshir Cowasjee ever observed. [24a]

But because, with President Zardari at the helm, and aided in his mission by the mindlessly silly and horrendously greedy Parliamentarians who turn on a penny, Goethe's notion of servitude — “none are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free” — is being attempted in Pakistan under a godfather-civilian ruler as an alternative to the brute servitude under a military-general that was beginning to wear thin with the people! The latter however, in comparison, was surely a superior option to serve under, as there was at least no illusion of freedom! The cycle of false-leaders is quite revealing even as it continually fosters only the imperial agendas.

Let's snapshot from the setup that began this [instance of] “imperial mobilization”:

- The democratically elected Nawaz Sharif being replaced by Musharraf in 1999 to acquire a “unity of command” over the client-state, and the public showing enormous relief at being rescued from their misery by a military dictator who seemed to want to fix everything for them – for the people wanted someone strong to lead them after all the previous debacles under “democracy”;

- 9 years later Musharraf now being replaced by Zardari and the people once again showing even more relief because they wanted to be led by democracy once again after all the debacles under military dictatorship that has brought the country to the brink of bankruptcy and dismemberment!

The new “democratic” leader now appears to be equally keen on fixing everything for the people, but marches quickly in lock-step to the same beat as his autocratic predecessors!

While the people are kept busy in these 'katputli tamashas' [puppet shows] expertly crafted for
them by the Rand Corporation and the Pentagon in America, no element of “imperial mobilization” is ever left to chance. It is just as precision an operation in Pakistan as 9/11 was in New York! If a military strongman is needed to enable the ‘war on terror’ by nurturing “jihadis” with the attack on Afghanistan, the climate is created to install him. If destabilization is needed to enable dismemberment of a former frontline client-state which has outlived its usefulness on the ‘Grand Chessboard’ in its present configuration, faux democracy is crafted to bring the destabilization to its critical mass.

The concept of years of planning and long consistent execution times, as is political science based state-craft, is entirely alien to the impetuous Pakistani mind. Brought up over the past sixty years to only expect uncertainty, loot and plunder, disappearances and oppression, a Pakistani has become mentally attuned to going to sleep at night not knowing who will be in-charge the next morning (or where one might wake up)!

Thus, the notion that a devilish multi-year precision planning, and such state-craft sophistication as the ‘dialectics of deception’ described by Project Humanbeingsfirst in many of its reports, is even possible, is entirely foreign to the relatively simple, feudalistic Pakistani genius. Therefore, ’katputli tamashas' and frequent change of Acts and actors is great for the masses – for many couldn't care less who is in power, tied as most have become to their daily bread and hope for miraculous deliverance!

The exuberance shown by the world's leaders in rushing to congratulate the new Mr. 100% Pakistani President into their fold – one who had candidly noted: 'The Pakistan People’s Party (PPP), if it comes into power, must persuade the people that the fight against militants is “our war”, not just America’s war' [25] – and all of them together agreeing to maintain that enabling core-lie of “imperial mobilization” intact, amply demonstrates this.

This coddling of a person who was further reported brazenly proclaiming: “Asif Ali Zardari told a British TV that political agreements were not words of Qura’an which could not be changed with the changing political scenario. According to the TV, he was asked about his shifting positions and not fulfilling his promises to which he said there was nothing final in politics and positions could change with the changing situations.” [26], makes President Zardari actually the best qualified among the lot for the job of presiding over the dismembering of Pakistan! One who need not, by one's own admission, honor any agreements one may sign, or the deals one may make, with one's own countrymen in order to gain their trust! Wow! What an egregiously blatant mocking of a peoples quite accustomed to “Voluntary Servitude”.

Notice that there are no riots in the streets in Pakistan, no protests, and while the nation's ruling elite is politically and visibly united in celebrating the victory of democracy over dictatorship, the poor man is kept busy trying to barely survive!

Finally, one can rightfully claim, that there is little difference between the peoples of America, and the peoples of Pakistan – united they do stand in “hope” and inaction for a better tomorrow, as the rich plunderers laugh their way to the bank!

Indeed, I hate to suggest once again that all this was plainly manifest when Musharraf had issued his own proclamations paving the way for PPP and Bhutto to come to power with the NRO and the sacking of the judges by crafting his unilateral Executive Orders, which like in America, once made into law, become effectively irreversible.
I had plainly written on December 21, 2007 in Project Humanbeingsfirst's warning to the Pakistani peoples “Wake up to the grotesque reality of the 'Grand Chessboard'!” [27] (while most of the Pakistani and Western press appeared not to have ever been students of political science, history, or even forensic science – the only reason most among them are likely called journalists, the press, the newsmedia, is surely only because they carry suitably designed business cards or are rich enough to own the media – there are a few exceptions of course, as shown by this oped in the Nation of February 22, 2008 [28]; and I have no idea why the rest of the respected columnists like Ardeshir Cowasjee remained silent, despite my urgent and repeated pleas to them to at least comment on the warning in their own soap-boxes in order to draw attention to it, as no English newspaper in Pakistan was willing to publish it or any of the letters to editor [29] that I sent them before I gave up on further wasting my time; I had also, in my earnestness, foolishly apprised two newly retired 3-star Pakistani Generals in Islamabad of these matters over a long private lunch conversation, with obviously little impact and much wasted effort):

“#2. The present 'elections' in Pakistan are a manifest fraud under the orchestration of the 'hectoring hegemons' themselves, and must be abandoned in the greater national interest of the peoples of Pakistan themselves.

This mantra of elections is replete with red herrings craftily synthesized to maintain Pakistan as a servile client-state in order to carry on with the same bold 'imperial designs' on the 'Grand Chessboard'. It is merely the rebottling of the same old wine in a different bottle. It will surely be legitimately conducted, with no apparent riggings, and duly approved by all the impartial international observers to give the artful elections an official international legitimacy.

It is quite immaterial who wins in these elections. The laws and the judiciary of the nation have already been reconstituted under the umbrella of 'emergency' to enable the nation to carry on unfettered in its primary objective of fighting the 'War on Terror' as an obedient patsy client-state – and hence to carry on in its own devilishly crafted suicide!

These elections will conveniently 'elect' a legislature whose leaders have also been deftly primed by the 'hectoring hegemons' themselves! And the highest executive office in the land is already retained in the hands of the same old 'wine' drinker.

Thus all the 'ducks' are still lined up perfectly in a row, just as they were on the very eve of 9/11.

#3. The people of Pakistan must fully reconcile with the Military of Pakistan immediately – the reconciliation being of the type that was witnessed by the surprised world between the oppressors and the oppressed in South Africa.

Not the type that is being pushed through the NRO to legitimize looters, plunderers, and rapists of the nation in order to staff the legislature with the made by 'CFR in the USA' and made by 'RIIA in the UK' Pakistani politicians with at best criminal credentials, and at worst, treasonous ones!
The military of Pakistan, as an institution, is indeed also the only hope of Pakistan as we must survive on the 'Grand Chessboard' and only they hold the cards. What is about to befall the nation can also only be averted by them. The civilians and the Military must unite – immediately – for overarching national considerations that far transcend individual grievances, ego trips, and past transgressions.

Thus the civilians must abandon all meaningless and mindless protests which are in any case devoid of any fundamental comprehension of the reality du jour on the 'Grand Chessboard' – none of them seek fundamental changes to our fate, nor do they appear to fathom what such changes are even supposed to be - and are merely only chasing red herrings that have been deftly crafted as perhaps per the Machiavellian 'technique of infamy'. ...

These protests are needlessly continually giving the Western public the impression of 'instability' which only adds to the credibility of the various pretextual mantras to come 'deliver' us from ourselves! There is much more at stake than to usher in a thin veneer of faux 'democracy' which is all that these protests are unwittingly accomplishing as can be empirically witnessed by anyone with half a brain.

#4. Instead of the faux 'democracy', the Pakistani Military rulers on their part must now rise to the challenge of genuine patriotism and as genuine guardians of the nation and help carve a genuine Democracy, with the capital D and without the quotes, as briefly outlined in “Re-Imagining Pakistan's Defenses – Open Letter to a Pakistani General”, and as explored in “Saving Pakistan from Synthetic 'Terror Central'”.

Briefly, that entails crafting a genuine Constitution under a bilateral 'social contract' between the people and the state, that is subsequently ratified by the people of Pakistan through a direct referendum vote. This can be accomplished within a few short months if there is the national and military will that understands the urgency of the matter and executes on it by gathering the right peoples to craft it!

Item 2 above, in bold, appears amazingly prescient – right? The new “wine drinker” makes little impact on the journey however. And we observe that Musharraf withdrew [30] without rectifying any of his own power-shenanigans in favor of the new “wine drinker” whom he had himself enabled and pardoned with the NRO that has lent new meaning to the adage 'steal only in millions and billions, for generous pardon in the name of national security, national interest, or national reconciliation is the only outcome for monumental crimes when all loot'.

The new “wine drinker” in turn was, and is, being guided by the same hectoring hegemons [31] [32] [33] [34] who crafted and led the American foreign policy in the decimation of Afghanistan and Iraq, as expected – and so brazenly at times that there is no need to even hide that fact from the public – when Musharraf very well could have implemented all of the afore-stated recommendations for the genuine protection of Pakistan, as he enjoyed absolute power and the public would have welcomed his
moves against the hectoring hegemons with open arms! Therefore, the lame surprise as demonstrated in this news report, aptly titled “Benazir's promise remains unfulfilled” [35], is at best – well what can one say that hasn't already been said about the press! Pakistani media is now but a distant reflection of the American media eagerly galloping down the same path. See Chapters 4, 6, and 7 of my book “Prisoners of the Cave” for a better understanding of what one is likely to see more and more of in Pakistan! [36]

If all this prescience is such advanced rocket science, how is it that an ordinary plebeian, with only a computer and an internet connection, can figure it all out, including the solution space, and none of the profound intelligentsia and the press in Pakistan can? The answer must be that we are a well deserving nation ready to be replaced by a better peoples – as per the promise of the Qur'an! But wait – I am not ready to be replaced, nor am I willing to accept that verdict, and neither are the 200 million Pakistanis suffering under the yoke of the handful of co-opted praetorian ruling elite of Pakistan! “Voluntary Servitude” may indeed be our failing due to excessive misplaced hope, but is that as criminally culpable as the tyrants who criminally rule over us by exploiting that failing? Being a victim is not a crime, even if being a foolish one repeatedly is reprehensible!

End Excerpt
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But of course, that requires a Military Tribunal administering the victor's justice to bring those very conclusions about.

Short of such a victor's justice selectively administered, yes indeed the hectoring hegemons and their 'errand boys' will win in the Fourth Reich just as they did in the Third Reich in yesteryear. Anyone efficaciously challenging them, anywhere in the world, is marched to the gallows as the terrorist, disappeared, or outright shot through the head. Others are simply ignored, or afforded their Hyde Park corners to asphyxiate themselves in freespace. All that freedom of speech in vacuum is very valuable of course to generously accord to the plebeian – we now sit around typing all day or make speeches to the cheering choir rather than tearing down the totem poles constructed to rule over us. And even more egregiously, actually fighting for the right to continue doing that pleasurably sterile thing over and over again! Some of the more famous among us are in fact also getting quite rich doing it. Some others are simply enamored by their own brilliant dissent-productions and feel badly when it isn't appreciated.

Be that as it may, I humbly invite everyone, but especially the Pakistanis reading this, to refute me if I make a mistake, or to boldly join me if I appear to speak the truth in correctly concluding the answer to 2+2 =?

Let's together unmask them all by uniting on the common nemesis. Even the natural instinct for self-preservation in the lowly herd helps them correctly identify the hidden hectoring hegemon of their jungle, and they boldly unite against it under their existential imperative:
Why can't we?

As one of my favorite Urdu poets famously said: 'Mauj hai darya mein, bairoon-e-darya kuch nahin'.

That piece of poetic witticism if practiced by those now deceived, just as it is practiced by the herd in the video above, will checkmate the very “ground realities” that our man in Washington is so worried about.

Let's help him shall we? But in order to effectuate even that single verse of poetry, minimally requires knowing how to add $2 + 2 = 4$ before the Rubicon is crossed, before the barbarians march in through the gates of hell bringing their “shock and awe” with them, and before one's own treasonous traitors and mercenaries do the people in. Indeed a great failing of the Iraqi people. But it was not of poetry.

* Translation: A wave outside of the ocean is nothing, as part of the ocean it can become a tsunami.
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Ali Baba Arrived in America

'War on Terror' is not about 'Islamofascism' – Please get with the real agenda you people!

Saturday, March 21, 2009 | Confirmation Postscript September 24, 2010 | Must Read
Postscript-2 July 03, 2011

The following report was published by Prisonplanet last week, March 13, 2009 [1]:

Police Trained Nationwide That Informed Americans Are Domestic Terrorists

Written Friday, March 13, 2009 by Paul Joseph Watson, Kurt Nimmo & Alex Jones

' Law enforcement across the country are being educated that informed Americans who know their rights are dangerous and that cops are their enemy.

The MIAC report specifically describes supporters of presidential candidates Ron Paul, Chuck Baldwin, and Bob Barr as “militia” influenced terrorists and instructs the Missouri police to be on the lookout for supporters displaying
bumper stickers and other paraphernalia associated with the Constitutional, Campaign for Liberty, and Libertarian parties.

The MIAC report does not concentrate on Muslim terrorists, but rather on the so-called “militia movement” and conflates it with supporters of Ron Paul, Chuck Baldwin, Bob Barr, the so-called patriot movement and other political activist organizations opposed to the North American Union and the New World Order.

Police are educated in the document that people are are anti-abortion, own gold, display an assortment of U.S. flags, or even those that talk about the film Zeitgeist, view the police as their “enemy” and conflates them with domestic terrorists like Oklahoma City bomber Timothy McVeigh, Olympic bomber Eric Rudolph and other domestic militia groups who have been charged with plotting terrorist attacks.

However, the conflation of banal sectors of society such as people who own gold, fly flags, display bumper stickers or who support mainstream political candidates such as Bob Barr, and the guilt-by-association smear that they are likely to be dangerous and potential terrorists, is a staggering alarm bell which indicates police are being trained that ordinary Americans, not radicalized Mexican race hate groups or Al-Qaeda suicide bomber cells, are the number one domestic threat in the war on terror.

Indeed, the MIAC report is just the latest in a series of similar threat assessment documents that list average American citizens as dangerous extremists and potential terrorists.

We discovered that similar propaganda was being disseminated from the very top in September 2006 when it was revealed that the Bush administration had been targeting “conspiracy theorists” as terrorist recruiters.

President Bush himself gave speeches about a White House “strategy paper” that formed “an unclassified version of the strategy we’ve been pursuing since September the 11th, 2001,” that takes into account, “the changing nature of this enemy.” (excerpted)

Indeed, “the changing nature of this enemy”! As already footnoted in 2008, [2] [3] the following is what I had presciently written in my maiden book Prisoners of the Cave, Chapter 5, [4] in April 2003, as bombing of Iraq was in full “shock and awe” and the FBI had thoughtfully “dared to knock on my door” [5] instead, chasing down all the brown-skin “Muslim terrorists” in town.
Excerpt from Prisoners of the Cave, 2003, Chapter 5

Extending the Empire

Begin Excerpt

So now that we have launched the imperial adventure, how do we stay the course? How do we prevent the good consciousable people from rising up when they learn the truth through what will invariably leak in from foreign press and other alternate media?

Well, start curtailing civil liberties, one at a time, for if we do it all at once, people might rise up and object too loudly – much like that frog who can escape from sudden boiling water but not from gradually heated water raised to a boiling point over time. So how to curtail civil liberties in stages and present a persistent danger to enable it?

Invent a fifth column and declare a perpetual war against them. Invent the TIPS hotline and set neighbor against neighbor, creating a climate of fear and nervousness, requiring more laws to find the culprits. The media totes the line, and people go along. Machiavelli take notes!

So now we have our internal enemy to scare the people with. How about that external enemy? Don't we need both perception of threats to successfully mobilize the public for an imperial adventure and contain dissent? For “Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization” is the gospel according to ZB.

Brilliant! Create an axis of evil deemed to possess such weapons of mass destruction that people would forget about their own country's immense store of the most deadly and vile weapons of mass destruction, invent 'fictitious facts' and 'fictitious linkages' and 'fictitious reports' and present them to the UN Security Council and to the American public, and try to rally a 'coalition of the coerced' to prosecute the 'fictitious war' to prevent any 'fictitious future threats'.

Now after 22 days of sustained bombing the already impoverished Iraq, a country that has lived through hellish 12 incredibly long years of devastating sanctions and bombed out industrial and civil infrastructure from the first Gulf war, no weapons of mass destruction are found. So just in case before someone is thoughtful enough to ask where are those touted and much feared Iraqi weapons of mass destruction, and to find the next pretext to attack the next new country in the new axis of evil (now that Iraq has been flagged with the stars and stripes), the war Secretary Rumsfeld comes out and says “all of these weapons have been smuggled into Syria!” And the media dutifully informs us as if it has been eye witnessed by Rumsfeld himself!

How about if one alleges that all of these WMDs have been smuggled into Rumsfeld's closet? Would the media report that? In fact there is far more evidence for looking into Rumsfeld's closet because he was seen shaking the hands of Sadaam Hussain as far back as 1984, whereas the Syrian
leadership has since 1978 been politically at loggerheads with Baghdad, ever since Sadaam came to power. They only did limited trading between 1997 – 2002 under the supervised UN's oil for food program (source DemocracyNow), and the current Syrian president only took office in July 2000 after his predecessor died. There is no empirical evidence of collusion between the two countries, nor has any been presented as the rhetoric against Syria is ratcheted up. But evidence of course is immaterial, because the real goal is to keep making up pretexts.

The pretext to go to war on Iraq itself was as phony as a child telling a lie about the cookie jar when the crumbs are all over his clothes – except in this case it is a grown up General trying to annihilate an entire people by his deceit. Much has been written about the absence of any linkage between 9-11 and Iraq, the fake reports and fake and plagiarized evidence that the Secretary of State waved in the UN Security council that was collected from the Ph.D. thesis of a student from data 12 years old, or the aluminum tubes and what not. All put to a lie by the UN experts testimony Hans Blix et al., Scott Ritter, Dennis Halliday, Hans Von Sponeck, all of whom repeatedly stated that not only has Iraq been disarmed, but it does not even possess adequate means for self defense, the 12 long years of sanctions having taken its massive inhuman civilian toll. In the first 8 years of the sanctions alone, 1.2 million died, including 567,000 – 750,000 children according to the UN. When Madeleine Albright, Clinton's Secretary of State was asked about the horrible price the children of Iraq were paying because of the sanctions with more than 5000 dying each month, she had remarked “we think the price is worth it”. Muslim babies are worth it? And that from a Jew who cry over their own and vow never again?

Why are criminals like that not challenged in the media and are allowed to rule the 'free world'? Why does the obsequious world watch silently? Has the entire planet been castrated? With the rise of “modernity” and the inter-linking of economies and destinies of peoples, it is far easier to annihilate and destroy than it has ever been in the history of the planet. And we call this progress of the Western civilization! Progress that is aimed at the conquest of the Orient!

See the UN reports compiled by Ramsey Clark in “the children are dying – The impact of sanctions on Iraq”, and look at those pictures of young babies and children, victims of the barbaric economic sanctions and DU munitions. Or hear Barbara Lubin, Executive Director of MECA (Middle East Children's Alliance) describe her eyewitneses account of misery and suffering visited upon the Iraqi children due to lack of simple medicines over the past 12 years! The US bombed Iraq's entire civilian infrastructure, against the international conduct and rules of war where civilian facilities are protected from destruction. In a 1999 Wall Street Journal article,

“After eight years of enforcing a no fly zone in northern [and southern] Iraq, few military targets remain. 'We're down to the last outhouse,'”

Now look what Protocol 1, Part IV, Section 1, Chapter 111, Article 54 of the Geneva Conventions 1977, to which the United States is a signatory, and hence obligated to implement according to the laws of its own Constitution, states:

“Starvation of civilians as a method of warfare is prohibited. It is prohibited to attack, destroy, remove, or render useless objects indispensable to the agricultural areas for the production of foodstuffs, crops, livestock, drinking water installations and supplies, and irrigation works, for the specific purpose
of destroying them for their sustenance value to the civilian population or to the adverse Party, whatever the motive, whether in order to starve out civilians, to cause them to move away, or for any other motive.”

So it is not enough that America is patently guilty of heinous war crimes against the civilian population of Iraq in her disingenuous attempts to contain Sadaam Hussain that she herself installed and actively supported for decades, now she maintains that a totally devastated bombed out nation is such a threat to her that it must be invaded? The sole superpower in the world that has an annual defense budget of $400 billion, ten times the size of its nearest potential challenger, is so scared that she even feels threatened by a totally devastated people? Come on, I have a bridge I would like to sell you! One of administration's own George Tenet, the Director of CIA, testified before Congress about Iraq's threat level to the US: almost zero, unless Iraq is attacked first. Iraq's own neighbors repeatedly stated they are not threatened by Iraq.

But so little rational and factual discussions made it into the mainstream TV coverage, forget any follow-ups or analysis, and never mind raising the fundamental question why should Iraq be disarmed when Israel poses the larger threat in the Middle East, as testified by all its neighbors, and is known to possess ~200 – 300 nuclear weapons? The discussions on television news programs always, always revolved around “how” to attack Iraq, the pros and cons of various gee-whiz methods, and never around “why” to attack Iraq. The morality of hitting a severely and deliberately weakened opponent who did nothing to you, who cannot defend itself because you deliberately disarmed it of even its self defense capacity, and hitting with a “Shock and Awe” campaign of cruise missiles and long distance bombers on cities populated with innocent men women and children, is never raised. Have cowardice and immorality only become words in a dictionary never to be uttered? Not a word about this is to be heard from any of the champions of freedom who support this war. And war it ain't, but a slaughter of sitting ducks, except that it is people and their properties and their histories. “Slaughter on Iraq” is a better moniker.

It is also mind boggling that the administration can be so arrogant in their power, that they don't even want to take the time to lie properly – the US public is in their pocket, the media is in their pocket, and the world does not matter since they wield the biggest sticks. Several US policy analyst even openly argue that the UN structure is antiquated because it was made at a time when the world power was shared by the victors of WWII, and does not take the new realities of a single global superpower into account.

Hence note the exemption that US has claimed for itself from the International court of justice, any crime it commits on the world scene it is exempted from prosecution for it. Any charges, if someone may be so bold to make, have to be referred back to the US courts. How convenient that the perpetuators should try themselves!

It is all there, in black and white and color, many snippets of it sometimes even present in the mainstream media. But with no one to follow up, analyze, dissect, and assimilate the widely spread out information, provide historical context, question official assertions presented as evidence, and journalist all vying with each other to get in bed with the military for that first shot at made for TV story, and the 15 second attention span of the TV audience systematically dumbed down over the past years, the real story is quietly allowed to die away. Whereas the media was fixated by former President Clinton's personal fantasy world with weeks of sustained sensationalistic coverage about stains on
dresses, no one wants to dig into the real “fiction” being perpetuated on the entire American people, and indeed on the entire world. Michael Moore was brazen enough to point out this “world of fiction” so eloquently even in the brief 45 second Oscar acceptance speech he gave for his “Bowling for Columbine” documentary, in front of a TV audience of a billion viewers. The entire world probably cheered, but most in the audience booed him.

If the poor performance of the mainstream news media is not evidence of their direct (and not just inadvertent) collusion in orchestrating and culminating this “Slaughter on Iraq”, then I don't know what constitutes evidence. Not only are they complicit in war crimes against the people of Iraq, but because of the fact that they are charged with a specific charter under the Constitution to monitor the centers of power in order to safe guard the proper functioning of democracy and for which they are offered the protection of free speech, they are also guilty of subverting democracy itself. Hence guilty of treasonable offense against their own nation, the people of America. This theme of their culpability permeates the book because of the singular importance of their role in a country like America, where the public is not only constitutionally empowered to make or break administrations, but has in practice demonstrated their ability to eviscerate malignant tumors from their midst when properly informed.

So now, with the media's active connivance at not telling the true story, the State has succeeded in creating both an internal and an external threat to scare the public into rallying around the flag: “United We Stand”. Just as ZB quite candidly stated, is required to get the people in a democracy to tow the line! But you be the judge!

Wow, even ZB might not have imagined such a brilliant execution. Or did he? As cited earlier, he is known to have taken credit for the fall of the Soviet Union by “giving to the USSR its Vietnam War” in Afghanistan at the expense of devastating an entire nation without much compunction. Also see his NSC Memorandum No 46, where he argues on how and why to prevent any alliance of the blacks in America and the African nations from developing, to gain further insight into the thinking that goes behind making empires. Perhaps he might be next in line for the Nobel Peace Prize, the former President that he worked for already got his award where no one thought of asking the former President about the blood of Muslims upon his hands for signing off on “giving to the USSR its Vietnam War” in Afghanistan.

The fictitious Fifth Column: Islam under attack

Yes this also explains why all of a sudden, we see an entire sacred religion along with its billion plus followers being systematically demonized, misunderstandings perpetrated, and a climate of fear created around them. While there has always been some bias in covering Islam by the Western media, especially in the US media as documented by Edward Said in “Covering Islam”, there was never this vitriolic virulence that we see today. Through confluence of interests, the extreme right-wing Evangelical Christian coalition being a major backer of the Republican Party and their present influence in the White House being considerable, had one of its leaders easily come out accusing the noble prophet of Islam as a terrorist and other unmentionable name callings. No pundit in the mainstream media even raised their customary “anti-Semitic” slogans to protest this outrage that I am aware of – perhaps they are not aware that the Prophet of Islam is also a Semite! Or is it only certain
kinds of anti-Semitism they are against?

And great, inflame the passions of the masses in the Muslim countries by abusing their sacred Prophet. When they take out protest processions and burn a few effigies and flags, then we can conveniently show those images on all mainstream media and label them as those “barbaric and terrorist” Muslims – and extract our PR mileage that these Muslims are not developed like us Westerners, it is okay to kill them. Nothing new here, standard US army issue for dehumanizing your enemy so that it becomes easier to tolerate and indeed perpetuate their subjugation, humiliation, and exploitation in the oldest colonial style known to man – then we incredulously ask “why do they hate us”?

There is now a sustained and deliberate attack against Islam and Muslims by the Christian far right in the US. People like Franklin Graham – who works for Reverend Dr. Marion “Pat” Robertson of the 700 club often seen on CBN television spewing out hateful messages of his own against the Muslims – openly call Islam a “very wicked and evil religion.” While these bigoted Christian fanatics might believe so, and perhaps privately shared these tortuous views in the past amongst themselves, the public utterances of these derogatory islamophobic rhetoric betray the primacy of their arrogance. Namely, that now, since they are in power in the White House controlling the reins of the world's only superpower, let's also redraw the religious map of the Muslims while they were redrawing their political and cultural maps, as their new found 'la mission civilisatrice' in a revived 'Crusade'. The inadvertent slip of labeling this fictitious “war on terrorism” as a “Crusade” by George Bush, and one of the battle field monsters in the US military arsenal being called the “Crusader”, are telling signs of the psychology and alliances of the “civilized” barbarians unloading their 'white mans burden' from 20,000 feet upon the defenseless Iraq and Afghani Muslims. Franklin Graham was subsequently invited to the Pentagon to lead a Good Friday service despite strong protests from many quarters and was instead strongly endorsed by the Secretary of State Colin Powell! I am not sure why the world is tolerating this spectacle, obsequencing itself toward the new 'Mecca'.

And now these same guys are going to Iraq to provide “humanitarian” aid to the Iraqis! In an interview on Pacifica, one of the missionaries from this group despite repeated questioning whether he would repudiate Franklin Graham's malicious attacks on Islam, continued to dodge the question by saying how could he repudiate someone else's statements! He further stated that their mission in Iraq was to show the people of Iraq that “God loves them” by giving the people free packets of food. This bringing “Jesus” to the 'infidels' effort is being headed by the Christian fundamentalist son of the famous American Evangelical icon Rev. Billy Graham who has presided over religious functions in the White House for so many American Presidents. One does not even have to exclaim: beware the Christian missionaries bearing gifts! They are in for some rude awakening. So little do they know or understand of Islam, Muslims, or the Middle East, let alone about Iraqis and their ravaged land, the cradle of human civilization. Shame!

Then there are people like Daniel Pipes who have for years argued that Muslims in America should be put under surveillance and that at least 10% – 15% of these Muslims of America could be potential killers! And the White House just nominated him to serve on the Board of Directors for US Peace Institute! All these guys have the tacit blessings of the government to drum up fear and hatred against the Muslims. Even the Republican Party leaders now openly betray their true sentiments. Shawn Steele, the former Chairman of California's State Republican Party, offered perhaps the most extreme example of this. While giving a pro-war rallying speech at the University of Southern
California, he stated the following which needs no further comment:

“The Islamic community has a cancer growing inside of it – which hates Jews, hates freedom, and hates Western society. The disease of Islam must be rectified – it's kill or be killed.”

All of these atrocious statements coming from very powerful people, are again eerily reminiscent of Nazi Germany's verbal vituperative onslaught on the Jews, followed by its actual implementation through systematic and ever increasing harassment by the Brown Shirts. Albeit I hope this will not happen here in the USA, it is possible one might find bands of vigilantes, feeling compelled to follow the guidance of their erstwhile leaders, physically harassing the Muslims, attacking their homes, their mosques, and their businesses. It would be laughable to imagine this would occur in the twenty first century in America, were it not so tragic that it actually is occurring, and there is no uproar in the mass media about such an assault on Islam and the Muslims. Quite reflective of course of the government's behavior overseas. Ideological Allies! This tacit affirmation by the mainstream media of this hate mongering through their deafening silence, and should it lead to actual physical violence upon the Muslims in the USA, will be further grounds for their indictment for war crimes against their own people. Thanks to Pacifica, which is the only independent grass-roots funded public media that is courageously speaking out against fascism in America. If there was a Nobel prize for standing up to fascism, Pacifica and its programmers would be the first in line.

Why are Muslims under attack?

Let's return to the favorite question of this essay, the 'why', and ask, why is this happening to the Muslims in the US at this time, and why now? The malevolence for Islam in the hearts of the bigoted fanatics is nothing new. Then why all of a sudden is it finding this virulent expression? Doesn't the US already have its hands full with battles of conquest overseas? Commonsense would dictate that they should not take on domestic problems at the same time. Furthermore, ZB's framework has nothing to do with religion, it is all about resource, economic, and political hegemony. So why target the entire 6 – 8 million Muslims living peacefully in this country and make them objects of FBI investigations, map out their mosques, interview their members, monitor their communications and their finances, take down their credit card numbers, examine their wallets upon exit and ingress into the country, and who knows what else they are doing? Perhaps the government is thinking, that surely the Muslims have the motive, aren't we bombing their people, they will react and do something criminal and terroristic, and we have to protect the good people of the United States?

First of all, there is an adequate statistical sampling of terrorists and criminals in every ethnic group in America, including the majority, their most infamous one being Tim McVeigh. What about Alqaeeda, maybe some of them are really hiding in the mosque? If they were, the government would know exactly who they are and what their phone number and SS numbers is, because remember they trained them. The testimony provided by an ex. US official of the US embassy in Riyadh Saudi Arabia is quite telling. I heard him on Pacifica, describing how he was a visa officer and he would get these strange type of people applying for US visas in Saudi Arabia, from all different parts of the Arab
world. Upon being asked the usual questions why they wanted to visit America, they would say
strange things like: to attend a technical conference, and upon further inquiry have no clue about that
subject area. So he would reject their visa application. Having rejected one too many visas, he was
called up before his superiors and told to give out the visas regardless! So he issued the same people
US visas the next time they visited, after already having rejected them the first time as dubious
customers!

Later he figured out these were the CIA operatives recruited from around the world and trained
in the US for various “freedom fighting” activities. Their identities, mug shots, finger prints, dental
profiles, DNA profiles, urine samples etc. are probably all on record with the government. It was
reported that the CIA/FBI even had an informant working within the inner circles of the hijackers of
9/11 for what that's worth. Suffice it to say, the government is not really looking for terrorists in the
mosques any more than they are looking for them in synagogues, or churches, or temples.

**Stifling activist dissent is the real reason**

Okay, what is the answer? Muslims of America make a very convenient fifth column to be
vigilant against, to be investigated and dug out of their mosques, requiring new laws for surveillance
and new powers for security agencies. To successfully deploy this, any and all confluence of interests
are being employed, including the hatred and arrogance of the ultra right-wing Christian fanatics who
seek Armageddon to get closer to Jesus.

How convenient! Muzzling the dissent of the very vocal minority of brave activists, and the
likes of Daniel Ellsberg that might rise up to challenge the oppression and destruction of innocent
people around the world in the process of executing this American global conquest, would require
some draconian measures. Why? In order to curb their civil rights and create an atmosphere of fear and
intimidation so that they would not be vocal in their protests, lest they are able to successfully
mobilize and dethrone the emperor! Well the persecution of the “fictitious fifth columnist” is
conveniently giving the perpetrators of world conquest the much needed despotic laws to control and
contain any sort of public outrage.

These oppressive laws via the USA Patriot Act 1 passed by Congress in the wake of 9/11
without any debate, and now its even more oppressive successor Patriot Act 2 waiting in the wings for
an opportune time, and the concomitant erosion of civil liberties, is really intended for the rest of the
US population, and not so much for any “fictitious danger” from the “fictitious fifth columnists”.

It is a preemptive strike at domestic dissent, consistent with the doctrine of preemptive strike at
imperial targets abroad. Both are part of the same foreign policy agenda, as per ZB’s “Democracy is
inimical to imperial mobilization.”

Test this hypothesis yourself. How much danger are the Muslims to the state in the prosecution
of their global hegemonic plans, and how much danger are the activists?

In fact, any terrorism done by any Muslim in the US would play right into the hands of the
state, now wouldn't it? But a Daniel Ellsberg or two leaking out privileged information from the
“inside” like the infamous “Pentagon Papers”, that can provide concrete evidence of lying and deceit
of the kind impeachments are made of, difficult to wriggle out from, can put a quick stop to the
grandiose expansion plans of the state, now couldn't it? Or a mass mobilizations against the war as
witnessed during Vietnam might awaken the real sleeping giant, the good hearted but dormant public,
mightn't it? Richard Nixon, October 15, 1969, inside the White House, talking to Henry Kissinger,
while a million people protesting outside the White House, briefly contemplates dropping an atomic
bomb on Vietnam, but allows sanity to prevail because of his fear of what the million marchers might
do to him.

End Excerpt

[ Back to the present, March 21, 2009 ] It gives me no pleasure to pen this footnote to history.
And just as the “changing nature of this enemy” was eventually going to transform into the patriotic
American activist, was palpably obvious as hell to me in 2003, it is also blatantly obvious today that
the ‘one-world’ government [6] under construction will lead to the extermination of several billion
people on the planet.

For heaven's sake get with the latent reality you peoples – for hell on earth is guaranteed for its
vast 'untermensch' majority. And that may well include you if you are not of the right stock and
breeding, regardless of where you might be living on the planet and how much money you might have.
Accurately gauge the present apathetic state [7] of our collective voluntary servitude, [8] the level of
deception [9] that is deflecting attention from the real criminals, [10] and overcome it. [11]

Postscript-1 Friday, September 24, 2010

The following ABC News report of September 22, 2010, titled: “Significant Developments in Terror
Threats Since 9/11, Officials Say”, demonstrates that the baby-step implementation of Police State
USA is moving right along without interruption. It expounds the terror threats in the usual Newspeak –
the neologism of George Orwell from “1984” [12]. The Realityspeak, my antonym to designate
modern times when Alice is wide awake, has already been expounded above. Here is the same echo of
Newspeak, resoundingly reverberating since 9/11 in incestuous self-reinforcements, from President Obama's Administration, excerpted [13]:

**Significant Developments in Terror Threats Since 9/11, Officials Say**

Napolitano, Mueller, Leiter Discuss Increased Tempo of Attacks Against U.S.

Sept. 22, 2010

"The nation's top counterterrorism officials were blunt. The threat from within--- of Americans willing to commit terrorist acts--- is growing. FBI Director Robert S. Mueller III told a congressional hearing today that a spike in recent terrorism cases is direct evidence of the evolving threat.

“Groups affiliated with al Qaeda are now actively targeting the United States and looking to use Americans or Westerners who are able to remain undetected by heightened security measures,” Mueller said. “It appears domestic extremism and radicalization appears to have become more pronounced based on the number of disruptions and incidents.”

Mueller appeared before the Senate Homeland Security and Government Affairs committee along with Department of Homeland Security Secretary Janet Napolitano and National Counterterrorism Chief Michael Leiter.

“Homegrown terrorists represent a new and changing facet of the terrorist threat.” Napolitano said, “To be clear, by homegrown, I mean terrorist operatives who are U.S. persons, and who were radicalized in the United States.”

The officials all pointed to a series of recent incidents that show that al Qaeda, its affiliates and associates were more active than ever.

Sen. Joseph Lieberman (I-CT), the chairman of the committee said, “These attacks and others show the full range of threats we now face from lone wolves.”

Leiter, the head of the National Counterterrorism Center, said that the vast array of terrorist propaganda on the Internet was having an influence in driving individuals in the United States to turn to potential acts of terrorism.

Describing the power of the Internet and its use as a recruiting tool, Leiter said, “A blend of al Qaeda inspiration, perceived victimization, and glorification of past plotting, has become increasingly accessible through the Internet, and English-language websites are tailored to address the unique concerns of US-based extremists.” ' --- http://abcnews.go.com/print?id=11699198
Postscript-2 Saturday, July 03, 2011

Yet more confirmation, full disclosure by a law enforcement insider of 18 years. [14] Was it rocket science in 2001-2003 that these people remained silent when they were calling Muslims “terrorist” and raining “shock and awe” upon us? No, it was not. It was only the banality of evil. Now they come for thee. Write all the “Bewares” you want in 2011 – they rush to town with their urgent news after all the barbers and saints in town already know it!

**Beware of Homeland Security Training for Local Law Enforcement, by An Insider**

By James Wesley, Rawles on March 30, 2011 8:28 PM

'I've been in law enforcement for the past 18 years. I have attended a variety of training over those years. During the 1990s, most training I attended was community-oriented, sponsored by local agencies or private companies specializing in police training. Themes common to training of the past included topics such as Constitutional rights, community partnerships, youth-oriented programs and problem-oriented policing.

During the past several years, I have witnessed a dramatic shift in the focus of law enforcement training. Law enforcement courses have moved away from a local community focus to a federally dominated model of complete social control. Most training I have attended over the past two years have been sponsored by Department of Homeland Security (DHS), namely the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) and Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

No matter what topic the training session concerns, every DHS sponsored course I have attended over the past few years never fails to branch off into warnings about potential domestic terrorists in the community. While this may sound like a valid officer and community safety issue, you may be disturbed to learn how our Federal government describes a typical domestic terrorist.

These federal trainers describe the dangers of “extremists” and “militia groups” roaming the community and hiding in plain sight, ready to attack. Officers are instructed how to recognize these domestic terrorists by their behavior, views and common characteristics. State data bases are kept to track suspected domestic terrorists and officers are instructed on reporting procedures to state and federal agencies. The state I work in, like many others, have what is known as a “fusion center” that compiles a watch list of suspicious people.

So how does a person qualify as a potential domestic terrorist? Based on the
training I have attended, here are characteristics that qualify:

- Expressions of libertarian philosophies (statements, bumper stickers)
- Second Amendment-oriented views (NRA or gun club membership, holding a CCW permit)
- Survivalist literature (fictional books such as “Patriots” and “One Second After” are mentioned by name)
- Self-sufficiency (stockpiling food, ammo, hand tools, medical supplies)
- Fear of economic collapse (buying gold and barter items)
- Religious views concerning the book of Revelation (apocalypse, anti-Christ)
- Expressed fears of Big Brother or big government
- Homeschooling
- Declarations of Constitutional rights and civil liberties
- Belief in a New World Order conspiracy

A recent training session I attended encouraged law enforcement agencies to work with business owners to alert police when customers appear to be stockpiling items. An example was given that a federal agent was monitoring customers at a well known hunting and fishing retail outlet and noting who was purchasing certain items. This is something to remember the next time you purchase a case of ammo at one of these popular outdoor sports retail stores.

Methods of developing evidence of terrorist activity from virtually any search have also been discussed. Various common materials which may be associated with homemade explosives are listed, such as lengths of pipe, gunpowder, matches, flammable liquids and fireworks. Officers are told when these items are found, they can be listed as “bomb making materials”. The training even goes so far as to instruct officers that the items are cleverly disguised as legitimate, such as gasoline stored near a lawn mower, pipes stored in a shop building or gunpowder stored with reloading materials.

One course I attended used the example of a person employed as a plumber being the target of a search warrant. In this example, the officers were told how to use his employment as a plumber as further evidence of terrorism. The suspect’s employment would be described as an elaborate scheme to justify possessing pipes and chemicals so as to have bomb making materials readily available. Based on this example, all plumbers are potential pipe bomb makers. All gun dealers are plotting to provide arms to gangs or terrorists. All pest control companies are preparing mass poisonings. By using this logic, simply having the ability to do something criminal automatically makes the person guilty of plotting the crime. With all the various methods of
manufacturing methamphetamine, it would also be easy to claim that a disassembled clandestine drug lab was located during the search. In other words, it is easy to frame anyone for possessing bomb making materials (or other crimes) if the officer knows what items to list in the report and how to link these items to terrorism.

Another common tactic used in DHS sponsored training is the slander of certain ideologies by linking an erroneous characteristic to a particular group. Here are some examples:

- These groups hold the anniversaries of certain dates as significant such as Ruby Ridge, Waco and Hitler’s birthday
- They oppose abortion, support gun rights and are affiliated with the Ku Klux Klan
- They are fearful of big government, espouse support for the Constitution and want to kill police officers
- These groups collect firearms, survivalist books and explosives
- These extremists read books such as *Patriots*, *One Second After* and *The Anarchist Cookbook*
- They are religious zealots, reading the book of Revelation, speak of the second coming of Christ and plan mass murders to summon the end of the world
- These people grow their own food, raise livestock and plot attacks on commercial food production facilities

Do you see how this tactic works? List common characteristics of libertarian/conservative minded people, then throw in a slanderous accusation. If A and B apply, then you should automatically presume C applies as well. If they were disturbed by the incidents at Ruby Ridge and Waco, then obviously they must celebrate Hitler’s birthday. Officers are being conditioned to assume criminal and terroristic views when politically-incorrect views are observed. As simple-minded and ridiculous as this line of thinking is, there are some officers who unfortunately buy into this.

Another training session I attended two years ago discussed the dangerous of people who have strong views of the U.S. Constitution. One trainer made the statement that “these people actually believe the Second Amendment gives them the personal right to own a gun.” Of course, the trainer failed to mention that our Founding Fathers, as well as recent Supreme Court rulings, verify this view as being completely accurate. The obvious attempt here was to suggest to officers that the Second Amendment does not apply to individual gun ownership and to be suspicious of anyone who holds such a view. It was also stressed to be cautious of anyone who quotes the Constitution and even worse, actually possesses a copy of this radical document. Incredibly, in the United
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States of America today belief in our founding legal principles is now grounds for being labeled a domestic terrorism. Imagine how they would respond to some of the known statements of Thomas Jefferson, Patrick Henry or George Mason concerning the issue of individual liberty and limited government. It is true that one man’s terrorist is another man’s freedom fighter.

There are several things that we, the patriotic, self-sufficient defenders of liberty can do to counter this effort. First, get involved in local elections. Elect county sheriffs who will not fall for such propaganda nor go along with oppressive federal agendas. Elect city council members who will not tolerate such behavior by their city police department. Elect state representatives who will hold state agencies accountable for participating in such tactics. Bring these issues up during elections, demand a public statement on their position on such propaganda and a promise to stand against these efforts while in office.

Second, get to know your local law enforcement officers. It is much more difficult for DHS to brainwash officers against people they personally know. When you are viewed as a neighbor, friend or fellow Christian, these officers are far less likely to submit your name to a terrorist watch list or view you as a potential terrorist. We want local officers to be personally offended when they hear members of their community slandered in such ways.

Third, always be friendly and courteous when speaking to your local officers. Even if that officer has fallen for this propaganda, be sure not to resemble the negative stereotypes labeled to us. After the fifth, sixth or maybe tenth time he deals with one of us, he or she may come to realize we are of no threat to law enforcement or anyone for that matter. Eventually, the officer may attend one of these training sessions, hear the propaganda and say to himself, “This isn’t true, I’ve dealt with many people like this, they are God-fearing, liberty loving Americans, they are not the enemy!”

I hope you find this information useful. Please remember that there are many people in law enforcement that have not, and will never, fall for DHS propaganda. Some of the most patriotic defenders of liberty and believers in self-sufficiency can be found in law enforcement. Officers like me will continue to do our part to fight tyranny from within while the general public can do its part by electing liberty-minded candidates to office and educating their friends and neighbors about issues important to all of us.' ---


Footnotes

land_security_tr.html

Other References

Culled from mainstream news sources to demonstrate that the veracity of what was written in April 2003 wasn't rooted in mysticism, only in the understanding of Machiavellian political science.

[a] Related News added April 02, 2010, updated April 14, 2010: Using this pretext of “Al Qaeda who allegedly tried to blow up an airliner Christmas Day with a bomb hidden in his underwear”, we create this exercise: Personal traits will be used to screen U.S.-bound air passengers, LA Times April 02, 2010, to really create justification for this: LAX to get more full-body screening machines, LA Times March 13, 2010:

'Californians, get ready for your close-up. More of those controversial new full-body airport screening machines are headed for a few LAX terminals in the next two weeks. In all, new units are going online at 11 U.S. airports, including three in the Golden State. The 11 airports chosen in the latest deployment were selected based on the risk of terrorist attacks and how quickly the units could be installed with the least amount of construction, TSA spokeswoman Suzanne Trevino said.'

From ubiquitous Al-Qaeda operatives who look western, to wondrous breast implants packed with explosives which could be used by terrorists to blow up an airliner – one continuous obedience training through fear conditioning, no? Convince People of Absurdities and get them Acquiescing to Atrocities: The Enduring Power of Machiavellian Political Science! Now CNN is even attempting to
rewrite the history of the American Civil War as between “domestic terrorists” and the virtuous North:

'Even if you're a relative of one of the 9/11 hijackers, that man was an out-and-out terrorist, and nothing you can say will change that. And if your great-great-great-granddaddy was a Confederate who stood up for Southern ideals, he too was a terrorist. They are the same. As a matter of conscience, I will not justify, understand or accept the atrocious view of Muslim terrorists that their actions represent a just war. They are reprehensible, and their actions a sin against humanity. And I will never, under any circumstances, cast Confederates as heroic figures who should be honored and revered. No -- they were, and forever will be, domestic terrorists.' -- Were Confederate soldiers terrorists? Roland S. Martin, CNN

What length the government is going to make us afraid of the perpetual threat from Al-Qaeda in order to demonize domestic dissent! Wow, you diabolically brilliant “Islamofascists”; you have miraculously transformed a vibrant and prosperous United States into a 1984-like police-state in less than a decade:


'If enacted, it will advance what this writer addressed in a December 2007 article titled, “Police State America – A Look Back and Ahead,” covering numerous Bush administration laws, Executive Orders (EOs), National and Homeland Security Presidential Directives, edicts, and various illegal acts targeting designated domestic and foreign adversaries, dissent, civil liberties, human rights, and other democratic freedoms.'


'Since the establishment media is convinced that tea party members, 9/11 truthers, libertarians, Ron Paul supporters, and basically anyone with a dissenting political opinion is a likely domestic terrorist, they should be celebrating the fact that a new bill would allow the government to detain such people as “enemy belligerents” indefinitely and without trial based on their “suspected activity”. The “Enemy Belligerent, Interrogation, Detention, and Prosecution Act of 2010,” introduced by Senators John McCain and Joseph Lieberman on Thursday with little fanfare, “sets out a comprehensive policy for the detention, interrogation and trial of suspected enemy belligerents who are believed to have engaged in hostilities against the United States by requiring these individuals to be held in military custody, interrogated for their intelligence value and not provided with a Miranda warning,” writes the Atlantic’s Marc Ambinder.'
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(There is no distinction between U.S. persons--visa holders or citizens--and non-U.S. Persons.)

FAST: The Future Attribute Screening Technology Homeland Security

[ http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WjbK6OE9jaM ]

[d] Related Video link added March 01, 2010: “Judge Andrew Napolitano Natural Rights and PATRIOT ACT”, Campaign for Liberty talk, a must watch for those Americans still caught in the conventional mindset of mainstream news (pt1, pt2, pt3);

– this scribe is un-impressed however that it took the courageous American Judge who routinely appeared on FOX News – the jingoist television channel that led the US invasion of Iraq on WMD pretext, and the perpetual War on Terror on 'invasion from abroad' pretext – more than 6 years to catch up to this plebeian scribe;

– neither this lauded Judge, nor his famous patron saint, the Hon. Ron Paul, can still assert 9 years into the “New Pearl Harbor”, that that the terrorist invasion on 911 could not possibly have been an invasion from abroad, please see: My beef with the stellar congressman Hon. Ron Paul and Open Letter to Hon. Ron Paul Supporters;

– this scribe presciently predicts that both will self-gloryingly do so however in another 20, or perhaps a 100 years in the centralized world government, just as is boldly narrated today by even all and sundry chiefs of empire what is already an irreversible fait accompli: how the native American Indians were diabolically distributed the small pox laden blankets in a genocidal bio-warfare to exterminate them from their own soil, how the Gulf of Tonkin was diabolically fabricated to create a genocidal war on Vietnam, how the diabolical invasion of Iraq to destroy an entire nation was only “intelligence failure”, etceteras;

– the criminal absurdity of all this is the number of thinking Americans who are routinely taken in by such dissent heroes, such as the 911 Truth legions of die hard supporters of Ron Paul when the glorified Congressman outright denies the very axiomatic premise for their existence – the burlesque logic of this is only understandable in Alice in Wonderland;


'Just think about this for a minute. Barack Obama, like George Bush before him, has claimed the authority to order American citizens murdered based solely on the unverified, uncharged, unchecked claim that they are associated with Terrorism and pose “a continuing and imminent threat to U.S. persons and interests.” They're entitled to no charges, no trial, no ability to contest the accusations. Amazingly, the Bush administration's policy of merely imprisoning foreign nationals (along with a couple of American citizens) without charges -- based solely on the President's claim that they were Terrorists -- produced intense controversy for years. That, one will recall, was a
grave assault on the Constitution. Shouldn't Obama's policy of ordering American citizens assassinated without any due process or checks of any kind -- not imprisoned, but killed -- produce at least as much controversy? ... Who knows what the truth is here? That's why we have what are called “trials” -- or at least some process -- before we assume that government accusations are true and then mete out punishment accordingly. As Marcy Wheeler notes, the U.S. Government has not only repeatedly made false accusations of Terrorism against foreign nationals in the past, but against U.S. citizens as well. She observes: “I guess the tenuousness of those ties don’t really matter, when the President can dial up the assassination of an American citizen.”


“One security source said: ‘If the terrorists are talking about this, we need to be ready and do all we can to counter the threat.’ ... These include high specification X-ray equipment that could identify body bombs. But one source with expertise in the field said: ‘They can make as many pieces of security equipment as they like but there is no one magic answer that can spot every single potential terrorist passing through.’ Conservative MP Patrick Mercer, chairman of the Commons Counter-Terrorism Subcommittee, said: ‘Our enemies are constantly evolving their techniques to try to defeat our methods of detection. ‘This is one of the most savage forms that extremists could use, and while we are redeveloping travel security we have got to take this new development into account.’


‘The reaction could be a darting of the eyes, an increased heartbeat, a nervous twitch or faster breathing, he said. The WeCU system would use humans to do some of the observing but would rely mostly on hidden cameras or covert biometric sensors that can detect a slight rise in body temperature and heart rate,” as reported in Raw Story.’

Related Video link added 02/22/2010: “Patriot Act Being Used Against a 16 Year Old Boy & its Own Citizens”

'Granville County, NC -- On March 5 at about 10:00 PM, ten heavily armed FBI agents, accompanied by three local police officers, stormed into the home of an American family and arrested a 16 year old boy... The family has been told they have no rights to see their child and, under the USA PATRIOT ACT, the child has no rights to even defend himself. They claim the Constitution does not apply to this 16 year old, natural born, American citizen.' Amanda Lamb reporting for WRAL, 5 March 2009 (4 minutes) Also WRAL report Mom says Patriot Act stripped son of due process Ashton Lundeby, Video: http://www.wral.com/news/local/video/5050332/

Related Video link added 10/18/09: “There is definitely a threat from within”
'... to weed these people out that are sleepers in our society' -- Counter-Terrorism Expert on the Colbert Report, February 02, 2009 (5 minutes)  

[j] Related Video link added 10/25/09: “What is iwatch”

'It's a way to report suspicious behavior or activity that relates to terrorism. Terrorism is a crime! It is our shared responsibility to keep America safe' -- Voices in paid propaganda advert for TIPS, renamed iWATCH (82 seconds)  
[ http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LcIBRRkG_y8 ]

[k] Related Video link added 10/25/09: iWatch/iReport

'What you have just seen is an example of how your community, local law enforcement, and federal agencies can work together by sharing information to prevent terrorist attacks. The iwatch program depends on you. If you see suspicious behavior, call the number below, or visit the iwatch website. Your community needs your vigilance so we can stop terrorism, before it happens.' -- Brilliant Big-Brother Community Training Film for TIPS, renamed iWATCH (7 minutes)  
[ http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=443SQDA84Gg ]
Part-II

Watch out for Ali Baba: “Al Qaeda operatives who look western”

Heads-up warning to the American Peoples – Nuclear Attack on Iran appears imminent!

Dateline California, March 29, 2008.

Project Humanbeingsfirst cannot humbly emphasize enough the first order most crucial question that must concern all conscionable 'United States persons' today, including courageous genuinely patriotic whistleblowers. The impending nuclear attack on Iran, under all kinds of contrived pretexts, and especially disguised as a “‘defensive’ U.S. military action” (Brzezinski) must be prevented if this is indeed a genuine “populist democracy” for which Brzezinski had observed: “Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization”!

To present a more extensive and coherent analysis than is possible in these Op-Ed pages, the following essay is available from Project Humanbeingsfirst.org: “Beware of Red Herrings on Nuclear Security spun by Hectoring Hegemons and their patsies!”

There must be only one immediate, near term, and long term goal for the equitable security (and prosperity) of all peoples on the planet Earth so long as there remains an imbalance of power among us, so long as there remain 'hectoring hegemons' seeking “full spectrum dominance” among us, and so long as there remains an absence of ‘full spectrum deterrence’ to “the pursuit of power”, for indeed, “hegemony is as old as mankind”: prevent new “defensive' U.S. military action”. Especially one in response to a horrendous 'nuclear terrorist act' transpiring in the United States of America “that will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison” – as President George Bush himself prognosticated on February
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13, 2008, in full anticipation of precisely another catalyzing 911. For what else, other than a nuclear terrorist act in America can be described as making “Sept. 11 pale by comparison”?

A major indication that something is imminently afoot is that the sudden 'Civil War' now raging in Iraq, is about to be directly blamed upon Iran! A weapons cache allegedly of Iranian origin has just been uncovered, as briefly reported on March 29, 2008, in the Pakistani newspaper The News:

“Col. Dominic Caraccilo, commander of the 3rd Brigade, 101st Airborne Division, said the rockets were marked with manufacture dates as recent as 2007, and were believed to have been brought into the country from Iran during a buildup of U.S. troops last year. The U.S. military accuses Iran of arming and funding Shiite extremists in Iraq, although Tehran denies the charges.”

While that war of words and the concomitant Iraqi-fodder of 'Muslim on Muslim' violence in itself is not a sufficient pretext to nuclear bomb Iran, it is consistent with the rapidly developing pattern of escalating warnings of another terrorist act “that will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison”. The 'setup' is in accelerated progress to most assuredly lay the blame for “Iraqi failure to meet [American] benchmarks” upon Iran, and as 'presciently' noted by Zbigniew Brzezinski before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee in 2007, it will be followed by:

“accusations of Iranian responsibility for the failure, then by some provocation in Iraq or a terrorist act in the US blamed on Iran. culminating in a 'defensive' US military action against Iran that plunges a lonely America into a spreading and deepening quagmire eventually ranging across Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan and Pakistan.”

Well, the 'unequivocal evidence' fingering Iran for Iraqi Army's easy to predict defeat at the hands of Iranian backed militias in this American backed 'Muslim on Muslim' violence was just found. Even President George Bush expressed his great satisfaction at this turn of events by noting that it was a “positive moment”, gleefully adding:

“I understand people here want us to leave, regardless of the situation, but that will not happen so long as I’m Commander-In-Chief.”

And this unverified report points to the following ominous headline: 'Saudis Prepare for “Sudden Nuclear Hazards” After Cheney Visit', which if true, requires no further elaboration.

In isolation and without context, all these rapidly breaking events are mere unrelated point events. However, in the light of the none-too-secret White House and Pentagon's overarching agenda, these are not isolated events. They are now culminating in the build-up of pretexts for nuclear attack on Iran!

Do the American peoples want to wait until the matters are a fait accompli?

As William Arkin had reported in the Washington Post three years ago, America can mobilize CONPLAN-8022 in less than 12 hours notice. Quoting Lt. Gen. Bruce Carlson, commander of the 8th Air Force:

“We're now at the point where we are essentially on alert ... We have the
capacity to plan and execute global strikes ... in half a day or less.”

And from all accounts of the enormous mobilization-buildup to attack Iran already in place, as noted in this 2007 study which concluded:

“the US has made military preparations to destroy Iran's WMD, nuclear energy, regime, armed forces, state apparatus and economic infrastructure within days if not hours of President George W. Bush giving the order”.

Which, today in 2008:

'include[s] not only a vast array of weapons, including nuclear weapons, cruise and other missiles and hundreds of aircraft but also “insertion” (invasion) forces and equipment'.

As noted by one observer, that “half a day or less” is today almost near real-time.

And the moment a nuclear terrorist '911' in an American or European city, or a provocation by Israel taking out Iran's nuclear reactor sites, or a 'Gulf-of-Tonkin' or 'USS Cole' or 'Lavon Affair' event that sinks America's entire 5th fleet in the Persian Gulf transpires, the timeperiod will likely be instantaneous!

The American public will be rendered as bewildered at that time as a fawn caught in headlights, and as enraged to go nuclear-bomb the entire planet as any 'ubermenschen' simply because they can get away with it! But interestingly, they won't pick on Russia or China who can fight back. No – they will only pick on a defenseless peoples who dare to standup to “full spectrum” hegemony of the 'hectoring hegemons'. Only the Iranians (and Pakistanis) will bear the brunt of America's wrath next!

Therefore, knowing how the future is likely to unfold in extremely rapid, fast-breaking developments leaving no time to wisely-reflect or to react-sanely at that time by peoples opposed to America's endless wars, time to act is now.

A Domestic Solution Space That Works

Firstly, anything, including all 'nuclear terrorist acts' on American soil, or anywhere in the world, all shocking revelations by whistleblowers, all leaks in newspapers, and all phantasmal mantras that distract from the afore-stated goal, regardless of how compelling the reasons, must be treated by the unwary and gullible American public and its intellectual dissenting-chiefs, as red herrings, pretexts, and deceptions for premeditated “imperial mobilization” by their nation's rulers.

Let the American peoples not be 'surprised' once again by facile disingenuous conclusions like this one in 2005 by the Iraq Study Group in the aftermath of the premeditated invasion of Iraq under false WMD pretexts:

“We conclude that the intelligence community was dead wrong in almost all of its prewar judgments about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. This was a
major intelligence failure”. (Iraq Study Group report, March 31, 2005)

Or even by un-surprising exposés like this one in 2008, titled: “FBI says, 'No Hard Evidence Connecting Bin Laden to 9/11’”, being among the top 25 most censored stories of 2008. It quotes Rex Tomb, Chief of Investigative Publicity for the FBI:

“The reason why 9/11 is not mentioned on Osama bin Laden’s Most Wanted page is because the FBI has no hard evidence connecting bin Laden to 9/11. Bin Laden has not been formally charged in connection to 9/11.”

Secondly, if there is reason for the United States to nuclear decimate any country or any peoples in purported 'self-defense', the American peoples must demand a ratification of the decision to go to war through a public referendum – let its great “populist democracy” speak directly in the modernity of the 21st century before it is called upon to make its sacrifices, before it is called upon to pay its taxes to fund the war, and before it is called upon to acquire innocent blood on its hands!

Even better, draw the soldiers, officers and war-technicians from the pool who vote for war! If they can vote yes to invade other nations and wantonly shatter the tabula rasa of a 'lesser humanity', then they must also first be willing to sign up for doing it themselves – instead of having a draft of economic conscription. Every 'yes' voting home in America must have at least one 'patriot' from the immediate family show up on the front-lines without exception – or their 'yes' vote is void!

A commonsensical and calculated demand such as this publicly made today, right now, to be adjudicated upon in Congressional public hearings and converted into law, is infinitely more sensible for assuring America's national security given the hundred+ year history of deception for “imperial mobilization” by this nation's Executive branch with willing complicity from its entire ruling elite from legislature to newsmedia – as evidenced from the fiction of USS Maine to the fiction of WMDs – and which today rings the entire globe with more than 700 of its military bases eagerly paid for by Congress year after year in the pretense of keeping an “American peace”. FY 2009 budget is to cost the American tax payers $3.1 trillion! Both the Congress and the Executive effectively comprise the same pool of 'hectoring hegemons', with the Judiciary, not espousing the tradition of 'suo moto' action, preferring to remain silent on any and all matters projected as being related to foreign policy matters, politics, or executive privilege under times of 'war'!

And to preemptively understand how such a demand for public referendum – if it were to gain a threatening traction – will surely be co-opted by the Executive branch and willingly aided and abetted by the Congress and the Courts, recall that the 'Continuity of Government' Executive Order can now 'legally' disable this “populist democracy” and its entire democratically elected legislature under emergency powers already appropriated by the Executive. A Martial Law will be declared with the American soldiers (or the privatized profit-making wing of it which goes by the name 'Blackwater') re-training their blood soaked guns from the 'lesser humanity' in the Middle East and South Asia onto the American peoples themselves.
“Al Qaeda operatives who look western”

One can already see that particular 'setup' in active construction even as we speak. Now the domestic threat is no longer perceived to be primarily from 'Arab' looking 'jihadi' men out to terrorize America, but from “Western” looking ones as ominously noted by the CIA:

'[Al Qaeda] were training “operatives who look western” and “would be able to come into this country without attracting the attention others might ... making it easier for them to get past security at US airports, according to CIA Director Michael Hayden. If there is another terrorist attack, it will originate there, [along the border with Afghanistan].’” (Pakistani newspaper Dawn, CIA says Al Qaeda training ‘western’ terrorists, March 31, 2008)

Do you “look Western”? Now it's your turn buddy!

The moment George Bush's, and the CIA's, and the American military's prognostications of another '911' come true, all you 'United We Stand' “western” looking Americans better run and hide quickly under your beds – for who knows which one of you flag-waving 'white-boys' is secretly 'al Qaeda'! Perhaps you all are!

This scribe takes no pleasure in pointing out the 'I warned you so' in his 2003 narrative “They dared to knock on my door” available at http://humanbeingsfirst.org.

It is of course hastily presumed that “look western” means 'white'. It could also possibly mean 'Black', 'Hispanic', 'Chinese', and of course, also 'Arab'. The only thing it surely doesn't mean is 'Pakistani' looking! Great! Should all 'brown-skinned' peoples in America finally breathe a sigh of relief? No – we will be the first ones interned as the already known 'old enemy' under any Martial Law!

It is the free-willed Americans who must now be afraid the most as the new 'enemy combatants', for most of us lowly immigrants escaping from our respective 'hell-holes' to make a better lives for ourselves have already shown our true cowardly 'native-informant' and 'uncle tom' sides to the authorities! The fascists have genuinely nothing to fear from us 'brownies', and they know it ever since Sept. 11 when our mosques to our wedding halls began crawling with FBI agents. It is mainly the free-willed peoples who “look western”, and who are also armed under their 2th Amendment Rights and who might also insist upon their 4th Amendment Rights, that the fascists genuinely fear the most! It would be a pleasure to observe how FBI agents fare among their 'western' churches, synagogues, weddings, and wine parties!

Welcome to the Fourth Reich in America where the new enemy is those who “look western”!

Shrewdly anticipating what the 'hectoring hegemons' will do to thwart a conscionable peoples' imperatives to stay a free peoples, the American peoples must make their own next moves now as outlined above while they still possibly can, and with much alertness, in order to prevent the premeditated orchestration of nuclear “revolutionary times” which will surely become the harbinger of many “inconceivable” operations in the world, including many changes right here in the US of A. Once the nuclear 'Rubicon' is crossed, the “revolutionary times” intended to “birth-pang a new Middle
East” for the “New World Order” will predictably acquire an uncontrollable dynamics of its own right here in the American homeland itself.

Demanding a public referendum before any act of 'retaliation' as a matter of law is the only peacefully-efficacious American peoples' immediate protocol for arresting the cancer of “imperial mobilization” in its tracks with all its concomitant benefits directly accruing to the ordinary peoples of this nation in the longer run – from increased social spending to a safer world for them to vacation in, to proudly disclose in far away places that they are American without the fear of being gunned down. Other collective protocols by the rest of the planet's peoples may not be so benign.

It is the profound and most earnest plea of Project Humanbeingsfirst™ to the American newsmedia to not be co-opted by another 'shocking' USS Maine, another 'Gulf of Tonkin', another '911', and another 'mantra of WMDs' into misleading their own peoples to mobilize for war. Even if, as a leading Pakistani English newspaper's editor once explained to this scribe, 'freedom of the press, in effect, actually means freedom of the owners of the press – worldwide', please exercise at least that freedom to not go to war on the American peoples themselves!

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

---

**Addendum April 06, 2008**

This heads up warning is made even more ominous and abhorrently prescient by the report of April 05, 2008 in the UK Telegraph:

“British officials gave warning yesterday that America's commander in Iraq will declare that Iran is waging war against the US-backed Baghdad government.

A strong statement from General David Petraeus about Iran's intervention in Iraq could set the stage for a US attack on Iranian military facilities, according to a Whitehall assessment. In closely watched testimony in Washington next week, Gen Petraeus will state that the Iranian threat has risen as Tehran has supplied and directed attacks by militia fighters against the Iraqi state and its US allies.” (British fear US commander is beating the drum for Iran strikes, UK Telegraph)
Paul Craig Roberts, the former Assistant Secretary of the US Treasury and former associate editor of the Wall Street Journal, immediately noted:

'The neocon lacky Petraeus has had his script written for him by Cheney, and Petraeus together with neocon warmonger Ryan Crocker, the US governor of the Green Zone in Baghdad, will present Congress next Tuesday and Wednesday with the lies, for which the road has been well paved by neocon propagandists such as Kimberly Kagan, that “the US must recognize that Iran is engaged in a full-up proxy war against it in Iraq.” Don’t expect Congress to do anything except to egg on the attack.' (Petraeus Testimony Next Week Will Signal Iran Attack, Information Clearing House)

It is the earnest and most profound hope of Project Humanbeingsfirst™ that this warning is entirely wrong – that the last nuclear attack on any peoples, and upon any country, under any pretexts, was in 1945!

But if hopes were horses – beggars would ride, and there would have been no 911, and no invasion of Afghanistan, Iraq, and Lebanon, to “Birth-pang a New Middle East”. Therefore, a complacent but “populist democracy” is shown how to rise to the challenge of the 'hectoring hegemons' – demand a public referendum before going to war on Iran!

Both NY Times and LA Times showed no interest in this oped submission. Surely, newsmedia: “either you are with us, or with the [super]terrorists.”

Addendum May 15, 2008

The same act that General Colin Powell had performed before the UN in March 2003 while waving his PowerPoint slideshow of non-existent Iraqi WMDs, was also performed by General David Petraeus in April 2008 before the US Congress by holding up evidence of non-existent Iranian weapons in Iraq. The following press release of May 10, 2008 by CASMII (Campaign against Sanctions and Military Intervention in Iran) titled “US confession: Weapons were not made in Iran after all”, is only remarkable in that it notes the retraction by the US Military before the fait accompli has been constructed. Unlike the one in Iraq, whereby, the retraction only followed two years after the fact of the invasion which was constructed using WMD as a pretext, with the disingenuous Iraq Study Group blithely asserting ex post facto, in March 2005: “We conclude that the intelligence community was dead wrong in almost all of its prewar judgments about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. This was a major intelligence failure.” The CASMII notes the following:
“In a sharp reversal of its long-standing accusations against Iran arming militants in Iraq, the US military has made an unprecedented albeit quiet confession: the weapons they had recently found in Iraq were not made in Iran at all.”

This premature retraction ostensibly implies to the forensic mind that there is, in some likelihood, some dissent within the senior US military establishment on the wisdom of continuing on with “full spectrum dominance” that is bankrupting the United States while making it the most violent pariah nation on the planet. One must however, if one has the geopolitical acumen to understand the diabolical “forces that drive them”, not count on the efficacy of such dissent to avert any of the catastrophic scenarios, including a staged ‘Gulf of Tonkin’, or a staged nuclear terrorist act within the United States, or an Israeli attack on Iran, or an escalating Israeli war in Lebanon and Syria, all of which can lead to “defensive’ US military action against Iran [and Pakistan].”

The Iranian and other Asian leaders would be wise to not underestimate the tortuous power of the ‘Dark-Side’ that is attempting to seed Total War in Asia using America as the ‘hired hand’. See “Letter to Editor Press TV with a message to the Iranian Peoples”, and “The WAR on TERROR 2008 Omnibus Collection”, in order to effectively grasp, with minimal readings, the grotesque reality that is being orchestrated to deliberately seed “revolutionary times”. For indeed, “what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times.” The following insightful analysis by Richard Cook “Has the Battle for America Begun?” lends additional forensic clarity to the “forces that drive them” towards Total War. Rather than continually dismiss the tortuous aspirations for global domination as lunacy, it would be prudent to treat them as real doctrines of conquest by a handful of the world's ruling elite partnering together in a twisted convolution of mutual interests – never to be underestimated for its destructive power upon the weak. Such an assessment, based on full spectrum comprehension of reality, permits the construction of the only effective self-defense by the weak. Why such a self-defense is not transpiring is a puzzle that defies explanation, as noted in “The Missing Link - Full Spectrum Deterrence”.

That deterrent solution-space, according to Project Humanbeingsfirst, is only in the forming of NATO-like Full Spectrum Alliances with an effective “Dr. Strangelove” type Samson Option that is publicly targeted at Israel and Western Europe as a declared self-defense nuclear doctrine, to construct the only viable and effective Deterrence: MAD (Mutually Assured Destruction)!

Only in the insanity of its “sublime irony”, wherein, in the immortal words of Winston Churchill, “safety [is] the sturdy child of terror and survival the twin brother of annihilation”, can there be any assured prospects of defeating the war-mongers before they hand the world a nuclear fait accompli.

This heads-up, originally issued on March 29, 2008, is even more pertinent today under the premise that there may be a covert power-struggle going on within the US military that can make a nuclear fait accompli tactically all the more expedient.
Addendum June 29, 2008

An alleged assassination attempt was made on Nicholas Sarkozy at the time of his departure on June 24 2008, from Israel's most closely guarded institution – the Ben-Gurion Airport. That same day, Israel, the United States, and Iran, all denied rumors of the launching of full spectrum attack on Iran, as narrated by the Jerusalem Post “US, Israel, Iran all flatly deny attack rumor”. See assertions and denials in Jpost, Haaretz, Atimes here, Press TV, Globalresearch here here here, armchair scenarios here here. See Iran's ineffectual bravado of its limitless response as in “the response would have no time and space limitations and would be quite devastating” here here, which is analyzed and deconstructed by this scribe in the as yet unpublished Letter to Editor to Tehran Times and Press TV. Also visit the famous conscience of the US military brass and the IAEA brass who “will quit” if US attacks Iran – some good will that do for the millions of 'wretched of the earth' on the receiving end of a nuclear holocaust! Finally, witness these ominously descriptive words of concern emanating from the Republican Congressman Ron Paul, as reported by Press TV on June 28, 2008, with no formulation of effective prescriptions and merely still restating the supreme monumental crime to be, which most already know:

“In the last several weeks, if not for months we have heard a lot of talk about the potential of Israel and/or the United States bombing Iran. Energy prices are being bid up because of this fear. It has been predicted that if bombs start dropping, that we will see energy prices double or triple,” said the Republican.

“To me it is almost like deja vu all over again. We listened to the rhetoric for years and years before we went into Iraq. We did not go in the correct manner, we did not declare war, we are there and it is an endless struggle,” he told a nearly empty House chamber.

“I cannot believe it, that we may well be on the verge of initiating the bombing of Iran,”

“This resolution, House Resolution 362 is a virtual war resolution. It is the declaration of tremendous sanctions, and boycotts and embargoes on the Iranians. It is very, very severe,” Paul said.

Supported by the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC), House Resolution 362 (and the Senate version Resolution 580), known as the 'Iran War Resolution' can be considered a means of imposing harsher sanctions as well as a naval blockade restricting exports to the oil-rich country.

This bill, which was introduced at an AIPAC annual policy conference, has gained 208 co-sponsors in the House and 29 in the Senate. It will likely be put to a vote after July 4.
“This is unbelievable! This is closing down Iran. Where do we have this authority? Where do we get the moral authority? Where do we get the international legality for this? Where do we get the Constitutional authority for this?” asked Paul.

Instead of such glorious words of endless expression of concern (which are mere repetitions of what was already uttered in the Jan 2007 speech), endless petition writing in conscionable dissent, endless calls for impeachment, more courageous acts of soothing the conscience after the fact, and vacuous bravado of the victims, please refer to Project Humanbeingsfirst's forensic headsup warning and the outlining of the only effective deterrent solution-space which can actually prevent all predatory attacks on all underdogs. Only the immediate re-ushering in of the “sublime irony” in which, once again, “safety will be the sturdy child of terror and survival the twin brother of annihilation”, is there any possibility of effectively turning back from the edge of precipice. There is no other solution apart from “full spectrum alliances” against the hectoring hegemons!

The level and potency of their mantras is far greater than even the victims have perceived. The Zionist 'uber' war-mongering circus clown in the United States, Dr. Daniel Pipes, in his latest screed “The Enemy Has a Name”, re-spins his attack on Islam thusly (with Iran as the newest harbinger of this 'threat' to Western civilization): “vanquish Islamism and help Muslims develop an alternative form of Islam. Not coincidentally, this approach roughly parallels what the allied powers accomplished vis-à-vis the two prior radical utopian movements, fascism and communism.” The insightful debunking by Khalid Amayreh has already dealt with this Goebbellian “manufacturing consent” among the Western populations quite effectively. See the following Project Humanbeingsfirst rebuttal to Daniel Pipe's protégé's parallel cheerleading assault “Response to 'Islam in America's public schools: Education or indoctrination?'”

Sooner the conscionable peoples in the West standing up to the aggression of their own nations, and too the victims now so Machiavellianly divided and reduced to easy pickings, both rationally come to grips with this blatant single fact that the only effective self-defense possible against this level of full spectrum assault is in full spectrum alliance, sooner will all stop wasting time on ineffective pursuits and finally begin to focus on the only real deterrence solution-space.

It is also entirely feasible that Iran has already covertly constructed such a mutual defense pact treaty with Russia (with tacit supply-line assuring economic treaties with China and India). In fact, only the existence of such, can actually explain Iran's irrational bravado in the face of the extreme danger facing their nation. Perhaps it is indeed a devilish setup to obliterate Tel Aviv by goading Israel and America into first ineffectively attacking Iran!

While Zbigniew Brzezinski may have planned the “Grand Chessboard” in the West, his Eurasian targets of the great game actually invented chess. All four of the regions burgeoning powers, Iran, India, China, and Russia, are ancient civilizations far older than the Atlantic powers put together, and are also expert chess players in the very ethos of the richness of their civilizations. Many public parks in Iran for instance, are dotted with stone and wood carved chess tables where ordinary peoples gather daily under the shady trees to spar with each other, bringing their own chess pieces.

Chess is not an acquired skill in Asia, nor is it of recent acquisition, as it is for the West! It is innate to the peoples. The Chinese classic “Art of War” is 2500 years old and still teaches the Rand Corporation how to play their great games! The Israelis would be wise to not forget that, along with
who has continually sheltered the Jewish peoples when the Atlantic Christians were persecuting them for two millennia. Even Uri Avnery, a self professed progressive Jewish leftist, and Zionist, was forced to admit it when he fairly noted in his famous 2006 essay “Muhammad's Sword” (also available here):

'Every honest Jew who knows the history of his people cannot but feel a deep sense of gratitude to Islam, which has protected the Jews for fifty generations, while the Christian world persecuted the Jews and tried many times “by the sword” to get them to abandon their faith.'

The Israeli Jews, the Zionists, and all their genuine exponents worldwide itching to bomb Iran, might reflect on this alternate “war game” scenario before their hubris takes them to their own suicide. Muslims are not the Jews enemies! Step back from the brink if you really are the true inheritors of Solomon's wisdom, and not merely impostors! For this may be the ultimate patsy setup by your own 2000 year old true nemesis!
Part-III

How to derail 'imperial mobilization' and preempt the crossing of the Nuclear Rubicon

April 09, 2008.

It appears that a majority of conscionable peoples opposed to their nation's war mongering for “imperial mobilization” in the guise of fighting the 'war on terrorism', have actually given up on the idea that they can preemptively prevent wars.

The experience of the dismal failure of anti-war demonstrations since 911, and other ineffectual symbolic protests has taught many of us once again that this isn't the Vietnam era of the 1960s. The social control is enormous, the attachments to the pursuit of the elusive 'American Dream' even stronger, and hence all consuming, and despite tethering at the brink of financial bankruptcy, the nation still persists in participating in the 'war on terror', still persists in paying its taxes to fund the war, and still persists in shedding its own blood. But mainly the 'lesser' blood of economic conscription.

Thus in a sense, with rising disparity in wealth and increasing unemployment in the American nation, there is a concomitant supply of recruits right out of high school from among the lesser privileged class, who, for the lure of a signup bonus, or the promise of an education and good living, are not shy of shedding other peoples' blood to get 'ahead' in life. It matters little that if they even come back in one piece physically, they are usually shattered mentally – for then, these 'rejects' of economic conscription and battle fatigue are as dispensable as those whom they had earlier made dispensable. A self sustaining system of recruiting soldiers is being constructed domestically within the United States that parallels the self sustaining system of creating the 'terrorists' to fight the perpetual 'war on terror' against, for the entire slated lifetime of “World War IV”.

It is amazing how politically astute President George Bush was when he dismissed the anemic protests throughout the United States of America before the buildup of war on Iraq in February 2003,
as simply a “focus group”, stating:

“First of all, you know, size of protest, it's like deciding, well, I'm going to decide policy based upon a focus group. The role of a leader is to decide policy based upon the security -- in this case, the security of the people.”

Most conscionable peoples who abhorred the idea of war mongering, at the time made fun of President George Bush – without appreciating the treadmill that had been constructed for them to keep them ineffectively occupied while the 'war on terror' made incremental baby-step progress through small faits accomplis of continually creating more “revolutionary times” in the “Global Zone of Percolating Violence” which made, what was otherwise “inconceivable in normal times”, entirely “possible”. It spanned the gamut of peoples willingly giving up their “essential liberties for a bit of temporary safety” from the ubiquitous terrorists hiding under their beds, to acquiescing to invade other unarmed, disarmed, and sitting-duck nations with the barbarianism of unparalleled “shock and awe”. With all newsmedia cheerleading the war effort, most peoples in America were initially not impacted by the wars being fought 20,000 miles away in some exotic lands of the unknown 'barbarians' who had dared to attack America!

But seven years have now passed, and most Americans are now indeed being impacted even in their daily lives due to the far away battles. With diminishing social spending, as a major chunk of the national budget is going into “imperial mobilization”, which in FY 2009 is slated to be 3.2 trillion dollars, the soaring gas prices that is now past $4.0 a gallon, and the cost of living shooting skywards in sync with rising bankruptcies and housing crises, most peoples have had enough.

And yet, the same handful of conscientious rabble rousers are no where near doing anything different from what they were doing 7 years ago. Most are still clamoring for “impeachment”, still clamoring for mindless protests in streets, and still merely paying lip service to the proverbial dissent on the treadmill of inefficacy. Instead of trying to understand the reasons for their inefficacy and failure, instead of conducting post-mortems to understand the nature of the beast that is driving the train of “imperial mobilization”, or indeed, what “imperial mobilization” really means in practice apart from its bombastic sounding import, and instead of studying its methods and tactics, instead of using Jujitsu and Judo on the opponents in astute gamesmanship on the 'Grand Chessboard', the conscionable peoples have continually worked outside the pale of the very institutional frameworks which the 'hectoring hegemons' have figured out how to exploit effectively to seize the many instruments of 'empire' in order to realize their own objectives of “full spectrum dominance”. At best, some sit as spectators in imposing testimonies by various 'hectoring hegemons' before the Congress, holding merely their placards or courageously shouting “Bring them home! Bring them home!” and “promptly escorted out of the committee room and arrested.” How effective!

Vladimir Putin summed up the achievements of the 'hectoring hegemons' so aptly last year that it is worth repeating to the Americans over and over again:

“However, what is a unipolar world? However one might embellish this term, at the end of the day it refers to one type of situation, namely one centre of authority, one centre of force, one centre of decision-making. It is world in which there is one master, one sovereign. And at the end of the day this is pernicious not only for all those within this system, but also for the sovereign
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itself because it destroys itself from within. And this certainly has nothing in common with democracy. Because, as you know, democracy is the power of the majority in light of the interests and opinions of the minority.”

And yet the dissent space and its glorified dissenting priests still wander-on aimlessly, or perhaps deliberately, condemned for the entire lifetime of World War IV to remain on the treadmill of inefficacy, lost, so to speak, searching for the 'land of Canaan'.

For the past seven years, since 911, not a single institutional frame-work construction was pursued by the dissent space. Some of these ideas were outlined in Chapter 7 of this 2003 book “Prisoners of the Cave.” Not a single post-mortem was conducted to understand why dissent has failed. Yet hundreds, or perhaps thousands of public speeches and gatherings have been enacted, and hundreds of books have been written to make lots of big bucks for a handful of dissenting priests. A majority of these books contain no prescriptions, only rehearsed histories or narratives of various crimes in progress. Yet, not a single institution has been constructed that could become an effective counterpoint to the 'hectoring hegemons', nor any institutional changes vigorously pursued that could efficaciously 'check' the hectoring hegemons' attempts to further their diabolical craftsmanship of “imperial mobilization”.

Only proclamations, platitudes, and bravados is all that is on record from the famed American dissent space and its dissenting priests. As a mere plebeian, I too am equally guilty of failing to stop “imperial mobilization” despite much vigorous 'jihad' on the 'treadmill'. It's high time to end that losing streak on this treadmill of inefficacy that has worked great in favor of the hectoring hegemons don't you think? Indeed, if I was one of them, I'd just love this dissent space! For it simultaneously achieves two purposes: one, it evidently makes little domestic impact on “imperial mobilization”; and two, it gives a convenient illusion to the conscionable peoples who are sure to arise in any community, that they are engaged in serious battle for their 'Civil Rights', for 'World Peace', for 'Justice', for motherhood, and apple pie.

Well let's pull the plug from that treadmill shall we?

The impending Nuclear Attack on Iran is looming ahead, as noted in this ominous analysis: Nuclear attack on Iran appears imminent!

And some 'activist' members of the Congress who oppose the war mongering and invading of other nations on false pretexts, have already resigned themselves to the idea that there is nothing they can do about the imminent attack, and instead, have become content in only issuing what they will do afterwards, even gaining some traction among dissent stream:

“He [John Conyers] is circulating a letter among his colleagues for signatures, a letter addressed to Bush letting him know that an attack on Iran will result in impeachment hearings. LET'S ASK EVERY MEMBER OF CONGRESS TO SIGN ON! I know it seems bassackwards and we want impeachment before a new war, not after, but this is a way for us to show Conyers the support that will be there any time he moves forward.”

Surely the lives and tabula rasa of 70 million peoples in that densely populated region of humanity – the cradle itself of the Western civilization, and among the oldest on earth – cannot be a
foregone conclusion?

Surely this isn't merely a game? A dog thrown on the freeway in Los Angeles gets more sympathy from the entire American nation than the 'lesser' peoples who experienced, are experiencing, and surely will experience – unless the courageous peoples of America and the world put a stop to it – the imperial “algebra of infinite justice”.

There must be a better way than just empty and entirely vacuous threats of what one will do afterwards, ex post facto, such as “impeachment”.

So what, even if this 'magic bullet' was magically unsheathed and lodged into a single instance of monumental criminals after more than 2000 'targets' are obliterated, some with nuclear weapons, in a defenseless nation that possesses no effective deterrence against predatory high-tech nuclear invasion? And these “impeached” criminals will be replaced by another of the same genre of 'hectoring hegemons' soon enough. What one does after the nuclear 'Rubicon' is crossed becomes rather irrelevant to the victims don't you think? Surely it mattered much to the cataclysmic destruction of Iraq and Afghanistan, and the entire “Global Zone of Percolating Violence” from Kosovo to Lebanon, how hard the antiwar protesters yelled in the streets of America? Or that it mattered greatly to the dead Vietnamese, or the forgotten Cambodians, and the even lesser known Laotians, that Nixon left office in disgrace! I can imagine someone suggesting that surely, we ended up saving several millions of the 'lesser' humanity by ending the American killing spree sooner! It would be interesting to see if a restitution court ordered an 'eye for an eye' restitution plus fair compensation for pain and suffering by the standards of the aggressor civilization itself, that whether anyone from the aggressor civilization might still think so!

The entire point must be that the nuclear Rubicon is NEVER ever crossed.

That “imperial mobilization” is itself derailed as the DNA imprint of America's foreign policy abuses upon the 'lesser' humanity. It is perhaps easy to imagine the gravity of the matter if the roles were reversed, and the Iranians, now the new sole superpower, sought regime change in 'the Great Satan' with preemptive 'Deathstar' like 'Phaser' strikes that had no defense known to man? (oops – I just gave away the secret for the next mantra)

As is expressed in this humble letter by Project Humanbeingsfirst to the Journal of 911 studies courageous scientists still busily engaged in figuring out how the towers fell:

“I hope you would also permit me to share this one final lament. I sometimes sense that even well intentioned peoples in the United States often tend to forget that other's dead and destroyed are not mere statistics to their loved ones. Sitting 20,000 miles away from the murderous war zone, it is easy to become engrossed in solving the riddles of 911, or rehearsing interesting histories in conference halls that are already fait accompli. Can the conscionable peoples in this nation at least make it a point to also sometimes imagine, as a shocking thought experiment, that with every falling American bomb upon a 'lesser' peoples, paid for from their own tax dollars, their mom, dad, sister, brother, kids, wife, and the family dog is killed? What courses of action, and with what urgency, would one take then?”

Let's together renew focus on how to efficaciously prevent further “imperial mobilization”
under any pretexts. We have already seen that what worked in the 1960s era as a peoples' mass antiwar movement, has absolutely no traction in the modernity du jour. There are no masses to move.

The following seed idea from the heads-up warning cited earlier is based on one key insight: “Imperial mobilization” is institutional, and all encompassing. Yes a truism of course. But it leads to the following corollary: Any real resistance to “imperial mobilization”, in order to be effective, also has to be institutional, and under institutional frame-works.

If astutely and vigilantly pursued – with 'moves' look-ahead, employing both 'pawn' tactics and strategies as in a geopolitical chess game played on the 'Grand Chessboard' against 'ubermensch' Grandmasters – then it can surely create real impediments to new “imperial mobilizations”. And certainly at this stage of the domestic 'game' when the American peoples are already war-weary, deception-weary, and precariously perched at the brink of financial insolvency. In other words, the conditions are ripe in America for the following to become an efficacious measure, if it can be brought to fruition through proper 'political' planning and resource mobilization before the inevitable “oops, too late!”

“Firstly, anything, including all 'nuclear terrorist acts' on American soil, or anywhere in the world, all shocking revelations by whistleblowers, all leaks in newspapers, and all phantasmal mantras that distract from the afore-stated goal, regardless of how compelling the reasons, must be treated by the unwary and gullible American public and its intellectual dissenting-chiefs, as red herrings, pretexts, and deceptions for premeditated 'imperial mobilization' by their nation's rulers.”

The quoted paragraph above is obviously where the outstanding work already done by many conscionable peoples and forensic detectives over the past several years on analyzing false pretexts for the previous phase of “imperial mobilization” to “birth-pang the new Middle East” based upon the “catalyzing event like a new pearl harbor” of 9/11, and the various “doctrinal motivation” based upon the fictions of WMDs and 'radical Islamism', can be useful.

However, previous expositions of history is not sufficient, even though perhaps a necessary part of the bigger picture, because, the antagonist is not uni-dimensional – but rather 'uber' sophisticated, and diabolically imaginative.

For instance, see the following letter to Messrs. Matthew Bunn of Harvard on his testimony before the Committee on Homeland Security on Nuclear Terrorism in April 2008, and the following re-assessment of the so-called 'shocking' whistleblowing disclosures by the genuinely courageous Ms. Sibel Edmonds that is also occupying the attention-span of the 'dissent space' but the significance of which, in the humble opinion of this scribe, is really that of a political red-herring.

Thus, the new mantras being deftly spun, starting from the 'loose nukes' of Pakistan that will miraculously be hijacked by the 'al-qaeeda', to the presciently proclaimed 'The Day After' as prognosticated by President George Bush himself “that will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison”, are the obvious setups to be blamed upon Iran. Zbigniew Brzezinski had already laid out a plausible scenario in February 2007 while testifying before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. See a...
further outlandishly plausible scenario that can culminate in drawing both Iran and Pakistan into “shock and awe” as the culprit nations, in the following *wakeup call to the Pakistani peoples*.

There are many analyses available from Project Humanbeingsfirst™ at [http://humanbeingsfirst.org](http://humanbeingsfirst.org) that already go into sufficient analytical depth to warrant reproducing any further evidence here that the ‘war on terror’ is a total fabrication diabolically crafted for “imperial mobilization” to achieve “full spectrum dominance” in the guise of fighting 'synthetic terror' that is easily synthesizeable, especially among the Muslims today by “shock and awing” them, maligning their religion, and ensuring that both sides or all sides are kept armed and financed in the ensuing 'Muslim on Muslim' violence. For that constructs the much needed “revolutionary times” further enabling all the necessary pretexts, mantras, and phobias to keep the American and Western peoples scared silly that these stick-wielding antediluvians are their biggest nemesis since Hitler. And these same guys in the Hindu Kush mountains will now perpetrate the next new 911.

This new “catalyzing event” will surely be so shocking – for what else can “make Sept. 11 pale by comparison” – that the Congress, which has a history of almost trivially being arm-wrestled by the Executive branch into 'United we Stand' with them, will authorize a nuclear 'retaliatory' attack as “‘defensive' US military action”.

This is where there is an immediate institutional opportunity for astute intervention by the “populist democracy” which is projected to be “inimical to imperial mobilization” – the hectoring hegemons' worst nightmare:

> “Secondly, if there is reason for the United States to nuclear decimate any country or any peoples in purported 'self-defense', the American peoples must demand a ratification of the decision to go to war through a public referendum – let its great “populist democracy” speak directly in the modernity of the 21st century before it is called upon to make its sacrifices, before it is called upon to pay its taxes to fund the war, and before it is called upon to acquire innocent blood on its hands!”

The quoted paragraph above is what Project Humanbeingsfirst humbly proposes become the new focus of all conscionable peoples on how to institutionally derail “imperial mobilization” from within America by its genuine American patriots. Externally, on the 'Grand Chessboard', it will only be derailed in a détente which will only come about when new “full spectrum alliances” are constructed among the Asian nations for the “full spectrum deterrence” from all foreign marauders. An example of that being the SCO (Shanghai Cooperation Organization) overnight turning into a NATO like alliance – the Asian Treaty Organization – of all the Asian countries. The ruling elite in these nations has so shrewdly been co-opted by the world's sole superpower that even in their own genuine self-defense against foreign invaders, they are unable to unite – when even the lowly buffalos are able to come together to defend their own against any 'hectoring hegemons' of nature.

Thus, staying focussed on the topic at hand, for domestic resistance to imperial barbarianism from within the United States, the idea is that enormous public pressure is immediately put on the Congress to create public hearings to examine the devolution of their powers to declare war, and to seek the pulse of the nation through direct public ratification in a public referendum, before using their
own Constitutional powers to declare (or not declare) war. Please do examine this approach.

This is eminently within the existing Constitutional framework and does not require any Constitutional Amendment, as the Congress is merely creating another 'gating-input' to its decision making. Such a law would also mandate, in order to be practicable, creating credible 'systems' under which such public voting under any proposed 'declaration of war' by the Executive Branch under any circumstance can be affected within 24 to 72 hours – or that order of time-frame. It is the same time-frame under which the Congress itself labors, and thus, seeking external ratification will not hamper its own deliberation when done in parallel, but it will eventually be controlled by external public ratification – by a public whom they purportedly represent anyway under the Constitution.

Today, technologically speaking, such rapid public referendum is not only feasible, but quite practical, whereas it wasn't quite the case in the previous decades. Of course, we will not get into how 'such systems' can also be subverted-type red herring conversations here, except to note that by law, these 'systems' can also be mandated to be open-sourced, with 'legal' and public bodies mandated to oversee the systems, the processes, and the deployment and execution.

Furthermore, while the following may be thought by some as excessive polemics, it can be the real impetus for the 'next move' on the domestic 'Grand Chessboard', so to speak:

“Even better, draw the soldiers, officers and war-technicians from the pool who vote for war! If they can vote yes to invade other nations and wantonly shatter the tabula rasa of a 'lesser humanity', then they must also first be willing to sign up for doing it themselves – instead of having a draft of economic conscription. Every 'yes' voting home in America must have at least one 'patriot' from the immediate family show up on the front-lines without exception – or their 'yes' vote is void!”

The solution-space outlined in the preceding paragraphs is but one institutional approach. There may be others. **But whatever the case, the resistance to “imperial mobilization” must be elevated to the national institutional-level framework somehow.**

And the Congress compelled to setup public hearings on the very subject matter of 'prevention' before the fact, rather than 'reaction' ex post facto, in blanket recognition of their dastardly proclivity to be bulldozed by the Executive, and co-opted by special-interest lobby groups.

Such public hearings can be used to further explore the solution space of how Congress can temper their non-independence from the Executive. And as in the case of the overarching suggestion made here, examine devolving some of their powers to create a limited checks and balance upon their own decision making powers for certain important national decisions through direct public referendum.

This idea also creates a more participative democracy, and without requiring any Constitutional changes (and that is an important consideration for the response times needed here). Additionally, since law making at some point involves the Executive to sign-off, the Congress can examine the devolution as part of their own deliberation process, thus side-stepping the Executive. An outsider can only speculate on the modalities of implementation, and peoples more capable must look at the issues.
involved – but not be deterred by roadblocks that are surely to be thrown ones' way by sophisticated Straussian 'hectoring hegemons' who can construct any 'Noble Lie' and any 'technique of infamy' on the fly. One must be prepared to effectively counter the various and sundry circus clowns and patsies who will incessantly bleat nay-saying on the air.

There may still be time before the cataclysmic nuclear Rubicon is crossed. Although the attack on Iran appears imminent, it is not yet a fait accompli. There is many a slip between the cup and lip – and until that tipping point has rewritten history, the operative principle for the conscionable peoples in the United States of America must be to work on its prevention. Perhaps prominent peoples, prominent scholars and lawyers, and prominent (former) statesmen can be enlisted in this cause with an immediacy and urgency as if the lives of one's own family, and one's own loved pets, depended on it! There are supposedly 46 Congresspersons who are seeking impeachment. Perhaps they can be the beginning.

I long for that day when all nations' foreign policies, trade relations, and all international laws and interfaces are built upon the principle espoused in the Biblical Golden Rule – for what a reign of justice and peace of a thousand years would that be! Truly a “Zion that will light up all the world”. Why not?
Part-IV

Ali Baba's Respectable Helpers

From Left to Right

Response to Chris Hedges' amalgam of half-truths 'A Decade After 9/11: We Are What We Loathe'

September 13, 2011

“Our brutality and triumphalism, the byproducts of nationalism and our infantile pride, revived the jihadist movement. We became the radical Islamist movement’s most effective recruiting tool. We descended to its barbarity. We became terrorists too. The sad legacy of 9/11 is that the assholes, on each side, won.” --- Chris Hedges, Truthdig.com, September 11, 2011, A Decade After 9/11: We Are What We Loathe

What rubbish. I have been convinced for a long time that prominent dissent-artists like Chris Hedges are part of the problem. Why? Because people like him continually lend credence to something called “Islamist movement” and its “most effective recruiting tool.”

With Chris Hedges award-winning brand-name, all websites publish him, including Truthdig.com which incidentally has never published any submission of my original writing that I have ever submitted to them. With his brand-name, Chris Hedges has continually manufactured dissent since 9/11 while retaining the core-lies and core-axioms of empire.
Thus, while decrying “Our brutality and triumphalism”, he manages to lend credence to its counter-insurgency operations against “the jihadist movement” as something existential rather than diabolically manufactured, lamenting: “The sad legacy of 9/11 is that the assholes, on each side, won.”

One side Chris Hedges discloses as: “Our brutality and triumphalism”. That is the truth. Which is the other side? According to Chris Hedges, it is “the radical Islamist movement”! That is a full lie. Together it constitutes a half-truth for perception management. As per a Jewish proverb, a half-truth is a full lie!

The journalist par excellence, Mr. Chris Hedges, in his full lie, did not state the empirical fact that the Western oligarchy is using “Our brutality and triumphalism” to usher in one-world Government by inventing both sides of the Hegelian Dialectic. This fabrication is subsequently openly used to justify global governance – even the Financial Times is calling for it using the Hegelian Dialectic as the most natural justification, and both empiricism and the EU president coldly confirming it.

Perhaps Chris Hedges is only blind? After all, only morons, the deaf, the dumb, and the mute win prizes and accolades from empire... right?

Niet!

Empire also fabricates dissent-chiefs to lead the dissentstream just as much as they fabricate pontiffs to lead psyops dissemination to the mainstream. The are both merely the contrasting tunes of the Mighty Wurlitzer.

It is the Mighty Wurlitzer that spins the yarn that 9/11 was an invasion from abroad reinforcing the core-axioms of the Pentagon, the White House, and the Western State Allies in the ‘War on Terror’, that there is some natural reality to “militant Islam” which attacked America. While echoing that core-lie, the dissent is the blowback mantra, and the bold admission of reactionary excesses that because of “Our brutality and triumphalism, the byproducts of nationalism and our infantile pride”, “We [have] became terrorists too”.

That show of conscience collects many conscionable people in the society around them who also object to “Our brutality and triumphalism”. It is empire which lends these collection-agents respectability and credibility.

Indeed, the reactionary excesses of the sole superpower leading to domestic police-state and international barbarism, is the foundational mantra of virtually all respectable Western dissent. I.e., dissent which is officially anointed and not dismissed outright as ‘conspiracy theory’. In the tightly controlled Left–Right discourse space, it is deemed high-minded scholarship to challenge these reactionary excesses of the sole superpower and to lay them at the doorstep of short-sighted escalation of chauvinistic foreign policies fueled by the war-profiteering motives of its military-industrial complex.

Virtually one hundred percent of what is deemed respectable Western dissent espouses this foundational axiom. It works well because it draws upon selective empiricism couched in omissions to demonstrate its veracity. But a half-truth is still only a full lie. That full lie works like this:

‘The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a
practical point of view, is silence about truth. **By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain”** between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals. But silence is not enough. If persecution, liquidation and the other symptoms of social friction are to be avoided, the positive sides of propaganda must be made as effective as the negative.’ — Aldous Huxley, Preface (circa 1946) to Brave New World, 1931, Harper, pg. 11

Both sides of propaganda are thus put into effect. The mainstream chiefs enact the big lie and repeat it endlessly for the positive side of propaganda. The dissent-chief enact the negative side of propaganda by calculated omission of certain subjects, and by omitting to draw logical conclusions from them because they no longer have to --- the facts have been omitted from the “respectable” discourse space altogether. It is wonderful how this is used to provide the illusion of the free press and free society by both the mainstream press and the so called alternate press:

“The smart way to keep people passive and obedient is to strictly limit the spectrum of acceptable opinion, but allow very lively debate within that spectrum — even encourage the more critical and dissident views. That gives people the sense that there’s free thinking going on, while all the time the presuppositions of the system are being reinforced by the limits put on the range of the debate.” — Noam Chomsky

This is exactly the same controlled-dissent genre of lauded pontiffs of dissentstream spanning the gamut from Messrs. Ron Paul to Noam Chomsky himself et. al. on the Left-Liberal-Libertarian nexus, to Foxnews-Right-wing-Religion-Intelligence-State-worship-Patriotism nexus. I am not sure which compartment Francis Boyle falls into but it is just as systemic there as elsewhere.

I invite Truthdig to publish the examination referenced below written by a Muslim, yours truly, belonging to the 'untermensch' civilization bearing the full brunt of Chris Hedges' admission that “We became terrorists too,” and “We Are What We Loathe”.

Such banal statements can perhaps win Mr. Hedges multiple Pulitzer prizes for their profundity --- precisely because these neither inform nor educate to the degree necessary for unraveling the entire Hegelian Dialectic, lest it spawn a real resistance movement with teeth singularly focussed on the puppetmasters orchestrating the “clash of civilizations”. This style of dissent-lite only enables introducing and sustaining beneficial cognitive diversity for the purpose of defocussing the energies of conscionable peoples – its primary objective – until fait accompli.

This same learned journalist, and his other confreres in the news media, academe and think-tanks, will be writing all about it in the one-world government and laughing their way to more accolades for their ex post facto brilliance. This is the quid pro quo offered by *history's actors* to the scribes for playing along with platitudes and inconsequential punditry:

‘We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you’re studying that reality — judiciously, as you will — we'll act again, creating
other new realities, which you can study too, and that’s how things will sort out. We’re history’s actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.’ — Senior Bush Advisor, The New York Times, October 17, 2004

The real sad legacy of 9/11 is the matrix which intelligent people like Chris Hedges et. al., have woven to keep the American public perpetual prisoners of the cave.

While it is true that the martial military-industrial culture created in the United States can superficially be characterized by “War Is a Force that Gives Us Meaning”, that meaning is neither accorded by its peoples, nor by the unnatural puppetshows they are forced to endure from body-bags to bankruptcies, but enforced upon America by its ruling oligarchy which profits from the mayhem enroute to accomplishing their global governance. The dissent which echoes the axioms of empire is working for the same interests.

Here is a link to my article which takes a forensic look into the Dynamics of Mantra Creation: Islamofascism, to demonstrate the villainous half-truth and outright deception embedded in Chris Hedges' manipulative narrative: Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation. Or more in-depth deconstruction: Anatomy and Architecture of Modern Propaganda Techniques for Psychological Warfare.

I hope that minimally at least, the same websites will publish my forensic counterpoint analysis in response to this emotional fluff piece they have put up on the tenth anniversary of 9/11 so that their vaunted pontiffs like Chris Hedges, if genuinely misled themselves, will become more informed and stop unwittingly mis-informing other people. That's a stretch of course --- for how can an award winning NYT reporter be misled on any matter? Surely the awards aren't for “lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable”?

And the world wonders why Americans are the most ignorant peoples on earth!

These prisoners of the cave can perhaps do with a little bit less protection by the guardian angels of high-morality who decide for them what's fit to print and what isn't. Only the New York Times admits to it openly --- all else are damn liars and hypocrites who do the same under the pretense of freedom of the press. I am sure they also sleep holily in bed. (Reference to Macbeth 5:1:47-49: 'Yet I have known those which have walked in their sleep who have died holily in their beds.‘)

Finally, I hope Chris Hedges will offer a riposte other than his characteristic silence to my analysis if I am mistaken. He had previously replied with the same eloquent exuberance for this challenge: Letter to Editor: Chris Hedges omits key truths in 'It’s Not Going to Be OK' February 04, 2009.

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
Part-V

America's Respectable Keepers of its Conscience – Its Famous Dissent

The Cunning Masters of Dissent and The Dying Songbird

Abstract

Just because someone takes an opposing stance does not necessarily make them a real opponent. The two sides may be in cahoots to corral the public mind, or the opposition may be contrived to put on a stage show, or fabricated to convince those reluctant of the chosen course of action, or co-opted knowing fully well which side of their bread is buttered. They are, whether wittingly as mercenaries and Superman, or unwittingly as useful idiots and stooges, all part and parcel of the gift of Mephistopheles to mankind. How does this gift work? Not by appearing repugnant to its audience so that the gift is instantly rejected by any sound of mind, but by appearing appealing and seductive based on the psyche of each individual, group, and group-think composition. This is so basic a real-world 101, so basic a realpolitik 101, so basic a Machiavelli 101, so basic a street-savvy 101, that the fact that one even has to state it explicitly, and repeatedly, just shows how little the modern public mind understands. How much it has been craftily dumbed down between bread and circuses, despite its well to do productive members often possessing superlative educational degrees and other professional pay-stub earning qualifications. So what is real dissent? What is its purpose?

The whole aim of practical politics of dissent by genuine gadflies to power is to prevent the
future fait accompli that is being engineered by 'history's actors' in the present. This is why genuine dissent, that with real teeth and non-zero efficacy, cannot be permitted to exist and flourish. It is instead replaced with manufactured dissent as an essential part of statecraft itself when the illusion of dissent and public opinion is to be maintained in a 'free' democratic society.

Rehearsing crimes of power after these crimes are fait accompli; after all the barbers in town already know it; after their disclosure as the narrative of official history through FOIA declassification, whistle-blowing and deep-throat leaks, and in posthumous confessional diaries of monumental war criminals, none of which really reveal any real secrets, or only do so ex post facto when it is already a fait accompli; is either the job of the professional historian who relies on officialdom to write the official history pre-sanctioned by power by what it chooses to document and what it chooses to make-believe to posterity, or of manufactured dissent!

Let the twain: a genuine intellectual gadfly vs. fabricated dissent and its useful idiots, not be confused with each other!

The latter is the Superman who echoes the axioms of power underneath his supercilious dissent with it, or tells the obvious truth ex post facto, both to the applause of the instruments of power itself, while collecting all the well-intentioned activists and consciences around him. He is the collection-agent of power. His con-job is to ensure that dissent does not stray too far from home. The world is full of the latter, a modern necessity to complement the manufacturing of consent; to cunningly constrain dissent within acceptable limits when dissent is permitted in a 'free' society.

This brilliant Superman herdsman often comes anointed with super advanced degrees, titles, accolades, is well-published, most cited, and speaks with an MIT, Harvard or Oxford accent. He is as powerful in his dissenting “United We Stand” message to his tiny herd as the Superman orator is in his “United We Stand” message to the mainstream herd. The Superman herdsman of dissent is the more brilliant twin in the Hegelian Dialectic of manufactured consent vs. manufactured dissent.

Manufactured dissent is also easy to spot. It almost always states the obvious – rather than the un-obvious. It cleverly keeps the real secrets secret or obfuscated by not going there. It focusses on the effects and stays silent on the cause. It usually also runs with the foxes while hunting with the hounds. It happily eats from the same plate that it purports to spit into. And the most avant-garde of the lot even run with infantile absurdities to make all dissent appear infantile and absurd in the eyes of the
mainstream public, lest the latter inadvertently stray from their own home pastures. And since Adolph Hitler had empirically demonstrated the truth of his statement: “The great masses of people will more easily fall victims to a big lie than to a small one. Especially if it is repeated over and over.”, the Superman of dissent too repeats the same big lie of the establishment upon which consent is being engineered among the masses!

For instance, just look for all those who share the common establishment “truth”: OBL and Al Qaeda successfully invaded the most armed to the teeth superpower in the world on 9/11, magically hijacked four airliners in the air with box-cutter knives within a matter of an hour, rammed them into two tall buildings and magically demolished three in a feat of demolition which before that day had never been carried out in the entire written history of mankind. All this was planned and orchestrated by an Islamofascist Ali Baba from the Hindu Kush mountains armed to the teeth with cellphones and AK-47s, in collaboration with an illusive database named “Al Qaeda”. The names of the believers of this fantastic fable in the who's who of dissent is surprising. These include some of the biggest and most celebrated names in dissent to keep company with the Neo-cons, the Pentagon, the State Department, the World Bank, the IMF, the Council on Foreign Relations in New York, the Royal Institute of International Affairs in London, the United Nations and all its member countries, and the Bush-Obama Administrations: Noam Chomsky, Francis Boyle, the late Howard Zinn, former Congressman Ron Paul, Congressman Dennis Kucinich, Scott Ritter, Dennis Halliday, Michael Moore, Helen Caldicott, Robert Fisk, John Pilger, Greg Palast, Amy Goodman, Daniel Ellsberg, Nelson Mandela, Arundhati Roy of India, Tariq Ali and Pervez Hoodbhoy of Pakistan, etc.

Once the big lie is cleverly conceded to officialdom without question, all dissent with empire's barbaric acts against its proclaimed enemies is effectively made futile: “either you are with us or with the terrorists” (George W. Bush). Because, as the empire is now given the license to arguably claim, it is only protecting itself from the diabolically brilliant and most superior foe that is even able to penetrate the strongest superpower on earth's super militarized defenses on its own native soil! Then dissent all you want – so long as you keep that core lie intact in all your adumbration: “No thank you. We can let him know that the people of the world do not need to choose between a Malevolent Mickey Mouse and the Mad Mullahs.” (Arundhati Roy). The argument is cleverly moved away from forensically examining the crime as Sherlock Holmes might do, to the best way to deal with the criminals by presupposing who the criminals are: 'they attack us because we have been over there ... I am suggesting that we listen to the people who attacked us ... ' (former congressman Ron Paul). Thus both, the establishment chiefs manufacturing consent, and the dissent chiefs manufacturing dissent, end up continually reinforcing the same presuppositions of the system, the same big lie; the former by openly advocating the big lie, the latter by openly refraining from challenging the big lie. Both are propagandists; the former by commission, the latter by omission. British essayist Aldous Huxley captured the implication of silence and the crime of omission most elegantly in his Preface to Brave New World:

‘The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more
effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals.’ — Aldous Huxley, Preface (circa 1946) to Brave New World, 1931, Harper, pg. 11

That is how the Superman herdsman leads the pack of useful idiots in manufactured dissent. He craftily lowers the “iron curtain” of ignorance between the masses and such facts or arguments as the system regards as undesirable or necessary to enforce. And he cleverly echoes the core lies of empire in toto, or presupposes them in his argumentative and tedious dissent. The focus is most craftily shifted from the crime to ex post facto anti-war critique of empire's “imperial mobilization” – after “imperial mobilization” is a fait accompli:

“Of course as I told you, I never believe the government, or rarely believe the government. Do I believe the government version of what happened? Well, I am skeptical. Do I believe that the government was in the conspiracy to do this? I don't know. I don't know enough about the situation, and the truth is, I don't care that much. That's past. ... the whole argument that the people are engaged in, about, was the government behind a conspiracy to blow up the two towers, to me that's a diversion from what we really have to do, deal with the fact that whatever, whoever was behind 9/11, the government took advantage of that, to take us to war, and to put us on a disastrous course, and it's that war, those wars, that disastrous course we have to deal with. I don't want to go back to the controversy that I think is endless controversy, and just gets in the way of dealing with the immediate situation.” (Howard Zinn, November 18, 2008)

It is not merely a lucky coincidence for empire that the most prominent leaders of dissent all inevitably retain the big lie of empire intact, each according to their own genius mind. From the tag team of Noam Chomsky and the late Howard Zinn on the left, to the tag team of former US congressman Ron Paul and Fox News anchor Glenn Beck on the right – and a hundred and ten lauded names in between – the empire has the full gamut of respectable dissent field covered. There is something for every malcontent in the 31 flavors of dissent.

When brilliant antipodes, like the “vulgar propagandist” Prof. Bernard Lewis, and his nemesis, “arguably the most important intellectual alive”, Prof. Noam Chomsky, agree on a sacred “truth” of empire, then those with an iota of neurons still firing on all cylinders are provided the opportunity to ponder the non sequitur. A WWF wrestling game being broadcast on all channels: in the lower right hand corner is empire's greatest scholar from empire's greatest university, Princeton, and in the upper left hand corner is empire's greatest detractor from empire's greatest technion, MIT.... ; hmmm...., sounds like they both work for the same bosses and consent is being engineered with “Operation Canned Goods” copycat of the Third Reich. It too had given Mein Führer the propaganda pretext to “goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers.” (Robert H. Jackson at Nuremberg) But then: “How fortunate for governments that the people they administer don't think.” (Adolph Hitler)

The Third Reich had in fact mobilized its entire Reichsdom on precisely this acutely pathological observation of people; itself fabricating the “conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being” (Zbigniew Brzezinski, The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and its Geostrategic Imperatives, 1996) with its “Operation Canned Goods” that gave the
German public their own “pearl harbor”; and Adolph Hitler: “a propagandist reason for starting the war”.

Mein Führer, by his own admission, well understood the victor's primacy imperative that can never imagine defeat at the peak of its own hubris: “The victor will not be asked afterward whether he told the truth or not. In starting and waging a war it is not the right that matters, but victory.” (Adolph Hitler, quoted by William Shirer)

That Machiavellian modus operandi for engineering the public mind borrowed from the Third Reich is unfortunately not the end of it. Some convolutions are added to the establishment's “truths” to make discovery a tad more confusing than the aforementioned deconstruction recipe of shrewdly examining who else is echoing the big lie in conjunction with the establishment functionaries. To Machiavellianly preempt the eternal skeptics of establishmentarian “truth” irrespective of who brings it to them; to cleverly defocus their expected intransigent resistance to “imperial mobilization” by making them run on treadmills as otherwise “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization” (Zbigniew Brzezinski, op. cit.); a flavor of manufactured dissent also dissents with the establishment's own “truths”.

This equally diabolical breed of Superman gadfly deliberately introduces plausible sounding false “conspiracy theories” among the skeptics and the diehard recalcitrants. It is even pedantically referred to as inducing “beneficial cognitive diversity” in favor of the establishment. To see through their snake-oil takes a bit more sophistication and a mind attuned to the vagaries of power and its many incantations.

Which is why the majority of well-intentioned activists who had previously escaped from the underground dungeons of the manufacturing consent factory are routinely trapped by this new elitist collection agency! It is elitist because it is often composed of the intellectual elite and the self-proclaimed avant-garde in intellectual thought who feel they are ahead of the herd if they don't buy the establishment's lies. Adolph Hitler perceptively understood this skeptical public mind and typecast it as the second majority group in a nation: “Second, those who no longer believe anything;”. The first and largest majority group he had observed are: “First, those who believe everything they read;”. Hitler wrote in Mein Kampf about the second group (the Type-2 demographics, the dissenting crowd of skeptics who believe nothing from official sources):

“The second group is numerically smaller, being partly composed of those who were formerly in the first group and after a series of bitter disappointments are now prepared to believe nothing of what they see in print. They hate all newspapers. Either they do not read them at all or they become exceptionally annoyed at their contents, which they hold to be nothing but a congeries of lies and misstatements. These people are difficult to handle; for they will always be sceptical of the truth. Consequently, they are useless for any form of positive work.” (Adolph Hitler, Mein Kampf, Vol. 1, Chapter X)

Hitler's phrase, “useless for any form of positive work”, was most perceptive – for these are the easily swayed audience by the baseless “conspiracy theories” invented by the agents and assets of the establishment. This audience, dominated almost entirely by the same most vocal peace activists and rabble rousers who march emotionally in anti-war protests on weekends and holidays, achieves
precisely the intended purpose of the establishment: they foolishly defocus the energies of dissent from homing in onto the first cause of war-faring dystopia and the real criminals who pull the strings for its fabrication from behind the facade of elected governments.

It is neither the acme of excellence to predict thunder after witnessing lightening, nor to herald the arrival of winter after seeing the falling autumn leaves. Nor is it the acme of excellence to 'see victory only when it is within the ken of the common herd.' Neither is it the acme of excellence 'if you fight and conquer and the whole Empire says, “Well done!”' And Sun Tzu goes on in the Art of War: 'To lift an autumn hair is no sign of great strength; to see the sun and moon is no sign of sharp sight; to hear the noise of thunder is no sign of a quick ear.'

The acme of excellence for the genuine intellectual gadfly is to point the path to the unobvious before anyone else can see it. To be the 'chief doubter of systems, of power and its incantations', to be a 'witness to their mendacity', to not fit 'onto any role that might be assigned to him', nor fit into 'any of the histories written by the victors'.

In the age of universal deceit it is rare to find such an un co-opted mind that is also free from the cobwebs of conformist thought. It is even rarer to find anyone among the public who would believe him in his own time when something can be done to interdict the non-kosher plans of the 'history's actors'. The genuine gadfly to power is almost always either ignored, marginalized, or administered the hemlock which he drinks with great relish.

By himself, the genuine gadfly is the lonely songbird with a droplet of water in its beak rushing to douse the great fire lighted by Nimrod. By keeping it isolated and lonely, by preventing the drop from becoming a deluge, the songbird is shrewdly protected from realizing its aim.

All the freedom of speech in vacuum and one still dies of asphyxiation!

It is not the freedom to speak, but the freedom to be heard that is denied to the songbird. The journey of mankind from tyranny to tyranny is paved on the songbird's unheard songs. An empirical truism that is reflected in both: the fate of prophets of antiquity who were the 'chief doubter of systems, of power and its incantations' and 'witness to their mendacity', easily abandoned by their own peoples when not killed or exiled by the rulers; and the fate of prophets of modernity for whom more creativity has been brought to bear in keeping with the more
sophisticated times.

New mental illnesses have been coined in the DSM handbook of psychiatry to consign the latter day gadfly to state hospitality, defined as suffering from 'oppositional defiant disorder' exhibiting a pattern of 'negativistic, defiant, disobedient and hostile behavior toward authority figures'. New legal entitlements have been framed to label anyone who challenges power, as the 'terrorist', for what else but to share in that same fate to the great applause and patriotic gratification of the common herd.

In effect, we are back to the early crossroads of the Roman Era in our twenty-first century, and the world turned into a giant coliseum of entertainment for the masses. All roads today lead to one-world government – the empire of the oligarchy.

In the meantime, the dying songbird sings on unheard – while manufactured dissent lives on under establishment cover cornering both the dissent publishing market and the scholarly citation market with lame rehearsals of the obvious and the absurd; and history's actors continue to engineer future history unhindered.

Ex post facto, the onlookers will become the new standard patriots just as Mark Twain captured it: “In the beginning of a change, the patriot is a scarce man, brave, hated and scorned. When his cause succeeds, however the timid join him, for then it costs nothing to be a patriot”. Costs nothing to be a patriot: the hallmark of manufactured dissent! It even makes a pretty good living under establishment cover peddling the study of what the history's actors leave behind.

George W. Bush's White House senior advisor had captured this grotesque reality most unabashedly for the New York Times correspondent in 2004:

'...“We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors ... and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.”...' (Ron Suskind, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004)

The future generation's manufactured dissent will use today's songbirds' songs as gospel truth written in god's own hand writing. It will rehearse these songs of truth ad nauseam to lead its own choir anew in immense sense of patriotic gratification just as it does today, cunningly ignoring the songbirds of its own time. Rehearsing history while echoing the core big lies and axioms of powers du jour will remain its claim to profession as well as fame just as it is today. That is, if dissent is still permitted in the George Orwell's world under construction.
The trend, however, appears to be more inclined in the long term towards the world prognosticated by Aldous Huxley where dissent is outright redacted from the very DNA of the standardized humanity. People made to actually enjoy their own servitude. The German philosopher Goethe had aptly summed it: “None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free. The truth has been kept from the depth of their minds by masters who rule them with lies. They feed them on falsehoods till wrong looks like right in their eyes.”

Even the common herd can feel the penetrating signs of it approaching faster than the hijacked airliners that ram into tall buildings without interdiction in the most armed to the teeth superpower in history – at the American airports for instance where very intelligent peoples in the most industrious and creative nation on earth continue to quietly subject themselves to indignities in the name of freedom which no one in their self-respecting mind ought to really subject themselves to. Pretty soon, most will even be happy doing it – if many aren't already!

A world without the songbird approaches even faster.

**Epilogue**

Case Studies of Top Ten Gatekeepers of Dissent from Left to Right among both the Western Massa class and its carefully cultivated useful idiot Eastern House Nigger class are in the sequel: Songbird or Superman – You Decide!

**Endnotes**


[4] For the role of genuine gadfly to power as moral agents of change see Vaclav Havel's full quote in Responsibility of Intellectuals – Redux by Zahir Ebrahim.


[7] Just because someone takes an opposing stance does not necessarily make them a real opponent. The two sides may be in cahoots to corral the public mind, or the opposition may be contrived to put on a stage show, or fabricated to convince those reluctant of the chosen course of action, or co-opted knowing fully well which side of their bread is buttered. They are, whether wittingly as mercenaries and Superman, or unwittingly as useful idiots and stooges, all part and parcel of the gift of Mephistopheles to mankind. How does this gift work? Not by appearing repugnant to its audience so that the gift is instantly rejected by any sound of mind, but by appearing appealing and seductive based on the psyche of each individual, group, and group-think composition. This is so basic a real-world 101, so basic a realpolitik 101, so basic a Machiavelli 101, so basic a street-savvy 101, that the fact that one even has to state it explicitly, and repeatedly, just shows how little the modern public mind understands. How much it has been craftily dumbed down between bread and circuses, despite its well to do productive members often possessing superlative educational degrees and other professional pay-stub earning qualifications.

[a] For some arguably rich examples of respectable manufactured dissent from Left to Right who live and thrive under establishment cover and benefaction, see Songbird or Superman – You Decide! by Zahir Ebrahim, http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2013/09/songbird-or-superman.html.

[b] More contemporary examples of both respectable and outlandish manufactured dissent can be found in: Manufacturing Dissent: Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science by Zahir Ebrahim, http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/p/manufacturing-dissent.html; its Preamble section excerpts at length from Mein Kampf to examine Adolph Hitler's insightful characterization of the three types of public mind that is brilliantly harnessed by Western statecraft today for engineering consent.

[c] The case of Paul Craig Roberts, the former Assistant Secretary of the Treasury in the Reagan Administration, an economist and self-proclaimed “Father of Reaganomics”, a former editor and columnist for the Wall Street Journal, Business Week, and Scripps Howard News Service, and the darling of the Christian white man's dissent with the establishment now that Jews have replaced their former tormentors in running the world show, is particularly illustrative of both manufactured dissent as well as dissent for narrow vested interests; see: Rebuttal to Paul Craig Roberts': 'Washington Arrogance has Fomented a Muslim Revolution' by Zahir Ebrahim, http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/12/letter-paul-craig-roberts-rebuttal.html.

[d] The case of the distinguished former New York Times journalist Chris Hedges is similarly telling, as yet another former highly prized establishmentarian gratuitously echoing the axioms of empire in his new role as the dissenting conscience of America. What Chris Hedges cleverly omits and what he posits in his desire to soothe his conscience is deconstructed in: Response to Chris Hedges' amalgam
Ali Baba Arrived in America


[e] The case of the distinguished Dr. Francis Boyle, the Hans Morgenthau student, lawyer and political scientist extraordinaire, groomed at Harvard and University of Chicago, serving as the axial pivot of egregious dissent against the villainy of imperial powers at the International Criminal Court of Justice and the World Court in the Hague, is even more illustrative. Like his Jewish confrere Prof. Noam Chomsky, the good Samaritan Christian too retains the core lies of empire even while bringing criminal charges for torture against its visible helmsman at the Hague. A mental midget or a brilliant Superman? It is always instructive to adjudicate for oneself. See Zahir Ebrahim's Response to Francis Boyle's '2011: Prospects for Humanity?' – Unlimited Imperialism and Nation-States but no Secret Rule by Oligarchy for World Government!, http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/01/resp-francisboyle-2011-prospects-for.html.

[f] Some illustrative examples of manufactured dissent that is really only an articulation of the white man's burden having been taken over by another more “superior race”, and the grapes are now sour for the former “superior race”, see: The White Man's Burden appears Uniformly Distributed among Jews Christians and Atheists – how can one tell the difference? by Zahir Ebrahim, http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/02/white-mans-burden-uniformly-distributed.html.


[h] For understanding co-option which is the first prime-mover motivation for ordinary peoples to fake dissent, play controlled dissent, stay silent, or utter gibberish inducing “beneficial cognitive diversity” into the global conversation to once again diffuse or channel the opposition towards ineffective cause célèbre, see The Art and Science of Co-option by Zahir Ebrahim, http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2014/08/what-have-i-learnt-zahir-ebrahim.html.


Noam Chomsky has contributed a great deal of meaningful vocabulary to dissent, such as 'worthy' vs 'unworthy' victims, throughout his extraordinary life of dissent. His insightful observations on thought control that become subliminal include:

Quote Noam Chomsky

‘This “debate” is a typical illustration of a primary principle of sophisticated propaganda. In crude and brutal societies, the Party Line is publicly proclaimed and must be obeyed — or else. What you actually believe is your own business and of far less concern. In societies where the state has lost the capacity to control by force, the Party Line is simply presupposed; then, vigorous debate is encouraged within the limits imposed by unstated doctrinal orthodoxy. The cruder of the two systems leads, naturally enough, to disbelief; the sophisticated variant gives an impression of openness and freedom, and so far more effectively
serves to instill the Party Line. It becomes beyond question, beyond thought itself, like the air we breathe.’

‘Democratic societies use a different method: they don’t articulate the party line. That’s a mistake. What they do is presuppose it, then encourage vigorous debate within the framework of the party line. This serves two purposes. For one thing it gives the impression of a free and open society because, after all, we have lively debate. It also instills a propaganda line that becomes something you presuppose, like the air you breathe.’

‘The smart way to keep people passive and obedient is to strictly limit the spectrum of acceptable opinion, but allow very lively debate within that spectrum – even encourage the more critical and dissident views. That gives people the sense that there’s free thinking going on, while all the time the presuppositions of the system are being reinforced by the limits put on the range of the debate.’

End Quote

What else should one conclude of a public intellectual who makes the aforementioned most perceptive observations to critique the narrative control of status quo, and then practices the same Machiavellian maxims in his own controlled dissent against the same status quo? Let's use the English language dictionary to help guide us:

Dictionary Definition of **hypocritical**

*adjective*

1: behaving in a way that suggests one has higher standards or more noble beliefs than is the case.

Dictionary Definition of **hypocrite**

*noun*

1: a person who puts on a false appearance of virtue or religion
2: a person who acts in contradiction to his or her stated beliefs or feelings

*synonyms*: pretender, dissembler, deceiver, liar, pietist, sanctimonious person, plaster saint;

*informal*: phony, fraud, sham, fake

*usage*: “I've been made to feel inadequate my whole life by someone who turns out to be a total hypocrite”

Virtually every public intellectual with few exceptions who critique empire's burlesques, ahem, its excesses, employs the state's own fundamental presuppositions in their dissent. As for instance, in our own modernity, that the “war on terror” is real because 9/11 was an invasion from abroad by “Islamofascist terrorists”. Then, **like the air we breathe**, the presupposition becomes the silent and unnoticed backdrop of all public conversations by both supporters as well as detractors of empire's actions at home and abroad. Now, in the presumably free societies under assault, mainly those in the West but also the emerging democracies copying the West, one can go freely about critiquing empire's
criminal enterprise of wholesale bombing of defenseless nations in the name of self-defence while the core axiom upon which it is based remains unchallenged. Pakistan, for instance, bombs its own peoples in its tribal belt under the same rubric of fighting the “war on terror” in the name of its own self-defence. The United States takes enacts draconian police-state laws against its own denizens using the same pretext. The world follows suit.

Naturally, there will never be any efficacy in such toothless dissent against the self-sustaining exercises which are precisely designed to create more terror by the ever increasing new innocent victim populations whose entire families have been slaughtered from the skies, and hence more “war on terror” when they rise up in their own self-defence using the only weapon available to them to avenge their loved ones, creating an endless self-sustaining war that is exemplified by on-going worldwide insurgency vs counter-insurgency against now organic terror that is continually seeded in manufactured terror. This is indeed the empiricism we witness.

The exercise of this style of dissent provides a convenient collection ground to gather all the Type-2 who are bothered by all this senseless slaughter of innocent civilians, who now freely exercise their lungs to let off some steam on weekends and in weekly op-eds and in money-making books under the illusion that there is “lively debate within that spectrum – [which] even encourage[s] the more critical and dissident views.” It gives “people the sense that there’s free thinking going on, while all the time the presuppositions of the system are being reinforced by the limits put on the range of the debate.” Furthermore, it yields great propaganda value for the virtues of Western style liberal democracy over autocratic governments because this exercise “gives the impression of a free and open society because, after all, we have lively debate.”

Respectable dissent publications are now taught in schools and universities to new generations to teach them “critical thinking” skills (sic!) which retain the core presuppositions of the system while spinning respectable theories of empire, such as The Road to 9/11: Wealth, Empire, and the Future of America, 2007, by Peter Dale Scott. At election times, the carefully crafted leaders of dissent guide their Type-2 flock on where to vote, with and without illusion, but vote nevertheless, as opposed to withdrawing their public consent altogether for the facade of democratic elections that promise change but never does.

You kill many birds with Noam Chomsky style erudite dissent employing the same maxims of engineering consent that he has himself explained!

Machiavelli was invented in the West. So was its Liberal Democracy which legally permitted dissent. And thus Controlled Dissent became part of this style of statecraft. Without understanding the political philosophies that drive the theology of Western liberal democracy, its control systems, which include dissent, the Mighty Wurlitzer, and the Hegelian Dialectics, cannot be understood. These wrap the public mind in convolutions that defy not just the common man's imagination, but also the obsequious intelligentsia's that looks for favors and livelihood from the very system that it critiques. And the intelligentsia that is all too well read, easily becomes so invested in its own successes that it chooses to self-police rather than risk its livelihood and cult-like celebrity stature that comes by staying within the limits carved out by the system.

see Response to Press TV's Interview with Noam Chomsky 'No change coming with Obama', by Zahir Ebrahim, http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/01/respto-chomskys-nochange-obama.html;
see Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy! By Zahir Ebrahim, http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/not-voting-is-yes-vote-to-reject-system.html;
see The Art and Science of Co-option, op. cit.


[9] The epithet “arguably the most important intellectual alive” is establishment's mouthpiece, The New York Times, anointing Noam Chomsky. The incestuous self-reinforcement of imperial “truths” among these Superman and the instruments of the establishment who also principally share the same racial and tribal heritage, each playing their own assigned role in the Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent, should no longer be surprising. See subsection Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent, endnote [2], op cit.


[13] To understand the motivation for Pavlovian training of Americans at US airports beyond the

watch BBC documentary on Edward Bernays at: http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/p/propagandism.html#Edward-Bernays;
listen to sociologist and essayist Aldous Huxley explain the elements of the “Ultimate Revolution” at the University of California, Berkeley, in 1962, http://archive.org/download/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution_64kb.m3u:

'we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me, the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.'
Ali Baba Arrived in America

dthis page is intentionally blank
Chapter 16

Operation Gladio Watching Over America

Ali Baba Invades America on 9/11: Prove to me that the 9/11 Narrative is a Big Lie

Abstract

There are mainly two global schools of thought today on what transpired on September 11, 2001. The first says evil Muslims under the leadership of Osama Bin Laden and an organization called Al-Qaeda, principally carried out that catastrophic terror act on American soil. Minor variations salt that narrative spanning the gamut of plausible sounding arguments from anticipated blowback of iniquitous global policies of the superpower to conspiratorial covert blessings and/or active collusion of the Western intelligence apparatuses, including that of the United States, Israel, Pakistan and others. The majority of the world's officialdoms, and the public mind, have accepted the unsalted version. Today that narrative advanced by the superpower and its surrogates underwrites history books, law books, policy prescriptions, fine literature, and even
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melodrama as an accepted historical fact. The salted variations mainly sell
books. The second school of thought says that the entire first narrative can be
nothing but a Big Lie and all its salted versions deliberate red herrings which
principally serve to sanctify the Big Lie. This article underwrites the latter
argument by advancing the logic method known as reductio ad absurdum on
empirical evidence. It is a rational method used in court systems worldwide
which any ordinary man and woman of sound mind capable of serving for jury
duty can employ on their own to separate fact from fiction. No judge dare reject
this forensic technique unless he is running a kangaroo court --- which is
perhaps why no legal mind has to date dared to bring this most straightforward
argument before the International Criminal Court System in the Hague despite
all their vocal dissent as the brilliant consciences of the world. It is the one sure-
fire proof of the Big Lie that can immediately lead to sleeping with the fishes
for anyone presenting it with any effective measure of legal visibility. That
crucial omission is the veritable indicator of the self-serving moral corruption of
the finest intellectual minds today, or when innocent of that charge, perhaps
their complete indoctrination. The global impact of this co-option is that the Big
Lie of the twenty-first century is rapidly getting sanctified as “whole truth” with
no moral giants (or even midgets) effectively standing in its way.

The proof is quite elementary; requires at best high-school level reasoning skills to analyze on
the anvil of logic and empiricism alone; no faith is required. And no Ph.D. is required either. What
follows is the most fundamental argument. It is both necessary and sufficient, meaning complete, to
demonstrate the assertion of the Big Lie of 9/11.

Let's start with the dictionary definition:

**Big Lie**

*noun*

a false statement of outrageous magnitude employed as a propaganda measure
in the belief that a lesser falsehood would not be credible.

**Matching Quote**

“... All this was inspired by the principle--which is quite true in itself--that in
the big lie there is always a certain force of credibility; because the broad
masses of a nation are always more easily corrupted in the deeper strata of their
emotional nature than consciously or voluntarily; *and thus in the primitive
simplicity of their minds they more readily fall victims to the big lie than
the small lie*, since they themselves often tell small lies in little matters but
would be ashamed to resort to large-scale falsehoods. It would never come into
their heads to fabricate colossal untruths, and they would not believe that others
could have the impudence to distort the truth so infamously. Even though the
facts which prove this to be so may be brought clearly to their minds, they will
still doubt and waver and will continue to think that there may be some other explanation. For the grossly impudent lie always leaves traces behind it, even after it has been nailed down, a fact which is known to all expert liars in this world and to all who conspire together in the art of lying. These people know only too well how to use falsehood for the basest purposes.” --- Adolf Hitler (1889–1945), Mein Kampf, Vol. I, Ch. X, Why The Second Reich Collapsed, tr. James Murphy, http://gutenberg.net.au/ebooks02/0200601.txt . See sidebar.

So let's unpack the Big Lie of 9/11 from first principles: empirical observation on available data. Watch this demolition of WTC-7 at approx. 5:20 pm on September 11, 2001 – the third WTC tower to experience catastrophic destruction on that day in infamy; but it is not claimed by officialdom that it was hit by any projectile or flying airliners. Then how did it collapse like this:

WTC-7 demolition live footage clip from CBS

Dan Rather of CBS is heard exclaiming in the background, witnessing the symmetrical destruction of WTC-7 into its own footprint in a straight line at approx. 5:20 pm on September 11, 2001:

“... amazing, incredible, pick your word. For the third time today, it's reminiscent of those pictures we have all seen too much on television before when a building was deliberately destroyed by well-placed dynamite to knock it down,”

If you look at this video footage a few times, you can make several empirical observations for which your eighth grade middle school science teacher would simply nod and move on --- these observations are that elementary and anyone among the public is capable of making them:

1. the 47 story WTC-7 building collapses in a straight line, look at the edge of the building ;
2. the building does not tilt sideways, nor any of the floors pause, even momentarily, but collapses continuously into its own footprint in a straight line ;
3. with a stop watch in hand the top most story of the 47 story building is measured to reach the ground in approximately ten seconds ;
4. the upper floors appear to fall at almost free fall speed, experiencing no resistance from the floors below them, as if the floors below are not there and falling at the same free fall speed, all floors beginning to move simultaneously so that the floor above cannot catchup to the floor below, and this pattern is observed for all the visible floors as they each descend in perfect sync with the one below, until they all finally collapse on top of each other after reaching the growing pile of debris on the ground ;
5. the structure on the very top of the building in its center begins to collapse a split second before the top most floor begins its free fall descent ; here is a snapshot from
another angle where this commencement of collapse is more clearly visible:

6. a little thought on what is observed in items 1-5 suggests that to remove any resistance from the floor below for any floor above requires cutting off that floor's supporting beams and columns from the superstructure of the building in an exact timing order which mere chaos or random conditions cannot cause;

This is the empirical pattern of expert controlled demolition. It is NOT of random structural collapse of a tall building due to multiple, simultaneous, structural failures hypothesized by some narratives couched in scientific sounding presuppositions. An example of this pseudo science is the NIST report explaining WTC-7 collapse through simulation studies which conveniently ignored both accurate structural design of WTC-7 in their simulation model, and made assumptions and presuppositions as if trying their imaginative best to come up with a simulation model that would in fact show the collapse due to the fire!

Empiricism prevails over abstract model simulation in real science. No real 47 story building has actually ever collapsed this way due to fire damage as proclaimed by NIST simulation. It is neither reproducible nor falsifiable as a proposition and axiom. Whereas, the empiricism of WTC-7
demolition is reproducible in successful controlled demolitions of tall buildings. This has been witnessed numerous times.

The first explanation of controlled demolition is not just obvious by commonsense observation and reproducible for tall buildings, but by the scientific method known as Occam's razor principle, is the first scientifically tenable predicate. This concept harbors the key distinction between axioms of faith and axioms of science. Perhaps the least understood of all scientific jargons, and by stellar scientists themselves who tend to axiomatically presuppose faith in those who fund and feed them, often in the name of national security and expediency, than in the rational predicates which in fact enable the methods of science to forensically discover natural truths, it is explained in: Disambiguating Religion, Science and Psychological Warfare Operations. See sidebar.

The rest is political science of imperial mobilization. That is explained by Zbigniew Brzezinski in his 1997 book: The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy And Its Geostrategic Imperatives.

The overarching agenda disguised as the exercise of American Primacy and incurring the hatred of the world where today Americans traveling overseas find it more prudent to pretend to be Canadians, is nothing short of creating a World Government. That is explained by Carroll Quigley in his 1966 ode to the financial oligarchy: Tragedy & Hope: A History of the World in Our Time.

The foisting of plausible sounding unfalsifiable academic theories upon the public mind to support the a priori conclusion of random collapse due to multiple structural failures, all in the name of science and engineering simulation by respected scientific bodies, is part and parcel of the same Big Lie. Which is why hastily removing the actual evidence from the crime scene before forensic investigators could examine the debris, was so necessary. It made it easier to fashion pseudo scientific theories to surround the detractors of the Big Lie with an abundance of “beneficial cognitive diversity”. The zombie American public with their superfluous faith in their government wouldn't
know the difference between science and pseudo science. Their immediate compliance with the official narrative and the national authority figures of science and technology instead of with their own commonsense, would achieve the intended fait accompli. That evidently is also a most alien political science concept for America's vast scientific literates corps, let alone for its gullible public graduating from its vast public school systems at the rate of one million a year.

Fortunately, this concept was elegantly explained to the New York Times by the White House senior advisor to president George W. Bush:

“We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.”

--- Senior Bush Advisor, The New York Times, October 17, 2004

Well, diligently studying what the “history's actors” actually do with forces and motivations both hidden and unhidden, right alongside the preceding most elementary reasoning on the observations of the naked eye which overwhelmingly indicates controlled demolition as the most straightforward scientific explanation, leads to the realization that WTC-7 must have been secretly pre-wired for controlled demolition well before its actual demolition moment at approx. 5:20 pm on September 11, 2001.

This means WTC-7's controlled demolition was by pre-meditated intent and not just by the happenstance of 9/11. Or, as the officialdom likes to narrate unofficially, due to the explosive and cataclysmic destruction of WTC-1 and WTC-2 towers which caused incidental damages to the surrounding buildings, including to WTC-7 creating fires on some of its floors thus requiring to “pull-it” down in that fashion in order to not cause further loss of life.

Its advanced pre-wiring for demolition however, is sufficient indication that WTC-7 had long been secretly planned to be “pulled” down. That alone is sufficient to discredit Ali Baba of having masterminded 9/11, and I could just stop here. But
let's just soldier on explicitly to see how two plus two is added to equal four and not five. This is surely the most frequently asked question on the planet after all.

So when could WTC-7 have been pre-wired for demolition? Certainly not on the same day between 8:50 am onwards in the aftermath of WTC-1 and WTC-2 catastrophic destruction and 5:20 pm. The time and tasks necessary for controlled demolition is explained below.

- Who had the means, motive, and opportunity to pre-wire WTC-7 for demolition before that time in complete secrecy?

- Who had the means, motive and opportunity to issue the command to pre-wire WTC-7 for demolition, to finance it, and all the while carefully protect the demolition prep work of the 47 story occupied building located in the heart of New York City in its most famous public landmark, the World Trade Center, from any public disclosure?

- Who can command such unfettered and unchallenged access to WTC-7, bring to bear such skilled military-grade demolition expertise, and command full secrecy during the prep work and afterwards?

First let's see what it would take to pre-wire a building for perfect demolition into its own footprint with gravity collapse at free fall speed as witnessed in the WTC-7 demolition footage.

As elementary logic would substantiate, it takes several weeks, if not months, of preparation for a controlled demolition such as this: to go floor by floor with the building structural plans in hand; to wire each of those steel-concrete beams and columns with timed demolition charges as is seen in the case for condemned buildings.

These buildings slated for demolition are always vacant and the faux ceilings and walls are torn

Mein Kampf Matching quote contd. 3

The same American Jews also drive America's unfettered support for Israeli Zionism and its state sponsored terrorism in Palestine. These *ubermensch* Jews have as much love lost for the Muslim goy as their fellow tribesmen in Israel demonstrate for the *Christian goy*.

Indeed, virtually all significant prime-movers who have launched the imperial mobilization of the sole superpower using the Big Lie of 9/11 as the enabling pretext, fronted by their largely Christian political henchman from the White House to Ten Downing Street to other Western state podiums all across Europe, are Jews. It is also intellectual Jews like Bernard Lewis of Princeton University who have posited the doctrinal motivation of clash of civilizations which in turn seeded the Jewish Scholar at Harvard, Samuel Huntington's famous book by that title, both pitting the Judeo-Christian Westerndom against the religion of Islam and Muslims with their vile demagoguery. And it is again intellectual Jews like Noam Chomsky of MIT who have upheld the Big Lie of 9/11 while pretending to dissent with imperial mobilization. See *Exhibit-A* and *Songbird or Superman – You Decide!* respectively, for the partial list of Jewish names advocating Machiavellian aggression, and those who pretend to dissent with them while willfully echoing their Big Lie.

It is a great WWF wrestling game between manufacturers of consent and manufacturers of dissent, both of whom retain the same core axioms as inviolable truths upon which they each engineer public opinion for their respective flock. All led by Jews, in plainsight.
down first in order access the steel substructure underneath that supports the building. Even a slight mistake in timing of individual explosive charges, the demolition sequence experiencing any unexpected latency in receiving the firing command at some explosive charges in relation to other explosive charges, or getting out of sequence in the predetermined exact firing order, or misfiring, or not firing at all, and the building risks not collapsing perfectly into its own footprint as opposed to what is witnessed in the perfect WTC-7 demolition footage.

The building may tilt on one side or another, or the floors below may not collapse completely in the correct time order to enable the free-fall effect as witnessed in the WTC-7 demolition footage to the floors above, and instead create physical resistance which prop up the floors above and retard their collapse, or exhibit some other asymmetry due to imperfections in the demolition strategy, design, or its execution.

To be fully symmetric and perfectly controlled gravity collapse into its own footprint as witnessed in the WTC-7 demolition footage, none of the aforementioned errors and mistakes can transpire.

The explosive cutter charges that cut the steel beams and columns from the building structure itself, and from each other, have to be correctly and expertly inserted floor by floor, beam by beam and column by column on every floor, correctly and expertly timed in the design of its firing sequence with military grade precision, and correctly and expertly set-off with some kind of remote controlled demolition control system housed outside of the target building.

This too must perform flawlessly with military grade precision once the button is pressed to initiate the demolition, regardless of the harshness and chaos of the operating environment such as was present throughout that day on September 11, 2001.

While all this demolition prep work is going on in the building, no civilians can be roaming about the building or sitting in the offices – the legal consequences of being injured alone ensure vacant buildings being an integral part of best practice of controlled demolition prep work.

**Mein Kampf Matching quote contd. 4**

Incestuous self-reinforcement has been taken to dizzying new heights to foster the Orwellian paradigm of full spectrum thought control of the public mind. Their impact in collectively echoing the Big Lie of 9/11 is already monumental. For, upon its criminal foundations, not only imperial mobilization for constructing a new World Order on the planet that has already seen the immediate construction of police-state worldwide (most shockingly in the leading western nations like the United States and the United Kingdom), but also the long term sanctification of a new global theology to be passed on from generation to generation diabolically maligning Islam as the inevitable road to 9/11 against which the freedom-loving West only waged its “just war” in reaction, as the official history books are already recording all across the world, have been most cunningly built.

Generations across the world are going to grow up believing this Big Lie of 9/11. Students today, from elementary schools to universities, are already being taught that Ali Baba fable as fact. Ominous warning is issued to the public through the moving lips of the president of the United States to not challenge or even debate that official “fact”.

As we dare to speak the unpopular truth, that the ruling Jews today are spearheading the imperial drive to legitimize the Global War on Terror on Muslim nations using all the political and psychological tools of persuasion at their disposal, the full paragraph from Mein Kampf, only quoted abridged in the Matching Quote in the definition of the Big Lie above, has to now be reproduced fully. For, the full passage brings to bear a historical pattern, of cunningly legitimizing the waging of wars above its propaganda noise:
This is why any condemned building to be torn down with controlled demolition is first vacated of all its occupants, their belongings removed, and the site secured to not pose any danger from the dust and flying debris of tearing down the facades during the prep work. If the building has asbestos insulation, the demolition teams must also protect themselves with mask and breathing equipment. All WTC buildings, including WTC-7, are known to have had asbestos insulation.

Such dangerous demolition prep work in an occupied building can only be performed in full secrecy in the guise of building maintenance and renovation, floor by floor, where the entire floor is evacuated, or portions compartmentalized and isolated for the prep work. The demolition prep work simply cannot be performed in the presence of occupants, even if their physical safety is of no particular consideration during the actual demolition such as in a covert-ops inflicted upon an enemy.

As even an iota of rational thinking betrays to any sensible mind, getting hold of the building structural plans, planning the demolition strategy, doing the actual detailed design of bringing the building down symmetrically in a controlled manner with precise timing controls, performing the actual prepping of the building for demolition according to the design, is therefore a many-man, many-month, very specialized and very skilled professionals demolition project!

These professionals mainly only exist in the military, and even the civilian firms involved in structural demolition invariably employ only professionals with such skilled training for these very specialized tasks.

It is not an exercise that can be performed by a rag-tag band of religious Koran-totting jihadis living in the Hindu Kush mountains of Afghanistan who we are told learnt to fly on flight simulators only, without the desire to learn how to land, successfully hijacked four airliners simultaneously with just box-cutter knives in the most armed to the teeth sole superpower on earth, experienced no interdiction by NORAD that is 24x7 on guard to protect the skies of the American nation from sea to shinning sea, and rammed two of these airliners at 500 mph into WTC-1 and WTC-2 towers causing them to catastrophically experience full structural collapse at near free fall speed in a mushrooming cloud of dust and smoke, that spectacle of disintegration of millions Zahir Ebrahim

--- Adolf Hitler, Ibid.
of tons of steel-concrete structure into instant dust never before witnessed in the history of recorded time, while WTC-7 was brought down by the jihadis by prayers to Allah alone, also in nearly free fall gravity collapse that appears identical to many successful controlled demolition of tall structures seen in Las Vegas and elsewhere around the world.

But it is of course the venerable exercise in fable creation which remains unsurpassed in the annals of adventures of the Greek Hercules and the Mesopotamian Ali Baba added together!

Refer back to the dictionary definition of the Big Lie: a false statement of outrageous magnitude employed as a propaganda measure in the belief that a lesser falsehood would not be credible.

An absurdity so monstrous that it is inevitably believed; with copious help from experts, liars, and the many disciples of Machiavelli and Mephistopheles, all playing the tunes of the Mighty Wurlitzer in synchronicity as is witnessed for the Big Lie of 9/11.

Returning to the first basic question that immediately springs to the mind of an honest man and honest woman: When was such prep work done by demolition experts for WTC-7? Specifically, as the force of logic dictates for an occupied building, under the subterfuge of building maintenance? Once again:

- Who had the means, motive, and opportunity to prep WTC-7 well before 9/11?
- Who had unfettered access to the building months and years prior to 9/11?
- Who had been authorized, and by whom, to perform building maintenance and renovation in the years and months prior to 9/11 on WTC-7?

Not this Herculean Ali Baba named Osama Bin Laden, and not with his band of merry men labeled Al Qaeda. The fabled warriors of Militant Islam did not have access to WTC-7, with the
building fully occupied, and by intelligence agencies no less on some of its floors.

This basic fact-check of Ali Baba neither having the means, nor the opportunity, to access WTC-7 for wiring it secretly for expert flawless demolition as witnessed, even if Ali Baba had dreamed of its destruction since his childhood, eliminates him altogether! It also eliminates him as merely a crank seeking publicity if he even dared to accept responsibility for it.

This is the intellectual value of this rejection criterion: means, motive, and opportunity. All three have to be valid and conclusive in order to even deem anyone a real suspect. If any of these factors is not possible or improbable, the suspect is eliminated or his suspectness commensurately downgraded. If all these factors have credible preponderance, then more evidence is necessary to convict as just having the means, motive, and opportunity, while necessary, is not sufficient evidence in itself of having committed the crime.

So let's examine some absurdities that occur if it is still asserted by Machiavelli that OBL did it. Our ubermensch is now standing on very thin ice --- about to sink.

If it is asserted that OBL operatives secretly wired the building over months, then no one saw them bring in the explosives, no one saw them run around floor by floor tearing the walls and ceilings apart to plant charges raising dust and hell in the process? If it is asserted that the OBL operatives were officially hired to do the building maintenance work then why were they knowingly hired? And it it is asserted that the OBL operatives fooled everyone as they secretly planted the cutter charges as part of the building maintenance crew, and they smuggled the considerable amount of explosives in their underwear, well, we already have the underwear bomber you know, ....

These baseless and futile assertions if insisted upon by sophists and the weavers of the Big Lie, lead to manifest absurdities piling up one on top of the other by the sheer force of logic and credulity. And therefore, all these hypothetical assertions of OBL secretly accessing WTC-7 with his demolition teams stand rejected by the logic of the argument known as Reductio ad absurdum.

First its dictionary meaning:

**reductio ad absurdum**

**noun Logic**

1. a method of disproving a proposition by showing that its inevitable consequences would be absurd

2. a method of indirectly proving a proposition by assuming its negation to be true and showing that this leads to an absurdity

3. application of a principle or proposed principle to an instance in which it is absurd

[Latin, literally: reduction to the absurd]

This method of reasoning is used in courts, just as in philosophy, to see through liars and false
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witnesses, false arguments and sophistry; a rational contribution of the Hellenic Civilization which the West's Renaissance philosophers employed for forcibly extricating Westerndom out of the Dark Ages of Christianity, and which today the West prides itself on from academe to academe and court room to court room.

Applying reductio ad absurdum to our fable to separate fact from fiction indicates that whosoever wired WTC-7 is not whom the 9/11 official narrative apportions the blame for 9/11. In fact, the officials do not even try to explain WTC-7. The official 9/11 inquiry report remained silent on it. And it is shocking to learn that a great many people in the United States are not even aware of WTC-7 demolition.

As we have now understood, the infamous Ali Baba and his jihadi band of Al-Qaeda neither had the means nor the opportunity to prep WTC-7 for such expert controlled demolition. Insisting on that proposition by those innocent of knowledge leads to absurd consequences.

This controlled demolition as one can easily observe in the video footage is not mere planting of an uncontrolled bomb in a parked truck as we are told was done once before in the attack on the WTC complex in the 1993. It is far more sophisticated and complex requiring commensurate means and opportunity which rules out OBL.

Advancing the logical observations further, because the multiple demolition events are synchronized in the same one day of catastrophic terror, the New Pearl Harbor, they are not random events with WTC-7 demolition coming within hours of WTC-1 and WTC-2 through the thick of dust clouds and complete chaos. Just the fact of that synchronization logically indicates to put the blame on anyone else for the first two demolitions is equally an absurdity. WTC-7 had been pre-wired for demolition and its button pushed during the ensuing chaos of WTC-1 and WTC-2 demolition as part of the New Pearl Harbor.

Mein Kampf Matching quote contd. 7

The world has been reduced to a fully controlled chaos solely based on that one principal axiomatic Big Lie of 9/11 – that Muslim terrorists wielding Militant Islam attacked America.

The craft of propaganda based on the Big Lie perfected by Adolph Hitler, but not invented by him, has the public mind today accepting absurdities upon absurdities, and consequently easily acquiescing to atrocities inflicted upon others as well as upon themselves by the global superstate in the making, in the name of protecting the world's public from terrorists. Just witnessing what is transpiring at American Airports must make the nation's founders weep profusely in their grave.

"Free people, remember this maxim: We may acquire liberty, but it is never recovered if it is once lost." Jean Jacques Rousseau
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It is logical to presume for the master crime of the twenty-first century that all its sub events are related to the same prime-movers and part of the same complex jigsaw puzzle to fashion the *New Pearl Harbor*. To gratuitously presume that WTC-7 advanced pre-wiring for demolition is independent of WTC-1 and WTC-2 is absurd. We can only learn more once it is identified who pre-wired WTC-7.

The rejection criterion however has already given us sufficient proof. It has ruled out OBL for pre-wiring WTC-7. The rejection criterion has also asserted that there is another culprit who had pre-wired WTC-7 for demolition. To then insist that *Ali Baba* only demolished WTC-1 and WTC-2 by the conglomeration of Big Lies which have gone into creating the one Big Lie of 9/11, again leads to absurd consequences and is a deliberate attempt to protect that criminal who pre-wired WTC-7. Furthermore, now convinced by the logical fact of the matter that there is indeed another hidden culprit (or culprits) who has cleverly camouflaged his trail with an endless trail of red herrings planted to deceive the public, the need to similarly examine the equally bizarre and sophisticated demolition of WTC-1 and WTC-2 which is claimed to have been catastrophically destroyed due to the two airliners plunging into them starting a runaway chain reaction, opens up a whole new world of criminal inquiry leading to similar fundamental questions as examined for WTC-7.

When we further delve into the devious money trail, the WTC insurance payback trail, the ownership of WTC transfer trail, the WTC tenant occupancy trail, etc., none of which has any pertinence to al-Qaeda's *militant Islam*, considerable spotlight is shed on the secondary and tertiary financial motives behind WTC demolitions. All told, it brings forth more evidence of hierarchical convolutions in the criminal conspiracy which is directly connected to whoever pre-wired WTC-7. Therefore, any real criminal inquiry into 9/11 can only be suppressed. And at best, yet another “Warren Commission” report issued to sanctify the official narrative, as it was with the 9/11 Commission inquiry report. It is an absurdity to ask for new inquiry from officialdom, both domestic and supranational.

Which is precisely the intent of such pursuits: to introduce delaying tactics with red herrings, knowing fully well that this exercise cannot bear any fruit other than that set in precedence by the Warren Commission to investigate the cold-blooded assassination of JFK. That fatal warning shot to the rebellious American president's head, has been heard loud and clear across time and space to chill all significant dissent by anyone in power with the priorities of the fuzzy national security state which is itself beholden to the oligarchic drive to global government. The extensive bibliography on this subject is so significant, and so plentiful, that those who proclaim ignorance of it in their imposing oratory become part of the many Big Lies and Hegelian Dialectics manufactured to achieve it.

Nevertheless, we in the public can see that once the Big Lie is dismantled for WTC-7, then that
automatically leads the mind that is able to reason – which is the minimal requirement for jury duty in the United States and upon which its entire edifice of public trial by the jury of peers is constitutionally based – to also take a more scrutinizing look at the narrative surrounding WTC-1 and WTC-2, and the entire 9/11 story as well, from plane hijackings to Pentagon's sacred armor piercing to the layers of camouflage most cunningly put on the entire project to its financial beneficiaries.

We observe that just this one small examination of who had the means, motive, and opportunity to pre-wire WTC-7 for controlled demolition, is like a child tugging on the loose thread of a wool sweater: it unravels the entire Big Lie of 9/11.

Just as we observed with a layman's scrutinizing eye the news footage video clip of WTC-7 demolition and made some basic empirical observations which anyone among the jury of peers sitting in on judgment over a crime is entitled and able to make without requiring a doctorate in nuclear physics, performing the same experiment with the news footage clips of WTC-1 and WTC-2 is similarly revealing and is left as homework exercise for the reader.

As for the question of what's the overarching motive when OBL's Islamofascism as the motive is ruled out for WTC-7's pre-wiring, it has already been explained, over and over again, how this Big Lie of 9/11 has been used for imperial mobilization as “operation canned goods”. The latter covert operation was devised by Adolf Hitler as his Big Lie in order to launch the Third Reich's imperial mobilization for its German Lebensraum.

The motive today is already crystal clear and amply documented in before the fact books and think-tank reports, such as Zbigniew Brzezinski's Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives, and PNAC's report on Rebuilding America's Defenses which was signed by America's political Who's Who, both of which argued years before 9/11 of the necessity of a New Pearl Harbor without which imperial mobilization would remain stillborn.

Since imperial mobilization is precisely what the sole superpower did post 9/11, it acted on its
own pre-advertised primacy imperatives, it is straightforward to assert as veritable statement of fact that the primary motive behind 9/11 being the manufacture of New Pearl Harbor. And the masterful public disguise around the Big Lie of a threat to the public sense of well-being from Ali Baba being an essential necessity for launching and sustaining that process of imperial mobilization because “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization”. This is all spelled out chapter and verse in Brzezinski’s aforementioned book. Robert H. Jackson, the chief prosecuting counsel for the United States, had asserted no less at the Military War Crimes Tribunal at Nuremberg before condemning the Nazi leadership to death, by showing them their own a priori plans for aggression:

“The plans of Adolf Hitler for aggression were just as secret as Mein Kampf, of which over six million copies were published in Germany.” --- Robert H. Jackson, Nuremberg

What remains to learn is Who had the means and the opportunity to carry out the WTC demolitions. Who does the finger point to? What evidence can be brought to bear upon it?

For those unable to read and analyze political science and history, just that one WTC-7 examination opens up the Pandora’s box of inquiry into means and opportunity which the Big Liars of 9/11 simply cannot close regardless of what new lies and sophistry they spin or what assault on the human senses they inflict. It is the peerless sword of the intellect that all human beings possess which demolishes them trivially in their Big Lie. But it is only the courage of one's convictions which they mostly remain afraid of. The public knowing without acting on that knowledge is evidently okay for status quo since the evergreen trap of bread and circuses is sufficient to neutralize any knowing.

A most preeminent moral scholar of the United States of America wrote to me the following statement in April 2008 in an email conversation. Since he had written me a private letter and stated that it was for my own benefit (lest I be led astray), because I had written to him earlier to reexamine the demolition of WTC-1, WTC-2, and WTC-7, my interlocutor's identity is not revealed. The passage below captures what is meant by sophistry in support of a priori presupposition:

Epistemology of 9/11 and Ali Baba

Is it a fact that OBL invaded the United States with box-cutter knives, demolished its landmark structures, and created police-state USA as his final revenge on America? What is the epistemology of that official “fact”, except for the fiat of power to control the narrative? How can one apply the “scientific method” to this inquiry to uncover the truth of the matter? Especially when this dogma has so rapidly been sanctified into state religion of the United States of America in much the same vein as the Holocaust narrative has been sanctioned as the state religion of Israel and today dominates the ethos of the Jews?
“Suppose it turns out that there was a controlled demolition. Then the interesting question is: who does the finger point to? The answer is obvious: Osama bin Laden. Al-qaeda had the capacity: they came very close to blowing up the WTC in 1993. Unlike the Bush administration, they have little to lose if exposed (for the Bush-ites it would be a catastrophe) and a great deal to gain by implicating Saudis, their main enemy (again, for the Bush-ites that's a disaster: it undermined their alleged goal of laying the basis for attacking Iraq -- to do that they'd obviously have implicated Iraqis; it seriously harmed relations with a highly valued ally; and it caused them the extreme embarrassment of having to fly Saudi businessmen out of the country in violation of their closure of airspace -- which appears to indicate that they couldn't have known about it). But I have no particular interest in evidence that al-Qaeda was responsible for blowing up the WTC, and do not understand why the TM is so fixated on trying to establish the obvious conclusion from the thesis that the WTC was destroyed by a controlled demolition.” --- Email letter to Zahir Ebrahim from a preeminent scholar of the United States of America, Mon, Apr 21, 2008 at 8:18 PM

The first statement: “Suppose it turns out that there was a controlled demolition. Then the interesting question is: who does the finger point to? The answer is obvious: Osama bin Laden.”, coming from the finest analytical mind of America has already been refuted above with reductio ad absurdum. The only entity that the finger cannot point to is in fact Osama bin Laden. The means and opportunity yardstick of credibility and culpability already examined in reference to WTC-7 above absolves Mr. Ali Baba of having anything to do with its destruction.

Falsification in the Scientific Method

The Occam's razor principle in science, the gift of the Renaissance Period in the Middle Ages to modern civilization, is the foundation of what is called the “scientific method”. That method entails (1) gathering empirical evidence, (2) making a hypothesis and model to explain the evidence, (3) testing the hypothesis and model, (4) making predictions based on the model.

The Occam's razor principle is to formulate the bare minimum and simplest possible axioms, or presuppositions if you will, necessary for theorizing empiricism; assumptions and presuppositions upon which a hypothesis and its theorizing are based, but which cannot be proved to be true, and are therefore assumed to be true with the possibility of falsification.

Falsification means that the axiom or presupposition can be shown to be false by experimentation, observation, or logic. The burden of falsification is upon those who do not accept the truth of the presupposition. The axioms in the “scientific method” are held to be true until shown to be false by someone.

Precisely because proving the “truth” on fundamental fronts is always harder and often borders on beliefs. Since it is also true that a general negative cannot be demonstrated, as Carroll Quigley pointed out in his exposition on applying the Occam's razor principle to social sciences in his epistemological book: *The Evolution of Civilizations – An introduction to Historical Analysis*,

“we are entitled to make that general negative assumption under the rule of the simplicity of scientific hypothesis, and to demand refutation of such an assumption by specific positive proof.”
The rest of the sentences in that passage are making fallacious arguments with extraneous data and disingenuous observations to support an a priori conclusion which is sanctified to remain beyond question. Just as the president of the United States asserts it must remain so. This highly anointed scholar of America goes along with the president of the United States. He further pretends to have never heard of false flag covert ops under the cover of “plausible deniability”. He pretends not to be able to distinguish between manufactured fact for propaganda fodder vs. the reality of the situation despite being an expert on the matter. And he pretends not to be able distinguish between the means and opportunity needed for the ostensibly botched amateurish uncontrolled demolition attempt of 1993 with a supposed truck bomb which conveniently opened the opportunity for reconstruction and renovation vs. the means and opportunity required for the flawless expert controlled demolition of 2001.

It would be forgivable if an ordinary street fellow offered such an analysis.

Here is another preeminent scholar of America commenting on the 9/11 fable in his public talk. It is the world renowned dissenting professor of history and author of the best selling work of American history: People's History of the United States, the late Howard Zinn (died January 27, 2010). This Jewish scholar of American history is dear to me because in 2003 when no one would review my maiden manuscript, Prof. Howard Zinn read it twice (!) on a cold call from me to his Boston home, and even wrote me a commendation letter to give to publishers when I asked him for one several months later after being repeatedly turned down by American publishers.

This is what Prof. Zinn stated publicly in November 2008:

---

Falsification contd. 2

The difference between axiomatic presumption of truth in the “scientific method” and in religion, while common to both, is singular. Religion permits no falsification of its axioms.

Whereas scientific axioms are in fact contingent on their being falsifiable, which is how man's understanding of the natural and physical world, and all things amenable to the “scientific method” of observation and data collection, theorizing and testing, is advanced. And once deemed false, these falsified axioms are abandoned, or circumscribed to their applicable limits from their generalization as warranted.

Whereas, the axioms of religion remain beyond reproach. A religion dies if its axioms are falsified, or even falsifiable. Which is why religion stays frozen in time. Science dies without having falsifiable axioms and would transform into religion. The march of science requires incremental refinement of axioms, or their complete abandonment. And therein the real difficulty commences:

What is empirical data on which we make scientific observations and theorizing – how do we define data? (1) Is it data that is born from official narratives? (2) Is it data that is recovered from declassified documents? (3) Is it the data that is easily accessible to scientists so that the “scientific method” can be applied to it?

At some point in that process of defining what is data, and specifically, when data is not directly generated by the observing scientist, nor directly accessible to him, nor directly reproducible by him, axioms must come into play. Axioms that are presumptive, simplest, and falsifiable, by definition of Occam's razor.
“Of course as I told you, I never believe the government, or rarely believe the government. Do I believe the government version of what happened? Well, I am skeptical. Do I believe that the government was in the conspiracy to do this? I don't know. I don't know enough about the situation, and the truth is, I don't care that much. That's past. ... the whole argument that the people are engaged in, about, was the government behind a conspiracy to blow up the two towers, to me that's a diversion from what we really have to do, deal with the fact that whatever, whoever was behind 9/11, the government took advantage of that, to take us to war, and to put us on a disastrous course, and it's that war, those wars, that disastrous course we have to deal with. I don't want to go back to the controversy that I think is endless controversy, and just gets in the way of dealing with the immediate situation.” --- Howard Zinn, November 2008, *Howard Zinn: “I Don’t Care” If 9/11 Was An Inside Job*, watch video.

Note that Prof. Howard Zinn, like all the other preeminent dissent chiefs of the United States, also does not care to deal with the prime-mover first cause, the 9/11 Big Lie. He instead prefers just to focus on its immediate after effect: the imperial mobilization. This anomaly is seen repeatedly in preeminent dissent scholarship today which misleads and misguides the public into believing the Big Lie as the key presupposition upon which the rest of the vigorous debate of democracy is constructed. After all, their favorite scholars, actors, leaders, clergy, including even the dissent-chiefs are all saying the same thing. How can they all be wrong? Truth in a democracy is democratic, right?

Well, now the reader can see for himself that all of them are not just wrong, but deliberately part of the Big Lie no differently than in the Third Reich under Adolf Hitler where that world too sang the same chorus in one voice under the unassailable hubris of victory. As recorded by William Shirer,
an American war correspondent stationed in Berlin on the eve of World War II, in his diaries and reproduced in his monumental work published in 1960, The Rise and Fall of the Third Reich:

“Hitler knew the answer well. Had he not the week before on his Bavarian mountaintop promised the generals that he would 'give a propagandist reason for starting the war' and admonished them not to 'mind whether it was plausible or not'? 'The victor', he had told them, 'will not be asked afterward whether he told the truth or not. In starting and waging a war it is not the right that matters, but victory.'” --- William Shirer quoting Adolf Hitler, The Rise and Fall of the Third Reich

This singing pattern of the same Big Lie, willfully echoed throughout the world in multiple voices in our pretenses of democracy which laudingly permits dissent instead of the single voice in the Third Reich's iron-fisted dictatorship which did not, but under similar unassailable hubris of no fear of accountability, no fear of military war crimes tribunals, and no fear of death sentences accruing to vulgar propagandists, has been explained in nauseating detail in the following missives:

1. Sanctification of the 9/11 Narrative
2. Open Letter to Noam Chomsky
3. Response to Chris Hedges' amalgam of half-truths 'A Decade After 9/11: We Are What We Loathe'
4. The Dying Songbird
5. Songbird or Superman – You Decide!

---

Impact of Western Civilization

The wide ranging impact of this new sacred cow state religion of 9/11 far transcends its immediate utility in enabling imperial mobilization and construction of global police state.

It is intended to create endless generations worldwide who will grow up believing this Big Lie. And because of it, falsely condemn both Islam and Muslims.

It is already fabricating a new “epistemology” for future generation of social scientists, scholars, and clergy, who will write their erudite histories based on these official documents.

To stay silent before this intellectual and spiritual onslaught upon a billion plus peoples who today are spread across all nations and civilizations on earth, is no less a war crime than to commit, condone, or stay silent, on war crimes in the killing fields.

As boldly admitted by Professor Carroll Quigley in another one of his imposingly subtitled magnum opus, A History of the World in Our Time:

“The destructive impact of Western Civilization upon so many other societies rests on its ability to demoralize their ideological and spiritual culture as much as its ability to destroy them in a material sense with firearms.” --- Carroll Quigley, Tragedy and Hope
Epilogue

Submitted to MIT Technology Review and MIT Spectrum as an alum submission, of how scientists and scholars today subvert both science and religion in the service of empire no differently than they did in the Third Reich. Perhaps that does not happen at MIT? Then surely MIT Technology Review would print this article to demonstrate how we live in a free society where this does not and cannot happen among MIT scientists and professors of engineering training its next generation of scientists and engineers. Also submitted to the Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, IEEE magazine, The Chronicle of Higher Education. I further intend to send this in personal email to all living Nobel Laureates. You are invited to send this to your alma mater newspaper, to discuss it in your classroom, and to counter it with your most scholarly aptitude. Give it your best shot! Unless this analysis is brought into the corridors of mainstream science and social science, it will remain stillborn, preaching mainly to the choir. Please lend a hand. Send it to your local newspapers. Let the scholars of empire in every nation refute it. That will only happen when it is published in mainstream. Can dream?

Footnotes

[a] WTC-7 CBS News Footage Video Clip URL: https://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/wtc_7_cbs.mpg

[b] WTC-1 Demolition News Footage Video Clip URL: https://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/north_towerCollapse.mpeg

[c] WTC-2 Demolition News Footage Video Clip URL: https://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/south_tower Collapse.mpeg

[d] President Obama News Footage Video Clip URL: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kvg65o7z02B


[f] List of Jews and other neoconservatives including Christians, and at least one Muslim carrying water for the white man's burden, who have spearheaded crimes of aggression against Muslim nations disguised in the prerogative of hubris which had also infected Adolph Hitler in his invasion of Poland: self-defence against terrorists who attacked the Fatherland, also known as the self-inflicted Operation Canned Goods: http://prisonersofthecave.blogspot.com/2007/04/exhibita.html


[i] Jews demonizing Christianity – not in the distant antiquity but today while the goy cheers them on against Islam and Muslims by burning copies of the Holy Qur'an: http://pressreleases-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/05/pr-bible-burning-in-zionistan-may252008.html

[j] For an excellent examination of the Occam's razor principle see exposition by Carroll Quigley in

In his academic study of applying the pristine methods of physical science to historiography and social science, Quigley unfortunately omitted all considerations actually witnessed when human beings become engaged in any pursuit, including science with its singular underwriting in mega funding. This includes fostering agendas in the guise of pursuing science and scholarship, using methods borrowed from Machiavelli such as deception, and the military such as psychological warfare operation, all of which tune available data, and even fabricate data, to foster a synthetic worldview in the outcome for herding the public mind as presciently demonstrated by Plato in his seminal allegory The Myth of the Cave.

Carroll Quigley neglected to articulate the most important consideration for the objective pursuit of any discovery, of reality, of nature, physical, social, political, and history: that a scientist under pertinent circumstances must treat all data as potentially suspect, just as Sherlock Holmes would do, replete with false clues, red herrings, impostors, perception management, all of which contrive to mask not only motivation and causality, but also distort and fabricate what de facto comes to be known as “fact”.

Is it a fact that OBL invaded the United States with box-cutter knives, demolished its landmark structures, and created police-state USA as his final revenge on America? What is the epistemology of that officially documented “fact”, except for the fiat of power to control the narrative? This narrative is what the history books are penning today in its salted variations --- how would Dr. Carroll Quigley, president William Jefferson Clinton's professor at Georgetown University's famous School of Foreign Service, mentor to generations of United States State Department Corps Diplomatique, uncover the truth of that matter without forensic examination of the subject? And how might he even do so with the advantage of distance of time which historians seek, but when there is no actual evidence remaining except competing imperial narratives all echoing the same Big Lie?

Which is why, more often than not, the truth of Henry Ford's poignant wit: “History is more or less bunk. It's tradition.”, betrays a far more intractable epistemological problem than is acknowledged by professional historians who make a living parsing official narratives.

Even though, a passing nod to the recognition of some aspects of the epistemological problem is made by Carroll Quigley in his opus magnum titled Tragedy and Hope: “sensible historians usually refrain from writing accounts of very recent events because they realize that the source material for such events, especially the indispensable official documents, are not available and that, even with the documentation which is available, it is very difficult for anyone to obtain the necessary perspective on events of one's own mature life.”

Indeed, what also precludes the necessary perspective is to not be cognizant that no intellectual pursuit which is funded from political considerations, from writing histories from official documents to pursuing science and social science, whether funded from public monies or private, whether pursued by governmental bodies or private institutions, can claim exemption from this hard epistemology.

Those arguing otherwise, especially with self-righteous claims of scientific objectivity and moral clarity without recognizing these intractable difficulties, mainly end up with a crippled epistemology which only helps serve political agendas rather than advance the state of understanding of the subject matter.
We have seen this repeat time and again just in the last hundred years --- from the political drive to institute the agenda of eugenics based on pseudo science at the turn of the last century, to the political drive to get global warming accepted based on pseudo science to push the agenda of population reduction and carbon credit at the turn of this century.

What science is funded, and what isn't, is based on political and narrow self-interests of its funders, an observation which is beyond doubt, it is self-evident.

A most brazen and contemporary example, no not from the Dark Ages of Christianity but from the modern Western civilization of today, is the breakthrough research in Cold Fusion by Pons and Fleischmann (then one of the world's leading electrochemists) which was hurriedly squelched by denigrating it as *Junk Science* at the end of the last century by governmental laboratories led by the US Department of Energy and the White House.

The incredible haste with which the United States government during George H. W. Bush's tenure as its commander in chief, got involved in a purely scientific matter is telling in itself. Instead of letting the “scientific method” take its due course to accept or reject the discoveries of Cold Fusion, the following photograph shows the establishment scientists commissioned by George H. W. Bush Sr. to attack Cold Fusion.

This is Martin Fleischmann's reflections a decade later in the year 2000, on how a potentially paradigm altering science discovery which went against the grain of the establishment's priorities was treated by other scientists: Reflections on the Sociology of Science and Social Responsibility in Science, in Relationship to Cold Fusion. Fleischmann concluded with a forensic question which he left to the readers to answer for themselves: “Nevertheless, one must ask oneself the question: suppose that one would wish to frustrate research within a given field of research, without wishing to admit that this is ones intent. Then would one not take the steps which have been illustrated by the example of 'Cold Fusion'?”

And we see the same conformity of thought to “United We Stand” with the establishment in its drive to push the absurd official narrative that is underwriting the political Global War on Terror today proclaimed as *World War IV*, which, it is similarly argued by imposing science credentials once again, including by tacit acceptance or silence of Nobel laureates in the sciences, the arts, and humanities alike, is based on the establishment's “science of 9/11”.

A similar question as asked by Martin Fleischmann may be asked here --- and for which the establishmentarian social scientist par excellence, Dr. Carroll Quigley, left the world of scholarship no epistemological guidance. That most cunningly omitted forensic guidance to give the state a blank check and to foster “religious” faith in its “official documents”, is provided in this tiny footnote! It is left to the reader to adjudicate.

[k] For empirical evidence and further analysis of WTC-1 and WTC-2 towers also being controlled demolition by way of the visible modality of their well-timed and expertly synchronized free-fall demolition where millions of tons of concrete-steel structure is seen on mainstream news broadcast video tapes to be inexplicably vaporized into finely powdered dust in mid air before the demolition wave even reaches the ground, see 911 Revisited 2013 – The Evidence Once Again, http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2013/01/911-revisited-2013-evidence-once-again.html

[m] For an examination of the never before witnessed phenomenon of how or why the millions of tons
of steel-concrete structures just instantly vaporized, exploded, top-down into finely powdered dust in mid air, called 'dustification' by Judy Wood, quite unlike the bottom-up controlled demolition of WTC-7 with gravity assist that is also seen typically in all controlled demolition with explosive charges that cut the underlying columns simultaneously so that all floors descend pretty much intact at free-fall speed until they finally hit the ground in a cloud of dust, see Comment on Judy Wood's 'The New Hiroshima', http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/04/comment-on-judywoods-new-hiroshima.html

[n] The preeminent scholar of United States of America quoted in the text shall remain anonymous because these comments were given to me with the following caveat accompanying them: “Since I see you are writing to many people, let me be clear and explicit that this is for you alone. ... So this is not for distribution. I trust that's agreed.” Because of the ubiquity of such public scholars influencing public opinion with exactly the same presuppositions, I feel it necessary to at least expose to the same public these presuppositions which underlie such thought processes without identifying the scholar whose words I have chosen to expose all such mind-sets with. These comments of the preeminent scholar are in fact very much mainstream when anyone among them is forced to gingerly concede that the modality of WTC-7 demolition does appear to be controlled demolition. The only real horror for me still is, even so many years later, who this specific scholar is whose words I have used to expose that entire class of propagandists, ahem, opinion-makers. These opinion-makers are indeed most cunning --- for, after all is said and done, they still manage to echo the main presuppositions and axioms of empire, be they on the Left, Right, Conservative, Liberal, atheist, theist, government policy antagonist or protagonist, does not matter. They are all in on the 'conspiracy' to ensure that regardless of what else they may say, write, speak, they shall always hold sacred all the untouchable axioms of empire as long as is deemed necessary or judicious.

Part-II

Ali Baba Strikes America Again

Anatomy of a False Flag Operation

Counterpoint: Boston Marathon Bombing False Flag Operation

May 11, 2013

Caption Deputy Administrator of FEMA since October 2009, Richard Serino, while Chief of Department, Boston EMS and Asst. Director, Boston Public Health, architected the disaster preparedness integrated drill plan for Boston and its surrounding region which is documented in his 2008 public report for the City of Boston: Marathons – A Tale of Two Cities and the Running of a

There is no implied question mark at the end of the article title. It is not a tentative statement. It is an emphatic statement without equivocation. From the limited data compiled by Project Humanbeingsfirst scouring the public sources, and with no knowledge from any insider source of information – but with at least a modicum of sophisticated understanding of the history of “imperial mobilization”, political science, fear psychology, voluntary servitude, and the engineering of consent to accomplish unpopular agendas when “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization” – it is now apparent beyond the shadow of a doubt to this author that the Boston Marathon criminal event was a staged false flag operation ab initio.

The data indicates that it was a complex operation and not a straightforward terrorist act reminiscent of Operation Gladio in Western Europe during the Cold War.


This article summarizes the key findings and conclusions as of this writing, namely, that:

- a covert planned propaganda psy-ops exercise was camouflaged as disaster preparedness drill with the blessings of the duly elected officials of the City of Boston, the State of Massachusetts, and the Federal Government of the United States of America;

- it was staged by actors hired to simulate injuries under the Homeland Security Exercise and Evaluation Program (HSEEP) on explosion site one, and was initiated with a pyrotechnic explosion in front of 671 Boylston Street, shattering the Lens Crafters' windows onto the outside pavement;

- the covert propaganda exercise went live in a second explosion on event site two at 755 Boylston street, a mere 183 meters and 12 seconds distant from the event site one, causing what appears to be real injuries and damage to the inside the Forum restaurant building as well as to spectators on the outside pavement.

The two events together comprise the diabolical Operation Gladio Boston. These two separate events, treated as a single event by the officialdom and newsmedia, though linked under the architecture of Operation Gladio, are in fact very distinct and separate sub-events:

- The first sub-event, a staged production to simulate a terrorist act with simulated injuries, perhaps orchestrated as a “legal” event – by the enactment of appropriate laws, statutes, and the blessings of elected officials in the name of greater public good to deceive the public for their own protection by enacting a realistic drill with simulated injuries without the public's knowledge and without incurring legal culpability – but nevertheless a camouflaged covert exercise drill. It was intended to be entirely harmless to the actors beyond a pyrotechnic performance worthy of a permanent stage show exhibit at Marine World USA in California. It was designed for
Operation Gladio Watching Over America

propaganda purposes only, to refresh the threat of “domestic terrorism” in the public mind. The Boston EMS, EMT, police, fire and other officialdom's integrated participation at this event was fully in the know, that it was a staged production with only simulated injuries. Only the public did not know.

- The second sub-event, ostensibly a very tiny, but a very real explosion. It reportedly killed 3 civilians and injured a large number of bystanders enjoying the Boston Marathon finish on that Patriots day in America. That casualty number is variously claimed by the press to be somewhere between 100 and 280, with varying levels of lower extremity injuries spanning the gamut of real pain from lacerations to a few amputations. None of these facts have been independently verified by anyone other than being reported by the same officialdom and their partners in this drill, but one may tentatively accept this report of injuries and fatalities at face value as it does not alter the analysis one bit even if these numbers later turn out to untrue or boldly exaggerated. Also to footnote that there cannot have been any fatalities on explosion event site one as it was entirely staged. Any fatalities claimed for event site one can only be fictitious, or occurred on event site two, or elsewhere.

Who actually architected, planned, and carried out this combined Operation Gladio in Boston is unknown at this time. Who carried out the drill component is in plain-sight. It was the integrated execution by the City of Boston as outlined in the public documents listed below – except that it was to execute covertly, and for a political agenda rather than as a training event.

What the overarching motives were are also in plain-sight, as is the most obvious question asked in any criminal situation: cui bono – who benefits? The whodunit question however, meaning, which supra-terrorist intelligence organization, and their collaborating proxy assets in the know, directly planned and executed Operation Gladio Boston of which the disaster drill conducted by the City of Boston was but one small component, will surely never be known. It is indeed quite likely that many among those who participated in the covert drill component thinking they were only doing their patriotic duty, are just as shocked by their covert drill going live on event site two. No deepthroat will reveal anything substantive until perhaps the day when the obvious motives behind all these false flag terror events on American soil, are a complete fait accompli. Meaning, irreversible new realities on the ground have been constructed whereby the scholarly, the journalistic, and any whistle-blowing disclosures of what was previously a closely held secret and very effectively “plausibly denied”, can do nothing to alter these new hard realities and the public's notion of justice becomes obsolete or irrelevant.

Just as we now presume to know, ex post facto, at least something about Operation Gladio that was conducted in Western Europe of yesteryear when the USSR no longer exists today. Therefore, what's administering justice to the criminals in that situation mean? It is now not only a moot, but entirely meaningless construct.

This is Machiavelli at its utmost best. This modus operandi is even openly admitted by the White House, and with irresolute chutzpah worthy of its owners, also openly printed by the New York Times whose own motto, “All the news that's fit to print”, makes such bold admission of Machiavellian statecraft public knowledge:
“We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.” (Ron Suskind, quoting George W. Bush's senior White House Advisor, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004)

The following official public documents created by the Federal government of the United States and the City of Boston, are crucial in understanding the theory behind the first drill event. These are labeled by Exhibit number, as is everything else of evidentiary nature.

- **E1 Marathons – A Tale of Two Cities and the Running of a Planned Mass Casualty Event January 16 2008**
- **E2 Homeland Security Exercise and Evaluation Program HSEEP April 5 2013**
- **E3 MULTI-YEAR TRAINING AND EXERCISE PLAN – FEMA REGION X FINAL VERSION OCTOBER 1 2009**
- **E4 Homeland Security Exercise and Evaluation Program Volume V Prevention Exercises December 2005**
- **E5 FEMA | HSEEP Volume IV government website with documents advertising for paid actors to play simulated injuries and Actor Waiver Form (and a lot more stuff)**

The following video depicts how trained amputee actors sporting moulage injuries are used for realistic exercise drills and synthetic training exercises for the American military fighting the terrorists overseas:

**E6: Video titled: Boston Bombing Hoax looks real with Amputee actors help**

[ http://youtube.com/watch?v=Rjyt_bEHJnM ]
[ http://youtube.com/watch?v=w6y6I3JTrQA ]
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Caption Amputee actors in role playing in the name of “national security” and for “saving American lives”. Click on video image to watch Americans soldiers in full military gear train for catastrophic injuries in the field in the simulated environment of a realistically staged exercise drill.

The following documentary by BBC Timewatch is crucial in understanding Operation Gladio of yesteryear:

**E7: BBC Documentary Operation Gladio - BBC Time Watch 3 Part Series June 1992**

[http://youtube.com/watch?v=yXavNe81XdQ](http://youtube.com/watch?v=yXavNe81XdQ)

Caption “Deception is a state of mind and the mind of the State” James Jesus Angleton, Head of CIA Counter Intelligence 1954-1974

The following short video news bulletin highlights what was achieved in the immediate aftermath of the Boston Marathon Operation Gladio:

**E8: The Truthseeker: Boston Bombing - What You Aren't Told**

[http://youtube.com/watch?v=GcxmAunB4Ck](http://youtube.com/watch?v=GcxmAunB4Ck)

The following short commentary by a former session court judge makes the point of synthetic terror to catch the terrorists in an entrapment scam rather obvious when put in context of staging a diabolical Operation Gladio. Using dupes and patsies in FBI entrapment exercises which, when they go live for real enactment of terror rather than for averting the terror which is how these entrapments are legally justified before the American public by the blessings of the juristic fraternity, provide convenient patsies to hang the blame upon:

**E9: FBI Fake Terror Plots History: Judge Napolitano**

[http://youtube.com/watch?v=G21-ZScALiA](http://youtube.com/watch?v=G21-ZScALiA)
Finally, following is the image broadcast by Fox News on worldwide television of the rescue of one named “Jeff Bauman” by Boston EMT, EMS, and the man in the cowboy hat named Carlos Arredondo. The photographs that captured that absurd scene are shown underneath.

E10: Open Letter to the Doctors of America on the image of evacuation of a victim on a wheelchair with both his legs blown off by Boston EMT

www.youtube.com/watch?v=MrNMhQ4Rx08

Caption Superman victim identified as Jeff Bauman Jr., holding both his blown-up legs while sitting upright and looking alert, being rescued on wheelchair by a
Boston EMT, Boston EMS, and man in cowboy hat identified as superhero Carlos Arredondo, about 6 minutes and 43 seconds after the first explosion in the theatre of the most absurd. See Open Letter to Doctors of America below. (Image Fox News footage, April 15, 2013, photographers unknown for other images.)

Enlarged frames
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We can see that for event site one, none of the recommendation in E1 were actually followed.
The emergency response evacuation was done in the most absurd manner. This implies that all those participating on event site one were in on the drama that these were only simulated injuries and their purpose was photo-ops.

We can also observe from the evidence of photographs of event site two (not included in this article but publicly available, including professional photographer brianjdamico's photostream on Flickr titled “Boston Marathon 2013 Bombing - Full Set of Images” taken at 755 Boylston street), that proper emergency response procedures were followed. Fire trucks and ambulances, the real emergency response, immediately arrived at the scene. The injured were properly handled, correctly immobilized and strapped on gurneys, and transported in ambulances. The area of event site two also appears to have far fewer people congregating, and professionally managed, in stark contrast to event site one only 183 meters away on the same street, which clearly has the appearance of a mêlée.

Exhibit E6, the video of amputees with simulated moulage injuries training American soldiers for overseas combat operations against the “Islamofascist terrorists”, is most revealing of the dysfunctional psychology behind that holy endeavor.

These American amputees train American soldiers to go kill “terrorists” in Muslim nations, and are even happy doing so in the name of “war on terror”. They feel gratified, as admitted by themselves in the above video, simulating moulage injuries for the sake of saving American lives. It is their thespian profession, their bread and butter, and also their two-bit patriotic flag-waiving contribution to American civilization and patriotism. What does it matter to them where their theatrical production is staged, or which American combat troupe goes through their training facility – the ones serving overseas fighting international terrorists, the likes of Al Qaeda, Osama Bin Laden, Sadaam Hussein, militant Islam, and Pakistani loose nuke's threat to American soil from across the ocean. Or the dedicated domestic EMS teams across American cities fighting the domestic terrorists? The 'War on Terror' after all is everywhere, the terrorism threat real. And now that Osama Bin Laden has mercifully been laid to rest, the threat is surely increasing in its domestic tenor as continually reported in the news media. What if the armed right-winger militias, internet bloggers, skeptics, and constitutionalists alarmed at what's happening to their beloved nation and almost willing to take matters into their own hands, have their rising discontent harvested by Al Qaeda? That is a pretty powerful motivation to join in any staged drill across the United States – and the video is proof that such people exist to fill all the job advertisements by HSEEP and FEMA.

It is more empirical than just speculatively imaginable that amputee actors were engaged for the Boston Marathon to enact the theatre of the absurd. From that staged act was broadcast the propaganda scene of the legless victim Jeff Bauman Jr. being rescued on wheelchair by Boston EMT and Boston EMS on worldwide television to play on public sympathies worldwide. It is for certain that no real injured victim exhibits such behavior medically as the actor in wheelchair, and no real EMT and EMS rescue operation behaves in that asinine manner unless they have been sold on the project that it is a Planned Mass Casualty Event exercise drill for a good cause, that it is to be a photo-ops, and is not a real event. No EMT and EMS will behave that way in a real emergency for fear of having their professional licenses revoked, and being held criminally negligent in the exercise of their trained, checklisted, and strictly laid out duties on how to handle such emergencies.

Therefore, as the inevitability of sound and rational logical reasoning always condemning the indefensible and the guilty, since this first event was a Planned Mass Casualty drill, the poor Muslim
patsies, the Tsarnaev brothers, were also just innocent stooges setup in the usual manner by the FBI (Exhibit E9 Judge Napolitano) to take the fall for a realistic enactment of practicing Martial Law in Boston. The real criminals are at this time most certainly doubling up with laughter at the American people's expense, and at their own brilliance for once again having successfully got away with scapegoating Islam and Muslims.

However, if the officialdom of City of Boston will insist that this first event was real in order to save face in public because the Planned Mass Casualty Event actually went live and there were real casualties by their own admission and statistics, then the images shown on worldwide television of the legless victim in a wheelchair are outright fake.

No trained EMS and EMT will rescue someone whose legs have been blown up in that absurd way as is obvious from how the second sub-event was handled where the injuries were deemed real and it was known by the EMT and EMS to not be an exercise drill. The images show real fire brigade trucks and ambulances handling the injured in a completely different and commonsensical evacuation protocol than was observed at the first site where the simulation was known to being enacted. Therefore, they would have to accept that the broadcasting of the absurd rescue of Jeff Bauman Jr., on wheelchair on mainstream television news worldwide was faked, if they would insist that the first event itself was not a fake.

That double jeopardy, Mr. and Mrs. Law enforcement and rapidly atrophying legal minds of America, namely,

● either the acceptance that event one was a covert HSEEP Planned Mass Casualty Event instead of the overt exercise drill as per their own planning documents, and the victims were only simulating moulage injuries,

● or the acceptance that the images broadcast on television were faked (as no real EMT and EMS operation is trained to perform rescue in that absurd way, and were they to respond in that fashion they would be immediately held liable for criminal negligence in this most litigative nation where lawyers abound),

is the checkmate!

This checkmate, with expert testimony by medical and EMT practitioners of just describing their own normal procedures from their own textbooks and emergency procedure manuals, can stand in any court of law. It is not obvious for what precise charges however; perhaps minimally to publicly admit the truth as stated above, and as future hazard mitigation of drills inexplicably going live, to legally put a blanket moratorium on all such Planned training exercises by the executive branch of any administration, whether local, state, or federal, in which the pubic is not a priori informed – the way they were repeatedly informed of the planned Operation Shield 2012 Boston exercise much in advance.

As documented in the public documents above, the hiring of actors and amputees for disaster preparedness exercise drills is a Homeland Security and FEMA operational fact, not speculation. And so is actual conducting of Mass Casualty Event drills for which these stage-hands are routinely hired and asked to sign non disclosure agreement on national security grounds. These drills are used to rope unemployed people as well as pertinent officialdom into role play as their patriotic duty in the 'war on
terror' preparedness; to officially stand down any real emergency services as responsible people think this is only a drill and only those participating in the drill from officiadmin are on stage and scripted into the act; and subsequently, these drills are easily turned into a false flag operation either by collusion of all concerned, or solely by a supra covert agency of the national security state conducting a compartmentalized covert-ops terroristic act with "camouflage, patsies taking the blame, and deception" for which the drills provide the most excellent official cover and stand-down of real response.

That happened on the 9/11 catastrophic terrorism event (no NORAD response to the supposed airliner hijackings as the entire air defence system of the United States was standing down thinking it was the pre-planned exercise drills many of which were simultaneously in progress on that date). And we can see this same scenario in action replay in the Boston Marathon refresher small-terrorism event, where no ambulances or fire engines showed up for a long time, and the actors sporting moulage injuries were evacuated in wheelchairs for media photo-ops. The frightening thing to observe here is the level of complacency of the Boston citizens. A medical city, none of its doctors and professors of medicine have risen up to assert their expert testimony that these images of injuries and the emergency response of licensed and trained EMTs appears to be a spoof movie rather than something that they as professors of medicine either teach or practice in their own hospitals.

As we see transpiring in Boston and explained above, this drills method for overlaying Operation Gladio covert-ops also easily co-opts the officiadmin and actors who were only participating in the drills part, into adopting stoned silence given the real destruction, and real fatalities and injuries, for fear of criminal culpability for deceiving the public – not to mention the non disclosures they already signed any violation of which they minimally risk legal charges if not outright assassination by the same national security state apparatus which carried out the Operation Gladio death operation on the unwary public.

This diabolical modus operandi of training drills going live, is one up on the more primitive Operation Gladio in Western Europe. The false flag events there were not necessarily assisted by their officiadmin, and was conducted by NATO intelligence principally to convince both the state's elected officiadmin and the public electing them, of the fictitious threat of Communist invasion of Western Europe. The difference with the latter day Operation Gladios anywhere in the Western world, including in the United States, is that the national state machinery is already a part of the Hegelian Dialectic of 'War on Terror'. It is mainly their respective public that needs periodic convincing why they should be better off living in a police-state in order to protect them from an equally fictitious threat from domestic terrorists --- a Machiavellian modus operandi for the construction of a global police state piece-meal with the active connivance of officiadmin under the cover of “plausible deniability”.

Once again, all matters current already presaged by Bertrand Russell in the Impact of Science on Society in 1951:

“There is, it must be confessed, a psychological difficulty about a single world government. The chief source of social cohesion in the past, I repeat, has been war: the passions that inspire feeling of unity are hate and fear. These depend upon the existence of an enemy, actual or potential. It seems to follow that a world government could only be kept in being by force, not by the spontaneous
loyalty that now inspires a nation at war.”

Who those overarching planners are who continue to hijack drills and training exercises to make them go live, is unknown. The masterminds can never really be pinpointed – unless under a victor's justice as witnessed at Nuremberg Military Tribunals where the Nazi's 'Operation Canned Goods' was easily apprehended as the diabolical pretext “to goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers” (Justice Robert H. Jackson), and for which many among the surviving Nazi leadership were hanged. I desist from speculating without hard evidence, except to state the obvious fact of the matter. That, whichever this supranational organization is, and whosoever its real leaders, planners, controllers and field agents are who have repeatedly carried out Operation Gladio on both American and international soil with brazen impunity, can only be a secretive and highly compartmentalized part of officialdom space of the supranational security state that controls not only the sole superpower, but also many Western nations.

Without officialdom's active and tacit quid pro quo protection of this supranational security state apparatus within the facade of elected governance, this criminal enterprise running Operation Gladios worldwide cannot ever succeed.

Since the overarching motivation of officialdom – who are already known to merely be the elected front faces for the oligarchy that rules the United States with complete control – is known to be global governance in a global police state, therefore, all methods and modus operandi which take the world there, by hook or by crook, by the straightforward logic of the matter, are also its own.
Open Letter to the Doctors of America from Zahir Ebrahim

April 30, 2013

Dear Medical Practitioners in the United States of America

What bothers me about America is that of the 20,000 doctors and surgeons in Boston, from Harvard-MIT MD-Phds to the Dana-Farber super-specialists in every healthcare related field esoterica, not one can stand up to call a spade a spade? The physician I spoke to privately on Jeff Bauman's Boston Marathon explosion injury images broadcast in the newsmedia (see Domestic Terror and Police-State, https://tinyurl.com/Boston-Marathon-Fake-Terror, PDF), with just one look at the photos told me this cannot possibly be real.

Yet no medical practitioner, professor of medicine, EMT, EMS, man of science or man of commonsense from Boston to San Francisco has publicly made that call that the exploded legs victim looking alert and not bleeding to death while being strolled on Boylston Street in a wheelchair instead of a gurney is an absurdity?

Is that what you teach your medical students in the United States of America?

What has gone wrong that this nation only produces cowards?

Did Americans learn nothing from the Banality of Evil that created (and destroyed) the Third Reich?

Are you super learned AMA and FDA approved professors of medicine blind? Or merely “United We Stand” super Good Americans?

Disgusted.

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
California
Operation Gladio Watching Over America
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Chapter 17

Sanctification of the 9/11 Narrative

Long Term Impact of Sanctification of the 9/11 Big Lie

“Holocaust denial is illegal in only 17 countries, most of which are in Europe ... So though the actual number of countries that have criminalized revisionist history isn’t overwhelming by any means, the importance of the outlawing is. Because of it, history is still held hostage to power.” --- Lila Rajiva, mindbodypolitic [1]

While the last sentence in the statement above by American rebel extraordinaire of Indian origin, Lila Rajiva, is a truism; and the first sentence captures a monumental crime against the intellect which even surpasses the supreme crime of the Hellenic Civilization, the hemlock administered to Socrates; and while a fait accompli cannot (practically) be reversed once generations grow up in any sanctified narrative, the more pertinent issue for any generation is the sanctification of a narrative in the present tense.

That narrative of the present tense one can always do something about before it gets fully sanctified as public religion and new generations grow up believing and naturally resisting any
tampering with their core beliefs. More psychologically potent the belief, and more its political utility, more the indoctrination systems get established in society to inculcate and promulgate those beliefs, and more the resistance of *hoi polloi* mind to altering it with fact-check, analysis, empiricism, and all left-half brain oriented logical synthesis and deconstruction – because, the right-half brain dominates when faith, religion, dogma, and beliefs are brought into question. That has been recognized not just by the clergy from time immemorial, but by both Machiavelli and *Superman*.

In our generation, it is the pious sanctification of the 9/11 who dunnit narrative now in brazen progress.

This is how the incumbent president of the United States of America, the unchallenged and preeminent armed to the teeth sole superpower on earth, takes over the continued construction of the Big Lie from where his predecessor first mobilized it into a full blown World War IV: President Obama warns not to challenge the official narrative of 9/11

---

'I am aware that there is still some who would question, or even justify the offense of 911.

But let us be clear. Al Qaeda killed nearly 3000 people on that day. The victims were innocent men, women, and children from America and many other nations who had done nothing to harm anybody.

And yet Al Qaeda chose to ruthlessly murder these people, claimed credit for the attack, and even now states their determination to kill on a massive scale. They have affiliates in many countries, to try to expand their reach.

These are not opinions to be debated. These are facts to be dealt with.' --- President Obama, Cairo Egypt, June 4th 2009, [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kvg65o7z028](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kvg65o7z028)
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See full analysis of this sacred myth in the Mighty Wuritzer.

That is the new core narrative, the imperially asserted by fiat “facts to be dealt with”, the sacrosanct Big Lie of empire which has singularly enabled its own far greater “imperial mobilization” to a far greater Lebensraum, the whole of earth for one-world government.

And the most revealing thing is to perceptively note who else is participating in the Big Lie in making it sacrosanct right alongside the vulgar propagandists of empire all of whom, by the standards already established by the Allies joint Military Tribunal at Nuremberg for hanging the Nazi propagandists and war-mongers, would also hang without folly. It is a new breed of philosophers not known to exist in Nazi Germany, and carefully manufactured since then.

It is the breed of propagandists who appear to be preeminent dissenters in the advanced democracies of the West, but who echo the same core narrative of the Big Lie as the vulgar propagandists of empire.

Virtually all such chiefs, I would argue before any War Crimes Tribunal that would be bold enough to try the first group, are no different. They are merely two sides of the same imperial coin – to diabolically engineer the public mind in the service of the exercise of imperial power which would otherwise not be possible among a people not popularly imbued with the spirit of la mission civilisatrice.

The dissent-chiefs equally serve to deceive their own constituency – the rare public mind among hoi polloi that exhibits an ounce of moral gravitas and refuses to accept the mainstream propaganda fare easily accepted by the rest of hoi polloi.

Such minds were easily annihilated or silenced in the Third Reich where there were no pretenses of advanced democracy to be upheld. Nazi Socialism had decreed a divine master plan for the fatherland. Whereas the dissent rebels are permitted to exist in its replacement that must maintain the illusion of freedom of speech, of dissent, and of advanced democracy in action which permits vigorous debate as the beacon of Western civilization to the rest of the world.

In Dante's conception of his Inferno, I would argue that they deserve to be in the lowest and innermost circle of hell – because, they are the most villainous in their deception and deceit. They contribute to the successful sanctification of the Big Lie while pretending to be great moralists among the very audience who have the willingness and moral gravitas to endeavor in abolishing the deception and look up to these Superman for moral guidance.

This is a most distinguished group of sanctifiers indeed. Today, all of them echo in exact synchronicity, the who dunnit sacrosanct axiom of 9/11, while they boldly dissent with the exercise of hegemony that stems directly from upholding that sacred axiom.

Because of them, this new sacred narrative of 9/11 now under sanctification during our own lifetime, will create endless generations believing the Big Lie. And because of it, condemning Islam and Muslims – the following graph is intended to set the stage for it, which is why it was made available so diligently to the public:
Sanctification of the 9/11 Narrative

An FBI video presentation titled “Militancy Considerations” measures the relationship between piety and violence among the texts of the three Abrahamic faiths. As time goes on, the followers of the Torah and the Bible move from “violent” to “non-violent.” Not so for devotees of the Koran, whose “moderating process has not happened.” The line representing violent behavior from devout Muslims flatlines and continues outward, from 610 A.D. to 2010. In other words, religious Muslims have been and always will be agents of aggression. Watch FBI Presentation Video (click on image, alternate watch, source video link) artfully Hijacking Islam. See Islam vs. Secular Humanism and World Government by Zahir Ebrahim for its full implication. (Image source) [2]

I will take the bet that within a few years, this Jews led dominant narrative of 9/11 which has seeded World War IV, like the Holocaust™ seeded in World War II, will become 9/11™.

Not just 17 nations, but all nation-flags of the world in world government, will make it illegal to question that enabling Big Lie that brought global governance and global police-state to the new global Lebensraum.
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When a narrative is sanctified, not just culturally, but also legally with specific entitlements to the state penitentiary or to St. Elizabeth for blasphemy against it, then, irrespective of what that narrative is, an iron curtain outlasting generations is diabolically lowered around the public mind from which a civilization or society simply cannot escape. What can be a greater crime against humanity than that – enslaving for eons, generations in false beliefs, which in turn inform and govern their public and private behavior.

As already stated, while for the previous sanctifications of history nothing substantial or pragmatic can be done today as the powers that benefit from its sanctification are all ubiquitous, omnipotent, and omniscient, always proclaiming that “absence of evidence is not evidence of absence” with a straight face, and that perhaps is the only arguable justification brought to bear on the sacrosanctness of Holocaust™ (as the extensive public evidence compiled at http://ihr.org overwhelmingly substantiates), for the present day 9/11 narrative that has still not quite become TM, its fait accompli can still be interdicted.

Unfortunately, those able to do so with the most potency and practicalness, are already in the class to be held in the court abbey in iron chains if an absolute standard of moral justice ever prevails. No such standard is visible in political reality although always in lip-service as needed. While the surviving Nazi leadership was condemned to hang by Robert H. Jackson, the prominent chief prosecuting counsel for the United States and justice of US Supreme court, brilliantly declaring to them and to the war-weary world watching in 1946 the absolute standard of justice upon which they were being sentenced to death and not in victor's justice:

“If certain acts of violation of treaties are crimes, they are crimes whether the United States does them or whether Germany does them, and we are not prepared to lay down a rule of criminal conduct against others which we would not be willing to have invoked against us.”

immediately thereafter, in 1951, Justice Vinson of the US Supreme Court, declared the following:

“Nothing is more certain in modern society than the principle that there are no absolutes, that a name, a phrases, a standard has meaning only when associated with the considerations which give birth to nomenclature. To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative.”

Flushed with unassailable hubris on being on the side of empire in its core Big Lies, the murderers today, their aiders and abettors, assistant stooges and dupes, whether engineering consent or dissent, all well understand that: “All murderers are punished unless they kill in large numbers and to the sound of trumpets.”

Since all ideas are relative as already boldly proclaimed by the highest court in the reigning sole superpower, but which is of course also always true in practice (with or without declaration) for every king in every kingdom, they each understand that no one can even plausibly hang them for their measure of participating in propaganda and war crimes by echoing the Big Lie alongside the emperor. They know that today, there is no power greater than the emperor's to pursue them even in some wishful victor's justice. Secure in that knowledge, it is safe to be a propagandist of any flavor on any
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side of the coin – take whichever position you like based on your psychological disposition, natural
talent, skill, and egotistical gratification.

See this open letter to their most prominent and respected leader – Goebbells' direct counterpart
Machiavellianly manipulating the dissenting minority of hoi polloi by echoing the Big Lie underneath
all the protestations of the already visible barbarianism of hegemony:

- http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2014/04/open-letter-noam-chomsky-by-
zahirebrahim.html

There is more here – the group of brilliant dissent-chiefs who echo and sanctify the core Big
Lie:

- http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2013/09/songbird-or-superman.html

There are many more to expose. You know who you are – and so do hoi polloi reading this.

There really does not seem to exist anyone today who is also a somebody, who would boldly
pick up the real gauntlet of moral integrity as the true moral agent in the public's service --- all only
pay lip service to morality, to the responsibility of the writer as a moral agent, with a herd of hoi polloi
following each one.

For every one whom they deceived, there is an innermost sanctum reserved in Dante's Inferno.

Not much good such immanent feelings of justice do today as new narratives are sanctified for
future history and future revisionists, right before our very eyes --- and as unknowns, nobodies,
without institutional level resources and organizational backing, there is nothing the rag-tag band of
foolish rebels can do except to pathetically continue to shout in futility from their respective Speakers
Corner in the new Hyde Park, the internet.

The Superman, and hoi polloi, both perceptively understand that reality of the full futility of
challenging the narrative from the Speaker's Corner. Which is why, the pragmatic maxim: if you can't
beat them, join 'em, guides most anyone who has even an ounce of pragmaticism left in them. Let God
take care of the rest.

I don't know why that maxim continues to fail on the handful of rebels, the mal contents,
however. The last of the breed of songbirds on earth whom legal entitlements alone, if not the
continued impracticability of their endeavor, will eventually finish them off as some appendage of
antiquity:


For some however, that is living a good life. [3]

**Live a good life**, Lila Rajiva sensibly quotes from Marcus Aurelius: [4]

```
“Live a good life. If there are gods and they are just, then they will not care
how devout you have been, but will welcome you based on the virtues you
have lived by. If there are gods, but unjust, then you should not want to
worship them. If there are no gods, then you will be gone, but will have lived
```
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a noble life that will live on in the memories of your loved ones.”

And I pray they do continue to exist against all odds, and continue to do so, inspired by their own natural inner moral compass woefully denied the great Superman moral agents of empire.

Dictionary

1. sanctify: to make holy; set apart as sacred; consecrate; to entitle to reverence or respect

2. hoi polloi: plural noun, the common people; the masses

3. Superman: Nietzschean Übermensch; a person who feels superior to the common man, beyond the confines of traditional morality, beyond good and evil; a person who has adopted the morality of ‘will to power’ (see Thus Spoke Zarathustra: A Book for All and None by Friedrich Nietzsche)

4. St. Elizabeth: psychiatric hospital in Washinton D.C.; known for OSS/CIA clandestine programs for testing mind control drugs on human subjects; American poet Ezra Pound was incarcerated here after World War II as a political prisoner

Footnotes
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Chapter 18

The Intellectual Niggers of Pakistan

Propagandists for American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives

Useful Idiots or Mercenaries?

February 9, 2010 | Last updated 16 September 2011

In his autobiography, Malcolm X fleshed out the modern Negro who thinks like the massa. He is black, brown, red or yellow in skin color, but is pure white in mind color:

'Today's Uncle Tom doesn't wear a handkerchief on his head. This modern, twentieth-century Uncle Thomas now often wears a top hat. He's usually well-dressed and well-educated. He's often the personification of culture and refinement. The twentieth-century Uncle Thomas sometimes speaks with a Yale or Harvard accent. Sometimes he is known as Professor, Doctor, Judge, and Reverend, even Right Reverend Doctor. This twentieth-century Uncle Thomas is a professional Negro ... by that I mean his profession is being a Negro for the
The Intellectual Niggers of Pakistan


Well, that description of the colonized mind turns out to be not all that modern, even though it accurately captures the modern Uncle Tom among all peoples. Witness the following statement in his speech before the English Parliament in 1835, by Lord Babington Macaulay who devised the new education policy for the Indian sub-continent – the Jewel in the Crown of the British Empire:

'We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern, --a class of persons Indian in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals and in intellect.' -- (Lord Thomas Babington Macaulay, Minute on Education, 2nd February 1835)

Martin Luther King Jr. also offered a timeless description for the Negro which today transcends skin color and complexion in its empiricism:

'The white establishment is skilled in flattering and cultivating emerging leaders. It press[es] its own image on them and finally, from imitation of manners, dress, and style of living, a deeper strain of corruption develops. This kind of Negro leader acquires the white man’s contempt for the ordinary Negro. He is often more at home with the middle-class white than he is among his own people. His language changes, his location changes, his income changes, and ultimately he changes from the representative of the Negro to the white man into the white man’s representative to the Negro. The tragedy is that too often he does not recognize what has happened to him.’ -- (Martin Luther King Jr., A Testament of Hope, page 307)

Introducing The “Intellectual Negro”

Many more complex shades of the ‘Negro’ have been cultivated in modernity than the ones Malcolm X and MLK had been exposed to. One new shade that I have been grappling with for some time is the “Intellectual Negro”. This new shade of the servile Negro which escaped the experiences of the civil and human rights struggles of the American black leaders, has become ubiquitous among Muslims today, especially among Pakistanis, Afghans, and Arabs. Indeed, among all nations along the 'arc of crisis' in the 'global zone of percolating violence'.

This kind of Negro is familiar to us under the nom de guerre 'fabricated dissent', a pernicious variant of 'native informant'.

This Negro, the “Intellectual Negro”, is very sophisticated, and often very intelligent with advanced academic and/or public credentials. This Negro will appear to hector the white man and the white man's establishment, while still managing to echo the white man's core-axioms.

In other words, the intellectual Negro will appear to be an outspoken voice of dissent in favor
of the downtrodden and the oppressed, typically from the 'left-liberal' nexus, but will still devilishly manage to echo the massa's core message.

For instance, while vehemently critiquing the empire's war on terror and its devastating impact upon the innocent victims across many civilizations, the intellectual Negro will craftily manage to echo the empire's core message that Al Qaeda is the global terrorist menace which carried out the 9/11 attacks on America. That retention of the core-axiom of empire from which all the evil that followed after 9/11, and which enabled all its subsequent aggressive wars and crimes against humanity that he critiques, reduces the intellectual Negro to an absurdity. But he is treated as the most avantgarde in intellectual thought and praised by both, the hegelian instruments of the white man instrumenting its dissent-space, as well as the brain-washed field Negroes themselves to whom he laboriously carries the white man's burden displaying much personal anguish.

Thus, the facade of hectoring, i.e., challenging the visible narratives of power, serves the function of appearing to be on the side of the 'field Negro', but in reality he is still a 'house Negro' without speaking in that ‘we’ vernacular noted by Malcolm X.

Here is an example: the first article hectors the white man, the second one echoes its core axioms:

- Cached PDF Between imperialism and Islamism By Pervez Hoodbhoy, October-November 2007 Himal Southasian, pgs. 26-31
  (https://tinyurl.com/hoodbhoy-between-imperialism)

- Cached PDF Pakistan – The Threat From Within By Pervez Hoodbhoy, 23rd May 2007, Pakistan Security Research Unit (PSRU) Brief Number 13
  (https://tinyurl.com/hoodbhoy-threat-from-within)

And here is another one which does the same all in one article:

- Cached PDF Between Military and Militants FROM AYESHA SIDDIQA IN ISLAMABAD PAKISTAN, Associated Press, March 19, 2007
  (https://tinyurl.com/AyeshaSiddiqa-between-military)

And here is deconstructing another intellectual Negro who ostensibly hectors power while still managing to echo its key message:


Here is the latest variant who shamelessly sides with power spinning absurdities:


While the above illustrative examples are typical of the “Left-liberal” nexus carrying the mantra...
of Secular Humanism and reviling the “Right-religion” nexus, the following is an illustrative example of the “Right-religion” nexus. After villainously condemning each other during the day in “noora kushiti” (Urdu word for a WWF style wrestling match where both combatants entertaining the audience by a show of vile antagonist wrestling, work for the same promoter and drink from the same trough after the match), they both congregate at the same white man's table for supper:


The diabolical omissions and selective story-telling, a crime common to all Negro penologists of Pakistan serving the massa's interests, including its news media, its NGO based glittering literati clamoring Secular Humanism, its virtuous politicians picking whichever side leads the quickest to power and graft, its mercenary military slaughtering its own peoples with American payments, and its religious zealots either burning American flags in protests or echoing the massa's own condemnations, is in my 692-page book “The Pakistan Decapitation Papers” 4th Edition, June 2011. A quick examination of these omissions which never see ink in the erudite penmanship of the intellectual Negro picking the “good” side in the Hegelian Dialectic of “militant Islam” vs. “moderate Islam” is here:


Since Pakistan and Afghanistan share more than just an ill-fated arbitrary boundary of imperial fiat, the same niggers gallantly extend across its long borders of common history. The following is an example drawn from Afghanistan but just as ubiquitous in Pakistan. An Afghani-born, American-naturalized, Stanford and Columbia university educated professor of education playing loud dissent with empire: “I think it was absolutely wrong for the United States to attack and invade Afghanistan, because Afghanistan as such had nothing to do with 9/11”, still managed to echo the core-axioms of empire that 9/11 was an invasion from abroad and the work of vile terrorist Muslims: “In fact people now think that the Taleban had no idea that Al Qaeda had a plan to attack the United States”. The good Samaritan educator has continued to labor since the invasion of Afghanistan in October 2001, to bring the same sort of Education system of the colonizing white man to Afghanistan as was crafted by Lord Macaulay to cultivate house negroes and Uncle Thomases in the Indian sub-continent:


The aforementioned example is illustrative of the quintessential modern intellectual Negro – highly intelligent, un-afraid to speak up against the massa, yet fully subservient where necessary, laboring with missionary zeal in carrying the white man's burden, its 'la mission civilisatrice', in full sympathy to the victims. The resume of the intellectual Negro is notably representative of the most successful native informants today:
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'He was born and schooled in Afghanistan, received a B.A. in sociology from The American University of Beirut, an M.A. in comparative education from Teachers College, Columbia University, and an M.A. in anthropology and a Ph.D. in international development education from Stanford University. Dr. Wahab was the first person in his family’s history to attend the village school, a boarding school in Kabul, and receive scholarships to attend college in Lebanon and the US. Thus far, Dr. Wahab is the only Afghan with a Ph.D. from Stanford University.'

My aforementioned letter to the Afghani scholar was greeted not with stone silence as I had feared, but with the following short encouraging statement:

“Dear Mr. Ebrahim, Greetings from Portland, and many thanks for your insightful, passionate, informative, thoughtful, and thought-provoking e-mail. I will respond in detail, or call you, as soon as I have some time and peace of mind. Regards, Zw”.

I look forward to such exchange.

The good Afghani professor may well be the rare case of genuinely being mistaken in carrying the white man's burden – as inconceivable as that may be to imagine that someone with a masters degree in anthropology and a doctorate in education would be unaware of the modalities of the greatest colonial conquest of all time, that of the Indian sub-continent, partly with the already mentioned Education policy of Lord Macaulay for fabricating “professional Negroes”. In which case, the good professor will cease and desist from carrying water for the white man's “la mission civilisatrice”. When that transpires, this illustrative example will be appropriately amended.

Here is a similar illustrative example of a stellar high-tech professional resume, one which has proven itself time and again to be unarguably that of an intellectual Negro. This one is a most distinguished resume from Pakistan. It was carried by the Middle East Forum, a Zionist neo-con Quarterly, presumably of the Jewish Islamophobe Daniel Pipes. They love to promote any intellectual Negro who will profoundly echo, in any convolution, the white man's burden encapsulated in the doctrinal craftsmanship of Jewish scholars like Bernard Lewis, in books such as: “Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror” and “What Went Wrong? The Clash Between Islam and Modernity in the Middle East”:

'Pervez Amirali Hoodbhoy (b. 1950) is one of South Asia's leading nuclear physicists and perhaps Pakistan's preeminent intellectual. Bearer of a Ph.D. from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, he is chairman of the department of physics at Quaid-e-Azam University in Islamabad where, as a high-energy physicist, he carries out research into quantum field theory and particle phenomenology. He has also been a visiting professor at the University of Maryland, College Park, and was visiting professor at MIT and Stanford. For some time, he has been a frequent contributor to Britain's leading intellectual journal, Prospect. His extracurricular activities include a vocal opposition to the political philosophy of Islamism. He also writes about the self-enforced backwardness of the Muslim world in science, technology, trade, and education.
His many articles and television documentaries have made a lasting impact on debate about education, Islam, and secularism in Pakistan. Denis MacEoin interviewed him by e-mail in October 2009.

Here is that interview with Pervez Hoodbhoy, titled “Islam and Science Have Parted Ways” promoted by MEF. And here is Hoodbhoy's column in the UK Guardian “Islam's arrested development”, echoing the same theme. The deconstruction of the crafty linguistics for the seemingly careless usage of the word “Islam” which enables crafting the public discourse on “Islamism” and its variants such as “militant Islam”, “moderate Islam”, etc., is in my response to the CAIR Report:


The Hijacking of the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation which unites Pakistani Niggers with the Jewish neo-con massas like Bernard Lewis, Samuel Huntington, Daniel Pipes et. al., is fully fleshed out in:


Once I wrote to Pervez Hoodbhoy suggesting something to the effect that: “if your essays didn't have your name on them, I might have thought the author is Daniel Pipes.” Dr. Pervez Hoodbhoy, my co-alum from MIT, fellow Pakistani, and many years my senior, has not talked to me since then. I continually wonder why:

- Is it because of my possessing the few skills, the commonsensical knowledge, and the foolish boldness to call a spade a spade continually confronting the “preeminent intellectual” rather than being co-opted into silence?

- Or, is it that I am so egregiously mistaken in my conclusions that I am not worth interlocuting with for the lofty “preeminent intellectual” – ubiquitous in the massa's dominion – who only contends with finding major faults with “Islam”, argues willy-nilly with other Uncle Toms of various shades, presents himself prominently in massa's various gatherings ostensibly representing the field Negroes, and just eschews the field Negroes themselves as being just too ignorant to teach?

This is a perennial mystery which repeats itself everyday, as my every unmasking of the intellectual Negro is stoically greeted with the thunderous sounds of silence. Ignoring the field Negro skilled enough to unmask both the massa and their house Negro, has been the most effective way of silencing the field Negro.

For indeed, all the freedom of speech in free space (no air) still naturally leads only to asphyxiation! The massa knows it, and has groomed his house niggers rather well. This leaves the ubiquitous Uncle Toms and his owner free to spin their doctrinal craft unfettered, over all the air which they already own outright.

Thus, while denying the field Negro air to expound, the massa and his “professional Negroes” incestuously reinforce each other unhindered and unchallenged, spanning the full gamut of intellectual
and psychological warfare upon civilian populations worldwide.

The “Hegelian Mind-Fck” behind such “cognitive infiltration” to:

- manufacture consent and dissent as part of the social engineering of mass persuasion;
- spin clever red herrings (a smelly fish that a fugitive drags across the path in order to put the pursuing dogs off the trail) through Machiavellian interjections of fabricated “diversity” and fabricated dissent;
- synthesize controlled clashes of fabricated opposites for raising a new phoenix from its ashes;

is examined in much depth in the following tutorials:


I fear one would be very hard pressed to find an exception to the “intellectual Negro” in Pakistan and the Middle East from among our uber-educated literati, from among our well-funded 'humanist' NGOs, especially the Human Rights Organizations, the Left as well as the Right, and the new Occidentosis plague we seemed to have picked up: think-tanks staffed with our finest Negroes of all shades, including brain-washed 'field Negroes' employed as cover, useful idiots, dupes and patsies.

Just as there was the government-private partnership between the British Empire and the East India Company to maintain the empire upon which the sun once never set, the empire du jour too similarly thrives on government-private partnership for its “imperial mobilization” and for the maintenance of its extended empire.

The line between government and private sector is merely one of who writes the final paycheck to the employees, for they both share the same common goals of empire, and work hand in glove. The Jewish grandmaster of The Grand Chessboard, Zbigniew Brzezinski, self-servingly admitted this modus operandi when he wrote in his 1970 book Between Two Ages:

“The trend toward more coordination but less centralization would be in keeping with the American tradition of blurring sharp distinctions between public and private institutions. Institutions such as TVA or the Ford Foundation perform functions difficult for many Europeans to understand, since they are more accustomed either to differentiate sharply between the public sphere and the private (as has been typical of the industrial age) or to subordinate the private to the public (as is favoured by the socialists and some liberals) or to absorb the private by the public (as has been the case in communist states).” (pg. 99)
And the Jewish columnist Thomas Friedman truthfully confirmed what is already obvious, in the New York Times on March 28, 1999:

“The hidden hand of the market will never work without a hidden fist -- McDonald's cannot flourish without McDonnell Douglas, the builder of the F-15. And the hidden fist that keeps the world safe for Silicon Valley's technologies is called the United States Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps.”

The professional intellectual Negro typically earns his paycheck from the private sector of the Military-Industrial-Academe-Non-profit-Thinktanks-Foundations half of empire while he critiques the public sector half comprising “the United States Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps”, and of course, including the White House which controls that not so “hidden fist”. That separation of employer name on the paycheck stub evidently provides the much needed soothing balm to the modern intellectual Negro's conscience.

It would be a grave mistake to surmise that the House Negro phenomena is only peculiar to the few professional craftsmen of the Mighty Wurlitzer (see link above). Ordinary peoples are just as much participant in it. The following anecdotal case is in fact rather typical of new Uncle Toms in America.

When I was describing to a very dear friend of mine who only recently became a naturalized US citizen, how Malcolm X taught himself in the prison library, how he read constantly to become the un-challengeable orator and spokesperson for his peoples' cause, my friend's immediate interjection was, “see, even their prisons have such great libraries”! My new Uncle Tom, which I of course immediately addressed my long-time friend as, betrayed empathy with no one else except with prominent house niggers and the massa. Well, at least my friend was honest about his feelings of gratitude for the massa, having observed previously that the white man had given him far more than his own nation. The good fellow, who wears the stamp of remarkable piety on his forehead, and is one of the best in morals and friendship among all the people I know, never stopped to reflect what the white man took from our nations by cultivating fools, useful idiots, stooges, patsies, and mercenaries which he implanted in key positions in our nations to ensure that we stayed rudderless. That fact that our nations became more and more corrupt by villainous means in the post colonial era which the massa had cleverly instrumented for us, has amply been discussed elsewhere (see John Perkins).

To make centuries long colonized nations blind and steeped in servility by methods of neo-colonization in the ostensibly post-colonial period, and then to complain we are still blind, is the characteristic of the house nigger who blames the field niggers for all of their desperate state.

This self-deluding co-option is not atypical. Apart from the fact that it is the story of mental slavery in every epoch, today it is most visible – to those who wish to see it – in virtually every mosque and “mai-khana” (bar, a figure of speech to indicate secular Muslims) in the West. While the latter caters to the spirit of Secular Humanism of the white man in his ongoing “la mission civilisatrice” upon the world, the former, a place of ritual piety, evidently also only succeeds in fabricating the “Good Muslim” and the “Good American” – sort of counterparts to the “Good Christian” and the “Good German” in the Third Reich – for 'United We Stand' in the Fourth Reich!

The massa has always understood this psychology of servility of the colonized man, and all too
well. He has always cultivated and harvested from this colonized crop, the most able bodied, the most
talented, and turned them into the most credentialled “professional Negroes” as described by Malcolm
X in his Autobiography. Due to its immense pertinence to our times, it begs further emphasis: “This
twentieth-century Uncle Thomas is a professional Negro ... by that I mean his profession is being a
Negro for the white man.” (pg. 265)

The key psychological processes to construct this servility among most immigrant communities
in the massa's world of gainful employment, and other material benefits denied them in their native
country, is all of that which also went into making the good house negro short of actual physical
slavery. Studying Malcolm X therefore, reveals a great deal about many of us today.

Almost 90 percent, that not being an exaggeration, perhaps even an underestimate if anything,
first and even second generation immigrants to America, just like the vast majority of elites in all
Muslim and formerly colonized lands, are infected with this de facto mental colonization.

Layered atop this foundational layer of de facto mental colonization of the 'Negro' of every
flavor, is the layer of fabricated deception purveyors based on shared ideology. And on top of that is
another pernicious layer based on apparently our natural trait: our meager price which turns us, not
just psychologically, but also physically, into traitors to our own peoples.

These three colonizing mental forces combined in various shades tend to create many more
Negro types. The ‘price’ aspect is particularly pernicious – this price today is far more insidious than
the mere 'lifafa' (envelope stuffed with bribe money), the bottle of whiskey, or even the trip to
Disneyland of yesteryear as narrated by Brig. Tirmazi in his book “Profiles of Intelligence” Ch 3, page
45. His exact words:

'A lot has been said and written by some of our American friends about the
price of a Pakistani. Dr. Andrew V. Corry, US Counsel General at Lahore, once
said, “Price of a Pakistani oscillates between a free trip to the US and a
bottle of whisky.” He may not be too far wrong. We did observe some highly
placed Pakistanis selling their conscience, prestige, dignity and self-respect for
a small price.'

This is why the aforementioned Pakistani intellectual Negro can blithely claim with a straight
face: 'Is the Check in the Mail? The Confessions of a Groveling Pakistani Native Orientalist'! While he
also publicly admits to the intangible benefits in 'An End to Hypocrisy': “I belong to the fortunate few
who can get a visa,”. The professional intellectual Negro might do well to stare in the mirror while he
echoes the massa's message admitting to its benefits. It would surely assist him in comprehending the
full import of that Biblical word which he has evidently mastered so well without understanding its
real meaning:

“My green passport requires standing in a separate immigration line once my
plane lands at Boston’s Logan Airport. The “special attention” from Homeland
Security, although polite, adds an extra two to three hours. I belong to the
fortunate few who can get a visa, but I am still annoyed. Having traveled to the
United States frequently for 40 years, I now find a country that once warmly
welcomed Pakistanis to be quite cold. The reason is clear.
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Foreigners carrying strong negative feelings—or perhaps harmful intentions—are unlikely to find enthusiastic hosts. I know that the man who tried to bomb Times Square, Faisal Shahzad, a graduate of the University of Bridgeport, is my compatriot. So is Aafia Siddiqui, our new-found dukhtur-e-millat (daughter of the nation). Another Pakistani, Farooque Ahmed, with a degree from the College of Staten Island, made headline news in November 2010 after his abortive attempt to blow up DC Metro trains.

If such violent individuals were rarities, their nationality would matter little. But their actions receive little or no criticism in a country consumed by bitter anti-Americanism, which now exceeds its anti-Indianism.”

If I might be permitted a bit of narcissism here to draw a valid comparison, I too possess only the “green passport [which] requires standing in a separate immigration line once my plane lands”, despite over three decades of permanent residence in the United States with the permanent resident card (green card) which my first employer in Silicon Valley got for me. They claimed before the US Department of Labor (or something similar) that they couldn't find any white man in America to replace my engineering skills which they wanted badly at the time. Yet, compare my Realityspeak (my neologism) to the Newspeak (a term from George Orwell's novel 1984) of both the massa and his obliging Niggers! That's because I am a “field Negro” – figuratively speaking – and that's something which I have proudly earned by dint of my own study and observations, not a misery I was born into like Malcolm X and others birthed on the wrong side of the railroad tracks. Any “house nigger” today, irrespective of their skin color and national origin, would do well to study Malcolm X's Autobiography in some depth. The fate of those who follow in that footsteps, to genuinely challenge unjust power and its villainous narratives, is surely the early graveyard. It is written in the indelible pages of history. A choice one knowingly makes – because despite the overt choice, there is really no choice:


As for Pervez Hoodbhoy's lofty demonstration of leftwing compassion for “Aafia Siddiqui, our new-found dukhtur-e-millat (daughter of the nation)”, see its deconstruction identifying all the omissions in the professional intellectual Negro's narratives in deep servility to the massa – when he could have been the strongest ally for his victim:


Pervez Hoodbhoy's show of fearless rebellion against the forces of imperialism is of course predicated on his theory of “leftwing politics” which he most articulately expounded in his already mentioned ode to the Hegelian Dialectic: Between Imperialism and Islamism. Like a learned physicist Hoodbhoy first postulated the problem, thusly:

“Many of us in the left, particularly in Southasia, have chosen to understand the rise of violent Islamic fundamentalism as a response to poverty, unemployment, poor access to justice, lack of educational opportunities, corruption, loss of faith in the political system, or the sufferings of peasants and workers. As partial
truths, these are indisputable. Those condemned to living a life with little hope and happiness are indeed vulnerable to calls from religious demagogues who offer a happy hereafter in exchange for unquestioning obedience.

American imperialism is also held responsible. This, too, is a partial truth. Stung by the attacks of 11 September 2001, the United States lashed out against Muslims almost everywhere. America’s neoconservatives thought that cracking the whip would surely bring the world to order. Instead, the opposite happened. Islamists won massively in Iraq after a war waged on fraudulent grounds by a superpower filled with hubris, arrogance and ignorance. ‘Shock and Awe’ is now turning into ‘Cut and Run’. The US is leaving behind a snake pit, from which battle-hardened terrorists are stealthily making their way to countries around the world. Polls show that the US has become one of the most unpopular countries in the world, and that, in many places, George W Bush is more disliked than Osama bin Laden.”

That Pakistani house nigger's problem articulation of course exactly parrots the blowback mantra of the massa in the West. See my response to Chris Hedges where the massa's controlled dissent is carefully dissected and dismantled:


Having firmly played the massa's own Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent, which incidentally is what makes getting visas and sabbaticals a trivial matter for Pakistan's most favored leftwing brown-sahib of the American Embassy in Islamabad: “I belong to the fortunate few who can get a visa,” Hoodbhoy offered his specious solution space of “leftwing politics” --- the key purpose of the Americans for cultivating this house nigger in Pakistan. The main task of “cognitive infiltration” to introduce “beneficial cognitive diversity” (sic!) among Pakistan's Muslim public, right alongside “Moderate Islam” as the Hegelian counterpoints to “Militant Islam”, to orchestrate internal clashes and divisions in the name of being peace-makers (see verse 2:11 of the Holy Qur'an which warns of precisely this age-old villainy: “And when it is said to them, Do not make mischief in the land, they say: We are but peace-makers.”), in Pervez Hoodbhoy's own words:

“The role of the left

Between the xenophobes of the West and the illogical fundamentalism in Muslim societies, the choices keep getting grimmer. A mutually beneficial disentanglement can only be provided by humane, reasoned and principled leftwing politics.

Looking down at planet Earth from above, one would see a bloody battlefield, where imperial might and religious fundamentalism are locked in bitter struggle. Whose victory or defeat should one wish for? There cannot be an unequivocal preference; each dispute must be looked at separately. And the answers seem to lie on the left of the political spectrum, as long as we are able
to recognise what the left actually stands for.

The leftwing agenda is a positive one. It rests upon hope for a happier and more humane world that is grounded in reason, education and economic justice. It provides a sound moral compass to a world that is losing direction. One must navigate a course safely away from the xenophobes of the US and Europe – who see Islam as an evil to be suppressed or conquered – and also away from the large number of Muslims across the world who justify acts of terrorism and violence as part of asymmetric warfare.

No ‘higher authority’ defines the leftwing agenda, and no covenant of belief defines a ‘leftist’. There is no card to be carried or oath to be taken. But secularism, universalistic ideas of human rights, and freedom of belief are non-negotiable. Domination by reasons of class, race, national origin, gender or sexual orientation are all equally unacceptable. In practical terms, this means that the left defends workers from capitalists, peasants from landlords, the colonised from the colonisers, religious minorities from state persecution, the dispossessed from the occupiers, women from male oppression, Muslims from Western Islamophobes, populations of Western countries from terrorists, and so on.”

Pervez Hoodbhoy used that “humane, reasoned and principled leftwing politics” for which: “No ‘higher authority’ defines the leftwing agenda, ... It provides a sound moral compass to a world that is losing direction” to admirably defend a frail and defenseless woman he cynically called “our new-found dukhtur-e-millat” in sympathy with his massa's verdict on her without an iota of “humane, reasoned and principled” examination of the matter. We see that Pervez Hoodbhoy goes right along parroting his massa, he reproduces their facts, their data, their analysis, and their conclusions, in the guise of being their antagonist – the clever Intellectual Nigger! But not cleverer than even an ordinary field negro who can administer a single knock-out punch with one hand tied behind his back. Which is why the house niggers tend to lurk only in the shadows of the massa, only dare to engage in WWF style wrestling with their confreres beholden to the same massa and its many instruments who all know how the game is played, and not venture out into free space where the field negroes dwell. As the lovely Pakistani singer Sanam Marvi boldly remarked without hesitation on mainstream Pakistan television to the bs of her interlocuter: “chootia bana rahe ho?” (Indelicate Urdu phraseology for “trying to make a fool of me with your fcking bs?”)

Whilst the case of Pervez Hoodbhoy has been examined in depth here as holding the most distinguished and legitimate white man's credentials of them all, all Intellectual Negro assets and useful idiots of empire employ the same modus operandi – each playing their assigned role in minor variations. “They have their exits and their entrances; And one man in his time plays many parts, His acts being seven ages.” It is easy to spot them in their fabricated dissent – they tend to “belong to the fortunate few who can get a visa”!

Moving right along, it is a shame that few people understand the import of crafty omissions. Which is why I have to continually emphasize it. While the reader may have seen similar passages in many of my writings cited here, it is necessary to restate again because the indictment of the
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Intellectual Negro playing dissent to the massa, is often for his calculated omissions. The art of voluntary persuasion, “to get people actually to love their servitude”, is integral to social engineering of consent. It was most eloquently explained by the famous essayist and novelist, Aldous Huxley on the 30th anniversary of the publication of his allegorical novel *Brave New World*, at University of California, Berkeley. Huxley had very shrewdly observed a half century ago:

‘You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.’ --- Aldous Huxley, 1962 speech at UC Berkeley, minute 04:06

Therefore, given that engineering consent of the masses is the objective of social engineering, “of getting people to consent to what is happening to them”, Aldous Huxley explained the role of calculated omissions in systems of propaganda which accomplish just that, in his Preface to *Brave New World*:

‘The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals. But silence is not enough. If persecution, liquidation and the other symptoms of social friction are to be avoided, the positive sides of propaganda must be made as effective as the negative.’ — Aldous Huxley, Preface (circa 1946) to Brave New World, 1931, Harper, pg. 11

Let's just pause here for a moment to deeply reflect, and to keep reminding oneself afterwards when one encounters any material in the New York Times and CNN, and in the so called alternate media which has ostensibly risen to challenge mainstream, that they all work for the same bosses echoing the same core lies by way of both omission and commission. That, these propaganda organs in the twenty-first century do both, the crucial omissions (the negative sides of propaganda using silence on key matters), and the facile mantra recitations (the positive sides of propaganda), which Adolph Hitler in *Mein Kampf*, Edward Bernays in *Propaganda*, and Aldous Huxley had written much about in the previous century.
See the already cited link for the Mighty Wurlitzer report for a detailed study of how such persuasion works in practice.
Letter to Sibel Edmonds on 'Pakistan's Bomb, U.S.
Cover-up'

March 18, 2008.

To: The courageous Sibel Edmonds (via email)
Founder, National Security Whistleblowers Coalition,
Alexandria, VA 22320.

Dear Ms. Sibel Edmonds,

I chanced upon your work today from Daniel Ellsberg's essay “Pakistan's Bomb, U.S.
Cover-up”, and followed up on some of your fascinating disclosures and courageous efforts.

First of all, please accept a humble 'keep your chin up' message of encouragement and gratitude from Project Humanbeingsfirst. Just as Rachel Corrie had to stand up to the bulldozer, you too are surely driven by the same compulsion for truth and justice to stand up to another colossus 'bulldozer',

Zahir Ebrahim
but I hope with more efficacious and certainly more pleasanter outcome. There is however, always a price to pay for an awakened conscience. Thanks for being a tremendous role model for other conscientious denizens of the world. As Daniel Ellsberg – the 'mother' of all whistleblowers – states, and as your founding of the NSWBC.org encourages, I too humbly hope that other whistleblowers in the 'know' do not wait but come out directly to the public on such crucial matters of monumental criminality when they suspect treason and lies being told to subvert the populist notion of the 'American way'. Especially, to prevent the impending nuclear attack on Iran under variously contrived pretexts (A, B). To the credit of this nation whose worthy citizen you are, such 'outings' and quests for truth and justice are still only possible in this country despite your onerous struggles to this effect. Which is why, I also still continue to live in this nation as a permanent resident despite being a citizen of Pakistan. If you are interested, you may read a bit about me here.

Secondly, and more to the point, this letter is mainly about the interpretation you have given to the facts that you discovered. It is not about your long and horrendous ordeal in getting the U.S. Government and the newsmedia to acknowledge that you indeed did speak truthfully about your discoveries and of your experiences and ordeals while attempting to bring them to light as a patriotic and concerned citizen.

The following observation by Daniel Ellsberg in his essay pointing out your work is intriguing:

' ... various American journalists in the last weeks have reportedly received calls from "intelligence sources" hinting that "what Sibel Edmonds stumbled onto" is not a rogue operation by American officials and congressmen working to their own advantage -- as believed by Edmonds and some other former or active FBI officials -- but a sensitive covert operation authorized at high levels. ...'

Indeed, the absence of this sense from your own writings, public disclosures, and the recent Times articles, in itself is intriguing to me. As one close to the investigations and to the 'gagged' data, i.e., being an informed insider, and also surely far better well versed than most among the public with the notion captured in this pithy saying of a former CIA counter intelligence chief (of over 2 decades) “deception is the state of the mind; and the mind of the state”, why is this line of inquiry missing from your own public assessments of your discoveries in the FBI recordings and in its files?

The consistent impression one gets from your articulations of your profound discoveries is one of mercenarial 'criminal rogue operators'. The Times article of January 8, 2008, too is sensationally titled “For sale: West's deadly nuclear secrets”. Looking at 'Iran-Contra' in the same light (had such data been available) would certainly have led to an altogether different conclusion space. Whereas in reality, as known to all and sundry today, it was an officially sanctioned White House covert-policy to get Iran and Iraq to fight it out by arming them both in order to neutralize and contain the Iranian people's revolution, 'plausible deniability' and Presidential pardons among the guilty at the highest levels not notwithstanding. And what were these pardons for when it all unraveled? Not for devilishly getting two nations to fight and the fratricidal death of a million Muslims on both sides. But for subverting US laws that precluded officially sending arms to Iran. So a convoluted covert method for doing it was crafted, and when it unraveled, that was determined to be the only crime in the matter for which the criminals were later pardoned! You can perhaps not sense the outrage among the peoples of the world at the hubris of the world's most autocratic and diabolical superpower – that its secretive foreign policy calculus of setting up two nations to fight each other to death is never questioned in the
Congressional inquiry or in the newsmedia, but only on the method used to arm one of the foes. That is how the underlying envelope of 'legality' is defined for you. And that is also how 'rogue' versus 'kosher' is delineated. This aspect is revisited again in the concluding paragraphs of this letter to bring out the key meta question to pursue as the highest order bit of the matter in order to achieve real, measurable, and enduring change from your immense effort to speak out which is already surely at great personal cost to you.

But to the point here, in your case too, such a rational conclusion-space seems inescapable as well, that what you have discovered are merely the covert leaves of an officially sanctioned foreign policy calculus rooted in the DNA of hubris and conquest on the 'Grand Chessboard'. Especially by the weight of your own testimony of consistent thwarting of the official investigations that happened multiple times, across multiple agencies, including within your own, and still continues to happen to this day across the board to the point that “... the FBI took the highly unusual step of retroactively classifying information it gave to Congress two years ago about the Sibel Edmonds case” (CBS). The fact that small sums of money are changing hands may be the clever red herring learnt from the unraveling of 'Iran Contra'. Please permit me to explore this single aspect in some depth in the rest of the letter.

What are the chances that all of a sudden, so many simultaneous 'treasonous peoples' materialized in the USA on this very matter on which the National Security Apparatus of the world's sole superpower spends a trillion dollars every couple of years in its defense budget, and which is the crown jewels [of its nuclear primacy] that enables it to become the 'hectoring hegemon' in the world [under the stewardship of the very same 'treasonous peoples']?

And what is the probability that it is a deliberate, multi-tiered, overarching, compartmentalized covert-ops that transcends Administrations based on perhaps an unwritten or highly and deeply classified foreign policy principle – as the real powers and architects behind all of the Administrations largely remain the same in an institutionalized revolving door consisting of Administration, Academe, Military, Think-Tanks, and sometimes Corporation board rooms?

Just as one illustrative example of enduring policies and long lived strategic policy craftsmen across multiple Administrations, witness Zbigniew Brzezinski laying out the 'chess moves' on the 'Grand Chessboard': serving as National Security Advisor to a Democrat President while “giving to the USSR its Vietnam War”, and then advising and guiding the subsequent Republican and Democrat Administrations equally in continuation of the 'chess moves', all of which are entirely blue-printed by him in his remarkable 1996 book. Its strategies for “imperial mobilization” to maintain “American Primacy” seem to have been adopted in its entirety by the present Administration, and is likely to remain the guiding policy aspect of the overarching American Foreign Policy calculus in the near term no matter who comes to occupy the living quarters in the White House. This is really no different from the so called 'Truman Doctrine' which ran the American Foreign Policy calculus during the hey day of Communism for over four decades after World War II. Have you had an opportunity to examine 'Operation Gladio' (pdf here), to appreciate the depth of devilishly orchestrated, often convoluted, compartmentalized layerings that are possible in long term covert-ops when instrumented under overarching covert-policy principles?

Thus please permit me to ask whether you considered examining your data and conducting your forensic analysis in the light of this perspective of unraveling the multi-tiered and highly complex
calculus of the geostrategic chessgame of an 'empire'? If not, then why not. If you have done so, then why is it missing in your public exposition?

Why do you base your entire comprehension of the snippets of data that you have so far gleaned as only a 'rogue' operation? There must surely be some rational and convincingly arguable reason for your perspective. What is it? The missing 'pink panther glove' to prove that it was officially sanctioned covert-ops? That will never be found – and surely you must know that! In case you are interested, this issue is fleshed out in some detail in http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2007/03/dialog-among-civilizations-whytalksfail.html.

It is easy to forget that whatever one may learn of secretive 'contemporary history' as it unfolds in near 'realtime', is likely only a tiny slice, a snapshot, a fragment, or multiple fragments, of a much bigger highly 'compartmentalized' picture, especially when it pertains to 'national security', covert-ops, and “imperial mobilization”. I am surely pushing an open door here and preaching to the choir when I utter this self-evident bit of truism. However, it forms an essential underlying axiom, often unarticulated and ignored, of accurate and rational ‘model building’ to comprehend hidden and secretive overarching compartmentalized realities based on fragmentary and often misleading or misinterpreted data acquired from manifest reality – the modus operandi and starting premise of all good forensic detectives – despite the attendant trail of deliberately cultivated red herrings and bread crumbs.

As you will surely agree, higher the level of the secretive nature of covert-ops and greater its criminality (moral or legal), and longer the planning and execution horizon, greater and more convoluted are the red herrings surrounding them to keep the public (and other branches of the bureaucracy) from discovering the big picture while the covert-ops are still ‘hot’. This modus operandi of cloaking secrets in sophisticated deception is often referred to as the 'technique of infamy' as you surely already must know. Why ignore it in your forensic analysis of your discoveries? Why could red herrings also not be planted to mislead other agencies of the Government in case something prematurely blows up in an ultra-high sensitivity global intelligence covert-ops in the geostrategic policy-plane that is to transcend Administrations and is designed to possibly create covert proxy wars and mayhem against powerful foes in the future?

The following is what leads me to think that your interpretation of these recorded conversations and its extrapolation to the bigger picture that America's nuclear secrets were being sold, may be a red herring deliberately set up to be interpreted that way if exposed. For fundamentally, you are echoing your theories in the backdrop of the same official narratives of the Pentagon and the White House, that there is a rogue network, that there is the 'al qaeda', and that America is threatened by external enemies who perpetrated 9/11. Thus you are accomplishing two things simultaneously. One, lending credence to the official narrative of the threat to America being from external outlaw 'pirates', and two, swallowing their decay. The first point is not addressed in this letter further. Please see Webster Tarpley's book “9/11 Synthetic Terror” (pdf) for a good analysis of how such an external terror threat might be a complete fabrication, and that the real enemy might well be within, or see Michel Chossudovsky's website http://globalresearch.ca, or see my rather plebeian book “Prisoners of the Cave” that examines it from the lens of Zbigniew Brzezinski's book 'the Grand Chessboard'. Please permit me however to point out a few anomalies for the second aspect as a reality check based on my limited experience.
The monies you mention changing hands is really pocket change, almost as if a couple of zeros are missing. Why would senior career administration folks jeopardize their good name for petty cash – regardless of the country they are from? Why would diplomats risk their own daughters in covert-ops? I don't see George Bush or Dick Cheney risk theirs. Why would you think that people in other nations love themselves and their kin any less? You evidently seem to have little idea how trivial it is for most recipients of American aid or World Bank loans to repeatedly skim several billion dollars from the top. Or how the state's apparatus rewards its operatives and supporters in developing nations like Pakistan and Turkey with unaccountable military governments having a free hand over the national treasury and public commons. They can trivially loot with both hands, and quite legally too – since the military (or the dictator du jour in civilian clothes) pretty much dictates the laws to the parliament! Yes a few zeroes are indeed missing to make these amounts even sound tempting. There are far easier ways for them to make far larger amounts of monies than to be stealing secrets from the world's most shockingly powerful superpower in its own den that is crawling with its sophisticated security apparatus and uncompromisingly patriotic field agents!

Thus, in a nutshell, the motive for doing this risky trade by the Turks for a pecuniary gain is highly suspicious, and less than convincing. Same for the high ranking Americans – they have far too much to lose, and far too much smarts to be caught this way in a phone conversation selling state secrets! These aren't just ordinary peoples.

Furthermore, if these treasonous operatives are so smart that they can indulge in such covert activities so successfully in the world's largest security state, and yet be so dumb that they don't know or have never heard of the NSA, the Echelon system, or that the United States of America runs the largest most extensive intelligence network in the history of civilizations under its DIA [ see signals intelligence in sections 1.11 and 1.12 of EO12333 ] with budgets which run into unaccountable billions of black-ops dollars and with backdoors to almost all secure communication channels to instantly decipher all communications in near realtime (even if a myth but largely believed by most people), and so nonchalantly and openly be speaking of their drops and pickups and using a location that is easy to identify back to their own countries which, despite their diplomatic immunities, can have severe consequences for their nation if found out, is almost akin to the Pentagon and the White House suggesting that “Bin Laden” is so smart and resourceful that it can hijack 4 airliners simultaneously in the air, outwit all of America's vast air defenses, and run them into tall buildings of the most armed to the teeth superpower nation at 500 mph, and yet be so dumb that his operatives would draw so much attention to themselves the night before the dastardly deed so that anything could go wrong potentially, openly disclose that they didn't want to learn how to land to their instructors as they learnt how to fly jet airliners only on simulators and have that be needlessly remembered or raise suspicions, and leave well identifiable calling cards behind the day of the crime so that America would know exactly where to drop their retaliatory payloads!

Thus, not to deny that these recordings that you were asked to interpret are genuine, but only to ponder at the nonsequitur conclusions that you have been propounding so energetically which leads one to believe that morons were executing this sophisticated covert-ops for petty cash!

The only rational explanation that credibly explains your discoveries is that these operatives were following policy directives that were agreed to at the highest levels of their respective governments, with assurances and guidance in what they operationally ought to be doing, whether or not each one of them may have known the big picture – most likely not due to rigid
compartmentalization of such covert processes which you surely must know much more accurately about than ordinary members of the public brought up on Hollywood.

After the outing of 'Iran-Contra' that went straight into unraveling the government's covertly sanctioned policy at the highest levels, it is much easier to blame pecuniary greed if things ever blow up prematurely. All this blame upon A.Q. Khan under his forced confessional, that his unbridled greed was behind his proliferation network, is the most facile of explanations for it all. He likely had signed blank checks drawn on numbered Swiss accounts in his pockets all through the 1970s until at least the fall of the Berlin Wall – if money is what he wanted, he had plenty of millions by the blessings of his bosses and the magic of carte blanche. But that mercenarial explanation for a pecuniary gain is more useful than the unraveling of the entire official collusion space, and is thus in repeated replay since 'Iran-Contra'!

Just to play the devil's advocate to further this analysis, please allow me to ask why the following line of reasoning is so trivially rejectable that it is entirely absent in your analysis: that Pakistan may have been 'officially' armed with nuclear technology* as a covert-policy to check India's (and China's) advances in the long term on the 'Grand Chessboard'?

Creating a three-way manipulatable tie-up between China-India-Pakistan in favor of the 'hectoring hegemons' can be far more advantageous on the 'Grand Chessboard' with a wildcard like Pakistan in the fray. It has the real potential of neutralizing any unexpected threatening alliances between the two most burgeoning challengers to "American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives" by engaging them in proxy-warfare with a nuclear armed client-state next door if the need ever arises!

Pakistan was, after all, largely 'blessed' out of the Indian sub-continent deliberately as a patsy client-state on the evolving 'Grand Chessboard' of the time by the active collusion and sanction of the waning and the emerging imperial masters of the time! In case you are interested, please see this Open Letter to a Pakistani General to further examine this line of reasoning, written from the perspective of how Pakistan can actually get out of its client-state status to genuinely serve the aspirations of its own long suffering peoples. For indeed, your whistleblowing data also tends to far more rationally support this notion of a deliberate patsy client state being primed on the geostrategic plane in the aftermath of India making its first nuclear explosion in 1974, than a mere 'rogue operation' of how Pakistan clandestinely acquired its nuclear weapons in plainsight of the world's most watchful superpower, and how these might today be conveniently hijacked by the 'al-qaeda' as the famed 'loose nukes' despite being guarded by the world's 5th largest standing army (see wakeup call at http://Humanbeingsfirst.org).

Certainly, to effectively carry out devious long term covert operations under hegemonic state-doctrines, requires a concert of mercenaries, patsies, moles, and patriotic soldiers, but also ideological masterminds and policy frameworks to strategically guide its execution, and many cloaking devices, red herrings, and psyops to hide the operations. To deny this is to deny the hegemonic history of the United States of America in the face of plenty of ex post facto historical evidence already in plainsight.

And what lends further substance to the idea that a covert 'official' sanction may also be the situation in your case, is the almost bipartisan nature of 'hush ups' being seamlessly observed here. And if there is any truth to any of this analysis, then it is easy to predict that this 'hushing up' will
continue into the next Administration, and that what you seek – the public inquiry – will either never be allowed to materialize, or become another “9/11 Commissions Report” if public pressure ever piles up!

Because of this predictable inefficacy of the end result of your call for public hearings, it is perhaps more useful to ask and explore the following meta question which was also briefly alluded to at the beginning of this letter: is it a 'crime' if the matter is deemed to be an 'official' (even if covert) American Foreign Policy Initiative to nuclearize Pakistan and Israel? Or is the only crime here that it may have employed mercenaries, moles, patsies, and client-states in its operational execution without first getting the right Congressional laws on the books (which they very well could have at the risk of tipping off their hands to the world prematurely)? Thus note that Turkey has recently been approved (or is about to be approved) for nuclear cooperation – thus Turkey's role in this covert-ops may (retroactively) no longer be defined as a crime in the strictly legal sense. So what exactly is the definition of a crime? What exactly have you discovered that you think is a crime? The fact that money changed hands? Or the fact that Pakistan was given nuclear technology? All these appear to be merely matters of semantics in defining what is a crime and what isn't, and the underlying real substantial issues are indeed not being addressed by focussing on the leaves of the tree and not its DNA.

Witness that the decimation of Vietnam and millions of its peoples is yet to be classified as a monumental crime against humanity, and its harbingers went to their graves without ever being hung by their balls. And what was one of them threatened with at best? Impeachment because of lying about illegally breaking into a building! Also witness that the 'Truman Doctrine' which criminally held hostage the entire world at the brink of Armageddon for almost the entire second half of the twentieth century, and which culminated in the decimation of Afghanistan and its millions of peoples in order to finally take down the USSR in a proxy war on another's lands with another's blood, is often hailed as a major success of America's foreign policy. Its harbingers are still vigorously pursuing their 'American Dreams' in various think-tanks and academe in your neck of the woods while planning more decimations under its successor doctrine, the 'Bush Doctrine'.

You tread a rather vacuous line if you use the existing model and definition of 'justice' and 'legality' to uncover this crime as well. However, if you were to move over to a more rational and equitable set of universally applied norms for fairness and legality – let's say for example, acknowledging the overwhelming superiority of the Judeo-Christian heritage, the Ten Commandments and the Biblical Golden Rule are both suitably 'secularized' and applied to American Foreign Policy calculus and the Congress is prevailed upon to make laws in accordance with these – you may more efficaciously be able to hang the real monumental criminals at the altar of real justice. I suspect if such fundamental matters enter the public consciousness and are openly debated, it may yet change the complexion of this nation.

Otherwise what really changes? Unless you are bold enough to assert that “imperial mobilization” is itself a monumental crime; that it is counter to the democratic aspirations of the peoples of the United States of America because “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization” and thus its covert pursuit is treasonous by definition under any pretext, even when couched as 'American Foreign Policy Initiative' or 'preemptive self-defense'; that the very exercise of 'hegemony' is the prime-mover that leads to covert-ops in the first place, and to the wanton usurpation of the 'lesser' humanity; and that both its advocates and harbingers alike are the worst monumental criminals of humanity to be
sent off to Guantanamo Bay for eternity; unless you boldly assert all these commonsensical truths, at best, after you are done successfully prosecuting your investigations at the FBI and getting your public Congressional hearings on them, what do you get? One set of dispensable 'hectoring hegemons' is simply replaced by another, but in continuation of the same overarching policies of 'empire'. The 'party' still continues! Witness that the underlying themes of dealing in “straight power concepts” articulated by George Kennan in 1948 still hold sway in 2008, and are directly responsible for the unspeakable horrors committed from Vietnam to Iraq, and perhaps now in Iran and Pakistan!

One final note: You might find the following rebuttal essay on 'Nuclear Security' thought provoking in the context of your public disclosures and concern for nuclear security (http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2007/12/response-toziamian-nuclear-security.html). The essay argues that a fair calculus of nuclear security should not just be limited to protection of the 'empire' from the terrorist acts of the so called 'pirates' and 'rogue' states acquiring 'loose nukes' and/or developing their own crude arsenal, but also include protection from the predatory impulses of the 'emperor' to threaten preemptive nuclear first-strikes on non-nuclear nations to get them to heel. And further include protection from the emperor's vengeful retaliatory nuclear strikes in the event of any wanton nuclear terrorist act that is unilaterally blamed upon the lowly non-nuclear state merely because that darn stateless terrorist master actor, “Bin Laden”, is cited within its borders and releases a confessional video!

A sound nuclear security calculus [and global systems of checks and balances] must be able to [at least] deter both these [nuclear primacy] scenarios (A, B), and must become the focus of concerned whistleblowers as the likely near term threat facing a vast majority of innocent peoples. Would you agree that this poses a far greater threat to the world's security and peace prospects then the 7 or 8 year old FBI [recorded] conversations in Turkish? And would you further agree that more you insist on visiting old information, more current information and present risks will be swept under the rug? Thus it isn't surprising to me that Rupert Murdoc's newspaper in England leaked your story out at this time when America is getting ready to attack Iran – for to my cynical mind, it's a wonderfully distracting and vacuous mystery chase that can occupy the otherwise sharp minds focussed on matters of more pressing urgency!

I hope this was useful. It may be entirely off the mark as well, as it is just an outsider's view trying to make sense of it all. However, as you may well have learnt listening to the FBI tapes, the concept of independent 'nation-states' is now quite passé, and we are entering a New World Order in which “The United States has overstepped its borders in all spheres -- economic, political and humanitarian and has imposed itself on other states.” This “unipolar” world “means in practice one thing: one center of power, one center of force, one center of decision-making, a world of one master, one sovereign,” which makes the world “extremely dangerous.” (Putin, February 10, 2007). A recognition of this blatant fact must [surely] enter into the forensic interpretation of any data that pertains to covert-ops on the 'Grand Chessboard'. Nothing is at face value.

With best wishes for continued success in your on-going quest for real truth and justice as 'just a citizen' that can make a lasting and substantial impact on the calculus of your nation's foreign policy initiatives for the benefit and welfare of the entire world's peoples.

This letter will be posted at http://Humanbeingsfirst.org.
Footnote

* Since this writing, further research by this scribe has revealed that it is very likely that the so called rogue network of American intelligence operatives was working hand-in-glove with the Pakistani metallurgist Dr. A. Q. Khan to in fact sabotage, compromise, and/or delay Pakistan's nuclear weapons program by planting false and/or unworkable products, technologies, purchases, and leads. The evidence based on the actual role of Dr. A. Q. Khan in Pakistan's nuclear weapons development which was indeed minuscule, suggests that Dr. A. Q. Khan may have been a Trojan Horse diabolically planted in Pakistan by Western intelligence to subvert the genuine efforts of Pakistani scientists like Dr. Munir Ahmad Khan at the Pakistan Atomic Energy Commission. Private conversations with several Pakistani scientists and researchers reveal an entirely different perspective from the one publicly promulgated in the West as well as in Pakistan. Unable to sabotage the nuclear weapons development directly, the 'loose nukes' has been fashioned for plans B and C -- saving Pakistan and the world from their falling into the hands of Ali Baba.

Caveat Lector

As the saying goes on state secrets: Those who know don't speak, those who speak don't know! The conjecture of Dr. A. Q. Khan possibly being a double agent falls within the cracks of closely guarded state secrets of at least two nations, Pakistan and the United States, and therefore will likely never be adjudicated as fact. Dr. A. Q. Khan has remained cloaked in controversy from the very beginning when he first wrote to prime minister Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto in September 1974, gratuitously offering his services as a great Pakistani patriot after India exploded their first nuclear bomb which created an existential threat for Pakistan. In the cloak and dagger world of compartmentalized intelligence ops and military ops of a national security state, there is more between heaven and earth than the best public minds can possibly dream of. The official narratives in the public domain on Pakistan's nuclear weapons program have been put there deliberately by both nations -- the truth can be something else entirely.

Even deathbed confessions cannot be trusted in such cases. Intelligence operatives and all those working on state secrets and sworn to secrecy as part of their job, only take their real secrets to the grave, if not in the name of patriotism, than at least for the fear of reprisals to the loved ones left behind. That is the hard reality which cannot be ignored when examining the “confessions” of “whistleblowers”! Even official looking “secret documents” can be manufactured for public disclosure as part of the famed “declassification” process, or cunningly leaked, for writing the public version of
official history.

Whistleblowers of state secrets therefore, especially when it pertains to national security, are either a Trojan horse, or, *patsies* and *useful idiots* still unwittingly serving their former masters in the name of conscience. That appears to be the case with Sibel Edmonds as demonstrated in the letter to her. Her meaningless revelations of low level chatter are mere tunes of the *Mighty Wurlitzer*. The opinions of Pakistani nuclear scientists also cannot be trusted for the same reasons. They will never disclose any real state secrets! The same is true of officialdom. Since his downfall from grace after his shameful public confession on February 4, 2004, on Pakistan television of illegally participating in nuclear proliferation without the knowledge and permission of the state, thus saving Pakistan from any backlash were it also implicated, it has become fashionable to speak ill of the sacrificial lamb who can hardly defend himself with any moral standing after that public performance. The good Doctor has since recanted his confession.

The reality of state secrecy is that Dr. A. Q. Khan will surely take all his state secrets of both nations silently to his grave. He may well be the true patriot, or he may be the double agent recruited by Uncle Sam, or his own self-serving agent, or, all three! His recompense will surely be in his grave (from Allah!)
Part-II

Beware of Red Herrings on Nuclear Security
spun by Hectoring Hegemons and their
patsies!

March 27, 2008.

The following letter was sent to Ms. Sibel Edmonds in continuation of the theme from Project Humanbeingsfirst's letter to her of March 18, 2008, on 'Pakistan's Bomb, U.S. Cover-up'.

March 21, 2008.

Dear Ms. Sibel Edmonds,

Hi. FYI. A copy of letter to editor of Foreign Policy In Focus, citing your work among other matters. I bring it to your kind attention mainly to point out that there are many urgent and pressing matters which can today lead to the nuclear holocaust of an innocent nation at the hands of 'hectoring hegemons' in your own nation. There is far greater imminent threat to humanity by the imperial 'hectoring hegemons' in your own nation right now, than some fictitious 'pirates' and 'rogue' states half way across the world that may have been sold nuclear secrets 10 years ago. The latter is already fait accompli, the former will soon be unless courageous and prominent activists, whistleblowers, and men and women of conscience stop chasing down cultivated red herrings and focus on the highest order, most significant bits of the matter as it unfolds today. This letter to FPIF references a rebuttal essay by Project Humanbeingsfirst that disassembles one such deftly cultivated red herring by a prominent Princeton University nuclear non-proliferation expert. I strongly suspect that you are, quite inadvertently, pushing another red herring. Both have the theme in common, that they entirely ignore the premeditated diabolical intent by your own
nation to use nuclear weapons on Iran under one pretext or another. There is no bigger threat to nuclear security than a rogue cabal within the United States Government hijacking the American nukes, or contriving their deployment and use, under pretexts! As men and women of conscience and uncommon courage, let's also “call a spade a spade” shall we? I would not be writing you had you not become such a prominent person among the dissent-stream and whistleblowers. Please channel this prominence and visibility upon matters that have a current high import. If you are doing it already, that's great, and thank you.

The following letter was emailed to you a few days ago; just in case it ended up in the junk mail bit bucket, here is a pointer to it for your reference: http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/03/letter-sibeledmonds-nuclear-security.html.

I would appreciate an acknowledgment that you have received it.

Kind Regards,

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Project Humanbeingsfirst cannot humbly emphasize enough the first order most crucial question that must concern all conscionable 'United States persons' today, including courageous genuinely patriotic whistleblowers. How do these revelations of FBI recordings about supposedly 'treasonous' matters that are already fait accompli from ten years ago, and the incessant demands by Ms. Edmonds and her supporters to hold a (surely to be sensationalized) Congressional public inquiry to air them out, today deter Presidents Bush/Cheney or Israel from their devilishly crafted premeditated plans for launching nuclear attacks on Iran disguised as a “defensive U.S. military action” (Brzezinski)? Or, how will it prevent future such attacks, or derail the ongoing systematic destabilization of that entire Eurasian continent identified by 'Dr. Strangelove' mastermind du jour as the “Global Zone of Percolating Violence”, in the next Administration to occupy the White House which will most assuredly be composed of merely new 'water carriers' for the same genre of 'hectoring hegemons'* continuing forth with the enduring legacy of George F. Kennan's unabashed 1948 memo PPS23:

'Our real task in the coming period is to devise a pattern of relationships which will permit us to maintain this position of disparity without positive detriment to our national security. To do so, we will have to dispense with all sentimentality and day-dreaming; and our attention will have to be concentrated everywhere on our immediate national objectives. We need not deceive ourselves that we can afford today the luxury of altruism and world-benefaction.'

That refrain from “altruism and world-benefaction” was in a bipolar world that was emerging from the ashes of World War II, for which Kennan emphasized the necessity of dealing “in straight power concepts” as the very corner stone of America's foreign policy going forward:

'We should dispense with the aspiration to “be liked” or to be regarded as the
repository of a high-minded international altruism. We should stop putting ourselves in the position of being our brothers' keeper and refrain from offering moral and ideological advice. We should cease to talk about vague and—for the Far East—unreal objectives such as human rights, the raising of the living standards, and democratization. The day is not far off when we are going to have to deal in straight power concepts. The less we are then hampered by idealistic slogans, the better.'

In the unipolar world of the sole superpower's hectoring hegemony today, where the term "unipolar" was rather bluntly defined in 2007 by the Russian President Wladimir Putin thusly:

"what is a unipolar world? However one might embellish this term, at the end of the day it refers to one type of situation, namely one centre of authority, one centre of force, one centre of decision-making. It is world in which there is one master, one sovereign. And at the end of the day this is pernicious not only for all those within this system, but also for the sovereign itself because it destroys itself from within. And this certainly has nothing in common with democracy. Because, as you know, democracy is the power of the majority in light of the interests and opinions of the minority.",

we have empirically seen the barbaric lengths to which the United States has gone to introduce its "Grande baille of the New World Order" from Iraq 1990 to Iraq 2008, to the point of it now actively planning preemptive nuclear attacks on developing nations unwilling to follow the diktats of “one master, one sovereign.” Only an adversely indoctrinated person would deny this empirical reality today, however colorfully it might be embellished as the “war on terrorism”.

Please think before acting – please analyze why, systematically, and consistently, all efforts by well intentioned peoples have been inefficacious in preventing new wars? That, if any attack on Iran was postponed due to the American 'loose nukes', it was likely entirely because courageous commanders within the American military establishment refused to follow immoral orders?

Could it be because the real patriots of America have been cleverly co-opted by endless red herrings, and by deliberate focus on the 'leaves' instead of the 'roots' and the 'DNA' of the disease leading to new leaves continually being created by the same diseased tree of infamy?

Yet inexplicably, we observe courageous whistleblowers like Ms. Edmonds entirely silent on matters of “imperial mobilization” of her own adopted nation, or that 911 could have been an inside job because the “imperial mobilization” and dramatic increase in defense spending to affect the military transformation that immediately followed was precisely predicated on such a shockingly catalyzing event – the “new pearl harbor”!

Sibel Edmonds is also silent on how 911 could possibly have occurred in an armed to the teeth superpower without treasonous peoples on the inside aiding and abetting in its operational planning, logistics, and execution. And not just aiding and abetting inadvertently through their incompetence, or aiding and abetting thugishly by being in the pay of foreign governments, both of which Ms. Edmonds asserts likely caused an otherwise preventable 911 from occurring, but aiding and abetting in actually making 911 happen on purpose as a deadly military covert-ops by a rogue cabal inside the United
States upon the American nation like Hitler's 'Operation Canned Goods' and the 'Reichstag fire'! For the latter definition of aiding and abetting, the ultimate in treason, she is silent. One imagines that like Noam Chomsky and Robert Fisk, Sibel Edmonds too believes that 19 Muslim jihadis, controlled by a man on a dialysis machine in the Hindu Kush and armed with laptops and cell phones, trumped the world's largest most sophisticated superpower into lowering its air defenses, into not following routine operational procedures, and suspended the laws of physics by demolishing the towers at free fall speed.

Ms. Edmonds is bizarrely mute on the empirical observation – which requires no interpreters or translators or intermediaries – that the towers collapsing into their own footprints is suspiciously similar to how buildings collapse under expert controlled demolition. Nor is she willing to opine on the logical implication of the highest levels of treason that would be involved in such a case if the empirical evidence of the eyes, years of engineering experience, and the rational mind are taken as the starting point of forensic analysis instead of the facile unproven theoretical expositions from the Pentagon and 'Popular Mechanics'. Indeed, she seems entirely fixated in her unthinking efforts, to draw attention to, and elevate the threat from, the boogiemen 'pirates', who are now, in effect, made out to appear more real and less fictitious because real identifiable 'treasonous thugs' from within the US Government themselves sold the bad guys America's nuclear secrets for a song! (Sibel Edmonds' words, non-utterances, and omissions gleaned in only these references: A B C D E F G H I J K L M N as of 03/27/08)

Therefore, it is legitimate, it may be tortuously argued under certain ripe conditions, to nuclear decimate both Pakistan and Iran, in order to claim back America's stolen nuclear secrets that Ms. Edmonds is drawing attention to as an insider whistleblower. Especially if it's carried out in the guise of retaliatory-response to another even more horrendous 911 as President Bush himself prognosticated on February 13, 2008 is imminent: “terrorists are planning new attacks on our country ... that will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison”. Or even in response to another Gulf of Tonkin scenario that Congressman Ron Paul had prognosticated on January 15, 2007: “I am concerned, however, that a contrived Gulf of Tonkin-type incident may occur to gain popular support for an attack on Iran”. Or in response to perhaps an entirely different scenario that will appear so publicly shocking at the time of its occurrence that it would necessitate Martial Law in the United States, and hurriedly lead to nuclear 'retaliatory' “shock and awe” upon all pre-planned targets. That such reasons for nuclear retaliation and preemptive nuclear strikes are not far fetched is ominously foreshadowed in build-up statements from American military commanders such as this one reported in Pakistani newspaper Dawn on February 7, 2008: 'Defence officials told Congress on Wednesday that Al Qaeda is operating from havens in “under-governed regions” of Pakistan, which they said pose direct threats to Europe, the United States and the Pakistan government itself: ... Adm. Michael Mullen, chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, predicted in written testimony that the next attack on the United States probably would be launched by terrorists in that region.' More of such ominous statements building up the boogieman in the Hindu Kush can be gleaned in this open letter to a Pakistani General warning Pakistan's leaders that they are unwittingly (or perhaps deliberately) suicidng that country by continuing to engage in the American fiction of the 'War on Terror' which has made Pakistan “Terror Central”, the “very petri dish of international terrorism”.

But it suffices to examine the above cited Adm. Michael Mullen's clairvoyance even with a modicum of one's own commonsense and a bit of un-indoctrinated rationalism.
“Next attack on the United States”? Is it so easy to attack a Goliath superpower isolated on a continent with a natural coastline from sea to shining sea and which spends trillions of dollars on its high-tech defense? Some barefoot mullahs with sticks and box cutters can so outwit the sole superpower and reduce it to such shambles that it has to enact draconian laws and deny its own civilians their own Constitutionally mandated Bill of Rights and Democratic existence? Then what's the point of all this defense spending if some “under-governed regions” of Pakistan can “pose direct threats to Europe, the United States”? Why not just take all that monies and put a roof over every man woman and child on the planet, provide everyone with clean drinking water, primary medical care, K-16 education, and lifetime livable-pension after retirement? And still have monies left over to build libraries, roads, and social services for all? That would most assuredly take the wind out of the sails of all terrorism – unless of course Daniel Pipes is to be believed, that it's not the peoples, but radical Islam and Islamism which is the enemy! That, it's “Not [even] a Clash of Civilizations, It's a Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians”. Even there, such genuine altruism and world-benefaction would surely eliminate the fertile recruiting grounds that are harvested through economic conscription and dead bodies created by the imperial bombings itself! Thus if despite this enormous defense spending, the American military-industrial complex failed to protect the Americans that they now cannot even disclose their true identities in most countries of the world, and often have to pretend that they are Canadians, shouldn't some peoples be demanding that the Pentagon be dismantled and its enormous kitchen-sink budget used for the good of the majority of the ordinary peoples of the United States?

Because these statements from the American President and his military commander can only be true at the 'unbirthday party' with the 'Mad Hatter' and the 'Dormouse' boisterously singing the war song “United We Stand”, it is not difficult to imagine the purpose of this facile charade of governmental secrecy behind Ms. Edmonds' travails. Indeed, these FBI recordings may become a tortuous setup to authenticate the supposed 'nuclear signature' of the fateful tipping point, wherein, nuclear attacks on targeted countries would finally be deemed acceptable by the public – when once, just contemplating its use was unimaginable because of the MAD deterrence that had kept the world precariously perched on the edge of Armageddon for 40 years. When the conditions are ripe, all of a sudden, Ms. Edmonds' wishes for Congressional inquiry may get granted! It will be determined that the nuclear signature of the terrorist event matched that of 'loose nukes' based on stolen American technology, hijacked from Pakistan by the Taliban and al-qaeeda, and squirreled into Iran and used by them to inflict shocking harm to the peoples and interests of the United States! For an outlandish scenario where this could plausibly be sold to the gullible American public no differently than the facile pretexts of WMDs to invade Iraq, see “Wakeup to the grotesque reality of the 'Grand Chessboard'”.

Beware of both, diabolically crafted, as well as inadvertently spun, endless trail of red herrings and dupes and patsies being made the pied pipers to hell. The 'technique of infamy' in its many variations is all pervasive in times of war, and this is, after all, 'World War IV', slated to last an entire lifetime! It will surely require highly inventive minds from 'Hollywood's script writers guild' to continually come up with increasingly compelling 'Alice in Wonderland' sequels to continue the pretexts for perpetual war!

There is, apparently, one American nuclear bomb missing! How will it be used? How many ways can another 'new pearl harbor' “make Sept. 11 pale by comparison”? If there is any terrorist or
accidental nuclear event anywhere in the world, remember that the world's largest national security state had trivially misplaced or miscounted some nukes recently!

And lastly, Ms. Sibel Edmonds' conception of whistleblowing on national security matters is also greatly troubling. It is, by her own admission, entirely encapsulated in the envelop of 'legality' and 'officialese' with no obvious moral or international-law dimensions. She has apparently never heard of the penalty for "goosestep[ing] the Herrenvolk across international frontiers." In response to a question in this video speech (at minute 66:43) to the ALA in 2007, she elaborated that she felt that if it's a really genuine state-matter, like a secretive "very important covert operation", then it is okay to apply the 'state-secrets privilege' to gag whistleblowers. She is only against it for its whimsical arbitrariness – not because of some moral principles. Thus if Iran, Pakistan, Syria, Lebanon et. al., are set up with deadly very important covert-ops – like the Israeli 'Lavon Affair' of not too distant past – to create pretexsts to lay blame for crimes one did not commit and go nuclear bomb them to smithereens, or to destabilize nations because they sit on the vast quantities of natural resources that 'hectoring hegemons' covet in the name of 'national security', or because of some geostrategic significance in the calculus of American foreign policy interests (for a sampling of covert-ops warfare on Iran as American foreign policy interests, see 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15), then it is just dandy to apply 'state-secrets privilege'. And by implication, whatever she has unfortunately experienced as an institutionalized gagging and bi-partisan stonewalling to her whistleblowing, would be entirely legitimate in such international covert-ops premature disclosure cases! By further implication, just so long as America's own national security is not threatened, the world can go to hell!

Thus, if a whistleblower, for instance, were to discover official receipts for the American covert footprints in the destabilization of Tibet as a proxy covert engagement of China in the on-going game of hegemony on the 'Grand Chessboard' – like its predecessor Covert CIA Intervention in Afghanistan – that would be fair game to squelch hard. If such disclosures can prevent officially sanctioned criminal wars and the death and destruction of the 'lesser humanity', they are still entitled to be gagged, because they might disclose a very secretive “important covert operation”! By her exposition, one can only conclude that gagging Daniel Ellsberg would also have been entirely legitimate because he did after all, reveal official state-secrets as the 'Pentagon Papers'. Thus while she justifiably, and very articulately, offers her narrative of how arbitrary draconian measures have curbed whistleblowing, “there is no [effective] 911 for whistleblowers to call” as she puts it, even if there was, so what? It does not enable covert-ops to be 'legally' disclosed before they lead to horrendous faits accomplis! Thus a courageous whistleblower has to approach the public directly in order to expose monumental crimes against humanity in any case at considerable risk of prosecution. So what is the fuss all about?

There is really no principled stance, that predatory covert-ops performed upon other nations to destabilize them, as precursors to prosecute wars of conquest and hegemony upon them, or to strangle them, are not only illegal by international law, but also criminally immoral – even when done in the pretext of “defensive” US military action', or as preemption in the name of “national security”! Lessons of Nuremberg are obviously only a victor's justice continually applied to the vanquished and the demonized, never to the saintly victors themselves!

Just the fact that Edmonds specifically uttered the words 'covert-ops' as an illustrative example of where all branches and offices of the American juggernaut can draw their line of national security and 'state-secrets privilege' boundaries, suggests that she is, in essence, just as much a 'hectoring hegemon' as any other who fits that definition. There is no condemnation from her, for instance, that
can be gleaned in any of the afore-cited references to her statements and websites, of the euphemism that has been used to construct the blood-drenched American empire: 'American foreign policy interests abroad'!

Surely this is not what Ms. Sibel Edmonds intended, for she is most certainly a morally courageous and a genuinely patriotic person who dared to speak out. However, in the intelligence game of conquest and hegemony, a game that is as old as empire, a game in which Ms. Edmonds only accidentally stumbled into as a language translator, patsies and dupes are as essential to imperial mobilization as foot soldiers and F16s; as necessary as NATO's Grand Strategies for the preemptive use of nuclear weapons to preserve the American way of life; and as indispensable as the World Bank and the IMF to harvest the global South of its resources.

None of this information is particularly secret. In fact, all of it is a matter of record. Why Ms. Edmonds does not betray any sense of awareness of these blatantly obvious matters – and yet, she has endorsements from such erstwhile pioneers of whistleblowing as the respected Daniel Ellsberg “I'd say what she has is far more explosive than the Pentagon Papers”, and also the rapturous attention of almost the entire so called dissent-space which does not often agree on anything – is greatly troubling!

How does what she supposedly has, at all bring the impending nuclear attacks upon defenseless nations to an end even before they are launched? Can there be anything of higher importance and greater significance today than preemptive (or 'retaliatory') nuclear strikes by the United States, Israel, and/or NATO? How is anything that Sibel Edmonds has disclosed thus far (see reference A-N cited above) “more explosive than the Pentagon Papers” which catalyzed the ending of a barbaric and immoral war that had needlessly caused more than 50,000 Americans dead, and several million Vietnamese killed who still remain only a statistic in the West? What does Ellsberg know about it that hasn't been publicly disclosed? The prima facie impact of the focus on 'house-cleaning' due to 'incompetence' and 'lower-grade treasonous behavior' of supposedly 'selling nuclear secrets' ten years ago is to effectively keep the attention of the world sensationally distracted on Sibel Edmonds until the impending nuclear “imperial mobilization” which is only awaiting go-ahead orders from the White House is fait accompli!

Her obvious charm, and laudable exclusive focus (from the American public's perspective) on America's national security and its treasonous subversion as the cause célèbre of her whistleblowing, is a fantastic red herring when all attention ought to be focussed on preventing the impending immoral war on Iran and the destruction of new nations who refuse to kowtow to Western imperialism! Yes it exists today! Ask those who suffer it.

After going through all of the afore-cited references about her, Project Humanbeingsfirst is greatly concerned about the new red herring that has been crafted in the guise of Ms. Sibel Edmons that is attracting the attention of the already tiny dissent-space! Another who partly tells the truth, but judiciously refrains from forensically examining the prime-mover behind this present “imperial mobilization”: 911 being an inside job (the highest treason imaginable)! Or even recognizing that “imperial mobilization” is what's going on in the guise of “War on Terrorism” employing false and fabricated pretexts! Why such myopia?

It would be a different matter to reach the conclusion that 911 couldn't have been an inside job but only an evil jihadi invasion from abroad after a forensic investigation. But to religiously proclaim, based on new found faith in the U.S. Government, which on the one hand, one accuses of being an
'Outlaw state' or full of treasonous peoples under the influence of foreign governments who have hijacked the American nation (as the case might be), and on the other, unquestioningly believes in their veracity of who did 911 and how it was done, despite the evidence of history and first hand confessional disclosures of governmental lies and deceit such as “Secrets – a Memoir of Vietnam and the Pentagon Papers”, is a blatant non sequitur.

An endorsement by the author of this memoir to its disproportional significance given the Armageddon that the world is perched on today, “the major American media have been guilty of ignoring entirely the allegations of the courageous and highly credible source Sibel Edmonds”, is an even greater non sequitur.

While Ellsberg does disclose that it may in fact be “a sensitive covert operation [which] appear to be [as] if it were truly presidentially authorized”, he, and surely inadvertently, re-spins the famous 'blowback' theory “giving us the Pakistani bomb” as a convenient pre-explanation of any future nuclear terrorist act that is attributed to Pakistani 'loose nukes' by the United States and its forensic agencies but whose nuclear signature as determined by the Russians unfortunately matches American technology:

'In support of the official cover-up, various American journalists in the last weeks have reportedly received calls from “intelligence sources” hinting that “what Sibel Edmonds stumbled onto” is not a rogue operation by American officials and congressmen working to their own advantage -- as believed by Edmonds and some other former or active FBI officials -- but a sensitive covert operation authorized at high levels.

If there is any truth to that, we clearly have another prize candidate -- giving us as blowback the Pakistani Bomb and nuclear sales -- in the category of “worst covert operation in U.S. history”: rivaling such contenders as the Bay of Pigs, Iran-Contra, and the secret CIA torture camps abroad.'

Perhaps there are real compelling secrets that Ellsberg and Edmonds are privy to that have yet to be disclosed to the public! If there are, please don't wait in the name of 'national security' until another civilization is criminally laid to nuclear rubbles at the hands of your own 'hectoring hegemons'! Ellsberg however is indeed on the mark when he notes covert-ops as being “criminal”:

'“Sensitive” and “covert” are often synonyms for “half-assed” or “idiotic,” as well as for “criminal,” as the pattern of activities revealed by Edmonds would appear to be if it were truly presidentially authorized.'

And thus Sibel Edmonds eagerly accepting “very important covert-ops” to be silenced under 'state-secrets privilege' is incredibly telling of the red herring nature of her disclosures. Almost as if, perhaps unwittingly, she has become one of the 'good-cop bad-cop' team members. Can't tell which one. But it matters little, for both have the pursuit of the same goals as their overarching mission statement. In a tortuous way, she is echoing the policy of the Pentagon and its DIA, and its children the CIA, the NSA, the ABC and the XYZ – keep criminal covert-ops a secret, until at least they are fait accompli! Such is the case with Brzezinski's and Gates' confessions regarding CIA's covert interventions in Afghanistan. President Jimmy Carter even won a Nobel Peace Prize – despite this
blood-drenched covert operation having been initiated during his watch, and upon his approval – after an entire civilization was decimated to hand the “USSR its Vietnam War”!

Not uncharacteristic of new immigrants escaping from their native hell-holes, it is also almost as if yet another new 'native informant' has been created. This one successively escaping from oppression first in native Iran, and then in first adopted country Turkey. One whose family was victimized and tortured, by her own admission of these atrocities, and who so aspires for the Western freedoms that her second adopted homeland promised to offer but let her down, that its single minded tenacious pursuit almost blinds her to the glaring, shitting, trumpeting elephant in the newlywed's bed! After listening to her speech on video and learning of her imposing educational credentials and multicultural-multilingual background, while a rational mind is unwilling to accept that she is like a typical indoctrinated American who has bought into the mantra of “war on terrorism”, it is also unable to accept her as entirely objective. The only inescapable conclusion that remains in the light of this essay, and which certainly begs a critical examination by the reader, is that she is unwittingly being made a patsy due to her natural proclivities. It could be just an overactive imagination, but effectively, a rebel has been 'constructed' who is focussing the attention of the world on irrelevance in the calculus of on-going moves on the 'Grand Chessboard'.

Without a congenial psychological profile, especially for a foreigner and naturalized citizen that Ms. Edmonds is, no one can surely get to work for the FBI, or for any intelligence agency to begin with, let alone be given top security clearance for translation work from Middle Eastern languages. I doubt very much if there are any turban wearing mullahs translating Pushto or Dari into English for the FBI at this time – but surely they were enlisted during the CIA's Intervention in Afghanistan because then, they were ideologically congenial as “moral equivalent of our founding fathers”! And I also doubt very much that the FBI will ever ask me to translate my native language Urdu for them, or give me top security clearance even if I was willing, regardless of how short-handed they were, while knowing that I have not been fooled by the fiction of “war on terror”. And while Ms. Edmonds has indeed courageously dissented, the bottom line is that she has also very willingly retained several very congenial religious axioms of “911” and the “war on terror” upon which premise she has constructed all of her whistleblowing and interpretations. At least for everything that has been publicly reported, and due to which, she makes an ideal manufactured dissent. It is great to have such self-controlled and self-policing dissenters in America – from the viewpoint of ‘empire’!

Some 'hectoring hegemons' somewhere surely must have un-gentle smiles slowly uncurling upon their 'ubermensch' Straussian lips at the prospect of tortuously reaping multiple harvests from a single seed!

Manufacturing consent to keep the masses acquiesced to “imperial mobilization” is plenty understood. Manufacturing dissent to keep the handful of courageous gadflies, who invariably rise to oppose it, endlessly busy pursuing the various lies, red herrings, and the 'low order bits' which do not penetrate to the core that matters, in the 'technique of infamy', has been least examined by the so called profound intellectuals of modernity. See its superficial first order examination by a mere plebeian: “Responsibility of Intellectuals – Redux”!

The overarching first principles of imperial mobilization that, if we are wise, should become the touchstone of all forensic unraveling of secrets and interpretation of 'contemporary history', were brilliantly captured by Zbigniew Brzezinski in his book 'The Grand Chessboard' thusly:
“the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.”

And also additionally elaborated upon by Noam Chomsky in his book “Rogue States” by highlighting comments from a 1995 study by the U.S. Strategic Command:

'A secret 1995 study of the Strategic Command, which is responsible for the strategic nuclear arsenal, outlines the basic thinking. Released through the Freedom of Information Act, the study, Essentials of Post-Cold War Deterrence, "shows how the United States shifted its deterrent strategy from the defunct Soviet Union to so-called rogue states such as Iraq, Libya, Cuba and North Korea,“ AP reports. The study advocates that the US exploit its nuclear arsenal to portray itself as "irrational and vindictive if its vital interests are attacked." That "should be a part of the national persona we project to all adversaries,” in particular the “rogue states.” “It hurts to portray ourselves as too fully rational and cool-headed,” let alone committed to such silliness as international law and treaty obligations. “The fact that some elements” of the US government “may appear to be potentially 'out of control' can be beneficial to creating and reinforcing fears and doubts within the minds of an adversary's decision makers.” The report resurrects Nixon's “madman theory”: our enemies should recognize that we are crazed and unpredictable,...'
And to forensically relate this bit of disingenuousness of not too distant history from the highest levels of the United States Government, to the present, in order to prevent an abhorrent future, here is the dark meat of the matter:

“As I said last week on the House floor, speculation in Washington focuses on when, not if, either Israel or the U.S. will bomb Iran-- possibly with nuclear weapons. The accusation sounds very familiar: namely, that Iran possesses weapons of mass destruction. Iran has never been found in violation of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, and our own Central Intelligence Agency says Iran is more than ten years away from producing any kind of nuclear weapon. Yet we are told we must act immediately while we still can!” (Ron Paul, January 15, 2007)

Caveat lector: the endless red herrings cleverly spun by the hectoring hegemons.

There must be only one immediate, near term, and long term goal for the equitable security (and prosperity) of all peoples on the planet Earth so long as there remains an imbalance of power among us, so long as there remain 'hectoring hegemons' seeking “full spectrum dominance” among us, and so long as there remains an absence of “full spectrum deterrence” to “the pursuit of power”, for indeed, “hegemony is as old as mankind”: prevent new “defensive U.S. military action”. Anything, including all acts, revelations, and magical mantras that distract from this goal, regardless of how compelling the reasons, must be treated by the unwary and gullible public and its intellectual dissenting-chiefs as red herrings, pretexts, and deceptions for premeditated “imperial mobilization”. As President Bush said it – either you are with us, or with the terrorists! And St. Augustine defined that term quite unambiguously 16 centuries ago:

“When the King asked him what he meant by infesting the sea, the pirate defiantly replied: 'the same as you do when you infest the whole world; but because I do it with a little ship I am called a robber, and because you do it with a great fleet, you are an emperor.’ ”

If there is reason for the United States to bomb any country in 'self-defense', demand a ratification of the decision to go to war through a public referendum – let its great democracy speak directly in the modernity of the 21st century before it is called upon to make its sacrifices, before it is called upon to pay its taxes to fund the war, and before it is called upon to acquire innocent blood on its hands! Even better, draw the soldiers, officers and technicians from the pool who vote for war! If they vote yes to invade other nations, they must be willing to sign up for it themselves first – instead of having a draft of economic conscription!

A commonsensical and calculated demand such as this made today, right now, to be adjudicated upon in Congressional public hearings and converted into law, is infinitely more sensible for assuring America's national security given the hundred+ year history of deception for “imperial mobilization” by this nation's Executive branch with willing complicity from its entire ruling elite from legislature to newsmedia – as evidenced from the USS Maine to the WMDs – and which today rings the entire globe with more than 700 of its military bases.

It is the only efficacious American peoples' protocol for arresting the cancer of “imperial
mobilization” in its tracks with all its concomitant benefits directly accruing to the ordinary peoples of this nation – from increased social spending to a safer world for them to vacation in, to proudly disclose in far away places that they are American without the fear of being gunned down. Other collective protocols by the rest of the planet's peoples may not be so benign.

**Footnote**

* To glimpse an instance of this genre of would be 'world conquerers', see the list of contributors at the back page of this [document](#). To learn how to unmask them publicly leaving them no place to hide except in a 'Spandau', and before they can inflict their monumental crimes upon a 'lesser humanity', see the Project Humanbeingsfirst's Dialog Algorithm in this [document](#).
Part-III

Response to Zia Mian's 'How Not to Handle Nuclear Security'


Letter to Editor

Foreign Policy In Focus (www.fpif.org)

Dear Sir,

In reference to Zia Mian's article “How Not to Handle Nuclear Security” (http://www.fpif.org/fpiftxt/4818), please accept the following detailed response for publication in your august 'Foreign Policy In Focus'. An abbreviated version was submitted as 'comment' to the article on your website that never made it.

Begin-Quote: Either the entire world must be free of nuclear biological and other WMDs, or every peoples must have credible deterrence against them through realpolitik 'full spectrum alliances' when predators seek “primacy and its geostrategic imperatives” and “full spectrum dominance” on the 'Grand Chessboard'! End-Quote

The above quote is excerpted from an “Open Letter to Pakistani Peoples” published by Project Humanbeingsfirst.org.

The realpolitik reality that is surely known to even the most naive, is that the 'hectoring hegemons' will not give up their “primacy imperatives” borne from their full spectrum supremacy of “air, sea, land, space, and cyberspace”. And why should they? It gives them the much coveted
“hegemony” over the 'have-nots' and other 'lesser haves', which is the openly acknowledged imperative of the strong and mighty, and is “as old as mankind”!

Therefore, even presumably thoughtful articles like Zia Mian's that warn of the real and genuine dangers of nuclear weapons – and indeed all WMDs are fraught with peril and double-edged swords – but which blankly ignore the chauvinist and monumentally criminal doctrines for their deliberate preemptive use by the 'emperor' which is a far greater empirical and realistic threat to humanity than their accidental-use or fictional 'hijacking' by the 'pirates', and which further repeats the boogie-man disinformation discourse crafted by 'empire': “A key concern about nuclear security in Pakistan is the risk of radical Islamist militants making a bid for its nuclear weapons or its stock of the materials with which to make nuclear weapons”, is merely re-spinning the 'loose nukes' mantra from the high pedestal and prestige of an Ivy. In practice, it only serves the specific agenda of the 'hectoring hegemons' themselves.

The question of 'nuclear security' must begin from the very ab initio rational premise of how to protect mankind from all the predators amongst them; not merely from its accidental or 'terrorist' murder of humanity by any 'pirates', but also its monumentally criminal 'official' murder of humanity by the 'emperors' under blaring trumpets and marching horns!

The analysis by Zia Mian, whose main focus is entirely 'accidents', and 'pirates', and specifically 'islamist militant' pirates, and also specifically not the 'emperor's' own terrorism by its glaring omission and no mention of the outstanding 'imperial' threats of nuclear attack on Iran that might sow a real Armageddon, artfully concludes that no one must possess nuclear weapons! To wit: “The only sure way to secure nuclear weapons and materials is not to have them. The only way to be sure that nuclear weapons scientists do not pass information is to forbid scientists from working on such weapons.” He neglects to mention the 'emperors' not using them to exercise their hegemony, evidently not being familiar with the 'Nuclear Posture Review'. But he does throw in, presumably for his own concept of completeness, this bit of self-evident truism: “Anything short of that is taking a risk and being willing to pay the price for living in a nuclear-armed world.”

His main recipe for securing the nuclear weapons “by not having them” is obviously a utopian un-realizable 'fools-paradise' conclusion in the real world of geopolitics that plays with other peoples' blood on the 'Grand Chessboard'. Any sane realist who isn't entirely lost at the 'unbirthday party' celebrations with the “Mad Hatter” would immediately recognize it as such. And hence it is less than convincing, no differently than a security strategy for world peace might be that suggested to the Zionist Jews to follow their Ten Commandments, or pleaded with the devilishly inspired 'primacy' advocates among the Evangelical Christians rushing to bring on 'the Rapture', including their leader sitting in the White House and in direct communication with his 'lord', to be 'good' and to turn the other cheek for the 'new pearl harbor' that they suffered as the good Lord suggests in the Bible!

What brilliant purpose is being served here? Okay perhaps it's morally sound platitudes. Is this the best Princeton can give from its 'Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs' that any high-schooler can equally churn out even in a developing nation where moral platitudes abound by the bucket-loads? No, there is something more to it if this article isn't to be outright rejected as mere self-evident gibberish. In fact, its very real, but rather covert purpose appears to be directed primarily at creating and sustaining rationales for the 'have-not' nations to continue not possessing them, and disarming of those 'lesser' ones that do!
And why would a worthy Princeton scholar at 'Woodrow Wilson' espouse any other purpose and reach any other conclusion(?) – it is after all an 'imperial' doctrinal establishment that is designed to perpetuate America's “preeminence” above all other nations' by seeding sophisticated 'doctrinal scholarship' that works hand in glove with superpower geopolitics and disinformation doctrinal warfare. The worthy scholar and his “Project on Peace and Security in South Asia” are directly funded by the university which immediately sets the overarching tone for all the “American Peace” project strategies for continued future funding and prominence!

And the present “American Peace” project manifestly revolves around Pakistan and Iran, and the scare of nuclear weapons getting 'loose', or being acquired by a 'rogue state', just as its ideological predecessor “Center for Peace and Security in the Gulf” (CPSG) was instrumental in bringing the now familiar very “American Peace” to Iraq with the familiar scare of 'WMDs' that could reach the West within moments of Sadaam Hussein dreaming such in-sanctity!

Indeed, even though Pakistan is only mentioned in the context of 'securing the nukes' with lots of anecdotal stories, it appears to me that the main agenda of this piece is to plant the one key central plausible idea that: “If the United States can’t secure its own nuclear complex, why expect Pakistan to do it any better?” as in its very opening gambit. All the rest of the verbiage in the article merely supports this central theme. The article entirely echoes the ubiquitous mainstream discourse du jour as variously spun by the White House and the Pentagon in relation to Pakistan.

The following excerpted quote from an Open Letter lends perspective to the actual reality that is not being talked about today, but will surely be the topic of many erudite books and studies tomorrow – just as this next bit of disingenuousness was in the aftermath of the fake ‘WMD' reports that had already led to the decimation of Iraq. And yes, also with nuclear weapons ('JDAMs' and 'Daisy Cutters' and other cocktails of Depleted Uranium black-death spread out across Iraq and Afghanistan far more devastatingly than a handful of atomic bombs) that has even destroyed the very DNA of its 'wretched' victims under deliberate, premeditated, false pretenses: “We conclude that the intelligence community was dead wrong in almost all of its prewar judgments about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. This was a major intelligence failure,” (Iraq Study Group report, March 31, 2005 – the report even has some of the same distinguished Princeton footprints in it as in the prewar mantra of 'WMDs' from the CPSG that preceded this 'morning-after' bit of bold-faced chutzpah).

Mae culpa after a Machiavellianly crafted irreversible fait accompli for deliberate “imperial mobilization” and full spectrum conquest of Iraq (its culmination being duly noted in the 'Declaration of Principles for a Long-Term Relationship' in the November 26 2007 White House Press Release) does little to restore the irrecoverable tabula rasa of a devastated civilization! Unlike the rest of erudite America, this humble plebeian scribe learns rapidly from history and is working hard in preventing its horrendous replay!

Excerpted from “Re-Imagining Pakistan's Defenses - Open Letter to a Pakistani General”, the full text of which may be read at: http://humanbeingsfirst.org:

**Begin-Quote:** ... All this recent talk of “loose nukes” and “Joint force for nuke safety” and “raising an international force to help the Pakistani armed forces if they are attacked by the extremists”, are precisely the weather balloons built upon the deftly cultivated house of cards of the synthetic 'islamofascist' boogie-men to seed these new deceptions into the mainstream public discourse in order
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to maintain the persistence of a “sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being”. That's how public opinion is slowly crafted over time to manufacture the deplorable public consent for committing heinous crimes against humanity through the active collaboration of the much touted 'fourth pillar of democracy'.

Another “coalition of the willing” is plainly being seeded – and this time it's 'destination Islamabad' instead of Baghdad, and instead of the well worn fiction of the existence of 'WMDs' which won't fly as Pakistan indeed does possess them, it is the fiction of them getting 'loose' despite being defended by the world's 5th largest standing armed forces who successfully developed the effective deterrence in the face of the entire world's opposition and punitive sanctions, but now is magically unable to defend them in this fabricated war even as the most valuable non-NATO partner and needs an external “force that should not only include troops from the United States, but ideally also other Western powers and moderate Muslim nations”! ... End-Quote

Why should anyone with sound judgment and keen political acumen be trusting any reports that are emanating from the same 'imperial' sources – the CIA, its parent the DIA, its parent the Pentagon, and its parent at the top of the totem pole, the White House, not to mention the 100 think-tanks from privately funded civil society in America that share the same 'imperial' aspirations as those in public life, and all busily crafting multifaceted doctrines for world conquest(?), and especially when there is a “lifetime” of war on, the “World War IV”? I believe it is still taught in any freshman political science class in any good university that the first casualty of war is the truth – unless this has also been done away with by the Patriot Acts and substituted with Orwellian craft!

Yet Zia Mian betrays no memory of any of these matters in his purported analysis of 'how not to handle nuclear security', while right outside his own doorsteps are the very preparations for preemptive nuclear attack on Iran which have reportedly already been completed and merely awaiting the go-ahead from Washington: “The study concludes that the US has made military preparations to destroy Iran's WMD, nuclear energy, regime, armed forces, state apparatus and economic infrastructure within days if not hours of President George W. Bush giving the order.” (see “Considering a war with Iran: A discussion paper on WMD in the Middle East”) He is also evidently unfamiliar with Israel's avowed nuclear warfare attack plans on Iran in full tag-team cahoots with the White House, including nuclear attack on her non-nuclear neighbors in the region if they even raise a whit of a finger to deter the nuclear armed-to-the-teeth Zionist pariah 'Der Judenstaat' in their midst. It must be wonderful to ignore the 'ubermensch' rogue state (see “Ex-CIA official: Israel will attack Iran on its own”) which hasn't signed the NPT and which has continually preached 'War on Iran' – a signatory to NPT – with repeated mantras borne out of 'erudite studies': “One essential requirement for nuclear confrontation in our region, according to the study, is allowing Iran’s nuclear program to develop, unhindered by a pre-emptive strike by either Israel or the United States.” (see “US report: Israel would weather nuclear war with Iran”)

These absurdities at the 'unbirthday party', demonizing the Iranians – a rich and sophisticated civilization far older than all of Europe and America combined and whose scholars and scientists predominantly fueled the ascendance and dominance of Muslims for 700 years while the Europeans
languished in their Dark Ages – as an irrational peoples willing to risk complete obliteration from the face of future history; demonizing Pakistan as about to be taken over by “radical Islamist militants” who are about to hijack the 'loose nukes' such that even the 5th largest standing army in the world would need help against them; etceetra, etceetra, are now being rehearsed continually in the newsmedia precisely to seed the notion of a 'legitimate' preemptive (or retaliatory) use of nuclear weapons. An idea once so abhorrent and unthinkable, is now being made palatable to mainstream American and European public under all sorts of imaginary and/or 'synthetic' threats (see “Wakeup to the grotesque reality of the ‘Grand Chessboard’!”). The Western public is being deftly primed with multi-modal psyops by the crafty construction of one key gigantic stinking red herring of 'nuclear security' – the 'highest order bit' of the matter – the deliberate premeditated criminal use of nuclear weapons against defenseless foes by its own 'legal' guardians. That very real and visible threat to humanity is carefully elided in the calculus of 'nuclear security' in the best tradition ofStraussian scholarship of 'half-truths only', and absurd boogie-men are crafted from immanent whisperings! Also see “Disassembling the Pakistani red herrings” on humanbeingsfirst.org for the deconstruction of the “radical Islamist militants” into its commonsensical reality!

Why has the nuclear weapons security discourse been deliberately limited to their accidental and 'pirated' (mis)use?

Why does it not also include their much more significant and immediate threat stemming from their deliberate, premeditated, monumentally criminal deployment as a weapon of choice of the 'hectoring hegemons' for intimidation, coercion, and very real 'shock and awe' under the self-ascribed 'ubermensch' mandate of 'primacy and its geostrategic imperatives' that is the trumpeting elephant grotesquely shitting in the newlywed's nuptial bed?

If the overarching objective is to secure the existence of human beings from themselves and to enable them to survive without an Armageddon and without anyone slaughtering a 'lesser humanity', then the genuine factors that pose the highest levels of threat to mankind must rationally be triaged and addressed in that order. Why this stepchild treatment to the most significant threat to humanity's existence today – the depravity of the 'ubermensch' hectoring hegemons who have actually demonstrated their willingness to use these nuclear weapons? Or is the point to keeping the nuclear weapons secure, only until such time that they are 'officially' let loose to murder a million or two under blaring trumpets and marching horns, and then it's merely securing 'American Foreign Policy Interests Abroad', or preemptively fighting the “War on Terror” to the thunderous applause from the upper 'ubermenschen' deck of humanity flashing the “mission accomplished” sign?

The very first manifest collateral damage of the “War on Terror” – even before “Operation Infinite Justice” was launched, way before “Operation Iraqi Freedom” was launched, and while they both still continue unabated being only about a fourth of the intended 'lifetime' of “perpetual war” into their duration – has been the very hijacking of our basic everyday tool that enable us to think commonsensically, rationally, as human beings first. Our language! It has thus channeled our minds in the mantras of the 'empire' convincing us of one absurdity after another, and which directly led to the very first bombing run “United We Stand” in the “algebra of infinite justice”. And which may yet lead to a preemptive nuclear war against sitting ducks once again! And erudite scholars like Zia Mian are complicit in perpetuating that hijacking by deliberately repeating the “doctrinal” mantras of the empire from its highest pulpits!
Furthermore, within its own myopic discourse, Zia Mian's article is indeed informative with respect to how vulnerable some of these security measures can be in implementation-space when the rubber really meets the road, mainly in reference to '0000000' and pilot-errors. One has to however be a realist-idealistic so long as the morbid reality of nuclear weapons is with us. As an MIT trained engineer who has actually built and deployed real systems rather than just talk about third-and-fourth hand perceived vulnerability in other peoples' systems – even if only commercial ones which are surely orders of magnitude simpler and certainly do not pose the danger of any catastrophic Armageddon being inflicted upon human beings unless they are also being (mis)used to control the nuclear weapons launch sequences, and anyone who asserts the latter is either a fool or a Machiavelli – the effective pragmatic strategy is one of continued risk management through continual improvement in the architectures, the implementations, the processes, the validations, the access controls, and the drills. This is very much the process today in its various gradations of 'imperfections', and is to be continually improved for risk mitigation of 'unauthorized theft' – since 'authorized theft' of humanity's lives is deemed okay and not part of the 'nuclear security' protocol by definition, as a cynic might infer from Zia Mian's article.

This continual risk management and mitigation strategy through feedback on implementations, improvement, and better evolving architectures – which would be undertaken by any 'sensible' nuclear power – is the rational lesser of two evils over succumbing to the only other realism-rooted and exponentially far greater risk of giving a signed blank check for "full spectrum dominance" to murderous 'hectoring hegemons' who will obviously never give up their own WMDs but instead, even as we speak, are rushing to develop even more lethal ones across the board, and use cunning doctrinal warfare to "goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers" and kill a million to the sound of horns and trumpets and proudly call it "mission accomplished"!

In such a real-world reality full of predators, even the wilder-beasts make "full spectrum alliances" to defend themselves with the best available weapons at their disposal, rather than give up all their defenses which is what the predators would surely love to get their pending 'meals' to believe as their only 'safe' choice in the matter – as can be gleaned in this amazing 8-minute 'Battle at Kruger' video-clip http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LU8DDYZ68kM.

That is the unfortunate, naked, brutish, 'MAD' reality we live in Sir! As Robert Oppenheimer had said it far more realistically and fairly than Zia Mian – either all nations must possess them, or none must possess them! Only a few possessing this awesome power to impose their plunderous will upon another, is what fuels this "imperial mobilization" du jour that is sending America's precious sons and daughters to their slaughter, never mind what they are doing to a few million of the 'lesser humanity'! And as even a previous commenter 'Charles Colton' commenting on this article on your website also much more wisely noted (absence of such wisdom in Zia Mian's own article indeed demands a pause for some hard reflections on motivations): "The international community needs a strong policy on how to not only safeguard the world's nuclear arsenals but to devise a universal plan that will ensure these weapons will never be used."

Yes indeed, and thank you for that! Clearly this commenter has likely read the White House's "Nuclear Posture Review" and is perhaps familiar with their present doctrine of preemption even against non-nuclear states who are signatories to the NPT – thus in complete violation to International law to which the superpower nation whose prestigious university employs Mr. Zia Mian in his
capacity as a 'nuclear specialist', is also a signatory; if honoring such laws is of any relevance in the foreign policy and 'nuclear security' calculus anymore.

For an analysis of 'nuclear security' being published in 'Foreign Policy In Focus', such glaring omissions only extends its incredulity to even this august forum that advertises having 'no walls'! I have quite innocently taken that to mean that this forum rationally analyses foreign polices and keeps them in focus in the best interest of the entire world, and is not merely a re-spinning propaganda arm of the Pentagon. I haven't read all the writings on 'nuclear security' by Zia Mian and am merely analyzing this one article which already leads me to not want to read anything further from the doctrinal pen of this mighty scholar on account of his deliberate resemanticizing the definition of 'nuclear security' to preclude the 'emperor's premeditated use of nuclear weapons. Such absences legitimizes these doctrines in the mainstream discourse of America that mainly takes its cues from the scholars of the empire.

And finally, I would conclude by suggesting that there is indeed something fishy about how the nukes were 'lost' for so many hours as has repeatedly been reported in the newsmedia, all quoting more or less the same sources. Zia Mian so unquestioningly accepting that at face value and using it to craft his own myopic thesis on how not to secure nuclear weapons is at best troublesome. IMHO, the public version of this tale, as again repeated by Zia Mian, is quite asinine (see for instance: “Missing Nukes: Treason of the Highest Order” on globalresearch.ca). It is just as 'believable' occurring in a super-power's own sophisticated arsenal and top-secret classified security processes constructed by the most polished and brilliant military minds that sees the expenditure of a trillion dollars every other year, as the '19 evil jihadis' tale doing 911 all by themselves and collapsing those tall buildings directly into their own footprints at almost free-fall speed while 'Able Warrior', 'Able Danger', and 15 other terrorist attack-response drills were simultaneously transpiring that very moment all of which were ethereally super co-opted by a bearded 'evil yoda master' sitting cross-legged in a cave in Afghanistan on a dialysis machine using merely his awesome 'power of the dark side'! (See for instance, what the former Italian President who set up 'Operation Gladio' thinks of 911.)

The real story, IMHO, in all cases, as in the ex post facto revelations of the 'Maine' to the 'Gulf of Tonkin' being entirely self-inflicted affairs to launch wars of conquest, is yet to be revealed. Wait 50 years! In the meantime, the fait accompli constructed upon the backs of all the clever lies and deceptions generated from within the august halls of the Ivy, the Rand, and the 100 other think-tanks to seed the expansion of 'empire', will in turn become the new ex post facto narratives of history that our grandchildren will be learning one fine day in their sixth grade. If there is anymore 'one fine day' left!

Mr. Zia Mian's recipe for achieving that lofty shared aspiration is highly improbable as stated, even if genuinely conceived. If he would like to learn a more pragmatic solution emanating from the rather plebeian mind of a humble engineer turned justice activist who does not live in the ivory towers of the IVYs but in the real world that experiences the realpolitik power-plays on the 'Grand Chessboard' in blood, it is conceptually very simple – first all 'MAD' to create 'full spectrum deterrence' through 'full spectrum alliances' to contain the 'full spectrum dominance' of the few primates who refuse to lose their tail, and then his recipe, which will now magically become more practical and realizable just as the SALTs were under the détente! Power only respects power, and that lesson too, is “as old as mankind”!
It usually takes one polished sentence to construct a myth, but considerably more space to deconstruct it, as Noam Chomsky had once noted. Hence this length. Thank you for reading.

An aside general note on this article: “How Not to Handle Nuclear Security”

For Mr. Zia Mian, as an erudite academic from a prestigious IVY, to mostly repeat, nay parrot, the mantras that are deftly seeded by the Pentagon, without any critical questioning and deeper analysis of their own motivations or of the mantras themselves, doesn't leave much room for doubt in my quite finite and humble mind that his entire article is anything other than a thinly veiled shill for ‘empire’. Perhaps the author can also be directed to read “Secrets: A Memoir of Vietnam and the Pentagon Papers” by Daniel Ellsberg before he puts much stock in what Robert McNamara says. It is un-surprising to me anymore that this level of craftsmanship from the Ivy is also from the pen of one who is supposedly on the 'dissenting left' and a 'peacenik' – unless I am keenly mistaken; for I surely only possess a humble plebeian mind and am mercifully not a scholar or even a domain expert in matters of 'empire'. Thus the specialist should be trivially able to rationally and convincingly address the objections raised in this plebeian letter.

For us ordinary mortals in the lower-decks of humanity who are perhaps not so erudite, the mere plebeians, we are often gullibly led by erudite scholars in understanding pressing matters whose expert and objective analysis they purport to bring us. Be they of the Straussian variety as 'chief priests' of empire – like Bernard Lewis, or be they the 'dissenting priests' as the gadflies to power – like my own worthy mentor Noam Chomsky, or be they any domain-experts and specialists like Zia Mian. We need to wakeup to the realpolitik fact of the matter that sophisticated doctrinal warfare and psyops are also being deftly crafted for 'manufacturing consent' in society to either carry the 'populist democracy' forward for “imperial mobilization” so “United We [can] Stand”, or deflect its handful of conscionable dissenters in mindless pursuits chasing this and that red herrings. How can the ordinary people tell the difference between the various nuanced shades of the diabolical 'ubermenschen' domain-experts shilling for 'empire', and the genuinely honest truthful scholars out to enlighten the masses as “moral agents” in the best mold of Platonic teachers?

The scholar's word and what motivates it, unlike his soul, must be of utmost concern for us plebeians. And as the other Princeton University erudite scholar Bernard Lewis cleverly puts it, albeit in perhaps a different context in his doctrinal classic 'Crises of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror', “it would surely be useful to understand the forces that drive them”. Only then can the plebeian flock understand the scholars' analyses in the full and proper perspectives of their respective mindset and with an insightful understanding of all the “forces that drive them”. Only thusly may one be rightly guided in their wise leadership on knotty and vexing matters in which a non-expert ill-informed polity must trust them in their judgment as fair domain-experts.

This is a far more significant matter of public education in order to ‘teach one how to fish and thus feeding one for life’, so that one can learn to accurately parse foreign policy calculus that are undeniably complex and almost always clouded in obfuscation and vested interests. Merely keeping the clouds “in focus” does not necessarily divulge what's hidden behind it. If one is interested in further penetrating through the clouds that have actually laid the foundation of “United We Stand” in the American society as the prerequisite prelude to herding its “populist democracy” into accepting the nation's 'Imperial' Foreign Policies without question under the guise of “American Interests
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### High School Student Comment:

Wed, 26 Dec 2007

In the Christmas week of December 2007, I asked one of my teenage children who was a graduating senior in America's high school and visiting Princeton university on college exploration visit:

“can you look at my response to Zia Mian? Do I entirely demolish his nonsense – the guy you went to see (did you ever catch him)? It's on my website. Let me know how persuasively have I 'done his arguments in'! How will he dodge out of this one? You need to compose his dodge arguments, for that's how you counter debate in real life, by anticipating, by preemption.”

Begin quote

Yeah, you pretty much demolish him, but what he would say in refutation would probably be the following:

My paper was not written for partisan concerns or to further any political agenda. My paper was intended to address the specific problem of securing nuclear weapons. While I deplore the use of daisy-cutters and such weapons which may contain nuclear materials, they are still not nuclear weapons, and if accidentally deployed, would cause far less damage than, say, a hydrogen bomb. I wanted to make sure that these weapons with such capacity for destruction would never again be used. My paper fairly assessed the current state of nuclear security in the context of nuclear weapons and found it to be immensely lacking, which is why I agreed that a new system of international governance of nuclear proliferation is needed. Even if you do not approve of the politics of the West, would you not agree that all parties globally would be better off without nuclear weapons ever being used? The question of how to control the United States with a truly international body is a more difficult one, and perhaps the most important one since the US is the only state to have used nuclear weapons – but it is not one that is easily resolved. My objective is to make the nuclear weapons as secure as I can, and I
don't think ranting against the injustice of the US as a bully superpower will help achieve that goal. I have to address the situation from the perspective that nuclear weapons must not be deployed – so first, let us ensure that at a state level, there are no nuclear weapons accidents due to discord or factionalization. Then the next step – which I will address in a later paper – is the more politicized recommendation of creating a powerful international body which can eliminate the threat of using nuclear weapons in international state-state warfare. The US in particular, as you point out, is not going to comply easily to idealistic non-proliferation and elimination agreements – but from a realistic perspective, perhaps the rise of China and resurgence of Russia will challenge US hegemony enough in a few decades that all superpowers will be ready to sacrifice nuclear endowments to conform to an international standard.

That's the best I can come up with in a response from him, but I'm not the most knowledgable on this issue. I am really not sure what he would have to say about dispelling fake fears. I suppose he would concoct some sort of argument about the possibility of Pakistan or Iran eventually using nuclear weapons, but I cannot imagine what. I doubt he would dispute your criticisms of the US as they're all true; he would probably just try to distance his role in abstract nuclear security discussion from the unfortunate political realities he doesn't really see in his comfy Princeton office – or on his business-class trips to London. I never actually got to see him, by the way, he was leaving for London that day.

End quote

- ### -

Letter to FPIF Editors to print my rebuttal response:

To: John Feffer, Emira Woods, Emily Schwartz Greco
Cc: Miriam Pemberton
Date: December 24, 2007

Dear distinguished Editors, FPIF
Seasons greetings from Pakistan.

I earlier sent you an email from my Project's address Humanbeingsfirst.org and sometimes it can end up in the spam bit-bucket, and since I did not receive any acknowledgment from you, I am retrying from my MIT address.

Your lead story this week on 'nuclear security' by Zia Mian was so interesting that I spontaneously wrote the attached response. I usually read FPIF as you carry some interesting diversity of perspectives (but in a rather restricted gamut). I hope you can also carry mine which entirely rebuts this distinguished expert from Princeton on matters 'nuclear' and extends your gamut a bit. Please do note the tiny critique therein of FPIF as well, as an august forum carrying disinformation articles from well known 'domain experts'.

You must be aware of the drum beat for the new wars, not much different from the drum beat for the previous two wars. When such drum beats are going on – many tend to lose focus on what America's Foreign Policy means, and has meant, from its very inception. Many also tend to lose focus on how modern 'empires' are constructed on the backs of primarily 'doctrinal scholarship' that lays the foundation of social discourse which is subsequently mindlessly repeated by the mainstream newsmedia to mobilize the public “United We Stand”, and of course by the vested interests of the various imperial 'circus clowns' who repeat the mantras from its august institutions to continually add fuel to the fire.

This exercise has been judged necessary by the most 'ubermensch' thinkers of the 'empire' themselves, as indeed the most prominent realpolitik strategist among them argues that “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization” except in the case “of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being”, and “except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat”, because the “economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts” and which “requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.”

Please note the really important point in this quote from Zbigniew Brzezinski's 'the Grand Chessboard' – “high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment”.

This is what my rebuttal to Zia Mian's essay is about, as I claim, through the rational deconstruction of his brilliant essay, that it is classic 'doctrinal motivation' to perpetuate the myth of 'loose nukes' – the new boogie man after the 'missing WMDs' in Iraq for which Rumsfeld had glibly claimed “absence of evidence is not evidence of absence” and was never called upon this bit of 'Alice in Wonderland' by anyone, including FPIF (if my memory serves me well) when the mantra still had currency in its heyday – the new enabler for continued “imperial mobilization”.

Surely none of this can be news to any real American Foreign Policy analysts who know their craft and their domain, as I am certain of this distinguished team of editors.

There really aren't too many ways to look at America's Foreign Policy other than through her own words – i.e., the words of her ardent 'imperial' exponents and office bearers – which going back to George Kennan in 1948 I reproduce for you below. We can certainly go back even further to gain even deeper perspective, but this suffices as the transition point of 'modernity' du jour between the decline of an empire upon which the Sun once never set, and a new 'empire' upon which the Sun is perhaps
about to set, and upon the thousands of whose gullible sons and daughters, slaves of economic conscription, the Sun has lamentably already set.

As you will note in Kennan's famous PPS Memo, dealing in 'straight power concepts' it was then, as it is now, and not just in theory as noted in Brzezinski 1997 book, in order to “perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer” such that “no Eurasian challenger emerges, capable of dominating Eurasia and thus also challenging America” (Brzezinski), but also by-way of practice in the present Bush Administration which mainly arose from the PNAC group, and which also argued the same theme as Brzezinski, that it necessitates asserting the “Reaganite policy of military strength and moral clarity” by forcing everyone on the planet to accept “America's unique role in preserving and extending an international order friendly to our security, our prosperity, and our principles.” (PNAC).

You can clearly, and rationally see nothing changed between 1948 and today's 2002-Nuclear Posture Review which only exercised the 'ubermensch' “American Primacy and its Geostrategic Imperatives”! It is interesting to note how naturally, even the supposedly objective intellectuals in America, accept this “Primacy Imperative” as the underlying unquestioned axiom upon which they build all their analysis of the world, and indeed, of America's Foreign Policies. This is precisely the issue in Zia Mian's disinformation masterpiece as is solidly deconstructed in my response-essay.

Quote-George Kennan PPS 23 1948:

“We have about 50% of the world’s wealth, but only 6.3% of its population …. In this situation, we cannot fail to be the object of envy and resentment. Our real task in the coming period is to devise a pattern of relationships which will permit us to maintain this position of disparity without positive detriment to our national security. To do so, we will have to dispense with all sentimentality and day-dreaming, and our attention will have to be concentrated everywhere on our immediate national objectives. We need not deceive ourselves that we can afford today the luxury of altruism and world-benefaction …. We should cease to talk about vague and – for the Far East – unreal objectives such as human rights, the raising of living standards, and democratization. The day is not far off when we are going to have to deal in straight power concepts. The less we are then hampered by idealistic slogans, the better.”

And just as the distinguished halls of the Ivys in the past have served this distinguished job-function of supporting their nation's 'empire' in its “imperial mobilization” very well by synthesizing “the high level of doctrinal motivation”, they still continue to do so just as admirably today.

Being quite familiar with the Ivys as having studied at MIT – which though not an Ivy per se, is more prominent among the lot, and also gets as much as 90% of its martial research budget from the various agencies of the martial state and its private corporate collaborators, thus serving the technology needs of the 'empire' equally admirably in 'actual war making toys' in cahoots with the soft 'doctrinal scholarship' peddled from the Ivys such as 'Clash of Civilizations' and 'WMDs' – the twain craft of “doctrinal motivations” and technological innovations for “full spectrum dominance” must go together to fuel an empire for which Brzezinski rightly claims that its “populist democracy” is unburdened by “la mission civilisatrice” of traditional empires!
From the 'Maine' through the “Gulf of Tonkin” to '911' – are all one continuum of American Foreign Policy Initiatives for “American Interests Abroad”, or putting it in its syntactically unsugared form, “imperial mobilization”. It is the naked empirical reality for those who are scientists among us and can objectively evaluate the reality around us and artfully able to distinguish between the 364 days of 'unbirthday' party celebrations, and one genuine day of birthday! It is also the brutish reality for those on the receiving end of this syntactic sugaring!

Therefore, lest all of us unwittingly acquire deep red blood upon our clean hands as we continue to fish for truth while it stands stark-naked right before us as the trumpeting shitting elephant in the newlywed's bed, I humbly submit my detailed analytical deconstruction of Zia Mian's essay, attached as a PDF file, for your consideration to carry right alongside Zia Mian's stellar piece of work for the 'empire' from its most prestigious Ivy.

You can also glean this response-essay on my website as “Response to Zia Mian's 'How Not to Handle Nuclear Security’”.

I hope that you will very kindly at least acknowledge my letter, even if you choose to not carry my response-essay for whatever reason, perhaps with an explanation why you did not carry it which will of course be duly posted on my website.

But I do feel quite hopeful for a positive response as my rebuttal not only intellectually speaks for itself and begs for a rational and cogent rebuttal in turn to further the rational debate on a subject that can soon mean life-and-death for millions – the hallmark of democracy if it is to mean anything other than singing with the choir among its ruling elite – but also lends a fresh perspective to really put the Foreign Policy in Focus for a “think tank without walls”. I do believe in your concept of “without walls” but naively interpret it to mean in the best interest of all nations and all humanity. I am also (un)happy to be corrected in that assumption if it is unwarranted. Let's all be mature enough to call a spade a spade, at least in this august forum.

I am further Cc'ing your resident disarmament expert who can perhaps weigh in on the merits of the arguments as a 'domain expert' with real world experience.

You may further be interested in “Wakeup to the grotesque reality of the Grand Chessboard” on my website.

It is okay with me if you choose to also print this letter in FPIF for the benefit of your readers.

Kind Regards

Zahir Ebrahim

founder, Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

- ### -
Conclusion: Nuclear Security and Hectoring Hegemons

October 30, 2009

Conclusion written for The Pakistan Decapitation Papers Section on Nuclear Security

The “American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives”¹ is empirical and has been publicly known for a very long time. Well, certainly to its victims, and of course to its imperial harbingers, if not to its ordinary citizens kept perpetually busy with their 'American Dreams' as “Prisoners of the Cave”². And its predatory nuclear first-strike imperative has also been publicly known without any room for ambiguity, at least since the White House's 2002 Nuclear Posture Review was 'leaked' to the Los Angeles Times. It is briefly covered in this article of March 11, 2002, by Patrick Martin “US plans widespread use of nuclear weapons in war”³. Writings of other contrarians which coldly make apparent the outright omissions in Zia Mian's column in Foreign Policy In Focus³.¹ span the gamut from this WSWS report of September 07, 2007 by Bill Van Auken “Why was a nuclear-armed bomber allowed to fly over the US?”⁴, and their editorial of April 13, 2006 “US threats against Iran—the specter of nuclear barbarism”⁵, to Edward S. Herman's comprehensive deconstruction of America's primacy alliances with the European powers in “NATO: the Imperial Pitbull”⁶, dated January 23, 2009. Study after study⁶.¹ has publicly revealed the preparations United States and its allies have been un-secretly making to preemptively attack Iran, and the superpower remains precariously perched at that very Rubicon crossing as ominously depicted in “Heads-up warning to the American Peoples - Nuclear attack on Iran appears imminent!”⁷. Among its murderous axis partners preparing for this monumental crime against humanity, are of course the Zionist state⁸, and NATO.

Indeed, taking an un-jaundiced look at NATO's self-ascribed imperatives makes the Atlantic alliance only appear as a proxy-service hegemon for the primacy of the entire industrialized Global North upon the resource-rich but impoverished Global South. Here is the 24 Apr. 1999 NATO report cited by Edward S. Herman in his imperial Pitbull article: “The Alliance's Strategic Concept”⁹. I also came across this December 31, 2007 proposal from NATO officials (by simply typing “NATO” with some qualifying filters into an internet search engine) on how to continue spreading Western primacy objectives (properly syntactically sugared of course): “Towards a Grand Strategy for an Uncertain World”¹⁰, subtitled: 'Renewing Transatlantic Partnership'. The following two penetrating analyses by Ben Hayes, of April 21, 2006 on 'The EU's Security Research Programme' titled: “Arming Big Brother”¹¹; and the most recent one dated September 2009 with the Transnational Institute¹² on the 'EU Security-Industrial Complex' ingeniously titled: “NeoConOpticon”¹³, show how the West is Machiavellian conniving for its own primacy disguised as “preemptive” self-defense in an “uncertain world”. Project Humanbeingsfirst has shown, both in this book and in its many other
House Niggers Arrived in America

reports, who is primarily responsible for making it an “uncertain world” and for what purpose. Succinctly put, but only in the choicest political science diction of David Ben Gurion, “what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times.”

Therefore, daring to call a spade a spade, to omit such blatant doctrines of imperial predatory planning in one's purported “analysis” of nuclear security as a domain expert, and at a prestigious IVY no less, is to in fact be explicitly allied with the global aims and aspirations of the monumentally criminal hectoring hegemons themselves. The commonality in Zia Mian's implicit axioms14.0 with another 'whiteman' domain expert's is revealing: “Letter to Matthew Bunn of Harvard on his risk assessment of Nuclear Terrorism”14. The make-belief pretenses as a leftist and a peacenik aside, it is merely “manufacturing consent and dissent”15 to influence public opinion and fabricate justifications for empire's own axioms in its egregious pursuit of “Full Spectrum Dominance”15.1 in a Game as Old as Empire16. The import of crafty omissions for manufacturing sophisticated propaganda cannot be minimized, as was also commonsensically pointed out by the well known essayist Aldous Huxley:

“Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects... totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have by the most eloquent denunciations.”

Let no one mistake it – the Pakistani physicist turned nuclear disarmament specialist, Dr. Zia Mian's article is prima facie evidence that he is merely a paid propagandist (through the academic-respectability cover) for the same imperial forces that are today arrayed against his own native nation. One might understand the 'whiteman' carrying his or her own burden. One is however hard pressed to fathom a 'Negro' willingly doing it for them, the eloquent wisdom of Martin Luther King quoted elsewhere in this book notwithstanding.

Since there is surely going to be no Nuremberg17,18 (as the hectoring hegemons must perceive), nor any moralist's report from Jerusalem19, no Justice Robert H. Jackson20, and not even a victors' justice21, the fate awaiting the 'untermenschen' gives me the shakes! There is absolutely no apparent deterrence today for finally annihilating all the “undesirables” and other “useless eaters” from the planet as a world government at the barrel of a global police state is rapidly orchestrated by the hectoring hegemons and their vassals. Is there really a god(?), one must surely ponder at these existential moments. While the atheists might not think so, the victims, all victims, must believe so22. There surely must be one! And there surely must also be a Judgment Day too! For otherwise, where and how are hectoring hegemons ever to be paid back an eye for an eye, and their victims fairly recompensed? Dialectical hegemons like Zia Mian would only snicker at the sheep dreaming of its revenge as the Hegelian23 butcher approacheth!

Footnotes

[6.1] Plesch and Butcher, SOAS, http://eprints.soas.ac.uk/5688/1/0707StudySeptrevPC.pdf
Mainly, the atrocious crimes committed by the Nazis/Axis-powers and not committed by the Allies were defined as War Crimes. Such as the Holocaust™, and first aggression by invading Poland, Pearl Harbor, etc. In fact, the prosecuting powers defined the first-aggression to be a crime so heinous that Justice Robert H. Jackson called it “the supreme international crime differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole”. In consequence of such artful definitions of war crimes, atrocious crimes committed only by the Allies, like burning down civilian cities of Dresden, Tokyo with sustained apocalyptic fire bombings night after night (David Irving, Apocalypse 1945: The Destruction of Dresden, http://www.ipp.co.uk/books/), and dropping of the A-Bomb on Hiroshima and Nagasaki upon largely civilian population centers, were not defined as war crimes, either at the International Military Tribunal at Nuremberg, or at the Tokyo Trials.

In the case of similar crimes committed by both sides, the criminal cases against the Nazis/Axis-powers was argued to be weak, and ultimately not prosecuted. See for instance the well known case of Admiral Karl Dönitz in David Irving, pg. 156, Nuremberg, Ibid.

The most shocking aspect of victors' justice however, is the relatively lesser known case of the Nazi banker, Dr. Hjalmar Schacht, the singular financial genius behind the Third Reich – Reich minister of economics until 1937, Reichsbank president until 1939 – with deep connections to Wall Street (Antony C. Sutton, Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler, http://www.reformed-theology.org/html/books/wall_street/), and to the Bank of England (David Irving, Nuremberg, Ibid.), and without whom the Nazi war machine simply could not have been financed, and therefore, no World War II waged. Hjalmar Schacht was actually set-free, just as he had boasted throughout the trial that he would be (David Irving, Nuremberg, Ibid. Also Zahir Ebrahim, “Monetary Reform: Who will bell the cat?”).

Sadly, as hypocritical, and as Edward Bernays' style shrewd public relations campaign that these war crimes trials were, even that level of victors' justice is not a deterrence for aggression today, nor available to the victims of World War IV. See Justice Robert H. Jackson's lofty closing statements at Nuremberg, ibid., for the clear criminality it established for today's war mongers, their vassals, state executers, philosophers, political scientists, shills, and other vulgar propagandists. Today, they all spin absurdities upon absurdities of 'war on terror' while committing the most egregious crimes against humanity with impunity! Who will stop them? Never mind stop, people aren't willing to even speak the truth, or even hear it when it's plainly spoken. The “banality of evil” has transcended Hannah Arendt's attribution of it exclusively to the 'Good Germans', to all the 'Good peoples' of the world! One is arguably more sickend by their pious apathy 'looking from the side', than by the hired mercenaires, paid assassins, and ideological circus clowns of empire who do their misanthropic wont mainly because good people let them.
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Understanding World Order

Reimagining Pakistan on the Grand Chessboard requires understanding the Grand Chessboard ab initio, i.e., the World Order. Like the proverbial iceberg, majority of the grand-play lies underneath what's visible in the news, in the views, in the political charades, and in the erudite gibberish of empire's minions hiding under the respectability of cloak and gown. These intellectual slaves suddenly become summun, bukmun, umyun, hear no evil, speak no evil, see no evil, when it comes to remaining silent on what the empire does not want publicized. Or, publicized only in half-truths, three-quarter truths, in big lies repeated ad nauseam, and in vicariously implanted beliefs nurtured through popular folklore, movies, music, newspaper editorials, think-tank reports, etc., to cripple the public's epistemology. Their well-paid positions, their career, their funding, their quest for glory and accolade, depend on their following the implicit rules of the game that keep them on the gravy-train of empire.

Caption The three wise monkeys: summun, bukmun, umyun, hear no evil, speak
no evil, see no evil (image via wikipedia)

The slightest disobedience to the iron-clad regimen of the bosses behind the scenes, and suddenly: the munificent fundings dry up, the doors that were once open swing shut, and one is left wondering how to pay the bills. That is, in the best case. But it is a sufficiently strong motivator for co-option in any modernity, let alone in ours that has more invasive controls in place upon the life of ordinary man woman and child than at any other time in post colonial modern history. What you are about to read should be common knowledge, but alas, it isn't.

Swallowing The “Red Pill”

Caption A gestalt shift in perspective is required to understand primacy (Image courtesy of Desiree L. Rover, Aug 2009)
Since you are still reading this, you have decided to take the “Red Pill”. So let's swallow it to dive a bit deeper into the rabbit hole of modernity and see how primacy engages with political philosophy without a crisp understanding of which, you can never fully comprehend its apparent madness. It is anything but mad --- unless primacy itself is considered mad. It should not be. It is an instinct for unbridled dominance in the higher order primates and arguably underwrites some evolution of the same species on the natural time scale termed “survival of the fittest” through “natural selection” – the nineteenth century cause célèbre of Darwinians. But social Darwinians, the neo Darwinian predators who apply “natural selection” to themselves, the Übermensch exercising their “will to power”, wish to accelerate that natural process unnaturally, through their own quest for full spectrum dominance over all things, all life, all thoughts, and all systems of human control. And that quest for primacy and social engineering poses a real danger to normal peoples and to our civilizations.

That predatory instinct should be treated as the most formidable enemy of mankind and its expression a ruthless virus. Unfortunately, the instinct for primacy has instead been made noble, its expression labeled “foreign policy”, its victims “useless eaters”, its pursuit “sagaciousness”, its scholars “intellectuals”, its strategists “think-tanks”, its authors “national security advisors”, its stooges “terrorists”, its justification just one short sentence: “Hegemony is as old as mankind.”, and its ultimate prize: one-world government. Who dare standup to all that “nobility”?

A majority of rational people among the public who are smart enough to recognize this “nobility”, just slink away from confrontation thinking to themselves that that's how all empires work. All empires throughout history have been driven by their so called “divine destiny”; have harbored no concept of morality except for controlling its public; and pursued their own best imperial interests which have only been checked by other empires doing the same. And they have all disappeared on the sands of time. This present empire is going to be no different, even if it flies the indomitable Stars and Stripes of Pax Americana today. How long will it last? So why bother with who's behind it? Instead, let's just go back to basics of what it means to be human and the purpose of life: to seek the promised Heaven beyond (if religious) and self-actualization (if secular). Either way it is far more productive than standing up to the predators of earth who have always existed, and always shall exist, and also far more rewarding if you go along with their agenda or don't oppose them. All you have to do is to make sure you aren't among the “useless eater” category and you are all set. Only fools with nothing to lose wage revolutions. And where has that got us? We are caught up in even more global tyranny today. So they reason, rather effectively too.

This is the pragmatic crowd of sophisticated survivors who well-understand primacy of the uber privileged class and wish to live for their own narrower self-interests without too much selling of their soul. They easily rationalize away their hearing no evil, speaking no evil, and seeing no evil. These are not ignorant or lazy peoples, but are just too poor in time and inclination to dig any deeper than just that general homey understanding. More often, the few pragmatists who understand the system are themselves so dependent on it feeding them that they have no choice but to be a part of it and to defend its very existence. So thanks for choosing the “red pill” --- if you don't know what that is, see the Hollywood fable “Matrix” where the character Morpheus offers to take the character Neo down the rabbit hole of reality if he took the “Red Pill”, and to let him stay in his dream world believing whatever he wanted if he took the “Blue Pill”.

As the effect of the “Red Pill” kicks in, which it evidently is since you are still reading this, let's
dive straight into the rabbit hole to see how deep it really goes and why escaping from it has become so difficult. However, as the Oracle reminds the character Neo in the aforementioned fable: “you have to make up your own damn mind!”

When the absolute rule of gods on earth was challenged by plebeian norms, whereby individual rights and personal freedoms were equated by the Renaissance philosophers with inalienable rights; whereby the West, only just emerging from its Dark Ages, started to harken back to the democratic ideals developed by the Greeks at the zenith of the Hellenic Civilization of empowering the “demos”; and even young thinkers in the Middle Ages boldly started proposing end to tyranny of the gods on earth (for example, Etienne de La Boétie, in his 1523 *The Politics of Obedience: The Discourse of Voluntary Servitude*); Machiavelli was introduced to the Prince to enable exercising the same prerogatives as absolute kings but under public illusions of “freedom”.

Political theories from Plato to Hegel illustrate how the state can easily take over the public mind to govern it with an iron fist with even a measure of their own consent, if the reins of suzerainty are held in the hands of Übermensch. As Goethe, the German philosopher, had trenchantly observed: “none are more helplessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free”. Which is why Plato advocated the “philosopher-king” for governing a republic in the best public interest, with the highest moral standards of truth, reaching closest to divine truth, rather than in narrow self-interest. Nietzsche trumped Plato by killing God and advocating man become his own god with his will to power. Nietzsche's one tiny change to Plato's “philosopher-king” has made all the difference to political theories of primacy. It has lent primacy respectability!

Arguably, Nietzsche is effectively Plato except for that one tiny change to “philosopher-king” rule being closest to divine rule. The superman replacing God now defines “truth” itself, and thus its rule is itself “divine”! Reading Plato with that mental substitution of “philosopher-king” being the superman leads to the empiricism of today. Plato had warned of it in his *Simile of the Cave* where the controllers outside the cave subjecting the cave dwellers to total perception management are indeed Nietzschean superman. Reading Nietzsche with Plato in the backdrop explains a great deal of modernity. It would not be inaccurate to aver that our dystopic modernity is underwritten by the philosophical product of Plato and Nietzsche merged together. The role of state in *The Republic* was picked up by Hegel with the tiny modification that the state is not defined to serve the people in their own best interest (the platitudinous by the people, for the people, of the people, sold to gullible public), but the people are obligated to serve the state in its best interest. The state is supreme, over the rights of man, and run by superman. This is termed statism. Its continuous growth and expansion with the superman in the driving seat is only natural, and its culmination is automatically world superstate. But at times: (1) illusions of “demos” self-empowerment have to be maintained (“democracy” is usually a good bet); and (2) conflicts and revolutionary times fashioned and manufactured to destroy existing world order in order to raise a new world order from the ashes left behind in the age-old spirit of raising the Phoenix from its ashes (“Hegelian Dialectic”).

The superman often says with his lips exactly opposite to what he does with his hands without any moral compunction. I did not make that up. Here is Arnold J. Toynbee, Director, Royal Institute of International Affairs, (Chatham House) London, in 1931:

“We are at present working discreetly with all our might to wrest this mysterious force called sovereignty out of the clutches of the local nation states
All the time we are denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands, because to impugn the sovereignty of the local nation states of the world is still a heresy for which a statesman or publicist can perhaps not quite be burned at the stake but certainly be ostracized or discredited.” -- Arnold J. Toynbee, The Trend of International Affairs Since the War, International Affairs, Nov. 1931, pg. 809

The alert of mind would immediately ask: (1) what is the Royal Institute of International Affairs, London? And (2) why are they speaking of wrestling away sovereignty in 1931 just as they have dismembered the Ottoman empire into small nation-states after the first World War, and are about to dismember the Indian sub-continent and Palestine in the same way after the next World War?

Well, the RIIA in London is the twin sister of the Council on Foreign Relations in New York, both offspring of the defunct Round Table which played a crucial role in international geopolitics, in orchestrating war and peace, in the early part of the twentieth century as the privately funded oligarchic arm of Britannia, just like the East India Company was before it. The Round Table was replaced by its cross-Atlantic twins after World War I to better coordinate the oligarchy's manipulation of world affairs. And do you know what the Round Table was, if you have even heard of it?

Founded with Cecil Rhodes immense largesse to bring the wayward child across the Atlantic that had broken away so impetuously, the United States of America, back into the fold of the British empire; and to orchestrate world affairs for perpetual rule by the white Anglo Saxon race with the invisible oligarchy at the top of the rule chain. Once again, the financial oligarchy behind the scenes, the unaccountable superman, managing world affairs from behind the shadows of their political front-men who are groomed into positions of legislative power to do their private bidding by enacting public legalisms in their favor. That's what the Rhodes scholarship is all about for instance, to select and groom the worthy craftsmen of empire.

As for why speak of extracting sovereignty from nation-states on the one hand while these are being carved into existence from defeated empires and former slave-colonies of the British empire, one has to get deeply into the philosophy of conflict as a means of transformation, and the break-before-remake cycles to incrementally create the ultimate world order in which all nations have lost their sovereignty! Yes, one-world government, and that statement, as a reminder, is circa 1931, well before World War II, the Cold War labeled World War III, and this lifetime of Global War on Terror today which is labeled World War IV.

That should also answer the next question to pop into the alert mind: who is it that the famous British historian Arnold J. Toynbee is referring to as the director of RIIA? Who do they represent who “are denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands”? The oligarchy that finances the organization through its tax-exempt foundations and private trusts. An alert mind may also wonder how they can lie like that and openly admit to it so unabashedly in specialized publications like International Affairs (and Foreign Affairs, its New York twin)? Because, these are typically only read by the elites involved in the game of international primacy who are more used to the higher order thinking of the higher order primates than the ordinary common man suffering his morals. But the agenda is not a closely held secret, it's all in the open. Yet the public mind is fed on the fodder of nationalism and patriotism in battle fields across the world while global governance is orchestrated behind the scenes by the oligarchic instruments quite openly.

Zahir Ebrahim
The rich bibliography on this subject goes back to several hundred years, to the natural philosophers, but I am only aware of the actual evidence of conspiracy being unearthed going back to Adam Weishaupt of Bavaria in 1775, at the very founding epoch of the United States of America, and it shows a remarkable continuity of agenda, motivation, and secret cabals across generations and continents, all sharing in one common goal: global primacy of the superman. The empiricism du jour of the unrelenting drive towards global governance under the pretexts of managing crises and conflicts, speaks factually to that long running sport of the gods:

“We are living through exceptionally difficult times. Financial crisis and its dramatic impact on employment and budgets, the climate crisis which threatens our very survival --- a period of anxiety, uncertainty, and lack of confidence. Yet these problems can be overcome, by a joint effort, in and between our countries. 2009 is also the first year of Global Governance with the establishment of the G-20 in the middle of financial crisis. The climate conference in Copenhagen is another step towards the Global Management of our Planet. Our mission, our presidency is one of hope, supported by acts, and by deeds.” – Herman Van Rompuy, EU Council President, press conference Nov. 19, 2009

And why not, as the superman argues? As god, the superman is at liberty to define the social values, laws, rules, morality, news for others, but not be bound by these himself – for he is no longer beholden to, or bound by, the ordinary moral standards of good and evil. He is beyond all that humdrum normalcy introduced by religions which interferes with evolution to create a higher order being and higher order society based on man's reason. He is above all others who subscribe to any divine prescription since he knows that God is dead. He, as god himself, can define morality for others, termed Secular Humanism, but not be bound by it himself as the age-old privilege of gods and supergods. We see that moral relativism in the statement of the United States Supreme Court justice quoted earlier. This is poignantly caricaturized in the Greek myths of the pantheon of gods who treated man as sport, to be played with, often for their own rivalries. Doesn't that have an uncanny resemblance to the gods of modernity, secular and religious, on the throne and the pulpit, elected and inherited, who demand obedience from man, create wars, pestilence, pandemics, financial boom and bust cycles, predictable financial collapses, as sport at the expense of the bewildered public who easily comply with their life and labor under illusions which have been carefully fed to them? Instead of rivalry among themselves, the gods today appear to be rather cooperative among each other in playing their game of primacy for the whole earth as the prize.

An episode of this sport of gods was even witnessed on live television in the United States in 2008, when the instruments of the oligarchy compelled the superpower Congress to bailout the financial institutions with trillions of dollars in public debt despite wide spread public resistance to giving such subsidy to the financial oligarchy at the public's expense. Few comprehended the game at that time for none of the financial experts and most read financial rags analyzed the real diabolical purpose for which the bailout was given legally by the United States legislature – to create such unpayable national debt, secured of course with public taxation, that the superpower and its public would forever remain in the clutches of oligarchic control, to be played at will. There is a diabolical Talmudic theory of interest on unpayable debt, forbidden in all religions except in predatory theology,
that underlies the empirical control over state and political succession seen time and again throughout history until today:

“Give me control of a nations money supply and I care not who makes it laws.”

The United States Congress and President participated in that sporting subversion of their own nation contrary to public interest – and it would not have mattered who were occupying those positions. Every presidential set has, since the founding of the Federal Reserve System in 1913, and will in the foreseeable future, comply with the will of the oligarchy. That will is debt-enslavement of not just the United States of America, but the entire world using the United States as the sledgehammer. The Oligarchy even today proudly extols the virtues of this debt-enslavement of the United States to the banking cabal – its national debt to be more politically correct, as it is commonly referred in the press – on the United States Treasury website as the price of America's liberty. The Orwellian characteristic of Newspeak having taken hold at the very founding of the nation, even ignoring the blood-bath of the ten million of its indigenous peoples upon which it was so nobly erected:

Caption “The United States debt, foreign and domestic, was the price of liberty.”

When the most arrogant sole-superpower whose global reach extends far beyond any empire of recorded history, is itself beholden to higher powers of financial capitalism since its very inception, then other lesser nation-states of the world, especially those that easily became the vassal states, client states, rental states, and sacrificial pawns on the Grand Chessboard, hardly stand a chance when their best minds stay Summun, Bukmun, Umyun and pretend that Übermensch and its Agenda for Global...
Primacy does not exist. When they collectively pretend that International Primacy is not the first-cause of domestic dysfunction that continually sees only inept and corrupt rulers in all corridors of power in all client states. Indeed, mental slaves are taught, encouraged and even incentivized with lucrative careers to blame their own kind for not being able to manage their affairs due to their being of lesser civilization, outdated religion, primitive outlook, what have you, requiring the supremacy of Western Civilization and her trained assets to intervene in *la mission civilisatrice*.

After the fact, what can hens do when all positions to guard the hen house are always held by foxes who legislate and enact policies for the *superman*? No one can rise to those positions of political power except wolves and foxes beholden to the *superman*. So long as the *superman* shall exist, sheep shall be goaded and coerced and co-opted to remain sheep; the best minds easily turned into blithering idiots in narrow selfish self-interests. When does the sheep ever revolt against the habit of mutton eating! When does the *house nigger* ever turn against the *massa*! When does the half-truth teller ever tell the whole truth!

The financial bailout by the venerable American Congress is veritable proof of that empirical statement. Even the blind academic experts should be able to see it. But evidently don't. And for good reason. Here is W. Cleon Skousen, a former FBI agent, commenting on Carroll Quigley's revelations in *Tragedy and Hope* of the financial oligarchy orchestrating world government, and explaining how so few can so easily purchase the silence and cooperation of so many:

“The real value of *Tragedy and Hope* … [is the] bold and boastful admission by Dr. Quigley that there actually exists a relatively small but powerful group which has succeeded in acquiring a choke-hold on the affairs of practically the entire human race. **Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantic power unless millions of people in all walks of life were “in on the take” and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes.** As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and women and their institutions on a world-wide basis.” — W. Cleon Skousen, *The Naked Capitalist*, 1970, pg. 6

Like Plato had argued for his “philosopher-king” being the natural shepherd of the public 2500 years ago because of his virtue of being closer to truth, Nietzsche too argued in the 19th century that this modern *superman* knows best due to his higher intelligence and reliance on reason rather than superstition; except that the *superman* knows best in his own self-interest rather than necessarily public interest now that there is no God and no absolute code of moral conduct. And that is just natural selection at work. The *superman* is more intelligent, more self-empowering, more adept, than ordinary man. Therefore, he is naturally privileged to become the shepherd. Or, as some argue, the wolf, in sheep clothing. It is admitted openly by the wolves themselves: “*some are sheep while others are wolves, we are the wolves*”.

Here is one of the wolves at work constructing our “contemporary history” before our very eyes by putting all the preceding political theory of primacy to good use and expecting only rejoicing by future generations for what is ultimately to be raised from the ashes of “*total war*” — and hopefully you now understand what it is that the wolf claims “*our children will sing great songs about us years*
from now”:

“No stages. This is total war. We are fighting a variety of enemies. There are lots of them out there. All this talk about first we are going to do Afghanistan, then we will do Iraq… this is entirely the wrong way to go about it. If we just let our vision of the world go forth, and we embrace it entirely and we don’t try to piece together clever diplomacy, but just wage a total war… our children will sing great songs about us years from now.” -- Michael Ledeen, speaking at the AEI (American Enterprise Institute), 10/29/2001, via historycommons.org

For the superman, ends justify the means. The calculus of primacy permits no moral considerations (and moral clichés) to interfere. These are left mainly as a lip-service for those too squeamish or feeble-minded to accept higher order thinking of achieving objectives in the military-style. The ends are therefore beyond the calculus of morality, beyond good and evil, and determined solely by will to power. Therefore, any means can be adopted to reach those objectives – because, by definition, the ends are now “noble” since these are defined as such by the new god, the Übermensch, using his superior intelligence and reason. Lies, deception, deceit, in that path is merely “noble lies”. Any mayhem is “noble mayhem”. The invasion of Iraq was based on such “noble lies”, for instance, and even admitted and dismissed by empire as merely an “oops – intelligence failure”! All of 9/11 narrative and concomitant acts of barbarism by empire is based solely on this “noble” ideology of the superman. It affords those flushed with the hubris of unassailable power the license for primacy as “legitimate” social Darwinianism. As they say, only the king can wear the crown, legally. And the king made that law himself.

Ask yourself: does a shepherd ever worry about slaughtering sheep if he has to supply mutton to his customers or for his own feast? The sheep is just a herd, a resource to be managed, bred, controlled, and harvested. And, for that matter, as the aristocratic British philosopher of the oligarchy, Bertrand Russell stylishly observed of the public mind that is reduced to serfdom: it is as likely to revolt against its chains as the sheep revolt against the habit of mutton eating!

Indeed, virtually all of modernity is run by supernmen who have killed off God and rule for their own primacy objectives that are now global, by employing diabolical recipes laid out by political philosophers dating as far back as Plato, to Machiavelli, Nietzsche, Hegel, Leo Strauss et. al. These techniques span the full gamut of creating opportunities and situations in the form of crises, catastrophes, war; all harbingers of controlled chaos also called “revolutionary times”. Only during these revolutionary times what is inconceivable in normal times is made realizable. The control of the public mind is key to the successful harvesting of these opportunities for major social transformation. If the superman fails to capitalize on these rare moments, a whole world is lost. I did not just make that up. Here is David Ben-Gurion:

“What is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times; and if at this time the opportunity is missed and what is possible at such great hours is not carried out – a whole world is lost.”

There is more empirical reality captured in that short description of political theory of modern primacy than in the venerable platitudes of the Holy Bible and the Holy Quran combined --- that's tabulating the belief system of close to three quarters of the earth's population. For it explains virtually
all of modernity which no Heavenly Book can. The divine theological prescriptions of virtue of every religion which seemingly occupy so much of man's time to escape from reality, do not claim to be political treatise on techniques of primacy. But rather, as for instance, the Holy Qur'an claims itself to be moral guidance for the virtuous, the Bible is claimed to be moral guidance for sinners, etc.

You cannot really comprehend how the mind of modern infamy works by studying virtue. Those seeking to understand the twisted times they live in by studying holy books and in holy sermons, which evidently are many if full occupancy of mosques, churches and temples of every sort throughout the world in this resurgence of spirituality in the age of nihilism is any indication of how people are using their free time, may be better off studying political philosophers instead. Beginning with Plato's Simile of the Cave in The Republic, one would immediately realize that religion in the hand of superman is just another tool of primacy.

Mosque occupancy since 9/11 for instance has increased many fold --- and what do they rehearse there? The 'good Muslim' vs. 'bad Muslim', 'moderate Islam' vs. 'militant Islam' Hegelian Dialectic (!) without a clue as to how that controlled narrative being broadcast from the pulpit is in fact the imperial narrative manipulating their mind. And consequently, controlling their behavior in getting the Muslim public alongside the world public “United We Stand” with empire's barbarianism.

Obedience is the operative watchword in whatever “ism”, statism, barbarianism, patriotism, nationalism, religionism, secularism, globalism, communism, socialism, and yes, also capitalism which is dominated by global MNC sharks today as the corporate army of Western power bloc much like the East India Company was an instrument of power for Britannia for over 200 years. Some argue that MNCs are indeed the new rulers of the world but they misperceive. The MNCs are only the supranational instruments alongside the UN, the World Bank, the IMF, the WHO, by which the oligarchy rules not just our national but also our daily lives.

Insights as you have hopefully gained in this short space already, you cannot, do not, and never can, get in any normal academic setting, or from the news, or from the intellectuals of empire unless you are being groomed for the role of primacy, for all live off the largesse of empire manufacturing both consent and dissent to control the public mind. Normal people don't read any of that stuff, let alone understand it, but the superman does! Which is why it is hard for the public mind to fathom the mind of superman, or comprehend its tortuous scripts of mass behavior control.

A straightforward and rather objective litmus test of the real existence of this ubiquitous control system is readily available to anyone. After all, empiricism is an easily verifiable adjudicator of truth or falsity of any falsifiable proposition. Try pursuing a free inquiry into the HolocaustTM in any academic, professional, or arts and letters setting in any nation in the West. I believe in Europe and Canada you are still put in jail as of 2014 if you reach an intellectual conclusion other than the one legally sanctioned. In the United States you at least cannot find professional employment afterward if you can even survive the ordeal at the hands of the ADL. All the vaunted freedoms of the West which permit burning the Holy Qur'an, making fun of the Prophets of Islam, including Jesus, suddenly stop at the doorsteps of the HolocaustTM gas chambers. The same sacred cow sanctification process is being applied to 9/11. Apart from what the public is made to believe through ubiquitous narrative control, what they so easily subject themselves to at American airports is open for all to see. The added force of the President of the United States, Barack Obama, issuing a stern warning to skeptics hasn't quite helped that great intellectual and personal freedoms of the West being shoved down every nation's
“I am aware that there is still some who would question, or even justify the offense of 911. **But let us be clear. Al Qaeda killed nearly 3000 people on that day.** The victims were innocent men, women, and children from America and many other nations who had done nothing to harm anybody. **And yet Al Qaeda chose to ruthlessly murder these people, claimed credit for the attack, and even now states their determination to kill on a massive scale.** They have affiliates in many countries, to try to expand their reach. These are not opinions to be debated. These are facts to be dealt with.” -- President Obama, Cairo Egypt, June 4th 2009

Few comprehend that diabolically scripted play of obedience training for complete conformance to authority in the new world order. In fact, virtually all choose to just accept it as the new fact of life without a second thought --- as expected, that from Act I, if you can get the public mind to accept absurdities, you can get it to accept any atrocity, including its own servitude, and those born afterward will know nothing better.

It is already well understood by social engineers that none will even have the inclination to put it all together after it has been in play a few years as it would have become force of habit, sort of like **Pavlovian** training.

Taking shoes off at long security check-posts automatically, without being asked, is evidence of the success of this instance of **Pavlovian** training. So is the number of protests launched with the TSA by the traveling public. The last time I checked the statistics reported on TSA website, which was in 2010 or 2011 I believe, shockingly less than 0.5 percent of the millions of people going through US airport body scanners or enduring the physically intrusive pat-downs and body searches, had filed a complaint.

**Caption** The Airport intrusive patdowns cannot be understood in isolation from the two parallel contexts of “imperial mobilization” and “police state” which

The easy acceptance of that vile absurdity is an undeniable fact of engineered obedience training, like all the rest of social engineering the world has witnessed since 9/11. And it all began by simply accepting the official narrative of 9/11 of threat from “militant Islam” spun ubiquitously by the Mighty Wurlitzer and its assets. Just like the Holocaust™ before it, this too has quickly become a presuppositional axiom behind every public thought as well as public policy, both domestic and international, in virtually every nation on earth --- even including Iran and Russia which judiciously refrain from calling the Big Lie for what it is in all their opposition to the hegemony of the United States. I have never understood this --- if they were real antagonists of the superpower, this Big Lie is the singular Achilles' heel of all liars for any nation to call a spade a spade and initiate its effective take-down.

Its absence worldwide only indicates that all international enmities themselves are fabricated, controlled, synthetic, WWF style wrestling having freedoms only in saber-rattling and orchestrated warfare following the convoluted political theories of crisis creation and responding to the crisis with premeditated plans which are pivotal in social engineering for seeding transformation to the new one-world. Whether the manufactured crisis is real and existential, or remains mythological and mainly propaganda, is immaterial as both require that the public mind believe it to be real and posing an imminent danger to its well-being. Its success relies on two plus two making five to the public mind. And all efforts are made in that direction. Therefore, two plus two making four is suppressed, just as we see is transpiring in the ubiquitous narrative control which is now global, across civilization and national boundaries. It is the one thing which unites earth minds today: the threat of 'al-Qaeda', once stateless, now rising in the form of 'IS'. Thus creating more opportunities for “total war” for Oceania.

Which is precisely why all of newsmedia, all establishmentarian scholars, and all dissenting con-artist intellectuals controlling the permissible range of opinions to exclude what's not convenient to the ruling powers and their agendas, mainly focus the public's attention at the events themselves wrapped in narratives upon narratives. It's called freedom of speech and democracy, and the public rejoice at the openness of their Western society, while the colonized nations rush to emulate Western standards. Which is how the public mind is made so easily and uniformly across the world.

The drive for the standardization of worldviews and values is no less strident than the drive for the standardization of global laws. Both are necessary predicates for the standardization of human behavior --- from its natural diversity divided into tribes and nations, beliefs and values, all humming and vibrant in their own local cultures like the birds in a thriving forest and therefore difficult to control all at once, to its uniform and streamlined servitude long desired by the oligarchy. The honest to goodness observation made by Aldous Huxley to the students at the University of California, Berkeley, more than half century ago is even more empirical today:

“Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love
their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.

And this is a problem which has interested me for many years, and about which I wrote thirty years ago a fable, A Brave New World, which is essentially the account of a society making use of all the devices at that time available, and some of the devices which I imagined to be possible, making use of them in order to, first of all, to standardize the population, to iron-out inconvenient human differences, to create so to say mass produced models of human beings arranged in some kind of a scientific caste system.”

As was also convincingly argued by Bertrand Russell in Impact of Science on Society, in 1951, and as is also empirically visible today as the actual dystopic direction of global governance, world government can only be kept in being by force (of both the physical persuasion type as per George Orwell's fable, and mental persuasion, as well as biological and chemical tampering of the human being itself, as per Aldous Huxley's fable):

“There is, it must be confessed, a psychological difficulty about a single world government. The chief source of social cohesion in the past, I repeat, has been war: the passions that inspire feeling of unity are hate and fear. These depend upon the existence of an enemy, actual or potential. It seems to follow that a world government could only be kept in being by force, not by the spontaneous loyalty that now inspires a nation at war.”

“Fichte laid it down that education should aim at destroying free will, so that, after pupils have left school, they shall be incapable, throughout the rest of their lives, of thinking or acting otherwise than as their schoolmasters would have wished. ... Diet, injections, and injunctions will combine, from a very early age, to produce the sort of character and the sort of beliefs that the authorities consider desirable, and any serious criticism of the powers that be will become psychologically impossible. Even if all are miserable, all will believe themselves happy, because the government will tell them that they are so.”

The grotesque reality of engineering society, moving from the pen of philosophers and the laboratories of researchers in behavior control, to social empiricism, was openly admitted by Zbigniew Brzezinski in Between Two Ages, in 1970, obliterating any difference between dystopic fables and reality. As the soon to become National Security Advisor of the United States of America under President Carter, and the first executive director of the Trilateral Commission which was soon to be founded by David Rockefeller, the grandmaster of the grand chessboard wrote for those who bother to read:

“Life seems to lack cohesion as environment rapidly alters and human beings become increasingly manipulable and malleable. Everything seems more transitory and temporary: external reality more fluid than solid, the human being more synthetic than authentic. Even our senses perceive an entirely novel
"reality”—one of our own making but nevertheless, in terms of our sensations, quite "real." More important, there is already widespread concern about the possibility of biological and chemical tampering with what has until now been considered the immutable essence of man. Human conduct, some argue, can be predetermined and subjected to deliberate control. Man is increasingly acquiring the capacity to determine the sex of his children, to affect through drugs the extent of their intelligence, and to modify and control their personalities. Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, "I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain."

In all of this full spectrum drive for the control and standardization of human beings, to be ruled just as theologically by an all powerful financial oligarchy from the top of the control pyramid as in any predatory religion that puts man in the service of fellow man while paying due lip-service to high-minded morality, there is no room in the established scholarship, in the established politics, in the established press, or the established religious fervor, for any unraveling of truth's protective layers. Duh!

Whereas, the Sherlock Holmes of the day first look for the motivations behind events, and gauge the forces, both near and far, that drive them. They strive to unravel all of truth's protective layers.

On their profound intellectual courage and strength of character to see through the smoke and mirrors, to boldly proclaim two plus two make four and not five, to take the path not taken, to rise above their own narrow self-interests and to make no personal profit from their labors, this scribe humbly stands, and for which he is thankful that his own physical, psychological, and spiritual makeup endears him to their lonely path on the road less traveled.

When the empire applauds, one is serving the interests of empire. When the choir applauds, one is preaching to it. When the people applaud, one is serving their interests. This is self-evident; a universal moral truth that is beyond doubt. So who applauds when one serves the interest of truth? There is no applause on this road not taken by others. Only the hemlock.

The slave of truth always stands alone, lonely, and accepts the hemlock. The master of truth is always surrounded by cheers, accolades, prizes, and dies holily in bed. The author is grateful to his fate, destiny, naseeb, and all that in his life's experiences which has brought him to its crossroads, for that small share of loneliness on the road less traveled which is his cherished prize.

The author thanks the reader for even reading this far. This work is certainly not intended to be the last word on the oligarchic primacy for world government, their diabolical modus operandis, and Pakistan's role in it as the disposable pawn, nay, the disposable tissue wipe, but the mere introduction to the subject in completely honest terms to the best of the author's limited abilities. Given the reign of universal deceit and full spectrum control of the narrative in support of the mantras du jour, to ferret out the whole truth of any matter is indeed a revolutionary act. Once one has applied sufficient wherewithal and ma'arifat to ferret out the truth to the degree that is possible without access to secret and still classified knowledge, to tell the truth one has learned becomes the next revolutionary act. And
this is why no other point of view is permitted to exist outside that narrative space of “respectability”. It is neither published by the “respectable” intelligentsia press, nor given a fair hearing in their literary review spaces.

That preemption of truth was Machiavellianly calculated at the very inception of the free press and the so called people's democracy when the Bill of Rights was forged, and the freedom of speech and freedom of the press instituted as fundamental human rights. The powers that be well understood that give the people all the free speech in vacuum and they shall still die of asphyxiation while sacredly clutching on to their new freedoms! This is why freedom to be heard was cunningly replaced by freedom to speak in free space! In the Orwellian world, even that is deemed risky as it leaves too many martyrs that calls attention to the control system. Therefore, even the freedom to speak one's thoughts freely outside the control parameters invites crucifixion over the Hemlock.

Consequently, the author fully expects his point of view to be met with resentment and denigration in some quarters. But the author believes that any such overt intellectual resentment can only translate to fostering a greater awareness and motivate further discovery of the topics only barely dealt herein. This would be a good thing. Therefore, what the author fears will happen instead is that the work, long before the stage of crucifixion, will be completely ignored rather than intellectually refuted --- for silence on truth is the stronger method of controlling the narrative. Why draw attention to these matters even with their most eloquent denunciation, and needlessly open the Pandora's box of the public's awareness for the new generations growing up in total darkness of the predators scheming behind the scene?

Thus, any overt resentment will likely take the un-intellectual form as it took during the French and Russian revolutions: “Beware of that man for he has written a book!” (heard in the streets of Paris, quoted by Nesta Webster). And: “Writers must be proscribed as the most dangerous enemies of the people” (Robespierre, quoted by Nesta Webster).

But the author is not alone in calling a spade a spade!
Some Dare Call it Conspiracy! Are You Among Them?

_Holding a mirror to the blind_

**Conspiracy:** “in law, agreement of two or more persons to commit a criminal or otherwise unlawful act. At common law, the crime of conspiracy was committed with the making of the agreement, but present-day statutes require an overt step by a conspirator to further the conspiracy. Other controversial aspects of conspiracy laws include the modification of the rules of evidence and the potential for a dragnet. A statement of a conspirator in furtherance of the conspiracy is admissible against all conspirators, even if the statement includes damaging references to another conspirator, and often even if it violates the rules against hearsay evidence. The conspiracy can be proved by circumstantial evidence. Any conspirator is guilty of any substantive crime committed by any other conspirator in furtherance of the enterprise. It is a federal crime to conspire to commit any activity prohibited by federal statute, whether or not Congress imposed criminal sanctions on the activity itself.” --- Columbia Encyclopedia [1]

Ah – but what if the “criminals” were to write the laws and the statutes themselves? Then, the conniving and conspiring isn't legally defined as a crime, nor the “criminals” called criminals. In fact, most are called bankers (emperors previously), and their instruments today, foundations (fleets previously)! Isn't that just peachy?

If only Al Capone, “Italian-American gangster who led a crime syndicate dedicated to smuggling and bootlegging of liquor and other illegal activities during the Prohibition Era of the 1920s and 1930s” (Wikipedia), had learnt that sooner.

A very learned man in the far simpler times of antiquity, around 410 A.D., captured this state of affairs of the imperatives of power most succinctly as follows:

“When the King asked him what he meant by infesting the sea, the pirate defiantly replied: 'the same as you do when you infest the whole world; but because I do it with a little ship I am called a robber, and because you do it with a great fleet, you are an emperor.” --- Augustine of Hippo, in *The City of God against the Pagans*, page 148

And a much simpler man in far more convoluted times of modernity also rather straightforwardly expounded upon the same matters because the plebeians du jour weren't quite willing to accept any oligarchic emperorship directly, legal or not. Divine sanction for rulers had been
eliminated in the West since the Renaissance, and new emperors had to play along with plebeian norms because "Nowadays when the voting papers of the masses are the deciding factor; the decision lies in the hands of the numerically strongest group; that is to say the first group, the crowd of simpletons and the credulous." (Mein Kampf). Thus, more complex scheming by the wolves seeking world domination had to be orchestrated upon the sheepish "crowd of simpletons and the credulous."

And so, in 1971 AD, he observed:

“Most of us have had the experience, either as parents or youngsters, of trying to discover the "hidden picture" within another picture in a children's magazine. Usually you are shown a landscape with trees, bushes, flowers and other bits of nature. The caption reads something like this: "Concealed somewhere in this picture is a donkey pulling a cart with a boy in it. Can you find them?" Try as you might, usually you could not find the hidden picture until you turned to a page farther back in the magazine which would reveal how cleverly the artist had hidden it from us. If we study the landscape we realize that the whole picture was painted in such a way as to conceal the real picture within, and once we see the "real picture," it stands out like the proverbial painful digit.

We believe the picture painters of the mass media are artfully creating landscapes for us which deliberately hide the real picture. In this book we will show you how to discover the "hidden picture" in the landscapes presented to us daily through newspapers, radio and television. Once you can see through the camouflage, you will see the donkey, the cart and the boy who have been there all along. Millions of Americans are concerned and frustrated over mishappenings in our nation. They feel that something is wrong, drastically wrong, but because of the picture painters they can't quite put their fingers on it. Maybe you are one of those persons. Something is bugging you, but you aren't sure what. We keep electing new Presidents who seemingly promise faithfully to halt the world-wide Communist advance, put the blocks to extravagant government spending, douse the fires of inflation, put the economy on an even keel, reverse the trend which is turning the country into a moral sewer, and toss the criminals into the hoosegow where they belong. Yet, despite high hopes and glittering campaign promises, these problems continue to worsen no matter who is in office. Each new administration, whether it be Republican or Democrat, continues the same basic policies of the previous administration which it had so thoroughly denounced during the election campaign. It is considered poor form to mention this, but it is true nonetheless. Is there a plausible reason to explain why this happens? We are not supposed to think so. We are supposed to think it is all accidental and coincidental and that therefore there is nothing we can do about it.

FDR once said "In politics, nothing happens by accident. If it happens, you can bet it was planned that way." He was in a good position to know. We believe that many of the major world events that are shaping our destinies occur
because somebody or somebodies have planned them that way. If we were merely dealing with the law of averages, half of the events affecting our nation's well-being should be good for America. If we were dealing with mere incompetence, our leaders should occasionally make a mistake in our favor. We shall attempt to prove that we are not really dealing with coincidence or stupidity, but with planning and brilliance. This small book deals with that planning and brilliance and how it has shaped the foreign and domestic policies of the last six administrations. We hope it will explain matters which have up to now seemed inexplicable; that it will bring into sharp focus images which have been obscured by the landscape painters of the mass media.

Those who believe that major world events result from planning are laughed at for believing in the "conspiracy theory of history." Of course, no one in this modern day and age really believes in the conspiracy theory of history - except those who have taken the time to study the subject. When you think about it, there are really only two theories of history. Either things happen by accident neither planned nor caused by anybody, or they happen because they are planned and somebody causes them to happen. In reality, it is the "accidental theory of history" preached in the unhallowed Halls of Ivy which should be ridiculed. Otherwise, why does every recent administration make the same mistakes as the previous ones? Why do they repeat the errors of the past which produce inflation, depressions and war? Why does our State Department "stumble" from one Communist-aiding "blunder" to another? If you believe it is all an accident or the result of mysterious and unexplainable tides of history, you will be regarded as an "intellectual" who understands that we live in a complex world. If you believe that something like 32,496 consecutive coincidences over the past forty years stretches the law of averages a bit, you are a kook!

Why is it that virtually all "reputable" scholars and mass media columnists and commentators reject the cause and effect or conspiratorial theory of history? Primarily, most scholars follow the crowd in the academic world just as most women follow fashions. To buck the tide means social and professional ostracism. The same is true of the mass media. While professors and pontificators profess to be tolerant and broadminded, in practice it's strictly a one way street-with all traffic flowing left. A Maoist can be tolerated by Liberals of Ivory Towerland or by the Establishment's media pundits, but to be a conservative, and a conservative who propounds a conspiratorial view, is absolutely verboten. Better you should be a drunk at a national WCTU convention!

Secondly, these people have over the years acquired a strong vested emotional interest in their own errors. Their intellects and egos are totally committed to the accidental theory. Most people are highly reluctant to admit that they have been conned or have shown poor judgment. To inspect the evidence of the existence of a conspiracy guiding our political destiny from behind the scenes
would force many of these people to repudiate a lifetime of accumulated opinions. It takes a person with strong character indeed to face the facts and admit he has been wrong even if it was because he was uninformed.

Such was the case with the author of this book. It was only because he set out to prove the conservative anti-Communists wrong that he happened to end up writing this book. His initial reaction to the conservative point of view was one of suspicion and hostility; and it was only after many months of intensive research that he had to admit that he had been "conned."

Politicians and "intellectuals" are attracted to the concept that events are propelled by some mysterious tide of history or happen by accident. By this reasoning they hope to escape the blame when things go wrong.

Most intellectuals, pseudo and otherwise, deal with the conspiratorial theory of history simply by ignoring it. They never attempt to refute the evidence. It can't be refuted. If and when the silent treatment doesn't work, these "objective" scholars and mass media opinion molders resort to personal attacks, ridicule and satire. The personal attacks tend to divert attention from the facts which an author or speaker is trying to expose. The idea is to force the person exposing the conspiracy to stop the exposure and spend his time and effort defending himself.

However, the most effective weapons used against the conspiratorial theory of history are ridicule and satire. These extremely potent weapons can be cleverly used to avoid any honest attempt at refuting the facts. After all, nobody likes to be made fun of. Rather than be ridiculed most people will keep quiet; and, this subject certainly does lend itself to ridicule and satire. One technique which can be used is to expand the conspiracy to the extent it becomes absurd. For instance, our man from the Halls of Poison Ivy might say in a scoffingly arrogant tone, "I suppose you believe every liberal professor gets a telegram each morning from conspiracy headquarters containing his orders for the day's brainwashing of his students?"

Some conspiratorialists do indeed overdraw the picture by expanding the conspiracy (from the small clique which it is) to include every local knee-jerk liberal activist and government bureaucrat. Or, because of racial or religious bigotry, they will take small fragments of legitimate evidence and expand them into a conclusion that will support their particular prejudice, i.e., the conspiracy is totally "Jewish," "Catholic," or "Masonic." These people do not help to expose the conspiracy, but, sadly play into the hands of those who want the public to believe that all conspiratorialists are screwballs.

"Intellectuals" are fond of mouthing clichés like "The conspiracy theory is often tempting. However, it is overly simplistic." To ascribe absolutely everything that happens to the machinations of a small group of power hungry conspirators is overly simplistic. But, in our opinion nothing is more simplistic than doggedly holding onto the accidental view of major world events.
In most cases Liberals simply accuse all those who discuss the conspiracy of being paranoid. "Ah, you right wingers," they say, "rustling every bush, kicking over every rock, looking for imaginary boogeymen." Then comes the coup de grace-labeling the conspiratorial theory as the "devil theory of history." The Liberals love that one. Even though it is an empty phrase, it sounds so sophisticated!

With the leaders of the academic and communications world assuming this sneering attitude towards the conspiratorial (or cause and effect) theory of history, it is not surprising that millions of innocent and well-meaning people, in a natural desire not to appear naive, assume the attitudes and repeat the clichés of the opinion makers.

These persons, in their attempt to appear sophisticated, assume their mentors' air of smug superiority even though they themselves have not spent five minutes in study on the subject of international conspiracy.” --- Gary Allen, None Dare Call it Conspiracy, Chapter 1

And are you among them? Are you like those who say: “Don't confuse us with facts; our minds are made up.”? If so, Gary Allen had you in mind when he wrote the preceding brilliant passages in None Dare Call it Conspiracy. [2]

The poor fellow had searched in vain then, in 1971, “scouring the length and breadth of America in search of hundreds of thousands of intellectually honest men and women who are willing to investigate facts and come to logical conclusions-no matter how unpleasant those conclusions may be”, just like the “philosopher Diogenes scoured the length and breadth of ancient Greece searching for an honest man”. (Ibid.)

I too seek, but surely not in vain, many a million honest plebeians worldwide who would overturn this fait accompli, by no longer claiming as their opiate excuses: “hope”, “god is running the world - so how can I challenge its mighty plan”, etceteras. [3] The faces of the same earthly devils once again uncovered after World War II first by Eustace Mullins, who had dared to reveal the existence of the omnipotent financial oligarchy in:

● The Secrets of the Federal Reserve under the tutelage of Ezra Pound (1952) [3a];

● subsequently formally revealed by professor Carroll Quigley in Tragedy and Hope (1966), who also worked assiduously to downplay the two centuries long role of the House of Rothschild as damage control on Mullins' unvarnished exposé;

● Quigley's seminal work nonetheless, from an establishmentarian historian and professor of generations of United States state department foreign service diplomats no less, was subsequently commented upon by Gary Allen in None Dare Call it Conspiracy (1971);

● who in turn echoed W. Cleon Skousen's concerns in The Naked Capitalist (1970) and presaged Eustace Mullins' follow-on book that further exposed the role of the House of Rothschild in orchestrating World Order (1985);
followed by multiple trilogy of most remarkable books by professor Antony C. Sutton of the Hoover Institution at Stanford University, investigating the connections between America's Secret Establishment [3b] financing Wall Street and World Wars (1983) ;

and also the inexplicable funding and building of the communist war machine by super capitalist corporations in the United States under the watchful eye of their government while their nation was sacrificing its own young men in Korea and Vietnam to fight communism, aptly titled National Suicide: Military Aid to the Soviet Union (1973) ;

subsequently summarized in The Best Enemy Money Can Buy (1986) ;

all laughing their way to their private banks fashioning World Order with successive Hegelian mind-fcks inflicted upon the world as you, most gullibly, and altogether ignorantly, are willingly made to pay for their self-ascribed primacy imperatives in your own blood, sweat and tears.

Caption Hegelian mind-fck - Professor Antony Sutton of the Hoover Institution at Stanford University explains Hegelian mind-fck, ahem, the Hegelian Dialectic of the conspiracy (cause and effect relationship) of history: “How can there exist a common objective when members are apparently acting in opposition to one another? Probably the most difficult task in this work will be to get across to the reader what is really an elementary observation: that the objective of The Order is neither "left" nor "right." "Left" and "right" are
artificial devices to bring about change, and the extremes of political left and political right are vital elements in a process of controlled change. The answer to this seeming political puzzle lies in Hegelian logic. Remember that both Marx and Hitler, the extremes of "left" and "right" presented as textbook enemies, evolved out of the same philosophical system: Hegelianism. That brings screams of intellectual anguish from Marxists and Nazis, but is well known to any student of political systems. The dialectical process did not originate with Marx as Marxists claim, but with Fichte and Hegel in late 18th and early 19th century Germany. In the dialectical process a clash of opposites brings about a synthesis. For example, a clash of political left and political right brings about another political system, a synthesis of the two, neither left nor right. This conflict of opposites is essential to bring about change. Today this process can be identified in the literature of the Trilateral Commission where "change" is promoted and "conflict management" is termed the means to bring about this change. In the Hegelian system conflict is essential. Furthermore, for Hegel and systems based on Hegel, the State is absolute. The State requires complete obedience from the individual citizen. An individual does not exist for himself in these so-called organic systems but only to perform a role in the operation of the State. He finds freedom only in obedience to the State. There was no freedom in Hitler's Germany, there is no freedom for the individual under Marxism, neither will there be in the New World Order. And if it sounds like George Orwell's 1984 - it is. **In brief, the State is supreme and conflict is used to bring about the ideal society. Individuals find freedom in obedience to the rulers.** --- Antony C. Sutton, *AMERICA'S SECRET ESTABLISHMENT*, 2002, pgs. 37-38. (click on bookcover to download free PDF of the book)

The diligent study of the few references cited in just that one preceding passage trumps a combined Ph.D. in political science and modern history from the top universities in the United States --- where one encounters almost none of it under a Kafkaesque conspiracy of silence in the name of “freedom of the academe”, “freedom of speech”, “freedom of the press”, etc.; the freedom to remain silent on some of the most inconvenient conspiratorial facts of modern history! [3c]

Some of these sociopathic front faces have become plainly manifest to all and sundry in the 2008-2009 banksters' bailout by the US government, and other EU governments, to extortionarily increase their respective national debt – or should have been.

However, the tortuous reality of the West's most vaunted “freedom of speech” gift to civilizations throughout the world is that most Western academicians, news media, politicians, technicians and scholars of empire pretend to hear no evil, see no evil, and speak no evil when it comes to publicly mentioning the easily identifiable names of the superrich financial oligarchy who Machiavellianly orchestrates World Order through world wars and global crises.

To the vast majority of these super learned scholars, academicians, and the who's who in America of course, the oligarchy (when its existence is not outright denied that is), the state, the White House, the Pentagon, the revolving door between the military-industrial complex and the state, the
United Nations and its subsidiary global organizations such as WHO, WTO, et. al., – all “history's actors” by their own admission [4] – at worst merely harness all these natural crises of greed, capitalism, and blowback of the unbridled exercise of hegemony, as godsend. But surely, they do not conspire, precipitate, orchestrate, game-theorize, plan, steer, or aid and abet them. These are the persistent advocates of “surprise” of this and that crisis which tends to beset humanity with a frequency which, as Gary Allen too rationally observed in None Dare Call it Conspiracy, defies the statistical odds of purely random event due to either happenstance or uncoordinated acts by history's actors.

But Some Dare Call it Conspiracy. A criminal conspiracy to take over the world by making “an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece”! [5]

And Some Dare Call it Conspiracy. A conspiracy more preponderant as a prime-mover force for all crimes against humanity in the past 250 years than the forces wielded by Alexander the Great to Hitler combined.

Some Dare Call it Conspiracy. A conspiracy in which the conspirators “have had 250 years or so of family involvement in the finance business, ... provide advice on both sides of the balance sheet, and ... do it globally.” [6]

Some Dare Call it Conspiracy. The following short passages from Carroll Quigley's 1200 page ode to the International bankers underscore the base axiomatic reality of the conspiratorial web of control of the oligarchy:

“The powers of financial capitalism had (a) far-reaching aim, nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent meetings and conferences. The apex of the systems was to be the Bank for International Settlements in Basel, Switzerland; a private bank owned and controlled by the world's central banks which were themselves private corporations. Each central bank, in the hands of men like Montagu Norman of the Bank of England, Benjamin Strong of the New York Federal Reserve Bank, Charles Rist of the Bank of France, and Hjalmar Schacht of the Reichsbank, sought to dominate its government by its ability to control Treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards in the business world.” -- Carroll Quigley, Tragedy and Hope, 1966, Chapter 20, pg. 324

“It must not be felt that these heads of the world's chief central banks were themselves substantive powers in world finance. They were not. Rather, they were the technicians and agents of the dominant investment bankers of their own countries, who had raised them up and were perfectly capable of throwing them down. The substantive financial powers of the world were in the hands of these investment bankers (also called “international” or “merchant” bankers)
who remained largely behind the scenes in their own unincorporated private banks. These formed a system of international cooperation and national dominance which was more private, more powerful, and more secret than that of their agents in the central banks. This dominance of investment bankers was based on their control over the flows of credit and investment funds in their own countries and throughout the world.” Ibid. pg. 326 [7]

But how can a long running global conspiracy to fabricate such World Order stay under public wraps this long, and how can a handful of oligarchs orchestrate and control world events from generation to generation – even if one finally admits to the oligarchy's existence since they openly proclaim it themselves? Apart from the obvious conspiracy of silence by notable opinion-makers and fashioners of the public mind, the perceptive observations made by W. Cleon Skousen in his commentary on Carroll Quigley's *Tragedy and Hope* lends some insight into that question:

'The real value of Tragedy and Hope ... [is the] bold and boastful admission by Dr. Quigley that there actually exists a relatively small but powerful group which has succeeded in acquiring a choke-hold on the affairs of practically the entire human race. Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantic power unless millions of people in all walks of life were “in on the take” and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes. As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and women and their institutions on a world-wide basis.' -- W. Cleon Skousen, *The Naked Capitalist*, pg. 6 [8]

The efficacy of the conspiracy of silence:

'by simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals', as observed by essayist Aldous Huxley, is formidable not only in the art of propaganda warfare, but also for hiding unpleasant facts in plain-sight. [9]

Lying by omission is ubiquitously practiced today not just in establishmentarian scholarship, but also by the popular “moral agent” intellectuals cultivated by the establishment for the benefit of shepherding the handful in society who tend to dissent with the establishment's view of its primacy imperatives, and who are themselves protected for their “vigorously” dissent, in so far as it is made to appear to the plebes that they live in “free democratic societies”, by the so called “freedom of speech” and “freedom of academe”. [10]

Some Dare Call it Conspiracy. A conspiracy which could (perhaps) yet be busted in a fair court of law because some laws and statutes against “criminal syndicalism” still remain on the dusty old Constitutional and Criminal Law books which have escaped co-option. Eustace Mullins argued in
World Order (1985) that the following legalism could be used to hamper and decommission the prime-instruments of the conspirators in the United States and throughout the world:

“Despite its present hegemony, the World Order of parasitism realizes that it is always subject to being dislodged, which, in effect, would mean its destruction. Therefore, it is necessary to control not only the channels of communication of the host, but his very thought processes as well; to maintain constant vigilance that the host does not develop any concept of the danger of his situation, or any power to throw off the parasite. Therefore, the parasite carefully instructs the host that he exists only because of the “benign” presence of the parasite – that he owes everything to the presence of the parasite, his religion, his social order, his monetary system, and his educational system. The parasite deliberately inculcates in the host the fear that if the parasite happens to be dislodged, the host will lose all these things, and be left with nothing.

Although the World Order has control of the legal system and the courts, it remains vulnerable to any enforcement of the pre-existing body of law which the host had formulated to protect his society. This body of law forbids everything that the parasite is doing, and forces the parasite to maintain a precarious existence outside of the law. It the law were to be enforced at any time, the parasite would be dislodged. The existing body of law clearly forbids the operation of criminal syndicates, which is precisely what the hegemony of parasitism and its World Order is. Criminal syndicalism denies the equal protection of the law to citizens. Only by acting against criminal syndicalism can the state protect its citizens.

Corpus Juris Secundum 16: Constitutional Law 213 (10) states: “The Constitutional guaranty of freedom of speech does not include the right to advocate, or conspire to effect, the violent destruction or overthrow of the government or the criminal destruction of property. 214: The Constitutional guaranty of the right of assembly was never intended as a license for illegality or invitation for fraud – the right of freedom of assembly may be abused by using assembly to incite violence and crime, and the people through their legislatures may protect themselves against the abuse.”

The assembly of any World Order organization, such as the Council on Foreign Relations or any foundation, is subject to the laws against fraud (their charters claim they are engaged in philanthropy), and enforcement of the laws against criminal syndicalism would end the institutions through which the World Order illegally rules the people of the United States, the illegal conspiracies and the introduction of alien laws into our system by the foundations instructions to Congress.

We have already shown that the Rockefeller Foundation and other key organizations of the World Order are “Syndicates”, which are engaged in the practice of criminal syndicalism. But what is a “syndicate”? The Oxford
English Dictionary notes that the word stems from “syndic”. A syndic is defined as “an officer of government, a chief magistrate, a deputy”. In 1601 R. Johnson wrote in Kingd and commonw “especiall men, called Syndiques, who have the managing of the whole commonwealth.” Thus the Rockefeller Foundation and its associated groups are carrying out their delegated function of managing the entire commonwealth, but not for the benefit of the people, or of any government except the secret super-government, the World Order, which they serve. The OED further defines a syndic as “a censor of the actions of another. To accuse.” Here too, the syndicate functions according to its definition – the syndicate censors all thought and media, primarily to protect its own power. It also brings accusations – as many American citizens have found to their sorrow. Not even Sir Walter Raleigh was immune. When he interfered with the international money trade, he was accused of “treason” and beheaded.

The OED defines a “syndicate” as follows: “3. A combination of capitalists and financiers entered into for the purpose of prosecuting a scheme requiring large sources of capital, especially one having the object of obtaining control of the market in a particular commodity. To control, manage or effect by a syndicate.” Note the key words in this definition – a combination – prosecuting – obtaining control. The scheme does not require “large capital” – it requires “large sources of capital”, the bank of England or the Federal Reserve System.

Corpus Juris Secundum 22A says of Criminal Syndicalism, “In a prosecution for being a member of an organization which teaches and abets criminal syndicalism, evidences of crimes committed by past or present members of the organization in their capacity as members is admissible to show its character.” People v. LaRue 216 P 627 C.A. 276. Thus testimony about John Foster Dulles financing the Nazi Government of Germany, his telegram starting the Korean War, and other evidence can be used to indict any member of the Rockefeller Foundation in any state or locality in which the Rockefeller Foundation has ever been active in any way. Since these organizations are all closely interlocked, and there is so much available evidence of their illegal operations, it will be relatively simple to obtain criminal convictions against them for their criminal syndicalist operations.

Corpus Juris Secundum 22, Criminal Law 185 (10); Conspiracy and Monopolies: “Where the statute makes mere membership in an organization formed to promote syndicalism a crime, without an overt act, this offense is indictable in any county into which a member may go during the continuance of his membership, and this is true although such member comes into a county involuntarily. People v. Johansen, 226 P 634, 66 C.A. 343.”

Corpus Juris Secundum 22, Criminal Law sec. 182 (3) states, “A prosecution for conspiracy to commit an offense against the U.S, may also be tried in any district wherein any overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy is performed.
U.S. v. Cohen C.A.N.J. 197 F 2d 26.” Thus a publication by the Council on Foreign Relations promoting the stripping of sovereignty of the United States of America, mailed into any county of the U.S.; the county authorities can bring the Council on Foreign Relations, or any member therein, to trial in that county, and any action by any member of the Council on Foreign Relations in the past is admissible as evidence, such as starting World War II, subsidizing the Nazi Government, or subsidizing the USSR.

Criminal syndicalism can also be prosecuted according to Corpus Juris Secundum 46, Insurrection and Sedition : sec. 461 c. “Sabotage and syndicalism aiming to abolish the present political and social system, including direct action or sabotage.” Thus any program of a foundation which seeks to abolish the present political or social system of the United States can be prosecuted. Of course every foundation program seeks to accomplish just that, and is indictable.

Not only individuals, but any corporation supporting criminal syndicalism can be prosecuted, according to Corpus Juris Secundum 46 462b. Criminal Syndicalism. “Statutes against criminal syndicalism apply to corporations as well as to individuals organizing or belonging to criminal syndicalist society; evidence of the character and activities of other organizations with which the organization in which the accused is a member is affiliated is admissible.”

Not only can the members of the World Order be arrested and tried anywhere, since they function worldwide in their conspiratorial activities to undermine and overthrow all governments and nations, but because their organizations are so tightly interlocked, any evidence about any one of them can be introduced in prosecuting any member of other organizations in any part of the U.S. or the world. Their attempts to undermine the political and social orders of all peoples make them subject to legal retribution. The People of the U.S. must begin at once to enforce the statutes outlawing criminal syndicalist activities, and bring the criminals to justice.

Being well aware of their danger, the World Order is working frantically to achieve even greater dictatorial powers over the nations of the world. They constantly intensify all problems through the foundations, so that political and economic crises prevent the peoples of the world from organizing against them. The World Order must paralyze its opponents. They terrorize the world with propaganda about approaching international nuclear war, although atomic bombs have been used only once, in 1945, when the Rockefeller Foundation director Karl T. Compton ordered Truman to drop the atomic bomb on Japan.” -- Eustace Mullins, World Order, pages 276-280 [11]

Yes. Some Dare Call it Conspiracy. A conspiracy that orchestrated Operations Canned Goods Redux on September 11, 2001, to create the pretext to “goose-step the herrenvolk across international
frontiers” (Robert Jackson at Nuremberg when hanging the Nazis for the same crime, 1946) disguised as global 'war on terror' against a perpetual enemy that remains as illusive and unquantifiable as the indomitable Irish gnomes.

A conspiracy which can as surely be traced back to the prime-movers by following the trail of money, as following the trail of how could WTC-7 catastrophically collapse in a near gravity free-fall into its own footprint and to which no projectile was shown, or claimed, to have hit as the probable cause, unravels the entire 9/11 terrorist event as nothing but a more refined version of Operation Canned Goods.

That self-inflicted covert-ops was originally executed by Adolf Hitler on the eve of World War II to create a hard propagandistic pretext to invade Poland, boldly proclaiming to his generals in the mountains of Bavaria to not worry whether or not it was deemed plausible internationally: “The victor will not be asked afterward whether he told the truth or not. In starting and waging a war it is not the right that matters, but victory.”

That was the Third Reich's unassailable hubris which launched its first baby-step towards the German Lebensraum. Its successor's Lebensraum today, infected by even greater hubris as the conspirators giddily ride upon the super militarized back of the sole unchallenged global superpower, is World Government.

But obviously, None Dare Call it Conspiracy among the conspirators themselves! We can observe how their assets and agents across the board engineer public opinion by continually echoing (manufacturing consent), or broadly retaining (manufacturing dissent), all the presuppositions spinned by the Mighty Wurlitzer [12] whether respectively playing protagonist or antagonist of the establishment. [13] Their mouth-pieces now openly advance arguments for world government as the Financial Times did in its op-ed: “And now for a world government”, [14] as the only realistic solution to manage all the global crises from the global 'war on terror' to the global financial collapse, to global warming! The CFR (Council on Foreign Relations) has a Global Governance monitor in place to track how far global governance has penetrated its legalized tentacles in the guise of fighting global crises into the once sovereign nations of the world.

At the end of it all, it will appear just like H. G. Wells, the early twentieth century British novelist and Fabian scholar of the Anglo-Saxon oligarchy, self-servingly presaged it. In his 1940 book New World Order, he described the “happenstance”:

“There will be no day of days then when a new world order comes into being. Step by step and here and there it will arrive, ... No man, no group of men, will ever be singled out as its father or founder. For its maker will be not this man nor that man nor any man but Man, that being who is in some measure in every one of us. World order will be, like science, like most inventions, a social product, an innumerable number of personalities will have lived fine lives, pouring their best into the collective achievement.”! [15]

The global police state – “to get people actually to love their servitude” [16] – presently under construction, upon its completion would appear to have been the most natural and unavoidable outcome of the scientific modernity. It would arguably be justified by the new scholars of the new Reich as a necessary evil in maintaining World Government, just as Fabian philosopher Bertrand
Russell did in the mid-twentieth century. In his 1952 book, *Impact of Science on Society*, Russell speciously argued its inevitability just like his predecessor H. G. Wells had done, but with more philosophical panache and sophistry:

“There is, it must be confessed, a psychological difficulty about a single world government. The chief source of social cohesion in the past, I repeat, has been war: the passions that inspire a feeling of unity are hate and fear. These depend upon the existence of an enemy, actual or potential. It seems to follow that a world government could only be kept in being by force, not by the spontaneous loyalty that now inspires a nation at war.” [17]

Without disclosing his own connections to the controlling oligarchy behind the scenes, Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, the first executive director of the Trilateral Commission founded by New York banker David Rockefeller in 1973, [18] and former President Jimmy Carter's National Security Advisor (1976-1980), came closest to bluntly admitting the drive for the standardization of human beings under an inescapable fabric of perverse social control being a manufactured product of the oligarchy. In his seminal 1970 book *Between Two Ages – The Role of America in the Technetronic Era*, Zbigniew Brzezinski openly confessed to the mal existence, in the rapidly expanding Technetronic Era, of behind the scenes “temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people”. That, in fact, “Human conduct, some argue, can be predetermined and subjected to deliberate control.” [19]

Dr. Brzezinski went on to self-servingly admit in that most revealing book which few learned opinion-makers and scholars in the world, never mind in the fiction reading capital of the world, the United States of America, have evidently bothered to read:

“Another threat, less overt but no less basic, confronts liberal democracy. More directly linked to the impact of technology, it involves the gradual appearance of a more controlled and directed society. Such a society would be dominated by an elite whose claim to political power would rest on allegedly superior scientific know-how. Unhindered by the restraints of traditional liberal values, this elite would not hesitate to achieve its political ends by using the latest modern techniques for influencing public behavior and keeping society under close surveillance and control.” [20]

Antony Sutton concluded the holistic real intent of all the preceding quoted verbiage thusly in his equally most unread revelatory book *Trilaterals Over America*:

“Whatever the Trilateral Commission members may claim, our finding is that the objective is a New World Order with Trilateralist in control. This would be a planned New World Order with no individual freedom and no constitutional protections. These so called “wars” on problems are designed to mold the outcome of the problem towards New World Order objectives, not to solve the problems.” Antony C. Sutton, Trilaterals Over America, pg. 129 [21]

This wholly open conspiracy for World Order of the oligarchy that is a priori designed to look like “happenstance”, is intended to not just singularly culminate in a one-world global police-state, it is
also intended to culminate in the “Zion that will light up all the world”! [22]

Verily, Some Dare Call it Conspiracy based on all this self-evident empiricism! Are you among them, NOT EVEN TODAY?

Epilogue

Evidently, the most useless act in all creation may be to hold a mirror to the blind!

That unfortunate truism heralds the dawn of the New Age of Ignorance, the age of Jahiliya, in which university education, advanced academic degrees, freedom of speech and freedom of the press, etc. all flourish, but where None Dare Call It Conspiracy.

Footnotes

See Zahir Ebrahim on Waiting for Allah being the most effective opiate for the Muslims in: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/04/god-is-running-theworld-let-him-run-it.html
[4] “We’re an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you’re studying that reality — judiciously, as you will — we’ll act again, creating other new realities, which you can
study too, and that’s how things will sort out. We’re history’s actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.” Ron Suskind, quoting an unnamed senior White House advisor (in all likelihood Karl Rove) for president George W. Bush Jr., The New York Times, October 17, 2004, http://www.nytimes.com/2004/10/17/magazine/17BUSH.html

[5] “In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault. Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed.” Richard N. Gardner, The Hard Road To World Order, The Council on Foreign Relations, Foreign Affairs April 1974 issue, pgs. 558-559, http://thepowerhour.com/articles/HardRoadtoWorldOrder.pdf

[6] “We provide advice on both sides of the balance sheet, and we do it globally. ... We have had 250 years or so of family involvement in the finance business, ... There is no debate that Rothschild is a Jewish family, ... For a family business to survive, every generation needs a leader, ... Then somebody has to keep the peace. Building a global firm before globalisation meant a mindset of sharing risk and responsibility. If you look at the DNA of our family, that is perhaps an element that runs through our history.” Baron David de Rothschild, quoted in The first barons of banking by Rupert Wright, UAE thenational.ae, November 6, 2008, http://www.thenational.ae/article/20081106/BUSINESS/167536298/1005


https://archive.org/download/H.g.Wells-TheNewWorldOrder1940AndTheOpenConspiracy/HgWells-TheNewWorldOrder1940.pdf

[16] “You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.” Aldous Huxley, 1962 speech at UC Berkeley, minute 04:06, http://archive.org/download/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution_64kb.m3u


See related excerpt on Bertrand Russell's advocacy of population reduction, which is among the top ten driving axioms of World Order, in Mis-quoting Bertrand Russell on 'BLACK DEATH' from 'The Impact of Science on Society', pgs. 114–118, http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/07/misquoting-bertrandrussell-blackdeath.html


[19] “Life seems to lack cohesion as environment rapidly alters and human beings become increasingly manipulable and malleable. Everything seems more transitory and temporary: external reality more fluid than solid, the human being more synthetic than authentic. Even our senses perceive an entirely novel "reality"—one of our own making but nevertheless, in terms of our sensations, quite "real." More important, there is already widespread concern about the possibility of biological and chemical tampering with what has until now been considered the immutable essence of man. Human
conduct, some argue, can be predetermined and subjected to deliberate control. Man is increasingly acquiring the capacity to determine the sex of his children, to affect through drugs the extent of their intelligence, and to modify and control their personalities. Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, 'I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain.'


[20] Ibid. pg. 97.


[22] Shadia Drury, quoting Harry Jaffa: 'The same sentiment was echoed by the doyen of contemporary Straussianism, Harry Jaffa, when he said that America is the “Zion that will light up all the world.”', noted in the interview titled: Noble lies and perpetual war: Leo Strauss, the neo-cons, and Iraq, http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article5010.htm

Witness the singularity of the un-secret conspiracy between the drive towards World Government and the drive towards Eretz Yisrael in “The Promised Land” that is never mentioned by even the most vigorous antagonists of the Zionist State, both Arab Palestinian, and the so called “liberal” Jewish, in Zahir Ebrahim, Undoing the Theft of Palestine – Oligarchic Primacy in Palestine, October 16, 2013, https://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/pamphlet-undoing-the-theft-of-palestine-zahirebrahim.pdf
Islamic Militancy And World Order

FBI Muslims and Militancy Considerations --- Heads Up

A Public Service Message from Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Ominous clouds are hovering over the Muslims of the West as they occupy themselves in virtuous mosque-piety behind useful idiots, false leaders and Trojan horses, quite oblivious to the reality around them. The best among the Muslim institutional leadership can only come up with syntactic sugaring – and this ranges from mosque pulpits to institutional reports to academic pundits selling their consciences on the prayer mat and amidst virtuous Hegelian Dialectic speeches on Islamophobia.

Our institutional corruption spanning the gamut from Mosques to NGOs to the Academy is so widespread that it has become almost invisible and incognizant like the air we breathe --- but it surrounds us no less. This corruption isn't like what most Muslims are used to in the East. To understand this intellectual corruption which is laden with Faustian pacts of the soul and cradled in Machiavellian omissions to keep the laity perpetual prisoners of the cave while the prison walls around us continue to close-in, click on the FBI's agent-education image below:

Caption An FBI video presentation titled “Militancy Considerations” measures the relationship between piety and violence among the texts of the three
Abrahamic faiths [the god's chosen people obviously coming out on top!!!]

As time goes on, the followers of the Torah and the Bible move from “violent” to “non-violent.” Not so for devotees of the Koran, whose “moderating process has not happened.” The line representing violent behavior from devout Muslims flattens and continues outward, from 610 A.D. to 2010. In other words, religious Muslims have been and always will be agents of aggression. Watch FBI Presentation Video (click on image, alternate watch, source video link) artfully Hijacking Islam. See Islam vs. Secular Humanism and World Government by Zahir Ebrahim for its full implication. (Image source)

The roots of this graph in the FBI presentation are very distinguished and very deep-seated in doctrinal warfare. It is not merely some ad hoc “overreaction” to the “war on terror” against “militant Islam” by an overzealous state security apparatus' training program to keep the Americans safe from terrorists.

For instance, the late Samuel Huntington of Harvard University in his famous ode to reseeding new “doctrinal motivation” for the on-going exercise of Anglo-Saxon hegemony over the entire planet titled “The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order”, stated:

“Some Westerners, including [ex] President Bill Clinton, have argued that the West does not have problems with Islam but only with violent Islamist extremists. Fourteen hundred years of history demonstrate otherwise .... Islam is the only civilization which has put the survival of the West in doubt, and it has done that at least twice.” (pg. 209)

“The underlying problem for the West is not Islamic fundamentalism. It is Islam, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the superiority of their culture and are obsessed with the inferiority of their power. The problem for Islam is not the CIA or the US Department of Defense. It is the West, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the universality of their culture and believe that their superior, if declining, power imposes on them the obligation to extend that culture throughout the world. These are the basic ingredient that fuel conflict between Islam and the West.” (pg. 217)

“The violent nature of these shifting relationships is reflected in the fact that 50 percent of wars involving pairs of states of different religions between 1820 and 1929 were wars between Muslims and Christians”. (pg. 210)

“In 1990 Bernard Lewis, a leading Western scholar of Islam, analyzed 'The Roots of Muslim Rage,' and concluded: 'It should now be clear that we are facing a mood and a movement far transcending the level of issues and policies and the governments that pursue them. This is no less than a clash of civilizations – that perhaps irrational but surely historic reaction of an ancient rival against our Judeo-Christian heritage, our secular present, and the worldwide expansion of both. It is crucially important that we on our side should not be provoked into an equally historic but also equally irrational
reaction against our rival.’” (pg. 213)

That “Judeo-Christian heritage” and 'The Roots of Muslim Rage,' is what is captured in the FBI “Militancy Considerations” training graph. Bernard Lewis of Princeton University further reconstituted Huntington's self-serving statistic for the “basic ingredient that fuel conflict between Islam and the West” in his post 9/11 ode to defending the West against “militant Islam” titled “Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror”:

“... But Islam, like other religions, has also known periods when it inspired in some of its followers a mood of hatred and violence. It is our misfortune that we have to confront part of the Muslim world while it is going through such a period, and when most – though by no means all - of that hatred is directed against us.” (pg. 25)

“Terrorism requires only a few. Obviously the West must defend itself by whatever means will be effective. But in devising means to fight the terrorist, it would surely be useful to understand the forces that drive them.” (pg. xxxii)

That's all that the FBI is doing – implementing Bernard Lewis' prescription. The FBI, like all the rest of the Western states security and war-mongering apparatuses of their vast interlocking military-industrial-academe-media-intelligence complex, are defending the West against the motivations outlined for them by the god's chosen peoples:

“For more than a thousand years, Islam provided the only universally acceptable set of rules and principles for the regulation of public and social life. Even during the period of maximum European influence, in the countries ruled or dominated by European imperial powers as well as in those that remained independent, Islamic political notions and attitudes remained a profound and pervasive influence. In recent years there have been many signs that these notions and attitudes may be returning, albeit in modified form, to their previous dominance.” (ibid. pg. 13)

The first sentence gives away their real angst and jealousy of Islam. While they revile it today, they also live in fear of real Islam of the time of the noble Prophet of Islam when the Jewish fortress of Khaybar fell to Islam's unparalleled defender, Imam Ali's Zulfiqar, making a resurgence; are in awe of that Islam which gave sanctuary to the Jews and gave birth to their best period of enlightenment under their Sephardic Jewish philosopher Maimonides in the 2000 years living under the goyem; and well understand what Islam is in reality upon which they layer all their vulgar propagandist filth of Islamofascism, attempting in utter futility to despoil the name of Islam with their maleficent concoction of “militant Islam”.

In any case, we already knew all about that imminent threat of the Islamofascists trying to take over the West. Since the day FBI knocked on my door in 2003 as the bombing of Iraq was underway to protect the Americans from Sadaam Hussein's WMDs, I knew that by first hand experience.

Which begs the question, why make such information public? The intelligence apparatuses will do what they are chartered or ordered to be doing – what purpose does such an outright big lie
depicted in the FBI graph serve by making it public? Never mind the Christian Crusades; never mind
Christian Zionism and Talmudic Zionism devastating Palestine to Iraq; never mind the World Wars
which saw Christians killing Christians, and Christians killing Jews, all financed by the Jewish Wall
Street and the Jewish banksters controlling the Federal Reserve Bank of the United States who sat in
on the subsequent so called Peace Conferences to harvest the loot of the Balfour Declaration to
orchestrate the Jewish State in Palestine in the name of Torah's god: “This country exists as the
fulfillment of a promise made by God Himself.” [1] and “It is true God promised it to us. ... Our God
is not theirs.” [2]; etceteras, who would buy into such an outright big lie in the West today? The
majority of its public who 'United We Stand' with absurdities! This includes all the Muslims who stay
silent like their Western counterparts, and labor under the same or different misconceptions.

Those who do not understand the psychological basis of doctrinal warfare, fear-mongering, and
uncertainty-creation as essential ingredients of psyops and mass persuasion techniques for creating
“revolutionary times” [3] as the most effective means of changing the lifestyle of an entire people, will
never comprehend such matters which are driven entirely from the macro-social calculus of ushering in
one-world government. Unless one understands all of that, that the Hard Road to World Order is
paved in cold blood, one can neither understand this FBI training modality for its agents, nor the
obedience training of Americans at airports. See the detailed Mighty Wurlitzer Report to comprehend
its primal DNA. And read the two short articles Convince People of Absurdities and get them
Acquiescing to Atrocities: The Enduring Power of Machiavellian Political Science and ‘War on
Terror’ is not about ‘Islamofascism’ – Please get with the real agenda you people! to understand how
the blossoming tree of “imperial mobilization” is being constructed in baby steps using that very DNA
of full spectrum psychological warfare and controlled “revolutionary times”.

Pick up Huntington's The Clash of Civilizations, and Bernard Lewis' Crisis of Islam, and read them both in the context and references outlined here and the absurd FBI graph will start making
sense. Then pick up Zbigniew Brzezinski's The Grand Chessboard and the concept of “doctrinal
motivation” necessary for “imperial mobilization” will start making sense:

“It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.” (pgs. 35-36)

“Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat .... More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.” (pgs. 211-212)
Compare all that book knowledge with the empirical reality, and Bertrand Russell's 1952 book *The Impact of Science on Society* will start appearing more self-servingly prophetic than Nostradamus:

“There is, it must be confessed, a psychological difficulty about a single world government. The chief source of social cohesion in the past, I repeat, has been war; the passions that inspire a feeling of unity are hate and fear. These depend upon the existence of an enemy, actual or potential. It seems to follow that a world government could only be kept in being by force, not by the spontaneous loyalty that now inspires a nation to war.” (Ch. 2, pg. 37)

**Muslims today are victimized twice:**

- First by the massa's “imperial mobilization” by way of deception, by dictatorship infliction, by bombardment and “democracy” and “revolution” infliction to bring freedoms from the same dictatorships, not to mention more neo-liberal debt enslavement for rebuilding what's destroyed while bringing neo-cons freedoms.

- And second, by the subversion of our own *Uncle Toms* who keep us confused and un-enlightened by their shifting amalgams of myths, half-truths, omissions, mis-diagnosis, and red herrings.

Together they target us by total perception management, and thus control our behavior of full servitude by piece-meal conditioning, eventually leading to Pavlovian compliance to their every stimulus. Their dominant narratives is what informs us, cajoles us, frightens us, and that's how we end up 'United We Stand' with the Massa. If they say there is “Islamic terrorism”, we say there is “Islamic terrorism”. If they say 9/11 was the work of “jihadi Muslims”, if they say there is “global warming”, “peak oil”, “swine flu”, this and that global disaster, this and that galactic catastrophe, we not only echo the same, but naturally find ourselves inclined to act in accordance to that implanted fear. If such mantras come anointed with imposing IVY stamp of approval, the Nobel stamp of approval, lofty academic endorsement, so much more we believe in them, to the point that we even permit the state to molest us to keep us safe! The theories of psychological persuasion techniques in text-books exactly match the ground realities. Sounds rather prosaic – but empirically true nevertheless. This ought not to be surprising --- here is Aldous Huxley prognosticating it in 1962 at University of California-Berkeley:

‘You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It’s exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.’ — Aldous Huxley, 1962, speech at UC Berkeley, minute 04:06
Without our own self-study and due diligence, without skepticism to all that is presented to us, as the targets of villainous perception management and behavior control, we will continue to fall victim to the Uncle Toms who control all our institutions on behalf of the massa.

We have to by-pass our holy and pious chieftains and their institutions and become self-reliant both intellectually and physically, that means in thought as well as in lifestyle away from our pontiffs and chieftains, or else we shall continually be sold down the drain in the guise of their being our illumined “benefactors” and “peace-makers”. Holy Qur'an verse 2:11 even bears witness to that timeless empiricism --- but Plato predates it in his prescient similitude *Myth of the Cave* in *The Republic* by one thousand years!

Empowering the individual with deep knowledge in overarching contexts to instill deep system insights into the calculus of hegemony, is the only antidote for this full spectrum assault on the human mind which employs sophisticated psychological persuasion techniques of behavior control from cognitive to subliminal. We cannot lay out the parts like a motor mechanic and examine each one in isolation. We have to look at the entire system and understand its overall behavior as a function of its components and what role each plays in that overall system dynamics.

Such comprehension cannot be had in 15-second attention spans we have been weaned on. And nor by sitting like stupid gullible fools in pious or learned gatherings listening to Trojan Horses and other insipid fools and useful idiots planted among us and in our vaunted institutions – both religious and secular, as well in our academies and our governments. And nor can it be acquired by the feeble minded cowards and *Uncle Toms* who prefer to die many deaths for the pleasure of earning a good word and a paycheck from the *massa*. There is no external cure for Faustian pacts. The cure for that is solely within.

But for the rest more inclined to use an iota of commonsense and a modicum skepticism than stoned belief in authority to examine any matter, this heads-up contains essential knowledge you will not be given either at UC Berkeley, or Harvard, or Princeton, or by a Woodrow Wilson scholar or a Nobel laureate, or by the mercenaries and stooges heading CAIR or MCA, or ISNA, ICNA, AMA, CIA, ISI, MI6, CNN, ABC, NBC, BBC, NYT, and the myriad other alphabet soups throughout the world under the iron grip of the *Mighty Wurlitzer*. Yet this knowledge is entirely public information, only waiting to be read and studied as containing the master blueprints for the global governance system being built openly. Its success lies in obscurity by design – since no one “respectable” goes there. Just look at the two recent reports emanating from CAIR and American Progress in collaboration with University of California-Berkeley, both belaboring the obviousness of Islamophobia like the autumn leaves, but not disclosing to the public what is made deliberately obscure by their criminal conspiracy of omission. None of them relate matters to the *Hard Road to World Order* ... but they will all be eagerly doing so ex post facto, and awarded Pulitzers and other lofty intellectual anointments for their eruditeness!

It is a crafty red herring and calculated subversion for Muslim institutions (led by glorified *Uncle Toms* and useful idiots) to protest the fabricated symptoms of the disease while ignoring the root cause of the disease: *The Diabolical Hijacking of Islam for Imperial Mobilization by god's chosen peoples*!

Now we can finally return to the question asked above to lend some forensic insight into the matter: Why did the FBI make its 'Islamology' presentation public which is entirely drawn from the...
war-mongering Jews Bernard Lewis', Samuel Huntington's, Zbigniew Brzezinski's et. al.'s decades old craftsmanship for a viable “doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” to seed the propagandistic explanation for a “New Pearl Harbor”, and subsequently sustain “imperial mobilization” to its logical conclusion as disclosed above? It further begs the question that for those eloquently condemning it, including Muslim organizations like CAIR, myriad other civil rights and human rights organization including the Senators who Blast FBI Terror-Training 'Lies', Senator Joe Lieberman, the bastion of Zionist Jewry in the United States Senate, why is the forensic articulation of what's disclosed here by a mere plebeian so difficult for them to express?

It ain't rocket science – or is it? No, it is only Machiavellian political science!

Think Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent (http://tinyurl.com/Hegelian-Dialectic-Dissent) fabricated from bogus lies whose main purpose is to re-justify the core-axioms of empire couched in WWF wrestling, and the fog lifts instantly! One team invents the lies, the other condemns it, and it is orchestrated by the same coterie who come away from it re-emphasizing the core “doctrinal motivation”, the threat of “al-Qaida”, at the expense of their own intelligence apparatus which is only following the directives given to it by the State. This is what the most hawkish king of war-mongering neo-con Zionist Jews in the US Senate averred, playing WWF wrestling with his brethren with a chutzpah which only the god's chosen people who have moved from “violent” to “non-violent” following their Torah can muster:

'“There is no room in America for the lies, propagated by al-Qaida, that the U.S. is at war with Islam, or the lie propagated by others that all Muslims support terrorism,”' Sen. Joe Lieberman, the chairman of the Senate Homeland Security and Government Affairs Committee, told Danger Room.' WIRED, September 15, 2011

Examine the political science of it all today and not tomorrow when fait accompli of world government will make it a moot point which boogieman was myth, and which one was factual --- like the disclosure by the New York Times in 2008 of the Pentagon's Message Machine that its retired Generals diabolically led the three ring media circus to enact America's decimation of Iraq under obvious falsehoods. That belated admission after the NYT itself led that three ring circus did not restore to Iraq its shattered tabula rasa with any more chutzpah than the admission by the Iraq Study Group in 2005, mostly populated by the same hectoring hegemons who led the mantra of WMD in the first place including Bernard Lewis, that all the intelligence on Iraq's WMDs were indeed false!

Tomorrow, many of the myths and “doctrinal motivations” taken as gospel truths today will similarly be shown to be the output of the Mighty Wurlitzer's message machine with a simple narrative “oops”! This is already presaged in The Report from Iron Mountain where the motivational source for many fear-mongering modern myths can be found. Don't wait until tomorrow when you hear it from your favorite pontiffs and nod your head in that all-knowing state of servitude that has become the pathetic characteristic of Muslims and all the rest of the 'untermenschen' worldwide. Evaluate the many Hegelian Dialectics today, argue these today, challenge your pontiffs today, disrobe and unmask them today, understand the motivations behind their Message Machine today which comes layered in sophisticated political science as the vile mechanics for a system of global governance that is being deceptively ushered in under different guises of fabricated “revolutionary times”. This system is being wrought by a people all of whom evidently have multiple Ph.Ds. in deception. The culprits are visible
to even the most blind in the saintly FBI graph itself.

And ultimately, if you deem this knowledge not worthy as it still only comes from the lowly pen of a most ordinary plebeian, and not from your favorite pontiff, throw it away.

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim

Footnotes

[1] Full quote: “This country exists as the fulfillment of a promise made by God Himself. It would be ridiculous to ask it to account for its legitimacy.” -- Golda Meir, Le Monde, 15 October 1971 (source)

[2] Full quote: “If I were an Arab leader, I would never sign an agreement with Israel. It is normal; we have taken their country. It is true God promised it to us, but how could that interest them? Our God is not theirs. There has been Anti-Semitism, the Nazis, Hitler, Auschwitz, but was that their fault? They see but one thing: we have come and we have stolen their country. Why would they accept that?” -- David Ben Gurion – Quoted by Nahum Goldmann in Le Paraddoxe Juif (The Jewish Paradox) (source)

[3] Full quote: “What is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times; and if at this time the opportunity is missed and what is possible at such great hours is not carried out – a whole world is lost” -- David Ben Gurion (source)

Additional References

[1] Zahir Ebrahim's Letter to Editor: FBI’s Islamology September 25, 2011

[2] Zahir Ebrahim's Response to Chris Hedges' amalgam of half-truths 'A Decade After 9/11: We Are What We Loathe' September 13, 2011
Congeries of Big Lies and the Public Mind

When I came to the United States to get educated at MIT from UET Lahore, I never imagined that I would become one of the 'nut-jobs' of modernity, i.e., the uber-skeptic of official narratives. Beyond that, that I would even help unravel some of the greatest Big Lies of our time which have directly contributed to creating deadly havoc upon humanity. What has surprised me is that I have even been able to do this at all, because, firstly, I don't rank myself very highly in either possessing a superior intellect or a superior morality. In fact, among my stellar friends throughout the world, I value myself at the bottom in relation to their global successes, piety, virtues, and all that is deemed motherhood and apple pie. Secondly, and surprisingly, this hasn't been terribly hard to figure out! I don't understand how I have been able to do it but not a single one among my many illustrious friends and teachers? They have elected to stay publicly silent even if they may have figured any of this out in the private quarters of their mind and living rooms ---- and these people are the most well-known and valued members of society. They are counted among the most imposing intellectuals of our time holding lofty academic, social, philanthropic, humanitarian, and moral credentials. Can I be permitted to say: what the fck?

Where is their high-falutin morality? What happened to their far superior intellect that they have remained silent on some of these greatest Big Lies of our time? All my life I remained in awe of such people and today I can only exclaim what the fck! Is any of this rocket science that they should feel silence is the better part of valor? That they should so willingly contribute to the propagation of these Big Lies by at least tacit endorsement of silence, and at worst by active propagation? I have to wonder what has made these stellar individuals such cowards? They had struck me as the most valiant and brave in my university days --- before these tests were put upon us that is. And I extend that observation of cowardice and moral depravity to all Pakistanis who have a mind, a mouth, and a pen! Indeed, to all mankind in all nations on earth. Instead of spewing gibberish on the prayer mat and dreaming selfishly of some Heaven beyond, I have never understood why so few even attempt to make heaven right here on earth for everyone by standing up to the villainy of power right here and now?

This villainy of power, reflected in the state and authority figures, when it remains unchallenged, manages the public's perceptions, public's beliefs, and thus controls public behavior to United We Stand them with the imperatives of power. Which is always primacy. Thus, not just in Pakistan today, but in the entire world, successive crises of humanity that all can witness and the majority can experience, have taken deep roots and become the “new normal” as the consequence of the greatest Big Lies of our time -- the crisis of social dysfunction and global dystopia in the making, the crisis of disenfranchisement, the crisis of warfare and hegemony, refugee displacement, lavish lifestyles of the increasing few while increasingly the majority struggle for meal a day, etc. Indeed, many can come up with their own similar list of unhappiness and displeasure with the way things are -- but do they do anything about it?

No, of course not, why should anyone care about these crises, except make high-falutin commentaries in their living rooms, in television talk shows, in social media chat rooms, in academic forums, and in political speeches, all remarkably devoid of substance; the careers, the fat paychecks, and the good life often gets in the way of those not directly under the jackboots of tyranny. Six feet under the maggots surely can't tell the fcking difference! Atheist and theists both taste death alike; the
maggots eat them alike. Then, regardless of theology, since the end is dust for all, what life is worth living while alive? A coward's chasing the American Dream? A bullshit con-artist's paying lip-service to humanity while all these Big Lies stand tall and equally disenfranchise all except the elite and the co-opted? Or the inveterate zombie's who dies well before its time? They can't all just be plain stupid to not see through these Big Lies now can they?

Stupid or co-opted or zombie, evidently for such stellar members of humanity, that life is worth living -- that of cowards and sell-outs wearing the garb of respectability. I have no words of praise for their high-minded virtuosity regardless of the piety so prominently stamped upon their forehead; all are syphilis ridden underneath that virginal gown. I hope none receiving or reading this letter are counted among that hypocritical lot. Since my religion is Islam, I feel privileged to seek refuge in the prayer: **May God protect us all from this villainy.** But that also means acquiring the courage of our convictions!

Today, many a-religious and irreligious people display this courage far more than the religious man of any cloth. This is evidenced from the fact that among the truthful rebels who have chosen to take on the mantle of unraveling these Big Lies as a categorical imperative, a vast majority seem to be religionless, and many rebels, in fact, appear to be from Jewish background. What has happened to Muslims? Or Christians? Or Hindus? In his book: The Religions of Man, subsequently retitled: Religions of the World, Huston Smith had also mentioned Confucians and Buddhists as I recall, also equally missing from the scene of truth-telling and falsehood repelling the greatest Big Lies of our times.

Indeed, more profoundly religious and Heaven or Nirvana or Rebirth seeking, the less inclined one appears to be to become engaged in making heaven right here on earth! Minor exceptions are notable only for the fact of their minuscule numbers. I have never understood this, for one would have thought that the religions of man would at least have wanted man to live in moral decency and valor. Is the problem in man, or in his religions that he leaves the field wide open for the sociopaths to exercise their primacy? The secular humanists will of course rush to endorse the latter, but what would be funny if it wasn't so criminal, is that this avant-garde breed is equally notable for its absence in dispelling these Big Lies.

**We are living in the age of the hypocrite.**

Has it ever been any different? Is this the lot of man?

When I first read Elie Wiesel's books (when my kids were in junior high and had to read Diary of Anne Frank, and as was my custom while raising my children in the West, I would read their assigned texts first to judge appropriateness) I was struck by this Holocaust survivor's lament in his memoir All Rivers Run to the Sea:

“and I still curse the killers, their accomplices, the indifferent spectators who knew and kept silent, and Creation itself, Creation and those who perverted and distorted it. I feel like screaming, howling like a madman so that that world, the world of the murderers, might know it will never be forgiven.”

I have often wondered whether this is exclusively only a Jewish lament, that the Jewish Holocaust is the only supreme crime, and Jews the only supreme worthy victims?
Or, does such lament also escape the lips of the unworthy victims of hegemony who have survived these Big Lies, and also those who haven't and have mercifully seen the end of it?

Muslims today are the unworthiest of victims. And we naturally, albeit quite unfashionably, tend to blame the victim. But does the fault lies in the serpent that bites or the victim who does not prepare for its own defence?

Pakistan's media, its judiciary, its military, its intelligentsia, its industrialists and its super-rich class, its political class, and its ruling classes in general, alongside the ordinary common man, the middle class, and the have-not class, will all be replaced by a better people who will not be silent before falsehood and tyranny --- so promises the God of Islamic Republic of Pakistan in the Holy Scripture. I imagine that there is only One God of all creation regardless of religion, tribe or nation. Thus, that promise must apply to all peoples if it applies to any people. Are we ready to be replaced? I am not.

“There comes a time when silence, is betrayal. The truth of these words is beyond doubt. But the mission to which they call us is a most difficult one. Even when pressed by the demands of inner truth, men do not easily assume the task of opposing their government's policy, especially in time of war. Nor does the human spirit move without great difficulty, against all the apathy of conformist thought within one's own bosom, and then the surrounding world. ... Some of us who have already begun to break the silence of the night, have found that the calling to speak, is often a vocation of agony. But we must speak. We must speak with all the humility that is appropriate to our limited vision, but we must speak.” --- And spoken, I have.

So, I present the following deconstruction of some of the greatest Big Lies of our time because I do have a pen, a mouth, and whatever little mind that I am fortunate enough to be bestowed with that still functions. I doubt that this humble attempt is in anyway sufficient protection from being replaced by a better people, but I try. A Pakistani friend shared with me the simple epitaph that he had frustratingly written for himself which I had shortened to: “He tried.” I hope you reading this are doing the same, but not on The Road to Nowhere.

If I have uttered any falsehood, I invite correction.

Some Important Big Lies of Our Time

- “Terrorism” A Big Lie
- The “War on Terror” A Big Lie
- Dr Aafia Siddiqui's 86 years imprisonment is based upon A Big Lie
- Assault on Islam and the War on Terror is based upon A Big Lie
- 9/11 Narrative A Big Lie
● Boston Marathon Bombing Narrative A Big Lie
● Secular Humanism Narrative A Big Lie
● Muslim Pulpit's Narrative of Taqlid A Big Lie
● Muslim Public Hero Mard-e-Momin A Big Lie
● American Moon Landing Narrative A Big Lie
● The Alien / UFO Narrative A Big Lie
● Global Warming Narrative A Big Lie
● Obedience Training at American Airports is based upon A Big Lie
● Dissent from Left to Right A Big Lie
● Dissenting “Truth-telling” A Big Lie
● Dissenting “Moral-agent” A Big Lie
● Economics gibberish A Big Lie
● Monetary Reform Narratives A Big Lie
● Zionism Narratives A Big Lie
● Zionist resettlement of Palestine is based upon Big Lies
● Masking the House of Rothschild behind silence A Big Lie
● America's Zionist-sympathizer academics tell a Big Lie
● Fairytales in Modernity and Science in the Service of Empire fashion Big Lies
● Virtually everything the public mind believes is a congeries of Big Lies

Thank you for reading.
Let's Proceed Knowing the Devil Before Us and Behind Us

Now that we comprehensively understand the World Order being constructed on the Grand Chessboard without being thought a kook or stoned on baseless conspiracy theories, let's look for solutions for extricating Pakistan from its disposable status on the Grand Chessboard with the fear of being ignored, or silenced, only as the backdrop of ineffectiveness, and not the slightest deterrent when telling the truth is a *categorical imperative*. Six feet under, maggots make no distinction as it eat the rotting flesh of all with equal gusto. But, as the Divine Guidance System informs one, surely the Soul Extractor and Its Helpers will differentiate fully! The secular humanists who are largely atheists, many of them also wearing the garb of religion and high-mindedness, may well scoff at that. But those who live by the Social Darwinian sword shall also perish by it. The law of jungle, and natural selection, will ensure that outcome sooner or later when God is proclaimed dead. And perhaps, there is even more to human beings beyond our present understanding of our material and spiritual conception that is yet to be discovered... and it shall only become discoverable when we elevate ourselves to higher states of consciousness. *There are more things in heaven and earth, Horatio,. Than are dreamt of in your philosophy!*

For now, as we are, what follows is how to save Pakistan from further ignominy. Or else, let her perish as per Allah's Promise. Let her be replaced by a better nation who shall not ignore Allah's Divine Guidance System; who shall break the bonds of servitude to all creation before even seeking the Creator and His Promised Heaven, by first asserting *la illa*, a **bold NO to illa**.

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim
California,
Arba'een 1441 A.H., October 2019
Chapter 20

Where Pakistan Stands in 2019 on
The Grand Chessboard

Letter to Pakistanis: Border War on Pakistan

August 19, 2019

We all have a rendezvous with death --- death isn't what scares us... it is being used as “useful idiots” and stooges and patsies... Under a virtuous leader, I will join up in any righteous battle.

AOA (Assalaumu 'alekum).

My friend and teacher in Electrical Engineering from UET had been asking me for my views on what's befalling Pakistan. Here is my 0.2 cents. More important however, is the question at the end that all Pakistani common man, us, must ask ourselves and have an answer ready. Six feet under, we shall have to account for it, even though our conscience is easily assuaged above ground. Like our shrewd railway minister wisely spoke for all of us hypocrites when asked if he would resign after all...
the train accidents under his watch: “meray zamir per koi bojh nahin”, there is no weight on my conscience!

I really think that a border war with civilian infrastructure bombing (way beyond what has become the routine LOC exchange of token fire to remind each other that we have an enemy) is going to be imposed on Pakistan and is imminent. Kashmir is merely an excuse. Before that it was the 'loose nukes', and this may well be the case again as this new exercise may just be a catalyst to resurrect that boogieman. The new threat might be, under war escalation, that our nukes are about to fall in the riled-up non-state actor terrorist hands, and...

The devil today is a very sophisticated and long range planner. Pakistani society, and Pakistani rulers, are not war-ready. Militaries don't fight wars, nations do. We don't even know the enemy, let alone have a national war-effort or war-industries and infrastructure. More importantly, we have basically been stripped off our national as well as public and individual dignity and self-respect. More educated and more learned and more establishmentarian and more well-to-do we are, more invested we are in our success, and more UNCLE TOM is our psyche, apparently.

To this day not one ruler of Pakistan, including Imran Khan, has said 9/11 was self-inflicted to launch imperial mobilization! It is as if they see nothing, hear nothing, know nothing, because they say nothing --- Summun Bukmun Umyun! Neither has Iran, her vali-e-faqih claiming to be vali-e-faqih-e-muslimeen no less, nor China, nor Russian president Putin who is a Judo expert no less, evidently know anything, see anything, understand anything (sic!). This means they are all in on it. They will make canon fodder of their own people while sitting pretty moving Divisions around from the safety of their bunkers... creating war perhaps along the Shia-Sunni national axis as that helps Zionists in their Eretz Yisrael agenda.

You can be sure that on this axis, as history be the witness, Shia will side with Shia, Sunni will side with Sunni, and brother will turn against brother under the respective flag and sound of trumpet. The history of Islam is evidence of this truth! It is unlikely to change now just because we are in the 21st century, drive cars instead of camels, and have facebook and twitter where we tend to fight armchair battles. If anything, we live in an Age of Jahiliya that surpasses all preceding ages! Chivalry is dead. So is honor, dignity, and self-respect. What is happening in Kashmir and Palestine are unforgivable. But as my “friend” Pervez Hoodbhoy analyzed Pakistan's options in his oped this weekend, Kashmir is, practically speaking, a foregone conclusion. The LOC (line of control) is the de facto border. Here is the link, FYI:


The kamal (wondrous achievement), is not to be able to see all this, as it is self-evident like the sun shines, but to have the wherewithal to stop it before it is fait accompli.

I have no idea how to interdict this diabolical planning for world government to be headquartered in Jerusalem. Dr. Shahid Masood, Haqeeqat TV, Orya Maqbool Jan, Allama Jawad Naqvi (in dabb diawaz right now), the late Dr. Israr Ahmed's tanzeem-e-islami, etc., all think this war is a stage of Last Days Prophesies.

I think it is self-fulfilling prophecy in play. Meaning, it is planned in the superior think-tanks to use people's religious sentiments and myths and theologies to create crises and “revolutionary times”. The purpose of it is to eventually present the final solution as a means for solving all the crises: one-
world government; the many rules and laws of which have already been enacted piece-meal, and in stages, as premeditated reactions to these crises ever since 9/11, across all nations of the world. To the point that today, no nation can make a policy independent of these.... all this is old stuff and I have been on that axis for a long time, almost nearing two decades.

However, the alliance of Hindu-Zionism, made newly visible to the public eye, and I think like many observers are saying, Modi and his RSS was brought to power by the United States and the Zionist lobby to make that happen, principally against Pakistan, to keep it occupied with India lest it interfere in the Eretz Yisrael project. Or, perhaps it is only the bad-cop ratcheting up the already unbearable pressure to extract from Pakistan whatever compliance the good cop wants --- as if Pakistan wasn't already complying with the gods' demands bent over backwards. They want our nukes, by hook or by crook, but what good are these if we need their eyes to even use them [as a viable deterrent, as opposed to paper-tiger]?

We don't own navigational satellites, and all missiles need GPS guidance telemetry to military precision if we want to hit specific targets. But we can always launch city-busters in the right general direction and they will get there... or fly there with our expert pilots, as Enola Gay did over Hiroshima. Neither the Zionists nor their sugar-daddys want that.

So, I don't think nuclear war can happen --- for the simple reason that it is suicidal; but then, if Israel can have the *Samson Option*, so can their recipients.

In any case, God Almighty has evidently taken a back-seat among the Muslims as we bow and scrape to the *firmans* of the gods du jour. Predators and primacy rule the roost. And we pretend to not understand it as we stupidly rush to our own predators for help!

Where will it all lead --- apart from the options laid out by Pervez Hoodbhoy, which cunningly ignores the fact that Kashmir is just a red herring vis a vis Pakistan. But then Hoodbhoy always gets easy visas to the United States ("I belong to the fortunate few who can get a visa," as he confessed himself), and is a favorite of the American Embassy in Islamabad (or so I am told). But Kashmir, on its own merit, is a victim of primacy just as much as Palestine, and both are pretty much a done deal from the look of it. Pakistan cannot go to war on behalf of Kashmir, even though we immediately should, just as we should have gone to war over Palestine, because, as I observed earlier, we are not a war-ready, disciplined and principled nation. Like Iran is, for example, despite being war-ravaged, and most economic sanctioned nation on earth for the longest duration.

And they don't seem to particularly care about Kashmir either while Palestine remains their hot-button. One would have thought that they'd see the connection between the two --- both have the same strategists, just different henchmen. I was almost expecting Iran to command its proxy army Hezbollah to launch a Save-Kashmir mission just as they Saved Syria for example. And perhaps they might be doing just that under the radar. Iran and Pakistan make natural allies... but petro dollars and the gods have taken over, not to forget all the godfathers of Pakistan. Iran would hardly ever trust the fickle-minded Pakistani political leadership and the American-entrenched establishment to ally with us in any serious way, such as make a NATO-like full spectrum mutual self-defence pact. Iran and Pakistan together could take on any and all predators on the axis of justice and fairness, morality and equitability!

In any case, as far as Pakistan of today is concerned, and Muslims in general are concerned, I think this is where *Sunnan-e-illahe* can be our guide.
Allah SWT says that 1) He will replace us with a better people... and 2) as you sow, so shall you reap. But elsewhere, Allah also says: makaru wa makar Allah. He is the best of planners. I don't know what that really means: does Allah play petty games with mankind like the Athenian gods did? Does Allah have any role whatsoever to play in any of this primacy and its geostrategic imperatives great games? Here are some verses which say otherwise: “That which is left you by Allah is best for you, if ye (but) believed! but I am not set over you to keep watch!”

The Holy Qur'an explains itself. It is its own exegeses. When it does not explain, I forego it as a consequence of my limited intelligence, abilities, and ma'arifat, rather than fall upon the pen of men long dead, who are not even mentioned in the Holy Qur'an as the scribes to go to, to understand its meaning. Then why should I use them as intermediaries of my understanding? And whatever little I can get out of the Holy Qur'an directly, is all empowering, not fatalistic, and I can hardly even live up to that!

Actually, here is the link where you find the analysis which presents the argument, from logic and commonsense, that Allah of the Holy Qur'an, as opposed to the Allah of the holy books of Muslims, has nothing to do with current affairs, nor with the prophecies of Last Days that fill old Muslim books, but the devil certainly does. After His Messenger brought mankind the Divine Guidance, it is up to mankind to follow or not follow, to be thankful or unthankful; Allah has categorically proclaimed Himself بِغُفُورٍ. May be I am wrong... But the teaching of Islam is rather clear --- the guidance in the Holy Qur'an is straightforward. It is when Muslims reach for their favorite books of hadiths, history, and tafseers that they begin to separate in their understanding and start interpreting, pretty much along socialization and incestuous self-reinforcement boundaries. Here is the link:


Go figure... Dr. Shahid Masood just said the same thing in his program: that they WILL be using all this gazwa-e-hind hadiths for their purpose... he is a bit behind the times, get with it Dr. Shahid Masood---they have been doing it for a very long time! Here is a revealing link that betrays the depth of their diabolical planning capability; even it arguably be a fictional narrative like: The Prince, the divisive facts and political tactics it speaks to, and the underlying fracture points among Muslims, are real and known to all Muslims who have studied the subject with any degree of acuity:


Don't know what ordinary people like us can do... except watch from the sidelines. I don't wish to do that --- but do what? I am too smart to be cannon fodder for the games of the elites. I am also too conscionable to watch from the sidelines. We must do something... what?

We all have a rendezvous with death --- death isn't what scares us... it is being used as “useful
idiots” and stooges and patsies.... Under a virtuous leader, I will join up in any righteous battle. But if a war is imposed and Pakistan is bombed, then, regardless of who the rulers are, should we make and heed a call to arms?

That is a question that we must ask ourselves. If we cannot even endeavor to protect what is ours when rapists come to pillage and plunder, then are we even half-human? Is it better to run away and fight another day when we are more prepared? When will that be? Tomorrow? Day after tomorrow, next year, in ten years... Kind of reminds me of Patrick Henry's famous words:

“They tell us, sir, that we are weak; unable to cope with so formidable an adversary. But when shall we be stronger? Will it be the next week, or the next year? Will it be when we are totally disarmed, and when a British guard shall be stationed in every house?

Shall we gather strength by irresolution and inaction? Shall we acquire the means of effectual resistance, by lying supinely on our backs, and hugging the delusive phantom of hope, until our enemies shall have bound us hand and foot?

Sir, we are not weak if we make a proper use of those means which the God of nature hath placed in our power.

Three millions of people, armed in the holy cause of liberty, and in such a country as that which we possess, are invincible by any force which our enemy can send against us.

Besides, sir, we shall not fight our battles alone. There is a just God who presides over the destinies of nations; and who will raise up friends to fight our battles for us.

The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, the active, the brave. Besides, sir, we have no election. If we were base enough to desire it, it is now too late to retire from the contest.

There is no retreat but in submission and slavery! Our chains are forged! Their clanking may be heard on the plains of Boston! The war is inevitable and let it come! I repeat it, sir, let it come.

It is in vain, sir, to extenuate the matter.

Gentlemen may cry, Peace, Peace—but there is no peace. The war is actually begun! The next gale that sweeps from the north will bring to our ears the clash of resounding arms! Our brethren are already in the field! Why stand we here idle?

What is it that gentlemen wish? What would they have? Is life so dear, or peace so sweet, as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery?

Forbid it, Almighty God! I know not what course others may take; but as for me, give me liberty or give me death!”

A couple of word substitutions makes this 250 years old call to arms just as applicable to the
Pakistani elites and likhha-parrha tabqa sitting on the fence and writing opeds in Dawn. They are just too invested in their self-interests to have lofty ideals such as dignity and self-respect beyond lip-service. Their entire life is spent in compromises; they are the survivors in the jungle of Pakistan, shaking all the right hands as necessary. The have-not masses on the other hand, seem to be quite primed; the public is very angry, genuinely so, and already believe in the divine destiny penned in the hand of man. You could not imagine a more potent natural motivator and propaganda system for warmobilization if you wanted to go to a Just War. The Zionists and Christian Zionists, and evidently also the Hindu RSS of Modi, share the same template for their own divine *la mission civilisatrice*.

The one difference between then and now, is that they had many Patrick Henrys in their leadership which won them their independence from the evil empire of their day. I am waiting for just one... If you exist in Pakistan, please show your self now before Allah's laws, the Sunnan-e-illahe, apply to us.

Feel free to share this letter with anyone.

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
Part-II

On the Desirability of Virtuous Autocratic Rule in Pakistan

In an emailed letter to Pakistanis on June 22, 2018, I wrote: “FYI - Dr. Hoodbhoy has a most intelligent oped in today's Dawn, Cyber intimidation: a bad idea; I invite you to read it.”

Pervez Hoodbhoy, a famous Pakistani scientist cum social activist, and also an MIT alum with multiple degrees in more things than any normal mind can imagine, laments the apparent loss of free speech in Pakistan's news media. I think “free speech” in vacuum still leads to asphyxiation. What free speech? Does the West have it? I am still not published by the NY Times which prints “all the news that's fit to print”. Who decides what's fit to print? The newspaper owners. Okay I may not be that good -- as they say, billi ko chichray kay kwab. So try challenging any Jewish narrative anywhere in the West. Are all the goyem also deficient?

And what “democracy”? Does the West have it? The beacon of democracy, the United States of America, obliges its peoples to choose between twiddledee and twiddledum every four years while Pax Americana is its national and international imperative --- its now dead National Security Advisor called it: American Primacy And Its Geostrategic Imperatives. That beacon of lofty civilization teaching the world the virtues of democracy and freedom, so easily separates small children from their parents at the borders with the single stroke of the presidential pen --- never mind bombing Muslim nations, and previously Vietnam and Korea, to smithereens with the same stroke of pen, while permitting its proxy agent to resettle Palestine in Palestinian cold blood. The same is being repeated in Indian occupied Kashmir as I write this. And with legal fiat, with the power of the democratic vote.

So that democracy, that freedom of this and freedom of that, is what we want in Pakistan? God help us if that's the ultimate result of the liberal political dispensation...

Dissent is a modern notion invented in the West, same as protected speech for individual as well as the press under a constitutional umbrella in what is called no taxation without representation, or “democracy”, where the crowd intelligence is believed to triumph by their sheer numbers... these ideas are Western, and firmly corrupted and co-opted by Machiavelli, and both are unfamiliar to the
Muslim psyche that has labored under simplistic absolute dictatorial rule of almost thirteen centuries of dynastic Muslim imperial politics; replaced by the East India Company for almost 2 hundred last years. After the yoke of British rule from India was thrown, it was replaced by neo-colonial rule using a bastardized version of Britain's own corrupted and co-opted system which kept in power and only brought to power the feudal classes and encouraged the arising of newer feudal classes among which is the praetorian guard of Pakistan...

We, the Pakistani English enabled gentry, followed by those who want to be English enabled but belong to the lower stratas of society and can only dream of it, rush to blindly adopt copied solutions from the West, which are already bastardized there, and which are altogether infertile in our national soil. Gharbzadegi, anglicized to Westoxification and Occidentosis, the plague from the West, has a very high dispersion rate in Pakistan. It is now systemic among the middle class that fuels the bureaucracy, and the ruling classes of Pakistan.

I am sick of people making “virtues” of the West into a religion for us. We have something far superior. There is no religion in democracy, there is no religion in free speech. There is religion in justice, there is religion in speaking the truth even if one has to give one's life for it. There is religion in raising the consciousness of the public mind. There is religion in serving the public. There is religion in not being corrupt and not having corruptible institutions. I rather have a nation whose preamble to its constitution begins with the Golden Words: **Do Unto Others As You have Others Do Unto You** ---- no Law and no Right and no Privilege may be legislated in this nation that violates that Golden Rule. I can live with that religion.

Although a simple Biblical proverb that predates the Old Testament in origin and is at least three thousand years old, and of course never before implemented in any political theatre since the dawn of civilization, its fair implications can be far reaching in modern political dispensation. For instance, no Policy, domestic and foreign, may be pursued by rulers in power that violates that Golden Rule. This means that, among other things, Pakistan is suddenly on equal footings in the world. She is now boldly standing up to primacy and its geostrategic imperatives with a Constitutional Mandate that remains unsurpassed in every sphere of political dispensation. It even surpasses every revolution known to man. It is the only revolution for man. And man shall vote to enact it, and to live by it.

And I don't care who rules the nation so long as it is a just ruler. I don't care what laws give me right to speak or not to speak, because, irrespective of the laws, one must speak regardless of consequences as a categorical imperative. One must rise to challenge falsehood as Socrates did --- and that was the highest democracy achieved in that Athenian civilization which could only reward its best human being and its greatest truth teller with the bowl of hemlock! Categorical imperatives are a religion regardless of laws, rulers, and theology.

The West had 4 to 5 hundred years to experiment, shed each others blood, and all they ended up with is a new class ruling the Anglo-American empire in PAX Americana. I am sure that textbooks are very nice .... reality is more evil.

And in this reality, what I think is productive for the the current Pakistani psyche for at least 25 to 30 years, is an authoritarian rule the likes of Lee Kuan Yew, or Mahathir Mohamad, with the addition of freer speech and greater tolerance for differing points of views. All the things, all the motherhood and apple pie things the West has come to cherish on paper, can be achieved in practice as the public psyche is groomed for more responsibly administered self-empowerment and rising zeal for
public service. LKY once said he did not have two hundred years to progress that the West suffered. He only has 20. We can do it in a generation and half under the leadership so imagined.

We need an authoritarian leader today unhindered by self-interests who cleans the Augean stables, educates the public mind to raise their levels in both intellect and livelihood, builds enduring institutions, infrastructures and policies, and leaves a legacy of a better more participative governance system that is attuned to the psyche of the Pakistani mind.

And funny thing, if one starts implementing these ideas, what do you know, we have achieved the ideal Islamic society within a single generation, the ideal Christian society, the ideal Jewish society, and the ideal society! That is the society I want --- not what the Brits have, and not what their legatees the Americans have, and not what the Anglo-Saxon white man has preached. And will these ideas ever be published in Pakistan, or the United States?

One might well ask how one might supply such a selfless autocratic ruler in Pakistan who cleans the Augean stables with a clenched fist without succumbing to the temptations of power and self-interest. Indeed, “supplying one is a slight problem”, as Hoodbhoy intelligently replied to me in response to my caveated support of his oped in Dawn.

Apart from serendipity or divine intervention introducing a “messiah” to the masses, I sense such a ruler must come with big guns in order to usher in the kind of autocratic rule I envision. Why? Because, before he can do anything, he has to first clean house of our fifth columnists, Trojan horses, and various and sundry useful idiots (well intentioned functionaries harnessed as patsies) and house niggers (mentally colonized likka-parha jahils who see virtue in the massa class) who are now institutionalized across the full gamut of public and private establishments. Their challenge cannot be effectively countered without harnessing big guns.

The moment such a courageous ruler comes to power and attempts to throw tea overboard, he will be beset with powerful enemies, primarily international enemies with local agents and assets across the spectrum of Pakistan's power elites. Keeping Pakistan a servile client state requires having fools and mercenaries perpetually in power alongside the Mighty Wurlitzer deftly managing the public mind. The patriot ruler will have to address countering these fifth columnists from the first day on the job. That takes big guns. Such big guns only exist in Pakistan military, and not with its civilian feudal lords.

History of civilizations unequivocally testify that such nationalistic rulers have arisen time and again under ripe circumstances, and their military has been their principal instrument for exercising both autocratic and political rule (often indistinguishable). Unfortunately, these have often also easily mutated into egotistical villainous rule. Napoleon and Hitler are both not too distant West's own examples of power corrupting, and absolute power corrupting absolutely. The East has plenty of our own imperial examples unnecessary to rehearse here.

To retain virtuous rule autocratically in the best interest of the public requires a special type of political and intellectual psyche in the ruler. We have unequivocally witnessed this:

- in the multi-decade LKY rule of Singapore and in the multi-decade rule of MM in Malaysia, both leaders willfully cleaning house of corruption and instituting nationalistic development policies with an iron fist;
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- in the Islamic Revolution in Iran despite four decades of American sanctions and imposed military and economic warfare (although it is not politically correct to cite Iran for anything virtuous or what puts them in good light --- whatever one might think of theological rule, Iran's Valih-e-faqih is first and foremost, visibly nationalistic, patriotic and selfless, suppressing both fifth columnists as well as genuine public discontent with stoicism and steadfastness that the Western media plays up as domestic oppression);

- in the progressive Chinese rule since Mao, particularly under Xi Jinping's leadership, the Communist Party of China autocratically rebuilding their nation into a first world state in the best public interest wherein their military, politics and industry remain indistinguishable to outsiders (and a successful template of autocratic central governance for the Western ruling oligarchy seeking one-world government, the non-existence of dissent and “democracy” not bothering the West or its press);

- in Turkey's political ruler now desirous of rebuilding the successor to the Ottoman Caliphate after the hundred years restriction by the victorious allies is lifted in 2023, with the Turkish military visibly under president Recep Tayyip Erdoğan's autocratic command, and standing by him even in enacting draconian policies and what is projected as domestic oppression of his detractors in the Western press.

That evil however, domestic oppression, when purveyed against fifth columnists and useful idiot assets of the hectoring hegemons, usually garners much visibility in the Western press. But when waged against genuine opposition who want something better and independent for their nation from the yolk of dictatorships and disappearances imposed upon them, often begets only silence in the same presses, unless the genuine detractors, a result of domestic discontent the first cause of which is often the hectoring hegemons themselves, is to be diabolically harnessed and sacrificed as useful idiots. In fact, we have witnessed all of these modalities of cunning warfare in recent years along “the arc of crisis” in the “global zone of percolating violence”, the latest instantiation of which being witnessed in the decimation of Syria under the pretext of aiding the “rebels”. Iran has dexterously navigated that diabolical warfare with an iron domestic fist under the stoic leadership if its valih-e-faqih, while permitting voicing genuine discontentment in officiated forums under civilized decorum.

Pakistan is now more than ripe for such a ruler to arise who can intellectually and with full compliance of all its public and private establishments, take on all facets of domestic and international warfare besieging Pakistan. But evidently, there is no hard limit to how much further the Pakistanis can ripen.

The first order business for any genuine Patrick Henry in any nation I had previously examined in an article in 2011, the relevant section of which is reproduced below.
What can be done?

Begin Excerpt

Using the intellect is the first line of defense against those who wage wars by way of deception! While many repeatedly echo that maxim like parrots, few betray the timely recognition of that maxim when it can be most effective in defeating such warfare.

If you know who the enemy is, deeply understand its modalities of conquest, and know yourself, you can take measures to do something about it. That enemy, the first enemy, is within our own nations.

Unless the fifth columnists in every nation and among every people – those who work against their own peoples, both in and out of power, those who set up puppet regimes with military might and then tear it down with manufactured mob power, those who engineer consent among the gullible public and those who play false oppositions to head of genuine rebellion, and those who remain behind the errand boys occupying presidencies who come and go, but they go on forever behind the scenes – all of them, are juridically euthanized first in the greater interest of the huddled masses, the battle against colonization is lost even before it is begun.

As was narrated by Sun Tzu in The Art of War, the oldest and still most effective military treatise in the world which principally underlies all significant political planning in the “temples”, i.e., think-tanks, of modernity:

- All warfare is based on deception;
- Now the general who wins a battle makes many calculations in his temple [before] the battle is fought. The general who loses a battle makes but few calculations beforehand. Thus do many calculations lead to victory, and few calculations to defeat: how much more no calculation at all! It is by attention to this point that I can foresee who is likely to win or lose;
- Thus it is that in war the victorious strategist only seeks battle after the victory has been won, whereas he who is destined to defeat first fights and afterwards looks for victory.

That is a lesson plan the modern hectoring hegemons – those who through many calculations, seed wars, pestilences, calamities, debt slavery, those who instigate nations to fight, those who turn brother against brother by incubating traitors, stooges, fools and useful idiots among them – have deftly followed over the past two centuries. So long as these traitors of humanity exist among us – and I don't see how the Mir Jafar/Mir Sadiq among every people can ever be fully eliminated – the battle will always go to those who remain superior in the Art of War.

Plebeians know nothing about the Art of War – and so, we are easily mobilized into the streets, sometimes for pecuniary gain, sometimes as the method of last resort to vent steam against tyranny. Such social engineering principles are well understood by those who plan these outcomes and harness the predictable mass behavior for their own purposes. Their effective counter can only come by
combining shrewd political intellect with un co-opted political power which can defend against these incessant onslaughts upon the powerless and rather predictable masses of human beings.

Neither does such a political intellect, nor such a political power, visibly exist today in the entire ‘arc of crisis’, the 'global zone of percolating violence', both self-serving characterizations of Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, the diabolical author as well as the covert architect of The Grand Chessboard. The reason it has been eviscerated is not merely by happenstance, or because the 'lesser peoples' just love to live under autocratic rule. But by calculated design of the hectoring hegemons themselves who have continually brought to power, aided and abetted, in many cases entirely financed, in other cases militarily and politically supported, the many vile dictatorships and superficial egotistical kingdoms (spanning the gamut from benign as in Bahrain to draconian as in Saudi Arabia) in these very nations in which they today raise the banner of “revolution” for “democracy”. Is this such a surprise that they now fully effectuate this banner? The Project for the New American Century (PNAC) even openly advertised instigating “regime change” being the new foreign policy calculus of the lone superpower for the twenty first century, violently replacing the molding stability of its own previously favored tinfoil dictatorships with the vibrant instability of “revolutionary times” – the singular catalyst of all transformations.

As already quoted above, comprehending Machiavellianly poisoned apples presented to Snow White: ‘Lawmakers approved the measure, which “applauds” demonstrators demanding democratic reforms and “strongly condemns” Gaddafi’s response.’, is really not rocket science – unless one is a complete imbecile, or superlatively naïve. The remaining logical conclusion therefore, when one pretends to not comprehend, is that one is among the fifth columnists!

This immediately helps us identify and “bin” our first enemies rather straightforwardly into the useful categories of traitors and fools, to separate them from the huddled masses. Hang the traitors and harness the fools to mobilize them productively for our own cause – easier said than done without genuine political power and intellectual prowess to bring it about. This is evidently as true in Brzezinski’s 'global zone of percolating violence' as in Brzezinski’s 'sole remaining superpower’ which is entirely overrun by traitors and fools together screwing their own nation. As is self-evident from my Message to the US Congress in 2008 at the time of their willing passage of the Bankster Bailout Bill, failure to lead and act propitiously, trivially identifies who works for whom. It is not entirely obvious how any self-respecting nation, peoples, and even superpower, can survive either its traitors or its fools. Which is why none do!

Which is also why nothing can be done without getting rid of the fifth columnists first.

Hence the self-evident import of the remarkable truth in the saying that is quoted in the 2500 years old manual for waging both successful wars and successful self-defense:

- ‘If you know the enemy and know yourself, you need not fear the result of a hundred battles.
- If you know yourself but not the enemy, for every victory gained you will also suffer a defeat.
- If you know neither the enemy nor yourself, you will succumb in every battle.’

Therefore, in conclusion, if a genuine revolutionary acumen which can effectively bring to bear
the above nugget of political wisdom from *The Art of War* is secretly undergoing some zealous birth-pangs just beneath the surface, it is high time these boldly germinated while the soil is still fertile. Just as the *hectoring hegemons* need “*revolutionary times*” to seed their own agendas, the same “*revolutionary times*” really become a double edged sword in the art of war.

Why?

Because, it is also only in these “*revolutionary times*” that the enemy can also be conceivably defeated: “*what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times*”! As history testifies, it is only in “*revolutionary times*” that the fifth columnists reveal themselves in order to play their assigned hand. And it is only in “*revolutionary times*” that the entire house can be cleansed in a genuine revolution.

Since the hectoring hegemons well understand this – empiricism indicates that they are also far better attuned to continually preempting it in ongoing game theory enactment with massive deployment of resources and newer Trojan Horses. To wage an effective war against such nemeses fundamentally require their victims engaging *Mens et Manus* – both *mind and hand* together!

**End Excerpt**

**How the World Really Works**

The financial oligarchy in modernity are the 'king makers' who craft their 'errand boys' to do their private policy bidding behind the facade of elected representatives of the people. The executive, legislative, judiciary, press, and foreign office all implement their base policies in an incestuously self-reinforcing group-think whose championing is rewarded with opportunities to advance, and which never changes despite all the policy squabbles between the Republicans and the Democrats, or the Socialists and the Capitalists, or the Left and the Right, etc.

The insignificant differences in the overarching scheme of things are greatly amplified to portray “change” by the corporate newsmedia which also marches to the tunes played by the *Mighty Wurlitzer* in the same incestuously self-reinforcing group-think. Which is why the core policies and the core narratives in fact never change with the change in governments of any Western nation, but especially the United States of America.

The most pertinent example of this is the Global War on Terror, the World War IV that is rapidly changing the face of the entire planet towards global governance. From George Bush the Republican to Barack Obama the Democrat, the election platform sold to the public was indeed of “change”.

That base deception upon which a lifetime of warfare has been based, is aided and abetted by other controlled-chaos situations and their supporting narratives, such as global warming blamed on human production, global financial collapse blamed on shortsightedness, global pandemics blamed on nature, and perhaps even global alien invasion in the near future to be blamed on extraterrestrials. All enabling the piece-meal transformation of the planet towards a one-world government.
This is empirical reality which is visible to anyone. But few intellectuals in the world wish to see it, speak of it, or like to hear a spade being called a spade:

Caption Modernity and the fable of the three wise monkeys

By looking at each local step of any complex process, or each component of any complex system individually, it is impossible to see the big picture and understand its system characteristics in much the same way as even painstakingly examining each atom and the electro-chemical sub-structure of the water molecule still makes it impossible to understand why the water naturally only flows downstream on earth.

The system properties of interest, and the cause-and-effect patterns of behaviors of interest, especially of black-box systems whose inner-workings can only be inferred by observing the manifest response characteristics, easily get lost by isolated focus on individual components.

Often complex systems aren't as straightforward as simply gathering the understanding of each of its components – because many convoluted system properties are represented only in the interaction among components and to the whole system's interaction with its environment.

If the entire system is not known and a component is thought to be the entire system, the system properties are never understood fully or even correctly identified – and consequently the observed behavior is thought to be random, or happenstance, when it is actually incompetence and incomplete knowledge which leads to that characterization and not the science of it.

This is just the basic science of systems, all systems. As true of physics and engineering as of social sciences, which include political science and state-craft, i.e., governance by Machiavelli. And for state-craft of empires, the causal properties (cause and effect) of international events, like domestic events, can often only be determined by stepping back from the individual events and looking at the entire picture for patterns of interconnectivity of events which may span both time and space.

There is obviously as much caution for false positives and false negatives here as in science --- and that only means social scientists have to be at least as skilled in the craft of deciphering global events as the natural scientists and engineers in deciphering nature and engineering large systems where complex interactions among components can defy understanding by any single individual --- for
the level of skills and mastery of data needed may transcend even what the smartest brain can muster. In the age of narrow-gauge specialists and superficial generalists, one can see how easy it is to miss the forest for the trees.

Consequently, in order to acutely comprehend the larger interconnection between and among parts, one has to not only understand many parts individually, but also the historical trends (hysteresis) and system dynamics in the interconnections among those parts – as these are neither static, nor temporal, but evidently bring a long hysteresis effect to their current state and future direction. What was let loose fifty or a hundred years ago often determines the state of the world today. Should be commonsense, but, as is also the case in imperial scholarship penned for mass consumption, is often ignored in the study of current affairs and history by those outside of it.

Nobel laureate physicist Max Planck expressed this holistic fact of system analysis most aptly:

“Modern physics has taught us that the nature of any system cannot be discovered by dividing it into its component parts and studying each part by itself, since such a method often implies the loss of important properties of the system. We must keep our attention fixed on the whole and on the interconnection between the parts.”

In such a Machiavellianly infested world of behind the scenes unelected controllers and king-makers, elections, all elections, whether in the greatest superpower on earth, the United States of America: Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy!, or in its nuclear armed vassal state and services provider, the Islamic Republic of Pakistan: be it its PPP and Asif Ali Zardari chosen in 2008: Happy-Happy Zardari: A monologue on Hope and Voluntary Servitude, or its PML-N and Nawaz Sharif chosen in 2013: Some Context for What's Transpiring in Pakistan, are only illusions. In Pakistan Election Illusion for the 2018 election that brought the third-party, PTI and Imran Khan, to servile power, the path not taken was once again belabored.

What Not to do in the Upcoming Elections

(and all future elections in Pakistan so long as Pakistan remains a geopolitical pawn and vassal-state ruled by house niggers, useful idiots and mercenaries)

“I consulted my lawyer and asked him to vet it [column for the week]. He is young, wrinkled with pragmatic wisdom. His advice: Scrap it! You have no rights at present. You can be picked up by the dreaded men of the ‘agencies’, you can be harassed, even tortured, and surely jailed. To so do, they can take you into custody from anywhere – your house, your club, a friend’s house, your park – handcuff you, tie you up, put you into solitary confinement,
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starve you – in other words, ‘enlightened moderation’. We, your lawyers, will have to fight like King Leonidas against the Persians at the Pass of Thermopylae attempting to stave off ‘enlightened moderation’. It is far better to sit at home, stay away from your PC, and enjoy the company of friends in this fair weather.” --- (late) Pakistani columnist Ardeshr Cowasjee in his article in Dawn on December 09, 2007.
(I would listen to that sage advice, of which I am reminded daily, except that to be silent is to be an Accomplice!)

What can the Pakistani public do with Pakistan presently diving deeper and deeper into the endless quagmire leaving an endless stream of dead bodies behind, and the upcoming elections promising change?

Two things to begin with.

**ONE: Perceptively understand the overarching motivation of the super-terrorists in order to come up with proper self-defence**

Among all the patent lies and deception the “vulgar propagandist” (Noam Chomsky's epithet, not mine) Bernard Lewis spinned on Islam over the years, the one observation he most accurately made was: “Terrorism requires only a few. Obviously the West must defend itself by whatever means will be effective. But in devising means to fight the [super]terrorist, it would surely be useful to understand the forces that drive them.”

Well, obviously, victims of the superterrorists must also intelligently play at that table to defend themselves by whatever means will be effective!

To be effective however, one minimally has to be at least as intelligent and sophisticated as the foe so that one can figure out its Machiavellian game. Unfortunately, intellectually lazy people, foolish people, people unread in the political theories that motivate and guide the foe, and those patiently waiting for Allah to destroy the foe, cannot play at that table except as canon fodder.

It is a game of primacy. The predator is orders of magnitude more advanced and resourceful in its thinking than the sheep it is using as bait and canon fodder.

The Pakistani mind minimally has to put itself in the shoes of the superterrorists; study what he has studied, read what he has read and written, understand what he has understood, comprehend the forces that drive it, in order to acquire at least some appreciation for the sophistication of the devil before them. To beat the modern devil, one has to at least comprehend its villainous methods.

And its first method is to make nothing as it appears. Behind everything that's made visible to the observation of the naked eye of experience, there is quite another reality and quite another motivation at work. See unlayering reality.
This method is not new. In fact, it is as old as mankind. See *Plato's Simile of the Cave*.

It explains the stark failure of empiricists in parsing political science and Machiavelli driven reality. One has to acquire some *ma'arifat* (wherewithal) of primacy and its techniques of making the mind, before measurements. Those unread in the social sciences often make that mistake when trying to study history, current affairs, and any human affair that is beholden to authority figures.

It cripples epistemology (see definition in “*Some Problems in Epistemology*”). The unseen forces that motivate events, sometimes from both near and far, spread over time and distance, remain invisible to the historian, the narrator, the documenter of man's deeds, who tends to focus on what's near and before the eyes. Just the thought experiment of trying to document and analyze what's on the screen in *Plato's Cave*, gives clarity to the sharpened mind of how divorced those screen images are from actual reality; but only when one escapes outside to catch a glimpse of it. Or, as in *Alice in Wonderland*, Alice has to awaken to realize that all the absurd events she saw in her dream were imaginary. Imagine the thought experiment of great imperial scholarship documenting Alice's dreams and pitching that to the public as the reality to worry about?

The tools of primacy to make the public mind, as Plato demonstrated, and Bernard Lewis epitomized, include the manufacture of such epistemological sources by authority figures. One has to minimally acquire some *ma'arifat* of all this which may sound incredible to the simpleton mind that believes in authority figures, takes its “truth” from authority figures, and gives its obedience to authority figures.

This will lead all of us who are capable of understanding, to comprehend that virtually all modern variants of “Islam”, the trifecta of “militant Islam”, “moderate Islam”, and “revolutionary Islam”, are creations of, or aided and abetted by, and sustained by, diabolical minds working assiduously on “imperial mobilization” over a lifetime. See *Hegelian Dialectic*.

None will therefore be fooled by them!

This means Shia Muslims won't be fooled by Shia version of “revolutionary Islam” to bring back the glory days of *nizam-e-wilayat* despite their partisanship with the Shia sect, and Sunni Muslims won't be fooled by the Sunni version of “revolutionary Islam” to bring back the glory days of Caliphate and dynastic empires despite their partisanship with the Sunni sects.

These revolutionary exercises in many cases have little to do with the religion of Islam and its 1.6 to 2 billion ordinary believers --- but with methods of creating “controlled chaos” by cognitive infiltration of the religion. Which means, creating chaos that is predictable, its tempo increasable and decrease-able at will, and switch-off-able when its purpose is harvested. At present, that tap control resides in the West. It is entirely independent of the local population which therefore cannot break through its strangulating grip in the normal fashion. Just like the “Iron Wall” that surrounds Palestine. That diabolical construct was accurately described by Vladimir Jabotinsky: “This colonization can, therefore, continue and develop only under the protection of a force independent of the local population – an iron wall which the native population cannot break through.”

Something similar to that “Iron Wall” protects these mal constructs of “Islam” rapidly devouring our nation while simultaneously lending the much needed pretext to the West for sustaining its “imperial mobilization”. Its strategic and full spectrum redressing takes more than just ineffectively banning these terrorist organizations (which has been tried halfheartedly in lip-service to the Hegelian...
Dialectic), or ineffectively curtailing their antediluvian “Islamization of Pakistan” programs (which is bandied about by their presumed antagonists, the so called secular humanists who are the second line of useful idiots of empire, the first being our leaders, those who are not outright mercenaries that is). The Path Forward (http://tinyurl.com/Impacting-Muslim-Existence) is to astutely engage with Qur'anic Political Science examined in: Case Study Why is the Holy Qur'an so easy to Hijack? (PDF), in order to neutralize the dispersive power of these rapidly evolving killer viruses with efficacy, making the native Muslim soil permanently infertile for their incubation.

**TWO: Don't vote in the upcoming elections thinking it will make things better – in fact, don't vote in any election to resoundingly reject the entire corrupt system that strangulates public good on the facade of 'democracy'**

Only when the ubiquitous existence of perspective-pollution (see definition in “The Art and Science of Co-option”) is recognized as epistemological (see definition in “Some Problems in Epistemology”), and its effects even marginally overcome, does it become obvious what is really going on in Pakistan behind the many public puppetshows.

Almost miraculously, with the fog lifted, it becomes straightforward to see through the election illusion foisted upon the nation. The public mind liberated from the shackles of crippled epistemology and self-serving partisanship, perceptively sees, by the weight of empirical evidence, that faux-democracy is merely the continuation of colonial rule, only disguised!

After the Musharraf era military dictatorship had run out of steam to aid and abet massa's imperial mobilization under its propaganda cover of waging World War IV against “militant Islam” – the perpetual “global war on terror” – a change of attire became necessary. So we got ten years of neoliberal democracy after almost a decade of neoliberal military rule; Dr. Zardari and company followed by followed by Dr. Nawaz Sharif and company, once again not only vying to surpass each other in wealth accumulation and pecuniary graft scandals that are still on going, but also in their continuation of support of Musharraf era America's World War IV and Musharraf introduced “enlightened moderation” to undo the traditional mores and values of the Muslim society. Some betterment! Fed up with choosing between democratic crook and democratic crooked? No problem. Now we can have a return to military rule as the savior of the nation from the graft scandals. They now look a lot better don't they; at least patriotic soldiers who don't fear laying down their lives on the LOC, and not patriotic soldiers of fortune who don't fear plundering the wealth of the nation, will be in charge of important matters of the state which they already are anyway.

Oh, but we are also fed-up with that cycle that's been run just too often and there is no change in the life of the common man except for the worse? No worries. We can now choose from among the many flavors of nizam-e-mustafa and Dr. Imran Khan & company, or some new revolutionary get-up amenable to the current crop of Pakistani genius, all of whom shall worry about the common man and leave incomprehensible matters of state to the military. But what about the noble man in uniform? Isn't
he genuinely patriotic - so what's wrong with that? Yes indeed, and his high command meets up with the Western high command to get his overarching marching orders! It is all out in the open --- the Americans have paid countless billions of dollars for the services of their proxy army, of which only the public numbers have been variously reported as $33 billion since 9/11. It is likely a lot more than that --- for who is auditing the secretive accounts of all the different modes of American aid to Pakistan and America's payments for the rental state's services (euphemism for state for hire)?

It isn't a state secret that we offer the state's services and land and airspace to anyone who can pay: from land bases and logistics support to the American military for their criminal adventures in poverty-stricken fourth-world Afghanistan, freedom for its drone attacks on Pakistani citizens on Pakistani soil, protection and immunity from prosecution for crimes committed in Pakistan by the American soldiers of fortune in the rare case that they are caught (Raymond Davis), giving free rein to American intelligence apparatus to operate in Pakistan as their own back yard, etcetera, etcetera, etcetera; to Saudi Arabia which appears to own us outright as proxy agent of the Americans; to the Arab rulers of the Gulf states who love to privately hunt rare and protected migratory birds over our territories in lieu of what form of payment and favors only the state knows; to God only knows what state assets we have hawked to China and what debts incurred for posterity in a secret deal for CPEC whose details the state insists shall remain classified and need to know.

We have indeed been reduced to a status far lower than a vassal state. Our social fabric has been torn asunder, our dignity and self-respect made as rare as the migratory birds, and hunted just as fervently to root it out completely. Don't know what polite and PR-correct name to give to what Pakistan has been turned into, except “slave state”. What is sadder is that the rank and file don't know what to do about their own destiny, and they are more than 90 percent of Pakistan. Many are hungrily waiting for Allah to bring salvation. Others clutch at every straw thrown our way. They lap up the sales pitch of every used car salesman who can command our airways, our streets, and fifteen seconds of our attention. The elites could be the least bothered; they continue to live like the Parisian aristocrats, kings and princes, before the storming of the Bastille.

This is the success of the next generation style of modern warfare, which the American think-tanks call Fourth-gen warfare (and now into its Fifth-gen version which evidently attacks the moral fabric of society): to demoralize and destroy a nation from within, without dropping a single atomic bomb! The advantage over Third-gen warfare is that the conquered nation becomes a permanent slave state without the need of reconstruction expense which also tends to put people back into their natural stride. This has been witnessed in both Japan and Germany after their reconstruction from the devastation of Third-gen World War II, and also from the reconstruction of Iraq after its Third-gen destruction. The Iraqis have now regained at least their own dignity and self-respect by its indigenously grown Hashd-al-Shabi people's military force resolutely defeating the American proxy brigade that had come wrapped in the “militant Islam” flag and infected both Iraq and Syria in the form of ISIS and Daesh. This defeated virus was saved from complete extinction by its allies and covetously airlifted to Afghanistan to target both Iran and Pakistan.

Change of attire makes no difference to Pakistan's prescribed role on the Grand Chessboard.

None of the fundamentals are permitted to change for a vassal state / slave state unless the massa wants it changed to suit its imperial enterprise!

The exercise of colonial rule in post colonial era requires a continuous supply of a house nigger
class, a mercenary class, and a useful idiot class as the local apparatchik of the massa. Pakistan is rich in these classes for some strange reason, and new generations are born into them almost every day in both civilian and military attire. Their common overarching mission: to make the public mind to accept the prescribed rule so that the servants can continue doing the massa's bidding while making a pretty penny for themselves. It makes no difference whether it is military dictatorship or faux-democracy; the public mind is made to support, or at least acquiesce to, whatever rule is being foisted upon the nation for the massa's overarching agenda to be exercised during that period. The judiciary, in its feigned nod to justice and morality, gives legal cover to any rule and ruler in the name of political expediency on the one hand, and puts to the gallows an elected prime minister and anyone else they are instructed to on the other, in compliance with the massa's will. The errand boys and girls as the local apparatchik only get to see a tunnel vision of the massa's directives, and usually it is made to appear to be in their own narrow self-interest (or the nation's self-interest if a particularly patriotic useful idiot is being brought to power; mercenaries and godfathers of course don't really care). When the rule's times-up, whether by the clock, or by public discontent, the attire is simply changed.

All this is now obvious as daylight to many people in Pakistan, but perhaps not to everyone. High time the nation, its rank and file, the wretched of the earth, and the white-collar cats in their comfy offices, all took off their dark glasses and stopped being simpletons.

Boycott the forthcoming Pakistani elections (and all future elections in Pakistan so long as Pakistan remains a geopolitical pawn and vassal-state ruled by house niggers, useful idiots and mercenaries).

Not participating in the ballot is a resounding NO vote to reject the entire corrupt system. Do not participate in a fabricated system designed ab initio to continue its servility to massa; all waters run only Westward, even if wearing the turban, the beard, clean-shaved, in uniform, in awami-libas, in dupatta, in burqa – and that includes Imran Khan, the favorite savior of the young generation.

Imran Khan is some part of the right-wing Difa-e-Pakistan Council (Defence of Pakistan Council – DPC) group of “militant Islam” formerly known by different acronyms some officially banned: SSP\(^1\), LeT\(^2\), LeJ\(^3\), JeM\(^4\), ASWJ\(^5\), JuD\(^6\), TNSM\(^7\), etc., (I lost track), who are state-sponsored, and even openly supported by the Punjab government (PML-N’s Chief Minister in Punjab Shahbaz Sharif et. al.), and by right-wing elements of the intelligence and military apparatus as the state's proxy agents out of uniform. And of course, Imran Khan also occasionally flirts with the “moderate Islam” of Tahir-ul-Qadri. Both the (Hegelian Dialectic of) “Islands” are domestically sponsored, principally under the hierarchical sirparesti (aiding and abetting), of different factions from within the Pakistan military, which in turn is paid from the Western tax-payers hard earned monies to become the coveted Military Inc. of Pakistan with the Western powers' great blessings. This praetorian guard, a rising newer “feudal class” in Pakistan, is now fully invested in staying America's proxy army in Pakistan! This is how power actually flows in Pakistan behind the veneers and puppetshows put up before the public – be it the elected Parliament, or the many variants of “Islam”. Without the military's minimally tacit approval, not a fly can buzz in Pakistan. It would be found riddled with bullet holes the very next day, if found at all after its disappearance.

The fact that so much is made of going after the militant groups for example, by display-bombing their foot soldiers and those among whom they live, meaning, unarmed innocent civilians who become “collateral damage” of either being dead or IDP (see Swat Operation where the civilian
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displacement was headlined “In Pakistan, an exodus that is beyond biblical”, [photo], and doing absolutely nothing to the white collar “respectable” peoples and institutions who are fathering them, both in the civilian and military state apparatus, speaks volumes in its own language of omission. It explains why these groups cannot be rooted out completely despite all the military operations against them over the years by both the United States and Pakistani militaries, and which has, in fact, only succeeded in creating newer crops of recruits to harvest from for future patsy services. See my September 2009 report “The Decapitation of Pakistan by its own Military!” for the detailed forensic scrutiny of Pakistan's role in manufacturing the organic motivation for recruits of “militant Islam” under American foreign policy imperatives and payments.

The combined output of all these variants of “Islam” sprouting in the ever fertile soil of Pakistan and their open and secret benefactors, is to fertilize the groundwork for the creation of “revolutionary Islam”, whether or not they each realize it. We are, after all, looking at a lifetime of World War IV. Many teams have to play their part, and it seems to me that “revolutionary Islam” has been gestating in the oven too long. I am sure General Hamid Gul (late) understood this better than the brainless posterboy of modernity, Imran Khan, his foolish rapidly aging protégé who has been dying to become president (or prime minister) of Pakistan at all cost. A good donkey to ride on for the ubermensch.

But then again, perhaps not. After all, inter alia, it was this traitor Pakistani General, the so called grand spymaster of Pakistan, who in his own intellectual brilliance and great military wisdom, enabled the United States to give “to the USSR its Vietnam War” on Afghan Muslim soil next door, while purveying the fiction of “strategic depth” to string the Pakistan army along. The presence of unity of command in Pakistan under a military general's rule has been absolutely essential for all of America’s imperial mobilizations. That is just an empirical observation. The military chain of command is conditioned to obey orders without question, and ours obviously excel at it without any moral calculus. All it takes is one man in uniform at the top – and we have had more than our share in the hierarchy of command who have proudly carried the white man's burden and still died holily in bed. Facts sting? Kill the messenger of course.

I once had a long conversation with a former director of ISI the day after his daughter's wedding at which I was an unofficial (hobbyist) photographer. When I presented the bride and groom with a beautiful photo album of their wedding pictures that I instantly had processed the same day, the father of the bride invited me to join them for lunch, which I did. In the conversation he disclosed that he was a former director of ISI, and when I explained to him where he got his specious “strategic depth” from to fck Pakistan, he simply couldn't believe nor refute the commonsense of my straightforward observations. I held him to rapt attention for the entire time and all he could murmur was: why did it not occur to us? None of these brave Generals are known for their commonsense. Groomed at Westpoint and Sandhurst, they reach their positions of power in Pakistan's military hierarchy only after being vetted by the white man to be psychologically able to carry the white man's burden on Pakistan's back without complaint. As I noted in 2008 (http://tinyurl.com/ali-baba-in-mumbai):

'In 2007, while the 'Army Act 2007' was still in effect, I offered two Pakistani military men, recently retired 3-star generals, whom I had occasion to partake a meal with in Islamabad, that I could craft any terrorist to their specification for them for a mere $15000 – a number that I conjured up out of thin air thinking it
was suitably low to impress upon them how easy it was to harvest the surviving victims whose parents, wives, daughters, sons, had been bombed to smithereens, who had nothing to look forward to in life except the abyss of hunger, deprivation, and alienation, and especially from among those holding the 'Pakhtoonwali' tradition. I was trying to rationally talk them out of bombing our own peoples in the tribal belt in this fictional 'war on terror' by explaining to them how Machiavellian political science works. I had held their attention for over 3-1/2 hours. And I was rather stunned when one of the 3-star generals of Pakistan's Army, and let me hasten to add (Retired), responded to my 'jihadi manufacturing challenge' with “Zahir you are asking too much, I could do it for $2000’!

Imran Khan may prove to be the worst Trojan horse of them all – why? Because he is presented as “Mr. Clean”. He can therefore do even more harm as a patriotic useful idiot which is what he appears to be. Because, unlike the mercenaries we have already experienced in Pakistan who always look out for their own self-interests first, the useful idiot actually believes in his cause and will willingly die for it, taking everyone else down with him as well. Ever hear of the suicide bomber? That's an extreme example of a useful idiot for sure, but to carry the abstract thought experiment just a little further, imagine now the useful idiot as the head of a nuclear weapons state? Suitably controlled and motivated useful idiots can be deadlier than mercenaries working for pecuniary gain, and that's just a self-evident fact.

As an intelligence and Western asset, groomed by Gen. Hamid Gul et. al., Imran Khan may yet deliver the worst nightmare to simpleton Pakistanis, far beyond the measure of personal pecuniary gain and fiscal malfeasance that occupied the labors of his predecessors. Some of his plans, or rather strawman ideas (expressed for the 2013 elections), are outright scams that would only feed the fresh crop of plunderers on his team from the gravy-train of state treasury. His energy plan or his socialized education plan for instance? After HEC under Atta-ur-Rahman and Sohail Naqvi for a decade squandered billions in hare-brained crappy projects, Imran Khan is proposing to spend trillions, 2.5 trillion in fact, to replace one crap with another crap. He wants to make English compulsory and the principal medium of instruction after 8th grade in Urdu medium and public schools, to bring them at parity with English medium schools; and teach everyone in Pakistan the same standardized curriculum. Everyone will now be equally mediocre! What is that mother? Even India which is ahead of us in all metrics of mass education, does not have such an insane policy. Yet they have IITs which surpass the best IVYs in America in terms of competition to get in. The son of Infosys president couldn't get into IIT so he went to Cornell – as a safety school!

We don't have one decent university in Pakistan where I would love to send my own children and this moron now wants to spend 2.5 trillion rupees in another boondoggle? Increasing literacy rate is great goal for any nation – it cannot be carried out in a slave state with no economy to absorb them. You take people away from their natural habitat, and you provide them no ability to survive in the new habitat, and you only end up raising a generation of malcontents.

The development of a nation cannot happen overnight – only its rape and theft in the name of doing speciously sounding great things can happen overnight. It took the West hundreds of years. Lee Kuan Yew, the late Prime Minister of Singapore who governed that tiny nation of industrious Chinese,
Malay, and people of Indian origin, for over thirty years, said he did not have 200 years like the West to develop his country, and succeeded in uniquely bettering that recipe. As did South Korea. Pakistan however is a nation of 211 million plus mostly illiterate peoples the majority of whom are unemployed, or employed in menial jobs, and in agriculture sector as serfs to a handful of landlords. Copying other nations blindly with good intentions is like a moron transplanting a foreign tree in a local soil that cannot sustain it. Just like Atta-ur-Rahman did, and no one could challenge that mother for his hare-brained schemes that turned Pakistan's higher education universities into diploma mills for third-rate and often plagiarized work (see my 2011 report: Masterpiece of Plagiarism in Pakistan, https://tinyurl.com/Masterpiece-of-Plagiarism-pdf, sent to the Supreme Court of Pakistan inviting their suo moto action on the scale of the systemic problem—but also ignored by them; the 2018 report: Whistleblowing Masterpiece of Plagiarism in Pakistan with System wide Collusion – Case Study in National Loss of Ethics, https://tinyurl.com/Plagiarism-Pakistan-Report-5, brings it up to date with what's presently transpiring in Pakistan's third-rate academe). I shed tears of anger every time I think about what well-intentioned fools have done to us, often as child's putty in the hands of Machiavelli.

As for Imran Khan's energy policy? You think anyone in Pakistan has the balls to go against the WB-IMF tag team which has neo-liberalized, i.e., privatized, our economy under enormous debt burden? See what Thomas Friedman says about protecting and recovering American investments and values abroad:

“The hidden hand of the market will never work without a hidden fist --


Imran Khan is just offering more scams and only fools and simpletons will go for them---that is our Pakistani genius. The jury is still out however whether he is only an overzealous useful idiot or something more pernicious. Watch him and his confrere, the flag-bearer of “moderate Islam”, Dr. Tahir-ul-Qadri, partake at the massa's table in the 2011 World Economic Forum. Invited there to ensure that the brown-nosing horses run in the future electoral races will all be compliant to the white man's burden! These two-bit house niggers, groomed into power in Pakistan the same way Benazir Bhutto was, have the balls to stand up to the massa? With what? The Pakistan military works for the same massa, are paid from the same coffers, as does the entire bureaucracy, the media, public and private institutions, and what is now almost a fashion to be considered avant-garde, to call oneself a think-tank, and all echo the same core axioms of the massa, live off of its largesse of aid, and occasionally play controlled dissent as WWF (http://tinyurl.com/The-House-Niggers-of-Pakistan).
Caption Pakistani house niggers, Imran Khan and Tahir ul Qadri, seated on the massa's table at the Western super financial elite's World Economic Forum annual meeting in Davos, Switzerland, January 27, 2011. (Photograph source: a reader submission) How did these two political “no-ops” of least significance get invited to world economic forum for the white man's recognition in 2011? They are neither financiers, nor industrialists, and nor do they hold any economic or financial ministerial position within the government of Pakistan. Yes, as Western intelligence assets managed by their local counterpart, both are being rewarded for selling the massa's pitch on “moderate Islam” (even in their occasional controlled dissent with the Pakistani establishment which is most dutifully towing the massa's full line on “militant Islam”). And Tahir ul Qadri specifically for his “600 page Fatwa on Terrorism”. Both house niggers artfully retain the core axioms of massa on “militant Islam” to continually push the envelope of the Hegelian Dialectic forward as a self-fulfilling prophecy!

I say, enough of being feking simpletons!

Footnotes

[1] Sipah-i-Sahaba Pakistan (SSP)
[2] Lashkare-Taayba (LeT)
[3] Lashker-e-Jhangvi (LeJ) or (LJ)
Monday morning, February 17, 2014

Pakistan is going through very rough times today. But these dystopic times are no more rough than the decades which preceded the partition of the Indian subcontinent. It is often said that history, especially dystopic history, repeats itself. If we can get a handle on how the current affairs of that pre-partition era were manipulated, orchestrated, aided and abetted into existence to serve a larger geopolitical agenda, then it can help us understand some of the forces doing the same today. The most significant character of that era is “Sir” Allama Mohammad Iqbal of Sialkot. The re-examination of our political history in the essay: Sacred Cow: Allama Iqbal - marde-momin or superman By Zahir Ebrahim, unmasks many of the forces that motivated this fellow into serving imperial interests in the name of serving Muslims and Islam.

These forces have not perished, but similarly fabricating and orchestrating events in Pakistan today with a new retinue of stooges and mercenaries. The modus operandi, unsurprisingly enough, isn't dissimilar either (and why change it when it works so well). Chaos conditions are fabricated with terror to create social tension which is subsequently harvested as “demands” of the people. That modus operandi of recent history already out in the open, called the “strategy of tension” in Western Europe, can be studied in the article: Operation Gladio Yesterday and Worldwide Terrorism Today – Identifying the Enemy By Zahir Ebrahim.

I have become mostly disillusioned with both my brilliant friends and the people of my country, Pakistan. None of them, it would appear, evidently harbor any useful intelligence whatsoever, never mind moral courage to stand up to narrative control. Without full spectrum narrative control, no one would believe any of the myths being foisted upon the public mind. Despite copious advanced degrees and other high-falutin credentials and national accolades, even the most learned Pakistanis, learned with advanced Western degrees mostly, appear little different than the outright ignorant masses talking current-affairs at tea-stands.

The intelligentsia of Pakistan specifically, with access to news media and corridors of power,
are evidently outright crooks and liars, if not naive simpletons who make great *useful idiots* for carrying the *white man's burden*. Selling the soul to the devil is evidently the favorite pastime of Pakistanis, both by acts of commission and acts of omission.

I have never had any patience for these crooks and liars who continue to harp the core narratives of empire in minor variations thus further putting the Pakistani masses into a perpetual mind-lock chasing absurd antidotes for global “war on terror”, “Deobandi terror”, “militant Islam”, et. al. In fact, no differently than how this most hyped of all founders of Pakistan, “Sir” Allama Iqbal, got the Muslims of the Indian sub-continent to chase their own antidote to Hindu-Muslim terror in the form of a new Muslim state.

That achievement even became the international precedence-setter at the UN to serve the Jewish demand for their own antidote to Christian-terror in a newly carved out Jewish state (in case any member nation objected to the Jewish state being carved out in Muslim soil, but none that mattered objected). Both fabrications transpired in extreme violence upon the respective indigenous peoples. Both were based on synthetic demand creation that was made to appear organic. Both were principally based on narrative control.

Perceptively understanding the lesson of the oligarchic forces prevailing upon our birth can only help us perceptively understand the forces orchestrating current affairs on our death-bed. I am not sure if that is sufficient to alter our ill-fated destiny on the grand chessboard, but it is surely the first step to breaking free from the strangulating web of narrative control which limits our horizons, our creative problem-solving, and which subliminally as well as cognitively channels us as a nation to only serve overarching agendas carved out in Western think-tanks and its policy-halls. In the age of universal deceit, to learn the truth is a revolutionary act.

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
Open Guidance to Imran Khan
Reimagining Pakistan: Project
ReGenesis

Open Letter-I To Imran Khan July 26, 2018

California, Thursday, July 26, 2018 11:45 am

Dear Prime Minister Elect of Pakistan, Mr. Imran Khan:

Your speech today after winning the 2018 general election, dear Mr. Imran Khan, was so humble, statesman-like, and [apparently] sincere that despite my considerable reservations about your political acumen and your backers, I, an ordinary Pakistani living in the United States, have decided to actively support your divine mission as the new prime minister elect of Pakistan.

In whatever humble way I can, I support the notion of “Naya Pakistan”, but not exactly your prescription. If one is not part of the solution, one is part of the problem — a maxim that only the activist mind-set, the revolutionary mind, can dare live by, while the rest remain part of the problem. Your speech and your life's singular dedication to Pakistan at great personal sacrifices, has inspired me
to rise beyond my own limitations, both real and imagined. Thank you.

I congratulate you on your expression of deep religious sensibility and deep humility that you appear to fully realize that you have been given an incredible opportunity to serve man – Pakistan's common man – as a statesman.

In the past I have critiqued your political acumen and I list these analyses for the record [1], [2], [3]. Moving forward, the proof of the pudding will be in its eating. Platitudinous claims are always easy to make. These escape every man's lips, especially the Machiavellian demagogue's who seduces with honey rather than at the point of bayonet, to induce voluntary servitude in man. The genuine categorical imperatives which spring from these moral platitudes however, at least for the genuine revolutionary mind holding a divine mission, are a real pain to implement in actual life. “Thou Shall Not Kill” has existed for three millennia --- I still await its implementation anywhere in the world, most of all in the Holy Land which gave birth to it. Pakistan's creation too is a mystery not too distant from the one revealed in the Sinai. And she too remains beholden to the Divine Promise --- of being obliterated from the face of the earth unless she reforms herself by her own efforts. Only then, all that is noble in the Universe, and its Creator, shall conspire to help her fulfill her true destiny. I hope that journey for Pakistan indeed begins with your coming to power. That it is not another illusion.

My forthcoming Open Letter II to you will outline the actual macro challenges facing Pakistan as I perceive them, and the macro solutions as I imagine them, and how one might dare to implement these given that Pakistan has been a vassal state, a client state, a rental state that has shamelessly rented out its men and its services for pecuniary gain since its very inception.

The only thing ideological and noble about Pakistan has perhaps been what's written in her school children's history books. Those holding her ungodly reigns in their clenched fists are not about to give up their geopolitical advantage of having a compliant Uncle Tom client-state that has been brought to its knees by its own House Niggers, just upon hearing your lofty platitudinous speech as the new noble prime minister elect of Pakistan.

I imagine the first thing you will undertake upon taking oath is to pay homage to your own real qibla, one which all rulers of Pakistan bow before --- I remain expectant that yours will not be situated in the West.

I invite you to call upon me when I am in Pakistan this fall --- for someone who publicly states that he takes great inspiration from the nobility of the Prophet of Islam, and from the humility of Khulafa-e-Rashideen, which you again stated with your own deep humility in your incredible speech, there should be no problem in you visiting an ordinary common man, me, at my home in Islamabad, to at least hear me out. None are kulle-ilm, let alone kulle-sagaciousness, and a large number of zeros still add up only to a big fat zero. I hope you will navigate that egotistical trap with far deeper humility than even your profoundly platitudinous words betray.

I offer my humble help to you, despite its very small measure, in rebuilding Pakistan to surpass the Pakistan of our youths, yours and mine. It will take more than your political will, and your tenaciousness; though both are necessary, but still not sufficient. It will take considerable skill in the Art of War, and having the courage of your convictions, to execute amidst the narrow self-interests that now surround you. Both from the front, and behind you. They will each demand their own pound of flesh for loaning you their support. There is no free lunch. The most powerful can easily find themselves powerless in power.
With Best Wishes from a Pakistani common man,

Zahir Ebrahim  
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org  

**The plebeian antidote to hectoring hegemons**

**For the Record**


Dear Prime Minister of Pakistan, Mr. Imran Khan:

This Open Letter outlining the macro challenges of Pakistan is in continuation of my previous congratulatory Open Letter that had stated what you surely already knew: that the king-makers who helped you into power are awaiting your pound of flesh for the many Faustian bargains you surely must have made with them to finally become the prime minister of Pakistan. You surely must also have already realized that Pakistan never gained its independence from colonial rule, which only disguised itself into a modified neocolonial rule by turning Pakistan into a vassal state, client state, and rental state serving only the interests of the masters du jour.

This was most straightforwardly accomplished by installing corruptible feudal lords already fashioned during the colonial period, mercenaries, useful idiots, mental midgets, selfish demagogues and brutish dictators into political and military power throughout Pakistan's short existence. These rulers were carefully selected as the ruling elite from the crop of Uncle Toms and House Niggers so Westoxified by their grooming, or by their greed, that Pakistan retained its colonial system of governance, colonial laws, and colonial public administration even after the so called independence. Arguably, the real purpose behind that ploy of partition of the rich 300 to 400 million strong Indian sub-continent was to prevent that bountiful heart of Asia from ever rising to challenge its former colonial masters on the grand chessboard.

Pakistan easily became the basket case Muslim nation birth-panged in the name of religion, like her twin sister and equally basket case Jewish state, to be moved as a sacrificial pawn on the continually evolving geopolitical grand chessboard in contrast to her bigger neighbor. Partitioned India
was smart enough to dump at least some if not all of her colonial baggage early on.

Pakistan's destiny has never been in her own hands. All the misery we experience in Pakistan, the rectification of which is surely why you have been so selflessly striving in Pakistani politics for over the past two decades, is the direct and indirect consequent of all this. There is a difference between cause and effect --- and you seem to be focussed on effects, if one is to judge from your public proclamations of Pakistan's problems and solutions. Corruption, backwardness, featherweight institutions, poor governance, poor decision making, poor economic policies, poor development, theft of public commons by privatization, etc. etc., do not just materialize out of nowhere. Its sanitized name is neoliberalism. Its seeds are planted, germinated, fertilized, and harvested like any other cash crop. Those who plant this crop in our fertile soil will not easily give up their gains of seventy years! This should be obvious.

The empirical reality of this state of affairs is summarized in my 2018 Open Letter to The Chief Justice of Pakistan. It is also examined in a bit more depth in Epilogue: Pakistan on the chopping block in 2018?. Historically there being no “We, the People” in the sacred Proclamation of Independence of a separate Muslim state is examined in The Search for Historical Truth: Partition of India and Palestine. Moving forward to the present now that we have been already birthed in blood sacrifice, and continually held hostage to new blood sacrifices over the past seventy one years, the desirability and necessity of a Virtuous Autocratic Ruler of Pakistan who has the Patrick Henry like courage of his convictions to free Pakistan from the full spectrum web of neocolonial controls, is outlined in Part-I, Part-II.

I write this open letter to you under the saintly presumption that you have within you the seeds of transforming yourself into such an autocratic but virtuous political leader of Pakistan regardless of your past history, past inclinations, and past Faustian bargains you may have made to reach this position. The seeds of metanoia on public display I presume is genuine and not merely Machiavellian demagoguery. The most they can do to you Mr. Imran Khan for living the courage of your convictions while in power, and knowing what convictions to hold, is what they did to President Kennedy when he defied the powers that be with what some historian has claimed, were eight Bay of Pigs disobediences! I have counted two more than James W. Douglass did in JFK and the Unspeakable.

JFK’s crime of disobeying the powers that he was so great that he could have been laid to rest after the first Bay of Pigs. But he survived a few more until the establishment behind the political power that has always existed in the United States, just had to get rid of him. Its long tentacles transcend time and space. It is now a global oligarchy whose sole mission is to create one-world.

Your being groomed at Oxford and coming to power in Pakistan, is no different than Benazir Bhutto. While your friend's fate was largely determined by her lust for power at any cost and harvested as pawn sacrifice of a useful idiot, yours might be determined by your JFK-like exercise of courage in power. That is one heck of a legacy to leave behind --- you may be occupying a win-win throne for the first time in Pakistan's political history.

Only if you are unafraid of that JFK outcome dear Mr. Imran Khan, can you really be effective in freeing Pakistan from being a geopolitical pawn on the grand chessboard. Only then can you finally put her beleaguered masses on the genuine course of human development; mandated by Divine Destiny for man to propel himself from as-falah-sa'feleen that he is born as, to the heights of ashraf-ul-maklooa'at that he is destined for.
Thus far, that enlightened journey of man, his social, emotional and spiritual evolution, the evolution of his civilizations to a benevolent peoples from Darwinianism, has remained a pipe dream for Muslims throughout the ages despite possessing, and reposing undying faith in, the Divine mandate. First, under thirteen centuries of despotic Muslim dynastic monarchies which encouraged living for the Heaven beyond while the rulers made theirs right here on earth. And for the past hundred years, under the jackboots of Western expansionism that extracted everything from us, but most importantly, our dignity and self-respect, replacing it with the Plague of Occidentosis.

With your newfound spiritual strength, and by surrounding yourself with technical advisors far smarter and skilled in the Art of War than you so that you are never the most intelligent person in the room, you can alter Pakistan's destiny as the final decision maker. Otherwise, Mr. Imran Khan, I am wasting my time writing to you, and you are wasting your time fooling yourself and the nation. While my wasting my time is only harmful to me, yours is monumentally criminal. Your delusions may end up presiding over further dismemberment of Pakistan as just another useful idiot of the same establishment that controls Pakistan's establishment lock stock and barrel.

All that you have stated in your election manifesto and in your speeches regarding Pakistan's problems, are principally second order effects. You have ignored first order first cause problems. These are brought to the forefront of your consciousness in this Open Letter.

Dear Prime Minister of Pakistan, for the past two decades of your struggle for Pakistan, you have essentially lived in the Sixth Age according to Shakespeare, and your coming to power may be seen as its culmination ---- let it be its beginning rather than its culmination --- with the Seventh Age chasing fast upon your heels. At its conclusion, the maggots can't tell the bloody difference between you and all the mass plunderers and mass murderers who rob in large number while in power and kill in large numbers under the sound of trumpet. But if the atheists got it wrong, the soul extractor awaits us all. Its very existence you now even publicly proclaim daily, with the display of your ever twirling rosary beads seen between your fingers on every news channel on television.

Please pay particular attention to the Last Scene in Shakespeare's As you like it, act-ii, scene-vii – I quote you the same British aristocracy's words that groomed you at Oxford, and against which you have now presumably turned, just as you earlier defeated your cricket home schooling ground in the World Cup for Pakistan:

All the world’s a stage,
And all the men and women merely players;
They have their exits and their entrances,
And one man in his time plays many parts,
His acts being seven ages.

At first, the infant,
Mewling and puking in the nurse’s arms.
Then the whining schoolboy, with his satchel
And shining morning face, creeping like snail
Unwillingly to school. And then the lover,
Sighing like furnace, with a woeful ballad
Made to his mistress’ eyebrow. Then a soldier, 
Full of strange oaths and bearded like the pard, 
Jealous in honor, sudden and quick in quarrel, 
Seeking the bubble reputation 
Even in the cannon’s mouth.

And then the justice, 
In fair round belly with good capon lined, 
With eyes severe and beard of formal cut, 
Full of wise saws and modern instances; 
And so he plays his part.

The sixth age shifts 
Into the lean and slippered pantaloon, 
With spectacles on nose and pouch on side; 
His youthful hose, well saved, a world too wide 
For his shrunk shank, and his big manly voice, 
Turning again toward childish treble, pipes 
And whistles in his sound.

Last scene of all, 
That ends this strange eventful history, 
Is second childishness and mere oblivion, 
Sans teeth, sans eyes, sans taste, sans everything.
Open Guidance to Imran Khan Reimagining Pakistan: Project ReGenesis

Part-III

Open Letter-III To Imran Khan
August 8, 2018

Throw Tea Overboard

California, Wednesday, August 8, 2018 08:43 am

Dear Prime Minister of Pakistan, Mr. Imran Khan:

I begin this Part-3 of my Open Letter with Assalaumu 'alekum to you and to all men and women of integrity on your team with the honest wish that their number is greater than zero. And I once again offer my best felicitations to you at your tenacity and sincerity in rising to the challenge of governing an almost un-governable bankrupt country. Our nation has been brought to its knees to create the slave state, the vassal state, the rental state, the pliable Western asset on the Grand Chessboard whose services, and whose future, have been bought and paid for in American dollars, British pounds, and Swiss Francs.

This primacy has been accomplished through global predatory policies that are a combination of neoliberalism (economic warfare inflicted as ineffective governments, mega projects, debt burden, open markets, free trade, multinational corporatization in domestic markets, domestic privatization, elimination of domestic production, austerity measures, mass poverty) and neoconservatism (military warfare inflicted as war on terror, counter-insurgency, quelling domestic discontent, tickling revolutions for regime change, asymmetric warfare, economic sanctions, and when necessary, all out staged wars of aggression under false pretexts).

This international primacy has, as intended, created for Pakistan the un-governability, domestic discontent, unbearable debt burden, and the complete reliance upon other nations even to feed herself,
let alone to defend herself.

Furthermore, even more perniciously, this International Order has created a class of ruling serfs in Pakistan so dependent upon the West's favors, and so dependent upon the corrupt system that has put them in milk and honey for generations, that they have no choice but to resist that system being taken away from them tooth and nail.

This co-option transcends all economic and professional classes. It includes the majority of our so called “best thinkers” (danishwars and talking heads who have become ubiquitous in our news media and in print), our best minds of the military, the judiciary, the technocrat intellectuals and academics educated in the West who have returned to Pakistan carrying the *White man's burden*, and of course, the feudal landowner class who can see nothing beyond the horizons of their own mega estates.

This is the Pakistan today out of which “Naya Pakistan” is to be fashioned!

Before you can even begin to do that Mr. Imran Khan, how can you not recognize the corrupting forces and the political philosophies behind the creation of this grotesque reality of Pakistan?

This is the reality of the International Order that is piece-meal intended to erode national sovereignty of all nations by systematically weakening the state in order to create a World Order of one-world super-state. They need local corruption, local co-option, and mental midgets and useful idiots in power in the existing order in order to accomplish that transformation. Today this transformation is being instrumented with the guns and butter of the sole superpower as PAX Americana, just as in the past it was led by the British Empire who passed on its baton of the Anglo-Saxon *White man's burden* to its legatee.

Surely no Oxford University graduate can be wholly unfamiliar with the vast bibliography on this subject. And someone who claims divine inspiration from the mind of Allama Iqbal, can't be altogether unfamiliar with the *Iblees's Majlis-e-Shura*.

This grotesque and pressing reality infecting our nation from outside our borders, does not seem to impress you Mr. Imran Khan. You evidently, and inexplicably, remain quite unaware of it all as you preach “democracy” to the Pakistani public as the be all and end all of all governance systems.

Any nation that is rich in natural resources or geopolitical importance and has not understood the philosophy of the *Greeks bearing gifts*, has been time and again brought to its knees by these predatory global policies. The best historical example being the East India Company's conquest of the Indian Sub-continent with at best 50,000 English boots stationed on her soil to control and harvest a native population of over 300 million souls. The mantra then was “free trade”. That was the colonial era. The post colonial era is far more efficient in its predatory instincts. It uses local stooges, mercenaries, fifth columnists, and useful idiots on divine missions to achieve the same purpose. The mantra du jour is “democracy”. No, no, it is not just the sham democracy we have been plagued with as interludes between military rule, but democracy itself which is ruled from behind the scenes by the untouchable oligarchy. The bibliography on this subject too is vast indeed. May I just refer you to the 1966 seminal work of Carroll Quigley, America's former president Bill Clinton's publicly acknowledged mentor at Georgetown University in Washington DC, who taught and trained legions of the sole superpower's foreign services personal for over three decades: Tragedy and Hope.
Our problems have been made insurmountable indeed, but the purpose is not to itemize these here which all in Pakistan living its hellish life can easily do, and which you most eloquently speechify yourself, but to drive the identification of the first cause of it all into your cricket consciousness.

International relations is anything but cricket. It is the public relations label put on Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives. You don't seem to get that if one is to judge from your public pronouncements.

You have thus far betrayed no perceptive understanding of primacy as you once again rush to the IMF for more bridging loans to service our national debt and to pay our national bills in the short term. Do you think these international lending agencies crafted at Bretton Woods after World War II to extend the American empire from where the British had left off, do not demand their own pound of flesh in favor of Pax Americana every time Pakistan's goes to them with her begging bowl, like the rest of your benefactors who have brought you into power will also soon be doing? While I feel confident that you can resist the latter forces with your determination and will power, to resist the former takes a great deal of cunning intelligence as well as national courage.

The recognition of this reality of fundamentally why Pakistan is a basket case, is the first order business before you can even begin to tackle any of the hard domestic problems facing Pakistan.

Without effectively countering the first cause with courage, fortitude, farsightedness, and willingness to take risks to free the nation from perpetual serfdom to Western powers, you, like all your predecessors since the creation of Pakistan, will remain like the child's putty in their Machiavellian hands despite your best intentions. The graveyards of the world, Mr. Imran Khan, are full of indispensable peoples that the public mind celebrates as heroes. The soul extractor however is not so easily blinded. One may pretend to expeditiously see no evil, speak no evil, and hear no evil, but the evil does not disappear by burying one's head in the sand.

You often quote from the Holy Qur'an's opening passages the dua: “Guide us on the right path”. But you neglect to quote what takes one away from that path: “Summun, bukmun, umyun (the deaf, dumb, and blind), They will not return (to the right path).” Let the three wise monkeys not dictate your political wisdom as the new divinely inspired leader of Pakistan!
international primacy, is also depicted in the image below. The feline predator naturally eyeing creation as the tasty morsel while the naïve baby naturally looks at creation in pristine wonderment.

You, Mr. Imran Khan, can be neither the feline, nor the new born (or born again) baby. You need to become the farsighted leader who has the foresight to step into the feline's skin to comprehend its natural predatory instincts and its heavy arsenal of techniques for exercising primacy, and protect the innocent baby from those “innocent of knowledge” who deprive her of her fair share of life's beauties and natural resources in the name of divine mandates.

Mr. Imran Khan, you must recognize that it is the primacy of this International Order which is the first cause of Pakistan becoming a slave state.

Mr. Imran Khan, you must recognize that the reality of seeking liberty from the predatory International Order is to first strive to throw the tea overboard.

Mr. Imran Khan, you must recognize that without infusing that revolutionary national spirit into the Pakistani public mind, and your bold leadership in exercising that drive for liberty with the same verve and chutzpah that led you to win the World Cup for Pakistan against all odds, there can be no domestic progress or prosperity in Pakistan. Your plans for “naya Pakistan” will remain illusory like the marketing brochures of scam artists who today defraud the public of their life's savings; like what is available to the traveler lost in the desert who strives to reach the next mirage, and the next mirage... until the circling vultures get him.

Mr. Imran Khan, what sort of mindset do you think is required to throw tea overboard?

Is there even a single mind on your team capable of dreaming that dream, that courage, that intellectual and moral strength, and not so invested in the tea trade to be able to throw the tea overboard in the public's interest?
You are surrounded by Westoxified gallantry at best, and fifth columnists who turn on a dime for their own narrow selfish self-interests at worst.

Am I being foolish Mr. Imran Khan that I repose confidence in you that you can lead Pakistan to higher gallantry and self-respect if you are yourself convinced of the need for throwing the tea overboard as the requisite first baby step necessary to rebuilding “Naya Pakistan”?

How to throw tea overboard to follow.
Part-IV

Open Letter-IV To Imran Khan

September 1, 2018

Project ReGenesis Pakistan

Dateline California, Saturday, September 1, 2018 03:00 pm

Dear Prime Minister of Pakistan, Mr. Imran Khan:

If your were to ask me to imagine that if I was king for a day in Pakistan, what would I institute by fiat of absolute power to put Pakistan on the right path of salvation from its present day status as the client state of the West which has made it such a basket case of a nation, I offer you this Part-4 of my Open Letter.

Without feigned humility, I invite your best minds including your own to challenge this prescription. I will respond to every fair critique done with honesty of purpose. Let this examination be performed in the glare of the public eye. Let this intellectual conversation finally begin. I have been waiting for it since 2007 when I penned the following prescription in my much longer 2007 report: Saving Pakistan from Synthetic 'Terror Central'. Its further rationale and holistic evidence is in my companion 2007 report: Re-Imagining Pakistan's Defenses - Open Letter to a Pakistani General, which systematically deconstructs Pakistan's role on the Grand Chessboard as the slave state of her foremost paymaster, the United States of America. A slave can never become master of her own destiny. That is just self-evident. A slave must break her chains of servitude, the first and foremost being the mental chains, and from which all freedoms and liberty naturally follow, before she can become self-reliant. That is also obvious. Indeed, rather self evident.

I don't quite understand why this does not appear self evident to you who has dreamed of a
“naya Pakistan” for so long. “Naya Pakistan” with the same enslaved minds that now surround you? Your dignified argument to your critics for your corking old wine in a new bottle has all along been that one right man at the top can change all the rest. That, this is the crop that you presently have, and you are not going to invent a new crop overnight. I tentatively accept that argument, even though, I dare think you did not look very hard for honest people who are both competent and visionary. However, are you that right man at the top? Your belief in yourself prime minister, leads me, an ordinary common man, to repose much faith in your honesty of purpose.

And therefore, I invite you to compare what you think is the right way, with what follows. Let your oft repeated thinking on “merit” be the final arbiter of effectiveness if you are intellectually and morally honest to the very core of your being, and your metanoia is neither feigned nor superficial.

Put your stupid naysayers out of your mind. These mental midgets, most of them mercenaries anyway whose bread and butter is now at stake with an honest man at the top, will soon be washed away with the sands of time and no one shall even remember a word they said in the real “naya Pakistan” prescribed below. I don't believe in rehabilitating corrupt bastards. I believe in hanging them. But it is irrelevant what I believe. Instead, I offer you Project ReGenesis, a product of intellectual energy, commonsense, courage, and lack of respect for all gods.

Your “naya Pakistan” prime minister, needs my Project ReGenesis. Make it your Project ReGenesis. You will find many honest intellectuals arising to support you if you accept and articulate what follows from your lofty perch, but only after you have instrumented some of its principles by the fiat of power and by means and for reasons that you shall only understand if you have studied the Art of War. This world is not safe harbor for simpletons. Nor is freedom from tyranny for those bereft of intellectual vigor. Justice demands aql, wherewithal, ma'arifat, all ingredients that were present in abundance in the founding fathers of the United States of America who led her to throw her own tea overboard.

Without intellectual basis prime minister, and without the courage of one's convictions, there is no salvation, no freedom, no liberty, no self-defence against primacy and its geostrategic imperatives. You will only end up window dressing Pakistan. Don't fool yourself nor the beleaguered people of Pakistan who are now beginning to look upon you as their savior.

Today, you have no sound intellectual footings except the dream, that gleam in your eye, and the sweat of your brow that you have so heavily invested in your selfless journey for the sake of our nation. That is a good beginning prime minister. Without dreams and the sweat of the brow, there can be no concomitant reality. But remember, the donkey also works hard --- and yet, remains the most beaten beast of burden on earth! Does not even get a lump of sugar.

Please permit me to show you how to achieve that dream, that gleam in your eye prime minister. I hope you will read this excerpted recipe to the very end despite your busy schedule as the new leader of the most beaten beast of burden on the Grand Chessboard, our beleaguered nation.

Take a break, grab a cup of java, and enjoy the heavy dose of intellectual vitamins that follows.
Excerpt: Saving Pakistan from Synthetic 'Terror Central', 2007

Begin Excerpt

The most obvious, rational, and efficacious solution space under these revised explorations whereby the problem space is posited correctly, instead of well intentioned people being perennially sent off chasing red herrings, automatically points to 'throw the tea overboard'!

Only breaking out of the “Plebeian States” [37] syndrome can we ever become a free peoples finally guiding our own wretched destinies to something better for all our peoples.

A Realist's Strategy for Self-defense on the Grand Chessboard

And what does all this mean in concrete realist's terms of defining an efficacious curing protocol for our systemic cancer before it finally kills us?

In my straightforward view of the Grand Chessboard, the realpolitik moves any beleaguered nation can make on it as a pawn in her own effective self-defense such that she may have her cake and eat it as well rather than continually offer herself as a perpetual pawn sacrifice, is obviously circumscribed by its ruling-elite. The truth of this statement is beyond doubt. It is self-evident. Whereas its “awam”, the public, the wretched of the earth and the rank and file forever caught between bread and circuses, frequently do not count for much on the Grand Chessboard except as cannon fodder, and as malleable putty in Machiavellian hands that fabricate “revolutionary times” on demand. The colored “democracy revolutions” brought to the streets with useful idiots and stooges is a self-evident example of this latter fact which is even underwritten in the actual recipe for ushering in the neoliberal looting of a targeted nation (see From Dictatorship to Democracy By Gene Sharp, professor of political science at the University of Massachusetts and with the Albert Einstein Institute in Boston, known as FDTD, the recipe cookbook for manufacturing revolutions has been translated into more than 30 languages, evidently for every linguistic group targeted for the harvest of “revolutionary times” for specific advantages on the Grand Chessboard [38]).

Therefore, it is first necessary to boldly admit that there is no independent strategy of survival possible for cowards and cowardly nations, nor for nations dominated by fools and run by traitors and mercenaries. These mental midget nations and its colonized peoples will forever remain slaves to the massa no matter what. Let's also just accept the fact up front that even a God given divine prescription can be crippled by self-interest. The history of tyrannical and autocratic rules in the name of God in all three Abrahamic religions lend ample empiricism to leave any room for doubt. The truth of this observation is beyond question. It is self-evident. With the current crop of fools and mercenaries running Pakistan, nothing can be done in any system, whether democracy, dictatorship, autocracy, theocracy, or plutocracy, each one worse than the other when it is beholden to the massa for its survival. Sorry! But in order to effectively strategize what to do when surrounded by house niggers in tyrannical systems of control that strangle and emasculate the creative energies of a nation and sap the will of its peoples to be free from the shackles of servitude, one must assume that the nation must
experience a national metanoia at some point, a metamorphosis of Biblical proportions if you will, and a crop of “David” will come to the helm of affairs who will have the nerve:

- (1) to fully disengage from the fiction of ‘war on terror’
- (2) to close our borders not just to the so-called ‘islamofascists’ and various and sundry cultivated ‘terrorists’, but to the American agenda and its black-ops agents moving freely throughout the country as their own backyard synthesizing and financing ‘militant Islam’ under ‘plausible deniability’ and several degrees of separation
- (3) to close down and banish all American military bases immediately from Pakistani soil
- (4) and to point our deterrence-inducing weapons that we have so covetously developed ostensibly for the defense of our own peoples at much sacrifice to our social spendings and developmental economics including clean drinking water in our taps, directly towards all the geopolitical ‘hectoring hegemons' outside of our borders on mated full alert in a genuinely threatening 'Samson Option' of our own, fully disclosed as our ultimate, publicly mandated, political doctrine for a 'MAD' self-defense such that no one, not even the world's lone superpower and its nuclear armed minions, [39] can co-opt our self-defense by arm twisting games of poker on the Grand Chessboard – the only sensible (sic!) and rational raison d'etre for possessing such deterrence in the first place

(genuine Origami paper tigers would surely have been a lot less painful investment otherwise; and it may yet be more effective to unilaterally disarm like South Africa if we don't have the 'balls', the chutzpah, the mind, and the political will to make effective use of the only rational way that such horrendous and inconceivable Armageddon inducing weapons can ever be used – as 'MAD'ness – as most of us would surely prefer having clean drinking water in our taps and quality K-16 education for all)

Furthermore, as these unilateral acts of disobedience to the 'master' will surely bring-on the grave displeasure of the 'hectoring hegemons' through their intense saber-rattling, economic sanctions, and of course the merciful closing down of the infernal 'corruption pipeline', i.e., the Trojan horse of 'American Aid', as well as other heightened arm twisting tensions and even deadly covert-ops and destabilization as the 'camel is already inside the tent', we cannot safely execute on this disengagement goal on one fine morning in our present system of monolithic power-dispensation even if we had a reformed 'turn-coat' dictator willing to do it.

We additionally need two other crucial gestalt shifts in order to realistically play on the Grand Chessboard – as all nations of the world invariably do when 'hectoring hegemons' are the 'Grandmasters' – to ensure our immediate as well as our long term survival, and without the persistent fear that we are among the proverbial patsy pawns to be strategically played and ultimately sacrificed by the 'Grandmasters' at the altar of realpolitik expediency and conquest.

We must transform ourselves, a minor pawn though we might well always remain, into a more
heavy weight pawn that has a tremendous built-in inertia in its internal structural makeup to be never again so trivially co-opted by mere phone calls to one man, nor our destiny trivially covertly-channeled by cleverly planted conscienceless 'Trojan Horses'. Indeed, we may, through judicious gestalt shifts, promote ourselves into an 'autonomous pawn' on the 'Grand Chessboard'. We only move or not move – and perhaps in conjunction with others like us for a greatly amplified control upon our own destiny – when we want, where we want, and always only in our own public's best interest. This invariance is accomplished by the very design of our internal structural makeup! Imagine such a 'Grand Chessboard' where some of the pawns move autonomously based on their own control of their destiny, and by the very design of their internal makeup, can never come under any 'Hectoring Hegemons' direct manipulative control! The realpolitik has just been made more interesting, naturally 'damped' and thus safer, and more equitable!

Now that we have imagined it, let's briefly note how to get there realistically. The first gestalt shift must be in the construction of our system. The only system I know of that lends the above desirable properties is that of a genuine Democracy. It is naturally fractious, distributive, participative (FDP), thus decision making on important national matters and international policy decisions is spread out by design. If we immediately make the gestalt shift of dumping our 'praetorian' authoritarian mindset to adopt a more progressive 'social contract' and FDP based systems of governance, we can easily put the decision of whether or not to disengage from the global “War on Terror” to the system itself for adjudication. A national decision collectively taken by the peoples under a legal framework of Constitutional mandates through their representative parliaments, senate, put through another 'assembly of patriotic un co-opted domain experts' for expert evaluation and recommendation, and finally ratified directly by the peoples through a direct vote on the critical issue, has overwhelming inertia and built-in damping, as well as blanket international recognition and ab initio legitimacy that simply cannot be easily subverted even by the saber-rattling 'Hectoring Hegemons'.

Imagine if Musharraf had put the key decisions that he took unilaterally based on his 'own judgment' after 911, through such a FDP based public-vetting wringer process! This is called genuine FDP based empowering Democracy in action – the first nemesis of the 'hectoring hegemons'!

And this is precisely why we find, that if we rationally accept, based on all readily available empirical and intellectual evidence, that this whole “War on Terror” is in reality a very premeditated “imperial mobilization” in disguise, then how can we rationally conclude that the brilliant minds who conceived and meticulously planned for years, who artfully crafted the requisite 'doctrinal motivations' into the public discourse years in advance, and who finally orchestrated the imperial march upon the much anxiously awaited and anticipated mobilizing pretext of the 'new pearl harbor', would then so thoughtlessly leave such a key component of their strategy to mere chance – of the indispensable frontline state whose services are absolutely essential for this “imperial mobilization” to succeed, not being under the firm 'unity of command' of some autocratic dictatorial regime to follow orders obediently?

And this analysis directly helps us save Pakistan – never mind hanging the 'traitors' as the ship is sinking and we haven't the time for fighting amongst ourselves – to immediately implement what the 'hectoring hegemons' are indeed afraid of, and which can yet derail their remaining “imperial mobilization” plans of “Shock and Awe” visitation upon Iran and possibly Syria!

Thus the politically astute realpolitik thinking individuals in the nation can easily anticipate and shrewdly predict considerable opposition from the 'empire' and its minions, its 'native informants' of all
stripes, and our own ruling-elite and institutions preferring the status quo, to our attempts to usher in such a genuine FDP Democratic transformation. They would prefer that we only acquire the faux 'democracy' they would like to foist upon us, one that has all the 'trimmings' of 'democracy', such as elections and over staffed parliaments, but is in essence, the same old recycled rotting wine in a new bottle with the same centers of raw power that continually sing the empire's song.

As we can witness the travails of Venezuela in its own social and political struggles towards a genuine FDP based social Democracy, and the layers of deception, disinformation, and political opposition being artfully crafted by the 'empire' and the ruling elite within Venezuela in its path, we can easily learn from their experience and preemptively co-opt all similar and newer attempts that will also be hurled at our attempts for genuine transformation. Just as the construction of 'empire' and its patsy client-states in the 'modernity' du jour requires considerable mastery of both the art and science of “imperial mobilization”, i.e., considerable intellectual sophistication and manipulation of souls, so must breaking loose from its chains. Or so it appears to me.

Thus realpolitik would dictate that in order to succeed in realizing this gestalt shift towards re-genesis, we must have all the key owners and the traditionally 'feudal' and 'praetorian' leaders in public opinion-molding and power-wielding institutions among our own ruling elite (a number less than a few hundred at best) preemptively signed on to this metamorphosis, even if it is initially seeded by executive fiat. These key stake holders controlling the money and the power in the nation must be made to be just as engagingly and overzealously supportive of it with their heart and soul, as the imperialist thinkers and planners and their ruling elite and their media-owners are of their own 'la mission civilisatrice' and its “primacy and its geostrategic imperatives”! Otherwise, nothing can be accomplished by even an army of 'mercenary' patriots – our present crop of politicians and our 'empire-enabled' ruling elite including our military which got funded at least to the tune of $10b by the empire to sing the empire's main theme song!

I have no idea how this zeal can ever be infused among the zombies and the Faustian pact holders, but without the key players among the ruling elite directly on board this re-genesis, no rational transformation in the 'modernity' du jour is possible short of a global plebeian rebellion. Today, even though most everyone among the ruling elite is still loudly singing the main imperial theme song of “War on Terror” at the 'unbirthday party' with the 'Mad Hatter' (sic!), I hope that this humble analysis from the mind of a plebeian is a rational wakeup call! If it can strike a chord in even one person of consequence, the dominoes will fall on the Grand Chessboard!

Indeed, our immediate gestalt shift to a genuine FDP Democracy is our only remaining lifeline on the Grand Chessboard! Not only does it enable us to show the world that our complex and sophisticated systems of genuine FDP Democratic dispensation have finally spoken in unison as we safely disengage from the “War on Terror” under our Constitutional mandate to listen to the formal spoken voices of the peoples, and for which our Executive has no choice in the matter but to follow suit immediately, but a properly constructed, un co-opted, non 'special-interest' driven Democracy would also enable us to implement the second crucial gestalt shift that we need in order to safely disengage ourselves from this barbaric “imperial mobilization” without committing an economic suicide!

The second gestalt shift of realpolitik is to entirely reverse the “divide and conquer” mechanism that is the mainstay of any 'Hectoring Hegemon' as this amazing 8 minute youtube video of “Battle at
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Kruger” [40] graphically illustrates. We can easily grasp that if dividing is their main weapon system of conquest, then uniting must be ours of self-defense! And this remarkable “Battle at Kruger” from the world of nature itself quite emphatically proves it, leaving no room for any further rational objections to the matter.

Thus as the first crucial act of self-defense in our new genuine Democratic dispensation, we must accelerate **Full Spectrum Alliances** with our immediate neighbors, all of with whom we share our history, our heritage, our languages, our cultures, and our common national interests of being free from predatory hectoring hegemons and living in equitable harmony in a multi-polar world that espouses the simple moral Golden Rule *'Do unto others as you have others do unto you'* in governing all its foreign relations!

And looking beyond that across to the greater Asian continent, to in parallel, accelerate further 'Full Spectrum Alliances' in the SCO (Shanghai Cooperation Organization) framework by positing mutual self-defense and equitable regional trade treaties for the entire Asian continent in an eventual AU (Asian Union) construction as the only realists' means for accomplishing persistent and immediate **Full Spectrum Deterrence** against all predatory adventurers hell bent on “full spectrum dominance”.

Further 'Full Spectrum Alliances' constructed with the greater humanity in the nations of the Global South is indeed how we save the peoples of our region and of the entire developing world from the curse of both neo-con-servatism, and neoliberalism and its deliberately induced consequences of further sustaining global poverty among the masses. Instead of the indigent and developing nations being forced to adapt to the existent reality of 'Globalization' which is constructed by design to entirely favor Western economic and corporate interests, to adapt 'Globalization' itself to more equitably serve the economic interests of the indigenous nations of Asia, Africa, and South America! As insoluble a problem as poverty and developmental economics appear to be today, it is trivial to solve by a single gestalt shift. Instead of one world government of laws crafted to favor the 'free trade' mantra of developed nations, employ the model of soccer leagues:

'A league' teams play amongst themselves, and 'C league' teams play amongst themselves. The leagues only meet to play inter-league matches under rules that are negotiated between the two supra-leagues for a greater power-balance – not between individual teams from different leagues where one of them may comprise super-dominant Alpha-males dictating terms at the barrel of a gun and its exponents unabashedly recognizing it for what it is: “The hidden hand of the market will never work without a hidden fist”, or between a highly developed power-block of a handful of nations who crafted the WTO, and each individual nation in the rest of the world, as is presently the case in the world today!

In one fell swoop of astute and genuine political ascendance, we not only immediately save Pakistan and its wretched peoples from the very predictable aftermath of this fictitious “War on terror”, but also from the persistent legacy of our own ‘praetorian guards' and our colonial past! While simultaneously we seed important new doctrines into the international arena by virtue of our setting the example among the developing nations of Full Spectrum Alliances.

It is also how we save the entire world from the 'islamofascist evil-doers' – for their militant
source and lethal weapon systems of suicide attacks will just as magically melt away from our psyche and our lands – never again to be called “Terror Central” – as it had 'magically' appeared! When their 'gardeners' are 'terminated' with extreme prejudice, they will also whither away in their natural death in due course as they are an unnaturally cultivated pariah in our societies and cannot long exist on their own.

**Final thoughts and a demand**

I feel that we can thusly plant the seeds of re-genesis which in the past 60 years we have repeatedly failed to do primarily for the lack of forensic understanding of the efficacy involved in any protocol we randomly and ad hocly constructed and followed, for a disease we perhaps either failed to appreciate for its nuanced viral shades, or lacked the courage of our convictions to devise the right protocols. In the presently outlined protocol, we can not only save ourselves from the murderous clutches of the ' hectoring hegemons' at whose mercy we have floated, from all empirical evidence, since our very inception, but simultaneously also become a genuinely independent and self-reliant nation for which we were presumably constructed by our ailing founder who saw the world from his own peculiar vantage point that is now little shared by our cynical younger generation of this nation.

Unless we can prove it to them that the tyrannical-sacrifice of millions of innocent 'dispensable' peoples on the sub-continent leading to the largest displacement of humanity from their native soil in modern times – far surpassing the original forcible displacement of the indigenous Palestinians by the imported Zionist Jews in 1948 – was worthwhile for the vision of a handful of intellectuals of the twentieth century, we have betrayed the promise. And indeed, it is mainly the promise to our progeny for which the founders of Pakistan constructed Pakistan. Our failure, quite unsurprisingly, mirrors that of the apartheid state of Israel (see my similar forensic analysis and deconstruction of Zionist mythologies in my essay “The endless trail of red herrings” [41]) primarily because we share the same manipulative 'Hectoring Hegemons' in our history and in our present. And our future promise, like that of the 'Children of Abraham' equitably sharing the land of Canaan, is entirely in our own courageous hands too!

Just as the seeds of the original 'birth-pangs' of these two evidently malformed-constructs of history were laid first in intellectual thought – Theodore Herzl in 1896 for 'Der Judenstaat' [42] in his diabolically seminal work of the same name for importing World and European Jewry into Palestine as an existential matter of their survival in the genuinely anti-Semitic European world of the time, and Ch. Rahmat Ali [43] for his realist's-idealism of a separate political Constitution for the indigenous Muslims already living on the Indian subcontinent as an existential matter of their survival in the new post-colonial political 'nation-state of India' that would be dominated by a Hindu ruling majority in his equally seminal 1933 Declarative Manifesto “Now or Never: Are we to live or perish forever” [44] – the seeds of re-genesis must also be laid first in intellectual thought. (See Sacred Cow: Allama Iqbal - marde-momin or superman? [45] for how the separatist seeds were planted to divide the Indian subcontinent with intellectual thought.)

At the risk of stating a self-evident truism, one has to imagine the goal before one can be inspired to work towards its arduous journey and overcome all the divisive impediments and
obstructions by power-brokers that will surely exist, as was originally the case too, for both nations. Well, both original constructions backfired, both were clearly sanctioned by the global superpowers of the time to best manage the 'sinking ship' of a waning empire for the future exercise of hegemony by a new empire, and consequently both are still causing egregious injuries to the tabula rasa of their respective indigenous peoples under the direct control and financial assistance of the same 'hectoring hegemons' du jour, and therefore both need to re-imagine their re-genesis that allows their respective indigenous peoples to indeed exist in equitable peace and justice in the new global and local realities du jour.

This re-genesis is precisely what the peoples of Pakistan today must insistently, and by all uncompromising means possible, demand.

It is what I, a humble ordinary citizen of this land demands, for it is a 'political right', and a hope, that I have covetously safeguarded, despite having lived in the West all my adult life.

If I can boldly imagine and demand it for the wretched of Palestine by the images of the 'jackboots' of the Zionists permanently etched upon the face of a Palestinian child (in “The endless trail of red herrings”), I would be a cowardly hypocrite if I did not imagine and demand it for the wretched of my own soil watching the images of our own “praetorian guards” in constant service to their 'ubermensch' masters permanently squashing my own kith into perpetual serfdom of the 'hectoring hegemons' fighting fabricated enemies. To remain silent, is to be an accomplice. And to be an accomplice is to incur the immortal imprecation of all victims: “I still curse the killers, their accomplices, the indifferent spectators who knew and kept silent”!

But who is there to spearhead this re-genesis? Such transformations rooted in deep political thought and an appreciation of complex realities du jour cannot be wrought through the simple minded politics of street agitation by sheep who are often led by shrewd Machiavellis themselves – as powerful as mass protests can be to force any political issue to its head when they do reach a ‘critical mass’. It first and foremost requires wise and uncompromising leadership from our greatest minds and talents – 'Patrick Henrys' and 'Ben Franklins'!

Is anyone listening to the sound of realpolitik reason and sanity? Even the animal kingdom has more collective instincts for survival than apparently we do! And as one can witness in the “Battle at Kruger”, they don't even need a unifying leader – the instincts for survival amazingly unites the herd automatically in their otherwise characteristic 'flight' response for Full Spectrum Deterrence! As human beings, is our 'herd' entirely co-opted from even this innate self-defense mechanism that god even bestowed upon the animals?

Let it never be said in the annals of future history that we did not know how to save Pakistan! We just did not have anyone among our pathetic ruling elite – some 'ma ka lals' – courageous enough to detach themselves from their stomach, their greed, their plunder, their co-optation, their praetorian mindset, and espouse a selfless rational idealism rooted in realism and realpolitik wisdom du jour. If such persons do exist anywhere on this planet, and are not yet to be born, they will surely find not just the entire nation of 170 million behind them, but billions of peoples on this planet, including even the peace-loving from among the greatest “populist democracy” itself that is most assuredly “inimical to imperial mobilization”! When we artfully remove the fabricated 'threat' to their existence, they will surely also let us exist too!

But this must be executed upon before it is too late and we start witnessing the 'made in USA'
“Shock and Awe” visitation – choreographed by only a handful of monumental criminals who have continually hijacked the promise of that great nation in every generation – upon every city, town and village in our wretched nation in the pretext of ‘saving’ us from the ‘islamofascists’! And if that pretext fails to create a viable ‘coalition of the willing’, then to ‘save’ us from our own ‘Sadaam Hussein’. This is what the manifest history on ‘the Grand Chessboard’ has taught me. Who is flying the F-16s or which uniform is driving the armored vehicles will soon become irrelevant as the outcome will be the same!

Let's 'dump all teas overboard' now to save Pakistan!

If we succeed, we would have saved the world by setting a very contagious global precedent!

End Excerpt

Thank you for reading. I am offering you not just the recipe of commonsense, for all that I have stated above is nothing but commonsense, but also my free labor. I can set up for you many technical matters that require technological innovation, including a secure instant public referendum system. We shall endeavor together as a nation to throw the tea overboard.

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
California.
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Full Spectrum Alliances

Dateline California, Monday, September 3, 2018 06:00 pm | Updated Sep 4, 2018 04:00 pm

Dear Prime Minister of Pakistan, Mr. Imran Khan:

Continuing Project ReGenesis Pakistan from Part-4 in this Part-5 of my Open Letter.

Introduction: Full Spectrum Deterrence

Today I expand upon the necessity of the doctrine of Full Spectrum Deterrence to counter the doctrine of Full Spectrum Dominance on the Grand Chessboard. Atomic bombs do not create this deterrence. One cannot eat nuclear weapons to stave off hunger. Pakistan is even unable to use its nuclear arsenal to deter drone attacks on its own territories and the indiscriminate killings of its own innocent peoples from the air. Neither do nuclear weapons protect against fourth and fifth generation warfare which is fought from within to destroy nations from the inside; nor do nuclear weapons protect against economic warfare that is waged both from within with ineffective and corrupt governments brought to the helm who aid in the destruction of their own societies with calculated policies of ineptness, and from outside with economic sanctions.
We see the truth of these observations from the disintegration of the nuclear armed superpower of yesteryear, the USSR, to the democracy revolutions and insurgency vs. counter-insurgency warfare throughout the Middle East, the most recent being the disintegration of Syria, to Iran under economic sanctions weakening the state itself, to Pakistan being victim of its own mercenary elites who eagerly serve the massa.

Modern warfare is not merely armed to the teeth armies facing off each other in the battlefield in clearly identified uniforms so one can identify the enemy. That's *third generation warfare* in military terminology, now deemed too expensive and inefficient in superpower doctrines of global domination. It is deemed useful in only controlled engagements, and mainly against a defenseless foe where victory is not just assured as per the *Art of War*, but an endless engagement can be maintained for military and political purposes quite unrelated to the war itself. Afghanistan has been suffering third generation warfare for at least two generations now. Afghanistan is also the only society left on earth where such engagement can be organically sustained for as long as necessary.

It is no secret that today on the Grand Chessboard, modern warfare spans the full gamut of deceit, from the ubiquitous *war on terror* that lends the necessary pretexts, to the more debilitating *economic warfare* to force nations to heel, to the entirely beneath the surface destruction of societies and nations from within so that they lose not just their ability to defend themselves, but also their will.

The source of Pakistan's full spectrum dysfunction is fundamentally due to this unrecognized modern warfare imposed on it by the superpower du jour. Just as the Indian sub-continent had initially failed to recognize the war imposed on her by the East India Company, Pakistan too has continually failed to recognize the war imposed on her under the pillow-talk of the United States of America and its congeries of exporting American culture, American Aid, American bailouts, American payments for mercenary services rendered, American NGOs, American think-tanks, the World Bank, IMF, ADB, the UN, WTO, Globalization, Free Trade; all Trojan horse instruments for exercising hegemony by the United States. These instruments are not much different in purpose than the singular East India Company's role in simpler times for sustaining the British Empire over her primitive colonies for hundreds of years.

This is not called modernity. This is called naked primacy, naked aggression, naked enslavement of resource-rich nations no different in purpose than under colonial rule. Today it is only disguised as modernity. It is even sold and packaged to our respectable noble elites thusly:

"Today's Uncle Tom doesn't wear a handkerchief on his head. This modern, twentieth-century Uncle Thomas now often wears a top hat. He's usually well-dressed and well-educated. He's often the personification of culture and refinement. The twentieth-century Uncle Thomas sometimes speaks with a Yale or Harvard accent. Sometimes he is known as Professor, Doctor, Judge, and Reverend, even Right Reverend Doctor. **This twentieth-century Uncle Thomas is a professional Negro ... by that I mean his profession is being a Negro for the white man.**"


"The white establishment is skilled in flattering and cultivating emerging"
leaders. It presses its own image on them and finally, from imitation of manners, dress, and style of living, a deeper strain of corruption develops. This kind of Negro leader acquires the white man’s contempt for the ordinary Negro. He is often more at home with the middle-class white than he is among his own people. His language changes, his location changes, his income changes, and ultimately he changes from the representative of the Negro to the white man into the white man’s representative to the Negro. The tragedy is that too often he does not recognize what has happened to him.

--- Martin Luther King Jr., A Testament of Hope, page 307

The utter contempt and disdain in which the modern massa holds its Uncle Tom is not a state secret either:

'A lot has been said and written by some of our American friends about the price of a Pakistani. Dr. Andrew V. Corry, US Counsel General at Lahore, once said, “Price of a Pakistani oscillates between a free trip to the US and a bottle of whisky.” He may not be too far wrong. We did observe some highly placed Pakistanis selling their conscience, prestige, dignity and self-respect for a small price.'

--- Brig. Tirmazi, Profiles of Intelligence, Ch 3, page 45

That's why we are here --- our ineffective governments and our national obsession with systemic corruption are merely the effects. Not the first cause.

To defend against this full spectrum warfare requires far more courage, wherewithal, self-respect, dignity, political and intellectual sophistication than is common to the Uncle Tom geniuses of Pakistan.

First and foremost, it requires recognizing that Pakistan is in fact under active undeclared fourth and fifth gen warfare on the Grand Chessboard. Otherwise she can never fully comprehend what's happening to her due to misdiagnosis.

It also requires recognizing that this war cannot be fought by a slave state suffering the Stockholm syndrome (seeking help from one's own jailor). Otherwise, like the half-doctor forever putting Band-Aids on cancer patients and feeling satisfied with his treatment plan despite the patient dying, even the honest Uncle Tom leader can forever chase effects and die holily in bed with the nation still laboring under the jackboots of the massa.

***

Do you, Mr. Prime Minister, have the courage of your convictions to face the grotesque reality of the Grand Chessboard outlined in the Introduction above? Are you a visionary leader who can think at multiple levels simultaneously?

I am going to take a giant leap of faith and assume that your Pathan blood is a lot thicker than
water, and your mind far sharper than your own heroes who founded Pakistan, first in intellectual thought, and subsequently in political activism. As the late iconoclast intellect of Pakistan, Ardeshir Cowasjee, once wrote to me as I endeavored to explain to him our national myths: *Now that we are here, what can we do?*

What can we do indeed?

I am going to take a giant leap of faith and assume that you actually wish to do something about our pawn status that forces us to always be out with the begging bowl through Machiavellian debt enslavement, and we end up providing prostitution services to the massa in return. I am going to presume that in your heart of hearts, you wish to stop our macro abuse by the hectoring hegemons, those who install puppets and ineffective governments to service their needs. And I am also going to presume, perhaps gratuitously, that while your sentiments are in the right place, you don't quite know intellectually how to go about it principally because you are surrounded by *Uncle Toms* and *House Niggers*. Habitual slaves, Mr. Prime Minister, weaned on the crumbs falling from the massa's table, cannot be counted on to lend advice of seeking liberty from the massa.

I am sorry but I see you only surrounded by *House Niggers*. If you wish to know what that term means, please visit Malcolm X. I documented our national acumen among our brightest minds in the study of modern mental colonization: *FAQ What is an Intellectual Negro?*. To my observation, the term *House Nigger* applies to virtually all notable members of your cabinet, economic advisors, military advisors, political allies, parliament, judiciary, and to our English enabled elitist culture in general. If you are hesitant in accepting that observation and demand facts, please see my 2007 *Open Letter to the Chief of Pakistan's military*, and my January 25, 2018 *Open Letter to the Chief of Pakistan's judiciary*. The singular challenge to our nation to think beyond the massa's largesses cuts through all our national institutions, and all our rank and file, not just our elites.

Is it a closely guarded state secret Mr. Prime Minister that most of us are born into mental captivity in Pakistan, are easily co-opted into serving the massa one way or another the moment we step into adulthood, often under the pretext of earning an honest livelihood when we are honest, and serving our own narrow self-interests as mercenaries when we are not so honest? And that we die celebrating the massa and his civilization, proudly bequeathing this legacy of mental servitude to the next generation year after year? Haven't our best minds been reduced to not even being able to recognize that fact anymore? These best minds on your team Mr. Prime Minister, from whom you seek advice on how to run the affairs of the state, are infected with this very cross around their neck.

However, I am certain Mr. Prime Minister, that this abominable *plague from the West* no longer infects your mind. That you have succeeded in freeing yourself from the shackles of *brown sahib* mentality which Lord Macaulay diabolically crafted for us in 1835 with the following policy prescription before the British Parliament:

“We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern, --a class of persons Indian in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals and in intellect.”

This admittedly Pollyannaish belief in you Mr. Prime Minister, is the principal motivation behind these series of bold and candid Open Letters to you. I do not know if you will ever be made aware of them, let alone read them. I do what I can with my lonely pen without power. But you have
power, and will soon become powerless in power, unless you perceptively come to understand the
diabolical forces that have made Pakistan the basket case nation that it is today. These forces are not
about to lay down their arms just because an honest man has become the Prime Minister of their
golden goose.

Mr. Prime Minister, survival on the Grand Chessboard is not the gentlemanly game of cricket
that you learned from the English massa while they diabolically enslaved us for over two hundred
years in the most un gentlemanly game of colonization.

You cannot compete with their legatees du jour with the coterie of nincompoops that you have
surrounded yourself with. You cannot win this game with a weak team that has no intellectual capacity
to break the shackles of servitude, that spins on a dime, and the best among whom are either idealist
dreamers like you thinking this is a game of cricket and we shall prevail, or belong to the same feudal
class of mercenaries that is dependent on the massa's favors for their own survival.

But, if you stop thinking of your self-ascribed divine mandate as the game of cricket, and
instead pick up on the Art of War, we, we the people, and we the nation, with the same crop of
peoples, have a fighting chance.

That's what great leaders are made of, and I can already sense that you aspire to be such a
leader who can light the fire in the mind of man; who can inspire us to rise beyond our limitations. It is
because of this belief that I have tentatively accepted your explanation that you have to play with the
team that you have got.

As the one who forged liberty for the United States of America, Patrick Henry, pleaded with his
own selfish and generally comatose elites not much different than ours some 243 years ago:

'There is no longer any room for hope. If we wish to be free--if we mean to
preserve inviolate those inestimable privileges for which we have been so long
contending--if we mean not basely to abandon the noble struggle in which we
have been so long engaged, and which we have pledged ourselves never to
abandon until the glorious object of our contest shall be obtained--we must
fight!

I repeat it, sir, we must fight! An appeal to arms and to the God of hosts is all
that is left us!

They tell us, sir, that we are weak; unable to cope with so formidable an
adversary. But when shall we be stronger?

Will it be the next week, or the next year?

Will it be when we are totally disarmed, and when a Xe guard shall be stationed
in every house? Shall we gather strength but irresolution and inaction?

Shall we acquire the means of effectual resistance by lying supinely on our
backs and hugging the delusive phantom of hope, until our enemies shall have
bound us hand and foot?

Sir, we are not weak if we make a proper use of those means which the God of
nature hath placed in our power. The millions of people, armed in the holy
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cause of liberty, and in such a country as that which we possess, are invincible by any force which our enemy can send against us.

Besides, sir, we shall not fight our battles alone. There is a just God who presides over the destinies of nations, and who will raise up friends to fight our battles for us.

The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, the active, the brave. ...

There is no retreat but in submission and slavery! Our chains are forged! Their clanking may be heard on the plains of Baluchistan to the mountains of the Tribal Belt!

The war is inevitable--and let it come! I repeat it, sir, let it come.

It is in vain, sir, to extenuate the matter. Gentlemen may cry, Peace, Peace--but there is no peace.

The war is actually begun!

The next gale that sweeps from the north will bring to our ears the clash of resounding arms!

Our brethren are already in the field! Why stand we here idle? What is it that gentlemen wish? What would they have?

Is life so dear, or peace so sweet, as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery?

Forbid it, Almighty God!

I know not what course others may take; but as for me, give me liberty or give me death!

--- Adapted from Give Me Liberty Or Give Me Death,
Patrick Henry, March 23, 1775.

Please permit me to show you how to break the chains of servitude. Albeit, only as a field nigger, a common man who, like Malcolm X, while having lived most of his adult life in the United States, utilized her vast libraries, read her best minds, observed her worst statecraft, and has come away unimpressed by her divine la mission civilisatrice, her ongoing white man's burden. You might remember Mr. Prime Minister, that your own hero whom you cite often and give credit for your Islamic metanoia, “Sir” Allama Iqbal, does have the imperial title “Sir” attached to his name. Perhaps you have forgotten what it meant to be anointed the Knight of the British Empire and for rendering what kind of services to the Crown! Like the rest of Pakistani intelligentsia and our virtuous pulpits, you too claim to be divinely inspired by “Sir” Allama Iqbal's pious words. And like everyone else, you too have evidently paid scant attention to his actual un pious acts, of both commission and omission, in support of his own massa. I have analyzed these facts and observations in: Allama Iqbal - marde-momin or superman? I invite you to scrutinize it with the emotional detachment necessary to overcome national myths and sacred mythologies that public heroes often come wrapped in.
National myths are easily busted with even an iota of emotional detachment only if learning the real truth of any matter is important. In my report: The UK Indian Independence Act, 1947, 18th July 1947 - Discovering The “Divine Destiny” of Pakistan, I bust the predominant myth behind the construction of Pakistan. The reality of fait accompli of history however, now pragmatically demands what Cowasjee hath said: Now that we are here, what can we do. That is the important matter today; not holding on to sacred myths on how we came about which easily indoctrinate us into false beliefs. These only lead us astray. You have publicly stated your mission to be to craft Medina-like welfare state in “naya Pakistan” based upon the eternal egalitarian principles our beloved Prophet employed fourteen centuries ago. But you become a victim of your own myth-making when you conveniently forget that the Medina of the Prophet of Islam was forged in the blood of the noble martyrs of Islam, directly fighting the onslaught of the powers of the time for ten long years in order to be able to implement those very principles! It was not a free lunch. Your selective story-telling is disingenuous. To create Medina in Pakistan, you cannot ignore the gods living in the Pakistani mind.

I don't make that mistake Mr. Prime Minister. And I won't judge you by your platitudinous words of “naya Pakistan”. As they say in the massa's language: the proof of the pudding is in its eating. When we take everything else from the massa, let's dare to take some of their own wisdom which affords them, under one pretext or another, the license to exercise Full Spectrum Primacy over us. The massa ubermensch race is united in their Anglo-Saxon burden of exercising global primacy through Full Spectrum Dominance.

So, what shall we, the untermensch races and nations, do in a world that is often referred to as the global village in which superpowers forge economic and military alliances among their own kind for controlling and harvesting the rest of us, by cunningly keeping us disunited even with our own neighbors?

In a nutshell, our antidote from their own book of wisdom should be: Self-defence through Full Spectrum Alliance.

Full spectrum alliances with all nations who share the same predicament as ours, beginning with our beleaguered immediate neighbors with whom we share a history, culture, civilization, and extending to nations with whom we share our geography, and beyond to all nations on earth across continents with whom we share the same predicament of being targets of primacy through what has euphemistically come to be known as international relations. This is nothing but the legalized imposition of predatory policies upon the world which aid and favor the developed nations of the world, particularly the Global North, and specifically, the United States of America. The primacy is not even thinly disguised. But slaves can only see no evil, hear no evil, and speak no evil. The free man is different, much different. So is a free nation. I am excited that for the first time in the history of our nation, supposedly a free man has come to power in Pakistan. A free nation will surely follow.

The seeds of this pragmatic political wisdom of uniting with other victims of the same hectoring hegemons politically, militarily, as trading partners, as economic partners, as currency partners, as resource sharing partners, etceteras, were planted in Part-4. I continue in this Part-5 examining why uniting in Full Spectrum Alliances is the only commonsense path to deter the quest for Full Spectrum Dominance. That path is so obvious as to be self-evident. And it not actually transpiring as the common shared national interest of the victim nations is only a divine mystery until one acquires the courage of one's convictions. The peoples of the United States once did. What are we
The following is excerpted respectively from my August 09, 2007 missive: The Missing Link To Full Spectrum Dominance – Full Spectrum Deterrence, and my May 15, 2008 Press Release: The Only Solution to Avoid Total War – Full Spectrum Alliances in ASIA.
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Begin Excerpt

The plebeians of course well understand that they are being made a meal, and why, but not the complexities of how the tangled web of competing interests and deception games on the Grand Chessboard, and the systematic local covert-ops and co-optations in their own backyards, are gradually doing it to them such that even the right of self-defense is being stripped from them. Since they are unable to diagnose the mechanics of their being made a meal with any degree of precision, they are also unable to come up with efficacious antidotes in their own self-defense even as they are being deftly carved up and served on the platter of neoliberalism and neoconservatism. Most invariably end up fatalistically lamenting on this and that cleverly planted red herrings and contrived puppet shows in synchronous harmony with their drum-beating ruling elite, while also realizing fully well that all the dazzling and exploding effects eventually only lead to the same 'pre-ordained' destination of servitude.

As an investigative and independent free-lance journalist, I often talk to the ordinary 'taxi driver', i.e., common man, of the Global South, primarily in my native country.

Many of them easily observe what is manifest for all rational plebeian peoples to see even in the Global North (which the latter inexplicably don't seem to notice), that how the nations of the Global North are continually uniting among themselves into larger administrative, collaborative, and security entities, but the Global South is deliberately being made to divide in all sorts of ways even further. That 'divide and conquer' is at play on their shores is visible to all and sundry, and even when they may not be able to articulate it as elegantly as some erudite hectoring hegemons in the West who seek its vainglorious justification, as in “Hegemony is as old as mankind” [3], they surely recognize it trivially, being on its receiving end.

Even the 'uneducated' but surprisingly astute taxi driver in the developing nations of the Global South insightfully observes that even in their own minimal self-defence, China and Russia are unable to draw into a 'full spectrum alliance' when each of these two burgeoning superpowers can clearly
perceive that the Global North led by the sole superpower hectoring hegemon is out for “full spectrum dominance” [4]. And the taxi driver knows that both the intended victims on the Grand Chessboard can quite comprehend that the ‘great game’ is being replayed in Central Asia and the Middle East at the indigenous peoples' expense and that neither is being fooled by the 'global war on terror' mantra. Thus, the plebeian surmises, both must surely also be well aware that their anemic and guarded attempts at SCO collaboration that falls far short of the 'full spectrum alliance' necessary to thwart the 'great game' of “full spectrum dominance” being wrecked upon all of “space, sea, land, air, and information” [5] by the top 'baboon' du jour, is merely a toothless and ineffectual show-dog sentry.

Any ordinary person in the street in the impoverished Global South - the general sympathies among whom for the enormous wealth and power controlled by the Global North historically always being in the negative, and now rapidly approaching its nadir with the rise of the new 'Mandarins' heralding in the elite-favored and elite-enabled 'New World Order' - knows that for instance, the pending American-Israeli invasion plan for the destruction of a defenseless Iran can be trivially thwarted by a full spectrum NATO-EU like security-and-trade alliance immediately emerging within the SCO, with India, Pakistan, and Iran being made full time mutual-defense-pact treaty members to safeguard the Asian Continent homeland from all external marauders. It is rather commonsensical to fathom that further extending such 'Treaties' and 'Unions' among similarly fated nations of South America and even Africa can create a relatively stable “full spectrum deterrence” all across the Global South.

This is the most obvious and immediate self-defense-and-trading-partners pact among the 'lowly', which despite the doctrine of naked preemptive aggression of nuclear first-strike preeminence claimed by the 'baboons' du jour, and which is also jingoistically projected to target Russia and China [6], no external economic sanctions and saber-rattling by the “hectoring hegemons” can subvert and co-opt without a full scale 'Armageddon' in which all manifestly lose! Even the realpolitik hegemons don't want 'Armageddon' – the tortuous notion is entirely for the feeble minded among them to keep them geopolitically motivated for “imperial mobilization”!

As in any power-posturing and bluffing in uber-realist Chess and Poker on the Grand Chessboard, there are many standoffs and postures, alliances and treaties, that can lead to a credible and manageable 'MAD' like conclusive détente of equitable peace and relative security (as during the Cold War) even today.

And this can easily transpire despite the somewhat 'lowly' status of the Russian-Chinese economies and their perceived military under-preparedness compared to the Hectoring Hegemons'. The fantastic interdependence of the global economies and the fluidity of global finance alone can become primal guarantors of peace if the 'checkmating' moves are judiciously and collaboratively played! When a superpower's lifelines become global trade, world stock markets and offshore manufacture, and its national debt is held by others, it also becomes its Achilles heal.

Thus it is profound shortsightedness to only include military prowess, and GDP and domestic spending based econometric dominance in the calculus of détente. Economic and resource vulnerability under Globalization are just as essential pieces on the Grand Chessboard. While the 'Hectoring Hegemons' spearheading the Global North's hegemony over the Global South seem to realize this acutely as they form Unions and Alliances and put up global military bases across the 'Arc of Crises' (as of 2004 more than 700 [7]), to construct the global supermarket for a neo-liberal “fast
[food] world” [8], the resource rich and yet criminally impoverished nations of the South do not seem to be able to capitalize on this god-sent serendipitous asset already sitting in their pathetically divided laps.

It is so incredibly bizarre that it should occur to the commonest of commoner taxi-driver to ask the obvious question: 'what right has god granted to the West to come pillaging in our lands and dictate to us how we should live or govern ourselves', when it doesn't seem to occur to his ruling elite in their policy planning calculus, and who continually seem to bow and scrape to just a single phone call from their Masters du jour. It is indeed heartening to hear the plebeians' unconstrained imagination soar with various self-defense scenarios to safeguard ones' independence and self-determination – but only after one has plied them with a hearty meal in dignified settings and got them to open up a window into their genuine plebeian thoughts – that is bar none. The uneducated common man in his moralist and freedom-aspiring flights of fancy, can easily give the best of Hollywood script writers a run for their money (who, it is rumored, often do create the 'reality' of war-game scenarios for the Pentagon that are not just limited to publicly viewable blockbusters like *Dr. Strangelove*).

Unfortunately, these lofty thoughts for freedom from injustices and hegemony in the quest for a more equitable distribution of the world's wealth with liberty afforded to all human beings to pursue the pleasures of life and happiness - yes even those in the Global South - are still only flights of fancy because morality presumably died with Prophet Moses, or perhaps it was stillborn. When was the last time that the glorious Ten Commandments was the basis of any nation's foreign policy? In fact, the only nation that any fair student of political science and political history can even point to in the accurately recorded annals of history as being based entirely upon the 'Law Givers' own moral teachings, is what the Prophet of Islam founded in Medina 1400 years ago and ruled the new nation-state of Islam for 10 years until his death.

Unfortunately, its universally acknowledged moral temper too died with the Prophet of Islam, the meritorious spread of Islam after the Prophet's demise and its global preeminence for 700 years notwithstanding. Lots of empires have flourished over the ages, morality being quite orthogonal to their emergence and dominance. Hence global preeminence and dominant civilization as an 'empire' is not a prima facie evidence of its morality and zenith of humanity in its relation to other 'lesser' nations anymore for Muslims' rise during the European 'Dark Ages', than it is of America's rise today as a global superpower during the Muslims' and the Global South's 'Dark Age'.

All rulers have generally ruled with the 'ubermensch' “might makes right” political philosophy with rare exceptions, and all struggles for freedom from their respective 'la mission civilisatrice' du jour have been waged only by peoples and nations of unflinching courage and determination who unequivocally refused to accept the suzerainty of any 'Hectoring Hegemons' upon them. Such is the amazing unforgettable history of mankind, right alongside the ruling elite's “hegemony is old as mankind.”

One wonders then, that when such 'flights of fancy' scenarios for self-defense to acquire and retain full sovereignty and genuine independence - a never ending struggle as old as mankind - can occur even to the lowly 'taxi-driver' and is the documented history of the world, they must surely also occur to the burgeoning Asian powers, to the smaller surrounding nations, and certainly to the vastly more seasoned and sophisticated policy planners and strategic thinkers inhabiting their august corridors of power.
So then, the ordinary plebeian 'taxi-driver' – quite representative of the ordinary masses with street smarts – rightly ponders, why is such collectivist self-defense not occurring?

The astute plebeian is unfortunately not privy to the corridors of power and cannot comprehend the mechanics of how its own ruling elite is repeatedly coerced and co-opted into becoming so impotent that they are unable to enter into any sort of “full spectrum” deterrence alliance either among themselves, or with their immediate geographical neighbors with whom the beleaguered nations share borders and cultures, or in conjunction with the bigger minnows even if at a distance, no matter how corrupted and self-serving the ruling might be.

Shouldn't rational self-defense trump unbridled greed, he asks? Only the obvious moral truisms and commonsense is within his limited ken and purview, not the Machiavellian obfuscation and deceptions surrounding the mechanics of power-plays as he does not posses either the intellectual tools nor the time to unravel them.

So he can unbeguilingly only observe that even the murderous gangster Mafiosos are wont to make alliances to protect their territories from outside invaders! He can trivially only ask what has happened to courage, to self-respect, to self-determination, to chutzpah? Has it all been hogged by the defenseless Iran and Venezuela? And he can only emotionally lament that the ruling elite in the rest of Global South seems to be walking on its knees and entirely co-opted one way or another.

But he cannot comprehend what makes such acquiescence to hectoring hegemon happen when many clear paths of alliances and mutual cooperation are plainly visible to all and sundry despite the variegated fog of contrived enmities and competing geopolitical interests?

If a EU can transpire, and a NU is in the clandestine offing, what prevents an AU from transpiring? Surely when the house is on fire, all must unite to put out the fire before arguing about domestic matters?

Unless of course, he conjectures, the ruling elite have become the fifth columnist for empire and are indeed the arsonists in the fire brigade! Such is the profound intelligence as well as the ineptitude of the common man for he simply cannot fathom the mechanics of how such cowardly co-option to the hectoring hegemons is continually brought to fruition.

What is Alice in Wonderland dream state, what is Hollywood-like contrived reality, and what is the naked truth of the matter when Alice is awake – the distinction is now so blurred between the insanity of “you are with us or with the terrorists” and the extremes of 'off with the head' and real “shock and awe” elocution of the Queen to the synchronized singing of “United We Stand” at the absurd Unbirthday Party of the Mad Hatter – that the ordinary man in the street is unable to comprehend how such co-option into giving up one's own rational and commonsensical self-defense against the hegemons du jour is being deftly orchestrated through the complex wheeling-dealing of real world power-plays to which he is not privy.

Thus it appears to him, if he is among the one billion+ Muslims for instance who are presently bearing the full brunt of this fiction of 'war on terror', that the West is genuinely against Islam and is waging a real war against this lofty religion of a billion plus peoples to conquer Muslim lands. The goal is appreciated correctly, not the devious mechanism employed to achieve it. While he quite understands that this 'global war on terror' is fake, he fails to comprehend the real mechanics of how it is deliberately crafted with covert-ops and black-ops a plenty to actually provoke its natural auto-pilot
sustainment into existence for a lifetime - because that is what the hectoring hegemons have publicly proclaimed it will take to achieve “full spectrum dominance” through a “World War IV”.

And he therefore fails to perceive that 'militant Islam' is merely the well managed patsy du jour, that it is not a happenstance consequence due to 'blow-back' or the so called 'triumphalism' of 'Islam the religion' as the veritable neo-con and closet-Straussian Bernard Lewis would like the world to believe, but a deliberate pre-meditated contrivance ab-initio that originated in the Orwellian minds of the hectoring hegemons decades ago.

The latter are, after all, inimitable masters of psyops and sociological control with a profound understanding of “doctrinal motivations” and how to mobilize an unwilling largely ignorant Western public for conquest; as the hectoring hegemons believe (rightfully or not) that their well fed “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization” and requires a constant and believable danger as the 'scare-crow' to motivate its 'populist democracy' into continually accepting shedding their young blood for the absurd doctrine of “preemptive self defense” in far off lands.

Thus, in a nutshell, the plebeians of the world well know that 'uniting' is the key principle that is required for any self-defense, it is not that profound. What they don't understand is how and why it is not transpiring; what red herrings and covert-ops and co-optations and “techniques of infamy” are systematically disenabling them of natural unity that is normally borne of a shared plight, especially given the plethora of other natural conducive factors such as geographical proximity, cultural, and long-historical affiliations?
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One must however, if one has the geopolitical acumen to understand the diabolical “forces that drive them”, not count on the efficacy of such dissent to avert any of the catastrophic scenarios, including a staged 'Gulf of Tonkin', or a staged nuclear terrorist act within the United States, or an Israeli attack on Iran, or an escalating Israeli war in Lebanon and Syria, all of which can lead to “'defensive' US military action against Iran [and Pakistan]”.

The Iranian and other Asian leaders would be wise to not underestimate the tortuous power of the 'Dark-Side' that is attempting to seed Total War in Asia using America as the 'hired hand'.

Rather than continually dismiss the tortuous aspirations for global domination as lunacy, or mis-guided, it would be prudent to treat them as devilishly real doctrines of conquest by a handful of the world's ruling elite partnering together in a twisted convolution of mutual interests – never to be underestimated for its destructive power upon the weak. Such an assessment, based on full spectrum comprehension of reality, permits the construction of the only effective self-defense by the weak. Why
such a self-defense is not transpiring is a puzzle that defies explanation.

That deterrent solution-space, according to Project Humanbeingsfirst, is only in the forming of NATO-like Full Spectrum Alliances with an effective “Dr. Strangelove” type Samson Option that is publicly targeted at Israel and Western Europe as a declared self-defense nuclear doctrine, to construct the only viable and effective Deterrence: MAD (Mutually Assured Destruction)!

Only in the insanity of its “sublime irony”, wherein, in the immortal words of Winston Churchill, “safety [is] the sturdy child of terror and survival the twin brother of annihilation”, can there be any assured prospects of defeating the war-mongers before they hand the world a nuclear fait accompli.

End Excerpt

Thank you for reading. I am offering you not just the recipe of commonsense, for all that I have stated above is nothing but commonsense, but also my free labor. You are surrounded by Westoxified mentally colonized slaves. If you seek advice from cowards, you shall become one. Cowardly nations can never be free. This isn't cricket dear Prime Minister. This is the practice of the Art of War in order to put our nation on the right path. It needs people of courage who can think outside the box; not enslaved minds whose first thought is to seek bailout from the IMF lamenting “we have no other choice”.

Beggars shall never be free when they continue to dream of American Aid. The recipients of this Trojan horse of modernity are forever forced into prostitution by the massa. If you will not understand that simple calculus, I have wasted my time. Yet, I continue to hope that we shall endeavor together as a nation to throw the tea overboard. I would like to experience “naya Pakistan” in my own lifetime.

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
California.
Part-VI

My Naya Pakistan

Monday January 14, 2019

The answer for what is My Naya Pakistan, is in two parts: Domestic and International.

- **Domestic:** New egalitarian social contract in a restructured state that separates religion from state.*
- **International:** Throw Tea Overboard in Full Spectrum Alliances to deter Full Spectrum Dominance; to live like a lion among wolves!

There are many talented and popular minds in Pakistan and in the world who most eloquently speak to the former. I, Zahir Ebrahim, an ordinary common man, non-expert in all things, commonsensically speak to the latter since it is ignored by virtually everyone among the who's who. The cost to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness is severely increased in its pursuit. Whereas, filling the airwaves with all things secular wins many accolades. Maligning Islam, distorting Islam, and pitching Secular Humanism are often the surest shortcut to empire's good graces and cheap popularity.

It takes a *diwana*, like the moth drawn to fire, to write what I have written in my [Open Letter to Imran Khan](#). The celebrity Prime Minister of Pakistan, popularly known to many of his detractors as “*Im the Dim*” (short for Imran the Dimwit), and to his partisans as “*honest engine pulling a decrypt train of used bogeys*” (oft repeated phraseology of his railway minister), is now into completing the second trimester of birth-paging his vision of 'naya Pakistan'. In my earlier common man's observation, before Imran Khan became the Prime Minister of Pakistan, I feared that he was a passionate *useful idiot* of empire, unable to understand the Machiavellian script of which he had become an unwitting part due to his overzealousness, harboring mostly foolhardy ideas of reform akin to putting *lipstick on a pig*. Imran Khan in power could potentially be as dangerous to Pakistan as only a heaven-seeking idealist with a suicide jacket can ever be – for he was perceived as Mr. Clean. I have
always feared that a *useful idiot jihadi* might do far more damage to the nation than shrewd mercenaries seeking pecuniary gain could, as had been the trend among Pakistan's previous rulers whose interest in self-preservation also preserved Pakistan as the *golden goose*.

However, upon hearing his first televised public address to the Pakistani nation as its new Prime Minister, I decided to give Imran Khan the benefit of considerable doubts. After all, how much harm could a “dimwit” really do with the establishment really running the country from behind its varied puppetshows, and all his fingers nowhere near any controls that the establishment themselves did not control? And, I imagined, Imran Khan might just surprise the lot of them with the *djinns* (supernatural forces brought to his team by his newlywed spiritual wife and divine guide) now on his side. So, I wrote Imran Khan a series of *Open Letters* over the past five months. This was *Letter Five*, showing the Prime Minister of Pakistan, as just an ordinary common man not entirely bereft of commonsense, what to do now that Pakistan's original *birth-pang* in the blood of millions of *human units* is already a seventy year old fait accompli. Now that we are here and cannot undo history, how to steer the ship of state away from its pawn status on the Grand Chessboard in order to alter that very trajectory of history.

For, without extricating Pakistan from the international stormy waters of Grandmaster plays in common man's blood, the ill conceived ship of Pakistan can never reach the safety of its home shores. That is a statement of fact. A slave-state shall remain a slave-state until the chains of servitude are broken. A beggar-state fattened on the largesse of corrupting foreign aid and mercenary handouts can hardly ever be empowered to break those chains --- lip-services aside. Despite the dismal performance since coming to power of the anemic engine trying to pull the heavily loaded decrypt train of nincompoops uphill with nothing but “*I think I can I think I can I think I can*” (The Little Engine That Could --- children's story), I continue to be Pollyannaish in reposing faith in the honest man at the helm who, unfortunately, also appears to be a lot less prepared to be the captain of state despite two decades of struggling for that position, than he was as captain of its cricket team. I continue to repose faith in Imran Khan that he has some purpose in mind for coming to power other than the *nasha* (intoxication) of power, and that his utterances in his first speech to the nation is in fact his real purpose for coming to power; that it was not merely a *show and tell* of what the public wanted to hear with no substance behind it.

I continue to believe, perhaps naively, that any honest human being of immeasurable courage and boldness coming to power, even if he or she does not have all the expertise to run the ship of state, can still acquire the right expertise if he can selflessly inspire those with the requisite skills and determination to join him. Meaning, being a leader of men (and women), is distinct from being competent in the various fields of endeavors of man. I continue to believe that despite many false starts, that Imran Khan's real strength is in ultimately being a leader who can inspire a team. Surely, braver and competent faces with a tad more humility and poise, will come to the fore after the *kachra bogies* have been weeded out from the existing team; faces who are unafraid of speaking truth to power, and to the people, regardless of the bowl of *hemlock* waiting in the wings. Faces who know from whence we came, minus all the national myths drummed into us from birth, and who know what needs to be done in order to get to where Pakistan must go domestically in order to be of genuine service to all its sons and daughters of the soil, instead of merely servicing them as it has been doing since its inception. Faces that are not continually begging tyrants and murderers for handouts with outstretched bowls, nor are crooks seeking pecuniary gain, nor stupid simpletons to make *useful idiots*...
easily. Faces that surely must exist in every nation, including Pakistan! This is to seek them out. Where art thou?

The fact that Pakistanis continue to survive despite all the servicing we have received over the past seventy years, is both surprising and heartening. I wisely choose to hide my deeper primordial fears at this time that Imran Khan is the Machiavellian intermediate step diabolically brought to power in all his public ineptness only to legitimize bringing back a military-style dictatorship government in 2019 after demonstrating to the world, and to the worn out Pakistanis by then left completely bereft of hope, the spectacular failure of Pakistan's experimentation with “democracy”.

Footnote

* A précis of what makes this separation of religion and state a categorical imperative for a pluralistic, multi-ethnic, multi-cultural, multi-sect, wholly mongrel heterogeneous country like Pakistan arbitrarily carved out on the Grand Chessboard, is in my open letter to Naya Pakistan Makers. Its existential necessity is self-evident. This separation, by the necessity of reality of hard facts on the ground, shall not automatically imply “secular” nor any of the labels used by the West to market its “democracy” to the East. It only means giving space to all who live in that nation without the supremacy of any group upon others just because of their demographic numbers or their degree of bloated self-righteousness, i.e., “democracy”. Even 99% residents of the state sharing commonly held beliefs must not be able to dictate the political rights to 1% who might not share in those beliefs. That's called a Constitutional Republic. A sensible and evidentiary discussion of fundamental moral themes and evergreen wisdom guidelines borrowed from Divine Religion to base an egalitarian social contract, egalitarian national constitution, egalitarian domestic and foreign policy principles, and egalitarian international Treaties and Conventions in a predatory world in which primacy is exercised by legal cunning, is in my report Path Forward: Impacting Muslim Existence with Qur'anic Political Science.
Part-VII

Why I am Opposed to the White Portion of Pakistan's flag (the notion of minorities) - It Should be All Green!

To Naya Pakistan Makers

Tuesday, April 30, 2019

If Pakistan was exclusively only occupied by one group of people who commonly believed in the divinity of their own theological and political dispensation just as fervently as Zionists do, whatever the theological, sectarian or tribal inclination might be, then, and only then, just like the Zionists living in the 'land without a people for a people without land' (were that a fact), the Pakistanis too would have had every right to construct their own divine nation's exclusive constitution, exceptional laws, and theological and political dispensation any which way they liked.

As a thought experiment, Pakistanis could then have even chosen to be surrounded by 18 feet high electrified fences around their entire geography to keep all outsiders from polluting their sacred theology and sacred geography just like the Zionists prefer it. Today, Pakistanis are merely fencing their borders for keeping out 'terrorists', but that definition can be evolved easily to keep out all those undesired by the *uber alles* (German word for the chosen ones who deem themselves exceptional and above all others in their rights).

And, per chance, if there was only such group left on the entire earth, these remnants of civilizations long lost could believe and do absolutely whatever they wanted irrespective of who else was outside their dominion (there'd obviously be no one else). Soon they'd start differentiating among
themselves on other rubrics, and before long, they'd have all killed off each other as the undesirables, leaving only one man or woman standing (if at all). In either case, that'd be the end of mankind. The notion of separation therefore, in every social Darwinian sense, and in the limit of philosophical reasoning, leads to the extinction of the species.

Fortunately, for the Zionists occupying the Holy Lands, and for the people of Pakistan also occupying their own holy land, there are many peoples of differing beliefs and political inclinations who not only live in these two divine territories on earth, but are also their neighbors in an endless loop of interdependence that reaches over the entire earth's population. Allah, and Nature, have given mankind the natural ability to survive and prosper only due to this diversity.

The Zionists however have been trying their level best to undo nature. They have been attempting to cleanse their holy land by exterminating all those unlike them, and when they are being merciful, by forcing resettlement of the indigenous natives and the undesirables to territories outside of Eretz Yisrael. They are only repulsed in their efforts by the non-cooperation of the natives who stubbornly resist the divine gift of their birthplace to the god's chosen peoples.

But Pakistan? Which group should be the chosen equivalent from among its myriad peoples, ethnicities, sects, tribes?

Ergo, since none of us are like the Zionists, thankfully, we must all live together in equal status.

For, if that exclusivity and exceptionalism is permitted to any one group in any pluralistic society, and specifically in Pakistan, then, by the law of nature, those with the bigger guns, or bigger population, or higher predatory survival instincts, would naturally prevail, making that exercise in primacy no different than the Zionists' claim to exclusivity of the holy land by the law of the jungle and social Darwinianism: might makes right.

Minimally, this Darwinian dispensation makes a mockery of any and all claims to divinity. And with even a slight change in power calculus, the guns of primacy are easily re-pointed in their own direction. Power is a most fickle-minded beloved indeed. Like the bee, it moves from flower to flower based on season. This is just the empirical lesson of history. And egalitarianism in diversity appears to be a faithful and immutable law for the survival of civilization.

So, in order to not be like the Zionists hell-bent on national suicide, what's the best way to avoid exceptionalism such that none enjoy higher status based on theology, race, ethnicity, or tribe, nor exercise exclusivity upon another on any political or apolitical mantra?

In a letter I explained it thusly:

Begin Excerpt

As you already know and is necessary to state here for completeness, not just many different types of Muslim people, Muslim sects, and racial and ethnic and cultural divides exist in Pakistan, just like in India, each with their own understanding of what is Islam, but also a substantial non Muslim minority also exists in Pakistan --- the white portion of Pakistan's flag. Like yourself, I find this rather discordant with the times. One size antediluvian Islamic Sharia laws (derived 1200 years ago by men now long dead, as you also continually point out to your own fawning flock of secular humanists in both India and Canada), cannot, and do not, suit all Muslim sects today, let alone people of other
religions living in that state, and which, by definition, lead to discrimination based on belief system. The band-aid for this in our subcontinent is the still existent Personal Law invented by the British colonizers for ease of colonial governance of a pluralistic multicultural society. Its significance of course is more pertinent in India today than in Pakistan where its Constitution is mostly "Sunni Islamic". Whereas, my understanding is that their respective Personal Law governs Muslims and other minorities in India, while the secular Indian Constitution governs the majority, as well as others when not in conflict with their Personal Law. I am not sure which prevails when these might be in conflict, but I imagine Personal Law would, that being its raison d'être, and that is just absurd in a modern nation-state for both the egalitarian constitution and a parochial system to co-exist. What for, except for intellectual laziness, or primacy, or both!

The British invented the Personal Law for India's many religions in order to pacify the Indian subcontinent so that they could rape and plunder their Jewel in the crown quite peaceably, and continue to exercise their effectiveness as the ruling state of the world for over two centuries. The British empire did not much care which idiotic beliefs (from their point of view), and which azan, the colonized slaves preferred for themselves --- they held them all in equal contempt quite generously as per their la mission civilisatrice --- and they gave to each flavor of their slave their own preference of religious laws so long as these did not interfere with the business of Pax Britannia and the harvesting of their colonies.

The Personal Law was a handy band-aid to administer the slave colonies and this should finally be obvious to the Muslims of the subcontinent. Like all the rest of the vestiges of colonial existence, from our Penal code to street names to education system to language co-option, it also needs to be exorcised in favor of one set of laws for all under one constitution for all. And this applies to the entire subcontinent.

I don't quite understand why it is not obvious to Muslims that the antediluvian Sharia laws are unable to keep up with the principal values of modern nation-states to lend egalitarian justice and fairness to all its peoples. As for instance, in the matter of triple talaq debate in India where you most eloquently point out the bizzaredom of antiquity that is so discordant with modernity. The same debate exists in Pakistan, but is more muted, and I am sure also in Bangladesh. Modern world has moved on a bit from that time when women were treated as mostly chattel and for bearing babies, but the foundation of Personal Law that the British empire instituted to pacify the pluralistic population of India have not kept up with the advancements in civilizations and our understanding of our place in the universe.

However, in fairness to the public's rights to choose their own governance and not be dictated from the mount of the white man's burden, it should also be stated that for monolithic theological states where only one type of belief might exist among its peoples, or, where the people have come to agree to live by a min-set of religious beliefs common to them all because they do want to live by their own religious principles for all spheres of life, it is the peoples' right to choose whatever rules and laws they wish to devise for their theological state without discrimination among their own peoples and without exercising primacy upon others. And there is nothing in principle, that might preclude a religion based theocratic state in such a monolithic circumstance. While I think this is largely of academic interest in modern times, it still needs to be stated because the foundation principles of any modern state must be only that which give justice and fairness of opportunities to its peoples, to grow and flourish to the best that they can become. In practice however, all modern nation states, except
perhaps Iran, have a pluralistic population and therefore, in our modernity, such a separation between religion and state is mandated by necessity, of having one single set of fair laws for all that give fair justice to all without discrimination.

End Excerpt

Here are some essays that explore different aspects of living in a pluralistic society such as Pakistan, and an essay, my very first as Project Humanbeingsfirst circa early 2007, on living peaceably in Zionistan (short of ethnic cleansing succeeding) before Allah, fate, karma, yahwah, voodoo politics, and enslaved Muslim masses finally coming together in the common cause of liberty, forcibly alter the direction of the guns from which there shall neither be any escape nor any sympathy for any uber alles.

1. What Role did Shias Play in Condemning Qadianis to Kafirdom in Cahoots with Sunni Scholars in 1974?
2. Sacred Cow: Allama Iqbal - Marde-momin or Superman?
3. The Road to No Where: The Journey of Voluntary Servitude
4. Path Forward: Impacting Muslim Existence with Qur'anic Political Science
6. The endless trail of red herrings

Now is the time to fear the wrath of minorities, all minorities, wherever they might live, and the oppressed, howsoever they are subjugated (whether by law or by theology), before the tables are turned by the power of injustice, and the caprice of fate, to rectify itself.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
Assalamu' alekum.

I wish to draw your judicial eye towards the open conspiracy of dunces – 'hear no evil, speak no evil, see no evil' – captured by the image of the 'three wise monkeys' displaying the behavior trait of co-opted human beings displaying 'wisdom' in their own narrow self-interest.

Pakistan's respected officialdom is ignoring a most profound issue as if it did not exist. This issue is the first principle, the first cause, from which all evil follows. The dysfunction of this evil, the effects, cannot ever be interdicted and stamped out while the first cause is safeguarded, jealously protected, and publicly ignored by the entire civil-military officialdom pretending to be 'innocent of knowledge'.
Open Letter to The Honorable Chief Justice of Pakistan

This report [Epilogue 10th Anniversary of Benazir Bhutto's Assassination: Pakistan on the chopping block in 2018? ] that I draw your considerable attention towards, a compilation of published essays from mostly a decade ago, by a most ordinary human being, a most ordinary citizen of Pakistan living in the United States as its most ordinary permanent resident for the past four decades, explains what it is that Pakistan's respected officialdom, in its expedient wisdom, pretends does not exist.

That, in a nutshell, Pakistan is a 'vassal state' of the United States; its rulers have turned it into a 'slave state', and a 'rental state'! The looting of state treasury, its debt burden, neo-liberal privatization, 'enlightened moderation', erosion of values, are part of Fourth-gen and Fifth-gen warfare that Pakistan is being subjected to using Pakistan's own 'fifth columnists' and 'useful idiots'.

In other words, Mr. Chief Justice, as the report observes in Chapter 17:

'Only when the ubiquitous existence of perspective-pollution is recognized as epistemological, and its effects even marginally overcome, does it become obvious what is really going on in Pakistan behind the many public puppetshows.

Almost miraculously, with the fog lifted, it becomes straightforward to see through the election illusion foisted upon the nation. The public mind, liberated from the shackles of crippled epistemology and self-serving partisanship, perceptively sees, by the weight of empirical evidence, that faux-democracy is merely the continuation of colonial rule, only disguised!'

That ugly fact, Mr. Chief Justice, is written all across the Islamic Republic of Pakistan. Even in your own domain of dispensing justice, two points stare at every Pakistani:

1. The entire penal code, the law books, the precedence, are exactly the continuation of law made by colonial powers to govern the 'untermenschen' (the lesser peoples). Evidently, Pakistanis cannot better what the 'white man's rule' bequeathed to the colonized peoples, us (sic!).

2. Even the symbolism in the court room reeks of colonial Raj. Look at your
own headgear for instance, or how you are addressed in court, as I am told: “My Lord”. Since when did the judge become “lord”? The judge is a public servant, paid from public coffers, to resolve disputes and dispense justice among the people by obliterating the distinction between ruler and ruled, or so I am also told, by wonderful theory in books after books, and by the symbol of the blindfolded lady justice holding the scales of justice in her hands which adorns virtually all court rooms in the world. I am of course old fashioned, and at times Pollyannaishly believe these platitudes to actually be enacted, despite all empirical measurements of power and the reality of its pathocracy.

The modernity du jour in the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, Mr. Chief Justice, instead sees:

- judges hanging an elected prime minister as American sponsored Pakistani military dictator's imperative, — the 'judicial homicide' using judges as the 'hitman', and nary an apology has been uttered publicly by any judge even ex post facto;

- judges administering oath to whosoever possess the bigger guns and comes to power — legal cover to military dictatorship using judges as source of legitimacy, and once again no public apologies, no display of self-cognizant shame; and as the 'white man' held sacred, the law is only for the weak, the 'ubermenschen' (those above the local laws that they made for 'civilizing' the 'lesser people') are not bound by it;

all shamelessly shout continuation of colonial rule behind the thin facade of 'democracy', as if 'democracy' is some sacred religion. Symbolism, codices, the acts of the judges, rulers, and the people, all reek of colonial rule, only disguised, and patriotically wrapped in the Pakistani flag.

Please pardon the offense of pointing out the obvious. It isn't that you are unaware of it. You face it every single day, especially when you look in the mirror to get dress in the morning and put on your colonial headgear! Why do you so boldly and proudly wear the vestiges of colonial rule, and directly upon your head (!) from which you are about to daily dispense justice to the 'brown people'? That, in itself, symbolizes 'gulam', 'slave', 'house-nigger', who deems it great honor and privilege to use the leftover crumbs that fell off the massa's table.

When those endowed with the responsibility of power are so attached to mere symbols of colonial rule, how can Pakistan's elites ever give up the toast that comes buttered on both sides from the 'massa' for being obedient to its imperatives, to its narratives, to its values, to its prescriptions, to its judgments, and to its diktats, to the point of who lives and who dies, who is sold and who is freed, who is innocent and who is guilty, is decided in the latter day headquarters of the 'massa' du jour?

If I were the Chief Justice of Pakistan and a decent human being who treasured liberty from colonial rule, both modern and post-modern, the minimum I would do is to at least throw the symbols of colonial rule overboard. Throwing the tea overboard will naturally follow.

Symbolic acts of courage are the necessary prelude to the exercise of real courage when moral and intellectual cowardice has made strong inroads into the public psyche. We obey any master, anyone in power, and any authority figure. And we continually dig deep within our heritage to find justifications for it; at all levels!
Open Letter to The Honorable Chief Justice of Pakistan

Permit me to be even bolder in stating the obvious and now address your public position, the most important stance that you have taken in the public eye, at least to my mind, a glimpse of which you have now witnessed in how I have begun this letter. Allah the Merciful, most generously bestowed upon me the power to speak, to hear, and to see, and were I to not use these precious gifts, pretend to be “Summun, Bukmun, Umyun” (Deaf, Dumb, Blind), I will be called to account for it by a far Greater Judge, the Most Just Judge, and what will I plead — that I was afraid of those made like me, from the smelly 'nutfā', and whose destination is to be the same like mine, dry 'dust'?

What is the point of holding elections and keeping this post-colonial slavery system in play? I read in The News Today, January 25, 2018, your statement to this effect that elections will be held on time.

I mean, under that same status of 'rental state', 'slave state', 'vassal state', as the Hon. Chief Justice of Pakistan, you wish to hold elections so that a new crop of 'serfs', 'mercenaries' and 'useful idiots' can faithfully serve the 'massa' to continue Pakistan's status on the Grand Chessboard as a pliable pawn as before?

Not to forget the golden opportunity to profit and prosper beyond the common man's imagination?

What fundamentals do you perceive will change by electing new rulers of the state?

So long as Pakistan is a 'slave state', by design only 'inept people', 'useful idiots', and 'hungry mercenaries' can ever become her rulers; only the 'house nigger' can serve the 'massa' well.

It is my hope that upon reviewing this report, you will be reminded of the grotesque reality which the respected officialdom of Pakistan, in its self-serving wisdom, pretends does not exist.

To call a spade a spade, is the minimum criterion of justice, which is why I am writing this open letter to you, the Chief Justice of Pakistan.

If the highest court in the land fails to call a spade a spade, all pretenses at fair justice might as well be turned off. Then, Justice is as justice does; the king's justice!

What you do next is for you to decide as the Chief Justice. I have nothing further to add. This report is what it is. I stand by it as my coherent deconstruction of reality. If you should invite me to the Supreme Court to answer for it before all the genuinely 'innocent of knowledge' high officialdom, I will make haste in doing so believing it to be my duty. I would do the same if the United States Supreme Court or the World Court would hear me so that they too could learn to pronounce “spade”.

There is only One Power that we ultimately all answer to, and we recognize no other. That is our Creator. To Him we came from, and to Him we shall return. Six feet under, the maggots can't tell the difference who was VVIP of Pakistan, VVIP of the United States, and who an ordinary plebeian.

But as is our common belief as Muslims, the stances we take here as human beings against ruthless power – pompous 'white collar' officials who aid and abet tyrants, thieves, looters, plunderers, murderers, those who kill in large numbers under the sound of trumpet and heralded as great leaders, mercenaries and cowards who sit in positions of power and sell-out to the highest bidder in fear of protecting their self-interests – will decide who won and who lost. None who preside over the destiny of nations and its peoples may forget that.
Open Letter to The Honorable Chief Justice of Pakistan

Those who do not share in that *categorical imperative* are the 'ubermensch'. They are the enemy of all mankind. They are not 'innocent of knowledge'. And Pakistan, while steeped in religious fervor, openly hides many such 'ubermensch'!

Yours Sincerely,

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
Chapter 23

Pakistan and WB-IMF Lender's Trap

Globalization and its Discontents – The Death-Trap for Developing Nations

In a recent letter to Pakistanis, I wrote: “Please watch the first half of Dr. Shahid Masood's current affairs program on GNN today*, May 13, 2019, for his focus on explaining the WB-IMF lender's trap.”

I had penned the following in March 2007, firstly in the context of my interlocution of HEC (Pakistan's Higher Education Commission) on meaningful higher education reforms that dates my cautionary-conversations with its Chairman and its Executive Director, Dr. Atta-ur-Rahman (chemist) and Dr. Sohail Naqvi (electrical engineer), respectively, in August 2005. And secondly, as the outcome of my participation in WSF in 2006.

I had, even earlier, described Globalization and its discontents in Chapter 2 of my maiden 2003 book (rejected by numerous Western publishers) titled: Prisoners of the Cave (published on my website in 2007 as the American-Israeli war on Iran loomed on the horizon then, just as it now looms once again upon both Iran and Pakistan, while the Final Solution for both Zionist occupied Palestine

* https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RW-SnzyZhyo
Pakistan and WB-IMF Lender's Trap

and Indian occupied Kashmir is Machiavellianly engineered under President Trump's watch), dismantling the many hegemonic tools of the American empire and its fiction of War on Terror.

None of this is, or ever was, a state secret. It is open for all to witness empirically.

If I, a common man, expert in nothing, knew about international predatory finance as a weapon of neoliberalism to strangulate nations in a web of debt, and explained it to the establishment rulers of Pakistan as far back as 2005, for prime minister Imran Khan to be caught in the same debt-trap today in 2019 despite all his earlier protestations, and for the newsmedia to be regurgitating the same sorry facts that surely every barber in town must now know, without having any impact on policy and decision making, tells me that either my country, Pakistan, is run by con men and mercenaries, or it is run by imbeciles, fools and useful idiots galore. Perhaps a combination. It is certainly not ruled by the courage of their convictions that is evidently only worn on the forehead.

The heroic Prime Minister of Pakistan, Imran Khan, long before he came to power in 2018, publicly talked about John Perkins' popular 2004 book: *The Confessions of an Economic Hitman*, and betrayed his profound understanding of all the pitfalls of being in national debt to foreign lending agencies. The eloquent Prime Minister even repeatedly stated in his televised speeches of the advice given to him by the Prime Minister redux of Malaysia, Dr. Mahathir Mohamad, who had shrewdly navigated his own nation away from the WB-IMF lender's bailout-trap in his previous stints as the ruler of Malaysia. And yet, like every U-turn taken by Imran Khan since coming to power demonstrating the profundity of the courage of his convictions, the outstretched national begging bowl has once again became the hallmark of Pakistan government. And all can see the national consequences of this.

In other words, who in the world today does not understand why this international debt is designed for corruption ab initio, in order to continually colonize resource-rich developing nations through the debt-trap? Instead of calling for a moratorium on all debt repayment, ordering a national audit on all past and outstanding loans to see how the monies were spent, striking for refusal to pay debt used in corruption, and suing for debt cancellation in the International Criminal Court system as designed for corruption with mala fide intent to enslave the nation, the new leader of Pakistan suddenly pretends to be *Summun, Bukmun, Unyun!*

Is Imran Khan a Trojan horse, a cunning Machiavellian demagogue diabolically implanted in Pakistan as the previous Trojan horses had run dry and ready for pasture? What might be Imran Khan's motivation? He appears like such a suave Prince, honest, sincere, not corrupt for pecuniary gain, declared “sadiq and ameen” by Pakistan's Supreme Court, and religious to boot in a *metanoia* so profound that a twirling rosary bead is now a permanent part of his national and international attire --- perhaps Imran Khan is the Prince?

I really don't wish to believe this obvious conclusion. But I am forced to face the fact that Pakistan is / was / has been deliberately and continually destroyed piece-meal by its own establishment morons and mercenaries through empire's economic terrorism that wields both domestic corruption and international finance as weapons of mass destruction. Just another incantation of the East India Company that employs its cultivated stooges, new generations of corrupt feudal lords, and power-hungry stupid do-gooders crafted as nobility, to administer its slave colonies. Pakistan is still quite firmly entrenched in America's colonial project even under Imran Khan. What can one make of that except that a new stooge has been carefully crafted and thrust upon the nation? One that the
beleaguered nation is inclined to trust after four decades of mafiosos and military strong-men in power, because he is “not corrupt”?

This is merely the prelude to dismembering or at least disarming Pakistan by embroiling her in manufactured domestic discontent on the one hand, and international shenanigans on the other to bring war upon its doorsteps. Once brought to the Russian Roulette Table, all seated at it can only lose. As I sadly observed in my un sent letter of anguish to Pakistan’s Chief of Army Staff and to DG ISPR (never sent the letter): “My dear general sahib and Pakistan’s military high command, sirs, you cannot eat atom bombs.” What would have been the point of writing to Pakistan’s military the obvious that hasn’t already been stated time and again, as for instance in my 2007 Open Letter to Pakistani General: Re-Imagining Pakistan’s Defenses. Every taxi driver in town knows the prescription. Aspires to it. The common Pakistani would gladly fight for it. But not the rulers.

Watching from California, I share the painful exercise of pulling my remaining hair out at the imbeciles and mercenaries in charge of my country with the millions of Pakistanis facing the hard brunt of it on ground zero! I have yet to witness any rulers suffer the same pain.

Oh, you want solutions instead of hearing the rehash of the obvious and the self-evident? Here it is: Open Guidance to Imran Khan - Reimagining Pakistan : Project ReGenesis by Zahir Ebrahim. It is even more pertinent now with the rise of The New Ruling State of the World, poised to attack both Iran and Pakistan.

Survival with dignity on the grand chessboard can only be had in a perpetual MAD stalemate in which a balance of terror is carefully maintained, like two scorpions trapped in a bottle. The efficacy of balance of terror for stalemate was openly admitted to by the advocates of unilateral terror for their unfettered exercise of primacy on the grand chessboard under the pretext of 9/11:

'A half a century ago, in the midst of the Cold War, Prime Minister Winston Churchill noted in the House of Commons the “sublime irony” that in the nuclear age, “safety will be the sturdy child of terror and survival the twin brother of annihilation.” The Cold War is long over and new approaches to defense are overdue. As President Bush has stated, “We are no longer divided into armed camps, locked in a careful balance of terror….Our times call for new thinking.”' (From Balance of Terror to Unilateral Terror on the Grand Chessboard!)

That “new thinking” was to brazenly exercise unilateral terror. Its obvious antidote is to bring back the balance of terror. That exercise in ensuring safety from predators can only be brought about by nations that are not colonies of empire and are willing to forge together in common cause in full spectrum alliance. Divide and conquer is an art as old as hegemony, as old as empire, and necessary for achieving full spectrum dominance. The unhidden agenda for Eretz Yisrael that is decimating Muslim lands, coupled with the oligarchic agenda for world government to be seated in Jerusalem, cannot be countered by cowardly slaves, sheep and mental midgets excelling in stupidity.

Some déjà vu follows – until such time when a people shall emerge who will display the courage to throw the tea overboard. A heavy price of course shall have to be paid for journeying on that road to independence and safety --- the sublime irony of state in which power is only deterred by power and the fear of its own annihilation. Not divine platitudes. Nor the bleating entreaties of sheep
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for mercy. A lesson of history that Muslims, and Pakistanis in particular, all waiting for Allah, have yet to internalize in their policy calculus against all predators.

Oh Allah, the Ruler of Creation, take me away from this world for there is no intelligent life down here --- only primates and sheep. We may have descended from the tree top, we have yet to lose our tail.

Excerpt: Seeding a genuine Education System Transformation in Pakistan, March 15, 2007

Begin Excerpt (Chapter 13 of Report on Higher Education)

You came to HEC in 2002, got a carte blanche with a certified blank check drawn on the World Bank - and not by reducing the defense budget as you have mistakenly, perhaps out of ignorance of the inner workings of the national financing structure, claimed in your interview with the Chronicle. An interesting claim by you that can be trivially shown to be false considering our debt financed economy. Please stop fooling yourselves and others by bringing up bombastic GDP numbers in isolation, look at GDP/cumulative-debt ratio, what percentage of GDP is being taken out of the country by foreign multinational investors by depleting our foreign currency reserves, and what percentage of GDP is actually going for debt servicing also from the foreign currency reserves. Whatever remains after the debt is subtracted is what we net earned domestically - not GDP! Living on debt is not earned money my dears! Your claims are just number and accounting wizardry that I am perhaps more familiar with than the Chronicle reporter who interviewed you and did not have the wherewithal to challenge any of your statements, perhaps more interested in maintaining congeniality, than giving you a proper grilling. Our minuscule social spending and large defense expenditures come largely from debt deferment while it continues accumulating interest. All mostly pseudo secretive stuff that few in the public really know about in actual quantitative numbers but most senior and privileged persons in the Finance Ministry and the State Bank, I can assure you, as every single former and current Finance Minister, knows it at least qualitatively, and if they are any good at their jobs, they will also know the exact numbers and what was signed away in the fine prints for the further privilege of borrowing for some more silly toys and things, but mainly to pay just the rapidly accumulating interest without defaulting - the classic lenders' trap!

So what indeed can you show for this blank check today that the lenders will return to demand their pound of flesh soon enough for, with the next tranche of structural adjustment schemes and new
demands for the privatization of our public commons sacredly held in trust by the state for the common good of all our peoples, other than beautiful glossy slides and amazing magical numbers? Even you are now compelled to seek admissions for your kids elsewhere - almost five precious years wasted! Pathetic? You tell me!

... I had energetically pointed out to you (Sohail) in the course of our often long and passionate conversations on nation building and our own imperatives, that we were a debt financed nation, and all this money for these PC-1 projects, while ostensibly coming from the national exchequer, will likely never leave New York or London or Paris, and for which yours and mine children and grandchildren will be beholden to the lenders through their collective noses. Your response, and I approximately quote from memory using almost your own words which I still distinctly recall as they had taken me by surprise coming from such an intelligent man like you (Sohail): “I have blinders on with a very narrow focus, I am here to do good work and I don't care where the money comes from so long as the Government keeps releasing it, it's their problem to worry about, not mine!”

My response? And I quote from memory: “We cannot build sandcastles on the beach and not be cognizant of the tide!”

Indeed, I had further pointed out that we were being deliberately encouraged to spend these borrowed monies on various and sundry white elephants, which the lenders well knew were white elephants and will never bear any fruits, to purposely keep us a debt laden dependent nation.

I even gave you this famous cliché, again quoting from memory: “they would continue lending us money if we told them we needed it for a thousand men to dig up a trench, and another thousand to fill it back up, and needing to repeat that cycle a thousand times!”; repeatedly cautioning you that these PC1-s were no different, that they will eventually be financed with an outstretched begging bowl, and will serve their interests perfectly, but none of ours!

End Excerpt


Begin Excerpt ( Chapter 14 of Report on Higher Education )

From where I see it, your reporter was rather mild in her criticism of HEC. Lot's of facts and
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figures have been put out by HEC, and they are all over HEC's website. But the reality on the ground is that I still don't have a single good multidisciplinary university where I might send my own kids. And I wanted to have them study in Pakistan, but where? In the single one of a kind specialized engineering universities that the West itself has rejected as a model of education as it steps into the challenges of the 21st century (please see this EE Times article “Engineering education prepares for 2020” - Keynote address Leah Jameison, IEEE 2007 President, Feb 1, 2007)? But we are building 6 or 9 more of these silly things for billions of rupees! Instead of overhauling our existing infrastructure, perhaps employing the enormous amount of intellectual capital freely available in opencourseware – universities giving away their crown jewels for free, an unheard of phenomenon in the past (please see “The great giveaway” - Education Guardian, Jan 17, 2007) – we are instead borrowing funds from the World Bank to finance our development or siphoning it off from our other national projects in our debt financed economy!

The only thing the World Bank constructively does is enable an inextricable lenders trap upon the developing nations through its WB-IMF structural adjustment lending schemes.

That this view is shared by many informed critics of the World Bank, including substantiated by disclosures by former World Bank consultants in exposes such as “A Game As Old As Empire” and “Confessions of an Economic Hitman” (both available from Amazon.com), discredits the World Bank as an “unbiased” source of evaluation of its own funded programs, even as mildly critical as they are of HEC.

So who must evaluate? Who is an objective source of evaluation? How about the local consumers themselves? Why do we need foreigners to tell us how we are doing? Are we so far gone that we cannot even evaluate our own programs? Does the United States go to ask Europe how they are doing for their own domestic policies? Should any self-respecting nation (solely) rely upon the World Bank and other outsiders to tell them how they are doing?

Therefore, recognizing this fact of self-reliance of a developing nation being an axiomatic imperative of its free peoples in order to stay a free peoples, as a parent-consumer, I have provided a very detailed first hand evaluation to HEC, which they unfortunately felt compelled to respond with the same sort of meaningless World Bank kudos that one of their writers noted in her letter to the editor of the Chronicle.

My detailed evaluation and feedback, written as an ordinary plebeian Pakistani consumer of education, who is compelled to educate his own kids abroad because he can't find a decent school system in Pakistan, who seeks for others' kids what he seeks for his own kids, and who consulted for two weeks in the summer of 2005 for HEC and knows its Chairman and Executive Director well and is their friend and well wisher rather than an antagonist, can be provided to the Chronicle if they wish to print it without modification and with its full context intact. It might make an interesting feature length case study or cover story, all 19 pages of it, for how not to transform an education system in developing nations with World Bank funding, how not to operate its execution in military style dictatorial and entirely unaccountable manner, and how indeed to create the essential infrastructures necessary to genuinely seed such transformations in a third world developing nation.

End Excerpt
Excerpt: Introducing A Game As Old As Empire, February 4, 2007

Begin Excerpt (Introducing A Game As Old As Empire)

When participating at WSF 2006 in Karachi in a forum, I had made this seemingly strange statement:

- “Neoliberalism and Neoconservatism are two sides of the same imperial coin”.

In one other forum on water privatization, I had noted something equally bizarre to the effect (in Urdu):

- “deception is a key component in this game and you have to assume this as your working premise when you investigate or evaluate any proposal that is put your way on why or how water privatization will be beneficial to you – they wage war by way of deception”.

It was simply remarkable how many people had thronged around me afterwards to learn more and ask for elaboration. That's when I realized that the real intellectual underpinnings behind the impetus towards globalization and the neoliberal agenda were not being addressed to their full unraveling and disambiguation anywhere. All of a sudden I seem to be the only one talking about it in these terms, often needing to even challenge the perceptions of many of the learned panelists themselves whom I felt were speaking at the most superficial levels - the outer most visible layer of the onion.

... People in general are ill equipped with the intellectual tools to either comprehend this problem of globalization in its multifaceted dimensions, or [to] take on addressing its root causes. Grass-roots the world over has become synonymous with action in the streets, or working in the field doing good works and deeds. Few read, even fewer dig even when they might read.

Even students at elite schools like my own, MIT, study poverty under a microscope in their laboratories (there is a poverty alleviation lab at MIT - do google it), and come up with the most interesting red herrings to pursue, such as reduce the birth rate for instance to solve poverty problem, or the newest fad in microcredit schemes. Both eminently reasonable propositions on the surface for many progressive minds to alleviate poverty. But as I had come to appreciate in computer science and as a practicing engineer in Silicon Valley, the highest order bits entirely determine where the page
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faults!

And here, what is incredible to me is that they entirely end up ignoring the giant elephants trumpeting on the newlywed's bed –

- debt cancellation of the third world;
- inequities of global trade treaties like WTO;
- economic conscription that generates a new class of labor camps in the wonderful duty-free zones where the employer reigns supreme and few labor laws that apply in the developed nations apply there;
- or that “free trade” has been the mantra of all dominant civilizations and mainly only favors them.

None of these are studied as the primal first cause of global poverty, or how poverty is harvested for the benefit of multinationals when they offshore, or the fact that the third world is deliberately being raped by supporting dictatorships and kingdoms that can be more easily controlled than any fractious genuine parliamentarians ever could.

These same geniuses with fancy PhD. degrees in economics will then head various World Bank and its sister organizations and often not have a clue. And when they do get a clue, they are so complicit that extricating themselves can become quite impossible. This is how empires are built in neoliberalism. We have already witnessed how empire is extended using neoconservatism. The distinguished New York Times columnist Thomas Friedman said it the best in his now famous “Manifesto for a Fast World”:

“The hidden hand of the market will never work without a hidden fist - MacDonald's cannot flourish without McDonnell Douglas, the builder of the F-15. And the hidden fist that keeps the world safe for Silicon Valley's technologies is called the United States Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps.”

And a major aspect of this 'hidden hand' is what John Perkins disclosed and confessed to in his first book in 2005, the “Confessions of an economic hitman” - the notorious EHM. See page 101 (of the hardcover first edition, page numbering may be different in paperback) to be shocked beyond belief how an MIT statistician is employed to create a pseudo science to prove to the tin-pot dictators around the world why it would be beneficial to their nations' growth to take on more debt by falsely projecting enormous growth rates which can be used to pay back the debts easily!

Such growth projections of course never materialize - because they were conjured up out of thin air, and thence the tag team of WB-IMF get into their now infamous act of further structural-adjustment lending just to enable paying off the rapidly accumulating interest on the monstrous loans that now shackle every third world nation beyond belief. Can we relate this to our own nations taking on debts like a leaking ship?

End Excerpt
The economic policies we force them to adopt through our sophisticated machinations of sanctions and instruments of economic hegemony such as the WTO treaties, the World Bank, the IMF, and the ECA, as in almost every developing country in the world except Malaysia and Cuba who refused help from the World Bank and escaped.*2

Since the end of WWII, 104 stifling economic sanctions have been imposed on the civil populations of the world. “In 1998 alone, the US had sanctions against 75 countries, accounting for 52% of the world's population.”*3

Then of course, there is the least talked about and totally unaccountable and unregulated ECA (Export Credit Agency), a lending agency to the developing world. It is a unique partnership between corporations and the American tax payers, and according to some experts, far worse than the world Bank.*4

The structural re-adjustments in poor countries pushed by these lending and aid agencies through corrupt dictatorships, oblige these developing nations to high interest loans for useless projects that are subsequently contracted out to multinational corporations, and in return for privatization of their national resources for a song. The corrupt leadership also nets a few million in the process and make palatial homes with it. While the poor are squeezed dry by higher taxes and even higher cost for their own natural resources. Privatization of drinking water in Cochabamba Bolivia at the hands of Bechtel Corporation, or electricity in India at the hands of Enron Corporation, is a glaring example of this.*5

This is a two prong economic assault on the developing countries – prevent them from making their own lower cost products indigenously, and destroy their existing indigenous low tech capacity to make them your perpetual consumers. It is necessary to briefly explore this incredible system of exploitation of the developing nations, where 3/4th to 4/5th of all humanity make their home. It might help you understand with clarity “why they hate us” more than a million hours of watching American mainstream news and Sunday morning talk shows.

The first aspect of this is imposed through various Free Trade Agreements and WTO regimes to ensure that items which are of necessity, such as essential medicines for killer diseases for instance,
cannot be locally manufactured through intellectual property rights patent protection – thus ensuring that only the multinationals will be supplying the products at their own asking premiums to a captive audience for a very long time. If you don’t pay, you die. It is rather besides the point who invested what in developing the life saving drugs, as in this example. The entire system is designed to support this monopolistic endeavor by denying the fruits of Western labors to the developing nations, while harvesting them of all their own resources, with rules of the game that were also conveniently invented by the West. The American courts granted the idea of patent protection to all kinds of things – some even unpatentable things – but it was for their own domestic competition and the American’s own internal matter. Since when did American courts acquire jurisdiction over other countries? Since empire! What empire? The empire spawned by the Multinationals in whose interest it is to monopolize their products through the protection of the military and economic imperial reach of the hectoring hegemons.

The second aspect is how these multinationals destroy the domestic small time mom and pop producers just as they did in America, for the benefit of huge profits for their shareholders and stock market speculators on Wall Street. The multinationals come in and buy out the local companies and local producers. Once the local competition is wiped out, they reign supreme. Even if they want to, the local indigenous producers cannot compete with these giant corporations due to their fantastic economies of scale, high tech sophistication in manufacturing, slick psychological marketing, and enormous initial price competitiveness where the multinationals can out compete a local producer or a domestic self-sufficiency because of their other diversified businesses and products worldwide. They command so much surplus cash and leverage that they can cleverly circumvent the toothless anti-dumping clauses in trade agreements and other meaningless rules that the poor developing nations are sold on and made to sign on the dotted line. Just the sheer magnitude of resources they can bring to bear to wipe out local competition, ranging from preferential host government policies to outright buyouts at ten to hundred times the market value of the local businesses, can entirely destroy domestic producers. And thus the concomitant self-reliance of an independent nation, making everyone only abject consumers of multinationals in the name of progress and “modernity”.

Often the same products that they previously grew, raised, or produced themselves, admittedly sometimes of lesser quality due to their own low tech but independent and self-reliant ways, they are now obliged to purchase from the multinationals. They either become unemployed in the process, or through economic conscription, come into the service of their new pay masters – the New East India Companies of the New American Century. Admittedly some do benefit, and typically it is the same 1-2% educated and affluent class who are employed in managerial positions, or who can afford the luxuries of life. The common man just sweats more blood and tears to eke out a subsistence living. Thus “Multinationalism” finds natural allies among the ruling elite in any developing nation. And it is the same folks who also control the wealth, resources, and unaccountable power in the poor nation! They will create fantastic rationalizations for their support of the Multinationals, ranging from acquiescence to the fait-accompli of their presence in their nation (can't beat 'em, join 'em), to “trickle down economics” that they learnt from their Western masters that George H. W. Bush Sr. once had the temerity to call “Voodoo economics”, to actively seeking and soliciting them as finance and trade ministers, bankers, and Chambers of Commerce of the nation. Avarice is nationalism blind – which is why we have “Multinationalism” today on a global scale. No one will deny these observations because they have become truisms today. Indeed, the wealthy commerce man in the developing nations would
likely retort back: *what century are you living in, this is reality today my friend, get with it,* as I have experienced first hand in my interlocution of many a rich and powerful in the industry and society in my own developing country.

This is the world wide Wall Street based empire that America is crafting with the backing of its big military machine and economic muscle as the sole superpower. You either march to the American tune to secure an “American peace” for the Americans and their favored allies in the industrialized nations, or not at all. It is equally insidious how this marching is forced upon the poor and the lowly – how the developing nations are prevailed upon to open up the doors to their domestic markets. John Perkins, a former consultant for the World Bank, finally found the courage after trying for over a decade, to write about his role as an “economic hitman” for the World Bank in helping destroy developing nations’ indigenous economies world wide in the guise of “development”. His amazing book, *Confessions of an Economic Hitman*, must be made essential reading for all Americans. He explains how the developing nations are deliberately and systematically made beholden to the World Bank and the IMF, and then subsequently, in exchange for alleviating debt repayment, forced to make structural reforms towards privatization from state ownership and public commons held in the public trust for the benefit of the indigenous peoples.*6

Often times, these are accompanied with compromises in local government policies and rules regarding zoning, pollution, work standards, compensation, and remitting the enormous profits back to the home countries in the industrialized West, all of which favor the multinationals to the detriment of their own domestic industries, their own foreign currency reserves, and their own peoples.

While in America, the corporations worry about OSHA regulations and workman compensations, and in paying a fair wage for 40 hour work-week with essential healthcare to boot, there are usually no such standards for other human beings on the planet employed by these corporations, especially those in the production capacity. There, human labor is just a commodity to keep while it is useful and productive, and to discard when it has outlived its usefulness. I have witnessed these things first hand in my own country, in factories supplying to multinationals and export only businesses – and that includes pretty much everything one buys in supermarkets like Walmart, K-Mart, Costco, etc. in America. These inhuman work conditions are not implemented by the “White Man” himself, his hands and suits are too clean to mess with such exploitation directly, but by his dutiful proxy agents – the local employers and local ruling elite who lord over their own peoples in the service of their masters du-jour. While outside their factories in industrial areas they will have large posted signs saying “No Child Labor Used Here”, the villages are full of sub-contractors employing whosoever they want and in whatsoever work condition they want, especially for cottage industries that require small fingers and delicate hands. It’s the wink wink and nod nod of the ruling elite, and business as usual for everyone. None of these things are especially secret – they are in fact, right out in the open.

These new corporate marauders, not too unlike their imperial predecessor East India Company, come in with any crack in the door that is invariably there due to the corruption of petty dictators wielding absolute powers and ruling their public with an iron fist, and is then forced to be made open wider and wider with each new debt service deferment plan by the IMF, and each new loan for new monstrous “development” project from the World Bank and all its sister affiliates.

The impact of this economic slavery is quite obvious for everyone to see, it is not buried in
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secret and classified documents. All one has to do is to survey the developing world to examine who is
doing business there, what is on the shelves in their stores and who has produced them, and where are
all the profits from these products and services being repatriated to and in what currency. In the vast
majority of cases, the trail of money will start at Wall Street, and end at Wall Street. The poor
developing nations only consume like good consumers, and at the end of the year, are left with a huge
foreign exchange deficit because that’s how profit made in local currencies is remitted in dollars and
Euros and Yens back to the greedily awaiting stock holders in the developed nations. A poverty
stricken developing nation, often having sufficient natural resources under its own soil to be self-
reliant in many cases, is already in enormous debt to various lending agencies of the West under the
guise of “development”. It is thus further unable to pay its huge debts due to the depletion of its
foreign currency reserves after the multinationals have extracted their pound of flesh, and consequently continues on in the perpetual vicious lender’s trap of:

here is some more money for debt servicing, now be a good boy and make the
following changes in your laws so more big multinationals can come rape you
further but we will call it privatization and market liberalization.

It is an amazing self-sustaining positive feedback loop from the minds of the money lenders, if I
might be permitted to use my engineering parlance to describe their usurpative system. And as any
engineer understands, all such loops are unsustainable and unstable. The best example of such
unstability that actually reached critical mass due to the unbridled greed and ambitions of one such
American Corporation, the Bechtel Corporation of San Francisco, is the oft repeated story of the brave
peoples of Cochabamba in Bolivia. One could, if one had the courage, consider this economic
onslaught and unprovoked aggression by the West, as much a call to arms in self defense as those early
courageous patriots did who had the sense and the chutzpah to throw all that tea overboard. The
British too were fighting a “war on terrorism” at that time for empire!

While all this is going on, the Americans are told by their politicians and parroted by their
media that the US is the most generous and altruistic of nations, even though their own Ex. President
Jimmy Carter finds the courage to tell the truth after leaving office that “We are the stingiest nation of
all” to Christian Science Monitor.*7

End Excerpt

Thank you for reading, and more importantly, doing something useful with it.
Chapter 24

Happy-Happy in Hope and Voluntary Servitude

A monologue on breaking the chains of servitude

September 10, 2008 | Revised September 18, 2008

Introduction

In response to a felicitous greeting received from my good old college professor in Pakistan, I wrote back:

Best wishes for you and your family too in this month of blessings [Ramadan] – the election of such a distinguished president must also be a source of tremendous joy all around – “happy-happy!” [0]

And then, almost as an after thought, I composed the following monologue in the postscript to further elaborate upon my cryptic use of “happy-happy”! I had borrowed that phrase from another close friend in Pakistan whom I had called right after Mr. Zardari's election, and upon asking him how
he felt, he had sardonically replied: “happy-happy”! That phrase captures and hides a wellspring of emotion and resignation to fate for an average Pakistani, and after I had written the phrase down, I felt I had to intellectualize it in order to lend a bit greater comprehension to the human condition which trivially seems to cause silent acceptance, or acquiescence, to that hope filled state. In fact, I have always found the similarities between the Pakistani and the American public to be rather striking in the state of their voluntary servitude, despite their vast disparity in affluence, life-style, and “modernity”. So I expounded upon my humble take on my friend's “happy-happy” to my respected professor – and all as a postscript, for indeed, ironically, intellectualizing any human condition is but a mere postscript in its impact on ameliorating it. The history of mankind is evidence of this truism.

**Hope and Voluntary Servitude**

Is man even worse-off than even the lowly animal born free, in the best of circumstance? Wherefore the “Ashraf-ul-Makhluqat” (the best of creation – an attribution by the Holy Qur'an to man, as in Surah Al-T'in, verse 95:4)?

I see scant evidence of this lofty Islamic theory in practice. As a scientist, theories are only as good as their ability to explain the empirical evidence – right?

Please see this book written by a 22 year old in 16th century France (1552 AD) named Etienne de La Boétie if you have the time: “The Politics of Obedience: The Discourse of Voluntary Servitude”. You can find its translation in English on the Mises Institute website [1]. It's only 81 pages long and can be read in one afternoon. Here is an extended excerpt from the introduction [not written by its author], and the one main concluding observation reached by the young author in his own words:

**Begin Excerpt**

The Discourse of Voluntary Servitude is lucidly and coherently structured around a single axiom, a single percipient insight into the nature not only of tyranny, but implicitly of the State apparatus itself. Many medieval writers had attacked tyranny, but La Boétie delves especially deeply into its nature, and into the nature of State rule itself. This fundamental insight was that every tyranny must necessarily be grounded upon general popular acceptance. In short, the bulk of the people themselves, for whatever reason, acquiesce in their own subjection. If this were not the case, no tyranny, indeed no governmental rule, could long endure. Hence, a government does not have to be popularly elected to enjoy general public support; for general public support is in the very nature of all governments that endure, including the most oppressive of tyrannies. The tyrant is but one person, and could scarcely command the obedience of another person, much less of an entire country, if most of the subjects did not grant their obedience by their own consent.

This, then, becomes for La Boétie the central problem of political theory: why in the world do people consent to their own enslavement? La Boétie cuts to the heart of what is, or rather should be, the central problem of political philosophy: the mystery of civil obedience. Why do people, in all times and places, obey the commands of the government, which always constitutes a small minority of the
society? To La Boétie the spectacle of general consent to despotism is puzzling and appalling:

“I should like merely to understand how it happens that so many men, so many villages, so many cities, so many nations, sometimes suffer under a single tyrant who has no other power than the power they give him; who is able to harm them only to the extent to which they have the willingness to bear with him; who could do them absolutely no injury unless they preferred to put up with him rather than contradict him. Surely a striking situation! Yet it is so common that one must grieve the more and wonder the less at the spectacle of a million men serving in wretchedness, their necks under the yoke, not constrained by a greater multitude than they…”

And this mass submission must be out of consent rather than simply out of fear:

“Shall we call subjection to such a leader cowardice? ... If a hundred, if a thousand endure the caprice of a single man, should we not rather say that they lack not the courage but the desire to rise against him, and that such an attitude indicates indifference rather than cowardice? When not a hundred, not a thousand men, but a hundred provinces, a thousand cities, a million men, refuse to assail a single man from whom the kindest treatment received is the infliction of servitude and slavery, what shall we call that? Is it cowardice? ... When a thousand, a million men, a thousand cities, fail to protect themselves against the domination of one man, this cannot be called cowardly, for cowardice does not sink to such a depth. . . . What monstrous vice, then, is this which does not even deserve to be called cowardice, a vice for which no term can be found vile enough . . .?”

It is evident from the above passages that La Boétie is bitterly opposed to tyranny and to the public's consent to its own subjection. He makes clear also that this opposition is grounded on a theory of natural law and a natural right to liberty. In childhood, presumably because the rational faculties are not yet developed, we obey our parents; but when grown, we should follow our own reason, as free individuals. As La Boétie puts it:

“If we led our lives according to the ways intended by nature and the lessons taught by her, we should be intuitively obedient to our parents; later we should adopt reason as our guide and become slaves to nobody.”

Reason is our guide to the facts and laws of nature and to humanity's proper path, and each of us has “in our souls some native seed of reason, which, if nourished by good counsel and training, flowers into virtue, but which, on the other hand, if unable to resist the vices surrounding it, is stifled and blighted.” And reason, La Boétie adds, teaches us the justice of equal liberty for all. For reason shows us that nature has, among other things, granted us the common gift of voice and speech. Therefore, “there can be no further doubt that we are all naturally free,” and hence it cannot be asserted that “nature has placed some of us in slavery.” Even animals, he points out, display a natural instinct to be free. But then, what in the world “has so, denatured man that he, the only creature really born to be free, lacks the memory of his original condition and the desire to return to it?”
La Boétie's celebrated and creatively original call for civil disobedience, for mass non-violent resistance as a method for the overthrow of tyranny, stems directly from the above two premises: the fact that all rule rests on the consent of the subject masses, and the great value of natural liberty. For if tyranny really rests on mass consent, then the obvious means for its overthrow is simply by mass withdrawal of that consent. The weight of tyranny would quickly and suddenly collapse under such a non-violent revolution.

Thus, after concluding that all tyranny rests on popular consent, La Boétie eloquently concludes that “obviously there is no need of fighting to overcome this single tyrant, for he is automatically defeated if the country refuses consent to its own enslavement.” Tyrants need not be expropriated by force; they need only be deprived of the public's continuing supply of funds and resources. The more one yields to tyrants, La Boétie points out, the stronger and mightier they become. But if the tyrants “are simply not obeyed,” they become “undone and as nothing.” La Boétie then exhorts the “poor, wretched, and stupid peoples” to cast off their chains by refusing to supply the tyrant any further with the instruments of their own oppression. The tyrant, indeed, has nothing more than the power that you confer upon him to destroy you. Where has he acquired enough eyes to spy upon you, if you do not provide them yourselves? How can he have so many arms to beat you with, if he does not borrow them from you? The feet that trample down your cities, where does he get them if they are not your own? How does he have any power over you except through you? How would he dare assail you if he had not cooperation from you?

La Boétie concludes his exhortation by assuring the masses that to overthrow the tyrant they need not act, nor shed their blood. They can do so “merely by willing to be free.” In short,

“Resolve to serve no more, and you are at once freed. I do not ask that you place hands upon the tyrant to topple him over, but simply that you support him no longer; then you will behold him, like a great Colossus whose pedestal has been pulled away, fall of his own weight and break in pieces.”

--- End Excerpt

And to comprehend how La Boétie's insight has been cleverly employed to checkmate the common man in the West by the same tyrant and his exponents, who in these times, conveniently install the despot as the front-face of a secretive oligarchy, see “Weapons of Mass Deception – the Master Social Science” [2]. It is the profound lack of understanding of this latter discourse that today enables 'Voluntary Servitude' in the affluent West. No amount of rehearsing Boétie's commonsensical platitudes, the profound Ten Commandments, or even the Biblical Golden Rule “Do unto others as you have others do unto you”, is going to empower Plato's “Prisoners of the Cave” [3]. For indeed, “none are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free.” Only astute political science can effectively counter devilish political science! See for instance “How to derail 'imperial mobilization' and preempt the crossing of the Nuclear Rubicon” [4].

But what about the “Voluntary Servitude” in the East and the Global South that is egregiously loaded with almost 3/4th of all the natural resources of the planet and is yet also home to 3/4th of the world's poverty stricken humanity? Surely the hungry man unable to feed his family knows the price of “cake” quite well? And yet, he continues to persist in enabling his own servitude? What prevents La
Boétie's prescription today when the same principle exemplarily brought down the majesty of the British Empire in its own Jewel in the Crown less than four score years past?

The answer must remain the same as during La Boétie's time – the threshold of the masses' tolerance hasn't been crossed yet – the poor among mankind having been endowed with infinite patience and eternal hope! It is this “hope”, and man's misplaced optimism due to it, that primarily enables one's “Voluntary Servitude”. Therefore, despite the simple mechanism of overthrowing it – albeit only collectively – being quite naturally available to man as rationally demonstrated by Boétie, “hope” perniciously incapacitates him.

The omission of this empirical observation of eternal “hope” in man – also a key to his survival by averting despondency during misfortunes – in La Boétie's political discourse can perhaps be attributed to the author's youthful inexperience at the time when he composed his remarkably astute treatise, and for which, he may surely be forgiven! Perhaps this is why Boétie did not publish the discourse as he may have intuitively felt its incompleteness (although some others have offered more exoteric reasons for it such as concern for his own personal safety from the tyrants of the day).

As with the surfeit of anything, man's natural affinity towards “hope” becomes his enduring anchor into his own long-term “Voluntary Servitude”. It is a natural “opiate” that apparently only exists in humankind. It is far more universal in its sedative effect than any imagined by Karl Marx when he mistakenly blamed man's tolerance for his own exploitation, upon his obsession with “religion”! Far transcending the realm of social inculcation, but often exploited by it, “hope” appears to be innate in every individual human being!

Lest one might be mistaken that this opiate is only for the backward humanity of the Global South, the miserable poor in the religion and spirituality imbued East that is perennially “waiting for Allah” or “Karma”, the recent statistics for the United States, the exemplar of advanced civilization out to teach the rest of the world how to live, exposes that misconception [5]:

### Begin Excerpt

“A recent survey of this group by the Washington Post, the Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation and Harvard University conducted this past June looked at the beliefs of adults ages 18 to 64 working 30 or more hours a week, not self-employed and who earned no more than $27,000 in 2007. The results show a fascinating dichotomy. Though there is widespread pain and discontent there is also a stubborn faith in the American dream despite little help from government.

Ninety percent of this group sees the current economy negatively, either not so good or poor, with 52 percent feeling financially insecure and 50 percent feeling less secure than a few years ago. The fractions saying they have difficulty affording basic things are severe, including: 88 percent that cannot save money for college or other education for their children, 82 percent paying for gasoline or other transportation costs, 81 percent saving money for retirement, 65 percent paying for health care and health insurance, 65 percent handling child care, close to 60 percent paying credit card bills, monthly utility bills and rent or mortgage costs, and 47 percent buying food. Three quarters say it has gotten harder to find good jobs and nearly that fraction for finding affordable health care, and 68 percent finding decent, affordable housing.

In the past year this group has had to take many actions to make ends meet, including 70
percent that cut electricity use and home heating; 62 percent that took an extra job or worked extra hours, 51 percent that postponed medical or dental care and 50 percent that took money out of savings or retirement funds.

All this sounds pretty bleak. But are these people mad and pessimistic? Not exactly.

An amazing 69 percent are hopeful about their personal financial situation, 59 percent believe they are more likely over the next few years to move up in terms of their social class, 59 percent believe that their children will have a standard of living much or somewhat better than theirs, and 56 percent think they will achieve the American dream in their lifetime.

[... ] The unmistakable conclusion from all these data is that no rebellion against the power elites running the two-party plutocracy seems likely. If the bottom 40 percent of Americans in terms of income still believe in the American dream and change-spouting politicians like Obama, it is hard to believe that the more affluent middle 40 percent of the population are ready to support more radical change through political rebellion.

[... ] Forget all that nonsense about the proletariat. Most Americans use their faith in God or religion or conventional politicians to cope, even in some of the most insecure economic times in American history. They remain overly confident in voting as the path to change. The ruling class has successfully used propaganda to dumb down and manipulate most of the public because delusion has become the opiate of the masses.”

--- End Excerpt

And what appears to hasten or preempt man's natural threshold for bearing infinite pain due to his infantile lingering “hope” for better times, is always inspiring leadership! Leaders courageous enough to boldly assert “enough”, with a vision and a plan, before that inevitable turning-point can be naturally reached when only the uncontrolled mob with nothing more to lose takes over – as it did in the French Revolution which subsequently spawned the tyranny of Napoleon!

Which is also why, the devilish hectoring hegemons, well versed in political science based state-craft and Machiavelli, Orwell and Huxley, also purposefully cultivate and promote the corrupt and the co-opted feudals to serve as false leaders of any plebeian peoples. These leaders are carefully manufactured to only serve their own larger class interests as client-states of higher authorities – the puppetmasters. If a genuine leader emerges who can mobilize a nation towards un-servitude, who can inspire and energize, he is assassinated as an example to all others.


And then, the 'ubermensch' will come on their white horse wielding “shock and awe” to “save” the “the very petri dish of international terrorism” [15] from becoming the new “Terror Central” [16]
and threatening the civilized world due to its instability and civil war! That ominous “saving” [17] is already in its advanced setup stages [18] even as I write this. Many front-faces have been lined up who will retain and maintain the core-lie to continually enable the 'ubermensch' quest for “full spectrum dominance” – that of 'war on terror' – and the present incarnation with Mr. Zardari is just another “happy-happy” ever-smiling face! [19] But a more insidious face than the Generals' before, in order to present the more acceptable illusion of freedom and democracy as that is what is being demanded by the constituency to be controlled. Well, let's give it to them under the praetorian tutelage of a re-incarnated seasoned Godfather who can be relied upon to obediently do the master's bidding on account of natural inclination [20] to usurp [21] and plunder [22], with plenty of skeletons in the closet to keep a strong check on the desired strategic direction when necessary! [23]

Observing the events of the day (September 10, 2008) [24] being rehearsed with all the pomp and majestic show – complete with horse-drawn buggy and kisses on the cheeks and all – before the applauding world with Mr. Zardari taking the highest oath of office in Pakistan, reminded me of the opening wedding scene from Mario Puzo's movie the Godfather, attended by the motley of morality-challenged, all dressed in their finest Sunday outfits. The only thing that appeared to be missing during the festivities in the non-movie version was the camera zooming into private meetings in beautifully shuttered oak and mahogany paneled darkened rooms behind closed doors on how the “territory” was to be divided up, who would get what loot, and who would be assigned to make which offer that couldn't be refused! Even the fictional Michael Coreleone could not go so “legit” as the real life enactment! Once made “legit” and cleansed into a “virgin”, is it slanderous to recall the past? None of the afore-stated staid recollections from newspaper reports even begin to scratch the real surface of the actual experiences of many Pakistanis under Asif Ali Zardari during the two short hereditary reigns of his beloved wife. His popular nom de guerre of “Mr. ten percent” among the general public hardly did him justice. And neither could Al Capone ever be charged for anything other than tax evasion! Even that opportunity no longer exists – so long as the godfather dutifully continues America's “war on terror”!

The earnest columnist Ardeshir Cowasjee of the Daily Dawn had repeatedly noted in his many weekly columns that the former President, General Musharraf, was the best among the worst of the lot, and while I never agreed with the famous octogenarian of Pakistan on the notion of choosing the lesser of two evils from a carefully constrained artificial choice forcibly inflicted upon the suffering populace, I do agree with that sentiment. But not because of anything Ardeshir Cowasjee ever observed. [24a]

But because, with President Zardari at the helm, and aided in his mission by the mindlessly silly and horrendously greedy Parliamentarians who turn on a penny, Goethe's notion of servitude – “none are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free” – is being attempted in Pakistan under a godfather-civilian ruler as an alternative to the brute servitude under a military-general that was beginning to wear thin with the people! The latter however, in comparison, was surely a superior option to serve under, as there was at least no illusion of freedom! The cycle of false-leaders is quite revealing even as it continually fosters only the imperial agendas.

Let's snapshot from the setup that began this [instance of] “imperial mobilization”:

● The democratically elected Nawaz Sharif being replaced by Musharraf in 1999 to acquire a “unity of command” over the client-state, and the pubic showing enormous
relief at being rescued from their misery by a military dictator who seemed to wanna fix everything for them – for the people wanted someone strong to lead them after all the previous debacles under “democracy”;

● 9 years later Musharraf now being replaced by Zardari and the people once again showing even more relief because they wanted to be led by democracy once again after all the debacles under military dictatorship that has brought the country to the brink of bankruptcy and dismemberment!

The new “democratic” leader now appears to be equally keen on fixing everything for the people, but marches quickly in lock-step to the same beat as his autocratic predecessors!

While the people are kept busy in these 'katputli tamashas' [puppet shows] expertly crafted for them by the Rand Corporation and the Pentagon in America, no element of “imperial mobilization” is ever left to chance. It is just as precision an operation in Pakistan as 9/11 was in New York! If a military strongman is needed to enable the ‘war on terror’ by nurturing “jihadis” with the attack on Afghanistan, the climate is created to install him. If destabilization is needed to enable dismemberment of a former frontline client-state which has outlived its usefulness on the ‘Grand Chessboard’ in its present configuration, faux democracy is crafted to bring the destabilization to its critical mass.

The concept of years of planning and long consistent execution times, as is political science based state-craft, is entirely alien to the impetuous Pakistani mind. Brought up over the past sixty years to only expect uncertainty, loot and plunder, disappearances and oppression, a Pakistani has become mentally attuned to going to sleep at night not knowing who will be in-charge the next morning (or where one might wake up)!

Thus, the notion that a devilish multi-year precision planning, and such state-craft sophistication as the 'dialectics of deception' described by Project Humanbeingsfirst in many of its reports, is even possible, is entirely foreign to the relatively simple, feudalistic Pakistani genius. Therefore, 'katputli tamashas' and frequent change of Acts and actors is great for the masses – for many couldn't care less who is in power, tied as most have become to their daily bread and hope for miraculous deliverance!

The exuberance shown by the world's leaders in rushing to congratulate the new Mr. 100% Pakistani President into their fold – one who had candidly noted: "The Pakistan People’s Party (PPP), if it comes into power, must persuade the people that the fight against militants is “our war”, not just America’s war" [25] – and all of them together agreeing to maintain that enabling core-lie of “imperial mobilization” intact, amply demonstrates this.

This coddling of a person who was further reported brazenly proclaiming: “Asif Ali Zardari told a British TV that political agreements were not words of Qura’an which could not be changed with the changing political scenario. According to the TV, he was asked about his shifting positions and not fulfilling his promises to which he said there was nothing final in politics and positions could change with the changing situations.” [26], makes President Zardari actually the best qualified among the lot for the job of presiding over the dismembering of Pakistan! One who need not, by one's own admission, honor any agreements one may sign, or the deals one may make, with one's own countrymen in order to gain their trust! Wow! What an egregiously blatant mocking of a peoples quite accustomed to “Voluntary Servitude”. 

Go To TOC2
Notice that there are no riots in the streets in Pakistan, no protests, and while the nation's ruling elite is politically and visibly united in celebrating the victory of democracy over dictatorship, the poor man is kept busy trying to barely survive!

Finally, one can rightfully claim, that there is little difference between the peoples of America, and the peoples of Pakistan – united they do stand in “hope” and inaction for a better tomorrow, as the rich plunderers laugh their way to the bank!

Indeed, I hate to suggest once again that all this was plainly manifest when Musharraf had issued his own proclamations paving the way for PPP and Bhutto to come to power with the NRO and the sacking of the judges by crafting his unilateral Executive Orders, which like in America, once made into law, become effectively irreversible.

I had plainly written on December 21, 2007 in Project Humanbeingsfirst's warning to the Pakistani peoples “Wake up to the grotesque reality of the 'Grand Chessboard'!” [27] (while most of the Pakistani and Western press appeared not to have ever been students of political science, history, or even forensic science – the only reason most among them are likely called journalists, the press, the newsmedia, is surely only because they carry suitably designed business cards or are rich enough to own the media – there are a few exceptions of course, as shown by this oped in the Nation of February 22, 2008 [28]; and I have no idea why the rest of the respected columnists like Ardeshir Cowasjee remained silent, despite my urgent and repeated pleas to them to at least comment on the warning in their own soap-boxes in order to draw attention to it, as no English newspaper in Pakistan was willing to publish it or any of the letters to editor [29] that I sent them before I gave up on further wasting my time; I had also, in my earnestness, foolishly apprised two newly retired 3-star Pakistani Generals in Islamabad of these matters over a long private lunch conversation, with obviously little impact and much wasted effort):

“#2. The present 'elections' in Pakistan are a manifest fraud under the orchestration of the 'hectoring hegemons' themselves, and must be abandoned in the greater national interest of the peoples of Pakistan themselves.

This mantra of elections is replete with red herrings craftily synthesized to maintain Pakistan as a servile client-state in order to carry on with the same bold 'imperial designs' on the 'Grand Chessboard'. It is merely the rebottling of the same old wine in a different bottle. It will surely be legitimately conducted, with no apparent riggings, and duly approved by all the impartial international observers to give the artful elections an official international legitimacy.

It is quite immaterial who wins in these elections. The laws and the judiciary of the nation have already been reconstituted under the umbrella of 'emergency' to enable the nation to carry on unfettered in its primary objective of fighting the 'War on Terror' as an obedient patsy client-state – and hence to carry on in its own devilishly crafted suicide!

These elections will conveniently 'elect' a legislature whose leaders have also been deftly primed by the 'hectoring hegemons' themselves! And the highest executive office in the land is already retained in the hands of the same old 'wine' drinker.
Thus all the 'ducks' are still lined up perfectly in a row, just as they were on the very eve of 9/11.

#3. The people of Pakistan must fully reconcile with the Military of Pakistan immediately – the reconciliation being of the type that was witnessed by the surprised world between the oppressors and the oppressed in South Africa.

Not the type that is being pushed through the NRO to legitimize looters, plunderers, and rapists of the nation in order to staff the legislature with the made by 'CFR in the USA' and made by 'RIIA in the UK' Pakistani politicians with at best criminal credentials, and at worst, treasonous ones!

The military of Pakistan, as an institution, is indeed also the only hope of Pakistan as we must survive on the 'Grand Chessboard' and only they hold the cards. What is about to befall the nation can also only be averted by them. The civilians and the Military must unite – immediately – for overarching national considerations that far transcend individual grievances, ego trips, and past transgressions.

Thus the civilians must abandon all meaningless and mindless protests which are in any case devoid of any fundamental comprehension of the reality du jour on the 'Grand Chessboard' – none of them seek fundamental changes to our fate, nor do they appear to fathom what such changes are even supposed to be - and are merely only chasing red herrings that have been deftly crafted as perhaps per the Machiavellian 'technique of infamy'. ...

These protests are needlessly continually giving the Western public the impression of 'instability' which only adds to the credibility of the various pretextual mantras to come 'deliver' us from ourselves! There is much more at stake than to usher in a thin veneer of faux 'democracy' which is all that these protests are unwittingly accomplishing as can be empirically witnessed by anyone with half a brain.

#4. Instead of the faux 'democracy', the Pakistani Military rulers on their part must now rise to the challenge of genuine patriotism and as genuine guardians of the nation and help carve a genuine Democracy, with the capital D and without the quotes, as briefly outlined in “Re-Imagining Pakistan's Defenses – Open Letter to a Pakistani General”, and as explored in “Saving Pakistan from Synthetic 'Terror Central'”.

Briefly, that entails crafting a genuine Constitution under a bilateral 'social contract' between the people and the state, that is subsequently ratified by the people of Pakistan through a direct referendum vote. This can be accomplished within a few short months if there is the national and military will that understands the urgency of the matter and executes on it by gathering the right peoples to craft it!

Item 2 above, in bold, appears amazingly prescient – right? The new “wine drinker” makes little
impact on the journey however. And we observe that Musharraf withdrew without rectifying any of his own power-shenanigans in favor of the new “wine drinker” whom he had himself enabled and pardoned with the NRO that has lent new meaning to the adage 'steal only in millions and billions, for generous pardon in the name of national security, national interest, or national reconciliation is the only outcome for monumental crimes when all loot'.

The new “wine drinker” in turn was, and is, being guided by the same hectoring hegemons who crafted and led the American foreign policy in the decimation of Afghanistan and Iraq, as expected – and so brazenly at times that there is no need to even hide that fact from the public – when Musharraf very well could have implemented all of the afore-stated recommendations for the genuine protection of Pakistan, as he enjoyed absolute power and the public would have welcomed his moves against the hectoring hegemons with open arms! Therefore, the lame surprise as demonstrated in this news report, aptly titled “Benazir's promise remains unfulfilled”, is at best – well what can one say that hasn't already been said about the press! Pakistani media is now but a distant reflection of the American media eagerly galloping down the same path. See Chapters 4, 6, and 7 of my book “Prisoners of the Cave” for a better understanding of what one is likely to see more and more of in Pakistan. If all this prescience is such advanced rocket science, how is it that an ordinary plebeian, with only a computer and an internet connection, can figure it all out, including the solution space, and none of the profound intelligentsia and the press in Pakistan can? The answer must be that we are a well deserving nation ready to be replaced by a better peoples – as per the promise of the Qur'an! But wait – I am not ready to be replaced, nor am I willing to accept that verdict, and neither are the 200 million Pakistanis suffering under the yoke of the handful of co-opted praetorian ruling elite of Pakistan! “Voluntary Servitude” may indeed be our failing due to excessive misplaced hope, but is that as criminally culpable as the tyrants who criminally rule over us by exploiting that failing? Being a victim is not a crime, even if being a foolish one repeatedly is reprehensible!

Under such a torpid existence of the patiently servile populace forever controlled by different flavored praetorian tyrants serving only the larger geopolitical interests under Machiavellianly crafted, political-science based, Western state-craft, it is only the perverse insanity of 'Balance of Terror' that can “free” the common man without him expending his own efforts to break his “hope” driven “voluntary servitude”! For at least, under the “balance of terror” doctrine, he becomes as “free” to survive as the poor beetle enslaved between two hungry scorpions. The astute beetle can construct some space to still breathe and live in that precariously balanced time-space continuum! In a world where one's very existence is anathema to the 'ubermensch' hectoring hegemons, and one's extinction is their planned endgame for population control and elimination of a vast majority of “useless eaters” from the planet, physical survival by hook or by crook must first rationally precede any freedom from servitude. The latter struggle in these times appears to be next to impossible task, with expert and sophisticated global masters deftly managing “hope” driven populations into a permanent state of “Voluntary Servitude” – by trickling some minimal level of sustenance down their throats to not cause all loss of “hope” and the concomitant violent riots when it's not needed – while cleverly inhibiting the rise of any popular leadership (or dissemination of knowledge of what's in store for them) that can genuinely lead them to the contrary!

Therefore, “balance of terror” forms an imperative doctrine of urgent survival conveniently not examined in political science discourses, never mind the global press, but is the only realizable and
efficacious solution-space taught by nature itself for the times when 'ubermensch' hectoring hegemons rule the roost. See Project Humanbeingsfirst's August and September 2008 reports “Georgia-Russia: It's a Classic Brzezinski Project!” [38] and “Hegemony is as old as mankind” [39] respectively, for how aggressive (i.e., non-passive) posturing between titans can temporarily reprieve an almost sure to be decimated nation on the 'Grand Chessboard! And if played astutely – as Russia appears to be doing by announcing the stationing of its largest nuclear armed warship in the Caribbean waters [40] and its anti-submarine aircraft at the Venezuelan airport [41] – permanently reprieve it, and all others as well.

An effective counter to the IMF/WB/NATO and the dollar-hegemony, by SCO for instance, under an uncompromising leadership from Russia, China, India, Iran, Venezuela, et. al., while simultaneously enabling the United States to actually bring her coveted SPP into fruition through her own crafty shenanigans, can give reprieve to all servile client-states of the Global South without a masses' destructive uncontrollable revolution when the “hope” threshold is finally breached. The SPP prematurely being forced to create the North American Union, by other nations outside the Pax Americana forcing a dollar crisis upon it before it is ready, can be the best reprieve for the world. Provided of course, the rest of the planet is empowered to astutely dump the dollar before the dollar dumps them! The guns at China's and Middle East nations' throats remain there mainly to prevent that very act of defiance. America's creditors are targeted to remain patiently holding onto their dollar reserves until the opportune time for the United States, as the new North American Union, to adopt the new yet to be introduced Amerio. Thus in one fell swoop, the richest nation on Earth intends to not only write off all its debts, backed with big guns, but also (selectively) crash all the Asian creditor nations’ economies. Much use is Confederate currency today, except in a museum.

This is the un-stated purpose behind Iranian President Ahmadinejad's bold suggestion in the last SCO meeting on August 28, 2008 “Iran's suggestion is to use the currencies of the SCO states in transactions between members of the organization, ... Such a measure will help maintain and strengthen the value of the foreign exchange reserves of member states. The organization can also devise a long term plan to study the feasibility of using a single currency in the future”, in order to preempt these nations' growing supply of future toilet-paper! [42]

This gambit of prematurely forcing the Hectoring Hegemons' already pre-planned “revolutionary time” to make possible what would otherwise be “inconceivable in normal times” – in other words, turning the tables on the Hectoring Hegemons with their own devilish weapon – is now perhaps the only realistic way to motivate the “Prisoners of the Cave” out of their “Voluntary Servitude” to standup for the sanctity of their own nation.

The same patriotic fervor which indoctrinates the public into “United We Stand”, can also create active resistance among the same peoples who love their country so blindly that they are willing to acquiesce to the merciless killing of other 'lesser' humanity in the absurdity of preemptive 'self-defense'! No patriotic public in the entire pluralistic multicultural America, no matter how indoctrinated, will voluntarily accept a North American Union, a loss of their beloved America that was hitherto fully defined by the Monroe Doctrine of four well defined borders. And forcing this union upon the peoples through martial law remaining the only choice available to the hectoring hegemons, will finally align the American public's patriotism with the rest of the world's interests! In that reprieve scenario – a ransom from extinction through full spectrum alliances by the rest of the world – new leaders among the hope-driven populace all across the world might yet be born under these “revolutionary times”, who can eventually lead their servile populations into aborting their “Voluntary
Servitude”! While one might perhaps call this logic perversely “hope” based, the history of the world, as is the history of the American Revolution (see Patrick Henry’s speech below), is also testament to courageous leaders often arising among a fed-up public mainly at crises times when there is no “hope” left.

In a calculated play of astute political science against the devilish one, the same manipulative tools can serve both masters on the ‘Grand Chessboard’, not just the hectoring hegemons! Unfortunately, its efficacy lies solely with state-actors, institutions, and apparatus. Therefore, ordinary masses under astute political leadership can actually directly play in that space by efficaciously engaging the state in order to throw-off the yolk of their “Voluntary Servitude” as explained by Project Humanbeingsfirst in its numerous [43] reports [44].

“Hope” – the eternal friend and the perennial enemy of man! Hope is what empirically subverts us from becoming the so called “Ashraf-ul-Makhluqat”, and lulls us into inaction even as it enables us to survive the darkest of times without despondency! Like a snake's venom, both a poison and an antidote, “hope” is what co-opts us to become less than animals by falsely leading us into losing our basic instincts to be free from servitude under some fuzzy higher cerebral function of optimism that we seem to have acquired from who knows where over the eons of supposed evolution of our species! There are however no vestiges of such an abstraction in the Darwinian worldview of how man might have descended from the lower beings. No other living creature possesses it, in any amount. It is characteristically a human trait! Where does “hope” spring from? Did god implant it in us? Or did the devil? When is it god's work, when is it the devil's, and how is it an evolutionary gift that leaves no trace in other species, but is present in all of humankind on all continents? Is there a “hope” gene?

Regardless, it is quite evident that it is mainly the deprivation of “hope” that enables one to abandon “Voluntary Servitude”. Be it towards the despondency of the weak, and hence they perish. Or be it towards the assertion of fight-back by the strong – who have had it up to their neck and are not willing to take it anymore as they are going to perish anyway, who are left with no hope of being rescued by anyone else and have nothing further to lose by standing up – by their minimally copying the instinctive behavior [45] of the free animals! The courageous history of mankind is evidence that it is only when there is no hope of rescue, or of the suffocating conditions ameliorating, that “Voluntary Servitude” ends!

This was indeed the premise behind the famous speech given by Patrick Henry on March 23, 1775 [46] when he hath proclaimed: “Is life so dear, or peace so sweet, as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery? Forbid it, Almighty God! I know not what course others may take; but as for me, give me liberty or give me death!” A fuller quote would be more instructive:

“There is no longer any room for hope. If we wish to be free--if we mean to preserve inviolate those inestimable privileges for which we have been so long contending--if we mean not basely to abandon the noble struggle in which we have been so long engaged, and which we have pledged ourselves never to abandon until the glorious object of our contest shall be obtained--we must fight! I repeat it, sir, we must fight! An appeal to arms and to the God of hosts is all that is left us! They tell us, sir, that we are weak; unable to cope with so formidable an adversary. But when shall we be stronger?

Will it be the next week, or the next year? Will it be when we are totally
disarmed, and when a British guard shall be stationed in every house? Shall we gather strength but irresolution and inaction? Shall we acquire the means of effectual resistance by lying supinely on our backs and hugging the delusive phantom of hope, until our enemies shall have bound us hand and foot?

Sir, we are not weak if we make a proper use of those means which the God of nature hath placed in our power. The millions of people, armed in the holy cause of liberty, and in such a country as that which we possess, are invincible by any force which our enemy can send against us. Besides, sir, we shall not fight our battles alone.

There is a just God who presides over the destinies of nations, and who will raise up friends to fight our battles for us.

The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, the active, the brave.

Besides, sir, we have no election. If we were base enough to desire it, it is now too late to retire from the contest. There is no retreat but in submission and slavery! Our chains are forged! Their clanking may be heard on the plains of Boston! The war is inevitable--and let it come! I repeat it, sir, let it come.

It is in vain, sir, to extenuate the matter. Gentlemen may cry, Peace, Peace--but there is no peace. The war is actually begun! The next gale that sweeps from the north will bring to our ears the clash of resounding arms!

Our brethren are already in the field! Why stand we here idle? What is it that gentlemen wish? What would they have?

Is life so dear, or peace so sweet, as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery?

Forbid it, Almighty God! I know not what course others may take; but as for me, give me liberty or give me death!"

Only a year later, the intimate realization that “There is no longer any room for hope”, led to a Declaration which today, surely still resonates, albeit mutely, in the hearts of some, and sends a winter's chill down the spine of every despot and demagogue:

“When in the Course of human events it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another and to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them, a decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the separation.

We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness. — That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the
consent of the governed, — That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness.

Prudence, indeed, will dictate that Governments long established should not be changed for light and transient causes; and accordingly all experience hath shewn that mankind are more disposed to suffer, while evils are sufferable than to right themselves by abolishing the forms to which they are accustomed.

But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object evinces a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such Government, and to provide new Guards for their future security.”

Wherefore such a people? Only whence bereft of hope, and only when courageously led! Or at least, that is what the empirical history of mankind suggests.

**Epilogue**

Elevating oneself to man's ultimate destiny of “Ashraf-ul-Makhluqat”, i.e., the highest spiritual state of “Irfan”, which Islam axiomatically teaches is the ultimate “khud-muktar” man of God's creation, wherein – as artistically put by that famous 'Poet of the East' – the Creator too favors the “best of creation” by asking the “khud-muktar” man what might he desire as his destiny before apportioning the same to him, is a far cry! Muslims apparently are forever dreaming of “Irfan” – at least the ones in our beloved 'Land of the Pure', with every Pakistani serf awaiting a savior, every oppressed “waiting for Allah”, all patiently bearing their burden resigned to their destiny of toil and hunger, but still full of hope for some miraculous rescue, and seeking comfort in the vision of a rewarding after-life for their stoic forbearance in this ill-fated one – while we, the egotistical us, cannot even live up to the level of exercising the innate desire to be free as shown by the animals! Forget about other useful self-defense [47] taught us by the supposed lower order beings! Whence “Ashraf-ul-Makhluqat”?

Surely it must have been a metaphor for some other man yet to be born! A man who will finally understand the gift of “hope” – to protect one from despondency – and yet not be unduly saddled by it into “Voluntary Servitude”, as beautifully and succinctly spelled out for him in the last two of the four short verses of Surah Asr in the Qura'an! While the Western Hegemons [48] cleverly hijack the concept of jihad to create “militant Islam”, “radical Islam”, and “Islamism”, and mindless “native informants” par excellence continually bleat it for multiplied effect in asinine writings such as “Between Imperialism and Islamism” [49] that keep the core-lie of “Islamism” intact in dutiful service to their higher masters (or perhaps only serving them in pathetic ignorance as patsies), its real meaning becomes imprisoned in La Boétie's "Voluntary Servitude" until hopelessness, with little possibility of parole [50] during our lifetime!
Yes, “happy-happy” indeed on the long road of voluntary servitude into oblivion.

Footnotes

[0] The News, September 10, 2008, 'The president, his massive support, the big disappointment'

'ISLAMABAD: President Asif Ali Zardari began his tenure as a popular head of state in an ideal setting, with all his political friends and foes strongly supporting him and the entire bureaucratic and military establishment ready to give him a chance.

For him it was a great day, the best day of his life, but somehow the new president did not let the people of Pakistan celebrate the day with him, as he chose not to make any major announcement, did not address any of the burning issues which have divided the society and offered them no hope on his debut, though he may try to do so later. ... [T]he country and the nation are waiting for President Zardari, PM Gilani, Speaker Mirza, their chief ministers in Sindh, Balochistan and NWFP, to come up with something which the people can celebrate. They say Zardari has got his Big Day, when will the people, in whose name he rules, get their break.'

[8] Daily Times, September 08, 2008, 'Pakistan could be next big IMF customer'
[12] Daily Times, September 08, 2008, 'Military unlikely to pressure new president'
Zahir Ebrahim

"A horse-drawn black chariot, decorated with the national flag, then carried the new president a short distance before he disembarked to inspect the troops. Zardari kissed his son Bilawal - the chairman of the PPP - and bowed at the feet of his father, Hakim Ali Zardari, before being embraced by his daughters, Bakhtawar and Asifa. ...

The president was given a guard of honour by a smartly turned out contingent of the three services at the main gate of the Presidency when he arrived for the ceremony in the traditionally decorated buggy. Present at the gate was his father Hakim Ali Zardari, son Bilawal, daughters Bakhtawar, Asifa, sister-in-law Sanam Bhutto and personal staff received him.

Like a deferential son he touched the feet of his father Hakim Ali Zardari, an elderly politician of Sindh who kissed Asif Zardari on both the cheeks and then shook hands with him. Asif Zardari’s son Bilawal was clad in dark blue shalwar and long kurta while both his sisters were wearing shalwar kameez. President Zardari kissed his son and daughters affectionately. Pakistan High Commissioner in the United Kingdom Wajid Shamsul Hasan was also present at the main gate of the Presidency. Asif Zardari inspected the guard of honour gracefully with confidence visible on his face.”
In his finite wisdom, the most powerful man in the world, President George W. Bush of the USA, has maintained, as I and a few others have done for over eight years, that the president of Pakistan, General Pervez Musharraf, under the given circumstances, is the best of the worst available lot to lead this country.

Apart from the fact that it is an impossible country to successfully lead, it has to its credit a population of some 170 millions, totally uncontrolled and galloping off into the rising sunset.

To quote once again my old friend, former police person turned historian, Zafar Rathore, to control hundreds of millions of ‘subcontinental monkeys’ is a nigh impossible task – though it has to be admitted that over our southern borders our very large neighbour has managed it to an extent that is admirable when compared with us. That success, it is universally acknowledged, lies in the fact that India has never been subjected to the slightest form of military intervention.

Now, yesterday, on a cloudy cool Saturday morning, we read that President Musharraf in an interview with ABC’s Good Morning America has informed the informed world that, as far as he and Pakistan and its elections go, “If the situation develops in a manner which is absolutely unacceptable to me, I have a choice of leaving.” He has always had the choice, and of course always will have the choice at any time convenient to him.

But at this stage of the game is this not a somewhat ridiculous statement? Under the guise of an emergency, he virtually promulgated martial law — and what is this in the words of a far greater statesman? It is “no law at all. Martial law is brute force. Of course all martial law is illegal, and an attempt to introduce illegalities into martial law, which is not military law, is like attempting to add salt water to the sea.”

The general brought in his own provisional constitution order, rid himself of the meddlesome judges of the Supreme and High Courts, deprived the people of what little law was left to them, shed them of their fundamental rights, and rode rough shod over all and sundry – and now, after he has retired from the post of chief of the army staff, become a civilian president, had himself sworn in as one, given a date for the lifting of the emergency-cum-martial law, with elections scheduled, he opts for a ‘choice’ if circumstances become more unacceptable. How much more unacceptable, one must ask?

At the moment, the legal fraternity is up in arms, some students have risen with them, and the minority that has recently become known as ‘civil society’ has joined in. The beloved awam remain supine and comatose. For them to rise the circumstances will have to be extraordinarily dire and, importantly, there will have to be some sort of leadership. Right now, there is no leadership, there is not one man or woman who can (even employing the renting, feeding, enticing, threatening methods) persuade the larger mass of the masses to get up and protest (though heaven knows they have more than enough against which to protest).

Apart from the admitted undesirability, can this country once again afford the leadership of Benazir Bhutto? Can it afford to be sat on by a man, who wishes to declare himself an Amir-ul-Momineen? Does it need the Pir of London and his strange ways, or the unintelligible Chaudhrys of Gujrat, or, heaven forbid, the Fuzzy-Wuzzies of the Frontier?
As for other remarks and home truths made by Musharraf to ABC, we must stand firmly with him. “We are fighting terrorism everywhere...We have gone through 30 years of turmoil. We cooperate very well. So if there’s a failure, it’s not Pakistan’s failure.” And on bin Laden, “Please don’t accuse us. We handled the situation up to 9/11 for 12 years all alone. Nobody else. And when the Osama bin Laden factor came in and the world wanted him to be shuttered out of the place, who was doing anything?”

And one cannot deny that “You have to understand, we don’t want agitation here...Agitation means breaking down everything, burning things. That cannot be allowed. So, therefore, if anyone is trying to do that we will stop it. That is the way it is in Pakistan."

Sensible also is his plea to the western powers that they should understand that the imposition of their mores, traditions and democratic credentials upon the nation that is Pakistan is, right now, somewhat unrealistic and impracticable. For a decade, the governments of the US paid Pakistan to train the Taliban, to produce violent bigots, and they armed them for us. Then one fine day, they upped and left us, drowned in a sea of bigotry and violence. Now, post 9/11 with a world largely in turmoil this government of the US wants turmoil ended in Pakistan and they want Musharraf and his men to transform the violent bigots into rational human beings. This is hardly an easy task.

But yes, we too want an end to violence, hatred, intolerance and all that goes with them. We want stability, economic progress and democracy. But we will not get them through street fighting, through breaking and burning, through killing and maiming. The end can only come through reasoning with each other, by talk and discussion rather than unintelligible shouting.

Like it or not, as we find ourselves today, it is the retired General Pervez Musharraf who will have to do the balancing act, not of a dictator, but also not quite that of a democrat – somewhere happily between the two until things settle down. Despite hanging up his uniform, his hold on power, whatever his detractors may say, remains undiminished and unchanged. He still, for the time being, has the support of a very powerful army. There being no visible available alternative, he needs support from somewhere.'


[26] The News, August 24, 2008, 'Agreements not words of Qura’an'

'Accords not holy Quran or Hadith, says Zardari' http://www.thedailystar.net/news-detail-51713

'Agreements with the Pakistan Muslim League-Nawaz (PML-N) “are not holy like the holy Quran and the Hadith” and can be modified if circumstances change, Pakistan People's Party (PPP) Co-chairman Asif Ali Zardari said in an interview with BBC Urdu on Saturday.

“Political parties do not make promises, they only arrive at understandings,” Zardari said, adding that political understandings are “sometimes 50 percent successful, and sometimes more than that, but are still considered successful.”

To a question on the future of the ruling alliance, Zardari said Nawaz had stayed away from democratic forces for a long time and that “we will try our best to take him along.”'

'ISLAMABAD: Former army chief General Ashfaq Pervez Kayani had played the most important role in brokering an immunity deal for former president General Pervez Musharraf in 2008, according to which Asif Zardari gave a firm commitment to the US, UK and Gen Kayani that indemnity for Musharraf would be forthcoming if he stepped down.

According to at least two leaked American diplomatic cables which had made their way to the international media on December 7, 2010, a series of political and strategic blunders by Musharraf had given cause and justification to both Asif Zardari and the then army chief General Kayani to work separately for his honourable exit.

In two separate cables written by then US ambassador to Islamabad Anne W. Patterson, details were given about how General Kayani (who had just been elevated as the army chief after Musharraf took off his uniform to retain the presidency), and Asif Ali Zardari, the leader of the majority party in the new National Assembly (after the 2008 polls), had systematically started to distance themselves from Musharraf.

In a ‘brief’ and ‘talking points’ prepared for Admiral Mike Mullen during his early 2008 visit to Pakistan, Ambassador Patterson states:

“As expected, Gen Ashfaq Kayani is taking slow but deliberate steps to distance the army from now civilian President Pervez Musharraf.” In a separate cable about a meeting of US Representatives Adam Schiff and Allyson Schwartz with Asif Zardari in May 2008, the American ambassador had given details of how the PPP co-chairman (who later became president following Musharraf’s exit) advocated an ‘honourable exit’ for Musharraf.

According to the cable, “Zardari blamed Musharraf for not taking enough responsibility for the war on terrorism in Pakistan”, which resulted in a marked increase in anti-US sentiments in the country.

“Anti-US feeling will go away when the old faces go away,” the leaked cable noted, adding that the American government should no longer rely on just Musharraf in fighting terrorism. In her own assessment of Musharraf’s public standing, Ambassador Patterson wrote in the cable that a year ago, his popularity was high. But “beginning with his decision to fire the Chief Justice (Iftikhar Muhammad Chaudhry) in March 2007, Musharraf has made repeated blunders culminating in a state of emergency and temporary suspension of the Constitution”. A detailed reading of some of these cables suggest that by this time all three major players, Asif Zardari, General Kayani and the American ambassador, had made up their minds that time was up for the former military ruler who had already been accused of involvement in the tragic assassination of Ms Benazir Bhutto.
According to one of the leaked cables, the US ambassador wrote on August 23, 2008, during her meetings with Asif Zardari, Prime Minister Yousuf Raza Gilani and General Kayani, immunity for General Pervez Musharraf was discussed besides some other issues. The US Ambassador met with Pakistan People’s Party leader Asif Zardari on August 23, 2008 with then prime minister Gilani on August 21 and with then army chief General Kayani on August 20, 2008.

“In separate meetings with Asif Zardari, PM Gilani and chief of army staff Kayani, the ambassador pressed for quick action on immunity for former Musharraf. Zardari and Gilani said flatly they were committed to providing immunity, but not until after the presidential election (now scheduled for September 6, 2008). Pushing immunity now, they believed, could jeopardize Asif Zardari’s candidacy. General Kayani expressed concern that if immunity becomes tied up with the ongoing debate over the judges’ future, it may never happen. Zardari plans to continue to slow roll action on the judges’ restoration but remains confident that Nawaz Sharif will not walk out of the coalition.

“Nawaz’s deadlines for action on the judges continue to pass unfulfilled; the next one is scheduled for August 27, 2008. The August 20 decision by Muttahida Qaumi Movement (MQM) to back Zardari for president has strengthened Zardari’s hand against Nawaz Sharif. Nawaz is left with the option of walking out of the coalition, but having little prospect of forcing a new general election in the short term.

“Asif Zardari is walking tall these days, hopefully not too tall to forget his promise to General Kayani and to us on an immunity deal.”

According to the leaked diplomatic cables, “Asif Zardari told the US Ambassador that he was committed to indemnity for Pervez Musharraf.

The ambassador stressed that only the promise of indemnity had persuaded Musharraf to step down as president. We believed, as we had often said, that Musharraf should have a dignified retirement and not be hounded out of the country. Zardari (subsequently) cited a British anecdote about the Spanish empire and said: “Tell the most powerful man in the world that there is no way that I would go back on what I have said.” Zardari noted that he already had firmly committed to the US, the UK and chief of army staff Kayani that indemnity for Musharraf would be forthcoming.

As the ambassador urged him to do it quickly, Zardari said flatly that to do it before he was elected president would lose him votes, but he would pass both the legislation and a presidential pardon as soon as he was elected. Zardari then revealed that Musharraf had approached chief justice (Abdul Hameed) Dogar about issuing a restraining order against the impeachment motion, but Justice Dogar had refused. Zardari also alleged that Musharraf had planned to replace General Kayani as COAS if Dogar had blocked the impeachment. Zardari said he was trying to keep Nawaz in the coalition and was candid that he planned to tie up the judges’ issue for a long time. He added that parliament would debate the restoration of the judges; chief justice Dogar would then submit some rulings on the restoration of the judges; all this could take months. In the meantime, he was trying to persuade former Chief Justice Iftikhar Chaudhry to become Governor of Balochistan.

Going by the same leaked cable which was written by the US ambassador, Zardari said he did not think Nawaz would leave the coalition, but he admitted the Pakistan Muslim League had become increasingly testy. He said that he had already agreed with Nawaz Sharif to curtail the powers of the president and then allow Nawaz to be eligible for a third term as prime minister; both measures would
require constitutional amendments. Zardari said he also had leverage over Shahbaz Sharif, who through paperwork snafus, had been technically elected illegally for a third term as the chief minister.

This, too, would have to be resolved in parliament, Zardari said. “So I can give them something they want,” noted Asif Zardari, “that’s what politics is all about.”

According to the leaked cable, after an August 20 (2008) meeting with the visiting S/CT Coordinator Dell Dailey, General Kayani asked the ambassador to stay behind and discuss his concerns that Asif Zardari was delaying General Musharraf’s immunity bill. Kayani had heard the large meeting of coalition partners (chaired on August 19, 2008 by the newly returned Bilawal Bhutto) had discussed the judges primarily. Then they decided to take a 72-hour break to consult the party members.

General Kayani said he took Asif Zardari’s commitments to now ex-president Pervez Musharraf as the most important argument in persuading him to resign. Asif Zardari made very specific commitments to Kayani. Now, for Asif Zardari to delay makes him (Kayani) look bad within his own institution “and I have to take the Army along with me.” Kayani also noted that the delay does nothing for Zardari’s reputation for trustworthiness. If this issue gets conflated with the judges and with Zardari’s own desires to be president, it will become too complicated to pass, Kayani said.

The US ambassador met with Prime Minister Gilani and Interior Minister Rehman Malik for 30 minutes on August 21, 2008. Gilani said the PPP was going to provide immunity to Musharraf, but the timing was important.

They were afraid that putting forward immunity legislation would lose them votes for Asif Zardari's presidential campaign. The ambassador pressed on this issue, saying that Musharraf would never have agreed to resign without the promise of immunity. He assured the ambassador that he and the party did not want vengeance. Regarding immunity, Gilani said “many will say that we have done a deal with America, but I still understand that we have to do it.”

Six years later, Gilani revealed on Friday that an understanding had been reached with the establishment that Musharraf would be given an honourable exit if he resigned, instead of going through impeachment proceedings.'


[34] [http://prisonersofthecave.blogspot.com/2007/04/exhibita.html](http://prisonersofthecave.blogspot.com/2007/04/exhibita.html)


'ISLAMABAD: The public commitment made by Shaheed Benazir Bhutto was not honoured on Tuesday when Asif Ali Zardari took oath of his office from Justice Abdul Hameed Dogar, instead of Justice Iftikhar Mohammad Chaudhry whom the martyred PPP leader had termed the “real chief justice of Pakistan”.

After the imposition of emergency on November 3 by Pervez Musharraf, Benazir Bhutto had categorically rejected the elevation of Justice Dogar to the post of chief justice. She had declared in front of the official residence of Iftikhar Chaudhry that he was the real CJ and if she returned to power
she would hoist the national flag at his residence.

For many who saw a smiling Zardari taking oath from CJ Hameed Dogar, this was a breach of public promise made by Shaheed Benazir Bhutto. There were unconfirmed reports that prior to swearing-in ceremony Zardari might ask the prime minister to restore the deposed chief justice.

The message given by Asif Zardari was quite clear - that the people and the media should now forget the defiant chief justice who had actually triggered the movement against Musharraf which enabled the exiled leaders like Nawaz Sharif, Benazir Bhutto, Shahbaz Sharif and even Asif Zardari to come back to Pakistan.

In exile, Benazir Bhutto was opposed to the judicial activism as she thought it might impede her return to Pakistan through NRO, particularly when Iftikhar Chaudhry had objected to such a law. That was why Benazir Bhutto had criticised Iftikar Chaudhry in her press conference, which she addressed a day before she landed in Pakistan on October 18 last year.

But once she returned to Pakistan she swiftly changed her position as she came to know the ground realities. The same Benazir Bhutto who had blasted Iftikar Chaudhry in her press conference in Dubai was seen leading a big political rally of her supporters to the house of the deposed chief justice. In her defiant and emotional speech, Ms Bhutto had told her workers that Iftikar Chaudhry was the real chief justice and that one day she would hoist the national flag on his residence. It is hoped that those who claim her legacy would fulfill her promise.'


'Mr. Zardari, 53, took the oath of office from Chief Justice Abdul Hameed Dogar, a controversial start to his rule because Mr. Dogar was appointed under an emergency decree by the former president, Pervez Musharraf, and has remained in place with Mr. Zardari's support.

The role of Mr. Dogar at the ceremony appeared to definitively signal that the former chief justice, Iftikhar Muhammad Chaudhry, who was fired twice by Mr. Musharraf, would not be invited back to his old job. Mr. Zardari has refused to reappoint Mr. Chaudhry despite pressure from his former coalition partner, Nawaz Sharif, who left the government over the issue.'

[45] http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LU8DDYz68kM


[47] Video 'Battle at Kruger', please watch this 8-minute inspiring video that puts “Ashraf-ul-Makhluqat” to shame, which, as of this date, has been watched at least 36,687,965 times, http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LU8DDYz68kM


Chapter 25

Waiting for Allah

The Diabolical Tool of Behavior Control: To get people to love their own servitude

Critique of Imran Hosein's 'An Islamic View of Gog and Magog in the Modern World' – Waiting for Allah is anything but Islamic!

Overview

The phrase “Waiting for Allah” is the title of roving Foreign Affairs Correspondent for the Sunday Times, Christina Lamb's 1992 book on Pakistan. Revealingly, the title captures in one short phrase the entire state of the Muslim polity worldwide, and not just in Pakistan. Evidently, no one is able to escape that state in which the public mind voluntarily accepts what it really ought not to accept if one were to look at the situation objectively. “Waiting for Allah” is just one of the many methods and techniques of inducing voluntary servitude in the public mind. Its overarching purpose in modernity, of getting people to love
their own servitude, was explained most eloquently by essayist Aldous Huxley in his short talk at the University of California, Berkeley. [A] The specific technique of “Waiting for Allah” towards the same general purpose outlined by Aldous Huxley, has been in use from time immemorial. In fact, since the very invention of the clergy and the notions of god, karma, destiny, and the like to explain away all injustices in the world. Pakistan today is captured in its web little differently from the ancient man, and evidently even more so than the rest of the Muslims worldwide. [B] Un-strangely enough (if one understands social engineering that is), despite the bright information age of the twenty-first century with smart phones, facebook and twitter, the entire world is more and more beholden to some technique of behavior control as is best suited to the respective cultural and social genius of its diverse peoples. Few can escape its web of control as is captured in the report on The Mighty Wurlitzer. [C] Islam's singular directive for liberating oneself from its clutches is in the oft recited but evidently most poorly understood Surah Al-Asr. [D] Fourteen centuries into its existence, and the public mind is still beholden to the gods of tyranny who alone benefit from the people Waiting for Allah! Those given to reflection and not satisfied attributing tyranny to God, sometimes meander from Waiting for Allah to questioning the justice and mercy of Allah. [E] We however begin with surely the most diabolical idea ever invented for inducing voluntary servitude in mankind in the realm of religion: Waiting for Allah; and it is for the common man to help Allah shorten that Waiting – Eschatology, the Last Days, and what is metaphorically labeled 'Gog and Magog' in the Christian tradition, and 'Hajuj and Mahjuj' in the Holy Qur'an.

**Preamble**

The Muslims, most of us drowning in a surfeit of faith from ear to ear, are simply “waiting for Allah” thinking it is the “Last Days of Gog and Magog” that no mere mortals may withstand. So why bother? For, it is argued before them, an “indestructible power” the almighty creator hath himself calculatingly fashioned to fulfill his own (murderous) prophesies in order to finally rain divine justice upon the Earth – right after he hath rained phosphorous bombs upon children, women, men, the elderly, and destroyed their innocent civilizations at the very hands of his own “indestructible” hectoring hegemons to bring all that planned divine justice to fruition!

What an idiotic and cruel god whose imagination only extends from genesis to genocide in order to fashion creation. And while that is merely immanent, far more grotesque is the idiotic imbecilic mass of followers who malign their own Almighty Creator whom they daily aver to believe in, Who repeatedly describes Itself in the very Book they hold most sacred as “the Beneficent, the Merciful”!!
How can both be true simultaneously – create evil to accomplish his agenda while proclaiming to be merciful – unless it is a Zeus like fickle-minded god who enjoys games of cruel self-indulgence at the expense of his creation?

Can learned people not think with some rational logic, even when they be spiritually inclined, that any earthly devil couldn't wish for a better neutralization of any impediment emanating from the masses for its own “imperial mobilization” agendas? Give people their opiate in their right hand, while enslaving them with the left! Give them what they believe is divinely ordained – the majority will remain occupied in their pious and pecuniary pursuits believing it is all the ‘will’ of their god(s)! And more apropos to modernity, inculcate a trust in so called “experts” so that one may be convinced to suspend one's own commonsense and rational judgment. Witness the events of 911 where the catastrophic mode of failure of the tall buildings preclude all and sundry from even thinking that it could have been an “inside job”! Why? because the “experts” say 19 jihadis did it!

Woe be to them all who manufacture pretexts and justifications to not side with the truth, who lead people astray to make it appear that the helpless screaming victims is god's own work as destined, which none may alter; woe be to them all who remain busy in pious interlocution in their mosques, churches, temples while cataclysmic 'Shock and Awe' is continually visited upon innocent civilian populations. There is none on planet earth who can claim they haven't witnessed the preying of the vultures and vampires for which, surely, the Creator too must curse its own creation for their apathy despite plentiful guidance to every peoples!

What will thee, Oh people of the cloth, take to thine grave – a barrel full of gold and a ledger full of piety while God's creation was burned and starved at the hands of the hectoring hegemons right before thy twirling rosaries? Many an atheist show far greater moral acumen and disquiet in their actions when they rush to the aid of suffering humanity – for indeed, a moral compass appears to be built into us human beings, we who can reason, and we who can reflect, all killed by the eschatological gibberish fashioned by priests shilling for the enemies of mankind! While the misanthropes remain busy building corrupting institutions and instruments of co-option to create 'one-world' government, the sheeples are kept busy chasing absurdities.

We begin with surely the most diabolical idea ever invented for inducing voluntary servitude in mankind in the realm of religion: Waiting for Allah; and it is for the common man to help Allah shorten that Waiting – Eschatology, the Last Days, and what is metaphorically labeled 'Gog and Magog' in the Christian tradition, and 'Hajuj and Mahjuj' in the Holy Qur'an. For the latter, we go to the contemporary domain expert in Islamic Eschatology, Sheikh Imran N. Hosein, whose interpretation of 'Gog and Magog', imaginative and intriguing though it
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may be, lends new meaning to 'opiate of the peoples'.

By the yardstick of rational faculty of which the human mind is amply endowed and enjoined to be used by the Holy Qur'an, Imran Hosein's Eschatological viewpoint whose broad contours are quite prevalent among pious Muslims, reduces the religion of Islam to an absurdity. Of course, this assessment is only from the mind of a humble student, a common man, and not a scholar. One of course hastens to thank one's Creator that the tools of rational logic are also made available to the common man, albeit in different measures, and not given in monopoly to anyone.
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Letter: 'Gog and Magog' lend new meaning to 'opiate of the peoples' as Pakistan is destroyed in its name!

April 23, 2009 | Updated March 17, 2019

If you are a Pakistani, this letter is addressed to you. If you are a Muslim sitting on the fence, this letter is still addressed to you. I hope it reaches you some how through the unfathomable magic of the internet. Anyone just a 100 years ago would surely have thought this instant communication system as magic, of divine origin, and some fulfillment of the signs of the 'Last Days'. I assure you that I have some tiny role in making it happen as the proverbial cog in the giant wheel of Silicon Valley (see my patents), like tens of thousands of my other fellow engineers and scientists who have slogged day and night in the pursuit of 'American Dream' for so many years and have made many things happen – from telephone to television to cellphone to the Four galloping Horsemen of the Apocalypse – all instruments of instant communication, and also cataclysmic destruction.

And none of us builders of modernity are really divine, trust me, except perhaps that occasional gorgeous unapproachable ... so moving right along. Can a fallible creation, us, human beings, construct, or orchestrate, something that is divine in its purpose? Something useful: maybe. Something destructive: always. Evil: surely. But divine?

Perhaps that ought to be capitalized as Divine, as it is speaking of the idea of man being the instrument of God. But I'll continue to use the lower case form because the notion can hardly be imputed to God. It is man made and traces to all the mythical gods of antiquity who played their divine games at the expense of man, from Ram and Vishnu et. al. in the ancient Indian civilization which still informs the cultural and religious ethos of modern India, to Zeus and Apollo on Mount Olympus in the Hellenic civilization of the ancient Greeks and Romans whose legacy of intellectual thought informs the West.

Simple logic reveals the absurdity of this notion which is why I persist in the lower case usage of divinity to reflect my analysis of its human origins. If a divine needs evil mortals to do its dirty work to fulfill its divine plan, then the creation must possesses powers greater than its creator! The creator can't do its own dirty work and relies on us to do it – then on what basis of justice would it hold the creation accountable for fulfilling its own divine plan? Never mind that why should we worship it if we have greater powers. And therein lies a logical conundrum as old as mankind for all the divinely-learned on the planet who have had people do god's evil work in the name of their gods. Today these divinely-learned pulpit continue their absurdity by announcing the final unleashing of 'Gog and Magog' and the 'Last Days' based on how humanity has progressed into abject corruption and slavery to money and power in this Technetronic age, that in fact, it is all god's work. See “Last Days of Gog and Magog” (https://web.archive.org/web/2009/http://imranhosein.org/media/books/ivgmmw.pdf).

Yes, I too think it is gods', and not God's.

Zahir Ebrahim
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When the dogmatic, more interested in the immanent metaphors of eschatology than the more mundane matters of the here and the now – such as the empirical, to be dealt with today, with perhaps the straightforward Commandments like that expressed in Surah Al-Asr (Chapter 103 in the Holy Qur'an), or the straightforward Biblical Golden Rule expressed in the New and Old Testaments (Do unto others as you have them do unto you, The Holy Bible: Matthew 7:12, Luke 6:31; Old Testament Mosaic Law;) – preach to their faithful choir that the corruption on earth is in fulfillment of some divine prophesy so that god can finally bring justice to a suffering humanity at the End of Time, then they are effectively arguing that the corrupters are really doing the divine's work! And the public should not interfere with it, that if anything, as some religious fanatics among all three Abrahamic religions proclaim, they should be aided and abetted to hasten that outcome. How convenient for the hectoring hegemons that they are now doing “divine work” with the pulpits' blessings from two of the largest religions of man!

Furthermore, imagine being sold on the idea that all those wonderful Platitudes and Commandments in all those treasured Holy Books which none could enforce because the hectoring hegemons throughout the ages usurped peoples' rights and enslaved them, could in fact only be implemented by some awaited savior to be sent down by god. For indeed, it is but a truism, as Aldous Huxley had put it, that:

“nothing is easier than to formulate high ideals, but few things are more difficult than to discover the means for by those ideals might be implemented, and the categorical imperatives which spring from them can be a pain. This is the real problem”.

Thus imagine this problem of impracticality being solved eschatologically, in a self-perpetuating, self-fulfilling prophecy:

● (1) don't even bother resisting evil because you will fail, since it's all happening by divine decree ;

Imran Hosein, the scholar-preacher extraordinaire of Pakistani origin, and the enigmatic author of Last Days of Gog and Magog, is on the mark on his analysis of 9/11 as an inside job and part of a long running conspiracy by the international banking cabal to take over the world. His advocacy however, based on his gog and magog gibberish, to disengage completely from this world like the 'people of the cave' of Surah Al Kahf, and to do nothing until the Promised Salvation of the 'Last Days' arrives, puts him squarely in the camp of supporters of tyranny by inaction. What a shame!
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- (2) thus don't challenge your rulers and their oppressions even while you recognize them as oppressors, they rule as god's vicegerent on earth;

- (3) it's all written in the Good Book ("Obey Allah and obey the Messenger and those charged with authority among you" – Holy Qur'an Surah An-Nisa, Chapter 4, verse 59), can't you read you heathens, that Muslim tyrants are a mercy from god as they hasten mankind towards the 'Last Days' when justice will finally reign supreme, and so we must not resist;

not to ignore the pious Christians' Holy Book ("I am free to submit to authority. I am free to make myself a slave. My friends, you are free, you are free to respect and appreciate the authority of the government that god gives to you - Honor the King! The way you talk about your government, it's so easy to complain isn't it? It is so easy to criticize, it is so easy to find fault. Honor the King. Do it anyway, whether the king deserves it or not. All authority, all authority is an extension of god's authority!" – from the bizarre Biblical sermon [Romans 13] outlining the New American Theology of Civil Submission, April 14, 2008);

- (4) for the 'Last Days' prophesy to be fulfilled, the whole world must become filled with injustice and oppression, and only then will the Mahdi, and/or the Messiah, shall be made to return by the Will of God to fashion a new righteous world army which will fight tyranny to end all injustice on earth once and for all;

- (5) until then, since any battle with tyrants is futile, just work on saving your imaan (beliefs); focus on personal piety; go back to the basics to being 'good Muslims'; spiritually prepare to be eligible to join the Army of the Mahdi/Messiah in the unknown tomorrow whose numbers will be limited and only those of the highest spiritual merit will be accepted; for today be content with purifying your hearts and controlling your carnal desires as you continue to "Render unto Caesar the things which are Caesar's, and unto God the things that are God's" (New Testament, Matthew 22:21); etceteras.

- (6) It would be a travesty to neglect to mention the most remarkable gibberish among that set, what the newest preacher to burst onto the internet-scene preaches in polished English vernacular: disengage from this world entirely like the 'people of the cave' of Surah Al Kahf until the promised salvation of the 'Last Days' arrives.

I have heard this convoluted logic in several variations since 911 when the mosques in the United States suddenly became full of the pious trying to be 'good Muslims' who 'United We Stand' with empire as heathens like me took to the streets protesting the impending destruction of innocent civilians in Iraq. (See Chapter 8 of Prisoners of the Cave, my 2003 book)

The logic method known as Reductio ad absurdum (Latin, literally: reduction to the absurd; a method of disproving a proposition by showing that its inevitable consequences would be absurd, or lead to self-contradiction; conversely, also a method of indirectly proving a proposition by assuming...
its negation to be true and showing that this leads to an absurdity), applied rigorously to the above observations demonstrates all these to be based on the absurd premise of “God's Will” for some “Divine Plan” which requires tyranny to become ubiquitous before God Shall intervene to end it.

Let's dissect this premise and see what happens if it is presumed to be true:

- (1) If God needs evil men and women to implement its divine plan, then creation becomes more enabled than the creator and the creator depends on its own creation, and thus the key abstract philosophical attribute of God being Self-sustaining; Self-sufficient; Self-consistent; Free from contradiction; Free from defects; Not dependent on anyone or anything; Omnipotent, “kun fa-ya-kun” (Be, and it is); etc., is thrown out the window; the question is akin to the logical fallacy “can God create a stone which he cannot lift”, meaning, if God can do anything, if God is defined as Omnipotent, then can God limit himself to become dependent on its own creation to do its bidding, similarly, can God put the unjust in heaven and the just ones in hell contrary to his own prime directive on supreme justice and his own attribute of being the Most Just, etceteras., all these creating irreconcilable contradictions among the attributes themselves thus making for a defective God who is not free from contradictions --- So, either the God premise is false, the attributes ascribed to God are false, or the premise being tested is false, and for those who accept the God premise on faith (as it is un-falsifiable and therefore in the realm of faith), and accept by way of reasoning the philosophical attribute that a God must be free from all defects and perfect in all his

Surah Al Kahf, Chapter 18 of the Holy Qur'an, is likely the most allegorical, metaphorical, and speculation-rife Chapter in the entire Good Book whose meaning can only be known to the Author of the Holy Qur'an, or to those designated in the Good Book as “rasikhoon-fil-ilm”, as in verse 3:7. The rest, each in our own time, can speciously expend futile energies deciphering the precise identification of Hajuj and Mahjuj beyond the obvious reference in Surah Al Kahf to a metaphorical potent force of evil that pillaged and plundered without mercy, and which was effectively blocked with an unscalable iron wall by the force of good called Zulqernain.

Surah Al Kahf 18:83-100 narrates of this as an event in an indeterminate time long past, in an indeterminate geography, and also asserting of an indeterminate future time when the iron wall shall be turned to dust and the terror of Hajuj and Mahjuj shall be unleashed on (or before) the Day of Judgment: “On that day We shall leave them to surge like waves on one another: the trumpet will be blown, and We shall collect them all together.”

Surah Al Anbiya 21:95-109 continues that narrative of what shall transpire on or before the Day of Judgment: “Until the Hajuj and Mahjuj (people) are let through (their barrier), and they swiftly swarm from every hill.”, while asserting the indeterminacy of when: “Say: I have proclaimed the Message to you all alike and in truth; but I know not whether that which ye are promised is near or far “. 
attributes without contradictions among them or else He is not Divine, the only remaining choice is to reject the premise in question;

- (2) failure of the lofty moral platitudes in the Holy Books, the Bible, the Qur'an, the Ten Commandments, not to neglect other moral scriptures sacred to man in other civilizations, in accomplishing man's spiritual guidance for a moral existence, thus necessitating Divine Intervention in the form of the Eschatology of the Last Days, a Mahdi, a Messiah, to finally bring justice to mankind by breaking its bonds of servitude to fellow man as man couldn't live up to the Divine Guidance himself to accomplish it himself; the very concept of Divine Intervention of the Last Days presupposes that Divine Guidance failed in its Categorical Imperative to reform man by giving him the choice to establish justice among themselves but man failed to live up to the Divine Guidance and it will be forced onto man by Divine Intervention of the Mahdi, the Messiah in the Last Days ---- so what was the point of that entire exercise of Divine Guidance? So either the Guidance is Divine in which case it cannot fail not only because God understands both the Guidance and the target of that Guidance since He created them both and coupled them together, but also because of the fact that God is free from defects and cannot fail, and therefore the presupposition is false; or it was not divine and failed because it did not understand the nature of man and its primacy as well as its sheep instincts, in which case the outcome is already predictable; but it cannot be both Divine and fail in its own stated purpose (see the Dialog on the Creation of Adam in Surah Al-Baqara);

- (3) evil triumphing becoming the pre-requisite for justice and peace among mankind pushes the Mephistophelian end justify the means dogma of expediency and military style “objectivity” to achieve any target as morally legitimate, which is antithetical to the moral codes of not just all three Abrahamic religions, but all theist moral philosophies and traditions creating a self-contradiction of monumental proportions if then God Himself indulges in it to achieve His Divine Plan; and

- (4) a catch-22 in Accountability on the Day of Judgment is created for the religions which proclaim Heaven and Hell in an Afterlife as reward or punishment --- what punishment if the evil was necessary part of the Divine plan and consequently its vile purveyors the Divine instruments?

As we see, absurdities pile up upon each other very quickly with even a modicum of analytical thought if we accept the premise underlying the Eschatology being taught mankind from virtually all Abrahamic pulpts, of all sectarian flavors. By the logical reasoning method of reductio ad absurdum, the premise stands rejected because it leads to absurdities if presumed true.

It is reasonable to inquire whether there is any explicit reference to such “savior cometh”, or to such an absurd Eschatology, in the categorical and foundational verses of the Holy Qur'an?

Admittedly, I am not a scholar of the scriptures, let alone of the most profound world religion. Nevertheless, as a thinking student of scriptures interested in uncovering what the Good Book itself
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says rather than what the pen of man says it says, a
diligent non-expert study of the singular scripture of
Islam, the Holy Qur'an, reveals that all the “Mahdi
and Messiah returning to free mankind from its
bondage” type beatitudinous concepts of
Eschatology appear to be entirely from pages outside
the Holy Qur'an itself.

It is noteworthy that: (1) these ideas are
prevalent in the Biblical literature, and arguably,
somehow their tenor crept into the Muslim literature
of antiquity penned by the Muslim holy scribes and
narrators of Islamic history; and (2) that these ideas
are, at best, based on wholly speculative
interpretations of the metaphorical and allegorical
verses of the Holy Qur'an, and the supposed
Prophetic sayings (Hadiths) to suit one's own fancy,
ethos, inclination, and socialization bias in which
one can impute pretty much any meaning to these
metaphorical verses based on how much one believes
in one's own holy books outside the pages of the
Holy Qur'an.

In other words, apart from any subversive
agenda to wittingly put religion in the service of
empire, innocent confirmation bias and unwitting
incestuous self-reinforcement appears to have led to
interpreting the speculative verses of the Holy Qur'an
on these Eschatological matters!

Who is to say who has got the right
interpretation? The right ijtihad?

Are the verses of the Holy Qur'an poetry that
one can imagine them to mean whatever one fancies?
Or are the verses of the Holy Qur'an a specific
guidance unto mankind from its Author?

Witness the unequivocal warning in the Holy Qur'an to refrain from such gratuitous
interpretations, and who specifically have the right to interpret the metaphorical verses of the Holy
Qur'an, in verse 3:7 of Surah Aal-'Imran.

While the Holy Qur'an is most categorical
in its warning of the existence of The Day
of Judgment and Resurrection when all
Accounts shall be settled, there is no
mention of eschatological matters of 'Last
Days' in it! There is no prophecy of an
' Awaited Savior', nor of creation being filled
with evil needing Divine Intervention to
institute justice. For, indeed, that would
have meant the Holy Qur'an predicting that
Islam would fail in guiding mankind toward
the purpose for which Allah fashioned man
in ahsan-e-taqweem (95:4)

According to the Holy Qur'an, the Day of
Reckoning is coming for all mankind who
have ever lived, and were ever born, and
who shall all be gathered together, but no
one knows when that will be, nor who, or
what, is meant by Hajuj and Mahjuj, except
that this strange force of evil shall be
extremely terrifying, but not to those who
did good in their life: “The Great Terror
will bring them no grief: but the angels
will meet them (with mutual greetings):
'This is your Day,- (the Day) that ye were
promised.' ”

So, commonsense dares one to ask: why
speculate when one has no firm knowledge
of Divine matters and misguide others with
idiotic advocacy of disengagement from this
world waiting for Allah?

“He it is Who has sent down to thee the Book: In it
are verses basic or fundamental (of established
meaning); they are the foundation of the Book:
others are allegorical.

هوُ الّذِي أَنْزَلَ عَلَيْكَ الَّكُتَابُ
منه آيات مَحْكَمَاتٍ هُنَّ أَمْ
الأَّلِكَابَ وَأَخْرَ مُشْتَابِهَاتَ
But those in whose hearts is perversity follow the part thereof that is allegorical, seeking discord, and searching for its hidden meanings,

| فَأَمَّا الَّذِينَ فِي قُلُوبِهِمْ زِيَعٌ | \begin{align*} \text{وَمَا يُعْلَمُ تَأْوِيلَهُ إِلَّا الَّذِينَ} \\
\text{الْرَأْسَاهُونَ فِي الْعُلُمِ} \end{align*} |
|---|---|
| but no one knows its hidden meanings except Allah[,] and those who are firmly grounded in knowledge[:]

| [they] say: 'We believe in the Book; the whole of it is from our Lord:' and none will grasp the Message except men of understanding.” (Surah Aal-'Imran 3:7, Tr. Abdullah Yusuf Ali, alternate punctuation in [brackets], un capitalization in bold. See here for alternate parsing.) |
| 

For the Shia Muslims for instance, *Imam Mahdi* is as fundamental a construct of faith as the Prophet of Islam is, but unfortunately derives virtually its entire body of beliefs exclusively from pages outside the Holy Qur'an. The many Sunni Muslim sects share the same eschatology as well, all drawn from pages outside the Holy Qur'an most favored by the holy sects. However, among all the Muslim sects, the Shia Muslims, like the early Christians, are most profoundly awaiting the return of the Savior, *Imam Mahdi*, to whom allegiance and obedience is pledged in daily ritual prayers, and in all religious gatherings. Iran has extended that obedience to the *valihe-faqih*, an ayatollah who rules the public in *Imam Mahdi*’s name in his absence as his self-proclaimed temporal lieutenant (*wali*), imam, under the rubric of yet another religious dogma of obedience to authority prevalent among the Shia Muslims called “taqlid”.

Iran of course presents itself as the greatest antagonist of the oppressive West today. But even as she boldly articulates Ayatollah Khomeini's conception of the “Great Satan” in her national and international proclamations, her religious and political leadership under the *valihe-faqih* remain rather timid in calling 9/11 the 'operation canned goods' that it is. One can only imagine that they are also waiting for *Imam Mahdi* to call out that assessment.

The Holy Qur'an is pretty clear on the immense burden of scholars. In Surah An-Nahl verse 16:25, the warning to scholars of Islam unguided by the Divine Hand is stark:

“Let them bear, on the Day of Judgment, their own burdens in full, and also (something) of the burdens of those without knowledge, whom they misled. Alas, how grievous the burdens they will bear!” See The Divine Guidance System of *Wasilah*.

The Holy Qur'an is also pretty clear on *categorical imperatives* offered to man as his choice of free will, with concomitant Accountability for making whatever choices to be held on the *Day of Judgment*: to expend productive energies on interdicting and damming the evils in one's own epoch like *Zulqernain* did — evil metaphored by *Iblis*, the Devil, and metaphorized by *Hajuj and Mahjuj* — in order to not be in a state of loss on that *Day of Accountability* as per Surah Al-Asr.
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Whatever the actual religious reality of the return of Imam Mahdi and Messiah may be in the religion of Islam (a point which is orthogonal to the one being made here), the empirical and unarguable fact of the matter is that the concept of “Waiting for Allah” (which includes waiting for any Savior, Mahdi, Messiah when such beliefs defer mobilizing resistance against systems of tyranny and oppression until their arrival in the ‘Last Days’) forms a most powerful opiate for inducing voluntary servitude as “karma” (as among the Hindu caste system): a bold and voluntary resignation to fate as divinely ordained inevitability. What a powerful opiate!

While our minds may grapple with esoteric matters to comprehend the trend of current affairs and how it might be charting the course of future human history, if such grappling concludes that matters are inevitable regardless of human will, then it makes a mockery of human will. One might as well dispense with such a religion altogether if it proclaims all of human affairs are preordained. If man cannot change himself and change future history as a consequence of it, what is the purpose of religion?

Allah enjoined mankind to reflect upon His Message with the head while believing with the heart. Of course, even in the matters of the head, even two super scientists can disagree violently on wholly empirical matters --- so what of Eschatology! As for the matters of the heart, it has been observed that one man's love can be another's trash --- so what of objectivity on spiritual matters?

The Holy Qur'an invites mankind to open both our heart and our mind in order to understand its message with ma'arifat, depth perception. And verse 3:7 Surah Aal-'Imran quoted above, cautions Muslims against arguing on matters speculative, or creating bone of contentions when matters are plain. Verse 5:48 enjoins mankind to focus on fuss-tabi-qul-khairat instead of becoming arrogant and fighting amongst one another even when one might disagree passionately with one another. Here is the verse of wise counsel from the Book of Divine Guidance:

If Allah had so willed, He would have made you a single people, but (His plan is) to test you in what He hath given you: so strive as in a race in all virtues. The goal of you all is to Allah; it is He that will show you the truth of the matters in which ye dispute. (Surah Al-Maeda 5:48)

As verse 3:7 avers, Allah and those who are firmly grounded in knowledge know the metaphorical binding of 'Hajuj and Mahjuj' best. The rest is mere speculation. And as verse 5:48 avers, the Divine Counsel is to focus on fuss-tabi-qul-khairat, to strive as in a race in all virtues. The foundation of the said race in all virtues is laid out in Surah Al-Asr. Its most surprising conclusion is examined in The Noble Path: Denying to Caesar what is not Caesar’s – Surah Al-Asr of the Holy Qur'an.

Why metaphorical allusions like 'Hajuj and Mahjuj' that defy the common man's understanding exist in the Holy Qur'an, and indeed, why does verse 3:7 testify to only a select people who are firmly grounded in knowledge ever understanding it --- isn't the Holy Qur'an a Guidance to All mankind, including hoi polloi --- is beyond a common man's imagination to comprehend.

It is a categorical fact that any imputation to fatalism, to predestination of human affairs, to the
need for supra-natural interventions as End Times, to human-will unable to alter its human conditions, is neither present in the Holy Qur'an in its categorical verses, nor is it a logical final conclusion for a religion that postures itself as an empowering Divine Guidance to mankind. A religion that categorically avers to empower man to alter his condition by his own strivings; a religion which avers that it is entirely up to man to be thankful or unthankful for the Divine Guidance; that whomsoever, or whatsoever, mankind follow as their imams (guides, paths) will take each man and his civilization to their natural and logical outcome; that human affairs will not be altered by magical interventions after the Divine Guidance is completed and perfected:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>We have indeed created man in the best of moulds, (Surah Al-T'in 95:4 )</th>
<th>لَقَدْ خَلَقْنَا الْإِنسَانَ فِي أُحْسَنِ تَقُوُّمٍ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lo! Allah changeth not the condition of a folk until they (first) change that which is in their hearts; (Surah Ar-Raad verse fragment 13:11 )</td>
<td>إِنَّ اللَّهَ لَا يَعْبِرُ مَا يَقِيمُونَ حَتَّى يَقِيمُوا مَا يَأْتِيسُهُمْ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surely We have shown him the way: he may be thankful or unthankful. (Surah Al-insaan 76:3 )</td>
<td>إِنَّا هَدِيْنَاهُ السَّبِيلَ إِمَّا شَاكِرًا وَإِمَّا كُفُورًا</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“One day We shall call together all human beings with their (respective) Imams” (Surah al-Israa' 17:71 )</td>
<td>يُومَ نُذُعْوُ كُلُّ أَنَّاسٍ بِبَيْمَاتِهِمْ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That which is left you by Allah is best for you, if ye (but) believed! but I am not set over you to keep watch! (Surah Hud, 11:86 )</td>
<td>بَيْنَتُ اللَّهِ خَيْرًا لَكُمْ إن كَتَنَّ مُؤْمِنِينَ وَمَا أَنَا عَلَيْكُم بِبَحْفِيظ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This day have I perfected your religion for you, completed My favour upon you, and have chosen for you Islam as your religion.” (Surah Al-Maeda verse fragment 5:3 )</td>
<td>الْيَوْمَ أَكْمَلْتِ لَكُمْ دِينَكُمْ وَأَنْتُمْ عَلَيْكُم بَيْنَتٍ وَرَضِيتُ لِلْإِسْلَامِ دِينًا</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This overt and categorical Guidance in the Holy Qur'an is just too straightforward and empowering to think of Islam as a medieval fatalistic religion in need of Biblical End Times and absurd irrational Eschatology for man's salvation! Which is why there is no mention of such idiocy in the Holy Qur'an.

Mankind is still in mere infancy despite its myriad civilizations, and despite its immense growth in knowledge and awareness of the external world. Man's access to his inner higher states of consciousness still remains undeveloped like that of a child – and yet to experience its growth spurt. Without developing higher states of consciousness, the religion of Islam shall remain a ritualistic shell and its followers shall remain deprived of its fruit which, as its final cause, is intended to liberate the mind of man from the shackles of servitude to fellow man, in complete submission to one God.

You either believe in it all, or you reject it all --- cannot pick and choose to make khichri (hodge-podge) of the teachings of the Holy Qur'an, the singular Scripture of the religion of Islam. It is
absurd for Muslims to base their beliefs on anything other than their singular Scripture which itself proclaims to be the perfected and completed Word of God for the Divine Guidance of all mankind; for each individual to follow or reject, for his collectives to follow or reject, as per man's own free will. “Surely We have shown him the way: he may be thankful or unthankful.”

QED.

Inducing Voluntary Servitude plusplus

Now imagine layering upon this socialized belief system of inducing voluntary servitude waiting for Allah, an even more diabolical system in which a new type of slavery is created for which Goethe, the German philosopher, stated:

“None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free. The truth has been kept from the depth of their minds by masters who rule them with lies. They feed them on falsehoods till wrong looks like right in their eyes.”

Modernity is run by superman intellectuals; they are its principal architects ahead of politicians who merely serve their interests. Both work for the same unaccountable powers behind the scenes who harvest man's discontent, his suffering, at times inducing it with systems of oppression, at times aiding and abetting it by imposing proxy situations, for an onslaught on all social and moral order for their agenda of world government. This is done by creating “revolutionary times” of one type or another, sometimes as bloody revolutions, like the French and Russian revolutions, other times as crises, catastrophes, world wars, pestilences, and the new “democracy revolutions” we are experiencing today, to create the conditions which enable enacting that which is greater than the temporal human conditions which gave birth to these “revolutionary times”. This is how all the global police-state laws, greater and greater powers invested with supra-national governing bodies like the UN, BIS, WB, IMF, come about.

Those who control these supra national institutions from the dark shadows as the root godhead of the financial oligarchy, control the world. But despite the past two centuries of development to first systematically destroy existing world order through revolutions and world wars, surely there hasn't been in the history of thought a more diabolical and multi-faceted Hegelian Dialectic enacted on the world stage than is being enacted today between the Waiting for Allah and Helping Allah.

At times goaded on by crafty slogans of “liberation” with “God is on your side” and at other
times by actively engaging in empire's own creation of the trifecta of “militant Islam” vs. “moderate Islam” vs. “revolutionary Islam” to serve the same “imperial mobilization” agenda for world government. Religion in the hands of *superman* remains the ultimate tool of primacy for mass behavior control. The *superman* can make ordinary man enthused with spirit fight for or against anything, for or against any ideology, and he can make the rest of the spectating bystanders quietly accept the frightful conditions imposed upon them while patiently “Waiting for Allah” to rescue them. And I include in that group all believers of all faiths and religions who are fed on hope of a better future by getting them to accept the torpuous present with quiet resignation. This condition of voluntary servitude Aldous Huxley termed the “Ultimate Revolution”:

“Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.”

Their new age religion on the horizon intended to replace all religions that are based on ancient Holy Books, is called *Secular Humanism*, the religion of reason of the *superman*, and underwritten entirely in modern Orwellian Newspeak whereby morality is relative, expediency is law, and state is supreme. The state no longer exists on the will of man to serve his interests, but man exists on the will of the state to serve its interests. A world constitution is being underwritten by default by the fiat of global laws already in the making with these underlying premises. To officially layer platitudinous wording over it once the structures are in place has been in draft-mode for a while. As for instance, in his 1940 book “New World Order”, H. G. Wells even outlined a manifesto, the “Declaration of the Rights of Man”, which when I first read it I also thought was a most sensible 10-point seeding Articles for drafting a planetary level equitable ‘social contract’ for all the peoples of the planet. Wells wrote in 1940 just as Nazi victories were piling up at the onset of World War II:

“And if we, the virtuous democracies, are not fighting for these common human rights, then what in the name of the nobility and gentry, the Crown and the Established Church, the City, The Times and the Army and Navy Club, are we common British peoples fighting for?”

And Wells most artfully extended that “virtue” in the Tenth Article of the “Declaration of the Rights of Man”. Its wording is so damn sharp that it even had me perplexed whether it was a noble vision for “universal law” like Lord Tennyson’s in his 1842 poem “Locksley Hall”, or vile propaganda for imposing tyranny as can be seen in my report: *The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy For World Government*, where I had observed:

“If H. G. Wells was a devious Orwellian character, there really would have been no reason for creating the inordinately commonsensical, rational, fair, and very moral Declaration of the Rights of Man which immensely empower breaking all bonds of voluntary servitude!”

Here is the brilliant verbiage of H. G. Wells’ *Tenth Article of Universal Declaration of Human*
Rights for you to examine yourself:

“No treaty and no law affecting these primary rights shall be binding upon any man or province or administrative division of the community, that has not been made openly, by and with the active or tacit acquiescence of every adult citizen concerned, either given by a direct majority vote of the community affected or through the majority vote of his publicly elected representatives. In matters of collective behaviour it is by the majority decision men must abide. No administration, under a pretext of urgency, convenience or the like, shall be entrusted with powers to create or further define offences or set up by-laws, which will in any way infringe the rights and liberties here asserted. All legislation must be public and definite. No secret treaties shall be binding on individuals, organisations or communities. No orders in council or the like, which extend the application of a law, shall be permitted. There is no source of law but the people, and since life flows on constantly to new citizens, no generation of the people can in whole or in part surrender or delegate the legislative power inherent in mankind.”

Lovely verbiage? While searching to resolve that irksome question, it occurred to me that perhaps like the lofty US Constitution, built upon the systematic genocide of ten million native inhabitants of that land without shedding a tear, which gave the wonderful Declaration of its Bill of Rights to only those of the right race and heritage who were deemed “legitimate” human beings to have natural “inalienable rights”, and so are these lovely platitudes for only those who survive the pious culling of the untermensch in the New World Order (this concept of semantics of words having narrower or different meanings from what they are deceptively made to appear to the public mind, George Orwell termed “Newspeak”).

This culling of the untermensch for population reduction is to be variously blamed upon nature, and/or upon the Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse, the “revolutionary times” so to speak, that is necessary in order execute on the genocidal agenda. A glimpse into that underlying twisted villainy of the Ubermensch mindset in which the people of the right race and heritage are permitted all the rights of man, including to procreate at will, to go forth and multiply, while the untermensch as the useless eaters are to be population controlled and/or reduced for the threat of the have-nots to the national security of the haves in a global police-state is afforded in Bertrand Russell's 1952 book: Impact of Science on Society. A book which few people appear to have read but must read. In addition to advocating birth-control of the lesser humanity who haven't contributed anything to world civilization while permitting the white races to procreate at will, Lord Bertrand Russell philosophically reasoned

As per the United States' National Security Strategy Memorandum NSSM-200 written by the then Secretary of State Henry Kissinger in 1974 and presented to president Gerald Ford for his signature which the president signed as the National Security Decision Memorandum NSDM 314 in 1975, agreeing to the population control agenda for world's most populous Least Developed Countries outlined by Kissinger. See a brief examination of the section titled “An Alternative View” in NSSM-200, in this scribe's report on Capitalist Conspiracy for World Government.
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for global police-state being the only effective and practical means of maintaining world government:

“There is, it must be confessed, a psychological difficulty about a single world
government. The chief source of social cohesion in the past, I repeat, has been
war: the passions that inspire feeling of unity are hate and fear. These depend
upon the existence of an enemy, actual or potential. It seems to follow that a
world government could only be kept in being by force, not by the
spontaneous loyalty that now inspires a nation at war.”

And world police-state is what we have today, driving global governance in stages towards the
fait accompli of one world. Well, evidently, it is easier to maintain police-state with Newspeak under
Orwellian cover in which people are brought to love their own servitude than just at the point of the
hard bayonet as in vanilla military dictatorships. One can witness the platitudinous wordsmithing in
the UN docs, in the EU constitution, etc., which underwrite the nihilist world order all of which are
layered upon the premises outlined above. All are connivings to take away real individual rights in
practice under the pretense of giving some abstract universal rights to man, while the superman will
always retain all the real rights because he defines what those are. The superman exercises those rights
through its control of the state and its legal authority to legislate any abhorrence and call that law. The
superman increasingly exudes that power through the supra-national world governing bodies who
today implement those laws with the acquiescing of all nations in the name of international law. The
superman declares wars whenever he wants, makes peace whenever he wants, imprisons whomsoever
he wants, makes heroes and villains of whomsoever he wants and labels all that justice. He weaves a
web of deceit and calls it truth. And he gets the common mind to accept his brilliant arithmetic of two
plus two make five as he inches the world rapidly towards global police-state with incremental body of
oppressive laws in the name of national and world security. While all can see the heinous acts of the
superman conducted in the name of security, the common herd, like the three wise monkeys, almost
always hears no evil, speaks no evil, and sees no evil.

Despite Orwell's attempt to explain the use of language for oppression with the Newspeak
dictionary in his fable Nineteen eighty-four, and Huxley's attempt to explain the same in his fable A
Brave New World in which the real knowledge in possession of the elite is carefully segregated from
what is taught to the public mind, even intelligent well-read people don't seem to realize that language
is the first weapon of conquest and remain caught in its sophisticated multifaceted trappings.
Newspeak is the very foundation of the new age religion of Secular Humanism for the New World
Order.

Is courage so cheap, and shame so rare, that we forsake one and gather the other as we build
lies upon lies and create apathy upon apathy?

If only scholars had instead forsaken their pen and taken up the sword – their crimes could have
been [relatively] trivially dealt with. They have completely changed night into day and day into night,
just like the controllers in Plato's Myth of the Cave. Each of those 'prisoners of the cave', from time
immemorial, feeling the whip on their back and the sword on their neck, must surely have thought the
'Last Days' is at hand, that resistance today is futile, that to save their souls for the morrow when the
savior cometh is their only way out! Sounds like it could be a remarkable scene in the remake of 1984!
I copyright that Idea! Hollywood/Bollywood if you read this, make sure you send me a check – for I
am rather broke (sic!) as layoffs and industry shutdowns are taking over as America's new paymasters,
while the *gog and magog* du jour laugh their way to the bank!!

The following illustrative passages of what's to come and how it might be unveiled to the public mind are excerpted from my report: Financial Terrorism April 2009 – Financial News Analysis in Context.

**Begin Excerpt (April 2009)**

“The Day After – American Agenda for Pakistan” is so palpably visible that only *fools and shills* among the Westerners, and *native-informants* among the victims, will continue to bleat *Alice's 'War On Terror'* instead of getting the prime-movers behind the 'merchants of death'!

And many, drowning in a surfeit of faith from ear to ear, are simply “waiting for Allah” thinking it is the “Last Days of Gog and Magog” that no mere mortals may withstand. For, it is argued before them, an “indestructible power” the almighty creator hath himself calculatingly fashioned to fulfill his own (murderous) prophesies in order to finally rain divine justice upon the Earth – right after he hath rained phosphorous bombs upon children, women, men, the elderly, and destroyed their innocent civilizations at the very hands of his own “indestructible” hectoring hegemons to bring all that planned divine justice to fruition! What an idiotic and cruel god whose imagination only extends from *genesis to genocide* in order to fashion creation. And while that is merely immanent, far more grotesque is the idiotic imbecilic mass of followers who malign their own Almighty Creator whom they daily aver to believe in, Who repeatedly describes Itself in the very Book they hold most sacred as “the Beneficent, the Merciful”! How can both be true simultaneously – unless it is a Zeus like fickle-minded god who enjoys games of cruel self-indulgence at the expense of his creation?

Can learned people not think with some rational logic, even when they be spiritually inclined, that any earthly devil couldn't wish for a better neutralization of any impediment emanating from the masses for its own “imperial mobilization” agendas? Give people their opiate in their right hand, while enslaving them with the left! Give them what they believe is divinely ordained – the majority will remain occupied in their pious and pecuniary pursuits believing it is all the 'will' of their god(s)! And more apropos to modernity, inculcate a trust in so called “experts” so that one may be convinced to suspend one's own commonsense and rational judgment. Witness the events of 911 where the catastrophic mode of failure of the tall buildings preclude all and sundry from even thinking that it could have been an “inside job”! Why? because the “experts” say 19 jihadis did it!

Woe be to them all who manufacture pretexts and justifications to not side with the truth, who lead people astray to make it appear that the helpless screaming victims is god's own work as destined which none may alter, who remain busy
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in pious interlocution in their mosques, churches, temples while cataclysmic 'Shock and Awe' is continually visited upon innocent civilian populations. There is none on planet earth who can claim they haven't witnessed the preying of the vultures and vampires for which, surely, the Creator too must curse its own creation for their apathy despite plentiful guidance to every peoples! What will thee, Oh people of the cloth, take to thine grave – a barrel full of gold and a ledger full of piety while God's creation was burned and starved at the hands of the hectoring hegemons right before thy twirling rosaries? Many an atheist show far greater moral acumen and disquiet in their actions when they rush to the aid of suffering humanity – for indeed, a moral compass appears to be built into us human beings, we who can reason, and we who can reflect, all killed by the eschatological gibberish fashioned by priests shilling for the enemies of mankind! While the misanthropes remain busy building corrupting institutions and instruments of co-option to create 'one-world' government, the sheeples are kept busy chasing absurdities.

At the intersection of political science and religion, whereby the latter is used in the most sophisticated and devilish of ways to server the former (as in client-server computing), such as, for example: (1) to both subvert and incapacitate political activism in its most efficacious dimension while simultaneously promulgating “imperial mobilization” by fashioning the perpetual enemy of “Islamofascism” ; (2) to devilishly fashion 'freedom fighters' with “God is on your side” ; (3) to return the Jews to Zion by killing off god and selling the 'ubermensch' concept of the Jewish peoples themselves being their own Messiah (see Letter to Editor: Dalit Voice's 'Which god?' February 08, 2009) ; etc., 'religion' is today as much a part of the Machiavellian instrument of hegemony, as it was in antiquity when the rather banal 'divine sanction' was invoked for imperial legitimacy!

Muslims today, being among the most intellectually challenged peoples on the face of the earth, are even encouraged to once again dream of 'khilafat', as that strain conveniently adds to the phobia of the “Triumphalism of Islam” (see Bernard Lewis, Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror, 2001).

Between the sedation of Aldous Huxley's ethereal 'Soma' and the fatigue of the treadmill of the 'American Dream', the plebeians are led to the slaughter – all throughout the ages, since time immemorial. Latter day modernity is no different, only more Machiavellian! With a deception so Technetronic, and mind manipulation so ubiquitous, that it should not surprise anyone if they see their god's names spelled in the sky and 'Jesus' descend on the 'wings of angels' at the respective GPS coordinates of each peoples' holy predictions! Coming soon to the pious neighborhoods of the (Abrahamic) world. Thousands of visitors have been nightly entertained in Disneyland by holographic image projection's progressive development for at least two decades, and it must surely be ready by now for introducing new convoluted twists to “imperial mobilization”!
Waiting for Allah

And Pakistan is next! A “Kosovo” is being orchestrated in Pakistan and matters have maddeningly been brought right on the verge of fait accompli. All for the meager want of a handful of courageous men and women of national prominence to simply have called 'a spade a spade'! Unlike Palestine, Pakistan was destroyed, first and foremost, by her own treasonous mercenary peoples! The United States to follow suit, for the exact same reason!

End Excerpt

Please do share this letter with your own circle of friends if you find any merit in it. If it only wins me scorn from the 'uber pious', the 'uber intellectuals', and hemlock be the only wages of my sins, that hemlock is more tasteful to me as my prize than all the riches and ego inflation the native-informants accumulate as their prize.

Zahir Ebrahim

References
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Zahir's Comments

1. Project Humanbeingsfirst.org March 31, 2014 at 10:53 am

Hi Lila,

Just a quick correction, if I may be permitted to offer one. You stated:

“Update 1: An Islamic interpretation of Gog and Magog. It doesn’t identify Russia with Gog and Magog, but identifies it with militant Zionism”

Slight correction: The source you cite is NOT an Islamic interpretation of Gog and Magog, but one Muslim’s personal interpretation.

Just as it is for the Christians interpreting the Bible and imputing their understanding to “Christianity” the religion.

Fortunately, unlike Christianity and Christians which share the same root word, the religion of Islam has separate names for what is the prescribed religion: called Islam, and its followers: called Muslims.

In the prescribed religion itself, Chapter 18, Surah Al-Kahf, deals with this topic as allegorical, metaphorical, and like the variables of an algebraic expression, these allegories can take on any value the beholder wishes to impute to them. Unlike the algebraic equation however, the speculative value cannot be verified, confirmed, and virtually any plausible value fits in.

Some allegories of the Holy Qur’an are relatively easy to understand as their meaning is plain. Others, only the Author of the Holy Qur’an knows. No one knows what these latter allegories really mean for sure, they can mean anything the mind fancies, which is why, from the time of advent of Islam, varying interpretations of these allegories have abounded and been imputed as “Islamic” interpretations.

Those with nothing better to do in every era have wasted both their and their flock’s time pursuing what the Good Book itself describes as “mutashabihat”, meaning, allegorical, and not knowable for sure.

The Good Book itself categorizes its own verses in two types: a) foundational verses each of which have a unique categorical meaning requiring no interpretation. And b) allegorical verses whose understanding and interpretation requires a different protocol for understanding them, with the acquired understanding always being, at best, subjective, and in some cases not achievable by ordinary peoples. This is specified in verse 3:7 of the Holy Qur’an (and it is examined in my book Hijacking the Holy Qur’an and Its Religion Islam, as a bizarre paradox – why have statements which no ordinary mortal may know and understand?).

My take on all this gibberish of Apocalyptic Last Days Eschatology, for any religion and every religion which prescribes Apocalypse, pestilence, and other evils, is generally this: (excerpted from https://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/04/god-is-running-theworld-let-him-run-it.html):
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Quote:
On the other side of the spectrum from the ‘secular humanists’, we have the many good and kindly peoples, drowning in a surfeit of faith from ear to ear, simply “waiting for Allah” thinking it is the “Last Days of Gog and Magog” that no mortals may withstand. For, it is argued before them, an “indestructible power” the almighty creator hath himself calculatingly fashioned to fulfill his own (murderous) prophesies in order to finally rain divine justice upon the Earth – right after he hath rained phosphorous bombs upon children, women, men, the elderly, and destroyed their innocent civilizations at the very hands of his own “indestructible” hectoring hegemons to bring all that planned divine justice to fruition! What an idiotic and cruel god whose imagination only extends from genesis to genocide in order to fashion creation. And while that is merely immanent, far more grotesque is the idiotic imbecilic mass of followers who malign their own Almighty Creator whom they daily aver to believe in, Who repeatedly describes Itself in the very Book Muslims hold most sacred as “the Beneficent, the Merciful”! How can both be true simultaneously – unless it is a Zeus like fickle-minded god who enjoys games of cruel self-indulgence at the expense of his creation? Therefore, the former must stand rejected by the sheer force of argument, unless some people choose to believe in Zeus for their spiritual ascendance! — Read More in The Achilles heel of Pakistan – Its Intellectuals (https://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2014/01/the-achilles-heel-of-pakistan.html)

End Quote

The above passage refers to Imran Hosein’s book in which he speculates what Surah Al-Kahf may be referring to. That is his own opinion. And he is welcome to it. That book I have read completely. As far as I am concerned, it is gibberish — but many Muslims, like Christians and Jews, are fascinated by this subject and continually seek signs that the Last Days are at hand. Muslim history, like Christian history, is full of savants believing in such apocalyptic eschatology.

Interestingly, for the religion of Islam, almost all apocalyptic eschatology which is imputed to Islam is not to be found in the pages of the Holy Qur’an itself, but in outside books written by the hand of man.

Ability to reason outside the boundaries of faith has never been a strong suite for Muslims, or any people imbued with faith.

Some call it indoctrination. Others call it belief.

It may surprise one to discover that much of what one ends up believing as faith is in fact written by the hand of man.

As a Muslim who likes to think, I distinguish between what is in the Holy Qur’an itself, and what is in other Muslim books.

I call what is in the Holy Qur’an as the religion of Islam given by the Author of the Holy Qur’an. What is not in the Holy Qur’an but passes as Islam, I call it the religion of Muslims given by the authors of those books.

As for faith, well, faith is subjective anyway.

Even atheists have faith.
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Only the all-left-brained Mr. Spock of Star-Trek has no faith.
All humans who possess some measure right-half brain in non-zero quantity have faith.
Dogmatic religions are merely different points on that axis of faith.
Which is why many people end up believing anything which passes as faith, no matter how illogical, non-sequitorish, and irrational. And it is empirically observed that anything which induces complacency, or the spirit of la mission civilisatrice, is the path most taken by the followers of any religion, including the religion of the New World Order, Secular Humanism. For Muslims, it is typically to Wait for Allah as God is running the world!
As such, in my opinion, all this Last Days stories which you have noted, are the invention of tyrants and their apprentices to ensure that during any epoch, the masses do nothing to interdict the dystopia that is thrust upon the peoples by the rulers in the name of religion, leaving the public mind brimming with hope and expectations of the Last days as the panacea for their ills and dehumanization.
My 0.02 anyway. I could be wrong of course.

Thanks,
Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

4. Project Humanbeingsfirst.org April 1, 2014 at 3:47 am

[ Lila stated in her followup response:

Quote:

I enjoy apocalyptic ruminations, although it’s from the point of view of what the globalists are up to, as I noted above:

“You wonder if these discredited interpretations that surface in popular newspapers have something to do with the intelligence agencies of different countries stirring up the masses to support violent confrontations…”

However, I think it’s equally incorrect to dismiss prophetic literature as rubbish. In the Christian and Jewish faiths, as well as in Hinduism, prophecy is an integral part of the teaching.

“No man may know” is not the same as “No man may wonder” or “No man may interpret.”

People study the writings of Nostradamus and Edgar Cayce for predictions of the future; they comb the works of Jules Verne for artistic predictions.

Nothing wrong with it.

Nor is it indoctrination. I certainly reserve judgment about a number of things said either by true believers or skeptics – but that’s the point – I reserve judgment. I don’t take it as infallible nor do I dismiss it entirely.

End Quote ]
Zahir's Reply

Hi Lila,

If I might suggest:

1) There is prediction, based on trend and trajectory, as well as the exercise of imagination and anticipation of singularity points. This is to the credit of man.

2) Then there is prophecy. This is to the credit of Mephistopheles and its apprentices. The difference, in my view at least, is that the latter makes altering the outcome of the “prophecy” impossible. It is a prophecy after all — especially if it is in divine books — so how can it be altered without falsifying the claims to divine origin and hence its infallible correctness?

I invite you, in return to your invitation to study: “People study the writings of Nostradamus and Edgar Cayce for predictions of the future; they comb the works of Jules Verne for artistic predictions.”, which I have done plenty and continue to do so, to actually critically examine what is considered in the divine books of man as “prophecy”.

If you can cite one instance, just one, which empowers man to change his condition today, I will be much informed. And that’s the point of these fatalistic, apocalyptical prophecies.

I will generalize both the Biblical and Hindu, as well as Muslim “prophecies” — all are, virtually, as far as I have been able to ascertain, dis empowering to man, giving people the hope to live in the future in order to alleviate their present suffering and miserable lot. The Hindu Karma does, however, empower man to be good today in order to be reborn better in the future. That is not prophecy, but the dogma of karma and Hinduism. Islam’s prescription to be good today, as explained here: , is also not prophecy, but empowerment. Christianity’s prescription to give your brother your coat, to turn the other cheek, is also not prophecy, but empowerment to be better in so far as its dogma is concerned.

Whereas, for all these, Hindu, Muslim, Christian, and Jew, wherever the notion of prophecy occurs, show me, not be speculation and general statements to go study this and that, but by your examination here of something specific, which is empowering to man today rather than having him enjoy his complacency waiting for Allah, karma, the last days, mahdi return, Christ’s return, and the apocalypse, in the aftermath of all of which, justice will be made to prevail by divine intervention.

As a social scientist, that drama tells me that this can only be the invention of rulers throughout the ages to neutralize hoi polloi’s dissent for their discontents by getting them to accept the present in exchange for a better future in some distant future which no one gets to see.

As a con job on the sheepish masses imbued with faith through natural propensity and the cult of socialization into respective beliefs from birth, this selling of heaven and nirvana at the expense of accepting and acquiescing to the hell on earth remains unsurpassed!

Both Christianity and Hinduism as religions, in their own most sacred sources, push this resignation to faith. Based on my study, and I can’t claim it to be complete, but continually evolving, I claim that it is only Islam which prescribes in its own singular scripture, the Holy
Qur’an, the altering of the present forcibly as its article of faith. The religion of Islam surpasses all other religions on that scale. Which is why its subversion has been of paramount necessity. I have explained it here in a language which both left-half and right-half dominant brains can surely most easily understand:


I have read all religions as comparative study. My first introduction was Houston Smith as an undergrad. Greatly inspired by his generous treatment of all religions in his seminal work The Religions of Man, I have continued to respectfully remain interested in expanding my comprehension ever since. I even study Islam not as a my religion, but as I might study electrical engineering: examining what does its book actually prescribe? I may have missed something in other religions of similar empowerment of man to alter tyranny in the moment of the present life as the religion of Islam does. Please advise by specifics if you haven’t missed it.

But you are right of course in that reading the crystal ball can be a fun exercise — only at dinner parties in my view.

And you may also well be right on the uncanny divine origins of “prophecies” (in the distinction drawn above). Just that, if you are indeed right again, then I think that divinity which proclaims abhorrence, and prophecies abhorrence, is at best captured by Zeus-like mythical deity, and not a Deity Who claims to be the Most Beneficent, the Most Merciful, the Most Just, as the religion of Islam does. But the philosophical conception of deity is no different, and traces at least as far back as Plato and his Philosopher-king conception which is based upon it. And if the Deity is not that, then why worship it? It is only manmade, or Zeus (or aliens genetically engineering man if Zecharia Sitchin is to be believed, and many new-age babies do), but in either case only worthy of utmost contempt for inflicting abhorrence on mankind and even prophesying it, rather than guiding mankind to strive out of it.

Surely, you might concur with this sensible rubric to examine any claims of any divine origin? It at least lends a strong rejection criterion.

Thanks,
Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
April 1, 2014


Zahir’s comment May 28, 2016

countering nihilism by theism is an obvious antidote guidance not just for the youth but for all ages, “awaiting the savior” does not necessarily follow from the pages of the holy Qur'an. It appears to be at best an interpreted viewpoint drawn largely by fixing Qur'anic beatitudes (example 9:33, 28:5. 58:21, 21:105, etc) with eschatological material drawn from outside the Qur'an. Similar to the Biblical Beatitude: “the meek shall inherit the earth” (Matthew 5:5 Holy Bible KJV). The topic is opened up in a student's journey in the Holy Qur'an in [http://islam-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2015/08/what-does-quran-say-about-rulership.html](http://islam-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2015/08/what-does-quran-say-about-rulership.html). Would Ayatollah Khamenei kindly falsify that analysis if he finds it misperceived. Thank you. Zahir Ebrahim.


[10] The concept of infancy of civilizations unable to deal with man's most formidable predators from among their own kind due to mankind's stunted growth in consciousness, is examined in Zahir Ebrahim, *The Road to No Where: The Journey of Voluntary Servitude* [https://faith-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2014/05/the-road-to-no-where-by-zahir-ebrahim.html](https://faith-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2014/05/the-road-to-no-where-by-zahir-ebrahim.html)

Begin Excerpt Lord Macaulay, Feb 02, 1835, Minute on Indian Education:

“What then shall that language be? One-half of the committee maintain that it should be the English. The other half strongly recommend the Arabic and Sanscrit. The whole question seems to me to be-- which language is the best worth knowing?

I have no knowledge of either Sanscrit or Arabic. But I have done what I could to form a correct estimate of their value. I have read translations of the most celebrated Arabic and Sanscrit works. I have conversed, both here and at home, with men distinguished by their proficiency in the Eastern tongues. I am quite ready to take the oriental learning at the valuation of the orientalists themselves. I have never found one among them who could deny that a single shelf of a good European library was worth the whole native literature of India and Arabia. The intrinsic superiority of the Western literature is indeed fully admitted by those members of the committee who support the oriental plan of education. ...

In one point I fully agree with the gentlemen to whose general views I am opposed. I feel with them that it is impossible for us, with our limited means, to attempt to educate the body of the people.

We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern, --a class of persons Indian in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals and in intellect.

To that class we may leave it to refine the vernacular dialects of the country, to enrich those dialects with terms of science borrowed from the Western nomenclature, and to render them by degrees fit vehicles for conveying knowledge to the great mass of the population.”

End Excerpt Lord Macaulay

Justifying the bequeathing of English language to the natives of British India and removing their own civilization's indigenous languages from the lingua franca of success in empire's favorite colony, Lord Macaulay laid out the premise for the subsequent Indian Education Policy whose impact still resonates in the British-partitioned India and Pakistan. Arguably, not as much in Bangladesh which purposefully reverted to her singular native language Bengali quite successfully nation-wide, perhaps due to her more uniform linguistic composition, and thus was able to reconnect to her intellectual and cultural history in her own native language. A feat which neither India nor Pakistan have been able to accomplish nationally. *Occidentosis, the plague from the West* continues to be most profoundly held as the choicest passport to modernity in most former colonies of the British empire – largely due to their education policy of grooming the “brown sahib” who have remained the elite in the post-colonial era, [https://web.archive.org/web/20130603042500/http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2010/01/cacheof-occidentosis-a-plague-from-the-west-by-jalal-ali-ahmad-translated-by-rcampbell-introduction-by-hamidalgar.pdf](https://web.archive.org/web/20130603042500/http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2010/01/cacheof-occidentosis-a-plague-from-the-west-by-jalal-ali-ahmad-translated-by-rcampbell-introduction-by-hamidalgar.pdf).

More examples of cunning Newspeak – getting the public to love their own servitude:

[a] An example of cunning wordsmithing in *superman* scholarship is the Balfour Declaration which gave real political rights to the Jews while giving some abstract civil and religious rights to the Palestinians. The actual result is quite visible today. The underlying legalism which led to it is visible

[b] The clever wordsmithing of the EU Constitution which has cunningly caveat ed the loftily worded public Rights to limit them in practice by law, or by executive order, under the rubric of national security and expediency, much like the United States Constitution and its Bill of Rights have been trumped by the Patriot Acts for instance, is examined in an analysis that I once found on the web but don't have a citation for it at this time. Virtually every public Right in the EU Constitution has the caveat that it can be “lawfully” restricted! When the king makes the laws, whatever the king decides is the law. The same with the Parliament which often enact and implement laws handed them by forces unseen by the public mind. The National Security State and those controlling it are one such unseen force.

[c] The clever wordsmithing of the American Constitution which has cunningly subverted it in actual practice is examined in *Cracks in the Constitution* by Ferdinand Lundberg, [http://amazon.com/Cracks-Constitution-Ferdinand-Lundberg/dp/0818402792](http://amazon.com/Cracks-Constitution-Ferdinand-Lundberg/dp/0818402792)

[d] The reality of the word “Democracy” as it actually played out while being layered upon that brilliantly worded US Constitution, was also briefly analyzed by Carroll Quigley in *THE MYTHOLOGY OF AMERICAN DEMOCRACY*, a presentation to the Industrial College of the Armed Forces on August 17, 1972, [http://www.carrollquigley.net/lectures.htm](http://www.carrollquigley.net/lectures.htm)


**Begin Project Humanbeingsfirst's comment, January 16, 2009 at 21:13**

"There is a much longer history of coup d'état by central banksters to which the Congressional ACT of 1871 appears to be another pivotal link. After setting the UNITED STATES up as a ‘federal corporation’ in 1871, a supreme court decision was orchestrated in 1886 to give the status of ‘personhood’ to the entity called ‘corporation’. Before 1886, “until the bizarre Santa Clara County v. Southern Pacific Railroad Supreme Court case in 1886 – only humans are entitled to human rights in their community.” Thom Hartmann stated it this way, also in his 2002 article: “Americans Revolt in Pennsylvania – New Battle Lines Are Drawn”, [https://web.archive.org/web/20021228235302/http://www.commondreams.org/views02/1219-06.htm](https://web.archive.org/web/20021228235302/http://www.commondreams.org/views02/1219-06.htm)

**Begin excerpt from Thom Hartmann**

The implications of this are staggering. For example:

Before 1886, it was a felony in most states for corporations to give money to politicians or otherwise try (through lobbying or advertising) to influence elections. Such activity was called “bribery and influencing,” and the reason it was banned was simple:
corporations can’t vote, so what are they doing in politics? Their concern is making money, and they don’t need clean air to breathe or fresh water to drink; leave them to making money and leave the administration of the commons to We, The People.

Before 1886, it was a crime in most states for corporations to own others of their own kind. The need to keep corporations from becoming so large that they could usurp democracy was so clear to the Founders that Jefferson and Madison proposed an 11th Amendment to the Constitution that would have banned “monopolies in commerce,” restricting each company to performing a single purpose, making it responsible to its local community, and barring it from owning other corporations. The amendment didn’t pass because everybody at the time knew that the states already had such laws in place.

Before 1886, only humans had full First Amendment rights of free speech, including the right to influence legislation and the right to lie when not under oath. Now corporations have claimed that they have the free speech right to influence public opinion and legislation through deceit, and a case based on a multinational corporation asserting this right is poised to go before the Supreme Court as you read these words. That corporation reserves the right to fire and even prosecute human employees who lie to it, however.

Before 1886, only humans had Fourth Amendment rights of privacy. Since then, however, corporations have claimed that EPA and OSHA surprise inspections are violations of their human right of privacy, while at the same time asserting their right to perform surprise inspections of their own employees’ bodily fluids, phone conversations, and keystrokes.

Before 1886, only humans had Fifth Amendment rights against double jeopardy and the right to refuse to speak if they’d committed a crime. Since 1886, corporations have asserted these human rights for themselves: the results range from today’s corporate scandals to 60 years of silence about the deadliness of tobacco and asbestos.

Before 1886, and following the Civil War, only humans had Fourteenth Amendment rights to protection from discrimination. Since then, corporations have claimed this human right and used it to stop local communities from passing laws to protect their small, local businesses and keep out predatory retailers or large corporations convicted of crimes elsewhere.

End excerpt from Thom Hartmann

The following Article-15 US Code is all revealing:

(15) “United States” means—

(A) a Federal corporation;

(B) an agency, department, commission, board, or other entity of the United States; or

(C) an instrumentality of the United States.

This is a set pattern, interestingly. In continuation of the scheme to take over the financial
control of the United States, the 1910 Federal Reserve Act got enacted in 1913 to create our beloved Federal Reserve System. It is as much federal, as federal express.'

End Project Humanbeingsfirst's comment, January 16, 2009 at 21:13

Even the United Kingdom is not a country. It is also a Corporation, controlled by another supranational private Corporation, the real financial capital of the world, the City of London, or just “the City” for short. It is what H. G. Wells was referring to in his rallying call: “And if we, the virtuous democracies, are not fighting for these common human rights, then what in the name of the nobility and gentry, the Crown and the Established Church, the City, The Times and the Army and Navy Club, are we common British peoples fighting for?”


These examples in [a] to [h] empirically illustrate the vast distance between pious language on lofty parchments and the actual reality of their diabolical subversion by the ruling oligarchy. The pious verbiage mainly serve the interest of perception management of the public mind so that the history's actors can carry on accomplishing their Übermensch agendas without interference, often willingly acquiring the public's consent under the right set of “doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” fed them by experts. This is Orwellian Newspeak at its best. This technique of mind manipulation and behavior control has advanced far beyond Lord Macaulay's imperial plan of separating a people from their civilizational heritage with the imposition of an alien culture and foreign language so that the process of colonization of the conquered people is not impeded. Newspeak is now so ubiquitous that we are unconscious of its presence like the air we breathe, but it cradles our thoughts, feelings, actions as well as inactions.

Chapter 26

Doctrine of Neutrality: A Bridge Across a Difficult Period

Ismaili Muslims and Aga Khan's Doctrine of Neutrality

Challenge

“You [Zahir Ebrahim] appear to advocate confrontation with power. While that is okay for some rich guy who is not worried about earning a living through a paycheck, how can an ordinary middle class student whose only option for livelihood is a job, who is not a rebel, who does not want to change the world, nor wishes to commit suicide confronting the robber barons, but just to live in dignity and support his or her family, live up to such 'jihadi' advice? It is entirely impractical in the real world of putting real food on the table – hungry stomachs and medical bills aren't filled and paid in fighting losing battles, but in accommodation to power, in getting along, in remaining
silent to their criminal enterprises, in remaining neutral, and in minding one's own business. The great Ismaili leader Aga Khan is the most pragmatic among Muslim leaders today. By being neutral, and also commanding his Ismaili flock to remain neutral, the Aga Khan has secured for his minority people sanctuary from tyranny. Look they are thriving, and happy, while he continues to build schools, universities, hospitals, and social programs for them worldwide. In his 1954 Memoirs “World Enough and Time” ([PDF](https://example.com), [Cached](https://example.com)), the late Sir Aga Khan III, the 48th Imam of the Ismaili Muslims, wrote: ‘Of one fact my years in public life have convinced me: the value of a compromise is that it can supply a bridge across a difficult period, and later having employed that bridge, it is often possible to bring into effect the full-scale measures of reform which originally would have been rejected out of hand.’ And the late Aga Khan wisely chose his grandson, the present Aga Khan IV, the 49th Imam of the Ismaili Muslims, and the coveted European socialite who is now a bridge between two civilizations, the East and the West, to continue that vision of neutrality as the safest bridge across tyranny. The dusty old books in the world's libraries are filled with great platitudes and we are still exactly where we were when Kaabil killed Haabil (Cain killed Abel) at the dawn of man. I am no hero. The great Aga Khan's pragmatism of compromise, of not confronting power, of getting on with great social work which power does not mind, and in fact, encourages, so long as you don't challenge it, even giving it great awards and titles, just as it bestowed the knighthood upon Sir Aga Khan III, appears far more productive to me to pattern my life upon. I will at least be able to put food on the table for my family and better my economic condition by being a team-player.” [7]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>My Response to the Evergreen Doctrine of Neutrality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Which is why no one may answer this age old question for others but for oneself: to confront, or be co-opted? Thank you for reminding us of that fact.

See Islam: Surah Al-Asr of the Holy Qur'an and answer it for your own self according to your own bent of mind. Just as you evidently have the “maarfat” (wherewithal) to challenge this little Project Humanbeingsfirst with such great eloquence, acquire the “maarfat” to also challenge your own limitations – real and imagined – to rise above them. Take an inventory of your assets, and liabilities. Meaning, enumerate for yourself the gifts you have received by being born on the right side of the railroad tracks compared to the poorly endowed fellow you most pity, and the limits that have been put
upon you by being born on the wrong side of the railroad tracks compared to that well endowed fellow you envy even a little bit. That is surely your space. Higher you set your purpose, more you are driven to fill that space. It is perhaps the simplest way to look at matters of qaza and qada (destiny vs. freewill) – but also very practical. There are surely other more abstract philosophical ways as well.

Your Accountability, if there is such a thing as what Islam preaches, is only to the sensible equation: \[ \text{Output} / \text{Input} \]. Meaning, your voluntary contribution to life in relation to your own special gifts and our own trying limitations. One does not have to be a “religious” person to live a moral life in the traditional sense. Islam however demands far more from all Muslims as is self-evident from my little exposition of Surah Al-Asr for instance. That sensible equation noted above is very difficult to get to even unity for most people who are most superbly endowed, let alone surpass unity. Meaning, many of us are in fact far more blessed than our output might demonstrate. Far less output is needed from those who are less fortunate than us, to surpass us in that equation of life. Thus, in a way, a smaller denominator is a greater mercy as the expectation of output is commensurately less in relation to one with a larger denominator. In any case, this is not my concoction but the wisdom of the sages who have tried to rationalize life and its inequities. Islam's guidance to mankind lends itself naturally to that rationalization: “On no soul doth Allah Place a burden greater than it can bear. It gets every good that it earns, and it suffers every ill that it earns.”


The equation \( \text{Accountability} = \text{Output} / \text{Input} \) is merely that Qur'anic statement “On no soul doth Allah Place a burden greater than it can bear” put mathematically. Leading a life which strives to optimize that equation towards unity however, a life that is “not at a loss” according to Surah al-Asr of the Holy Qur'an, first and foremost, is a choice, like every other choice that you can enjoy in your space. Islam unequivocally underscores this choice: “Surely We have shown him the way: he may be thankful or unthankful.”

( Arabic: أَيْنَ هَدْيَتُ الْبُيُوتُ إِنَّا شَاكِرُونَ إِنَّا كَفُورُونَ ) Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-insaan 76:3.

To confront, or be co-opted?, is a question therefore which the great Aga Khan chose to address in his own way – and for which he is just as Accountable as every human being – for he can also rationally argue that he carried the great burden of leadership of his entire community upon his shoulders: “My duties are wider than those of the Pope, ... The Pope is only concerned with the spiritual welfare of his flock.” [1] That a good shepherd endeavors to protect his own flock: “An imam in Islam is responsible for the security of the people who refer to him; he is responsible for the interpretation of faith; and he is responsible for their quality of life; so those three areas are areas which are my responsibility.” [2]

The Aga Khan is evidently also well aware of the aforementioned Accountability equation: “The Islamic ethic is that if God has given you the capacity or good fortune to be a privileged individual in society, you have a moral responsibility to society.” [3]

You can perceptively see that even Imam Husseyn ibn Ali ibn Abi Talib, the Aga Khan's great grandfather some two score generations removed, and the Prophet of Islam's own beloved grandson from his own Ahlul Bayt, when he chose to sacrifice his own life standing up to the tyrants of his time as the Exemplar of the Holy Qur'an, only took with him his own immediate family members to the fatal battlefront; he did not call upon other Muslims in Medina where he lived, to sacrifice their lives fighting the imperial tyrants ruling Muslims at the time. He left that decision of Divine Calling up to
each individual entirely, and to their “sha-oor”, to endeavor or not to endeavor in his footsteps. And when he had finally made that famous call which has come down to us in history: “hull min naasirun yun surna”, history has also documented just how many voluntarily responded to the Imam's testing call. Most of the citizens of Kufa (Iraq), as in the rest of the Hijaz, choosing the path of neutrality and silence. And even in the battlefield, on the night before, history records a speech in which the pious Imam, honored by the Ismailis today like all Muslims both Shia and Sunni, invited those who had dared to courageously join him, to leave him and save themselves. He forewarned them that he and his family faced certain annihilation the next day.

That is the same point here. When you hear the call for help, “hull min naasirun yun surna”, from Pakistan to Palestine, Iraq to Afghanistan, from Quetta to Karachi, when you see your own nations looted and plundered, and when you see your own life reduced to nothing but vile servitude under your own feudal lords of every uniform, it is your call to respond, or to silently look away chasing your 'American Dream'.

Today you can witness the same Ismailis you speak of being slaughtered in Pakistan along with the rest of Pakistanis irrespective of their allegiance to the neutral Aga Khan. The emperor's battalions doing the slaughter of Pakistanis is donning various uniforms to foment both “insurgency” and justification for “counter-insurgency”. Today the emperor's battalion in pirate's uniform is doing the Ismaili slaughter. The time is close at hand when another battalion of the emperor in its own uniform will un-apologetically be doing the same slaughter. We have witnessed this in Iraq with sufficient empirical evidence to wisely learn from that modus operandi of fomenting “revolutionary times”. No compromise is a sufficient bridge between tyranny – for tyranny really does not distinguish in the limit of things. The Ismailis are most aware of their own long history of persecution and will testify to the truth of this statement. You are answerable for your neutrality. A temporary reprieve it may provide to some, but the fire engulfing others while you enjoy that reprieve is never known to distinguish between homes. As the famous saying attributed to the German pastor Martin Niemöller goes:

'First they came for the communists,
and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a communist.

Then they came for the socialists,
and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a socialist.

Then they came for the trade unionists,
and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a trade unionist.

Then they came for the jews,
and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a Jew.

Then they came for the catholics,
and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a catholic.

Then they came for me,
and there was no one left to speak for me.'

Someday, at a future “Nuremberg Tribunal”, when it is once again demonstrated under victor's justice that silence is criminal, that, compromise and neutrality are the first “banality of evil” from which all the rest of evil naturally follow, all those living and preaching neutrality will surely be as
loudly condemned as today they are held up as the epitome of pragmatism. That is of course only of theoretical interest for the pragmatist. The survivalist always knows how to cut a deal. Arguably, that is the smartest way forward in a jungle.

All I can humbly suggest to someone of your sophistication and pragmatism is to develop your “sha-oor” to complement your practical instincts for survival. The rest will automatically follow. Let your own “sha-oor” be your first guide, your own internal imam, and not some website you randomly read on the internet. Although, the matters are surely different when you follow your favorite scholar in turban, suit, or bow tie (sic)! Effectively, more you follow others, more opinion you seek from others, more you make others your imam, more you condemn yourself to their thinking. That too is your choice, for as per the promise of the Holy Qur’an, if you believe in such Provenance I mean, and most really don't despite their claims to holiness and great piety: “One day We shall call together all human beings with their (respective) Imams” (Arabic: ﴿وَعَلَىٰ يَوْمَ يُدْعَوُ كُلُّ آنَاسٍ بِبَيْعَةٍ﴾) Holy Qur’an, Surah al-Israa' 17:71.

In the age of universal deceit, it is surely wise to follow one's own mind as one's imam first, as limited and as fallible as its vision might be, for one never really knows who is the marde-momin and who is the superman. Empiricism has shown that regardless of the merits of their claim, they both lead one to hell on earth while promising heaven elsewhere. And so does the feeble mind, the foolish mind, the dull mind that is unable to separate chaff from wheat. That is traditionally the Public Mind, encouraged to remain a perpetual follower so that it can be shepherded wherever the shepherd fancies. The Qur’an forewarns of this precise empiricism in these dire words (see What does the Holy Qur’an say about Taqlid?):

“(On the day) when those who were followed disown those who followed (them), and they behold the doom, and all their aims collapse with them. And those who were but followers will say: If a return were possible for us, we would disown them even as they have disowned us. Thus will Allah show them their own deeds as anguish for them, and they will not emerge from the Fire.”

I do not much know about hell elsewhere – grappling with the one here is sufficient for most of us who do worry about it here – except for these statements of the Holy Qur’an wisely admonishing all “followers” to be judicious in the choice of whom they adopt as their guide and whom they choose to “pattern” their life upon. If you voluntarily follow others in this world making them your “imam”, you should know that you will also be held to account in their company involuntarily on the Day when all accounts are finally settled. If you followed them here voluntarily, as per 17:71 quoted above, you will have no choice but to also follow them to wherever is their ultimate destination post Accounting. So follow that “imam” you know for sure is not going to that other Hell elsewhere – if you care about it. The word “imam” according to The Arabic-English dictionary of the Holy Qur’an in my reference is defined as: “Leader; President; Any object that is followed, whether a human being or a book or a highway”.

Parse these pearls of wisdom from the doctrine of the Holy Qur’an as per your own “sha-oor” – bent of mind – if you believe in any of it that is. If you don't, you really have no fear of Accountability.

Even in that case, still do your best to be a good person according to your inner moral compass.
– we all have one, our first inner imam – and the rest is *c'est la vie*. I know many fine atheists who are far better human beings than many a worthy man of cloth – for they see inherent virtue in being good irrespective of some fear of hell or favor of heaven which they don't believe in anyway. They instead follow the virtue of Solon, the ancient Athenian law-giver, who advocated for social responsibility as not just a moral requirement, but a legal requirement. When asked which city he thought was well-governed, Solon said: “That city where those who have not been injured take up the cause of one who has, and prosecute the case as earnestly as if the wrong had been done to themselves.”

In the strictest moral sense, these godless people are more moral than the trader who is moral only to trade for heaven or hell. If the **Output / Input** equation of these godless people, irrespective of any notion of Accountability, exceeds that of the man of cloth, shame on the latter – a trafficker in religion could not match the gratitude for being born on the right side of the railroad tracks of even an atheist!

In conclusion, the matter is sufficiently obvious to warrant any further elucidation. Neutrality, which begets silence, is criminal – whatever might be the selfish existential considerations of expediency. No one can remain safe for long being neutral in a predatory jungle.

I would be sorely remiss not to also observe at least as postscript, that those who send others to their death telling them to stand-up to tyranny are often the first ones to also slink away. Next time you hear the clarion call from someone to stand-up – judge by their acts before you heed that specious call.

**Caption** Silence: the root cause of *banality of evil*
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Mullahs and Ayatollahs, like presidents and prime ministers, are the most adept at getting others to wear the battle dress while they sit comfortably in their home shoes – never failing to show up to recite the liturgies and last rites. The Aga Khan is the most forthright and honest in his stance in that way – he is himself neutral and therefore does not call upon his flock by any other clarion. Only when the Aga Khan decides to give up his doctrine of neutrality for himself – chooses to risk his own hair on his head – will he be entitled to call upon his flock to do the same. And if the blood of his great grandfather still runs in his veins, the Aga Khan will leave that as a moral choice to his followers, leading by example rather than through indoctrination and coercion in the name of divine imamate. In that respect, all Mullahs and Ayatollahs, presidents and prime ministers, may take a leaf from Aga Khan's play book. No – not that of strict political neutrality \[4\], but of not being hypocrites \[5\] \[6\].

**Watch: A Man of The World - The Aga Khan**

[https://youtube.com/watch?v=n6w8EOczc74 ]

**Watch: His Highness Aga Khan interview for Portugal TV**

[https://youtube.com/watch?v=LVmAgb5weQ ]

**Footnotes**


'Multi-billionaire son of a notorious playboy, His Highness Prince Karim, the fourth Aga Khan, enjoys his jets, yachts, and Thoroughbreds. But since the age of 20, he has also been the spiritual leader of 15 million Shia Ismaili Muslims, building a hugely effective global development network. In Chantilly, home to France’s most prestigious horse race, James Reginato explores how the press-shy, Harvard-educated prince, at 76, fuses two worlds.

His Highness Prince Karim, the fourth Aga Khan and 49th hereditary imam of the world’s 15 million Shia Imami Ismaili Muslims, remains a paradox to many people. The Pope of his flock, he also possesses fabled wealth and inhabits a world of marvelous châteaux, yachts, jets, and Thoroughbred horses. To be sure, few persons bridge so many divides—between the spiritual and the material; East and West; Muslim and Christian—as gracefully as he does.

Born in Geneva, brought up in Nairobi, educated at Le Rosey and Harvard, the Aga Khan has a British passport and spends a great deal of his time aloft in his private aircraft, but his base is Aiglemont, a vast estate near Chantilly, 25 miles north of Paris. On-site, in addition to a château and an elaborate training center for about a hundred of his Thoroughbreds, is the Secretariat, a modern office block that houses the nerve center of what might be described as his own U.N., the Aga Khan Development Network. A staggeringly large and effective organization, it employs
80,000 people in 30 countries. Although it is generally known for the nonprofit work it does in poor and war-torn parts of the globe, the A.K.D.N. also includes an enormous portfolio of for-profit businesses in sectors ranging from energy and aviation to pharmaceuticals, telecommunications, and luxury hotels. In 2010 these generated $2.3 billion in revenue. The extent of these endeavors might not be so well known to the general public, since the Aga Khan usually shuns the press and stays out of the public eye.

Though he has no political territory, the Aga Khan is virtually a one-man state and is often received like a head of state when he travels. As imam he is responsible for looking after the material as well as spiritual needs of his followers, who are scattered in more than 25 countries across Asia, Africa, the Middle East, Europe, and North America. His projects, however, benefit people of all faiths. ...

The title Aga Khan—meaning, in a combination of Turkish and Persian, commanding chief—was granted in the 1830s by the Emperor of Persia to Karim’s great-great-grandfather when he married the emperor’s daughter. But Aga Khan I was also the 46th hereditary imam of the Ismaili Muslims of the world, in a line that descends directly from the Prophet Muhammad in the seventh century.

In 1885, Prince Karim’s grandfather (who was born in India) was seven years old when he assumed the imamate upon his father’s death. The following year, he received his “His Highness” from Queen Victoria. In the early 1900s he moved to Europe, in part to pursue his passion for horse breeding and racing, in which he would become a celebrated figure. All the while, he looked after his flock remarkably well, building a huge network of hospitals, schools, banks, and mosques for them. “My duties are wider than those of the Pope,” he once explained. “The Pope is only concerned with the spiritual welfare of his flock.”

“He was an extraordinary personality, a very powerful intellect,” recalls his grandson. “When he left India and established himself in Europe, he became very fascinated with the philosophy of the Western world. He brought that knowledge to his community.”

And they showed their appreciation. On his Golden Jubilee, in 1936, his followers famously gave him his weight in gold, a spectacle some 30,000 onlookers jammed a square in Bombay to witness. Upon his Diamond and Platinum Jubilees, he received similar tributes in the appropriate stones and metal. The sizable funds from those tributes pale, however, compared with the zakat money traditionally paid by members of the Ismaili community, some of whom believe their imam is semi-divine. (Prince Karim categorically denies any suggestion that he is divine.)’

[2] Statement made by Aga Khan IV in his first ever interview to American television network, NBC (time 2m 20s), [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jPAU-dxe1ow#t=2m20s ]


‘The Aga Khan, like his grandfather before him, has always been concerned about the wellbeing of all Muslims, particularly the impact on them of the challenges of the rapidly evolving world. Addressing as Chairman, the International Conference on the Example (Seerat) of the Prophet
Muhammad in Karachi in 1976, he noted that the wisdom of Allah's final Prophet in seeking new solutions for problems which could not be solved by traditional methods, provides the inspiration for Muslims to conceive a truly modern and dynamic society, without affecting the fundamental concepts of Islam.

Since the present Aga Khan assumed the office of Imamat in 1957, there have been major political and economic changes in most of the countries where Ismailis live. He has adapted the complex system of administering the various Ismaili communities, pioneered by his grandfather during the colonial era, to a world of nation states. In the course of that process, Sir Sultan Mahomed Shah Aga Khan, who was twice President of the League of Nations, had already provided a contemporary articulation of the public international role of the Imamat. The Imamat today, under the present Aga Khan, continues this tradition of strict political neutrality.

In designating his successor to the Imamat in 1957, Sir Sultan Mahomed Shah Aga Khan stated in his will:

“In view of the fundamentally altered conditions in the world…due to the great changes which have taken place…I am convinced that it is in the best interests of the Shia Muslim Ismailia Community that I should be succeeded by a young man who has been brought up in the midst of the new age and who brings a new outlook on life to his office of Imam”.' (acquired March 9, 2013, cached)


This past weekend I had the immense pleasure of watching an incredible documentary developed by the heir to the Proctor and Gamble fortunes. I wrote a critical introduction to it titled: Introduction to Foster Gamble's Documentary THRIVE (PDF May 18, 2014) in which I surgically separated the chaff from the wheat to undo the documentary maker gratuitously poisoning his own well with speculative gibberish. The key misdirection in the documentary, despite its many factual aspects, is the conjecture that it is principally the resource (energy) scarcity which prevents mankind from thriving, and that free energy would alter that. Whereas, I demonstrated the simple observable fact that the principal problem plaguing mankind is PRIMACY, not SCARCITY! What follows is the continuation of my thoughts on the impracticality of hoi polloi (the unwashed...
masses, the common people) in their present controlled state making any transformation to their society which is inimical to the interests of its ruling power.

When any public, white and blue collar alike, is beholden to its stomach, and to its careers, and to narrow self-interests of survival such as making a living and raising a family; when the obligatory nod to religion and personal morality suffices to cleanse both the conscience and the pathway to heaven in preparation for the journey ahead; what motivation is there to risk one's neck to challenge the status quo of primacy, deprivation, and servitude beyond the occasional bursts of internet jihad, book publishing, and documentary film making from the comfort and safety of the First Amendment? This political concession of permitting free speech to hoi polloi is virtually risk free to the establishment because higher order considerations dominate any public actualization for change which have been most effectively neutralized. There are also tangible advantages in permitting free speech. It enables maintaining the facade of the public's empowerment in Western democracies, the free societies model if you will, thus demonstrating the superiority of Western civilization to the rest of the world. This helps export and market its grand ideology of Democracy and Neoliberalism. Thomas L. Friedman had captured this reality of power with unmatched hubris in his column in the New York Times:

'The hidden hand of the market will never work without a hidden fist -- McDonald's cannot flourish without McDonnell Douglas, the builder of the F-15. And the hidden fist that keeps the world safe for Silicon Valley's technologies is called the United States Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps. "Good ideas and technologies need a strong power that promotes those ideas by example and protects those ideas by winning on the battlefield," says the foreign policy historian Robert Kagan. "If a lesser power were promoting our ideas and technologies, they would not have the global currency that they have. And when a strong power, the Soviet Union, promoted its bad ideas, they had a lot of currency for more than half a century."', --- Thomas L. Friedman, New York Times March 28, 1999

The fact that the public cannot really make any substantial difference either to its own state of deprivation and servitude, or to the ruling state's diabolical cunning for primacy and supremacy, with its much celebrated democratic elections that periodically change the front-faces holding political office with great fanfare, is the key to maintaining this mirage of empowerment. I had explained this just before the 2008 elections in the United States, in an advocacy report titled: Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy! (PDF). That report and its advocacy perennially captures both the reality of, and the effective antidote for, the dysfunction of the sole superpower du jour which is wrecking havoc upon the world.

But as reality unfolds today, even that facade of public empowerment is onerous to ruling power behind the scenes which has positioned itself to exercise its primacy, deprivation and servitude with absoluteness, without incurring the expense of maintaining the constitutional bill of the public's rights and other pretenses. That move to open tyranny in the guise of fighting crises from what was previously most carefully camouflaged from the public mind, has remarkably made little difference to hoi polloi. The evidence of the past thirteen years, since the date of September 11, 2001, underwrites the veracity and accuracy of this observation. The invasion and occupation of Iraq for instance is
simply dismissed as “oops” of “intelligence failure”. The entire world's public just soldiers on with that “oops” without too much perturbation. Or the fact that the United States and Britain have so easily and rapidly transformed into police-states, as if it was all thought out before, and their forcing all nations of the world to adopt the same direction in the name of fighting a global disease whose medicine is also required to be global, is hardly met with any skepticism. Few Western savants who make a good living writing lofty books, making revealing documentaries, and preaching powerful theories from tall pulpits to full auditoriums, have actually understood the underlying levers of power and the techniques of persuasion behind that empirical outcome. Or they are just part of the primacy game themselves merely playing WWF wrestling to occupy hoi polloi.

As critically examined in my Introduction to the documentary Thrive, which should perhaps be read before watching the documentary so that you are not turned off by Foster Gamble gratuitously poisoning his own well, it is noteworthy that there appears to be no pragmatic and achievable solution-space for the crisis of primacy, deprivation, and servitude foisted upon mankind in every nation and geography on earth. Be it proposed from secular traditions of humanism, as is the case critically analyzed in the above Introduction to the must-watch documentary which harps on the obvious need for change in order to Thrive, but shows no practical paths to achieve it. Or be it proposed from any of the profound religious traditions of antiquity to modernity which also mainly speak in the same sort of moral platitudes as the Ten Commandments, but to date have not seen implementation apart from what is forced upon the public by legal sanction of the state. Even in that sanction, yes all murderers are punished unless they kill in large numbers and to the sound of trumpets (Voltaire). In fact, the ancient Egyptians' Good Book (referring to the collection of ancient Egyptian writings: inscriptions found on tomb walls, on the underside of tombstones, and on parchments found buried with the mummies) predating the Abrahamic religions by at least a millennia, lists not just the Ten moral Commandments that we have all heard so much about, but a total 42 moral Commandments, even anticipating and incorporating the Ten Commandments, for what was deemed by the Gods of Egypt as the proper code of conduct for man on earth for a well lived life. Perhaps the ancient Egyptians too failed to live up to their moral code for they were wiped off the face of the earth by their Gods. Fast forward to the Holy Qur'an, the last Good Book on the block to formalize and codify moral teachings of a world religion. As was previously examined in the report on Surah al-Asr (PDF), it too offers Islam's comprehensive prescription for the well lived life which to date is equally not seen in implementation. As that report demonstrates, most of mankind according to the Holy Qur'an is running at a loss. The LED is stuck on red. And time is running out.

Despite several millennia of moral codes accumulated by man, the underlying problem which makes all great platitudes practically irrelevant when the rubber meets the road (meaning: when the needs of the spirit meet the needs of the stomach; when the call of liberation of the mind, body and spirit meet the demands of servitude to authority; and when the necessity of striving for the greater common good of society meets the existential needs of striving for narrow personal self-interests), is that we remain grossly under-developed as a moral and spiritual species at this moment of our existence. We continue to cogitate like the sheep before the wolf. The discourses among the sheep surely never include an activist call for rebellion against the predator's habit of mutton eating!

We have not yet acquired the survival skills required to overcome the indomitable instinct for primacy which apparently comes built-into man. This instinct is evidently also far more predatory when fully cultivated than the natural instinct in the wolf for devouring a satiating meal, because, as
we all can observe, after eating to its fill, the wolf does go away until the next time it is hungry. Man's primacy instinct is of a fundamentally different nature and I can recall no analogy from nature, or from the Darwinian map of biological evolution even in its most accurate and holistic conception (as for instance captured by the well known playwright George Bernard Shaw in *Back to Methuselah: A Metabiological Pentateuch*), which would map it all. And that is of course understandable only from a non-biological non-evolutionary point of view. Man is not just a bunch of materialist atoms and biochemical reactions arranged in some social pecking order like the wolves and the chimpanzees, despite what Secular Humanism and the axioms of scientific materialism would like to preach us.

Man's sentient nature is fundamentally predicated on both cognition and spiritualism. Which is why no prophets bearing moral clichés' have come to wolves and sheep and cows and lions as far as we can tell, nor to elephants, dolphins, orangutans and chimpanzees who appear to display varying levels of higher order intelligence and/or emotional IQ similar to man. But the history of civilizations is replete with stories of great prophets of antiquity bringing man the moral religions of the Gods (and in case of the Abrahamic traditions, One God), all principally teaching the same core spiritual prescription of the *well lived life* but in different ways in accordance with the needs of the respective societies in their own times. That, without acquiring the essential spiritual skills and the higher consciousness to fully wield them in actual practice, they will be laboring at a loss. What this has entailed specifically has varied with the tribe, nation, and time.

Egyptian code indicated that just being personally moral wasn't sufficient. One also had to treat life as a gift and live it to the fullest. Hindu code, the oldest of the ancient living religions, prescribes that in order to reincarnate in higher form (reward), instead of lower form (punishment), man has to endeavor for a *well lived life* in the karma given to him in this life. Islam's code in the Holy Qur'an has set the highest bar which tops all others coming before it. The Good Book of the Muslims has mandated striving in the pursuit of justice (captured by the semantically rich all encompassing word “*haq*” in verse 103:3, Ibid.), as one of the core axioms of the *well lived life*. The Holy Qur'an even surpassed Solon, the mythical Athenian law giver to the advanced Hellenic civilization of sixth century BC, who, it is reported by ancient historian Plutarch, not just advocated social justice, but even made it a legal duty of citizens to come to the aid of others. When asked which city he thought is well-governed, Solon, the iconic figure of not just the present Western civilization and quoted by its elite scholars and well-read statesmen alike (as for instance by JFK in his seminal address before the American Newspaper Publishers Association, Waldorf-Astoria Hotel, New York City, April 27, 1961, op. cit.), but also of the ancient Hellenic civilization and claimed by Plato to be his own noble ancestor, had famously replied 2600 years ago: “That city where those who have not been injured take up the cause of one who has, and prosecute the case as earnestly as if the wrong had been done to themselves.”

Myth and reality combined, whatever may be the first source of these lofty moral standards which today span the full gamut of accumulated wisdom of man, from ancient law givers to modern prophets, from the ancient code of Hammurabi in 1750 BC to the most recent Human Rights Conventions of the United Nation in the 21st century AD, with virtually every habitat on earth having at least one copy of some scripture and bearer of some oral traditions which speak to the same nobility of some *well lived life*, and yet there is no global impact.

The reason should be self-evident. We, mankind, have unfortunately not yet been able to get past the first grade level of elementary school in the absorption of these spiritual teachings even when
we can rehearse them all day long. That means that just like children in first grade who eagerly memorize a poem without understanding its symbolic meaning and are eager to display their great talent on show-and-tell day, we have turned the moral codes of religion into the pathways of reaching heaven for the dead, eagerly anticipating a pat on the back on judgment day; instead of understanding that these moral prescriptions are for sculpting heaven right here on earth for the living, amidst predators.

I think the perpetual promise of the Holy Qur'an to replace man with a better man, and all people with a better people, after giving each society and civilization its opportunity to sculpt its own future, is the manifest and irreversible direction of mankind today. Islam is, after all, the basis of my belief system just as Christianity is for Christians, Judaism is for Jews, Hinduism is for Hindus, Atheism is for atheists, and Egyptianism was for the ancient Egyptians. So I take it very seriously when my God, speaking through its scripture the Holy Qur'an, threatens me and all the rest of mankind with replacement for failing to live up to its moral prescription with a better people who shall also be tried and perhaps will not fail. The example of ancient civilizations long lost to time, dead dynasties and dead empires, are all before me. Yesteryear glorified Pax Britannica, yesterday glorified Pax Americana, I don't know what it is today that we are glorifying as we appear to be going through a transition phase between two ages. But tomorrow, surely a better people will arise from the ashes of world government.

Get ready to be replaced.

Unfortunately, I am not quite ready for that --- are you?

While death must come to us all, death is not what I am speaking of. Even though, as Plato had observed the truism: Only death has seen the end of servitude (has seen the end of war). I speak of putting an end to servitude while still living for the living! It obviously automatically ends for the dead without any help from us, and no one has yet come back to verify to us what happens next. But we can all empirically see what is happening right here while we are living.

I think it is highly unfair that I am slated to be replaced with a better people while I am still in Kindergarten. I have not even entered first grade yet, let alone had the opportunity to fully absorb the call to higher consciousness where spiritualism can begin to take seed. The needs of the stomach continue to dominate all my needs just as it does for a child. And when I enter my temple to give my obligatory socialized nod of obeisance to my God, the need for observing the classroom rituals dominates my entire practice of higher consciousness.

Given my so early stage of primitive spiritual development, why should I pay the price of replacement for still being in Kindergarten?

At this level, as for a child, when my limited physical needs are not being met, how can I be held to lofty standards that are established for evaluating me when I reach tenth grade or college and finally develop the skills required to sculpt my own future as a social being? In both moral and legal codes of every developed civilization, past and present, that is called reaching the age of culpability where one is held sovereign over oneself. Meaning, responsible for oneself if one is deemed sound of mind and body. While being sound of spirit has never been part of that equation on earth, surely that must be a prerequisite for any accounting in the celestial place.

No judge holds a non-sovereign accountable for his immaturity or emasculation, nor threatens
with replacement. So how can the Just God of mighty religions who prescribed the lofty moral curriculums to mankind hold spiritually stunted children accountable? We have simply not reached that developmental stage where these spiritual curriculums can become effective beyond the ritualistic shells they each come carefully encased under, to be handed down to the generations of the future virtually intact in its core. The Ten Commandments are still exactly the same today as 3000 years ago. Perhaps the future generations will make better use of it.

Something must be wrong in the entire conceptionalization of this matter which is making the problem so intractable as far as my generation is concerned. We are the immature child generation in that greater scheme of things who can do no better than accept primacy and predators, and under its blaring trumpets murder, pillage and plunder, or look the other way if it isn't happening to us. The threat of replacement for failing to live up to the moral curriculum makes no sense when applied to me in my Kindergarten stage of spiritual development.

So, as a clever engineer (I studied at MIT where the heart of its core curriculum is to teach problem solving techniques such as reducing an intractable problem to the one already solved), I have recast the problem to the one already solved by the many brilliant sages throughout the ages. In fact, it has been solved continually in exactly the same way from the very early dawn of human consciousness when its brilliant savants first realized that they had very little control over life's mysteries and created the construct of “destiny”. That has, for instance, solemnized the caste system among Hindus, the oldest continually existing and still intact civilization today. It has also helped explain the many “whys” of inequities of creation and natural calamities. And it is being solved the same way everyday for bucking-up the spirit of lagging children in elementary school who aren't able to compete effectively against better prepared sports teams.

That brilliant panacea of all times which works every time: **It is not win or lose that matters, but how you play the game!**

I suppose I can stop worrying now about God's replacement policy. Problem solving with an MIT education really comes in handy. I no longer need to strive to win at anything that I naturally cannot for my instance of the well lived life. Let the better prepared, the more hungry, and the more naturally able, dominate and sculpt the world in their own image. The era of Social Darwinianism naturally beckons, and in fact times perfectly with the drive for world government and its harbingers' oft repeated concern for over population of the planet. A careful read of NSSM 200 written by Henry Kissinger in 1974 while United States Secretary of State, [makes] that concern of the Western establishment as a direct threat to their security, starkly apparent. Perhaps, as its side effect of winnowing out useless eaters, it will also accelerate man's evolution to a more spiritually developed species wherein, hoi polloi are abler in the mind, body and spirit, and better equipped with the spiritual skills of higher consciousness to more effectively deal with universal predatory instincts and its exercise of primacy, deprivation and servitude.
Postscript Higher States of Consciousness

Definition Higher Consciousness

The ability to perceive reality forensically, with the inner eye, and to act upon that perception with full vigor. Acts driven by spiritual realizations of higher consciousness are not decoupled from their perception. Just as the act of seeking food is not decoupled from experiencing pangs of hunger at the most primitive level of consciousness. Higher consciousness must culminate in commensurate acts driven by spiritual hunger in order to satiate it just as the stomach's hunger culminates in seeking food to satiate it. When one is unconscious, one does not seek even physical sustenance and dies if not intravenously fed by others. Similarly, one can be spiritually and mentally unconscious while fully conscious at the physical level, seeking only to fulfill the physical needs of the body. When one acquires greater levels of consciousness to the next cognitive level, one seeks intellectual sustenance to meet the needs of the hungry mind. The next hierarchy of that path to increasing consciousness is in seeking spiritual sustenance to feed the hungry soul. The desire to satiate its cravings principally leads to striving for a well lived life as outlined in the many moral recipes from antiquity to modernity.

That well lived life, a concatenation of acts by definition, and arguably orthogonal to personal beliefs, is always predicated on the existence of higher levels of consciousness. Without the latter, there is no spiritual hunger, no striving to satiate it, no acts, and consequently no transformations at any level, personal to macro social. The omission of that transformation, by its very nature of absence, seeds evil in society because man's natural instincts for unbridled primacy subsequently flourish. These two have been balanced like yin and yang of Chinese philosophy to counter each other: instinct for primacy vs. higher levels of consciousness. The former comes built-in at birth just like all the other tangible and intangible properties of each individual's physical and natural makeup. The latter has to be nurtured, cultivated, nourished, and developed just like the mind.

The modern scientific world tends to accept the development of the mind, both halves of the brain, as both natural and necessary to reach full human potential. But it calculatingly ignores the development of what in fact makes us the most human. There is a very good practical reason for that omission as will become apparent below.

In Islam

Reaching higher levels of consciousness is a long and arduous journey which commences by following the spiritual recipe outlined in Surah al-Asr (PDF) for living a life that is not judged to be of a total loss in the celestial place. Journeying on that path, one progressively moves farther and farther into realizing greater and greater states of consciousness. It is a journey which feeds upon itself like the practice of any skill craft. Spiritual craft is no different in that and many other respects. It must be developed and perfected. Its pinnacle is captured in the following verses of the Holy Qur'an in Surah
Al-Fajr: “O soul that art at rest! Return to your Lord, well-pleased (with him), well-pleasing (Him)” (89:27, 89:28). And the enticement or prize offered for reaching this zenith: “So enter among My servants, And enter into My garden.” (89:29, 89:30). Since not all among mankind are endowed with identical capacities but fall on a bell curve, some journey for the lure of the prize alone, while others find little meaning in the prize when the journey itself is the reward. We focus on the journey and why it's both necessary, as well as the first-cause predicate, for the macro social evolution of mankind to free itself from the bondage of fellow man; for the desire to strive to reach the pinnacle of life's existence despite the daily needs of the stomach. Even the successful struggle for survival of the freedom of the natural man in the social Darwinian jungle depends on it.

The Path For All Mankind

Notice the culmination of the human state of existence whence it has reached its zenith: “O soul that art at rest!” The Holy Qur'an does not say: O conscience that art at rest! For we know all too well how easily conscience can be put at rest. It also does not say: O intelligence that art at rest! For we also well understand how reliance on superior intelligence to craft the mission statement of life, and the morality that governs it, can easily lead to the enslavement of the masses. All theistic world religions which propound the existence of the soul offer some prescription to elevate its state to its highest level of existence for the masses. For Islam, one cannot claim that the aforementioned state of the highest level of consciousness whereby the soul, no longer in a state of turmoil, expressed metaphorically in these verses, isn't an all encompassing and most general specification for the real purpose of life's journey!

Provided of course, one believes that man's life has some inherent purpose, and which the secular humanists who posit the wholly material conception of man, do not accept. Nor do they accept the notion of Revelation. Nor any purpose to life other than what each man or his community and nation themselves assign it, as its occurrence is deemed “accidental”, and for which Nietzsche proposed the “will to power” of the superior intellect to accelerate the social evolution of man beyond the semantic straight-jacket of “good and evil” that theism is enchained in. The empirical role of the superior intellect – and not merely the abstract thought expounded by secular humanists to sell it to the world – in crafting mankind's value system, and thus its direction of evolution, is examined in the essay: Morality derived from the Intellect leads to Enslavement! (PDF).

Islam's conception of higher states of consciousness commences with the recipe outlined in Surah al-Asr, and culminates in the soul that art at rest, for every spiritual being who defines itself as more than just the materialist collection of physical atoms and bio-chemical reactions. Anyone, of any persuasion and belief system, except the Richard Dawkins variety of course, can strive in that path of the well-lived life which is Islam's prescription for the journey to increasing levels of consciousness, without giving up their own natural socialization into their respective tribes, nations and religions.

This often neglected aspect of a profoundly spiritual world religion which claims to be moral guidance for a well lived life, is examined in the article: Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization (PDF). It is evidently easy to miss this higher state of spiritual consciousness in which material striving is now first-cause driven by spiritual realizations rather than by the Darwinian instinct for survival, when the
propaganda machinery worldwide is so brazenly distorting the religion of Islam. The tortuous beliefs and practices of the Muslim world, so ensconced in the straight-jacket of socialization and culture, betraying their own bankruptcy in higher states of consciousness, does not help absorb and expatiate the religion of Islam either.

Subversion of Spirituality

This subversion of preventing the public from seeking higher states of consciousness to increase their spirituality coefficient has evidently been necessary in all organized religions which have been adopted as state religions of empires. The Roman Catholic Church profoundly distorted Christianity to serve Emperor Constantine and the Roman Empire. Its legacy is found in the many vestigial of what speciously passes as the moral code of conduct taught by Jesus Christ throughout the world. The Muslim Caliphates distorted Islam to dominate the world with dynastic empires of their own that came to rival and surpass the Roman empire for over seven hundred years. The white man's burden replaced that for the next seven hundred years. Today, the creed of Secular Humanism is distorting all religions to construct world government, a new global empire of the oligarchy that goes by the name of New World Order.

It may be observed by the discerning mind that this suppression of higher levels of consciousness has been most cunningly performed by resemanticizing the meaning and practice of the word “spirituality” from its original intent, of raising the levels of consciousness of the public mind whereby the mind itself can perceive and experience all reality, both physical and metaphysical, the way it actually is. Once that capacity is developed, everything else naturally follows; like being free and able to add two plus two correctly, and to proclaim the result publicly without fear, from which all freedoms naturally follow. Both are important axioms for non predatory macro social human development, and both are the first to be subverted by the Übermensch. The new meaning imparted by virtually every organized religion to spiritualism is in fact pretty standard. It is to limit spirituality to rituals of personal worship (even if practiced collectively in congregations), to personal loving of personal God, to personal piety, to personal morality, to personal charity, etc., whereby it is speciously argued that by individuals focussing on their own personal morality and personal worship, all good to society will eventual follow.

The implications of this “mere” shifting of emphasis from the primary first-cause purpose of moral codes, the development of higher states of consciousness among the masses from which all else would naturally follow, to the development of some of its narrower side effects such as personal morality, are nothing but monumental. The principal motivation for the practice of religion and spirituality has been most diabolically pushed off to merely seeking selfish rewards in some afterlife for one's personal morality. This has an immediate and direct impact on society.

Primacy of the sociopaths and empires now comes to flourish at the macro social levels because the public mind is primed not to interdict it. It is no longer part of the moral code for the well lived life. That omission over time becomes naturally ingrained as the meaning of religion and fosters servitude and obedience to rulers generation after generation. What a brilliant coup d'état of cunning misdirection by the forces of evil. If you can get to heaven on the prayer mat while giving alms to the
indigent as the peak of your spirituality, what's the point of standing up to evil and their enslaving systems of power and getting needlessly butchered in the process! The fast-path to heaven is infinitely better. Looks familiar?

**Solution Space**

It should be self-evident by now that only by embarking on that spiritual journey is man able to take care of the principal issues raised in the main article examining the [*limitations of the documentary Thrive*](#): overcoming the predatory instincts that create primacy, deprivation and servitude to fellow man. An examination of the scriptures of all religions reveal that this solution space is uniformly associated with the “inner struggle” for enlightenment which is deemed necessary, the *sine qua non*, in order to even begin to conquer evil unleashed in society in its absence. The Holy Qur'an has termed that striving “jihad-un-nafs” and predicated its existence in the spiritual man before man can start building heaven right here on earth.

Some ancient spiritual societies like those practicing Shamanism in the Amazonian jungles, tickle this spiritual hunger leading to their higher states of consciousness and spiritual healing, with aphrodisiacs. Mystics and monks throughout the ages have tickled this spiritual hunger to develop their higher levels of consciousness with meditation, self-denial and, like the character in Rudyard Kipling's *Kim*, by making long journeys in search of the *river of the arrow*.

Howsoever the spiritual hunger is first tickled, some take short-cuts and others take long-paths, the striving for its satiation that is devoid of acts for the *well lived life*, remains barren and still-born! It is unable to transform society at the macro social levels. The instincts for primacy consequently remain unbridled and unleashed among the best minds of the sociopaths, and their predatory practices become more and more sophisticated over time. The macro social civilizational challenge for mankind is to lay the foundation of the spiritual man among *hoi polloi*, to raise their states of consciousness, so that they can at least defend themselves from *Social Darwinianism*.

That exercise requires the nurturing of the trifecta of human existence, mind body and spirit, as a whole, from cradle to grave. It follows that education systems which today focus mainly on the development of the mind-body nexus to principally fashion economic widgets “*content to labor hard all day long*” as per Bernard de Mandeville’s [*fable of the bees*](#), must reframe their emphasis to incorporate the third element of the trifecta as an integral component of education at all levels (see [*My Dream University*](#)).

That outcome of course can never be permitted because education systems are controlled by the same *social Darwinians* who prefer to control and direct human behavior such that *hoi polloi* come to love their own servitude; as was also poignantly captured by essayist *Aldous Huxley*. Even the former National Security Advisor of the United States of America, hinted at that general direction of mass behavior control in his seminal 1970 book *Between Two Ages* ([PDF](#)): “Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, 'I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain.'”
A great deal has been learnt empirically of the forces that govern human behavior, and of the forces that manipulate the mind which manipulate human behavior, in the last century alone, and especially in the military-academic research labs of the West. It has formed the core basis of the many so called “truth-extraction” and behavior modification programs of the military (see The Manipulation of Human Behavior by Biderman and Zimmer, 1961, which has an extensive bibliography of the empirical state of behavioral research and its understanding over fifty plus years ago, and which betrays how easily the mind is made given the right environmental and/or bio-chemical stimulus).

The understanding of the frailty and manipulability of the human mind gleaned from such coercive experiments of behavior modification, also understandably finds its way into the broad spectrum techniques of social engineering that now span the whole gamut of mass behavior control: from fashioning individual Manchurian candidates for the military in the name of national security to United we Stand the masses in the name of patriotism; and from fashioning happy-happy corporate fodder as human resources slaving away their lifetime to make their employers richer, to fashioning happy-happy consumers encouraged to enjoy the heady-living of the 'American Dream' under perpetual debt slavery.

All of this modern social engineering which underwrites not just the Western civilization, but also virtually all Eastern civilizations as well, is easily nullified by the dumbed-down hoi polloi and their useful idiot stewards developing even a modicum of higher states of consciousness. That exercise, and only that exercise, is the antithetical solution-space to the perpetual problem of social predation in both power relationships (“I was only following orders” ala Adolf Eichmann) and in making the public mind by perception management (“I thought I was being attacked” ala The Mighty Wurlitzer, PDF). Which is why the most sophisticated psychological efforts are made in every Darwinian society to dumb down the populace and keep them perpetually trapped between bread and circuses, or god and king, to make it easier to control them.

That is the base reality of not just Western Democracy, but in fact, all political systems that have been seen to date in which a not so hidden elite class rules from behind the scenes while maintaining the illusion of the public's self-empowerment. Its most egregious spectacle in its most superlative facade is of course what's reenacted every four years in the sole superpower to sell its Democracy to the world. Who, witnessing its elaborate electioneering charade outside the shores of America, does not think: “what an idiotic brain-washed public”? (see Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy!, PDF).

**Report Card**

We see the accuracy of these observations empirically. From Plato's depiction of mind control in his seminal Myth of the Cave 2500 years ago; to Machiavelli's The Prince which virtually underwrites the practice of modern day statecraft and is the cardinal basis of its state secrecy laws with which the public should be kept uninformed; to Hegel's technique of synthesis from deliberate destruction through the clash of opposites, called the Hegelian Dialectic, which is being used today for Machiavellianly maneuvering the world into a particular direction; to Freud's discovery of the irrational mind which is today used most cunningly for behavior control by exploiting man's natural
fears and baser instincts that are buried deep within his sub conscious: from marketing political theories to egregious lifestyles and soap bars; is one continuous axis of management of *hoi polloi* for the narrow self-interests of the few.

These more abstruse techniques of *making the mind*, i.e., those surpassing straightforward indoctrination, namely socialization and education, and cognitive control through news media, bypass the *cognitive mind* and direct themselves to what has come to be known in mainstream science as the *sub-conscious mind* – the mind that is often awake when the *cognitive mind* is asleep. While its discovery and witting emotional manipulation is now more than a century old, how it manifests itself in the physical brain is yet to be uncovered by science. Arguably, the sole antidote for its manipulation is the *spiritual mind*, which too, and also like the soul and how it manifests itself in the human body, is yet to be discovered by science. But which has been profoundly addressed by sublime religions for thousands of years in almost every advanced culture and civilization. Science is yet to catch-up on the full construct of the mind, never mind the soul. It is a limitation of modern day scientists, a by product of their own one-track materialist education, that they tend to deny what they cannot measure, comprehend, falsify, or offer pat formulations and axiomatic theories for. Often bordering on ignorance, their arrogance can be childlike, but far more devastating for a perceptive understanding of complex reality *the way it actually is!* See for instance Rupert Sheldrake's *Dispelling the The Ten Dogmas of Materialism and Freeing the Spirit of Enquiry* ([youtube](https://www.youtube.com)), and this author's *Letter to Richard Dawkins - Error in the First Chapter of 'The God Delusion'?*.

The development of higher levels of consciousness has remained the principal spiritual teaching of all moral codes recorded in the history of man, once their symbolism is pierced and the veil is lifted from their rituals to better understand their core. However, if the modern techniques of mind manipulation and behavior control passes from 'mere' perception management to the stage of biochemical tampering, DNA manipulation, and the wholesale construction of a scientifically arranged utilitarian caste hierarchy, the most dystopic version of which is expressed in Aldous Huxley's *Brave New World*, the capacity to experience higher states of consciousness beyond what each man is tailored for by his creators, will be outright eliminated.

Scary? Not if one is immersed in the 'American Dream', or trapped between *bread and circuses*, where that capacity of higher consciousness is already co-opted (but not eliminated) in the majority of the species. Those immersed in religion and caught between *god and church*, from time immemorial, have been indoctrinated to wait patiently for some *Deiticial Intervention* to deliver them from man's bondage, or existential crises. That belief remains strong even today among more than two thirds of the world's population. Man's natural capacity for spiritual hunger which is only groomed and nurtured in higher states of consciousness, has been easily misdirected to await the *Savior*.

By examining the cultural and religious history of civilizations, it becomes apparent that religious rituals have been essential in preserving moral teachings and passing them down from generation to generation. Presumably, one may safely surmise, until such time when these would finally be understood and acted upon to engender mankind's attention on developing higher states of consciousness; to build heaven right here on earth for everyone, instead of selfishly seeking Heaven for oneself in *Afterlife*. Or seeking earthly blessings and granting of wishes through these rituals.

Unfortunately, as is true of the followers of all three Abrahamic religions, empty rituals have dominated the practice of moral codes in most societies and civilizations to this very date despite the
march of sophistication and wherewithal in an increasingly smaller world. Never mind the advanced Judeo-Christian secular West prospering at the expense of the rest of the untermenschen world, Muslims ritualizing Karbala remembrance to the exclusion of living its categorical imperative to take down tyranny is a living example. Hindus celebrating the wisdom, bravery, or morality of iconic Hindu deities in elaborate rituals is another contemporary example of a five thousand years old civilization persistently passing down moral teachings packaged in rituals to the deaf, dumb and blind.

These teachings to stand up to evil, often faithfully preserved in cultural rituals and heritage memory, so dramatically fail to achieve their obvious purpose of transformation of society principally because lifting the veil off of their metaphorical symbolism and extracting the categorical imperatives to live by, is predicated upon developing higher levels of consciousness.

The pangs of hunger of the soul must precede the acts that can satiate it.

The ubermensch rulers cannot permit that to become the mainstream value of the mass population regardless of how developed, advanced, and sophisticated the society becomes. Or else the elites cannot thrive. Thus, as captured in both real life and in erudite fables that depict scientific dystopia, the public mind continues to remain trapped in rituals and dogmas. The society easily ostracizes anyone attempting to rise above it. Those able to manipulate the public mind do so with impunity. They feed it on foods that is craved at its lowest level of consciousness. Voluntary servitude and predatory Social Darwinianism is the natural outcome of ritualized spiritualism. QED.
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Re-Imagining Pakistan's Defenses


Dear General sahib,

It was nice to meet you*. Thank you for your kind visit to my home and the opportunity to meet such a distinguished commanding officer from the world's 5th largest standing military. And congratulations on the change of guard - always an auspicious occasion! This detailed letter is in four logically inter-related parts that build upon each other culminating in re-imagining Pakistan's defenses. A companion paper: Saving Pakistan from Synthetic 'Terror Central' outlines the realpolitiks on how to astutely seed its implementation without suiciding the nation.

You asked me to help you rebuild our antiquated military by infusing into its upper echelons a new mindset, of fighting modern warfare using the real-time information-age so we could fight and
win modern wars - or else we would be left behind in the modernity of information-age just as we were left behind when Napoleon arrived in Egypt and surprised the antiquated Muslims with the then modern warfare systems borne of West's mighty industrial age!

I asked you who were our adversaries, and what was our overarching political agenda in the World that we wanted to fight and defeat and win - for the sole superpower whom you wanted to emulate has a clear agenda for which it spends a trillion dollars every couple of years in its vast 'military-industrial-academe' complex: they want to conquer the planet and its outer and inner reaches with the sledgehammer force of “full spectrum dominance” to retain their waning global “preeminence”. Whom do we want to conquer? Why do we need to fight wars, and whose wars? So far, our military has only conquered our own peoples, and repeatedly so, as the wretched history of our state bears ample witness. You candidly mentioned to me that you could not really answer that question beyond the usual fluff.

As long as the logic of war and peace sensibly derives its rationale from political goals, this is the quintessential overarching doctrinal question that underlies the logic of all military spendings and military 'transformations'. And yet, you could only, and rather sheepishly, suggest India, for which we must deprive our citizenry clean drinking water in their taps and quality K-16 education for all our children while we prepare for a mindless warfare devoid of any reasoned substantive political logic or public-mandate, and periodically seed our now infamous covert military-infiltration to pathetically wage undeclared wars of aggression 'by way of deception' without any concomitant political will and support of the peoples who must now pay for it, and against all norms of open 'Declaration of War', which then quite unsurprisingly blows up in our face into full scale military confrontations with our neighbor with whom we share a common and continuous 5000 year bond of shared-history, shared-culture, shared-gene pool, and from where there is no real existential threat emanating to our nation except in the unconstrained imagination of our untempered Brig-Gen brethren(?); never mind that we must also clamp down on our unarmed civilians' peaceful protests with the sledgehammer brutality of the worst barbaric police-state, and mercilessly kill our own deliberately cultivated patsies for their 'synthetic terror' and inculcated-bravado with our most horrible weapon systems as the entire world shockingly witnessed at the 'lal masjid' in the heart of our very own Capital?

As history is witness and bears continual rehearsing due to our very short-term memories, from the covert-operation Gibraltar that led to the 1965 war with India and thousands dead on both sides, to the covert-operation Kargil that almost led to a damn nuclear exchange with India putting millions of innocent people of the sub-continent in the path of Armageddon, and the loss of half of Pakistan in between, are entirely the shameful story of our own military's aggression against our larger neighbor, as well as against our own peoples 1100 miles to the East who were subjected to an unparalleled inhumanity which has not yet seen any accountability nor abject apology whatsoever from our military (or from our nation for that matter - Eqbal Ahmad's 'Letter to a Pakistani Diplomat' is always a good read to periodically refresh our national memory on how little things have really changed for us).

Without any public mandate to wage wars, let alone covert ones against our own neighbor, why should the nation pay for the wet dreams and self-perceived brilliance of the handful of military tacticians? This is a monumental crime against humanity to not only surreptitiously put one's own public at imminent risk of nuclear conflagration without their consent, knowledge, and a national doctrine that has the public-mandate, but also put at risk the public of the entire region quite unbeknownst to them, and all for what? Uncontrolled self-delusions of grandeur and school-boyish
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bravado dreaming of their first conquest? But we haven't stopped there!

We have also continually fought the imperialists' covert and proxy wars for 'peanuts' by supporting the 'CIA Intervention in Afghanistan' for “giving to the USSR its Vietnam war”, and as we are so doing yet once again by not only providing the sole logistics lifeline to imperialist troops in the same wretched country to brow-beat a proud and ancient peoples into submission after we originally assisted in gratuitously destroying their entire civilization and culture and cultivating what has germinated into the useful 'harvest' of 'synthetic terror' today, but also supporting yet another CIA covert-ops destabilization-intervention in Iran from our territories.

And this time, in the new imperialists' euphemistically labeled war, the “War on Terror”, we are now killing our own citizenry with our full military might in such an unremarkable and brutish manner that it is only guaranteed to create further ill-will and resentment among the already disgruntled and disenfranchised ordinary peoples, thus more resistance, more radicalism, more innocent dead, more fertile ground for cultivating suicide bombers, more complaints of “islamofacism” to scare the Western public with, so that in the end, in the mother of all battles between “good” and “evil” in which “either you are with us or with the terrorists”, there will be a continuous fresh supply of recruits to wage the requisite “endless wars” against in order to prolong the conflagration for a full “lifetime of wars” lasting a “generation” - the “World War IV” - in the profound imperialist hope that the resulting eventual maelstroms of radicalism seeded in 'jihad' will engulf the entire 'arc of crises' enabling its radical retransformation once again to suit the new imperial interests of the new “hectoring hegemons”.

If one wasn't living in Alice's dream world where the most absurd becomes a life-like reality and the 'unbirthday party' a cause celebre, one would bluntly suggest that this looks very much like a devilishly premeditated synthesis of terror - 'synthetic terror' - in order to create an enemy to fight against “for at least a generation and preferably longer”, because in the absence “of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being”, and “except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat”, the much touted American “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.” (Brzezinski)

If one wasn't a co-opted and deceitful individual being well fed by empire, and thus was not attempting to goad and/or coerce the rest of the planet into the imperial mantra of celebrating the 'unbirthday party' and singing in unison “United We Stand”, one would immediately recognize that while the monumental criminal killings and willful decimation of entire societies in the “War on Terror” are grotesquely real, the motivation to do so is entirely fabricated.

It is a manifest fraud perpetuated upon mankind to orchestrate the Machiavellian-Straussian crafted “full spectrum dominance” (PNAC) by the brilliant protégés and legatees of Leo Strauss in order to “perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer” such that “no Eurasian challenger emerges, capable of dominating Eurasia and thus also challenging America” (Brzezinski), which in turn necessitates asserting the “Reaganite policy of military strength and moral clarity” by forcing everyone on the planet to accept “America's unique role in preserving and extending an international order friendly to our security, our prosperity, and our principles.” (PNAC) What a wonderful natural birth-right to bully and dominate the 'lesser' humanity cleverly franchised into the left and right ears of 'god's chosen ubermenschen' “Hectoring Hegemons” - the ZioCons - 'baboons' in suits attempting to pass off as hominids!

Zahir Ebrahim
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In the unabsurd reality when 'Alice' is wide awake, this “War on Terror” is a monumentally criminal war of supreme “shock and awe” upon largely defenseless nations and their civilian populations, including our own, and thus plainly a war crime against humanity. And Pakistan is willingly and complicitly helping execute it as per the unilateral absolute decision of just one man for the bribe of $10b - as the “coalition of the willing” - who just by sheer 'happenstance' happened to be at the helm of affairs as the absolute dictator with the full 'unity of command' of the frontline state at his complete disposal, just as the empire was gearing up to once again flex its “Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives” on the “Grand Chessboard”!

What are indeed the odds that every time the 'empire' makes a move 'by way of deception', we only serendipitously have in-place a compliant military dictator in power in Pakistan who is intimately 'embedded' and strategically aligned with the 'empire' in all his significant decisions and commitments? Then again, what are the odds that the 'empire' itself orchestrates such puppets, and that especially in this contemporaneously unfolding, devilishly premeditated, and long in preparation 'War on Terror', it deliberately made it look like mere 'happenstance' by enacting some delightful 'katputli tamashas' as red herrings to make the coup d'état initially palatable to the gullible public? For an 'imperial' nation with at least a 100 year long open-history of craftily-successful covert-interventions, a history that is only made 'open' after faits accomplis, ex post facto, it does not require much imagination to make a rational bet!

And now, this 'serendipitously' acquired monolithic 'unity of absolute command' upon our nation, by oppressing and bombing our own civilians in this fabricated and synthetic “War on Terror”, is blithely constructing our own suicide and our own pathetic 'retransformation' in partial fulfillment of the hectoring hegemons' own wet dreams! By fighting the “War on Terror” against our own citizens in the most brutal ways imaginable, the new 'Terror Central' is artfully being crafted to eventually lead to the nation's disintegration. And just the cultivated perceived threat of such chaos transpiring due to the synthesized 'islamofascists', is conveniently affording the hectoring hegemons their much desired pretext for scooping in at the opportune moment to salvage our nukes to safety among the 'ubermensch' white races, thus finally de-nuking us with this entirely pre-meditated deception game after years of trying unsuccessfully!

All this recent talk of “loose nukes” and “Joint force for nuke safety” and “raising an international force to help the Pakistani armed forces if they are attacked by the extremists”, are precisely the weather balloons built upon the deftly cultivated house of cards of the synthetic 'islamofascist' boogie-men to seed these new deceptions into the mainstream public discourse in order to maintain the persistence of a “sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being”. That's how public opinion is slowly crafted over time to manufacture the deplorable public consent for committing heinous crimes against humanity through the active collaboration of the much touted 'fourth pillar of democracy'.

Another “coalition of the willing” is plainly being seeded - and this time it's 'destination Islamabad' instead of Baghdad, and instead of the well worn fiction of the existence of 'WMDs' which won't fly as Pakistan indeed does possess them, it is the fiction of them getting 'loose' despite being defended by the world's 5th largest standing armed forces who successfully developed the effective deterrence in the face of the entire world's opposition and punitive sanctions, but now is magically unable to defend them in this fabricated war even as the most valuable non-NATO partner and needs an external “force that should not only include troops from the United States, but ideally also other
Western powers and moderate Muslim nations”!

Wow, kill two birds with one stone - and the imperial thinkers are laughing their way to the banks! I couldn't have possibly conceived of a better Trojan Horse myself than this dictatorial regime if I was an imperial strategist! How dare any Muslim nation have the chutzpah to develop nukes as a viable deterrent against 'ubermensch' predators and their god appointed “la mission civilisatrice” - we'll teach them all a lesson! Well, one can't make a frontal assault on the 'children of a lesser god' already possessing the nukes - but through the back door, with layers of deception and covert-ops devilishly crafted with the active connivance of the handful of planted co-opted leaders and their ten thousand mindless sycophants to induce self-destruction, while at the same time getting all the mileage one can get from disposable patsies on the 'Grand Chessboard'? That works!

These are the best practices of the ancient 'Art of War' - to “wage war by way of deception” - as any worthy imperial strategist, as well as any half decent student of history and contemporary affairs surely knows!

Shame on us that we are opening that back door to our own nation's destruction with the help of our own 'illustrious' military and co-opted conscienceless politicians who turn on a dime! Shame on us that we are deliberately helping in the “Remaking of the New World Order”, which “means in practice one thing: one center of power, one center of force, one center of decision-making, a world of one master, one sovereign” (Putin). Shame on us that our rulers are so co-opted, or so short-sighted, or such mental midgets, that we are engagingly helping construct and manage the pretext of battle against the synthetic 'islamofascists' such that now even our own 'family jewels' have been put on the line - something the 'ubermenschen' West always wanted in order to clinch their complete full spectrum monopoly on effective deterrence, and now we are handing them the pretext on which to realize their dreams of unfettered supremacy upon the Muslim world. Shame on us that we are directly helping “Recruiting Soldiers Against Radical Islam” (Pipes) from among the innocent and the gullible of the world who are now scared to death of both 'Islam' and 'Muslims' and who now treat Pakistan as the leper-nation of the world, and shame on us that we have thusly become the wretched implementation arm of 'synthetic terror' – the 'Terror Central' - that enables the West to keep their own “populist democracy” fully primed 24x7 for further “imperial mobilization” in the “War on Terror” as now it is is “Not [even] a Clash of Civilizations, It's a Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians” (Pipes)! Holy Mother of Noble Jesus! What devilishly brilliant craftsmanship for empire!

Can anyone deny any of this well documented naked and brutish reality with a straight face? Perhaps only the ignorant, the indoctrinated, and the Machiavellian. Not any genuine well informed patriot and decent human being who stares reality in the face and straightforwardly calls a spade a spade. As I am certain you do which is why I am so emboldened to write you this note because I sense that you are hardly the tyrant who might shoot the messenger!

Well I want to ask you again in this letter - as I am sure you had time to reflect since we met, and also perhaps this new change of guard may have given you fresh impetus to revisit ab initio many a fundamental - whom do we need to conquer as a nation that we should also spend a trillion dollars on our 'military transformation'? No citizenry of Pakistan as far as I know wants to fight India, except your own Brig-Gen brethren whose self-delusional raison d'etre seems to be to have India as the prime antagonist in order to exist!

That's hardly the purpose of a modern professional military which must exist to defend its
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peoples against both overt and covert real external threats, not imagined ones, even from supposed
friends and allies, while firmly under the control of a civilian political leadership that has the public
mandate - for in the modernity du jour, as in all previous times, war fighting and war preparation logic
have only had, and will always have, political purposes, political rationale, and also political co-
option. The professional military today, as opposed to the “praetorian guards”, in all developed
nations, as I am sure you well know, is mainly an instrument either of hegemony and power projection,
or of self-defense. It is entirely a fear inducing instrument for achieving political ends from which it
derives its entire rationale for existence. Why is it different in Pakistan - where the military for more
than half of the nation's wretched existence has also determined its national and international politics,
its national and foreign policies, and now even its economics with its burgeoning share of “Military
Inc.” and its forced fire-sale of our 'public commons' held in national trust to foreign multi-national
corporations? Politics the military mind least understands, nor is it trained to understand and
participate in - blind obedience and strictly regimented 'unity of command' being its sole operational
code de conduct. Unarmed, peaceable, and conscionable civilians cannot be managed this way under
the 'social contract' which ab initio gives the military, and the 'state', its cause d'existance in the first
place! And neither do we accept such dictatorial management, except for a time under the most brutal
of occupations - the mindset of both autocratic antiquity, and of military conquests in modernity. In
either case, Machiavellian deception is employed to subjugate the public and neutralize their dissent.
And the most transforming method for that is to use 'terror' as a weapon, both for pacifying the
populations with ones' own terror, as well as using the 'synthetic terror' of the 'pirates' to seek the
raison d'être of the emperor's!

So indeed a transformation is required - and entirely of the mindset - to enter into modernity,
but not that of the 'baboons'! So please allow me to lead you in this letter into crystallizing some of
your own thoughts as to what you really should be 'transforming' at this time as a genuinely
nationalistic and wholly patriotic Pakistani multi-star General.

Please allow me to first say that if I did not write this humble letter to you and speak my mind
rudely without fear when my own nation is undergoing destruction at the hands of our own
'ubermensch' tyrants running amok in Islamabad and our military hell bent on pacifying our own
public, then in good conscience, I would not be able to do the same against the sole superpower nation
- my adopted home and place of permanent residence - that has been hijacked by a handful of
'ubermensch' super-tyrants who are running amok in Washington attempting to engage the entire world
in a perpetual war for their own pelf and fantasy and directly sucking us into their vortex as a
compliant client-state. Time to stand up and say enough – on all counts. I hope you will agree, at least
with the notion that one must stand up to tyranny and to tyrants!

A tyrant is quite easy to identify even for the dolts and even in these times of deception galore:
a tyrant holds all the guns(!), and makes all the laws by fiat, and subjects everyone else to these laws
by calling it a necessity! And the tyrant often pretends to be the greatest patriot, one whom god speaks
to directly - the anointed indispensable 'ubermensch' savior of the nation and the world from all the
'terrorists' out there! So my dear General sahib, now you too can also identify the tyrants in the world and in our own backyards so that we can together stand up to them - they aren't a whole lot different than the tyrants of the past are they? This is why the famous theologian - who is often credited with seeding the foundations of political philosophy behind the West's Renaissance a full millennium before it actually transpired - noted in his famous 4th century AD magnum opus 'the city of god against the pagans':

“When the King asked him what he meant by infesting the sea, the pirate defiantly replied: 'the same as you do when you infest the whole world; but because I do it with a little ship I am called a robber, and because you do it with a great fleet, you are an emperor.' ”

So let's begin.

To start with, I would highly recommend you read “The Grand Chessboard” by Zbigniew Brzezinski and compare its chauvinist content and overarching 'imperial' themes with PNAC's “Rebuilding America's Defenses” which you indicated you have already read and are seeking to emulate in its superficial dressings of military transformation. And you must not ignore the Pentagon's own document “Joint Vision 2020” which you confessed to never having heard of, and especially digest its Chapter 3, titled “Full Spectrum Dominance”. This document has since likely been superseded by another JV which you may be able to acquire through your connections as the most favored non-NATO ally General! Note who the contributing authors are for all of these, and what do they ideologically and experientially have in common.

Compare that to what Bernard Lewis wrote in 1990 in CFR's 'Foreign Affairs' about the supposed “roots of Muslim rage” deftly planting the following precious seed: “It should now be clear that we are facing a mood and a movement far transcending the level of issues and policies and the governments that pursue them. This is no less than a clash of civilizations - that perhaps irrational but surely historic reaction of an ancient rival against our Judeo-Christian heritage, our secular present, and the worldwide expansion of both”, which six years later Samuel Huntington cleverly formalized in his now infamous book as the “Clash of Civilizations”, even titling the book thusly. On the eve of 911, Bernard Lewis re-harvested the theme in his famously titled book “Crises of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror”.

It requires a fair degree of political sophistication to astutely parse these writings and to rationally make the linkages. As the mastermind doctrinal scholar par excellence, Bernard Lewis argues, for “devising means to fight the [super]terrorist, it would surely be useful to understand the forces that drive them.” Yes indeed, and so must we in order to rationally defend our nation and its peoples. And the fundamental step in that direction is to first recognize, and then boldly speak, the abhorrent reality when 'Alice' is wide awake!

Thus towards that endeavor, please note how all these seemingly unrelated and purely intellectual pursuits from the academe, and the think-tanks, exercising their much wonted Western freedoms of academia and speech, very clearly prognosticated the 'War on Terror' and an enabling “new pearl harbor”. What in 1990 was a label-less description of “a mood and a movement” has since acquired the phantasmic nom de guerre “islamofascism” in order to construct the “New World Order”, in full consistency with the state's own doctrine of “Full Spectrum Dominance” emanating from the
Pentagon for which it required a continuous increase in its defense budget (over domestic social spending) in order to affect its own military transformation that was then carrying the vestiges of the Cold War. As coherently argued by Wolfowitz, Cheney, Rumsfeld, and company:

"... it is also clear that for the U.S. Armed forces to remain preeminent and avoid an Achilles Heel in the exercise of its power they must be sure that these potential future forms of warfare [space and cyberspace war] favor America just as today's air, land and sea warfare reflect United States military dominance. Until the process of transformation is treated as an enduring military mission – worthy of constant allocation of dollars and forces – it will remain stillborn. ... Further, the process of transformation, even if it brings revolutionary change, is likely to be a long one, absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor" (Rebuilding America's Defenses)

And they got that budget right after 911 for their lifetime of “enduring military mission”, while the public schools and libraries in America are suffering, and a few have already shut down! Now America's defense budget is close to a trillion dollars annually – to fight a bunch of lunatic, sticks and stones wielding, cave dwelling, antediluvian “islamofascists”? No wonder the worldwide ruling elite is salivating at the 'unbirthday party' with gusto – there are many a crumb from the corruption pie to go around for everyone! We have received at least $10b of it!

Indeed, you must give considerable pause for penetrating reflection on how the “new pearl harbor” was so keenly 'anticipated' by both Brzezinski and the Project for New American Century, in order to comprehend the global events on, and especially since, 911. It is entirely unsurprising that many members of PNAC, all subsequently holding key controlling positions in the White House and the Pentagon, prepared hard for their immediate response to the 'new pearl harbor' that transpired on 911 years in advance, as one can easily witness from all the pre-crafted Patriot Acts that were immediately rushed into Law along with Rumsfeld's orders to his staff to immediately 'prepare' for attack on Iraq even as the rushed un forensic retaliation was launched against Afghanistan, while simultaneously, General Myers, the nation's top Military General caught sleeping on his watch, was instantly promoted to lead the “War on Terror”. You can read more of these details and its forensic deconstruction in my 2003 book 'Prisoners of the Cave'. That was an apt title for the indoctrinated, gullible, and largely ignorant of the world American public. I hardly think it is befitting for our own educated ruling elite! For our favored scions and 'ubermenschens', something else might be more à propos, and I will gladly let your own imagination construct it!

What you see today dear General sahib has been on the books and in preparation since the Berlin Wall fell - surely you must know that being a military man of considerable experience and realpolitik learning. It is entirely blue-printed in the above imperial documents that I call the “American Mein Kampfs” – not independently constructed in some 'unlighted cave' in Afghanistan by a 'guy on a dialysis machine'! It is facile to insist that it is, or that 'OBL' and 'Al-qaeda' are meaningful constructs other than for “imperial mobilization” through “perpetual war” against a fabricated but well formulated abstraction!

The end goal of this long fiction? No speculations are necessary to catch its varied glimpses as this just disclosed White House Press Release lends an empirical unabashed summation of the reality to all the doctrinal constructions of the supposed 'arm-chair-only' academic warriors: “Declaration of
Principles for a Long-Term Relationship of Cooperation and Friendship Between the Republic of Iraq and the United States of America

It gives 'legal' sanction to the effective re-colonization and monopolistic harvesting of a significant Eurasian geostrategic asset for multiple overlapping hierarchy of purposes as defined by its imperial exponents with their own hierarchy of overlapping motivations, all of which effectively enable in practice, “to perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer”. This press release leaves no further room for doubt even among the staunchest skeptics singing the 'unbirthday party' song in our country, that even if one gullibly accepted all the pretexts since 911 for 'War on Terror' on its face value, then why permanently colonize one of the victims in perpetuity through the deftly cultivated tiny minority ruling elite whose interests will remain permanently allied with that of the global ruling elite crafting the neo-colonial 'empire'? 

Similar templates for future Press Releases from the White House exist for Afghanistan, Pakistan, Iran, Syria, Lebanon, Saudi-Arabia, the entire Middle East, the Littoral States, and other Republics of Central Asia - each template tailored to the particular geostrategic significance of the pawns on 'the Grand Chessboard', and each embedding the respective nation's trivially co-opted 'mercenary' ruling elite to play the proxy colonizing role while the 'hidden fist' stays sheathed on site but always on call as: “The hidden hand of the market will never work without a hidden fist” (Thomas Friedman). This is why, in fact of empirical evidence, the not so 'hidden fist' is there in more than 700 locations worldwide according to the Congressional Budget Office (2004):

“The United States maintains an extensive network of overseas military bases, as it has since the end of World War II. Those installations--scattered throughout the world and numbering more than 700--enable the U.S. military to maintain a permanent presence on all seven continents.”

But since the “attitude of American public toward the external projection of American power has been more ambivalent”, and “Public opinion polls conducted in 1995 - 1996 indicated a general public preference for 'sharing' power with others, rather than for its monopolistic exercise”, and because it “is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad” which “limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation”, and also because “the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization”, therefore, a fictitious enemy is fabricated that is real enough in its construction, but trivially beatable as so insightfully demonstrated by the character 'Hanakin Skywalker' in “The Revenge of the Sith”.

This fabrication is all the more necessary today than in the past decades of superpower primacy because:

“... as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. .... More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a
high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.” (Brzezinski)

Yes indeed General sahib, the “War on Terror” against the synthetic 'Islamofascists', and other various deliberate fictions of 'WMDs' (as now the case for Iran), or their 'getting loose' (as now the case for our nation), and newer ones to be constructed in the future, lends precisely such a Machiavellian “high degree of doctrinal motivation” for continuing on with “imperial mobilization” for “at least a generation and preferably longer”!

And this is precisely the seed where the lifetime of “World War IV” emanates from, as the former CIA Director James Woolsey noted: “the United States is engaged in World War IV, and that it could continue for years”!

It's monumentally criminal architects and craftsmen: the highly 'respected' intellectuals occupying the corridors and hallways of the Ivy Leagues, the Rand, the Heritage, the JINSA, the AEI, the Hudson, the Weekly Standard, the World Bank, et. al. A vast majority of them civilians. We love to call them the neo-cons, or the realpolitik warriors, or the neo-liberals, or the neo-conservatives, or the Democratic Party, or the Republican Party, but we little understand their perfection of their deadly craft, or how it interplays on different faces of the same multi-faceted imperial coin pushing itself onto the 'Grand Chessboard' for “full spectrum dominance” of the “Zion that will light up all the world”! This imperial war of barbaric modernity, unlike in previous times, is a highly polished and elaborate intellectual doctrinal effort - from the formulation of 'Islamofascism' to the 'Clash of Civilizations' - that has been under preparation for almost two decades! A preliminary list of the visible top of the iceberg among its 'craftsmen' is compiled as 'Exhibit A' in my book, to be presented to a “Robert H. Jackson” someday!

I hope that I have now fully convinced you General sahib, of the background thesis of this letter, that this “War on Terror” is synthetic and a complete fabrication!

And this must cause you grave concern and many sleepless nights henceforth as a genuinely patriotic Pakistani General. Because quite unremarkably, once again, our out-of-control misadventuresome Pakistani military with no genuine civilian democratic controls upon it, with no genuine public mandates except through an iron fist up the nation's backside, espousing no known tradition of intellectualism or sophistication in political thought to be able to effectively understand let alone counter such Machiavellianly crafted warfare emanating from the best political minds in the world, and having no known tradition of seeking genuine independence from hegemony or from continually bowing to the 'superior white masters' from whence they derive all their power and funding, has become a willing accomplice in this suicidal and monumental crime against humanity as the “coalition of the willing” - entirely in contra-indication to the Pakistani peoples' own proclivities, instincts for survival, and cultural and religious bonds of sympathies with the victims. Little does the mainstay of genuinely patriotic leadership in this martial institution realize that we too are situated in the same 'arc de crises'! Or perhaps it does?

How is the world's 5th largest standing military so easily controlled by the West to support Western interests over the nation's own? Well, please permit me to explain candidly the way I see it, and do feel free to correct me if I inadvertently utter falsehoods at any point as you are surely more knowledgeable of these matters with your considerably vast inside-experience of our august institution.
As you surely already know, in this world, there are only 'ubermensch' masters, and there are plebeian client-states and moronic patsies. A client-state stays a client-state like old wine in a new bottle, merely changing the color of the bottle periodically. Client-states do not fight their own wars, but others' on their behalf. So do the patsies, only they do it unknowingly all the while being misled into thinking that they are serving their own agenda - like 'strategic depth'! Client-states do not have independent foreign policies, but carry on with the ones handed them. Patsies are led to believe that they are crafting their own - like Pakistan’s support of CIA intervention in Afghanistan and the 8 year decimation of that nation; just as today we are doing the same against our other neighbor Iran.

And the biggest patsies of them all - the ubiquitous boogie-men - the synthetic 'al-qaedas' and the wretched Taliban.

All directly under the clever manipulation of the black-ops of the CIA with so many artificially constructed degrees of separation as to claim 'plausible deniability' if the facade is ever blown; as in the case of Iran-Contra to keep Iran and Iraq well supplied with lower-order weapons to keep them busy mindlessly killing each other for 8 long years to neutralize the revolutionary changes towards genuine independence from hegemony taking place in Iran lest it become globally contagious; or as confessed to by Zbigniew Brzezinski in his remorseless gloating of “giving to the USSR its Vietnam war” in a remarkably calculated covert “operation [that] was an excellent idea” for “drawing the Russians into the Afghan trap” which eventually led to the “liberation of Central Europe and the end of the cold war”. All gratuitously orchestrated on Muslim soil with the relatively free blood of “Some stirred-up Moslems”. While the patsy Pakistani military intelligence was led by the nose to the wet dreams of acquiring 'strategic depth' as a viable defense against Indian missiles (sic!), and its ranks deliberately staffed with those sympathetic to the tortuous notion of 'jihad' as funded and crafted in the USA by Messrs. Zbigniew Brzezinski, Zalmay Khalilzad, and the late William Casey, former Director of the CIA!

And none-too-surprisingly, client-states invariably have 'ubermensch' dictatorships in one garb or another running gun-shot upon their own peoples in the service of the uber 'ubermensch' masters, as we note between Z, B, N, M, and loop - all serving the hectoring hegemons' interests first, and all installed by them! Kissinger had outright warned ZAB that he will make an example of him if he did not give up the idea of the 'Islamic bomb' - the last time we truly enjoyed an independent foreign policy for a brief period. And that state of our nation too was deliberately brought to power as a much weaker and deliberately dismembered buffer state - far easier to manipulate than a stronger one in case it ever got its act together as the then largest Muslim country on the planet.

But ZAB just got too big for his breeches and was replaced by an obedient soldier to help win the Cold War - the World War III. And our present obedient one too, before the recent change of guard took place that is, was brought to power because N got too big for his breeches by aspiring to be a 'caliph'. M is still entirely following a scripted set to help the sole superpower once again win their new World War - World War IV - because the entire game plan is to accomplish the attack on Iran that was planned more than a decade ago followed of course by our own further dismemberment which was also planned when the Soviet Union collapsed; for we were surely only allowed to come into existence as an additional easily manipulatable buffer-state during the Cold War. We are entirely
following a scripted path for the past 8 years rushing towards our own demise in the guise of the artificial and much touted 7% growth rate by selling off our national assets in a fire sale. The next thing would be liquidation of our real estate - as any corporate raiders might inform you. Which is also why the nationalistic Putin reversed the neoliberalism in his own nation after the fire-sale of the 1990s in Russia. But we are pressing on contrary to all the manifest signs. The only rational answer is, deliberately. Treason of the highest order!

Are you shocked? Surely not! None of this ought to be anything new for you. But it does beg the following question.

Please let me know if you know of a genuine non-client-state nation. And then you may also discover that they are also genuinely independent, have no WB loans - the only ones - and all in the global North! The rest, the entire resource-rich yet poverty-stricken global South, with three exceptions of any consequence, all have strong military and defense establishments ostensibly fighting some invisible external enemies, but in reality are entirely there to conquer their own people in the service of the rich nations of the Global North who have only one open-secret global agenda: 'full spectrum dominance' i.e. hegemony over the resource rich nations of the Global South, and of the Earth and its outer and inner spaces including cyberspaces! We are entirely headed towards a one world government - you can already see the intervening alliances that are being crafted in the Global North and their already centralized financial control over the entire world. Only the Global South is being prevented from making any alliances in self-defense - the toothless SCO not withstanding!

For us in the Global South, it remains the time tested formula 'divide and conquer', repeatedly pitting brother against brother! It is not that we don't learn or are a moronic peoples - it is merely that there is actual treasonous subversion by deliberately allowing only such leadership to emerge who will dutifully do the masters' bidding as a compliant client-state.

So what will really change if the present dictatorial military setup is replaced by a faux democratic one with all the visible trimmings of 'democracy' and all the essence of a patsy client-state?

Isn't the unabsurd reality grotesque - one that is brought into existence by the super-power 'brokerage firms' and remains in obsequious service to them - continually fighting their fictitious 'War on Terror' and killing our own citizens, and then shortly, enabling the monumentally criminal invasion and nuclear slaughter of our neighboring brethren Muslim nation Iran, followed by our own annihilation and/or re-chalking of our boundaries? I have already seen at least 6 redrawn maps of Pakistan emanating from the West, as I am sure you have too.

If I utter any falsehoods, then you tell me: Is there any other reason that we are not strategically aligned with our co-religionists and culturally aligned next door neighbor Iran in these trying times instead of trying to assist in destabilizing it and supporting such CIA interventions once again from our territories as we did in the previous military dictatorship?

Is there any other reason why we are not more closely allied with India, except for the penchants of our military brasses - their self-delusional raison d'être of existence which miraculously disappears in its current dispensation of unbridled power exercise upon the nation once we form a friendship that's our peoples' due being primarily of the same stock? Please help me understand that if an EU can transpire within 50 years of those guys mercilessly killing each other for hundreds of years and being entirely separate nations and cultures for thousands of years, why can't an entirely similar SU (Subcontinental Union) transpire today when we have far more heritage and history in common?
than the Europeans among themselves, and we were only artificially torn asunder 60 years ago after
thousands of years of shared history of peaceful co-existence of all its peoples?

Is there any other reason why the fiction 'War on Terror' is being conveniently harvested by
both the Busharraf's against their own peoples - both peoples losing their civil liberties and living in
police states, one overtly and most brutally, the other still only covertly awaiting another enabling
'pearl harbor' so that their rulers may unleash the final full spectrum nuclear 'shock and awe' upon Iran
by blaming it, and also springing the already prepared and already on the books 'police state' upon the
once 'populist democracy' to get them to forcibly go along with the monumental crime against
humanity?

Indeed, it must be obvious to anyone possessing even minor political acumen that a nuclear
armed Muslim state cannot be allowed to exist except as a compliant client-state (and only
temporarily). Thus since Iran isn't a client-state, indeed, is the only independent and self-reliant
Muslim state on the planet, she is not being allowed to exist without at least visiting a 'shock and awe'
display upon its peoples - the latest study of American preparations draws the following conclusion:

"The study concludes that the US has made military preparations to destroy
Iran's WMD, nuclear energy, regime, armed forces, state apparatus and
economic infrastructure within days if not hours of President George W. Bush
giving the order." ['Considering a war with Iran: A discussion paper on WMD
in the Middle East']

And we are the best of friends with the very same 'hectoring hegemons' in a monumentally
stupid, never mind monumentally criminal, suicide pact - along with the patsy, the hectoring hegemons
too are suiciding their beautiful nation long term! We, the patsies perhaps may not be such a loss at
being 'disappeared' on the global scene, and perhaps only us will mourn that loss while the rest of the
world may well be cheering. But the lofty nation in the West that once strove to be the beacon of
civilization and culture in the modernity du jour, of learning and knowledge, of freedoms and wealth
for anyone prepared to work hard, biting the dust because of the tortuous ideology of unbridled greed
for pelf and power through 'endless wars' that gave the only pathetic 'meaning' possible to the puny
existence of the tiny tiny amoral largely atheistic minority of super criminals among them pretending
to be the Platonic wise supermen of knowledge but entirely Nietzschean and Straussinan 'ubermensch'
in the depravity of their souls, must be the monumental tragedy at which even the heavens must
already be mourning!

I love America where I got my education, my maturity, and my honest livelihood, and where I
happily raised my family and had an accomplished engineering career, and above all, where I acquired
the courage of my convictions; just as I love Pakistan, the nation my father and mother and
grandparents immigrated to right after its creation by the amazing Founder who was from our own tiny
minority community, full of hopes and dreams - all torn asunder by a handful of 'ubermenschen' crimals in both nations who think themselves better than all the rest of humanity!

Why should any self-respecting human being ever accept any of this? I don't. Do you?

Where should ones' first allegiances lie - to a 'flag', to an arbitrary 'geography' circumscribed by
the hegemons of yesteryears and accidents of history, to the serendipitous place of one's birth, to the
'false flag of patriotism' and thus 'united we stand' under any banner in the commission of tyranny and
monumental crimes against humanity, or to a peoples composing the vast mass of humanity to which we all belong as human beings? Should our first allegiances be to truth and justice, or to the arbitrarily crafted abstractions of 'nationalism' and 'patriotism'? Should we bear witness against tyranny regardless of who commits the atrocities upon humanity, or should we be selective in our memory and in our morality as the 'ubermenschen'? Are we human beings first, or are we American first or Israeli first or Indian first or Pakistani first? Yes we human beings do carry multiple identities; I am a Muslim before I am any nationality, and I am also a Pakistani, my only national identity and the only nation whose passport I have, by deliberate choice, carried all my life despite being a legal permanent resident in the United States for almost three decades. But I am, before anything else, a human being first. I hope you are too?

And this is why my fingers on my computer keyboard, as do my legs on the streets in protest marches, move with a feverish pace, of one who knows that the man-made clock is ticking, and therefore to be intimidated into remaining a silent bystander is to be an accomplice!

The urgency is fueled by the further surety of knowledge that the fuse can also be defused if ordinary plebeian peoples, those that Zbigniew Brzezinski loves to call the 'populist democracy' and of which he is so afraid as being 'inimical to imperial mobilization', just damn wake the hell up from their indoctrinated slumber in my adopted homeland, and from their worship of their petty stomach in my native homeland, so that together, collectively, as human beings first, we can take charge of our own dreams and our own hopes and our own destiny! It is one ship of humanity – the 'ubermenschen' upper deck of the ship can't survive, and must not be permitted to survive through their obfuscating inhuman 'legal' cover whereby the only justification for medieval torture, 'renditions', 'disappearances', incarcerations, brutal beatings, and 'shock and awe' upon a civilian population is that it's 'legal', without the lower decks also equitably sharing in this truly one of a kind and once in a lifetime collective and wondrous voyage of life now made hell by the original barbarians calling the 'kettle black'!

The handful of lawyers, and the handful of journalists, with black and blue backs, arms, and legs, are serendipitously showing the way to the still zombie populace in both nations content to let others carve out their destiny for them! What the half million street protest sizes could not accomplish in America since 911, ten thousand are accomplishing in Pakistan in less than a few months! Thus we saw the 'police state' transpire in Pakistan before it did in America!

Well, these immensely courageous 'Patrick Henrys' of 'civil society' are unfortunately chasing down various and sundry red herrings even in their disruptive dissent, such as 'rule of law', without comprehending the bilateral 'social contract' upon which it must be constructed and which is presently non-existent; 'independence of the judiciary', without appreciating that it has no real power of enforcement without Executive backing; 'end to the emergency', without understanding that it is merely a short-term, window-dressed tool of fiat to achieve something for more strategic and long term, and will be ended automatically anyway in due course after having enabled the far worse devious construction of a 'Pax-Mafioso's contract' upon the wretched nation under 'legal' cover to guarantee that the direction of the nation remains a patsy client-state under the evolving new political dynamics inside the nation. Nothing is ever left to chance when the 'empire' calls the shots!

This spirit of outspoken dissent however, as misguided as it may be today, is still a remarkably refreshing break from the past towards a possible re-genesis of the nation. It is unsurprising that the
incumbent rulers in the patsy client-state are making their best sledgehammer attempts to kill it during its birth-pangs. All incumbents desirous of maintaining the real status quo would do the same. This independence of spirit, interestingly enough, can also be quite easily harnessed and re-directed, and multiplied in its force of impact exponentially, if just one single ruler at the top genuinely gets transformed into a real Patrick Henry, and a real Ben Franklin (respectively representing the courage and the brains that founded the promise of an independent United States of America from the full spectrum exploitive reins of the 'hectoring hegemons' of their time). Now you may perhaps also begin to see why I am writing this letter to you.

If “hegemony is as old as mankind”, so must also its antidote of *throwing the tea overboard*!

Unless fundamentals change genuinely - and this present crisis in our nation can also be an opportunity for making such a genuine transformation possible by re-imagining our defense, our overarching purpose, and how to achieve them both by identifying our real enemies and our real impediments from the imaginary ones and the red herrings - it will merely remain re-bottling the old wine in a new bottle, still the bloody 'client-state' at the mercy of local hoodlums and petty thugs!

Thus, the much touted change of guard at the top to have any real implication as a genuine change from status quo, must be followed by a genuine transformation in the overarching, macro, and micro foreign and domestic policies themselves that must seed markedly visible changes in the nation's direction - towards a re-genesis!

All indications publicly emanating from the military and so called 'civilian' rulers at this time are entirely to the contrary. I hope that these statements are merely placate-ments for our Western 'benefactors' and that there is in fact a meaningful change in the wings – our own covert-ops for a change in the best interest of our own peoples – of disengaging ourselves from the full spectrum “imperial mobilization” efforts of the sole superpower.

If such a meaningful change isn't in the works, then indeed, I feel it is perhaps better to have martial law and this draconian state of despondent crisis one after another leading to this naked brutalization of the unarmed civilians and increase in the overall state oppression upon the peoples. Because the 'syntactic sugaring' that otherwise transpires with the facade of 'democracy', 'independence', 'enlightened moderation', and other resemanticized euphemisms only masks our systemic cancer, prolongs our agony, and merely solidifies our eventual disintegration as a sovereign nation that was imagined and constructed upon the blood and sacrifice of millions of people of the sub-continent. And it surely confirms what an ill-conceived idea it was to partition India, reinforcing the analysis of many that it was done entirely as a long term bet on the 'Grand Chessboard' of the post World War II era!

It is, after all, only in crises that nations are formed, and also destroyed – for it wasn't just unfulfilled maternal instincts that led Condoleezza Rice to utter her famous quip: 'the birth pangs of the New middle East'. She obviously learnt her lessons well from Ben Gurion who understood this better than anyone else as the greatest unfettered enabler principle for the conquest of Palestine to create 'Eretz Yisrael' when he wrote: “what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times.” Crises bring 'revolutionary times' and make transformations possible - both good and bad. Only crises are harbingers of real change! Peace never stimulates any change whatsoever. Oppression does - and more absolutely brutal the oppression, more likely the transformation from zombie state to real freedom.
Am I wrong General sahib in my reading of the infernal history of hegemony since mankind put its footsteps on this earth? Don't you see what's transpiring on our campuses in our schools and universities - a serendipitous re-awakening of self-obsessed zombies as a direct consequence of our praetorian military state's brutality against unarmed civilians? Perhaps you also understand this historical nature of transformation all too well and are indeed secretly planting the seeds of real transformation in our society? Thus unless there is to be real change, and not mere cosmetic changing of the bottle to contain the same old wine, it is better to not provide any pressure-release valve whatsoever – the ultimate instrument of co-optation!

You cannot be so naive as not to see that all this murderous 'katputli tamasha' of 'synthetic terror' that is being enacted in our nation is merely tempestuous moves on the evolving Grand Chessboard in which we are most assuredly still the perpetual pawns. If this is obvious to an ordinary plebeian like me, it must surely already be in your expert knowledge too, with even more secretive details that remain hidden from the ordinary citizenry until they become irrelevant as faits accomplis. Then we can read all about them to our heart's content in New York Times best sellers and utter 'tsk tsk'! If we can only recognize this now with preemptive wisdom borne of rational hindsights of study of history rather than wait for a confessional exposé post fait accompli, as even a mere possibility if not certainty, that the 'synthetic terror' being orchestrated in Pakistan is along the operational axes to foment the 'arc of crises' and it is deliberately forging chaos in our nation using our many a well known Achilles heals, than right away we know what to do! Don't you think so? I don't think I need to spell out the self-defense actions to take as you are surely more astute than I in such matters!

Furthermore, if you haven't yet read Ayesha Siddiqa's recently released best seller book, I highly recommend it. Most people who talk about it actually haven't bothered to read it – they have either read summaries, or have mainly heard about if from its notoriety and read a few press clippings. Neither is sufficient to appreciate what it has so courageously put together. However it has its own short comings too. It entirely ignores the concept of client-states and the obvious global agendas on the Grand Chessboard in its 'academic' reconstruction of the world order as its author perceives the world. Indeed the word 'client-state' does not occur even once in the book. Thus it misses out entirely on the 'hectoring hegemons' seeding the first-cause traumas in the nation's psyche, and instead presents all our Faustian pacts with them as mere exploitation of our ripe and fertile soil. There is a major difference between planting and harvesting the fruits, and merely chancing upon the fruit trees and appropriating its fruits – I am sure you can appreciate the nuanced difference. For unless the difference is clearly understood and appreciated, we won't know how not to plant those rotten fruit-trees and how to protect our fertile soil from them cropping up by 'sheer happenstance' as we go about transforming the soil itself.

Despite this glaring and inexplicable constraint on the book, it accurately portrays our humble and meager place in the world with respect to our domestication by our own military - “Military Inc.”. It straightforwardly points out the drastic consequences of the rise of this new 'feudal class' which has indeed stunted our growth into modernity by perpetuating the antediluvian praetorian-feudalistic society's mindset, both in terms of our enslaved public's obsequious mindset that does not challenge power but rather continually sucks up to it just to survive as per the legacy of our colonial rule, as well as in our ruling elite's own pelf and power as god's right to usurp and plunder whatever is in sight also as per the legacy of the colonial rule. We created a new nation, but were unable to dump the 'old wine' that Lord Babbington Macaulay bottled for us all through the 19th century!
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We may have indeed “descended from the tree-tops, but we have yet to lose our tail” - as the octogenarian icon of wit Cowasjee sahib recently put it! I have read this remarkable book of Ayesha twice - as it is dense reading - and based on the evidence of empirical reality around me when 'Alice' is wide awake, I know that it depicts portions of reality rather accurately. You can't possibly deny this with a straight face, at least to me. You must at least face up to the obvious fact that our military is indeed a major 'Inc.' today controlling a non-trivial chunk of our economy in our own bizarre construction of “military-feudal-industrial” complex!

The complete reality however, as you surely know much better than me, is actually much worse - only the author could not find the chutzpah to document it considering it was written under the auspices of former US Senator Lee Hamilton, who is also the same guy who oversaw the officially-fictitious 911 Commission Report that lay the blame for 911 on 19 'evil jihadis'! No mention of the possibility of 'Controlled Demolition' of the towers as a masterful covert-ops upon the American nation in total contradiction to the copious visible evidence already publicly available! We all know why a watchdog may not bark - it is fed by the same owners who come to rob! And similarly, there is also no mention of how promotions in the upper echelons of our military are subtly, if not overtly, approved in Washington with an imperceptible nod to ensure that only those ideologically sympathetic to the Western interests climb up the military ladder in senior positions. And for this selection, they are allowed to have their way with the nation so long as the master's interests are upheld. There is no other explanation of why, as documented in Ayesha's book, the General is worth 500 million rupees and the West continues to do business with the obviously corrupt men! Despite the book's severe limitations of not providing a completed picture of the 'why' and 'how' things are the way they are, the courageous author did draw a good picture and her attempt to beard the lion in its own den is based mainly on the cite-able evidence available to her as evidenced by the copious footnotes in the book. Unlike in the Pink Panther Hollywood detective movies, the latter day robber barons rarely leave their calling cards behind, which even when they exist, almost always remain 'classified' or verbal. Given the lack of access to many confidential and still 'top secret' archives, her book, compiled largely from freely and publicly available information that would not be deemed controversial, conjectural, and entirely speculative, is indeed remarkable, and you too must indeed feel proud of such a courageous Pakistani.

IV

Having comprehensively deconstructed the reality when 'Alice' is both wide awake, and also when she is sitting at the 'unbirthday party' in her phantasmal state, it is now your turn General sahib to answer some really tough questions as I lead you to re-imagine Pakistan's defenses with me to genuinely empower her to leap-frog into modernity!

In such a hegemonistic world as I have disclosed above, where our military enterprise as well as our country along with its rapidly privatized economy has become the private largess of our Brig-Gens in the service of their Western masters and their own pockets, kindly do explain the rationale of further rebuilding our defense establishment - for what purpose?

When we don't have clean drinking water or useful quality education or essential services or
quality healthcare et. al. for our own overburdened barely surviving majority citizenry and the army, navy and air-force live comfortably ensconced in their own elite enclaves?

When corruption is given official legal sanction and time-tested politicians re-primed for further loot and plunder of the public-commons as never before seen anywhere else on the planet in the modernity of nation-states?

When language is given new meaning and familiar words mean the opposite of what their dictionary meanings might suggest? When Orwellian euphemisms are dexterously used to control the mass public's rather plebeian minds with egregious impunity and such shamelessness as never before witnessed or accomplished in the history of civilizations?

When 'war on terror' is to fight and kill ones' own people after they are cleverly manipulated as patsies into 'synthetic terror' for the explicit purpose of creating the pretext of fighting the 'war on terror' for a 'lifetime' - the perpetual war - the 50 year war, 'World War IV'? Sometimes art is made to mimic reality as the only way to make dissent known – and watching the Star Wars movie “Revenge of the Sith” brings home that reality better than any book on current affairs. That depiction has accurately captured the bombing of Afghanistan in my view, and the entire facade of 'war on terror' because indeed, in the modernity du jour of a sole superpower nation aspiring “full spectrum dominance” as part of its national foreign policy, its domestic policy must follow suit as otherwise “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization” and its peoples unwilling to go fight far off battles at the cost of their own blood and their own social spendings. This is the entire reality behind the fiction of “war on terror” as I have coherently reasoned in this letter. Why is Pakistan a part of this “imperial mobilization”? What's in it for our people – to kill and devastate other Muslim nations? Or any nation for that matter – as the entire Global South is up grabs!

Is this why we should help rebuild our military for real-time information warfare? Before a just judge, this would be high treason! A monumental crime against humanity!

Yes it would be a different matter all together were we a genuinely independent nation like Iran or India or Venezuela (the only three in the developing nations of the Global South as of today - we won't include China as it's in a different league altogether being the main focus of the fomented 'check' on the Grand Chessboard), not baying and begging the West for handouts and first and foremost caring for our own peoples to the extent possible, and with their military firmly under civilian control and out of controlling and manipulating politics and economy even from inside the barracks. It would be a virtue in such a world to even be mildly patriotic. I am eagerly looking forward to such a re-imagined Pakistan and would be delighted under such new circumstance to help rebuild our national defenses in the light of the modernity of information-age for safeguarding our genuine earned independence. Doing it now would only be in the service of the status quo! A traitorous labor for pecuniary gains!

We must make choices General sahib - the stomach is attached to us by biology and we have no free will there unfortunately, but conscience is the one aspect that does demand free will. The latter under the diktats of the former is for slaves and client-states who do anything for a pecuniary gain of pelf and power; the other way around is for free men and free women! That's what makes a nation of peoples genuinely independent and free - when its peoples first demand it by showing it in their own humble choices between the stomach first, or the conscience first! Sometimes that does entail going hungry, giving up lucrative contracts and deals, suppressing the ego or even foregoing the pleasures of speaking to the divine and hearing commands through its 'ubermensch' voices, and worse - as we see
the handful of lonely but courageous and unarmed men and women undergoing today at the hands of our illustrious military might once again hell bent on conquering its own peoples! Any military man or woman in uniform with a modicum of conscience and iota of self respect watching this spectacle would die of shame! That day might yet come when the only sanctuary for them from their own peoples would be without their caps and stripes! And they would have certainly earned it! How despicable!

Why should we strengthen this system? It is suicidal and self-defeating!

A true patriot would walk away... wouldn't you agree? And the truly courageous, the real commandos, would fight back! 'We are many, they are few, they need us [and their guns] more than we need them [or their guns]! Just our disengagement from supporting the status quo with our humble daily acts and the courageous decision not to be co-opted in any shape or form for any reason, are potent weapons enough!

Might this be called the patriotic 'jihad' of the unarmed 'elite' civilians - god forbid - lest we all get branded as 'elite terrorists'?

Yes I can surely assist you in this sort of 'military transformation' – the transformation of the 'military mindset' as you put it - of first being correctly able to identify its adversaries from its friends, and its first national obligations of not conquering its own peoples.

Every Pakistani civilian extra-judicially killed by a Pakistani soldier or by the praetorian state's henchmen by any other name must garner the murderer and all those in the 'unity of command' who ordered such treasonous crime, the immediate death penalty for crimes against their own peoples. An excursion outside the national borders or issuance of a PCO or subverting of the Constitution or taking over the reins of the country, directly or indirectly, through any manipulative power-plays, being deemed the highest treason for the entire 'unity of command' structure – retroactively!

It would be an honor to help rebuild such a transformed and professional military - firmly under the civilian political command and full accountability up to the gallows through the 'unity of command' - for the high-tech information-age, and solely for the purpose of self-defense of our national borders and those of our ally nations with whom we must make mutual self-defense pact treaties in our, and our covetous resource-rich region's best interests.

I would love to craft such a professional and human military that has learnt the lessons from Nuremberg – of the entire rank and file up to the top commanding officers having the moral obligation of disobeying an immoral order – one that is against the Human Rights Convention to which most civilized nations of the world are now signatory, and then willingly facing the inevitable court martial for this moral and rational disobedience and defending oneself honorably. A military without conscience is one that belongs to 'Darth Vader' - the imperial storm troopers! We have no need for such a military. That is a radical transformation of the mindset – won't you agree? Better that than sitting in the docks lamely arguing “I was only following orders” - which cannot bring back the multitude of innocent dead, and nor can it restore the tabula rasa of nations. Perhaps such laments under the victors' justice is only useful fodder for more lame theses on the “banality of evil” - in every new generation! Modernity does tend to keep up with newer more high-tech ways to be more brutal and inhuman, doesn't it? Why should we accept that - from anyone?

When we blindly copy so much from the West, what keeps us from also rationally adapting and
inculcating the fundamental sources of their genuine strengths for our own self-defense and the real genuine advancement of all our peoples as human beings first? Yes you now know it too – primarily our 'client-state' colonization that is so easy to perpetually maintain, primarily due to our antiquated praetorian mindset egregiously still carrying the vestiges of the dark ages of self-righteous divine sanction to rule over the 'subjects' in the service of some higher purpose. Unfortunately, once again we have copied the malaise of the West instead of its lofty achievements: one man still deciding the fate of the entire nation with absolute monarchist powers in his hands. A lesson well learnt from the prime benefactor! Putting a lethal weapon such as a high-tech information-age enabled storm troopers in such 'ubermensch' praetorian hands is a monumental crime against humanity, regardless of which nation does it.

I hope you did not mind my frankly sharing my few inner most thoughts with you. And I further hope that I won't be sent to the gallows for it – for re-imagining our military and our nation - under any pretext or draconian laws enacted under fascism? Will you now protect my inalienable rights to think my own thoughts and to voice my own views and to be genuinely concerned for my own nation and its peoples as I outline for you the path to a genuine military transformation? Or only distinguished bemedaed Generals allowed such luxuries? Because I do feel just as patriotic as you – perhaps even more - but I have no medals on my chest! Surely no one has monopoly over patriotism, right?

And to keep everyman's patriotism in check is why we need the 'rule of law', which in the modernity du jour is based on a reasonable, workable, practical, fair, and humanitarian constitution that is ratified by the populace willing to be governed by it. Laws merely enacted by fiat and approved by a hand-picked ruling elite is hardly a 'social contract'. It is a Mafioso's contract, an 'ubermensch' emperor's contract. In antiquity, they didn't need a constitution because the monarch or caliph or tyrant – usually synonymous - was the constitution, and it didn't need public ratification because the public didn't matter, and if the rulers didn't like someone, all they had to order was 'off with the head' and a hundred legions were ready to execute the command, moral or not. The word of the ruler was absolute law, and the 'rule of law' still prevailed, by hook or by crook.

So the statement, 'rule of law' by itself can mean anything - even rule by tyrants and demagogues and colonial powers under artfully crafted legal cover - and must be qualified as to whose law, and who sanctioned it, and who forced it upon us or who willingly affirmed it as a binding bilateral mutually acceptable 'social contract' under which the 'people' contractually (i.e. Constitutionally) empower the 'state' to manage their collective macro affairs in return for many a circumscription upon their individual selves! This is how my finite mind understands civilized existence in modernity.

If the 'state' abrogates its part of the first-principle upon which the 'social contract' is constructed, why should the civilians continue to accept their end of the bargain? Why should we, the peoples, continue to endure the beatings and tortures and disappearances and the 'shock and awe' just for exercising our 'contractually' guaranteed and obligatory, nay, inalienable Human Rights, and our 'contractual' right as per the 'social contract' to peaceably and collectively tell our rulers we don't approve of their policies, their unjust wars, their unjust alliances, or that we don't wish to be governed by self-appointed tin-pot dictators who think they are god's indispensable gift to mankind? I leave the natural culmination of this line of logical reasoning to your own unconstrained military imagination!

Are we trying to get back to antiquity as fast as possible today by completely suppressing
freedom of speech with such draconian laws like the Army Act 2007, and the abominable muzzling of the press that was still in its nascent stages and hadn't even realized its full mission before it was killed through its birth-pangs? Or are we actually trying to enter modernity by adopting the methods of antiquity, and by magic it will all happen right and we will wake up in modernity? Or is this the very face of the new emerging modernity itself under the 'new Mandarins' in which the 'one world government' rules, where there is “one center of power, one center of force, one center of decision-making, a world of one master, one sovereign”, as the Russian President Vladimir Putin aptly put it? I hope you will agree that none of these are acceptable to the rational mind that seeks independence and freedom from hegemony of all kinds, starting from those of our own 'ubermenschen' 'praetorian guards'!

I hope that you will also agree, being the thoughtful soldier that you are, that there is no such time as a specific 'best time' to usher in such freedoms. Freedom is a continuous practice, not a reachable state and then resting on ones' laurels. The practice of freedom must remain constant, perennial, through thick and thin of the nation. What Abraham Lincoln did with the American Constitution, and pitted brother against brother to keep the Union, is a dark question mark on the emergence of America as a civilized nation along with its merciless extermination of 10 million natives to settle the land of the free. We need not copy America in its darkest of times and in its monumental crimes. Let's copy America where it suits us, when it is at its best, and copy from others what they have that suits us when they have been at their best, and adapt and transplant what is good into our own native soil by becoming wise gardeners – keeping our own valuable trees, the few that we have, and knowing both, the fundamental nature of 'the transplants', and how to cultivate the 'native soil' to make it conducive to 'the transplants'.

That does not however mean, as our demagogues would like the gullible among us to illogically believe, that if it took the West hundreds of years of Renaissance and much pain and suffering to acquire their political acumen and their freedoms and their democratic forms of government, that we, the 'pathetic Muslims', must also needlessly continue to suffer in the modernity du jour also for hundreds of years despite all that has been already learnt, and all that has already been invented! Only a moron, and a tyrant serving another higher master would make such fallacious arguments that we too must persist in inventing our own 'square wheel' first!

We cannot on the one hand claim that we shall be catching up and even surpassing the entire industrial and information-age revolution within a single generation with our HEC heralding-in the 'socio-economic engine' of development with their 9 or 10 billion-dollar instant-universities imported from abroad along with instant-faculty and instant-learning so we can overnight catch up on scientific research and high-technology, not to mention how quickly the 'enlightened moderation' has been thrust into our conservative culture that expats returning from abroad even after 2 or 3 years cannot recognize their own society, and in the very same breadth suggest: oh except of course for political freedoms.

Such specious red-herring laden arguments can only be made by deftly planted Trojan Horse(s) to keep the 'wretched of this earth' continually on our knees as dependent patsy client-states of the 'hectoring hegemons'!

And the best evidence that this is indeed a real smelly-stinking red-herring, and after which there can be no further argument possible, and no greater evidence presented, is our own next door
neighbor India, comprising the same stock of peoples, who won their independence in a shared
struggle with us within the same 24-hour period - a vibrant and thriving DEMOCRACY! People freely
speaking their minds and freely choosing their governments without hindrance or fear - indeed, India is
the largest genuine democracy on the entire PLANET!

I hope General sahib that you will entirely agree that we must ring in all our freedoms NOW
along with the respect of the 'state' towards its own 'contractual' obligations towards the 'social
contract' - its first-cause raison d'etre for even its very existence! This is indeed the first-order primary
'transformation' that you must seek! Without our military relinquishing its full-spectrum dictatorial
controls upon 'we the peoples', we are but slaves to the tempestuous whims of whosoever thinks he (or
she) is the 'greatest invention since sliced bread'!

The 'modernity' that the West acquired after their Renaissance, and of which they remained
proud for 400 years, itself required the freedom of thought and of its unabridged expression in
unhindered public speech as the quintessential first baby-step. They were compelled to banish the
'hemlock' of antiquity and forcefully took that first baby step against the muzzling of independent
thought and speech by their own 'praetorian guards' before they could eventually acquire all that fancy
military know-how a few hundred years later to now beat us all over the heads with! We can't harvest
the fruits without planting the trees first. While they are now attempting to reacquire their 'tail' for
reasons best known only to their controllers, perhaps we will do better with the essential
metamorphosis of changing the mindsets of our own multi-faceted 'praetorian guards' in order to
finally lose our own 'tail' that still inexplicably dogs us despite already having had our first
Renaissance over 1400 years ago!

If you are willing to spearhead this genuine military transformation for the benefit of our 170
million 'wretched of this earth' who have suffered enough from this antediluvian praetorian mindset
that is our real enemy within, you can count on my help right away!

Repeal not only the Army Act 2007, but all abhorrent laws and practices that were enacted in
all previous military and dictatorial regimes including the vestiges from our colonial past, spanning the
gamut of anything that is against the United Nations Agreements on Human Rights to which we are
already a signatory (http://hrweb.org/legal/undocs.html) and the egalitarian norms of decency and
morality, to construct a genuine non-Mafioso 'social contract'.

Help this nation craft a genuinely progressive Constitution once and for all by taking a close
look at what Venezuela has done - see this analysis: “America and Venezuela: Constitutional Worlds
Apart”, and do read the amazingly insightful book cited therein: “Cracks in the Constitution”, to
intimately comprehend how the greatest of ideas like 'Democracy' can be trivially corrupted by a co-
opted or incoherent implementation! Several chapters in my book also examine many aspects related
to its supposed watchdog - the 'fourth pillar' - that has entirely bastardized the present American
democracy to deliberately assist in crafting the out-of-control 'empire' now hell bent on 'imperial
mobilization' with its peoples easily shell-shocked into 'united we stand'! We neither want such a
'watchdog' that only reports “truth with responsibility” (PTV) or only conveys “All the News that's fit
to print” (NYT), nor such a corrupted system of 'democracy' that cycles the same vested power-
interests in the White House by just changing its front faces! Not a whole lot differently than what
transpires in our wretched nation, except that we don't even bother changing the faces! Let's reject both
systems and craft a genuine Democracy with a capital D and without quotes – 'the worst possible
Open Letter to a Pakistani General

system except for all others' because it cannot be easily co-opted! You will thus make Pakistan impregnable(!) redeeming all the past failures of the Pakistan military – an institution to be finally genuinely proud of without recourse to any Army Acts!

An urgent and timely devolution of dictatorial powers back into a genuine fractious Democracy may be our only ticket to also safely and completely disengage our over-committed nation from the sole superpower's “imperial mobilization” and its fabricated but monumentally criminal “War on Terror” (please see its rational analysis and a realpolitik 'Full Spectrum Deterrence' in my essay: Saving Pakistan from Synthetic 'Terror Central').

Indeed, had the reins of the entire nation not been held in the absolute hands of any one man to be able to unilaterally and criminally commit 170 million peoples to become complicit in crimes against humanity, no amount of real or fictitious threats from a bar-room bouncer to 'bomb us back into stone age' could have convinced a genuinely argumentative FDP (fractious, distributive, participative) Democracy to go along in America's ungodly con Wars!

It is no coincidence General sahib that absolute dictatorships in client-states are the Hectoring Hegemons' best friend, and genuine Democracy is not! The dictatorships do not come about except through the deliberate orchestration by the hegemons! Only its public disclosure has to wait until faits accomplis and the famed 'declassification process' in advanced countries! If we can just learn to appreciate that naked fact and realize that our clock is ticking rapidly with the “Re-Gathering Storm”, we can immediately know how to save ourselves from becoming a mere footnote in future historians' gleeful writings! “We are made wise not by the recollections [of history], but by the responsibility for our future”!

Let's re-imagine Pakistan together General sahib! Surely that can't be a crime or sin even in the most fascists of mindsets? And to re-imagine something, one has to negate or erase what came before. It is much like the Muslim traditional religious creed – the first part as you surely know, asserts, 'there is no god'. The rest, the good stuff - as far as Muslims are concerned - only comes afterwards. The 'Kalima' begins with the denial of the abhorrent, and ends in the affirmation of the good. To reject the abhorrent can't be a crime or sin – it is the explicit teaching of our religion and the foundation of our faith - even if those espousing the abhorrent may assert so otherwise! Thus to continually re-imagine, in every generation, and by everyone, in order to know what to negate and what to erase, what to keep and what to throw away, is our fundamental religious duty too. Thusly springs forth the freedom to imagine, and by corollary, freedom to think, and freedom to discuss. It isn't entirely a gift or invention of the Western civilization you know, although they seemed to have learned it a bit better than us.

And let's act quickly because the barbarians are already waiting at the gates of hell for an opportune pretext to strike, as the famous scion of imperialism, Zbigniew Brzezinski has so thoughtfully warned us. Reading between the lines of his prepared speech made to the Senate Foreign Relations Committee in February 2007, one can easily make out that the grandmaster author of the 'Grand Chessboard', through his public warning, is bringing to fulfillment his own wishes expressed in his own blatantly chauvinist writings of a decade earlier – and that's perhaps the most appropriate ominous note of empirical realism upon which to end this humble letter of conscience to you (also see this report on Podhoretz's latest book in the NYT):

'a plausible scenario for a military collision with Iran involves Iraqi failure to meet the benchmarks, followed by accusations of Iranian responsibility for the
failure, then by some provocation in Iraq or a terrorist act in the US blamed on Iran, culminating in a “defensive” US military action against Iran that plunges a lonely America into a spreading and deepening quagmire eventually ranging across Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan and Pakistan.' --- Zbigniew Brzezinski’s testimony before the US Senate's Foreign Relations Committee, Feb. 1, 2007.

Warm regards,

Zahir Ebrahim
Founder, Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
Chapter 29

Open Letter to the Pakistani Peoples

Wakeup to the grotesque reality of the 'Grand Chessboard'!

Addendums: February 08, 2008 ; April 10, 2008 ; April 27, 2008 ; June 12, 2008

We, the Pakistani peoples, self obsessed with our religion, our stomach, our petty loot and plunder of each other, or the graft of the national exchequer – and that pretty much captures most of 170 million of us busily engaged in our slumber – are being Machiavellianly set up for 'imperial' slaughter as we chase this and that cleverly planted political red herring.

As we celebrate the auspicious Eid today and practice our own 'obligatory' slaughter with glee in complete obliviousness to the grotesque reality on the 'Grand Chessboard', a storm gathers upon our shores to do the same to us!

In my considered humble judgment, the Pakistani peoples face the very real danger of 'shock and awe' of Depleted Uranium raining down upon 'its wretched of the earth' either under the facile pretext of 'saving' us from 'loose nukes' about to fall into the hands of 'islamofascists' that the 'hectoring hegemons' [1] are cultivating themselves; or under the pretext of 'saving' us from the very
real tyranny of our own 'Sadaam Hussein' that they are also aiding and abetting, nay fully goading and encouraging into fighting the equally fabricated “War on Terror” as 'America's best friend'. As Henry Kissinger is presumed to have noted in his own two-bit realpolitik contribution for the betterment of humanity, “it is folly to be America's enemy, it can be fatal to be its friend.”

Furthermore, this impending 'shock and awe' fate of 'America's best friend', like her other 'best friends of the 1980s, will be an intermediate baby-step to the eventual restructuring and repartitioning of Pakistan's territories to better meet the expediencies of the emerging new realities on the 'Grand Chessboard'! [2]

The construction of the threat:

'... a plausible scenario for a military collision with Iran involves Iraqi failure to meet the benchmarks, followed by accusations of Iranian responsibility for the failure, then by some provocation in Iraq or a terrorist act in the US blamed on Iran, culminating in a “defensive” US military action against Iran that plunges a lonely America into a spreading and deepening quagmire eventually ranging across Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan and Pakistan'. (Zbigniew Brzezinski's testimony before the US Senate's Foreign Relations Committee, Feb. 1, 2007)

The plausible scenario:

A plausible realization of this outlandish second 'new pearl harbor' scenario 'culminating in a “defensive” US military action against Iran' is a nuclear 'terrorist act' during a simulated response-drill. [3] It would be blankety asserted by the Pentagon that it is one of the missing 'loose nukes' and has been positively identified by its 'nuclear signatures' as being Pakistani, and that it was delivered by '12 evil jihadis' who inadvertently left behind in their apartment their Iranian passports, some Quranic verses like 9:29, and copies of Ayatollah Khomeini's Last Will in which he had called America 'the Great Satan'. An ultimatum would be given to Pakistan to hand over all its nukes immediately or suffer the 'saving' witnessed in Iraq! And just as on 911, our courageous commando(s) will hand over the 'family jewels' to once again 'save' Pakistan! Once we are de-nuked, American and NATO forces will be patrolling our streets and in the synthetic 'civil war' that will follow with more Muslim on Muslim violence, we will be re-partitioned in order to 'save' us further from our own barbaric selves! Iran will be obliterated. The 'deepening quagmire' in the region will last a 'lifetime'. (This scribe's best case scenario for Pakistan in the event of a nuclear 'terrorist act' within the United States of America)

I hope to heavens above that I am proved wrong – for there is nothing I'd like better than to consign all the real terrorists in the world spanning the gamut from 'pirates to emperors' [4] to their proper levels in hell in any genuine war upon the real terrorists even if it is to last a lifetime – but the price to pay if I am right will be the monumental hell on earth for our own 'wretched' nation no differently than it is for Iraq, Afghanistan, and elsewhere, unless national-level rational and realpolitik
self-defense is engaged now!

In these times when the world's greatest minds, its foremost intellectuals, and its leading scholars and political-thinkers are seeding the underpinnings of the vast multi-faceted empire that very much needs this 'War on Terror' to accomplish its genocidal wet dreams of 'full spectrum dominance' [5] of the planet, it is foolish to close ones' eyes and ones' mind to their doctrinal craftsmanship that is directly fueling the global power-plays and which is entirely crafting our own humble destiny while we are deliberately deflected into our own local petty gamesmanship!

These powerful doctrinal obfuscations that seed “imperial mobilization” [6] disguised as the global “War on Terror”, are not deconstructed in the 15-second sound bites of our facile politicians and ruling elite, and nor in the 15-minute canned scholarship that is our ubiquitous television news talk shows today which have only succeeded in creating a bespectating nation of zombies addicted to 'reality television' and entertainment. We have all the choices for our underwear in various levels of enticement of our soul – just like in the United States of America – none for rational analysis that can save our skin.

Few people read in our nation, or read the right levels of the 'imperial' doctrines that is seeding the 'empire' - for such scholarship is usually hard work to digest and takes much time and dedication to comprehend in its proper Machiavellian perspective. This is true even among our ruling elite who do have the time and the resources to read but don't. And it is especially true for almost 99.9% of our patriotic rulers who appear to be either entirely co-opted with their own Faustian pacts, or incredible mental-midgets when it comes to being made continued patsies – for each of us in this nation, perhaps 99.9% of the 170 million (conservatively speaking), is precisely captured in the opening sentence above.

Thus the entire ruling elite today is singing the 'War on Terror' song at the 'unbirthday party' in the wonderland of Alice's imaginary consorts. While the ordinary Pakistani, bearing the full brunt of this daily 'unbirthday party' celebrations – the impossible cost of living amidst the '8% growth rate economy' in which he (and she) can barely subsist – is quite uncannily the only one to emotionally understand that the 'War on Terror' is only America's ruling-elite's war for 'empire'. But his (and her) attachment to the stomach and subsistence living grounds him (and her) into silent subservience 'waiting for Allah' for deliverance!

And it is precisely because of our ruling-elite's eager willingness to engage in the absurdity of singing 'United We Stand' with 'empire' song that we make such an ideal patsy client-state.

Our bleak history is evidentiary and quite pathetic testimony of this fact. A supposed independent nation, constructed in the name of a culture-of-religion – the Muslims – by carving out the sub-continent in our ancestors' own red blood, perhaps precisely to enact this subservient proxy role of an easily-manipulatable 'pigeon' state on the then emerging 'Grand Chessboard' of the post World War II epoch!

These are my considered observations after carefully studying the devilishly sophisticated doctrinal craftsmanship for empire and observing its actual dealings “in straight power concepts” on the 'Grand Chessboard'. As long as “hegemony” remains “as old as mankind”, power will only respect power, and only the fear of effective retaliation can temper its “primacy” upon the weak! We must get over the “sentimentality and day-dreaming ... [that even a sole superpower] can afford today the luxury of altruism and world-benefaction ... [and the] unreal objectives such as human rights, the raising of
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living standards, and democratization”! [7]

Therefore, as a humble student of geopolitics of the 'Grand Chessboard', the following are the rational steps, in order, that the Pakistani nation must urgently initiate in order to save the country and its peoples from imminent destruction before the despotic ' hectoring hegemons' come to really 'save' Pakistan just as they did Iraq. They are already deftly planting, spinning, and loudly singing the prelude 'unbirthday party' song to 'shock and awe' with copious unwitting help from our own 'native informants' (see “Response to Zia Mian's 'How Not to Handle Nuclear Security'”!)

These reasoned recommendations for self-defense are based entirely upon intellectual and empirical realpolitik evidence gleaned directly from the Imperial-Machiavellian-Straussian doctrinal theses being enacted on the 'Grand Chessboard' as observed by 'Alice' when she is not caught in the absurdity of the 'wonderland', for, in “devising means to fight the [super]terrorist, it would surely be useful to understand the forces that drive them.” [8]

To accept or reject this heads-up warning is entirely up to the people of Pakistan. And to be proven wrong would naturally preserve my own sanity! In all the more likelihood however, our being wiped off from the face of the planet may indeed be better for the other peoples on the planet to whom we have been sticking it to since our inception – for most assuredly, as history is witness, the Almighty Creator (as per the Islamic teaching) will surely replace an unjust indolent peoples with a better peoples after having given one the 'choice' and the unequivocal warning: '[He] does not change the condition of a peoples unless they strive to change it [ ] themselves first'!

1. America's 'War on Terror' is a global fiction. While its victims are certainly very real, its motivation is fabricated. Iran and Pakistan will share the same fate (almost concurrently) unless Pakistan wisely disengages from this fiction immediately and overcomes the imperial 'divide and conquer' stratagems.

If Pakistan does succeed in extricating itself in a timely manner and as outlined below, it can potentially end all of “imperial mobilization” on the 'Grand Chessboard' and usher in world peace through a forced early détente saving millions of 'life-units' through posterity, including the American public's lives!

In order to extricate herself safely however, Pakistan must execute on all the subsequent steps or she will suffer an unparalleled decimation regardless.

2. The present 'elections' in Pakistan are a manifest fraud under the orchestration of the ' hectoring hegemons' themselves, and must be abandoned in the greater national interest of the peoples of Pakistan themselves.

This mantra of elections is replete with red herrings craftily synthesized to maintain Pakistan as a servile client-state in order to carry on with the same bold 'imperial designs' on the 'Grand Chessboard'. It is merely the rebottling of the same old wine in a different bottle. It will surely be legitimately conducted, with no apparent riggings, and duly approved by all the impartial international observers to give the artful elections an official international legitimacy.

It is quite immaterial who wins in these elections. The laws and the judiciary of the
nation have already been reconstituted under the umbrella of 'emergency' to enable the nation to carry on unfettered in its primary objective of fighting the 'War on Terror' as an obedient patsy client-state – and hence to carry on in its own devilishly crafted suicide!

These elections will conveniently 'elect' a legislature whose leaders have also been deftly primed by the 'hectoring hegemons' themselves! And the highest executive office in the land is already retained in the hands of the same old 'wine' drinker.

Thus all the 'ducks' are still lined up perfectly in a row, just as they were on the very eve of 911.

3. The people of Pakistan must fully reconcile with the Military of Pakistan immediately – the reconciliation being of the type that was witnessed by the surprised world between the oppressors and the oppressed in South Africa.

Not the type that is being pushed through the NRO to legitimize looters, plunderers, and rapists of the nation in order to staff the legislature with the made by 'CFR in the USA' and made by 'RIIA in the UK' Pakistani politicians with at best criminal credentials, and at worst, treasonous ones!

The military of Pakistan, as an institution, is indeed also the only hope of Pakistan as we must survive on the 'Grand Chessboard' and only they hold the cards. What is about to befall the nation can also only be averted by them. The civilians and the Military must unite – immediately – for overarching national considerations that far transcend individual grievances, ego trips, and past transgressions.

Thus the civilians must abandon all meaningless and mindless protests which are in any case devoid of any fundamental comprehension of the reality du jour on the 'Grand Chessboard' – none of them seek fundamental changes to our fate, nor do they appear to fathom what such changes are even supposed to be – and are merely only chasing red herrings that have been deftly crafted as perhaps per the Machiavellian 'technique of infamy'. [9] Please see their systematic deconstruction in “Disassembling the Pakistani red herrings”.

These protests are needlessly continually giving the Western public the impression of 'instability' which only adds to the credibility of the various pretextual mantras to come 'deliver' us from ourselves! There is much more at stake than to usher in a thin veneer of faux 'democracy' which is all that these protests are unwittingly accomplishing as can be empirically witnessed by anyone with half a brain.

4. Instead of the faux 'democracy', the Pakistani Military rulers on their part must now rise to the challenge of genuine patriotism and as genuine guardians of the nation and help carve a genuine Democracy, with the capital D and without the quotes, as briefly outlined in “Re-Imagining Pakistan's Defenses - Open Letter to a Pakistani General”, and as explored in “Saving Pakistan from Synthetic 'Terror Central' ”.
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Briefly, that entails crafting a genuine Constitution under a bilateral 'social contract' between the people and the state, that is subsequently ratified by the people of Pakistan through a direct referendum vote. This can be accomplished within a few short months if there is the national and military will that understands the urgency of the matter and executes on it by gathering the right peoples to craft it!

5. Under the new Constitutional mandate so approved, put the continued participation in the 'War on Terror' to public referendum. The national mandate so derived will have international legitimacy that is unparalleled, and will effectively be internationally unchallengeable. This will enable us to safely disengage from the 'War on Terror' and safely save ourselves. This is precisely why genuine Democracy is the worst nemesis of the 'hectoring hegemons', and dictatorships and absolutist 'unity of command' their best friend.

This means that there will surely be much opposition to its realization by the 'hectoring hegemons' and their pathetic mercenary minions, 'circus clowns', and 'native informants' under various guises. How to counter that preemptively is also outlined in “Saving Pakistan”. The re-genesis of a nation is not an easy task and cannot be underestimated for the number of enemies and new challenges it will seed to obstruct its full realization. These must be anticipated and neutralized!

6. Under the same Constitutional mandate now built into the system to create a more 'heavy weight pawn' on the Grand Chessboard that moves quite autonomously in its own best interests by its very design, initiate 'Full Spectrum Alliances' with the neighbors.

A Sub-Continental Union (SU), seeding the nexus of a greater Asian Union (AU), furthering into a “full spectrum alliance” of the entire Global South, and mutual self-defense and trade treaties extending first and foremost to the immediate neighbors that have been already signaled out for 'shock and awe' visitation, makes all the minnows now impervious to all threats of 'sanctions', and all the subsequent saber-rattling threats of 'shock and awe' effectively toothless, as our retaliation on the 'Grand Chessboard' will no longer be towards what used to be our favorite traditional punching-bag nemesis India, but a full spectrum 'Samson Option' which would also have been fully ratified by a national public mandate in full spectrum self-defense!

If Israel can have a 'Samson option', and the United States and Russia can each have theirs to assure mutual destruction to any adventurers and facile doctrines of 'preemption' applied to them, so can Pakistan! It is the only way! Either the entire world must be free of nuclear biological and other WMDs, or every peoples must have credible deterrence against them through realpolitik 'full spectrum alliances' when predators seek “primacy and its geostrategic imperatives” and “full spectrum dominance” on the 'Grand Chessboard'!

7. Such a national public mandate under a publicly ratified Constitution gives international legitimacy to such a self-defense in no less measure than that enjoyed by
Israel, the United States, and Russia, and such coming together in 'full spectrum deterrent' self-defense by the minnows leads to immediate détente on the Grand Chessboard. This can be witnessed in its graphic real-life imagery in this amazing 8 minute Youtube video “Battle at Kruger” that will surely inspire any human soul with even an ounce of self-respect still left them by their Faustian pacts (http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LU8DDYz68kM).

In the construction of such a détente with our astute political ascendance and realpolitik courage to 'throw the tea overboard' backed up by the credible threat of 'MAD'ness instead of the continued subservient 'may I have some more please sir', we have saved an entire humanity from annihilation without firing an actual shot, and without killing a single human being. Checkmate by the minnows, oh Brzezinski!

May the force of genuine self-determination and the blood of free-men and free-women finally run through our clogged and petrified veins in our revived instincts for survival to finally show the 'hectoring hegemons' that minnows too can effectively play the realpolitik game of 'full spectrum deterrence' for survival on the 'Grand Chessboard'!

This real threat to the derailing of “imperial mobilization” through such independence of action by some genuine 'Patrick Henrys' serendipitously coming to power in Pakistan is precisely why nuclear armed Pakistan is slated for destruction, as no Muslim nation can be allowed to posses the real effective deterrence of real nuclear weapons! The crafty pretext to wrestle this deterrence away is being devilishly orchestrated while our astute Foreign Office and our brilliant leaders and diplomats mindlessly issue ineffective denials that our 'nuclear assets are secure' without comprehending in the least “the forces that drive them”.

The grotesque tragedy for Pakistan is that even her voluntarily giving up her nuclear arsenal in preemptive self-defense, like South Africa, and presumably now also North Korea, still would not save this 'wretched' nation today as her usefulness as a light-weight patsy-pawn in her present geographical configuration has already been outlived on the 'Grand Chessboard'. Which is why her re-partitioning, after her nukes are de-nuked, is the forced end-game for this pawn!

So please wake the hell up now!

Thank you.
Addendum 1: Friday, February 08, 2008

The 'Hectoring Hegemons' insist on bringing to fruition the 'outlandishly plausible' pretext of how America will invade both Iran and Pakistan in 'self-defense'. In a report in Pakistan's largest English language daily, Dawn, on February 07, 2008, the following precious gem was noted: 'Defence officials told Congress on Wednesday that Al Qaeda is operating from havens in “under-governed regions” of Pakistan, which they said pose direct threats to Europe, the United States and the Pakistan government itself.'

The report presciently continued, almost as if in sympathetic baby-step realization of the outlandish 'self-defense' scenario already laid out in this scribe's wakeup call: 'Adm. Michael Mullen, chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, predicted in written testimony that the next attack on the United States probably would be launched by terrorists in that region.'

Since the writing of this wakeup call, Benazir Bhutto was assassinated only a week later to further the 'strategy of tension' in Pakistan, while simultaneously lending more substance to the boogieman of 'al-qaeeda' who was immediately blamed (the very next day) for the former Pakistani Prime Minister's grotesque assassination. The Scotland Yard, who was asked to investigate the assassination, before they had even fully embarked on their forensic journey had already noted "Scotland Yard believes Al-Qaeda assassinated Benazir Bhutto".

And today, the NY Times reported that the Scotland Yard has offered the JFK vintage 'lone gunman' theory, pinning the blame on the ubiquitous cavemen of 'al-qaeeda' sitting with their laptops and Klashnikovs in the Hindu Kush monitoring, controlling, and threatening the world's foremost armed to the teeth superpower and its allies. In support of the Scotland Yard's conclusions, Pakistan immediately arrested "Two very important terrorists" as noted by the Dawn. The Daily Times too echoed: 'It is a major breakthrough. These two men were involved in the assassination and they are from a militant group which is relatively new,'' the official said. "Their tentacles are from the tribal region and Baitullah Mehsud". The International Herald Tribune however, perhaps more interested in journalism than in supporting "imperial mobilization", candidly observed: "The [Scotland Yard] findings support the Pakistani government's explanation of Bhutto's assassination in December, an account that had been greeted with disbelief by Bhutto's supporters, other Pakistanis and medical experts." The following was added by IHT for additional forensic clarity into the matter: "It is unclear how the Scotland Yard investigators reached such conclusive findings absent autopsy results or other potentially important evidence that was washed away by cleanup crews in the immediate aftermath of the blast,"

Next stop, a 'terrorist' act as narrated by Zbigniew Brzezinski a year ago (February 01, 2007, quoted in the essay below) before the US. Senate Foreign Relations Committee,
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and Adm. Mullen before the US. Congress a year later (February 06, 2008, cited above). And rather unsurprisingly, the world's foremost investigative bodies will entirely reach the conclusion-space already outlined in this wakeup call no differently from the Scotland Yard today. See Perpetuating the fiction of Who Killed Benazir Bhutto.

Addendum 2: Thursday, April 10, 2008

It is beyond any reasonable comprehension how blatantly obvious the grotesquely unfolding reality in Pakistan is, and yet the Pakistani rulers and the majority of Pakistani ruling elite and its newsmedia still manifestly react as if they are all sitting at the 'unbirthday' tea-party with the 'Mad Hatter' boisterously singing the imperial 'war on terror' song as they deliberately suicide the nation into oblivion. There isn't much time. This ominous report of April 08, 2008, “2 die in Pakistan nuclear plant accident” will shortly be blamed upon the ubiquitous 'al qaeeda' as having attempted, and perhaps even succeeded in, getting their hands on the Pakistani 'loose nukes'. Unlike the Benazir Bhutto assassination that the Government of Pakistan had immediately blamed upon the 'al qaeeda', this time around, it will surely deny it. If this diabolical spin ever materializes in the Western press, be forewarned that the crossing of the nuclear Rubicon which already appears imminent, is about to happen!

And just as abhorrently, that the new '911', which according to President George Bush, “will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison”, is also imminent. But multiple diabolical catalyst 'provocations' justifying full spectrum “defensive' US military action against Iran” aren't precluded in this calculus. See The attack of 'Al-Qaeeda' and Pakistani 'loose nukes'.

Zahir Ebrahim
Addendum 3: Sunday April 27, 2008

The Washington Post today carries the oped *Try 'Pakistan first'* which boldly asserts: “Pakistan, with its two dozen nuclear weapons, popular and official support for Kashmiri and Taliban terrorism, and political instability, is ultimately a greater threat to world peace than Afghanistan and Iraq combined. That is the unavoidable reality that campaign promises should not obscure.” See how Bin Laden will be hijacking these nukes shortly in *Bin Laden*: Key enabler of “imperial mobilization” (and the first nuclear attack since 1945, on Iran–Pakistan).

Addendum 4: Thursday June 12, 2008

DAWN today reported the following re-confirmation of the dire threat that Project Humanbeingsfirst has been harping about for over a year ever since the Lal Masjid massacre, but to no avail: '[On] Wednesday, a media report quoted Pakistan’s envoy to Washington as saying that US leaders had warned Islamabad that if the United States suffered an attack that was traced back to Pakistan Washington would retaliate. “Those (statements) have been made,” Ambassador Hussain Haqqani told editors and reporters at The Washington Post. “We want to make sure that it doesn’t come to that.”'

As the Pakistani press is abuzz with the US military attack on Pakistan's forces that saw at least 11 Pakistani soldiers dead with only lip service protests emanating from the co-opted Pakistani corridors of pelf and power, the new neo-con Ambassador of Pakistan to Washington further reiterated the following to officially re-cement and renew the fiction of 'Bin Laden' for what is criminally about to befall both Pakistan and Iran as foretold in this Wakeup Call – and in which, Pakistani Government and Pakistani military are either equally deliberately complicit, or entirely unable to comprehend the gravity of the matter as they both still continue to toot 'Bin Laden' and the 'War on Terror' fabrication: *Pakistan would attack Osama bin Laden the moment it had reliable intelligence on the Al Qaeda leader's whereabouts, Ambassador Husain Haqqani said on Wednesday*. Haqqani also said he was confident Pakistan could help foil any Al Qaeda plans to attack the United
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States, although he did not know of any right now. “I think we can thwart any potential plans for an attack,” Haqqani said in an interview with Reuters. (DAWN)

If the Government of Pakistan is genuinely interested in thwarting “any potential plans for an attack” – please read and comprehend the import of this humble Wakeup Call! Or at least watch the far more entertaining Star Wars movie “Revenge of the Sith” – a bizarre convolution of art imitating life, and life imitating art – to apprehend the grotesque reality on the ‘Grand Chessboard’ that is behind the murderous ‘War on Terror’ which Pakistan's Ambassador, Husain Haqqani, in collusion with his neo-con sponsors, is either deliberately or inadvertently pushing upon this (soon to be) sixth-world nation! From Gen. Musharraf “In the Line of Fire”, to the late Benazir's “very petri dish of international terrorism”, to the godfather's 'The Pakistan People's Party (PPP), if it comes into power, must persuade the people that the fight against militants is “our war”, not just America’s war,’ – is one continuous narration of patsies, mercenaries, traitors, or naïve simpletons!

Take your pick – in all cases, all unfit to govern a self-respecting independent sovereign nation in a modernity that is ruled entirely with master political science rather than personal “khilafat”. But all perfectly fit as controlled managers of puppetstates dancing to the strings of the puppetmasters!

In this humble puppetstate of ours, there are 200 million additional peoples still (barely) living besides these “naïve simpletons” who are presumably born to lord upon the plebeian natives! Please put it to the referendum as outlined in this Wakeup Call, and urgently disengage from the fiction of “war on terror” by vociferously declaring a demonstrable “Samson Option” directly targeted at Israel and Western Europe! It is the only way to still save the peoples of this region from the devastation of a ' “Defensive” US military action' – which will be nuclear! See Press Release of June 12, 2008.

Footnotes

1. The term 'Hectoring Hegemons' is used by the neo-cons themselves to describe their own monumentally criminal bullying of the world which is the ongoing crimes against humanity disguised as the 'War on Terror'. It occurs in the author's field of the PDF file of their famous document 'Rebuilding America's Defenses' published by the neo-con's 'Project for the New American Century', and among its listed contributors are Dick Cheney, Donald Rumsfeld, Paul Wolfowitz, et. al. See the snapshot of this term at https://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/hectoring-hegemons-pdf.jpg, and see its full dismantling in the essay “Dialog Among Civilizations – Whytalksfail? Part-1” at https://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2007/03/dialog-among-civilizations-whytalksfail.html. This PNAC document at one time was available from the PNAC’s own website at
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https://web.archive.org/web/20080126171809/http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf, and has also been archived worldwide, including by Project Humanbeingsfirst as part of its own 'Exhibit A' (http://PrisonersoftheCave.org) - awaiting a 'just judge' like Robert H. Jackson (http://web.archive.org/web/20071207214020/http://www.courttv.com/archive/casefiles/nuremberg/close.html) to finally administer a 'victor's justice' someday!

2. 'The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives' is the title of the 1997 book by Zbigniew Brzezinski – President Jimmy Carter's National Security Advisor. He is the realpolitik strategist who delighted in “giving to the USSR its Vietnam War” at the expense of some deftly cultivated “Stirred up Moslems” by exploiting the various Muslim societies' own genuine disaffections, and who are today being re-used turned 'inside-out' remarkably effectively - quite unlike an ordinary 'used-condom' which only shows the immense realpolitik power of well executed 'doctrinal warfare' never to be underestimated in favor of C4I, tanks and airplanes, but to be equally mastered and countered - and variously labeled as 'islamofascists', 'islamists', 'militant islam', 'Islamism' et. al., in order to now fight the new 'lifetime' of 'World War IV' for 'full spectrum dominance'.

Brzezinski is still among the contemporary key architects of the 'Grand Chessboard' and his stark warning of a second '911' type terrorist attack in America to be deliberately blamed on Iran (and perhaps also on Pakistan as where the already signatured 'loose nuke' came from; this new 'terrorist' attack will invariably be nuclear to scare the war-averse and reluctant American public into waging a nuclear war on Iran and Pakistan) cannot be taken lightly. His warning is an absolute wakeup call to both Pakistan and Iran to stop their 'pussy-footing' and get real in building 'full spectrum alliances' in mutual self-defense. Why Brzezinski would issue such a warning and let the cat out of the bag is beyond the scope of this letter. But there is much empirical evidence substantiating its validity.

3. America is already rehearsing the response-drill to a 1-kiloton 'terrorist' nuclear attack exploded at 100 meters in a cosmopolitan American city - see https://web.archive.org/web/20080126171809/http://www.twq.com/07autumn/docs/07autumn_cmp.pdf, and https://web.archive.org/web/20080126171809/http://iis-db.stanford.edu/pubs/21872/DayAfterWorkshopReport.pdf, for 'terrorist' nuclear attack-drills and the 'The Day After' preparations already under way (imagine, all through the Cold War when the world sat at the brink of a real 'Dr. Strangelove' style Armageddon, and through the 'Bay of Pigs' crises when the world actually came close to a nuclear exchange, there were also such drills to this level of precision, mobilization, and practice evacuation as there are today which implies that a real 'attack' is anticipated as yet another mobilizing 'new pearl harbor' pretext far more devastating than 911 to warrant nuclear strikes in retaliation). A mock drill with the outlined scenario was rehearsed at the Washington-Oregon border recently. To understand the deeper Machiavellian significance of terrorist 'attack-response' mock drills, please see "7/7 Mock Terror Drill" at https://www.globalresearch.ca/7-7-mock-terror-drill-what-relationship-to-the-real-time-terror-attacks/821. It was surely a mere coincidence that just as the superpower was into at least 15 simultaneously simulated terrorist 'attack-response' drills being run by the CIA, the Pentagon, the NSA, et. al., and now amply documented, on the very day of 9/11/2001 and at the very same moment for the exact same scenarios, that cumulatively
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and serendipitously transpired into the actual real attack the very same moment. Please see the book 'Synthetic Terror' by Webster Tarpley 4th edition, and this online Ch-19 of “Crossing the Rubicon” by Michael Rupert at https://web.archive.org/web/20080126171809/http://www.newsocie.com/titleimages/rub_war.pdf. It was also surely mere happenstance that the UK was into a simulated terrorist 'attack-response' drill for the exact same scenario on 7/7/2005 as the actual real terrorist attack that serendipitously also transpired that very same moment.

This is precisely why courageous activists throughout America are now vigilantly looking for such drills and trying to get them canceled by attempting to create a public furor around them lest a simulation is once again ethereally super co-opted by a bearded 'evil yoda master' sitting cross-legged in a cave in Afghanistan on a dialysis machine using merely his awesome 'power of the dark side' to precipitate a real attack!

4. “Pirates to emperors” refers to the seminal distinction made between the lowest and highest tiers of terrorists by the Christian theologian St. Augustine in the 4th Century AD in his “City of God against the Pagans”. He had insightfully noted the following definition for all future generations to benefit from:

“When the King asked him what he meant by infesting the sea, the pirate defiantly replied: 'the same as you do when you infest the whole world; but because I do it with a little ship I am called a robber, and because you do it with a great fleet, you are an emperor.' ”

5. “Full Spectrum Dominance” is the title of Chapter 3 of the US Department of Defense Strategy Document “Joint Vision 2020”. It was Published by US Government Printing Office, Washington DC, June 2000, and for a time was available publicly from the website http://www.dtic.mil/jv2020. It no longer appears to be available from that site, but its summaries can be found by searching the internet with that keyword. An extended quote from its chapter 3 can also be found in Chapter-1 of my 2003 book at PrisonersoftheCave.org

6. “Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization” as astutely noted by Zbigniew Brzezinski in the 'Grand Chessboard'. It is also the unstated assumption behind Brzezinski's warning of the 'terrorist act' (to the Senate in February 2007 as a prelude to launching an attack on Iran) as it is his profound belief that the modern American ‘populist democracy’ is not naturally inclined to wage wars upon others unlike in previous empires whose subjects were imbued with the spirit of 'la mission civilisatrice' – 'the white man's burden' as Rudyard Kipling put it in his 1899 ode to American Imperialism in the Philippine Islands - except in self-defense. See the detailed deconstruction of just this one pithy sentence that gathers within its political wisdom, all of Machiavelli and Leo Strauss, in my 2003 book “Prisoners of the Cave”. It is also more succinctly explained for the benefit of Pakistan's ruling elite, in my exposition “Re-Imagining Pakistan's Defenses – Open Letter to a Pakistani General” at Humanbeingsfirst.org

7. This was the sentiment expressed by George Kennan in 1948 that set the ‘Truman Doctrine’ and all subsequent practice of “straight power concepts” on the continually evolving 'Grand Chessboard'. See his Policy Planning Study Memo PPS 23, the full quote in context is reproduced below. It is still the standard imperial operating principle governing the 'empire' through its present 'Bush Doctrine' of 'primacy' and 'preemption'. Nothing changed for the United States in its Foreign Policy over the past 60 years except the various shades of “straight
power concepts” to match the evolving realities on the 'Grand Chessboard' – a blatant fact that the Pakistani rulers seem to want to suicidally ignore:

“We have about 50% of the world’s wealth, but only 6.3% of its population …. In this situation, we cannot fail to be the object of envy and resentment. Our real task in the coming period is to devise a pattern of relationships which will permit us to maintain this position of disparity without positive detriment to our national security. To do so, we will have to dispense with all sentimentality and day-dreaming, and our attention will have to be concentrated everywhere on our immediate national objectives. We need not deceive ourselves that we can afford today the luxury of altruism and world-benefaction …. We should cease to talk about vague and - for the Far East - unreal objectives such as human rights, the raising of living standards, and democratization. The day is not far off when we are going to have to deal in straight power concepts. The less we are then hampered by idealistic slogans, the better.”

8. Bernard Lewis in “Crisis of Islam Holy War and Unholy Terror” - as he very eruditely set out to uncover the 'motivations' of the well formulated abstractions of 'al-qaeeda', 'OBL', et. al., in justification of the 'Clash of Civilizations' idea that he himself had seeded in CFR's 'Foreign Affairs' in 1990 as the irrational and unfathomable “roots of Muslim rage” that needed to be understood. To support his formulation, he had noted that “It should now be clear that we are facing a mood and a movement far transcending the level of issues and policies and the governments that pursue them. This is no less than a clash of civilizations - that perhaps irrational but surely historic reaction of an ancient rival against our Judeo-Christian heritage, our secular present, and the worldwide expansion of both”. And to further the cause of President George Bush's own subsequent impending holy 'War on Terror' upon the 'wretched' Muslims after 911, he so 'clairvoyantly' had already predicted in that book in his concluding sentence on page 164: “If the fundamentalists are correct in their calculations and succeed in their war, then a dark future awaits the world, especially the part of it that embraces Islam”.

And of course, according to the blanket (unproved) assertions by the White House and the Pentagon, and subsequently repeated ad nauseum by Bernard Lewis and the likes of 'Committee on the Present Danger': “The 9/11 attacks on the World Trade Center and Pentagon represented a carefully orchestrated effort of al Qaeda to force America's retreat from Muslim lands”, all of which came together quite splendidly to give much currency to the 'unbirthday party' of the neo-cons' “War on Terror”! So the “roots of Muslim rage”, according to Bernard Lewis, led to the “clash of civilizations” on 911. No mention of course of any premeditated “imperial mobilization” at the 'unbirthday party' table. From at least 1990 to 2001, eleven years in the making, and still on going today in 2007.

Is there one equal to the likes of Messrs. Bernard Lewis, or equal to any of the organizations like CPD (their once visible and amazing membership list that read like a who's who was available at: https://web.archive.org/web/20080124113829/http://www.committeeonthepresentdanger.org:80/OurMembers/tabid/364/Default.aspx , but seems to have now disappeared), or AEI (from where Bush acknowledged borrowing twenty of its best minds to run his administration), anywhere in the Muslim world that can intellectually compete with this level of doctrinal craftsmanship and Machiavellian political thinking? See Chapter 9 of my 2003 book “Prisoners of the Cave” for further deconstruction of their doctrinal craft.
What is the point of having nuclear weapons and being armed-to-the-teeth, if mere doctrinal warfare is sufficient to 'disarm' fools and patsies of their own rational self-defense and their own independence of action? Most reasonable people in any nation would rather have clean drinking water in their taps and quality K-16 education for their children along with a roof over their head instead, if this is to be the ultimate reality of their infernal military spendings first bought at the expense of their considerable collective misery, and then subsequently disarmed them of it with even more misery! When the annals of history are eventually populated with the tales of the twenty-first century, school children will wonder what had happened to the brains of these foolish nations that they committed such suicide by choice!

9. 'The Technique of Infamy' is attributed to the famous American intellectual Ezra Pound who made his bet with Mussolini. It is the most sophisticated dialectics of mass deception that any diabolical mind can conceive and Ezra Pound explained it. In its simplest rendition: “invent two lies [mainly opposing ones], and keep the people busily engaged in which of them might be true”. In its more sophisticated variation, invent multiple lies that sound plausible, or even incredible, to keep people busily engaged either dispelling them or arguing which of them might be true, while the fait accompli proceeds in the background. Ex post facto, it matters not what was lie and what was truth as the “victor will not be asked afterward whether he told the truth or not” (Hitler)! As Daniel Ellsberg of the 'Pentagon Papers' fame told me when I went to see him to give him a copy of my 2003 book to review, that when he was at the Rand Corporation, they diligently and intimately studied the tactics of the Nazis, not to mention all the wars and war-doctrines all the way back to Sun Tzu's famous 'The Art of War', in order to learn the real practice of the art of warfare from them! Ellsberg autobiographical book 'Secrets' lays out exactly how falsehoods and deceptions were deliberately crafted to keep the American public engaged in the Vietnam War – in the same way as some future insider gadfly will surely disclose how the 'new pearl harbor' of 911 was the new 'gulf of Tonkin', and how such and such Machiavellian 'bull-shit' was continually crafted with the 'technique of infamy' to keep the American public once again engaged in the 'War on Terror' for a 'lifetime'! See this Ellsberg analysis “A coup has occurred” at https://www.globalresearch.ca/a-coup-has-occurred/6897.

This time, and after displaying its awesome might in Afghanistan and Iraq, it's target Pakistan and Iran! If this attack succeeds, all dominoes in the 'arc of crises' will subsequently fall from sheer force of intimidation of a real nuclear strike by the 'empire gone wild' with no more Rubicons remaining to be crossed! If this impending attack is successfully foiled as outlined here, the dominoes of “imperial mobilization” will fall instead, saving countless, perhaps thousands of American lives, and millions of those of the 'lesser' humanity. With a détente on the 'Grand Chessboard', there would surely be no further catastrophic 'terrorist' attack inside America that could be taken as another “new Pearl Harbor” – for there'd be no point to it! “Imperial mobilization” would already be halted into a stalemate with only minor perturbations here and there. But if the 'have-nots' remained stupidly gullible to newer 'techniques of infamy' that will surely be continually practiced upon them by the 'haves' and the powerful, more covert-ops and proxy wars!
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Chapter 30

Saving Pakistan from Synthetic 'Terror Central'

Orchestration of 'Lal Masjid' massacre in Islamabad – a precursor to full spectrum “Shock and Awe”?  

Written during July 13-23, 2007 in California | Published on 12 December 2007 in Islamabad after visiting the site

This past week the world watched in abject horror the despicable spectacle of a Pakistani mosque – a place of worship and sanctity – under intense “Shock and Awe” visitation by Pakistan's own elite Army.

To me, watching impotently from 20,000 miles away in California with a sinking petrified heart, it was all too reminiscent of what is still being visited upon the wretched peoples of Iraq after the Western nations under the primal stewardship of the United States of America – my 'tax-paying' country of residence – had deliberately cultivated and armed their murderous military dictator for over two decades when it had suited their interests on 'the Grand Chessboard' leaving at least two million Muslims dead in proxy warfare! And when the 'pawns' needed to be reshuffled with the evolving...
geopolitical expediencies of the 'New World Order' being heralded in by the lone unchallenged 'hectoring hegemon' (this bombastic self-ascribed label is lucidly explained in my essay “Dialog among Civilizations” [1]) left standing after the Cold War, the very same hand-pumping supporters of the dictator set him up as the patsy to commit an international transgression (as also noted in the Iraqi transcript of April Glaspie's conversation with Sadaam Hussein [2]). Then they mercilessly 'shocked and awed' that entire beleaguered nation with strangulating full spectrum economic sanctions and DU bombings over a thirteen year period in 'retaliation' leaving nothing of consequence in sight: “After eight years of enforcing a no fly zone in northern [and southern] Iraq, few military targets remain. ‘We're down to the last outhouse’” as reported by the Wall Street Journal in 1999.

That being the grotesque unmasked reality, the phantasmal construction of Sadaam's 'WMDs' leaves much pause for reflection in doctrinal writings asserting the imminent destruction of the Western world unless the inhumanity that eventually followed was brought to immediate fruition, in writing such as those by CPSG [3] and PNAC [4], to wit [5], the overarching modus operandi:

'Saddam Hussein has been able to develop biological and chemical munitions. ... This poses a danger to our friends, our allies, and to our nation. It is clear that this danger cannot be eliminated as long as our objective is simply “containment,”... Saddam must be overpowered; he will not be brought down by a coup d'etat ... But Saddam has an Achilles' heel: lacking popular support, he rules by terror.'

In other words, 'save' the beleaguered people of Iraq from their terrorizing brutalizing dictator who, now amnesiacally, was installed, managed, and fully aided and abetted in the masterful exercise of that terror by the very same people now clamoring for his head! Remember this point as we return to it later in the essay.

And as we all know, unless someone has been soundly asleep, such fanciful constructions were followed by the final blitzkrieg invasion once again in 2003 under the full blown pretexts of 'WMDs' to indeed, once and for all, finally 'free' the wretched Iraqi peoples from the very same reign of terror and the 'frightened civilized world' from the very same but now non-existent 'WMDs' that had earlier been very covetously supplied to the erstwhile dictator as the mainstay of his tyrannical rule over his own 'wretched' peoples. Please observe the consistency of relationship between the artful planting of the mantra years in advance, to its final “Shock and Awe” 'liberation' exercise as the 'saviors'.

We won't rehearse the gory details of the illegal eight year long war of aggression that the barbaric patsy was encouraged to wage upon another neighboring nation whose unprecedented chutzpah in exercising her right to real freedom from superpower serfdom the leading 'hectoring hegemon' du jour could never appreciate. And obviously still cannot appreciate to this very day as it's now planning the final coup de grace [6] by all accounts, by the very same people (“The Case for Bombing Iran” [7]).

That final invasion operation upon the wretched nation of Iraq was aptly, and also quite Orwellianly, named 'Operation Iraqi Freedom'. Unsurprisingly, it is still ongoing four years later as it is especially constructed and deftly orchestrated to continue 'freeing' the peoples of Iraq for a “lifetime” in a 'global war on terror' – the 'World War IV' [8] – that is also projected to last a “lifetime”. Read here [9] or here [10] how this WW4 all got started in the words of the 'hectoring...
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The forensic job of a detective in unraveling the truth is to find unobvious linkages in a vast debris-field of real clues amidst cleverly planted trail of crumbs left behind by any sophisticated criminals. It is to uncover their philosophies and their motives – tortuous or sane – to discover the primal motivations that move them in order to clearly establish premeditation and intent from random events and ‘mere reactions’ to ‘happenstance’. That is what we shall be doing in this essay, looking for rational and causal linkages and patterns to understand the ‘Terror Central’ transpiring in our nation – Pakistan – so that we can actually uncover an efficacious curing protocol rather than continually spin on red herrings.

The best enemies are of course always the ones that one has created, aided and abetted, for then one knows precisely everything about the supposed antagonists, and thus they can be easily destroyed with ease once they have served some other secretive political or military agenda. This technique of fighting fake and fabricated enemies, like hegemony, is as old as mankind. Even the naming technology for these operations isn't new, as deception is the first principle in the 'Art of War' mongering for any “imperial mobilization”. The difference is that for 'Alexanders', 'Napoleons' and 'Queen Victorias' leading a charged 'ubermensch' peoples who are already imbued with the spirit of imperialism, conquest, and 'la mission civilisatrice', deception is mainly only employed upon the victims to be conquered – as the 'East India Company' was employed for the conquest of the 'Jewel in the Crown', and the Trojan horse to conquer Troy.

But for charlatans and wannabes leading a largely reluctant and peaceable peoples uninterested in world conquest, or unwilling to fight other peoples' wars with their own blood and tears, deception is employed primarily for the conquest of one's own peoples first – to either sufficiently 'motivate' them into the “imperial mobilization”, or to sufficiently de-sensitize them into inaction and resignation as their own tabula rasa is torn asunder from underneath them in the name of protecting them from the 'evil doers'. In both cases, after the Rubicon is initially crossed, inching forward to greater and more egregious 'operations' becomes relatively more 'palatable' to the peaceable and unwilling peoples!

As a student of history and current affairs, these two rather un-apparent aspects of this global 'War on Terror' immediately jumped into my straightforward but ordinary mind as I watched the unfolding horrific events with bated breadth, constantly in touch with my mom in Pakistan – for this time, the venue was my own hometown, and the images were of my own streets that I had roamed with friends as a teenager in high school.

Apart from fighting deliberately constructed 'evil doers' to fabricate more 'evil doers' in order to fight the “perpetual war” on fabricated terror, or 'synthetic terror', it seemed that there was also the deliberate desensitization of the rest of the Pakistani public to the notion of there being no red-lines that cannot be crossed in this global 'War on Terror' at whose altar the entire nation could also be willingly sacrificed in order to ‘win’ it! Indeed, as is being deliberately orchestrated in Iraq, it seemed that our own nation's 'acceptable' re-drawing of its borders is being seeded incrementally through increasingly contrived barbaric events such that it would make its eventual breakup appear to be inevitable in order to keep the peace and sanity of its peoples as well as to ensure the safety of the entire world!

For a cynical mind tuned to studying the geopolitical gamesmanship on the Grand Chessboards...
of history, there can be no other rational and strategic explanation for this monstrous case of sledgehammer brutish military destruction of the Lal Masjid complex and the murder of its young boys and girls, most of them orphans and extremely indigent with no one to watch out for their interests, i.e., quite dispensable, in the very heart of Islamabad among a nation of peoples already brimming from ear to ear with 'faith' and extremely mindful of the inviolate sanctity of religion and its mosques.

Did the poor civilian, and at best misguided, predominantly young victims of this slaughter by the world's 5th largest standing military deserve this fate for the apparent crimes of sacking a few video stores, shutting down a brothel, and some other random acts of puritanical vigilante-justice which were deliberately aided and abetted by the 'state' to get the matters to a head? To really understand this question insightfully, one must ask the concomitant question: did the people of Iraq or Afghanistan deserve their fate as the backyards of 'hectoring hegemons'? And further ponder: what might either of these widely disparate wretched peoples in entirely different nations but yet with so much in common, have done in retrospect to avoid their fate?

To cut through the chase, I'll share my own limited comprehension of the matter. The only key lesson I have learned from watching all this repeat time and again is that when an indigenous peoples do not courageously rise to overthrow their own dictators that have been deftly foisted upon them under one expedient pretext or another, the 'foreigners' willingly, and inevitably come to 'free' them from their shackles once these dictators have served their function!

**Do we want such a 'saving'?**

The malformed construct of Lal Masjid, like the necessity of the Iraqi dictatorship in the 1980s, had been deliberately nurtured and lovingly cultivated, but in its own peculiar and rather bizarre, almost anachronistic, and hence visually shocking to the 'modernity' du jour, 'fundamentalist' militant flavor for several years right under the very noses of the notorious and all powerful intelligence agencies which shies not even from bugging the homes and offices of the Justices of the Supreme Court in a setup so elaborate, that the spooks claim they cannot certify the removal of all illegal monitoring and tapping devices from the judges quarters in less time than a couple of weeks even upon the explicit legal directive of the highest judicial body in the land to sweep them clean immediately!

For the government to claim not to have known what was going on inside the Lal Masjid is obviously the same sort of incredulous lie as the American Government's feigned ignorance that they did not know of the impending 'new Pearl Harbor' of 911. Never mind that in all likelihood, they brought all three towers down themselves as any rational unbiased observer will note from the way these gravity-collapsed (WTC-7), decimated and disintegrated (WTC-1 and WTC-2), within seconds so symmetrically right unto their own footprints as is the case in any well executed demolition. [12]

And like all assets deliberately cultivated for proxy services, the Lal Masjid asset too was eliminated with the same zeal and target practice vigor in the most exemplary of “Shock and Awe” demonstration to also 'save' the goody peoples of Islamabad, the long suffering Pakistani nation, and all of the advanced civilized world from its 'sticks and stones' antediluvian reign of terror and obscurantism that had burned one too many DVDs and shutdown one too many massage parlors in the city as mere humble beginnings with sights trained upon conquering the whole world with their white turbans and slotted black tents. This operation too was aptly named “Silence”, and the manner in which it has been conducted is also similarly likely to continue harvesting its stated aim of 'silence' over a “lifetime”!
From Afghanistan to Iraq to Palestine to Lebanon to Iran and now in Pakistan, strategic military operations and covert 'black-ops' are continually set in motion that are all aptly named and so wisely and humanely constructed so as to precisely achieve their explicitly stated aims as reflected in the simplest meanings of their 'nom de guerres', but of course only in the Orwellian sector of the Matrix! In the empirical world, it achieves precisely the opposite of its apt name – and then, feigned surprise! Even an elementary school kid will surely know that:

"Those to whom evil is done, Do Evil in return."

But of course not the brilliant think-tankers at AEI from which Bush borrowed 20 of their best minds, noting: “Some of the finest minds of our nation are at work on some of the greatest challenges to our nation. You do such good work that my administration has borrowed twenty such minds. I want to thank them for their service”. And nor the realpolitik strategists at the Pentagon who argue that the only way to 'free' the world of the menace of the 'evil doers' is to “Shock and Awe” them to death after cultivating them first precisely for that purpose.

One given to cynicism borne from rational empiricism will easily assert that this modus operandi of barbarianism quite conveniently ensures an endless supply of 'evil doers' by design. Others will continue celebrating with gusto, the 'unbirthday party' with the 'Dormouse'!

The Pakistani military dictatorship foisted upon the reigns of the nation, just like Sadaam Hussein in Iraq was previously, merely took a leaf out of the same handbook of 'terror' published in the United States of America for the same purpose.

Except that this Islamabad “Shock and Awe” operation is a bit unique in that it has been wrought not by an alien superpower upon a demonized and dehumanized peoples of another 'lesser' god due to some 'ubermensch' self-proclaimed primacy imperatives on the Grand Chessboard as had previously been the norm, but by the nation's own ruling elite military force upon its own 'lesser' civilian people.

It must somehow be better to be 'shocked and awed' by ones' own kind – as when Sadaam Hussein was doing it to his own people – than by some 'ubermensch' foreigners, especially if the 'enemy' in all these operations is projected to be exactly the same, namely, the 'evil doers', the 'evil jihadis', 'radical Islamists', 'militant Islam', and yes, the ubiquitous 'al qaeeda'! At least when men, women, and children with the latter labels are slaughtered by 'Muslims' themselves, and at the wholesome hands of their own mighty national custodians at that, they at least presumably get funeral prayers, and a chance at half decent burial in individual graves – provided their body was found intact! It is merely the dress rehearsal for the final 'shock and awe' where no bodies are found at the altar of 'Daisy Cutters' and 'JDAMs'.

All the pundits across the world are asking the same key question of:

- how was it possible that under the very nose of the all powerful military Government in the modern Federal Capital could this shockingly antediluvian 'al qaeeda' construct have been allowed to germinate in plain sight, and pretty much all are coming up as empty handed;

- just as in the case of asking the same question of how 911 was allowed to be perpetrated by the 'evil jihadis' using sophisticated flying machines that they only
learned to fly on flight simulators and subsequently hijacked with such ease with this incredibly antediluvian weapon systems often referred to as 'box cutters' under the very noses of the most armed to the teeth nuclear superpower state with an annual military defense budget that far exceeds that of the next two dozen nations combined, and similarly coming up empty handed!

In both cases however, the impact upon the world's public is graphic, immediate, and shocking beyond belief, and of course, remarkably enabling. The leading argument for their occurrence in both cases being 'incompetence' and 'intelligence failure' which is promulgated by both the state and their erudite pundits in all guises.

This 'incompetence' explanation unfortunately doesn't shed a whole lot of light on the matter in either case, at least for us ordinary folks who have to first pay for this 'incompetence' with our tax dollars year after year, and then again for the subsequent 'enablement' of the 'preemptive wars' against 'evil doers' with our blood and tears!

Since few in the world today apparently possess the profound mathematics ability to correctly add 'two plus two equal four', or the linguistic capability to publicly pronounce the words 'false flag operation' in order to delineate such matters in their proper perspective which might rather straightforwardly lead to the unraveling of all obfuscation that surrounds modern deception based “imperial mobilization” and thus prematurely bring down the house of cards while it's still being erected before fait accompli, we see almost all commentators in the ubiquitous newsmedia and in the multitude of think-tanks, as well as all politicians worth their salt from the American shining seas to the Arabian troubled waters, and all the way to our very own shores, unsurprisingly focusing mainly on discussing the minutiae of the manifest event itself.

None of them betray that they possess long term memories, or any comprehension of even recent history that can be contextualized to the present.

None of them seem to have heard of 'covert-ops' and 'black-ops'; none of them have read the shrewd analysis of the imperial thinkers themselves of the necessity of real mobilizing pretexts such as the “New Pearl Harbor” and “clear and present danger” as otherwise “Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.”

None of them apparently understand that covert-ops while they are operational and active, are meant to be secretive and mendacious, which is why they are called 'covert', and that their unraveling necessitates perpectively seeing beyond what's being deliberately made manifest and what's being insisted upon as 'two plus two equals five' – for hard receipts for them will only be uncovered by historians through the famed declassification process post faits accomplis.

Thus all of these 'astute' thinkers, commentators, and media pundits none too miraculously reach the same minimal and common conclusion space regardless of their own starting thesis or the circuitous routes taken in their analysis and speculations, that at the bare minimum, the scourge of 'fundamentalism' and 'militant Islam' needs to be checked with renewed commitment in the global 'war on terror', or else no one in the 'civilized world' would remain safe from these antiquated Taliban style 'evil jihadis' and 'al qaeeda'. That root of terror has now been successfully showcased as residing in Pakistan – the “Terror Central”!

It is indeed deemed a 'clash of civilizations', not of the East and the West titans, but of 'radical
antiquated militant Islam' and the rest of civilized humanity! That “Today [even] if one could wipe America off the map of the world with a wet cloth, mullah-led fanaticism will not disappear”, as the distinguished native-informant par excellence, the world class physicist Pervez Hoodbhoy, has conclusively observed in his latest analysis of the matter in “Preventing More Lal Masjids”, [13] and which he had earlier explored in great analytical depth in “The Threat From Within”. [14] And none too surprisingly, echoed the same mantra of Pakistan becoming a 'terrorist sanctuary' as CNN did a few days ago when it aired its made for TV propaganda documentary by Nick Richardson: “Pakistan - The Threat Within” [15]. The unanimity of this conclusion space is scary to say the least – at least for us Pakistanis.

It would appear that the world's leading thinkers, journalists, newsmedia, scholars and leaders “United We Stand” that Pakistan poses a serious threat to world peace! Not the hectoring hegemons who have cleverly utilized 911 “to goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers” in what only appears to be another 'operation canned goods' or the 'Reichstag fire' or the much coveted 'New Pearl Harbor' to achieve the 'transformation of [its] forces' to achieve 'full spectrum dominance' over the planet and outerspace, but my wretched lands of the ancient Indus valley, and my wretched peoples – we are the world threat!

Therefore, as others more astute, learned, and worldly wise than us have already quite courageously tread the obvious more blatantly visible paths to 'great depths' and declared our land “Terror Central” and our troubled wretched peoples a 'world threat', let us leave the profoundly learned and their various drum beaters to their own devices, and instead, in this brief space, continue exploring where the rather unusual columnist Ejaz Haider in his July 12, 2007 oped in the Daily Times titled “Truth will out” [15a] left off after offhandedly noting:

'Could it be that the government did not want Ghazi to remain alive and at some point talk to the media? The operation was codenamed “Silence”. It makes sense to dub it such if there is an urgent need to “silence” someone'.

Let us further also try to answer the questions that the earnest journalist Talat Hussain tried to ask on his Aaj TV 'Live with Talat' show last night, on July 23, 2007, of his distinguished panelists who could only respond with the most obvious staid platitudes to his rather profound and surprising key inquiry (paraphrasing and translating the entire program in a nutshell):

“we think we understand the agenda of the Americans and we pay attention to their body language and to their pillow talk of friendship etc. to judge their intentions, and we continually respond as short-sighted reactionaries to each unfolding event when it occurs (seemingly by happenstance) thinking we are mutual friends and allies in this war on terror, and the Americans pay us for our obedient services while continually demanding more and more from us after every transgression we heap upon our own peoples; but do we really, really understand what the overarching long term American intentions and agenda is all about? Do we really understand their geopolitical power-plays and how we are designed to fit in to their scheme of things?”

Let us, the rather 'ordinary' and 'lesser' distinguished Pakistanis, the 'we the peoples', collectively put on our own thinking hats and rightly lay the charge for monumental crimes at the
proper doorstep of the first root cause from which 'all the evil that has followed' as per the justice administered at the Nuremberg Military Tribunals. [15b] The Nuremberg Principles of justice were so powerful that it absolved all the Allied powers of their own monumentally criminal bombing of civilian population centers from Dresden to Tokyo, and Hiroshima to Nagasaki, as all rather unfortunate but legitimate and necessary self-defense against the primary first cause aggressor!

Well, learning from the yardstick setup by the leading superpowers themselves, and identifying the primary first causes of the disease accurately in the layers upon layers of secondary and tertiary causal relationships, rather than merely analyzing its manifest and most visible symptoms, we stand at least half a chance of identifying the right remedies and initiating proper and timely self-defense.

An improper diagnosis on the other hand – either under the distraught and emotional state of siege when no options but to silently appease seem to dominate the thinking of our ruling elite to safeguard their own vested interests, or due to deliberately spewed red herrings that are being disseminated from the highest pulpits that misguides our analysts, or perhaps our own uncourageous silence and co-optation due to the fear of calling a spade a spade lest one be labeled a 'terrorist' just as Patrick Henry might today be labeled – can easily lead to continued catastrophes very quickly piling up upon our already beleaguered peoples and a sure death from disintegration of our already fragile nation!

Such destructive destiny apparently has been pre-ordained by the powers to be by 2012 according to some news reports, and only fatalist fools and co-opted traitors will continue to gladly suffer it. Let's not be counted among them!

Let's instead craft our own destiny that is first and foremost, in our own best national interests!

And let's not confine the definition of 'national interest' to that offered by our ruling 'Military Inc.', but also rationally include within its ambit, the national interests of 'WE', the ordinary and wretched 170 million peoples of this nation!

Let's begin by observing what is being accomplished with the monumentally criminal Lal Masjid 'katputli tamasha' (puppet show):

- (1) More credibility and justification to continue fueling the fiction of global 'war on terror' (see for instance “Al-Qaeda, the eternal covert operation” [16]) – and not surprisingly in recent news reports even that linkage to al-qaeda has been made manifest, even throwing in the name of Daniel Pearl for good measure ;

- (2) Continued desensitization of the locals to Muslims killing Muslims (and the Muslim 'state' being just another one of the actors in the contrived mayhem) to further the global agenda of getting the 'natives' to do the colonizing work – Palestine, Iraq, Lebanon, Pakistan, (see the excellent summary in “Condi's creative chaos” [17]) – thus witness that there is no uproar among the local public, they accepted the concept of curfew and curtailment of their movements meekly under the pretext of necessity (what hasn't been wrought under the doctrine of necessity) whereas even if Sir Rushdie sneezes or a cartoon is created somewhere in deliberate provocation, all hell breaks loose in Pakistan to show precisely that 'Moslems' are antiquated fundamentalist nations harboring 'militant Islamists' (see “Satanic Pictures” [18]), and that it's "Not [even] a Clash of Civilizations, It's a Clash between the Civilized World and
Barbarians” (see Daniel Pipes) [19];

- (3) A broader agenda enacted piece-meal and known only to the puppeteers for what's in store for Pakistan between now and 2012 is being successfully orchestrated (and which we can intelligently guess at but, for which we shall find no receipts until ex post facto, i.e., after fait accompli). The timing of the appearance of this book written by a 'native informant' isn't just accidental (“Divide Pakistan: To Eliminate Terrorism” and CNN is already running its slick advertisement [20]), and nor is Pakistan being the most willing of all client states dutifully serving empire ever in question (for instance, see “Pakistan to help as the US's jailer” [21] or “Bush Authorizes New Covert Action against Iran” [22] or “CIA running black propaganda operation against Iran, Syria and Lebanon, officials say” [23]).

- So, can we say that we know for a fact that Bush hasn't authorized a similar covert-ops by black-ops forces in Pakistan to further substantiate, by hook or by crook, the raison d'être for continuing the 'war on terror', especially when it needs to be continually primed for a 'lifetime', and Pakistan is the perfect place of harvest for its plentiful recruits with already in-place “doctrinal motivation” left over from winning World War III, i.e., the Cold War?

- We do however know for a fact that its converse is true, and in the words of empire itself, that the empire does covert-ops a-plenty to achieve its “imperial mobilization” under the cover of “plausible deniability”. Given this realist's realpolitik understanding of the world of hectoring hegemons we live in who openly proclaim “hegemony is as old as mankind”, what are the most obvious first-questions to ask in attempting to unravel this rather bizarre, but easily avertable, Lal Masjid blood bath of manufactured innocent patsies set up for this propagandist purpose of aiding in the crafting of “Terror Central”?

    My humble response – as Sherlock Holmes might ask – who benefits from this Lal Masjid episode? And just this week, based on all the evidences that we have so very egregiously provided to the world in the past six months, George W. Bush declared Pakistan “Terror Central” in a national address on American radio! Who benefits from such a designation?

    The most obvious beneficiaries you ask? No not Musharraf – for he too takes command from elsewhere and is no less indispensable to them than his illustrious predecessor in the same uniform who just as eagerly served the massa in “giving to the USSR its Vietnam War”, with many more eagerly awaiting in the wings to bow and scrape to his (or her) master's voice. The passing “mango season” [24] being just incidental to this dispensation of gratitude – the land of Pakistan is gifted with many seasons, not to fear.

    In this misanthropic orchestration of Lal Masjid event – which did not, most assuredly, commence only in January 2007, but rather the staging began several years prior, and at least as far back as 2004 when the late maulana Ghazi was reappointed to the Lal Masjid as its head, and all charges of 'terrorism' against him were magically dropped by General Musharraf's own military establishment – Pakistani rulers have deliberately handed the justification on a platter, and once again at the expense of the blood and tears of the wretched peoples of this land, to the Islamophobe Mr.
Daniel Pipes for his oft repeated lament noted in “Recruiting Soldiers Against Radical Islam”. [25] The vulgar propagandist of empire has repeatedly lamented how “so many people in the West still don't believe that they are at war [with] .. radical Islam”.

Well, no more, and thank you very much!

And had this not been enacted in military strongman General Musharraf’s Pakistan, it could have been enacted somewhere else, and as it surely will. We shouldn't forget that the most recent one was orchestrated simultaneously in Gaza to stage the Hamas Fatah incestuous killing zone, and in Lebanon in the Naher al Bared refugee camp with the world watching silently as the 'wretched of the earth' are once again displaced for a higher prerogative. The timing and circumstances just worked out right for this Lal Masjid seedling to germinate when it did. It conveniently served multiple hierarchy of purposes. There are many more such incestuous Muslim killing Muslim seedlings planted in the soil worldwide, rest assured.

If, just for a moment, one can assume that peering through the above empirical lens also lends a rational perspective in the global geopolitical context (as opposed to merely a 'tin hatted' conspiracy theory from an hyperactive imagination), many very useful answers potentially fall through. Covert-ops have layers of obfuscation surrounding them like onion rings (see for instance “Islamic Terrorists” supported by Uncle Sam [26]) amidst a trail of endless red herrings. These covert-ops end up synthesizing deliberately crafted consequences, rather than just the mere 'surprised happenstance', or the oft repeat 'surprised blowback' mantra that we frequently see being discussed among the erudite and the media, in order to perpetuate a pre-planned agenda through brilliant Machiavellian deception mechanisms such as Ezra Pound's superlative exposition of the dialectics of deception:

invent and propagate two or more [opposing] lies and keep the peoples 
busily engaged arguing which of them might be true!

While the goodly peoples and their well intentioned intellectuals, media, press, and think-tanks et. al. remain focused chasing which of the multiple sets of 'katputli tamashas' may be true, the 'empire' expands behind the scenes full steam ahead achieving the pre-planned 'full spectrum dominance' in the confusion and preemptive attacks and new bases and missile defense against rogue actors, etc., that follow!

I suppose those who insistently allege this open secret are merely 'conspiracy theorists'.

And when these 'tin hats' further insist on bringing up the plentiful evidence to support their detective work, such as the multiple existent 'Mein Kampfs', [27] or point to the “significantly expanded planning doctrine for nuclear wars”, [28] or narrate the agendas of 'Bush Doctrine' [29] in the words of the neo-cons themselves and how deception (such as the famous 'WMD' and 'evil doers' and 'sky is falling') is the key weapon system of these 'hectoring hegemons' to confuse, scare and mobilize their own masses in the most desired direction of inaction and acquiescence as otherwise "Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization", [30] they are very conveniently labeled 'terrorists'!

Thus quite unremarkably easily shutting up anyone pointing to the trumpeting elephants in the room, but leaving all the various drum beaters who remain content diligently analyzing the 'katputli tamashas', in charge of the circus. Often the most cleverly or shrewdly co-opted who are beyond simple envolpes and paychecks, are amply rewarded with well deserved respite, prominence, junkets, sabbaticals, and special invitations to speak along the Hudson and the Potomac worthy of any high
ranking faithful 'native-informant' in the priestdom.

Only when the hard receipts are in some 20, 30, 40 years later, post fait accompli, that we shall all know for sure the abc of all the covert-ops, and the same informants who were earlier beating the drum of 'radical Islamists' and 'militant Islam' will be writing revealing books of how 'terribly' immoral and devilishly clever the 'empire' was, and magically find the courage to label it a 'rogue state' and the like.

But that is why history is supposed to be a teacher for contemporary matters in order to empower altering of the future. When the receipts finally come in, instead of using them to analyze and unravel any contemporary events in the light of what has transpired in the recent past, these covert-ops and foreign interventions are just taken as a matter of course, as a matter of mere 'primacy imperatives' of the 'hectoring hegemons', and written about ad nauseum by the imperialists themselves in memoirs, confessions, diaries, or self-serving gloats for want of applause ex post facto – like how Brzezinski bragged about his own orchestrated covert CIA intervention in Afghanistan to set a trap for the Soviet Union and whose successful engagement in an eight-year long proxy superpower's war on Muslim soil in Muslim blood seeded all these “Lal Masjids” for us whose harvest we are now collecting.

Brzezinski created 'militant Islam' in our region by brazenly mixing god and armed-struggle disguised as 'jihad' against the 'evil Soviet empire'. We all know this tale, but how many readers in Pakistan have seen the following claim by Brzezinski 20 years after his initiating the monumentally criminal covert-ops in Afghanistan to deliberately manufacture the “opportunity of giving to the USSR its Vietnam war” on Muslim soil?

This is incredibly important to understand, what came first: the invasion of Afghanistan by the USSR, or the CIA covert-ops which was deliberately and knowingly crafted to lead to such an invasion?

In an interview in 1998 to “Le Nouvel Observateur” (translated from French by historian William Blum): [31]

**Question:** The former director of the CIA, Robert Gates, stated in his memoirs [“From the Shadows”], that American intelligence services began to aid the Mujahadeen in Afghanistan 6 months before the Soviet intervention. In this period you were the national security adviser to President Carter. You therefore played a role in this affair. Is that correct?

**Brzezinski:** Yes. According to the official version of history, CIA aid to the Mujahadeen began during 1980, that is to say, after the Soviet army invaded Afghanistan, 24 Dec 1979. But the reality, secretly guarded until now, is completely otherwise. Indeed, it was July 3, 1979 that President Carter signed the first directive for secret aid to the opponents of the pro-Soviet regime in Kabul. And that very day, I wrote a note to the president in which I explained to him that in my opinion this aid was going to induce a Soviet military intervention.
Question: Despite this risk, you were an advocate of this covert action. But perhaps you yourself desired this Soviet entry into war and looked to provoke it?

Brzezinski: It isn't quite that. We didn't push the Russians to intervene, but we knowingly increased the probability that they would.

Question: When the Soviets justified their intervention by asserting that they intended to fight against a secret involvement of the United States in Afghanistan, people didn't believe them. However, there was a basis of truth. You don't regret anything today?

Brzezinski: Regret what? That secret operation was an excellent idea. It had the effect of drawing the Russians into the Afghan trap and you want me to regret it? The day that the Soviets officially crossed the border, I wrote to President Carter. We now have the opportunity of giving to the USSR its Vietnam war. Indeed, for almost 10 years, Moscow had to carry on a war unsupportable by the government, a conflict that brought about the demoralization and finally the breakup of the Soviet empire.

Question: And neither do you regret having supported the Islamic fundamentalism, having given arms and advice to future terrorists?

Brzezinski: What is most important to the history of the world? The Taliban or the collapse of the Soviet empire? Some stirred-up Moslems or the liberation of Central Europe and the end of the cold war?

Question: Some stirred-up Moslems? But it has been said and repeated Islamic fundamentalism represents a world menace today.

Brzezinski: Nonsense! It is said that the West had a global policy in regard to Islam. That is stupid. There isn't a global Islam. Look at Islam in a rational manner and without demagoguery or emotion. It is the leading religion of the world with 1.5 billion followers. But what is there in common among Saudi Arabian fundamentalism, moderate Morocco, Pakistan militarism, Egyptian pro-Western or Central Asian secularism? Nothing more than what unites the Christian countries.

Watch Brzezinski in the following PBS video clip [32] on the Pak-Afghan border in 1980 asserting to the 'Mujahideen': “god is on your side” as he deftly manufactured these “Some stirred-up Moslems” (who is the young man in the topi standing next to him if not his Honorable Zalmay Khalilzad, Brzezinski's talented protégé?):
News voice over 1980: “US National Security Advisor Brzezinski flew to Pakistan to set about rallying resistance. He wanted to arm the Mujahideen without revealing America's role. On the Afghan border near the Khayber Pass, he urged the Soldiers of God to redouble their efforts”

Brzezinski 1980: “We know of their deep belief in God, and we are confident that their struggle will succeed. That land over there, is yours, you'll go back to it one day, because your fight will prevail, and you'll have your homes and your mosques back again; because your cause is right; God is on your side.” [enthusiastic clapping by the future 'Mujahideens']

Brzezinski in the studio speaking to the interviewer: “The purpose of coordinating with the Pakistanis will be to make the Soviets bleed, for as much, as long, as possible.” (transcription is mine from the video clip [32])

One might well ask the architect of the Grand Chessboard what new diabolical “secret operation” is he, or his trained offspring, now following for creating these new 'evil jihadists' – the new boogie-men to fight their new Post-Cold War lifetime of “war on terrorism” against, and after how many years will they be giving their next interview to “Le Nouvel Observateur” to confess to it, and which PBS videos will be made 20 years hence to openly show the neo-cons urging on the new mullahs to wage a jihad for 'enactment of Sharia' because 'god is still very much on their side'? How will these murderous adventurers playing their endless great game on the Grand Chessboard of central Asia dismiss the useful idiot Muslims this time at the conclusion of what they now call World War IV? Last time Brzezinski had merely referred to the shedding of our endless red blood and the destruction of a Muslim civilization in Afghanistan with its ripples percolating East to West along the “arc of crisis” in the service of “imperial mobilization”, as merely “some stirred-up Moslems”!

Would Brzezinski's faithful amoral progeny gloat at the conclusion of WW IV thusly (in the words of this scribe):

what is most important to the history of the earth – the preeminence of America or the death of Arabs, Iraqis, Afghanis, Palestinians, Pakistanis? Some stirred-up Moslems, or the brilliant American full spectrum dominance of the entire planet, the control of all the world's resources and all its energy spigots, and the triumphal return of the Jews to their entire Promised Land in Eretz Yisrael?

Brzezinski, brazen and boldfaced as ever, has already laid out how any attack on Iran may materialize, in his Senate testimony [33] before the Foreign Relations Committee on February 1, 2007. He openly stated, in front of the recording cameras of C-SPAN:

“a plausible scenario for a military collision with Iran involves Iraqi failure to meet the benchmarks, followed by accusations of Iranian responsibility for the failure, then by some provocation in Iraq or a terrorist act in the US blamed on Iran, culminating in a 'defensive' US military action against Iran that plunges a
lonely America into a spreading and deepening quagmire eventually ranging across Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan and Pakistan” (Full text of Brzezinski testimony cached [34])

Attack on Iran is indeed the only leg in the neo-con agenda that remains unfulfilled in the short term during Bush's tenure, and for which in the face of growing reluctance within the United States to embark on another 'preemptive war' to achieve their self-proclaimed primacy imperatives of 'full spectrum dominance', something more tangible than just the sustained talk of 'evil doers', something more concrete like the actual 'evil jihadis' in real conflagration against the civil society, is required in order to prime its own 'democracy' to wreak the pre-planned havoc upon another Muslim nation – the only one that has dared to be independent and has openly defied the sole superpower in the world. Every convincing bit that can be added to the fabricated mantra of 'War on Terror' is now necessary in order to mobilize the Western public against the supposed real and present dangers of 'evil jihadis' and 'militantly Islamic rogue states' hell bent on achieving their triumphal version of 'antiquated Islam' with the force of arms. Thus for those who don't yet have WMDs, it is the 'threat' of their acquiring them, and those who already possess them, it is the 'threat' of their loosing them to the 'islamofascists'! Both create the boogie-men needed to continue on with premeditated “imperial mobilization”!

Thus the poor Muslims – continually slogging under the burden of what Samuel Huntington propagandistically stated as a has-been “civilization convinced of the superiority of their culture and incensed with the inferiority of their power”, and perpetually kept under the iron-fist of Western installed tin-pot dictators and autocracies of one sort or another from the very inception of modern nation-states after World War I that ended their own glorified 'thousand year' Muslim empires in grotesque dismemberment and plunder – are once again deliberately paying the price in their own blood, development, political freedoms, and most importantly, in equitably sharing of their own natural resources that are deftly being harvested away from them for a song under the guise of neoliberalism.

The developing nations of the Global South, including all the Muslim nations in it, are themselves assisting the West in the exercise of its hegemony for the benefit and comfortable lifestyles of the Western peoples of the Global North.

When will we in the Global South ever learn? When will we spot the elephant in the room? When will we begin to build dikes and bridges to weather the gathering storms that are about to burst?

All matters are inter-linked in this 'war on terror' and its concomitant 'imperial mobilizations'. These matters cannot be understood through simple temporal local microscopic lenses when the image processing requirement calls for rapidly changing zoom lens in a rapidly evolving global landscape. Red herrings are cleverly strewn across the board, and deceptive opinions are deftly crafted by the dutiful servants of empire (knowingly or inadvertently is immaterial) who tend to carve up the entire discourse space unto themselves and either ignore, or systematically denigrate anyone pointing out the dancing-shitting-trumpeting elephant in the bedroom! If one cannot assert two plus two equals four mes amis, what else is left?
So how must we rationally proceed

We must courageously ask and publicly debate, as a sane and rational peoples, the following key meta questions in order to really understand the Lal Masjid episode and how to most efficaciously throw off the yoke of artificially crafted antediluvian 'fundamentalisms' that is choking our peoples to death.

Is it impossible to conceive that suitcases full of black-ops dollars are not in covert-ops replay today to deliberately create the 'evil jihadis' such as the Lal Masjid in order to continue to generate and sustain an 'Operation Canned Goods' [35] justification for continuing to fuel the global 'war on terror' for a lifetime – what the neo-cons and Ex CIA director have already labeled as a “lifetime of wars”, the “World War IV”? [op. cit. James Woolsey]

How else to fight such a war for an entire lifetime without credible recruits to fight against also simultaneously being constructed for the duration? And Pakistan-Afghanistan combo is the most fertile recruiting ground today to extend the conflagration of the Middle East directly into Iran – the main prize. Disarming Pakistan of its nuclear arsenal is the other main event. Is it impossible to perceive how this is being set up through a breakup of the nation with a preemptive strike and take over by NATO of our nuclear arsenal ostensibly to safeguard it? I can easily see a time when things have become so bad that Musharraf personally hands off the safeguarding of these installations to the hectoring hegemons! The Mission would really be Accomplished!

Is it impossible to conceive that our General Musharraf's deliberate aims can be coincident and consistent with those of the neo-cons? Everything he has done empirically since taking power has been reflective of their aims, argued with various excuses and apologetics as mere tactical and reactionary responses to fast breaking events. Is it inconceivable that under long term geostrategic planning on the Grand Chessboard by the superpowers that precipitated in 911 as the key enabler of the century, that they would leave the leadership of the most frontline client-state whose services would be most essential to wage this lifetime of 'Global War on Terror' to mere chance and happenstance of October 12, 1999? Is it inconceivable to argue that cooperation of the Pakistan's military would have been orchestrated and would have been equally forthcoming no matter who was in power? (See How military rulers intensified Zionist influence in Pakistan By Abid Ullah Jan, June 05, 2007, [36])

Why can't there be other covert players here orchestrating events? Once again just ask who is benefiting here? Incompetency and lack of preventive rational response sooner no more explains Lal Masjid than it does 911. “In politics, nothing happens by accident. If it happens, it was planned that way”, as the only three time US President Franklin D. Roosevelt himself believed – the one who history now hints orchestrated 'Pearl Harbor' by increasing the likelihood of its occurrence no differently than Brzezinski confessed to orchestrating the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan four decades later! If there is a history of such hegemonic planning to get the gullible public of a democracy to go along in imperial adventures (see Dialog among Civilizations: Whytalksfail? Part-1), only fools and collaborators would not argue for preemptively planning for self-defense against such an operation
unfolding contemporaneously.

Only the most 'learned' and the 'wise' would argue that there is no proof in hand of any of these conspiracy theories, that we must not learn from history which is only for memorization and torturing high school students, to let's wait for the famed declassification process, or the self-confessions, or the posthumous publication of diaries, or the awarding of Nobel Prizes for winning the 'war on terror' – i.e. ex post facto, after fait accompli, when nothing can be done to alter the reality on the ground – before we can conclude that it was all pre-planned!

These 'learned' folks will insist today on not reading the multiple 'Mein Kampfs' that exist in the hand writings of the 'hectoring hegemons' themselves, vehemently dismissing all questions of premeditation as conspiracy theories, but tomorrow, will make millions in selling history books pointing out the obvious shitting trumpeting dancing elephant in the bedroom as the 'rogue state', and will be duly praised for their glorious dissent as the most prominent gadflies and historians of repute!

Who benefits? Why was this benefit needed now, as the war on Iran is being prepared for while the world is getting wary of the neo-con agenda?

Watch the Star Wars movie 'Revenge of the Sith' in which Anakin Skywalker goes and kills off the faithful collaborators of the Sith Lord (the Senator) after the fiction of 'rebellion' has been successfully crafted, and defeated, and which as the primal first-cause, enabled the transition of a Republic into Empire.

So, was 'operation silence' necessary to get rid of the evidence of covert-ops – a bizarre convolution of art imitating life, and life imitating art?

Our intelligentsia in Pakistan must move the national dialog into hitherto unexplored directions that transcend focusing on the manifest leaves (which all can see and speculate upon), and start intelligently exploring and unraveling the DNA of the tree in a rational and strategic manner in order to even point to the overarching rational solution spaces.

The most obvious, rational, and efficacious solution space under these revised explorations whereby the problem space is posited correctly, instead of well intentioned people being perennially sent off chasing red herrings, automatically points to 'throw the tea overboard'!

Only breaking out of the “Plebeian States” [37] syndrome can we ever become a free peoples finally guiding our own wretched destinies to something better for all our peoples.
A Realist's Strategy for Self-defense on the Grand Chessboard

And what does all this mean in concrete realist's terms of defining an efficacious curing protocol for our systemic cancer before it finally kills us?

In my straightforward view of the Grand Chessboard, the realpolitik moves any beleaguered nation can make on it as a pawn in her own effective self-defense such that she may have her cake and eat it as well rather than continually offer herself as a perpetual pawn sacrifice, is obviously circumscribed by its ruling-elite. The truth of this statement is beyond doubt. It is self-evident. Whereas its “awam”, the public, the wretched of the earth and the rank and file forever caught between bread and circuses, frequently do not count for much on the Grand Chessboard except as cannon fodder, and as malleable putty in Machiavellian hands that fabricate “revolutionary times” on demand. The colored “democracy revolutions” brought to the streets with useful idiots and stooges is a self-evident example of this latter fact which is even underwritten in the actual recipe for ushering in the neoliberal looting of a targeted nation (see From Dictatorship to Democracy By Gene Sharp, professor of political science at the University of Massachusetts and with the Albert Einstein Institute in Boston, known as FDTD, the recipe cookbook for manufacturing revolutions has been translated into more than 30 languages, evidently for every linguistic group targeted for the harvest of “revolutionary times” for specific advantages on the Grand Chessboard [38]).

Therefore, it is first necessary to boldly admit that there is no independent strategy of survival possible for cowards and cowardly nations, nor for nations dominated by fools and run by traitors and mercenaries. These mental midget nations and its colonized peoples will forever remain slaves to the massa no matter what. Let's also just accept the fact up front that even a God given divine prescription can be crippled by self-interest. The history of tyrannical and autocratic rules in the name of God in all three Abrahamic religions lend ample empiricism to leave any room for doubt. The truth of this observation is beyond question. It is self-evident. With the current crop of fools and mercenaries running Pakistan, nothing can be done in any system, whether democracy, dictatorship, autocracy, theocracy, or plutocracy, each one worse than the other when it is beholden to the massa for its survival. Sorry! But in order to effectively strategize what to do when surrounded by house niggers in tyrannical systems of control that strangulate and emasculate the creative energies of a nation and sap the will of its peoples to be free from the shackles of servitude, one must assume that the nation must experience a national metanoia at some point, a metamorphosis of Biblical proportions if you will, and a crop of “David” will come to the helm of affairs who will have the nerve:

● (1) to fully disengage from the fiction of 'war on terror'
● (2) to close our borders not just to the so called 'islamofascists' and various and sundry cultivated 'terrorists', but to the American agenda and its black-ops agents moving freely throughout the country as their own backyard synthesizing and financing 'militant Islam' under 'plausible deniability' and several degrees of separation
● (3) to close down and banish all American military bases immediately from Pakistani soil
● (4) and to point our deterrence-inducing weapons that we have so covetously
developed ostensibly for the defense of our own peoples at much sacrifice to our social spendings and developmental economics including clean drinking water in our taps, directly towards all the geopolitical 'hectoring hegemons' outside of our borders on mated full alert in a genuinely threatening 'Samson Option' of our own, fully disclosed as our ultimate, publicly mandated, political doctrine for a 'MAD' self-defense such that no one, not even the world's lone superpower and its nuclear armed minions, [39] can co-opt our self-defense by arm twisting games of poker on the Grand Chessboard – the only sensible (sic!) and rational raison d'être for possessing such deterrence in the first place

(genuine Origami paper tigers would surely have been a lot less painful investment otherwise; and it may yet be more effective to unilaterally disarm like South Africa if we don't have the 'balls', the chutzpah, the mind, and the political will to make effective use of the only rational way that such horrendous and inconceivable Armageddon inducing weapons can ever be used – as 'MAD'ness – as most of us would surely prefer having clean drinking water in our taps and quality K-16 education for all)

Furthermore, as these unilateral acts of disobedience to the 'master' will surely bring-on the grave displeasure of the 'hectoring hegemons' through their intense saber-rattling, economic sanctions, and of course the merciful closing down of the infernal 'corruption pipeline', i.e., the Trojan horse of 'American Aid', as well as other heightened arm twisting tensions and even deadly covert-ops and destabilization as the 'camel is already inside the tent', we cannot safely execute on this disengagement goal on one fine morning in our present system of monolithic power-dispensation even if we had a reformed 'turn-coat' dictator willing to do it.

We additionally need two other crucial gestalt shifts in order to realistically play on the Grand Chessboard – as all nations of the world invariably do when 'hectoring hegemons' are the 'Grandmasters' – to ensure our immediate as well as our long term survival, and without the persistent fear that we are among the proverbial patsy pawns to be strategically played and ultimately sacrificed by the 'Grandmasters' at the altar of realpolitik expediency and conquest.

We must transform ourselves, a minor pawn though we might well always remain, into a more heavy weight pawn that has a tremendous built-in inertia in its internal structural makeup to be never again so trivially co-opted by mere phone calls to one man, nor our destiny trivially covertly-channeled by cleverly planted conscienceless 'Trojan Horses'. Indeed, we may, through judicious gestalt shifts, promote ourselves into an 'autonomous pawn' on the 'Grand Chessboard'. We only move or not move – and perhaps in conjunction with others like us for a greatly amplified control upon our own destiny – when we want, where we want, and always only in our own public's best interest. This invariance is accomplished by the very design of our internal structural makeup! Imagine such a 'Grand Chessboard' where some of the pawns move autonomously based on their own control of their destiny, and by the very design of their internal makeup, can never come under any 'Hectoring Hegemons' direct manipulative control! The realpolitik has just been made more interesting, naturally 'damped' and thus safer, and more equitable!

Now that we have imagined it, let's briefly note how to get there realistically. The first gestalt shift must be in the construction of our system. The only system I know of that lends the above
desirable properties is that of a genuine Democracy. It is naturally fractious, distributive, participative (FDP), thus decision making on important national matters and international policy decisions is spread out by design. If we immediately make the gestalt shift of dumping our ‘praetorian’ authoritarian mindset to adopt a more progressive 'social contract' and FDP based systems of governance, we can easily put the decision of whether or not to disengage from the global “War on Terror” to the system itself for adjudication. A national decision collectively taken by the peoples under a legal framework of Constitutional mandates through their representative parliaments, senate, put through another 'assembly of patriotic un co-opted domain experts' for expert evaluation and recommendation, and finally ratified directly by the peoples through a direct vote on the critical issue, has overwhelming inertia and built-in damping, as well as blanket international recognition and ab initio legitimacy that simply cannot be easily subverted even by the saber-rattling 'Hectoring Hegemons'.

Imagine if Musharraf had put the key decisions that he took unilaterally based on his 'own judgment' after 911, through such a FDP based public-vetting wringer process! This is called genuine FDP based empowering Democracy in action – the first nemesis of the 'hectoring hegemons'!

And this is precisely why we find, that if we rationally accept, based on all readily available empirical and intellectual evidence, that this whole “War on Terror” is in reality a very premeditated “imperial mobilization” in disguise, then how can we rationally conclude that the brilliant minds who conceived and meticulously planned for years, who artfully crafted the requisite 'doctrinal motivations' into the public discourse years in advance, and who finally orchestrated the imperial march upon the much anxiously awaited and anticipated mobilizing pretext of the 'new pearl harbor', would then so thoughtlessly leave such a key component of their strategy to mere chance – of the indispensable front-line state whose services are absolutely essential for this “imperial mobilization” to succeed, not being under the firm 'unity of command' of some autocratic dictatorial regime to follow orders obediently?

And this analysis directly helps us save Pakistan – never mind hanging the 'traitors' as the ship is sinking and we haven't the time for fighting amongst ourselves – to immediately implement what the 'hectoring hegemons' are indeed afraid of, and which can yet derail their remaining “imperial mobilization” plans of “Shock and Awe” visitation upon Iran and possibly Syria!

Thus the politically astute realpolitik thinking individuals in the nation can easily anticipate and shrewdly predict considerable opposition from the 'empire' and its minions, its 'native informants' of all stripes, and our own ruling-elite and institutions preferring the status quo, to our attempts to usher in such a genuine FDP Democratic transformation. They would prefer that we only acquire the faux 'democracy' they would like to foist upon us, one that has all the 'trimmings' of 'democracy', such as elections and over staffed parliaments, but is in essence, the same old recycled rotting wine in a new bottle with the same centers of raw power that continually sing the empire's song.

As we can witness the travails of Venezuela in its own social and political struggles towards a genuine FDP based social Democracy, and the layers of deception, disinformation, and political opposition being artfully crafted by the 'empire' and the ruling elite within Venezuela in its path, we can easily learn from their experience and preemptively co-opt all similar and newer attempts that will also be hurled at our attempts for genuine transformation. Just as the construction of 'empire' and its patsy client-states in the 'modernity' du jour requires considerable mastery of both the art and science of “imperial mobilization”, i.e., considerable intellectual sophistication and manipulation of souls, so must breaking loose from its chains. Or so it appears to me.
Thus realpolitik would dictate that in order to succeed in realizing this gestalt shift towards re-genesis, we must have all the key owners and the traditionally 'feudal' and 'praetorian' leaders in public opinion-molding and power-wielding institutions among our own ruling elite (a number less than a few hundred at best) preemptively signed on to this metamorphosis, even if it is initially seeded by executive fiat. These key stake holders controlling the money and the power in the nation must be made to be just as engagingly and overzealously supportive of it with their heart and soul, as the imperialist thinkers and planners and their ruling elite and their media-owners are of their own 'la mission civilisatrice' and its “primacy and its geostrategic imperatives”! Otherwise, nothing can be accomplished by even an army of 'mercenary' patriots – our present crop of politicians and our 'empire-enabled' ruling elite including our military which got funded at least to the tune of $10b by the empire to sing the empire's main theme song!

I have no idea how this zeal can ever be infused among the zombies and the Faustian pact holders, but without the key players among the ruling elite directly on board this re-genesis, no rational transformation in the 'modernity' du jour is possible short of a global plebeian rebellion. Today, even though most everyone among the ruling elite is still loudly singing the main imperial theme song of “War on Terror” at the 'unbirthday party' with the ‘Mad Hatter’ (sic!), I hope that this humble analysis from the mind of a plebeian is a rational wakeup call! If it can strike a chord in even one person of consequence, the dominoes will fall on the Grand Chessboard!

Indeed, our immediate gestalt shift to a genuine FDP Democracy is our only remaining lifeline on the Grand Chessboard! Not only does it enable us to show the world that our complex and sophisticated systems of genuine FDP Democratic dispensation have finally spoken in unison as we safely disengage from the “War on Terror” under our Constitutional mandate to listen to the formal spoken voices of the peoples, and for which our Executive has no choice in the matter but to follow suit immediately, but a properly constructed, un co-opted, non 'special-interest' driven Democracy would also enable us to implement the second crucial gestalt shift that we need in order to safely disengage ourselves from this barbaric “imperial mobilization” without committing an economic suicide!

The second gestalt shift of realpolitik is to entirely reverse the “divide and conquer” mechanism that is the mainstay of any 'Hectoring Hegemon' as this amazing 8 minute youtube video of “Battle at Kruger” [40] graphically illustrates. We can easily grasp that if dividing is their main weapon system of conquest, then uniting must be ours of self-defense! And this remarkable “Battle at Kruger” from the world of nature itself quite emphatically proves it, leaving no room for any further rational objections to the matter.

Thus as the first crucial act of self-defense in our new genuine Democratic dispensation, we must accelerate Full Spectrum Alliances with our immediate neighbors, all of with whom we share our history, our heritage, our languages, our cultures, and our common national interests of being free from predatory hectoring hegemons and living in equitable harmony in a multi-polar world that espouses the simple moral Golden Rule 'Do unto others as you have others do unto you' in governing all its foreign relations!

And looking beyond that across to the greater Asian continent, to in parallel, accelerate further 'Full Spectrum Alliances' in the SCO (Shanghai Cooperation Organization) framework by positing mutual self-defense and equitable regional trade treaties for the entire Asian continent in an eventual
AU (Asian Union) construction as the only realists' means for accomplishing persistent and immediate Full Spectrum Deterrence against all predatory adventurers hell bent on “full spectrum dominance”.

Further 'Full Spectrum Alliances' constructed with the greater humanity in the nations of the Global South is indeed how we save the peoples of our region and of the entire developing world from the curse of both neo-con-servativism, and neoliberalism and its deliberately induced consequences of further sustaining global poverty among the masses. Instead of the indigent and developing nations being forced to adapt to the existent reality of 'Globalization' which is constructed by design to entirely favor Western economic and corporate interests, to adapt 'Globalization' itself to more equitably serve the economic interests of the indigenous nations of Asia, Africa, and South America! As insoluble a problem as poverty and developmental economics appear to be today, it is trivial to solve by a single gestalt shift. Instead of one world government of laws crafted to favor the 'free trade' mantra of developed nations, employ the model of soccer leagues:

'A league' teams play amongst themselves, and 'C league' teams play amongst themselves. The leagues only meet to play inter-league matches under rules that are negotiated between the two supra-leagues for a greater power-balance – not between individual teams from different leagues where one of them may comprise super-dominant Alpha-males dictating terms at the barrel of a gun and its exponents unabashedly recognizing it for what it is: “The hidden hand of the market will never work without a hidden fist”, or between a highly developed power-block of a handful of nations who crafted the WTO, and each individual nation in the rest of the world, as is presently the case in the world today!

In one fell swoop of astute and genuine political ascendance, we not only immediately save Pakistan and its wretched peoples from the very predictable aftermath of this fictitious “War on terror”, but also from the persistent legacy of our own 'praetorian guards' and our colonial past! While simultaneously we seed important new doctrines into the international arena by virtue of our setting the example among the developing nations of Full Spectrum Alliances.

It is also how we save the entire world from the 'Islamofascist evil-doers' – for their militant source and lethal weapon systems of suicide attacks will just as magically melt away from our psyche and our lands – never again to be called “Terror Central” – as it had 'magically' appeared! When their 'gardeners' are 'terminated' with extreme prejudice, they will also whither away in their natural death in due course as they are an unnaturally cultivated pariah in our societies and cannot long exist on their own.
Final thoughts and a demand

I feel that we can thusly plant the seeds of re-genesis which in the past 60 years we have repeatedly failed to do primarily for the lack of forensic understanding of the efficacy involved in any protocol we randomly and ad hocly constructed and followed, for a disease we perhaps either failed to appreciate for its nuanced viral shades, or lacked the courage of our convictions to devise the right protocols. In the presently outlined protocol, we can not only save ourselves from the murderous clutches of the 'hectoring hegemons' at whose mercy we have floated, from all empirical evidence, since our very inception, but simultaneously also become a genuinely independent and self-reliant nation for which we were presumably constructed by our ailing founder who saw the world from his own peculiar vantage point that is now little shared by our cynical younger generation of this nation.

Unless we can prove it to them that the tyrannical-sacrifice of millions of innocent 'dispensable' peoples on the sub-continent leading to the largest displacement of humanity from their native soil in modern times – far surpassing the original forcible displacement of the indigenous Palestinians by the imported Zionist Jews in 1948 – was worthwhile for the vision of a handful of intellectuals of the twentieth century, we have betrayed the promise. And indeed, it is mainly the promise to our progeny for which the founders of Pakistan constructed Pakistan. Our failure, quite unsurprisingly, mirrors that of the apartheid state of Israel (see my similar forensic analysis and deconstruction of Zionist mythologies in my essay “The endless trail of red herrings” [41]) primarily because we share the same manipulative 'Hectoring Hegemons' in our history and in our present. And our future promise, like that of the 'Children of Abraham' equitably sharing the land of Canaan, is entirely in our own courageous hands too!

Just as the seeds of the original 'birth-pangs' of these two evidently malformed-constructs of history were laid first in intellectual thought – Theodore Herzl in 1896 for 'Der Judenstaat' [42] in his diabolically seminal work of the same name for importing World and European Jewry into Palestine as an existential matter of their survival in the genuinely anti-Semitic European world of the time, and Ch. Rahmat Ali [43] for his realist's-idealism of a separate political Constitution for the indigenous Muslims already living on the Indian subcontinent as an existential matter of their survival in the new post-colonial political 'nation-state of India' that would be dominated by a Hindu ruling majority in his equally seminal 1933 Declarative Manifesto “Now or Never: Are we to live or perish forever” [44] – the seeds of re-genesis must also be laid first in intellectual thought. (See Sacred Cow: Allama Iqbal - marde-momin or superman? [45] for how the separatist seeds were planted to divide the Indian subcontinent with intellectual thought.)

At the risk of stating a self-evident truism, one has to imagine the goal before one can be inspired to work towards its arduous journey and overcome all the divisive impediments and obstructions by power-brokers that will surely exist, as was originally the case too, for both nations. Well, both original constructions backfired, both were clearly sanctioned by the global superpowers of the time to best manage the 'sinking ship' of a waning empire for the future exercise of hegemony by a new empire, and consequently both are still causing egregious injuries to the tabula rasa of their respective indigenous peoples under the direct control and financial assistance of the same 'hectoring hegemons' du jour, and therefore both need to re-imagine their re-genesis that allows their respective indigenous peoples to indeed exist in equitable peace and justice in the new global and local realities.
du jour.

This re-genesis is precisely what the peoples of Pakistan today must insistently, and by all uncompromising means possible, demand.

It is what I, a humble ordinary citizen of this land demands, for it is a 'political right', and a hope, that I have covetously safeguarded, despite having lived in the West all my adult life.

If I can boldly imagine and demand it for the wretched of Palestine by the images of the 'jackboots' of the Zionists permanently etched upon the face of a Palestinian child (in “The endless trail of red herrings”), I would be a cowardly hypocrite if I did not imagine and demand it for the wretched of my own soil watching the images of our own “praetorian guards” in constant service to their 'ubermensch' masters permanently squashing my own kith into perpetual serfdom of the 'hectoring hegemons' fighting fabricated enemies.

To remain silent, is to be an accomplice. And to be an accomplice is to incur the immortal imprecation of all victims:

“I still curse the killers, their accomplices, the indifferent spectators who knew and kept silent”!

But who is there to spearhead this re-genesis? Such transformations rooted in deep political thought and an appreciation of complex realities du jour cannot be wrought through the simple minded politics of street agitation by sheep who are often led by shrewd Machiavellis themselves – as powerful as mass protests can be to force any political issue to its head when they do reach a 'critical mass'. It first and foremost requires wise and uncompromising leadership from our greatest minds and talents – 'Patrick Henrys' and 'Ben Franklins'!

Is anyone listening to the sound of realpolitik reason and sanity? Even the animal kingdom has more collective instincts for survival than apparently we do! And as one can witness in the “Battle at Kruger”, they don't even need a unifying leader – the instincts for survival amazingly unites the herd automatically in their otherwise characteristic 'flight' response for Full Spectrum Deterrence! As human beings, is our 'herd' entirely co-opted from even this innate self-defense mechanism that god even bestowed upon the animals?

Let it never be said in the annals of future history that we did not know how to save Pakistan! We just did not have anyone among our pathetic ruling elite – some 'ma ka lals' – courageous enough to detach themselves from their stomach, their greed, their plunder, their co-option, their praetorian mindset, and espouse a selfless rational idealism rooted in realism and realpolitik wisdom du jour.

If such persons do exist anywhere on this planet, and are not yet to be born, they will surely find not just the entire nation of 170 million behind them, but billions of peoples on this planet, including even the peace-loving from among the greatest “populist democracy” itself that is most assuredly “inimical to imperial mobilization”! When we artfully remove the fabricated 'threat' to their existence, they will surely also let us exist too!

But this must be executed upon before it is too late and we start witnessing the 'made in USA' “Shock and Awe” visitation – choreographed by only a handful of monumental criminals who have continually hijacked the promise of that great nation in every generation – upon every city, town and village in our wretched nation in the pretext of 'saving' us from the 'islamofascists'! And if that pretext
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fails to create a viable 'coalition of the willing', then to 'save' us from our own 'Sadaam Hussein'. This is what the manifest history on 'the Grand Chessboard' has taught me. Who is flying the F-16s or which uniform is driving the armored vehicles will soon become irrelevant as the outcome will be the same!

Let's 'dump all teas overboard' now to save Pakistan!

If we succeed, we would have saved the world by setting a very contagious global precedent!
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Abid Ullah Jan, the fearless former journalist from Pakistan living in forced exile in Canada, concludes his excellent analysis (evidently written circa 2003) with what should be self-evident fact of the matter for any honest intellectual, a rare breed in Pakistan:

“It is not that Musharraf does not know the following realities and he is only blinded by self-interest. It is simply that besides opportunism he is under Zionist influence and immense pressure. In short, General Musharraf is as helpless before the Zionist pressure and influence as is his nation before his brute force. He will remain so until he breathes his last or the Zionists achieve their objectives. There is absolutely no hope that he can release himself from Zionist clutches. As long as he remains entangled in the Zionist and the neo-cons web, as long as Pakistan remains under their influence, its sovereignty and independence will remain at stake.”

Also published by Media Monitors Network as *Pakistan: Zionist Influence Intensifies*, By Abid Ullah Jan - Monday, January 16th, 2006. The byline states: *This is an excerpt from the author’s latest book, *“The Musharraf Factor: Leading Pakistan to Inevitable Demise,” which explains the factors that have brought Pakistan to the edge of disaster.* [https://www.mediamonitors.net/perspectives/pakistan-zionist-influence-intensifies/](https://www.mediamonitors.net/perspectives/pakistan-zionist-influence-intensifies/)


[38] Download PDF of *From Dictatorship to Democracy* By Gene Sharp in at least 35 languages from [http://www.aeinstein.org/from-dictatorship-to-democracy/](http://www.aeinstein.org/from-dictatorship-to-democracy/)


[40] Battle at Kruger, how animals stand up to the hectoring hegemons of their jungle, [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LU8DDYz68kM](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LU8DDYz68kM)


[44] (Choudhary) Rahmat Ali's Pakistan Declaration, January 28, 1933, NOW OR NEVER: ARE WE TO LIVE OR PERISH FOR EVER?, link1: [https://www.mediamonitors.net/perspectives/now-or-never/](https://www.mediamonitors.net/perspectives/now-or-never/)


Chapter 31

Dialog among Civilizations:
Why talks fail? Part-1

March 16-17, 2007


You are forewarned that moral education can be quite poisonous to the mind, read at your own risk.

Abstract

This analysis explores why dialogs among civilizations continually fail to produce results by showcasing one short dialog as an illustrative example. The showcased dialog is meant to illustrate the depth of passion, preconceptions, and self-interests that often formulate opposing viewpoints such that if ever they come together for a discussion, they fail to communicate despite all the rationality and compassion they
Preamble: The Chance Meeting with a Zionist

This is a dialog between two friends, me, and my best friend from childhood, Harveyetta. Or simply Harvey, as I like to call her. Albeit she likes to call herself yetta. Harvey is a quintessential realist. She examines the world around her and only makes her conclusions based upon what she observes through her five senses, and only as a perfect Poisson process. What this means is that she soon forgets what she has seen or heard in the past, and concentrates on the reality du jour as it contemporaneously unfolds around her. So sometimes I also call her 'Ms. Reality'. Of course, as she also happens to be a 7 feet tall gorgeous female Pooka Rabbit who seems to have befriended me for no particular reason, the non sequitur does not bother me as much. She often disappears for years, and then sometimes shows up out of nowhere becoming my constant companion for days with no explanations for her absence; and I certainly ask for none fearful of learning of her infidelities in strange lands. Except that she always brings back the imprints of the region and the peoples that her travels have taken her, as her own manifest personality du jour. Thus sometimes I get a virtual tour of new peoples and new civilizations and often learn a lot during her short mercurial visits.

So when I serendipitously ran into her earlier today at a local cafe-cum-bookstore sipping Turkish coffee at a table all by herself, and apparently looking for a Fibonacci partner, I was ecstatic! The strangest thing was, no one could see her, but me - what a beautiful mind, huh?

Now me, I am the super surrealist, with an infinitely long memory. I never forget a thing, going as far back in time as the beginning of recorded history, and before that to the passing of verbal history, going all the way back to the Neanderthal period. Thus I am fully acquainted with the tools used to settle disputes over the past 100,000 years! Not a whole lot has changed since the club was
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discovered by our ancestors to beat the poor harmless Neanderthals into extinction. Thus I perceive the world unlike anyone else ever can, in its full surreal context, with what is kept veiled, as well as with what is made manifest. How much further apart can two friends be?

Even more interestingly, I am an ordinary plebeian of Muslim descent from Pakistan. Harvey is an atheist of blue blood lineage, and is at least 3000 years old, or so she claims! Her last visit seems to have been to the Holy Lands of Galilee in Canaan, for she was acting remarkably like a staunch Zionist du jour, as one might encounter for instance, at DanielPipes.org or Frontpagemag.com, rehearsing aloud the manifesto of 'clash of civilizations' but in the variation 'It's Not a Clash of Civilizations, It's a Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians' (see here1). In fact, Harvey amazingly seemed to be a strange amalgam of the neo-cons from AEI, Heritage, and Hudson busily lining the 'power-streets' in Washington DC, the liberal 'Left', and the conservative 'Right', all rolled into one 7 feet tall 'ubermensch' friend of Zion!

This time, unlike her previous serendipitous visitations, I had a hard time relating to her because she seemed to be very much against the Muslims, having learnt all kinds of 'things' about us. Being a Poisson process, she obviously had no conception of history, nor retained any lingering memories of her travels to vast lands and places over her 3000 year life span. Nevertheless, the moment she saw me, she hugged me quite excitedly as long lost friends, and we started chatting engagingly for several hours on everything under the Sun, except of course, her vast travels. The fact that she could always remember me and could always end up in the same place as me whenever she craved my company, I could only attribute to her fantastic infinite neuronal states in the Hilbert spaces of her hare brain that were apparently only perfectly Poisson when it suited her. And she immediately became my interlocutor!

I had been in Pakistan the previous year on the investigative & social-relief-work beat so to speak, and she especially quizzed me on the topics of 'Muslim terrorism', and Pakistan's role in creating 'evil jihadis', and 'why Pakistanis and Muslims hated Israel and the Jews?', and why Islam was such a 'terrorist religion?' and she blanketly asserted that 'it needed some major reforming in order to save the Western Civilization'!

Indeed, she rehearsed these thoughts so eloquently that I almost felt that the mighty oracle, Bernard Lewis, and perhaps even Daniel Pipes, were speaking through her (see here2 and here3 for BL, here1 for DP):

"The solution, said Dr. Daniel Pipes, is not to adopt the left-wing policies of discussion and appeasement, which he said were useless against this barbaric foe, but, rather, to defeat it and promote the emergence of an Islam that is “modern, moderate, democratic, humane, liberal, good neighborly, and respectful of women, homosexuals, atheists, and whoever else. One that grants non-Muslims equal rights with Muslims.” ... Radical Islam, sometimes called Islamism, is the problem, he said, moderate Islam is the solution.'

Since I am her exact opposite, I could trivially recall that her speech wasn't new at all, that there was indeed a familiar echo to it, as Moshe Katsav, Israel's former President had so dramatically pointed out about the stone throwing Palestinian Arabs living under Israeli military occupation:

“There is a huge gap between us (Jews) and our enemies not just in ability but
in morality, culture, sanctity of life, and conscience. They are our neighbors here, but it seems as if at a distance of a few hundred meters away, they are people who do not belong to our continent, to our world, but actually belong to different galaxy.” (Moshe Katsav, President of Israel, The Jerusalem Post, May 10, 2001)

And even before that, as I so easily recalled, at the time of the very founding of Der Judenstat in Palestine by its own erstwhile founder, while recalling in 1897 his achievement of the previous year:

“Were I to sum up the Basle Congress in a word-- which I shall Guard Against Pronouncing Publicly-- it would be this: At Basle I founded the Jewish State. If I said this out loud today, I would be answered by universal laughter. Perhaps in five years, and certainly in fifty, everyone will know it.

every time he presented his Zionist plans for Der Judenstat to the British gentiles to win over their favor in the expectant hope that

“The antisemites WILL BECOME our most loyal friends, the antisemites nations will become our allies”,

he would loudly pontificate:

“We can be the vanguard of culture against barbarianism” (Theodor Herzl, quoted in 'One Palestine, Complete' by Tom Segev, see here4)

Impervious to the fact that what she was freshly rehearsing was rather stale rehash for someone with infinite unerasable memory, Harvey also deftly asserted strong linkages between what Israel had been facing at the hands of Palestinian suicide bombers all these years and because of which she insisted that Israeli tanks were now in the West Bank in self-defense, and what the Americans faced on 911 at the hands of the suicided 'evil jihadis' and because of which the Americans were now in Iraq and Afghanistan also in self-defense, and soon, Zion willing, perhaps also in Iran. She asserted that now finally, the world would understand what Israel had been facing all these years on its own against a nefarious and barbaric enemy. I did inform her rather tepidly, not wanting to interrupt the outpouring of her new found personality, that Ehud Barak too had made the same comments to BBC that very evening, which was subsequently broadcast repeatedly on American television the very evening of 911 and thereafter.

We also talked about many aspects of 911, Palestine, the 'Left' - and Noam Chomsky as its undisputed and 'arguably the most important intellectual alive', neoliberalism and the World Bank, and neoconservatism and IMF. I made a statement that sounded rather bizarre to her: neoliberalism and neoconservatism are just two sides of the same imperial coin; and she asked me how that manifested itself today other than as just a fancy conspiracy theory?

In our friendly intercourse, I quickly realized that we both had started from different initial conditions, and we both were talking at different levels from very different comprehensions and information base. Her visit to the Holy Lands had predictably reoriented her, as per her Poisson characteristics, to the manifest reality that was perceived in Israel by the Israelis, and by the Americans in the United States.
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She was predictably focusing on what she saw on television, read in the newspapers, and experienced in her daily reality, that how evil Muslim terrorists had done 911, and Madrid, and London, and Pan Am 103, and how they had killed Daniel Pearl (www.danielpearl.org), and how she had attended Pearl's funeral and profusely cried when she read and heard about the beautiful relationship between the husband and wife that had so brutally been ended by 'evil jihadis' in Pakistan.

I casually asked her if she also cried when Palestinian homes were mowed down by Israelis and machine gun and sniper bullets riddled young children in the eyes and head (see here5) or as they were strip searched at Israeli checkpoints (see here6), or whether she had also cried upon hearing of Rachel Corrie's brutal murder under an Israeli army bulldozer in Gaza (see here7, here8, here9, here10, for how the world remembers her, and here11 for how David Horowitz's Frontpagemag remembered her on her first death anniversary and summed it up as 'a useful idiot for, and one more victim of, Palestinian terror'). Harvey didn't know much about Rachel Corrie, nor the fact that she was as Jewish as Daniel Pearl; and had no response to the Palestinians dead at the hands of Israeli occupation forces except to say that there are also plenty of empty place settings at dinner in Tel Aviv. But being genuinely concerned about my unfortunate failing of not being acquainted with Daniel Pearl's despicable murderers, Harvey immediately attempted to rectify it by gifting me 'A Mighty Heart', Daniel Pearl's sad story as told by his distraught and grieving widow, Mariane Pearl. Having instantly read it faster than the computer who wore tennis shoes, I too am burdened with sadness at the additional empty chair at the dinner table in yet another loving family.

On my part, I must frankly admit, at the time I had not paid much careful attention to the gruesome Daniel Pearl murder despite it being all pervasive and sensationalized in the media (and still is today). Hundreds of innocent but apparently 'less worthy' people are being killed daily in Iraq and Afghanistan by American made bombs, or in Pakistan by C4 explosives strapped to suicide bombers. To me, the 'worthier' American journalist dead by terrorist action was just one more skewed statistic - 1:10000 - all as the result of terrorist action by the pirates and the emperors respectively. And if I were to ask any run of the mill plebeian Pakistani, they'd pretty much opine the same way. I only knew of this unfortunate case sketchily that how the American had been lured by the pirates in Pakistan and brutally killed, and how quickly his murderers were caught and brought to justice.

But on the other hand, I had indeed attended the young 23 year old college student Rachel Corrie's funeral in 2003 (www.rachelcorrie.org), and remarkably, today, as Harvey and I were getting reacquainted, is also her fourth death anniversary. But few people in America even knew about it four years after the incident. I had also carefully read Rachel's detailed letters to her mother that had been made publicly available at her funeral in a booklet, in which Rachel explained what she was doing in Palestine (see here12). I had also shed a few real tears, and still do even today on her fourth anniversary, especially since so few tears have been shed in America for this extraordinary American Jewish girl who displayed the sort of unparalleled courage to stand up to an Israeli Army D9 Caterpillar bulldozer with a megaphone in hand, that one might perhaps only read in Biblical stories, aka David and Goliath. The fact that Rachel Corrie had voluntarily staged this supreme battle in the same Biblical Holy Lands, and in favor of a beleaguered peoples against her own peoples, had struck a definite chord within me. So Daniel Pearl out investigating a story on behalf of the emperor and is killed by the pirates, Rachel Corrie out protesting the crimes of the emperor and is killed by the emperor's army!

And perhaps it was indeed this crucial difference - Daniel Pearl killed by the pirates already
demonized, and Rachel Corrie killed by her own emperor's powerful occupying army that is fully funded and directly supported by the world's supreme glorified might whose every action is beyond reproach and only an act of preemptive self defense to perpetuate its 'preeminence' - that had emotionally and spiritually attracted me to the Moral-Activism of this young woman who dared to teach the uncourageously spectating silent world a lesson of Biblical proportions. And I have since been waiting for her story to also be told in the American nation with the same humanizing fervor, and her murderers also brought to justice with the same wrathful judgment.

Indeed, I am still waiting for the stories of all the thousands and thousands of innocent victims of collective punishments and 'collateral damage' to also be told, their deaths mourned, their kin 'adequately compensated', and their perpetrators brought to 'justice'. And I often wonder what that 'adequate compensation' and 'justice' could even possibly be! I still haven't figured out the difference between the innocent being mercilessly butchered by a jihadi suicide bomber, a bomb dropped from an American F-16, and an Israeli army D9 Bulldozer. What does it matter to the innocent terrorized victims, who is the source of their terror, or at whose murderous hands they meet their maker? Is it really more honorable to be murdered one way than another? Nor have I figured out why some victims are more worthy of sympathy and mourning, and others not. Why some get more press coverage and their stories repeatedly told, and others quite ignored, or merely mentioned in statistics. It's not that they don't have husbands and wives and children and parents! In the Pearl vs. Corrie case, both were Jewish, and both were Americans, and both have families; what caused one to stay in the news persistently, and the other hardly mentioned except for a few fully 'Poisson-articles' devoid of perspective right after the event? And I frankly still wrestle with why some murderers are called 'terrorists', and others not.

Unable to answer these questions myself, being only of limited and humble plebeian intelligence, I had started searching for answers in the wisdom of others many years ago, in carefully dissecting history, and in the plenitude of intellectual capital that is freely and quite easily available to anyone in this society who seeks it.

Whereas I sensed that the highly astute Harvey clearly wasn't interested in asking these questions. She only saw one terrorist, the one showed to her on television, the one she said she was acquainted with through her own experience in the Holy Lands, and in Washington. I surmised that she had passed through Washington before visiting me and perhaps lived on 'power-street'. She insisted that 'radical Islamic terrorists' were on a rampage inexplicably, reciting a long history of selective terrorism that she had apparently only recently acquired on her trip to the Holy Lands, from Pan Am 103 to 7/7, and wondered what was going on in Pakistan that was creating these 'Islamicist terrorists', and that something had to be done about it right away or the existence of the entire Western civilization would be jeopardized. An eminently reasonable proposition as commonly projected in the American and Western society by its all pervasive news media and intellectuals. Thus she had every right to be concerned about terrorism as indeed must all normal peaceable peoples, the fact that Harvey is only a Pooka notwithstanding!

And this dichotomy of emphasis revealed to me that some common ground had to be established. As it was, I sensed Harvey was describing the leaves, and I was tracing past the roots into the very DNA of the tree. I even pointed to a physical tree and suggested as much to her. There is no denying that leaves exist, anymore than there can be any denying that the color of the leaves is solely determined by the DNA, and in order to grow the leaves, one has to water the roots of the tree and
carefully nurture it, and more importantly, it requires a gardener and someone to pay the gardener who in turn may be employed by someone else as the visible paymaster of the gardener.

To me, the real question has always been, who are the invisible paymasters and where does the buck start and stop? While some call this 'conspiracy theories', I prefer to call it 'covert-operations' and 'primacy and its geostrategic imperatives'. The art and science of investigating current affairs as breaking events unfold themselves, without getting bogged down by the deliberate and 'endless trail of red herrings' strewn along the way, is to keep the historical perspective continually in focus as one tries to make sense of things happening so close in time that one often cannot bring perspective to bear on it otherwise.

Who could have thought of Iran-Contra covert-op of the 1980s in which in order to continually get the two brotherly Muslim nations of Iran and Iraq to keep killing each other for eight long years, both sides were continually armed. Iraq officially with Rumsfeld vigorously pumping Saddam Husain's hand, and Iran covertly by the CIA drug running in South America and providing arms from those proceeds to Iran, along with Israel being the only other covert arms supplier to this beleaguered nation of Iran at the time. Incredible you say? Undeniable recorded facts of history. Had it not been revealed through scandalous disclosures, it would have appeared quite fantastic a conspiracy theory to the uninitiated. When one 'wage[s] war by way of deception', the deception part is to put layers of cloak over the 'covert-operation' which is the 'war'.

And some of the best cloaking devices have been invented by the most brilliant minds - here is one for instance from Ezra Pound: 'invent two lies and have the public keep arguing which one of them might be true'. Another is by Leo Strauss – the erudite teacher of the majority of the neo-cons - called 'Noble Lies' and it can be quickly understood here13. A third by the White House, often referred to as 'plausible deniability', okay may be it was invented by the DIA, the grand-daddy of all intelligence agencies. This thinly veiled euphemism for deception to protect the leadership if things go badly in covert-operations became public knowledge during the Iran-Contra scandal, the televised coverage of which had gripped the American nation for months, including myself. What are these conspiracies, if not covert-operations?

Possessing infinite unerasable memory, the previous covert-ops are indelibly etched upon it, like: Operation Ajax, Operation Mockingbird, Operation MKULTRA, Cointelpro, Islamic Jihad or the CIA Intervention in Afghanistan, Charlie Wilson's War, CIA's Secret Army, Pan Am 103 Libya or covert-op?, The Lavon Affair (also history for dummies here), The Other Side of Deception, Israeli Spy Ring Scandal, Israel's Sacred Terrorism from The personal diary of Moshe Sharett (also confessions of an Arab Jew here), etcetera, etcetera, etcetera. The final chapters on Pan Am 103 have not yet been written, as with the Israeli Spy Ring in America which seems to have become an ongoing bizarre saga in the American-Israeli marriage-of-ideological-convenience and political-mistrust landscape. The CIA's secret Army, the SOG, is a capability; we shall only hear of their nefarious exploits in a few years just as we know of operation Ajax and the Lavon Affair today as common knowledge!

So are all these conspiracy theories or covert-operations? Actually both. In the public discourse, they are lumped in with kookish conspiracy theories to escape timely detection when something can be done about them, and they become covert-ops once they are discovered, or sufficient time has lapsed to make it inconsequential if it gets known - all too late!
Indeed World War II was launched with a covert-op: Operation Canned Goods. World War III was ended with a covert-op: *The CIA's Intervention in Afghanistan*, and was the 'World War IV' also begun with a covert-op? Only an adversely indoctrinated mind would accept the fundamentalist proposition of blindly trusting the popular Government sponsored mantra du jour without even examining their largely uncontested axioms, only to write erudite papers later with an all knowing cynical nod of how Governments use deception to prosecute their otherwise untenable agendas. But when the cynicism is actually needed, it is not too surprisingly, invariably absent.

Given the top secrecy that surrounds covert-operations, how could anyone from the public ever experientially know the skullduggery and subterfuges while they are going on - they are covert by definition and hence not easily knowable by the public!

One will certainly not see a successful one on CNN, or read about it in Time magazine while they are occurring! And in order to seek them out, one at least first has to acknowledge that they could exist given their Machiavellian empirical evidence that only conveniently emerges in a retrospective after the dastardly deeds are fait accompli, and secondly, go in search of them through much intellectual vigor and detective pursuits. That is the only way to uncover them, or to even legitimately suspect that the probability of their existence is non-zero. The only way to know for sure however, or to find the smoking gun while the iron is hot, is for someone courageous like Daniel Ellsberg to leak the new 'Pentagon Papers' ([http://ellsberg.net](http://ellsberg.net)).

Unlike the Pink Panther however, the covert-operatives today rarely if ever leave their calling cards behind; most vestiges are kept verbal, and the rest shredded or classified under 'National Security imperative'. The public exposure of the Iran-Contra Affair brought that home in spades to the American peoples, except for their short term memories; as did the leaking of the 'Pentagon Papers' and Oliver North's otherwise efficient secretary's botched-up shredding job bring it home to the Pentagon and the White House, and they have surely gainfully employed these lessons in their subsequent covert-ops and the passing of the Patriot Acts!

Historians uncovering monumental crimes 20-30-50-100 years later when things are eventually declassified post fait accompli, does nothing to stop these monumental crimes while they are happening, and nor does it bring back the victims once they are dead! The only useful thing lessons of history do, apart from making its authors rich peddling their narratives post fait accompli, is give clear heads ups to rational peoples for next times around.

Well, we already have plenty of heads ups from the many previous times around - the fact of our convenient short term memories not withstanding. Only recently we saw the WMD deception so unconvincingly enacted on the shadow screen, and yet gobbled up by the populace. This is what the 2005 Presidential Commission on intelligence failure, Iraq Study Group, disingenuously concluded in its March 31st report (see [here14](#)): 

“We conclude that the intelligence community was dead wrong in almost all of its prewar judgments about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. This was a major intelligence failure,”

The precedence of Gulf of Tonkin is of such immediate and pressing concern that even the honorable Ron Paul, the maverick Republican from Texas, noted only a few weeks ago on the House floor ([Jan 2007 speech here15](#)): 

Go To TOC2
“The truth is that Iran, like Iraq, is a third-world nation without a significant military. Nothing in history hints that she is likely to invade a neighboring country, let alone America or Israel. I am concerned, however, that a contrived Gulf of Tonkin- type incident may occur to gain popular support for an attack on Iran.”

Thus to ignore pretexts is to condemn a new generation of victims to death! Therefore, to not ask whether this can be the present: ‘9/11 and the ‘War on Terrorism’, and dissect the point cause that became the pretext for this lifelong perpetual war ingeniously labeled 'World War IV': 'A Physics Professor Speaks Out on 9-11', and critically and rationally examine the rebuttal to its critics keeping unconscionable self-interests out of it: '9/11 and The New Pearl Harbor', is not just being complicitly ignorant, but monumentally criminal with the blood of millions of innocent upon ones' hands!

Indeed, those who deliberately distract from pursuing such investigations, and those who deliberately keep dropping 'the endless trail of red herrings', are directly complicit in the aiding and abetting in the commission of monumental crimes against humanity!

In vain, the clarion call of conscience, 'never again'?

Thus if it is axiomatically asserted that there is no such thing as a real conspiracy theory, then that really works wonderfully in the interest of the cloak-makers because it makes one forget the perspectives of history.

And with the short term memory of the modern generation, especially in America, this works great - only allege conspiracy theory and history vanishes from the consciousness. Hence I am always suspicious when axioms are put forth that are beyond scrutiny. More such 'fundamentalist' unexamined axioms are thrust into my face, the more curious I get. And in case of 911 and all its aftermath, it was interesting for me to note how many students of Leo Strauss, the father of 'Noble Lies', were the direct influence peddlers and the prime architects of war, both in Afghanistan, and in Iraq, the perpetual war. See 'Noble lies and perpetual war: Leo Strauss, the neocons, and Iraq'.

And it was even more remarkable to me that the duration of this new perpetual war coincidentally just happened to match the period that had been noted was available to the United States to mold the world according to its own geostrategic advantage before a new multi-polar world would emerge to create a new détente. I had not only endeavored to read their own words very carefully, but gone all the way back to their teachers by some generations, to the very edge of time, to uncover the underpinnings of their ideologies that had largely originated in Europe and brought to the shores of this Republic by the remnant ideologues of previous European empires.

Such remarkable intellectual capital - it is indeed quite an education! And surprisingly, it is freely available to anyone who has the patience and the acumen to seek it; a generous harvest of Western freedoms, resources, and leisure time. And indeed, with only a few notable exceptions, a majority of the modern intellectual descendants of this wave of white Europeans that reached the shores of America in the early twentieth century, interestingly, also exhibit very open Zionist aspirations that somehow are remarkably always displayed quite publicly, never hidden. Indeed, Ariel Sharon had himself openly boasted so on Israeli radio to Shimon Perez as they probably argued over to what further extent they could visit more of their Zionist munificence upon the beleaguered Palestinians:
“Every time we do something you tell me America will do this and will do that. 
. . I want to tell you something very clear: Don't worry about American pressure 
on Israel. We, the Jewish people, control America, and the Americans know it.”
(Ariel Sharon heard on Israeli radio while talking to Shimon Perez in October 2001)

To me, all manifest events post 911, given all the intellectual narratives that had unabashedly 
been put forth in public view and never kept hidden unlike in past totalitarian systems, plainly 
indicated that two birds were being killed with one stone. The superpower geostrategic agenda and the 
Zionist agenda had conveniently lined up in the hegemonic service of 'empire'. I could easily witness 
this undeniable imperial primacy imperatives of the former so devilishly at play post 911 in the guise 
of ‘war on terrorism' here16, here17, here18, here19, here20, here21, here22, here23, also here24, 
here25; and here26, here27, here28 for the none to subtle agendas of the latter. Both, sharing the same 
exponents (and I endeavored to preserve their distinguished names here29 for a 'Robert H. Jackson' to 
arise some day, see here30), and united by the Machiavellian mechanism so astutely voiced by Ben 
Gurion:

“what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times”!

What is this 'empire' (catch a glimpse here31 and here32 for EHMs tell it, and of course must see 
here33 for President George Bush tell it)? How did it manifest itself (see here34 for a self-paced 
study course)?

Recalling Thomas Friedman's now incredibly famous quote from 'Manifesto for a Fast World':

'The hidden hand of the market will never work without a hidden fist -- 
McDonald's cannot flourish without McDonnell Douglas, the builder of the F-15. And the hidden fist that keeps the world safe for Silicon Valley's 
technologies is called the United States Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine 
Corps. “Good ideas and technologies need a strong power that promotes those 
ideas by example and protects those ideas by winning on the battlefield,” says 
the foreign policy historian Robert Kagan. “If a lesser power were promoting 
our ideas and technologies, they would not have the global currency that they 
have. And when a strong power, the Soviet Union, promoted its bad ideas, they 
had a lot of currency for more than half a century.”', (Thomas L. Friedman, 
New York Times March 28, 1999, see here35)

was it 'suddenly, a time to lead' for President George Bush merely a serendipitous happenstance as 
Norman Podhoretz presented 'In Praise of the Bush Doctrine'? Or was it indeed time to nudge the 
'market' along to win the jackpot Grand Prize for a new 'Pax Americana'?

The unanswered questions that weren't being asked, and are still not being asked, concerning 
the primal enabling event for all this (see here36, here37, and here38) were killing me enough that I 
had re-read William Shirer's voluminous masterpiece 'Rise and Fall of the Third Reich' soon after 911 
as bombs had started to descend on Afghanistan in a profoundly surreal algebra of infinite justice' that 
clearly brought home statesman extraordinaire, Henry Kissinger's realpolitik honesty (or one often 
attributed to him): 'it can be deadly to be America's enemy, it is fatal to be its friend'. As Winston
Churchill had shrewdly noted: 'In wartime, truth is so precious that she should always be attended by a body guard of lies' (see secretsofwar), how hard was it really to separate the secretive mistress from her public guardians and witness her naked beauty or abhorrent ugliness, first hand?

Was I just being delusional, given that my best friend is a 7 ft. tall Pooka Rabbit that no one else can see, or were the perspectives of history and a bit of rational commonsense trying to teach a lesson before it was too late - one day at a time? A time to act? But act how? The whole world was and is fighting the 'war on terrorism' against the 'Islamic evil jihadis' that President Bush says 'I don't think you can win it' (see interview here39).

But they must persist in fighting it precisely in the same way to create more of it, until the new détente arrives on the Grand Chessboard as predicted by Brzezinski that it invariably shall, when the World War IV will indeed miraculously vanish into a new multi-polar world, once again effectively stalemating each other with 'MAD'ness! His shrewd wisdom of realpolitik from his book explains how to make the “sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power” congenial to the palate of a “populist democracy” in order “to perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer” as its only window of opportunity:

“It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.... Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat... More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.” (Zbigniew Brzezinski in 'The Grand Chessboard', New York, Basic Books, 1997)

Indeed, “That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment” to keep fighting a perpetual war, namely, against 'radical Islam' and the 'evil jihadis'. The latter will very likely be made to magically disappear and dismissed as some inconsequential 'stirred up Moslems' once again when the geostrategic imperatives have been achieved, just as they were magically conjured up to win World War III by the CIA to start with!

Brzezinski, or perhaps his venerable ghost will proudly appear in the year 2038, and will once again glibly claim:

'Regret what? That secret operation was an excellent idea. What is most important to the history of the world? Some stirred-up Moslems or the conquest
of Eurasia and its natural wealth and stalemating China at the end of the war on terrorism? It is said that the West had a global policy in regard to Islam. That is stupid. There isn't a global Islam. Look at Islam in a rational manner and without demagoguery or emotion. It is the leading religion of the world with 1.5 billion followers. But what is there in common among Saudi Arabian fundamentalism, moderate Morocco, Pakistan militarism, Egyptian pro-Western or Central Asian secularism? Nothing more than what unites the Christian countries.' (Noted by a future historian in 2038 at the conclusion of World War IV)

The following is what Zbigniew Brzezinski had confessed ten years after the conclusion of World War III, in 1998 in an interview:

'B: Regret what? That secret operation was an excellent idea. It had the effect of drawing the Russians into the Afghan trap and you want me to regret it? The day that the Soviets officially crossed the border, I wrote to President Carter. We now have the opportunity of giving to the USSR its Vietnam war. Indeed, for almost 10 years, Moscow had to carry on a war unsupportable by the government, a conflict that brought about the demoralization and finally the breakup of the Soviet empire.

Q: And neither do you regret having supported the Islamic fundamentalism, having given arms and advice to future terrorists?

B: What is most important to the history of the world? The Taliban or the collapse of the Soviet empire? Some stirred-up Moslems or the liberation of Central Europe and the end of the cold war?' (Interview of President Jimmy Carter's National Security Advisor Zbigniew Brzezinski on CIA's covert Intervention in Afghanistan in 1979 - given to the French magazine Le Nouvel Observateur, Paris, 15-21, January 1998, see here 40)

And the world will merely spectate on in 2038, just as it did in 1998 when the covert operation that led to the destruction of Afghanistan as a consequence of 'giving to the USSR its Vietnam war' at the mere expense of 'some stirred-up Moslems' was revealed. The new generation of erudite scholars will hurriedly compose their distant remorseless histories of faits accomplis of how the 'world was craftily won' as the desired stratagem on the Grand Chessboard was trivially purchased with the tabula rasa of innocent peoples who did all the suffering and dying for the 'Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives' of the handful of 'Hectoring Hegemons' in Washington:

“U.S. Policy goals must be un-apologetically twofold: to perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer.”

To me, this efficient reuse of the same 'contraception' device seemed incredibly original, which perhaps only the modern day Straussian imperial thinkers could have possibly conjured up - giving the devil its due - use it effectively one way, then turn it inside out and still make it work even more effectively a second time!
First ABUSE ISLAM one way with “God is on your side” (it is shocking to see Brzezinski goad on Afghan mujahideen to 'Islamic jihad' here41, and Ronald Reagan gleefully honor them at the White House as “moral equivalent of America's founding fathers” for their wonderful 'jihad' against the 'evil' Soviet empire here42) to screw a competing superpower from the backside to win 'World War III' at the expense of “Some stirred-up Moslems”.

Then, dexterously turn the same “stirred-up Moslems” inside out after 'day-1' of god's work is done and 'radicalism' that was so carefully nurtured throughout the 1980s has finally taken firm root in the wild untamed frontiers of that region at a heavy price to the indigenous peoples themselves, and MAKE IT WORK AGAIN on 'day-2' in the service of empire in a new Great Game by fanning its mutated form for now achieving 'full spectrum dominance' (see Chapter 3 of Joint Vision 2020 available here18 or here43) in the guise of fighting 'RADICAL ISLAM' (see here44) in a perpetual 'World War IV' (see etymology here45, more details here46) because shrewdly enough, “democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization ... except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being'! And not to forget that the much coveted military 'transformation' for 'full spectrum dominance' and 'imperial mobilization' by the 'military-industrial complex' required a dramatic increase in defense spending which had lamentably dwindled after the Cold War, and an increase in which wasn't possible unless “some catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor'!

'Genesis' did take 6 days – we are only into day-2 of its 'transformative' re-genesis for 'full spectrum dominance' by some of god's choicest chosen peoples!

Thus the surreality behind the 'war on terrorism', and the reasons for the on going 'doctrinal motivation' of maligning Islam - a world's great religion of 1.5 billion peoples - by the despicable ideological drum beaters like Daniel Pipes and Bernard Lewis et. al. who hide behind the legal covers of academic freedom of speech to spread hatred and fear in order to continue making the 'sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power' congenial to the peoples of their 'populist democracy', is only as secret as clicking here16 to read the American Mein Kampf Part-II – 'Rebuilding America's Defenses' September 2000, a Report of the Project for the New American Century (see here47):

“Until the process of transformation is treated as an enduring military mission – worthy of constant allocation of dollars and forces – it will remain stillborn. ... Further, the process of transformation, even if it brings revolutionary change, is likely to be a long one, absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor”

“The Price of American Preeminence: The program we advocate – one that would provide America with forces to meet the strategic demands of the world's sole superpower – requires budget levels to be increased to 3.5 to 3.8 percent of the GDP.”

“... Also this expanding perimeter argues for new overseas bases and forward operating locations to facilitate American political and military operations around the world.”

“... Keeping the American peace requires the U.S. Military to undertake a broad
array of missions today and rise to very different challenges tomorrow, ...”

Keeping the American peace – indeed! The American Mein Kampf Part-1 noted it similarly:

“... the ultimate objective of American policy should be benign and visionary:
to shape a truly cooperative global community.” (The Grand Chessboard, 1997)

Hitler too merely wanted to keep the 'German peace'! And the Israelis too similarly only want to keep the 'Zionist peace' (as noted here28), and both the hectoring hegemons du jour 'truly' wish to 'shape' a 'cooperative global community' cooperating with them on their terms so that Thomas Friedman's euphemistic 'hidden hand' can stay ready-but-sheathed - unless some obdurate nations or a spirited peoples dare to not be a part of their suzerainty - since it “is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation.”

And of course also since 'that exercise requires' a lot of extra coordinated work at all levels on the 'doctrinal motivation', 'intellectual commitment' and 'patriotic gratification' fronts along with suitable 'conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being' existing. Or propagandistically crafted, as was so audaciously instrumented keeping a straight Washingtonian face with the 'WMD' mantra for setting up the Iraq invasion in 2002-2003 before its own gullible peoples; and for the rest of the world, 'its capacity for military intimidation' was unsheathed with the Goebbellian 'either you are with us, or with the terrorists' threat!

But all of this extra work is of course still preferable due to the “Reaganite policy of military strength and moral clarity” at opportune moments as dictated by the “primacy and its geostrategic imperatives” of the lone superpower because, primarily, the “victor will not be asked afterward whether he told the truth or not” (Hitler)! In the invasion of a systematically disarmed sitting duck, or a lame duck, by the world's most fearsome nuclear armed military might, victory is always imagined to be a sure bet! Darth Vader could not have imagined an easier victory with his Death-Star!

The lead chief American prosecutor at the Nuremberg Military Tribunals had found it so easy to un-hesitatingly condemn the spectating world and the 'Good Germans' for their ignorance of Hitler's plans after the Nazis had been comprehensively defeated:

“The plans of Adolf Hitler for aggression were just as secret as Mein Kampf, of which over six million copies were published in Germany”, (Justice Robert H. Jackson in his closing speech at Nuremberg, on Friday, 7/26/1946: Morning Session: Part 3, in Trial of the Major War Criminals before the International Military Tribunal. See here30)

and indicted the Nazis so unequivocally for their aggression by passing death sentences:

“We charge unlawful aggression but we are not trying the motives, hopes, or frustrations which may have led Germany to resort to aggressive war as an instrument of policy. The law, unlike politics, does not concern itself with the good or evil in the status quo, nor with the merits of the grievances against it. It merely requires that the status quo be not attacked by violent means and that policies be not advanced by war. We may admit that overlapping ethnological
and cultural groups, economic barriers, and conflicting national ambitions created in the 1930's, as they will continue to create, grave problems for Germany as well as for the other peoples of Europe. We may admit too that the world had failed to provide political or legal remedies which would be honorable and acceptable alternatives to war. We do not underwrite either the ethics or the wisdom of any country, including my own, in the face of these problems. But we do say that it is now, as it was for sometime prior to 1939, illegal and criminal for Germany or any other nation to redress grievances or seek expansion by resort to aggressive war.”

“But justice in this case has nothing to do with some of the arguments put forth by the defendants or their counsel. We have not previously and we need not now discuss the merits of all their obscure and tortuous philosophy. We are not trying them for the possession of obnoxious ideas. It is their right, if they choose, to renounce the Hebraic heritage in the civilization of which Germany was once a part. Nor is it our affair that they repudiated the Hellenic influence as well. The intellectual bankruptcy and moral perversion of the Nazi regime might have been no concern of international law had it not been utilized to goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers. It is not their thoughts, it is their overt acts which we charge to be crimes. Their creed and teachings are important only as evidence of motive, purpose, knowledge, and intent.”


That one is left to wonder if that is what it takes for the 'Mein Kampfs' du jour and the “goosestep[ing] the Herrenvolk across international frontiers” into Afghanistan and Iraq, and perhaps now into Iran, to be unequivocally recognized and condemned as such?

That this appears to be true even when the aggression planners un-apologetically call themselves “hectoring Hegemons” in the characteristic ‘in your face’ arrogance of all chauvinist 'ubermensch' as they continue to rehearse the 'doctrinal motivation' of 'war on terrorism' against 'radical Islam' for the public in order to keep sending America's patriotic sons and daughters to their slaughter, never mind what they do to the 'lesser' peoples 'goosestep[ing] the Herrenvolk across international frontiers', should be disconcerting for any non-hare brained person in the world, but especially for the American public themselves.

The following is a snapshot of 'Document Summary' of the PDF property of 'Rebuilding America's Defenses'. The author's field says it all (see here29 for a detailed expansion of this author's field and their various doctrinal contributions to what only Dr. Goebbels would feel proud, as in here48):
What will it take for the un-courageous spectating world to call a spade a spade? A victor's justice?

The power of 'Noble Lies', and the 'ubermensch' imperatives of its Nietzschean exponents that blinds the commonsense of any 'Good Germans'!

Those able to see through this thin charade plainly, and are conscionable activists enough to want to protest or speak-out, are being systematically marginalized with various labels, from 'conspiracy theorists' to 'trouble makers', to perhaps even 'terrorists' with the blessings of the New USA Patriot Acts as the new legal cover.

Indeed, the FBI and the Homeland Security agents themselves had shown up at my home, twice, just before the 'hidden hand of the market' was once again about to unload its gentle largess of 'operation Iraqi Freedom' in 2003, to question me, ostensibly in hot pursuit of some unknown 'terrorists' whose name 'string matched' mine in a few letters of the alphabet - or so they said. I had been covering all the major protest marches at that time, being both participant and very visible photographer, and usually in the very front row, right behind the police lines and often chatting with them developing a rapport and friendship in preemptive self-defense just in case some agent provocateur decided that the protests were too darn peaceful! It was trivial to ID me - as I wasn't making any attempts to keep a low profile, to the contrary, cut an interesting and very visible figure with three small kids in tow in the very first row, juggling the camera gear and their small hands with tears of anguish down my cheeks for another defenseless civilians about to experience American 'shock and awe'.

And it was as a consequence of their unwelcome visit to my home that broke the camels back, so to speak, and I penned my first book in April 2003 in an Herculean night and day effort where the
words just seemed to flow effortlessly like a dam burst as Baghdad burned with Colin Powell's 'Shock and Awe' and the Euphrates turned red with the blood of the innocent. The smoke and mirrors deception was all too obvious to me, but not to the American peoples. At the time, no publisher picked up my manuscript, six outright rejected it (among the seven who responded, about two dozen didn't bother to respond), and this despite a generous letter of commendation written by the famous American Historian, Howard Zinn, on my behalf? And in 2005, the Iraq Study Group reached the same findings after the dastardly crime was fait accompli and a civilization lay in ruins, but quite disingenuously couched it merely as oops, 'a major intelligence failure'!

An ordinary person, moi, smarter than all of America's and Britain's vast intelligence agencies with their billions of dollars in funds and spyware to monitor and surveil the globe? When did that happen? Is Alice awake or asleep?

How could I possibly explain all this years of accumulated hysteresis and silent anguish in my surreal brain to my realist hare-brained best friend as she impatiently questioned me, even if only as a glimpse into my own surreal world but nevertheless still like jumping into the middle of a fast paced Tom Clancy or Dan Brown novel, and have any realistic expectations of the sweet thing believing me?

Harvey's long sojourn in the Holy Lands had entirely bestowed upon her a different world view, one of whatever that was plainly manifest on the shadow screen: 19 'evil jihadis', stone throwing Palestinians, Israel under siege, 'radical Islam', 'militant Islam', and a massively nuclear armed superpower put under orange alert Defcon-10 by a man on a dialysis machine from an underground cave 20,000 miles away! It was exactly as if Hitler had come back from his shallow grave to reassert:

"[I will] give a propagandist reason for starting the war [and don't] mind whether it was plausible or not. The victor will not be asked afterward whether he told the truth or not. In starting and waging a war it is not the right that matters, but victory." (Adolph Hitler)

Except that the new version was even more potent. There was a real devastating 911 enabler to back it up! Was it another operation 'Canned Goods'? Especially since it became sacrilegious to even think this question starting the very day it happened, that how could it have possibly happened? People still look at you funny today six and a half years later if you raise it in polite company, think you are a kook, and wanna have nothing to do with you. Even your best intellectual friends get angry at you, as did one very prominent and brilliant Pakistani theoretical physicist who has several degrees from MIT and claims to have been a major anti-war activist in the 1970s and continually posits himself as the quintessential gadfly. He 'scolded' me and even refused to read an earlier version of this presentation that I had sent him for his kind comments.

The biggest names whom I had been inspired by all my life, left me standing alone on the most pivotal question regarding the first cause enabler of the most momentous monumental international crime of naked aggression against defenseless nations that can ever be faced by anyone in their life that they could actually do something about to unravel and stop dead in its tracks while it is still occurring.

Even Noam Chomsky, my erstwhile distinguished professor when I was a student at MIT, 'arguably the most important intellectual alive' according to the epithet adorned on him by the New York Times, refrained from discussing the 'How' and focused on the 'Why' in his best selling booklet
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'911' that became the international 'cliff-notes-to-911' from supposedly the 'chief dissenting priest' in the West. Indeed, all of a sudden, all the major well known intellectuals of the proverbial 'dissent space', after spending a lifetime drawing attention to the lies and deceit of incantations of power, discovered the new religion of trust and faith in the statements coming out of the Pentagon and the White House concerning 911. Many of them have surely made incredible wealth writing and selling books outlining various scenarios on 'Why' 911 occurred wherein not a single one asks 'How could it have possibly occurred in the first place?' Perhaps they may donate 100% of their proceeds to the widows and families of the victims of 911 worldwide?

Most 'experts' have now miraculously become exponents of Harvey's own original intellectual contribution to this discussion space, the 'chaos theory', as the likely 'how'. Even the distinguished journalist whom almost everyone touts as the most profound and courageous journalist of our time, the fearless Robert Fisk, tepidly stays away from 'conspiracy theories' and continually keeps reminding his audience about the 'why' part, never the 'how' part for which even he takes the word of the war party who benefited the most from the shocking crime of 911. Indeed, in 2003, I had publicly put this question before Robert Fisk in the question answer session after his talk, and his deflecting response so indelibly etched in my infinite memory: 'I live in the land of conspiracy theories [in Beirut, Lebanon, but he meant Arabs in general have this proclivity], and since there is no concrete evidence to demonstrate there is one [covert-operation or some complicity due to ample pre-warning as had been noted by the French writers Brisard and Dasquié in 'Forbidden Truth', 2002], I am not going to go there'!

Right! If a journalist of the caliber and reputation of Robert Fisk won't go there until there is evidence in hand, then he can conveniently wait for fait accompli before he will receive his evidence on a silver platter from the state's declassification engine 50 years later to write and sell more books! If all that the 'empire' has to fear are 'dissenting priests' like Chomsky and Fisk, the imperial planners in a 'populist democracy' are in fat city. I had in fact stopped reading these guys' erudite works of 'literature' once this realization had dawned upon me in 2003. Arundhati Roy had once written about Noam Chomsky as the very lonely person for his dissent. While that may certainly have been true in the past, Chomsky and Harvey today both enjoy a great circle of very influential friends in Washington from Donald Rumsfeld to Bernard Lewis and Daniel Pipes et. al., all of whom willingly back up their faith in the Government's version of 911 of a surprised invasion from abroad by '19 evil jihadis' (see 'Responsibility of Intellectuals - Redux' and 'Open Letter to Amnesty International, USA' on the useless facade of dissent and its ineffectual outcome in the absence of penetrating focus on the 'right order bits').

Therefore, how could I possibly address all of my sweet long-eared companion's pointed questions when we none-too-surprisingly shared none of the same axioms? The leaves through the DNA to the king-makers are just way too many layers to comprehend simplistically for a 'realist' who acquires her reality from the shadow play being concocted on the shadow screen as axiomatic, and even any questioning attitude deftly skirts around fundamental unexamined axioms as the extent of intellectual 'free thinking' debate on the matter. It is thus impossible to try to explain such matters in an animated conversation to a self-righteous Pooka just returning from living in the Holy Lands and expect to achieve any degree of coherency or congruency.

Thus I felt both of us somehow had to start from the same 'initial condition', i.e., from the same level of abstraction in a hierarchy of levels, i.e., both parties had to have at least the same minimal
knowledge base of facts and data, in order to construct a foundation upon which reasoned logical
discussion could stand coherently. And this foundation had to be laid brick by brick, ab-initio, with no
unexamined and unscrutinized axioms.

How to do that? My hare brained friend is easily distractible, and can never concentrate long
enough to carry an argument down to its very axioms and then to critically examine the axioms
themselves. Harvey has lots of axioms. And I suppose, I too have them.

So I invited Harvey into undertaking a joint study, in a study-group between the two of us, as
rational scientists, magically transported to Mars and transformed into the March Hare and the Mad
Hatter looking down upon the Earth and the earthlings to figure out what the hell is really going on as
a black box. Look at what's observable, and come up with a model of the black box transfer function to
explain what is making it so. Some also call it science when applied to physical phenomenon. Some
call it engineering when analyzing complex systems. And some call it medicine when studying the
manifest symptoms to diagnose the un-apparent disease. But when applied to political primacy and its
shenanigans, it acquires a new name, conspiracy theories. Nevertheless, science, engineering, and
medicine it is. But its difficulty is inherent when trying to observe things related to self where it can
cut a bit challenging. Indeed, this idea, not original to me, I have tried to use myself when I am looking
at something that I am emotionally biased towards, in order to adjudicate on the matter rationally,
fairly, and with no a priori axioms that are beyond scrutiny. I move to Mars. Not an easy thing to do,
and I only have mixed successes with it.

Thus I am never fully convinced for instance - as a mere ordinary plebeian not claiming the
intellectual prowess of the 'ubermensch' atheists who know it all to deny what they don't perceive, to
themselves, and deny what they do perceive, to others - that my being a Muslim is an indoctrination of
my culture and upbringing, or is it my deliberate choice based on my own half-assed study and
superficial reflection. If I was born a Jew or Christian or Hindu, or even Zionist, would I have still
become a Muslim? Only then I could, with veracity and weight, assert to my own mind that yes I have still
become a Muslim? Only then I could, with veracity and weight, assert to my own mind that yes I have
chosen my world view rationally and my causes deliberately, and it isn't indoctrination or socialization
effects. The same thing is true for nationalism, flag-waving 'united we stand' and 'with us or against
us' doctrinal motivators to rally around 'war on terrorism' for a lifetime of wars, and Zionism vs.
Palestinian issue of justice and fairness where the Palestinian massacre is happening daily right before
the very eyes of the 'civilized world' even as I write this, but no one stops it. Those who are Zionist
want one thing, Palestinians want another, each is beleaguered, one somewhat more than the other, but
who is right?

What is 'right'? What is just? What is fair? What is moral? Who is victim and who is aggressor?
Or is there even a moral dimension to supremacy, to hegemony, to the Nietzschean 'ubermensch'
morality of 'might is right'?

How can these things be reasoned morally, justly, fairly, 'King Solomon-ly', rather than obsequy
one's self to the power of indoctrination, self-interest, or socialization, each pulling one's own
prejudice, sometimes openly showing one's bias, sometimes disguising it in intellectual mumbo-jumbo
and double speak?

When the 'Hectoring Hegemons' are burning down Iraq and Afghanistan, and are eagerly
advocating doing the same to Iran and Syria and the entire Middle East to bring them 'democracy' and
to bring America 'security', 'prosperity' and 'greatness', Zbigniew Brzezinski justifies the 'American
Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives' by asserting 'Hegemony is as old as mankind'! And Thomas Friedman says it even more poetically - 'The hidden hand of the market will never work without a hidden fist'. But the Project for the New American Century asserts it the most brutally in its Statement of Principles (from its website circa 2003):

“... we need to accept responsibility for America's unique role in preserving and extending an international order friendly to our security, our prosperity, and our principles. Such a Reaganite policy of military strength and moral clarity may not be fashionable today. But it is necessary if the United States is to build on the successes of this past century and to ensure our security and our greatness in the next.”

Should any decent moral human being accept such euphemisms of 'moral clarity' from people who identify themselves as 'Hectoring Hegemons' and who have been the prized pupils of the father of 'Noble Lies'? What's wrong with it? Should we reexamine our definitions of the words 'decent' and 'moral'?

Indeed, has it become necessary to formally redefine these already colloquially re-semanticised terms of 'decent' and 'moral' to better cater to modernity? Or are there perhaps some simple moral truisms that represent the best collective wisdom of all humanity across its breadth of civilizations and histories the tampering of which may permanently mutate us from one form of creature to quite another?

All these issues crop up once one opens this Pandora's box. But hopefully, when one digs right inside it, all the way to the very bottom, one is promised that one will find the solution that supposedly solves all the can of worms.

With all of the preceding matter as the nagging backdrop in my mind for several years, and while it remained unarticulated in our conversation, I suggested to my huggable friend Harvey that instead of trying to convince each other of our respective world views, I would much rather like to explore my own views through her gorgeous eyes, and perhaps she could try doing the same through my tired ones, so that we could each learn for ourselves. She gleefully agreed, fully excited I am sure to finally teach me about the 'real' world that is plain has hell for everyone else to see but me. She seemed most anxious to put me straight about my priorities in life and felt I was too ensconced into the worn out pages of dusty old books and should come out and live a little bit in the 'real world' and experience it for what it is instead of always looking for meaning behind its events and things.

So as the first step in this direction, in exchange for the generosity of her lavish gift of 'A Mighty Heart' so that I could get acquainted with the real world evil terrorists in Pakistan, I gifted her John Perkins' 'Confessions of an Economic Hitman' to start collecting data on how 'neoliberalism manifests itself' - a question she had asked me earlier - and Zbigniew Brzezinski's 'The Grand Chessboard' to understand how 'neoconservatism manifests itself' in its present geostrategic dimensions so that she could quickly become conversant with what I was calling 'empire' and the monumental crimes of emperors that had been deftly reclassified as simply 'foreign policy initiatives' since the end of World War II. With such pedantic employment of language constructs, the subject matter had been relegated to the profound ken of the know-it-all experts in Washington and thenceforth none of the business of the ordinary peoples in the 'populist democracy' whose main
purpose in life had been crafted to keep them always perennially busy, endlessly chasing down their 'American Dream'. Even Harvey showed some consternation at having to do so much reading - there was going to be back to back episodes of 'Friends' on this rerun night and she wanted to catch up with them. She really only wanted to talk and lovingly educate me, not really spend time reading and studying. Too few hours in the day for that. Busy busy busy Harvey!

But we parted for the evening, and excited I was, as we now each had just the opposite characteristic interlocutor to sanity check ourselves with - no incestuous self-reinforcement here!

And only a couple of hours later, I sent Harvey my first message on the subject, pointing out even more readings to do (I am certain to her consternation), and picking a first topic upon which we had briefly deliberated earlier, 911. And she had especially quizzed me why the Pakistanis and other Muslims subscribed to these fantastic 'conspiracy theories' that 'the US did it to itself', or that 'the Israelis did it', or that 'Jews were not killed in the collapse of the towers because they had miraculously received some text messages from Israel to vacate the buildings just before the attack', etc., etc. Or even that why 'some Muslims claimed that planes actually had not hit the towers', that it was 'missiles' or 'lethal ray guns from outerspace', or something really wild, like say, 'controlled demolition'.

Harvey had felt that the 'chaos theory' explained all the unexplained facts around 911 satisfactorily, including why the top notch American military had failed to intercept the four simultaneously hijacked attacking airliners despite NORAD's and FAA's standard operating procedures that are automatically and routinely triggered for such emergencies without incurring additional bureaucratic impediments. Apparently while in the Holy Lands, my hare-brained lovable Harvey had also learnt software engineering and worked for a while in Haifa for a high tech American subsidiary writing Fibonacci sequences to a millionth iteration as a new 'highly secure' cryptographic protocol for her company's products. So she explained to me her vast corporate experience wherein the Israeli CEOs, and the top management of her company, often had no clue about what was going on down in the company ranks! And the American Government was vastly bigger! In fact, she felt it was a miracle that it managed to function at all!

During our conversation as she asked me all these questions, I had felt a lot of intellectual complexity in responding to Harvey coherently, because like most things these days, there is so much falsehood mixed with half truths, some truths, and spin doctoring, that it is difficult to figure out what is what. Deliberate deception of the genre described by Ezra Pound cannot be unraveled easily, let alone straightforwardly explained to someone like Harvey who is wont to self-righteously base her world view entirely upon her own sensory experiences even when she might be skeptical by nature, rather than on critical examination of others' experiences as well. To Harvey, symptoms are the manifest reality, there are no hidden diseases - what you see is what you get. And given the Poisson hare-brain, that is indeed all one will ever get because history has been deftly eliminated from one's perspectives.

And this complex Machiavellian deception game bears exposing fully: invent two or more lies, not just one, and keep the good hearted well meaning peoples in the 'populist democracy' occupied debating which one of them might be true, for it would hardly matter what conclusions they reached. And wherever they ended up, to perhaps yank one of the lies from underneath them by conclusively showing it to be false thus conveniently demonstrating a baseless 'conspiracy theory' in order to keep that notion alive in the public imagination.
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This diabolical exercise delegitimizes in the public mind serious researchers' efforts in uncovering any covert-operation while its secrecy is of paramount necessity. Afterwards, after fait accompli, after statute of limitations expiring, it makes little difference if historians and confession artists make a pecuniary gain peddling what is inconsequential history to the newer evolving realpolitik du jour.

This is what was precisely happening with any serious investigations into how the towers fell on 911. And this is also precisely what my long time friend Harvey had asked me, whether I believed in this and that fantastic theory as noted above, and had quickly lumped every single 911 investigation with the bizarre, all in one convenient easily dismissive 'kookish' category.

Thus somehow, one had to start ab-initio to reconstruct, by first dismantling and dissecting. Deconstructing is not easy. Especially when one is immersed in a global psy-op to 'wage war by way of deception'. In the 'Art of War', that is a key ingredient, and also its first ingredient, and that has been the case for as long as Hegemons have existed, which according to Brzezinski, is 'as old as mankind'. And clearly there is a global lifetime of wars being waged. Thus it had not been possible for me to respond coherently to Harvey at that time because I couldn't think of an appropriate basic and simple abstraction to begin with that Harvey would understand right off the bat.

And I thought of it when I got home, and thus I sent Harvey my first letter. It can be read at 'Whytalksfail? Letters and Replies'.

---

Dissecting Why Talks Fail

This short series of letters and replies in which Harveyetta and I went back and forth that night, took the best part of the entire night, with me doing much of the typing, and Harvey coming back with tangentials and not responding to what I had asked her to study. Until I realized that this wasn't working, at least for me, because one of us, or perhaps both of us, were not inclined to do the study, but argue. I would say one thing, and instead of following up on it, Harvey would say another. And I would insist on my first thing said and not feel like following up on what Harvey had replied as I felt Harvey was deflecting or not paying attention to what I was asking her to do in terms of some pre-reading work to build up the set of common fundamentals. So finally tiring, I got off the treadmill.

Later on I felt really frustrated and couldn't sleep. Why had it not worked? And staring at the
letters and replies angrily, I realized that this is exactly how almost every single dialog on contentious issues is like!

And so I decided to collect these email exchange here because it contains some interesting elements that - while I have already summarized in depth in the Preamble above - may be of interest to others who can perhaps use it to study how even two close friends sometimes cannot come together to reach common ground and continually talk past each other because of 'beliefs' which become axioms that cannot be examined. Obviously we all know and have experienced self-interests and emotional attachments that can make us obstinate and un receptive even in our own loving families, but we rarely think that failure to communicate and reach closure can also be because there are no rules laid out ahead of time for how dialogs on contentious issues should be conducted and how all axioms must be examined. This is actually a problem statement, not a mere observation. Thus there must exist some rational solution for it.

Otherwise, how are we, the ordinary peoples from different tribes and nations on this vast and diverse planet, to rationally discourse with each other - in order to understand each other, in order to resolve our disputes justly and fairly rather than through the alpha-males 'might is right' clubbing the weaker into submission - in order to come to live in congruent harmony?

We are in the first decade of the 21st century and still really only employing the art of discourse learned in the Neanderthal times, of the one wielding the biggest club winning the argument, despite all the wisdom and all the lofty teachings of the sages through the ages since then. A period of a zillion thousand years! Is this pathetic or what, that the Homo sapiens, with our vast and fancy accomplishments, have experienced absolutely no evolution in our basic characteristics in the last 100,000 years. 'Hegemony is [still] as old as mankind', as Zbigniew Brzezinski unabashedly admits when arguing the 'American primacy and its geostrategic imperatives'!

Or is hegemony indeed an inevitable evolutionary condition? Unable to evolve past 'might is right' because it's a prerequisite to propagate the strong, the master races, the hectoring hegemons, for the survival and enjoyment of the fittest in the greatest creature comforts and luxury? After all, the standard context of evolution is indeed 'natural selection' of dominance, isn't it? Thus every free nation, and every free person, must now eagerly possess the 'Samson Option', as it is the only rational path to survival - since not all can be dominant - by credibly threatening the annihilation of everyone else we acquire a bizarre equilibrium of stalemate where all can at least survive and live as free men and women in free nations in any status quo, justice or not. Some remarkable legacy to leave our progeny!

I invite the astute and careful reader to examine this brief email exchange. Not for its contents per se, although he or she may follow up on that too if it's interesting to them on its own merit, but mainly as a detached judge or an impartial first grade school teacher on why Harvey and I talked past each other, what role did our respective beliefs play or not play, and what were those beliefs, whether implied or stated, and despite an earlier lofty understanding of seeing things from the interlocutors eyes to inform one's own self, why did we fail? What might we have done better?

If a rational penetrating dialog between two close childhood friends, even if one of them happens to be a gorgeous female Pooka Rabbit, cannot occur on matters of grave political concern when they bring vastly different perspectives, what hope is there for the general populace, let alone a peace makers dialog among nations and civilizations already at daggers drawn with each other?

Zahir Ebrahim
All this talk of 'dialog among civilizations' to avert what some chauvinists have projected as the inevitable 'clash of civilizations', is doomed to be just an eyewash - and perhaps even a deliberate red herring - without considerable thought to the 'process' to productively enable such dialogs.

Before such dialog can fruitfully take place, some 'rules of engagement' for the dialog must be defined that are agreeable to all parties, and then all parties must stick to them. But how is that to be enforced when self-interests and hidden agendas might be at play, and when one party among the participants is overwhelmingly wielding a big stick?

Just sitting around a table and chatting likely does not bring one closer to any better understanding and appreciation of why the other person is the way they are, why they think that way, is there any merit to the way they think that inspires respect or further evaluation? When there is no such merit, as one perceives it, how is one to proceed?

Should one just say 'I am not going to talk to you because you a priori believe so and so', or not believe such and such? Is just agreeing to disagree sufficient to foster understanding of each others' world views? How is it sufficient to create any understanding whatsoever if one is so axiomatic that one will not objectively scrutinize the other's positions? What axioms must be beyond scrutiny? Should any axioms be beyond scrutiny? Why? How are the 'initial conditions' for any dialog to be determined?

Indeed, when one civilizations heroes are another's villains, which is often the case, especially in these modern times with Alexandrian adventurers and wars a plenty, how is there to be any mutual understanding at all, if each one does not scrutinize each others heroes and villains, using the exact same yardstick and criterion? How is a common definition of 'virtue' and 'vice' to be arrived at, and coerced upon the participants in the dialog, in order for the dialog to have any substantial meaning at all and not have it degenerate into charges of double standards and hypocrisy?

What rules are required to really productively engage in rational dialog whose outcome is actual comprehension and better overall understanding among all peoples, and which actually points towards reasoned solutions that are 'just' and 'fair' and unhypocritical, and not just dictated by the chauvinist prerogatives of 'might is right'?

As in the pursuit of science and problem solving on physical matters, defining the set of 'initial conditions' that all participants can agree upon in political matters, while non-trivial, is certainly the only hope of productively addressing the dialog among civilizations. And as in science, beyond the initial conditions, 'the process' that moves the research, investigation, or dialog forward must be well defined and rational for the explicit purpose of efficaciously uncovering truth from falsehood in all matters, with all sides exhibiting fidelity to the process. Otherwise, as in science, disqualification for fraudulent practices must occur within the process itself by virtue of its very design, and the chauvinism of the culprits exposed to all the peoples to whom the dialog matters.

Then as in science, it is my belief, that the outcome of such a process of dialog, will automatically lead to objective and verifiable results. It is indeed my belief, that in this political space, these objective results will also automatically point to 'just' and 'fair' resolutions to the most pressing and dangerous conflicts among mankind. This will help us, all the ordinary peoples of this planet, at least to learn 'what is the just and fair resolution' to this or that insoluble political problem du jour. Beyond that, it is up to the world's peoples to act to force its realization, or not.
Today much obfuscation surrounds every issue precisely because people are not able to discern what is indeed the right solution. A recent example can illustrate this better than many more words from me.

Former American President Jimmy Carter's new book: Palestine Peace Not Apartheid' has attracted a lot of attention. Regardless of which side of the opinion stream one might fall on, this interview piece in NPR's 'Morning Edition' dated January 26, 2007 is very illustrative of the issues of obfuscation that bedevils the ordinary man. I found the following dialog most amazing. This is a snippet of an interview with Prof. Kenneth Stein, a historian from Emory University, who quit his fellowship from the Carter Center in Atlanta in protest to Carter's book. 'Morning Edition's' Steve Inskeep asked him:

'Q: A layman might look, though, at some of the facts, and let's emphasize some of the facts, here, and say, 'well we've got this area, it's under Israeli occupation (that's the United Nations definition), you've got barriers, you've got segregated communities, you've got segregated highways connecting those communities to one another, why not call it 'apartheid'?' A layman might ask that question.

A: A layman would have every right to ask that question. But that doesn't mean, if it looks like a duck and it smells like a duck and quacks like a duck, that it's a duck.

Q: And the difference to you is?

A: The difference to me is, that part of this problem is that the Palestinians have chosen to use terrorism. And every time they've chosen to use terrorism, the Israelis have come into the territories, or they have closed the territories, and they have made it more difficult for the Palestinians to have regular life. There's not doubt that the Israelis have confiscated Palestinian lands, confiscated Palestinian lands illegally. But if you tell the Arab-Israeli conflict, and you tell the history of it, you cannot unpack it in such a way that one side is just seen to be responsible. History always tells us that truth is some place in between.'

NPR's interview with Kenneth Stein is at:

Kenneth Stein's full rebuttal to Carter's book is at:

NPR's interview with President Carter is at:

Well, in a genuine dialog among civilizations with agreed upon 'initial conditions', and fair 'rules of engagement' that were fervently abided by, the right solution would be manifest and not subject to the above obfuscation. The poor 'Morning Edition's' host did not have either the wherewithal or the courage to dissect this response further: 'History always tells us that truth is some place in
between.' Perhaps the host had not heard of the Nuremberg Military Tribunals, and the established principles of accountability such as 'All the evil that follows' to apportion responsibility, based upon which, the German Nazis where held accountable for all the bombings of German civilian centers by the American Allies, including the death of millions of innocent non-combatant German civilians at Allies' own hands (see my letter to Amnesty International here on red herrings). It would have been interesting to pursue this line of questioning to unravel and identify the primal first cause here, the highest order bit, so to speak. And even if the 'Morning Edition's' host had, the guest was still free to continue in the same vein as there were no binding agreements on how to conduct such a dialog.

It is not surprising to note that in the above interview with NPR, Kenneth Stein almost mirrors Harvey in her positions. Indeed, it is interesting to read the other two references cited above to uncover further curious things in this debate. NPR's Steve Inskeep and President Carter have this exchange, on January 25, 2007 in 'Morning Edition', where the former American President is openly and unapologetically echoing a thread similar to what some Palestinians might express:

'Q: Mr. President, perhaps I could begin with the title of your book, which has caused a bit of debate. Could you just make, briefly, the best case you can for the why 'apartheid' is the best word to use?

A: Well, I'll try to make a perfect case. Apartheid is a word that is an accurate description of what has been going on in the West Bank, and it's based on the desire or avarice of a minority of Israelis for Palestinian land. It's not based on racism. Those caveats are clearly made in the book. This is a word that's a very accurate description of the forced separation within the West Bank of Israelis from Palestinians and the total domination and oppression of Palestinians by the dominant Israeli military.'

But note that the antagonists of President Carter are not being as forthright in identifying their affiliations openly in this dialog. Indeed, President Carter's American detractors invariably present themselves as being objective in their critique of his book, and their own cultural, social, political, or religious affiliations and attachments to the other side remain publicly unidentified in the dialog, either by the media, or by themselves.

Thus for instance, it would be interesting to examine the affiliations of all those who resigned from the Carter Center in protest to determine their so called 'objectivity' or partisanship in the positions they have taken against Carter's book. And one might rightly wonder why is it so important to continue challenging Carter in this way which largely echoes the official position of the State of Israel and its Zionist supporters, and not identify it as such? Indeed, even the Speaker of the House, Nancy Pelosi has also criticized Carter's book. It would be worth visiting her speeches to the AIPAC in 2003 and 2005, and understanding the hidden in plain sight dynamics here, here, here, and here, to glean her own political attachments and partisanship. Here is one example each from her two AIPAC speeches:

"I'm so pleased to be joined by three of my colleagues-Congressman Howard Berman of California, Congressman Sander Levin of Michigan, and Congressman Bob Matsui of California. All are strong supporters of Israel. Thank you to all the members of AIPAC, especially those who have traveled so
far from California and the Bay Area. The special relationship between the United States and Israel is as strong as it is because of your fidelity to that partnership and the commitment of every person in this room today. I am honored to be here to speak about something that can never be said enough: America's commitment to the safety and security of the State of Israel is unwavering.” (Pelosi, AIPAC 2003)

“One thing, however is unchanged: America's commitment to the safety and security of the State of Israel is unwavering. America and Israel share an unbreakable bond: in peace and war; and in prosperity and in hardship” (Pelosi, AIPAC 2005)

Some of the a priori axioms that are plainly visible in those speeches remain critically unexamined by their interlocutors in the press to put the antagonism of the detractors in their proper perspective. Thus an impartial observer may easily note that the vested interests in the loud opposition to an American President's controversial book that is sympathetic to one side and clearly apportions the blame to the other side, are entirely being ignored as the hue and cry aliases itself as an objective scholarly critique.

So let's just say that we, the conscionable readers of this Preamble, are smarter than all the talking heads in the news media and have un peeled the top few layers of the onion to correctly note the respective affinities of the participants in this dialog. So here is a second example, serendipitous in its timing with my own conversation with lovable Harvey, of a dialog among civilizations where the two participants, it may be convincingly argued, are the self-appointed but knowledgeable exponents of the two sides in this conflict. A former President of a superpower nation who once had first hand access to all the classified and top secret information any history detective would salivate over, and who was awarded a Nobel prize for his pivotal role in bringing the two sides together for an Accord; and a history professor who is intimately familiar with the subject through some first hand experience of his own as President Carter's close colleague. And yet, the dialog remains as obfuscating and frustrating to witness as my own with Harvey. Why is it failing?

Should we put this conversation to the same litmus tests and analysis? What a priori axioms remain unexamined and unscrutinized? What questions should be brought up for discussion that are not? What assumptions are made, or not made, and disclosed, or not disclosed? What seems to be the intent in engaging in this dialog? What are the 'forces' at work in the environs within the civilizational constructs of the participants - they obviously do not work in a vacuum - that is creating more obfuscation than already exists? This could have been an excellent opportunity to open up a genuine dialog on the real issues in the conflict due to President Carter's surprising and unexpected book with such an interesting title, seeding the debate. But the dialog has largely become only about Carter and his book, not about Israel and Palestine. Why? See Stein's detailed critique of Carter's book, as well as follow some of the links in the NPR interviews to witness the incredibly bizarre scope of this debate - all strewn with red herrings a plenty (also see 'the endless trail of red herrings'). What shape or form would the positions of Kenneth Stein and President Carter respectively take, if a full contextual civilizational dialog along the much sought after 'rules of engagement' imagined here, with well specified 'initial conditions', were in force?

The astute readers, sociologists, scientists, moralists, and all non-hare brained peoples of
conscience and in full possession of their thinking faculties are invited to reflect on these questions. The exploration of these issues with a critical mind contextualizes the deliberate scope-containment of this discussion that the American audience is being shown in public.

Okay, a cynical reader might argue, let's imagine we did have such an honest dialog, with all the 'initial conditions' and 'rules of engagement' in place. And let's grant the optimistic premise that it led to an understanding of the 'right thing to do', and automatically pointed to the 'just and fair solutions space'. So how could merely knowing the path to 'just and fair resolutions' make any impact whatsoever? If the 'just' solution is against the grain, against the interests of the power-brokers, against the interests of those who wield the biggest sticks, how is to be implemented? A reasonable person may further argue that the power brokers and hectoring hegemons are least likely to accept solutions or outcomes of such dialogs that are against their own vested interests, even if such dialogs are forced upon them or allowed to occur in the nation in public view by magic. Is this simply a Utopian epiphany, the stroking of the mind, of little practical significance in real life?

No! It makes an immediate impact because this is why well intentioned peoples continually 'not learning the right thing to do' is so necessary in order for the few hectoring hegemons to perpetuate their hegemony and vested interests!

Conscionable peoples knowing the right solutions, the just and fair solutions, positively yank from underneath the hectoring hegemons, the very power base with which they rule over ordinary peoples - the power to deceive. From Machiavelli to Nietzsche to Strauss, and the emperors before and after them - the supermen beyond the pale of ordinary morality who tell Noble Lies to rule over the lambs - deception has been the real source of their power.

The power to deceive, and the power to corrupt in order to create accomplices, are the twain weapons of any ruling elite. Disarm them of one of their most primary potent weapons, and the hectoring hegemons are left naked, unmasked. Does it also make them impotent? Whom will they send to fight wars if the peoples know and comprehend the real intents behind the wars and understand all the pretexts that create the conditions of war and conflict?

Every conscionable peoples must indeed demand, create, and force such genuine civilizational dialogs upon the consciousness of their nations (as opposed to the faux one being driven in the United Nations under the bombastic name “Dialog Among Civilizations”).

A battle initiated with intellectual capital, can also be ended with intellectual capital - the only peaceable way. The alternatives are too horrible to contemplate.
Humanbeingfirst Dialog among Civilizations Algorithm

What is the point of this dialog analysis and all this verbiage?

The point is a matter of life and death for nations. That is the point of this. Let me be very precise.

Either the United States, or Israel, are poised to attack Iran, as noted by many commentators in the World press, and as was also noted by the honorable Republican Congressman from Texas, Ron Paul in his speech in January 2007 (see here15):

“As I said last week on the House floor, speculation in Washington focuses on when, not if, either Israel or the U.S. will bomb Iran-- possibly with nuclear weapons. The accusation sounds very familiar: namely, that Iran possesses weapons of mass destruction. Iran has never been found in violation of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, and our own Central Intelligence Agency says Iran is more than ten years away from producing any kind of nuclear weapon. Yet we are told we must act immediately while we still can!”

Even the inexplicable Zbigniew Brzezinski, unabashedly candid as always, as in his chauvinist Grand Chessboard, plainly stated the following on February 1, 2007 before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, reading from a carefully prepared statement (here54):

“a plausible scenario for a military collision with Iran involves Iraqi failure to meet the benchmarks, followed by accusations of Iranian responsibility for the failure, then by some provocation in Iraq or a terrorist act in the US blamed on Iran, culminating in a 'defensive' US military action against Iran that plunges a lonely America into a spreading and deepening quagmire eventually ranging across Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan and Pakistan”

This is March 2007. I have two imperatives before me: A) I do not wish to hear in 2010 that there was an 'intelligence failure', that it was another Gulf of Tonkin, or some other new contrivance from the imaginative and fertile mind of the hectoring hegemons. B) I am a helpless victim of my humble conscience and compelled to act upon its diktats as any ordinary human being first might.

If you are like me, you likely will share in these imperatives. Hence show your support to your own conscience and to your own moral imperatives, by considering doing the following, in your own respective local spaces, worldwide.

I would like you to seed peaceful and rational conversations among the public on any and all topics of contention - a dialog - to figure out what 'rules of engagement' are needed in a genuine dialog whose intent is to reach amicable and just settlements of contentious and fractious issues, and how to expose and unmask the criminal bully when the intention of one or many among them in their
pretentious participation in the talks is merely to deceive, or to buy time.

I would like to have the following coarse grained recipe for conducting a dialog refined with wisdom gleaned from your own experiences - now that you have become cognizant of the layers upon layers of issues involved.

This initial coarse grained 5 step commonsense algorithm - “Humanbeingst first™ Dialog among Civilizations Algorithm” – may be summarized as follows:

- **Step 1.** There must not be any undefined, unexamined, unagreed upon axioms. Thusly, before anything, reach specific and documented agreement on values - how to define various 'value' concepts with a consistency that is applicable to all sides. Thus for instance, a definition for what do these terms mean: 'good', 'bad', 'just', 'unjust', 'terrorism', 'truth', 'falsehood', 'aggressor', 'aggresssee', 'preemption', 'self-defense', or any other fundamental concepts that may become axioms for the dialog. If new axioms are uncovered during the dialog whose definitions have not been agreed upon, suspend the dialog immediately, and return to this step 1. Good starting criterion for defining these terms might be the Universal Golden Rule: 'do unto others, as you have others do unto you', and the Universal Principle of First Cause: 'all the evil that follows'.

- **Step 2.** There must not be any undefined, unexamined, and unagreed upon rules on how to conduct the dialog, the rules for presenting evidence, what constitutes evidence, how the discussion is to proceed in terms of cycle of response and counter response to evidence, and how to ensure that all sides abide by these rules. A policing mechanism has to be agreed upon through which all parties will be compelled to stick to these pre-agreed upon rules. These 'rules of engagement' must be as completely defined as possible before proceeding to step 3 to start with. If in the process of dialog, it is discovered that new or additional rules are required, or need to be fine tuned, suspend the dialog immediately and return to this step 2.

- **Step 3.** Define the set of 'initial conditions' for the dialog after both steps 1 and 2 have been completed and written down. There must not be any dialog that is conducted outside the band of 'initial conditions'. This foundation, like any other foundation, must be enacted first, and in order to do so, make diligent attempt to establish the relevant set of 'initial conditions' that is acceptable to all sides, and document these set of initial conditions. The dialog must not be initiated until steps 1-3 have been agreed by all parties. The policing mechanism of step 2 must be employed to ensure compliance with the 'rules of engagement' while defining the 'initial conditions'.

- **Step 4.** Once Steps 1-3 have been signed and agreed upon, explain them to the public. Only then must the actual dialog be commenced. This is what
will keep the dialog honest and accountable. Be this any dialog - between husband and wife quarreling over marital problems - in which case making public means explain it to the policing mediator who may also be the witness, or family members may be the witnesses; or between 'US and Iran', or 'Israel and Palestine', or 'India and Pakistan', or 'Shia and Sunni', or 'Catholics and Protestants', or 'WMD and false pretexts', or 'globalization and anti-globalization', or 'war on terrorism and war on freedom' - all quarreling on clever spins and entirely and purposefully obfuscating the real issues from surfacing before the ordinary peoples of this planet. The policing mediator in all these cases could easily be the UN, and the witnesses, the world's public.

● **Step 5.** Commence the actual dialog and allow witnesses to observe - if it is between husband and wife, a mediator must be witnessing it and acting as the policing party to ensure 'rules of engagement' are followed to rationally arrive at the honest and just solution. If it is between US and Iran in the United Nations for instance, or around a round table, the world public must witness this exchange, be able to provide their input if they perceive the agreed upon and documented 'rules of engagement' are not being followed, or the 'policing' itself has been compromised by it showing a bias for one side or the other. Do not allow any party to quit, without forfeiting their positions, or reaching whatever logical end conclusion that is the rational outcome as the natural output of this process. That outcome is the 'right solution space' and the 'right thing to do'.

How long will it take? I refuse to accept that this is an NP complete algorithm. But I do concede that it will likely be difficult to get past even steps 1 and 2 in a highly contentious situation such as Israel-Palestine, or US-IRAN, where one side is overly powerful and insists on 'might is right' defining the terms. And this is precisely the point of this algorithm, that all 5 steps are entirely conducted in the global public view so that all can see, the milk easily separating from the adulterating water!

It is my humble belief that following this Humanbeingsfirst™ Rules of Dialog among Civilizations, in contrast to the Hectoring Hegemons arbitrary rules of 'might makes right' engagement, all issues among mankind can be resolved to the point of 'knowing the right thing to do' space. There will no longer be any confusion of who is right, and what is 'right', who is the aggressor, the oppressor, and who are the aggrieved, and the oppressed. At that point, whether or not the right thing to do is pursued further, is up to the members dialoging, their respective constituencies, and their moral imperatives if they are human beings first.

So how do we get to the 'right thing to do space'? How do we implement such a dialog between US and IRAN, or between Israel and Palestine with this algorithm?

Public pressure, from all human beings first!

I know of no other way! Such pressure, non-linearly applied, can even move the earth, as noted by Archimedes.
This document will be attempted to be submitted to the United Nations for their kind consideration - as the voice of an ordinary humanbeingfirst™ - to use it to seed the process for establishing worthwhile and meaningful dialogs in the UN.

If you would like to assist, please contact (write a letter, send an email, call, fax) the United Nations Secretary General's office and request, very politely, that they, as representatives of human beings in this august international body, represent you, the human beings, espousing the ideals of humanbeingfirst™ over hectoring hegemons, in the manner of your own choosing. Namely, that they conduct their deliberations according to the algorithm outlined here. Get them to read this document. Get your own government leaders, congressmen, lawyers, doctors, scholars, and the talking heads in the media, to talk about how to dialog using ideas gleaned here. If 50 million people make this contact with their leaders in every nation, and leave a distinct paper trail of their making the contact, it may yet be a ray of hope for mankind that we are indeed a bit more evolved than the Neanderthals. Whether we actually are or not, will entirely depend on how we act subsequently.

Additionally, it is of immense importance to engage the honorable scholars par excellence, Samuel Huntington and Bernard Lewis, the progenitors of the notion of 'clash of civilizations', by attempting to seed public discussion both at Harvard and at Princeton Universities, the former for his decade old book, provocatively titled 'Clash of Civilizations', the latter for his book, again interestingly and provocatively titled, 'Crisis of Islam - Holy war and Unholy Terror'. If you are at these campuses and would like to help, go for it.

I would like to seed some discussions at MIT, quite prominent in the Vietnam anti-war movement but now laying dormant as dissent is out of fashion in most American Universities and Colleges. MIT is also the distinguished home of my erstwhile luminary Professor, Noam Chomsky, with whom I have many disagreements. I would love to engage him based on the process disclosed here in a rational non-fundamentalist dialog among civilizations in the context of his publicly stated positions on Israel-Palestine and the two-state solution, or even his best selling booklet, 911, the former an excellent example of profound double standards, the latter of unexamined axioms based on new found faith in his Government.

It would indeed be interesting to conduct such dialogs among civilizations on many American college campuses among the many proponents to the various solutions on Israel-Palestine, vocalists for 'war on terrorism', and vocalists for 'empire', inviting David Horowitz of Frontpage magazine, the famous academic Alan M. Dershowitz, the notable founder of Campus Watch, Daniel Pipes, and the founder and editor of neo-con's influential Weekly Standard, William Kristol - all outspoken champions and exponents of American and Israeli primacy and its geostrategic imperatives - to participate.

Dare they accept the challenge of ordinary human beings first? Do we matter, or are we just fodder at the altar of Noble Lies?

Indeed, a brand new series of interviews conducted by NPR with former President Jimmy Carter and his detractors, on his book 'Palestine, Peace not Apartheid' would be mighty illuminating, now that we have seen above how such dialogs only added to the public's confusion without benefit of the rules developed here.

Please write to both NPR's correspondent Steve Inskeep and President Jimmy Carter, politely
suggesting that they review what is disclosed here in order to mitigate all the obfuscation that has surrounded 'Palestine, Peace not Apartheid'.

If you are in America and would like to seed small debates among civilizations in your own universities and communities, do invite the organization Campus Watch (see here55, here56, here57, here58) - nicely - and have them participate. That is the entire point of a debate, to learn to talk to each others' antagonists in a productive way, either unmasking them before an audience, or reaching a state of enlightenment for the 'right thing to do' space. This is easier said than done however as was witnessed at Columbia University in 2004 (see here59, here60, here61, here62). The same professors and participants involved can perhaps consider engaging in a more productive dialog using the algorithm developed here.

Indeed, If you are at UCLA, invite the pro-war Republican groups that invited James Woolsey to speak, where he deployed the ingenious catch phrase 'World War IV' in 2003 at a pro-war rally, to participate. If you are a Republican, invite your Democrat brothers and sisters and fellow Americans to dialog employing the steps outlined here on any topic of contention but especially on 'war on terror' and the Democrats equal if not greater zest for bombing Iran; if you are a Pakistani, invite your Indian fellow human being to dialog; if you are a Mainland Chinese, invite your fellow Taiwanese brothers and sisters to dialog; if you are a Muslim, invite your fellow Jews and Christian brothers and sisters to dialog; if you are an Israeli, invite your Palestinian neighbors to dialog; and if you are a presidential candidate in any country that has elections rather than selections and appointments or outright usurpations, invite your worthy opponents to engage using the algorithm of rational and fair discourse developed here to really enlighten the audience on the global issues that impact all of us today.

The two most bedeviling situations in the world today, apart from the superpower primacy and its geostrategic imperatives, are Israel-Palestine, and India Pakistan over Kashmir, both generous legacies of the British. And their talks continue to fail bringing misery to the ordinary peoples on the ground who continue to live and die under brutal occupations. Is it possible that new dialogs are seeded with the algorithm developed here, and conducted entirely in public? Can it be tried? Yes it can if ordinary people start demanding it, start doing it themselves in their communities with their arch nemeses, some superstars pick it up, and the media catches on! Okay 'I am a dreamer, but I hope I am not the only one'!

The best place to start is in the academe!

If you are an academic, a teacher, a professor, please consider teaching/developing the art of civilizational dialog in your own classes. Make this document an assigned reading for your English class, or writing class, or humanities class, and get them to critique it, and hence indirectly expose them to the concept of why the 'process' of dialog itself almost invariably always determines the success or failure of any dialog on any contentious topic. For instance, you may consider using the straightforward analysis presented in 'The endless trail of red herrings' to seed your own objective classroom debates on Israel-Palestine as a case study for the new dialog process, and make the contentious discourse actually productive for a change.

Consider initiating a new class, or evening seminar in your organization for 'dialog among civilizations' - in elementary school, high school, university, even graduate school, adult education center, as an extra curricular activity - as the most essential and crucial lesson to teach and learn for
the 21st century to the members of our communities. Use role playing in class - using the dialog among civilizations algorithm developed here - and show the two parallel cases to the class, one where these rules are not employed, and one when they are.

Encourage the class to write letters to the United Nations Secretary General, and to their President, as a class activity, sharing the light bulbs that go on in their heads after such role playing, and requesting, politely as always, that these leaders employ the same devices for conducting their own deliberations with other nations as what appear to be intractable problems in foreign affairs can become amenably and very peaceably tractable overnight without requiring endless wars and trillions of dollars in defense budget that can now be better utilized in building more libraries and better public schools for them.

Unless we can teach our new generations the genuine 'art of dialog' when they are brimming with idealism and aspire to do good in the world, after they become cynical adults corrupted by the realpolitik, it may be too late for internalizing the concepts. We are only constrained by our imagination in how many ways we can learn and teach the concepts outlined here. With a renewed emphasis in almost all nations on rational dialog with the 'war on terrorism' on, this is a great opportunity to actually make a tremendous difference - and yes just by talking, but not randomly, and not by shouting past each other!

We have a profound saying in my native Pakistani language, Urdu, in transliteration: “dood ka dood, aur pani ka pani” – loosely translated it means, separation of the adulterating water from the pure milk. Watch all the milkmen run! Will there be any milkman left standing at all after the world really learns why talks fail, and why indeed does uncovering fair and just resolutions continue to bedevil many of us?

It's our job, as human beings first, to force contestants in our respective societies into the lab of humanity for a fair and honest, rational and scientific measurement of their products - the lives of civilizations, nations, millions of peoples, long suffering at the hands of hectoring hegemons of all shades and stripes, depend on it!

Only a constructive and genuine dialog among civilization can avert the pain and suffering that the fiction of 'clash of civilizations' is bringing upon ordinary peoples of the world. If you want to avert it, and not perpetuate it, your imperatives have been made manifest here. Do we lead forth with our conscience for the sake of our children and grandchildren as human beings first, or remain silently spectating as impotent zombie bystanders while a mere handful of monumentally criminal hectoring hegemons continue to reign supreme in our names?

If you, dear reader, participate in such dialogs among your friends, interest groups, campuses, community centers, in the media, or if you witness them, and uncover pieces of wisdom that can fine tune, optimize, or transform this algorithm for conducting productive dialog among civilizations to make it even more productive - please do not hesitate to share them.

Often we ask, 'what can I do?'. 'I am just an ordinary human being!'. Here is a proposal that can possibly strip all hectoring hegemons buck naked, without firing a single shot! Please try it before someone puts on that vest, or gets into that F-16, as mere pawns, and victims, and monumental murderers, on the Grand Chessboard!

Why do I call this document Part-1? Because I hope 'human beings' will exist to write a Part-2!
Dialog among Civilizations: Why talks fail? Part-1

Thank you.
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Chapter 32

Uniting Mankind Against All Enemies

Islamofascism - Zionofascism - Judeofascism - Christofascism
- Hindofascism - Neofascism - Atheofascism - Uberfascism etc.: An Equitable Distribution of Collateral Language!

April 17, 2007

Why this fanatical preference for waging the 'war on terror' against only 'Islamofascism' today?

Personally, I think that the fanatics of all 'religions' can be equally fascists. The historical term 'fascists' as used here includes 'terrorists'; and its conjugate 'fascism' includes making the public mind, i.e., propaganda warfare, with terror as the principal instrument.

It would not bother me so much if all such 'fundamentalist' fascist fanatics would be thusly labeled using the English language's choicest words, and equitably hung and then burnt at stake as
monumental criminals after a stint in Guantanamo Bay with vicious dogs grabbing at their genitals and entirely reenacting for them the barbarisms that transpired in Abu Garib prison for good measure!

However, as a rather straightforward and ordinary Muslim, I do find it deeply offensive that:

- **a)** only uniquely label our 'pirates' thusly disparaging only Islam unjustly after these 'pirates' have been deftly created/nurtured by the 'emperor' and now have germinated;

- **b)** use them as a convenient excuse for 'imperial mobilization' in the pretext of fighting an endless 'War on Terror' as otherwise, 'democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization', and 'that exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment', including a “sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being” and “truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat” such as a 'new pearl harbor';

- **c)** profound morons on the planet, mostly the educated ones, and almost all of them living in the West – the supposed 'enlightened civilization' – and including some indigenously well placed 'Native Informants', continue echoing 'a', and conveniently ignore 'b' as the proverbial dancing/trumpeting/shitting monstrous elephant right in the nuptial bed that needs 'a' as much as fish need water!

So to equalize the 'American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives' on 'the Grand Chessboard', and as a plebeian computer scientist but well versed in identifying the 'most significant bits' of complex issues – just as the old wise man of antiquity, St. Augustine – let's call a spade a spade, shall we? Fight:

- **Zionofascism** (fascism of the Zionists hell bent on bringing on Jewish Lebensraum, Eretz Yisrael, to rule the world from Jerusalem); and

- **Christofascism** (fascism of the Evangelical Christians hell bent on bringing on 'Armageddon'); and

- **Judeofascism** (fascism of the Jews hell bent on asserting their 'god's chosen peoples' mantra that puts them beyond the pale of ordinary people's morality) ; and

- **Hindofascism** (fascism of the Hindu Brahmin as in the RSS who take chapter and verse as well as training and strategy in military occupation and ethnic cleansing of the untermensch from Zionism's 'god's chosen peoples') ; and

- **Neofascism** (fascism of the neo-cons to conquer the world regardless of the cost to anyone else) ; and

- **Atheofascism** (fascism of godless atheists who seem to be in the majority among the imperialists du jour who play realpolitik with other peoples' blood) ; and last but not least,

- **Uberfascism** (fascism of all the Nietzschean supermen in all cultures who think they are better than human beings and can run rough-shod upon ordinary mortals as their
'god-given' primacy imperative of social Darwinism) ;

in your own backyards in this holy 'War on terror' – the 'highest order bits' upon which the real 'page faults' (in computer science geek-speak) – and the threat of 'Islamofascism' 20,000 miles away will automatically melt away without spending an additional dime, as the insignificant and dependent 'lower order bit'.

Indeed, 'Islamofascism' will magically disappear from the international scene just as it was deliberately brought into existence by 'God on your side' with people in foreign 'suits and ties' as noted in this open letter to the ubermensch Jewish Scholar Daniel Pipes.

Then we shall be left with the more harmless and normative struggle for Renaissance within cultures and societies – a social struggle normal for every generation in every epoch as human beings attempt to keep up with the 'modernity' of their times and continually attempt to overcome their demons of history and its concomitant cultural baggage – as opposed to the fabricated 'synthetic terror' that is criminally and most audaciously being cultivated for 'imperial mobilization' today!

To rationally and efficaciously eradicate the synthetic curse of the 'islamofascists' and the attendant suicide bombers, requires first and foremost, to nullify with extreme and ruthless prejudice, its highest level gardeners, and their deliberate aiders and abettors and exponents in all guises.

The weeds, without its deft cultivation and nurturing, will die out in due course. It is a monumental crime against humanity to kill the weed with the most inhuman 'shock and awe' and blithely call the shattering of the tabula rasa of innocent civilians around them 'collateral damage', while leaving not only their mastermind covert-patrons to cultivate more, but the very act of 'shock and awe' in itself being its rather obvious deft cultivator. If someone ever kills my loved ones in a 'shock and awe' as 'collateral damage', they better kill me too, or in my abject insanity, I will surely loose my gift of being a human being first and become the worst criminal – an easy recruit for a gardener! Let me know if there is another human being on this planet who would remain immune from such insanity. In the statistical law of large numbers, one can harvest a thousand a day!

And what of the so called 'misanthropic' statements or doctrines in the Islamic scriptures? Well, let's begin with the far more blatantly misanthropic and tortuous content in the Rabbinical Talmudic literature first as noted by the Jewish 'native informants' themselves, shall we? Followed surely by the Zionist and Christian Zionist doctrinal literature of hate and 'Armageddon', and then of course the neo-cons' blatantly chauvinistic 'American Mein Kampfs' in duplicate and triplicate editions. Surely the Islamic literature must also not escape full-spectrum scrutiny-in-context either. If there are doctrines in 'Islamic' literature which are misanthropic, as in any influential doctrinal system, let's expunge them, for any god that is selective, racist, particularistic, misanthropic, iniquitous, and preaches hateful doctrines of 'primacy' and 'might makes right' rather than of 'justice makes right', can only be man-made and therefore, can easily be eradicated in the 'civilized' modernity du jour!

Thus we should be able to not only equitably excise all such misanthropic garbage in all fascist doctrines – be they emanating from the minds of White House National Security Council, or from the penmanship of imperial thinkers, or the crooked fingers of mullahs, priests, and rabbis and the assorted self-righteous 'ubermensch' deviants of all stripes – but also charge their authors and exponents, whether alive or dead, and whether self-proclaimed prophets, presidents, emperors, professors, editors, think tankers, journalists, or national security advisers, as the worst monumental criminals to ever
poison mankind's thoughts and acts and thus accordingly deposit the living among them into Guantanamo Bay with full military 'enemy-combatant' protocol.

For good measure, we can pass equitable global laws outlawing all misanthropic doctrines, be they practiced by the Jews, Christians, Muslims, Atheists, Neo-cons, Hindus, Europeans, Americans, Free Masons, et. al.

Indeed, to equitably identify, adjudicate, and suitably consign all such forms of hateful doctrinal fascism and their fascist exponents who continually hide behind 'legal cover' of powerful nations, a radical free-thinking dialog algorithm is proposed in *Dialog Among Civilizations: Whytalksfail*.

I suspect most everyone with an ounce of moral imagination would subscribe to such equitable distribution of collateral language and agree for a perpetual 'holy jihad' against all fascism. Even the ZioNeoConoFascist literati cabal who possess imagination by the bucketful – moral or not – and love 'perpetual war', will surely join in. Nothing inspiring new or terribly profound here beyond simple self-evident moral truths and obvious empiricism!

And the only point of rehearsing this ad nauseam by the non-fascists is because the manifest Machiavellian deceit is also rehearsed ad nauseam by the 'ubermensch' fascists and their academic exponents who are almost always beyond the pale of any 'earthly' justice system. To take down and refute one sentence of their lie almost takes 10 paragraphs. And they blithely go on synthesizing new ones – as an infinite number of lies are possible on any topic – all it takes is some Straussian imagination. And to bring to book even a single one of these monumental criminals seems to require the 'victors' justice' and an unconditional surrender in an Apocalyptic World War!

Okay, so having done all that hard intellectual work, i.e., dispelled the myths, unraveled the deceptions, and identified all the terror philosophies in ancient texts and their modern exponents, then what? Who will equitably implement the actual excising of these doctrines from among mankind?

We are merely defenseless civilians, we don't possess vast armies of conquest, or even for our self-defense against the fascists, nor 'WMDs', nor other means of initiating détente through any 'MAD' checks! And nor do we have any resources to reach the mainstream audience in the world's most powerful 'populist democracy' who are indeed the accomplice prime-movers of this 'imperial mobilization' by virtue of their zombie-like 'United We Stand' as 'Good Germans' behavior of continually 'looking from the side', as atrocities upon atrocities upon the ordinary 'lesser' human beings continue to mount while the 'populist democracy' stays veritably busy pursuing its 'American Dreams'!

So one mostly ends up preaching to the equally impotent choir with some cheering here and there and then all of us go home after a day's worth of hard loquacious workout on the treadmill of inefficacious protests as noted in *History is great fun to rehearse - what does it do for us today?’* and in this open challenge to Amnesty International, USA.

What has changed since October 7, 2001 when Afghanistan was bombed into pre-history and today 6 years later? Iraq was still bombed in the interim! And this time around, Iran may be bombed with Nuclear Weapons! And us, the so called 'voice of conscience', are still occupied dispelling and unraveling the lies ad infinitum while Muslims across the world continue to die in large numbers from ZioCon inspired American and British peoples' own horrendous weapons of mass destruction bought
and paid for by the American and British tax payers! It's better to not mention the soldiers of economic conscription also needlessly dying at the behest of their masters wrapped in the false flag of patriotism, for they are, after all, bombing and killing another peoples on their own indigenous land and are thus the aggressors. They do have the choice to say no! I'll be content mentioning only their victims, for those are my peoples as human beings first regardless of their race and religion and nationality.

As per the Nuremberg War Crimes Trials, all blame for aggression, and for “all the evil that follows”, is laid upon those who initiate the first aggression. Yes even under the euphemistic but well worn mantra of “preemptive self-defense” an idea deftly exploited by Hitler as he went in search and annexation mission for his 'Lebensraum' that caused the destruction of much of Europe, most of it due to Allied bombing of civilian centers to free the European cities from the clutches of the occupiers! Before you send your precious sons and daughters off to fight the fictional “War on Terror” do remember these veritable lessons of “victor's justice” subsequently enshrined into International Law not too long ago!

Thank you.
Part-II

The Unknown Transformation of Malcolm X – Unification of Mankind Against Common Predators

Response to Separation of Struggles Against Oppression on Tribal and National Boundaries

Friday, July 1, 2011 | Last updated Tuesday, April 5, 2016 12:00 pm

“I'm for truth, no matter who tells it. I'm for justice, no matter who it is for or against. I'm a human being first and foremost, and as such I'm for whoever and whatever benefits humanity as a whole.” --- Malcolm X

Malcolm X's early views on separation from his oppressor's race are well known among those who study him, and poorly understood by others who have merely heard of them in relation to Reverend Martin Luther King's penchant for integration with the White Man. The views expressed in the article 'Jewish Voice for Peace? Really??' by Nahida the Exiled Palestinian, echo a poignantly similar theme to the motivations for separation espoused by Malcolm X before his transformative pilgrimage to Mecca.

Malcolm X's opinion was of course rooted in his strong sense of tribalism which he feared
existed among the White Man and whom he perceived were collectively the oppressors of the black man. He noted in one of his speeches that: “We must have separation in order to be equal, we must have separation in order to have freedom, we must have separation in order to have justice.” He observed elsewhere in an interview, of the integration efforts through non-violence by Dr. Martin Luther King Jr.: “The white man pays Rev. Martin Luther King. Subsidizes Rev. Martin Luther King. So that Rev. Martin Luther King can continue to teach the negroes, to be defenseless. That's what you mean by non-violence. Be Defenseless. Be Defenseless in the face of one of the most cruel beast that has ever taken a people into captivity. That is this American white man.”

If one studies the Autobiography of Malcolm X, it is plain that his thoughts were very tribal. He moved from one tribal scheme to another as his metanoia progressed, until he performed the Hajj, when his own clinging tribe advanced from the black man to the one billion plus Muslims to entire mankind. The latter transformation is evidently little known and rarely promulgated by those who quote Malcolm X. His final metanoia has been occulted from the global mainstream audience whose leaders and opinion-makers prefer to venerate (or denigrate) this American freedom fighter as an iconic but mainly tribalistic rebel extraordinaire. Why put forth his final exemplary global views as epitomized in the lede passage above and needlessly galvanize a united global resistance project against the carefully hidden in plain sight common global enemy of all mankind?

In their article on “The Role of Jews in the Palestinian Solidarity Movement” in Counterpunch of April 26, 2011, Herskovitz and Kinnucan examined the impact of Malcolm X's tribalism upon the issue of Palestine resistance. They observed in their article that after Malcolm X returned from his “epiphanic trip to Mecca”, he was asked if White people could join his Organization of Afro-American Unity, and he replied:

“They can't join us. I have these very deep feelings that white people who want to join black organizations are really just taking the escapist way to salve their consciences. By visibly hovering near us, they are 'proving' that they are 'with us'. But the hard truth is this isn't helping to solve America's racist problem. The Negroes aren't the racists. Where the really sincere white people have got to do their 'proving' of themselves is not among the black victims, but out there on the battle lines of where America's racism really is – and that's in their own home communities.” (The Autobiography of Malcolm X, pp 383-384).

Herskovitz and Kinnucan further observed of Malcolm X:

'He added that by working separately, Whites and Blacks would form a successful collective. "Working separately, the sincere white people and the sincere black people actually will be working together."

The words of this fighter for justice are valid 46 years later in another context: Defining the role of Jews in the Palestine solidarity movement. The lesson is that sincere Jews should not play leading roles in the Palestinian solidarity movement, but should instead expose and challenge the racism that exists in their own Jewish communities. So what are Jewish-led and Jewish-identified groups and leaders doing? Certainly, they criticize atrocities committed by Israel in the West Bank, Gaza and East Jerusalem, but are they clearly defining
their positions? Do they oppose Jewish supremacism, as some opposed White supremacist in South Africa during the 1980s? Which of the higher profile Jewish-led and Jewish-identified groups are demanding an end to a Jewish state and full and immediate return for displaced Palestinians and their descendents?''

--- counterpunch.org/herskovitz04262011.html

The sub-text of the Exiled Palestinian's article is based on the same principles of racial tribalism. The Exiled Palestinian evidently fears the same of the Jews as Herskovitz and Kinnucan. Just like Malcolm X feared of the White Man during his pre-Meccan civil rights struggle which was characterized by his all-separatist tendencies for emancipating the American Negroes.

My response article presents a counterpoint by openly stating the obvious. That unfortunately, empiricism indicates that Palestinians, both Muslim and Christian, can be no less tribal than the Jews.

Even further, that the Palestinians in Diaspora living a safe and often bountiful existence can be no less contemptuous (perhaps that's too strong a word – use your euphemism to taste) of their brethren on ground zero and in refugee camps than the Ashkenazi are of Sephardim.

To what degree to effect a separation when self-interests can diverge on almost any boundary? Let's take an empirical look – rather than merely a theoretical or rhetorical one.

The Palestinian Diasporans want Right of Return primarily. The ones on the ground floor in Gaza and in the West Bank don't care for that being the most important thing on their mind.

Those Palestinians living in palatial and/or comfortable homes in the West, don't much care for those living in the refugee camps. This is true regardless of race, religion, caste, creed, and whether it is Palestinians or Pakistanis or Bengalis or Afghanis. This is a factual statement.

Shall we separate on that boundary as well?

At every boundary, whether it be between Jews and Palestinians, or between Muslims of one ethnicity or another, or between one Muslim sect or another, platitudes of the Holy Qur'an such as verse 4:135 quoted by the Exiled Palestinian aside – for if platitudes could govern behavior, the 3000 year old 'Golden Rule' and the Ten Commandments might have been sufficient obviating the need for the Holy Qur'an altogether – we always see narrow vested interests governing one's primary motivations.

These vested interests are sometimes along tribal and racial lines, as Jews evidently always seem to be for obvious reasons of formerly being treated as the trash of history in 2000 years of living in Christendom. And other times along socioeconomic, ethnic, sectarian, and political lines.

- The most pertinent and basic form of this us vs. them tribal division, despite the undeniable sympathy and common bond of religion, is between 100 million Arabs vs. the 2 billion rest of the Muslim world. I don't see the Arabs much care for the rest of the Muslims.

- And 8-10 million Palestinians vs. the 100 million Arabs. I also don't see the Arabs terribly concerned with the plight of Palestinians judging from the squalor they force the poor refugees on their soil to live in.

- And 4-5 million Palestinians suffering on the ground floor in the Holy Lands and in
surrounding refugee camps vs. an equal number in Diaspora. I also don't see them having many common concerns. One is facing life and death on a daily basis while the other is a comfortable tax-payer living in relative security elsewhere.

- And 1 to 2 million Palestinians who are citizens of the Jewish state of Israel - even if third class – vs. all the rest of the Palestinians on ground zero and in the rest of Diaspora. They each have their own vested interests and pressing concerns. No citizen of Israel, Jew or Gentile or Palestinian, can afford to really care very pragmatically about the general issues of the Palestinians even in the West Bank or Gaza, never mind those in Diaspora. Shall we separate on all those boundaries?

Treachery in leadership, and in movements, and forcing narrow vested interests to decide issues, are a general fact of life. These inevitably transcend all such tribal boundaries. One's worst enemy is often one's own leaders.

In her article, the Exiled Palestinian picked on one such demarcation, the Jews vs. the Palestinian tribal boundary. It appears to be the most convenient for the opportunities for vitriolicism and scapegoating that it naturally affords. And, while her arguments are quite convincing at some level, and dare I say also appear empirical at times, the conclusion it has arrived at, for a global struggle, is patently false.

I can show this in very specifics, even beyond what I have done above, that almost any such demarcation one picks, along almost any arbitrary axis, one can make equally compelling arguments for separation as numerous fracture lines will always exist among every people. The most significant are among the Palestinians themselves as a people. The only thing they universally share among themselves which loosely unites them in their loss of statehood apart from memory, is victimhood. Not political goals. And not processes to achieve those non-existent common political goals. Do I tell a lie?

If one began blanketly separating on the au natural racial rift, one would be compelled by the force of its logic to eventually move along all the other dividing rifts inherent in tribalism as self-interests continued to split, almost all of them au natural, and one would be left pretty darn alone in practical and political terms. Lip-services to platitudes not withstanding.

I submit that pretty soon one would not be able to have any common struggles which transcended myopic tribalisms! That usage of the word “tribalisms” in its plural form adequately captures all au natural rifts for our purpose here, even though these are no longer strictly tribal in the usual racial sense, but intra and intra-intra tribal subsuming sects, ethnicities, religions, and reduced to almost familial resolution if narrow vested interests continue to diverge significantly. Is that an exaggeration?

But, if one can identify a real common enemy which can unite all such tribalisms in common cause, recursively forcing each lower order “tribal unit” to put the overarching enemy first in the best interest of furthering their own overall local self-interests, we have a movement which can transcend narrow individual self-interests!

Otherwise, au natural tribalisms will naturally prevail at every level always lending substance to specious (superficially appealing) arguments of separation.
This observation is not only commonsense, but also entirely empirical. It is also the first principle of divide et impera practiced by all superior colonizing forces from time immemorial.

In other words, separation is the tool of the colonizers themselves.

This is how they successfully colonized the Indo sub-continent. Inter alia, by separating Hindus and Muslims who had lived peaceably for centuries prior to the British conquest of India. The blood-drenched partition of the indo-subcontinent was the fruit of that harvest of well argued separation. (See The Search for Historical Truth: Partition of India and Palestine [0])

This was the purpose behind the Sykes–Picot Agreement which divided up the Arab peoples and lands into arbitrary nations and spheres of imperialist influence.

Oh – one is talking of separating from that palpable other, not one's own? What other when our own can be our biggest enemy as the surrogate of the other?

But let's continue with the common enemy bit first and take on the enemy within shortly. Can one identity a common enemy that will force unity of purpose regardless of tribe, race, caste, sex, religion, national affiliation?

Unfortunately, I have yet to meet a Palestinian who has answered in the affirmative. This is a very strange phenomenon. The Palestinians, like the Jews before them, evidently believe their struggle against oppression is unique, unparalleled, and therefore it deserves a special status. While Palestinians may not say this out loud, they often act to that unarticulated belief as will be made apparent shortly.

The Palestinians, despite their obvious protestations to the contrary, evidently are made no more brilliant or insightful about their plight just because they have been victimized anymore than the Jews are made holy and pious just because their killers in the previous holocaust were immoral and murderous. Both victims religiously cling to their respective virtues of victimhood as an impervious body-armor only particular to them, and through which all insights and criticisms fail to penetrate as if such honor was divined upon them.

For the record, the Jews are made no more moral today as a people because their killers were immoral yesterday as a people. This is already in evidence and is amply obvious to the world, but unfortunately not to the Jews. And the Palestinians have no more comprehension of the “Iron Wall” that besieges them today any more than they did yesterday, their eloquently quoting Jabotinsky notwithstanding. This is also obvious – but unfortunately not to the Palestinians. Do I misperceive this uncanny parallel?

Individually of course, like everyone else, I have encountered many moral Jews who put me to shame as a Muslim just as I have met many brilliant Palestinians whom I lookup to as beacons of knowledge and virtue in many matters. And yet, no Palestinian I have ever met has drawn the connection between World government and Zionism. Nor has any Palestinian I have ever met, or read, or interlocuted with, or written endless one-way letters to, recognized that the struggle for Jerusalem, the Holy Lands, the land of Canaan, is merely just one element of that global linkage, as the “Zion that will light up all the world”.

But some non Palestinians have done both. Jerusalem, as the effective judicial capital of the
world of the new ruling state of the world tomorrow (just as today the City is the effective financial
capital and Washington the effective military capital and the Vatican the West's effective religious
capital), is intimately linked with the overarching agendas of the common harbingers of both Zionism
and World Government. That new judicial capital, to be located in Jerusalem, I only speculate of
course based on the amazing and strange new Israeli Supreme Court building in Jerusalem overlooking
the historic Solomon's temple, is intended to dispense judgments of Secular Humanism to the rest of
the world.

It is all connected and Palestinians have not recognized it.

And it is connected through the House of Rothschild – the pious name to which the Balfour
Declaration was issued. That pious name, more like a criminal syndicate than a family, owns the
Jewish state of Israel, and all the world's private central banks, gold bullion, gold mines, and other
earthly assets to make them worth more than the combined national debt of the United States of
America and the rest of G-7 nations.

When I meet a Palestinian who knows that fact on his own without my informing him, that
Balfour Declaration is in the Rothschild name, that day, I dearly suspect, will be the first day of the
real waging of an efficacious common struggle for the recovery of both Palestine for all its indigenous
peoples and the recovery of earth and its privatized resources for all its inhabitants.

On that first day of common struggle of all who are human beings first against mankind's
common oppressors, the specious distinction between us vs. them on narrow tribal boundaries will
look insignificant and idiotic. Am I being a hopeless idealist? Or a rational pragmatist as that being the
only effective method to take on a common enemy without separation – to recognize it as such?

I have come to realize that the golem wrecking its overt holocaust upon the Palestinians with its
Zionist military apparatuses as its henchmen, is not Jewish. [1]

This golem devilishly harnesses the world Jewry using their staid old books no differently than
the purveyors and conveyors of Islamofascism harness Muslim patsies as suicide bombers using their
staid old books. The golem accomplishes the former overtly, with much finesse, sophistication, and
openly with the blessings of the West. That's because the golem controls the West. But interestingly,
the golem also accomplishes the latter, covertly, and gets the West to successfully attribute the blame
upon Militant Islam. That is also because the golem owns the Mighty Wurlitzer and can synthesize any
tune for any myth construction.

So wait a minute – am I saying to the beleaguered Palestinians that the War on Terror against
Ali Baba, the quest for world government, and the conquest of Palestine are all related? Duh! [2]

But I have not met a single Palestinian, nor read a single Palestine scholar, author, and touted
intellectual, poet or academic, who has betrayed their knowledge of such intimate linkage by their
revised advocacy of the Palestinian struggle in the light of what I am suggesting in this response
article. Have you? Perhaps I may have missed it.

To my limited awareness, it appears that the latest fad in Palestinian intellectualism is the “one-
state” automagic solution about to naturally fall out of the fait accompli of Israel's effective conquest
of all Palestinian territories. There is absolutely no recognition, zero, that the construction of Zionistan
is intimately linked to the construction of world government and the automagic one-state is a mere
figment of the rather fertile poetic imagination of the Palestinians rather than some insightful and
accurate analysis of global events on their part. Perhaps I misperceive once again?

I will just leave a link to evidence [3] – refute if one can, or, accept that matters in a global struggle against a common global enemy of all mankind cannot be so clear-cut of us vs. them along segregated racial lines as it might first appear when viewed from the rather tribal lens of a localized struggle.

Once we acquire that baseline understanding that Palestine as Zionistan, and the quest for World Government, are in fact intimately married to each other with Jews being made as much patsies as any Islamofascist suicide bomber, I think it will become a lot easier to discern who is with us in this common struggle for the liberation of earth from its tyrants, and who is against us, regardless of ethnicity, religion, race, caste and whatever else is used for tribally separating peoples.

That discernment must be the only demarcation for separation. It is in fact the only effective one, and the only practicable one.

For, if the focus continues to remain on the au natural tribal boundary as the Exiled Palestinian has spotlighted in her well written article, one ends up brushing under the rug the more significant and unarguable fact that Palestinians' own co-options, their own copious fifth columnists, their own house negroes, their own useful idiots, their own midget minds, have wrought far more harm to the Palestinian struggle and contributed to their ongoing dispossession now into its 63rd year of tribulation, than any largely symbolic Jews for Peace ever possibly can.

When one omits that palpable and grotesque fact from the calculus of liberation, as the Exiled Palestinian has evidently done in her essay, I believe one does oneself and the Palestinian peoples who look up to their intellectuals for guidance and leadership, a great disservice.

The highest order bit of the matter in confronting any external enemy effectively, has always been the enemy within – the weakest link. This is a fact testified to by recorded history.

And the conquest of Palestine in stages, is no exception. While every Palestinian recognizes this fact of treachery, their best thinkers continue to harbor the mis-impression that now things are different, that now they are up to the treachery of their oppressors. The evidence of this self-delusion is their continued inability to distinguish friend from foe, higher order bit from lower order bit, and their continually seeing their holocaust in Palestine as a local event of the Holy Lands only specific to the Palestinians.

As victims, the beleaguered Palestinians find sympathy in every beating human heart. Almost every ISM member I have ever met is a Jew.

But let's examine the record of the Palestinians themselves a tad objectively beyond sympathies for victimhood.

How many Palestinians stand up against the tyranny being inflicted upon the rest of the world?

When Palestinians living comfortably in Diaspora, and occasionally going out on their weekend sloganeering junkets before returning to their own diligent pursuits of their 'American Dreams' weekdays, will stand up for anyone else other than their own travails, I will change my opinion of them.

Let me know which Palestinians took out a protest march, or publicly spoke out against the terror being inflicted upon the innocent civilians of Pakistan for instance, and I will update my records.
In all anti-war marches, the Palestinians primarily come for their own local war in Palestine. Every Palestinian speaker in every single anti-war protest speech I have ever heard, has focussed only on Palestine.

The cover of Pakistan Decapitation Papers has a photograph [4] which was captioned by UK Independent news report of Sunday, 31 May 2009, as: 'In Pakistan, an exodus that is beyond biblical'. At least 2.4 Million were forced out of their homes according to the United Nations. The Al Jazeera report [5] of May 09, 2009 headlined it: 'Pakistan Swat refugees seek help'. That forced eviction and daily slaughter of an innocent people caught as pawns on the Grand Chessboard dwarfs what happened in 1948 during the partition of Palestine on the then grand chessboard. The grandmasters of both are the same.

Yet, I have I never heard a peep of protest from the Palestinians, especially those in Diaspora, who are in the best position to know and feel that pain of eviction the most!

This is qualitatively no different a betrayal through silence, than when one wonders how can Jews in Zionistan inflict a holocaust upon another after supposedly experiencing their own, and the rest of the world Jewry remains silent?

And yet, what many a Jew ISM activist has done for the Palestinians, taken bullets to their head when they didn't need to, Tom Hurndall certainly comes to mind without effort, have the Palestinians done for any other?

I look far and wide and I don't see Palestinians voluntarily taking any significant pains on any one else's behalf. Perhaps the pain of eviction is greater when it is from Palestine, or, perhaps the pain of suffering of others is automagically made less because it is not in Palestine? Only the Palestinians can inform us what they feel by their acts. And they do. Just as only the Jews can inform us what they feel when they remain silent by their acts. And they also do.

So, given all that, in her desperation to seek justice, the Exiled Palestinian can only find fault with those few Jews who do dare to speak up in favor of the Palestinians?

Obviously they don't speak for the silent spectators of their tribe. It is like indicting them for something that is obviously untrue just because one wants to find some reasons to argue separation.

Separation by tribalism and by victimhood, while evidently au natural, is the banality of evil to be conquered first in order to effectively wage a global struggle against the encroaching global tyranny. The natural end result of us vs. them separation along racial boundaries automatically leads one to separation along all sorts of specious boundaries as noted above. And I will guarantee that these arguments are continually made to the colonized people in political discussions at the massa's round tables.

I wonder what Malcolm X would have argued about it today. His metanoia was evidently progressive. Had that process of self-transformation also terminated with his autobiography? Malcolm X was of course a product of his own time. His data was the data of his time. He was assassinated in 1965 – was he aware of the impetus for world government by the bankster oligarchy which transcends black vs. white myopic distinction? Imagine drawing upon a wisdom for liberation half century later which itself is plagued by myopia! But there it is. We have the same arguments for separation resurfacing.

Malcolm X, as is evident from the quote at the beginning, was very aware that the Negroes in
their struggles for liberation were subverted by their own false leaders who looked very much like them far more than by the Jews who had once traded in their flesh, and who set up false leaders among them in the twentieth century. But I wonder if Malcolm X also knew that NAACP, The National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, was founded and funded by uber Zionists! The Zionist Jews were funding the liberation of the negro in white America using patsy black leaders while they were assiduously working on conquering the Palestinians . . . . These black leaders were almost always iconic figures for their flock. Control the shepherd, and one gets to control the entire flock.

Did Malcolm X ever realize when he stated, as quoted at the beginning: “most cruel beast that has ever taken a people into captivity. That is this American white man”, that the vast majority of white man in America were not slave owners, nor slave traders, that the rank and file among the white man were treated as “white trash” where negroes were slaves, and that Jews were by far the largest slave traders/owners in the United States?

Did Malcolm X ever realize that the black liberation movement, of which he was a product, was itself a Zionist product serving the narrow self-interests of the Jews in Christian white America? Unfortunately, no one today can accurately answer that question. But one can perhaps make an informed guess from Malcolm X's public utterings that he perhaps wasn't.

There are many convoluted dynamics and hidden forces in the calculus of colonization as well as in the calculus of liberation to which most people, rebels and learned alike, remain incognizant of. Each gravitates to whatever supports their a priori world view without careful dissection of what they accept and reject. Bertrand Russell captured that self-evident truth thusly:

“What a man believes upon grossly insufficient evidence is an index to his desires – desires of which he himself is often unconscious. If a man is offered a fact which goes against his instincts [or worldview], he will scrutinize it closely, and unless [and at times even when] the evidence is overwhelming, he will refuse to believe it. If, on the other hand, he is offered something which affords a reason for acting in accordance with his instincts [or worldview], he will accept it even on the slenderest evidence.” (Bertrand Russell, Proposed Roads to Freedom, 1919, page 147)

That personal proclivity to be attached to one's world view is exponentially compounded by the fact of being too close in time and space to any issue. Zbigniew Brzezinski captured that aspect so insightfully that I best permit the grandmaster of the grand chessboard to speak in his own pithy diction:

“Time and space shape our perception of reality. The specific moment and the particular setting dictate the way international estimates and priorities are defined. Sometimes, when the moment is historically "ripe," the setting and the time may coalesce to provide a special insight. A perceptive formula is easier to articulate in a moment of special stress. Conditions of war, crisis, tension are in that sense particularly fertile. The situation of crisis permits sharper value judgments, in keeping with man's ancient proclivity for dividing his reality into good and evil. (Marxist dialectic is clearly in this tradition, and it infuses moral dichotomy into every assessment.) But short of that critical condition—which in
its most extreme form involves the alternatives of war or peace—global politics do not lend themselves to pat formulations and clearcut predictions, even in a setting of extensive change. As a result—in most times—it is extraordinarily difficult to liberate oneself from the confining influence of the immediate and to perceive—from a detached perspective—the broader sweep of events.”

(\textit{Zbigniew Brzezinski, Between Two Ages, 1970, page 5})

Let me emphasize that last sentence as containing a universal truth which few scholars will admit in their high-falutin treatise and pat formulations: \textit{“As a result—in most times—it is extraordinarily difficult to liberate oneself from the confining influence of the immediate and to perceive—from a detached perspective—the broader sweep of events.”}

To those unfamiliar with the issues which Malcolm X was a product of, take a quick listen to the former Wellesley College professor of black studies, professor Tony Martin, on \textit{The Jewish Role In The African Slave Trade}. The Nation of Islam organization of the United States from which Malcolm X had split as his \textit{metanoia} had progressed, produced a seminal research study on this topic in 1991 titled “The Secret Relationship Between Blacks and Jews”. All that, had Malcolm X been cognizant of during his own time, one can only speculate on the further direction of his \textit{metanoia}. I needlessly won't.

Malcolm X is one of my most admired rebel-scholars. I listen to his speeches constantly for insight and wisdom for understanding my own present epoch. But I don't foolishly copy his recipes verbatim which were largely a product of his own tumultuous epoch, a product of his own tumultuous world view born of the burden of several hundred years of vile bondage to slave trade.

The garbs the White Man's Burden carriers wear to subvert and colonize a people are far more insidious, and pernicious, than what is apparent to the naked eye. If interested, it is fleshed out \textit{here}.[6]

\textit{Divide et impera} was the modus operandi then, and evidently it still is today. I reject it as a method of waging a struggle against it. And I reject it along collectivist lines on anything other than shared ideology (see below). Simultaneously, I am vigilant for subversion, \textit{tous azimuth}, 360 degrees, and its worst harbingers are often one's own. While one can recognize the “other over there” rather easily, one can't so easily recognize that “other within”. It is the latter who finally lungenes the fatal knife in the back, through treachery, or stupidity, or both. Neither is the enemy of my enemy necessarily my friend, nor, are my own peoples necessarily my friends just because they are from my tribe and nation and might look like me.

It took a transformative pilgrimage to Mecca for Malcolm X to realize this, but only in the very last year of his life, in his post-Mecca \textit{metanoia} lasting from April 1964 to February 21, 1965, when he was brutally assassinated. The bullets were fired by the black man, but the gun was loaded by those who most feared the new universalization of his struggle. Inspired by his exposure to the religion of Islam during his Hajj pilgrimage, this unparalleled leader of the American Negroes clearly expressed the final transformation of his worldview in the last chapter of his autobiography titled '1965'. The perceptive reader is surprised to find Malcolm X poised to make his struggle global and to become part of the universal struggle against oppression for all the \textit{wretched of the earth}: 

Zahir Ebrahim
'My thinking had been opened up wide in Mecca. In the long letters I wrote to friends, I tried to convey to them my new insights into the American black man's struggle and his problems, as well as the depths of my search for truth and justice. "I've had enough of someone else's propaganda,"

I had written to these friends. "I'm for truth, no matter who tells it. I'm for justice, no matter who it is for or against. I'm a human being first and foremost, and as such I'm for whoever and whatever benefits humanity as a whole." Largely, the American white man's press refused to convey that I was now attempting to teach Negroes a new direction.'


Having lost his logic of separation to better judgment almost overnight, Malcolm X was forcibly prevented by the assassins' many bullets from birth-panging the universalization of his new cause célèbre: to bring all humanity together against all forms of oppression and oppressors.

Just as this once overly tribalistic and racially nationalistic American rebel's strivings had been opened up wide when he finally realized his own myopia on both victimhood and victimizers, the choice between friend and foe, as a bottom line, is always individualistic. Just like a rational and fair judge's adjudication is always upon individuals for crimes against humanity, and never upon collective criminalization and guilt by association. From that one fundamental realization, all good naturally follows. It is an important axiom which preempts all divide and conquer strategies as it naturally brings peoples and nations together against their common predators.

Therefore, straining such judgments and separation arguments of friend or foe through any sort of collective sieve by race, gender, caste, or nationality, is not only too coarse grained to be productive, but ultimately vile and morally repugnant. It also remains impotent.

Straining it by shared ideology however is always conclusive. If you espouse a crime, whether in silence, or by aiding and abetting, then, regardless of your race, gender, tribe, color, caste and nationality, you are supporting a crime.

Zionism is a crime. Those who espouse it, secretly and overtly, are criminals. It don't matter which flavor of Zionism it is. As I noted in this article [7]:

'Be it left-wing Zionism or right-wing Zionism, be it diplomatic Zionism or fighting Zionism, be it political Zionism, synthetic Zionism, military Zionism, friendly Zionism, tough-Zionism, gentle-Zionism, hard Zionism, soft Zionism, nihilist Zionism, spiritual Zionism, Labor Zionism, Likud Zionism, pre-Jewish State Zionism, or post-Jewish State Zionism, all remain expressions of tactics for translating motivational Zionism into empirical Zionism.'

I believe that description captures every flavor of Rothschild Zionism known to man since 1828 when it was kick-started by Moses Hess with Rothschild funding to return the Jews to their Holy Land without waiting for their Messiah, as had been promised in their holy scriptures.

Do all Jews espouse some form of this perverted Zionism of forcibly transplanting themselves...
in another's land? If anyone says yes to this, I'd have to wonder about what they would say when the circus clowns of empire assert variations on the theme that 'all Muslims are terrorist'.

Do those ordinary Jews for Peace who come out to protest the crimes of Zionism espouse Zionism, or are its insidious agents assets and sayanim?

Some of them might well be controlled opposition and Trojan Horses. But only a fool or an imbecile would fall for it. Such fools exist everywhere, yes, even among the Palestinians themselves. It is they, the Palestinians, who get dazzled by fancy titles and invite Trojan horses to sit in on their institutions. Look on how many Palestinian institutions and organizations Noam Chomsky's name appears. A timeless favorite of Palestinians, and my own teacher, I have already deconstructed Noam Chomsky's labor-Zionism and ambiguous support of Palestine here. [8] [16] Is it Noam Chomsky's problem that his books and writings find appeal among Palestinians? Does he force himself upon the Palestinians? Does he ask to be put on their institutional boards? Or do the Palestinians themselves, carrying the undeniable burden of victimhood, indiscriminately rush to coddle just about anyone with a Western brand name who will highlight their plight before the white man? Read it here [9] [11] – it's truly pathetic.

That natural inability of all beleaguered victims to discern friend from foe when they come bearing gifts, is also the Palestinians' biggest Achilles' heel. Bearing treacherous gifts is not the issue – it is to be expected as part of colonization. Fabricated dissent and manufactured consent are two sides of the same coin. Like guns and butter. Accepting the gifts without shrewd discernment is the problem.

For a shortcoming of one, condemning a whole another is not only specious, but ineffective in plugging the much bigger hole in one's own boat.

Just as we are born au natural but we don't go around naked, while we might be born in tribes and nations and not أمة وأحدة (a single nation, and to which the Author of the Holy Qur'an speaks in verse 49:13: 'so that ye may know one another'), going au natural in this instance is also the same.

Animalism, might is right, unbridled greed, lust for power, are also au natural strivings of evolutionary biology, sociopaths, and hectoring hegemons. We don't consciously espouse these natural inclinations either unless we are social Darwinians ourselves out to conquer others.

A natural inclination towards tribalism therefore, rational commonsense tells us, is not what is necessarily the most productive and efficacious method of engineering a social struggle which is principally against global social Darwinianism.

Otherwise, what's the point of that struggle if every tribalist is fundamentally looking out for his or her own narrow self interests? In the limit, one man's vested interests are another's usurpation no differently than one man's freedom fighter is another's terrorist.

Before Palestinians take their ire out upon the Jews who are coming to help them, surely many of them with good consciences, and surely not all are beyond treachery any more than when the white man was when it settled the previous new world in the Americas by distributing small-pox blankets to the natives as gifts, it might be more productive for Palestinians, as indeed all peoples, to look deep and hard at their own selves. Toward one's own tendency to gullibly accept the white man's gifts in naiveté, to willingly adopt his narratives in return for tangible and intangible favors, and most importantly, the inability to shrewdly discern friend from foe on anything but broad swathes of non-tribal boundaries. All are poisoned apples – as if that needed to be said. But evidently it does need to
be said – as no one contemporary seems to be articulating these self-evident matters.

Here is an example. [10] How many Palestinians condemned the acceptance of the 2008 Orwell prize by a Palestinian lawyer for his narrative of his people's sorry plight? Many actually showered the prize winner with congratulation messages as far as I am aware! I just choked in misery at the house niggers mental servitude among the Palestinian intellectuals.

Look at Mustafa Barghouti's maiden appearance on primetime American Television with Anna Baltzer in 2009 as another example. I could surely understand the young Anna's naiveté. A wonderful human being, and a Jew, it was surely a major step of boldly speaking out against her own tribe on mainstream television upon discovering how mistaken she had been all her young life about Israel and the plight of the Palestinians. A very very hard act to follow – to speak out against one's own tribe. Especially when that tribe happens to be in power and can trivially destroy one anywhere in the world.

But Mustafa Barghouti? What a pathetic performance. As a beleaguered Palestinian leader who is already threatened daily with annihilation, he did more harm to the Palestinian cause than Anna Baltzer as a Jew ever could.

By echoing the simplistic narratives of Anna Baltzer instead of having his own to complement the Jew's who had come out to defend him, he endorsed that Jewish narrative. And that narrative was the white man's version of dissent – the two book ends of the discourse spectrum. It was presumably the lure of the young and charismatic Jewess Anna Baltzer who got the duo that slot on primetime American Television. Mustafa Barghouti certainly could not have appeared on his own, no Palestinian ever has as far as I can remember. The Palestinian leader needed a Jewish crutch to get on the airwaves. He got it. And what did he do with it? If the midget minds of the Palestinians cannot wage their own defense, don't blame it upon the Jews trying to help them the best way they they can. Read the deconstruction of that performance here. [11] Mustafa Barghouti surely isn't an ignoramus. But perhaps I am mistaken. I dearly suspect that after that brilliant performance worthy of at least twenty one standing ovations, Mustafa Barghouti was identified by the powers that be as a possible candidate for further cultivation for a Nobel Peace Prize downstream.

Palestinians call that waging a struggle? I call that waging the ass to please the massa.

Given all these real performances, the author of 'Jewish Voice for Peace? Really??' is complaining about the hypothetical subversion of Jews for Peace and Justice in Palestine?

One has to be able to use a very fine-grain tooth-comb in order to catch the lice. Broad brushes leave them safely within.

To capitalize on victimhood, one needs to have power. Unlike the Jews who wrote that script, the Palestinian are powerless. Therefore, as a people, playing victim and begging from the massa using the massa's own narratives does not work for them.

Let's do a quick reality check for where we are today.

- The Palestinians have either house negroes running their institutions, or have fabricated Hegelian Dialectic composed of patsies, useful idiots, and mercenaries, forcing the beleaguered people to choose between the opposites. What is it now, between secular Fatah and Islamist Hamas? Both are paid for in full by Israel. And they each only serve the interest of Israel in a different way. Fatah as the legal signers of
Palestinian rights away to the Jewish State, and Hamas as the agent provocateurs for the Jewish State to take 10 during the times of provocation and give back 1 if the Palestinians behave and sign on the dotted line. That is the sum total of the role of those fifth columnists among the Palestinians. Do I tell a lie? Is it not what has exactly transpired?

- The Palestinians have no towering intellectuals or political leaders on the horizon worth the name. Their best academics work in their massa's institutions and are fed from the massa's largesses. Look at their intellectual output – none have been able to even fill the shoes of even Edward Said. Not one has drawn the obvious linkages as have been drawn in this article. Am I mistaken? I would be delighted to update my records and suitably amend this article if any self-proclaimed legatee of Edward Said can be found to have addressed these matters.

- The Palestinians have no finances, no imperial power-brokers working on their behalf, and no negotiation levers on their side.

- They are rudderless and outwitted, excelling in poetry, laments, narratives for which they win prizes which they gladly accept from their oppressors, and in developing great websites.

- The only thing the Palestinian rebel in Diaspora sees is AIPAC and Chabad! But not their masters. For proof, as was once demanded of me by an old-timer Jewish rebel leader and a favorite of Palestinians to show him that there is indeed some hard evidence of behind the scenes masters who control Palestine, see here. [12]

Jews for Peace are the least of Palestinians' many burdens. Many of these Jews are just simple ordinary people like the Palestinians themselves. They don't particularly know too much either except the moral outrage some of them must surely feel at what has befallen the Palestinians. The idea of separation on racial and tribal lines, rather than along ideological lines is entirely specious. The day a Palestinian stands with a poster denouncing their own traitors and house niggers, the day he and she rises to clean house of their own fifth columnists and useful idiots, that day they will learn to appreciate just how hard it is to bear witness against one's own tribe. What these moral Jews have done, my hats off to them.

It is to be expected that some among these Jews would also be agents, assets, and sayanim of their cause célèbre – and this is true of any struggle which challenges the status quo. Infiltration and subversion are an art as old as hegemony, as old as empire. Its most subversive, rather its ultimate depiction [13] [13a], in the words of the colonizers themselves: “We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern, –a class of persons Indian in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals and in intellect.”, rings just as loud for many Palestinians today as it does for the many denizens of the indo subcontinent.

This most subversive and vile form of cognitive infiltration, the mental colonization of the Arab elite, including the Palestinian elite, is a self-evident empirical fact. And who but the elite ever participate in any political process? Does the common man? Any sore thumbs sticking out are
immediately excluded, or encouraged to quit. And they usually do. Oslo comes to mind. Let's not forget that its dissenters at the time were marginalized by the euphoric Palestinians themselves! This subversion pales in comparison to anything the Jewish Voices for Peace and Justice in Palestine can wreak upon the Palestinians. That, is the real Palestinian record and dilemma to date.

The field negroes among the Palestinians, first and foremost, need to forcibly exorcise their own house of all house nigger and Uncle Tom mentality. This is a prerequisite before any peoples can effectively and sensibly ever take on the massa and their chameleon surrogates who will come in all disguises, the most deadly among them wearing the indigenous garb. With just one stroke of pen, they already have, and will continue in the future, to undo the thousand upon thousand valiant struggles and burials on ground zero. See What Can be Done. [14]

Forging of a common global alliance against common predators is the main topic of my critical essay Self-Policing the Palestinian Struggle and my book dedicated to liberating Palestine from the clutches of Zionism, Undoing The Theft Of Palestine. [15]

Without any feigned humility, I state that I am no scholar, just an ordinary plebeian whose heart beats in sympathy, and whose eyes bleed tears, with the Palestinians. But if I tell a lie, please refute it. If I exaggerate, please feel free to correct my misperception. And if I tell the truth, turn the ire of thine analysis inwards. At least, in this 63rd year of the Nakba, stop mimicking pied pipers and finally begin to look at the world without the jaundiced eyes of victimhood.

I will end with a passage from Sun Tzu on the Art of War which is incredibly pertinent here:

'If you know the enemy and know yourself, you need not fear the result of a hundred battles. If you know yourself but not the enemy, for every victory gained you will also suffer a defeat. If you know neither the enemy nor yourself, you will succumb in every battle.'

Thank you for reading.

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

**Footnotes**

Where unqualified reference is made to Malcolm X's views, it is to his pre-Mecca pilgrimage period which characterized most of his domestic leadership to emancipate the American Negroes. The reader is encouraged to study Malcolm X's autobiography and his many speeches to perceptively glean how the colonized mind co-opts and induces myopia without the victim realizing it. Malcolm X was eventually able to fully break free of its self-defeating shackles, but only in the very last year of his life, in his post-Mecca metanoia, from April 1964 to February 21, 1965, when he was finally assassinated.
This metanoia template that shuns separation from the “other” on specious tribal and national grounds, is the only *au natural* template for the transformation of mankind which can most effectively counter man's own unbridled instinct for primacy expressed by those suffering from the *Übermensch complex.* In the drive to become one humanity in the obviously shared destiny of planet earth, perhaps all such primates could be shipped off to other planets and galaxies in due course as the only humane permanent solution for primacy – for this *au natural* instinct is not going to be tamed so long as man exists in his *au natural* state. In the meanwhile, it is obvious that mankind's destiny is to remain embroiled in continued warfare for supremacy over the “other” in one disguise or another. Today, the ideology of separation from the “other” is the key predatory battleground from Palestine to Kashmir, both for primacy, and evidently also for self-defence against it.

This manufactured “revolutionary times” grounded in racial and tribal doctrines is part and parcel of creating World Order that shall enslave all mankind in a dystopia whose global fingerprints are already visible in the form of secular global laws of a superstate in the making. The religion of Islam (not always the same as the beliefs and behavior of Muslims, but which can also easily become both the sword and the shield for Muslims as they awaken from their slumber) offers the only complete prescription to defend against this onslaught. Which is why Islam is also bearing the brunt of assault from all sides, both from within its folds, and from outside. The propaganda warfare, as well as the techniques of cognitive infiltration and doctrinal subversion for divide and conquer, are far more sophisticated than at the time of Malcolm X when “militant Islam” had not been deployed as the Hegelian Dialectic pretext for launching “imperial mobilization”, thus requiring a far greater force of *ma'arifat* for *tickling metanoia* today.

The Hajj pilgrimage had given Malcolm X his epiphany moment for universalizing his struggle – but his character had already been transformed in that direction by his life-long localized struggle against oppressors. If we cast our eyes today as to which people are in that struggle, we can immediately see the field of potentials ripe for *metanoia*. It cannot be expected to transpire among the likkhaparrha jahils comfortably pursuing their “American Dreams” who, at best, vent their moral angst in *internet jihad* and as weekend warriors. Most remain visibly unconcerned.
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Uniting Muslims Against Hectoring Hegemons

Averting Shia-Sunni World War
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AsSalaam-O-Alekum, to Muslims and Non-Muslims alike --- all that word means in English vernacular is “Peace be with you”. I like that greeting. Peace be with you. Why can't I greet all my fellow man with that greeting? I find no such restriction in the Holy Qur'an which enjoins peace as well as justice to be established among all mankind. So I like that greeting. Perhaps a more pertinent greeting might have been “Justice and Peace be with you”. But that is not the traditional greeting of Islam which calls itself the religion of justice and peace. The Islamic greeting is only “peace be with you”. I wonder why?

And I wonder why Muslim historiography suggests that AsSalaam-O-Alekum is to only be used for greeting among the Muslims themselves, not for greeting non-Muslims, despite the categorical universal teaching of the Holy Qur'an being in direct contradiction to that Jewish-like feeling of exceptionalism. And most Muslims buy it. The more religious ones actually frown when non-Muslims are greeted with AsSalaam-O-Alekum. I say frown on – for the sands of time shall soon leave you on the antediluvian shores of history you source your religion from.
Even more bizarre, what does this greeting mean when Muslims are seen to be killing each other across the planet, and the non-Muslims stare at us in befuddlement? What peace? Muslims are killers and should even be kept away from the United States of America --- that is even the charged political slogan of the newest presidential campaign for the 2016 presidential elections.

The manufactured reality that has been accorded to “Islamic” terror and its soldiers of heaven, Al-Qaeda, Taliban, ISIS, Daesh, good terrorists vs. bad terrorists, good rebels vs. bad rebels, the new Saudi-Iran confrontation on the brew, holy Muslim nations flying the flag of Islam seen to be vying with each other for seeking heaven with Western weapons while the Western alliance keeps bombing and/or sanctioning them to smithereens – only to record the few immediate headlines that greet the general public on a daily basis – what is this fiction of “peace” that Muslims imagine themselves to be the sponsors of, must surely occur to every non-Muslim spectator on planet earth.

Non-Muslims must surely wonder how civilizationally primitive we bunch of Muslims are, 1.6 billion to 2 billion in number, and none the wiser on how to live in amity in our own modernity with any degree of sophistication and wherewithal. They see us continuing to live in antiquity --- divided as we are along ancient historical lines of Shia and Sunni. What is that all about --- non-Muslims can never quite get their heads wrapped around that display of “peace and harmony” among Muslims themselves for their fourteen century long history of antagonism towards each other despite their common Good Book and common beloved Prophet. Just like the Muslims cannot get their heads wrapped around Catholics vs. Protestants, both sharing the same Good Book and common sweet Jesus, and still fighting each other to death through the ages in the name of the same peace-loving Jesus Christ. The last ferocious battle witnessed in my own times, in Ireland. The Catholics and Protestants seem to have as much in common with each other beyond their Good Book and their beloved Savior as the Shias and Sunnis.

I can't and don't wish to speak to the Christian diversity, let Christians solve their own problems if they deem it to be a problem without Muslims pretending to be holier than thou, but I can sure speak, and must speak, to the problem of my own heritage, for it is indeed a problem. Muslims have a common Good Book --- yet virtually all sects among Muslims understand its meaning differently. Why? Because each and every sect among Muslims lives in history. We let historical narratives penned by the hand of man inform us of our religion Islam instead of the singular common scripture of Islam, the Holy Qur'an, inform us of our understanding of Islam. This fact has been true in every single generation after the Prophet of Islam. The previous generations defined what Islam was for the succeeding generations in virtually a geometric progression instead of the Holy Qur'an determining it exclusively. Today what Islam is among Muslims and what Islam is in the Holy Qur'an can appear to someone like Mr. Spock of the Star Trek fable, to even be separate religions. For what else can explain Saudi Arabia vs. Iran today --- the custodian of the two most sacred pilgrimage sites of Islam pitted against the self-proclaimed vali-e-faqih-e-muslimeen?

War among Muslims today may be made imminent no differently than how it was made imminent between Iran and Iraq for eight long years just three decades ago. I hope I am wrong but these clouds of war have been most Machiavellianly setup to quickly mutate into an all out war between Sunnidom vs. Shiadom --- that's bringing into its blood-fold the sympathies and loyalties for one side or other all 1.6 to 2 billion Muslim peoples. Peace? What peace? And Justice, never mind that platitude --- for it hasn't been practiced among any people from time immemorial.
The real source of all these wars and fratricidal killings among Muslims is the historical bifurcation between Shia and Sunni. The Western hegemons harvest these cracks and lacunas today with as much cunning as the Muslim kingdoms did in antiquity. We can't blame the West altogether for their greedy opportunism to conquer lesser civilizations if we insist on behaving like one. And we surely can't blame them for their exercise of cunning because they learnt the fine art of fratricide and hegemony from Muslim caliphs themselves over the past fourteen centuries.

There is a profligate king in Saudi Arabia holding the Islam flag. There is a holy jurist in Iran holding the Islam flag. The king upholds some variant of Sunni Islam. The jurist upholds some variant of Shia Islam. The West supports the king and is arming his nation to the teeth. The West sanctions the jurist and disarming his nation of effective self-defense. And now they are about to be pitted against each other to become the cause of millions of new entrants to heaven with the Saudi grand mufti declaring Iranians 'not Muslims'. While neither the king of Saudis nor valih-e-faqih of Iran will die in battle, you and me will be called upon to seek heaven by taking sides. And most of us will do so along the Shia-Sunni divide. How predictable is that? It is no prediction. It is not even stochastic. It is exactly deterministic. Those Sunnis who do not like the Saudis will either still support them or stay neutral – for heaven forbid they support the Shia Iran. That is the reality of antagonism between these two macro sects of Muslims today.

See this excerpt from an ancient political treatise (presented as historical fiction) if you think the cracks and lacunas among Shia and Sunni don't exist, or are not known to the arsonists who will come galloping as the fire brigade next, just as they are today the 'harawal dasta', the Marines if you will, fueling and then prognosticating the fire in preparation for the main Army's arrival.

It was the same calculus during the eight year Iran-Iraq war in 1980s. Almost the entire Sunnido supported Iraq even though some of the Sunni nations did not particularly like Saddaam Hussein. Even when Saddaam was the prima facie aggressor. The history is about to repeat itself, at multiple levels. And as before, the narrative in the Western world will remain under the full control of the sponsors of this war. The same narrative is simply re-echoed in the East.

You can imagine the killing of the Saudi Shia dissent scholar Sheikh Nimr by the Saudi kingdom to be virtually equivalent to the killing of Franz Ferdinand, Archduke of Austria-Este, whose calculated assassination in Sarajevo on 28 June 1914 precipitated Austria-Hungary's declaration of war against Serbia leading to World War I. That world war led to 50 million dead and all existent empires replaced by the rising Pax Americana. That Pax Americana is to be replaced by World Government through these upcoming manufactured world wars. That's the general blueprint. 9/11 was its first provocation for the final push to global transformation. Shia-Sunni conflagration is to be the next in the long series of creating world order out of chaos. All kinds of sophisticated propaganda craft is already being brought to bear to add fuel to the making of these new killing fields just as it was during Iran-Iraq war where brother killed brother, 8 million, an order of magnitude more than the fratricide in the American Civil War.

As history of war is evidence, once brought to the Russian-roulette table, the bloodshed cannot be averted. The time for its prevention is before the die of fait accompli is cast – and all provocations are being brought to bear on this very scenario, of igniting the Shia-Sunni world war under the tutelage of the New Ruling State in the world in the making. Only the most recent salvo of which is: Saudi Arabia's grand mufti says Iranians are 'not Muslims. Meanwhile, the pious superpower policeman of
the world, the United States of America, is openly arming this most virulent strain of Sunnism, the postchold of Sunnidom as the custodian of Islam's holy sites, the Wahabi-Salafi despotic kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The contribution of this American vassal state to aiding and abetting America's terror systems, is neither secret history nor hidden from anyone.

Can we do something about this age old Shia-Sunni antagonism which is perennially ripe for harvest? These undeniable historical fissures among Muslims are continually enlarged by those who are neither Shia nor Sunni, for their own agendas, and this brazen fact should be obvious to even the most pollyannaish duncheads. While we can Band-Aid these fissures or pretend we have no fundamental problems ourselves, and all problems are with the West's drive for hegemony and supremacy that leads their Anglo-Saxon instinct for primacy to the doorstep of Machiavelli, the reality stares us in the face. The problem is not solely in the superstars, but in ourselves that we are stupid underlings. As the war of words between Saudi Arabia and Iran heats up, and as Muslim countries and Muslim public start aligning with one or the other side along their sectarian lines (witness here, here, here, here, here, here), what can ordinary peoples, you and me, often the spectators of history, and also its canon fodder, do?

I see only one rational and practical solution. Transcend the Shia-Sunni divide by approaching what is common and sacred between them both. The Holy Qur'an! It exists among us in the same pristine form that it did at the time of the Prophet of Islam --- or at least, that is the common belief among all the 1.6 to 2 billion Muslims on earth today.

But that model hasn't really worked in history --- why would it work now? You ask...

I would like to submit for your reading pleasure the following series of articles that I composed as a most ordinary student of reality (haqeeqat), on the topics that most divide Muslims along the Shia-Sunni bifurcation. Most of us fall into one of those two macro sectarian categories by virtue of birth and socialization. Whatever subsequent studies some might undertake to understand their respective inheritance, either on their own, or as seminary students en route to scholarship and ullema status, is often froth with the trappings of both data availability bias and confirmation bias. Meaning, incestuous self-reinforcement of the theology, beliefs as well as practices, we each grow up under, while remaining unconscious or at least oblivious of that fact. The self-evident fact is that religion is our inheritance, like the DNA.

But the question that often arises in the mind of the young intelligent Muslim and the young intellectual Muslim, and which he and she is not able to ask openly while living among the patriarchy and their orthodoxy, is should religion be an inheritance? The fact that one is born in a Muslim home already inclines one towards it and decides the fate outright. Whether one is born in a Shia home or Sunni home and any of its sub-sects is only a secondary issue. The question the intelligent Muslim asks, given that one is born in a Muslim civilization, and given that Muslims are so easily pit against one another, does the sectarian divide also have to be an inheritance, or can one just be a Muslim? The inquisitive mind not satisfied by status quo ventures further afield and asks, what does the Holy Qur'an itself say about matters that both the Shia and the Sunni scholars merely presuppose in their theology and which they each argue with such self-righteousness and convictions?

For such youngsters, and I mean by that term those young at heart as well as mind who are unafraid to challenge the idiocy of orthodoxy and want no part of it, here are links to the essays composed thus far based on whatever humble and limited study that this scribe has been able to
undertake and deconstruct away from their respective orthodox versions. I offer these humble writings to the laity and their scholars alike, for two reasons: 1) as a means of demonstrating and understanding how we believe what we believe regarding Islamic topics, and what great distance it might sometimes be from what its singular scripture the Holy Qur'an itself conveys on the topic by both its omissions and commissions; and 2) as a means of introducing the lamentably long-dead idea of using the intellect to parse and comprehend the teaching and guidance in the Holy Qur'an directly from the Holy Qur'an itself, using its own verses as the primary source of its own 'tawil' and interpretation. This automatically leads to an informed mind that is sensibly able to compare what socialization/culture/history/home and our overemphasis on “experts” have bequeathed to us as religion, vs. what the actual unadulterated teaching is that is still easy to be found in the Holy Qur'an by anyone with an iota of intelligence and seriousness in disposition to perform their own due diligence.

The results are rather surprising, even shocking, and I share that surprise here both as a learning and teaching tool, as well as in furtherance of the pursuit of the unasked question: what the religion of Islam is for each new generation if its understanding is not to remain ossified in the narratives of antiquity. That unasked question does not imply changing the meaning of Islam or altering the Divine Guidance contained in its singular scripture the Holy Qur'an, as is the primary misdirection in the West today to suit its Western interpretation and Western narrative, but to understand Islam directly from its own unadulterated singular source using our own time and space as the reference point. Just as the people of the past had the opportunity to do the same thing in their own epoch. Why are we taking their understanding of Islam circumscribed by their own time and space, as our own?

This, even on the face of it, appears absurd. To be shackled to their understanding of Islam through our own socialization and confirmation biases, and our paying homage to our elders and deference to our scholars by using their brains in lieu of our own, is an insult to the religion of Islam which has proclaimed itself for all time and all space. The religion of Islam has proclaimed itself in the Holy Qur'an as the Divine Guidance from the Lord of the Worlds, to each and every man and each and every woman in all times with no more Prophets or Messengers to be sent. If that assertion is believed to be true, and no Muslim doubts that it is in fact not just true, but The Truth, then each generation and every people in every civilization must try to understand Islam by decoding its message contained in its own singular scripture, the Holy Qur'an, afresh for their own time and space.

That is so darn obvious that it even surprises me, one not too bright, how easily Islam has thus far been ossified and shackled in the narratives of history not just by the pulpits, but by ordinary peoples themselves. What I offer below is one tiny drop in that new ocean of revisiting the religion of Islam directly from the Holy Qur'an using our own frame of reference to decipher and understand its message. What shall we find in it?

In the interest of forging Muslim unity (what with all the emphasis worldwide to divide Muslims along sectarian lines and have them kill each other), I have taken up the topics that divide us fundamentally, often on idiotic grounds due to want of knowledge of the Holy Qur'an itself. More to come, if life and opportunity permit, and if motivation persists. Discussion is encouraged. Corrections are welcome; it will surely help cogency if corrections are offered not as “expert” opinions of this and that “scholar”, but from the same source as these analyses are themselves based upon, the Holy Qur'an.

Articles in the series: What does the Holy Qur'an Say

Zahir Ebrahim
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- What does the Holy Qur'an say about the Ahlul Bayt?
- What does the Holy Qur'an say about Inerrancy of Prophet Muhammad?
- What does the Holy Qur'an say about Taqlid - Blind Following the Non-Infallible?
- What does the Holy Qur'an say about Haq - Truth and Justice?
- What does the Holy Qur'an say about Vilayat-i Faqih?
- What does the Holy Qur'an say about Rulership?
- What does the Holy Qur'an say about Multiculturalism and Pluralism?

In addition, I have been studying the subject of Imam Mahdi like many Muslims who are interested in this topic, and have realized that what the vast majority of Muslims, both Shia and Sunni, have been led to believe about Islamic Eschatology appears to be entirely Indeterminate in the Holy Qur'an! Most of what we are taught about this subject is actually from books and pages outside of the Holy Qur'an. What does the Holy Qur'an itself have to say about Imam Mahdi – the Awaited Savior of humanity – if anything at all? Did Allah appoint in the Holy Qur'an the scribes and narrators who appear in our Muslim history, to preserve or to explain in their own holy books, Allah's Divine Guidance to mankind that Allah Claims in verse fragment 5:3 He Perfected and Completed: “This day have I perfected your religion for you, completed My favour upon you, and have chosen for you Islam as your religion.” (未来的神聖盟約在此完成，至高無上的尊榮今已圓滿extend these blessings upon you!); and in verse 15:9 that He shall Protect it against corruption for all times: “We have, without doubt, sent down the Message; and We will assuredly guard it (from corruption)” (Protection against corruption)?

The exploration of this straightforward question (in item [6] above) led to writing the following letters which I hope may inspire many more Muslims, hitherto socialized into their respective maslaki (sectarian) belief systems from birth, to dare to use their own intellect to try to decipher the message of the Holy Qur'an as Muslims first, by actually studying the Holy Qur'an, and to base their faith and understanding of their religion Islam upon that decipherment in their own zamaan o makaan, time and space, rather than upon the antediluvian fallible pens of scholars / narrators / compilers long dead. It is patently absurd to try to fathom a Divine Book that claims to be without doubt, using the doubtful books written by the fallible mind of man! To understand the plays of Shakespeare, read the plays directly, not use Cliff Notes.

For those of us who are not native speakers of Arabic, or are unable to acquire facility in Qur'anic Arabic, it is hard enough already that we have to read the Good Book in translations. The Holy Qur'an is simply untranslatable! Even so, Allah Promises in the Holy Qur'an that He shall Guide all who reach for His Divine Guidance with a cleansed heart. That self-cleansing is the idea of abandoning presuppositions, socialization biases, prejudices and predispositions of all kind on the journey towards Allah. The Good Book promises to reveal its secrets to the muttaqi seeker of Divine Guidance. All others, it states, it shall mislead.
Source website: https://faith-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com

- Letter to a fantastic young Muslim scholar in the United States: Imam Mahdi The Awaited Savior of mankind - Is it in the Holy Qur'an?
- Critique of Imran Hosein's 'An Islamic View of Gog and Magog in the Modern World'

Such individual endeavors by Muslims, bypassing their antediluvian scholars with their own socialization biases and their own human limitations, automatically plant the fundamental seeds of amity among the people of the Good Book: to gather around the Holy Qur'an for comprehending any and all issues which divide us, as the primary source of seeking understanding of the Divine scripture. It encourages the Muslim mind to understand what the Holy Qur'an itself teaches on its own topics, instead of what the pen of holy man says it teaches. This endeavor, undertaken with a desire to learn and understand Allah's Divine Guidance directly from the Scripture rather than to confirm presuppositions which the human mind becomes infected with from birth, dissuades from the idiotic sectarian disunity that is evidently natural to us. This disunity is preventing us from becoming one people, an ummah, because of the artifacts of history, historiography, hagiography, and the unfortunate socialization into that orthodoxy. We are all beholden to this socialized orthodoxy by birth such that despite our best intentions, we, in fact, end up following the religion of our forefathers as in the age of Jahiliya before Islam. Is our age, the modern age, fundamentally all that different? Don't we also adopt the religion of our homes, schooling, society, civilization, and are just as fanatic in believing what our forefathers established for us as our customs and beliefs as Abu Jahal, the notable scholar of his time who was one of the staunchest antagonists of the Prophet of Islam?

Without hesitation I advance the argument that our base attitudes towards inherited beliefs are really not all that much different despite our traveling in modern airliners instead of on camels and horses. Our civilization may have marched on outwardly, but inwardly, we are still living in the past. We still continue to draw on our meagre understanding of what is largely the socialized past, to inform us on how to interpret our present. Socialization into our history as part of both culture, and what is deemed religious, continues to inform our beliefs and order our rituals. It is that base reality which fundamentally divides us into Shia and Sunni by birth. This is self-evident. The end result, under Machiavellian doctrinal motivation and tickling of the right sensibilities --- fratricide, Muslims killing Muslims. Whereas, the Holy Qur'an, which contains none of that history, historiography, or hagiography, nor sects and partisanship, easily unites us if we only permit the Good Book to speak for itself. If we only permit its verses to be the main source of interpretation for its own verses, without referring to what the hand of man conjured up to become the happenstances of history (historical events) and its partisan narratives (hadith literature, who said what to whom traced back to the Prophet of Islam across centuries).

By definition, history and its narratives are anecdotal, for, indeed, an alternate history and alternate future is always possible. Whereas, the Good Book, the Holy Qur'an, is Divine Guidance for all histories and all futures of mankind --- empowering mankind to change its own conditions and its own futures --- if one accepts its assertion of timeless Divine Guidance to all mankind. How can one particular instance of history among a tribal people circumscribe its understanding? It sensibly follows that it cannot. For that reason, none of the particulars of the period of revelations is in the Holy Qur'an, which otherwise uses ample histories and parables of other nations past, to forewarn Muslims of what
can become of them if they followed the same paths.

Preventing that transition of understanding the Holy Scripture from its own verses in the light of one's own times, is not just in the interest of both the empire du jour and the clergy classes for the abundant opportunities of divide and control that status quo confers, but also self-interest. Who likes to accept that they have been largely socialized into myths as religion?

Compounding that reality of social control is the cognitive infiltration from the dominance of Western modernity that is wholly materialistic, and which altogether denies the legitimacy of spiritual existence and Divine Guidance. Charles Taliaferro, a professor of philosophy at St. Olaf College, says in his interview to Tehran Times (January 7, 2016):

“[T]here is not an intensive quest in European and American university and college courses to reconstruct the life of the Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him). Perhaps this is partly a reflection of what many historians in the West believe about Jesus: they think it very difficult to get behind the primary sources to Jesus himself and so they focus more on the emergence and history of Christianity rather than highlight the historical Jesus. As a philosopher I believe that such skepticism about the historical Jesus and Muhammad is based on philosophical assumptions of secular naturalism which presupposes by definition that prophecy and revelation is impossible, an assumption that, in my view, is unjustified. Historically, there are Western sources that depict Islam as a dangerous threat to Western civilization. ...”

Well, not just historically, but it is very much the present narrative in the West. And our own new Muslim and non-Muslim generations in both hemispheres are growing up under that universal cultural rubric. Whatever we know or believe or understand of Islam is a reaction to the mantras and presuppositions of our own time, just as it was in the past for the mantras and presuppositions of their own time. That fundamental presupposition today, as the quoted philosopher says, is “secular naturalism which presupposes by definition that prophecy and revelation is impossible,”. Evidently, a lot more Muslims also believe that to be true despite all our pious proclamations if one were to judge from our lack of study of the scripture itself. While it is understandable that non Muslims may have little interest in studying the Holy Qur'an, strangely, few Muslims dare to apply their own intellect to study a scripture which so boldly presupposes and continually affirms that the Holy Qur'an is indeed a revealed book. We instead go to our own elders for the source of our religious beliefs.

Well, that is precisely what the Arabs in their age of Jahiliya did too. They did not believe that Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) was a Prophet of God bringing them Divine revelations as Guidance from Beyond. They instead relied on their own elders for their beliefs. It is irrelevant what their beliefs were. The source of their belief was their own socialization, their own elders, their own heritage. Shocking? What do you do when you open up your favorite hadith and history books? Or your favorite exegeses? You are using your elders, long dead, to tell you what your socialized religion is. That fact has divided us into Shia and Sunni. Not the Holy Qur'an, but the pious works of our elders. That observation is beyond doubt. It is self-evident.

The revealing term used by professor Charles Taliaferro, “secular naturalism”, begs a few sentences of explanation for those who may be unfamiliar with its scope and how we are all affected

Go To TOC2 Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
by it without often realizing it. It fundamentally means that the laws of nature are general, universal, and a-religious. These natural laws determine the “how” of nature and apply in all frames of reference to everything in existence, including to man. The rational and objectifying processes of science applied by man to understand these natural laws are the best method to discover and harness this “how” of nature. In the laws of nature there is no such construct as moral law. Morality is but a subjective value system, and all spiritual questions of the “why” of existence are immanent, i.e., philosophical, in the mind of man, entirely abstract, and not part of the laws of nature. Naturalists separate the two quests between objective and subjective: science deals with “how”, religion deals with “why”. That core presupposition of modern Jahiliya, that there is nothing beyond the laws of nature, automatically precludes all notions of divinity, and consequently, also divine revelation, prophethood, etc., thus making morality and world religions a mere utilitarian convention among their respective philosophers for inducing social harmony, or social control, among the sheep.

This materialist conception of nature stemming from the core philosophy of “secular naturalism”, taken to its natural conclusion leads society and civilization to the path of social Darwinianism and Nietzschean Nihilism when led by his ablest Superman. Whence, all things concerning the affairs of man become relative and arbitrary, where ends justify means, wolves appear in sheep clothing, and where might and intelligence, abilities and skills, cunning and sophistication determine the survival of the species under the natural law of the Jungle, survival of the fittest. In that existential reality of rule by force, or might disguised as moral law, the ones with more narrative power win in controlling their flock --- and this is how divide and conquer has always succeeded in the service of the most cunning power.

The narrative today emanating from all pulpits, including the geopolitical pulpits, after the “militant Islam” mantras and after getting Muslims to kill each other in many different guises, is eschatology, the Last Days, the arrival of Imam Mahdi, the Awaited Savior of mankind.

Is that concept of divine interventionism and eschatology which is common to both Shia and Sunni theology with minor variations, in the Holy Qur'an itself?

It is important to learn this fact because as those given to the study of geopolitics can easily fear, the narrative of eschatology may well become a key source of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification, for both camps in the long war being engineered between the 1.6 to 2 billion Shiadom vs. Sunnidom by those obeying the secular natural laws and its corollaries.

Let's not fall for the fabricated narratives of Machiavelli again and again. Inform our leaders, our generals, our rulers, our scholars, our opinion-makers, that we do not wish to be participant in their geopolitical games. That we are not sheep and that we refuse to service the mutton eaters. That we have no quarrel among Shia and Sunni and that we choose to proactively gather on the Holy Qur'an to bridge our reactionary chasms of history instead of on their narratives.

Thank you.
Part-II

Manufacturing The Killing Fields In Pakistan

The reality behind the Headlines in today's Dawn

Sunday January 10 and Monday January 11, 2016 | Updated Tuesday, January 19, 2016

The provocation template of exercising foreign policy using proxy service providers to seed international dysfunction is only an open secret. It is not a state secret. America's current best friend, the absolutist kingdom of Saudi Arabia, just like America's former best friend, the absolutist dictator Iraqi Saddaam Hussein, is about to taste the fruit of supping with the devil without a very long spoon. As Henry Kissinger is known to have once quipped: “it may be dangerous to be America's enemy, but to be America's friend is fatal.”

Nawaz Sharif, the incumbent prime minister of Pakistan, owes his life to the kingdom of Saudi Arabia. A lesson that he learnt in a hurry after having witnessed the fate of the former prime minister who has hanged by the predecessor military dictator of Pakistan, and saw history repeating itself without the Saudi intervention offering him refuge. The prime minister of Pakistan can only repay his personal debt in national blood.

What is also not inexplicable is the Pakistan Army COAS quickly rushing to dignify the Saudi regime's brutality under the most banal propaganda rubric that would easily resonate with the public mind --- threat to holy Saudi
Arabia! Their marching orders, one may intelligently surmise, must have come directly from Washington. Because, like all militaries of the world prepared for proxy services, Pakistan's too takes its policy and priority cues from her own principal paymasters. As cliché as it may be, there is still no free lunch!

To those who think all is well in Pakistan, that our Generals and rulers have made things better at the homefront wrt terror and there is nothing major going on wrt the latest Shia-Sunni conflagration being set-up globally, read for yourself the latest headlines in Pakistan's largest English news daily, Dawn, reproduced below. Pakistan is being set-up to be in the very eye of the storm. Being an ostrich must be a great blessing for my Pakistani friends, busy as each one of us is in the pursuit of our “American Dream” regardless of where we live. I was informed most reliably yesterday for instance by my friend in Lahore that our General COAS, the top man in charge of the country's defense, and the most honest man in Pakistan, has things under full control.

This morning’s News headlines inform us otherwise. All kinds of propaganda craft is being brought to bear to add fuel to the making of these new killing fields just as it was during the Iran-Iraq war in the 1980s where brother killed brother, 8 million, an order of magnitude more than the fratricide in the American Civil War. See this excerpt from an ancient political treatise (presented as historical fiction) if you think the cracks and lacunas among Shia and Sunni don't exist, or are not known to the arsonists who will come galloping as the fire brigade next, just as they are today the 'harawal dasta', the Marines if you will, fueling and then prognosticating the fire in preparation for the main Army's arrival.

These historical fissures among Muslims which are perennially ripe for blood-harvest by those who are neither Shia nor Sunni, have to be closed off. And closed off fundamentally, not just with Band-Aids that peel off with the tiniest of scratching. Historical fault-lines by themselves need be of no more significance or consequence than as a scholarly footnote to the source of rich diversity among a latter day people, variegated as we all are in myriad cultures and civilizations. It is only when the footnote falls into the hands of Machiavelli and becomes enlarged as the entire book, that fratricidal blood flows in the streets and among nations. History vouches for the veracity of that truth. See Averting Shia-Sunni World War.

Please dare to care.... take a stand.

Pakistanis must not become sentimentalized by our religion, which we tend to mostly wear on our sleeves only, to take sides on the narratives being brought to us, but rather galvanized by perceptively understanding who are the victims and who are the victimizers. We must stand up for the victims. That is us. For it is the victims, us, who are made the canon fodder in the geopolitical games of the elite. I will not be that canon fodder. Neither should you. Take that stand. Reject the entire fictional narrative; it is being orchestrated by hard acts of state terror to create a cycle of reactionary violence at the international level designed to percolate down to our own streets and neighbourhoods.

You can imagine the killing of the Saudi Shia dissent scholar Sheikh Nimr by the Saudi kingdom to be virtually equivalent to the killing of Franz Ferdinand, Archduke of Austria-Este, whose calculated assassination in Sarajevo on 28 June 1914 precipitated Austria-Hungary's declaration of war.
against Serbia leading to World War I. That world war led to 50 million dead and all existent empires replaced by the rising Pax Americana. That Pax Americana is to be replaced by World Government through these upcoming manufactured world wars. That's the general blueprint. 9/11 was its first provocation for the final push to global transformation. Shia-Sunni conflagration is to be the next in the long series of incrementally creating world order out of chaos.

The template of Machiavellian provocation to diabolically induce the anticipated chain reaction is ubiquitous in Pax Americana history. The ex post facto “oops” narrative of April Glaspie, the US Ambassador to Iraq before Iraq's invasion of Kuwait in 1990, to newspaper reporters before her sudden and unexplained demise demonstrates how dysfunction in international relations is fabricated and used as pretext for launching premeditated imperial foreign policy:

“Obviously, I didn't think, and nobody else did, that the Iraqis were going to take all of Kuwait.”

Saddaam Hussein was given the green light to invade Kuwait in the aftermath of the eight year Iran-Iraq war after Kuwait (as one may sensibly surmise) was goaded into extracting more oil from a heavily contested oil rich region between Iraq and Kuwait. With Iraq increasing its troop concentrations on the border, April Glaspie reported Saddaam Hussein's candid request for the American position to the State Department, and she was told to report back to Saddaam Hussein:

“We have no opinion on your Arab - Arab conflicts, such as your dispute with Kuwait.” (See April Glaspie's transcript of meeting with Saddaam Hussein, July 25, 1990 - Presidential Palace – Baghdad)

Once the highly predictable and easily manipulatable Iraqi dictator took the military step of invading Kuwait a week later after the sly blank-check had been given to him by the superpower, just as he had been given in invading Iran a decade earlier under the full military and economic support of the United States, this time the United States made Muslim Iraq public enemy number one and bombed that nation back to pre-history with a full scale military invasion. George Bush Sr., the president of the United States at the time, after his overt laissez faire diplomatic green lighting Saddaam Hussein's adventurism, suddenly drew a line in the sand. In his address to the American nation on the eve of America's new “just war”, he piously dignified American terror:

“This is an historic moment. We have in this past year made great progress in ending a long era of conflict and Cold War. We have before us the opportunity to forge for ourselves and for future generations, a NEW WORLD ORDER. A world for the rule of law, not the law of the jungle, governs the conduct of nations. When we are successful, and we will be, we have a real chance at this new world order. An order in which a credible United Nations can use its peace keeping role to fulfill the promise and vision of the UN's founders.” (George H. W. Bush Sr., January 16, 1991, watch-speech, transcript)

That engineered “just war” which began with aerial bombing in a reign of nightly terror by the Christian superpower, was followed by thirteen long years of crippling full spectrum economic sanctions and no-fly zones maintained by his successor president, William Jefferson Clinton. And that was followed by another full scale military invasion and nightly aerial bombing terror in 2003 by the
prodigal son following in his father's footsteps, George W. Bush Jr., in the pretext of Iraq possessing WMDs that Saddaam Hussein was about to launch upon the United States and its Allies any minute. In 2005, like April Glaspie in the previous decade, the Iraq Study Group offered its ex post facto mea culpa of “intelligence failure”, a well worn template with much mileage evidently still left in it, after the fait accompli had been diabolically engineered and the “mission accomplished”. Cheap public blood, especially Muslim blood, repeatedly shed by game-theory laced highly predictable and manufactured “happenstances” of history that lead to the desired foreign policy outcome in a sea of fabricated chaos! We know what that desired ultimate outcome is: New World Order that “fulfills the promise and vision of the UN's founders.”

The bibliography on this subject is extensive and rich, but the scholarly ostriches of Pakistan, like the rest of the world, find it both convenient to their careers and lucrative to their pocket-books to pretend that it does not exist.

Sheikh Nimr's grotesque execution by barbaric beheading at the hands of his own state, her ongoing military invasion and bombing of impoverished mostly Shia Yemen (Zaidi denomination) using mercenary armies, her purchasing a coalition of 34 nations along the Sunni axis, are all calculated provocations to get Shia Iran (Ithna Ashari denomination) to declare war on Sunni Saudi Arabia (Wahabi-Salafi denomination) for her global oppression of the Shias using her American sponsored Takfiri brigades (see Understanding ISIS and Imperial Surrogates and 'Terror Central' in Operation Gladio Redux). How long can the Iranian leadership, boldly proclaiming to be the valih-e-faqih-muslimeen, hold out from intervention without losing legitimacy to that title in the mind of the taqlidi Shia flock worldwide?

Once forced to sit on the Russian roulette table, there can be no winners. So far, it appears that Iran under valih-e-faqih Ayatollah Khamenei, has pragmatically understood the devil that she has been supping with for her recently concluded JCPOA treaty to unilaterally disarm herself of all potential nuclear weapons technology in exchange for international relief from the long running economic sanctions. The revolutionary state has sagaciously resisted her national instinct to rush headlong into any holy war set up for it, as it did during the reign of the first valih-e-faqih of Iran, the late Ayatollah (imam) Khomeini, who identified America as the Great Satan and watered her cemeteries with the youthful blood of a generation in the name of Sacred Defence.

In that same vein of engineered Machiavellian provocation, how long before a Hezbollah Pakistan is born by the existential necessity of self-defence, to offer armed resistance to the Takfiris in Pakistan shedding Shia blood with impunity with the state looking the other way? (See The New SAVAK in Pakistan). For all that spilled Muslim blood in Pakistan and elsewhere, the Pakistanis have not been galvanized into displaying public furor of any consequence.

Apathy is a strong motivator for any people to look the other way, or at best do the obligatory feel-good “weekend jihad” and get on with the pursuit of their “American Dream” the rest of the week. But any perceived threat to the kingdom of Saudi Arabia, the custodian of Mecca and Medina, is a different story altogether. With proper propaganda support, that would easily become the universal cause célèbre for yet another sacred defence! The new narrative is a most brilliant play from Machiavelli's playbook of engineering conflagration.

This time it is engineered to flashpoint, and assisted by the state apparatuses of the vassal states, as with the carefully crafted public rhetoric of “strong response” to threats to his country's
UNIFYING MUSLIMS AGAINST HECTURING HEGEMONS

*Territorial integrity,* the Pakistani rulers repeatedly assured the Saudi Defence minister (see news reports below).

Instead of holding Saudi Arabia to the much touted human rights standards for its barbaric beheadings and causing the death of thousands of pilgrims in the preceding Hajj season, with no respect shown to the dead pilgrim bodies which were subsequently buried anonymously in mass graves and no apologies offered to their grieving families and nations, the Pakistani officials, military and political, rushed to declare their oath of allegiance to the kingdom that bankrolls Pakistan and provides safe haven to its out-of-favor political leaders during military take-overs.

Caption Saudi defence minister was received by Prime Minister’s Adviser on Foreign Affairs Sartaj Aziz and Defence Minster Khawaja Asif.— Photo: PID (Dawn.com)

Nawaz Sharif, the incumbent prime minister of Pakistan, owes his life to the kingdom of Saudi Arabia. A lesson that he learnt in a hurry after having witnessed the fate of the former prime minister who has hanged by the predecessor military dictator of Pakistan, and saw history repeating itself without the Saudi intervention offering him refuge. The prime minister of Pakistan can only repay his personal debt in national blood.

Caption ISLAMABAD: Saudi Foreign Minister Adel bin Ahmed Al-Jubeir shaking hands with Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif before their meeting at the PM House.—INP (Dawn.com)
Uniting Muslims Against Hectoring Hegemons

Caption Saudi deputy crown prince and Defence Minister Muhammad Bin Salman along with Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif at PM House.— Photo: PM House (Dawn.com)

Caption Saudi deputy crown prince and Defence Minister met COAS General Raheel Sharif, COAS at General Headquarters.— Photo: ISPR (Dawn.com)

Caption It was agreed that the two countries would cooperate in developing an effective counter narrative to defeat the extremist mindset. — Photo: PID (Dawn.com)
What is also not inexplicable is the Pakistan Army COAS quickly rushing to dignify the Saudi regime's brutality under the most banal propaganda rubric that would easily resonate with the public mind --- threat to holy Saudi Arabia! To protect the holy lands from the impoverished Yemenis, Pakistan's Defence Minister, Khawaja Asif, unabashedly admitted on the National Assembly floor that Pakistan has agreements with Saudi Arabia, stating: “our people do provide training to the Saudi troops.” (January 19, 2016). Training to do what? To bomb impoverished Yemen in partnership with the Western Allies' proxy war services, as even headlined in the British press: “British military advisers are in control rooms assisting the Saudi-led coalition staging bombing raids across Yemen that have killed thousands of civilians, the Saudi foreign minister and the Ministry of Defence have confirmed.” (January 15, 2016). Pakistan military advising and training the Saudis for bombing Yemen – Why? What threat does the Shia rebellion in the North of that impoverished country to demand equality and justice from their own government present to Western backed Saudi kingdom? Only as the provocation template to induce Iran into the Shia-Sunni battlefield being mercilessly carved out in Muslim blood, with Pakistan, the eager beaver proxy services provider to the world, already declaring its chosen side! Then they shall come as “peace makers”, as the Holy Qur'an vouches the war-mongers always deceitfully do:

“When it is said to them: 'Make not mischief on the earth', they say: 'Why, we only want to make peace!' ” (2:11).

Lo and behold, after choosing sides, after aiding and abetting the Saudi aggressors in their propaganda narrative of the so called 34-nation Sunni coalition to wage “war on terror” from which Shia Iran is carefully kept out “due to trust deficit”, Nawaz Sharif accompanied by his COAS gallantly trot off to both nations to become the valiant “peace makers” (“Saudi Arabia, Iran brotherly countries: PM Nawaz”, January 19, 2016).

Beyond the pretenses and public relations which wear thin, for the royal welcome mat eagerly laid out in Pakistan for Saudi Defence and Foreign Ministers, Pakistan's military's marching orders to
provide proxy services to the kingdom of Saudi Arabia, one may intelligently surmise, must have come directly from the head of Western Alliance, Washington. Because, like all militaries of the world prepared for proxy services, Pakistan's too takes its policy and priority cues from her own principal paymasters. As cliché as it may be, there is still no free lunch!

To be faithful to one's own nation one must not have foreign paymasters, nor harvest one's own nation to make up the difference. Perhaps that truism is no longer obvious to anyone.

The provocation template of exercising foreign policy using proxy service providers to seed international dysfunction is only an open secret. It is not a state secret. America's current best friend, the absolutist kingdom of Saudi Arabia, just like America's former best friend, the absolutist dictator Iraqi Saddaam Hussein, is about to taste the fruit of supping with the devil without a very long spoon. As Henry Kissinger is known to have once quipped: “it may be dangerous to be America's enemy, but to be America's friend is fatal.”

The war imposed upon us is principally an intellectually fueled war. Those who don't use their intellect cannot ever confront it. Those who do, unfortunately have no power unless people together use their collective national intellect. Fools and useful idiots will always overwhelm the most profound intellect as has happened throughout human history. Mobs are swayed by emotions and sentimentalism and before their rising noon tide, the tallest intelligence, the fairest virtue, bows her head in acquiescence when they come to burn her house down.

The people of the Indian subcontinent are especially given to this predilection more than almost any other people. The blood partition of 1947 is empirical evidence of that fact that nothing was left sacred by the Hindu-Muslim-Sikh mobs incited by events beyond their control and acumen, swearing blood revenge upon each other. Neighbour killed neighbour, friend killed friend, just because they were not part of the same religion. That same fire of separation on ideological grounds, “us vs. them”, is being rekindled among the same people, specifically of riot prone Pakistan. But this time along their natural fissures, the sectarian boundary.

If simpleton minds think it cannot happen, that all this is far fetched, just see how the militaries of Shia majority Iraq and Shia majority Iran so easily decimated each other in the name of their respective “divine mandate”. Just imagine the momentousness of the “divine mandate” when Mecca and Medina are presented as being in danger!

Muslim masses are mere putty in the hands of propagandist and religious authority figures. Where the billion Muslims have stayed largely impervious to the Saudi kingdom obliterating archeological vestiges of the early advent of Islam and its noble Prophet from their Hijaz territories, only because there has been no adverse propaganda campaign against that imperial evil, and also because the systematic destruction is carried out under the positive propaganda cover of expanding Hajj services to accommodate the larger number of people coming for pilgrimage, the Muslim masses will be mobilized instantly to put on their jihad robes and pile on top of each other to reach heaven with the right provocation.

The Muslim fratricide is indefinitely sustainable when accompanied by, as Brzezinski put it, “a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.”

The mobilization exercises to recruit the mujahideen for America's proxy war against the USSR in Afghanistan among the Sunnis worldwide, but mainly in Afghanistan-Pakistan, and the *baseej* and
other canon fodder in Shia Iran by Ayatollah Khomeini for the American sponsored Iran-Iraq war during that same period to birth-pang the infamous “arc of crisis”, which, as Brzezinski prognosticated in the Time Magazine of January 1979:

> “An arc of crisis stretches along the shores of the Indian Ocean, with fragile social and political structures in a region of vital importance to us threatened with fragmentation. The resulting political chaos could well be filled by elements hostile to our values and sympathetic to our adversaries.”

have unequivocally demonstrated the success of “God is on your side” applied thickly enough!

Let's not fall for it again. Inform our leaders, our generals, our rulers, our scholars, our opinion-makers, that we do not wish to be participant in their games. That we are not sheep and that we refuse to service the mutton eaters!

Let each one of us feel the burden of responsibility to douse the dry kiln wood around us and within our reach, in plentiful water before the imperial spark succeeds in setting it all ablaze.


Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
California
Part-III

The New SAVAK in Pakistan -
Understanding Shia Killings in Pakistan

February 18, 2013

The Pakistani intelligentsia occupying front row chairs in the news media, and Pakistan's so-called intellectuals in her proliferating think-tanks, along with the bleeding so-called liberals and the revolutionary so-called conservatives, and not to forget the multiplying secular humanists and various and sundry human rights groups; all full of it. At least in my never to be humble view. None are calling, or able to call, the systematic Shia killings perceptively, forget forensically. The latest in the Quetta bomb blast on 16 February 2013, on the 37th day of mourning of an earlier bomb blast on 10 January in the same ravaged city of Baluchistan, the richest and most atrociously managed province of Pakistan.

Labels such as “genocide” to “ethnic cleansing” to “Shia-phobia” are bandied about by the erudite analysts and Pakistani expats writing all over the world; and the Shia mullahs in Pakistan are evidently reaping a great harvest of audience from the misfortunes of the dead and their grieving families; إنَّا لِلّهِ وَإِنَّا إِلَيْهِ رَاجِعُونَ; all blind to the geopolitical game being played in Pakistan with proxy service providers.

While protesting these killings of the innocent is necessary; silence is a crime; unless that game is understood, what the players are doing will remain senseless. And therefore, never able to be interdicted effectively in self-defence. So long as reactionary emotions continue to rule the Pakistani public mind, and so long as it is ruled by useful idiots and mercenaries, the intellect of the superman devouring Pakistan and Muslims will always trump it.
On the surface, the killing of the shias in Quetta, Baluchistan, appear entirely senseless. It is presented as the work of sectarian hatred by the odd terrorist and fanatic group who supposedly hate the shias.

Nothing can be further from truth. Mercenaries and assets do not hate. They follow handlers' orders.

To understand what is transpiring in Pakistan, one must first journey to Iran of the 1970s when SAVAK roamed supreme and tyrannized its public in entirely predictable outcome -- at least predictable for those at the RAND Corporation. The same purpose is being fomented by the various terrorist organizations in Pakistan. They are collectively, a replacement of SAVAK.

Just as the terrorist organization SAVAK was formed and trained by the CIA and worked for the Shahansha of Iran, the terrorist organizations operating in Pakistan -- from Jundallah in Baluchistan targeting Iran (http://tinyurl.com/Jundallah-Pakistan-CIA), to its sister organizations (or itself) targeting Pakistanis with dumb jackasses groomed for sectarian hatred and intolerance by the same apparatuses of the state being told to take the credit for killing the Shias in the name of religion -- are trained by the same paymasters and work for those in charge of Pakistan affairs today. Some of them are the front faces duly elected, some wear uniforms, some turbans, some live abroad, and some remain occulted from the public eye. They all have the same master as Saddam Hussein and the Shahansha of Iran once did, and work in a compartmentalized and cellularized manner from each other towards a broader agenda of which each perhaps remains entirely clueless. Each is respectively led by the carrot appropriate to its own genius mind. The terrorists, organized in blue-teams and red-teams,
also remain unaware of each others existence and do their assigned job. And part of that job is to divert attention to make it look like sectarian and religious based.

This is the statecraft of modern warfare in the exercise of hegemony. There is not one general in the higher military echelons of Pakistan who can claim ignorance of these principles. And nor can the high-falutin defense analysts, the retired generals.

Yet, not once has the CIA trained and Pakistani military supported Jundallah been mentioned by anyone in Pakistan in the targeted killing of the Shias in Quetta, and in the rest of Pakistan. The terrorist group's name has evidently been removed from media and military memory.

What the Shahansha's SAVAK did, and what the West did to cultivate and protect the reaction to SAVAK's excesses, finally bringing it to power on an Air France Jet Airliner that could have easily been blown out of existence in just the same way as Iranian passenger Airbus plane, Iran Air Flight 655, was shot down in Iranian waters in the Persian Gulf on July 3, 1988 by the U.S warship, the Vincennes, in a flagrant act of state-directed terrorism that killed 290 passengers on board; and yet “Revolutionary Islam” (http://tinyurl.com/Islam-and-Revolution-Khomeini2) was brought to land safely after transiting through the safe-harbor in NATO controlled France, and that was after being deliberately evicted from Iraq by Saddam Hussein who also only marched to the same master's voice.

The pattern of synthesis of “revolutionary Islam” is along the same Hegelian Dialectic template which produced the Iranian Revolution, “mujahideen Islam”, “militant Islam”, and “moderate Islam”. It is the best enemy no money can buy, but “Islam” (note the double quotes) provides to the Machiavelli for free.

The Path Forward is to astutely engage with Qur'anic Political Science examined in: Hijacking The Holy Qur'an And Its Religion Islam – Muslims and Imperial Mobilization.
Part-IV

Some Context for Shia Killings in Pakistan

The same victims, the same agenda, the same trigger-pullers, and the same puppetmasters, year after year – WHY?

February 21, 2013

The New SAVAK in Pakistan, these latest spate of shia killings, should be examined in the context of the Grand Chessboard and the senseless creation of “revolutionary times” across the world will begin to make sense. Pakistan is a component of it. That component is tickled through sectarianism as that is the genius of our peoples. Other nations are tickled according to their own respective genius minds. The New SAVAK in Pakistan works for these superman gods in no less a measure than the old SAVAK worked for its own superman god. Is this stuff rocket science you people? Why can't learned people in Pakistan see it? Especially why can't the high falutin armchair analysts, and the defense analysts, who have routine access to media see it? Look at my examination of the publicly proclaimed Middle East war agenda systematically unlayering the overarching war context (http://tinyurl.com/Unlayering-Mid-East-War-Agenda) reproduced below. Does that sound like American empire or Zionist empire to any of you? Or does it appear exactly what it is -- an Oligarchic Empire for World Government being constructed with “an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece” (Hard Road to World Order, CFR 1974 – read the superman's paper if you think I make things up with my unconstrained hyperactive imagination)!
Com'on you idle spectators and bullshit purveyors (those who deliver crap in the opportunity they get in the media), all my good friends I am sure. Six feet under the maggots can't tell the bloody difference. Only the dead have seen the end of war, says Plato. Sure -- those living see it everyday. And Most recently, in the systematic Muslim killings in Pakistan where, in the immediate aftermath of targeting the Hazara shias in Quetta with a bomb on 16 Feb. 2013, the shia eye surgeon, Dr. Ali Haider, and his 11-year-old son Murtaza Haider (photos above), were ruthlessly and prematurely dispatched to meet their Maker with bullets to their head in Lahore this past Monday, 18 Feb. 2013.

You, me, all of us, don't speak up right now, you, all of you my friends in Pakistan, they are coming for you and me next (the question in the photo above is not merely rhetorical; the assertion by the child is also not rhetorical, but a dare, a show of courage and perseverance that mirrors the Palestinian defiance living and dying under the jackboots of a superman terrorist state). For, this is the
harvest of remaining silent when ZA Bhutto was politically declaring the Qadianis non-Muslims.

Watch the confessional speech in Urdu by the brilliant (late) Pakistani Shia scholar, Allama Syed Irfan Haider Abidi, in which he openly apportions due credit to the Shia scholars of the time, of 1974, for participating in that political travesty in support of the Sunni ullema led effort that ZA Bhutto was orchestrating from behind the scenes to neutralize the American sponsored fanatic religious opposition to him (see Profiles of Intelligence quoted in Who Killed Benazir Bhutto for America's role in funding the PNA Alliance of the religious right to finally unseat ZA Bhutto, http://tinyurl.com/who-killed-benazir-bhutto).

Chicken coming home to roost for the Shias of Pakistan

[ http://youtube.com/watch?v=RSFVxga9iJs#t=1m55s ]

Caption Quoting the Shia scholar (translation is mine): “All the Muslims in the world would not have been able to declare Qadianis kafir if 'Ali Waale' were not present!” (time 1m 55s) See Role of Shias in Qadianis' Kafirdom

Who gives the right to man to challenge another's beliefs; let alone deny a people their political, religious, civil, and basic human rights, including to not be killed by either the pirates or the emperors for their “deviant” beliefs (inheritance), their race and ethnicity (also inheritance), their land (assets), or for their being the wretched of the earth and eliminated to lessen their own misery (sic)? See Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization.

When you open that can of worms of Takfirism, especially by the state giving legitimacy to calling one group “kafir”, well, now the Shias and Ismailis are the new “kafirs” today. Following that, who's next? YOU, my Sunni friends, who are silently watching the spectacle of Shia deaths and demonization, just as both together previously spectated the Qadiani pogroms in silence; you and your parents, and your siblings still living in Pakistan and unlucky enough to not have the Green Card or Canadian citizenship.

It is these cracks and lacunas in our crippled sectarian ethos which make Muslims perennially ripe for the fertile seeding and rich harvesting of “revolutionary times” in our societies. Unless we do something to screech over these sectarian cracks that are Machiavellianly enlarged into “geopolitical fault-lines” by empire builders for their own “imperial mobilization” (see example of how sectarian cracks can be used for divide and conquer), we are burnt toast.

And learned people who are supposed to have the wherewithal to lead the charge, to educate the public mind to what's really going on, to motivate them to stand up, have all been turned into glorified House Niggers and useful idiots.

Never too late to throw that yoke of servitude---even for house niggers and silent bystanders---and for selfish reasons of self-preservation if not for altruistic concern for anyone else.
Chapter 34

The “Respectable” Takfīrism

What Role did Shias Play in Condemning Qadianis to Kafirdom in Cahoots with Sunni Scholars in 1974?

*Chicken coming home to roost for the Shias of Pakistan*

Sunday, February 24, 2013

In reaction to the ongoing targeted Shia killings in Pakistan as the new “kafirs” (see [Some Context for Shia Killings in Pakistan](#) and [The New SAVAK in Pakistan](#)), while researching the role of fanatical Sunni sects in condemning the Qadianis previously as the original “kafir” in 1973-74 under ZA Bhutto's Islamization drive to neutralize the American sponsored religious right, I stumbled upon the following gem. Watch this video clip, at time 1m 55 sec:
Chicken coming home to roost for the Shias of Pakistan?

Watch Allama Irfan Haider Abidi, *Qadiyani Aur Sunni Main Farq?*, 1990s

[http://youtube.com/watch?v=RSFVxga9iJs#t=1m55s]

Caption Quoting the late Pakistani Shia scholar, Allama Irfan Haider Abidi, (translation is mine): “All the Muslims in the world would not have been able to declare Qadianis kafir if 'Ali Waale' were not present!” (Allama Irfan Haider Abidi, *Qadiyani Aur Sunni Main Farq?*, 1990s, time 1m 55s, translated by Zahir Ebrahim)

Watch Allama Irfan Haider Abidi, 8th Muharram 1990 Majlis at Karachi

[http://youtube.com/watch?v=EowV-izVLb4#t=43m55s]

Caption Quoting the late Pakistani Shia scholar, Allama Irfan Haider Abidi, why the Shia pulpit is protected from officially being declared 'kafir' in Pakistan; which perhaps explains the psychology behind why it was easy for the 'Ali Waale' (video above) to team up with the fanatic Sunni pulpits against the Qadianis' political disenfranchisement orchestrated by ZA Bhutto in 1974 — when they could have just as easily recused themselves from the political charade even if no one rationally dare declare Shias 'kafir' (translation is mine):

“I am speaking from both Shia and Sunni point of view. In Islam, there is no concept of majority and minority. In Islam only non-Muslims are called minority. (Some instructions to the listeners to pay close attention and to stop sloganeering) In Islam the Muslims are always in the majority (by definition); even if among 200 non-Muslims there are only 2 homes (that are Muslim). And minority is 'scheduled caste'; the non-Muslims are called the minorities. And responsible citizens are sitting here. Our Mr. Shah sahib participated in the formation of the 1973 Constitution, and he knows better; he is also an advocate, and he is very experienced; he has studied constitutional law. Our Mr. Qizalbash sahib is also sitting here; and he also knows. And other law experts must also be present here.

The 1973 Constitution had clearly written the words “non-Muslim minorities” in reference to Personal Law. Meaning, those minority communities which are not Muslim. Personal Law was only for them. We don't except the Western terminology of Personal Law and Public Law.

The 1973 Constitution made it clear-cut that Personal Law will only be for minorities. After that, during the military dictatorship rule when the 1973 Constitution was disfigured, this clause was removed. And then every (Muslim)
sect was given freedom to do whatever they want under Personal Law. Every sect does not need freedom in Personal Law to do whatever they want. I am not going to bury my dead by asking the government first. It is my right.

Pakistan's 1973 Constitution was subverted and disfigured through amendments during the military era. Go pick up copies of the Constitution and examine it. This reference to Section 227 that is often made; it was subverted, disfigured. Where other aspects of the Constitution have been disfigured, this has also been disfigured.

Personal Law is only for minorities. And the term “minorities” in Islam is exclusively reserved for kafirs. Until such time that someone does not declare us (shias) kafirs, we don't accept any Personal Law. And there is no such brave person, 'mai ka laal', born to any mother, who can dare declare those who follow Ali as 'kafir'. I swear by God. (cheering).

Writing on doors and walls nothing happens; just writing “kafir kafir”, dear listeners, nothing can happen. Because, and this is our only main advantage (or superiority), that no one can ever declare those who say “ya Ali” to be 'kafir'.

And the reason no one can declare that, is because we also say “la illaha illallah”, we also say “Muhammad-un rasool ullah”, and immediately after that we say “Ali-un vali ullah”. And after saying “Ali-un vali ullah”, it becomes an automatic announcement (a declaration of faith) that now no more messengers will come, because now Ali's Imamate has commenced! (cheering) Are you paying attention? Reflect again.

As for declaring the Shia-an-e-haidar-e-karar 'kafir', friends, if you ask me my personal opinion, I pray to Allah, someone should really declare us 'kafir', just one time. By just someone's proclamation one of course does not become 'kafir'. And a kafir declaring someone else 'kafir' cannot make the momin (Shia) 'kafir', obviously. (laughter, sloganeering).

But I would like to say at least this little thing, that God willing, it should come into someone's mind to declare Shia-an-e-haidar-e-karar 'kafir'.

Remember, it is from our beliefs that the existence of Pakistan is intimately associated (or dependent). Pay attention, I am stating a very important sentence. And this voice should be spread if the news media representatives whom I had especially invited are present here. My message should be spread, and very responsible citizens are present here.

In all their presence I am stating: it is with our beliefs and (our) Islam that the future of the entire country is intertwined. I am saying just try it – if we are declared 'kafir', constitutionally, Pakistan's Resolution, the 1940 Resolution, the 1945 Convention, the 1930 Allahabad Convention (Sir Muhammad Iqbal's 1930 Presidential Address, Allahabad, 29 December 1930), all these will automatically become null and void!
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The entire conception of Pakistan will become null and void. Because, if we are declared 'kafir', then the founder of Pakistan also becomes 'kafir'!

(Allama Irfan Haider Abidi, 8th Muharram 1990 at Karachi, time 43m 55s to 49m 18s, translated by Zahir Ebrahim)

When a people are not very principled, when their rulers' and leaders' politics is based on expeditious reasoning, and political expediency is the foundation of rule of law, as it has been for the entire 65 year history of Pakistan, what goes around comes around. The fact, according to the Shia scholar in the first video above, that the “Ali Waale”, meaning the Shia scholars, participated in conferring that epithet of official kafirdom upon another peoples, the Qadianis, leaves the ongoing Shia killings today in the name of their own kafirdom, with the tail wagging the dog. The logical invincibility proclaimed in the second video not being all that effective in protecting the ordinary Shia peoples from the daily targeted wrath of the barbarians. Someone evidently forgot to inform the murderous barbarians and their manufacturers and handlers that the Shias are invincible!

If there is substantive truth to this matter of the Shia pulpit being instrumental in clinching the theological argument for condemning another people to political disenfranchisement, I hasten to reason with all fairness that before the Shias (and the Sunnis who also will not escape being made victims in similar numbers) can claim any sanctuary from these manufactured barbarians, they must first apologize to the Qadianis. All Muslim peoples of Pakistan must together endeavor to collectively end this long beleaguered minority's political dispossession in order to save their own respective skin. So long as the Qadianis remain “kafir” -- that precedent-setting fault-line among Islam's followers will eventually be made to devour all Muslims.

For each one of you, well, except for the few who are converts to Islam, your religion is your inheritance, just as it is for me. There is absolutely no merit in you being born a Shia, or Sunni, or demerit in being born a Qadiani, and for that matter a Dalit or any other. We were all born in our respective homes and socialized into our worldviews, our faith, our beliefs, our loves, and also our hates (see Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization). Being condemned and dispossessed of political rights, marginalized and killed, because of one's beliefs – that used to happen in the Dark Ages in most parts of the world, and still happens in Palestine today for the Palestinians under occupation. But why does that still happen in Pakistan? It is easy to point to effects and think them to be the cause. Cause and effect are two different things. Blood-drenched sectarianism is the symptom, like the ugly boil on the syphilis ridden new bride's lip. What is the cause? The principal first cause is the directionless-ness of the nation; carved from blood and dispossession, never forging an independent national destiny, and preferring to continue as the newly freed but still emotionally dependent slave of the massa.

We don't even have a sensible understanding of what is likely obvious to even intelligent first graders in the West. One is criminalized in a civilized society only for one's acts of crime – and beliefs are not a crime in a civilized society. Except, when it becomes Orwellian; when even thought-crimes can be defined by the fiat of law to carry the death penalty. In such a dystopian society, no one is immune from being made kafir, terrorist, or even classified as suffering from a psychiatric illness such as the newly coined “oppositional defiant disorder” and locked away for life --- once that cat of marginalizing a people based on their beliefs is let out of the bag!

So why were the Shia and Sunni Muslim public in Pakistan silent in 1974 when their respective
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scholars were condemning another minority to kafirdom? When many good people remain silent to the travails of others, the few bad people take over and screw each good people in turn. Duh! It is for this reason that Solon, the ancient Athenian law-giver, advocated for social responsibility as not just a moral requirement, but a legal requirement. When asked which city he thought was well-governed, Solon said: “That city where those who have not been injured take up the cause of one who has, and prosecute the case as earnestly as if the wrong had been done to themselves.”

To overcome that banality of evil has been the principal teaching of all religions, but specifically Islam (see Islam: Surah Al-Asr of the Holy Qur’an and Path Forward: Impacting Muslim Existence). We turned that lofty religion into a bunch of rituals, and my sect’s is bigger than your sect's childish rivalry among the few which continued to spread by way of socialization into self-righteousness. Its natural culmination is the barbarianism now being visited upon those previously silent and too busy pursuing their own “Pakistani Dream” – both in and out of the mosques – to give a fck about anyone else's blood being shed. It isn't my blood, my child, my wife, my brothers and sisters, my parents – phew. Let's move on to the next channel see what's playing.

What share should we apportion to ourselves for our public apathy and silence for this carnage that is now Pakistan? We hasten to blame our national misery on the rampages of the pirates, on the greed of the politicians, and on the emperor's armies and think-tanks playing the new great game on the grand chessboard. What has been our tacit role in rubber-stamping their rampages with our indifference, with our abiding signatures, and with our quiet compliance?

Just because you are a Shia, or a Sunni, or a Christian, or whatever other minority peoples exist in Pakistan, and your erudite turban or shalwar-kameez excretes poison for others, especially when you are a majority, you don't have to go along with your tribe “United We Stand”. Have the courage to instead “United We Stand” with moral decency, with civic mindedness, with fairness, with justice, diligently applying the Golden Rule “do unto others as you have others do unto you” to adjudicate upon any and all matters; and today the Shia ass would not be in the line of fire of these antediluvian manufactured barbarians – because the Qadiani ass would also never have been in that line of fire.

To be effective in stopping this carnage for any one sect, the carnage must stop for all citizens regardless of their sect and religion. Given the state of narrow parochialism the mass Pakistani mind has been reduced to today, only a firm separation of religion and state with all citizens accorded the same rights and privileges irrespective of religion; the adoption of the principle of amicable co-existence derived from verse 5:48 of the Holy Qur'an as mandatory for all sects and religions accorded recognition by the state (see Path Forward: Impacting Muslim Existence); and the elimination of religion identification from the Pakistani national identity card and passport; remain the core national first course of action before the country disintegrates completely. Many people all across Pakistan have reached this conclusion of separation of state and religion which all the political founders of Pakistan, without exception, advocated, and the Muslim public who supported them with their own blood, expected. If a referendum is taken today, it should not surprise anyone that the overwhelming majority of the ordinary Pakistani public even three generations later, despite the national dysfunction, will also still agree with it.

The problem is not [the lack of] abstract theory. It is the intertwining of political will and the power nexus in Pakistan that is still entirely beholden to the same white man's burden now merely wearing the indirect “liberal” garb of democracy instead of the iron fisted one of direct colonial

“[[7]] I cannot emphasize it too much. We should begin to work in that spirit, and in course of time all these angularities of the majority and minority communities, the Hindu community and the Muslim community -- because even as regards Muslims you have Pathans, Punjabis, Shias, Sunnis and so on, and among the Hindus you have Brahmins, Vashnavas, Khatris, also Bengalees, Madrasis and so on -- will vanish. Indeed if you ask me, this has been the biggest hindrance in the way of India to attain the freedom and independence, and but for this we would have been free people long long ago. No power can hold another nation, and specially a nation of 400 million souls, in subjection; nobody could have conquered you, and even if it had happened, nobody could have continued its hold on you for any length of time, but for this. Therefore, we must learn a lesson from this. You are free; you are free to go to your temples, you are free to go to your mosques or to any other place or worship in this State of Pakistan. You may belong to any religion or caste or creed -- that has nothing to do with the business of the State. As you know, history shows that in England conditions, some time ago, were much worse than those prevailing in India today. The Roman Catholics and the Protestants persecuted each other. Even now there are some States in existence where there are discriminations made and bars imposed against a particular class. Thank God, we are not starting in those days. We are starting in the days where there is no discrimination, no distinction between one community and another, no discrimination between one caste or creed and another. We are starting with this fundamental principle: that we are all citizens, and equal citizens, of one State. The people of England in [the] course of time had to face the realities of the situation, and had to discharge the responsibilities and burdens placed upon them by the government of their country; and they went through that fire step by step. Today, you might say with justice that Roman Catholics and Protestants do not exist; what exists now is that every man is a citizen, an equal citizen of Great Britain, and they are all members of the Nation.

[[8]] Now I think we should keep that in front of us as our ideal, and you will find that in course of time Hindus would cease to be Hindus, and Muslims would cease to be Muslims, not in the religious sense, because that is the personal faith of each individual, but in the political sense as citizens of the State.

[[9]] Well, gentlemen, I do not wish to take up any more of your time; and thank you again for the honour you have done to me. I shall always be guided by the principles of justice and fair play without any, as is put in the political
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language, prejudice or ill-will; in other words, partiality or favouritism. My guiding principle will be justice and complete impartiality, and I am sure that with your support and co-operation, I can look forward to Pakistan becoming one of the greatest Nations of the world.” --- Muhammad Ali Jinnah’s first Presidential Address to the Constituent Assembly of Pakistan, August 11, 1947.

Unfortunately, to undo the Gordian knot of provincialism tied on Pakistani politics since its very inception is gonna take more than a few wise men, regurgitation of theory, and referendum; and isn't that the truth!

There are many lessons to be learnt from history, but the one that continues to impress me is the fact that once a Gordian knot is tied upon any matter, or any nation, a thousand wise men may not be able to untie it. When Imam Ali acquired the reins of the Caliphate due to the public finally pleading with him to take charge of the Muslim nation after its third Caliph's assassination when a Gordian knot had already been tied upon the internal affairs of the rapidly emerging new ruling-state that was fast reaching the shores of the Roman Empire, Persia and India, even the singular “gate to the city of knowledge”, the most fearless warrior and most sagacious saint-scholar of Islam who had protected Islam and its Prophet from the very first proclamation of the religion, was unable to undo the civil wars that besieged his 4-1/2 years in power. He was condemned to the worst internecine warfare that any nation has ever witnessed in order to protect the integrity of the new Islamic state from total disintegration within. As history is witness, that Gordian knot led to the incomparable assassination of his entire family after his own assassination; in other words, to the assassination of the noble Prophet of Islam's own family by the Muslims; and to the creation of the first Muslim dynastic empire by the Ummayads, the children of Abu-Suffian, the greatest antagonist of the Prophet of Islam! This history is so painful for Muslims to accept despite the distance of fourteen centuries that the vast majority still apply semantic sugaring to the abhorrence to make it more palatable to their delicate constitution that is unable to digest reality in its uncooked state. That Gordian knot has affected both the understanding, and the practice of Islam, to this very day. Such is the power of a Gordian knot!

Perhaps the lack of the many wise men in Pakistan who can even begin to tackle the Gordian knot tied upon this nation can be made up by every ordinary man woman and child in Pakistan screaming NO to their own banality of evil; they can stop being silent bystanders while waiting for their turn to become the next victim of the barbarians – both the pirate and the emperor; and stand up to have their presence felt in society. What that means for the upcoming 2013 elections (and all future elections in Pakistan so long as Pakistan remains a geopolitical pawn and vassal-state ruled by house niggers, useful idiots and mercenaries) can be read in Some Context for Shia Killings in Pakistan.
March 27, 2015

In continuation of my examination of What Role did Shias Play in Condemning Qadianis to Kafirdom in Cahoots with Sunni Scholars in 1974?, the fact that Muslims under the tutelage of their religious as well as secular leadership continue to harbor the ill-founded superiority complex borne of uber self-righteousness that they have the right to define who is a Muslim and who isn't, was once again demonstrated in 2005 in: The Three Points of The Amman Message. Once again the Qadianis were left out of the fold in that invited congregation of the pious from all over the Muslim world who self-righteously declared:

'(1) Whosoever is an adherent to one of the four Sunni schools (Mathahib) of Islamic jurisprudence (Hanafi, Maliki, Shafi’i and Hanbali), the two Shi’i schools of Islamic jurisprudence (Ja’fari and Zaydi), the Ibadi school of Islamic jurisprudence and the Thahiri school of Islamic jurisprudence, is a Muslim. Declaring that person an apostate is impossible and impermissible. Verily his (or her) blood, honour, and property are inviolable.' --- https://web.archive.org/web/2005/http://ammanmessage.com

What would be incredibly funny in this declaration made at the International Islamic Conference in Amman Jordan under the benefactorship of the Hashemite Kingdom, were it not so pathetic, is that none of the above schools are even mentioned in the Holy Qur'an! And nor is there any doctrine of rule by kings in Islam to legitimize the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan; and nor is there any doctrine of hereditary self-appointment to the position of imamate in the Holy Qur'an to legitimize the divine leadership of the Aga Khan (see quote from Aga Khan's letter below self-asserting his hereditary right as a divine mandate, no differently than the antediluvian divine right of kings to rule
their flock asserted by the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan holding the Conference). The illegitimates apportioning to themselves the right to declare others illegitimate, as is usually the case with power that is flushed with hubris and best captured by St. Augustine at the dawn of the Christian civilization:

“When the King asked him what he meant by infesting the sea, the pirate defiantly replied: 'the same as you do when you infest the whole world; but because I do it with a little ship I am called a robber, and because you do it with a great fleet, you are an emperor.' ” --- St. Augustine of Hippo, *The City of God against the Pagans*, pg. 148

What the Amman Message, signed by more learned scholars and pious dignitaries than I have the impudence to count, was ostensibly trying to do was to ban calling Muslims “kafir” by other Muslims – and yet they chose to define, by their own “Ijma”, who is a Muslim and who isn't.

Instead of defining acceptable vs. unacceptable behavior based on rights and responsibilities for pluralistic mutual co-existence, while paying lip-service to pluralism, they chose to define faith, namely, who is a Muslim and who isn't. And they drew upon their favorite hadith which conveniently sanctioned the very notion of “Ijma”, meaning, consensus among the self proclaimed self-righteous Muslims being a valid method of making judgments on Islamic matters, and extending that to include matters pertaining to faith. Of course, these super learned scholars and brilliant pious leaders of the Muslim world forgot that the greatest example of a consensus is a lynch mob – and that, in a civilized world, a majority consensus does not justify the poor guy on the gallows to be necklaced by the self-righteous mob anymore than a self-righteous nation deny its minority of even one individual a single political and civil right, let alone deny anyone their human rights based on their religious beliefs, or lack thereof, or not in conformity to the majority.

Who are these Amman scholars, convened under the authority of an absolutist monarch, to define who is a Muslim? The Conference would have been more appropriate in debating whether the Hashemite kingdom itself is justified by Islam.

Where does the Holy Qur'an give mortal fallible elites – themselves at the mercy of their limited imagination, limited acumen, but evidently just as infinite in their power-grabs and kingdoms as in their ingrained socialization biases and hereditary prejudices which they self-righteously come to call faith – the right to decree who is a Muslim and who isn't, or which is a legitimate school of jurisprudence and which isn't? Can these elites first create an “Ijma”, consensus, on that question?

No---we don't care to ask the right questions lest it expose our self-righteous bullshit!

By the same yardstick employed at that conference, if Muslim scholars, Muslim rulers, and other Muslim elites participating in it can't create an “Ijma” on the more fundamental question of whether or not hereditary Muslim elites like themselves have the right first, to define another's Islamic faith, jurisprudence, and in general what beliefs are legitimate and what aren't, then ergo, that trumps their reaching any conclusion whatsoever on decreeing who is a Muslim and who isn't.

This Amman conference and its feeble-minded declaration, well-intentioned though it may have seemed to address and bridge a persisting Muslim lacuna of centuries, reduced itself to a sham by first not passing a declaration unequivocally demonstrating their own right to pass such a declaration on who is a Muslim solely from the Holy Qur'an. They would have clearly failed had they even tried to demonstrate their right to do so!
The Holy Qur'an, the singular scripture of the religion of Islam, does not devolve such a right upon any fallible man once someone has proclaimed themselves to be a Muslim. See categorical directives in numerous verses such as: “If ye differ in anything among yourselves, refer it to Allah and His Messenger, if ye do believe in Allah and the Last Day: That is best, and most suitable for final determination.” (fragment 4:59); or “If Allah had so willed, He would have made you a single people, but (His plan is) to test you in what He hath given you: so strive as in a race in all virtues. The goal of you all is to Allah; it is He that will show you the truth of the matters in which ye dispute.” (5:48); etc. Which is why this conference had to rely on historical narratives on “Ijma”, penned by the hand of fallible man in the first place, to dubiously assert the validity of their declaration. They could of course not have used the same external narratives to establish first their own right to do so because then they'd be checkmated by the Holy Scripture itself like the straightforward and categorical verses quoted above.

“Ijma”, whatever its sacrosanctness in consensual decision making on earthly matters, still cannot be against the guidance in the Holy Qur'an, in both letter and spirit. It is a firm rejection criterion. And when it is not against the Holy Qur'an, it still does not mean it has any religious validity, or Qur'anic acceptability, just because it is not against the Holy Qur'an. The latter is not an acceptance criterion, because lot of things not in the Holy Qur'an can be passed of as being part of religion of Islam. This is how any divine religion is adulterated by the fertile imagination, or malice, of man. The notion that a majority of fallible people speaking collectively to ascertain a religious or spiritual truth, whether unanimously or not, will magically come up with the truth infallibly, just by the preponderance of their sheer numbers, is absurd. A thousand zeroes added together still adds up to zero!

While a majority can come together to determine laws and agree or disagree on sociopolitical and scientific matters for instance, that is hardly the yardstick for spiritual matters of faith and beliefs such as deciding who is a Muslim and who isn't. Being a Muslim is entirely a matter of faith and understanding; how one interprets or understands a verse in the Holy Qur'an is entirely one's own shibboleth to bear.

Which is why they didn't even try to first “Ijma” on their own right to “Ijma” on the question that they so easily adjudicated upon, as any adept junior philosopher able to reason would have easily countered them. And those unable to reason are hardly in any position to make any adjudication on any matter to begin with, let alone on such momentous a question as this.

What I find the most disturbing in the Amman Message is that even H.H. Aga Khan IV, the enlightened steward of the Ismailis, their Hazir Imam, signed off on this travesty as his own minority flock was conveniently included in the construction of the definition of who is a Muslim (see excerpt from his letter below). The Ahmedis / Qadianis were obviously not invited for their own funeral. It is the peak of prejudice that the Aga Khan who himself declared in his letter to the Amman conference that he is only the hereditary heir to the Ismaili leadership, should participate in defining who is a Muslim and who isn't. By the Aga Khan's own admission, not just Islam, but also his imamate of his flock, is an inheritance – the divine right of kings re-birthing in modernity in the religious guise:

'I am happy that we have been invited to participate in the International Islamic Conference being held in Amman, from the 4th to the 6th of July, 2005, under the auspices of the Hashemite Kingdom. In light of the purpose of the
conference, I find it appropriate to reiterate, in my message of greetings, the statement I made in a keynote address at a gathering of eminent Muslim scholars from 48 countries who attended the Seerat Conference in Karachi on Friday, 12th March, 1976, nearly 30 years ago, which I had the honour to preside at the invitation of the then Minister for Religious Affairs, Government of Pakistan.

In my presidential address, I appealed to our ulama not to delay the search for the answers to the issues of a rapidly evolving modernity which Muslims of the world face because we have the knowledge that Islam is Allah's final message to mankind, the Holy Qur'an His final Book, and Muhammad, may peace be upon him, His last and final Prophet.

These are the fundamental principles of faith enshrined in the Shahada and the Tawhid therein, which bind the Ummah in an eternal bond of unity. With other Muslims, they are continuously reaffirmed by the Shia Ismaili Muslims of whom I am the 49th hereditary Imam in direct lineal descent from the first Shia Imam, Hazrat Ali ibn Abi Talib though his marriage to Bibi Fatimat-as-Zahra, our beloved Prophet's daughter.

I applaud Jordan, under the leadership of His Majesty King Abdullah, for the foresight in hosting and organizing this International Islamic Conference for the purpose of fostering unity in the Ummah and promoting the good reputation of our faith of Islam. Let this Conference be part of a continuous process of dialogue in the true spirit of Muslim brotherhood so that the entire wealth of our pluralistic heritage bears fruit for the Muslim world, and indeed the whole of humanity; for ours is the heritage which permeates human dignity, transcending bounds of creed, ethnicity, language, gender, and nationality.' --- Message to The International Islamic Conference, Amman, Jordan - 4th-6th July, 2005


Right!

For all humanity except the undesirable, the Qadianis in this instance, re-declared not within the fold of Islam by the “Ijma” of the elites gathered at the Conference. Apart from the fact that the Shahada has no specific mention of declaring the finality of the Prophet, the Aga Khan himself declaring his own legitimacy to make such proclamation as only hereditary, undermines his own position as having any legitimacy whatsoever to belittle other peoples' inheritance. The Aga Khan no more chose his religion, and he even inherited its leadership by his own admission, then the Qadianis / Ahmadis, and the vast majority of Muslims on planet earth. One would not be remiss in hazarding the guess that 99% Muslims in Muslim societies are hereditary Muslims. This has two direct implications for the saintly H.H. Aga Khan IV:

(1) By participating in this travesty of denying others their respective claims to socialized faith of birth, and consequently denying them their political and civil rights in the politically charged and fanatically self-righteous climate in Muslim nations which often
burn the Qadianis / Ahmadis at stake, the great benefactor of Muslims, the builder of schools and hospitals, the doer of great social works worldwide, is being both hypocritical and political. That is uncharacteristic of the Aga Khan's other public stance of political neutrality under his famous Doctrine of Neutrality. Evidently, he and his ancestors are only neutral when they are up against a stronger power and face existential crisis if they offer any resistance to it. Then they expeditiously choose compromise as the path of sagaciousness since “it can supply a bridge across a difficult period” as was stated by “Sir” Aga Khan the III, the grandfather of the present Aga Khan, in his 1954 Memoirs “World Enough and Time” (PDF, Cached). The sagacious bridge of silence and cooperation with power through times of tyranny. Dumping on the little guys facing their own existential crisis however is of course entirely “Islamic” (sic!). See Ismaili Muslims and Aga Khan's Doctrine of Neutrality (http://tinyurl.com/AgaKhan-Doctrine-of-Neutrality).

(2) By participating in the 1976 Seerat conference convened by the Government of Pakistan soon after the Qadianis had been declared 'kafir' by the same Government in 1974, is an endorsement of calling sub sects within Islam 'kafir'. So, I am not sure that some other barbarians now wishing to dish the same treatment to the Ismailis, and the Shias in general, don't just have an abhorrent but rather clear precedent in modernity to fall back upon in defence of their own misanthropy.

You start marginalizing one minority, and sooner or later it comes to your own doorstep. Welcome to the new kafirs, the Shias and the Islamilis. Other Sunni flavors can't be all that far behind.

See “Sir” Allama Iqbal an Ahmadi? (http://tinyurl.com/Allama-Iqbal-ubermensch#Addendum-Iqbal-Ahmadi) where this subject of right to belief is separated out from the diabolically Machiavellian modus operandi of cognitive infiltration through religion subversion for “imperial mobilization”. The concluding passage from that examination is pertinent to the discussion herein of the inalienable rights of Qadianis / Ahmadis, as indeed of all minorities in any non-oppressive pluralistic society, and is reproduced below:

'As the final word, the Ahmadis today, born and socialized into their core belief system no differently than any other people, including the Shias and the Sunnis in their myriad Muslim sects, cannot be denied their political rights in Pakistan and continued to be marginalized as “non Muslim”. That infernal question of who is a Muslim and who isn't in the sectarianly infested Muslim polity is only the devil's gambit to sow discord among a foolish people. When a purely theological and academic matter that is best relegated to intellectual discourses in mullah seminaries among the idle caste posing as the self-appointed guardians of faith, is cast in political overtones, then those participating in it can only be the devil's apprentice. Separating propaganda from religious dogma when the two have deliberately been intertwined requires expending matching intellectual energy to confront the villainy, not state sponsored, and mob tyranny. This analysis accordingly has separated the propaganda of imperial mobilization from the right to bear any religion or belief.'
The plague of *kafirdom* and *takfirism*, like the label of “terrorism”, is an age old instrument of exercising primacy and supremacy through *divide and conquer*. Its roots are not new but very distinguished indeed. They go back to the very dawn of Muslim Dynastic empires, to the rise of the first Umayyad dynastic caliphate in the late seventh century A.D. Those unfavorable to the new Muslim kings, those resisting their authority to mount and corrupt the pulpit of Islam, were openly maligned and even cursed from the pulpit itself. The calumny was heaped even on the Ahlul Bayt of the Prophet of Islam, specifically Imam Ali and his descendants, of whom H.H. Aga Khan IV is a distant claimant some fourteen centuries later. The most pious Muslim clergy of the day was harvested for this task in the service of empire first by the despotic Muslim rulers themselves!

Spreading that plague of defining who is a Muslim and who isn't, who is deviant and who isn't, has remained a most potent tool in the hands of despotic rulers and empires throughout the ages. The Shia Muslims who have continued to believe, and still do so today, in the right of Imam Ali and the Ahlul Bayt of the Prophet of Islam to both spiritually as well as politically govern the Muslims as *Imams* in opposition to all the caliphatic empires, have historically borne the brunt of that plague at the hands of virtually all despotic Muslim rulers for as long as Muslim empires have exercised their suzerainty on earth. The Shia scholars and elites, of all Muslim peoples, should have known better than to participate in spreading this kind of travesty to yet another marginalized minority who self-identified themselves as Muslims.

This plague of *kafirdom* is eating away at the very soul of Muslim nations today faster than enemy bombs can be utilized for “imperial mobilization”! Its utility to *divide and conquer* remains unsurpassed. Its poisonous power for propaganda warfare and for mobilizing the masses for internecine warfare is proven time and again. Its logical antidote cannot be selective and arbitrary sanctimoniousness, as the Amman Message self-servingly was, nor favor one sect or school of thought over another, but only principled, as should be obvious to even the ordinary common man of average commonsense and conscience, let alone to the elites who rule nations and the public mind.

The fact that the early scholars and founding leaders of the Qadianis / Ahmadis in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, indeed theologically subverted the religion of Islam to support the tyranny of British colonialism in the Indian subcontinent, and were supported by the British masters with imperial favors and patronage, is self-evident in their own works and in their life and times even today (Backgrounder below). See for instance the passage pertaining to the famous Qadiani-Ahmadi English translator of the Holy Qur'an, Maulana Muhammad Ali, who tried to interpret verse 4:59 of the Holy Qur'an to legitimize British imperial rule and subvert Indian-Muslim opposition to it in the name of “religion of peace”, in: *What does the Holy Qur'an say about Rulership?* (http://tinyurl.com/Rulership-in-Holy-Quran). It is reproduced below:

> 'In fact, the pulpit did not even shy from applying that verse of obedience to the British colonial masters of India as the Qadiani-Ahmadi pontiffs did at the turn of the twentieth-century; Maulana Muhammad Ali, laying its diabolical foundations in his seminal English translation of the Holy Qur'an, first in the Preface under the heading: Reverence for authority, pg. xv wrote: “But while teaching equality of rights, Islam teaches the highest reverence for authority. ... By those in authority are meant not only the actual rulers of a country, but all those who are in any way entrusted with authority”, then
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elaborated it further in his footnote number 593 for his English translation of verse 4:59 “The words ulul-amr, or those in authority, have a wide significance, ... among those in authority are included the rulers of a land, though they may belong to an alien religion.”!

The issue of right to belief, right to practice whatever religion one is born into, or believes in, freely, without encroaching on others' rights to do the same, and without stepping on others' freedom in the name of exercising one's own freedom, is orthogonal to subversion of a noble religion by superpowers to serve their own imperial interests. Obviously, if one's religion, unlike Islam, teaches to oppress and enslave others, then that religion of primacy, the religion of the ubermensch (Nietzschean Superman), even if it be in some God's name, is not part of this equation of equitable pluralism. Predators can be afforded no sanctuary in an awakened society. The untermensch (lesser peoples), must defend themselves by whatever means that will be effective against such depraved and nihilistic “chosen peoples” who employ pluralism, and other pleasing sounding human rights conventions, to subvert divine religions. One has to shrewdly judge and adjudicate which is which, support the right to one to one's death, and defend against the other with one's life.

And it goes without saying that any resistance to being eaten alive is always labeled “terrorism” by the predators! As the timeless cliché of moral relativism goes: “If it succeeds it is a Revolution, if it fails it is an Insurrection”. Zionism and global imperialism are these kinds of menacing “religions” today, the highest order enemy of all mankind so to speak. And it is in their interest to keep the rest of the world fighting among themselves with fabricated crises thrown into the mix as catalysts. Religion is its most fertile ground, especially “Islam”. See the Raahe-Nijaat (the way out) series article: Imperial Surrogates and 'Terror Central' in Operation Gladio Redux to understand the real enemy and his Machiavellian fabrication of fraudulent terror as part of the Hegelian Dialectic – the modern modus operandi for the same age old quest for global hegemony.

We are now living in the twenty-first century. To know who the real enemy is today, to not continually fall prey to its vile narratives and Machiavellian creations that lay the seeds of divide and conquer for generations to come, to not become embroiled in frivolous and ancillary issues such as trying to declare who is a Muslim and who isn't, and to stay focussed on the main enemy who enlists many house niggers (http://tinyurl.com/house-niggers) and other dupes and mercenaries flying different flags and wearing different uniforms in proxy services, takes both intellectual prowess and considerable moral courage. As per Sun Tzu in The Art of War:

‘If you know the enemy and know yourself, you need not fear the result of a hundred battles. If you know yourself but not the enemy, for every victory gained you will also suffer a defeat. If you know neither the enemy nor yourself, you will succumb in every battle.’

Shame on these so called Amman Messengers to have failed the Muslim public when they actually had a slight chance to proclaim some good.

If anything, these self-appointed guardians of faith should have declined to give “hawa” (air), declined to fan the fire, of takfirism. They should have resisted the temptation of defining who is a Muslim and who isn't. And instead, categorically asserted that in today's increasingly dystopic and warisome world, with Muslims and the religion of Islam constantly under assault from all sides and
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diabolically demonized as the key doctrinal motivators for imperial mobilization, full spectrum unity among Muslims is of paramount importance to counter the full spectrum scheming to create disunity among them.

The self-appointed guardians of faith should have categorically asserted that any force, any fatwa, any activism, which interfered in forming this unity is forbidden by the force of law. A resolution should have been passed and sent for legal ratification by all predominantly Muslim nations, that regardless of how a religious sect originated in history, or how asinine their beliefs may appear to others, that no Muslim today has the right to pass public judgment on that belief (intellectual and academic debates to advance critical understanding of history sensibly exempted); and if any Muslim, irrespective of his or her own sect and socialized belief system, who sides with foreign enemies of Muslims, connives with them to disarm Muslims, or demonizes other Muslims, or whose behavior and acts are inimical to the interests of the Muslims as defined under Qur'anic law which divines Muslims as a single people, is the first enemy within, of both Islam and Muslims. That such fifth columnists shall be tried in a court of law for sedition, and if found guilty, awarded the punishment defined for treason and sedition in their respective nations.

It would not surprise anyone that with these judicious distinctions, we shall find real traitors hiding among all sects of Muslims. Mir Jaffer and Mir Sadiq, the last time I checked, were not Qadianis! Nor are the many Sunni Muslim rulers and despotic Sunni Muslim kingdoms who continue to sell out the Muslims as proxy agents of the empire du jour. Intelligent distinctions like these help us get rid of all fifth columnists among Muslims who hide in plainsight in the garb of piety and mainstream Islam.

Indeed, what is more pertinent to national and public interest, beliefs or behavior? That moral and legal distinction demolishes all arguments ever made for declaring any people who profess the Islamic faith, kafir. This treatment is consistent with the principled teachings of the Holy Qur'an. Once again, for the emphasis that it deserves for its sheer practicality, and as an important reminder to the self-appointed guardians of faith laboring under their own delusional la mission civilisatrice:

“If ye differ in anything among yourselves, refer it to Allah and His Messenger, if ye do believe in Allah and the Last Day: That is best, and most suitable for final determination. ... If Allah had so willed, He would have made you a single people, but (His plan is) to test you in what He hath given you: so strive as in a race in all virtues. The goal of you all is to Allah; it is He that will show you the truth of the matters in which ye dispute.” --- The Holy Qur'an

Such shrewd distancing from takfirism by separating beliefs from behavior, as the Holy Qur'an has wisely counseled, whereby belief is exclusively the purview of God, and behavior the purview of man, would have cleanly separated the chalk from the wheat and closed the doors to all Machiavellian subversions by empires too clever and cunning to defeat otherwise. Alas, that was not meant to be.

Perhaps this style of thinking is considered blasphemous in these holy circles?

I can quite understand empire labeling anyone advocating such self-defence against occupation a “terrorist”. After all, virtually all founding fathers of the United States of America, all the signers of its Declaration of Independence, were declared “terrorists” by the empire from which they had asserted their separation.
What I cannot comprehend is self-appointed antediluvian guardians of obscurantism declaring anyone “kafir” for upholding his or her own beliefs, just as they exercise their right to uphold their own beliefs and resist when non Muslims dishonor what they honor. It is for this reason the Qadianis / Ahmedis die willingly for the sake of their own beliefs no matter how ridiculous they may appear to other Muslims, rather than change them for fear of majority. Every self-respecting people would do just that. Wouldn't you, if by some magic, a new majority turned against you?

Well, perhaps not, because bullies are often the worst cowards. The Jews demonstrated that quite willingly during World War II when they were besieged by a superior demonic force and chose not to fight back. But the moment they got the upper hand in Palestine, we can see what they are doing to a defenseless people. That day may not be too far away for Muslims — for, we shall surely be replaced by a better people who shall not become purveyors of injustices; who shall leave to God what belongs to God, and pay to man what is man's, expecting exactly the same recompense in return. And we may be replaced by a force far more demonic than the Jews experienced! We are already up against the lot today.
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Part-III

Historical Backgrounder on
Qadiani – Ahmadi – Mirzai


Begin Quote

- page 9 -

The genesis of the controversy that led to the disturbances is to be found in what has been described in official documents as ‘the Ahrar-Ahmadiya controversy’, which had existed since long before the Partition. But this description was objected to, in fact resented, before us by all non-Ahmadi parties, on the ground that differences with the Ahmadis are not confined to the Ahrar and are common to all sects of Musalmans. Similarly the use of the word ‘Ahmadi’ exclusively in respect of the followers of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad was resented by non-Ahmadis for the reason that all Musalmans are Ahmadis, being the followers of the Holy Prophet Muhammad, whose other name was Ahmad, and that it has been wrongly usurped by the followers of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad. We have decided to use the word ‘Musalman’ to distinguish the general body of Muslims who do not believe in Mirza Ghulam Ahmad from those who believe in him and the word ‘Ahmadi’, ‘Qadiani’ or ‘Mirzai’ for the Qadiani section of Ahmadis who believe that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad was a prophet (nabi).

In Part V we will deal in greater detail with the doctrinal and social differences between the Qadianis and Musalmans. Here we content ourselves with only giving a brief account of the Ahmadiya movement, which was founded by Mirza Ghulam Ahmad, a grandson of Mirza Ghulam Murtaza who was a General in the Sikh Darbar. Mirza Ghulam Ahmad was born on 13th February 1835, at Qadian, a village in the district of Gurdaspur, which exclusively belonged to his family in proprietary rights. He learned Persian and Arabic languages at home but does not appear to have received any Western
education. In 1864 he got some employment in the District Courts, Sialkot, where he served for four years. On his father’s death he devoted himself whole-heartedly to the study of religious literature, and between 1880 and 1884 wrote his famous ‘Buraheen-i-Ahmadiya’ in four volumes. Later he wrote some more books. Acute religious controversies were going on in those days and there were repeated attacks on Islam, not only by Christian missionaries but also by preachers of Arya Samaj, a liberal Hindu movement which was becoming very popular.

In March 1882 Mirza Ghulam Ahmad claimed to have had a revelation (ilham) to the effect that he had been entrusted by God with a special mission, in other words, that he was a ‘mamoor-min-Allah’. In 1888, again under an ilham, he demanded homage (bai’at) from his adherents. Near the end of 1890, Mirza Sahib again received an ilham that Jesus of Nazareth (Isa Ibn-i-Maryam) had not died on the Cross, nor lifted up to the Heavens but that he was taken off the Cross in a wounded condition by his disciples and cured of his wounds, that thereafter he escaped to Kashmir where he died a natural death, that the belief that he will reappear in his original bodily form near the Day of Resurrection was wrong, that the promise relating to his appearance merely meant that another man with the attributes of Isa Ibn-i-Maryam would appear in the ummat of the Holy Prophet of Islam and that this promise had been fulfilled in the person of Mirza Sahib himself who was Maseel-i-Isa, and thus the promised Messiah. The publicity given, to this doctrine created a stir among the Musalmans because this was contrary to the generally accepted belief that Isa Ibn-i-Maryam was to descend from Heaven in his bodily form, and gave rise to strong opposition among the Muslim theologians. Subsequently, Mirza Sahib also claimed to be the promised Mahdi, not the Mahdi who was to engage himself in conquest and bloodshed but the reasoning Mahdi who would vanquish his opponents by argument. This new claim gave further impetus to the opposition to Mirza Sahib and theologians began to pronounce fatwas of kufr against him. In 1900 he expounded another doctrine that thereafter there was to be no jihad bissaif and that jihad was to be confined to efforts to convince the opponent by argument. In 1901 Mirza Sahib claimed to be a ‘zilli nabi’ and by an advertisement ‘Ek ghalati ka izala’, explained the doctrine of khatm-i-nubuvwat to mean that after the death of the Holy Prophet of Islam no nabi would appear with a new shari’at but that the appearance of a new prophet without a shara’a was not contrary to the doctrine of khatm-inubuwat. In a public lecture in Sialkot in November 1904, Mirza Sahib also claimed to be a Maseel-i-Krishan.

The Jama’at-i-Ahmadiya was founded in 1901 and at Mirza Sahib’s own request was shown as a separate Muslim sect in the census records of that year. The present number of the jama’at is stated to be in the neighbourhood of 2,00,000 in Pakistan, Ahmadis are also to be found in other Muslim countries and in India, Europe and America.

The new movement had attracted substantial support in Mirza Sahib’s own lifetime, including several men of consequence and influence. On Mirza Sahib’s death in 1908 Maulvi Nur-ud-Din became the first khalifa of Jama’at-i-Ahmadiya. On Khalifa Nur-ud-Din's death in 1914, Mirza Ghulam Ahmad's son Mirza Bashir-ud-Din Mahmud Ahmad, the present head of the Ahmadiya community, became the second khalifa. His succession as a khalifa caused a split in the jama’at and a section of the jama’at led by Khwaja Kamal-ud-Din and Maulvi Muhammad Ali, seceded and formed a separate...
party, called the Lahore party, the difference between the two being that whereas the Qadiani party believes Mirza Ghulam Ahmad to have been a prophet, the Lahore party deny this status for Mirza Sahib and hold that he was no more than a mujaddid or muhaddas. The seceders set up in Lahore an organisation called ‘Ahmadiya Anjuman-i-Isha'at-i-Islam’. Both parties are engaged in extensive missionary work in foreign countries.

End Quote
Part-IV

The 'Ahrar-Ahmadiya controversy' of 1953 and Shia Killings today in 2013

April 09, 2013

In order to perceptively comprehend some of the dynamics behind the latter day Shia Killings in Pakistan, it is pertinent to read the very insightful report convened by the Government of Pakistan for the yesteryear Ahmadiya killings in 1953, titled: The Ahrar-Ahmadiya controversy Munir Report of the Court of Inquiry 1954 (https://tinyurl.com/Munir-Report-1954).

This report which came to be called the Munir Report, sheds a great deal of light on present matters pertaining to the movement toward Shia kafirdom in Pakistan. The methods used against the Ahmadiyas by the almost exactly 60 years ago is a mirror image of the methods being used today by the Tafriki-Lej-alphabet-acronym-soup (enumerated in my article: Some Context for What's Transpiring in Pakistan and What Not to do in the Upcoming 2013 Elections) against the largely defenseless and unarmed Shia Muslims of Pakistan.

The key difference to me between then and now is exactly one (apart from the palpable fact that it was Ahmadis then and Shias now):

- Then the government was incapacitated into taking no action against the Ahrar's terrorism due to political considerations in the fragile new Pakistan, and inadvertently let the conditions between 1948-1952 exacerbate by wavering in its determination to curb the politically motivated religious fanaticism of the Ahrar leading to the riots of 1953 in Punjab against the Ahmadis and the concomitant declaration of Pakistan's first martial law in Punjab. In other words, then, as is evident from the Munir Report, the situation was created due to political incompetence and political calculus of the political officialdom in the new Pakistan that the law and order deteriorated to that extent. Meaning, the officialdom did not want it, and the Ahmadiya killings occurred...
because of keeping political stability was deemed more important than immediately curbing the law and order situation with a just but unflinching hand and possibly exacerbating the situation.

- Whereas today, virtually all the power-wielders in Pakistan, some within the govt., both federal and state, I am sure also district down to the local thaana (police station) level, and some within the Military and nearly all of the intelligence apparatuses, and some within the media, I would say all the media both local and foreign, and also big businesses (including enterprises like Nestle Pakistan that has been supplying water to the occupation troops in Afghanistan for the past 12 years and part of officialdom in no less a measure than those officially drawing a salary from the national treasury), comprise the 'new Ahrar'. And, therefore, unlike what one sees in the Munir Report of repeated governmental officials writing memos to senior administrators and leaders to take stern action against the Ahrar, one will surely find no such memos in today's government as all the officialdom is together the 'new Ahrar'. I have already analyzed why I think the officialdom of Pakistan is the 'new Ahrar' under the title 'The New SAVAK in Pakistan'.

And all indications continue to pile up that the Shias are being goaded into militantly rising up (by killing a sufficient number of them) to create a full scale internecine war in Pakistan; Muslims killing Muslims once again to fertilize the “shia crescent”. This is beyond any proxy warfare between Iran and Saudi Arabia, and while its seeds are surely planted in the deep seated shia-sunni differences, these spate of shia killings and the accompanying propaganda warfare upon the Shia Muslims of Pakistan is not due to Shia Sunni issue which is fourteen centuries old.

Both of those aforementioned factors that the media harps about, and the politicians variously draw upon with approaching elections in Pakistan, are misleading and calculated red herrings to disguise the real purpose behind this warfare. Unfortunately, due to the media participation in the New SAVAK project, more and more Shia and Sunni public are both getting confused about it.

Don't get confused ---- it is a fabricated war along the Hegelian Dialectic that I have by now surely explained to death on my website and have now stopped explaining altogether. It's like kicking an already dead dog to death.

But if you do not understand Hegel, you cannot possibly make sense of any of the apparent insanity in Pakistan. It is not insanity, but a calculated game-theory laced warfare being waged upon Pakistan to create a perpetual enemy for the West.

In the next five years, there will likely arise a “Hezbollah” Pakistan in reaction to the oppression by the New SAVAK in Pakistan, and that is more than arm chair prediction. It is an inevitability if the oppression upon the Shias of Pakistan continues.

One can see that the Shias of Pakistan have been the most docile of communities in Pakistan, largely self-obsessed in their rituals and silently minding their own business amidst all the war on terror being waged upon the world by the mightiest tyrants in the world despite their lofty principled sloganeering that has defined the Shia ethos for fourteen centuries. One is forced to wonder why Shia militancy is being “tickled” into existence if not to bring to fruition the long awaited “shia crescent”.

Two matched and armed to the teeth nemesis will paint Lahore to Karachi more red than
Peshawar to Quetta have been painted thus far unilaterally by the “new Ahrar” and the “new SAVAK”.

A smart self-respecting people will surely always rise to defend themselves — but simultaneously, also refuse to be used as stooges and patsies by declining to become the West’s perpetual enemy.

To not make the same national suicidal mistake as Iran takes a lot more wherewithal, national sha-oor, than has been publicly displayed by even the finest in Pakistan. For a nation not known for either the abundance of its intellectual prowess, or the superfluity of its noble integrity, it is not hard to perceive that Pakistan was stochastically designed to be DOA (dead on arrival) into this world.

I will end by quoting the last paragraph of the Munir Report, and if what it says was true then at the very inception of Pakistan, only the janaza (funeral) remains to be recited (I hope of course that I am wrong and persons of great commonsense will miraculously come to the forefront of national leadership to untie the Gordian knot so craftily tied on Pakistan's destiny on the Grand Chessboard):

“And it is our deep conviction that if the Ahrar had been treated as a pure question of law and order, without any political considerations, one District Magistrate and one Superintendent of Police could have dealt with them. Consequently, we are prompted by something that they call a human conscience to enquire whether, in our present state of political development, the administrative problem of law and order cannot be divorced from a democratic bed fellow called a Ministerial Government, which is so remorselessly haunted by political nightmares. But if democracy means the subordination of law and order to political ends—then Allah knoweth best and we end the report.”
Chapter 35

The Rise of Revolutionary Islam in Pakistan

A Report on Behavior Control

Friday, November 22, 2013

A new phenomenon is unveiling in Pakistan. A new Hegelian Dialectic is being fashioned once again in the blood of innocent Muslims. The previous Hegelian Dialectic of “Moderate Islam” (reformed Islam advocated by the Jewish Islamophobe Daniel Pipes to Tahir-ul-Qadri of Pakistan --- the get along with empire version of Islam just like Sufi Islam) vs. “militant Islam” (antediluvian Islam advocated by Osama bin Laden, Al Qaeda, good and bad Talibans, basically the fanatic Sunni-Deobandi-Salafi-Wahabi mongrel --- the hate everyone else in Islam including the empire and its infidels, created by the empire itself and sustained by all its vassals and proxies) already being a spent force drawing diminishing traction among the Western public, the new Hegelian Dialectic “militant Islam” vs. “revolutionary Islam” (the vali-e-faqih advocated Shia Islam as established by Ayatollah Khomeini in Iran which is both revolutionary, militant, and guardian of its own peoples, reenacted by the Hezbollah in Lebanon) is being fashioned in Pakistan as we speak. The soil of Pakistan has been diabolically fertilized for it with the calculatedly spilled Shia Muslim blood.

I wish to draw your attention to my letter to “Hujjatul Islam” Syed Jawad Naqvi, the iconic...
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Head of Jamea Orwathul Wuthqa, a new Islamic University in Lahore, Pakistan. The letter is self-explanatory and is reproduced below.

---

To: “Hujjatul Islam Syed Jawad Naqvi” contact @ islamimarkaz.com
From: “Zahir Ebrahim, Project Humanbeingsfirst.org”
Date: Mon, Nov 18, 2013 at 11:52 AM
Cc: two personal references of prominent personalities in Lahore, Pakistan
Subject: Your speech of Nov 18, 2013 - Allama Iqbal

Dear Allama Syed Jawad Naqvi,

as-salamu ‘alaykum wa rahmatullahi wa barakatuh.

I write you this urgent letter from California where I have been listening and watching your amazing Muharram majalis speeches with great interest. The speech of 13th Muharram which you just moments ago concluded from Quetta, Monday November 18, 2013, like in virtually all your lectures, you once again brought up the lofty teachings from the mufakkar-e-Pakistan, Allama Dr. Muhammad Iqbal. The speech, and quotations from Iqbal, once again remain prophetic, powerful, empowering --- the domain of great poets.

Why do I say "great poets", and not great marde-momin scholar as you continually present him?

Because, as is historically self-evident, lofty versification and actual deed do not match for Allama Dr. Muhammad Iqbal.

Since you quote Allama Iqbal so liberally, and employ his teachings, as his eager exponent, before your own flock almost continually, I am most puzzled that perhaps you have penetrated some dark mysteries of hypocrisies that ordinary unemotional students like myself haven't been able to comprehend.

I therefore invite you to most generously spend a tiny bit of your most valuable time in reading my analysis below and to provide your own learned comments on what I have examined as the historical facts pertaining to the acts of Allama Iqbal. I invite you to offer your own scholarship to
explain why these documented acts of both egregious commission and egregious omission don't appear

to match the lofty proclamations that you continually attribute to Dr. Muhammad Iqbal from the Shia

pulpit. I will be bold and truthful in what I am about to state. I believe you are misleading your flock

by your one-sided focus on Iqbal's writings while disregarding his factual acts of supporting the British

empire on the ground.

Perhaps you shockingly remain unaware of the actual acts of Allama Iqbal? How is that

possible for a scholar of your knowledge and political shaoor?

Or perhaps it is I who is unwittingly incorrect in my perception of these facts (?), in which case

I strongly invite your learned corrections to the analysis lest I, and others reading my analysis on my

website, be misled by distortions, falsehoods, and misperceptions that may have inadvertently crept

into it despite my utmost efforts at due diligence to be factual, analytical, and both Socratic as well ba-

baseerat. The latter of course always takes far more perceptive scholarship and honesty of purpose

than mere pious claims to it which anyone can make – and therefore I invite you to adjudicate for

yourself: Sacred Cow: Allama Iqbal - marde-momin or superman?

Since you do not know me, by way of introduction, I am copying on this letter two prominent

personalities from Lahore who I believe have met you, and/or at least you may know them. One of

them is my teacher from UET Lahore, the other is a personal friend of many years. Feel free to

interlocute them for reference on me if my million-word-plus writings on my website are not sufficient

or credible self-introduction.

I eagerly await either your solid corrections of any of my mis-perceptions, or, I await your own

admission that you may have (surely only inadvertently) ignored some pertinent facts, and therefore

accordingly at least your revision of your statements on Allama Iqbal made in public.

Your Shia followers in Pakistan, it is already evident from their behavior and sloganeering and

the unfolding circumstances of tyranny upon the Muslims of Pakistan, never appear to challenge

anything you state. A new SAVAK has been created in Pakistan to “tickle” a Pakistani “Hizbollah”

into coming into existence in self-defence --- and you appear to be its de facto leader today. As that

leader in the making, your public and private attitudes betray what is in store for us poor Pakistani

peoples: another Iran-Iraq like fratricide between Pakistani armed Shias and Pakistani armed Sunnis in

the format of Hizbollah vs. Taliban; or great sanctuary from all tyranny.

If you accept public challenges, and respond to them with great scholarship and great wisdom

as is the maarfat of anyone occupying the “takht-e-salooni” in its original exponent's name as his self-

claimed “inheritor”, it will surely demonstrate that we Pakistanis are not looking to a Shia dictatorship

if you, or your exponents, tomorrow or in ten years, come to power in Pakistan. This simple and

straightforward question on the facts and acts pertaining to Allama Iqbal is merely the first and very

preliminary test of that openness, to be both forthright and straightforward in intellectual and/or

political engagement, and open to being corrected when shown to be misled. Many more tests to come,

for sure.

Passing in these tests of the public's intellectual and/or political challenges with genuine

humility, deep wisdom, and a demonstration of possessing unsurpassed ilm which is put to both
constructive and beneficial use, will surely increase confidence in your valih-e-faqih solution-space as indeed the panacea for Pakistan for all Pakistanis.

An arrogant silence or marginalization of the petitioner will demonstrate the converse --- of merely new tyrants to replace the old!

Wasslaam,

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

The reference to New SAVAK in the letter can be understood in my previous analysis of the unobvious political motivations behind the otherwise senseless slaughter of innocent Shias in Pakistan: The New SAVAK in Pakistan - Understanding Shia Killings. Much realpolitik insight into what can easily transpire when a state has no will to act to protect its own peoples – either by its own ineptitude, or, as should be evident to even dumb-ass observers of the crisis that Pakistan is passing through in these times, when the state is itself complicit in the creation of "revolutionary times" in its own nation – can be gained by perceptively examining what transpired in 1953 against another defenseless minority in Pakistan: The 'Ahrar-Ahmadiya controversy' of 1953 and Shia Killings today in 2013.

A fate far worse potentially awaits both the Shia and Sunni Muslims of Pakistan than has been experienced by the Qadianis --- because, if the diabolical Hegelian Dialectic of the “militant Islam” vs. “revolutionary Islam” is successful outside the parameters of the weak Pakistani state, both Shia Muslims and Sunni Muslims could become the common losers no different than was witnessed in the Iran-Iraq war. The only winners in that fratricide were, and still are, the Western hectoring hegemons who got the two brotherly Muslim national armies to wage the eight-year fratricide upon each others peoples.

It will be worse in Pakistan because anytime two extra-state armed groups willing to die for their respective cause are brought into existence, one un-apologetically aided by the state and its politicians, the other visibly opposed by the state but nurtured nevertheless by its calculated policies and the protection of its agencies, and both sides aided and abetted into existence to wage war upon each other by Western agenda to fabricate artificial enemies, blood of the innocent flows into the nation's streets. Armed extra-state domestic combatants can become far more an uncontrolled harbinger of “revolutionary times” as was seen in Ireland than was enacted in the far more controlled
Iran-Iraq war that was orchestrated between the two brotherly nations. By some counts, 4 million on each side of the border paid the price of protecting their respective nations in this artificial war. Neither recognized the real enemy in any practical sense (the Iranian Ayatollah's rhetoric notwithstanding), nor did either nation take practical measures to unite against their common foe.

By my last count, the leadership on either side of the Iran-Iraq fratricide in the name of God sacrificed exactly zero members of their own immediate family in that eight year war. I am open to being corrected on that count however. Please send me a list of either the names of the dead children or grandchildren of Ayatollah Khomeini and Sadaam Hussein respectively, who died on the battlefields between 1980 and 1988 on the Iran-Iraq border. Same is true of their respective cabinet members, ministers, and other Ayatollahs from Qom to Najaf. Unwilling sacrifices made by non-combatant stay-behind scholars and Ayatollahs to cowardly bombings in civilian centers does not count quite as much as holding the principled gun in one's hand while facing the enemy on the battlefield like the rest of Iranian and Iraqi “basijis” were made to pay for Islam.

The same kind of religious rhetoric that got these co-religionist brothers to kill brothers for eight long years when the peoples of the two nations weren't even antagonistic to each other, in fact, shared the same sect and religious ethos in common among their majority peoples, can far more easily be harvested in Pakistan today after three decades of deliberate domestic polarization on all possible axes of people differentiation (sectarian, tribal, ethnic, feudal, linguistic, provincial, immigrant vs indigenous) among a people who can hardly be termed a “nation” in a country where its own military and state apparatus have demonstrated little sympathy, let alone any empathy, for their own public. That dysfunction is being deftly primed for Shia-Sunni conflagration by Manufacturing The Killing Fields In Pakistan. That genius of the indigenous mind has a bloody precedent. See how Pakistan was so easily, artificially and arbitrarily, carved by imperial fiat in the historical document titled: Indian Independence Act of 1947, to appreciate why Pakistan remains a perpetual harvest of dysfunction.

If you are Urdu enabled, you can learn a great deal of how the rise of “revolutionary Islam” is being encouraged in Pakistan in reaction to Shia slaughter from the emotional lectures of Allama Syed Jawad Naqvi archived at his most educational website: http://www.islamimarkaz.com. The Muharram lecture referenced in my letter to Syed Jawad Naqvi quoted above is in fact a series of 5 two-hour long speeches titled: Dushman Kay Saath Muqabley Kay Qurani Usool. The topic may be summed in English as: Identification of the Enemy and Principles of Engagement with it in the Light of the Holy Qur'an.

These lectures are most revealing for two reasons. Firstly, these contain a great deal of inspiring knowledge from the Holy Qur'an and from mankind's history noted in the Holy Qur'an to awaken the sleeping Muslims to stand up for themselves; to harken the Muslim public mind, both Shia and Sunni, to break their bonds of servitude. Secondly, and most pertinent to this report, these speeches draw Determinate linkages to the conception of valih-e-faqih as the only governance principle of life encased in the Holy Qur'an for all times (see vilayat-i faqih, governance of the faqih, Ayatollah Khomeini, Islam and Revolution, translated by Hamid Algar, 1981). This latter connection I am simply unable to ascertain in the Holy Qur'an myself. While I am not a scholar, let alone scholar of the Holy Qur'an, the matter appears to be entirely Indeterminate in the Holy Qur'an. This nomenclature is defined in my book Hijacking the Holy Qur'an and Islam. The topic is scrutinized in section Divine Rule By Valih-e-Faqih – Is it Determinate in the Holy Qur'an?
But like any public mind beholden to a superlative orator who has command over his subject matter, the Shia mind too is easily persuaded by simple rhetoric, especially when a lot of it is actually correct and easily verifiable. It is the ten percent half-truths that is aliased under that ninety percent provably truthful envelope that is of concern for those savvy of social engineering. The manufacturing of consent with cognitive infiltration and perception management is an art as old as hegemony, as old as mankind. Especially at a time when the Shias across the world, and especially in Pakistan, are under mortal attack and will clutch at any straw, any messiah, to promise salvation. Including theological salvation --- die fighting with dignity under the valih-e-faqih's banner rather than standing still and being slaughtered anyway in the most undignified ways, is the basic argument. Incidentally, essentially a similar argument was made by Patrick Henry to awaken the early American colonists who seemed to be rather too complacent under the British empire's guns: “Is life so dear, or peace so sweet, as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery? Forbid it, Almighty God! I know not what course others may take; but as for me, give me liberty or give me death!”

When does awakening the dead Muslim Ummah (Qur'anic word for nation) stop and fabricating “revolutionary Islam” start --- I leave it up to you to decide. In my view, I wish the former, an awakened public, but not as the new enemy of the West. Only as the enemy of the handful of hectoring hegemons who control both the Western and Eastern states from behind the scenes and are riding the back of the sole superpower du jour to orchestrate global primacy in a one-world government. Before every nation completely loses its national sovereignty in a fait accompli, I would much rather that non conformist people endeavor for the Eastern and Western publics to be commonly allied against this one common enemy of all mankind, irrespective of their religion, race, hemisphere, caste, color and creed, as the common good in every people's own national interests.

Whereas "Hujjatul Islam" Syed Jawad Naqvi makes me a tad nervous because of his superlative brilliance and eloquence. He is a new phenomenon in Pakistan. A most dynamic and unusually learned scholar by my measure. What makes me nervous is captured in my letter to him by way of calling him out bluntly on his egregious omissions on the Superman Allama Iqbal. It is not possible that such a brilliant scholar would be ignorant of the fact that the British empire had knighted his hero “Sir”. The full details of this celebrated anomaly is in my report cited in the letter. This letter is my first baby step in intellectually engaging this great and most eloquent scholar because I only see a path of bloodshed ahead for Pakistanis as the game-theorized stooges of the Rand Corporation. To preempt that statistically engineered coercion in the preferred direction requires a great deal of intellectual and political sophistication.

Whereas this respected new theological savant in Pakistan, “Hujjatul Islam” Syed Jawad Naqvi, is visibly an overzealous exponent of the “Khomeinist revolution”. He most eloquently employs the poetic verses of both Allama Iqbal, and the Holy Qur'an, to argue for that mode of national governance for Pakistan as the only way out of the subjugation of the Pakistanis, to be led by the Shia in Pakistan under the spiritual and political guidance of the global valih-e-faqih du jour who is presently Ali Hosseini Khamenei, the current Supreme Leader of Iran.

How are the Sunni of Pakistan ever going to accept Shia hegemony directed from Iran in a nation that is 80 percent majority Sunni, when the Sunni Muslim mainstream throughout Muslim history have rarely accepted the Shia even as a legitimate sect, never mind its hegemony, with the sole exception of the Ismaili Fatimids who ruled Egypt a thousand years ago?
Well let's just assume that the Sunnis of Pakistan are miraculously made to agree to accept Shia rule under the imamamate system of vali-e-faqih for political expedience. When Pakistanis can easily accept all forms of dictatorships which are duly sanctified and legalized by the Supreme Court of Pakistan, it is not beyond imagination that a more moral and willing basis can be found to enact it. Let's just say that happens.

The question that principally begs all questions however is this: Is this mode of governance, the supposed guardianship of pious angels, still in the best public interest of a nation fragmented and polarized on so many parochial axes like Pakistan, a nation fabricated on the grand chessboard by the British empire in the blood of the sub-continent, a nation with a long history of blind servitude to whosoever can wield the stick?

I presume that the obvious question of servitude to pious guardian angels is already settled in the mind of the obdurate Shia readers like in their fellow Catholic brethren obeying the pious Pope. But to make sure that the non Shia readers are not left at sea while the shores of intellectual thought are in plain sight, please permit me to make matters of obedience and voluntary servitude to fellow man directly explicit.

As most anyone with any perceptive knowledge of political theory and history can easily appreciate, the good Allama of Pakistan, “Hujjatul Islam” Syed Jawad Naqvi, is in fact arguing for a new form of dictatorship to supplant the Western designed modern dictatorships. A new form of dictatorship which is in fact as old as hegemony, as old as mankind: the pious dictatorship in the name of God. An absolute dictatorship in fact; and of course where ordinary mortal power corrupts, surely absolute power in the name of God is beyond absolute corruption!

Apart from the matter of voluntary servitude of the Shia masses to the Muslim turban as the Catholic masses to the Christian pope in the name of their respective God, more pertinent for ordinary peoples like myself who seldom align themselves to any form of voluntary servitude unless it is at the point of the bayonet, is how the minority are treated in any “tribal” system, it is how the minority and “outsiders” are treated. Especially that handful of minority who may disagree with power, the ruling paradigms, or not belong to the majority tribe.

Therefore, most pertinent to me is the broader question: is the vali-e-faqih system as seen in Iran better able to form a just and fair society in a pluralistic non homogeneous nation like Pakistan without the hegemony of narrow self-interests ruling everyone else than any other system?

Is Iran an example of that egalitarianism even in its own more or less homogeneous Persian society?

A simple measurement of how those who have dissented with the vali-e-faqih in Iran, and what political representation or disenfranchisement its twenty percent Sunni minority (about the same percentage as the Shia minority in Pakistan) has received in Iran's governance and its military, should be sufficient empirical evidence of the reality of absolute “tribal” and theological power.

A theologically absolutist and perpetual enemy of the West has been fashioned in Iran due to the “happenstances” of the Islamic revolution which, to my observations at least, has only been employed, perhaps unwittingly by the Iranian leadership, in diabolically furthering the Hegelian Dialectic with which the Western oligarchy has continued to impose global governance upon the world under the pretext of fighting “revolutionary times”. That Machiavellian phrase in quotes due to David
Ben-Gurion, “What is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times; and if at this time the opportunity is missed and what is possible at such great hours is not carried out – a whole world is lost”, is surely not lost to the astute students of political history and realpolitik, but is most assuredly alien to the rest of the innocent scholars of the world.

In fact, I will take a bet that absolutely no intellectual of the Iranian revolution, including Allama Syed Jawad Naqvi today and his vali-e-faqih, has ever even heard of this concept of Hegelian Dialectic and its Machiavellian dynamics to seed and harvest “revolutionary times” wherever and whenever it is fully played out. And if they have, it is only the Superman mind that would still pursue policies which continue to foster that very agenda in the guise of opposition.

For the rest of the politically suave scholars who are nevertheless reading the term “Hegelian Dialectic” for the first time in their life, and for those who have little or no understanding of its pertinence to modernity except calling it “conspiracy theory”, please see: Hegelian Dialectic – What is it? For a radically different perspective from the mainstream discourse on Iran by pro-revolution Iranians and the Shias themselves, of how revolutionary Iran was perhaps diabolically engineered into that very “revolution” to serve Western interests as West's perpetual enemy, please see my book: Hijacking the Holy Qur'an and Islam, pg. 175 and surrounding pages in the first edition PDF (see pgs. 212 onwards in the second edition PDF).

Syed Allama Jawad Naqvi is indeed most persuasive, I am sure to many of his followers and listeners, when he eruditely draws for justification for vali-e-faqih under the imamate system from the verses of the Holy Qur'an. You can hear the boisterous cheers and emotional sloganeering from his spell-bound audiences wherever he speaks, with shrill cries of “death to America”, “death to America” resounding in the air with the same intensity as the chants of “alive is Khomeini”, “alive is Khomeini” and other rallying cries appealing to the Shia soul.

And thanks God nothing happens to the Good scholar in a nation where the life of any ordinary Shia Muslim (and Sunni Muslim) is spent in cold blood for far less crime than that --- their name merely being a Shia sounding name for instance, taken off passenger busses and shot point blank for merely that offense! No murderous drone attack has so far been launched on Jamea Orwathul Wuthqa by empire. And no suicide bomber from among the Tafriki-Deobandi pirates has dared lower his arms at Jamea Orwathul Wuthqa in return for the good Allama routinely labeling these terrorists “waeshi darinde”, meaning, wild animals. And no intelligence agency has opened an investigation into how the imposing and rich campus of Jamea Orwathul Wuthqa in Lahore is being funded any more than they ever bother to look into how the Tafriki-Deobandi madrassas throughout Pakistan are being funded. Surely the donors and their trail of money is trivial to uncover in today's day and age when just to open a bank account in Pakistan entails the bankers know not just your DNA, but also your wife's and children's DNA under the American KYC (Know Your Customer) Act officially adopted by the State Bank of Pakistan to protect the world from the curse of Pakistani money launderers. May God and the powers that be continue to protect all servants of God from all harm.
The Rise of Revolutionary Islam in Pakistan

Caption-1 The beautiful campus of Jamea Orwathul Wuthqa, a Shia Islamic Seminary in Lahore Pakistan. Who is funding, and protecting, this Shia madrassa in Pakistan which is so openly pro-Iran and pro Ayatollah Ali Hosseini Khamenei? Does Pakistan want the broad envelope of its domestic and international policies determined from Iran --- to replace Western hegemony with Iranian hegemony? The justification for “revolutionary Islam” in Pakistan is to prevent the innocent Shia blood from being spilled. Imagine if you at the Rand Corporation wanted just that outcome – that the Shia rise up and form “Hezbollah” all across the Muslim world? This nemesis would be even better than Nazi Socialism and Russian Communism --- a perpetual global enemy without borders --- what better way to create a world without borders, meaning a world government? (image source islamimarkaz.com)

Here are some images of the “new terrorists” propaganda system in the making --- can you imagine the global headlines that Daniel Pipes would give to these images of self-flagellation in Muharram by the Shia devotees, aided and abetted by the valih-e-faqih and the majority of the Ayatollahs who encourage this bloody display of Shia faith by silently condoning it, when Dr. Pipes has already daringly captioned “militant Islam” and “radical Islam” as “It's Not a Clash of Civilizations, It's a Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians”? Is this what Shiaism is all about --- is a question that is even asked by the majority of the Sunni Muslims worldwide, never mind the world's public.

Zahir Ebrahim
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The face of “Revolutionary Islam”

Convince people that: “It’s Not a Clash of Civilizations, It’s a Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians”, and you can get them to commit atrocities!
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Caption-2 Can anyone guess what Islamophobes would caption this display of “revolutionary Islam” to frighten the Western audiences: ‘It's Not a Clash of Civilizations, It's a Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians!’ The latter headline has already been employed by the Jewish reformer of Islam, Dr. Daniel Pipes, to awaken the Western public against the curse of the Taleban's and the Al-Qaeeda's version of “Islam” reaching them. Extending the narrative to “Revolutionary Islam” is just around the corner. Getting the two absurdities to wage an endless war in the name of “Islam” that will snowball into a Shia-Sunni world war, with the Western audience disdainfully against both barbarians, and the Western states along with their Eastern vassals, arming both sides just as during the eight year long Iran-Iraq war, is the obvious game-theorized scenario on the grand chessboard. Once brought to the Russian-roulette table, it cannot be averted. The time for its prevention is before the fait accompli is cast – and all provocations are being brought to bear on this very scenario, only the most recent of which is: Saudi Arabia's grand mufti says Iranians are 'not Muslims'. (Images gathered from various news reports on the web, please check in the JPG image property for any copyright information of the original source)

Caption-3 This barbaric ritual in Shiadom, of splitting open one's head and drawing blood from various parts of the body with swords and knives to mourn the martyrdom of Imam Hussein and his family at Karbala in the year 61 A.H., has neither anything to do with the Religion of Islam, nor with the principal Message of Karbala: “Qullo yomin Ashura, Qullo Ardın Karbala”, and nor with basic human dignity and decency. Where Imam Hussein exemplified human dignity by freeing it from man's tyranny for all times, Shiadom enslaved it back again in superstitions and behavior control systems. The Shia clergy, by staying mute dumb and blind to this barbaric public practice of the Shia devotees, have demonstrated for centuries their own real qibla of allegiance: the god of public opinion before which they too bow like the vulgar politicians.
Here is a lukewarm condemnation of this practice by the Vali-e-Faqih-e-Muslimeen of the Islamic Republic of Iran, Ayatollah Ali Khamenei, falling way short of a categorical fatwa banning it:

“It is wrongful that some people hit themselves on the head with daggers to break blood. What are they in search of? How can this be considered an act of mourning? Of course light drumming on the head with one’s own hand is a distinctive sign of mourning. You have seen it several times when people are stricken by grief, they hit own their chests or heads. This is a typical symbol of distress for mourning. But when have you ever seen a person, who is grief stricken by the loss of a dear loved one, take a dagger to their own head in order to draw blood? How can this be a form of mourning?

Tatbir [Qame Zani] is also a fabricated tradition. It is among issues that do not belong to the Islamic religion and undoubtedly God is not pleased with such a practice. Scholars among the last few centuries did not have the opportunity to speak out and declare it as an unlawful or wrongful act. However, today is the day of Islamic establishment and the day for the manifestation of Islam. We should not go along with actions that may introduce a small group of irrational, superstitious individuals to Muslims and non-Muslims alike, to be represented as the bulwark of the noble Islamic society--the society that loves the progeny of the prophet (pbuh) and has the honor to carry the names of the Imam of our Time (may our souls be sacrificed for him), Imam Hussain Ibn Ali (as) and Imam Ali Ibn Abi Talib (as).

The more I thought about it (tatbir), the more I truly realized I cannot overlook my responsibility of informing our dear people on Tatbir, which is certainly an act of wrongdoing built upon heresy. Do not practice it, I do not approve. If someone does anything to display their desire to practice Tatbir, I will be deeply disappointed in them. I am declaring this solemnly. This is certainly an unlawful action, one which Imam Hussain (as) would not be pleased with, as practiced today. I cannot fathom who would and based on what evidence would they establish such peculiar and wrongful traditions in Islamic societies and within our revolutionary society?

Tatbir is one of those concerns which not only lacks logical reasoning, but is also the nearest of things to superstition. Why do some promote such things? This is a great danger, which has to be carefully tended to within the world of religion and religious insight by the guardians of the faith.” --- Ayatollah Khamenei, June 07, 1994
Imagine the thought experiment if you will, that you are taking an undergraduate class in media studies under Dr. Joseph Goebbels of the Third Reich --- come up with an emotionally tickling caption for these images of the bloody ritual of Ashura that would effectively rally the Western public to fight “revolutionary Islam” with an equal or greater zeal than they have thus far waged “united we stand” against “militant Islam”.

An authoritative account of the Misrepresentations and Distortions in the popular Ashura narratives heralded from the ubiquitous Shia pulpit itself is given in the series of four lectures delivered in 1969 by the notable Shia Iranian scholar Allama Murtaza Mutahhari. While ably capturing both the psychological motivations and the misrepresentations and distortions made by latter day Shia pulpits from Qom to Najaf, and from Karbala to India with reference to the sacred penmanship of the pious scribes of history, the learned and honest Iranian scholar notably failed to address the hagiographic distortions in that sacred historical penmanship itself. A reportage of the seminal events of Karbala compiled generations after the fact, in a primitive parochial society by a partisan people not much different than those occupying the pious pulpts today, but which is treated as sacrosanct! Most revealingly, whatever is written in those earliest historical narratives is treated as gospel truth by even the highest authorities of the Shia pulpit in exactly the same way as the Sunni pulpits treat their own hagiographic narratives of early Muslim history.

What excuses that early reportage of Muslim history from the same type of rigorous intellectual examination for the same kinds of psychological motivations, misrepresentations and distortions, made by the same kind of fertile imaginations and fallible pens incestuously reinforcing their own shared ethos both wittingly and unwittingly? See Introduction to Muslim Historiography in my book Hijacking the Holy Qur'an and Islam, for elaboration upon this concept of taking recorded history with a forensic measure, rather than an absolute or literal one. Making history sacred has many more uses than mere academic scholarship. It lies at the very heart of mass behavior control. Novelist George Orwell most perceptively captured the utility of the control of the narrative – what is penned ab initio, as well as what is made sacred posthumously: “Who controls the past controls the future; Who controls the present controls the past”.

There is a lot more devilishness involved in effectively waging the Hegelian Dialectic of the trifecta “militant Islam” vs. “revolutionary Islam” vs. “moderate Islam” to make the public mind. It has many helpers not the least of whom are the useful idiots themselves. The confusion created in the public mind, both Muslim and non-Muslim, and the fear cultivated in the Western mind unfamiliar with Islam to begin with, makes for a field day for the Mighty Wurlitzer. But it will only be officially accepted as official history by all and sundry ex post facto, when Western officialdom, its historians, and its dissent con-artists making much ado about the moral responsibility of intellectuals, have a field day explaining world government and how it was fashioned in the blood of the 'untermensch' no differently than today the scholars of empire openly explain how the Americas was resettled by administering the genocide sentence to ten million of its indigenous inhabitants, and how Palestine was, and still is being, resettled for Zion in the cold blood of its indigenous 'untermenschen'.

Finally, is there more depth to this topic of valih-e-faqih and “revolutionary Islam” in the Holy Qur'an than I have uncovered, whereby my admittedly non-scholarly findings of the matter are somewhat different from the Shia scholars’ advocating it in the name of God?

I am sure that there is. I would like nothing better than for the learned scholars who have
studied in the centers of highest learning in Qom under the greatest of Iranian Ayatollahs, to refute what I have written, and to do so with such compelling evidence from that Good Book alone – a Book that is singularly claimed by all two billion Muslims on planet earth to be the Word of God – that I would have no choice but to join that cause of Islam and make God's appointed guardian my valihe-faqih. If the ideology of valihe-faqih is unequivocally part of the religion of Islam to govern the affairs of Muslims for all times, then it surely must also be expressed unambiguously in the Holy Qur'an which calls itself “Kitabun-mubeen”, a clear source of guidance for mankind, and therefore, be the primary motivation for its universal adoption by all Muslims on planet earth and not just by the Shias who gravitate towards it as cultural Muslims no differently than the Sunni sects gravitate towards the Caliphate as cultural Muslim. The valihe-faqih du jour and his scholarly exponents should be able to make the intellectual and legal case for it directly from the Holy Qur'an. Why do they fail to do so?

I would like nothing better than to reliably learn that God, in His own Divine Scripture in His best Wisdom, has ordered me to follow his chosen valihe as the divinely appointed guardian over us ordinary peoples, and has reliably disclosed their identity so that impostors can be hung and the genuine ones can be followed without question. That does relieve a great deal of intellectual burden from my overburdened soul; who doesn't want to be part of the divinely anointed shepherd's flock and follow the leader?

Hey --- when God speaks, the people better listen. And the valihe-faqih's claim of his being God's designated governor of the affairs of man is offered with just as much compelling evidence as all the other spokesmen of God who have ruled man throughout history. We have believed and followed some of them on blind faith alone. Sometimes mankind got it right. Other times, we were led into perpetual serfdom and servitude. The Holy Qur'an alone among all the divine books has claimed itself the “criterion” to separate truth from falsehoods for just such reasons. Such a criterion was not available in earlier times. For those who base their faith on Islam, that Good Book is the definitive word as the Word of God. So show me from that Good Book that Ayatollah Ali Khamenei is the representative of God as the valihe-faqih over all Muslims. See my exposition: What does the Holy Qur'an say about Taqlid - Blind Following the Non-Infallible?, in which I have already surmised that no Shia scholar nor Ayatollah will dare touch it! Please prove my hubris wrong. If I am misled, please guide me.

I make the public statement that as a most ordinary common man, I will join any valihe-faqih, any leader, any system of governance – even if a throwback to the stone-age where absolute power ruled openly, for it is no different today, only disguised, and ruled from behind the scenes, and therefore, far more treacherous and corrosive – if such a system and its governors will wage an effective struggle against the real hectoring hegemons to liberate all mankind from its diabolical clutches. I don't much care what system it is, and which theology runs it, so long as the ordinary common man living within its governance gets both social and political justice, fairness, and is able to realize his individual and collective full potential as a living breathing human being first. The Qur'anic term for enabling and realizing that man is “ashraf-ul-maklooqat”, the best creature among all creations. A system of this nature, whatever name it may go by, can only be divine. Those leading such a system in the service of man, by definition, would be the noble valihe of the people. I will accept such a valihe-in-chief.

Therefore, show me a principled structure, a principled Bill of Rights, and the principled
enactment of an egalitarian and just system that perpetually wages wars against the hectoring hegemons to enact perpetual freedom from tyranny for its peoples, without creating perpetual police-states to imprison them in the name of their protection, and I will sign-on to that system. Due to my limited knowledge and acumen, I will refrain from asserting that no such system is possible --- and all those who claim so are either Superman con-men, or charlatans and fools. So, just show me, and I may surely bite.

Barring that --- why should anyone trust a pious pontiff holding a holy scripture any more than one would trust a pious politician nobly waiving the American constitution after killing off ten million of the land's native inhabitants, or trust a virtuous scholar asserting piety in his profound political treatise? I have dismantled many of them as Superman, or great platitudinous theory. Man against Superman appears to be the real score of mankind from time immemorial, since the day Kaabil killed Haabil (Cain killed Abel), until this very day, the virtuous paths laid out in the Ten Commandments, the Good News, and the Holy Qur'an notwithstanding.

Only time, fait accompli, and any forthrightness present in Allama Jawad Naqvi's response to my "tickling" letter, will reveal whether the “Hujjat-ul-Islam” is himself a mard-e-momin, or just another Superman! I hope it is the forthrightness – for then we have the national opportunity before the fact, meaning, before fait accompli, of either: joining a great national leader and political movement in the making, anointed or approved by God Almighty Himself, to finally lead the people in the land of the pure out of their perpetual misery; or preempting another horrible future staring us in the face.

As always, I pray that my instincts and analysis are dead wrong, on all counts.

Postscript November 26, 2013

The response by “Hujjatul Islam” Allama Syed Jawad Naqvi's spokesman received today politely states: “shut up.” The learned “Hujjatul Islam” does not even have the basic courtesy, let alone moral courage, to offer a reply himself. He puts up his useful idiot bulldog to do the barking. Reproduced below is the full official response from the Iranian sponsored Shia pulpit of Pakistan occupied in the name of Imam Ali and the Ahlul Bayt of the Prophet of Islam (see https://tinyurl.com/jawad-naqvis-bulldog-barking ). Do Pakistani Shias want an absolute dictator like this vali-e-faqih spokesman ruling them whose very first response to an intellectual challenge is to bark “shut up” at you through his pet bulldog? What do you think a real political challenge will entail? I hope the good scholar of Islam will claim that he did not sanction this poorly worded unbecoming reply which was sent in his name – for I still await a forthright response from the learned man himself to whom I wrote my letter. I have a genuine desire to be mistaken in my preliminary assessment that the Allama is himself just
another Superman no different than his hero “Sir” Allama Iqbal. That term Superman is Nietzschean, and means one who treats himself as beyond the criterion that he postulates for others. On that yardstick, the “Hujjatul-Islam” of Pakistan has already hanged himself many times over as a Superman. While Allama Syed Jawad Naqvi’s sheepish flock in Pakistan and elsewhere desperately seeking a savior may not always command much rational intelligence in analytically parsing his brilliant oratory, emotional sloganeering is all they are evidently capable of in these most difficult of times facing the Shiias worldwide, that and of course barking at anyone who dares to challenge their master, there are some who are not so easily fooled by pious words of salesman for “revolutionary Islam”.

Zahir Ebrahim
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Abstract

This is a followup to my 2013 report: The Rise of Revolutionary Islam in Pakistan – A Report on Behavior Control, in which I had examined the motivation for Shia killings in Pakistan. Which is, to provide the raison d'être for the creation of “revolutionary Islam” in Pakistan as an Iranian export under the leadership of “valih-e-faqih”. I had written a letter to the head of a new Shia theological seminary in Lahore, Pakistan who exhorts his large audience with his demagoguery to come under the protection of the “valih-e-faqih”, Ayatollah Sayyid Ali Khamenei, the Supreme Leader of Iran. Putting this new Hegelian Dialectic in context of yesteryear when the theological founder of Pakistan, “Sir” Allama Iqbal, as the British empire's honored knight, (that is what “Sir” stands for), had similarly crafted the raison d'être for the partition of the Indian subcontinent in the name of religion, creates a frightening scenario for extracting more blood tribute from Pakistanis. The adage: “a man is known by the company he keeps” makes this enormously learned leader of the Shia theological seminary repeatedly paying tribute to Allama Iqbal, appear to be
cast from the same mold. After due diligence to his long tireless speeches which are in effect predictably doing what the manufactured Shia killings are intended to do, in just the same way as what “Sir” Allama Iqbal did with the manufactured Hindu-Muslim violence in the Indian subcontinent casting it as the raison d'être for the partition of India, the entire game-theory laced manufactured “revolutionary times” is just too obvious. And frightening. No Pakistani youth should be harvested as the useful idiot of empire to sustain its “arc of crisis” from the madrassahs of Pakistan. They go there to learn their religion, often victims of economic conscription, and enticed by free education as well as prospects of a lifetime of easy employment as local “imams”, but graduate as soldiers of God and fertilizers for cemeteries. “Revolutionary Islam” makes the best fertilizers with the blood of its nation's youth. It is amply evidenced in the Western imposed Iran-Iraq war which armed both sides to aid and abet Muslim on Muslim violence. Sadaam was armed openly. Revolutionary Iran covertly, and the latter became the notorious Iran-Contra scandal in the United States. The absolutist rule of the recalcitrant “vali-e-faqih” believing in “divine destiny” was essential for waging that internecine war for eight long years. With that as the backdrop, the response I received for challenging the narrative of this new up and coming surrogate of the “vali-e-faqih” in Pakistan was: “shut up”, barked by some minion. Due to the urgency of the matter for not just Pakistan, but the world now witnessing another Muslim fratricide in Yemen with the West once again goading Muslims to kill Muslims, I make a second attempt to engage that leadership with this followup --- perhaps they are only unwitting thespians in this staged Act, like the soldiers of God they indoctrinate.

A call to cut the Gordian knot

When courageous Muslims don't rise to challenge and redress their own issues boldly and truthfully, their enemies and hectoring hegemons are left the door wide open to do so; to create the vocabulary, to create the narrative, and to control the public discourse in their own Newspeak. The enemies rope in all the house niggers and mercenaries from among the Muslims themselves to become the chauvinistic pied pipers who lead with the enemy's own narrative. The battle is lost by any people every single time because of this. The standoffishness and silence of the uninformed public to the errors and shenanigans of their own kind permits a superior more agile foe to fill the space with more Muslim on Muslim violence. The only effective point of interdiction to this malady is before the proverbial Gordian knot is firmly tied upon the matter --- for afterward, the ensuing chaos falls on the natural curve of an orchestrated fait accompli by the processes of game theory and dynamics of human behavior.
As of this writing, no retraction for the “shut up” reply (see https://tinyurl.com/jawad-naqvis-bulldog-barking), or an apology, or regret, or distancing from the pathetic retort given by the minion of Allama Jawad Naqvi as being gratuitous, or other meaningful response pertinent to the inquiry letter was received from the pious Shia scholar of Islam. What caliber of pulpit and what level of scholarship, never mind decency of interlocution, that this superlative demagogue with an oratory skill that is hard to match, cannot even respond to a straightforward intellectual challenge, mind you not a political challenge, but an academic inquiry based on his own statements, without barking “shut up” through his followers! Allama Syed Jawad Naqvi, the head of the new Shia theological seminary named Jamea Orwathul Wuthqa in Lahore, Pakistan, evidently does not have the courage to fight his own battles when faced with intellectual challengers. He puts up his useful idiot to do the barking. One can only surmise that the head has become too big for his pious turban that he can no longer be bothered with even an intellectual challenge that does not conform to his own theology. This fellow so boldly claims the mantle of Imam Ali who constantly endeared his people to ask him questions? God help Pakistan if indoctrinated soldiers of God ever escape out of their madrassahs and come to political power. It does not matter from which theological seminary – for one can hardly tell them apart based on their self-righteousness. Just self-righteous about different theologies, that's all. All they can ever demand from governance is absolute obedience, and all they can ever command of the people who question them is a “shut up”. And worse! Sentencing to death as was done in post revolutionary Iran all their dissenters isn't unimaginable in a country like Pakistan where the gallows are, not just historically, but even in the present as I write this, an instrument of politics. Just witness how all the terrorist killers of Sunni Muslims are being hanged, while the killers of Shia Muslims being given reprieves to soldier on with their divine mission of more indiscriminate Shia killings in Pakistan. Without intolerable oppression upon the Shias, the raison d'être for “revolutionary Islam” does not exist. Which is why this phenomenon is best represented by the rise of new SAVAK in Pakistan, as the Shah's American trained SAVAK was instrumental in creating the raison d'être for Iran's Islamic Revolution.

What an enviable replacement for the superman tyrants who rule the public mind with the same iron-fist of their own theology. Another superman who deems himself beyond reproach, but is made holy because he takes the name of God, the Prophet of Islam, the Imams of the Ahlul-Bayt, the valih-i faqih! The similarity ought not to exist with any of the God's many theologies proclaimed by man. We have plenty of the Übermensch's variety to already contend with. Yet we find that these demagogues fare little better in their absolutism.

If only these heavenly pontiffs so boldly proclaiming a Divine mandate, claiming the moral mantle of the Prophets, claiming to stand up to tyrannical systems, claiming to offer people justice, would begin with the Golden Rule as their first Divine predicate of public stewardship.

How hard can that be?
Only as hard as self-interest!

Allama Jawad Naqvi is invited once again to intelligently respond to the original inquiry letter and the intellectual challenges cited therein:

- (1) his repeated public celebration of “Sir” Allama Iqbal and avoiding all facts such as he willingly accepted knighthood and other imperial benefits from the tyrannical British empire while it ruled and plundered the Indian subcontinent;

- (2) the Doctrine of Taqlid pushed by the Shia theocratic pulpit to extract voluntary servitude from its followers, the examination of which is in the document referenced in the letter; and

- (3) the face of “revolutionary Islam” being presented to the world that is maligning all Muslims worldwide as belonging to a polity of “barbarians”, and thus justifying the propaganda warfare in the West by Islamophobes that: “It's Not a Clash of Civilizations, It's a Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians”, as they motivate their own gullible public to fight these new barbarians in preemptive self-defence before the throw-back to the stone age bring their knives and swords to the West;

without sending out some new useful idiots to bark at the petitioner.

Otherwise, this rebel Shia scholar of “revolutionary Islam” is really no better than the house nigger Sunni-sufi leader of “moderate Islam”, the champion of “Democracy Revolution”, the glorified stooge of the West, more white than the white man, Dr. Tahir-ul Qadri, who behaved analogously to the public challenge that was thrown to him in 2010 by this scribe for publishing the much celebrated 600 page Fatwa on Terrorism in which the pontiff of “moderate Islam” wrote a blank check to the majestic state terrorism of the emperor, the greatest sponsor of state terror on earth, while condemning the terrorism of the pirates, and neglecting to mention that the pirates are just another army division of the emperor carrying Islam's banner aloft to malign Islam as if it is such a big state secret! The Shia rebel pontiff is more honest and accurate when it comes to parsing current affairs as one can glean from his speeches. But the attitude towards anyone questioning his position is disturbingly similar: to marginalize and dismiss. Tahir-ul Qadri's spokesman was kind enough to label this scribe “nut-job” for the bold challenge to their leader and advised their staff to not respond. Hide behind minions for how long? These are not idle questions but of immediate life and death significance for the people of Pakistan.

The challenge for open interlocution to explain why the Sunni-sufi pontiff remained so brazenly silent on the crimes of the emperor when empire's own citizens boldly file cases in the World Court against their own president for crimes against humanity, is still open to Dr. Qadri.

The challenge to explain his own shameful silence on the knighthood accepted by “Sir” Allama Iqbal from a tyrannical empire while extolling his virtues from the pulpit of Imam Ali, a disgrace and a travesty to the sanctity of the very pulpit and the Imams in whose name all this divine preaching is being done, is still open to Allama Jawad Naqvi. Imagine Imam Ali and the family of the Prophet of Islam taking a knighthood from Muawiya!

In these times of universal deceit, only fools follow. And only the superman lead. A genuine
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moral agent of change is neither. He is neither a useful idiot for the superman, nor the superman.

The fact that “revolutionary Islam” is creating the perfect trifecta of “militant Islam” vs. “moderate Islam” vs “revolutionary Islam”, the perfect Hegelian Dialectic serving only the imperial interest of having a continuous supply of believable enemies to fight against, a necessity for empire for its “imperial mobilization” toward world government, alongside the neutralization of public resistance with invitation to the more acceptable and peace-loving “moderate Islam”, is a cause for concern for all perceptive people wishing to not live with anyone's boot stamped on their face. The boot is not made any softer if it is worn by a black turban proclaiming some divine mandate to keep it on the public's face. This is but a truism applicable to all absolutist rule. But it is even more true for the obedience to Valih-e-faqih demagoguery that has filled the cemeteries of Iran with her young blood in the name of divine mandate for the “sacred war”. Its export to Pakistan will do the same.

Just listen to the slogan-mongering in the speeches of Jawad Naqvi and “Gott mit uns” (God with us) begins to pale in comparison. It has to be witnessed in the lecture videos so generously made available at the website islamimarkaz.com to appreciate the level of indoctrination and psychological persuasion that is going on to create the future robot army of God. It is no different in any of the other thousand seminaries dotting Pakistan, each indoctrinating their flock with their respective theology.

Pertinent to the demographics of Pakistan, she is a multi-ethnic, multi-sect, multi-lingual country that is hardly even a nation of one people from its very founding in the harvest of indigenous blood. No single ethnicity imposing its theocracy on the rest of the nation can give fairness to everyone. It will only lead to blood-shed, and more blood-shed, not organically, but synthetically. That is what the empire du jour wants: Muslim killing Muslim, people killing their own kind, and they spare no opportunity of harvest. They have vassals and surrogates in the establishment ready to cut that harvest, often not even needing any direct orders to do so. The very nature of the harvest through long years of cultivation has made it semi-autonomous and viral. By themselves they cannot create full all-out blood-shed. They need an enemy that is also willing to die in battle in order to do so.

And there is nothing better or cheaper than the “revolutionary Islam” soldiers, also only too eager to spill their own blood to take-down the “militant Islam” soldiers under orders from their valih-e-faqih and his lieutenants who conveniently stay behind, along with their own children and grandchildren, while the indoctrinated useful idiots on both sides do all the killing and dying.

Iran once again demonstrates the reality of the matter rather empirically. It is not a matter of opinion but of facts and numbers. Numbers are a pretty objective metric of accounting and accountants.

How many children and grandchildren of the revolutionary Ayatollahs in Qom died on the battlefront? How many of his own children and grandchildren did Ayatollah (imam) Khomeini commit to the beheshti-e zahra cemeteries from the battlefront between 1982 and 1988 when the valih-e-faqih-e-muslimeen insisted on continuing the war with Iraq even after all of Iranian soil had been liberated from their original aggression? The vast majority of deaths of Iranian youth was between 1982 and 1988 --- how many of these belonged to the Ayatollahs of Qom and Mashad in the senseless war of self-righteousness that saw millions of Shia-Sunni Muslims trivially kill each other on both sides of the fence?

If death in battle is so cheaply sold for the harvest of lovely maidens and high station of manhood in heaven, why don't the Ayatollahs send their own children and grandchildren to the front?
There are hundreds of thousands of Ayatollahs in Qom. A roster showing how many children each one has/had and how many were killed in the minefields on the frontline so courageously walked by the youth of Iran who never got a chance to even grow up to full adulthood, would be useful data for all sides to come to a reasonable understanding of whose blood is being called for in the name of Imam Mahdi!

Only the ostriches will bury their head here, and of course those living comfortably outside of Pakistan and only too willing to fight their “jihad” with other people's blood in the name of their theology.

You don't need Imam Ali's sword to battle the tyrants of the world today. The situation calls for King Solomon's sword to battle them. Unless the two are one and the same sword with two sides. You need the intellect side of “zulfiqar” to even begin to make a dent in this all out war being waged by way of deception upon all of humanity without sacrificing the humanity you are trying to defend.

The first weapon of aggression of empire is superior intellect. Only intellect can counter it. A doctrinaire eco-system which does not nurture the intellect, which does not make one skilled in the intellectual resources that the enemy draws upon to arm its own battalions, can hardly field the skilled warriors who can wield the appropriate sword required for an effective battle. That does not mean giving up one's personal beliefs. It also does not mean having everyone else believe the same thing in order to wage a common resistance against a common predator.

The predators don't care what religion you are, only that you make a tasty meal, or posses what they desire control over. They wish to control all life, all resources, all assets, not just Muslims. The atheist superman harvests religion far more productively to design its life's goals than those whose religion he uses. The man of cloth is putty in their hands. Iran today serves a most valuable role as the fictitious “indomitable” enemy of the West. A third-world country that can't even feed its own peoples, is a threat to them in the actual reality of their calculus of one-world government?

And now that perfect enemy of the West is to be imported into Pakistan? The headstone count in our cemeteries will come to far outstrip the beheshti-zahras of Iran. If you have to lay down your life for a divine cause, at least be sure that you aren't simultaneously a disposable pawn being played on the grand chessboard taking the entire nation and others down with you.

The first recognition of the sword of intellect must be of this fact – that “revolutionary Islam” is a most cunning part of the same diabolical Hegelian Dialectic that has been used in waging all the abhorrent wars of the twentieth century. The creation of a “defeatable” enemy in which both sides suffer, one is resolutely destroyed, and a third unseen power behind the scenes gets to reap the harvest of that blood fest.

We have already witnessed Christians killing Christians, more than 50 million of them, in the two World Wars of the twentieth century. Some have put that number to 100 million. The twenty-first has evidently been earmarked for spilling Muslim blood to continue on with the same agenda, of creating world government by destroying all existing order. The twenty-first century harvest of Muslim blood began a bit early, in Afghanistan in 1979, continued in Iran-Iraq, and is on going in the entire Middle East, South Asia, and engulfs the entire “arc of crisis” in the “global zone of percolating violence”. All presaged in public writings at its very inception in 1979.

Muslims today are in the most precarious position. We hardly need more indoctrinated pawns
wielding their antediluvian swords in absolute self-righteousness in further fulfillment of the plans of world order. This cannot mean Muslims, like other peoples and nations, do nothing. It only means to stop being useful idiots in the service of empire. That takes an intellect and an acumen which seems to be in as abundant a quantity as it is varied and multi-disciplinary among the stewards of empire, and a very precious commodity indeed among the Muslims. When we do have the neuronal capabilities and the requisite skills, we tragically turn either into *house niggers* or *superman*.

**Enough Muslim on Muslim violence!**

Irrespective of nationality and religious/sectarian affiliation, all those who share in that aim of existential self-defence must come together against the common enemy of mankind that is aspiring to rule the world upon the foundations of Muslim blood --- or the wily predators will eat each one of us separately, or have us eat each other.

The first baby-step in that direction is to say “hello” to that other fellow over there who thinks different and hear him out without saying “shut up”. Thanks for not saying “shut the fck up” - but then the ideology is not yet in power.
Chapter 36

Uniting Muslims on the Wilayah of Imam Ali (as)

Eid-e Ghadir Mubarak: Appointment of Wilayah of Imam Ali

Why Muslims Disagree Exactly Along Shia-Sunni Split? History vs. Dogma

Thursday, August 30, 2018

Assalaumu 'alekum. I have a simple even naive question: Why is Eid-e Ghadir, the day Imam Ali (a.s) was appointed and announced as Imam, by prophet Muhammad (pbuh) with the following statement that is recorded in both Shia and Sunni works of history and hadith (first penned 12 centuries ago): “Mun Kunto Maula Fa Haaza Aliyun Maula”, not celebrated as Eid by the majority of 1.6 billion Muslims worldwide, and mainly only the 20-25% Muslims who identify themselves as Shia
Muslims, do so? This is puzzling. Statistically speaking, if one presumes that natural intelligence is uniformly distributed in a given population by the bell curve, then, by the preponderance of numbers alone, the Sunni Muslim population should have 4 times as many people of high IQ and natural intelligence as the Shia Muslim population. Why do these equally intelligent people in 4x large numbers interpret Ghadir differently, if they even know about it; most have never heard of it? Why is Ghadir understanding sectarian?

In the United States this year, 18 Zulhijja falls on Thursday, 30 August 2018 (today). Since I have had the pleasure of studying this issue to the best of my limited brain and intellectual capacity, I celebrate this occasion as Eid.

Eid-e Ghadir Mubarak to all Muslims.

If history is to be believed at all, as opposed to being dismissed outright as congeries of big and small lies, then it must be studied minimally as a crime-scene. It must be analyzed forensically in order to understand the motivations behind events, their linkages, and their recordings, all of which are always, but always, beholden to the narrators' pen that informs the generations born after the fact; beholden to the intellectual acumen and psychological predisposition of the narrators; beholden to their own loves and hates, their self-interests; beholden to their own great and small understanding; beholden to their own biases that are both cognitive as well as subconscious; and thus beholden to the myriad forces of historiography and hagiography, psychology and sociology, that characterize the epistemological sources of history.

That academic jargon, perhaps unfamiliar to many, only means how we know what we know. Epistemology is the branch of philosophy that studies knowledge itself. Thus, commonsense tells us that due to all these beholdeness to human imperfections and frailties which tend to corrupt and cripple epistemology with myth amplification and truth attenuation, teasing reality the way it actually is out of the immense noise of documented history, is akin to refining the signal to noise ratio problem in communication and information theory. I explained it rudimentarily in Part-IV of Chapter-1 of my book (pgs. 297...)

Being cognizant of all these limitations and imperfections, my own humble conclusion from the forensic study of Muslim history is that this day is Eid: The Eid-e-Wilayah of Imam Ali.

Joyous felicitations to all human beings once again on this occasion. Its significance to all humanity, not just to Muslims, lies in the personality of Imam Ali and why the Prophet of Islam chose him over all other Muslims present on that occasion.

To those among non Muslims who are unfamiliar with who Imam Ali was, and what the joy is all about, see the biography of Imam Ali by the Lebanese Christian Arabic scholar, George Jordac, who painstakingly put together a holistic picture of this most unusual of men in all of documented history – the one whom Dante, the thirteenth century Italian Christian poet, in his classic book Inferno which depicted Hell, Purgatory and Heaven to the fancy of Western imagination, consigned to the lowest recesses of Hell along with the noble Prophet of Islam – translated and titled in English from Arabic as: The Voice of Human Justice (online).
The fact of this event transpiring at Ghadir-e-khum (some distance from Mecca) on 18th of Zulhijja in 10 A.H. (631 or 632 A.D.), is amply documented, just like the fact that three WTC towers were demolished on 9/11. Beyond that, like the event of 9/11 and belief in officialdom's narrative of who did it and how did it, the interpretation put on the event of Ghadir varies depending on whether one is born into a Shia home or a Sunni home. This is true even in the historical narrations and its scholarship itself. While both sects document it as an historic event, they each interpret it according to their respective socialization bias and psychological predisposition. Thus, subsequent generations are informed naturally by their interpretations, because they too are beholden to the same limitations. And this cycle continues as a self-fulfilling silly separation among Muslims.

To extract the truth of the matter, like for any matter that is beholden to power, and to one's own self-interest (conscious mind) and socialization (unconscious mind), requires not just raw intelligence, but shrewd and sophisticated intelligence that I would call “ma'arifat”, wherewithal. It comes from understanding both the nature of political power, and its uncanny ability to control the narrative to make the public mind. Thus, to be able to understand history perceptively takes at least an iota of forensic acumen. That skill, unfortunately, takes rising beyond one's limitations, both the real ones and the imagined ones.

Most people take their histories literally, memorize it, celebrate it, mourn it, teach it to their children, and pass it forward in scholarship, but few analyze it with any degree of sophistication. Truth of history is frozen for them. Rarely is the motivations of history's actors ever the subject of historians.

Indeed, too few learned people have demonstrated any forensic acuity throughout history, especially among the narrators of history, never mind betrayed any understanding that such skill is necessary to parse history, to parse current affairs, and therefore, needs to be developed just like all the other skills of the intellect such as reading, writing, arithmetic, adding two plus two to equal four, logic, etc.

That lament however, as the comment I left to Ayatollah Khamenei's website betrays, still does not adequately explain why this Eid is mainly only celebrated by Shia Muslims. It would be unpardonable hubris to think that there are no men and women of intellect among Sunni Muslims, or that Shia Muslims are also not socialized into this Eid from birth just like all Muslims are socialized from birth into the Eid ul Addha that precedes it by just eight days, and which all Muslims just celebrated with much fanfare throughout the world.

There has always been a preponderance of intellect among Sunni Muslims by sheer number statistics – Sunni Muslims have been the majority mainstream ever since the death of the Prophet of Islam – surely, multiplied by fourteen centuries of Islam, that is a huge intellectual mass. Sunni Muslims should have come to a common understanding with the Shia Muslims (and vice versa) on this subject at least by now. All 1.6 billion of us should be celebrating this Eid together just like we did Eid ul Addha, and Eid ul Fitr before that, and just as we shall be celebrating the birth of our noble Prophet in a few months, the Eid milad-un-nabi.

So, what's the explanation for this anomaly? If religion is mainly socialization, as it appears to be for the vast majority of us Muslims, nay the vast majority of mankind, then Islam evidently failed in its mission of Divine Guidance despite the presence of such a large body of Muslims on earth for over fourteen centuries. The Holy Qur'an has categorically condemned blindly following the religion of one's forefathers, which is what socialization does. The logic of that Qur'anic deprecation indicates
that one has to use one's intellect to be able to separate the chaff from the wheat. Commonsense? Duh!

Not surprisingly therefore, ever since I acquired consciousness, I have been under the impression that religion's first predicate is the intellect, its first ally the mind. Belief devoid of intellect is akin to superstition. For some, their intellect takes them to atheism; and to the atheists, all religions are nothing more than superstitions as they deny the notion of Revelation. Islam, like Christianity and Judaism, is predicated on the belief in Revelation. Is that anti-intellectual? I do possess some modicum of brains. After all, MIT did admit me, and I did practice as an engineer for a while, building America's mighty military-industrial complex with hard intellectual labor. Thus I surely must not be entirely bereft of intellect if I am theist and believe in God. Therefore, what does it mean to be an anti-intellectual then, if one has demonstrated that one can think? Oh, it is only in belief systems that one can't think, is that it? Perhaps, and certainly when it is based on indoctrination. However, the same vigor which had previously endeared me to my engineering and science profession, when I applied to the study of religion, has taken me to theism; to the belief in God; to the belief in a Power far greater than my ability to comprehend; and to something that is in me but shall exist without me, my soul. I feel a degree of kinship with all theists irrespective of their religion if they are human beings. But it has not taken me to the gods made by man. In fact, my intellect has helped me get away from them.

Perhaps, it is actually the following of the gods made by man (while being under the delusion that one is following God) that has disunited us as one humanity. Socialization is obviously one such god. So are history books when we believe what's written in the them blindly. So is indoctrination. So is education that instills gratuitous beliefs, indistinguishable from indoctrination. Just take a litmus test: How many believe in what the history books of today describe who did 9/11? I have demonstrated all of these officialdom's narratives to be patently false by sheer intellectual vigor. See if you can spot any fallacies in my deconstruction of the propagandaware of imperial mobilization: FAQ: Prove to me that the 9/11 Narrative is a Big Lie.

If, in my own lifetime, I have come across big lies written in contemporary history books when I am actually living through the times that these history books purportedly describe, and if in my own lifetime I have found imposing and celebrated scholarship full of lies and deceit, even among my own teachers, what of antediluvian scholarship?

Fundamentally, there really ought to be only two macro-social groups among mankind: those who believe in God regardless of religion, and those who don't. The latter usually seeds the social Darwinian ubermensch, the Superman of modernity, who often arise as sociopaths to rule the world with the law of the jungle. The former, one still remains hopeful – and have remained hopeful from time immemorial, ever since Cain unjustly killed Abel – will eventually seed the Ashraf-ul-maklouqa'at, the best of creation. The twain shall forever remain pitted one against the other. And as of now, the ubermensch appear to be winning ---- 'cause the rest of us don't appear to want to use our intellect very much. And the empirical evidence for this among Muslims is this absurd separation on the understanding of this historic event of Ghadir based on which home one is born into.

I am hoping however that there is a better explanation than a dormant intellect, because to imagine that from among us 1.6 billion peoples, there is no intellectual common ground for understanding whether or not Eid-e Ghadir is really Eid, a joyous occasion for all Muslims to celebrate together, or mere myth of history, is absurdity.

Thus, why the majority of Muslims remain unaware of Eid-e Ghadir while a tiny minority, no
more than a quarter, is celebrating it, must have a better explanation than mere socialization. What is it?

A concluding explanation of Dante's depiction of both Prophet Muhammad and Imam Ali is in order. *Inferno* is very revealing of Dante's own predisposition. Dante was at least being intellectually honest when he first defined the absolute criterion for truth and falsehood bearers and the denizens of Hell and Heaven as follows (my paraphrase based on my recollection of Inferno): liars, deceivers and hypocrites are worse than murderers, plunderers, rapists, thieves, etc., and while all of them shall be consigned to Hell, the ones who have deceived the most, misled others the most, moved others away from the truth the most, shall be in the lowest recesses of Hell. Then Dante concluded, based on his own socialization into institutionalized Christianity – which during the Christian Middle Ages, and the Dark Ages that preceded it, and Reformation period through Industrial Revolution that succeeded it, and just as it remains today, was extremely inimical to Islam – that since Jesus Christ and Christianity invited mankind towards truth, and Prophet Muhammad's Islam and his lead lieutenant Imam Ali took mankind away from Christianity proselytizing their new religion, that these two men were the greatest of deceivers among mankind.

Thus, based upon the criterion that deceivers should be in the lowest recesses of Hell and truth tellers in the highest reaches of Heaven, and greater the deceiver, lower the level of Hell, and his a priori presupposition that Christianity was truth and Islam falsehood, Dante consigned the noble Prophet of Islam and his lieutenant Imam Ali to the lowest possible level of Hell as these two souls presented the greatest threat to the truth of the Christians.

Dante's handicap? Socialization bias! Otherwise, he had divined the criterion for adjudication quite rationally and objectively!

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

August 30, 2018

Chapter 37

Uniting Muslims on the Holy Qur'an

Path Forward: Impacting Muslim Existence with Qur'anic Political Science


The Question of Rulership in Islam – What does the Holy Qur'an have to say about it?

As far as Mr. Spock* has been able to ascertain from his study of the Holy Qur'an, there are no Imams (Guides, Leaders, Rulers to rule over the Muslim nation after the Prophet of Islam) mentioned

in the Holy Qur'an by name, nor the fact of their number, as in how many, except for the sole fact of the veritable existence of some unnamed \( \text{وَأَوَلِيَاءُ الْأَمَرِ ﻣَتْمَكَنُ} \) to whom allegiance, obedience, is made as much compulsory for Muslims as is allegiance and obedience to the Prophet of Islam. That latter fact is categorical. The verse of obedience, 4:59, is categorical, blanket, general, and most clear. It cannot be denied (which is why, instead of denying it, the verse of obedience is resemantified, distorted and misinterpreted by the anointed experts from the clergy class to legitimize just about anyone's rule, including their own). Nor can it be denied that logical deductions from the verses of the Holy Qur'an have led Mr. Spock to the conclusion that these could only be from the \( \text{Ahlul Bayt} \) because of the requirement for being inerrant, infallible, if such absolute obedience commanded to any mortal man is made equivalent to obedience to God*. And such perfect cleansing, from mistakes and errors, has only been afforded to the \( \text{Ahlul Bayt} \) in the entire Holy Qur'an, in the verse of perfect cleansing, 33:33 – and to no one else! The identity of who exactly comprise the \( \text{Ahlul Bayt} \) is not specified in the Holy Qur'an. Nor is it specified who these unnamed \( \text{وَأَوَلِيَاءُ الْأَمَرِ ﻣَتْمَكَنُ} \) are. Their precise identity therefore, if pertinence demands knowing who these are in future history, meaning outside of their own respective lifetime, requires adjudication from empirical data. Meaning, from the recorded pages of history, meaning going to sources outside of the pages of the Holy Qur'an – the first-cause source of pluralistic interpretations of Islam as already discussed in the preceding sections.

Beyond that, everything else on the subject of rulership of Muslims is shrouded in metaphorical verses of the \( \text{Indeterminates} \). These are open to interpretation and historical fixing, and usually almost entirely by socialization bias. Neither the names of the members of the \( \text{Ahlul Bayt} \), nor the names of the four Caliphs who took power in temporal succession after the Messenger's demise, nor the names of the Ummayad and Abbaside imperial rulers who came thereafter to create the Muslim dynastic empires, nor the names of the famous \( \text{Hadith} \) compilers and exegesis writers, nor the prominent jurists who formed their schools of jurisprudence by which Muslims identify themselves in sectarian affiliations, nor the names of any of the companions of the Messenger, nor the names of his wives, are mentioned in the Holy Qur'an. This silence is also a fact.

It begs the obvious question: Why is the Holy Qur'an not explicit in its own categorical verses on the question of Rulership of Islam after the Messenger of Islam? Why is there not a single verse in the Holy Qur'an which unequivocally identifies who precisely is to succeed the Prophet of Islam in the rulership and imamate of the nascent Islamic state after his demise? There is so much repetition of the mundane matters, including bedroom etiquette, and not one verse on guidance of how the Muslims are to be politically governed after the Prophet, let alone who is to take up his political and spiritual mantle? The Prophet of Islam, after all, had established the first Islamic state. What were the rules of successorship to be after him? And how were these to apply after that epoch, in future times? Instead, there are verses after verses on the concept of Imam, wilayat, valih, wasilah, etc., all forming a multiplicity of riddles couched in indirections and \( \text{Indeterminates} \) which must be solved, objectively and logically to say the least, in order to extract the Message contained in the Holy Qur'an accurately.

* See pages 89-121 Hijacking The Holy Qur'an And Its Religion Islam for the syntactical and logical parsing of the verse of obedience 4:59 that naturally calls to this semantic outcome. It is so transparent and analytical, i.e., objective, that it is puzzling why all Muslims don't see it immediately! And for this idiocy, the Muslim mind can thank their socialization bias!
What bothers Mr. Spock is not that silence in preciseness itself, because his logical mind straightforwardly discerns that fact of omission itself to be part of the Message of the Holy Qur'an, and therefore only to be deciphered correctly by its proclaimed adherents, but the more fundamental question: Why is that question not asked by Muslims themselves? Mr. Spock is more perturbed by their illogical rush to the scribes and pages of history to assert their own myopic inheritance as the principal message of Islam, often exclusively by socialization bias, and of the sect and home each is born into. Hardly the most sensible way to understand a Book as momentous as the Holy Qur'an!

What the Holy Qur'an has instead specified is exclusively the criterion by which to judge, adjudicate, ascertain and affirm, all matters pertaining to the religion of Islam in its categorical verses. Some of these criterion have been used by Mr. Spock to figure out many things, some shocking, like the admonishment that some Muslims in the time of the Messenger were “on a clearly wrong Path” (Surah Al-Ahzaab, 33:36). Similarly, on the topic which principally divides Sunnis and Shias and from which all their other sectarian differences follow – was there, or was there not, appointment of an Apostolic Successor by Divine Decree and proclaimed by the Messenger? So judge by the Determinate criterion of the Holy Qur'an alone, to your own good heart's content, who is entitled to be from among the distinguished players of history. Mr. Spock's path to understand the Qur'anic criterion is summarized in the Self Study section at the end.

But also observe that its relevance today is principally only of theoretical and academic interest from the point of view of the Determinate verses of the Holy Qur'an. Because, if it wasn't, these historically entitled would have been identified in the Holy Qur'an by name and details about them would be contained in the Determinates of the Holy Qur'an for subsequent generations to follow categorically, until the end of time. The reason they are not identified by name, is arguably because they were clearly known to the peoples in the era they each lived in, and were principally meant for. Whereas, the theologies surrounding them which have reached Muslims some millennia later, are not to be found in the Holy Qur'an except by way of interpretation of the Indeterminates, largely drawn from the preferred penmanship of history. What would have happened if none of these scribes existed, or had written anything – just as nothing was written down for more than a century after the demise of the Prophet of Islam? On what logical basis, deduced from the criterion of the Holy Qur'an, are these fallible scribes predicates to the understanding of the infallible Holy Qur'an? Mr. Spock found no reference in the Holy Qur'an mandating the existence of these scribes. There is no mention in the Holy Qur'an of scribes who have been “perfected” for this task of faultless preservation.

* Definitions from page 120 Hijacking The Holy Qur'an And Its Religion Islam

**Determinate:** A topic, or the full meaning of a verse or verse fragment, in context, is fully determinable from the full context of the 114 Surahs of Holy Qur'an. For instance, verse 5:48 is evidently in this category, it is categorical, as are all the foundational verses by definition as per verse 3:7, Surah Aal-'Imran.

**Indeterminate:** A topic, or the full meaning of a verse or verse fragment, in context, cannot be fully established from even the full context of the Holy Qur'an including the Determinate verses due to insufficient information in the Holy Qur'an. For instance, verse 4:59 is evidently in this category, as are all the allegorical and metaphorical verses by definition as per verse 3:7.
of historical narratives that exist today as the primary written sources of Islam outside of the Holy Qur'an.

Every generation has the new opportunity to start afresh – for the natural cyclical process of birth and death can also have a beneficial cleansing effect upon the baggage of legacy. Why should a new generation born into their own times be shackled by what went before? Which is why the Holy Qur'an itself advocates starting afresh for every man and woman rather than remain shackled by the holiness of others who came before them:

“That was a people that hath passed away. They shall reap the fruit of what they did, and ye of what ye do! Of their merits there is no question in your case!”

(Surah Al-Baqara, 2:134, repeated again for emphasis in 2:141)

When the Holy Qur'an so clearly vouches for that separation from the people who went before without equivocation: “Of their merits there is no question in your case”, then how can it endorse the acceptance of their workmanship for you to follow for your merit? That would create a contradiction!

Indeed, the Holy Qur'an unequivocally confirms that conclusion with the following explicit warning:

“(On the day) when those who were followed disown those who followed (them), and they behold the doom, and all their aims collapse with them. And those who were but followers will say: If a return were possible for us, we would disown them even as they have disowned us. Thus will Allah show them their own deeds as anguish for them, and they will not emerge from the Fire.” (Surah Al-Baqara, 2:166-167)

The Indeterminates of the Holy Qur'an weren't meant to be filled in by the imaginative scribes in pious robes, nor spawn Muslim empires by subverting their meanings from the pulpit, nor the latter day lucrative industry of madrassas, howzas, and seminaries which run into unaccountable billions of dollars of annual zakat, khums, and endowment funds. Like the financial secrecy enjoyed by the Papacy, no one has any accounting for these funds. No nation demands it. No accounting firm produces the balance sheet for the public for the funds harvest from the public in the name of religion. This holy industry feeds for lifetime, generations of savants who often cannot be gainfully employed in any competitive sector of society. In modernity, if you are a mental midget who cannot get into college, or are too poor to feed yourself, you become an “alim”. If you are more fortunate, you become a “revolutionary”, or acquire a Ph.D. to “bring reform to Islam”. The religion of Islam remaining in the clutches of the pulpit that feeds off of it, for profit, power, or glory, can never stand up to the hectoring hegemons. It becomes the stage for house niggers, useful idiots, and mercenaries of empire to rally the public mind to its agendas. We even empirically witness this in our own times. Caught between the Hegelian Dialectic of “militant Islam” and “moderate Islam”, with “revolutionary Islam” soon to be added to its mix to foment more “revolutionary times” of internecine violence, the sectarian pulpit spells worldwide national suicide for Muslims today.

Just as the ancient scribes fixed the Indeterminates of the Holy Qur'an to suit their narrow self-interests, we have the opportunity to rationally unfix the Indeterminates of their subversive
bindings to suit our broader existential self-interests. We have the same ability to de-emphasize the **Indeterminates** in our religious ethos, or to treat them as options not to be fought or disunited over, just as the earlier times went in the opposite direction. We have the opportunity to actively build on what is common ground so easily forged by the **Determinates** of the Holy Qur'an, just as those who went before us differentiated on the basis of the **Indeterminates**.

Only that sensible path offers any coherent possibilities for Muslims to finally stop being puppets on a string. Only that approach permits the sectarianly divided Muslims to come together against common global predators whose only real leverage upon Muslims is their superior Machiavellian ability to divide and conquer the simpleton public mind.

Muslims in every new generation get the opportunity afresh to stop being simpletons. That is why man is given his own little “zulfiqar”*, his intellect! But it is born dull just as man is born naked at birth. And just as we don't go prancing about in our birth-day clothes *au natural* for the rest of our lives just because we are born naked, and if someone did they'd be simply locked away in an asylum, those still prancing about in their birth-day mind *au natural*, are just as simply harvested for fodder by the Nietzschean superman.

Focussing on the **Determinates** effectively checkmates the hijacking of the religion of Islam from all pulpits. It helps overcome the sectarian divide among Muslims without either requiring anyone to give up their own socialization biases, nor requiring anyone to accept any particular sect's supremacy as the sole custodian of the religion of Islam some fourteen-fifteen centuries later.

Just acquiring that first crucial understanding, that **Indeterminates** by definition seed diversity of viewpoints, and those viewpoints that are inimical to the spirit of Islam expressed in its **Determinates** will always sow discord, is sufficient for this coming together of the Muslim public mind. Such common ground does not require a common pulpit. It only requires reaching a common understanding of the above principle so lucidly visible in the Holy Qur'an with even a modicum of reflection. All else will naturally follow with the realization that Muslims should abstain from building the core religious values of their faith upon the narratives of the scribes of history who fixed these **Indeterminates** according to their own logic and motivations pertinent to their own epoch, when today Muslims have the same pristine text of the same Holy Qur'an untampered by human hand also available to them to guide them in their own epoch!

Muslims today have that momentous benefit denied all other peoples none of whose sacred

---

* Zulfiqar: Name of the legendary double-pincer sword of Imam Ali ibn Abi Ṭālib before which no nemesis could stand in mortal combat against the Imam. Legend has it that the sword was given to Imam Ali by the Prophet of Islam after (or during) the battle of Uhad in the second year of the Hijra, 614 A.D. At the battle of Khaybar in the 7th year of Hijra, when the indomitable Jewish stronghold fort at Khaybar fell to the indomitable sword of Imam Ali, Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon them) said: لا فتى إل علي لا سيف إلا ذو الفقار (La fatah illa Ali la saif illa Zulfiqar: There is no victor like Ali, there is no sword like Zulfiqar). The intellect, given to every individual in mankind by the Creator in varying amounts, called “aqal”, is akin to that famous sword of Imam Ali (as). One need only learn to sharpen it, and to wield it with both skill and expertise, and no hectoring hegemon can ever prevail with their weapons of mass deception in any battle. Our built-in “Zulfiqar” is the only effective antidote against the villainy of perception management. It separates friend from foe, night from day, truth from falsehood, fact from fiction! 
scriptures can stand that test of time. To then journey voluntarily on the path that peoples of other religions are involuntarily forced to adopt because they do not have such un-tampered sacred scriptures, and that path lead to disunity and infighting, is outright stupidity. Nay, asininity. When such foolishness leads to internecine warfare, it is outright criminal. And not to fight back that criminalist path when it perches a people on the very brink of existentialism, a national suicide!

Who can liberate the Muslim public mind so steeped in rituals, so manipulated from the pulpit in every sect, and so incestuously socialized into their respective sectarian ethos generation after generation? How to bootstrap that transformation of the Muslim public mind without wiping out that cultural history? How to fight back that national suicide?

If Mustafa Kemal Atatürk can ruthlessly separate a domineering people from their 300 year old Muslim heritage of Ottoman empire within a single generation to create Westernized Turkey, if Ayatollah Khomeini can wipe out 2500 year old heritage of monarchy in Persia in far less time than that to create a Revolutionary theological Iran, it surely can be done. But can it be done without bloodshed, internecine violence, and a forced separation from who we are? Both those cited transformations of the twentieth century came at the expense of that forced separation of a people from their heritage; and much spilled Muslim blood – mostly by Muslims themselves! Neither is necessary nor desirable in order to end the divisiveness of sectarianism.

All it takes is pulpits in all sects to perceptively understand, and judiciously promulgate, the concepts of Determinates and Indeterminates to their respective flock. The rest will naturally follow. That initial first step will surely take state power to affect at national and international levels – for, if the pulpit was ever so rational, it had the choice of addressing the problem in the previous centuries on their own. Just as it took state power to first preserve the Holy Qur'an, it will also take state power to first push its common Determinate meaning through. The rest will surely be organic once a new generation grows up learning the new understanding. Other principled measures can also be adopted by any state, such as mandating Determinate verse 5:48 of Surah Al-Maeda as the overarching mission statement of every Muslim sect under its suzerainty in order for the sect to be accorded state recognition and constitutional protection of rights as a legitimate Islamic sect.

There is no fundamental political problem in sowing beneficial ideas by a state irrespective of its national or ideological predicates – popular atheist philosopher and novelist Ayn Rand's twentieth-century theology of Objectivism and individual selfishness notwithstanding. Holy Qur'an is inimical to such ideas and therefore, to not accord ideas inimical to the religion of Islam any protection in a Muslim dominated state is rational and self-consistent with the theology that is espoused by the people of that state. It is no different than the United States not according space to Communist ideology in its state and global sphere of influence. In the same vein, fraternal ideas the Holy Qur'an engenders in its Determinate verses are both a spiritual as well as political constitution to live by for Muslims and therefore, there is no principal reason why certain key political principles extracted from the Good Book not be adopted as governing principles of a state even if it is a secular state. Just that one simple fundamental measure, like its Biblical counterpart known as The Golden Rule, will ensure that vitriolic sects whose entire raison d'être is ominously self-righteousness and exclusionary, declaring others “non-Muslim” their axiomatic enactment of their philosophy (takfirism), get naturally wiped out by making the soil infertile for their growth. That soil conditioning ingredient is categorically provided in the Holy Qur'an.
The power of political sagaciousness and beneficial mutual co-existence inherent in the **Determinate** verse 5:48 of Surah Al-Maeda both checkmates, and preempts, all internecine warfare among Muslims. No outside or inside Machiavelli can harvest Muslim cracks and lacunas with the universal adoption of verse 5:48 as part of the state constitution where diverse Muslim sects live in any substantial numbers and permitted to practice their religion with state protection of their rights. Those religious rights can be made contingent on the directives of the very religion that is being accorded state political rights. It is akin to making the *Biblical Golden Rule* “**Do unto others as you have others do unto you**” the cornerstone of all nations' constitutions by international law.

This line of reasoning is neither platitudinous nor theoretical. But straightforward Qur'anic political science to defeat Machiavellian political science. Take political science out of religion, out of the moral calculus of governance, and all a people are left with is the empty shell of banal rituals ripe for harvesting by Machiavelli to create hell on earth. **That's how the Religion of Islam was principally hijacked, and that's also how it will ever be un-hijacked!** And as in all battles between good and evil, between masters and slaves, between hegemony and servitude, between supremacy and equitable co-existence, between international law and aggression, this battle too needs to be fought. It needs its champions and its powerbase no differently than primacy needs its champions and its powerbase. Without their respective champions, neither side can dominate. The reason primacy continually succeeds to dominate is because it is not shackled by moral calculus and has instead made itself adept at shackling all others. Qur'anic political science is its antidote.

The world might pay attention to this if they care to rid themselves of the curse of the repeated diabolical harvesting of the religion of Islam for “imperial mobilization”. The world might also pay attention to the political evils spread in the name of “freedom” that is nipped in the bud with such cautious political adoption – even if it may sound exclusionary to the nihilistic advocates of unlimited freedom. This includes the so called *avant-garde in political thought* who want freedom to spread political evil in the name of political freedom, freedom to destroy with vile speech in the name of freedom of speech, freedom to belittle others' religion in the name of freedom of religion, and freedom to spread anarchy in the name of freedom of individualism. No civilization can exist for long with predators flourishing among them in the name of freedom and devouring its every moral civilizational construct in the lofty guise of *liberté, égalité, fraternité*.

The aforementioned solution-space is applicable even when the political governance system that Muslims live in is a theological state of any sectarian flavor. Today, these span the full gamut of defining governance characteristics that are not to be found in the Holy Qur'an but is presented as being part of the religion of Islam. Drawn entirely from the **Indeterminates**, it spans the gamut of extremes: from the strict orthodox Wahabi-Salafi Sunni sect that rules Islam's holiest places as a private kingdom named after their own ruling family which interprets ْوَأَوَّلِيَّ الْأُمَّةِ مُنْتَكِمَّٰ (وَأَوَّلِيَّ الْأُمَّةِ مُنْتَكِمَّ) of verse 4:59 as anyone vested in temporal power by any means (amply supported by their own preferred history's scribes and precedents); to the “virtuous philosopher-king” model of the Iranian Shia sect asserting a mandate for “imamate by proxy” also based on the same verse 4:59 (and also amply supported by their own preferred history's scribes and precedents)!

The Iranian Revolution of Ayatollah (imam) Khomeini (imam in the ordinary sense of political and spiritual leader whom people followed, hence lower case usage) however was somewhat more creative and principled than the Wahabis pernicious takeover of Islam's sacred soil under the banner of the House of Saud.
The latter were largely an ignorant but locally powerful tribe, cognitively infiltrated by the Wahabi sect invented by the British empire as part of its ongoing subversive warfare upon the Muslim Ottoman empire, and brought to state power in the Hijaz by the interplay of victorious superpowers on the grand chessboard of the early twentieth century.

Whereas, the Iranian Revolution in the second half of the twentieth century was led largely by well-read scholars and theologians. Ayatollah (imam) Khomeini easily adapted Plato's "philosopher-king" for his "governance of the faqih" (vilayat-i faqih) model, seamlessly tying it to the Shia jurisprudence principle of "taqlid" to shepherd the flock. The philosopher-faqih and stoic antagonist of the despotic American imposed monarchy in Iran, equally easily sold the new franchise of "revolutionary Islam" to the Iranian public mind which had been readily primed for the revolution through the good graces of the ignoble Shah's CIA trained SAVAK. That, it was far nobler in the mind to be ruled by an enlightened clergy in the name of God under Divine Rule as the perpetual enemy of America (the Great Satan), rather than by America's own Shahanshah in his own royal name – without the conception of Hegelian Dialectic ever becoming part of the discourse space. The arc of crisis was lighted simultaneously on the Grand Chessboard by American President Jimmy Carter and his National Security Advisor with diabolical opposites: revolutionary Sunnis in Afghanistan as the sacred Mujahideens with “God is on your side”, and revolutionary Shias in Iran as the infernal enemy.

See respectively, “Selling the Carter Doctrine”, Time Magazine, February 18, 1980; and “IRAN: The Crescent of Crisis”, Time Magazine, January 15, 1979. Nothing is as it is made to appear in current affairs where beliefs based on half-truths and outright lies are diabolically implanted in the public mind – virtually everything the public is made to believe in international relations is myth. See “Unlayering the Middle East War Agenda: Making Sense of Absurdities” (tinyurl.com/making-sense-of-absurdities). The same is true of the theological construct of valih-e-faqih that draws upon Divine Mandate to make the public mind. It bears closer scrutiny.

What does the Holy Qur'an say about Divine Rule of Valih-e-Faqih?

Is it Determinate in the Holy Qur'an?

A non hagiographic examination of the conception of vilayat-i faqih in both Ayatollah (imam) Khomeini's book: “Islam and Revolution” (translated by Hamid Algar, 1981), and how it has been enacted in post Revolutionary Iran, reveals that it is little different in terms of absolutist governance
than what it replaced: both autocratic rules by those who ascribe to themselves the divine right of kings to rule and consequently, absolutely intolerant of dissenting ideology and dissenting politics. Both demonized their respective antagonists at home (never mind abroad) with the absolute righteousness of divine authority. Both asserting with unsurpassed oratory, and with the power of the state backing their oration, that the chosen elite, respectively themselves, is more entitled to govern the public than the public itself. And that, like the king's rule, the vali-e-faqih's rule too is absolute, with no limits, and no checks and balances, so long as he rules “justly”. The vali-e-faqih defines what is just and what isn't in all matters, including political matters of the state, as the imam (leader), and in theory can only be replaced if he leaves the bounds of Islamic Sharia. The absolute rule by the vali-e-faqih as the representative of the “hidden Imam”, is deemed by the jurist to be an obligatory religious duty as an integral part of the concept of “wilayah”, Divine Rule, prescribed by the religion of Islam for ruling the Islamic state.

Meaning, the Islamic state must be ruled by the jurist, and it is incumbent upon the jurist to create the Islamic state for Muslims and to rule it with absolute authority demanding absolute obedience just as the Prophet of Islam and his designated successor ruled with absolute authority.

In a 6 January 1988 letter to Iran's president and Friday prayer leader Sayyed Ali Khamenei on Determining the limitations of the authority of the Islamic government under the vali-e-faqih's rule, Ayatollah (imam) Khomeini addressing the president of Iran as “Hojjat al-Islam Mr. Khamenei” (and not as “Ayatollah Khamenei” as he is presently saluted and unquestioningly followed as the “marja taqlid”), and while paying elegant lip-service to accepting criticism as a “divine gift” in these pious words: “And of course we should not assume that whatever we say and do, no one has the right to criticize. Criticism, even condemnation, is a divine gift for the growth of humans.”, unequivocally asserted the principle of boundarylessness of “Absolute Divine Rule” vested in the ruler of the Islamic state:

“I must state that governance, which is a branch of the Absolute Rule of the Prophet (PBUH), is one of the primary laws of Islam; and it takes precedence over all secondary Laws, even prayer and fasting and the hajj pilgrimage. The ruler can destroy a mosque or a house that sits in the route for a road, and avoid the money to the owner. The ruler can shut down mosques in times of necessity; and destroy a mosque belonging to pretenders [zerar], if a resolution is not possible without destruction. The government may unilaterally void Sharia-based contracts that it itself has made with the people in situations where that contract is contrary to the good of the nation and Islam. And it can prevent any action – be it devotional or not – that is contrary to the interests of Islam - as long as it continues to be so. The government can temporarily prevent the hajj pilgrimage – which is one of the most important divine practices – in situations where it deems it to be contrary to the interests of the Islamic country.” ---

While one cannot vouch for the accuracy of this translation as it is the habit of orientalists to deliberately mistranslate and misrepresent the Iranian leadership, it is presumed to be accurate enough
for the purpose of this analysis as it is consistent with the ideas put forth in “Islam and Revolution”.

All the aforesaid determinations by Ayatollah (imam) Khomeini underline the principle of Absolute Rule being the purview of the vali-e-faqih. And evidently, it is made noble and legitimate because these absolute determinations are in the name of Islam as “divine guidance”. It begs the obvious question to the discerning mind of Mr. Spock, that how is that absoluteness qualitatively any different from the divine king's self-ascribed right to absolute rule, absolute powers, absolute opinions, absolute directives, and absolute wisdom as the vicegerent of his gods on earth? The king does it to preserve his monarchy and makes recourse to his god as having received a mandate. The vali-e-faqih does the same thing to preserve his rule by making arguable reference to mandate given to him by his God. Both employ the same means: absolute control of the public mind, and absolute control of the state, both demanding absolute obedience from the people. Absolute Rule is evidently more endearing to the philosopher jurist of Islam if it is in his God's name. Why is it philosophically so, even if one ignores self-interest and conflict of interest – meaning, even if the vali-e-faqih is obviously making a case for acquiring state power and authority over the people of which he and his jurist class are the prima facie beneficiary?

Harken back to Plato and the “philosopher-king”. It is the primary axiom upon which vali-e-faqih is principally based – that the religious philosopher is closer to God than all the rest of mankind, and hence closest to truth and justice than all the rest of mankind, and consequently better able to (or more entitled to) govern the republic and its masses with truth and justice than anyone else among mankind!

Upon that priceless axiom which remains conveniently hidden in the prolific arguments made to dignify vilayat-i-faqih, the verses of “wilayah” in the Holy Qur'an, namely those verses speaking of “wasilah”, “Imam”, and “obedience”, are interpreted by the jurist as being Exemplary of Divine Rule set forth in the leadership of the Prophet of Islam as the first head of the Islamic state in Medina, and in the short tenure of Imam Ali, the fourth Caliph, as the only legitimate Divinely appointed successor head of the Islamic state after the Prophet's death. Because they are both Exemplars of the Holy Qur'an and the system of governance espoused in the religion of Islam for all times, and not just for their own time, so argues the vali-e-faqih, how is the Divine Rule to continue in other times?

Specifically, under the Shia theology, during the absence (ghaibat) of the “hidden Imam”? The earth cannot be deprived of Divine Rule argues the brilliant faqih, otherwise tyrants will rule by enslaving the masses, and God's Guidance to mankind will remain un implemented, constricted, “mahjoor” (see Surah Al-Furqan 25:30 quoted above). The core argument is principally laid out by Plato in The Republic to dignify state rulership by the virtuous “philosopher-king”. Plato argued 2500 years ago, a thousand years before the advent of the Holy Qur'an, that if the most virtuous philosopher is not king, the masses will be ruled by diabolical controllers who will enslave the public mind in far constricting invisible chains of perception management than mere physical chains can ever hold any man captive. These prisoners of the mind will actually come to love their own enslavement, and resist all attempts to be freed.

Plato illustrated that idea most poignantly in his famous allegory titled The Simile of the Cave. (See http://tinyurl.com/Plato-Myth-of-the-Cave-Excerpt ) The philosophical etiology of virtually all discourses on voluntary servitude, behavior control, mind control, virtuous leadership, virtuous statism, shepherding the public mind, and even Nietzsche's Übermensch (see Morality derived from
Uniting Muslims on the Holy Qur'an

The Intellect leads to Enslavement!), ultimately anchor in Plato. As far as Mr. Spock can ascertain, none have surpassed Plato in their own derivatives. Some scholars are honest enough to acknowledge their ancient benefactor, while others merely plagiarize from him. But the audience of these latter demagogues does not know when Plato is being plagiarized in the garb of new theory because the public mind is at best only familiar with the name Plato, often in their own native language. Hardly anyone among hoi polloi, even among the college educated professional class, has actually read The Republic, let alone studied it for the due diligence it deserves to comprehend that foundational scholar of the Hellenic Civilization that became not just the cradle of Western civilization, but Muslim scholarship as well. Muslim scholars in Spain were the first to translate the Greek scholarship into Arabic, from where the Western Crusaders got their source material to translate into Latin and subsequently into English. Today, the neo-cons for instance, are all Plato scholars. All significant liars and aggressors today advocating military invasion of Muslim nations under the pretext of defending themselves from the tyranny of Islam also turn out to be Plato scholars in their background. (See http://tinyurl.com/Leo-Strauss-Noble-Lies-Excerpt)

Plato's characterization of mental chains through perception management from birth to death is so powerful that the diabolical superman, the state intelligence apparatuses, the military covert-ops, the Mighty Wurlitzer, Machiavelli, all harnesses it for themselves (see http://tinyurl.com/MightyWurlitzer). Virtually every Western philosopher of the age of enlightenment and onwards penning ideas on good and evil has borrowed at least something from Plato. The famous quotable statement of Goethe, the German philosopher, “None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free. The truth has been kept from the depth of their minds by masters who rule them with lies. They feed them on falsehoods till wrong looks like right in their eyes.”, owes a great deal of inspiration to Plato just on the very face of it. It is a paraphrase from the Simile of the Cave.

Anything to do with deception and the control of the public mind, and conversely, shepherding the public mind to higher enlightenment in a virtuous state led by its most enlightened stewards, Plato expressed its philosophy so comprehensively 2500 years ago that it is hard to add anything new to its principles, or to the perceptive understanding he displayed of the frailty of the human mind and how it is harvested by unseen controllers in society. Edward Bernays, known as the father of modern perception management, also called advertising when selling soap, public relations when selling agendas, and propaganda when selling lies, opened his 1928 Book titled Propaganda, with these famous words which are again mere corollaries of Platonic description: “The conscious and intelligent manipulation of the organized habits and opinions of the masses is an important element in democratic society. Those who manipulate this unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government which is the true ruling power of our country. We are governed, our minds are molded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested, largely by men we have never heard of.”

Muslim scholarship borrowing fundamental notions and key ideas of intellectualism for their own intellectual tradition when they were the dominant superpower in the world for 700 years, not just from Plato, but from the Hellenic culture of learning, is only to be expected, and is indeed what happened.

The entire realm of ilm al-Kalam, the wholly speculative intellectual discourse on topics of the Holy Qur'an, is fundamentally Platonic for instance, and is little different from Plato's Shapes --- entirely immanent, non-falsifiable, without any empirical reality-check possible. It is as rich as the
human mind is fertile, and is freed from any bounds of reality and verification – an occupation of idle minds who do not have to strive to earn a living and can sit around all day in their seminaries (or ivory towers) eruditely discoursing important matters like how many angels can dance on a pin-head and whether the Holy Qur'an, as the Word of God, is created or uncreated! It is the contemporary Muslim scholarship today which plagiarizes more than just borrow with acknowledgment. The feeble intellectual mind unfamiliar with the genesis and etiological significance of ideas presented to him by the superman, never knows the difference. So forget about the public mind being any more the wiser just because collectively they are far greater in number. Plagiarized ideas can easily be ascribed to anyone, including to oneself as its inventor which is typically the case, but also to God to achieve some purpose. The latter takes an exceptionally clever mind to pull it off. In this exclusive club of the Übermensch, Nietzschean superman, one is arguably dealing with a most superior mind. To dismiss it as ignorant, short-sighted, or a stooge, is to not just not give the devil its due, but to also not recognize the formidable enemy for what it is. As Mr. Spock well knows, the sword of intellect can cut both ways. He is undeterred as he systematically unpeels the many layers of the question down to the very bottom of the Pandora's box. As that legend goes, opening the Pandora's box initially opens a can of worms but when you get to its very bottom, the entire mystery is solved.

With that overview of philosopher-king and the overarching impact of Plato on the world of intellectual thought, the responsibility for implementing Islam's Divine Rule too, it is argued, must consequently fall to those philosophers and virtuous scholars of Islam who know and understand Islam the best. Otherwise, the Muslim polity, as history bears witness, will always be ruled by tyrants and usurpers. Well, who is best fit for that leadership role of shepherding the plebeian mind away from the wolves, but the pious jurist!

Thus, Ayatollah (imam) Khomeini deemed his own clergy class the latter day “philosopher-king” ruling class since they presume to know Islam the best. They are closest to the mind of God, closest to truth and justice, and consequently make the best executors of His Divine Rule. The most capable jurist among this tiny coterie able to stand up to tyrants and falsehoods, able to exercise political and temporal leadership, is the “philosopher-king”. Ahem, the “wasilah” (already covered in Part-II, see Al-Wasilah): “O ye who believe! Do your duty to Allah, seek the means of approach unto Him,” (Surah Al-Maeda 5:35), “These are they whom Allah guided, therefore follow their guidance” (Surah Al An'aam 6:90), the valih-e-faqih!

Since the Prophet of Islam and his designated successor implemented that Divine Rule with Absolute Authority, and since they demanded absolute obedience from the public as per the verse of obedience, 4:59, so must the valih-e-faqih who is only the heir to the third entity in the verse of obedience, (ولى الأمر منكم), the “ulul-amr”, also referred to as “valih-e-amr”, an unnamed third party to whom absolute obedience is also commanded by the Author of the Holy Qur'an! The valih-e-faqih therefore is only implementing God's prescription on his side of the elite fence as his religious duty as the heir to the noble Prophet's mantle, and the governed must implement its part and obey the noble valih-e-faqih in absolute terms on its commoner's side of the elite fence as its religious duty.

Here is that most dreadfully interpreted Verse of Obedience once again, from Part-II:
“O ye who believe! Obey Allah, and obey the Messenger, and those charged with authority among you.

If ye differ in anything among yourselves, refer it to Allah and His Messenger, if ye do believe in Allah and the Last Day: That is best, and most suitable for final determination.” (Surah an-Nisaa' 4:59)

Caption Verse 4:59 of Surah an-Nisaa', the Verse of Obedience, itself opening the door to sectarian schism, the source of fundamental bifurcation between Sunni and Shia sects during the Muslim expansion into world dominating empires after the demise of the Messenger. The Verse of Obedience specifically underwrites the Principle of Inerrancy as a requirement for holding any Apostolic office that demands obedience from the flock.

Once the mantle of Absolute Rule is claimed by axiomatic assertion, it inevitably leads to demanding absolute obedience as a self-evident matter, which further leads to the inevitable corollary that no one may even disagree with the vali-e-faqih once he has made up his mind just as no one may disagree with, or disobey, the Prophet of Islam once he has made up his mind as per verse 33:36 of Surah Al-Ahzab “It is not fitting for a Believer, man or woman, when a matter has been decided by Allah and His Messenger to have any option about their decision: if any one disobedys Allah and His Messenger, he is indeed on a clearly wrong Path.”

By extrapolating the proper noun Exemplar which singularly refers to someone specific, to the common noun exemplar, the same semantic construct in any language opens itself up to a group membership of ordinary peoples such that to disagree or to disobey this new plurality of exemplars of Divine Rule is also to be “on a clearly wrong Path”. To disobey the vali-e-faqih is to become a sinner! As a reminder to the forgetful mind, the hectoring hegemons who hijack the religion of Islam for waging world wars under the pretext of defending themselves against the corrupted Islam and its barbarian followers, routinely do the same resemantification: alias proper nouns into common nouns. Professor Bernard Lewis extrapolated the word “Islam”, a proper noun of the Holy Qur'an, into a common noun when he cunningly resemantified it to mean a kitchen-sink of semantics in his book: Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror (see Hijacking the word “Islam” for Mantra Creation). Here, a concept instead of a word is being aliased.

Indeed, to not follow and obey some marja-e-taqlid from that elite set who deem themselves “worthy of emulation” – never mind the pompous title incestuously awarded among the clan by themselves under some unspecified and entirely subjective secret calculus of who is more learned in esoterica – is to be a sinner. To avoid that sin, an absurd set of restrictions is put upon the believer such that in practice she has little choice but to accept taqlid of someone from among that new divine set of exemplars. It does not matter whom she chooses from that elite set --- for she is now roped in for life into that church of taqlid and will pay her religiously mandated donations into those unaccountable coffers that run into sums higher than the GDP of many nations combined. But more importantly, the voluntary obedience is the foundational cornerstone of the fatwas issued by the marja-e-taqlid which
define the *halal* and *haram* status not just in spiritual matters, but also in national, political, and temporal matters that the follower is now obliged to accept from her marja-e-taqlid.

The *valih-e-faqih* who is a grade above that station is like the Pope central, and his fatwa is binding upon all over whom he is a guardian, vali. The *valih-e-faqih's* canvas is far greater. He imposes upon the public mind of the far larger audience space what is permissible and what isn't by way of his own *ijtihad* at the threat of eternal damnation on the follower for disobedience and salvation for strict obedience. He defines and enacts national laws based on predicates of his personal divine *ijtihad* and imposes legal entitlements for breaking the law even in this life! Whereas the lower ranking marja-e-taqlid only govern the reward and punishment in the Afterlife by exercising behavior control of their flock in this life, the *valih-e-faqih* also controls reward and punishment in this life. While all governments do that too, define and legislate laws, and police them, none of them have the chutzpah to draw their mandate from God, unless it is the Jews in the Jewish state, and the Muslims in the Muslim states. Christians seem to have overcome that phase of their spirituality after their dark ages, with the Vatican today more an appendage of a narrow elite mired in antiquated rituals than for exercising spiritual or temporal control over its flock in comparison to its other monotheist brethren.

"God", from time immemorial, has always entered the political realm of mass behavior control through his proxy service providers. It is irrelevant that these service providers can produce no “certificate” from God in their own name. The topic of inquiry, as a reminder to the reader, is not whether God exists, Prophets exist, Divine Guidance exists, Divine Books exist (or not exist). That may be a topic of examination for another day and is beyond the scope of the present work. The topic of inquiry at hand is how is the religion of Islam hijacked so easily for self-interests by Muslims themselves who do believe in all the preceding presuppositions as an axiom of faith. It is demanded in the Holy Qur'an which defines both itself and its audience: “This is the Scripture whereof there is no doubt, a guidance unto those who ward off (evil). Who believe in the Unseen, ...” (Surah Al-Baqara 2:2-2:3). So how do Muslims fall prey to evil if their Holy Book is only for those who ward off evil? In this instance, the inquiry has reached the threshold of logic which begs the question of where is the jurist's certificate from God as his holy emissary that he can define *halal* and *haram* by his own *ijtihad* and impose it upon the public mind not just as a spiritual matter, but also a legal matter as the state ruler?

Just making the claim however is evidently sufficient because there are always followers. Orators and demagogues both attract followers faster than trash bins attract flies. Human beings evidently find a compelling need for emotional and psychological security blankets. That natural need leaves the public mind wide open for any cognitive infiltration that comes suitably wrapped in relevant security guarantees by authority figures. The ancient man offered blood sacrifices to appease his god's anger under dispensation from their witch doctors. That was improved upon by the abstraction of an Afterlife in monotheism. Belief in the Day of Judgment is an axiom of faith required by the Holy Qur'an. Thus a successful jurist *marja-e-taqlid* now dispenses the certificates of *do's and don'ts* of daily life for essentially the same purpose as ancient priests but for the Afterlife.

The modern jurist no longer needs to sell God and its common axioms to his masses as they already believe in these axioms fervently by way of socialization and cultural acceptance. All the jurist has to do is carefully interpose himself in the public's path to Afterlife by drawing justification for his indispensability from the *Indeterminates* of the Holy Qur'an. With his learned confabulations in arcane subjects, he gets away with it in front of the modern busy man unfamiliar with ancient books.
that the jurist draws upon to impress the public mind. The truth of this timeless observation of the
frailty of human psyche and how it is abused from time immemorial is without doubt. It is self-evident.
That human frailty to be a follower is open game for anyone able to harness it. And especially because
of the doctrine of “taqlid” already in place for centuries, the valih-e-faqih's mandate for Absolute Rule
is made a practical political reality under the banner of “revolutionary Islam”.

Just as antisemitism has been the Zionist Jews best friend in founding the Jewish state, and
oppression upon the Muslims of India through the Hindu-Muslim riots was the best friend of the
Muslim League for founding the divine state of Pakistan, oppression upon the Shia Muslims is its
latter day equivalent. Absolutely essential for the founding of revolutionary Islamic state. These
ideologies only thrive under oppression of their own people and only come to fruition when the
oppression is perceived as reaching cataclysmic proportions – whence divine help comes galloping on
a white horse to end the tribulation period and all the bloodshed of innocent masses is justified and
dignified as the reason for the new state. The people rejoice – momentarily, while the diabolical
Hegelian Dialectic is birth-panged in Eurasia as the absolute sworn enemy of Oceania to carry on a
perpetual war. One can't make this up except in a fable, but one sees it being enacted on the Grand
Chessboard over and over again! All the revolutions of the twentieth century started in blood, and
ended in blood, of innocent people. And they all exhibit the same common template – the creation of
an enemy to wage world wars. The bibliography on this subject is vast indeed and it is not the intent to
rehearse what is already been written elsewhere except to lend the aforesaid brief context. Here, Mr.
Spock is keenly desirous of treading new ground in logical pursuit of the question at hand, suitably
armed by the accumulated wisdom of what he has seen of man's history of waging wars by way of
deception for the control of the public mind. From this first control, all evil naturally follows.
Conversely, from its liberation, all else naturally follows too: “Freedom is the freedom to say that two
plus two make four. If that is granted, all else follows.”

The brilliance of the argument for Absolute Rule by the valih-e-faqih is without question. The
political circumstances leading to it no more unprecedented and no less conspiratorial than what led to
the creation of the Jewish state from partitioned Palestine and the Muslim state from partitioned India.
The natural arguments posited by Ayatollah (imam) Khomeini for the raison d'être of an Islamic state
that implements the real religion of Islam, asserted as being self-evident.

To Mr. Spock's logical mind always searching for unstated axioms and implicit presuppositions
in supposedly “self-evident” arguments presented as concentric proofs, the problem is glaring. Apart
from the despotism that absolute rule demanding absolute obedience can take even the best of ordinary
mortal to, the core problem is also just as straightforward as it is glaring.

While the Author of the Holy Qur'an both explicitly and unequivocally vouched for the Prophet
of Islam in that categorical verse of obedience as an obligatory religious command on Muslims, and
the Prophet as the first head of the Islamic state which he founded in Medina may have veritably
vouched for the sole father of the source of his prolific progeny, Imam Ali, as history books have
recorded thus establishing a chain of explicit vouching that directly connects to the Author of the Holy
Qur'an (even though that fact is not explicitly recorded in the Holy Qur'an and has thus become a
source of partisan interpretation throughout the short history of Muslim dominance of the world by its
despotic rulers vying to establish their Islamic legitimacy by employing the same clergy class to serve
their own imperial interests), who vouched for Ayatollah (imam) Khomeini as the Divinely
designated Imam sanctioned for Divine Rule?
On what Qur'anic Determinates specifically did Ayatollah (imam) Khomeini apply the verse of obedience to himself to legitimize his own Absolute Rule as the “valih-e-amr”?

As a most learned jurist and scholar of Islam, was the revolutionary imam who so boldly altered the destiny of an entire nation, watered its cemeteries with the blood of a generation of its finest youth in the name of God without showing much compunction, unaware of the logic of verse 4:59 which imparts certain implicit characteristics of unerriness as already analyzed in Part-II? No jurist worth his salt can be unaware of it if Mr. Spock can so trivially deduce it.

How can Ayatollah (imam) Khomeini claim to be the “valih-e-amr” of verse 4:59 with any more intellectual integrity and moral gravitas than the autocratic House of Saud, or any of the other past claimants to absolute rule demanding absolute obedience throughout the imperial history of despotic Muslim rulers, all of whom having occupied the seat of the Prophet of Islam with theological sanctions from the self-serving pulpits drawing upon the same verse?

In fact, the pulpit did not even shy from applying that verse of obedience to the British colonial masters of India as the Qadiani-Ahmadi pontiffs did at the turn of the twentieth-century; Maulana Muhammad Ali, laying its diabolical foundations in his seminal English translation of the Holy Qur'an, first in the Preface under the heading: Reverence for authority, pg. xv wrote: “But while teaching equality of rights, Islam teaches the highest reverence for authority. ... By those in authority are meant not only the actual rulers of a country, but all those who are in any way entrusted with authority”, then elaborated it further in his footnote number 593 for his English translation of verse 4:59 "The words ulul-amr, or those in authority, have a wide significance, ... among those in authority are included the rulers of a land, though they may belong to an alien religion,”! (see MMA 1917 PDF).

Just because someone else does the same gratuitous extrapolation, but applies it a tad more narrowly to the more holier than thou philosopher-king-jurist, and nominates himself as the vali-amr, the valih-e-faqih-e-muslimeen, and does it in the name of the Ahlul-Bayt because of his own convictions on the matter, and the people of Iran show their approval with an applause, hardly makes the assertion any more relevant, let alone applicable.

In the case of Revolutionary Iran in 1979, the Iranian public evidently did not think it necessary to ask for such a “certificate” of divine sanction from Ayatollah (imam) Khomeini as the “ulul-amr” of 4:59, never mind think of how they might have actually verified it had he presented one. Just being against the Shah of Iran, against the absolute tyrant working for the imperialist United States of America, was sufficient certificate for ushering in everlasting absolute rule by the valih-e-faqih in God's name; a divine provenance even gloriously fulfilled with the triumphant return of Ayatollah (imam) Khomeini to Iran on February 1, 1979, warming the hearts of the Persian masses to the miraculous divine intervention.

The Iranian people agreed to accept their new rebel imam's absolute rule as the “valih-e-amr” designate of verse 4:59 in an unprecedented public referendum which remains unsurpassed as a willing choice exercised by a fed-up people to be eagerly ruled by their clergy class brought to political power on a (Air France) jet airliner flying safely through America's NATO controlled French skies to land in
Tehran, instead of continuing to live under the suzerainty of the most tyrannical and narcissistic King of kings who had previously been brought to political power in Tehran by America's CIA.

It begs the patently obvious question: Why was the airliner carrying the renegade Grand Ayatollah to power in Iran not shot down by NATO military forces (and easily blamed on the Shah's military) if revolutionary Islam was such a great threat to the Western hegemons? Ayatollah (Imam) Khomeini had been most vocal about his revolutionary ideology and the rule of the faqih throughout his exile years. His Shia ethos of Karbala was well-known. He had made no secret of the fact that he hated the Great Satan and all those who sided with her. It would have certainly nipped the problem in the bud for the West. The Americans have shown no qualms about shooting down passenger airliners, as they demonstrated a decade later by shooting down Iranian passenger Airbus plane, Iran Air Flight 655, over the Persian Gulf killing all 290 Muslim pilgrims aboard, “by mistake” of course. They could have made the same “by mistake” a whole decade sooner and spared the world a great deal of Muslim on Muslim violence witnessed in the Iran-Iraq war. Not only did the Western Alliance not do that, but the BBC gave away free air time to the speeches of Ayatollah (Imam) Khomeini broadcast to Iran, the French government extended great hospitality to the imam, even hosted the media circus which surrounded the revolutionary imam for months until the very day he departed for his homeland after the Shah's ignominious exit, and on and on and on. The list is long and undeniable of how the West supported the revolutionary imam to power against the interest of the Shah who had formerly been brought to power as their own “policeman” of the Gulf.

The Iranian public was shown their revolutionary savior repeatedly calling for the overthrow of the despotic monarchy by revolutionary means by the Western press. Why?

Why did the West not support their own dictator as part of their collective antagonism against the revolutionary Islam in their former police-man's oil rich territory? Why was the Shah not setup in exile and immediately recognized as the de facto government of Iran to challenge and contain the threat of revolutionary Islam?

This fact of reality which anyone can observe by simply back reading and back watching the news coverage of the era, has put the entire antagonism of the West against Iran in question as deliberately manufactured, and Ayatollah (Imam) Khomeini's own principled antagonism to the Shah given great press coverage only for the Iranian public's consumption to bring their new “enemy” into power as part of lighting the “arc of crisis” referenced above.

For the public mind, enemy of my enemy is my friend indeed, and more so when he claims an almost believable divine mandate for extracting absolute obedience from the masses consistent with the shared religious ethos of the people. The Catholic Pope and clergy draw on the same quality of shared ethos among the Catholic Christian flock to be accepted as their anointed spiritual leadership, and in not too distant a past, before the Reformation period tore their state powers asunder, also as their anointed political leadership. Shared ethos is a common denominator and without it, such a voluntary servitude of absolute obedience to the Popes of any religion cannot be implemented without brute force. This also means forcing vali-e-faqih upon non Shia Muslims who do not share that common ethos will only lead to more “revolutionary times”.

This is so obvious a political science truth that those who deliberately wish to create “revolutionary times” going forward in Sunni majority nations like Pakistan with a substantial Shia minority, can find great utility in creating the tortuous conditions of tyranny upon the Shia in which
such a construct of “revolutionary Islam” can find its natural raison d’être for existence --- just as it transpired in Iran under the Shah with the help of his American trained secret police SAVAK!

Revealingly, the public in post Revolutionary Iran, just like in America, comes out to vote periodically to elect from among its respective ruling class who will govern them under their pre-established structures of administrative power. These structures implement the sacred ideologies and pre-determined state polices crafted by the real power behind the scenes, the vali-e-faqih, making it quite irrelevant whom the public elects as president in the much touted elections no differently than it is in the United States of America where its oligarchy holds all the key controlling cards.

The categorical fact remains that irrespective of whether a public makes their political choice with their ballot, or a “choice” is foisted upon a public with the bullet, theology, “democracy”, whatever, neither is “rule by kingdom” specified in the Holy Qur'an, nor is “rule by clergy” specified in the Holy Qur'an, and nor is “rule by parliament”, or “rule by Western power puppets and fabricated enemies of any flavor specified in the Holy Qur'an. A people are entitled to their choice of governance, or whether they wish to resist an evil one foisted upon them inspired by the moral platitudes, but they are not entitled to call whatever government they choose as exclusively sanctioned in the Determinates of the Holy Qur'an. Because it isn't.

There is no method of governance commanded, specified, or even outlined in the Holy Qur'an, at least not any that Mr. Spock has been able to discover in its Determinate verses, except the platitudinous guidance to build a righteous and just society in which no one takes unfair advantage of another, and where people do not suffer tyrants, false gods, exploitation, and pay their taxes on time. Mr. Spock notes that the key characteristics of a noble governance system for a just Islamic society are outlined as basic principles only, such as in waging wars of self-defence to not transgress limits, to protect the weak and the infirm, to manage state treasury for public good instead of private gain, to abstain from usury, etc., whereas other matters like its inheritance laws, moral code of conduct, rights and responsibilities of parents, individuals, social and business interactions, marriage rules, are spelled out in minute detail. Corollaries and theorems are easily derived from these basic principles which form the basis of what's come to be known as Islamic Sharia. However, the implementation structures of governance, the form and shape of government, the method of government, who rules, is left unspecified.

It is of course self-evident that intellectuals and scholars of Islam ought to have a leading role in crafting any just society that is based on the singular scripture of Islam, the Holy Qur'an, just as it is for any system whose intellectuals and scholars play important roles in defining their system. Scholars and intellectuals are the bedrock of any enlightened society that draws its foundation from intellectual and spiritual capital. Plato would of course have the philosopher be the rulers. But the Holy Qur'an has left it unspecified. Unarguably, the matter is left Indeterminate like many other matters. Ostensibly, one may reasonably surmise, so that the core principles of Divine Guidance remain timeless and people of all levels of talent and expertise in every epoch are able to implement these principles according to their own requirements and social genius.

To therefore speciously assert that the religion of Islam has given a specific Divine mandate to rule solely to a particular class of people, namely to the faqih, is to mislead the public mind. Yes the capable faqih is just as much entitled to govern, and to provide intellectual and spiritual capital, as any other capable person of his time as a citizen of a state. What he is not entitled to is to rule, claim to be
the beneficiary of the verse of obedience, claim to have special authority from God, and demand absolute obedience.

The example of King David, Prophet Daud, an ordinary sheep herder who came to lead his people as their Imam because of his unmatched bravery in taking down “Jalut”, illustrates the point. Daud became the ruler of his nation as vouched in the Holy Qur'an, as a king no less, but he was hardly a theologian, or even an intellectual by his profession. He was surely very intelligent to have hit his enemy at his weakest point, and he ruled justly and with courage. Those qualities evidently were his qualifications to be anointed King of the Jews. This is quite contrary to Plato's philosopher-king and it is the Holy Qur'an that is making that assertion by retelling the story of Prophet Daud. As in all Qur'anic stories and parables, there is wisdom that is being conveyed.

The form of government is immaterial in the religion of Islam which lays a great deal of emphasis in its many verses on veritable moral principles as Divine Guidance to mankind. It is silent on what form the government should take, or who should become the rulers in future times.

---

**Fixing Qur'anic Beatitudes**

The Holy Qur'an instead affirms the lovely *beatitudinous* (from beatitude: supreme blessedness; exalted happiness) promise:

| “And We desired to bestow a favor upon those who were deemed weak in the land, and to make them the Imams, and to make them the heirs,” (Surah Al-Qasas 28:5) | وَنَرِيدُ أَن نُنَّمَّ عَلَى الَّذِينَ أُضْعِفْتُمُ ٱلْأَرْضَ وَتَجْعَلَنَّهُمُ ٱلْأَمْيَةَ وَتَجْعَلَنَّهُمْ أُلُوْهَنَّ ٱلْأُلُوْهَنُ | كُتِبَ ٱللَّهُ لَلَّذِينَ أَعْلَنُوا ٱلْأَمْلَٰٓئَيْنَ ۖ أَوْ سِلَّمُ ۚ إِنَّ ٱللَّهَ قَوِىٰ عَزِيزٌ |
| “Allah has decreed: "It is I and My messengers who must prevail": For Allah is One full of strength, able to enforce His Will.” (Surah Al-Mujaadila 58:21) | Before this We wrote in the Psalms, after the Message (given to Moses): "My servants, the righteous, shall inherit the earth." (Surah Al-Anbiyaa 21:105) | وَلَعَلَّكُمْ كُتِبَتُمَا فِي ٱلْرَّبُورِ مِنْ بَعْدِ ٱلْبَكْرَ ۖ أَنَّ ٱلْأَرْضَ يَرْبِئُها عِبَادُ ٱللَّهِ ٱلْمُفْلِحُونَ |

Caption The Holy Qur'an's equivalent of the Biblical Beatitude: “the meek shall
inherit the earth” (Matthew 5:5 Holy Bible KJV). Is the Holy Qur'an proclaiming Divine Rule as the natural culmination of Islam? Or, are these verses proclaiming that the ordinary human beings among mankind will eventually prevail; they shall eventually establish justice among mankind and reach the highest station of creation in accordance with Divine Teachings that have been revealed to mankind by messengers and prophets throughout the ages? The twain are not the same propositions semantically – obviously – despite the pious pulpits insistence upon the former interpretation of these verses! If Divine Rule is to be implemented by God's own appointed Imams, it is a tacit admission of failure of Islam to transform man upon his own volition! Only a foolish human author would set his own guidance system up for such an abject failure by predicking that no matter what man will do, mankind will still need divine intervention to reach Islam's culmination! Then what was the point of Islam? God could just as well have created the perfect man with Adam and Eve rather than the imperfect man who is destined to reach perfection by seeking Divine Guidance revealed in Islam's sacred scripture.

Straightforward inspection once again reveals that all these verses often brought up by the pulpits are prima facie Indeterminates. Like verse 4:59, verse 28:5 “who were deemed weak in the land,” is unknown. Perhaps it can be similarly qualitatively reasoned from other verses of the Holy Qur'an, but without specific context which is not in the Holy Qur'an, it would either remain temporal, meaning applicable only to the time of the Prophet when he was constantly under attack, or metaphorical and strictly Indeterminate. It can just as easily be argued by all oppressed to apply to themselves to encourage themselves with hope to continue in their perseverance! And it can also be argued by Machiavelli to apply to the oppressed to foment manufactured revolutions. However, a closer analytical examination also reveals that for the promise: “to make them the Imams, and to make them the heirs,” these heirs must logically also share common characteristics with the Imams the Holy Qur'an has referenced elsewhere. For instance, in Surah Al-Baqara verse 2:124 (already quoted above) where the Author proclaims that He alone makes Imams by Divine appointment: “He said: Surely I will make you an Imam of men. Ibrahim said: And of my offspring? My covenant does not include the unjust, said He.”

When the Author of the Holy Qur'an appoints Imams as per his covenant with Prophet Ibrahim, the word “Imam” is used in a specific sense from its common meaning as the proper noun expressing Divine Appointment. The Arabic-English dictionary of the Holy Qur'an defines the common meaning of the word “Imam” thusly: “Leader; President; Any object that is followed, whether a human being or a book or a highway”. That common meaning of the word “Imam” for instance is prima facie evident in verse 17:71 of Surah al-Israa' (examined in Part-II): “One day We shall call together all human beings with their (respective) Imams”. One word, two distinct meanings, by the very definitions present in the Holy Qur'an in the semantics of the verse. The problem arises when attempt is cunningly or perhaps unwittingly made to alias the proper noun version as the common noun version.

As Machiavellian as that aliasing is for successfully marginalizing Islam, far greater damage is done when the Muslim pulpit and the plentiful exegesis writers who become sanctified in history as the source to go to for understanding the meaning of the Holy Qur'an, do the same aliasing to serve their own narrow interests. And whether they do it wittingly at the behest of their masters, or
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unwittingly due to incompetence or bias becomes irrelevant, for the impact in either case is resemantification of the verse and distortion of its meaning. It is the easiest subterfuge – you can't change the syntax and wording of the Holy Qur'an because that is protected by systematic oral memorization of the entire Holy Qur'an by plain ordinary Muslims from generation to generation beginning from the very time of the Prophet of Islam, so change its meaning! Only the very learned turbans can accomplish that most successfully. Especially when the verses are even partly or fully Indeterminate. But this travesty of the holy pen is plenty observable even for what is Determinate and what is categorical in verses which does not suit the ruling genius. The best example of this travesty is the watering down of the Principle of Inerrancy as applied to the Prophet of Islam by the holy scribes. Its idiotic resemantification is visible in countless respected books of exegesis from antiquity to modernity. These exegeses have misinformed generation upon generation of Muslims who have reached for the Cliff notes on the Holy Qur'an.

This subversion of the Holy Qur'an is exactly identical to how the learned Jewish rabbis caveated their Ten Commandments from their universal form to exceptional form in order to claim moral exemptions for themselves so that actually doing the universal refrains to the goy was no longer forbidden to them. Thus, Thou Shall Not Kill, the First Commandment for instance, was changed to Thou Shall Not Kill (a Jew) in meaning. See Morality derived from the Intellect leads to Enslavement! for more shocking contortions by the rabbis who superseded the spirit of the Torah with the spirit of the Talmud. The scribes of the Torah had already visited the same travesty upon the teachings of Prophet Moses. The Talmuds just took it ten steps further in perversity which today underwrites the Jewish ethos more than anything Prophet Moses ever taught. And the world amply sees this in Zionism which is but an expression of Jewish exceptionalism taught in the Talmud. The unequivocal condemnation in the Holy Qur'an of the Jews distorting their Good Book of Divine Guidance to suit their whim and fancy, is but a clear warning to the believers of the Holy Qur'an to refrain from doing the same. And yet, the Muslim turbans have visited the same travesty upon the Holy Qur'an and its religion Islam such that no two Muslims will necessarily agree on what something means. Each will bring their respective socialized understanding from the pens of these holy scribes to assert its meaning. The truth of these words is empirical, and without doubt. It is self-evident, except to those who are caught in its trap.

Therefore, keeping all that preceding clarity at the forefront of cognitive thinking, in the specific sense of Imam appointed by the Author in the context of 2:124, as opposed to just any ordinary leader that has a following in the context of 17:71, obedience is made obligatory for those for whom they are Imams, and the entire discussion of وَأَوَّلِيَّ الْأَمْرِ مَنْ تَفَكَّرُ أَنَّ الْمُرْجِعَةَ مِنْهُ of verse 4:59 in Part-II also carries over wherever and whenever obedience is made obligatory to any man by the Author. As already reasoned out in preceding sections, the Author of the Holy Qur'an cannot make obedience obligatory towards anyone who can make an error and not make a mockery of His Own divine Guidance System as the right path. Imam, obedience to the Imam, and the Principle of Inerrancy sort of go together as a package – in order for it to make any logical and rational sense to demand obedience to a man and still remain on the path of Divine Guidance which is proclaimed to be error free, infallible. Which is why, in its resemantification to serve self-interest, “ulul amar” is aliased as a common noun – and voilà, just about anyone can be it who can get away with it! That is the history of its corruption from the very day of the death of the Prophet of Islam until today where anyone has been able to become emperor, caliph, king, amir-ul-momineen, and today valih-e faqih, by including himself in that set and insisting
on his entitlement by mere assertion and recourse to texts outside the pages of the Holy Qur'an. Why do they have to go outside for proof of their divine sanction? Precisely, because there isn't any in the **Determinate** verses of the Holy Qur'an. All one finds in its pristine pages is the categorical prohibition to being a follower, without caveat, as one can witness in the deconstruction of Taqlid below.

So, if the word “Imam” is used in verse 28:5 in that specific sense of 2:124, the verse is still only a Beatitude, an uplifting promise of some future time. The brilliant ability to harvest that theological concept for self-interest by the superman among both: the Shia pulpit to orchestrate “imamate by proxy” to seed IRAN: The Crescent of Crisis as the birth of the uncompromising “Revolutionary Islam”, and among the hectoring hegemons to orchestrate the fiction of “Armageddon”, not withstanding. A contorted “doctrinal motivation” on two opposing sides for synthesizing the fear of “Clash of Civilizations” in order to continually lend credence to the threat of “End Times”. It enables manufacturing a brilliant Hegelian Dialectic which cannot be disputed by those caught in its web – as it is already written in the sacred books that more than half the world's population believes in. It promotes the fiction of the existence of a global existential threat, putting the entire world on perpetual crisis footing.*

And if the word “Imam” represents the common meaning of 17:71 as an ordinary leader, it is exactly akin to the Biblical Beatitude: “the meek shall inherit the earth” (Matthew 5:5 Holy Bible KJV). Once again no reason to obey the meek when they inherit the earth – for they could become the next tyrants as was amply witnessed in the French Revolution and in the military dictatorship and conquests of Napoleon that followed.

Even whether verse 28:5 is speaking of the Messenger's own contemporary epoch when Prophet Muhammad finally prevailed over his own oppressors of twenty three long years and conquered Mecca just before he died, or of some future time, is **Indeterminate**. As is verse 58:21 affirming: "**It is I and My messengers who must prevail**"; and verse 21:105 similarly affirming: "**My servants, the righteous, shall inherit the earth**". All remarkably akin to the aforementioned uplifting promise in the Biblical Beatitude, and all recipient of the preceding analysis in toto.

When will such bliss transpire on earth is of course an ageless open question. It has been the source of speculation and anticipation from time immemorial, and the principal argument for Divine Rule since the adoption of Christianity by the Roman Empire. As far as the Holy Qur'an is concerned, it is **Indeterminate**.

* David Ben-Gurion had lucidly explained the utility of crisis creation during the violent fabrication of the Jewish State in Palestine: “**What is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times; and if at this time the opportunity is missed and what is possible at such great hours is not carried out – a whole world is lost**”. This diabolical political science principle was reiterated some three score years and ten later by Rahm Emanuel, American President Barack Obama's Jewish White House Chief of Staff (January 20, 2009 – October 1, 2010), whose father was part of the terrorist gang “Irgun” that had so successfully utilized the Ben-Gurion principle for the creation of Israel in Palestine. Speaking to the Wall Street Journal, Rahm Emanuel emphasized: “you never want a serious crisis to go to waste. And what I mean by that is an opportunity to do things that you think you could not do before.” Watch the news clip in: [http://youtube.com/watch?v=tM5ZdO-IgEE](http://youtube.com/watch?v=tM5ZdO-IgEE) (at time 1m 3s)
It is of course also extraordinarily utilitarian for any believer or their chief to claim that inheritance for oneself in any era – mostly to survive with hope and dignity through dark periods of tyranny – for who can challenge that presumption? No certificates are required!

Especially if one succeeds in acquiring state powers and engages a thousand scribes and orators to extol one's divine rights to that inheritance as the vilayat-i faqih. Since it is an Indeterminate, it can be posited any which way one wishes to dignify it, limited only by the fertility of one's imagination and foundation of one's eruditeness. The beatitude cannot be disproved from the Holy Qur'an because it is anchored as an Indeterminate! And it can certainly be proved to one's own audience by drawing upon one's own historical narratives that are collectively subscribed by the group. It is the empirical principle which seeds both group-think, conformity within a group, as well as diversity of thoughts and beliefs among different groups in mankind each exercising its own group-think.

"That which is left you by Allah is best for you, if ye (but) believed! but I am not set over you to keep watch!" (Surah Hud, 11:86)

Say: "Each one (of us) is waiting: wait ye, therefore, and soon shall ye know who it is that is on the straight and even way, and who it is that has received Guidance." (Surah Ta-Ha, 20:135)

Caption Is the Holy Qur'an proclaiming a Savior?

Verses 11:86 and 20:135 of the Holy Qur'an are intriguing examples of Indeterminates along the same lines of allegorical Beatitudes, but which directly fall on the Shia-Sunni sectarian divide on how these are understood by the Muslim mind. One must in fact go to sources outside the Holy Qur'an to even get an inkling of who or what (the people in the past believed) is being spoken of by the Author: تَبَيَّنَّا اللهُ خَيْرَ لَكُمْ إِن كُنْتُم مُّؤُومُونَ. These exemplary verses, and a few more like these, are esoterically proclaimed by some of these outside sources to be about Imam Mahdi – the Awaited Savior of humanity who will rule in End Times --- that entire eschatology itself being only in pages outside of the Holy Qur'an. Why are these verses not categorical rather than metaphorical if the knowledge of eschatology is of pertinence to every people in every epoch? Speculation upon these verses is rife with absurdities.

Whereas, the prima facie meaning of verse 11:86 refers to some object ( بَيْتُ ) , a nominative feminine noun, which can mean anything including persons or thing or guidance, that Allah leaves for “you” ( لَكُمْ , both male and female) as a gift or benefit or mercy that you need for your divine guidance ( خَيْرَ).

Straightforwardly, to the ordinary non doctrinaire mind, بَيْتُ can represent the Holy Qur'an itself, which Allah has left those who believe ( مُؤُومُونُ ) , as being best for them. Or it could mean the أولى الأمر of verse 4:59. Which one, if either, is not further disambiguated. The remaining part of the verse indicates Allah is not going to shepherd the believers beyond what He has already left them – it is entirely up to the believers to run with the remnant of Allah, بَيْتُ , and: “Surely We have shown
him the way: he may be thankful or unthankful.” (see verse 76:3 quoted above)

The remnant of Allah, in this verse is just a common noun, a symbol, a placeholder variable waiting to take on the instance of the object, or objects it represents, and not the object itself. Surely the Messenger of Allah must have explained what it means – but that explanation is not contained in the Holy Qur'an itself.

Therefore, verse 11:86 is prima facie allegorical, metaphorical, and not categorical; it is indeterminate. This verse, like all the other determinates, as a cynic would surely surmise, evidently exist only to sow confusion and discord among the Believers, perhaps to separate those who think from those who do not: “and none will grasp the Message except men of understanding.” In addition, to stochastically seed diversity of beliefs based on natural socialization, tribe and nation that one is born into – which it has also always succeeded in doing, in every era. That observation is empirical. The veracity of these words is beyond doubt. It is self-evident.

Notice that the Sunnis and the Shias each fill in the variable according to their respective sacred books. Being entitled to one's belief system whatever it may be as the most basic human right, the Sunni Muslims are not remiss if they think might mean the Holy Qur'an, or the Caliphate; and the Shia Muslims are not remiss if they think it is the of verse 4:59. Since the latter today is the twelfth Imam, Imam Mahdi, according to the dogma found in Shia Ithna Ashari books of history, that's how that variable is fixed by them accordingly. Whereas the Shia Ismaili Muslim aren't remiss if some among them might believe represents their Hazir Imam, the Aga Khan.

Believe whatever you want. However, unless it can be logically adduced from the determinates alone who or what is being referenced by the Author in Surah Hud 11:86, it is categorically an indeterminate. The determinate verses at times provide an unequivocal rejection criterion for exclusion of what is willy-nilly fixed in the indeterminates even when these determinates may be silent on the acceptance criterion for the indeterminates. The rejection criterion though powerful when applied logically and rationally, still leaves the door wide open for the acceptance of whatever that can be plausibly passed off by the boundless imagination of man in the indeterminates! This is an undeniable problem that the Holy Qur'an has faced at the hands of the holy man. But it is a problem which it has itself enabled ab initio by the very presence of the indeterminates. It is almost as if the Author of the Holy Qur'an wanted this to happen – why else would He leave that door wide open for it – thus laying the foundation of diversity of interpretations right there in the religion of Islam's singular scripture that the Author asserts he perfected: “This day have I perfected your religion for you, completed My favour upon you, and have chosen for you Islam as your religion.” (Surah Al-Maeda verse fragment 5:3) Well, if the Author perfected and completed the guidance system and the system itself plays out among its own audience in multiple themes using its own indeterminates, what else to make of it? Tell a child not to do something, and what's the first thing he will do?

Similarly, in the case of Surah Ta-Ha 20:135 where the Author commands, Say: "Each one (of us) is waiting: wait ye," the object noun for “wait ye” is noticeably absent, making the verse also an indeterminate even on first reading. However, whatever that “wait ye,” might be for, the verse avers that it will unequivocally permit clear adjudication when that wait eventually does expire: “soon shall ye know who it is that is on the straight and even way, and who it is that has received
Guidance." Once again we are immediately besieged by more imponderables. What does “soon” mean? How soon is soon? Is that the final Day of judgment? Or is that the arrival of the day of fulfillment of the promise made in the Qur'anic Beatitudes quoted above? Is that perhaps also what refers to, the fulfillment of the divine promise which is the remnant of Allah: “That which is left you by Allah is best for you”?

Thus, whichever way one examines it, is at best a metaphor whose semantics, never mind hidden meaning, is known only to Allah, (and as per the alternate parsing of verse 3:7 of Surah Aal-'Imran already discussed in Part-II) and to “Ar-Rasikhoon-fil-ilm” (الرَّاسِخُونَ فِي الْعَلْمِ).

All these inquiry questions are clearly Indeterminate, each one leading to more questions than answers, and thus entirely speculative to ponder upon. It is for this reason that these verses have been speciously speculated upon throughout the ages – an occupation of idle minds who perhaps never had to pursue a day's honest labor to earn their keep in their lifetime of paid employment from public funds as glorified theologians and scribes. The only function they ended up serving is causing needless differentiation to arise among Muslims based purely on speculative hearsay and verbal reportage centuries downstream – the “he said she said” which became known as the hadith literature – leading the foolish public mind deeper and deeper into the sectarian quagmire. Integrated over time and space, this socialized ethos has become a permanent and virtually unshakable part of religious beliefs of virtually all Muslims, in all sects.

Today, the same public mind will comply in voluntary servitude under the demand of absolute obedience to authority on matters entirely Indeterminate and drawn from pages outside of the Holy Qur'an. If its Author wanted the people in future times to know any matter of religion of Islam not already covered in the Holy Qur'an, He would have clearly stated it categorically in the foundational verses and made it clearly Determinate, Mr. Spock sensibly surmises, so that all peoples in all times would understand it straightforwardly without juristic misinterpretation and chance of being misled by what is erringly human, the pen of fallible man. The Holy Qur'an unequivocally prescribes the accumulating fortunes of such imams in Surah An-Nahl:

| Let them bear, on the Day of Judgment, their own burdens in full, and also (something) of the burdens of those without knowledge, whom they misled. Alas, how grievous the burdens they will bear! (Surah An-Nahl 16:25) | لِبِيْحَمْلِهِمْ أَوْزَارَهُمْ كَامِلَةً بِيَومِ الْقِيَامَةِ وَمِنْ أَوْزَارِ أَئِذْنِينَ يُصَلِّبُونَهُمْ بِغَيْرِ عَلَمِ أَلَا سَاءَ مَا يَزَرُونَ |}
What does the Holy Qur'an say about Taqlid?

Examining the Question of Following the Jurist

Verse of 16:25 of Surah An-Nahl quoted above is also stupendous in its overarching import. It straightforwardly exposes core lies which have become sanctified as “religion” in specious dogmas among Muslims. For one, it exposes “taqlid”, the practice of blind emulation and prescribed following of a jurist by the laity – a practice equally prevalent in both Shiadom and Sunnidom – as a master fraud for social control. Upon that master fraud is the edifice of the entire conception of sectarian Sharia laws, i.e., jurisprudence (religious legalisms that vary for each Muslim sect based on the opinions of its dominant jurists who have appointed themselves Interpreter of faith), constructed.

Expose its very foundation as being based on a core lie – and the entire sacred totem pole comes crashing down under its own weight!

The Holy Qur'an which daringly calls itself “Al-Furqaan” – the Author's Criterion by which to judge the truth or falsity of any proposition (or understanding) pertaining to His Own Revealed Guidance System for mankind (مَثَلَ الْهَيْدَرِ وَالْفُلْقَانِ); which He even asserts He “perfected” and “completed” and named it “Islam” (أَلَيْمَ أَكْمَلَنَّ لِكُمْ دِينَكُمْ وَأَنتُمْ عَلَىٰ مَجْمَعٍ مُّنْهَمِي وَرَضِيْتُمْ أَنْ تَعْبَرَنَّ إِلَىِّ الْإِسْلَامِ دِينًا), and therefore there is no further room in its specification for additions and subtractions – does precisely that. (Verse fragments from Surah Al-Baqara 2:185 and Surah Al-Maeda 5:3 respectively.)

Even a tiny bit of logical reflection on the concatenation of verses pertinent to the Qur'anic Principle of Inerrancy already examined previously with verse of 16:25 of Surah An-Nahl exposes “taqlid” as a fabrication of the pulpit!

Perhaps it is necessary to restate for the sake of completeness, that only “These are they whom Allah guided, therefore follow their guidance” (Surah Al An'am verse 6:90 quoted earlier), can ever be exempt from the damnation of this most electrifying verse 16:25 of Surah An-Nahl! Only the specific invariant persons whom Allah is commanding the believers to follow – for indeed these have to be inerrant if Allah has directly guided them – can also be the “ulul-amr” of verse 4:59 already discussed earlier. No one else is permitted to be followed, and obeyed, in the religion of Islam! With that singular exception of obedience to the inerrant “imam” who is solely appointed by Allah (by His Own Declarations in the Holy Qur'an already examined above) and is not selected, elected, or anointed by the fiat of man, the entire concept of “following” and “followers” is unequivocally condemned in the Holy Qur'an. Most emphatically, in Surah Al-Baqara verses 2:166-2:167 (already quoted above). Due to its categorical significance, it is reproduced yet one more time to remind the reader of what the Good Book itself says categorically, in the clearest of terms, without caveats or exemptions:

"(On the day) when those who were followed disown those who followed (them), and they behold the doom, and all their aims collapse with them."
And those who were but followers will say: If a return were possible for us, we would disown them even as they have disowned us. Thus will Allah show them their own deeds as anguish for them, and they will not emerge from the Fire.” (Surah Al-Baqara, 2:166-2:167)

Use of logic begs the question: how can “taqlid” of the fallible jurist be part of the religion of Islam when the very concept of following itself, ab initio, is not only most clearly deprecated, but Surah An-Nahl verse 16:25 also most clearly apportions culpability to those who are followed?

If “taqlid” of a fallible jurist was a part of the religion of Islam, then the Author of the Holy Qur'an created an absurdity, a foolishness; the Author commanded Muslims to follow an ordinary mortal who is not infallible, but since the jurist is not inerrant, and neither does any respectable jurist ever claim to be inerrant, foolish and sheepish people among the masses, those without knowledge and understanding, will also follow him. In point of fact and reality-check, in actual sectarian practice of Muslims, obedience is extorted from the public mind at the threat of eternal damnation – otherwise why would the sheepish laity follow the anointed popes except for that irrational fear which is continually cultivated and harvested by the church of man?

If “taqlid” of a fallible jurist was sanctioned by the religion of Islam, then, as per verse 16:25, these persons whom Allah is commanding to be followed will be apportioned their measure of blame if they are followed in their errors and the people are misled! That is a patent absurdity; a Kafkaesque double jeopardy: follow and be damned (verses 2:166-2:167), don't follow and be damned (“taqlid”), and the imam is damned because he is not inerrant and is followed and obeyed as ordered even in his mistakes, confabulations, distortions, half-truths, innovations, Indeterminate fixing, etceteras, which of course no one can adjudicate or catch or challenge because only the ignorant laity follows him (verse 16:25)! This is the base reality of Muslim jurists and their blind followers since the inception of the church of jurisprudence!

The Author of the Holy Qur'an Who claims to be the most Just and the most Wise Creator of all creation, cannot command “imams” to be followed and obeyed, and when they are followed and obeyed as per ordered, the “imams” are apportioned blame for their blind following when they venture their fallible opinions dependent solely on their particular bent of mind, proclivity, psychological tendencies, socialization bias, natural talent (and un-talent), ability to think and reason, knowledge, understanding, etceteras, in their verdict! No two people think the same, never mind agree on any matter --- and yet they are commanded to be followed!

Indeed, if this absurd proposition of “taqlid” is true, then the Author has made a mockery of His own Guidance System! Whereas the Author is most sensitive about taking His Message lightly. He has repeatedly Admonished mankind to not mock the Holy Qur'an: “Is it such a Message that ye would hold in light esteem?” (Surah Al-Waqia 56:81 quoted in Part-II); that: “Verily this is no less than a Message to (all) the Worlds” (Surah At-Takwir 81:27 quoted above); and: 'Then the Messenger will say: “O my Lord! Truly my people took this Qur'an for just foolish nonsense.”’ (Surah Al-Furqaan 25:30).
After all these straightforward admonitions to Muslims in the clearest of terms to take the Scripture seriously, the Author then ventures to mock His Own Message by mandating to the Muslim masses the “taqlid” of fallible jurists, and subsequently hanging these jurists for misleading the people because they are not inerrant and foolish people have inevitably followed them as commanded?

What a fickle-minded creator who dams if you do and dams if you don't --- only in the mind of man!

By *reductio ad absurdum*, when a proposition reduces to an absurdity, the premise it is predicated upon is false.

Since verses 2:166-2:167 and verse 16:25 are categorical, and presumed to be true ab initio as an axiom of faith that the Holy Qur'an has not been tampered with by the hand of man (no “tahreef”), therefore, Taqlid must be false as presuming it to be true in the presence of these verses leads to absurdity. If one still insists Taqlid to be true, then one also has to accept the consequent fact that the Holy Qur'an contains absurdities. No Muslim mind on planet earth will accept that outcome. It's easier for it to accept Taqlid as falsehood.

**Checkmate!**

Directly from the Holy Qur'an.

**Q.E.D.**

Marja-e-taqlid: right!

Blind emulation, “taqlid”, of a fallible imam jurist who is incestuously proclaimed Marja-e-taqlid by his coterie of equally fallible peers in Shiadom, is an absurdity in the religion of Islam in no less a measure than blanket obedience demanded to a fallible imam-caliph who is speciously anointed “ulul-amar” by the shenanigans of political power around him, is in Sunnidom! Both are weighty fabrications of the respective pious Muslim pulpits; vile slanders upon the religion of Islam. It is categorically proscribed in the Holy Qur'an. There is no room for any doubt or interpretation. The veritable logic of *Al-Furqaan*, so clear and simple in adjudication with its **Determinate** verses that even a sixth grader can straightforwardly follow its steps, coldly attests to that statement of fact. The previous examination of the **Principle of Inerrancy** which unequivocally established the singular prerequisite for complete obedience to “al-Wasilah” from the **Determinate** verses, also attests to that fact. “Alas, how grievous the burdens they will bear!”

Which is why, failing to find support in the Holy Qur'an, recourse is often made to pages outside the Holy Qur'an to legitimize this absurdity. Applying the same logic method of *reductio ad absurdum* recursively to every argument and every evidence presented from outside the Holy Qur'an, trivially demolishes them all. Sometimes evidence is presented from a recorded act of history, such as the Prophet or Imams of the Ahlul Bayt having appointed their own representatives and mandating the people over whom they exercised authority to obey their representatives on their behalf. Well, even philosophically, the burden of the acts and decisions of a representative ultimately still rests upon the one whom he represents, and who is still ultimately in authority to rectify matters if the need ever arose, to hear dissatisfaction, and to adjudicate. This is self-evident by definition of “representative” in this semantic context. Which is why it is a false argument of the self-appointed valihe-faqih (or appointed by a consultative committee of self-styled holy jurists) for speciously conferring legitimacy
upon himself because one, he can produce no certificate of such divine appointment, and two, he is now the highest authority next to God. No one can challenge his authority even legally. A throwback to the stone age to say the least, and no different than any vanilla don or king, including the King of kings the valih-e-faqih replaced with such fanfare in so much Persian blood tribute. Absolute rule which went away in the Age of Enlightenment in the West has been brought back with a new vengeance to the backward Muslims to help shape world order as proxy service providers of the West.

To be vigilant of false friends, false guides, false imams making false claims, is veritably underscored in Surah Al-Furqaan itself:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Day that the wrong-doer will bite at his hands, he will say, 'Oh! Would that I had taken a (straight) path with the Messenger!' 25:27</th>
<th>وَيَوُمَ يَعْصَوْنَ الطَّالِمُونَ عَلَىٰ يَدَيْهِ يَقُولُ يَا لَتَبِينَي إِن كُنْتُمْ سَبِيلًا</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'Ah! Woe is me! Would that I had never taken such a one for a friend!' 25:28</td>
<td>يَا وَيَلُّنِي لَتَبِينَي لَمْ تَخْذُ فَلَانًا خَلِيلًا</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'He did lead me aastray from the Message (of Allah) after it had come to me! Ah! the Evil One is but a traitor to man!' 25:29</td>
<td>ﻟَفَقَادَ أَصْلَبَيْنِ ﻋَنَّ ﺔٓدا ﺔِإِدِ ﺔَجَانِي وَكَانَ ﺔَشَٰٓرِيدُ ﺔِلِإِنْسَانِ ﺔَخَدُولًا</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Then the Messenger will say: 'O my Lord! Truly my people took this Qur'an for just foolish nonsense.' Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Furqaan 25:30</td>
<td>وَقَالَ اللَّهُ ﺔَسْرَٰوِرٓ ﻓَيَرَ بَيْنَ ﻓَوْمِي أُتِخْذُوا هَذَا الْقُرْآنَ ﻣُهْجُورًا</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Caption Surah Al-Furqaan 25:27-30 The ex post facto lament on the Day of Judgment by believers of having taken someone for a friend and being led astray by them, in the language of the Holy Qur'an is a categorical admonishment before the fact, referring to those who come posing as friends and not overtly as enemies. This is a warning to all peoples to be wary of their own kind betraying them, for one usually takes those whom one knows and trusts as one's friends, guardians, protectors, guides, and imams. Only friends can betray because the concept of betrayal is tied to trust. In other words, the Holy Qur'an, Al-Furqaan, is warning the simpleton mind in every age to be wary of false friends, false imams, Trojan Horse, Machiavelli, who win the public trust with cognitive infiltration, and all the rest of the techniques of deception used in betrayal where the ones being betrayed do not realize it then. The purpose of the warning is obvious – so that the believers can shrewdly protect themselves from that outcome rather than lament on the Day of Accountability that they did not know. If they still don't wakeup today to their false friends and false imams who often come wearing the garbs and turbans endearing to the public mind, then the Prophet of Islam's strong lament is also recorded. Referring to the misled people as “my people” to show his deep anguish, the Prophet of Islam cries out that they did not take the Guidance in the
Holy Qur'an seriously, shackling its meaning down to idiocy, down to their own whim and fancy, making the Deen-e-mubeen “mahjoor”!

These verses of Surah Al-Furqaan, 25:27-30, also unequivocally strike down false notions fed to the masses to legitimize taqlid of the fallible jurist that the follower may claim exemption from condemnation in Afterlife if one's own intention is good and one followed an imam who leads one astray by honest mistake of his ijtihad: “Ah! Woe is me! Would that I had never taken such a one for a friend! He did lead me astray from the Message (of Allah) after it had come to me! Ah! the Evil One is but a traitor to man!”

Sadly, no Muslim mind ever believes that these admonishments can ever apply to it. These always only apply to all the other fools over there in the other sects! The Sunnis believe this of the Shia with as much divine conviction as the Shias believe this of the Sunni, both opening the door wide open to Dr. Machiavelli to come rape them both.

This characteristic of self-righteousness is itself an inherent part of the religion of man. The fear and discomfort of cognitive dissonance evidently inhibits its very occurrence. Without experiencing cognitive dissonance, the psychological state of inner mental conflict between two contrarian positions, no transformation can transpire. Which is why, when faced with contrarian facts or evidence, the degree to which a man violently resists giving up his prior beliefs is directly proportional to his inner insecurities and is an index to his desires (as philosopher Bertrand Russell observed of the frailty of the human mind). Desires of which he may himself be unconscious of, as its seat is in the subconscious mind. Freud established this as an empirical fact of the irrational mind at the turn of the twentieth century. It is what the multi-trillion dollar global advertising industry is built upon. It is why masses of human beings fall easy prey to anyone who can cater to their base desires and insecurities – the sine qua non for the mass success of both religion and marketing. Advertising professionals and Machiavelli understand this human frailty better than the common mind. It is the ministry of truth (as George Orwell termed it in Nineteen Eighty-four) all around the world have come into existence to more effectively make the public mind. So who is your imam now?

After this analytical presentation, why should anyone still believe that the holy marja-e-taqlid is exempt from the condemnation of verse 16:25? That those who follow him are exempt from the condemnation of verses 2:166-2:167 and 25:27-30? Precisely, because of a socialized culture of religion rather than of learning that dominates the public mind.

If one was born a Hindu instead of a Muslim, one would be shouting the virtues of Krishna
from the mandirs. Today, the Hindu mind is on safer ground because Machiavelli has found little use for it in fueling imperial mobilization. If for nothing else, then just for that reason alone this subject is of grave public concern. The “arc of crisis” like a spreading fire, as the world is continually witnessing, spares no one in its path. To put it out effectively takes getting the core fundamentals that are being harvested for this purpose in the name of Islam, better scrutinized in the public eye. Virtually all of these so called axioms of faith are the creation of Machiavelli, are not supported in the Good Book, and hence are not part of the religion of Islam expressed in it.

While much has been stated about both “militant Islam” and “moderate Islam” being alien to the religion of Islam, the third part of the trifecta for the recipe of creating perfect storm for Muslim on Muslim violence, “revolutionary Islam” and its enabling axiom of “taqlid”, has escaped forensic scrutiny by the more learned minds who surely have better “ma'rifat” (deeper understanding) of the subject. The analytical mind that goes on facts permits no room for absurdities and gratuitous assumptions of faith. Things have to make logical sense given all the facts, and all their linkages. Some linkages are directly visible, while others are made visible by the logic of adding two plus two correctly equal to four. This analytical deconstruction of “taqlid” without prejudice by a layman, is the product of that basic arithmetic. A challenge directly to the valih-e-faqih du jour to respond, explain, and refute if there is any Qur'anic truth on his side. Silence is the domain of cowards. No one who claims Imam Ali as his guide has even a passing acquaintance with cowardice.

The controlling practice of “taqlid” as it has unfolded in Muslim civilizations, the underpinning of sects that were manufactured when the largely sheepish masses were encouraged to follow the anointed imam of their natural socialization by birth thus dividing into schools of thought, is a man-made divisive construct of the church of man. Its purpose is predatory social control of man by fellow man, be it among the Shia, the Sunni, the Ismaili, or any other group-think composition, in any religion. Like Christianity, the man of cloth as the interpreter of faith for the Muslims became a useful tool.

Is man so feeble minded, so inadequate in his talents, so corrupted in his heart, that he needs a fierce looking bearded shepherd until eternity to “Islamize” him? What an insult to God's creation --- and to God, that He Created such an absurdity in which imperfect man shall forever remain beholden to another imperfect man for guidance. Such an absurd God can only exist in the mind of Mephistopheles to enslave and control fellow man.

Any place where fallible man is anointed as the interpreter of faith for another, or obedience is demanded in the name of the divine, is a place where social control is being practiced in the name of the divine. Lift the pious robes and underneath one shall find, linked to the predatory social control, a bountiful and easy harvest of public's wealth being paid into the coffers of the pulpit, and empire. Perhaps this is why it is often hard to find clergy who is familiar with honest toil and labor. The bulging waist-lines alone testify to the vulgar empirical truth of virtually all priestly class living off of public donations in the name of religion.

The superman rulers have comprehended this vile modus operandi of social control far more perceptively than the sheepish public they govern! And the clergy class in every religion has served that ruling interest with an iron-clad regimentation from time immemorial. (Superman is reference to Nietzsche's superman and not to the Marvel comic book hero; the ubermensch, the uber alles, deems himself above all the others, is beyond good and evil, tells noble lies and thinks nothing of it, and
strives with his own “will to power” instead of superstitious religions to achieve lordship over mankind who refuse to evolve past their sheep state.) But when the clergy class has itself become the state, the public has been reduced to intellectual servitude to fellow man in the name of divine. To have done that damage to the pristine religion Islam which its Author claims to have “perfected” as the Divine Guidance System revealed to free man from the clutches of fellow man, is an immodest and unpardonable travesty for which verse 16:25 of Surah An-Nahl plainly vouches: “Let them bear, on the Day of Judgment, their own burdens in full, and also (something) of the burdens of those without knowledge, whom they misled. Alas, how grievous the burdens they will bear!”

Unsurprisingly, no Muslim and his pope is going to give up their socialized interpretation of religion anymore than a socialized Zionist Jew is going to give up Zionism and a Brahmin priest is going to give up racism. And it is not because they each don't know or realize that their respective ideology is misanthropic and leads to the enslavement of the 'lesser peoples'. Knowing this general fact of obduracy about His Own Creation which, by His own Admission, “He fashioned him in due proportion” (see Surah As-Sajdah verses 32:07-32:09), is perhaps why the Author of the Holy Qur'an proffered that straightforward Admonition to people driven by self-interests and socialization bias even when truth has clearly been made manifest from error, of scores only being settled on the Day of Judgment. That, in this life, to wholeheartedly “strive as in a race in all virtues. The goal of you all is to Allah; it is He that will show you the truth of the matters in which ye dispute.” (Surah Al-Maedah 5:48)

Therefore, as per the noble advocacy of this verse to eliminate conflict among mankind, one may hastily conclude that if “taqlid”, or any other harmonious system for that matter, leads to that wonderful race in all virtues, all power to it. That is the point – that any principled system can be made as virtuous in theory as it can be made evil in practice. The choice is evidently left up to man in the Holy Qur'an. The problem comes in when it is the latter and reduces an entire nation in willing servitude to the whims and ideas of one man, the self-anointed philosopher-king, with his subjects loving their state of bondage in the name of the Divine.

For those unfamiliar with the principal axiom of the Divine Guidance System of the religion of Islam, to seek the wasilah, the topic is covered in more depth in the tutorial derived from this study: What does the Holy Qur'an say about Taqlid - Blind Following the Non-Infallible? (http://tinyurl.com/what-quran-says-about-taqlid). The axiom of inerrancy is also extracted into an in-depth tutorial due to its enormous significance in understanding the exhortation to obey the Messenger and which cannot be extended to anyone but the inerrant “ulul amar” without falsifying the Holy Qur'an: What does the Holy Qur'an say about Inerrancy of Prophet Muhammad? (http://tinyurl.com/what-quran-say-about-inerrancy) Hey, it is the Holy Qur'an Speaking, not this scribe. If you don't like what it's Saying, go quible with its Author!
What does the Holy Qur'an say about Government?

To resume and reach respectable closure on the earlier thread on the examination of Qur'anic Beatitudes and the pulpits' appeal to divinely sanctioned rule in its many different formulations by fixing the Indeterminates to suit their socialization bias, we can now appreciate that there are layers of meaning to these metaphorical verses not resolved by the Determinates, and hence are Indeterminate. And unless these do become resolved by Determinates, either by acquiring new understanding, or new knowledge that is discovered over time that makes comprehending the Indeterminates in the light of the Determinates better, these categorically remain Indeterminate and open-ended! Perhaps the Messenger had explained their hidden meanings to his contemporaries. Those who believe they still retain these explanations accurately in their socialization context, can of course believe whatever they like – they are socialized, nay entirely indoctrinated, into these belief systems anyway with little real choice exercised by them.

Indeed, the more honest ones among them openly proclaim their religion as an inheritance, especially the descendants of the Ahlul Bayt. They announce it publicly too --- by prepending “Syed” and similar appellation before or after their name to advertise to the world that their lineage descends directly from the Prophet of Islam. The pontiffs advertise it proudly too, by wearing the black colored turban tied in a specific way to indicate their special status as the children of the Prophet and his Ahlul Bayt. And the most open and bold admission is of course by the Western educated Aga Khan IV, who avers that he is the 49th continuous hereditary imam of the Ismaili Nizari Shia Muslims. A global imam without territory who exercises complete control as well as full responsibility over his flock from his one of a kind headquarters in France. He also represents the best spirit of the pluralism of Islam among all Muslim sects by his social welfare work worldwide, benefitting all peoples, as principally advocated in Surah Al-Hujraat 49:13 (see below). No other Muslim sect or imam can hold a candle to, or lay claims to, such demonstrated pluralism. However, the proverbial pound of flesh has equally been extracted from these long running hereditary imams as well. Witness the Aga Khan's most unusual level of co-option in working hand in glove with empire in: Ismaili Muslims and Aga Khan's Doctrine of Neutrality (http://tinyurl.com/Aga-Khan-Neutrality). And further witness the exhibition of banal self-righteousness that is little different from all the other Muslim sects' despite genuine attempts at pluralism, in: The Amman Message (http://tinyurl.com/Amman-Message-Aga-Khan). A pluralism when it is not in conflict with self-righteousness!

When religion is an inheritance, and makes one self-righteous, one can at best acquire mastery and scholarship only upon one's inheritance.

We observe that fact in practice. It is foolish to require anyone to give up their inheritance --- it is what defines us like our gender, it is who we are, the tribe and nation we belong to.
O mankind! Lo! We have created you from male and female, and have made you nations and tribes that ye may know one another. Lo! the noblest of you, in the sight of Allah, is the best in conduct. Lo! Allah is Knower, Aware. (Surah Al-Hujraat, 49:13)

That empirical fact of the hard genetic structure which expresses itself in the plurality of strains that is mankind, has evidently been extended to its programming, i.e., religion, as well. That undeniable fact of empiricism too is categorically recorded in Surah Al-Maeda, 5:44-48 (See Islam and Knowledge vs Socialization, http://tinyurl.com/Islam-Socialization)

However, the men and woman of understanding among them, (أولئك الأثاثب), must also force their pulpits to publicly acknowledge to their own flock that their fixing of an Indeterminate is drawn from sources outside the pages of the Holy Qur'an, from their respective holy books and sectarian dogmas. If one is to stay within the pages of the Holy Qur'an, one is forced to leave these matters as the Author Himself counsels in verse 3:7, as metaphorical, and therefore, Indeterminate. Meaning, as unknowns, without feeling any inner compulsion to fix their meaning at all.

Observe that despite the arguable metaphorical allusions to divinely sanctioned rule in its Indeterminates, the Holy Qur'an does not categorically prescribe in its Determinate verses any kind of governance, never mind specify who must rule apart from أولي الأمر of verse 4:59 previously analyzed, and which is itself left as an Indeterminate. It is arguably to transpire only in some unknown and unspecified epoch whence all the Qur'anic Beatitudes quoted above are finally realized: “It is I and My messengers who must prevail”. Thus far, that allegorical promise of both the Holy Bible and the Holy Qur'an have not been realized. We still live in a world of tyranny run by vile Hectoring Hegemons, now even more sophisticated than ever, employing diabolical instruments and philosophies to continually corral mankind from one misery to another under different Hegelian Dialectics. So who governs in the mean time? Sensibly, the people have to govern themselves! The Holy Qur'an has categorically prescribed its recipe that man must willingly stand up to these usurpers and exploiters of mankind among them (see http://tinyurl.com/Surah-Asr-Tafsir). However, the Holy Qur'an has not prescribed in its Determinate verses what such governance must look like that stands up to tyranny, except for some desirable general characteristics of righteous collectivism which it categorically prescribes for realizing the good Islamic society that is the harbinger of justice for all mankind.

In fact, these Qur'anic platitudes are not that much different in principle from what Solon, the ancient Athenian law-giver, advocated for social responsibility. When asked which city he thought was well-governed, Solon said: “That city where those who have not been injured take up the cause of one who has, and prosecute the case as earnestly as if the wrong had been done to themselves.”

For that matter, even the United States Constitution and its famous American Bill of Rights are not inconsistent with the Holy Qur'an. There isn't anything in that manmade republican governance principle that is intrinsically in conflict with the Good Book. In fact, it can be cogently argued to be implementing some of the principles of Islam itself. Unlike others claiming the divine right to rule through 4:59, the American Constitution however does not claim itself to be divine – but Declares
itself to be self-evident for the spelled out inalienable rights of the people.

It is a travesty that all these lofty platitudes on lovely parchment have been instrumented in society with the same inimical zest for justice and fairness as any other lovely words in any Sacred text from time immemorial, including the Ten Commandments, and the Holy Qur'an. This topic has been examined in depth in Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization (see http://tinyurl.com/Islam-Socialization).

Rule in the name of divine went away during Christendom's reformation period. It was replaced by people choosing to govern themselves. Whereas, it has been the principal raison d'être of governance of all Muslim empires and Caliphates, including latter day Muslim oligarchic states. None of which is to be found in the Determinates of the Holy Qur'an itself; appeal is always made to its Indeterminates in every era to justify and sanction man's rule in the name of divine.

There is surely no name more abused for narrow self-interests than the name of Divine since the dawn of civilization. In the past it was to verse 4:59 that thirteen centuries of Muslim empires looked to justify their rule. In the contemporary present, the principle of vilayat-i faqih in the Islamic Republic of Iran has most imaginatively made that appeal inter alia to both 4:59 and 28:5, asserting that its clergy class are representatives of those inheritors of the promise made in 28:5, and therefore must be obeyed as per 4:59. The ubiquitous practice of “taqlid” (already examined above) helped secure that blind obedience to religious authority from the sheepish masses. While Iran today proudly boasts of being the only Eastern nation which disobediently stands up to the Western hegemons as the permanent enemy of the Great Satan, its majority public meekly bows their head in blind obedience to their popes in full conviction of eternal salvation.

One can see that the Indeterminates permit open interpretation – and that's the premeditated diversity engine of the religion of Islam. When diversity based on the Indeterminates does not sow discord, is in the spirit of Islam as categorically outlined by its Determinates, then it is theologically not deprecated in the religion of Islam as should be evident from all the preceding discussions. It is the sowing of discord by interpreting what is metaphorical and allegorical in the Holy Qur'an that is deprecated. If interpretation was in fact not expected by the Author despite His Counsel against it, arguably there'd be no Indeterminates in the Book which claims itself a Divine Guidance for all mankind. The ambiguity in its specification is prima facie evidence of its sophisticated and pragmatic engine to seed diversity because man, by the very nature of his construction (creation), will argue and dispute, be socialized and group-think: “If Allah had so willed, He would have made you a single people, but (His plan is) to test you in what He hath given you: so strive as in a race in all virtues. The goal of you all is to Allah; it is He that will show you the truth of the matters in which ye dispute.” (Surah Al-Maeda 5:48). The Qur'anic guidance system endeavors to take man from that disputative warring state of nascent creation, to willingly rising to a stature in which he will come to excel the angels. Only the journey on the road of “fuss-tabi-quh-khairat” (فاستقبوا الخيرات), “so strive as in a race in all virtues”, can take a disputative, ethnocentric, tribalistic, nationalistic, and fiqihilistic people to the heights of that station. It is self-evident that part and parcel of striving “as in a race in all virtues” includes standing up to tyrants and creating social justice. All people are capable of doing that. What further Divine intervention is needed?

To even begin the process of transformation of coming together on the Determinates of the Holy Qur'an, since no Muslim sect is going to give up their emotional and theological attachments to
their historical legacy any time soon, if ever, the realities of the matter and the dangers of fratricide facing Muslims, call for immediate co-existence of sects as they are. Arguably therefore, so long as the interpretations and fixing of the **Indeterminates** do not sow discord among Muslims as per verse **3:7**, why should any particular fixing by one sect be deemed any more holier than any other sect's? All fixing make recourse to material outside the Holy Qur'an anyway --- whatever may be deemed to be its sacredness by the socialization in the respective sect. **It is still not in the Holy Qur'an.**

That is the singular recognition which must finally be truthfully admitted from every pulpit in order to form any kind of coherence among the disparate Muslim sects.

The abstractions **Determine** and **Indetermine** naturally permit such realization to first be articulated, and then percolated inwards, outwards, upwards, and downwards. A bold public admission of just this reality of the actual sources of their beliefs, driven from all Muslim pulpits, either voluntarily, or through state power according religious rights to Muslim sects, is the first step of coming together as one Muslim nation – without coercing anyone to change their emotional attachments to their respective heroes of history or come under the stewardship of any one sect's ideology.

Consequently, regardless of which Muslim sect or political group defines their nation's philosophical and national characteristics, if they employ the **Determine** verse **5:48** of Surah Al-Maeda as the cornerstone of their state's constitution ; if they espouse the fairness expressed in the **Biblical Golden Rule**: *“Do unto others as you have others do unto you”*, and adopt the powerful corollary that naturally falls out of it as their *force majeure* to preempt exploitation: *“no one shall take unfair advantage of another”* ; and make these worthy first principles of fairness and justice the very foundation of their governance structures whereby all civil, political, and religious rights are accorded to its citizens irrespective of their own theological beliefs with equality and without prejudice, both in theory and in practice, such a state would be sufficiently Islamic to legitimately call itself an “Islamic state” – even if it was entirely a secular state! It would be irrespective of the rest of its colorful artifacts, whether theologically drawn from the **Indeterminates** and therefore not something to be sown discord over as verse **3:7** clearly avers, or a separation of state and religion in terms of the philosophical outlook of the state itself! What does it matter to the ordinary man and woman what type of state it is if the state gives the public the liberty to better themselves in fairness, justice, is not exploitive, does not usurp, does not plunder, is not a vassal of foreign powers, and lends all its denizens the opportunity to believe and practice as a community what they each commonly hold sacred?

As one can immediately see, an almost infinite array of diverse governance systems are possible under that enlightened rubric – only limited by the creative energies of the people and their enlightened stewards. The stony silence of the Holy Qur'an on the governance structure, and its explicit categorical articulation of the general social principles to enact among Muslims in its **Determinates**, yields only this logical deduction, and no other!

This isn't a utopia. Many Muslim governments exist today – they can just as easily adopt the political recommendations noted above to eliminate fratricide and foster amity among Muslims in their own nations. That would of course only be possible if these states were themselves not part of this Machiavellian fratricide, state sponsored, both nationally and globally, as surrogate vassals of the hectoring hegemons.
Therefore, if any presumptuously “Islamic” state sheds the blood of Muslims in the name of Islam, sows discord, then it is clearly not an Islamic state by definition of the religion of Islam – but a tyrannical state no different than any other tyrannical state, Islam's lofty symbols proudly adorning its national flag notwithstanding.

What is perhaps of utmost most significance however, is the recognition that the Hectoring Hegemons not only perceptively understand these matters concerning the religion of Islam, they also understand the cracks, fissures, and lacunas among the Muslim sects, and how to both tickle these further, and how to harvest the subsequent fruits. They know how to invent new sects just as well as they know how to create revolutions by harnessing the indigenous discontent which they ab initio create in the first place.

As in recent past, internecine warfare is the unnatural destiny that has been planned for Muslims in the twenty-first century as well – and they had better wizen up before it is enacted on the scale which has been apportioned. To appreciate the urgency, and just how much of an existential necessity it is to immediately overcome sectarianism which continues to directly play into the hands of hectoring hegemons, see the excerpt from the political novel (or historical fiction) “Memoirs Of Mr. Hempher, The British Spy To The Middle East” (http://tinyurl.com/excerpt-memoirs-of-mr-hempher2). It is sure to distress the naïve and the erudite mind alike to learn just how accurately the hectoring hegemons understand and exploit the cracks and lacunas among the two major sects of Islam comprising nearly 99 percent of the 1.6 to 2 billion Muslims on planet earth today.

Conclusion

This case study set out to examine the question posed at the beginning:

What are the inherent impediments for studying the message of the Holy Qur'an which make the Book so amenable to self-serving interpretation, socialization, and even bastardization by anyone?

If the reader's mind hasn't been entirely asleep through this long perusal, the discovery that the presence of Indeterminates in the Holy Qur'an which necessitates going outside of its pristine pages to resolve them, is primarily responsible for the paradox that the Holy Qur'an has itself contributed to its subversion, or open-endedness, must be disconcerting to the honest mind. The Muslims, generation after generation, have themselves contributed to this state of affairs by remaining ossified in the narratives of history rather than progressively evolving their understanding of the principles of Islam.
as espoused directly in the text of the Holy Qur'an. That lamentable fact has arrested their evolution as a people, mired them in rituals and rites which dominate their socialization and their practice of religion, and opened them to sectarian schisms which has made them easy prey to the supermen and Machiavelli. The unfortunate truth of these observations is straightforwardly validated by the lamentable fact that even in today's modernity, one which is run exclusively by superior intellects who use game theory, psychology, social engineering, and political science to orchestrate “imperial mobilization” under the primacy imperatives of the new White Man's Burden for one-world government, even the best among the Muslim scholars and intellectuals, politicians and statesmen, poets and dreamers, pressmen and prostitutes, remain nonetheless wiser. In fact, many have become house niggers willingly carrying the White Man's Burden. And like the Muslim masses, many also offer their daily prayers on time, keep all their fasts, feed the poor, and perform their Hajj, preferably multiple times. And if one informs them that they are in fact destined for hell, hell right here on earth, they confidently reply that they are looking forward to Heaven elsewhere.

Solution Space – Addressing the problem head-on

The ease with which the masters of religion divided the Muslims since its very inception, with even far greater ease the Muslims can become united on the Holy Qur'an by acquiring intimacy with the abstractions natural to the Holy Qur'an: Determinates and Indeterminates. The Muslims have been made victims by their own pulpits no differently than the Christians. Neither the Sunni nor the Shia pulpit is able to reason, nor logically prove their differentiating theology from the Holy Qur'an directly, blanket assertions with appeal to authority and historical sources being their only blunt instrument of argumentation. This is clearly visible among both the Shia and Sunni pulpits each of which have created their own sacred axioms that they each swear by, based exclusively on the scribes of history and selective fixing of the Indeterminates to suit their respective socialization bias. That has led to the senseless differentiation which is guaranteed to be irreconcilable under any one sect's ideological banner, remaining perennially ripe for a good harvest by Machiavelli in every era.

Adoption of the Qur'anic abstractions Determinate and Indeterminate in promulgating the understanding of the religion of Islam from both the Shia and Sunni pulpits, permits a mutual co-existence with greater amity and friendship among all the major Muslim sects. It simultaneously raises awareness of the actual sources of their own religion from which the Muslim mind draws its various beliefs. These simple abstractions lend a vocabulary and nomenclature to even begin sensible and rational discussions of matters that have previously often been steeped in blind faith, shrouded in ignorance, clothed in baseless assertions, and ripe for gratuitous cognitive infiltration into the religion of Islam.
It permits the Muslim mind to “legally” agree to disagree on matters which are Indeterminate without calling each other misguided or kafir, while automatically permitting rational agreements to be forged on what is Determinate. This also resolves forging agreement on matters that fall on the delicate boundary between what is Determinate and what is Indeterminate, as for instance is betrayed by the two different parsing of verse 3:7 along the Shia-Sunni sectarian divide. Which parsing is correct is itself an Indeterminate. Therefore, what is not categorically deemed Determinate by both pulpits is sensibly treated as Indeterminate by definition, rather than sow discord. That approach is counseled by verse 3:7 itself.

Only under that singular categorical banner of the Determinates of the Holy Qur'an, can Muslims ever forge themselves into one Muslim nation. The Determinates also easily permit expunging abhorrent ideologies, gratuitous doctrines, dogmas, and practices which have vilely infiltrated the religion of Islam as amply demonstrated by the examination of the question of “taqlid” above. Self-interest of both the pulpit and the throne is clearly brought to light in that examination because the question is a Determinate question, most emphatically and straightforwardly answered in the Holy Qur'an. Similarly, the Principle of Inerrancy is stated so plainly in the Holy Qur'an that the self-interest of the entire Sunni pulpit in asserting the contrary in service of the caliphates and Muslim empires is most clearly visible. Without vilely negating that first Determinate principle of the Holy Qur'an, the very first Caliph after the death of the Prophet of Islam could never have occupied the rulership of the nascent Muslims – and perhaps the history may have unfolded differently! These are clear examples of guile, deception, subterfuge, and hijacking, among both Shiadom and Sunnidom. If it is so easy for power to subvert the Determinates, just imagine how easy it is to fill the Indeterminates! By the same yardstick, sympathetic power can equally affect the alternate outcome. But why would power slaughter its own prized goose that lays the golden egg in every epoch?

The benefits of rational assemblage of the worldwide Muslim public mind on the Determinates of the Holy Qur'an today is so obvious that to even state it fourteen-fifteen centuries later sounds entirely platitudinous; sort of like rehearsing the lofty Ten Commandments in wonderment as if they were just revealed yesterday! Only narrow self-interests of both the pulpit and the throne preclude that assemblage!

Nevertheless, the lead principle to drive this Muslim umma unification process globally while retaining the rich diversity among Muslims, is the verse of unification, verse 5:48 of Surah Al-Maeda of the Holy Qur'an. Its rational adoption as the political and spiritual mandate of all Muslim sects, tribes and nations in its myriad civilizations from the East to the West, organically launches the Muslim public mind on that road to political and spiritual recovery without being under the headmastership of any sect and their specious dogmas. The rest will happen naturally, over time, by the natural system dynamics unleashed with the adoption and active promulgation of that simple political science first principle from the Holy Qur'an itself.

This evolution of the understanding of the religion of Islam among the Muslims is the only choice to survive in the coming age without both, internecine warfare that is diabolically crafted by Machiavelli, and losing the spirit of their religion further to the shell of empty rituals.

The Machiavelli in the meantime is active by way of divide and conquer to spread the scourge of Secular Humanism in all civilizations to wipe out all traces of theism. The religion of Islam, evidently, is its most resolute obstruction (see http://tinyurl.com/Islam-vs-Secular-Humanism). It is
foolhardy to not capitalize on one's natural advantage in the art of war! The full spectrum capitalization of that asset is the principal raison d'être of this report.

Proposal to the Pulpits – Of all Sects and Maslaks

As the first baby step towards better understanding their own differences – the Shia and Sunni pulpits are invited to proclaim their own beliefs at their own learned scholarly level, using these new abstractions. Then let's sit together to examine what each sect has itself determined to be Determinate vs. Indeterminate on matters that are differentiating between Shia and Sunni pulpits. It will surely surprise them both! Just as it has surprised this scribe how easy and straightforward the resolution is – its only obstruction being the hectoring hegemons and their insidious vassals and pulpits throughout the Muslim world. It is perhaps for this insightful realization that a pen awarded to this scribe's little boy a score years ago by the Sunday School in California in the United States of America, for Qur'an recitation on stage at age 4 or 5, had inscribed on it the farsighted statement:

"Those who differentiate between Shia and Sunni are neither of the Sunnis nor of the Shias."

Caveat Lector: About The Author

Please be advised that this author is not a scholar of Islam. Only its imperfect student wielding the little “zulfiqar” that he is endowed with by the Creator with all the skill he can muster. Don't follow the author's understanding of Islam. Follow your own internal imam, your own intellect, your own spiritual insights, your own “zulfiqar”! Use the author's discourse as a sparring partner for your own understanding. If your understanding cannot withstand the logic of the arguments presented here, then it is your choice whether to experience a metanoia and adopt the new logical understanding, or remain intransigent in your previous socialized worldview. May Allah SWT Guide all who seek His Guidance, and Forgive errors in discovering the Straight Path by applying one's own mind to His
Word instead of the glorified turbans'. The notable turbans of Islam hold no significant meaning for the author as divinely sanctioned interpreters of faith; but only as ordinary people who too labored according to their own capacity to better their own understanding of Islam. Commendable; but hardly definitive. One is only answerable for what one possesses! The mind is all man uniquely possesses as his defining asset which separates mankind from all other creatures. It is our first set of eyes. Our first guide. Our first imam. When blinded it cannot even recognize the Holy Prophet of Islam. When blinded it cannot distinguish between Imam Ali and Muawiya; Imam Hussein and Yazid; truth from falsehood; night from day, fact from fiction. When sighted, it comprehends the Divine Message without effort despite the Holy Qur'an being in a foreign language. And when inspired, it lives the Divine Message and its categorical imperatives without fear. Islam and the Holy Qur'an, if the Divine Guidance is meant are for all times and spaces, then it is a non sequitur that fossilized understanding of the Holy Qur'an and its religion of Islam are defining for the present. Thus, it follows that one must understand the Holy Qur'an for one's own times, and endeavor to build societies and systems of governance, systems of living, systems of transacting business, paying taxes, social nets, etc., pertinent to the needs and requirements for one's own times. No special license has been accorded in the Holy Qur'an to any class of persons as the “people to go to” for understanding the Holy Qur'an. Reflection upon its meaning, building societies upon its understanding, is open to all creatures who are designated as “nas” in the Holy Qur'an. It is guidance for all “nas”, mankind, “The month of Ramadan in which was revealed the Qur'an, a guidance for mankind, and clear proofs of the guidance, and the Criterion (of right and wrong).” (2:185) If you, dear reader, are part of “nas”, then study the Holy Qur'an using your own little “zulfiqar”. You may come by a far superior understanding based on your own jihad-un-nafs. And you shall be held to account for this gift of mind only in relation to the natural capacity of your “zulfiqar”, whether or not you use it. In other words, if have “aqal”, brains, you are in trouble --- for you have to account for having it commensurate with its quantity, like all other gifts, including trials and tribulations. That is the most self-evident and basic admonition of the religion of Islam to mankind. Even every Muslim child who says “Alhamdolillah”, thanks to Allah, knows it. Q.E.D.
Uniting Muslims on the Holy Qur’an
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Chapter 38

Uniting Muslims on Islam Exemplified by Imam Hussein (as)

Categorical Imperative and Karbala

Open Letter to Muslims and Non Muslims


AOA (as-salamu 'alaykum), Peace be with you.

Muslims are already most knowledgeable about the religious significance of Karbala, and of this fact I have no doubt. Throughout the world Muslims annually commemorate the “Gum-e-Hussein” (the public's sorrow of Imam Hussein), and what transpired in 61 A.H. (680 A.D.) at the hands of the Muslim caliph Yazid's army, with utmost devotion. The scion of Ahlul-Bayt, the beneficiary of the
verse of purification of the Holy Qur'an (33:33), the noble grandson of the noble Prophet of Islam, son of Imam Ali ibne Abi Talib and lady Fatima binte Muhammad, Imam Hussein ibne Ali, not only refused to take oath of fealty at the hands of the new tyrannical ruler of his time who had become caliph upon the death of his father – i.e., in modern parlance, declined to “vote” for him – but even initiated his “naizat” (mission of resistance) against him and his “fasiq” (despotic) rule from his home town Medina, the abode in the desert of Arabia that the noble Prophet of Islam had made the capital of the Muslim city-state and given it that name some sixty years earlier.

The story of the Imam's long journey after making his resolution known to the people of Medina and inviting them to join him in his “naizat”, traveling from Medina to Mecca, making his resolve known in Mecca both to its elites and to the foreign Muslim pilgrims who had started to arrive from all over the Muslim lands for the coming Haj season, waiting in Mecca until the actual onset of Haj, not completing his Haj because of the far greater moral imperative of standing up to Yazid being the need and duty of the hour, and instead abandoning performing his own Haj altogether by taking off his “ahram” (unstitched garment worn on Haj) on the very day when all the rest of the tens of thousands of Hajis who had come from the world over to perform Haj were obligatorily putting on their own “ahram” to commence their Haj, bidding them all farewell and disappointed at how few Muslims from the twin centers of Islam had chosen to heed his invitation to accompany him on his “naizat” while the pious rank and file and learned scholars alike stayed rather unconcerned and busy in their religious rituals seeking Islam's promised Heaven; leaving Mecca for his final destination and being stopped in the desert-plains of Karbala in Iraq by Yazid's fearless General, named Hur-ibne-Riayee, and the subsequent inhumanity that was inflicted upon the Ahlul Bayt of the Prophet of Islam by none other than the Muslim state army, culminating in the inhuman massacre of most male members of the Imam's family including his thirsty children one of whom was only six month old baby, and the aftermath of the women and children of Ahlul Bayt being made prisoners and marched in chains to Damascus to Yazid's court, is all quite well known among most Muslims.

This gloomy and sorrowful narrative of Karbala is amply rehearsed and reenacted in passion-plays every year and therefore, this bird's eye view of mere headlines must suffice for our purpose. The reader can read a book on Karbala or listen to the majalis on the internet to learn its gory details, some real and some imaginary, which created a new micro civilization of Islam among Muslims exclusively for the Shias of Imam Ali and the Ahlul Bayt that has become centered for the past thirteen hundred years on the singular remembrance of Karbala. This heritage of Shiadom is peerless and unparalleled in history among any peoples – for, the remembrance of Imam Hussein often surpasses the mourning for one's own family members. The following analysis dissects the exaggerations accumulated over the centuries and demonstrates how even the ritual remembrance of the Imam's “naizat” has not been spared the narrow selfish interests of, and cultural embellishments by, pulpits and poets alike: Lectures on Ashura by Allama Murtada Mutahhari – Misrepresentations and Distortions.

What is not well known and which is the purpose of this open letter, is the categorical imperative that was birth-panged by the deliberate and premeditated actions of the noble Imam against the tyrannical government of his time and which is ably captured in the slogan that is often on the lips and worn on black T-shirts in every Muharram-ul Haram by the devotees to publicly proclaim both personal piety and devotion to the Imam, but unfortunately seldom followed up in practice: “Qullo yomin Ashura, Qullo Ardin Karbala.”

The sorrowful narration of Imam Hussein's travails every year brings even the most hardened
hearts to spontaneous tears, Muslims' and non Muslims' alike, but often mainly as an act of religious piety for Shia Muslims, as the fast-path to Heaven in their Afterlife for most, and mainly as remembrance of a noble act of profound courage and a monumental crime against humanity committed against the Ahlul Bayt for the rest. At the end of the remembrance rituals, all go home, most feeling cleansed at having remembered the Imam and his sacrifice as a religious obligation. The rest of the year life returns to normal which is mostly business as usual – the pursuit of personal happiness and profit, and when even mildly religious, the selective pursuit of Heaven with selective morality, all at the expense of engendering “banality of evil”, completely unmindful of the Qur'anic categorical imperative exemplified by Imam Hussein with his unparalleled mission and its unparalleled conclusion that remains unsurpassed in the annals of recorded history.

So I begin this open letter by asking the essential question which, at least to my mind, is calculatingly omitted in the entire enactment of rituals and remembrance of the Imam's travails in this first month of the Islamic calendar year --- our new year:

Is that the purpose of Imam Hussein's ritualistic remembrance, to shed some genuine tears which, as one is informed from the mimbars (pulpits) year after year, will take one to Heaven after death?

This idea has evidently become an intimate part of the religious as well as cultural ethos of the followers of the Ahlul Bayt (see What does the Holy Qur'an say about the Ahlul Bayt). It drives the rank and file of Shia Muslims the world over. It keeps the tradition of Muharram alive to retell the story of Karbala, and to reenact its lament, so that the world of tyranny, at least symbolically, may never forget that there was Imam Hussein. More significantly however, it spontaneously gathers the flock without any central authority driving them.

Each year, wherever Shia Muslims live, this remembrance of Imam Hussein is spontaneously reenacted, from home to home, center to center, and street to street. The main raison d'être of the devotees themselves --- the fast path to Heaven. The remembrance of Karbala has become a ritualistic holy act with Heavenly blessings presumed to be attached it.

This de facto canonization into holy act also works well for governments, both good and bad, to keep a people culturally inclined towards the ideals of Imam Hussein, preoccupied in rituals seeking Heaven in their remembrance of Karbala, lest a group arise to actually reenact the act of Imam Hussein rather than just his ritual remembrance.

There are many deep questions buried in that entire epic journey of Imam Hussein, the noble grandson of the noble Prophet of Islam, where the Imam's “qayaam” (categorical stance, to put a stake in the ground, to draw a line in the sand) took place in specific stages. From Medina to Mecca to Kufa, which was of course interdicted in Karbala at the beginning of 61 A.H before reaching Kufa, where, finally, on the 10th day of Muharram, the exemplar of Islam returned his soul back to his Creator at the zenith of nafs-e-mutmahinnah: “O soul that art at rest! Return to your Lord, well-pleased (with him), well-pleasing (Him)”, (Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Fajr, 89:27, 89:28).

And at each stage there was a stay by the Imam, and an invitation to the “khawas” of the area to join his final mission, and his explanations of the mission to individual “khawas” who questioned him...
and tried to change his mind, or joined him. These conversations between the Imam and the “khawas” transpiring throughout the Imam's journey, and the letters he wrote to other “khawas”, are most interesting and hide a well-spring of lessons to be learnt.

Even its preliminary study reveals an ocean of insight into sociology, psychology, and perceptive capture of the forces that drive ordinary human beings, both “khawas” (elites) and “awam” (public), to the “banality of evil” that has become so well known as the primary sociological dysfunction of our own modern era.

The dysfunction of Imam Hussein's era, and our own modernity, is driven by exactly the same primal forces, as revealed from the perceptive words and conversations of Imam Hussein which become the mirror of history to examine one's own times in. This points to the real significance of the Imam's mission to Karbala – to convey to his own people, as well as to posterity, the clear demonstration of how to overcome their own “banality of evil” as per the clear purpose of the clear teachings of the Religion of Islam brought by the Noble Prophet of Islam.

The expression “banality of evil”, the ordinariness of those who easily become party to extreme evil, by either commission of the evil, or by their omission to stop the evil, is the neologism of the Jewish writer Hannah Arendt. It captures a behavioral as well as a spiritual truth which Islam has focussed on a great deal in the Holy Qur'an. Human beings are capable of extreme evil, and they don't have to be sociopaths, psychopaths and hardened criminals to do so.

The behavior of the largely virtuous and pious Muslims, and especially the Muslim “khawas” and respected elders, whom Imam Hussein met and addressed throughout his journey, from Medina to Mecca to places en route to Kufa, until its culmination in Karbala on the day of Ashura, exemplifies this truth. Only a tiny tiny handful joined the Imam in his “qayaam” against the tyrant of his day. The majority stayed aloof, busy in piety, and the people who had gathered in Mecca for the Haj season, chose to perform their Haj instead of pay heed to the Imam's call to overcome their “banality of evil”.

All their religious prayers, all their religious piety, yet they had learnt to resist the temptation to join the noble grandson of the noble Prophet of Islam even as they saw him being only accompanied by his womenfolk and children, which clearly meant that there was an important principle at stake other than mere rebelling for power. The tens of thousands of pious Muslims of 60 A.H. had kept the outer shell of Islam and thrown away its fruit.

For the Muslims in Yazid's army who participated in the slaughter of the children of the Prophet of Islam in Karbala, and those Muslims who silently watched or profited from this evil, their “banality of evil” is captured in the following remarkable words of Hannah Arendt from her Report on the Banality of Evil, written in 1963. This passage captures the German public's behavior under the totalitarian Nazi Third Reich in 1940s with just as much veracity as it captures the Muslim public's behavior under the totalitarian Yazid's Ummayad Dynasty, arguably the Muslim First Reich, in that tragic epoch of 60 A.H:

“Evil in the Third Reich had lost the outstanding quality by which most people recognize it -- the quality of temptation. Many Germans and many Nazis, probably an overwhelming majority of them, must have been tempted not to murder, not to rob, not to let their neighbors part towards their doom (for that the Jews were transported to their doom they knew of course, even though many
of them might not have known the gruesome details), and not to become accomplices of all these crimes by benefitting from them. But God knows, they had learned how to resist temptation.” (Hannah Arendt, Eichmann in Jerusalem – A Report on the Banality of Evil, 1963, ch VIII, last page, pg. 121)

The words and conversations of Imam Hussein demonstrate that Imam Hussein's Islam was not the Islam of the “khawas” (leading elites and prominent peoples) of his time, and nor was it the Islam of the virtuous rank and file “awam” busy performing the Haj pilgrimage. Nor is it the Islam that is culturalized, socialized, and adapted to the taste of the rulers. It is also not the Islam which Bernard Lewis, “a leading Western scholar of Islam”, argued:

“It is difficult to generalize about Islam. To begin with, the word itself is commonly used with two related but distinct meanings, as the equivalents both of Christianity, and Christendom. In the one sense, it denotes a religion, as system of beliefs and worship; in the other, the civilization that grew up and flourished under the aegis of that religion. The word Islam thus denotes more than fourteen centuries of history, a billion and a third people, and a religious and cultural tradition of enormous diversity.” (Bernard Lewis, Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror, 2003, pg. 1)

The word Islam only denotes what the Religion of Islam itself defined it: “This day have I perfected for you your religion and completed My favor on you and chosen for you Islam as a religion; (Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Maeda verse fragment 5:3)

الْيَوْمَ أَكْلَمْتُ لَكُمْ دِينَكُمْ وَأَنْمَتْ عَلَيْكُمْ نُعُمَّتِي وَرَضِيتْ لَكُمُ الْإِسْلَامَ دِينًا

The lessons buried in that entire journey of the pious Imam, and not just the final ten days of it, or the last day of it called “Ashura”, are so profound, and transformative, that I have to lamentably observe that it remaining largely hidden among the ardent followers of the Ahlul Bayt throughout the ages since Karbala, is its own tragedy.

The truth of these words, that it has indeed remain hidden, is empirical. It is even explained by the very definition of “Gum-e-Hussein” that the rank and file followers of Ahlul Bayt typically live by.

This is where I am indebted to the inexplicable new rising scholar of Islam, Hujjatul Islam Allama Syed Jawad Naqvi [1], of the Shia Islamic Seminary named Jamea Orwathul Wuthqa, Lahore, Pakistan, for his outstanding “tajziya” (analysis) of the words, sentences, letters, speeches, khutbas, conversations – in full sociological context of that time – of the pious Imam himself to explain the Imam's own “Gum-e-Hussein”.

What was Imam Hussein's own “gum”, his own angst, his own grief, that caused him to launch his “naizat” against the tyrant of his time?

We know what his adherents’ “gum” is whenever we think of “Gum-e-Hussein”. It has largely been the same ever since 61 A.H. It is the tragedy of Karbala, of what Yazid's forces did to the noble family of the noble Prophet of Islam and to the surviving women and children of Karbala. Muslims are sorrowful and sad because Yazid killed and tortured the Imam and his family. That is the public's “Gum-e-Hussein”, their sorrow and anger over what Imam Hussein and his family were subjected to.

But what was Imam Hussein's own “gum”? His own anger? His own “Gum-e-Hussein”?
Karbala and Ashura had not yet transpired when the Imam started his “naizat” in Medina in 60 A.H. [2]

How, and indeed why, has Imam's Hussein's own “gum” become masked off from the pulpit by the paid narrators who mount the mimbars, and by the hundreds of thousands of devout and devoted elegy writers, poets, scholars, and khatibs throughout Muslim history?

Why has the Imam's own “gum” not become the common “gum” and shared ethos of his own steadfast adherents among both the “khawas” and the “awam” throughout history?

Had that been so, there would indeed have been Karbala every place and Ashura every day, as per the Imams of the Ahlul Bayt's explanation of the perennial import of Karbala: “Qullo yomin Ashura, Qullo Ardin Karbala.”

Whereas, what has actually transpired is that the followers of Ahlul Bayt, worldwide, mainly only remember the Karbala of 61 A.H. They offer their sorrows and laments to the Imam for what happened to his family. And after having paid their full respects for ten days to the noble family of the Prophet of Islam, and having said their “al-widas” (goodbyes) and their “see you next year if life remaining”, return home to business as usual. The poignant pithy saying of the sixth Imam of the Ahlul Bayt has become relegated to mere poetry, elegies, posters, and fine art tee-shirts.

In the same way, many other religious concepts whose principal purpose is to induce voluntary transformation in every society in every day and age, such as “safina-tun-nijaat” (the ship of refuge, reference to Prophet Nuh's Ark (Noah's Ark) that gave “nijaat” to all those who willingly came on board from the pestilence of the global floods; referring to the fundamental ideals and core principles of the religion of Islam that Imam Hussein is seen as the uncompromising exemplar of, the ship of refuge from all falsehoods and tyranny for anyone who willingly climbs aboard that exemplariness, in the words of the Prophet of Islam: “Innal Hussein misbah-ul-huda wa safina-tun-nijaat”), etc., have also become relegated to merely reciting in elegies. And to be worn on expensive silkscreened tee-shirts to display one's faith in the Imamate of the holy Imams of the Ahlul Bayt.

This is particularly felt important every time Shia Muslims are under assault, and rather than cower in intimidation, remembering the courage of Imam Hussein and his uncompromising stance at Karbala, put on a bold display of faith before the world with these holy sayings printed on posters and tee-shirts.

More Machiavellianly however, these slogans and the name of Imam Hussein is carried aloft for corralling the flock behind any agenda, to show any mission of self-interest as the mission of Hussein, no differently than how in the Battle of Siffin in 37 AH., Muawiyah ibne Abi Sufyan's forces at the brink of defeat, cunningly raised their copies of the Holy Qur'an on their spears as the ones on the righteous path, to confront Imam Ali's soldiers. Imam Ali's army, despite their Imam's effort in telling them that this was a diabolical ruse to get them to lay down their arms when the battle had reached a decisive stage in their favor, did precisely what Muawiyah had anticipated the simpletons in the Imam's army would do. That momentous event of Muslim history set the precedent for holding any holy flag of Islam over the public head when it serves a political agenda.

That exercise is not limited to Muslim states waging self-righteous holy wars in the name of Islam, both in offense as its own la mission civilisatrice, as well as in self-defence, internecine or otherwise.
It also encompasses the cunning of: a) deliberately keeping the public preoccupied in the fast-path to Heaven in the name of Imam Hussein by misdirecting attention to what Yazid did to Imam Hussein in the plains of Karbala, lest the public focus on what Imam Hussein did to Yazid and rise-up against their own oppressors; and b) rallying the public to senselessly lay down their own lives “united we stand” for what is propagandistically deemed holy mission, and holy defence, by rulers, in the name of what Imam Hussein did in the plains of Karbala with the same promise of Heaven awaiting. The dispensers of Heaven among Muslims throughout its short fourteen century history have arguably far surpassed the papacy at its peak influence.

The perceptive understanding of “Gum-e-Hussein” from the Imam's own point of view however, with sophistication and wherewithal, frees all these revolutionary constructs of Islam from the straight-jackets of gut-wrenching elegiac poetry, fine literature, scholarly humanities, and Machiavellian misdirection that they have become enshrined in over the ages.

Indeed, I do not see the Imam's own “gum” having become the “gum” of his most ardent matamis (self-flagellators), jooloosies (flock in processions), khatibs (mounters of pulpit), poets, scholars, mourners, and believers of his Imamat in general.

In fact, the Imam's most ardent devotees among the rank and file, in their exaggerated public expression of “Gum-e-Hussein”, so transcend the bounds of human dignity in their ritualistic remembrance of the tragedy of Karbala that their blood-letting in the name of Imam Hussein, would surely be part of Imam's own “Gum-e-Hussein”!

The ubiquitous Shia pulpit that silently condones what has now become enshrined as the public face of Shia Islam, has occasionally been checked by the rare Shia jurist, but at best in advisory tones as employed by Ayatollah Khamenei (cached), who, as the anointed Valih-e-faqih-e-Muslimeen and legal head of state whose religious influence extends far beyond Iranian borders, has the power and authority to categorically ban it by both religious fatwa as well as legal injunction. At least Khamenei has termed it “wrongful”. The trend however among the highest echelons of religious power in Shiaism even in this day and age, is either to be stone deaf, dumb and blind on this matter, to hear no evil, speak no evil, see no evil, like Ayatullah-ul-Uzma Ali al-Sistani whose office has categorically stated that the Grand Ayatullah has issued no opinion on the matter in clarification of fabricated fatwas in his name. Or, as the case of this fiercely pious fellow, Ayatullah-ul-Uzma Bashir Najafi, issue fatwa actively encouraging blood-letting self-flagellation not only as an act of faith to earn the intercession of the Ahlul Bayt in Afterlife (the fastpath to Heaven), but also for conveying the bloodbath at Karbala to the world, both as an invitation to Islam as well as to the misery of Imam Hussein the remembrance of which will take anyone to Heaven. Somebody forgot to inform this poor fellow and all those grand Ayatullah “marjai-taqlids” (worthy of emulation) who exercise mass behavior control in Shiadom in the name of God (see What does the Holy Qur'an say about Taqlid), who live upon the mastery of thousand year old books as the source of divine authority over their flock, that mankind no longer lives in the dark ages when it might have been impressed by such absurdly unintelligent invitation! The appeal of this barbarism is strictly limited to incestuous self-reinforcement within the flock already socialized into this practice, demonstrating an unarguable example of cultural pollution of religion. The rational mind just shivers in revulsion at such scholarship of the Dark Ages having found air to breathe among Muslims in this day and age in the name of Islam. There are of course many other cultural cancers that continue to find theological sanctuary in Muslim societies in the guise of religion, but the focus here is unveiling the mystery of
Karbala.

Thus, we clearly evidence that the construct “Gum-e-Hussein” has come to have two distinct and separate meanings which have rarely coalesced throughout the fourteen centuries that it has been commemorated:

- There is the public's “Gum-e-Hussein” which is ingrained by socialization from birth and which is usually aided and abetted by religious scholars and religious tradition as the “wasilah” for the fulfillment of prayers in this life, and the fastpath to Heaven in Afterlife;

- and there is Imam Hussein's own “Gum-e-Hussein” which is almost always ignored.

In the age of universal tyranny, the public's “Gum-e-Hussein” is supposed to have led to adopting the Imam's own angst, his own grief, his own “Gum-e-Hussein”, to strike at the very heart of tyrants and its systems of oppression.

Has that happened? Which meaning should one rationally adopt in our own age of universal tyranny, almost fourteen centuries (and counting) after Imam Hussein exemplified his own “Gum-e-Hussein”?

Is Imam Hussein the private property of Shia Muslims that its rank and file can do whatever it likes in his memory? The Imam is an exemplifier for all Muslims, nay, for all humanity, for wherever tyranny exists.

It is fortunate that rational people are inspired directly by the categorical imperative that Imam Hussein not only stood for, but equally demonstrated in his acts with the same uncompromising fervor, much like the Kantian categorical imperative that the West is likely more familiar with. And not turned off by the ritualistic excesses of his devoted followers who tend to largely ignore the categorical imperative of the Imam and focus on that one instance of the act itself.

What this means as a philosophical principle, is that every act of Imam Hussein underlies a principle which can become a general principle. When that is true, it is what Kant defined as the categorical imperative for moral existence based on reason. As a general principle therefore, anyone and everyone can adopt it for the same purposes in their own individual acts regardless of time and space, regardless of their caste, creed, national origin and religion — and each of their individual acts in turn become a categorical imperative in the Kantian sense. Meaning, they do not act in a manner such that its underlying principle cannot be made into a general principle. Thus, to cut open one's head with a sword can hardly be made into a general principle of mourning.

That timeless power of Imam Hussein, to be the singular and unparalleled exemplar of Kant's categorical imperative principle for moral existence to this degree of belief and commitment a thousand years before German philosopher Immanuel Kant was even born; to offer his and his family's lives in ransom as a categorical imperative in the unflinching way that he did at Karbala, that he sacrificed everything including his children, for a principle that he held dearly, is presumably what attracts the thoughtful mind to Imam Hussein even fourteen centuries later.

Here, in the philosophical sense, it is arguably immaterial what specifically Imam Hussein believed, but only that his principled acts, driven by the courage of his convictions, is illustrative of the Kantian categorical imperative of moral existence. That is Imam Hussein's attraction to many
thinking peoples, as the unsurpassed exemplar of having the courage of one's convictions.

It is this idea that inspires many to stand their ground against all odds even when they may not be Muslim, or even religious. Hindus are as inspired by Imam Hussein's *categorical imperative* for instance – when they do not even believe in the religion of Islam. So, clearly, the specific religious beliefs of Imam Hussein has no significance for them. Only his actions, his “naizat”, and his deliberate and premeditated supreme sacrifice born of the courage of his personal convictions against a tyrannical government of his time. That is the fount of inspiration for all who proclaim human rights, human dignity, and for those who are forced to live in bondage and under the jack boots of one Nazi or another. It is also the fount for recognizing hypocrites who send others to their death in the name of liberty and justice. And for recognizing murderers who kill innocent civilians in mass numbers under the sound of trumpet and in the name of freedom and justice. This is why, as the poet famously said in his couplet in Urdu, translated: *let people be awakened and informed, and all nations will proclaim Hussein is ours!*

The rank and file mind is of course least bothered with principles and philosophies, or perhaps fairer to say, is not as attracted to the underlying principles as to the act itself. Such a mindless public, by ignoring the principles underlying the Imam's acts, despoil the Imam's sacrifice. It was indeed the only *raison d'être* of the Imam's “qayaam” all the way to his *supreme sacrifice* at Karbala. The Imam did not then, nor surely now, want tears of sympathy. The Imam did not call people watching him depart at each stage of his “qayaam”, to shed tears for him or his family in lieu of their accompanying him. He called them to join him solely as a shared *categorical imperative* which he tried to educate them as their duty and obligation far surpassing Haj. The statement narrated from the sixth Imam of the Ahlul Bayt who lived to see the transition of Islam's distorted pulpit from one tyrannical dynasty to another, Imam Jaffer as Sadiq, quoted earlier, translated into English: *Every day is Ashura, Every place is Karbala*, reinforces this point that Imam Hussein's acts and behavior during his “naizat” is a timeless general principle; a *categorical imperative* against tyranny where the tyrant shall always lose in the end, but this will be at a cost, the cost of taking on the sea of troubles to end them!

We see from this short discussion that Imam Hussein's “Gum-e-Hussein” is what drives the Imam to his *categorical imperative*, and the public's “Gum-e-Hussein” is what drives them to recall the act of Imam Hussein but not to his *categorical imperative*!

It also appears to me that it was indeed the Islamic Revolution in Iran that brought this distinction out on the surface in our own era --- but not from the lips of Qom trained ullema who have become professional pulpit occupiers worldwide, earning their livelihood in the name of the miseries of Ahlul Bayt. The “Gum-e-Hussein” the turbans have preached for centuries, and continue to rehearse today, is the public's variety. For it is the public that pays for their keep.

From homes to religious centers, a paid turban, whether trained in a seminary or self-taught with diligent practice, brings the devotees to tears as their fast path to Heaven, and charges a hefty fees for that service. This has become the de facto *public face of Shiadom*.

The wide chasm between the Imam's own “Gum-e-Hussein” and the public's “Gum-e-Hussein” cannot be more unbridgeable under the present system of ritualized, superstitious, fast-path driven, Shiadom. This serves the interests of the control systems of tyranny just fine.

Jawad Naqvi is the first exception I have seen to the typical Qom and Iraq trained religious scholars, khatibs, alims, and various and sundry Hujjatul Islams and Ayatollahs. And because of this
exceptional find, I have spent hundreds of hours, literally, going through Jawad Naqvi's remarkable collection of speeches archived on his website, islamimarkaz.com, to extract the gems, and to leave aside the shells.

This rational signal to noise ratio filtering in epistemology is the prerequisite for intelligently parsing all narratives of history and current affairs for every student of truth, be it a lowly student like myself, or the Grand scholar of the universe as captured in imposing titles like “Ayatollah Uzma”, “Grand Mufti”, etc.

Instead of making history sacrosanct, as Muslims remember the events of Karbala in every Muharram-ul Haram as the fastpath to Heaven, and as the wasilah for fulfillment of prayers, we might also endeavor to study the categorical imperative birth-panged in Karbala by the exemplification of deen-ul-haq. We might ask why the religion of Islam in the Holy Qur'an is called deen-ul-haq, if not to endeavor to create heaven right here in this world for everyone by establishing justice for everyone, and ask how, if not by breaking all bonds of servitude to fellow man. We might ask how that lofty categorical imperative of Islam got morphed into the selfish individual goal of seeking Heaven in Afterlife while leaving the tyrants in this world alone. Karbala exemplified that principal teaching of the religion of Islam as deen-ul-haq for all time and space. While no Muslim denies that fact, Karbala has become relegated to an isolated act of history for history. And the rest of Islam's preaching for establishing justice is left to Eschatology!

Instead of making the history of Karbala sacred for extracting individual tears by imaginative narratives, Muslims might examine our own age and era in the mirror of the history of Karbala. Instead of beating one's own chest in unstoppable tears and feeling having given justice to the memory of the martyrs of Karbala, we might offer our chests to the tyrants of our own time as the categorical imperative of Karbala.

That is the real face of Shiadom which has remain occulted for centuries. The public face of Shiadom instead of becoming an expression of the categorical imperatives of Islam, has exclusively become an expression of sorrow for the fate of the Ahlul Bayt of the Prophet of Islam, often with absurd rituals which in this day and age seem to be a throwback to barbarianism.

Just as Muslims have not understood the message of Karbala and have become engrossed in memorializing it in rituals as a religious element despite fourteen centuries of rehearsals, Muslims have also not understood the religion of Islam and become engrossed in its rituals. The evidence for this statement is obvious and quite empirical --- just look around us, fourteen centuries of Islam with full mosques on every street corner, millions performing Haj each year, million feeding the poor, and still living in bondage to despotic rulers and empires with almost a fifth or sixth of the world population living in hunger and deprivation! It is as if no Prophets ever came to enlighten mankind.

The people of the world understanding Karbala in all its dimensions frees not just Muslims from bondage to fellow man, but the entire world from tyranny of fellow man.

Karbala is the singular recipe of moral resistance. It is also the mirror of history to understand our own times for our own banality of evil ---- for the same sociological principles remain in play again and again that determine the rise and fall of civilizations.

History, all history, is only a mirror of learning, and the fount of wisdom, when it is responsibly used to navigate for a better tomorrow. But, obviously, not when the mirror is held to the blind!
This is the lamentable tragedy of man. History has become the manipulative tool of choice for behavior control in virtually all societies. Narratives of history are used to instill false beliefs, draw specious or misdirected lessons, and to implant and perpetuate myths and ideologies. Once these narratives become part of culture and its intellectual tradition, the social norms that result from cultural ethos automatically determines the aggregate public behavior. This is the principal reason for the existence of Shias and Sunnis, mainly their differing views of the same history of Islam! Otherwise, both should have the same beliefs and practices and understanding of Islam because our Holy Book, the Holy Qur’an, and our Holy Prophet, and his Ahlul Bayt, are one and the same! Unfortunately, each has been breast fed their preferred narrative of history in such a way that for both, tyrants, rulers and empires have come and gone over the past fourteen centuries but nothing has disturbed their ritual piety! And nothing disturbs it today either.

Only when the public understands this subversion of their mind in which they are voluntarily made to pay homage to their rulers as virtue, made to agree to tyrannical rule with either apathy or willingness, Machiavellianly kept busy in pious rituals, awarded peace prizes to look the other way, all by writing a history that suits the rulers, or a particular agenda, and socializing the public mind into that narrative as “their holy truth”, can we ever begin to free ourselves from its web of control.

This is why history is a dual edged sword. In the hands of compassionate wisdom, it charts the course of action in the present to avoid the same pitfalls. In the hands of Machiavelli, it permits villainy to rule endlessly.

Come visit Karbala afresh. While the tender heart will surely forever lament the barbarianism visited upon the martyrs of Karbala as part of the singular culture of Shiadom, let's begin the scholarly journey of the mind afresh by recalling not what Yazid did to Imam Hussein and to the Ahlul Bayt, but by comprehending what Imam Hussein and the Ahlul Bayt did to Yazid!

The latter study is a lesson for peoples of all faiths, and no faith, for all times. The deliberate and premeditated sacrifice of Imam Hussein and his family is more than the story of courage and perseverance. Its natural appeal to all peoples lies in the strength that it is the singular story of the courage of one's convictions to confront the forces of evil without becoming evil; without becoming barbarian in one's resistance to evil; offering the resistance of only one's own self and one's own near and dear ones as ransom to brutality. It is the heartening story of courage to reinstantiate morality and human excellence which had fallen prey after the death of Prophet of Islam, to primacy as the new virtue. Silence to vice and oppression had become the new good, black had been turned into white, and people had become unable to make the distinction between right and wrong, with all wrongs cast as the new right.

That surreal society surely makes for a most instructive sociological mirror for the study of our own times which also relies more on perception management and tickling the baser human instincts than the bayonet to make the public's mind. Today, in our own modern democratic dispensation and full spectrum media control of our senses, we also offer our willing consent to abhorrence and to rule by tyranny in no less a measure than in that and other periods of imperial history.

Karbala was not an accident of history. It was the result of a categorical decision made by Imam Hussein to say “No” to the “banality of evil”, of spectating in silence and apathy, as a decadent despot came to power as the new ruler of the large Muslim empire. If the martyrs of Karbala made a choice to be there to offer their lives in ransom to rebirth the message of Islam as the forgotten deen-ul-haq.
then, surely, as obvious as the sun is bright on a cloudless afternoon, they do not want centuries of mere tears and salaams of their devotees in response to Imam Hussein's evergreen call in Karbala: “Hull min nasireen yan soor na” (who will come to help me in my cause).

The noble Imam wanted then, and for every age and for every time hence, only “nasireens”, helpers, for the mission of enacting justice, for standing up against tyranny, for asserting what's moral and what's immoral, unequivocally demonstrating that no sacrifice is too great to stand up to tyranny, whether it be under the sword on the day of Ashura and its aftermath, or it be the tyranny of falsehood presented as virtue, rectification of which became the mission of the surviving family members of Imam Hussein in the aftermath of Karbala.

The whole hearted stance of Imam Hussein against tyranny is rather obvious and self-evident, even to the innocent child when she asks the puzzling question that why did Imam Hussein knowingly take his six month old baby with him to a mission as fatal as standing up to the evil Yazid, especially when none of the other pious Muslims in the multitude of millions at the time dared to join him, many cautioning him that he would be killed for sure? That child's question opens a Pandora's box of questions for examination ---- and as the legend promises, when you dig down to the very bottom, all mystery is revealed.

But this is never obvious when the sanctimonious pulpit has hijacked Karbala in the service of empire, when the indoctrinated public mind gathers around it to remember the event in rituals of tears and with hopes for salvation. It is never obvious when pretentious piety comes to occupy the public mind year after year, generation after generation, centuries after centuries. It is never obvious when paid professionals are engaged to extract the public's tears as an act of piety. It is never obvious when respected high ranking scholars with large followings use their learnedness to foster the public's “Gum-e-Hussein” instead of developing Imam Hussein's *categorical imperative* among their flock. And it is never obvious when emotions take over the ability to reason from an event of history that touches the heart to its very core. Books after books have been filled with the narratives of Karbala but few teach its *categorical imperative* as the singular duty imposed by the religion of Islam upon its followers. The failure to recognize commonsense, in fact, its total absence among the laity when remembering the horrors of Karbala and its aftermath, and virtually its total absence among the clergy who rehearse Karbala as a profession, who earn their livelihood and their scholarship from the blood of Karbala, is the tragedy not just of Shiadom, but of Islam and all Muslims. When the clergy class of a religion becomes co-opted by either drought of intelligence (the dumbest in society are attracted to it as the profession of easy living), or self-interest (Machiavelli and *ubermensch* wear the robe and turban), one can either recite “fatiha” (eulogy) for that religion or celebrate. Why celebrate? Because, this social weakness, absurdities and myths becoming religion, presents the opportunity for rational scrutiny to liberate belief systems from the clutches of “Jahiliya”.

Karbala is a uniting force for Muslims, nay all humanity, if people can only realize its full significance. The significance of a real man, not in mythology or in abstract theory, willingly standing up for the highest ideals of man --- to live in freedom and justice without fear and indignity, and no price being high enough in pursuing that categorical imperative. How to do it with resoluteness, steadfastness and self-reliance, even when there are no helpers, and when the plethora of so called friends and well-wishers have left you standing alone, is exemplified by Imam Hussein and his Ahlul Bayt at Karbala!
Unlike abstract theological principles like the Biblical Golden Rule ("Do unto others as you have others do unto you") never put into practice so as to ever become exemplary for all peoples, Karbala is a real exemplary event that defined a real *categorical imperative* enacted by the courage of Imam Hussein and his family and small coterie of unflinching companions.

Karbala can attract all humanity if some Muslims don't despoil its name with strange behaviors, superstitions, or its minimization. Karbala is the *singular categorical imperative* to create a just world not by endlessly talking about it in utopian platitudes and moral clichés as virtually all religions and utopian philosophies do, but by fighting oppression in practice in the style of dignity and perseverance of Imam Hussein and his family at Karbala!

Imam Hussein is the inspiration for all to withstand calamity with dignity.

Imam Hussein is the hope of every oppressed that someday justice shall prevail.

And Karbala is that road to justice for any self-respecting people empowered to strive to make that someday happen today.

In a just world, by definition, all peoples of all persuasions can find space for themselves, each according to their own beliefs, traditions and culture. All high minded theories like the Golden Rule easily become a practical *categorical imperative* and put into practice by all in a just society, but only in a just society where all abide by the same rules enacted by the fiat of just laws in which no one takes undue advantage of another. That can only transpire when tyrants, their special interests, and their systems of oppression to keep the people in bondage of one kind or another, are defeated, and perpetually kept defeated.

This is the straightforward meaning of *Every day is Ashura, every place is Karbala* --- for indeed, tyranny is everywhere, and will continue to raise its head everywhere, in every era, and therefore, by the logic of freedom and liberty being a desirable virtue for all (unless one asserts that living in bondage to the *ubermensch* is virtue), its antidote must also arise in every place, in every era.

Mankind to this day knows of no other exemplary resistance against tyranny among any peoples and civilizations that parallels Karbala.

**One does not even have to believe in the religion of Islam, or God, to be inspired by the resistance of Imam Hussein in Karbala!**

They were inspired, nay mandated, by their own beliefs to stand up to the tyrannical government and its evil ruler; if you are inspired by your beliefs to strive for justice, if your moral sensibilities do not permit you to become well adjusted to the systems of evil of your time, then, irrespective of what those beliefs and sensibilities are, Karbala shows you how to stand up to evil in an uncompromising template of manhood that is itself the zenith of moral resistance. This resistance template is the gift to all humanity by Imam Hussein.

Witness non Muslims visiting Imam Hussein (as) in Karbala to pay their deepest respects, in deepest humility, to the noble grandson of the noble Prophet of Islam at Arba‘een, in the largest human peaceful march and congregation on earth that most Westerners as well as most Sunni Muslims in the world have never heard of:

[ https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=i+love+imam+hussain ]
Uniting Muslims on Islam Exemplified by Imam Hussein (as)

**For Love of Hussain (A.S.)**

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8vovB33NRtw](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8vovB33NRtw)

Caption For Love of Hussain (A.S.) An independent film by Presbyterian Pastor John Shuck from Portland Oregon, USA, and Josh Townsley. In October of 2018, John and Josh traveled to Iraq with Caravan 72, sponsored by the Husayniah Islamic Society of Seattle for Arbaeen, a 50 mile walk from Najaf to Karbala, Iraq. Presbyterian Pastor John Shuck: “Justice. That is the main take-away from all of this. The courage to stand with the oppressed against the powerful; to take a stand, for truth, to take a stand for justice, to take a stand that requires sacrifice. That is the essence of Imam Hussein, alaihis salam, and true Islam. And true humanity.” (minute 14:45)

“Insaan ko baidar tou ho laine do har qom pukari gi hamaray hain Hussein”

“Let human beings awaken from their slumber and every nation shall claim Hussein is Ours”

--- Shayar-e-Inquilab (poet of revolution)

Josh Malihabadi

Becoming familiar with this gift of Imam Hussein as the categorical imperative of Islam rather than the ritual of teary eyed remembrance of their pain and suffering that it has become, automatically begs attention towards the beliefs and ideals of Imam Hussein; and that in turn opens the doors for understanding the meaning of Islam as “deen-ul-haq” (see What does the Holy Qur'an say about Haq - Truth and Justice? The Noble Path of Islam: Denying to Caesar what is not Caesar’s - Surah Al-Asr of the Holy Qur'an).

Therefore, whether or not one believes in Islam as a Divine religion for the Guidance of mankind (non Muslims), whether or not one believes in Imam Hussein as the only legitimate Imam of his time to whom obedience was made obligatory upon all Muslims as per verse 4:59 (majority of Muslims), analyzing the reality of Karbala with an open mind, and without prejudice, opens the Pandora's box of intellectual and spiritual inquiry. Its potential to transform mankind to overcome our collective banality of evil will remain hidden until then. With specious theories upon theories from the fertile imagination of useful idiot philosophers stupidly masking Primacy, or perhaps cunningly, like the controllers in Plato's cave, taking its place as mankind descends further and further into a kind of totalitarianism in which the mind itself is enslaved in complete servitude without the use of the bayonet. “None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free. The truth has been kept from the depth of their minds by masters who rule them with lies. They feed them on falsehoods till wrong looks like right in their eyes.”, timelessly observed the German philosopher.

The path to breaking free from the force of that invisible bayonet is tickling higher states of consciousness in man.
Karbala invites towards that tickling, towards that striving.

Karbala inspires. Karbala uplifts the human spirit. Karbala demonstrates how not to succumb to the banality of evil. Karbala exemplifies how not to remain aloof from evil looking from the sidelines; how not to close one's eyes to evil rulers and evil systems of oppression; how not to acquiesce; how not to make excuses of expediency and practicality. Karbala exemplifies the limits for not accepting evil government.

Karbala exemplifies the limits to which a human spirit can resist tyranny without itself becoming evil; the limits to which a real human being along with his family members and close companions can deliberately say “No” to evil rulers knowing fully well what the outcome shall be.

This is the import of Karbala; Imam Hussein knowing fully well that his menfolk shall be killed and his womenfolk taken prisoners for their resistance; the categorical imperative of resistance deemed more important than individual lives.

This single act of Imam Hussein has inspired more rebels throughout world history than any other resistance template (a future essay will survey this history in autobiographical words).

Karbala's significance as an 'uswae-hassana' only seems to be lost on Muslims – those who cry for Imam Hussein and those who make fun of those who cry for Imam Hussein and those who ignore Imam Hussein as the singular role model for continually reforming cultural Islam back to the original religion of peace and justice, the Divine Guidance to mankind – especially today!

It is high time this travesty in misunderstanding Karbala is recognized by the public themselves and its holy narratives liberated from artificial sacrosanctness and antediluvian superstitions which have now become enshrined in the public face of Shiadom. The world public today cannot accept this throwback to the dark ages as liberating philosophy of resistance, let alone be attracted to it as a categorical imperative of moral existence!

So come revisit Karbala as the timeless categorical imperative of Islam gifted to all humanity, on how to rise up to systems of oppression without yourself becoming a barbarian in the process. Watch Islamophobes and their Machiavellian concoctions like “militant Islam” scurry for cover.

As we gather to commemorate Imam Hussein's “naizat” in this Muharram, and in every Muharram, let's not forget the categorical imperative that the noble Imam's “naizat” made incumbent upon every human being, Muslim and non-Muslim alike. To strive to live free of tyranny and oppression is surely the most important Haj, the most important prayer, the most important guidance of the religion of Islam to all mankind.

In shared “Gum-e-Hussein” of the captain of “safinah-tun-nijaat” for all mankind,

\[\text{as-salamu 'alayka ya Sayed-us-Shuhada}\]

Yours sincerely,

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
P.S. September 24, 2019. With each passing day, week, month and year, I get even more convinced that only uniting under the banner of Imam Hussein's categorical imperative can mankind overcome primacy, the social Darwinian imperative attempting to takeover the world in dystopian blood-plans to construct a one-world order. The rising gathering at Arba'een each year, in the tens of millions of people of all faith who undertake the 72 kilometer walk, shows that increasing number of human beings from around the world as they get exposed to Imam Hussein and the categorical imperative birth-panged in the hot plains of Karbala in their innocent blood, have come to the same realization. All marching, proclaiming: labaik ya hussein, labaik ya hussein, in response to the fourteen centuries long call hull min nasiroon yan soorna. Today, this may only be a slogan on the lips of the devotees as the river of humanity begins drinking from the fount of Imam Hussein. Soon, InshaAllah, this river will sprout the seeds of a new civilization; one that shall have overcome its “banality of evil”. I pray to Allah SWT that He Make me part of that new civilization. And my family. And my friends. And all humanity that is non social Darwinian; all who wish to live in peace and understand that only in fair justice for all can there ever be genuine peace for all. Until then, labaik ya hussein; labaik ya hussein!

Come out of the staid mosques, churches, temples, synagogues and the sham of the New Age humanism... Especially the latter, mostly two-faced hypocrites, and I know many of them. These secular liberals, especially among Muslims, are more characterized by Uncle Tom and the House Nigger than by any genuine conviction. And the Western secular humanists? All mostly Social Darwinians in pious clothing. Face the yzids of our time... or wait for the just comeuppance as the marchers increase...
Appendix Jawad Naqvi's Muharram Speeches

In his series of lectures, Allama Jawad Naqvi, evidently a fount of knowledge and understanding on this subject, explains in great lucidity the actual “Gum-e-Hussein”, Imam Hussein's own “gum” from Imam Hussein's own words, to unravel the entire “ma’ajjra” of Karbala. Jawad Naqvi points out some very interesting sociological questions and its import to our own times. Specifically, the principal observation: the same sociological and psychological principles that characterized the role of the elites in making the public mind that eventually led to the acceptance of, or acquiescence to, a ruler like Yazid coming to power (which led to Karbala), whenever and wherever these principles shall exist, will beget the same conditions, the same “banality of evil”.

And it is only the “banality of evil”, the unconcerned and apathetic attitude of the “awam” and their “khawas”, that begets tyrants and tyranny ---- when good men and women stay silent looking from the side, do not speak up, do not take up arms against the sea of troubles to end them. It is virtually the timeless sociological law of civilizations that gives rise to tyrants and tyranny. None can be soundly skeptical about that observation, for it is, arguably, a demonstrated truism. We see it around us even today.

I invite you to listen to Allama Jawad Naqvi's lectures for these are unlike any other analyses I have seen. His profound dissection of the mystery of Karbala also reflect my own general understanding. I agree with his deconstruction up to a point. I believe more work is still needed in comprehending the abnormal psychology where the soldiers of fortune of the Yazid's army mercilessly butcher and trample those whom they actually respect, as the standard narrative depicting the atrocities in Karbala indicates. This has never known to occur in any warfare without first implanting hatred of the enemy. Which is why, dehumanization of the enemy has been the long running standard tactic of warfare in order for soldiers to even be willing to kill another human being, let alone become merciless under the sound of trumpet. Karbala presents a dilemma for the modern mind --- the same butchers of Karbala were drawn from the army who had just a month earlier given allegiance to the Imam's representative, Muslim ibne Aqeel, in Kufa; this Yazid's army besieging the Imam in Karbala had not traveled from Damascus where the seat of government of Yazid and his father Muawiyah had been brain washing the public with propaganda warfare against the Ahlul Bayt for two decades. The Kufan soldiers of Yazid's army in Karbala even used to pray behind Imam Hussein during their inhuman siege where they even deprived the Imam and his entourage of water.

Therefore the question arises, under what psychological forces did Yazid's army not only mercilessly kill Imam Hussein and his family, but also deliberately trample their corpses under war horses, put the noble women folk of the noble family of the Prophet of Islam in chains, marching them all the way to Damascus to Yazid's court ---- all against the norms and protocol of the Arabs themselves, against their own manly traditions of warfare. These were presumably seasoned warriors. There is no record of them having conducted themselves in such disgrace in any of their other military adventures under the reign of the Caliphs and Muawiyah, as these same Arab soldiers of the Muslim army now stationed in Kufa had spread the frontiers of Islam from Arabia to Persia and the Roman empire.

Why was such uncharacteristic barbarianism visited upon Imam Hussein and his family when these seasoned and professional soldiers in Yazid's army clearly even respected the noble Imam; not
only did they know that Hussein was the grandson of the noble Prophet of Islam, but also realized that he was the unsurpassed spiritual leader of Muslims of his time and preferred to pray behind him rather than anyone else even in the days of his siege up to the day of Ashura --- as presented in the standard narrative of the history of Karbala. Either the standard narrative has problems, or this anomaly begs deeper psychological explanation. Adolf Eichmann is stated to have killed 6 million Jews in gas chambers during World War II. Jewish sociologist Hannah Arendt had observed of Eichmann in her reports that in the courtroom in Jerusalem, he seemed to be just an ordinary fellow unlike how the media reports had made him out to be as the devil himself, and who claimed in his defence that he was just “following orders”. This seminal trial of Eichmann in Jerusalem led to an entire new field of research in the West, especially in the United States of America, on the power of obedience to authority and behavior control, the psychological forces that can get ordinary people to commit extraordinary atrocities. None of these modern insights necessarily explain the behavior of the soldiers of fortune in Karbala, especially when they knew the holiness of the people they were slaughtering. These soldiers followed orders too --- but that is not a very compelling explanation in this case.

The “authority” over Eichmann was the perceived holiness of Adolf Hitler in the Third Reich, and his disciplined military chain of command which inspired both fear and awe in the nation. Hitler was deemed Germany's savior. He appeared invincible to not just the German rank and file, but also to the German elite who created and managed his war and propaganda machinery. Hitler's spell-binding rhetoric and large scale national reconstruction projects had united the disillusioned and badly defeated Germany after World War I under his command as their lord god, the Christian God's vicegerent on earth! Hitler's war call that was emblazoned on Nazi soldiers' belt buckles was “Gott mit uns” (God with us). There was an aura of Hitler's authority that had subjugated entire Germany into a disciplined United we Stand force. There was no such holiness attributed to the trashy Yazid or to his mercenary chain of command. Eichmann was not a mercenary ---- he thought he was following the divine mandate of Hitler. Thus, Eichmann's obedience to authority was not by the mere force of bayonet, or even the outcome of personal greed or profit.

Karbala was entirely different. Yazid and his chain of command did not enjoy any kind of moral authority over the soldiers or the public. The state army, composed in Kufa Iraq, far from the center of government in Damascus Syria, were there in Karbala from self-interest and for pecuniary gain under the threat of the bayonet of ibne Ziayad, the barbarian governor tasked by Yazid for the submission of Imam Hussein. Obedience to him and to his military chain of command was at the threat of bayonet, and by greed and bribery alone. These overt and baser forces are insufficient to explain the psychology of monumental barbarianism visited by them upon the martyrs of Karbala when the professional soldiers were acutely aware of the nobility of the souls of the family of Prophet of Islam they were butchering by the methods that went far beyond their own military culture.

The sociological explanations offered by Allama Jawad Naqvi in the series of lectures linked below, “pecuniary gain” for the soldiers and their commanders, “banality of evil” for the onlookers, greed, lack of courage, love of this world, and return to the age of Jahiliya and tribalism, being the general unseen forces that gave birth to the conditions where a ruler like Yazid and his father Muawiyah could come to occupy the throne of Islam within just decades of the death of the Prophet of Islam, are all pertinent and important chapters in the book of understanding the mystery of Karbala. But still insufficient to explain the entire mystery of barbarism visited at Karbala by Muslim soldiers, and the abject apathy displayed by distinguished Muslims who professed genuine love and concern for
the Imam, but instead of accepting his invitation to join him, actually tried to talk the Imam out of his “naizat” during the preceding four months of preaching. Some additional chapters are surely needed to unravel all the psychological forces at play in the light of modern understanding of the psychology and sociology of warfare and behavior control, and of man's natural inclination for obedience to villainous authority that appears to transcend time and space, in order to fully comprehend the standard historical narrative of Karbala. And improving its signal to noise ratio is obviously the first epistemological chapter begging attention.

In the 2018 lectures, Allama Jawad Naqvi advances the political and sociological dissection of Karbala with the fine scalpel of a surgeon. In the initial lectures he demonstrates from the revealing verses of the Holy Qur'an that it is actually the loss of “Qur'anic taqwa” in the polity which is the primary cause of social malaise that leads to tyrants and the hungry for power coming to rule nations. This lack of “Qur'anic taqwa”, translated into English in modern terms, can be restated as lack of higher states of consciousness which leads man's predators to so easily enslave the public mind between bread and circuses on the one hand, and self-interest on the other. In the lecture on Day 09, Allama Jawad Naqvi identifies the Machiavellian agenda of the Ummayad head of clan right after fatah-Mecca, that his clan was going to try taking over the reigns of this new Muslim state which was already expanding its dominions rapidly and appeared to be a great prize.

Abi Sufyan's clan, which had been the leading contender clan among the Meccan opposition to the Prophet of Islam, had failed to defeat the religion of Muhammad with the sword despite two decades of warfare and economic sanctions. He had been resolutely defeated and had sought to become Muslim only in defeat on the day of Prophet Muhammad's conquest of Mecca when the noble Prophet of Islam declared general pardon for all Meccans. Now that Muhammad had won and the sword against Islam had proven futile, Abi Sufyan foresaw Islam as an expansive empire and dreamed of taking over the fruits of the religion of Muhammad with treachery instead. Where the sword had failed, treachery held more promise against the simpleton new Muslim mind that he well knew. His own progeny took over that task to hijack the Muslim state from within. His son Muawiyah ibne Abi Sufyan, and his grandson Yazid ibne Muawiyah ibne Abi Sufyan, followed by Marwan and his progeny, were the continuation of the Ummayad agenda to take over from within what they could not defeat from outside as non Muslims.

The shrewd dissection of that history by Allama Jawad Naqvi, is a fascinating look at the intellectual prowess of the Ahlul Bayt of the Prophet of Islam, from Imam Ali to Imam Hussein and to his survivors of Karbala and their progeny Imams, all of whom understood the Machiavellian planning of the Ummayad scions. Their first mission was to protect the integrity of the religion of Islam at all cost. They tore that Ummayad quest for legitimacy asunder not just with the superior moral strength of Islam, but also the superior intellect of their station. Imam Hussein's resistance was not merely idealistic, symbolic, or moral, but also intellectually sophisticated. The Imam, and after him his successors, calculatingly stole from the jaws of Ummayad's military victory at Karbala, all their claims to legitimacy that was making a mockery of the religion of Islam. What the usurpers mounting the pulpit of Islam could not destroy with their sword, they tried to destroy by changing the religion of Islam. The singular mission of resistance by Imam Hussein protected the religion of Islam from permanent imperial subversion for all times. The sophisticated art of war employed by Imam Hussein against the uber Machiavellian foe, must be opened up and studied by justice seekers everywhere just as Machiavelli is studied by power-hungry rulers everywhere. The counter to Machiavelli is Imam
Hussein; the Prophet of Islam hath stated of his grandson: “Innal Hussein misbah-ul-huda wa safina-tun-nijaat” (Hussein is the light of guidance and the ship of safety).

Since these lectures are in Urdu, if circumstances permit, translation and summary in English will be forthcoming. The sociological wisdom and analytical insights captured in these brilliant lectures far surpass what I have seen in modern social science books. Translated into English in appropriate repackaging, these are an invaluable resource for modern man regardless of persuasion. But especially for Muslims who are now under assault by both treachery and sword.

- **Hamasa-e-Karbala 1440 Muharram-ul Haram, 2018**: Continuation Qayam-e-Imam Hussain Ka Makki Marhala (the Meccan stage of Imam Hussein's stance), Sociology of the period, Imam's explanation of his rationale in denying his bayat, “vote”, to Yazid, in Imam's own words: [Lecture Muharram 01 1440](https://islam-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2017/09/categorical-imperative-and-karbala.html)

- **Hamasa-e-Karbala 1439 Muharram-ul Haram, 2017**: Qayam-e-Imam Hussain Ka Makki Marhala (the Meccan stage of Imam Hussein's stance), Sociology of the period (what led to luke warm support of Imam Hussein in Mecca despite his preaching to them for 4 months): [Lecture Muharram 01 1439](https://islam-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2017/09/categorical-imperative-and-karbala.html)

- **Hamasa-e-Karbala 1438 Muharram-ul Haram, 2016**: Qayam-e-Imam Hussain Ka Makki Marhala (the Meccan stage of Imam Hussein's stance), Khawas ka Kirdar (the role of Meccan elite): [Lecture Muharram 01 1438](https://islam-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2017/09/categorical-imperative-and-karbala.html)

- Direct links to all ten or more lectures for each of the years is at: [https://islam-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2017/09/categorical-imperative-and-karbala.html](https://islam-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2017/09/categorical-imperative-and-karbala.html)

**Footnotes**

[1] It is necessary to state that as an ordinary student of reality, I have some principled difference of opinion on fundamental matters with scholar extraordinaire, Allama Jawad Naqvi. Specifically, why he persistently chooses to ignore the British royalty's knighthood title “Sir” awarded to its stooges in its colonies as he makes “Sir” Allama Iqbal out to be the “alamabardar” of “deen-e-shabbiri” (the flag bearer of the religion of Hussein, i.e., religion of Islam as exemplified by Imam Hussein at Karbala). The inconsistency between his pious poetry and vulgar acts of supporting the British Empire and being knighted for his labors evidently does not perturb Jawad Naqvi! What does that say about him?

From his own silence to my letter of inquiry in my report: *The Rise of Revolutionary Islam in Pakistan – A Report on Behavior Control*, and from one of his bulldogs barking at me in a gratuitous response letter, I have unfortunately concluded that Hujjatul Islam Allama Syed Jawad Naqvi, either carefully lies by omission when convenient or necessary to push his ideological doctrines through; or he is victim of both: data availability bias and confirmation bias, which permits him to weave his narrative to unwittingly only state what is consistent with his own theology. That is arguably not the hallmark of a student of truth about reality (one who seeks truth in all matters regardless of what it is, discover reality the way it actually is by distancing the observer from the observed), but that of an ideological
doctrinaire (one who expounds his own “truth”, his own beliefs, his own ideology). There is a marked difference between the two attitudes. The former when presented with a fact or analysis that goes against his presuppositions or instincts, easily accepts the new fact. The latter discards that fact as inconvenient, or denies it, or minimizes it, or rationalizes it away as inconsequential or immaterial.

Secondly, Allama Jawad Naqvi has never responded to my critical analysis of the entire concept of Tashliid, and Vilayat-i Faqih, in which I have tried to understand the matter directly from my own meagre study of the Holy Qur'an, and asked the world of Islam scholars to find the reasoning flaw in it so that either I, a humble student of reality (and not its master), may come to the right understanding of reality and stop being mistaken, or they, as imposing ideological scholars of Islam socialized into their thinking and their ethos no differently than the common man, change their mind. Since both paths cannot both be true when they appear to be opposites (yes I understand the circle, thank you). See Preface: Hijacking The Holy Qur'an And Its Religion Islam. Obviously, no “khawas” is really interested in putting an ordinary fellow of the “awam” straight.

Regardless, I cast aside those principled differences of opinion for this profound topic because of the truth of the matter. I find Jawad Naqvi's clear, lucid, and analytical deconstruction of this tragic, even criminal, trajectory of Muslim history both interesting and perceptive. His focus on the Imam's categorical imperative is refreshing. And I can only humbly thank him for making use of the pulpit as it should be used --- to help educate the public how to make heaven right here on earth for all mankind by standing up to man's tyranny.

Minimally, the study of Karbala as a categorical imperative, transcending its superficial rituals and its self-propounded religious significance, opens the door to further analytical study for the curious minds – for indeed, only the curious mind will dare probe further, and the tender heart be inspired to rise above ritual remembrance to act upon the categorical imperative.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27 Rajab 60 A.H.</td>
<td>Medina, Imam called by Walid, governor of Medina, to his office to quietly take oath of fealty to Yazid. Imam declined to take oath in private and said he will let him know the next day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Rajab 60 A.H.</td>
<td>Imam publicly announced his refusal to take oath to Yazid and left Medina for Mecca with family and few companions despite advice by all and sundry against his “naizat”. In a letter bearing his Last Will and Testament that he gave to his half-brother Muhammad ibne Hannafiya, Imam explained his “naizat” at length and stated his final destination after Mecca to be Kufa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Shabaan 60 A.H.</td>
<td>Imam arrived in Mecca and invited people to his mission for 4 months non-stop, explaining his “naizat” in every namaz which he led every day, in personal meetings with the “khawas”, in public khutbas to the pilgrims gathering for Haj, and in letters and through emissaries sent to distant cities. None of the distinguished Muslims signed up to his mission; most even tried to talk him out of it.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**8 Zilhajja 60 A.H.** Imam left Mecca on the very day Hajis were putting on their “ahram”, for a place between Nawawis and Karbala, and not Kufa; with only two “nasireens” joining him despite 4 months of preaching, and these two were not from Mecca.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 Muharram 61 A.H.</th>
<th>Imam arrived in Karbala.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>10 Muharram 61 A.H., 680 A.D., Ashura</strong> – the Day the noble grandson of the noble Prophet of Islam and his noble family and handful of companions reached their Maker at the zenith of their <em>nafs-e-mutmainah</em>: “O soul that art at rest! Return to your Lord, well-pleased (with him), well-pleasing (Him)” (Surah Al-Fajr, [89:27], [89:28]), in a lop-sided battle against Yazid's thousands-strong Muslim army. Imam Hussein's unparalleled conduct on that Day exemplified for all times the zenith of Islam's highest ideals for striving against “fasiq” rulers, and for striving to establish justice on earth with the highest moral virtues, regardless of personal cost. There is only One Imam Hussein in the recorded history of mankind.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Uniting Muslims on the Noble Path

What does the Holy Qur’an say about Haq - Truth and Justice?
Denying to Caesar what is not Caesar’s: Surah Al-Asr of the Holy Qur’an

Sunday, July 31, 2011, Ramadan eve in the United States, Muslim year 1432 A.H.

Abstract

There is evidently a great deal of confusion among the pious regarding Islam's pathway to Heaven. Mosques in the United States as in all Muslim countries are filled in Ramadan with worshippers seeking the spiritual blessings of the Night of a thousand nights of prayer. In their efforts at spiritual self-cleansing for a life that is not in a state of loss, most ignore the abysmal fact that tyranny is spreading faster than virtue, worldwide. Few dare to standup to it as readings of
the Holy Qur'an resonate throughout Ramadan. The pulpits worldwide of both Sunnidom and Shiadom lead the flock in obsessing about ritual worship. What does the Holy Qur'an have to say about the life that is not in a state of loss?

Reproduced here is the full recipe of the pithy Surah Al-Asr of the Holy Qur'an for a noble life which is “not in a state of loss”.

Notice what's stated and what's omitted in this self-sufficient tiny Surah which evidently requires reflection in inverse proportion to its length.

There is no reference to Muslims, or to Islam, or to any particular people or religion.

The Surah is directly addressed to man, “insaan” (الإنسان), to every people of all religions, and to people of no religion (the overarching pluralistic context for peoples of different faiths has previously been established in the article: Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization).

By the declining day, (1)

Lo! man is in a state of loss (2)

Save those who believe, and do good works, and strive for “haq”, and are patient** (103:3)

Caption Full text of Surah Al-Asr, Chapter 103 of the Holy Qur'an

The logic of the verses 2-3 is the AND conjunctive clause. Meaning, a concatenation of conditions joined by the AND clause (Arabic و). Every one of the listed conditions in such a statement has to be individually true in order for the overall statement to be true. Otherwise the statement is false.

Being a techie engineer, I have depicted this AND conjunction in electrical engineering parlance in the following figure using a simple electronic device called the AND Gate. One can purchase it for a few cents at Radio Shack. The logic device is made out of a few transistors and implements this AND conjunctive clause function.
The AND Logic of Surah Al-Asr

The 4-input AND Gate in the diagram captures the logic of Surah Al-Asr verses 2:3 with exact precision. Those more inclined to be “Left-brained” (logic, math, and problem-solving dominated) than “Right-brained” (art, creativity, and language dominated) can perhaps appreciate the import of Surah Al-Asr better in this representation.

Imagine that an LED is attached to the pin labeled **Output** (metaphor for a man's life).
It glows green (to indicate a life which is not at a loss) only if all four inputs of the AND Gate labeled I1, I2, I3, and I4 are TRUE (represented by a “one” in the truth table). Observe that there is only a single statement in the truth table when the LED is ever green.

It glows red (to indicate a life which is at a loss) if one or more input is FALSE (represented by the corresponding “zero” in the truth table). Observe that there are fifteen statements in the truth table representing all the remaining permutations for which the LED is red!! The obvious first statement of all zero inputs clearly captures the vile hectoring hegemons of the planet and is of no surprise to anyone. But the remaining fourteen can indeed be very surprising.

Meaning:

it doesn’t matter how many prayers one offered and how many Hajj one performed to “believe” (أَمْلِكَ );

or how many hungry mouths one fed, how many hospitals and schools one built, and how honestly one earned one's income and paid one's zakat to do “good works” (عملنا الصلاحت);

if one didn’t strive to oppose falsehoods and uphold “haq” (حق ), the life, even if otherwise piously and well-lived, is still one of “loss” ( خَسَرُ).

I am not making this up. That's what the Holy Qur'an itself states, unequivocally – reflect on it yourself while further recalling the admonishment of the Author of the Book of Reflection:

'That this is indeed a Qur'an Most Honourable, In a Book well-guarded, Which none shall touch but those who are clean: A Revelation from the Lord of the Worlds. Is it such a Message that ye would hold in light esteem?' Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Waqia, 56:77-81

Witness that the hardest thing to do in modern life is to stand up to oppression and tyranny ( وَتَواصَّلْنا بِالْحَقِّ ). And also to persevere in adversity when one is experiencing the jackboots of the new Nazis upon one's neck ( وَتَواصَّلْنا بِالصَّبْرِ ).

Whereas the easiest thing to do is to sit in a mosque, and/or to feed the hungry in atonement of a guilty conscience.

We already see what the pious Muslims worldwide tend to excel in. We pay our zakat, khums, fitra (religiously mandated donations) on time, pray our namaz on time, and keep our fasts on time. Aspire to go for Hajj at least once, while the privileged take great pride in performing it repeatedly. Many among the oppressed are also incredibly patient in affliction. Indeed, we are so patient that we oft proclaim “Allah chala raha hai” (God is running the world), “Allah malik hai” (God is our provider), and often cry ourselves to sleep with utmost sabr (patience in the sense of resignation to fate), repeating to ourselves with quivering lips and glistening eyes: “hasbun allahu wa naimal wakeel” (Allah is sufficient for us and most excellent is the Protector, Arabic: خَسْبُنا اللَّهُ وَنَعْمَ الأَوْكَبِّيَلَّ).
According to the testimony of Surah Al-Asr, take it any which you want, the fact remains that most of us are still in ḥarak| without standing up to oppression and unequivocally affirming ḥarak| with some measure of constancy to the best of our individual capacity. While it is true that only Allah can be the fair judge of that capacity and to what measure each individual is at a loss and not at a loss, silence and acquiescence to tyranny are the obvious antithesis of ḥarak| and ḥarak| . And that, lamentably, seems to be the modus vivendi of the majority of Muslims today.

The crafting of that antithesis, evidently, has also come about courtesy of the imperial scholars subverting the meaning of the religion of Islam in the service of tyrants and kings throughout the ages, modernity being no exception. Language being the first target of corruption.

Thus, ḥarak| has been reduced to some nonsensical gibberish by the pious turbaned man on the pulpit to mean: just talk about justice ḥarak| with utmost earnestness while occupying oneself in the mosque believing ḥarak| and in doing good deeds ḥarak| ! The exposition of ḥarak| from the pulpit and among the masses never includes standing up to kings, rulers, governments, and to their usurpation, oppression, injustices, and “imperial mobilizations” writ large in the blood of the masses.

The ullema (plural for the Muslim man on the pulpit) today, as yesterday, selectively focus people’s attention with verses from the Holy Qur'an that exhort people to good works and belief promising a pleasing Hereafter (e.g. Surah Al Baqara 2:25), to mask their crafty omissions in the service of empire. Keeping the masses occupied in rituals and salvation, and “rendering unto Caesar the things which are Caesar’s,” is not merely a Biblical saying (Matthew 22:21). That semantics has existed from time immemorial. Its biggest harbingers have always been the man on the pulpit.

Witness the 600-page one-sided Fatwa on Terrorism by the vaunted “scholar of Islam”, the posterboy of “moderate Islam” who issued a jurist’s proclamation (Fatwa) against the terrorism of the pirates (see http://tinyurl.com/Fabricating-Pirates) but not the emperor's. For services rendered to empire, the house nigger (see Faq: What is a house nigger) soon found a place-setting at the massa’s table. As previously examined in Islam vs. Secular Humanism and World Government (http://tinyurl.com/Islam-vs-Secular-Humanism), the religion of Islam was hijacked from its very early days to service “empire” - Muslims' own. Nothing has principally changed today except for the color of the imperial flag.

Liberating the meaning of the religion of Islam, the Deen-ul-Haq (religion of ḥarak| ) from the clutches of the so called scholars and jurists among Muslims is only as difficult as the uncongeniality of pondering the message of the Holy Qur'an directly, with one's own head and commonsense, rather than merely mouthing its melodic and soothing verses which no doubt are magic to the soul.

To strive for “haq” (ḥarak|) against anyone's tyranny requires no man's sanction – when Allah Itself has sanctioned it for every man and woman (أَئْسَانُ) in creation.

That is the momentous import of Surah Al-Asr – that tiniest Surah of the Holy Qur'an comprising a mere 27 words (as counted for the English translation used here). Its utility as a rallying call for denying to Caesar what is not Caesar's, for affirming to God what is God's, and to man what is man's, remains unsurpassed.
But, at the end of the day, only Allah is also the final Judge of the extent to which we each did our own due diligence to Allah's guidance given our individual trials and tribulations, and our individual bounties and blessings, on all four criterion for a life which only Allah shall Deem as “not in a state of loss”!

Q.E.D.

The holy month of Ramadan, a joyous month of fasting and reflection, commences tomorrow (or the day after) worldwide for 1.6 billion Muslims. Perhaps while rushing to “finish” the recitation of the Holy Qur'an in this month for nourishing the starved soul, the hunger in the stomach from not eating all day will be matched with a hunger in the intellect from not thinking at all.

Ramadan Mubarak.

Footnotes

* For those “Left-brained” readers with a precision oriented engineering bent of mind, there is an implicit A/D convertor at each of the four inputs in the figure above to characterize the threshold of conversion from a “zero” to a “one” for every individual. This is consistent with the Accountability Equation of the religion of Islam for every individual: Output / Input, wherein, the Output is the individual's voluntary behavior, choice of acts, performance, thoughts, beliefs; and Input is the individual's involuntary assets and liabilities, more specifically: inheritance, DNA, innate abilities and limitations, psychological bent of mind, involuntary nurturing and opportunities or lack thereof due to the general lot in life, and life's trials and tribulations upon which the individual exercised little or no control. The calculus of Output / Input is merely the verse fragment of Surah Al-Baqara 2:286: “On no soul doth Allah Place a burden greater than it can bear. It gets every good that it earns, and it suffers every ill that it earns.” expressed mathematically. Thus, for the purpose of individual Accountability in reference to Surah Al-Asr, in order to be fair and just to every individual given their respective limiting or extenuating circumstances, the measurement of individual Accountability is sensibly not Absolute Output, but Relative Output / Input, whereby the threshold of a “one” or “zero”, i.e., threshold of pass or fail on every criterion, in this abstraction is also set individually for every human being. And according to the religion of Islam, only God has the perfect knowledge to determine this threshold for every human being. This also automatically implies not to judge others, of who is “pass” and who is “fail”. That determination is exclusively the Right of Allah, Haquq-Allah, in the religion of Islam! See the travesty done to minorities among Muslims for political reasons by encroaching upon this Haquq-Allah, as for instance: What Role did Shias Play in Condemning Qadianis to Kafirdom in Cahoots with Sunni Scholars in 1974? (http://tinyurl.com/The-Plague-of-Kafirdom).
To strive with perseverance, constancy, steadfastness – and not passivity or self-defeatist resignation to fate. The word “sabr” is often misused, at times deliberately, to incapacitate strident action in the face of adversity. Once again, linguistic hijacking permits subversion of the mind and consequently controlling of mass behavior. The popular meaning of the word “sabr” in the Muslim public mind has been transformed into what is perhaps most closely akin to the Hindu Karma --- it is just one's lot in life to be born under oppression, so grin and bear it for in the next life one will surely be compensated for the full resignation to fate in this one! No devil could have incapacitated human endeavor to strive to better their condition more than this hijacking of semantics.
Uniting Muslims on the Noble Path

this page is intentionally blank
Chapter 40

Uniting Muslims Against the Ignoble Path

Response to the Fatwa on Terrorism in the Service of Empire

Echoing Taghoot's Axioms and Narratives in the Name of Islam: Muslim House Niggers in the Service of Empire

Saturday, March 20, 2010 | Updated March 02, 2011

This is a response to the following pertinent passage from the Pakistani news reports on the Islamic scholar Dr. Tahir ul-Qadri of Minhaj-ul-Qur'aan, a Sufi organization in East London, issuing terrorism fatwa (also BBC March 2, 2010).

The Pakistani English language daily The News reported:
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‘LONDON: The 600-page document, drawn up by Dr Tahir-ul-Qadri, declares that attacks on innocent citizens are “absolutely against the teachings of Islam”.

The Minhaj-ul-Qur’aan, a Sufi organisation based in East London, which advises the British government on how to combat radicalisation of the Muslim youth, will launch the 600-page Fatwa against suicide bombings and terrorism, declaring them un-Islamic, tomorrow.

It condemns the perpetrators of terrorist explosions and suicide bombings. The document, written by Dr Tahir-ul-Qadri, declares the suicide bombings and terrorism as “totally un-Islamic”. It is one of the most detailed and comprehensive documents of its kind to be published in Britain.’

Right!

The BBC in its own truthful coverage of the fatwa (and parroted by the world press) noted that: ‘The scholar describes al-Qaeda as an “old evil with a new name” that has not been sufficiently challenged.’ Al Qaeda is indeed the same age “old evil with a new name”: the fabricated Hegelian Dialectic necessary for launching and sustaining “imperial mobilization” on the Grand Chessboard!

But sadly, and quite expectedly, none heralding the fatwa in the worldwide media coverage, nor any branded scholar manufacturing consent or dissent, dared to complete that virtuous proclamation on terrorism in that 600-page fatwa with the following obviousness:

'Furthermore, gratuitously laying a carpet of bombs on civilian populations, invading one’s military forces into other nations’ territories, and decimating entire civilizations to the point of cultural and physical genocide, is a far greater terrorism; a crime of aggression so heinous that it is not simply referred to as “war crime”, but “the supreme international crime differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole.”

Unless that additional truism – which is also the Islamic principle for culpability expressed in the Qur’an as the blame is with those who initiate the war-mongering aggression – well established by the Military Tribunal at Nuremberg by the United States herself as the yardstick for identifying primary war-mongers, is appended, or pre-pended, to any overarching statement on terrorism, one must know that all such pious proclamations, including that in the 600-page document, are only the Hegelian vomit of vulgar propagandists justifying the “supreme international crime” of their real masters.

Their fate will be no different than Goebbells’. Whether or not that destiny actually transpires within our own lifetime, let it be repeatedly told at every opportunity, in every generation, and in no uncertain terms, that in the hammaams (bath houses) of the hectoring hegemons, these prostitutes are merely their “Bukakke”!

Unlike some others, I foolishly write under my own name with full self-identification. And I invite these brilliant scholars who span the gamut of doctrinal warfare from peddling “Islam” with half-truths to peddling Secular Humanism with outright deception, to haul me into the International Criminal Court of Justice for referring to them by their only real profession. It should be interesting,
but only if the foolish plebeian is permitted to speak unfettered.

Any takers of “justice”, “Islam”, Secular Humanism? How about you, Dr Tahir-ul-Qadri?

The voice of reason of ordinary plebeians often seems to be accompanied by only the thunderous sounds of silence. Free-speech in free-space can kill mercilessly by asphyxiation!

And humanity is concomitantly being butchered under the twain carpet of bombs because there is no one to challenge the “supreme international crime” in a forum that has any meaningful power to redress it. When the rare opportunity does materialize to wage a genuine battle for justice for a change, it is largely squandered on the lower order bits of the matter:


But what kills me even more than these well-intentioned justice-minded professionals whom I don’t personally know – at least these courageous folks know the truth and operate on their own peculiar calculus of justice which merely differs from mine – is the obsequence of intellectual and house negroes in America and Pakistan, many of whom happen to be my good friends and colleagues. Some even know of my humble pen. And yet, they too only see the friggin Islamofascist pirates, just like this Dr. Tahir-ul-Qadri chap with his fake Hegelian opposition to Islamofascism. But not the emperor’s vast armies poised to annihilate entire defenseless nations with their unmatched nuclear weapons, and already having done so with extreme “shock and awe” invasions of Iraq, Afghanistan, Lebanon, Palestine, and now even doing their own nation.

Nor do they try to comprehend political science, that the pirates are almost entirely a diabolical synthesis of the emperor’s Machiavellian brains because without Islamofascism and its duped recruits, the rulers of the world have no pretext to rapidly transform their empire into world government. All the hectoring hegemons’ raped-pregnancies and birth-pangs of world order ultimately remain still-born without the synthetic curse of Islamofascism:

‘[Because] the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public’s sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization. … [Thus it is] more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. … That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.’

(Zbigniew Brzezinski)

I expect Dr. Tahir ul-Qadri of Minhaj-ul-Qur’aan to publicly explain his vulgar omissions in his
partial definition of terrorism. Perhaps he remains un-aware that: “Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects... totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have by the most eloquent denunciations.” (Aldous Huxley) I would in fact support his thesis on terrorism if it was accordingly amended to reflect all the omissions noted here, and not couched as fatwa in the service of empire.

However, the learned scholar labors under a grave misconception that his personal opinion has any religious significance as fatwa, even if he were to make the aforementioned corrections and forthrightly condemn empire's own state terrorism and war-mongering aggression in his document.

No human being needs permission from another to wage his or her legitimate self-defense. It is a primary existential instinct, more fundamental than any dogma, religion, or belief – even the lowliest of animals have their instinct for self-preservation. If modernity has desensitized that basic instinct among humankind, watch its demonstration in animalkind in the Battle at Kruger. Loftier principles of morals and dogmas layered upon these basic natural instincts which purport to transform us human beings from the amoeba into Ashraf-ul-Maklooqat – such as America's famous Bill of Rights and its Declaration of Independence, and of course Islam which proclaims itself the natural religion liberating man from the shackles of all servitude to fellow man – regulate what is lawful and what isn't so people may equitably co-exist with each other and with state-power without usurping each others rights. That regulation for Muslims choosing to follow the religion Islam, is explicit in the Qur'anic teachings in the context of terrorism vs. Jihad. These require no mullah's fatwa, no matter how learned. Personal fatwas in the religion of Islam may not be issued on what is already made explicit in the religion of Islam itself – by the very definition of the concept of fatwa in Islamic jurisprudence. What is permissible, and what isn't, in waging the battle of self-defense is already made abundantly explicit in the principled teachings of the Holy Qur'an. One may surely explain these principles to others in full context, but not issue fatwas amending, particularizing, or hiding its general import in the totality of the message of Islam through calculated omissions in the service of vested interests.

Any personal opinion couched as fatwa which fails to recognize the greatest enemy systematically devouring Muslims today, can only emanate from the enemy of the Muslims. The hectoring hegemons are experts at disguises and can wear any garb. The Mussalman's short and blood-soaked history is replete with such two-bit shills putting religion in the service of empire. The price has spanned the gamut of co-option from a few silver coins for issuing imperial proclamations in the name of Islam, to anointed leadership of manufactured sects for sowing discords and “beneficial cognitive diversity” in the best mold of divide et impera.

In this instance, it is the realization of Daniel Pipes wet-dreams in the service of empire that 'The idea that “militant Islam is the problem, moderate Islam is the solution” is finding greater acceptance over time.' Well, here is empire's own “moderate Islam” for us plebes, as the WWF wrestling match against its own virulent creation of “militant Islam”.
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The face of “moderate Islam”

Caption Video Face of “moderate Islam” featuring Dr. Tahir-ul-Quadri, the “Ambassador of Peace”. An even more entertaining version of Daniel Pipe's choice for “moderate Islam” with its leader* basking in the adulation of his prostrating fans, is here (search).

The political philosophies and social engineering underlying imperial mobilization are far more diabolical today than they have been in the past. Comprehending the role of fabricated enemies and false oppositions to lend credence to the fabricated enemies, begins at the doorstep of political science, not Islam. The fatwa is part of the finely tuned social engineering to continually engineer consent for the fabricated perpetual war on terror. The primary modus operandi of that social engineering is seeded in the Hegelian Dialectics of Deception and the Technique of Infamy as already explained in this tutorial. Witness the dialectical twin of this pious Fatwa in the promulgation of the impious girl flogging video which was presciently unraveled in this Letter to Editor of April 06, 2009, and which is now coming unraveled even officially.
Caption **Shameless Stooges** and house niggers at the massa's table in payoff for services rendered. Tahir ul-Qadri and Imran Khan are not simpleton patsies – they know exactly what they are doing by echoing empire's axioms on Terrorism. Just being invited to sit at the white man's table and utter gibberish in gratitude is evidently sufficient incentive for Pakistani brown-sahibs to commit treason. The Western establishment's cultivated Trojan Horse among Muslims for “cognitive infiltration” and spawning more “beneficial religious diversity” (sic!), namely “moderate Islam”, Qadri–Khan make even Mir Jafar/Mir Sadiq duo look virtuous. The final payment awaiting stooges when their services are terminated can be witnessed in the fate of the one far more illustrious, Benazir Bhutto. The ill-fated daughter of the East had echoed the same axioms on Terrorism at the CFR in 2007. Evidently, anyone and everyone can be recruited after they have shown their willingness to echo the white man's burden. Here is CFR’s latest 2011 promotion from among the native informants. (Image contributed by a Pakistani field negro) **Job Advertisement:** Now is your chance to serve the massa – pathetic house negroes are in great demand! And you can have your choice of “Betweens” to choose from as your daily service. Trips to Disneyland, sabbaticals, appointments, and book deals are included in the compensation package based on the level of eruditeness of your bullshit. (Photo and caption added March 02, 2011)

This factual observation of diabolically engineering consent with tortuous political theories and absurdities being primarily at work here, is further underscored in the stark contrast between the pious 'Islamic scholarship' of the Fatwa in the service of empire and this Syrian Arab Catholic priest's...
lament in his open letter ‘To His Holiness Pope Benedict XVI’. The latter demonstrates a moral fibre largely unknown to Pakistan's virtuous house negro peddling 'Islam's holiness' for gratis (£6.99 for hardcopy), and which was even intoned by the BBC News Magazine as 'A fatwa they can work with?': “An Islamic scholar turned up in London last week to deliver a religious ruling denouncing terrorism in all its forms – but what was it about him that made everyone sit up and listen? He’s a man on a mission – a mission to state the obvious.” Unfortunately for the 'untermenschen' everywhere, this virtuous “man on a mission” journeying to the heartland of empire in voluntary servitude to peddle his “Islam” felt no “moral mission to state the [even more] obvious”! Facts which, quite unsurprisingly, even the empire's own top field commander, Gen. Stanley A. McChrystal, being a free man unfettered in his own la mission civilisatrice, had the cold chutzpah to boldly proclaim without fear of any future Nuremberg accountability: “We have shot an amazing number of people, but to my knowledge, none has ever proven to be a threat.” (New York Times, March 26, 2010)

Finally, I conclude this lamentable but principled response to Dr. Tahir-ul-Qadri’s terrorism fatwa in the service of his empire with the only universally humanitarian prayer to perhaps ever escape from the particularistic lips of 'god’s chosen people' now waging full spectrum war of domination upon all Amelekites, that of its favorite Nobel laureate HolocaustTM spokesman, Elie Wiesel:

‘I still curse the killers, their accomplices, the indifferent spectators who knew and kept silent, and Creation itself, Creation and those who perverted and distorted it. I feel like screaming, howling like a madman so that that world, the world of the murderers, might know it will never be forgiven.’

Thank you.

* Footnote: I have no opinion on Tahir ul-Qadri's version of “Sufi Islam” per se, only on his brazen omissions in defining terrorism in the calculated service of empire. Qadri is not a patsy. He knows exactly which side his bread is buttered!

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
Addendum: Letter to Fatwa Authors

Date: Wednesday March 24, 2010

From: Zahir Ebrahim | Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

To: The originators and authors of the Fatwa on Terrorism

CC: Press

Dear Scholars and Leaders of Islam issuing the One-sided Fatwa on Terrorism:

You might be aware that I do not recognize any pious leaders, of Islam or otherwise, when they intersect conversely with truth, or with political science. The only genuine leadership I know of today, is that of the devil – the hectoring hegemons – and therefore, I oppose it.

Therefore, I do not find it impertinent to boldly ask whether you take peoples to be fools? Or are you just being useful idiots in the hands of hectoring hegemons? I am not sure which one. Therefore, I draw your kind attention to Project Humanbeingsfirst's Response to your Terrorism Fatwa which is entirely in the service of empire:


Please be advised that people are aware that all sorts of fatwas have been issued throughout history by the most pious pontiffs to justify the crimes of empire. If it is news to you how religion, and especially Islam, is put in the service of empire through half-truths and outright omissions, then, instead of presuming to teach others, you might perhaps spend some time studying yourself.

And lest you believe that you have acquired some newly inspired knowledge through virtuous piety which I do not possess due to my ordinary dint of hard study and forensic observations, and due to which you believe your palpable omissions as noted in the response are justified, I would be happy to hear of your inspired knowledge.

And if I am shown the error of my analysis and conclusions due to my general lack of humility before either the pirates or the emperor, I would be happy to eat crow.

Otherwise, I invite you to be forthright and include what is omitted in your terrorism report to complete your partial thesis in the full service of the people, of all peoples. For doing that, you will most assuredly earn my genuine and humble thanks with full endorsement of your report - provided the word fatwa is dropped from it. Your personal opinion has no religious significance in matters in which the religion of Islam has unequivocally already spoken. Only a political one, and that would be sufficient, nay [of] immense significance for the ordinary peoples of the world, almost 7 billion of us, of all faiths and persuasions, that even one Muslim scholar has the balls to call a spade a spade.

Even further, I would be most happy to enter into fair interlocution with your learned scholar...
who presumably authored this one-sided Fatwa, or obligingly put his name to it, in any public televised forum. Should be interesting for the world to witness a rational dialog between a virtuous Islamic scholar berating the pirates while ignoring the grotesque imperial mobilization of the emperor that is not only responsible for genocide of multiple Muslim civilizations, but is also rapidly lowering an iron-curtain of tortuous police-states throughout Europe and America, and an ordinary plebe.

I humbly invite the press to publish this letter in their columns and newspapers.

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
California, United States of America.
Chapter 41

Uniting Mankind Through Ma'arifat in Education

Rethinking Formal Education – My Dream University

First circulated in email to Pakistan's academia on November 15, 2012

1.0 Introduction

A university which does not produce “likhha parrha jahils”* while preparing each generation to effectively take on the challenges of its own time, is my dream university.

A university which nurtures the whole man, the trifecta of mind, body and spirit, to elevate the typically socialized mind that is artificially encased in the straight-jacket of conformity from birth, to higher states of consciousness which it is naturally capable of, and from which all positive aspirations of humanity naturally follow.
Does such a university exist some place on earth today?

To answer this question, before we can embark on building such a university in every town, village, and city on earth, let's see what is the problem with modern universities worldwide.

Without virtually any exception, these ubiquitously tend to produce “likkha parrha jahils” who at best, become economic widgets if they are naturally talented and pursue professional skills, and at worst, come to excel in mediocrity to become the bulk of the labor force of modernity.

In both cases, they forever remain socialized in the *wisdoms du jour* from their cradle to their grave.

This enables the public to 'United We Stand' with all systems of power which dominate and mobilize their society. This is true whether or not the [Mighty Wurlitzer](http://tinyurl.com/MightyWurlitzer) is engaged for systematic perception management of the public with propaganda and narrative control. The unseen and unfelt forces of socialization from birth into a family, culture, civilization, religion, alone often achieve that purpose of rigid thought control and cultivated loves and hates without much assistance from Machiavelli to make the public mind. Sociologists like to call this unseen force “indoctrination”. But I prefer the term “socialization” as it is all encompassing with or without any explicit effort at indoctrination of the public mind. Socialization is the bane of objective scholarship. It is the unseen cornerstone of GIGO epistemology (Garbage-In Garbage-Out) that leads to incestuous self-reinforcement of myths, values, presuppositions, and unexamined sacred axioms prevalent at the time and era that one grows up in. These cultural “truths” are continually handed off to next generations by “respectable” scholarship with natural amplifications due to unbridled human imagination and endless self-interests, as the sacred truths of history with each new generation often socialized into more sacred truths than the previous ones!

A succinct examination of these statements of obvious fact along with further study references can be perused in a letter I wrote to some stewards of the Pakistani academe: [Groom them for top Ivy Leagues of America in Pakistan](http://tinyurl.com/Groom-for-American-Ivy-League), and in my positive critique of the documentary *Thrive* where the idea of the absolute necessity of nurturing man to “Higher States of Consciousness” ([http://tinyurl.com/on-the-road-to-no-where](http://tinyurl.com/on-the-road-to-no-where)) is argued in order to overturn this dismal state of affairs that only engenders the status quo. It won't be repeated here.

So, with all the aforementioned rationales behind us, let's jump right into the elements of my dream university – the one I have long wanted to build, starting in Pakistan, a country as drowning in the superfluity of its “likkha parrha jahils” as its great benefactor, the sole superpower on earth today, the United States of America. The only difference between the two antipode nations evidently being merely the degree of mediocrity which accompanies such “jahiliya” (translation: *age of ignorance*), with Pakistan easily edging out its sugar-daddy on that rubric by an unbridgeably wide margin.

* Translation “likkha parrha jahils”: educated morons primarily skilled as economic widgets; fools and imbeciles with advanced academic credentials harboring knowledge without understanding, passion without wisdom; coming out of education systems into professions that 'make it impossible for individuals to avoid becoming either narrow-gauged specialists or superficial generalists'; unable to parse reality beyond what is visible and near at hand; unable to comprehend Machiavelli; easily make useful idiots; easily trade their conscience for narrow and short-term self-interests not understanding
or realizing the dangers and harm to society they cause by doing so; insisting on rationalizing their Faustian Bargains with transparently false arguments in the garb of eruditeness and pious motivations; technocrats without appreciation of Mephistopheles who destroy their own nations by putting their John Hancock on short-sighted ill thoughtout policies; etceteras.

2.0 Overview

System of Education

My dream university is part of a new holistic system of education which inculcates from cradle to grave. The first mission statement of such an educational system is simply to:

'craft sensible men and women who can co-exist with others in society without being exploited or exploiting others'.

The Honor Code of all levels of educational institutions operating within its ambit then automatically becomes something similar to that articulated by Caltech (The California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California):

'No member of the Caltech community shall take unfair advantage of any other member of the Caltech community.'

Caltech describes the impact of its honor code in this way:

'It's a simple statement with far-reaching implications. It means, for instance, that Caltech students are routinely given 24-hour access to labs, workshops, and other facilities on campus. It means that collaboration on homework and other assignments is not just encouraged, it's practically essential for success. And it means that exams are never proctored (in fact, most of them are take-home). The Honor Code confers the power to freely choose responsible actions. Caltech students value this freedom highly and guard it fiercely, which is why the system actually works.'

While straightforward in their pronouncements, one can easily perceive that their implications are stupendously far reaching and all encompassing when implemented properly, with rewards and punishments at every level also being only in accordance with these promulgated values. The non-exploitation clause in the simple mission statement itself can be seen to be the straightforward derivative of the age-old Biblical Golden Rule: “do unto others as you have others do unto you”.

In my dream education system, what underlies this first-principle honor code, the foundation from which all else naturally follows, is the development and nurturing of higher states of
consciousness among the students. Just as evil is nurtured by systems of control that encourage primacy by way of deception, its only dialectical antithesis, good, must also be nurtured. And no, not by endlessly rehearsing moral platitudes that fill virtually all Holy Books and which have miserably failed in making any noticeable difference to mankind's destiny since the Biblical Cain killed Abel. But by intrinsically raising the states of consciousness of the mind, which then becomes self-empowered for breaking the web of control that wholly relies on manipulating the mind to control human behavior. That is, before the not so far reaches of genetic manipulation of the human DNA hard-codes human potentialities into some sort of utilitarian scientific caste hierarchy that is incapable of making free choice. Taking away all freedom of choice from hoi polloi has been the holy grail for mankind's control by its Übermensch in their neo-Platonic Nietzschean neo-Darwinianism: the survival and propagation of the fittest man and races by winnowing out the weak and the useless eaters, and herding the rest of the sheep in service of empire. Its only effective antidote is a public empowered with higher states of consciousness that would naturally resist both: being eaten, and eating others.

These simple moral truths however, are obviously easier to write on paper than to implement in practice. The ruling powers of the state or the oligarchy in almost every elitist society continually strive to preempt such core public values with Orwellian covers. In other words, pay lip-service to them when necessary, and subvert them in practice, because without Machiavellian control, the oligarchy simply cannot rule their public for narrow self-interests.

Among the most effective templates for such subversion with the facade of public interest, would be the allocation and disbursement of funds. For instance, the Rockefeller oligarchs hijacked the American medical school system by exactly this method. It can be read about in 'Rockefeller Medicine Men: Medicine and Capitalism in America' by E. Richard Brown, 1979, (PDF, online); and in 'Murder by Injection: The Story of the Medical Conspiracy Against America', by Eustace Mullins, 1988, (PDF, online).

My own forensic take on modern medicine and its cunning subversion is in my article: 'What’s the truth about modern medicine?' (http://tinyurl.com/Truth-Modern-Medicine).

The ability of modern tax-exempt foundations to engineer outcomes in the West, especially in the United States of America, by their infinite grant-making powers can be understood in the seminal book 'Foundations: Their Power and Influence' By René A. Wormser, 1958 (PDF, PDF, PDF), which documents, inter alia, history of society engineering that is no longer accessible in public libraries or even in the US Library of Congress. Though this method of social engineering to covertly direct society and channel the public interest through non governmental organizations worldwide under legal cover may be uniquely modern, the idea of the king or the oligarchy making laws suited to their own narrow interests and using these laws to control and plunder the people worldwide “lawfully”, is hardly new.

This crafty state of affairs was forthrightly captured by St. Augustine of Hippo in the fourth century AD: "When the King asked him what he meant by infesting the sea, the pirate defiantly replied: 'the same as you do when you infest the whole world; but because I do it with a little ship I am called a robber, and because you do it with a great fleet, you are an emperor.'” (The City of God against the Pagans). I have already explained this insidious idea of subverting the public interest under legal cover in sufficient depth elsewhere (http://tinyurl.com/some-dare-call-it-conspiracy). It won't be
repeated here except to point out its obvious danger to engineering genuine public empowerment that goes against the ruling interests where the political State steeped in inequity is not the only impediment.

These age-old methods of subversion straightforwardly imply that in order to effectively construct and perpetuate such an educational system as I envision in practice, as opposed to in lip-service – a system that teaches and values social and political justice as categorical imperatives alongside the physical and social sciences that help us understand both the material universe and ourselves – some farsighted constitutional covers and other astute legislative mechanisms also have to be enacted in order to preempt its hijacking and subversion — Making this a chicken and egg problem as the oligarchy also controls all systems of governance, legislation, policing, career ing, livelihood.

That most pragmatic and difficult of all problems, how to effectively counter all the ruling forces in society, is not addressed here. It is assumed that with the will to make a difference, proper dike s against its infiltration and hijacking can be crafted through public-private partnership, if not nationally and globally, then at least locally. A good example of such a beneficial educational system can perhaps be imagined under the auspices of something like the Aga Khan Educational Foundation; a philanthropic foundation with deep pockets, vast following, and power to influence power, and state.

My dream educational system will teach and reinforce the moral basis of why not to be neutral in conflicts of moral dimensions – even when expediency may call for it. It will teach the principle espoused by Martin Luther King Jr. when he uttered his seminal beliefs by quoting Dante thusly:

'the hottest places in hell are reserved for those who in a period of moral crisis, maintain their neutrality.'

My dream educational system will be far from being neutral. It will principally teach people to think independently, to have the courage of their convictions, to counter the much lamented banality of evil by not remaining silent in moral crises, and to overcome the infernal statistic oft repeated by skeptics and poets alike:

'at best less than 2% of the people think, about 8% think they think, and 90% wouldn't be caught dead thinking!'

Neutrality is the bane of all moral existence. Tyranny only flourishes when a lot of good people remain silent. For then, who opposes the tyrant and his tyrannical systems – the greatest exploiters of man which cannot be undone by pursuing personal piety, personal ethics, and beneficial social work like feeding the poor and taking care of the ailing, alone?

Using an apt metaphor from electrical engineering, it is akin to focussing on the 'lower order bits' of a problem domain while masking out the 'most significant bits' or the 'higher order bits' of the problem domain. A page fault on the 'higher order bits' wipes out all optimizations done on the cache lines! If you didn't get this metaphor, you will surely get the following example.

Pushing poverty-alleviation via population planning and micro-credit lending initiatives while refraining from outright seeking third-world debt-cancellation. Focussing on the latter of course can make one very unpopular among the oligarchy which controls that enslaving debt. It is principally that national debt to lending agencies which ab initio precludes increase in social spending, that fact being
the primary cause of poverty among enslaved nations. It is true even for those resource-rich nations that are sitting on enormous natural wealth beneath their own soil but unable to harness it for the benefit of their own peoples due to debt-enslavement, not to forget the sole superpower itself that is up to its neck in national debt to the same banking cartel as the rest of the debt-enslaved world.

I have explained this concept of 'bit' elsewhere (http://tinyurl.com/Global-Warming-Mind-Fck) in sufficient clarity and it won't be repeated here, except to re-emphasize the fact that it is rather disingenuous of the modern “likkha parrha jahils” to get the causality wrong. Focussing on the effects and not the first-cause, or mixing up cause and effect, conveniently only furthers the tyrannical systems into a self-perpetuating reign. Which is why all unjust sovereigns encourage focussing only on the 'lower order bits' of any problem domain when the public focussing on the 'higher order bits' would be inimical to the super elites' narrow self-interests. One is even suitably rewarded with lofty titles and honors for staying silent on the real crux of the problems while pursuing social philanthropy in the 'lower order bits'. This silence is much wisely called “neutrality” (http://tinyurl.com/Aga-Khans-Neutrality).

No – my education system will teach non-neutrality between good and evil. It will endeavor to create generations of moral and thinking peoples who would endeavor to refrain from self-servingly becoming confused. The easy harvest of nurturing and developing higher states of consciousness which naturally propels the mind, body and spirit, to seek higher planes of existence beyond the urges of the stomach and the primordial vestigials.

My dream education system would endeavor to develop both halves of the human brain, the left half logic-mind, and the right half artistic-linguistic-intuitive-mind, for a holistic development of the human mind which is able to both reason as well as intuit for full depth perception. This concept has already been examined in considerable depth in the 2012 edition of my book “The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity”, Chapter 33, under the sub-heading 'Why Mr. Spock Abstraction', pages 1046-1053, and therefore will not be repeated here. (In the updated 2015 edition, that topic was split off into a separate book: Hijacking Holy Qur'an And Islam; Mr. Spock's Logic Mind exploration is now in Chapter 1 Part-II, pages 65-72 of the latter.)

The MIT system of education for instance, mainly concentrates on skillfully developing the left half logic mind, the problem solving part of the human brain that engineers with the mind and crafts with the hands, the “mind and hand”, mens et manus, as depicted in its seal that shows the craftsman at the anvil and the scholar with a book. MIT scholarship emphasis is mainly of science, engineering and technology, the purview of the logic mind. I would borrow and adapt both pedagogy and content from its Open Courseware as necessary for developing that aspect of human ingenuity. More on this in the next section.

My dream education system would endeavor to cater to the development of the whole man, mind, body and spirit. Albeit a cliché now peddled by New-age philosophers, that third member of that triforma, “spirit”, has historically been grossly misinterpreted to mean “religion”, with the pulpit self-appointing itself to guide the laity in virtually all religions in the world from time immemorial, and almost always as an instrument of governance or at least social control. Its impact has already been examined in “Higher States of Consciousness” (http://tinyurl.com/on-the-road-to-no-where) and won't be repeated here.

Suffice it to say that the development of the whole man entails far more than merely striving for...
a work permit to produce craftsmen for the military-industrial-academe complexes of the world. My dream education system would teach the self-discipline that had once enabled the Buddhists and the Confucians and the greatest monotheistic mystics of antiquity to do with their minds and hearts what modern day Olympic gymnasts and dancers merely do with their bodies – as Karen Armstrong, the prolific author who was once a nun, once put it in an interview to a journalist.

My dream education system will feed students into my dream university, the academy of higher learning. The full spectrum feeder system which commences from kindergarten and continues beyond my dream university for life-long learning, is addressed elsewhere. But assume here that entrants into my dream university come pre-groomed, literate, skilled with the right set of learning skills, harboring a love of learning, and on sound moral footings, mainly from within my holistic educational system.

Certainly, like everything else human, these entrants into my dream academy of higher learning would statistically always be on a bell curve with respect to the degree of all these attributes that they would be able to develop and exhibit even under a proper nurturing environment. Therefore, that naturally predicates not only a level of competition at every level which would automatically be self-selecting for natural talent, but also predicates different tiers of universities and academies to cater to the full spectrum of human potential.

Nevertheless, suffice it to state here that the primary intent of my feeder system would be to groom entrants for my academy of higher learning whether the aspirants seek vocational training only, or desirous of advanced professional learning. In all cases however, the alumni of my system of education would not so easily become the “likka parrha jahils” of their modernity as is true today of all educational systems of the world.

How these survivors of my dream educational system can compete to earn their livelihood in a scientific global modernity that is principally ruled by an oligarchy which rewards only conformity, which endeavors to "standardize the population, to iron-out inconvenient human differences, to create so to say mass produced models of human beings arranged in some kind of a scientific caste system ... to get people actually to love their servitude" (Aldous Huxley, The Ultimate Revolution, 1962 speech at UC Berkeley, http://tinyurl.com/Huxley-The-Ultimate-Revolution ) is also addressed elsewhere ( http://tinyurl.com/Career-Guidance-Young-Blood ). The problem is pregnant with such pragmatic considerations however, that Zbigniew Brzezinski, rather self-servingly I might add, prognosticated its import in his seminal book “Between Two Ages” in 1970 (PDF). On page 12, Dr. Brzezinski openly admitted as the forthcoming reality, what essayist Aldous Huxley had depicted as a fable in 'Brave New World' some 40 years earlier:

'Life seems to lack cohesion as environment rapidly alters and human beings become increasingly manipulable and malleable. Everything seems more transitory and temporary: external reality more fluid than solid, the human being more synthetic than authentic. Even our senses perceive an entirely novel "reality”—one of our own making but nevertheless, in terms of our sensations, quite "real." More important, there is already widespread concern about the possibility of biological and chemical tampering with what has until now been considered the immutable essence of man. Human conduct, some argue, can be predetermined and subjected to deliberate control. Man is increasingly acquiring the capacity to determine the sex of his children, to affect through
drugs the extent of their intelligence, and to modify and control their personalities. Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, "I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain."

Even political philosophers have heralded its arrival, nay, even necessity. Witness Bertrand Russell in "Impact of Science on Society", presenting his case for dystopic control of all mankind in 1951:

'There is, it must be confessed, a psychological difficulty about a single world government. The chief source of social cohesion in the past, I repeat, has been war: the passions that inspire feeling of unity are hate and fear. These depend upon the existence of an enemy, actual or potential. It seems to follow that a world government could only be kept in being by force, not by the spontaneous loyalty that now inspires a nation at war.'

'Fichte laid it down that education should aim at destroying free will, so that, after pupils have left school, they shall be incapable, throughout the rest of their lives, of thinking or acting otherwise than as their schoolmasters would have wished. ... Diet, injections, and injunctions will combine, from a very early age, to produce the sort of character and the sort of beliefs that the authorities consider desirable, and any serious criticism of the powers that be will become psychologically impossible. Even if all are miserable, all will believe themselves happy, because the government will tell them that they are so.'

As should be evident from these twisted depictions of the forthcoming dystopic future that is already upon us, much reconstruction needs to be wrecked at the societal level to overcome those caricatures of human beings now being crafted by Western hegemons "to get people actually to love their servitude" such that "any serious criticism of the powers that be will become psychologically impossible. Even if all are miserable, all will believe themselves happy, because the government will tell them that they are so." Universities do not exist in a vacuum and are sustained in a symbiotic balance with their environment. A corrupting environment only begets corrupt institutions which in turn produce corrupt alumni who return to the environment to further the same corruption. It creates a self-sustaining corrupt system as a self-fulfilling prophecy!

Suffice it to suggest here that independence and self-reliance is a perennial virtue in every epoch from time immemorial. Thus, it may be noticed by students of economic systems and history that exploiters of mankind too, also from time immemorial, have always preferred unjust social and economic orders that enforce dependency upon their systems with an iron fist, and upon debt enslavement in perpetuity, to keep their public motivated to labor continuously for their elites. Some have called it "free trade", and others like George Orwell depicted it as the new "freedom" in "Newspeak" in his fable 'Nineteen eighty-four', syntactically sugaring the vile concept of perpetual serfdom into palatability with language re-semanticization. It was however, most candidly captured by Bernard de Mandeville in his seminal work 'The Fable of the Bees' in 1705:
'The economic well-being of the nation depends on the presence of a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long. Because men are naturally lazy they will not work unless forced by necessity to do so.'

In my dream education system, at every level, all systems of exploitation will be far better understood, and coping-countering mechanisms developed by its graduates under the rubric of self-reliance, than is possible in the best IVY leagues of America today which are mainly geared to "mass produce models of human beings arranged in some kind of a scientific caste system" as economic widgets for empire.

In my dream education system diversity is cherished. A forest full of different species of birds competing with each other in chirping their own songs is the most beautiful melody in creation. I have no interest in breeding a mono-culture of 'United We Stand' on any platform, nor to "standardize the population, to iron-out inconvenient human differences, to create so to say mass produced models of human beings arranged in some kind of a scientific caste system ... to get people actually to love their servitude".

Consistent with all these wholesome goals and the mission statement noted at the very beginning, my dream academies will instead be focussed on producing generations of peoples endeavoring to compete with each other "as in a race in all virtues", (transliteration: fuss-tabi-qul-khairaat), as expressed in verse 5:48 of the Holy Qur'an. I find it to be the most brilliant recipe for the stochastic processes of empirical reality, wherein, as is self-evident, man is randomly born on either side of the railroad tracks and grows up in different tribes and nations, thus naturally espousing a diversity of perspectives and beliefs due to the artifacts of affinity and socialization. The advocacy of 5:48 for mutually beneficial co-existence while retaining that natural diversity, that natural affinity, singularly realizes the mission statement of my dream education system. That wonderful idea, of cherishing diversity in both nature and mankind as a natural gift of creation, has already been fleshed out in my long musing: "Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization" (http://tinyurl.com/Islam-Socialization) and will not be addressed here.

It is useful to also always remember the pernicious caveat. To build an educational system which would keep up with the needs of the times, and continue to 'craft sensible men and women who can co-exist with others in society without being exploited or exploiting others' in every epoch, will remain an enduring challenge to be re-mastered in every generation. And the coming world government will make that challenge even harder. For no generation can forthrightly lay claim to know the future times better than the peoples living in those future times. Therefore, building enduring educational systems like national constitutions which become fossilized in the past, is an anathema to social progress, to social evolution, and only aids and abets the narrow interests of those who wish to rule others. Our past several generations, including my own, have spectacularly failed to renew and re-master that challenge. In the twenty-first century we are still suffering the legacy inflicted by Lord Thomas Babington Macaulay (http://tinyurl.com/Macaulay-Indian-Education-1835) and his local stooge Sir Syed Ahmed Khan in the nineteenth century upon the Indo-subcontinent (see http://tinyurl.com/Groom-for-American-Ivy-League). They taught us how to be employees of the white man, the massa. I dream to see the end of that servile legacy in my own lifetime.

While it is already too late for my own children who have studied in the top tier schools of America
like their parents – and only time will tell to what degree of “likhha parrha jahalat” in practice, for
indeed it is only the practice of what we learn, know, believe, or preach that counts for anything – I do
dare to dream to build at least one dream university someplace in the world where I would be happy to
send my future grandchildren!

3.0 The University – My Academies of Higher Learning

Left Half Brain and Analytical and Logic Skills Development

The starting template for the most competitive tier of my academies is MIT – my alma mater –
where, at least when I attended, one could study whatever one wanted to, and combine whatever
disciplines one wished to, into any multi-disciplinary major. There is no system better adapted to
developing the left half logic-brain that can analyze, invent, build and construct, than MIT's education
system whose motto is “Mens et Manus”. That translates from Latin to 'Mind and Hand'. If the empire
can use this motto very effectively for exercising its hegemony upon man, so can I to counter it as per
the singular mission statement of my education system quoted at the very beginning.

Taking the best from MIT and leaving out what is undesirable without having to reinvent the
wheel, is the basic template upon which the most competitive tear of my dream university will
construct one of the corners of its broad-based foundation, the hard sciences and engineering corner.

I will employ MIT's open courseware to seed components of my university's curriculum. I will
adopt MIT's emphasis on problem solving, and building with one's own hands, for harnessing the
powers of both the left-half brain and hand. I will adopt their concept of imparting core-competency in
a diversity of basics and fundamentals called “Institutional Requirements” to ensure many of the
development goals outlined in the Introduction above can be met.

But I will leave behind all that which enabled these stellar techie minds and hands to not be
able to call the demolition of the World Trade Center towers, WTC-1, WTC-2, and WTC-7, for what it
was (see “911 The Sacred Cow of Science”, http://tinyurl.com/911-sacred-cow-of-science ). Some
impermeable “fog” blanketed the most skilled scientific minds in the world from MIT to Caltech, and
also the most astute social science minds from Harvard to USC, never mind among the rest of West's
brilliant military-industrial-media complexes, to not be able to challenge the narrative of the
superpower state even when simple high school level physics can demonstrate these narratives to be
outright falsehoods. All the brilliant readings of social sciences, humanities, history, and Machiavelli,
failed the 'good Americans' in exactly the same way as it had failed the 'good Germans' in the Third
Reich!

After these easy adoptions by picking the low hanging fruits of the tree where beneficial, and
leaving out the poison, is where the real challenges begin. To lay the ground-work for the other corners
of my dream academies' broad-based foundation that will be effective in grooming the future whole
man – one who will effectively resist 'United We Stand'.
Right Half Brain and Humanities and Spiritual Skills Development

The development of the right half brain and all that it can specialize in, spanning the full gamut of social sciences and humanities from language, arts, and intuition, to the spiritual development of the soul without indulging in indoctrination and perception management, and without separating a student from his or her own core beliefs borne of socialization from birth. That has immediate implications for the pedagogical methods as well as the curriculum. Unfortunately, neither MIT's stellar problem solving emphasis nor Harvard's techniques of liberal arts education serves me here. These Western institutions take in the brightest kids from the world over, and turn them into standardized economic widgets “arranged in some kind of a scientific caste system” who have no incentive but to mindlessly 'United We Stand' as 'good Americans'. From that one single corrupted system, all the evil follows in the rest of society. I do not want that curse in my dream education system.

For implementing all these lofty aspirations for my dream university, there is no easy precedent to follow in modernity. I have to invent them. Even go back in time as necessary to re-discover them, perhaps as far back as the Hellenic civilization, to learn from Plato and Socrates and Aristotle, but without adopting their limitations. It was, after all, that super-advanced civilization which also administered the Hemlock (bowl of poison) to Socrates for challenging the *wisdoms du jour*! Perhaps also journey to the Muslim civilizations of antiquity which ruled unchallenged and unsurpassed for 700 years, from say 700 A.D. To 1400 A.D, without adopting their villainous limitations. After all, that ancient epoch of Muslim domination of the world, in both the Mashriq and the Magrib, without virtually any exception, comprised only tyrannical and dynastical Muslim empires where the pursuit of “ilm” flourished as a means of achieving their 'Arab Dream' no differently than it flourishes today in the pursuit of the 'American Dream' under the benefactorship of the most vile empire of our modernity!

And just as today we have great institutions of Harvard and MIT, and of course many more all across the Western hemisphere, the enlightened Muslims under the Fatimids a thousand years ago in the East, had laid the foundation of Al-Azhar, the famous university in Egypt that still exists today mass producing only antediluvian clerics and scholars mostly still living in that antiquity! Remarkably, all institutions in both hemispheres identically serve only the narrow interests of the respective elites who control those institutions! One serves the “imperial mobilization” agenda of the massa class and rewards some of its pursuers with the 'American Dream' that the rest only continue to dream about, the other serves to maintain the status quo of the enslaved class. Together they shamelessly employ every guise imaginable, spanning the full gamut of perception management from patriotism to one's nation to patriotism to one's religion.

What will they do in one-world government where there will be no nation-states to beat the drums of patriotism and jingoism against, and if they succeed, no religion but Secular Humanism to beat the drums of “God”? If one believes Bertrand Russell in “The Impact Of Science On Society” (PDF), the modern world will inevitably become a global police state and that would naturally be the most “stable” system of governance for a scientific modernity. In Chapter 7, titled “Can a Scientific Society be Stable?”, the philosopher-prophet of the new modernity now actually befalling us in the twenty-first century, argued way back in 1952:

'There is, it must be confessed, a psychological difficulty about a single world
government. The chief source of social cohesion in the past, I repeat, has been war: the passions that inspire feeling of unity are hate and fear. These depend upon the existence of an enemy, actual or potential. It seems to follow that a world government could only be kept in being by force, not by the spontaneous loyalty that now inspires a nation at war.'

Both Aldous Huxley and George Orwell have ominously depicted various attributes of such a scientific police state in their respective fables. Please refer to their famous books “Brave New World” and “Nineteen eighty-four” respectively. Were they merely being clairvoyant, or were they reading off of some blueprint of the future? Zbigniew Brzezinski, America's former National Security Advisor, has already been quoted above promising just such a future merely decades away. And Ronald Reagan, America's erstwhile president, has also left mankind the psychological seed of an extraterrestrial threat from outerspace to ensure a perpetual supply of fabricated enemy to continue to ignite “the spontaneous loyalty that now inspires a nation at war”, making up for the short-coming in Bertrand Russell's imagination. This is what the American actor-president read off from his script at the United Nations General Assembly podium in 1987 (cited in the Mighty Wurlitzer):

'... we often forget how much unites all the members of humanity. Perhaps we need some outside universal threat to make us recognize this common bond. I occasionally think how quickly our differences worldwide would vanish if we were facing an alien threat from outside this world'.

As we can see, the Development of the Right Half Brain cannot so straightforwardly be borrowed from the West – for the West endeavors to also produce a serf 'United We Stand' class among their own peoples. The blind borrowing by the East in the past is what has led to the ubiquitous spread of “Occidentosis – the Plague from the West” among us (http://tinyurl.com/Occidentosis-Plague-From-West). That pernicious plague which came wrapped in seductive covers is what mentally colonized us to start with, separated us from ourselves, from our languages, from our cultures, from our heritage, and made civilizations far older than the West into a servile slave class. It did that primarily by cognitive and subliminal infiltration of the Right Half Brain. Its core development as the center of cognitive and spiritual wisdom necessary to shed the chains of servitude, is what will differentiate the graduates of my dream education system from all the rest. That differentiation will also be the salvation of the Western mind. They will come in droves to my dream university to learn what they don't possess, just as many in the East look to the Western universities today to learn what they don't possess today. All this aspiration and imagination still has to be translated into a system of curriculum and pedagogy.

Aldous Huxley offered the prescription of addition and subtraction for the calculus of designing an education system that borrows the best of classical education and modern education, the merging of the old with the new in some measure, to enhance understanding rather than merely piling up knowledge. The following lecture on Knowledge and Understanding sheds some light on the real challenges in designing a holistic education system that transcends ignorance and impotence, to fully realize the human potential, given that we understand so little of the interface between the mind and the brain (or body), such as between ideas and brain chemistry, between beauty and brain chemistry, between arts and brain chemistry, between our will and brain chemistry, between our environment and brain chemistry, and between the individual mind and the many forces that operate upon it to shape
and mold it.

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=b3rTylaOMbA]

This lack of clarity on the mind-matter interface naturally leads to trying to better understand how to induce total awareness and understanding of reality into the totality of human mind, but which cannot be easily accomplished without first understanding the human mind. That begs the obvious first question: *Who Are We*, and Aldous Huxley explored that philosophical question from a narrow non-metaphysical point of view to shed further light on the challenges besetting the new educational system being imagined here.

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vA_GaXpVA7I]

While Aldous Huxley essentially carried the flag of what might appear to be an oxymoron, a secular spiritual tradition, his deep insights into the making of scientific dystopia (sociology) and the scientific control of the human mind (psychology), remains virtually peerless and unsurpassed. Aldous Huxley wrestled with the same sort of questions on the study of mind that I have grappled with in my aforementioned abstractions of the Logic mind vs the Intuitive mind, specifically, that the study and conceptionalization of the mind is a self-referential problem, and therefore, self-limiting by nature; the mind is being used to study the mind.

In his following exploratory lecture on the nature of the mind and its relation to matter, Aldous Huxley quoted an “extremely eminent biologist”, professor Joseph Needham, on the non-necessity of physiologizing psychology, meaning, the nature of the evidence presented no compelling necessity to tie every aspect of the mind down to matter: “Mind and all mental phenomenon, cannot possibly receive explanation, or description, in physical-chemical terms, but that would amount to explaining something by an instrument which is itself the product of the thing to be explained.” Huxley continued quoting Needham: “The legitimacy of physical-chemical explanations in the realm of physical life is well grounded, but we have found that as far as mental life is concerned, biochemistry and biophysics have no authority. The opinion therefore, which seems to me most justifiable, is that life in all its forms, is the phenomenal disturbance created in the world of matter and energy, when mind comes into it. Living matter is the outward and visible sign of the presence of mind, the splash made by the entry of mental existence into the sea of matter.” The biologist concluded his essay by saying, as narrated by Huxley: “The biochemist and the biophysicist, can and must be thorough-going mechanists, but they need not on that account hesitate to say that Sir Thomas Brown, there is something in us that can be without us, and will be after us.”

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eM4Gklmp1lw]

The overarching wisdom of my dream university would be to teach, motivate, and elevate that very “something in us that can be without us, and will be after us.” The religion of Islam has called that elevation of the mind of man as the raising of “ashraf-ul-maklooqaat”, the best of creation. That automatically directs us to extract pertinent lessons and wisdom from spiritual traditions of mankind, as these have been the foremost harbingers of methods for that very exercise, but still rather poorly understood even today. Their main purpose: to fashion heaven right here on earth for all; to fashion societies among all civilizations where men and women can co-exist with others without being exploited or exploiting others.
My Dream University, the Mind-Body-Spirit University, where there is no clear cut demarcation of boundaries between various forms of physical, intellectual and psychological life, between science, arts, and religion, between the conscious and the subconscious mind, between the logic and the intuitive mind, between the rational and the mystical mind, and where the whole is greater than the sum of its various parts:

“Modern physics has taught us that the nature of any system cannot be discovered by dividing it into its component parts and studying each part by itself, since such a method often implies the loss of important properties of the system. We must keep our attention fixed on the whole and on the interconnection between the parts. ... The same is true of our intellectual life. It is impossible to make a clear cut between science, religion and art. The whole is never equal simply to the sum of its various parts.” (Max Planck, Partly cited in *Critique of Western Philosophy and Social Theory* By David Sprintzen, pg. 76)

Given this understanding of our lofty educational aspirations and academic goals, and the realization of difficulties in striving towards them in the modern scientific world of inimical power structures that can only thrive with an enslaved public mind goaded into loving its own servitude, baby-steps must begin with the idea of educating both halves of the human mind simultaneously. It is a powerful enough abstraction to design the pertinent curriculums around for every discipline as long as we remember neither to over generalize nor over abstract.

4.0 Implementation

The challenges to build an accessible yet egalitarian education system for all the denizens of a nation which would cater to the contemporary needs of the nation have only just begun. The first challenge, before any other challenge can be undertaken, is to break all bonds of servitude to all elites, in both hemispheres, by simply imagining and conceptualizing that we do wish to break those bonds of servitude. Once that is imagined, the rest follows. And in my conception, that solution space begins in the education system, at least in my dream education system from whence all societal benefits will naturally follow for the rest of society. Just imagine when a graduate of my dream university will boldly decline to sign on that dotted line that today sells out an entire nation in every nation? And if the well known cliché has any germ of truth in it, then surely so must: *well imagined is half-done!*

But can one do it alone?

From imagination to implementation is not as big a step as one might think. Man stepping on the moon was much harder, and that too was preceded by an imagination to get to the moon within a decade! One man's imagination. A national will followed and it was done! (Albeit, see “American Moon Landing A Big Lie?” http://tinyurl.com/american-moon-landing-big-lie)

Thoughtful parents and educators are invited to contribute their own thoughts on what would attract them about my dream university such that they would eagerly wish to send their own grandchildren even when they might have the choice of sending them to MIT, Caltech and Harvard. I
am of course partial to these names. Feel free to replace these stellar names with your own great Western university's without losing any context – for then, you will surely understand why the developing world has to better these Western bulwarks of hegemony in order to even begin to break the perpetual bonds of servitude that the West has imposed upon mankind. See: “The Niggers of Pakistan” (http://tinyurl.com/Pakistani-Intellectual-Niggers) if you actually haven't a clue – and most don't since modern education mainly produces glorified “likkha parrha jahils” who come suitably anointed with imposing titles and accolades depending upon how willing they are to play the empire's shell game. Lamentably, in this contest, and only in this contest, Pakistan even beats empire's Nobel Peace prize – we have the “Distinguished National Professor” (http://tinyurl.com/Pakistan-Geniuses) prize of lifetime employment for our most genius minds now controlling our splendid higher education system (especially see pages 63-130 on how they collectively rise to protect their own gravy-train).

Do you want your children and grandchildren to be part of such vile systems?

To be continued.
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Making the best of what's Best Today

Groom them for top Ivy Leagues of America

Getting admitted into the top universities in the United States does not appear to be of much interest to many Muslims (for any number of reasons). The article outlining an Aga Khan Education Board (AKEB) USA initiative, ‘National College Expedition prepares high school students for a brighter future’ (http://tinyurl.com/IVY-future), is a must read for Muslim parents, students, and educators alike, both in the United States and worldwide, but especially in Pakistan. This Muslim public service organization has stepped-up in the West to facilitate that interest for American-Canadian-French high school students. Getting into top graduate schools in the US is of course even harder than undergraduate. This excellent article highlights some benefits of college visits and the benefits of early college preparation. The article also highlights the fact that financial aid is available.

College education and advanced degrees from top IVY Leagues is an ‘American Dream’ to die for, for some… I often ask whether such an education really makes one super-learned, or merely a super-anointed “likkha parrha jahil”? I don’t know… I am a tad biased… College education in the US, regardless of IVY, like the force of gravity, unfortunately only appears to pull one’s intelligence down towards a standardized norm in thoughts and actions — evidence of that is the ubiquitous ‘United We Stand’ with absurdities in America.

If American higher education, never mind its IVY League education, was worth the money and the youthful zealotry spent in pursuing it, apart from it surely being a fantastic meal-ticket and great means of career advancement, there’d be at least one distinguished super-learned person from any of these master colleges of America to escape ‘The IVY League Morons Syndrome’ (http://tinyurl.com/Ivy-League-Morons-Syndrome). No? Do you know of any?
Something happens when one lives or studies in America — now equivalent of living and studying almost anywhere on earth due to the ‘globalization’ of American values and systems of thoughts and pursuits. This is a statement of fact. Thomas Friedman, though clearly not one of my favorite imperial columnist, let the truth explode candidly through his pen in his 1999 New York Times column “Manifesto for a Fast World”:

'The hidden hand of the market will never work without a hidden fist — McDonald’s cannot flourish without McDonnell Douglas, the builder of the F-15. And the hidden fist that keeps the world safe for Silicon Valley’s technologies is called the United States Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps. “Good ideas and technologies need a strong power that promotes those ideas by example and protects those ideas by winning on the battlefield,” says the foreign policy historian Robert Kagan. “If a lesser power were promoting our ideas and technologies, they would not have the global currency that they have. And when a strong power, the Soviet Union, promoted its bad ideas, they had a lot of currency for more than half a century.”'

I am certain that the prominent Jewish columnist Thomas Friedman’s observation is true of all dominant empires from antiquity to modernity. When the British empire ruled the roost in yester-centuries, their education system created the blithering brown-sahibs they needed to run the Indo sub-continent after Lord Thomas Babington Macaulay crafted the Indian Education Policy in 1835. In his seminal speech (http://tinyurl.com/Macaulay-Indian-Education-1835) to the British Parliament, the Lord of empire stated, rather candidly:

'We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern, —a class of persons Indian in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals and in intellect.'

It appears that the Americans have topped even that and far surpassed previous empires by way of successfully creating a ubiquitous class of peoples worldwide who ‘United We Stand’ with the master class on all its dominant narratives. Only a handful escape it – but what an escape, few can make a decent living afterwards! So the motivation to escape is outright negative.

How did this happen?

Hear Aldous Huxley explain the forces that drive it (http://tinyurl.com/Huxley-The-Ultimate-Revolution):

'You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It’s exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will
exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.’ — Aldous Huxley, Ultimate Revolution, 1962 speech at UC Berkeley, minute 04:06

The culmination of the standardization and uniformization of the public as economic widgets, dystopically arranged in some kind of scientific class hierarchy silently obeying orders in willing servitude without any thought of rebellion, was most ably depicted by Aldous Huxley in his 1931 allegorical novel Brave New World (read the book, watch the movie). The above speech was in fact made on that seminal novel's thirtieth anniversary to an audience of undergraduate students at U.C. Berkeley. Please do spend the 40 minutes of your precious time listening to Aldous Huxley's account of the “Ultimate Revolution” with your own college students and high-schoolers.

The ruthlessness of the coming world social order was also ably propositioned by Ayn Rand in her many fictional novels which principally expanded upon her philosophy of complete surrender to selfishness. Most nobly christened “Objectivism” – perhaps to make it sound objective and rational in opposition to the “irrational” Christian charity to the “unworthy” unwashed masses under its moral absolutism – her two novels: Atlas Shrugged and The Fountainhead, and her collection of essays: The Virtue of Selfishness, should be made required reading for all high-schoolers as the sacred path to an IVY education is opened to the non-elite. I suspect that the talented elite American kids are already raised on that mind numbing fodder in their English lit class. It will surely make the middle class, kids, parents, and educators alike, feel a lot better about abandoning false piety and self-deception.

The Republican Party nominee for Vice President of the United States in the 2012 election, Paul Davis Ryan, also the United States Representative for Wisconsin's 1st congressional district and current chairman of the House Budget Committee, credited Rand's philosophy as something which had inspired him. His wikipedia page reports the following politicism:

'At a 2005 Washington, D.C. gathering celebrating the 100th anniversary of Ayn Rand's birth, Ryan credited Rand as inspiring him to get involved in public service. In a speech that same year at the Atlas Society, he said he grew up reading Rand, and that her books taught him about his value system and beliefs. Ryan required staffers and interns in his congressional office to read Rand and gave copies of her novel Atlas Shrugged as gifts to his staff for Christmas. In his Atlas Society speech, he also described Social Security as a “socialist-based system”.

In 2009, Ryan said, “What's unique about what's happening today in government, in the world, in America, is that it's as if we're living in an Ayn Rand novel right now. I think Ayn Rand did the best job of anybody to build a moral case of capitalism, and that morality of capitalism is under assault.”

In April 2012, after receiving criticism from Georgetown University faculty members on his budget plan, Ryan rejected Rand's philosophy as an atheistic one, saying it “reduces human interactions down to mere contracts”.’ --- wikipedia

The National Security Advisor to former American President Jimmy Carter (1976-1980), Dr.
Strangelove, ahem, pardon me, Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, fielded his own realistic version of the upcoming scientific dystopia in his seminal 1970 narrative, *Between Two Ages – The Role of America in the Technetronic Era*, as outright inevitable (*read, search*). That seminal book seems to accurately blueprint modernity, like everyone of Brzezinski's strategy books both psychologically and militarily maneuvering America on the Grand Chessboard (*read, search*). What a brilliant savant! And certainly no less brilliant than the Fabian philosopher of the British aristocracy, Bertrand Russell, who both speciously argued and self-servingly predicted in his own seminal sophistry, *The Impact of Science on Society*, that a dystopic global police-state is the natural outcome of the pursuit of science and technology for a stable society: “There is, it must be confessed, a psychological difficulty about a single world government on earth... It seems to follow that a world government could only be kept in being by force” (*read, search*). Comparing with what Aldous Huxley observed in 1962 as the intrinsic limitation of the bayonet quoted above, please read learn what the *massa class* already knows and believes.

And so, it is already evident to many, modern education, be it in an IVY League in America, or at UET or LUMS in Lahore, Pakistan, is ab initio designed to mainly craft diploma-wielding economic widgets arranged in some sort of scientific caste system based on their natural talents who, regardless of their position in the class hierarchy, together welcome their own servitude with a happy-happy smile :-(

The truth of this sweeping statement can clearly be seen at least in the case of the inventor of Active Pixel CMOS camera on a chip who was inducted into the American National Inventors Hall of Fame in 2011: [Eric Fossum](http://tinyurl.com/Seduction-Science-Technology). His invention “is used in nearly all camera phones and webcams, digital-still cameras, high-speed motion capture cameras, automotive cameras, dental x-ray cameras, and swallowable pill cameras.”

The Aga Khan Education Board (AKEB) USA initiative article mentioned at the beginning shows the fast-path to similar “Brighter Future”.

Of course, please do sensibly note that modern Western education, regardless of at an IVY on the fabulous East Coast of America, or at Stanford, Caltech, and UC Berkeley on the beautiful all season West Coast of America, or at UET or LUMS in Lahore, or Aga Khan University in Karachi, does not have to craft economic widgets only… right?

It surely can produce thoughtful people too, No? Like those who on the very day of 9/11 could have spotted it to be self-inflicted “operation canned goods” of the Third Reich in action replay.

Right!

If it is arguably plausible to raise thoughtful generations in the now dominant Western Education system worldwide, it is also safe to assert that one would have to work awfully hard to overcome the entrenched forces of indoctrination, ahem, socialization and manipulation, to escape modern education’s pernicious primary intent: crafting economic widgets “content to labor hard all day long” as per the *Fable of the Bees* (Ibid.):

\[
\text{'The economic well-being of the [world] depends on the presence of a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long. Because men are naturally lazy they will not work unless forced by necessity to do so.' — Bernard de Mandeville, The Fable of the Bees, 1705}
\]
It’s almost like escaping the force of gravity — a force one can’t see, smell, touch, or even feel… unless one tries to escape it!

Assuming however that one does want to pursue this “brighter future” and is most amiably willing to ‘United We Stand’ with the paradigms du jour in the pursuit of one’s American Dream, it is worthwhile to again highlight the observation made in this article that financial aid is available in America’s top Ivy Leagues.

In fact, the ability to pay is more or less separated from the competition to be admitted in America’s top schools only. That is how I got to attend MIT from Pakistan over three decades ago.

Whether dreaming of an undergraduate education in America or some professional graduate degree, most Pakistani students, like American students highlighted in this article, are not intimate with the overly complex admission processes and what it takes to be admitted to top American universities. Be aware that it’s a joint family and school initiative and takes a lot more commitment and hard work than just the student’s own dreaming to realize the American Dream, albeit the latter has to be the prime-mover. But its enablement remains largely a team effort across many fronts.

Unfortunately, there is almost zero smart college guidance counseling available for high school and university students in Pakistan (just as it is for most of the over-crowded public high schools in the United States which reportedly graduate upward of a million eighteen year olds each year). Of course we are only speaking of the “elite” who can afford to pay for an education in Pakistan. To fill this gap, snake-oil salesmen and saleswomen have evidently cropped up throughout the big cities of Pakistan to mint money from mediocre students by processing their paper-work for mediocre Western universities for that oft glorified piece of parchment which will get them suitably anointed in Pakistan.

The Higher Education Commission of Pakistan (HEC) even has an entire protocol in place to attest these pieces of parchment to ensure they are not fake. The fact that these pieces of parchment are being wielded by a serf-class excelling only in mediocrity does not seem to bother them very much.

Why strive for only mediocrity? If one is going to make the Faustian bargain of the pursuit of the ‘American Dream’ anyway, if HEC is going to follow the American paradigms anyway, if Beacon House is going to mass produce English-enabled kids anyway, why not make that dream to reach the top of its very heap?

Why create and sustain, aid and abet, harvest and reward systemic crap (https://tinyurl.com/Plagiarism-Pakistan-Report-5) like this?

Groom them for the top Ivy Leagues in Pakistan... both undergraduate and graduate school.

The pursuit alone to effectively compete to get there will produce very skilled professionals across the board, whether or not they get to attend the IVYs. The level of that competition, and the sheer competence needed to first get there, and then to survive there, will surely be an eye-opener for many a Pakistani academic and student alike. A sampling of the level of competence can be seen in the level of the coursework taught at MIT. Visit its fabulous Open Courseware website http://ocw.mit.edu where anyone in the world can study MIT’s almost entire curriculum, 1800+ courses online the last time I checked, all day long for free! Can Pakistani professors and high school math and science teachers, never mind their students, do any of those MIT problem sets and exams?

Why not take some benchmarks to evaluate all the bombastic proclamations by HEC on academic success of Pakistani higher education under their stewardship, by getting Pakistani students...
to take MIT open courseware quizzes and exams in equivalent classes being taught in their high schools and universities?

One might even come to the realization that almost all Pakistani universities can build much of their technical curriculum on open courseware, and also employ their philosophy of education!

The challenges of higher education in the twenty-first century are well understood by the West, and most poorly comprehended by Pakistani universities and by their national steward for academic enlightenment, HEC. The former Dean of Engineering of Purdue University, and former President of IEEE, Prof. Leah Jameison, in her Keynote speech at the DesignCon 2007 conference in Santa Clara, California, titled 'Engineering education prepares for 2020' (http://tinyurl.com/Higher-Education-2020), had emphatically noted some of these challenges facing higher education today:

>'In many ways, the world is changing, ... Are our graduates going to have the skills they need over the next 40 years? ... The "half life" of an engineer's knowledge — the point at which half of what the engineer knows is obsolete — may now be as little as five years!'

The West's stewards are seeking to “turn the curriculum inside out.” (Ibid.) to meet the new challenges of empire due to rapid development of science and technology which is naturally creating a hybrid of superficial generalists and narrow-gauge specialists. Neither is fortuitously able to parse reality, to the complete benefit of empire (see The IVY League Morons Syndrome and Fable of the Bees cited above). A famous robber baron is reputed to have accurately presaged the empiricism du jour way back in the 19th century:

>'The few who understand the system will either be so interested in its profits or be so dependent upon its favours that there will be no opposition from that class, while on the other hand, the great body of people, mentally incapable of comprehending the tremendous advantage that capital derives from the system, will bear its burdens without complaint, and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is inimical to their interests.'

The challenges facing the East are far more spectacular — to put it mildly, and in positive light.

Therefore, why wait until university? Even Pakistani high-schools can teach the A-level and Intermediate equivalent math and science sequence from open courseware, and adopt the same philosophy of breadth-with-depth for far more basic skill and competence development than is presently done in Pakistan even at the university level. The beauty is that all of this is actually free.

Unfortunately, empire is also very smart. It does not release its social sciences and humanities curriculum to the world. Nor encourages its pursuit. For it knows that not engineers and not scientists, not economists and not technocrats, but language and political science majors, psychologists and historians, run the world on behalf of the international bankers who put various politicians in power across the world to implement global policies which are frequently crafted at privately funded thinktanks and tax-exempt private foundations by English majors.

Thus notice that social sciences and humanities are not a priority among the colonized and developing nations of the world. But the smartest kids in the United States and the developed West in addition to the hard sciences, also go into social sciences, arts, humanities and languages — unlike
ours who mostly become engineers and doctors… The economic system in developing nations is designed ab initio to ensure that only serfdom is rewarded.

Thus only those skills necessary to be an expert craftsman for the benefit of the global military-industrial complex are encouraged and rewarded – and that remains the essence of harvesting the developing nations of their best and brightest young minds. Get them to work for America and Western interests by suitably rewarding them for their mindless pursuits for the military-industrial complex. It creates a self-perpetuating system that was already well-tread by the British empire. Modernity has merely stepped up the ante for that harvesting, whereby, instead of only creating the traditional feudal class designed to politically control the masses through oppression, a scientific technetronic class has been fashioned in keeping with the rise of science and technology. The first-principles of harvest however remain the same despite the bayonet being replaced with a measure of public consent for their servitude.

But so long as you are gonna work for empire,

- so long as you are gonna produce economic widgets for its imperative of global governance (http://tinyurl.com/Modernity-Today9);
- so long as you are gonna be a serf happy-happy in voluntary servitude (http://tinyurl.com/Happy-Happy-in-Servitude);
- so long as you are gonna be employed in their worldwide military-industrial-corporate-academe complex (http://tinyurl.com/What-choice-is-there-in-NWO);

you might as well strive to rise to its very top rung and enjoy its fruits, like your American counterparts!

As already noted above, but bears repetition to emphasize its practical benefits, that race alone to rise to the top of the elitist heap can only make the young herd even more skilled, more uniform, enabling them to actually thrive in the higher tiers of economic widgetry as the most “essential” category of the scientific class hierarchy, rather than risk being slated for gradual elimination in the “useless eater” categories, in the coming global empire of one-world government.

If you ape the elite in everything else, why not here?

Pakistan might even begin to effectively compete with India in our indispensability to empire from our present disposable status!

Groom them for the top Ivy Leagues of America – both undergraduate and graduate school – if you are gonna spend good money and precious time on their American education anyway.

I am not sure that higher education in the best universities elsewhere in the world is really all that much different, and so, regardless of which country you are gonna pay for your children's Westernized collegization, groom them for the top most ones that they can dare to dream of.

They might just get in to the top school in the world ---- I did!

And you can be 99% sure of what will come out --- a perfectly educated, upwardly mobile, highly skilled, and most successful specimen of “likka parha jahil”. Silicon Valley, California, my home town of more than three decades, bears testimony to that statement of fact.
I may be among that lonely 1% exception, at least I'd like to believe so, perhaps due to being only imperfectly educated in America despite my best intentions. Heck, four years at MIT, another few at Stanford while chasing my American Dream in American corporations.... something clearly went wrong from empire's point of view that it instead produced a “maladjusted” “malcontent”. You can respectively hear Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr.'s speech titled Why I Am Opposed to the War in Vietnam, and read H. G. Wells' sugared sales pitch for world government in his non-fiction book New World Order, for the contextual meaning of these terms. I like to think of it as: the wakeup call happened (http://tinyurl.com/No-Military-Industrial-Complex).

Going back to sleep to dream the American Dream (note that one has to be asleep in order to dream) has become well-nigh impossible afterwards. Even sleeping pills don't work. I sometimes wonder now that I am wide awake that had I realized all this as an eighteen year old choosing the direction of my life at that pivotal time, whether I would have made a different career choice. To remedy this situation for the new generation dreaming of the Ivy Leagues, to offer them that realization early so that at least some, those who have the luxury to do so, can make a different choice, I have written a young Muslim's guide to alternate career choices before they cross the Rubicon of permanent sleep, FAQ: Pragmatic Career Guidance for Young Muslims (http://tinyurl.com/Career-Guidance-Young-Blood).

Yes, do prepare to attend the top IVY Leagues of Western academe, and those who cannot make it there to strive for LUMS, UET, and AKU, and continue dreaming of it for graduate school. Yes do pursue professional degrees, and do endeavor to rise to the top of the heap.

And there is absolute guarantee, at least 99% surety, that you will also come out a blithering idiot with only “learned” stamped upon your forehead so hard, and so deeply invested in your American Dream with medals and titles galore, that it will leave you bereft of all moral courage and commonsense. You will no longer be able to call reality the only way it is!

Don't believe me?

Here is a quick litmus test of the success of your investment in your American Dream. For the medicine professionals training, and already trained, at AKU in Karachi, Harvard in Cambridge-Boston, and UCSF in San Francisco medical schools, see if you can still dare to call a spade a spade publicly: Open Letter to the Doctors of America.

And that is the twisted reality of the matter – and that is also why Pakistan has an abundance of these Western trained likha-parrha jahils all across our learned landscape no differently than in the United States of America.

Please share with your college students and high-school wards.

Click on the archived link to read the Aga Khan Education Board (AKEB) USA Initiative article.
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Introduction

Some “ba sha-oor” (Urdu word for being thoughtful, self-aware, having deep wherewithal) young Muslims in the crucial age-group 16-26 the world over find themselves in a moral quandary. At the cusp of their independence from their families, and just beginning their own journey into the world of earning a livelihood and career, if they are the brilliant and motivated type, they see their choices being severely circumscribed by the global military-industrial-corporate-academe complexes of the Western hegemons which manifests in almost all countries of the world as the Multinational Corporations (MNCs), the Non Profit Organizations (NGOs,) and the academia. With rising self-awareness among peoples – what is perhaps aptly captured by the psychological term “global consciousness” in Hindu-speak, and the philosophical term “sha-oor” in Urdu-speak – at least some youngsters among this crop of highly intelligent Muslims today wish to take no part in this demonic space which ubiquitously circumscribes the boundaries of thought, livelihood, and lifestyle in this modern scientific era of the twenty-first century.

These youngsters, though few in number, realize that their choices made now will define the options-tree of the future. Meaning, choices made now will determine choices available to them in the future. And each choice made at every step automatically pruning the options-space even while enabling new options but only in the direction chosen by earlier choices. Speaking in the pragmatic sense of course, and not the idealistic one – for one is surely always free to make whatever choice one wants in theory. Pragmatically, if you aren't an engineer or doctor or lawyer or academic for instance, your options to engage your imaginative labors professionally in engineering, medicine, law, and academic capacity respectively is virtually zero. Unless one is a Rothschild or Rockefeller and can define their own world by the sheer power of their wealth and fortune, one is entirely limited by what the existing world defines for them. This is so obvious that it should be self-evident, but often isn't.

Thus, if one didn't choose wisely at the beginning of one's journey, one is likely to be stuck with one's skill sets, professional training, and overarching direction in which one invests considerable time during the defining decade 16-26 of one's life, for the rest of one's life. Especially with increasing family responsibilities which often further limit one's freedom of choice with every passing year. Each passing year sees one getting more and more entrenched into a lifestyle which in the modern age is almost entirely defined by choices made in that first crucial decade of one's life.

This FAQ guide bearing personal opinions only, is for this young group of men and women who worry about such choices before these choices become a fait accompli in their life. If you aren't of this enlightened mindset during this early period of your life, your time is better spent elsewhere rather than reading this. You won't understand it anyway. When the author was in this age-group, he surely would not have been interested in it either beyond mere curiosity and general knowledge. All he appeared to care about at the time was himself, his interests (which were many), and his family, though not necessarily in that order. He displayed little interest in nation-building, never mind harbor any “sha-oor”. His primary concern at the time was how to take care of the dinner table when it was to be his turn to take over from his father. And he was honest enough to not pretend to be among those who did give a damn. There were always the odd communist, the socialist, plenty of jamaatis, and other revolutionary oddballs fighting for this and that causes in every social group doing enough worrying about humanity to give the rest of mankind a break from such matters. Had someone told him at that age what he knows today, it likely would not have resonated with him to the point of inducing a
metanoia (Greek word for transformation after perceiving some truth). That direction change must come from within – at any age.

The pre-requisite to understanding this guide is “sha-oor”, a deep concern for understanding how the world actually works while one is still in that youthful age-group when the world is still one's oyster, and the keen desire to define one's own role in it. Most people in the world, let alone the hundreds of millions of youngsters in this defining age-group, spend out their entire lives without any “sha-oor” ever entering between their ears. Most, in fact, remain caught their entire lives between being sheep and glorified cows. Despite their great benefit to mankind for their production of wool and milk, both remain forever under the butchers' knife. Neither is known for revolting against the habit of mutton eating, nor against being made sacrificial lambs under “divine” mandates! That is the condition of modern man – when he is actually being productive and not being a “useless eater” that is. Robert Strange McNamara, the US Secretary of Defense in the 1960s, and the head of the World Bank upon leaving government, had argued the following for the “useless eaters” of humanity. In a speech at Notre Dame in 1969, McNamara stated: “the children who were dying were fortunate, for the millions of those who lived languidly on were stunted in their bodies and crippled in their minds.” Unless you wish to rebel against that condition of mankind's servitude which forces upon him the lovely choice to be among the sheep, cow, or the “useless eater”, you are wasting your time reading any of this.

The un-stated rationale for some of what follows can be found in the following key articles written by the author, and in the two key books by the two foremost thinkers of the twentieth century who argued as inevitable many of the dysfunctions of the modern scientific era now besieging mankind in the twenty-first century.

Please read these two books first (More in Recommended Reading):

- Bertrand Russell, The Impact of Science on Society, 1952 Unwin Hyman. PDF-link, Search.

Please see these key articles on high-tech career choices which lend at least some partial insight into their pitfalls:

- The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction of Science and Technology
- What’s the truth about modern medicine?
- The Art and Science of Co-option

Additionally, see the following book which will help clarify the base reality of the mindset which always looks to the West for solutions to their national problems. One may be shocked to discover that while written in 1961 in Iran, the ailment diagnosed for the Iranians of the 1950s is still most prevalent among Muslims living in virtually all mentally colonized countries. This book especially captures with utmost accuracy the mindset among the “likha parrha jahils” of Pakistan today:

Zahir Ebrahim
Lastly, even if you read nothing else that is recommended here, and if you are from the former colonies of the British empire, especially its Jewel in the Crown, you must at least know how you came to speak English:

- **Thomas Babington Macaulay’s Minute on Indian Education, 02 February 1835**

so that you may avoid the subconscious cunning of the *House Nigger* who becomes the sly propagandist for empire:

- **FAQ: What is an Intellectual Nigger?**

---

**Question 0)**

“How Should I Conduct My Life, i.e., What Should Be My Base Meta Principles?”

**Answer:**

- Be true to yourself
- Be true to your profession
- Don't settle for less
- Don't become content

**Be true to yourself**

What this means, regardless of who you are, what your interests are, what your background is, who your parents are, be true to your nature. Don't adulterate in your nature. If your nature is to be a builder, tinkerer, thinker, musician, mathematician, explorer, try to be true to that nature if life and opportunity permits it. Often it does not.

For instance, one is put in jail for 28 years for stealing a loaf of bread to feed one's family. While another loots and plunders a nation of 200 million and goes scot-free to live a life of luxury thumping at the world. Victor Hugo timelessly captured the first part of that scenario in *Les Misérables* --- I urge you to read that famous French novel ([online-link](#)) to English translation. The
first part of the book captures the injustice of life and society which condemns one to a choiceless life of misery and servitude. That easily makes one angry at the world, at life, at creation, at Creator. The Preface of this great novel captures its import far better:

“So long as there shall exist, by virtue of law and custom, decrees of damnation pronounced by society, artificially creating hells amid the civilization of earth, and adding the element of human fate to divine destiny; so long as the three great problems of the century—the degradation of man through pauperism, the corruption of woman through hunger, the crippling of children through lack of light—are unsolved; so long as social asphyxia is possible in any part of the world;—in other words, and with a still wider significance, so long as ignorance and poverty exist on earth, books of the nature of Les Misérables cannot fail to be of use.”

The second part of the novel captures the transformation, a profound metanoia, wherein one now lives the life in which one is true to oneself despite all the subsequent challenges. This template is in fact a story not just of Christianity as told by Victor Hugo, but is a story of life that has repeated itself from time immemorial in the lives of ordinary men and women who have chosen to be true to themselves despite every misery and against all odds. Here are two famous contemporary lives lived true to oneself: The Autobiography of Malcolm X, and Nelson Mandela: The Long Walk to Freedom.

They each tread the Path Not Taken by others. And it made all the difference not just to these men, but also to their respective societies. The fruits of Malcolm X's labors are yet to fully materialize however. He planted the trees under whose shade some future generations shall surely reap the benefits. See The Unknown Transformation of Malcolm X.

**Be true to your profession**

What this means, don't adulterate in your profession, whatever it may be. If you are a cobbler, be true to your profession and make all the repairs on shoes with proper stitches, don't cut corners. If you are a civil engineer, don't adulterate the admixture to make an extra buck. Make your structures stand on robust foundations which are resilient to the best of your skill and capacity. If you are a scholar, well, the first hallmark of scholarship of any domain is to seek the truth in that domain and to speak it boldly without considerations. Tell the truth.

But when your stomach gets attached to you, you may not be able to tell the truth; you may choose and rationalize that silence as the better part of valor; you may decide instead to feed the poor as penance for your disgusting silence. But then again, the mind that comes up with such rationalizations also does not think about its co-option in those terms, nor its generosity as atonement, rather always thinks in terms of fastpath to Heaven. Indeed, lucky are those who walk in their sleep due to a guilty conscience, for there is at least the hope of metanoia due to that cognitive dissonance. Unfortunately, many among the lot die holily in bed!

So, if you feel that you are invested greatly in your success, your career, your wealth, and do not wish to jeopardize all those accolades you get for keeping silent, for looking the other way, perhaps you might change your profession rather than persist in a domain whose hallmark is to remain
faithful to the tenets of that profession. For instance, see *My Open Letter to the Doctors of America*.

If a scholar cannot speak truthfully without resorting to half-truths, lying by omission, being co-opted by the stomach, the ego, and other considerations, may the curse of God be upon such scholars.

If there is any fair justice in an Afterlife, if there is indeed an Afterlife which of course all Muslims believe in as an article of faith, then such scholars will surely be the first ones in line for Hell.

Indeed, all those who turn a blind eye to the corruption of power that engenders evil, who stay silent to the crimes of primacy, who shake hands with tyrants to benefit from their approval (such as lucrative jobs, contracts, awards, social standing) while pretending it is all they could do to earn an honest living, but the proceeds of which they also rush to proclaim they spend on feeding the poor, sheltering the homeless, etc., they would still have lived their life in a state of loss. See *Islam: Surah Al-Asr of the Holy Qur'an*.

Islam for Muslims has become a culture of religion and religiosity instead of the *way of life* that this deen spells itself out to be. Please study my exposition of Surah Al-Asr carefully and make up your own mind how much of your own socialization in Islam differs from the actual teaching of Islam expressed in the Holy Qur'an. You must decide for yourself whether you are a cultural Muslim or a Muslim by conviction. See *Why I am a Muslim*. The conviction begins and ends in Surah Al-Asr at the simplest level.

If one is a Muslim by conviction, then being true to one's profession just got a lot harder because there is no room for co-option by Divine Decree. And you may well pay a price for living up to your principles. There is no free lunch for Muslims. The piper has to be paid either in this life or the next one.

**Don't settle for less**

What this means is that don't rest on your laurels. It is also easy to adopt the philosophy that do what one can and be done with it. Or, do the best you can. Or you can't have everything you want but you should be happy getting everything you need. Etceteras.

No. Keep improving, keep moving toward the holy grail of that domain whatever it is, knowing fully well that one may never reach it, but not for the want of trying. This is a lot harder than one thinks. Often times the disappointments in life defeats one. One settles for one's lot even when the constraints are only in the mind.

The young generation today is especially susceptible to settling for a lot less than their capabilities, nature, and talents, primarily for the want of opportunities to better themselves, for the want of fairness, for the want of egalitarianism. Sometimes, for the want of their own vision. And sometimes, for the want of ambition. Women typically settle for a lot less than they are capable of in our societies of the East. When they choose to be mothers, as most do or are left no choice in that matter, they are lousy at it. The proof of that is the kind of people who exist today --- the Heaven is said to lie under the feet of the mother and do all these people in our society appear Heavenly? Clearly something has gone wrong. Talented young men too accept mediocrity as their easy path in life, often voluntarily.
The recent trend in Pakistan, for instance, among both young men and women is to study Human Relations or Communications and go into hiring and compensation careers known as HR in corporations. Others end up selling cell phones. I was not surprised to learn that this is even the case in the United States even for middle class families. Many talented youngsters are going into easy fields to just earn a paycheck and enjoy life. Hedonistic and shallow lifestyles are at an all time high in the twenty-first century.

The younger generations are settling for a lot less than they should – for they have it much easier than the preceding generations that saw a great deal of personal struggles to make ends meet. Their legatees should not throw away their advantage. They should study the hardest disciplines their minds can grapple with, and pursue careers that give them the greatest potential to improve themselves as human beings – and this can only happen on grindstones!

**Don't become content**

What this means is to be mal content and to be mal adjusted. To what? To evil. Here is a quote from Martin Luther King Jr., expressing that sentiment most eloquently in his 1967 Speech at the Ebenezer Baptist Church titled: Why I Am Opposed to the War in Vietnam (video-link):

> “There are some things in our society and some things in our world, which I am proud to be maladjusted. And I call upon all men of goodwill to be maladjusted to these things until the good society is realized.”

When you are mal adjusted to evil in society, when you are discontent with the way things are, you shall have the opportunity to strive to overcome it. If necessity is the mother of invention, then discontent must surely be the father of progress.

When you are content and well adjusted in society, you become part of that system, often invested heavily in the status quo, and always no motivation to stand up to the evils of your society; from its day to day living adjusting to small evils, to macro social evils when tyrants rule over you, is a continuum which begins with shutting one's eyes and getting comfortable, often to focus on one's career goals, and subsequently, to protect all the gains in one's career. This Banality of Evil every member of a conscienceless society participates in. I.e., when consciences die in the aggregate, they begin by dying in individuals.

The outcome is that tyranny flourishes unhindered. It is not attenuated by doing good deeds in compensation such as building schools and feeding the needy. The needy exist, the schools are poor, the poor are homeless, the homeless are hungry, the hungry are jobless, primarily because of the highest order bit – the rulers are evil and wish to keep the public in servitude. The public under such rulers easily fall into the self-fulfilling prophecy trap of decay whereby their worst traits automatically percolate to the top and often rewarded. Kahlil Gibran, the Lebanese poet, captured its contours most eloquently in his book: The Garden Of The Prophet, in his free-form poetic style by the theme: Pity the Nation (online-link). That is what happens to a nation when individuals shut their eyes, mouth, ears, i.e., when they become Summun, Bukmun, Umyun, in narrow self-interests.

Closing one's mind to the highest order bits of the matter and working on the lower order ones,
is like, well, borrowing a cliché from my engineering profession, optimizing cache-lines while ignoring the fact that the cacheable page is not locked down in the MMU. A single page-fault wipes out all the cache optimizations. You can feed a thousand people a day, the next day they shall still be hungry. Get rid of the tyrant and all will be able to feed themselves, everyday! But of course, it is a lot easier to feed the needy every day. It is pretty safe. It may even win awards from the tyrants, even the Nobel Peace prize for humanitarian work (sic!). La'nat (curse) on such prizes and accolades that are acquired in the thunderous sounds of silence on the highest order bits of any matter: its first cause!

However, When you strive to change things as a mal content and are stridently mal adjusted to the systems that rule over you, you at least have the opportunity to be at peace with yourself when death comes calling. A hefty price often has to be paid for seeking that worthy peace.

Most, however, delude themselves saying to themselves that their conscience is not soiled by what they see around them, for, they say: we did not commit it. They forget that they did not stop it either. That they did nothing to interdict it. Did not even try. Did not stick their neck out. Did not register their protest. Did not withhold their consent. Whence do such calm consciences come from that sleep well at night? From self-deception. As the adage goes, don't fool others, and don't be fooled by others; but most importantly, don't fool oneself. i.e., don't be a damn hypocrite!

History is often unkind to those who are mal content and mal adjusted to macro social evil, as are their contemporary times. No accolades, no garlands, no wah wah (cheering) await them. But when these ideals become moral imperatives, it makes no difference what anyone else thinks of those who live them. They are the ones who make a difference in the world. The ones who take the Path Not Taken by others. Only on this lonely path is one's soul at the zenith of nafs-e-mutmahnah: “O soul that art at rest! Return to your Lord, well-pleased (with him), well-pleasing (Him)”, (Arabic: ﴾بَلِّيْتِهَا أَنْفُسَكُمُ الْخَيْبَةَ أَرْجِعُ إِلَيْكَ رَاضِيَةً مُّرَاضِيَةً﴿ Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Fajr, 89:27, 89:28.

Guidance to Educationalists

These Base Meta Principles should be of special interest to educationalists who understand the necessity of grooming a new generation with character. We used to learn this kind of stuff watching our parents, grandparents, elders, and vicariously through fine literature, fine conversations, intellectual discussions, seriousness of disposition that sent us contemplating our navel in our teens, etc. Today's generation has lost this mooring, this character grooming asset... for whatever reasons and they are not getting it from home or from the society. The educationalists, at least those who are not in the business of making money from education as a cash crop, which is what has happened today, but are in education to groom young minds and are presently imparting mainly only job skills, must now carry this burden more than ever.

Without character, it is immaterial how great a nation becomes materially, technologically; witness the US of A. Primacy is the prime directive and it must induce public servitude as its imperative in order to exercise it. Nations are composites of individuals who comprise it, not mere abstractions on parchment used for governing them. A nation of slaves who “united we stand” with authority figures in every abhorrence, and a nation of moral beings who live the courage of their convictions, are both fashioned by the 16 to 20 years spent in school.
We want a generation in every nation that shall neither be slaves, nor exercise their primacy instincts to oppress others. The foundation for this new national character building must be laid at the school levels in the education systems.

The sociopathic tendencies for primacy must also be identified early on in the young minds and groomed-out by interdiction rather than as it is today, left alone to become monsters who rise to positions of power with utmost respectability. See the book by Martha Stout: The Sociopath Next Door, and the book by Andrew M. Lobaczewski: Political Ponerology. These mass readable books analyze the cause of what all can easily observe in every society today, how incurable sociopaths tend to rise to positions of power and abuse faster than normal people, and how society tends to applaud their successes which often mask a great deal of evil behind its facade of respectability. After bombing nations to smithereens, rulers also tend to win Nobel peace prizes. See What is the Sociopathic mind and How to win the Nobel peace prize. Surely educationalists can see themselves play some positive role here, as can the legalists and the medicalists concerned with societal issues.

Question 1)

“I am currently in third year of electrical engineering and opting for electronics and communication majors. Which universities in US or UK to target after graduation for further studies. I need your guidance in this regard. Since time is drawing near to decide the career path, I want to join one of the leading companies and eventually turn as "System Architect". In Pakistan there is hardly any company working on chip designing. So a career abroad and ultimately transferring the experience to Pakistani Students is going to be my ultimate goal so that we can have indigenous chip designing and fabrication facilities.”

Answer:

If you have to ask, especially in these times of ease of access to any information, you need to grow up some more in Pakistan before you go for your graduate education. Spend at least a year after graduation in Pakistan doing volunteer work or internship with a public service organization. A good place might be the Christian colony across from your home where the lowliest among the Pakistani society live. These are the “untouchables” of Pakistan, not much different from the Dalit of India. Or, find a Muslim “katchi abadi” (slum) or some distant village if you prefer not to sit with those who clean your streets. Teach their children how to read and write to begin with. You will know what to do next yourself, for, journeying even briefly on that pathway, you will surely come to apprehend the core needs of the nation and its peoples.
I doubt very much if you have given the matter much more thought than it took to write your question. Is “indigenous chip designing and fabrication facilities” really among the nation's core needs at this time? When you bring your pious intentions to nationalism, you might do well to realize that you are serving your own narrow interests first no differently than the pious politicians and mullahs while paying lip-service to public interest. A good litmus test for such matters is to continually ask yourself: Do you really give a damn about anyone other than yourself? If so, what have you done in the three years you have been at UET to demonstrate that? I suggest you read Ayn Rand's two novels: *Atlas Shrugged* and *The Fountainhead*, and her collection of Essays: *The Virtue of Selfishness*. You may feel better about abandoning false piety and self-deception.

After reading Ayn Rand, if you still feel like selflessly caring about the nation and its peoples, spend some time in volunteer social work trying to discover the real needs of the nation. And don't think you will be doing these poverty stricken “slum lords” any favors. In fact, they will be doing you a favor, if they even accept you as their teacher when you bring your hoity-toity attitude of being their great benefactor to them. But, if you come to them in genuine humility, and with a deep sense of gratitude for being born on the right side of the railroad tracks, they might just become the “wasilah” you need to save you from pursuing the path of “likhka parrha jahils”. Pakistan is drowning in their abundance. I don't believe this country can handle many more such people trying to service Pakistan! I could of course be entirely wrong on that count.

---

**Question 2)**

“How can I, being an electrical engineer serve the humanity, Muslims.... I am confused. I want to utilize my degree not for my personal [gain]. It was never ever my aim to become an engineer for the sake of getting job ... I don't know what to do with my this very degree of engineering but I really want to do something beyond my personal interest. Please suggest me some way to utilize my degree for Muslims. I don't want to go for job in multinational companies of America and Israel. ... Today living in the age of technology, it is inevitable to say that we, the Muslims can [not] win the war without technological advancement.”

**Answer:**

There are several ways. While the question asked is how to serve humanity as an “Electrical Engineer”, the first sensible thing to do as the overarching first principle of life is to separate one's profession from the desire to serve humanity. If you see a rape happening outside your home, you don't really need to be an “Electrical Engineer” to call the cops, or to take your gun or screams boldly to the defense of the poor victim. Solon, the Athenian law giver in ancient Greece, one thousand years before
the advent of Islam, when asked which city he thought was well-governed, said: “That city where those who have not been injured take up the cause of one who has, and prosecute the case as earnestly as if the wrong had been done to themselves.” That principle of good Samaritans of the Bible which came five hundred years later, extends to all of “amar bil maroof” and “nahin anil munkar” of the Holy Qur'an and Islam which followed in its footsteps as guidance to mankind.

Before you inflict all these noble first principles of selflessness upon others however, apply them to yourself first. You see victims being made across the world from both, the instruments of neoconservatism (ala military invasions, military occupations, manufactured revolutions), and the instruments of neoliberalism (ala economic policies of privatization of public commons, erosion of domestic production base, etc., inflicted by the World Bank, IMF, etc., and signed into law by house niggers running almost all developing nations). You might first endeavor to acquire a better understanding of what lies behind it all. There is much more to these instruments than meets the eye, is reported in the news, or is written about in popular academic books. And thence oppose the tyranny which is insidiously inflicted upon your nation using these instruments of hegemony in the guise of “la mission civilisatrice” (the white man's burden), indigenous development, and ultimately, saving the people from themselves. That intellectual rigor and moral courage also does not require being an “Electrical Engineer”. To stand up for what is decent and fair, and against what is immoral and criminal, takes both, a sharp intellect to first be able to separate the chaff from the wheat, and immense courage to follow up on it. But doing so oneself first, before going out to preach to others, takes a lot more personal and moral courage than the pious Muslim mind bowing five times daily in prayer tends to possess.

The politician and mullah culture that has been part and parcel of Muslim history since its very inception, preaching virtue to others while wisely abstaining from its limitations oneself, has crippled all Muslim civilizations. Dynastical empires and unjust rulers have ruled the roost for virtually its entire history to the abject compliance of the public mind in the name of being “good Muslim”. The pertinent contemporary example being the creation of Pakistan itself. See Sacred Cow: Allama Iqbal – marde-momin or superman?, and Islam: Surah Al-Asr of the Holy Qur'an, for how the Muslim public mind has been routinely manipulated by the politician and mullah alike. Rising above that intellectual subversion alone is the first crucial step in the right direction to help humanity, the “highest order bit” so to speak. Without it, the “lower order bits” contributions are continually wiped out with the single stroke of a pen. You can feed a hundred people a day for a thousand days with your wealth. The next day they will still all be beggars.

The collective impact of transcending the idiotic public mind by plain ordinary citizens of any nation can be far more significant to their nation in the long term than temporal contribution through any particular profession, including Electrical Engineering. The trouble with affecting this prescription however is that it requires considerable “sha-oor”, and immense personal courage, far more than is required to build products as an Electrical Engineer. But if you can muster this wherewithal, and inspire others to do the same beginning with your own children, then you end up creating a nation which Solon called well-governed, and which Islam considers as establishing “haq”. That would benefit much of humanity not just in one's own nation, but across the world.

On to the specific question: “How can I, being an electrical engineer serve the humanity, Please suggest me some way to utilize my degree for Muslims.” You can start by analyzing the local needs in your own community. Consider how you might address these real needs (as opposed to some imagined
ones, see Question 1 above) with both high and low technology. Perhaps you can develop innovative solutions which will enhance the life of the average citizen. You can try seeking funding from the wealthy in your community to fund your project. There are many ideas you can explore along solar energy for instance. Just off the top of my head, for example, build a water-monitoring gizmo for tube-wells which periodically beams its water-quality data via the cell-phone network to a base station located in the water administration center that is responsible for supplying water to a city, or community. All the micro-chips needed to build such a gizmo are already available at minimal cost, as are the solar panels to drive the system autonomously. You can try building a prototype at your own expense, or as your Bachelor thesis, and pitch the working solution to say NESPAK, the National Engineering Services Company of Pakistan, a semi-governmental institution. You can also show the solution to some sympathetic industrialist, and/or local companies, create a market plan to productize your idea, and endeavor in that vein. If you come up with a good proposal, you can seek out some local company to license your technology. Just watch-out being made a fool by those more sophisticated than you. As the adage goes, “a fool and his invention are soon parted.”

You are surely only limited by your imagination and personal drive in this matter. There is no reason to feel that “multinational companies of America and Israel” are the only way forward in a technical and professional career. Be aware however, that the opportunities are also automatically constrained by the very definition of the profession. The realistic impact you can make towards helping humanity is very limited as an Electrical Engineer. It is today largely a profession that has been taken over by large corporations, and by national defence. Their agenda is not people, nor betterment of people's lives. It is to exercise hegemony, either for profit as the ruling class, or as vassals of the ruling class. Their net-worth is solely determined by valuation on Wall Street, and by the massa if they are its national defence vassals, and not by the human streets dotting the poorest nations of the world including Pakistan. The same corporations which make the refrigerator and the light bulb also make instruments of mass destruction, or comprise a crucial link in its supply-chain, and for whom engineers building refrigerators and light bulbs happily work. The few degrees of virtual separation is always soothing to the pious conscience.

Which is why choosing wisely before embarking on the education and career path is most essential as already explained in the Introduction above. However, if one can continue one's education, even part-time, or on one's own, then think of the training in Electrical Engineering as only one half of your education thus far, the development of your Left Half brain. See My Dream University. You still need to develop your Right Half brain. The skills you have hopefully received from a technical education in engineering have surely enhanced your logical mind. Build upon it for developing more critical and logical thinking. A person with a technical background who has also developed a sound background in humanities, social sciences, literature, history, etc., is often more formidable than many experts in either field. He and she can often demonstrate a wherewithal in management and decision-making positions that transcends individual expertise in either domain alone. His and her bullshit detector is frequently more honed to detecting scams than experts in either domain.

See for instance, John Perkins book: Confessions of an Economic Hitman. A holistic technical expert would surely not have been fooled by the Indian mathematician from MIT who tried to sell national debt to poor countries in cahoots with the World Bank. He used specious stochastic processes and some convoluted math that magically promised double digit growth rates with which a poor nation
could easily pay off the mind-blowing debt being advocated they take on. Even a modicum of math sense, and some rational commonsense, in any nation's finance minister and his ministry comprising tens of bureaucrats and secretaries serving the public interest first rather than their own masters, would have trivially seen it to be the snake oil that it was before taking on the frivolous national debt. Just imagine for a moment, you being in such a ministry, and that proposal file coming to you. With your background in stochastic processes and probability theory from studying electrical engineering, coupled with an astute study of social sciences, economics, and Machiavelli, you would immediately reject that proposal at your level in the bureaucracy. Failing your recommendation, you would go forth to the press to speak your mind. One can not serve humanity any better than that!

As the adage goes, “if you don't like city hall, become city hall”. You can make a difference far beyond measure. In other words, consider a career as a civil servant. Take the civil service exam soon after graduating from Electrical engineering. But be different from other civil servants by taking a personal vow to not succumb to the “banality of evil” that afflicts almost every national bureaucracy. A moral technocrat well versed in another social science discipline such as law, economics, psychology, or even English literature for instance – the language of hegemony of our times – and possessing the courage of their convictions to serve humanity without fear, can make a great difference in almost any power hierarchy in the bureaucracy. While such a person will surely never rise to dizzying heights, performing at one's own level wisely, morally, astutely, with competence rather than as a useful idiot or with apathy, and in the best interest of one's peoples rather than oneself, can make a greater difference to one's community than an Electrical engineer. Albeit, I am sure that the local power engineer responsible for ensuring electricity supply to your home day and night will contest that statement. If you don't suffer from electricity load shedding, he may even have a point.

Finally, responding to the observation: “Today living in the age of technology, it is inevitable to say that we, the Muslims can [not] win the war without technological advancement.” Nations are not lost, nor battles won, first and foremost, on the anvil of technology. They are lost by having fools, useful idiots, house niggers, and mercenaries at the helm of affairs. Unless that is fixed, no amount of science and technology is the solution for winning anything, let alone a battle against a most superior foe that wages wars principally by way of deception using your own fifth columnists. The best contemporary example is Pakistan's so called “nuclear weapons” deterrence. Do you witness any American drones being deterred from killing innocent civilians in Pakistan? And did you witness these “nuclear weapons” being of any deterrence value whatsoever to the American invasion of Afghanistan in October 2001 under the active support of then military dictator and all powerful President of Pakistan, General Pervez Musharraf, who now lives comfortably himself among his own massa? Without support from Pakistan, the American occupation of land-locked Afghanistan will end overnight. Pakistan's military and its industrialists even supply drinking water to the occupation forces for heaven's sake (Nestle). And American soldiers in Blackwater (or Xe) uniform (just another one of their military divisions) roam the streets of Pakistan trying to prevent Pakistan's “nuclear weapons” from being stolen by “militant Islam”. Thus, the West is now cultivating “moderate Islam” among Muslims, and especially in Pakistan ala Tahir-ul-Qadri and Imran Khan, just as they earlier cultivated “militant Islam”. See Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation. That Hegelian Dialectic cripples Pakistan, and all Muslim nations of the world, far more than any lack of science and technology. All those useful idiots who helped build Pakistan's so called “nuclear weapons” program only sowed the seeds of its own destruction, without surely realizing it. See The Salam Gang and Pakistan’s Nuclear
There will always be great minds bearing the burden of Nobel prizes in science and technology continually preaching science and technology as the panacea for all human ills. Unfortunately, the diseases of the human heart, its corruption and co-option, its cowardice and infantile impulses, coupled to the frailty of the human mind to self-serving psychological manipulation, find no cure in science and technology. The West is today a calculated police-state itself — thanks only to the science and technology which has so predictively, and altogether anticipatingly, enabled it. Please study the essential readings cited in the Introduction above.

Addendum

Below is a fuller description by John Perkins himself on how he used mathematical gibberish to destroy nations. He writes in the opening pages of Chapter 17 of his Confessions of an Economic Hitman (online-link):

'Bruno came up with an idea for an innovative approach to forecasting: an econometric model based on the writings of a turn-of-the-century Russian mathematician. The model involved assigning subjective probabilities to predictions that certain specific sectors of an economy would grow. It seemed an ideal tool to justify the inflated rates of increase we liked to show in order to obtain large loans, and Bruno asked me to see what I could do with the concept.

... By 1977, I had built a small empire that included a staff of around twenty professionals headquartered in our Boston office, and a stable consultants from MAIN's other departments and offices scattered across the globe. I had become the youngest partner in the firm's hundred-year history. In addition to my title of Chief Economist, I was named manager of Economics and Regional Planning. I was lecturing at Harvard and other venues, and newspapers were soliciting articles from me about current events. I owned a sailing yacht that was docked in Boston Harbor next to the historic battleship Constitution, “Old Ironsides”, renowned for subduing the Barbary pirates not long after the Revolutionary War. I was being paid an excellent salary and I had equity that promised to elevate me to the rarified heights of millionaire well before I turned forty. True, my marriage had fallen apart, but I was spending time with beautiful and fascinating women in several continents.

... [With that as background] I brought a young MIT mathematician, Dr. Nadipuram Prasad, into my department and gave him a budget. Within six months he developed the Markov method for econometric modeling. Together we hammered out a series of technical papers that presented Markov as a revolutionary method for forecasting the impact of infrastructure investment on economic development.

It was exactly what we wanted: a tool that scientifically “proved” we were doing countries a favor by helping them incur debts they would never be able to
pay off. In addition, only a highly skilled econometrician with lots of time and
money could possibly comprehend the intricacies of Markov or question its
conclusions. The papers were published by several prestigious organizations,
and we formally presented them at conferences and universities in a number
of countries. The papers – and we – became famous throughout the industry.

See Science in the Service of Empire (tinyurl.com/John-Perkins-MIT-Prof) for more analysis.
There you will find several examples of how “imposing technical experts” routinely fck the public
mind at the national level with scientifically couched gibberish in this age of universal deceit; and ask
yourself: what is the best way to counter it? From the rape of religion to the rape of nations is one
continuum of primacy upon the public mind. Without understanding that primacy and how it is carried
out in the age of universal deceit, the only service one can do for humanity is as a useful idiot. The
success of the Economic Hitman testifies to the veracity of that observation. Of course, neither fool
others nor be fooled by others is a sound moral adage. Both are obviously criminal. As the adage goes,
“fool me once shame on you, fool me twice shame on me”.

But a crime that far surpasses both, is to fool oneself. It is utmost necessary to understand self-
deception before one can even begin to counter the age of universal deceit inflicted upon us. When
people find the accurate description of rape for instance, more repugnant than the rape itself, you know
you are sailing among pious hypocrites on the sea of self-delusion. Which is why I deploy descriptive
words such as “fck” above with circumspection and not frivolously, to accurately capture the criminal
act of “rape” implied by that word. If the crime was less heinous, I would use verbs commensurately
less odious. Apart from accurate capture of reality, it helps expose hypocrites and mental midgets who
easily wince at the accurate language while staying oblivious to the criminal act itself. See What a load
of crap! (tinyurl.com/Zahir-language) for some gentle un-masking of that pious mind in the Pakistani
academe.

There is no cure for self-deception when one becomes content under the delusion that the world
is as one imagines it to be; like in the proverbial tale of “emperor has no clothes”. This is why
developing “sha-oor”, wherewithal, sophistication, at both the individual as well as collective levels, is
so difficult – and if you notice, entirely absent from both the public as well as private higher education
systems. This is not just in developing nations like Pakistan, but in virtually all nations of the world.
These universities worldwide produce “likkha parrha jahils” who nicely serve primacy interests of the
predators across the board. Mankind's predators understand this most perceptively – how to continually fck the public mind without them being any the wiser – as they weave their web of
deception to construct the age of universal deceit. A statement attributed to Lord Rothschild makes its
efficacy self-evident: “The few who understand the system will either be so interested in its profits or be so dependent upon its favours that there will be no opposition from that class, while on the other hand, the great body of people, mentally incapable of comprehending the tremendous advantage that capital derives from the system, will bear its burdens without complaint, and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is inimical to their interests.” Goethe, the German philosopher, had
expressed the perfect prison thusly: “none are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe
they are free.” Which is also why we have the other ancient adage that trumps the path to empirical
knowledge: “know thy self to know the world”. A perceptive example of the truth of these words is
given by Bertrand Russell: “What a man believes upon grossly insufficient evidence is an index to his
desires – desires of which he himself is often unconscious. If a man is offered a fact which goes
against his instincts [or worldview], he will scrutinize it closely, and unless [and at times even when] the evidence is overwhelming, he will refuse to believe it. If, on the other hand, he is offered something which affords a reason for acting in accordance with his instincts [or worldview], he will accept it even on the slenderest evidence.”

These empirical examples of the frailty of the human mind automatically illustrate the path forward to serving humanity in the most productive way. **Making the intent to neither fool oneself, nor to be fooled by others.** That calculated intention is the first pragmatic step in the age of universal deceit which automatically leads one given to industry and hard work, to the struggles, to the endeavors, to the strivings to develop the requisite mental and spiritual sophistication necessary to even begin to compete with each other in “fuss-tabi-qul-khairaat” (see verse 5:48 of the Holy Qur'an). Verse 5:48, as young Muslims should know but often don't, is the primary moral teaching of Islam for serving humanity.

---

**Question 3)**

“I am about to enter medical school but I do not wish to become a rep for “big-Pharma”. What are my options realistically?”

**Answer:**

The medical profession worldwide is entirely dominated by the “drugopoly” of “big-Pharma”, large multinational pharmaceutical corporations. The actual practice of medicine is circumscribed by licensing boards entirely controlled by big-Pharma in virtually all countries of the world. If you primarily seek to bring shifa (cure, good health, preventive medicine) to people, and only secondarily to make a great living prescribing big-Pharma drugs under their diktats, your choices are rather constrained. Become a surgeon if you have the inclination for it. The interaction with big-Pharma is minimal for a surgeon, with the delicate skills of your own fingers largely dominating the practice.

Develop expertise in multiple disciplines in medicine, including what has popularly come to be known as “alternate medicine”. Since the latter was historically dominated by what is considered quackery today, the distinction between the modern form of quackery of big-Pharma, and that of the ancient street “hakeem”, gets blurred in the mind of those who possess the “sha-oor” to study matters forensically for themselves rather than remain subservient to the thinking done for them by the ruling paradigms of their domain. Such subservience is extracted for the practice of modern medicine by the AMA, the FDA, the WHO, and that subservience is now global.

For more discussion of this topic, see the article: [What’s the truth about modern medicine?](#). The
article concludes with the following pragmatism:

Young men and women choosing the medical profession: Think very carefully how you wish to practice the healing arts predicate “First, do no harm”, realizing fully well: 1) how the medical profession actually functions under big-Pharma’s drugopoly rather than laboring under some idealistic and false delusions of curing cancer; and 2) perceptively seeing the choke-hold on modern medicine by the financial oligarchy hell-bent on controlling life and the life-sciences for a scientific dystopia they have imagined; before investing in your medicine career.’

Question 4)

“I am a socially conscious person and I want to be a journalist. I love to write and think independently. But I can't stand mainstream media and the idiotic talking heads who dominate our lives today. I have already studied your article on 'The Mighty Wurlitzer' and already understand how perception management governs the public mind. I don't want to be a part of that dystopia. I want to in fact change that by bringing what's behind the news to the people. What are my options realistically?”

Answer:

The Mighty Wurlitzer report in its Further Study section on Reform in Journalism sheds some light upon this question. Specifically, see the link on reform: A challenge to the aspiring reformers of the Journalism Profession. The only pragmatic solution is the development of national “sha-oor” to counter the entrenched power of perception management by Machiavelli and its local useful idiots. The following is the main text from the report which underscores the twisted reality of the matter:

Finally, the question of reform (tinyurl.com/challenges-journalism-reform) continually arises among the antagonists of the Mighty Wurlitzer, the idealists, and the “malcontents”. They would rather pretend that some rational “reform” can do away with the Mighty Wurlitzer altogether. The following response to the question raised by Robert Jensen, Professor of Journalism, “Can journalism schools be relevant in a world on the brink?”, September 15, 2009, injects a doze of reality-check: “Re-titling can perhaps make the problem-space more apparent: Can journalism schools be relevant in a New World Order of one-world government?” (tinyurl.com/kpgkth). Edward Bernays brilliant successes at mass behavior manipulation has conclusively shown that masses are typically
not motivated into action, moral or any other, by information alone. Rather, primarily by appeal to their irrational sub-conscious mind, to their fears, to their suppressed desires. And, that they remain quite susceptible to hidden emotional manipulation. Therefore, journalism's utility to statecraft to control the masses cannot be overturned, nor reformed, in any existing structure of governance that relies on war and deception to rule. Journalism today is more a diabolical tool of statecraft than of any benefit to the people. It appears to this cynic that in order to even begin to play its theoretically assigned role in a theoretical constitutional republic, unless the much wonted fourth pillar of democracy – the watchdog upon the corridors of power – adopts similar psychological tactics of the oligarchs to manipulate core human instincts for mobilizing the masses for the “democratic check” that is much theorized in Western democracy, journalism is destined to largely remain a steganographic tool of signaling and communication among the elite themselves! To substantiate this egregious point, please see table 3 titled “APPROXIMATE USE OF MEDIA” in Zbigniew Brzezinski's seminal book “Between Two Ages - America's Role in the Technetronic Era”, page 14 (book PDF). The readership data for the printed press like the NYT, while quantitatively dated, is qualitatively even more attuned to the hypnotic reality of television mass media today whereby, while useful advance information can sometimes be gleaned in the inside pages of the elite's own printed press – provided one has learnt how to parse the elite's language – it is rarely if ever present on mass television.'

Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, America's former National Security Advisor, went on to examine the increasingly influential power of news media and the latest communication techniques to manipulate emotions and control reason:

'In the technetronic society the trend seems to be toward aggregating the individual support of millions of unorganized citizens, who are easily within the reach of magnetic and attractive personalities, and effectively exploiting the latest communication techniques to manipulate emotions and control reason. Reliance on television—and hence the tendency to replace language with imagery, which is international rather than national, and to include war coverage or scenes of hunger in places as distant as, for example, India—creates a somewhat more cosmopolitan, though highly impressionistic, involvement in global affairs.' (Between Two Ages, page 11)

Given that twisted reality, a reality shockingly empirical and not merely an academic thesis, in the immediate short term, enter the news media taking the personal vow not to be co-opted for earning a paycheck. The rest of the journey in journalism will become automatically obvious once that first baby-step is courageously taken in opposition to all the naysayers among friends and family. If you listen to advice given by cowards, you will become a coward. Cowards die many deaths, daily. The valiant live but once. Easier to preach that platitude than to enact it in one's own life. So seek out the handful of people who do live that dictum, even today. Examine their lives and the rewards heaped upon them by society. Only choose that path if you accept to ultimately drink the “hemlock” — its
only possible reward for the true journalist who seeks to uncover and report reality the way it actually is.

The following is from the Preamble from my book The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity, 6th Edition 2013 (check [here](#) for PDF availability, 5th edition is presently available but wait to get the updated expanded edition). It sheds some more light on that hazardous journey of truth-telling:

'This book which you now hold in your hands, The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity, is a compendium of topics pertaining to the construction of one-world government. It is the pièce de résistance that systematically unpeels some of “truth's protective layers” in many different domains to demonstrate that virtually nothing the public is led to believe is wholly true. In fact, what the public mind believes and responds to is often outright falsehood. Machiavellian social engineering surrounds modernity in an endless sea of half truths, quarter truths, and outright lies, all of which come wrapped in veneers of “beliefs (1) thought to be true (although not necessarily known to be true with certainty), and (2) shared in common within the relevant political community”, such that ferreting out the whole truth about any matter is a “revolutionary act”. Although, George Orwell is reputed to have stated it differently: “In an age of universal deceit, telling the truth is a revolutionary act.”

I believe my version trumps the prescient novelist's. In order to tell the truth in the age of universal deceit, one still has to ferret it out first. That endeavor, as this book demonstrates, requires the steep ascent to “Mt. Fuji” from whence, “with your mind as high as Mt. Fuji you can see all things clearly. And you can see all the forces that shape events; not just the things happening near to you.” An undertaking that is inexplicably missing from the imposing resumes of most learned peoples today. Why is that wherewithal lacking? “Most people would rather die than think; in fact, they do so”! That is the success of modernity. The cultivation of “a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long”. It is also the raison d'être for this book. Whereas, telling the truth once it has been ferreted out, once one or more of “truth's protective layers” have been diligently peeled away, takes only a modicum of raw courage to tell it. Many people are known to display raw courage today. The show of such raw courage, evidently, is a lot easier than intellectual or moral courage to remove “truth's protective layers” – for the former is usually of immediate existential import to oneself. Even the tiny helpless Palestinian child demonstrates a superfluity of raw courage as his instincts for survival are tickled by the Israeli occupation forces. That raw instinctual courage is amply captured in the following two images. Yet, the matching intellectual and moral courage, the wherewithal to remove all of “truth's protective layers”, to stand up to the occupation of the mind, is evidently as rare as air in freespace.

Ferreting out the truth therefore, in this age of Machiavelli and universal deceit, is the greater, and the first revolutionary act. Telling it of course is the second revolutionary act. The ultimate revolutionary act however trumps both, ferreting
out the truth, and telling the truth. It is to act upon the truth.'

---

**Question 5)**

“If you were twenty years old again,” as my good friend from MIT recently asked me, “and knowing what you know today, what career would you choose?”

**Answer:**

Based on what I comprehend now, and what my interests are now, I would a) not become part of the American military-industrial complex; b) acquire a skilled profession which would enable me to make my living without ever being an employee of anyone in the system that I have now come to abhor; and c) go into social sciences as my core professional interests – separating them out from my tinkering hobbies which I would not turn into my profession as I did the first time around. I would go into law, economics, and political science, all simultaneously, and seek terminal degrees in one or more of those fields. For why I would pursue that, see the Introduction of Monetary Reform Bibliography where the first method of conquering nations is outlined thusly – and which automatically suggests how to secure oneself against the global predators today:

‘Economics and Money aren't supposed to be as abstruse as it is made out to be, and nor does it take a Ph.D. from M.I.T. to realize that one is being taken for a sodomized ride on the Capricorn of economics gibberish. It is the responsibility of every denizen of the world to understand how humanity is being herded into global debt-enslavement and a centrally managed world-government, baby-step at a time, by manufacturing deliberate crisis and then proposing the next baby-step as its solution or fait accompli. Each baby-step erodes away some aspect of national sovereignty. 911 helped setup the global police state as a proposed solution to 'terrorism' – a manufactured product – to create the sine qua non mechanisms for world-government. “World government could only be kept in being by force”, as Bertrand Russell had put it.

The latest financial crisis is designed to systematically create a central world-banking system, as a proposed solution to 'bad loans' – again a manufactured product – to be managed by a global banking cartel under legal sanction. “Give me control of a nation's money supply, and I care not who makes its laws”, as the Rothschild banking scions boldly narrate in almost every generation. Today, the cumulative world debt is in uncountable trillions, and there is no nation on
earth which is not beholden to some banking cartel, be it the WB-IMF tag team of economic mercenaries preying upon the resource-rich nations of Global South (see John Perkins), or the private central banks lending parasites doing the same to their richer brethren in the Global North (see Money as Debt).

On top of them both, sit the same handful of private banking families in their interlocking relationships, protected by their own hand-crafted instruments of commerce, trade-treaties, and their hand-picked political governance which creates for them the legal sanctions necessary for the entire global racket based on unpayable debt to flourish. Once a nation, like a person, can't pay its debts, demand for the proverbial “pound of flesh” is as convincing as making an offer one can't refuse.

In contrast to the Neanderthal gangster Al Capone, or Michael Corelone in the blockbuster movie 'The Godfather', who weren't smart enough to change the laws of the land in favor of their criminal enterprises and therefore, the state's policing apparatus could be relied upon to eventually take parasites like them down, these banksters connivingly write the very laws of the land in their favor. They own, or control through proxy, the media, the legislatures, the executives, the think-tanks, the foundations, all levers of power, good and bad loans, and discourse itself, in pretty much all major societies – from G7 to G20 (excepting to some extent BRIC, Venezuela, and Iran) – cleverly hiding their own role behind the scenes of elected officials and “democracy” in constructing their global fiefdom.'

Question 6)

“I would like to pursue a career in social sciences, as opposed to in engineering, medicine, business, or hard sciences. However, I don't see many career choices besides teaching, or working for MNCs in marketing, sales, most likely selling insurance or cell phones, or even in Human Resources ensuring that the rest of the employees give the best part of their life for the interest of the corporation under some appropriately tickled delusion. Unlike in the West, there are few opportunities to indulge in social science scholarship in the East apart from joining local NGOs – which you say inevitably serve Western agendas in the guise of indigenous development, as per their ultimate sources of funding. So, do I just starve with a plateful of imposing scholarship in humanities and social sciences?”

Zahir Ebrahim
Answer:

No. I suggest you use your head and stop being a simpleton seeking pat formulations. Separate the ability to make a decent livelihood from developing and exercising scholarship. The twain have little to do with each other. Based on your own particular circumstance, choose both paths simultaneously. However, if one does not perceptively think through one's career choices carefully, these paths can come into conflict with each other. When that happens, it has been empirically observed that the considerations of the “stomach” always win. Preempting that co-option which is known to perpetually lurk in the shadows waiting to deliver its existential coup de grâce to intellectual integrity, develop skills which will earn you a livelihood in a method which will not put a choke-hold on your scholarship-speak.

For instance, if you become a medical doctor, or lawyer, or small business owner, you are paid directly by the individual whom you provide your skilled and professional services to, and not by an agency, organization, or governmental body that can circumscribe your scholarship-speak in social sciences if it goes against their grain. With your livelihood thus secured, nothing stops you from your pursuits of the social sciences. Continuing with that line of reasoning, if you pursue a Ph.D. in psychology as well as a J.D. in some aspect of law for instance, the two fields not only complement each other enabling you to make a decent living without controlling your ability to speak your mind, but also afford you a glimpse into the inner-workings of the entire hierarchy of power: from the external to the internal, from the exoteric to the esoteric, from man-made laws that strangulate human affairs to the frailty of the human mind which voluntarily accepts strangulation.

With such sophisticated and professional wherewithal, seek positions of influence within your community, nation, and even internationally, based on your work in social sciences. It can span the gamut from social work, psychological counseling for instance, to academic work, analyzing social trends locally to internationally for instance. To understand the value of a psychologist to both national subversion, and national self-defense against subversion, see the narrative by Victor Ostrovsky, By Way of Deception: The Making of a Mossad officer, St. Martins Press (PDF-link, search). Even as a fictionalized tale, at best, the import of a psychologist to a wide ranging human affairs, including to national defense, is clearly visible.

An energetic young mind is only limited by its imagination. The fundamental problem for Muslims, young and old alike, is that our mind grows mold before we even step into the real world. We are almost always followers. And we love to tread paths already beaten by others. Since all established paths in the New World Order have been co-opted to serve Western interests, and specifically the Western oligarchic interests, perhaps the The Road Not Taken is more instructive for those not willing to abide by its stranglehold on human affairs:

'I shall be telling this with a sigh
Somewhere ages and ages hence:
Two roads diverged in a wood, and I,
I took the one less traveled by,
And that has made all the difference.'
(Last stanza of the poem The Road Not Taken by Robert Frost)

All this “new thinking”, first and foremost, calls for an attitude change: giving up intellectual laziness; complacency; contentment; and being *laissez-faire* about the world. The rest will naturally follow. As the saying goes: “If necessity is the mother of invention, then discontent must surely be the father of progress.”

**Question 7)**

“You appear to advocate confrontation with power. While that is okay for some rich guy who is not worried about earning a living through a paycheck, how can an ordinary middle class student whose only option for livelihood is a job, who is not a rebel, who does not want to change the world, nor wishes to commit suicide confronting the robber barons, but just to live in dignity and support his or her family, live up to such 'jihadi' advice? It is entirely impractical in the real world of putting real food on the table – hungry stomachs and medical bills aren't filled and paid in fighting losing battles, but in accommodation to power, in getting along, in remaining silent to their criminal enterprises, in remaining neutral, and in minding one's own business. The great Ismaili leader Aga Khan is the most pragmatic among Muslim leaders today. By being neutral, and also commanding his Ismaili flock to remain neutral, the Aga Khan has secured for his minority people sanctuary from tyranny. Look they are thriving, and happy, while he continues to build schools, universities, hospitals, and social programs for them worldwide. In his 1954 Memoirs “World Enough and Time” [PDF, Cached], the late Sir Aga Khan III, the 48th Imam of the Ismaili Muslims, wrote: 'Of one fact my years in public life have convinced me: the value of a compromise is that it can supply a bridge across a difficult period, and later having employed that bridge, it is often possible to bring into effect the full-scale measures of reform which originally would have been rejected out of hand.' And the late Aga Khan wisely chose his grandson, the present Aga Khan IV, the 49th Imam of the Ismaili Muslims, and the coveted European socialite who is now a bridge between two civilizations, the East and the West, to continue that vision of neutrality as the safest bridge across tyranny. The dusty old books in the world's libraries are filled with great platitudes and we are still exactly where we were when Kaabil killed Haabil (Cain killed Abel) at the dawn of man. I am no hero. The great Aga Khan's pragmatism of compromise, of not confronting power, of getting on with great social work which power does not mind, and in fact, encourages, so long as you don't challenge it, even giving it great awards and titles, just as it bestowed the knighthood upon Sir Aga Khan III, appears far more productive to me to pattern my life upon. I will at least be able to put food on the table for my family and better my economic condition by being a team-player. Do I come away from reading your verbose FAQ full of great literary virtue I am sure, entirely empty-handed? I am disappointed!”

Zahir Ebrahim
Answer:

My Response to the Evergreen Doctrine of Neutrality

Which is why no one may answer this age old question for others but for oneself: to confront, or be co-opted? Thank you for reminding us of that fact.

See Islam: Surah Al-Asr of the Holy Qur'an and answer it for your own self according to your own bent of mind. Just as you evidently have the “maarfat” (wherewithal) to challenge this little Project Humanbeingsfirst with such great eloquence, acquire the “maarfat” to also challenge your own limitations – real and imagined – to rise above them. Take an inventory of your assets, and liabilities. Meaning, enumerate for yourself the gifts you have received by being born on the right side of the railroad tracks compared to the poorly endowed fellow you most pity, and the limits that have been put upon you by being born on the wrong side of the railroad tracks compared to that well endowed fellow you envy even a little bit. That is surely your space. Higher you set your purpose, more you are driven to fill that space. It is perhaps the simplest way to look at matters of qaza and qada (destiny vs. freewill) – but also very practical. There are surely other more abstract philosophical ways as well.

Your Accountability, if there is such a thing as what Islam preaches, is only to the sensible equation: Output / Input. Meaning, your voluntary contribution to life in relation to your own special gifts and our own trying limitations. One does not have to be a “religious” person to live a moral life in the traditional sense. Islam however demands far more from all Muslims as is self-evident from my little exposition of Surah Al-Asr for instance. That sensible equation noted above is very difficult to get to even unity for most people who are most superbly endowed, let alone surpass unity. Meaning, many of us are in fact far more blessed than our output might demonstrate. Far less output is needed from those who are less fortunate than us, to surpass us in that equation of life. Thus, in a way, a smaller denominator is a greater mercy as the expectation of output is commensurately less in relation to one with a larger denominator. In any case, this is not my concoction but the wisdom of the sages who have tried to rationalize life and its inequities. Islam's guidance to mankind lends itself naturally to that rationalization: “On no soul doth Allah Place a burden greater than it can bear. It gets every good that it earns, and it suffers every ill that it earns.”


The equation Accountability = Output / Input is merely that Qur'anic statement “On no soul doth Allah Place a burden greater than it can bear” put mathematically. Leading a life which strives to optimize that equation towards unity however, a life that is “not at a loss” according to Surah al-Asr of the Holy Qur'an, first and foremost, is a choice, like every other choice that you can enjoy in your space. Islam unequivocally underscores this choice: “Surely We have shown him the way: he may be thankful or unthankful.” ( Arabic: إِنَّا هدِيناهُ السُّبُلَ إِما شاكِراً إِما كَفُورًا ) Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-insaan 76:3.

To confront, or be co-opted?, is a question therefore which the great Aga Khan chose to address in his own way – and for which he is just as Accountable as every human being – for he can also rationally argue that he carried the great burden of leadership of his entire community upon his shoulders: “My duties are wider than those of the Pope, ... The Pope is only concerned with the spiritual welfare of his flock.”[1] That a good shepherd endeavors to protect his own flock: “An

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
imam in Islam is responsible for the security of the people who refer to him; he is responsible for the interpretation of faith; and he is responsible for their quality of life; so those three areas are areas which are my responsibility.”[2] The Aga Khan is evidently also well aware of the aforementioned Accountability equation: “The Islamic ethic is that if God has given you the capacity or good fortune to be a privileged individual in society, you have a moral responsibility to society.”[3] You can perceptively see that even Imam Hussein ibn Ali ibn Abi Talib, the Aga Khan's great grandfather some two score generations removed, and the Prophet of Islam's own beloved grandson from his own Ahlul Bayt, when he chose to sacrifice his own life standing up to the tyrants of his time as the Exemplar of the Holy Qur'an, only took with him his own immediate family members to the fatal battlefront; he did not call upon other Muslims in Medina where he lived, to sacrifice their lives fighting the imperial tyrants ruling Muslims at the time. He left that decision up to each individual entirely, and to their “sha-oor”, to endeavor or not to endeavor in his footsteps. And when he had finally made that famous call which has come down to us in history: “hull min naasirun yun surna”, history has also documented just how many voluntarily responded to the Imam's testing call. Most of the citizens of Kufa (Iraq), as in the rest of the Hijaz, choosing the path of neutrality and silence. And even in the battlefield, on the night before, history records a speech in which the pious Imam, honored by the Ismailis today like all Muslims both Shia and Sunni, invited those who had dared to courageously join him, to leave him and save themselves. He forewarned them that he and his family faced certain annihilation the next day. That is the same point here. When you hear the call for help, “hull min naasirun yun surna”, from Pakistan to Palestine, Iraq to Afghanistan, from Quetta to Karachi, when you see your own nations looted and plundered, and when you see your own life reduced to nothing but vile servitude under your own feudal lords of every uniform, it is your call to respond, or to silently look away chasing your 'American Dream'.

Today you can witness the same Ismailis you speak of being slaughtered in Pakistan along with the rest of Pakistanis irrespective of their allegiance to the neutral Aga Khan. The emperor's battalions doing the slaughter of Pakistanis is donning various uniforms to foment both “insurgency” and justification for “counter-insurgency” ( tinyurl.com/Insurgency-CounterInsurgency ). Today the emperor's battalion in pirate's uniform is doing the Ismaili slaughter. The time is close at hand when another battalion of the emperor in its own uniform will un-apologetically be doing the same slaughter. We have witnessed this in Iraq with sufficient empirical evidence to wisely learn from that modus operandi of fomenting “revolutionary times”. No compromise is a sufficient bridge between tyranny – for tyranny really does not distinguish in the limit of things. The Ismailis are most aware of their own long history of persecution and will testify to the truth of this statement. You are answerable for your neutrality. A temporary reprieve it may provide to some, but the fire engulfing others while you enjoy that reprieve is never known to distinguish between homes. As the famous saying attributed to the German pastor Martin Niemöller goes:

\[\text{First they came for the communists,}\\ \text{and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a communist.}\\ \text{Then they came for the socialists,}\\ \text{and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a socialist.}\\ \text{Then they came for the trade unionists,}\\ \text{and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a trade unionist.}\]
Then they came for the jews,
and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a Jew.

Then they came for the catholics,
and I didn't speak out because I wasn't a catholic.

Then they came for me,
and there was no one left to speak for me.'

Someday, at a future “Nuremberg Tribunal”, when it is once again demonstrated under victim's justice that silence is criminal, that, compromise and neutrality are the first “banality of evil” from which all the rest of evil naturally follow, all those living and preaching neutrality will surely be as loudly condemned as today they are held up as the epitome of pragmatism. That is of course only of theoretical interest for the pragmatist. The survivalist always knows how to cut a deal. Arguably, that is the smartest way forward in a jungle.

All I can humbly suggest to someone of your sophistication and pragmatism is to develop your “sha-oor” to complement your practical instincts for survival. The rest will automatically follow. Let your own “sha-oor” be your first guide, your own internal imam, and not some website you randomly read on the internet. Although, the matters are surely different when you follow your favorite scholar in turban, suit, or bow tie (sic)! Effectively, more you follow others, more opinion you seek from others, more you make others your imam, more you condemn yourself to their thinking. That too is your choice, for as per the promise of the Holy Qur'an, if you believe in such Provenance I mean, and most really don't despite their claims to holiness and great piety: “One day We shall call together all human beings with their (respective) Imams” (Arabic: يُؤمِّنُ نَذُّوْكُمْ كُلًا أُمِّهِم وَأُمَّهُمْ) Holy Qur'an, Surah al-Israa' 17:71.
In the age of universal deceit, it is surely wise to follow one's own mind as one's imam first, as limited and as fallible as its vision might be, for one never really knows who is the marde-momin and who is the superman (tinyurl.com/Allama-Iqbal-ubermensch). Empiricism has shown that regardless of the merits of their claim, they both lead one to hell on earth while promising heaven elsewhere. And so does the feeble mind, the foolish mind, the dull mind that is unable to separate chaff from wheat. That is traditionally the Public Mind, encouraged to remain a perpetual follower so that it can be shepherded wherever the shepherd fancies. The Qur'an forewarns of this precise empiricism in these dire words:

“(On the day) when those who were followed disown those who followed (them), and they behold the doom, and all their aims collapse with them. And those who were but followers will say: If a return were possible for us, we would disown them even as they have disowned us. Thus will Allah show them their own deeds as anguish for them, and they will not emerge from the Fire.” Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-Baqara, 2:166-167.

I do not much know about hell elsewhere – grappling with the one here is sufficient for most of us who do worry about it here – except for these statements of the Holy Qur'an wisely admonishing all “followers” to be judicious in the choice of whom they adopt as their guide and whom they choose to “pattern” their life upon. If you voluntarily follow others in this world making them your “imam”, you should know that you will also be held to account in their company involuntarily on the Day when all accounts are finally settled. If you followed them here voluntarily, as per 17:71 quoted above, you will have no choice but to also follow them to wherever is their ultimate destination post Accounting. So follow that “imam” you know for sure is not going to that other Hell elsewhere – if you care about it. The word “imam” according to The Arabic-English dictionary of the Holy Qur'an in my reference is defined as: “Leader; President; Any object that is followed, whether a human being or a book or a highway”.

Parse these pearls of wisdom from the doctrine of the Holy Qur'an as per your own “sha-oor” – bent of mind – if you believe in any of it that is. If you don't, you really have no fear of Accountability.

Even in that case, still do your best to be a good person according to your inner moral compass – we all have one, our first inner imam – and the rest is c'est la vie. I know many fine atheists who are far better human beings than many a worthy man of cloth – for they see inherent virtue in being good irrespective of some fear of hell or favor of heaven which they don't believe in anyway. They instead follow the virtue of Solon, the ancient Athenian law-giver, who advocated for social responsibility as not just a moral requirement, but a legal requirement. When asked which city he thought was well-governed, Solon said: “That city where those who have not been injured take up the cause of one who has, and prosecute the case as earnestly as if the wrong had been done to themselves.”

In the strictest moral sense, these godless people are more moral than the trader who is moral only to trade for heaven or hell. If the Output / Input equation of these godless people, irrespective of any notion of Accountability, exceeds that of the man of cloth, shame on the latter – a trafficker in religion could not match the gratitude for being born on the right side of the railroad tracks of even an atheist!

In conclusion, the matter is sufficiently obvious to warrant any further elucidation. Neutrality,
which begets silence, is criminal – whatever might be the selfish existential considerations of expediency. No one can remain safe for long being neutral in a predatory jungle.

I would be sorely remiss not to also observe at least as postscript, that those who send others to their death telling them to stand-up to tyranny are often the first ones to also slink away. Next time you hear the clarion call from someone to stand-up – judge by their acts before you heed that specious call. Mullahs and Ayatollahs, like presidents and prime ministers, are the most adept at getting others to wear the battle dress while they sit comfortably in their home shoes – never failing to show up to recite the liturgies and last rites. The Aga Khan is the most forthright and honest in his stance in that way – he is himself neutral and therefore does not call upon his flock by any other clarion. Only when the Aga Khan decides to give up his doctrine of neutrality for himself – chooses to risk his own hair on his head – will he be entitled to call upon his flock to do the same. And if the blood of his great grandfather still runs in his veins, the Aga Khan will leave that as a moral choice to his followers, leading by example rather than through indoctrination and coercion in the name of divine Imammat. In that respect, all Mullahs and Ayatollahs, presidents and prime ministers, may take a leaf from Aga Khan's play book. No – not that of strict political neutrality[4], but of not being hypocrites[5][6].

A Man of The World - The Aga Khan

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n6w8EOczc74]

His Highness Aga Khan interview for Portugal TV

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-LVmAgb5wcQ]

Footnotes


'Multi-billionaire son of a notorious playboy, His Highness Prince Karim, the fourth Aga Khan, enjoys his jets, yachts, and Thoroughbreds. But since the age of 20, he has also been the spiritual leader of 15 million Shia Ismaili Muslims, building a hugely effective global development network. In Chantilly, home to France’s most prestigious horse race, James Reginato explores how the press-shy, Harvard-educated prince, at 76, fuses two worlds.

His Highness Prince Karim, the fourth Aga Khan and 49th hereditary imam of the world’s 15 million Shia Imami Ismaili Muslims, remains a paradox to many people. The Pope of his flock, he also possesses fabled wealth and inhabits a world of marvelous châteaux, yachts, jets, and Thoroughbred horses. To be sure, few persons bridge so many divides—between the spiritual and the material; East and West; Muslim and Christian—as gracefully as he does.

Born in Geneva, brought up in Nairobi, educated at Le Rosey and Harvard, the Aga Khan has a British passport and spends a great deal of his time aloft in his private aircraft, but his base is Aiglemont, a vast estate near Chantilly, 25 miles north of Paris. On-site, in addition to a château and an elaborate training center for about a hundred of his Thoroughbreds, is the
Secretariat, a modern office block that houses the nerve center of what might be described as his own U.N., the Aga Khan Development Network. A staggering large and effective organization, it employs 80,000 people in 30 countries. Although it is generally known for the nonprofit work it does in poor and war-torn parts of the globe, the A.K.D.N. also includes an enormous portfolio of for-profit businesses in sectors ranging from energy and aviation to pharmaceuticals, telecommunications, and luxury hotels. In 2010 these generated $2.3 billion in revenue. The extent of these endeavors might not be so well known to the general public, since the Aga Khan usually shuns the press and stays out of the public eye.

Though he has no political territory, the Aga Khan is virtually a one-man state and is often received like a head of state when he travels. As imam he is responsible for looking after the material as well as spiritual needs of his followers, who are scattered in more than 25 countries across Asia, Africa, the Middle East, Europe, and North America. His projects, however, benefit people of all faiths. ...

The title Aga Khan—meaning, in a combination of Turkish and Persian, commanding chief—was granted in the 1830s by the Emperor of Persia to Karim’s great-great-grandfather when he married the emperor’s daughter. But Aga Khan I was also the 46th hereditary imam of the Ismaili Muslims of the world, in a line that descends directly from the Prophet Muhammad in the seventh century.

In 1885, Prince Karim’s grandfather (who was born in India) was seven years old when he assumed the imamate upon his father’s death. The following year, he received his “His Highness” from Queen Victoria. In the early 1900s he moved to Europe, in part to pursue his passion for horse breeding and racing, in which he would become a celebrated figure. All the while, he looked after his flock remarkably well, building a huge network of hospitals, schools, banks, and mosques for them. “My duties are wider than those of the Pope,” he once explained. “The Pope is only concerned with the spiritual welfare of his flock.”

“He was an extraordinary personality, a very powerful intellect,” recalls his grandson. “When he left India and established himself in Europe, he became very fascinated with the philosophy of the Western world. He brought that knowledge to his community.”

And they showed their appreciation. On his Golden Jubilee, in 1936, his followers famously gave him his weight in gold, a spectacle some 30,000 onlookers jammed a square in Bombay to witness. Upon his Diamond and Platinum Jubilees, he received similar tributes in the appropriate stones and metal. The sizable funds from those tributes pale, however, compared with the zakat money traditionally paid by members of the Ismaili community, some of whom believe their imam is semi-divine. (Prince Karim categorically denies any suggestion that he is divine.)'

[2] Statement made by Aga Khan IV in his first ever interview to American television network, NBC (time 2m 20s), http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jPAU-dxe1ow#t=2m20s

'The Aga Khan, like his grandfather before him, has always been concerned about the
wellbeing of all Muslims, particularly the impact on them of the challenges of the rapidly evolving world. Addressing as Chairman, the International Conference on the Example (Seerat) of the Prophet Muhammad in Karachi in 1976, he noted that the wisdom of Allah's final Prophet in seeking new solutions for problems which could not be solved by traditional methods, provides the inspiration for Muslims to conceive a truly modern and dynamic society, without affecting the fundamental concepts of Islam.

Since the present Aga Khan assumed the office of Imamat in 1957, there have been major political and economic changes in most of the countries where Ismailis live. He has adapted the complex system of administering the various Ismaili communities, pioneered by his grandfather during the colonial era, to a world of nation states. In the course of that process, Sir Sultan Mahomed Shah Aga Khan, who was twice President of the League of Nations, had already provided a contemporary articulation of the public international role of the Imamat.

The Imamat today, under the present Aga Khan, continues this tradition of strict political neutrality.

In designating his successor to the Imamat in 1957, Sir Sultan Mahomed Shah Aga Khan stated in his will:

"In view of the fundamentally altered conditions in the world...due to the great changes which have taken place...I am convinced that it is in the best interests of the Shia Muslim Ismailia Community that I should be succeeded by a young man who has been brought up in the midst of the new age and who brings a new outlook on life to his office of Imam". (cached)


Secular Humanism

The Coming Danger

For All Mankind
this page is intentionally blank
The New Religion Of World Order

Secular Humanism is intended to become the “religion” of the World Order in the making. Its purpose is to disarm the populations of all resistance by removing theism from their midst; the higher moral authority that conferred absolute standards and invited mankind toward hope and breaking the bonds of servitude to fellow man. It is easier to make slaves of the public mind with no higher authority other than the world government, big brother if you will, which shall rule the public mind in such a way that mankind shall come to love its own servitude. That's the agenda. It will come to naught without a doubt – for the religion of Islam stands in its way; the only impediment to achieving full spectrum control of the human species by the financial oligarchy. Its visible champions among commoners span the gamut of *useful idiots* from likkha-parrha jahils pretending to be the avant-garde in intellectual thought to ordinary a-religious people fed up with moral policing.

--- Agenda for World Government, Adam Weishaupt et. al., 1776–present
The White Man's Burden Redux

“The destructive impact of Western Civilization upon so many other societies rests on its ability to demoralize their ideological and spiritual culture as much as its ability to destroy them in a material sense with firearms.”

--- Carroll Quigley, Tragedy and Hope – A History of the World in Our Time, 1966
Chapter 42

Uniting Mankind Against Secular Humanism

Morality derived from the Intellect leads to Enslavement!

This is Project Humanbeingsfirst's response to the moral reflections of an anonymous ordinary mortal in “The importance and benefits of self honesty”. The writer mused:

'When you stand before God to be judged, do you really think at that time you will be able to enter a debate with God about your behavior? Playing with or bending some words to cover-up or justify your bad deeds? Perhaps a little “white lie” here and there? Do you really think you can deceive God?’ [1]

This problem was solved by Nietzsche a long time ago!

There used to be a prominent T-Shirt worn around campus when I was an undergrad, it said in bold:

**God is Dead** --- Nietzsche
(of course I am not going to provide the punch-line that was printed in very fine letters just
underneath that, at least not just yet!)

The German philosopher found God dead for the more keen of intellect among mankind, the
superman, Übermensch, über alles; Plato's philosopher-king no longer bound by God but his own “will
to power” to become his own god. [2]

As god, the superman is beyond the confines of good and evil, beyond the calculus of
conventional morality, and thus is freed to redefine what the word “morality” means with his own
superior intellect for the rest of mankind, the untermensch, who have not yet evolved, or refuse to
evolve, to that higher state of intelligence that only evolution can bestow. Hoi polloi being in the
majority, hamper the evolution of man and his societies with their superstitions and must therefore be
led and guided by the intellect of the superman. This line of reasoning is the foundation of modern
secularism and its new religion, Secular Humanism, the worship of reason instead of some unseen
God.

In this missive, I advance the commonsense observation that morality and intellect are two
separate things. It is mixing them where people become misled!

Intellect cannot confer upon morality any view other than subjective, and hence relative and
arbitrary. The following statement from an 'uber intellect' is a good evidence of this:
“Nothing is more certain in modern society than the principle that there are no absolutes, that a name, a phrases, a standard has meaning only when associated with the considerations which give birth to nomenclature. To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative.” --- Justice Vinson, U.S. Supreme Court, 1951 AD

However, the following algorithm is not just evidence of what I say, but its outright proof. This proof is furnished by the 'uber uber' atheist of the 20th century, i.e., the most fanatical God is Dead exponent, Mr. Bertrand Russell. I can't recall the exact chapter and verse, but it goes something like this.

Bertrand Russell's morality synthesis exclusively from the intellect:

~ 'Maximize individual happiness (pleasures) while minimizing social conflict (not hitting on another's spouse) to optimize the overall happiness of the people composing the social unit who agree to live by the set of laws which implement this operations-research calculus.' --- Bertrand Russell also noted some caveats for protection of minors and those unable to make choices so that one could not maximize one's pleasures upon them without some institutional safeguards.

Using that highly intellectual morality equation – and I will confess that I have not encountered a more profound synthesis of morality and law anywhere, and which, on the surface at least, appears rather full of brilliance and minimalism – it would be perfectly acceptable, for instance, to spread Black-death every other generation for population control among other 'untermensch' societies. Or, to create a draconian police-state by re-defining what individual happiness might mean, and conditioning the people to get used to it. As Goethe had observed, “none are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free”. In such a society, the people could be kept quite content in their voluntary servitude thus leaving no social conflict whatsoever – and thus culminating in a perfectly stable and rational society.

In this highly intellectual system, also euphemistically called Secular Humanism, enslaving the populace by a bunch of wily 'ubermensch' who have craftily chosen not to be constrained in the "semantic strait-jacket" alluded to by Judge Vinson quoted above and who accept “that all concepts are relative", that state of affairs would be a perfectly moral outcome. It certainly satisfies Bertrand Russell's intellect-derived morality calculus. And if someone thinks I am making all this up, Bertrand Russell himself concluded in his epiphany to 'uber' intellectual morality, in his 1952 book “Impact of Science on Society”, that a Scientific Society, meaning one built on intellect – as obviously imbeciles can't do high-tech science – will automatically culminate in “World government [which] could only be kept in being by force”.

Bertrand Russell's superior intellect finds the stability of the global police state desirable as it would also have the other wholesome characteristic that any superior intellect running the world with unlimited force at its disposal would always demand from hoi polloi: absolute obedience! The tools to finally achieve that long held dream to control all human beings on earth by its supermen, only made available in the scientific age.

Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, America's National Security Advisor and the author of the Carter
Doctrine that gave to the USSR its Vietnam War in Afghanistan in Muslim blood, wrote in his own seminal 1970 book “Between Two Ages” of the advent of the scientific society and what that new age portends:

“Life seems to lack cohesion as environment rapidly alters and human beings become increasingly manipulable and malleable. Everything seems more transitory and temporary: external reality more fluid than solid, the human being more synthetic than authentic. Even our senses perceive an entirely novel "reality"—one of our own making but nevertheless, in terms of our sensations, quite "real." More important, there is already widespread concern about the possibility of biological and chemical tampering with what has until now been considered the immutable essence of man. Human conduct, some argue, can be predetermined and subjected to deliberate control. Man is increasingly acquiring the capacity to determine the sex of his children, to affect through drugs the extent of their intelligence, and to modify and control their personalities. Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, "I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain."” (pg. 12)

Novelist George Orwell depicted that re-semantification of words and language for the full spectrum control of the human mind as “Newspeak” in his famous 1948 dystopian fable “Nineteen Eighty-four”. Aldous Huxley introduced the “Soma” and being happy in voluntary servitude by the very design of the human beings without the need for overt jackboots on the face of humanity, in his 1931 dystopian fable “Brave New World”. All dystopias fundamentally brought on by the superior intellect of the Übermensch.

Books of atheist philosophers and social scientists aside, we can brazenly observe this exercise of the ‘uber’ intellect not just in the world government under construction which of course no one believes is happening, but in the Talmud among its own very moral followers which too no one can ever deny unless their lips are moving in chutzpatic confabulations. The Ten Commandments of Moses are intellectually particularized from their universal moral form, by adding an implied “Jew” at the end. Thus, as has been amply exposed by many recovering Jews themselves, “Thou Shall Not Kill” is read by many an adherent Talmudic Rabbi as: “Thou Shall Not Kill [a Jew; killing goy is OK].”

And as evidence that this “hegelian mind-fck” isn't just some historical baggage which happened in the Dark Ages with no bearing to modernity, here is the latest version of the Law Book of Israel: "The King's Torah"! [3]

For additional examples of this ongoing “hegelian mind-fck”, please see From Genesis to Genocide in Palestine. [4]

Fundamentally, the questions probed by the anonymous writer have been long solved philosophically, i.e., by using the intellect. Here is a short passage from Leo Strauss which shows just how remarkably easily it has been solved:

Go To TOC2
'Political Zionism has repeatedly characterized itself as the will to normalize the existence of the Jewish people, to normalize the Jewish people. By this self-definition it has exposed itself to a grave misunderstanding, namely, the misunderstanding that the will to normality was the first word of political Zionism; the most effective criticism of political Zionism rests on this misunderstanding. In truth, the presupposition of the Zionist will to normalization, that is, of the Zionist negation of galut [exile], is the conviction that "the power of religion has been broken". Because the break with religion has been resolutely effected by many individual Jews, and only because of this reason, it is possible for these individuals to raise the question on behalf of their people, how the people is to live from now on. Not that they prostrate themselves before the idol of normality; on the contrary: they no longer see any reason for the lack of normality. And this is decisive: in the age of atheism, the Jewish people can no longer base its existence on God but only on itself alone, on its labor, on its land, and on its state. ...' --- page 202, Leo Strauss, The Early Writings 1921-1932

See its fuller exposition at the link below, but here is the core essence of that morality:

'In simple language which peels off the philosophical-gibberish of “will to normality” and such, straightforwardly speaking: god gave the Jews the land grants, anointed them as the 'chosen peoples', and then Nietzsche killed god, and now it's up to the Jewish people who “can no longer base its existence on God but only on itself alone, on its labor, on its land, and on its state”, in order to construct their own future “because the break with religion has been resolutely effected by many individual Jews” who must now lead their flock!!!' [5]

See how wonderful a solution it is mes amis? I hope no one is too sarcasm impaired here.

Not to be outdone by atheists in defining their own super-morality with their uber-intellect, god's chosen theists can even outdo that with learned confabulations – become god themselves:

'
... The point is that a Jew has strength, ability and power to create the desire within G-d to accept and become King over the entire creation.

It's understood, that the existence of the entire creation, in truth, is brought about by the Jew's coronation of G-d, and through which He becomes a King over the entire creation, which ultimately results in the fact that all of creation comes from the Primary being, G-d.

It's obvious that since every Jew, men and even women and children, brings about the existence of the entire creation, they become masters over the world, and thus every single creation owes them recognition for this good.

**Being that through the Jew, all beings were created, he therefore becomes the master over all of them.**

This is especially so in regards to what needs to be accomplished on erev
[every?] Rosh Hashana.

Since the judgment of Rosh Hashana is primarily regarding physical matters, as explained in Likutei Torah, therefore the Jew is in complete control, particularly over physical matters.

The physicality of the world itself has to recognize the good that the Jew has accomplished.

Through the Jews they came into being, and their true existence is through their unity with the True Being.

**Since G-d and the Jews are one, each Jew becomes a True Being, and is thus able to bring about all of creation.**

**He therefore has control over all of creation and not only that, but they owe him thanks and are indeed thankful, for being provided with abundance in physical and especially spiritual matters.’ --- Translation of Talmudic reading by a Rabbi, The Coronation of Hashem [6]**

Such is the natural culmination of morality when the superior intellect is put in charge of its direction! The sociopaths will always justify the Übermensch. Nietzsche of course called it “will to power” of the superman; the only way for man to evolve into a higher rational being. As we have unfortunately witnessed time and again however, it has become the favorite expression of both social Darwinian philosophers and war-mongers of all stripes who remorselessly employ “end justify the means” paradigm for exercising their primacy upon fellow man. The primacy entitlement felt to be innate to the “survival of the fittest” philosophy, is cunningly disguised in Newspeak which the ill-informed public is unable to parse until it is already fait accompli.

Here is President George W. Bush Jr. employing it in his Speech before a Joint Session of Congress on September 20, 2001. The speech writer used Nietzschean allusions to announce the unfettered rise of the new *superman* mandarins of earth in the aftermath of 9/11. Only those well read of classical literature, mostly the elites themselves, likely understood its implications even before the first bombs were dropped on Afghanistan. One wonders whether even the chief executive mouth-piece of the superpower nation who famously uttered these scripted words in the US Congress like a puppet on a string, and which were duly televised live to the shocked world, fully understood it himself:

“We have seen their kind before. They are the heirs of all the murderous ideologies of the twentieth century. By sacrificing human life to serve their radical visions, by abandoning every value except the will to power, they follow in the path of fascism, nazism, and totalitarianism. And they will follow that path all the way to where it ends. In history's unmarked grave of discarded lies. Every nation, in every region, now has a decision to make. Either you are with us, or you are with the terrorists.” [7]

We have of course seen the actual results of that pious ultimatum and who abandoned, and continues to abandon. **“every value except the will to power”** by their massive military invasions under false pretenses, DU bombings of civilians in defenseless nations, and police-state at home.
A shortlist of examples of significant Newspeak by the superior intellect which has altered our world is given in Footnotes [a] through [h] below. These examples empirically illustrate the vast distance between pious language and the actual reality of their diabolical subversion or their intended meaning by the superman. The pious verbiage mainly serve the interest of perception management of hoi polloi so that the “history's actors” can carry on accomplishing their Übermensch agendas without interference from the public, often willingly acquiring the public's consent under the right set of “doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” (Brzezinski) continually fed them by intellectual experts. The Übermensch create their own hard reality as “history's actors” while the rest of the world is caught up in their pious platitudes and propaganda warfare. And, after the inevitable fait accompli, is merely left to study it ex post facto, when the deeds are already cast in stone:

"We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality - judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do." [8]

Morality is only Newspeak for public consumption to buy time and to induce compliance when the Übermensch is the history's actor. The dystopias of the twentieth-century fables have quite escaped from the library into the reality created by these history's actors. Newspeak is now so ubiquitous that we are even unconscious of its presence, like the air we breathe, but it cradles our thoughts, feelings, actions as well as inactions. It is the gift to mankind of will to power.

It would of course be a travesty of thought to end this missive without giving the punch-line that was printed on the T-Shirt noted above. I wish I had bought one – at the time it was only humorous. It read:

Nietzsche is Dead --- God!

I can hear someone laughing...

Because I can actually feel that laugh down my spine without any physical sound waves impinging upon my eardrums from across the ethernet, it shows me that, inter alia: Morality likewise is naturally felt, not naturally thought.

Morality originates from the heart where feelings reside, not the mind – Plato's virtuous philosopher-king notwithstanding. Such abstract intellectualism, including his Shapes, appear to reside in the vast immanent-space of the philosopher's mind alone since they can find no empirical verification in the far more constrained existential reality-space. The only morality that the intellect is empirically shown to beget from time immemorial, is the Nietzschean-Hegelian variety explored above, of might has rights! It is also known as the divinely ordained law of the jungle to some. To others, it constitutes the categorical imperatives of primacy for the superior intellect, superior power, superior race, superior civilization, which are always cast as exceptional, beyond good and evil, as uber alles, above all others. To still others, it is simply the amoral precision of “military-style objectivity” to achieve any agenda, public or covert, national or international. The actual mindset
behind “military-style objectivity” in the pursuit of policy planning or achieving political agendas without being hampered by any conventional moral calculus or preconceived value judgments, is most straightforwardly dignified in the 1967 book “Report from Iron Mountain”. [9]

It is that Übermensch mindset which came to underwrite the *Truman Doctrine*, the policy of engaging the newly created USSR in a Cold War. The key Policy Planning Study, PPS No. 23, February 28, 1948, Top Secret until Declassified June 17, 1974, written by George F. Kennan as Head of the US State Department Policy Planning Staff, straightforwardly articulated that mindset lest some of its implementers started believing their own propaganda of high-minded “altruism and world-benefaction” devised for engineering the public's consent for the Cold War:

“We have about 50% of the world's wealth, but only 6.3% of its population .... In this situation, we cannot fail to be the object of envy and resentment. Our real task in the coming period is to devise a pattern of relationships which will permit us to maintain this position of disparity without positive detriment to our national security. To do so, we will have to dispense with all sentimentality and day-dreaming, and our attention will have to be concentrated everywhere on our immediate national objectives. We need not deceive ourselves that we can afford today the luxury of altruism and world-benefaction .... We should cease to talk about vague and – for the Far East – unreal objectives such as human rights, the raising of living standards, and democratization. The day is not far off when we are going to have to deal in straight power concepts. The less we are then hampered by idealistic slogans, the better.”

When morality is not based on the subjective intellect which, when left to its own devices, by its very nature, inevitably pursues objectives with the amoral precision of “military-style objectivity”, but on actual moral standards of which the world's wisdom traditions and holy scriptures have spoken of, we get something entirely different. We get an objective absolute. For instance, let's just take the oldest well-known morality of the Western tradition itself. The Old Testament's Mosaic law. It lays down the first principle of morality called the Golden Rule. It is golden because from it all else follow:

“Do unto others as you have others do unto you”

No superman would like that prescription of morality as the principal basis for devising laws, human rights, foreign policies, and settling disputes among men and nations. For it predicates absolute fairness, that no one shall take undue advantage of another. The superior intellect of the Übermensch simply cannot accept that *hoi polloi* and they are equal. Nature is not a relationship of equals. And man is a product of nature like all of existence. Since man is not seen to have a spiritual essence, and he is deemed to be made only of material substance, therefore the laws of nature equally apply to it as to space-time. Heart-felt and spiritual sentiments are deemed mere superstitions, or human weaknesses, and better made subservient to the power of reason and the intellect.

Ergo, the law of the jungle where only unequals live, is inevitable. The superman spearheading the path to further evolution through social Darwinian primacy, its only rational outcome. What is frightening to realize here is that there can be no other logical outcome when the heart is made subservient to the intellect. Social Darwinianism and Secular Humanism are conjoined twins from
birth. They cannot be separated by the same yardstick of reason which gave birth to these constructs. Thus that logical outcome has to be cunningly disguised from its victims. Thus *Newspeak* is invented.

Whereas, interestingly, as in all lovers' happy or tragic tales also since time immemorial, the Heart also is where the Almighty resides! Read both the Qur’an and the Bible and one sees references to the heart as the container for morality, for spiritual eyes, for cleanliness of the heart begetting the cleanliness of the soul, for cognitively incomprehensible admonitions of none shall approach the truth unless they approach it with a cleansed heart, for there being a seal put on the heart of those who are heedless and who are the purveyors of falsehoods, who bring misery upon mankind by their 'uber' clever planning, etceteras. I have yet to recall knowing anyone who fell in love through their mind as opposed to through their heart. Or even recall reading any literature, sacred or divine, and I am an indefatigable reader, that alluded to the heart for matters of love, faith, courage, self-sacrifice, and yes, the notorious jihad – jihad-un-nafs – the primordial inner struggle of the soul to overcome the “banality of evil” only upon the conquest of which, the sword of resistance is automagically both found and comes unsheathed! And when I used to read comparative religions, I recall also the case of appeal to the heart being true of Hindu scriptures as well as others.

The twentieth century poet-philosopher of Muslims from the Indian subcontinent, “Sir” Allama Iqbal, [10] surely only endeavored to free man from the shackles of intellectual servitude when he too deemed the heart enslaved by the mind unworthy:

صبح ازل يہ مجھ سے کہا جبرئیل نے
جو عقل کا غلام بو ، وہ دل نہ کر قبول

‘Subh-e-Azal yeh Mujh Se Kaha Jibraeel Ne
Jo Aqal Ka Ghulam Ho Woh Dil Na Ker Qabool’

“Gabriel on the Morning of Creation a piece of useful counsel gave:
Accept not the heart from a beloved whose mind enslaves it”


(Sir) Rabindranath Tagore who, unlike his separatist compatriot “Sir” Allama Iqbal, expressing his heart-felt moral outrage at the 1919 Jallianwala Bagh massacre by the British troops returned his own title to the Crown, put the limitations of one sided use of the intellect thusly:

“A mind all logic is like a knife all blade. It makes the hand bleed that uses it.”

In conclusion, “Cogito Ergo Sum” might have taken a tiny lesson from Zen were it not so imbued in its own arrogance of the intellect and so blinded by its own brilliance to actually have missed the commonsense. Watch Zen Master Bruce Lee so simply teach it [here](#):
Uniting Mankind Against Secular Humanism

[ http://youtube.com/watch?v=roY9SaqM0mo ]

Caption Quote Bruce Lee: “We need emotional content. Don't think, feeeel; it is like a finger pointing away to the moon. Don't concentrate on the finger or you will miss all that heavenly glory”!

It should now be patently obvious to anyone that an intellect voluntarily serving under the command of morality can be the only possible solution for equitable and peaceable “Cogito Ergo Sum” for all mankind, rather than for the ‘uber’ few when it’s put the other way around.

I will humbly further suggest that the clincher empirical proof that morality and intellect are separate entities, that morality is primarily rooted in feelings rather than in the intellect, is that had ordinary people simply retained even an iota of humanity in them, even a tiny feeling of empathy for the suffering of fellow man, for their own natural tribe of mankind, then, instead of intellectually watching the decimation of their own kith and kin all unfold on television looking from the side, [12] at best going tsk tsk, and at worst cheering, [13] we would have collectively marched in formation and forcibly neutered all the hectoring hegemons now so boldly munching on their victims no differently than the lowly wildebeest and buffaloes do against the hectoring hegemons of their jungle!

And no scientist in the universe can argue with a straight face that the poor buffaloes who feel the pain so immensely for their own humble kith and kin as depicted in the video below, are a very cognitive species – a fact also brazenly recognized by our own hectoring hegemons which is perhaps why they work so assiduously on desensitizing our feelings of empathy for our fellowman, including for our own selves, by continually bringing us all the manufactured Hollywood violence and other baser entertainment:
Caption Battle at Kruger Park --- taking on the hectoring hegemons of their jungle in defense of their own species, a natural behavior that has evidently been culled from the human species.

Footnotes


http://www.gilad.co.uk/writings/the-complete-guide-to-killing-non-jews.html


[6] Transcription from a video of Talmudic reading by a Rabbi, The Coronation of Hashem:_

[7] Transcribed from president Bush's televised speech. Full speech transcript:


“Sir” Allama Iqbal Introduced the antithesis of the superman as the Islamic “marde-momin”, see Sacred Cow: Allama Iqbal - marde-momin or superman?, http://faith-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2012/12/allama-iqbal-marde-momin-or-superman.html (http://tinyurl.com/Allama-Iqbal-ubermensch)


[a] An example of cunning wordsmithing in superman scholarship is the Balfour Declaration which gave real political rights to the Jews while giving some abstract civil and religious rights to the Palestinians. The actual result is quite visible today. The underlying legalism which led to it is visible in the deconstruction of its diabolical wordsmithing in: The Illusion of Power and the Calculus of Palestinian Dispossession, http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/07/response-to-alan-hart-by-zahir-ebrahim.html

[b] The clever wordsmithing of the EU Constitution which has cunningly caveated the loftily worded public Rights to limit them in practice by law, or by executive order, under the rubric of national security and expediency, much like the United States Constitution and its Bill of Rights have been trumped by the Patriot Acts for instance, is examined in an analysis that I once found on the web but don't have a citation for it at this time. Virtually every public Right in the EU Constitution has the caveat that it can be “lawfully” restricted! When the king makes the laws, whatever the king decides is the law. The same with the Parliament which often enact and implement laws handed them by forces unseen by the public mind. The National Security State and those controlling it are one such unseen force.

[c] The clever wordsmithing of the American Constitution which has cunningly subverted it in actual practice is examined in Cracks in the Constitution by Ferdinand Lundberg, http://amazon.com/Cracks-Constitution-Ferdinand-Lundberg/dp/0818402792

[d] The reality of “Democracy” as it actually played out while being layered upon that brilliantly worded US Constitution was also briefly analyzed by Carroll Quigley in THE MYTHOLOGY OF AMERICAN DEMOCRACY, a presentation to the Industrial College of the Armed Forces on August 17, 1972, http://www.carrollquigley.net/lectures.htm


[g] Even the United Kingdom is not a country. It is also a Corporation, controlled by another supranational private Corporation, the real financial capital of the world, the City of London, or just “the City” for short. It is what H. G. Wells was referring to in his rallying call in his 1940 book New World Order: “And if we, the virtuous democracies, are not fighting for these common human rights, then what in the name of the nobility and gentry, the Crown and the Established Church, the City, The Times and the Army and Navy Club, are we common British peoples fighting for?”

[h] See the meticulous research unveiled by John Harris of the UK also being a Corporation, in the Lawful Rebellion Conference, January 24, 2009, titled: It's an illusion, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qmmt0uonXzo.
Chapter 43

Is Islam the Problem for which Secular Humanism is pitched as the Solution?

Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization

Or Is Primacy the Problem for which Islam is the only Solution

I sometimes like to tee off my writings on the human condition from the opinions I hear being expressed among the common man, in the grapevine, or in the news media. The quoted perspective below is from an unknown website written by an anonymous person. It expresses the seeds of a crucially pertinent topic to the human condition which is examined in considerable depth in this article.

‘On occasions, I feel Muslims ‘lose’ something when it comes to religion. I am speaking about the second last prophet Jesus or Isa (E-sa) peace be upon him. ... My general opinion of Muslims is that they tend to take on a facile view of Christianity ... I get the feeling that this may be because: If an increase in discussions by Muslims of Jesus (pbuh) were to take place, it would be perceived as “being Christian”.’ ---- article [1]
The interesting perspective embodied in that quote which inspired me to address this issue, is along the lines which reduce to the following empiricism: Human beings in general don't tend to appreciate what is not part of one's own socialization. Furthermore, with suitable inculcation, this lack of appreciation can span the gamut of behavior from remaining largely indifferent to being outright antagonistic to what's not perceived as one's own. The limit of that of course being intense doctrinal hatred and warfare.

This is pretty much a universal trait. An observable universal truism if there is one. And just as applicable to one as to another.

Upon this truism is the manipulative jingoism of antiquity to modernity constructed. We see this from tribalism to ethnocentrism, sectarianism to religionism, racism to culturalism, and nationalism to patriotism.

It is even the basis of the following formulation in Zbigniew Brzezinski's The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives:

“More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.” The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy And Its Geostrategic Imperatives, pgs. 211-212

I mention that not to needlessly digress, but only to point out the universality of the principle that the seeding theme being responded to and developed, has outlined just one instance of.

It is one thing for an outsider to not appreciate what's not theirs, or be only superficially familiar with it based on vicarious sources, as in my internet-confrere Peter Meyer's musings on the template of Bertrand Russell's ubrfinger to the Church: Why I am not a Christian, in: Why I am not a Muslim. Surely, Peter may be forgiven his absurd understanding of Islam – since he is evidently on a life-long journey of truth-discovery if his website is any evidence, and like Kim, is still searching for his own River of the Arrow – when he makes the following facile observations of what is not part of his own heritage:

“As a young man, since I was a seeker after spiritual truth, I read much about religion and the various religions, including Islam, and thus I discovered Sufism (or at least, that there was a spiritual tradition of that name). I read about the Sufi quest for union with the divine, which appealed to me (and which is considered heretical by orthodox Muslims).

I also discovered the beauty of Islamic art. The geometrical designs found in Islamic art and architecture are a wonder, and some grand mosques (especially when illuminated at night) are beautiful to see. However, as noted previously, the beauty of the art (and architecture) of a religion is not evidence of the truth of its doctrines.

When one examines how Muslims live and act there are are good and bad features. The good is that there is a strict code of ethics, according to which
good Muslims are honest, reliable, fair, generous and considerate of others; they
do not lie, cheat or steal. Fine. I wish everyone was like that.

On the other hand, Muslims act this way (if they do) because they are are
following a strict code of conduct (not just a code of ethics) which tells them
how to act in all situations. There is not just a Muslim way to pray (which, in
the case of the Sunnis, is the same in all mosques from Casablanca to Jakarta)
there is also a Muslim way to do anything (including defecating). This code of
conduct, which regulates the behavior of a strict Muslim in every way (Islam is
thus a totalitarian religion), is modeled on the life of the Prophet Muhammad
and subsequent Muslim religious leaders. If Muhammad did something in a
certain way then that must be the right way to do it and as a good Muslim one
must do it that way. Thus in Muslim societies cats are looked upon with favor
but dogs are not (consequently cats far outnumber dogs) because Muhammad
supposedly liked cats but disliked dogs.”

Then he makes some perceptive observations of the state of the Muslims:

“"Islam" means "submission", more exactly, submission to the will of God
(Allah), and a "Muslim" is "one who submits". One who submits has thus given
control of his life over to something else, in this case, to the decrees of the
mullahs who interpret the Koran and to the social customs characteristic of
Islamic societies. A Muslim is thus not a free person. It is thus hard to see how
anyone who values their freedom could remain a Muslim, still less convert to
that religion.

Muslims are fatalists, since they believe that everything happens according to
the will of God, and nothing happens unless God wills it (Inshallah). This is a
prescription for the abrogation of personal responsibility. Strictly speaking, one
cannot be held responsible for one's actions if everything happens because
Allah wills it to be so. (Of course, this does not prevent thieves being punished
under sharia law by having their right hand cut off — actually quite a deterrent
to potential thieves.) And if something doesn't go according to plan, well, it's
the will of Allah. Maybe tomorrow, Inshallah.

Islam is a grim religion. Of the five religions considered here, Islam is the
most intolerant and the most puritanical. (A puritan is someone who worries
constantly that someone, somewhere, may be having a good time.) In January
2010 Malaysia's "Islamic morality police" arrested dozens of Muslims for the
crime of "khalwat", or "close proximity", under a sharia law that prohibits
Muslims from being alone with a member of the opposite sex before marriage.”

Then he comically concludes with (I could only laugh until tears poured out):

“There is simply no evidence of the existence of Allah beyond the assertions of
Muhammad and the claims of all those since him who have believed what he
said. It is said that Muhammad received revelations, claimed to be from Allah.
These were spoken to Muhammad by an entity named "Gabriel" and
subsequently codified in the form of the Koran. (Actually, on his first appearance, Gabriel showed Mu'hammad a book, and asked him to read. But apparently Gabriel or Allah was not aware, or had forgotten, that the future Prophet was illiterate. So Mu'hammad had to memorize what Gabriel said to him.) But if all who hear voices were to found religions then we would have more religions than we could count.”

Nothing need be said for that convoluted conclusion. To each their own. Perhaps Peter might change his mind if he reads this. One thing in Peter's favor is that he is a genuine seeker and that is his genuine opinion. He is not shilling for a master. Peter Meyer is quite open about his biases and his preferences as a life-long social justice activist. Having interacted with Peter for years, and having read and talked with him about his experiments with Ayahuasca and at Esalen Institute, that is my sense. I learn interesting things from him, like I do from almost everyone else interesting I encounter on planet internet. It would be rather boring to constantly run into oneself.

Peter Meyer is a template of the harmless outsider looking at the “other” in search of “truth” and we shall say goodbye to him (unless he publishes a propaganda book based on his crippled epistemology). In contrast, we have an insider turned “native informant” also claiming to be in search of “truth”, Dr. Khalid Sohail, formerly of Pakistan, now living in Canada and practicing the healing arts, as well as his poetry, upon mental pains in psychologically dysfunctional patients as a “Creative Psychotherapist”. Very laudable indeed. In my many conversations with him until he broke it off, I learnt to admire his genuine concern for his patients. His Green Zone therapy is a good model. However, Dr. Sohail's book with a great catchy title betrays everything about him in one short heading: From Islam to Secular Humanism. I tried my best to engage him on the subject. His entire suite of writings in that domain to me reeks of Uncle Tom, a House Nigger echoing what is popular with the massa. But I am sure he believes in it, in which case his silence betrays him. I kept calling him out on his omissions and his absurd emphasis on ignoring the shitting-trumpeting elephant in the bedroom, until he stopped writing to me and replying to my emails. Oh well.

If I ignore this aspect of his disingenuous psyche and his penchant for pseudo philosophy whereby he cannot hold a logical conversation in counterpoint with anyone who isn't exactly an imbecile, and quickly runs away preferring to preach to the choir instead, I actually find his work in psychotherapy most endearing. Many a time I had offered to put myself on his couch! And he had also invited me to have a meal with him if I visited Canada. Until he stopped speaking to me that is. I imagine the invite is long canceled. I am still happy to get on his therapist couch (or chair) though! And I hope that despite everything, he would become open to a scrutinizing examination of his inimical views on Islam and his lopsided views on current affairs to check their validity in the light of factual analysis by one who is not among his choir, but is fair and not prejudiced against those who don't think like him. How boring would that be, to only talk to people we agreed with, or to rows of cabbages; pretty soon we'd be reduced to quoting ourselves!

Dr. Khalid Sohail is the unfortunate template of the useful idiot House Nigger who shills for the massa and his core axioms; who carries the white man's burden with greater zeal than the white man; is more white than the white man; and deprecates what was once his own like any good Native Informant who is celebrated in his new group as their new insider for his adverse “expert” opinion of the other to which he once belonged. (Late) Dr. Professor Fouad Ajami of Johns Hopkins immediately springs to mind as the Olympic gold winner in this endeavor (see Dream Palace of the Arabs: A
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Generation's Odyssey; and The Native Informant: Fouad Ajami is the Pentagon's favorite Arab, By Adam Shatz April 10, 2003). Paraded daily in the American mainstream newsmedia as the Pentagon's favorite Arab expert on the Middle East in the aftermath of 9/11 and harnessed for furthering the doctrinal motivation for America's invasion of Iraq in 2003, house niggers may look at themselves in the despicable mirror of this Arab World's Negro who was staged into willingly becoming the prized useful idiot native informant for the massa, to help their own selves recognize their own roles.

Holding mirror to the blind is of course never productive. That's okay for we must all sleep in the bed we make. Except that in this case, Secular Humanism is the bane of civilization. It is a bed that all of us shall be compelled to sleep in by the fiat of law and the force of cultural persuasion. It is a cancer that must be unceremoniously exorcised before it metastizes any further into our societies.

For the wannabe social philosopher Dr. Khalid Sohail to not see that Secular Humanism cunningly underwrites Primacy and Social Darwinianism by removing all Divine standards of morality (see Morality derived from the Intellect leads to Enslavement!, Atheism and Social Justice a Non Sequitur, Axioms of Secular Humanism and Why It Must Lead to Primacy By Definition ), is him only being disingenuous as the house nigger, nothing more. It is my observation that the good doctor enjoys being the tool of empire – for all the accolades and worldwide visibility he gets with it. Too bad princess Malala trumped him on the Nobel peace prize racket as the worthy victim of the baddies --- there is still open opportunity though, as Dr. Khalid Sohail's template is of that same ilk. There is a long line of these useful idiots in the waiting for their earthly reward for their great show of selective humanitarianism in the service of empire (see How to win the Nobel Peace Prize).

We shall say goodbye to the kindly faced Dr. Khalid Sohail as well, for we don't much care for the secular humanist's kind of compassionate concern for humanity that is templated on being Summun Bukmun Umyun on the highest order bit of the matter, Primacy of Empire. Even if the River of the Arrow (in Rudyard Kipling's Kim) runs in front of them, this type who proclaim to be after their own “truth” will quickly turn away pretending it isn't there. Sad. For, as a psychotherapist, I'd love to be on Dr. Khalid Sohail's creative couch – who doesn't need a therapist in these times! It is indeed rare to find a free-thinker among Muslims. But strangely, these supposed avant-garde in intellectual thought tend to run from me the moment they find out I am not in their incestuous choir. Am I so bad, Sohail? Six feet under, we meet the maggots regardless of what we believed, whom we shilled for, and what “truths” we told or held dear. Talk to me! Journey with me... we are on the same damn train of life with the same destination. Come by my cabin. I tried yours but you wouldn't open the door.

Before moving on to examine facile worldviews in more depth and to the self-realization of its divorcement from reality when one acquires some ma'arifat of the subject, a meaningful distinction between the useful idiot “native informant” vs. the “reformer” or “truth teller” seeking “social justice”, must be clearly drawn such that none are confused as to why is the template of Peter Meyer only a “harmless” activist when he has such inimical views on Islam, i.e., his caricature of Islam epitomizes facile, and why is the template of Dr. Khalid Sohail a pernicious “native informant” behind the transparent facade of selective “humanitarian” and “reformer” when he so boldly announces his inordinate love affair with the suffering humanity?

These are two different and distinct behavioral templates: one a brave soldier for truth (as he or she sees it, but may differ with you), and the other a Trojan horse, a house nigger propagandist shilling for the massa, often even unaware that he has become the massa's representative to his own people.
The latter template does not see the monumental crimes of the massa. It only finds fault with his or her own brethren, the field niggers, for their malaise, subjugation, corruption, vice, and social-intellectual-moral backwardness. Whereas, the former template calls it as he or she sees it even under the threat of hemlock, minus any crippled epistemology. I.e., he or she may be genuinely mistaken, but does not suffer from the psychosis of inferiority or superiority complex, nor caters to the narratives of power, nor regurgitates its axioms and presuppositions. Peter Meyer does not give a blank check, or any check, to the white man’s burden, to its noble lies, to its primacy imperatives. A visit to Peter Meyer's website serendipity.li makes that readily apparent. The latter template pretends the white man's burden does not exist while often participating in some aspects of it. Dr. Khalid Sohail's intellectual template has been amply and accurately deconstructed in the FAQ: What is an Intellectual Negro?. A visit to Dr. Khalid Sohail's website drsohail.com and even a cursory glance at his “creations”, “his love letters to humanity”, as he calls his overly-simplistic writings, prima facie validates that ignoble characterization of his pious labors that appear to aid and abet only the massa's primacy and its imperatives.

In our modernity with the phenomenal rise in supremacy of Western civilization and its norms that is rapidly replacing indigenous values and norms worldwide, the Muslim body-politic has become mortally infected by the house nigger virus. There appears to be no easy cure for this malady. A revealing diagnosis of this infection was made in the 1960s book “Occidentosis: A Plague From the West” by the iconoclast Iranian littérateur, Jalal Al-i Ahmad, who called it Gharbzadagi in Persian, or Westoxification in English. “The Art and Science of Co-option” appears to actively protect its dispersion and survival in one form or another spanning the gamut of persuasion from Left to Right. Its only benign cure is to induce metanoia (a gestalt shift in thinking, a reorientation of perspective leading to an internal transformation, a change of heart). The other methods to rid society of this plague are not easily contemplatable, but Dickens' fictional character from his rendition of the French Revolution, Madame Defarge, with her knitting needles keeps jumping to mind. All civilization and all social order is cataclysmically altered in the wake of such mass turbulence. It throws the baby out with the bath water. In our Machiavellian modernity, such cataclysms are manufactured synthetically using Hegelian Dialectic: fashioning opposites and getting them to battle it out wherein all participants are made sacrificial useful idiots to destroy what is existent and entrenched, in order to raise the phoenix from its ashes. To takeout Divine religions, secularism is the Hegelian Dialectic. The outcome sought is World Order in which the public mind is obedient to the superstate, its norms, values and styles standardized, and the global society organized in some form of scientific caste hierarchy. Just look around us and one sees its fingerprints everywhere. China's CCP state control of the public mind and behavior is its closest experimental template today of a Godless capitalist state's full spectrum control of public and private life where the state has replaced god, and the people willingly consent to its supremacy.
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Recognizing this innate psychological trait of mankind of having natural affinity with one's own kind when one is not laboring under any inferiority complex, (one presumes) is why the author of the Qur'an, which Muslims of course believe is the Creator (while other's believe was a lunatic), makes an extraordinary pronouncement on this very topic in Surah Al-Maeda – behold the unmatched principle of Pluralism and Multi-culturalism that is integral to the Holy Qur'an – and they dare to burn this Book(!), dare to revile and caricaturize this template for exemplary behavior among mankind (!):

It was We who revealed the Torah (to Moses): therein was guidance and light.
By its standard have been judged the Jews, by the Prophet who bowed (as in Islam) to Allah's will, by the Rabbis and the Doctors of Law: for to them was entrusted the protection of Allah's Book, and they were witnesses thereto: therefore fear not men, but fear Me, and sell not My Signs for a miserable price. If any do fail to judge by (the light of) what Allah hath revealed, they are (no better than) Unbelievers. (5:44)

We ordained therein for them: “Life for life, eye for eye, nose for nose, ear for ear, tooth for tooth, and wounds equal for equal.” But if anyone remits the retaliation by way of charity, it is an act of atonement for himself. And if any fail to judge by (the light of) what Allah hath revealed, they are (no better than) wrong-doers. (5:45)

And in their footsteps We sent Jesus the son of Mary, confirming the law that had come before him: We sent him the Gospel: therein was guidance and light, and confirmation of the law that had come before him: a guidance and an admonition to those who fear Allah. (5:46)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arabic</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ولْيَحْكُمُ أَهْلَ الَّذِينَ بَلَغُوا الْعُمُرِ بِمَا نَزَّلَ اللَّهُ فِيهِ وَمِنْ لَمْ يَحْكُمُ بِمَا نَزَّلَ اللَّهُ فَأَوْلَا يَكْفُرُونَ</td>
<td>And let those of the Children of Israel who have reached the age of full growth judge by that which God has bestowed on them. And if any of them turn away, (then) indeed, it is those who are disbelievers. (5:47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>وأنْزِلْنَا إِلَيْكَ الْكِتَابَ بِالْحَقِّ مُضَمِّقاً</td>
<td>And We have sent down to thee the Scripture, confirming the truth that hath come to thee, and guarding it in safety; so judge between them by what God hath revealed therein. If any do fail to judge by (the light of) what God hath revealed, they are (no better than) those who rebel. (5:48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To thee We sent the Scripture in truth, confirming the scripture that came before it, and guarding it in safety; so judge between them by what Allah hath revealed, and follow not their vain desires, diverging from the Truth that hath come to thee. To each among you have We prescribed a Law and an Open Way. If Allah had so willed, He would have made you a single people, but (His plan is) to test you in what He hath given you: so strive as in a race in all virtues. The goal of you all is to Allah; it is He that will show you the truth of the matters in which ye dispute. (5:48) Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Caption Holy Qur'an Surah Al-Maeda verses 5:44-48 on Islam's fundamental acceptance of plurality of beliefs, and its enjoining mankind to strive for good alone as in a race in all virtues, while staying within their own socialized belief systems. Observe that there is no “saving” by conversion in Islam as in the religion of the Christian; and there is also no everlasting certificate of virtue as “god's chosen people” as in the religion of the Jew; and nor any class hierarchy by birth as in the “karma” infused religion of rebirth of the Hindu. Mankind in Islam is judged by his and her acts alone, of both commission and omission, as per the Qur'anic Accountability Equation: Output / Input. How much more egalitarian, and explicit, can Islam's singular scripture, the Holy Qur'an, be? And yet, the incessant propaganda barrage against Islam and its noble Messenger, as in the FBI training presentation graph (see The face of Jews' Islam “violent Islam” below), as in “International Burn a Quran Day” (see Christian pastor Terry Jones below), continually succeeds among the “information-age” soaked Western minds – just as indifference, apathy, and fatalism of “god is running the world”, continually succeeds among the religion-soaked Muslim minds. Facile? Or, the veritable success of perception management by The Mighty Wurlitzer (http://tinyurl.com/mightywurlitzer)?
And to ensure that the point is not lost here, permit me to highlight the solution-space outlined in the above passage by none other than the presumed Almighty Creator of mankind: “To each among you have We prescribed a Law and an Open Way. If Allah had so willed, He would have made you a single people, but (His plan is) to test you in what He hath given you: so strive as in a race in all virtues. The goal of you all is to Allah; it is He that will show you the truth of the matters in which ye dispute.”

The Author of the Qur'an is in fact most emphatic about “matters in which ye dispute”:

And in whatever thing you differ, its decision is unto God. Holy Qur'an, Surah Ash-Shura 42:10

If one were to judiciously extract the core first-principle from that straightforward and explicit multicultural pronouncement, while also observing that:

- the Author of the Holy Qur'an affirms that It did not deny Its Message or Its Messengers to any among mankind (even though only a very few are explicitly mentioned in the Holy Qur'an such as in Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48 above):

And verily We have raised in every nation a messenger, (proclaiming): Serve Allah and shun false gods. Holy Qur'an, Surah An-Nahl 16:36;

And for every nation there is a messenger. And when their messenger cometh (on the Day of Judgment) it will be judged between them fairly, and they will not be wronged. Holy Qur'an, Surah Yunus 10:47;

Surely We have sent you with the Truth as a bearer of good news and a warner; and there is not a people but a warner has gone among them. Holy Qur’an, Surah Faatir 35:24;

- and that furthermore, the Author of the Holy Qur'an even requires anyone who accepts Its teachings to also accept all Its past Revelations to all peoples as an article of faith:

And who believe in that which has been revealed to you and that which was revealed before you and they are sure of the hereafter.

Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-Baqara 2:4;

one would discover a most progressive and natural principle of freedom of choice that is universally
applicable to all of mankind, to peoples of all beliefs, and to peoples of no belief:

- [to] mind one's own business for what one does not feel is one's own, as in the case of what's outside one's own sphere of socialization; and

- [to] compete with each other in virtuous conduct as in a race in all virtues (Surah Al-Ma'eda 5:48) rather than theological upmanship of whose understanding of religion is the greatest!

In my humble view, this is simply outstanding, nay, mind-blowingly progressive and liberal advocacy of mutual tolerance to a fractious mankind that is psychologically prone to tribalism, ethnocentrism, the modern version of it being nationalism – all by the natural artifact of birth and socialization into a tribe, religion, and nation!

By the admission of the Author of the above verses, it is by design that the Creator made mankind into separate peoples, tribes and nations, and gave each of them their own localized affiliations and emotional attachments: “If Allah had so willed, He would have made you a single people” (Surah Al-Ma'eda 5:48). The Author proclaims that it is He Who deliberately Fashioned man in due proportion in the best of form:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arabic</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>لُقِدْ خَلَقْنَا اهْلَئْنَا فِي اهْسَنِ التَّقَوْيمِ</td>
<td>We have indeed created man in the best of moulds, (Surah Al-T’in, 95:4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>أَلَذِى اهْسَنَ كُلُّ شَيْءٍ خَلَقْهُ وَبَدَأَ خَلَقَ اهْلَئْنَا مِنَ الطَّينِ</td>
<td>He Who has made everything which He has created most good: He began the creation of man with (nothing more than) clay, (32:07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ثُمَّ جَعَلَ نَسْلَهُ مِن سَلَةٍ مِن مَّاءٍ مَهِينٍ</td>
<td>And made his progeny from a quintessence of the nature of a fluid despised: (32:08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ثُمَّ سَوَّى وَنَفَّقَ فِيهِ مِن رُوحَهُ</td>
<td>But He fashioned him in due proportion, and breathed into him something of His spirit. And He gave you (the faculties of) hearing and sight and feeling (and understanding): little thanks do ye give! (Surah As-Sajdah 32:09)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Caption Surah As-Sajdah verses 32:7-9 declaring that the Author of the Holy Qur'an fashioned man in due proportion (and not as a random event), Surah Al-T’in 95:4 declaring man is created in the best of form.

Therefore, when “He fashioned him in due proportion in the best of moulds, and breathed into him something of His spirit. And He gave you (the faculties of) hearing and sight and feeling (and understanding)”, He surely must also Know the psychological bent of every human mind, borne of its natural socialization and cultural programming due to being born in a specific nation and specific tribe. The Author therefore also Knows the “fitrat”, i.e., nature, of every man and woman. Specifically, what he and she is most susceptible to. Only because of this empirical fact of natural socialization by
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birth that the Author of the Holy Qur'an strongly Countenances the pursuit of: فاستَبِعْوا الْحَيَاتِ', instead of theological upmanship, clearly predicing that the human mind which He Fashioned in due proportion, and which He Knows well, in its most natural state will face grave difficulty overcoming its socialized programming without expending considerable striving!

Of course, when one looks at evolutionary biology and social psychology, that is also the natural outcome of how mankind has developed from many different tribes and nations across the earth.

And the Author of the Holy Qur'an provides guidance du jour taking empiricism of mankind's present and future condition into account, while also inexplicably asserting that in the past, mankind was but one people (arguably suggesting a single social source of mankind before its geographic spread on earth into tribes and nations):

Mankind was but one nation, but differed (later). Had it not been for a Word that went forth before from thy Lord, their differences would have been settled between them. Holy Qur'an, Surah Yunus 10:19

What is apparent from even these few quoted verses in accurate and full context of the Holy Qur'an, is that for all future times from its Last Messenger's revelation of the Holy Qur'an, which was itself declared by the Author of the Holy Qur'an as the completion of its favors and the perfection of its religion which it named “Islam” (see verse 5:3 Surah Al-Maeda below), the Holy Qur'an unequivocally accepts, and guarantees, diversity of beliefs based on the natural artifacts of individual and group socialization!

Contrast the aforementioned principled understanding of the religion of Islam which unequivocally enjoins mankind to “strive as in a race in all virtues” in its singular scripture the Holy Qur'an, to the propaganda manual of the prominent Anglo-American Jew, Professor Bernard Lewis of Princeton University: Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror. Billed in the West as “a leading Western scholar of Islam”, [a] the “vulgar propagandist”, [b] and the obvious heir apparent to Dr. Joseph Goebbels for the construction of the present global Fourth Reich, namely, one-world government, speciously devoted much verbiage to Islam's “Triumphalism” in order to scare the Western public mind into waging West's perpetual “war on terror” against “Islamofascism”! The FBI training presentation titled “Militancy Considerations” made by another Jew to America's foremost law enforcement agency to poison their mind against Islam and American Muslims (see below), not to forget the likes of America's favorite Christian pastor burning a copy of the Holy Qur'an in Florida while proclaiming “Islam is of the Devil” to further embellish the propaganda line on West's “war on terror” (also see below), all have an imposing propaganda pedigree to be sure! What is perhaps the saddest and the most despicable fact in all this is that many Muslim intellectuals worldwide, men and women of arts, science, and letters, not to forget statesmen and politicians, have become a willing appendage of this Western perception management of the public mind as the inveterate House Niggers and Uncle Toms of empire (http://tinyurl.com/faq-intellectual-negro).

Zahir Ebrahim
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Whereas the Holy Qur’an itself proclaims: if you don't prefer the message of Islam, no problem – “there is no compulsion in religion” (see verse 2:256 Surah Al-Baqara below). Follow the guides, imams, prophets, that were sent to your own people and on the Day of Judgment, declares the Holy Qur’an: “One day We shall call together all human beings with their (respective) Imams” (see verse 17:71 Surah al-Isra’ below) and “it will be judged between them fairly, and they will not be wronged.” (see verse 10:47 Surah Yunus above).

The clear message of the Holy Qur’an to everyone among mankind, Muslim and non Muslim, whatever sect, whatever ethnicity, whatever nation, and whatever epoch, is to compete for virtuous conduct (تَبَادَلُوا الْخَيْرَاتِ فَمَا سَتَبَّعُوا الْخُيَرًا) amongst themselves – not for resources, not for territories, not for hegemony, and not power!

The Holy Qur’an continually harkens mankind towards dealing with each other in full justice, even unequivocally averring that God loves those who are just and deal equitably with each other:

For Allah loveth those who judge in equity.
Holy Qur’an Surah Al-Maeda 5:42

إنّ اللَّهَ يُحِبُّ الْمُفْسَدِيْنَ

That straightforwardly puts to rest all religious and sectarian arguments for all times! Just that much is sufficient to both repel all propaganda against Islam, and eliminate all internecine fracture points and facile views among Muslims. But we have only just begun.

The obvious overarching point to ponder here is that why go through all this repetition once again if justice among mankind is the core first-principle the Holy Qur’an is teaching for mankind's conduct amongst each other? Everything else of course naturally follows from that core first-principle. But it is not a new or unfamiliar concept.

The following Biblical Commandment from antiquity was, and still is, at least in my view, both complete and sufficient for governing the peaceable, equitable, and virtuous conduct of mankind:

“Do unto Others as you have others do unto you.” The Bible: Matthew 7:12, Luke 6:31; Old Testament Mosaic Law; Socrates; Confucius; Solon

So, why does mankind need anything more than that one primary fundamental Biblical statement? Indeed, one can easily surmise that all beneficial national constitutions, international and local laws, trade treaties, foreign policies, inter and intra governing principles, and even effective principles for dispute resolutions, are logically derivable from just that one ancient first principle, for a fairly equitable co-existence of mutual benefit for all mankind. There'd be no room for masters and slaves under the corollaries derived from such an egalitarian first principle!

While that universal pithy wisdom is deemed Biblical, I have found evidence of its truism in other antiquity as cited above. For instance, Solon the Athenian law giver, according to Plutarch's Lives, when asked which city he thought was well-governed, said:
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“That city where those who have not been injured take up the cause of one who has, and prosecute the case as earnestly as if the wrong had been done to themselves.” Solon in Plutarch's *Lives*

Even beyond divine religion, in the realm of logic and rational empiricism alone, the following operations-research (OR) logical formulation due to Bertrand Russell, a man of considerable beliefs in no religion, is the most commonsensical recipe of governing peaceable human conduct. In my own succinct rendition, Bertrand Russell's formulation goes something like this (and I am putting it in single quotes to indicate that the formulation belongs to Russell but the words may not all be his):

'Maximize individual happiness while minimizing social conflict for optimizing the overall common-good.' (Bertrand Russell's prescription to do away with religion as the bearer of moral law, probably in 'Why I am not a Christian' and similar writings)

With just a little bit of reflection, one will see that Bertrand Russell captures the beneficial essence of many religions, including Islam, in at least so far as “haqu-al-ibad”, i.e., the rights of man upon man, otherwise known as moral law, are concerned, quite admirably.

By just using rational empathetic logic which hinges on spreading virtue rather than glory, vice, hegemony, and conquest, one can come up with reasonably equitable methods of governing oneself in any age, and among any peoples.

However, the Author of the Holy Qur'an advocating the path of mutual co-existence to mankind through the perfection of its message which it called “Islam”, is just as meaningless as man coming up with his own protocol for mutual co-existence using his own sensible logic and reason, if man is unwilling, or unable, to implement the protocol:

This day have I perfected your religion for you, completed My favour upon you, and have chosen for you Islam as your religion. Verse fragment Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Maeda 5:3, 632 AD


Thus, if nihilist followers of Zbigniew Brzezinski's predatory foreign policies which predicate upon primacy and its geostrategic imperatives because they believe that “*Hegemony is as old as mankind*” so why change it, choose sociopathic mass psychology to mobilize the public to villainy and infamy by bequeathing to them only facile worldviews, well, that's not because there is any shortage of great platitudinous recipes in either the divine books of antiquity, or the modern mind of reason as the
Deistic philosophers of eighteenth century enlightenment argued (of which Bertrand Russell was the atheist legatee).

That choice, of exercising villainous hegemony or equity and benevolence upon the 'untermenschen', is entirely man's of course. The Author of the Holy Qur'an itself asserts that such a choice between life's governing principles is entirely up to mankind in all its diversity of existence, and is neither a monolithic diktat of triumphalism, nor a choiceless matter like being born to one's parents:

There is no compulsion in religion. Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Baqara 2:256

There surely came over man a period of time when he was a thing not worth mentioning. 76:1

Surely We have created man from a small life-germ uniting (itself): We mean to try him, so We have made him hearing, seeing. 76:2

Surely We have shown him the way: he may be thankful or unthankful. Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-insaan 76:3

The overarching point being, at the risk of being repetitious, whatever the religion, whatever the people, and whatever the culture and geography, man naturally gravitates firstly towards one's own kith and kin, and secondly towards one's own socialization which principally gives birth to one's dominant worldview. It is all but a truism that just as one man's terrorist is another man's freedom fighter, one man's "messiah" is another man's lunatic.

And Islam, recognizing this natural human tendency for partisanship and tribalism due to socialization from birth, proffered the above quoted solution of Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48 to those who believe in Islam, and also to those who wish to learn about Islam, that this religion, this way of life, this “deen” which Allah perfected for those who wish to believe in it of their own free will, does not bring the threat of forced triumphalism to mankind.

That Islam cherishes diversity and enjoins the people to compete only in virtuous conduct (اتخاذ الاعمال الصالحات among themselves even as they live in their own respective socialization of birth, faiths, tribes and nations, forming a diverse multicultural milieu of mankind.

That, if God wanted to, mankind could have been made into all one people just as they were in the past and “their differences would have been settled between them” (see 10:19 Surah Yunus above).

That, if people disagree in matters of theology, religion, and other esoterica upon which faith is often based, to leave the resolution of such disagreements to God alone (see 42:10 Surah Ash-Shura above) --- lest the Muslims at any time in the future, senselessly imbued with empire and its 'la mission civilisatrice', criminally come to carry the 'white man's burden' (http://tinyurl.com/the-white-mans-
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And if your Lord had pleased, surely all those who are in the earth would have believed, all of them; will you then force men till they become believers?

وَلَوْ شَاءَ رَبُّكَ لَأُمِنُ مِنْ فِي الأَرْضِ كُلَّهُمُ جَمِيعًا. أَفَانَّتُ نَكُورَ النَّاسَ حْنَىٰ يَكُونُوا مُؤْمِنِينَ

And it is not for a soul to believe except by Allah's permission; and He casts uncleanness on those who will not understand. Holy Qur'an, Surah Yunus 10:99-100

Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48, Yunus 10:99-100, and other supporting verses quoted above in their full context, put to rest in finality, all false charges brought by Western war-mongers, of their merely defending themselves from Islam's “Triumphalism” in their holy war against “Islamofascism”.

As these unambiguous verses in their complete context clearly convey in the direct words of the Holy Qur'an itself, there isn't any “Triumphalism” in Islam. It is a charge more suited to Pauline Christianity (today's mainstream Christianity of almost every denomination and sect), whereby, to “save” mankind from eternal damnation, the unworthy humanity has to all be converted to belief in Christ!

All such charges are vulgar propaganda against Islam, conveyed today no differently than it was conveyed during the Christian Crusades, by some very diabolical “Western scholars of Islam” in order to cultivate facile views among their ignorant Western peoples. (See for instance, Bernard Lewis: Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror)

Without such facile views, the masses cannot be readily mobilized against “Islamofascism” in the fabricated “clash of civilizations” – a transparent facade for exercising primacy, supremacy, hegemony, mass behavior control.

As Zbigniew Brzezinski, former American president Jimmy Carter's National Security Advisor, self-servingly but accurately presaged in his American Mein Kampf:

“There is no ambiguity in it. These are not allegorical verses (مَتَّشِيَّاهَا); their meaning is very straightforward, established, and unequivocally clear (آيَاتُ مَحَكَّمَاتٍ). (See verse 3:7 of Surah Aal-'Imran for Qur'an's own definition of the two types of verses in the Holy Qur'an; every verse and verse fragment of the Holy Qur'an has to be understood in the entire context of the Holy Qur'an, and not just in isolation of
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It is the occurrence or else it can easily lead to constructing a facile or even false understanding of the topic. See the case study “Islam: Why is the Holy Qur'an so easy to hijack? Part-I” [2]

Although, it must be admitted that, the universal principle of virtuous and amicable co-existence among the diversity of nations as those outlined in Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48, and the corollaries to be deduced from it and from several verses like it in the Holy Qur'an, requires at least a modicum of reflection and some basic ability to reason.

Parrots memorizing the Holy Qur'an as an inheritance, can no more come to understand it than any other talking parrot, regardless of the beauty of its voice and feathers!

Were such reasoning a characteristic of Muslim scholarship, the scholars of Islam would have long extinguished the flames of sectarianism among the Muslims which had originally arisen in the epoch of the Prophet of Islam itself, not due to any misunderstanding of the Holy Qur'an and the Prophet's teachings for the Exemplar lived and taught among them, but purely due to vested interests. These vested interests ab initio planted the pernicious seeds of absolutist kingdoms and empires to come in the future. These empires subsequently endowed their scholars to interpret and canonize the imperial religion – the hijacking of Islam into an unsurpassed Muslim empire lasting 700 years in the name of Islam's God – for their masses. (Ibid.)

Today, we are merely their inheritors and unable to go back to the fundamental teachings of Islam beyond the superficial rituals. For the only original sources we have beside the Holy Qur'an, are the works of these very partisan and sectarian scholars of empire who had hijacked Islam! More details can be gleaned in the investigative case study on how the Holy Qur'an itself contributed to its own subversion in what prima facie appears to be a fascinating plan By Design. A plan in which algebraic variables instead of constants are sprinkled judiciously in all the key verses which, had they been clearly Determinate instead of requiring mankind to solve systems of algebraic equations to figure out their values, such a crown as the Holy Qur'an itself opening the Pandora's box to a plurality of interpretations, both unwittingly misperceived as well as with diabolical intent, could not have been laid upon its head. (Ibid.) The reason for repeated entreaties in the Holy Qur'an for reflection with a cleansed heart is very clear for this reason alone (see below). One needs a minimal ability to reason in addition to being able to do basic arithmetic correctly, such as to add two plus two equal four when instead of two constants being added, two variables in two equations are being added. For instance, if x+y=4 and x-y=0, what are x and y?

This is of course predicated on knowing that there is even a riddle to solve, and then correctly

Sociopathy of Hegemony, Primacy, Social Darwinianism, the exercise of Supremacy, mass behavior control, all one and the same genre, is the real problem. A problem that is as old as hegemony, as old as mankind. It thrives on the facile mind. Consequently, the sociopaths who often rise to power easily, ensure that the public mind stays facile. Making the public mind is the first art of governance from caliphate to democracy --- for unlike a dictatorship, ruled at the point of the bayonet, caliphate to democracy depend on a measure of consent from the governed. Unless that governance is changed first, until the non sociopaths in society force their way into ruling corridors of power to devalue the villainy of the facile mind, all Divine Books will be “mahjoor” (verse 25:30), and the public mind shall forever remain chained to its unturning neck in Plato's Cave.
setting up the riddle before attempting to solve it. Often times, even that awareness does not exist --- that the Holy Qur'an hides many a riddle in its mellifluous verses. Fourteen centuries have passed and yet the Good Book still remains a Book of paradoxes and riddles. Some Determinate and easily soluble, others open-ended and Indeterminate, meaning, not known whether or not they have unique solutions and which ones if any are correct and which ones aren't --- as there is no absolute reference present today to compare the answers to. It is virtually akin to decoding a cipher. Indeed, viewing the Holy Qur'an as a cipher text leads to its much greater understanding because emphasis now shifts to viewing it as a sophisticated and complex text that requires much reflection of the whole rather than a simple plaintext of individual unconnected verses which it isn't. (Ibid.)

Evidently, it is well-nigh impossible to find a scholar of Islam with any colored turban who even knows basic algebra let alone be able to solve algebraic riddles and ciphers! In the age of universal deceit which has in fact always existed, and is virtually guaranteed to always exist so long as mankind in its present form exists, both self-righteous fools and Machiavelli will continue to dominate the world. The consequent of which will continue to be the domination of facile world views. Everything but setting up and solving the algebraic riddle \(x+y=4\) correctly! Never mind when it is \(x+y=z\) and the many equations are not independent in the three variables. Recognizing what is what, what is Determinate and what is Indeterminate, is the heart of the problem. It is explored in the aforementioned case study. (ibid.)

---

The fascinating riddle of multi-culturalism in the Holy Qur'an

Pertinent to the topic at hand, Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48 bears such a momentous general concept of acceptance of others in the religion Islam, that this concept is even formulaically rehearsed countless times each day in daily prayers by its adherents without any reflection whatsoever. For, if one spent even 10 minutes thinking about what many “pious” among Muslims likely repeat at least 17 times daily, if not more, one would easily see that very core-principle at work for oneself.

That repetitive formulation is Surah Al-Fatiha of the Holy Qur'an, its very first Surah. It is recited countless times daily by Muslims as a prayer. Just look at it with some reflection rather than rehearsing it as a parrot and matters become transparent. And what does it say?

First let's see what it does not say:

1. there is no mention of the word “muslim” in it ;
2. nor is there any mention of the noble name of the harbinger of the Qur'an, its Exemplar.
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If one were not so imbued with one's own socialization since birth, one would surely ask the following question to oneself: why not?

If Islam is the last Testament, its Prophet the last Messenger, and the Holy Qur'an the last Word on the matter of Divine Guidance to mankind, why have the following riddle in its *the most essential* Surah:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Show us the straight path, 1:6</th>
<th>أهْدِنَا الصِّرَاطَ الْمُسْتَقِيمَ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The path of those whom Thou hast favoured. Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Fatiha 1:7</td>
<td>صِرَاطُ الَّذِينَ آتَيْنَاهُمْ عَلَيْهِمْ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Why command the reciter of that Surah to beseech the Creator to show him or her the “straight path”, a path that is not named or labeled or identified in any other way other than as the “straight path”, a singular path, and only identified as the path of those whom (plural) have been bestowed “divine favors” (plural), or who have been divinely favored? But no names are mentioned for any further identification!

Why send the poor seeker of divine wisdom in search of solving what appears to be a complicated riddle?

How is he, or she, to know what those unknown “quantities” are?

Is the man of faith simply to be socialized into fixing those unknowns – like choosing a value for the variable “x” in an elementary school level algebraic expression – by his parents, grandparents, teachers, scholars, culture, civilization, by osmosis, diffusion, vicariously?

Given that the average intelligence of the masses in any nation is rather low, and the Author of the Holy Qur'an if it is indeed the Creator of man would certainly have known that, why then did the Author of the Holy Qur'an not straightforwardly just say for all and sundry Muslim to understand in its most oft recited Surah: follow the path laid out in the Holy Qur'an, follow the path of Muhammad, its last Messenger and Exemplar?

How ironical that what the Surah calls a “straight path” is not identified straightforwardly!

All Muslims feel they already know (by virtue of their socialization) that that's what is implied. But that's not what the Surah Al-Fatiha says at all. One is only interpreting it to mean that based on one's own socialization bias!

The answer to the riddle, as invariably in all Qur’anic riddle cases, the Holy Qur'an itself also provides.

The author of the Qur'an has repeatedly alluded to Its Word as the Book of Reflection which none shall approach, except with a *cleansed heart*.

So, not everyone can glean the wisdom of the Qur'an even though they may be reading or mouthing its words – how interesting!

And the solution to the riddle is hinted, inter alia, in the afore-quoted verses from Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48. It is still obviously not the complete solution, but we are an inch closer to solving the riddle.
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For one thing, we learn that the solution is multicultural, and is indeed very much socialization dependent.

Different peoples will naturally have different perspective on what is “divine favor”, who those favored ones are, and are thus encouraged to seek out the path followed by those whom they naturally psychologically feel closer to – that is the basis for what appears to the riddle of Surah Al-Fatiha, 1:6-7.

And Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48 quoted above is an exemplary partial hint to solving that riddle.
Wow! What an incredible Book!

Nevertheless, it is still a Book of reflection first and foremost, which none shall penetrate, except with a cleansed heart. The rest are naturally misled. The Author of the Holy Qur'an even asserts that only It Guides Whom It pleases and leads others astray. Is this just rhetorical tautology? The Western mind un-attuned to the language of the Qur'an may think so.

Who can understand the Holy Qur'an and who cannot – in the Holy Qur'an's own words

Here are some verses from the Holy Qur'an on the cleansed heart.

| That this is indeed a Qur'an Most Honourable, 56:77 | إِنَّهُ لَقُرْآنٌ كَرِيمٌ |
| In a Book well-guarded, 56:78 | فِي كَتَابٍ مُّكْتَفٍ |
| Which none shall touch but those who are clean (purified): 56:79 | لَا يُمسَّهُ إِلَّا الْمُطَهِّرُونَ |
| A Revelation from the Lord of the Worlds. 56:80 | تَنْزِيلٌ مُّنَّ رَبِّ الْعَالَمِينَ |
| Is it such a Message that ye would hold in light esteem? 56:81, Surah Al-Waqia, 56:77-81 | أَفْهِئُوا الْحَدِيثِ أَنْتُمْ مُدْهَنُونَ |
| Those are they whose hearts, ears, and eyes Allah has sealed up, and they take no heed. Surah An-Nahl, 16:108 | أَوْلَئِكَ الَّذِينَ طَبَعَ الَّهُ عَلَى قَلْبِهِمْ وَسَمَعَهُمْ وَأَبَصَارَهُمْ وَأُولَئِكَ هُمُ الْغَافِلُونَ |
| Allah hath sealed their hearing and their hearts, and on their eyes there is a covering. Theirs will be an awful doom. Surah Al-Baqara, 2:7 | خَتِّمَ الَّهُ عَلَى قُلُوبِهِمْ وَعَلَى سَمَعَهُمْ وَأَبْصَارَهُمْ عَشْرَةً وَلَهُمْ عَذَابٌ عَظِيمٌ |
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In their hearts is a disease, and Allah increaseth their disease. A painful doom is theirs because they lie. Surah Al-Baqara, 2:10

Do they not then reflect on the Quran? Nay, on the hearts there are locks. Surah Muhammad 47:24

Caption A few verses from the Holy Qur'an on the cleansed heart metaphor.

The understanding of the message contained in the Holy Qur'an is only made accessible to those who try to approach its contents not with pre-conceptions, or agendas bearing the diseases of the heart, or other prejudices, but with a genuine desire to learn what exactly is the Book Saying! Only the purified ones, “al-muttaharoon” may approach its full understanding. The meaning of the Qur'anic word المُطَهَّرُونَ in the context of the Holy Qur'an is layered and nuanced. The Holy Qur'an explains itself, as it continually points them out in terms of various defining characteristics in its own emphatic explanation of whom it is intended for, who will be able to extract its message, who its custodians are, and who it is not going to benefit at all:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>This is the Scripture whereof there is no doubt, a guidance unto those who ward off (evil). Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Baqara 2:2</th>
<th>ذَٰلِكِ الْكِتَابُ لَا رَيْبَٰٓ فِيهِ، هُدًى لِّلْمُتَّقِينَ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The Holy Qur'an by its own statement is a guidance only for those who are “muttaqin” (هدى للمتّقين), and not for others! The “muttaqin” characteristics are further defined, inter alia:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Who believe in the Unseen, and establish worship, and spend of that We have bestowed upon them;</th>
<th>أَلْدُنَّ يُؤُمِّنُونَ بِغَلْبُهُ وَيُضَيِّفُونَ الصَّلَاةَ وَمَا رَزَقْتُهُمْ يُقَفُّونَ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>And who believe in that which is revealed unto thee (Muhammad) and that which was revealed before thee, and are certain of the Hereafter.</td>
<td>وَأَلْدُنَّ يُؤُمِّنُونَ بِما أُنْزَلَ إِلَيْكَ وَمَا أُنْزِلَ مِن قَبْلِكَ وَبِأَخْرَجَةٍ هُمْ يَقَفُّونَ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>These depend on guidance from their Lord. These are the successful. Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-Baqara 2:3-4-5</td>
<td>أَوَلَّادُكَ عَلَى هَذِهِ مَنْ رَبِّهِمْ وَأَوْلَادُهُمْ هُمْ الْمُفْلِحُونَ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These “muttaqin” (must) approach the scriptures with an attempted cleansed heart in order to incrementally endeavor in seeking its meaning. And they will succeed in comprehending its message dependent only on the level of their spiritual cleansing – that's a promise of the Holy Qur'an! Different seekers of guidance will have different levels of comprehension of the Holy Qur'an based on how...
much “muttaqin” and how much “al-muttaharoon” they are!

This is why the Holy Qur’an further differentiates among them – all Muslims, believers in Islam, are not equal in the sight of the Author of the Holy Qur’an who identifies Itself as “the Lord of the Worlds” (زَبَبُ ٱللَّٰٰهِمْ) :

Verily the most honoured of you in the sight of Allah is (he who is) the most righteous of you. Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-Hujraat, verse fragment 49:13 (see full verse below)

Is one who worships devoutly during the hours of the night prostrating himself or standing (in adoration), who takes heed of the Hereafter, and who places his hope in the Mercy of his Lord— (like one who does not)? Say: 'Are those equal, those who know and those who do not know?' It is those who are endued with understanding that receive admonition. Holy Qur’an, Surah Az-Zumar 39:9

Incredible!

How does one embark on such a mission of a cleansed hearted journey to understand the Holy Qur’an today and overcome, in a meaningful way, one's socialization biases and natural tendencies, to actually be counted among those even mildly “honoured in the sight of Allah” rather than being among those who are “in a state of loss” (see Surah Al-Asr below)? If the Holy Qur’an claims to be a book of guidance for all mankind for all times rather than merely a revered scripture of antiquity, then clearly it must be comprehensible today in today's epoch, offer prescriptive principles to adhere to which are vibrant, effective and pertinent for today's living conditions, just as they must be for tomorrow's living conditions, and just as they were for the time of the Prophet of Islam when the Holy Qur’an reputedly revolutionized that Age of Jahiliya.

Well, the answer the Holy Qur’an itself provides in its very first Surah, Surah Al-Fatiha, verse 1:6-7 quoted above – to beseech the Creator in daily supplication to “Show us the straight path, The path of those whom Thou hast favoured.”

The fascinating riddle of “Al-Wasilah”

Evidently, according to the prima facie prescription of Islam itself, the cleansed hearted journey to understand the Holy Qur’an for Muslims (like all other peoples seeking divine guidance) can only be undertaken by seeking out the path of some unnamed people whom God has favored. This is further underscored:
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O ye who believe! Do your duty to Allah, seek the means of approach unto Him, Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Maeda 5:35

Caption Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Maeda 5:35, unequivocally putting to bed for all times the argument on how to approach Allah: “O ye who believe! Do your duty to Allah, seek the means of approach unto Him,” Who are these “means of approach unto Him”? See below Surah Al-Baqara verse 2:166-2:167, and Surah An-Nahl 16:25, for Qur'anic constraints on “Wasilah”, whereby both followers and leaders are respectively condemned! Who specifically then meets the highly constrained requirements of “Wasilah” of this pivotal verse 5:35 wherein “believers” are commanded to “seek the means of approach unto Him,” as an obligatory “Duty to Allah”?

It follows therefore, rather straightforwardly in fact from the logic of the Qur'anic Message, that ONLY “the path of those whom Thou hast favoured” as proclaimed in Surah Al-Fatiha 1:7, and subsequently clarified as “seek the means of approach unto Him,” the “Wasilah” (الوَسْيِلَة) in Surah Al-Maeda 5:35, can exemplify, interpret, and explain the journey of the straight path (الطريق المستقيم)! Verse 1:7 teaches the supplicant to beseech the Creator to show the path of His Favored Ones. And verse 5:35 commands the supplicant to first seek the means of approach unto Him as his duty to the Creator, in order to even approach the straight path! The Author of the Holy Qur'an specifies how to seek Guidance from His Scripture in order to approach Him --- to seek His designated “Wasilah”!

In simpler words for the language and logic challenged, let's break that down step by step. This is what is meant by reflection when the Author repeatedly invites reflection on the verses of the Holy Qur'an with a cleansed heart: “Do they not then reflect on the Quran? Nay, on the hearts there are locks.” – for its greater meaning is only understood when one thinks and reasons through the whole because the whole is much larger than the sum of its individual parts. There is a great deal of advanced understanding contained even in very simple verses when their obvious interconnections are grasped. These are the low hanging fruits of the tree so to speak, within reach of anyone who is willing to reach up to pluck them, but is not available when one makes no effort at reflection or stays mired in its Cliff notes:

• By the proclamation of the Holy Qur'an itself, the supplicant, the seeker of the straight path, cannot approach the Creator directly, but only through the specified means, of seeking the “Wasilah”, the means of approach unto Him.

• For emphasis, it is even presented as a “duty” of the “believers” to first seek the “Wasilah”!

• And it is further emphasized that only the Author's own favored ones can delineate the straight path unto Him.

• The Author's own favored ones, and not the believers' favorite ones, are veritably the
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*Wasilah, “the means of approach unto Him.”*

- The Holy Qur'an categorically affirms that the *straight path* is indeed a guided journey under the leadership of the Divinely Favored Imams, *Al-Wasilah*, and not a solo journey by one's own interpretation, imagination, due diligence! *Al-Wasilah* must specifically be sought and followed for the journey on the *straight path* in order to benefit from Divine Guidance. The rest are led astray because they end up on the paths of the wrong types of people!

- Since the *straight path* is singular, it follows that all the favored ones who are *Al-Wasilah*, the show-ers of the *straight path* upon whom God has bestowed favors, the Guides to follow, the Imams who lead on that path, are directing believers to the same *one path* without making an error and without disagreeing with each other one iota. Like the airline flight path, once divined by the ATC, is singular and has no margin of error --- it has to be exactly followed without deviation.

- It follows that *Al-Wasilah* are inerrant by the very definition of their job function!

Mind blowing... putting to bed all facile views pertaining to the path of spiritual guidance and spiritual ascendance in the pristine Religion of Islam. [c] This is not the man-made Islam penned by the hand of man. But the untampered and unadulterated Islam that eagerly beckons when one approaches the study of its singular Scripture with even a moderately cleansed heart! Imagine the depth of understanding one may be able to reach with greater self-control of the mind to remove all vestiges of socialization bias, confirmation bias, self-interest and perception management.

Putting it together with verse 39:9 of Surah Az-Zumar then makes that rhetorical question obviously prescriptive, rather than being merely tautological: “*Are those equal, those who know and those who do not know?*”

Meaning, it further follows that these “Wasilah”, the show-ers of the *straight path* upon whom God has bestowed favors, the Guides to follow, the Imams who lead on that *straight path*, must also be the ones highest in knowledge and understanding of that *straight path* among those whom they guide. Otherwise, how can they guide others more knowledgeable than themselves? Or, if their own understanding concerning this *straight path* was error prone? Especially of an obscure path which Allah ordained that no man may otherwise know of his and her own accord, except through those who were divinely favored. Which, of course, also automatically implies that their teacher can be none among those whom they have been *divinely chosen* and ordained to guide! And the Holy Qur'an precisely confirms this, that their teacher is only Allah, in verse 6:90 of Surah Al An'aam: “*These are they whom Allah guided, therefore follow their guidance!*”

|These are they whom Allah guided, therefore follow their guidance. Say: I do not ask you for any reward for it; it is nothing but a reminder to the nations. Holy Qur'an, Surah Al An'aam 6:90| ❮أَدْعُوهُمْ لِيَدْعُونَ اللَّهَ عِنْدَهُمْ أَقْلَمَةً لَا أَسْتَفْهَمُونَهَا أَنْ لَوْ لَمْ يَكْفُرُوا بِهِ فَمَنْ أَيْدَىٰ لِلْمُؤَمِّنِينَ❯
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>transliteration: قَالُوا يَا أَيُّهَا النَّبِيُّ أَنُّنَذِرُكُمْ فِي مَا لَدَيْنَا مِنْ عَلَامَةٍ أَنْ لَوْ كَانَ لَمْ يَكْفُرُوا بِهِ فَمَنْ أَيْدَىٰ لِلْمُؤَمِّنِينَ</td>
<td>transliteration: Qalaw ya <code>Ayyukha al-Nabi’u annaznirukum fi maa ladiyna mna</code>alama an lO kan lO mikafruru bihi fana <code>ayda</code> lillumumin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Zahir Ebrahim
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That there is a didactic significance to the notion of “Wasilah” for knowing and approaching the straight path, and which is not to be dismissed as merely allegorical (mithashabah), is emphasized again:

One day We shall call together all human beings with their (respective) Imams Holy Qur’an, Surah al-Isra’i’l 17:71

A brief explanation of the word “Imam” (إمام) is perhaps in order as few Muslims evidently comprehend it – judging from the honorific which they continually adopt for themselves and ascribe to every tom dick and harry who can regurgitate in Arabic or tie a turban on his head. The word “Imam” is frequently used in the Holy Qur'an. Its meaning fortunately is unambiguously explained by the Holy Qur'an itself. We don't have to use a language dictionary nor hijack Qur'anic terminology as a common noun when it clearly is not intended to be. (See Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation for how Qur'anic terminology is routinely hijacked with semantic overload by vested interests). But first, let's see what the language dictionary says about the word. The Arabic-English dictionary of the Holy Qur'an in the hands of this scribe defines the common noun “Imam” thusly:

Imam: “Leader; President; Any object that is followed, whether a human being or a book or a highway”.

The fascinating riddle of the “Imam” – a Divine family's story

However, in the language of the Holy Qur'an, the terminology “Imam” is a proper noun when referring to apostolic leaders whom Allah chose above all others – as in the following verses where its clearest meaning is made manifest for those upon whose eyes there is no covering, and upon whose ears and heart there is no lock of self-interest or self-deception:

Surely Allah chose Adam and Nuh and the descendants of Ibrahim and the descendants of Imran above the nations. Offspring one of the other; and Allah is Hearing. Knowing. Holy Qur'an Surah Aal-e-Imran 3:33-34
And when his Lord tried Ibrahim with certain words, he fulfilled them. He said: Surely I will make you an Imam of men. Ibrahim said: And of my offspring? My covenant does not include the unjust, said He. Holy Qur'an Surah Al-Baqara, 2:124

Caption Verses of Holy Qur'an explaining its use of terminology of “Imam” as the leader of “naas” (mankind), , asserting that Allah shall also make Imams in the offspring of Prophet Ibrahim as a Divine Covenant (Contract) for Ibrahim's request as his everlasting reward. Observe that Prophet Ibrahim is already a Prophet of Allah when his Lord tried Ibrahim with certain words that he fulfilled, and as a reward Allah said: Surely I will make you an Imam of naas. Prophethood and Messengership represent Allah's Guidance to mankind, and Allah's appointed Imams lead mankind in accordance with that Divine Guidance. The verse makes it clear that the Imam need not be a Prophet or Messenger of Allah, but will be a Leader of man who shall not be unjust or from among the oppressors, . Since verse 2:124 prima facie is neither time bound nor limited to any geography, it is an evergreen Covenant that begs the obvious question: who are these Allah's appointed Imam(s) today who are from among the offspring of Prophet Ibrahim? How shall we identify them? By DNA? Or, is our modern age denied the benefit of the Covenant that Allah made with Prophet Ibrahim thousands of years ago? Did the benefit of that Covenant of a Divinely made Imam end with the Last Prophet of Allah, Prophet Muhammad, peace be upon him? If that conjecture is presumed, then verse 2:124 is trivially falsified because it espouses no sense of limit in the Imams from among the offspring of Prophet Ibrahim, except that they shall not be unjust. Therefore, verse 2:124 must still be presumed true. So, might we look for just leaders(?) with the Prophetic DNA – (Prophet Muhammad's as well as all Jewish Prophets')... I am still searching... where art thou? Or is 2:124 yet another one of those metaphorical verses ( آيات متشابهات ) of the Holy Qur'an?

Thence we see that when verses 17:71 and 10:47 (quoted above) respectively state: “One day We shall call together all human beings with their (respective) Imams”, “And for every nation there is a messenger. And when their messenger cometh (on the Day of Judgment) it will be judged between them fairly, and they will not be wronged”, the word “Imam”, like “Messenger”, a proper noun, prima facie refers to those guides and leaders whom Allah has chosen to lead men (and women) onto the straight path from a specific Divine family, “Offspring one of the other” as per verse 3:34, and in the progeny of Prophet Ibrahim as per the Covenant in verse 2:124. “Imam” is thus one Divine family's story! That's what the Good Book itself says right before one's eyes. But being perpetual victims of facile views, Muslims tend to follow anyone with a turban on the pulpit with the title “imam” – and therein lies the pièce de résistance of conundrums. The “tahreef”, corruption, alteration, of the meaning of the Qur'anic word “Imam” and its replacement with the dictionary meaning common noun
“imam” is only part of the problem.

Apart from the logical reasoning noted earlier for the solution to the obvious puzzle that why can't one just read the Holy Qur'an and be done with the dispensation of divine guidance directly from it rather than seek out the path of some favored ones who are not even straightforwardly identified in the most common Surah; that why does one, even today fourteen centuries later, in obligatorily repeating Surah Al-Fatiha in mandatory daily prayers, have to seek that straight path of divine guidance via some “Wasilah” who also remain unnamed in the Holy Qur'an, except for the fact that we are told they are in the progeny of Prophet Ibrahim? How are we to identify them today? But that's not the end of the conundrum, only its beginning!

If only the business of divine guidance were so straightforward – for the average intelligence level of humanity is certainly not up to solving complex riddles in order to pursue faith by way of reasoning about it (which is why the vast majority are simply socialized into their respective belief system by birth, and stay in it for their entire life). That empirical reality must be accounted for otherwise the Holy Qur'an remains just un-implementable theory.

The first of these accountings for the empirical reality of socialization already mentioned above, is to compete with each other in virtuous conduct (Surah Al-Maeda 5:48 above) as individual behavioral responsibility, rather than in theological upmanship among God's religions brought by different Messengers among whom there is no difference (Surah Al-Baqara 2:285 below).

Now, we have the second empirical reality. It is proffered to not only “seek the means of approach unto Him”, but also that “We shall call together all human beings with their (respective) Imams” on the Day of Reckoning.

What if the socialization of a Muslim polity is outright, or partially, based on falsehoods, half-truths, three-quarter truths, and subtle distortions that have crept into the divine teachings? What if that which is followed is not accurately the teachings of the Messengers and Imams dispatched by God to every people – including to the Muslims?

Since: “We shall call together all human beings with their (respective) Imams”, and the false “imams” will disclaim their followers (see verses immediately below), we have both, a practical and a theological problem. Finding the “straight path” just got a lot harder and trickier – because now there is a penalty attached to getting it wrong and following false teachers and false leaders despite the best of plebian intentions!

Therefore, to ensure correct guidance for the supplicant of the straight path that they don't end up mistakenly following false paths, false prophets, false leaders, false imams, false pontiffs, false kings, false khalifas, false pulpits, and false paths laid out by usurpers, tyrants, and impostors, while thinking they are following the divinely guided straight path, the following verses of the Holy Qur'an proffer the clearest admonishment of perpetual vigilance as the caveating qualifier to seeking the straight path of only those people whom God hath favored:

(On the day) when those who were followed disown those who followed (them), and they behold the doom, and all their aims collapse with them. 2:166
And those who were but followers will say: If a return were possible for us, we would disown them even as they have disowned us. Thus will Allah show them their own deeds as anguish for them, and they will not emerge from the Fire. Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-Baqara 2:167

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>And specifically, the following admonishment is especially for the Muslims, in their blindly casting about for guides and imams, leaders to show them the way, benefactors, rulers, and interpreters of faith whom they obey as their vali, guardian, and ending up with false friends who betray their trust or who are themselves misled and take their followers to hell on earth as well as in the Hereafter:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caption Holy Qur’an Surah Al-Baqara 2:166-2:167 unequivocally disclaiming followers, and Surah An-Nahl 16:25 unequivocally disclaiming false imams who will equally be apportioned their due for misguiding the foolish people without knowledge who followed them!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Day that the wrong-doer will bite at his hands, he will say, 'Oh! Would that I had taken a (straight) path with the Messenger!' 25:27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;'Ah! Woe is me! Would that I had never taken such a one for a friend!' 25:28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'He did lead me astray from the Message (of Allah) after it had come to me! Ah! the Evil One is but a traitor to man!' 25:29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Then the Messenger will say: 'O my Lord! Truly my people took this Qur'an for just foolish nonsense.' Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-Furqaan 25:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caption Surah Al-Furqaan 25:27-30 making it shockingly plain that the religion of Islam would become so distorted and misrepresented among the Muslims that even the Messenger of Allah who brought the revelations will lament on the Day of Judgment that his own people shackled its meaning, “mahjoor”, to erudite study, stale rituals, and mindless recitations to seek Heaven, instead of</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| And those who were but followers will say: If a return were possible for us, we would disown them even as they have disowned us. Thus will Allah show them their own deeds as anguish for them, and they will not emerge from the Fire. Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-Baqara 2:167 |
| Let them bear, on the Day of Judgment, their own burdens in full, and also (something) of the burdens of those without knowledge, whom they misled. Alas, how grievous the burdens they will bear! Surah An-Nahl 16:25 |
| ٓوَقَالَ الْذِّينَ اتَّبَعُوا لَوْ أَنْ لَنَا كَرَةً فَنَبِئُوا مِنْهُمْ كَمَا تَبِئَوْا مِنْهُمْ كَذَٰلِكَ يَرَيُوهُمُ اللَّهُ أُبَاءَ عَمَلَهُمْ حَسَّاتٍ عَلَيْهِمْ وَمَا هُمْ بَخَارِجٌ مِّنَ النَّارِ " |
| لِيَحْمِلُوا أُؤْرَارَهُمْ كَامِلَةً يَوْمَ الْقِيْمَةِ وَمِنْ أُؤْرَارِ الْمُتَّقِينَ يُضَلُّوْنَهُمْ بِغَيْرِ عِلْمٍ ٌّاَلَا سَاءَ مَا يَزِرُونَ َ |

| ٓوَبِمْ يُؤْصُوْنَ ۖ أَنْ يَطَّلِبُوا عَلَىٰ ذَٰلِكَ ۖ قَالَوْنَّ يَا لِيْتُنِي أَخْلَصْتُ مَعَ الرَّسُولِ صَلَّى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَرَحْمَتُهُ عَلَيْهِ " |
| بَأَسْئَانَا حَلِيلًا ُّاَلَا أَتَخْذُوهُمْ " |
| لَعَلَّهُمْ أَصِلَّلُوا عَلَىٰ النَّكَرَ بَعْدَ إِذٍ جَاعَنُوا وَكَانَ الشَّيَاطِنُ لِلإِنسَانِ خَلَوًا َ |
| ٓوَقَالَ الرَّسُولُ ﷺ رَبِّ إِنِّي فَوْمِي أَتَخْذُوهُمْ هَذَا الْقُرْآنَ مُهَجُورًا َ |
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living its meaning as a vibrant constitution of life which singularly hinges on not just disaffirming all falsehoods (kalima), but also actively striving to end them (103:3) – “mahjoor” includes that woven by Machiavellian power through their proxy agents planted as Trojan Horse to shackle it, the great betrayal of trust by the turbans who have occupied the pulpit in the service of empire: ‘O my Lord! Truly my people took this Qur'an for just foolish nonsense.’

This lament of betrayal by Muslims creates a fascinating riddle when juxtaposed next to verse 4:59 of Surah an-Nisaa' which makes obedience to a third party besides Allah and his Messenger, the “ulul-amar”, compulsory:

“O ye who believe! Obey Allah, and obey the Messenger, and those charged with authority among you.

If ye differ in anything among yourselves, refer it to Allah and His Messenger, if ye do believe in Allah and the Last Day: That is best, and most suitable for final determination.”

Surah an-Nisaa' 4:59

Caption Verse 4:59 of Surah an-Nisaa', the Verse of Obedience, itself opening the door to a riddle, the source of abuse by all rulers and empires who have lorded over the Muslim public in the name of Islam, and the primary reason for the fundamental bifurcation between Sunni and Shia sects whereby each understands this verse solely in accordance with their respective socialization.

Who are these third unnamed entity, mentioned in plurality, “those charged with authority among you” (وَأَوَلَى ٱلْأُمُّرِ ۖ مَنْ كَفَّرْ بِهِ), that the Muslim public mind is enjoined to obey at the same command precedence level as God and His Messenger, during the lifetime of the Prophet of Islam (the command is in present tense), and thereafter ( appears open-ended?), while simultaneously not becoming a victim of the aforesaid lament? What a riddle! And no turban today appears any closer than he was yesterday to having any expertise in simple algebra to solve this puzzle outside of his own narrow sphere of socialization, or outside of his self-serving pusillanimous service to rulers who make recourse to this verse to demand obedience from the public in the name of God. This riddle and its impact upon Muslim polity over the past fourteen centuries, and still counting, is examined in the aforementioned case study. [op. cit.]

Speak of facile views! The Prophet of Islam, vouches the Holy Qur'an, will himself complain on the Day of Reckoning that: “Truly my people took this Qur'an for just foolish nonsense.” The disturbing consternation, expressed in the language of the Qur'an, is an admonishment so that people have the opportunity to rectify it, and not a foregone conclusion.

Something all the latter day mosque going holy turbans sporting white flowing beards with self-righteous piety stamped upon their forehead, not to ignore the pious mother of man who hides in black
tent as the ultimate mark of her virtue and obedience to God, might worry about, at least a little. While the tyrants run supreme strangulating mankind with mere perception management, the Muslim mind bows in ever more fervent obeisance to who knows which god --- for it is surely not the God that conveyed the religion of Islam in the Holy Qur'an!

What a challenge for the earnest seeker of the straight path (الصُّراطُ المستقیمُ), especially when religion intersects with imperial mobilization and its diabolical confriere, the Machiavelli, as it has done since time immemorial.

How is a Muslim, born and raised under the cloud of sectarian schisms and empire's favored version of Islam, to navigate this minefield which is replete not just with socialization artifacts of birth, culture, and historical baggage, but also ongoing false friends cultivated from the highest pulpits in every generation?

Not a single Muslim thinks these admonishing verses apply to him or her – as is typical of all self-righteous indoctrination. See “Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation”, the 600 page Fatwa on Terrorism, and the CAIR report for contemporary examples of false friends and Trojan horse institutions devilishly implanted among Muslims for precisely this purpose of diabolically manufacturing consent and engineering controlled dissent for aiding imperial mobilization. Well-intentioned people seeking guidance hither and thither continually fall for them! The modus operandi of this betrayal by friends who present themselves as being on the side of the weak (the weak being perennially ripe for cognitive infiltration by false friends as their predicament inclines them naturally to the well-known Biblical and Qur'anic beatitudes that have become more of a gift to Machiavelli than do anything for the weak, such as the “meek shall inherit the earth” in the Bible, and “And We desired to bestow a favor upon those who were deemed weak in the land, and to make them the Imams, and to make them the heirs,” in Surah Al-Qasas verse 28:5 in the Holy Qur'an), is examined in The Masters of Dissent and The Dying Songbird. [2a]

One needs to be fully awake and thinking in the matters of faith no differently than in any other matter of political science – for faith and political science continually intersect to ensure both the support of religion, and no interference from religion, in the pursuit of empire's business. Whereas God's “deen” has nothing to do with empire! And this is the most significant fact of the matter from which all macro good and evil follow, for every people, of every religion, and no religion.

Furthermore, the cleansed hearted learning for the journey of the straight path (الصُّراطُ المستقیمُ) is not just with the intellectual left-half brain, i.e., cognitive, analytical, logical, reasoned, based on empirical knowledge. But also with the poetic and linguistic right-half brain, i.e., with feelings, emotions, empathy, intuitions, insights, inspiration, all of which may transcend the causality principle of cold objective intellectual empiricism. (Think Mr. Spock vs. Captain Kirk in the fable of Star Trek television series of the 1960s). For a discussion of why these are independent human faculties and why both are necessary to pilot human wisdom and spiritual learning towards the straight path, see the essay Morality derived from the Intellect leads to Enslavement!. [3] The report Islam: Why is the Holy Qur'an so easy to hijack? Part-II [4] further dwells upon this bifurcation of left and right half brain metaphors and what the language of the intellect (verses like 67:3-4 see discussion below), and the language of the heart (verses like those quoted above), respectively speak to in the context of the overarching spiritual teachings of the Holy Qur'an (such as in verse 20:114 discussed below). One without the other is at best one-eyed! More often, usually blind.
Is Islam the Problem for which Secular Humanism is pitched as the Solution?

Seeing with the spiritual eye is how the journey of the *straight path* even becomes discernible. But it is not a spiritual journey of the Sufis and dervishes withdrawn from the affairs of this world – it is a bold physical life's journey of *striving* in this world amidst all its travails and tribulations as further outlined in the recipe of a successful life in Surah Al-Asr discussed below. The inner motivation to embark and to stay on that journey of the straight path is principally seeded only with the spiritual eye to even perceive the straight path (الصّرائط المُستقيمة), and the urgency to be on it – for one does not know how much time one has remaining to one's life.

This is why the Holy Qur'an refers to the spiritual condition of being lost in darkness away from the *straight path* in similitude like: “on the hearts there are locks” and “Allah hath sealed their hearing and their hearts, and on their eyes there is a covering.”

That's the *cleansed heart* metaphor – inter alia, a genuine desire to learn using all human faculties at our disposal. Whereas anyone may pick up a copy of the Qur'an, read it, torch it, defecate on it, shoot at it, and of course, even recite it in in the most surreal and melodious of incantations that is prized by all Muslims worldwide. The *cleansed heart* is an empirical demand not just of the Author of the Holy Qur'an to those who seek its teaching, but also of rational commonsense.

Don't bring perceptual, ingrained, residual, or prejudicial biases to reading any book or else you won't comprehend the complete message that was put in the book by its author. You'll only get what you want to hear, believe, or argue to serve your own narrow interests! That's how fine literature is supposed to be read, argued, and enjoyed – using one's own interpretation and imagination. A fine book of poetry or allegorical fiction can reasonably mean different things to different people – and they can argue about it all day if they like without loss of sensibilities.

But try doing that to a city's handbook of traffic laws, or the tax laws. One has to precisely understand what the authors of the traffic regulations – the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) –
mean in the full letter, the full intent, and the full spirit of the regulations if one wants to pass that pesky written test to get one's driver license. More importantly, in order to be a safe driver which only comes about by repeatedly putting into best practice what one has learnt in theory. The practice helps clarify the theory, and the theory helps refine the practice.

Indeed, the Holy Qur'an is like any other convoluted law book – one has to absorb it with concentration, contemplation, and with the clear motivation to exactly comprehend what its Author had in mind. This is also a common topic of exposition by genuine scholars of Islam. But unfortunately it has been relegated to dusty old books in local Muslim languages which few ordinary people read. The advent of the internet has made at least some of these works accessible in translation to anyone today and there is hardly any excuse for the lack of commonsense on how to sensibly study the complex and unusual text of the Holy Qur'an. [5]

Acquiring such non-facile theoretical Qur'anic knowledge, and living it in practice in the straight path (المُسْتَقْلِيلُ) established by those whom God hath favored, just made both the comprehension and practice of the Religion of Islam a lot harder than the prostrations stamped upon the forehead! (See: Islam: Why is the Holy Qur'an so easy to hijack? Part-I, Part-II)

Furthermore, hijacking the Qur'an for vested interests also just got easier. Deliberately purveying facile views on Islam serve their own diabolical agendas. Let's take a moment to examine the intent behind Terry Jones', the 'Burn a Quran' pastor in Gainesville Florida, statement to CNN.

Caption Image bookcover of Pastor Terry Jones “ISLAM is of THE DEVIL”
‘(CNN) — In protest of what it calls a religion “of the devil,” a nondenominational church in Gainesville, Florida, plans to host an “International Burn a Quran Day” on the ninth anniversary of the September 11, 2001, attacks. The Dove World Outreach Center says it is hosting the event to remember 9/11 victims and take a stand against Islam. With promotions on its website and Facebook page, it invites Christians to burn the Muslim holy book at the church from 6 p.m. to 9 p.m.

“We believe that Islam is of the devil, that it’s causing billions of people to go to hell, it is a deceptive religion, it is a violent religion and that is proven many, many times,” Pastor Terry Jones told CNN’s Rick Sanchez earlier this week.

Jones wrote a book titled “Islam is of the Devil,” and the church sells coffee mugs and shirts featuring the phrase.

“I mean ask yourself, have you ever really seen a really happy Muslim? As they’re on the way to Mecca? As they gather together in the mosque on the floor? Does it look like a real religion of joy?” Jones asks in one of his YouTube posts.

“No, to me it looks like a religion of the devil.”

“In Islam, many actions that we consider to be crimes are encouraged, condoned or sheltered under Islamic teaching and practice, though. Another reason to burn a Quran.” (CNN, July 29, 2010) [6]

When someone utters of a scriptural religion of 2 billion peoples which unequivocally enjoins justice and equity among mankind regardless of religion, which unequivocally forbids committing excesses in the land, unequivocally forbids the killing of innocent people, and unequivocally likens the virtue of saving one innocent person from injustice being akin to saving an entire peoples, that: “to me it looks like a religion of the devil”, is not just simple ignorance:

● “For Allah loveth those who judge in equity.” Holy Qur'an Surah Al-Maeda 5:42
● “... so strive as in a race in all virtues.” Holy Qur'an Surah Al-Maeda 5:48
● “On that account We ordained for the Children of Israel that if any one slew a person unless it be for murder or for spreading mischief in the land, it would be as if he slew the whole people; and if any one saved a life, it would be as if he saved the life of the whole people. Then although there came to them Our Messengers with Clear Signs, yet, even after that, many of them continued to commit excesses in the land.” Holy Qur'an Surah Al-Maeda 5:32

Furthermore, to go to the bother of writing a full book-length treatise egregiously titling it: 'Islam is of the Devil', seems to be following directly in the footsteps of the propaganda manuals written by the “foremost Western scholar of Islam”, Princeton University professor emeritus of Near Eastern Studies, primarily of Islamic history, Bernard Lewis, such as Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror.

Clearly Terry Jones' case isn't the simple situation of mere prejudice, of being mistaken about
Islam in the information age of 2011, of an orientalist misreading the Holy Qur'an. Pastor Terry Jones actually went ahead and torched a copy of the Holy Qur'an in March 2011. [7] Such demonstrated malice is beyond ignorance. It is designed to inflame, to hurt, to elicit an uncontrolled response from the Muslims. No hate laws were applied to Pastor Terry Jones of course in the name of free speech any more than these were applied to the Danish cartoonist drawing hideous caricatures of the Prophet of Islam in 2006 under guidance from his own Jewish confreres in America, Daniel Pipes and company. [8] Instead, Terry Jones is now smugly mounting a campaign for becoming the president of the United States for 2012! [9]

It is easy to misread into Terry Jones' misanthropy as being either an isolated case of a crackpot jackass (as the Western media projects it to be), or an example of revived Crusades against Islam (which Muslims holding facile views are wont to believe). It is neither. Apart from perhaps personal malice, it is entirely political science in the same vein as all propaganda manuals are. And the word “Islam” is the scapegoat! As I had summed it up in September 2010:

'yawn.... sooo reminiscent of Bible Burning in Zionistan [9a] and pissing-spitting on the symbols of Christianity for advanced entertainment and mirth [9b] — common progenitors [9c] and instigators harboring more or less equal contempt for the faith of all 'untermensch' and thenceforth, without fear of accountability or retribution, nurturing the figment of a “clash of civilizations” to justify the ongoing murderous “Imperial Mobilization”' [9d]

That Machiavellian maligning of Islam as “doctrinal motivation” (see Brzezinski quote at the beginning) is examined in the report “Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation” [10] where I take an in-depth look into the Dynamics of Mantra Creation of Islamofascism, starting with the crafty Jewish penmanship of Bernard Lewis in the service of “imperial mobilization”.

I should just add in passing that the unenviable destiny of all such vulgar propagandists who at the peak of their hubris fuel unspeakable war-mongering upon mankind, is perhaps timelessly captured in the Goebbels family's fate! [11] But only under the spectre of victor's justice.

Returning back to inadvertently misunderstanding the Holy Qur'an as opposed to deliberately distorting it for vested interests as illustrated above, it should be obvious to any sensible person that memorizing the Holy Qur'an like a tape recorder has zero pertinence to understanding its message, never mind comprehending it sufficiently as “muttaqin” for practicing its spirit beyond its daily rituals. I hope I can be forgiven for drawing the apt parallel of the pleasure of daily Qur'anic recitation with daily reciting the DMV driver's handbook just for the pleasure of hearing the sound of the latter instructive words!

That is in effect what the Muslims have done with the Holy Qur'an – read the DMV handbook.
for the sheer pleasure of hearing the sounds and rhythm of its words and sentences! As useful as that might be to wean oneself from sleeping pills, can one pass the DMV test that way? “Is it such a Message that ye would hold in light esteem?” demands the Author of the Holy Qur'an, while simultaneously asserting “In a Book well-guarded, which none shall touch but those who are clean”!

Clearly, the warning to Muslims (and non-Muslims alike) by the Author of the Holy Qur'an to not make a mockery of the “well-guarded Book”, is very emphatic, repetitive, and unequivocal (آيات محفوظات). Even verse 25:30 of Surah Al-Furqaan vouches a severe condemnation of the Muslims themselves by none other than the Messenger who brought them the Holy Qur'an: “Then the Messenger will say: 'O my Lord! Truly my people took this Qur'an for just foolish nonsense.’” The primary focus is veritably on understanding the message: “Do they not then reflect on the Quran? Nay, on the hearts there are locks.” (refer to Surah Muhammad, 47:24 quoted above) as it commonsensically should be, and not on its mere recitation, memorization, ritual reverence, and ritual practice: “Is it such a Message that ye would hold in light esteem?” (refer to Surah Al-Waqia, 56:81 quoted above). Of course, as all Muslims will surely testify, there is a more profound effect upon the spirit on hearing or reciting the Holy Qur'an in its original Arabic than doing the same to the DMV driver's handbook in any language!

The Holy Qur'an, first and foremost, is an aural recitation, not a written word. The authenticity and correctness of the written copy of the Qur'an, as Muslims are aware, is testified by a hafiz of the Qur'an, one who has memorized it in its exactness, like a tape recorder, and the memorization has itself been authenticated by his teacher – successively going back to the time of the Prophet of Islam when the Prophet himself (the historical narrative unanimously states) approved the full recitation as it exists today. (For a history of its written compilation see: Some Old Manuscripts of the Holy Qur'an [12])

As divisive as Muslims are, and in as many sects as we are divided in, and in as many languages we speak on all five (or six) continents that we live, one thing we agree upon is the text of the Qur'an – that it remains unchanged.

There is nothing which unites the fractious 2 billion Muslims more than the text of the Holy Qur'an. The following verse asserts that unlike previous scriptures, the Author of the Holy Qur'an takes the responsibility of protecting its Message from man's corruption:

| We have, without doubt, sent down the Message; and We will assuredly guard it (from corruption). Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Hijr 15:9 | إِنَّا نَحْفِظُ نَزْلَاءَ الْذُّکَرَ وَإِنَّا لَحَافِظُونَ |

This is perhaps why there is so much emphasis among Muslims of all nations, cultures, and civilizations since the very time of the Prophet and the spread of Islam, to learn the memorization of the Holy Qur'an as both a sacred as well as a utilitarian virtue. Its verbatim perfect memorization continually protects the Holy Qur'an from tampering by those who own the printing presses. And it protected the Holy Qur'an in antiquity from malicious scribes working for kings, and from copying errors. And we see the proof of the pudding in its eating even today, fourteen centuries later. But while the text of the Holy Qur'an all Muslims agree remains the same, they all slightly disagree on what it means! See Islam: Why is the Holy Qur'an so easy to hijack? Part-II for a first of its kind forensic examination into this matter.
Sticking with the recitation of the Qur'anic Word for the moment, there is also something undeniable and uncanny about the calmness and feeling of spiritual peace which comes with reciting a Surah from the Holy Qur'an as an act of worship. Such calmness does indeed benefit many Muslims temporally – meaning, in the here and the now. Our psychiatric bills are almost negligible (unless we are physically being bombed to smithereens on a daily basis), and Prozac™ sales never took off among the Muslim nations as it did in the West. Empirically speaking, it is undeniable that even memorization, recitation, and parroting by the ordinary peoples has brought Muslims throughout the fourteen centuries some very unique benefits of spiritual strength and empowerment to withstand daily vicissitudes of life and tyrants.

Nevertheless, commonsense tells us that something has terribly gone wrong here. We have kept the shell and thrown away its fruit! [12a]

The Muslims have come to believe, or been led to believe, collectively, that making the Arabic offering of the Qur'an to Allah with its attendant rituals will take one to Heaven!

More recitation offerings to Allah will bring more Heaven in the Hereafter by compensating for our failings in the here, of both commissions and omissions.

Personal elevation of the spirit notwithstanding – the Shaman priest too derives much elevation of the soul in reciting his mantras as does the Hindu swami reciting the Vedas (for man, evidently, is naturally endowed with a spiritual bent of mind that seeks psychological comfort in the pursuit of the “why” of existence) – often times the words being recited are in a foreign tongue (Arabic) which the vast majority of Muslims on earth don't even speak or understand!

Of the nearly 2 billion Muslims on planet earth today, just about 10% are native speakers of Arabic. A few others speak it as a second language.

But most Muslims mouth the words of the Holy Qur'an formulaically in its original Arabic, or in its transliteration into their local language script, for some vague notion of reaping rewards in the Hereafter.

Acts of courage, valor, dignity, self-respect, standing up for what's right, standing up to oppression, tyranny, breaking the bonds of servitude, have all been replaced by joyous recitations.

Muslims do such pious recitations every opportunity we get, which is mostly on deaths and death-anniversaries of loved ones. We solemnly bring down the Qur'an from the topmost shelf of our choicest closet or bookcase, often kept wrapped in many layers of fine silk to preserve its dignity from dust and spiders, and gather around with friends and family to “finish” mouthing the Qur'an a maximum number of times as blessings and reward for the dear departed. More often than not, because of our busy lives, unable to gather sufficient number of people to mouth the Qur'an, we farm off the task to the nearest mosque and get children studying there to come-over and do so in proxy services in lieu of some food and generous gratuity to the mullah. More money we spend in such efforts, more we feel our prayers have traveled farther into purgatory relieving the burden of accountability on our loved ones!

As per the concept of sadqa-jariya, it is believed by many Muslims that such Qur'anic recitations and prayers of good-will help those who are no longer in this world when their loved ones miss them and pray for mercy for their souls (as opposed to forget them or curse them). Let's just accept, to avoid any red herring contentions, that it helps the damned to be less damned in purgatory if
they leave a good legacy of love and charitable works behind. For those rare virtuous people not damned, perhaps the prayers of the living helps them gain greater Heaven. Sadqa-Jariya is a unique concept in Islam which helps foster love, brotherhood, and charitable works that keep on accruing benefit to one even after one has left this abode, so long as the good-will left behind keeps bearing fruit for those still living.

But does such ceremonial mouthing of the Holy Qur'an help us while we are still living?

And during Ramadan of course, we again rush to “finish” mouthing all its 114 chapters divided into 30 sections, in just under 27 days as the fast-path to Heaven. If we overshoot by one day, we are in panic mode to finish the remaining sections quickly before the night of moon-sighting for the next day’s Eid festivities.

When do Muslims actually study the Holy Qur’an to comprehend its message for the here and the now, as one would study the DMV handbook? Or more aptly, as one studies to learn one’s profession and trade?

How much more facile than that can anyone get?

The fascinating acceptance of ALL Previous Prophets, of the Jews, of the Christians, and of the un-named peoples in every time and every space, making ALL of them comparable, equal, without difference, to the Prophet of Islam

Returning to the topic of the remarkable pluralism of Surah Al-Fatiha and Surah Al-Maeda, what does the Author of the Holy Qur'an commend to Muslims about His many Prophets, Apostles, and Messengers?

Witness:
Say (O Muslims): 'We believe in Allah and that which is revealed unto us and that which was revealed unto Abraham, and Ishmael, and Isaac, and Jacob, and the tribes, and that which Moses and Jesus received, and that which the prophets received from their Lord.

We make no distinction between any of them, and unto Him we have surrendered.' Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Baqara 2:136

The Messenger believeth in what hath been revealed to him from his Lord, as do the men of faith. Each one (of them) believeth in Allah, His angels, His books, and His messengers. 'We make no distinction (they say) between one and another of His messengers.'

And they say: 'We hear, and we obey: (We seek) Thy forgiveness, our Lord, and to Thee is the end of all journeys' Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Baqara 2:285

This is principally why Muslims do not return the villainous propaganda warfare waged against Prophet Muhammad by the Judeo-Christian soldiers carrying the white man's burden – for instance, like the Danish cartoons of 2006, and the American movie of 2012, dehumanizing the noble Prophet of Islam, or the Qur'an burning exercise resurrected in Norway in 2019 – with counter propaganda warfare against the prophets of antiquity whom the Christians and the Jews revere. For, the Holy Qur'an enjoins the Muslims to revere these same prophets of antiquity and to “make no distinction between one and another of His messengers.” (See similar verses, e.g. 4:163, 6:83, 57:26).

This is despite the Holy Qur'an simultaneously vouching that the earlier messages brought by these prophets of antiquity had been lost or distorted by the impudence of human hands (see Surah Al-Maeda 5:12-16), and that Islam now superseded them all as the last Testament to mankind which the Author had Himself undertaken to safeguard: “We have, without doubt, sent down the Message; and We will assuredly guard it” (Surah Al-Hijr 15:9 quoted earlier), with no more Messengers and Testaments to come in future times (see Surah Al-Ahzab 33:40).

But does the Author of the Holy Qur'an forbid Muslims reading other people's books? No! I have not found any occasion when such a travesty has been advocated.

Does the Author of the Qur'an forbid speaking to the people of other nations? No! I have not found any occasion when such a travesty has been advocated.
Does the Author of the Qur'an call upon Muslims to force themselves upon others?

Well, we have already seen what Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48, Surah Yunus 10:99-100, Surah Al-Baqara 2:256 quoted above, say about no compulsion and amicable co-existence. The author of the Holy Qur'an goes even further, categorically stating the following:

O mankind! Lo! We have created you from male and female, and have made you nations and tribes that ye may know one another. Lo! the noblest of you, in the sight of Allah, is the best in conduct. Lo! Allah is Knower, Aware. Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Hujraat, 49:13

My goodness! Is there another Scripture like it? And how can “ye may know one another” (لا تعرقوها) unless ye talk to each other, partake of each others joys and sorrows?

The straightforward logic of verse 49:13 in full context demonstrates that the Author of the Qur'an made the religion of Islam both non-isolationist, and non-triumphalist by force, to the core!

Does the Author of the Qur'an forbid Muslims imbibing themselves of knowledge and wisdom from any source?

No! I have also not found any occasion when such a travesty has been advocated.

Quite the opposite in fact. The Author of the Qur'an commands Its own last Messenger to pray to his Creator to increase his own “ilm” as a virtue:

and say: My Lord! Increase me in knowledge. Holy Qur'an, Surah Ta-Ha, 20:114

And therefore, since the Author's last Messenger is also the Exemplar for his followers, the commandment is to the Exemplar's followers as well, i.e., to the Muslims, to do the same: “and say: My Lord! Increase me in knowledge.” This pithy prayer is recited by many Muslims in their daily prayers. It is also plastered prominently on the entrance doors of universities and seminaries. Unfortunately, this increase evidently hasn't come to pass for a vast majority of us.

What's more, the author of the Qur'an even advocates pursuing boundless “ilm” thusly:

Thou seest not, in the Creation of the All-Merciful any imperfections. Return thy gaze, seest thou any fissure, Then return thy gaze, again and again. Thy gaze comes back to thee dazzled, aweary. Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Mulk, 67:3-4

The profound significance of these pithy verses of Surah Mulk to knowledge, to “ilm”
acquisition can perhaps also be judged from the fact that Muslim physicist Dr. Abdus Salam rehearsed it in Stockholm upon accepting The Nobel Prize in Physics 1979, boldly stating at the Nobel Banquet on December 10, 1979, before other Nobel laureates, scientists, dignitaries, the Nobel Foundation and the Royal Academy of Sciences, that: “This in effect is, the faith of all physicists; the deeper we seek, the more is our wonder excited, the more is the dazzlement for our gaze.” [13] That wonder excitement by the study of nature, of the heavens, of creation, of existence, is innate to the religion of Islam! Who says to separate religion from science when Islam itself advocates science? They probably mean not to conflate the processes of religion with the processes of science as explained in Falsification in the Scientific Method.

But does the author the Qur'an advocate such pursuits, singlemindedly, to the exclusion of all else, such that such pursuits become the self-serving pursuit of the 'American Dream'?

Or, is such an advocacy for the pursuit of “ilm” as a noble endeavor, made an essential component of a greater all encompassing moral imperative by the author of the Qur'an? A categorical imperative which devolves upon man an even greater system of personal and social responsibility for which the wholehearted pursuit of “ilm” is necessary, but not sufficient?

The answer is obvious, despite the question not being merely rhetorical.

It is plainly given by the author of the Qur'an in the pithy Surah Asr, in the verse fragment:

\[
\text{وَتَوَاصَّوْا بِالْحَقِّ} \\
\text{Surah Al-Asr, 103:3}
\]

The Arabic word “haq” (pronounced 'huq' like 'hug' and not like 'faq') is an all encompassing word and its single-word translation into English is impossible. It means all of the following (and then some): truth, Truth, justice, rights, rectifying injustice, not violating rights, not being unjust, demanding one's own rights, not permitting others to violate one's own rights, etceteras.

It is but simple logic and commonsense to deduce that the pursuit of accurate knowledge in all matters is an essential prerequisite to the pursuit of “haq” in all matters – lest one be deceived, be manipulated, end up believing in falsehoods, and act unjustly.

The aforementioned tiny but self-sufficient verse fragment of the Qur'an forms the foundational basis for what is called “jihad”, striving as a moral imperative, in other verses of the Qur'an:

\[
\text{وَجَاهَدُوا بِأَمْوَالِهِمْ وَأَنْفُسِهِمْ فِيْنَبْتِلُ اللَّهُ} \\
\text{Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Hujraat 49:15}
\]

But what should they “strive” ( \text{وَجَاهَدُوا} ) for, inter alia, with their wealth and their lives, without any expectations in return from their fellow man, to be so nobly designated as the “truthful ones” ( \text{وَتَوَاصَّوْا بِالْحَقِّ} ) by none other than the one who claims to be their Creator?

The Qur'anic answer, once again unequivocally provided by the author of the Qur'an in the Qur'an itself, is in Surah Asr.

It is to principally strive for “haq” ( \text{وَتَوَاصَّوْا بِالْحَقِّ} ) with all of one's wealth, resources, talents,
and energies! The lack of striving of which, the Author of the Holy Qur'an emphatically re-asserts in the same Surah Al-Asr, leads to:

\[
\text{Lo! man is in a state of loss} \quad \text{Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Asr 103:2}
\]

For completeness, reproduced below is the full recipe of the pithy Surah Al-Asr for a noble life which is \textit{“not in a state of loss”}, one which is not perpetually full of facile views, ignorance, apathy, vile servitude to the harbingers of inequity and injustices, and wild revolutions and further injustices in the name of redressing injustices. Notice what's stated and what's omitted in this self-sufficient tiny Surah. There is no reference to Muslims, or to Islam, or to any particular people or religion. It is directly addressed to man ( \( \text{الإنسان} \), “insaan”), to every people of all religions, and to people of no religion, the overarching context for which has already been elucidated above:

\[
\text{By the declining day, (103:1)} \quad \text{والعصرُ}
\]

\[
\text{Lo! man is in a state of loss (103:2)} \quad \text{إنَّ الإنسانَ فِي خَسَرٍ}
\]

\[
\text{Save those who believe,} \quad \text{عَمَّلُوا الصَّلِحِّ}
\]

\[
\text{and do good works,} \quad \text{وَتَوَاصَوْا بِالْحَقِّ}
\]

\[
\text{and strive for “haq”,} \quad \text{وَتَوَاصَوْا بِالصَّبِّرِ}
\]

\[
\text{and are patient (103:3)}
\]

Caption Surah Al-Asr, Chapter 103 of the Holy Qur'an (see \url{full exposition [14]})

The aforementioned few words of the Author of the Holy Qur'an, as straightforward as they appear to be, still do require plenty of reflection and context to grasp the full import of its message towards an equitable and mutually beneficial multicultural co-existence without the imposition of anyone's values and/or “facile views” upon another.

It is important to re-emphasize for the first of the four clauses of verse 103:3 of Surah Al-Asr quoted above, even at the risk of being repetitious once gain, that on theological matters of belief, including no belief, when one disagrees with another, the dispute is not up to man to decide. It is for some abstract entity called “God” to decide, as already quoted from the author of the Qur'an in the preceding discussion. It is not the business of man what another's beliefs are. That business is God's, and is defined as being among the Rights of God upon man, the “haqqaq-Allah”. No mortal may interfere in that Right even if, due to their own natural socialization and/or self-ascribed learnedness, they perceive that some Right of God is being violated by others holding a facile view. This clear demarcation of respective Rights in Islam between the Rights of God (beliefs) and the Rights of man (moral law), ends for all times, at least from Islam's point of view, all arguments of the type: whose conception of god is better; is there a god or isn't there; etc.

\textbf{Everyone gets to believe in whatever theology they want!} The Author of the Holy Qur'an in
defining the religion of Islam, already took the inherent differences in beliefs, natural inclinations, bent of mind, and perception biases due to the very nature of socialization of man into account!

Thus, apart from friendly discourse, any forceful disputation with another on the nature of their personal beliefs is transgressing the limits set by the author of the Qur'an for Islam's practitioners:

And if your Lord had pleased, surely all those who are in the earth would have believed, all of them; will you then force men till they become believers? Holy Qur'an, Surah Yunus 10:99

Wonderful.

This leaves man, as per the other three clauses of Surah Al-Asr verse 3 quoted above, in his short gift of life, to not worry about saving another's soul, but to primarily contend with his own conduct with his fellow man, the previously mentioned “haquq-al-ibad”.

The commonsense advocacy of that method of conduct, of doing good to fellow man, of striving for “haq” in removing injustices from oneself and from fellow man, and being patient in adversity rather than committing suicide or becoming a suicide bomber, is beneficial guidance to all mankind no differently than the Biblical commandment: “do unto others as you have others do unto you”, and Bertrand Russell's non-religious and secular formulation: 'Maximize individual happiness while minimizing social conflict for optimizing the overall common-good', are beneficial for all mankind.

(Note caveat on unbridled emphasis on intellect alone and the religion of deception which it naturally birth-pangs upon mankind called Secular Humanism, in: Morality derived from the Intellect leads to Enslavement!)

Take from whichever system of thought that naturally resonates with one; but don't be iniquitous to oneself, or to another; and the only practicable method to achieve that enlightened state of affairs regardless of the belief system one is socialized into, is the pursuit of “ilm” (in order to minimally be able to differentiate truth from falsehoods), social justice, and benevolence, as if in a race in all virtues instead of being in a race for Primacy and its Geostrategic Imperatives – i.e., imperial mobilization. This is the prima facie principal message of the Author of the Holy Qur'an. There is absolutely no drive for empire, or triumphalism, in the principled teachings of the Holy Qur'an which describes itself as the completion of a divine favor of a “deen” in verse 5:3 (الإسلام ديناً), and a divine guidance only to the “mutaqaen” in verse 2:2 (فضلًا لِلمتاقِينِ).

(The Holy Qur'an's self-description naturally begs the obvious question which is addressed in the aforementioned case study Islam: Why is the Holy Qur'an so easy to hijack? Part-II: where is empire in the Holy Qur'an? Especially, as were witnessed in the Ummayad, Abbasside, Fatimide, the Spanish Moor, and the Ottoman dynastic empires during the heyday of Arab and Mongol Muslim domination of the world for nearly a millennium?)

If only man were to take heed of any of this platitudinous stuff from any of the Books of wisdom among mankind, and implement that which is his preferred choice by socialization or natural inclination, in his respective tribe and nation.

Zahir Ebrahim

Go To TOC
Is Islam the Problem for which Secular Humanism is pitched as the Solution?

That singular failure to implement moral platitudes, from time immemorial, is the one fundamental problem of social failure to strive in “haq”! That social failure is the first cause for the creation of unjust empires and tyrants, and their subsequent quest for hegemony and domination of tribes and nations of the world as was justified by Zbigniew Brzezinski in his own American Mein Kampf of 1996 titled The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives: “Hegemony is as old as mankind.”

It is because of this empirical fact that the author of the Qur'an, in what it claims to be its last Testament to mankind, has laid such strong emphasis on striving for “haq” – even making it the underpinning of a life which is at a loss in its absence (أَنَّ الْإِنسَانَ لَقَدْ خَلَقْنَٰهُ لِيَحْمُرَ). Otherwise, the Biblical wisdom “do unto others as you have others do unto you” is still sufficient general principle among any enlightened peoples. However, while the latter was merely advisory, striving for “haq” has been made compulsory in Islam! In order to comprehend just how difficult that is in practice, and always has been, which is evidently why it has been made a cornerstone of Islam in the Holy Qur'an, please see the full exposition of Surah al-Asr. (op. cit.)

And what has man, “insaan”, done about such striving for “haq” as the principal engine of human development and social progress?

Nothing.

Caught between facile world views on the one hand, and bread and circuses on the other, man continues to be manipulated into voluntary servitude to tyrants of modernity just as he was in antiquity. While one may arguably understand the servitude in the Dark Ages to the tyrants of antiquity, in the modern information age, the Technetronic Era (term coined by Zbigniew Brzezinski), for the disease of the Dark Ages to persist is indicative of something far deeper which has not changed despite the march of civilizations, liberations, exponential increases in public knowledge, and the Technetronic progress.

Those who pursue “ilm”, knowledge, don't necessarily do so to strive for “haq”, or to redress the human condition, but for their own narrow self-interests to achieve their own version of the 'American Dream'. As the knowledge bearers, they are often either the direct harbingers of, or the silent bystanders to, the untold crimes against humanity. In the Technetronic Era of today, the former are the scientists, engineers, and technicians of empire laboring under facile delusions of all kind.

Tyranny of course only flourishes when many good men, and many good women, learned and pious, too busy pursuing their 'American Dreams', stay silent, indifferent.

That is just too well-worn a statement to be anything but one of the best moral clichés of all time. Edmund Burke wasn't the first to think of it. All the sages throughout the ages have reflected upon it. And Solon, the Athenian law giver, as noted previously, even made coming to the aid of fellow man a legal obligation (as opposed to solely being a moral one imparted by religions)!

Apart from the copious evidence of blood-stained pages of recorded history, the obvious import of accurate knowledge to the pursuit of “haq” as its principled primemover, can also be contemporarily judged by the empirical fact that due to the Muslims having a rather facile view of their own religion throughout history, and remaining quite ignorant of its interplay with imperial matters in every epoch, “jihad” was once again vilely harvested for an imperial agenda in the modern epoch with nothing but snake oil.
Is Islam the Problem for which Secular Humanism is pitched as the Solution?

The face of Brzezinski's Islam “God is on your side”

This time around by Zbigniew Brzezinski for “giving to the USSR its Vietnam War” in Afghanistan 1979-1988 by creating the “Mujahideens”. It is worth reproducing here Zbigniew Brzezinski's 1998 interview to French magazine *Le Nouvel Observateur* for his own confessions of the utility of promulgating facile world views to accomplish this:

'Question: The former director of the CIA, Robert Gates, stated in his memoirs [“From the Shadows”], that American intelligence services began to aid the Mujahadeen in Afghanistan 6 months before the Soviet intervention. In this period you were the national security adviser to President Carter. You therefore played a role in this affair. Is that correct?

Brzezinski: Yes. According to the official version of history, CIA aid to the Mujahadeen began during 1980, that is to say, after the Soviet army invaded Afghanistan, 24 Dec 1979. But the reality, secretly guarded until now, is completely otherwise Indeed, it was July 3, 1979 that President Carter signed the first directive for secret aid to the opponents of the pro-Soviet regime in Kabul. And that very day, I wrote a note to the president in which I explained to him that in my opinion this aid was going to induce a Soviet military intervention.

Question: Despite this risk, you were an advocate of this covert action. But perhaps you yourself desired this Soviet entry into war and looked to provoke it?

Brzezinski: It isn't quite that. We didn't push the Russians to intervene, but we knowingly increased the probability that they would.

Question: When the Soviets justified their intervention by asserting that they intended to fight against a secret involvement of the United States in Afghanistan, people didn't believe them. However, there was a basis of truth. You don't regret anything today?

Brzezinski: Regret what? That secret operation was an excellent idea. It had the effect of drawing the Russians into the Afghan trap and you want me to regret it? The day that the Soviets officially crossed the border, I wrote to President Carter. We now have the opportunity of giving to the USSR its Vietnam war. Indeed, for almost 10 years, Moscow had to carry on a war unsupportable by the government, a conflict that brought about the demoralization and finally the breakup of the Soviet empire.
Question: And neither do you regret having supported the Islamic fundamentalism, having given arms and advice to future terrorists?

Brzezinski: What is most important to the history of the world? The Taliban or the collapse of the Soviet empire? Some stirred-up Moslems or the liberation of Central Europe and the end of the cold war?

Question: Some stirred-up Moslems? But it has been said and repeated Islamic fundamentalism represents a world menace today.

Brzezinski: Nonsense! It is said that the West had a global policy in regard to Islam. That is stupid. There isn't a global Islam. Look at Islam in a rational manner and without demagoguery or emotion. It is the leading religion of the world with 1.5 billion followers. But what is there in common among Saudi Arabian fundamentalism, moderate Morocco, Pakistan militarism, Egyptian pro-Western or Central Asian secularism? Nothing more than what unites the Christian countries.' (source Global Research [15])

It is also worth reproducing here how Brzezinski fashioned these “Some stirred-up Moslems”:

News voice over 1980: “US National Security Advisor Brzezinski flew to Pakistan to set about rallying resistance. He wanted to arm the Mujahideen without revealing America's role. On the Afghan border near the Khayber Pass, he urged the Soldiers of God to redouble their efforts”

Brzezinski 1980: “We know of their deep belief in God, and we are confident that their struggle will succeed. That land over there, is yours, you'll go back to it one day, because your fight will prevail, and you'll have your homes and your mosques back again; because your cause is right; God is on your side.” [enthusiastic clapping by the future 'Mujahideens']

Brzezinski in the studio speaking to the interviewer: “The purpose of coordinating with the Pakistanis will be to make the Soviets bleed, for as much, as long, as possible.” (transcription is mine from the documentary video clip [16])

The mass ignorance and the facile world views that lay behind “their deep belief in god” among the Muslims was devilishly harvested with “god is on your side” to leave the Muslim civilization of Afghanistan into dust, and to set the stage for the future disintegration of Pakistan, with nothing but “Some stirred-up Moslems”!

It is the same fundamental lack of wherewithal today among the Muslims which is also enabling the same grandmasters to wage the perpetual 'Global War on Terror' upon the world as the age-old pretext for “imperial mobilization” on The Grand Chessboard. The enemy in yesteryear was crafted as Communism. The enemy today is crafted as Islam. (See Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation, op. cit.) That enemy is being taught to be feared worldwide, including to the world's foremost policing agency of the sole superpower, the FBI.
The face of Jews' Islam “violent Islam”

Caption An FBI video presentation titled “Militancy Considerations” measures the relationship between piety and violence among the texts of the three Abrahamic faiths [the god's chosen people obviously coming out on top!!!] As time goes on, the followers of the Torah and the Bible move from “violent” to “non-violent.” Not so for devotees of the Koran, whose “moderating process has not happened.” The line representing violent behavior from devout Muslims flatlines and continues outward, from 610 A.D. to 2010. In other words, religious Muslims have been and always will be agents of aggression. Watch FBI Presentation Video (click on image, alternate watch, source video link) artfully Hijacking Islam. See Islam vs. Secular Humanism and World Government by Zahir Ebrahim for its full implication. [16a] [16b] [16c] (Image source)

In both endeavors, Muslim rulers and their intelligence apparatuses played, and are still playing, prostitutes to empire against the common-good of their own public.

Evidently, all empires, past and present, from antiquity to modernity, are built upon promoting facile views of certain truths among their public, and among their prostitutes.

St. Augustine of Hippo had aptly summed this matter millennia ago:
Man against Superman

It is not surprising then, that the One who claims to be the Creator of man, the Author of the Holy Qur’an, correctly gauged the natural psychology of the masses among mankind and how they will be manipulated by the devil's apprentices, and for which it universally advocated the pursuit of “ilm” and “haq” for every “insaan” in a lifelong striving it termed “jihad” as the only effective counter to facile world views from which all evil follows.

It is therefore also not surprising then, that the superlative devil's apprentices too, from time immemorial, also recognized that encouraging facile views among the masses was essential in order to rule them!

Thus was created the narrow specializations and superficial generalization of education systems since the dawn of the Industrial Age, to craft the “likkha parrha jahils” of modernity, meaning, literate morons with pieces of paper proclaiming their august qualifications. It wasn't just by the happenstance of rapid knowledge expansion of the Technetronic Era, as Zbigniew Brzezinski speciously implied in his 1970 book Between Two Ages, that this transpired:

'... it can be argued that in some respects “understanding” ... is today much more difficult for most people to attain. ... It is simply impossible for the average citizen and even for men of intellect to assimilate and meaningfully organize the flow of knowledge for themselves. In every scientific field complaints are mounting that the torrential outpouring of published reports, scientific papers, and scholarly articles and the proliferation of professional journals make it impossible for individuals to avoid becoming either narrow-gauged specialists or superficial generalists. The sharing of new common perspectives thus becomes more difficult as knowledge expands; in addition, traditional perspectives such as those provided by primitive myths or, more recently, by certain historically conditioned ideologies can no longer be sustained.' Zbigniew Brzezinski, Between Two Ages, 1970, pg. 15

Let me highlight the two key empirical observations from that aforementioned passage: “make it impossible for individuals to avoid becoming either narrow-gauged specialists or superficial generalists. The sharing of new common perspectives thus becomes more difficult as knowledge expands;”. The self-serving cyclic argument of Brzezinski is that firstly, ignorance about knowledge, due to the sheer explosion in knowledge, is the natural outcome of scientific modernity. Secondly, that people can no longer easily reach a common “understanding” of their common condition. Both those
observations are empirically true today. But one can easily imagine an alternate modernity where that need not be the case despite the abundance of knowledge explosion.

It was the corporatization of knowledge in the service of empire in the vast military-industrial-academe complexes of the industrialized world, and its tight coupling to the exercise of hegemony, that has made it so. Science and technology today equate with hegemony. Therefore, since the quest for hegemony is perpetual, those pursuing science and technology have to continue slaving in the service of empire as “narrow-gauged specialists.” It is a self-serving, self-sustaining game of flourishing ignorance.

And it isn't just incidental to knowledge explosion as Brzezinski has tried to portray it. It is in fact according to a premeditated plan, deftly put into motion at the very onset of Western industrialization, for the crafting of “a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long.”

Here is Bernard de Mandeville in the eighteenth century, cleverly planting the very seeds of modern self-serving ignorance of the people for a production-consumption economy wherein, human masses are deemed only useful as economic widgets for the economic well-being of a nation:

‘The economic well-being of the nation depends on the presence of a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long. Because men are naturally lazy they will not work unless forced by necessity to do so.’ Bernard de Mandeville, The Fable of the Bees, 1705

This man-made value system of human beings as economic widgets “content to labor hard all day long ... forced by necessity” has today spread like a virus across the full gamut of gainful employment in the globalized corporate world, from blue collar to white collar, from traders to craftsman, from superficial generalists to narrow-gauged specialists.

That philosophy, to create “a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long ... forced by necessity” espoused in The Fable of the Bees, inspired Adam Smith, the author of Wealth of Nations, to propose the pursuit of selfish industriousness for the overall common good. Of course, common good primarily of the ruling class with trickle-down economics, but that's just buried in the definition of common good where the common man labors hard all day long, and the elites enjoy the good. Patterned upon the bees collectively making that marvelous tasting honey, each bee narrowly staying busy in its own specialized micro-task, while the queen bee rests and enjoys all the benefits, lies the entire edifice of modern civilization. It hinges entirely upon what Bernard de Mandeville stated 300 years ago. At the risk of being repetitious, it needs to be emphasized once again: “The economic well-being of the nation depends on the presence of a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long ... forced by necessity.”

This 300 years old philosophy of inculcating selfish, myopic, narrow-gauged industriousness for the common good has been easily adapted to the high-tech Technetronic Era of modernity which naturally requires highly specialized, passionate, skilled, ultra-hard working bees “content to labor hard all day long” due to their natural fascination with the subject. It goes hand in glove with creating specialized narrow-gauged morons with advanced university degrees who can very patriotically “United We Stand” for the common good while staying productively engaged in narrow
specializations in the economy.

Kept perpetually too busy to either think independently from the herd even when capable of doing so, or to pursue knowledge outside of their narrow-gauged spheres of specializations by the sheer demands of time and the endless debt-bills in pursuit of their endless “American Dreams”, statecraft today relies on inflicting exactly The Fable of the Bees upon man for its own functioning as an empire. In this scheme of things, vast amounts of useless information has been recast as knowledge, and parrots have been turned into learned savants. While wisdom and commonsense have been driven out from the acumen of men and women “content to labor hard all day long ... forced by necessity.”

That pursuit, by its very nature, promotes holding only facile world views among the dreamers of the ‘American Dream’. The more one is invested in one's American Dream, the more averse one automatically becomes to losing that dream if one wakes up to “ilm”. Natural psychological forces do the rest, by automatically bringing to the cognitive surface incessant rationalizations and self-delusions to maintain status quo in order to suppress the discomfort of cognitive dissonance. (See Leon Festinger's study of mental gymnastics for harmonizing dissonance.)

The end result is that one prefers to maintain only a nodding acquaintance with “ilm”, remaining mostly content with what's salutarily written on that piece of decorative parchment necessary for becoming an economic widget. The devil's apprentices building their palatial heavens right here on this earth, have further ensured that the very nature of participating in modernity also only permits the hardworking bees just sufficient time and inclination for either very superficially-broad, or very narrow-gauged specialized acquaintance with “ilm”.

We have already seen above that without “ilm”, striving for “haq” is impossible. Thus, between self-deception, deception by Machiavelli, and full time engagement in bread and circuses, one automatically becomes a captive audience to one's ignorance in all important matters which occupy the elites enjoying all that common good from the work of those “content to labor hard all day long.” This diabolically induced state of ignorance makes one easy putty in the rulers' cold calculating hands. The cumulative impact of this to society is exactly as presaged by Brzezinski in Between Two Ages – a must read ode to legitimizing the tyranny of the elite in the Technetronic Era (subtitle of the book). The era of global scientific dictatorship.

The proof of this is the empirical evidence that the most industrialized, most powerful, the greatest and richest Republic on earth today whose economic foundation was laid by Adam Smith, trumped the foundation of liberty and separation from empire laid by its founding fathers with the prime directive that it was to be a Republic. It has silently descended into a police-state without a murmur of protest from either its super-educated or its rank and file. They both today stupidly stand together in line to have their body cavities examined, groped, molested, humiliated, or irradiated with deadly radiation every time they travel by air. Soon, it will be every time they visit a shopping mall, governmental office, school, and perhaps even getting on and off highways to and fro from work. Mobile radiation scanners are already deployed in many cities which scan all passerbys, cars, trucks, for the so called “terrorists”. The rulers meanwhile have their own private jets which take off and land on private runways and terminals bypassing the fate of the masses. No radiation scanners violate their physical being, and no perverts molest their women and children.

All this travesty only exists because the public is continually taught the facile view, or forced to acquiesce to the facile view at the threat of themselves being labeled “terrorist”, that they are under
Sociopathy of hegemony is the real problem

Referring back to Zbigniew Brzezinski’s ode to hegemony quoted at the very beginning, the method of circumventing domestic impediments to the “sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power” become empirically self-evident:

“Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. [Because] the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being.” Zbigniew Brzezinski, The Grand Chessboard, pgs. 211, 44

Sociopathy of Hegemony, Primacy, Social Darwinianism, the exercise of Supremacy, mass behavior control, all one and the same genre, is the real problem. A problem that is as old as hegemony, as old as mankind. It thrives on the facile mind. Consequently, the sociopaths who often rise to power easily, ensure that the public mind stays facile. Making the public mind is the first art of governance from caliphate to democracy --- for unlike a dictatorship, ruled at the point of the bayonet, caliphate to democracy depend on a measure of consent from the governed. Unless that governance is changed first, until the non sociopaths in society force their way into ruling corridors of power to devalue the villainy of the facile mind, all Divine Books will be “mahjoor” (Holy Qur'an, 25:30), and the public mind shall forever remain chained to its unturning neck in Plato's Cave. [16d]

Q.E.D.

As the aforementioned examination discloses, in this perpetual battle between good and evil, strong and weak, hegemons and victims, wolves and sheep, rulers and masses, evidently both sides have been well equipped. But unfortunately, it is only the one side which has continually figured out, from time immemorial, how to capitalize on its own core strengths and others' weaknesses. And it has artfully trapped the other in bread and circuses.

This was the craft of kings from antiquity who ruled in the name of the divine for their own private interests with “all authority is an extension of god's authority”. And is now the craft of Machiavelli in modernity who showed the prince how to rule for private interests in the name of democracy with “god is on your side”. Indeed, it is only upon that singular characteristic that the following observation of Zbigniew Brzezinski in his own bible of hegemony, The Grand Chessboard, is so penetratingly accurate even today: “Hegemony is as old as mankind.” (pg. 3)

The very foundation of hegemony and empire lie in the public holding largely facile views of
truly essential to the rulers. It doesn't matter which view they hold, in fact, they can hold any view they want, so long as it is not the whole truth, and is anything but the truth.

Like every people, such facile views are also promoted by Christians themselves of their own religion upon their own masses – never mind others doing it for them – when it is convenient to the exercise of imperial power. There is virtually no exception to this empiricism throughout the pages of recorded history. It exists among every people, including Jews, Hindus, Muslims, Greeks, Romans, Egyptians, etc. Pick an empire and its people have been subjected to facile worldviews which have served the interests of empire. Indeed, the first imperative of all empires is always primacy. That exercise requires subverting the religion or beliefs of the people, preferably by giving them new absurdities to believe in. For if you can convince the public of absurdities that are convenient to your own imperial mobilization agenda, you can get them to accept anything.

And modernity is no exception.

Promulgating Zionism among the Jews, and Christian Zionism in the Bible Belt of America, readily come to mind. The following is just one example of religion in the service of empire. A facile sermon ostensibly from the Holy Bible, Romans 13, by a Christian preacher harkening back to the divine kings of antiquity to teach his own flock to “Honor the King. Do it anyway, whether the king deserves it or not”:

“I am free to submit to authority. I am free to make myself a slave. My friends, you are free, you are free to respect and appreciate the authority of the government that god gives to you - Honor the King! The way you talk about your government, it's so easy to complain isn't it? It is so easy to criticize, it is so easy to find fault. Honor the King. Do it anyway, whether the king deserves it or not. All authority, all authority is an extension of god's authority!” ('New American Theology of Civil Submission', transcription is mine from a Youtube video of the sermon cited by prisonplanet.com [17], April 14, 2008)

Caption New American Theology of Civil Submission – the Christian pulpit brazenly in the service of king and empire in the name of God which would make even George Orwell roll in his grave! Pastor Chuck Baldwin dispels this absurdity for Christians. [17a]

What can be a more self-servingly facile view of Christianity than that Orwellian double-speak?

Any Muslim's facile views of Christianity surely pale in comparison!

As is amply evidenced above, anyone can promote facile views, and also be the victim of them. To remove facile views on any subject, including Christianity and Islam, it is commonsensical to go directly to its source. Approach the Good Book with a desire to understand what the Book actually says, whether or not one believes or accepts it – as when writing an A+ book report for a high school English honors class – and one shall know.

Worn out from holding facile views in the land of absurdities, journalist and “accidental theologist”, Lesley Hazleton tried it. She sat down one day to read the Holy Qur'an as “an agnostic Jew reading someone else's Holy Book” – by her own description. And what she found -- as a non-
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Muslim, a self-identified “tourist” in the Islamic holy book -- wasn't what she had expected. It ended for her the tyranny of facile views on Islam and the Holy Qur'an. Watch [18].

Summation and Impact Analysis

To finally bring this long riposte to a summation, the short theme being keyed off here has posed a good specific question whose general answer has been explained to those Muslims who can understand the wisdom of the Qur'an. Ignorance, like being naked at birth, is the natural state of being. But we don't go prancing about as civilized adults in the *au natural* state of our body anymore than we should as civilized adults, of the *au natural* state of our mind!

Having facile views is natural, of others especially, but is not limited to the 'other'. One can be just as ignorant of what's one's own as illustrated above. And as an antidote to holding facile views, the full spectrum pursuit of knowledge as the precondition for the pursuit of a noble life – to be counted among the “truthful ones” – is rationally advocated by the author of the Qur'an as a categorical imperative for the civilized and harmonious co-existence of man.

That quest for harmonious co-existence at times requires measured and effective self-defence against predators, both physical and psychological. And the prescription for that striving against man's natural predators, the sociopaths and tyrants from among mankind itself, is captured by the universal striving for “haq”. Meaning, just as the natural state of creation is the jungle, but we don't live in one as a civilized people, the natural law of the jungle too is not the law of civilization. That law, the Qur'anic prescription of striving for “haq”, is the most well balanced and comprehensive prescription that exists in any book of wisdom from time immemorial. It prescribes how to be effective and pragmatic in standing up to barbarians without ourselves becoming one. It offers the criterion for resolving the existential dilemma often faced by all peoples of conscience, whether to confront, or to be co-opted. To know what it is, one still needs to acquire its “ilm”, as with everything else. We no more naturally know it in our *au natural* state of ignorance and barbarianism than we are born with our clothes on.

Interestingly, it is also a commonsense wisdom. Acquire Knowledge – *'even if one has to journey to China'* as the Prophet of Islam is reputed to have also stated to his followers in that Age of *Jahiliya* (ignorance).

The difficulty of physically journeying to China is of course considerably less today. However, we continue to suffer another Age of *Jahiliya* in our modernity today. One that is dominated by facile views and deception all around. The most pervasive of these facile views among Muslims today is their own self-deception to avoid taking on the responsibility for rectifying their own subjugated
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condition. It is that oft heard self-serving proclamation of the pious and the scoundrel alike: “Allah chala raha hai”. Meaning, “God is running the world”. [18a] Its natural but specious corollary which incapacitates action against tyranny then easily follows: “let Allah take care of his world while I take care of my camels.” (with reference to context to the story of the Prophet of Islam's grandfather having made that fabled statement in pre-Islam Arabia when the king Abraha had assaulted Mecca before the birth of the Messenger.)

The devil's apprentices who actually are running the world, from time immemorial, deliberately cultivate such servile dogmas and facile views among the foolish masses living in their au natural mental state. To await their favorite savior or messiah; to patiently suffer life for the future expectation of reward in heaven; to focus on taking care of one's own camels and to leave the affairs of state to god, president, or king, except to vote every four years as that's called “democracy” which one must worship; etceteras, while the rulers continue to enjoy their own unlimited heavens right here on earth.

The devil's apprentices also find an irresistibly natural fertile soil among the Muslims for imperial plowing and harvesting. Divided into partisan sects from birth, each having not just a different understanding of the early history of their religion, but also a slightly different understanding of the religion of Islam itself despite possessing the same Holy Qur'an that they all share, Muslims rush to draw upon their respective sectarian narrations of history and doctrinal mumbo jumbo (that's the only way I can fairly describe what pious Muslim scholars utter from their highest pulpits to indoctrinate their flock), to dignify their pathetic silence to tyranny. That's the “good Muslim” variety (sic!). The “bad Muslim” of course rush to join “Al Qaeeda” (sic!). The Muslim ethos, born in servitude to the crown and pulpit, [18b] cultivated into co-option, [18c] and dreaming of rewards in heaven, lends naturally to the Hegelian Dialectic of “good Muslim” vs. “bad Muslim”. [18d]

And precisely that facile world view was engaged from the very day of 9/11 by Muslim scholars with assistance from the many Trojan Horses and Uncle Toms. It made, and still continues to make ten years later, the otherwise un-congenial task of “imperial mobilization” all that much more un-impedimental for invading and occupying “bad Muslim” nations while the “good Muslims” who stay silent, or support the empire's narratives, are applauded and rewarded for their “United We Stand”. See for instance, the 2010 600 page Fatwa on Terrorism [19] which earned its Uncle Tom author a place next to the massa at the World Economic Forum in 2011.

As one can hopefully appreciate very clearly by now, the observation by Zbigniew Brzezinski: “Hegemony is as old as mankind”, has only been true because of an almost infinite gamut of facile views being deftly cultivated among the peoples who have lived and died for maintaining the glory of their rulers from the very beginning of civilization.

Where to seek knowledge, wisdom, when all bearers of knowledge and wisdom, both in the East and the West, appear to be shilling for self-interest? When the bearers of knowledge today also appear to be the greatest manipulators and predators of man? And when the knowledge seeker too is naturally beholden to socialization and susceptible to accepting facile world views ingrained since birth? See Some Problems in Epistemology for how easily we divorce ourselves from understanding what is the way it is due to our presuppositions which unconsciously become axioms of faith. [19a]

See the CAIR report [20] for the difficulties faced in overcoming facile views by even the most learned and pious when their own chiefs mislead them. For writing and disseminating that response to
CAIR report pointing out its significant omissions, one Muslim board member of one of the largest Muslim community and mosque of California Bay Area responded: “Whose interests are you serving? Hateful zionists or the hateful christian zioinists or both? Take me off your list.”

It will be noticed that I have refrained from offering any specific solutions here beyond what is naturally obvious by way of commonsense, or automatically falls out from the text of the quoted passages from the Holy Qur'an. Instead, I have focussed mainly on highlighting the myriad dimensions of the problem-space surrounding the cultivation of self-serving facile views birthed by socialization but aliased as “knowledge” and “wisdom”. Apart from vested self-interests, it is the improper rush to solutions by short attention span sincere peoples which often preclude really understanding the problem domain to the depth of ab initio, which in turn precludes any effective redressing. Thus, it is observed that most invariably end up applying palliative ointments to symptoms of systemic diseases which instead of healing, continue to eat-away a people from within. See “The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity” [21] for more aspects of the problem domain.

That vile curse of modernity, wrought by hectoring hegemons, is the common challenge for all people of faith, as well as no faith. Namely, self-preservation from predatory forces disguised as friends and governments who thrive mainly by cultivating facile and outright nonsensical views among the public as gospel truths! Even the best and the brightest often get taken in by both socialization and self-interests, and end up 'United We Stand' with what is in fact absurdities.

Additional real world examples of how very difficult this endeavor of seeking knowledge which can help separate truth from falsehoods, has become in the super-abundance of our information-age due to a) self-absorption in the pursuit of the proverbial 'American Dream'; b) being perpetually kept busy between bread and circuses throughout our adult lives; and c) Machiavellian total perception management being the cornerstone of modern statecraft; can be found in “The IVY League Morons Syndrome” [22] and “Response to 'Why I'm leaving Harvard'. [23]


How we ended up in this tortuous New Age of Jahiliya where everything the public is made to believe is either facile or false; where liberty is to get people to love their own servitude obeying orders; and where happiness is in the public being content laboring hard all day long for the benefit of the few; is examined in depth in my response to a brilliant scientist inducted into the National Inventors Hall of Fame in 2011, “The Fable of the Bees”. [26] The fable of the bees directly underwrites “The Art and Science of Co-option” such that even when one wants to escape the Age of Jahiliya, co-option ensures a Janus face with shackles of permanent silence. [27] For the more suave of mind and avant-garde in intellectual thought bearing the hefty weight of imamate of millions of followers worldwide, it becomes a bridge through tyranny, the Doctrine of Neutrality. [28]

The cumulative end result of all these, despite their respective self-rationalizations, is greater than the sum of its individual parts: the production of our Age of Jahiliya for which all bear a measure of culpability.

Zahir Ebrahim
Is Islam the Problem for which Secular Humanism is pitched as the Solution?

Footnotes

[a] See the (late) Jewish American professor at Harvard University, Samuel P. Huntington, and his Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order, wherein he incestuously anointed his Talmudic tribe-mate with the lofty epithet: “In 1990 Bernard Lewis, a leading Western scholar of Islam, analyzed 'The Roots of Muslim Rage,' and concluded:

'It should now be clear that we are facing a mood and a movement far transcending the level of issues and policies that pursue them. This is no less than a clash of civilizations – that perhaps irrational but surely historic reaction of an ancient rival against our Judeo-Christian heritage, our secular present, and the worldwide expansion of both. It is crucially important that we on our side should not be provoked into an equally historic but also equally irrational reaction against our rival.’” pg. 213.


[b] Epithet from Jewish American scholar Professor Noam Chomsky of MIT for his Jewish imperialist tribe-mate at Princeton, Professor Bernard Lewis. In a candid interview on CBC, Noam Chomsky stated:

“... now, until Bernard Lewis tells us that, and that's only one piece of a long story, we know that he is just a vulgar propagandist and not a scholar. So yes, as long as we are supporting harsh brutal governments, blocking democracy and development, because of our interest in controlling the oil resources in the region, there will be a campaign of hatred against us!” --- Interview to Evan Solomon, CBC, part-2, at minute 5:50, December 9, 2003, http://youtube.com/watch?v=bieFwutoqyA

[c] A non-Muslim inquisitive reader may perhaps sensibly stop to ponder at this point that why did the Author of the Holy Qur'an not directly impart its self-proclaimed divine guidance directly to each human being instead of employing the “Al-Wasilah”, His Messengers and Imams? Instead of mandating seeking “the means of approach unto Him,” the “Wasilah”, in an alternate system every human being could have just as easily been his or her own Imam, his or her own Wasilah, employing his or her own inner moral compass – the perfect egalitarian system with direct connection to the Creator – thus obviating the need for chosen Messengers and Imams to start with.

It may be argued that this could have perhaps avoided the corruption of the pulpit by rulers and the concomitant bloodshed of several millennia altogether! Why such an obvious earthly measure was not adopted by the self-proclaimed All Knowing and All Seeing Author of the Holy Qur'an, may at best only be baselessly speculated upon by the brilliant intellectual – for that's clearly not the method adopted by the Author of the Holy Qur'an – leading to even more idle chatter and furtherance of even more facile unfounded views of Islam.
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[1] The first extempore version of this missive was submitted to the tiny anon website as comment for the article which inspired delving into this topic: http://lwtc247.wordpress.com/2011/06/09/jesus-islahisalam/#comment-5241


This fact of ingrained socialization bias is unfortunately not acknowledged by Mutahhari in his exposition even though it is embedded in the teachings of the Holy Qur'an in its emphasis on the separation of righteous beliefs (Haquq-Allah 42:10) from righteous acts (Haquq-al-ibad 5:48). The Holy Qur'an calls itself Al Furqaan, the criterion, by which to judge both for one's own strivings in the path of “haq”. That lack of recognition fortunately does not detract from Murtada Mutahhari's sensible examination of how to study the Holy Quran despite that fact that he does lend an a priori conclusion to such study based on his own socialization which is amply in evidence in his exposition. It is in fact hard to find a scholar of any religion who fervently believes in that religion, who would be immune to such a priori conclusions even as he might endeavor to teach others how to study the religion and letting them arrive at their own conclusions AFTER that study!

This appears to be the inherent nature of socialization and of the subjectivity, and hence the religiosity, conferred to it by the right-half brain. This is perhaps why the Holy Qur'an while accepting socialization as a human fact, has also laid so much emphasis on striving for “haq” under all conditions for everyone among mankind whereby, striving for overcoming the nafs, the personal inclinations due to proclivity and socialization, is termed the greater jihad and a co-requisite to the reflective study of the Holy Qur'an. See Part-II of Islam: Why is the Holy Qur'an so easy to hijack? (Ibid.) for some inherent impediments in its path.


Is Islam the Problem for which Secular Humanism is pitched as the Solution?

[9c] Satanic Pictures By Israel Shamir, http://www.israelshamir.net/English/Satanic.htm
[12a] Sentiment attributed to Imam Ali, the father of the progeny of the Prophet of Islam, paraphrased from Najhil Balagah. To appreciate the import of this statement, one has to understand the person who expressed his consternation with it, an unsurpassed victim of facile views of the Muslims of his time, and evidently, that vile legacy still endures. See What does the Holy Qur'an say about the Ahlul Bayt?, http://islam-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2013/03/what-does-quran-say-about-ahlul-bayt.html
[16] Zbigniew Brzezinski, 'God is on your side' news clip, http://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/god_is_on_your_side.wmv
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With humble thanks to all.
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Chapter 44

Open Letter to Muslims: Is Islam really the Last Obstruction to World Government?

Islam vs. Secular Humanism and World Government

Please read the article “Thought police muscle up in Britain” (cached) by Hal G. P. Colebatch which appeared in The Australian on April 21, 2009, in conjunction with watching these revealing videos:

- British Constitution Group activist Brian Gerrish's two talks titled: State of the Nation at the January 24, 2009 Lawful Rebellion Conference, and Common Purpose - Exposing the Real Traitors at the December 12, 2009 Wakeup Call Conference, both in the UK;


And connect with the impetus towards the introduction of Secular Humanism as the “religion” of the New World Order!
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[ http://youtube.com/watch?v=58Pvs-pgbic ]

[ http://youtube.com/watch?v=2-il5tbn9Ns ]

[ http://youtube.com/watch?v=xHEpg1O1fC8 ]
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To understand what Secular Humanism really means in practice, as opposed to looking appealing on paper to the liberal mind, please watch the cited videos. To understand its philosophical underpinnings, please read my article “The Reality of Secular Humanism: Morality derived from the Intellect leads to Enslavement!” permanently linked to with this photograph:

Caption The real face of Secular Humanism. Secular Humanism is the Moral Relativism of the New Age: Morality derived from Intellect leads to Barbarianism and Enslavement under the false pretense of Enlightenment! (http://tinyurl.com/superman-morality)

These documentaries reveal an on going and concerted effort to subvert Theism, mainly Islam and Christianity respectively. Since this letter is addressed to Muslims, its focus is on Islam. However, a universal truth which applies to all Theism regardless of religion, and which appears to be a major impediment to the nihilism of the New World Order, is that only Theism teaches man in absolute moral codes how to overcome self-interests for higher moral cause; only Theism teaches man how to break his bonds of servitude to fellow man. And that is why the genuine practice of Theism and its absolute morality poses a real impediment to Secular Humanism and World Government which depend on moral relativism to promulgate their nihilistic agenda for the New Age.
As noted by Brian Gerrish in his *Lawful Rebellion* talk, all other major religious and ethnic populations in the West have become so secularized in the Western culture that only Islam today remains the effective impediment in its path – even though it is not much of one, as seen by the subversion of the Muslims and their religion!

I quite agree with the introduction chapter by David Livingstone of his book “Surrendering Islam – The subversion of Muslim politics throughout history until the present day”.

What Livingstone has perhaps missed in his zeal (I haven't read his entire book), but which does not change his point about the subversion of Islam today made in that chapter, is that the subversion of Islam historically was started the day of 'Fatah Mecca', and not just by the later British empire creating sects harmless to their own imperial interests through Machiavellian cognitive infiltration. One can easily judge for oneself which ones are the creation of imperial psyops, and which ones have profited from their alien benefactors, by simply looking at the stances of its founding pontiffs towards British rule: who advocated obedience to the alien rulers by engaging that most abused verse of the Holy Qur'an for political purposes, verse 4:59, and went so far as to prohibit rebellion against the colonizing foreign power which was in direct competition with Muslim ruling states at the time?

Each one of these “imperial Islam” creations still flourishes today in some variation, and those born into them cannot distinguish their pedigree anymore than any other longer running Muslim sect can. Some sects have become important gate-keepers of Islam. Some have even been given sanctuary in the Jewish state in Palestine in the name of “freedom of religion”. These latter ones along with those that advocate strict political neutrality or *apoliticalism*, are presented to the world as the perfect model of “moderate Islam”. Their adherents remain among the most peaceful and docile of all Muslim sects, indistinguishable in their socialization characteristic of self-righteousness from any other Muslim sect. So who can ever define who is a Muslim and who isn't? Which is precisely why this *can of worms* is periodically opened with utmost cunning for deriving political gain and distracting the public mind.

As an intellectual exercise however, all one has to do is simply apply that aforementioned criteria and ascertain for oneself the pedigree of one's own *kaaba o qibla*. It constitutes a most straightforward rejection criterion. It is not a complete acceptance criterion however, for that is where “militant Islam” and “warrior Islam” and “fanatic Islam” enter the theatre of the absurd as the dialectical “imperial Islam” equally in the service of empire. To appreciate just how difficult that task

Moral codes in Theism are indeed encased in the absolute semantic strait-jacket so feared by all tyrants across space and time and therefore, remain forever under attack and subversion. Islam, like all Theistic religions, has already answered the question of bondage to fellow man in showing the way to its effective severing. It is even part of the cryptic formula, the Kalima, recited by the adherent daily, without evidently understanding any of it:

“La ilaha ilallah”, “there is no god but God” (Arabic: لا إله إلا الله)

Islam's clear prescription of bowing in servitude only to the One God of Truth is completely pre-conditioned upon first breaking the bonds of servitude to all other gods of falsehoods. A simple substitution of “God” with “Truth”, and “god” with “falsehoods” including the worship of “self-interests” and “society's gods” in the above daily declaration of faith makes the all encompassing import of Kalima self-evident.
of self-examination can be as a self-referential problem, see Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization where the practical wisdom of the guidance in the Holy Qur'an in its own words is made apparent (http://tinyurl.com/Islam-Socialization). One can only surmise that it has stayed a secret from the public mind because no benefit of its common knowledge and understanding of its meaning accrues to the exercise of political power that relies on division and deceit to conquer.

A dispassionate non-partisan study of recorded history itself shows that Islam was viewed differently by different peoples, many of whom converted overnight to the new religion of Arabia after a lifetime of opposition to it. As one critically examines the most momentous times in the early days of Islam in the immediate aftermath of the death of its Prophet, even when one glosses over the first 25 years of tumultuous ad hoc political successions and rapid expansion of territories through their own la mission civilisatrice, the first dynastic imperial empire was really seeded by Abu Suffian.

The mighty trader and leader of the Meccans, and the Prophet of Islam's greatest antagonist, Abu Suffian, standing next to Ibn Abbas (the Prophet's relative), on the mountains surrounding Mecca on the night of 'Fatah Mecca' – following the conquest of Mecca without bloodshed, and the Prophet's blanket proclamation of full pardon without seeking any retribution for the ten years of imposed military warfare by the Meccans upon the Muslims – and watching the vast field of thousands of bonfires dotting the Muslim tents in the valley below, realized that Islam potentially meant a lucrative "empire", and told Ibn Abbas so!

From Abu Suffian, the harbinger of ill-begotten Muslim dynastic empires, to Bernard Lewis, the harbinger of fabricated "clash of civilizations", spanning the gamut of those 14 centuries and with all the Muslim empires which David Livingstone glorifyingly mentions in-between, they all corrupted the Holy Qur'an's designated "straight-path" of guidance in Islam, the "sirat-e-mustaqeem" of Surah Al-Fatiha, into "empire" – one way or another.

In today's modernity, Islam is principally subverted in the same mold by introducing "beneficial cognitive diversity" (sic!) into that original singular formulation of "straight-path". See Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization for details on how exactly it is accomplished today.

The sophistication of Islam's subversion however that is evidently running circles around the Muslim mind today, relies in the employment of complex political theory called Hegelian Dialectic (http://tinyurl.com/Hegelian-Dialectic-PSYOPS): invent two or more opposing and polarized ideologies (or lies), say one entirely militant, and the other entirely spiritual, and get them to clash by forcing people to choose between them while perniciously harvesting each one in the greater service of "imperial mobilization". This is the underlying philosophy in the "good Muslim" vs. "bad Muslim" dialectic, and in Presidential statements like “either you are with us, or you are with the terrorists”. The conflict that is naturally seeded in any clash of the opposites is an opportunity for birth-panging something far greater from the burnt ashes left behind.

Tortuous processes so unleashed upon the unsuspecting public can leave so much confusion and chaos in its wake that as David Ben Gurion had explained the purpose of seeding controlled chaos: "what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times". And the Council on Foreign Relations proposed exactly that same modus operandi to seed world government:

'In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing
confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.’

Watch the fabrication of the Hegelian Dialectic of “militant Islam” vs. “moderate Islam” in the following two videos. Both are officially sponsored by the ruling establishment of the Hectoring Hegemons. In the previous era, “militant Islam” was known as “mujahadeen Islam” or “Brzezinski's Islam”. These promulgate their respective asininity among the Muslims for a purpose so diabolical, that it can only be fully comprehended in the domains of political theory, game theory, employing dynamic systems analysis, and not by studying each component separately.

The face of “Brzezinski's Islam” – 'God is on your side' “warrior Islam” loved by empire

[ http://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/god_is_on_your_side.wmv ]

[ http://youtube.com/watch?v=WaiJtLrEwVU ]

Caption Video Face of “Brzezinski's Islam” – Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, America's National Security Advisor, selling the Carter Doctrine to Afghan Mujahadeen on the Pak-Afghan Border: “We know of their deep belief in
Open Letter to Muslims: Is Islam really the Last Obstruction to World Government?

God, and we are confident that their struggle will succeed. That land over there, is yours, you'll go back to it one day, because your fight will prevail, and you'll have your homes and your mosques back again; because your cause is right; God is on your side.” See Time Magazine, Monday, Feb. 18, 1980 (http://tinyurl.com/6jqefz).

The face of “moderate Islam” – “absurd Islam” loved by empire

Caption Video The face of “moderate Islam” – “absurd Islam” waging war on terror against “militant Islam” – featuring Dr. Tahir-ul-Qadri, the
For those unfamiliar with the name Daniel Pipes who loves “moderate Islam”, he is the Zionist neo-con Jew in the United States of America who was recommended by the President of United States no less, George W. Bush Jr., to head the United States Institute of Peace, and who has since 9/11 been working assiduously in 'Recruiting Soldiers Against Radical Islam' claiming that: “It's not a Clash of Civilizations, It's a Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians.” The good Pipes wants to “Defeat radical Islam, strengthen moderate Islam.” See Open Letter to Daniel Pipes, April 03, 2007, inviting the fellow to World Court ala the fate meted to vulgar propagandists for “Lebensraum” at Nuremberg where all feigned protestation by the Nazi leadership was denied by the chief prosecuting counsel for the United States, Justice Robert H. Jackson, who coldly asserted that it was not victor's justice but violation of international law “to goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers” under false pretenses of false flag operation that was being punished (http://tinyurl.com/Zahir-to-Daniel-Pipes-Invite and http://tinyurl.com/Nuremberg-Elephant-in-Bedroom ).

This sophisticated Machiavelli rooted in the Hegelian Dialectic process of thesis vs. anti-thesis, is primarily the reason most Muslims, while knowing that there is something wrong with the 'War on Terror' in that the way the UK-US-EU imperial axis of evil is going about it only creates more terror, remain perpetually confused by what is it that the West really wants when it arbitrarily seems to support opposites simultaneously. Inextricably caught between suicide bombers and F-16s on the one hand, and between neo-colonialism and struggle for daily bread on the other, most clutch at every strawman spun by any detracting snake-oil salesman in town. Thus we see the proliferation of conspiracy theories and plausible sounding false explanations with the concomitant “beneficial cognitive diversity” which these naturally engender, many of them deliberately created as red herrings (see Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory). And our learned scholars, intellectuals, pious pulpits, news media, politicians, et. al., all behave like prostitutes or brainless fools. The reality behind that behavior is in fact this: that they have all been co-opted; that they willingly lead the Newspeak chorus of 'war on terror', taliban, al-qaeda, song and dance routine as the House Negroes of the West. Our best minds at best have turned Native Informant. Those whom we trust most betray us every day. So who is left to explain Realityspeak to the Muslims? Who is not co-opted? Who can dare call a spade a spade? None who is a somebody or who commands any audience, big or small, for all those needed to make the public mind by empire do make the public's mind in their respective spheres of influence.

As any knowledgeable person – who is not entirely deprived of mental acuity to have largely
become a glorified parrot of history, often with imposing titles stamped upon his turban to lend respectability before the masses – would straightforwardly know, there are no empire’s in the religion of Islam itself. Or, for that matter in any Theistic religion that is intended to be a way of life for ordinary peoples. Only ‘religions’ of the elite have empires. And empires love such religions for the masses. Interestingly, one can trivially spot the subversion of any religion by simply observing the stances of its pontiffs to the powers under which they flourish. This is true of the religion of both the Christian and Muslim peoples throughout the ages. The subversion of Theistic theologies to support empire is empirical. The truth of these words is beyond doubt. It is self-evident.

Find a word for “empire” for me in the Holy Qur’an as a commandment to seek it – as distinct from finding it in the history of the despotic Muslim rulers who did indeed build vast dynastic empires with the help of their own doctrinal scholars, from historians to narrators, no different than has been done since time immemorial. There is no basis for such dynastic imperialism in the Holy Qur’an. Indeed, Muslim civilizations, its arts, letters, and sciences, all flourished during those first 700 years after the Prophet of Islam. And these dominant Muslim civilizations also defined the “modernity” of their epoch. But so have many other civilizations of history including the present modernity of the Americans – the Classical Greeks arguably flourished even more than the Muslims, and for a lot longer period. But what does that have to do with a religion? The Muslim rulers of all these Muslim empires espoused as much moral gravitas as any other preceding or succeeding rulers in recorded history, ancient and modern. Who can deny that? The empirical fact that these Muslim empires were long running family dynasties acquired by bloodshed, and often maintained and perpetuated by the same sort of intrigues and bloodshed as Shakespeare’s Henry the whatever, is not hidden from anyone, except perhaps the Muslims.

Whereas, Islam defines itself rather precisely in the Holy Qur'an, and it is entirely about moral existence along a divinely defined path – the “sirat-e-mustaqeem” noted in its very first Chapter. Islam's unequivocally stated aim is to give mankind the free-will of elevating itself to “Ashraf-ul-Makhloog-aat” – the best among all creations – while fully engaged in the vicissitudes of this life as commanded in its Surah Asr. And furthermore, to also be equally free to go the converse route, be the worst of all creations. The twain, Islam the religion, and Muslims (with their concomitant histories, narratives, cultures, civilizations, and good and evil choices throughout history which has ultimately led us to our present), are not the same thing. Only Bernard Lewis is confused about it. He even opens his formidable thesis titled: “Crisis of Islam – Holy War and UnHoly Terror”, redefining “Islam” in precisely that way (which evidently has also confused David Livingstone like many other Muslims):

'It is difficult to generalize about Islam. To begin with, the word itself is commonly used with two related but distinct meanings, as the equivalents both of Christianity, and Christendom. In the one sense, it denotes a religion, as system of beliefs and worship; in the other, the civilization that grew up and flourished under the aegis of that religion. The word Islam thus denotes more than fourteen centuries of history, a billion and a third people, and a religious and cultural tradition of enormous diversity.’ (page 1, Bernard Lewis, Crisis of Islam)

That Machiavellian redefinition of the word “Islam” is deconstructed in Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer - Architecture of Modern Propaganda for Psychological Warfare. Suffice it to note here that
the Holy Qur'an has given a very precise meaning to the word “Islam” to exclusively designate a
divine religion, a “deen” ( الإسلام دينًا), and not a civilization, not a people (for which a separate word
“Muslim” is used in the Holy Qur'an), and not an empire (for which there is no word in the Holy
Qur'an):

This day have I perfected for you your religion
and completed My favor on you and chosen for
you Islam as a religion; Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-
Maeda verse fragment 5:3

As corrupted, subservient, powerless, and mentally colonized the two billion Muslims are today
despite our vast piety and full mosques, and as convoluted and tortuous the understanding of Islam and
what passes as its history has become, still, according to Brian Gerrish in his aforementioned
video, Islam and Muslims are evidently the single biggest social impediment to Secular
Humanism!!

In other words, Islam is the last wall to breach in order to usher in the full 1984-like Orwellian
New World Order, and all the details of enslavement which it portends, including, the elimination
of religion, of family, and the big-Brother big-State birthing and owning the kids and raising them
according to the new precepts of Secular Humanism. (See What’s the truth about modern medicine?,
http://tinyurl.com/Modern-Medicine)

Hollywood movies like Logan's Run and Aldous Huxley's fable A Brave New World, while
offering fun futuristic entertainment to the masses, have also been psychologically priming the
Western public to this state of voluntary servitude wherein, a combination of Orwellian-Huxleyan
worlds coupled with full mind-body control and total social engineering will simply make human
revolt against the communist-style regimentation of the oligarchy as unthinkable as the revolt of sheep
against the habit of mutton eating! That phraseology is borrowed from Bertrand Russell. Aldous
Huxley had (perhaps self-servingly) observed in his talk at UC Berkeley in 1962, that eliciting such
voluntary compliance from the plebes has remained the focus of all social engineering throughout the
ages, “to get people actually to love their servitude” in what could only be called the “ultimate in
malevolent revolution”:

'Today, we are faced, I think, with the approach of what may be called the
ultimate revolution. The final revolution where man can act directly on the
mind-body of his fellows. Well needless to say, some kind of direct action on
human mind-bodies has been going on since the beginning of time. But this has
generally been of a violent nature.

The techniques of terrorism have been known from time immemorial and
people have employed them with more or less ingenuity, sometimes with the
utmost crudity, sometimes with a good deal of skill acquired by a process of
trial and error, finding out what the best ways of using torture, imprisonment,
constraints of various kinds.

But, as, I think it was Mettenif, said many years ago, you can do everything
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with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them.

Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude!

This is the, it seems to me, the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.'

(Aldous Huxley, 1962 UC Berkeley, minutes 3:05 to 5:17, transcribed by Project Humanbeingsfirst http://archive.org/download/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution_64kb.m3u)

The dystopic fables are piece-meal encroaching on reality space in baby-steps. What stands in the path of fully realizing that dystopia?

The Nineteen Eighty-four style full spectrum thought control paradigm being enacted in the US--UK is portentous of what's to come to all of Western civilization as a very visible force. Its signs are already visible all around us, thus far only disguised as the “war on terror”. See this article “War on Terror is not about Islamofascism – get with the agenda you people” for how it is perniciously making its way into the very fabric of American and European society where the “terrorists” now “look Western”. And with this latest Times Square bombing plot, I just heard (on May 07, 2010) Retired General Michael Hayden, the terrorist “tickling” specialist as the former director of the CIA, now with the Chertoff group selling all those body-scanners to the United States, on MSNBC News describing the new Al-Qaeda threat. Soon new laws will be enacted or enforced to deal with those. Already we are being conditioned to obey orders by forcing us to take our shoes off at airports, and compelling us to exhibit our anatomical perfections to the perps manning the FAST scanners. Hollywood entertainment in “Total Recall” had presaged full body scanners at airports with people going through them without a second thought a full two decades ago (Arnold Schwarzenegger, 1990). And we are doing exactly that today. The RFID implants are next. Zbigniew Brzezinski, like his intellectual confrere Aldous Huxley before him, had also predicted with matching chutzpah in his seminal 1970 book Between Two Ages : America's Role in the Technetronic Era, that:

'In the technetronic society scientific and technical knowledge, in addition to enhancing production capabilities, quickly spills over to affect almost all aspects of life directly. Accordingly, both the growing capacity for the instant calculation of the most complex interactions and the increasing availability of biochemical means of human control augment the potential scope of consciously chosen direction, and thereby also the pressures to direct, to choose, and to change.

Reliance on these new techniques of calculation and communication enhances
the social importance of human intelligence and the immediate relevance of learning. The need to integrate social change is heightened by the increased ability to decipher the patterns of change; this in turn increases the significance of basic assumptions concerning the nature of man and the desirability of one or another form of social organization. Science thereby intensifies rather than diminishes the relevance of values, but it demands that they be cast in terms that go beyond the more crude ideologies of the industrial age.' (page 10)

This re-casting of values that “go beyond the more crude ideologies of the industrial age” with “biochemical means of human control [which] augment the potential scope of consciously chosen direction,” is the incontrovertible flag of scientific totalitarianism we see rapidly being unfurled today. While much less biochemical in its present state of deployment than in Aldous Huxley's narrative (but not for the want of it, for example see RFID Implants), it is no less coercive than in George Orwell's narrative. Brzezinski went on to prognosticate the “trend” in his book, and mind you with a foresight so uncannily accurate that he could only have been sitting at the same oligarchic dinner tables when the future that is already here today, was being planned into existence:

'In the technetronic society the trend seems to be toward aggregating the individual support of millions of unorganized citizens, who are easily within the reach of magnetic and attractive personalities, and effectively exploiting the latest communication techniques to manipulate emotions and control reason.

Reliance on television—and hence the tendency to replace language with imagery, which is international rather than national, and to include war coverage or scenes of hunger in places as distant as, for example, India—creates a somewhat more cosmopolitan, though highly impressionistic, involvement in global affairs.' (page 11)

'Life seems to lack cohesion as environment rapidly alters and human beings become increasingly manipulable and malleable. Everything seems more transitory and temporary: external reality more fluid than solid, the human being more synthetic than authentic. Even our senses perceive an entirely novel “reality”—one of our own making but nevertheless, in terms of our sensations, quite “real.”

More important, there is already widespread concern about the possibility of biological and chemical tampering with what has until now been considered the immutable essence of man. Human conduct, some argue, can be predetermined and subjected to deliberate control. Man is increasingly acquiring the capacity to determine the sex of his children, to affect through drugs the extent of their intelligence, and to modify and control their personalities. Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, “I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain.” ' (page 12)
'Another threat, less overt but no less basic, confronts liberal democracy. More directly linked to the impact of technology, it involves the gradual appearance of a more controlled and directed society. Such a society would be dominated by an elite whose claim to political power would rest on allegedly superior scientific know-how.

Unhindered by the restraints of traditional liberal values, this elite would not hesitate to achieve its political ends by using the latest modern techniques for influencing public behavior and keeping society under close surveillance and control.' (page 97)

Zbigniew Brzezinski's elite have already embarked on achieving their political end “by using the latest modern techniques for influencing public behavior and keeping society under close surveillance and control” as witnessed today. The culmination of this path of engineered social control, the “tampering with what has until now been considered the immutable essence of man” – a tortuous combination of Orwellian and Brave New World in which “Human conduct [is] predetermined and subjected to deliberate control” – will hit the developed West the hardest.

Westerners were the most used to living in free societies, and thus, by the necessity of management of the masses by the controlling oligarchy, had been given the illusions of freedom more than us in the East where we were long conditioned to god, kings, and dictators. As Goethe had observed: “None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free”. I do believe that mentally, as colonized the East has been physically, it is has been far freer than the West. In the East, we don't trust our elite, nor our government. In the West, most even refuse to believe that there is even an elite which runs their elected governments, and the vast majority “United We Stand” as is evident from 911.

The Orwellian-Huxleyan social engineering presently in the works takes away even those freedoms and those illusions – because, the West is headed towards full-spectrum dominance, but not just of the world, but of its peoples. I.e., totalitarianism the likes of which have not been seen in history. The East is not targeted for such mind-controlled totalitarianism, because, for one thing it is difficult to implement. We are too backwards as a scientifically controlled modernity. But not to fear, we are a direct target of population reduction and all the rest of Malthusian crap. See my deconstruction of NSSM-200, and Bertrand Russell’s “Impact of Science on Society”.

But, as is the truism of life, we all have to go some day of course. So, arguably, at least let's live with a mind that isn't enslaved, even though the body may be in chains and under the constant threat of physical “shock and awe” from both the pirate suicide bombers working for the emperor as patsies, and the emperor's drones once again bringing us the white man's burden, its renewed la mission civilisatrice.

The only place left today to seek to make a home to raise one's family, appears to be back in the East – yes, where we are under constant “shock and awe”. The psychological attacks and sophisticated social engineering transpiring in the West, coupled with its scientific modernity, make surviving outside the “matrix” of thought control a rather challenging if not outright impossible task in the West. Crazy, isn't it? But crazy or not, choosing lesser of two evils has become part of the calculus of life's decision making – whatever the decision. Only fools and ignoramuses will ignore these parameters though – for ignorance is surely bliss. Taking the “blue-pill” does have its rewards. In the evergreen
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Platonic fable Simile of the Cave depicted in the Hollywood movie Matrix, the “red-pill” is the bitter pill of reality that is hard to swallow. (See dialog in side bar)

This potential obstruction to the elite's religion of Secular Humanism for their world government posed by Islam as a Theistic religion, and by ordinary practicing Muslims just living their ordinary family lives, is an entirely different and orthogonal dimension from the hectoring hegemons' harnessing of “militant Islam” and “moderate Islam”. To ensure the steady supply of controlled chaos, “Revolutionary Islam” has been added to the mix as the trifecta, a perfect storm for Muslim on Muslim violence. See Revolutionary Islam in Pakistan – Pawn of World Order (http://tinyurl.com/Revolutionary-Islam-Pawn-of-WO).

Before hearing Brian Gerrish's evidence last year and reflecting upon the matter ever since, I did not really believe Islam, the last of the great Theistic religions, to be anything other than a diabolical instrument of hegemony in the minds of hectoring hegemons in the pre and post 9/11 world – just like Communism of the USSR was before it was dismantled. Something they brilliantly subverted to create a boogieman for seeding “doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” in order to sustain “Imperial mobilization” on Zbigniew Brzezinski's Grand Chessboard. But something they had only utter contempt for.

Evidently, they also find Islam to be a genuine impediment to achieving their ultimate agenda of Secular Humanism. An impediment reaching outside of their direct ability to eliminate or even control. An empire in its own statecraft of hegemony never holds genuine impediments to its primacy ever in contempt. Rather, it always deals with them as a real enemy to subdue and dominate with “military-style objectivity” and “avoidance of preconceived value assumptions”. That amoral phraseology is from the Report from Iron Mountain. The myriad Pentagon and think-tank documents such as the Joint Vision 2020 and PNAC which blithely strategize for “full spectrum dominance”, afford a glimpse into that primacy mindset of empire.

Incredible! Muslims today, despite our pathetic servile condition slaving under the yoke of both mental and physical colonization, still potentially have something that is perceived as a real obstruction by the hectoring hegemons in their nihilist calculus of world government.

That alone is an excitement I cannot contain! I have something they can't control nor take away from me if I don't let them. Indeed, the pithy Surah Al-Asr of Islam, is perhaps the most potent

“(Morpheus) The matrix is everywhere, it is all around us. Even now in this very room, you can see it when you look out your window, or when you turn on your television. You can feel it when you go to work, when you go to church, when you pay your taxes. It is the world that has been pulled over your eyes to blind you from the truth.

(Neo) What truth?

(Morpheus) That you are a slave Neo. Like everyone else you were born into bondage, born into a prison that you cannot smell or taste or touch. A prison for your mind. Unfortunately no one can be told what the matrix is. You have to see it for yourself. This is your last chance. After this there is no turning back. You take the blue-pill, the story ends, you wakeup in your bed and believe whatever you want to believe. You take the red-pill, you stay in Wonderland, and I show you how deep the rabbit hole goes. Remember, all I am offering is the truth, nothing more.” (Dialog from Matrix)
political-spiritual weapon system in the Muslim possession if we can only learn to use it effectively. It can straightforwardly achieve what Etienne de La Boétie could not bring about in his “The Politics of Obedience: The Discourse of Voluntary Servitude”!

Islam's prescription to end man's voluntary servitude to tyranny, one which escaped Etienne de La Boétie's commonsensical Discourse, is Jihad-un-nafs. Contrary to what many are led to believe as just an “inner struggle” with no outward manifestation, its true import is nothing less than revolutionary. Jihad-un-nafs principally directs us in our inner struggles to break our bonds of servitude to fellow man, to overcome our fears, apathy, and silence. It is the reservoir from which saying 'No' to the banality of evil springs from. It is the principal fount of moral integrity, not ritual or selfish piety seeking Heaven. Jihad-un-nafs enables us to deny our own petty as well as existential self-interests when they conflict with morality and 'higher purpose'.

For, indeed, it is only self-interests that trump morality. Self-interests co-opt us and perpetually enslave us to any tyrant. Once such inner-struggle to break free of self-interest is underway, when fears and allegiances to falsehoods start melting away, when determination sets in which no denigrating labels may circumvent, when the fear of the loss of paycheck or confinement to state hospitality centers can no longer preempt moral stance, then, and only then, doors automatically open up, feet automatically start marching in the streets, mouths automatically come un-stitched, and in the limit, one fearlessly stands-up before the D9-Caterpillar bulldozer like Rachel Corrie, and before the armies of tyrants like David before Goliath, Imam Hussein before Yazeed, Rosa Parks before the white man's bus driver, Viva Palestina before Israel, .... As the late George Bernard Shaw had trenchantly observed: “We are made wise not by the recollections of our past, but by the responsibility for our future.” That responsibility becomes easier to shoulder when our self-interests can no longer trump our moral callings. The forces which preempt such wholesome goodness from percolating widely in society are examined in The Art and Science of Co-option (http://tinyurl.com/Art-and-Science-of-Co-option). Even the more resourceful ones bow before these existential forces as can be seen in The Aga Khan's Doctrine of Neutrality (http://tinyurl.com/Doctrine-of-Neutrality).

Pious peoples continually ask me what can they do as the justification for their silence and apathy. As consummate victims of the banality of evil, evidently they are so saddled with self-interests that they either pretend to not see the clear path as they rather stay busy in their various ritual acts of seeking Heaven instead of stand-up to wrong-doings, or, suffer from a myopia characteristic of self-absorption and/or indoctrination. Well, Heaven, if there is one in the future, is surely denied to those who help create hell on earth today – for, evil only flourishes when well-intentioned people remain silent spectators and do nothing to stop it. Often times they even directly collaborate in it as part of their daily grind, collectively culminating in horrendous evils – the banality of evil! Jewish scholar Hannah Arendt had already explored that aspect of it in copious detail in the context of the Third Reich in 1963. Just two score years later, I too had dwelled upon it in my very first piece of public writing in the context of the Fourth one. For our purposes here however, let's briefly examine this idea of apathy logically within the Theistic beliefs of the Muslims themselves. I have examined indoctrination and the reigning twisted epistemology which blinds one to it elsewhere.

Do pious Muslims filling their mosques in relative comforts while humanity everywhere is oppressed at the altar of the lusts of the Hectoring Hegemons, think that Jahanam will be the abode of only the few tyrants and their soldiers of fame and fortune who directly inflicted the evils? That their own souls are spotless since they stayed busy in ritual piety waiting for Allah?
If it is true that tyrants flourish only with the assistance of the majority who silently comply, and empiricism and history both lend substantial evidence to this view (Etienne de La Boétie almost 500 years ago gave a compelling description of it in his Discourse cited above), then, it logically follows that the first-cause enablers of tyranny and its spread throughout the lands is the silently spectating apathy of the peoples! Those who enable crimes are no less culpable than those who commit crimes.

The inescapable logic of this condemns the first-causers to be the backbone fuel of the very inferno they so wish to escape with their obsession with ritual piety while Creation burns. If the god whom pious Muslims worship is a rational god, then this must be true – for, only in courageously rising to break the bonds of servitude to fellow man is Islam's “Ashraf-ul-Makhlooq-aat” birth-panged into existence. If however, their god is irrational, as many learned scholars proclaim when they attribute arbitrariness to god's justice due to its self-proclaimed omnipotence, then is such a god anything more than Zeus, the anthropomorphic god of ancient Greece? Why fall in prostration to Zeus 5 times each day?

Even Nuremberg, as fallible as that Military Tribunal was in its administration of 'victor's justice', focussed on the first-cause (self-servingly) ignoring the Allied bombings of civilian population centers and dropping of atomic bombs. Nuremberg called the Nazi aggression the first-cause of war, “the supreme international crime differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole.”

When the first-cause is always held more culpable even in our fallible courts on earth, do Muslims think that in the Court of the Most Just the first-cause enablers will get a free-ride?

Many thinking Muslims presume that the god they worship is absolute in its Justice. Otherwise, they feel that the whole notion of Accountability on the Day of Judgment in the Hereafter becomes meaningless gibberish, devoid of substance. Indeed, were that not the case, God's Justice would be reduced to the whimsical moral relativism that is already being thrust upon us in these times as propositioned by a US Supreme Court Justice:

“Nothing is more certain in modern society than the principle that there are no absolutes, that a name, a phrases, a standard has meaning only when associated with the considerations which give birth to nomenclature. To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative.” Justice Vinson, U.S. Supreme Court, 1951

Moral codes in Theism are indeed encased in the absolute semantic strait-jacket so feared by all tyrants across space and time and therefore, remain forever under attack and subversion. Islam, like all Theistic religions, has already answered the question of bondage to fellow man in showing the way to its effective severing. It is even part of the cryptic formula, the Kalima, recited by the adherent daily, without evidently understanding any of it: “La ilaha ilallah” (Arabic: ل إله إل ا لله – ) – “there is no god but God”.

Islam's clear prescription of bowing in servitude only to the One God of Truth is completely pre-conditioned upon first breaking the bonds of servitude to all other gods of falsehoods. A simple substitution of “God” with “Truth”, and “god” with “falsehoods” including the worship of “self-
interests” and “society's gods”, in the above daily declaration of faith makes the all encompassing import of Kalima self-evident. The logic of that declaration itself mandates this mental substitution in the proclamation of Monotheism in order to prevent it from degenerating into an absurdity. The Holy Qur'an admonishes not to make a mockery of its teaching:

“That this is indeed a Qur'an Most Honourable, In a Book well-guarded, Which none shall touch but those who are clean: A Revelation from the Lord of the Worlds. Is it such a Message that ye would hold in light esteem?” Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Waqia, 56:77-81.

And the Holy Qur'an unequivocally equates lies and falsehoods before which one bows, in fear or in expectations, with false gods – as commonsense would dictate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Is it a falsehood – gods beside Allah – that ye desire? (Surah As-Saffat 37:86)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| أَيْفِكَا عَالِهَةَ دُونَ أَنْ لِحُقُقُونَ |}

Islam, for its followers, is anything but an absurdity. They'd sooner die than mock their religion. And yet, their facile understanding of it directly reduces their practice of their lofty proclamation of Monotheism to the absurdity of polytheism. Silence and apathy in the face of the ubiquitous spread of oppression and falsehoods in our time, is akin to directly bowing in servitude before the gods of tyranny. That silence and co-option permits tyranny to spread unchecked becoming its de facto first-cause enabler! Islam calls the allegiance to another superpower, or bowing in servitude before other gods, polytheism. The abode of polytheists, the Holy Qur'an oft proclaims, is Jahanam (the metaphorical abode in the Hereafter where accounts are to be settled for creating, aiding and abetting, the hell on earth).

Q.E.D.

The invitation to break bondage to all false gods and idols is the first Abrahamic creed of Islam. Without it, there is no Islam – only hypocritical pretensions. This Qur'anic similitude was well understood by previous generations of Muslims. This is even evidenced in the twentieth century poet-philosopher of Muslims, “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal's* attempts at liberating the Muslim umma from the shackles of mental servitude. In Zarb-E-Kaleem, “Sir” Allama Iqbal went on to most eloquently explain the meaning of the first sentence of the Kalima: “there is no god but God” (see famous poem below and footnote on “Sir” Allama Iqbal at the end).

But Muslims in our present age of Jahiliya have been deftly indoctrinated into believing that polytheism is only about worshiping the stone statues like the ones which inhabited the Kaaba before the advent of Islam and its latter day variants, both physical and abstract, seen among peoples of many faiths. Such as, the Holy Trinity of the Christians (the Father, the Son, the Holy Ghost), and the physical representations of the many gods of the Hindus! While loudly decrying those gods of others, Muslims daily reaffirm their own allegiance to all the false gods of pelf and power to advance their own petty livelihood and ephemeral station.

Indeed, most among us bow before empire in full ablution!
“Sir” Allama Iqbal's Poem in Zarb-E-Kaleem explains

“there is no god but God”

خودی کا سر نہا ن لا ہم الا اللہ
خودی نے تیغ، فسال لا الہ الا اللہ

Khudi ka sirr-e-nihaaN La ilaha il Allah
khudi hai tegh-e-fasaaN La ilaha il Allah

The secret of the Self is hid, In words "No god but He alone".
The Self is just a dull-edged sword, "No god but He," the grinding stone.

یہ دور اپنے برابیم کی تلااش میں بے
صنم کہے بے جہان، لا الہ الا اللہ

Yeh daur apne 'Braheem ki talaash mein hai
Sanam-kadah hai jahaaN La ilaha il Allah

An Abraham by the age is sought To break the idols of this Hall:
The avowal of God's Oneness can Make all these idols headlong fall.

کیا بے تونے متاع غور کا سودا
فرب سود و زیان، لا الہ الا اللہ

Kiya hai tu ne mataa'-e-gharoor ka sauda
fareb-e-sood-o-ziyaaN ! La ilaha il Allah

A bargain you have struck for goods Of life, a step, that smacks conceit,
All save the Call "No god but He" Is merely fraught with fraud and deceit.
Yeh maal o dawlat-e-dunya, yeh ristha o paivand
buteaan-e-vehm-o-gumaaN! La ilaha il Allah

The worldly wealth and riches too, Ties of blood and friends a dream
The idols wrought by doubts untrue, All save God's Oneness empty seem.

Khird huwee hai zamaan o makaan ki zunaari
na hai zamaaN, na makaaN! La ilaha il Allah

The mind has worn the holy thread Of Time and Space like pagans all
Though Time and Space both illusive "No god but He" is true withal.

Yeh naghma fasl-e-gul o laaleh ka nahin paband
bahaar ho ke khizaaN, La ilaha il Allah

These melodious songs are not confined To Time when rose and tulip bloom
Whatever the season of year be "No god but He" must ring till doom.

Agarche buth hain jama'at ki aasteenoN mein
mujhe hai hukm-e-azaaN, La ilaha il Allah

Many idols are still concealed' In their sleeves by the Faithful Fold,
I am ordained by Mighty God To raise the call and be much bold.

(Kalaam-e-Iqbal, Zarb-E-Kaleem. Text from youtube by Syed Akbar Ali Shah, listen)
All those signature prostrations on the prayer-mat and the circumambulations around the *Kaaba* leaving their indelible mark of piety on the forehead of silence to the hell on earth, may yet turn out to be the key evidence for the eternal purgatory of *Hell* for *polytheism* in the *Hereafter*. For Islam to make any rational sense at all, that is the only logic of justice which falls out. And that logic has been reaffirmed in Surah Al-Asr of the Holy Qur'an, in the second most misunderstood formulaic daily rehearsal by Muslims: *"Wa ta wa so bil haq"* (Arabic 103:3) – *“and those who strive for haq”* (see exposition of Surah Al-Asr). What is “haq” but another synonym for truth, justice – the exact antithesis of silent collaboration with tyranny? *2 + 2 still equals only 4, even when the pious might insist upon 5!*

It is surely the most ironical of empirical paradoxes that it is not the theists by and large, but the moral atheists who have courageously risen to shoulder that *“responsibility for our future”*! See Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization for why, contrary to popular belief, even the atheist is not without an inner moral compass (see Bertrand Russell's formulation cited therein). Perhaps the pious living for the *Hereafter* in obliviousness to the tyranny around them, might strive to learn from the godless to value the *here and the now* more than *Hereafter*; to endeavor to make the present less hellish in order to avoid it in the *Hereafter*; to be more concerned with affairs of the *here* than of the *Hereafter*; and in doing so perhaps come to learn the real intent of Theism – *“Wa ta wa so bil haq”* – from these moral atheists!

It would be a well-deserved divine irony if moral atheists who stood by their fellow man without fear of hell or favor of heaven, come to constitute the largest citizenry of any *Heaven* if God does turn out to exist! They will end up with the last laugh in either case! That’s all I can say to the pious silent bystanders of modernity prostrating daily in ritual prayers. Once again, poet laureate *“Sir” Allama Iqbal*, the Indian Knight of The Round Table of the British Empire, said it a bit more trenchantly in Bang-e-Dara to unveil the secret face of Musalman's Islam (see his famous verse below, perhaps staring at himself in the mirror).

* Dr. Allama Muhammad Iqbal, while extolling the virtues of Islam in unsurpassed versification before the subjugated Muslims of the Indo-subcontinent, himself eagerly bowed before the British ruling agendas willingly accepting knighthood for his services rendered to the Crown. See Sacred Cow: Allama Iqbal - marde-momin or superman? (http://tinyurl.com/Allama-Iqbal-ubermensch)
Open Letter to Muslims: Is Islam really the Last Obstruction to World Government?

The face of Musalman's Islam – the hypocrite's Islam

East or West, theist or atheist, being aware of the real challenges for those who choose to not merely exist in a dream-state, I believe, will prepare one to meet them more effectively. Self awareness however is the key to the awareness of reality. Edward Bernays stated the reality of modern social engineering bluntly in the opening passage of his 1928 book titled *Propaganda*: “We are governed, our minds are molded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested, largely by men we have never heard of.” The videos cited at the top of this letter empirically show that a formidable totalitarian system is being engineered even as we speak, and we are being convinced to accept it. Why are they succeeding? How are they able to control our perceptions? I am afraid that most Muslims remain unaware of all this concerted social engineering as many continue to sing the empire's 'War on Terror' song against the Islamofascists. Soon, Muslims might be surprised to find their own religion banned in the West and their very identity as Muslim being associated with “terrorism”. In a generation or two, there won't be any overt Muslims. A far cry?

Not if this Oped in Pakistan's Dawn of May 06, 2010 is portentous:

“Hussain immigrated to the United States in 2003 and said his children had once even asked if they could change their names due to the image of their homeland in the wake of the September 11, 2001 attacks.”

The headline screaming in today’s Dawn, May 07, 2010, is even more revealing, even if perhaps mainly as Mighty Wurlitzer's psyop to get others to follow suit:

“Pakistanis pose as Indians after NY bomb scare: NEW YORK: Pakistani merchants and job seekers in the United States, still reeling from economic hardship since the Sept. 11 attacks of 2001, are posing as Indians to avoid discrimination in the wake of the Times Square bomb attempt.”

The systematic demonization of Islam and Muslims is being conducted not merely by the vile
ignoramuses and the agents provocateurs in burning the Holy Qur'an (see Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation), but officially by the State itself as evidenced in what the FBI is teaching its agents even in the tenth year of 9/11 (see Wired.com “FBI Teaches Agents: 'Mainstream' Muslims Are 'Violent, Radical'” and “7th-Century Simpletons”, September 14, 2011, and July 27, 2011, respectively, cached). And who is teaching this theology to the FBI? See the face of “Jews' Islam” graph below that is being used for training the FBI. The graph is self-evident and speaks to the identity of its authors itself. Mother Jones magazine September/October 2011 issue reports that the FBI has built a massive network of spies to prevent another domestic attack (sic!), “The bureau now maintains a roster of 15,000 spies, some paid as much as $100,000 per case, many of them tasked with infiltrating Muslim communities in the United States.” Teamed up with the University of California-Berkeley's Investigative Reporting Program, the author of that Mother Jones report gallantly asked – perhaps to add a measure of chutzpah after carefully omitting to challenge the core-axiom of the State that 9/11 was the work of Muslim terrorists – “But are they busting terrorist plots—or leading them?”

That Machiavellian trend of calculated lying by way of omissions in respectful looking reportage, backed by academic prestige which retain the core presuppositions of empire necessary to craft the Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent (http://tinyurl.com/Hegelian-Dialectic-Dissent), is ubiquitous. It was brazenly apparent in the May 2011 CAIR report which was co-sponsored by the University of California-Berkeley’s Center for Race and Gender (see CAIR Documenting Islamophobia on the rise in the USA – Calling CAIR to Account for its Omissions By Zahir Ebrahim). It was also evident in the followup August 2011 report by a private Washington think-tank called American Progress, gallantly titled “Fear, Inc.” (see Zahir Ebrahim's response to Fear, Inc. The Roots of the Islamophobia Network in America). Both of these reports respectably documented the rise of Islamophobia in America. But they also egregiously failed to examine its root cause and motivation in the geopolitical context of the 'War on Terror'.

The concept that this synthetic 'war on terror' is being used as the pretext for ushering in one-world government is completely absent in these (yawn) narratives!

One hopes that one might be forgiven if its authors remind one of the three wise monkeys.
The face of “useful idiot’s Islam” – the “dissent Islam”

Perhaps these otherwise brilliant academic pundits are poorly read only in certain impermissible scholarship. Being “innocent of knowledge” does appear to keep them gainfully employed as “useful idiots” in the service of empire. Or, the ever more likely case, the more respectable looking academic scholars and award-winning journalists are the Mighty Wurlitzer's assets. This is not just an opinion but actual public fact of the intelligence apparatus of the United States planting its stooges and assets both in the news media and in the academia. Which is what the term Mighty Wurlitzer means --- please see the Report on Mighty Wurlitzer if one is unfamiliar with the techniques of perception management. Whatever the case, willfully ignorant useful idiots, or vulgar propagandists who lie by omission, these dissent con-artists prima facie convey both, the empire's opprobrium of “Militant Islam” necessary to lend fuel to its “doctrinal motivation”, and what the empire considers permissible dissent to corral the recalcitrant public mind. Note how dissent is made permissible and why it is necessary in democratic societies which constitutionally permit dissent, for it also sells to the world and to their own public the superiority of Western democracy which looks awfully good in words: By retaining the axiom of “Militant Islam” as a presupposition, while critiquing the reactionary excesses of the superpower in response to 9/11.

That is the standard party line presupposition of all acceptable dissent in the West under its vaunted freedom of speech. It is also the dissent of all house niggers and vassal states in the East. And it is a propaganda lie outright, part of the manufactured Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent, a tune played by the Mighty Wurlitzer to attract and corral the handful of public consciences left in society while retaining the core axioms of empire. You have your cake and can eat it as well. The Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent is a most potent concoction of Machiavelli and its anatomy is carefully dissected from limb to limb and psyop to psyop in the Report on Mighty Wurlitzer. Some of the biggest brand names of
dissent are part of this staged Act. See an immediate example of this sophisticated propaganda lie in action – the journalist here is a an award winning former New York Times war correspondent: Zahir Ebrahim's Response to Chris Hedges' amalgam of half-truths 'A Decade After 9/11: We Are What We Loathe' (http://tinyurl.com/Zahir-to-Chris-Hedges-rubbish). More examples are carefully scrutinized and documented for war crimes accounting someday of all vulgar propagandists who play this game of betraying the public trust, in Songbird or Superman – You Decide! (http://tinyurl.com/Songbird-or-Superman-You-Judge).

Caption Video face of “Jews' Islam” – “violent Islam” As reported by Wired on September 14, 2011, an FBI training presentation titled “Militancy Considerations” measures the relationship between piety and violence among the texts of the three Abrahamic faiths [the god's chosen people obviously coming out on top!!!] As time goes on, the followers of the Torah and the Bible move from “violent” to “non-violent.” Not so for devotees of the Koran, whose “moderating process has not happened.” The line representing violent behavior from devout Muslims flatlines and continues outward, from 610 A.D. to 2010. In other words, religious Muslims have been and always will be agents of aggression. Click on the graph to watch the FBI Presentation Video artfully Hijacking Islam. See its full deconstruction in FBI Muslims and Militancy Considerations --- Heads up. (Image source wired.com)

It's a pretty slick game of full spectrum assault on all human senses, cognitive as well as subliminal, which tickle both the primordial fears and anxieties for self-preservation on the one hand, and emotional attachments to the relevant political and religious ideologies on the other for seeking safety. Hollywood has already interjected that thought of banning the Holy Qur'an into Western consciousness in the movie “V for Vendetta”. Soon – that demand might actually be heard on mainstream television in the many choruses of the Mighty Wurlitzer. It ought not to surprise anyone if Muslim faces are presented as Hegelian counterpoint, demanding a “moderate” Islam instead of banning the Qur'an outright! (See Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation). Muslims have plenty of House Niggers and cultivated agents and assets in the West who will be harvested for this purpose. (See FAQ: What is an Intellectual Negro) Such a demand simply cannot be plausibly made, or effectively implemented, in the East! Judging from the riots that break out on the “mere” cartooning of the Prophet of Islam – O yes, we are surely slated for population reduction, the “useless eaters” of humanity, while we apathetically wait for Allah to change our condition:

“For his sake there are angels following one another, before him and behind him, who guard him by Allah's commandment; surely Allah does not change the condition of a people until they change their own condition; and when Allah intends evil to a people, there is no averting it, and besides Him they have no protector.” (Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-Ra'd, 13:11)

“That which is left you by Allah is best for you, if ye (but) believed! but I am not set over you to keep watch!” (Holy Qur’an, Surah Hud, 11:86)

“Surely We have shown him the way: he may be thankful or unthankful.” (Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-insaan 76:3)

Evidently, depending on whether or not one believes these verses are the God of Islam's categorical admonishment to Muslims on how to conduct their worldly affairs, the God of the Muslims says different from what a lot of Muslims have been led to believe (vicariously and from the pulpits) that Allah chala raha hai (God is running the world)!

If someone were to ask me, I'd suggest that obsessive immoral devils, the Übermensch social Darwinians, are running the world. Because, Allah has unequivocally proffered all human beings to
stand up to these devils; to not wait for Allah to change their condition; to manage their own affairs
with justice “Wa ta wa so bil haq”, and with perseverance “Wa ta wa so bis sabr”, if their life is not
to be a total loss despite all its material as well as “spiritual” advancement. This kind of modern
advancement, if it continues, will spell the death knell for mankind as we once knew it as a new
totalitarian global police state emerges from the ashes of Muslim dust. The side that belongs to the
naturalists, meaning, the predatory social Darwinian side, is thus far winning the battles on all fronts.
Both the religion of Islam and Muslims appear to be impediments to its quest for total Secular
Humanism. Muslims need to prepare ourselves beyond our present commendable asininity despite it
plausibly being a nuisance speed bump to World Order. The religion of Islam can take care of itself.
Its Guardian has taken explicit responsibility for it:

- We have, without doubt, sent down the Message; and We will assuredly
  guard it (from corruption). (Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Hijr 15:9)

But that same Guardian has unequivocally stated time and again as in the aforesaid verses, that
He has not taken responsibility for the Muslims. Muslim are not the “god's chosen people” that no
matter what they do, they will remain “god's chosen people”, and even surpass god to become god
themselves (see “On Jews Becoming the Masters of the World – The Coronation of Hashem from the
Torah”).

Instead, the God of Islam has given mankind a universal prescription plan, as in Surah Al-Asr,
leaving it timelessly up to the believing man and believing woman in every epoch to choose to fill it,
or to not fill it. It is stated pretty categorically. And time invariantly --- meaning, the God of Islam
swears by the passing time in the very first verse of Surah Al-Asr, and one undeniable property of time
is time invariance. Time only marches forward, and past time cannot be brought back. It is, in a sense,
“lost”. There is an opportunity cost to not following that prescription is what the Surah is warning
mankind in its own unique and incomparable style. The veracity captured in these words of the Holy
Qur'an is beyond doubt. The matter is self-evident even for those who have no belief in the
supernatural or Divine origin of these words. Solon for instance, the ancient Athenian lawmaker of the
Hellenic Civilization a millennium before Islam, asserted similar principles but in a much reduced
ambit. When asked which city he thought was well-governed, Solon stated: “That city where those
who have not been injured take up the cause of one who has, and prosecute the case as earnestly as
if the wrong had been done to themselves.”

It is the Muslim public that needs to defend itself by all means that will be effective as the first
victim of World Order, instead of asininely waiting for Allah, or the Last Days of Gog and Magog and
the arrival of Imam Mahdi in an Eschatology that is as absurd as the Christians'. And for good reason.
It is arguably derived from Pauline Christianity and holy scribes laboring for Muslim empires to get
the public mind to accept its own servitude in the here, for the promise of a better tomorrow in the
hereafter. Muslims have been conned by the Übermensch who have persistently hijacked the pulpit of
Islam since its early rise as world power and world ruling state. They, virtually without exception,
singularly abused and distorted 4:59 to achieve that aim. Muslims today, comfortable resting at the
bottomless pit of their trough, are still taught by their intellectuals, scholars, pulpits, books after books,
in poetry, in proverbs and parables, and in their public as well as private education systems that
“Islam's domination” of the world for over 700 years, and its dynastic empires lasting close to thirteen
centuries, is something to gloat over. The most idiotic are even encouraged to dream about bringing
the “good times” back. A pound of flesh has been extracted for the privilege of that has-been
gloat.

We have lost the meaning of the religion of Islam; kept the shell and thrown away the fruit.

We have no friends and helpers among the elite, among the pulpits, among the establishments, and among the seasoned intellectuals. The rest of the world will follow on our heels based on what happens to us. Unfortunately, we are *hoi polloi, the unwashed masses*, whose fate routinely hangs in the balance of pawn sacrifices on the Grand Chessboard. If only we can alter that calculus to the delicate balance of survival between two scorpions trapped in a bottle. The social Darwinians can never be licked for *Übermensch* shall always exist just as they have always existed from time immemorial. At best they can be held in perpetual check. Since the first order battle that is being waged for World Order by the *Übermensch* is with the power of intellect, it is a great equalizer if only we can learn to use it. Its first baby-step is to actually try using it – sort of like learning to ride a first bicycle; no amount of reading the instruction manual, or getting a college degree, or a doctorate, or acquiring a high position in the *Technetronic* society, can create that skill. And it can even be virtually impossible to acquire in the age of universal deceit when all the forces of social engineering are arrayed against it. Especially when hear no evil, speak no evil, and see no evil is amply rewarded in both prestige and pecuniary gain on the one hand, and not being conformant with the mantras du jour is punished on the other. Minimally, one is socially and professionally outcast, and its fear alone puts one in shackles of conformity.

So now one understands the challenges on all fronts. The enemies in front and those behind and sideways. We also understand that we are slowly dying as humanity in a surfeit of deceit for the want of an ounce of mental acuity to understand what is happening to us. The fact that we display a total disdain for any moral courage to revolt against what is happening to us, merely follows in its wake. The fact that we have been *house niggers* for centuries, far longer than the poor black slaves brought to the shores of America for cotton picking in physical chains, always echoing the core axioms and presuppositions of the ruling class in every epoch, equally follows. Very soon, we shall surpass even the sheep who can never think of revolting against the habit of mutton eating.

Are there any *He Mans* among the Muslim men and women in the West and the East to rise to these challenges before it is all a fait accompli? It does not need to be many, but a tad more than zero to be effective in becoming the first cause of its butterfly-effect. If we stay at count zero, the *superman* among us winning our trust will continue to harvest us for fodder. Muslims and non-Muslims alike. It is that other fellow over there today... tomorrow it will be you!

If you got this far, thank you for reading.

– End Letter –

Date of Letter: Friday, May 07, 2010

Updated Friday, April 17, 2015
Atheism and Social Justice a Non Sequitur

Response to Michael Rectenwald's A Critique of 'Social Justice' Ideology: Thinking through Marx and Nietzsche

July 29, 2017

Subject Re: A Critique of 'Social Justice' Ideology: Thinking through Marx and Nietzsche

Dear Professor Michael Rectenwald,

Hello, interesting reading, enjoyed it. Some quick thoughts that spring to mind which I would like to share with you as an invitation to probe further and with a tad more pertinence to empirical reality.

Zahir Ebrahim
While I am not a philosopher Michael, I do use philosophy as a reasoning tool, particularly as a means of parsing both logic and arguments. One thing the study of philosophy and the philosophers' thoughts taught me early on is to seek (or look for) self-consistency between their arguments and the presuppositions that these are based on.

When arguments are self-consistent with their presuppositions, then the reasoning is usually weighty, whether or not it matches with reality. Seeking that match is what empiricism tries to do when the domain is within the purview of falsifiability, and it becomes “religion” when the domain is either un-empirical or the axioms are unfalsifiable. In either case, when there is self-consistency, the logic of the argument passes the first test of acceptability. Meaning, the argument, theory, ideology, logic, cannot be rejected just because it may not be empirical or non-falsifiable, primarily because it is self-consistent with its own axioms. In other words, this arguably [is] the sole exception [to] reductio ad absurdum --- even if the [conclusion] is shown to be absurd, if the argument, logic, theory, is consistent with its fundamental premise, it cannot be (easily) rejected. For instance, the Euclidean geometry is built with great self-consistency and with considerable completeness upon its principal axiom that parallel lines don't meet at infinity. One can however also create a fully self-consistent and equally complete non-Euclidean geometry by assuming that parallel lines meet at infinity. Its theorems and corollaries also being entirely self-consistent with that unfalsifiable axiom. Of course we may find little use for such a geometry in non-relativistic space, since its theorems might simply lead to absurd results in our non-relativistic daily experience. But we also can't reject its theorems outright just because they don't apply in our daily experiences, principally because of the self-consistency of the theorems with its own fundamental axioms.

However, when theorems (arguments, philosophies, ideologies, logic) and their axioms are inconsistent, it usually, nay almost always, means sophistry! In the worst case it is bullshit disguised in erudite demagoguery and the foundation of propaganda warfare, often the purview of the Übermensch. In the best case it is merely incompetence of reasoning when employed by those of limited intellectual acumen. In either event, it is a false argument, false logic, false theory, false ideology, when the argument does not follow from its axiomatic presuppositions. The truth of this statement is self-evident, rather obvious, and does not need any further proof or discussion.

What is fundamentally common between the two philosophers is that, by virtue of their both being atheists, they both believe in the natural law as a presupposition. That axiom cannot lead to any theory of social justice (fairness, egalitarianism) except in sophistry, even if it is enacted by the fiat of law. This fact is irrespective of the particular argument, theory, or ideology, whether broad-spectrum (Marxism), or narrow-spectrum as enacted within a subgroup or clique or tribe by mutual considerations of survival (Nietzsche) or domestic or international law by mutual agreement (policy-making). Since Natural law is presumed to also apply to humans, it fundamentally governs their very existence, development, evolution, and thus subsequently arguing social justice and egalitarianism among them as the supposed mutually agreed upon civilizational construct is inconsistent by definition. It is inconsistent with the supposition of natural law governing mankind, whether in individual, or in aggregate.

That is such a basic and most obvious truth that I am sure it is no news to you. So I am surprised that I missed its deeper exploration in your Critique.

This inconsistency of natural law applied to humans and the vague altruistic notions of
egalitarianism will naturally give rise to primacy, hegemony, despite all the platitudinous window dressings they might be couched in for the benefit of the sheep by those who will themselves be self-consistent with their axiomatic premise of natural law. This is both philosophically true, and also empirical.

Just for completeness, that is the premise that laws of nature apply to all existence, to human beings, to animals, to animate and inanimate objects, and in that ambit of natural law, there is no equality. Might and power by definition reign only by the superiority of force, and this is seen empirically not only within the natural forces of nature, but also within the natural forces of jungle. The lion can never be equal to the sheep, nor wolves equal to sheep, but certainly the wolves might vociferously argue egalitarianism between themselves and the lions (had they any ability to reason logically for survival).

So, in response to your interesting article, I would observe that Nietzsche was perhaps more self-consistent in his reasoning of the Übermensch being the natural shepherd because they are more able than the sheep, just as Plato was in his logic of Philosopher-king being the natural shepherd otherwise the Übermensch controllers would naturally enslave mankind, than anyone else in Western humanist philosophy. Marx was entirely inconsistent. And so were the deist philosophers who crafted the American constitution. Anyone can easily see the fruits of that inconsistency in the wonderful Bill of Rights, wherein, only if you are the right racial and genetic (European) makeup are you deemed a “human being” enjoying all the natural rights of pursuit of happiness --- the imported Negroes and their descendants bonded into slavery, and the native inhabitants of the land ten million of whom were mercilessly exterminated from their own soil, were evidently not deemed fully human when those Rights were crafted by the founders of United States of America.

The more interesting question of philosophy in this domain of social justice is really this Michael: under what set of axioms or presuppositions can egalitarianism and social justice be a self-consistent ideology among mankind?

Nietzsche confronted that question head on and as you too correctly observed in your article quoting someone: “… all ethical systems, that is all those ways of thinking which are generally accepted as such, have a basis for judgment which lies outside that which is to be judged.” But since Nietzsche was also an avowed atheist and had killed God, to be self-consistent with his own presupposition and belief in natural law, he had to reject any outside source, and thus forthrightly rejected egalitarianism, except of course by mutual consent among the Übermensh themselves!

Nietzsche only re-laid the modern foundation of the same old white man's burden, and as you well know, that foundation of primacy is quite ancient, and which the late Dr. Brzezinski summed up with the greatest laconic wit in perhaps the shortest sentence in human history to convincingly legitimize international primacy: “Hegemony is as old as mankind.” It was his justification for continued primacy of the sole superpower despite all the conventions of international law thrust down the individual national throats. Just look at the egregious title of his realpolitik book, which is of course only the American Mein Kampf: “The Grand Chessboard -- American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives”. Merely the white man's burden revisited --- wouldn't you agree --- so what's all this specious discussion of egalitarianism in the postmodern contemporary setting?

I look forward to you examining that aforementioned pertinent question if you are keenly interested in any notion of the viability of social justice among mankind. Nietzscheanism today
governs not just ideology, but also all law, policy, and dispensation. Its pinnacle is to be reached, I imagine, when the religion of secular humanism is enacted in the world by the fiat of power and international law in a one-world government.

Thanks for your frequent emails from legitgov. I often have to mark it “not spam”. Keep up the good work. I am sure that you will agree that we must all continue to seek self-consistency with axioms as the first rejection criterion of absurdities that are couched in erudite philosophies and specious logic, the main gift of reason and philosophy, and continue to teach those tools of philosophical reasoning to others as a means of parsing reality for self-preservation from the Übermensch. In human society, some are wolves and the rest are sheep --- there can be no egalitarianism between their imperatives unless both are forcibly subjected to a higher force. That force, both mathematical logic [Gödel's incompleteness theorems] and the quest for survival of the sheep dictates, should be self-consistent with the axioms which give birth to it, and above that which it is meant to govern.

Let me hasten to clarify that this humble entreaty of teaching those who are ill-equipped with a sophisticated intellect to survive the Übermensch's Machiavellian jungle only applies to those who do genuinely proclaim (or seek) social justice and do not believe in the presupposition of atheism. I guess I am hoping that all who are inclined towards social justice would logically reach the self-consistent realization that there must be a higher force or authority that one must accept as the source of egalitarian morality in order to have social justice among mankind. Without that presupposition of higher authority, there can be none!

Thus, it follows that atheist by the demands of self-consistency with their own axiom must either give-up social justice, or give up atheism. They cannot have both.

With Regards,

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
Chapter 46

Axioms of Secular Humanism and Why It Must Lead to Primacy By Definition

Reflections on Axioms, Presuppositions, Faith, Intuition, Reason, Philosophy and their Impact on Epistemology

Uncrippling Epistemology to Cripple Primacy

From the well known Occam's razor principle which is to choose the fewest and simplest possible axioms of faith, beliefs, assumptions, presuppositions to construct the rational scientific method on the anvil of falsifiability, to the belief in supernatural as the unfalsifiable axiom of the immanent psyche that distinguish humans from non spiritual beings, are all presuppositions that are believed to be true but cannot always be proven to be true.
Axioms of Secular Humanism and Why It Must Lead to Primacy By Definition

These axioms can potentially only be proved to be false (possibly at some future time).

When that is the case, that an axiom of faith, a presupposition of truth, can eventually be shown to be false, it is called falsifiability. That is the foundation of modern rational epistemology as well as the scientific method --- necessary presuppositions of convenience which can eventually be shown to be false (unless proved to be true along the way when it is no longer considered an axiom but a demonstrable fact). Why is that? Because certain fundamentals cannot always be proved to be true even if they may be strongly believed to be true.

For instance, take the example of geometry that we use in our everyday life, and have been using for over two thousand years. Its principal axiom, parallels lines don't meet at infinity, can never be proved to be true. Because no one can go to infinity and come back to report that they witnessed or measured that yes indeed parallel lines did not even meet at infinity. It can, however, be shown to be false under certain circumstances, such as in relativistic physics, where space-time becomes curved (distorted) due to gravity effect (as empirically demonstrated for the General Theory of Relativity during the total solar eclipse of 1919 when the New York Times headlined the confirmation that light, normally observed to only travel in straight lines, can bend: “Lights All Askew In The Heavens – Stars Not Where They Seemed or Were Calculated to be, but Nobody Need Worry”). This effect can cause two parallel lines, one effected by that space-time gravity field, to intersect with the other not effected by the gravity field at some distant point. Thus, the fundamental premise under which the parallel lines axiom of Euclidean geometry works is only when space-time is not under relativistic effect. But that axiom of Euclidean geometry still cannot be proved to be false in non relativistic three dimensional space. It is just assumed to be true without proof and falsifiability, primarily because it is convenient, accords with daily human experience as well as commonsense, and helps formulate as well as solve one, two and three dimensional problems encountered in non relativistic space-time.

When something is assumed to be true without evidentiary proof, what scientists call empirical evidence, it is akin to belief, faith. The entire Euclidean geometry is based on such an axiom of faith.

In the same way, in mental life, we hypothesize beliefs that are immanent and constitute our core beliefs. Some of these, over time, have been shown to be false, in which case we abandoned them (but not easily). Such as belief in lightening / thunder, or the lunar / solar eclipses, or celestial movement of heavenly bodies upon which Zodiacal astrology is based, or the black cat crossing the path, or prescriptive mantras, etc., are related to human affairs and have a major (or minor) impact on its causality (except of course through the placebo effect which is demonstrated to be true and has become integral part of the process of modern medical science in what's called double blind studies). So, these immanent human axioms of personal faith which in the earlier primitive societies governed not just individual human behavior, but also societal collective behavior, have largely been abandoned (with some difficulty for many), with evidentiary demonstration that these personal and societal axioms of faith are false and mere superstitions.

But other personal and societal religious axioms of faith, such as life after death or Afterlife, the Hereafter, or Heaven and Hell, or Day of Judgment, or existence of Angels, cannot ever be proved to be false (nor demonstrated to be true). For no one has returned from the dead to reliably inform us whether they found these to be true or false, and whether or not, as their moment of death approached, they finally witnessed the reality of the long believed mythical Death Angel who came to extract their soul into purgatory. And if someone were to return from the dead and if they did not bring back
evidence of what they witnessed with them, how would anyone ever validate / adjudicate upon that personal witnessing, testimony? If multiple people reported the same, perhaps they were all just hallucinating, or perhaps they did indeed meet with the Death Angel and other artifacts of Afterlife that has informed the religions of man from time immemorial. How can anyone else objectively tell the difference however – except, once again, (a) in either choosing to believe them on the basis of their shared beliefs alone, or (b) in rejecting that testimony based on the axiom of materialistic conception of nature that nothing can exist after bodily death (which is technically defined by modern medicine as the measurable ceasing of the brain's electrical activity on the EEG monitor), and thus all such immanent experiences of returning from the dead can at best only be hallucinations due to the mind's temporary catatonic state.

Such axioms of faith that can never be shown to be false, and just believed to be true, are called unfalsifiable axioms. These axioms are also the foundational basis of world religions, specifically those which claim the validity of Divine Revelation. And also those that claim continuity of human existence in global consciousness ala Hinduism, and its variants seen in new age religions including animism (dict: belief in spiritual beings or agencies; the belief that natural objects, natural phenomena, and the universe itself possess souls; the belief that natural objects have souls that may exist apart from their material bodies; the doctrine that the soul is the principle of life and health) and animatism (dict: the attribution of consciousness to inanimate objects and natural phenomena).

But is Divine Revelation itself an unfalsifiable axiom? That obviously depends on the definition of Divine, which of course must precede addressing the question of Divine Revelation, and that subject is taken up systematically in the next two sections.

How about the existence of consciousness beyond materialism, and its derivative beliefs such as reincarnation, or interconnection to what's termed cosmic consciousness, animism, animatism? Once again, “proof” is usually by way of one's own personal belief system and not by way of the scientific method which obviously cannot be applied directly to what is not material, what cannot be observed by its instruments, and what cannot be measured by its instruments. So, making distinction between say, animatism and Divine Revelation is not permitted by the zealot materialists who tend to lump all non-materialist constructs, whether most ridiculous and absurd, or most profound, into the same “reject” category.

This is exemplary, even the epitome, of the problem of presupposition – axiomatic dogmas crippling epistemology. It leads to the dogmatic denial of that which is even amenable to the scientific method.

The scientific method can perhaps be applied indirectly for ascertaining certain non-material but existential phenomenon that is dogmatically denied by materialist science. For instance, adjudicating on ESP, and its related effects such as telepathy, for instance, observing that dogs know when their owners are coming home, homing pigeons uncannily always know how to return home regardless of how “blinded” they are made in test experiments, birds in flight always know how to change their flight paths in sudden turns in perfect sync without running into each other, identical twins feeling each others feelings and thoughts, the feeling of being stared at by others and turning around to often find them looking at you, etc. These empirical observations of behavior of living beings indicate the presence of some non-materialistic and hitherto unknown telepathic processes and mechanisms in play that are not understood by the materialistic conception of science. I.e.,
phenomenon demonstrated by living beings which cannot be proved to be false, and is instead observed to be true many a time, begging an explanation beyond the denials offered by the dogmas of orthodox materialist scientists of the Richard Dawkins variety (the Dawkinsian clan, Dawkinsianism). Some intriguing scientific experiments have indeed been devised to demonstrate their existential validity by the rebel extraordinaire, Cambridge University biologist Dr. Rupert Sheldrake (see http://sheldrake.org/), to beggar all materialistic theories of nature to date. William Shakespeare had way too presciently captured the crippling of the dogmatic mind in Hamlet for all times. It is especially pertinent to our own epoch of knowledge explosion which, instead of humility, tends to confer unbounded hubris upon the arrogant mind: “There are more things in heaven and earth, Horatio, Than are dreamt of in your philosophy.”

Based on the above short introduction, it does not take a great deal of intelligence to perceive the impact of dogmas on crippling epistemology when the beliefs or axioms are absurd, rooted in authority figures as their source of truth, or in immanent superstitions of mental life. What we believe to be true and what can be shown to be true are two different matters.

Thus falsifiability has become the corner stone of modern science. The axioms of science are deliberately made falsifiable --- as in the Occam's razor principle --- presuppositions which are initially assumed to be true but which can eventually either be demonstrated to be true (in which case they are no longer axioms but facts) or proved to be false (in which case they are abandoned), or circumscribed to their applicability limit, as is done in Euclidean geometry for its axiom of parallel lines which are now confined only to non relativistic space-time.

Science dies to reincarnate as religion if, or when, its axioms turn to dogma and become unquestionable, inscrutable, incontrovertible, unfalsifiable. There are several examples of this throughout history down to our own enlightened times: from the earth is the center of the universe dogma of the Church of antiquity to the latter day global warming dogma of the world superstate. While the former was a genuine false belief, the latter is uber Machiavelli driving a political agenda (see http://tinyurl.com/Global-Warming-New-Religion).

Under modern science's materialistic axiom of faith that all existence is material and death of material is death of existence (non animism), the non falsifiable axioms of faith of world religions that are predicated on non materialistic existence, on spiritual transcendence beyond the body, where the material body is seen only as a temporal container, have been denigrated and marginalized as superstitions. All non materialism is treated with equal contempt by latter day materialist reductionists — the absurd belief in a cat crossing the path causing one harm, and belief in God or Divine Revelation, are treated the same! The latter is often dismissed by equating it to the former, and deliberately so by the dogmatic Dawkinsian clan. The same transpires with those who create absurd theologies as the avant-garde in thought like the strawman of animatism (dict: the attribution of consciousness to inanimate objects and natural phenomena), which the Dawkinsian clan is all too happy to equate with belief in God and Divine Revelation. That blind-sight of the Dawkinsian clan is not mere psychological cataract. It is well crafted political theory which underwrites “Will to Power”.

Some in this Dawkinsian clan are surely honest exponents of their own personal Pollyannaish beliefs as they zealously herald the way to Secular Humanism as the next stage of human evolution whereby, human beings, now liberated from the clutches of superstitious theism which has been the leading cause of all misanthropy throughout history (as they argue), make their own lofty declarations.
of universal human rights and live happily ever after (see http://tinyurl.com/HGWells-Universal-Human-Rights). These well-intentioned *useful idiots* often see scarcity of resources and terrorism of the pirates as the fundamental problems to be solved by Secular Humanism and the problem of primacy never occurs to their indoctrinated minds – indoctrinated no differently in their new religion than any theist zealot of antiquity (see http://tinyurl.com/Problem-Primacy-not-Scarcity).

While others, cunning predators preying on human instincts, are harvesters of those Pollyannaish beliefs to diabolically foster their own political agendas to achieve their one-world empire. This is no different than how suicide bombers, ardent believers in their own “divine mission”, are diabolically harvested by their terrestrial handlers who create, encourage, train and fund them to pursue their beliefs to the very end for the enticement of heavenly maidens, while actually serving the geopolitical interests of policy-makers upstream (see http://tinyurl.com/Problem-Primacy-not-Scarcity).

This is how “militant Islam” is constructed by the Western hegemons to serve their own political agenda for their Hegelian Dialectic of having an endless enemy to wage endless wars against (see http://tinyurl.com/hijacking-word-islam). This is also how insurgency is fabricated by the state, both domestically as well as in far-away places using the discontent of the local peoples, which often the state is itself the cause of, to justify its own counter-insurgency operations to achieve its political agendas which it otherwise could not dignify (see http://tinyurl.com/insurgency-counterinsurgency).

And that is the open secret behind promulgating Secular Humanism so freely by the West today --- where its most zealot exponents often find themselves pushing against open doors with sanctuaries, prizes, accolades, applause, and career advancement awaiting them to continually tickle their egos. It is the Trojan horse to subvert world religions which the powers that be, see as impediment to the global dystopia they have planned for mankind (see http://tinyurl.com/Islam-vs-Secular-Humanism).

The impact of this axiomatic presupposition of materialistic philosophy upon which the fundamental beliefs and practices of modern political theories, modern science, modern medicine, modern theology are all constructed: what we personally believe, what policies we legislate, what projects we fund, how we manage our collective well-being including healthcare, how we make war and peace, etc., is nothing short of monumental. The consequent of this core materialist belief, which I call the first-cause axiom of modernity, meaning, it is the first-cause, the root-head, the foundational presupposition of modern epistemology that has fashioned the dogmas of modernity, is rapidly leading to the global scientific *technetronic dystopia* encircling all non-primitive civilizations today. The principal consequences are: (a) *secular naturalism* (how we understand the world), (b) *secular humanism* (how we understand human life), (c) *will to power* (our political theory and the basis of exceptionalism among the self-proclaimed shepherds of human life), and (d) *social Darwinianism* (our social theory and the basis of herding and culling human sheep and “useless eaters”). That is the profound reality of crippled epistemology --- in the hands of the cunning Superman, it leads to humanity's enslavement.
(a) Secular Naturalism

This is the dogmatic philosophy of materialist reductionism. It separates physics (the how) from metaphysics (the why), and focuses on discovering the how by reducing all existence into its innate material and physical components.

- It postulates that all natural existence, and all natural phenomenon, from galaxies to quarks, anywhere in the universe, is based on, and governed by, quantifiable and fixed laws of nature which apply universally to these innate material components, whether or not man has discovered all of them as yet.

- These laws of nature are universal and apply equally to all material existence in all frames of references everywhere in the universe, including to man himself (there is nothing out of band about man's existence), and including to that which the mind of man or his instruments can and cannot directly observe or measure but are necessary to hypothesize to explain existence. Such as: dark-matter, fields, waves, fundamental particles, singularities, first-cause of existence such as the big-bang, final-cause of existence such as its natural end-state which, in the Aristotelian thought, used to be the metaphysical or teleological “why”, the purpose of existence, but with the separation of physics from metaphysics in the seventeenth century, is now substituted with what is the “end-state” of existence.

- When material existence ceases to exist in its physical form, that entity which embodied that physical form ceases to exist completely.

- Material existence has no inherent purpose except to exist by the laws of nature which govern its creation, evolution, functioning, and its end.

- It is meaningless to ask the “why” of material existence which is left to philosophy or religion to answer as it has no place in the laws of nature.

- The empirical methods of science known as the scientific method, are the best approach to understand that “how” of physical existence.

- The focus on understanding physical existence is sufficient to explain all forces of nature and the nature of all existence.

- Nature has no a priori purpose and came about by natural processes that are governed by natural laws, not all of which may be understood or known at any given moment.

- The natural laws are “a-moral” and “secular”, and neither concern themselves to the “why” of existence, nor to the “values” of existence (such as moral law), nor to the “purpose” of existence (such as its goal).

- The philosophy of materialist reductionism denies all existence that is not physical, not governed by the physical laws of nature, including transcendental existence, spiritual
existence, and existence outside of its natural materialist manifestation such as the soul and consciousness.

- When the physical body dies it leaves no soul behind. When the physical brain dies it leaves no consciousness behind.

- In the materialist philosophy a man dying and a star exploding are equivalent. They both cease to exist completely after death, apart from the physical residues they each leave behind, the lifeless cadaver and debris-radiation fields respectively, which (obviously) no longer contain the innate characteristic of what existed before death.

These presuppositions and corollaries of materialist reductionism therefore guide the processes of not just the hard sciences, but also all social sciences as well as theology and philosophy, and limit the understanding of existence to the ambit of these presuppositions. To what extent these presuppositions have become dogmas that serve narrow self-interests and political agendas is demonstrated by empiricism. Pursuit of science today is amoral, its understanding of existence solely materialistic and physical, its mega-funding mainly for primacy and profit imperatives, and its advancements the harbinger of dystopia and seeds of self-destruction. The presupposition of the nature of man being fundamentally a material construct with no spiritual component --- that latter notion being the gratuitous appendage of how societies evolved from its primitive state when such superstitions among all peoples of the ancient world, were necessary to explain not just natural phenomenon, but also to give meaning to life and rationalize away the many inequities besetting man from time immemorial, all of which have now been supplanted by the wisdom of science and the Will to Power --- is the harbinger of hedonism, sense of emptiness, despair, loneliness, isolation, purposelessness. It has led to large prison populations on the one hand, and rising psychological discontents in the general populations on the other. This manifests itself empirically in:

- **a) rising behavioral dysfunction** (such as loss of public empathy, as witnessed in the wild cheering among Americans when watching the slaughter of the untermensch on their television screens; easy acceptance of inhuman treatment and torture of prisoners as a necessary evil, as witnessed in Guantanamo Bay and Iraq's prisons under American occupation; easy acceptance of the paradigm of guilty unless proven innocent, as witnessed in the Patriot Acts and police-state deployed worldwide; increasing anger and violence; etc.);

- **b) rising social dysfunction** (such as living in servitude under authority figures as mark of high civilization; increase in dysfunctional families, alienation, social violence, global wealth disparity, unpardonable impoverishment worldwide; lifestyles that encourage self-absorption for the haves while countenancing patience for have-nots whose “death rates must go up” (McNamara, 1970) to curb world population explosion; creation of eugenics international policies (suitably disguised), such as that witnessed in NSSM 200 (Kissinger, 1974) that envisioned food as a weapon to curb global birth rates in least developed nations before it became a threat to the affluent West's national security: “Is the U.S. prepared to accept food rationing to help people who can't/won't control their population growth?”; sky rocketing crime rates in industrialized societies, as witnessed in the West which has some of the highest
concentration of prison inmates anywhere in the world, especially in the United States of America which has become the prison capital of the world; recruitment for soldiery among dysfunctional populations, plentiful harvests of economic conscription, both of which lead to war crimes against humanity during field deployment, and PTSD when soldiers return home to feelings of intense isolation, unable to relate to their families, unable to reintegrate, and suffering mental anguish for the inhuman butchery they have committed and witnessed; etc.);

- and c) rising mental psychoses (such as mental illnesses going through the roof, as seen in increasing big-pharma profits for psychotropic drugs; the inability to appreciate beauty of a lovely sunrise and sunset; etc.); all heralding new discontents in the materialist civilizations.

Cambridge University British biologist Rupert Sheldrake in his iconoclastic book and public talks on this subject variously titled: *The Science Delusion – Dispelling the The Ten Dogmas of Materialism and Freeing the Spirit of Enquiry*, observes of the present state of the materialist axiom of science: "*Despite all the achievements of science and technology, materialism is now facing a credibility crunch that was unimaginable in the twentieth century.*" "*Materialism provided a seemingly simple, straightforward worldview in the late nineteenth century, but twenty-first-century science has left it behind. Its promises have not been fulfilled, and its promissory notes have been devalued by hyperinflation.*" The book identifies the following ten dogmas of materialism which have straight-jacketed science, understanding of both the nature of man and the world around him, and which limit its advancement due to the almost church-like orthodoxy that controls the scientific outlook (what's funded, what's published, what's followed-up):

- Dogma 1 is the assumption that nature is mechanical, or machine-like, that everything in nature is like a machine. Animals are like machines, plants are like machines and we’re like machines, lumbering robot in Richard Dawkins’ vivid phrase our brains are like genetically programmed computers. So that’s the first assumption, being in science since the 17th century.

- Dogma 2 is the assumption that matter is unconscious. The whole universe is made of unconscious matter, all of nature is made of unconscious matter, our bodies are made of unconscious matter, but for some peculiar reason our brains become conscious and that is one of the big problems in materialist science. Consciousness ought not to exist at all.

- Dogma 3 is the assumption that the laws of nature are fixed, they are the same at the moment of the big bang as they are today and they will be the same forever. (And so they’re constants and that is why they are called constant, things like the speed of light and gravitation are constant.)

- Dogma 4 is the assumption that the total amount of matter and energy is always the same, it all came into being at the big bang, it’s been the same ever since and it will be the same forever.
Dogma 5 is the assumption that nature is purposeless. There are no purposes in animals or plants or in life as a whole. And the entire evolutionary process has no purpose; it’s just come about by blind chance in the laws of nature.

Dogma 6 is the assumption that biological inheritance is material, it’s all genetic or epigenetic or possibly inside the epigenetic inheritance, but in any case material.

Dogma 7 is the assumption that memories are stored as material traces inside the brain. All your memories are inside your head in some way, stored in nerve endings or phosphor related proteins or no one knows quite how, but the assumption is they are all in the brain.

Dogma 8 is the assumption that your mind is inside your head, it’s an aspect of the activity of the brain.

Dogma 9 is the assumption that psychic phenomena like telepathy are illusory, they appear to exist, but they are not real. That’s because the mind is inside the head and can’t have any effects at a distance.

Dogma 10 is the assumption that mechanistic medicine is the only kind that really works. Alternative and complementary therapies may appear to work, but that’s only because people have got better anyway or it’s the placebo effect. And that’s why governments and medical research funding and so on funds only mechanistic medicine based upon the principle of ‘the body is a machine’, working on chemistry and physics, so it can only be treated chemically or physically by drugs or surgery. And of course that is very effective up to a point, but it’s just part of medicine, anyway that’s the assumption. (From transcript of one of Sheldrake's talks)

Rupert Sheldrake writes in the Introduction of The Science Delusion:

“Together, these beliefs make up the philosophy or ideology of materialism, whose central assumption is that everything is essentially material or physical, even minds. This belief-system became dominant within science in the late nineteenth century, and is now taken for granted. Many scientists are unaware that materialism is an assumption: they simply think of it as science, or the scientific view of reality, or the scientific worldview. They are not actually taught about it, or given a chance to discuss it. They absorb it by a kind of intellectual osmosis. In everyday usage, materialism refers to a way of life. In the spirit of radical scepticism, I turn each of these ten doctrines into a question. Entirely new vistas open up when a widely accepted assumption is taken as the beginning of an enquiry, rather than as an unquestionable truth. For example, the assumption that nature is machine-like or mechanical becomes a question: ‘Is nature mechanical?’ The assumption that matter is unconscious becomes ‘Is matter unconscious?’ And so on.” --- (see http://sheldrake.org/)

The hard reality of the forces behind the mechanistic medicine of Dogma 10, is the total
domination of big-pharma in medicine and healthcare industries worldwide. The total orthodoxy of big-pharma's medicine, regulated by the American Drug Trust and owned by the Money Trust, has taken over the world of healthcare to only permit those treatments, fund those research and developments, and pay for those healthcare modalities, from which big-pharma can make big profits (see Medical Monopoly in Eustace Mullins' Murder by Injection, 1988). This medical orthodoxy denies the efficacy of natural medicine and refuses to fund the discovery and development of natural remedies that nature has provided for a song – for there is no profit in it. This medical orthodoxy has taken upon itself to dictate to mankind how they shall heal themselves, and in the process, has become integral part of the military-industrial complex of the Western primacy system to rigidly control mankind. Virtually every discipline of medicine, and virtually every approved treatment of every disease, is based on the dogmas prevalent in that area. And these dogmas limit the treatment options available to the patients in the mainstream of medicine. Heart disease, diabetes, cancer treatment, psychiatry are all driven by dogmas both of big-pharma and the consequence of secular naturalism under which the practitioners of medicine are trained, licensed and regulated (see http://tinyurl.com/Truth-Modern-Medicine).

Arguably, the field most ripe with dogmas is psychiatry. In his 1973 paper published in Science: On Being Sane in Insane Places, Dr. David L. Rosenhan of Stanford University, inquired into the foundational question of psychiatry in his empirical study of American psychiatric hospitals: If sanity and insanity exist, how shall we know them? (see http://bonkersinstitute.org/rosenhan.html) And concluded that psychiatry is rife with dogmas and presuppositions that beggar objective diagnosis:

“It is clear that we cannot distinguish the sane from the insane in psychiatric hospitals. The hospital itself imposes a special environment in which the meaning of behavior can easily be misunderstood. The consequences to patients hospitalized in such an environment -- the powerlessness, depersonalization, segregation, mortification, and self-labeling -- seem undoubtedly counter-therapeutic.”

Today, psychiatry is completely taken over by the neuroscience of managing brain biochemistry with designer psychotropic drugs for virtually every behavioral / psychiatric diagnosis. New mental illnesses are continually defined in the manual of psychiatry called DSM, for which big-pharma continues to design new high margin psychotropic drugs, and which medical professionals continue to prescribe to their patients who are rapidly descending into younger and younger age groups.

Cardiovascular disease has been so taken over by big-pharma for-profit dogma that it must be mentioned here. Coronary Artery Disease, or CAD, directly related to modern food and lifestyle, is the leading heart disease in the world today. Its first-line treatment is to immediately insert stents to open up clogged arteries during the diagnostic process itself, called PCI, Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. The moment someone experiences chest pain or angina, and taken to the hospital, Cath-Lab is the first stop right after the emergency room has stabilized the patient. And invariably high profit margin heart stents are inserted with PCI under dubious (exaggerated) information given to the patients of the efficacy of the procedure. The New York Times reported:

“Every year, more than half a million Americans undergo procedures to have a
narrowed coronary artery propped open with a small metal mesh tube, or stent. In an emergency, when someone is having a heart attack, the operation can be lifesaving. But far too often, studies show, stents continue to be implanted in patients who stand to gain little if any benefit. **Last month, two of the country’s largest medical organizations identified the procedure commonly used to place a stent — called a percutaneous coronary intervention, or angioplasty — as one of five highly overused medical interventions.**” (http://tinyurl.com/NYT-stents-overused-15Aug2013).

Cardiovascular surgeon Caldwell B. Esselstyn, Jr., MD, of Cleveland Clinic Wellness Institute, challenged the practice by comparing the present CAD therapies to the dogmas of the nineteenth century: **Is the Present Therapy for Coronary Artery Disease the Radical Mastectomy of the Twenty-First Century?**

Esselstyn began his challenge with the understatement: “To fully grasp how so many smart, right-minded people could get it so wrong, it might help to start with a quick review of medical history.” And he put his finger on the principal dogma reigning not just in his discipline, but in several other medical disciplines as well:

“For the minority of heart patients, specifically those in the midst of heart attacks or acute coronary syndromes, stents or coronary artery bypass may be lifesaving. For the rest, none of the present therapies targets the cause: the Western diet. **As a consequence, the disease marches on in all patients, which leads to more drugs, stents, and bypasses, increasing heart damage, heart failure, and, too often, death, from an essentially benign, food-borne illness.**” (http://dresselstyn.com/Esselstyn_Caldwell_Article.pdf)

Iconoclast Esselstyn has persisted in challenging the medical dogma prevalent in CAD therapy by presenting original research and scientific data collected over years of following patients that CAD is in fact reversible by nutritional intervention with plant based diet (see: A Way to reverse CAD? http://dresselstyn.com/JFP_06307_Article1.pdf).

The dogmas of modern medicine are not merely theological, but designed to make permanent paying customers for big-pharma as part of the modern medical profession. They deliberately limit treatment options for the public by crippling the epistemology under which the medical profession and healthcare providers are trained, function, and offer treatment plans / knowledge to the public.

Is it trivial to undo big-pharma's full spectrum control of medicine and healthcare, to introduce laws to permit natural medicine to co-exist, to fund its research, to modify medical school training curriculum to incorporate its wisdom? To the naïve mind, it appears as simple to initiate as the stroke of a pen!
(b) Secular Humanism

Secular Humanism is the outgrowth of the presuppositions of Secular naturalism and deals with the sources of legal and moral codes that govern and direct human beings. This source is exclusively the mind of man, and not some supernatural, transcendental, spiritual or divine source. In the laws of nature there is no such construct as moral law, legal law, or value system, except that which naturally falls out from evolutionary sociobiology of Darwinianism, called social Darwinianism. The first-cause of human existence on earth, like all life on earth, is chance or accident. And social Darwinianism is the only natural behavior as seen in the jungle, and arguably the only natural “value system” if one may call it that, which may be attributed to the laws of nature. Morality is but a subjective value system and all spiritual questions of the “why” of existence are immanent, i.e., philosophical, in the mind of man, entirely abstract, and not part of the laws of nature that govern the physical world. Naturalists therefore treat moral, legal, and philosophical questions that regulate both human behavior and human destiny (i.e., final-cause), as mere utilitarian conventions created by political thinkers and philosophers for inducing social harmony and regulating human behavior.

Secular Humanism is the benign or Pollyannaish version sold by the Übermensch (Nietzschean Superman) to the gullible public to create useful idiots championing its cause. The reality however is what Nietzsche termed “der Wille zur Macht” (the Will to Power). In his final philosophical work published posthumously, Thus Spake Zarathustra, Nietzsche proclaimed: “God is dead.” And he presented the path to man's accelerated social (and biological) evolution through his “Will to Power”. Here we first look at the Pollyannaish version of Secular Humanism and take up the reality version next. The Pollyannaish version of Secular Humanism was described by this author in his 2011 study of hegemony and multiculturalism titled: Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization.

Begin Excerpt

The following Biblical Commandment from antiquity was, and still is, at least in my view, both complete and sufficient for governing the peaceable, equitable, and virtuous conduct of mankind:

“Do unto Others as you have others do unto you.” --- The Bible: Matthew 7:12, Luke 6:31; Old Testament Mosaic Law; Socrates; Confucius; Solon

So, why does mankind need anything more than that one primary fundamental Biblical statement? Indeed, one can easily surmise that all beneficial national constitutions, international and local laws, trade treaties, foreign policies, inter and intra governing principles, and even effective principles for dispute resolutions, are logically derivable from just that one ancient first principle, for a fairly equitable co-existence of mutual benefit for all mankind. There'd be no room for masters and slaves under the corollaries derived from such an egalitarian first principle!

While that universal pithy wisdom is deemed Biblical, I have found evidence of its truism in other antiquity as cited above. For instance, Solon the Athenian law giver, according to Plutarch's Lives, when asked which city he thought was well-governed, said:
“That city where those who have not been injured take up the cause of one who has, and prosecute the case as earnestly as if the wrong had been done to themselves.” --- Solon in Plutarch's Lives

Even beyond divine religion, in the realm of logic and rational empiricism alone, the following operations-research (OR) logical formulation due to Bertrand Russell, a man of considerable beliefs in no religion, is the most commonsensical recipe of governing peaceable human conduct. In my own succinct rendition, Bertrand Russell's formulation goes something like this (and I am putting it in single quotes to indicate that the formulation belongs to Russell but the words may not all be his):

'Maximize individual happiness while minimizing social conflict for optimizing the overall common-good.' --- Bertrand Russell's prescription to do away with religion as the bearer of moral law, probably in 'Why I am not a Christian' and similar writings

With just a little bit of reflection, one will see that Bertrand Russell captures the beneficial essence of many religions, including Islam, in at least so far as “haqu-al-ibad”, i.e., the rights of man upon man, otherwise known as moral law, are concerned, quite admirably.

By just using rational empathetic logic which hinges on spreading virtue rather than glory, vice, hegemony, and conquest, one can come up with reasonably equitable methods of governing oneself in any age, and among any peoples.

However, the Author of the Holy Qur'an advocating the path of mutual co-existence to mankind through the perfection of its message which it called “Islam”, is just as meaningless as man coming up with his own protocol for mutual co-existence using his own sensible logic and reason, if man is unwilling, or unable, to implement the protocol:

“Hegemony is as old as mankind.” --- Zbigniew Brzezinski, The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives, 1996 AD, pg. 3. The book's dedication reads: “For my students—to help them shape tomorrow's world”

Thus, if nihilist followers of Zbigniew Brzezinski's predatory foreign policies which predicate upon primacy and its geostrategic imperatives because they believe that “Hegemony is as old as mankind” so why change it, choose sociopathic mass psychology to mobilize the public to villainy and infamy by bequeathing to them only facile worldviews, well, that's not because there is any shortage of great platitudinous recipes in either the divine books of antiquity, or the modern mind of reason as the Deistic philosophers of eighteenth century enlightenment argued (of which Bertrand Russell was the atheist legatee).
That choice, of exercising villainous hegemony, or equity and benevolence, upon the 'untermenschen' is entirely man's of course. The Author of the Holy Qur'an itself asserts that such a choice between life's governing principles is entirely up to mankind in all its diversity of existence, and is neither a monolithic diktat of triumphalism, nor a choiceless matter like being born to one's parents:

| “There is no compulsion in religion.” --- Holy Qur’an 2:256 |
| “There surely came over man a period of time when he was a thing not worth mentioning.” --- Holy Qur’an 76:1 |
| “Surely We have created man from a small life-germ uniting (itself): We mean to try him, so We have made him hearing, seeing.” --- Holy Qur’an 76:2 |
| “Surely We have shown him the way: he may be thankful or unthankful.” --- Holy Qur’an 76:3 |

The overarching point being, at the risk of being repetitious, whatever the religion, whatever the people, and whatever the culture and geography, man naturally gravitates firstly towards one's own kith and kin, and secondly towards one's own socialization which principally gives birth to one's dominant worldview. It is all but a truism that just as one man's terrorist is another man's freedom fighter, one man's “messiah” is another man's lunatic.

Referring back to Zbigniew Brzezinski's ode to hegemony quoted at the very beginning, the method of circumventing domestic impediments to the “sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power” become empirically self-evident:

| “Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. [Because] the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being.” --- Zbigniew Brzezinski, The Grand Chessboard, pgs. 211, 44 |

Sociopathy of hegemony is the real problem. A problem that is as old as hegemony, as old as mankind. It thrives on the facile mind. Consequently, the sociopaths who often rise to power easily, ensure that the public mind stays facile. Making the public mind is the first art of governance from caliphate to democracy --- for unlike a dictatorship, ruled at the point of the bayonet, caliphate to democracy depend on a measure of consent from the governed. Unless that governance is changed first, until the non sociopaths in society force their way into ruling power to devalue the villainy of the facile mind, all Divine Books will be constricted, “mahjoor” (Holy Qur’an 25:30), and the public mind shall forever remain chained to its unturning neck in Plato's Cave.

End Excerpt
The reality is that primacy is a stronger categorical imperative of the sociopathic elites in society than morality which occupies theologians and *hoi polloi*. Learned people consistently fail to understand this as they variously sublimate the problems of modernity onto theology, religion, overpopulation, resource scarcity, environmental pollution, etc., without realizing that each of those “problems” are Machiavellianly amplified in the narrative space, and concomitantly harvested to drive a predetermined agenda which has nothing to do with the problem itself. For empirical examples of primacy pretexts that cunningly scapegoat and harvest religion, see *Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization* (http://tinyurl.com/Islam-Socialization); that harvest environmental problems, see *Global Warming / Climate Change - What's it all About?* (http://tinyurl.com/Global-Warming-A-New-Religion). The fact that this is openly admitted by the mainstream press that Global Governance is piece-meal enabled by these pretexts (which they call “crises”) which will naturally culminate in one-world government, see *Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman’s ‘And now for a world government’* (http://tinyurl.com/And-now-for-a-world-government).

Primacy is the first order dilemma plaguing mankind. It cannot be cured with more laws, or morality transposition, be these from theism, atheism, or secular humanism. Because primacy fundamentally sees itself as amoral; beyond the bounds of the calculus of morality. And this logically follows from the natural Darwinian order, the laws of nature, the survival of the fittest. Primacy has in the past, and will in the future, continue to act upon its own categorical imperatives, while concerned citizens, too naïve to understand primacy, look hither and thither. A recent example of this misdirection is in the Documentary *Thrive*, for which this scribe penned his vexed vivisection: *The Road to No Where: The Journey of Voluntary Servitude* (see http://tinyurl.com/Primacy-The-Road-to-No-Where).

The first-cause problem for civilizations, from time immemorial, is primacy of their elite; their drive for a homogenized mono-culture in a one-world empire in our own modernity, only temporarily disguised from the masses under their respective flags. Not the lack of moral codes --- for what can be better than the Golden Rule FOR EVERYONE (unlike the American Constitution and its famous Bill of Rights which apportioned inalienable rights only to those whom the founders considered full human beings of “equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them”, negroes and natives were not included in that august category); nor the misguided question of world religions. A man's religion, a society's preference for its own beliefs, their maddening inertia for reformation, at least in the twenty-first century, ought to be their own business and determined by their own needs and values. But as in the colonial era, this is taken up by the secular humanists as their new white man's burden. It is permitted to thrive under the empire's many tools of primacy. To put down world religions in the name of freedom of speech, is to push on an open door by the *useful idiots* as far as the empire is concerned --- for they are accomplishing its task for a song; for a mild applause, prizes, career advancement. For the *house nigger* mentality, it is gratifying just to bring his massa's message to his own people as “he changes from the representative of the Negro to the white man into the white man's representative to the Negro. The tragedy is that too often he does not recognize what has happened to him.” (see http://tinyurl.com/faq-intellectual-negro).
(c) Will to power

Nietzsche's philosophy and its impact on society is described in this scribe's essay: *Morality derived from the Intellect leads to Enslavement!* (see http://tinyurl.com/Superman-Morality).

(d) Social Darwinianism

This is the final-cause (end state) of Secular Humanism – When led by its ablest *Nietzschean Superman*, the creation of dystopia, the rule by force of the elite and the endowed, the culling of “useless eaters”, the survival of the fittest, the genetic design of masses in some scientific caste / functional hierarchy in an highly organized and controlled society. And theism, all world religions, are impediments to Social Darwinianism. This is analyzed in this scribe's open letter to Muslims: *Islam vs. Secular Humanism and World Government* (see http://tinyurl.com/Islam-vs-Secular-Humanism).

As the direct consequence of the dogma of Secular Naturalism and Nietzschean philosophy of “God is dead”, since there is no absolute moral law any longer, and man's existence is only by chance or by accident like any other life form, therefore, the fundamental concept of equality among mankind is specious.

Equality no more exists in nature than it does in the jungle. Is wolf equal to sheep? Why should it apply universally to man – there is nothing unique about him except for his intelligence. And that is to be prized, alongside power and might, and including those with special talents and abilities and skills that enrich human life, and of course including cunning and sophistication, all of which determine the survival of the species under the natural law of the jungle, and so it should under the social Darwinian jungle. Thus, some are more equal than others based largely on their power and utility to society. This is expressed from time immemorial in all us vs. them separations, from tribalism to ethnocentrism, sectarianism to religionism, racism to culturalism, and nationalism to patriotism. None in these collectives think the others are deserving of the same rights and privileges, and at their worst moments, during warfare, are inspired by intense hatred and demonization of the other. During peace respites, the other is merely tolerated, either because of their numbers, utility, or power. Today, by human rights conventions on fancy parchments that are only enforced as long as self-interests require that humanitarian facade. All over the world in the twenty-first century, the lesser peoples are bearing the full brunt of this principal axiom of social Darwinianism.

There is no room for altruism except as a public relations scam. There is no room for selflessness except to get simpletons and useful idiots to sacrifice themselves for those with greater cunning. *Noble lies* govern the behavior of Übermensch to manipulate the public mind in pursuit of their higher goals. Those unable to meet the demands of society, the “useless eaters”, must be weeded out, their breeding curtailed, their populations managed like game on reservation, and the most hardworking among them put to work in the service of the elites with crumbs thrown at their feet to keep them motivated even working harder and longer --- until they fall dead from exhaustion.

Without perceptive understanding of all that which is examined above, and making the
observation that what is going on under the very nose of the public with increasing ubiquity, is not too far from what is captured in those passages, the crippled epistemology that the public mind is continually indoctrinated into, regardless of which socioeconomic class it belongs to, leads it to willingly accept the prevalent dogmas under one pretext or another.

The more educated the mind, it is observed, the more years of academic schooling it has gone through, and the more invested it is in its own successes, the more likely it is to live under crippled epistemology without even thinking of questioning it. The public mind, immersed in dogmas from birth, becomes so accustomed to that tortuous state of existence – the state of learned helplessness as psychologists prefer to call it, a state that no rational mind really ought to accept – that it comes to easily accept its servitude to ruling dogmas with as much thought to rebelling as the sheep does against the habit of mutton eating. Crippled epistemology completely determines its attitude and behavior just like the sheepdog and the shepherd's whistle do for the sheep.

The following empirical behavior is described in this scribe's analysis of current affairs titled: *Imperial Surrogates and 'Terror Central' in Operation Gladio Redux*. It belies all the tall claims of Pollyannaish pied pipers of all flavors who are as much victims of their own dogmatic presuppositions as the public minds they wish to lead.

**Begin Excerpt**

George Bernard Shaw, the most insightful playwright that tiny Anglo-Saxon island of worldwide usurpation has ever produced, perceptively observed of its weight in the Preface of his 1921 book of plays, *Back To Methuselah*:

“[The] hard fact being that we must not teach political science or citizenship at school. The schoolmaster who attempted it would soon find himself penniless in the streets without pupils, if not in the dock pleading to a pompously worded indictment for sedition against the exploiters. Our schools teach the morality of feudalism corrupted by commercialism, and hold up the military conqueror, the robber baron, and the profiteer, as models of the illustrious and the successful. In vain do the prophets who see through this imposture preach and teach a better gospel: the individuals whom they convert are doomed to pass away in a few years; and the new generations are dragged back in the schools to the morality of the fifteenth century, and think themselves Liberal when they are defending the ideas of Henry VII, and gentlemanly when they are opposing to them the ideas of Richard III. **Thus the educated man is a greater nuisance than the uneducated one:** indeed it is the inefficiency and sham of the educational side of our schools (to which, except under compulsion, children would not be sent by their parents at all if they did not act as prisons in which the immature are kept from worrying the mature) that save us from being dashed on the rocks of false doctrine instead of drifting down the midstream of mere ignorance. There is no way out through the schoolmaster.”

In our own 21st century too, as in the century of George Bernard Shaw, our well-intentioned men and women of science, arts and letters, the lauded savants, domain experts and Nobel laureates,
all having advanced university degrees with “learned” and “expert” prominently stamped upon their forehead, display barely a nodding acquaintance with the subject of political science; and mostly only with its name. The few who do inevitably go to work for the Superman of empire. Their only god has always been power, and Mephistopheles, not truth, not compassion, and not concern for the lesser humanity despite oft rehearsed public relations in “humanist” terms. These are the vulgar propagandists, the pied pipers whom the rest of the super-educated useful idiots of modernity, the well-intentioned “likka-partha jahils”, hold sacred as if it was all revealed in the Sinai. Siding with the tales of the emperor is also always “legal” and mostly safe (so long as the emperor remains in power of course), often bringing with it the unbridled opportunities to profit, open doors, entry visas, social standing, the privilege to flatter one's ego, and the gratification to carry the white man's burden. All of which easily blur any remaining distinction between ideological mercenaries, and mere pimps and prostitutes, useful idiots, and Uncle Toms. Once the false narratives are uttered, it comes to make not even two straws worth of difference who is a propagandist by malevolent creed, who by opportunism, who by ignorance, and who by psychological dispensation.

All these brilliant savants of modernity, both man and Superman, the perennial breed in every society who hold the pens, lead its rocket science, and make its public's mind, have been educated to the point that adding two plus two correctly is their most dreaded pons asinorum, taxing both their mind and their consciences so feverishly that it is never to be crossed publicly.

George Bernard Shaw couldn't have spoken a more truer half-sentence in his entire half-century of most perceptive and progressive writings than this one: “Thus the educated man is a greater nuisance than the uneducated one: indeed it is the inefficiency and sham of the educational side of our schools ... that save us from being dashed on the rocks of false doctrine instead of drifting down the midstream of mere ignorance.”

The remaining half-sentence this sanguine bedrock of moral sanity left unstated, perhaps only due to some polite consideration for the British empire then on the wane, and not due to being victim of the schoolmasters he lamented: the description of the empirical Superman who already exists. That brilliant Social Darwinian among the Neo-Darwinians, infested with extreme predatory instincts and extreme pathological evil, who replaced God after Nietzsche killed Him in the name of giving birth to the immanent Superman of the future! Instead, Shaw, just as immoderately as the Neo-Darwinians, misattributed the mayhem that he was witnessing in the aftermath of World War I: “At the present moment one half of Europe, having knocked the other half down, is trying to kick it to death, and may succeed: a procedure which is, logically, sound Neo-Darwinism.” to the rule of the infirm: “Government and exploitation become synonymous under such circumstances; and the world is finally ruled by the childish, the brigands, and the blackguards.” (Ibid.) That is perhaps only three-quarters truth, or half-truth, and not the whole truth.

The world was then, as it is today, from behind the scenes of the idiocy of political governments, ruled firmly by the rational and calculated primacy instincts of the most brilliant Superman who continually divine wars, and World Wars, now we are up to World War IV, as the means of crisis creation to piece-meal remake World Order in their own image.

In fact, the educated man controlling the narrative as the avant-garde in intellectual thought, not only remains a greater nuisance than the uneducated one, he also becomes the vile propagandist by adopting silence about truth that is to be protected from the masses. The British novelist and essayist
Aldous Huxley most insightfully understood this about distortions fashioned by omissions and its practical utility in influencing public behavior. Huxley observed in the Preface of his 1931 book of fable, *Brave New World*, which depicted a eugenist dystopia controlled by *ubermensch* forces from behind the scenes that the rest of society remained unaware of:

'...the greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals.'

In a talk given to the students at the University of California, Berkeley, on the thirtieth anniversary of the publication of the *Brave New World*, Aldous Huxley observed of the very real and empirical role of these behind the scenes forces depicted in his fable, in channeling the public mind that is already most carefully primed by Shaw's schoolmaster for celebrating ignorance, into complete voluntary surrender to the *Superman*:

'...you can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.'

We see precisely that reality unfurl today. Shaw's educated childish fools impervious to political science, and brigands and blackguards, controlled by Huxley's oligarchic forces from behind the scenes, attempting to persuade the public mind to accept Alice in Wonderland absurdities as fact.

We even observe how willingly the world public traveling through American airports surrender themselves to grotesque indignities in physical searches to keep them safe from Ali Baba. The only truly global superpower in the history of earth's civilizations, which Zbigniew Brzezinski in his 1996 Mein Kampf, *The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives*, characterized as: “America is not only the first, as well as the only, truly global superpower, but it is also likely to be the very last.” (pg. 209), has been reduced to a police-state with virtually its own public's consent.

All on the mere fable that Ali Baba wielding some antediluvian and distorted dogmas from the stone-age propagandistically titled “militant Islam”, is a ubiquitous threat to their well-being! Pakistan is daily bombed by drones based on that very same fable. The world is rapidly being reduced to a
Limits to Knowability – Hard and Soft Limits

So now we arrive at seeking understanding of the limits to knowability of reality. What can't be objectively knowable by any mind, human or alien, is the hard limit. The soft limit to objective knowability is characteristically human and it can only be extended to the degree that a human mind can naturally expand, analyze, scrutinize and synthesize objectively, and overcome its own subjectivity, conformity, and asininity while retaining insight and intuition. There is a hard limit to this that is individual specific and depends on their natural genetic makeup. In the preceding sections we have already seen the many pernicious traps that easily ensnare the human mind into crippled epistemology. The epistemological limit problem was first described by this author in the case study: *Why is the Holy Qur'an so easy to hijack? Part-IV.*

While natural programming of the human mind may appear to be a fine point to those unfamiliar with the making of the human mind, it is a crucial one nevertheless. Epistemology, how we know what we know, cannot be ignored in any learned scholarship that claims to be in genuine pursuit of “knowing”, the discovery of what is, without imparting any personal coloring of one's own to it. Meaning, keeping the observer and the observed separate and non-influencing, often impossible in social sciences where man is observing his own species. And of course, also impossible in the Schrödinger's cat physics paradox, of the act of observation itself disturbing the observed, and therefore making it paradoxical to learn what was the state of the observed before one tried observing it! Meaning, if there is a cat in a black box, and the cat is found dead upon opening the box, was it also dead before the box was opened, or was it only found dead upon observation. In the human sense, since the mind that is being used to understand the world, is part of that world itself, there is an automatic self-referential limit to what is objectively knowable. It is the limiting factor of epistemology whereby the judgment of the mind not only colors what is being observed, but is unable to objectively observe itself. It carves a self-limit for discovering what is using the scientific method. Its well-known processes, which basically involve four recursive steps, or stages, any of which may be absent or combined in a given endeavor: (1) theorizing, hypothesizing, modeling; (2) testability (of the model), observability, reproducibility (by others); (3) measurability, quantifiability; and (4) predictability, anticipatability (based on the model); cannot deal with self-reference.

That fundamental limit was discovered/proved by the twentieth century Austrian logician, mathematician and philosopher, Kurt Friedrich Gödel, and has come to be known as Gödel's incompleteness theorem. How far does this fundamental limit extend from its self-referential hard limit clearly depends on the observer. Some minds are more limited in their abilities to be objective than others and hence encounter the limits of knowability sooner than they need to. The ultimate knower of...
all things therefore, even by its philosophical definition, the one who can transcend this hard limit, is the one outside of the domain of all things. That is the definition of God, both philosophically as well as mathematically. And it is precisely that definition of God that is also categorically expressed in the Holy Qur'an.

Only God can be the Knower of all things. Aleem. It is no surprise that Aleem is among the 99 names of God in the Holy Qur'an, each name expressing a characteristic of God that can only apply to God in its most superlative degree. Which is why postulating the existence of God is so much easier than proving His existence — the superlative degrees can only apply to the one who is by definition God. Which is why atheism that seeks only empiricism as its standard for argument and falsification falls on its face philosophically. Agnosticism is philosophically far more tenable and even sensible. And the super atheist of the twentieth century, Lord Bertrand Russell, admitted it as such in his debate with a priest in New York in 1948 that was broadcast by the BBC (see The Existence of God – A debate between Bertrand Russell and Father F. C. Copleston, Chapter 13, Why I am not a Christian, Routledge), that atheism cannot be proved or disproved, just as theism cannot be proved or disproved, and therefore they are both similar in terms of having beliefs on either end of the spectrum which cannot be falsified, and consequently the more tenable state is that of agnosticism. While empiricism is neutral towards both if we ignore existence as a self-evident proof in itself, philosophy swings the balance on the side of theism. Atheism is an absurdity of the one-half brained creature quite unlike the logical Mr. Spock who would straightforwardly see the philosophical logic of at least a philosophical God, one who can know all things, one who is not constrained by the material laws of nature and above it by definition. But when laws of nature is made god, then that axiom automatically precludes the existence of a philosophical God, and thus remains crippled philosophically by accepting the limits to knowability. Nothing is knowable outside of the laws of nature [natural secularism], which is limited by empiricism as its defining epistemology.

By definition then, accepting the limits to knowability confines knowability, alongside the imagination to believe that something greater than what's knowable by the mind can exist. If one accepts such limits to existence, one can really not make any sensible or rational statement of what one admits is beyond the realm of existence, i.e., nothing exists outside of the laws of nature. Thus, atheism remains crippled by absurdity as it ventures to make a negative statement outside the limits of its own self-defined limits to knowability. The atheist dug his own grave by making the laws of nature his supreme god because Gödel's incompleteness theorem provides a hard mathematical limit to perfect knowability, or perhaps better stated, proof of perfect knowability that what is knowable within the laws of nature is both complete and self-consistent. Since there is nothing outside of the laws of nature as the atheist's axiom of faith, his knowledge remains subjected to Gödel's incompleteness theorem. Therefore with his incomplete knowledge, he cannot deny that something does not exist for such an assertion logically requires complete and perfect knowledge in order to provably know what exists and what does not exist. For the theist however, the laws of nature are but a part of creation, like all other creation, even if the former may appear to be mechanistically governing the inner workings of the rest of creation. And thus, philosophically at least, there can exist one who can know beyond the laws of nature by being outside of the creation that is governed by the laws of nature! It violates no principle of logic to imagine it and is self-consistent with its own axiom of faith of theism. Ergo, God! An entity that is not governed by the laws of nature by definition, but who created the laws of nature as God, and transcends His own creation.
To Mr. Spock's fascination, the Holy Qur'an introduced man to just such a philosophically adjudicated God, self-consistent with the mathematical idea that in order to have perfect knowledge of a system, one must exist outside of it, and beyond it, and if one postulates that it is possible to have perfect knowledge of the system that is governed by the laws of nature, then one is also compelled to postulate God who must exist outside of that system. It is only logical. And conversely, in order to deny that God exists, one must also deny that perfect knowledge can exist, and then one is caught in one's own inconsistency trap for one cannot assert something does not exist if one accepts that perfect knowledge does not exist. For only perfect knowledge can provably claim what does and does not exist! Q.E.D.

Atheists who by definition claim absolute knowledge by asserting the negative, die by the hand of reductio ad absurdum. Which is why Bertrand Russell, as the philosopher-mathematician who understood logic, was way smarter than his modern progeny to quickly squirrel out of that charge of atheism by claiming agnosticism. And he did so in the very second sentence uttered by him in that debate with Father F. C. Copleston! For the sensible types who accept hard limits to knowledge amenable to both logic and the human mind and who don't make absurd claims beyond its logical purview, there is natural limits to perfect knowing. This has direct implications for epistemology and assertive axioms of faith which are its consequent; statements that cannot be proved to be true and are simply assumed to be true by faith alone because they might appear sensible, obvious, appeal to the heart or mind, or for convenience. The entire Euclidean Geometry is built upon such an axiom of faith for instance, that parallel lines don't meet at infinity! No one can prove this axiom to be true but it is both convenient and sensible under the assumption of non-relativistic physics in everyday existence.

Now that we better understand the unconquerable hard limits to knowing, to objective study, to absolute knowledge, that man is not God, and also understand the role of axioms in epistemology, it is easier to accept even the softer but somewhat more conquerable limits to knowing that are the consequent of our very nature of being a socialized species which defines our worldview from birth. It outlines and confines our “system” of existence so to speak. This human system has its own set of axioms, its presuppositions of faith, values, and beliefs that become ingrained into cultures and civilizations and which are taught to its every new generation born as “truths”. This natural human process of socialization and cultural memory creates a self-perpetuating system of subjectivity, and of myths that come to govern even the minutest details of daily lives spanning the gamut of existence from behavior to beliefs.

Even if there was no deliberate social engineering to make the public mind in calculated directions, the nature of human societies by definition creates social control that is beholden not always to a group of people, but to shared memory, shared habits, shared ethos, all of which drive the social norms and values, and consequently both individual and collective behavior. In other words, to be part of society is to be part of some behavior and belief control system by definition. To get an accurate and more objective knowledge of our own “system”, we have to extract ourselves from the confines of our worldviews and baseless presuppositions, and rise above them. The truth of this statement is most assuredly beyond doubt. It is in fact self-evident. No reasonable person can deny its commonsense even from their own daily experience of life. The uncomfortable fact that the subconscious human tendency towards a priori conclusions and predisposition, despite all earnest protestations of due diligence in having no presuppositions, appears to be the inherent nature of socialization bias, and of the subjectivity therein, and of the religiosity and self-righteousness
conferred to one's socialized perspective, makes it hard to transcend our ingrained worldviews. Recall from the text in Part-II that the left and right half brains are abstractions of the logic and intuition functions of the mind loosely mapped to the brain geography and not necessarily a hard physical demarcation. Logic and rational reasoning abilities of the IQ (Intelligence Quotient) dominated left-half brain is quite unable to penetrate that socialization shield of soft bias subconsciously built up by the EQ (Emotional Quotient) dominated right-half brain. The latter evidently cocoons, or at least interferes with, the left-half's logic function of the mind in as yet unquantifiable but still visibly undeniable ways.

This visibility of their being separate functional entities that directly affect the understanding of reality is easily seen in the marked contrast between the characters of Mr. Spock and Captain Kirk in the Star Trek fable explored in Part-II. It is mentioned here only as a reminder of the full context of how the non-logic subjective mind can both help and hinder the objective logic mind. The only effective antidote to overcome the hindrance aspect which cripples human epistemology and the consequent understanding of reality, is increasing self-awareness. One must rationally attempt to compensate for all the accumulated filters of years of socialization biases by new cognitive filters that can negate their distortion effects of subjectivity. Know thy self to know the world! In electrical engineering parlance, it's like having compensation filters in the signal processing path to improve its signal to noise ratio – an analogy more apt for social sciences than may first be apparent to the uninitiated. Think of tuning an AM radio signal. It uses a tuned LC circuit to reject the noise and extract and amplify the broadcast signal. Uncrippled epistemology in the social sciences as well as in physical sciences that purports to understand and know reality the way it is, shares this common characteristic --- the requirement to remove the layers of noise first in order to even receive the signal. Its accurate detection, extraction, decoding, verification of correct decoding, and making sense comes much later. History is exactly like that --- wrapped in accumulated layers of generations of socialized noise and willful as well as subconscious self-interests. The narratives that survive do so either by rulers' sanctions, or by oral history that is passed from generation to generation until it gets penned when the new rulers permit it. What is the signal? It needs that basic AM radio tuned circuit abstraction for detection, extraction, and making sense!

This is perhaps why the Holy Qur'an, while accepting socialization as a human fact of God's own Creation, has also laid such categorical emphasis on striving for “haq” (knowing reality, truth, justice, calling a spade a spade even against one's own self) under all conditions, for everyone among mankind, whereby, striving for overcoming one's “nafs”, the personal inclination and whim due to natural bent of mind, proclivity, socialization, predisposition, self-interests, and desires and fears (both conscious and subconscious), is termed the greater jihad and is made a hard co-requisite to the reflective study of the Holy Qur'an (for instance see Surah Al-Waqia, 56:78-79: “In a Book well-guarded, Which none shall touch but those who are clean (purified)").

This is also why the sensible first order model of a cryptogram ciphertext from which the plaintext message needs to be accurately extracted, with graduated access control to its meaning based on shedding all biases as precondition, developed in this study is the most apt model for logically deciphering the message contained in this most unique Book of all books. Without this perceptive model that lends some measure of objectivity to the study of the Holy Qur'an, socialization bias virtually determines its entire meaning for both an individual and his society. That exercise of socialization, for the lack of a more sanitizing description, lays the first foundation of indoctrinating
systems to control public behavior. For religion to have any philosophical significance beyond man-
made as a method of social control, and beyond personal as a method of self-catharsis and self-
gratification, meaning, for religion to be viewed as being of Divine origin and Divine purpose as the
Divine Guidance from a Transcendental Source rather than of human origin, accurately deciphering its
specification irrespective of the observer, mandates such a rational model for understanding it.

The fact that virtually zero understanding of this aspect of social science is betrayed by any
notable Muslim scholar that has passed by this scribe's slovenly gaze over the years of his study,
bespeaks of the moribund state of intellectual thought in Muslim scholarship which has progressively
only degenerated into incestuously self-reinforced dogmas and doctrines that find scant support in the

The proof of that pudding is in its eating. It is self-evident by just looking at the state of
Muslims and at the state of the enemies of Muslims – both are driven almost exclusively by their
respective socialized predisposition instead of what the Good Book itself says. The same text is
interpreted by them based on their own narrow socialization bias when subconscious, and pathetic
self-interests when conscious. The staunchest enemies of the Muslims, the Jews, are driven
exclusively by their blind hatred of Prophet Muhammad and Islam, just as they are driven by their
blind hatred of Christ and Christianity – although the two are today cozy bed fellows of strange mutual
convenience with the Jew wagging the goy in their combined onslaught against Islam and Muslims –
and both enemies of Muslims exaggerate and amplify their hatred along their respective narrow
socialization biases in about the same measure as the Shia and Sunni Muslims are each driven by their
blind love of Prophet Muhammad and Islam, while differing in their respective understanding exactly
along their own narrow socialization biases. Qualitatively, to the observant student of sociology at
least, one who has succeeded in distancing himself to some measure from what he is observing, these
are different manifestations of the same primary phenomena: socialization under crippled
epistemology. It yields a plentiful harvest of useful idiots for Machiavelli and Übermensch.

The Case Study in Mantra Creation in the report on The Mighty Wurlitzer explains how the
socialization biases and cultural memories of the unwary public are cunningly harvested for their own
perception management. Specific attention is paid to the works of Edward Bernays and political
psychologists referenced therein --- a social science field that appears to be entirely foreign to the
Muslim intellect. That unsophisticated public mind, Muslim and non Muslim alike, is easy picking for
the diabolical Western hegemons who have today penetrated not just psychology and behavior control,
but are rapidly moving towards full spectrum human control. See Zbigniew Brzezinski's presaging in
Between Two Ages, Aldous Huxley's dystopic fable: Brave New World, and Aldous Huxley's talk at
the University of California, Berkeley, titled The Ultimate Revolution, March 20, 1962, all fully
referenced and examined in The Mighty Wurlitzer, ibid., to realize how little independence of thought
even an intellectual really exercises upon his own mind today.

The trifecta of the forces of nature, nurture, and perception managers all conspire to extract
obedience and conformity from the human mind. The truly independent mind may exist only in
philosophy, in fables, and as an abstraction. It arguably cannot exist in socialized man. Especially
when he is compelled to “United We Stand”. Self-serving forces of co-option and cognitive dissonance
ensure that outcome, often subconsciously when one is not an outright mercenary or superman. This
complex reality directly colors the acquisition of knowledge, and the subsequent expression of
knowledge. Especially for studying the untermensch, the lesser peoples, meaning others different from
us, their belief systems, their value systems, their histories, their literatures, and their civilizations
whence one man's treasures become another man's trash.

A telling quote from Lord Thomas Babington Macaulay of the British Empire, speaking to the
British Parliament to redefine the Indian subcontinent's education policy under British colonial rule,
captures the veritable truth of these words which have universal import for the pursuit of all social
sciences:

“I have no knowledge of either Sanscrit or Arabic. But I have done what I could
to form a correct estimate of their value. I have read translations of the most
celebrated Arabic and Sanscrit works. I have conversed, both here and at home,
with men distinguished by their proficiency in the Eastern tongues. I am quite
ready to take the oriental learning at the valuation of the orientalists themselves.
I have never found one among them who could deny that a single shelf of a
good European library was worth the whole native literature of India and
Arabia. The intrinsic superiority of the Western literature is indeed fully
admitted by those members of the committee who support the oriental plan of
education.” --- Minute on Indian Education, Minute by the Hon'ble T. B.
Macaulay, dated the 2nd February 1835

While the aforementioned example is one of shocking denigration from a colonizing power
flushed with the hubris of imperialism and suffering from the superiority complex of all conquerors,
the same qualitatively applies in converse as well, when one is hagiographically studying one's own
civilization, literature, history, or religion, and gloats as Macaulay does in the above example. Also
when one is suffering from an abject inferiority complex as the colonized and enslaved people and
studying the ruling class whereby everything that is one's own is deemed inferior and unworthy. It is
often accompanied by a mad rush to adopt everything foreign, from ideas, language, and solutions to
objects, lifestyles, and amenities.

The first step towards objectivity therefore, on any subject, is none other than becoming aware
of one's own innate subjectivity, and its immersion in crippled epistemology, and confronting it head
on. Everything else just follows from it.

No sensible person can deny the truth of these words for the matter is self-evident. Except
perhaps when applying to one self. This scribe has yet to meet a person, from the man of cloth to the
man of science, arts, humanities, or letters, who believes he is anything but objective! That is the
tragedy of man from time immemorial; living and dying self-righteously off of a crippled
epistemology! Which is why this scribe calls this age the Age of Jahiliya. It is an age from which self-
awareness has been most cunningly stripped off and substituted with, as Zbigniew Brzezinski put it in
Between Two Ages, “narrow-gauged specialists or superficial generalists”. This makes for a perfect
golden age for the Machiavellian scientific controllers behind the scenes as depicted in Plato's
Allegory of the Cave. The age, and the methods of human behavior control in that age, go hand in
hand:

“In the technetronic society the trend seems to be toward aggregating the
individual support of millions of unorganized citizens, who are easily within the
reach of magnetic and attractive personalities, and effectively exploiting the
latest communication techniques to manipulate emotions and control reason.

Reliance on television—and hence the tendency to replace language with imagery, which is international rather than national, and to include war coverage or scenes of hunger in places as distant as, for example, India—creates a somewhat more cosmopolitan, though highly impressionistic, involvement in global affairs.” --- Zbigniew Brzezinski, Between Two Ages, 1970, pg. 11

The possibilities of scientific human control in the technetronic society is also examined in great depth in Bertrand Russell's Impact of Science on Society, 1952, where the British Fabian philosopher of the oligarchic ruling class made the argument for absolute control of the masses finally being made possible in the modern scientific era. It was the same wine in a new bottle which was corked by Zbigniew Brzezinski for the same oligarchy in Between Two Ages some two decades later. The British philosopher observed that global scientific control in a world police-state is the only effective way for a stable society to exist in which all the undesirable useless eaters have been population controlled like game on a natural preserve, and the preferred races, mainly the European white man, given unlimited liberty to procreate their superior progeny at will. Russell's purpose being the same as Brzezinski's, Huxley's, Wells', and many others going all the way back to Plato. While the latter was warning the public against the Übermensch social engineers with the best of intent to have noble men become their wise shepherd as the philosopher-king, others arguably presaged the techniques of mind manipulation and behavior control as a self-serving self-fulfilling prophecy for the Social Darwinian Übermensch continuing as their natural shepherd in the scientific era just as he has been from time immemorial with more primitive techniques:

“There is, it must be confessed, a psychological difficulty about a single world government. The chief source of social cohesion in the past, I repeat, has been war: the passions that inspire feeling of unity are hate and fear. These depend upon the existence of an enemy, actual or potential. It seems to follow that a world government could only be kept in being by force, not by the spontaneous loyalty that now inspires a nation at war.” --- Bertrand Russell, Impact of Science on Society, 1952, Chapter 2, General Effects of Scientific Technique, pg 37

As one can easily see, these dystopic forces of social engineering have direct implications for the creation, promulgation and acquisition of knowledge; for both suppression of accurate knowledge, and for making it difficult to acquire the pertinent facts and analysis in a timely manner when its widespread public disclosure can prevent a fait accompli. Control of knowledge, of reporting of events of history and current affairs, and of the perceptive understanding of these matters, is the cornerstone of controlling humanity. Control, control, control, is the mantra of the superman in every era --- Why? Because he claims to know best because of his higher intelligence, greater wealth, or the privilege of being closer to God, if not god himself. Aldous Huxley warned of the grotesque reality of that style of social control for inducing voluntary servitude, and the arrival of the scientific era which is enabling this brave new world of engineered social control at an accelerated pace. Huxley called it the era of the Ultimate Revolution in social control, an era in which people can be made to love their servitude:
'You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them.

Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude!

This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.'

--- Aldous Huxley, The Ultimate Revolution, speech at the University of California, Berkeley, March 20, 1962, minute 04:06

Overcoming such dystopic forces of social engineering requires overcoming the reality captured by Brzezinski, of the macro economics of nations and the rapid pace of scientific development fashioning “narrow-gauged specialists or superficial generalists” who are content to labor hard all day long, and loving it.

This counter exercise to perverse social control requires a great deal of societal transformation in who wields its power, an exercise which is nothing short of revolutionary, the least of which, to begin its public demand, is the public:

— acquiring a perceptive understanding of power and its role in the making of the human mind;

— acquiring wherewithal of social forces by not merely training to become blind-folded economic widgets chasing the “American Dream”;

— acquiring knowledge that leads to better understanding of reality and the forces that have shaped it, and continue to shape it;

— and consequently, requiring the expenditure of a great deal of mental and physical personal energy despite the needs of the stomach and career and for which there may not be any immediately gratifying pot of gold waiting at the end of the rainbow.

A tall order to think important, let alone to pursue, in an age that is by design engineered to fashion only “a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long”:

'The economic well-being of the nation depends on the presence of a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long. Because men are naturally lazy they will not work unless forced by necessity to do so.' --- Bernard de Mandeville, The Fable of the Bees, 1705

These are all the very real forces behind the man-made soft limits to knowledge, difficult to
overcome, but not impossible to overcome. Nevertheless, it is also not so straightforward to overcome either because in the age of universal deceit, to discover the truth is a revolutionary act!! The levels of co-option hiding in the dark recesses of the human mind, and in the human stomach, are not separated from the pursuit of this revolutionary act. And it all hinges upon the Qur'an's prescription of “jihad-un-nafs” – waging an epic battle against the self to extract oneself from the throes of crippled epistemology including self-deceit and self-interest – the first principle from which all truth shines through its protective layers.

Now we understand the full dimensions of the many impediments to both acquiring knowledge of reality the way it actually is, past and present, and using that knowledge productively rather than just for amusing ourselves when we do dare to seek it forthrightly.

End Excerpt

Philosophical God vs. Religion's God

As uncovered in the preceding section, the forensic attitude of a reasonable rational mind (as opposed to the dogmatic mind of the Richard Dawkins variety, the Dawkinsian clan) towards epistemology, has quite logically led to the believable hypothesis of a philosophical God. The same attitude can also help answer the age old question of whether or not Divine Revelation exists, or can exist, or is it merely figment of prophetic imagination, its originating source being the mind itself and which cannot exist from external transcendental source.

The Deist philosophers, after the compromise of separation of Church and Science in the seventeenth century – whereby the Church agreed to not interfere in the purview of science if men of science stopped making claims in the purview of the men of Church – had stopped at the threshold of philosophical God. The deists believed that there is a Creator of the Universe, the God of Nature, but did not believe in metaphysical notions of God of Nature being involved in the affairs of man, including through Divine Revelation; metaphysics was the Church's purview. It is said by historians that the founders of the New World, the United States of America, were deists in their almost secular theological dispensation. Which is why the Declaration of Independence signed by them references in the first opening sentence, both “Laws of Nature and of Nature's God”, and not religion's God, not even Christianity's, despite their being of that cultural background --- the founders evidently had supped enough from the gods of religion from which they were declaring their final separation: “When in the Course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another, and to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them, a decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the separation.”

As we have already gleaned from the discussion of Secular Naturalism, and we shall revisit it again in this section later when the need arises, the founders crafted their notion of “equal station” in their New World in full accordance with the “Laws of Nature”. The New World was to be a safe
havens for men of all religions, and no religion, but mainly the persecuted colonists escaping Europe, many of whom were iconoclasts of their time, and not the indigenous peoples and Negro slaves the colonists had brought to the New World to power their cotton industry. For those of “equal station” however, it was not to be the business of the new state to dictate in matters of faith; and thus came the separation of Church and State by appeal to the God of Nature, and not God of Religion!

That separation, which had come on the heels of the separation of Church and Science in Europe, was due to a political dispensation learnt from the experiences of the Dark Ages that had engulfed the European continent under the divine power of the Church, and against which polymaths of reason and philosophy had rebelled; and not because the men of science had discovered that the nature of the universe or the laws of nature itself called for that separation.

Not sufficient was known about the laws of nature then, nor is it known now, to dogmatically declare that everything is understood about how the universe works, and its basis is entirely materialism. That forced separation of convenience however, led to making the gratuitous presupposition which subsequently became codified in the epistemology of science as well as the philosophy of science, that only materialistic existence was real, the rest was the business of the Church. Only materialistic Nature and its physicality could be reasoned about, observed, measured, quantified, theorized, and hypotheses confirmed or refuted in experimentation and not just by philosophical arguments. The Greek philosophers had been notorious in their lack of experimentation; they had concentrated mainly on philosophical reasoning and logic as their principal method of understanding reality. Empiricism obviously bettered that method.

Thus modern science and its empirical scientific method was born; the inheritor of both the Hellenic civilization of antiquity, and Muslim civilization that had re-lighted and passed on the Hellenic torch of learning to the new West to spur its Renaissance. Its principal axiom however was still the dogmatic separation that Church and Science had agreed upon under duress from each other, and which removed from the ambit of science not just all non physicality, but also all metaphysical and teleological questions (along with the superstitious nonsense of course): the Aristotelian final-cause.

Materialist conception of Nature, the only philosophy of science acceptable to the Church fearing their own loss of power and reluctantly agreeing to grant concession to the primacy of science as the way to understand the physical world, became purposeless once the metaphysical domain was ab initio removed from the purview of science. Only Church could seek to answer the “why” questions, not science, and only Church could opine on the non-material aspects of the universe. That reactionary legacy of compromise with the powerful Church which continued to hound iconoclastic men of reason in the seventeenth through the nineteenth centuries despite the half way to three-quarter way into the Renaissance spur, continues to dog the fundamental paradigm of science to this very day in the twenty-first century. Unfortunately, it is no longer remembered by the Young Naturalist scientists and philosophers how we got here since the axioms of separation due to the seventeenth century dysfunction have become sanctified into sacred “truths” of modern science.

With that brief overview of how we got to the modern sacred dogmas of both materialist reason and materialist science, the combined contribution of deists and atheists who desired separation from the dogmaticism of the Church, we return to the foundational question that divides theism from atheism. If the philosophical God is logical, why isn't Divine Revelation? While the former is abstract,
the latter is concrete --- for it is a claim made by existent Scripture(s) that can now be falsified. The preceding section enables us to get a more objective (and less dogmatic) handle on this question than is possible without the perceptive understanding of epistemology and how its presuppositions determine process outcomes. Now, the source which makes the claim to Divine Origin, whether a Book or claim to Prophethood, instead of outright rejection based on the materialistic dogma, can be put under objective forensic scrutiny to decipher what precisely is it saying in its core guidance principles and does its religion fit the philosophical God; independent of the observer making the scrutiny, and using only philosophical truths as the first order criterion for adjudication.

Let's quickly review how we arrived at the philosophical God in the preceding section before we delve into the question of Divine Revelation. It is, after all, an intense dose of high potency intellectual vitamins and reminding ourselves of its logic is necessary for full absorption. In order to accept or reject the reasoning, one must be clear as to what precisely it is. If we have understood the concept that Divine Revelation implies a Transcendental Source, let's just abstractly call that God for the lack of a more familiar term, then we can look for evidence in the Prophetic Text of God being its Author, or man being its author, to confirm or deny that hypothesis of Divine Revelation by first understanding what is meant by that word God. We have already seen the philosophical God arising both mathematically and philosophically in the above discussion --- as the consequence of Gödel's incompleteness theorem. An entity that must be above all else in order to have complete and self-consistent knowledge of that below it (mathematical necessity); the Creator of all things except itself, not bound by the laws that govern its creations and consequently having perfect knowledge of its creations that is both complete and self-consistent; it itself being complete and self-consistent (philosophical necessity because there is nothing else above it and the premise of perfect knowledge demands that it terminate on God) with perfect knowledge of itself as well all its creations. This is philosophical God.

If we accept that philosophical definition of God on the premise that perfect knowledge can exist, and we have seen in the preceding discussion that it can only exist in the philosophical God as the consequence of Gödel's incompleteness theorem, then we have the opportunity to examine the hypothesis of Divine Revelation and compare against the definition of philosophical God. By that philosophical definition, God cannot Author a Divine Guidance for its creation, namely, human beings, that is inconsistent, or incomplete for the purpose that it is created, especially when it itself claims to be both perfect and complete Guidance as the Holy Qur'an does, the only Divine Text in existence today that claims to be the direct Words of God (and not merely “inspired words” as claimed for the New Testament of the Bible by its adherents): “This day have I perfected for you your religion and completed My favor on you and chosen for you Islam as a religion;” (verse 5:3). Or, obviously, the hypothesis of it being from the philosophical God is naturally falsified. In the case of the religion of Islam, this falsification criterion is the strongest among all contenders to Divine Revelation because of this categorical claim made by its Scripture, the Holy Qur'an. Other scriptures can also be falsified on the same basis even though none of them claim to be the direct word of God. But their absolutist principles are deemed to be from God and can thus stand falsification.

It cannot be the philosophical God's work if it hides fallacies, absurdities, inconsistencies (due to self-consistency requirement of perfect knowledge), or is incomplete (due to completeness requirement of perfect knowledge), or is inaccurate (due to perfection requirement of perfect knowledge). This is a rational and fairly objective logical criterion for falsifying the hypothesis of the
philosophical God being the Author of any claim to Divine Revelation.

And any God that is less than the philosophical God, cannot really be God – the Creator of all Existence in Nature and Itself beyond it.

Hence all conceptions of God must minimally satisfy the philosophical God condition that is the direct consequence of Gödel's incompleteness theorem. And the philosophical idea of what that entity would be if it had complete and self-consistent knowledge of the highest order system, namely that of all existence in nature. Other attributes that are generally applied to God are religion specific and nothing to do with the philosophical God. These include beliefs about God such as God being Most Beneficent, Most Merciful, Most Just, etc. etc. etc. Religion and its scripture give these attributes to God and these are unfalsifiable beliefs. When one accepts a religion on faith, one also accepts these attributes of God on faith, just like one accepts Afterlife, Day of Judgment, Heaven and Hell, etc. Thus, while Muslims believe in Islam as the Divine Revelation and its conception of God includes those aforementioned attributes and beliefs, the ancient Greeks accepted the plethora of their own mythological gods like Zeus et al. who, as their mythologies depicted, were unjust, fickle minded, selfish, jealous, tempestuous, and played their heavenly power-games amongst each other using the earthly humans as their proxies. If a divine scripture is claimed to be Divine Revelation from God, the Word of God (or the underlying principles being from God), then it must minimally satisfy the condition of the philosophical God, complete and self-consistent, or the hypothesis is trivially falsified.

This is of course only the rational and reasonable Rejection Criterion for the divine hypothesis. The question however remains: is it also a rational and reasonable Acceptance Criterion when the hypothesis cannot be falsified by the Rejection Criterion?

For even the most objective human mind --- that latter question must still ultimately reduce to the response from the non-quantifiable capacity of his right half brain, intuition and insight, after the left half brain has done its job of filtering out the chaff from the wheat in accordance with its logic and reasoning capacity. This is a rational utilization of the whole mind whereby both reason and intuition are permitted to play their symbiotic role to reach a human conclusion (as opposed to solely the materialist's conception of reason that denies intuition as a valid source of understanding reality unless it can be reduced to some kind of empirical physicality). It is also why, although belief in a philosophical God is based entirely on reasonable exercise of logic and reason, belief in a religion, usually the one in which one is socialized, is often based on emotional and spiritual exercise, its appeal to the heart --- like falling in love. The distinction among emotional attachments due to 1) socialization (or indoctrination) vs 2) emotional attachment due to spiritual enlightenment and faith (including love) vs 3) emotional attachment due to capacity to appreciate what cannot be captured in materialistic and Darwinian philosophies such as appreciation of beauty (and all that which it synthesizes such as beautiful music, art, poetry), appreciation of the profound (and all that which it synthesizes such as philosophy, theology, spirituality), etc., cannot be easily made. It is also not clear cut between the preceding three cases of belief through exercising the predominant right-half non-logical intuitive mind and 4), that egalitarian condition of the rational human mind in which the left-half logic mind has reasoned through the Rejection Criterion and not rejected it, and intuition / faith of the right-half mind have made the final judgment call on the Acceptance Criterion and accepted the exact same belief.

But observe that in this latter case, faith in a non materialist belief / hypothesis / non-physicality
is not irrational nor whimsical because reason and philosophy, the best tools for separating chaff from wheat available to the rational mind, have exhausted their purview and if not accepted the proposition by weight of evidence, have also not been able to reject it as an absurdity.

For what is obviously beyond the bounds of physical materialism and thus beyond the purview of the scientific method, employing reason and self-evident philosophical truths is the rational approach of a non-dogmatic intellect; one not plagued by materialist presumptions of reductionist empiricism being the sole determinant of all existence. It is because of this lack of wisdom and dogged unreasonableness that all non-physicality appear equally specious in materialism's reductionist dogma which fail to distinguish among them (but its subscribers too, when it suits them, also go by faith or trust in authority figures without any empirical evidence, as the scientific world did when none rejected Nobel laureate physicist Richard Feynman's word for his own Out of Body Experiences in a sensory deprivation tank as valid experiences of reality, even though no one else could reproduce it).

That's because these human experiences are all interconnected and interrelated, and to make any clear cut among them is impossible. One's treasures in these matters cannot necessarily be proved to others because the final say must come down to what is often intensely subjective and personal --- human intuition, human insights, human feelings, the cornerstone of faith, as well as families, communities, cultures, and civilizations that share common bonds and values. To ignore these innate human traits as both sources of understanding of reality, as well as human necessity to progressively advance as fuller human beings in one's own life (from meeting materialistic and physical needs to meeting higher order spiritual needs, like from satiating reproduction needs and hunger to seeking companionship, to seeking meaning in life, to living the highest moral ideals, to reaching the pinnacle of man's existence), is to ignore substantial aspects of what truly makes us unique as human beings. Otherwise, modern sociobiology and socioneurology reveal that we are not that much different from advanced primates in our most existential functions, including what was previously deemed to be exclusively human, such as empathy, stress, revenge, group violence. It is also to willfully ignore how epistemology is crippled by gratuitous presuppositions and dogmas, further strengthening the foundations of human ignorance. This applies as much to the physical as to metaphysical.

The gratuitous presupposition of the naturalists at hand, that Divine Revelation cannot exist when a) reason alone can rather rationally lead to the hypothesis of a philosophical God as demonstrated above, and b) existence of Scriptures which claim to guide mankind towards moral ideals and which can be subjected to rational criterions to separate absurdities from meaningful truths, is just that, a dogmatic presumption born of their pseudo religious belief that all that exists in the natural order are through forces which can be reduced to physicality and mathematicized.

Without getting needlessly polemical, and holding steadfastly on to logic and reason as the yardstick to penetrate into the heart of the matter that motivates this discussion, regardless of where moral truths such as the Golden Rule mentioned previously first originated from, or which scriptures these are found in today (whether in Solon's ancient dispensation of law, or Confucius's ancient edicts, or the Bible both Old and New Testament, or the teachings of the Holy Qur'an, etc.), now that mankind has these moral truths in their possession, and many more like these, we can sure implement them --- And that too hasn't happened in the recorded history of mankind. Nor is it ever likely to see the light of day in the future. Because primacy is as strong a natural instinct in man as hunger. And it is easily facilitated by secular naturalism.
It is only the mankind's religions, held sacred in their respective scriptures, in collective memories of its respective adherents, and in rituals which continually remind mankind of these absolutist moral truths as emanating from an absolutist source and therefore not subject to man's expediencies in changing them when suited; which continually harken mankind to implement them; and which continually harken mankind to sever their bonds of servitude to the wolves among them. These truths continue to inspire people, if not always in practice, then at least in their minds as the ideals to live by. And perhaps some day these ideals may indeed transform man, but only when these moral guidance succeed in lighting the proverbial fire in the mind of man as categorical imperatives and not rituals to plan for Afterlife and to soothe the troubled conscience. Religion plays an enriching role in man's life which simply cannot be extirpated by the Descartesians. It can of course be cleansed off of its superstitions and absurdities, reformed off cultural intrusions and bold impudences of the mind of man, but not eliminated from the life of man. For, something else, something perverse, something that suits the wolves and the primacy instincts of man, shall quickly fill that void.

None other than prominent scientists with lasting contributions to science have arrived at the holistic conclusion that there can be no clear cut between materialistic reductionism and non-physicality that is precious and enriching to man. Here is world's foremost physicist of the last century, German theoretical physicist Max Planck whose work on quantum theory won him the Nobel Prize in Physics in 1918, offering his sage counsel to the one-track world of Dawkinsian scientific materialism:

“Modern physics has taught us that the nature of any system cannot be discovered by dividing it into its component parts and studying each part by itself, since such a method often implies the loss of important properties of the system. We must keep our attention fixed on the whole and on the interconnection between the parts. ... The same is true of our intellectual life. It is impossible to make a clear cut between science, religion and art. The whole is never equal simply to the sum of its various parts.” --- Max Planck

The best scientists in the world, those not narrowly and overly specialized, well understand the role subjective imagination and intuition (i.e., what appears as faith to others) plays in one's rational scientific pursuits. As Charles Townes, 1964 Nobel physics laureate, stated it:

“Science wants to know the mechanism of the universe, religion the meaning. The two cannot be separated. Many scientists feel there is no place in research for discussion of anything that sounds mystical. But it is unreasonable to think we already know enough about the natural world to be confident about the totality of forces.”

The Muslim scientist Abdus Salam, who shared the 1979 Nobel Prize in Physics with (Jewish atheist) Steven Weinberg and (Christian) Sheldon Lee Glashow "for their contributions to the theory of the unified weak and electromagnetic interaction between elementary particles", noted the role of faith in the grander discoveries of physics by first reciting verses 67:3-4 of Surah Al-Mulk from the Holy Qur'an at the Nobel podium in Stockholm during his Banquet Speech on December 10, 1979:

“Our seest not, in the creation of the All-merciful any imperfection, Return thy gaze, seest thou any fissure. Then Return thy gaze, again and
again. Thy gaze, Comes back to thee dazzled, aweary.” --- Holy Qur'an, verses 67:3-4

And then stated:

“This in effect is, the faith of all physicists; the deeper we seek, the more is our wonder excited, the more is the dazzlement for our gaze.”

Arthur Leonard Schawlow, 1981 Nobel Prize in Physics for his work on lasers, observed:

“It seems to me that when confronted with the marvels of life and the universe, one must ask why and not just how. The only possible answers are religious. ... I find a need for God in the universe and in my own life.”

Even modern philosophers of the twenty-first century have begun to feel the gratuitous imposition of the Dawkinsian clan led dogma of scientific materialism prevalent in our own century which denies animism completely, especially in relation to Revelation and Prophecy that underwrite world religions.

This is Charles Taliaferro, American professor of philosophy at St. Olaf College, in his interview to Tehran Times published January 7, 2016:

“As a philosopher I believe that such skepticism about the historical Jesus and Muhammad is based on philosophical assumptions of secular naturalism which presupposes by definition that prophecy and revelation is impossible, an assumption that, in my view, is unjustified.”

The battle between the two antipodes on the validity of Divine Revelation is mainly one of dogmatic presupposition leading to crippled epistemology. But as we have also witnessed in the preceding discussion, that crippled epistemology is also easily rectified when dogma is subtracted from its ambit and its various aspects scrutinized forensically for what they are without prejudice.

It is also important to not overlook the obvious caveats that accompany this forensic exercise on a theological matter that transcends the bounds of material empiricism. Since this exercise of adjudicating upon a speech that is hypothesized as emanating from the philosophical God (our falsifiable axiom) that is both perfectly self-consistent and perfectly complete, by even the most rational of human minds that is neither, makes the exercise vulnerable to both Type 1 and Type 2 errors defined in statistics to validate hypothesis, as respectively: *false positives* (it is not speech of God but is incorrectly accepted as such due to incomplete or misapplied criterion), and *false negatives* (it is indeed speech of God but is incorrectly rejected due to incomplete or misapplied criterion).

Once again, the innate human dimension in epistemology simply cannot be ignored. It leads to greater reliance on intuition and insight – does the proposition sound right, does it appeal to the heart, even if impersonal logic or misanthropic reason may argue otherwise. For instance, reason might dictate to the utilitarian mind to kill granny and handicapped children once they become a social or family burden, but the heart rejects it outright --- and heart prevails unless forced by power. In *Impact of Science on Society* for example, Bertrand Russell, the father of twentieth century postmodernist atheism, offered his highest reasoning to rationalize a global dystopic police state for humanity as the
most stable mechanism for governing a global scientific society, that there “would now be no technical difficulty about a single world-wide Empire”, “a world government” which “could only be kept in being by force”. No free human being can agree to live in a global prison-state just because the primacy reasoning of uber rationalists lead them to preach to the sheep that a world government managed as a global police-state is the most “stable” method of governance of a scientific society in the greater public interest.

Faith is exactly like that after all the rational scrutinizing criterions are exhausted by the rational portion of the mind. Faith appears to be innate in man. The drive for faith appears to be unlearned, like the drive for reproduction. It has persisted since time immemorial, and cannot be separated from man's existence anymore than the natural drive for reproduction can. It can, however, be replaced with crafty dogmas and false beliefs just as easily as in the past faith was dominated by specious dogmas and superstitions to create false intuitions. Faith appears to be like a natural and innate container in man – varying in size for each human being based on their natural makeup like any other human trait (such as IQ or athleticism or sense of beauty, etceteras) – pour anything into it. When the Divine spark springs in it, it can move mountains. When garbage is poured into it, it creates enslavement.

And now we also begin to perceptively appreciate why it is necessary to remove faith in the Divine from people's lives with the drive towards atheistic world Secular Humanism (previously it was with the drive towards world Communism) and Newspeak (saying one thing and meaning quite another) and Doublethink (accepting or promulgating conflicting facts and ideas). Subtraction of God from people's lives under whichever pretext, makes it easier to control them; to standardize and organize the populations in functional units; and to easily foist the worst dystopia upon them in which people just learn to love their own servitude. Goethe had captured that existence: “None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free. The truth has been kept from the depth of their minds by masters who rule them with lies. They feed them on falsehoods till wrong looks like right in their eyes.” It enables the sheep to perform their daily routines in blissful contentment, never acquiring the motivation, nor the inspiration, to rebel against their despotic shepherds; the wolves who feed off of their blood. The uncanny power of God in people's lives as a counter force to be reckoned with, is so well understood by shepherds who are the masters of social engineering, and have been so from time immemorial, that even modern fables have depicted the power of faith in liberating awakened slaves from the worst dystopia – dystopia constructed by men of highest intellect to control the public mind. Man simply cannot escape from the clutches of the Superman by Pollyannaishly closing his eyes to the dangers posed by the poisoned apples hidden underneath the syntactically sugared declarations of universal human rights made in the new sacred theology of Secular Humanism. It is indeed a theology, one that is based on the perversity of Doublethink.

As previously examined and restating for emphasis, the logical and entirely rational consequence of secular naturalism which posits that life on earth is innately purposeless, that mankind arose by sheer accident just like wildlife and wild flowers, and that natural order which governs nature and its species, also governs man, is that since there is no equality in nature (empirical observation), no altruism in nature (another empirical observation, different from empathy which is observed in some species), no justice in nature (yet another empirical observation, no sheep has ever approached the king of the jungle for justice from the wolf and not been eaten by the king itself), then why should
there be voluntary equality and altruism and justice among the human species who are just another species of nature? Some are lions and some are wolves while others are sheep. What rational sense in having the same valuation for all of them? The wolves may seek equality amongst themselves, and seek altruism in their relationship with the hungry pride, but neither have any inclination to extend either to the sheep --- but both of course preach it to the sheep. How else will the wolves and lions satiate their hungers and rule their kingdom? Thus the natural order of the jungle, the natural philosophy of primacy, social darwinianism, hegemony, is the natural order for human life as well.

But of course that philosophy has to be disguised. The reality of secular naturalism cannot be practiced too openly before the sheep who are essential to the scheme of primacy. So the Secular Humanists come up with advocacy of ancient truths like the Golden Rule for everyone, lofty ideals on worthy pieces of parchment to lull the sheep to sleep as their native religion is systematically stripped from their lives. One can see the sophistry in the naturalists' arguments for man-made morality derived solely from man's intellect, not just in theory, but also empirically in the long and bloody history of primacy among mankind; a history that is still unfolding in the twenty-first century. The sheer chutzpah after the sheep have been lulled to sleep is even seen in the National Security Advisor of the United States of America writing the blueprint of superpower primacy coldly titled: The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy And Its Geostrategic Imperatives! (Also see [a] http://tinyurl.com/Islam-vs-Secular-Humanism ; [b] http://tinyurl.com/Superman-Morality ; [c] http://tinyurl.com/Problem-Primacy-not-Scarcity ; [d] http://tinyurl.com/HGWells-Universal-Human-Rights ; [e] http://tinyurl.com/MightyWurlitzer)

It is also not persuasive to claim that reason can beget equality and altruism and justice as higher order brain functions in the more evolved superman of the future when it has shown to only beget primacy --- and Nietzschean Übermensch is ample evidence of the culmination of that naturalists' philosophy. Secular Humanism neither has any empirical merit, nor any philosophical merit given their own sacred axiom of man's existence being accidental and purposeless like any other life form. Lack of self-consistency with their own naturalist axiom spells the death knell of the naturalists' religion of secular humanism. It exposes their sophistry of Doublethink!

The naturalists' claim of reason and natural laws as the god of man able to bring mankind equality, altruism, justice, as well as noble governments and the end to primacy, under the religion of Secular Humanism is only sophistry. It can only bring standards of the Newspeak-Doublethink variety as was witnessed in the American Declaration of Independence that is bandied about before the world as the epitome of Western Liberal Republican Constitutional Democracy (albeit the word “Democracy” itself does not occur in its verbiage). That plan of liberty conveniently excluded undesirable races who weren't deemed to be full human beings deserving of “equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature’s God entitle them”, from its calculus of full human rights and equality. Australian colonists as recently as the 1960s were hunting for Aborigine heads as hunting trophies. Africa today, the cradle of mankind's birthplace, is shamefully impoverished and plundered for its natural wealth. The same story is repeated for South America, and the rest of the developing nations of the world that have boundless riches underneath their feet and living in abject poverty. Plundered by who?

By the primacy instincts of the secular humanist West; exercised through its institutionalized multinational corporation thuggery through neoliberal laws and free trade treaties, enforced through tax-free trade zones and protected by Western military might --- The reincarnation of East India
Company in modern uniforms. The modus operandi of modern neocolonialism is not much different from the colonial era, and they boldly admit it themselves. Writing in the New York Times, Thomas L. Friedman stated in his column of March 28, 1999: “The hidden hand of the market will never work without a hidden fist -- McDonald's cannot flourish without McDonnell Douglas, the builder of the F-15. And the hidden fist that keeps the world safe for Silicon Valley’s technologies is called the United States Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps.”

And that colonial era of Western plunder, right after the Renaissance philosophers taught all their lovely secular theories of superiority of natural law and the primacy of reason, was the epitome of direct colonial raping of the less sophisticated civilizations by the West in the guise of la mission civilisatrice, the white man's burden. None of the finer values of secular humanism were on display as the natives were brutally harvested to serve global trade under changing European flags of one PAX or another as the sophistication of their primacy tools evolved. While the natives were taught that this slavery was for their own good. They were being civilized. And that was the price of being gifted Western civilization. The same West today, the same nations, the same races of peoples, the same inheritors of the East India Company, under the new flag of PAX Americana, are out to destroy the one thing colonists couldn't take away from the world's natives whom they otherwise lorded over as the new gods --- their religion. And this last remaining treasure, the inheritance of all mankind, is their focus of plunder for the twenty-first century in which the same white man is once again remaking world order. This time into a secular one-world empire.

The naturalist is once again coldly speaking the language of might has rights behind the facade of humanitarian platitudes, while brazenly displaying its superiority of primacy techniques. The predator makes the same arguments as before --- that this is good for the natives. That the superiority of the Western civilization is due to its secular naturalism, and it is its noble gift to all civilizations when it strives to replace mankind's antediluvian superstitious religions with the common world religion of Secular Humanism. That is the very nature of natural law, the law of social Darwinism, the survival of the fittest races, peoples, cultures and civilizations. It conquers whenever it can. It is never satiated. Neither did mankind witness equality, altruism and justice in the past from the harbingers of secular naturalism, nor is it anywhere to be seen today except in Newspeak, and nor will it be seen in the future --- because it is not in the nature of secular naturalism.

The tragedy is that the finest house niggers, mindless fools with fancy Western university degrees, are once again taken in by the chicaneries of the predators' Doublethink to begin loathing what is their own heritage. They once again labor against their own civilizations just as they did in the colonial era. The house nigger has once again taken up the white man's burden --- and for what? For the price of some applause and a meal ticket? This is not to say that those who believe in the materialist dogma, irrespective of who they are, aren't entitled to their own religion. But only to state that the primacy of every dogma, every religion, every predator, is rejected and must be confronted head on. That confrontation is the principled teaching of absolutist moral codes, and when attributed to Divine Revelation, become immutable. And that is the one remaining intellectual threat to global primacy, the spiritual threat from world religions, which is why they must all be eliminated.

In the previous sections we witnessed how axiomatic dogmas cripple epistemology. Here we have again seen the polished Machiavellian subversion of epistemology of Divine religions that only leads to the primacy of natural law. If epistemology was not crippled, not only would all self-ascribed categorical imperatives of primacy be naturally crippled, but man would be rid of all dogmas that limit
its understanding of reality, and consequently, its egalitarian striving for equality, justice, peace, happiness and growth would finally materialize. The first baby step in that space is to confront primacy head on. All primacy. Howsoever it is disguised. In law, in platitudes, in Newspeak-Doublethink.

This forensic attitude to scrutinizing epistemology with some measure of objectivity, by distancing the observer from the observed, and by perceptively understanding its hard and soft limits; the sources of its corruption and motivations for its subversion, also helps rectify idiotic divisions among peoples of boundless faith who ceaselessly fight amongst themselves over insane matters. This includes infighting on purely theological matters which quickly leads to doctrinal warfare, and which can easily mutate into physical warfare. And it also helps counter Machiavelli when it too, ceaselessly, uses their inanity and senseless divisions to harness their boundless energies as useful idiots; zealots and prima donnas who unwittingly end up doing Machiavelli's diabolical bidding like puppets on a string.
Chapter 47

Case Study of Secular Humanists
Misdirecting the Problem by Half Truths – Ignoring Primacy

Introduction to Foster Gamble’s Documentary THRIVE: What On Earth Will It Take?

For those in medical school studying to be doctors, their parents, and also those who are already in the medical profession, please watch the 15 minute segment of this two hour documentary from time 42:45 to 57:45

[https://youtube.com/watch?v=lEV5AFFcZ-s#t=2565]

What is interesting in this 15 minute must-watch segment is that its distinguished compere, Foster Gamble, a Princeton University graduate and its student body president, direct descendant of one of the founders of Proctor and Gamble, groomed to be a leader in the establishment but choosing to walk away from his
inherited power legacy to instead become a responsible activist for humanity, takes on the real power behind the world today - the banking cartel which owns and/or controls medicine, agriculture, pharma, not to mention central banking and coining national money out of thin air! This is the first time I have seen a notable insider from the elite corporatocracy take on this prime-mover of social and political control in our era. There have been others in the past but I don't immediately recall anyone contemporary. Please watch that short segment which pertains to medicine, food, education and fractional reserve lending --- in that 15 minutes this documentary summarizes what I have researched and restated time and again which no one pays any attention to. Whereas, coming from an insider of real power and pelf, I think this exposé of the banking cartel's role in controlling medicine, food, and big pharma from one among the elite themselves, is more compelling!

However, also note that in earlier segments of the documentary (if you have time to watch the whole thing), Foster Gamble evidently falls into the same trap as I have noted many prominent activists uncannily seem to also fall into --- the UFO rubbish of Steven Greer et. al., and other similar speculations of free energy brought to us by the aliens which I can only call “gibberish”. Ignore that crap, or, take the pseudo science gibberish with a pinch of astute political science salt. Bizarrely salted to Hegelian proportions by intelligence ops as I have already deconstructed in the case study of Steven Greer's Disclosure Project, [1] do note that even in these outlandish and speculative segments there are many kernels of hard scientific and political truths wrapped in garbage --- the calculated suppression of science such as Nikola Tesla's work for instance, shrewdly accompanied by UFO exponents. Why rational, well referenced, empirical, verifiable, factual expositions of any of these topics pertaining to the role of omnipotent banking power in orchestrating society and politics, are almost always accompanied by outlandish gibberish and speculations is explainable, at least to my mind.

It appears to be a calculated self-defense mechanism of brilliant people based on the idea of poisoning their own well. Whenever they speak some verifiable truth, they also seem to utter some unverifiable and outlandish rubbish --- which is so incongruent to their other factual and verifiable words that only the foolish masses would either accept it all or reject it all; the smarter handful among the public would know to discern rationally and to throw away the poisonous shell carefully protecting the fruit within. Because I have seen this pattern repeat time and again, such as David Icke who is also featured later on in the documentary speaking on the banking cartel but who also speaks the “UFO” and “shape-shifting reptile” gibberish elsewhere, [2] that I can only sensibly conclude that it is a self-defense mechanism for some genuine activists to calculatingly appear “nutty” when speaking the whole truth against the most powerful prime-movers on earth! Others may well believe that gibberish, I don't know. There are of course a whole sleuth of Machiavellian techniques of cognitive infiltration available to the intelligence apparatuses and its Mighty Wurlitzer for putting dissent on treadmills which go nowhere. [2a] [2b] The discerning mind must forensically analyze all matters, and all interconnections among them, to synthesize the whole picture which is often larger than the sum of its parts. The Japanese wisdom admonishes: “Aspire to be like Mt. Fuji, with such a broad and solid foundation that the strongest earthquake cannot move you, and so tall that the greatest enterprises of common men seem insignificant from your lofty perspective. With your mind as high as Mt. Fuji you can see all things clearly. And you can see all the forces that shape events; not just the things happening near to you.”

In any case, the segment I draw your attention to is 100% accurate and anyone can verify its factual basis. I have done so time and again and even written about it. The subsequent segments on the
control of the world by the banking cartel is also on the mark --- as I have researched and concluded the same. I also know of the deliberate suppression of alternate medicine by associating it with quackery. Not to say that quackery in medicine does not exist, but not all alternate ways of looking at the healing arts and science are quackery! To assert it is so is clearly the obvious motivation of entrenched power not just protecting its own economic turf, but also its cunningly weaved fabric of human control --- and the fact that an insider is highlighting these matters so boldly is something to pay attention to. I think.

That segment on medicine is also important because foolish doctors today are not only prescribing poisons under AMA licensing, but also cheer leading advocacy of social evils at national levels as PR spokesman of big medicine --- case in point: the recent WHO directive for polio vaccination in Pakistan, Syria, and Cameroon. If I was in any policy making position in Pakistan, my take would be that a) our scientists will research it ourselves, and b) if deemed necessary, our nation will manufacture our own vaccines ab initio so that we know exactly what we are putting in them --- a position Iran has wisely taken! This is quite a separate question from the efficacy of vaccines in the first place which I am not addressing here. Science reveals to me that vaccines are efficacious for delivering payloads into the biological systems. Whether it is good for the human beings is a separate issue. Not addressed today. Please don't go off wandering into a red herring. The discussion here is of what is --- and that in itself is inimical.

Also pay attention to the quote by Henry Kissinger in 1973 reproduced in that segment at time 46:45 - here is what Kissinger repeats in the style of George Orwell from “1984” (“who controls the past controls the future; who controls the present controls the past”), combining the saying of Rothschild from 1800s (“give me control of a nation's money supply and I care not who makes its laws”) with Thomas Malthus from 1798 (“I do not know that any writer has supposed that on this earth man will ultimately be able to live without food. ... Had population and food increased in the same ratio, it is probable that man might never have emerged from the savage state.”), to come up with the following brilliant formulation of empirical fact now observable by anyone with even half a brain functioning:

```
“Who controls the food supply controls the people;
who controls the energy can control whole continents;
who controls the money can control the world.”
```

Fools die many deaths, the valiant die but once --- a lesson unlearned by the twelve years of obedience training in K-12 that the segment refers to!!

Judge for yourself how much you obey authorities yourself --- to the point that you take their gospels as religion, especially in medicine where you follow the AMA, WHO, FDA, blindly.... “Primum non nocere”: “First, do no harm” has evidently been given a new Orwellian cover. It is repeated by every physician and surgeon just like “freedom is slavery” is repeated in Orwell; freedom to obey the AMA, the WHO, and Authority edicts without question! That obedience training obviously also includes faithfully echoing who dun 9/11.

This is Foster Gamble's website: http://www.thrivemovement.com.
The jury is still out on Foster Gamble as far as I am concerned ---- I don't know if he is for real or fake opposition. Nothing Gamble has stated is new. 9/11 would once again be the key litmus test I suppose [3] --- a test that is by now failed by many among the most lauded in preeminent dissent. [4] But at least in that medical segment, and in the Federal Reserve and banking segments that follow, Foster Gamble using words like “tape-worm” and “parasites” to refer to the banking cartel, does sound like Eustace Mullins as in that late scholar's seminal 1984-85 book “The World Order - A study in the Hegemony of Parasitism”, and his 1952 classic: “The Secrets of the Federal Reserve”. It is strange though that while Foster interviews G. Edward Griffin and features Griffin's book titled “The Creature from Jekyll Island”, Gamble inexplicably ignores Eustace Mullins' much earlier work which ostensibly seeded all others including Griffin's narrative. Mullins was the first one after WW-II to dive deep into the shenanigans behind the founding of the Federal Reserve by the money trust at Jekyll Island, under the guidance of the famous political prisoner Ezra Pound and paid the heaviest price of any living researcher of the subject. None of the later authors who followed Eustace Mullins, and almost all of them greatly benefitted from his original research whether or not they have acknowledged that fact, have paid such a high price. It is also revealing that virtually all of them, including G. Edward Griffin the last time I checked, also fail the 9/11 test just like former long-time congressman Ron Paul who also most carefully likes to challenge the banking cartel but manages to also echo the establishment's narrative of 9/11, just as Noam Chomsky does, all of them calling it “blowback” while retaining the who dunnit narrative of the same establishment they so vigorously claim to dissent with. The documentary features several speakers who have precisely held up that Big Lie over the years since 9/11 (as far as I am aware).

After all their astuteness displayed in the video to standup to the global elite on so many diverse matters covered in the documentary, are they simultaneously so stupid that they cannot add two plus two? In the anemic coverage of 9/11 in the documentary, Foster Gamble only makes a passing reference to “false flag operation” with the statement: “an increasing number of people believe that 9/11 was a false flag operation by the global elite in order to set the stage for taking over middle east oil and dismantling US constitutional protection.” (at time 1:31:00). I would have liked to hear what Foster Gamble himself believed and planted his own stake in the ground for, and not what other “nut-jobs” believed! To the extent that this respected scion of the notable Proctor and Gamble family does not come out as forcefully on 9/11 as he has demonstrated the courage to come out on the rest of the matters in the documentary, I would argue that Mr. Gamble is tugging on the same tenuous safety-line as poisoning his own well by showcasing UFO con-artists who rehearse their specious and unproven free energy mantra before an ignorant and unscientific public. And ultimately, whether wittingly or unwittingly, still contributing to the sanctification of the Big Lie. [5]

Nevertheless, I do applaud Foster Gamble, and his wife Kimberly Gamble co hosting the documentary, for stating many of the verifiable facts as boldly as they have done. Their distinguished Gamble name standing up to the tyranny of the banking cartel I imagine will be far more effective than many others I can think of. Specifically, for the focus of this introduction, none in the medical profession can really afford to ignore that segment on medicine in their own good conscience. The budding doctors in training aspiring to join the world's noblest profession should be made aware that they are committing to a life on the elite's chessboard on which they each shall remain pawn in the hands of the global medical trust, which in turn is controlled by the global money trust. And that money trust is driving the one-world government agenda with its attendant policy prescriptions as is
accurately portrayed in the documentary. They may well be administering the shots for population reduction someday, a topic only just touched upon by Foster Gamble in the final segments of the documentary. No one in the medical profession really believes that. Therefore, well done there, Gambles!

If I were to edit this documentary to make a more rational cut, I would be presumptuous enough to cut out all the gibberish in the early section up to the medical segment starting at minute 42:45, and selectively incorporate only those portions from the earlier segments that contain the verifiable facts of the same banking cartel controlling all aspects of the energy sector and thus having little natural incentive to entertain any alternatives that can challenge their monopoly. The editing out of gibberish would of course also undo the deliberate poisoning of the well, now wouldn't it? Well, why the hell not? This stellar documentary needs no crutches --- the topics are well documented in officialdom's own handwritings. I have studied many of these documents myself. There is no reason for the spirit of moral courage demonstrated in this documentary by Foster Gamble and his wife to be contaminated by any frog crapping in the punch bowl. A clean separation of both science and political science which factually explains the making of the public mind, from the idle speculation of “toruses” and the energy mantras drawn from ancient and New Age religions, will help convince many rational people of the verifiable facts of the matter who would otherwise not get past the first ten-twenty minutes of its gibberish, if that! Which is why I suggest you start watching from that medical segment onward.

The rest of the segments in the documentary (except the solution space and periodic regression into poisoning the well) are simply excellent, and indeed also mostly verifiable! Every factual topic covered in the documentary I have had the opportunity to study myself and I have also written much about these same topics on my own website. However, the presumption speciously advanced in this documentary is that scarcity of resources is the first cause of problems and abundance of free energy would solve it. I do not believe that the principal problem has anything to do with scarcity of energy or scarcity of natural resources, and the free energy mantra is like the Irish gnome --- never quite sure when it might pop up in your bed.

**The principal problem is PRIMACY. Not SCARCITY!**

Primacy is an imperative as old as hegemony, as old as mankind. No civilization in the past has escaped its lure. Today, it is directly manifested in the inequitable distribution of whatever resources and energies and wealth the world does possess. The documentary even brings on John Perkins, the infamous Economic Hitman, to confirm how international primacy works in practice. For its policy underpinnings, see for instance the text of George F. Kennan's Policy Planning Study PPS 23 from 1948. That text, we are informed, fashioned what popularly came to be known as the Truman Doctrine. But its precepts, as one can see, have continued as the core policy construct in every US Administration without fail, just as these precepts remained the cornerstone of every empire in every age before the rise of latter day Pax Americana in the mid twentieth century:

“We have about 50% of the world's wealth, but only 6.3% of its population .... In this situation, we cannot fail to be the object of envy and resentment. Our real task in the coming period is to devise a pattern of relationships which will permit us to maintain this position of disparity without positive detriment to our
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national security. To do so, we will have to dispense with all sentimentality and
day-dreaming, and our attention will have to be concentrated everywhere on our
immediate national objectives. We need not deceive ourselves that we can
afford today the luxury of altruism and world-benefaction .... We should cease
to talk about vague and – for the Far East – unreal objectives such as human
rights, the raising of living standards, and democratization. The day is not far
off when we are going to have to deal in straight power concepts. The less we
are then hampered by idealistic slogans, the better.” [6]

The übermensch philosophy which guides the creed and primacy instincts of the modern
parasites is Bernard de Mandeville's, called the “fable of the bees”. The following veritable statement
made in 1705 AD by the man who it is claimed inspired Adam Smith's the Wealth of Nations, can
always find newer contrivances to enslave mankind just as easily: “The economic well-being of the
nation depends on the presence of a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long.
Because men are naturally lazy they will not work unless forced by necessity to do so.” Voluntary
servitude is part and parcel of the human condition even when they have every material thing. [7]

The solution to freedom from tyranny is not in material things; neither in its abundance, nor in
its scarcity. But intellectual and moral freedom lies in spiritual courage. It is the principal foundation
of all resistance to falsehoods. Thusly, as the noted German philosopher Goethe had timelessly
observed: “None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free”. And
Aldous Huxley demonstrated one fast path to the scientific implementation of that enslaving ideology
in his seminal fable: Brave New World. Huxley noted on the occasion of the thirtieth anniversary in a
talk given at the University of California, Berkeley:

“You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to
control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of
consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function
indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you
have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to
consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the
Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are
in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the
controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will
exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me
the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.” [8]

If there was an abundance of everything as this simplistic documentary postulates, and if we
had the voluntary self-sustaining libertarian Austrian systems of Mises as imagined in the final
segment, the global elite will find newer ways to induce deprivation to control man.

The instinct for primacy of man upon fellow man is not taken away by its satiation – for the
goal is not satiation, but SOCIAL CONTROL.

The Pollyanish advocacy in the documentary of Gandhian non-violence as the primary method
of global resistance sounds really great on paper. It can even make a great undergraduate thesis in
social sciences I am sure. Revealingly though, and unfortunately not mentioned by Foster Gamble as
he rambled on about non-cooperation in the last segment, that wonderful high-minded principle was most brilliantly adduced from logic alone by a 22 year old in 16th century France. His name was Etienne de La Boétie. This young fellow explained non-cooperation most eloquently in 1552 AD in his treatise to end voluntary servitude: “The Politics of Obedience: The Discourse of Voluntary Servitude”. It hasn't happened yet!

If lofty platitudes could modulate greed and lust for power, then the Ten Commandments would surely have created heaven on earth by now --- 3000 years and still waiting. Just witness what's happening in Palestine with the First Commandment – and its harbingers are the wielders of the same power nexus outlined in the documentary! What has the spectating world been able to do about interdicting that cultural and physical genocide of a living people? A big fat ZERO. The troubling question to me for the concluding segment of this otherwise brilliant documentary is this: Why present such wishful platitudes for the solution space which betray little or no comprehension whatsoever of the diabolical forces of social engineering and human psychology that are at play worldwide? [9]

Getting the public mind to live on false hopes after describing the real problems to them could be construed as a red herring by the skeptic, but I am trying hard not to be one. Rational analysis of a battle to be of any benefit must be rooted in reality and empiricism of the situation, not mysticism, hope, and wishful thinking. As Sun Tzu noted in the Art of War 2500 years ago, self-delusion isn't a very productive winning strategy in any battle, the superfluous references to martial arts and Aikido in the documentary, of using the opponent's own strengths against him, notwithstanding: “If you know the enemy and know yourself, you need not fear the result of a hundred battles. If you know yourself but not the enemy, for every victory gained you will also suffer a defeat. If you know neither the enemy nor yourself, you will succumb in every battle.” Indeed, what specific strengths of the ruling oligarchy to deploy against their primacy in the Aikido match with hoi polloi is not identified in the documentary.

Foster Gamble's platitudinous recipe for how to Thrive has made no immediate impression on me. Any two bit pulpit can sell the same mirage. Deepak Chopra, also featured in the documentary, does it routinely on American public television --- and laughs his way to the bank selling his books. But I am sure it could still be awarded a Ph.D. for New Age thinking in America's Ivy League. These lofty academies of higher learning often do craft the most clever doctrines for social engineering, and for manufacturing the public's consent for untenable agendas. One such doctrine to fabricate “doctrinal motivation” for launching “imperial mobilization” I have already deconstructed at length under the heading “Taking a Deeper Look into the Dynamics of Mantra Creation: Islamofascism”. [op. cit, 9]

That diabolical ingenuity for making the public mind in preparation for the catastrophic terror of 9/11 was most eruditely engineered in the 1990s at both Princeton and Harvard – the two highest ranking Ivy League Universities of the United States. And it was easily swallowed up by the American and Western public under the expert guidance of their learned intellectuals as the principal explanation for 9/11. It cemented the fait accompli of imperial mobilization --- now backtrack and lament all you want, it does not and cannot roll back time. The time lost in running on treadmills living on in feel-good false hopes while bonded in servitude, is also like that. Time deliberately lost chasing dreamy sounding mirages by hoi polloi, while hard new realities are irreversibly fashioned on the ground by history's actors:

”We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while
you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.”' [op. cit, 9]

The hard political fact of the matter is that the common man, perpetually caught between bread and circuses, and perpetually manipulated with behavior control, cannot take the powers away from the oligarchy with platitudes of non-violence and non-cooperation --- and that realization has evidently not sunk in among the well-intentioned, well-groomed, and well-fed activists of the West. Especially if they have attended an Ivy League! While they live on in relative comfort and abundance, they advocate for others to deny cooperation with power? How will hoi polloi even eat then, or make a living in the modern world? The stomach tends to come before high mindedness, not just among the masses but for anyone, including the tallest intellectuals who cannot get two square meals a day. And that is never understood by the noble revolutionaries who often themselves emerge from among the elite, and have never suffered for the want of bread. A French revolution of the hungry only culminates in more tyranny by hoi polloi upon hoi polloi. It was most ably captured in Charles Dickens’ A Tale of Two Cities. The rise and fall of Napoleonic history does not contradict that Dicken's fable at all.

What such platitudinous talk of wishful hope and dreamscape thinking does perform in practice is the complementary part of social engineering: it provides the outlet for the pressure cooker to prevent its bursting. But the same letting off of steam can perhaps someday in the future, when man has evolved himself spiritually, command an avalanche of real global resistance. That is the thinking of these brilliant intellectuals. Human action is non-linear after all, or so I am told. The butterfly flapping its wings in India can change the weather in the United States, as some idealists love to advance as the primary evidence for their wishful thinking. It does sound nice, appears empowering, even compelling. Perhaps just that faith alone, of sounding nice, and hopeful, has to be kept alive for the actual reality of the transition to the epoch when rising spiritualism, a quest for self-directed search for answers and to make change happen with considerable personal sacrifice, would start driving global consciousness. Recorded history, including the legacy of the great prophets of mankind who brought great moral spiritualism as the principal antidote for primacy of man against fellow man, does indicate though that only tyranny has thus far successfully countered tyranny, not hoi polloi who have only slaved in servitude to every master. Perhaps we will witness a surprise development at the new Dawn of the Age of Aquarius – but not in my lifetime. We live in an epoch when we can't even affect the most obvious first change that the documentary advocates and which everyone in the world even actually agrees upon: freedom from debt enslavement to the banksters. [10]

Man is today more in chains in the scientific dictatorship of modernity than ever before in the entire history of mankind. He does not even know how to grow a grain of bread anymore --- food suddenly removed from the ubiquitous Safeway supermarket shelves will reduce the American hoi polloi to rubble in less than a week! To cannibalism in less than two. And to complete tear down of its advanced society in less than a month! And that, is the hard reality of the matter at this moment in our existence. To craft a different future takes a lot more --- a transformation which is nothing less than a gestalt shift in the stance against primacy. The fable of the two scorpions held in a perpetual stalemate in a bottle easily comes to mind for surviving ubermensch predators in a jungle of depravity and primacy. [10a]
The desire for self-sufficiency at every level, from individuals to nations, is pragmatically impossible today in the more advanced and industrialized nations of the Global North. It is still a practical possibility for the lesser industrialized Global South however, if we can only learn to eject our *house niggers* and *uncle toms* who rule by proxy for the same enslaving forces of colonization today in the name of *neoliberalism* whose ancestors had previously enslaved entire nations in the name of the *white man's burden*. But as the recent event in Pakistan for instance indicates, just the unilateral demand from WHO for three nations to vaccinate for polio, and the Pakistani officialdom immediately declaring that they will prevent anyone leaving her airports without first forcibly vaccinating them, sums up the twisted reality of imposed servitude upon the masses. We even witness this servitude daily at US airports ourselves. I would like to ask Mr. Foster Gamble how many times he has voluntarily denied permission to the TSA to have his and his wife's private parts examined either through X-ray machines or by gloved hands and still traveled to their destination? Perhaps he flies a private airliner from a private airport.

The fundamental first cause of global deprivation and the crises of inequitable distribution of wealth plaguing mankind being *Primacy* and not *Paucity*, is so painstakingly obvious that it beggars the imagination how any brilliant mind groomed at Princeton would not recognize it as such. Why has Foster Gamble so transparently misdiagnosed the first cause as scarcity of resources instead of primacy of the oligarchy? It misdirects attention in solving an entirely different class of problems than the ones which actually need to be solved first! The systemic disease then continues to lurk unattended regardless of how many layers of bandages are put on the patient. This modus operandi of dissent by brilliant savants once again ties in with *poisoning the well* idea explored at the very beginning of this Introduction.

I challenge the Gambles to stop using that feeble-minded crutch if they are indeed genuine. I would like to assume that they are --- for I see no obvious motivation for anyone so well established in the elite establishment to directly play in what is only bread and butter for COINTELPRO agents: infiltrate by gaining trust which is done by affirming what the groups already believe, then misguide, mislead, make patsies, in order to deflate opposition. In fact, I am excited that finally someone who is indeed a somebody, is challenging the real base of power of Western civilization: its hidden in plain sight oligarchy. Under that presumption, separating personal beliefs and mysticism from what is factually verifiable to create a rational cut of this documentary, is the honest intellectual recipe for gaining traction in the mainstream, in academe, and in effectively countering the making of the public mind by the social engineers. Point out the documented facts, analyze and deconstruct the interconnection of its tentacles so that the public can understand just how deep the rabbit holes go, and leave out what the public should do about it to their own organic self realization. It will come organically once they accurately understand the whole picture of their enslavement and who participates in it. That will immediately bring the entire world together on the common understanding of the common problem domain which equally plagues both the East and the West. Just accomplishing that much in a single lifetime would be a remarkable achievement for any individual --- for the solution space is indeed organic and multi-generational; it cannot be forced with platitudes. It must be left to the peoples' own creative energies and their situations.

In conclusion, and more to the point of this Introduction, if you are studying to become part of the medical profession, or you are a parent of someone who is, or you are yourself part of that profession, you need to really think about what you will hear in that segment. Then go research it...
自己。你真的想成为那家银行财团控制游戏的一部分吗？你如何避免它？如果你加入医疗专业？你如何改变它？医疗实践的专业性质，一个不是被当作一个有趣的哲学问题对待的在午睡角落闲聊的话题，被进一步探讨在我的小研究中：现代医学的真实情况是什么？[11]你被邀请进行更好的研究。

家庭作业：开始尝试回答基本的问题：AMA（美国医学协会）是谁，为什么它必须控制和立法医学？在什么科学和技术基础上，甚至在道德基础上，这个组织比已经训练的医疗专业人员更了解医学？这个组织在任何情况下都可以指挥医疗专业人员什么是什么和不是“正统”的医学？[12] 这个组织主要为谁工作？[13] 谁为它的“oops”买单，就像2009年流感病毒大流行中WHO试图强迫推行其临时全球疫苗接种协议时，美国医疗界、CDC、许多免税基金会和由 CFR 领导的智囊团以及国家的超级权力的合力，以及在伊拉克预谋的入侵被随后作为“oops”而否定的“情报失败”预谋侵略？作为医疗从业者，你如何在你的判断上做出选择：跟随AMA、CDC、WHO的指示和命令，或者遵循你的职业道德誓言，拒绝不与之相符的？

附录

见：作者 John Robbins，其他进步分子谴责“茁壮”，圣克鲁斯当地报纸故事由 Eric Johnson，2012年4月10日。我不满意。它不仅与上述我对福斯特·甘布尔的分析一致，他无耻地破坏了辩论并故意（或不明智地）让它变得容易反驳和诋毁他的纪录片，而且当人们开始关注时，反对和抵触是不可避免的。
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Epilogue 2019

Imam Hussein (as) Safinatun Nijaat for Pakistan

It is befitting to close The Pakistan Decapitation Papers 2019 on the singular solution that beckons the Summun, Bukmun, Umyun Pakistani nation. The solution is in front of us all — and yet the long ingrained prejudices among us Muslims, divided into our myriad sects and maslaks of birth, living off of crippled epistemologies in the religion of empires past and present, prevent the solution from percolating to the top of the conscious mind. If we can't think it, speak it, reflect upon it, strategize upon it, we sure as hell can never effectualize it, making our dysfunction a self-fulfilling prophecy. And sure enough, it only leaves hell behind in the wake of its ample sounds of silence.

Millions are gathering for Arba'een in Karbala and there is little if any mention of the largest peaceful gathering on earth by Pakistan's intelligentsia, press, talk show talking heads, rulers, political leaders, and various and sundry two-bit opinion makers who seem to get all the air time in the world to spew gibberish couched in erudite discourses.

But first, I wish to establish a template. I begin with thanking Allah SWT that He made me a human being (not an animal); gave me all functioning parts (not handicap); made me ba-baseerat (not an imbecile); endowed me with an intellect that searches for truth in all matters (not indoctrinated); raised me in my parents' home which made me a Muslim by socialization (could have been born in a Zionist or pagan home). My home not just acquainted me with the Ahlul Bayt of the Prophet of Islam and Imam Hussein (as) from birth as the Exemplars and Imams of Islam from whom we take our understanding of the meaning of the Holy Qur'an and the teachings of the Prophet of Islam (in principle), but also indelibly carved their love into my heart, into my very being (just as I love my parents). Beyond socialization, I am neither Shia nor Sunni, but only trying to be Muslim (minus the crippled epistemology) as human being first that I was fashioned in (ahsan-e-taqweem), and wish to
die Muslim (InshaAllah). I could just as easily have been born on the other side of the railroad tracks... and deprived of these rich bounties and propensities. I am the fortunate of history. Alhamdolillah.

Can you, dear reader, relate to that template? Surely, you must, for all must know what it means to be the lucky of history! While we each might have different gifts, and some might think it is just chance, and it may well be as it does look like chance which side of the railroad track one is born, nevertheless, none can really be devoid of the feelings of gratitude for being born the lucky of history, especially when we see many others less fortunate than us.

This gratefulness to my good fortune, nay, to Allah SWT's Gift to me as per my belief system, is what drives my work in Project Humanbeingsfirst. The cup of thanks is still more than half empty however, and there is a lot more to add to it. I pray to Allah SWT to increase me in toufique to rise beyond moral clichés, beyond truthful words on parchment, beyond my own self-ascribed limitations. To the extent that there is anything of worth and truthfulness in my jihad-bis-kalam, the preceding almost 1400 pages and more than half million words are part of it. It is my little jihad, my little truth-jihad, if I may be permitted to borrow the phrase from my fearless internet-confrere, Kevin Barrett of the United States of America, whose book is titled Truth Jihad: My Epic Struggle Against the 9/11 Big Lie (MashaAllah). For the courage and inspiration to wage my own little truth-jihad ever since the very day of September 11, 2001, I thank Allah SWT that he endowed me with the intellectual and emotional psyche and the character disposition that enabled it. It separates me from all the rest of human beings watching from the sides. Alhamdolillah. I do have a little sense of satisfaction in that lonely life-lived, despite the exercise being ineffective in anything I have done in this path over the past two decades. That indefatigable exercise however, has put me behind the lines of those unparalleled front runners among mankind throughout history who have arisen to the next levels and way beyond in their truth-jihad.

The unparalleled and unsurpassed leader of all of these beautiful men, women and children, is of course Imam Hussein (as). I pray to Allah SWT that I am able to take the next baby-step in the path that led Imam Hussein (as) to nafs-e-mutmahinnah: “O soul that art at rest! Return to your Lord, well-pleased (with him), well-pleasing (Him)”, Surah Al-Fajr, 89:27, 89:28. I fear however, that I shall forever be deprived of that journey and that destination, as only the soul untouched by evil or cleansed of evil, can even embark on such journey. What evil is that? It is the evil in the nafs. Which is why, in Islam, Jihad-un-nafs is the highest form of jihad.

But one can dream can't one? Without the dream, and the desire to achieve that dream, there is sure to be no outcome. With the dream, there is at least the motivation for continuing to the next level of truth-jihad, to Jihad-un-nafs. This is the hardest of all levels – for it entails fighting with one's own self, with one's own demons, with one's own failings, with one's own ungratefulness to the bounties and responsibilities that being the lucky of history has bestowed upon one, and which weakens and nullifies one's good efforts, deprives one of spiritual ascendance. However, it is also a failing that is overcome in a single day, in a single instant, with Allah SWT's grace. This may sound very Christian, but man's own will is also part of this.

The unparalleled example of this transformation in history, at least in the context of Imam Hussein (as) as the Safinatun Nijaat (“Innal Huseinea' misbah-ul-huda wa safina-tun-nijaah”) and the exercise of free will to climb aboard, is Hur ibne Ar-Riyahi. The fearless General in Yazid's Army
who was responsible for interdicting the journey of Imam Hussein and his companions from Mecca to Kufa, at Karbala, a place whose timeless sanctity is writ large even today as the Arb'a'en gathering in millions is evidence.

General Hur's metanoia was almost instantaneous. It transpired in just one evening as the history of Karbala records, on the evening before Ashura. General Hur was the first Martyr on the very next day, the Day of Ashura, as that painful narrative of history informs us. But on whose side? On the side of Imam Hussein (as), fighting against Yazid's army that he had led as its commander-in-chief until the previous evening only! InshaAllah. I pray to Allah SWT, that like General Hur ibne Ar-Riyahi, I, and all who aspire the same, die a Muslim by firmly coming on board the Safinatun Nijaat despite our many failings. May Allah SWT, with the Wasilah and Shafa'at of His Favoured Ones, those who Allah Says in the Holy Qur'an are the Means of Approach unto Him, the Delineators of the Straight Path, accept my prayers and guide me and all who seek it, on that journey of self-cleansing one's own taghoots within.

| who is he that can intercede with Him but by His permission? 2:255 | من ذا الذي يشفع عنده إلا بإذنه |
| O ye who believe! Do your duty to Allah, seek the means of approach unto Him, 5:35 | يا أئمته اللذين أمروا أقولوا لله وابتغوا إليه الوسيلة |
| Show us the straight path, 1:6 ; The path of those whom Thou hast favoured. 1:7 | إهدينا الصراط المستقيم صراط الذين أنعمت عليهم |

Caption Holy Qur'an *Verse of Shafa'at, Verse of Wasilah, Verse of Path of Favoured Ones (see Wasilah in the Holy Qur'an)*

And this ardent prayer, this burning desire, brings me to the dysfunction of Pakistan, to her corrupt rulers, to her dystopic predicament, to her house niggers, her uncle Toms and aunty Thomases, to her servile classes who have made Pakistan a slave state, rental state, vassal state of the global powers that be. Surely, their crimes are not worse than General Hur's before his metanoia! Imam Hussein (as) is the Safinatun Nijaat – the Ship of Refuge – for Pakistan.

Like General Hur, the only hope for Pakistan, in my never to be falsely humble view, is for her rulers, her generals, her establishment, at least just one individual at the top in that sorry corrupt inept lot, to experience the profound metanoia of siding with truth for a change; siding with justice for a change; siding with what is right, and what Allah SWT has ordained of man as his destiny when He fashioned mankind in *ahsan-e-taqweem*: to say NO to taghoots, to taghooti systems of control, to servitude to fellow man, to his systems of corruption and co-option, to his rule by villainous power as superpower, and to his rule of servitude of nations.

That metanoia, that transformation, that ability and courage to say NO, to not bow before the *Yazids* of our time at any price, to prefer death with honor over life with indignity, to seek the grave before one becomes a slave, to not have the jackboots of tyranny, corruption, falsehood, ineptness, stamped upon a nation's face, can only be brought about by Imam Hussein alayhis-salām (عليه السلام),
the courageous grandson of the noble Prophet of Islam (peace be upon him), the noble son of the Prophet's virtuous daughter Hazrat Fatima (salamullah alayhe) and Islam's foremost defender and Imam, Imam Ali (alayhis-salām).

That unparalleled pedigree and nobility of Imam Hussein, all Muslims of all faiths and maslaks in all times (except the reigns of the Nasibis and the murderers of Imam Hussein), have revered and honored. Imam Hussein belongs to all Muslims. Nay, to all humanity in need of saying No to tyranny! Love of Imam Hussein is made incumbent upon all Muslims: 'Say: “No reward do I ask of you for this except the love of those near of kin.”' (Surah Ash-Shura 42:23) It can only be an imbecile nation, whatever her belief system, who shall refuse to learn from Imam Hussein how to live and die with dignity without ever transgressing moral bounds; without ever hurting a single soul who isn't out to get you, and thus demonstrating the unparalleled implementation of the Qur'anic Guidance to mankind in Surah Al-Maeda 5:32.

Come experience Arba'een with that motivation. Come to the teaching of Imam Hussein alayhis-salām (عليه السلام) of what Islam's kalima means in practice. And Allah SWT shall inspire all who wish to strive to live the claim they have made from birth, and make it daily: la illa ha illal la, to actually live it. InshaAllah.

Say NO to illa in practice rather than in lip-service. The tea shall be thrown overboard in that very instant. That is the way out for Pakistan. This book has unequivocally demonstrated it.

California,
Arba'een 1441 A.H., October 2019
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The author would like to gratefully acknowledge the support of his family who have silently, and almost willingly, endured his obsession with, and single-minded pursuit of, journalism (digging for truth) and activism (doing something useful with that truth than merely reporting it to a people who are inclined to do nothing with it) since 9/11. This has, at times, included several long absences away from home for which he is indebted to his wife for having taken up the slack and giving this scribe his opportunity to “sing the song in your heart before you die” – as she likes to put it. They have had to endure all his disquiet songs. With their children now pursuing their professional education and having moved away from home, the author spends more time in Pakistan to continue his pursuits among a people who once again – as in the United States where he is a permanent resident – appear most uninterested in anything he has to offer them.

The Author also wishes to acknowledge an even greater debt. This one to his destiny – fate, karma, naseeb – that it was so apportioned to him! The hand that has moved him to endear himself to this losing cause célèbre he does not comprehend himself. The swashbuckling rebel, Captain Rhett Butler's reply to Mrs. Hamilton in Gone with the wind is oddly reminiscent of this uncharacteristic labor: “maybe it's because I have always had a weakness for lost causes once they are really lost.”

The author feels grateful that he was not only gifted a glimpse into this wily modernity from Mt. Fuji, and the uncanny drive to even try to comprehend all the forces which are shaping this modernity to create a one-world government of the oligarchy, by the oligarchy, for the oligarchy, in piece-meal increments, one global crisis at a time, one global law at a time, but also gifted the courage of his convictions to want to do something about it. As the cliché goes: “If necessity is the mother of
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invention, then discontent must surely be the father of progress.”

The first significant discontentment for the author is with the standard established epistemology, the theory of knowledge itself. How do we know what we know? Is something true because some authority figures proclaim it so? Is something true because you believe it to be true? Unlike in physical sciences and physical engineering where the rules of the scientific method both permit and govern some measure of objectivity by the process of falsification of axioms, in social sciences and social engineering, as in religion, one is often made to bow before gods, the instruments of power who wish to convince you of axioms of which you ought not to be convinced in order to get your measure of consent for your own servitude.

That principal first seed of discontentment from which all else naturally follows, led the author to recognize the catastrophic terrorism of 9/11 for what it was on the very day of September 11, 2001, and he has since applied himself assiduously in being a strident malcontent, actively maladjusted to the world around him. The word malcontent is novelist H.G. Wells' label for the handful of people who will ceaselessly resist the New World Order, the title of his 1940 book advertising the benefits and inevitability of world government. The word maladjusted is the call to rise beyond moral clichés by Martin Luther King Jr., made in his 1967 speech titled: Why I Am Opposed to the War in Vietnam.

The author, living in quiet comfort and anonymity raising his children and watching world events from the safe distance of the sidelines, like the rest of humanity, embarked on his own little journey of malcontentment and maladjustment by first educating his family in 2001 that 9/11 was equivalent to the Third Reich's Operations Canned Goods, self-inflicted to create a pretext for aggression. He moved to public protest in 2002 after seeing the horrific destruction of Afghanistan and the next target being Iraq – both Muslim nations bombed by Christian soldiers and led by Jewish ideologues. And to systematic intellectual public dissent in 2003 with his maiden book Prisoners of the Cave, prompted by the FBI visiting his home under the mandates of police-state USA just as the bombing of Iraq was underway. When the rest of Muslims in America were hiding in their mosque and pretending that they were the “good Muslims” and “United we Stand” with empire to distance themselves from the “bad Muslims” who had been blamed for 9/11, the author had chosen to join the handful of godless American people of conscience and uncanny intelligence in expressing his discontent at both the manufactured narrative, as well as the acts of aggression being undertaken by the superpower using that fabricated narrative as pretext. The author's hour-long bold lecture to the FBI was, well, a rather defining moment for him. He still has no deeper understanding of the force that moved him to take such an extempore stand at his front door. The road not taken by all the other foreign denizens of the United States, and by the American masses and their privileged classes, all of whom immediately succumbed to the empire's narratives and saluted “United We Stand” for bombing Iraq after Afghanistan, has made all the difference to this scribe.

Even today, halfway into the second decade since 9/11, the same public mind despite the empire, full of hubris like all empires feeling assured of victory in their cause, openly admitting in a brazen mea culpa that there were no WMDs in Iraq, that it was “intelligence failure” so to speak (imagine that excuse being used at Nuremberg – it would have surely saved the Nazi leadership from the hangman's noose, right?), have quickly forgotten that first act of aggression from which all the evil has percolated throughout the world. Iraq today lies in ruin, but the world is focussed on “IS”, “Taliban”, “Al-Qaeda”, “militant Islam” vs. “moderate Islam”, and the American government has come out unscathed from all this, even nobler in the public mind as the “savior”. Its president awarded
the Nobel peace prize while vigorously pursuing the same war-mongering narratives and the same war-
mongering policies despite being elected on the “change” mantra; just like Woodrow Wilson who had
been reelected on the election campaign mantra “he kept us out of the war” during his first term, was
awarded the Nobel peace prize after immediately taking America to the war in Europe at the start of
his second term, and in the process of protecting the “civilized world” from the “barbarian Huns”,
dismembering all the empires then existing to firmly implant the seeds of Pax Americana as the
twentieth century inheritor of Pax Britannia. Like the incumbent president of the United States, Barack
Obama, his distant predecessor too was marketed to the beleaguered world as the “savior” of mankind
to deserve that public relations peace prize. In his editorial written the moment the Nobel peace prize
of 2009 was announcement in the New York Times, this scribe deconstructed the motivations behind it
on Friday October 09, 2009:

Begin Excerpt

President Barack Obama has just been awarded the Nobel Peace Prize. The President is
delighted and “Says He's ‘Surprised’ and ‘Humbled’” according to the New York Times.

When I first penned “How to Win the Nobel Peace Prize” in great anguish in April 2003, in
Chapter 2 of Prisoners of the Cave as the “shock and awe” of Iraq was under way, I hadn't the full
prescience of all the future players at the time for I grossly omitted the new name. My apologies to the
harbingers of 'change'. Their mantra, and the $2 billion spent creating it, has obviously been very
effective. After the “peace maker” moniker, anointment as the “Messiah” really can't be that far
behind. This Machiavellian fabrication of a 'savior' was already examined in Mr. Obama – The Post
Modern Coup in November 2008.

It is astonishing to me how simplistic the most lauded dissent-chiefs and most profound
intellectuals are in the West. Even when they critique absurdities and war-mongering as per their good
conscience, they tread remarkably gently. Look at historian Howard Zinn's comment in the UK
Guardian. He is once again simplistic in his vocal dissent piece – just as he has been all along on 911 –
by deliberately not seeing the Orwellian propaganda agenda behind the Peace Prize:

“I was dismayed when I heard Barack Obama was given the Nobel peace prize.
A shock, really, to think that a president carrying on two wars would be given a
peace prize. Until I recalled that Woodrow Wilson, Theodore Roosevelt, and
Henry Kissinger had all received Nobel peace prizes. The Nobel committee is
famous for its superficial estimates, won over by rhetoric and by empty
gestures, and ignoring blatant violations of world peace.” (emphasis added)

No, No, NO! Never 'superficial estimates' and never 'empty gestures'. Rather, laying the seeds
of masterful propaganda towards Orwellian social engineering.

Thus, Professor Zinn's concluding prescription: “The Nobel peace committee should retire, and
turn over its huge funds to some international peace organization which is not awed by stardom and
rhetoric, and which has some understanding of history”, which, since he diagnosed the disease
incorrectly, is a cure, I am sure, to the problem that he has posited in his own mind, but one that has no
forensic bearing to the modernity plaguing mankind. Indeed, this “modernity” is itself “as old as
mankind”. So while Howard Zinn does conscionably lament the bizarre awarding of peace prizes to murderous trigger pullers, he very carefully does not mention the prime-movers whom they work for:

“Oh yes, the committee saw fit to give a peace prize to Henry Kissinger, because he signed the final peace agreement ending the war in Vietnam, of which he had been one of the architects. Kissinger, who obsequiously went along with Nixon's expansion of the war, with the bombing of peasant villages in Vietnam, Laos and Cambodia. Kissinger, who matches the definition of a war criminal very accurately, is given a peace prize!”

Ever since hectoring hegemons have existed, ever since oligarchs have existed wielding power from behind the scenes through their 'errand boys', ever since they discovered social engineering, and especially ever since Edward Bernays discovered and employed Public Relations which coincided approximately with the time that Nobel peace prizes started to be awarded, these accolades from the high and mighty serve the oligarchic agendas as needed.

Since Professor Howard Zinn, as a profound historian who would like us to learn from history, is berating the Nobel Peace Committee on their lacking “some understanding of history”, watch the BBC documentary Century of Self to observe how Edward Bernays himself fabricated President Woodrow Wilson's aura as the European 'savior' right after the “he kept us out of the war” devil had taken America to World War I at the behest of his handlers Bernard Baruch and Col. Edward Mandell House, both of whom represented the international bankers. House even penned the rationale for having 'errand boys' and controlling them in a fictional narrative based upon his own role during Woodrow Wilson's presidency. Who is channeling President Obama's energies such that despite all his election promises to the contrary, he is very predictably maintaining the same overarching policy axioms as his predecessor from his day one in office?

These prizes are anything but “empty gestures”. It is both a payoff to tickle the ego of the 'errand boy', and a propaganda seed. In the expert hands of the Mighty Wurlitzer, such a gift can convince the masses of the most ridiculous absurdities, like the War on Terror already has. The proof of these statements of fact is both empirical, and historical. Watch Barack Obama crafted into a fine new global 'savior' at the expense of the ‘untermenschen'. That's why the United States President, ceremoniously presiding over the most militarized superpower in the world which has just devastated two civilizations to smithereens, was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize while he rapidly accelerates his war prosecution to bring “peace” in a one-world government.” -- Zahir Ebrahim, How to win the Nobel Peace Prize, October 09, 2009

End Excerpt

The social engineering for exercising “imperial mobilization” is so sickeningly transparent to this scribe that since the world is co-opted into accepting these Big lies and generations are growing up believing them, he has ever since 9/11 endeared himself to exposing all Big Lies, unraveling the science of behavior control and social engineering which co-opts the world public, and deconstructing the political philosophies which underwrite that global dysfunction.

For the persistent presence of this inexplicable force in his life, which though ebbs and flows
like the tide, is always there like the force of gravity, constantly pulling, tugging, never letting him
slink away, nor become too disheartened at being unable to make any difference to the dystopia in the
making under the umbrellas of universal deceit and universal public apathy, he is grateful to his
destiny. He is continually inspired by a wise Chinese proverb one of his children quoted in a
graduation speech: *A society where old men plant trees under whose shade they know they will not get
to sit, cannot go wrong for long.* It is usually sufficient to help him overcome the sense of fatalism and
faint accompli he feels during bouts of frustration with being so very ineffective in the immediate term.

The battle, his moments of optimism as well as his children and friends often remind him, is
long term – and as common a man as this scribe is, it is the common man who sheds his sheep's skin
that is the biggest threat to the wolves. To get to that stage of not responding instinctively to the call of
the shepherd's whistle and the bark of the sheepdog, minimally requires an intellectual prowess that is
sufficient to neither be fooled by others nor fool oneself. A pleasing platitude to think about that is
unlikely to ever come to pass. Sheep will remain sheep, and wolves will remain wolf. The predator
will continually adorn the sheep's clothing to lead them from the front in the perfect trine of
behavior control from which there can be no escape: of the wolves chasing from behind, the sheepdog
herding from the sides, and the wolf in sheep's clothing leading from the front. It is this perceptive
understanding of human nature and the *natural law of inequality* that makes the wolves so damn
fearless in exercising their instincts for primacy: *“some are sheep while others are wolves, we are the
wolves”!*  

As is customary to state explicitly, the author is solely responsible for this body of work, his
humble two-bit attempt at planting trees under whose shade he knows he will not get to sit, but he
hopes that his progeny will. He however disclaims credit for its accuracy as it would be like taking
credit for adding two plus two to make four. He accepts culpability for what is in error despite his due
diligence. A difference of opinion is not defined as an error. Getting facts wrong is. Getting analysis
and conclusion wrong also is. The original reporting of facts by officialdom used by the author may
themselves be in error. As explained in the Introduction Chapter on Modernity Simplified, this book
concerns the making of “contemporary history”. The closeness in time to the deeds of “history's
actors” under their cover of “Plausible Deniability”, covert-ops blamed upon patsies, state secrecy
laws, police-state enactment, and scribes commissioned to pen narratives spanning the full gamut of
Hegelian Dialectic, make access to verification of inconvenient facts, and discovery of actual facts,
impossible except for bringing to bear insight, intuition, perspective, and basic arithmetica of two plus
two making four. This is as true of modern history as ancient history. The latter is even harder to
unpack from its attendant layers of natural myth amplification and truth distortion that get added to
narratives throughout the ages like noise to signal.

These insights have led the author to model “contemporary history” of every epoch as a crime
scene. And as is true of every crime scene, there is only one underlying truthful reality which is kept
immersed in a sea of red herrings by the criminals who want to get away with their crime. The signal
to noise ratio of which is continually degraded over time. This invariance is the observable reality of
not just current affairs, but of the entire documented history of mankind. Which is why Henry Ford
had cynically observed: *“History is more or less bunk. It's tradition.”*

Separating myth from reality should have been the cornerstone of hard epistemology in good
scholarship, good journalism, good historiography, and the first port of call of intellectual integrity.
But scholarship, like any human endeavor, is usually not divorced from obedience to dominant
powers, both consciously and unconsciously, nor free from biases, narrow self-interests, and nor from pressures of earning a livelihood, having a career, being glorified, and dying holly in bed. Fully removing all of “truth's protective layers” therefore, is never a high priority if the underlying truth is inconvenient for any and all those forces of co-options. Even the well-known historiographies, the studies of how to study history, from the famous Ibn-Khaldun of antiquity in his Muqadma to the contemporary historian of the modern world, Carroll Quigley, who devoted much space to bringing the scientific method to the study of social sciences and history, have not addressed this problem of corrupted epistemology head on. They in fact pretend that it does not even exist, if one is to judge by their glaring silence on the subject. This road not taken turns out to be the most crucial problem of extracting truth from the jaws of social engineering; the making of any contemporary public's mind by “history's actors” to suit their own agendas which becomes the source material for subsequent generation of scholarship. Falsehoods and myths become truth as they are repeated by many hands over generations. Pretty soon they become part of the cultural backdrop, the ethos of the people, and the axioms of scholarship. Modeling the deeds of “history's actors” as a crime scene is the author's rational approach for getting a practical handle on this most empirical problem of corrupted epistemology.

The author's modus operandi therefore is like Sherlock Holmes', forensically piecing that underlying reality together by carefully separating out real clues from false clues, false evidence, false narratives, and false causality. Everyone, and everything, is suspect in this rational method of examining what is put before the public mind. There are no un-falsifiable axioms, no presuppositions, and no faith in any theology of authority figures such as “government, democracy, empire, rulers, kings, caliphs, pontiffs, Nobel laureates, scientists, elected representatives, presidents, prime ministers, cannot do this because ...” and fill in your favorite clause. This is being godless (but not necessarily Godless which is atheism). If villainy can dream it, man will do it. Motivations become the key to understanding events, to interpreting what has been recorded as facts. Forces, both covert and overt, that shape events from both near and far, and not just what's conveniently reported by scribes, or happening nearby in time and space, become the primary focus of attention.

This has profound implications for epistemology, for it turns tradition, meaning official history, and narratives of current affairs, on its head. What was previously treated as sacred truth, becomes suspect. What was previously considered fact becomes a possible magician's slight of hand. What was previously considered science becomes potential covert agendas couched in the language of science, or pseudo science. Like in any forensic science, it is an exercise fraught with pitfalls of course. One does not necessarily always get it right completely as removing one layer of obfuscation still leaves other layers beneath it quite intact. The process is like peeling an onion, one layer at a time. If the process succeeds in unpeeling all the layers down to its essential core, it unveils the base reality about a matter which is always singular, just like two plus two make four and not any other quantity. There are no exceptions.

To get at that closely protected truth with unflinching perseverance, where the author has been inspired and guided by others' contributions in un-peeling some of the “truth's protective layers”, these navigating beacons are acknowledged in the respective essays. The analyses of Project Humanbeingsfirst.org, of which this book is a sampling, is built upon the holistic integration of insights gleaned from a great deal of Western political philosophy and advancements in the understanding of psychological forces which make mass behavior control possible. The author isn't the
first one to arrive at that understanding and to use it for both deconstructing as well as predicting the direction of modernity. Both Aldous Huxley and George Orwell had in the twentieth century demonstrated those same approaches in their respective fables as the cornerstone of exercising primacy upon the herds of humanity. Just like Niccolò Machiavelli in The Prince in the fifteenth century, Plato in The Republic in the fifth century BC, and the most insightful of them all, The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion, written by anonymous author(s) to ostensibly malign the Jews (as the Jewish press claims), but containing within its twenty-four most profound Protocols the summation of all subversive insights of recorded history on how a small cabal can takeover the world, destroy its moral social order, to create a new nihilistic global social order, and rule it with an iron-fist with the public initially being none the wiser. Instead, with the public actually helping in creating that autocratic social order by willingly destroying all that is precious to their civilization with their own two hands in the name of “Liberty”, “Equality”, “Fraternity”, “Democracy”, “Revolution”. Before too long, an Orwellian world order beckons --- the wonderful liberal traditions which once inspired mobs unfurling the preceding flags magically replaced by the most conservative monolithic control and theology of subjugation taking over the entire world from which there can be no escape.

That diabolical process of this transformation in the Protocols has well defined stages:

- (1) get the masses to shout freedom and liberty to enable mob rule and destroy all intellectual and civilization heritage with their zeal for “Equality”;
- (2) give temporary freedom to the mobs to exercise their libido and their lowest animalistic instincts legally and call that “liberal” civilization ;
- (3) seed wars pushing that new mantra of “liberal” civilization against all existent moral order in the name of “freedom” ;
- (4) descend the world into chaos using crises and wars after wars in destroy-remake-destroy cycles;
- (5) marginalize all moral order with the control of the narrative and with manufactured scandals, fabricated virulent strains claiming to represent the moral order, and cast them all as oppressive, non-liberal, and replace with “Secular Humanism” wrapped in Newspeak ;
- (6) repeat; until the war-weary beleaguered public seeks refuge in “security” of police-states and the authority of world government which now brings peace – the peace of slaves.

The Protocols, once stripped of their overt “Jewishness”, are seen to unmistakably borrow from a range of political philosophies that span the gamut of perverse thought from Plato (taking over the press to control the narrative ala the Simile of the cave in The Republic) to Talmud (control of people and nations through interest and on unpayable debt) to Machiavelli (governing by way of deception) to Hegel (Hegelian Dialectic as the method of creating greater and greater combines from of the ashes of conflict). It is not unimaginable that George Orwell studied the Protocols as he witnessed the current affairs of the early twentieth-century unfurl before him as if blue-printed in these prescriptions to
become inspired to express its end result in his fable *Nineteen-eighty-four*.

More writers, scholars, and important intellectuals of the world have accepted the Protocols on its face value of Jewish conspiracy (just like the mainstream intellectuals who have rejected it for the same reason as being anti-Semitic and anti-Jewish) than have not. Among the latter few is the famous Russian novelist and dissenter Alexander Solzhenitsyn who won the Nobel prize in literature. According to those who are familiar with his work on the Protocols, presumably in his book *Two Hundred Years Living Together*, in its original Russian, and which remains deliberately un-translated into English, Solzhenitsyn in his analysis of the Protocols thought to replace every occurrence of “Jew”, “Gentile”, “Goyim”, “conspiracy”, etc., with race neutral and unemotional terms in order to focus attention on what the Protocols were in fact prescribing, stripped off of their racial flavoring which seemed to otherwise greatly distract the respected political scientists of the world who refused to examine it as a political treatise as they would Machiavelli's *The Prince*. This author's analytical commentary on the Protocols based on his own study where he replaced these racial terms with the behavioral ones: “Ubergemensch” and “untermensch”, is in *Henry Ford and The International Jew: The World's Foremost Problem – NOT!* Rather than repeat ground tread by those who came before him, this author has added original insight not pursued by anyone else to demonstrate that “Jewishness” is a calculated red herring planted in the Protocols by its authors who have also left a calculating key in the Protocols to deduce that exact conclusion.

Once again, on the road not taken by the majority of Western and Eastern intellectuals, this author has found evidence which is overwhelming that *Ubergemensch* is an attitude, a mindset, and not a tribal, or religious, or racial binding. Public pretenses to cultural or religious or tribal association are for manufacturing consent among the group whose membership and leadership is claimed by the *Ubergemensch*. And members of the so favored cabal willingly oblige --- the whole point of manufacturing consent! But the Oligarchy hesitates not in sacrificing any number of their members, in fact, often in large numbers, to achieve their objective of global rule. Eventually, once full utility has been extracted from those who are today positioned as the 'chosen people', or their helpers of mutual convenience in the shape of Christian Zionists from the Bible Belt of the United States, they will all be ejected from their perches in the New World Order as a new religion becomes universal law, the Oligarch's own, *Secular Humanism*. Of course, those flushed with hubris today will soon find the shoe on the other foot and will learn the hard way themselves.

Before the Oligarchy's self-ascribed categorical imperative for deciding mankind's future, and the cunning illusions it is to be packaged in, the ordinary man encesed in the moral straight-jackets of heritage, culture, civilization, and religious traditions, stands little chance of survival. Caught as he perpetually is between bread and circuses on the one hand, and wrapped in layers upon layers of falsehoods, myths, half-truths, and gratuitous beliefs in some *messiah* coming to help them eventually to put all matters right, only serve to engineer their consent for whatever is happening to them. This is the actual reality --- whatever academic theory one may seek to explain it. Often times, one is unable to divorce oneself from long-held cultural prejudices and hastily lumps the cause and effect together. It is not that one cannot find sufficient evidence for any position, such as “Jewish conspiracy” which is prevalent among the *malcontents* in the West as well as the East, it is the careful separation of causality down to the first-cause which remains the key to understanding the *Ubergemensch*'s power which draws upon the cracks and lacunas, and weaknesses as well as strengths, of all peoples to harvest their creative energies for its own cause. Some are setup as the bad guys, others as the chosen
peoples, to create the dialectical ultimatum: “either you are with us or with the terrorists”. Every side issues the same ultimatum to enlist its cattle fodder. This dysfunction has a prime-mover and is not organic, nor can it be explained away by local organic struggles for power and hegemony which has existed from time immemorial. These natural brinkmanship are equally harnessed and harvested, but often their seeds are first artificially planted, and continually fertilized, of which both the bloody partitions of the Indian sub-continent and Palestine are veritable examples. The full harvesting season is yet to come. How exactly will it materialize to enact further chaos and genocide, only the Ubermensch would know, but the end result is their one world government with the planet's population reduced significantly from its present numbers. Reproduction will be transformed into a privilege from a right, just like driving is a privilege and not a right, only to be accorded in measure to those deemed “worthy” of holding that license, as captured in its many variations by authors besides Orwell and Huxley. Zbigniew Brzezinski, not a sci-fi author and not a fable writer, but America's foremost National Security Advisor and policy-planner, equally indicates its inevitability in Between Two Ages, 1970:

“Another threat, less overt but no less basic, confronts liberal democracy. More directly linked to the impact of technology, it involves the gradual appearance of a more controlled and directed society. Such a society would be dominated by an elite whose claim to political power would rest on allegedly superior scientific know-how. Unhindered by the restraints of traditional liberal values, this elite would not hesitate to achieve its political ends by using the latest modern techniques for influencing public behavior and keeping society under close surveillance and control.” (pg. 97)

Who are those elite? The scientists? Or those who employ them? Once Henry Ford was in court for a reporter having called him an “uneducated” or “illiterate” or something to that effect and was sued. Henry Ford in his defence stated, in this scribe's dramatization as the court transcript is not at hand: “Ask me any scientific question if you think I am uneducated, or illiterate”. And he was obliged. He pointed to someone in the audience in the court room to rise and answer that question, and it was correctly answered. So Henry Ford said: “I employ hundreds of people of superior intellect and training who can answer any scientific question, or any question, you put before me. If I can answer your question accurately, perceptively, does it matter to you if I look it up in a book, research it myself, or hire the hundreds of engineers and scientists who do it for me?” Henry Ford won that case!

So, who are the “elite” that Brzezinski is referring to? Can they be named?

Here is Woodrow Wilson also speaking of an unspecified power that the biggest men in the United States, in the field of commerce and manufacture, are afraid of:

“Since I have entered politics, I have chiefly had men's views confided to me privately. Some of the biggest men in the United States, in the field of commerce and manufacture, are afraid of somebody, are of afraid of something. They know that there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breadth when they speak in condemnation of it.” — The New Freedom, Woodrow Wilson, 1913, Chapter 1, pgs. 17-18
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Needless to state the obvious, this “elite”, this “power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive,” has been kept carefully occulted from the world stage and the glare of publicity. It is cunningly hidden in plain sight by the conspiracy of omission. By not mentioning their name or acknowledging their existence in the popular media, in academia, in popular dissent, they are craftily engineered out of the picture of current affairs which they entirely control. Then anyone who digs up the evidence and puts two plus two together to make four, is trivially dismissed as “conspiracy theorist”.

This scribe, once again on the road not taken, has persuasively argued, repeatedly, that perceptively identifying the first-cause enemy, understanding its core strengths and one's own core weaknesses, is the first shrewd step in the long arduous journey of commensurately developing one's own strengths and exploiting the enemy's weaknesses for waging an effective self-defence against these superman predators whose natural instincts for primacy defy the commonsense of the Poor Man:

“If you know the enemy and know yourself, you need not fear the result of a hundred battles. If you know yourself but not the enemy, for every victory gained you will also suffer a defeat. If you know neither the enemy nor yourself, you will succumb in every battle.” — Sun Tzu, Art of War

The Poor Man this book has defined as: “one with limited ability, or time, or even inclination, to carefully read, reflect, and reason about the period one lives in”. The Poor Man transcends the socio-economic divides, racial divides, civilizational divides, academic qualification divides, and professional divides.

Hitler characterized [the Poor Man] in Mein Kampf as follows:

“those who believe everything they read; this group is by far the strongest, being composed of the broad masses of the people. Intellectually, it forms the simplest portion of the nation. It cannot be classified according to occupation but only into grades of intelligence. Under this category come all those who have not been born to think for themselves or who have not learnt to do so and who, partly through incompetence and partly through ignorance, believe everything that is set before them in print. To these we must add that type of lazy individual who, although capable of thinking for himself out of sheer laziness gratefully absorbs everything that others had thought over, modestly believing this to have been thoroughly done. The influence which the Press has on all these people is therefore enormous; for after all they constitute the broad masses of a nation. But, somehow they are not in a position or are not willing personally to sift what is being served up to them; so that their whole attitude towards daily problems is almost solely the result of extraneous influence. All this can be advantageous where public enlightenment is of a serious and truthful character, but great harm is done when scoundrels and liars take a hand at this work.”

The Poor-Man who never learnt to think, or to exercise his natural thinking abilities despite his profession and training, is encouraged to mis-identify the enemy. For incorrectly identifying the nemesis and imputing it false strengths which do not originate within it, one can never win any battles.
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– because the real enemy stays occulted from the public eye like the ninety percent iceberg. All its visible signs are there, but it floats treacherously and as a great mass just beneath the surface. That is both its strength, hiding in plainsight, and also its weakness, that it needs to hide. But no longer. The entire conspiracy for world government is being orchestrated in open view. There is no “illegality” – as the conspirators are shrewd enough to always exercise legalisms in their favor before anything is made public.

This intellectual backdrop points the path to others as well who wish to either confirm (or refute) Project Humanbeingsfirst's analyses and conclusions across the spectrum of topics it has taken up, or make their own independent study of modernity and the forces which drive it. Without the intellectual backdrop and perceptive comprehension of motivations that drive world events, no one can figure out modernity by just looking at the events themselves. No one! Never mind protect themselves with an effective antidote.

Which is precisely why all of newsmedia, all establishmentarian scholars, and all dissenting con-artist intellectuals controlling the permissible range of opinions to exclude what's not convenient to the ruling powers and their agendas, mainly focus the public's attention at the events themselves wrapped in narratives upon narratives. It's called freedom of speech and democracy, and the public rejoice at the openness of their Western society, while the colonized nations rush to emulate Western standards. Which is how the public mind is made so easily and uniformly across the world.

The drive for the standardization of worldviews and values is no less strident than the drive for the standardization of global laws. Both are necessary predicates for the standardization of human behavior --- from its natural diversity divided into tribes and nations, beliefs and values, all humming and vibrant in their own local cultures like the birds in a thriving forest and therefore difficult to control all at once, to its uniform and streamlined servitude long desired by the oligarchy. The honest to goodness observation made by Aldous Huxley to the students at the University of California, Berkeley, more than half century ago is even more empirical today:

“Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.

And this is a problem which has interested me for many years, and about which I wrote thirty years ago a fable, A Brave New World, which is essentially the account of a society making use of all the devices at that time available, and some of the devices which I imagined to be possible, making use of them in order to, first of all, to standardize the population, to iron-out inconvenient human differences, to create so to say mass produced models of human beings arranged in some kind of a scientific caste system.”

In all this drive for the standardization of human beings to be ruled just as theologically by an all powerful financial oligarchy from the top of the control pyramid as in any predatory religion which puts man in the service of fellow man while paying all the lip-service to high-minded morality, there is...
no room in established scholarship, politics, press, or religious fervor, for unraveling truth's protective layers. Duh!

Whereas the Sherlock Holmes of the day first look for the motivations behind events, and gauge the forces, both near and far, that drive them. They strive to unravel all of truth's protective layers.

On their profound intellectual courage and strength of character to see through the smoke and mirrors, to boldly proclaim two plus two make four and not five, to take the path not taken, to rise above their own narrow self-interests and to make no personal profit from their labors, this scribe humbly stands, and for which he is thankful that his own physical, psychological, and spiritual makeup endears him to their lonely path on the road less traveled. When the empire applauds, one is serving the interests of empire. When the choir applauds, one is preaching to it. When the people applaud, one is serving their interests. This is self-evident; a universal moral truth that is beyond doubt. So who applauds when one serves the interest of truth? There is no applause on this road not taken by others. Only the hemlock. The slave of truth always stands alone, lonely, and accepts the hemlock. The master of truth is always surrounded by cheers, accolades, prizes, and dies holily in bed. The author is grateful to his fate, destiny, naseeb, and all that in his life's experiences which has brought him to its crossroads, for that small share of loneliness on the road less traveled which is his cherished prize.

Lastly, the author thanks the reader. He would especially like to thank in anticipation those critical reviewers who might bring forward any contrary evidence in support of their refutation of what might be in error in these pages. The author would be more inclined to pay attention if they cited chapter and verse of what they deem to be in error rather than merely allege “bias” and the like so that the next version of the book can improve upon its deficiency.

This work is certainly not intended to be the last word on the oligarchic primacy for world government and their diabolical modus operandis, but the mere introduction to the subject in completely honest terms to the best of the author's limited abilities given the reign of universal deceit and full spectrum control of the narrative in support of the mantras du jour. No other point of view is permitted to exist outside that narrative space of “respectability”. It is neither published by the “respectable” intelligentsia press nor given a fair hearing in their literary review spaces. The author fully expects his point of view to be met with resentment and denigration in some quarters. But the author believes that any such overt intellectual resentment can only translate to fostering a greater awareness and motivate further discovery of the topics only barely dealt herein. This would be a good thing. Therefore, what the author fears will happen instead is that the work will be completely ignored rather than intellectually refuted --- for silence on truth is the stronger method of controlling the narrative. Why draw attention to these matters even with their most eloquent denunciation and needlessly open the Pandora's box of public consciousness for the new generation growing up in total darkness of the predators scheming behind the scene? Thus, any overt resentment will likely take the un-intellectual form as it took during the French and Russian revolutions: “Beware of that man for he has written a book!” (heard in the streets of Paris, quoted by Nesta Webster). And: “Writers must be proscribed as the most dangerous enemies of the people” (Robespierre, quoted by Nesta Webster).

For what its worth, this humble effort is dedicated to all who care — to lend them courage to reshape tomorrow's world. Or tomorrow's world will be an age of servitude far worse than today.

Zahir Ebrahim
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Getting to know the Author a bit more

About Me and My Little Jihad

April 2007.

Who am i, what's so special about me that I exist in peace when a vast humanity is denied it? Why should I exist over those who have been forcibly made to cease to exist entirely prematurely, or those who have never had the (mis)fortune to even exist? The fact that I do, and am privileged to exist in peace and prosperity as the 'lucky of history', why not just live and let live? And why not just dream the personal dreams of the 'why?' and the 'why not?', and forget about the collective 'why?' and the collective 'why not?' Why should one care for anyone but oneself?

Ayn Rand, and the 'Fable of the Bees' which likely inspired her as it did Adam Smith, certainly imply 'ubermensch' selfishness to be the most virtuous philosophy in the modernity du jour – to courageously and conscionably optimize selfishness which then supposedly trickles down to make a virtuous vibrant society through 'voodoo economics'. And what is this virtue? To be a virgin on a mountain top? What is this courage? To wage a battle against the windmills? What is conscionable? To be able to wash the blood off one’s delicate hands while 'looking from the side' and smiling into the mirror asking who's the fairest of them all? Such is the virtuous practice of 'voodoo economics' for the greater social welfare these days. After all, nature too discards and trounces upon the weak and the frail – those who fail to optimize their private genes – so why shouldn't we?

This is 'About Me' – always wrestling with silly existential questions like these. According to my mom who recently informed me, 'I had thought you might do great things in life with your MIT
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...education and all', I am likely a major failure.

And my wife certainly continually echoes the same, recently she informed me that I was 'quite useless', perhaps because I am continually writing what no one will ever read, and making big plans and dreaming even bigger dreams that never come to pass, instead of doing 'something useful' with my life. I guess the two most instrumental women in my life find good company with each other. That's one of a kind for a mother-in-law and daughter-in-law to get along so well on matters of principle. I guess I can't complain. Most men would die to have this consensus – I am getting it for free.

I gave up my career in the industry at the peak of it (sample here), to help raise my kids – when I had a perfectly practical wife as a perfectly ideal and dotting mother who was already doing a perfectly good job of it.

Then in the middle of it all, I came out on the streets to protest the slaughter of innocent civilians, bringing the pride and joy of my life along with me!

And in the aftermath of one of the most destructive earthquakes in history, I put on my mountaineering backpack, hopped onto the back of an open truck one evening in the shivering December winter with knees that don't work so good no more, and made my way into the very freezing mountains to help with the relief effort and aid distribution in some meager way. Instead, I returned helped myself by those who had lost it all.

And I showed up at the World Social Forum and offered my help as a volunteer which they graciously accepted. I was to look into the proper functioning of the public toilet system at the venue. No one remained constipated, the septic tanks got emptied on time! And that's where I learnt what volunteer work really means. My teachers were kids half my age!

And I met many great plebeian peoples there. One such group of really inspiring visiting American youngsters I joined up to help set up 'friends without borders' letters exchange among school kids. That was fun for a while, until paranoia from the 'democratically elected generals' hit realism for the safety of our young local volunteers due to the possible wrath of the intelligence agencies for 'talking to the enemy' in the unpredictable international climate du jour – friends one day, terrorists the next – and I balked. The American idealists hated me for this realism of trying to be protective of my own peoples and wondered what happened to my much wonted idealism? I guess I was responsible for derailining the project in my nation.

All my friends think I am a bit weird. None of them can usually relate to me, judging from their profound disinterest in everything that interests me. None of them for instance, would stand in front of 'fully ready to shoot at demonstrators' Pakistani soldiers with camera in hand clicking away while trying to say 'hi-hello' to their stone dead faces that bear the unmistakable zombie look as if they had been dead since right after boot camp only waiting to be told where to point and when to fire. And when the trigger happy battle-attired city police started firing tear gas at the demonstrators in a densely populated market-residential area in the Capital city, and the choking fumes ended up in homes where women and children were taking refuge from the onslaught and some fainted, none of my friends would run up to the city magistrate supervising the mayhem and inform him that as an eyewitness to atrocities, he was being formally notified that there were women and children in the firing path and to order ambulances.

Okay so my friends are normal peoples and quite content in their night and day pursuits of their
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respective 'American Dreams', like I used to be. They'd rather just watch it all on the big screen, like I used to do. But they are still my best friends. Some of them since childhood. And because of all of them, I am a much happier person – for it is to them that I crawl when I stumble and fall. And in this instance, that's where I ended up with my eyes swollen and unable to breathe from hours of inhaling tear gas, but still clicking away.

So that's about it. Not much to me. A simple ordinary quite 'useless' plebeian whose efficacy of efforts in understanding the existential questions of 'life liberty and pursuit of happiness for all' as opposed to 'only for the privileged few', is exactly and precisely zero.

But there is also my 'My Little Jihad'. Why little? Because the greater one is far too difficult. It entails peering into the Abyss with all of one's might, but not allowing the Abyss to peer right back into one's soul. Only real human beings are capable of such monumental superhuman feats. Ones like Rachel Corrie – the quintessential moralist daughter of all humanity – who showed the meaning of the Greater Jihad to the spectating world. When will she be recognized as such by her own peoples? When will March 16 be made a universal Rachel Corrie day of Moral-Activism?

I wrote 'My Little Jihad' as an introduction to 'Me' sometimes a few weeks before May 2005. I don't quite recall exactly when, or even its full context. Probably the usual silly political philosophy question I must have put before some brilliant American Academic or think-tanker about what was his or her basis to assert 'Israel's right to exist' on Palestinian lands by displacing its natives, as opposed to, say, in the vast empty spaces of sunny California, or in beautiful Bavaria by displacing its natives? I found it on my desktop and it felt like – hey I still feel this way in April 2007. I guess I am now frozen in time, and have been for some time. Not 'out of place', just 'out of time'. So here it is.

[ The full context is this two-part 2005 Open Letter of Inquiry on Israel-Palestine: The fine art of academic scholarship for Zion and Zion and the poor American Academic, to Professor Juan Cole and other American academicians who teach Middle Eastern Studies. ]

My Little Jihad

~April 2005

Just to introduce myself and my own values briefly – you do not need to read this in order to respond to my question. But I would appreciate if you would as it will enable you to get to know your petitioner and his biases, including the motivation behind the question.
I am a Muslim from Pakistan, presently live in California, was trained at MIT in EECS, but only learnt how to think from Noam Chomsky while at MIT and not due to any MIT education in EECS which only endeavored to make me an expert in high-tech and not in recognizing simple moral truths and commonsense. I had this worm of idealist Activism in me awakened then, as we peacefully protested the brutal American intervention in South America in the late 1970s. People on campus used to call us 'leftist' and 'radicals', and that used to surprise me because I hardly thought I was a leftist or a radical. I was a rather plebeian ordinary 'cultural' Muslim who prayed to a God I had never met, and was just thinking about right and wrong and acting upon my conscience right alongside the assorted atheists and socialists – ‘the radicals' – who were doing the same. Do only 'leftists' and 'radicals' think about right and wrong? Did that mean that all the 'right wingers' and rest of society were devil worshipers? That conundrum still persists to this very day – but I needlessly digress.

This activism, i.e., conscience, was partially put on hold by the everyday economic interests of paying bills, as I dutifully marched to a successful career in the corporate world as a computer architect in silicon valley. I have about two dozen US design patents – you may even have edited a book or a paper on a workstation or server that may have been touched by my work at some point in time at your university – unless you use Windows exclusively. I hate Windows, but not as much as I detest Israel and Zionism, and the hypocrisy of people who support it when they would not have supported the Zionists doing it to them in America if per chance the Jewish god had granted them land rights in downtown USA, say in Michigan. But I digress again.

I recovered some of my activism after 911, which hitherto had been dormant off and on for several years as I enjoyed my early retirement from industry to help raise my family. With occasional pangs of guilt every time a bullet tore through a Palestinian child's eyes as having been subsidized by my own hard earned American tax dollars, I was living a rather content life, trying not to think too hard about the misery of my brethren the world over. Part of the Faustian pact for enjoying a good life of ample blessings appears to be to turn a blind eye from everyone else in the world, or else, the pleasures don't appear so pleasurable any more.

However, with the barbaric bombings of Afghanistan in a strange “algebra of infinite justice”, I was transformed as I started crying impotently at the plight of the helpless Afghani peoples, after years and years of fighting the Soviets barefoot on behalf of the Americans resulting in the complete destruction of their society and the creation of monsters within them, they were to be finally paid their due in just gratitude by the Americans. I went to the book stores and purchased several hundreds of dollars worth of books on current affairs and started once again reading up on what the hell had happened since the last time I had visited reality. I reread “The Rise and Fall of the Third Reich” cover to cover, revisited my history of American interventions in our developing countries since the end of World War II, caught up on my readings on the new ideologies that had cropped up since the demise of the Soviet Union, read up on the writings of the Neo-cons, globalization, WTO, and started attending protest meetings and teach-ins to educate myself.

As the talk of war on Iraq materialized, I got my lazy butt off my chair. I marched in the very front row of antiwar/peace demonstrations during the lead up to the Iraq war in 2002/2003, right behind the armed police line – front row because I felt, albeit fearfully, that if anyone should take the brunt of any baton charges and tear gassing, it should be me before any of my courageous fellow Americans who had risen up in support of my cause and of my peoples took a single hit (and I say “my” quite humbly as an ordinary member of a disempowered humanity and not like some pompous...
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jackass on an ego trip).

And in this endeavor of peaceful street demonstrations, I included my family and young children, as the minimum level of support I could feebly show for the impending innocent victims of America's largess of raining democracy onto a civilian population. To replace a dictator that the American's had faithfully coddled and encouraged to bomb Iran, and indeed faithfully installed through their central intelligence agency chicaneries several decades prior, and once Iran had been contained, was lured into invading Kuwait, and then subsequently pummeled to smithereens in a devastating war followed by 13 years of stifling economic sanctions that cost over 500,000 children to lose their innocent lives due to malnutrition and unavailability of basic medicines. The irony of these neo-con job seemed to be lost on the American people entirely, as the faithful intellectuals of the empire continued to rant and rave about red herrings of WMDs that the fertile minds of the Neo-cons kept sprinkling from the highest pulpits with such imaginative gems like “absence of evidence is not evidence of absence”.

Remarkable, because I saw absolutely no intellectual in America challenge the official explanations of 911 on this precious piece of wisdom. If this crap is good enough to warrant bombing Baghdad, should it also not be good enough to command the US Air Force to do the same to Washington who I will now assert precipitated 911 as their new Pearl Harbor? How do I know? What is the evidence? Well, “absence of evidence is not evidence of absence”.

But wait, there is actually a lot of evidence, amply found in the open sources, sufficient at least to start asking probing questions about the lies surrounding the official version of events. Then there is also the actual precedence too – new evidence released through the FOIA from the US Government's once classified files suggest that President Roosevelt had a hand in letting the original Pearl Harbor happen, for he too needed a pretext to get America to enter the European war. Also easily available on the web. Indeed this evidence is incredibly shocking. Would we have to wait another half century to come by evidence for the new Pearl Harbor? But I digress again – only to illustrate the reasons and motivations that were compelling me to voice my dissent through the only means I thought might be effective in averting the war – street protest by millions and millions of people wizening up to the charade being played on them by Washington. What is today, in 2005, starting to appear in blogs and various local newspapers under the bylines of well known journalists playing catchup to reality, I already knew then through books, first hand discussions with peace activists, reading foreign newspapers and reporting of courageous unembedded foreign correspondents, and through daily hours of listening to the wonderful Pacifica Network news programs like DemocracyNow and Flashpoints on KPFA. I knew the hoax was on and wanted to do my small part in ending it.

It was quite easy for us demonstrating in the safety of American streets, despite the presence of imposing cops right in front of us, with whom many a times I would try to strike up conversations and introduce my children in the hope that if the large storm trooper like giants ever dared to raise their baton in a moment of fury, that they might remember that just a few minutes ago they had smiled at the kids and might show them some mercy – mercy that no other children awaiting their impending shattering of tabula rasa would receive from the American bombardiers following their orders to “shock and awe” civilians. My courageous kids, as young as they are, the youngest at the time was 9, are all martial artists (soon to be black belts in Tae Kwon Do in a couple of weeks), and we had practiced at home how they should behave and act in passive resistance in case some policeman came towards them, including yelling out at the top of their lungs: “stop” in the best rendering of their
Kiaps. They wore 24x36 inch placards around their innocent necks that they had stayed up most of the night preparing, each had come up with their own pithy statement to George Bush. But alas! Perhaps the President was too busy to take note of my children's innocent thoughts, for he might have been saved by the simplicity of their messages from the eternal damnation that the curses of millions of innocent victims must now surely plead to their Lord to rain down upon him in full vengeance.

I often wonder if Jesus really has the power to wash away the sins of mass murderers of humanity who commit these monumental crimes in his name or to bring his arrival sooner? Is there some special exclusion clause that makes these monumental criminals exempt from Divine Justice? Hmmm, perhaps I am in the wrong religion – my God assures us of Divine Justice – take a misstep in this life and you shall pay in the next. Kill one innocent person and it is akin to having killed entire humanity. Wow, I dare not commit murder or become a suicide bomber out of sheer desperation while I am Muslim, or else I will have eternal damnation to look forward to. May be I should become an Evangelical Christian first if I ever get mad enough? Or perhaps a Christian Zionist? Or maybe just a Zionist? For then I will be in the company of George Bush and he will surely save me. Doesn't he walk with the lord and communicate with him directly? Na, such a hypocrite 'religion', whatever the name, and such a hypocrite 'savior', whatever its name, is not for me!

I just as soon take my chances in Islam where at least the God claims He is the “Most Just”. For what other philosophy is there that is so firmly rooted in justice? Which other god has the same emphasis on justice? Perhaps the humanist's god? But they have no god, and with them, justice denied in the world, is justice denied period. But in Islam, there is a promise of fair justice that keeps a victim's hope alive. But I generally tend to be too impatient. Justice meted out elsewhere is also justice denied here – even when there is the firm promise of it in the future – I like to see it administered right here and now, for the victims too are right here and now.

But how is it even possible to punish for 100,000 murders? Rigorous imprisonment for 100,000 lifetimes with dogs snapping at the genitals 24x7 in a small hell hole in Guantanamo Bay seems so inadequate, even if taken straight from the Old Testament Commandment of eye for an eye, for we certainly don't want to exceed in the punishment, eye for an eye is just fine. But the problem is that the butchers of a hundred thousand, or a million, with devastation of entire civilizations, and directly responsible for “all the evil that follow[ed]” from their first aggression, with Depleted Uranium everywhere that will continue killing for untold more generations, the total crime can be in millions of 'human units'. Never mind the collateral 'environmental units'. Which is why I refer to their crimes as monumental. But the cowardly murderers will be dead in a few years, if not right off the bat the first day they see the dogs sniffing at their crotch as they are tied upside down and their heads dunked in their own urine and a rod up their hidden cavities – all the stuff meted out by the imperial soldiers of fortunes to innocent Muslim civilian prisoners arbitrarily held in prison camps dotting the destroyed landscapes of Iraq and Afghanistan in the most inhuman condition imaginable – eye for an eye, punishment from their own books. How to administer it? For once administered, only then will it deter future monumental crimes. Otherwise what did the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunals ever deter?

Indeed, what earthly punishment can any genuine war crimes tribunal ever implement on a monumental war criminal to affect justice? These bastards will croak in a day, they are already in their 60s. How can one subject a monumental war criminal to an explosion of each Daisy Cutter that he authorized dropped, and each missile that he authorized fired, in accordance with the eye for an eye justice? True eye for an eye justice is infeasible in modern times, isn't it? Which is why shouldn't
modernity forcefully deter, and make impossible, monumental crimes before they occur? Since modernity and its civilized harbingers have continually failed at that endeavor, I guess one would, after all, have to rely on Divine Justice to get real justice now wouldn't one? I pray every time I remember my God, which these days is not too often any more, to execute His promise of Divine Justice. For it is indeed only such a promise that keeps me a bit sane every time a child falls with a bullet to his head in Palestine and I see another Zionist happy, another wedding party blown to bits and a Neo-con from the Pentagon stating they do not keep track of Iraqi and Afghani civilian casualties. But enough digressing.

Holding steadfast to God's promise of Divine Justice against these dressed to kill barbarians in Washington, we marched a few miles each time in each protest march, between October 2002, to I think March 2003, in deep silence praying in our hearts our Islamic prayers for the innocent people about to be killed, while we were secure in the knowledge that no “shock and awe” was about to rain down upon us from the clear American sky, and no twenty year old Israeli soldier, wearing the holy Jewish yamaka and laden with an American made rifle and American made bullets, sitting in the safety of his American made armored tank, was about to shoot at my small children in the eyes and in the head while simultaneously lamenting: “I can forgive them for killing our children, I cannot forgive them for making us kill theirs”.

I detest hypocrisy, especially among intellectuals, but am often forgiving of it among the ordinary people who often do not know any better. I hold the intellectuals, as wearing the mantle of Plato, clearly responsible for educating the ordinary peoples in this matter of avoiding hypocrisy – a crime so severe, that all moral codes of law and “divine” injunctions that I am aware of, including those of the Jews, Christians, and Muslims, consign its practitioners to the lowest recesses of hell. Far lower than even what Hitler would occupy, for even as one of the worst mass murderers that mankind has seen in history, he wasn't a hypocrite. He had clearly spelled out his opinions and value propositions in his memoirs a decade before he executed upon his barbaric plans, including his demonic ideas on how to deceive his own German masses through systematic propaganda techniques. I have read his memoirs several times. I feel what allowed him to become such a monumental criminal was not that the people were deceived by him, rather because initially no one believed his outlandish ideas would ever be put to practice. What allowed Hitler to be defeated, was when people outside Germany realized he wasn't kidding and wasn't going to be satisfied with occupying just a few countries only – or so the dominant version of history tells us.

In contrast, I feel this new “war on terrorism” is as replete with deception and red herrings under the euphemism of “preemptive self defense”, as Israel's occupation and continued annexation of Palestine under America's watchful patronage is in various guises. These deception techniques to me look remarkably like they are drawn from Hitler's own propaganda theories, and as employed so dexterously by Goebbels in the service of the Third Reich.

I further feel that the ones who enable this deception and do little to remove the red herrings despite their claim to being intellectuals, are often times the greater monumental criminals because they disempower the peoples over whom they hold the responsibility for education in all matters too abstruse. And in so doing, they lengthen the period of suffering and destruction by keeping those who are able to stop the injustices, in total obfuscation. This leads the good peoples to waste their incredible talents and energies chasing this red herring or that.
And now it is about to start all over again with Iran. This time, I won't attend demonstrations. It was a pointless expenditure of perfectly useful energy for very little gain last time, the size was never more than half a million in the largest city in America, whereas even in small nations like Rome, millions turned out to say No to George Bush. The American nation is quite asleep – they will only turn out in the streets in massive numbers as they did during Vietnam when their own sons and daughters start coming home in body bags in huge numbers. What a high price to pay to wakeup, or to not stay awake in the first place. The American nation clearly holds its own lives far more precious than anyone else's. This message is known far and wide across the globe – you want America to behave, make it extremely costly for them to misbehave – for strength will only respect strength as we witnessed during the Cold War where Détente was the only sane option – it is almost a law of civilizations, 'as old as mankind'. What can I do besides lament? I have no idea. But I do not wish to waste my energies in pursuits that bear little fruit. I wish I had the imagination, the intellect, and the resources to checkmate the Neo-cons on their own Grand Chessboard; perhaps a plebeian 'Rand Corporation', or a plebeian 'JINSA', or even a superpower plebeian nation of awakened citizenry. But as Nietzsche put it: “Battle not with monsters lest ye become one; for when you look into the Abyss, the Abyss looks into you.”

I respect truth tellers who regardless of consequence follow the diktats of their conscience – who know not the meaning of co-option – hence I am a lifetime student of such teachers. My most revered teacher today, is Rachel Corrie. She proved Nietzsche wrong, she battled the monstrous bulldozer, lost her life under its tracks, but salvaged her humanity, her Jewish religion, and reclaimed for all times, the meaning of Jewish morality, unequivocally demonstrating the brutality of Zionism and the inhumanity of its adherents. In the legacy of her few letters to her mother, which her mother thoughtfully made public for the benefit of us all, she left behind her thoughts on the curse of Zionism and what it has done to an innocent people in Palestine. How can anyone support Zionism and look at themselves in the mirror in the morning? Rachel Corrie could not – which is why she had to go to the Holy Land of oppression and take a stand against her own people. I lack the courage and determination of such pure and angelic teachers as Rachel Corrie, for even as a Jew, she was a better Muslim than I. Even in her martyrdom, she is more alive than I.

I perceive that with the passage of time, with newer Jewish generations continually being born on Palestinian lands, the land theft will be a fait accompli – which is why, contrary to all the talk of time not favoring the Jews in Israel due to higher birth rate of the Palestinians in their pitiful bantustans and UN relief tents, it is actually time that is the Zionist's best friend today. Who today dare evict a Californian, born and raised for generations on main street, the land usurped from the Mexicans – no one today will consider it “just” to evict the Californian any more than the new Jewish generations being born in today's Israel. Thus we see a systematic resettlement of Palestine quite analogous to America – and who does not know today how that land theft was accomplished from the native American Indians? Thus with the passage of time, the problem will become more intractable to peaceful solutions and the Zionists will win by default. The only mechanism that will dislodge them in the future then becomes quite obvious: “Battle not with monsters lest ye become one; for when you look into the Abyss, the Abyss looks into you.” For unlike the angelic Rachel Corrie, the price of having a soul may be forfeited when much has already been paid at the altar of a shattered tabula rasa.

I see only bloodshed and more mayhem – a disservice that the American nation has done to the Jews by supporting the creation of Israel and bankrolling its oppression and tyranny over the
indigenous population. Whereas the native American was easily colonized as they had no common bonds with anyone but their own tribes, the Palestinians are united in a bond with 1.5 Billion Muslims called Islam. The Justice of Islam on the neck of the Jews sometimes re-occurs in Islamic “end of times” prophesies. Is this a new version of Christian anti-Semitism? The goyim turning the tables on their masters? Beware of the Greeks bearing gifts has been given new meaning in the Christian West's gratuitous gifting to the Jews, the lands of the Palestinians.

One really wonders at the motivation of some Christian intellectuals who support Israel and Zionism, and who made them this gift to get rid of their own Jewish problem from Europe. If the Jewish intellectuals have any vision and concern for the future of their peoples, they might rise up and loudly condemn Zionism and work on restoring Palestine to Palestinians. There is room in the land for all born on that soil to live together, equitably, and peacefully. And all those transplanted from New York and Russia and other places, may kindly be deported to the countries of their origins, and those Palestinians displaced into the Diaspora invited back to reclaim their own properties. Show me why this solution is not the most just and fair – a united Palestine for all its indigenous peoples, and no Zionist Jewish State in Palestine.

If it could be accomplished for South Africa, it can also be accomplished for Palestine. The problem is only in properly defining the key value of “Zionism”. Today it is presented as a desirable value proposition, whereas in reality it is a terrorist ideology. Thus actively engaging the “war on terrorism” machinery of the mightiest superpower on earth against it will solve this seemingly intractable conundrum in a few short months. The issue really isn't one of finding a solution. The issue really is one of the will and desire of implementing it. Those in power want to sustain their global hegemony, and Israel is an essential component of their overall tortuous strategy in a convolution of mutual interests. Thus the problem definition is not Israel, but those ruling elite in power in America, who perpetually tend to stay in power in the great American political landscape regardless of party affiliations. Today, due to the composition of the imperial thinkers and the financial power-base, Zionism is the bet and Israel is its manifestation. Tomorrow it may be another, as it was in yesteryears when no one had even heard of 'Israel' in America; when there was only Palestine. Zionism is merely another chess piece on the Grand Chessboard. And like some chess pieces, its existence can be made ephemeral by new and evolving strategies.

As an impotent armchair warrior fighting the despotism of my own conscience, writing letters such as these is my own little jihad from which I derive the limited ability to occasionally be able to look at myself in the mirror, and not cringe. Well that's all I want to say about me.

Zahir Ebrahim
They dared to knock on my door

April 2003.

Abstract

This essay describes my two encounters with the United States of America's Security Agencies as they came knocking on my door in March and April 2003 in the supposed hot pursuit of the boogie man du-jour. The essay describes what transpired, how I felt, and my extemporaneous lecture to them on how dare they come visit me without any justification other than ethnic and religious profiling. Why should they want to interrogate me just because I am a Muslim from a foreign country? Is that now a crime in America?

I was startled by that dreaded knock on the front door, and not a polite one at that, nor even ringing of the door bell, but what sounded like urgent pounding. Two men flashed their badges in my face for a few seconds when I rushed to the door, stated that they were from the FBI, and asked me if I was Mr. so and so. The badges disappeared just as quickly as they had appeared in the best rendering of life imitating art I had ever seen. When I replied in the affirmative, they asked to see my ID to verify it. After I had shown them my driver's license and they seemed satisfied that I was indeed the person they had asked for, they started asking me for my SSN (Social Security Number), my telephone number, whether I was citizen or permanent resident, and if the latter to show them the Green card, how long I had been in the country, when did I become permanent resident, when did I travel last?

Now being a reasonably intelligent person under normal conditions, it suddenly occurred to me to ask them why they were talking to me in the first place, and how my name was flagged to them. They indicated that my name had loosely matched a terrorist they were looking for and it was off by only a couple of letters in the name, but that fortunately for me the guy they were seeking was thin and short, while I am tall and big, so I should relax and simply answer their questions. I questioned them that if they were already convinced I wasn't the guy they wanted, why were they still here, and why I should answer any of their questions beyond having showed them my ID to prove my identity? And in my heart I was thanking God for the first time about my overweight, for I could have been in the docks based solely on my size according to them. They mumbled something about this “terrorism thing around us” being the reason they wanted to talk to me now that they were here anyway.

My head still in a daze but starting to function again slowly, I suggested to them that the number of possible names they would be searching based on their 'partial string matching' criteria
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could potentially be in the thousands, and were they planning to visit them all at their homes? They said no. So now it seemed that they had picked me specifically. And if they could find out where I lived, they could just as easily find out answers to the questions they were asking me by simply looking them up on their super computers. So why this visit to my home in the first place? What did they really want to talk to me about? And what was the real criteria they used to finger me? And what was in that folder they were carrying in their hand that they kept referring to? So I asked them whether I could see what information they had on me in that folder, and I stretched my hand out to receive it from them: “Well, the FBI is not in the business of disclosing its information to anyone” was the curt reply as they moved the folder closer to their chest.

I was getting more and more perturbed that perhaps they had come to me because I was a certain nationality and a brown skinned Muslim at that, and all of the preceding dialog could just be a pretext. To confirm this further, I asked them if they were also planning to interview any of the other folks in the neighborhood, and they said no, it was just me they wanted to talk to. Why me? The fact that their story line would have been so obviously unconvincing to anyone except the most naive, also disturbed me very much. Did they think I was stupid? Or perhaps they wanted me to feel that indeed their pretext was phony so that I might get afraid? Why didn't they just leave when they indicated they were satisfied I was not the person they were chasing. And if that was indeed only a pretext, why didn't they simply come right out and tell me honestly why they had come to my house? I might have been more agreeable had they demonstrated some legitimate cause. All of these things started to whirl in my fertile imagination and I began to see the Japanese internment camps of World War II looming at the horizon. My heart was beating rather quickly, but I mustered some presence of mind and decided not to submit to any of their questioning without a lawyer present, and I told them so.

I was quite relieved when they very politely agreed, even apologized several times for having disturbed and distressed me so much, reassured me that they had not come to arrest me nor was I a suspect in any way and they were simply chasing down terrorists, and left me with their calling card and the scary recollections from Elie Wiesel's book “Night” about how the Jewish community was gradually intimidated from similar knocks on the door into a full blown Holocaust:

“They never demanded the impossible, made no unpleasant comments, and even smiled occasionally at the mistress of the house ... even brought a box of chocolates. The optimists rejoiced ... 'what did we tell you. You wouldn't believe us. They are your Germans ... where is their famous cruelty.' The Germans were already in the town, the Fascists were already in power, the verdict had already been pronounced, yet the Jews of Sighet continued to smile.”

I have no reason to smile. One of the disturbing consequences of being a thinking person who is not ignorant of the lessons of history, and who is not unwary of the propaganda in the mainstream American media that dutifully toes the government's line as if they were a state sponsored news agency of the Soviet Union of the yore, is the awesome realization that I am living in a nation that is fast declining into the worst form of Fascism ever to exist. For like the people in Plato's mythical cave, where all they can see and experience is the reality synthesized for them by the spinning controllers outside the cave, the majority of the kind people in this great nation are becoming enslaved and don't even realize it. It is worse than a gilded cage, because there is no need for a cage for the “Prisoners of
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the Cave” (see Part-2 of this book). Is it any coincidence that the United States is the only country in the world in which while there have been record antiwar protests in many of its cities, the vast majority of its mainstream public overwhelmingly supports the “war on terrorism” as evidenced by opinion polls and the rising popularity of its President? Does this perhaps have anything to do with the fact that the mainstream public is also incessantly being exposed to the government leaders arguing the justifications for this “fictitious war” with “fictitious facts”, necessitating all this curbing of civil liberties to hunt down the “fictitious fifth columnist” – and not to the opposing voices and reasoned critics who possess the tools and knowledge to dismantle and deconstruct this “fiction” that is perpetuated through mindless repetitions of “officials say” in the well oiled media machinery? The unnerving similarities between the rise of Fascism in Germany – the Third Reich, and what is happening now in this once great and hospitable nation for all immigrants – the making of a Fourth Reich, is making me reconsider whether I should pack my bags and catch that last boat out of this place before this impending “Red Yellow and Green” travel ticket labeling technology of the Total Information Awareness (TIA) system inadvertently closes even the doors of exit for its victims.

Then the door bell rang again three weeks later. After the FBI's first visit, I had immediately called the National Lawyers Guild for advice. Thanks to the fliers they had distributed everywhere, we had put up their phone number up on the wall and didn't have to hunt for it. They immediately gave me the name and contact for a wonderful attorney, who in turn met with me to explain to me what was really going on in the immigrant community with respect to this “war on terrorism”. “What they were really after was compiling an extensive database of every detail of your life”, my lawyer explained. I had been under the impression that perhaps the FBI had mistakenly visited me, and I should try to clear up the matter with them so that they wouldn't hassle me at airports when we traveled during the summer vacation with the kids.

My very distinguished and kindly attorney must have surely marveled at my naiveté when he suggested that the FBI is not in the business of clearing people, there was no such thing. All they are doing is compiling a database right now, and who knows how it could be used in the future, perhaps it would be one of the feeders into the TIA system. So why were they asking me all these questions whose answers they should already have in their own computers? Because that was just a pretext to get you to talk about your brother or sister or friend or people at the mosque or to peek at your passport to see where you have been or the content of the discussion you were seen to be having around the cooler in your office or with your neighbor or colleague from 10 years ago, etc. etc. explained my lawyer.

Oh boy! I wasn't keen on participating in this Gestapo interrogation, sugar coated though it might have been, but I was still anxious to know what had really triggered the FBI to come to my house like that. Being a law abiding and tax paying engineer by profession, with more than two dozen patents, having contributed to the computer industry that fueled the silicon valley technological revolution even if only as a cog in the capitalist machinery, and having lived more than half my life in this country pursuing the proverbial American dream, of making an honest living and raising a family in the pursuit of life liberty and happiness for all, it was quite distressing to me that I should be visited by the FBI at my home. They had caught me in my pajamas enjoying a sunny afternoon, without any intimation of their visit. Not the best way to seek cooperation – only foster intimidation. So my lawyer decided to write them a letter seeking further clarification on the real reasons for their visit to my house, whether I was some sort of suspect or not, and declining to being interviewed by them or anyone else until we got some clarification.
Furthermore, the two men who had identified themselves as FBI agents left me their business card that stated they were from the local Sheriff's office, “Special Operations Division – Criminal Intelligence/Vice Unit”, and not the FBI. After they had left, while waiting to get in touch with my assigned attorney, I had called the number of the Sheriff's office by first getting it from 411 (information) just to verify that it wasn't some kind of con game for getting me to divulge my social security number, and I was told that the officer was indeed working there but was on special deputation, and they could not say any more. When I told my attorney about my sleuthing, he seemed quite surprised that the local county Sheriff's office was cooperating in conducting intrusive interviews of the immigrant community when several local cities had passed resolutions not to cooperate with the FBI in their enactment of the draconian Patriot Act.

So now three weeks later when I greeted two new strangers at the door flashing their Homeland Security badges at me, I was initially stunned. They again asked for me by my name, and questioned me whether I was a citizen or permanent resident, and wanted to see my green card when I answered them. But this time, for some reason, I felt myself getting mad. I asked them what kind of information system they had that they know my name and address but cannot look up my immigration status on their computers, that they physically had to drive up here to question me when they could have simply sent me a letter requesting my presence at their immigration office to verify my status. They muttered something about their databases or computers from the 70s and 80s not working properly with modern systems, I did not quite understand their explanation. And my mind was doing a logical analysis of why couldn't they have simply written to me if that was indeed the case, to come down to their office with my green card? And if I was a flight risk or something, then why wait 3 weeks after the first FBI visit. And especially after I had specifically indicated through my lawyer to the FBI that I did not want to be disturbed like this? Or was this a brand new visit, unrelated to the previous one? And then I also got a little afraid, because two plus two was adding up to five.

So I asked them to wait until I contacted my lawyer to see what my options were, that I was only going to cooperate with them to the extent that they were lawfully entitled to, and that I was going to assert every single right that this country's Constitution afforded me. And I let them know in no uncertain terms that I was upset at their visit to my home. They warned me that unless I produced my green card right there and then, they will arrest me. I responded that I wanted to verify whether they indeed had this right to ask for my green card by making this cold call visit to my home, when they were not making similar visits to any of my neighbors nor seeking this information from anyone else other than brown skinned people. After a bit of loud argument in which they wanted to know whether I was calling them racist, and that they themselves were of Hispanic origin and were not racist, I said no I was not calling them racist and would they kindly allow me to make this phone call to my lawyer, they graciously agreed. But they also requested that I return them the courtesy by leaving the front door open, to which I agreed by saying that normally we are very hospitable people and under any other circumstance I would have invited them in and offered them tea, but that in this case they were kindly not to come inside my house without a warrant. Now that I look back on that moment, I am very surprised that I had the chutzpah to stand up to the Homeland Security people like that, and also quite relieved that they turned out to be tolerant enough to not arrest me for it. I must admit that it also gives me hope that all is not lost yet, that old laws are still being upheld and the basic decencies of their executors still does shine through their facades.

So I called my lawyer while the G men waited at the open door. The poor attorney who despite
being quite sick very kindly answered his cell phone, and confirmed to me that the immigration and homeland security folks could ask me for my immigration status by making a cold call visit to my home. It appeared that the FBI had sent in bigger guns. So I dug out my green card from the secure passport container that I keep it in for safe keeping along with rest of our travel documents and showed it to them. Now a new drama ensued. First one of the officers examined it carefully and said something that sounded like “this is not valid”. And I freaked out. What do you mean it's not valid? Me, the meticulous person all of my life in every such detail, how can my green card not be valid? The officer said I should get a new one, that it was a lot nicer. And now I am even more confused. What has nice got anything to do with it?

I asked him to explicitly state to me in clear language what was wrong with my green card which I had carried with me faithfully for almost 19 years now. No one at the port of entries during our summer travels previously had ever said a word about it not being valid. In fact, they would simply scan it and presumably all my history would show up on their computers and they would happily waive me on, never even asking me any of the questions they usually asked other brown people with green cards. So what was up here?

The officer called someone on his cell phone, read out my green card number, got some kind of confirmation, and made me feel quite relieved when he stated to me that there was nothing wrong with the green card and that it was still very valid, but that I should get the new one because it was a lot nicer! Now, my green card is the permanent type that does not need to be renewed during one's lifetime, whereas the new ones, I understand, need renewal every so many years. I was not about to trade in my precious permanent credential for something that might look a lot nicer. Who cares how it looks? And besides, who knows, perhaps it has a microchip embedded in it that tracks all your movements, as part of the TIA. In any case, after the Homeland security officer had cleared my green card and advised me to always carry it on my person instead of keeping it in a safety box, and panic had subsided, a strange thing occurred.

By this time it must have been at least 20 or more minutes and we seemed to have developed a rapport of some strange Orwellian sort through it all. The weeks and months of unvoiced frustration that had been building up inside me about the harassment and intimidation that the Muslim community was suffering unjustly, all due to the adventureism of the few in the White House, suddenly came to the surface. Strangely, now I became their interlocutor. I called them back as they started to leave, and started lecturing them on how unhappy I was about this whole thing, how civil liberties were being eroded in the name of security. They remarked that they were only following an order from up above and did not know why they were sent to my house, to which I reminded them of what Eichmann said in his Jerusalem trial, that he was just following orders. Then they argued that they were just doing this to keep their streets secure, and I reminded them of what their own founding father the great Benjamin Franklin had said about the preciousness of freedom, that those who trade their essential liberty to obtain a little temporary safety, deserved neither.

Then my wife showed up from work, and being even more outspoken than me, and being a US citizen, she got very upset at their visiting our home like this, attempting to terrorize and intimidate us with their Gestapo tactics and she said so. And then she exclaimed: “perhaps when I return home one day I will not find my husband because he would have been hauled off to Guantanamo Bay”. So what does one of the officer respond with? Instead of reassuring us that something like that wouldn't happen, that we weren't suspects or anything, like the FBI agents had done previously, he goes: “Well I
have been there, it's not such a bad place”. And I remarked “were you on the inside or the outside?”
quite incredulous at this insane justification for hauling someone innocent off to the prison camp in
Guantanamo Bay where according to all reports, the conditions of the interred even in the most
optimistic manner can be best described as inhuman. And he responded by saying something even
more bizarre “well aren't we are, all inside of something”! I could feel a Kafkaesque moment coming
on. Were they playing with me or was it just that they couldn't think fast enough at their own
interlocution at our hands?

I felt that just the fact that they had knocked on my door and no one else's in the neighborhood,
was racial profiling and a presumption of guilt on their part, and a very intimidating moment for me
because now I was going to have psychological problems about door knocks and door bells. And I also
worried that my questioning them about their right to do so might lead them to think that I was trying
to hide something. So I explained out loud to them that while I had nothing to hide, there was no
reason for them to be suspicious at my wanting to assert my rights. I was living in a country which did
accord me such rights, which is why I was living here and not elsewhere in the first place. I lectured
them that it was unfair that they had their periscopes drawn on the Muslim community, that despite
this “war on terrorism”, innocent until proven guilty was a fundamental edict of this nation and cannot
be wiped away by the Patriot Act 1, intrusive and obnoxious though it may be. And I even cautioned
them, just in case they were not aware – few people are, of the looming Patriot Act 2 which is far more
insidious and dangerous proposal for all US citizens and would impact them and their children and
grandchildren far more than it would impact me, since I am not a citizen. I told them the story of the
proverbial frog which can easily jump out of instant hot water and escape, but not from the reassuring
warmth of gradually heated luke-warm water whose temperature is raised slowly to a boiling point,
one civil liberty at a time, until there is no escape, only Fascism. They just stared at me, perhaps
confounded by my spontaneous burst of outspokenness. Perhaps they had not expected such a
reception, perhaps they were usually accustomed to the acquiescence of people they had been visiting
up to that time, and had not thought about any of these issues as they carried out their normal course of
duties. Like it used to be in Germany!

Why was I so garrulously lecturing the two officers from Homeland Security on the erosion of
civil rights? As I am sure they must have wondered while they seemed to have all the time in the world
listening to my rant. I don't know whether they were recording my speech surreptitiously or were
simply trying to placate me, perhaps they felt badly, I don't know. But I answered their unstated
question quite clearly that I wanted them to go home and reflect on these persecutory orders that they
were following blindly. I told them quite frankly, that well intentioned though they might be in
diligently trying to do their jobs to earn a living, the unintended consequences of their actions was
having a drastic effect. It was not only creating fear and terror in the immigrant community and
causing discussions in families about whether it was indeed time to leave this country – their home for
the past so many years, but also providing legitimacy to these draconian measures by the government.
In time, it will come to bite their own families – weren't they of Hispanic origin? At some point during
my tirade, they wondered why I had not become a citizen all this time, I had sure been here long
enough – as if one's native nationality is of no value. I felt a bit offended at that question and blurted
out that in this unfortunate climate of oppression with G-men knocking at my door, I saw no
compelling reason to become a citizen of this United States.

I was making these comments to them perhaps at the risk of being labeled “uncooperative” and
“non-submissive”, and what if that is fed into the TIA system which in turn may deny me boarding my flight when I want to visit my mother in an emergency? Being a computer science person myself, I well understand the pitfalls of false positives of systems like the TIA, where an elephant can easily squeak by but a mouse is netted. And what of my transcribing these events and going public with it? Having been a private person all my life, not much caring for limelight or publicity, as my wife and I endeavor to raise our family with the freedom and opportunity that this country was providing us, will I now pay for exercising my free speech rights, the most prized edict of this nation?

But is anyone listening?

Free speech only means something when there is someone listening. I can have all the free speech in vacuum and still die from asphyxiation.

Thus ended my saga with the two G men from Homeland Security at my door. I doubt if I made much of an impression on them besides that of a very agitated person. But I do hope I was articulate enough to make them at least think twice before they go knocking on other immigrants home like this, when they can just as easily send them a courteous letter requesting them to bring their paper work to the immigration office for verification. Similarly, there is no need for the FBI to come knocking with such urgency that they even don't see the door bell, they can do likewise and request an audience at a police station along with an attorney. There is absolutely no justification for causing intimidation and fear by knocks on the door at homes in front of family members and children. Unless of course there is a more insidious purpose behind it, that the intent is indeed to intimidate the Muslim and immigrant community and create fear on purpose, so that many of them would simply pack up and leave. This rings too familiar with what transpired with the Jews in xenophobic Nazi Germany, and I sure hope that this is not true in this country. Thus to demonstrate it is not true, why don't they just stop the harassment with the door knocks? I would have been quite willing to comply with a written request to show up at an immigration office with my green card, albeit I have grave concerns answering unjustified private questions that are just feeders into a database for the TIA. I would probably not answer them. Or would I? Two plus two can ultimately still make five!

Before they departed, the two officers did advise me that if we did not like the new laws being framed in this country, my wife being a US citizen, should write to our congress person and be an active participant in the democratic process. The conversation with them overall was quite cordial, albeit their responses at times didn't always make much sense to me – perhaps they were an invitation to keep talking, and there was indeed an Orwellian touch to the whole thing. I did not feel threatened by them except at the beginning when they wanted to see my Green card or else, and under any other 'normal' circumstance, these guys could very well have been my respected colleagues at work. And that is indeed one of my biggest fears, that ordinary well intentioned people, may end up becoming complicit in perpetuating the most heinous crimes of the future through their silence or unquestioning inadvertence of their jobs – yet again.

My attorney later told me that the first FBI agent called him up in response to his letter, and much after this second visit by Homeland security, identified himself as an FBI agent, and told him that it was a purely “voluntary” questioning they wanted to do of me. When my attorney informed him that I was quite keen on clearing up any misunderstandings they might have but that I would like my attorney to be present, the FBI agent said he did not see any point in interviewing me if an attorney was to be present, and just hung up!
In other words, if I have legal representation, they leave me alone! They only want to interrogate me if I don't have legal representation! And they don't even inform me that it is voluntary when they first come to visit and instead tell me that my name had loosely matched some terrorist they were hunting down! So much for the State's Security apparatus upholding the public's civil rights in good faith! My knowing and insisting on my rights because of the brochure that NLG had handed out was instrumental in defeating their design of interrogating me without legal representation – or so it appears for the moment. Only for the moment however, as they can always interrogate one at airports, especially on arrival from overseas. In the guise of making immigration checks, they are regularly putting Muslims through a barrage of unjustified questioning and there are no lawyers present then.

It is also somewhat confusing what precisely are their rights under the new Patriot Act 1. Contradicting my attorney's advice to show them my credentials, several other civil rights lawyers including from NLG subsequently told me that even Homeland Security does not have the right and could not have legally arrested me for not showing them my green card on demand inside my own home. This is why I had taken the stand in the first place. But those federal agents just wouldn't back off necessitating that call to my attorney. And while my attorney saved me from further unnecessary aggravation for he quickly realized that I should not become the test case for this, I am somewhat confused about where the new boundaries are between Rights of the people vs. Rights of the new laws, which are themselves unconstitutional. This has become so bizarre that we are debating the legality of unconstitutional laws, but not with respect to the Constitution as it should be, but with respect to what extent they are applicable! I thank my attorney for thinking of my personal well being over and above those of the civil rights cause for which he has devoted his entire life (he even refused to take monetary compensation from me despite my telling him that I could afford to pay him and he instead directed me to donate it when I insisted that he accept payment for representing me). For had he said no instead of yes, I was fully determined to not show them my green card and who knows what might have happened. I was not living in America to experience their State Fascism. I could certainly experience plenty of it elsewhere in much of the developing world replete with American client-states.

I do not know when there will be another knock on my door. But I dread it very much. Not because I have something to be guilty for, but because it is indeed not a question of innocence or guilt. I am no more guilty than the poor Jew was in the 1930s as he was marched to unspeakable horrors, and it had also started for him with an innocuous knock on the door, only to be apologized for later by the rest of the world vowing never to forget. Or the poor Japanese was in the 1940s as he was unceremoniously scooped into the internment camps at the orders of a US President, again beginning with a knock on the door, only to be apologized for decades later by another US President. Or the alleged communist sympathizer was in the 1950s, when a US Senator used the FBI to run a campaign of fear and terror in the pursuit of a fictitious enemy, culminating in the FBI abusing their powers of surveillance on the respected civil rights leaders in the 1960s, leading to the eventual recognition that security agencies cannot be trusted to uphold the rights of ordinary citizens and a curtailment of their powers in the 1970s, which have now been re-unleashed in the 2000s on the Muslims by yet another overzealous US President.

Is this nation so “memory challenged” that it needs refreshing every decade or so at the expense of witch hunting another minority community? The only thing Muslims are guilty of, is perhaps the hijab they wear, the mosque they visit, the country they hail from, or their skin tone. Why should that elicit a visit from the FBI, when blond haired, church going, white Christian folks are exempt from
such visits? Weren't Timothy McVeigh and Terry Nichols Christian terrorists who blew up the Oklahoma state building? While it has not been proven that 9-11 was the work of Muslims, only unsubstantiated blanket assertions made by the American government that it indeed was the handiwork of a handful of Arab “Muslim terrorists” (their bodies were never found, 5 of the 19 names attributed to the dead hijackers were later discovered to belong to innocent people quite alive and healthy), why is it suddenly okay to hold culpable an entire people because of the sins of individual criminals, even if they were “Muslims”? Such standards if applied to the Jews would lead to an uproar in the American society with charges of Anti-Semitism. And of course never applied to the Christians themselves. Double standards can ultimately, never win – but a price has to be paid for it – again and again.

Wake up sleeping and complacent America!

It may have started with the Muslims, but it will not end there. You might be next. Fascism usually starts against a minority group, because it is easier to tolerate since it is only happening to those “damn Arabs” – not to us – *how did our oil get under their sand anyway?* But the laws that are getting on the books in the persecution of the minority, tend to stay there for a long time. If not you, then your children will surely pay the price. Are the Bill of Rights so trivial that you can stay silent? If not for reasons of compassion for another, then at least for the logic of self preservation, do not let this injustice prevail.

It will be of no compensation when several Ph.D. theses will be written by the succeeding generations about why and how their parents' generation could remain so silent, as a once democratic country with such lofty ideals, slowly descended into a Fascist state within, and the world's most barbaric imperial power outside. All the warning signs are plain to see, their designs even documented by the imperial perpetuators themselves, if only one can read. The images of reality pleadingly tell the truth – if only one is allowed to see them.

If we, all of us, do not arm ourselves with knowledge and critical thinking now, and don't exercise our Constitutional rights in publicly challenging the injustices done in our name, it might indeed be too late by the time we do finally wake up, when they would dare knock on anyone's front door, not just the Muslims. And no rights left to legally protest! Countless would have paid the price for our privilege of writing remorseful theses, soul searching books, sorrowful poetry, and sheepish apologies, in the future – yet again.

Epilogue

Taking a leaf out of my own book, I gave my first interview to the American news media in a joint press conference with the ADC (American Arab Anti-Discrimination Committee), the ACLU (American Civil Liberties Union) and the NLG (National Lawyers Guild) on May 28, 2003, attempting to tell the whole world what they were trying to do to the Muslims. I called up all the organizations I could think off, from Washington DC to San Francisco, including CAIR (Council on American Islamic Relations), and told them my story if they had 5 minutes to spare. I was quite certain that my phone was tapped, and I made it a point to speak very openly about my views in the hope that any eavesdroppers might actually learn something from me that would make them ponder. The authorities...
have mercifully left me alone since their last visit. I traveled overseas during the summer 2003 despite apprehensions of getting a grilling at the airports, and was pleasantly surprised to face no questioning of any sort, either upon departure, or upon re-entering the United States several months later, using the same Green Card that I have had for 20 years now. Perhaps some guardian angel has been watching (over) me.

Footnotes

The two visits by the FBI and Homeland Security occurred in mid March and early April 2003, respectively. For days after the second visit by the Federal agents, I was very stressed out. I just could not figure out what they wanted from me, and why they had chosen me. I kept bugging my attorney with questions about how they could get hold of my name, until he suggested I take a look at the local newspaper of that day in which there was an article about the success of the FBI interviews with the local immigrant Iraqi community just as the bombing of Iraq was underway. He suggested that if their visits were bothering me so much that I couldn't sleep, that perhaps I should consider talking to the reporter who had written that story and enlighten them on the tactics the FBI was using that was being called “success”, and that perhaps this would bring further attention to the Patriot Acts and how they were being used intrusively against innocent people. The idea of going public with my story took instant root, but instead of talking to the media as I was apprehensive that they will not tell the entire story or butcher it up as they normally tend to do, I sat down the same day, on Tuesday April 6, 2003, and wrote the bulk of this essay. The next day I surprised my attorney with a copy as a record of these events for his file. I also gave a copy to the NLG. They showed it to a TV documentary crew from Germany, who in turn called me up and asked to make a documentary on my family as part of their coverage of the emerging police state atmosphere in America showing how the Patriot Act had affected the lives of ordinary people in America, to be aired on public TV in France and Germany. I offered them an interview in my lawyers office, but they didn't think that would make good television viewing, and instead wished to film my family in our daily routine and open up the privacy of our home to the world. I wasn't ready for that yet, and declined.
How could I learn all this as a foreigner in America?

*Backgrounder of my struggle for truth from Prisoners of the Cave, Foreword 2005*

May 2005

More than half a dozen publishers had turned my book down by early 2004 despite a sterling letter of commendation by the famous American historian Howard Zinn who had very generously read two earlier drafts, and I pretty much abandoned the effort. I was very depressed that tons of garbage sits on American bookshelves, but my message of conscience wasn’t deemed good enough to see the light of day. I was becoming asphyxiated from all the freedom of speech you could want in America in total vacuum. And watching the behavior of the American public continually saluting the flag even when they could see the dead and the dying and doing absolutely nothing as the mass deception of WMDs quickly unraveled before their very eyes, and instead, reelecting George W. Bush Jr., for a second time, I became so disgusted, I threw the manuscript away. However, this renewed talk of attacking Iran in 2006 has given me the additional motivation to dig the manuscript out once again and this time prepare it for an internet release.

The material in the book is the same as when it was first penned, with the addition of copious footnotes. I have judiciously refrained from tampering with much of my original writing or update facts as new revelations have occurred, because this is a snapshot of what was transpiring in April of 2003 as viewed from the eyes of a Muslim writing a message from his heart to the American peoples.

It is not a history text. And unfortunately, it is just as relevant today as it was two years ago. I would love nothing more than this message to become obsolete – for therein lies my success.

However I am quite convinced now that nothing will come out of the book. No one really cares, and few mainstream people in America really read non-fiction books, let alone something as serious as this one that requires considerable reflection and soul searching. A thousand books have been written since America attacked Iraq, all of my predictions in this book and those of other dissenters already published came true – the Iraqis resisted, and the Americans attempted to create a servile client-state, and all of these are already in the public eye. The exposé of the horrible prison tortures of innocent civilian men and women, and “all the evil that [has] followed” the American initial aggression and invasion of conquest is open for all to see. Yet the past two years have also shown me that the American public really does not care. And when it does, it is only because of its own casualties – exactly like what Zbigniew Brzezinski had predicted in *The Grand Chessboard*. Despite my pessimism of ever reaching the eyeballs of the mainstream audience of America, never mind their conscience, I am compelled to make these humble writings available on the internet. For I do not know what else I
can do as an ordinary powerless individual who has had it up to his neck and is not willing to take it anymore!!

In this foreword, I would like to elaborate upon how and why, I as an ordinary foreigner (not some academic or scholar), not even a citizen of the United States, but living in America for over a quarter century as a Permanent Resident alien, know what is going on in the minds of the hectoring hegemons, and the American public does not. And why is it that such public pronouncements as those made by PNAC (Project New American Century), Pentagon’s Joint Vision 2020, the White House’s preemption doctrines in its National Security Council and Nuclear Posture Review papers, and Zbigniew Brzezinski’s book The Grand Chessboard, exist publicly? Furthermore, despite their easy public access, no one in the mainstream media has even today, almost four years after 9/11, questioned the motive that is blatantly visible in these writings for the “new Pearl Harbor”. And if it was indeed ever mentioned, it quickly disappeared from the horizon, while all the pundits on television repeat the mantra of “Islamic Fundamentalism”, and members of the Bush Administration regularly refresh it for them as the most significant threat to world peace since the demise of the USSR, with even the most respected journalists and other scholarly personalities in the American society joining the chorus.

Even those who offer their dissent, do so premised on the axiom of 9/11 being an invasion from abroad, or the cost to American lives being too much. In other words, the majority of dissent is not based on primarily moral issues of bombing other nations, or on challenging the deception of 9/11, but on how much it is costing the Americans and what a quagmire Iraq has become, with resolute I told you so echoing across the pundit landscape who proudly strut their wisdom before the America public. Thus had it not been a quagmire, and had fewer Americans been killed, it would have been just peachy to invade even more nations.

Even “arguably the most important intellectual alive”, Noam Chomsky, has bought into the 9/11 story of the George W. Bush Administration in promoting the idea of invasion from abroad by one Osama Bin Laden, and thus being instrumental in getting Bush reelected despite all the overt gestures towards his opponent John Kerry. I believe this is the case throughout America when debate was never allowed to focus on what has become the unquestioned axioms of 9/11, but rather was immediately diverted to the corollaries and other theorems based on such axioms, even by the majority of those handful who expressed dissent in public writings. Even the facade of 9/11 inquiry commission was in fact very cleverly used by the Bush Administration to pass what was earlier called Patriot Act II, in the guise of 9/11 security reform Act. Incredible! It’s like being in Alice in Wonderland, where all the ordinary characters think everything is normal, except Alice! Only Lewis Carroll and his publisher know the reality.

My book refocusses on the very premise of this axiom as it systematically dismantles the deception on a very broad canvas from the unique perspective of an ordinary Muslim whose peoples are bearing the brunt of this “imperial mobilization.” All those who have helped make this axiom successful, in my humble opinion, have blood on their hands, whether or not they may choose to acknowledge it. Indeed, it is immaterial what the important and the visible peoples think anymore, or what they acknowledge and don’t acknowledge, for we all know what they have thought and said over the past four years, be they on the Right, Left, Center, Up, Down, in the Government, or in the dissent space – for the audience of this book is the American peoples, not their monumental intellectuals, nor their monumental criminals.
It is the informed and fair judgment of the American peoples that is of significance in having the last word in much the same way as the American Jury’s, composed only from ordinary citizens adjudicating on the crimes of anyone, including the mighty. And so will be the judgment of a ‘Robert Jackson’ in the future when he will coldly assert: *The plans of the aggressor for aggression were just as secret as the PNAC on the Grand Chessboard*, just as the original Robert Jackson had asserted at Nuremberg before proceeding to hang the Nazi leadership:

“The plans of Adolf Hitler for aggression were just as secret as Mein Kampf, of which over six million copies were published in Germany.”

How did I learn about these plans? I actually only uncovered PNAC, JV2020, and the Wolfowitz’s chauvinist doctrines of preemption that he had supposedly been pushing since 1990, after 9/11, when I started scratching my head at the inexplicability of it all the moment some 19 Muslim hijackers’ names were announced, and the public was informed that they had learnt flying on flight simulators and had told their instructors that they weren’t interested in learning how to land! If Bin Laden was so smart at having planned such an outrageous attack and counted on such brilliant executioners who did it so flawlessly after only learning to fly on simulators, he was pretty stupid at having enlisted idiots who would deliberately leave such a trail of evidence behind, including statements that they weren’t interested in landing – so that either they would risk being uncovered before the attack, or their attack foiled while in progress, or after a successful attack, America would know exactly whom to go bomb in retaliation!

Only one of these aspects could be true, either they were brilliant military tacticians and strategists, or nincompoops from a three stooges movie who succeeded despite themselves, but the incongruence could not exist simultaneously on this large scale military style invasion project, except in a Hollywood spoof.

Having already read Brzezinski’s *Grand Chessboard* and Huntington’s *Clash of Civilizations* several years earlier, I immediately grasped the new pearl harbor concept the moment America deployed to bomb Afghanistan without adequately explaining or investigating any of the events of 9/11, such as the incredible air defense failure after the Government had reliably apprehended at 8:28 am that the first plane was hijacked, on top of this bizarre explanation for who did 9/11 (see Chapter 5). The entire discourse in America started spinning around earlier forewarnings and other red herrings, entirely ignoring to bring up the crucial question in public debate that okay, what happened after 8:28 am on 9/11 once the fact of hijacking was established? Why was there still no air defenses when it is actually part of normal and established FAA and NORAD operating procedures? Very simple questions. No one was asking. Neither is anyone asking today in America’s mainstream, given that so much has already been apprehended from Donald Rumsfeld's “absence of evidence is not evidence of absence”. Major “imperial mobilization” has been launched on this maxim, more than several hundred thousand peoples have been killed or otherwise effected, and entire nations have been bombed to oblivion with monstrous Daisy Cutters, all based on his maxim. But no serious and impartial investigation can be launched on the same maxim. Nor can the famous and the scholarly intellectuals in America question the integrity of the facile statements of 9/11 by the Government on the same maxim. While these intellectuals write fancy treatise on their responsibilities as intellectuals, but when it comes time to put it into action on the most momentous exhibition of the mendacity of power since World War II, they are amazingly impotent. And despite the availability of even this
convenient maxim that is handed to them on a platter, and behind which they can seek refuge if they lack the raw boldness of a gadfly, their “reputation” seems to be their primary concern rather than the quest for truth and repelling of falsehoods.

I started to reread Brzezinski and Huntington very carefully once again, then reread the entire voluminous *Rise and Fall of the Third Reich*, and the *Mein Kampf* of Hitler. The similarities between the rising crescendo of WMDs and the propaganda that William Shirer had recorded as having transpired in the Third Reich, and the similarities between ZB’s and Hitler’s descriptions of their respective imperatives and how to get them, were ominous, except that ZB’s were more polished and more sophisticatedly put. I got really paranoid as many more light bulbs went on in my head which had not gone on when I had originally read them. I had just taken Brzezinski’s book as theoretical, as being from the pen of a Cold War warrior now retired and indulging in some arm chair warrior fantasies. I didn’t understand that hectoring hegemons never retire until they are six feet under. I had also dismissed Huntington’s book as an ignoramus's work not to be taken seriously, as it was replete with obvious disinformation and tortuous conclusions that were easy to spot by anyone who knew anything about the subject. Now both were being egregiously put into practice, and the latter’s book did not appear so silly anymore, but rather shrewd and calculated.

The first time I had read Huntington with the lens of ‘here is an interestingly titled book from a prominent Harvard professor, let me see what he has to say’; the second time I read it with the lens ‘let me understand how deception is created and its seeds planted in a free society that is not too knowledgeable about the rest of the world’. The second reading showed that the obviousness of his distortions, coming from a top branded American University like Harvard, had some deeper strategic thinking behind it. Huntington is also involved in national security and other strategic studies as a prominent professor and intellectual at Harvard, and couldn’t be just a simple moron like Harvard’s President, Lawrence Summers, who recently claimed women were inherently not as smart as men. I was wondering how people like that become President’s at prestigious American universities, until once again I uncovered during my research that the same Harvard President had also written how the industrialized nations should dump their waste in developing nations while he was at the World Bank in the 1990s. With Wolfowitz now as the head of the World Bank, it is only shortsightedness to underestimate the *power of the dark side*, or the people who wield it. Huntington’s theme from portions of his book relevant to the topic at hand is systematically dismantled in Chapter 9. Based on this new found respect of the doctrinal scholars for their craft, and realizing that we were entering a phase with the hastily approved Patriot Act I that could only lead to the Fourth Reich in America, I started attending antiwar teachins and protest marches with my family, and began talking to prominent Vietnam war dissenters about governmental lies.

And that is when I first heard about the PNAC – from antiwar teachins. Ordinary people like me, engagingly concerned about what was happening, had uncovered more material from public sources and the analysis of history, than the entire mainstream scholarship and media apparatus in the United States of America.

This is shockingly not surprising. And this must be of concern to the American peoples – how some handful of ordinary activists can uncover truth so easily without needing any top secret clearances. Why isn’t truth shielded better, especially when it is the incriminating type? Why is it so damn easily accessible in America? Never mind why most can’t see it, or their inability to connect the dots, because that is a well understood matter and that matter has been adequately covered in Part-II of
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the book, as the book title *Prisoners of the Cave* would reflect.

Here, it is important to attempt to unravel the psychology behind making such incriminating
documents and pronouncements publicly available, because at first glance, it appears rather counter
intuitive. It often leads the unsuspecting American commentators and journalists to read into it that
since it is so blatantly put, there must not be anything insidious behind it that needs unraveling, and
they take it on face value. Thus Donald Rumsfeld for instance, was asked on some ABC news program
in 2004 about the PNAC letter that he had signed advocating invasion of Iraq, and the letter itself was
flashed briefly on the screen. Rumsfeld's curt answer however, that it was his private opinion before he
became the Secretary of Defense, did not elicit any intelligent followup questions from the interviewer
(whose name I forget), even about why as Secretary of Defense did he then immediately order
preparations be made for attacking Iraq right after 9/11? Indeed, many of the same guys who signed on
to PNAC (see Exhibit A) had been members of the Bush Sr. Administration during the first massacre
of Iraq in 1991, and it was Bush Sr. himself who had deliberately left Sadaam Hussain in power after
destroying his military; the same military that as Vice President for eight years under Reagan, he had
helped arm and sustained to fight Iran on his behalf and had sent Rumsfeld as the special envoy to
advise Sadaam, and it was indeed his own CIA that had backed Sadaam to power in 1963 and 1968. It
was the same faces who had now clamored for removing Sadaam after installing him. All these
followup questions were missing, even the most obvious one that the report available from the same
PNAC website where the interviewer (or his script writer) had found the letter, was also the term “new
pearl harbor” mentioned, and why was 9/11 being gratuitously used as the new pearl harbor for
“imperial mobilization”?

If I had been the interlocutor of Donald Rumsfeld, as my last question to him, I would have
point blank asked him whether he had himself orchestrated 9/11 with remote control of the planes.
And let his obvious answer be officially recorded for posterity, although I can bet that he would have
tried to dodge a straight of course not response by showing some indignation like he wasn’t going to
dignify the question by answering it, or how dare I imply that he had committed treason and yelling at
me. Perfect – for those are indeed the thoughts that I would want to be seeded in the mainstream public
discourse with this anger trap, and forcing him to make the infamous statement: *I am not a liar* is what
I would have gunned for.

However, the failure of the American and world press for such obvious and logical followups is
not the point under discussion. The point here is, why make such chauvinist pronouncements like the
PNAC documents, the White House’s NSC and the NPR, and *The Grand Chessboard* public in the
first place?

I feel there is indeed a Machiavellianess behind such public writings, for upon face value, it is
illogical to make such unabashed and incriminating pronouncements such as “new pearl harbor”
public by a ruling elite hell bent on “imperial mobilization”, and actually having a multifaceted vested
interest in a “new pearl harbor” pretext to scare its “populist democracy” to go along. One might think
they’d be more effective keeping it secret, as they indeed keep all the real secrets, secret. The real top
secrets only get revealed after the deed is fait accompli, and well into the future as the famed
declassification process, or as an occasional egotistical confession – almost always remorseless
autobiographies or posthumously published diaries. The following is my limited attempt at unraveling
this question, and I will let the readers decide if it makes sense to them in the light of all the rest of the
evidence that is also presented in this book. If it does make sense, they must worry about what has
become of their society, that truth and falsehood are now indistinguishable in such a free society, with all the freedoms known to man in their laps. How easy it has been to make prisoners of the cave in such a free society! If my unraveling doesn’t make sense to them, then they must answer this question for themselves, for it is indeed a conundrum that begs my favorite question “why”.

So to carry this thought further, it was rather disturbing that in 2002, I had discovered blatant statements from the people immediately within the Bush Administration that directly matched ZB’s made in 1996/97. And indeed available so openly, that they are still available as of this writing. I knew that actual receipts for their complicity in 9/11 would never be found, if indeed there, but the proof of intent for “imperial mobilization” was right there. The more I studied and reflected, the more it all came together, and the more compelled I was by my conscience to protest publicly in anti-war demonstrations on American streets with my family. The deception of “war on terrorism” was plainly manifest, as were the dead bodies, and the theology of foreign policy that had created it, and who were the bastards behind it all.

When the FBI showed up at my door as the bombing of Iraq was just underway – the combative piece of my mind that I gave them is recorded in my essay “They dared to knock on my door” in Part-1 of the book.

This is the kind of effort and time, as well as a natural suspicion of any ruling elite in general, that it took me to educate myself to know the specific reality behind this “war on terrorism”, apart from the general commonsensical questions that come to any reasonable mind regarding the remarkable failure of all air defenses on 9/11 (see Chapter 5). I call this effort activism, and in the present context, I define it as the intellectual effort required to unravel the web of deception, to expose the mendacity of all incantations of power, and to oppose it effectively as a moral imperative. I am a stay at home dad taking care of my children and could muster both the motivation (my own innocent people being killed), and the free time that is needed to study and think for effective activism. From there to standing up for myself was a very short step indeed, almost instinctive, and quite spontaneous!

How many in America can afford this luxury of time for such activism amidst their busy weekday routine of put the bread on the table, and their compulsively consumerist weekend routine of shop till you drop and drive kids to soccer games lives? Only a handful. And this is what the imperial planners and the ruling elite in America have always counted on, in addition to creating the mindset of blind patriotism among the masses from kindergarten onwards, when the first salute to the flag is given by an American child at age five.

Thus the hectoring hegemons aren’t shy of boldly making their writings publicly available, because the mainstream populace in America is too busy pursuing their American Dreams to have the time to read and reflect in order to be able to connect the dots from Machiavellianly spinned pieces of prose, and to relate them coherently to distant reality that is often only expressed in the inner pages and obscure bylines of major newspapers like the New York Times and rarely if ever on television from where the majority get informed. And even when some intelligent peoples may suspect something, they often lack the self-interest to do anything about it. This changes rather dramatically when they actually start seeing their own flesh and blood in body bags. Then they sit up and take notice due to the new found self-interest, and connecting the dots don’t matter anymore because their own dead are now before them.
If the strategic thinkers can understand that the American public is body bags averse in their own self-interest, but couldn’t care less for other peoples’ body bags if the victims were properly demonized as “unworthy victims” – as the name “war on terrorism” actually implies its victims to be – so can the “unworthy victims” also understand that their most potent self-defense is to send more body bags home to America regardless of cost to themselves. It is the same mindset in Israel, where Jewish lives are inherently treated as more “holy”, and thus more “worthy” than Palestinian lives. This was also the major cause of Israeli withdrawal from South Lebanon after two decades of military occupation. The Israelis lost approx. 800 Jewish soldiers, while they killed, or got killed, more than 25000 Lebanese due to Hezbollah's unflinching resistance. The cost of military occupation became more than it was worth in “worthy victims” lives. While this formal terminology of “worthy” and “unworthy” victims is from Noam Chomsky, the understanding of this concept is easily apprehended by the local populace who are victims of the hectoring hegemons, and they don’t need any pedantic formalisms from American scholarship to describe their pain and suffering and the loss of their loved ones.

The control of mass media on the one hand from where the American masses get the totality of their information, and the suicide bombers from among the victims on the other, are just different sides of the same coin. The former wants to keep the American public prisoners of the cave so that they can build empire without interference from the “populist democracy”, the latter wants to free them so that they may come to the victims’ rescue by shocking them into sitting up and taking notice of their own self-interests. The victims can unfortunately only do it by the supreme sacrifice of their most precious possession, for only that can shock the prisoners of the cave into sitting up and noticing their chains. From there, to breaking out of their chains due to sheer self-interest of protecting their own loved ones, is a very very short step indeed.

This was demonstrated to the world during the protests that filled the streets during the Vietnam war when 50,000 dead American soldiers brought the American people out in the streets. They could tolerate a devastated country far out of sight with over ten to twenty times that number dead, and murdered by their own dead sons for no reason other than the ideology of their Presidents and their ruling elite; they could not tolerate their own loved ones dead. Even the term “murder” is still not used to reflect their loved ones’ deeds as they are glorified with memorials, and neither are the bombed out victims of Indochina remembered nor their society compensated, because such “awakening of the conscience” is purely emotional self-interest.

None of this is very profound, just commonsense 101. It only seems to escape the American public until self-interest strikes.

Thus letting the public have PNAC and JV2020, and the White House’s NSC and NPR like documents, is part of the arrogance of power when they know that they have the public under full control as prisoners of the cave, so long as they can mitigate their self-interest from coming into play. Thus note all the modern research in robotic and remote controlled drone based warfare technology to reduce American military casualties, where MIT, my own alma mater, is leading the research.

Indeed, these documents, such as the deceptive open letters written by PNAC to American Presidents openly advocating war on Iraq under the mantra of WMD, when the reality is known to all in the Pentagon and the American and British military who routinely bombed Iraq for twelve years, that none remained in Iraq as it had been entirely disarmed, and as evidenced in this Wall Street
journal quote from 1999: “After eight years of enforcing a no fly zone in northern [and southern] Iraq, few military targets remain. 'We're down to the last outhouse',” is in fact setting up the stage for full scale propaganda in the future. Its target is not the President to whom the letters were addressed, but the unsuspecting general public, to mold public opinion. By judiciously planting terms like “clash of civilizations” and “weapons of mass destruction” and “Islamic fundamentalism” years in advance, these “collateral” language constructs acquire a dynamics of their own in the hands of obliging stenographers in the press and script reading actors (oops anchors) on television.

Indeed, one might even ask oneself why give such a lame excuse for who did 9/11? Why not make it more sophisticated and air tight? That understanding is easy to apprehend if one realizes that the consumers of these lies are not cynical people like me, but the trusting people in the masses who do not possess the time or inclination to think, and believe simple lies repeatedly told them more easily than complex ones – right out of Hitler’s propaganda thesis in Mein Kampf:

“All propaganda must be popular and its intellectual level must be adjusted to the most limited intelligence among those it is addressed to. ... The receptivity of the great masses is very limited, their intelligence is small, but their power of forgetting is enormous. In consequence of these facts, all effective propaganda must be limited to a very few points and must harp on these in slogans until the last member of the public understands what you want him to understand by your slogan.”

Thus two things are achieved simultaneously: a) an ego trip of arrogance of power that blatant supermorality can go unchallenged in the society by even its best scholars, and thus an indication to the hectoring hegemons of how successful has been the efforts at social control by the ruling elite in an open society like America; and b) seeds from which the fruits of war and conquest can be profitably harvested in the future in a populace that is not so inspired by imperial visions, and has the choice to not go along.

To know that a) is true, as an ordinary decent moral person of the populace not suffering from any superiority complex, ask yourself if you’d ever write something like these hectoring hegemons, while understanding that it would espouse a supermorality where you set yourself up as having a higher prerogative over other human beings. Your answer to yourself should clarify this matter for you.

To know that b) is true, just look around you. What Bernard Lewis introduced over a decade ago in Foreign Affairs magazine in 1990 (right after the demise of the USSR for which both Zbigniew Brzezinski as well as AEI have taken credit), and what Samuel Huntington argued equally long time ago in his what appeared at that time to be a silly book, is now ostensibly the basis of American Foreign Policy theology. Indeed, here is the full quote from Clash of Civilizations in which Huntington attributes the phrase to Bernard Lewis.

“In 1990 Bernard Lewis, a leading Western scholar of Islam, analyzed 'The Roots of Muslim Rage,' and concluded: 'It should now be clear that we are facing a mood and a movement far transcending the level of issues and policies and the governments that pursue them. This is no less than a clash of civilizations – that perhaps irrational but surely historic reaction of an ancient
rival against our Judeo-Christian heritage, our secular present, and the worldwide expansion of both. It is crucially important that we on our side should not be provoked into an equally historic but also equally irrational reaction against our rival.”

That is the only logical explanation for such blatantly chauvinist political writings existing in a “populist democracy” whose people are not inspired by dreams of “imperial mobilization” and world conquest. In totalitarian societies and dictatorships where the masses are more in physical chains rather than mental ones*1, and the ruling elite is not depended on the opinions of the masses in order to rule them, such writings are rarely if ever made publicly available. Why? Because such writings in dictatorships that betrays a supermorality, a higher order national prerogative, has no public utility. Quite the contrary, the ruling elite in such dictatorships endeavor to take on the public persona of high morality; baboons pretending to be moral beings before the public because all know their true reality and no one trusts them.

Whereas in America, we have baboons deliberately and unabashedly come across as baboons, and few can see it even then. I am not aware of such chauvinist national strategy documents advocating world conquest and self-apportioned national destiny being put out publicly for instance, by the ruling elite in the Soviet Union or China, or in any of the petty dictatorships in the Middle East and other developing nations that would betray a supermorality of the ruling elite (even when it may be espoused privately). This difference isn’t entirely due to freedom of speech, for the ruling elite in all dictatorships have all the freedoms they can want, and then some, and they still don’t make writings like these accessible to the general public. It appears to be solely an artifact of a free society of a superpower nation for deceptive social control in the absence of any other overt forms of control.

The prisoners of the cave metaphor is also true for the Israeli Jews but in a slightly different manner from the American context, and that dynamics is analyzed in Chapter 3, and Chapter 4. Despite Israel’s freer press and open and frank debate in the Hebrew society about their usurpation of Palestine, the brutal daily oppression of the Palestinians before the Israeli public’s very eyes continues right alongside the debate on new maps that show various new Biblical cities that will be recreated in Eretz Yisrael. This is because the Israeli Jewish public (modulo a few rabble rousers) is complicit with the Jewish State in their barbarism to achieve the imperial mobilization for Biblical Lebensraum that many have been led to believe since birth to be their divine birth right regardless of where they might be born on earth.

The Palestinians are merely lowly goyems to be trampled afoot as inherently “unworthy” victims “illegally” occupying the Jewish Lebensraum even when born there, and thus must be eliminated, or subjugated as slaves by the dictates of the Jews’ “holy” rabbinical teachings in the Talmud. This self perceived “holiness” that leads to a supermorality, coupled with the sustained indoctrination that preventing another holocaust is only possible by creating/expanding the Jewish State, is how these Jewish prisoners of the cave are systematically prepared for new crimes against humanity while the world spectates silently. Breaking their chains is a lot harder through activism alone as they labor under the weight of three thousand years of cultural history prescribed by their Rabbis in the Talmud. Their renaissance is not possible unless they undertake it themselves, and even then, highly unlikely to come to pass in the present generation.

Only a power-play that brings their own self-interests into focus, is the sole effective weapon
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against their naked aggression – for the antagonists’ strength is what defines morality for anyone who has multiple standards of morality. We easily observe this in the jungles as the “imperatives” of beasts where might is right prevails, but not always.

The way nature and mathematics work, the neo-imperialists are bound to lose with or without the help from their own peoples, with or without their own Machiavellian shenanigans, just as imperialist have always lost eventually – for the minnows are many, and the sharks are few, and the sharks need minnows more than the minnows need the sharks. A “poisonous” batch of minnows can easily wipe out an entire school of greedy sharks in one short meal.

Footnotes

*1 Quite contrary to the scenarios spinned in the prescient Hollywood movie '1984' where George Orwell also shows a public in mental chains except for the lone resistor, the empirical reality today in modern client-state dictatorships is that the public in dictatorships is far more suspicious, cynical, and the least bit trusting of their own ruling elite. It is the converse in open developed societies where the public is rather well fed and far more indoctrinated, tending to trust its ruling elite blindly. This is one of the reasons that imperialism du jour will fail, as it has always failed – but only after it has taken its toll and extracted its 'pound of flesh' for its gift of 'modernity' to humanity. The achievement of a progressive civilization is not in overthrowing it, for that is inevitable, but in preventing it to start with. Otherwise, as Brzezinski notes, “Hegemony” will indeed surely always remain “as old as mankind” taking its barbaric toll upon us all.
Why did I launch Project Humanbeingsfirst

From my Welcome Letter to Project Humanbeingsfirst: Welcome, bienvenue, welcome, to the one-world of Hectoring Hegemons!

Dear friends and visitors to Project Humanbeingsfirst

We often tend to mainly preach to the choir, share controversial ideas with mainly those whom we generally tend to agree with, make alliances with those who are generally sympathetic to our causes, and take the path of least resistance in our quests like how water always flows downstream. But the path of searching for new truths, the path of inquiry into what is unfamiliar, the path of change against prevailing wisdom, is not that of a water flow. It is rather fraught with natural resistance, always uphill against the forces of entrenched gravity.

No new idea to the human mind is ever easily acceptable. Anything which is against our presuppositions, preconceptions, ingrained world views, comfort zones, beliefs, even vested interests, is always like a new idea! According to Schopenhauer, all new and uncomfortable ideas, “truths”, go through three natural stages: “First, it is ridiculed. Second, it is violently opposed. Third, it is accepted as being self-evident.”

In the One-world Government now in the making under the manufactured pretexts of catastrophic terrorism and other fabricated global threats – mainly to the life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness of the ubermenschen – ex post facto, what I have researched and penned in Project Humanbeingsfirst will be accepted as being self-evident.

Today, when such awareness among the world's public can mean the derailing of the global police-superstate before its twisted fait accompli, some very learned and intelligent looking peoples ridicule it; while other gallant and pious looking peoples variously blame man's afflictions that are diabolically inching the world towards Global Governance, on Islamic fascism, on ET's malevolence, on Allah's wrath, or patiently await their Messiah happy-happy in hope and voluntary servitude.

Soon, the harbingers of the world superstate, the brotherhood of death, will violently oppose those who oppose its inhumanity.

In a few short years, its official chroniclers, the sages, will deem world government under the control of the enlightened few as having been inevitable; the direct consequence of man's foibles and overpopulation. And the only rational way to govern mothership earth, as a planetary-scale natural wildlife preserve, with selective breeding and population control of its most virulent species, man.

Under this ongoing construction of tortuous reality, one that is an empirical orchestration of
future history:

- to remain a silent bystander;
- to join forces with the *ubermenschen* and manufacture consent for their self-ascribed primacy imperatives;
- or to manufacture dissent as red herrings by pretending to oppose the barbarianism of hegemony while still echoing its core axioms;

is to be an ACCOMPLICE in cold-blooded murder.

Yes, even though “*all murderers are punished unless they kill in large numbers and to the sound of trumpets*”, it is still being accomplice to monumental crimes against humanity howsoever it is syntactically sugared.

I endeavor my very best to avoid being an accomplice. I also endeavor in my ordinary plebeian's state to not succumb to the many enticements and coercion of might's aphorisms du jour: “*deception is a state of mind and the mind of the state*”, and “*some are sheep while others are wolves, we are the wolves*”. Meaning, not only not fall prey to their deceit, but also not be co-opted to not oppose 'em.

As Martin Luther King Jr. had so soulfully iterated four decades earlier during the height of the Vietnam War:

> “**In international conflicts the truth is hard to come by, because most nations are deceived about themselves.** Rationalizations and the incessant search for scapegoats, are the psychological cataracts that blind us to our sins. But the day has passed for our superficial patriotism. **He who lives with untruth lives in spiritual slavery. Freedom is still the bonus we receive for knowing the truth. 'Yee shall know the truth', says Jesus, 'and the truth shall set you free.'**”

For, surely, none are more hopelessly enslaved in perpetual war than those who are falsely led to believe they do so for peace!

To silently spectate a boot stamped on the human face in perpetuity, while being taught to love it in voluntary servitude, is outright criminal in this day and age.

Indeed, in MLK's echo of Dante:

> “**the hottest places in hell are reserved for those who in a period of moral crisis, maintain their neutrality.**”

But more existentially, today's silent spectators will be tomorrow's 'untermenschen'.

It is an indescribable travesty of modernity that the words of Martin Luther King Jr. ring in as much pertinence today as when he sermonized them in 1967 at the Ebenezer Baptist Church. Despite our high-tech modernity of the *technetronic era*, we stand exactly at the same spot:

> “**There comes a time when silence, is betrayal. The truth of these words is**
beyond doubt. But the mission to which they call us is a most difficult one. Even when pressed by the demands of inner truth, men do not easily assume the task of opposing their government's policy, especially in time of war. Nor does the human spirit move without great difficulty, against all the apathy of conformist thought within one's own bosom, and then the surrounding world. ... Some of us who have already begun to break the silence of the night, have found that the calling to speak, is often a vocation of agony. But we must speak. We must speak with all the humility that is appropriate to our limited vision, but we must speak.” --- Why I Am Opposed to the War in Vietnam

And spoken, I have.

Veritably, the price of silence is to be a willing accomplice!

But the price of inefficacy in mindless public protestations and shouting matches that are no more than a “focus group”, and pretenses at seeking justice while leaving the hidden-in-plain sight prime-movers untouched and unmentioned – free to enact more of the same vile repeatedly with newer generations of errand boys and girls – is to be directly complicit in extending the suffering of the 'untermenschen'!

Modernity is epitomized by multiple simultaneous Hegelian Dialectic, and the bold fabrication of consent and dissent that supports it. The best way to lead the masses is to restrict the range of opinions available to them. The best way to control the opposition is to become its prized and visible leaders, with suitably awarded titles and awards (or demonizations) to lend credibility. Thus is born the Master Social Science. It manufactures and choreographs the dialectics of deception as in any WWF wrestling: manufactured dissent among the rebels to complement the manufactured consent among the masses. Both retain all core-axioms of 'empire' intact!

The difference between their modus operandi is often that for consent, the empire is projected as good. For dissent, the empire is projected as bad. But the same external enemy is retained, and variously re-incarnated either as “jihadis” and “Militant Islam” (manufacturing consent), or “revolutionaries” and “blowback” (manufacturing dissent).

Neither manufacturers will noticeably ever extend their brilliance, or their pursuits of scholarly justice, to unraveling covert-ops and 'inside job' while its impact is still on-going. Nor will they ever apply their touted acumen and lofty credentials to the forensic analysis of overarching agendas; never mind indicting the hierarchy of real prime-movers behind those agendas!

To both manufacturers of “truths”, there is never any overarching conspiracy, never any orchestration of history, never any behind the scenes conspirators.

This moral perversion and intellectual bankruptcy of empire's minions would be of no concern of international law, and moral law, except that it is a combined exercise in doctrinal warfare to implant the “high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” in the perpetual service of “imperial mobilization”.

All these acts of social engineering, both of omission and commission, directly extend the oppression of the primates upon the 'untermensch'.

But, as Socrates might have put it:
'Agree with me if I seem to you to speak the truth; or, if not, withstand me might and main that I may not deceive you as well as myself in my desire, and like the bee leave my sting in you before I die. And now let us proceed.'

If you join me in echoing the one and only real obvious truth of the matter peeled off its 1000 surrounding lies, we can at least die, hopefully only our natural death without special rendition, fighting as human beings first, rather than live in infamy as worse than animals – eating, and shitting, sleeping, and dreaming, and then repeat.

Has that existential-state changed a whole lot for very many? First it was the pursuit of the 'American Dream'; now it is the pursuit of how to end the 'American Nightmare'. But for what purpose? Apparently, so most can get back to their 'American Dream'!

Verily, six feet under, the maggots can't tell the bloody difference among any of us: the victims, the silent spectators, the conniving fools, the gullible patsies, and the murderous hectoring hegemons choreographing its Primacy Imperatives for a One-world government. But, if, there is more to us than simply being an advanced amoeba subject to Social Darwinianism of the atheistic few, perhaps the soul extractor can!

While that is never sufficient to deter the 'ubermensch' of any jungle, it is sufficient motivation for their victims to rise up and fightback. Breaking the silence is only its first step!

Not only does existential imperative rationally demands it, but spiritual destiny requires it of any 'wretched of the earth'!

**How even the lowly in the animal kingdom standup to the hegemons of their jungle**

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LU8DDYz68kM](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LU8DDYz68kM)

**How the lowly everywhere, the wretched of the earth, must standup to the**
Getting to know the Author a bit more

hegemons of their jungle

Caption Youtube song from *Les Misérables*

[ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gYb9sRLUDyM ]

Will you join in our 'crusade'?

Who will be strong and stand with me?

Somewhere beyond the barricade, is there a world you long to see?

If you share that empathy, and the quest for unraveling all of “truth's protective layers”, then, welcome, to a life of maladjustment in the world of hectoring hegemons. As Martin Luther King Jr. had once again aptly captured the honesty of purpose of moral human beings:

“There are some things in our society and some things in our world, which I am proud to be maladjusted. And I call upon all men of goodwill to be maladjusted to these things until the good society is realized.”

Why I Am Opposed to the War in Vietnam

[ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zyE4eo_leX8 ]

Thank you.
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Closing Thoughts

- All warfare is based on deception;

- Now the general who wins a battle makes many calculations in his temple [before] the battle is fought. The general who loses a battle makes but few calculations beforehand. Thus do many calculations lead to victory, and few calculations to defeat: how much more no calculation at all! It is by attention to this point that I can foresee who is likely to win or lose;

- Thus it is that in war the victorious strategist only seeks battle after the victory has been won, whereas he who is destined to defeat first fights and afterwards looks for victory;

- If you know the enemy and know yourself, you need not fear the result of a hundred battles. If you know yourself but not the enemy, for every victory gained you will also suffer a defeat. If you know neither the enemy nor yourself, you will succumb in every battle;

  --- Sun Tzu on The Art of War, 500 BC

- In the beginnings of the structure of society, they [the beasts of prey who are called men] were subjected to brutal and blind force; after words - to Law, which is the same force, only disguised. I draw the conclusion that by the law of nature right lies in force;

  --- The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion

- World government could only be kept in being by force.

  --- Bertrand Russell on Impact of Science on Society, 1952

- Hegemony is as old as mankind.

  --- Zbigniew Brzezinski on The Grand Chessboard, 1997
Who in Pakistan has not heard of the famous fable of King Solomon the wise. When presented with two women feuding over a baby, each claiming to be the real mother, the king drew his mighty sword to cut the baby in half to give to each quarrelsome woman. One of them, the real mother, cried out: “It's hers, it's hers, please give the entire beautiful baby to her, she is the real mother; I don't want half of the dead!” Alas, on the face of it, not one among the rulers of Pakistan is the real mother of the Pakistani peoples.

The only Muslim country with nuclear weapons, and its public mind harboring a natural ethos that make many an easy recruit for World War IV, Pakistan had to be primed for “revolutionary times” using planted mercenaries and useful idiots at the helm and harvested for its plentiful canon fodder.

The path to World Order is lined with vassal states like Pakistan as the patsy. There is a way out of course: “Resolve to serve no more, and you are at once freed.” Duh!

Throw tea overboard. With that first step, all else will naturally follow.