

What's the truth about modern medicine?

Zahir Ebrahim | [Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

First Published Saturday, November 19, 2011 | Revised Thursday, July 9, 2015

This comprehensive report looks forensically at the world of modern medicine from an alternate perspective. And oh what a difference even a slight shift can make!



Caption Perspective: Oh what a difference even a slight shift can make! (Image courtesy of Desiree L. Rover's Presentation on Vaccinations, August 1, 2009)

I

We already know what the perspective is from the eyes of innocence. It is best captured in the momentous words of international banker and chairman emeritus

of Chase Manhattan Bank, David Rockefeller himself: **"Improved public health, has caused the world's infant mortality rate to decline by 60 percent over the last 40 years. In the same period, the world's average life expectancy has increased from 46 years in 1950s to 63 years today. This is a development which as individuals we can only applaud."** (see speech below)

To examine the perspective from the eyes of a predator however, perhaps the best place to begin is with my exclamation on learning the following:

"Bed-bugs cause smallpox! I had no idea. In Pakistan, as children, like all of our generation in most developing nations, we were inoculated against smallpox and I remember being taught that it was spread by human contact!" --- Zahir

Ebrahim's incredulous response to the sleuthing by [Twelfth Bough](#) on their discovering the remarkable [research of Dr. Charles A. R. Campbell, M. D.](#) and the following revealing talk by medical research journalist Desiree L. Rover.

[Désirée Röver I: Vaccinations, Weapons of Mass Destruction - State of the Planet Conference, August 1, 2009](#)

[<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fa2TcARACrg>]





WHEN HISTORY IS NOT STUDIED
it tends to repeat itself

03:07 / 56:42 480p YouTube

EDUCATION & CONTROL

- **1847** American Medical Association (AMA)
- **1850** Bill Rockefeller poses as a doctor (*Nujol, Flit*)
- **1890** University of Chicago (John D. Rockefeller)
- **1903** Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research
- **1904** Rockefeller Education Fund (take-over medical education)
- **1910** Flexner Report
- **1927** International Education Board (Rockefeller)

03:27 / 56:42 480p YouTube

EUGENICS

- **1863** Galton creates *eugenics*
- **1904** Cold Spring Harbor (eugenics, Human Genome Project)
- **1910** Eugenics Record Office, Cold Spring Harbor
- **1921** 2nd International Conference on Eugenics, New York
- **1921** Birth Control League Margaret Sanger
- **1925** American Eugenics Society (in Yale university)
- **1926** Kaiser Wilhelm Institut (eugenics, today: Max Planck Institut)

05:47 / 56:42 480p YouTube



Caption: Some slides from Desiree Rover's presentation

[Désirée Röver II: "Vaccinations - the unknown story" at the Open Mind Conference 2012](#)

[<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dCDbup1eo8Q>]

Now let's watch David Rockefeller, whose grandfathers were the key architects of modern Western medicine, express his grave concerns for overpopulation.

[David Rockefeller speaks about population control at the UN Ambassadors' Dinner](#)

[<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ClqUcScwnn8>]



"Ironically however, the very innovations that are making possible dramatic improvements in human well-being are also creating new problems which raise the spectre of an alarming

and possibly catastrophic disaster to the biosphere we live in. And herein lies the dilemma that we all face. Let me illustrate. Improved public health, has caused the world's infant mortality rate to decline by 60 percent over the last 40 years. In the same period, the world's average life expectancy has increased from 46 years in 1950s to 63 years today. This is a development which as individuals we can only applaud. **However the result of these positive measures is that the world population that has risen during the same short period of time geometrically to almost 6 billion people, and can exceed easily 8 billion by the year 2020. The negative impact of population growth on all of our planetary eco-systems is becoming appallingly evident.** The rapid growing exploitation of the world's supply of energy and water is a matter of deep concern. And the toxic by products of widespread industrialization and increased atmospheric pollution to dangerous levels. Unless nations will agree to work together to tackle these cross-border challenges posed by population growth over consumption of resources and environmental degradation, prospects for a decent life on our planet will be threatened. **The recent UN meeting in Cairo is appropriately focussed on one of these key issues, population growth.** But the controversies which have erupted at the conference illustrate the problem of coming to grips with issues that are deeply divisive and which have a profound moral dimension. The United Nations can and should play an essential role in helping the world find a satisfactory way of stabilizing the world population and stimulating economic development in a manner that is sensitive to religious and moral considerations. Economic growth is of course an inevitable corollary of a growing population, and is essential to improved standards of living. But without careful coordination, unrestrained economic growth poses further threats to our environment. **This was a major subject of discussion at the conference in Rio de Janeiro on the environment two years ago. The focus then was on sustainable growth, and global development.** [Agenda 21] It was pointed out at the conference that growth is most efficiently managed by the private sector, but regulation of the process by national governments and international

*bodies is also needed. **And once again, United Nations can certainly be among the catalysts and coordinators of this process.***" --- David Rockefeller, Annual UN Ambassadors' Dinner Sep 14, 1994

Why is the chairman emeritus of Chase Manhattan Bank, David Rockefeller, a private banker, so concerned about the earth's population? Who elected or appointed him to be so concerned? His enormous wealth? Indeed. And this concern of the private wealthy international bankers was most frankly articulated by Robert Strange McNamara, the former Secretary of Defence under presidents Kennedy and Johnson (1961 to 1968) who presided over the decimation of Vietnam, Laos and Cambodia, in 1970 as the new head of the World Bank upon leaving government service:

"... [Population growth is] the gravest issue that the world faces over the years ahead. ... It is not a world that any of us would want to live in. Is such a world inevitable? It is not sure but there are two possible ways by which a world of 10 billion people can be averted. Either the current birth rates must come down more quickly or the current death rates must go up. There is no other way." --- Robert McNamara, Speech to International bankers as Head of the World Bank, October 2, 1970, cited in [Population control, US bio-weapons research, McNamara, Rockefeller, and AIDS](#)

Why are these private unelected international bankers and war-mongers trying to reduce the world's population? Is there a political theory and social architecture behind all this? Well, if the Georgia Guidestones are to be believed, the answer is evidently yes. Etched in 18 feet tall granite stone monument in Elbert County, Georgia, USA, are the new Ten Commandments for a new world, written in English, Spanish, Swahili, Hindi, Hebrew, Arabic, Chinese, and Russian:

1. Maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature.
2. Guide reproduction wisely — improving fitness and diversity.
3. Unite humanity with a living new language.

4. Rule passion — faith — tradition — and all things with tempered reason.
5. Protect people and nations with fair laws and just courts.
6. Let all nations rule internally resolving external disputes in a world court.
7. Avoid petty laws and useless officials.
8. Balance personal rights with social duties.
9. Prize truth — beauty — love — seeking harmony with the infinite.
10. Be not a cancer on the earth — Leave room for nature — Leave room for nature.

Let's ask some probing questions here. How is Commandment number 1 on the Georgia Guidestones linked with:

1. the vaccination regimen of modern medicine given that the same international bankers who architected modern medicine, and who architected the modern private central banks which loan money on interest to Western governments as their national debt, are also the loudest in their expression of concern for overpopulation, for the degradation of the environment, and are the real powers behind the United Nations Agenda 21 which was signed in Rio in 1992 by almost all member states of the United Nations? (see [United Nations Agenda 21, condensed version, 10 minutes dummies' version, The Move to Depopulate the Planet By Stephanie R. Pasco, Codex Alimentarius & Nutricide by Dr. Rima Laibow, Agenda 21 for Dummies](#)) ;
2. the military grade bioweapons research and development of the most contagious viral pathogens along with their dispersal systems that can wipe out the entire human race? (see ['Anthrax isn't scary at all compared to this': Man-made flu virus with potential to wipe out many millions if it ever escaped is created in research lab](#), November 28, 2011)

Is the House of Rockefeller paradigm modern medicine, alongside the obviously deadly biowarfare contagion coming from both the military's and big-pharma's bioweapons research labs, out to implement what Robert Strange McNamara had so monumentally stated, and which only reminds one of Hellenic gods of antiquity

playing their mortifying games on earth: "***Either the current birth rates must come down more quickly or the current death rates must go up. There is no other way.***"?

Between the manmade diseases and its concocted poisonous antidotes, the Commandment number 1 on the Georgia Guidestones could be realized in some sophisticated bioweapon warfare protocol unsuspectingly unleashed on human populations without much compunction if some entities really meant to reduce the world's population to those levels, couldn't it?

Eustace Mullins' 1988 underground classic [Murder By Injection](#) spoke to the same conspiracy by the Rockefeller cabal controlled medical profession – to ultimately vaccinate people to death against viruses and to blame it on natural causes; in the interim to vaccinate people into poor-health from the moment of birth and make them dependent on big-pharma's palliative concoctions for the rest of their life, palliatives which treat symptoms and complications from treatments for lifetime. Man made viruses and pandemics can sure come in handy for that purpose of population reduction. The [Swine Flu Pandemic of 2009](#) and the pretext to [microchip](#) the population came to underscore the same [conclusions](#). It is not just "vaccination" by itself, which may or may not be effective treatment for specific disease with specific vaccine, and/or have harmful *iatrogenicity* (and there is surely something awry in this healthcare paradigm when a baby before it is one year old is administered 50 different vaccines and with increasing legal authority worldwide which makes refusing vaccine a "crime". See for example: [Balochistan govt to make refusal against polio vaccine 'a crime'](#), Dawn News, June 13, 2015; [Impending Mandatory Vaccinations Will Affect The Health, Jobs of Canadians](#), preventdisease.com, September 23, 2009; [Wake Up, America: Forced vaccinations, quarantine camps, health care interrogations and mandatory "decontaminations"](#), naturalnews.com, August 28, 2009.

The larger and more pressing concern is the calculated and premeditated infection with deadly biowarfare contagion developed in research laboratories and injected with vaccines into human bodies under the deceit of healthcare (often in forced vaccination programs) – *biological-bullet* vaccine weapons that directly provoke the disease and its epidemic dispersion; vaccines as combination weapons (vaccines that become a weapon when combined in the same person to trigger the immune

system but not weapons by themselves) that induce the body's immune system to fight and kill its own healthy cells and tissues – *auto-suicide* binary vaccine weapons; vaccines which affect only certain types of people with specific DNA or specific biological or immunological characteristics for selective genocide in the same or offspring generation – *targeted-genocide* binary weapons; etceteras. It is in this context that vaccines may come to rival the proverbial *Deathstar* (from Star Wars, a single weapon of mass destruction at planetary scale). The killing modalities with vaccines

The “oops” plan is one of the continuous marvels of information age where entire nations can be decimated with more Depleted Uranium laced tonnage of munitions than were dropped on Vietnam, their tabula rasa bombed to smithereens under carefully crafted mantras as pretext for *imperial mobilization*, and only the marvelous “oops” is sufficient for the *hectoring hegemons* to absolve themselves of the *white man's burden*.

are only limited by the mad scientists' collective imagination, and of course by the state of science. A good fictional narrative of the capabilities which are presented as entertaining science fiction today can be seen in the prescient Hollywood fable Bourne series, fourth episode, titled “Bourne Legacy”, and also in the movie “V for Vendetta”. The fact that big-pharma leader Baxter released real live Bird Flu Virus in their flu vaccine is of course only an accident, of *life imitating art*, as Baxter International claimed (see [Bloomberg: Baxter Sent Bird Flu Virus to European Labs by Error](#), February 24, 2009, and [Vaccines as Biological Weapons? Live Avian Flu Virus Placed in Baxter Vaccine Materials Sent to 18 Countries](#), March 03, 2009). Obvious questions like the following ones were raised even by mainstream narrators looking at the fishbowl with childlike simplicity. LifeGen.de, the German special interest online-magazine which claims to have 90,000 subscribers, in its editorial of February 26, 2009, pondered:

*“There is no excuse. According to the scientific network PROMED, Baxter International Inc. in Austria “unintentionally contaminated samples with the bird flu virus that were used in laboratories in 3 neighbouring countries, raising concern about the potential spread of the deadly disease”. Austria, Germany, Slovenia [Slovenia] and the Czech Republic – these are the countries in which labs were hit with dangerous viruses. **Not by bioterrorist commandos, but by***

Baxter. In other words: One of the major global pharmaceutical players seems to have lost control over a virus which is considered by many virologists to be one of the components leading some day to a new pandemic. Was it H5N1, or the even more risky H3N2? And what about the BSL3-Standards Baxter is operating when handling the viruses? What happened? And who failed?" -- LifeGen.de, [Baxter's H5N1 as global flu pandemic threat](#)

We see this theme repeating itself by their own admission! Had the "accident" succeeded in wiping out a large population of mankind, at worst, it would have been deemed a tragic "accident"! At best, only a natural pandemic. In either presentation of this public relations, the agenda of population reduction being achieved with no one to blame! The big-pharma has already been given legal immunity against any wrong-doings for their vaccines in the guise of rushing pandemic-fighting solutions rapidly to market. Even this "accident" had no punitive and legal repercussions for Baxter International as such! They are exempt even if their vaccines kill people! It is only an ex post facto "oops"! And that is precisely both the legal and the public relations plan – right before your very eyes!

The "oops" plan is one of the continuous marvels of information age where entire nations can be decimated with more Depleted Uranium laced tonnage of munitions than were dropped on Vietnam, their tabula rasa bombed to smithereens under carefully crafted mantras as pretext for *imperial mobilization*, and only the marvelous "oops" is sufficient for the *hectoring hegemony* to absolve themselves of the *white man's burden*. The Iraq Study Group of 2005 commissioned by president George W. Bush to "investigate" the "intelligence failure" on Iraq, set an incontrovertible trend to be repeated on all matters of primacy before the criminally idiotic public sufficiently dumbed down to permit the fait accompli in the first place. Afterwards – "oops" works, if even necessary: **"We conclude that the intelligence community was dead wrong in almost all of its prewar judgments about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. This was a major intelligence failure,"!**

Even admitting that it was Machiavellianly orchestrated, ex post facto is acceptable because it cannot undo what's already been wrought (see [Pentagon's Message](#)


[Machine](#), New York Times, April 20, 2008). A senior White House advisor had shamelessly explained the modern methods of primacy and its predatory imperatives to the New York Times correspondent in 2004, flush with the hubris of being untouchable: **"We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do."**! (Ron Suskind, New York Times, [Oct. 17, 2004](#)). The same modus operandi is at work in this yet another *create a problem and offer its solution* scheming of pandemic-vaccinations.

What this Baxter "accident" fortuitously betrayed to the world was the method's existence to infect the world population with deadly viruses through vaccines! And had it not been serendipitously caught by a diligent practitioner outside Baxter before administering it to humans, leading up to the forced vaccinations of civilian populations worldwide, shows that all the concerns which have been discussed above are not only practicable, but perhaps already in "clinical trials"! The legalized backing from global laws on vaccinations through the WHO is already in place to force public vaccination under the pretext of fighting the pandemic. Even the definition of what is a "pandemic" has extraordinarily been revised to facilitate its easy declaration: **"WHO has revised its definition of pandemic flu in response to current experience with A/H1N1."** [reported BMJ](#) in September 2009.

Despite all these disclosures, presenting its baby face of wonderment to the world, the Council on Foreign Relations in New York held a [Pandemic Influenza Conference](#) on October 16, 2009, where it complained about the anemic uptake in public vaccinations. One scholar from the audience lamented the public's resistance to being vaccinated against the swine flu during this stage-6 pandemic declaration in these words: **"[anti-vaccine movement] Crazy people who think the vaccine will kill you"**. The panelist thought up a clever public relations plan to increase the vaccine uptake (only half-jokingly I am sure): **"Having said that, does it work to mandate [vaccination]? I think what would work better would be to say that there was a shortage and people tend to buy more of something that's in demand. (Laughter.) We saw that -- there was one season where, really, people lined up all night to get a flu shot."** (see [The](#)

[Swine Flu Chronicles 2009: Why to say 'No' to the Swine Flu Vaccine\)](#)

Fortuitously for mankind, the 2009 Swine Flu Pandemic “clinical trial” actually was defeated by circumstances which could not be controlled by the vaccination committees peddling the pandemic despite all their advanced preparations. Even the DER SPIEGEL staff could only conclude in their best innocence after their exhaustive study which appeared in their March 12, 2010 issue: **“No one at the WHO, RKI or PEI should feel proud of themselves. These organizations have gambled away precious confidence. When the next pandemic arrives, who will believe their assessments?”** (see [The Swine Flu Postscript: 'Reconstruction of a Mass Hysteria – The Swine Flu Panic of 2009'](#)).



Caption Reconstruction of a Mass Hysteria – The Swine Flu Panic of 2009 (image source courtesy of [DER SPIEGEL staff](#))

Is all this alternate perspective just hyper-imagination? On the surface it seems far fetched doesn't it? What monstrous entity would be so heinous as to want to play god, and even not fear not getting away with it? Who has that kind of global power? Again – without a gestalt shift in perspective, the feline's instincts for predation cannot be understood by the baby staring at the beauty of creation!

But what really is the real truth regarding modern medicine?

Is it pro-life ("Primum non nocere": "First, do no harm"), or is it pro-population reduction?

If it is the latter, then how comes whenever I go to the doctor when I am ill I usually come back healthy – I should be dead by now if they were really trying to kill me!

But if they are really trying to heal me, and improved public health and safety is really the principal *prime directive*, then why is the big-pharma business model actually dependent on the public's illness?

Why are drugs, and especially vaccines, rushed to market with poor testing for safety and efficacy?

Why has big-pharma been given immunity from lawsuit for adverse effects of their concoctions? Why are the normal consumer protections removed from big-pharma?

And under this environment of enforced compliance and weak to zero consumer protection, why are mandatory vaccinations instituted with legal force under the threat of denial of privileges and services including imprisonment as punishment for non-compliance?

It is as if being an enslaved populace with no liberty to choose being the best form of healthcare! Sort of like saying that the safest place is a prison! Is that really in the public interest --- to enslave it for its own good?

How much more Orwellian can the Newspeak get before the public finally learns to love its own servitude?

Furthermore, even ignoring the aforesaid dystopic reality of social control, if they are really trying to heal me, and improved public health and safety is really the principal *prime directive*, then why do they preclude funding the research, development and testing of other non big-pharma healthcare modalities such as alternate medicine and not-for-profit medicine based on what is freely available in nature, just as they do big-pharma medicines and allopathic treatments? Why are

physicians and hospitals not trained in these alternate modalities of healthcare as part of the overall public health policy?

Is private big-pharma's monopoly control over the national and international healthcare parameters worldwide, and Rockefeller's restructuring of America's medical schools and teaching hospitals to cater to big-pharma, just good old fashioned monopoly capitalism like the one the private Federal Reserve Bank of the United States enjoys in issuing this nation's money? Both evidently enjoy the same clique of ownership controllers, the Western financial oligarchy rooted in the Rockefeller cabal in the United States and the Rothschild cabal in Europe (see [Rockefeller Medicine Men – Medicine and Capitalism in America by E. Richard Brown](#), 1979, and [Secrets of the Federal Reserve – The London Connection](#), 1952, 1993).

Well, if such private monopoly control is okay for the financial oligarchy controlling a nation's money supply (sic!), why is it not okay for the same oligarchy controlling the nation's health supply? David Rockefeller indeed took great pains in stating his altruistic preference at the UN Ambassador's dinner speech: ***"It was pointed out at the conference that growth is most efficiently managed by the private sector, but regulation of the process by national governments and international bodies is also needed. And once again, United Nations can certainly be among the catalysts and coordinators of this process."*** Well, the oligarchic cabal conveniently controls the most pertinent private sector, big-pharma, and also international bodies like the UN. The land for the present location of the United Nations headquarters in Manhattan was donated by the House of Rockefeller. The original UNO headquarters was proposed to be in the City of San Francisco on the West Coast of United States, but the pivots of power, the Eastern Establishment (see [Carroll Quigley's The Anglo-American Establishment](#), 1981, and [Antony Sutton's America's Secret Establishment - An Introduction to the Order of Skull & Bones](#), 1983), thought it better to move control of international bodies then just being setup under the new Pax Americana, closer to their own base operation, just like their Council on Foreign Relations, New York City.

Is there anything insidiously diabolical or nefarious about privatized and unelected nexus of public policy-making by a handful of elite, rubber stamped by the elected representatives to give it a veneer of legitimacy and to maintain the pretenses of

“democracy”, and the policies foisted upon the world's public through the United Nations' supra national bodies such as the WHO which today controls the entire globe's population by way of international treaties and protocols? The answer must be in the affirmative! That indeed a small group of men regardless of how benevolent, deciding the future of mankind is scientific dictatorship in the making. Thus it is imperative to learn whether or not that's indeed the case in reality.

How can one distinguish between modern medicine's apparent faux pas amidst its seemingly well-intentioned attempts to heal in the short term (see *iatrogenic* death rate in [Death By Medicine](#) and big-pharma's [Chemotherapy 'could cause brain damage' in breast cancer patients](#)), vs. well-documented but arguably circumstantial evidence of long term conspiracies to exterminate for eugenics and population reduction by creating wily pretexts through Hegelian Dialectics, acquire full spectrum control of life itself which was once deemed beyond tampering, and make profits for big-pharma which controls all aspects of modern medicine today?

Well, this is how: perspective shift! A *gestalt shift* not based on whimsical mistrust, but on overcoming *confirmation and data availability bias*. That's just science. By not ignoring unpleasant and inconvenient data, by not ignoring unfavorable conclusions, and by acquiring eyes that understand primacy which often does not leave its footprints behind – unless it is the bones stripped of flesh.



Caption: A *gestalt shift* in perspective is required to understand this question of *iatrogenicity vs. humanicide* (slide Desiree Rover's presentation)

Or, is the unspeakable fact of the matter really this: (a) that modern medicine, as

part of [modern science and technology](#), is yet another tortuous link in the [conspiracy by the oligarchy](#) to scientifically control [life itself](#) in the dystopic [one world government](#) which has been in [open-planning](#) for a [very long time](#); (b) that modern medicine is generally a healing craft in the short-term under the for-profit monopoly control of the financial oligarchy which funds and oversees modern medicine via its ownership of the big-pharma and its various administering, legislating, regulating, licensing, and fund granting organizations like the AMA, NIH, CDC, FDA, NSF, FAO, WHO; and (c) that in the longer term the agenda is clearly to scientifically control human reproduction, world population, and all human life – in a global scientific dictatorship!


Far fetched? No normal person can easily accept (a) or (c) because ***"the individual is handicapped by coming face to face with a conspiracy so monstrous he cannot believe it exists."*** In fact, that perceptive understanding of psychology naturally leads to the construction of the "big lie" for effective propaganda warfare: *"All this was inspired by the principle--which is quite true in itself--that in the big lie there is always a certain force of credibility; because the broad masses of a nation are always more easily corrupted in the deeper strata of their emotional nature than consciously or voluntarily; and thus in the primitive simplicity of their minds they more readily fall victims to the big lie than the small lie,"*. (J. Edgar Hoover and Adolf Hitler in Mein Kampf, respectively)

Can one imagine such a dystopian universal controller in a scientific dictatorship which would want to ***"standardize the population, to iron-out inconvenient human differences, to create so to say mass produced models of human beings arranged in some kind of a scientific caste system ... to get people actually to love their servitude"***?

Here is one depicted in Aldous Huxley's 1931 fable [Brave New World](#). And that preceding quoted description is also Aldous Huxley's own from his [1962 speech at UC Berkeley](#), titled *The Ultimate Revolution*. Listen to that 40 minute most revealing speech before going for *Brave New World* – it is almost as if Huxley is reading off of some blueprint of the future:

[The Ultimate Revolution](#)

[http://archive.org/download/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution/AldousHuxley-TheUltimateRevolution_64kb.m3u]



[Brave New World](#)

[Watch movie](#)

[<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hn5yUgci5Zg>]

[Listen to Brave New World audio CBS Theater of The Mind With Aldous Huxley](#)

[<http://archive.org/details/CbsTheaterOfTheMindWithAldousHuxley>]

Well, one of the major themes of the fable *Brave New World*, the frightening depiction of dehumanization of human beings in a scientific dictatorship, is birth control, separation of sexuality from reproduction, and sexualizing children beginning at a very young age (see [Parents upset over crotchless panties sold in kid's store, cached](#), watch [video](#)). Signs are all around us wherever Westerndom's cultural influences pervade, for setting the stage for a new world in which sex plays no part in reproduction but is encouraged for recreation and bodily needs without the traditional bounds of marriage. It is of course the only method by which to achieve the controlled flat birth-rate consistent with Commandment number 1 of the Georgia Guidestones quoted above while permitting unlimited recreational sex.

The psychological (for each individual), and sociological (for the society), conditioning necessary for such asexual reproduction must begin in childhood, just as is depicted in Aldous Huxley's fable. Elements of the fable are now visibly beginning to match with our empirical reality where even pre-adolescent elementary school kids are exposed to it in pop culture – that early introduction being the prime Trojan Horse for infiltrating and subverting conservative mores and customs. Subversion of tradition is necessary before such a dystopia where

family bonds are replaced with collectivist functional bonds under control of big brother, can be achieved.

Control of sexuality however is still just one link in the greater interconnected chain which includes research in big-pharma, modern medicine, technology, and all aspects of socialization, for the full spectrum control of mind-body-spirit of human beings in a scientific dictatorship. Yes, even spirit, for which a new religion has been conceived, Secular Humanism! (see [Brian Gerrish 'State of the Nation'](#)) All of this has been most effectively enabled by the "Technetronic Era". That neologism was coined by Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski in his 1970 book *Between Two Ages – America's role in the Technetronic Era*. Brzezinski, like Aldous Huxley before him, coldly observed: **"Life seems to lack cohesion as environment rapidly alters and human beings become increasingly manipulable and malleable. Everything seems more transitory and temporary: external reality more fluid than solid, the human being more synthetic than authentic. Even our senses perceive an entirely novel "reality"—one of our own making but nevertheless, in terms of our sensations, quite "real." More important, there is already widespread concern about the possibility of biological and chemical tampering with what has until now been considered the immutable essence of man. Human conduct, some argue, can be predetermined and subjected to deliberate control. Man is increasingly acquiring the capacity to determine the sex of his children, to affect through drugs the extent of their intelligence, and to modify and control their personalities. Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, "I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain."** (pg. 12)

While Aldous Huxley may be dismissed by the college educated super-learned skeptic as just an overly imaginative novelist writing fine dystopic literature for study in eighth grade English literature class, the preceding words are those of America's National Security Advisor who, some two and half decades later, also admitted ex post facto that he had set up the trap for Soviet intervention in Afghanistan in 1979, and when they fell into that trap and invaded that Muslim country on 24 Dec 1979: **"The day that the Soviets officially crossed the**

border, I wrote to President Carter. We now have the opportunity of giving to the USSR its Vietnam war." Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski is also the author of *The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives*, 1996, which is the psychological and political blueprint for how to involve the United States in a lifetime of war: "Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, **except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat.** [Because] the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, **except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being.**" (pgs. 211, 44)

And aren't we living under that exact same threat template today in a perpetual [World War IV](#)? See ['War on Terror' is not about 'Islamofascism' – Please get with the real agenda you people!](#) and ["Al Qaeda operatives who look western"](#).

Only when such an overarching societal control agenda is understood, does the perception management and social engineering politics of two steps forward and one step backwards in such headlines as the following begin to make any sense: [Supreme Court Blocks Government Plan To Claim Ownership Of DNA](#). Why does the government want to own your child's DNA? And they will, eventually, under one pretext or another.

Research in medicine has today inextricably become linked with bioweapons research, genetics, and DNA/cellular level manipulation of life and its food sources, right alongside soft behavior modification techniques of perception management and psychological warfare operations that manipulate the human mind and the intellectual functioning of people by manipulating the environment around them. Brzezinski's statement from 1970: "**Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, "I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain."**", is undeniably already empirical in its entirety. The political thinker's prescient words, as the establishment's premiere global strategist and office holder (see [Brzezinski's bio](#)), is a bold confirmation of the truth of the overarching disclosure here of the agenda for full spectrum societal control of all aspects of

human life. It is not a "conspiracy theory". It is an actual open conspiracy, in plain sight. And big-pharma medicine is right smack in the middle of it as the unsuspecting delivery vehicle of biochemical manipulation of human functioning.

In combination with big Agri-business and genetically modified pesticide laden food stock being forced upon the world (see Codex Alimentarius and the Monsanto world), and the vaccination regimen also being forced upon the world, induced sterility over a generation or two will see drastic reduction in world population beginning with the third world peoples. There is simply no other reason for Microsoft founder Bill Gates to be so concerned with polio, mosquitos and malaria, throughout Asia and Africa and vaccinating the world's poorest children for free when the indigent peoples don't even have clean drinking water, basic hygiene, or roof over their head, never mind one square meal a day for which Bill Gates does not seem too concerned: *"Bill Gates, the Microsoft founder and philanthropist, will donate \$10 billion (£6 billion) over the next decade to develop and deliver new vaccines to children in the developing world in one of the biggest ever single charitable donations. **Speaking at the World Economic Forum in Davos, Mr Gates called for a "decade of vaccines" to reduce child mortality dramatically by 2020. By the end of the decade, 90 per cent of children in the world's poorest nations would be immunised against dangerous diseases such as diarrhoea and pneumonia, he said, saving some eight million lives.**"* (["decade of vaccines"](#), The Telegraph, 29 Jan 2010)

Here is Mr Gates in his [Feb 2010 TED Talk Innovating to Zero](#): ***"If you gave me only one wish for the next 50 years -- I could pick who's president, I could pick a vaccine, which is something I love, ..."*** The [video](#) shows Gates' infamous CO2 slide (at time 3:53): ***"CO2 = P x S x E x C"*** and he explains it: *"This equation has four factors, a little bit of multiplication: So, you've got a thing on the left, CO2, that you want to get to zero, and that's going to be based on the number of people, the services each person's using on average, the energy on average for each service, and the CO2 being put out per unit of energy. So, let's look at each one of these and see how we can get this down to zero. Probably, one of these numbers is going to have to get pretty near to zero. Now that's back from high school algebra, but let's take a look. **First, we've got population. The world today has 6.8 billion people. That's headed up to about nine billion. Now, if we do a really great job on new vaccines, health care,***

reproductive health services, we could lower that by, perhaps, 10 or 15 percent, but there we see an increase of about 1.3.” (source: transcript, ibid.)

[Bill Gates: Innovating to Zero](#)

[<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JaF-fq2Zn7I>]

Why does Bill Gates love vaccines so much? To his credit, the philanthropist in the distinguished lineage of a eugenicist family, has always truthfully answered that question, and he did so once again with a sly smirk on his face in his [interview](#) to chief medical correspondent Dr. Sanjay Gupta MD on CNN. When responding to the interlocutor's question “*ten billion dollars over the next ten years to make it the year of the vaccines – what does it mean exactly?*”, Bill Gates said: “**...the benefits are in terms of reducing sickness, reducing population growth...**”. Like international banker Mr. David Rockefeller, his late confrere US Secretary of Defence Mr. Robert Strange McNamara, and US Secretary of State Dr. Henry Kissinger who developed NSSM-200 for the United States president's signature in 1974 (see below), Bill Gates too remains overly concerned with earth's population growth and evidently feels the same inner compulsion to do something about it for the betterment of humanity (not to forget national security). See [Funding the Eugenics Movement](#).

The weaponization of viruses for human and food supply is the most secretive and most prolific military technology of the West today. As previously stated above, can its covert unleashing in conjunction with big Pharma's vaccines, and modern draconian methods of convincing the public to take them, be part of population engineering? Watch [CBS-60-Minutes 1976 Swine Flu Scare](#) and fast forward to 2009 [Dr. Teresa Forcades: BELL-TOLLING for Swine Flu](#) ([transcript](#)):

[1976 CBS 60-Minutes Investigates Swine Flu Scare](#)

[<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TpLF5UwUDoo>]

[2009 Dr. Teresa Forcades: BELL-TOLLING for Swine Flu](#)

[<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=A0JqQyl09zQ>]

Well, as already examined in the report [Swine Flu Pandemic of 2009](#), any deadly interactions among these as sophisticated weapons systems which are only lethal when their effects are combined together and designed to selectively cull populations in different modalities – from infertility to sterility in offspring to the body killing itself with the immune system turned on against its own cells to epidemic death – can always be blamed upon God, nature, or just bad luck and gullibly accepted by the surviving public with proper propaganda support! And therefore, the question has more than mere rhetorical significance.

Let's examine that question of motivation in the words of those mighty gods with omnipotent state powers and the ability to inflict it upon vast populations, and not be content with the mere words etched in stone monuments in state parks or in some rich bankers' fertile imagination merely longing to play god.

The [NSSM 200](#), National Security Study Memorandum 200, of the United States National Security Council already envisioned such draconian measures for population reduction in 1974 in a concluding section titled **'An Alternative View': "Should the U.S. make an all out commitment to major limitation of world population with all the financial and international as well as domestic political costs that would entail. ... Would food be considered an instrument of national power? Will we be forced to make choices as to whom we can reasonably assist, and if so, should population efforts be a criterion for such assistance? Is the U.S. prepared to accept food rationing to help people who can't/won't control their population growth?"** See the cited link for in-context deconstruction of that Memorandum written by the then Secretary of State Dr. Henry Kissinger which posited rising third world population a threat to America's National Security! President Gerald Ford subsequently signed-off on NSSM 200 in the White House's National Security Decision Memorandum NSDM 314, agreeing to the population control agenda for world's most populous Least Developed Countries outlined by Henry Kissinger.

What scientific and political modalities were secretly thought through for NSSM 200 and NSDM 314, and in their follow-ons that are still classified such that even their existence is not known, which are in baby-steps and in stages covertly being deployed against the Least Developed Countries unbeknownst to their public? The Monsanto GMO seeds – in conjunction with the WTO treaties which leverage off of

the third world debt under the WB-IMF tag team – now being forced upon the Least Developed Countries either as foreign aid or as acceptance condition for continued foreign aid, and the real danger of these genetically modified seeds yielding catastrophic crop failures resulting in widespread famine which will put these poorest nations at the direct existential mercy of the West, has misanthropic implications already visible even in the declassified NSSM 200. Coupled with biowarfare agents administered by vaccinations and/or other dispersion methods, population reduction modalities and global eugenics are no longer science fiction. It has now become merely policy implementation of who, when and where, not how!

Furthermore, Bertrand Russell's 1952 book *The Impact of Science and Society*, in [Chapter 7](#) argued the misanthropic rationale for applying draconian methods of population control in the poorest nations of the world for the benefit of the affluent Western nations – if only the world's *hoi polloi* have the opportunity to read what is so eruditely planned in high places for them before it is all a done deal “oops”:

“What is the inevitable result if the increase of population is not checked? There must be a very general lowering of the standard of life in what are now prosperous countries. With that lowering there must go a great diminution in the demand for industrial products. Detroit will have to give up making private cars, and confine itself to lorries. Such things as books, pianos, watches will become rare luxuries of a few exceptionally powerful men - notably those who control the army and the police. In the end there will be a uniformity of misery, and the Malthusian law will reign unchecked. The world having been technically unified, population will increase when world harvests are good, and diminish by starvation whenever they are bad. Most of the present urban and industrial centres will have become derelict, and their inhabitants, if still alive, will have reverted to the peasant hardships of their medieval ancestors. The world will have achieved a new stability, but at the cost of everything that gives value to human life.

Are mere numbers so important that, for their sake, we should patiently permit such a state of affairs to come about? Surely not. What, then, can we do? Apart from certain deep-seated prejudices,

the answer would be obvious. The nations which are present increase rapidly should be encouraged to adopt the methods by which, in the West, the increase of population has been checked. Educational propaganda, with government help, could achieve this result in a generation. There are, however, two powerful forces opposed to such a policy: one is religion, the other is nationalism. I think it is the duty of all who are capable of facing facts to realize, and to proclaim, that opposition to the spread of birth control, if successful, must inflict upon mankind the most appalling depth of misery and degradation, and that within another fifty years or so.

I do not pretend that birth control is the only way in which population can be kept from increasing. There are others, which, one must suppose, opponents of birth control would prefer. War, as I remarked a moment ago, has hitherto been disappointing in this respect, but perhaps bacteriological war may prove more effective. If a Black Death could be spread throughout the world once in every generation survivors could procreate freely without making the world too full.

There would be nothing in this to offend the consciences of the devout or to restrain the ambitions of nationalists. The state of affairs might be somewhat unpleasant, but what of that? Really high-minded people are indifferent to happiness, especially other people's. However, I am wandering from the question of stability, to which I must return.

There are three ways of securing a society that shall be stable as regards population. The first is that of birth control, the second that of infanticide or really destructive wars, and the third that of general misery except for a powerful minority. All these methods have been practised: the first for example, by the Australian aborigines; the second by the Aztecs, the Spartans and the rulers of Plato's Republic; the third in the world as some Western internationalists hope to make it and in Soviet Russia. (it is not to be supposed that Indians and Chinese like starving, but they have to endure it because armaments of the West are too strong for them.) Of these three, only birth control

avoids extreme cruelty and unhappiness for the majority of human beings. Meanwhile, so long as there is not a single world government there will be competition for power among different nations. And as increase of population brings the threat of famine, national power will become more and more obviously the only way of avoiding starvation. There will there be blocs in which the hungry nations band together against those that are well fed. That is the explanation of the victory of communism in China.

These considerations prove that a scientific world society cannot be stable unless there is world government.

It may be said, however, that these are hasty conclusions. All that follows directly from what has been said is that, unless there is world government which secures universal birth control, there must from time to time be great wars, in which the penalty of defeat is widespread death by starvation. That is exactly the present state of the world, and some may hold that there is no reason why it should not continue for centuries. I do not myself believe that this is possible. The two great wars that we have experienced have lowered the level of civilisation in many parts of the world, and the next is pretty sure to achieve much more in this direction. Unless, at some stage, one power or group of powers emerges victorious and proceeds to establish a single government of the world with a monopoly of armed force, it is clear that the level of civilisation must continually decline until scientific warfare becomes impossible – that is until science is extinct. Reduced once more to blows an arrows, Homo sapiens might breathe again, and climb anew the dreary road to a similar futile culmination.

The need for a world government, if the population problem is to be solved in any humane manner, is completely evident on Darwinian principles.” (pgs. 115-118)

These self-serving specious justifications of the Western *ubermensch* openly and prima facie blueprint the national security policy documents like NSSM 200. The

philosophical ideas are realized into calculating policies, often instrumented in Hegelian Dialectics, and exercised through the governmental-corporate-academe-NGO nexus of the vast military-industrial complexes of the West in compartmentalized form such that the *useful idiots* roped in for championing their limited cause without knowing the bigger picture, are often ardent believers in that cause. Presenting them with any contrarian data and analysis is like arguing with self-righteous religious fundos. Which is why they make great "useful idiots" and are always seen at the forefront of any agenda. Similar policy documents like NSSM 200 must surely exist in the European Union and its affluent member nations as well, and these too outlive their successive political administrations just as they do in the United States of America. The strange continuity of the entire Global North's predatory practices upon the natural resources rich, and yet still debt laden and poverty stricken, Global South, despite the frequent changes in democratically elected governments in the *white houses* of Western democracies, is inexplicable otherwise.

Furthermore, Baxter's vaccine "accident", and Monsanto crop failures in previously fertile regions in many parts of the third world, prima facie and incontrovertibly demonstrate the genocidal methods already available today for inducing *humanicide*, the population reduction agenda. While other techniques of inducing long term mortality, via infertility and sterility over a generation or two, are only forensically arguable at this time by witnessing the bizarre mega spendings on children vaccinations in the most populous and least developed countries in Asia and Africa as if the West really cared for their welfare. Voiding the third world debt alone would be sufficient to ameliorate their condition if the affluent International bankers holding that debt-enslavement chain really cared for the 'untermensch' children's sufferings (see John Perkins' [A Game as old as Empire](#), [intro-cached](#)).

[The World According to Monsanto](#)

[<http://youtube.com/watch?v=2gxQbd3WRCU>]

[The Ultimate Secret Exposed](#)

[<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MSpkLk0vYmk>]

[<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-B9MeO3SRxU>]

II

Returning to our main focus on Western medicine and the role of big-pharma, it is commonsense to observe that if there was no immediate and near term benefit of modern medicine, there'd be no one subscribing to it. That ubiquitous subscription ought not to blind one to its visible eventual direction however. And that's the agenda of modern medicine – to continue to blind one to that long-term agenda by its short term benefits. It is the same modus operandi as the one fueling the “Technetronic Era” for its immediate benefits, but which is also hurtling the world into the global surveillance society of big Brother and full scientific dictatorship at breakneck speeds. That same agenda in medicine could not perhaps be achieved without monopoly control of all health care modalities and research, and of course without attracting and luring young scientists to work in life sciences and medicine.

The near term benefits we see today in healthcare, the advanced surgical techniques, the trauma centers and the ER, and what we typically think of as wonders of modern medicine, does span an entire life-span, or two. It helps millions of people everyday. These benefits to our good-life in the near term are undeniable, as even David Rockefeller articulated at the UN Dinner. Just like the wonders of modern science and technologies also benefit the modern kitchen to modern travel in our “Technetronic Era”. These benefits of a scientific modernity are part and parcel of the same incentivization modalities to get people to tirelessly work on their narrow passionate interests in the short term, often in the name of scientific advancement benefiting humanity, or national security, quite oblivious to their detrimental long-term outcomes. These self-serving motivations and their cumulative impact on society in creating a global scientific dictatorship have already been fleshed out in [The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction of Science and Technology](#).

But modern medicine does love symptomatic and palliative treatments even when it is not trying to kill us in the short term. There appears to be no research and development monies spent on scientific studies of causation of disease. I cannot recall big-pharma announcing a cure for any major modern disease – can you? – from cancer to heart disease to diabetes to AIDS. There is no big-pharma cocktail of drugs for reversing heart disease for instance. A coronary heart disease patient stays on big-pharma medications often after rush to PCI (Percutaneous Coronary

Intervention, physical revascularization of arteries with angioplasty, stents, bypass with open heart surgery). All expensive palliatives that attempt to alleviate the symptoms and do nothing to address the causation of the coronary disease. The PCI is often administered as *the first thing to do*, and often without providing adequate information of alternatives to the patient (see [Michael B. Rothberg, Informed Decision Making for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention for Stable Coronary Disease, JAMA Internal Medicine, May 18, 2015](#)). The followup with cocktails of medications, whether or not PCI is done, pretty much makes a captive audience of the patient for the rest of his natural life with no hope for cure (see [Caldwell B. Esselstyn's Book: Prevent and Reverse Heart Disease; Caldwell B. Esselstyn, A way to reverse CAD, The Journal of Family Practice, July 2014](#); watch TEDx [Caldwell Esselstyn on Making Heart Attacks History](#)). The same is true for the diabetes patient. Indeed, the best patients being the ones on illness management drugs for lifetime without any hope for permanent cure for Type 2 Diabetes, is perhaps the epitome of this "respectable" modern quackery (watch TEDx [Sarah Hallberg on Reversing Type 2 Diabetes Starts with Ignoring the Guidelines](#)). The traditionally trained medicine men and medicine women reading this will surely be mortified! The lengthening shadow underneath the big-pharma's lamp is as undeniable as the blatant evidence of gross mis-focus and gross mis-training of modern medicine.

[Dr. Caldwell Esselstyn: Making heart attacks history](#)

[<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EqKNfyUPzoU>]

[Dr. Sarah Hallberg: Reversing Type 2 Diabetes Starts with Ignoring the Guidelines](#)

[<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=da1vvigy5tQ>]

There is perpetual profit in administering a continuous supply of expensive pharmacological cocktails rather than in inducing a holistic cure based on nature's remedies, nutrition that is directly pertinent to the biochemistry of the disease, and commonsense, all freely available to everyone at pennies to the dollar paid into the coffers of big pharma. Big-pharma's medications for symptomatically controlling heart disease for instance easily leads to treatment for kidney and liver diseases as the lifetime of drugs treating heart disease can lead to kidney or liver dysfunction. These new ailments which are a side effect of controlling heart disease now require

new drugs. **"We make ill because every drug requires more drugs, which require more drugs, and more drugs,"** as Dr. Rima Laibow puts it (see below). Thus new diseases are automatically created by the side effects of big-profit interventions, medications, vaccines, and poor lifestyles, diets and foods brought to the table by big Agri-business and the fast food industry. Modern medicine coupled to modern foods and lifestyles has become a factory for manufacturing captive patients on big-pharma's mercy! The vicious cycle feeds upon itself, not inadvertently, nor unwittingly, but deliberately, funded by big-science, big-pharma, big governing bodies and leading medical schools that respectively regulate and train *glorified quacks* to continually administer more big-pharma palliative interventions in a twenty-first century version of medical quackery. **Treating symptoms of disease with great budgets and expenditures without treating the causation of disease!**

A tiny shift in perspective is all it takes to shift focus from treating symptoms to treating causation – provided such a fundamental shift can practicably be forced down the Rockefeller designed paradigm of modern allopathic medicine (see [Caldwell B. Esselstyn, Is the Present Therapy for Coronary Artery Disease the Radical Mastectomy of the Twenty-First Century? The Am J Cardiol, 2010](#); and [Caldwell B. Esselstyn, The Nutritional Reversal of Cardiovascular Disease — Fact or Fiction? Three Case Reports, Experimental and Clinical Cardiology, July 2014](#)). Not easy shift to bring about while medicine remains under the control of big-pharma, big-regulators serving big-pharma, and leading medical school curriculums training for big-pharma.

In that world of control, a new disease is also as simple to fabricate as labeling any undesirable human behavior a "disorder". As reported by George F. Will in his Washington Post column, *Handbook suggests that deviations from 'normality' are disorders*, [February 28, 2010](#): **"Today's DSM defines "oppositional defiant disorder" as a pattern of "negativistic, defiant, disobedient and hostile behavior toward authority figures." Symptoms include "often loses temper," "often deliberately annoys people" or "is often touchy." DSM omits this symptom: "is a teenager."**

The draconian implication of this new behavioral "disorder" definition in DSM was not lost upon the cynical columnist for Washington Post: **"If every character**

blemish or emotional turbulence is a "disorder" akin to a physical disability, legal accommodations are mandatory. Under federal law, "disabilities" include any "mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities"; "mental impairments" include "emotional or mental illness." So there might be a legal entitlement to be a jerk."

George Will continued: "The revised DSM reportedly may include "binge eating disorder" and "hypersexual disorder" ("a great deal of time" devoted to "sexual fantasies and urges" and "planning for and engaging in sexual behavior"). Concerning children, there might be "temper dysregulation disorder with dysphoria." ***This last categorization illustrates the serious stakes in the categorization of behaviors. Extremely irritable or aggressive children are frequently diagnosed as bipolar and treated with powerful antipsychotic drugs."***

That natural behavior pattern defined as "disorder" in the medical nomenclature has now become the pious pretext for recruiting new patients for big-pharma in the name of healthcare – the trend to continually move the clientèle downwards in age to cover all age groups from cradle to grave as captive customers of big-pharma. Children are now the focussed target of big-pharma, with *Attention Deficit Disorder* diagnosis rampant, and other pharmacological infestations into the child's body with vaccines occurring from birth as part of modern healthcare. It isn't voluntary either, as exclusion or limitation of rights, privileges, and opportunities, or punishments and deprivation of liberty, are legally attached to not being responsive to the healthcare diktats of modern medicine and its governing bodies. That is what is meant by "legal entitlement"! The state is slowly taking over individual healthcare decisions in the name of public health and public safety.

The [following](#) shocking revelation by Dr. Rima Laibow while responding to a question at the Anti-Zensur Konferenz (AZK - Anti-censorship conference) in Germany is notable in this respect: "In the United States there was a law enacted, which was signed into law by the twice unelected president of the United States, George Bush. That law is called the New Freedom Initiative. The New Freedom Initiative signed into law in November 2004, says the following: Says every child in the United States, including unborn children, must be screened for mental illness. [Former executive sales rep for Eli Lilly & Co., Dr. John Rengen Virapen,

*interjecting: This was a study done by George Bush's Institute for Mental Health in Texas, which was built by Eli Lilly & Co.] But there is more. **Step One: screen every child including the unborn by screening their mothers for mental illness. Step Two: treat on a mandatory basis, every child who is found to have, quote, mental illness, which means, every child. And Step Three: screen and treat on a mandatory basis, every single person who has any contact with children because we have to protect the little dears. That means mothers, fathers, shop keepers, school teachers, grandparents, neighbors, everyone. So first we control, first we control, and we make ill because every drug requires more drugs, which require more drugs, and more drugs, and then eventually, we depopulate.**" (minute 7:30)*

[Dr Rima Laibow: Codex Alimentarius – Food Nazification](#)

[<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-PTEqjAnsV4>]

The fact that the above statement "***we make ill because every drug requires more drugs, which require more drugs, and more drugs,***" is empirically true in many cases not just for drugs but also for therapies such as radiation, can straightforwardly be gleaned in this *work in progress* [iatrogenic survey](#) of Western medicine. And therefore, the first-cause question raised earlier about modern medicine has to be addressed at every stage by the shrewd reader concerned with health matters. For emphasis, it is restated: **Is this "malevolence" of modern medicine deliberate by way of some long term eugenics and depopulation planning agenda using biowarfare techniques that are masked as "iatrogenic oops" or blamed on natural causes when caught, or, indeed entirely iatrogenic?** That fancy medical terminology means the drug or treatment having inadvertent or unintended side-effects, not deliberately or knowingly induced, and approved for public health based on some opaque statistical cost-benefit calculus of public health policy. Furthermore, if it is indeed solely the latter with no malevolent intent of waging long term biowarfare on civilian populations to create a captive public, then is the high rate of *iatrogenicity* of modern drugs and treatment protocols mainly due to big-pharma's *raison d'être* of big monopoly profits, and due to their collusion of greed and intemperance with the FDA to rush drugs and treatments derived from or incubated in the cells of other species, to market which are frequently poorly tested for long term side-

effects, and which are increasingly poorly tested even for immediate efficacy and safety? Or do both these hierarchical and compartmentalized agendas of monopoly medicine just work seamlessly in tandem as scientific dictatorship envisaged in dystopic fables takes root globally? **None who are so innocent of knowledge and naively staring at the fishbowl can ever comprehend all the felinic dimensions of primacy without a gestalt shift in perspective.**

Evidence based practice of medicine and public health policy demands not just examining the entire spectrum of available evidence, but also looking at the motivations under which such examinations are undertaken by "experts" and the various hidden forces such as *confirmation bias* and *data availability bias* (when honest), and willful deception (when dishonest), that come into play due to these beneath the surface motivations. This is just commonsense science in order to reach an objective and sensible assessment in the greater interest of public health. The public being able to shrewdly discern these often hidden motivations when such examinations and conclusions are brought before them to channel their mind in one direction or another, remains the key to sensibly accepting or rejecting these recommendations of experts. Without proper public perspective and awareness, rules and regulations of governing bodies that principally serve the narrow interests of the owners of big-pharma, are connivingly legislated by the representatives of the people and forced upon the public by the fiat of legal authority and its public relations machinery. Mandatory vaccine deployment is being legalized under such fiat of power. The forced vaccination programs from cradle to grave in the name of public health is its first bastard child.

Vaccination has become like a "religion", as some professionals have concluded under their first order assumption of honest misdirection of *useful idiots* harnessed to the cause, overlooking any of the long term diabolical dimensions to the agenda for forced vaccination of the behind the scenes powers that be: **"People in the pro-vaccine arena, I mean if you work for the health and human services, or the public health department, or the CDC, you have been so brain-washed and indoctrinated to believe that vaccines are good, they are safe, they are effective, they cause no harm, in fact, if you are not vaccinated, you gonna die. And they believe that in the same way that you believe that Jesus rose from the dead. They believe it from the same intense belief system. Because it's a religion. And this vaccination has become**

like a religion. And now when you couple that in with the Healthy People 2020 guidelines, and know that this is the Decade of Vaccines, they really believe that every single person on the planet needs to be vaccinated with every single vaccine that they create. Now, to me, that's just blindly following something and ignoring the rest of the science." See [Dr. Sherri J Tenpenny on Vaccine's Safety: A Crime Against Humanity](#); [Dr. Tenpenny on Healthy People 2020: What the CDC documents say about vaccines](#); and hear [Dr. Tenpenny Interview](#) for the preceding quote at time 44:20. Also see: [Dr Viera Scheibner's 2012 survey paper asking the question: Polio eradication by vaccination?](#), where the anti-vaccine advocate concluded from the survey of available scientific data: ***"The only way to eradicate paralytic poliomyelitis is to stop vaccinating"***.

[Dr. Tenpenny on Vaccine's Safety: A Crime Against Humanity](#)

[<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CAJb01ZiJNk>]

[Dr. Tenpenny on What CDC documents and science reveal](#)

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M1VwVBmx0Ng>]

[Dr. Tenpenny Interview \(starting at time 12:15\)](#)

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C_d68yL6CMI#t=740]

Goodness! Such alternative assessment based on the scientific evidence that is oft ignored, may appear as "conspiratorial" and "radical" to both the typical mainstream consumer and the typical mainstream professional healthcare provider practicing under their AMA license, as when the baby looks at the world from the feline's instincts for primacy and experiences intense cognitive dissonance. The first knee-jerk reaction to genuine cognitive dissonance is denial. The rest of denials are merely mercenaries shilling for their cause. That dismissive reaction, whether genuine or affected, is encouraged and rewarded in the present system. It is even deemed avant-garde in scientific intellectualism to ignore unpleasant data, inconvenient evidence, and dethroning analysis that is against the grain of prevailing wisdom – a science and its practitioners crippled by self-interest, self-deception, confirmation bias, and data availability bias in favor of big-pharma.

Take all evidence into account and not just that which meets with the approval of status quo, authority figures, oaths of allegiance to tribe, nation, religion, doctrine, or paycheck. Especially the latter --- for funding and livelihood have been the greatest co-opter of honest looking men and women from time immemorial (see [The Art and Science of Co-option](#)). In fact, after investing a quarter of million dollars and ten years of one's life in big-pharma led medical education and training, paycheck is the single biggest co-opter for siding with the *crippled epistemology* of ruling medical paradigms. Hallelujah to the *likkha-parrha jahils* (university educated morons) of modernity (see [Reflections on Modernity, Climategate, Pandemic, Peer Review, and Science in the Service of Empire](#))!

While I have to admit that I have not yet been able to locate and study the original source document for that unlayering of Newspeak by Dr. Rima Laibow behind Executive Order 13217, titled: **“New Freedom Initiative and its goal of community integration for people with disabilities”**, the business of statecraft couched in neurolinguistic phenomenology to imply one thing for public perception and mean quite another is not unfamiliar to those who study it. The Orwellian use of the already very familiar words like “Freedom” to mask diabolical intent, as in “Operation Iraqi Freedom”, would only come as a surprise to those most acutely suffering from the *dumbed-down disability* (DDD). Similarly, the wholesome word “community” and the concept of “community integration”, minus the Newspeak is explained very perceptively by California State employee and activist Rosa Koire in her talk on [How your community is implementing AGENDA 21](#) (minute 8:30).

[Rosa Koire: How your community is implementing AGENDA 21](#)

[<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CEHWsdimVO4>]

If one has the stamina to sit through Rosa Koire's 90 minute entertaining talk, one will come to understand that the Agenda 21 is all pervasive, multifaceted, and its collectivist *prime directive*, the depopulation of the planet and its global management through central governance, is being implemented locally in stages! (See [Agenda 21 for Dummies](#) for PDF links to official UN documents --- read for yourself how the predator thinks and presents its thinking; in some of these documents it is not even camouflaged in “newspeak” and “doublethink”)

However, by looking at each local baby-step enactment of Agenda 21 individually, it is impossible to see the big picture in much the same way as even painstakingly examining each atom and the electro-chemical sub-structure of the water molecule still makes it impossible to understand why the water naturally only flows downstream on earth. The system properties of interest, and the cause-and-effect patterns of behaviors of interest, especially of black-box systems whose inner-workings can only be inferred by observing the manifest response characteristics, easily get lost by isolated focus on individual components. Often complex systems aren't as straightforward as simply gathering the understanding of each of its components – because many convoluted system properties are represented only in the interaction among components, and to the whole system's interaction with the environment. If the entire system is not known and a component is thought to be the entire system, the system properties are never understood fully or even correctly identified – and consequently the observed behavior is thought to be random, or happenstance, when it is actually incompetence and incomplete knowledge which leads to that characterization and not the science of it. This is just the basic science of systems, all systems. As true of physics and engineering as of social sciences, which include political science and state-craft, i.e., governance by Machiavelli. And for state-craft of empires, the casual properties of international events, like domestic events, can often only be determined by stepping back from the individual events and looking at the entire picture for patterns of interconnectivity of events which may span both time and space. There is obviously as much caution for false positives and false negatives here as in science --- and that only means social scientists have to be at least as skilled in the craft of deciphering global events as the natural scientists and engineers in deciphering nature and engineering large systems where complex interactions among components can defy understanding by any single individual --- for the level of skills and mastery of data needed may transcend even what the smartest brain can muster. In the age of narrow-gauge specialists and superficial generalists, one can see how easy it is to miss the forest for the trees.

Consequently, in order to acutely comprehend the larger interconnection between and among parts, one has to not only understand many parts individually, but also the historical trends and system dynamics in the interconnections among those parts – as these are neither static, nor temporal, but evidently bring a long hysteresis effect to their current state and future direction. What was let loose fifty

or a hundred years ago often determines the state of the world today. Should be commonsense, but, as is also the case in imperial scholarship penned for mass consumption, is often ignored in the study of current affairs and history by those outside of it.

Nobel laureate physicist Max Planck expressed this holistic fact of system analysis most aptly: **"Modern physics has taught us that the nature of any system cannot be discovered by dividing it into its component parts and studying each part by itself, since such a method often implies the loss of important properties of the system. We must keep our attention fixed on the whole and on the interconnection between the parts."** (Cited in Critique of Western Philosophy and Social Theory By David Sprintzen, pg. 76)

A former nursing student Jennifer Lake has compiled an interesting medicine Timeline to this effect, spanning the period between 1830 to present, where the long-term trends of modern medicine and the medical establishment's conduct leading us here, is self-evident. (See [Jennifer Lake's Blog](#))

But it is of course next to impossible to understand any of this in the limited time that today's dedicated professionals have available to them after toiling all day long in their 'American Dreams'. Most remain caught between *bread and circuses* their entire life like the rest of *hoi polloi*.

Which brings us back to the busy doctors and their knowledge of their own profession, never mind of complicated agendas and trends which both transcend their profession, as well as are part of their profession. The modern doctors don't appear to know too much about the drugs and vaccines they prescribe to their own patients, behaving more and more like technicians and sales-rep for big-pharma. This empirical observation is most unfortunate because many practitioners I am sure, and two of my own children are laboring in that endeavor of the healing sciences, come to this profession with a great deal of idealism. But what happens by the time they have graduated medical school and labored 80-100 hours per week in their residencies at barely livable wage and a quarter of million dollars in debt? They become slaves to the dogmas of big-pharma and the narrow paradigms of healthcare driven by governing and licensing bodies top down.

Indeed, the modern physician, from pediatrician to internal medicine to oncologist

to psychiatrist, all appear most beholden to whatever is the prevailing cultural dogma of big-pharma, to the FDA, to the AMA, to the WHO, and to the sales rep of big-pharma from whom they evidently get all their knowledge of the drugs they automatically prescribe like robots based on a symptom diagnostic tables also provided to them by big-pharma. The choice of radiology or surgery for this reason appeals to some because these entail the least interaction with big-pharma. A few who become too disenchanted with the system once their eyes open also just walk away. This is what Jennifer Lake wrote on her blog: **"Welcome to my blog. I was a nursing student in 2005-2006 and decided not to enter the field and give people drugs. My school experience opened my eyes to the depth of medical fraud within the military-industrial-medical-complex and the willful cover-up of the real causes of disease."**

Western Medicine, in the name of protecting the patients from quackery, is the most draconianly regulated profession in the world with big Brother management across the board. This big Brother works for big-pharma. And big-pharma controls the medical profession and its professionals. All non big-pharma healing methods and modalities are minimally considered *unorthodox* when not outright condemned, even when empiricism speaks otherwise, and even when an occasional respectable medical coverage is given to an alternative by the orthodoxy (evidently in lip-service).

A notable example of this "unorthodox" treatment which received considerable medical press, but with otherwise little interest shown by the medical establishment other than as a quirky curiosity, is Norman Cousins. The New England Journal of Medicine published a revealing case study of self-healing by Norman Cousins (Cousins, N. Anatomy of an Illness (as Perceived by the Patient) NEJM 295:1458-1463 December 23, 1976). Cousins expanded that NEJM article into a 1979 book, [Anatomy of an Illness](#) (As Perceived by the Patient), detailing his observations of the medical profession and his "unorthodox" experiments in self-healing. He was stricken by a painfully debilitating illness in 1964 upon returning from a trip to the Soviet Union, which was diagnosed as *ankylosing spondylitis*. Eventually, after all the medical remedies in the hospital had proved futile, and as he put it in his book: **"I had a fast-growing conviction that a hospital is no place for a person who is seriously ill."** (pg. 31), Cousins left the hospital, checked into a hotel, and under his own enlightened doctor's supervision, a Dr.

William Hitzig, created for himself a daily schedule of hearty laughter therapy as an exercise in mind-body self-healing.

Norman Cousins' book contains many commonsensical insights into the rational and wholly scientific thought processes behind its author's specific experiments in self-healing carried out under the close supervision of his licensed physician. Such general analytical thought processes which affectively tickle the natural human biochemistry is not known to be FDA approved (sic!). There is no profit in natural or nature-derived remedies for big-pharma which projects anything non-pharmacological as a zealous move towards abandoning the very canons of science. Therefore, modalities afforded freely by nature, by low cost natural remedies, and by natural human biochemistry do not constitute any significant part of the medical school training regimen which is entirely high-margin pharmaceutical driven as the Alpha and Omega of modern medical intervention.

Detractors and public relations experts shilling for the established medical profession make their own "independent" pronouncements in the "public interest" but almost always in favor of their priesthood whenever an "unorthodox" case gains unusual prominence. As [this chap](#) from the National Council Against Health Fraud ("NCAHF is a private nonprofit, voluntary health agency that focuses upon health misinformation, fraud, and quackery as public health problems.") did against the empiricism brought forth by Norman Cousins, and the consequent interest it generated among the public. Since the medical establishment rarely funds any scientific studies into these non big-pharma healing modalities, it is easy to dismiss its effectiveness as quirky, non-scientific, or faith-based. This self-serving loop is retained in modern medicine by design by big-pharma and its big Brother enforcers.

[Here](#) is a former sales executive and Managing Director for Eli Lilly and Company, Sweden AB, Dr. John Rengen Virapen, speaking at the same Anti-Zensur Konferenz in Germany as Dr. Rima Laibow mentioned above, where he explained the big-pharma's system of co-option. In the conference presentation, Virapen admits to bribing the regulatory board in Sweden to approve Prozac! Dr. Virapen also wrote his confessional memoir, *Side Effects: Death - Confessions of a Pharma-Insider*, blowing the whistle on Eli Lilly's and big-pharma's shady practices. In this [blogtalkradio](#) 2007 interview, Dr. Virapen explained more details on how he

did the bribing for Eli Lilly and Company to get Prozac approved.

[Dr. John Rengen Virapen: Big Pharma Whistleblower Speaks Out at the AZK in Germany](#)

[<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Qmi3ihrUHJU>]

Dr. Virapen's confessional insights into the overall systems of corruption, including his own personal corruption for the lure of the good life and high society, clearly demonstrates how corrosively big-pharma infects both regulatory bodies via political lobbies and kickbacks, as well as the medical practitioners via their indoctrinating training, that few are able to retain whatever iota of Hippocratic idealism that had brought them to their profession.

Especially, when doctors are employed by HMOs and hospitals which have standardized diagnostic and prescription regimens, it leaves little room for discretion for any talented physicians who might wish to explore refrains or alternatives not sanctioned by the hospital or the insurance companies.

When the FDA wisdom, and the WHO wisdom, both of which represent the big-pharma interests, is to prescribe flu shots or some vaccination protocol for instance, and not just their paycheck but their ability to practice their profession depends on following those diktats, then which physician has ever done any first hand research on the drug or the vaccination protocol on their own? Which physician has the time, or the inclination to go against the wisdom du jour? During the 2009 Swine Flu pandemic declaration by the WHO to unprecedented Stage-6 for instance, how many in the medical profession administering the swine flu vaccines bothered to study first hand either the pandemic or the vaccination protocol dictated by the authorities? Even the Director-General of WHO was following orders of her superiors who told her exactly what to proclaim next.

I wrote to Margaret Chan, the figurehead boss of WHO, on May 09, 2009: *"I write you respectfully to express immense concern, and without mincing words. I would like to draw your kind attention to the letter to editor which is reproduced below. It expresses grave reservations that the **World Health Organization is acting as a global sales-rep for vaccine manufacturers.** I specifically draw your kind attention to the "Note on abetting the Pandemic" which is cited below. **It is quite***

likely that you have become an unwitting pawn in the ruling oligarchs' game of population reduction. *If you finally come to realize that [fact] through your [own] due diligence, I urge you to make that information public from your high pulpit. At worst, you will lose your job. **But your unconscionable inaction, or mere resignation in silence, will lead to a billion-plus exterminated, with WHO subsequently claiming all the credit for saving the rest.** There is likely a Nobel Peace Prize lurking in there somewhere for all this 'saving'. And the cycle will repeat."* See details in my [Letter to Dr. Margaret Chan, Director-General, WHO: Global Sales-Rep for big-pharma!](#).

It is just assumed by the vast majority of medical practitioners that the FDA and the WHO have done their own due diligence, and that they operate in good faith under the same Hippocratic oath. In this perspective of the baby staring at the complicated creature in the fishbowl with wondrous innocence, there is no comprehension of the psychology and prime directive of the tom cat eyeing the same as a tasty morsel. The system ensures that the very existence of the tom cat is denied. But the evidence of its existence is neither hidden, nor classified top secret. It is just inconvenient to see it.

The incursion of big-pharma into medical school training regimens to groom that very faithful and trusting physician is now so notorious that few pay any attention to it. The Western medical profession takes big-pharma's very visible role in their profession as just the "normal" part of modern medicine. It is often rationalized away as "they are spending a billion dollars in research every year". So this [2009 news story](#) of **"Two hundred Harvard Medical School students are confronting the school's administration, demanding an end to pharmaceutical industry influence in the classroom."** was refreshing indeed.

The New York Times ran the following report on [March 2, 2009](#): *"In a first-year pharmacology class at Harvard Medical School, Matt Zerden grew wary as the professor promoted the benefits of cholesterol drugs and seemed to belittle a student who asked about side effects. Mr. Zerden later discovered something by searching online that he began sharing with his classmates. **The professor was not only a full-time member of the Harvard Medical faculty, but a paid consultant to 10 drug companies, including five makers of cholesterol treatments.** ... The Harvard students have already secured a requirement that all*

professors and lecturers disclose their industry ties in class — a blanket policy that has been adopted by no other leading medical school. (One Harvard professor's disclosure in class listed 47 company affiliations.)"

But that overt role of big-pharma and its disclosure of conflict of interest with such fanfare in the New York Times is already layered upon the entire implicit pharmacological dogma set up by the Rockefellers at the turn of the twentieth century as cited in the presentation by Desiree Rover. So what is being protested by the Harvard medical students is just the tip of the ice-berg. Disclosing this overt conflict of interest by doctors teaching medical students to prescribe drugs which they have financial interest in seeing sold, while I am sure beneficial for some purpose, does little to alter the interlocking and incestuously self-reinforcing medical profession itself which is controlled by big-pharma. If not this drug, then that drug – what's the difference since that's the only permitted choice?

Want to reform that? Instead of the mere disclosure of conflict of interest, make conflict of interest itself illegal across the medical profession – for that would surely be a tad more useful than merely talking about it. Make it illegal for doctors to be a financial part of big-pharma, and make it illegal for big-pharma to offer any inducements of any sort to the medical practitioners which is even broadly speaking conflict of interest.

But such sensible laws can of course never be passed because big-pharma thriving on Wall Street on the very existence and continuous supply of "sick people", is itself the first prima facie conflict of interest!

And even that pecuniary motivation is itself just the tip of the iceberg. The long-term *prime directive* of big-pharma, like that of big Agri-business, both crafted by the same financial oligarchy, is control of life itself and not just mere profit. **It is an egregious mistake to think that it is all about profit – "money money money" – it is not.** Profits are merely the payback to its technicians and administrators who enable implementing the *prime directive* year after year in baby-steps. I am certain that even the top scientists and executives of big-pharma remain as unaware of the *prime directive* as the top scientists and executives of the high-tech industry remain oblivious of the presuppositions and axioms under which they are continuously ushering in the *Technetronic Era* to its natural

culmination (see [The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction of Science and Technology](#)).

Therefore, the same New York Times article also reporting: **"Harvard Medical School's peers received much higher grades, ranging from the A for the University of Pennsylvania, to B's received by Stanford, Columbia and New York University, to the C for Yale."**, is hardly much of a differentiator for the overall practice of Western medicine. This specious grading system is itself meaningless as it is layered upon the same Rockefeller axioms which run big medicine and train medical professionals year after year in all the Western medical schools under the FDA and AMA mandates authored by big-pharma, which is itself controlled by the financial oligarchy. That unfettered exercise of power to craft and legalize un-challengeable axioms at the very source from which all practice naturally follows, as was stated by the Rothschild scion for the power to coin a nation's money supply: **"Give me control of a nation's money supply and I care not who makes its laws"**, makes the grading system as useful as the economic policies when controlled by the same monopolistic private cabal. That cabal now presides over the world's destiny, towards the full spectrum global management of the planet and its resources – which includes life!

Their control is being enabled daily in baby-steps. The following was reported in my earlier examination of micro-chipping the population during the swine flu pandemic as the pretext (see [Swine Flu: The Ultimate Revolution in the Making](#)). And that malevolent control of human beings was only temporarily thwarted, despite being led by the Council on Foreign Relations, CDC, and WHO, when the so called pandemic turned into vaporware (see [The Swine Flu Postscript: 'Reconstruction of a Mass Hysteria – The Swine Flu Panic of 2009'](#)).

In his New Technologies and innovations show-and-tell in Toronto in April 2008, Dr. Patrick Redmond described the current state of tracking devices, the RFID chips: **"Hitachi a few years ago produced a chip (called the mu chip) that was the size of a pencil point; if you take a pencil and put it on a piece of paper you get a little dot. That's how small they're getting. In 2007 Hitachi came out with a chip that was even smaller, they call it RFID powder. They are just like the talcum powder you would put on a baby. Somark Innovations in Jan 10, 2007 announced an invisible RFID ink. This**

can be applied to cattle, prime cuts of meat, military personnel and it can be read through hair."

Dr. Patrick Redmond observed of its deployment trend that: **"... by 2011 you should be able to go on Google and find out where someone is at any time from chips on clothing, in cars, cell phones, and inside many people themselves."**

Dr. Patrick Redmond, 31 years with IBM before he retired, further noted the recent staggering growth of the RFID industry: **"From 1955 to 2005, cumulative sales of radio tags totaled 2.4 billion; in 2007 alone, 2.24 billion tags were sold worldwide and analysts project that by 2017 cumulative sales will top 1 trillion—generating more than \$25 billion in annual revenues for the industry."**

The [article-transcript](#) of Dr. Redmond's talk is most revealing for those unfamiliar with the extent of technological deployment of RFIDs. He not only surveyed how RFIDs are being used today for commodity tracking, but also how these might be used in the near future for psychotropic behavior control with biochemical tampering of the brain (evidently perception management via propaganda warfare ala George Orwell isn't deemed efficient, or sufficient, or practical enough, for sustained mass behavior control an examination of which is in my [Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer – Architecture of Modern Propaganda for Psychological Warfare](#)). Dr. Redmond ominously concluded: **"If chips can disseminate medicine then they can disseminate other things too; anything put inside a microchip can be activated by a signal. And finally, with this technology, subliminal mind control becomes possible."**

The *Technetronic Era* has willingly brought the world full circle from Aldous Huxley's 1931 mood-altering drug "SOMA" in his fable *A Brave New World*, to his 1962 predictions at his already cited talk at the University of California Berkeley of the advancements in social engineering through direct behavior control whereby people will actually be made to love their own servitude creating the **"ultimate in malevolent revolution"**, to its real enablement within 50 years, in our own lifetime.

Is this mere happenstance, an inevitable side-effect of technology, or societal

control wielded by Machiavelli and worked towards by the many architects of hegemony in every generation? According to Zbigniew Brzezinski, the author of *The Grand Chessboard*, the co-founder of the Trilateral Commission with David Rockefeller and its first executive director, and America's National Security Advisor under president Jimmy Carter (1976-80): *"Another threat, less overt but no less basic, confronts liberal democracy. More directly linked to the impact of technology, it involves the gradual appearance of a more controlled and directed society. Such a society would be dominated by an elite whose claim to political power would rest on allegedly superior scientific know-how. **Unhindered by the restraints of traditional liberal values, this elite would not hesitate to achieve its political ends by using the latest modern techniques for influencing public behavior and keeping society under close surveillance and control.**"* (Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, *Between Two Ages*, 1970, pg. 97)

Any modern day physician anywhere in the world having taken the Hippocratic and AMA sponsored oaths in their own local language will naturally bark reading all this, protesting with utmost sincerity and with much innocence of knowledge I am sure, that these are just the natural errors or happenstances of the system and that there isn't some overarching diabolical agenda for inducing lucrative sicknesses, long term sterility, and population reduction behind it. But, over the course of another hundred years or less, perhaps within our own children's lifetime – these happenstances will see a world government patterned in some combination drawn from all these fables.... Is such a feline world desirable? Should the common man drawn by bread and circuses stop to worry about his own children's fate? Should the passionate scientists and technicians working on it care a bit more than the lure of Nobel prizes and induction into National Inventors Hall of Fame?

It is not obvious to me how to practicably interdict this controlled descent into dystopia on any significant scale! That's because its harbingers deem global scientific dictatorship an ascent rather than a descent and are moving ahead with full force. The system is calculatingly made so co-opting and corrosive that apart from inducing apathy in the majority, even when a handful do their due diligence and shift their perspective from that of the innocent trusting baby to that of the predatory feline to understand the primacy instincts of the oligarchy, they have so much invested in their high-tech and medical careers by the time they wake up that it is just easier to succumb to the "banality of evil" and soldier on as before.

The best contemporary example is Dr. Eric Fossum, the inventor of the CMOS imaging chip which along with other computing technologies, has ushered in big-Brother in full force. See Dr. Fossum's self-deceit and brazen hypocrisy in this case study: [The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction of Science and Technology](#).

Moralizing Knowledge does not often equate to moral acts. In fact, it rarely does. The oft quoted Biblical wisdom: **"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free"** seems to have little empirical relevance in our seducing modernity where the pleasures and healing of the soul have been traded for the pleasures and healing of the body. (See [America's War Veterans: PTSD and its Cure - Letter to Editor](#))

This modernity, which the Council on Foreign Relations accurately presaged in [April 1974](#) would **"look like a great 'booming, buzzing confusion' to use William James' famous description of reality"**, and it now does, has the full impetus of global governance behind it the extrication from which appears well-nigh impossible! This was well planned and superbly orchestrated, just as the CFR author had prognosticated: *"In short, the 'house of world order' will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. **It will look like a great 'booming, buzzing confusion' to use William James' famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.** Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed."* (Richard N. Gardner, *The Hard Road To World Order*, April 1974, pgs. 558-559)

[Global Governance - EU President Admits One-World Government is Here](#)

[<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QEqFtVrAgSo>]



"We are living through exceptionally difficult times. Financial crisis and its dramatic impact on employment and budgets, the climate crisis which threatens our very survival --- a period of anxiety, uncertainty, and lack of confidence. Yet these problems can be overcome, by a joint effort, in and between our countries. 2009 is also the first year of Global Governance with the establishment of the G-20 in the middle of financial crisis. The climate conference in Copenhagen is another step towards the Global Management of our Planet. Our mission, our presidency is one of hope, supported by acts, and by deeds." --- Herman Van Rompuy, EU Council President, press conference November 19, 2009

The news report coming out of Eurozone in August 2011 reconfirmed the EU president's proclamation of inching towards full global governance of the planet by baby-stepping through regional collectives into the greater whole under the pretext of dealing with crises: ***"The leaders of France and Germany are proposing a collective 'government' for the Eurozone led by the EU President. It is the solution being put forward to deal with the EU debt crisis. ... After the talks in Paris Mr. Sarkozy said he and the German Chancellor were also proposing that all 17 Eurozone countries commit to balanced finances and write that goal into their constitutional law by the summer 2012."*** (Proposals outlined for collective Eurozone government, [Aug 16th, 2011](#))

III

Conclusion and Remedies

Under the collective Western governments of the Euro-Anglo-American nexus, the Western medical system, the big Pharma, the big Agri-business, the big biotech business, the big chemical business, the big technology business, and their tightly integrated and inter-dependent research and development in bio-agents and biowarfare, their increasing control and tampering of all living things, have all been made resilient to, and legally protected from, any public outcry or other impediments in their march towards their own compartmentalized *prime directive*. Each component plays its role in the dystopia under construction, collectively ushering in global scientific dictatorship. What form it will take, whether Orwellian (jackboot stamped on the human face), or Huxleyan (getting people to love their servitude, standardization of human behavior and arrangement in some scientific caste hierarchy), or some other imaginative derivative reminiscent of the feudal aristocracy of the seventeenth and eighteenth century France where this time around the unwashed masses don't rebel and are content laboring hard all day long in their respective productivity functions under the remorseless eyes of scientific managers as imagined in *Atlas Shrugged*, remains to be seen.

What should be self-evident already is that those with specialized knowledge and technical skills, the scientists and engineers and business managers on the build side of civilization, and the artists, poets and scholars on the humanities side of civilization, will all be in great demand just as they are today. Those who contribute to the civilization will do well. The "useless eaters" and those who resist will all be dead. The remaining will be manipulated into being content laboring all day long as the bulwark of the wealth of the new one-world nation. It is already this way even today, except for the unwanted populations and infighting among the feudal primates for territorial hegemony and primacy.

Under this gruesome realitycheck, one that is neither Pollyanish nor defeatist nor with eyes wide shut, but an accurate measurement of reality and the forces driving it today and yesterday, what can be done for tomorrow?

Well, one can of course just pretend that the dystopic Darwinian primacy does not exist and continue to hold the perspective of the innocent baby staring at the fishbowl. If however, one has succeeded in shifting one's perspective to that of the tom cat, then in self-preservation as the fish in the fishbowl, what are the options?

First, where we need to be – the destination. When the medical profession is itself complicit in administering inimical medicine to the public, they are part of the petty crime syndicate and merely wearing white coats and gloves instead of guns. And the medical doctors have to come to that realization before anything can be changed! We do need medical professionals today and tomorrow who will challenge and transcend this big-pharma dominated healthcare modalities. We need holistic healthcare for humanity which is both scientific and skilled as the awesome surgeons are today, and also un-inimical to the mind-body-spirit. Developing that wholesome medicine, and the schools to train this new breed of practitioners under an hostile environment being driven towards scientific dictatorship, with new laws enacted almost daily to limit individual freedom of action outside the parameters adjudicated by AMA, NIH, CDC, FDA, NSF, et. al., as “wholesome”, is the daunting challenge. These American bodies have a global reach today through the WHO. The world witnessed this incontrovertible fact yet again during the 2009 Swine Flu Pandemic debacle. All signatories to WHO Conventions are beholden to its diktats by international treaty law.

Next, how to get there – the journey.

Consumers – The Public

Well, don't look to me for heroic solutions. You, as consumers, are equally responsible for what happens to you. The solution is within each one of us – don't look for it coming from some messiah, superhero, or born-again Rockefeller. To even begin to parse reality the way it actually is minimally requires giving up being the innocent baby staring at the fishbowl. One must instead take on the feline's instincts for primacy in order to understand the traps laid by the predator. A good detective is one who can think like the criminal in order to outsmart him. In today's world, the age of universal deceit, to learn the truth is a revolutionary act! Take a careful read of [Report on the Mighty Wurlitzer – Architecture of Modern Propaganda for Psychological Warfare](#) to appreciate how our mind is made without

our cognizance. That understanding helps parse the “newspeak” and “doublethink” that surrounds us 24x7 a bit more perceptively.

Scientists and medical professionals

Well, do take a careful read of [The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction of Science and Technology](#). Arguably, it is easier to refrain before the fact, rather than after a great deal of life has been invested in the pursuit of the “American Dream”. All one can do ex post facto, after the eyes belatedly open wide, is to lament hypocritically. The Fable of the Bees has some revealing examples of famous ex post facto laments. Here is another [case](#) of belated lament, November 29, 2011: *Amy Goodman interviews Kamran Loghman, inventor of modern pepper spray and developer of police procedures for its use. Loghman regrets his work today, and says it's "fashionable" to use chemical agents on "people who have an opinion".* The uncanny *art imitating life* dialogs in the Hollywood Bourne Series fourth episode “Bourne Legacy” between the two protagonists (see *self-serving innocence* snippet below), ably capture the “naiveté” that infects virtually all scientists and technicians of empire when empire puts science in the service of empire (see [Reflections on Modernity, Climategate, Pandemic, Peer Review, and Science in the Service of Empire](#)). This trend to willfully **“Be innocent of the knowledge”**, as Shakespeare put the words in Macbeth’s mouth to lady Macbeth in *The Tragedy of Macbeth* ([Act 3, Scene II, Line 45](#)), can only be preemptively avoided by those wishing to avoid it, by having shrewd wherewithal before the fact, of how the world actually works – an endeavor that is easily co-opted by self-interest (see [The Art and Science of Co-option](#)).

Young men and women choosing the medical profession

Before investing heavily in one’s medicine career, one will be wise to think very carefully how one might practice the healing arts predicate **“First, do no harm”**, realizing fully well: 1) how the medical profession actually functions under big-pharma’s drugopoly and AMA’s monopoly in defining and licensing medical training under its narrow-gauge specialization paradigms that preclude understanding and healing diseases holistically, at the whole body system level, rather than laboring under some idealistic and false delusions of curing cancer; and 2) perceptively

seeing the choke-hold on modern medicine by the financial oligarchy hell-bent on controlling life and the life-sciences for a scientific dystopia they have imagined in which a handful of controllers rule the world.

To not be trained by default as glorified sales-rep of big-pharma automatically limits the choice of residency programs after medical school (to surgery or radiology or pathology for instance). It makes getting the residency of choice far more competitive, and sets the bar very high for the medical professional to broaden her expertise. Seeking training in multiple medical disciplines even today requires bucking the trend, as the medical schools and the AMA licensed medical profession by default only produce narrow-gauge overly-specialized doctors who in the vast majority of cases are effectively trained as the sales-reps for big-pharma.

One can imagine that in less than fifty years, hardware and software expert systems will interface directly with patients and will reduce the need for realtime presence of virtually all types of physicians, eventually reducing the need for even hands-on surgeons as remote triaging and surgery with robotic-assist from remote locations becomes more and more feasible and goes mainstream in high-technology hospitals worldwide. In less than a hundred years, who knows, fully automatic robotic surgery without human intervention as shown in movies today may become as ubiquitous as full body security scanners once only the staple of science fiction movies, are ubiquitous at American and European airports today.

A patient's first line of interaction will increasingly be technicians and nurses and medical assistants (if at all) rather than super-specialized MDs. Robots will take blood samples and hw-sw expert systems will automatically diagnose diseases and make recommendations for treatment protocols, one hopes of course, under the full oversight of panels of human doctors who will better be able to pool their combined expertise for whole body diagnosis and treatment than is done today. In that world, it is hard to imagine the need for too many physicians and surgeons. Automation and advanced technology can only do to the medical profession what it did to industrial manufacturing. The developing nations' medical story may unravel differently however. These countries also have populations of "useless eaters" who are most "inconveniently" sitting on the world's largest natural resources coveted by the developed Western hegemons. "*Hegemony*", after all, as Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski wrote as the opening sentence of his book, *The Grand Chessboard*, "*is*

as old as mankind." History is witness to that truism. And hegemony is not just of international politics and world's resources, but of life itself. That is where lowering carbon emissions and carbon credit (see [Between Global Warming and Global Governance – Concern for Environment is a 'Hegelian Mind Fck'!](#)) is to become the primary lever of social control alongside food and healthcare.

The impetus towards one-world government on earth, the natural culmination of hegemony, may normalize and standardize global healthcare as a socialized cost-effective medicine under complete control of big-pharma and big supra-national legal authority such as WHO, operating under strict scientific advisory from research and regulatory bodies like the CDC and the FDA (whatever their new global names may evolve to be as Anglo-European counterparts are merged into supra national agencies), after the "useless eaters" of Asia and Africa, followed by the *white trash* of the West, have been mercilessly culled away. This is not hyperbole. The precedent for it was set by the pious settlement of the American continent where the invading white European settlers created for themselves the lofty republican constitution and the glorified Bill of Rights, deemed inalienable and self-evident, and waived before the world ever since as the preeminent model of "democracy" and Western civilization, after ten million native-American Indians had been remorselessly killed off and the survivors quarantined on far away reservations, while the black imported Negro deemed only two-thirds human and mercilessly domesticated in service of the white man. Repeating that successful prescription for world settlement with the same straight face in future history hardly takes much imagination to comprehend in a social Darwinian world driven by the superman's "Will to Power" (see Friedrich Nietzsche's [Thus Spake Zarathustra](#)).

In that world of global socialized medicine for the masses, there will be no room for discretion by individual healthcare professionals for using their own judgments in patient care – the universal guidelines and protocols for every modality of illness and well-being to be strictly followed. The doctors today are already trained to operate by the book and in straight-jackets in the HMOs, and under the insurance company guidelines for what is covered and what isn't. I see that trend set by today's HMOs and insurance companies as the successful template of centralized control upon which global healthcare model will evolve. It is the inevitable future of modern medicine under one-world government wherein the medical profession is

regulated not just at the service-provider side, but also at the consumer side. Every individual has global identification, is easily located, easily tracked, and easily administered from global databases by expert system protocols accessible anywhere in the world by authority figures chartered to manage world populations. The trend already indicates that global healthcare is to be the first of these global management services alongside global financial, economic, and taxation. It is easy to surmise that in a scientifically controlled dystopia worth its salt, reproduction rights, schooling, career selection, professional training, etc., determined on the utilitarian model of secular humanism and based on geographic needs rather than on individual inclination, can't be all that far behind.

What system is there today to interdict that trend of less and less individual control and more and more government control and regulation? See [Islam vs. Secular Humanism and World Government](#). All religions and cultural traditions have been hijacked most effectively to serve empire. In other words, there isn't an alternative system today to interdict the dystopia of the Western oligarchy, the new rulers of the world, except the process itself failing under its own weight the way of the six hundred year reign of the Roman empire, and the seven hundred year unchallenged reign of the successive Muslim empires. Unlike the Roman empire however which arguably crumbled under its own weight, before the British empire finally demolished the last remnant of the Ottoman Muslim empire, the latter had already become scientifically backward and a termite-ridden legacy of several centuries of decay. In the world today under the control and primacy of the sole superpower on earth, the United States of America, herself firmly under the thumbscrews of the global financial oligarchy, there are no visible challengers on the conceivable horizon for this system's takedown. Unless the world government is remarkably still-born, or dies in its infancy, or perishes due to some natural global catastrophe, it would only become moribund due to its own hubris. If one-world government survives its infancy and early growing pains, we are easily looking at a millennium of juvenile rule by the financial oligarchy in a scientific dystopia. The science and technology are available today, as never before, to make that a realistically foreseeable outcome, absent any public resistance.

Somewhere along that dystopic trajectory during one's foreseeable lifetime, and now cured of all altruistic delusions of curing cancer, ask oneself if one really wants to enter the medical profession as a patient care provider or medical scientist? The

answer can only be sought by acquiring a shrewd wherewithal of primacy and its global imperatives, and how that exercise extends its tentacles into the medical profession to control life itself. It demands a wherewithal that can navigate that dystopic trajectory in man's best healthcare interests rather than the oligarchy's and the big-pharma's best interests. If this rambling missive tickles that desire for increasing wherewithal and self-understanding of the medical paradigm du jour before stupidly spending a quarter of million dollars in tuition monies to train day and night only to become skilled unquestioning sales-reps of big pharma, it has surely served its purpose. If even one budding medical scientist becomes aware before the fact, of how one's natural aptitude and passion for science (or anything else that is useful for that matter) is diabolically harvested to serve narrow elitist agendas that are almost always thinly disguised in noble causes (such as national security, patriotism, nationalism, curing cancer, etc.), and perceptively avoids the predicament depicted in "The Bourne Legacy" of *art imitating life*, spending the time writing these commonsense reflections on modern medicine would have been worth it.

In the following conversation snippet between the medical Dr. Scientist escaping from her killers and the rogue agent helping her, the dramatization dialogs wrap the key idea highlighted in bold, of *self-serving innocence* of scientists blinded by their own narrow passions happily serving villainy in the name of science, and in the name of helping their country. Avoid being deluded by such *self-serving innocence* into serving narrow agendas of a private cabal before the fact. Ex post facto, if eyes wide open, it is only an empty and hypocritical lament.

(Backdrop: This five minute conversation in the 2012 movie "The Bourne Legacy", at time 1:10:15 to 1:15:58, transpires when the Rogue Agent undergoing virology experimentation code-named "Outcome" and desperate for more meds, is helping the virology medical Dr. Scientist escape from the intelligence black-ops killers who have come to assassinate her and make it look like suicide. The cabal within the shadow government of the militarized state has decided to shelve its successful virology experiment being conducted on military black-ops recruits to transform ordinary killers into remorseless super assassins; talented killers coerced into the super-secret military program in the name of national security and saving American lives; the "Outcome" assassin research and training program alters the DNA and biochemistry with gene-modifying vaccines that attempt to transform

these already psychologically wrecked military soldiers into remorseless super killers, biochemically enhanced to very high level IQ, very high level physical endurance, very high level pain threshold, very high level obedience compliance, and very low to non-existent level EQ (Emotional Quotient). The research based training program is temporarily being put on the back-burner by killing off all the "program participants" as well as the core team of medical scientists who worked on the research and technology of it, while archiving all their science and data for resurrection after the heat is off. A previous super-assassin (not in this episode), another rogue agent named Jason Bourne, had acquired a disturbing conscience and a higher than anticipated residual EQ in the previous three Hollywood episodes of this fable, and made the top-secret super-assassin research training program that he had undergone public. The shadow government now fears full exposure of all its other programs including "Outcome" and wants to limit its losses. The bosses in the back-office think they have killed off all the "program participants", and are surprised that the last remaining medical Dr. scientist escaped so easily from the expert killers sent to "suicide" her. The bosses are left wondering who is helping her escape.)

BEGIN Dialog ("The Bourne Legacy", time 1:10:15 to 1:15:58, [DVD version](#))

Dr. Scientist: Is that your name?

Rogue Agent: James? No. What?
You don't know my name?

Dr. Scientist: (shakes her head)

Rogue Agent: What do you call me?
What do you put on my blood work?

Dr. Scientist: Five.

Rogue Agent: Five? The number five?

Dr. Scientist: (nods her head)

Rogue Agent: Do you know how many times we've met?

Dr. Scientist: (looks at him wondering)

Rogue Agent: Thirteen.
Thirteen exams over the last four years.
And that's what I get. A number.
Number Five. Okay.
Five of what, then?
How many are we?

Dr. Scientist: Program participants?

Rogue Agent: That's what you call us?

Dr. Scientist: There were nine. Then six.

Rogue Agent: Participants! (shaking his head in bewilderment)

Dr. Scientist: How did you find me?

Rogue Agent: Well, what do you think?
They are going to kill all of us and then just leave you guys alone?
You think your colleague just went crazy?
Is that what you think?

Dr. Scientist: I, I, (stammers) didn't think anything.

Rogue Agent: They wound him up and set him loose (to kill the core team of medical scientists).

Dr. Scientist: I really have no idea what is going on.

Rogue Agent: What's going on is they're shutting the whole thing down.
That's what's going on.

Dr. Scientist: Who is "they"?
I don't know, I don't know
Who were the people at the house?

Rogue Agent: No, no, no, no.
You had your turn, okay?
You've been bleeding and scoping and scraping me since the day we met.
It's my turn, now.
I'm asking the questions, you got it?
I need program meds, okay?
I need program meds.
Where do you keep the chems?

Dr. Scientist: I don't have any.
I've already told you.
We don't have them.

Rogue Agent: Bullshit.

Dr. Scientist: No, I really don't...

Rogue Agent: Bullshit.
It's bullshit.

Dr. Scientist: I don't have any. (screams back with tears in her eyes)

Rogue Agent: **So, you don't know anything?
You're just the help?
That's why you have the big house and the security clearance, right?
That's why they're trying so hard to kill you, because you don't
know anything.**

Dr. Scientist: **I know my job, which is science.
I don't know what you do when you, when you leave the lab.
None of us do!**

Rogue Agent: For four years!

Dr. Scientist: Look, I want to get out!
Would you please stop the car? (hysterical)

Rogue Agent: **You can't be that naive.**

Dr. Scientist: Would you let me out the car. (screaming)

Rogue Agent: **There's no way you're that naive!**

(stops the car)

Rogue Agent: You want out? Get out.

Go on, get out.

You don't have any chems.

You don't know where they are.

You don't know anything.

That's fine. Just get out.

But you got a plan, right?

Yeah, of course you do.

You're a doctor.

You've got this all worked out, don't you?

What are you going to do?

Huh? What are you going to do?

You can't run, not alone.

You don't know how.

You certainly can't hide.

Not from people like this, with the resources they have.

You won't make it to sundown.

So, what does that leave you?

You can go public, sure.

You can go loud.

Call your sister, because that worked out well for you.

Call some ex-roommate.

Call a guy that knows a guy at the Washington Post.

Put it online.

'Cause you know what?

You blow me off, that's the only play you've got.

But you better ask yourself this.

Could you ever say it loud enough or fast enough that they'd be too afraid to finish what they've started?

Now, I've got a plan, and it's just not that complicated.

What I'm going to do is wait for the next person to show up to kill you.
Maybe they can help me.
So, go for it.

(scene switch and back again)

Dr. Scientist: You have to understand.
All the work at Outcome, all those tests,
the burn rates,
dosage panels,
tissue stress.
I mean, that is us tuning chemistry.
(with sparkle in her eyes, continues)
We don't fabricate anything.
That happens downstream.

Rogue Agent: Wait. What's that mean, "downstream"?

Dr. Scientist: It means you need live virus to seed adhesion.
Cultures are highly reactive.
You have to process on-site and we would never do it here.

(Why does Baxter and other big-pharma have highly classified viral research center in other countries with weak laws and disposable populations, one wonders!)

Rogue Agent: Okay. On-site, where? Where?

Dr. Scientist: Where do we hold the virus?

Rogue Agent: Yes!

Dr. Scientist: Well, we can't drive there.

Rogue Agent: Where is it?

Dr. Scientist: Manilla.

The Philippines.

(both get out of the car, rogue agent is flustered)

Dr. Scientist: Where do you stand with your dosage?

Rogue Agent: I have 300 milligrams of blues.
It's not even enough for another day.
I haven't had a full green in 51 hours,
which is strange because I don't feel physically degraded.
But we'll see.

Dr. Scientist: Wait, wait, wait.
Did you just say you're still taking greens?

Rogue Agent: What are you talking about?

Dr. Scientist: You were viraled off physical medication last year.

Rogue Agent: What?

Dr. Scientist: (with a guilty look) Jesus.
They viraled everyone off greens eight months ago.
They infected you with live virus,
which means that, physically, you are stable.
You don't need to take greens.
They locked it in.
Any physical enhancement is now permanent.

Rogue Agent: So, you infected me?
When was this?
What? Is this when I was sick?
The mystery flu?
That was you?

Dr. Scientist: Ah, well, it wasn't me.
I didn't do it.

Rogue Agent: That was you.
That was on purpose, right?
When I almost died.

Dr. Scientist: I'm sorry, but it wasn't me.

Rogue Agent: Why am I still taking green pills, then?

Dr. Scientist: I don't know.
I, I thought you had stopped.

Rogue Agent: Why am I asking you anything?
Or to keep us on a leash, right?
Is that it?
To keep a hold on us?
To keep us dependent?
Who tells you that this is okay?

Dr. Scientist: No one... I do...

Rogue Agent: **Who says it's okay? (angry)**

Dr. Scientist: **I do research.
I design, I survey.
I don't, I don't administer meds.
I don't make policy! (shouting back)**

Rogue Agent: **No, you just load the gun.**

Dr. Scientist: **Oh, God.
Look, I was there for the science.
We were all there for, for science.
And I know you don't care, but I made a huge sacrifice.
I couldn't publish,
I couldn't conference.
I couldn't tell a single person what it was I did.
But I thought I was, I thought I was helping my country, and I know**

that's...

END Dialog

See [The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction of Science and Technology](#) to glean examples of real life scientists lamenting hypocritically after having done their dastardly deeds, to realize that this Hollywoodish dialog is in fact *art imitating life*, a fable, a tale that expounds an idea in story form. Like novelists, poets, littérateurs and philosophers, Hollywood script writers too sometimes depict reality in art form, when they are not staging psychological warfare disguised in mass entertainment that is, and yet most of us come out of the theatre with as much reflection as when one graduates from an American Ivy League. Unless one can comprehend this matter at the very inception of one's journey into professionaldom, before one is too invested in one's career and success, before accolades and applause pile up, one can only arrive at the same destination by traveling the same paths.

Nations

It is a national challenge for every nation to become independent of the WHO in its practice of medicine, and to develop its own traditions and legal bodies in medicine which are not taking their marching orders from the American and the big-pharma controlled global medical establishment. Not an easy thing to accomplish in a world that is already being ruled by fiat, by the draconian imperatives of Global Governance which is gradually eroding away national sovereignty! Only truly independent nations un-beholden to the international bankers and to their instruments of debt-enslavement, can even think of standing up to these global forces which surround all individual matters. This means, as one can perceptively observe, in order to effectively reform Western allopathic medicine, a nation first has to neutralize all the forces and power levers, both overt and hidden, that have enabled its draconian embedding with big-pharma.

What are the National Challenges?

Just imagine – what will it take to overturn AMA for instance from private

monopoly control beholden to big-pharma and the Rockefeller cabal into public control directly beholden to elected public policy makers and to public demand? An act of United States Congress? What does it take to prevail upon the Congress, and upon the President to not veto its bills? Even the all powerful American public couldn't alter its own ill fated destiny against the grotesque [2008 TARP bailout bill](#) of the bankers which was approved by Congress and signed by the president the same evening! And therein lies the firm connection of all abhorrent constructs in the world today to the most un-natural primordial force before which all earthly powers bow. [Who can ever overturn it?](#) Over a century ago, Woodrow Wilson, America's 28th president (1913 – 1921), made the following observation about the nature of this power which was openly witnessed unvarnished, naked and brute, by all and sundry a hundred years later in the passage of that TARP bailout bill of 2008:

“Since I entered politics, I have chiefly had men's views confided to me privately. Some of the biggest men in the United States, in the field of commerce and manufacture, are afraid of somebody, are afraid of something. They know that there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breath when they speak in condemnation of it.” — The New Freedom, Woodrow Wilson, 1913, Doubleday, [Chapter I, pgs. 13-14](#)

“This money trust, or, as it should be more properly called, this credit trust, of which Congress has begun an investigation, is no myth; it is no imaginary thing. It is not an ordinary trust like another. It doesn't do business every day. It does business only when there is occasion to do business. You can sometimes do something large when it isn't watching, but when it is watching, you can't do much. And I have seen men squeezed by it; I have seen men who, as they themselves expressed it, were put "out of business by Wall Street," because Wall Street found them inconvenient and didn't want their competition.

Let me say again that I am not impugning the motives of the men in Wall Street. They may think that that is the best way to create

prosperity for the country. When you have got the market in your hand, does honesty oblige you to turn the palm upside down and empty it? If you have got the market in your hand and believe that you understand the interest of the country better than anybody else, is it patriotic to let it go? I can imagine them using this argument to themselves.

The dominating danger in this land is not the existence of great individual combinations,--that is dangerous enough in all conscience,--but the combination of the combinations,--of the railways, the manufacturing enterprises, the great mining projects, the great enterprises for the development of the natural water-powers of the country, threaded together in the personnel of a series of boards of directors into a "community of interest" more formidable than any conceivable single combination that dare appear in the open.

The organization of business has become more centralized, vastly more centralized, than the political organization of the country itself. Corporations have come to cover greater areas than states; have come to live under a greater variety of laws than the citizen himself, have excelled states in their budgets and loomed bigger than whole commonwealths in their influence over the lives and fortunes of entire communities of men. Centralized business has built up vast structures of organization and equipment which overtop all states and seem to have no match or competitor except the federal government itself.

What we have got to do,--and it is a colossal task not to be undertaken with a light head or without judgment,--what we have got to do is to disentangle this colossal "community of interest." No matter how we may purpose dealing with a single combination in restraint of trade, you will agree with me in this, that no single, avowed, combination is big enough for the United States to be afraid of; but when all the combinations are combined and this final combination is not disclosed by any process of incorporation or law, but is merely an identity of personnel, or of interest, then there is something that even the government of the nation itself might come

to fear,--something for the law to pull apart, and gently, but firmly and persistently, dissect.

You know that the chemist distinguishes between a chemical combination and an amalgam. A chemical combination has done something which I cannot scientifically describe, but its molecules have become intimate with one another and have practically united, whereas an amalgam has a mere physical union created by pressure from without. Now, you can destroy that mere physical contact without hurting the individual elements, and this community of interest is an amalgam; you can break it up without hurting any one of the single interests combined. Not that I am particularly delicate of some of the interests combined,--I am not under bonds to be unduly polite to them,--but I am interested in the business of the country, and believe its integrity depends upon this dissection. **I do not believe any one group of men has vision enough or genius enough to determine what the development of opportunity and the accomplishment by achievement shall be in this country.**

The facts of the situation amount to this: **that a comparatively small number of men control the raw material of this country; that a comparatively small number of men control the water-powers that can be made useful for the economical production of the energy to drive our machinery; that that same number of men largely control the railroads; that by agreements handed around among themselves they control prices, and that that same group of men control the larger credits of the country.**" — ibid. [Chapter VIII, pgs. 185-190](#)

My goodness! And Woodrow Wilson had published all that before he was elected president and before he had signed off on the Federal Reserve Bank Act of the United States on December 23, 1913 (see [Eustace Mullins](#) for the fascinating cloak and dagger shenanigans under which the third central bank of the United States was finally brought about after a hiatus of 70 years under the thin cover of legality). And yet, after becoming president, Woodrow Wilson bowed before the

diktats of that same un-natural primordial force like President George W. Bush did a century later in 2008, demonstrating the continuity of the "money trust" as truly "*a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive,*" so enduring with the shelf-life of a giant land tortoise and the tentacles of a giant sea octopus! That exact same power also protects its key construct for controlling human life and all its life-sciences: Western medicine!

Even a century ago that power was already "interlocked", as president Wilson had described it then. If anything, today it has grown into an octopus encircling and squeezing the entire planet. This predatory system *combine* is now so fully interlinked and interlocked globally, that separation of what is "amalgam" from what is "chemical combination" in Woodrow Wilson's analogy, is virtually impossible. **And this octopus cannot be dismantled or any of its tentacles cut in isolation from the primordial force which protects the whole octopus. An invisible iron wall protects this octopus!**

That un-natural primordial force has a core weakness however. And it has cleverly harnessed its weakness in its own favor. That principal weakness is the power to legislate, which it owns outright today. In theory, that power belongs to the people, not to a tiny cabal of supermen, and it can also be easily taken away, virtually overnight, by brave nations choosing to throw off their yolk of servitude. Putting that lofty theory into practice of course has remained a challenge from time immemorial. A battle that perpetually beckons brave nations against their Darwinian predators. It is always easier to muster courage to fight the foreign enemy at the gates than the one which resides within. Cicero, the Roman philosopher and noted orator, had most eloquently characterized this formidable enemy of the body politic which president Woodrow Wilson evidently had only just enough courage to tepidly call the "money trust" without identifying it further. So full of fear was even the all powerful president of United States that he had refused to call out specific names, let alone charge them for conspiracy from the highest office in the country even as its commander in chief, as if he was totally powerless in power. But these names are no more unknown today than they were a century ago. See [Eustace Mullins, Carroll Quigley, Antony Sutton, et. al. in Some Dare Call It Conspiracy](#); [Eustace Mullins in Secrets of the Federal Reserve – The London Connection](#); [Eustace Mullins in Murder By Injection](#); [Rockefeller Medicine Men – Medicine and Capitalism in America by E. Richard Brown](#); and [Col. Edward Mandell](#)

[House](#) who acted as the money trust's frontman handler of Woodrow Wilson for the eight bloody years that this mental midget of a co-opted president occupied the White House as the principal asset of the "money trust".

Under Edward Mandell House's invisible hand (see [House's book](#) in which the role of the invisible hand of the oligarchy controlling the seat of government is justified as a rational principle of enlightened governance by the elite from behind the scenes), president Woodrow Wilson transformed not just the United States of America by obligingly signing the Federal Reserve Bank Act in 1913 during the first year of his first term, but transformed the entire world by taking the United States into World War I in 1917 during the first year of his second term – his ex post facto hypocritical lament: **"I am a most unhappy man--unwittingly I have ruined my country"**, after leaving the White House notwithstanding. Quoting Cicero on the dangers of the traitor within:

"A nation can survive its fools, and even the ambitious. But it cannot survive treason from within. **An enemy at the gates is less formidable, for he is known and carries his banner openly. But the traitor moves amongst those within the gate freely,** his sly whispers rustling through all the alleys, heard in the very halls of government itself. **For the traitor appears not a traitor; he speaks in accents familiar to his victims, and he wears their face and their arguments, he appeals to the baseness that lies deep in the hearts of all men.** He rots the soul of a nation, he works secretly and unknown in the night to undermine the pillars of the city, he infects the body politic so that it can no longer resist. A murderer is less to be feared. **The traitor is the carrier of the plague. You have unbarred the gates of Rome to him.**" (A paraphrase of Cicero from a 1965 essay by Justice Millard Caldwell, via wikipedia)

The grotesque reality is that virtually all nations on earth today are ruled by clones of Woodrow Wilson – when they are not outright fools or mercenaries that is. Either way, all of them are guided, or coerced, controlled one way or another, into enacting laws and policies under the facade of "democracy" that favor the behind the scenes predators. There is evidently a great deal of pernicious power hidden in

the pithy saying of Rothschild: "**Give me control of a nation's money supply and I care not who makes its laws**". That power was exercised through president Woodrow Wilson to create the legal debt extortion racket to bring the world's foremost nation into willing compliance with a mere signature. Today all developed nations as a *combine* of the oligarchy sing "United We Stand" on all core axioms of primacy, differing with each other in only as much as the WWF wrestlers in opposing corners differ with each other when they get together with the promoters off stage to forge out an evening program. The developing nations on the other hand have been principally brought to their knees with the help of the tag-team super-duo of WB-IMF, both debt instruments of the same "money trust".

These are the forces that brave nations must understand and overrun in order to pragmatically overturn the present medical paradigm forced upon them by WHO and AMA led medical science!

While these challenges appear formidable, a smaller bite may just be to introduce nutrition and botany as mandatory subjects in both pre-med and medical school curriculum. No, not as general subjects as they presently are in many developing nations' medical schools, but related specifically to the biochemistry of the specific diseases and anatomical subsystems studied in the course of one's medical training. The challenge here is that the specific science of relating disease to nutrition and natural remedies found in nature has to be funded and developed.

What I have discovered as an engineer is that once a broad set of fundamentals are learnt correctly, when domain wisdom is learnt through commonsense rather than rote learning, and when experiential training is not subject to the self-serving confirmation biases of the system, it makes it easier to adopt to any paradigm shift. This versatility in technical training is elegantly denied to the modern doctors despite all this hoopla of having studied four extra years in undergraduate education before entering medical school. The biggest problem the medical profession has today is their narrow-gauge over specialization that precludes any depth of holistic understanding in what causes diseases and how to reverse them. Adding that new focus on nutrition and botany as necessary adjuncts of causation and reversal of diseases to medical curriculums in individual medical schools does not require treading on any major toes of course. But for it to be effective at the national level does require national level deployment, and that requires cooperation

of national bodies that design and administer tests and board exams and regulate medical licensing. Not sure how that cooperation can be enlisted. All of these are private bodies in most nations and remain beholden to big-pharma and the AMA driven allopathic curriculum that entirely precludes all that which is not big-pharma drugs and high-tech surgical interventions from medical respectability!

Ultimately, this modern quackery can only be overcome with medical practitioners themselves realizing it and starting to put commonsense into their medical practice. In this regard the untiring labors of Caldwell B. Esselstyn for reversing coronary heart disease with plant based diet highlighted in this article is illustrative. Dr. Esselstyn is fortunate that the Cleveland Clinic with which he has been affiliated for most of his career, created the Wellness Clinic where the good doctor can counsel his patients in the alternatives. Once again, not sure how one can do that in general as part of an HMO and insurance plans, and under legislative and institutional hospital policy prescriptions that make employment and medical practice contingent on following the AMA paradigm. For instance, not sure which licensed medical doctor has the moral courage to decline administering vaccines when it is the law! And that, as they say, is the bottom line.

The following perceptive passage from Sun Tzu can be the only fitting conclusion at this time (what can I say, never say die until actually dead):

“If you know the enemy and know yourself, you need not fear the result of a hundred battles. If you know yourself but not the enemy, for every victory gained you will also suffer a defeat. If you know neither the enemy nor yourself, you will succumb in every battle.” — Sun Tzu, [The Art of War](#)

If you are a medical professional and wish to offer a coherent rebuttal or additional insights, please write your feedback to: humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com.

About The Author

The author, an ordinary justice activist, formerly an ordinary engineer in Silicon Valley, California (see engineering patents at <http://tinyurl.com/zahir-patents>), founded Project Humanbeingsfirst.org in the aftermath of 9/11. He was, mercifully, most imperfectly educated in the United States of America despite attending its elite schools on both coasts. This might perhaps explain how he could escape the fate of "likkha-parrha-jahils" (educated morons) mass produced in its *technetronic* society with all his neurons still intact and still firing on all cylinders. He is inspired by plain ordinary people rising to extraordinary challenges of their time more than by privileged and gifted people achieving extraordinary things. He chose his byline to reflect that motivation: *The Plebeian Antidote to Hectoring Hegemons*. Bio at <http://zahirebrahim.org>. Email: humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com. Verbatim reproduction license for all his work at <http://humanbeingsfirst.org/#Copyright>.

Short URL: <http://tinyurl.com/Truth-Modern-Medicine>

Short URL: <http://tinyurl.com/Medicine-in-Service-of-Empire>

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/11/whats-thetruth-about-modern-medicine.html>

Home Page URL: <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2015/06/whats-thetruth-about-modern-medicine.html>

Home Page2 URL: <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/p/medicine-in-service-of-empire.html>

Source PDF: <http://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/whats-the-truth-about-modern-medicine-by-zahir-ebrahim.pdf>

First Published Saturday, November 19, 2011 | Last updated July 9, 2015 23032

Links fixed January 20, 2016