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Preface

Secular Humanism is intended to become the “religion” of the World Order in the making. Its purpose is to disarm the populations of all resistance by removing theism from their midst; the higher moral authority that confers absolute standards and invites mankind toward hope and breaking the bonds of servitude to fellow man. It is easier to make slaves of the public mind with no higher authority other than the world government, big brother if you will, which shall rule the public mind in such a way that mankind shall come to love its own servitude. That's the agenda. It will come to naught without a doubt – for the religion of Islam stands in its way; the only impediment to achieving full spectrum control of the human species by the financial oligarchy. Its visible champions among commoners span the gamut of useful idiots from likkha-parrha jahils pretending to be the avant-garde in intellectual thought to ordinary a-religious people fed up with moral policing.

The purpose of this pamphlet is to warn of the perfidiousness of this new “religion” behind its lure of compassion, equality, human rights, supremacy of reason over Higher Authority, etceteras. The pamphlet dives right in the midst of the Secular Humanists and challenges their crippled epistemology in the hope of getting them to realize they are being made useful idiots --- for I can't really believe that all of them are petty mercenaries or Übermensch. I like to think that they are just mistaken --- for the idea does sound rather nice to the irreligious at the most facile level. But like the syphilis ridden new bride, all one has to do is look under the virginal gown and one shall know. This pamphlet does exactly that. I have never met a secular humanist who can stand scrutiny. They tend to run and congregate among their own kind in the safety and comfort of incestuous self-reinforcement. Don't be a fool and become useful idiot agents of social engineers. Examine the premise, the axioms, and see for oneself how cunning and guile are being used to usher in one of the most subversive values among mankind. I believe most secular humanists are inclined to be kind-hearted folks in search of solutions for the issues facing mankind. Under that premise, this pamphlet is addressed to the well-intentioned ones to get them to see that the first order fundamental problem facing mankind is Primacy! This discovery is not really a classified state secret that was leaked to me. It is rather obvious and self-evident. But for some, it evidently requires heavy dosage of intellectual vitamins to glean. Here is one such vitamin regimen.

Zahir Ebrahim
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org
October 22, 2019
About the author

He is just an ordinary fellow, a common man. But one who suffers no fools, takes no prisoners, bows before no authority figures as bearers of divine truths, and remains just as unimpressed by the metaphysics of the turban as by the scholarship of the gown. There is not much else to say about him. He was quite imperfectly educated in the elite secular universities of both the United States of America and Pakistan, which might explain how he managed to escape from these factories of jahiliya with his mind still intact and his brain still firing on all cylinders. It is only because of the imperfection of his education, and because of the failure of the system to obedience train him to United We Stand with absurdities, that his deconstruction of modernity is able to capture reality the way it actually is, minus all of truth's protective layers. At least me thinks so. My name is Zahir Ebrahim, and I am the archetype plebeian antidote to hectoring hegemons. My contribution to making America great again can be gleaned at the United States Patent Office (https://tinyurl.com/Zahir-Patents), and from this May 2009 article on IEEE Spectrum's Special Report: 25 Microchips That Shook the World. My contribution to making her almost human can be read at my hobby website: Project Humanbeingsfirst.org.
Hello, interesting reading, enjoyed it. Some quick thoughts that spring to mind which I would like to share with you as an invitation to probe further and with a tad more pertinence to empirical reality.

While I am not a philosopher Michael, I do use philosophy as a reasoning tool, particularly as a means of parsing both logic and arguments. One thing the study of philosophy and the philosophers' thoughts taught me early on is to seek (or look for) self-consistency between their arguments and the presuppositions that these are based on.

When arguments are self-consistent with their presuppositions, then the reasoning is usually weighty, whether or not it matches with reality. Seeking that match is what empiricism tries to do when the domain is within the purview of falsifiability, and it becomes “religion” when the domain is either un-empirical or the axioms are unfalsifiable. In either case, when there is self-consistency, the logic of the argument passes the first test of acceptability. Meaning, the argument, theory, ideology, logic, cannot be rejected just because it may not be empirical or non-falsifiable, primarily because it is self-consistent with its own axioms. In other words, this arguably [is] the sole exception [to] reductio ad absurdum --- even if the [conclusion] is shown to
be absurd, if the argument, logic, theory, is consistent with its fundamental premise, it cannot be (easily) rejected. For instance, the Euclidean geometry is built with great self-consistency and with considerable completeness upon its principal axiom that parallel lines don't meet at infinity. One can however also create a fully self-consistent and equally complete non-Euclidean geometry by assuming that parallel lines meet at infinity. Its theorems and corollaries also being entirely self-consistent with that unfalsifiable axiom. Of course we may find little use for such a geometry in non-relativistic space, since its theorems might simply lead to absurd results in our non-relativistic daily experience. But we also can't reject its theorems outright just because they don't apply in our daily experiences, principally because of the self-consistency of the theorems with its own fundamental axioms.

However, when theorems (arguments, philosophies, ideologies, logic) and their axioms are inconsistent, it usually, nay almost always, means sophistry! In the worst case it is bullshit disguised in erudite demagoguery and the foundation of propaganda warfare, often the purview of the Übermensch. In the best case it is merely incompetence of reasoning when employed by those of limited intellectual acumen. In either event, it is a false argument, false logic, false theory, false ideology, when the argument does not follow from its axiomatic presuppositions. The truth of this statement is self-evident, rather obvious, and does not need any further proof or discussion.

What is fundamentally common between the two philosophers, Marx and Nietzsche, is that, by virtue of their both being atheists, they both believe in the natural law as a presupposition. That axiom cannot lead to any theory of social justice (fairness, egalitarianism) except in sophistry, even if it is enacted by the fiat of law. This fact is irrespective of the particular argument, theory, or ideology, whether broad-spectrum (Marxism), or narrow-spectrum as enacted within a subgroup or clique or tribe by mutual considerations of survival (Nietzsche) or domestic or international law by mutual agreement (policy-making). Since Natural law is presumed to also apply to humans, it fundamentally governs their very existence, development, evolution, and thus subsequently arguing social justice and egalitarianism among them as the supposed mutually agreed upon civilizational construct is inconsistent by definition. It is inconsistent with the supposition of natural law governing mankind, whether in individual, or in aggregate.

That is such a basic and most obvious truth that I am sure it is no news to you. So I am surprised that I missed its deeper exploration in your Critique.

This inconsistency of natural law applied to humans and the vague altruistic notions of egalitarianism will naturally give rise to primacy, hegemony, despite all the platitudinous window dressings they might be couched in for the benefit of the sheep by those who will themselves be self-consistent with their axiomatic premise of natural law. This is both philosophically true, and also empirical.

Just for completeness, that is the premise that laws of nature apply to all existence, to human beings, to animals, to animate and inanimate objects, and in that ambit of natural law, there is no equality. Might and power by definition reign only by the superiority of force, and this is seen empirically not only within the natural forces of nature, but also within the natural forces of jungle. The lion can never be equal to the sheep, nor wolves equal to sheep, but certainly the wolves might vociferously argue egalitarianism between themselves and the lions (had they any ability to reason logically for survival).

So, in response to your interesting article, I would observe that Nietzsche was perhaps more self-consistent in his reasoning of the Übermensch being the natural shepherd because they are more able than the sheep, just as Plato was in his logic of Philosopher-king being the natural shepherd otherwise the Übermensch
controllers would naturally enslave mankind, than anyone else in Western humanist philosophy. Marx was entirely inconsistent. And so were the deist philosophers who crafted the American constitution. Anyone can easily see the fruits of that inconsistency in the wonderful Bill of Rights, wherein, only if you are the right racial and genetic (European) makeup are you deemed a “human being” enjoying all the natural rights of pursuit of happiness --- the imported Negroes and their descendants bonded into slavery, and the native inhabitants of the land ten million of whom were mercilessly exterminated from their own soil, were evidently not deemed fully human when those Rights were crafted by the founders of United States of America.

The more interesting question of philosophy in this domain of social justice is really this Michael: under what set of axioms or presuppositions can egalitarianism and social justice be a self-consistent ideology among mankind?

Nietzsche confronted that question head on and as you too correctly observed in your article quoting someone: “... all ethical systems, that is all those ways of thinking which are generally accepted as such, have a basis for judgment which lies outside that which is to be judged.” But since Nietzsche was also an avowed atheist and had killed God, to be self-consistent with his own presupposition and belief in natural law, he had to reject any outside source, and thus forthrightly rejected egalitarianism, except of course by mutual consent among the Übermensch themselves!

Nietzsche only re-laid the modern foundation of the same old white man's burden, and as you well know, that foundation of primacy is quite ancient, and which the late Dr. Brzezinski summed up with the greatest laconic wit in perhaps the shortest sentence in human history to convincingly legitimize international primacy: “Hegemony is as old as mankind.” It was his justification for continued primacy of the sole superpower despite all the conventions of international law thrust down the individual national throats. Just look at the egregious title of his realpolitik book, which is of course only the American Mein Kampf: “The Grand Chessboard -- American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives”. Merely the white man's burden revisited --- wouldn't you agree --- so what's all this specious discussion of egalitarianism in the postmodern contemporary setting?

I look forward to you examining that aforementioned pertinent question if you are keenly interested in any notion of the viability of social justice among mankind. Nietzscheanism today governs not just ideology, but also all law, policy, and dispensation. Its pinnacle is to be reached, I imagine, when the religion of secular humanism is enacted in the world by the fiat of power and international law in a one-world government.

Thanks for your frequent emails from legitgov. I often have to mark it “not spam”. Keep up the good work. I am sure that you will agree that we must all continue to seek self-consistency with axioms as the first rejection criterion of absurdities that are couched in erudite philosophies and specious logic, the main gift of reason and philosophy, and continue to teach those tools of philosophical reasoning to others as a means of parsing reality for self-preservation from the Übermensch. In human society, some are wolves and the rest are sheep --- there can be no egalitarianism between their imperatives unless both are forcibly subjected to a higher force. That force, both mathematical logic [Gödel's incompleteness theorems] and the quest for survival of the sheep dictates, should be self-consistent with the axioms which give birth to it, and above that which it is meant to govern.

Let me hasten to clarify that this humble entreaty of teaching those who are ill-equipped with a sophisticated intellect to survive the Übermensch's Machiavellian jungle only applies to those who do genuinely proclaim (or seek) social justice and do not believe in the presupposition of atheism. I guess I am
hoping that all who are inclined towards social justice would logically reach the self-consistent realization that there must be a higher force or authority that one must accept as the source of egalitarian morality in order to have social justice among mankind. Without that presupposition of higher authority, there can be none!

Thus, it follows that atheist by the demands of self-consistency with their own axiom must either give-up social justice, or give up atheism. They cannot have both.

With Regards,

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Short URL: https://tinyurl.com/Atheism-Justice-Nonsequitur

Uniting Mankind Against
Secular Humanism

Morality derived from the Intellect leads to Enslavement!

This is Project Humanbeingsfirst's response to the moral reflections of an anonymous ordinary mortal in “The importance and benefits of self honesty”. The writer mused:

“When you stand before God to be judged, do you really think at that time you will be able to enter a debate with God about your behavior? Playing with or bending some words to cover-up or justify your bad deeds? Perhaps a little “white lie” here and there? Do you really think you can deceive God?’ [1]

This problem was solved by Nietzsche a long time ago!

There used to be a prominent T-Shirt worn around campus when I was an undergrad, it said in bold:

God is Dead --- Nietzsche

(of course I am not going to provide the punch-line that was printed in very fine letters just underneath that, at least not just yet!)

The German philosopher found God dead for the more keen of intellect among mankind, the superman, Übermensch, über alles; Plato’s philosopher-king no longer bound by God but his own “will to power” to become his own god. [2]

As god, the superman is beyond the confines of good and evil, beyond the calculus of conventional morality, and thus is freed to redefine what the word “morality” means with his own superior intellect for the rest of mankind, the untermensch, who have not yet evolved, or refuse to evolve, to that higher state of intelligence that only evolution can bestow. Hoi polloi being in the majority, hamper the evolution of man and his societies with their superstitions and must therefore be led and guided by the intellect of the superman.
This line of reasoning is the foundation of modern secularism and its new religion, Secular Humanism, the worship of reason instead of some unseen God.

In this missive, I advance the commonsense observation that morality and intellect are two separate things. It is mixing them where people become misled!

Intellect cannot confer upon morality any view other than subjective, and hence relative and arbitrary. The following statement from an 'uber intellect' is a good evidence of this:

"Nothing is more certain in modern society than the principle that there are no absolutes, that a name, a phrases, a standard has meaning only when associated with the considerations which give birth to nomenclature. To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative." --- Justice Vinson, U.S. Supreme Court, 1951 AD

However, the following algorithm is not just evidence of what I say, but its outright proof. This proof is furnished by the 'uber uber' atheist of the 20th century, i.e., the most fanatical God is Dead exponent, Mr. Bertrand Russell. I can't recall the exact chapter and verse, but it goes something like this.

Bertrand Russell's morality synthesis exclusively from the intellect:

~ 'Maximize individual happiness (pleasures) while minimizing social conflict (not hitting on another's spouse) to optimize the overall happiness of the people composing the social unit who agree to live by the set of laws which implement this operations-research calculus.' --- Bertrand Russell also noted some caveats for protection of minors and those unable to make choices so that one could not maximize one's pleasures upon them without some institutional safeguards.

Using that highly intellectual morality equation – and I will confess that I have not encountered a more profound synthesis of morality and law anywhere, and which, on the surface at least, appears rather full of brilliance and minimalism – it would be perfectly acceptable, for instance, to spread Black-death every other generation for population control among other 'untermensch' societies. Or, to create a draconian police-state by re-defining what individual happiness might mean, and conditioning the people to get used to it. As Goethe had observed, "none are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free". In such a society, the people could be kept quite content in their voluntary servitude thus leaving no social conflict whatsoever – and thus culminating in a perfectly stable and rational society.

In this highly intellectual system, also euphemistically called Secular Humanism, enslaving the populace by a bunch of wily 'ubermensch' who have craftily chosen not to be constrained in the "semantic strait-jacket" alluded to by Judge Vinson quoted above and who accept "that all concepts are relative", that state of affairs would be a perfectly moral outcome. It certainly satisfies Bertrand Russell's intellect-derived
morality calculus. And if someone thinks I am making all this up, Bertrand Russell himself concluded in his epiphany to ‘uber’ intellectual morality, in his 1952 book “Impact of Science on Society”, that a Scientific Society, meaning one built on intellect – as obviously imbeciles can’t do high-tech science – will automatically culminate in “World government [which] could only be kept in being by force”.

Bertrand Russell's superior intellect finds the stability of the global police state desirable as it would also have the other wholesome characteristic that any superior intellect running the world with unlimited force at its disposal would always demand from hoi polloi: absolute obedience! The tools to finally achieve that long held dream to control all human beings on earth by its supermen, only made available in the scientific age.

Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, America's National Security Advisor and the author of the Carter Doctrine that gave to the USSR its Vietnam War in Afghanistan in Muslim blood, wrote in his own seminal 1970 book “Between Two Ages” of the advent of the scientific society and what that new age portends:

“Life seems to lack cohesion as environment rapidly alters and human beings become increasingly manipulable and malleable. Everything seems more transitory and temporary: external reality more fluid than solid, the human being more synthetic than authentic. Even our senses perceive an entirely novel "reality”—one of our own making but nevertheless, in terms of our sensations, quite "real." More important, there is already widespread concern about the possibility of biological and chemical tampering with what has until now been considered the immutable essence of man. Human conduct, some argue, can be predetermined and subjected to deliberate control. Man is increasingly acquiring the capacity to determine the sex of his children, to affect through drugs the extent of their intelligence, and to modify and control their personalities. Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, "I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain.”” (pg. 12)

Novelist George Orwell depicted that re-semantification of words and language for the full spectrum control of the human mind as “Newspeak” in his famous 1948 dystopian fable “Nineteen Eighty-four”. Aldous Huxley introduced the “Soma” and being happy in voluntary servitude by the very design of the human beings without the need for overt jackboots on the face of humanity, in his 1931 dystopian fable “Brave New World”. All dystopias fundamentally brought on by the superior intellect of the Übermensch.

Books of atheist philosophers and social scientists aside, we can brazenly observe this exercise of the 'uber' intellect not just in the world government under construction which of course no one believes is happening, but in the Talmud among its own very moral followers which too no one can ever deny unless their lips are moving in chutzpatic confabulations. The Ten Commandments of Moses are intellectually particularized from their universal moral form, by adding an implied “Jew” at the end. Thus, as has been amply exposed by many recovering Jews themselves, “Thou Shall Not Kill” is read by many an adherent Talmudic Rabbi as: “Thou Shall Not Kill [a Jew; killing goy is OK]”.

And as evidence that this “hegelian mind fck” isn't just some historical baggage which happened in the Dark Ages with no bearing to modernity, here is the latest version of the Law Book of Israel: 'The King's
For additional examples of this ongoing “hegelian mind fck”, please see *From Genesis to Genocide in Palestine*. [4]

Fundamentally, the questions probed by the anonymous writer have been long solved philosophically, i.e., by using the intellect. Here is a short passage from Leo Strauss which shows just how remarkably easily it has been solved:

'Political Zionism has repeatedly characterized itself as the will to normalize the existence of the Jewish people, to normalize the Jewish people. By this self-definition it has exposed itself to a grave misunderstanding, namely, the misunderstanding that the will to normality was the first word of political Zionism; the most effective criticism of political Zionism rests on this misunderstanding. In truth, the presupposition of the Zionist will to normalization, that is, of the Zionist negation of galut [exile], is the conviction that "the power of religion has been broken". Because the break with religion has been resolutely effected by many individual Jews, and only because of this reason, it is possible for these individuals to raise the question on behalf of their people, how the people is to live from now on. Not that they prostrate themselves before the idol of normality; on the contrary: they no longer see any reason for the lack of normality. And this is decisive: in the age of atheism, the Jewish people can no longer base its existence on God but only on itself alone, on its labor, on its land, and on its state. ...' --- page 202, Leo Strauss, The Early Writings 1921-1932

See its fuller exposition at the link below, but here is the core essence of that morality:

'In simple language which peels off the philosophical-gibberish of “will to normality” and such, straightforwardly speaking: god gave the Jews the land grants, anointed them as the 'chosen peoples', and then Nietzsche killed god, and now it's up to the Jewish people who “can no longer base its existence on God but only on itself alone, on its labor, on its land, and on its state”, in order to construct their own future “because the break with religion has been resolutely effected by many individual Jews” who must now lead their flock!!!' [5]

See how wonderful a solution it is *mes amis*? I hope no one is too sarcasm impaired here.

Not to be outdone by atheists in defining their own super-morality with their uber-intellect, god's chosen theists can even outdo that with learned confabulations – become god themselves:

’... The point is that a Jew has strength, ability and power to create the desire within G-d to accept and become King over the entire creation.

It's understood, that the existence of the entire creation, in truth, is brought about by the Jew's coronation of G-d, and through which He becomes a King over the entire creation, which ultimately results in the fact that all of creation comes from the Primary being, G-d.

It's obvious that since every Jew, men and even women and children, brings about the
existence of the entire creation, they become masters over the world, and thus every single creation owes them recognition for this good.

**Being that through the Jew, all beings were created, he therefore becomes the master over all of them.**

This is especially so in regards to what needs to be accomplished on erev [every?] Rosh Hashana.

Since the judgment of Rosh Hashana is primarily regarding physical matters, as explained in Likutei Torah, therefore the Jew is in complete control, particularly over physical matters.

The physicality of the world itself has to recognize the good that the Jew has accomplished.

Through the Jews they came into being, and their true existence is through their unity with the True Being.

**Since G-d and the Jews are one, each Jew becomes a True Being, and is thus able to bring about all of creation.**

**He therefore has control over all of creation and not only that, but they owe him thanks and are indeed thankful, for being provided with abundance in physical and especially spiritual matters.**' --- Translation of Talmudic reading by a Rabbi, The Coronation of Hashem [6]

Such is the natural culmination of morality when the superior intellect is put in charge of its direction! The sociopaths will always justify the Übermensch. Nietzsche of course called it “will to power” of the superman; the only way for man to evolve into a higher rational being. As we have unfortunately witnessed time and again however, it has become the favorite expression of both social Darwinian philosophers and warmongers of all stripes who remorselessly employ “end justify the means” paradigm for exercising their primacy upon fellow man. The primacy entitlement felt to be innate to the “survival of the fittest” philosophy, is cunningly disguised in Newspeak which the ill-informed public is unable to parse until it is already fait accompli.

Here is President George W. Bush Jr. employing it in his Speech before a Joint Session of Congress on September 20, 2001. The speech writer used Nietzschean allusions to announce the unfettered rise of the new superman mandarins of earth in the aftermath of 9/11. Only those well read of classical literature, mostly the elites themselves, likely understood its implications even before the first bombs were dropped on Afghanistan. One wonders even the chief executive mouth-piece of the superpower nation who famously uttered these scripted words in the US Congress like a puppet on a string, and which were duly televised live to the shocked world, fully understood it himself:

“We have seen their kind before. They are the heirs of all the murderous ideologies of the twentieth century. By sacrificing human life to serve their radical visions, by abandoning every value except the will to power, they follow in the path of fascism, nazism, and totalitarianism. And they will follow that path all the way to where it ends.
In history's unmarked grave of discarded lies. Every nation, in every region, now has a decision to make. Either you are with us, or you are with the terrorists.” [7]

We have of course seen the actual results of that pious ultimatum and who abandoned, and continues to abandon, “every value except the will to power” by their massive military invasions under false pretenses, DU bombings of civilians in defenseless nations, and police-state at home.

A shortlist of examples of significant Newspeak by the superior intellect which has altered our world is given in Footnotes [a] through [h] below. These examples empirically illustrate the vast distance between pious language and the actual reality of their diabolical subversion or their intended meaning by the superman. The pious verbiage mainly serve the interest of perception management of hoi polloi so that the “history's actors” can carry on accomplishing their Übermensch agendas without interference from the public, often willingly acquiring the public's consent under the right set of “doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” (Brzezinski) continually fed them by intellectual experts. The Übermensch create their own hard reality as “history's actors” while the rest of the world is caught up in their pious platitudes and propaganda warfare. And, after the inevitable fait accompli, is merely left to study it ex post facto, when the deeds are already cast in stone:

“We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.”' [8]

Morality is only Newspeak for public consumption to buy time and to induce compliance when the Übermensch is the history's actor. The dystopias of the twentieth-century fables have quite escaped from the library into the reality created by these history's actors. Newspeak is now so ubiquitous that we are even unconscious of its presence, like the air we breathe, but it cradles our thoughts, feelings, actions as well as inactions. It is the gift to mankind of will to power.

It would of course be a travesty of thought to end this missive without giving the punch-line that was printed on the T-Shirt noted above. I wish I had bought one – at the time it was only humorous. It read:

Nietzsche is Dead --- God!

I can hear someone laughing...

Because I can actually feel that laugh down my spine without any physical sound waves impinging upon my eardrums from across the ethernet, it shows me that, inter alia: Morality likewise is naturally felt, not naturally thought.

Morality originates from the heart where feelings reside, not the mind – Plato's virtuous philosopher-king notwithstanding. Such abstract intellectualism, including his Shapes, appear to reside in the vast immanent-space of the philosopher's mind alone since they can find no empirical verification in the far more constrained existential reality-space. The only morality that the intellect is empirically shown to beget from time immemorial, is the Nietzschean-Hegelian variety explored above, of might has rights! It is also known as the divinely ordained law of the jungle to some. To others, it constitutes the categorical imperatives of primacy for the superior intellect, superior power, superior race, superior civilization, which are always cast as
exceptional, beyond good and evil, as *uber alles*, above all others. To still others, it is simply the amoral precision of “*military-style objectivity*” to achieve any agenda, public or covert, national or international. The actual mindset behind “military-style objectivity” in the pursuit of policy planning or achieving political agendas without being hampered by any conventional moral calculus or preconceived value judgments, is most straightforwardly dignified in the 1967 book “*Report from Iron Mountain*”. [9]

It is that Übermensch mindset which came to underwrite the *Truman Doctrine*, the policy of engaging the newly created USSR in a Cold War. The key Policy Planning Study, PPS No. 23, February 28, 1948, Top Secret until Declassified June 17, 1974, written by George F. Kennan as Head of the US State Department Policy Planning Staff, straightforwardly articulated that mindset lest some of its implementers started believing their own propaganda of high-minded “altruism and world-benefaction” devised for engineering the public's consent for the Cold War:

> “We have about 50% of the world's wealth, but only 6.3% of its population .... In this situation, we cannot fail to be the object of envy and resentment. Our real task in the coming period is to devise a pattern of relationships which will permit us to maintain this position of disparity without positive detriment to our national security. To do so, we will have to dispense with all sentimentality and day-dreaming, and our attention will have to be concentrated everywhere on our immediate national objectives. We need not deceive ourselves that we can afford today the luxury of altruism and world-benefaction .... We should cease to talk about vague and – for the Far East – unreal objectives such as human rights, the raising of living standards, and democratization. The day is not far off when we are going to have to deal in straight power concepts. The less we are then hampered by idealistic slogans, the better.”

When morality is not based on the subjective intellect which, when left to its own devices, by its very nature, inevitably pursues objectives with the amoral precision of “*military-style objectivity*”, but on actual moral standards of which the world's wisdom traditions and holy scriptures have spoken of, we get something entirely different. We get an objective absolute. For instance, let's just take the oldest well-known morality of the Western tradition itself. The Old Testament's Mosaic law. It lays down the first principle of morality called the Golden Rule. It is golden because from it all else follow:

> “Do unto others as you have others do unto you”

No superman would like that prescription of morality as the principal basis for devising laws, human rights, foreign policies, and settling disputes among men and nations. For it predicates absolute fairness, that no one shall take undue advantage of another. The superior intellect of the Übermensch simply cannot accept that *hoi polloi* and they are equal. Nature is not a relationship of equals. And man is a product of nature like all of existence. Since man is not seen to have a spiritual essence, and he is deemed to be made only of material substance, therefore the laws of nature equally apply to it as to space-time. Heart-felt and spiritual sentiments are deemed mere superstitions, or human weaknesses, and better made subservient to the power of reason and the intellect.

Ergo, the law of the jungle where only unequals live, is inevitable. The superman spearheading the path to further evolution through social Darwinian primacy, its only rational outcome. What is frightening to realize here is that there can be no other logical outcome when the heart is made subservient to the intellect. Social
Darwinianism and Secular Humanism are conjoined twins from birth. They cannot be separated by the same yardstick of reason which gave birth to these constructs. Thus that logical outcome has to be cunningly disguised from its victims. Thus *Newspeak* is invented.

Whereas, interestingly, as in all lovers’ happy or tragic tales also since time immemorial, the Heart also is where the Almighty resides! Read both the Qur’an and the Bible and one sees references to the heart as the container for morality, for spiritual eyes, for cleanliness of the heart begetting the cleanliness of the soul, for cognitively incomprehensible admonitions of none shall approach the truth unless they approach it with a cleansed heart, for there being a seal put on the heart of those who are heedless and who are the purveyors of falsehoods, who bring misery upon mankind by their ‘uber’ clever planning, etceteras. I have yet to recall knowing anyone who fell in love through their mind as opposed to through their heart. Or even recall reading any literature, sacred or divine, and I am an indefatigable reader, that alluded to the mind for matters of love, faith, courage, self-sacrifice, and yes, the notorious jihad – jihad-un-nafs – the primordial inner struggle of the soul to overcome the “banality of evil” only upon the conquest of which, the sword of resistance is automagically both found and comes unsheathed! And when I used to read comparative religions, I recall also the case of appeal to the heart being true of Hindu scriptures as well as others.

The twentieth century poet-philosopher of Muslims from the Indian subcontinent, *Sir* Allama Iqbal, surely only endeavored to free man from the shackles of intellectual servitude when he too deemed the heart enslaved by the mind unworthy:

\[
\text{'Subh-e-Azal yeh Mujh Se Kaha Jibrael Ne}
\]
\[
\text{Jo Aqal Ka Ghulam Ho Woh Dil Na Ker Qabool'}
\]

“Gabriel on the Morning of Creation a piece of useful counsel gave:

Accept not the heart from a beloved whose mind enslaves it”


(Sir) Rabindranath Tagore who, unlike his separatist compatriot “Sir” Allama Iqbal, expressing his heart-felt moral outrage at the 1919 Jallianwala Bagh massacre by the British troops returned his own title to the Crown, put the limitations of one sided use of the intellect thusly:

“A mind all logic is like a knife all blade. It makes the hand bleed that uses it.”

In conclusion, “Cogito Ergo Sum” might have taken a tiny lesson from Zen were it not so imbued in its own arrogance of the intellect and so blinded by its own brilliance to actually have missed the commonsense. Watch Zen Master Bruce Lee so simply teach it [here](#):
Caption Quote Bruce Lee: “We need emotional content. Don't think, feeel; it is like a finger pointing away to the moon. Don't concentrate on the finger or you will miss all that heavenly glory”!

It should now be patently obvious to anyone that an intellect voluntarily serving under the command of morality can be the only possible solution for equitable and peaceable “Cogito Ergo Sum” for all mankind, rather than for the 'uber' few when it's put the other way around.

I will humbly further suggest that the clincher empirical proof that morality and intellect are separate entities, that morality is primarily rooted in feelings rather than in the intellect, is that had ordinary people simply retained even an iota of humanity in them, even a tiny feeling of empathy for the suffering of fellow man, for their own natural tribe of mankind, then, instead of intellectually watching the decimation of their own kith and kin all unfold on television looking from the side, at best going tsk tsk, and at worst cheering, we would have collectively marched in formation and forcibly neutered all the hectoring hegemons now so boldly munching on their victims no differently than the lowly wildebeest and buffaloes do against the hectoring hegemons of their jungle!

And no scientist in the universe can argue with a straight face that the poor buffaloes who feel the pain so immensely for their own humble kith and kin as depicted in the video below, are a very cognitive species – a fact also brazenly recognized by our own hectoring hegemons which is perhaps why they work so assiduously on desensitizing our feelings of empathy for our fellowman, including for our own selves, by continually bringing us all the manufactured Hollywood violence and other baser entertainment:
Caption Battle at Kruger Park --- taking on the hectoring hegemons of their jungle in defense of their own species, a natural behavior that has evidently been culled from the human species.

Footnotes


[a] An example of cunning wordsmithing in superman scholarship is the Balfour Declaration which gave real political rights to the Jews while giving some abstract civil and religious rights to the Palestinians. The actual result is quite visible today. The underlying legalism which led to it is visible in the deconstruction of its diabolical wordsmithing in: The Illusion of Power and the Calculus of Palestinian Dispossession, http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/07/response-to-alan-hart-by-zahir-ebrahim.html

[b] The clever wordsmithing of the EU Constitution which has cunningly caveated the loftily worded public Rights to limit them in practice by law, or by executive order, under the rubric of national security and expediency, much like the United States Constitution and its Bill of Rights have been trumped by the Patriot Acts for instance, is examined in an analysis that I once found on the web but don't have a citation for it at this time. Virtually every public Right in the EU Constitution has the caveat that it can be “lawfully” restricted! When the king makes the laws, whatever the king decides is the law. The same with the Parliament which often enact and implement laws handed them by forces unseen by the public mind. The National Security State and those controlling it are one such unseen force.

[c] The clever wordsmithing of the American Constitution which has cunningly subverted it in actual practice is examined in Cracks in the Constitution by Ferdinand Lundberg, http://amazon.com/Cracks-Constitution-Ferdinand-Lundberg/dp/0818402792

[d] The reality of “Democracy” as it actually played out while being layered upon that brilliantly worded US Constitution was also briefly analyzed by Carroll Quigley in THE MYTHOLOGY OF AMERICAN DEMOCRACY, a presentation to the Industrial College of the Armed Forces on August 17, 1972, http://www.carrollquigley.net/lectures.htm


Even the United Kingdom is not a country. It is also a Corporation, controlled by another supra-national private Corporation, the real financial capital of the world, the City of London, or just “the City” for short. It is what H. G. Wells was referring to in his rallying call in his 1940 book New World Order: “And if we, the virtuous democracies, are not fighting for these common human rights, then what in the name of the nobility and gentry, the Crown and the Established Church, the City, The Times and the Army and Navy Club, are we common British peoples fighting for?”

See the meticulous research unveiled by John Harris of the UK also being a Corporation, in the Lawful Rebellion Conference, January 24, 2009, titled: It's an illusion, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qmmt0uonXzo.

Short URL: http://tinyurl.com/Superman-Morality

Source URL: http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/12/morality-from-intellect-is-enslavement.html

Is Islam the Problem for which Secular Humanism is pitched as the Solution or Is Primacy the Problem for which Islam is the only Solution?

Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization

I sometimes like to tee off my writings on the human condition from the opinions I hear being expressed among the common man, in the grapevine, or in the news media. The quoted perspective below is from an unknown website written by an anonymous person. It expresses the seeds of a crucially pertinent topic to the human condition which is examined in considerable depth in this article.

"On occasions, I feel Muslims ‘lose’ something when it comes to religion. I am speaking about the second last prophet Jesus or Isa (E-sa) peace be upon him. ... My general opinion of Muslims is that they tend to take on a facile view of Christianity ... I get the feeling that this may be because: If an increase in discussions by Muslims of Jesus (pbuh) were to take place, it would be perceived as “being Christian”.’ ---- article [1]

The interesting perspective embodied in that quote which inspired me to address this issue, is along the lines which reduce to the following empiricism: Human beings in general don't tend to appreciate what is not part of one's own socialization. Furthermore, with suitable inculcation, this lack of appreciation can span the gamut of behavior from remaining largely indifferent to being outright antagonistic to what's not perceived as one's own. The limit of that of course being intense doctrinal hatred and warfare.
This is pretty much a universal trait. An observable universal truism if there is one. And just as applicable to one as to another.

Upon this truism is the manipulative jingoism of antiquity to modernity constructed. We see this from tribalism to ethnocentrism, sectarianism to religionism, racism to culturalism, and nationalism to patriotism.

It is even the basis of the following formulation in Zbigniew Brzezinski's The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives:

“More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.” The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy And Its Geostrategic Imperatives, pgs. 211-212

I mention that not to needlessly digress, but only to point out the universality of the principle that the seeding theme being responded to and developed, has outlined just one instance of.

It is one thing for an outsider to not appreciate what's not theirs, or be only superficially familiar with it based on vicarious sources, as in my internet-confrere Peter Meyer's musings on the template of Bertrand Russell's *uber finger* to the Church: Why I am not a Christian, in: *Why I am not a Muslim*. Surely, Peter may be forgiven his absurd understanding of Islam – since he is evidently on a life-long journey of truth-discovery if his website is any evidence, and like Kim, is still searching for his own River of the Arrow – when he makes the following facile observations of what is not part of his own heritage:

“As a young man, since I was a seeker after spiritual truth, I read much about religion and the various religions, including Islam, and thus I discovered Sufism (or at least, that there was a spiritual tradition of that name). I read about the Sufi quest for union with the divine, which appealed to me (and which is considered heretical by orthodox Muslims).

I also discovered the beauty of Islamic art. The geometrical designs found in Islamic art and architecture are a wonder, and some grand mosques (especially when illuminated at night) are beautiful to see. However, as noted previously, the beauty of the art (and architecture) of a religion is not evidence of the truth of its doctrines.

When one examines how Muslims live and act there are good and bad features. The good is that there is a strict code of ethics, according to which good Muslims are honest, reliable, fair, generous and considerate of others; they do not lie, cheat or steal. Fine. I wish everyone was like that.

On the other hand, Muslims act this way (if they do) because they are following a strict code of conduct (not just a code of ethics) which tells them how to act in all situations. There is not just a Muslim way to pray (which, in the case of the Sunnis, is the same in all mosques from Casablanca to Jakarta) there is also a Muslim way to do anything (including defecating). This code of conduct, which regulates the behavior of a strict Muslim in every way (*Islam is thus a totalitarian religion*), is modeled on the life of the Prophet Muhammad and subsequent Muslim religious leaders. If Muhammad
did something in a certain way then that must be the right way to do it and as a good Muslim one must do it that way. Thus in Muslim societies cats are looked upon with favor but dogs are not (consequently cats far outnumber dogs) because Muhammad supposedly liked cats but disliked dogs.”

Then he makes some perceptive observations of the state of the Muslims:

"Islam" means "submission", more exactly, submission to the will of God (Allah), and a "Muslim" is "one who submits". One who submits has thus given control of his life over to something else, in this case, to the decrees of the mullahs who interpret the Koran and to the social customs characteristic of Islamic societies. A Muslim is thus not a free person. It is thus hard to see how anyone who values their freedom could remain a Muslim, still less convert to that religion.

Muslims are fatalists, since they believe that everything happens according to the will of God, and nothing happens unless God wills it (Inshallah). This is a prescription for the abrogation of personal responsibility. Strictly speaking, one cannot be held responsible for one's actions if everything happens because Allah wills it to be so. (Of course, this does not prevent thieves being punished under sharia law by having their right hand cut off — actually quite a deterrent to potential thieves.) And if something doesn't go according to plan, well, it's the will of Allah. Maybe tomorrow, Inshallah.

**Islam is a grim religion.** Of the five religions considered here, Islam is the most intolerant and the most puritanical. (A puritan is someone who worries constantly that someone, somewhere, may be having a good time.) In January 2010 Malaysia's "Islamic morality police" arrested dozens of Muslims for the crime of "khalwat", or "close proximity", under a sharia law that prohibits Muslims from being alone with a member of the opposite sex before marriage."

Then he comically concludes with (I could only laugh until tears poured out):

“There is simply no evidence of the existence of Allah beyond the assertions of Muhammad and the claims of all those since him who have believed what he said. It is said that Muhammad received revelations, claimed to be from Allah. These were spoken to Muhammad by an entity named "Gabriel" and subsequently codified in the form of the Koran. (Actually, on his first appearance, Gabriel showed Muhammad a book, and asked him to read. But apparently Gabriel or Allah was not aware, or had forgotten, that the future Prophet was illiterate. So Muhammad had to memorize what Gabriel said to him.) But if all who hear voices were to found religions then we would have more religions than we could count.”

Nothing need be said for that convoluted conclusion. To each their own. Perhaps Peter might change his mind if he reads this. One thing in Peter's favor is that he is a genuine seeker and that is his genuine opinion. He is not shilling for a master. Peter Meyer is quite open about his biases and his preferences as a life-long social justice activist. Having interacted with Peter for years, and having read and talked with him about his experiments with Ayahuasca and at Esalen Institute, that is my sense. I learn interesting things from him, like I do from almost everyone else interesting I encounter on planet internet. It would be rather boring to constantly
run into oneself.

Peter Meyer is a template of the harmless outsider looking at the “other” in search of “truth” and we shall say goodbye to him (unless he publishes a propaganda book based on his crippled epistemology). In contrast, we have an insider turned “native informant” also claiming to be in search of “truth”, Dr. Khalid Sohail, formerly of Pakistan, now living in Canada and practicing the healing arts, as well as his poetry, upon mental pains in psychologically dysfunctional patients as a “Creative Psychotherapist”. Very laudable indeed. In my many conversations with him until he broke it off, I learnt to admire his genuine concern for his patients. His Green Zone therapy is a good model. However, Dr. Sohail's book with a great catchy title betrays everything about him in one short heading: From Islam to Secular Humanism. I tried my best to engage him on the subject. His entire suite of writings in that domain to me reeks of Uncle Tom, a House Nigger echoing what is popular with the massa. But I am sure he believes in it, in which case his silence betrays him. I kept calling him out on his omissions and his absurd emphasis on ignoring the shitting-trumpeting elephant in the bedroom, until he stopped writing to me and replying to my emails. Oh well.

If I ignore this aspect of his disingenuous psyche and his penchant for pseudo philosophy whereby he cannot hold a logical conversation in counterpart with anyone who isn't exactly an imbecile, and quickly runs away preferring to preach to the choir instead, I actually find his work in psychotherapy most endearing. Many a time I had offered to put myself on his couch! And he had also invited me to have a meal with him if I visited Canada. Until he stopped speaking to me that is. I imagine the invite is long canceled. I am still happy to get on his therapist couch (or chair) though! And I hope that despite everything, he would become open to a scrutinizing examination of his inimical views on Islam and his lopsided views on current affairs to check their validity in the light of factual analysis by one who is not among his choir, but is fair and not prejudiced against those who don't think like him. How boring would that be, to only talk to people we agreed with, or to rows of cabbages; pretty soon we'd be reduced to quoting ourselves!

Dr. Khalid Sohail is the unfortunate template of the useful idiot House Nigger who shills for the massa and his core axioms; who carries the white man's burden with greater zeal than the white man; is more white than the white man; and deprecates what was once his own like any good Native Informant who is celebrated in his new group as their new insider for his adverse “expert” opinion of the other to which he once belonged. (Late) Dr. Professor Fouad Ajami of Johns Hopkins immediately springs to mind as the Olympic gold winner in this endeavor (see Dream Palace of the Arabs: A Generation's Odyssey; and The Native Informant: Fouad Ajami is the Pentagon's favorite Arab, By Adam Shatz April 10, 2003). Paraded daily in the American mainstream newsmedia as the Pentagon's favorite Arab expert on the Middle East in the aftermath of 9/11 and harnessed for furthering the doctrinal motivation for America's invasion of Iraq in 2003, house niggers may look at themselves in the despicable mirror of this Arab World's Negro who was staged into willingly becoming the prized useful idiot native informant for the massa, to help their own selves recognize their own roles.

Holding mirror to the blind is of course never productive. That's okay for we must all sleep in the bed we make. Except that in this case, Secular Humanism is the bane of civilization. It is a bed that all of us shall be compelled to sleep in by the fiat of law and the force of cultural persuasion. It is a cancer that must be unceremoniously exorcised before it metastizes any further into our societies.

For the wannabe social philosopher Dr. Khalid Sohail to not see that Secular Humanism cunningly underwrites Primacy and Social Darwinianism by removing all Divine standards of morality (see Morality.
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derived from the Intellect leads to Enslavement! Atheism and Social Justice a Non Sequitur, Axioms of Secular Humanism and Why It Must Lead to Primacy By Definition, is him only being disingenuous as the house nigger, nothing more. It is my observation that the good doctor enjoys being the tool of empire – for all the accolades and worldwide visibility he gets with it. Too bad princess Malala trumped him on the Nobel peace prize racket as the worthy victim of the baddies — there is still open opportunity though, as Dr. Khalid Sohail's template is of that same ilk. There is a long line of these useful idiots in the waiting for their earthly reward for their great show of selective humanitarianism in the service of empire (see How to win the Nobel Peace Prize).

We shall say goodbye to the kindly faced Dr. Khalid Sohail as well, for we don't much care for the secular humanist's kind of compassionate concern for humanity that is templated on being Summun Bukmun Umyun on the highest order bit of the matter, Primacy of Empire. Even if the River of the Arrow (in Rudyard Kipling's Kim) runs in front of them, this type who proclaim to be after their own “truth” will quickly turn away pretending it isn't there. Sad. For, as a psychotherapist, I'd love to be on Dr. Khalid Sohail's creative couch – who doesn't need a therapist in these times! It is indeed rare to find a free-thinker among Muslims. But strangely, these supposed avant-garde in intellectual thought tend to run from me the moment they find out I am not in their incestuous choir. Am I so bad, Sohail? Six feet under, we meet the maggots regardless of what we believed, whom we shilled for, and what “truths” we told or held dear. Talk to me! Journey with me... we are on the same damn train of life with the same destination. Come by my cabin. I tried yours but you wouldn't open the door.

Before moving on to examine facile worldviews in more depth and to the self-realization of its divorcement from reality when one acquires some ma'arifat of the subject, a meaningful distinction between the useful idiot “native informant” vs. the “reformer” or “truth teller” seeking “social justice”, must be clearly drawn such that none are confused as to why is the template of Peter Meyer only a “harmless” activist when he has such inimical views on Islam, i.e., his caricature of Islam epitomizes facile, and why is the template of Dr. Khalid Sohail a pernicious “native informant” behind the transparent facade of selective “humanitarian” and “reformer” when he so boldly announces his inordinate love affair with the suffering humanity?

These are two different and distinct behavioral templates: one a brave soldier for truth (as he or she sees it, but may differ with you), and the other a Trojan horse, a house nigger propagandist shilling for the massa, often even unaware that he has become the massa's representative to his own people. The latter template does not see the monumental crimes of the massa. It only finds fault with his or her own brethren, the field niggers, for their malaise, subjugation, corruption, vice, and social-intellectual-moral backwardness. Whereas, the former template calls it as he or she sees it even under the threat of hemlock, minus any crippled epistemology. I.e., he or she may be genuinely mistaken, but does not suffer from the psychosis of inferiority or superiority complex, nor cater to the narratives of power, nor regurgitates its axioms and presuppositions. Peter Meyer does not give a blank check, or any check, to the white man's burden, to its noble lies, to its primacy imperatives. A visit to Peter Meyer's website serendipity.li makes that readily apparent. The latter template pretends the white man's burden does not exist while often participating in some aspects of it. Dr. Khalid Sohail's intellectual template has been amply and accurately deconstructed in the FAQ: What is an Intellectual Negro?. A visit to Dr. Khalid Sohail's website drsohail.com and even a cursory glance at his “creations”, “his love letters to humanity”, as he calls his overly-simplistic writings, prima facie validates that ignoble characterization of his pious labors that appear to aid and abet only the massa's primacy and its imperatives.

In our modernity with the phenomenal rise in supremacy of Western civilization and its norms that is
rapidly replacing indigenous values and norms worldwide, the Muslim body-politic has become mortally infected by the *house nigger virus*. There appears to be no easy cure for this malady. A revealing diagnosis of this infection was made in the 1960s book “*Occidentosis: A Plague From the West*” by the iconoclast Iranian littérateur, Jalal Al-i Ahmad, who called it *Gharbzadagi* in Persian, or Westoxification in English. “*The Art and Science of Co-option*” appears to actively protect its dispersion and survival in one form or another spanning the gamut of persuasion from Left to Right. Its only benign cure is to induce *metanoia* (a *gestalt shift* in thinking, a reorientation of perspective leading to an internal transformation, a change of heart). The other methods to rid society of this plague are not easily contemplatable, but Dickens' fictional character from his rendition of the French Revolution, Madame Defarge, with her knitting needles keeps jumping to mind. All civilization and all social order is cataclysmically altered in the wake of such mass turbulence. It throws the baby out with the bath water. In our Machiavellian modernity, such cataclysms are manufactured synthetically using Hegelian Dialectic: fashioning opposites and getting them to battle it out wherein all participants are made sacrificial *useful idiots* to destroy what is existent and entrenched, in order to raise the *phoenix* from its ashes. To takeout Divine religions, secularism is the Hegelian Dialectic. The outcome sought is World Order in which the public mind is obedient to the superstate, its norms, values and styles standardized, and the global society organized in some form of scientific caste hierarchy. Just look around us and one sees its fingerprints everywhere. China's CCP state control of the public mind and behavior is its closest experimental template today of a Godless capitalist state's full spectrum control of public and private life where the state has replaced god, and the people willingly consent to its supremacy.

---

**The Face of Religion of Islam in the Holy Qur'an**

Recognizing this innate psychological trait of mankind of having natural affinity with one's own kind when one is not laboring under any inferiority complex, (one presumes) is why the author of the Qur'an, which Muslims of course believe is the Creator (while other's believe was a lunatic), makes an extraordinary pronouncement on this very topic in Surah Al-Maeda – behold the unmatched principle of *Pluralism and Multi-culturalism* that is integral to the Holy Qur'an – and they dare to burn this Book(!), dare to revile and caricaturize this template for exemplary behavior among mankind (!):

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verse</th>
<th>Arabic Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5:44</td>
<td>إنَّا أَنْزَلْنَا الْتَوْرَاةَ فِي هَذِهِ وَنُورٌ يُحَكِّمُ بِهَا الْمُتَّقِينَ الَّذِينَ أَسَلَمُوا لِلْهَيْبَاءِ وَالرَّبَّيِّينَ وَالَّذِينَ أَمَاتُوا بِمَا أُتْبِيعُوا مِنْ كِتَابِ اللَّهِ وَكَانُوا عَلَيْهِ شَهَادَةً فَلَا تَحْسَبُوا النَّاسَ وَأَخْسَوْنَ وَلَا تَشَفَّرُوا بِبَيْائِي تَمْنَأ قَلیلًا وَمَنْ لَمْ يَحْكَمْ بِمَا أَنزَلْنَا إِلَى فَوْلِيكُمْ هُمُ الْكَافِرُونَ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:45</td>
<td>وَكَتَبْنَا عَلَيْهِمُ فِيهَا أَنَّ الْفَنْسَ بِالْفَنْسِ وَالْعَنْيَ بِالْعَنْيَ وَالْأَذْنَ بِالأَذْنَ وَالْأَنْفَ بِالأَنْفَ وَالْمُخْرَجُ قَصَاصًا فَإِنَّمَا تَصْدِقُ بِهِ فَهُوَ كَفَّارَةً لَهُ وَمَنْ لَمْ يَحْكَمْ بِمَا أَنزَلْنَا إِلَى فَوْلِيكُمْ هُمُ الْظَّالِمُونَ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:46</td>
<td>وَفِئَتَنَا عَلَى أَئِثِّرَ هُمُ الْحَسَنُ مُرْيِمُ مَصِدَّقَا لَمَّا بَيْنَ يَدَيْنَ مِنَ الْتَوْرَاةِ وَأَطْبَاهُ الْإِنْجِيلُ فِيهَ هَذِهِ وَنُورٌ وَمَصِدَّقَا لَمَّا بَيْنَ يَدَيْنَ مِنَ الْتَوْرَاةِ وَهَذِهِ وَمَوْعِظَةً لِلمُتَّقِينَ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:47</td>
<td>ولَيَحْكَمُ أَئِثِّرُ الْإِنْجِيلُ بِمَا أَنْزَلَ اللَّهُ فِيهِ وَمَنْ لَمْ يَحْكَمْ بِمَا أَنْزَلَ اللَّهُ فَوْلِيْكُمْ هُمُ الْكَافِرُونَ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was We who revealed the Torah (to Moses); therein was guidance and light. By its standard have been judged the Jews, by the Prophet who bowed (as in Islam) to Allah’s will, by the Rabbis and the Doctors of Law: for to them was entrusted the protection of Allah’s Book, and they were witnesses thereto: therefore fear not men, but fear Me, and sell not My Signs for a miserable price. If any do fail to judge by (the light of) what Allah hath revealed, they are (no better than) Unbelievers. (5:44)

We ordained therein for them: “Life for life, eye for eye, nose for nose, ear for ear, tooth for tooth, and wounds equal for equal.” But if anyone remits the retaliation by way of charity, it is an act of atonement for himself. And if any fail to judge by (the light of) what Allah hath revealed, they are (no better than) wrong-doers. (5:45)

And in their footsteps We sent Jesus the son of Mary, confirming the law that had come before him: We sent him the Gospel: therein was guidance and light, and confirmation of the law that had come before him: a guidance and an admonition to those who fear Allah. (5:46)

Let the people of the Gospel Judge by what Allah hath revealed therein. If any do fail to judge by (the light of) what Allah hath revealed, they are (no better than) those who rebel. (5:47)
To thee We sent the Scripture in truth, confirming the scripture that came before it, andguarding it in safety; so judge between them by what Allah hath revealed, and follow not their vain desires, diverging from the Truth that hath come to thee.

To each among you have We prescribed a Law and an Open Way.

If Allah had so willed, He would have made you a single people, but (His plan is) to test you in what He hath given you: so strive as in a race in all virtues. The goal of you all is to Allah; it is He that will show you the truth of the matters in which ye dispute.

The goal of you all is to Allah; it is He that will show you the truth of the matters in which ye dispute. (5:48) Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48

Caption Holy Qur'an Surah Al-Maeda verses 5:44-48 on Islam's fundamental acceptance of plurality of beliefs, and its enjoining mankind to strive for good alone as in a race in all virtues, while staying within their own socialized belief systems. Observe that there is no “saving” by conversion in Islam as in the religion of the Christian; and there is also no everlasting certificate of virtue as “god's chosen people” as in the religion of the Jew; and nor any class hierarchy by birth as in the “karma” infused religion of rebirth of the Hindu. Mankind in Islam is judged by his and her acts alone, of both commission and omission, as per the Qur'anic Accountability Equation: Output / Input. How much more egalitarian, and explicit, can Islam's singular scripture, the Holy Qur'an, be? And yet, the incessant propaganda barrage against Islam and its noble Messenger, as in the FBI training presentation graph (see The face of Jews' Islam “violent Islam” below), as in “International Burn a Quran Day” (see Christian pastor Terry Jones below), continually succeeds among the “information-age” soaked Western minds – just as indifference, apathy, and fatalism of “god is running the world”, continually succeeds among the religion-soaked Muslim minds. Facile? Or, the veritable success of perception management by The Mighty Wurlitzer (http://tinyurl.com/mightywurlitzer)?

And to ensure that the point is not lost here, permit me to highlight the solution-space outlined in the above passage by none other than the presumed Almighty Creator of mankind: “To each among you have We prescribed a Law and an Open Way. If Allah had so willed, He would have made you a single people, but (His plan is) to test you in what He hath given you: so strive as in a race in all virtues. The goal of you all is to Allah; it is He that will show you the truth of the matters in which ye dispute.”

The Author of the Qur'an is in fact most emphatic about “matters in which ye dispute”: 
And in whatever thing you differ, its decision is unto God. Holy Qur'an, Surah Ash-Shura 42:10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>And verily We have raised in every nation a messenger, (proclaiming): Serve Allah and shun false gods. Holy Qur’an, Surah An-Nahl 16:36</th>
<th>وَلَقَدْ بَعَثْنَا فِي كُلِّ أُمَهَةٍ رَسُولًا أُنْتِهِيْنَ إِلَى اللَّهِ وَجَتَبْنَاهُمُ الطَّاغِيُّونَ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>And for every nation there is a messenger. And when their messenger cometh (on the Day of Judgment) it will be judged between them fairly, and they will not be wronged. Holy Qur’an, Surah Yunus 10:47</td>
<td>وَلْكِنَّ أُمَهَةَ رَسُولٍ نُفَلَّتْ فِي هَذَا جَاءَ رُسُولُهُمْ فَمَسَّنِيَّتْ بِنَبِيِّهِمْ وَهُمْ لَا يُظَلِّمُونَ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surely We have sent you with the Truth as a bearer of good news and a Warner; and there is not a people but a Warner has gone among them. Holy Qur’an, Surah Faatir 35:24</td>
<td>إِنَّا أُرْسِلْنَا بِالْحَقِّ بِشِرِّيْرٍ وَنَذِيرٍ إِنِّي أَمَهَةٍ إِلَّا أَخٌ فِيهَا نَذِيرٌ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- the Author of the Holy Qur'an affirms that It did not deny Its Message or Its Messengers to any among mankind (even though only a very few are explicitly mentioned in the Holy Qur'an such as in Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48 above):

| And who believe in that which has been revealed to you and that which was revealed before you and they are sure of the hereafter. Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-Baqara 2:4 | وَالَّذِينَ يُؤْمِنُونَ بِمَا أَنزَلَ إِلَيْكَ وَمَا أَنزَلْنَاهُ مِنْ قَبْلِكَ وَبِالآخِرَةِ هُمْ يُؤْفَقُونَ |

one would discover a most progressive and natural principle of freedom of choice that is universally applicable to all of mankind, to peoples of all beliefs, and to peoples of no belief:

- [to] mind one's own business for what one does not feel is one's own, as in the case of what's outside one's own sphere of socialization; and

- [to] compete with each other in virtuous conduct as in a race in all virtues ( فَاَسْتَيَّتْ فَأَلْخَيْرَاتُ Surah Al-Maeda 5:48) rather than theological upmanship of whose understanding of religion is the greatest!

In my humble view, this is simply outstanding, nay, mind-blowingly progressive and liberal advocacy of mutual tolerance to a fractious mankind that is psychologically prone to tribalism, ethnocentrism, the modern version of it being nationalism – all by the natural artifact of birth and socialization into a tribe, religion, and nation!
By the admission of the Author of the above verses, it is by design that the Creator made mankind into separate peoples, tribes and nations, and gave each of them their own localized affiliations and emotional attachments: “If Allah had so willed, He would have made you a single people” (Surah Al-Maeda 5:48). The Author proclaims that it is He Who deliberately Fashioned man in due proportion in the best of form:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arabic (Surah Al-Tinin 95:4)</th>
<th>Arabic (Surah As-Sajdah 32:7-9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We have indeed created man in the best of moulds,</td>
<td>لَقَدْ خَلَقْنَا الأَنْسَانَ فِي أَحْسَنِ مَقْبُولٍ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Surah Al-Tinin 95:4)</td>
<td>(Surah As-Sajdah 32:7-9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He Who has made everything which He has created most good: He began the creation of man with (nothing more than) clay,</td>
<td>أَلَذَى أَحْسَنَ كُلُّ شَيْءٍ خَلَقَهُ وَبَدَا خَلْقُ الأَنْسَانَ مِن طَينٍ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(32:07)</td>
<td>(32:08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And made his progeny from a quintessence of the nature of a fluid despised:</td>
<td>تَمَّ جَعَلَ نَسْلَهُ مِن سَلَّةٍ مِن مَّاءٍ مُهِينٍ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(32:08)</td>
<td>(32:09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>But He fashioned him in due proportion, and breathed into him something of His spirit. And He gave you (the faculties of) hearing and sight and feeling (and understanding): little thanks do ye give!</td>
<td>تَمَّ سَوْتُهُ وَنَقْحَ فِيهِ مِن رُوْجَةٍ وَجَعَلَ لَكُمُ الْأَسْمَعَ وَالْبَصَرَ وَالأَفْقَادُ ۚ قَلِيلًا مَا تَشْكُورُونَ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Surah As-Sajdah 32:09)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Caption Surah As-Sajdah verses 32:7-9 declaring that the Author of the Holy Qur'an fashioned man in due proportion (and not as a random event), Surah Al-Tinin 95:4 declaring man is created in the best of form.

Therefore, when “He fashioned him in due proportion in the best of moulds, and breathed into him something of His spirit. And He gave you (the faculties of) hearing and sight and feeling (and understanding)”, He surely must also Know the psychological bent of every human mind, borne of its natural socialization and cultural programming due to being born in a specific nation and specific tribe. The Author therefore also Knows the “fitrat”, i.e., nature, of every man and woman. Specifically, what he and she is most susceptible to. Only because of this empirical fact of natural socialization by birth that the Author of the Holy Qur'an strongly Countenances the pursuit of: فَإِسْمَاعِيْلُ ٱلْخَيْرَاتُ, instead of theological upmanship, clearly predicking that the human mind which He Fashioned in due proportion, and which He Knows well, in its most natural state will face grave difficulty overcoming its socialized programming without expending considerable striving!

Of course, when own looks at evolutionary biology and social psychology, that is also the natural outcome of how mankind has developed from many different tribes and nations across the earth.

And the Author of the Holy Qur'an provides guidance du jour taking empiricism of mankind's present and future condition into account, while also inexplicably asserting that in the past, mankind was but one people (arguably suggesting a single social source of mankind before its geographic spread on earth into tribes and nations):
Mankind was but one nation, but differed (later). Had it not been for a Word that went forth before from thy Lord, their differences would have been settled between them. Holy Qur'an, Surah Yunus 10:19

What is apparent from even these few quoted verses in accurate and full context of the Holy Qur'an, is that for all future times from its Last Messenger's revelation of the Holy Qur'an, which was itself declared by the Author of the Holy Qur'an as the completion of its favors and the perfection of its religion which it named “Islam” (see verse 5:3 Surah Al-Maeda below), the Holy Qur'an unequivocally accepts, and guarantees, diversity of beliefs based on the natural artifacts of individual and group socialization!

Contrast the aforementioned principled understanding of the religion of Islam which unequivocally enjoins mankind to “strive as in a race in all virtues” in its singular scripture the Holy Qur'an, to the propaganda manual of the prominent Anglo-American Jew, Professor Bernard Lewis of Princeton University: Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror. Billed in the West as “a leading Western scholar of Islam”, [a] the “vulgar propagandist”, [b] and the obvious heir apparent to Dr. Joseph Goebbels for the construction of the present global Fourth Reich, namely, one-world government, speciously devoted much verbiage to Islam's “Triumphalism” in order to scare the Western public mind into waging West's perpetual “war on terror” against “Islamofascism”! The FBI training presentation titled “Militancy Considerations” made by another Jew to America's foremost law enforcement agency to poison their mind against Islam and American Muslims (see below), not to forget the likes of America's favorite Christian pastor burning a copy of the Holy Qur'an in Florida while proclaiming “Islam is of the Devil” to further embellish the propaganda line on West's “war on terror” (also see below), all have an imposing propaganda pedigree to be sure! What is perhaps the saddest and the most despicable fact in all this is that many Muslim intellectuals worldwide, men and women of arts, science, and letters, not to forget statesmen and politicians, have become a willing appendage of this Western perception management of the public mind as the inveterate House Niggers and Uncle Toms of empire (http://tinyurl.com/faq-intellectual-negro).

Whereas the Holy Qur'an itself proclaims: if you don't prefer the message of Islam, no problem – “there is no compulsion in religion” (see verse 2:256 Surah Al-Baqara below). Follow the guides, imams, prophets, that were sent to your own people and on the Day of Judgment, declares the Holy Qur'an: “One day We shall call together all human beings with their (respective) Imams” (see verse 17:71 Surah al-Israa' below) and “it will be judged between them fairly, and they will not be wronged.” (see verse 10:47 Surah Yunus above).

The clear message of the Holy Qur'an to everyone among mankind, Muslim and non Muslim, whatever sect, whatever ethnicity, whatever nation, and whatever epoch, is to compete for virtuous conduct ( فاضِفُوا إلى الأخلاق ) amongst themselves – not for resources, not for territories, not for hegemony, and not power!

The Holy Qur'an continually harkens mankind towards dealing with each other in full justice, even unequivocally averring that God loves those who are just and deal equitably with each other:

For Allah loveth those who judge in equity. Holy Qur'an Surah Al-Maeda 5:42

That straightforwardly puts to rest all religious and sectarian arguments for all times! Just that much is
sufficient to both repel all propaganda against Islam, and eliminate all internecine fracture points and facile views among Muslims. But we have only just begun.

The obvious overarching point to ponder here is that why go through all this repetition once again if justice among mankind is the core first-principle the Holy Qur'an is teaching for mankind's conduct amongst each other? Everything else of course naturally follows from that core first-principle. But it is not a new or unfamiliar concept.

The following Biblical Commandment from antiquity was, and still is, at least in my view, both complete and sufficient for governing the peaceable, equitable, and virtuous conduct of mankind:

“All unto Others as you have others do unto you.” The Bible: Matthew 7:12, Luke 6:31; Old Testament Mosaic Law; Socrates; Confucius; Solon

So, why does mankind need anything more than that one primary fundamental Biblical statement? Indeed, one can easily surmise that all beneficial national constitutions, international and local laws, trade treaties, foreign policies, inter and intra governing principles, and even effective principles for dispute resolutions, are logically derivable from just that one ancient first principle, for a fairly equitable co-existence of mutual benefit for all mankind. There'd be no room for masters and slaves under the corollaries derived from such an egalitarian first principle!

While that universal pithy wisdom is deemed Biblical, I have found evidence of its truism in other antiquity as cited above. For instance, Solon the Athenian law giver, according to Plutarch's Lives, when asked which city he thought was well-governed, said:

“That city where those who have not been injured take up the cause of one who has, and prosecute the case as earnestly as if the wrong had been done to themselves.”
Solon in Plutarch's Lives

Even beyond divine religion, in the realm of logic and rational empiricism alone, the following operations-research (OR) logical formulation due to Bertrand Russell, a man of considerable beliefs in no religion, is the most commonsensical recipe of governing peaceable human conduct. In my own succinct rendition, Bertrand Russell's formulation goes something like this (and I am putting it in single quotes to indicate that the formulation belongs to Russell but the words may not all be his):

'Maximize individual happiness while minimizing social conflict for optimizing the overall common-good.' (Bertrand Russell's prescription to do away with religion as the bearer of moral law, probably in 'Why I am not a Christian' and similar writings)

With just a little bit of reflection, one will see that Bertrand Russell captures the beneficial essence of many religions, including Islam, in at least so far as “haquq-al-ibad”, i.e., the rights of man upon man, otherwise known as moral law, are concerned, quite admirably.

By just using rational empathetic logic which hinges on spreading virtue rather than glory, vice, hegemony, and conquest, one can come up with reasonably equitable methods of governing oneself in any age, and among any peoples.

However, the Author of the Holy Qur'an advocating the path of mutual co-existence to mankind through
the perfection of its message which it called “Islam”, is just as meaningless as man coming up with his own protocol for mutual co-existence using his own sensible logic and reason, if man is unwilling, or unable, to implement the protocol:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>This day have I perfected your religion for you, completed My favour upon you, and have chosen for you Islam as your religion. Verse fragment Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Maeda 5:3, 632 AD</th>
<th>اللَّهُمَا أَكْمِلْ لَكُمْ دِينَكُمْ وَأَكْمِلْ عَلَيْكُمْ نُعُومَتَيْنِ وَرَضْبَتَيْنِ لَكُمْ إِلَىِّ الإِسْلَامِ دَينًا</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Hegemony is as old as mankind.” Zbigniew Brzezinski, The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives, 1996 AD, pg. 3 – the book’s dedication reads: “For my students—to help them shape tomorrow's world”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, if nihilist followers of Zbigniew Brzezinski's predatory foreign policies which predicate upon primacy and its geostrategic imperatives because they believe that “Hegemony is as old as mankind” so why change it, choose sociopathic mass psychology to mobilize the public to villainy and infamy by bequeathing to them only facile worldviews, well, that's not because there is any shortage of great platitudinous recipes in either the divine books of antiquity, or the modern mind of reason as the Deistic philosophers of eighteenth century enlightenment argued (of which Bertrand Russell was the atheist legatee).

That choice, of exercising villainous hegemony or equity and benevolence upon the 'untermenschen', is entirely man's of course. The Author of the Holy Qur'an itself asserts that such a choice between life's governing principles is entirely up to mankind in all its diversity of existence, and is neither a monolithic diktat of triumphalism, nor a choiceless matter like being born to one's parents:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>There is no compulsion in religion. Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Baqara 2:256</th>
<th>لا إِكْرَاهٍ فِي الَّذِينَ أُمِّرَتْ قُرُونُهُمُ عَلَى الأَنْبِيَاتِ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There surely came over man a period of time when he was a thing not worth mentioning. 76:1</td>
<td>هَلْ أَلَّثُ وَاللَّهُ أَنزَلَ عَلَى الأَنْبِيَاتِ حَيِّاً مِّنَ الْدَّهْرِ لَمْ يُكُنَّ شَيْئًا مَّذْكُورًا</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surely We have created man from a small life-germ uniting (itself): We mean to try him, so We have made him hearing, seeing. 76:2</td>
<td>إِنَّا خَلَفْنَاهُ إِلَى الأَنْبِيَاتِ مِنْ نُطْفَةٍ أَمْسَاهَا نُبُوْلٌ فَجَعَلْنَاهُ سَمِيعًا بَصِيرًا</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surely We have shown him the way: he may be thankful or unthankful. Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-insaan 76:3</td>
<td>إِنَّا هَدَيْنَاهُ السَّبِيلَ إِمَّا شَاكِرًا وَإِمَّا كَفُورًا</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The overarching point being, at the risk of being repetitious, whatever the religion, whatever the people, and whatever the culture and geography, man naturally gravitates firstly towards one's own kith and kin, and secondly towards one's own socialization which principally gives birth to one's dominant worldview. It is all but a truism that just as one man's terrorist is another man's freedom fighter, one man's “messiah” is another man's lunatic.

And Islam, recognizing this natural human tendency for partisanship and tribalism due to socialization from birth, proffered the above quoted solution of Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48 to those who believe in Islam, and
also to those who wish to learn about Islam, that this religion, this way of life, this “deen” which Allah perfected for those who wish to believe in it of their own free will, does not bring the threat of forced triumphalism to mankind.

That Islam cherishes diversity and enjoins the people to compete only in virtuous conduct (فائزًا بلفائف) amongst themselves even as they live in their own respective socialization of birth, faiths, tribes and nations, forming a diverse multicultural milieu of mankind.

That, if God wanted to, mankind could have been made into all one people just as they were in the past and “their differences would have been settled between them” (see 10:19 Surah Yunus above).

That, if people disagree in matters of theology, religion, and other esoterica upon which faith is often based, to leave the resolution of such disagreements to God alone (see 42:10 Surah Ash-Shura above) -- lest the Muslims at any time in the future, senselessly imbued with empire and its 'la mission civilisatrice', criminally come to carry the 'white man's burden' (http://tinyurl.com/the-white-mans-burden):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>And if your Lord had pleased, surely all those who are in the earth would have believed, all of them; will you then force men till they become believers?</th>
<th>وَلَوْ شَاءَ رَبُّكَ لَأَمْنَ مَنْ فِي الْأَرْضِ عَلَى جِيمَاعٍ أَفَآتُوهُ نَكُورَةً النَّاسِ حَتَّى يَكُونُوْا مُؤْمِنِينَ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>And it is not for a soul to believe except by Allah's permission; and He casts uncleanness on those who will not understand. Holy Qur’an, Surah Yunus 10:99-100</td>
<td>وَمَا كَانَ لِنَفْسٍ أَنْ يُؤْمِنَ إِلَّا بِإِذْنِ اللَّهِ وَيَجْعَلُ الرَّجُسَ عَلَى الَّذِينَ لَا يَعْقِلُونَ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48, Yunus 10:99-100, and other supporting verses quoted above in their full context, put to rest in finality, all false charges brought by Western war-mongers, of their merely defending themselves from Islam's “Triumphalism” in their holy war against “Islamofascism”.

As these unambiguous verses in their complete context clearly convey in the direct words of the Holy Qur'an itself, there isn't any “Triumphalism” in Islam. It is a charge more suited to Pauline Christianity (today's mainstream Christianity of almost every denomination and sect), whereby, to “save” mankind from eternal damnation, the unworthy humanity has to all be converted to belief in Christ!

All such charges are vulgar propaganda against Islam, conveyed today no differently than it was conveyed during the Christian Crusades, by some very diabolical “Western scholars of Islam” in order to cultivate facile views among their ignorant Western peoples. (See for instance, Bernard Lewis: Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror)

Without such facile views, the masses cannot be readily mobilized against “Islamofascism” in the fabricated “clash of civilizations” – a transparent facade for exercising primacy, supremacy, hegemony, mass behavior control.

As Zbigniew Brzezinski, former American president Jimmy Carter's National Security Advisor, self-servingly but accurately presaged in his American Mein Kampf:
“Hegemony is as old as mankind. ... Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization ... except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being.” The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy And Its Geostrategic Imperatives, pgs. 3, 36. (See Pastor Terry Jones below)

The directive of Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48 is also very explicit for Muslims. There is no ambiguity in it. These are not allegorical verses (منشأهاةت); their meaning is very straightforward, established, and unequivocally clear (آيّان/ محكمان). (See verse 3:7 of Surah Aal-'Imran for Qur'an's own definition of the two types of verses in the Holy Qur'an; every verse and verse fragment of the Holy Qur'an has to be understood in the entire context of the Holy Qur'an, and not just in isolation of its occurrence or else it can easily lead to constructing a facile or even false understanding of the topic. See the case study “Islam: Why is the Holy Qur'an so easy to hijack? Part-I” [2])

Although, it must be admitted that, the universal principle of virtuous and amicable co-existence among the diversity of nations as those outlined in Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48, and the corollaries to be deduced from it and from several verses like it in the Holy Qur'an, requires at least a modicum of reflection and some basic ability to reason.

Parrots memorizing the Holy Qur'an as an inheritance, can no more come to understand it than any other talking parrot, regardless of the beauty of its voice and feathers!

Were such reasoning a characteristic of Muslim scholarship, the scholars of Islam would have long extinguished the flames of sectarianism among the Muslims which had originally arisen in the epoch of the Prophet of Islam itself, not due to any misunderstanding of the Holy Qur'an and the Prophet's teachings for the Exemplar lived and taught among them, but purely due to vested interests. These vested interests ab initio planted the pernicious seeds of absolutist kingdoms and empires to come in the future. These empires subsequently endowed their scholars to interpret and canonize the imperial religion – the hijacking of Islam into an unsurpassed Muslim empire lasting 700 years in the name of Islam's God – for their masses. (Ibid.)

Today, we are merely their inheritors and unable to go back to the fundamental teachings of Islam beyond the superficial rituals. For the only original sources we have beside the Holy Qur'an, are the works of these very partisan and sectarian scholars of empire who had hijacked Islam! More details can be gleaned in the investigative case study on how the Holy Qur'an itself contributed to its own subversion in what prima facie appears to be a fascinating plan By Design. A plan in which algebraic variables instead of constants are sprinkled judiciously in all the key verses which, had they been clearly Determinate instead of requiring mankind to solve systems of algebraic equations to figure out their values, such a crown as the Holy Qur'an...
itself opening the Pandora's box to a plurality of interpretations, both unwittingly misperceived as well as with diabolical intent, could not have been laid upon its head. (Ibid.) The reason for repeated entreaties in the Holy Qur'an for reflection with a cleansed heart is very clear for this reason alone (see below). One needs a minimal ability to reason in addition to being able to do basic arithmetic correctly, such as to add two plus two equal four when instead of two constants being added, two variables in two equations are being added. For instance, if \( x+y=4 \) and \( x-y=0 \), what are \( x \) and \( y \)?

This is of course predicated on knowing that there is even a riddle to solve, and then correctly setting up the riddle before attempting to solve it. Often times, even that awareness does not exist --- that the Holy Qur'an hides many a riddle in its mellifluous verses. Fourteen centuries have passed and yet the Good Book still remains a Book of paradoxes and riddles. Some Determinate and easily soluble, others open-ended and Indeterminate, meaning, not known whether or not they have unique solutions and which ones if any are correct and which ones aren't --- as there is no absolute reference present today to compare the answers to. It is virtually akin to decoding a cipher. Indeed, viewing the Holy Qur'an as a cipher text leads to its much greater understanding because emphasis now shifts to viewing it as a sophisticated and complex text that requires much reflection of the whole rather than a simple plaintext of individual unconnected verses which it isn't. (Ibid.)

Evidently, it is well-nigh impossible to find a scholar of Islam with any colored turban who even knows basic algebra let alone be able to solve algebraic riddles and ciphers! In the age of universal deceit which has in fact always existed, and is virtually guaranteed to always exist so long as mankind in its present form exists, both self-righteous fools and Machiavelli will continue to dominate the world. The consequent of which will continue to be the domination of facile world views. Everything but setting up and solving the algebraic riddle \( x+y=4 \) correctly! Never mind when it is \( x+y=z \) and the many equations are not independent in the three variables. Recognizing what is what, what is Determinate and what is Indeterminate, is the heart of the problem. It is explored in the aforementioned case study. (Ibid.)

The fascinating riddle of multi-culturalism in the Holy Qur'an

Pertinent to the topic at hand, Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48 bears such a momentous general concept of acceptance of others in the religion Islam, that this concept is even formulaically rehearsed countless times each day in daily prayers by its adherents without any reflection whatsoever. For, if one spent even 10 minutes thinking about what many “pious” among Muslims likely repeat at least 17 times daily, if not more, one would easily see that very core-principle at work for oneself.

That repetitive formulation is Surah Al-Fatiha of the Holy Qur'an, its very first Surah. It is recited countless times daily by Muslims as a prayer. Just look at it with some reflection rather than rehearsing it as a parrot and matters become transparent. And what does it say?
First let's see what it does not say:

1. there is no mention of the word “muslim” in it ;

2. nor is there any mention of the noble name of the harbinger of the Qur'an, its Exemplar.

If one were not so imbued with one's own socialization since birth, one would surely ask the following question to oneself: why not?

If Islam is the last Testament, its Prophet the last Messenger, and the Holy Qur'an the last Word on the matter of Divine Guidance to mankind, why have the following riddle in its *the most essential* Surah:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Show us the straight path, 1:6</th>
<th>إِهْدِنَا الصِّرَاطَ الْمُسْتَقِيمَ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The path of those whom Thou hast favoured. Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Fatiha 1:7</td>
<td>صِرَاطُ الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا عَلَيْهِمْ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Why command the reciter of that Surah to beseech the Creator to show him or her the “straight path”, a path that is not named or labeled or identified in any other way other than as the “straight path”, a singular path, and only identified as the path of those whom (plural) have been bestowed “divine favors” (plural), or who have been divinely favored? But no names are mentioned for any further identification!

Why send the poor seeker of divine wisdom in search of solving what appears to be a complicated riddle?

How is he, or she, to know what those unknown “quantities” are?

Is the man of faith simply to be socialized into fixing those unknowns – like choosing a value for the variable “x” in an elementary school level algebraic expression – by his parents, grandparents, teachers, scholars, culture, civilization, by osmosis, diffusion, vicariously?

Given that the average intelligence of the masses in any nation is rather low, and the Author of the Holy Qur'an if it is indeed the Creator of man would certainly have known that, why then did the Author of the Holy Qur'an not straightforwardly just say for all and sundry Muslim to understand in its most oft recited Surah: follow the path laid out in the Holy Qur'an, follow the path of Muhammad, its last Messenger and Exemplar?

How ironical that what the Surah calls a “straight path” is not identified straightforwardly!

All Muslims feel they already know (by virtue of their socialization) that that's what is implied. But that's not what the Surah Al-Fatiha says at all. One is only interpreting it to mean that based on one's own socialization bias!

The answer to the riddle, as invariably in all Qur’anic riddle cases, the Holy Qur'an itself also provides.

The author of the Qur'an has repeatedly alluded to Its Word as the Book of Reflection which none shall approach, except with a *cleansed heart*.

So, not everyone can glean the wisdom of the Qur'an even though they may be reading or mouthing its words – how interesting!

And the solution to the riddle is hinted, inter alia, in the afore-quoted verses from Surah Al-Maeda
5:44-48. It is still obviously not the complete solution, but we are an inch closer to solving the riddle.

For one thing, we learn that the solution is multicultural, and is indeed very much socialization dependent.

Different peoples will naturally have different perspective on what is “divine favor”, who those favored ones are, and are thus encouraged to seek out the path followed by those whom they naturally psychologically feel closer to – that is the basis for what appears to the riddle of Surah Al-Fatiha, 1:6-7.

And Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48 quoted above is an exemplary partial hint to solving that riddle.

Wow! What an incredible Book!

Nevertheless, it is still a Book of reflection first and foremost, which none shall penetrate, except with a cleansed heart. The rest are naturally misled. The Author of the Holy Qur'an even asserts that only It Guides Whom It pleases and leads others astray. Is this just rhetorical tautology? The Western mind un-attuned to the language of the Qur'an may think so.

Who can understand the Holy Qur'an and who cannot – in the Holy Qur'an's own words

Here are some verses from the Holy Qur'an on the cleansed heart.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verse</th>
<th>Arabic Text</th>
<th>English Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>56:77</td>
<td>إِنَّهُ لْقُرْآنٌ كَرِيمٌ</td>
<td>That this is indeed a Qur'an Most Honourable,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56:78</td>
<td>فِي كِتَابٍ مُكْفُوٍّ</td>
<td>In a Book well-guarded,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56:79</td>
<td>لَا يُعْبَرُونَ إِلَّا الْمُطْهَرُونَ</td>
<td>Which none shall touch but those who are clean (purified):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56:80</td>
<td>تَنْزِيلٌ مِنْ رَبِّ الْعَالَمِينَ</td>
<td>A Revelation from the Lord of the Worlds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56:81</td>
<td>أَفْهَدَ الْحَدِيثَ الْنَّبِيَّ مُدْهِنْنَ</td>
<td>Is it such a Message that ye would hold in light esteem?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:108</td>
<td>أَوَلَّيْكَ الْذِّينَ طَبَعَ اللهُ عَلَى فَلْوَبِهِمْ وَسَمَّيَهُمْ وَأَيْصَارَهُمْ أَوْلِيَاءَهُمْ الْعَافِينَ</td>
<td>Those are they whose hearts, ears, and eyes Allah has sealed up, and they take no heed. Surah An-Nahl,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:7</td>
<td>أَوَلَّيْكَ الْذِّينَ حَتَّىٰ اللهُ عَلَى فَلْوَبِهِمْ وَعَلَى سَمَّيَهُمْ وَأَيْصَارَهُمْ عَذَابٌ عَظِيمٌ</td>
<td>Allah hath sealed their hearing and their hearts, and on their eyes there is a covering. Theirs will be an awful doom. Surah Al-Baqara,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In their hearts is a disease, and Allah increaseth their disease. A painful doom is theirs because they lie. Surah Al-Baqara, 2:10

Do they not then reflect on the Quran? Nay, on the hearts there are locks. Surah Muhammad 47:24

Caption A few verses from the Holy Qur'an on the cleansed heart metaphor.

The understanding of the message contained in the Holy Qur'an is only made accessible to those who try to approach its contents not with pre-conceptions, or agendas bearing the diseases of the heart, or other prejudices, but with a genuine desire to learn what exactly is the Book Saying! Only the purified ones, “al-muttaharoon” may approach its full understanding. The meaning of the Qur'anic word “muttaqin” in the context of the Holy Qur'an is layered and nuanced. The Holy Qur'an explains itself, as it continually points them out in terms of various defining characteristics in its own emphatic explanation of whom it is intended for, who will be able to extract its message, who its custodians are, and who it is not going to benefit at all:

This is the Scripture whereof there is no doubt, a guidance unto those who ward off (evil). Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Baqara 2:2

The Holy Qur'an by its own statement is a guidance only for those who are “muttaqin” (هدئه للمتّقين), and not for others! The “muttaqin” characteristics are further defined, inter alia:

Who believe in the Unseen, and establish worship, and spend of that We have bestowed upon them;

And who believe in that which is revealed unto thee (Muhammad) and that which was revealed before thee, and are certain of the Hereafter.

These depend on guidance from their Lord. These are the successful. Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Baqara 2:3-4-5

These “muttaqin” (must) approach the scriptures with an attempted cleansed heart in order to incrementally endeavor in seeking its meaning. And they will succeed in comprehending its message dependent only on the level of their spiritual cleansing – that's a promise of the Holy Qur'an! Different seekers of guidance will have different levels of comprehension of the Holy Qur'an based on how much “muttaqin” and how much “al-muttaharoon” they are!

This is why the Holy Qur'an further differentiates among them – all Muslims, believers in Islam, are not equal in the sight of the Author of the Holy Qur'an who identifies Itself as “the Lord of the Worlds” (ربّ رؤى من رحمه ووليدك هم المفلحين)
Verily the most honoured of you in the sight of Allah is (he who is) the most righteous of you. Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-Hujraat, verse fragment 49:13

Is one who worships devoutly during the hours of the night prostrating himself or standing (in adoration), who takes heed of the Hereafter, and who places his hope in the Mercy of his Lord—(like one who does not)? Say: 'Are those equal, those who know and those who do not know?' It is those who are endued with understanding that receive admonition. Holy Qur’an, Surah Az-Zumar 39:9

Incredible!

How does one embark on such a mission of a cleansed hearted journey to understand the Holy Qur'an today and overcome, in a meaningful way, one's socialization biases and natural tendencies, to actually be counted among those even mildly “honoured in the sight of Allah” rather than being among those who are “in a state of loss” (see Surah Al-Asr below)? If the Holy Qur'an claims to be a book of guidance for all mankind for all times rather than merely a revered scripture of antiquity, then clearly it must be comprehensible today in today's epoch, offer prescriptive principles to adhere to which are vibrant, effective and pertinent for today's living conditions, just as they must be for tomorrow's living conditions, and just as they were for the time of the Prophet of Islam when the Holy Qur'an reputedly revolutionized that Age of Jahiliya.

Well, the answer the Holy Qur'an itself provides in its very first Surah, Surah Al-Fatiha, verse 1:6-7 quoted above – to beseech the Creator in daily supplication to “Show us the straight path, The path of those whom Thou hast favoured.”

The fascinating riddle of “Al-Wasilah”

Evidently, according to the prima facie prescription of Islam itself, the cleansed hearted journey to understand the Holy Qur'an for Muslims (like all other peoples seeking divine guidance) can only be undertaken by seeking out the path of some unnamed people whom God has favored. This is further underscored:

O ye who believe! Do your duty to Allah, seek the means of approach unto Him, Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-Maeda 5:35
Caption Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Maeda 5:35, unequivocally putting to bed for all times the argument on how to approach Allah: “O ye who believe! Do your duty to Allah, seek the means of approach unto Him,” Who are these “means of approach unto Him”? See below Surah Al-Baqara verse 2:166-2:167, and Surah An-Nahl 16:25, for Qur'anic constraints on “Wasilah”, whereby both followers and leaders are respectively condemned! **Who specifically** then meets the highly constrained requirements of “Wasilah” of this pivotal verse 5:35 wherein “believers” are commanded to “seek the means of approach unto Him,” as an obligatory “Duty to Allah”?

It follows therefore, rather straightforwardly in fact from the logic of the Qur'anic Message, that ONLY “the path of those whom Thou hast favoured” as proclaimed in Surah Al-Fatiha 1:7, and subsequently clarified as “seek the means of approach unto Him,” the “Wasilah” (الوسيلة) in Surah Al-Maeda 5:35, can exemplify, interpret, and explain the journey of the straight path (المسارط المستقيم)! Verse 1:7 teaches the supplicant to beseech the Creator to show the path of His Favored Ones. And verse 5:35 commands the supplicant to first seek the means of approach unto Him as his duty to the Creator, in order to even approach the straight path! The Author of the Holy Qur'an specifies how to seek Guidance from His Scripture in order to approach Him --- to seek His designated “Wasilah”!

In simpler words for the language and logic challenged, let's break that down step by step. This is what is meant by reflection when the Author repeatedly invites reflection on the verses of the Holy Qur'an with a cleansed heart: “Do they not then reflect on the Quran? Nay, on the hearts there are locks.” – for its greater meaning is only understood when one thinks and reasons through the whole because the whole is much larger than the sum of its individual parts. There is a great deal of advanced understanding contained even in very simple verses when their obvious interconnections are grasped. These are the low hanging fruits of the tree so to speak, within reach of anyone who is willing to reach up to pluck them, but is not available when one makes no effort at reflection or stays mired in its **Cliff notes**:

- By the proclamation of the Holy Qur'an itself, the supplicant, the seeker of the straight path, cannot approach the Creator directly, but only through the specified means, of seeking the “Wasilah”, the means of approach unto Him.
- For emphasis, it is even presented as a “duty” of the “believers” to first seek the “Wasilah”!
- And it is further emphasized that only the Author's own favored ones can delineate the straight path unto Him.
- The Author's own favored ones, and not the believers' favorite ones, are veritably the Wasilah, “the means of approach unto Him.”
- The Holy Qur'an categorically affirms that the straight path is indeed a guided journey under the leadership of the Divinely Favored Imams, Al-Wasilah, and not a solo journey by one's own interpretation, imagination, due diligence! Al-Wasilah must specifically be sought and followed for the journey on the straight path in order to benefit from Divine Guidance. The rest are led astray because they end up on the paths of the wrong types of people!
- Since the straight path is singular, it follows that all the favored ones who are Al-Wasilah, the
show-ers of the straight path upon whom God has bestowed favors, the Guides to follow, the Imams who lead on that path, are directing believers to the same one path without making an error and without disagreeing with each other one iota. Like the airline flight path, once divined by the ATC, is singular and has no margin of error --- it has to be exactly followed without deviation.

- It follows that Al-Wasilah are inerrant by the very definition of their job function!

Mind blowing... putting to bed all facile views pertaining to the path of spiritual guidance and spiritual ascendance in the pristine Religion of Islam. [c] This is not the man-made Islam penned by the hand of man. But the untampered and unadulterated Islam that eagerly beckons when one approaches the study of its singular Scripture with even a moderately cleansed heart! Imagine the depth of understanding one may be able to reach with greater self-control of the mind to remove all vestiges of socialization bias, confirmation bias, self-interest and perception management.

Putting it together with verse 39:9 of Surah Az-Zumar then makes that rhetorical question obviously prescriptive, rather than being merely tautological: “Are those equal, those who know and those who do not know?”

Meaning, it further follows that these “Wasilah”, the show-ers of the straight path upon whom God has bestowed favors, the Guides to follow, the Imams who lead on that straight path, must also be the ones highest in knowledge and understanding of that straight path among those whom they guide. Otherwise, how can they guide others more knowledgeable than themselves? Or, if their own understanding concerning this straight path was error prone? Especially of an obscure path which Allah ordained that no man may otherwise know of his and her own accord, except through those who were divinely favored. Which, of course, also automatically implies that their teacher can be none among those whom they have been divinely chosen and ordained to guide! And the Holy Qur'an precisely confirms this, that their teacher is only Allah, in verse 6:90 of Surah Al An'aam: “These are they whom Allah guided, therefore follow their guidance”!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>These are they whom Allah guided, therefore follow their guidance. Say: I do not ask you for any reward for it; it is nothing but a reminder to the nations. Holy Qur'an, Surah Al An'aam 6:90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One day We shall call together all human beings with their (respective) Imams Holy Qur'an, Surah al-Israa' 17:71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

That there is a didactic significance to the notion of “Wasilah” for knowing and approaching the straight path, and which is not to be dismissed as merely allegorical (مثابهت), is emphasized again:

A brief explanation of the word “Imam” (إمام) is perhaps in order as few Muslims evidently comprehend it – judging from the honorific which they continually adopt for themselves and ascribe to every tom dick and harry who can regurgitate in Arabic or tie a turban on his head. The word “Imam” is frequently used in the Holy Qur'an. Its meaning fortunately is unambiguously explained by the Holy Qur'an itself. We don't have to use a language dictionary nor hijack Qur'anic terminology as a common noun when it clearly is
not intended to be. (See Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation for how Qur'anic terminology is routinely hijacked with semantic overload by vested interests). But first, let's see what the language dictionary says about the word. The Arabic-English dictionary of the Holy Qur'an in the hands of this scribe defines the common noun “Imam” thusly:

Imam: “Leader; President; Any object that is followed, whether a human being or a book or a highway”.

The fascinating riddle of the “Imam” – a Divine family's story

However, in the language of the Holy Qur'an, the terminology “Imam” is a proper noun when referring to apostolic leaders whom Allah chose above all others – as in the following verses where its clearest meaning is made manifest for those upon whose eyes there is no covering, and upon whose ears and heart there is no lock of self-interest or self-deception:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surely Allah chose Adam and Nuh and the descendants of Ibrahim and the descendants of Imran above the nations.</th>
<th>إن الله أُصِبْتُ فَعَادَمَ وَنُوحَ وَعَالَ إِبْرَاهِيمَ وَعَالَ عَمَّان طَيِّبَ أَنَّى عَلِيٌّ.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Offspring one of the other; and Allah is Hearing, Knowing. Holy Qur'an Surah Aal-e-Imran 3:33-34</td>
<td>وَذُرِّيَّةٌ بَعْضٌ فِي بَعْضٍ وَالله سِمِيعٌ عَلِيٌّ.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And when his Lord tried Ibrahim with certain words, he fulfilled them. He said: Surely I will make you an Imam of men.</td>
<td>وإذ أَنْبِئْ إِبْرَاهِيمَ رَبُّكَ بَيِّنَتُ فَأَنَّى قَالَ إِنَّكَ عَلِيٌّ وَالله إِبْرَاهِيمَ قَالَ أَنَّى قَالَ إِبْرَاهِيمَ نَذِرٌ لِلنَّاسِ إِمَاماً وَمِن ذِرَّيْتِي قَالَ لا يَنَالُ غَهَدَى أَنْزِلَ أَنْزِلَنِ.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrahim said: And of my offspring? My covenant does not include the unjust, said He. Holy Qur'an Surah Al-Baqara, 2:124</td>
<td>قَالَ إِبْرَاهِيمَ أَنَّى قَالَ إِبْرَاهِيمَ نَذِرٌ لِلنَّاسِ إِمَاماً وَمِن ذِرَّيْتِي قَالَ لا يَنَالُ غَهَدَى أَنْزِلَ أَنْزِلَنِ.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Caption Verses of Holy Qur'an explaining its use of terminology of “Imam” as the leader of “naas” (mankind), asserting that Allah shall also make Imams in the offspring of Prophet Ibrahim as a Divine Covenant (Contract) for Ibrahim's request as his everlasting reward. Observe that Prophet Ibrahim is already a Prophet of Allah when his Lord tried Ibrahim with certain words that he fulfilled, and as a reward Allah said: Surely I will make you an Imam of naas. Prophethood and Messengership represent Allah's Guidance to mankind, and Allah's appointed Imams lead mankind in accordance with that Divine Guidance. The verse makes it clear that the Imam need not be a Prophet or Messenger of Allah, but will be a Leader of man who shall not be unjust or from among the oppressors. Since verse 2:124 prima facie is neither time bound nor limited to any geography, it is an evergreen Covenant that begs the obvious question: who are these Allah's appointed Imam(s) today who are from among the
offspring of Prophet Ibrahim? How shall we identify them? By DNA? Or, is our modern age denied the benefit of the Covenant that Allah made with Prophet Ibrahim thousands of years ago? Did the benefit of that Covenant of a Divinely made Imam end with the Last Prophet of Allah, Prophet Muhammad, peace be upon him? If that conjecture is presumed, then verse 2:124 is trivially falsified because it espouses no sense of limit in the Imams from among the offspring of Prophet Ibrahim, except that they shall not be unjust. Therefore, verse 2:124 must still be presumed true. So, might we look for just leaders(?) with the Prophetic DNA – (Prophet Muhammad's as well as all Jewish Prophets')... I am still searching... where art thou? Or is 2:124 yet another one of those metaphorical verses (آيات متشابهات) of the Holy Qur'an?

Thence we see that when verses 17:71 and 10:47 (quoted above) respectively state: “One day We shall call together all human beings with their (respective) Imams”, “And for every nation there is a messenger. And when their messenger cometh (on the Day of Judgment) it will be judged between them fairly, and they will not be wronged”, the word “Imam”, like “Messenger”, a proper noun, prima facie refers to those guides and leaders whom Allah has chosen to lead men (and women) onto the straight path from a specific Divine family, “Offspring one of the other” as per verse 3:34, and in the progeny of Prophet Ibrahim as per the Covenant in verse 2:124. “Imam” is thus one Divine family's story! That's what the Good Book itself says right before one's eyes. But being perpetual victims of facile views, Muslims tend to follow anyone with a turban on the pulpit with the title “imam” – and therein lies the pièce de résistance of conundrums. The “tahreef”, corruption, alteration, of the meaning of the Qur'anic word “Imam” and its replacement with the dictionary meaning common noun “imam” is only part of the problem.

Apart from the logical reasoning noted earlier for the solution to the obvious puzzle that why can't one just read the Holy Qur'an and be done with the dispensation of divine guidance directly from it rather than seek out the path of some favored ones who are not even straightforwardly identified in the most common Surah; that why does one, even today fourteen centuries later, in obligatorily repeating Surah Al-Fatiha in mandatory daily prayers, have to seek that straight path of divine guidance via some “Wasilah” who also remain unnamed in the Holy Qur'an, except for the fact that we are told they are in the progeny of Prophet Ibrahim? How are we to identify them today? But that's not the end of the conundrum, only its beginning!

If only the business of divine guidance were so straightforward – for the average intelligence level of humanity is certainly not up to solving complex riddles in order to pursue faith by way of reasoning about it (which is why the vast majority are simply socialized into their respective belief system by birth, and stay in it for their entire life). That empirical reality must be accounted for otherwise the Holy Qur'an remains just unimplementable theory.

The first of these accountings for the empirical reality of socialization already mentioned above, is to compete with each other in virtuous conduct (فاستفدو الخيرات Surah Al-Maeda 5:48 above) as individual behavioral responsibility, rather than in theological upmanship among God's religions brought by different Messengers among whom there is no difference (Surah Al-Baqara 2:285 below).

Now, we have the second empirical reality. It is proffered to not only “seek the means of approach unto Him”, but also that “We shall call together all human beings with their (respective) Imams” on the Day of Reckoning.
What if the socialization of a Muslim polity is outright, or partially, based on falsehoods, half-truths, three-quarter truths, and subtle distortions that have crept into the divine teachings? What if that which is followed is not accurately the teachings of the Messengers and Imams dispatched by God to every people – including to the Muslims?

Since: “We shall call together all human beings with their (respective) Imams”, and the false “imams” will disclaim their followers (see verses immediately below), we have both, a practical and a theological problem. Finding the “straight path” just got a lot harder and trickier – because now there is a penalty attached to getting it wrong and following false teachers and false leaders despite the best of plebeian intentions!

Therefore, to ensure correct guidance for the supplicant of the straight path that they don't end up mistakenly following false paths, false prophets, false leaders, false imams, false pontiffs, false kings, false Khalifas, false pulpits, and false paths laid out by usurpers, tyrants, and impostors, while thinking they are following the divinely guided straight path, the following verses of the Holy Qur'an proffer the clearest admonishment of perpetual vigilance as the caveating qualifier to seeking the straight path of only those people whom God hath favored:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verse</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2:166</td>
<td>And those who were but followers will say: If a return were possible for us, we would disown them even as they have disowned us. Thus will Allah show them their own deeds as anguish for them, and they will not emerge from the Fire. Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Baqara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:25</td>
<td>Let them bear, on the Day of Judgment, their own burdens in full, and also (something) of the burdens of those without knowledge, whom they misled. Alas, how grievous the burdens they will bear! Surah An-Nahl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Caption Holy Qur’an Surah Al-Baqara 2:166-2:167 unequivocally disclaiming followers, and Surah An-Nahl 16:25 unequivocally disclaiming false imams who will equally be apportioned their due for misguiding the foolish people without knowledge who followed them!

And specifically, the following admonishment is especially for the Muslims, in their blindly casting about for guides and imams, leaders to show them the way, benefactors, rulers, and interpreters of faith whom they obey as their vali, guardian, and ending up with false friends who betray their trust or who are themselves misled and take their followers to hell on earth as well as in the Hereafter:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Day that the wrong-doer will bite at his hands, he will say, 'Oh! Would that I had taken a (straight) path with the Messenger!' 25:27</th>
<th>وَيُؤْمِنُ بِعَضُّ الظَّالِمِينَ عَلَى بَيْنِهِ يُقْوَلُ بِلَغْيَةِ الَّتِي يُقْلَلُ بِها لَيْتَنِى اتَّخَذَتْ مَعَ الرَّسُولِ سَيِّبَلًا</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'Ah! Woe is me! Would that I had never taken such a one for a friend!' 25:28</td>
<td>يَا وَلَتَنِى لَيْتَنِى لَمْ آتَهُنَا خَيْلًا</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'He did lead me astray from the Message (of Allah) after it had come to me! Ah! the Evil One is but a traitor to man!' 25:29</td>
<td>لَقَدْ أَضْلَفْنَا عَنَّ الْذَّكَرِ بَعْدَ إِذْ جَاءَهُمْ وَكَانَ السَّيِّبَانُ لِلْإِنْسَانِ حَذُولًا</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Then the Messenger will say: 'O my Lord! Truly my people took this Qur'an for just foolish nonsense.' Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Furqaan 25:30</td>
<td>وَقَالَ الرَّسُولُ بِلَغْيَةٍ رَبِّ أَنْ فَوْضُ مُتَّخَذُوا هَذَا الْقُرآنَ مُهْجُورًا</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Caption Surah Al-Furqaan 25:27-30 making it shockingly plain that the religion of Islam would become so distorted and misrepresented among the Muslims that even the Messenger of Allah who brought the revelations will lament on the Day of Judgment that his own people shackled its meaning, “mahjoor”, to erudite study, stale rituals, and mindless recitations to seek Heaven, instead of living its meaning as a vibrant constitution of life which singularly hinges on not just disaffirming all falsehoods (kalima), but also actively striving to end them (103:3) – “mahjoor” includes that woven constitution of life which is based on not just disaffirming all falsehoods, but also actively striving to end them. This lament of betrayal by Muslims creates a fascinating riddle when juxtaposed next to verse 4:59 of Surah an-Nisaa’ which makes obedience to a third party besides Allah and his Messenger, the “ulul-amar”, compulsory:

"O ye who believe! Obey Allah, and obey the Messenger, and those charged with authority among you.

If ye differ in anything among yourselves, refer it to Allah and His Messenger, if ye do believe in Allah and the Last Day: That is best, and most suitable for final determination.”

Surah an-Nisaa’ 4:59

Caption Verse 4:59 of Surah an-Nisaa’, the Verse of Obedience, itself opening the door to a riddle, the source of abuse by all rulers and empires who have lorded over the Muslim public in the name of Islam, and the primary reason for the fundamental bifurcation between Sunni and Shia sects whereby each understands this verse solely in accordance with their respective socialization.

Who are these third unnamed entity, mentioned in plurality, “those charged with authority among
you” (وَأَوَلِي الْأَمْرِ مِنْكُمْ), that the Muslim public mind is enjoined to obey at the same command precedence level as God and His Messenger, during the lifetime of the Prophet of Islam (the command is in present tense), and thereafter (appears open-ended?), while simultaneously not becoming a victim of the aforesaid lament? What a riddle! And no turban today appears any closer than he was yesterday to having any expertise in simple algebra to solve this puzzle outside of his own narrow sphere of socialization, or outside of his self-serving pusillanimous service to rulers who make recourse to this verse to demand obedience from the public in the name of God. This riddle and its impact upon Muslim polity over the past fourteen centuries, and still counting, is examined in the aforementioned case study. [op. cit.]

Speak of facile views! The Prophet of Islam, vouches the Holy Qur’an, will himself complain on the Day of Reckoning that: “Truly my people took this Qur'an for just foolish nonsense.” The disturbing consternation, expressed in the language of the Qur'an, is an admonishment so that people have the opportunity to rectify it, and not a foregone conclusion.

Something all the latter day mosque going holy turbans sporting white flowing beards with self-righteous piety stamped upon their forehead, not to ignore the pious mother of man who hides in black tent as the ultimate mark of her virtue and obedience to God, might worry about, at least a little. While the tyrants run supreme strangulating mankind with mere perception management, the Muslim mind bows in ever more fervent obeisance to who knows which god --- for it is surely not the God that conveyed the religion of Islam in the Holy Qur'an!

What a challenge for the earnest seeker of the straight path (الصُّرَاطُ المُسْتَقِيمَ), especially when religion intersects with imperial mobilization and its diabolical confreere, the Machiavelli, as it has done since time immemorial.

How is a Muslim, born and raised under the cloud of sectarian schisms and empire's favored version of Islam, to navigate this minefield which is replete not just with socialization artifacts of birth, culture, and historical baggage, but also ongoing false friends cultivated from the highest pulpits in every generation?

Not a single Muslim thinks these admonishing verses apply to him or her – as is typical of all self-righteous indoctrination. See “Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation”, the 600 page Fatwa on Terrorism, and the CAIR report for contemporary examples of false friends and Trojan horse institutions devilishly implanted among Muslims for precisely this purpose of diabolically manufacturing consent and engineering controlled dissent for aiding imperial mobilization. Well-intentioned people seeking guidance hither and thither continually fall for them! The modus operandi of this betrayal by friends who present themselves as being on the side of the weak (the weak being perennially ripe for cognitive infiltration by false friends as their predicament inclines them naturally to the well-known Biblical and Qur'anic beatitudes that have become more of a gift to Machiavelli than do anything for the weak, such as the “meek shall inherit the earth” in the Bible, and “And We desired to bestow a favor upon those who were deemed weak in the land, and to make them the Imams, and to make them the heirs,” in Surah Al-Qasas verse 28:5 in the Holy Qur'an), is examined in The Masters of Dissent and The Dying Songbird. [2a]

One needs to be fully awake and thinking in the matters of faith no differently than in any other matter of political science – for faith and political science continually intersect to ensure both the support of religion, and no interference from religion, in the pursuit of empire's business. Whereas God's “deen” has nothing to do with empire! And this is the most significant fact of the matter from which all macro good and evil follow, for
every people, of every religion, and no religion.

Furthermore, the cleansed hearted learning for the journey of the straight path (الصِّرَاطُ الصَّالِحُ) is not just with the intellectual left-half brain, i.e., cognitive, analytical, logical, reasoned, based on empirical knowledge. But also with the poetic and linguistic right-half brain, i.e., with feelings, emotions, empathy, intuitions, insights, inspiration, all of which may transcend the causality principle of cold objective intellectual empiricism. (Think Mr. Spock vs. Captain Kirk in the fable of Star Trek television series of the 1960s). For a discussion of why these are independent human faculties and why both are necessary to pilot human wisdom and spiritual learning towards the straight path, see the essay [3] Morality derived from the Intellect leads to Enslavement! The report Islam: Why is the Holy Qur'an so easy to hijack? Part-II [4] further dwells upon this bifurcation of left and right half brain metaphors and what the language of the intellect (verses like 67:3-4 see discussion below), and the language of the heart (verses like those quoted above), respectively speak to in the context of the overarching spiritual teachings of the Holy Qur'an (such as in verse 20:114 discussed below). One without the other is at best one-eyed! More often, usually blind.

Seeing with the spiritual eye is how the journey of the straight path even becomes discernible. But it is not a spiritual journey of the Sufis and dervishes withdrawn from the affairs of this world – it is a bold physical life's journey of striving in this world amidst all its travails and tribulations as further outlined in the recipe of a successful life in Surah Al-Asr discussed below. The inner motivation to embark and to stay on that journey of the straight path is principally seeded only with the spiritual eye to even perceive the straight path (الصِّرَاطُ الصَّالِحُ), and the urgency to be on it – for one does not know how much time one has remaining to one's life.

This is why the Holy Qur'an refers to the spiritual condition of being lost in darkness away from the straight path in similitude like: “on the hearts there are locks” and “Allah hath sealed their hearing and their hearts, and on their eyes there is a covering.”

Caption Image Pastor Terry Jones burning the Holy Quran

That’s the cleansed heart metaphor – inter alia, a genuine desire to learn using all human faculties at our
disposal. Whereas anyone may pick up a copy of the Qur'an, read it, torch it, defecate on it, shoot at it, and of course, even recite it in in the most surreal and melodious of incantations that is prized by all Muslims worldwide. The *cleansed heart* is an empirical demand not just of the Author of the Holy Qur'an to those who seek its teaching, but also of rational commonsense.

Don't bring perceptual, ingrained, residual, or prejudicial biases to reading any book or else you won't comprehend the complete message that was put in the book by its author. You'll only get what you want to hear, believe, or argue to serve your own narrow interests! That's how fine literature is supposed to be read, argued, and enjoyed – using one's own interpretation and imagination. A fine book of poetry or allegorical fiction can reasonably mean different things to different people – and they can argue about it all day if they like without loss of sensibilities.

But try doing that to a city's handbook of traffic laws, or the tax laws. One has to precisely understand what the authors of the traffic regulations – the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) – mean in the full letter, the full intent, and the full spirit of the regulations if one wants to pass that pesky written test to get one's driver license. More importantly, in order to be a safe driver which only comes about by repeatedly putting into best practice what one has learnt in theory. The practice helps clarify the theory, and the theory helps refine the practice.

Indeed, the Holy Qur'an is like any other convoluted law book – one has to absorb it with concentration, contemplation, and with the clear motivation to exactly comprehend what its Author had in mind. This is also a common topic of exposition by genuine scholars of Islam. But unfortunately it has been relegated to dusty old books in local Muslim languages which few ordinary people read. The advent of the internet has made at least some of these works accessible in translation to anyone today and there is hardly any excuse for the lack of commonsense on how to sensibly study the complex and unusual text of the Holy Qur'an.

Acquiring such non-facile theoretical Qur'anic knowledge, and living it in practice in the straight path (الصّرَاطُ الصَّالِحُ) established by those whom God hath favored, just made both the comprehension and practice of the Religion of Islam a lot harder than the prostrations stamped upon the forehead! (See: Islam: Why is the Holy Qur'an so easy to hijack? [*Part-I*, *Part-II*]

Furthermore, hijacking the Qur'an for vested interests also just got easier. Deliberately purveying facile views on Islam serve their own diabolical agendas. Let's take a moment to examine the intent behind Terry Jones', the 'Burn a Quran' pastor in Gainesville Florida, statement to CNN.
‘(CNN) — In protest of what it calls a religion “of the devil,” a nondenominational church in Gainesville, Florida, plans to host an “International Burn a Quran Day” on the ninth anniversary of the September 11, 2001, attacks. The Dove World Outreach Center says it is hosting the event to remember 9/11 victims and take a stand against Islam. With promotions on its website and Facebook page, it invites Christians to burn the Muslim holy book at the church from 6 p.m. to 9 p.m.

“We believe that Islam is of the devil, that it’s causing billions of people to go to hell, it is a deceptive religion, it is a violent religion and that is proven many, many times,” Pastor Terry Jones told CNN’s Rick Sanchez earlier this week.

Jones wrote a book titled “Islam is of the Devil,” and the church sells coffee mugs and shirts featuring the phrase.

“I mean ask yourself, have you ever really seen a really happy Muslim? As they’re on the way to Mecca? As they gather together in the mosque on the floor? Does it look like a real religion of joy?” Jones asks in one of his YouTube posts.

“No, to me it looks like a religion of the devil.”

“In Islam, many actions that we consider to be crimes are encouraged, condoned or sheltered under Islamic teaching and practice, though. Another reason to burn a Quran.” (CNN, July 29, 2010) [6]

When someone utters of a scriptural religion of 2 billion peoples which unequivocally enjoins justice and equity among mankind regardless of religion, which unequivocally forbids committing excesses in the land, unequivocally forbids the killing of innocent people, and unequivocally likens the virtue of saving one innocent person from injustice being akin to saving an entire peoples, that: “to me it looks like a religion of
the devil”, is not just simple ignorance:

- “For Allah loveth those who judge in equity.” Holy Qur'an Surah Al-Maeda 5:42
- “... so strive as in a race in all virtues.” Holy Qur'an Surah Al-Maeda 5:48
- “On that account We ordained for the Children of Israel that if any one slew a person unless it be for murder or for spreading mischief in the land, it would be as if he slew the whole people; and if any one saved a life, it would be as if he saved the life of the whole people. Then although there came to them Our Messengers with Clear Signs, yet, even after that, many of them continued to commit excesses in the land.” Holy Qur'an Surah Al-Maeda 5:32

Furthermore, to go to the bother of writing a full book-length treatise egregiously titling it: 'Islam is of the Devil', seems to be following directly in the footsteps of the propaganda manuals written by the “foremost Western scholar of Islam”, Princeton University professor emeritus of Near Eastern Studies, primarily of Islamic history, Bernard Lewis, such as Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror.

Clearly Terry Jones' case isn't the simple situation of mere prejudice, of being mistaken about Islam in the information age of 2011, of an orientalist misreading the Holy Qur'an. Pastor Terry Jones actually went ahead and torched a copy of the Holy Qur'an in March 2011. [7] Such demonstrated malice is beyond ignorance. It is designed to inflame, to hurt, to elicit an uncontrolled response from the Muslims. No hate laws were applied to Pastor Terry Jones of course in the name of free speech any more than these were applied to the Danish cartoonist drawing hideous caricatures of the Prophet of Islam in 2006 under guidance from his own Jewish confreres in America, Daniel Pipes and company. [8] Instead, Terry Jones is now smugly mounting a campaign for becoming the president of the United States for 2012! [9]

It is easy to misread into Terry Jones' misanthropy as being either an isolated case of a crackpot jackass (as the Western media projects it to be), or an example of revived Crusades against Islam (which Muslims holding facile views are wont to believe). It is neither. Apart from perhaps personal malice, it is entirely political science in the same vein as all propaganda manuals are. And the word “Islam” is the scapegoat! As I had summed it up in September 2010:

'yawn.... sooo reminiscent of Bible Burning in Zionistan [9a] and pissing-spitting on the symbols of Christianity for advanced entertainment and mirth [9b] — common progenitors [9c] and instigators harboring more or less equal contempt for the faith of all 'untermensch' and thenceforth, without fear of accountability or retribution, nurturing the figment of a “clash of civilizations” to justify the ongoing murderous “Imperial Mobilization”) [9d]

That Machiavellian maligning of Islam as “doctrinal motivation” (see Brzezinski quote at the beginning) is examined in the report “Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation” [10] where I take an in-depth look into the Dynamics of Mantra Creation of Islamofascism, starting with the crafty Jewish penmanship of Bernard Lewis in the service of “imperial mobilization”.

I should just add in passing that the unenviable destiny of all such vulgar propagandists who at the peak of their hubris fuel unspeakable war-mongering upon mankind, is perhaps timelessly captured in the Goebbels family's fate! [11] But only under the spectre of victor's justice.
Returning back to inadvertently misunderstanding the Holy Qur'an as opposed to deliberately distorting it for vested interests as illustrated above, it should be obvious to any sensible person that memorizing the Holy Qur'an like a tape recorder has zero pertinence to understanding its message, never mind comprehending it sufficiently as “muttaqin” for practicing its spirit beyond its daily rituals. I hope I can be forgiven for drawing the apt parallel of the pleasure of daily Qur'anic recitation with daily reciting the DMV driver's handbook just for the pleasure of hearing the sound of the latter instructive words!

That is in effect what the Muslims have done with the Holy Qur'an – read the DMV handbook for the sheer pleasure of hearing the sounds and rhythm of its words and sentences! As useful as that might be to wean oneself from sleeping pills, can one pass the DMV test that way? “Is it such a Message that ye would hold in light esteem?” demands the Author of the Holy Qur'an, while simultaneously asserting “In a Book well-guarded, which none shall touch but those who are clean”!

Clearly, the warning to Muslims (and non-Muslims alike) by the Author of the Holy Qur'an to not make a mockery of the “well-guarded Book”, is very emphatic, repetitive, and unequivocal (أيَّات مَّكْرُومَات). Even verse 25:30 of Surah Al-Furqaan vouches a severe condemnation of the Muslims themselves by none other than the Messenger who brought them the Holy Qur'an: “Then the Messenger will say: 'O my Lord! Truly my people took this Qur'an for just foolish nonsense.'” The primary focus is veritably on understanding the message: “Do they not then reflect on the Quran? Nay, on the hearts there are locks.” (refer to Surah Muhammad, 47:24 quoted above) as it commonsensically should be, and not on its mere recitation, memorization, ritual reverence, and ritual practice: “Is it such a Message that ye would hold in light esteem?” (refer to Surah Al-Waqia, 56:81 quoted above). Of course, as all Muslims will surely testify, there is a more profound effect upon the spirit on hearing or reciting the Holy Qur'an in its original Arabic than doing the same to the DMV driver's handbook in any language!

The Holy Qur'an, first and foremost, is an aural recitation, not a written word. The authenticity and correctness of the written copy of the Qur'an, as Muslims are aware, is testified by a hafiz of the Qur'an, one who has memorized it in its exactness, like a tape recorder, and the memorization has itself been authenticated by his teacher – successively going back to the time of the Prophet of Islam when the Prophet himself (the historical narrative unanimously states) approved the full recitation as it exists today. (For a history of its written compilation see: Some Old Manuscripts of the Holy Qur'an) [12]

As divisive as Muslims are, and in as many sects as we are divided in, and in as many languages we speak on all five (or six) continents that we live, one thing we agree upon is the text of the Qur'an – that it remains unchanged.

There is nothing which unites the fractious 2 billion Muslims more than the text of the Holy Qur'an. The following verse asserts that unlike previous scriptures, the Author of the Holy Qur'an takes the responsibility of protecting its Message from man's corruption:
We have, without doubt, sent down the Message; and We will assuredly guard it (from corruption).

Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Hijr 15:9

This is perhaps why there is so much emphasis among Muslims of all nations, cultures, and civilizations since the very time of the Prophet and the spread of Islam, to learn the memorization of the Holy Qur'an as both a sacred as well as a utilitarian virtue. Its verbatim perfect memorization continually protects the Holy Qur'an from tampering by those who own the printing presses. And it protected the Holy Qur'an in antiquity from malicious scribes working for kings, and from copying errors. And we see the proof of the pudding in its eating even today, fourteen centuries later. But while the text of the Holy Qur'an all Muslims agree remains the same, they all slightly disagree on what it means! See Islam: Why is the Holy Qur'an so easy to hijack? Part-II for a first of its kind forensic examination into this matter.

Sticking with the recitation of the Qur'anic Word for the moment, there is also something undeniable and uncanny about the calmness and feeling of spiritual peace which comes with reciting a Surah from the Holy Qur'an as an act of worship. Such calmness does indeed benefit many Muslims temporally – meaning, in the here and the now. Our psychiatric bills are almost negligible (unless we are physically being bombed to smithereens on a daily basis), and Prozac™ sales never took off among the Muslim nations as it did in the West. Empirically speaking, it is undeniable that even memorization, recitation, and parroting by the ordinary peoples has brought Muslims throughout the fourteen centuries some very unique benefits of spiritual strength and empowerment to withstand daily vicissitudes of life and tyrants.

Nevertheless, commonsense tells us that something has terribly gone wrong here.

**We have kept the shell and thrown away its fruit!** [12a]

The Muslims have come to believe, or been led to believe, collectively, that making the Arabic offering of the Qur'an to Allah with its attendant rituals will take one to Heaven!

More recitation offerings to Allah will bring more Heaven in the Hereafter by compensating for our failings in the here, of both commissions and omissions.

Personal elevation of the spirit notwithstanding – the Shaman priest too derives much elevation of the soul in reciting his mantras as does the Hindu swami reciting the Vedas (for man, evidently, is naturally endowed with a spiritual bent of mind that seeks psychological comfort in the pursuit of the “why” of existence) – often times the words being recited are in a foreign tongue (Arabic) which the vast majority of Muslims on earth don't even speak or understand!

Of the nearly 2 billion Muslims on planet earth today, just about 10% are native speakers of Arabic. A few others speak it as a second language.

But most Muslims mouth the words of the Holy Qur'an formulaically in its original Arabic, or in its transliteration into their local language script, for some vague notion of reaping rewards in the Hereafter.

Acts of courage, valor, dignity, self-respect, standing up for what's right, standing up to oppression, tyranny, breaking the bonds of servitude, have all been replaced by joyous recitations.

Muslims do such pious recitations every opportunity we get, which is mostly on deaths and death-
anniversaries of loved ones. We solemnly bring down the Qur'an from the topmost shelf of our choicest closet or bookcase, often kept wrapped in many layers of fine silk to preserve its dignity from dust and spiders, and gather around with friends and family to “finish” mouthing the Qur'an a maximum number of times as blessings and reward for the dear departed. More often than not, because of our busy lives, unable to gather sufficient number of people to mouth the Qur'an, we farm off the task to the nearest mosque and get children studying there to come-over and do so in proxy services in lieu of some food and generous gratuity to the mullah. More money we spend in such efforts, more we feel our prayers have traveled farther into purgatory relieving the burden of accountability on our loved ones!

As per the concept of *sadqa-jariya*, it is believed by many Muslims that such Qur'anic recitations and prayers of good-will help those who are no longer in this world when their loved ones miss them and pray for mercy for their souls (as opposed to forget them or curse them). Let's just accept, to avoid any red herring contentions, that it helps the damned to be less damned in purgatory if they leave a good legacy of love and charitable works behind. For those rare virtuous people not damned, perhaps the prayers of the living helps them gain greater Heaven. *Sadqa-Jariya* is a unique concept in Islam which helps foster love, brotherhood, and charitable works that keep on accruing benefit to one even after one has left this abode, so long as the good-will left behind keeps bearing fruit for those still living.

But does such ceremonial mouthing of the Holy Qur'an help us while we are still living?

And during Ramadan of course, we again rush to “finish” mouthing all its 114 chapters divided into 30 sections, in just under 27 days as the fast-path to Heaven. If we overshoot by one day, we are in panic mode to finish the remaining sections quickly before the night of moon-sighting for the next day's Eid festivities.

*When do Muslims actually study the Holy Qur'an to comprehend its message for the here and the now, as one would study the DMV handbook? Or more aptly, as one studies to learn one's profession and trade?*

How much more facile than that can anyone get?

The fascinating acceptance of ALL Previous Prophets, of the Jews, of the Christians, and of the un-named peoples in every time and every space, making ALL of them comparable, equal, without difference, to the Prophet of Islam

Returning to the topic of the remarkable pluralism of Surah Al-Fatiha and Surah Al-Maeda, what does the Author of the Holy Qur’an commend to Muslims about His many Prophets, Apostles, and Messengers?
Say (O Muslims): 'We believe in Allah and that which is revealed unto us and that which was revealed unto Abraham, and Ishmael, and Isaac, and Jacob, and the tribes, and that which Moses and Jesus received, and that which the prophets received from their Lord.

We make no distinction between any of them, and unto Him we have surrendered.'
Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Baqara 2:136

The Messenger believeth in what hath been revealed to him from his Lord, as do the men of faith. Each one (of them) believeth in Allah, His angels, His books, and His messengers. 'We make no distinction (they say) between one and another of His messengers.'

And they say: 'We hear, and we obey: (We seek) Thy forgiveness, our Lord, and to Thee is the end of all journeys' Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Baqara 2:285

This is principally why Muslims do not return the villainous propaganda warfare waged against Prophet Muhammad by the Judeo-Christian soldiers carrying the white man's burden – for instance, like the Danish cartoons of 2006, and the American movie of 2012, dehumanizing the noble Prophet of Islam, or the Qur'an burning exercise resurrected in Norway in 2019 – with counter propaganda warfare against the prophets of antiquity whom the Christians and the Jews revere. For, the Holy Qur'an enjoins the Muslims to revere these same prophets of antiquity and to “make no distinction between one and another of His messengers.” (See many similar verses, e.g. 4:163, 6:83, 57:26).

This is despite the Holy Qur'an simultaneously vouching that the earlier messages brought by these prophets of antiquity had been lost or distorted by the impudence of human hands (see Surah Al-Maeda 5:12-16), and that Islam now superseded them all as the last Testament to mankind which the Author had Himself undertaken to safeguard: “We have, without doubt, sent down the Message; and We will assuredly guard it” (Surah Al-Hijr 15:9 quoted earlier), with no more Messengers and Testaments to come in future times (see Surah Al-Ahzab 33:40).

But does the Author of the Holy Qur'an forbid Muslims reading other people's books?

No! I have not found any occasion when such a travesty has been advocated.

Does the Author of the Qur'an forbid speaking to the people of other nations?

No! I have not found any occasion when such a travesty has been advocated.
Does the Author of the Qur'an call upon Muslims to force themselves upon others?

Well, we have already seen what Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48, Surah Yunus 10:99-100, Surah Al-Baqara 2:256 quoted above, say about no compulsion and amicable co-existence. The author of the Holy Qur'an goes even further, categorically stating the following:

O mankind! Lo! We have created you from male and female, and have made you nations and tribes that ye may know one another. Lo! the noblest of you, in the sight of Allah, is the best in conduct. Lo! Allah is Knower, Aware. Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Hujraat, 49:13

My goodness! Is there another Scripture like it? And how can “ye may know one another” (لِتَعْفَفُوا) unless ye talk to each other, partake of each others joys and sorrows?

The straightforward logic of verse 49:13 in full context demonstrates that the Author of the Qur'an made the religion of Islam both non-isolationist, and non-triumphalist by force, to the core!

Does the Author of the Qur'an forbid Muslims imbibing themselves of knowledge and wisdom from any source?

No! I have also not found any occasion when such a travesty has been advocated.

Quite the opposite in fact. The Author of the Qur'an commands Its own last Messenger to pray to his Creator to increase his own “ilm” as a virtue:

and say: My Lord! Increase me in knowledge. Holy Qur’an, Surah Ta-Ha, 20:114

And therefore, since the Author's last Messenger is also the Exemplar for his followers, the commandment is to the Exemplar's followers as well, i.e., to the Muslims, to do the same: “and say: My Lord! Increase me in knowledge.” This pithy prayer is recited by many Muslims in their daily prayers. It is also plastered prominently on the entrance doors of universities and seminaries. Unfortunately, this increase evidently hasn't come to pass for a vast majority of us.

What's more, the author of the Qur'an even advocates pursuing boundless “ilm” thusly:

Thou seest not, in the Creation of the All-Merciful any imperfections. Return thy gaze, seest thou any fissure, Then return thy gaze, again and again. Thy gaze comes back to thee dazzled, aweary. Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-Mulk, 67:3-4

The profound significance of these pithy verses of Surah Mulk to knowledge, to “ilm” acquisition can perhaps also be judged from the fact that Muslim physicist Dr. Abdus Salam rehearsed it in Stockholm upon accepting The Nobel Prize in Physics 1979, boldly stating at the Nobel Banquet on December 10, 1979, before...
other Nobel laureates, scientists, dignitaries, the Nobel Foundation and the Royal Academy of Sciences, that: “This in effect is, the faith of all physicists; the deeper we seek, the more is our wonder excited, the more is the dazzlement for our gaze.” [13] That wonder excitement by the study of nature, of the heavens, of creation, of existence, is innate to the religion of Islam! Who says to separate religion from science when Islam itself advocates science? They probably mean not to conflate the processes of religion with the processes of science as explained in Falsification in the Scientific Method.

But does the author the Qur'an advocate such pursuits, singlemindedly, to the exclusion of all else, such that such pursuits become the self-serving pursuit of the 'American Dream'?

Or, is such an advocacy for the pursuit of “ilm” as a noble endeavor, made an essential component of a greater all encompassing moral imperative by the author of the Qur'an? A categorical imperative which devolves upon man an even greater system of personal and social responsibility for which the wholehearted pursuit of “ilm” is necessary, but not sufficient?

The answer is obvious, despite the question not being merely rhetorical.

It is plainly given by the author of the Qur'an in the pithy Surah Asr.

> وَتَوَاصُواْ بِالْحَقّ وَجَاهَدُواْ بِأَمْوَالِهِمْ وَأَنْفُسِهِمْ فِي سَبِيلِ اللّهِ</p>

The Arabic word “haq” (pronounced 'huq' like 'hug' and not like 'faq') is an all encompassing word and its single-word translation into English is impossible. It means all of the following (and then some): truth, Truth, justice, rights, rectifying injustice, not violating rights, not being unjust, demanding one's own rights, not permitting others to violate one's own rights, etceteras.

It is but simple logic and commonsense to deduce that the pursuit of accurate knowledge in all matters is an essential prerequisite to the pursuit of “haq” in all matters – lest one be deceived, be manipulated, end up believing in falsehoods, and act unjustly.

The aforementioned tiny but self-sufficient verse fragment of the Qur'an forms the foundational basis for what is called “jihad”, striving as a moral imperative, in other verses of the Qur'an:

> وَجَاهَدُواْ بِأَمْوَالِهِمْ وَأَنْفُسِهِمْ فِي سَبِيلِ اللّهِ</p>

But what should they “strive” (جَاهَدُ) for, inter alia, with their wealth and their lives, without any expectations in return from their fellow man, to be so nobly designated as the “truthful ones” ( الصِّدّيقُونَ) by none other than the one who claims to be their Creator?

The Qur'anic answer, once again unequivocally provided by the author of the Qur'an in the Qur'an itself, is in Surah Asr.

> And strive they with their wealth and their lives in the way of God; they are the truthful ones. Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Hujraat 49:15</p>

It is to principally strive for “haq” (الْحَقّ) with all of one's wealth, resources, talents, and energies! The lack of striving of which, the Author of the Holy Qur'an emphatically re-asserts in the same Surah Al-Asr, leads to:
Lo! man is in a state of loss Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Asr 103:2

For completeness, reproduced below is the full recipe of the pithy Surah Al-Asr for a noble life which is "not in a state of loss", one which is not perpetually full of facile views, ignorance, apathy, vile servitude to the harbingers of inequity and injustices, and wild revolutions and further injustices in the name of redressing injustices. Notice what's stated and what's omitted in this self-sufficient tiny Surah. There is no reference to Muslims, or to Islam, or to any particular people or religion. It is directly addressed to man (الإنسان), "insaan", to every people of all religions, and to people of no religion, the overarching context for which has already been elucidated above:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By the declining day, (103:1)</th>
<th>Lo! man is in a state of loss (103:2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ṭāl-al-ʿusur</td>
<td>انَّ الْإِنْسَانَ أَفْقَى خُسْرَٰٓ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lo! man is in a state of loss (103:2)</td>
<td>انَّ الْإِنْسَانَ أَفْقَى خُسْرَٰٓ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Save those who believe, and do good works, and strive for &quot;haq&quot;, and are patient (103:3)</td>
<td>إلا الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا وَعملُوا الصِّلْهَاتَ وَتَوَاصَوْا بِالْحَقِّ وَتَوَاصَوْا بِالصَّبْرِ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Caption Surah Al-Asr, Chapter 103 of the Holy Qur'an (see full exposition [14])

The aforementioned few words of the Author of the Holy Qur'an, as straightforward as they appear to be, still do require plenty of reflection and context to grasp the full import of its message towards an equitable and mutually beneficial multicultural co-existence without the imposition of anyone's values and/or “facile views” upon another.

It is important to re-emphasize for the first of the four clauses of verse 103:3 of Surah Al-Asr quoted above, even at the risk of being repetitious once gain, that on theological matters of belief, including no belief, when one disagrees with another, the dispute is not up to man to decide. It is for some abstract entity called “God” to decide, as already quoted from the author of the Qur'an in the preceding discussion. It is not the business of man what another's beliefs are. That business is God's, and is defined as being among the Rights of God upon man, the “haquq-Allah”. No mortal may interfere in that Right even if, due to their own natural socialization and/or self-ascribed learnedness, they perceive that some Right of God is being violated by others holding a facile view. This clear demarcation of respective Rights in Islam between the Rights of God (beliefs) and the Rights of man (moral law), ends for all times, at least from Islam's point of view, all arguments of the type: whose conception of god is better; is there a god or isn't there; etc.

Everyone gets to believe in whatever theology they want! The Author of the Holy Qur'an in defining the religion of Islam, already took the inherent differences in beliefs, natural inclinations, bent of mind, and perception biases due to the very nature of socialization of man into account!

Thus, apart from friendly discourse, any forceful disputation with another on the nature of their personal beliefs is transgressing the limits set by the author of the Qur'an for Islam's practitioners:
And if your Lord had pleased, surely all those who
are in the earth would have believed, all of them;
will you then force men till they become believers?
Holy Qur'an, Surah Yunus 10:99

Wonderful.

This leaves man, as per the other three clauses of Surah Al-Asr verse 3 quoted above, in his short gift of
life, to not worry about saving another's soul, but to primarily contend with his own conduct with his fellow
man, the previously mentioned “haquq-al-ibad”.

The commonsense advocacy of that method of conduct, of doing good to fellow man, of striving for
“haq” in removing injustices from oneself and from fellow man, and being patient in adversity rather than
committing suicide or becoming a suicide bomber, is beneficial guidance to all mankind no differently than the
Biblical commandment: “do unto others as you have others do unto you”, and Bertrand Russell's non-
religious and secular formulation: 'Maximize individual happiness while minimizing social conflict for
optimizing the overall common-good', are beneficial for all mankind.

(Note caveat on unbridled emphasis on intellect alone and the religion of deception which it naturally
birth-pangs upon mankind called Secular Humanism, in: Morality derived from the Intellect leads to
Enslavement!)

Take from whichever system of thought that naturally resonates with one; but don't be iniquitous to
oneself, or to another; and the only practicable method to achieve that enlightened state of affairs regardless of
the belief system one is socialized into, is the pursuit of “ilm” (in order to minimally be able to differentiate
truth from falsehoods), social justice, and benevolence, as if in a race in all virtues instead of being in a race
for Primacy and its Geostrategic Imperatives – i.e., imperial mobilization. This is the prima facie principal
message of the Author of the Holy Qur'an. There is absolutely no drive for empire, or triumphalism, in the
principled teachings of the Holy Qur'an which describes itself as the completion of a divine favor of a “deen”
in verse 5:3 (الإسلام دينًا), and a divine guidance only to the “mutaqeen” in verse 2:2 (الْمُتَّقِينَ).

(The Holy Qur'an's self-description naturally begs the obvious question which is addressed in the
aforementioned case study Islam: Why is the Holy Qur'an so easy to hijack? Part-II: where is empire in the
Holy Qur'an? Especially, as were witnessed in the Ummayad, Abbasside, Fatimide, the Spanish Moor, and the
Ottoman dynastic empires during the heyday of Arab and Mongol Muslim domination of the world for nearly a
millennium?)

If only man were to take heed of any of this platitudinous stuff from any of the Books of wisdom among
mankind, and implement that which is his preferred choice by socialization or natural inclination, in his
respective tribe and nation.

That singular failure to implement moral platitudes, from time immemorial, is the one fundamental
problem of social failure to strive in “haq”! That social failure is the first cause for the creation of unjust
empires and tyrants, and their subsequent quest for hegemony and domination of tribes and nations of the
world as was justified by Zbigniew Brzezinski in his own American Mein Kampf of 1996 titled The Grand
Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives: “Hegemony is as old as mankind.”
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It is because of this empirical fact that the author of the Qur’an, in what it claims to be its last Testament to mankind, has laid such strong emphasis on striving for “haq” – even making it the underpinning of a life which is at a loss in its absence ( إِنَّ الْإِنسَانَ لَفَيْنَ خَسَرُ ). Otherwise, the Biblical wisdom “do unto others as you have others do unto you” is still sufficient general principle among any enlightened peoples. However, while the latter was merely advisory, striving for “haq” has been made compulsory in Islam! In order to comprehend just how difficult that is in practice, and always has been, which is evidently why it has been made a cornerstone of Islam in the Holy Qur’an, please see the full exposition of Surah al-Asr. (op. cit.)

And what has man, “insaan”, done about such striving for “haq” as the principal engine of human development and social progress?

Nothing.

Caught between facile world views on the one hand, and bread and circuses on the other, man continues to be manipulated into voluntary servitude to tyrants of modernity just as he was in antiquity. While one may arguably understand the servitude in the Dark Ages to the tyrants of antiquity, in the modern information age, the Technetronic Era (term coined by Zbigniew Brzezinski), for the disease of the Dark Ages to persist is indicative of something far deeper which has not changed despite the march of civilizations, liberations, exponential increases in public knowledge, and the Technetronic progress.

Those who pursue “ilm”, knowledge, don't necessarily do so to strive for “haq”, or to redress the human condition, but for their own narrow self-interests to achieve their own version of the 'American Dream'. As the knowledge bearers, they are often either the direct harbingers of, or the silent bystanders to, the untold crimes against humanity. In the Technetronic Era of today, the former are the scientists, engineers, and technicians of empire laboring under facile delusions of all kind.

Tyranny of course only flourishes when many good men, and many good women, learned and pious, too busy pursuing their 'American Dreams', stay silent, indifferent.

That is just too well-worn a statement to be anything but one of the best moral clichés of all time. Edmund Burke wasn't the first to think of it. All the sages throughout the ages have reflected upon it. And Solon, the Athenian law giver, as noted previously, even made coming to the aid of fellow man a legal obligation (as opposed to solely being a moral one imparted by religions)!

Apart from the copious evidence of blood-stained pages of recorded history, the obvious import of accurate knowledge to the pursuit of “haq” as its principled primemover, can also be contemporarily judged by the empirical fact that due to the Muslims having a rather facile view of their own religion throughout history, and remaining quite ignorant of its interplay with imperial matters in every epoch, “jihad” was once again vilely harvested for an imperial agenda in the modern epoch with nothing but snake oil.

The face of Brzezinski's Islam “God is on your side”

This time around by Zbigniew Brzezinski for “giving to the USSR its Vietnam War” in Afghanistan 1979-1988 by creating the “Mujahideens”. It is worth reproducing here Zbigniew Brzezinski’s 1998 interview to French magazine *Le Nouvel Observateur* for his own confessions of the utility of promulgating facile world views.
'Question: The former director of the CIA, Robert Gates, stated in his memoirs [“From the Shadows”], that American intelligence services began to aid the Mujahadeen in Afghanistan 6 months before the Soviet intervention. In this period you were the national security adviser to President Carter. You therefore played a role in this affair. Is that correct?

Brzezinski: Yes. According to the official version of history, CIA aid to the Mujahadeen began during 1980, that is to say, after the Soviet army invaded Afghanistan, 24 Dec 1979. But the reality, secretly guarded until now, is completely otherwise. Indeed, it was July 3, 1979 that President Carter signed the first directive for secret aid to the opponents of the pro-Soviet regime in Kabul. And that very day, I wrote a note to the president in which I explained to him that in my opinion this aid was going to induce a Soviet military intervention.

Question: Despite this risk, you were an advocate of this covert action. But perhaps you yourself desired this Soviet entry into war and looked to provoke it?

Brzezinski: It isn't quite that. We didn't push the Russians to intervene, but we knowingly increased the probability that they would.

Question: When the Soviets justified their intervention by asserting that they intended to fight against a secret involvement of the United States in Afghanistan, people didn't believe them. However, there was a basis of truth. You don't regret anything today?

Brzezinski: Regret what? That secret operation was an excellent idea. It had the effect of drawing the Russians into the Afghan trap and you want me to regret it? The day that the Soviets officially crossed the border, I wrote to President Carter. We now have the opportunity of giving to the USSR its Vietnam war. Indeed, for almost 10 years, Moscow had to carry on a war unsupportable by the government, a conflict that brought about the demoralization and finally the breakup of the Soviet empire.

Question: And neither do you regret having supported the Islamic fundamentalism, having given arms and advice to future terrorists?

Brzezinski: What is most important to the history of the world? The Taliban or the collapse of the Soviet empire? Some stirred-up Moslems or the liberation of Central Europe and the end of the cold war?

Question: Some stirred-up Moslems? But it has been said and repeated Islamic fundamentalism represents a world menace today.

Brzezinski: Nonsense! It is said that the West had a global policy in regard to Islam. That is stupid. There isn't a global Islam. Look at Islam in a rational manner and without
demagoguery or emotion. It is the leading religion of the world with 1.5 billion followers. But what is there in common among Saudi Arabian fundamentalism, moderate Morocco, Pakistan militarism, Egyptian pro-Western or Central Asian secularism? Nothing more than what unites the Christian countries.’ (source Global Research [15])

It is also worth reproducing here how Brzezinski fashioned these “Some stirred-up Moslems”:

News voice over 1980: “US National Security Advisor Brzezinski flew to Pakistan to set about rallying resistance. He wanted to arm the Mujahideen without revealing America's role. On the Afghan border near the Khayber Pass, he urged the Soldiers of God to redouble their efforts”

Brzezinski 1980: “We know of their deep belief in God, and we are confident that their struggle will succeed. That land over there, is yours, you'll go back to it one day, because your fight will prevail, and you'll have your homes and your mosques back again; because your cause is right; God is on your side.” [enthusiastic clapping by the future 'Mujahideens']

Brzezinski in the studio speaking to the interviewer: “The purpose of coordinating with the Pakistanis will be to make the Soviets bleed, for as much, as long, as possible.” (transcription is mine from the documentary video clip [16])

The mass ignorance and the facile world views that lay behind “their deep belief in god” among the Muslims was devilishly harvested with “god is on your side” to leave the Muslim civilization of Afghanistan into dust, and to set the stage for the future disintegration of Pakistan, with nothing but “Some stirred-up Moslems”!

It is the same fundamental lack of wherewithal today among the Muslims which is also enabling the same grandmasters to wage the perpetual 'Global War on Terror' upon the world as the age-old pretext for “imperial mobilization” on The Grand Chessboard. The enemy in yesteryear was crafted as Communism. The enemy today is crafted as Islam. (See Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation, op. cit.) That enemy is being taught to be feared worldwide, including to the world's foremost policing agency of the sole superpower, the FBI.
The face of Jews' Islam “violent Islam”

Caption An FBI video presentation titled “Militancy Considerations” measures the relationship between piety and violence among the texts of the three Abrahamic faiths [the god’s chosen people obviously coming out on top!!!] As time goes on, the followers of the Torah and the Bible move from “violent” to “non-violent.” Not so for devotees of the Koran, whose “moderating process has not happened.” The line representing violent behavior from devout Muslims flatlines and continues outward, from 610 A.D. to 2010. In other words, religious Muslims have been and always will be agents of aggression. Watch FBI Presentation Video (click on image, alternate watch, source video link) artfully Hijacking Islam. See Islam vs. Secular Humanism and World Government by Zahir Ebrahim for its full implication. [16a] [16b] [16c] (Image source)

In both endeavors, Muslim rulers and their intelligence apparatuses played, and are still playing, prostitutes to empire against the common-good of their own public.

Evidently, all empires, past and present, from antiquity to modernity, are built upon promoting facile views of certain truths among their public, and among their prostitutes.
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St. Augustine of Hippo had aptly summed this matter millennia ago:

“When the King asked him what he meant by infesting the sea, the pirate defiantly replied: ‘the same as you do when you infest the whole world; but because I do it with a little ship I am called a robber, and because you do it with a great fleet, you are an emperor.’” St. Augustine, The City of God Against The Pagans, pg. 148

Man against Superman

It is not surprising then, that the One who claims to be the Creator of man, the Author of the Holy Qur'an, correctly gauged the natural psychology of the masses among mankind and how they will be manipulated by the devil's apprentices, and for which it universally advocated the pursuit of “ilm” and “haq” for every “insaan” in a lifelong striving it termed “jihad” as the only effective counter to facile world views from which all evil follows.

It is therefore also not surprising then, that the superlative devil's apprentices too, from time immemorial, also recognized that encouraging facile views among the masses was essential in order to rule them!

Thus was created the narrow specializations and superficial generalization of education systems since the dawn of the Industrial Age, to craft the “likkha parrha jahils” of modernity, meaning, literate morons with pieces of paper proclaiming their august qualifications. It wasn't just by the happenstance of rapid knowledge expansion of the Technetronic Era, as Zbigniew Brzezinski speciously implied in his 1970 book Between Two Ages, that this transpired:

'... it can be argued that in some respects “understanding” ... is today much more difficult for most people to attain. ... It is simply impossible for the average citizen and even for men of intellect to assimilate and meaningfully organize the flow of knowledge for themselves. In every scientific field complaints are mounting that the torrential outpouring of published reports, scientific papers, and scholarly articles and the proliferation of professional journals make it impossible for individuals to avoid becoming either narrow-gauged specialists or superficial generalists. The sharing of new common perspectives thus becomes more difficult as knowledge expands; in addition, traditional perspectives such as those provided by primitive myths or, more recently, by certain historically conditioned ideologies can no longer be sustained.' Zbigniew Brzezinski, Between Two Ages, 1970, pg. 15

Let me highlight the two key empirical observations from that aforementioned passage: “make it impossible for individuals to avoid becoming either narrow-gauged specialists or superficial generalists. The sharing of new common perspectives thus becomes more difficult as knowledge expands;”. The self-serving cyclic argument of Brzezinski is that firstly, ignorance about knowledge, due to the sheer explosion in knowledge, is the natural outcome of scientific modernity. Secondly, that people can no longer easily reach a common “understanding” of their common condition. Both those observations are empirically true today. But
one can easily imagine an alternate modernity where that need not be the case despite the abundance of knowledge explosion.

It was the corporatization of knowledge in the service of empire in the vast military-industrial-academec complexes of the industrialized world, and its tight coupling to the exercise of hegemony, that has made it so. Science and technology today equate with hegemony. Therefore, since the quest for hegemony is perpetual, those pursuing science and technology have to continue slaving in the service of empire as “narrow-gauged specialists.” It is a self-serving, self-sustaining game of flourishing ignorance.

And it isn't just incidental to knowledge explosion as Brzezinski has tried to portray it. It is in fact according to a premeditated plan, deftly put into motion at the very onset of Western industrialization, for the crafting of “a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long.”

Here is Bernard de Mandeville in the eighteenth century, cleverly planting the very seeds of modern self-serving ignorance of the people for a production-consumption economy wherein, human masses are deemed only useful as economic widgets for the economic well-being of a nation:

"The economic well-being of the nation depends on the presence of a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long. Because men are naturally lazy they will not work unless forced by necessity to do so.' Bernard de Mandeville, The Fable of the Bees, 1705

This man-made value system of human beings as economic widgets “content to labor hard all day long”, has today spread like a virus across the full gamut of gainful employment in the globalized corporate world, from blue collar to white collar, from traders to craftsman, from superficial generalists to narrow-gauged specialists.

That philosophy, to create “a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long ... forced by necessity” espoused in The Fable of the Bees, inspired Adam Smith, the author of Wealth of Nations, to propose the pursuit of selfish industriousness for the overall common good. Of course, common good primarily of the ruling class with trickle-down economics, but that's just buried in the definition of common good where the common man labors hard all day long, and the elites enjoy the good. Patterned upon the bees collectively making that marvelous tasting honey, each bee narrowly staying busy in its own specialized micro-task, while the queen bee rests and enjoys all the benefits, lies the entire edifice of modern civilization. It hinges entirely upon what Bernard de Mandeville stated 300 years ago. At the risk of being repetitious, it needs to be emphasized once again: “The economic well-being of the nation depends on the presence of a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long ... forced by necessity.”

This 300 years old philosophy of inculcating selfish, myopic, narrow-gauged industriousness for the common good has been easily adapted to the high-tech Technetronic Era of modernity which naturally requires highly specialized, passionate, skilled, ultra-hard working bees “content to labor hard all day long” due to their natural fascination with the subject. It goes hand in glove with creating specialized narrow-gauged morons with advanced university degrees who can very patriotically “United We Stand” for the common good while staying productively engaged in narrow specializations in the economy.

Kept perpetually too busy to either think independently from the herd even when capable of doing so, or to pursue knowledge outside of their narrow-gauged spheres of specializations by the sheer demands of time.
and the endless debt-bills in pursuit of their endless “American Dreams”, statecraft today relies on inflicting exactly *The Fable of the Bees* upon man for its own functioning as an empire. In this scheme of things, vast amounts of useless information has been recast as knowledge, and parrots have been turned into learned savants. While wisdom and commonsense have been driven out from the acumen of men and women “content to labor hard all day long ... forced by necessity.”

That pursuit, by its very nature, promotes holding only facile world views among the dreamers of the 'American Dream'. The more one is invested in one's American Dream, the more averse one automatically becomes to losing that dream if one wakes up to “ilm”. Natural psychological forces do the rest, by automatically bringing to the cognitive surface incessant rationalizations and self-delusions to maintain status quo in order to suppress the discomfort of cognitive dissonance. (See Leon Festinger's study of mental gymnastics for harmonizing dissonance.)

The end result is that one prefers to maintain only a nodding acquaintance with “ilm”, remaining mostly content with what's salutarily written on that piece of decorative parchment necessary for becoming an economic widget. The devil's apprentices building their palatial heavens right here on this earth, have further ensured that the very nature of participating in modernity also only permits the hardworking bees just sufficient time and inclination for either very superficially-broad, or very narrow-gauged specialized acquaintance with “ilm”.

We have already seen above that without “ilm”, striving for “haq” is impossible. Thus, between self-deception, deception by Machiavelli, and full time engagement in bread and circuses, one automatically becomes a captive audience to one's ignorance in all important matters which occupy the elites enjoying all that common good from the work of those “content to labor hard all day long.” This diabolically induced state of ignorance makes one easy putty in the rulers' cold calculating hands. The cumulative impact of this to society is exactly as presaged by Brzezinski in *Between Two Ages* – a must read ode to legitimizing the tyranny of the elite in the Technetronic Era (subtitle of the book). The era of global scientific dictatorship.

The proof of this is the empirical evidence that the most industrialized, most powerful, the greatest and richest Republic on earth today whose economic foundation was laid by Adam Smith, trumped the foundation of liberty and separation from empire laid by its founding fathers with the prime directive that it was to be a Republic. It has silently descended into a police-state without a murmur of protest from either its super-educated or its rank and file. They both today stupidly stand together in line to have their body cavities examined, groped, molested, humiliated, or irradiated with deadly radiation every time they travel by air. Soon, it will be every time they visit a shopping mall, governmental office, school, and perhaps even getting on and off highways to and fro from work. Mobile radiation scanners are already deployed in many cities which scan all passerbys, cars, trucks, for the so called “terrorists”. The rulers meanwhile have their own private jets which take off and land on private runways and terminals bypassing the fate of the masses. No radiation scanners violate their physical being, and no perverts molest their women and children.

All this travesty only exists because the public is continually taught the facile view, or forced to acquiesce to the facile view at the threat of themselves being labeled “terrorist”, that they are under mortal threat from the “terrorist”.
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Sociopathy of hegemony is the real problem

Referring back to Zbigniew Brzezinski's ode to hegemony quoted at the very beginning, the method of circumventing domestic impediments to the “sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power” become empirically self-evident:

“Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. [Because] the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being.” Zbigniew Brzezinski, The Grand Chessboard, pgs. 211, 44

Sociopathy of Hegemony, Primacy, Social Darwinianism, the exercise of Supremacy, mass behavior control, all one and the same genre, is the real problem. A problem that is as old as hegemony, as old as mankind. It thrives on the facile mind. Consequently, the sociopaths who often rise to power easily, ensure that the public mind stays facile. Making the public mind is the first art of governance from caliphate to democracy --- for unlike a dictatorship, ruled at the point of the bayonet, caliphate to democracy depend on a measure of consent from the governed. Unless that governance is changed first, until the non sociopaths in society force their way into ruling corridors of power to devalue the villainy of the facile mind, all Divine Books will be “mahjoor” (Holy Qur'an, 25:30), and the public mind shall forever remain chained to its unturning neck in Plato's Cave. [16d]

Q.E.D.

As the aforementioned examination discloses, in this perpetual battle between good and evil, strong and weak, hegemons and victims, wolves and sheep, rulers and masses, evidently both sides have been well equipped. But unfortunately, it is only the one side which has continually figured out, from time immemorial, how to capitalize on its own core strengths and others' weaknesses. And it has artfully trapped the other in bread and circuses.

This was the craft of kings from antiquity who ruled in the name of the divine for their own private interests with “all authority is an extension of god's authority”. And is now the craft of Machiavelli in modernity who showed the prince how to rule for private interests in the name of democracy with “god is on your side”. Indeed, it is only upon that singular characteristic that the following observation of Zbigniew Brzezinski in his own bible of hegemony, The Grand Chessboard, is so penetratingly accurate even today: “Hegemony is as old as mankind.” (pg. 3)

The very foundation of hegemony and empire lie in the public holding largely facile views of truths essential to the rulers. It doesn't matter which view they hold, in fact, they can hold any view they want, so long as it is not the whole truth, and is anything but the truth.

Like every people, such facile views are also promoted by Christians themselves of their own religion upon their own masses – never mind others doing it for them – when it is convenient to the exercise of imperial power. There is virtually no exception to this empiricism throughout the pages of recorded history. It
exists among every people, including Jews, Hindus, Muslims, Greeks, Romans, Egyptians, etc. Pick an empire and its people have been subjected to facile worldviews which have served the interests of empire. Indeed, the first imperative of all empires is always primacy. That exercise requires subverting the religion or beliefs of the people, preferably by giving them new absurdities to believe in. For if you can convince the public of absurdities that are convenient to your own imperial mobilization agenda, you can get them to accept anything.

And modernity is no exception.

Promulgating Zionism among the Jews, and Christian Zionism in the Bible Belt of America, readily come to mind. The following is just one example of religion in the service of empire. A facile sermon ostensibly from the Holy Bible, Romans 13, by a Christian preacher harkening back to the divine kings of antiquity to teach his own flock to “Honor the King. Do it anyway, whether the king deserves it or not”:

“I am free to submit to authority. I am free to make myself a slave. My friends, you are free, you are free to respect and appreciate the authority of the government that god gives to you - Honor the King! The way you talk about your government, it's so easy to complain isn't it? It is so easy to criticize, it is so easy to find fault. Honor the King. Do it anyway, whether the king deserves it or not. All authority, all authority is an extension of god's authority!” ('New American Theology of Civil Submission', transcription is mine from a Youtube video of the sermon cited by prisonplanet.com [17], April 14, 2008)

Caption New American Theology of Civil Submission – the Christian pulpit brazenly in the service of king and empire in the name of God which would make even George Orwell roll in his grave! Pastor Chuck Baldwin dispels this absurdity for Christians. [17a]

What can be a more self-servingly facile view of Christianity than that Orwellian double-speak?

Any Muslim's facile views of Christianity surely pale in comparison!

As is amply evidenced above, anyone can promote facile views, and also be the victim of them. To remove facile views on any subject, including Christianity and Islam, it is commonsensical to go directly to its source. Approach the Good Book with a desire to understand what the Book actually says, whether or not one believes or accepts it – as when writing an A+ book report for a high school English honors class – and one shall know.

Worn out from holding facile views in the land of absurdities, journalist and “accidental theologian”, Lesley Hazleton tried it. She sat down one day to read the Holy Qur'an as “an agnostic Jew reading someone else's Holy Book” – by her own description. And what she found -- as a non-Muslim, a self-identified “tourist” in the Islamic holy book -- wasn't what she had expected. It ended for her the tyranny of facile views on Islam and the Holy Qur'an. Watch [18].

---

68 / 160 Pamphlet Secular Humanism: Bane of Civilizations
Summation and Impact Analysis

To finally bring this long riposte to a summation, the short theme being keyed off here has posed a good specific question whose general answer has been explained to those Muslims who can understand the wisdom of the Qur'an. Ignorance, like being naked at birth, is the natural state of being. But we don't go prancing about as civilized adults in the *au natural* state of our body anymore than we should as civilized adults, of the *au natural* state of our mind!

Having facile views is natural, of others especially, but is not limited to the 'other'. One can be just as ignorant of what's one's own as illustrated above. And as an antidote to holding facile views, the full spectrum pursuit of knowledge as the precondition for the pursuit of a noble life – to be counted among the “truthful ones” – is rationally advocated by the author of the Qur'an as a categorical imperative for the civilized and harmonious co-existence of man.

That quest for harmonious co-existence at times requires measured and effective self-defence against predators, both physical and psychological. And the prescription for that striving against man's natural predators, the sociopaths and tyrants from among mankind itself, is captured by the universal striving for “haq”. Meaning, just as the natural state of creation is the jungle, but we don't live in one as a civilized people, the natural law of the jungle too is not the law of civilization. That law, the Qur'anic prescription of striving for “haq”, is the most well balanced and comprehensive prescription that exists in any book of wisdom from time immemorial. It prescribes how to be effective and pragmatic in standing up to barbarians without ourselves becoming one. It offers the criterion for resolving the existential dilemma often faced by all peoples of conscience, whether to confront, or to be co-opted. To know what it is, one still needs to acquire its “ilm”, as with everything else. We no more naturally know it in our *au natural* state of ignorance and barbarianism than we are born with our clothes on.

Interestingly, it is also a commonsense wisdom. Acquire Knowledge – *'even if one has to journey to China'* as the Prophet of Islam is reputed to have also stated to his followers in that *Age of Jahiliya* (ignorance).

The difficulty of physically journeying to China is of course considerably less today. However, we continue to suffer another *Age of Jahiliya* in our modernity today. One that is dominated by facile views and deception all around. The most pervasive of these facile views among Muslims today is their own self-deception to avoid taking on the responsibility for rectifying their own subjugated condition. It is that oft heard self-serving proclamation of the pious and the scoundrel alike: “*Allah chala raha hai*”. Meaning, “*God is running the world*”. [18a] Its natural but specious corollary which incapacitates action against tyranny then easily follows: “*let Allah take care of his world while I take care of my camels.*” (with reference to context to the story of the Prophet of Islam's grandfather having made that fabled statement in pre-Islam Arabia when the king Abraha had assaulted Mecca before the birth of the Messenger.)

The devil's apprentices who actually are running the world, from time immemorial, deliberately cultivate such servile dogmas and facile views among the foolish masses living in their *au natural* mental state. To await their favorite *savior or messiah*: to patiently suffer life for the future expectation of reward in heaven; to focus on taking care of one's own camels and to leave the affairs of state to god, president, or king, except to vote every four years as that's called “democracy” which one must worship; etceteras, while the rulers continue
to enjoy their own unlimited heavens right here on earth.

The devil's apprentices also find an irresistibly natural fertile soil among the Muslims for imperial plowing and harvesting. Divided into partisan sects from birth, each having not just a different understanding of the early history of their religion, but also a slightly different understanding of the religion of Islam itself despite possessing the same Holy Qur'an that they all share, Muslims rush to draw upon their respective sectarian narrations of history and doctrinal mumbo jumbo (that's the only way I can fairly describe what pious Muslim scholars utter from their highest pulpits to indoctrinate their flock), to dignify their pathetic silence to tyranny. That's the “good Muslim” variety (sic!). The “bad Muslim” of course rush to join “Al Qaeeda” (sic!). The Muslim ethos, born in servitude to the crown and pulpit, [18b] cultivated into co-option, [18c] and dreaming of rewards in heaven, lends naturally to the Hegelian Dialectic of “good Muslim” vs. “bad Muslim”. [18d]

And precisely that facile world view was engaged from the very day of 9/11 by Muslim scholars with assistance from the many Trojan Horses and Uncle Toms. It made, and still continues to make ten years later, the otherwise un-congenial task of “imperial mobilization” all that much more un-impedimental for invading and occupying “bad Muslim” nations while the “good Muslims” who stay silent, or support the empire's narratives, are applauded and rewarded for their “United We Stand”. See for instance, the 2010 600 page Fatwa on Terrorism [19] which earned its Uncle Tom author a place next to the massa at the World Economic Forum in 2011.

As one can hopefully appreciate very clearly by now, the observation by Zbigniew Brzezinski: “Hegemony is as old as mankind”, has only been true because of an almost infinite gamut of facile views being deftly cultivated among the peoples who have lived and died for maintaining the glory of their rulers from the very beginning of civilization.

Where to seek knowledge, wisdom, when all bearers of knowledge and wisdom, both in the East and the West, appear to be shilling for self-interest? When the bearers of knowledge today also appear to be the greatest manipulators and predators of man? And when the knowledge seeker too is naturally beholden to socialization and susceptible to accepting facile world views ingrained since birth? See Some Problems in Epistemology for how easily we divorce ourselves from understanding what is the way it is due to our presuppositions which unconsciously become axioms of faith. [19a]

See the CAIR report [20] for the difficulties faced in overcoming facile views by even the most learned and pious when their own chiefs mislead them. For writing and disseminating that response to CAIR report pointing out its significant omissions, one Muslim board member of one of the largest Muslim community and mosque of California Bay Area responded: “Whose interests are you serving? Hateful zionists or the hateful christian zioinists or both? Take me off your list.”!

It will be noticed that I have refrained from offering any specific solutions here beyond what is naturally obvious by way of commonsense, or automatically falls out from the text of the quoted passages from the Holy Qur'an. Instead, I have focussed mainly on highlighting the myriad dimensions of the problem-space surrounding the cultivation of self-serving facile views birthed by socialization but aliased as “knowledge” and “wisdom”. Apart from vested self-interests, it is the improper rush to solutions by short attention span sincere peoples which often preclude really understanding the problem domain to the depth of ab initio, which in turn precludes any effective redressing. Thus, it is observed that most invariably end up applying palliative ointments to symptoms of systemic diseases which instead of healing, continue to eat-away a people from
That vile curse of modernity, wrought by hectoring hegemons, is the common challenge for all people of faith, as well as no faith. Namely, self-preservation from predatory forces disguised as friends and governments who thrive mainly by cultivating facile and outright nonsensical views among the public as gospel truths! Even the best and the brightest often get taken in by both socialization and self-interests, and end up 'United We Stand' with what is in fact absurdities.

Additional real world examples of how very difficult this endeavor of seeking knowledge which can help separate truth from falsehoods, has become in the super-abundance of our information-age due to a) self-absorption in the pursuit of the proverbial 'American Dream'; b) being perpetually kept busy between bread and circuses throughout our adult lives; and c) Machiavellian total perception management being the cornerstone of modern statecraft; can be found in “The IVY League Morons Syndrome” [22] and “Response to 'Why I'm leaving Harvard'”. [23]


How we ended up in this tortuous New Age of Jahiliya where everything the public is made to believe is either facile or false; where liberty is to get people to love their own servitude obeying orders; and where happiness is in the public being content laboring hard all day long for the benefit of the few; is examined in depth in my response to a brilliant scientist inducted into the National Inventors Hall of Fame in 2011, “The Fable of the Bees”. [26] The fable of the bees directly underwrites “The Art and Science of Co-option” such that even when one wants to escape the Age of Jahiliya, co-option ensures a Janus face with shackles of permanent silence. [27] For the more suave of mind and avant-garde in intellectual thought bearing the hefty weight of imamate of millions of followers worldwide, it becomes a bridge through tyranny, the Doctrine of Neutrality. [28]

The cumulative end result of all these, despite their respective self-rationalizations, is greater than the sum of its individual parts: the production of our Age of Jahiliya for which all bear a measure of culpability.

Footnotes

[a] See the (late) Jewish American professor at Harvard University, Samuel P. Huntington, and his Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order, wherein he incestuously anointed his Talmudic tribe-mate with the lofty epithet: ‘In 1990 Bernard Lewis, a leading Western scholar of Islam, analyzed 'The Roots of Muslim Rage,' and concluded:

'It should now be clear that we are facing a mood and a movement far transcending the level of issues and policies and the governments that pursue them. This is no less than a clash of civilizations – that perhaps
irrational but surely historic reaction of an ancient rival against our Judeo-Christian heritage, our secular present, and the worldwide expansion of both. It is crucially important that we on our side should not be provoked into an equally historic but also equally irrational reaction against our rival.”’” pg. 213.


[b] Epithet from Jewish American scholar Professor Noam Chomsky of MIT for his Jewish imperialist tribemate at Princeton, Professor Bernard Lewis. In a candid interview on CBC, Noam Chomsky stated:

“... now, until Bernard Lewis tells us that, and that's only one piece of a long story, we know that he is just a vulgar propagandist and not a scholar. So yes, as long as we are supporting harsh brutal governments, blocking democracy and development, because of our interest in controlling the oil resources in the region, there will be a campaign of hatred against us!” --- Interview to Evan Solomon, CBC, part-2, at minute 5:50, December 9, 2003, http://youtube.com/watch?v=biefwutoqyA

[c] A non-Muslim inquisitive reader may perhaps sensibly stop to ponder at this point that why did the Author of the Holy Qur'an not directly impart its self-proclaimed divine guidance directly to each human being instead of employing the “Al-Wasilah”, His Messengers and Imams? Instead of mandating seeking “the means of approach unto Him,” the “Wasilah”, in an alternate system every human being could have just as easily been his or her own Imam, his or her own Wasilah, employing his or her own inner moral compass – the perfect egalitarian system with direct connection to the Creator – thus obviating the need for chosen Messengers and Imams to start with.

It may be argued that this could have perhaps avoided the corruption of the pulpit by rulers and the concomitant bloodshed of several millennia altogether! Why such an obvious earthly measure was not adopted by the self-proclaimed All Knowing and All Seeing Author of the Holy Qur'an, may at best only be baselessly speculated upon by the brilliant intellectual – for that's clearly not the method adopted by the Author of the Holy Qur'an – leading to even more idle chatter and furtherance of even more facile unfounded views of Islam.

[1] The first extempore version of this missive was submitted to the tiny anon website as comment for the article which inspired delving into this topic: http://lwtc247.wordpress.com/2011/06/09/jesus-isa-alahi-salam/#comment-5241


This fact of ingrained socialization bias is unfortunately not acknowledged by Mutahhari in his exposition even though it is embedded in the teachings of the Holy Qur'an in its emphasis on the separation of righteous beliefs (Haquq-Allah 42:10) from righteous acts (Haquq-al-ibad 5:48). The Holy Qur'an calls itself Al
Furqaan, the criterion, by which to judge both for one's own strivings in the path of “haq”. That lack of recognition fortunately does not detract from Murtada Mutahhari's sensible examination of how to study the Holy Quran despite that fact that he does lend an a priori conclusion to such study based on his own socialization which is amply in evidence in his exposition. It is in fact hard to find a scholar of any religion who fervently believes in that religion, who would be immune to such a priori conclusions even as he might endeavor to teach others how to study the religion and letting them arrive at their own conclusions AFTER that study!

This appears to be the inherent nature of socialization and of the subjectivity, and hence the religiosity, conferred to it by the right-half brain. This is perhaps why the Holy Qur'an while accepting socialization as a human fact, has also laid so much emphasis on striving for “haq" under all conditions for everyone among mankind whereby, striving for overcoming the nafs, the personal inclinations due to proclivity and socialization, is termed the greater jihad and a co-requisite to the reflective study of the Holy Qur'an. See Part-II of Islam: Why is the Holy Qur'an so easy to hijack? (Ibid.) for some inherent impediments in its path.


[9c] Satanic Pictures By Israel Shamir, http://www.israelshamir.net/English/Satanic.htm


[12a] Sentiment attributed to Imam Ali, the father of the progeny of the Prophet of Islam, paraphrased from Najhul Balagah. To appreciate the import of this statement, one has to understand the person who expressed his consternation with it, an unsurpassed victim of facile views of the Muslims of his time, and evidently, that vile legacy still endures. See What does the Holy Qur'an say about the Ahlul Bayt?, http://islam-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2013/03/what-does-quran-say-about-ahlul-bayt.html

[13] Abdus Salam's speech at the Nobel Banquet, December 10, 1979,


[16] Zbigniew Brzezinski, 'God is on your side' news clip, http://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/god_is_on_your_side.wmv
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Open Letter to Muslims: Is Islam really the Last Obstruction to World Government?

Islam vs. Secular Humanism and World Government

Please read the article “Thought police muscle up in Britain” (cached) by Hal G. P. Colebatch which appeared in The Australian on April 21, 2009, in conjunction with watching these revealing videos:

- British Constitution Group activist Brian Gerrish's two talks titled: State of the Nation at the January 24, 2009 Lawful Rebellion Conference, and Common Purpose - Exposing the Real Traitors at the December 12, 2009 Wakeup Call Conference, both in the UK;

And connect with the impetus towards the introduction of Secular Humanism as the “religion” of the New World Order!
To understand what Secular Humanism really means in practice, as opposed to looking appealing on paper to the liberal mind, please watch the cited videos. To understand its philosophical underpinnings, please read my article “The Reality of Secular Humanism: Morality derived from the Intellect leads to Enslavement!” permanently linked to with this photograph:
Caption The real face of Secular Humanism. Secular Humanism is the Moral Relativism of the New Age: Morality derived from Intellect leads to Barbarianism and Enslavement under the false pretense of Enlightenment! (http://tinyurl.com/superman-morality)

These documentaries reveal an ongoing and concerted effort to subvert Theism, mainly Islam and Christianity respectively. Since this letter is addressed to Muslims, its focus is on Islam. However, a universal truth which applies to all Theism regardless of religion, and which appears to be a major impediment to the nihilism of the New World Order, is that only Theism teaches man in absolute moral codes how to overcome self-interests for higher moral cause; only Theism teaches man how to break his bonds of servitude to fellow man. And that is why the genuine practice of Theism and its absolute morality poses a real impediment to Secular Humanism and World Government which depend on moral relativism to promulgate their nihilistic agenda for the New Age.

As noted by Brian Gerrish in his Lawful Rebellion talk, all other major religious and ethnic populations in the West have become so secularized in the Western culture that only Islam today remains the effective impediment in its path – even though it is not much of one, as seen by the subversion of the Muslims and their religion!

I quite agree with the introduction chapter by David Livingstone of his book “Surrendering Islam – The
subversion of Muslim politics throughout history until the present day”.

What Livingstone has perhaps missed in his zeal (I haven't read his entire book), but which does not change his point about the subversion of Islam today made in that chapter, is that the subversion of Islam historically was started the day of ‘Fatah Mecca’, and not just by the later British empire creating sects harmless to their own imperial interests through Machiavellian cognitive infiltration. One can easily judge for oneself which ones are the creation of imperial psyops, and which ones have profited from their alien benefactors, by simply looking at the stances of its founding pontiffs towards British rule: who advocated obedience to the alien rulers by engaging that most abused verse of the Holy Qur'an for political purposes, verse 4:59, and went so far as to prohibit rebellion against the colonizing foreign power which was in direct competition with Muslim ruling states at the time?

Each one of these “imperial Islam” creations still flourishes today in some variation, and those born into them cannot distinguish their pedigree anymore than any other longer running Muslim sect can. Some sects have become important gate-keepers of Islam. Some have even been given sanctuary in the Jewish state in Palestine in the name of “freedom of religion”. These latter ones along with those that advocate strict political neutrality or apoliticalism, are presented to the world as the perfect model of “moderate Islam”. Their adherents remain among the most peaceful and docile of all Muslim sects, indistinguishable in their socialization characteristic of self-righteousness from any other Muslim sect. So who can ever define who is a Muslim and who isn’t? Which is precisely why this can of worms is periodically opened with utmost cunning for deriving political gain and distracting the public mind.

As an intellectual exercise however, all one has to do is simply apply that aforementioned criteria and ascertain for oneself the pedigree of one's own kaaba o qibla. It constitutes a most straightforward rejection criterion. It is not a complete acceptance criterion however, for that is where “militant Islam” and “warrior Islam” and “fanatic Islam” enter the theatre of the absurd as the dialectical “imperial Islam” equally in the service of empire. To appreciate just how difficult that task of self-examination can be as a self-referential problem, see Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization where the practical wisdom of the guidance in the Holy Qur'an in its own words is made apparent (http://tinyurl.com/Islam-Socialization). One can only surmise that it has stayed a secret from the public mind because no benefit of its common knowledge and understanding of its meaning accrues to the exercise of political power that relies on division and deceit to conquer.

A dispassionate non-partisan study of recorded history itself shows that Islam was viewed differently by different peoples, many of whom converted overnight to the new religion of Arabia after a lifetime of

Moral codes in Theism are indeed encased in the absolute semantic strait-jacket so feared by all tyrants across space and time and therefore, remain forever under attack and subversion. Islam, like all Theistic religions, has already answered the question of bondage to fellow man in showing the way to its effective severing. It is even part of the cryptic formula, the Kalima, recited by the adherent daily, without evidently understanding any of it:

“La ilaha ilallah”, “there is no god but God” (Arabic: لا إله إلا الله)

Islam's clear prescription of bowing in servitude only to the One God of Truth is completely pre-conditioned upon first breaking the bonds of servitude to all other gods of falsehoods. A simple substitution of “God” with “Truth”, and “god” with “falsehoods” including the worship of “self-interests” and “society's gods” in the above daily declaration of faith makes the all encompassing import of Kalima self-evident.
opposition to it. As one critically examines the most momentous of times in the early days of Islam in the immediate aftermath of the death of its Prophet, even when one glosses over the first 25 years of tumultuous ad hoc political successions and rapid expansion of territories through their own *la mission civilisatrice*, the first dynastic imperial empire was really seeded by Abu Suffian.

The mighty trader and leader of the Meccans, and the Prophet of Islam's greatest antagonist, Abu Suffian, standing next to Ibn Abbas (the Prophet's relative), on the mountains surrounding Mecca on the night of 'Fatah Mecca' – following the conquest of Mecca without bloodshed, and the Prophet's blanket proclamation of full pardon without seeking any retribution for the ten years of imposed military warfare by the Meccans upon the Muslims – and watching the vast field of thousands of bonfires dotting the Muslim tents in the valley below, realized that Islam potentially meant a lucrative “empire”, and told Ibn Abbas so!

From Abu Suffian, the harbinger of ill-begotten Muslim dynastic empires, to Bernard Lewis, the harbinger of fabricated “clash of civilizations”, spanning the gamut of those 14 centuries and with all the Muslim empires which David Livingstone glorifyingly mentions in-between, they all corrupted the Holy Qur'an's designated “straight-path” of guidance in Islam, the “sirat-e-mustaqeem” of Surah Al-Fatiha, into “empire” – one way or another.

In today's modernity, Islam is principally subverted in the same mold by introducing “beneficial cognitive diversity” (sic!) into that original singular formulation of “straight-path”. See *Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization* for details on how exactly it is accomplished today.

The sophistication of Islam's subversion however that is evidently running circles around the Muslim mind today, relies in the employment of complex political theory called *Hegelian Dialectic* (http://tinyurl.com/Hegelian-Dialectic-PSYOPS): invent two or more opposing and polarized ideologies (or lies), say one entirely militant, and the other entirely spiritual, and get them to clash by forcing people to choose between them while perniciously harvesting each one in the greater service of “imperial mobilization”. This is the underlying philosophy in the “good Muslim” vs. “bad Muslim” dialectic, and in Presidential statements like “either you are with us, or you are with the terrorists”. The conflict that is naturally seeded in any clash of the opposites is an opportunity for birth-panging something far greater from the burnt ashes left behind.

Tortuous processes so unleashed upon the unsuspecting public can leave so much confusion and chaos in its wake that as David Ben Gurion had explained the purpose of seeding controlled chaos: “what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times”. And the Council on Foreign Relations proposed exactly that same modus operandi to seed world government:

> 'In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.'

Watch the fabrication of the Hegelian Dialectic of “militant Islam” vs. “moderate Islam” in the following two videos. Both are officially sponsored by the ruling establishment of the Hectoring Hegemons. In the previous era, “militant Islam” was known as “mujahadeen Islam” or “Brzezinski's Islam”. These promulgate their respective asininity among the Muslims for a purpose so diabolical, that it can only be fully
comprehended in the domains of political theory, game theory, employing dynamic systems analysis, and not by studying each component separately.

The face of “Brzezinski's Islam” – 'God is on your side'
“warrior Islam” loved by empire

[http://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/god_is_on_your_side.wmv]
[http://youtube.com/watch?v=WaiJlLrEwVU]

Caption Video Face of “Brzezinski's Islam” – Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, America's National Security Advisor, selling the Carter Doctrine to Afghan Mujahadeen on the Pak-Afghan Border: “We know of their deep belief in God, and we are confident that their struggle will succeed. That land over there, is yours, you'll go back to it one day, because your fight will prevail, and you'll have your homes and your mosques back again; because your cause is right; God is on your side.” See Time Magazine, Monday, Feb. 18, 1980 (http://tinyurl.com/6jquefz).
The face of “moderate Islam” – “absurd Islam” loved by empire

Caption Video The face of “moderate Islam” – “absurd Islam” waging war on terror against “militant Islam” – featuring Dr. Tahir-ul-Qadri, the “Ambassador of Peace”, who issued the widely promulgated 600 page Fatwa on Terrorism in the service of empire. BBC News Magazine excitedly reported it as ‘A fatwa they can work with?’: “An Islamic scholar turned up in London last week to deliver a religious ruling denouncing terrorism in all its forms – but what was it about him that made everyone sit up and listen? He’s a man on a mission – a mission to state the obvious.” This imperial “Islamic scholar” who issued a fatwa that the empire could work with, was rewarded by empire for his due diligence in not denouncing the superpower's own state sponsored super terror when he denounced “terrorism in all its forms”, with a place-setting at the massa's table! An even more entertaining version of Daniel Pipes' choice for “moderate Islam” with its idiotic leader basking in the adulation of his even more idiotic prostrating fans, is here (search)

For those unfamiliar with the name Daniel Pipes who loves “moderate Islam”, he is the Zionist neo-con Jew in the United States of America who was recommended by the President of United States no less, George W. Bush Jr., to head the United States Institute of Peace, and who has since 9/11 been working assiduously in
'Recruiting Soldiers Against Radical Islam' claiming that: “It's not a Clash of Civilizations, It's a Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians.” The good Pipes wants to “Defeat radical Islam, strengthen moderate Islam.” See Open Letter to Daniel Pipes, April 03, 2007, inviting the fellow to World Court ala the fate meted to vulgar propagandists for “Lebensraum” at Nuremberg where all feigned protestation by the Nazi leadership was denied by the chief prosecuting counsel for the United States, Justice Robert H. Jackson, who coldly asserted that it was not victor's justice but violation of international law “to goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers” under false pretenses of false flag operation that was being punished (http://tinyurl.com/Zahir-to-Daniel-Pipes-Invite and http://tinyurl.com/Nuremberg-Elephant-in-Bedroom).

This sophisticated Machiavelli rooted in the Hegelian Dialectic process of thesis vs. anti-thesis, is primarily the reason most Muslims, while knowing that there is something wrong with the 'War on Terror' in that the way the UK-US-EU imperial axis of evil is going about it only creates more terror, remain perpetually confused by what is it that the West really wants when it arbitrarily seems to support opposites simultaneously. Inextricably caught between suicide bombers and F-16s on the one hand, and between neo-colonialism and struggle for daily bread on the other, most clutch at every strawman spun by any detracting snake-oil salesman in town. Thus we see the proliferation of conspiracy theories and plausible sounding false explanations with the concomitant “beneficial cognitive diversity” which these naturally engender, many of them deliberately created as red herrings (see Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory). And our learned scholars, intellectuals, pious pulpits, news media, politicians, et. al., all behave like prostitutes or brainless fools. The reality behind that behavior is in fact this: that they have all been co-opted; that they willingly lead the Newspeak chorus of 'war on terror', taliban, al-qaeeda, song and dance routine as the House Negroes of the West. Our best minds at best have turned Native Informant. Those whom we trust most betray us every day. So who is left to explain Realityspeak to the Muslims? Who is not co-opted? Who can dare call a spade a spade? None who is a somebody or who commands any audience, big or small, for all those needed to make the public mind by empire do make the public’s mind in their respective spheres of influence.

As any knowledgeable person – who is not entirely deprived of mental acuity to have largely become a glorified parrot of history, often with imposing titles stamped upon his turban to lend respectability before the masses – would straightforwardly know, there are no empire’s in the religion of Islam itself. Or, for that matter in any Theistic religion that is intended to be a way of life for ordinary peoples. Only ‘religions’ of the elite have empires. And empires love such religions for the masses. Interestingly, one can trivially spot the subversion of any religion by simply observing the stances of its pontiffs to the powers under which they flourish. This is true of the religion of both the Christian and Muslim peoples throughout the ages. The subversion of Theistic theologies to support empire is empirical. The truth of these words is beyond doubt. It is self-evident.

Find a word for “empire” for me in the Holy Qur’an as a commandment to seek it – as distinct from finding it in the history of the despotic Muslim rulers who did indeed build vast dynastic empires with the help of their own doctrinal scholars, from historians to narrators, no different than has been done since time immemorial. There is no basis for such dynastic imperialism in the Holy Qur’an. Indeed, Muslim civilizations, its arts, letters, and sciences, all flourished during those first 700 years after the Prophet of Islam. And these dominant Muslim civilizations also defined the “modernity” of their epoch. But so have many other civilizations of history including the present modernity of the Americans – the Classical Greeks arguably flourished even more than the Muslims, and for a lot longer period. But what does that have to do with a religion? The Muslim rulers of all these Muslim empires espoused as much moral gravitas as any other
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preceding or succeeding rulers in recorded history, ancient and modern. Who can deny that? The empirical fact that these Muslim empires were long running family dynasties acquired by bloodshed, and often maintained and perpetuated by the same sort of intrigues and bloodshed as Shakespeare’s Henry the whatever, is not hidden from anyone, except perhaps the Muslims.

Whereas, Islam defines itself rather precisely in the Holy Qur'an, and it is entirely about moral existence along a divinely defined path – the “sirat-e-mustaqeem” noted in its very first Chapter. Islam's unequivocally stated aim is to give mankind the free-will of elevating itself to “Ashraf-ul-Makhloog-aat” – the best among all creations – while fully engaged in the vicissitudes of this life as commanded in its Surah Asr. And furthermore, to also be equally free to go the converse route, be the worst of all creations. The twain, Islam the religion, and Muslims (with their concomitant histories, narratives, cultures, civilizations, and good and evil choices throughout history which has ultimately led us to our present), are not the same thing. Only Bernard Lewis is confused about it. He even opens his formidable thesis titled: “Crisis of Islam – Holy War and UnHoly Terror”, redefining “Islam” in precisely that way (which evidently has also confused David Livingstone like many other Muslims):

'It is difficult to generalize about Islam. To begin with, the word itself is commonly used with two related but distinct meanings, as the equivalents both of Christianity, and Christendom. In the one sense, it denotes a religion, as system of beliefs and worship; in the other, the civilization that grew up and flourished under the aegis of that religion. The word Islam thus denotes more than fourteen centuries of history, a billion and a third people, and a religious and cultural tradition of enormous diversity.' (page 1, Bernard Lewis, Crisis of Islam)

That Machiavellian redefinition of the word “Islam” is deconstructed in Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer - Architecture of Modern Propaganda for Psychological Warfare. Suffice it to note here that the Holy Qur'an has given a very precise meaning to the word “Islam” to exclusively designate a divine religion, a “deen” (الإسلام ديننا), and not a civilization, not a people (for which a separate word “Muslim” is used in the Holy Qur'an), and not an empire (for which there is no word in the Holy Qur'an):

This day have I perfected for you your religion and completed My favor on you and chosen for you Islam as a religion; Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Maeda verse fragment 5:3

As corrupted, subservient, powerless, and mentally colonized the two billion Muslims are today despite our vast piety and full mosques, and as convoluted and tortuous the understanding of Islam and what passes as its history has become, still, according to Brian Gerrish in his aforementioned video, Islam and Muslims are evidently the single biggest social impediment to Secular Humanism!!

In other words, Islam is the last wall to breach in order to usher in the full 1984-like Orwellian New World Order, and all the details of enslavement which it portends, including, the elimination of religion, of family, and the big-Brother big-State birthing and owning the kids and raising them according to the new precepts of Secular Humanism. (See What’s the truth about modern medicine?, http://tinyurl.com/Modern-Medicine)
Hollywood movies like Logan's Run and Aldous Huxley's fable A Brave New World, while offering fun futuristic entertainment to the masses, have also been psychologically priming the Western public to this state of voluntary servitude wherein, a combination of Orwellian-Huxleyan worlds coupled with full mind-body control and total social engineering will simply make human revolt against the communist-style regimentation of the oligarchy as unthinkable as the revolt of sheep against the habit of mutton eating! That phraseology is borrowed from Bertrand Russell. Aldous Huxley had (perhaps self-servingly) observed in his talk at UC Berkeley in 1962, that eliciting such voluntary compliance from the plebes has remained the focus of all social engineering throughout the ages, “to get people actually to love their servitude” in what could only be called the “ultimate in malevolent revolution”:

'Today, we are faced, I think, with the approach of what may be called the ultimate revolution. The final revolution where man can act directly on the mind-body of his fellows. Well needless to say, some kind of direct action on human mind-bodies has been going on since the beginning of time. But this has generally been of a violent nature.

The techniques of terrorism have been known from time immemorial and people have employed them with more or less ingenuity, sometimes with the utmost crudity, sometimes with a good deal of skill acquired by a process of trial and error, finding out what the best ways of using torture, imprisonment, constraints of various kinds.

But, as, I think it was Mettenif, said many years ago, you can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them.

Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude!

This is the, it seems to me, the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.'

(Aldous Huxley, 1962 UC Berkeley, minutes 3:05 to 5:17, transcribed by Project Humanbeingsfirst http://archive.org/download/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution_64kb.m3u )

The dystopic fables are piece-meal encroaching on reality space in baby-steps. What stands in the path of fully realizing that dystopia?

The Nineteen Eighty-four style full spectrum thought control paradigm being enacted in the US--UK is portentous of what's to come to all of Western civilization as a very visible force. Its signs are already visible all around us, thus far only disguised as the “war on terror”. See this article “War on Terror is not about Islamofascism – get with the agenda you people” for how it is perniciously making its way into the very fabric of American and European society where the “terrorists” now “look Western”. And with this latest Times
Square bombing plot, I just heard (on May 07, 2010) Retired General Michael Hayden, the terrorist “tickling” specialist as the former director of the CIA, now with the Chertoff group selling all those body-scanners to the United States, on MSNBC News describing the new Al-qaeda threat. Soon new laws will be enacted or enforced to deal with those. Already we are being conditioned to obey orders by forcing us to take our shoes off at airports, and compelling us to exhibit our anatomical perfections to the perps manning the FAST scanners. Hollywood entertainment in “Total Recall” had presaged full body scanners at airports with people going through them without a second thought a full two decades ago (Arnold Schwarzenegger, 1990). And we are doing exactly that today. The RFID implants are next. Zbigniew Brzezinski, like his intellectual confere Aldous Huxley before him, had also predicted with matching chutzpah in his seminal 1970 book Between Two Ages : America's Role in the Technetronic Era, that:

'In the technetronic society scientific and technical knowledge, in addition to enhancing production capabilities, quickly spills over to affect almost all aspects of life directly. Accordingly, both the growing capacity for the instant calculation of the most complex interactions and the increasing availability of biochemical means of human control augment the potential scope of consciously chosen direction, and thereby also the pressures to direct, to choose, and to change.

Reliance on these new techniques of calculation and communication enhances the social importance of human intelligence and the immediate relevance of learning. The need to integrate social change is heightened by the increased ability to decipher the patterns of change; this in turn increases the significance of basic assumptions concerning the nature of man and the desirability of one or another form of social organization. Science thereby intensifies rather than diminishes the relevance of values, but it demands that they be cast in terms that go beyond the more crude ideologies of the industrial age.' (page 10)

This re-casting of values that “go beyond the more crude ideologies of the industrial age” with “biochemical means of human control [which] augment the potential scope of consciously chosen direction,” is the incontrovertible flag of scientific totalitarianism we see rapidly being unfurled today. While much less biochemical in its present state of deployment than in Aldous Huxley's narrative (but not for the want of it, for example see RFID Implants), it is no less coercive than in George Orwell's narrative. Brzezinski went on to prognosticate the “trend” in his book, and mind you with a foresight so uncannily accurate that he could only have been sitting at the same oligarchic dinner tables when the future that is already here today, was being planned into existence:

'In the technetronic society the trend seems to be toward aggregating the individual support of millions of unorganized citizens, who are easily within the reach of magnetic and attractive personalities, and effectively exploiting the latest communication techniques to manipulate emotions and control reason.

Reliance on television—and hence the tendency to replace language with imagery, which is international rather than national, and to include war coverage or scenes of hunger in places as distant as, for example, India—creates a somewhat more cosmopolitan, though highly impressionistic, involvement in global affairs.' (page 11)

'Life seems to lack cohesion as environment rapidly alters and human beings become
increasingly manipulable and malleable. Everything seems more transitory and
temporary: external reality more fluid than solid, the human being more synthetic than
authentic. Even our senses perceive an entirely novel “reality”—one of our own
making but nevertheless, in terms of our sensations, quite “real.”

More important, there is already widespread concern about the possibility of biological
and chemical tampering with what has until now been considered the immutable
essence of man. Human conduct, some argue, can be predetermined and subjected to
deliberate control. Man is increasingly acquiring the capacity to determine the sex of his
children, to affect through drugs the extent of their intelligence, and to modify and
control their personalities. Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an
experimenter in intelligence control asserted, “I foresee the time when we shall have
the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour
and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and
biochemical manipulation of the brain.”

'Another threat, less overt but no less basic, confronts liberal democracy. More directly
linked to the impact of technology, it involves the gradual appearance of a more
controlled and directed society. Such a society would be dominated by an elite whose
claim to political power would rest on allegedly superior scientific know-how.

Unhindered by the restraints of traditional liberal values, this elite would not
hesitate to achieve its political ends by using the latest modern techniques for
influencing public behavior and keeping society under close surveillance and
control.'

Zbigniew Brzezinski’s elite have already embarked on achieving their political end “by using the latest
modern techniques for influencing public behavior and keeping society under close surveillance and
control” as witnessed today. The culmination of this path of engineered social control, the “tampering with
what has until now been considered the immutable essence of man” – a tortuous combination of Orwellian
and Brave New World in which “Human conduct [is] predetermined and subjected to deliberate control” –
will hit the developed West the hardest.

Westerners were the most used to living in free societies, and thus, by the necessity of management of
the masses by the controlling oligarchy, had been given the illusions of freedom more than us in the East
where we were long conditioned to god, kings, and dictators. As Goethe had observed: “None are more
hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free”. I do believe that mentally, as colonized the
East has been physically, it is has been far freer than the West. In the East, we don't trust our elite, nor our
government. In the West, most even refuse to believe that there is even an elite which runs their elected
governments, and the vast majority “United We Stand” as is evident from 911.

The Orwellian-Huxleyan social engineering presently in the works takes away even those freedoms and
those illusions – because, the West is headed towards full-spectrum dominance, but not just of the world, but
of its peoples. I.e., totalitarianism the likes of which have not been seen in history. The East is not targeted for
such mind-controlled totalitarianism, because, for one thing it is difficult to implement. We are too backwards
as a scientifically controlled modernity. But not to fear, we are a direct target of population reduction and all
the rest of Malthusian crap. See my deconstruction of NSSM-200, and Bertrand Russell's “Impact of Science on Society”.

But, as is the truism of life, we all have to go some day of course. So, arguably, at least let's live with a mind that isn't enslaved, even though the body may be in chains and under the constant threat of physical “shock and awe” from both the pirate suicide bombers working for the emperor as patsies, and the emperor's drones once again bringing us the white man's burden, its renewed la mission civilisatrice.

The only place left today to seek to make a home to raise one's family, appears to be back in the East – yes, where we are under constant “shock and awe”. The psychological attacks and sophisticated social engineering transpiring in the West, coupled with its scientific modernity, make surviving outside the “matrix” of thought control a rather challenging if not outright impossible task in the West. Crazy, isn't it? But crazy or not, choosing lesser of two evils has become part of the calculus of life's decision making – whatever the decision. Only fools and ignoramuses will ignore these parameters though – for ignorance is surely bliss. Taking the “blue-pill” does have its rewards. In the evergreen Platonic fable Simile of the Cave depicted in the Hollywood movie Matrix, the “red-pill” is the bitter pill of reality that is hard to swallow. (See dialog in side bar)

This potential obstruction to the elite's religion of Secular Humanism for their world government posed by Islam as a Theistic religion, and by ordinary practicing Muslims just living their ordinary family lives, is an entirely different and orthogonal dimension from the hectoring hegemons' harnessing of “militant Islam” and “moderate Islam”. To ensure the steady supply of controlled chaos, “Revolutionary Islam” has been added to the mix as the trifecta, a perfect storm for Muslim on Muslim violence. See Revolutionary Islam in Pakistan – Pawn of World Order (http://tinyurl.com/Revolutionary-Islam-Pawn-of-WO).

Before hearing Brian Gerrish's evidence last year and reflecting upon the matter ever since, I did not really believe Islam, the last of the great Theistic religions, to be anything other than a diabolical instrument of hegemony in the minds of hectoring hegemons in the pre and post 9/11 world – just like Communism of the USSR was before it was dismantled. Something they brilliantly subverted to create a boogieman for seeding “doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification” in order to sustain “Imperial mobilization” on Zbigniew Brzezinski's Grand Chessboard. But something they had only utter contempt for.

Evidently, they also find Islam to be a genuine impediment to achieving their ultimate agenda of Secular Humanism. An impediment reaching outside of their direct ability to eliminate or even control. An empire in its own statecraft of hegemony never holds genuine impediments to its primacy ever in contempt. Rather, it always deals with them as a real enemy to subdue and dominate with “military-style objectivity” and “avoidance of preconceived value assumptions”. That amoral phraseology is from the Report from Iron Mountain. The myriad Pentagon and think-tank documents such as the Joint Vision 2020 and PNAC which blithely strategize for “full spectrum dominance”, afford a glimpse into that primacy mindset of empire.

Incredible! Muslims today, despite our pathetic servile condition slaving under the yoke of both mental and physical colonization, still potentially have something that is perceived as a real obstruction by the hectoring hegemons in their nihilist calculus of world government.

That alone is an excitement I cannot contain! I have something they can't control nor take away from me if I don't let them. Indeed, the pithy Surah Al-Asr of Islam, is perhaps the most potent political-spiritual
weapon system in the Muslim possession if we can only learn to use it effectively. It can straightforwardly achieve what Etienne de La Boétie could not bring about in his “The Politics of Obedience: The Discourse of Voluntary Servitude”!

Islam's prescription to end man's voluntary servitude to tyranny, one which escaped Etienne de La Boétie's commonsensical Discourse, is Jihad-un-nafs. Contrary to what many are led to believe as just an “inner struggle” with no outward manifestation, its true import is nothing less than revolutionary. Jihad-un-nafs principally directs us in our inner struggles to break our bonds of servitude to fellow man, to overcome our fears, apathy, and silence. It is the reservoir from which saying 'No' to the banality of evil springs from. It is the principal fount of moral integrity, not ritual or selfish piety seeking Heaven. Jihad-un-nafs enables us to deny our own petty as well as existential self-interests when they conflict with morality and 'higher purpose'.

For, indeed, it is only self-interests that trump morality. Self-interests co-opt us and perpetually enslave us to any tyrant. Once such inner-struggle to break free of self-interest is underway, when fears and allegiances to falsehoods start melting away, when determination sets in which no denigrating labels may circumvent, when the fear of the loss of paycheck or confinement to state hospitality centers can no longer preempt moral stance, then, and only then, doors automatically open up, feet automatically start marching in the streets, mouths automatically come un-stitched, and in the limit, one fearlessly stands-up before the D9-Caterpillar bulldozer like Rachel Corrie, and before the armies of tyrants like David before Goliath, Imam Hussein before Yazeed, Rosa Parks before the white man's bus driver, Viva Palestina before Israel, …. As the late George Bernard Shaw had trenchantly observed: “We are made wise not by the recollections of our past, but by the responsibility for our future.” That responsibility becomes easier to shoulder when our self-interests can no longer trump our moral callings. The forces which preempt such wholesome goodness from percolating widely in society are examined in The Art and Science of Co-option (http://tinyurl.com/Art-and-Science-of-Co-option). Even the more resourceful ones bow before these existential forces as can be seen in The Aga Khan's Doctrine of Neutrality (http://tinyurl.com/Doctrine-of-Neutrality).

Pious peoples continually ask me what can they do as the justification for their silence and apathy. As consummate victims of the banality of evil, evidently they are so saddled with self-interests that they either pretend to not see the clear path as they rather stay busy in their various ritual acts of seeking Heaven instead of stand-up to wrong-doings, or, suffer from a myopia characteristic of self-absorption and/or indoctrination. Well, Heaven, if there is one in the future, is surely denied to those who help create hell on earth today – for, evil only flourishes when well-intentioned people remain silent spectators and do nothing to stop it. Often

“(Morpheus) The matrix is everywhere, it is all around us. Even now in this very room, you can see it when you look out your window, or when you turn on your television. You can feel it when you go to work, when you go to church, when you pay your taxes. It is the world that has been pulled over your eyes to blind you from the truth.

(Neo) What truth?

(Morpheus) That you are a slave Neo. Like everyone else you were born into bondage, born into a prison that you cannot smell or taste or touch. A prison for your mind. Unfortunately no one can be told what the matrix is. You have to see it for yourself. This is your last chance. After this there is no turning back. You take the blue-pill, the story ends, you wakeup in your bed and believe whatever you want to believe. You take the red-pill, you stay in Wonderland, and I show you how deep the rabbit hole goes. Remember, all I am offering is the truth, nothing more.” (Dialog from Matrix)
times they even directly collaborate in it as part of their daily grind, collectively culminating in horrendous evils – the banality of evil! Jewish scholar Hannah Arendt had already explored that aspect of it in copious detail in the context of the Third Reich in 1963. Just two score years later, I too had dwelled upon it in my very first piece of public writing in the context of the Fourth one. For our purposes here however, let's briefly examine this idea of apathy logically within the Theistic beliefs of the Muslims themselves. I have examined indoctrination and the reigning twisted epistemology which blinds one to it elsewhere.

Do pious Muslims filling their mosques in relative comforts while humanity everywhere is oppressed at the altar of the lusts of the Hectoring Hegemons, think that Jahanam will be the abode of only the few tyrants and their soldiers of fame and fortune who directly inflicted the evils? That their own souls are spotless since they stayed busy in ritual piety waiting for Allah?

If it is true that tyrants flourish only with the assistance of the majority who silently comply, and empiricism and history both lend substantial evidence to this view (Etienne de La Boétie almost 500 years ago gave a compelling description of it in his Discourse cited above), then, it logically follows that the first-cause enablers of tyranny and its spread throughout the lands is the silently spectating apathy of the peoples! Those who enable crimes are no less culpable than those who commit crimes.

The inescapable logic of this condemns the first-causers to be the backbone fuel of the very inferno they so wish to escape with their obsession with ritual piety while Creation burns. If the god whom pious Muslims worship is a rational god, then this must be true – for, only in courageously rising to break the bonds of servitude to fellow man is Islam's "Ashraf-ul-Makhlooq-aat" birth-panged into existence. If however, their god is irrational, as many learned scholars proclaim when they attribute arbitrariness to god's justice due to its self-proclaimed omnipotence, then is such a god anything more than Zeus, the anthropomorphic god of ancient Greece? Why fall in prostration to Zeus 5 times each day?

Even Nuremberg, as fallible as that Military Tribunal was in its administration of 'victor's justice', focussed on the first-cause (self-servingly) ignoring the Allied bombings of civilian population centers and dropping of atomic bombs. Nuremberg called the Nazi aggression the first-cause of war, "the supreme international crime differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole."

When the first-cause is always held more culpable even in our fallible courts on earth, do Muslims think that in the Court of the Most Just the first-cause enablers will get a free-ride?

Many thinking Muslims presume that the god they worship is absolute in its Justice. Otherwise, they feel that the whole notion of Accountability on the Day of Judgment in the Hereafter becomes meaningless gibberish, devoid of substance. Indeed, were that not the case, God's Justice would be reduced to the whimsical moral relativism that is already being thrust upon us in these times as propositioned by a US Supreme Court Justice:

"Nothing is more certain in modern society than the principle that there are no absolutes, that a name, a phrases, a standard has meaning only when associated with the considerations which give birth to nomenclature. To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative." Justice Vinson, U.S. Supreme Court, 1951
Moral codes in Theism are indeed encased in the absolute semantic strait-jacket so feared by all tyrants across space and time and therefore, remain forever under attack and subversion. Islam, like all Theistic religions, has already answered the question of bondage to fellow man in showing the way to its effective severing. It is even part of the cryptic formula, the Kalima, recited by the adherent daily, without evidently understanding any of it: “La ilaha ilallah” (Arabic: لا إله إلا الله) – “there is no god but God”.

Islam's clear prescription of bowing in servitude only to the One God of Truth is completely pre-conditioned upon first breaking the bonds of servitude to all other gods of falsehoods. A simple substitution of “God” with “Truth”, and “god” with “falsehoods” including the worship of “self-interests” and “society's gods”, in the above daily declaration of faith makes the all encompassing import of Kalima self-evident. The logic of that declaration itself mandates this mental substitution in the proclamation of Monotheism in order to prevent it from degenerating into an absurdity. The Holy Qur'an admonishes not to make a mockery of its teaching:

“That this is indeed a Qur'an Most Honourable, In a Book well-guarded, Which none shall touch but those who are clean: A Revelation from the Lord of the Worlds. Is it such a Message that ye would hold in light esteem?” Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Waqia, 56:77-81

And the Holy Qur'an unequivocally equates lies and falsehoods before which one bows, in fear or in expectations, with false gods – as commonsense would dictate:

| Is it a falsehood – gods beside Allah – that ye desire? (Surah As-Saffat 37:86) | أن يقولكم ملكاً نداً دون أن ترتدون |

Islam, for its followers, is anything but an absurdity. They'd sooner die than mock their religion. And yet, their facile understanding of it directly reduces their practice of their lofty proclamation of Monotheism to the absurdity of polytheism. Silence and apathy in the face of the ubiquitous spread of oppression and falsehoods in our time, is akin to directly bowing in servitude before the gods of tyranny. That silence and co-option permits tyranny to spread unchecked becoming its de facto first-cause enabler! Islam calls the allegiance to another superpower, or bowing in servitude before other gods, polytheism. The abode of polytheists, the Holy Qur'an oft proclaims, is Jahanam (the metaphorical abode in the Hereafter where accounts are to be settled for creating, aiding and abetting, the hell on earth).

Q.E.D.

The invitation to break bondage to all false gods and idols is the first Abrahamic creed of Islam. Without it, there is no Islam – only hypocritical pretensions. This Qur'anic similitude was well understood by previous generations of Muslims. This is even evidenced in the twentieth century poet-philosopher of Muslims, “Sir” Muhammad Iqbal's* attempts at liberating the Muslim umma from the shackles of mental servitude. In Zarb-E-Kaleem, “Sir” Allama Iqbal went on to most eloquently explain the meaning of the first sentence of the Kalima: “there is no god but God” (see famous poem below and footnote on “Sir” Allama Iqbal at the end).

But Muslims in our present age of Jahiliya have been deftly indoctrinated into believing that polytheism is only about worshiping the stone statues like the ones which inhabited the Kaaba before the advent of Islam and its latter day variants, both physical and abstract, seen among peoples of many faiths. Such
as, the Holy Trinity of the Christians (the Father, the Son, the Holy Ghost), and the physical representations of the many gods of the Hindus! While loudly decrying those gods of others, Muslims daily reaffirm their own allegiance to all the false gods of pelf and power to advance their own petty livelihood and ephemeral station.

Indeed, most among us bow before empire in full ablution!

“Sir” Allama Iqbal's Poem in Zarb-E-Kaleem explains

“there is no god but God”

خودی کا سر نہاں لا اله الا الله
خودی ہے تیغ، فسال لا اله الا الله
Khudi ka sirr-e-nihaaN La ilaha il Allah
khudi hai tegh-e-fasaaN La ilaha il Allah

The secret of the Self is hid, In words "No god but He alone".
The Self is just a dull-edged sword, "No god but He," the grinding stone.

یہ دور اپنے براہیم کی تلش میں ہے
صنم کدہ ہے جہاں، لا اله الا الله
Yeh daur apne 'Braaheem ki talaash mein hai
Sanam-kadah hai jahaaN La ilaha il Allah

An Abraham by the age is sought To break the idols of this Hall:
The avowal of God's Oneness can Make all these idols headlong fall.

کیا ہے تو نے متاع غرور کا سودا
فریب سود و زیاں، لا اله الا الله
Kiya hai tu ne mataa'-e-gharoor ka sauda
fareb-e-sood-o-ziyaaN ! La ilaha il Allah

A bargain you have struck for goods Of life, a step, that smacks conceit,
All save the Call "No god but He" Is merely fraught with fraud and deceit.
Yeh maal o dawlat-e-dunya, yeh ristha o paivand butaan-e-vehm-o-gumaaN! La ilaha il Allah

The worldly wealth and riches too, Ties of blood and friends a dream
The idols wrought by doubts untrues, All save God's Oneness empty seem.
at all, that is the only logic of justice which falls out. And that logic has been reaffirmed in Surah Al-Asr of the Holy Qur'an, in the second most misunderstood formulaic daily rehearsal by Muslims: “Wa ta wa so bil haq” (Arabic 103:3) – “and those who strive for haq” (see exposition of Surah Al-Asr). What is “haq” but another synonym for truth, justice – the exact antithesis of silent collaboration with tyranny? 2 + 2 still equals only 4, even when the pious might insist upon 5!

It is surely the most ironical of empirical paradoxes that it is not the theists by and large, but the moral atheists who have courageously risen to shoulder that “responsibility for our future”? See Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization for why, contrary to popular belief, even the atheist is not without an inner moral compass (see Bertrand Russell's formulation cited therein). Perhaps the pious living for the Hereafter in obliviousness to the tyranny around them, might strive to learn from the godless to value the here and the now more than Hereafter; to endeavor to make the present less hellish in order to avoid it in the Hereafter; to be more concerned with affairs of the here than of the Hereafter; and in doing so perhaps come to learn the real intent of Theism – “Wa ta wa so bil haq” – from these moral atheists!

It would be a well-deserved divine irony if moral atheists who stood by their fellow man without fear of hell or favor of heaven, come to constitute the largest citizenry of any Heaven if God does turn out to exist! They will end up with the last laugh in either case! That’s all I can say to the pious silent bystanders of modernity prostrating daily in ritual prayers. Once again, poet laureate “Sir” Allama Iqbal*, the Indian Knight of The Round Table of the British Empire, said it a bit more trenchantly in Bang-e-Dara to unveil the secret face of Musalman's Islam (see his famous verse below, perhaps staring at himself in the mirror).

---

**The face of Musalman's Islam – the hypocrite's Islam**

جو مین سر سجده بوا کبھی تو زمین سے آنے لگی صدا
tيرا دل تو پے صنم اشننا، تجهے کیا ملے گا نماز مین

Jo Mein Sar-ba-sajada Hova Kabhi, To Zameen Se Aane Lagi Sada
Tera Dil To Hai Sanam Aashana, Tujhe Kya Milega Namaaz Mein (transliteration)

'Ever I bowed my head in prostration, there arose hue and cry from the ground:

**Thy heart is enamoured by idols, what shalt thou find in prayers?**

(Kalaam-e-Iqbal, Bang-e-Dara. Text from youtube by Syed Akbar Ali Shah, [listen](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5zgYKm2Jn5c))
East or West, theist or atheist, being aware of the real challenges for those who choose to not merely exist in a dream-state, I believe, will prepare one to meet them more effectively. Self awareness however is the key to the awareness of reality. Edward Bernays stated the reality of modern social engineering bluntly in the opening passage of his 1928 book titled Propaganda: “We are governed, our minds are molded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested, largely by men we have never heard of.” The videos cited at the top of this letter empirically show that a formidable totalitarian system is being engineered even as we speak, and we are being convinced to accept it. Why are they succeeding? How are they able to control our perceptions? I am afraid that most Muslims remain unaware of all this concerted social engineering as many continue to sing the empire's 'War on Terror' song against the Islamofascists. Soon, Muslims might be surprised to find their own religion banned in the West and their very identity as Muslim being associated with “terrorism”. In a generation or two, there won't be any overt Muslims. A far cry?

Not if this Opined in Pakistan's Dawn of May 06, 2010 is portentous:

"Hussain immigrated to the United States in 2003 and said his children had once even asked if they could change their names due to the image of their homeland in the wake of the September 11, 2001 attacks.”

The headline screaming in today's Dawn, May 07, 2010, is even more revealing, even if perhaps mainly as Mighty Wurlitzer's psyop to get others to follow suit:

“Pakistanis pose as Indians after NY bomb scare: NEW YORK: Pakistani merchants and job seekers in the United States, still reeling from economic hardship since the Sept. 11 attacks of 2001, are posing as Indians to avoid discrimination in the wake of the Times Square bomb attempt.”

The systematic demonization of Islam and Muslims is being conducted not merely by the vile ignoramuses and the agents provocateurs in burning the Holy Qur'an (see Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation), but officially by the State itself as evidenced in what the FBI is teaching its agents even in the tenth year of 9/11 (see Wired.com “FBI Teaches Agents: 'Mainstream' Muslims Are 'Violent, Radical'” and “7th-Century Simpletons”, September 14, 2011, and July 27, 2011, respectively, cached). And who is teaching this theology to the FBI? See the face of “Jews' Islam” graph below that is being used for training the FBI. The graph is self-evident and speaks to the identity of its authors itself. Mother Jones magazine September/October 2011 issue reports that the FBI has built a massive network of spies to prevent another domestic attack (sic!), “The bureau now maintains a roster of 15,000 spies, some paid as much as $100,000 per case, many of them tasked with infiltrating Muslim communities in the United States.” Teamed up with the University of California-Berkeley's Investigative Reporting Program, the author of that Mother Jones report gallantly asked – perhaps to add a measure of chutzpah after carefully omitting to challenge the core-axiom of the State that 9/11 was the work of Muslim terrorists – “But are they busting terrorist plots—or leading them?”

That Machiavellian trend of calculated lying by way of omissions in respectful looking reportage, backed by academic prestige which retain the core presuppositions of empire necessary to craft the Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent (http://tinyurl.com/Hegelian-Dialectic-Dissent), is ubiquitous. It was brazenly apparent in the May 2011 CAIR report which was co-sponsored by the University of California-Berkeley’s Center for Race and Gender (see CAIR Documenting Islamophobia on the rise in the USA – Calling CAIR to Account for
Its Omissions By Zahir Ebrahim). It was also evident in the followup August 2011 report by a private Washington think-tank called American Progress, gallantly titled “Fear, Inc.” (see Zahir Ebrahim’s response to Fear, Inc. The Roots of the Islamophobia Network in America). Both of these reports respectfully documented the rise of Islamophobia in America. But they also egregiously failed to examine its root cause and motivation in the geopolitical context of the 'War on Terror'.

The concept that this synthetic 'war on terror' is being used as the pretext for ushering in one-world government is completely absent in these (yawn) narratives!

One hopes that one might be forgiven if its authors remind one of the three wise monkeys.

The face of “useful idiot's Islam” – the “dissent Islam”

Perhaps these otherwise brilliant academic pundits are poorly read only in certain impermissible scholarship. Being “innocent of knowledge” does appear to keep them gainfully employed as “useful idiots” in the service of empire. Or, the ever more likely case, the more respectable looking academic scholars and award-winning journalists are the Mighty Wurlitzer's assets. This is not just an opinion but actual public fact of the intelligence apparatus of the United States planting its stooges and assets both in the news media and in the academia. Which is what the term Mighty Wurlitzer means --- please see the Report on Mighty Wurlitzer if one is unfamiliar with the techniques of perception management. Whatever the case, willfully ignorant useful idiots, or vulgar propagandists who lie by omission, these dissent con-artists prima facie convey both, the
empire's opprobrium of “Militant Islam” necessary to lend fuel to its “doctrinal motivation”, and what the empire considers permissible dissent to corral the recalcitrant public mind. Note how dissent is made permissible and why it is necessary in democratic societies which constitutionally permit dissent, for it also sells to the world and to their own public the superiority of Western democracy which looks awfully good in words: By retaining the axiom of “Militant Islam” as a presupposition, while critiquing the reactionary excesses of the superpower in response to 9/11.

That is the standard party line presupposition of all acceptable dissent in the West under its vaunted freedom of speech. It is also the dissent of all house niggers and vassal states in the East. And it is a propaganda lie outright, part of the manufactured Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent, a tune played by the Mighty Wurlitzer to attract and corral the handful of public consciences left in society while retaining the core axioms of empire. You have your cake and can eat it as well. The Hegelian Dialectic of Dissent is a most potent concoction of Machiavelli and its anatomy is carefully dissected from limb to limb and psyop to psyop in the Report on Mighty Wurlitzer. Some of the biggest brand names of dissent are part of this staged Act. See an immediate example of this sophisticated propaganda lie in action – the journalist here is a an award winning former New York Times war correspondent: Zahir Ebrahim's Response to Chris Hedges' amalgam of half-truths 'A Decade After 9/11: We Are What We Loathe' (http://tinyurl.com/Zahir-to-Chris-Hedges-rubbish). More examples are carefully scrutinized and documented for war crimes accounting someday of all vulgar propagandists who play this game of betraying the public trust, in Songbird or Superman – You Decide! (http://tinyurl.com/Songbird-or-Superman-You-Judge).

As reported by Wired on September 14, 2011, an FBI training presentation titled “Militancy Considerations” measures the relationship between piety and violence among the texts of the three Abrahamic faiths [the god's chosen people obviously coming out on top!!!] As time goes on, the followers of the Torah and the Bible move from “violent” to “non-violent.” Not so for devotees of the Koran, whose “moderating process has not happened.” The line representing violent behavior from devout Muslims flatlines and continues outward, from 610 A.D. to 2010. In other words, religious Muslims have been and always will be agents of aggression. Click on the graph to watch the FBI Presentation Video artfully Hijacking Islam. See its full deconstruction in FBI Muslims and Militancy Considerations --- Heads up. (Image source wired.com)

It's a pretty slick game of full spectrum assault on all human senses, cognitive as well as subliminal, which tickle both the primordial fears and anxieties for self-preservation on the one hand, and emotional attachments to the relevant political and religious ideologies on the other for seeking safety. Hollywood has
already interjected that thought of banning the Holy Qur'an into Western consciousness in the movie “V for Vendetta”. Soon – that demand might actually be heard on mainstream television in the many choruses of the Mighty Wurlitzer. It ought not to surprise anyone if Muslim faces are presented as Hegelian counterpoint, demanding a “moderate” Islam instead of banning the Qur'an outright! (See Hijacking the word 'Islam' for Mantra Creation). Muslims have plenty of House Niggers and cultivated agents and assets in the West who will be harvested for this purpose. (See FAQ: What is an Intellectual Negro) Such a demand simply cannot be plausibly made, or effectively implemented, in the East! Judging from the riots that break out on the “mere” cartooning of the Prophet of Islam – O yes, we are surely slated for population reduction, the “useless eaters” of humanity, while we apathetically wait for Allah to change our condition:

“For his sake there are angels following one another, before him and behind him, who guard him by Allah's commandment; surely Allah does not change the condition of a people until they change their own condition; and when Allah intends evil to a people, there is no averting it, and besides Him they have no protector.” (Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-Ra’id, 13:11)

“That which is left you by Allah is best for you, if ye (but) believed! but I am not set over you to keep watch!” (Holy Qur’an, Surah Hud, 11:86)

“Surely We have shown him the way: he may be thankful or unthankful.” (Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-insaan 76:3)

Evidently, depending on whether or not one believes these verses are the God of Islam's categorical admonishment to Muslims on how to conduct their worldly affairs, the God of the Muslims says different from what a lot of Muslims have been led to believe (vicariously and from the pulpits) that Allah chala raha hai (God is running the world)!

If someone were to ask me, I'd suggest that obsessive immoral devils, the Übermensch social Darwinians, are running the world. Because, Allah has unequivocally proffered all human beings to stand up to these devils; to not wait for Allah to change their condition; to manage their own affairs with justice “Wa ta wa so bil haq”, and with perseverence “Wa ta wa so bis sabr”, if their life is not to be a total loss despite all its material as well as “spiritual” advancement. This kind of modern advancement, if it continues, will spell the death knell for mankind as we once knew it as a new totalitarian global police state emerges from the ashes of Muslim dust. The side that belongs to the naturalists, meaning, the predatory social Darwinian side, is thus far winning the battles on all fronts. Both the religion of Islam and Muslims appear to be impediments to its quest for total Secular Humanism. Muslims need to prepare ourselves beyond our present commendable asininity despite it plausibly being a nuisance speed bump to World Order. The religion of Islam can take care of itself. Its Guardian has taken explicit responsibility for it:

- We have, without doubt, sent down the Message; and We will assuredly guard it (from corruption). (Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Hijr 15:9)

But that same Guardian has unequivocally stated time and again as in the aforesaid verses, that He has not taken responsibility for the Muslims. Muslim are not the “god's chosen people” that no matter what they do, they will remain “god's chosen people”, and even surpass god to become god themselves (see “On Jews Becoming the Masters of the World – The Coronation of Hashem from the Torah”).
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Instead, the God of Islam has given mankind a universal prescription plan, as in Surah Al-Asr, leaving it
timelessly up to the believing man and believing woman in every epoch to choose to fill it, or to not fill it. It is
stated pretty categorically. And time invariently --- meaning, the God of Islam swears by the passing time in
the very first verse of Surah Al-Asr, and one undeniable property of time is time invariance. Time only
marches forward, and past time cannot be brought back. It is, in a sense, “lost”. There is an opportunity cost to
not following that prescription is what the Surah is warning mankind in its own unique and incomparable style.
The veracity captured in these words of the Holy Qur'an is beyond doubt. The matter is self-evident even for
those who have no belief in the supernatural or Divine origin of these words. Solon for instance, the ancient
Athenian lawmaker of the Hellenic Civilization a millennium before Islam, asserted similar principles but in a
much reduced ambit. When asked which city he thought was well-governed, Solon stated: “That city where
those who have not been injured take up the cause of one who has, and prosecute the case as earnestly as if
the wrong had been done to themselves.”

It is the Muslim public that needs to defend itself by all means that will be effective as the first victim
of World Order, instead of asininely waiting for Allah, or the Last Days of Gog and Magog and the arrival of
Imam Mahdi in an Eschatology that is as absurd as the Christians'. And for good reason. It is arguably derived
from Pauline Christianity and holy scribes laboring for Muslim empires to get the public mind to accept its
own servitude in the here, for the promise of a better tomorrow in the hereafter. Muslims have been conned by
the Übermensch who have persistently hijacked the pulpit of Islam since its early rise as world power and
world ruling state. They, virtually without exception, singularly abused and distorted 4:59 to achieve that aim.
Muslims today, comfortable resting at the bottomless pit of their trough, are still taught by their intellectuals,
scholars, pulpits, books after books, in poetry, in proverbs and parables, and in their public as well as private
education systems that “Islam's domination” of the world for over 700 years, and its dynastic empires lasting
close to thirteen centuries, is something to gloat over. The most idiotic are even encouraged to dream about
bringing the “good times” back. A pound of flesh has been extracted for the privilege of that has-been gloat.

We have lost the meaning of the religion of Islam; kept the shell and thrown away the fruit.

We have no friends and helpers among the elite, among the pulpits, among the establishments, and
among the seasoned intellectuals. The rest of the world will follow on our heels based on what happens to us.
Unfortunately, we are hoi polloi, the unwashed masses, whose fate routinely hangs in the balance of pawn
sacrifices on the Grand Chessboard. If only we can alter that calculus to the delicate balance of survival
between two scorpions trapped in a bottle. The social Darwinians can never be licked for Übermensch shall
always exist just as they have always existed from time immemorial. At best they can be held in perpetual
check. Since the first order battle that is being waged for World Order by the Übermensch is with the power of
intellect, it is a great equalizer if only we can learn to use it. Its first baby-step is to actually try using it – sort
of like learning to ride a first bicycle; no amount of reading the instruction manual, or getting a college degree,
or a doctorate, or acquiring a high position in the Technetronic society, can create that skill. And it can even be
virtually impossible to acquire in the age of universal deceit when all the forces of social engineering are
arrayed against it. Especially when hear no evil, speak no evil, and see no evil is amply rewarded in both
prestige and pecuniary gain on the one hand, and not being conformant with the mantras du jour is punished on
the other. Minimally, one is socially and professionally outcast, and its fear alone puts one in shackles of
conformity.

So now one understands the challenges on all fronts. The enemies in front and those behind and
sideways. We also understand that we are slowly dying as humanity in a surfeit of deceit for the want of an ounce of mental acuity to understand what is happening to us. The fact that we display a total disdain for any moral courage to revolt against what is happening to us, merely follows in its wake. The fact that we have been house niggers for centuries, far longer than the poor black slaves brought to the shores of America for cotton picking in physical chains, always echoing the core axioms and presuppositions of the ruling class in every epoch, equally follows. Very soon, we shall surpass even the sheep who can never think of revolting against the habit of mutton eating.

Are there any He Mans among the Muslim men and women in the West and the East to rise to these challenges before it is all a fait accompli? It does not need to be many, but a tad more than zero to be effective in becoming the first cause of its butterfly-effect. If we stay at count zero, the superman among us winning our trust will continue to harvest us for fodder. Muslims and non-Muslims alike. It is that other fellow over there today... tomorrow it will be you!

If you got this far, thank you for reading.

– End Letter –

Date of Letter: Friday, May 07, 2010

Updated Friday, April 17, 2015

Footnote: * “Sir” Dr. Allama Muhammad Iqbal, while extolling the virtues of Islam in unsurpassed versification before the subjugated Muslims of the Indo-subcontinent, himself eagerly bowed before the British ruling agendas willingly accepting knighthood for his services rendered to the Crown. See Sacred Cow: Allama Iqbal - marde-momin or superman? (http://tinyurl.com/Allama-Iqbal-ubermensch)
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Axioms of Secular Humanism and Why It Must Lead to Primacy By Definition

Reflections on Axioms, Presuppositions, Faith, Intuition, Reason, Philosophy and their Impact on Epistemology

Uncrapping Epistemology to Cripple Primacy

From the well known Occam's razor principle which is to choose the fewest and simplest possible axioms of faith, beliefs, assumptions, presuppositions to construct the rational scientific method on the anvil of falsifiability, to the belief in supernatural as the unfalsifiable axiom of the immanent psyche that distinguish humans from non spiritual beings, are all presuppositions that are believed to be true but cannot always be proven to be true.

These axioms can potentially only be proved to be false (possibly at some future time).

When that is the case, that an axiom of faith, a presupposition of truth, can eventually be shown to be false, it is called falsifiability. That is the foundation of modern rational epistemology as well as the scientific method --- necessary presuppositions of convenience which can eventually be shown to be false (unless proved to be true along the way when it is no longer considered an axiom but a demonstrable fact). Why is that? Because certain fundamentals cannot always be proved to be true even if they may be strongly believed to be true.

For instance, take the example of geometry that we use in our everyday life, and have been using for over two thousand years. Its principal axiom, parallels lines don't meet at infinity, can never be proved to be true. Because no one can go to infinity and come back to report that they witnessed or measured that yes indeed parallel lines did not even meet at infinity. It can, however, be shown to be false under certain circumstances, such as in relativistic physics, where space-time becomes curved (distorted) due to gravity...
effect (as empirically demonstrated for the General Theory of Relativity during the total solar eclipse of 1919 when the New York Times headlined the confirmation that light, normally observed to only travel in straight lines, can bend: “Lights All Askew In The Heavens – Stars Not Where They Seemed or Were Calculated to be, but Nobody Need Worry”). This effect can cause two parallel lines, one effected by that space-time gravity field, to intersect with the other not effected by the gravity field at some distant point. Thus, the fundamental premise under which the parallel lines axiom of Euclidean geometry works is only when space-time is not under relativistic effect. But that axiom of Euclidean geometry still cannot be proved to be false in non relativistic three dimensional space. It is just assumed to be true without proof and falsifiability, primarily because it is convenient, accords with daily human experience as well as commonsense, and helps formulate as well as solve one, two and three dimensional problems encountered in non relativistic space-time.

When something is assumed to be true without evidentiary proof, what scientists call empirical evidence, it is akin to belief, faith. The entire Euclidean geometry is based on such an axiom of faith.

In the same way, in mental life, we hypothesize beliefs that are immanent and constitute our core beliefs. Some of these, over time, have been shown to be false, in which case we abandoned them (but not easily). Such as belief in lightening / thunder, or the lunar / solar eclipses, or celestial movement of heavenly bodies upon which Zodiacal astrology is based, or the black cat crossing the path, or prescriptive mantras, etc., are related to human affairs and have a major (or minor) impact on its causality (except of course through the placebo effect which is demonstrated to be true and has become integral part of the process of modern medical science in what's called double blind studies). So, these immanent human axioms of personal faith which in the earlier primitive societies governed not just individual human behavior, but also societal collective behavior, have largely been abandoned (with some difficulty for many), with evidentiary demonstration that these personal and societal axioms of faith are false and mere superstitions.

But other personal and societal religious axioms of faith, such as life after death or Afterlife, the Hereafter, or Heaven and Hell, or Day of Judgment, or existence of Angels, cannot ever be proved to be false (nor demonstrated to be true). For no one has returned from the dead to reliably inform us whether they found these to be true or false, and whether or not, as their moment of death approached, they finally witnessed the reality of the long believed mythical Death Angel who came to extract their soul into purgatory. And if someone were to return from the dead and if they did not bring back evidence of what they witnessed with them, how would anyone ever validate / adjudicate upon that personal witnessing, testimony? If multiple people reported the same, perhaps they were all just hallucinating, or perhaps they did indeed meet with the Death Angel and other artifacts of Afterlife that has informed the religions of man from time immemorial. How can anyone else objectively tell the difference however – except, once again, (a) in either choosing to believe them on the basis of their shared beliefs alone, or (b) in rejecting that testimony based on the axiom of materialistic conception of nature that nothing can exist after bodily death (which is technically defined by modern medicine as the measurable ceasing of the brain's electrical activity on the EEG monitor), and thus all such immanent experiences of returning from the dead can at best only be hallucinations due to the mind's temporary catatonic state.

Such axioms of faith that can never be shown to be false, and just believed to be true, are called unfalsifiable axioms. These axioms are also the foundational basis of world religions, specifically those which claim the validity of Divine Revelation. And also those that claim continuity of human existence in global consciousness ala Hinduism, and its variants seen in new age religions including animism (dict: belief in spiritual beings or agencies; the belief that natural objects, natural phenomena, and the universe itself possess
souls; the belief that natural objects have souls that may exist apart from their material bodies; the doctrine that the soul is the principle of life and health) and animatism (dict: the attribution of consciousness to inanimate objects and natural phenomena).

But is Divine Revelation itself an unfalsifiable axiom? That obviously depends on the definition of Divine, which of course must precede addressing the question of Divine Revelation, and that subject is taken up systematically in the next two sections.

How about the existence of consciousness beyond materialism, and its derivative beliefs such as reincarnation, or interconnection to what's termed cosmic consciousness, animism, animatism? Once again, “proof” is usually by way of one's own personal belief system and not by way of the scientific method which obviously cannot be applied directly to what is not material, what cannot be observed by its instruments, and what cannot be measured by its instruments. So, making distinction between say, animatism and Divine Revelation is not permitted by the zealot materialists who tend to lump all non-materialist constructs, whether most ridiculous and absurd, or most profound, into the same “reject” category.

This is exemplary, even the epitome, of the problem of presupposition – axiomatic dogmas crippling epistemology. It leads to the dogmatic denial of that which is even amenable to the scientific method.

The scientific method can perhaps be applied indirectly for ascertaining certain non-material but existential phenomenon that is dogmatically denied by materialist science. For instance, adjudicating on ESP, and its related effects such as telepathy, for instance, observing that dogs know when their owners are coming home, homing pigeons uncannily always know how to return home regardless of how “blinded” they are made in test experiments, birds in flight always know how to change their flight paths in sudden turns in perfect sync without running into each other, identical twins feeling each others feelings and thoughts, the feeling of being stared at by others and turning around to often find them looking at you, etc. These empirical observations of behavior of living beings indicate the presence of some non-materialistic and hitherto unknown telepathic processes and mechanisms in play that are not understood by the materialistic conception of science. I.e., phenomenon demonstrated by living beings which cannot be proved to be false, and is instead observed to be true many a time, begging an explanation beyond the denials offered by the dogmas of orthodox materialist scientists of the Richard Dawkins variety (the Dawkinsian clan, Dawkinsianism). Some intriguing scientific experiments have indeed been devised to demonstrate their existential validity by the rebel extraordinaire, Cambridge University biologist Dr. Rupert Sheldrake (see http://sheldrake.org/), to beggar all materialistic theories of nature to date. William Shakespeare had way too presciently captured the crippling of the dogmatic mind in Hamlet for all times. It is especially pertinent to our own epoch of knowledge explosion which, instead of humility, tends to confer unbounded hubris upon the arrogant mind: “There are more things in heaven and earth, Horatio, Than are dreamt of in your philosophy.”

Based on the above short introduction, it does not take a great deal of intelligence to perceive the impact of dogmas on crippling epistemology when the beliefs or axioms are absurd, rooted in authority figures as their source of truth, or in immanent superstitions of mental life. What we believe to be true and what can be shown to be true are two different matters.

Thus falsifiability has become the corner stone of modern science. The axioms of science are deliberately made falsifiable --- as in the Occam's razor principle --- presuppositions which are initially assumed to be true but which can eventually either be demonstrated to be true (in which case they are no
longer axioms but facts) or proved to be false (in which case they are abandoned), or circumscribed to their applicability limit, as is done in Euclidean geometry for its axiom of parallel lines which are now confined only to non relativistic space-time.

Science dies to reincarnate as religion if, or when, its axioms turn to dogma and become unquestionable, inscrutable, incontrovertible, unfalsifiable. There are several examples of this throughout history down to our own enlightened times: from the earth is the center of the universe dogma of the Church of antiquity to the latter day global warming dogma of the world superstate. While the former was a genuine false belief, the latter is uber Machiavelli driving a political agenda (see http://tinyurl.com/Global-Warming-New-Religion).

Under modern science's materialistic axiom of faith that all existence is material and death of material is death of existence (non animism), the non falsifiable axioms of faith of world religions that are predicated on non materialistic existence, on spiritual transcendence beyond the body, where the material body is seen only as a temporal container, have been denigrated and marginalized as superstitions. All non materialism is treated with equal contempt by latter day materialist reductionists — the absurd belief in a cat crossing the path causing one harm, and belief in God or Divine Revelation, are treated the same! The latter is often dismissed by equating it to the former, and deliberately so by the dogmatic Dawkinsian clan. The same transpires with those who create absurd theologies as the avant-garde in thought like the strawman of animatism (dict: the attribution of consciousness to inanimate objects and natural phenomena), which the Dawkinsian clan is all too happy to equate with belief in God and Divine Revelation. That blind-sight of the Dawkinsian clan is not mere psychological cataract. It is well crafted political theory which underwrites “Will to Power”.

Some in this Dawkinsian clan are surely honest exponents of their own personal Pollyannaish beliefs as they zealously herald the way to Secular Humanism as the next stage of human evolution whereby, human beings, now liberated from the clutches of superstitious theism which has been the leading cause of all misanthropy throughout history (as they argue), make their own lofty declarations of universal human rights and live happily ever after (see http://tinyurl.com/HGWells-Universal-Human-Rights). These well-intentioned useful idiots often see scarcity of resources and terrorism of the pirates as the fundamental problems to be solved by Secular Humanism and the problem of primacy never occurs to their indoctrinated minds — indoctrinated no differently in their new religion than any theist zealot of antiquity (see http://tinyurl.com/Problem-Primacy-not-Scarcity).

While others, cunning predators preying on human instincts, are harvesters of those Pollyannaish beliefs to diabolically foster their own political agendas to achieve their one-world empire. This is no different than how suicide bombers, ardent believers in their own “divine mission”, are diabolically harvested by their terrestrial handlers who create, encourage, train and fund them to pursue their beliefs to the very end for the enticement of heavenly maidens, while actually serving the geopolitical interests of policy-makers upstream (see http://tinyurl.com/Superman-Morality).

This is how “militant Islam” is constructed by the Western hegemons to serve their own political agenda for their Hegelian Dialectic of having an endless enemy to wage endless wars against (see http://tinyurl.com/hijacking-word-islam). This is also how insurgency is fabricated by the state, both domestically as well as in far-away places using the discontent of the local peoples, which often the state is itself the cause of, to justify its own counter-insurgency operations to achieve its political agendas which it
otherwise could not dignify (see http://tinyurl.com/insurgency-counterinsurgency)

And that is the open secret behind promulgating Secular Humanism so freely by the West today --- where its most zealot exponents often find themselves pushing against open doors with sanctuary, prizes, accolades, applause, and career advancement awaiting them to continually tickle their egos. It is the Trojan horse to subvert world religions which the powers that be, see as impediment to the global dystopia they have planned for mankind (see http://tinyurl.com/Islam-vs-Secular-Humanism).

The impact of this axiomatic presupposition of materialistic philosophy upon which the fundamental beliefs and practices of modern political theories, modern science, modern medicine, modern theology are all constructed: what we personally believe, what policies we legislate, what projects we fund, how we manage our collective well-being including healthcare, how we make war and peace, etc., is nothing short of monumental. The consequent of this core materialist belief, which I call the first-cause axiom of modernity, meaning, it is the first-cause, the root-head, the foundational presupposition of modern epistemology that has fashioned the dogmas of modernity, is rapidly leading to the global scientific technetronic dystopia encircling all non-primitive civilizations today. The principal consequences are: (a) **secular naturalism** (how we understand the world), (b) **secular humanism** (how we understand human life), (c) **will to power** (our political theory and the basis of exceptionalism among the self-proclaimed shepherds of human life), and (d) **social Darwinianism** (our social theory and the basis of herding and culling human sheep and “useless eaters”). That is the profound reality of crippled epistemology --- in the hands of the cunning Superman, it leads to humanity's enslavement.

**(a) Secular Naturalism**

This is the dogmatic philosophy of materialist reductionism. It separates physics (the how) from metaphysics (the why), and focuses on discovering the how by reducing all existence into its innate material and physical components.

- It postulates that all natural existence, and all natural phenomenon, from galaxies to quarks, anywhere in the universe, is based on, and governed by, quantifiable and fixed laws of nature which apply universally to these innate material components, whether or not man has discovered all of them as yet.

- These laws of nature are universal and apply equally to all material existence in all frames of references everywhere in the universe, including to man himself (there is nothing out of band about man's existence), and including to that which the mind of man or his instruments can and cannot directly observe or measure but are necessary to hypothesize to explain existence. Such as: dark-matter, fields, waves, fundamental particles, singularities, first-cause of existence such as the big-bang, final-cause of existence such as its natural end-state which, in the Aristotelian thought, used to be the metaphysical or teleological “why”, the purpose of existence, but with the separation of physics from metaphysics in the seventeenth century, is now substituted with what is the “end-state” of existence.

- When material existence ceases to exist in its physical form, that entity which embodied that
physical form ceases to exist completely.

● Material existence has no inherent purpose except to exist by the laws of nature which govern its creation, evolution, functioning, and its end.

● It is meaningless to ask the “why” of material existence which is left to philosophy or religion to answer as it has no place in the laws of nature.

● The empirical methods of science known as the scientific method, are the best approach to understand that “how” of physical existence.

● The focus on understanding physical existence is sufficient to explain all forces of nature and the nature of all existence.

● Nature has no a priori purpose and came about by natural processes that are governed by natural laws, not all of which may be understood or known at any given moment.

● The natural laws are “a-moral” and “secular”, and neither concern themselves to the “why” of existence, nor to the “values” of existence (such as moral law), nor to the “purpose” of existence (such as its goal).

● The philosophy of materialist reductionism denies all existence that is not physical, not governed by the physical laws of nature, including transcendental existence, spiritual existence, and existence outside of its natural materialist manifestation such as the soul and consciousness.

● When the physical body dies it leaves no soul behind. When the physical brain dies it leaves no consciousness behind.

● In the materialist philosophy a man dying and a star exploding are equivalent. They both cease to exist completely after death, apart from the physical residues they each leave behind, the lifeless cadaver and debris-radiation fields respectively, which (obviously) no longer contain the innate characteristic of what existed before death.

These presuppositions and corollaries of materialist reductionism therefore guide the processes of not just the hard sciences, but also all social sciences as well as theology and philosophy, and limit the understanding of existence to the ambit of these presuppositions. To what extent these presuppositions have become dogmas that serve narrow self-interests and political agendas is demonstrated by empiricism. Pursuit of science today is a-moral, its understanding of existence solely materialistic and physical, its mega-funding mainly for primacy and profit imperatives, and its advancements the harbinger of dystopia and seeds of self-destruction. The presupposition of the nature of man being fundamentally a material construct with no spiritual component --- that latter notion being the gratuitous appendage of how societies evolved from its primitive state when such superstitions among all peoples of the ancient world, were necessary to explain not just natural phenomenon, but also to give meaning to life and rationalize away the many inequities besetting man from time immemorial, all of which have now been supplanted by the wisdom of science and the Will to Power --- is the harbinger of hedonism, sense of emptiness, despair, loneliness, isolation, purposelessness. It has led to large prison populations on the one hand, and rising psychological discontents in the general populations on the other. This manifests itself empirically in:
b) rising social dysfunction (such as living in servitude under authority figures as mark of high civilization; increase in dysfunctional families, alienation, social violence, global wealth disparity, unpardonable impoverishment worldwide; lifestyles that encourage self-absorption for the haves while countenancing patience for have-nots whose “death rates must go up” (McNamara, 1970) to curb world population explosion; creation of eugenics international policies (suitably disguised), such as that witnessed in NSSM 200 (Kissinger, 1974) that envisioned food as a weapon to curb global birth rates in least developed nations before it became a threat to the affluent West's national security: “Is the U.S. prepared to accept food rationing to help people who can't/won't control their population growth?”; sky rocketing crime rates in industrialized societies, as witnessed in the West which has some of the highest concentration of prison inmates anywhere in the world, especially in the United States of America which has become the prison capital of the world; recruitment for soldiery among dysfunctional populations, plentiful harvests of economic conscription, both of which lead to war crimes against humanity during field deployment, and PTSD when soldiers return home to feelings of intense isolation, unable to relate to their families, unable to reintegrate, and suffering mental anguish for the inhuman butchery they have committed and witnessed; etc.);

c) rising mental psychoses (such as mental illnesses going through the roof, as seen in increasing big-pharma profits for psychotropic drugs; the inability to appreciate beauty of a lovely sunrise and sunset; etc.); all heralding new discontents in the materialist civilizations.

Cambridge University British biologist Rupert Sheldrake in his iconoclastic book and public talks on this subject variously titled: The Science Delusion – Dispelling the Ten Dogmas of Materialism and Freeing the Spirit of Enquiry, observes of the present state of the materialist axiom of science: “Despite all the achievements of science and technology, materialism is now facing a credibility crunch that was unimaginable in the twentieth century.” “Materialism provided a seemingly simple, straightforward worldview in the late nineteenth century, but twenty-first-century science has left it behind. Its promises have not been fulfilled, and its promissory notes have been devalued by hyperinflation.” The book identifies the following ten dogmas of materialism which have straight-jacketed science, understanding of both the nature of man and the world around him, and which limit its advancement due to the almost church-like orthodoxy that controls the scientific outlook (what's funded, what's published, what's followed-up):

Dogma 1 is the assumption that nature is mechanical, or machine-like, that everything in nature is like a machine. Animals are like machines, plants are like machines and we’re like machines, lumbering robot in Richard Dawkins’ vivid phrase our brains are like genetically programmed computers. So that’s the first assumption, being in science since the 17th century.

Dogma 2 is the assumption that matter is unconscious. The whole universe is made of unconscious matter, all of nature is made of unconscious matter, our bodies are made of
unconscious matter, but for some peculiar reason our brains become conscious and that is one of the big problems in materialist science. Consciousness ought not to exist at all.

- Dogma 3 is the assumption that the laws of nature are fixed, they are the same at the moment of the big bang as they are today and they will be the same forever. (And so they’re constants and that is why they are called constant, things like the speed of light and gravitation are constant.)

- Dogma 4 is the assumption that the total amount of matter and energy is always the same, it all came into being at the big bang, it’s been the same ever since and it will be the same forever.

- Dogma 5 is the assumption that nature is purposeless. There are no purposes in animals or plants or in life as a whole. And the entire evolutionary process has no purpose; it’s just come about by blind chance in the laws of nature.

- Dogma 6 is the assumption that biological inheritance is material, it’s all genetic or epigenetic or possibly inside the epigenetic inheritance, but in any case material.

- Dogma 7 is the assumption that memories are stored as material traces inside the brain. All your memories are inside your head in some way, stored in nerve endings or phosphor related proteins or no one knows quite how, but the assumption is they are all in the brain.

- Dogma 8 is the assumption that your mind is inside your head, it’s an aspect of the activity of the brain.

- Dogma 9 is the assumption that psychic phenomena like telepathy are illusory, they appear to exist, but they are not real. That’s because the mind is inside the head and can’t have any effects at a distance.

- Dogma 10 is the assumption that mechanistic medicine is the only kind that really works. Alternative and complementary therapies may appear to work, but that’s only because people have got better anyway or it’s the placebo effect. And that’s why governments and medical research funding and so on funds only mechanistic medicine based upon the principle of ‘the body is a machine’, working on chemistry and physics, so it can only be treated chemically or physically by drugs or surgery. And of course that is very effective up to a point, but it’s just part of medicine, anyway that’s the assumption. (From transcript of one of Sheldrake’s talks)

Rupert Sheldrake writes in the Introduction of *The Science Delusion*:

“Together, these beliefs make up the philosophy or ideology of materialism, whose central assumption is that everything is essentially material or physical, even minds. This belief-system became dominant within science in the late nineteenth century, and is now taken for granted. Many scientists are unaware that materialism is an assumption: they simply think of it as science, or the scientific view of reality, or the scientific worldview. They are not actually taught about it, or given a chance to discuss it. They absorb it by a kind of intellectual osmosis. In everyday usage, materialism refers to a way of life. In the spirit of radical scepticism, I turn each of these ten doctrines into a question. Entirely new vistas open up when a widely accepted assumption is taken as the beginning of an enquiry, rather than as an
unquestionable truth. For example, the assumption that nature is machine-like or mechanical becomes a question: ‘Is nature mechanical?’ The assumption that matter is unconscious becomes ‘Is matter unconscious?’ And so on.” --- (see http://sheldrake.org/)

The hard reality of the forces behind the mechanistic medicine of Dogma 10, is the total domination of big-pharma in medicine and healthcare industries worldwide. The total orthodoxy of big-pharma's medicine, regulated by the American Drug Trust and owned by the Money Trust, has taken over the world of healthcare to only permit those treatments, fund those research and developments, and pay for those healthcare modalities, from which big-pharma can make big profits (see Medical Monopoly in Eustace Mullins' Murder by Injection, 1988). This medical orthodoxy denies the efficacy of natural medicine and refuses to fund the discovery and development of natural remedies that nature has provided for a song – for there is no profit in it. This medical orthodoxy has taken upon itself to dictate to mankind how they shall heal themselves, and in the process, has become integral part of the military-industrial complex of the Western primacy system to rigidly control mankind. Virtually every discipline of medicine, and virtually every approved treatment of every disease, is based on the dogmas prevalent in that area. And these dogmas limit the treatment options available to the patients in the mainstream of medicine. Heart disease, diabetes, cancer treatment, psychiatry are all driven by dogmas both of big-pharma and the consequence of secular naturalism under which the practitioners of medicine are trained, licensed and regulated (see http://tinyurl.com/Truth-Modern-Medicine).

Arguably, the field most ripe with dogmas is psychiatry. In his 1973 paper published in Science: On Being Sane in Insane Places, Dr. David L. Rosenhan of Stanford University, inquired into the foundational question of psychiatry in his empirical study of American psychiatric hospitals: If sanity and insanity exist, how shall we know them? (see http://bonkersinstitute.org/rosenhan.html) And concluded that psychiatry is rife with dogmas and presuppositions that beggar objective diagnosis:

“It is clear that we cannot distinguish the sane from the insane in psychiatric hospitals. The hospital itself imposes a special environment in which the meaning of behavior can easily be misunderstood. The consequences to patients hospitalized in such an environment -- the powerlessness, depersonalization, segregation, mortification, and self-labeling -- seem undoubtedly counter-therapeutic.”

Today, psychiatry is completely taken over by the neuroscience of managing brain biochemistry with designer psychotropic drugs for virtually every behavioral / psychiatric diagnosis. New mental illnesses are continually defined in the manual of psychiatry called DSM, for which big-pharma continues to design new high margin psychotropic drugs, and which medical professionals continue to prescribe to their patients who are rapidly descending into younger and younger age groups.

Cardiovascular disease has been so taken over by big-pharma for-profit dogma that it must be mentioned here. Coronary Artery Disease, or CAD, directly related to modern food and lifestyle, is the leading heart disease in the world today. Its first-line treatment is to immediately insert stents to open up clogged arteries during the diagnostic process itself, called PCI, Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. The moment someone experiences chest pain or angina, and taken to the hospital, Cath-Lab is the first stop right after the emergency room has stabilized the patient. And invariably high profit margin heart stents are inserted with PCI under dubious (exaggerated) information given to the patients of the efficacy of the procedure. The New York Times reported:
“Every year, more than half a million Americans undergo procedures to have a narrowed coronary artery propped open with a small metal mesh tube, or stent. In an emergency, when someone is having a heart attack, the operation can be lifesaving. But far too often, studies show, stents continue to be implanted in patients who stand to gain little if any benefit. Last month, two of the country’s largest medical organizations identified the procedure commonly used to place a stent — called a percutaneous coronary intervention, or angioplasty — as one of five highly overused medical interventions.”

Cardiovascular surgeon Caldwell B. Esselstyn, Jr., MD, of Cleveland Clinic Wellness Institute, challenged the practice by comparing the present CAD therapies to the dogmas of the nineteenth century: *Is the Present Therapy for Coronary Artery Disease the Radical Mastectomy of the Twenty-First Century?* (see http://dresselstyn.com/Esselstyn_Caldwell_Article.pdf)

Esselstyn began his challenge with the understatement:

“To fully grasp how so many smart, right-minded people could get it so wrong, it might help to start with a quick review of medical history.” And he put his finger on the principal dogma reigning not just in his discipline, but in several other medical disciplines as well: “For the minority of heart patients, specifically those in the midst of heart attacks or acute coronary syndromes, stents or coronary artery bypass may be lifesaving. For the rest, none of the present therapies targets the cause: the Western diet. *As a consequence, the disease marches on in all patients, which leads to more drugs, stents, and bypasses, increasing heart damage, heart failure, and, too often, death, from an essentially benign, food-borne illness.*”

Iconoclast Esselstyn has persisted in challenging the medical dogma prevalent in CAD therapy by presenting original research and scientific data collected over years of following patients that CAD is in fact reversible by nutritional intervention with plant based diet (see: *A Way to reverse CAD?* http://dresselstyn.com/JFP_06307_Article1.pdf).

The dogmas of modern medicine are not merely theological, but designed to make permanent paying customers for big-pharma as part of the modern medical profession. They deliberately limit treatment options for the public by crippling the epistemology under which the medical profession and healthcare providers are trained, function, and offer treatment plans / knowledge to the public.

Is it trivial to undo big-pharma's full spectrum control of medicine and healthcare, to introduce laws to permit natural medicine to co-exist, to fund its research, to modify medical school training curriculum to incorporate its wisdom? To the naïve mind, it appears as simple to initiate as the stroke of a pen!

**(b) Secular Humanism**

Secular Humanism is the outgrowth of the presuppositions of Secular naturalism and deals with the sources of legal and moral codes that govern and direct human beings. This source is exclusively the mind of
man, and not some supernatural, transcendental, spiritual or divine source. In the laws of nature there is no such construct as moral law, legal law, or value system, except that which naturally falls out from evolutionary sociobiology of Darwinism, called social Darwinianism. The first-cause of human existence on earth, like all life on earth, is chance or accident. And social Darwinianism is the only natural behavior as seen in the jungle, and arguably the only natural “value system” if one may call it that, which may be attributed to the laws of nature. Morality is but a subjective value system and all spiritual questions of the “why” of existence are immanent, i.e., philosophical, in the mind of man, entirely abstract, and not part of the laws of nature that govern the physical world. Naturalists therefore treat moral, legal, and philosophical questions that regulate both human behavior and human destiny (i.e., final-cause), as mere utilitarian conventions created by political thinkers and philosophers for inducing social harmony and regulating human behavior.

Secular Humanism is the benign or Pollyannaish version sold by the Übermensch (Nietzschean Superman) to the gullible public to create useful idiots championing its cause. The reality however is what Nietzsche termed “der Wille zur Macht” (the Will to Power). In his final philosophical work published posthumously, Thus Spake Zarathustra, Nietzsche proclaimed: “God is dead.” And he presented the path to man's accelerated social (and biological) evolution through his “Will to Power”. Here we first look at the Pollyannaish version of Secular Humanism and take up the reality version next. The Pollyannaish version of Secular Humanism was described by this author in his 2011 study of hegemony and multiculturalism titled: Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization.

Begin Excerpt

The following Biblical Commandment from antiquity was, and still is, at least in my view, both complete and sufficient for governing the peaceable, equitable, and virtuous conduct of mankind:

“Do unto Others as you have others do unto you.” --- The Bible: Matthew 7:12, Luke 6:31; Old Testament Mosaic Law; Socrates; Confucius; Solon

So, why does mankind need anything more than that one primary fundamental Biblical statement? Indeed, one can easily surmise that all beneficial national constitutions, international and local laws, trade treaties, foreign policies, inter and intra governing principles, and even effective principles for dispute resolutions, are logically derivable from just that one ancient first principle, for a fairly equitable co-existence of mutual benefit for all mankind. There'd be no room for masters and slaves under the corollaries derived from such an egalitarian first principle!

While that universal pithy wisdom is deemed Biblical, I have found evidence of its truism in other antiquity as cited above. For instance, Solon the Athenian law giver, according to Plutarch's Lives, when asked which city he thought was well-governed, said:

“That city where those who have not been injured take up the cause of one who has, and prosecute the case as earnestly as if the wrong had been done to themselves.” --- Solon in Plutarch's Lives

Even beyond divine religion, in the realm of logic and rational empiricism alone, the following operations-research (OR) logical formulation due to Bertrand Russell, a man of considerable beliefs in no religion, is the most commonsensical recipe of governing peaceable human conduct. In my own succinct
rendition, Bertrand Russell's formulation goes something like this (and I am putting it in single quotes to indicate that the formulation belongs to Russell but the words may not all be his):

'Maximize individual happiness while minimizing social conflict for optimizing the overall common-good.' --- Bertrand Russell's prescription to do away with religion as the bearer of moral law, probably in 'Why I am not a Christian' and similar writings

With just a little bit of reflection, one will see that Bertrand Russell captures the beneficial essence of many religions, including Islam, in at least so far as “haquq-al-ibad”, i.e., the rights of man upon man, otherwise known as moral law, are concerned, quite admirably.

By just using rational empathetic logic which hinges on spreading virtue rather than glory, vice, hegemony, and conquest, one can come up with reasonably equitable methods of governing oneself in any age, and among any peoples.

However, the Author of the Holy Qur’an advocating the path of mutual co-existence to mankind through the perfection of its message which it called “Islam”, is just as meaningless as man coming up with his own protocol for mutual co-existence using his own sensible logic and reason, if man is unwilling, or unable, to implement the protocol:

“This day have I perfected your religion for you, completed My favour upon you, and have chosen for you Islam as your religion.” --- Verse fragment from Holy Qur’an 5:3, 632 AD

“Hegemony is as old as mankind.” --- Zbigniew Brzezinski, The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives, 1996 AD, pg. 3. The book's dedication reads: “For my students—to help them shape tomorrow's world”

Thus, if nihilist followers of Zbigniew Brzezinski's predatory foreign policies which predicate upon primacy and its geostrategic imperatives because they believe that “Hegemony is as old as mankind” so why change it, choose sociopathic mass psychology to mobilize the public to villainy and infamy by bequeathing to them only facile worldviews, well, that's not because there is any shortage of great platitudinous recipes in either the divine books of antiquity, or the modern mind of reason as the Deistic philosophers of eighteenth century enlightenment argued (of which Bertrand Russell was the atheist legatee).

That choice, of exercising villainous hegemony, or equity and benevolence, upon the 'untermenschen' is entirely man's of course. The Author of the Holy Qur'an itself asserts that such a choice between life's governing principles is entirely up to mankind in all its diversity of existence, and is neither a monolithic diktat of triumphalism, nor a choiceless matter like being born to one's parents:

“There is no compulsion in religion.” --- Holy Qur’an 2:256

“There surely came over man a period of time when he was a thing not worth mentioning.” --- Holy Qur’an 76:1

“Surely We have created man from a small life-germ uniting (itself): We mean to try him, so We have made him hearing, seeing.” --- Holy Qur’an 76:2

From Secular Humanism To Islam - The Only Solution
The overarching point being, at the risk of being repetitious, whatever the religion, whatever the people, and whatever the culture and geography, man naturally gravitates firstly towards one's own kith and kin, and secondly towards one's own socialization which principally gives birth to one's dominant worldview. It is all but a truism that just as one man's terrorist is another man's freedom fighter, one man's “messiah” is another man's lunatic.

Referring back to Zbigniew Brzezinski's ode to hegemony quoted at the very beginning, the method of circumventing domestic impediments to the “sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power” become empirically self-evident:

“Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. [Because] the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being.” --- Zbigniew Brzezinski, The Grand Chessboard, pgs. 211, 44

Sociopathy of hegemony is the real problem. A problem that is as old as hegemony, as old as mankind. It thrives on the facile mind. Consequently, the sociopaths who often rise to power easily, ensure that the public mind stays facile. Making the public mind is the first art of governance from caliphate to democracy --- for unlike a dictatorship, ruled at the point of the bayonet, caliphate to democracy depend on a measure of consent from the governed. Unless that governance is changed first, until the non sociopaths in society force their way into ruling power to devalue the villainy of the facile mind, all Divine Books will be constricted, “mahjoor” (Holy Qur’an 25:30), and the public mind shall forever remain chained to its unturning neck in Plato's Cave.

End Excerpt (Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization)

The reality is that primacy is a stronger categorical imperative of the sociopathic elites in society than morality which occupies theologians and hoi polloi. Learned people consistently fail to understand this as they variously sublimate the problems of modernity onto theology, religion, overpopulation, resource scarcity, environmental pollution, etc., without realizing that each of those “problems” are Machiavellianly amplified in the narrative space, and concomitantly harvested to drive a predetermined agenda which has nothing to do with the problem itself. For empirical examples of primacy pretexts that cunningly scapegoat and harvest religion, see Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization ( http://tinyurl.com/Islam-Socialization ); that harvest environmental problems, see Global Warming / Climate Change - What's it all About? ( http://tinyurl.com/Global-Warming-A-New-Religion ). The fact that this is openly admitted by the mainstream press that Global Governance is piece-meal enabled by these pretexts (which they call “crises”) which will naturally culminate in one-world government, see Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government' ( http://tinyurl.com/And-now-for-a-world-government ).

Primacy is the first order dilemma plaguing mankind. It cannot be cured with more laws, or morality
transposition, be these from theism, atheism, or secular humanism. Because primacy fundamentally sees itself as amoral; beyond the bounds of the calculus of morality. And this logically follows from the natural Darwinian order, the laws of nature, the survival of the fittest. Primacy has in the past, and will in the future, continue to act upon its own categorical imperatives, while concerned citizens, too naïve to understand primacy, look hither and thither. A recent example of this misdirection is in the Documentary Thrive, for which this scribe penned his vexed vivisection: The Road to No Where: The Journey of Voluntary Servitude (see http://tinyurl.com/Primacy-The-Road-to-No-Where).

The first-cause problem for civilizations, from time immemorial, is primacy of their elite; their drive for a homogenized mono-culture in a one-world empire in our own modernity, only temporarily disguised from the masses under their respective flags. Not the lack of moral codes --- for what can be better than the Golden Rule FOR EVERYONE (unlike the American Constitution and its famous Bill of Rights which apportioned inalienable rights only to those whom the founders considered full human beings of “equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them”, negroes and natives were not included in that august category); nor the misguided question of world religions. A man's religion, a society's preference for its own beliefs, their maddening inertia for reformation, at least in the twenty-first century, ought to be their own business and determined by their own needs and values. But as in the colonial era, this is taken up by the secular humanists as their new white man's burden. It is permitted to thrive under the empire's many tools of primacy. To put down world religions in the name of freedom of speech, is to push on an open door by the useful idiots as far as the empire is concerned --- for they are accomplishing its task for a song; for a mild applause, prizes, career advancement. For the house nigger mentality, it is gratifying just to bring his massa's message to his own people as “he changes from the representative of the Negro to the white man into the white man’s representative to the Negro. The tragedy is that too often he does not recognize what has happened to him.” (see http://tinyurl.com/faq-intellectual-negro).

(c) Will to power

Nietzsche's philosophy and its impact on society is described in this scribe's essay: Morality derived from the Intellect leads to Enslavement! (see http://tinyurl.com/Superman-Morality).

(d) Social Darwinianism

This is the final-cause (end state) of Secular Humanism – When led by its ablest Nietzschean Superman, the creation of dystopia, the rule by force of the elite and the endowed, the culling of “useless eaters”, the survival of the fittest, the genetic design of masses in some scientific caste / functional hierarchy in an highly organized and controlled society. And theism, all world religions, are impediments to Social Darwinianism. This is analyzed in this scribe's open letter to Muslims: Islam vs. Secular Humanism and World Government (see http://tinyurl.com/Islam-vs-Secular-Humanism).

As the direct consequence of the dogma of Secular Naturalism and Nietzschean philosophy of “God is dead”, since there is no absolute moral law any longer, and man's existence is only by chance or by accident
like any other life form, therefore, the fundamental concept of equality among mankind is specious.

Equality no more exists in nature than it does in the jungle. Is wolf equal to sheep? Why should it apply universally to man – there is nothing unique about him except for his intelligence. And that is to be prized, alongside power and might, and including those with special talents and abilities and skills that enrich human life, and of course including cunning and sophistication, all of which determine the survival of the species under the natural law of the jungle, and so it should under the social Darwinian jungle. Thus, some are more equal than others based largely on their power and utility to society. This is expressed from time immemorial in all us vs. them separations, from tribalism to ethnocentrism, sectarianism to religionism, racism to culturalism, and nationalism to patriotism. None in these collectives think the others are deserving of the same rights and privileges, and at their worst moments, during warfare, are inspired by intense hatred and demonization of the other. During peace respites, the other is merely tolerated, either because of their numbers, utility, or power. Today, by human rights conventions on fancy parchments that are only enforced as long as self-interests require that humanitarian facade. All over the world in the twenty-first century, the lesser peoples are bearing the full brunt of this principal axiom of social Darwinianism.

There is no room for altruism except as a public relations scam. There is no room for selflessness except to get simpletons and useful idiots to sacrifice themselves for those with greater cunning. Noble lies govern the behavior of Übermensch to manipulate the public mind in pursuit of their higher goals. Those unable to meet the demands of society, the “useless eaters”, must be weeded out, their breeding curtailed, their populations managed like game on reservation, and the most hardworking among them put to work in the service of the elites with crumbs thrown at their feet to keep them motivated even working harder and longer --- until they fall dead from exhaustion.

Without perceptive understanding of all that which is examined above, and making the observation that what is going on under the very nose of the public with increasing ubiquity, is not too far from what is captured in those passages, the crippled epistemology that the public mind is continually indoctrinated into, regardless of which socioeconomic class it belongs to, leads it to willingly accept the prevalent dogmas under one pretext or another.

The more educated the mind, it is observed, the more years of academic schooling it has gone through, and the more invested it is in its own successes, the more likely it is to live under crippled epistemology without even thinking of questioning it. The public mind, immersed in dogmas from birth, becomes so accustomed to that tortuous state of existence – the state of learned helplessness as psychologists prefer to call it, a state that no rational mind really ought to accept – that it comes to easily accept its servitude to ruling dogmas with as much thought to rebelling as the sheep does against the habit of mutton eating. Crippled epistemology completely determines its attitude and behavior just like the sheepdog and the shepherd's whistle do for the sheep.

The following empirical behavior is described in this scribe's analysis of current affairs titled: Imperial Surrogates and 'Terror Central' in Operation Gladio Redux. It belies all the tall claims of Pollyannaisch pied pipers of all flavors who are as much victims of their own dogmatic presuppositions as the public minds they wish to lead.

Begin Excerpt
George Bernard Shaw, the most insightful playwright that tiny Anglo-Saxon island of worldwide usurpation has ever produced, perceptively observed of its weight in the Preface of his 1921 book of plays, *Back To Methuselah*:

“[The] hard fact being that we must not teach political science or citizenship at school. The schoolmaster who attempted it would soon find himself penniless in the streets without pupils, if not in the dock pleading to a pompously worded indictment for sedition against the exploiters. Our schools teach the morality of feudalism corrupted by commercialism, and hold up the military conqueror, the robber baron, and the profiteer, as models of the illustrious and the successful. In vain do the prophets who see through this imposture preach and teach a better gospel: the individuals whom they convert are doomed to pass away in a few years; and the new generations are dragged back in the schools to the morality of the fifteenth century, and think themselves Liberal when they are defending the ideas of Henry VII, and gentlemanly when they are opposing to them the ideas of Richard III. Thus the educated man is a greater nuisance than the uneducated one: indeed it is the inefficiency and sham of the educational side of our schools (to which, except under compulsion, children would not be sent by their parents at all if they did not act as prisons in which the immature are kept from worrying the mature) that save us from being dashed on the rocks of false doctrine instead of drifting down the midstream of mere ignorance. There is no way out through the schoolmaster.”

In our own 21st century too, as in the century of George Bernard Shaw, our well-intentioned men and women of science, arts and letters, the lauded savants, domain experts and Nobel laureates, all having advanced university degrees with “learned” and “expert” prominently stamped upon their forehead, display barely a nodding acquaintance with the subject of political science; and mostly only with its name. The few who do inevitably go to work for the *Superman* of empire. Their only god has always been power, and *Mephistopheles*, not truth, not compassion, and not concern for the lesser humanity despite oft rehearsed public relations in “humanist” terms. These are the vulgar propagandists, the pied pipers whom the rest of the super-educated *useful idiots* of modernity, the well-intentioned “likhha-parha jahils”, hold sacred as if it was all revealed in the Sinai. Siding with the tales of the emperor is also always “legal” and mostly safe (so long as the emperor remains in power of course), often bringing with it the unbridled opportunities to profit, open doors, entry visas, social standing, the privilege to flatter one's ego, and the gratification to carry the *white man's burden*. All of which easily blur any remaining distinction between ideological mercenaries, and mere pimps and prostitutes, useful idiots, and *Uncle Toms*. Once the false narratives are uttered, it comes to make not even two straws worth of difference who is a propagandist by malevolent creed, who by opportunism, who by ignorance, and who by psychological dispensation.

All these brilliant savants of modernity, both man and *Superman*, the perennial breed in every society who hold the pens, lead its rocket science, and make its public's mind, have been educated to the point that adding two plus two correctly is their most dreaded *pons asinorum*, taxing both their mind and their consciences so feverishly that it is never to be crossed publicly.

George Bernard Shaw couldn't have spoken a more truer half-sentence in his entire half-century of most perceptive and progressive writings than this one: *“Thus the educated man is a greater nuisance than the uneducated one: indeed it is the inefficiency and sham of the educational side of our schools ... that save us*
from being dashed on the rocks of false doctrine instead of drifting down the midstream of mere ignorance.”

The remaining half-sentence this sanguine bedrock of moral sanity left unstated, perhaps only due to some polite consideration for the British empire then on the wane, and not due to being victim of the schoolmasters he lamented: the description of the empirical Superman who already exists. That brilliant Social Darwinian among the Neo-Darwinians, infested with extreme predatory instincts and extreme pathological evil, who replaced God after Nietzsche killed Him in the name of giving birth to the immanent Superman of the future! Instead, Shaw, just as immoderately as the Neo-Darwinians, misattributed the mayhem that he was witnessing in the aftermath of World War I: “At the present moment one half of Europe, having knocked the other half down, is trying to kick it to death, and may succeed: a procedure which is, logically, sound Neo-Darwinism.” to the rule of the infirm: “Government and exploitation become synonymous under such circumstances; and the world is finally ruled by the childish, the brigands, and the blackguards.” (Ibid.) That is perhaps only three-quarters truth, or half-truth, and not the whole truth.

The world was then, as it is today, from behind the scenes of the idiocy of political governments, ruled firmly by the rational and calculated primacy instincts of the most brilliant Superman who continually divine wars, and World Wars, now we are up to World War IV, as the means of crisis creation to piece-meal remake World Order in their own image.

In fact, the educated man controlling the narrative as the avant-garde in intellectual thought, not only remains a greater nuisance than the uneducated one, he also becomes the vile propagandist by adopting silence about truth that is to be protected from the masses. The British novelist and essayist Aldous Huxley most insightfully understood this about distortions fashioned by omissions and its practical utility in influencing public behavior. Huxley observed in the Preface of his 1931 book of fable, Brave New World, which depicted a eugenist dystopia controlled by ubermensch forces from behind the scenes that the rest of society remained unaware of:

'The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals.'

In a talk given to the students at the University of California, Berkeley, on the thirtieth anniversary of the publication of the Brave New World, Aldous Huxley observed of the very real and empirical role of these behind the scenes forces depicted in his fable, in channeling the public mind that is already most carefully primed by Shaw's schoolmaster for celebrating ignorance, into complete voluntary surrender to the Superman:

'You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of
persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.'

We see precisely that reality unfurl today. Shaw's educated childish fools impervious to political science, and brigands and blackguards, controlled by Huxley's oligarchic forces from behind the scenes, attempting to persuade the public mind to accept Alice in Wonderland absurdities as fact.

We even observe how willingly the world public traveling through American airports surrender themselves to grotesque indignities in physical searches to keep them safe from Ali Baba. The only truly global superpower in the history of earth's civilizations, which Zbigniew Brzezinski in his 1996 Mein Kampf, The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives, characterized as: “America is not only the first, as well as the only, truly global superpower, but it is also likely to be the very last.” (pg. 209), has been reduced to a police-state with virtually its own public's consent.

All on the mere fable that Ali Baba wielding some antediluvian and distorted dogmas from the stone-age propagandistically titled “militant Islam”, is a ubiquitous threat to their well-being! Pakistan is daily bombed by drones based on that very same fable. The world is rapidly being reduced to a global police-state based on that same fable.

End Excerpt (Imperial Surrogates and 'Terror Central' in Operation Gladio Redux)

Limits to Knowability – Hard and Soft Limits

So now we arrive at seeking understanding of the limits to knowability of reality. What can't be objectively knowable by any mind, human or alien, is the hard limit. The soft limit to objective knowability is characteristically human and it can only be extended to the degree that a human mind can naturally expand, analyze, scrutinize and synthesize objectively, and overcome its own subjectivity, conformity, and asininity while retaining insight and intuition. There is a hard limit to this that is individual specific and depends on their natural genetic makeup. In the preceding sections we have already seen the many pernicious traps that easily ensnare the human mind into crippled epistemology. The epistemological limit problem was first described by this author in the case study: Why is the Holy Qur’an so easy to hijack? Part-IV.

Begin Excerpt

While natural programming of the human mind may appear to be a fine point to those unfamiliar with the making of the human mind, it is a crucial one nevertheless. Epistemology, how we know what we know, cannot be ignored in any learned scholarship that claims to be in genuine pursuit of “knowing”, the discovery of what is, without imparting any personal coloring of one's own to it. Meaning, keeping the observer and the observed separate and non-influencing, often impossible in social sciences where man is observing his own
species. And of course, also impossible in the Schrödinger's cat physics paradox, of the act of observation itself disturbing the observed, and therefore making it paradoxical to learn what was the state of the observed before one tried observing it! Meaning, if there is a cat in a black box, and the cat is found dead upon opening the box, was it also dead before the box was opened, or was it only found dead upon observation. In the human sense, since the mind that is being used to understand the world, is part of that world itself, there is an automatic self-referential limit to what is objectively knowable. It is the limiting factor of epistemology whereby the judgment of the mind not only colors what is being observed, but is unable to objectively observe itself. It carves a self-limit for discovering what is using the scientific method. Its well-known processes, which basically involve four recursive steps, or stages, any of which may be absent or combined in a given endeavor: (1) theorizing, hypothesizing, modeling; (2) testability (of the model), observability, reproducibility (by others); (3) measurability, quantifiability; and (4) predictability, anticipatability (based on the model); cannot deal with self-reference.

That fundamental limit was discovered/proved by the twentieth century Austrian logician, mathematician and philosopher, Kurt Friedrich Gödel, and has come to be known as Gödel's incompleteness theorem. How far does this fundamental limit extend from its self-referential hard limit clearly depends on the observer. Some minds are more limited in their abilities to be objective than others and hence encounter the limits of knowability sooner than they need to. The ultimate knower of all things therefore, even by its philosophical definition, the one who can transcend this hard limit, is the one outside of the domain of all things. That is the definition of God, both philosophically as well as mathematically. And it is precisely that definition of God that is also categorically expressed in the Holy Qur'an.

Only God can be the Knower of all things. Aleem. It is no surprise that Aleem is among the 99 names of God in the Holy Qur'an, each name expressing a characteristic of God that can only apply to God in its most superlative degree. Which is why postulating the existence of God is so much easier than proving His existence --- the superlative degrees can only apply to the one who is by definition God. Which is why atheism that seeks only empiricism as its standard for argument and falsification falls on its face philosophically. Agnosticism is philosophically far more tenable and even sensible. And the super atheist of the twentieth century, Lord Bertrand Russell, admitted it as such in his debate with a priest in New York in 1948 that was broadcast by the BBC (see The Existence of God – A debate between Bertrand Russell and Father F. C. Copleston, Chapter 13, Why I am not a Christian, Routledge), that atheism cannot be proved or disproved, just as theism cannot be proved or disproved, and therefore they are both similar in terms of having beliefs on either end of the spectrum which cannot be falsified, and consequently the more tenable state is that of agnosticism. While empiricism is neutral towards both if we ignore existence as a self-evident proof in itself, philosophy swings the balance on the side of theism. Atheism is an absurdity of the one-half brained creature quite unlike the logical Mr. Spock who would straightforwardly see the philosophical logic of at least a philosophical God, one who can know all things, one who is not constrained by the material laws of nature and above it by definition. But when laws of nature is made god, then that axiom automatically precludes the existence of a philosophical God, and thus remains crippled philosophically by accepting the limits to knowability. Nothing is knowable outside of the laws of nature [natural secularism], which is limited by empiricism as its defining epistemology.

By definition then, accepting the limits to knowability confines knowability, alongside the imagination to believe that something greater than what's knowable by the mind can exist. If one accepts such limits to existence, one can really not make any sensible or rational statement of what one admits is beyond the realm of
existence, i.e., nothing exists outside of the laws of nature. Thus, atheism remains crippled by absurdity as it ventures to make a negative statement outside the limits of its own self-defined limits to knowability. The atheist dug his own grave by making the laws of nature his supreme god because Gödel's incompleteness theorem provides a hard mathematical limit to perfect knowability, or perhaps better stated, proof of perfect knowability that what is knowable within the laws of nature is both complete and self-consistent. Since there is nothing outside of the laws of nature as the atheist's axiom of faith, his knowledge remains subjected to Gödel's incompleteness theorem. Therefore with his incomplete knowledge, he cannot deny that something does not exist for such an assertion logically requires complete and perfect knowledge in order to provably know what exists and what does not exist. For the theist however, the laws of nature are but a part of creation, like all other creation, even if the former may appear to be mechanistically governing the inner workings of the rest of creation. And thus, philosophically at least, there can exist one who can know beyond the laws of nature by being outside of the creation that is governed by the laws of nature! It violates no principle of logic to imagine it and is self-consistent with its own axiom of faith of theism. Ergo, God! An entity that is not governed by the laws of nature by definition, but who created the laws of nature as God, and transcends His own creation.

To Mr. Spock's fascination, the Holy Qur'an introduced man to just such a philosophically adjudicated God, self-consistent with the mathematical idea that in order to have perfect knowledge of a system, one must exist outside of it, and beyond it, and if one postulates that it is possible to have perfect knowledge of the system that is governed by the laws of nature, then one is also compelled to postulate God who must exist outside of that system. It is only logical. And conversely, in order to deny that God exists, one must also deny that perfect knowledge can exist, and then one is caught in one's own inconsistency trap for one cannot assert something does not exist if one accepts that perfect knowledge does not exist. For only perfect knowledge can provably claim what does and does not exist! Q.E.D.

Atheists who by definition claim absolute knowledge by asserting the negative, die by the hand of reductio ad absurdum. Which is why Bertrand Russell, as the philosopher-mathematician who understood logic, was way smarter than his modern progeny to quickly squirrel out of that charge of atheism by claiming agnosticism. And he did so in the very second sentence uttered by him in that debate with Father F. C. Copleston! For the sensible types who accept hard limits to knowledge amenable to both logic and the human mind and who don't make absurd claims beyond its logical purview, there is natural limits to perfect knowing. This has direct implications for epistemology and assertive axioms of faith which are its consequent; statements that cannot be proved to be true and are simply assumed to be true by faith alone because they might appear sensible, obvious, appeal to the heart or mind, or for convenience. The entire Euclidean Geometry is built upon such an axiom of faith for instance, that parallel lines don't meet at infinity! No one can prove this axiom to be true but it is both convenient and sensible under the assumption of non-relativistic physics in everyday existence.

Now that we better understand the unconquerable hard limits to knowing, to objective study, to absolute knowledge, that man is not God, and also understand the role of axioms in epistemology, it is easier to accept even the softer but somewhat more conquerable limits to knowing that are the consequent of our very nature of being a socialized species which defines our worldview from birth. It outlines and confines our “system” of existence so to speak. This human system has its own set of axioms, its presuppositions of faith, values, and beliefs that become ingrained into cultures and civilizations and which are taught to its every new generation born as “truths”. This natural human process of socialization and cultural memory creates a self-perpetuating
system of subjectivity, and of myths that come to govern even the minutest details of daily lives spanning the
gamut of existence from behavior to beliefs.

Even if there was no deliberate social engineering to make the public mind in calculated directions, the
nature of human societies by definition creates social control that is beholden not always to a group of people,
but to shared memory, shared habits, shared ethos, all of which drive the social norms and values, and
consequently both individual and collective behavior. In other words, to be part of society is to be part of some
behavior and belief control system by definition. To get an accurate and more objective knowledge of our own
“system”, we have to extract ourselves from the confines of our worldviews and baseless presuppositions, and
rise above them. The truth of this statement is most assuredly beyond doubt. It is in fact self-evident. No
reasonable person can deny its commonsense even from their own daily experience of life. The uncomfortable
fact that the subconscious human tendency towards a priori conclusions and predisposition, despite all earnest
protestations of due diligence in having no presuppositions, appears to be the inherent nature of socialization
bias, and of the subjectivity therein, and of the religiosity and self-righteousness conferred to one's socialized
perspective, makes it hard to transcend our ingrained worldviews. Recall from the text in Part-II that the left
and right half brains are abstractions of the logic and intuition functions of the mind loosely mapped to the
brain geography and not necessarily a hard physical demarcation. Logic and rational reasoning abilities of the
IQ (Intelligence Quotient) dominated left-half brain is quite unable to penetrate that socialization shield of soft
bias subconsciously built up by the EQ (Emotional Quotient) dominated right-half brain. The latter evidently
cocoons, or at least interferes with, the left-half's logic function of the mind in as yet unquantifiable but still
visibly undeniable ways.

This visibility of their being separate functional entities that directly affect the understanding of reality
is easily seen in the marked contrast between the characters of Mr. Spock and Captain Kirk in the Star Trek
fable explored in Part-II. It is mentioned here only as a reminder of the full context of how the non-logic
subjective mind can both help and hinder the objective logic mind. The only effective antidote to overcome the
hindrance aspect which cripples human epistemology and the consequent understanding of reality, is
increasing self-awareness. One must rationally attempt to compensate for all the accumulated filters of years of
socialization biases by new cognitive filters that can negate their distortion effects of subjectivity. Know thy
self to know the world! In electrical engineering parlance, it's like having compensation filters in the signal
processing path to improve its signal to noise ratio – an analogy more apt for social sciences than may first be
apparent to the un-initiated. Think of tuning an AM radio signal. It uses a tuned LC circuit to reject the noise
and extract and amplify the broadcast signal. Un crippled epistemology in the social sciences as well as in
physical sciences that purports to understand and know reality the way it is, shares this common characteristic
--- the requirement to remove the layers of noise first in order to even receive the signal. Its accurate detection,
extracion, decoding, verification of correct decoding, and making sense comes much later. History is exactly
like that --- wrapped in accumulated layers of generations of socialized noise and willful as well as
subconscious self-interests. The narratives that survive do so either by rulers' sanctions, or by oral history that
is passed from generation to generation until it gets penned when the new rulers permit it. What is the signal?
It needs that basic AM radio tuned circuit abstraction for detection, extraction, and making sense!

This is perhaps why the Holy Qur'an, while accepting socialization as a human fact of God's own
Creation, has also laid such categorical emphasis on striving for “haq” (knowing reality, truth, justice, calling a
spade a spade even against one's own self) under all conditions, for everyone among mankind, whereby,
striving for overcoming one's “nafs”, the personal inclination and whim due to natural bent of mind, proclivity,
socialization, predisposition, self-interests, and desires and fears (both conscious and subconscious), is termed the greater jihad and is made a hard co-requisite to the reflective study of the Holy Qur'an (for instance see Surah Al-Waqia, 56:78-79: “In a Book well-guarded, Which none shall touch but those who are clean (purified”).

This is also why the sensible first order model of a cryptogram ciphertext from which the plaintext message needs to be accurately extracted, with graduated access control to its meaning based on shedding all biases as precondition, developed in this study is the most apt model for logically deciphering the message contained in this most unique Book of all books. Without this perceptive model that lends some measure of objectivity to the study of the Holy Qur'an, socialization bias virtually determines its entire meaning for both an individual and his society. That exercise of socialization, for the lack of a more sanitizing description, lays the first foundation of indoctrinating systems to control public behavior. For religion to have any philosophical significance beyond man-made as a method of social control, and beyond personal as a method of self-catharsis and self-gratification, meaning, for religion to be viewed as being of Divine origin and Divine purpose as the Divine Guidance from a Transcendental Source rather than of human origin, accurately deciphering its specification irrespective of the observer, mandates such a rational model for understanding it.

The fact that virtually zero understanding of this aspect of social science is betrayed by any notable Muslim scholar that has passed by this scribe's slovenly gaze over the years of his study, bespeaks of the moribund state of intellectual thought in Muslim scholarship which has progressively only degenerated into incestuously self-reinforced dogmas and doctrines that find scant support in the Determinates of the Holy Qur'an.

The proof of that pudding is in its eating. It is self-evident by just looking at the state of Muslims and at the state of the enemies of Muslims – both are driven almost exclusively by their respective socialized predisposition instead of what the Good Book itself says. The same text is interpreted by them based on their own narrow socialization bias when subconscious, and pathetic self-interests when conscious. The staunchest enemies of the Muslims, the Jews, are driven exclusively by their blind hatred of Prophet Muhammad and Islam, just as they are driven by their blind hatred of Christ and Christianity – although the two are today cozy bed fellows of strange mutual convenience with the Jew wagging the goy in their combined onslaught against Islam and Muslims – and both enemies of Muslims exaggerate and amplify their hatred along their respective narrow socialization biases in about the same measure as the Shia and Sunni Muslims are each driven by their blind love of Prophet Muhammad and Islam, while differing in their respective understanding exactly along their own narrow socialization biases. Qualitatively, to the observant student of sociology at least, one who has succeeded in distancing himself to some measure from what he is observing, these are different manifestations of the same primary phenomena: socialization under crippled epistemology. It yields a plentiful harvest of useful idiots for Machiavelli and Übermensch.

The Case Study in Mantra Creation in the report on The Mighty Wurlitzer explains how the socialization biases and cultural memories of the unwary public are cunningly harvested for their own perception management. Specific attention is paid to the works of Edward Bernays and political psychologists referenced therein --- a social science field that appears to be entirely foreign to the Muslim intellect. That unsophisticated public mind, Muslim and non Muslim alike, is easy picking for the diabolical Western hegemons who have today penetrated not just psychology and behavior control, but are rapidly moving towards full spectrum human control. See Zbigniew Brzezinski's presaging in Between Two Ages, Aldous Huxley's dystopic fable: Brave New World, and Aldous Huxley's talk at the University of California, Berkeley,
titled The Ultimate Revolution, March 20, 1962, all fully referenced and examined in The Mighty Wurlitzer, ibid., to realize how little independence of thought even an intellectual really exercises upon his own mind today.

The trifecta of the forces of nature, nurture, and perception managers all conspire to extract obedience and conformity from the human mind. The truly independent mind may exist only in philosophy, in fables, and as an abstraction. It arguably cannot exist in socialized man. Especially when he is compelled to “United We Stand”. Self-serving forces of co-option and cognitive dissonance ensure that outcome, often subconsciously when one is not an outright mercenary or superman. This complex reality directly colors the acquisition of knowledge, and the subsequent expression of knowledge. Especially for studying the untermensch, the lesser peoples, meaning others different from us, their belief systems, their value systems, their histories, their literatures, and their civilizations whence one man's treasures become another man's trash.

A telling quote from Lord Thomas Babington Macaulay of the British Empire, speaking to the British Parliament to redefine the Indian subcontinent's education policy under British colonial rule, captures the veritable truth of these words which have universal import for the pursuit of all social sciences:

“I have no knowledge of either Sanscrit or Arabic. But I have done what I could to form a correct estimate of their value. I have read translations of the most celebrated Arabic and Sanscrit works. I have conversed, both here and at home, with men distinguished by their proficiency in the Eastern tongues. I am quite ready to take the oriental learning at the valuation of the orientalists themselves. I have never found one among them who could deny that a single shelf of a good European library was worth the whole native literature of India and Arabia. The intrinsic superiority of the Western literature is indeed fully admitted by those members of the committee who support the oriental plan of education.” --- Minute on Indian Education, Minute by the Hon'ble T. B. Macaulay, dated the 2nd February 1835

While the aforementioned example is one of shocking denigration from a colonizing power flushed with the hubris of imperialism and suffering from the superiority complex of all conquerors, the same qualitatively applies in converse as well, when one is hagiographically studying one's own civilization, literature, history, or religion, and gloats as Macaulay does in the above example. Also when one is suffering from an abject inferiority complex as the colonized and enslaved people and studying the ruling class whereby everything that is one's own is deemed inferior and unworthy. It is often accompanied by a mad rush to adopt everything foreign, from ideas, language, and solutions to objects, lifestyles, and amenities.

The first step towards objectivity therefore, on any subject, is none other than becoming aware of one's own innate subjectivity, and its immersion in crippled epistemology, and confronting it head on. Everything else just follows from it.

No sensible person can deny the truth of these words for the matter is self-evident. Except perhaps when applying to one self. This scribe has yet to meet a person, from the man of cloth to the man of science, arts, humanities, or letters, who believes he is anything but objective! That is the tragedy of man from time immemorial; living and dying self-righteously off of a crippled epistemology! Which is why this scribe calls this age the Age of Jahiliya. It is an age from which self-awareness has been most cunningly stripped off and substituted with, as Zbigniew Brzezinski put it in Between Two Ages, “narrow-gauged specialists or superficial generalists”. This makes for a perfect golden age for the Machiavellian scientific controllers behind
the scenes as depicted in Plato's Allegory of the Cave. The age, and the methods of human behavior control in that age, go hand in hand:

“In the technetronic society the trend seems to be toward aggregating the individual support of millions of unorganized citizens, who are easily within the reach of magnetic and attractive personalities, and **effectively exploiting the latest communication techniques to manipulate emotions and control reason.**

Reliance on television—and hence the tendency to replace language with imagery, which is international rather than national, and to include war coverage or scenes of hunger in places as distant as, for example, India—creates a somewhat more cosmopolitan, though highly impressionistic, involvement in global affairs.”  --- Zbigniew Brzezinski, Between Two Ages, 1970, pg. 11

The possibilities of scientific human control in the technetronic society is also examined in great depth in Bertrand Russell's Impact of Science on Society, 1952, where the British Fabian philosopher of the oligarchic ruling class made the argument for absolute control of the masses finally being made possible in the modern scientific era. It was the same wine in a new bottle which was corked by Zbigniew Brzezinski for the same oligarchy in Between Two Ages some two decades later. The British philosopher observed that global scientific control in a world police-state is the only effective way for a stable society to exist in which all the undesirable useless eaters have been population controlled like game on a natural preserve, and the preferred races, mainly the European white man, given unlimited liberty to procreate their superior progeny at will. Russell's purpose being the same as Brzezinski's, Huxley's, Wells', and many others going all the way back to Plato. While the latter was warning the public against the Übermensch social engineers with the best of intent to have noble men become their wise shepherd as the philosopher-king, others arguably presaged the techniques of mind manipulation and behavior control as a self-serving self-fulfilling prophecy for the Social Darwinian Übermensch continuing as their natural shepherd in the scientific era just as he has been from time immemorial with more primitive techniques:

“There is, it must be confessed, a psychological difficulty about a single world government. The chief source of social cohesion in the past, I repeat, has been war: the passions that inspire feeling of unity are hate and fear. These depend upon the existence of an enemy, actual or potential. It seems to follow that a world government could only be kept in being by force, not by the spontaneous loyalty that now inspires a nation at war.”  --- Bertrand Russell, Impact of Science on Society, 1952, Chapter 2, General Effects of Scientific Technique, pg 37

As one can easily see, these dystopic forces of social engineering have direct implications for the creation, promulgation and acquisition of knowledge; for both suppression of accurate knowledge, and for making it difficult to acquire the pertinent facts and analysis in a timely manner when its widespread public disclosure can prevent a fait accompli. Control of knowledge, of reporting of events of history and current affairs, and of the perceptive understanding of these matters, is the cornerstone of controlling humanity. Control, control, control, is the mantra of the superman in every era --- Why? Because he claims to know best because of his higher intelligence, greater wealth, or the privilege of being closer to God, if not god himself. Aldous Huxley warned of the grotesque reality of that style of social control for inducing voluntary servitude, and the arrival of the scientific era which is enabling this **brave new world** of engineered social control at an
accelerated pace. Huxley called it the era of the Ultimate Revolution in social control, an era in which people can be made to love their servitude:

'You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them.

Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude!

This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.' --- Aldous Huxley, The Ultimate Revolution, speech at the University of California, Berkeley, March 20, 1962, minute 04:06

Overcoming such dystopic forces of social engineering requires overcoming the reality captured by Brzezinski, of the macro economics of nations and the rapid pace of scientific development fashioning “narrow-gauged specialists or superficial generalists” who are content to labor hard all day long, and loving it.

This counter exercise to perverse social control requires a great deal of societal transformation in who wields its power, an exercise which is nothing short of revolutionary, the least of which, to begin its public demand, is the public:

— acquiring a perceptive understanding of power and its role in the making of the human mind;
— acquiring wherewithal of social forces by not merely training to become blind-folded economic widgets chasing the “American Dream”;
— acquiring knowledge that leads to better understanding of reality and the forces that have shaped it, and continue to shape it;
— and consequently, requiring the expenditure of a great deal of mental and physical personal energy despite the needs of the stomach and career and for which there may not be any immediately gratifying pot of gold waiting at the end of the rainbow.

A tall order to think important, let alone to pursue, in an age that is by design engineered to fashion only “a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long”:

'The economic well-being of the nation depends on the presence of a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long. Because men are naturally lazy they will not work unless forced by necessity to do so.' --- Bernard de Mandeville, The Fable of the Bees, 1705

These are all the very real forces behind the man-made soft limits to knowledge, difficult to overcome, but not impossible to overcome. Nevertheless, it is also not so straightforward to overcome either because in the age of universal deceit, to discover the truth is a revolutionary act!! The levels of co-option hiding in the
dark recesses of the human mind, and in the human stomach, are not separated from the pursuit of this revolutionary act. And it all hinges upon the Qur'anic prescription of “jihad-un-nafs” – waging an epic battle against the self to extract oneself from the throes of crippled epistemology including self-deceit and self-interest – the first principle from which all truth shines through its protective layers.

Now we understand the full dimensions of the many impediments to both acquiring knowledge of reality the way it actually is, past and present, and using that knowledge productively rather than just for amusing ourselves when we do dare to seek it forthrightly.

End Excerpt (Why is the Holy Qur'an so easy to hijack? Part-IV)

Philosophical God vs. Religion's God

As uncovered in the preceding section, the forensic attitude of a reasonable rational mind (as opposed to the dogmatic mind of the Richard Dawkins variety, the Dawkinsian clan) towards epistemology, has quite logically led to the believable hypothesis of a philosophical God. The same attitude can also help answer the age old question of whether or not Divine Revelation exists, or can exist, or is it merely figment of prophetic imagination, its originating source being the mind itself and which cannot exist from external transcendental source.

The Deist philosophers, after the compromise of separation of Church and Science in the seventeenth century – whereby the Church agreed to not interfere in the purview of science if men of science stopped making claims in the purview of the men of Church – had stopped at the threshold of philosophical God. The deists believed that there is a Creator of the Universe, the God of Nature, but did not believe in metaphysical notions of God of Nature being involved in the affairs of man, including through Divine Revelation; metaphysics was the Church's purview. It is said by historians that the founders of the New World, the United States of America, were deists in their almost secular theological dispensation. Which is why the Declaration of Independence signed by them references in the first opening sentence, both “Laws of Nature and of Nature's God”, and not religion's God, not even Christianity's, despite their being of that cultural background --- the founders evidently had supped enough from the gods of religion from which they were declaring their final separation:

“When in the Course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another, and to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them, a decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the separation.”

As we have already gleaned from the discussion of Secular Naturalism, and we shall revisit it again in this section later when the need arises, the founders crafted their notion of “equal station” in their New World in full accordance with the “Laws of Nature”. The New World was to be a safe haven for men of all religions, and no religion, but mainly the persecuted colonists escaping Europe, many of whom were iconoclasts of their time, and not the indigenous peoples and Negro slaves the colonists had brought to the New World to power
their cotton industry. For those of “equal station” however, it was not to be the business of the new state to dictate in matters of faith; and thus came the separation of Church and State by appeal to the God of Nature, and not God of Religion!

That separation, which had come on the heels of the separation of Church and Science in Europe, was due to a political dispensation learnt from the experiences of the Dark Ages that had engulfed the European continent under the divine power of the Church, and against which polymaths of reason and philosophy had rebelled; and not because the men of science had discovered that the nature of the universe or the laws of nature itself called for that separation.

Not sufficient was known about the laws of nature then, nor is it known now, to dogmatically declare that everything is understood about how the universe works, and its basis is entirely materialism. That forced separation of convenience however, led to making the gratuitous presupposition which subsequently became codified in the epistemology of science as well as the philosophy of science, that only materialistic existence was real, the rest was the business of the Church. Only materialistic Nature and its physicality could be reasoned about, observed, measured, quantified, theorized, and hypotheses confirmed or refuted in experimentation and not just by philosophical arguments. The Greek philosophers had been notorious in their lack of experimentation; they had concentrated mainly on philosophical reasoning and logic as their principal method of understanding reality. Empiricism obviously bettered that method.

Thus modern science and its empirical scientific method was born; the inheritor of both the Hellenic civilization of antiquity, and Muslim civilization that had re-lighted and passed on the Hellenic torch of learning to the new West to spur its Renaissance. Its principal axiom however was still the dogmatic separation that Church and Science had agreed upon under duress from each other, and which removed from the ambit of science not just all non physicality, but also all metaphysical and teleological questions (along with the superstitious nonsense of course): the Aristotelian final-cause.

Materialist conception of Nature, the only philosophy of science acceptable to the Church fearing their own loss of power and reluctantly agreeing to grant concession to the primacy of science as the way to understand the physical world, became purposeless once the metaphysical domain was ab initio removed from the purview of science. Only Church could seek to answer the “why” questions, not science, and only Church could opine on the non-material aspects of the universe. That reactionary legacy of compromise with the powerful Church which continued to hound iconoclastic men of reason in the seventeenth through the nineteenth centuries despite the half way to three-quarter way into the Renaissance spur, continues to dog the fundamental paradigm of science to this very day in the twenty-first century. Unfortunately, it is no longer remembered by the Young Naturalist scientists and philosophers how we got here since the axioms of separation due to the seventeenth century dysfunction have become sanctified into sacred “truths” of modern science.

With that brief overview of how we got to the modern sacred dogmas of both materialist reason and materialist science, the combined contribution of deists and atheists who desired separation from the dogmaticism of the Church, we return to the foundational question that divides theism from atheism. If the philosophical God is logical, why isn't Divine Revelation? While the former is abstract, the latter is concrete --- for it is a claim made by existent Scripture(s) that can now be falsified. The preceding section enables us to get a more objective (and less dogmatic) handle on this question than is possible without the perceptive understanding of epistemology and how its presuppositions determine process outcomes. Now, the source
which makes the claim to Divine Origin, whether a Book or claim to Prophethood, instead of outright rejection based on the materialistic dogma, can be put under objective forensic scrutiny to decipher what precisely is it saying in its core guidance principles and does its religion fit the philosophical God; independent of the observer making the scrutiny, and using only philosophical truths as the first order criterion for adjudication.

Let's quickly review how we arrived at the philosophical God in the preceding section before we delve into the question of Divine Revelation. It is, after all, an intense dose of high potency intellectual vitamins and reminding ourselves of its logic is necessary for full absorption. In order to accept or reject the reasoning, one must be clear as to what precisely it is. If we have understood the concept that Divine Revelation implies a Transcendental Source, let's just abstractly call that God for the lack of a more familiar term, then we can look for evidence in the Prophetic Text of God being its Author, or man being its author, to confirm or deny that hypothesis of Divine Revelation by first understanding what is meant by that word God. We have already seen the philosophical God arising both mathematically and philosophically in the above discussion --- as the consequence of Gödel's incompleteness theorem. An entity that must be above all else in order to have complete and self-consistent knowledge of that below it (mathematical necessity); the Creator of all things except itself, not bound by the laws that govern its creations and consequently having perfect knowledge of its creations that is both complete and self-consistent; it itself being complete and self-consistent (philosophical necessity because there is nothing else above it and the premise of perfect knowledge demands that it terminate on God) with perfect knowledge of itself as well all its creations. This is philosophical God.

If we accept that philosophical definition of God on the premise that perfect knowledge can exist, and we have seen in the preceding discussion that it can only exist in the philosophical God as the consequence of Gödel's incompleteness theorem, then we have the opportunity to examine the hypothesis of Divine Revelation and compare against the definition of philosophical God. By that philosophical definition, God cannot Author a Divine Guidance for its creation, namely, human beings, that is inconsistent, or incomplete for the purpose that it is created, especially when it itself claims to be both perfect and complete Guidance as the Holy Qur'an does, the only Divine Text in existence today that claims to be the direct Words of God (and not merely “inspired words” as claimed for the New Testament of the Bible by its adherents): “This day have I perfected for you your religion and completed My favor on you and chosen for you Islam as a religion;” (verse 5:3). Or, obviously, the hypothesis of it being from the philosophical God is naturally falsified. In the case of the religion of Islam, this falsification criterion is the strongest among all contenders to Divine Revelation because of this categorical claim made by its Scripture, the Holy Qur'an. Other scriptures can also be falsified on the same basis even though none of them claim to be the direct word of God. But their absolutist principles are deemed to be from God and can thus stand falsification.

It cannot be the philosophical God's work if it hides fallacies, absurdities, inconsistencies (due to self-consistency requirement of perfect knowledge), or is incomplete (due to completeness requirement of perfect knowledge), or is inaccurate (due to perfection requirement of perfect knowledge). This is a rational and fairly objective logical criterion for falsifying the hypothesis of the philosophical God being the Author of any claim to Divine Revelation.

And any God that is less than the philosophical God, cannot really be God – the Creator of all Existence in Nature and Itself beyond it.

Hence all conceptions of God must minimally satisfy the philosophical God condition that is the direct consequence of Gödel's incompleteness theorem. And the philosophical idea of what that entity would be if it
had complete and self-consistent knowledge of the highest order system, namely that of all existence in nature. Other attributes that are generally applied to God are religion specific and nothing to do with the philosophical God. These include beliefs about God such as God being Most Beneficent, Most Merciful, Most Just, etc. etc. etc. Religion and its scripture give these attributes to God and these are unfalsifiable beliefs. When one accepts a religion on faith, one also accepts these attributes of God on faith, just like one accepts Afterlife, Day of Judgment, Heaven and Hell, etc. Thus, while Muslims believe in Islam as the Divine Revelation and its conception of God includes those aforementioned attributes and beliefs, the ancient Greeks accepted the plethora of their own mythological gods like Zeus et al. who, as their mythologies depicted, were unjust, fickle minded, selfish, jealous, tempestuous, and played their heavenly power-games amongst each other using the earthly humans as their proxies. If a divine scripture is claimed to be Divine Revelation from God, the Word of God (or the underlying principles being from God), then it must minimally satisfy the condition of the philosophical God, complete and self-consistent, or the hypothesis is trivially falsified.

This is of course only the rational and reasonable Rejection Criterion for the divine hypothesis. The question however remains: is it also a rational and reasonable Acceptance Criterion when the hypothesis cannot be falsified by the Rejection Criterion?

For even the most objective human mind --- that latter question must still ultimately reduce to the response from the non-quantifiable capacity of his right half brain, intuition and insight, after the left half brain has done its job of filtering out the chaff from the wheat in accordance with its logic and reasoning capacity. This is a rational utilization of the whole mind whereby both reason and intuition are permitted to play their symbiotic role to reach a human conclusion (as opposed to solely the materialist's conception of reason that denies intuition as a valid source of understanding reality unless it can be reduced to some kind of empirical physicality). It is also why, although belief in a philosophical God is based entirely on reasonable exercise of logic and reason, belief in a religion, usually the one in which one is socialized, is often based on emotional and spiritual exercise, its appeal to the heart --- like falling in love. The distinction among emotional attachments due to 1) socialization (or indoctrination) vs 2) emotional attachment due to spiritual enlightenment and faith (including love) vs 3) emotional attachment due to capacity to appreciate what cannot be captured in materialistic and Darwinian philosophies such as appreciation of beauty (and all that which it synthesizes such as beautiful music, art, poetry), appreciation of the profound (and all that which it synthesizes such as philosophy, theology, spirituality), etc., cannot be easily made. It is also not clear cut between the preceding three cases of belief through exercising the predominant right-half non-logical intuitive mind and 4), that egalitarian condition of the rational human mind in which the left-half logic mind has reasoned through the Rejection Criterion and not rejected it, and intuition / faith of the right-half mind have made the final judgment call on the Acceptance Criterion and accepted the exact same belief.

But observe that in this latter case, faith in a non materialist belief / hypothesis / non-physicality is not irrational nor whimsical because reason and philosophy, the best tools for separating chaff from wheat available to the rational mind, have exhausted their purview and if not accepted the proposition by weight of evidence, have also not been able to reject it as an absurdity.

For what is obviously beyond the bounds of physical materialism and thus beyond the purview of the scientific method, employing reason and self-evident philosophical truths is the rational approach of a non-dogmatic intellect; one not plagued by materialist presumptions of reductionist empiricism being the sole determinant of all existence. It is because of this lack of wisdom and dogged unreasonableness that all non-physicality appear equally specious in materialism's reductionist dogma which fail to distinguish among them
(but its subscribers too, when it suits them, also go by faith or trust in authority figures without any empirical evidence, as the scientific world did when none rejected Nobel laureate physicist Richard Feynman's word for his own *Out of Body Experiences* in a sensory deprivation tank as valid experiences of reality, even though no one else could reproduce it).

That's because these human experiences are all interconnected and interrelated, and to make any clear cut among them is impossible. One's treasures in these matters cannot necessarily be proved to others because the final say must come down to what is often intensely subjective and personal --- human intuition, human insights, human feelings, the cornerstone of faith, as well as families, communities, cultures, and civilizations that share common bonds and values. To ignore these innate human traits as both sources of understanding of reality, as well as human necessity to progressively advance as fuller human beings in one's own life (from meeting materialistic and physical needs to meeting higher order spiritual needs, like from satiating reproduction needs and hunger to seeking companionship, to seeking meaning in life, to living the highest moral ideals, to reaching the pinnacle of man's existence), is to ignore substantial aspects of what truly makes us unique as human beings. Otherwise, modern sociobiology and socioneurolgy reveal that we are not that much different from advanced primates in our most existential functions, including what was previously deemed to be exclusively human, such as empathy, stress, revenge, group violence. It is also to willfully ignore how epistemology is crippled by gratuitous presuppositions and dogmas, further strengthening the foundations of human ignorance. This applies as much to the physical as to metaphysical.

The gratuitous presupposition of the naturalists at hand, that Divine Revelation cannot exist when a) reason alone can rather rationally lead to the hypothesis of a philosophical God as demonstrated above, and b) existence of Scriptures which claim to guide mankind towards moral ideals and which can be subjected to rational criterions to separate absurdities from meaningful truths, is just that, a dogmatic presumption born of their pseudo religious belief that all that exists in the natural order are through forces which can be reduced to physicality and mathematicized.

Without getting needlessly polemical, and holding steadfastly on to logic and reason as the yardstick to penetrate into the heart of the matter that motivates this discussion, regardless of where moral truths such as the Golden Rule mentioned previously first originated from, or which scriptures these are found in today (whether in Solon's ancient dispensation of law, or Confucius's ancient edicts, or the Bible both Old and New Testament, or the teachings of the Holy Qur'an, etc.), now that mankind has these moral truths in their possession, and many more like these, we can sure implement them --- And that too hasn't happened in the recorded history of mankind. Nor is it ever likely to see the light of day in the future. Because primacy is as strong a natural instinct in man as hunger. And it is easily facilitated by secular naturalism.

It is only the mankind's religions, held sacred in their respective scriptures, in collective memories of its respective adherents, and in rituals which continually remind mankind of these absolutist moral truths as emanating from an absolutist source and therefore not subject to man's expediencies in changing them when suited; which continually harken mankind to implement them; and which continually harken mankind to sever their bonds of servitude to the wolves among them. These truths continue to inspire people, if not always in practice, then at least in their minds as the ideals to live by. And perhaps some day these ideals may indeed transform man, but only when these moral guidance succeed in lighting the proverbial fire in the mind of man as categorical imperatives and not rituals to plan for Afterlife and to soothe the troubled conscience. Religion plays an enriching role in man's life which simply cannot be extirpated by the Descartesians. It can of course be cleansed off of its superstitions and absurdities, reformed off cultural intrusions and bold impudences of the
mind of man, but not eliminated from the life of man. For, something else, something perverse, something that
suits the wolves and the primacy instincts of man, shall quickly fill that void.

None other than prominent scientists with lasting contributions to science have arrived at the holistic
conclusion that there can be no clear cut between materialistic reductionism and non-physicality that is
precious and enriching to man. Here is world's foremost physicist of the last century, German theoretical
physicist Max Planck whose work on quantum theory won him the Nobel Prize in Physics in 1918, offering his
sage counsel to the one-track world of Dawkinsian scientific materialism:

“Modern physics has taught us that the nature of any system cannot be discovered by
dividing it into its component parts and studying each part by itself, since such a method
often implies the loss of important properties of the system. We must keep our attention
fixed on the whole and on the interconnection between the parts. ... The same is true of
our intellectual life. **It is impossible to make a clear cut between science, religion
and art. The whole is never equal simply to the sum of its various parts.**” --- Max
Planck

The best scientists in the world, those not narrowly and overly specialized, well understand the role
subjective imagination and intuition (i.e., what appears as faith to others) plays in one's rational scientific
pursuits. As Charles Townes, 1964 Nobel physics laureate, stated it:

“Science wants to know the mechanism of the universe, religion the meaning. The two
cannot be separated. Many scientists feel there is no place in research for discussion of
anything that sounds mystical. But it is unreasonable to think we already know
enough about the natural world to be confident about the totality of forces.”

The Muslim scientist Abdus Salam, who shared the 1979 Nobel Prize in Physics with (Jewish atheist)
Steven Weinberg and (Christian) Sheldon Lee Glashow "for their contributions to the theory of the unified
weak and electromagnetic interaction between elementary particles", noted the role of faith in the grander
discoveries of physics by first reciting verses 67:3-4 of Surah Al-Mulk from the Holy Qur'an at the Nobel
podium in Stockholm during his Banquet Speech on December 10, 1979:

“All seest not, in the creation of the All-merciful any imperfection, Return thy
gaze, seest thou any fissure. Then Return thy gaze, again and again. Thy gaze,
Comes back to thee dazzled, aweary.” --- Holy Qur'an, verses 67:3-4

And then stated:

“This in effect is, the faith of all physicists; the deeper we seek, the more is our
wonder excited, the more is the dazzlement for our gaze.”

Arthur Leonard Schawlow, 1981 Nobel Prize in Physics for his work on lasers, observed:

“It seems to me that when confronted with the marvels of life and the universe,
one must ask why and not just how. The only possible answers are religious. ... I
find a need for God in the universe and in my own life.”

Even modern philosophers of the twenty-first century have begun to feel the gratuitous imposition of
the Dawkinsian clan led dogma of scientific materialism prevalent in our own century which denies animism
completely, especially in relation to Revelation and Prophecy that underwrite world religions.

This is Charles Taliaferro, American professor of philosophy at St. Olaf College, in his interview to Tehran Times published January 7, 2016:

“As a philosopher I believe that such skepticism about the historical Jesus and Muhammad is based on philosophical assumptions of secular naturalism which presupposes by definition that prophecy and revelation is impossible, an assumption that, in my view, is unjustified.”

The battle between the two antipodes on the validity of Divine Revelation is mainly one of dogmatic presupposition leading to crippled epistemology. But as we have also witnessed in the preceding discussion, that crippled epistemology is also easily rectified when dogma is subtracted from its ambit and its various aspects scrutinized forensically for what they are without prejudice.

It is also important to not overlook the obvious caveats that accompany this forensic exercise on a theological matter that transcends the bounds of material empiricism. Since this exercise of adjudicating upon a speech that is hypothesized as emanating from the philosophical God (our falsifiable axiom) that is both perfectly self-consistent and perfectly complete, by even the most rational of human minds that is neither, makes the exercise vulnerable to both Type 1 and Type 2 errors defined in statistics to validate hypothesis, as respectively: false positives (it is not speech of God but is incorrectly accepted as such due to incomplete or misapplied criterion), and false negatives (it is indeed speech of God but is incorrectly rejected due to incomplete or misapplied criterion).

Once again, the innate human dimension in epistemology simply cannot be ignored. It leads to greater reliance on intuition and insight – does the proposition sound right, does it appeal to the heart, even if impersonal logic or misanthropic reason may argue otherwise. For instance, reason might dictate to the utilitarian mind to kill granny and handicapped children once they become a social or family burden, but the heart rejects it outright --- and heart prevails unless forced by power. In Impact of Science on Society for example, Bertrand Russell, the father of twentieth century postmodernist atheism, offered his highest reasoning to rationalize a global dystopic police state for humanity as the most stable mechanism for governing a global scientific society, that there “would now be no technical difficulty about a single world-wide Empire”, “a world government” which “could only be kept in being by force”. No free human being can agree to live in a global prison-state just because the primacy reasoning of uber rationalists lead them to preach to the sheep that a world government managed as a global police-state is the most “stable” method of governance of a scientific society in the greater public interest.

Faith is exactly like that after all the rational scrutinizing criterions are exhausted by the rational portion of the mind. Faith appears to be innate in man. The drive for faith appears to be unlearned, like the drive for reproduction. It has persisted since time immemorial, and cannot be separated from man's existence anymore than the natural drive for reproduction can. It can, however, be replaced with crafty dogmas and false beliefs just as easily as in the past faith was dominated by specious dogmas and superstitions to create false intuitions. Faith appears to be like a natural and innate container in man – varying in size for each human being based on their natural makeup like any other human trait (such as IQ or athleticism or sense of beauty, etceteras) – pour anything into it. When the Divine spark springs in it, it can move mountains. When garbage is poured into it, it creates enslavement.
And now we also begin to perceptively appreciate why it is necessary to remove faith in the Divine from people's lives with the drive towards atheistic world Secular Humanism (previously it was with the drive towards world Communism) and Newspeak (saying one thing and meaning quite another) and Doublethink (accepting or promulgating conflicting facts and ideas). Subtraction of God from people's lives under whichever pretext, makes it easier to control them; to standardize and organize the populations in functional units; and to easily foist the worst dystopia upon which people just learn to love their own servitude. Goethe had captured that existence: “None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free. The truth has been kept from the depth of their minds by masters who rule them with lies. They feed them on falsehoods till wrong looks like right in their eyes.” It enables the sheep to perform their daily routines in blissful contentment, never acquiring the motivation, nor the inspiration, to rebel against their despotic shepherds; the wolves who feed off of their blood. The uncanny power of God in people's lives as a counter force to be reckoned with, is so well understood by shepherds who are the masters of social engineering, and have been so from time immemorial, that even modern fables have depicted the power of faith in liberating awakened slaves from the worst dystopia – dystopia constructed by men of highest intellect to control the public mind. Man simply cannot escape from the clutches of the Superman by Pollyannaishly closing his eyes to the dangers posed by the poisoned apples hidden underneath the syntactically sugared declarations of universal human rights made in the new sacred theology of Secular Humanism. It is indeed a theology, one that is based on the perversity of Doublethink.

As previously examined and restating for emphasis, the logical and entirely rational consequence of secular naturalism which posits that life on earth is innately purposeless, that mankind arose by sheer accident just like wildlife and wild flowers, and that natural order which governs nature and its species, also governs man, is that since there is no equality in nature (empirical observation), no altruism in nature (another empirical observation, different from empathy which is observed in some species), no justice in nature (yet another empirical observation, no sheep has ever approached the king of the jungle for justice from the wolf and not been eaten by the king itself), then why should there be voluntary equality and altruism and justice among the human species who are just another species of nature? Some are lions and some are wolves while others are sheep. What rational sense in having the same valuation for all of them? The wolves may seek equality amongst themselves, and seek altruism in their relationship with the hungry pride, but neither have any inclination to extend either to the sheep --- but both of course preach it to the sheep. How else will the wolves and lions satiate their hungers and rule their kingdom? Thus the natural order of the jungle, the natural philosophy of primacy, social darwinianism, hegemony, is the natural order for human life as well.

But of course that philosophy has to be disguised. The reality of secular naturalism cannot be practiced too openly before the sheep who are essential to the scheme of primacy. So the Secular Humanists come up with advocacy of ancient truths like the Golden Rule for everyone, lofty ideals on worthy pieces of parchment to lull the sheep to sleep as their native religion is systematically stripped from their lives. One can see the sophistry in the naturalists' arguments for man-made morality derived solely from man's intellect, not just in theory, but also empirically in the long and bloody history of primacy among mankind; a history that is still unfolding in the twenty-first century. The sheer chutzpah after the sheep have been lulled to sleep is even seen in the National Security Advisor of the United States of America writing the blueprint of superpower primacy coldly titled: The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy And Its Geostrategic Imperatives! ( Also see [a] http://tinyurl.com/Islam-vs-Secular-Humanism ; [b] http://tinyurl.com/Superman-Morality ; [c] http://tinyurl.com/Problem-Primacy-not-Scarcity ; [d] http://tinyurl.com/HGWells-Universal-Human-Rights ; [e] http://tinyurl.com/MightyWurlitzer )
It is also not persuasive to claim that reason can beget equality and altruism and justice as higher order brain functions in the more evolved superman of the future when it has shown to only beget primacy ---- and Nietzschean Übermensch is ample evidence of the culmination of that naturalists' philosophy. Secular Humanism neither has any empirical merit, nor any philosophical merit given their own sacred axiom of man's existence being accidental and purposeless like any other life form. Lack of self-consistency with their own naturalist axiom spells the death knell of the naturalists' religion of secular humanism. It exposes their sophistry of Doublethink!

The naturalists' claim of reason and natural laws as the god of man able to bring mankind equality, altruism, justice, as well as noble governments and the end to primacy, under the religion of Secular Humanism is only sophistry. It can only bring standards of the Newspeak-Doublethink variety as was witnessed in the American Declaration of Independence that is bandied about before the world as the epitome of Western Liberal Republican Constitutional Democracy (albeit the word “Democracy” itself does not occur in its verbiage). That plan of liberty conveniently excluded undesirable races who weren't deemed to be full human beings deserving of “equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them”, from its calculus of full human rights and equality. Australian colonists as recently as the 1960s were hunting for Aborigine heads as hunting trophies. Africa today, the cradle of mankind's birthplace, is shamefully impoverished and plundered for its natural wealth. The same story is repeated for South America, and the rest of the developing nations of the world that have boundless riches underneath their feet and living in abject poverty. Plundered by who?

By the primacy instincts of the secular humanist West; exercised through its institutionalized multinational corporation thuggery through neoliberal laws and free trade treaties, enforced through tax-free trade zones and protected by Western military might --- The reincarnation of East India Company in modern uniforms. The modus operandi of modern neocolonialism is not much different from the colonial era, and they boldly admit it themselves. Writing in the New York Times, Thomas L. Friedman stated in his column of March 28, 1999: “The hidden hand of the market will never work without a hidden fist -- McDonald's cannot flourish without McDonnell Douglas, the builder of the F-15. And the hidden fist that keeps the world safe for Silicon Valley's technologies is called the United States Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps.”

And that colonial era of Western plunder, right after the Renaissance philosophers taught all their lovely secular theories of superiority of natural law and the primacy of reason, was the epitome of direct colonial raping of the less sophisticated civilizations by the West in the guise of la mission civilisatrice, the white man's burden. None of the finer values of secular humanism were on display as the natives were brutally harvested to serve global trade under changing European flags of one PAX or another as the sophistication of their primacy tools evolved. While the natives were taught that this slavery was for their own good. They were being civilized. And that was the price of being gifted Western civilization. The same West today, the same nations, the same races of peoples, the same inheritors of the East India Company, under the new flag of PAX Americana, are out to destroy the one thing colonists couldn't take away from the world's natives whom they otherwise lorded over as the new gods --- their religion. And this last remaining treasure, the inheritance of all mankind, is their focus of plunder for the twenty-first century in which the same white man is once again remaking world order. This time into a secular one-world empire.

The naturalist is once again coldly speaking the language of might has rights behind the facade of humanitarian platitudes, while brazenly displaying its superiority of primacy techniques. The predator makes
the same arguments as before --- that this is good for the natives. That the superiority of the Western civilization is due to its secular naturalism, and it is its noble gift to all civilizations when it strives to replace mankind's antediluvian superstitious religions with the common world religion of Secular Humanism. That is the very nature of natural law, the law of social Darwinism, the survival of the fittest races, peoples, cultures and civilizations. It conquers whenever it can. It is never satiated. Neither did mankind witness equality, altruism and justice in the past from the harbingers of secular naturalism, nor is it anywhere to be seen today except in Newspeak, and nor will it be seen in the future --- because it is not in the nature of secular naturalism.

The tragedy is that the finest house niggers, mindless fools with fancy Western university degrees, are once again taken in by the chicaneries of the predators' Doublethink to begin loathing what is their own heritage. They once again labor against their own civilizations just as they did in the colonial era. The house nigger has once again taken up the white man's burden --- and for what? For the price of some applause and a meal ticket? This is not to say that those who believe in the materialist dogma, irrespective of who they are, aren't entitled to their own religion. But only to state that the primacy of every dogma, every religion, every predator, is rejected and must be confronted head on. That confrontation is the principled teaching of absolutist moral codes, and when attributed to Divine Revelation, become immutable. And that is the one remaining intellectual threat to global primacy, the spiritual threat from world religions, which is why they must all be eliminated.

In the previous sections we witnessed how axiomatic dogmas cripple epistemology. Here we have again seen the polished Machiavellian subversion of epistemology of Divine religions that only leads to the primacy of natural law. If epistemology was not crippled, not only would all self-ascribed categorical imperatives of primacy be naturally crippled, but man would be rid of all dogmas that limit its understanding of reality, and consequently, its egalitarian striving for equality, justice, peace, happiness and growth would finally materialize. The first baby step in that space is to confront primacy head on. All primacy. Howsoever it is disguised. In law, in platitudes, in Newspeak-Doublethink.

This forensic attitude to scrutinizing epistemology with some measure of objectivity, by distancing the observer from the observed, and by perceptively understanding its hard and soft limits; the sources of its corruption and motivations for its subversion, also helps rectify idiotic divisions among peoples of boundless faith who ceaselessly fight amongst themselves over insane matters. This includes infighting on purely theological matters which quickly leads to doctrinal warfare, and which can easily mutate into physical warfare. And it also helps counter Machiavelli when it too, ceaselessly, uses their inanity and senseless divisions to harness their boundless energies as useful idiots; zealots and prima donnas who unwittingly end up doing Machiavelli's diabolical bidding like puppets on a string.
Case Study of Secular Humanists
Misdirecting the Problem by Half Truths – Ignoring Primacy

Part-I

Introduction to Foster Gamble's Documentary THRIVE: What On Earth Will It Take?

For those in medical school studying to be doctors, their parents, and also those who are already in the medical profession, please watch the 15 minute segment of this two hour documentary from time 42:45 to 57:45

[ http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lEV5AFFcZ-s#t=2565 ]

What is interesting in this 15 minute must-watch segment is that its distinguished compere, Foster Gamble, a Princeton University graduate and its student body president, direct descendant of one of the founders of Proctor and Gamble, groomed to be a leader in the establishment but choosing to walk away from his inherited power legacy to instead become a responsible activist for humanity, takes on the real power behind the world today - the banking cartel which owns and/or controls medicine, agriculture, pharma, not to mention central banking and coining national money out of thin air! This is the first time I have seen a notable insider from the elite
corporatocracy take on this prime-mover of social and political control in our era. There have been others in
the past but I don't immediately recall anyone contemporary. Please watch that short segment which pertains to
medicine, food, education and fractional reserve lending --- in that 15 minutes this documentary summarizes
what I have researched and restated time and again which no one pays any attention to. Whereas, coming from
an insider of real power and pelf, I think this exposé of the banking cartel's role in controlling medicine, food,
and big pharma from one among the elite themselves, is more compelling!

However, also note that in earlier segments of the documentary (if you have time to watch the whole
thing), Foster Gamble evidently falls into the same trap as I have noted many prominent activists uncannily
seem to also fall into --- the UFO rubbish of Steven Greer et. al., and other similar speculations of free energy
brought to us by the aliens which I can only call “gibberish”. Ignore that crap, or, take the pseudo science
 gibberish with a pinch of astute political science salt. Bizarrely salted to Hegelian proportions by intelligence
 ops as I have already deconstructed in the case study of Steven Greer's Disclosure Project, [1] do note that
even in these outlandish and speculative segments there are many kernels of hard scientific and political truths
wrapped in garbage --- the calculated suppression of science such as Nikola Tesla's work for instance,
shrewdly accompanied by UFO exponents. Why rational, well referenced, empirical, verifiable, factual
expositions of any of these topics pertaining to the role of omnipotent banking power in orchestrating society
and politics, are almost always accompanied by outlandish gibberish and speculations is explainable, at least to
my mind.

It appears to be a calculated self-defense mechanism of brilliant people based on the idea of poisoning
their own well. Whenever they speak some verifiable truth, they also seem to utter some unverifiable and
outlandish rubbish --- which is so incongruent to their other factual and verifiable words that only the foolish
masses would either accept it all or reject it all; the smarter handful among the public would know to discern
rationally and to throw away the poisonous shell carefully protecting the fruit within. Because I have seen this
pattern repeat time and again, such as David Icke who is also featured later on in the documentary speaking on
the banking cartel but who also speaks the “UFO” and “shape-shifting reptile” gibberish elsewhere, [2] that I
can only sensibly conclude that it is a self-defense mechanism for some genuine activists to calculatingly
appear “nutty” when speaking the whole truth against the most powerful prime-movers on earth! Others may
well believe that gibberish, I don't know. There are of course a whole sleuth of Machiavellian techniques of
cognitive infiltration available to the intelligence apparatuses and its Mighty Wurlitzer for putting dissent on
treadmills which go nowhere. [2a] [2b] The discerning mind must forensically analyze all matters, and all
interconnections among them, to synthesize the whole picture which is often larger than the sum of its parts.
The Japanese wisdom admonishes: “Aspire to be like Mt. Fuji, with such a broad and solid foundation that the
strongest earthquake cannot move you, and so tall that the greatest enterprises of common men seem
insignificant from your lofty perspective. With your mind as high as Mt. Fuji you can see all things clearly.
And you can see all the forces that shape events; not just the things happening near to you.”

In any case, the segment I draw your attention to is 100% accurate and anyone can verify its factual
basis. I have done so time and again and even written about it. The subsequent segments on the control of the
world by the banking cartel is also on the mark --- as I have researched and concluded the same. I also know of
the deliberate suppression of alternate medicine by associating it with quackery. Not to say that quackery in
medicine does not exist, but not all alternate ways of looking at the healing arts and science are quackery! To
assert it is so is clearly the obvious motivation of entrenched power not just protecting its own economic turf,
but also its cunningly weaved fabric of human control --- and the fact that an insider is highlighting these
matters so boldly is something to pay attention to. I think.

That segment on medicine is also important because foolish doctors today are not only prescribing poisons under AMA licensing, but also cheer leading advocacy of social evils at national levels as PR spokesman of big medicine --- case in point: the recent WHO directive for polio vaccination in Pakistan, Syria, and Cameroon. If I was in any policy making position in Pakistan, my take would be that a) our scientists will research it ourselves, and b) if deemed necessary, our nation will manufacture our own vaccines ab initio so that we know exactly what we are putting in them --- a position Iran has wisely taken! This is quite a separate question from the efficacy of vaccines in the first place which I am not addressing here. Science reveals to me that vaccines are efficacious for delivering payloads into the biological systems. Whether it is good for the human beings is a separate issue. Not addressed today. Please don't go off wandering into a red herring. The discussion here is of what is --- and that in itself is inimical.

Also pay attention to the quote by Henry Kissinger in 1973 reproduced in that segment at time 46:45 - here is what Kissinger repeats in the style of George Orwell from “1984” (“who controls the past controls the future; who controls the present controls the past”), combining the saying of Rothschild from 1800s (“give me control of a nation's money supply and I care not who makes its laws”) with Thomas Malthus from 1798 (“I do not know that any writer has supposed that on this earth man will ultimately be able to live without food. ... Had population and food increased in the same ratio, it is probable that man might never have emerged from the savage state.”), to come up with the following brilliant formulation of empirical fact now observable by anyone with even half a brain functioning:

```
“Who controls the food supply controls the people;
who controls the energy can control whole continents;
who controls the money can control the world.”
```

Fools die many deaths, the valiant die but once --- a lesson unlearned by the twelve years of obedience training in K-12 that the segment refers to!!!

Judge for yourself how much you obey authorities yourself --- to the point that you take their gospels as religion, especially in medicine where you follow the AMA, WHO, FDA, blindly.... “Primum non nocere”: “First, do no harm” has evidently been given a new Orwellian cover. It is repeated by every physician and surgeon just like “freedom is slavery” is repeated in Orwell; freedom to obey the AMA, the WHO, and Authority edicts without question! That obedience training obviously also includes faithfully echoing who dun 9/11.

This is Foster Gamble's website: [http://www.thrivemovement.com](http://www.thrivemovement.com).

The jury is still out on Foster Gamble as far as I am concerned ---- I don't know if he is for real or fake opposition. Nothing Gamble has stated is new. 9/11 would once again be the key litmus test I suppose [3] --- a test that is by now failed by many among the most lauded in preeminent dissent. [4] But at least in that medical segment, and in the Federal Reserve and banking segments that follow, Foster Gamble using words like “tape-worm” and “parasites” to refer to the banking cartel, does sound like Eustace Mullins as in that late scholar's seminal 1984-85 book “The World Order - A study in the Hegemony of Parasitism”, and his 1952 classic: “The Secrets of the Federal Reserve”. It is strange though that while Foster interviews G. Edward Griffin and
features Griffin's book titled “The Creature from Jekyll Island”, Gamble inexplicably ignores Eustace Mullins' much earlier work which ostensibly seeded all others including Griffin's narrative. Mullins was the first one after WW-II to dive deep into the shenanigans behind the founding of the Federal Reserve by the money trust at Jekyll Island, under the guidance of the famous political prisoner Ezra Pound and paid the heaviest price of any living researcher of the subject. None of the later authors who followed Eustace Mullins, and almost all of them greatly benefitted from his original research whether or not they have acknowledged that fact, have paid such a high price. It is also revealing that virtually all of them, including G. Edward Griffin the last time I checked, also fail the 9/11 test just like former long-time congressman Ron Paul who also most carefully likes to challenge the banking cartel but manages to also echo the establishment's narrative of 9/11, just as Noam Chomsky does, all of them calling it “blowback” while retaining the who dun it narrative of the same establishment they so vigorously claim to dissent with. The documentary features several speakers who have precisely held up that Big Lie over the years since 9/11 (as far as I am aware).

After all their astuteness displayed in the video to standup to the global elite on so many diverse matters covered in the documentary, are they simultaneously so stupid that they cannot add two plus two? In the anemic coverage of 9/11 in the documentary, Foster Gamble only makes a passing reference to “false flag operation” with the statement: “an increasing number of people believe that 9/11 was a false flag operation by the global elite in order to set the stage for taking over middle east oil and dismantling US constitutional protection.” (at time 1:31:00). I would have liked to hear what Foster Gamble himself believed and planted his own stake in the ground for, and not what other “nut-jobs” believed! To the extent that this respected scion of the notable Proctor and Gamble family does not come out as forcefully on 9/11 as he has demonstrated the courage to come out on the rest of the matters in the documentary, I would argue that Mr. Gamble is tugging on the same tenuous safety-line as poisoning his own well by showcasing UFO con-artists who rehearse their specious and unproven free energy mantra before an ignorant and unscientific public. And ultimately, whether wittingly or unwittingly, still contributing to the sanctification of the Big Lie. [5]

Nevertheless, I do applaud Foster Gamble, and his wife Kimberly Gamble co hosting the documentary, for stating many of the verifiable facts as boldly as they have done. Their distinguished Gamble name standing up to the tyranny of the banking cartel I imagine will be far more effective than many others I can think of. Specifically, for the focus of this introduction, none in the medical profession can really afford to ignore that segment on medicine in their own good conscience. The budding doctors in training aspiring to join the world's noblest profession should be made aware that they are committing to a life on the elite's chessboard on which they each shall remain pawn in the hands of the global medical trust, which in turn is controlled by the global money trust. And that money trust is driving the one-world government agenda with its attendant policy prescriptions as is accurately portrayed in the documentary. They may well be administering the shots for population reduction someday, a topic only just touched upon by Foster Gamble in the final segments of the documentary. No one in the medical profession really believes that. Therefore, well done there, Gambles!

If I were to edit this documentary to make a more rational cut, I would be presumptuous enough to cut out all the gibberish in the early section up to the medical segment starting at minute 42:45, and selectively incorporate only those portions from the earlier segments that contain the verifiable facts of the same banking cartel controlling all aspects of the energy sector and thus having little natural incentive to entertain any alternatives that can challenge their monopoly. The editing out of gibberish would of course also undo the deliberate poisoning of the well, now wouldn't it? Well, why the hell not? This stellar documentary needs no crutches --- the topics are well documented in officialdom's own handwritings. I have studied many of these
documents myself. There is no reason for the spirit of moral courage demonstrated in this documentary by Foster Gamble and his wife to be contaminated by any frog crapping in the punch bowl. A clean separation of both science and political science which factually explains the making of the public mind, from the idle speculation of “toruses” and the energy mantras drawn from ancient and New Age religions, will help convince many rational people of the verifiable facts of the matter who would otherwise not get past the first ten-twenty minutes of its gibberish, if that! Which is why I suggest you start watching from that medical segment onward.

The rest of the segments in the documentary (except the solution space and periodic regression into poisoning the well) are simply excellent, and indeed also mostly verifiable! Every factual topic covered in the documentary I have had the opportunity to study myself and I have also written much about these same topics on my own website. However, the presumption speciously advanced in this documentary is that scarcity of resources is the first cause of problems and abundance of free energy would solve it. I do not believe that the principal problem has anything to do with scarcity of energy or scarcity of natural resources, and the free energy mantra is like the Irish gnome --- never quite sure when it might pop up in your bed.

**The principal problem is PRIMACY. Not SCARCITY!**

Primacy is an imperative as old as hegemony, as old as mankind. No civilization in the past has escaped its lure. Today, it is directly manifested in the inequitable distribution of whatever resources and energies and wealth the world does possess. The documentary even brings on John Perkins, the infamous Economic Hitman, to confirm how international primacy works in practice. For its policy underpinnings, see for instance the text of George F. Kennan's Policy Planning Study PPS 23 from 1948. That text, we are informed, fashioned what popularly came to be known as the Truman Doctrine. But its precepts, as one can see, have continued as the core policy construct in every US Administration without fail, just as these precepts remained the cornerstone of every empire in every age before the rise of latter day Pax Americana in the mid twentieth century:

“We have about 50% of the world's wealth, but only 6.3% of its population .... In this situation, we cannot fail to be the object of envy and resentment. Our real task in the coming period is to devise a pattern of relationships which will permit us to maintain this position of disparity without positive detriment to our national security. To do so, we will have to dispense with all sentimentality and day-dreaming, and our attention will have to be concentrated everywhere on our immediate national objectives. We need not deceive ourselves that we can afford today the luxury of altruism and world-benefaction .... We should cease to talk about vague and – for the Far East – unreal objectives such as human rights, the raising of living standards, and democratization. The day is not far off when we are going to have to deal in straight power concepts. The less we are then hampered by idealistic slogans, the better.” [6]

The *ubermensch* philosophy which guides the creed and primacy instincts of the modern parasites is Bernard de Mandeville's, called the “fable of the bees”. The following veritable statement made in 1705 AD by the man who it is claimed inspired Adam Smith's the Wealth of Nations, can always find newer contrivances to enslave mankind just as easily: “The economic well-being of the nation depends on the presence of a large number of men who are content to labor hard all day long. Because men are naturally lazy they will not work unless forced by necessity to do so.” Voluntary servitude is part and parcel of the human condition even when
The solution to freedom from tyranny is not in material things; neither in its abundance, nor in its scarcity. But intellectual and moral freedom lies in spiritual courage. It is the principal foundation of all resistance to falsehoods. Thusly, as the noted German philosopher Goethe had timelessly observed: “None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free”. And Aldous Huxley demonstrated one fast path to the scientific implementation of that enslaving ideology in his seminal fable: Brave New World. Huxley noted on the occasion of the thirtieth anniversary in a talk given at the University of California, Berkeley:

“You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.” [8]

If there was an abundance of everything as this simplistic documentary postulates, and if we had the voluntary self-sustaining libertarian Austrian systems of Mises as imagined in the final segment, the global elite will find newer ways to induce deprivation to control man.

The instinct for primacy of man upon fellow man is not taken away by its satiation – for the goal is not satiation, but SOCIAL CONTROL.

The Pollyanish advocacy in the documentary of Gandhian non-violence as the primary method of global resistance sounds really great on paper. It can even make a great undergraduate thesis in social sciences I am sure. Revealingly though, and unfortunately not mentioned by Foster Gamble as he rambled on about non-cooperation in the last segment, that wonderful high-minded principle was most brilliantly adduced from logic alone by a 22 year old in 16th century France. His name was Etienne de La Boétie. This young fellow explained non-cooperation most eloquently in 1552 AD in his treatise to end voluntary servitude: “The Politics of Obedience: The Discourse of Voluntary Servitude”. It hasn't happened yet!

If lofty platitudes could modulate greed and lust for power, then the Ten Commandments would surely have created heaven on earth by now --- 3000 years and still waiting. Just witness what's happening in Palestine with the First Commandment – and its harbingers are the wielders of the same power nexus outlined in the documentary! What has the spectating world been able to do about interdicting that cultural and physical genocide of a living people? A big fat ZERO. The troubling question to me for the concluding segment of this otherwise brilliant documentary is this: Why present such wishful platitudes for the solution space which betray little or no comprehension whatsoever of the diabolical forces of social engineering and human psychology that are at play worldwide? [9]

Getting the public mind to live on false hopes after describing the real problems to them could be construed as a red herring by the skeptic, but I am trying hard not to be one. Rational analysis of a battle to be
of any benefit must be rooted in reality and empiricism of the situation, not mysticism, hope, and wishful thinking. As Sun Tzu noted in the Art of War 2500 years ago, self-delusion isn't a very productive winning strategy in any battle, the superfluous references to martial arts and Aikido in the documentary, of using the opponent's own strengths against him, notwithstanding: “If you know the enemy and know yourself, you need not fear the result of a hundred battles. If you know yourself but not the enemy, for every victory gained you will also suffer a defeat. If you know neither the enemy nor yourself, you will succumb in every battle.” Indeed, what specific strengths of the ruling oligarchy to deploy against their primacy in the Aikido match with hoi polloi is not identified in the documentary.

Foster Gamble's platitudinous recipe for how to Thrive has made no immediate impression on me. Any two bit pulpit can sell the same mirage. Deepak Chopra, also featured in the documentary, does it routinely on American public television --- and laughs his way to the bank selling his books. But I am sure it could still be awarded a Ph.D. for New Age thinking in America's Ivy League. These lofty academies of higher learning often do craft the most clever doctrines for social engineering, and for manufacturing the public's consent for untenable agendas. One such doctrine to fabricate "doctrinal motivation" for launching "imperial mobilization" I have already deconstructed at length under the heading "Taking a Deeper Look into the Dynamics of Mantra Creation: Islamofascism". [op. cit, 9] That diabolical ingenuity for making the public mind in preparation for the catastrophic terror of 9/11 was most eruditely engineered in the 1990s at both Princeton and Harvard – the two highest ranking Ivy League Universities of the United States. And it was easily swallowed up by the American and Western public under the expert guidance of their learned intellectuals as the principal explanation for 9/11. It cemented the fait accompli of imperial mobilization --- now backtrack and lament all you want, it does not and cannot roll back time. The time lost in running on treadmills living on in feel-good false hopes while bonded in servitude, is also like that. Time deliberately lost chasing dreamy sounding mirages by hoi polloi, while hard new realities are irreversibly fashioned on the ground by history's actors:

"We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do."

[op. cit, 9]

The hard political fact of the matter is that the common man, perpetually caught between bread and circuses, and perpetually manipulated with behavior control, cannot take the powers away from the oligarchy with platitudes of non-violence and non-cooperation --- and that realization has evidently not sunk in among the well-intentioned, well-groomed, and well-fed activists of the West. Especially if they have attended an Ivy League! While they live on in relative comfort and abundance, they advocate for others to deny cooperation with power? How will hoi polloi even eat then, or make a living in the modern world? The stomach tends to come before high mindedness, not just among the masses but for anyone, including the tallest intellectual who cannot get two square meals a day. And that is never understood by the noble revolutionaries who often themselves emerge from among the elite, and have never suffered for the want of bread. A French revolution of the hungry only culminates in more tyranny by hoi polloi upon hoi polloi. It was most ably captured in Charles Dickens' A Tale of Two Cities. The rise and fall of Napoleonic history does not contradict that Dicken's fable at all.

What such platitudinous talk of wishful hope and dreamscape thinking does perform in practice is the complementary part of social engineering: it provides the outlet for the pressure cooker to prevent its bursting.
But the same letting off of steam can perhaps someday in the future, when man has evolved himself spiritually, command an avalanche of real global resistance. That is the thinking of these brilliant intellectuals. Human action is non-linear after all, or so I am told. The butterfly flapping its wings in India can change the weather in the United States, as some idealists love to advance as the primary evidence for their wishful thinking. It does sound nice, appears empowering, even compelling. Perhaps just that faith alone, of sounding nice, and hopeful, has to be kept alive for the actual reality of the transition to the epoch when rising spiritualism, a quest for self-directed search for answers and to make change happen with considerable personal sacrifice, would start driving global consciousness. Recorded history, including the legacy of the great prophets of mankind who brought great moral spiritualism as the principal antidote for primacy of man against fellow man, does indicate though that only tyranny has thus far successfully countered tyranny, not hoi polloi who have only slaved in servitude to every master. Perhaps we will witness a surprise development at the new Dawn of the Age of Aquarius – but not in my lifetime. We live in an epoch when we can't even affect the most obvious first change that the documentary advocates and which everyone in the world even actually agrees upon: freedom from debt enslavement to the banksters. [10]

Man is today more in chains in the scientific dictatorship of modernity than ever before in the entire history of mankind. He does not even know how to grow a grain of bread anymore --- food suddenly removed from the ubiquitous Safeway supermarket shelves will reduce the American hoi polloi to rubble in less than a week! To cannibalism in less than two. And to complete tear down of its advanced society in less than a month! And that, is the hard reality of the matter at this moment in our existence. To craft a different future takes a lot more --- a transformation which is nothing less than a gestalt shift in the stance against primacy. The fable of the two scorpions held in a perpetual stalemate in a bottle easily comes to mind for surviving ubermensch predators in a jungle of depravity and primacy. [10a]

The desire for self-sufficiency at every level, from individuals to nations, is pragmatically impossible today in the more advanced and industrialized nations of the Global North. It is still a practical possibility for the lesser industrialized Global South however, if we can only learn to eject our house niggers and uncle toms who rule by proxy for the same enslaving forces of colonization today in the name of neoliberalism whose ancestors had previously enslaved entire nations in the name of the white man's burden. But as the recent event in Pakistan for instance indicates, just the unilateral demand from WHO for three nations to vaccinate for polio, and the Pakistani officialdom immediately declaring that they will prevent anyone leaving her airports without first forcibly vaccinating them, sums up the twisted reality of imposed servitude upon the masses. We even witness this servitude daily at US airports ourselves. I would like to ask Mr. Foster Gamble how many times he has voluntarily denied permission to the TSA to have his and his wife's private parts examined either through X-ray machines or by gloved hands and still traveled to their destination? Perhaps he flies a private airliner from a private airport.

The fundamental first cause of global deprivation and the crises of inequitable distribution of wealth plaguing mankind being Primacy and not Paucity, is so painstakingly obvious that it beggars the imagination how any brilliant mind groomed at Princeton would not recognize it as such. Why has Foster Gamble so transparently misdiagnosed the first cause as scarcity of resources instead of primacy of the oligarchy? It misdirects attention in solving an entirely different class of problems than the ones which actually need to be solved first! The systemic disease then continues to lurk unattended regardless of how many layers of bandages are put on the patient. This modus operandi of dissent by brilliant savants once again ties in with poisoning the well idea explored at the very beginning of this Introduction.
I challenge the Gambles to stop using that feeble-minded crutch if they are indeed genuine. I would like to assume that they are --- for I see no obvious motivation for anyone so well established in the elite establishment to directly play in what is only bread and butter for COINTELPRO agents: infiltrate by gaining trust which is done by affirming what the groups already believe, then misguide, mislead, make patsies, in order to deflate opposition. In fact, I am excited that finally someone who is indeed a somebody, is challenging the real base of power of Western civilization: its hidden in plainsight oligarchy. Under that presumption, separating personal beliefs and mysticism from what is factually verifiable to create a rational cut of this documentary, is the honest intellectual recipe for gaining traction in the mainstream, in academe, and in effectively countering the making of the public mind by the social engineers. Point out the documented facts, analyze and deconstruct the interconnection of its tentacles so that the public can understand just how deep the rabbit holes go, and leave out what the public should do about it to their own organic self realization. It will come organically once they accurately understand the whole picture of their enslavement and who participates in it. That will immediately bring the entire world together on the common understanding of the common problem domain which equally plagues both the East and the West. Just accomplishing that much in a single lifetime would be a remarkable achievement for any individual --- for the solution space is indeed organic and multi-generational; it cannot be forced with platitudes. It must be left to the peoples' own creative energies and their situations.

In conclusion, and more to the point of this Introduction, if you are studying to become part of the medical profession, or you are a parent of someone who is, or you are yourself part of that profession, you need to really think about what you will hear in that segment. Then go research it yourself. Do you really want to be part of that banking cartel's control game? How can you avoid it if you join the medical profession? How can you change it? The professional practice of medicine, a matter not to be treated as merely an entertaining philosophical question for gossip in sleep-corners during nap breaks, is explored further in my little study: What's the truth about modern medicine? [11] You are invited to better that study.

Homework: Begin with trying to answer the fundamental question: Who is AMA (The American Medical Association) and why must it control and legislate the practice of medicine of the medical professionals? On what scientific and technical basis, let alone moral basis, can this organization claim to know better than the medical practitioners who have trained for their profession? On what basis whatsoever can this organization dictate to the professional doctors what is and isn't “kosher” medicine? The same question must be extended to WHO (The World Health Organization) – a supra national body that can declare pandemics at will and dictate what sovereign nations can and cannot do in their own countries? [12] Whom does this organization principally work for? [13] Who pays for its “oops” as was witnessed in the Swine Flu debacle of 2009 in which WHO forcibly tried to push its ad hoc global vaccination protocol with the active collaboration of virtually the entire American medical establishment, the CDC, the many tax-exempt foundations and think-tanks led by the CFR, and the establishment's mighty superpower muscle? What if that “oops” is a premeditated genocide of targeted populations, just as the so called “intelligence failure” for the premeditated invasion of Iraq was subsequently dismissed as mere “oops”? As a medical practitioner, how will you make the call on your better judgment: to follow the AMA, CDC, and WHO diktats and mandates, or to use your own counsel under your own professional Hippocratic Oath and refuse that which does not conform to it?
Addendum

See: Author John Robbins, Other Progressives Denounce ‘Thrive’, Santa Cruz local newspaper story by Eric Johnson, April 10, 2012. I am unimpressed. It is not only consistent with my analysis in the text above of Foster Gamble gratuitously poisoning the well and deliberately (or foolishly) making it easy to attack and discredit his documentary, but backlash is only to be expected when you take on real power and the public starts paying attention.
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Part-II

The Road to No Where: The Journey of Voluntary Servitude

Reflections of an “armchair philosopher” (epithet given to me by my wife)

This past weekend I had the immense pleasure of watching an incredible documentary developed by the heir to the Proctor and Gamble fortunes. I wrote a critical introduction to it titled: Introduction to Foster Gamble's Documentary THRIVE (PDF May 18, 2014) in which I surgically separated the chaff from the wheat to undo the documentary maker gratuitously poisoning his own well with speculative gibberish. The key misdirection in the documentary, despite its many factual aspects, is the conjecture that it is principally the resource (energy) scarcity which prevents mankind from thriving, and that free energy would alter that. Whereas, I demonstrated the simple observable fact that the principal problem plaguing mankind is PRIMACY, not SCARCITY!

What follows is the continuation of my thoughts on the impracticality of hoi polloi (the unwashed masses, the common people) in their present controlled state making any transformation to their society which is inimical to the interests of its ruling power.

When any public, white and blue collar alike, is beholden to its stomach, and to its careers, and to narrow self-interests of survival such as making a living and raising a family; when the obligatory nod to religion and personal morality suffices to cleanse both the conscience and the pathway to heaven in preparation for the journey ahead; what motivation is there to risk one's neck to challenge the status quo of primacy, deprivation, and servitude beyond the occasional bursts of internet jihad, book publishing, and documentary film making from the comfort and safety of the First Amendment? This political concession of permitting free speech to hoi polloi is virtually risk free to the establishment because higher order considerations dominate any public actualization for change which have been most effectively neutralized. There are also tangible advantages in permitting free speech. It enables maintaining the facade of the public's empowerment in Western democracies, the free societies model if you will, thus demonstrating the superiority of Western civilization to the rest of the world. This helps export and market its grand ideology of Democracy
and Neoliberalism. Thomas L. Friedman had captured this reality of power with unmatched hubris in his column in the New York Times:

'The hidden hand of the market will never work without a hidden fist -- McDonald's cannot flourish without McDonnell Douglas, the builder of the F-15. And the hidden fist that keeps the world safe for Silicon Valley's technologies is called the United States Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps. "Good ideas and technologies need a strong power that promotes those ideas by example and protects those ideas by winning on the battlefield," says the foreign policy historian Robert Kagan. "If a lesser power were promoting our ideas and technologies, they would not have the global currency that they have. And when a strong power, the Soviet Union, promoted its bad ideas, they had a lot of currency for more than half a century."

--- Thomas L. Friedman, New York Times March 28, 1999

The fact that the public cannot really make any substantial difference either to its own state of deprivation and servitude, or to the ruling state's diabolical cunning for primacy and supremacy, with its much celebrated democratic elections that periodically change the front-faces holding political office with great fanfare, is the key to maintaining this mirage of empowerment. I had explained this just before the 2008 elections in the United States, in an advocacy report titled: Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the façade of Elections and Democracy! (PDF). That report and its advocacy perennially captures both the reality of, and the effective antidote for, the dysfunction of the sole superpower du jour which is wrecking havoc upon the world.

But as reality unfolds today, even that façade of public empowerment is onerous to ruling power behind the scenes which has positioned itself to exercise its primacy, deprivation and servitude with absoluteness, without incurring the expense of maintaining the constitutional bill of the public's rights and other pretenses. That move to open tyranny in the guise of fighting crises from what was previously most carefully camouflaged from the public mind, has remarkably made little difference to hoi polloi. The evidence of the past thirteen years, since the date of September 11, 2001, underwrites the veracity and accuracy of this observation. The invasion and occupation of Iraq for instance is simply dismissed as “oops” of “intelligence failure”. The entire world's public just soldiers on with that “oops” without too much perturbation. Or the fact that the United States and Britain have so easily and rapidly transformed into police-states, as if it was all thought out before, and their forcing all nations of the world to adopt the same direction in the name of fighting a global disease whose medicine is also required to be global, is hardly met with any skepticism. Few Western savants who make a good living writing lofty books, making revealing documentaries, and preaching powerful theories from tall pulpits to full auditoriums, have actually understood the underlying levers of power and the techniques of persuasion behind that empirical outcome. Or they are just part of the primacy game themselves merely playing WWF wrestling to occupy hoi polloi.

As critically examined in my Introduction to the documentary Thrive, which should perhaps be read before watching the documentary so that you are not turned off by Foster Gamble gratuitously poisoning his own well, it is noteworthy that there appears to be no pragmatic and achievable solution-space for the crisis of primacy, deprivation, and servitude foisted upon mankind in every nation and geography on earth. Be it proposed from secular traditions of humanism, as is the case critically analyzed in the above Introduction to the must-watch documentary which harps on the obvious need for change in order to Thrive, but shows no practical paths to achieve it. Or be it proposed from any of the profound religious traditions of antiquity to
modernity which also mainly speak in the same sort of moral platitudes as the Ten Commandments, but to date have not seen implementation apart from what is forced upon the public by legal sanction of the state. Even in that sanction, yes all murderers are punished unless they kill in large numbers and to the sound of trumpets (Voltaire). In fact, the ancient Egyptians' Good Book (referring to the collection of ancient Egyptian writings: inscriptions found on tomb walls, on the underside of tombstones, and on parchments found buried with the mummies) predating the Abrahamic religions by at least a millennia, lists not just the Ten moral Commandments that we have all heard so much about, but a total 42 moral Commandments, even anticipating and incorporating the Ten Commandments, for what was deemed by the Gods of Egypt as the proper code of conduct for man on earth for a well lived life. Perhaps the ancient Egyptians too failed to live up to their moral code for they were wiped off the face of the earth by their Gods. Fast forward to the Holy Qur'an, the last Good Book on the block to formalize and codify moral teachings of a world religion. As was previously examined in the report on Surah al-Asr (PDF), it too offers Islam's comprehensive prescription for the well lived life which to date is equally not seen in implementation. As that report demonstrates, most of mankind according to the Holy Qur'an is running at a loss. The LED is stuck on red. And time is running out.

Despite several millennia of moral codes accumulated by man, the underlying problem which makes all great platitudes practically irrelevant when the rubber meets the road (meaning: when the needs of the spirit meet the needs of the stomach; when the call of liberation of the mind, body and spirit meet the demands of servitude to authority; and when the necessity of striving for the greater common good of society meets the existential needs of striving for narrow personal self-interests), is that we remain grossly under-developed as a moral and spiritual species at this moment of our existence. We continue to cogitate like the sheep before the wolf. The discourses among the sheep surely never include an activist call for rebellion against the predator's habit of mutton eating!

We have not yet acquired the survival skills required to overcome the indomitable instinct for primacy which apparently comes built-into man. This instinct is evidently also far more predatory when fully cultivated than the natural instinct in the wolf for devouring a satiating meal, because, as we all can observe, after eating to its fill, the wolf does go away until the next time it is hungry. Man's primacy instinct is of a fundamentally different nature and I can recall no analogy from nature, or from the Darwinian map of biological evolution even in its most accurate and holistic conception (as for instance captured by the well known playwright George Bernard Shaw in Back to Methuselah: A Metabiological Pentateuch), which would map it all. And that is of course understandable only from a non-biological non-evolutionary point of view. Man is not just a bunch of materialist atoms and bio-chemical reactions arranged in some social pecking order like the wolves and the chimpanzees, despite what Secular Humanism and the axioms of scientific materialism would like to preach us.

Man's sentient nature is fundamentally predicated on both cognition and spiritualism. Which is why no prophets bearing moral clichés' have come to wolves and sheep and cows and lions as far as we can tell, nor to elephants, dolphins, orangutans and chimpanzees who appear to display varying levels of higher order intelligence and/or emotional IQ similar to man. But the history of civilizations is replete with stories of great prophets of antiquity bringing man the moral religions of the Gods (and in case of the Abrahamic traditions, One God), all principally teaching the same core spiritual prescription of the well lived life but in different ways in accordance with the needs of the respective societies in their own times. That, without acquiring the essential spiritual skills and the higher consciousness to fully wield them in actual practice, they will be laboring at a loss. What this has entailed specifically has varied with the tribe, nation, and time.
Egyptian code indicated that just being personally moral wasn't sufficient. One also had to treat life as a gift and live it to the fullest. Hindu code, the oldest of the ancient living religions, prescribes that in order to reincarnate in higher form (reward), instead of lower form (punishment), man has to endeavor for a *well lived life* in the karma given to him in this life. Islam's code in the Holy Qur'an has set the highest bar which tops all others coming before it. The Good Book of the Muslims has mandated striving in the pursuit of justice (captured by the semantically rich all encompassing word “haq” in verse 103:3, Ibid.), as one of the core axioms of the *well lived life*. The Holy Qur'an even surpassed Solon, the mythical Athenian law giver to the advanced Hellenic civilization of sixth century BC, who, it is reported by ancient historian Plutarch, not just advocated social justice, but even made it a legal duty of citizens to come to the aid of others. When asked which city he thought is well-governed, Solon, the iconic figure of not just the present Western civilization and quoted by its elite scholars and well-read statesmen alike (as for instance by JFK in his seminal address before the American Newspaper Publishers Association, Waldorf-Astoria Hotel, New York City, April 27, 1961, op. cit.), but also of the ancient Hellenic civilization and claimed by Plato to be his own noble ancestor, had famously replied 2600 years ago: “That city where those who have not been injured take up the cause of one who has, and prosecute the case as earnestly as if the wrong had been done to themselves.”

Myth and reality combined, whatever may be the first source of these lofty moral standards which today span the full gamut of accumulated wisdom of man, from ancient law givers to modern prophets, from the ancient code of Hammurabi in 1750 BC to the most recent Human Rights Conventions of the United Nation in the 21st century AD, with virtually every habitat on earth having at least one copy of some scripture and bearer of some oral traditions which speak to the same nobility of some *well lived life*, and yet there is no global impact.

The reason should be self-evident. We, mankind, have unfortunately not yet been able to get past the first grade level of elementary school in the absorption of these spiritual teachings even when we can rehearse them all day long. That means that just like children in first grade who eagerly memorize a poem without understanding its symbolic meaning and are eager to display their great talent on show-and-tell day, we have turned the moral codes of religion into the pathways of reaching heaven for the dead, eagerly anticipating a pat on the back on judgment day; instead of understanding that these moral prescriptions are for sculpting heaven right here on earth for the living, amidst predators.

I think the perpetual promise of the Holy Qur'an to replace man with a better man, and all people with a better people, after giving each society and civilization its opportunity to sculpt its own future, is the manifest and irreversible direction of mankind today. Islam is, after all, the basis of my belief system just as Christianity is for Christians, Judaism is for Jews, Hinduism is for Hindus, Atheism is for atheists, and Egyptianism was for the ancient Egyptians. So I take it very seriously when my God, speaking through its scripture the Holy Qur'an, threatens me and all the rest of mankind with replacement for failing to live up to its moral prescription with a better people who shall also be tried and perhaps will not fail. The example of ancient civilizations long lost to time, dead dynasties and dead empires, are all before me. Yesteryear glorified Pax Britannia, yesterday glorified Pax Americana, I don't know what it is today that we are glorifying as we appear to be going through a transition phase *between two ages*. But tomorrow, surely a better people will arise from the ashes of world government.

Get ready to be replaced.

Unfortunately, I am not quite ready for that --- are you?
While death must come to us all, death is not what I am speaking of. Even though, as Plato had observed the truisms: Only death has seen the end of servitude (has seen the end of war). I speak of putting an end to servitude while still living for the living! It obviously automatically ends for the dead without any help from us, and no one has yet come back to verify to us what happens next. But we can all empirically see what is happening right here while we are living.

I think it is highly unfair that I am slated to be replaced with a better people while I am still in Kindergarten. I have not even entered first grade yet, let alone had the opportunity to fully absorb the call to higher consciousness where spiritualism can begin to take seed. The needs of the stomach continue to dominate all my needs just as it does for a child. And when I enter my temple to give my obligatory socialized nod of obeisance to my God, the need for observing the classroom rituals dominates my entire practice of higher consciousness.

Given my so early stage of primitive spiritual development, why should I pay the price of replacement for still being in Kindergarten?

At this level, as for a child, when my limited physical needs are not being met, how can I be held to lofty standards that are established for evaluating me when I reach tenth grade or college and finally develop the skills required to sculpt my own future as a social being? In both moral and legal codes of every developed civilization, past and present, that is called reaching the age of culpability where one is held sovereign over oneself. Meaning, responsible for oneself if one is deemed sound of mind and body. While being sound of spirit has never been part of that equation on earth, surely that must be a prerequisite for any accounting in the celestial place.

No judge holds a non-sovereign accountable for his immaturity or emasculation, nor threatens with replacement. So how can the Just God of mighty religions who prescribed the lofty moral curriculums to mankind hold spiritually stunted children accountable? We have simply not reached that developmental stage where these spiritual curriculums can become effective beyond the ritualistic shells they each come carefully encased under, to be handed down to the generations of the future virtually intact in its core. The Ten Commandments are still exactly the same today as 3000 years ago. Perhaps the future generations will make better use of it.

Something must be wrong in the entire conceptionalization of this matter which is making the problem so intractable as far as my generation is concerned. We are the immature child generation in that greater scheme of things who can do no better than accept primacy and predators, and under its blaring trumpets murder, pillage and plunder, or look the other way if it isn't happening to us. The threat of replacement for failing to live up to the moral curriculum makes no sense when applied to me in my Kindergarten stage of spiritual development.

So, as a clever engineer (I studied at MIT where the heart of its core curriculum is to teach problem solving techniques such as reducing an intractable problem to the one already solved), I have recast the problem to the one already solved by the many brilliant sages throughout the ages. In fact, it has been solved continually in exactly the same way from the very early dawn of human consciousness when its brilliant savants first realized that they had very little control over life's mysteries and created the construct of “destiny”. That has, for instance, solemnized the caste system among Hindus, the oldest continually existing and still intact civilization today. It has also helped explain the many “whys” of inequities of creation and natural calamities. And it is being solved the same way everyday for bucking-up the spirit of lagging children
in elementary school who aren't able to compete effectively against better prepared sports teams.

That brilliant panacea of all times which works every time: **It is not win or lose that matters, but how you play the game!**

I suppose I can stop worrying now about God's replacement policy. Problem solving with an MIT education really comes in handy. I no longer need to strive to win at anything that I naturally cannot for my instance of the *well lived life*. Let the better prepared, the more hungry, and the more naturally able, dominate and sculpt the world in their own image. The era of *Social Darwinianism* naturally beckons, and in fact times perfectly with the drive for world government and its harbingers' oft repeated concern for over population of the planet. A careful read of NSSM 200 written by Henry Kissinger in 1974 while United States Secretary of State, [makes] that concern of the Western establishment as a direct threat to their security, starkly apparent. Perhaps, as its side effect of winnowing out *useless eaters*, it will also accelerate man's evolution to a more spiritually developed species wherein, *hoi polloi* are abler in the mind, body and spirit, and better equipped with the spiritual skills of higher consciousness to more effectively deal with universal predatory instincts and its exercise of primacy, deprivation and servitude.

**Postscript Higher States of Consciousness**

**Definition Higher Consciousness**

The ability to perceive reality forensically, with the inner eye, and to act upon that perception with full vigor. Acts driven by spiritual realizations of higher consciousness are not decoupled from their perception. Just as the act of seeking food is not decoupled from experiencing pangs of hunger at the most primitive level of consciousness. Higher consciousness must culminate in commensurate acts driven by spiritual hunger in order to satiate it just as the stomach's hunger culminates in seeking food to satiate it. When one is unconscious, one does not seek even physical sustenance and dies if not intravenously fed by others. Similarly, one can be spiritually and mentally unconscious while fully conscious at the physical level, seeking only to fulfill the physical needs of the body. When one acquires greater levels of consciousness to the next cognitive level, one seeks intellectual sustenance to meet the needs of the hungry mind. The next hierarchy of that path to increasing consciousness is in seeking spiritual sustenance to feed the hungry soul. The desire to satiate its cravings principally leads to striving for a *well lived life* as outlined in the many moral recipes from antiquity to modernity.

That *well lived life*, a concatenation of acts by definition, and arguably orthogonal to personal beliefs, is always predicated on the existence of higher levels of consciousness. Without the latter, there is no spiritual hunger, no striving to satiate it, no acts, and consequently no transformations at any level, personal to macro social. The omission of that transformation, by its very nature of absence, seeds evil in society because man's natural instincts for unbridled primacy subsequently flourish. These two have been balanced like *yin and yang* of Chinese philosophy to counter each other: instinct for primacy vs. higher levels of consciousness. The former comes built-in at birth just like all the other tangible and intangible properties of each individual's physical and natural makeup. The latter has to be nurtured, cultivated, nourished, and developed just like the
mind.

The modern scientific world tends to accept the development of the mind, both halves of the brain, as both natural and necessary to reach full human potential. But it calculatingly ignores the development of what in fact makes us the most human. There is a very good practical reason for that omission as will become apparent below.

In Islam

Reaching higher levels of consciousness is a long and arduous journey which commences by following the spiritual recipe outlined in Surah al-Asr (PDF) for living a life that is not judged to be of a total loss in the celestial place. Journeying on that path, one progressively moves farther and farther into realizing greater and greater states of consciousness. It is a journey which feeds upon itself like the practice of any skill craft. Spiritual craft is no different in that and many other respects. It must be developed and perfected. Its pinnacle is captured in the following verses of the Holy Qur'an in Surah Al-Fajr: “O soul that art at rest! Return to your Lord, well-pleased (with him), well-pleasing (Him)” (89:27, 89:28). And the enticement or prize offered for reaching this zenith: “So enter among My servants, And enter into My garden.” (89:29, 89:30).

Since not all among mankind are endowed with identical capacities but fall on a bell curve, some journey for the lure of the prize alone, while others find little meaning in the prize when the journey itself is the reward. We focus on the journey and why it's both necessary, as well as the first-cause predicate, for the macro social evolution of mankind to free itself from the bondage of fellow man; for the desire to strive to reach the pinnacle of life's existence despite the daily needs of the stomach. Even the successful struggle for survival of the freedom of the natural man in the social Darwinian jungle depends on it.

The Path For All Mankind

Notice the culmination of the human state of existence whence it has reached its zenith: “O soul that art at rest!” The Holy Qur'an does not say: O conscience that art at rest! For we know all too well how easily conscience can be put at rest. It also does not say: O intelligence that art at rest! For we also well understand how reliance on superior intelligence to craft the mission statement of life, and the morality that governs it, can easily lead to the enslavement of the masses. All theistic world religions which propound the existence of the soul offer some prescription to elevate its state to its highest level of existence for the masses. For Islam, one cannot claim that the aforementioned state of the highest level of consciousness whereby the soul, no longer in a state of turmoil, expressed metaphorically in these verses, isn't an all encompassing and most general specification for the real purpose of life's journey!

Provided of course, one believes that man's life has some inherent purpose, and which the secular humanists who posit the wholly material conception of man, do not accept. Nor do they accept the notion of Revelation. Nor any purpose to life other than what each man or his community and nation themselves assign it, as its occurrence is deemed “accidental”, and for which Nietzsche proposed the “will to power” of the superior intellect to accelerate the social evolution of man beyond the semantic straight-jacket of “good and
evil” that theism is enchained in. The empirical role of the superior intellect – and not merely the abstract thought expounded by secular humanists to sell it to the world – in crafting mankind's value system, and thus its direction of evolution, is examined in the essay: Morality derived from the Intellect leads to Enslavement! (PDF).

Islam's conception of higher states of consciousness commences with the recipe outlined in Surah al-Asr, and culminates in the soul that art at rest, for every spiritual being who defines itself as more than just the materialist collection of physical atoms and bio-chemical reactions. Anyone, of any persuasion and belief system, except the Richard Dawkins variety of course, can strive in that path of the well-lived life which is Islam's prescription for the journey to increasing levels of consciousness, without giving up their own natural socialization into their respective tribes, nations and religions.

This often neglected aspect of a profoundly spiritual world religion which claims to be moral guidance for a well lived life, is examined in the article: Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization (PDF). It is evidently easy to miss this higher state of spiritual consciousness in which material striving is now first-cause driven by spiritual realizations rather than by the Darwinian instinct for survival, when the propaganda machinery worldwide is so brazenly distorting the religion of Islam. The tortuous beliefs and practices of the Muslim world, so ensconced in the straight-jacket of socialization and culture, betraying their own bankruptcy in higher states of consciousness, does not help absorb and expatiate the religion of Islam either.

**Subversion of Spirituality**

This subversion of preventing the public from seeking higher states of consciousness to increase their spirituality coefficient has evidently been necessary in all organized religions which have been adopted as state religions of empires. The Roman Catholic Church profoundly distorted Christianity to serve Emperor Constantine and the Roman Empire. Its legacy is found in the many vestigial of what speciously passes as the moral code of conduct taught by Jesus Christ throughout the world. The Muslim Caliphates distorted Islam to dominate the world with dynastic empires of their own that came to rival and surpass the Roman empire for over seven hundred years. The white man's burden replaced that for the next seven hundred years. Today, the creed of Secular Humanism is distorting all religions to construct world government, a new global empire of the oligarchy that goes by the name of New World Order.

It may be observed by the discerning mind that this suppression of higher levels of consciousness has been most cunningly performed by resemanticizing the meaning and practice of the word “spirituality” from its original intent, of raising the levels of consciousness of the public mind whereby the mind itself can perceive and experience all reality, both physical and metaphysical, the way it actually is. Once that capacity is developed, everything else naturally follows; like being free and able to add two plus two correctly, and to proclaim the result publicly without fear, from which all freedoms naturally follow. Both are important axioms for non predatory macro social human development, and both are the first to be subverted by the Übermensch. The new meaning imparted by virtually every organized religion to spiritualism is in fact pretty standard. It is to limit spirituality to rituals of personal worship (even if practiced collectively in congregations), to personal loving of personal God, to personal piety, to personal morality, to personal charity, etc., whereby it is speciously argued that by individuals focussing on their own personal morality and personal worship, all good
to society will eventual follow.

The implications of this “mere” shifting of emphasis from the primary first-cause purpose of moral codes, the development of higher states of consciousness among the masses from which all else would naturally follow, to the development of some of its narrower side effects such as personal morality, are nothing but monumental. The principal motivation for the practice of religion and spirituality has been most diabolically pushed off to merely seeking selfish rewards in some afterlife for one's personal morality. This has an immediate and direct impact on society.

Primacy of the sociopaths and empires now comes to flourish at the macro social levels because the public mind is primed not to interdict it. It is no longer part of the moral code for the well lived life. That omission over time becomes naturally ingrained as the meaning of religion and fosters servitude and obedience to rulers generation after generation. What a brilliant coup d'état of cunning misdirection by the forces of evil. If you can get to heaven on the prayer mat while giving alms to the indigent as the peak of your spirituality, what's the point of standing up to evil and their enslaving systems of power and getting needlessly butchered in the process! The fast-path to heaven is infinitely better. Looks familiar?

**Solution Space**

It should be self-evident by now that only by embarking on that spiritual journey is man able to take care of the principal issues raised in the main article examining the limitations of the documentary Thrive, of overcoming the predatory instincts that create primacy, deprivation, and servitude to fellow man. An examination of the scriptures of all religions reveal that this solution space is uniformly associated with the “inner struggle” for enlightenment which is deemed necessary in order to even begin to conquer evil unleashed in society in its absence. The Holy Qur'an has termed that striving “jihad-un-nafs” and predicated its existence in the spiritual man before man can start building heaven right here on earth. Some ancient spiritual societies like those practicing Shamanism in the Amazonian jungles tickle this spiritual hunger leading to their higher states of consciousness and spiritual healing, with aphrodisiacs. Mystics and monks throughout the ages have tickled this spiritual hunger to develop their higher levels of consciousness with meditation, self-denial and, like the character in Rudyard Kipling's Kim, by making long journeys in search of the river of the arrow.

Howsoever the spiritual hunger is first tickled, some take short-cuts and others take long-paths, the striving for its satiation that is devoid of acts for the well lived life, remains barren and still-born! It is unable to transform society at the macro social levels. The instincts for primacy consequently remain unbridled and unleashed among the best minds of the sociopaths, and their predatory practices become more and more sophisticated over time. The macro social civilizational challenge for mankind is to lay the foundation of the spiritual man among hoi polloi, to raise their states of consciousness, so that they can at least defend themselves from Social Darwinianism.

That exercise requires the nurturing of the trifecta of human existence, mind body and spirit, as a whole, from cradle to grave. It follows that education systems which today focus mainly on the development of the mind-body nexus to principally fashion economic widgets “content to labor hard all day long” as per Bernard de Mandeville's fable of the bees (PDF), must reframe their emphasis to incorporate the third element of the trifecta as an integral component of education at all levels (see My Dream University, PDF).
That outcome of course can never be permitted because education systems are controlled by the same social Darwinians who prefer to control and direct human behavior such that hoi polloi come to love their own servitude; as was also poignantly captured by essayist Aldous Huxley. Even the former National Security Advisor of the United States of America, hinted at that general direction of mass behavior control in his seminal 1970 book Between Two Ages (PDF): “Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, 'I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain.”

A great deal has been learnt empirically of the forces that govern human behavior, and of the forces that manipulate the mind which manipulate human behavior, in the last century alone, and especially in the military-academic research labs of the West. It has formed the core basis of the many so called “truth-extraction” and behavior modification programs of the military (see The Manipulation of Human Behavior by Biderman and Zimmer, 1961, which has an extensive bibliography of the empirical state of behavioral research and its understanding over fifty plus years ago, and which betrays how easily the mind is made given the right environmental and/or bio-chemical stimulus).

The understanding of the frailty and manipulability of the human mind gleaned from such coercive experiments of behavior modification, also understandably finds its way into the broad spectrum techniques of social engineering that now span the whole gamut of mass behavior control: from fashioning individual Manchurian candidates for the military in the name of national security to United we Stand the masses in the name of patriotism; and from fashioning happy-happy corporate fodder as human resources slaving away their lifetime to make their employers richer, to fashioning happy-happy consumers encouraged to enjoy the heady-living of the 'American Dream' under perpetual debt slavery.

All of this modern social engineering which underwrites not just the Western civilization, but also virtually all Eastern civilizations as well, is easily nullified by the dumbed-down hoi polloi and their useful idiot stewards developing even a modicum of higher states of consciousness. That exercise, and only that exercise, is the antithetical solution-space to the perpetual problem of social predation in both power relationships (“I was only following orders” ala Adolf Eichmann) and in making the public mind by perception management (“I thought I was being attacked” ala The Mighty Wurlitzer, PDF). Which is why the most sophisticated psychological efforts are made in every Darwinian society to dumb down the populace and keep them perpetually trapped between bread and circuses, or god and king, to make it easier to control them.

That is the base reality of not just Western Democracy, but in fact, all political systems that have been seen to date in which a not so hidden elite class rules from behind the scenes while maintaining the illusion of the public's self-empowerment. Its most egregious spectacle in its most superlative façade is of course what's reenacted every four years in the sole superpower to sell its Democracy to the world. Who, witnessing its elaborate electioneering charade outside the shores of America, does not think: “what an idiotic brain-washed public”? (see Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy!, PDF).
We see the accuracy of these observations empirically. From Plato's depiction of mind control in his seminal *Myth of the Cave* 2500 years ago; to Machiavelli's *The Prince* which virtually underwrites the practice of modern day statecraft and is the cardinal basis of its state secrecy laws with which the public should be kept uninformed; to Hegel's technique of synthesis from deliberate destruction through the clash of opposites, called the *Hegelian Dialectic*, which is being used today for Machiavellianly maneuvering the world into a particular direction; to Freud's discovery of the *irrational mind* which is today used most cunningly for behavior control by exploiting man's natural fears and baser instincts that are buried deep within his subconscious: from marketing political theories to egregious lifestyles and soap bars; is one continuous axis of management of *hoi polloi* for the narrow self-interests of the few.

These more abstruse techniques of *making the mind*, i.e., those surpassing straightforward indoctrination, namely socialization and education, and cognitive control through news media, bypass the *cognitive mind* and direct themselves to what has come to be known in mainstream science as the *subconscious mind* – the mind that is often awake when the *cognitive mind* is asleep. While its discovery and witting emotional manipulation is now more than a century old, how it manifests itself in the physical brain is yet to be uncovered by science. Arguably, the sole antidote for its manipulation is the *spiritual mind*, which too, and also like the soul and how it manifests itself in the human body, is yet to be discovered by science. But which has been profoundly addressed by sublime religions for thousands of years in almost every advanced culture and civilization. Science is yet to catch-up on the full construct of the mind, never mind the soul. It is a limitation of modern day scientists, a by product of their own one-track materialist education, that they tend to deny what they cannot measure, comprehend, falsify, or offer pat formulations and axiomatic theories for. Often bordering on ignorance, their arrogance can be childlike, but far more devastating for a perceptive understanding of complex reality *the way it actually is!* See for instance Rupert Sheldrake's *Dispelling the Ten Dogmas of Materialism and Freeing the Spirit of Enquiry* (youtube), and this author's *Letter to Richard Dawkins - Error in the First Chapter of 'The God Delusion'*.

The development of higher levels of consciousness has remained the principal spiritual teaching of all moral codes recorded in the history of man, once their symbolism is pierced and the veil is lifted from their rituals to better understand their core. However, if the modern techniques of mind manipulation and behavior control passes from 'mere' perception management to the stage of bio-chemical tampering, DNA manipulation, and the wholesale construction of a scientifically arranged utilitarian caste hierarchy, the most dystopic version of which is expressed in Aldous Huxley's *Brave New World*, the capacity to experience higher states of consciousness beyond what each man is tailored for by his creators, will be outright eliminated.

Scary? Not if one is immersed in the *'American Dream'*, or trapped between *bread and circuses*, where that capacity of higher consciousness is already co-opted (but not eliminated) in the majority of the species. Those immersed in religion and caught between *god and church*, from time immemorial, have been indoctrinated to wait patiently for some *Deiticial Intervention* to deliver them from man's bondage, or existential crises. That belief remains strong even today among more than two thirds of the world's population. Man's natural capacity for spiritual hunger which is only groomed and nurtured in higher states of consciousness, has been easily misdirected to await the *Savior*.

By examining the cultural and religious history of civilizations, it becomes apparent that religious
rituals have been essential in preserving moral teachings and passing them down from generation to generation. Presumably, one may safely surmise, until such time when these would finally be understood and acted upon to engender mankind's attention on developing higher states of consciousness; to build heaven right here on earth for everyone, instead of selfishly seeking Heaven for oneself in Afterlife. Or seeking earthly blessings and granting of wishes through these rituals.

Unfortunately, as is true of the followers of all three Abrahamic religions, empty rituals have dominated the practice of moral codes in most societies and civilizations to this very date despite the march of sophistication and wherewithal in an increasingly smaller world. Never mind the advanced Judeo-Christian secular West prospering at the expense of the rest of the untermenschen world, Muslims ritualizing Karbala remembrance to the exclusion of living its categorical imperative to take down tyranny is a living example. Hindus celebrating the wisdom, bravery, or morality of iconic Hindu deities in elaborate rituals is another contemporary example of a five thousand years old civilization persistently passing down moral teachings packaged in rituals to the deaf, dumb and blind.

These teachings to stand up to evil, often faithfully preserved in cultural rituals and heritage memory, so dramatically fail to achieve their obvious purpose of transformation of society principally because lifting the veil off of their metaphorical symbolism and extracting the categorical imperatives to live by, is predicated upon developing higher levels of consciousness.

The pangs of hunger of the soul must precede the acts that can satiate it.

The ubermensch rulers cannot permit that to become the mainstream value of the mass population regardless of how developed, advanced, and sophisticated the society becomes. Or else the elites cannot thrive. Thus, as captured in both real life and in erudite fables that depict scientific dystopia, the public mind continues to remain trapped in rituals and dogmas. The society easily ostracizes anyone attempting to rise above it. Those able to manipulate the public mind do so with impunity. They feed it on foods that is craved at its lowest level of consciousness. Voluntary servitude and predatory Social Darwinianism is the natural outcome of ritualized spiritualism.

QED.