

Understanding ISIS: Islamic State of Iraq and Syria

Zahir Ebrahim | [Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

This is also Part IX of *Raahe-Nijaat* (the way out) series on Pakistan

[Part-I](#), [Part-II](#), [Part-III](#), [Part-IV](#), [Part-V](#), [Part-VI](#), [Part-VII](#), [Part-VIII](#), [Part-IX](#)

[Part-I](#), [Part-II](#), [Part-III](#), [Part-IV](#), [Part-V](#), [Part-VI](#), [Part-VII](#), [Part-VIII](#), [Part-IX](#)

First, one must comprehend the theory behind fomenting controlled chaos of “revolutionary times” by supporting both sides of the conflict. The concept of Insurgency and counter-insurgency as a war paradigm for sustaining “imperial mobilization” under the cover of controlled chaos is explained in: [“Insurgency vs. Counter Insurgency”](http://tinyurl.com/Insurgency-Counterinsurgency) (<http://tinyurl.com/Insurgency-Counterinsurgency>). Fomenting and harvesting “revolutionary times” with self-inflicted or manufactured terror is explained in the tutorial [“Understanding Self-Inflicted Terror”](http://tinyurl.com/Manufacturing-Terror) (<http://tinyurl.com/Manufacturing-Terror>).

The new militant “Islamic State” with “caliphate” galore is only the manufactured progression of going from empire's own **stateless antediluvian actors** playing their scripted “Taliban - Al Qaeda terrorist” role as public enemy number one of the United States and the civilized world, to **stateful antediluvian actors** playing their scripted “ISIS - terrorists' expansionist” role as public enemy number one of the United States and the civilized world. Twenty-first century barbarians being brought to power to foment not just the “*Clash of Civilizations*”, as the erstwhile Jewish political theorist Samuel Huntington at Harvard University, and still ever present “*foremost Western scholar of Islam*”, Jewish prof. Bernard Lewis at Princeton University, had formulated it in the 1990s, but the “*Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians*” as Daniel Pipes, the Jewish Islamophobe drum beater of “radical Islam”, more accurately couched the empirical manifestations of “militant Islam” after 9/11.

The nemesis is similar to the manufacture of Christian NAZI socialism and godless Soviet Communism in the twentieth century. Both similarly characterized upon their fabrication. Both secretly supported and funded by Wall Street to fabricate potent stateful enemies to wage World Wars against in order to force the creation of a new oligarchic world order from the ashes of the old

world left behind.

That clash of the opposites is necessary to *raise the phoenix from the ashes*, so to speak --- a greater and greater *combine* which eventually encompasses the entire earth as the natural culmination of an expansionist state.

That clash is political theory based *modus operandi* most vociferously pursued by the German political philosopher Friedrich Hegel. A one-world global state systematically fashioned out of back to back Hegelian Dialectic seeded deadly conflicts of opposing forces that last multiple generations, but which piece-meal result in the advocacy and adoption of predefined solutions that achieve the predesired outcome of world government.

Hegelian Dialectic has now been empirically shown to be not just a political theory, but the most effective and fastest format for engineering consent for a new world order of world government – the singular dream of all world conquering despots that has hitherto remained unfulfilled. The wholly Jewish concoction of “militant Islam” vs “moderate Islam” as a Hegelian Dialectic has previously been examined in the seminal study [“Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer - Architecture of Modern Propaganda for Psychological Warfare”](http://tinyurl.com/MightyWurlitzer) (<http://tinyurl.com/MightyWurlitzer>). Hegelian Dialectic is explained in [“Hegelian Dialectic - What is it?”](http://tinyurl.com/Hegelian-Dialectic-What-is-it) (<http://tinyurl.com/Hegelian-Dialectic-What-is-it>).

Our golden scientific era, which Zbigniew Brzezinski called the *Technetronic Era*, has created the means to rule the whole world as never before, and the *ubermensch* oligarchic forces that run the sole superpower on earth along with its vassal states, have seized that opportunity for primacy; an outcome that is inevitable when primacy defines imperatives and nations ruled by men who make laws to legalize their primacy. Since the exercise of primacy requires the existence of a persistent and continuous threat to the well-being of the masses, thus the headlines announcing the new phantasmal terror threat to Western civilization: **“Islamic State 'beyond anything we've seen'”**

'Washington: The Islamic State poses a greater danger than conventional “terrorist group” and is pursuing a vision that could radically alter the face of the Middle East, US Defence Leader said Thursday [August 21, 2014].

The IS jihadists could be contained and eventually defeated by local forces backed by the United States, but the Sunni population in both Syria and Iraq would need to reject the group, Defense Secretary Chuck Hagel and General Martin Dempsey told reporters.

Hagel warned that the Islamic State is better armed, trained and funded than any

recent militant threat.

“They marry ideology and a sophistication of strategy and tactical military prowess. They are tremendously well funded. This is beyond anything we’ve seen,” Hagel told a news conference.

Dempsey, the chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, said that the group adheres to a fanatical ideology and has “a long term vision” to take over Lebanon, Israel, and Kuwait. If they achieve that vision, it would fundamentally alter the face of the Middle East and create a security environment that would certainly threaten us in many ways,” he said.

... The bombing runs and humanitarian aid to the local population [Zahir's note: *the guns and butter* again] have stalled the Islamic State's “momentum and enabled Iraqi and Kurdish forces to regain their footing and take the initiative”.

Asked if the US would hit the militants in neighboring Syria, Hagel did not rule out the option but did not indicate strikes there were imminent.' --- AFP, Friday August 22, 2014, via Dawn.com

Now the “ISIS” will finally begin to make rational sense. It is a wholly manufactured enemy, created for a specific purpose: continued “imperial mobilization” in the pretext of countering this nemesis. “ISIS” is just another armored division of the US-UK-NATO military nexus comprising both *useful idiots*, and trained mercenaries, both wearing barbarian uniforms and being carefully choreographed by the same high command that sets up military invasions in the sole superpower's collaborative but highly compartmentalized nexus. *American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives* have been cunningly transformed from the primacy of the national flag to the primacy for world government of the oligarchy riding the national flag.

Listen to Michel Chossudovsky on *Guns and Butter* with Bonnie Faulkner deconstruct: “[ISIS: An Instrument of the Western Military Alliance](#)” ([or click here to stream](#)):

“ISIS rampage through northern Iraq a staged event; special forces integrated within the terror brigades; U.S. supporting both sides; transformation of countries into territories: Sunni Caliphate, Independent Kurdistan, Shia Arab Republic; Iran being sucked into conflict; water wars; long-term U.S. geopolitical objectives.” ---

<http://archives.kpfa.org/data/20140618-Wed1300.mp3>

What Michel Chossudovsky did not address in that interview, is the overarching agenda behind the piece-meal *“transformation of countries into territories”* out of once sovereign nation-states; states which were themselves carved out of long running empires in the last century. But none as smart as Michel Chossudovsky and Bonnie Faulkner are ever unaware of the hidden motivations which give rise to facts and events that are recorded by historians and rewarded for their labors. Those seeking out the covert motivations and the covert forces that drive these facts and events over time and space and often made to appear disjoint and unconnected, if their discoveries fall on the wrong side of empire's standard model for sanctioned narratives, are of course only the “conspiracy theorists” (sic)! But beleaguered nations seeking self-defence cannot do so effectively using the standard model of the empire. It is like having the fox guard the hen house and daily preaching to the hens how to protect themselves!

Now contrast this forensic dismantling of the diabolical Hegelian Dialectic with the virtuous officialdom's pious version, the standard model for all mainstream narratives: [“US Offers Iraq 'Intense And Sustained' Support”](#) (Sky news, June 23, 2014) and [“Kerry confronts threat of new war in Iraq”](#) (AP, June 23, 2014).

'BAGHDAD — Confronting the threat of civil war in Iraq, U.S. Secretary of State John Kerry flew to Baghdad on Monday to personally urge the Shiite-led government to give more power to political opponents before a Sunni insurgency seizes more control across the country and sweeps away hopes for lasting peace.

The meeting scheduled between Kerry and Iraqi Prime Minister Nouri al-Maliki was not expected to be friendly, given that officials in Washington have floated suggestions that the Iraqi premier should resign as a necessary first step toward quelling the vicious uprising. Nor will it likely bring any immediate, tangible results, as al-Maliki has shown no sign of leaving and Iraqi officials have long listened to — but ultimately ignored — U.S. advice to avoid appearing controlled by the decade-old specter of an American occupation in Baghdad.

“This is a critical moment where, together, we must urge Iraq's leaders to rise above sectarian motivations and form a government that is united in its determination to meet the needs and speak to the demands of all of their people,” Kerry said a day earlier in Cairo. He was there in part to meet with Egyptian President Abdel-Fattah el-Sissi to and discuss a regional solution to end the bloodshed by the insurgent Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant, or ISIL.

“No country is safe from that kind of spread of terror, and none of us can afford to leave that entity with a safe haven which would become a base for terror against anyone and all, not only in the region but outside of the region as well,” Kerry said in Cairo.’ AP, June 23, 2014, <http://news.yahoo.com/kerry-confronts-threat-war-iraq-065105238--politics.html>

While keeping the Hegelian Dialectic of threat from “militant Islam” wielding insurgents intact, US retired Lieutenant General Michael T. Flynn, former head of the US Defence Intelligence Agency (DIA) and JSOC, lends some left-handed (diluted) confirmation from the horse's mouth of the arming, and aiding and abetting, of ISIS (ISIL, Daesh). In his interview to [Al-Jazeera English](#) ([watch here](#)) in Washington D.C. on July 29, 2015, titled: “**Is the US to blame for ISIL?**”, ret'd. General Michael T. Flynn stated:

General Flynn: We are at war with a radical component of Islam. In a way I believe is that Islam is a political ideology based on a religion. [correcting himself at the prompting of the interviewer] Islamism. Islamism is an ideology based on a religion. So when I say I have been at war with Islam, I mean I have sat down with members of the al Qaeda, members of the Taliban, that are my age, very well educated, and I have asked them: WHY, what is it that's going wrong somewhere that we are fighting each other. What is your excuse? And if the excuse is that the West is bad, you know, the Jews of Israel are bad, that's not a good excuse.

Interviewer: [prompting the General to differentiate the political group using the religion of Islam as cover from people who believe in the religion]

General Flynn: I don't agree, I don't agree, the serious leaders of these groups absolutely believe that their version of Islam is the right version, the correct version.

Interviewer: [again prompting the General with more known facts] We are now seeing reports that the top ranks of ISIL are filled with Baathist ex-army officers from Sadaam's regime, they are not all religious fanatics. ... [MI-5 says that:] “*far from being religious zealots, a large number of those involved in terrorism do not practice their faith regularly, and could actually be regarded as religious novices*”

General Flynn: I don't disagree with that. But I will tell you that there is a sufficient number of leaders in, still in, al Qaeda, and definitely in this group we call ISIL, their religious beliefs are very strong.

Interviewer: and therefore it's a religious war in your view?

General Flynn: I think that it's a political war. It's a political war. But I think they use the excuses that they have. It's a political ideology based on a religion. We have to come to grips with that.

Interviewer: [prompting the General to admit America's own role in the rise of ISIS] In 2012, three years ago, let's just be clear here for the sake of our viewers, in 2012 your agency was saying, quote: [reading from a previously classified August 2012 DIA document made public through FOIA] *“the Salafists, the Muslim Brotherhood, and al Qaeda in Iraq, are the major forces driving insurgency in Syria.”* In 2012, the US was helping coordinate arms transfers to those same groups. Why did you not stop that? If you are worried about the rise of Islamic extremism? ... The administration turned a blind eye to your analysis?

General Flynn: I don't know if they turned a blind eye. I think it was a decision. It was a willful decision.

Interviewer: A willful decision to support an insurgency that had Salafists, al Qaeda, ...

General Flynn: The decision to do what they are doing.

(<http://www.aljazeera.com/programmes/headtohead/2015/07/blame-isil-150728080342288.html> , video <http://youtube.com/watch?v=SG3j8OYKgn4> , snippet from time 05:20 to 12:30, transcribed by Project Humanbeingsfirst, parenthesis are transcriber's summary of the Interviewer's prompts)

As one can see, the confession of the General is not the usual “blowback” or “oops” theory, as both the domestic antagonists of the American administration and the dissent con-artists in the United States and elsewhere have pitched of ISIS. Indeed in much the same manner that they had previously pitched “al Qaeda” and “OBL” attack on the United States on September 11, 2001. The American General, confirming the support and arming of ISIS by the American government, carefully characterized it as deliberate, and not some miscalculated blowback of a policy gone awry: ***“I think it was a decision. It was a willful decision.”***

Observe how the well-rehearsed Al Jazeera interviewer was cleverly used as the foil to lend continuous legitimacy to the official propaganda line of threat from “militant Islam” via narrative

control within strict confines of the establishment's party line, and its repetition ad nauseam. Only under the careful aegis of that old core lie, which was first conveniently reestablished very early on in the interview with the help of the willing useful idiot who spoke perfect British English, was this new confession of it being “**a willful decision**” of the American government, carefully made by the newly retired American General.

This lauded half-truth telling, characterized more by what it did not say than what it said, is along the same template that Zbigniew Brzezinski had previously established in his testimony before the US Senate Foreign Relations Committee on February 01, 2007 (read the fascinating testimony [PDF](#)), as the self-serving trend for confirming what many astute “conspiracy theorists” already perceive or easily surmise, those able to call a spade a spade, able to add two plus two correctly in public, of the on-going covert warfare wrapped in “plausible deniability” being waged amidst propaganda cover of some *Big Lie*. It is at times confirmed ex post facto in some diluted form that Henry Kissinger and Richard Nixon termed “Limited Hangout” (recorded on the Nixon tapes), or for bragging rights as Zbigniew Brzezinski and Robert Gates revealed a decade after the end of the Cold War (see [Imperial Surrogates and 'Terror Central' in Operation Gladio Redux](#), <http://tinyurl.com/Imperial-Surrogates>), or directly under the aegis of the *Big Lie* when it suits the powers that be to introduce new assertions, or confirmation of what's already obvious, into their own party line narratives (through official leaks or belated and diluted admissions) which are to be further spinned for new mileage or damage control while keeping the *Big Lie* securely intact. They are the masters of narrative control (see [Masters of Dissent](#), <http://tinyurl.com/Dying-Songbird>) who have wisely retaken their own leaf back from Adolph Hitler's *Mein Kampf* on the basic elements of a successful propaganda campaign to corral “*the crowd of simpletons and the credulous*” (see Preamble of [Manufacturing Dissent](#), <http://tinyurl.com/Manufacturing-Dissent-2008>).

The *signal* in Flynn's statements, carefully wrapped in the sea of propaganda *noise* and half truths, is: “***I don't know if they turned a blind eye. I think it was a decision. It was a willful decision.***” That the rise of ISIS, ISIL, Daesh, whatever its name, is not short-sighted policy gone awry, but the rise of insurgency is the government policy itself.

So what's up Doc? Why even confirm what is already known? Is it merely the pre-election WWF posturing to speciously show that the Republicans are different from the Democrats, to *make the public mind* in favor of the new team slated to come into the White House after eight years of the “change” mantra of the incumbent president which saw more and more of the same as the team it had previously replaced (see [Mr. Obama – The Post Modern Coup](#), <http://tinyurl.com/Obama-Postmodern-Coup-2008>)?

This history of Machiavellianly *making the public mind* is important for the American voters to comprehend as they get all excited about electioneering in their famed democracy every four years. This next time in 2016, the American voters shall be re-ushering in the same Republicans who had previously given them the George Bush presidency from which they had voted for escape for the “change” presidency of the Democratic Obama. The “change” this time will be back to the Republicans. There is really no change in the policy of primacy and its geostrategic imperatives. There is no escape for the American public from American Primacy on the Grand Chessboard because it is not controlled by who they vote into the White House. It is controlled by the hidden from public view *National Security State*, which in turn is controlled by the iron fist of the hidden from public view ruling oligarchy, the bosses behind the scenes seeking world government. Only the tactics may differ slightly by the visible occupants of the White House, if at all, to keep the lip service to the American constitution and its tradition of democracy going on paper for a while longer. The specious elections also sustain America's pious preaching to the world.

The fact that America is a hard police-state both at home and abroad is not a point of differentiation to exercise between the two dominant parties in any election since 9/11. The mantra that America is at war with “militant Islam” and/or rogue states is a sacred presupposition common among both parties and the establishment. Now the bizarre and most barbaric ISIS/ISIL flying the false flag of Islam has been added to the mix with a hammerhead.

An aware and self-respecting public might instead hold a legal referendum on the corrupted system which merely obliges them to alternately choose between the left and the right *smelly sock* worn by the oligarchy, by withholding their vote entirely. The people don't have to do any politicking, take out protest marches, or risk their livelihood and safety by taking a bold stand in public. All they have to do in America to be effective is to simply not vote! It is their legal right, both to vote when the system is not corrupt, and to not vote when it is corrupted ab initio. A low voter turnout on election day legally strips away the facade of legitimacy from the corrupt system (see [Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy!](http://tinyurl.com/Referendum-by-Not-Voting), <http://tinyurl.com/Referendum-by-Not-Voting>). A completely co-opted system which is perniciously destroying their nation piece-meal, in every new fabricated crisis and manufactured terror threat, to create a world government of the oligarchy using America's military might as both the hammer and the anvil.

What the half-truth telling brave American General, retired but still bound by all the official state secrets acts for his lifetime, intentionally left out in his “modified limited hangout” candor is how ISIL, ISIS, Daesh, and whatever new name it may be given in the future, is really a well financed covert division of the US military itself, staffed with a variegated coterie of private contractors, mercenaries,

useful idiots, and other well trained and behavior controlled dupes and patsies playing the scripted insurgency vs. counter-insurgency con game to keep “revolutionary times” fomented for as long as it is needed to achieve the predefined policy objectives. General Flynn must have been given permission, and well rehearsed, to make even that “limited hangout” admission within the confines of the core lies of imperial mobilization.

Capisce?

Short URL: <http://tinyurl.com/Understanding-ISIS>

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2015/08/understanding-isis-by-zahir-ebrahim.html>

Faith-Politico URL: <http://faith-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2015/08/understanding-isis-by-zahir-ebrahim.html>

Home page URL: <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2015/09/understanding-isis-by-zahir-ebrahim.html>

PDF: <http://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/understanding-isis-by-zahir-ebrahim.pdf>

First published August 03, 2015 | Last updated Thursday, September 3, 2015 07:00 PM 3507